

AEROSONIC CORP /DE/
Form 10-K
March 28, 2006

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of

The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2006

Commission File Number 1-11750

AEROSONIC CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
**(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)**

74-1668471
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1212 North Hercules Avenue

Clearwater, Florida 33765

(Address of principal executive offices and Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (727) 461-3000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, \$.40 par value

American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Act Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$22,437,000 as of July 31, 2005 based upon the closing price of the Common Stock on the American Stock Exchange (**Amex**) on that date, and approximately \$21,247,000 as of March 10, 2006, based upon the closing price of the Common Stock on the Amex on that more recent date.

As of March 10, 2006, the issuer had 3,927,358 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Portions of the Aerosonic Corporation Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the **Proxy Statement**) are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K. We expect to file our Proxy Statement with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (**SEC**) and mail it to shareholders on or before May 30, 2006.

PART I

THIS REPORT CONTAINS CERTAIN FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 27A OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 21E OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED, WHICH ARE INTENDED TO BE COVERED BY THE SAFE HARBORS CREATED THEREUNDER. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS CAN BE IDENTIFIED BY THE USE OF FORWARD-LOOKING TERMINOLOGY SUCH AS MAY, WILL, SHOULD, EXPECT, ANTICIPATE, ESTIMATE, CONTINUE, PLANS, INTENDS AND V SIMILAR IMPORT. ALTHOUGH THE COMPANY BELIEVES THAT THE ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS CONTAINED HEREIN ARE REASONABLE, ANY OF THE ASSUMPTIONS COULD BE INACCURATE. THEREFORE, THE COMPANY S ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THE RESULTS ANTICIPATED IN THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. ADDITIONALLY, ACTUAL RESULTS MIGHT BE AFFECTED BY CERTAIN FACTORS SET FORTH HEREIN IN MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS. THE COMPANY UNDERTAKES NO OBLIGATION TO UPDATE PUBLICLY ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, WHETHER AS A RESULT OF NEW INFORMATION, FUTURE EVENTS OR OTHERWISE.

Subsequent Events

On February 6, 2006, the Board of Directors of Aerosonic Corporation (the "**Company**" or "**Aerosonic**") elected Donald Russell to its Board, filling the vacancy created by the death of William Parker on April 2, 2005.

On March 17, 2006, Aerosonic repurchased 365,524 shares of its common stock (the "**Repurchase**") from First Commercial Bank, an Alabama state-chartered bank ("**FCB**"), pursuant to the terms of a Stock Repurchase Agreement, dated March 17, 2006 (the "**Repurchase Agreement**"), by and between the Company and FCB for a total aggregate purchase price of \$2,467,287 in cash. The repurchased shares represented approximately 9.31% of Company's 3,927,358 outstanding shares and were repurchased at a price of \$6.75 per share. The repurchase was financed entirely with cash.

It was a condition to the closing of the Repurchase that FCB also consummate a transaction with certain unaffiliated investors (the "**Investors**") for the purchase of its remaining 731,048 shares (the "**Investor Shares**") of the Company's common stock. In connection with that third party transaction, Aerosonic has agreed, upon written request of the Investors holding a majority of the Investor Shares, to file a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, with respect to the sale of all of the Investor Shares.

FCB has agreed to certain restrictions on its ability to make additional purchases of the Company's common stock. FCB has also agreed to certain restrictions with respect to their ability to engage in any proxy contests with respect to the Company after the date hereof.

ITEM 1.

BUSINESS.

General

Aerosonic is a Delaware corporation formerly known as Instrument Technology Corporation (**ITC**). ITC, which was incorporated in 1968, was the surviving corporation of a merger, in 1970, with Aerosonic Corp., a Florida corporation (**Aerosonic Florida**). Aerosonic Florida, which was incorporated in 1957, ceased to exist as a separate corporation as a result of the merger. Following the merger, ITC changed its name to Aerosonic Corporation.

In January 1993, the Company acquired Avionics Specialties, Inc., a Virginia corporation (**Avionics**), from Teledyne Industries, Inc. (**Teledyne**). Prior to the acquisition, Avionics had been a division of Teledyne. Since the acquisition, Avionics has been maintained as an operating and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

As used herein, unless the context requires otherwise, references to Aerosonic, the Company, we or our include Aerosonic Corporation and its operating subsidiary, Avionics.

The Company is principally engaged in one business segment, which is the manufacture and sale of aircraft instruments. The Company has three operating divisions in three locations. The two principal divisions are the Clearwater, Florida Instrument Division (**Clearwater Instruments**), which primarily manufactures both mechanical and digital altimeters, airspeed indicators, rate of climb indicators, microprocessor controlled air data test sets, and a variety of other flight instrumentation, and Avionics in Earlysville, Virginia, which maintains three major product lines in the aircraft instrument segment: (1) angle of attack stall warning systems; (2) integrated multifunction probes, which are integrated air data sensors; and (3) other aircraft sensors and monitoring systems.

In addition, the Company maintains a third division in Wichita, Kansas (**Kansas Instruments**) to support the Clearwater Instruments business. Kansas Instruments is the source inspection location for our Wichita customers and is the primary location for Clearwater Instruments repair business.

In August 1998, the Company formed Precision Components as a new division to perform high volume precision machining of mechanical components, which was not significant to operations in the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2005, the Company phased out the Precision Components division, redeploying the assets and employees to the Clearwater Instruments production.

The Company has a January 31 fiscal year end. Accordingly, all references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to a fiscal year mean the fiscal year ended on January 31 of the referenced year; for example, references to fiscal year 2006 mean the fiscal year ended January 31, 2006.

Industry

Aerosonic's ability to maintain and enhance its position in the design, development and supply of primary flight control system components and instruments will be affected by the rising costs of both commercial and military aircraft, and the continuing decrease in the number of new aircraft programs and quantity of aircraft being built. Increasing value to customers has become extremely important to sustaining Aerosonic's market position as well as the Company's market share.

The military original equipment manufacturers (**OEM**), such as BAE Systems LTD, Bell Helicopter Textron Inc., Korea Aerospace Industries, Lockheed Martin Corporation (**Lockheed**), Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation, The Boeing Company (**Boeing**) and others, have increased their reliance on their subcontractors to carry a greater share of the aircraft responsibility, including system requirements, hardware and software design, and physical and electrical interfaces. This increased responsibility has allowed Aerosonic to develop a greater technical capability for serving its customer base. The evolution of this role has taken Aerosonic to a dominant position in its business segment within the military aircraft market.

This increased technical capability has also positioned the Company to push further into the commercial aircraft market with new technologies. New development programs with Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation and Israeli Aircraft Industries will allow us to protect and increase our market share.

The Company continues as an industry leader in the manufacturing of mechanical instruments. These products are used for both primary flight data as well as standby redundant instruments in cockpits where electronic displays are used for primary flight data. As cockpit panel space becomes more valuable in the new age of glass displays, the Company has maintained a strong position with OEMs as a premier supplier of quality mechanical instruments in both the military and commercial aircraft marketplace.

Building on its expertise with mechanical instrumentation, the Company has successfully developed and marketed digital instrumentation for both primary flight data systems as well as standby redundant systems to complement its mechanical line of business. Completion of development, testing and certification of these instruments occurred in September 2005. While the Company initially began its development of these instruments for installation on a new aircraft being developed by one of its key customers, Cessna Aircraft Company (**Cessna**), the new digital products are currently being marketed to a number of other aircraft manufacturers. The availability of these products allows the Company to facilitate its customers' transition from mechanical systems to electronic systems. In addition, the Company has also made considerable progress in developing electronic air data collection instrumentation for military and commercial aircraft.

Aerosonic's current market niche has been and will continue to be the design, development and supply of electronic and mechanical primary flight control systems components and instruments. These include altimeters, airspeed indicators, angle of attack indicators, stall prevention systems and air data measurement systems. All of these products are critical to aircraft operation, performance and safety.

Strategy

The Company's goal is to continue to reposition its products for profitable growth and maintain dominance within its niche markets, focusing on the development of profitable long-term relationships. New aircraft cockpits increasingly are being developed through strategic alliances with market leaders. The Company is well-positioned to take advantage of such strategic alliances. An increase in sales volume will depend upon new product introduction and further penetration of existing markets. The Company is substantially vertically integrated in its manufacturing and distribution activities, and this vertical integration gives Aerosonic a competitive advantage within the industry.

Products and Distribution

The Company's products are sold to manufacturers of commercial and private aircraft, both domestic and foreign, and the United States military services. For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2006, approximately 52% of the Company's total sales were to the private sector and 48% to United States military services. For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2005, approximately 56% of the Company's total sales were to the private sector and 44% to United States military services. This shift in volume is due to a continuing increase in core product deliveries to the military as well as a further reduction in revenue producing engineering development projects.

Domestic sales of the Company's products are made to many different commercial (non-government) customers, and the Company anticipates that there will be an increasing movement toward development contracts for future commercial applications. For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2006, sales related to development programs accounted for approximately 8% of net sales, or \$2.7 million, an increase of \$0.2 million from the fiscal year ended January 31, 2005, where such sales represented 8% of net sales. This increase is due to a slight increase in the rate of progress to completion on the Joint Strike Fighter development contract. During fiscal year 2006, there were two commercial customers, Lockheed and Boeing, who represented 13% and 10%, respectively, of total revenues. A substantial amount of the business related to these customers is related to contracts each customer has with the U.S. government. The loss of either of these customers would have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

In addition, the Company sells its products to customers outside of the U.S. The aggregate percentage of international sales to overall sales was 22%, 15%, and 13% for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The primary driver for the increase in the 2006 fiscal year was shipments to the South Korean military.

Most of the Company's instrument sales are made directly through Company employees to OEMs or to the United States military, with the Company's remaining sales being made through distributors and commissioned sales representatives (who resell to aircraft operators).

The Company produces a full line of both three-inch and two-inch mechanical and electro-mechanical cockpit instruments. These instruments require no backup power, as they transfer valuable flight data to the pilot using only air pressure (from aircraft probes) as a power source. The Company also manufactures a state of the art air data test set used by aircraft OEMs, repair centers and the U.S. military.

The Company produces a leading-edge line of angle of attack (**AOA**)/stall warning products, including a self-test AOA sensor for use on the Gulfstream G350/G450 series of aircraft and the new IAI G150 aircraft stall warning system. The IAI G150 is in the process of receiving its final certification. Technical Standard Order (**TSO**) certification for the IAI G150 was obtained during fiscal year 2006.

The Company also produces an integrated multifunction probe (**IMFP**), which is a combination of existing technologies, including the angle of attack/air data sensing probe and pressure sensing electronics. This integrated approach to providing aircraft air data reduces the customers' system complexity with respect to aircraft troubleshooting and logistics support, increases reliability, and decreases system costs.

The Company has completed development of a new product line that includes microprocessor-based sensors with an analog pointer display that have been selected by Cessna to be standby units on their new Mustang light jet program. The Microprocessor-based Standby Airspeed indicator and the Microprocessor-based Standby Altimeter indicator have completed the design, testing and TSO certification processes. These indicators combine the accuracy, robustness and the long term mean time before failure of electronic sensing presented with a pilot familiar analog pointer display. The Company has made initial deliveries to Cessna and is actively marketing these products to other customers.

Customers

The Company primarily markets its products to OEMs, particularly manufacturers of corporate and private jets, and to contractors of military jets. Customers include, among others, the U.S. Government and a majority of the OEMs throughout the world. The Company also markets its products to private aircraft owners through its network of authorized distributors.

Contracts

The Company's contracts are normally for production or development. The Company's production contracts are typically fixed-price over a two to five year period, and the industry trend for such contracts is moving away from five year contracts and toward two year contracts. The Company also secures purchase orders from customers for product sales in the normal course of business that are binding contracts upon acceptance of the terms of the orders by the Company.

Fixed-price contracts provide for a firm fixed price on a variety of products and quantities of those products. These contracts allow the Company to negotiate better overall prices that fit into customers' production programs. These long-term commitments also allow the Company to capitalize on quantity based price reduction for raw materials.

Under the firm fixed-price contracts, the Company agrees to perform for an agreed-upon price. Accordingly, the Company derives benefits from cost savings, but bears the risk of cost overruns.

Development contracts provide resources for technology advancement necessary for development of various products. The Company negotiates for and generally receives payments from customers based upon milestones that correlate with the costs incurred. Early in our fiscal year ended January 31, 2003, we were notified that a variation of the IMFP had been selected for use on the Joint Strike Fighter. Development of this system continued through fiscal year 2006 and is expected to conclude in the second quarter of fiscal year 2007.

The Company sold its Engine Vibration Monitoring System (**EVMS**) product line inventory to Beran Instruments Limited, a U.K. company on February 5, 2004. The sale, which also included the U.S.-registered trademark **EVMS** , allowed the Company to concentrate on core technology developments in its other product lines.

In accordance with normal practice, most of our contracts with the U.S. Government and its agencies and departments are subject to partial or complete termination at any time at the government's convenience. Our government contracts generally contain provisions providing that in the event of a termination for convenience by the government, the Company shall have the right to recover allowable costs incurred to the date of termination as well as a proportionate share of the profit on the work completed, consistent with U.S. Government contract regulations and procedures.

Sales and Marketing

The Company has generally focused sales efforts on government and military entities, OEMs and distributors. The Company has increased sales efforts with respect to retrofit, modifications and repair programs.

Due to the integration of components manufactured by the Company with flight management systems, the Company's sales force is generally involved at a very early stage with the aircraft manufacturers' engineers to integrate the components into the aircraft design. All of the Company's component instruments are integrated into the aircraft in order to help maintain the safe operation of the airplane.

At January 31, 2006, the Company's backlog of firm orders was approximately \$21,003,000, a decrease of approximately \$3,998,000 when compared to backlog as of January 31, 2005. The amount of backlog that is deliverable within twelve months was approximately \$18,149,000 at January 31, 2006, a decrease of approximately \$2,987,000 when compared to January 31, 2005. A reduction in the overall backlog due to work that was completed on the Joint Strike Fighter development program coupled with a reduction in backlog due to the fulfillment of Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum requirements are the primary reasons for the overall reduction in backlog during the 2006 fiscal year. The foregoing backlog amounts represent firm orders only and do not include current contract options. Such option orders, however, may be subject to rescheduling and/or cancellation.

Government Regulation

The manufacture and installation of the Company's products in aircraft owned and operated in the U.S. are governed by U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (**FAA**) regulations. The regulations that have the most significant impact on the Company are the TSO and Type Certificate (**TC**) or Supplemental Type Certificate (**STC**) certifications. TSO outlines the minimum standards that a certain type of equipment has to satisfy to be TSO certified. Many OEMs and retrofitters prefer TSO-certified aviation equipment because it acts as an industry-wide stamp of approval. The Company also sells its products to European and other non-U.S. OEMs, which typically require approval from the Joint Aviation Authorities (**JAA**).

The Company has received TSO approval on over 400 different instruments, as well as 70 STCs. Most new instruments qualify for approval based on similarity. This provides a significant advantage to the Company and its customers by reducing the time required obtaining TSO approval on new instruments. The Company also has many instruments with JAA approval.

Quality Assurance

Product quality is critical in the aviation industry. The Company strives to maintain the highest standards within each of its divisions.

