

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC
Form 10-K
January 11, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 1-12803

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	04-2458042 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
--	---

321 Railroad Avenue, Greenwich, CT (Address of principal executive offices)	06830 (Zip Code)
--	---------------------

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (203) 863-8200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of each exchange	Title of each class o n w h i c h
--------------------------	-----------------------------------

registered

Common Stock, New York
par value \$.01 per share
S t o c k
Exchange

Class A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share
New York
S t o c k
Exchange

8.50 % Series C Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock
New York
S t o c k
Exchange

7.5 % Series D Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock
New York
S t o c k
Exchange

Preferred Share Purchase Rights
New York
S t o c k
Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and non-accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes

No

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of April 30, 2007 (price at which the common equity was last sold as of the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter): Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share \$-----57,182,000; Class A Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share \$324,323,000.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, as of January 4, 2008 (latest date practicable): 7,943,616 Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share, and 18,850,477 Class A Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on March 6, 2008 (certain parts as indicated herein)
(Part III).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.		Page No.
PART I		
1.	Business	4
1 A.	Risk Factors	9
1 B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	14
2.	Properties	15
3.	Legal Proceedings	16
4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	16
PART II		
5.	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	17
6.	Selected Financial Data	19
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
7 A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	29
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	30
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	30
9 A.	Controls and Procedures	30
9 B.	Other Information	33
PART III		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	33
11.	Executive Compensation	33
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	34
13.		34

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	34
-----	--	----

PART IV

15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	35
	Signatures	61

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. (the “Company”) contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements can generally be identified by such words as “anticipate”, “believe”, “can”, “continue”, “could”, “estimate”, “expect”, “intend”, “may”, “plan”, “seek”, “should”, “will” words or other similar expressions and the negatives of such words. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this report that address activities, events or developments that the Company expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future, including such matters as future capital expenditures, dividends and acquisitions (including the amount and nature thereof), expansion and other development trends of the real estate industry, business strategies, expansion and growth of the Company’s operations and other such matters are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by the Company in light of its experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors it believes are appropriate. Such statements are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which cannot be predicted with accuracy and some of which might not even be anticipated. Future events and actual results, performance or achievements, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that might cause such differences, some of which could be material, include, but are not limited to economic and other market conditions; financing risks, such as the inability to obtain debt or equity financing on favorable terms; the level and volatility of interest rates; financial stability of tenants; the inability of the Company’s properties to generate revenue increases to offset expense increases; governmental approvals, actions and initiatives; environmental/safety requirements; risks of real estate acquisitions (including the failure of acquisitions to close); risks of disposition strategies; as well as other risks identified in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under Item 1A. Risk Factors and in the other reports filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

Item 1. Business.

Organization

The Company, a Maryland Corporation, is a real estate investment trust engaged in the acquisition, ownership and management of commercial real estate. The Company was organized as an unincorporated business trust (the “Trust”) under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on July 7, 1969. In 1997, the shareholders of the Trust approved a plan of reorganization of the Trust from a Massachusetts business trust to a corporation organized in Maryland. The plan of reorganization was effected by means of a merger of the Trust into the Company. As a result of the plan of reorganization, the Trust was merged with and into the Company, the separate existence of the Trust ceased, the Company was the surviving entity in the merger and each issued and outstanding common share of beneficial interest of the Trust was converted into one share of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, of the Company.

Tax Status – Qualification as a Real Estate Investment Trust

The Company elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) beginning with its taxable year ended October 31, 1970. Pursuant to such provisions of the Code, a REIT which distributes at least 90% of its real estate investment trust taxable income to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions regarding the nature of its income and assets will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. Although the Company believes that it qualifies as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes, no assurance can be given

that the Company will continue to qualify as a REIT.

Description of Business

The Company's sole business is the ownership of real estate investments, which consist principally of investments in income-producing properties, with primary emphasis on properties in the northeastern part of the United States with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York and Bergen County, New Jersey. The Company's core properties consist principally of neighborhood and community shopping centers and five office buildings. The remaining properties consist of two industrial properties. The Company seeks to identify desirable properties for acquisition, which it acquires in the normal course of business. In addition, the Company regularly reviews its portfolio and from time to time may sell certain of its properties.

The Company intends to continue to invest substantially all of its assets in income-producing real estate, with an emphasis on neighborhood and community shopping centers, although the Company will retain the flexibility to invest in other types of real property. While the Company is not limited to any geographic location, the Company's current strategy is to invest primarily in properties located in the northeastern region of the United States with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York, and Bergen County, New Jersey.

At October 31, 2007, the Company owned or had an equity interest in thirty-nine properties comprised of neighborhood and community shopping centers, office buildings and industrial facilities located in seven states throughout the United States, containing a total of 3.7 million square feet of gross leasable area. For a description of the Company's individual investments, see Item 2-Properties.

Investment and Operating Strategy

The Company's investment objective is to increase the cash flow and consequently the value of its properties. The Company seeks growth through (i) the strategic re-tenanting, renovation and expansion of its existing properties, and (ii) the selective acquisition of income-producing properties, primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers, in its targeted geographic region. The Company may also invest in other types of real estate in the targeted geographic region. For a discussion of key elements of the Company's growth strategies and operating policies, see Item 7 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The Company invests in properties where cost effective renovation and expansion programs, combined with effective leasing and operating strategies, can improve the properties' values and economic returns. Retail properties are typically adaptable for varied tenant layouts and can be reconfigured to accommodate new tenants or the changing space needs of existing tenants. In determining whether to proceed with a renovation or expansion, the Company considers both the cost of such expansion or renovation and the increase in rent attributable to such expansion or renovation. The Company believes that certain of its properties provide opportunities for future renovation and expansion.

When evaluating potential acquisitions, the Company considers such factors as (i) economic, demographic, and regulatory conditions in the property's local and regional market; (ii) the location, construction quality, and design of the property; (iii) the current and projected cash flow of the property and the potential to increase cash flow; (iv) the potential for capital appreciation of the property; (v) the terms of tenant leases, including the relationship between the property's current rents and market rents and the ability to increase rents upon lease rollover; (vi) the occupancy and demand by tenants for properties of a similar type in the market area; (vii) the potential to complete a strategic renovation, expansion or re-tenanting of the property; (viii) the property's current expense structure and the potential to increase operating margins; and (ix) competition from comparable properties in the market area.

The Company may from time to time enter into arrangements for the acquisition of properties with unaffiliated property owners through the issuance of units of limited partnership interests in entities that the Company controls. These units may be redeemable for cash or for shares of the Company's Common stock or Class A Common stock. The Company believes that this acquisition method may permit it to acquire properties from property owners wishing to enter into tax-deferred transactions. In August 2007, the Company purchased all of the remaining limited partner operating partnership units (OPU's) in a partnership that owned The Shoppes at Eastchester, in Eastchester, New York for \$2.8 million. Prior to the purchase the Company was the sole general partner in the partnership. As a result of the purchase the partnership terminated and the property is now directly owned by the Company.

Core Properties

The Company considers those properties that are directly managed by the Company, concentrated in the retail sector and located close to the Company's headquarters in Fairfield County, Connecticut, to be core properties. Of the thirty-nine properties in the Company's portfolio, thirty-seven properties are considered core properties consisting of thirty-two retail properties and five office buildings (including the Company's executive headquarters). At October 31, 2007, these properties contained in the aggregate 3.2 million square feet of gross leasable area ("GLA"). The Company's core properties collectively had 496 tenants providing a wide range of products and services. Tenants

include regional supermarkets, national and regional discount department stores, other local retailers and office tenants. At October 31, 2007, the core properties were 96% leased. The Company believes the core properties are adequately covered by property and liability insurance.

A substantial portion of the Company's operating lease income is derived from tenants under leases with terms greater than one year. Certain of the leases provide for the payment of fixed base rentals monthly in advance and for the payment of a pro-rata share of the real estate taxes, insurance, utilities and common area maintenance expenses incurred in operating the properties.

For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, no single tenant comprised more than 5.0% of the total annual base rents of the Company's core properties. The following table sets out a schedule of our ten largest tenants by percent of total annual base rent of our core properties as of October 31, 2007.

Tenant	Number of Stores	% of Total Annual Base Rent of Core Properties
Stop & Shop Supermarket	3	5.0%
Bed, Bath & Beyond	2	2.3%
ShopRite Supermarkets	3	2.1%
Staples, Inc.	3	2.0%
Toys "R" Us	2	1.8%
Christmas Tree Shops	1	1.4%
Big Y Foods Supermarkets	1	1.3%
Borders Books	1	1.3%
Marshall's	1	1.2%
The Sports Authority	1	1.1%
		19.5%

See Item 2 Properties for a complete list of the Company's core properties.

The Company's single largest real estate investment is its 90% general partnership interest in the Ridgeway Shopping Center ("Ridgeway"). Ridgeway is located in Stamford, Connecticut and was developed in the 1950's and redeveloped in the mid 1990's. The property contains approximately 369,000 square feet of gross leasable space. It is the dominant grocery anchored center and the largest non-mall shopping center located in the City of Stamford, Fairfield County, Connecticut. For the year ended October 31, 2007, Ridgeway revenues represented approximately 14% of the Company's total revenues and approximately 19% of the Company's total assets at October 31, 2007. As of October 31, 2007, Ridgeway was approximately 95% leased. The property's largest tenants (by base rent) are: The Stop & Shop Supermarket Company, a division of Ahold (20%), Bed, Bath and Beyond (15%), Marshall's Inc., a division of the TJX Companies (10%), and L.A. Fitness International, LLC (10%). No other tenant accounts for more than 10% of Ridgeway's annual base rents.

The following table sets out a schedule of the annual lease expirations for retail leases at Ridgeway as of October 31, 2007 for each of the next ten years and thereafter (assuming that no tenants exercise renewal or cancellation options and that there are no tenant bankruptcies or other tenant defaults):

Year of Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage	Minimum Base Rentals	Base Rent (%)
2008	3	5,945	\$178,000	1.7%

2009	2	4,646	184,000	1.4%
2010	3	36,415	654,000	10.6%
2011	3	47,140	1,003,000	13.7%
2012	5	23,917	823,000	6.9%
2013	9	96,547	2,897,000	28.0%
2014	3	5,758	197,000	1.7%
2015	3	7,635	249,000	2.2%
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	1	60,000	1,853,000	17.4%
Thereafter	3	62,407	1,449,000	16.4%
Total	35	350,410	\$9,487,000	100.00%

Non-Core Properties

In a prior year, the Board of Directors of the Company expanded and refined the strategic objectives of the Company to concentrate the real estate portfolio into one of primarily retail properties located in the Northeast and authorized the sale of the Company's non-core properties in the normal course of business over a period of several years given prevailing market conditions and the characteristics of each property.

Through this strategy, the Company seeks to update its property portfolio by disposing of properties which have limited growth potential and redeploying capital into properties in its target geographic region and product type where the Company's management skills may enhance property values. The Company may engage from time to time in like-kind property exchanges, which allow the Company to dispose of properties and redeploy proceeds in a tax efficient manner.

At October 31, 2007, the Company's non-core properties consisted of two industrial facilities with a total of 447,000 square feet of GLA. The non-core properties collectively had 2 tenants and were 100% leased at October 31, 2007.

The two industrial facilities consist of automobile and truck parts distribution warehouses. The facilities are net leased to DaimlerChrysler Corporation under long-term lease arrangements whereby the tenant pays all taxes, insurance, maintenance and other operating costs of the property during the term of the lease.

At October 31, 2007, the Company also held one fixed rate mortgage note receivable with a net book value of \$1,305,000.

Financing Strategy

The Company intends to continue to finance acquisitions and property improvements and/or expansions with the most advantageous sources of capital which it believes are available to the Company at the time, and which may include the sale of common or preferred equity through public offerings or private placements, the incurrence of additional indebtedness through secured or unsecured borrowings, investments in real estate joint ventures and the reinvestment of proceeds from the disposition of assets. The Company's financing strategy is to maintain a strong and flexible financial position by (i) maintaining a prudent level of leverage, and (ii) minimizing its exposure to interest rate risk represented by floating rate debt.

Matters Relating to the Real Estate Business

The Company is subject to certain business risks arising in connection with owning real estate which include, among others, (1) the bankruptcy or insolvency of, or a downturn in the business of, any of its major tenants, (2) the possibility that such tenants will not renew their leases as they expire, (3) vacated anchor space affecting an entire shopping center because of the loss of the departed anchor tenant's customer drawing power, (4) risks relating to leverage, including uncertainty that the Company will be able to refinance its indebtedness, and the risk of higher interest rates, (5) potential liability for unknown or future environmental matters, and (6) the risk of uninsured losses. Unfavorable economic conditions could also result in the inability of tenants in certain retail sectors to meet their lease obligations and otherwise could adversely affect the Company's ability to attract and retain desirable tenants. The Company believes that its shopping centers are relatively well positioned to withstand adverse economic conditions since they typically are anchored by grocery stores, drug stores and discount department stores that offer day-to-day necessities rather than luxury goods. For a discussion of various business risks, see Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Compliance with Governmental Regulations

The Company, like others in the commercial real estate industry, is subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations. Although potential liability could exist for unknown or future environmental matters, the Company believes that its tenants are operating in accordance with current laws and regulations.

