ASSISTED LIVING CONCEPTS INC Form 8-K

April 27, 2001

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

April 26, 2001

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported)

ASSISTED LIVING CONCEPTS, INC. (exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

93-1148702

(State or other jurisdiction of Commission File Number incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

11835 NE Glenn Widing Drive, Bldg E, Portland, OR 97220-9057 _____

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(503) 252-6233

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report.)

ITEM 5. OTHER EVENTS.

On April 26, 2001, Assisted Living Concepts, Inc. (the "Company") announced that a committee of holders of its convertible subordinated debentures had been formed and that the Company and its financial advisor, Jefferies & Company, Inc., had commenced negotiations with both the committee and the lessors of certain under-performing leases. The Company also announced that such negotiations are still in a preliminary stage, and that the Company cannot predict whether they will lead to a mutually satisfactory restructuring of the Company's obligations. However, any such restructuring plan is likely to result

in a significant reduction in principal amount of the Company's convertible subordinated debentures and a very substantial dilution of the Company's outstanding equity.

The Company also announced that it plans to defer, for 30 days, \$4.7 million of interest payments due May 1, 2001 on the convertible subordinated debentures. A total of approximately \$162 million aggregate principal amount of convertible subordinated debentures are outstanding, with maturities of November 1, 2002 and May 1, 2003.

A copy of the Company's press release dated April 26, 2001 has been filed as an exhibit to this Current Report on Form 8-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

3

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND EXHIBITS

- (a) and (b) None.
- (c) The following document is furnished as an Exhibit to this Current Report on Form 8-K pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:
 - 99 Press Release, dated April 26, 2001.

4

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

ASSISTED LIVING CONCEPTS, INC.

By: /s/ SANDRA CAMPBELL

Name: Sandra Campbell Title: Secretary

Date: April 26, 2001

5

EXHIBIT INDEX

EXHIBIT NO. DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION

99 Press Release, dated April 26, 2001.

New Roman; font-size: 9pt;" align="justify">

Back to Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Information regarding each of LabCorp's executive officers and their relevant business experience is summarized below.

David P. King

President and Chief Executive Officer

See "Proposal One: Election of Directors" (page 18) for information about Mr. King.

James T. Boyle, Jr.

Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer

Mr. Boyle (56) has served as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer since October 2009. He is responsible for the day-to-day supervision of all operations and sales of the Company. Prior to October 2009, Mr. Boyle was Senior Vice President, Managed Care since May 2006. In December of 2008, Mr. Boyle also assumed operating responsibility for the Company's Occupational Testing/Employer Group Services in his then current role of Senior Vice President of Managed Care/OTS. Mr. Boyle previously held the position of Vice President of Managed Care from August 2004 to May 2006. Prior to that Mr. Boyle was the Director of Litigation and Assistant General Counsel from 1999 to 2004. Prior to joining the Company in 1999, Mr. Boyle was engaged in the private practice of law for more than 15 years, specializing in litigation.

William B. Hayes

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Mr. Hayes (47) has served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since June 2005. Mr. Hayes served as Senior Vice President, Investor Relations from July 2004 to June 2005. Prior to this date, Mr. Hayes was Senior Vice President, Finance since 2000. Mr. Hayes is responsible for the day-to-day supervision of the finance and billing functions of the Company. Prior to joining the Company in 1996, Mr. Hayes was in the audit department at KPMG LLP for 9 years.

Mark E. Brecher, M.D.

Senior Vice President, Chief Medical Officer

Dr. Brecher (57) joined the Company in March 2009 as Senior Vice President, Chief Medical Officer. Prior to joining the Company, Dr. Brecher served as Vice Chair of the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine at the McLendon Clinical Laboratories, University of North Carolina Hospitals from July 2006 to February 2009. From July 2003 to July 2006, Dr. Brecher was the Acting Director of the Laboratory Information Systems and the Director of Clinical Pathology. Dr. Brecher is a member of the editorial board of Transfusion and is an associate editor of the Journal of Clinical Apheresis. He is a past chair of the Department of Health and Human Services Advisory Committee on Blood Safety and Availability and a past president of the American Society for Apheresis.

F. Samuel Eberts III

Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

Mr. Eberts (53) has served as Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer since January 1, 2009. Prior to that time he served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel since August 2004. Prior to joining the Company, he was Vice President, Secretary, and General Counsel of Stepan Company. Before joining Stepan Company, he was Assistant General Counsel for Cardinal Health, Inc. from 1998 to 2001 and Associate General Counsel for Allegiance Healthcare Corporation (Allegiance Healthcare Corporation was purchased by Cardinal Health in 1998). Prior to that time, he was Chief Counsel of the Biotech North America division of Baxter International Inc.

Lidia L. Fonseca

Senior Vice President, Chief Information Officer

Ms. Fonseca (44) joined the Company in May 2008 as Senior Vice President, Chief Information Officer. Prior to joining the Company she served as Executive Vice President Global Operations and Technology at Synarc Inc. from 2005 to early 2008. Prior to Synarc, Ms. Fonseca worked at Philips Medical Systems from 1997 to 2005 in various roles including, the global CIO for Phillips Medical Systems and Vice President Supply Chain Management in the Nuclear Medicine Division from 2003-2005, managing various factories and logistics operations. On March 8, 2013, the Company announced that Ms. Fonseca and the Company agreed that her employment and status as an executive officer would terminate effective March 31, 2013.

Back to Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the Company's executive compensation program and decisions for 2012. This section details the compensation framework applied by the Compensation Committee and, in particular, our compensation philosophy, elements of executive pay, compensation decisions and the link between executive pay and performance. The named executive officers of the Company ("named executives") for 2012 are:

David P. King, Chief Executive Officer

•

James T. Boyle, Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer

•

F. Samuel Eberts III, Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer

•

Lidia L. Fonseca, Senior Vice President, Chief Information Officer

•

William B. Hayes, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Determining Executive Compensation

The Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee works throughout the year reviewing compensation trends, evaluating emerging best practices and considering changes to executive compensation that will provide our senior management with an incentive to achieve superior financial results for the Company and align pay with performance. In determining executive compensation, the Committee considers the results of the most recent shareholder Say-on-Pay vote, proxy advisory services recommendations and shareholder feedback in deciding whether changes to the executive compensation program are needed going forward. The Committee approves changes to each component of executive officer compensation, including merit increases to base salary, annual incentive awards and long-term equity incentive awards.

The Role of Management

Annually, Mr. King, our Chief Executive Officer, is invited to provide input on the Compensation Committee's executive compensation decisions, as well as propose awards for the other executive officers, based on his assessment of past and expected individual performance and contribution. With regard to compensation decisions for Mr. King,

the Compensation Committee considers results of his performance assessment, including input from all independent non-employee directors, as well as the Company's financial and business performance. In an executive session, the chair of the Compensation Committee leads the independent non-employee directors through a review of Mr. King's annual accomplishments, a review and approval of compensation actions recommended by the Compensation Committee and a review of performance objectives for the next year. The Board reviews and approves the Committee's recommendations for Mr. King's compensation.

The Role of the Independent Consultant

Cook & Co., the Committee's independent compensation consultant, plays an integral role in the compensation setting process, and one of its representatives attends most of the Compensation Committee meetings to serve as a resource for the Committee. Cook & Co. provides insight and advice related to the Company's compensation plans and policies, and provides recommendations based on compensation trends and regulatory/compliance developments. In order to encourage independent review and discussion of executive compensation matters, the Compensation Committee and the Committee chair may request meetings with the independent compensation consultant in executive session without management present. The Compensation Committee has sole authority to retain or replace the independent compensation consultant. In order to maintain consultant independence, Compensation Committee pre-approval is required for all services performed by the independent compensation consultant.

Pay For Performance

LabCorp's executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate and retain executives in a highly competitive environment. Our executive compensation philosophy is to pay for performance by rewarding the achievement of specific short-term and long-term operational and strategic goals. We believe our executive compensation program avoids unnecessary risk taking and aligns the interests of our shareholders with the performance of our executives. This program reflects our strong commitment to a results-driven compensation program.

Back to Contents

In support of the Company's compensation philosophy, our executive compensation program embodies the following principles:

performance-based variable compensation should comprise a significant part of total compensation, with the percentage of at-risk compensation highest for the executive officers;

the size and the realizable values of incentive awards provided to executive officers should vary significantly with performance achievements;

an emphasis on stock-based compensation aligns the long-term interests of executive officers and shareholders;

compensation opportunities for executive officers must be evaluated against those offered by companies that are in similar industries and are similar in size and scope of operations; and

differences in executive compensation within the Company should reflect varying levels of responsibility and/or performance.

The Company seeks to achieve outstanding performance for our shareholders through a focus on increased revenue, earnings per share ("EPS"), cash flow, operating income and relative total shareholder return. Our compensation program rewards our executives for achieving goals set for these financial measures, as well as for increasing the Company's stock price. A substantial majority of the executives' 2012 compensation opportunity was in the form of performance-based awards, including performance-based cash compensation (awarded under our Management Incentive Bonus Plan, ("MIB Plan")), stock options, restricted stock and performance shares, all of which provide our executives a strong incentive to drive Company performance and increase shareholder value. Incentive compensation is guided by the following principles:

our annual incentive cash payment plan only provides payments if performance goals are met;

payments under our annual incentive cash payment plan, if any, are based on a mix of Company goals common to all executives and strategic and individual goals for each executive in line with the executive's major responsibilities, so that incentive cash payments relate to both Company performance as well as individual performance, and performance goals are tied to specific financial and operational priorities of the Company each year;

by granting performance shares on overlapping cycles, the Company is able to make adjustments to multi-year performance goals each year; and

a significant portion of long-term incentives are earned only if three-year objective performance goals are met.

The Compensation Committee believes that the Company's compensation program must also be grounded in sound governance practices, including:

all executives must meet significant stock ownership requirements that increase with their level of responsibility within the Company; in 2012 stock ownership requirements for the Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice Presidents increased from four to six times base salary and from two to three times base salary, respectively;

we prohibit pledging and hedging with respect to Company stock, including the use of "short sales," "puts," "calls" and similar instruments designed to offset the risk of a decline in the value of the Company's stock;

we do not provide any tax gross-ups to the executives;

there is a cap on the annual incentive cash payment opportunity even for extraordinary performance so that executives are not provided incentives to take inappropriate risks;

there are no employment agreements with our executives;

the Master Senior Executive Severance Plan that provides financial protection for our executives in circumstances involving a change in control is a "double trigger" plan, requiring termination following a change in control for severance payouts; and

a clawback provision under the 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

Redesign of Executive Compensation in 2012

The framework and most significant compensation decisions for our executive compensation for 2012 were set in early 2012. At that time, the most recent shareholder advisory vote on our Say-on-Pay proposal had occurred at our 2011 meeting, where the proposal received 98 percent of the vote. Notwithstanding that level of overwhelming support for our executive compensation and the Company's strong performance in 2011, for 2012 the Compensation

Committee continued to evaluate changes to the overall design of our compensation program by considering compensation trends and emerging best practices as well as proxy advisory services recommendations and shareholder feedback. As a result, significant changes or actions for 2012 included (1) emphasizing the direct return to shareholders by using relative total shareholder return as an element of incentive compensation; (2) changing the calculation of the number of stock options to be granted to the Chief Executive Officer to use a methodology that is more in line with the value placed on options by proxy advisory services, reducing the size of the award; (3) freezing base pay for executive officers in 2012; and (4) expanding the number of officers covered by, and increasing the required ownership levels under, our stock ownership guidelines.

When establishing pay levels, the Committee takes into consideration a number of factors, including the outcome of the annual market assessment conducted by Cook & Co. The information presented by Cook & Co. in late 2011 showed that, in the aggregate, our named executive officer's target total compensation (base salaries, target bonus opportunity and target value of long-term incentives) was generally competitive with the median of the "Peer Group" (page 27). Base salaries tended to be conservative (*i.e.*, below median) relative to similar positions among our peers. Overall, the Committee modified total direct compensation to place greater emphasis on the variable pay elements (annual bonus, long-term incentives), demonstrating the Committee's commitment to align pay outcomes with performance, both short and long-term. Individual compensation decisions for our executives vary due to a variety of factors including experience, tenure, performance, and contribution.

Back to Contents

For 2012, our continued focus on pay for performance resulted in approximately 71 percent of Mr. King's 2012 total target compensation (which is base salary plus the target amounts under our annual cash incentive and long-term incentive arrangements) being at risk and performance-based. For the other named executives, approximately 65 percent of the average of the 2012 total target compensation was at risk and performance-based.

The charts below show the mix of pay elements included in total compensation opportunities for 2012 for our Chief Executive Officer and an average for our other named executives.

At our 2012 annual shareholders meeting, our Say-on-Pay proposal was approved with over 72 percent of the votes cast. In connection with that vote and as part of the Committee's ongoing commitment to considering changes to our compensation program, management and the Committee initiated a complete review of the Company's executive compensation program. This included direct outreach to the Company's major institutional investors (representing the ownership of approximately 79 percent of the Company's outstanding shares) for the express purpose of better understanding and responding to shareholder concerns related to compensation.

Through this engagement with our shareholders, review of emerging best practices, and analysis and review by Cook & Co., the Committee determined to further demonstrate its commitment to a pay for performance culture, continuing the realignment of long-term incentive compensation and the emphasis on the direct return to shareholders as measured by relative total shareholder return as a larger element of incentive compensation.

As part of the realignment of long-term incentive compensation for 2013, the Compensation Committee eliminated the use of stock options. Long-term incentive compensation opportunities in 2013 consist of 70 percent performance shares with performance targets of revenue (50 percent weighting), relative total shareholder return (35 percent weighting) and EBIT (15 percent weighting). Restricted stock units comprise the remaining 30 percent of the 2013 long-term incentive compensation opportunity. We remain committed to our executive compensation program and its emphasis on long-term alignment with our shareholders.