The Company is ISO 9001/AS9100 certified. The certifying organization for Clearwater Instruments was AQA International LLC, while Avionics was certified by British Standard Institute Management Systems, Inc. ISO 9001/AS9100 standards are an international consensus on effective management practices for ensuring that a company can consistently deliver its products and related services in a manner that meets or exceeds customer quality requirements. ISO 9001/AS9100 standards outline the minimum requirements a quality system must meet to achieve this certification.

As an ISO 9001/AS9100-certified manufacturer, the Company can represent to its customers that it maintains high quality industry standards in the education of employees and the design and manufacture of its products. In addition, the Company's products undergo extensive quality control testing prior to being delivered to customers. As part of the Company's quality assurance procedures, the Company maintains detailed records of test results and quality control processes.

Patents and Licenses

The Company has patents on certain commercial and military products such as air data probes. The Company also has certain registered trademarks. The intellectual property portfolio, in the aggregate, is valuable to operations, however the Company does not believe the business, as a whole, is materially dependent on any single patent, trademark or copyright.

Research and Development

The Company expended approximately \$688,000, \$758,000 and \$167,000 in research and development costs for potential new products and enhancements during the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease in expenditures in 2006 is due to the full integration of engineering and product development function in the Clearwater Instruments facility that had previously been provided by outside contractors. The increase in expenditures in 2005 was due to the introduction of those functions. Approximately 30 engineers working at the Company, on a full-time or part-time basis, are involved in these activities.

The Company continued its various development efforts during fiscal year 2006 for both military and commercial applications, the most notable of which is the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter program. In addition, the trend toward digital instrumentation includes a more networked approach to aircraft flight management systems thus requiring communication with other aircraft systems. This increase in communication requirements, coupled with the computerized nature of the products themselves, has led to requirements for more sophisticated diagnostic and test equipment, and Aerosonic is searching for new ways to meet these new needs. Further, the Company plans to continue its design efforts in the satisfaction of its existing contractual obligations as well as its internal development of products for future customer applications.

Competition

The markets for the Company's products are highly competitive and characterized by several industry niches in which a number of manufacturers specialize. The Company, in its market niche, manufactures a broader variety of aircraft instruments than its competitors who, in most instances, compete with the Company on no more than a few types of aircraft instruments. In addition to the mechanical instruments that were the traditional foundation of the Company's business, the Company offers electronic instruments and components that are integrated into the flight management system of aircraft. This product offering allows the Company to compete on many levels within the industry.

The Company believes that the principal competitive factors are price, development cycle time, responsiveness to customer preferences, product quality, technology, reliability and variety of products. Management believes that the Company's significant and long-standing customer relationships reflect its ability to compete favorably with respect to these factors.

Manufacturing, Assembly and Material Acquisition

The Company's manufacturing processes (except for certain electronic components) include the manufacture of all principal components and subassemblies for the instruments, the assembly of those components, and the testing of products at various stages in the manufacture and assembly process.

The Company manufactures, or has the capability to manufacture, principally all components (except for certain electronic components) and subassemblies for its instruments. Raw materials, such as glass lenses, raw metals and castings, generally are available from a number of sources and in sufficient quantities to meet current requirements, subject to normal lead times. The Company believes that retaining the ability to completely manufacture the instruments allows the Company the flexibility to respond to customers quickly and control the quality of its products.

When appropriate, less critical component parts are purchased under short and long term supply agreements. These purchased parts are normally standard parts that can be easily obtained from a variety of suppliers. This allows the

Company to focus its attention on more critical component parts to maintain a level of quality control required to meet the exacting tolerances demanded within the industry and by our customers.

Employees

As of the fiscal year ended January 31, 2006, the Company employed 279 employees in its business operations. This consists of 140 Clearwater Instruments employees, 13 Kansas Instruments employees and 126 Avionics employees. The Company's future success depends on the ability to attract, train and retain quality personnel. The Company's employees are not represented by labor unions and management considers its relations with its employees to be good.

ITEM 1A.

RISK FACTORS

The following factors are important and should be considered carefully in connection with any evaluation of Aerosonic's business, financial condition, results of operations, prospects, or an investment in the Company's common stock. In particular, the Company's results of operations, revenue, liquidity and capital resources may be impacted materially and unfavorably by a number of risk factors, trends and uncertainties. Set forth below are some of the risk factors, trends and uncertainties which we believe could have a material and unfavorable impact on the Company's results of operations, revenue, liquidity, capital resources and any investment in the Company. Additional risk factors, trends and uncertainties may be discussed elsewhere within this document. The following list is not exhaustive, and other factors which are not presently apparent to us also may have a material and unfavorable impact on our business or financial results.

Risks associated with dependence on the aviation industry

The Company's principal business is in the aviation industry. This industry is primarily affected by the general state of the economy in the commercial sector and defense budgets of the U.S. and foreign governments in the military sector.

The Company's focus in the commercial sector is in the business jet market. In the military sector, the levels of defense spending as well as the status of international conflicts have a direct impact on the Company's business.

While the Company expects increases in homeland security spending to benefit fixed-wing and helicopter aircraft, the Company cannot guarantee that such increased levels of spending will actually occur nor that such increases will be to the benefit of the Company. Although we have worked to offset the potentially negative impact of such factors, the outbreak or escalation of terrorist attacks or international hostilities could cause deterioration in the commercial and business aircraft market.

Risks associated with dependence on U.S. government contracts

Our dependence on revenue from U.S. government contracts subjects us to a number of risks, including the risk that we may not be successful in bidding for future contracts and the risk that funding for these contracts may be delayed or diverted to other uses.

We perform work under a number of contracts with the Department of Defense and other agencies and departments of the U.S. government. Sales under these contracts as a whole, including sales under contracts with the Department of Defense, as prime contractor or subcontractor, represented approximately 48% of our total revenue for fiscal year 2006 and 44% of our total revenue for fiscal year 2005.

Government contracts are conditioned upon the continuing availability of Congressional appropriations. Congress typically appropriates funds for a given program on a fiscal-year basis even though contract performance may take more than one year. As a result, at the beginning of a major program, a contract is typically only partially funded, and additional monies are normally committed to the contract by the procuring agency only as appropriations are made by Congress for future fiscal years.

While the overall U.S. military budget declined in real dollars from the mid-1980s through the early 1990s, as a result of the September 11th terrorist attacks and given the current Middle East and global situation, U.S. defense spending has increased and is expected to increase over the next several years. Increased defense spending does not necessarily correlate to increased business for the Company, because not all the programs in which the Company participates or has current capabilities may be earmarked for increased funding.

Most of our U.S. government contracts are subject to termination by the U.S. government either at its convenience or upon our default. Termination-for-convenience provisions permit only the recovery of costs incurred or committed,

settlement expenses, and profit on work completed prior to termination. Termination-for-default imposes liability on us for excess costs incurred by the U.S. Government in procuring undelivered items from another source.

A substantial majority of our U.S. Government contracts are fixed price type contracts. A majority of these contracts are for mature products and costs are well established. However, some contracts include costs associated with product development. These types of contracts bear the inherent risk that actual performance cost may exceed the fixed contract price.

We, like other U.S. government contractors, are subject to various audits, reviews and investigations (including private party whistleblower lawsuits) relating to our compliance with federal and state laws. In addition, we have a compliance program designed to uncover issues that may lead to voluntary disclosures to the U.S. government.

Generally, claims arising out of these inquiries and voluntary disclosures can be resolved without resorting to litigation. However, should a business unit or division of the Company involved in a government contract be charged with violation of law, or should the U.S. government determine that the unit or division is not a presently responsible contractor, that unit or division, and conceivably the Company as a whole, could be temporarily suspended or, in the event of a conviction, could be debarred for up to three years from receiving new U.S. government contracts or government-approved subcontracts. In addition, we could expend substantial amounts in defending against such charges and in damages, fines and penalties if such charges are proven or result in negotiated settlements. If we were to be debarred from U.S. government contracts, it would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, revenue, liquidity and capital resources.

Risks associated with aviation industry regulations

The aerospace industry is heavily regulated and failure to comply with applicable laws or regulations could reduce our sales, or require us to incur additional costs to achieve compliance, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The FAA prescribes standards and licensing requirements for aircraft components, including virtually all of our products. Comparable agencies, such as the U.K. Civil Aviation Authority and the Japanese Civil Aviation Board, regulate these matters in other countries. If we fail to obtain a required license for one of our products or services or lose a license previously granted, the sale of the subject product or service would be prohibited by law until such license is obtained or renewed. In addition, designing new products to meet existing regulatory requirements and retrofitting installed products to comply with new regulatory requirements can be both expensive and time consuming.

From time to time the FAA proposes new regulations. These new regulations generally cause an increase in costs to bring our existing and developmental products into compliance.

The challenge to compete against larger well-established companies

We compete with numerous established companies. Some of these companies have significantly greater financial, technological and marketing resources. Our ability to be an effective competitor depends in large part on our success in causing our products to be selected for installation in new aircraft, including next generation aircraft, and in avoiding product obsolescence.

Risks associated with rapid technological change and products that are subject to obsolescence as a result thereof

Our operating results depend in part on our ability to introduce new and enhanced products on a timely basis. Successful product development and introduction depend on numerous factors, including our ability to anticipate customer and market requirements, changes in technology and industry standards, our ability to differentiate our offerings from offerings of our competitors, and market acceptance. The markets for a number of our products and services are generally characterized by rapid technological development, evolving industry standards, changes in customer requirements and new product introductions and enhancements. A faster than anticipated change in one or more of the technologies related to our products or services or in market demand for products or services based on a particular technology could result in faster than anticipated obsolescence of certain of our products or services and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Currently accepted industry standards are also subject to change, which may contribute to the obsolescence of our products or services.

Risks associated with ongoing capital requirements

Although our industry niche is not highly capital intensive, our need to expend resources on research and development to provide our customer base with new and enhanced products as well as to continuously upgrade our process technology and manufacturing capabilities requires us to expend significant amounts annually. If we elect to expand our operations in future periods, whether as a result of organic growth or through strategic acquisitions, our capital needs would increase. Our ability to raise capital to meet our existing and future needs may depend on a variety of factors, some of which will not be within our control, including investor perceptions of us, our businesses and the industries in which we operate, and general economic conditions. We may be unable to successfully raise additional capital, if needed. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash from operations or raise additional capital in the future, we may have to limit our growth, enter into less favorable financing arrangements, or scale back on planned research and development or upgrades, any of which could have a materially adverse effect on our profitability.

Risks related to the Company's handling and use of hazardous substances and related environmental matters

Our operations require the handling and use of hazardous substances, and we are subject to federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules and ordinances relating to pollution, the protection of the environment and the use or cleanup of hazardous substances and wastes. From time to time, our operations could result in violations under such environmental laws, including spills or other releases of hazardous substances into the environment. We may incur substantial costs or experience interruptions in our operations for actual or alleged violations or compliance requirements arising under environmental laws. Additionally, we may be liable for the costs of investigating and cleaning up environmental contamination on or from our properties. In the event of a major incident, we could incur material costs or experience interruption in our operations as a result of addressing the incident and implementing measures to prevent such incidents in the future, as well as potential litigation that could arise from such an incident. In addition, we could incur significant expenditures in order to comply with existing or future environmental laws. Based on available information, we are aware of only one existing environmental matter, which is discussed below under **Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Environmental Matters.**

We are subject to significant restrictive operational and financial covenants.

As noted above and in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company successfully refinanced its long term debt instruments in February 2004. The new facilities contain fewer restrictive financial covenants than the preceding debt instruments, but do contain covenants that restrict our ability to declare and pay dividends and require the Company to obtain consent or a waiver prior to taking certain other corporate actions, including the disposition of assets, mergers and changes in corporate management. Among other things, these covenants may prevent the Company from consummating potential dispositions or acquisitions, and may deter or prevent an acquisition or merger of the Company.

We are subject to the risks associated with international sales.

During fiscal year 2006, international sales accounted for approximately 22% of our total revenues. We anticipate that future international sales will continue to account for a significant percentage of our revenues. Risks associated with these sales include:

Political and economic instability;

Export controls;

Changes in legal and regulatory requirements;

U.S. and foreign government policy changes affecting the markets for our products;

Changes in tax laws and tariffs;

Convertibility and transferability of international currencies; and

Exchange rate fluctuations.

Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Exchange rate fluctuations may negatively affect the cost of our products to international customers and therefore reduce our competitive position.

Attracting and retaining key personnel is an essential element of our future success

Our future success depends to a significant extent upon the continued service of our executive officers and other key management and technical personnel and on our ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel. Recruiting and retaining skilled technical personnel is highly competitive. The loss of the services of one or more of our key employees or our failure to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks associated with terrorism and world conflict

United States and global responses to the Middle East conflict, terrorism, perceived nuclear, biological and chemical threats and other global crises increase uncertainties with respect to U.S. and other business and financial markets. Several factors associated, directly or indirectly, with the Middle East conflict, terrorism, perceived nuclear, biological and chemical threats and other global crises and responses thereto, may adversely affect the Company.

While some of our products that are sold to the U.S. government may experience greater demand as a result of increased defense spending, various responses could realign U.S. government programs and affect the composition, funding or timing of our government programs. U.S. government spending could shift to defense programs in which we do participate.

The Company has contracts with governments of certain states located in the Middle East. The instability in that region, as well as U.S., local or global responses to potentially controversial policies or actions adopted or taken by such governments, may negatively impact those contracts.

The Company has contracts with the government of South Korea. Recent actions and perceived provocations by the government of North Korea have resulted in increased concern regarding the stability of the Korean armistice. Additionally, reports indicate that North Korea may be moving to produce and test nuclear weapons or otherwise provoke the U.S. and international community. Resulting instability on the Korean peninsula, and any U.S., local or global responses to perceived provocations by the government of North Korea, could impact the Company's contracts with South Korea. While an escalation of hostilities on the Korean peninsula might lead to increased military spending by South Korea, there is no certainty that the Company's contracts with South Korea would benefit. Additionally, it is possible that any instability in that region could have a negative impact on the Company's contracts.

Risks associated with significant stock price fluctuations

The market price of our Common Stock ranged from a high of \$8.69 per share to a low of \$3.75 per share during the 52 week period ended January 31, 2006. Certain accounting misstatements, resulting restatements, contributing factors that led to the restatements and an SEC investigation discussed in previous SEC filings and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2003 might continue to have an adverse effect on the price of our common stock.

The average daily trading volume in our Common Stock on the American Stock Exchange (**Amex**) for the six month period ended January 31, 2006 was approximately 6,916 shares per day and the daily trading volume in our common stock during the same period ranged from a low of zero shares traded to a high of 49,900 shares traded.

Other factors and general market conditions that could affect our stock price are:

Our quarterly operating results and variations therein;

Changes in earnings estimates by securities analysts;

Changes in our business;

Changes in the market's perception of our business;

Changes in the businesses, earnings estimates or market perceptions of our competitors or customers;

Changes in the outlook for the aviation industry;

Changes in general market or economic conditions unrelated to our performance;

Changes in the legislative or regulatory environment;

Changes in U.S. defense spending or appropriations;

Increased military or homeland defense activities;

An outbreak or escalation of national or international hostilities;

Terrorist attacks;

Sales of significant blocks of our common stock; and

Our ability to successfully renew our line of credit that is set to expire in June 2006.