Competition

The real estate investment business is highly competitive. The Company competes for real estate investments with investors of all types, including domestic and foreign corporations, financial institutions, other real estate investment trusts, real estate funds, individuals and privately owned companies. In addition, the Company's properties are subject to local competitors from the surrounding areas. The Company does not consider its real estate business to be seasonal in nature. The Company's shopping centers compete for tenants with other regional, community or neighborhood shopping centers in the respective areas where Company's retail properties are located. The Company's office buildings compete for tenants principally with office buildings throughout the respective areas in which they are

located. Leasing space to prospective tenants is generally determined on the basis of, among other things, rental rates, location, and physical quality of the property and availability of space.

Since the Company's industrial properties are net leased under long-term lease arrangements that are not due to expire in the next twelve months, the Company does not currently face any immediate competitive re-leasing pressures with respect to such properties.

Property Management

The Company actively manages and supervises the operations and leasing at all of its core properties. The Company's remaining non-core industrial properties are net leased to tenants under long-term lease arrangements, whereby the tenant is obligated to manage the property.

Employees

The Company's executive offices are located at 321 Railroad Avenue, Greenwich, Connecticut. It occupies approximately 8,000 square feet in a two-story office building owned by the Company. The Company has 33 employees and believes that its relationship with its employees is good.

Company Website

All of the Company's filings with the SEC, including the Company's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, are available free of charge at the Company's website at www.ubproperties.com as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. These filings can also be accessed through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Alternatively, the Company will provide paper copies of its filings (excluding exhibits) free of charge upon request to its shareholders or to anyone who requests them.

Code of Ethics and Whistleblower Policy

The Company's Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller. The Board also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all employees as well as a "Whistleblower Policy". The Company will make paper copies of these documents available free of charge upon request to the Corporate Secretary of the Company.

Financial Information About Industry Segments

The Company operates in one industry segment, ownership of commercial real estate properties, which are located principally in the northeastern United States. The Company does not distinguish its property operations for purposes of measuring performance. Accordingly, the Company believes it has a single reportable segment for disclosure purposes.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks related to our operations and properties

There are risks relating to investments in real estate and the value of our property interests depends on conditions beyond our control. Real property investments are illiquid and we may be unable to change our property portfolio on a timely basis in response to changing market or economic conditions. Yields from our properties depend on their net income and capital appreciation. Real property income and capital appreciation may be adversely affected by general and local economic conditions, neighborhood values, competitive overbuilding, zoning laws, weather, casualty losses and other factors beyond our control. Since substantially all of the Company's income is rental income from real property, the Company's income and cash flow could be adversely affected if a large tenant is, or a significant number of tenants are, unable to pay rent or if available space cannot be rented on favorable terms.

Operating and other expenses of our properties, particularly significant expenses such as interest, real estate taxes and maintenance costs, generally do not decrease when income decreases and, even if revenues increase, operating and other expenses may increase faster than revenues.

Our business strategy is mainly concentrated in one type of commercial property and in one geographic location. Our primary investment focus is neighborhood and community shopping centers located in the northeastern United States, with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester, Putnam Counties, New York and Bergen County, New Jersey. For the year ended October 31, 2007, approximately 75% of our total revenues were from properties located in these three counties. Various factors may adversely affect a shopping center's profitability. These factors include circumstances that affect consumer spending, such as general economic conditions, economic business cycles, rates of employment, income growth, interest rates and general consumer sentiment. These factors could have a more significant localized effect in the areas where our core properties are concentrated. Changes to the real estate market in our focus areas, such as an increase in retail space or a decrease in demand for shopping center properties, could adversely affect operating results. As a result, we may be exposed to greater risks than if our investment focus was based on more diversified types of properties and in more diversified geographic areas.

In addition, although we generally have invested between \$5 million and \$50 million per property, we have no limit on the size of our investments. The Company's single largest real estate investment is its 90% interest in the Ridgeway Shopping Center ("Ridgeway") located in Stamford, Connecticut. For the year ended October 31, 2007, Ridgeway revenues represented approximately 14% of the Company's total revenues and approximately 19% of the Company's total assets at October 31, 2007. The loss of this center or a material decrease in revenues from the center could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

We are dependent on anchor tenants in many of our retail properties. Most of our retail properties are dependent on a major or anchor tenant, a few of which lease space in more than one of our properties. If we are unable to renew any lease we have with the anchor tenant at one of these properties upon expiration of the current lease, or to re-lease the space to another anchor tenant of similar or better quality upon expiration of the current lease on similar or better terms, we could experience material adverse consequences such as higher vacancy, re-leasing on less favorable economic terms, reduced net income, reduced funds from operations and reduced property values. Vacated anchor space also could adversely affect an entire shopping center because of the loss of the departed anchor tenant's customer drawing power. Loss of customer drawing power also can occur through the exercise of the right that some anchors have to vacate and prevent re-tenanting by paying rent for the balance of the lease term. In addition, vacated anchor space could, under certain circumstances, permit other tenants to pay a reduced rent or terminate their leases at the affected property, which could adversely affect the future income from such property. There can be no assurance that our anchor tenants will renew their leases when they expire or will be willing to renew on similar economic

terms. See Item 1 – Business – Core Properties in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information on our ten largest tenants by percent of total annual base rent of our core properties.

Similarly, if one or more of our anchor tenants goes bankrupt, we could experience material adverse consequences like those described above. Under bankruptcy law, tenants have the right to reject their leases. In the event a tenant exercises this right, the landlord generally may file a claim for lost rent equal to the greater of either one year's rent (including tenant expense reimbursements) or 15% of the rent remaining under the balance of the lease term, not to exceed three years. Actual amounts to be received in satisfaction of those claims will be subject to the tenant's final plan of reorganization and the availability of funds to pay its creditors.

We face potential difficulties or delays in renewing leases or re-leasing space. We derive most of our income from rent received from our tenants. Although substantially all of our properties currently have favorable occupancy rates, we cannot predict that current tenants will renew their leases upon the expiration of their terms. In addition, we cannot predict if current tenants might attempt to terminate their leases prior to the scheduled expiration of such leases. If this occurs, we may not be able to promptly locate qualified replacement tenants and, as a result, we would lose a source of revenue while remaining responsible for the payment of our obligations. Even if tenants decide to renew their leases, the terms of renewals or new leases, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, may be less favorable than current lease terms.

In some cases, our tenant leases contain provisions giving the tenant the exclusive right to sell particular types of merchandise or provide specific types of services within the particular retail center, or limit the ability of other tenants within the center to sell that merchandise or provide those services. When re-leasing space after a vacancy by one of these tenants, such provisions may limit the number and types of prospective tenants for vacant space. The failure to re-lease space or to re-lease space on satisfactory terms could adversely affect our results from operations. Additionally, properties we may acquire in the future may not be fully leased and the cash flow from existing operations may be insufficient to pay the operating expenses and debt service associated with that property until the property is fully leased. As a result, our net income, funds from operations and ability to pay dividends to stockholders could be adversely affected.

Competition may adversely affect acquisition of properties and leasing operations. We compete for the purchase of commercial property with many entities, including other publicly traded REITs. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial resources than ours. In addition, our competitors may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments. If our competitors prevent us from buying the properties that we have targeted for acquisition, we may not be able to meet our property acquisition and development goals. We may incur costs on unsuccessful acquisitions that we will not be able to recover. The operating performance of our property acquisitions may also fall short of our expectations, which could adversely affect our financial performance.

If our competitors offer space at rental rates below our current rates or the market rates, we may lose current or potential tenants to other properties in our markets and we may need to reduce rental rates below our current rates in order to retain tenants upon expiration of their leases. As a result, our results of operations and cash flow may be adversely affected. In addition, our tenants face increasing competition from internet commerce, outlet malls, discount retailers, warehouse clubs and other sources which could hinder our ability to attract and retain tenants and/or cause us to reduce rents at our properties.

We face risks associated with the use of debt to fund acquisitions and developments, including refinancing risk. We have incurred, and expect to continue to incur, indebtedness to advance our objectives. Our charter does not limit the amount of indebtedness we may incur, although we may not exceed a debt to capitalization ratio (as such terms are defined in the respective Articles Supplementary) of 0.55 to 1.00 without the consent of our Series B and Series C preferred stockholders. Using debt to acquire properties, whether with recourse to us generally or only with respect to a particular property, creates an opportunity for increased net income, but at the same time creates risks. We use debt to fund investments only when we believe it will enhance our risk-adjusted returns. However, we cannot be sure that our use of leverage will prove to be beneficial. Moreover, when our debt is secured by our assets, we can lose those assets through foreclosure if we do not meet our debt service obligations. Incurring substantial debt may adversely affect our business and operating results by:

- requiring us to use a substantial portion of our cash flow to pay interest, which reduces the amount available for distributions, acquisitions and capital expenditures;
- making us more vulnerable to economic and industry downturns and reducing our flexibility in response to changing business and economic conditions; or
- requiring us to agree to less favorable terms, including higher interest rates, in order to incur additional debt; and otherwise limiting our ability to borrow for operations, capital or to finance acquisitions in the future.

Market interest rates could adversely affect the share price of our stock and increase the cost of refinancing debt. A variety of factors may influence the price of our common equities in the public trading markets. We believe that investors generally perceive REITs as yield-driven investments and compare the annual yield from dividends by REITs with yields on various other types of financial instruments. An increase in market interest rates may lead purchasers of stock to seek a higher annual dividend rate from other investments, which could adversely affect the

market price of the shares. In addition, we are subject to the risk that we will not be able to refinance existing indebtedness on our properties. We anticipate that a portion of the principal of our debt will not be repaid prior to maturity. Therefore, we likely will need to refinance at least a portion of our outstanding debt as it matures. A change in interest rates may increase the risk that we will not be able to refinance existing debt or that the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of the existing debt.

If principal payments due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or repaid with proceeds from other sources, such as new equity capital or sales of properties, our cash flow will not be sufficient to repay all maturing debt in years when significant "balloon" payments come due. As a result, our ability to retain properties or pay dividends to stockholders could be adversely affected and we may be forced to dispose of properties on unfavorable terms, which could adversely affect our business and net income.

Construction and renovation risks could adversely affect our profitability. We currently are renovating some of our properties and may in the future renovate other properties, including tenant improvements required under leases. Our renovation and related construction activities may expose us to certain risks. We may incur renovation costs for a property which exceed our original estimates due to increased costs for materials or labor or other costs that are unexpected. We also may be unable to complete renovation of a property on schedule, which could result in increased debt service expense or construction costs. Additionally, some tenants may have the right to terminate their leases if a renovation project is not completed on time. The time frame required to recoup our renovation and construction costs and to realize a return on such costs can often be significant.

We are dependent on key personnel. We depend on the services of our existing senior management to carry out our business and investment strategies. We do not have employment agreements with any of our existing senior management. As we expand, we will continue to need to recruit and retain qualified additional senior management. The loss of the services of any of our key management personnel or our inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Uninsured and underinsured losses may affect the value of, or return from, our property interests. We maintain comprehensive insurance on our properties, and the properties securing our loans, in amounts which we believe are sufficient to permit replacement of the properties in the event of a total loss, subject to applicable deductibles. There are certain types of losses, such as losses resulting from wars, terrorism, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes or other acts of God that may be uninsurable or not economically insurable. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose capital invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from a property, while remaining obligated for any mortgage indebtedness or other financial obligations related to the property. In addition, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might make it impracticable for us to use insurance proceeds to replace a damaged or destroyed property. If any of these or similar events occurs, it may reduce our return from an affected property and the value of our investment.

Properties with environmental problems may create liabilities for us. Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations, as an owner of real property, we may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances at, on, in or under our properties, as well as certain other potential costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances (including government fines and penalties and damages for injuries to persons and adjacent property). These laws may impose liability without regard to whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence or disposal of those substances. This liability may be imposed on us in connection with the activities of an operator of, or tenant at, the property. The cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or personal or property damages and our liability therefore could exceed the value of the property and/or our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of those substances, or the failure to properly dispose of or remove those substances, may adversely affect our ability to sell or rent that property or to borrow using that property as collateral, which, in turn, would reduce our revenues and ability to make distributions.

A property can be adversely affected either through direct physical contamination or as the result of hazardous or toxic substances or other contaminants that have or may have emanated from other properties. Although our tenants are primarily responsible for any environmental damages and claims related to the leased premises, in the event of the bankruptcy or inability of any of our tenants to satisfy any obligations with respect to the property leased to that tenant, we may be required to satisfy such obligations. In addition, we may be held directly liable for any such damages or claims irrespective of the provisions of any lease.

Prior to the acquisition of any property and from time to time thereafter, we obtain Phase I environmental reports and, when warranted, Phase II environmental reports concerning the Company's properties. Based on these reports and on our ongoing review of our properties, as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, management of the Company is not aware of any environmental condition with respect to any of our property interests that we believe would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. There can be no assurance, however, that (a) the discovery of environmental conditions that were previously unknown, (b) changes in law, (c) the conduct of tenants or (d) activities relating to properties in the vicinity of the Company's properties, will not expose the Company to material liability in the future. Changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise adversely affect the operations of our tenants, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to our Organization and Structure

We will be taxed as a regular corporation if we fail to maintain our REIT status. Since our founding in 1969, we have operated, and intend to continue to operate, in a manner that enables us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. However, the federal income tax laws governing REITs are complex. The determination that we qualify as a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be completely within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income must come from specific passive sources, such as rent, that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. In addition, to qualify as a REIT, we cannot own specified amounts of debt and equity securities of some issuers. We also are required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) each year. Our continued qualification as a REIT depends on our satisfaction of the asset, income, organizational, distribution and stockholder ownership requirements of the Internal Revenue Code on a continuing basis. At any time, new laws, interpretations or court decision may change the federal tax laws or the federal tax consequences of qualification as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and do not qualify for certain Internal Revenue Code relief provisions, we will be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. In addition, distributions to stockholders would not be deductible in computing our taxable income. Corporate tax liability would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to stockholders which, in turn, would reduce the market price of our stock. Unless entitled to relief under certain Internal Revenue Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT.