The actions taken in 2012 resulted in the redesign of our executive compensation program to substantially increase the emphasis on performance-based, long-term incentives. The following chart provides an overview of the compensation and pay practices and key actions taken in 2012 and 2013 to enhance the alignment of the interests of our executives and our shareholders:

Component	Brief Description	Purpose	Key 2012 Actions	Key 2013 Actions
Base Salary	•	•	•	•
	Regular, fixed compensation		named executive salaries	
	•	total compensation		•
	Reviewed annually	package		2% increase for other named

	 Generally targeted at competitive median Annual variable cash 	responsibilities		executives
Annual Cash Incentive (MIE Plan)	Target based on a percentage of salary Together with salary generally provides cash compensation opportunity that is at or below competitive median Annual performance goals based on a scorecard combination of financial, strategic and individual metrics	Promote achievement of annual corporate, group and individual goals that contribute to creation of long-term shareholder value	Payouts to named executives were below target (62.3%-69.6% of target) based on financial and strategic performance results for 2012 not achieving targeted levels Year-over-year decline in payouts by approximately 48% to the CEO and by 50% as to the average payouts of the other named executives	Continue to align targets with Company's near term and long-term strategic objectives Payouts to be determined

Back to Contents

Component	Brief Description	Purpose	Key 2012 Actions	Key 2013 Actions
Long-Term Incentives	Annual grants using a portfolio of equity awards Performance shares become earned based on three-year performance Restricted stock awards subject to a three-year vesting schedule Target award levels based on competitive market data and Company philosophy to emphasize long-term compensation opportunities	Pay for longer-term performance Alignment with long-term interests of shareholders Vesting features encourage retention	relative total shareholder return, which was a new measure for 2012 -40% of award tied to	• Stock options eliminated • Performance shares increased to 70% of total long-term incentives • Restricted stock units (30%) with three year vesting
			CEO stock option award r e d u c e d b y approximately 17%	
Perquisites	Limited perquisites	• Part of a competitive total compensation	• No change in 2012	No change to date
Change in Control	No tax gross-ups	package •	•	•
Severance Protection	Pre- and post-change in control protection against a	_	No change in 2012	No change to date

"qualifying termination" package Cash severance based on a E n c o u r a g e s multiple of salary and management average prior incentive cash economic neutrality payments, capped at 3X for in considering CEO for post-change in potential transactions control termination (2X for pre-change in control termination) Double trigger No tax gross-ups No employment contracts Enhance the To demonstrate our Stock ownership transparency of our commitment to sound requirement of 1 pay program and corporate governance times base salary align with the practices stock extended to all Company's longownership guidelines s e n i o r No guaranteed bonuses s t a n d i n g were increased management commitment to good governance practices - CEO - 6 times base No additional age/service salary credits for years not worked with LabCorp or its - EVPs – 3 times base predecessor companies salary under pension plan - Other senior management, 1 times base salary No personal use of corporate aircraft at LabCorp's expense No excessive severance or change in control provisions

Sound Pay Practices

No payment of dividends on stock options or unvested

performance awards

•

No stock option repricing without shareholder approval

•

No tax gross-ups on perquisites or severance/change in control payments

•

Tax-qualified 401(k) plan

•

Retirement

Frozen tax-qualified Cash

Balance plan and Part of a competitive non-qualified Defined total compensation No change in 2012

moderace

package

.

Benefit plan

Deferred compensation plan (no company contributions)

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS – 2013 Proxy Statement 25

No change to date

Back to Contents

2012 Compensation Decisions

2012 Financial and Business Performance

The Compensation Committee considers the Company's financial and business performance in making compensation decisions and believes that realized compensation should be tied to actual performance. The Company delivered solid performance in 2012, made possible by disciplined execution of our five-pillar strategy to grow the business and increase shareholder value. These five strategic pillars are:

Deploy capital to investments that enhance our business and return capital to shareholders;

Enhance IT capabilities to improve the physician and patient experience;

Continue to improve efficiency to remain the most efficient and highest value provider of laboratory services;

Continue scientific innovation to offer new tests at reasonable and appropriate pricing; and

Participate in the development of alternative delivery models to improve patient outcomes and reduce the cost of care.

Despite persistent economic headwinds and a healthcare landscape undergoing fundamental transformation, we achieved our operational and financial goals across a broad range of measures. We generated solid increases in test volumes, revenues and earnings in 2012, achieving growth both organically and through strategic acquisitions. The Company grew revenue 2.3 percent to \$5.7 billion, and Adjusted Earnings Per Share Excluding Amortization increased by 7.1 percent to \$6.82. (Adjusted Earnings Per Share Excluding Amortization is a non-GAAP measure calculated by excluding the effects of the impact of restructuring and other special charges of \$0.29 per share and amortization expense (\$0.54 per share) from the GAAP diluted earnings per share of \$5.99). These results are especially noteworthy in a market environment in which total net U.S. jobs and managed care enrollment, key metrics for our industry, remained largely stagnant.

In 2012, we also extended our long record of generating strong operating and free cash flow, which we used to make strategic acquisitions, invested in the business and returned to shareholders through the repurchase of \$516 million of LabCorp stock. Moreover, through efficiency initiatives and rigorous expense management we reduced our selling, general and administration expenses as a percentage of revenue by 1.2 percentage points in 2012. Finally, we enhanced our strong balance sheet by refinancing \$1 billion of debt at historically low interest rates.

We are proud of these accomplishments. This performance extended a strong growth record that has been sustained through several years of economic distress. We believe that our continued focus on a disciplined execution of our five

pillar strategy will lead to sustainable, superior results for the Company and our shareholders. While we continue to focus on and reward our executives building long-term, sustainable success, we also balance our approach to pay-for-performance to reward consistent superior performance every year. Notwithstanding the strong operational achievements of our Company and our executives, we did not achieve certain of the robust targets that we set for the year, which is reflected in the earnings of the Company's named executives under our MIB Plan. Most of the Company's financial goals under the MIB Plan were achieved above threshold but slightly below target, with the exception of Free Cash Flow, which did not achieve threshold (see page 29). As a result, even with our strong performance, each named executive received MIB Plan payouts at or below target levels, ranging from between 62 percent to 70 percent of the target, reflecting our philosophy that compensation should be directly tied to Company and individual performance achievements. The chart below shows the reduction in the total payout of the annual non-equity incentive compensation year over year. Our named executive officers were paid a total of 49% less in 2012 than the year prior.

Y/Y Reduction in Total

Payout for the Named

			Executives
	Total 2011	Total 2012	M
	Payout	Payout	%
David P. King	\$2,019,536	\$1,056,872	(47.6%)
James T. Boyle	\$803,421	\$430,605	(46.4%)
F. Samuel Eberts III	\$230,695	\$116,734	(49.3%)
Lidia L. Fonseca	\$278,723	\$141,036	(49.3%)
William B. Hayes	\$629,321	\$292,725	(53.4%)

Back to Contents

Use of Peer Group

In evaluating executive compensation, the Compensation Committee considers both absolute performance and performance relative to an established peer group, as well as the pay practices of that peer group. With input from Cook & Co., the comparative peer group is selected from public companies in the healthcare services industry that are closest to LabCorp in terms of scope of services and are of a similar size in terms of revenue, profitability, cash flow, market capitalization and number of employees. Beginning in 2012, the Committee also considered the peer group used by our most direct competitors in determining our peer group. Each year, with the support of Cook & Co., the Committee reviews the peer group to ensure it remains valid for benchmarking purposes and makes adjustments as needed to reflect changes in business strategy and peer circumstances (e.g. acquisitions). In 2012, the peer group was modified from the peer group used in 2011 to include several new companies in order to more closely align the Company's peer group with that of its competitors. As a result, the following companies were added to the peer group: Allergan, Inc., Baxter International Inc., Beckton-Dickinson, Inc., Covidien Plc, Henry Schein, Inc., ThermoFisher, Inc. and Zimmer Holdings. Additionally, the Company removed Biogen Idec Inc. and Covance Inc. from the peer group because both companies have different business models that make them less relevant comparators. The companies included in the 2012 comparative peer group were:

Agilent Technologies, Inc.	DaVita Inc.	Quest Diagnostics Incorporated
•	•	•
Allergan, Inc.	Express Scripts, Inc.	St. Jude Medical, Inc.
•	•	•
Baxter International Inc.	Henry Schein, Inc.	Stryker Corporation
•	•	•
Becton, Dickinson and Company	Life Technologies Corporation	Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.
•	•	•
Boston Scientific Corporation	Omnicare, Inc.	Zimmer Holdings

Covidien Plc Owens & Minor, Inc.

For 2013, Express Scripts was removed from the peer group. The Compensation Committee believes that the merger of Express Scripts and Medco materially changed the company's size such that it is no longer an appropriate comparator.

Annually, Cook & Co. prepares a review of competitive total compensation for the Company's executives versus similar positions at our peer group companies and utilizes national survey data for executives for whom there is insufficient comparable information in the peer company proxy statements. The competitive review confirmed that, for 2012, the Company continues to meet its compensation objectives, with a mix of lower cash compensation and somewhat higher long-term incentives than its peers. We have historically emphasized higher long-term incentives to more closely align our executives' interests with the long-term performance of the Company.

2012 Actions

Our executive compensation program focuses on three key elements of compensation: (1) annual salary; (2) annual cash incentive pay; and (3) long-term incentive awards. We also provide limited perquisites, severance benefits, and post-retirement benefits as part of a standard, competitive compensation package.

Base Salary

As described above, while the Compensation Committee generally targets salary levels of the named executives at or below the median of the peer group, it retains the flexibility to adjust individual compensation to take into account variations in the individual's job experience and responsibility, as reviewed and recommended to the Committee by Mr. King. Annual changes in base salaries are determined using several factors including the peer group's practices, our performance, the individual's performance and increases generally provided to our employees.

For 2012, neither Mr. King nor any of the other named executives received an increase in base salary in 2012. The Committee made the decision to freeze the named executives' salaries in 2012 at the recommendation of Mr. King, taking into account the factors discussed above and the fact that there was no planned general increase for the other employees of the Company. While other employees across the Company ultimately received increases in 2012, the base salaries did not increase for the named executives.

Back to Contents

Annual Cash Incentive Pay (MIB Plan)

Our MIB Plan is designed to compensate our executives for achieving in-year goals that further the Company's strategy and create shareholder value. Target MIB Plan award amounts for 2012 for the named executives ranged from 50 percent to 150 percent of base salary.

Certain performance measures under the MIB Plan may be achieved at a threshold, target or superior level. If the actual performance measures fall between either the threshold and target levels or the target and superior levels, the payouts are prorated accordingly. If the threshold level of performance for a particular goal is not achieved, the payout for that goal is zero. Some performance measures under the MIB Plan provide that the goal is either achieved or not achieved, meaning that there is a yes/no outcome (*i.e.*, the goal was either achieved earning a 100 percent target payment, or was not achieved, resulting in no payment). However, the Committee retains the discretion to determine a lower level of payout.

Threshold	Target	Superior
50% payout of Target	100% payout of Target amount	150% payout of Target
amount	100% payout of Target amount	amount

Payments under the MIB Plan are based on a mix of Company Financial Goals that are shared among all of the executives, as well as additional individual and strategic goals that may apply only to a specific executive. The common goals represented 70 percent to 80 percent of the target amount of each executive's opportunity under the MIB Plan, while the remaining 20 percent to 30 percent of the target amount was based on individual and strategic goals. Performance goals are evaluated annually and may change to reflect the financial and operational priorities of the Company.

Company Goals. For 2012, each of our named executive officers shared five goals:

Revenue (20 percent weighting);

Adjusted EPS (a non-GAAP measure calculated consistent with the adjusted EPS measure used by the Company in its quarterly earnings releases filed on Form 8-K by deducting the impact of restructuring and other special charges and loss on divestiture of assets from the GAAP measure of diluted earnings per share) (20 percent weighting);

Free Cash Flow (a non-GAAP measure calculated consistent with the free cash flow measure used by the Company in its quarterly earnings releases filed on Form 8-K by deducting capital expenditures from the GAAP measure of net cash provided by operating activities) (15 percent weighting);

Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) (15 percent weighting); and

•

Adjusted Operating Income (10 percent weighting).

The Revenue, Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Operating Income performance measures were selected as performance measures because they are the top-line and bottom-line measures used by the Company and the investment community to evaluate our operating performance. Given the fixed-cost nature of the Company's business, revenue growth and cost control are necessary to drive increased Adjusted Operating Income. The Return on Invested Capital ("ROIC") performance measure was selected because it compensates executives for appropriate allocation of the Company's capital. The Free Cash Flow performance measure was added as an important strategic goal because when combined with the other metrics, the goals collectively reward growth. These common goals represented 70 percent to 80 percent of the target amount of each named executive's opportunity under the MIB Plan.

Individual and Strategic Goals. In addition to the five common goals described above, the performance measures used for the remaining 20 percent to 30 percent of each named executive officer's target amount are directed to specific areas of focus for each named executive officer for 2012, tying individual performance to strategic goals that will contribute to our overall success. These additional goals are unique to each of the named executives. The individual and strategic goals applicable to each named executive under the MIB Plan for 2012 are discussed below under the heading "Summary of MIB Plan Payments by Executive."