Additionally, the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations in recent years that have significantly affected the quoted prices of the securities of many companies, including companies in our industry. The changes often appear to occur without regard to specific operating performance. The price of our common stock could fluctuate based upon factors that have little or nothing to do with our Company and these fluctuations could materially reduce our stock price. When a stock's price continues to fluctuate significantly over a sustained period, the risk of loss, including a total loss, is increased.

We do not plan to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future

We intend to retain our earnings to finance the development and expansion of our business. Additionally, covenants in our long-term debt agreements impose significant restrictions on our ability to pay dividends.

Risks related to the inherent limitations of internal control systems

The Company continues to take action to assure compliance with the internal controls, disclosure controls and other requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and prudent industry practice. However, we cannot guarantee that our internal controls and disclosure controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. In addition, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefit of controls must be relative to their costs.

Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Further, controls can be circumvented by individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more persons, or by management override of the controls.

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, a control may be inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

ITEM 1B.

UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The following table sets forth the locations and general characteristics of the Company's principal properties:

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Approximate Number of Square Feet of Factory and Office Area</u> |
|------------------------|--|
| Clearwater, Florida | 90,000 |
| Wichita, Kansas | 7,500 |
| Earlsville, Virginia | 53,000 |

All of the Company's properties are well maintained, fully occupied by the Company and suitable for the Company's present level of production and usage. All locations operate one shift, five days a week. The Earlysville, Virginia property was purchased from Teledyne Industries in April 1994. The property consists of a 53,000 square foot manufacturing facility on approximately 12 acres of land. As of January 31, 2006, both the Clearwater, Florida property and the Earlysville, Virginia property were mortgaged with Wachovia Bank N.A. (See Note 6, **Financial Statements**).

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Class Action Litigation

A securities class action lawsuit in the Federal District Court of the Middle District of Florida against the Company, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's former auditor, and four former employees of the Company, two of whom were directors (the **Federal Class Action**) was settled in December 2005. On April 1, 2005, the Company and the other named defendants in the Federal Class Action filed a Notice of Settlement with the court. On July 13, 2005, all parties filed a Stipulation of Settlement (the **Stipulation**) to settle the Federal Class Action. The Stipulation provides for a payment by or on behalf of the defendants to the plaintiffs of approximately \$5.35 million. Of this amount, the Company was obligated to pay \$800,000, which was accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of January 31, 2005. The balance of the settlement is expected to be paid by Zurich American Insurance Company on behalf of the Company and the individual defendants under the Company's directors' and officers' insurance policy, and by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. On August 9, 2005, the court preliminarily approved the settlement and set a fairness hearing on November 18, 2005 to consider final approval of the settlement. On August 29, 2005, the Company remitted \$800,000 in satisfaction of this obligation.

On November 18, 2005, the court granted final approval to the settlement as proposed. There were no objections to, or exclusions from, the settlement. However, in light of a recent bankruptcy petition filing by Eric McCracken, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer and a defendant in the litigation, the court also entered a supplemental order directing that no settlement funds be disbursed for thirty days in order to give the bankruptcy court or the bankruptcy trustee an opportunity to file any objection to the settlement. Mr. McCracken's counsel represented that Mr. McCracken petitioned the bankruptcy court to provide relief from the bankruptcy automatic stay. On December 21, 2005, at the conclusion of the appeal period for the class action litigation, the bankruptcy court granted the relief as requested, thus allowing consummation of the settlement.

Derivative Suit

On April 6, 2005, Aerosonic became aware of a Derivative Complaint filed by Matilda Franzitta (the **Franzitta Suit**) filed on March 24, 2005 in the Circuit Court of Hillsborough County, Florida. The Franzitta Suit alleges (a) breaches of fiduciary duties and aiding and abetting such breaches by the present and former directors, officers, and employees named as defendants, for an asserted "Relevant Period" which appears to begin sometime in 1999 and continues to the

present time; and (b) breaches of contract, professional negligence, and aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, by the Company's former registered independent certified public accounting firm during the asserted Relevant Period, and that firm's partner in charge of the Company's audits during most of that time.

On July 11, 2005, the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their claims against William Parker, a former director of the Company. On July 25, 2005, all parties filed a Stipulation of Settlement to settle the Franzitta Suit (the **Franzitta Stipulation**). The settlement provided the Company appoint a new independent director to its board and grant standing authority to the Company's Audit Committee to investigate any matters it deems appropriate. The settlement also provided for a payment of up to \$75,000 in attorney's fees and costs to plaintiff's counsel, none of which was paid by the Company. On August 16, 2005, the court preliminarily approved the settlement and set a final fairness hearing on November 21, 2005. There were no objections to, or exclusions from, the settlement. At the November 21 hearing, the court granted final approval to the settlement as proposed. However, in light of a bankruptcy petition filing by Eric McCracken, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer and a defendant in the litigation, the court also entered a supplemental order directing that no settlement funds be disbursed for thirty days in order to give the bankruptcy court or the bankruptcy trustee an opportunity to file any objection to the settlement. Mr. McCracken's counsel represented that Mr. McCracken petitioned the bankruptcy court to provide relief from the bankruptcy automatic stay. On December 21, 2005, at the conclusion of the appeal period for the derivative suit, the bankruptcy court granted the relief as requested, thus allowing consummation of the settlement.

Additional Proceedings and Matters

In addition to the foregoing, from time to time, the Company may be involved in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, at this time, there are no claims or legal actions that will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

No matters were submitted to a vote of stockholders of the Company during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED SECURITY HOLDER MATTERS.****Market Information**

Our common stock is listed on the Amex under the symbol **AIM**. The range of high and low sales prices as reported by the Amex for each of the quarters of the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006 and January 31, 2005 is as follows:

COMMON STOCK MARKET PRICE

| 2006 | HIGH | LOW |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Fourth quarter | | |
| | \$ 8.00 | \$ 5.76 |
| Third quarter | | |
| | \$ 8.69 | \$ 5.68 |
| Second quarter | | |
| | \$ 8.35 | \$ 3.80 |
| First quarter | | |
| | \$ 5.50 | \$ 3.75 |
| 2005 | HIGH | LOW |
| Fourth quarter | | |
| | \$ 6.60 | \$ 4.10 |
| Third quarter | | |
| | \$ 5.95 | \$ 3.78 |
| Second quarter | | |
| | \$ 7.35 | \$ 4.80 |
| First quarter | | |
| | \$ 9.00 | \$ 6.85 |

Holders

As of March 10, 2006, the Company's outstanding shares of common stock were owned by approximately 1,392 stockholders of record.

Dividends

During those same periods, no cash dividends were paid. The Company does not anticipate or intend on paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. Rather, the Company intends to retain its earnings to finance the development and expansion of its business. Additionally, covenants in our long-term debt documents impose significant restrictions on our ability to pay dividends. Any future payment of any dividends on the Company's common stock and the amount thereof will depend on the Company's earnings, financial requirements, compliance with the above described covenants, and other factors deemed relevant by the Company's Board of Directors.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

Issuer Repurchases

None

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth information with respect to the Company's equity compensation plans.

| <u>Plan Category</u> | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding those reflected in column (a) (c) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 45,039 | \$5.47 | 154,961 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Total | 45,039 | \$5.47 | 154,961 |

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following table represents selected financial data for the five fiscal years ended January 31. The data set forth below does not purport to be complete. It should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the more detailed information, including the Financial Statements and Notes, appearing elsewhere in this document. The selected financial data as of January 31, 2003 and 2002 and for each of the years then ended have been derived from audited financial information not separately presented herein.

| | Years Ended January 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |

Edgar Filing: AEROSONIC CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 34,763,000 | \$ 30,721,000 | 31,113,000 | 25,672,000 | 26,686,000 |
| Cost of sales | | | | | |
| | (24,571,000) | (22,654,000) | (22,494,000) | (16,783,000) | (17,441,000) |
| Gross margin | | | | | |
| | 10,192,000 | 8,067,000 | 8,619,000 | 8,889,000 | 9,245,000 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | | | | |
| | (7,884,000) | (7,996,000) | (8,421,000) | (7,776,000) | (8,082,000) |
| Operating income | | | | | |
| | 2,308,000 | 71,000 | 198,000 | 1,113,000 | 1,163,000 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | | |
| | (99,000) | 376,000 | (121,000) | (129,000) | (431,000) |
| Income before income taxes | | | | | |
| | 2,209,000 | 447,000 | 77,000 | 984,000 | 732,000 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | | | | | |
| | 341,000 | 1,094,000 | 465,000 | 22,000 | (21,000) |
| Net income | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 2,550,000 | \$ 1,541,000 | 542,000 | 1,006,000 | 711,000 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 0.65 | \$ 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.18 |
| Total assets | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 20,212,000 | \$ 18,463,000 | 18,391,000 | 16,653,000 | 15,484,000 |
| Long term debt ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | | | | |
| | 2,840,000 | \$ 3,037,000 | \$ 2,416,000 | \$ 3,411,000 | \$ 4,374,000 |

(1)

Long term debt is defined as all outstanding long term debt and capital leases, including current maturities.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition (**MD&A**) is provided as a supplement to the accompanying consolidated financial statements and footnotes to help provide an understanding of our business, financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of operations. The MD&A is organized as follows:

Overview. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statements and Notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion and analysis contains trend analysis and may contain forward-looking statements. These statements are based on the Company's current expectations and actual results may materially differ from such expectations. Among the factors that could cause actual results to vary are those described in this **Overview** section and in **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**.

Results of Operations. This section provides an analysis of results of operations for the three fiscal years presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources. This section provides an analysis of cash flows, a discussion of outstanding debt and commitments, both firm and contingent, that existed as of January 31, 2006, and trends, demands, commitments, events and uncertainties with respect to the Company's ability to finance its continuing operations.

Critical Accounting Policies. This section discusses the accounting policies (i) that require the Company to make estimates that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, (ii) for which a different estimate which could have been made would have a material impact on the Company's financial statements, (iii) that are the most important and pervasive policies utilized, and (iv) that are the most sensitive to material change from external factors. In addition, our significant accounting policies, including the critical accounting policies, are summarized in Note 1 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Overview

The trend in the aviation industry is toward digital cockpits as the industry moves away from mechanical cockpit instrumentation that was the foundation of the Company. The Company has made considerable progress in developing electronic instrumentation that is integrated into cockpit flight management systems to replace mechanical instrumentation that the Company had previously offered to its customers. The Company is currently developing digital-display instrumentation to further replace mechanical instrumentation in its product line to broaden its offering to customers who may want to upgrade their cockpits. The Company has increased its research and development expenditures to facilitate this upgrade in its product line as it anticipates further movement toward digital cockpits in

the aviation industry, positioning itself in a market niche where it has the ability to offer both digital and mechanical instrumentation. While the Company believes that this strategy strengthens its position in the industry, it cannot guarantee that this strategy will be successful.

An additional trend in the aviation industry is toward system integration by the OEMs. While the Company currently maintains direct relationships with OEMs, it anticipates that OEM suppliers will need to align themselves with integrators in order to preserve its position on future aircraft platforms.

The Company also has significant business tied to military programs. As a consequence, the Company's business can fluctuate depending on government spending on military programs for which the Company supplies its products.

While the Company has been successful in obtaining contracts to supply military needs in recent years, changes in government spending could have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the Company's future military business.

Likewise, changes in the commercial sector of the aviation industry can have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the Company's future business. While the Company has invested heavily in product development for both funded and unfunded programs, OEM requirements may change such that additional product development efforts will be necessary to maintain or increase the Company's revenue in the industry.

As the Company endeavors to increase its international presence, its exposure to international economic changes as well as foreign currency risk may increase. While the Company may manage this exposure with appropriate resources, it cannot guarantee that such risks will be fully mitigated.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Revenues

Revenues increased \$4,042,000, or 13%, to \$34,763,000 for fiscal year 2006, from \$30,721,000 for fiscal year 2005. This increase was largely driven by shipments of IMFPs, particularly the initiation of IMFP shipments to Korean Aerospace. In addition, volume for core instrument revenues increased approximately \$303,000 and recognition of revenue for the Joint Strike Fighter (**JSF**) development program increased approximately \$225,000.

Revenues decreased \$392,000, or 1%, to \$30,721,000 for fiscal year 2005, from \$31,113,000 for fiscal year 2004. This decrease was largely driven by a decrease in revenue of approximately \$3,499,000 for the JSF development program that resulted from slower progress on completing and testing the probe design. Precision Components revenue decreased approximately \$974,000 as the phase-out of that business was completed. These decreases in revenue were partially offset by increases in volume for core instrument revenues of approximately \$3,670,000 and price changes of approximately \$411,000 as the Company achieved further market penetration for recently-introduced products and negotiated more favorable terms.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased \$1,917,000 or 8.5%, to \$24,571,000, or 71% of revenues, for fiscal year 2006 from \$22,564,000, or 73% of revenues, for fiscal year 2005. This increase in cost was primarily due to higher revenues, while increases in manufacturing efficiencies yielded greater throughput that reduced overall costs as a percentage of revenues. However, margins on the JSF development program were negative, as the rate of growth in JSF program costs incurred exceeded the rate of growth in revenues recognized, partially offsetting the effect of increased manufacturing throughput.

Cost of sales increased \$160,000 or 0.7%, to \$22,654,000, or 73% of revenues, for fiscal year 2005 from \$22,494,000, or 72% of revenues, for fiscal year 2004. This increase in cost was primarily due to lower margins on the JSF development program, as the rate of growth in JSF program costs incurred exceeded the rate of growth in revenues recognized. In addition, further discussions and negotiations with the JSF program's customer in January 2005 resulted in the definition of additional design work and testing to continue with progress on the probe design.

Additional design work, testing and modifications to the products being developed for the JSF project have resulted in further changes to the Company's estimate at completion for the project. The Company now estimates that the JSF project will result in an overall loss of approximately \$2,030,000 at completion. The Company has recognized the losses throughout the program as revisions to the estimate were identified.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative (**SG&A**) expenses decreased \$112,000, or 1%, to \$7,884,000, or 23% of revenue, for fiscal year 2006 from \$7,996,000, or 26% of revenue for fiscal year 2005. The decrease was primarily attributable to reductions in research and development costs as the transition of projects from outside contractors to internal engineering staff was completed early in fiscal year 2005. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in sales commissions due to a substantial increase in sales to customers in Asia.

SG&A expenses decreased \$425,000, or 5%, to \$7,996,000, or 26% of revenue, for fiscal year 2005 from \$8,421,000, or 27% of revenue for fiscal year 2004. The decrease was attributable to substantial reductions in one-time charges for professional fees that were partially offset by the Company's investment at Clearwater Instruments of approximately \$1,050,000 in product development for the Cessna Mustang program and the expansion of its engineering capability, increases in corporate governance-related expenses of approximately \$124,000 as well as increases in travel of approximately \$72,000 for international business development.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense increased \$8,000, or 6%, to \$151,000 in fiscal year 2006 from net interest expense of \$143,000 in fiscal year 2006. The net interest expense increase was due to higher average interest rates during the year, offsetting the benefit of lower average outstanding debt as well as interest income earned on accumulated excess cash.