We will pay federal taxes if we do not distribute 100% of our taxable income. To the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income. In addition, we will incur a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions in any year are less than the sum of:

- 85% of our ordinary income for that year;
- 95% of our capital gain net income for that year; and
- 100% of our undistributed taxable income from prior years.

We have paid out, and intend to continue to pay out, our income to our stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax. Differences in timing between the recognition of income and the related cash receipts or the effect of required debt amortization payments could require us to borrow money or sell assets to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year.

Gain on disposition of assets deemed held for sale in the ordinary course is subject to 100% tax. If we sell any of our assets, the IRS may determine that the sale is a disposition of an asset held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business. Gain from this kind of sale generally will be subject to a 100% tax. Whether an asset is held "primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business" depends on the particular facts and circumstances of the sale. Although we will attempt to comply with the terms of safe-harbor provisions in the Internal Revenue Code prescribing when asset sales will not be so characterized, we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so.

Our ownership limitation may restrict business combination opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals during the last half of each taxable year. To preserve our REIT qualification, our charter generally prohibits any person from owning shares of any class with a value of more than 7.5% of the value of all of our outstanding capital stock and provides that:

- a transfer that violates the limitation is void;
- shares transferred to a stockholder in excess of the ownership limitation are automatically converted, by the terms of our charter, into shares of "Excess Stock;"
- a purported transferee gets no rights to the shares that violate the limitation except the right to designate a transferee of the Excess Stock held in trust; and
- the Excess Stock will be held by us as trustee of a trust for the exclusive benefit of future transferees to whom the shares of capital stock ultimately will be transferred without violating the ownership limitation.

We may also redeem Excess Stock at a price which may be less than the price paid by a stockholder. Pursuant to authority under our charter, our board of directors has determined that the ownership limitation does not apply to Mr. Charles J. Urstadt, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, who beneficially owns 39.0% of our outstanding common stock and 1.5% of our outstanding Class A common stock as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such holdings represent approximately 35.0% of our outstanding voting interests. In addition, our directors and executive officers, as a group, hold approximately 53.8% of our outstanding voting interests through their beneficial ownership of our common stock and Class A common stock. The ownership limitation may discourage a takeover or other transaction that our stockholders believe to be desirable.

Certain provisions in our charter and bylaws and Maryland law may prevent or delay a change of control or limit our stockholders from receiving a premium for their shares. Among the provisions contained in our charter and bylaws

and Maryland law are the following:

- Our board of directors is divided into three classes, with directors in each class elected for three-year staggered terms.
- Our directors may be removed only for cause upon the vote of the holders of two-thirds of the voting power of our common equity securities.
- Our stockholders may call a special meeting of stockholders only if the holders of a majority of the voting power of our common equity securities request such a meeting in writing.
- Any consolidation, merger, share exchange or transfer of all or substantially all of our assets must be approved by (a) a majority of our directors who are currently in office or who are approved or recommended by a majority of our directors who are currently in office (the "Continuing Directors") and (b) the holders of two-thirds of the voting power of our common equity securities.
- Certain provisions of our charter may only be amended by (a) a vote of a majority of our Continuing Directors and (b) the holders of two-thirds of the voting power of our common equity securities. These provisions relate to the election, classification and removal of directors, the ownership limit and the stockholder vote required for certain business combination transactions.
- The number of directors may be increased or decreased by a vote of our board of directors.

In addition, we are subject to various provisions of Maryland law that impose restrictions and require affected persons to follow specified procedures with respect to certain takeover offers and business combinations, including combinations with persons who own 10% or more of our outstanding shares. These provisions of Maryland law could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that our stockholders might deem to be in their best interests. Furthermore, shares acquired in a control share acquisition have no voting rights, except to the extent approved by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of all votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares. Under Maryland law, "control shares" are those which, when aggregated with any other shares held by the acquiror, allow the acquiror to exercise voting power within specified ranges. The control share provisions of Maryland law also could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control which our stockholders might deem to be in their best interests. As permitted by Maryland law, our charter and bylaws provide that the "control shares" and "business combinations" provisions of Maryland law described above will not apply to acquisitions of those shares by Mr. Charles J. Urstadt or to transactions between the Company and Mr. Urstadt or any of his affiliates. Consequently, unless such exemptions are amended or repealed, we may in the future enter into business combinations or other transactions with Mr. Urstadt or any of his affiliates without complying with the requirements of Maryland anti-takeover laws. In view of the common equity securities controlled by Mr. Charles J. Urstadt, Mr. Urstadt may control a sufficient percentage of the voting power of our common equity securities to effectively block approval of any proposal which requires a vote of our stockholders.

Our stockholder rights plan could deter a change of control. We have adopted a stockholder rights plan. This plan may deter a person or a group from acquiring more than 10% of the combined voting power of our outstanding shares of common stock and Class A common stock because, after (i) the person or group acquires more than 10% of the combined voting power of our outstanding common stock and Class A common stock, or (ii) the commencement of a tender offer or exchange offer by any person (other than us, any one of our wholly owned subsidiaries or any of our employee benefit plans, or certain exempt persons), if, upon consummation of the tender offer or exchange offer, the person or group would beneficially own 30% or more of the combined voting power of our outstanding shares of common stock and Class A common stock, all other stockholders will have the right to purchase securities from us at a price that is less than their fair market value. This would substantially reduce the value of the stock owned by the acquiring person. Our board of directors can prevent the plan from operating by approving the transaction and redeeming the rights. This gives our board of directors significant power to approve or disapprove of the efforts of a person or group to acquire a large interest in us. The rights plan exempts acquisitions of common stock and Class A common stock by Mr. Charles J. Urstadt, members of his family and certain of his affiliates.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not Applicable

14

Item 2. Properties.

Core Properties

The following table sets forth information concerning each core property at October 31, 2007. Except as otherwise noted, all core properties are 100% owned by the Company.

	Year Renovated	Year Completed	Year Acquired	Gross Leasable Sq Feet	Acres	Num o Tena
	1997	1950	2002	369,000	13.6	3
	1996	1970	1970	326,000	26.0	2
	2001	1989	1993	316,000	29.2	2
	1988	1978	2005	269,000	29.0	1
	1997	1973	2005	200,000	16.4	9
	-	1989	1995	194,000	19.3	2
	1994	1958	2003	185,000	3.5	1
	2000	1978	1998	137,000	11.4	2
	-	2002	2003	135,000	26.0	2
	1999	1983	1995	129,000	19.0	1
	1992	1959	1992	102,000	9.0	4
	1994	1975	1979	102,000	14.3	7
	1992	1955	1998	95,000	9.5	1
	-	1981	2007	92,000	7.0	1
	-	1991	1999	78,000	10.8	3
	-	1990	2003	78,000	10.0	1
	2002	1978	1997	70,000	4.0	1
	1999	1930	1998	51,000	2.1	3
	-	Various	2004	40,000	1.0	2
	-	1986	2003	39,000	3.0	1

interim periods beginning after December 15, 2019, and early adoption is
interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. With certain exceptions,
adjustments will be through a cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained
equity of the first reporting period in which the guidance is adopted. The Company is
evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position

ARE

on share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders
number of shares of common stock outstanding, net of any treasury shares, during
gs per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common
ted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, net of any treasury
of the potential dilutive effect of common stock equivalents, based upon the
ng an average market price for the period.

Diluted earnings per share are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		2015	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
	(Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Data)			
	\$777	\$777	\$47	\$47
outstanding	7,330,386	7,330,386	8,195,086	8,195,086
equivalents	-	200,986	-	165,431
the shares used in earnings	7,330,386	7,531,372	8,195,086	8,360,517
and diluted	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.01	\$0.01

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016		2015	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
	(Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Data)			
	\$1,738	\$1,738	\$2,219	\$2,219
outstanding	7,442,956	7,442,956	8,539,207	8,539,207
equivalents	-	210,125	-	158,303
the shares used in earnings	7,442,956	7,653,081	8,539,207	8,697,510
and diluted	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.26	\$0.26

ons outstanding as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 had exercise prices below the then
price for the Company's common stock and were considered dilutive for the
tion.

ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

resents the changes in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income by

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
	Unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities (a)	Unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities (a)
ome (loss) before reclassification	\$ 783	\$ 101
accumulated other comprehensive	255	(756)
	(106)	-
e income (loss)	149	(756)
	\$ 932	\$ (655)

tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
	Unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities (a)	Unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities (a)
ome before reclassification	\$ 18	\$ (953)
accumulated other comprehensive	\$ 1,020	-
	(106)	298
e income	914	298
	\$ 932	\$ (655)

tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.

	Three Months Ended		
	June 30,	2015	
	2016	2015	
	Amount	Amount	
	Reclassified	Reclassified	
	from	from	Affected Line Item in
	Accumulated	Accumulated	the Statement Where
	Other	Other	Net Income is
	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Net Income is
	Income	Income (a)	Presented
	(a)		
Comprehensive income			
Available for sale			
	\$ 161	\$ -	Gain on sale of securities available for sale
	(55)	-	Income taxes
	\$ 106	\$ -	Net of tax

es indicate debits to net income

	Nine Months Ended June		
	30,	2015	
	2016	2015	
	Amount	Amount	
	Reclassified	Reclassified	
	from	from	Affected Line Item in
	Accumulated	Accumulated	the Statement Where
	Other	Other	Net Income is
	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Net Income is
	Income	Income (a)	Presented
	(a)		
Comprehensive income			
Available for sale			
	\$ 161	\$ -	Gain on sale of securities available for sale
	(55)	-	Income taxes
	\$ 106	\$ -	Net of tax

es indicate debits to net income

INVESTMENT AND MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

Fair value of investment and mortgage-backed securities, with gross unrealized gains and losses follows:

	June 30, 2016			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Available for sale:				
Agency obligations	\$25,985	\$ 63	\$ -	\$26,048
Agency obligations - U.S. government agencies	90,489	1,124	(81)	91,532
Agency obligations - non-U.S. government agencies	20,433	621	-	21,054
Agency obligations available for sale	136,907	1,808	(81)	138,634
Agency obligations held to maturity	6	43	-	49
Agency obligations available for sale	\$136,913	\$ 1,851	\$ (81)	\$138,683
Agency obligations held to maturity:				
Agency obligations	\$5,644	\$ 441	\$ -	\$6,085
Agency obligations - U.S. government agencies	9,844	864	-	10,708
Agency obligations held to maturity	\$15,488	\$ 1,305	\$ -	\$16,793

September 30, 2015				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
(Dollars in Thousands)				
Available for sale:				
Agency obligations	\$18,988	\$ -	\$ (276)) \$18,712
Agency obligations - U.S. government agencies	58,462	475	(225)) 58,712
Available for sale	77,450	475	(501)) 77,424
	6	53	-	59
Available for sale	\$77,456	\$ 528	\$ (501)) \$77,483
Available for sale:				
Agency obligations	\$54,929	\$ 462	\$ (849)) \$54,542
Agency obligations - U.S. government agencies	11,455	880	-	12,335
Available for sale	\$66,384	\$ 1,342	\$ (849)) \$66,877

Discusses the gross unrealized losses and related fair values of the Company's investment in each investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in a loss position at June 30, 2016:

	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
(Dollars in Thousands)						
Available for sale:						
Agency obligations - U.S. government	\$(3)	\$1,113	\$(78)) \$11,535	\$(81)) \$12,648
	\$(3)	\$1,113	\$(78)) \$11,535	\$(81)) \$12,648

the gross unrealized losses and related fair values of the Company's investment in each investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in a loss position as of September 30, 2015:

	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Agency obligations	\$(85)	\$4,910	\$(191)	\$ 13,802	\$(276)	\$18,712
Agency securities - agency	(138)	22,173	(87)	9,206	(225)	31,379
Agency securities for sale	(223)	27,083	(278)	23,008	(501)	50,091
Agency securities:						
Agency obligations	-	-	(849)	42,603	(849)	42,603
Agency securities	-	-	(849)	42,603	(849)	42,603
	\$(223)	\$27,083	\$(1,127)	\$ 65,611	\$(1,350)	\$92,694

securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least once each quarter, or when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. The evaluation is based on the creditworthiness of the issuers/guarantors, the underlying collateral, if applicable, and the performance of the securities. Management also evaluates other facts and circumstances that are indicative of an OTTI condition. This includes, but is not limited to, an issuer's financial security, the length of time and extent to which the fair value of the security has declined below its amortized cost basis, and the near-term prospects of the issuer.