Setting and Evaluating Performance Targets. The threshold, target and superior goals for Revenue, Adjusted EPS, Free Cash Flow, ROIC and Adjusted Operating Income performance measures were based on various outcomes considered by the Compensation Committee, with the target amounts most closely approximating the Company's operating budget approved by the Board. Because annual targets for performance goals are set at levels based on our expected financial performance for the year and business opportunities in the clinical laboratory industry, the Compensation Committee believes that paying at 150 percent of a performance measure's target for the named executives for superior performance provides appropriate incentive to achieve outcomes clearly exceeding target expectations. The Compensation Committee further believes that threshold amounts represent sufficient performance to warrant incentive compensation and that a 50 percent potential payout is appropriate. For those individual goals that have a "yes/no" outcome or only a target level of performance, there is no threshold level of performance and no separate superior level of performance, and the goal is set based on the Compensation Committee's determination that the individual goal is important to achieving the Company's operational goals for the year. The achievement of performance measures that are not financially-based is determined by the Committee in its discretion, after discussion with Mr. King. Performance levels are determined annually by the Compensation Committee based upon its collective experience and reasoned business judgment. The Compensation Committee determines the performance measures, performance targets and allocation for Mr. King. In turn, the Committee has delegated to Mr. King the responsibility of determining the incentive plans for each of the other named executives, using substantially the same Company targets established by the Compensation Committee, subject to the approval of the Compensation Committee.

Results for 2012 Company Financial Goals. The 2012 goals that were common for each of the named executives and the result for the year for each goal were:

Back to Contents

Goal	Threshold	Target	Superior	2012 Result	% of Target
Revenue	\$5.43 billion	\$5.69 billion	\$5.96 billion	\$5.67 billion	99.6 %
Adjusted EPS*	\$6.75	\$6.90	\$7.05	\$6.82	99.8 %
ROIC	11.8 %	12.3 %	12.8 %	12.2 %	99.2 %
Free Cash Flow**	\$747 million	\$795 million	\$843 million	million – no \$668 payout for this goal	- %
Adjusted Operating Income ***	-	\$1.14 billion	-	billion – no -\$1.06 payout for this goal	- %

Adjusted EPS represents GAAP diluted earnings per share, adjusted for restructuring and other special charges, as disclosed in the Company's quarterly and annual earnings releases furnished on Form 8-K.

**

Free Cash Flow represents GAAP net cash provided by operating activities less capital expenditures, as discussed in the Company's quarterly and annual earnings releases furnished on Form 8-K.

Adjusted Operating Income represents operating income, excluding restructuring and other special charges, as disclosed in the Company's quarterly and annual earnings releases furnished on Form 8-K.

Summary of MIB Plan Payments by Executive. The goals and MIB Plan targets for each named executive in 2012 are discussed below. For each named executive, the charts below show the following: the total target cash incentive opportunity; the goals, as well as the allocation of the target among those goals; the payout for each goal at varying levels of achievement; and the actual payment for each goal, which reflects the level of achievement relative to the threshold, target, and superior measures. For 2012, each of the named executives achieved above threshold but below target performance for the Revenue, ROIC and Adjusted EPS goals and did not achieve target for the free cash flow and Adjusted Operating Income goals, as shown in the table above.

Mr. King

As Chief Executive Officer, Mr. King's individual goals for 2012 were focused on the importance of executing key elements of our strategic plan. As a result of the achievements reflected in the table below, Mr. King's earned annual incentive cash payment was approximately 70 percent of his 2012 target goal.

2012 TARGET AND ACTUAL PAYOUT

	Actual Payout %	Total Opportunity	Target %	
Actual Payout(1)	of Target	at Target	of Base Salary	Base Salary
\$ 1,056,872	70%	\$1,519,500	150%	\$1,013,000

2012 RESULTS

	Allocation by Goal		Incentive Cash Payment	ty by Goal by Achievement	by Goal by Level of iievement	
	%	Goal	Threshold	Target	Superior	Actual Payout ⁽¹⁾
	20 %	Revenue	\$151,950	\$303,900	\$455,850	\$261,411
Company Financial Goals: ⁽²⁾	20 %	Adjusted EPS	\$151,950	\$303,900	\$607,800	\$200,575
Goals:(2)	15 %	Free Cash Flow	\$113,963	\$227,925	\$341,888	-
	15 %	ROIC	\$113,963	\$227,925	\$341,888	\$184,620
Individual/Strategic Goals:	25 %	Development of approved Strategic Vision and Roadmap	\$-	\$379,875	\$-	\$341,888
	5 %	Succession Planning and Strategic Planning Hire	\$-	\$75,975	\$-	\$68,378
TOTAL	100 %		\$531,826	\$1,519,500	\$2,203,276	\$1,056,872
(1)						

Although the Compensation Committee determined that these goals were met at a higher level, at his request the Compensation Committee reduced Mr. King's payout for each of his goals by 10% to reflect that all of the other executives had an Adjusted Operating Income goal included in their MIB goals that was not earned. The Adjusted Operating Income goal was added to the other executives after the Committee had set Mr. King's 2012 goals. The Committee believes that reducing Mr. King's total MIB payout by 10% of what he otherwise would have earned was an appropriate action in light of the Company not achieving the goal.

(2)

The 2012 results for the Company Financial Goals are set forth in the table above under the heading "Results for 2012 Company Financial Goals."

Back to Contents

Mr. Boyle

As Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Boyle's individual goals for 2012 were based on operational matters in line with his major responsibilities. As a result of the achievements reflected in the table below, Mr. Boyle's earned annual incentive cash payment was approximately 67 percent of his 2012 target goal.

Total Opportunity Actual Payout

Target % of

2012 TARGET AND ACTUAL PAYOUT

		Base	at	9	% of	
	Base Salar	y Salary	Target	Ta	rget Act	tual Payout
2012 RESULTS	\$512,00	00 125%	\$640,000	(57%	\$430,605
	Al	location by Goal	Incentive Cash Payment		y by Goal by chievement	Level of
	%	Goal	Threshold	Target	Superior	Actual Payout
	20 %	Revenue	\$64,000	\$128,000	\$192,000	\$122,338
Company Financial	20 %	Adjusted EPS	\$64,000	\$128,000	\$256,000	\$93,867
Goals: ⁽¹⁾	15 %	Free Cash Flow	\$48,000	\$96,000	\$144,000	-
	15 %	ROIC	\$48,000	\$96,000	\$144,000	\$86,400
	10 %	Adjusted Operating Income	\$-	\$64,000	\$-	\$-
Individual/Strategic Goals:	10 %	Successful Talent Development for Key Operations Positions ⁽²⁾	\$-	\$64,000	\$-	\$64,000
	10 %	Successful Transition of Esoteric Businesses to COO ⁽³⁾	\$-	\$64,000		\$64,000
TOTAL	100 %		\$224,000	\$640,000	\$928,000	\$430,605
(1)						

The 2012 results for the Company Financial Goals are set forth in the table above under the heading "Results for 2012 Company Financial Goals."

(2)

The Compensation Committee determined that this goal was met and approved a payout at target based on its review of the successful talent development for key operations positions.

(3)

The Compensation Committee determined that this goal was met and approved a payout at target based on its review of the successful transition of the Esoteric Businesses to the Chief Operating Officer.

Mr. Eberts

As Chief Legal Officer, Mr. Eberts' individual goals for 2012 were based on legal and regulatory matters in line with his major responsibilities. As a result of the achievements reflected in the table below, Mr. Eberts' earned annual incentive cash payment was approximately 67 percent of his 2012 target goal.

Total Opportunity

Actual Payout

Target % of

2012 TARGET AND ACTUAL PAYOUT

		Base	at	9	% of	
	Base Salar	y Salary	Target	Ta	rget Act	ual Payout
2012 RESULTS	\$346,99	6 50%	\$173,498	(57%	\$116,734
	Al	location by Goal	Incentive Cash Payment		y by Goal by chievement	Level of
	%	Goal	Threshold	Target	Superior	Actual Payout
	20 %	Revenue	\$17,350	\$34,700	\$52,050	\$33,165
Campany Financial	20 %	Adjusted EPS	\$17,350	\$34,700	\$69,400	\$25,447
Company Financial Goals: ⁽¹⁾	15 %	Free Cash Flow	\$13,012	\$26,024	\$39,036	\$-
	15 %	ROIC	\$13,012	\$26,024	\$39,036	\$23,422
	10 %	Adjusted Operating Income	\$-	\$17,350	\$-	\$-
Individual/Strategic Goals:	10 %	Successful Development of International Compliance Program ⁽²⁾	\$-	\$17,350	\$-	\$17,350
	10 %	Strengthen the Company's legal response to actionable competitive activity ⁽³⁾	\$-	\$17,350	\$-	\$17,350
TOTAL	100 %		\$60,724	\$173,498	\$251,572	\$116,734
(1)						

The 2012 results for the Company Financial Goals are set forth in the table above under the heading "Results for 2012 Company Financial Goals."

(2)

The Compensation Committee determined that this goal was met and approved a payout at target based on its review of the successful development of an international compliance program.

(3)

The Compensation Committee determined that this goal was met and approved a payout at target based on the Company's legal actions initiated to address actionable competitive activity, including violation of intellectual property rights, applicable state and federal regulations and contractual agreements.

Back to Contents

Ms. Fonseca

As Senior Vice President, Chief Information Officer, Ms. Fonseca's individual goals for 2012 were based on the improvement of the effectiveness of her areas of responsibility, delivery of the Beacon LBS Physician Decision Support capability and completion of the Propel Projet in line with her major responsibilities. As a result of the achievements reflected in the table below, Ms. Fonseca earned an annual incentive cash payment that was approximately 67 percent of her target goal.

2012 TARGET AND ACTUAL PAYOUT

		Target % of Base	Total Opportunity at	Actual Pay	vout % of	
	Base Salar	y Salary	Target	Ta	rget Act	ual Payout
2012 RESULTS	\$349,36	5 60%	\$209,619	(67%	\$141,036
Allocation b		ocation by Goal	Incentive Cash Payment		y by Goal by chievement	Level of
	%	Goal	Threshold	Target	Superior	Actual Payout
	20 %	Revenue	\$20,962	\$41,924	\$62,886	\$40,070
Company Financial	20 %	Adjusted EPS	\$20,962	\$41,924	\$83,848	\$30,744
Goals: ⁽¹⁾	15 %	Free Cash Flow	\$15,721	\$31,443	\$47,165	\$-
	15 %	ROIC	\$15,721	\$31,442	\$47,165	\$28,298
	10 %	Adjusted Operating Income	\$-	\$20,962	\$-	\$-
Individual/Strategic Goals:	10 %	Complete Beacon LBS Physician Decision Support capability ⁽²⁾	\$-	\$20,962	\$-	\$20,962
	10 %	Complete Propel Project ⁽³⁾	\$-	20,962	\$-	\$20,962
TOTAL	100 %		\$73,367	\$209,619	\$303,948	\$141,036
(1)						

The 2012 results for the Company Financial Goals are set forth in the table above under the heading "Results for 2012 Company Financial Goals."

(2)

The Compensation Committee determined that this goal was met and approved a payout at target for this goal based on its review of the successful completion of the Beacon LBS Physician Decision Support.

(3)

The Compensation Committee determined that this goal was met and approved a payout at target for this goal based on its review of the successful completion of the Propel Project.

Mr. Hayes

As Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Hayes' individual goals for 2012 were based on his responsibility for financial management, as well as his responsibility for completing certain strategic acquisition integrations. As a result of the achievements reflected in the table below, Mr. Hayes earned an annual incentive cash payment that was approximately 62 percent of his target goal.

2012 TARGET AND ACTUAL PAYOUT

		Target % of Base	Total Opportunity at	Actual Pay	out 6 of	
	Base Salar	ry Salary	Target	Tai	rget Act	ual Payout
2012 RESULTS	\$470,000 100%		\$470,000	62%		\$292,725
	Allocation by Goal		Incentive Cash Payment	Opportunity by Goal by Level of Achievement		Level of
	%	Goal	Threshold	Target	Superior	Actual Payout
	20 %	Revenue	\$47,000	\$94,000	\$141,000	\$89,842
Company Financial	20 %	Adjusted EPS	\$47,000	\$94,000	\$188,000	\$68,933
Company Financial Goals: ⁽¹⁾	15 %	Free Cash Flow	\$35,250	\$70,500	\$105,750	\$-
	15 %	ROIC	\$35,250	\$70,500	\$105,750	\$63,450
	10 %	Adjusted Operating Income	\$-	\$47,000	\$-	\$-
Individual/Strategic Goals:	10 %	Successful year 2 Genzyme Integration ⁽²⁾	\$-	\$47,000	\$-	\$47,000
	10 %	Development of single improved comprehensive accession report and forecast ⁽³⁾	÷, \$-	\$47,000		\$23,500
TOTAL	100 %		\$164,500	\$470,000	\$681,500	\$292,725
(1)						

The 2012 results for the Company Financial Goals are set forth in the table above under the heading "Results for 2012 Company Financial Goals."

(2)

The Compensation Committee determined that this goal was met and approved a payout at target for this goal based on its review of the successful completion of the year 2 Genzyme integration plan.

(3)

The Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Hayes developed a single, improved comprehensive report and forecast but that the timing of development and application to business segments warranted a 50 percent payout at target for this goal.

Back to Contents

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

In 2012, we continued our practice of using stock options (35 percent of target value, based on Black-Scholes option value), restricted stock (25 percent of target value, based on grant date fair value) and performance share awards (40 percent of target value, based on grant date fair value). For 2012, the Compensation Committee believed that a balanced program using these three award types achieves all of the following:

rewards stock-price growth, particularly through the use of stock options;

delivers performance-based, "at-risk" compensation through performance shares;

ensures longer-term business focus through the use of multi-year operational performance goals to determine the number of performance share awards ultimately earned;

provides attractive retention features through multi-year vesting and the use of restricted stock;

aligns the interests of the executive officers, including the named executive officers, with the interests of all shareholders; and

aligns with emerging practices of the market and is supported by the peer group data.

In 2012, we reduced the percentage of stock options by 5 percent to 35 percent and increased the percentage of restricted stock awards by 5 percent to 25 percent. We made this change to align our program more closely with market trends.