Net interest expense decreased \$26,000, or 15%, to \$143,000 in fiscal year 2005 from net interest expense of \$169,000 in fiscal year 2004. The net interest expense decrease was due to lower average outstanding debt during the year.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) decreased \$467,000 to \$52,000 in fiscal year 2006 from other income (expense) of \$519,000 in fiscal year 2005. Miscellaneous income in fiscal year 2006 was primarily provided by foreign exchange gains on British Pound-denominated transactions. The decrease in miscellaneous income from the prior year was due to the non-recurrence of substantial one-time items that are identified in the following paragraph.

Other income (expense) increased \$471,000 to \$519,000 in fiscal year 2005 from other income (expense) of \$48,000 in fiscal year 2004. The increase was primarily due to the receipt of an insurance settlement of approximately \$997,000 in May 2004 related to the June 2003 fire in the machine shop at the Company's Clearwater Instruments location as well as the receipt of net proceeds of approximately \$267,000 related to the sale of the Company's Engine Vibration Monitoring System (**EVMS**) product line inventory in February 2004. The sales price of the EVMS product line was approximately \$626,000, and the costs related to this sale included inventory that was sold of approximately \$257,000, inventory that was made obsolete by the sale of approximately \$74,000 and legal fees of approximately \$28,000. The increases in other income, net were partially offset by the recognition of a liability of approximately \$800,000 related to the anticipated settlement of the Company's class action litigation.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was a benefit of \$341,000 for fiscal year 2006 as compared to a benefit of \$1,094,000 for fiscal year 2005. The effective tax benefit decreased to 15.4% in fiscal year 2006 from 244.7% in fiscal year 2005. The increase in the effective tax rate is primarily due to a substantial increase in operating income when compared to the tax effect of the lower level of operating income in fiscal year 2005 as well as the impact of the change in the deferred tax valuation allowance recognized in fiscal year 2005. However, the Company has recognized extraterritorial income tax benefits of approximately \$805,000 in fiscal year 2006 derived from non-U.S. sales activity for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2003 through 2006.

Income tax expense was a benefit of \$1,094,000 for fiscal year 2005 as compared to a benefit of \$465,000 for fiscal year 2004. The effective tax benefit decreased to 244.7% in fiscal year 2005 from 603.9% in fiscal year 2004. The increase in the effective tax rate is primarily due to a substantial increase in income before taxes and a reduction in the deferred tax valuation allowance in fiscal year 2005 when compared to the impact of the change in the deferred tax valuation allowance recognized in fiscal year 2004.

The income tax obligations that arise from pretax income for fiscal year 2005 are offset by the change in the deferred tax allowance recognized in fiscal year 2005 as well as the benefit of net operating loss carryforwards. Although the Company has recorded substantial deferred tax assets, the Company anticipates that future earnings during the three fiscal years succeeding the 2005 fiscal year will be sufficient to utilize the deferred tax benefits. Consequently, the

Company has reduced its deferred tax valuation allowance from approximately \$974,000 as of January 31, 2004 to \$0 as of January 31, 2005.

Income

Net income increased \$1,009,000 or 65% to \$2,550,000, or 7% of revenue, for fiscal year 2006 from \$1,541,000, or 5% of revenue, for fiscal year 2005. Earnings per share increased \$0.26 to \$0.65 for fiscal year 2006 from \$0.39 in fiscal year 2005.

Net income increased \$999,000 or 184% to \$1,541,000, or 5.0% of revenue, for fiscal year 2005 from \$542,000, or 1.7% of revenue, for fiscal year 2004. Earnings per share increased \$0.25 to \$0.39 for fiscal year 2005 from \$0.14 in fiscal year 2004.

Inflation

The Company does not believe that inflation has had a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. However, the Company cannot predict the future effects of inflation.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash provided by operating activities was \$2,964,000 for fiscal year 2006 as compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$477,000 for fiscal year 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to a substantial increase in progress billings to Lockheed for the JSF development program as well as the receipt of federal income tax refunds for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2003. Higher volume resulted in the use of cash to fund increases in accounts receivable and inventories to sustain the increased activity level. In addition, cash outflows for prepaid expenses increased as the Company began utilizing its excess cash to pay its insurance premiums, discontinuing the practice of utilizing premium financing for this purpose.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$477,000 for fiscal year 2005 as compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$2,214,000 for fiscal year 2004. The reduction was primarily attributable to a substantial year-over-year decrease in accounts payable as the Company was able to bring its accounts to current status following a year of substantial one-time expenses. This decrease was partially offset by improvements in cash flows from net income (net of deferred income tax effects) of approximately \$402,000, accounts receivable of approximately \$556,000 and income taxes receivable and payable of approximately \$391,000.

Cash used in investing activities was \$455,000 for fiscal year 2006 as compared to \$534,000 for fiscal year 2005. These expenditures were primarily made to sustain existing business activity.

Cash used in investing activities was \$534,000 for fiscal year 2005 as compared to \$403,000 for fiscal year 2004. The increase was primarily attributable to a machine tool purchase for production at Avionics of approximately \$242,000 that was offset by the proceeds from the sale of property of approximately \$95,000.

Cash used in financing activities was approximately \$243,000 for fiscal year 2006 as compared to cash used of \$379,000 in fiscal year 2005. This cash usage was entirely the result of paying down long-term debt as scheduled.

Cash used in financing activities was approximately \$379,000 for fiscal year 2005 as compared to cash used of \$795,000 in fiscal year 2004. This reduction in cash usage was primarily due to repayments of a portion of the Company's long-term debt as well as the full repayment of previous drawdowns under the Company's revolving credit facility.

To accommodate fluctuations in cash flow, the Company has a \$2.5 million revolving credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. (**Wachovia**), which is set to expire in June 2006. Although unused as of January 31, 2006, this revolving credit facility remains fully available for the Company's short-term financing needs. The Company intends to negotiate a new credit line with Wachovia, and will endeavor to obtain terms and conditions that are at least equal to if not better than the existing terms and conditions. However, the Company cannot guarantee the outcome of these negotiations.

Note 6 to the Financial Statements provides details of the Company's refinancing of its principal debt with Wachovia on February 25, 2004 (the **Wachovia Refinancing**). The debt facilities provided by the Wachovia Refinancing consist of a \$3,000,000 term loan, the aforementioned revolving credit facility and a \$211,000 term loan. The proceeds from the Wachovia Refinancing were used to repay all of the Company's debt obligations to First Commercial Bank and SunTrust Bank N.A. The repayment of the Company's debt to First Commercial Bank and SunTrust Bank, N.A. was at stated value, and therefore resulted in no gain or loss.

The Company's long-term debt agreements with Wachovia contain certain financial and other restrictive covenants, including the requirement to maintain: (i) at all times, a ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth that does not exceed 1.30 to 1.00; and (ii) at the end of each fiscal quarter, a cash flow coverage ratio (with regard to the ratio of cash flow to the debt service) of at least 1.25 to 1.00.

The Wachovia loan agreement subjects the Company to a number of additional covenants that, among other things, require the Company to obtain consent from the lender prior to making a material change of management, prior to making a guarantee of or otherwise becoming responsible for obligations of any other person or entity or assuming or becoming liable for any debt, contingent or direct, in excess of \$100,000.

The Company is also required to provide Wachovia with its interim (quarterly) financial statements within 45 days of the close of each fiscal quarter and its annual financial statements within 90 days of the close of each fiscal year.

As of January 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt covenants.

The Company's ability to maintain sufficient liquidity and compliance with covenants in fiscal year 2006 and beyond is highly dependent upon achieving expected operating results. Failure to achieve expected operating results and compliance with covenants could have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity and operations in fiscal year 2006 and beyond, and could require implementation of further measures, including deferring planned capital expenditures, reducing discretionary spending, and, if necessary, selling assets.

In addition, future capital requirements depend on numerous factors, including research and development, expansion of products lines, and other factors. Management believes that cash and cash equivalents, together with the Company's cash flow from operations and current borrowing arrangements, will provide for these necessary capital expenditures. Furthermore, the Company may develop and introduce new or enhanced products, respond to competitive pressures, invest or acquire businesses or technologies or respond to unanticipated requirements or developments, which would require additional resources.

As shown in the table below, as of January 31, 2006, there were liquidation payments that were due with respect to long-term debt and other contractual obligations in fiscal year 2007 and beyond:

| <u>Contractual Obligations</u> | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Less than One Year | 1 - 3 Years | 4 - 5 Years | After 5 Years |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | | |
| Purchase Commitments | 4,141,000 | 3,784,000 | 327,000 | \$ 30,000 | \$ - |
| Long-Term Debt ⁽¹⁾ | 2,794,000 | 230,000 | 460,000 | 460,000 | 1,644,000 |
| Operating Leases | 533,000 | 406,000 | 121,000 | 6,000 | - |
| Total Contractual Cash Obligations | \$ 7,468,000 | \$ 4,420,000 | \$ 908,000 | \$ 496,000 | \$ 1,644,000 |

(1)

The long term debt carries an interest obligation equal to the one-month LIBOR interest rate plus 300 basis points. As of January 31, 2006, the annualized amount of interest for the January 31, 2006 long-term debt balance of approximately \$2,841,000 would be approximately \$215,000.

The Company's ability to maintain sufficient liquidity in fiscal year 2007 and beyond is highly dependent upon achieving expected operating results and renewing its credit line. The Company's credit facilities with Wachovia, as discussed above, include a \$2.5 million credit line that is set to expire on June 1, 2006. Failure to renew that line of credit or failure to achieve expected operating results could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and our operations in fiscal year 2007, and could require us to consider further measures, including deferring planned capital expenditures, reducing discretionary spending and, if necessary, selling assets.

Acquisitions

Currently, the Company has no present arrangements or understandings with respect to any acquisitions. Nevertheless, the Company continues to monitor and evaluate acquisition opportunities. The Company's ability to consummate any acquisitions, including a merger with another entity, is substantially limited by covenants in the Company's long term debt documents.

Environmental Matters

In accordance with a consent agreement with the Department of Environmental Protection signed by the Company in 1993, the Company's environmental consultant developed an interim remedial action plan (the **Remedial Plan**) to contain and remediate certain soil and groundwater contamination that had been discovered at the Company's Clearwater, Florida location. The contamination was discovered after a series of site investigations that revealed impacts to both shallow soils and groundwater that may have resulted from the accidental loss of solvents and metalworking fluids as well as activities from neighboring property over a period of 20 years or more. Although the exact cause of the contamination has never been ascertained, the Company nonetheless accepted responsibility for remediating the pollutants that were discovered on its property.

During 1997, the Company recorded a provision of approximately \$175,000 related to the estimated costs to be incurred under the Remedial Plan. As of January 31, 2000, the Company had utilized all amounts originally recorded in other accrued expenses, and the remediation phase of the Remedial Plan had been completed.

During the third quarter of 2001, management determined the post-remediation monitoring expense related to the environmental cleanup of 1993 would cost approximately \$125,000. This amount was accrued and expensed during the third quarter of 2001. As of January 31, 2003, all existing reserve balances had been utilized. Based upon an assessment by the Company's environmental consultants, the Company's management has calculated the Company's expected future expenditure for post-remediation monitoring expense and has established a reserve of \$80,000 for such expense as of January 31, 2006.

The Company is now in a monitoring-only stage of the remediation (where periodic measurements are taken by environmental consultants to determine the effectiveness of the remediation). The existing reserve contemplates the remaining expected expenses, based upon advice from the Company's environmental consultants, as of January 31, 2006.

The following table is a recap of the financial impact of the Company's environmental activities:

| | Years ended January 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Beginning reserve balance | \$ 98,000 | \$ 38,000 | \$ 38,000 |
| Costs incurred | (18,000) | (25,000) | (19,000) |
| Replenish reserve for costs incurred | - | 25,000 | 19,000 |

Increase in estimate

- 60,000 -

Ending reserve balance

\$ 80,000 \$ 98,000 \$ 38,000

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon the accompanying consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of those financial statements and this Annual Report on Form 10-K requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure items, including disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions, and as a result of trends and uncertainties identified above under **Results of Operations** and **Liquidity and Capital Resources** and in **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**. Further, such differences could be material.

Set forth below is a discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies. The Company considers critical accounting policies to be those (i) that require the Company to make estimates that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, (ii) for which a different estimate which could have been made would have a material impact on the Company's financial statements, (iii) that are the most important and pervasive policies utilized, and (iv) that are the most sensitive to material change from external factors. Additionally, the policies discussed below are critical to an understanding of the financial statements because their application places the most significant demands on management's judgment, with financial reporting results relying on estimates about the effect of matters that are highly uncertain. Specific risks for these critical accounting policies are described in the following paragraphs. The impact and any associated risks related to these policies on business operations is discussed throughout this MD&A where such policies affect reported and expected financial results.

For a detailed discussion regarding the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Senior management has discussed the development and selection of the critical accounting estimates and the related disclosure included herein with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Revenue Recognition

The Company manufactures most of its products on a build-to-order basis and ships products upon completion. The Company has a policy of strict adherence to the provisions of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 104 (**SAB 104**) in order to accurately state its revenues in each accounting period. For certain situations, some judgment is required, but most sales have clear revenue recognition criteria.

Revenue sources for product sales are largely from sales to commercial and government customers. The majority of customer sales terms are F.O.B. origin, although some customer terms are F.O.B. destination. For those customers where terms are origin, revenue is generally recognized upon shipment, unless additional prevailing factors would not be in accordance with the revenue recognition requirements of SAB 104. For those customers whose terms are destination, revenue is generally not recognized until goods arrive at the customers' premises and all other revenue recognition criteria are met.

The Company experiences a certain degree of sales returns that varies over time. Generally, such returns occur within no more than 90 days after shipment by the Company to its customers. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 48 (**SFAS 48**) Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists, the Company is able to make a reasonable estimation of expected sales returns based upon history and as contemplated by the requirements of SFAS 48. For example, sales returns may occur if delivery schedules are changed by customers after products have shipped or if products are received by customers but do not meet specifications. In such cases, customers may choose to return products to the Company. Absent such circumstances, customers do not have a right to return products if the Company has met all contractual obligations. Previously, the Company had established a sales return reserve that approximated an expected level of sales returns over a 90-day period. The Company's experience with respect to sales returns for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006 and 2005 has improved such that management believes it is no longer necessary to provide for a sales returns reserve.