Management determines whether a credit loss exists with respect to a security by considering whether (1) the issuer is expected to sell the security, (2) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before maturity, or (3) it does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Management bifurcates the OTTI impact on impaired securities where impairment in value is primarily due to an other-than-temporary impairment between the component representing credit loss and the component representing other factors. The portion of the fair value decline attributable to credit loss is recognized through a charge to earnings. The credit component is determined by comparing the cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the rate in effect before recognizing the impairment, to the amortized cost basis of the debt security. The Company uses the cash flows expected from the security, which includes assumptions about interest rates, timing and severity of

potential recoveries, the cash flow distribution from the security and other factors, are equal to the effective yield of the security. The difference between the present value of cash flows and the amortized book value is considered a credit loss. The fair value of the security is determined by discounting the expected cash flows using the same expected cash flows; the discount rate is a rate the Company determines based on market and other sources as appropriate for the particular security. The difference between the fair value and the security's remaining amortized cost is recognized in other comprehensive income.

the months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company did not record any credit losses on debt securities through earnings.

Mortgage-Backed Securities - At June 30, 2016, there were three securities in a gross unrealized gain for less than 12 months while there were seven securities in a gross unrealized loss for more than 12 months as of each date. These securities represent asset-backed issues that are issued or guaranteed by a government sponsored agency or carry the full faith and credit of the United States Treasury and are currently rated AAA by at least one bond credit rating agency. As a result, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30,

The fair value of debt securities, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected cash flows are based on contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay without incurring any significant call or prepayment penalties.

The following table excludes mortgage-backed securities because the contractual maturities of such securities differ significantly from the contractual maturities due to significant prepayments.

		June 30, 2016			
		Held to Maturity		Available for Sale	
		Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
		(Dollars in Thousands)			
Less than 1	years	\$1,999	\$2,215	\$1,056	\$1,077
1 to 5	years	645	645	21,377	21,977
5 to 10	years	3,000	3,225	23,985	24,048
		\$5,644	\$6,085	\$46,418	\$47,102

During the month periods ended June 30, 2016, the Company sold five mortgage-backed securities with an aggregate amortized cost of \$14.2 million and recognized an aggregate gain of \$153,000. During the same periods the Company had an aggregate of \$11.0 million of agency mortgage-backed securities with an aggregate fair value higher than the aggregated amortized cost and recorded a gain of \$8,000. No losses were recorded during either of the 2015 periods.

of the following:

	June 30, 2016	September 30, 2015
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
ntial	\$242,616	\$ 259,163
	6,422	6,249
	68,573	25,799
velopment	34,020	38,953
	754	392
	352,385	330,556
ans-in-process	(8,443)	(17,097)
	1,786	2,104
	(3,269)	(2,930)
	\$342,459	\$ 312,633

summarizes by loan segment the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the loans fully evaluated for impairment by loan segment at June 30, 2016:

One- to-four family residential	Multi-family residential	Commercial real estate	Construction and land development	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
(Dollars in Thousands)						
\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-
1,445	62	664	777	9	312	3,269
\$1,445	\$ 62	\$ 664	\$ 777	\$ 9	\$ 312	\$3,269
\$5,569	\$ 339	\$ 3,496	\$ 10,113	\$ -		\$19,517
237,047	6,083	65,077	23,907	754		332,868

\$242,616 \$ 6,422 \$ 68,573 \$ 34,020 \$ 754 \$352,385

summarizes by loan segment the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the loans fully evaluated for impairment by loan segment at September 30, 2015:

	One- to-four family residential	Multi-family residential	Commercial real estate	Construction and land development	Commercial business	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
(dollars in Thousands)								
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
635	66	231	724	-	5	269	2,930	
635	\$ 66	\$ 231	\$ 724	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ 269	\$ 2,930	
206	\$ -	\$ 3,768	\$ 8,796	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 16,770	
54,957	6,249	22,031	30,157	-	392		313,786	
59,163	\$ 6,249	\$ 25,799	\$ 38,953	\$ -	\$ 392		\$ 330,556	

presented at a level that allows management to monitor both risk and performance. For potential impairment all construction loans, commercial real estate and consumer loans and all loans more than 90 days delinquent as to principal and/or interest. Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the borrower will not collect in full the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the original terms of the loan agreement.

When management determines that a loan is impaired, the determination of whether a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses is generally measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the fair value of the loan using one of the following three methods: (a) the present value of the expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (b) the loan's observable market price; or (c) the fair value of collateral less selling costs. Management primarily utilizes the fair value of collateral method as the most expedient alternative. On collateral method evaluations, any portion of the loan loss allowance is charged-off against the loan loss allowance.

nts impaired loans by class as of June 30, 2016, segregated by those for which a
quired and those for which a specific allowance was not required.

	Impaired Loans with Specific Allowance (Dollars in Thousands)	Impaired Loans with No Specific Allowance	Total Impaired Loans	Unpaid Principal Balance
	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment
Commercial	\$- \$ -	\$ 5,569	\$ 5,569	\$ 5,914
	- -	339	339	339
	- -	3,496	3,496	3,496
Development	- -	10,113	10,113	10,113
	\$- \$ -	\$ 19,517	\$ 19,517	\$ 19,862

Impaired loans by class as of September 30, 2015, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not required.

	Impaired Loans with Specific Allowance (Dollars in Thousands)	Impaired Loans with No Specific Allowance	Total Impaired Loans	Unpaid Principal Balance
	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment
Commercial	\$- \$ -	\$ 4,206	\$ 4,206	\$ 4,550
	- -	3,768	3,768	3,768
Development	- -	8,796	8,796	8,796
	\$- \$ -	\$ 16,770	\$ 16,770	\$ 17,114

resents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and related interest income as indicated:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016			
	Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized on Accrual Basis	Income Recognized on Cash Basis
(Dollars in Thousands)			
Commercial	\$ 5,052	\$ 14	\$ 30
	341	6	-
	3,595	35	-
Development	9,808	-	-
	\$ 18,796	\$ 55	\$ 30

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016			
	Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized on Accrual Basis	Income Recognized on Cash Basis
(Dollars in Thousands)			
Commercial	\$ 4,978	\$ 89	\$ 78
	346	18	-
	3,667	74	12
Development	9,432	-	62
	\$ 18,423	\$ 181	\$ 152

Three Months Ended June 30, 2015			
	Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized on Accrual Basis	Income Recognized on Cash Basis
(Dollars in Thousands)			
Commercial	\$ 9,222	\$ 115	\$ 42
	357	6	-
	3,832	54	24
Development	7,977	109	65
	\$ 21,388	\$ 284	\$ 131

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2015			
	Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized on Accrual Basis	Income Recognized on Cash Basis
(Dollars in Thousands)			
Commercial	\$ 9,865	\$ 378	\$ 119
	361	19	-
	3,801	157	58
Development	7,728	318	129
	\$ 21,755	\$ 872	\$ 306

Our policy require that the Company utilize an internal asset classification system to identify problem and potential problem assets. The Company has incorporated an internal system, consistent with Federal banking regulations, as a part of its credit monitoring process, which currently classifies problem and potential problem assets as “special mention”, “substandard” or “loss” assets. An asset is considered “substandard” if it is inadequately protected by the net paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. “Substandard” is characterized by the “distinct possibility” that the insured institution will sustain “some loss” if not corrected. Assets classified as “doubtful” have all of the weaknesses inherent in “substandard” with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make “collection or liquidation on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, “highly questionable and uncertain.” Assets classified as “loss” are those considered “uncollectible” and of such little value that their liquidation without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted. Assets which do not meet the insured institution to sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the above categories but possess weaknesses are required to be designated “special mention.”

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio in which a formal risk weighting system is used to determine the aggregate “Pass” and the criticized category of “special mention”, and the classified categories of “substandard”, “doubtful” and “loss” within the Company’s risk rating system as applied to the loan portfolio. The Company had no loans classified as “doubtful” or “loss” at either of the dates presented.

June 30, 2016				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Total Loans
(Dollars in Thousands)				
Commercial	\$2,655	\$ 1,693	\$ 1,221	\$5,569
	6,084	-	338	6,422
	64,884	949	2,740	68,573
Development	23,907	-	10,113	34,020
	\$97,530	\$ 2,642	\$ 14,412	\$114,584

		September 30, 2015			
		Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Total Loans
		(Dollars in Thousands)			
Residential		\$ 1,348	\$ 2,107	\$ 751	\$ 4,206
		5,898	351	-	6,249
		22,005	965	2,829	25,799
Development		30,157	-	8,796	38,953
		\$ 59,408	\$ 3,423	\$ 12,376	\$ 75,207

The classification of one-to-four family residential and consumer loans primarily by the company becomes aware that adverse or distressed conditions exist that may affect the performance of a family residential loan, the loan is downgraded following the above definitions of substandard, doubtful and loss.

The table presents loans in which a formal risk rating system is not utilized, but loans are categorized as performing and non-performing based primarily on delinquency status. The loans that would be included in the table are those loans greater than 90 days past due as of the reporting date that do not have a designated risk rating.

		June 30, 2016		
		Non- Performing	Performing	Total Loans
		(Dollars in Thousands)		
Residential		\$ 237,047	\$ -	\$ 237,047
		754	-	754
		\$ 237,801	\$ -	\$ 237,801

		September 30, 2015		
		Non- Performing	Performing	Total Loans
		(Dollars in Thousands)		
Residential		\$ 254,957	\$ -	\$ 254,957
		392	-	392
		\$ 255,349	\$ -	\$ 255,349

The company monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the loans determined by the length of time a recorded payment is due or overdue, as the case may be. The following table presents the loan categories of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging of performing and delinquent loans and nonaccrual loans:

June 30, 2016

	30-89 Days	90 Days +	90 Days+ Past Due and Accruing	Total Past Due and Accruing	Total Loans	Non- Accrual
Current	Past Due	Past Due				
(Dollars in Thousands)						
\$235,673	\$ 2,310	\$ 3,233	\$ -	\$ 2,310	\$242,616	\$4,633
6,422	-	-	-	-	6,422	-
63,967	3,260	1,346	-	3,260	68,573	1,346
23,907	-	10,113	-	-	34,020	10,113
754	-	-	-	-	754	-
\$330,723	\$ 5,570	\$ 14,692	\$ -	\$ 5,570	\$352,385	\$16,092

September 30, 2015

	30-89 Days	90 Days +	90 Days+ Past Due and Accruing	Total Past Due and Accruing	Total Loans	Non- Accrual
Current	Past Due	Past Due				
(Dollars in Thousands)						
\$255,669	\$ 1,462	\$ 2,032	\$ -	\$ 1,462	\$259,163	\$3,547
6,249	-	-	-	-	6,249	-
25,114	504	181	-	504	25,799	1,589
38,953	-	-	-	-	38,953	8,796
392	-	-	-	-	392	-
\$326,377	\$ 1,966	\$ 2,213	\$ -	\$ 1,966	\$330,556	\$13,932

Losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. The allowance at a level believed to cover all known and inherent losses in the portfolio is reasonable to estimate at each reporting date. Management reviews the allowance no less than quarterly in order to identify these inherent losses and to assess the adequacy for the loan portfolio in view of these inherent losses. For each primary type of loss established reflecting an estimate of the known and inherent losses in such loan type, management is using both a quantitative analysis as well as consideration of qualitative factors. This includes, among other things, an analysis of delinquency trends, non-performing loans, charge-offs and recoveries, prior loss experience, total loans outstanding, the nature of loans, the type, size and geographic concentration of the Company's loans, the value of loans, the borrowers' ability to repay and repayment performance, the number of

management oversight, local economic conditions and industry experience.

ans entail significant additional credit risks compared to owner-occupied
 ntial mortgage loans, as they generally involve large loan balances concentrated
 groups of related borrowers. In addition, the payment experience on loans secured
 erties typically depends on the successful operation of the related real estate
 eration of the borrower who is, in some cases, also the primary occupant, and
 reater extent to the effects of adverse conditions in the real estate market and in
 Commercial business loans typically involve a higher risk of default than
 aration since their repayment is generally dependent on the successful operation
 s and the sufficiency of collateral, if any. Land acquisition, development and
 ses the Company to greater credit risk than permanent mortgage financing. The
 tion, development and construction loans depends upon the sale of the property to
 ility of permanent financing upon completion of all improvements. These events
 ale of the properties, potentially reducing both the borrowers' ability to make
 as reducing the value of the collateral property. Such lending is additionally
 he estimate of construction cost proves to be inaccurate, the Company potentially
 nce additional funds to allow completion of the project. In addition, if the
 o be inaccurate, the Company may be confronted with a project, when completed,
 loan amount. If the Company is forced to foreclose on a project prior to
 surance that the Company would be able to recover the entire unpaid portion of

summarizes the primary segments of the allowance for loan losses. Activity in the
 the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016

	One- four-family residential	Multi- family residential	Commercial real estate	Construction and land development	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
(Dollars in Thousands)							
\$1,511	\$ 43	\$ 428	\$ 773	\$ 7	\$ 276	\$3,038	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	81	-	-	81	
(66)	19	236	(77)	2	36	150	
\$1,445	\$ 62	\$ 664	\$ 777	\$ 9	\$ 312	\$3,269	

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016

	One- four-family residential	Multi- family residential	Commercial real estate	Construction and land development	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
(Dollars in Thousands)							

per	\$1,635	\$ 66	\$ 231	\$ 724	\$ 5	\$ 269	\$2,930
	(11)	-	-	-	-	-	(11)
	93	-	32	-	-	-	125
	(272)	(4)	401	53	4	43	225
	\$1,445	\$ 62	\$ 664	\$ 777	\$ 9	\$ 312	\$3,269

Three Months Ended June 30, 2015

One- to four-family residential	Multi-family residential	Commercial real estate	Construction and land development	Commercial business	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
\$1,545	\$ 51	\$ 207	\$ 545	\$ -	\$ 4	\$ 236	\$2,588
(126)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(126)
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
219	9	8	(38)	-	-	12	210
\$1,639	\$ 60	\$ 215	\$ 507	\$ -	\$ 4	\$ 248	\$2,673

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2015

One- to four-family residential	Multi-family residential	Commercial real estate	Construction and land development	Commercial business	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
\$1,663	\$ 67	\$ 122	\$ 323	\$ 15	\$ 4	\$ 231	\$2,425
(338)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(338)
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
313	(7)	93	184	(15)	-	17	585
\$1,639	\$ 60	\$ 215	\$ 507	\$ -	\$ 4	\$ 248	\$2,673

provision for loan losses in the amount of \$150,000 and \$225,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016, compared to the \$210,000 provision for the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2015.