Long-Term Incentive Target Award Levels

Award values for 2012 were determined so that award levels were generally positioned at the median of the peer group. Mr. King's award values for 2012 were slightly above the median of the peer group and the award values for the balance of the named executives for 2012 ranged from slightly above the median to below the median of the peer group. The Compensation Committee retains the flexibility to adjust individual award levels, taking into account variations in the individual's job experience and responsibility, as reviewed and recommended to the Committee by Mr. King. These values were selected based on the Company's desire to attract and retain executive talent, the Company's stated objective of placing a greater emphasis on long-term incentives and the Committee's assessment of the Company's performance. Stock option and restricted stock awards vest in equal one-third increments over a

three-year period beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. The stock options, if unexercised, will expire ten years from the date of grant, subject to their earlier termination.

2012-2014 Performance Shares Granted

Performance shares granted for 2012 become earned based on Adjusted Operating Income, Sales (excluding Ontario, Canada) and Relative Total Shareholder Return during the three-year performance period that began January 1, 2012 and ends December 31, 2014, as follows:

Goal	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Superior
Adjusted Operating Income	40 %	\$3.48 billion	\$3.70 billion	\$3.82 billion
Sales (excluding Ontario, Canada)	40 %	\$16.43 billion	\$16.74 billion	\$17.07 billion
Relative Total Shareholder Return	20 %	30th Percentile	50th Percentile	70th Percentile

Adjusted Operating Income was selected as a target because it is a bottom-line measure used by the Company and the investment community to evaluate our operating performance. Sales growth was selected based on the importance of this measure to the market price of our stock and its importance to growing operating income. Relative Total Shareholder Return was selected based on feedback from our shareholders, Cook & Co.'s recommendations and the Compensation Committee's determination to tie a significant portion of long-term incentive compensation directly to share appreciation. Amounts earned are prorated for achievement between levels. Failure to achieve threshold would result in the executives receiving no performance shares for the period in question.

Back to Contents

2010-2012 Performance Share Awards Earned

We granted performance share awards in 2010 that would be earned only to the extent the stated performance goals over the three-year performance period were realized. The performance shares granted in 2010 had a three-year performance period that ended December 31, 2012. These awards became earned based on performance during that period at 93.3 percent of target. The shares earned based on performance were subject to an additional time-based vesting requirement through March 28, 2013. The following chart summarizes the cumulative performance goals and results for this award:

Goal	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Superior	2010-2012 Result
Adjusted Sales*	30 %	\$13.88 billion	\$14.51 billion	\$15.01 billion	\$14.55 billion
Adjusted EPS**	70 %	\$16.90	\$18.06	\$19.19	\$17.78
**					

Adjusted Sales represents the Company's consolidated sales for the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, excluding the sales from its Ontario subsidiary and from its acquisition of Genzyme Genetics.

*

Adjusted Earnings Per Share ("Adjusted EPS") represents GAAP diluted Earnings Per Share, excluding restructuring and other special charges, as disclosed by the Company in its quarterly earnings releases. It also adjusts for differences between the budgeted exchange rate used for the Company's Ontario consolidated subsidiary versus the actual exchange rates used in translating that subsidiary's financial results in each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. In addition, Adjusted EPS excludes the per share impact of the Company's acquisition of Genzyme Genetics.

Equity Grant Practices; Clawback Requirement

Generally, the Compensation Committee approves equity grants at the beginning of the year in connection with a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting. Under the 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan used for these awards, the grant date of an award is the date the Compensation Committee approves the award and the price is based on the closing market price on the grant date. The Compensation Committee does not time awards with the release of information concerning the Company.

Awards that have been made pursuant to the 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan are subject to a mandatory repayment policy, pursuant to which gains on such awards may be recovered in the event of an accounting restatement involving certain forms of misconduct. In addition, awards made under the 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan may be annulled if the grantee is terminated for cause (as defined in the 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan or in any other agreement with the grantee).

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board believes that requiring senior management to maintain a significant personal level of stock ownership ensures that each member of senior management is financially aligned with the interests of our shareholders. Pursuant to the executive stock ownership program, each member of senior management must acquire and maintain a level of

ownership in the Company's Common Stock equivalent to a number of shares having a value that is a multiple of that executive's base salary using the salary and stock price as of the date he or she became subject to the stock ownership program. This level will be adjusted if the executive's position changes and the new position has a different ownership requirement. An executive is required to maintain this level of stock ownership throughout his or her tenure with the Company until near retirement. In 2012, the guidelines were revised to cover all senior leadership and to increase the multiple for the Chief Executive Officer to 6x and the multiple for Executive Vice Presidents to 3x. The ownership requirements for each position are:

Company Stock Ownership Requirements

Position	as a Multiple of Base Salary
Chief Executive Officer	6x
Executive Vice Presidents	3x
All Other Senior Leadership	1x

Until the ownership requirement is met, an executive is required to hold 50 percent of any shares of Company stock acquired upon the lapse of restrictions on any stock grant and upon the exercise of stock options, net of taxes and shares used to pay the exercise price. If an executive fails to meet or show progress towards satisfying these requirements, the Compensation Committee may reduce future equity grants or other incentive compensation for that executive. Once an executive reaches the age of 62, the ownership requirement is reduced by 50 percent, and once an executive reaches the age of 64, the ownership requirement is reduced by 75 percent.

Perquisites

Beginning in 2011, the Compensation Committee phased out certain perquisites for executives that were not considered consistent with emerging compensation practices, which resulted in discontinuing the annual car allowance, home security system allowance and club membership allowance. The Compensation Committee determined that financial counseling, long-term disability and personal liability insurance and a health check-up allowance were appropriate to continue because these benefits help ensure that the Company's executives maintain appropriate fiscal and physical health, which contributes to stable executive leadership for the Company. The aggregate value of these perquisites for 2012 is approximately \$14,450 for Mr. King and an average of approximately \$8,220 for the other named executives. While the Compensation Committee believes that the remaining perquisites are conservative and provide benefits to the Company, there are no tax gross-up payments associated with these perquisites. For more information on perquisites in 2012, including the valuation and amounts, see the "Summary Compensation Table" below.

Back to Contents

Ban on Pledging and Hedging Transactions

The Company maintains an Insider Trading Policy that prohibits executives and key employees from pledging and hedging with respect to Company stock, including the use of "short sales," "puts," "calls" and similar instruments designed to offset the risk of a decline in the value of the Company's stock;

Termination and Change-in-Control Payments

The Company has not entered into any employment agreements or other individual termination arrangements with any executives.

The Company has had a severance plan in place since 1996 that provides participants financial protection in circumstances involving a qualifying termination, with a higher level of payment if the qualifying termination occurs within 3 years of a change in control (a "double trigger"). The severance plans are comprised of the Amended and Restated Master Senior Executive Severance Plan (the "Amended and Restated Severance Plan") and a Master Senior Executive Change-in-Control Severance Plan (the "Change-in-Control Plan"). The Company originally adopted and continues to maintain the severance plans to provide a competitive benefit necessary to attract and retain executives, and so that in the context of a change in control the executive would consider corporate actions that would benefit shareholders without regard to personal finances.

As a result of amendments over time, we believe that the severance plans are appropriately structured and consistent with current market practice. For example, the severance plans provide for severance payments that reflect the actual performance of the executive over prior periods by basing severance payments on actual, rather than target, annual MIB Plan payments and the plans do not have tax gross-up payments associated with change-in-control payments. For additional information on the termination and change-in-control benefits under the Amended and Restated Severance Plan and the Change-in-Control Plan, see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control" on page 43.

The 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan provides that if awards are assumed or substituted in a change in control, a double trigger is required for accelerated vesting. The plan does not provide for any gross-up and we believe these provisions are consistent with emerging compensation trends.

In 2004, the Board approved the Senior Executive Transition Policy (the "Transition Policy") to reflect the belief that a strong succession planning process ensures the continued success of the Company, in anticipation of several members of the then-current management team retiring in the near future and knowing that failure to ensure a smooth transition of leadership would have an adverse effect on the Company and its shareholders. The Compensation Committee continues to believe that the Transition Policy is important to strong succession planning for the Company's most senior positions. Eligibility requirements for the Transition Policy include, (a) being a member of the management Executive Committee ("EC") and designated as a participant by the Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee, (b) having five years of service as an EC member, (c) having 10 years of service with the Company, and (d) approval from the Board of a plan that ensures a smooth and effective transition of the departing executive's management team including honoring a non-solicit and non-compete agreement. Currently, the only individuals designated for participation in the Transition Policy are Messrs. King and Hayes. The eligibility requirements of the Transition Policy are designed to ensure the retention of the executive over a period of time, to provide the Company with the ability to limit participation to the most senior executives and to ensure the goal of strong succession planning. The Transition Policy also provides additional protection to the Company in the form of a non-compete and non-solicitation agreement, and the policy sets forth the treatment of long-term incentive awards made under the Company's stock incentive plans in the event of a voluntary termination before age 65.

Deferred Compensation Program

In 2001, the Board approved the Deferred Compensation Plan ("DCP") under which certain of the Company's executives, including the named executives, may elect to defer up to 100 percent of their annual cash incentive pay and/or up to 50 percent of their annual base salary and/or eligible commissions subject to annual limits established by the federal government. The deferral limits were based on the Compensation Committee's assessment of best practices at the time the DCP was established. The DCP provides executives a tax efficient strategy for retirement savings and capital accumulation without significant cost to the Company. The Company makes no contributions to the DCP. For additional information on the DCP, see the "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table" and accompanying narrative below.

Retirement Plans

The Company previously adopted a supplemental retirement plan, the Pension Equalization Plan (the "PEP") for executives, including the named executives. The PEP is an unfunded, non-contributory, non-qualified plan that was designed to provide income continuation benefits at retirement and work in conjunction with the Company's Cash Balance Retirement Plan (the "Cash Balance Plan"), a qualified and funded defined benefit plan available to substantially all employees. The PEP was intended to provide additional retirement benefits to a select group of management employees as an integral part of a total compensation package designed to attract and retain top executive performers. Requirements of participation when the PEP was established included (a) approval of participation by the Chief Executive Officer, (b) being named as a Senior or Executive Vice President or operating in the capacity of one, or (c) being named as the President or Chief Executive Officer. Effective January 1, 2010, both the PEP and the Cash Balance Plan were frozen; no new participants will be admitted and no further service credits will be awarded to current participants.

The Company also offers a defined contribution retirement savings plan (*i.e.*, 401(k) plan) called the Employees' Retirement Savings Plan. Participation in this plan is available to all US-based employees, including the executives. Company contribution information is reflected in the "Summary Compensation Table" below for the executives.

Tax and Accounting Treatments

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code") limits the corporate federal income tax deduction for certain "non-performance based" compensation paid to the chief executive officer and, pursuant to IRS guidance, each of the three highest paid employees (other than the chief financial officer) of public companies to \$1 million per year. Compensation that is "performance-based" under Section 162(m) is not subject to this deduction limit. The Compensation Committee has carefully considered the Company's executive compensation program in light of the applicable tax rules. Our 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan and MIB Plan were structured to permit us to use awards that qualify as "performance-based" under Section 162(m) where appropriate, including for stock options, performance share awards and the majority of our annual cash incentive program. The Compensation Committee believes that tax deductibility is but one factor to be considered in fashioning an appropriate compensation package for executives. As a result, the Compensation Committee reserves and will exercise its discretion in this area to design a compensation program that serves the long-term interests of the Company. The non-deductible portion of executive compensation paid in 2012 was \$2,348,921, which the Compensation Committee has determined to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

Back to Contents

Say-on-Pay Vote

The Company provides its shareholders with the opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote to approve executive compensation (a "Say-on-Pay proposal"). At our 2012 annual meeting of shareholders, over 72 percent of the votes cast at that meeting were in favor of the Say-on-Pay proposal. As a result of the Say-on-Pay vote at the 2012 annual meeting, the Committee revised executive compensation for 2013 to keep the base salary for Mr. King flat as compared to 2012 and changed the target pay mix for long-term incentives for Mr. King to consist of 70 percent of performance shares, 30 percent of restricted stock units and no stock options. The Compensation Committee's actions are further described above under the heading "Redesign of Executive Compensation." The Compensation Committee believes that these changes demonstrate our commitment to a results-driven compensation program. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the outcome of votes on the Company's Say-on-Pay proposals when making future compensation decisions for the named executives.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Board of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management pursuant to Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K. Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (including through incorporation by reference to this Proxy Statement).

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

M. Keith Weikel, Chairman Kerrii B. Anderson Jean-Luc Bélingard Peter M. Neupert

Back to Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The compensation paid, accrued or awarded during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012 to the Company's named executive officers, which includes the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers serving at year-end, is set forth below:

Non-Equity

α	•
Change	ın
Change	111

Pension

Value and

Nonqualified

Deferred

N 7			G. 1	0.4	Incentive Plan	Compensation	All Other	
Name and Principal		Salary	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Compensation	Earnings	Compensation	
Position	Year	(\$) ⁽¹⁾	(\$) ⁽²⁾	(\$) ⁽²⁾	(\$) ⁽³⁾	(\$) ⁽⁴⁾	(\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Total (\$)
David P.	2012	\$1,012,700	\$5,288,813	\$2,252,068	\$1,056,872	\$58,906	\$24,402	\$9,693,761
King	2011	\$993,800	\$4,546,074	\$3,285,146	\$2,019,536	\$188,782	\$25,660	\$11,058,998
Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$885,333	\$4,040,640	\$2,653,089	\$1,902,675	\$131,722	\$59,287	\$9,672,746
James T.	2012	\$511,900	\$1,695,335	\$869,878	\$430,605	\$27,400	\$23,427	\$3,558,545
Boyle	2011	\$501,900	\$1,460,914	\$1,055,543	\$803,421	\$92,006	\$27,489	\$3,941,273
Executive Vice President								
Chief Operating Officer	2010	\$450,000	\$1,725,175	\$852,393	\$702,782	\$66,044	\$64,488	\$3,860,882
F. Samuel Eberts III	2012	\$346,929	\$331,672	\$171,271	\$116,734	\$14,089	\$12,423	\$993,118
Senior Vice President								

Edgar Filing: ASSISTED LIVING CONCEPTS INC - Form 8-K

Chief Legal Officer								
Lidia L. Fonseca	2012	\$349,298	\$331,672	\$171,271	\$141,036	\$1,556	\$10,003	\$1,004,836
Senior Vice President Chief Information Officer	2011	\$344,687	\$299,442	\$214,812	\$278,723	\$15,295	\$21,350	\$1,174,309
William B.	2012	\$469,961	\$1,686,254	\$863,869	\$292,725	\$36,720	\$24,372	\$3,373,901
Hayes	2011	\$465,151	\$1,442,766	\$1,044,432	\$629,321	\$152,046	\$26,350	\$3,760,066
Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer	2010	\$436,800	\$1,367,925	\$901,024	\$589,490	\$96,199	\$52,331	\$3,443,769
(1)								

Values reflect the amounts actually paid to the named executive officers in each year. Base salary adjustments, if any, typically occur in February of each year, and are normally effective on March 1. Any base salary adjustments are not retroactive to the beginning of the year, which means that notwithstanding that base salaries were not increased in 2012 from 2011, the executive officers still received slightly more in 2012 because for a portion of 2011 the executives were being compensated at the base salary amounts established in 2010.