From time to time, the Company will jointly develop products with its customers for future applications. In such circumstances, the Company recognizes revenue on a percentage of completion basis, measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date to estimated total costs for the contract. This method is used because management considers expended costs to be the best available measure of progress on the contracts. The percentage of completion contract costs include direct labor, material, subcontracting costs, test facilities, and other indirect costs as allocated. Other operating costs are charged to expense as incurred. During fiscal 2003, the Company secured one long-term fixed-price contract for the development of instrumentation for the JSF program. Costs and estimated earnings on this contract as of January 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Costs incurred to date | \$ 16,059,000 | \$ 11,493,000 |
| Estimated losses | (2,030,000) | (201,000) |
| | 14,029,000 | 11,292,000 |
| Less billings to date | (13,609,000) | (9,350,000) |
| Costs and estimated losses in excess of billings | \$ 420,000 | \$ 1,942,000 |

In January 2005, after further discussions with the Company's customer for the JSF program that culminated in requirements for design changes in the multifunction probe that the Company is designing for the JSF, the Company has revised its estimate at completion for the JSF program. The estimated costs of the project increased by approximately \$1,186,000 and, as a result, estimated total program costs exceed estimated program revenues by approximately \$201,000. The Company recognized this loss in its financial statements for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2005. Subsequent to the design changes, the Company encountered many issues throughout the year related to testing and verification of the design change that resulted in increased expenditures for the program. Consequently, the Company recognized additional losses in fiscal year 2006 as the likelihood of incurring those losses were identified.

The JSF program is a customer-funded product development program that is presently expected to generate total project revenues of approximately \$16,281,000. As of January 31, 2006, based on project progress, the Company has recognized revenues of approximately \$14,029,000 for the JSF project. The JSF program represents a significant investment in the Company's future product development capabilities. While Company management is expending considerable effort to control costs and complete the development process on schedule, the key benefit to the program is the substantial revenue that the Company will earn if it is awarded the production contract for the JSF that is expected to follow the conclusion of the development program, which is presently expected to occur in mid-2007.

Occasionally the Company enters into research and development contracts with customers. The Company accounts for such contracts on the basis of the lesser of non-refundable cash versus percentage of completion.

Accounts Receivable Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Credit Losses

The Company continuously evaluates its customers and provides reserves for anticipated credit losses as soon as collection becomes compromised. The Company does maintain a limited reserve in anticipation that smaller accounts may become a collection issue, which occurs from time to time based on historical experience. However, most of the Company's customers are financially sound and the Company's history of bad debts is relatively low. While credit losses have historically been within expectations and the provisions established, the Company cannot guarantee that it will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that have been experienced in the past. Measurement of such losses requires consideration of historical loss experience, including the need to adjust for current conditions, and judgments about the probable effects of relevant observable data, including present economic conditions such as delinquency rates and financial health of specific customers.

Provisions for Excess and Obsolete Inventory Losses and Residual Value Losses

The Company values inventory at the lower of cost (using a method that approximates the first-in, first-out method) or net realizable value. Reviews of inventory quantities on hand have been conducted to determine if usage or sales history supports maintaining inventory values at full cost or if it has instead become necessary to record a provision for slow moving, excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on estimated forecasts of product demand and production requirements for the subsequent twelve months. Estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case the Company may understate or overstate the provision required for excess and obsolete inventory. Although the Company endeavors to ensure the accuracy of forecasts of future product demand, any significant unanticipated changes in demand or technological developments could have a significant impact on the

value of inventory and consequently reported operating results.

Work In Process Inventories

Management employs certain methods to estimate the value of work in process inventories for financial reporting purposes. Company practice has been to conduct cycle counts of inventory at its Clearwater, Florida, Earlysville, Virginia and Wichita, Kansas operations throughout the year. Generally, for items that are in process at the end of a fiscal year, management will make an estimate during the cycle counting process regarding the percentage of completion of such items in order to accurately reflect costs incurred to date on the production of the items that are still in process. These estimates are affected by the nature of the operation at which the items are located at the time a physical inventory is conducted, and are subject to judgment. This practice was employed for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006 and 2005.

Manufacturing Overhead Cost Application

The Company establishes its inventoriable cost of manufacturing overhead by calculating its overhead costs as a percentage of direct labor and applying that percentage to direct labor that has been charged to inventory on a twelve month rolling average basis. This application percentage is reviewed at least quarterly and is adjusted at least annually.

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (**SFAS**) No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided against the future benefit of deferred tax assets if it is determined that it is more likely than not that the future tax benefits associated with the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Long-Lived Assets

The useful lives of property, plant and equipment for purposes of computing depreciation are:

Land Improvements

15-20 Years

Buildings and improvements

25-30 Years

Machinery and equipment

3-10 Years

Patterns, dies, and tools

3-5 Years

Furniture and fixtures

5-10 Years

Management periodically evaluates long-lived assets for potential impairment and will record an impairment charge whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. As of January 31, 2006 and 2005, management does not believe that any assets are impaired.

The Company will capitalize production costs for computer software that is to be utilized as an integral part of a product when both (a) technological feasibility is established for the software and (b) all research and development activities for the other components of the product have been completed. Amortization is charged to expense at the greater of the expected unit sales versus units sold or the straight line method for a period of three years from the date the product becomes available for general release to customers.

Other Accounts Affected by Management Estimates

From time to time, management will utilize estimates when preparing the financial statements of the Company. Such areas include amortization and other accruals.

The Company has established a provision for warranty claims in anticipation of a certain degree of warranty activity, which generally is a minimal expense. This provision is based upon recent warranty experience. The Company's warranty experience is as follows:

| | For the years ended January 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Beginning reserve balance | | | |
| | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 50,000 |
| Costs incurred | | | |
| | (24,000) | (108,000) | (160,000) |
| Replenish reserve for costs incurred | | | |
| | - | 108,000 | 160,000 |
| Increase in estimate | | | |
| | - | - | 100,000 |
| Ending reserve balance | | | |
| | \$ 126,000 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 |

The following table summarizes significant areas which require management estimates:

| | As of January 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | | |
| | \$ 10,000 | \$ 14,000 | \$ 152,000 |
| Reserve for obsolete and slow moving inventory | | | |
| | 508,000 | 401,000 | 1,426,000 |
| Warranty reserve | | | |
| | 126,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Environmental reserve | | | |
| | 80,000 | 98,000 | 38,000 |

Reserve for sales returns

- 38,000 75,000

Deferred tax valuation allowance

- - 974,000

\$ 724,000 \$ 701,000 \$ 2,815,000

In addition to the items noted in the above table, management uses estimates in calculating the percentage of completion of the JSF program. These estimates have a significant impact on Costs and estimated losses in excess of billings included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Management also uses estimates in the calculation of depreciation and amortization on its property, plant and equipment and loan costs. The following table summarizes depreciation and amortization expense:

| | For the year ended January 31, | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Depreciation | | | |
| | \$ 665,000 | \$ 654,000 | \$ 683,000 |
| Amortization | | | |
| | 16,000 | 58,000 | 142,000 |
| | \$ 681,000 | \$ 712,000 | \$ 825,000 |

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (**FAS 123(R)**), a revision of SFAS No. 123 (**FAS 123**). The statement is effective for the first annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. This Statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services and addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. FAS 123 focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. The original pronouncement, issued in October 1995, defined a fair value based method of accounting for share-based payments, but permitted companies to disclose such payments either employing the fair value based method of accounting or by using the intrinsic value method as defined by APB No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. For companies reporting under the intrinsic value method, FAS 123 required a proforma footnote disclosure of the impact of the fair value based method for financial reporting purposes. The 2004 revision to FAS 123, FAS 123(R), eliminates the intrinsic value method as provided by APB No. 25. As of January 31, 2006, the Company reports its share-based payments utilizing a proforma footnote disclosure. The Company will adopt FAS 123(R) in the first quarter of 2007. The Company does not believe that the adoption of FAS 123(R) will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* (**FAS 154**). The statement is effective for the first annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005. This Statement replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* (**APB 20**) and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements* and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. FAS 154 makes a distinction between restatements (the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error) and retrospective applications (the application of a different accounting

principle to prior accounting periods as if that principle had always been used or as the adjustment of previously issued financial statements to reflect a change in the reporting entity). The retrospective application applies to voluntary changes in accounting principle by requiring retrospective application of the change throughout the periods reported instead of reporting the cumulative effect of the change, as previously prescribed by APB 20. However, FAS 154 limits this treatment to only the direct effects of a change in accounting principle. FAS 154 further defines that a change in depreciation, amortization or depletion method for long-lived, nonfinancial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. Finally, FAS 154 establishes retrospective application as the transition method for new accounting principles, but only if the new accounting pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions.

ITEM 7A.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The primary market risk exposure for the Company is interest rate risk. The Company's existing debt facilities require the payment of interest at a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 300 basis points. Consequently, the Company has an exposure to fluctuations in the underlying interest rate for its debt facilities. As an example, the prevailing rate for one-month LIBOR at January 31, 2006 was 4.57%. Therefore, the Company's borrowing cost under its existing debt facilities as of January 31, 2005 was 7.57%. For each \$1.0 million of borrowing at the January 31, 2006 one-month LIBOR interest rate, the Company's annual interest cost would be \$75,700. Significant fluctuations in the underlying LIBOR interest rate index could have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. Presently, the Company does not utilize any financial instruments to manage this interest rate risk.

The Company also has a market risk exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. The Company has a limited number of purchase and sale transactions that are denominated in British Pounds. The Company's strategy in managing this risk exposure is to match the timing of British Pound-denominated cash inflows and outflows. British Pound-denominated cash inflows generally exceed outflows, and the excess balance, if nominal, is maintained in a British Pound-denominated bank account. Substantial amounts of foreign currency are otherwise immediately converted to U.S. Dollars when received.

ITEM 8.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

The consolidated financial statements and supplementary data required by Item 8 are listed in the index beginning on page F-1 and are included in this Form 10-K.

ITEM 9.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None

ITEM 9A.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the **Exchange Act**), the Company's management, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon their evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(f)), were effective in timely making known to them material information relating to the Company (including its subsidiary Avionics) required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Exchange Act.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(d), the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of the Company's internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the fourth fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Based upon that evaluation, there has been no such change during the fourth fiscal quarter.

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system will be met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events.

ITEM 9B.

OTHER INFORMATION.

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information with respect to Directors and certain Executive Officers required by Item 10 shall be included in the section captioned Election of Directors, appearing in the definitive Proxy Statement (the **Proxy Statement**) for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on July 10, 2006 and is incorporated herein by reference. Certain information regarding Executive Officers of the Company may be found in the section captioned Information Regarding Executive Officers in the Proxy Statement. In addition, information for compliance with 16(a) of the Exchange Act may be found in the section captioned 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

ITEM 11.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information with respect to executive compensation required by Item 11 shall be included in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information with respect to security ownership and the other matters required by Item 12 shall be included in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Information with respect to certain relationships and related transactions required by Item 13 shall be included in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information with respect to principal accountant fees and services required by Item 14 shall be included in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15.

EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report:

1.

The financial statements and financial statement schedules listed in the index to Financial Statements and Schedules following the signature pages hereof.

2.

Exhibits

Exhibit No.

Description of Exhibit

3.1

Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Instrument Technology Corporation, filed on January 12, 1970, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2003, filed on October 31, 2003.

3.2

Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation, changing the name Instrument Technology Corporation to Aerosonic Corporation, filed on September 21, 1970, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2003, filed on October 31, 2003.

3.3

Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Aerosonic Corporation, filed on August 6, 1971, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2003, filed on October 31, 2003.

3.4

Certificate of Reduction of Capital of Aerosonic Corporation, filed on June 5, 1978, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2003, filed on October 31, 2003.

3.5

Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Aerosonic Corporation, filed on February 12, 1993, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2003, filed on October 31, 2003.

3.6

Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2005, filed on April 18, 2005..

10.1

Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between Aerosonic Corporation and David A. Baldini, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 28, 2005, filed on November 28, 2005.

10.2

Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between Aerosonic Corporation and Gary E. Colbert, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 28, 2005, filed on November 28, 2005.

10.3

Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between Aerosonic Corporation and P. Mark Perkins, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 28, 2005, filed on November 28, 2005.

10.4

Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between Aerosonic Corporation and Carmelo Russo, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the

quarter ended October 28, 2005, filed on November 28, 2005.

10.6

Loan Agreement, dated February 24, 2004, between Aerosonic Corporation and Wachovia Bank N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2004, filed on April 6, 2004.

10.7

Promissory Note, dated February 16, 2004, issued by Aerosonic Corporation and Avionics Specialties, Inc. to Wachovia Bank N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2004, filed on April 6, 2004.

10.8

Term Promissory Note, dated February 16, 2004, issued by Aerosonic Corporation and Avionics Specialties, Inc. to Wachovia Bank N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2004, filed on April 6, 2004.

10.9

Revolving Promissory Note, dated February 16, 2004, issued by Aerosonic Corporation and Avionics Specialties, Inc. to Wachovia Bank N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2004, filed on April 6, 2004.

10.10

Mortgage, Assignment of Rents and Security Agreement dated February 24, 2004 between Aerosonic Corporation and Wachovia Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2004, filed on April 6, 2004.

10.11

Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents and Security Agreement dated February 24, 2004 by and among Avionics Specialties, Inc. TRSTE, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2004, filed on April 6, 2004.

10.12

Aerosonic Corporation 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Appendix D to the Company's Proxy Statement for the July 14, 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed on June 15, 2004

21

Subsidiaries of the Registrant, as of January 31, 2006

23

Consent of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

24

Power of Attorney, incorporated into the Signature Page hereto.

31.1

Section 302 Certifications

31.2

Section 302 Certifications

32.1

Section 906 Certifications

32.2

Section 906 Certifications

31

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AEROSONIC CORPORATION

(Registrant)

By: /s/ David A. Baldini

Chairman of the Board,

Date: March 28, 2006

President and Chief Executive Officer

Power of Attorney

Each person whose signature appears below authorizes David A. Baldini and Gary E. Colbert, or either one of them, each of whom may act without joinder of the others, to execute in the name of each such person who is then an officer or director of the Registrant and to file any amendments to this annual report on Form 10-K necessary or advisable to enable the Registrant to comply with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any rules, regulations and requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission in respect thereof, which amendments may make such changes in such report as such attorney-in-fact may deem appropriate.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ David A. Baldini

Date: March 28, 2006

David. A. Baldini

Chairman of the Board,

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Gary E. Colbert

Gary E. Colbert

Date: March 28, 2006

**Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer,**

Treasurer and Secretary

/s/ P. Mark Perkins

P. Mark Perkins

Date: March 28, 2006

Executive Vice President and Director

/s/ Robert J. McGill

Robert J. McGill, Director

Date: March 28, 2006

/s/ Donald Russell

Donald Russell, Director

Date: March 28, 2006

/s/ Thomas E. Whytas Jr.