The Company had ten loans aggregating \$7.8 million that were classified as troubled debt as of June 30, 2016. Three of such loans aggregating \$5.6 million as of June 30, 2016 were classified as troubled debt due to not achieving a sufficiently long payment history, under the restructured terms of the loans to performing (accrual) status. Two of these three loans totaling \$4.2 million (the Company's largest lending relationship) are over 90 days past due resulting from the lack of funding by the Company due to the re-negotiation of the projects' cash flows. The remaining \$1.4 million related to the largest lending relationship are more than ninety days

The Company restructured any debt during the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2016

Following major classifications:

	June 30, 2016		September 30, 2015		
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
(Dollars in Thousands)					
Accounts	\$56,115	14.5	% \$60,736	16.6	%
Checking accounts	34,909	9.0	35,649	9.8	
Money market accounts	2,832	0.7	2,293	0.6	
Time deposits	70,767	18.3	70,355	19.3	
Time deposits - 6 months or less	81,185	21.0	49,857	13.7	
Time deposits - more than six months	140,832	36.5	146,184	40.0	
	\$386,640	100.0	% \$365,074	100.0	%

and over totaled \$15.4 million as of June 30, 2016 and \$32.7 million as of

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK

Agreement with the FHLB of Pittsburgh, advances are secured by qualifying first

Maturity Date	Amount	Coupon	Call Date
(Dollars in Thousands)			
5-Jul-16	\$ 10,000	0.57	% Not Applicable
13-Jul-16	\$ 10,000	0.54	% Not Applicable
17-Nov-17	10,000	1.20	% Not Applicable
1-Dec-17	3,009	1.16	% Not Applicable
4-Dec-17	2,000	1.15	% Not Applicable
16-Nov-18	7,500	1.40	% Not Applicable
3-Dec-18	3,000	1.54	% Not Applicable
18-Nov-19	4,718	1.53	% Not Applicable
	\$ 50,227	1.02	% (a)

pon rate.

8. DERIVATIVES

Company entered into an interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$10.0 million, the benchmark index (LIBOR) in rolling 1-month FHLB advances with a receive period of five years. The swap had a fair value loss position of \$170,000 at June

Company entered into an interest rate swap with a notional amount to \$10.0 million. the benchmark index (LIBOR) in rolling 1-month FHLB advances with a receive period of five years. The swap had a fair value loss position of \$181,000 at June

are carried at fair value in accordance with FASB ASC 815 "Derivatives and

9. INCOME TAXES

significant portions of deferred income taxes are as follows:

	June 30, 2016	September 30, 2015
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
	\$1,276	\$ 1,185
	152	86
	19	119
	506	534
	19	19
	106	126
Interest rate swaps	119	-
	499	530
	2,696	2,599
	(506)	(534)
Net of valuation allowance	2,190	2,065

	505	365
able for sale securities	602	10
	607	715
es	1,714	1,090
	\$476	\$ 975

a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets when management believes that the assets is not likely to be fully realized through a carry back to taxable income in sales of existing taxable temporary differences, and/or to a lesser extent, future reduction generated by the redemption of the shares of a mutual fund held by the impairment charge on the assets acquired through the redemption in kind are and can only be utilized to the extent of capital gains recognized over a five year establishment of a valuation allowance for the carryforward period. The valuation 00 at June 30, 2016, and \$534,000 at September 30, 2015.

liability for uncertain tax positions and no known unrecognized tax benefits. The amount of interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the periods presented is included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as a component of income tax expense. The Company has filed federal and state income tax returns for taxable years through September 30, 2012 and is currently under review for purposes of examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the Pennsylvania

EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLANS

The Company maintains an employee stock ownership plan (“ESOP”) for substantially all of its full-time employees. The ESOP purchased 427,057 shares (on a converted basis) of the Company’s common stock for an aggregate cost of approximately \$4.5 million in fiscal 2005. The ESOP purchased an additional 30,100 shares at the beginning of December 2013 and an additional 30,100 shares at the beginning of January 2014, of which 15,050 shares were purchased with proceeds from the sale of stock for an aggregate cost of approximately \$3.1 million. The shares were held in a suspense account until released for allocation to participants as the proceeds from the sale of stock are allocated to each eligible participant based on the ratio of each such participant’s compensation, as defined in the ESOP, to the total compensation of all eligible plan participants. As the ESOP shares are released from the suspense account, the Company recognizes compensation expense equal to the fair value of the ESOP shares during the periods in which they become available for allocation. To the extent that the fair value of the ESOP shares released differs from the cost of the shares, the difference is charged or credited to equity as additional paid-in capital. As of June 30, 2016, there were 15,050 shares of which a total of 243,734 shares were allocated to participants’ accounts. For the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recognized \$129,000 for both compensation expense related to the ESOP. For nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recognized \$14,000 and \$337,000, respectively, in compensation expense related to the ESOP.

The Company maintains the 2008 Recognition and Retention Plan (“2008 RRP”) which is administered by a committee of independent non-Directors of the Company. The RRP provides for the grant of shares of common stock to certain executive officers, employees and directors of the Company. In order to fund the grant of shares under the RRP, the RRP Trust purchased 213,528 shares (on a converted basis) of the Company’s common stock in the open market for approximately \$2.5 million, at an average purchase price per share of approximately \$11.70. The RRP Trust purchased the shares on behalf of the RRP. The Company made sufficient contributions to the RRP Trust to fund the purchase of the shares. As of June 30, 2016, all the shares, with exception of 7,093 shares that had been forfeited, were held by the RRP Trust. Shares subject to awards under the 2008 RRP generally vest at the end of the term of the award, over five years. During February 2015, shareholders approved the 2014 Stock Incentive Plan (“2014 SIP”). As part of the 2014 SIP, a maximum of 285,655 shares can be awarded as restricted stock units, of which 235,500 shares were awarded during February 2015 of which 15,050 shares were forfeited as of June 30, 2016.

related to the shares subject to restricted stock awards granted is recognized ratably over the vesting period in an amount which totals the grant date fair value multiplied by the number of shares subject to the grant. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2016, an aggregate compensation expense of \$112,000 and \$262,000, respectively, was recognized in compensation expense for the 2008 RRP and the 2014 SIP. Income tax benefits of \$30,000 and \$112,000, respectively, were recognized in compensation expense for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2016. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2015, an aggregate compensation expense of \$52,000 and \$89,000, respectively, was recognized in compensation expense for the 2008 RRP and the 2014 SIP. An income tax benefit of \$52,000 and \$89,000, respectively, was recognized in compensation expense for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2015. At June 30, 2016, approximately \$2.3 million of compensation expense for the shares awarded which remained outstanding related to the 2008 RRP and the 2014 SIP remained unrecognized. At June 30, 2015, approximately \$2.8 million of compensation expense for the shares awarded related to the 2008 RRP and the 2014 SIP remained unrecognized.

ny's non-vested stock award activity for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and following tables:

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016	
	Number of Shares (1)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
at October 1, 2015	241,428	\$ 11.74
	-	-
	(30,180)	11.55
	(55,279)	11.59
at the June 30, 2016	155,969	\$ 11.83

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2015	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
at October 1, 2014	38,055	\$ 8.07
	235,500	12.23
	-	-
	(10,314)	8.22
at the June 30, 2015	263,241	\$ 11.79

the 2008 Stock Option Plan (the "2008 Option Plan") which authorizes the grant of employees and directors of the Company to acquire shares of common stock with equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the grant date. Options and exercisable at the rate of 20% per year over five years and are generally ten years after the grant date. A total of 533,808 shares of common stock were issued pursuant to the 2008 Stock Option Plan. As of June 30, 2016, all of the shares were issued under the 2008 Option Plan. As of June 30, 2016, 467,758 options (on a weighted basis) were issued under the 2008 Option Plan. The 2014 SIP reserved up to 714,145 shares for awards. Options to purchase 608,737 shares were awarded during February 2015, pursuant to the 2014 SIP and the remainder pursuant to the 2008 Option Plan. As of June 30, 2016, the 2008 Option Plan had forfeited options covering 18,866 shares and the 2014 SIP had forfeited 18,866 shares.

of the Company's stock options under the 2008 Option Plan and the 2014 SIP as of
 re presented below:

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016		
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
2015	1,074,430	\$ 11.92
	-	-
	(89,358)	11.61
	(80,476)	11.52
2016	904,596	\$ 11.99
2016	489,679	\$ 11.45

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
2014	530,084	\$ 10.86
	608,737	12.23
	-	-
	-	-
2015	1,138,821	\$ 11.59
2015	445,147	\$ 11.37

remaining contractual term was approximately 5.9 years for options outstanding as

of options granted during fiscal 2009 was \$2.98 per share, \$2.92 for options
), \$3.34 for options granted during fiscal 2013, \$4.67 for the options granted
 58 for options granted during fiscal 2015. The fair value for grants made in fiscal
 e date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following
 and fair value of \$12.23, expected term of seven years, volatility rate of 38.16%,
 a yield of 0.98%.

months ended June 30, 2016, \$106,000 and \$322,000, respectively, was
 on expense for options granted pursuant to the 2008 Option Plan and the 2014

000 and \$44,000, respectively, were recognized for the three and nine months ending the three and nine months ended June 30, 2015, \$158,000 and \$268,000, respectively, recognized in compensation expense for options granted pursuant to the 2008 Option Plan. Tax benefits of \$54,000 and \$91,000, respectively, were recognized for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2015.

As of June 30, 2015, we have accrued approximately \$1.8 million in additional compensation expense to be recognized for the remaining unvested options which remained outstanding and unvested at such date. The weighted average period over which this expense will be recognized is approximately 3.7 years.

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Company had \$10.8 million in outstanding commitments to originate fixed loans ranging from 3.75% to 5.00%. At September 30, 2015, the Company had \$2.5 million in commitments to originate fixed and variable-rate loans with market interest rates of 2.5%. The aggregate undisbursed portion of loans-in-process amounted to \$8.0 million and \$17.0 million at September 30, 2015.

Commitments under unused lines of credit of \$3.7 million as of June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015 and letters of credit outstanding of \$2.0 million as of June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015.

Contingent liabilities are exposures to limited recourse arrangements with respect to whole loans and participation interests. At June 30, 2016, the exposure, which represents the credit risk associated with the interests sold, amounted to \$33,000. This exposure is recorded as loans and payables, on our proportionate share, as actual losses are incurred.

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. Management, based on discussions with litigation counsel, believes that such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, operations or cash flows of the Company. Management does not have assurance that any of the outstanding legal proceedings to which the Company is a party will be resolved adversely to the Company's interests and not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition and operations of the Company.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

The amounts presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, respectively. Although management is not aware of any factors that would affect the fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued in the financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ from the amounts presented herein.

The accounting principles used in the United States establish a fair value hierarchy which requires the maximize the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair

hierarchy are as follows:

ive markets for identical assets or liabilities.

ther than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities;
rkets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated
et data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

s that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the
ets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose
using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques,
ats for which the determination of fair value requires significant management
ion.

), 2016 which are to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows:

	Category Used for Fair Value Measurement			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
le:				
ncy obligations	\$ -	\$ 26,048	\$ -	\$ 26,048
es - U.S. Government agencies	-	91,532	-	91,532
	-	21,054	-	21,054
	49	-	-	-
	\$ 49	\$ 138,634	\$ -	\$ 138,634
	\$ -	\$ 351	\$ -	\$ 351
	\$ -	\$ 351	\$ -	\$ 351

ber 30, 2015 which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows:

	Category Used for Fair Value Measurement			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
le:				
ncy obligations	\$ -	\$ 18,712	\$ -	\$ 18,712
es - U.S. Government agencies	-	58,712	-	58,712
	59	-	-	59
	\$ 59	\$ 77,424	\$ -	\$ 77,483

ed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured on a recurring basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, evidence of impairment). The Company measures impaired loans and real estate on a non-recurring basis.

loans to be impaired when it becomes more likely than not that the Company will not receive the principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreements. Impaired loans are based on the fair value of the collateral which is based on and categorized as Level 2 measurement. In some cases, adjustments are made to the fair value based on various factors including the age of the appraisal, age of the comparable included in the appraisal, and changes in the market and in the collateral. These adjustments are based upon unobservable inputs; therefore, the fair value measurement has been categorized as a Level 3 measurement. Impaired loans are reviewed for impairment and written down to their net realizable value by the Company based on the allowance for loan losses. The collateral underlying these loans had a fair value in excess of the carrying amount as of June 30, 2016.