(2)

Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option, restricted stock and performance share awards for each named executive officer granted during each respective year. For the assumptions used to determine the grant date fair value of stock options, see Note 14 to the Company's audited financial statements included within its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The grant date fair value of restricted stock is based on the closing price of the Common Stock on the applicable grant date. For performance share awards, 80% of the grant date fair value is based on the closing price of the common stock on the applicable grant date, and 20 percent of the grant date fair value is based on a Monte-Carlo simulated fair value for the relative (to the peer companies listed in the S&P 500 Health Care Index) total shareholder return component of the performance awards. For this purpose, performance share awards included in the above totals are valued assuming achievement at target, which was the probable outcome determined for accounting purposes at the time of grant. The target and maximum grant date value of performance share awards for 2012 included above is as follows:

Back to Contents

	Grant Date Value at Target Performance	Grant Date Value at Maximum Performance
Name	(\$)	(\$)
David P. King	\$3,281,912	\$5,743,345
James T. Boyle	\$1,050,584	\$1,838,521
F. Samuel Eberts III	\$204,538	\$357,942
Lidia L. Fonseca	\$204,538	\$357,942
William B. Hayes	\$1,050,584	\$1,838,521
(3)		

Represents the amounts earned by each named executive officer during 2012 pursuant to the Company's MIB Plan. For additional information on these awards for 2012, see the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table below and the "Compensation Discussion & Analysis – Annual Cash Incentive Pay" above.

(4)

Represents solely the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of each named executive officer's accumulated benefit under the Company's pension plans in the year indicated. For the assumptions made in the 2012 valuations, see Note 16 to the Company's audited financial statements included within its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. These assumptions change from year to year to reflect current market conditions.

(5)

Includes the value of the following perquisites: financial services, executive long-term disability premiums, personal liability insurance premiums, and annual physicals pursuant to the policies in effect for a particular year. Also includes Company 401(k) contributions.

Financial services amounts are based on the actual amounts paid by the Company to its third party vendor for financial planning services. Use of the corporate jet is provided by the Company to the named executive officers for business trips. Any personal use of the corporate jet is strongly discouraged and subject to review and prior approval by the CEO and designated directors. In 2010, 2011 and 2012, none of the named executive officers had any personal use of the corporate jet.

Perquisites

The table below details the perquisites including those that exceeded 10 percent of the total perquisites offered to the named executive officer during 2012, plus the Company contributions into each executive's 401(k) account during 2012.

		Financial	Long-term	Personal Liability	Annual	Company-paid
Name	Year	Services ^(a)	Disability ^(a)	Insurance ^(a)	Physical ^(a)	$401-k^{(b)}$
David P. King	2012	\$11,118	\$2,340	\$994	\$-	\$9,950

Edgar Filing: ASSISTED LIVING CONCEPTS INC - Form 8-K

James T. Boyle	2012	\$10,913	\$1,950	\$614	\$-	\$9,950
F. Samuel Eberts III	2012	\$2,420	\$1,950	\$553	\$-	\$7,500
Lidia L. Fonseca	2012	\$-	\$1,950	\$553	\$-	\$7,500
William B. Hayes	2012	\$9,408	\$1,950	\$614	\$-	\$12,400
(a)						

Represents the actual cost of the perquisite, the value of which is taxable to the named officer but which taxes are not reimbursed by the Company.

(b)

Includes the Company Non-Elective Safe Harbor Contribution and Company Discretionary Contribution.

Back to Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

During 2012, the following stock option, restricted stock, performance share awards, and annual cash incentive awards pursuant to the Management Incentive Bonus (MIB) Plan were made to the named executive officers.

		Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan			Estimate	d Future Under	Payouts	All Other	All Other	
			Awards ⁽¹⁾		Equity Inc	entive Pl	an Awards	Stock	Option	Exercise
								Awards:	Awards:	or
								Number	Number of	Base Price
								of Shares	Securities	
								of Stock	Underlying	of Option
Award	Grant	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	or Units	Options	Awards
Type	Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	$(#)^{(2)}$	$(#)^{(2)}$	$(#)^{(2)}$	$(#)^{(3)}$	$(#)^{(4)}$	(\$/Sh)
Restricted Stock	2/28/12	(1)	\(\)	' /	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	22,100		
Performance Shares	2/28/12				17,650	35,300	61,775			;
Options	5/24/12								149,900	\$84.86
MIB Plan		\$531,826	\$1,519,500	\$2,203,276						
Restricted Stock								7,100		
Performance Shares	2/28/12				5,650	11,300	19,775			:
Options	5/24/12								57,900	\$84.86
MIB Plan	3/31/12	\$224,000	\$640,000	\$928,000						
Restricted Stock	2/28/12							1,400		
Performance Shares	2/28/12				1,100	2,200	3,850			
Options	5/24/12								11,400	\$84.86
MIB Plan		\$60,724	\$173,498	\$251,572						
Restricted Stock	2/28/12							1,400		
Performance	2/28/12				1,100	2,200	3,850			

Shares									
Options 5/24/12								11,400	\$84.86
MIB Plan 3/31/12	\$73,367	\$209,619	\$303,948						
Restricted Stock 2/28/12							7,000		
Performance Shares 2/28/12				5,650	11,300	19,775			\$
Options 5/24/12								57,500	\$84.86
MIB Plan 3/31/12	\$164,500	\$470,000	\$681,500						

represent the possible percentage payouts pursuant to the MIB Plan as established by the Compensation Committee in February 20 aid out pursuant to the plan are included in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Taussion of the performance criteria applicable to these awards, see the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Annual Cash Incentive

represent potential shares to be earned under the performance share awards. The performance share awards vest at the end of three year n performance metrics are met. For a discussion of the performance criteria applicable to these awards, see the "Compensation Discussion Term Incentive Equity Awards" above.

represent restricted stock awards that vest ratably over three years, beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date, based on

epresent stock option awards that vest ratably over three years, beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date, based on continued

nts shown in this column represent the full grant date fair market value of restricted stock, performance share and option awards as content with accounting standards for stock based compensation, but excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The amount shown in the vary from the amount actually realized by any named executive officer based on a number of factors, including the number of syest, the satisfaction or failure to meet any performance criteria, the timing of any exercise or sale of shares, and the price of common stock options is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The value for performance share awards (assuming achieuse awards at maximum) is as follows: Mr. King-\$5,743,345; Mr. Boyle-\$1,838,521; Mr. Eberts-\$357,942; Ms. Fonseca-\$357,-\$1,838,521.

Back to Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table shows, as of December 31, 2012, the number of outstanding stock options, restricted stock and performance awards held by the named executive officers:

			Option Awar	rds		Stock Awards				
									Equity	
								Equity	Incentive	
								Incentive	Plan Awards:	
								Plan Awards:	Market or	
								Number of	Payout Value	
						Number of	Market Value	Unearned		
		Number of	Number of			Shares or Units	of Shares or	Shares, Units	Shares, Units	
		Securities	Securities			of Stock	Units of	or Other	or Other	
		Underlying	Underlying	Option		That	Stock	Rights That	Rights That	
		Unexercised	Unexercised	Eveneise	Option		That Have	Harra Not	Hava Nat	
	G 4	Options (#)	Options (#)	Exercise Price	Expiration	Not	Not	Have Not	nave Not	
Name	Grant Date	Exercisable	Unexercisable ⁽¹⁾	(\$)	Date	Vested (#) ⁽²⁾	Vested (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	Vested (#) ^(3,4,5)	Vested (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	
	2/20/2007	150,000		\$80.37	2/20/2017					
	5/07/2008	195,700		\$75.63	5/07/2018					
	2/10/2009	199,200		\$60.04	2/10/2019					
	2/09/2010	130,933	65,467	\$70.15	2/09/2020					
David P. King	2/09/2011	59,133	118,267	\$90.74	2/09/2021					
King	5/24/2012	0	149,900	\$84.86	5/24/2022					
	2/09/2010					6,400	\$554,368			
	2/09/2011					11,134	\$964,427			
	2/28/2012					22,100	\$1,914,302			
	2/09/2010							35,827	\$3,103,335	

Edgar Filing: ASSISTED LIVING CONCEPTS INC - Form 8-K

	2/09/2011								33,400	\$2,893,108
	2/28/2012								35,300	\$3,057,686
	3/01/2005	3,334			\$47.89	3/01/2015				
	2/23/2006	10,000			\$58.57	2/23/2016				
	2/20/2007	10,000			\$80.37	2/20/2017				
	5/07/2008	14,400			\$75.63	5/07/2018				
James T.	2/10/2009	47,400			\$60.04	2/10/2019				
Boyle	2/09/2010	42,066	21	,034	\$70.15	2/09/2020				
	2/09/2011	19,000	38	3,000	\$90.74	2/09/2021				
	5/24/2012	0	57	,900	\$84.86	5/24/2022				
	2/09/2010						2,067	\$179,044		
	2/09/2011						3,600	\$311,832		
	2/28/2012						7,100	\$615,002		
	2/09/2010								11,475	\$993,965
	2/09/2011								10,700	\$926,834
	2/28/2012								11,300	\$978,806
	3/1/2005	10,000			\$47.89	3/1/2015				
	2/23/2006	10,000			\$58.57	2/23/2016				
	5/7/2008	9,200			\$75.63	5/7/2018				
	2/10/2009	18,200			\$60.04	2/10/2019				
F. Samuel	2/9/2010	9,400	4	,700	\$70.15	2/9/2020				
Eberts III	2/9/2011	3,866	7	,734	\$90.74	2/9/2021				
	5/24/2012	0	11	,400	\$84.86	5/24/2022				
	2/9/2010						467	\$40,452		
	2/9/2011						734	\$63,579		
	2/28/2012						1,400	\$121,268		
	2/9/2010								2,612	\$226,251
	2/9/2011								2,200	\$190,564
	2/28/2012								2,200	\$190,564

Back to Contents

			Option Awar	rds			Stock	Awards	
									Equity
									Incentive
								Equity	Plan
								Incentive	Awards:
								Plan Awards:	Market or
								Awarus.	Payout
							N/C 1 4	Number	Value
							Market Value	of	of
						Number	, 4124	Unearned	Unearned
						of	of Shares	Chamas	Chanas
		Number of	Number of			Shares	or	Shares, Units	Shares, Units
		Committee	Coorrition			or Units	IIita of	on Othon	on Othor
		Securities	Securities			of Stock	Units of Stock	or Other	or Other
		Underlying	Underlying			That		Rights	Rights
		Unexercised	Unexercised	Option	Option	Have	That Have	That	That
		Ollexel Ciseu	Offexercised	Exercise	Option	Not		Have Not	Have Not
	Grant	Options (#)	Options (#)	Price	Expiration				
Name	Date	Evercisable	Unexercisable ⁽¹⁾	(\$)	Date	Vested (#) ⁽²⁾	Vested (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	Vested (#)(3,4,5)	Vested (\$) ⁽⁶⁾
	5/12/2008	14,400	Officaci cisabic	\$77.73	5/12/2018	(11)	(Ψ)	(11)	(Ψ). ΄
	2/09/2010	9,400	4,700	\$70.15	2/09/2020				
	2/09/2011	3,866	7,734	\$90.74	2/09/2021				
Lidia L.	5/24/2012	0	11,400	\$84.86	5/24/2022				
Fonseca	2/09/2010	v	11,100	Ψοσο	072 172022	467	\$40,452		
	2/09/2011					734	\$63,579		
	2/28/2012						\$121,268		
	2/09/2010					,	, , ,	2,612	\$226,251
	2/09/2011							2,200	\$190,564
	2/28/2012							2,200	\$190,564
	2/20/2007	55,000		\$80.37	2/20/2017			,	
	5/07/2008	72,200		\$75.63	5/07/2018				
	2/09/2010	0	22,234	\$70.15	2/09/2020				
William	2/09/2011	18,800	37,600	\$90.74	2/09/2021				
B. Hayes	5/24/2012	0	57,500	\$84.86	5/24/2022				

2/09/2010	2,167 \$187,706		
2/09/2011	3,534 \$306,115		
2/28/2012	7,000 \$606,340		
2/09/2010		12,129	\$1,050,614
2/09/2011		10,600	\$918,172
2/28/2012		11,300	\$978,806

(1)

Stock option awards vest ratably over three years beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.

(2)

Restricted stock vests ratably over three years beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. Amounts shown represent remaining unvested portion.

(3)

Represents the number of shares subject to the February 9, 2010 performance awards that vested on March 28, 2013 following the performance period ending December 31, 2012.