Thomas E. Whytas, Jr., Director

Date: March 28, 2006

AEROSONIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

| <u>Table of Contents</u> | <u>Page(s)</u> |
|--|-----------------------|
| Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm | F-2 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets January 31, 2006 and 2005 | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Years Ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity For the Years Ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 | F-5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 | F-6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-7 F-20 |
| Supplemental Schedule of Valuation Accounts | F-21 |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Aerosonic Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Aerosonic Corporation and subsidiary as of January 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2006. Our audits also include the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Aerosonic Corporation and subsidiary as of January 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ TEDDER, JAMES, WORDEN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

Orlando, Florida

March 10, 2006

AEROSONIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****January 31, 2006 and 2005**

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3,106,000 | \$ 840,000 |
| Receivables net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$10,000 and \$14,000 | 4,364,000 | 3,708,000 |
| Income taxes receivable | 226,000 | 899,000 |
| Costs less estimated losses in excess of billings | 420,000 | 1,942,000 |
| Inventories | 5,984,000 | 5,483,000 |
| Prepaid expenses | 446,000 | 198,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,586,000 | 1,236,000 |
| Total current assets | 16,132,000 | 14,306,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 3,617,000 | 3,842,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 307,000 | 123,000 |
| Other assets, net | 156,000 | 192,000 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---------------|---------------|
| Total Assets | | \$ 20,212,000 | \$ 18,463,000 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | |
| Long-term debt and notes payable due within one year | | | |
| | | \$ 230,000 | \$ 243,000 |
| Accounts payable, trade | | | |
| | | 1,544,000 | 1,600,000 |
| Compensation and benefits | | | |
| | | 834,000 | 938,000 |
| Income taxes payable | | | |
| | | 20,000 | - |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | | |
| | | 1,895,000 | 2,404,000 |
| Total current liabilities | | | |
| | | 4,523,000 | 5,185,000 |
| Long term debt and notes payable due after one year | | | |
| | | 2,564,000 | 2,794,000 |
| Other liabilities | | | |
| | | 46,000 | - |
| Total liabilities | | | |
| | | 7,133,000 | 7,979,000 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | | |
| Common stock \$.40 par value: authorized 8,000,000 shares; issued 3,992,601 | | | |
| shares in 2006 and 3,986,262 shares in 2005; outstanding 3,927,358 shares in 2006 | | | |
| and 3,921,019 shares in 2005 | | | |
| | | 1,599,000 | 1,595,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 4,641,000 | 4,559,000 |

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Deferred compensation | | |
| | (41,000) | - |
| Retained earnings | | |
| | 7,576,000 | 5,026,000 |
| Less treasury stock: 65,243 shares in 2006 and 2005, at cost | | |
| | (696,000) | (696,000) |
| Total stockholders' equity | | |
| | 13,079,000 | 10,484,000 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| | \$ 20,212,000 | \$ 18,463,000 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: AEROSONIC CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K
AEROSONIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
For the Years Ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue | | | |
| | \$34,763,000 | \$30,721,000 | \$31,113,000 |
| Cost of sales | | | |
| | 24,571,000 | 22,654,000 | 22,494,000 |
| Gross margin | | | |
| | 10,192,000 | 8,067,000 | 8,619,000 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | | |
| | 7,884,000 | 7,996,000 | 8,421,000 |
| Operating income | | | |
| | 2,308,000 | 71,000 | 198,000 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest expense, net | | | |
| | (151,000) | (143,000) | (169,000) |
| Miscellaneous income | | | |
| | 52,000 | 519,000 | 48,000 |
| | (99,000) | 376,000 | (121,000) |
| Income before income taxes | | | |
| | 2,209,000 | 447,000 | 77,000 |
| Income tax benefit | | | |
| | 341,000 | 1,094,000 | 465,000 |
| Net income | | | |
| | \$ 2,550,000 | \$ 1,541,000 | \$ 542,000 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | |
| | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.14 |

Diluted earnings per share

\$ 0.65 \$ 0.39 \$ 0.14

Basic weighted average shares outstanding

3,921,953 3,921,019 3,921,019

Diluted weighted average shares outstanding

3,924,100 3,921,019 3,921,019

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

AEROSONIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY****For the Years Ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004**

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Deferred Compensation | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Balance at January 31, 2003 | \$ 1,595,000 | \$ 4,559,000 | \$ - | \$ 2,943,000 | \$(696,000) | \$ 8,401,000 |
| Net Income | - | - | - | 542,000 | - | 542,000 |
| Balance at January 31, 2004 | 1,595,000 | 4,559,000 | - | 3,485,000 | (696,000) | 8,943,000 |
| Net Income | - | - | - | 1,541,000 | - | 1,541,000 |
| Balance at January 31, 2005 | 1,595,000 | 4,559,000 | - | 5,026,000 | (696,000) | 10,484,000 |
| Net Income | - | - | - | 2,550,000 | - | 2,550,000 |
| Directors' equity compensation | 2,000 | 43,000 | - | - | - | 45,000 |
| Restricted stock compensation | 2,000 | 39,000 | (41,000) | - | - | - |
| Balance at January 31, 2006 | \$ 1,599,000 | \$ 4,641,000 | \$ (41,000) | \$ 7,576,000 | \$(696,000) | \$ 13,079,000 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Edgar Filing: AEROSONIC CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K
AEROSONIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,550,000 | \$1,541,000 | \$ 542,000 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities: | | | |
| Bad debt expense | (4,000) | (137,000) | (73,000) |
| Stock-based compensation | 45,000 | - | - |
| Depreciation | 665,000 | 654,000 | 683,000 |
| Amortization | 16,000 | 58,000 | 142,000 |
| (Gain)/loss on disposal of property | 15,000 | (8,000) | 29,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | (534,000) | (762,000) | (165,000) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | (652,000) | 325,000 | (231,000) |
| Income taxes receivable and payable | 693,000 | 371,000 | (20,000) |
| Inventories | (501,000) | 200,000 | (101,000) |
| Prepaid Expenses | (248,000) | (8,000) | 230,000 |

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Other assets | | | |
| | 20,000 | (123,000) | (105,000) |
| Accounts payable, trade | | | |
| | (56,000) | (1,310,000) | 1,937,000 |
| Costs less estimated losses in excess of billings | | | |
| | 1,522,000 | (544,000) | (902,000) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | | |
| | (567,000) | 220,000 | 248,000 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | | |
| | 2,964,000 | 477,000 | 2,214,000 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds on sale of property | | | |
| | - | 95,000 | 16,000 |
| Capital expenditures | | | |
| | (455,000) | (629,000) | (419,000) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | | |
| | (455,000) | (534,000) | (403,000) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | |
| (Payments on)/ proceeds from revolving credit facilities | | | |
| | - | (1,000,000) | 245,000 |
| Proceeds received on refinancing of debt | | | |
| | - | 3,212,000 | - |
| Principal payments on long-term debt and notes payable | | | |
| | (243,000) | (2,591,000) | (1,040,000) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | | | |
| | (243,000) | (379,000) | (795,000) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | | |
| | 2,266,000 | (436,000) | 1,016,000 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | | |
| | 840,000 | 1,276,000 | 260,000 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 3,106,000 | 840,000 | 1,276,000 |

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the year for:

Interest

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 203,000 | 188,000 | 172,000 |

Income taxes

| | | | |
|--|----|---------|--------|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | - | 302,000 | 54,000 |

Noncash investing and financing activities

Acquisition of property under a capital lease

| | | | |
|--|----|----|--------|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | - | - | 45,000 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

AEROSONIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

The primary business of Aerosonic Corporation and subsidiary (the **Company**) is to manufacture and sell aircraft instrumentation to government and commercial users from its facilities located in Florida, Virginia and Kansas. The Company's customers are located worldwide.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aerosonic Corporation (which operates as the Clearwater, Florida Instrument division and Wichita, Kansas Instrument division) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Avionics Specialties, Inc., and are presented in accordance with the accrual method of accounting. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect reported and contingent amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, including such items as inventory costs, inventory reserves, allowance for accounts receivable, deferred tax valuation allowances, percentage-of-completion accounting and other miscellaneous accruals. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions, and such differences could be material.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Credit Losses

The Company continuously evaluates its customers and provides reserves for anticipated credit losses as soon as collection becomes compromised. The Company does maintain a limited reserve in anticipation that smaller accounts may become a collection issue, which occurs from time to time based on historical experience. However, most of the Company's customers are financially sound and the Company's history of bad debts is relatively low. Measurement of such losses requires consideration of historical loss experience, including the need to adjust for current conditions, and judgments about the probable effects of relevant observable data, including present economic conditions such as delinquency rates and financial health of specific customers.

Inventories

The Company values inventory at the lower of cost (using a method that approximates the first-in, first-out method) or net realizable value. Reviews of inventory quantities on hand have been conducted to determine if usage or sales history supports maintaining inventory values at full cost or if it has instead become necessary to record a provision for slow moving, excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on estimated forecasts of product demand and production requirements for the subsequent twelve months and actual usage for the previous two years.

During production, the Company uses standards to estimate product costs. These standards are reviewed and updated periodically by management and approximate costing under the FIFO method. Differences between standard and actual costs have not been material.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation on plant and equipment is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Upon disposition, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any related gain or loss is reflected in earnings. Expenditures for repairs and improvements that significantly add to the productive capacity or extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Repair and maintenance charges are expensed as incurred. Property under a capital lease is capitalized and amortized over the lease terms.

The useful lives of property, plant and equipment for purposes of computing depreciation are:

Land improvements

15-20 Years

Buildings and improvements

25-30 Years

Machinery and equipment

3-10 Years

Patterns, dies, and tools

3-5 Years

Furniture and fixtures

5-10 Years

Valuation Assessment of Long-Lived Assets

Management periodically evaluates long-lived assets for potential impairment and will record an impairment charge whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. As of January 31, 2006 and 2005, management does not believe that any assets are impaired.

Other Assets

Capitalized loan fees are recorded at cost less accumulated amortization. The costs are determined at the time the loan transactions are closed, and are amortized over the life of the loan, which approximates the effective interest method.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (**SFAS**) No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided against the future benefit of deferred tax assets if it is determined that it is more likely than not that the future tax benefits associated with the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generally recognizes revenue from sales of its products when the following have occurred: evidence of a sale arrangement exists; delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is reasonably assured.

The Company follows the percentage-of-completion method of accounting for one long-term engineering service contract. Under this method, contract revenue is computed as that percentage of estimated total revenue that costs incurred to date bear to total estimated costs, after giving effect to the most recent estimates of costs to complete. From time to time, the Company will record costs and estimated profits in excess of billings for certain of these contracts. Revisions in costs and revenue estimates are reflected in the period in which the revisions are determined. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined without regard to the percentage-of-completion.

Occasionally the Company enters into research and development contracts with customers. The Company accounts for such contracts on the basis of the lesser of non-refundable cash versus percentage of completion.

As a general matter, the terms specified in customer purchase orders determine whether the Company or the customer bears the obligation for payment of freight charges. While customers pay for freight in most transactions, the Company does occasionally pay freight charges on behalf of customers and bill all or a portion to customers. Freight revenue of \$13,000, \$13,000 and \$8,000 is included in revenues in the consolidated statement of operations for the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Freight expense of \$143,000, \$82,000 and \$117,000 is included in cost of sales in the consolidated statement of operations for the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Research and development expense that is included in Selling, General and Administrative Expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Operations approximated \$688,000, \$758,000 and \$167,000, during the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Research and development costs that are included in Selling, General and Administrative Expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations declined as resources were devoted to the Joint Strike Fighter program, where expenditures are charged to Cost of Sales. In addition, the Company reduced its use of outside contractors for certain development work that is now being handled by the engineering staff that has been developed in the Clearwater operations. The amount related to the Joint Strike Fighter program that was charged to cost of sales in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004 was approximately \$399,000, \$607,000 and \$527,000, respectively.

Environmental Expenditures

The Company accrues for environmental expenses resulting from existing conditions that relate to past operations when the costs are probable and reasonably estimable. The following table outlines the Company's experience with such expenditures and the amount of the accrual that existed at the close of each fiscal year:

| | For the years ended January 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Environmental Expense (Income Statement) | | | |
| | \$ 18,000 | \$ 85,000 | \$ 52,000 |
| Environmental Accrual (Balance Sheet) | | | |
| | \$ 80,000 | \$ 98,000 | \$ 38,000 |

Treasury Stock

The Company accounts for the acquisition of treasury shares at cost. The Company has not reissued acquired shares. It has, however, used treasury shares to make matching contributions to the Company's 401(k) plan. For those transactions, the Company accounts for the contributions at fair value at the date of contribution.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

At January 31, 2006, the Company had a stock incentive plan (the "**Plan**"), which authorizes the issuance of options to purchase common stock. The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of FAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by FAS 148, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123, but accounts for the plan under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations.

No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. If the Company had elected to recognize compensation expense for stock options based on the fair value at grant date, consistent with the method prescribed by FAS 123, net income and earnings per share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts as follows:

| | For the years ended January 31, | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net income, as reported | | | |
| | \$ 2,550,000 | \$ 1,541,000 | \$ 542,000 |
| Deduct: | | | |
| Total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (13,000) | - | - |
| Pro forma net income | | | |
| | \$ 2,537,000 | \$ 1,541,000 | \$ 542,000 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic As reported | | | |
| | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.14 |
| Basic Pro forma | | | |
| | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted As reported | | | |
| | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted Pro forma | | | |
| | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.14 |

The weighted average fair value of options granted in fiscal year 2006 was approximately \$83,000 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

Volatility

84%

Expected life in years

1.5

Risk-free interest rate

4.02%

Dividend yield

0%

Computation of Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period, adjusted for the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents, using the treasury stock method.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and receivables. As of January 31, 2006 and 2005, substantially all of the Company's cash balances were deposited with financial institutions whose management has determined are of high credit quality.

During the normal course of business, the Company extends credit, without collateral, to customers conducting business in the aviation industry worldwide.

Exchange Rate Fluctuation

The Company conducts a limited amount of business in transactions that are denominated in foreign currencies. The Company employs a natural hedging method of matching accounts receivable denominated in foreign currencies with accounts payable denominated in foreign currencies to mitigate this risk.

Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 107 Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of certain financial instruments. Cash, accounts receivable, short-term borrowings, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are reflected in the financial statements at amounts which approximate fair value because of the short term maturity of these instruments. The carrying amount of long-term debt and notes payable at January 31, 2006 and 2005 approximates fair value as most instruments have adjustable rates which change frequently, while one instrument contains a fixed rate that approximates the Company's incremental borrowing rate.

Segment Reporting

As of January 31, 2006 management does not believe the Company has any reportable segments as defined in SFAS No. 131, Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information.

F-10

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (**FAS 123(R)**), a revision of SFAS No. 123 (**FAS 123**). The statement is effective for the first annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. This Statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services and addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. FAS 123 focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. The original pronouncement, issued in October 1995, defined a fair value based method of accounting for share-based payments, but permitted companies to disclose such payments either employing the fair value based method of accounting or by using the intrinsic value method as defined by APB No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* . For companies reporting under the intrinsic value method, FAS 123 required a proforma footnote disclosure of the impact of the fair value based method for financial reporting purposes. The 2004 revision to FAS 123, FAS 123(R), eliminates the intrinsic value method as provided by APB No. 25. As of January 31, 2006, the Company reports its share-based payments utilizing a proforma footnote disclosure. The Company will adopt FAS 123(R) in the first quarter of 2007. The Company does not believe that the adoption of FAS 123(R) will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* (**FAS 154**). The statement is effective for the first annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005. This Statement replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* (**APB 20**) and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements* and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. FAS 154 makes a distinction between restatements (the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error) and retrospective applications (the application of a different accounting principle to prior accounting periods as if that principle had always been used or as the adjustment of previously issued financial statements to reflect a change in the reporting entity). The retrospective application applies to voluntary changes in accounting principle by requiring retrospective application of the change throughout the periods reported instead of reporting the cumulative effect of the change, as previously prescribed by APB 20. However, FAS 154 limits this treatment to only the direct effects of a change in accounting principle. FAS 154 further defines that a change in depreciation, amortization or depletion method for long-lived, nonfinancial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. Finally, FAS 154 establishes retrospective application as the transition method for new accounting principles, but only if the new accounting pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions.