When loans are determined to be uncollectible, the underlying collateral is generally repossessed and sold, primarily consisting of real estate and repossessed assets. These repossessed assets are carried at the carrying amount of the collateral, based on independent appraisals, less cost to sell and would be categorized as Level 3 measurement. In some cases, adjustments are made to the appraised values for various factors including age of the appraisal, age of the comparable included in the appraisal, and known changes in the market and in the collateral. As a result, the evaluations are based upon unobservable inputs, and the fair value measurement has been categorized as a Level 3 measurement.

Reporting Fair Value Measurements

September 30, 2016
(Amounts in Thousands)

Level 2	Level 3	Total
-	\$19,517	\$19,517
-	207	207
-	\$19,724	\$19,724

September 30, 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

Level 2	Level 3	Total
-	\$16,770	\$16,770
869	-	869
869	\$16,770	\$17,639

Provides information describing the valuation processes used to determine fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy:

Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range/ Weighted Ave.
Property appraisals (1) (3)	Management discount for selling costs, property type and market volatility (2)	10% discount
Property appraisals (1)(3)	Management discount for selling costs, property type and market volatility (2)	10% discount

December 31, 2015 (Thousands)		Range/ Weighted Ave.
Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	
Property appraisals (1) (3)	Management discount for selling costs, property type and market volatility (2)	10% discount
Property appraisals (1)(3)	Management discount for selling costs, property type and market volatility (2)	10% discount

determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which are Level 3 inputs, which are not identifiable.

adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and liquidation expenses. The range and weighted average of liquidation expenses and other adjustments are presented as a percent of the appraisal.

adjustments by management and estimated liquidation expenses.

The fair value of the instruments has been determined by the Company using available market data and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessarily required to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current liquidation. Estimates of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2016		
			(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
	\$38,572	\$38,572	\$38,572	\$-	\$-
backed securities	138,683	138,683	49	138,634	-
backed securities held to	15,488	16,793	-	16,793	-
	342,459	344,478	-	-	344,478
le	1,763	1,763	1,763	-	-
stock	2,387	2,387	2,387	-	-
e	12,973	12,973	12,973	-	-
	37,741	37,741	37,741	-	-
counts	56,115	56,115	56,115	-	-
ent savings accounts	70,767	70,767	70,767	-	-
	222,017	224,396	-	-	224,396
ome	50,227	50,222	-	-	50,222
	1,015	1,015	1,015	-	-
for taxes and insurance	2,769	2,769	2,769	-	-
s	351	351	-	351	-

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2015		
			(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
	\$11,272	\$11,272	\$11,272	\$-	\$-
backed securities	77,483	77,483	59	77,424	-
backed securities held to	66,384	66,877	-	66,877	-
	312,633	312,613	-	-	312,613
le	1,665	1,665	1,665	-	-
stock	369	369	369	-	-
e	12,722	12,722	12,722	-	-
	37,942	37,942	37,942	-	-
counts	60,736	60,736	60,736	-	-
ent savings accounts	70,355	70,355	70,355	-	-
	196,041	199,639	-	-	199,639
	1,291	1,291	1,291	-	-
for taxes and insurance	1,670	1,670	1,670	-	-

nts—For cash and cash equivalents, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of

re-Backed Securities—The fair value of investment securities and mortgage-backed securities is determined based on quoted market prices, dealer quotes, and prices obtained from independent pricing

The fair value of loans is estimated based on present value using the current market rates that could be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining term. The fair value that fair value is compared to is net of the allowance for loan losses and discounts. Due to the significant judgment involved in evaluating credit risk, these loans are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

ble – For accrued interest receivable, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate

Stock (FHLB) Stock—Although FHLB stock is an equity interest in an FHLB, it does not have a readily determinable fair value as its ownership is restricted and its stated fair value approximates the carrying amount.

Life Insurance—The fair value of bank owned life insurance is based on the cash surrender value as determined by an independent advisor that is derivable from observable market inputs.

Money Market Deposit Accounts, Passbook Accounts, Club Accounts, Statement of Investments, and Certificates of Deposit—The fair value of passbook accounts, club accounts, money market deposit accounts, checking accounts, and money market deposit accounts is the amount reported on the balance sheet. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on market rates currently available for certificates of deposit with similar remaining maturity.

Home Loan Bank—The fair value of advances from FHLB is the amount payable as of the reporting date.

– For accrued interest payable, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

The fair values of the interest rate swap contracts are based upon the estimated cash flows to be received or paid to terminate the contracts.

Advances for taxes and insurance – For advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Credit and Letters of Credit—The majority of the Bank’s commitments to extend credit are for lines of credit that carry current market interest rates if converted to loans. Because commitments to extend credit are generally unassignable by either the Bank or the borrower, they only have value to the Bank and the borrower. The estimated fair value approximates the recorded deferred fee and is not significant.

DESCRIPTION

Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”) pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Polonia Bancorp will merge with and into the Bank and Polonia Bancorp’s wholly owned subsidiary, Polonia Bank, a federally chartered bank, will merge with and into the Bank.

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the Merger, each outstanding share of Polonia Bancorp common stock will be converted into the right to receive, at the election of the Polonia Bancorp Board of Directors, under certain conditions, including conditions relating to pro-ration: (i) 0.7591 of a share of Company common stock (the “Exchange Ratio”) or (ii) \$11.28 in cash (the “Per Share Cash Consideration”). The election of shares of Company common stock to pro-ration such that 50% of the issued and outstanding shares of Polonia Bancorp common stock will be exchanged for Company common stock and 50% will be exchanged for cash. The Per Share Cash Consideration of Polonia Bancorp common stock outstanding at the effective time of the Merger will be paid in cash or exchanged for a cash payment equal to the difference, if positive, between the Per

under the Merger Agreement and the corresponding exercise price of such

is subject to adjustment in certain limited situations. In the event that Polonia Stockholders' Equity, as calculated in accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement, is less than \$37.4 million as of the Final Statement Date, as defined in the Merger Agreement, the Per Share Cash Consideration will be adjusted downward to reflect the difference between \$37.4 million and the Polonia Bancorp Consolidated Stockholders' Equity. The Per Share Cash Consideration is subject to potential upward adjustment to reflect the after-tax impact of tax benefits realized by Polonia Bancorp, if any, achieved prior to the Final Statement Date as defined in the Merger Agreement. In such situation, the Exchange Ratio and the Per Share Cash Consideration, if they have been adjusted downward as noted above, will be correspondingly adjusted to reflect such after-tax recoveries.

The Merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including, without limitation, the approval of the Merger Agreement by the Polonia Bancorp shareholders by the requisite shareholder vote and the required regulatory approvals.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This information should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements included here in this Form 10-Q and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 (the "Form 10-K").

The Company, Inc. (the "Company") was formed by Prudential Bancorp, Inc. of New Jersey, the successor holding company for Prudential Savings Bank (the "Bank") as a result of a reorganization completed in October 2013. The Company's results of operations are primarily the results of the Bank, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company's operations depend to a large extent on net interest income, which primarily is the net interest income earned on its loan and securities portfolios and the cost of funds, which is primarily the cost of deposits and borrowings. Results of operations are also affected by our provisions for loan losses (which includes impairment charges) and non-interest expense. Non-interest expense principally consists of salaries and employee benefits, office occupancy expense, advertising expense, payroll taxes and other expense. Our results of operations are also affected by general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in interest rates and actions of regulatory authorities. Future changes in applicable laws, regulations and policies may materially impact our financial condition and results of operations. The Company is regulated by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and the Department of Banking and Securities (the "Department"). The Bank's main office is in Newark, New Jersey, with five additional full-service banking offices located in Philadelphia and Pennsylvania. The Bank's primary business consists of attracting deposits from the public and investing those funds together with borrowings to originate loans and to invest primarily in government securities and mortgage-backed securities. In November 2005, the Bank was reorganized as a Delaware corporation, as a subsidiary of the Bank. In March 2006, all assets and liabilities then owned by the Company's predecessor were transferred to PSB Delaware, and the Company's activities are included as part of the consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Policies. In reviewing and understanding financial information for the Company, you should understand the significant accounting policies used in preparing our financial statements. These policies are described in Note 1 of the notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 hereof as well as in Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of our Form 10-K. The accounting and financial reporting policies of the Company conform to the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") and to general practices in the banking industry. Accordingly, the financial statements require certain estimates, judgments and assumptions, which are believed to be reasonable, based upon the information available. Changes in these assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as well as contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses for the periods presented. The following accounting policies comprise those that

the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported policies require numerous estimates or economic assumptions that may prove subject to variations which may significantly affect our reported results and financial position in future periods.

allowance. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses and charges are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that all or a portion of the principal of a loan is unlikely to be collected. Subsequent recoveries are added to the allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management considers adequate to cover expected losses and impairments based upon an evaluation of known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio which are deemed probable and reasonable to estimate. Loan impairment is evaluated based on the estimated net realizable value. It is the policy of management to provide for losses in the loan portfolio in addition to criticized and classified loans.

allowance for loan losses at least quarterly and makes adjustments to the provision for loan losses as economic conditions and other pertinent factors indicate. adjustment of the qualitative factors employed in the allowance methodology and loss experience allow for timely reaction to emerging conditions and trends. In this methodology, qualitative factors are used in a methodology as a measurement of how current conditions affect the loan portfolio. Included in these qualitative factors are:

Identified, criticized and non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings and loan

- Nature and volume of loans;
Policies and procedures, underwriting standards, collections, charge-offs and nonaccrual commercial loans, the level of loans being approved with exceptions to the Bank's

- Experience, ability and depth of management and staff;
Local economic and business conditions, including various market segments;
Effectiveness of the Company's loan review system and the degree of Board oversight;
Concentrations of credit and changes in levels of such concentrations; and
Internal factors on the level of estimated credit losses in the current portfolio.

In determining the allowance for loan losses, management has established a general pooled allowance. Qualitative factors and those developed from historic loss experience provide a methodology for the calculation of reserve factors for both pass-rated loans (the general pooled allowance) and classified loans. The amount of the specific allowance is determined through a review of certain large dollar commercial real estate loans, construction and land multi-family loans. Loans not individually reviewed are evaluated as a group using a methodology based on historical loss experience and the qualitative factors described above. In determining the appropriate level of the general pooled allowance, management makes estimates based on historical loss experience which take into account such factors as debt service coverage, loan-to-value ratios and loss experience. Estimates are periodically measured against actual loss experience.

The allowance is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including, among others, exposure to credit risk, timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, value of collateral, and the composition of commercial, construction and residential loan portfolios and historical loss experience. Estimates may be susceptible to significant change.

In determining the best information available to make loan loss allowance evaluations, management may be necessary based on changes in economic and other conditions or market conditions. In addition, the Department and the FDIC, as an integral part of their regulatory oversight, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. The Department and the FDIC may request adjustments to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgment of

them at the time of their examination. To the extent that actual outcomes differ from estimates, additional provisions to the allowance for loan losses may be required that could result in earnings in future periods.

backed securities available for sale. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or other observable market data and are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. In certain cases where there is less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, although there were no securities with that classification as of June 30, 2015.

The Company evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently if economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. The Company determines whether impairments are temporary or not in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The evaluation is based on the creditworthiness of the issuers/guarantors, the underlying collateral, if applicable, the performance of the securities. In addition, the Company also considers the likelihood that the securities will be required to be sold because of regulatory concerns, our internal intent not to sell the securities prior to maturity and whether the entire cost basis of the security is expected to be recovered. If it is determined whether the cost basis will be recovered, management evaluates other facts and circumstances that are indicative of an "other-than-temporary" impairment condition. This includes, but is not limited to, the nature of the security, length of time and extent to which the fair value has declined below the cost basis, near-term prospects of the issuer.

are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis; that is, the instruments are on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain (e.g., when there is evidence of impairment). The Company measures impaired securities, both available-for-sale (“AFS”) and held-to-maturity (“HTM”), at fair value on

models utilized for measuring financial assets and liabilities are reviewed and updated at least quarterly.

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for income taxes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities that reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The Company exercises significant judgment in the evaluation of the amount and timing of the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities. The judgments and estimates required for the recognition of deferred tax assets are based upon changes in business factors and the tax laws. If actual results differ from the estimates, additional expenses will not be required in future periods.

To recover deferred tax assets, we consider all available positive and negative evidence, including current and past operating results and our forecast of future taxable income. In determining the amount of deferred tax assets to recognize, we make assumptions for the amount of taxable income, the reversal of temporary differences, and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions are based on our expectations about our future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and objectives for our business. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to reduce the amount of the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. An increase in the valuation allowance may result in an additional income tax expense in the period and could have a significant impact

on our financial results. We apply a minimum probability threshold that a tax position must meet before a financial liability is recognized. The Company recognizes, when applicable, interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax positions in the provision for income taxes in the consolidated income statement. The recognition of uncertain tax positions requires careful consideration of the technical merits of a tax position. Management’s analysis of tax regulations and interpretations. Significant judgment may be required in the recognition of the tax position.