(4)

Based on performance to date, represents the number of shares subject to the February 9, 2011 performance awards for the performance period ending December 31, 2013 assuming achievement at target. Information on the threshold, target and maximum awards are provided in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table in the Company's proxy statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting.

(5)

Based on performance to date, represents the number of shares subject to the February 28, 2012 performance awards for the performance period ending December 31, 2014 assuming achievement at target. Information on the threshold, target and maximum awards are provided in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table above.

(6)

Market value is calculated based on the Common Stock price on December 31, 2012, which was \$86.62 per share, multiplied by the number of shares or units, respectively, for each unvested performance or stock award.

Back to Contents

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following chart shows, for 2012, the number and value of stock options exercised and the number and value of vested restricted stock and performance awards for each of the named executive officers:

	Option A	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾			Stock Awards ⁽²⁾		
	Number of Shares Value Realized on		ua Daglizad an	Number of Shares	Value Realized on		
	Acquired on Exercise	v an	Exercise	Acquired on Vesting	v an	Vesting	
Name	(#)		(\$)	(#)		(\$)	
David P. King	104,800	\$	3,439,915	84,661	\$	7,768,895	
James T. Boyle	-	\$	-	24,887	\$	2,285,246	
F. Samuel Eberts III	-	\$	-	6,171	\$	566,291	
Lidia L. Fonseca	18,200	\$	636,272	6,171	\$	566,291	
William B. Hayes	74,833	\$	1,774,014	30,545	\$	2,802,799	
(1)							

The value realized on exercise was based on the price at which these shares were sold, which occurred simultaneously with the exercise. Consequently, the value realized was the sale price minus the strike price, multiplied by the number of shares exercised and sold.

(2)

Represents one-third of the restricted stock granted on February 10, 2009, that vested on February 10, 2012 at \$90.03 per share, the closing price on that date, one-third of the restricted stock granted on February 9, 2010, that vested on February 9, 2012 at \$92.11 per share, the closing price on that date, one-third of the restricted stock granted on February 9, 2011, that vested on February 9, 2012 at \$92.11 per share, the closing price on that date, and 145.6 percent of the performance award granted on February 10, 2009 that vested on March 26, 2012 at \$91.90 per share, the closing price on that date, and for Mr. Boyle, 144.2 percent of the performance award granted on 12/1/10 that vested on March 26, 2012 at \$91.90 per share, the closing price on that date.

Retirement Benefits

Prior to January 1, 2010, substantially all employees, including each of the named executive officers, were offered the opportunity to participate in the Cash Balance Plan, and the plan was fully funded by the Company both in terms of an annual service credit, which is a percentage of base salary, and an interest credit, currently at 4 percent per year. Eligibility requirements under the Cash Balance Plan included one year of service (participants entered the plan in either January or July after meeting the service requirement) and the employee must have reached 21 years of age. Each named executive officer has met the eligibility requirements. As discussed above, the Company also has a Pension Equalization Plan, or PEP. See "Compensation Discussion & Analysis – Retirement Plans" above.

In October 2009, the Board froze any additional service-based credits for any years of service after December 31, 2009 to the Cash Balance Plan and the PEP. Both plans are closed to new entrants. Current participants in the Cash Balance Plan and the PEP have stopped earning service-based credits, but will continue to earn interest credits.

Under both the Cash Balance Plan and the PEP, a participant is eligible for benefits at normal retirement at age 65 or early retirement at age 55 subject to reduced benefits for each year under 65. For early retirement at or after age 55 with reduced benefits, there is a reduction of 6 percent applied to the full retirement benefit for every year under the age of 65.

Before the Cash Balance Plan was frozen for any additional service-based credits, the Cash Balance Plan, as supplemented by the PEP, was designed to provide an employee having 25 years of credited service with an annuity equal to 52 percent of "final average pay" less 50 percent of estimated individual Social Security benefits. "Final average pay" is defined as the highest five consecutive years of base salary during the ten years of employment preceding termination or retirement. The participant, if single, has one payment option: ten year certain and life annuity. If married, the participant has two payment options: (a) ten year certain and life annuity; or (b) 50 percent joint and survivor annuity. The ten year certain and life annuity offers a guaranteed minimum payment for ten years. The 50 percent joint and survivor annuity offers half the annuity payments to the surviving spouse.

The formula for calculating the amount payable to the named executive officers under the Cash Balance Plan, in conjunction with the PEP, is illustrated as follows (ten year certain and life annuity method):

 $[(0.52) \times (Final Average Pay) - (0.50) \times (Social Security Benefit)] \times [(Credited Service up to 25 years) \div (25)]$

The amount payable could be less if the participant elected to receive benefits under the 50 percent joint and survivor annuity option.

Back to Contents

The following table shows, as of December 31, 2012, the present value of accumulated benefits under the Company's Cash Balance Plan and PEP for each of the named executive officers:

		Number of Years		Payments During Last
		Credited Service	Accumulated Benefit	Fiscal Year
Name	Plan Name	$(\#)^{(1)}$	$(\$)^{(2)}$	(\$)
	Cash Balance Plan	7.00	\$49,258	\$ -
David P. King	Pension Equalization Plan	8.25	\$865,573	\$ -
	Cash Balance Plan	9.50	\$65,537	\$ -
James T. Boyle	Pension Equalization Plan	10.83	\$373,019	\$ -
	Cash Balance Plan	4.00	\$26,187	\$ -
F. Samuel Eberts III	Pension Equalization Plan	5.33	\$194,058	\$ -
	Cash Balance Plan	.50	\$6,264	\$ -
Lidia L. Fonseca	Pension Equalization Plan	1.58	\$43,111	\$ -
	Cash Balance Plan	12.00	\$91,925	\$ -
William B. Hayes	Pension Equalization Plan	13.25	\$473,589	\$ -
(1)				

(1)

The Company's Cash Balance Plan had been offered to substantially all employees after a year of service and after reaching 21 years of age. Plan entry dates were January and July of each year. The PEP was amended January 1, 2004, to waive the one year service requirement. Because of these different service crediting provisions, there could be a difference between the Cash Balance Plan service and the PEP service reflected in the column of up to 1.5 years. However, credited years of service equals actual years of service with the Company, subject to the crediting provisions above.

(2)

The calculation of present value of accumulated benefit is based on a normal retirement age of 65, as defined by each plan, and credited service and certain discount rate and mortality inputs. For the assumptions made in the valuations, see Note 16 to the Company's audited financial statements included within the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The DCP offers eligible participants another vehicle to accumulate savings for retirement. See "Compensation Discussion & Analysis – Deferred Compensation Program" above. Amounts deferred by a participant are credited to a bookkeeping account maintained on behalf of each participant, which is used for the measurement and determination

of amounts to be paid to a participant, or his or her designated beneficiary, pursuant to the terms of the DCP. Deferred amounts are the Company's general unsecured obligations and are subject to claims by the Company's creditors. The Company's general assets or existing rabbi trust may be used to fund payment obligations and pay DCP benefits.

According to the terms of the DCP, a participant has the opportunity to allocate deferred amounts to one or more of sixteen measurement funds offered. The measurement funds are indexed to externally managed funds inside the Company's insurance-backed account. Amounts in these accounts can earn variable returns, including negative returns. Deemed earnings on the deferrals are based on these measurement funds and have no guaranteed rate of return. The Company makes no contributions to the DCP.

Under the DCP, a participant may make separate distribution elections with respect to each year's deferrals. These distribution elections include the ability to elect a single lump-sum payment or annual installment payments.

The following table summarizes each named executive officer's contributions, earnings and aggregate balance under the DCP as of December 31, 2012:

			Aggregate		
	Executive	Registrant	Earnings in	Aggregate	Aggregate Balance
	Contributions in	Contributions in	Last	Withdrawals/	Dalance
Name	Last FY (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Last FY (\$)	FY (\$) ⁽²⁾	Distributions (\$)	at Last FYE (\$)(3)
David P. King	\$-	\$-	\$26,293	\$-	\$836,990
James T. Boyle	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
F. Samuel Eberts III	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Lidia L. Fonseca	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
William B. Hayes	\$-	\$-	\$4,234	\$-	\$35,997
(1)					

Amounts in this column are included in the Salary column of the Summary Compensation Table above.

(2)

Amounts in this column are not included in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column of the Summary Compensation Table as they do not qualify as above market or preferential earnings.

(3)

For Mr. King, \$681,155 of the amount in this column was reported in the Summary Compensation Table for 2012 and in prior years.

Back to Contents

Voluntary

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

Early

The tables that follow provide information related to compensation payable to each named executive officer assuming termination of such executive's employment on December 31, 2012, or assuming a change of control with corresponding qualifying termination occurred on December 31, 2012. Amounts also assume the price of Common Stock was \$86.62, the closing price on December 31, 2012.

Involuntary

Not for

Cause or

	Termination	Retirement	Normal	Good Reason	For Cause	Change-in-		
David P. King	(#)	(#)	Retirement	Termination	Termination	Control	Disability	Death
Severance (Related to Base Compensation)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$2,026,000	\$-	\$3,039,000	-	_
Severance (Related to Annual Incentive Cash Payments)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$3,551,330	\$-	\$5,327,295	-	-
Excise Tax & Gross-up	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	-	_
Stock Options	\$5,515,642	\$5,515,642	\$1,342,065	\$-	\$-	\$1,342,065	\$1,342,065	\$1,342,065
Restricted Stock	\$3,433,099	\$3,433,099	\$3,433,099	\$-	\$-	\$3,433,099	\$3,433,099	\$3,433,099
Performance Shares	\$9,277,002	\$9,277,002	\$9,277,002	\$-	\$-	\$9,277,002	\$9,277,002	\$9,277,002
Health & Welfare Benefits	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$10,138	\$-	\$10,138	\$360,000	\$1,500,000
Financial Services	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
TOTAL	\$18,230,743	\$18,230,743	\$14,057,166	\$5,592,468	\$5000	\$22,433,599	\$14,417,166	\$15,557,166
James T. Boyle	Voluntary	Early	y Normal	l Involuntary	For Cause	Change-in-	Disability	Death
	Termination	Retirement	t Retirement	t Not for	Termination	Control		

Cause or

Good Reason

				Termination				
Severance (Related to Base Compensation)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,024,000	\$-	\$1,024,000	\$-	\$-
Severance (Related to Annual Incentive Cash Payments)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,108,296	\$-	\$1,108,296	\$-	\$-
Excise Tax & Gross-up	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Stock Options	\$-	\$-	\$448,334	\$-	\$-	\$448,334	\$448,334	\$448,334
Restricted Stock	\$-	\$-	\$1,105,879	\$-	\$-	\$1,105,879	\$1,105,879	\$1,105,879
Performance Shares	\$-	\$-	\$2,971,066	\$-	\$-	\$2,971,066	\$2,971,066	\$2,971,066
Health & Welfare Benefits	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$10,138	\$-	\$10,138	\$300,000	\$1,500,000
Financial Services	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
TOTAL	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$4,530,279	\$2,147,434	\$5,000	\$6,672,713	\$4,830,279	\$6,030,279
				Involuntary				

Not for

Cause or

	Voluntary	Early	Normal	Good Reason	For Cause	Change-in-		
F. Samuel Eberts III	Termination	Retirement	Retirement	Termination	Termination	Control	Disability	Death
Severance (Related to Base Compensation)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$346,996	\$-	\$346,996	\$-	\$-
Severance (Related to Annual Incentive Cash Payments)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$211,758	\$-	\$211,758	\$-	\$-
Excise Tax & Gross-up	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Edgar Filing:	ASSISTED	LIVING	CONCEPTS	INC -	Form 8-K
Luuai i iiiiu.	AGGIGILD	LIVING	CONCLITO	11100 -	1 01111 0-17

Stock Options	\$-	\$-	\$97,473	\$-	\$-	\$97,473	\$97,473	\$97,473
Restricted Stock	\$-	\$-	\$225,301	\$-	\$-	\$225,301	\$225,301	\$225,301
Performance Shares	\$-	\$-	\$623,664	\$-	\$-	\$623,664	\$623,664	\$623,664
Health & Welfare Benefits	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$300,000	\$1,388,000
Financial Services	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
TOTAL	\$-	\$-	\$946,438	\$558,754	\$-	\$1,505,192	\$1,246,438	\$2,334,438

Back to Contents

Involuntary

Not for

Cause or

T ' 1' T	Voluntary	Early	Normal	Good Reason	For Cause	Change-in-		
Lidia L. Fonseca	Termination	Retirement	Retirement	Termination	Termination	Control	Disability	Death
Severance (Related to Base Compensation)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$349,365	\$-	\$349,365	\$-	\$-
Severance (Related to Annual Incentive Cash Payments)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$226,270	\$-	\$226,270	\$-	\$-
Excise Tax & Gross-up	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Stock Options	\$-	\$-	\$97,473	\$-	\$-	\$97,473	\$97,473	\$97,473
Restricted Stock	\$-	\$-	\$225,301	\$-	\$-	\$225,301	\$225,301	\$225,301
Performance Shares	\$-	\$-	\$623,664	\$-	\$-	\$623,664	\$623,664	\$623,664
Health & Welfare Benefits	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$10,138	\$-	\$10,138	\$300,000	\$1,400,000
Financial Services	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
TOTAL	\$	\$	\$946,438	\$585,773	\$-	\$1,532,211	\$1,246,438	\$2,346,438
William B. Hayes	Voluntary Termination (#)	Early Retirement		Involuntary Not for Cause or Good Reason Termination		Change-in- Control	Disability	Death
Severance (Related to Base Compensation)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$940,000	\$-	\$940,000	\$-	\$-
Severance (Related to Annual Incentive Cash	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,197,538	\$-	\$1,197,538	\$-	\$-

Edgar Filing: ASSISTED LIVING CONCEPTS INC - Form 8-K

Payments)								
Excise Tax & Gross-up	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Stock Options	\$1,943,091	\$1,943,091	\$467,394	\$-	\$-	\$467,394	\$467,394	\$467,394
Restricted Stock	\$1,100,161	\$1,100,161	\$1,100,161	\$-	\$-	\$1,100,161	\$1,100,161	\$1,100,161
Performance Shares	\$3,023,038	\$3,023,038	\$3,023,038	\$-	\$-	\$3,023,038	\$3,023,038	\$3,023,038
Health & Welfare Benefits	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$10,138	\$-	\$10,138	\$300,000	\$1,500,000
Financial Services	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
TOTAL	\$6,071,290	\$6,071,290	\$4,595,593	\$2,152,676	\$5,000	\$6,743,269	\$4,895,593	\$6,095,593
(#)								

See discussion of Transition Policy under "Equity Awards: Stock Incentive Plan and Transition Policy" below.