2.

RECEIVABLES

Receivables at January 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following:

| | 2006 | | 2005 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----|-------------|
| Trade | | \$ | |
| | 4,374,000 | \$ | 3,722,000 |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | | | |
| | (10,000) | | (14,000) |
| | | \$ | |
| | 4,364,000 | \$ | 3,708,000 |

During fiscal 2003, the Company secured one long-term fixed-price contract for the development of instrumentation for the Joint Strike Fighter program. Costs and estimated earnings on this contract for the years ended January 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

| | 2006 | | 2005 |
|---|---------------|--|---------------|
| Costs incurred to date | | | |
| | \$ 16,059,000 | | \$ 11,493,000 |
| Estimated loss | | | |
| | (2,030,000) | | (201,000) |
| | 14,029,000 | | 11,292,000 |
| Less billings to date | | | |
| | (13,609,000) | | (9,350,000) |
| Costs less estimated losses in excess of billings | | | |
| | \$ 420,000 | | \$ 1,942,000 |

In January 2005, after further discussions with the Company's customer for the JSF program that culminated in requirements for design changes in the multifunction probe that the Company is designing for the JSF, the Company has revised its estimate at completion for the JSF program. The estimated costs of the project increased by approximately \$1,186,000 and, as a result, estimated total program costs exceed estimated program revenues by approximately \$201,000. The Company recognized this loss in its financial statements for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2005. Subsequent to the design changes, the Company encountered many issues throughout the year related to testing and verification of the design change that resulted in increased expenditures for the program. Consequently, the Company recognized additional losses in fiscal year 2006 as the likelihood of incurring those losses were identified.

The JSF program is a customer-funded product development program that is presently expected to generate total project revenues of approximately \$16,281,000. As of January 31, 2006, based on project progress, the Company has recognized revenues of approximately \$14,029,000 for the JSF project. The JSF program represents a significant investment in the Company's future product development capabilities. While Company management is expending considerable effort to control costs and complete the development process on schedule, the key benefit to the program is the substantial revenue that the Company will earn if it is awarded the production contract for the JSF that is expected to follow the conclusion of the development program.

3.

INVENTORIES

Inventories at January 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Raw materials | | |
| | \$ 3,935,000 | \$ 3,475,000 |
| Work in process | | |
| | 2,436,000 | 2,276,000 |
| Finished goods | | |
| | 121,000 | 133,000 |
| Reserve for obsolete and slow moving inventory | | |
| | (508,000) | (401,000) |
| | \$ 5,984,000 | \$ 5,483,000 |

During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2005, the Company disposed of substantially all of the obsolete and slow-moving inventory that existed at February 1, 2004. Substantially all of the remaining reserve is comprised of inventory items that were identified as being obsolete or slow moving during the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006 and 2005.

4.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, Plant and Equipment at January 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Land and improvements | | |
| | \$ 464,000 | \$ 464,000 |
| Building and improvements | | |
| | 4,025,000 | 3,937,000 |
| Machinery and equipment | | |
| | 4,766,000 | 4,705,000 |
| Patterns, dies and tools | | |
| | 973,000 | 906,000 |
| Furniture and fixtures | | |
| | 1,511,000 | 1,403,000 |
| | 11,739,000 | 11,415,000 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | | |
| | (8,122,000) | (7,573,000) |
| | \$ 3,617,000 | \$ 3,842,000 |

Depreciation expense was \$665,000, \$654,000 and \$683,000 for the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company utilizes the straight-line method when accounting for depreciation. Certain components of property, plant and equipment are pledged as collateral for debt obligations (Note 6).

5.

ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses as of January 31, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$1,895,000 and \$2,404,000, respectively. A substantial portion of these expenses are related to amounts owed to subcontractors who participate in the Company's product development programs, as shown below:

F-12

| | 2006 | | 2005 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----|-------------|
| Product development programs | | \$ | |
| | 1,389,000 | \$ | 886,000 |
| Shareholder litigation (See Note 14) | | | |
| | - | | 800,000 |
| Other accrued expenses | | | |
| | 506,000 | | 718,000 |
| | | \$ | |
| | 1,895,000 | \$ | 2,404,000 |

6.

LONG-TERM DEBT, NOTES PAYABLE AND REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY

Long-term debt and notes payable at January 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following:

| | 2006 | | 2005 |
|---|--------------|----|-------------|
| Real estate term loan | | | |
| | \$ 2,633,000 | \$ | 2,833,000 |
| Equipment term loan | | | |
| | 161,000 | | 191,000 |
| Capitalized leases | | | |
| | - | | 13,000 |
| | 2,794,000 | | 3,037,000 |
| Less current maturities | | | |
| | (230,000) | | (243,000) |
| Long-term debt and notes payable, less current maturities | | | |
| | \$ 2,564,000 | \$ | 2,794,000 |

The amount of long-term debt and notes payable maturing in fiscal 2007 and thereafter is as follows:

**Maturity Schedule of Long Term Debt and Notes
Payable**

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| 2007 | |
| | \$ 230,000 |
| 2008 | |
| | 230,000 |
| 2009 | |
| | 230,000 |
| 2010 | |
| | 230,000 |
| 2010 | |
| | 230,000 |
| Thereafter | |
| | 1,644,000 |
| Total | |
| | \$ 2,794,000 |

On February 25, 2004, the Company completed a refinancing of its debt obligations by securing new credit facilities with Wachovia Bank, N.A. (**Wachovia Refinancing**) The facilities total \$5.7 million, and include a 15 year term loan of \$3.0 million that is collateralized by the Company's real estate in Clearwater, Florida, a revolving credit facility of \$2.5 million, and a seven year equipment loan of \$0.2 million. The entire debt also is collateralized by a lien on other Company and Avionics assets and by a Deed of Trust on Avionics real estate in Earlysville, Virginia. The 15 year term loan has repayment obligations of approximately \$200,000 per year. The seven year equipment loan, drawn in May 2004, has repayment obligations of approximately \$30,000 per year. The credit line is set to expire on June 1, 2006. The Company intends to negotiate a new credit line with Wachovia, and will endeavor to obtain terms and conditions that are at least equal to if not better than the existing terms and conditions. However, the Company cannot guarantee the outcome of these negotiations. These facilities replaced all of the Company's debt that was previously held by First Commercial Bank and SunTrust Bank, N.A.

The interest rate on the credit facility is one-month LIBOR (which was 4.57% at January 31, 2006) plus 300 basis points. Certain loan covenants have been revised and include a debt coverage ratio (defined as earnings before interest and taxes plus depreciation and amortization minus dividends, withdrawals and non-cash income divided by the sum of current maturities of long term debt and capital and synthetic lease obligations plus interest) that is greater than or equal to 1.25 to 1.00 and a leverage ratio (defined as total liabilities divided by tangible net worth) not to exceed 1.30 to 1.00. As of January 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with all covenants.

7.

RESERVE FOR WARRANTY

The Company has established a provision for warranty claims in anticipation of a certain degree of warranty activity, which generally is a minimal expense. This provision is based upon recent warranty experience. The Company's warranty experience is as follows:

F-13

For the years ended January 31,

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Beginning reserve balance | | | |
| | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 50,000 |
| Costs incurred | | | |
| | (24,000) | (108,000) | (160,000) |
| Replenish reserve for costs incurred | | | |
| | - | 108,000 | 160,000 |
| Increase in estimate | | | |
| | - | - | 100,000 |
| Ending reserve balance | | | |
| | \$ 126,000 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 |

8.

STOCK OPTIONS, GRANTS AND RESTRICTED STOCK AWARDS

In July 2004, the Company adopted the Aerosonic Corporation 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (**2004 SIP**), which authorized the issuance of up to 200,000 shares. Through January 31, 2006, 32,500 options, 6,339 shares and 6,200 restricted stock awards had been granted under the 2004 SIP, and as of such date, 154,961 shares were available for grant. The options granted in fiscal 2006 have an exercise price of \$6.20 and the weighted average remaining contractual life of these options was 9.3 years as of January 31, 2006. For option awards, the plan provides that the strike price shall not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant and that no portion of any option award may be exercised beyond ten years from that date. In addition, no incentive stock option can be granted and exercised beyond five years to a stockholder owning 10% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock. Options granted under the plan during the year ended January 31, 2006 vest between one year and three years from the date of grant. The Company had no outstanding equity awards prior to the year ended January 31, 2006.

Restricted stock awards have been granted to certain key employees. One-third of these awards vest on each anniversary date of the award, until fully vested. Awards of 6,200 shares were granted during the year ended January 31, 2006. The average market price on the date of grant for awards granted in 2006 was \$6.70, which resulted in the recording of unearned compensation of approximately \$41,000 that is classified as a component of stockholders equity. The unearned compensation is being amortized over the vesting period of the stock. As a result, restricted stock compensation charged to expense was approximately \$2,000 for the year ended January 31, 2006.

The following table summarizes the amount of awards authorized, the amount of awards granted and the amount of awards available for grant for the 2004 SIP:

| | 2004 SIP |
|--|-----------------|
| Awards authorized | |
| | 200,000 |
| Options granted | |
| | (32,500) |
| Shares granted | |
| | (6,339) |
| Restricted stock granted | |
| | (6,200) |
| Available for grant as of January 31, 2006 | |
| | 154,961 |

Since none of the option grants have vested, there has been no activity relating to stock options granted under the 2004 SIP during the three years ended January 31, 2006.

9.

INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 consisted of:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Current | | | |
| Federal | | | |
| | \$ 170,000 | \$ (287,000) | \$ (259,000) |
| State | | | |
| | 23,000 | (44,000) | (41,000) |

Edgar Filing: AEROSONIC CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 193,000 | (331,000) | (300,000) |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | | | |
| | (462,000) | (660,000) | (143,000) |
| State | | | |
| | (72,000) | (103,000) | (22,000) |
| | (534,000) | (763,000) | (165,000) |
| | \$ (341,000) | \$ (1,094,000) | \$ (465,000) |

F-14

Edgar Filing: AEROSONIC CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

The following table illustrates the difference between the statutory income tax rates applicable to the Company versus the effective tax (benefit) rate:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Federal tax rate | 34.0% | 34.0% | 34.0% |
| Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from: State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | -1.5% | -21.6% | 3.3% |
| Alternative minimum tax on net operating loss carryforward | 0.9% | - | - |
| Tax-exempt foreign income | -42.9% | - | - |
| Deferred tax asset valuation adjustment | - | -218.3% | -684.1% |
| Research and experimentation and alternative minimum tax credits | - | -45.7% | 1.9% |
| Other-primarily non-deductible expenses | -5.9% | 6.9% | 41.0% |
| Effective tax rate | -15.4% | -244.7% | -603.9% |

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at January 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Current deferred tax assets: | | |
| Accounts receivable | \$ 4,000 | \$ 5,000 |

| | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Inventories | | |
| | 412,000 | 315,000 |
| Vacation and sick pay accrual | | |
| | 135,000 | 136,000 |
| Net operating loss carry forward | | |
| | 966,000 | 544,000 |
| Research and experimentation and alternative minimum tax credits | | |
| | - | 160,000 |
| Other | | |
| | 69,000 | 76,000 |
| Total current deferred tax assets | | |
| | \$1,586,000 | \$1,236,000 |
| Non-current deferred tax assets | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, principally due to differences in depreciation and capitalized interest | | |
| | 103,000 | 79,000 |
| Research and experimentation and alternative minimum tax credits | | |
| | 204,000 | 44,000 |
| Total non-current deferred tax assets | | |
| | 307,000 | 123,000 |
| Net deferred tax asset | | |
| | \$1,893,000 | \$1,359,000 |

At January 31, 2006, the Company has a total net operating loss carryforward from fiscal year 2005 of approximately \$2,522,000 that the Company has elected to carryover into fiscal year 2007. This carryforward will expire January 31, 2025.

For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2006, the Company's estimate with respect to the future utilization of its deferred tax assets is that the Company believes it is more likely than not that all of its deferred tax benefits will be realized.

10.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

During fiscal year 2006, the Company recognized approximately \$52,000 of miscellaneous income that was primarily related to foreign exchange gains on British Pound transactions.

During fiscal year 2005, the Company recognized the following amounts of other income (expense):

F-15

| | |
|--|------------|
| Insurance proceeds ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 997,000 |
| Shareholder litigation settlement | (800,000) |
| Sale of EVMS product line ⁽²⁾ | 267,000 |
| Other | 55,000 |
| Other income (expense) | \$ 519,000 |

(1)

The Company submitted a claim to its insurance carrier in April 2004 for the reimbursement of additional business interruption expenses that were identified as having been incurred as a result of a small fire that had occurred in the Company's machine shop at its Clearwater, Florida operations. In May 2004, after further negotiations, the Company and the insurance carrier reached a settlement whereby the Company would be reimbursed a total of approximately \$1,214,000 as a result of the business interruption. The Company received the remaining payment of approximately \$997,000 on May 25, 2004.

(2)

During fiscal year 2005, the Company sold its EVMS product line inventory which resulted in Other income of approximately \$267,000. A summary of the EVMS sale is as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Sale proceeds | \$ 626,000 |
| Inventory sold | (257,000) |
| Obsolete inventory (due to the sale) | (74,000) |
| Legal fees | (28,000) |
| Miscellaneous income | \$ 267,000 |

11.

MAJOR CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Direct and indirect sales to U.S. Government agencies, when combined, represented 10% or more of net sales and amounted to approximately \$16,809,000, \$13,453,000 and \$16,153,000 for the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Of these amounts, approximately \$7,201,000, \$5,269,000 and \$4,604,000 were sales directly to U.S. Government agencies for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The remaining amounts represent sales to commercial customers that are for government applications.

Sales to Lockheed and Boeing each represented 10% or more of net sales and amounted to 13% and 10% respectively, for the year ended January 31, 2006. For the year ended January 31, 2005, sales to Lockheed and Boeing each represented 10% or more of net sales, or 16% and 10%, respectively. For the year ended January 31, 2004, sales to Lockheed and Boeing each represented 10% or more of net sales, or 25% and 11%, respectively. Included in these amounts are sales of products that are sold by each company to the U.S. Government. Foreign sales for the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 represented 10% or more of net sales and amounted to approximately \$7,684,000, \$4,614,000 and \$4,100,000, respectively. Substantially all foreign sales contracts are payable in U.S. dollars. No other customer sales totaled greater than 10% of net sales for years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Receivables at January 31, 2006 included approximately \$676,000, \$489,000, \$444,000 and \$425,000 due from the U.S. Government, Boeing, foreign customers and Lockheed, respectively. Receivables at January 31, 2005 included approximately \$622,000 and \$636,000 due from the U.S. Government and foreign customers, respectively. No other customers represented greater than 10 percent of receivables at January 31, 2006 or 2005.

12.

BENEFIT PLANS

Effective February 1, 1993, the Company adopted a tax-deferred savings plan which covers substantially all employees of the Company. Under the plan, participants may elect to contribute up to 100% of pre-tax earnings. The Company will fund a 100% matching contribution, up to 3% of the participant's yearly compensation. Such matching contributions will be made in cash or Common Stock of the Company. Additional contributions may be made at the Company's discretion. For the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company contributed cash of approximately \$457,000, \$204,000 and \$186,000, respectively. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2006, the Board of Directors approved an acceleration of the Company's matching contributions to the tax-deferred savings plan. Previously, the Company made its matching contributions once per year, within twelve months of the close of the plan year, which ends each December 31. The acceleration of matching contributions resulted in the Company contributing its matching funds within thirty days of the close of each calendar quarter. As a result, approximately \$217,000 of the Company's fiscal year 2006 matching contributions represent the matching contributions that would have normally been made during the 2006 fiscal year, while approximately \$240,000 of the Company's fiscal year 2006 contributions were the result of the acceleration of matching contributions. This acceleration of matching contributions had a one-time effect on cash but had no impact on the recognition of expense, as the expense had been recognized in all periods when the obligation arose.

William Parker was employed by the Company for 34 years, most recently as its President, until his retirement in 1997. During fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, the Company was, and until April 2005 continued to be, a party to a Supplemental Pension Plan agreement with William C. Parker pursuant to which Mr. Parker received monthly pension payments from the Company of \$4,167. Amounts expensed under this plan were approximately \$10,000, \$137,000 and \$6,000 in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. In May 2003, the Company and Mr. Parker executed a new Supplemental Pension Plan agreement, which became effective as of January 1, 2004, extending the then-existing Supplemental Pension Plan, and pursuant to which Mr. Parker would continue to receive monthly payments of \$4,167 through December 2006. The extension resulted in a charge to income and an additional liability of \$134,000 during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004. This plan terminated upon the death of Mr. Parker in April 2005. The termination of the plan results in a reduction of the liability that was originally recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004. As a result, approximately \$89,000 was recorded as other income during fiscal 2006.

13.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As discussed in Note 12, the Company had a Supplemental Pension Plan agreement with William Parker, a former employee who served as a director of the Company until his death in April 2005.

14.

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In accordance with a consent agreement with the Department of Environmental Protection signed by the Company in 1993, the Company's environmental consultant developed an interim remedial action plan (the **Remedial Plan**) to contain and remediate certain soil and groundwater contamination that had been discovered at the Company's Clearwater, Florida location. The contamination was discovered after a series of site investigations that revealed impacts to both shallow soils and groundwater that may have resulted from the accidental loss of solvents and metalworking fluids as well as activities from neighboring property over a period of 20 years or more. Although the exact cause of the contamination has never been ascertained, the Company nonetheless accepted responsibility for remediating the pollutants that were discovered on its property.

During 1997, the Company recorded a provision of approximately \$175,000 related to the estimated costs to be incurred under the Remedial Plan. As of January 31, 2000, the Company had utilized all amounts originally recorded in other accrued expenses, and the remediation phase of the Remedial Plan had been completed.

During the third quarter of 2001, management determined the post-remediation monitoring expense related to the environmental cleanup of 1993 would cost approximately \$125,000. This amount was accrued and expensed during the third quarter of 2001. As of January 31, 2003, all existing reserve balances had been utilized. Based upon an

assessment by the Company's environmental consultants, the Company's management has calculated the Company's expected future expense for post-remediation monitoring expense and has established a reserve of \$80,000 for such expense as of January 31, 2006.

The Company is now in a monitoring-only stage of the remediation (where periodic measurements are taken by environmental consultants to determine the effectiveness of the remediation), and the existing reserve contemplates the remaining expected expenses, based upon advice from the Company's environmental consultants, as of January 31, 2006.

The following table is a recap of the financial impact of the Company's environmental activities:

| | Years ended January 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Beginning reserve balance | \$ 98,000 | \$ 38,000 | \$ 38,000 |
| Costs incurred | (18,000) | (25,000) | (19,000) |
| Replenish reserve for costs incurred | - | 25,000 | 19,000 |
| Increase in estimate | - | 60,000 | - |
| Ending reserve balance | \$ 80,000 | \$ 98,000 | \$ 38,000 |

F-17

At January 31, 2006, the Company was committed to future purchases of approximately \$4,141,000 for materials and services as well as a development contract. These purchase commitments are supported by firm underlying contracts with customers and contain provisions permitting the Company to terminate such purchase commitments in the event the underlying contracts should be terminated or discontinued. A tabular presentation is as follows:

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | <u>Total</u> | <u>Less than One Year</u> | <u>1 - 3 Years</u> | <u>4 - 5 Years</u> | <u>After 5 Years</u> |
| Purchase Commitments | \$ 4,141,000 | \$ 3,784,000 | \$ 327,000 | \$ 30,000 | \$ - |

The Company entered into various operating leases in fiscal years 2003 and 2002 to lease certain equipment. No new leases occurred during fiscal year 2006, 2005 or 2004. Total rental expense was approximately \$473,000, \$398,000 and \$412,000 for the years ended January 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The future minimum rental payments under leases that have initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year at January 31, 2006 are as follows:

| <u>Minimum Lease Payments</u> | <u>Operating Leases</u> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2007 | |
| 2008 | \$ 406,000 |
| 2009 | 101,000 |
| 2010 | 20,000 |
| | 6,000 |
| Total Minimum Lease Payments | \$ 533,000 |

The Company issued an irrevocable standby letter of credit (**LOC**) in favor of the Ministry of Defense of the Republic of Korea in May 2005. This LOC provides the South Korean government with financial assurance that the Company will perform in accordance with the offset requirements under its contract to provide integrated multifunction probes (**IMFP**) for South Korea's T-50 military aircraft. The offset requirements specify that the Company will effect a transfer of technology in accordance with the agreement. The Company will incur certain expenses associated with

this obligation. Consequently, the Company accrues a liability with each IMFP shipment in anticipation of satisfying the offset requirements of the contract. The South Korean government can collect under the terms of the LOC only in the event that the Company is in material breach of its contract obligations. The term of this \$398,000 LOC expires in July 2009.

A securities class action lawsuit in the Federal District Court of the Middle District of Florida against the Company, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's former auditor, and four former employees of the Company, two of whom were directors (the **Federal Class Action**) was settled in December 2005. On April 1, 2005, the Company and the other named defendants in the Federal Class Action filed a Notice of Settlement with the court. On July 13, 2005, all parties filed a Stipulation of Settlement (the **Stipulation**) to settle the Federal Class Action. The Stipulation provides for a payment by or on behalf of the defendants to the plaintiffs of approximately \$5.35 million. Of this amount, the Company was obligated to pay \$800,000, which was accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of January 31, 2005. The balance of the settlement is expected to be paid by Zurich American Insurance Company on behalf of the Company and the individual defendants under the Company's directors' and officers' insurance policy, and by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. On August 9, 2005, the court preliminarily approved the settlement and set a fairness hearing on November 18, 2005 to consider final approval of the settlement. On August 29, 2005, the Company remitted \$800,000 in satisfaction of this obligation. On November 18, 2005, the court granted final approval to the settlement as proposed. There were no objections to, or exclusions from, the settlement. However, in light of a recent bankruptcy petition filing by Eric McCracken, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer and a defendant in the litigation, the court also entered a supplemental order directing that no settlement funds be disbursed for thirty days in order to give the bankruptcy court or the bankruptcy trustee an opportunity to file any objection to the settlement. Mr. McCracken's counsel represented that Mr. McCracken petitioned the bankruptcy court to provide relief from the bankruptcy automatic stay. On December 21, 2005, at the conclusion of the appeal period for the class action litigation, the bankruptcy court granted the relief as requested, thus allowing consummation of the settlement.

On April 6, 2005, Aerosonic became aware of a Derivative Complaint filed by Matilda Franzitta (the **Franzitta Suit**) filed on March 24, 2005 in the Circuit Court of Hillsborough County, Florida. The Franzitta Suit alleges (a) breaches of fiduciary duties and aiding and abetting such breaches by the present and former directors, officers, and employees named as defendants, for an asserted "Relevant Period" which appears to begin sometime in 1999 and continues to the present time; and (b) breaches of contract, professional negligence, and aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, by the Company's former registered independent certified public accounting firm during the asserted Relevant Period, and that firm's partner in charge of the Company's audits during most of that time.

On July 11, 2005, the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their claims against William Parker, a former director of the Company. On July 25, 2005, all parties filed a Stipulation of Settlement to settle the Franzitta Suit (the **Franzitta Stipulation**). The settlement provided the Company appoint a new independent director to its board and grant standing authority to the Company's Audit Committee to investigate any matters it deems appropriate. The settlement also provided for a payment of up to \$75,000 in attorney's fees and costs to plaintiff's counsel, none of which was by the Company. On August 16, 2005, the court preliminarily approved the settlement and set a final fairness hearing on November 21, 2005. There were no objections to, or exclusions from, the settlement. At the November 21 hearing, the court granted final approval to the settlement as proposed. However, in light of a bankruptcy petition filing by Eric McCracken, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer and a defendant in the litigation, the court also entered a supplemental order directing that no settlement funds be disbursed for thirty days in order to give the bankruptcy court or the bankruptcy trustee an opportunity to file any objection to the settlement. Mr. McCracken's counsel represented that Mr. McCracken petitioned the bankruptcy court to provide relief from the bankruptcy automatic stay. On December 21, 2005, at the conclusion of the appeal period for the derivative suit, the bankruptcy court granted the relief as requested, thus allowing consummation of the settlement.

In addition to the foregoing, from time to time, the Company may be involved in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, at this time, there are no claims or legal actions that will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

The Company's Board of Directors approved Amended and Restated Employment Agreements between the Company and four of its executive officers: David A. Baldini, Gary E. Colbert, P. Mark Perkins and Carmelo Russo. The Amended and Restated Employment Agreements became effective on November 28, 2005. These agreements provide for automatic renewal each November 28th, absent earlier non-renewal. Each of the employment agreements provides for certain severance payments and benefits following a change in control if employment is terminated either by the Company without cause or by the employee during the term in effect at the time of the change in control or within 18 months following the change in control after a material change in the terms of the employee's employment.

15.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 6, 2006, the Board of Directors elected Donald Russell to its Board, filling the vacancy created by the death of William Parker on April 2, 2005.

On March 17, 2006, the Company repurchased 365,524 shares of its common stock (the "**Repurchase**") from First Commercial Bank, an Alabama state-chartered bank ("**FCB**"), pursuant to the terms of a Stock Repurchase Agreement, dated March 17, 2006 (the "**Repurchase Agreement**"), by and between the Company and FCB for a total aggregate purchase price of \$2,467,287 in cash. The repurchased shares represented approximately 9.31% of Company's 3,927,358 outstanding shares and were repurchased at a price of \$6.75 per share. The repurchase was financed entirely with cash.

It was a condition to the closing of the Repurchase that FCB also consummate a transaction with certain unaffiliated investors (the "**Investors**") for the purchase of its remaining 731,048 shares (the "**Investor Shares**") of the Company's common stock. In connection with that third party transaction, Aerosonic has agreed, upon written request of the Investors holding a majority of the Investor Shares, to file a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, with respect to the sale of all of the Investor Shares.

FCB has agreed to certain restrictions on its ability to make additional purchases of the Company's common stock. FCB has also agreed to certain restrictions with respect to their ability to engage in any proxy contests with respect to the Company after the date hereof.

16.

QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED)

Set forth below are the Company's quarterly data for the years ended January 31, 2006 and 2005.

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|
| | April 29 | July 29 | October 28 | January 31 |
| 2006 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 9,075,000 | \$ 8,977,000 | \$ 8,957,000 | \$ 7,754,000 |
| Gross profit | 2,525,000 | 3,011,000 | 2,617,000 | 2,039,000 |
| Income from operations | 712,000 | 836,000 | 626,000 | 134,000 |
| Net income | 449,000 | 482,000 | 490,000 | 1,129,000 |
| Earnings per share (EPS) basic | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.29 |
| Earnings per share (EPS) diluted | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.29 |
| | April 30 | July 30 | October 29 | January 31 <small>(1)</small> |
| 2005 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 7,096,000 | \$ 7,937,000 | \$ 8,139,000 | \$ 7,549,000 |
| Gross profit | 2,008,000 | 2,717,000 | 2,070,000 | 1,272,000 |
| Income from operations | 229,000 | 444,000 | 273,000 | (875,000) |
| Net income | 209,000 | 903,000 | 212,000 | 217,000 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Earnings per share (EPS) basic | | | | |
| | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Earnings per share (EPS) diluted | | | | |
| | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.06 |

⁽¹⁾ Results for the fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2005 include the accrual of approximately \$800,000 for the settlement of the class action litigation and estimated losses on the JSF program of approximately \$201,000.

17.

FOURTH QUARTER ADJUSTMENTS

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company recorded certain material adjustments to its financial statements for its provision for income taxes. The Company has determined that it is entitled to extraterritorial income tax benefits of approximately \$805,000 for the tax years ended January 31, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006. The Company plans to file amended federal and state income tax returns for the tax years ended January 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005 to secure these benefits. The Company also plans to include these credits in its January 31, 2006 income tax return.

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF VALUATION ACCOUNTS**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

| | For the year ended January 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Beginning Reserve Balance | | | |
| | \$ 14,000 | \$ 152,000 | \$ 372,000 |
| Amounts Written Off | | | |
| | - | (147,000) | (147,000) |
| Amounts Charged (Credited) to Operations | | | |
| | (4,000) | 9,000 | (73,000) |
| Ending Reserve Balance | | | |
| | \$ 10,000 | \$ 14,000 | \$ 152,000 |

Reserve for Obsolete and Slow-Moving Inventory

| | For the year ended January 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Beginning Reserve Balance | | | |
| | \$401,000 | \$1,426,000 | \$3,553,000 |
| Amounts Charged (Credited) to Operations | | | |
| | 107,000 | (1,025,000) | (2,127,000) |
| Ending Reserve Balance | | | |
| | \$508,000 | \$ 401,000 | \$1,426,000 |

Environmental

| | For the year ended January 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |

Beginning Reserve Balance

\$ 98,000 \$ 38,000 \$ 38,000

Amounts Charged (Credited) to Operations

(18,000) 60,000 -

Ending Reserve Balance

\$ 80,000 \$ 98,000 \$ 38,000

Warranty

For the year ended January 31,

2006 2005 2004

Beginning Reserve Balance

\$ 150,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 50,000

Amounts Charged (Credited) to Operations

(24,000) - 100,000

Ending Reserve Balance

\$ 126,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 150,000

Sales Returns

For the year ended January 31,

2006 2005 2004

Beginning Reserve Balance

\$ 38,000 \$ 75,000 \$ 75,000

Amounts Credited to Operations

(38,000) (37,000) -

Ending Reserve Balance

\$ - \$ 38,000 \$ 75,000

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance

| | For the year ended January 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Beginning Reserve Balance | | | |
| | \$ - | \$ 974,000 | \$1,504,000 |
| Amounts Credited to Operations | | | |
| | - | (974,000) | (530,000) |
| Ending Reserve Balance, as restated | | | |
| | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 974,000 |

F-21