Cautionary Statement: This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include, but

tions or predictions of future financial or business performance, conditions and Polonia Bancorp, or other effects of the proposed merger of the Company and forward-looking statements include statements with respect to the Company's goals, expectations, anticipations, estimates and intentions, that are subject to uncertainties, and are subject to change based on various factors (some of which are control). The words "may," "could," "should," "would," "will," "believe," "anticipate," "estimate," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

viously disclosed in the reports filed by the Company with the Securities and
SEC”) and those identified elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, the following factors,
e actual results to differ materially from forward looking statements or historical
o obtain regulatory approvals and satisfy other closing conditions to the merger,
reholders of Polonia; delay in closing the merger; difficulties and delays in
usiness or fully realizing anticipated cost savings and other benefits of the merger;
wing the merger; the strength of the United States economy in general and the
omies in which the Company and Polonia conduct their operations; general
lslative and regulatory changes; monetary and fiscal policies of the federal
ax policies, rates and regulations of federal, state and local tax authorities;
eposit flows, the cost of funds, demand for loan products, demand for financial
nges in the quality or composition of the Company’s loan, investment and
es portfolios; changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines and other
overnmental and technological factors affecting the Company’s operations,
s and fees; and the success of the Company at managing the risks involved in the

ndertake to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that
o time by or on behalf of the Company to reflect events or circumstances
this Form 10-Q.

of the assumptions, risks and uncertainties related to our business, readers are
Company’s filings with the SEC, including the “Risk Factors” section in the
annual Report on Form 10-K, as supplemented by its quarterly or other reports
e SEC.

ugh the economy slowly improved during 2015 and 2016, we still view the
allenging.

o focus on the credit quality of its customers, closely monitoring the financial
hroughout the Company’s markets, gathering information, working on early detection
ing pre-emptive steps where necessary and performing the analysis required to
s for loan losses.

t and economic conditions, the Company continues to maintain capital well in
rements.

provides further details on the financial condition of the Company at June 30, 2016, and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2016.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AT JUNE 30, 2016 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

The Company had total assets of \$556.3 million, as compared to \$487.2 million at September 30, 2015, an increase of 14.2%. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$27.3 million to \$38.6 million at June 30, 2016, as compared to \$11.3 million at September 30, 2015, due to maintaining sufficient cash portion of the merger consideration in the previously assumed pending acquisition of Polonia Bancorp, Inc. (“Polonia Bancorp”). At June 30, 2016, net loans receivable increased to \$216.6 million at September 30, 2015. The increase in net loans receivable was primarily due to a \$100.6 million increase in commercial real estate loans, partially offset by a \$21.4 million decrease in one-to-four family loans and construction and land development loans. During the period, the Company increased its available-for-sale investment portfolio by \$61.2 million, primarily due to a \$29 million reduction in investment securities held-to-maturity, primarily due to the maturity of securities. As part of the growth of the investment securities portfolio pursuant to an investment strategy, the Company purchased \$15.0 million of mortgage-backed securities and other securities, funding the purchase with mix-termed FHLB advances.

The Company's total debt increased by \$73.0 million to \$443.2 million at June 30, 2016 from \$370.2 million at September 30, 2015. Total deposits increased \$21.6 million primarily in short-term certificate of deposits. The Company maintained FHLB advances of \$50.2 million with variable maturities of up to 12 months. A total of \$25.0 million was used to fund the Company's investment leverage strategy noted

decreased by \$3.9 million to \$113.1 million at June 30, 2016 from \$117.0 million. The decrease was primarily due to the \$7.8 million expended in the acquisition of common stock with the Company's previously announced stock repurchase program. As of June 30, 2016, the Company had repurchased 665,733 shares under its current program leaving 184,267 shares. Limited repurchases have been effected since early March 2016 due to the pending acquisition of a subsidiary. This decrease was partially offset by \$1.7 million in net income during the third quarter of 2016 combined with a \$914,000 after-tax increase in the unrealized gain on the investment securities portfolio and the fair value of interest rate swaps.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015

The Company recognized net income of 777,000, or \$0.10 per basic share and per diluted share, for the three months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to \$47,000, or \$0.01 per basic and diluted share, for the same period in 2015. For the nine months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recognized net income of \$2.2 million, or \$0.26 per basic and per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$2.2 million, or \$0.26 per basic and per diluted share, for the comparable period in 2015. The three and nine months ended net income includes net gains on sale of real estate of \$231,000 and \$2.0 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended, respectively. The Company also recognized net income from branch offices.

For the three months ended June 30, 2016, net interest income increased to \$3.7 million, or \$0.2 million for the same period in 2015. The increase reflected a \$419,000 or 3.2% increase in interest income combined with a decrease of \$27,000 or 3.2% in interest paid on deposits. The Company increased borrowings from the FHLB during the third quarter of fiscal 2016 as part of the implementation of its previously announced leverage strategy (initially implemented in the second quarter of 2016) to increase the level of its earning assets. During the quarter ended June 30, 2016, the average balance of borrowings of \$44.2 million with a weighted average cost of 1.30%, compared to the average balance of borrowings during the same period in 2015. The cost of funds decreased 11 basis points to 0.78% for the June 30, 2016 quarter, from 1.89% in 2015.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2016, net interest income increased to \$10.4 million as compared to \$8.2 million for the same period in 2015. The increase reflected a \$297,000 or 2.4% increase in interest income combined with a decrease of \$150,000 or 5.7% in interest paid on deposits and borrowings. The average balance of borrowings from the FHLB also increased during the nine months ended June 30, 2016 in accordance with the leverage strategy implemented during the second quarter of fiscal 2016. The Company's average balance of borrowings of \$30.2 million with a weighted average yield of 1.30% during the third quarter of 2016, compared to the average balance of borrowings during the same period in 2015. The total weighted average cost of funds decreased 10 basis points to 0.81% for the third quarter of 2016, from 1.62% in 2015.

June 30, 2016, from 0.91% for the same period in 2015.

As of June 30, 2016, the net interest margin was 2.81% compared to 2.65% for the same period in 2015. For the nine months ended June 30, 2016, the net interest margin was 2.74% as compared to 2.65% for the same period in 2015. The net interest margin increased during the first nine months of 2016 primarily due to the results of the Company's efforts in reducing its overall cost of

Interest income, and yields earned and rates paid. The following table shows for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the total dollar amount of interest earned from average interest-earning assets and the total dollar amount of interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities and the resulting net interest margin. Average dollar amounts and rates, the interest rate spread and the net interest margin. Average yields and rates are annualized. Tax-exempt income and yields have not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent yield. Average balances are based on monthly balances. Management does not believe that the monthly averages differ significantly from what the daily averages would be.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016			2015			
	Average Balance	Average Interest	Average Yield/Rate (1)	Average Balance	Average Interest	Average Yield/Rate (1)	
	(Dollars in Thousands)						
	\$51,070	\$529	2.91 %	\$77,804	\$487	2.51 %	
es	129,557	673	2.62	65,198	465	2.86	
	334,410	3,263	3.96	320,877	3,085	3.86	
ets	12,450	9	0.29	27,134	18	0.28	
ets	527,487	4,474	3.44	491,013	4,055	3.31	
ring	1,781			2,009			
g assets	20,950			21,111			
	\$550,218			\$514,133			
	\$73,031	18	0.10	\$75,673	52	0.28	
d	91,884	34	0.15	97,171	83	0.34	
	218,857	629	1.17	206,422	715	1.39	
	383,772	681	0.72	379,266	850	0.91	
ome	44,200	142	1.30	221	-	0.00	
for	2,131	1	0.19	2,300	1	0.18	
ilities	430,103	824	0.78	381,787	851	0.90	
ilities:							
and	2,795			2,129			
	1,752			2,662			
	434,650			386,578			
	115,568			127,555			
holders'	\$550,218			\$514,133			
s	\$97,384			\$109,226			
est rate		\$3,650	2.66 %		\$3,204	2.41 %	
			2.81 %			2.62 %	
assets							
g		122.64 %			128.61 %		

Three month periods are annualized.
Calculated net of unamortized deferred fees, undisbursed portion of
allowance for loan losses.
Income divided by average interest-earning assets.

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016			2015			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate (1)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate (1)	
	(Dollars in Thousands)						
	\$60,356	\$1,517	2.69	% \$82,797	\$1,587	2.56	%
es	111,126	1,868	2.59	59,291	1,331	3.00	
	323,830	9,489	3.90	325,782	9,629	3.95	
ets	10,511	22	0.28	31,539	52	0.22	
ets	505,823	12,896	3.40	499,409	12,599	3.37	
ring	1,887			2,164			
g assets	19,929			19,193			
	\$527,639			\$520,766			
	\$72,786	65	0.12	\$75,662	161	0.28	
d	91,928	129	0.19	99,318	257	0.35	
	208,560	1,981	1.27	210,089	2,202	1.40	
	373,274	2,175	0.78	385,069	2,620	0.91	
ome	30,245	296	1.30	217	-	0.00	
for	1,971	2	0.14	2,057	3	0.19	
ilities	405,490	2,473	0.81	387,343	2,623	0.91	
ilities:							
and	2,724			2,247			
	3,661			3,089			
	411,875			392,679			
	115,764			128,087			
holders'	\$527,639			\$520,766			
s	\$100,333			\$112,066			
est rate		\$10,423	2.58	%	\$9,976	2.47	%
			2.74	%		2.67	%
assets							
g		125.44%			128.93%		

... nine month periods are annualized.
... loans. Calculated net of unamortized deferred fees, undisbursed portion of
... allowance for loan losses.
... income divided by average interest-earning assets.

The Company established provisions for loan losses of \$150,000 and \$225,000 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, primarily due to the increased level of construction loans outstanding. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2016, the Company established provisions for loan losses of \$210,000 and \$585,000, respectively, primarily due to the increase in the level of commercial real estate and construction loans outstanding as well as the general reserve as a result of charge-offs incurred during the second quarter of 2016 and the classification of \$10.9 million of loans related to one borrowing relationship described below. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded charge-offs of \$11,000 and no charge offs and during the nine months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded charge-offs totaling \$11,000, along with a recovery of \$125,000. The Company's largest charge-off consists of nine loans aggregating \$12.2 million, was classified as troubled debt restructurings due to concerns with projected cash flows available to fund the borrower's future obligations. As of June 30, 2016, the complete relationship was analyzed for impairment using the Accounting Standards Codification Topic 310. The relationship was deemed to have no impairment and no impairment was required. The borrower's primary project, the development of a residential project, has received all required permits and preparation of the necessary infrastructure has been substantially completed. As disclosed, in the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, the borrower filed a lawsuit against the Bank in late March 2016 alleging various matters related to the Bank's operations and the project. The Bank intends to vigorously defend the case but no assurance can be given as to the outcome of the litigation or the effect on the project. The Company's allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2016 was sufficient to cover all inherent and known risks in the loan portfolio at such date.

Provisions for loan losses totaled \$3.3 million, or 1.0% of total loans and 21.3% of total assets as of June 30, 2016 as compared to \$2.9 million, or 0.9% of total loans and 21.0% of total assets as of September 30, 2015.

The methodology for assessing the adequacy of the allowance establishes both specific and general provisions of the allowance. Loans are assigned ratings, either individually for larger loans or in pools, based on an internally developed grading system. The resulting provisions are reviewed and approved by senior management.

The Company's non-performing assets totaled \$16.6 million or 2.9% of total assets as of June 30, 2016 or 3.0% of total assets at September 30, 2015, all of which was due to the borrower of the entire loan relationship described above. Non-performing loans and assets include 19 five construction loans aggregating \$10.2 million, 19 one-to four-family residential investment property loans totaling \$3.2 million, one single-family residential investment property loan totaling \$1.3 million, and commercial real estate loans aggregating \$1.3 million. At June 30, 2016, the non-performing assets aggregating \$7.8 million that were classified as troubled debt restructurings include 19 five construction loans aggregating \$5.6 million as of June 30, 2016 were classified as troubled debt restructurings due to not achieving a sufficiently long payment history, under the restructured

the loans to performing (accrual) status. Two of these three loans totaling \$4.2 million (the Company's largest relationship discussed above) are more than 90 days past due due to the re-negotiation of the project's continuation of funding by the Company. The Bank brought suit against the Bank in late March 2016 alleging various matters related to the loans related to this project. The Bank intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit and the outcome of the litigation or the effect on the project. The Company has performed in accordance with the terms of their revised agreements. As of June 30, 2016, we reviewed \$19.5 million of loans for possible impairment of which \$14.4 million was classified as substandard compared to \$16.7 million reviewed for possible impairment as of September 30, 2015. At June 30, 2016, we had 20 loans classified as "doubtful" or "loss" at either June 30, 2016 or September 30, 2015.

Company had \$5.6 million of loans delinquent 30-89 days as to interest and/or principal. This delinquency consisted of 12 one-to-four family residential loans totaling \$2.3 million and three commercial loans totaling \$3.3 million.

Company had a total of five loans totaling \$2.6 million that had been designated "special mention" as of June 30, 2016. These loans consist of three loans extended to a single borrower and are secured by real estate. All three loans were designated "special mention" due to concerns with regard to the borrower's cash flow. As of September 30, 2015, we had a total of eight loans aggregating \$3.4 million designated as "special mention".

As of June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, the amounts of non-performing assets (defined as non-accruing loans, accruing loans 90 days or more past due, and real estate owned) as to principal and/or interest and real estate owned) as of June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, either date did the Company have any accruing loans 90 days or more past due.