Equity Awards: Stock Incentive Plan and Transition Policy

The treatment of equity awards varies depending on the type of termination. In the event an executive's employment terminates (other than by reason of death, disability, normal retirement or change-in-control with a corresponding qualifying termination), stock options that are exercisable at the time of termination, provided, however, that the option does not terminate at an earlier date in accordance with the terms of the grant may be exercised within three months of termination. All unvested stock options, restricted stock and performance share awards immediately expire.

Under the Transition Policy, and provided that the executive's termination of employment otherwise meets the requirements of the Transition Policy, stock options, restricted stock awards, and performance share awards continue to vest through the vesting period as if the executive were still employed unless there is an alternative treatment specified under the form of award agreement. Valuation in the event of a voluntary termination or early retirement is based on a Black-Scholes valuation for unvested shares and a December 31, 2012 market price for restricted stock and performance share awards. For purposes of the table above, it is assumed that the measures for the performance share awards will be achieved at the target level. Mr. King and Mr. Hayes are the only executives who may qualify for benefits under the Transition Policy.

The form of award agreements for the stock options and restricted stock shown in the table above provide that in the event that an executive's employment terminates by reason of death, disability, normal retirement or a change-in-control (with a corresponding qualifying termination, in the case of stock options) then all stock options and restricted stock awards accelerate in full. The form of award agreements for the performance share awards shown in the table above provide that in the event that an executive's employment terminates by reason of death or disability or in the event of a change-in-control, then all performance share awards accelerate in full assuming performance at target and that in the event the executive's employment terminates by reason of normal retirement, all performance share awards granted continue to vest over the normal course of time and remain subject to their original performance conditions with the exception of the service requirement.

The executive may exercise vested stock options at any time within one year after the date of death, disability, retirement or a change-in-control. For these types of terminations, the value in the tables was determined by multiplying the gain using a December 31, 2012 market price by the number of unvested shares.

Back to Contents

For purposes of the equity awards, "normal retirement" means retirement at or after the age of 65 with 5 years of service and "early retirement" means retirement at or after the age of 55 with 10 years of service. None of the named executive officers is currently eligible to receive benefits under the "normal retirement" provisions of the equity awards.

Base Compensation and Annual Incentive Cash Payments

No additional base compensation amounts are payable for terminations due to the following: voluntary termination, early retirement, normal retirement, for cause termination, disability or death. A prorated annual incentive cash payment may be made for each of the termination events mentioned in the tables above, except for a voluntary termination or a termination for cause. Provisions for base compensation and annual incentive cash payments in the event of an involuntary not for cause or good reason termination or a change-in-control are detailed below.

Amended and Restated Master Senior Executive Severance Plan and the Master Senior Executive Change-in-Control Severance Plan

The Amended and Restated Severance Plan and the Change-in-Control Severance Plan provide the Company's named executive officers (as well as the Company's other executive vice presidents and senior vice presidents) with severance payments upon, respectively, a "qualifying termination" and a "qualifying termination" that occurs within three years following a change-in-control. A "qualifying termination" is generally defined as involuntary termination without cause or voluntary termination with "good reason." "Cause" means that the named executive officer shall have committed prior to termination of employment any of the following acts: an intentional act of fraud, embezzlement, theft, or any other material violation of law in connection with his duties or in the course of his employment with the Company; the conviction of or entering of a plea of nolo contendere to a felony; alcohol intoxication on the job or current illegal drug use; intentional wrongful damage to tangible assets of the Company; intentional wrongful disclosure of material confidential information of the Company and/or materially breaching the noncompetition or confidentiality provisions covering his activities; knowing and intentional breach of any employment policy of the Company; or gross neglect or misconduct, disloyalty, dishonesty, or breach of trust in the performance of his duties that is not corrected to the Board's satisfaction within 30 days of notice thereof. "Good reason" means a reduction in base salary or targeted incentive cash payment as a percentage of salary, relocation to an office location more than 75 miles from the employee's current office without consent of the employee, a material reduction in job responsibilities or transfer to another job without the consent of the employee.

For purposes of the Change-in-Control Plan, "change in control" means (a) any person who is not presently but becomes the "beneficial owner", directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing 40 percent or more of the Company's outstanding securities except for any securities purchased by any tax-qualified employee benefit plan of the Company; or (b) individuals who constituted the Board on February 10, 2009 (the "Incumbent Board") cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority thereof, provided that any person subsequently becoming a director whose election was approved by a vote of at least three-quarters of the directors comprising the Incumbent Board (including any such directors whose election was so approved), or whose nomination for election by the Company's shareholders was approved by the Incumbent Board (including such directors whose election was so approved), is for purposes of this clause (b), considered as though he or she were a member of the Incumbent Board; or (c) a plan of reorganization, merger, consolidation, sale of all or substantially all the assets of the Company or similar transaction occurs in which the Company is not the resulting entity.

The severance payments under the Change-in-Control Plan are as follows:

Change-in-Control +

	Qualifying Termination ^(a)	Qualifying Termination within three years
CEO	2x (annual salary + average MIB payments)	3x (annual salary + average MIB payments)
Executive Vice Presidents	2x (annual salary + average MIB payments)	2x (annual salary + average MIB payments)
Senior Vice Presidents	1x (annual salary + average MIB payments)	1x (annual salary + average MIB payments)
(a)		

[&]quot;Average MIB payments" is the average of the executive's actual MIB payments over the prior three years. The Change-in-Control Plan does not provide a reimbursement to participants for excise taxes on "excess parachute payments" imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Back to Contents

Health and Welfare Benefits

In the event of a qualifying termination under the Severance Plan or the Change-in-Control Plan, the executive is also eligible for up to six months of Company-paid COBRA.

In the event a named executive officer dies while an active employee, his or her beneficiary will receive four times his or her base annual earnings up to a maximum of \$1.5 million from the Company's group term life plan. In addition, eligible, enrolled dependents will receive COBRA continuation of coverage for the first six months following the executive's death (not included in the tables above). In addition, if the executive was traveling on Company business at the time of death, the beneficiary will also receive \$1 million of business travel accident insurance (not included in the tables above).

If an executive becomes disabled (*i.e.*, he or she is not able to perform the material duties of his or her occupation solely because of disease or injury), the executive is generally eligible for a monthly benefit payable until the earlier of (a) age 65 if the period of disability starts prior to the age of 60, or (b) the length of the disability. For Mr. King, the monthly net benefit is \$30,000, and for the executives it is \$25,000 per month.

Cash Balance Plan

Under the Cash Balance Plan, upon a termination of employment the named executive officers are entitled to receive the same amounts set forth for each officer in the Present Value of Accumulated Benefit column in the Pension Benefits Table above, regardless of reason, except for death, which pays at 50 percent of such value.

PEP Plan

PEP payments are subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and require a six-month waiting period following separation of service before distribution of the first payment. Under the PEP, upon a termination the named executive officers are entitled to receive the same amounts set forth for each officer in the Present Value of Accumulated Benefit column in the Pension Benefits Table above, regardless of reason, except for death, which pays at 50 percent of such value.

DCP

The DCP was amended to grandfather participants prior to December 31, 2004 to remove the six-month waiting period for distributions following separation of service. Distribution elections made after December 31, 2004 require a six-month waiting period following separation of service before distribution of the first payment, as required by Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Otherwise, distribution elections include the ability to elect a single lump-sum payment or annual installment payments. Under the DCP, upon termination the named executive officers are entitled to receive the same amounts set forth for each officer in the Aggregate Balance column of the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table above, regardless of reason for the termination.

Perquisites

All perquisites offered to the named executive officers immediately terminate upon the executive's termination, except for the financial planning services, which are extended for one year post-termination with the value of \$5,000.

Back to Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of the Compensation Committee are Ms. Anderson, Mr. Bélingard, Mr. Neupert and Dr. Weikel (Committee Chair). There are no members of the Compensation Committee who were officers or employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries during the 2012 fiscal year, were formerly officers of the Company, or had any relationship otherwise requiring disclosure hereunder.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes the Company's equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2012. All equity compensation plans have been approved by Company shareholders, except in the case of equity compensation plans approved by shareholders of companies acquired by the Company as described in footnote (1) below.

			Common shares available for future
	Common shares to be issued upon	Weighted-average exercise price of	issuance under equity compensation
	exercise of outstanding options,	outstanding options, warrants and	plans (excluding securities
	warrants, and rights	rights	reflected in column A)
Plan Category	A	В	C
Equity compensation plans approved by Company shareholders ⁽¹⁾	6,893,538	\$77.62	9,488,342 (2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by Company shareholders	-	-	-
(1)			

Not included in this total are stock option awards from Dynacare, Inc. representing 276,990 shares of underlying common stock, which were assumed in connection with acquisition transactions by the Company. These options were issued under the Dynacare, Inc. Amended and Restated Employee Stock Option Plan, which was approved by Dynacare, Inc. shareholders when the plan was initially implemented. At December 31, 2012, there were no options outstanding under this plan. The Company does not intend to issue new awards under this plan.

Also not included in this total are stock option awards from Dianon Systems, Inc., representing 690,116 shares of underlying common stock, which were assumed in connection with acquisition transactions by the Company. These options were issued under the Dianon Systems, Inc. 1996 Stock Incentive Plan; the Dianon Systems, Inc. 1999 Stock Incentive Plan; the Dianon Systems, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan; and the UroCor Second Amended and Restated 1992 Stock Option Plan, which were approved by the Dianon Systems, Inc., and the UroCor, Inc. shareholders when the plans were initially implemented. At December 31, 2012,

there were no options outstanding under these plans. The Company does not intend to issue new awards under these plans.

(2)

Includes 7,684,462 shares available for future issuance under the Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan and 1,803,880 shares available for future issuance under the Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Back to Contents

Proposal Two Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

As required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Section 14a of the Securities Exchange Act), the Company is seeking shareholder approval on the Company's executive compensation as disclosed in this Proxy Statement. Pursuant to the vote of the shareholders at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the Company will seek shareholder approval of the Company's executive compensation on an annual basis (a "Say-on-Pay" vote).

The Compensation Committee works throughout the year reviewing compensation trends, evaluating emerging best practices and considering changes to executive compensation that will provide our senior management with an incentive to achieve superior financial results for the Company and align pay with performance.

Compensation Feedback and Redesign

We are mindful of the feedback received from shareholders concerning executive compensation, and as discussed in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section on page 22, in 2012 we redesigned key aspects of our executive compensation program, in part due to shareholder feedback and the results of the 2012 Say-on-Pay vote. These changes included:

emphasizing direct return to shareholders as measured by relative total shareholder return in our long-term incentive program;

reducing the amount of stock options awarded to our Chief Executive Officer in 2012 as part of the mix of long-term incentives;

freezing the base salaries of our executive officers for 2012;

eliminating stock options as a form of long-term incentive for our 2013 executive compensation program;

changing the mix of long-term incentive awards in 2013 to increase the emphasis on performance share awards relative to other forms of long-term incentives, so that performance shares represented 70 percent of long-term awards;

enhancing the focus on the utilization of relative total shareholder return as a long-term incentive performance measure, so that relative total shareholder return reflected 35 percent of the 2013 performance share awards;

expanding the number of officers subject to, and increasing required ownership levels under, our stock ownership guidelines; and

freezing the base salary for our Chief Executive Officer, at his request, for 2013.

These changes reflect the Compensation Committee's commitment to responding to shareholder feedback, structuring pay for performance, and incenting executives to achieve superior financial results and create shareholder value.

Pay for Performance

As described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section beginning on page 22 of this Proxy Statement, our executive compensation program is designed to reward the achievement of specific short-term and long-term operational and strategic goals. By paying for performance, we believe our compensation program aligns the interests of our executive officers with those of our shareholders. The Company believes that through an effective executive compensation program, it can be successful in attracting and retaining talented employees who will sustain the Company's financial performance and continue creation of shareholder value.

In implementing this philosophy, the Company adheres to the following principles:

variable compensation should comprise a significant part of an executive's total compensation, with the percentage at-risk highest for the executive officers;

the size and the realizable values of incentive awards provided to executive officers should vary significantly with performance achievements;

an emphasis on stock-based compensation aligns the long-term interests of executive officers and shareholders;

compensation opportunities for executive officers must be evaluated against those offered by companies in similar industries and similar in size and scope of operations; and

differences in executive compensation within the Company should reflect varying levels of responsibility and/or performance.

In addition, certain features of the Company's executive compensation program enhance the alignment of the interests of our executive officers and our shareholders, such as:

•

stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers, requiring them to own a significant amount of our stock;

Back to Contents

•

prohibition on hedging with respect to Company stock, including the use of "short sales," "puts," "calls" and similar instruments designed to offset the risk of a decline in the value of the Company's stock;

•

our annual incentive cash payment plan does not provide payment without achievement of performance goals, regardless of whether the failure to achieve performance goals was outside of management's control;

•

there is a cap on the annual incentive cash payment opportunity even for extraordinary performance so that executives are not provided incentives to take inappropriate risks;

•

absence of employment agreements with the Company's executive officers, meaning there are no "guaranteed" levels of base salary, incentive cash payment or other forms of compensation;

•

limited perquisites, which were largely eliminated in 2011;

•

the Master Senior Executive Severance Plan that provides financial protection for our executives in circumstances involving a change in control is a "double trigger" plan, requiring termination following a change in control for severance payments to become due; and

•

the use of a mix of long-term incentive types, including restricted stock and performance shares, to encourage our executives to focus on long-term performance of the Company;

•

a significant portion of long-term incentives (70 percent) are earned only if three-year objective performance goals are met; and

•

a clawback provision under the 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

Advisory Resolution

The Board recommends that shareholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED."

The vote is advisory and is not binding on the Board. However, the Compensation Committee of the Board expects to take into account the outcome of the vote as it continues to consider the Company's executive compensation program.

The Board recommends that shareholders vote "FOR" the approval of executive compensation.

Back to Contents

Proposal Three Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

The Audit Committee has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PricewaterhouseCoopers") to audit the accounts of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2013, and the shareholders of the Company are being asked to ratify their appointment. Shareholder ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our By-Laws but the Board has elected to seek such ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. Should the shareholders fail to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013; the Audit Committee will consider whether to retain that firm for such year. Even if this appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that such a change would be in the interests of the Company.

Furthermore, even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, at its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the interest of the Company. Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers will be present at the Annual Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company's accounts were audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers. To the knowledge of management and the Audit Committee, in connection with the audit of our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, there were no disagreements with PricewaterhouseCoopers on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope and procedure that, if not resolved to the satisfaction of PricewaterhouseCoopers, would have caused them to make reference to the matter in their reports. PricewaterhouseCoopers' report on the financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and was not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

Fees to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee must approve, in advance, all of PricewaterhouseCoopers' services, whether or not related to an audit. Aggregate fees for professional services rendered for the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are described in the table below. All of the services for which fees were paid were pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has considered the non-audit-related services rendered and believes that they are compatible with PricewaterhouseCoopers remaining independent.

	2012	2011
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,422,700	\$ 1,293,800
Audit Related Fees ⁽²⁾	67,500	22,000
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	140,000	41,994
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	1,800	1,800
TOTAL	\$ 1,632,000	\$ 1,359,594

(1)

Audit Fees include fees incurred for the audit of the Company's annual statements, review of financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and services that are normally provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

(2)

Audit Related Fees include fees incurred for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements but are not otherwise included as Audit Fees. Audit Related Fees for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were primarily for certain accounting consultations.

(3)

Tax Fees include fees incurred for services related to tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice. Tax Fees for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were primarily for services related to United States tax planning and an Abandoned and Unclaimed Property policies and procedures review.

(4)

All Other Fees are fees incurred for any services not included in the other categories of fees. All Other Fees for the year ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were related to license fees for an accounting research tool. The Board recommends that shareholders vote "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2013.

Back to Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of the Board was established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Audit Committee, comprised entirely of non-employee directors, held eight meetings with its independent registered public accountants, PricewaterhouseCoopers (PricewaterhouseCoopers) and eight executive sessions with PricewaterhouseCoopers, the Internal Audit Department and independent directors during 2012. The Board considered the "independence" and "financial literacy" of each of the Audit Committee members, as set forth under the rules of the Listing Standards and the SEC and has concluded that its Audit Committee members satisfy the current requirements of the Listing Standards and the SEC. The Board further concluded that Kerrii B. Anderson and Wendy E. Lane are "audit committee financial experts" as defined by SEC rules and each has the "accounting or related financial management expertise" required by the Listing Standards.

The Audit Committee meets regularly with PricewaterhouseCoopers, management and the Company's internal auditors. The Audit Committee reviewed the performance and fees of PricewaterhouseCoopers prior to recommending their appointment and met with them to discuss the scope and results of their audit work, including the adequacy of internal controls and the quality of financial reporting. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited financial statements and has reviewed with PricewaterhouseCoopers all matters required to be discussed by Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol.1, AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers their independence and has received their written disclosures and certification confirming their independence, as required by applicable requirements of Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding their communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. PricewaterhouseCoopers, the Company's internal auditors and the Audit Committee have full access to one another, including regular meetings without management present. On the basis of the reviews and discussions referenced above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Form 10-K for filing with the SEC.

As part of its duties, the Audit Committee also considers whether the provision of services other than audit services by PricewaterhouseCoopers, is compatible with maintaining the accountant's independence. The Audit Committee considered the compatibility of the non-audit-related services performed by PricewaterhouseCoopers and determined that the registered public accounting firm's independence has been maintained. See "Proposal Three: Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

Management is responsible for the Company's financial reporting process, including its system of internal controls, and for the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal auditors are responsible to the Audit Committee for testing the integrity of the financial accounting and reporting control systems and such other matters as the Audit Committee and the Board determine. PricewaterhouseCoopers is responsible for auditing those financial statements. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and review these processes. It is not the Audit Committee's responsibility to conduct auditing or accounting reviews or procedures. Therefore, the Audit Committee has relied, without independent verification, on (a) management's representation that the financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States; (b) the representations of the PricewaterhouseCoopers appearing in the registered public accounting firm's report on the Company's financial statements; and (c) the representations of management that the internal control systems are effective.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Kerrii B. Anderson, Chairman

Wendy E. Lane

Robert E. Mittelstaedt, Jr.

Arthur H. Rubenstein

R. Sanders Williams

Back to Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL HOLDERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth as of March 11, 2013, the total number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned, and the percent so owned, by (i) each director of the Company, (ii) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5 percent of the outstanding Common Stock, (iii) the individuals identified as the named executive officers in the "Summary Compensation Table" set forth above, and (iv) all current directors and Executive Officers as a group. The number of shares owned are those "beneficially owned," as determined under the rules of the SEC, and such information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under such rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which a person has sole or shared voting power or investment power and any shares of Common Stock which the person has the right to acquire within 60 days through the exercise of any option, warrant or right, through conversion of any security, or pursuant to the automatic termination of power of attorney or revocation of trust, discretionary account or similar arrangement. Except as otherwise indicated below, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned by them as set forth opposite their respective names.

Amount and Nature of

	Beneficial	
Beneficial Owner	Ownership of Common Stock	Percent of Class
Harris Associates L.P.		
Two North LaSalle Street, Suite 500	6,777,355 (1)	7.29 %
Chicago, IL 60602-3790		
The Vanguard Group, Inc.		
100 Vanguard Boulevard	5,709,727 (2)	6.14 %
Malvern, PA 19355		
T. Rowe Price Associates		
100 E. Pratt Street	5,384,685 (3)	5.79 %
Baltimore, MD 21202		
BlackRock, Inc.		
40 East 52nd Street	4,776,588 (4)	5.14 %
New York, NY 10022		
David P. King	983,927 (5,6,7,8)	1.05 %
Kerrii B. Anderson	22,863 (5,6)	*
Jean-Luc Bélingard	47,037 (5,6)	*
N. Anthony Coles, Jr.	4,116 (5,6)	*

All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (17 persons)	1,860,928 (5,6,7,8)	1.97 %
William B. Hayes	221,137 (5,6,7)	*
Lidia L. Fonseca	46,192 (5,6,7)	*
F. Samuel Eberts III	90,198 (5,6,7)	*
James T. Boyle	229,880 (5,6,7)	*
R. Sanders Williams	12,944 (5,6)	*
M. Keith Weikel	32,157 (5,6)	*
Adam H. Schechter	-	*
Arthur H. Rubenstein	27,497 (5,6)	*
Peter M. Neupert	575 (6)	*
Robert E. Mittelstaedt, Jr.	32,190 (5,6)	*
Thomas P. Mac Mahon	45,221 (5,6)	*
Wendy E. Lane	31,706 (5,6)	*

Back to Contents

*

Less than 1%

(1)

As reported on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2013, on behalf of Harris Associates L.P. ("Harris"). Harris is a registered investment advisor with beneficial ownership of the above listed shares.

(2)

As reported on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2013, on behalf of The Vanguard Group, Inc. ("Vanguard"). Vanguard is a registered investment advisor with beneficial ownership of the above listed shares.

(3)

As reported on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 7, 2013, on behalf of T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ("T. Rowe Price"). T. Rowe Price is a registered investment advisor with beneficial ownership of the above listed shares.

(4)

As reported on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 31, 2013, on behalf of BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock"). BlackRock is a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 132-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act with beneficial ownership of the above listed shares.

(5)

Beneficial ownership by directors, the named executive officers and current executive officers of the Company includes shares of Common Stock that such individuals have the right to acquire upon the exercise of options that either are vested or that may vest within 60 days of March 11, 2013. The number of shares of Common Stock included in the table as beneficially owned which are subject to such options is as follows: Mr. King—859,566; Ms. Anderson—14,220; Mr. Bélingard—20,282; Dr. Coles—1,532; Ms. Lane—15,369; Mr. Mac Mahon—12,9 Mr. Mittelstaedt—17,746; Dr. Rubenstein—17,318; Dr. Weikel—19,792; Dr. Williams—6,632; Mr. Boyle—186,2 Mr. Eberts—69,233; Ms. Fonseca—36,233; Mr. Hayes—187,034; all directors and Executive Officers as a group—1,486,748.

(6)

Includes shares of Restricted Common Stock. The number of shares of Restricted Common Stock included in the table is as follows: Mr. King—20,301; Ms. Anderson—2,567; Mr. Bélingard—2,567; Dr. Coles—2,367; Ms. Lane—2,5 Mr. Mac Mahon—2,567; Mr. Mittelstaedt—2,567; Mr. Neupert – 575; Dr. Rubenstein—2,567; Dr. Weikel—2,560 Dr. Williams—2,567; Mr. Boyle—6,534; Mr. Eberts – 1,301; Ms. Fonseca—1,301; Mr. Hayes—6,434; all directors a Executive Officers as a group—60,650.

(7)

Includes performance shares related to the 2010 Performance Award vesting March 28, 2013. The number of

performance shares included in the table is as follows: Mr. King—35,827, Mr. Boyle – 11,475; Mr. Eberts – 2,612; Ms. Fonseca – 2,612; Mr. Hayes – 12,129; all Executive Officers as a group – 67,360.

(8)

Includes 43,758 shares of common stock to a grantor retained annuity trust for the benefit of certain of Mr. King's family members and for which Mr. King is the annuitant. Mr. King is the trustee of the trust.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act") requires the Company's executive officers, directors and persons who own more than 10 percent of the Company's equity securities to file reports on ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the securities exchanges on which its equity securities are registered. Additionally, SEC regulations require that the Company identify in its proxy statements any individuals for whom one of the referenced reports was not filed on a timely basis during the most recent fiscal year or prior fiscal years. To the Company's knowledge, based solely on a review of reports furnished to it, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its executive officers, directors and more than 10 percent beneficial owners were satisfied.

OTHER MATTERS

Shareholder Proposals for 2014 Annual Meeting

Under the rules and regulations of the SEC as currently in effect, shareholders may submit proposals to the Company for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In order for a proposal to be included in the proxy materials, the shareholder must satisfy the following requirements:

•

the shareholder must (i) hold continuously for at least one year prior to the date of submission of the proposal at least \$2,000 in market value of Common Stock or 1 percent of all Common Stock, and (ii) continue to hold the required number of shares through the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting;

•

the proposal must be submitted in writing to the attention of F. Samuel Eberts III, Secretary, Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 358 South Main Street, Burlington, North Carolina 27215, and must be received no later than November 28, 2013; and

•

the proposal must include the name and address of the shareholder, the number of shares of Common Stock held of record or beneficially by the shareholder, the dates when the shares were acquired, and documentary support for a claim of beneficial ownership.

Holders of Common Stock who wish to have proposals submitted for consideration at future meetings of shareholders should consult the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC with respect to such proposals, including the permissible number and length of proposals and other matters governed by such rules and regulations, and should also

consult the Company's By-Laws.

Back to Contents

Householding

As permitted by the Exchange Act, the Company has adopted a procedure approved by the SEC called "householding." Under this procedure, shareholders of record who have the same address and last name and do not participate in electronic delivery of proxy materials will receive only one copy of the Notice, this Proxy Statement and the 2012 Annual Report unless one or more of these shareholders provides notification of their desire to receive individual copies. This procedure will reduce the Company's printing costs and postage fees. Shareholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards.

If you and other shareholders of record with whom you share an address currently receive multiple copies of annual reports and/or proxy statements, or if you hold stock in more than one account and in either case, you wish to receive only a single copy of the annual report or proxy statement for your household, please contact Broadridge Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717 or by telephone: 800-542-1061 with the names in which all accounts are registered.

If you participate in householding and wish to receive a separate copy of the Notice, the 2012 Annual Report or this Proxy Statement, or if you wish to receive separate copies of future annual reports or proxy statements, please contact American Stock Transfer and Trust Company at 6201 15th Avenue Brooklyn, New York, NY 11219 or by telephone: 800-937-5449. The Company will deliver the requested documents to you promptly upon your request.

Beneficial shareholders, or shareholders who hold shares in "street name", can request information about householding from their banks, brokers or other holders of record.

Additional Information

A copy of the 2012 Annual Report has been posted on the Internet along with this Proxy Statement, each of which is accessible by following the instructions in the Notice. The 2012 Annual Report is not incorporated in this Proxy Statement and is not considered proxy-soliciting materials.

The Company filed its 2012 Annual Report with the SEC on February 26, 2013. The Company will mail without charge, upon written request, a copy the 2012 Annual Report, excluding exhibits. Please send a written request to the Secretary, Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 358 South Main Street, Burlington, North Carolina 27215 for a copy, or access these materials on the Company's website at www.labcorp.com on the Investor Relations page.

By Order of the Board of Directors

F. Samuel Eberts III

Secretary

March 28, 2013