	June 30, 2016	September 30, 2015		
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
ntial	\$ 4,633	\$ 3,547		
	1,346	1,589		
velopment	10,113	8,796		
	16,092	13,932		
)	207	869		
ets	\$ 16,639	\$ 14,801		
ns as a percentage of loans, net	4.70	%	4.46	%
ns as a percentage of total assets	2.89	%	3.04	%
ets as a percentage of total assets	2.93	%	3.04	%

ances are shown net of related loss allowances and consist solely of real property.

non-interest income amounted to \$400,000 and \$883,000 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2016, compared to \$445,000 and \$2.8 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. The three and nine month periods in 2015 reflected the \$231,000 and \$2.0 million of non-interest income on the sale of two branch offices as well as the recognition during the quarter ended September 30, 2015 of a \$138,000 gain on the sale of a loan originated through the Small Business Administration. By comparison, during the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded service charge income, gains on the sale of five mortgage-backed securities totaling \$11.2 million recording a gain of \$152,000 and a \$8,000 gain from three agency mortgages totaling \$8.0 million with an amortized value slightly below par.

For the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2016, non-interest expense was 10.0% and \$1.4 million or 11.7%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015. The reasons for the decreases for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2016 were a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense, professional services, office occupancy, advertising and employee expense, professional services, office occupancy, advertising and employee expense. The reduction in operating expenses was a direct result of the implementation of the cost reduction plan announced at the beginning of the 2016 fiscal year.

For the three month period ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded a tax expense of \$40,000 compared to a \$40,000 tax benefit for the same period in 2015. For the nine month period ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded income tax expense of \$836,000 as compared to a tax benefit of \$1.2 million in 2015. The Company's tax liability for both three and nine month periods in 2016 was significantly reduced due to the Company's ability to utilize its prior period capital loss carryforwards for the entire amount of the gain recorded relating to the sale of the two branch offices.

summarizes the Company's and Bank's regulatory capital ratios as of June 30, 2016 and compares them to current regulatory guidelines.

	Actual Ratio		Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
(assets)	20.35	%	N/A		N/A	
	18.02	%	4.0	%	5.0	%
(weighted assets)	40.53	%	N/A		N/A	
	35.98	%	5.1	%(a)	6.5	%
(weighted assets)	40.20	%	N/A		N/A	
	35.60	%	6.6	%(a)	8.0	%
(weighted assets)	41.40	%	N/A		N/A	
	36.85	%	8.6	%(a)	10.0	%
(assets)	23.73	%	N/A		N/A	
	19.50	%	4.0	%	5.0	%
(weighted assets)	50.63	%	N/A		N/A	
	41.66	%	4.5	%	6.5	%
(weighted assets)	50.63	%	N/A		N/A	
	41.65	%	4.0	%	6.0	%
(weighted assets)	51.98	%	N/A		N/A	
	43.00	%	8.0	%	10.0	%

of capital conservation buffer.

INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

The accompanying notes, and related financial data of the Company presented herein are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which require the measurement of assets, liabilities, position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering the effect on the purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

In companies, substantially all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are denominated in dollars. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the price of goods and services, since such prices are affected by a number of factors other than interest rates. In the current interest rate environment, liquidity and the availability of credit to the Company's assets and liabilities are critical to the maintenance of acceptable

Market Risk. Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and values, primarily from interest rate risk which is inherent in our lending, investment and other activities. To that end, management actively monitors and manages interest rate risk. In addition to market risk, our primary risk is credit risk on our loan portfolio. We attempt to mitigate credit risk through our loan underwriting and oversight policies.

The primary objective of our interest rate risk management function is to evaluate the interest rate risk in our balance sheet accounts, determine the level of risk appropriate given our business strategy, investment, capital and liquidity requirements and performance objectives, and manage that risk in accordance with approved guidelines. We seek to manage our exposure to risks from interest rate fluctuations while at the same time trying to improve our net interest spread. We monitor interest rate risk in relation to our operating strategies. We have established an Asset/Liability Committee comprised of our President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Controller. The Asset/Liability Committee meets on a regular basis to review our asset/liability policies and interest rate risk position. Both the extent and timing of interest rate fluctuations are uncertainties that could have a negative impact on future

As part of our asset/liability management strategy we primarily have reduced our exposure to fixed-rate callable agency bonds, increased our origination of hybrid ARM and fixed-rate residential mortgage loans and increased our portfolio of step-up callable ARM mortgage loans. We have also issued collateralized mortgage-backed securities (“CMOs”) with short effective life. We have also implemented two interest rate swaps to reduce funding cost for a five year period. Despite the foregoing steps, we remain subject to a significant level of interest rate risk in our investment environment due to the high proportion of our loan portfolio that consists of fixed-rate mortgages. In prior periods to invest a significant amount of our assets in long-term, fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities.

The sensitivity of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which they are “interest rate sensitive” and by monitoring a Company’s interest rate sensitivity. A liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or be repaid within that time period. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the amount of assets maturing or repricing within a specific time period and the amount of liabilities maturing or repricing within that same time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities. A negative gap is considered when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would tend to affect net interest income while a positive gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income. Conversely, during a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income while a positive gap would tend to affect adversely net interest income.

forth the amounts of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities as of June 30, 2016, which we expect, based upon certain assumptions, to reprice or mature in the periods shown (the "GAP Table"). Except as stated below, the amounts of assets and liabilities that will reprice or mature during a particular period were determined in accordance with the contractual maturity of the asset or liability. The table sets forth an expected repricing of assets and liabilities at June 30, 2016, on the basis of anticipated prepayments, and scheduled rate adjustments within a three-month expected time intervals. The loan amounts in the table reflect principal balances outstanding and/or repriced as a result of contractual amortization and anticipated prepayments on variable-rate loans and fixed-rate loans, and as a result of contractual rate adjustments on floating rate loans. Annual prepayment rates for variable-rate and fixed-rate single-family and commercial mortgage loans are assumed to range from 6.5% to 31.6%. The prepayment rate for mortgage-backed securities is assumed to range from 0.8% to 20.9%. For cash equivalents, checking accounts and money markets, the decay rates vary on an annual basis over a ten

	3 Months or Less (Dollars in Thousands)	More than 3 Months to 1 Year	More than 1 Year to 3 Years	More than 3 Years to 5 Years	More than 5 Years	Total Amount
	\$3,924	\$16,826	\$33,493	\$23,239	\$74,302	\$151,784
	37,737	45,598	102,478	62,010	96,119	343,942
	38,572	-	-	-	-	38,572
ts	\$80,233	\$62,424	\$135,971	\$85,249	\$170,421	\$534,298
	\$1,858	\$5,807	\$9,359	\$9,022	\$41,951	\$67,997
d	3,257	9,772	16,157	13,071	48,767	91,024
	41,481	92,642	62,763	25,131	-	222,017
	785	2,369	26,485	20,588	-	50,227
for	2,769	-	-	-	-	2,769
	\$50,150	\$110,590	\$114,764	\$67,812	\$90,718	\$434,034
	\$30,083	\$(48,166)	\$21,207	\$17,437	\$79,703	\$100,264
	\$30,083	\$(18,083)	\$3,124	\$20,561	\$100,264	
ap						
at	5.41 %	-3.25 %	0.56 %	3.70 %	18.02 %	
ng						
tive						
30,	159.99%	88.75 %	101.13 %	105.99 %	123.10 %	

are included in the period in which the balances are expected to be redeployed
result of anticipated prepayments, scheduled rate adjustments and contractual

s of the gap analysis, investment securities are reflected at amortized cost.

o analysis, loans receivable includes non-performing loans and is gross of the
es and unamortized deferred loan fees, but net of the undisbursed portion of

(4) Includes FHLB stock.

te sensitivity gap represents the difference between interest-earning assets and
ies.

inherent in the method of analysis presented in the foregoing table. For example,
d liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in
es in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and
advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types
n market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as variable-rate loans, have
nges in interest rates both on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset.
change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely
those assumed in calculating the table. Finally, the ability of many borrowers to
loans may be adversely affected in the event of an interest rate increase.

Analysis. Our interest rate sensitivity also is monitored by management through the derivatives estimates of the changes in our net portfolio value (“NPV”) over a range of scenarios. NPV is the present value of expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and investments. The NPV ratio, under any interest rate scenario, is defined as the NPV in that scenario divided by the market value of assets in the same scenario. The “Sensitivity Measure” is the decline in NPV, in percentage points, caused by a 2% increase or decrease in rates, whichever produces a larger decline. The following table sets forth our NPV as of June 30, 2016 and reflects the changes to NPV as a result of sustained changes in interest rates as indicated.

Portfolio Value		NPV as % of Portfolio Value of Assets			
\$ Change	% Change	NPV Ratio	Change		
(in Thousands)					
\$ (33,883)	(26.43)%	19.15 %	(3.89)%		
(22,332)	(17.42)%	20.61 %	(2.43)%		
(10,457)	(8.16)%	21.99 %	(1.05)%		
-	-	23.04 %	-		
588	0.46 %	22.64 %	(0.40)%		
2,049	1.60 %	22.51 %	(0.53)%		
11,632	9.07 %	23.76 %	0.72 %		

The Company’s NPV was \$131.1 million or 27.2% of the market value of assets. In the event of a significant increase in interest rates, the Company’s “post shock” NPV would be \$107.4 million or 23.2% of the market value of assets.

As shown in the NPV Table, certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodology used in the above analysis. Modeling changes in NPV requires the making of certain assumptions. First, we do not select the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. Second, the models presented assume that the composition of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured. Third, a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve. Fourth, we do not take into account the effects of prepayments to maturity or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although the above analysis provides an indication of interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such analysis is not precise and does not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates. Actual results may vary and will differ from actual results.

VE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

and not been any material change to the market risk disclosure contained in the report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2015, set forth in Item 7, and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation –Exposure to

ND PROCEDURES

ed, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the applicable rules and regulations and are operating in an effective manner.

control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially and adversely affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

gs

in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, on March 31, 2016, Island View Properties, Inc., trading as Island View Crossing II, LLC (collectively, the "Gualtieri Parties") filed suit in the Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, against Prudential Savings Bank (the "Bank") seeking damages in an amount in the lawsuit asserts allegations related to a loan (the "Loan") granted thereby to the Company to develop a 169-unit townhouse and condominium project located in Bristol Borough in Pennsylvania (the "Island View Project").

The Company filed a motion with the court seeking to dismiss the majority of claims asserted. The motion is pending before the court. Discovery has recently commenced between the parties. As the case is in its early stages, no prediction can be made as to the outcome. However, the Bank believes that it has meritorious defenses and it intends to vigorously

As disclosed in the Form 10-Q, in a related case, on March 2, 2016, Lava Funding, LLC ("Lava") filed suit in the Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania against the Bank, Francesco Gualtieri, Island View Crossing II, LP. ("Island View Crossing") seeking damages, with respect to the lawsuit. The lawsuit asserts that the Bank refused to honor an agreement allegedly made by the Bank in connection with the issuance of a one-year term loan ("Term Loan") in the amount of \$10 million to Island View Crossing and Francesco Gualtieri related to the aforementioned Island View Project. The lawsuit was settled in May 2016 with the Bank acquiring the Term Loan from Lava

A derivative and class action lawsuit, Parshall v. Eugene Andruczyk et al., was filed in Montgomery County, Maryland on July 21, 2016. The lawsuit names as defendants Island View Properties, Inc. ("Polonia"), Polonia and the Company. The lawsuit alleges a breach of fiduciary duty by the directors and Polonia by approving the Agreement and Plan of Merger by and between the Company and Polonia dated as of June 2, 2016 (the "Merger Agreement") pursuant to which Polonia merged into the Company (the "Merger") for (i) inadequate merger consideration and (ii) inadequate protective measures in the Merger Agreement. The lawsuit also alleges that the directors and Polonia abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. The relief sought includes an injunction against the consummation of the Merger, rescission or rescissory damages, costs and attorney's fees. The Company believes that the claims are

to defend against this suit vigorously. However, at this time, it is not possible to
proceeding or the impact on the Company or the Merger.

noted above, the Company is involved in various other legal actions arising in
business. All such actions in the aggregate involve amounts that are believed by
material to the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors
1A. Risk Factors” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year
5, as such factors could materially affect the Company’s business, financial
s of operations. As of June 30, 2016, no material changes have occurred to the risk
reported in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year
5. The risks described in the 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only
ces. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company, or
y deems to be immaterial, also may have a material adverse impact on the
ncial conditions, or results of operations.

Uses of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) and (b) Not applicable

urchases of equity shares for the second quarter of fiscal year 2016 were as follows:

Number Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Purchased Under Plans or Programs (1)
0	(2) \$ 14.42	4,000	207,522
0	(2) \$ 14.82	8,000	199,522
55	\$ 14.50	15,255	184,267
55	\$ 14.61		

Company announced that the Board of Directors had approved a second stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to repurchase up to 850,000 shares of common stock, representing approximately 10% of the Company's then outstanding shares.

repurchase program is financed upon option exercises by participants.

Senior Securities

Closures

on

nd Plan
ted as
16 by

ancorp,
onia
, filed
l to
o.
filed on
and
herein
thereto.

d-14(a)
of
ive

15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
Certifications
Document.
my Extension Schema Document.
my Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
my Extension Label Linkbase Document.
my Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
my Extension Definitions Linkbase Document.

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this
statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES, INC.

/s/ Dennis Pollack
Dennis Pollack
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Jack E. Rothkopf
Jack E. Rothkopf
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer