

RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC
Form 10-Q
June 01, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 22, 2007

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-49916

RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

84-1573084

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6312 S. Fiddler s Green Circle, Suite 200N

Greenwood Village, CO
(Address of principal executive offices)

80111

(Zip Code)

(303) 846-6000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share

Outstanding at May 30, 2007

16,738,493 shares

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|----------------|--|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> |

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. Financial Statements**

RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share amounts)
(Unaudited)

| | April 22, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 7,094 | \$ 2,762 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 3,746 | 3,305 |
| Inventories | 8,627 | 8,486 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 8,049 | 5,885 |
| Income tax receivable | 4,316 | 5,862 |
| Deferred tax asset | 2,156 | 2,156 |
| Restricted current assets - marketing funds | 3,354 | 827 |
| Total current assets | 37,342 | 29,283 |
| Property and equipment, net | 369,304 | 351,736 |
| Goodwill | 43,517 | 43,496 |
| Intangible assets, net | 22,241 | 22,772 |
| Other assets, net | 3,362 | 3,311 |
| Total assets | \$ 475,766 | \$ 450,598 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 11,647 | \$ 6,312 |
| Construction related payables | 19,101 | 17,839 |
| Accrued payroll and payroll related liabilities | 20,350 | 19,144 |
| Unredeemed gift certificates | 6,465 | 9,374 |
| Accrued liabilities | 17,639 | 15,036 |
| Accrued liabilities - marketing funds | 3,354 | 827 |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 1,636 | 1,630 |
| Total current liabilities | 80,192 | 70,162 |
| Deferred rent | 18,928 | 18,076 |
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 117,807 | 112,341 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 4,897 | 6,486 |
| Total liabilities | 221,824 | 207,065 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Common stock; \$0.001 par value: 30,000,000 shares authorized; 16,629,996 and 16,589,248 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 17 | 17 |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value: 3,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Treasury stock, 11,517 shares, at cost | (83) | (83) |
| Paid-in capital | 149,634 | 146,614 |
| Retained earnings | 104,374 | 96,985 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 253,942 | 243,533 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 475,766 | \$ 450,598 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Sixteen Weeks Ended | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 |
| Revenues: | | |
| Restaurant revenue | \$ 207,057 | \$ 165,651 |
| Franchise and royalty fees | 5,218 | 4,796 |
| Rent revenue | 50 | 86 |
| Total revenues | 212,325 | 170,533 |
| Costs and expenses: | | |
| Restaurant operating costs: | | |
| Cost of sales | 47,035 | 38,095 |
| Labor | 71,002 | 57,540 |
| Operating | 34,056 | 25,333 |
| Occupancy | 13,253 | 10,073 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,289 | 9,122 |
| General and administrative | 18,933 | 15,847 |
| Pre-opening costs | 2,479 | 2,243 |
| Total costs and expenses | 199,047 | 158,253 |
| Income from operations | 13,278 | 12,280 |
| Other expense: | | |
| Interest expense, net | 2,299 | 1,070 |
| Other | 4 | 35 |
| Total other expenses | 2,303 | 1,105 |
| Income before income taxes | 10,975 | 11,175 |
| Provision for income taxes | 3,512 | 3,820 |
| Net income | \$ 7,463 | \$ 7,355 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.45 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.44 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 16,609 | 16,498 |
| Diluted | 16,775 | 16,712 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Sixteen Weeks Ended | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 7,463 | \$ 7,355 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,289 | 9,122 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,768 | 1,917 |
| Other, net | 92 | (838) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | 4,675 | 9,220 |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 26,287 | 26,776 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Changes in marketing fund restricted cash | (749) | (94) |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (27,737) | (25,135) |
| Cash used in investing activities | (28,486) | (25,229) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Borrowings of long-term debt | 16,000 | 6,427 |
| Payments of long-term debt | (10,365) | (4,491) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase plan | 845 | 734 |
| Excess tax benefit related to exercise of stock options | 213 | 182 |
| Debt issuance costs | | (153) |
| Payments of capital lease obligations | (162) | (469) |
| Cash provided by financing activities | 6,531 | 2,230 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 4,332 | 3,777 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 2,762 | 3,340 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 7,094 | \$ 7,117 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: | | |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 891 | \$ 1,831 |
| Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized | 3,316 | 766 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Items: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment on account | \$ 1,262 | \$ 4,093 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation and Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc. (Red Robin or the Company), a Delaware corporation, develops and operates casual-dining restaurants. At April 22, 2007, the Company operated 216 company-owned restaurants located in 26 states. The Company also sells franchises, of which there were 147 restaurants in 24 states and two Canadian provinces as of April 22, 2007. The Company operates its business as one reportable segment.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Red Robin and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company's financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Some of the more significant estimates included in the preparation of these financial statements pertain to impairment of long-lived assets, fixed asset lives, impairment of goodwill, estimated useful lives of other intangible assets, bonuses, self-insurance liabilities, stock-based compensation expense, fair value of assets acquired in a business combination and income taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of Red Robin have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the Company's annual consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K have been condensed or omitted. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of that date, but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. For further information, please refer to and read these interim condensed consolidated financial statements in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Company's quarter which ended April 22, 2007, is referred to as first quarter 2007, or the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007; the first quarter ended April 16, 2006, is referred to as first quarter 2006, or the sixteen weeks ended April 16, 2006.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 allows entities the option to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value as of specified dates. Such election, which may be applied on an instrument by instrument basis, is typically irrevocable once elected. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and early application is allowed under certain circumstances. The Company is evaluating the impact the adoption of SFAS 159 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, (SFAS 157). This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement applies whenever other statements require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning December 31, 2008. The Company is evaluating the impact the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

2. Acquisition of Red Robin Franchised Restaurants

Pending California Franchise Acquisition

On January 31, 2007, the Company announced its intention to acquire the assets of 17 Red Robin® franchised restaurants in the state of California from Top Robin Ventures and Morite of California for a cash price of approximately \$47.5 million, excluding the consideration referenced below. The Company expects to fund the acquisition through its credit facility. The parties signed an asset purchase agreement on May 24, 2007 and the transaction is expected to close, if completed, in the second quarter 2007. In addition, there is an eighteenth restaurant currently under construction in Fresno, California that will also be part of the transaction. The Company will pay the seller consideration for all out-of-pocket construction costs related to the new restaurant, which is expected to open in July. The agreement provides for up to an additional \$3 million purchase price earn-out to be paid to the sellers assuming all 18 of the acquired restaurant achieve certain 2007 sales targets.

The territory covered by these entities' exclusivity rights generally encompasses the area of Los Angeles County north of Interstate 10, the California counties of San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Fresno, Kings, Tulare and Kern, as well as a portion of Riverside County. The closing of the acquisition is subject to necessary licensing approvals and lease consents and transfers, as well as other customary closing conditions.

Washington Franchise Acquisition

In July 2006, the Company acquired 13 franchised Red Robin® restaurants in the state of Washington. Two of the restaurants were managed by the Company under a management services agreement until they were purchased on November 21, 2006 and December 25, 2006, respectively.

The acquisition of the 13 restaurants was accounted for using the purchase method as defined in SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. Based on a purchase price of \$40.8 million, net of the \$1.7 million charge relating to EITF Issue 04-1, *Accounting for Preexisting Relationships between the Parties to a Business Combination* (EITF 04-1), and the Company's estimates of the fair value of net assets acquired, \$17.8 million of goodwill was generated by the acquisitions, which is not amortizable for book purposes.

The purchase price for the 13 restaurants has been allocated as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Current assets | \$ 819 |
| Property and equipment | 8,288 |
| Goodwill | 17,776 |
| Intangible assets | 15,929 |
| Other assets | 39 |
| Current liabilities | (2,078) |
| | \$ 40,773 |

Pro Forma Results (unaudited)

The following unaudited pro forma information presents a summary of the results of operations of the Company assuming the acquisition of the 13 restaurants occurred at the beginning of the period presented as required by SFAS 141. Pro forma net income for 2006 excludes the nonrecurring \$1.7 million pre-tax charge, related to the reacquired franchise rights. The pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of the period presented, nor is it indicative of future operating results.

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Sixteen Weeks Ended April 16, 2006 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Revenue | \$ | 187,624 |
| Net income | | 8,410 |
| Basic EPS | | 0.51 |
| Diluted EPS | | 0.50 |

3. Stock-Based Compensation

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

During the first quarter 2007, the Company issued approximately 503,000 options to purchase shares of its common stock to eligible employees with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$11.33 per share and a weighted average exercise price of \$38.83 per share. The Company also granted to its chief executive officer 97,000 shares of non-vested common

7

stock with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$41.54 which vest in installments on the anniversaries of the grants. Compensation expense is recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period which is approximately 2.2 years.

Stock-based compensation, including options and non-vested shares, was \$1.8 million for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007, of which \$285,000 and \$1.5 million were recognized in restaurant labor and general and administrative expenses, respectively. For the sixteen weeks ended April 16, 2006, \$1.9 million of stock-based compensation expense was recognized, of which \$277,000 and \$1.6 million were recognized in restaurant labor and general and administrative expenses, respectively. For the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007, \$194,000 of stock-based compensation was recognized as capitalized development and is included in property and equipment in the consolidated balance sheet. During the first quarter 2007, approximately 33,000 options to purchase common shares were exercised and approximately 55,000 options were forfeited.

4. Borrowings

Borrowings at April 22, 2007 and December 31, 2006 are summarized below (in thousands):

| | April 22, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Revolving credit agreement | \$ 105,000 | \$ 99,000 |
| Capital lease obligations | 9,733 | 9,895 |
| Collateralized notes payable | 4,710 | 5,076 |
| | 119,443 | 113,971 |
| Current portion | (1,636 |) (1,630 |
| Long-term debt | \$ 117,807 | \$ 112,341 |

As of April 22, 2007 and December 31, 2006, borrowings under the revolving credit agreement bore interest at approximately 6.2% and 6.3%, respectively.

The Company maintains outstanding letters of credit primarily to back the Company's self-insured workers' compensation program. These letters of credit reduce the amount of future borrowings available under the revolving credit agreement. The amount outstanding under these letters of credit was \$4.5 million and \$4.6 million at April 22, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

5. Income Taxes

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, (FIN 48). As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized an increase of approximately \$74,000 in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which was accounted for as a reduction to the January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings. As of April 22, 2007, the Company had approximately \$384,000 of unrecognized tax benefits, all of which if recognized, would favorably affect the Company's effective tax rate.

The Company's policy for recording interest and penalties associated with tax audits is to record such items as a component of income before taxes. Penalties are recorded in other (gains) losses, net, and interest paid or received is recorded in interest expense or interest income, respectively, in the statement of income.

The Company is open to federal and state tax audits until the applicable statute of limitations expire. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years before 2003. For the majority of states where the Company has a significant presence, it is no longer subject to tax examination by tax authorities for tax years before 2003.

6. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share amounts are calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share amounts are calculated based upon the weighted-average number of common and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive shares are excluded from the computation in periods in which they have an anti-dilutive effect. Diluted earnings per share reflect the

potential dilution that could occur if holders of options exercised their options into common stock. During the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007, respectively, approximately 1.1 million stock options outstanding were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the period presented. During the sixteen weeks ended April 16, 2006, a total of 821,000 stock options outstanding were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the period presented. The Company uses the treasury stock method to calculate the impact of outstanding stock options. The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Sixteen Weeks Ended | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 |
| Net income | \$ 7,463 | \$ 7,355 |
| Basic weighted-average shares outstanding | 16,609 | 16,498 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | 166 | 214 |
| Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding | 16,775 | 16,712 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.45 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.44 |

7. Advertising Costs

Costs incurred in connection with the advertising and promotion of the Company are included in other operating expenses and are expensed as incurred. Such costs amounted to \$4.0 million and \$2.8 million for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007 and April 16, 2006, respectively.

Under the Company's franchise agreements, both the Company and the franchise partners must contribute a minimum percentage of revenues to two marketing and national media advertising funds (the Marketing Funds). These Marketing Funds are used to develop and distribute Red Robin® branded marketing materials, for media purchases and for administrative costs. The Company's portion of costs incurred by the Marketing Funds are recorded as operating expense on the Company's financial statements. Restricted assets represent contributed funds held for future use.

8. Related Party Transactions

The Company's former chief concept officer owns 7.0% of Mach Robin, LLC (Mach Robin), and an entity that directly or indirectly owns or controls 100% of Red Robin Restaurants of Canada, Ltd. (RRRC). Mach Robin and RRRC operate Red Robin® restaurants under franchise agreements in the U.S. and Canada, respectively. The Company recognized royalty income from Mach Robin in the amount of \$338,000 during the sixteen weeks ended April 16, 2006. The Company recognized royalty income from RRRC of \$333,000 during the sixteen weeks ended April 16, 2006.

For further related party transaction information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

9. Contingencies

On February 1, 2006, the Company received a notice from the SEC that the SEC had issued a formal order of investigation related to a 2005 internal investigation regarding the former chairman, president and chief executive officer. The SEC indicated in its order that it had not determined whether the Company has violated the law in any way. The Company has cooperated with the SEC and intends to continue to cooperate fully with the SEC in its formal investigation.

On August 15, 2005, Andre Andropolis filed a purported class action complaint (the Andropolis Complaint) against the Company, the former chief executive officer and former chief financial officer in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado on behalf of himself and all other purchasers of the Company's common stock during the putative class period of November 8, 2004 through August 11, 2005. On September 30, 2005, Mark Baird filed a similar purported class action complaint (the Baird Complaint) on behalf of himself and the same class of stockholders as defined in the Andropolis Complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The Andropolis and

Baird complaints were consolidated by the Court and are referred to together as Andropolis .

9

On January 2, 2007, the Court granted the defendants' motions to dismiss all claims with prejudice. Final judgment was entered on January 17, 2007 and plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal on February 14, 2007. After a mediation, the parties to the Andropolis matter reached an agreement to resolve the litigation, subject to approval by the Court. The proposed settlement involves a \$1.5 million payment, covered by insurance, to the class and its counsel. The agreement was preliminarily approved by the Court on May 31, 2007. The parties will provide notice to the class and payment to those members who timely submit a claim pursuant to terms of the settlement agreement filed with the Court. After the bar date to submit a claim, the Court will hold a hearing on final approval of the settlement. The hearing for final approval is scheduled for September 28, 2007.

On August 31, 2005, Elliot Wilster commenced a stockholder derivative suit on behalf of the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the Wilster Complaint). The action was brought against the Company as a nominal defendant and against the former chief executive officer, then-current board members and the Company's current senior vice president and chief concept officer. On August 3, 2006, the Court in the Wilster case granted the Company's motion to dismiss all claims against all defendants for failure to plead demand futility. The plaintiff appealed this ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. After a mediation, the parties to the Wilster matter reached an agreement to resolve the litigation, subject to approval by the Court. The proposed settlement involves an agreement to adopt certain corporate governance measures and a \$250,000 payment, covered by insurance, to plaintiff's counsel.

In January 2006, the Company was served with a purported class action lawsuit, *Huggett v. Red Robin International, Inc.* This lawsuit was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California. The *Huggett* lawsuit alleges failure to comply with California wage and hour regulations, including those governing meal and rest periods, payment of wages upon termination and provision of itemized statements to employees, as well as unlawful business practices and unfair competition. The *Huggett* complaint states claims for damages, including punitive and exemplary damages and injunctive relief. The Company filed an answer to the *Huggett* complaint and removed the case to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. On March 13, 2006, *Huggett* filed a motion to remand the case to the California state court. On June 9, 2006, the Court denied the motion to remand.

In December 2006, the Company was served with two additional purported class action lawsuits alleging claims similar to the *Huggett* matter. *William Harper v. Red Robin International, Inc.* was filed in the Superior Court of California alleging failure by the Company to provide meal and rest breaks in compliance with California wage and hour regulations. *Marie Hill vs. Red Robin International, Inc.* was filed the same day as the Harper case and served on the Company. In the Hill case, a former employee alleges failure to comply with California wage and hour regulations including failure to pay overtime, misclassification of managers, and failure to pay for or provide meal and rest breaks. An answer was filed and the case was removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

The Company filed a notice of related case with the Court in the *Huggett* case stating that the purported class of plaintiffs were the same and that the case should be consolidated.

The Company believes the ongoing suits to be without merit. Although the Company plans to vigorously defend these suits, the Company cannot predict the outcome of these lawsuits or what actions the SEC may take. It is possible that the Company may be required to pay damages, settlement costs, legal costs or other amounts that may not be covered by insurance, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In the normal course of business, there are various other claims in process, matters in litigation and other contingencies. These include claims resulting from slip and fall accidents, employment related claims and claims from guests or team members alleging illness, injury or other food quality, health or operational concerns. To date, no claims of these types of litigation, certain of which are covered by insurance policies, have had a material effect on the Company. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of these other suits, legal proceedings and claims with certainty, management is of the opinion that adequate provision for potential losses associated with these other matters has been made in the financial statements and that the ultimate resolution of these other matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

10. Subsequent Event

In May 2007, the Company, through its subsidiary Red Robin International, Inc., received bank commitments for a new \$300 million credit facility, which will amend and replace the existing \$200 million credit facility. The amended credit facility will be comprised of a \$150 million term loan, and a \$150 million revolving line of credit. The amended credit agreement will also allow, subject to lender participation, the Company to increase the revolving credit facility by up to an additional \$100 million in the future. The term of the agreement is for five years, with the option at the Company's request and lenders' participation, for up to two additional years to be added to the maturity on the revolving line of credit. The amended credit agreement will provide for more favorable borrowing rates, will also allow the Company the ability to repurchase its stock within certain limits and to continue to finance restaurant construction, fund franchise restaurant acquisitions, and to be used for working capital and general corporate requirements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations provides a narrative on our financial performance and condition that should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Overview

All comparisons under this heading between 2007 and 2006 refer to the sixteen-week periods ending April 22, 2007 and April 16, 2006, respectively, unless otherwise indicated.

As of April 22, 2007, there were 363 Red Robin® casual dining restaurants in 39 states and Canada. 216 of these restaurants were company-owned and 147 were operated under franchise agreements.

Our primary source of revenue is from the sale of food and beverages at company-owned restaurants. We also earn revenue from royalties and fees from franchised restaurants as well as revenue from rent.

Our challenges include increased competition among casual dining restaurant chains for the guest's discretionary dining dollars, increasing wage and salary costs, increasing food and commodity prices, increased energy and petroleum-based product prices, and costs to increase the Company's brand awareness in new markets. In light of these complexities and challenges, management has pursued a disciplined growth strategy that focuses on adding company-owned restaurants and increasing sales at existing restaurants. In addition, management is focused on managing restaurant operating costs and building our corporate infrastructure to facilitate our long-term growth expectations.

The following summarizes the operational and financial highlights of the first fiscal quarter 2007:

- *New Restaurant Openings.* During the first quarter of 2007, we opened nine company-owned restaurants, six of which were opened in new markets. For the first quarter 2007, approximately 63% of total non-comparable restaurant operating weeks were in new markets compared to 56% in the first sixteen weeks of 2006. New markets present operational challenges as we expand into markets where we have less brand recognition, a lower concentration of trained team members and generally higher operating costs. As such, average unit volumes of these restaurants are less than those non-comparable restaurants opened in existing markets, where we have established a guest base and higher brand awareness. In the first quarter 2007, our non-comparable restaurant volumes declined 5.2% from the first quarter 2006.
- *Comparable Restaurant Sales.* Average weekly sales volumes for comparable restaurants declined 3.3% over the first quarter 2006. The average comparable weekly sales volume includes those restaurants that are in the comparable base at the end of each period presented. The decrease in average comparable weekly sales in the first quarter 2007 was primarily the result of less mature restaurants entering the comparable base at a lower initial sales volume. The lower average sales volumes for these less mature restaurants demonstrate the historical ramp up of our restaurants during the early years of operations. As our less mature restaurants enter the comparable restaurant base, the average weekly sales volume could be negatively affected until these restaurants reach normalized volumes, historically in the third year of operation. In addition to the new restaurants entering our comparable restaurant base, we saw a 0.5% decrease in the average weekly sales of the 151 comparable restaurants that have been in the comparable restaurant base throughout all periods presented. This decrease was driven by a decrease in guest counts of 3.6% partially offset by an increase in the average guest check of 3.1%.
- *Launch of National Media Advertising Campaign.* In April 2007, we launched our first national media advertising campaign on cable television and the internet. We believe a national media campaign will increase revenue in both new and existing markets, expand our presence as a national restaurant company and provide support for our efforts to enter new markets where our brand has less recognition. We expect our sales will be positively impacted by this media campaign beginning in the second quarter of 2007. Because we have not utilized national marketing efforts in the past, we are closely monitoring restaurant results but we are unable to predict whether the

campaign will be successful. The costs of our national advertising campaign increased restaurant operating costs by approximately \$937,000 as we increased our contribution to a national marketing fund into which our franchise partners also contribute. The increased advertising expenditures will continue throughout the remainder of 2007.

12

- Managing Restaurant Operating Costs.* For the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007, total restaurant operating costs represented 80% of restaurant revenues as compared to 79% for the sixteen weeks ended April 16, 2006. Restaurant operating costs represent food and beverage expenses, labor and benefit expenses, occupancy expenses and other operating costs such as supplies, repairs and maintenance and utility expenses.
- New Restaurant Training Initiatives.* Our focus on the performance of new restaurants has led to the development of a number of programs designed to normalize new restaurant performance faster. As part of our first quarter 2007 restaurant openings, we initiated new training programs which are focused on accelerating and measuring the proficiencies of each hourly team member. We have also added additional modules to our manager training programs to better prepare new managers for the challenging environment a new restaurant creates. In support of this initiative, we have raised our average pre-opening costs per restaurant. In addition, we have observed higher labor costs soon after the openings. We believe adding more trainers for each opening will result in near term pressure on our profit margins in exchange for faster normalization of restaurant revenues.

Restaurant Data and Comparable Restaurant Sales

The following table details restaurant unit data for our company-owned and franchise locations for the periods indicated.

| | Sixteen Weeks Ended | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 |
| Company-owned: | | |
| Beginning of period | 208 | 163 |
| Opened during period | 9 | 9 |
| Closed during period | (1) | |
| End of period | 216 | 172 |
| Franchised: | | |
| Beginning of period | 139 | 136 |
| Opened during period | 9 | 3 |
| Closed during period | (1) | |
| End of period | 147 | 139 |
| Total number of Red Robin® restaurants | 363 | 311 |

Since April 22, 2007 and through May 22, 2007, we have opened four additional company-owned restaurants and our franchisees have opened one additional restaurant. We expect to open between 24 and 27 company-owned restaurants during fiscal 2007. We anticipate that our franchisees will open between 15 and 17 restaurants during fiscal 2007.

Results of Operations

Operating results for each period presented below are expressed as a percentage of total revenues, except for the components of restaurant operating costs, which are expressed as a percentage of restaurant revenues.

This information has been prepared on a basis consistent with the audited 2006 annual financial statements and, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the information for the periods presented. Our operating results may fluctuate significantly as a result of a variety of factors, and operating results for any period presented are not necessarily indicative of results for a full fiscal year.

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|----------------|---|
| | April 22, 2007 | | April 16, 2006 | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Restaurant | 97.5 | % | 97.1 | % |
| Franchise royalties and fees | 2.5 | | 2.8 | |
| Rent revenue | 0.0 | | 0.1 | |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | | 100.0 | |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | |
| Restaurant operating costs: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 22.7 | | 23.0 | |
| Labor (includes 0.1% and 0.2% of stock-based compensation expense, respectively) | 34.3 | | 34.7 | |
| Operating | 16.4 | | 15.3 | |
| Occupancy | 6.4 | | 6.1 | |
| Total restaurant operating costs | 79.8 | | 79.1 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5.8 | | 5.3 | |
| General and administrative (includes 0.7% and 1.0% of stock-based compensation expense, respectively) | 8.9 | | 9.3 | |
| Pre-opening costs | 1.2 | | 1.3 | |
| Income from operations | 6.3 | | 7.2 | |
| Interest expense, net | 1.1 | | 0.7 | |
| Other | | | | |
| Income before income taxes | 5.2 | | 6.5 | |
| Provision for income taxes | 1.7 | | 2.2 | |
| Net income | 3.5 | % | 4.3 | % |

Certain percentage amounts in the table above do not sum due to rounding as well as the fact that restaurant operating costs are expressed as a percentage of restaurant revenues, as opposed to total revenues.

Total Revenues

| (In thousands, except percentages and sales volumes) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change | |
|--|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | | |
| Restaurant revenue | \$ 207,057 | \$ 165,651 | 25.0 | % |
| Franchise royalties and fees | 5,218 | 4,796 | 8.8 | % |
| Rent revenue | 50 | 86 | (41.9) |)% |
| Total revenues | \$ 212,325 | \$ 170,533 | 24.5 | % |
| Average weekly sales volumes: | | | | |
| Comparable restaurants | \$ 63,169 | \$ 65,345 | (3.3) |)% |
| Non-comparable restaurants | \$ 53,979 | \$ 56,916 | (5.2) |)% |
| Acquired Restaurants | \$ 82,514 | \$ | | % |

Restaurant revenues, which are comprised almost entirely of food and beverage sales, increased by \$41.4 million, or 25.0% from the first quarter 2006. Of this increase, \$17.1 million was attributable to restaurant revenues from the 13 restaurants acquired in July 2006 (the Acquired Restaurants), \$14.1 million was attributable to comparable restaurant revenue, \$6.1 million was attributable to nine new company-owned restaurants opened during the first quarter 2007, and \$4.1 million to additional revenues from 43 non-comparable restaurants opened during 2006 and 2005.

Average weekly sales volumes represent the total restaurant revenue for a population of restaurants in both a comparable and non-comparable category for each time period presented divided by the number of operating weeks in the period. Comparable restaurant average weekly sales volumes include those restaurants that are in the comparable base at the end of each period presented. At the end of the first quarter 2007, there were 151 comparable restaurants compared to 130 comparable restaurants at the end of the first quarter 2006. Non-comparable restaurants

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

presented include those restaurants that had not yet achieved the five full quarters of operations during the periods presented. At the end of the first quarter 2007, there were 52 non-comparable restaurants versus 42 at the end of the first quarter 2006. Fluctuations in average weekly sales

14

volumes for comparable restaurants reflect the effect of same store sales changes as well as the performance of new restaurants entering the comparable base during the period. The decrease in average comparable weekly sales in the first quarter 2007 was primarily the result of the higher weighting of the 22 less mature restaurants entering the comparable base since the first quarter of 2006 which lowered the average unit sales volume, as well as lower guest counts partially offset by higher average guest checks. The performance of these newer restaurants entering the comparable base reflects the historical ramp up of our restaurants during the early years of operations. We also believe the lower average non-comparable weekly sales volume is due to the opening of our new restaurants in a larger ratio of new markets where we have a lower concentration of restaurants and established guests and little or no brand awareness. Approximately 63% of total non-comparable restaurant operating weeks in the first quarter 2007 were in new markets compared to 56% in the first quarter 2006.

Franchise royalties and fees, which consist primarily of royalty income and initial franchise fees, increased 8.8% over the same period last year due primarily to the 22 restaurants opened by our franchisees since the first quarter 2006, offset by the \$594,000 reduction in franchise royalties from the Acquired Restaurants. Our franchisees reported that comparable sales for U.S. restaurants decreased 1.9% and Canadian restaurants increased 3.3% in the first quarter of 2007.

Cost and Expenses

Cost of Sales

| (In thousands, except percentages) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | |
| Cost of sales | \$ 47,035 | \$ 38,095 | 23.5 % |
| As a percent of restaurant revenue | 22.7 | % 23.0 | % (0.3) % |

Cost of sales, comprised of food and beverage expenses, are variable and generally fluctuate with sales volume. As a percentage of restaurant revenues, cost of sales improved 0.3% for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007, due primarily to lower commodity costs and menu mix changes to lower cost items, as well as the leverage from price increases implemented since April 2006.

Labor

| (In thousands, except percentages) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | |
| Labor | \$ 71,002 | \$ 57,540 | 23.4 % |
| As a percent of restaurant revenue | 34.3 | % 34.7 | % (0.4) % |

Labor costs include restaurant hourly wages, fixed management salaries, stock-based compensation, bonuses, taxes and benefits for restaurant team members. Labor as a percentage of restaurant revenue for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007 decreased 0.4% as a percentage of restaurant revenue from the first quarter of 2006. Lower costs related to our self-insured health and workers compensation benefits represented 0.9% of this decrease. We continue to see reduced expense for both current and previous years health and workers compensation claims activity. We also realized a 0.7% decrease related to reduced bonus expenses due to lower restaurant sales volumes. Offsetting these reductions was a 1.2% increase for both hourly and salaried restaurant compensation as a percentage of restaurant revenue due to minimum wage increases and higher training labor for new restaurants opened in the first quarter of 2007.

Operating

| (In thousands, except percentages) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | |
| Operating | \$ 34,056 | \$ 25,333 | 34.4 % |
| As a percent of restaurant revenue | 16.4 | % 15.3 | % 1.1 % |

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

Operating costs include variable costs such as restaurant supplies, advertising and energy costs, and fixed costs such as service repairs and maintenance costs. Operating costs as a percent of restaurant revenue increased 1.1% for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007. For the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007, higher utility, supplies and maintenance expenses contributed 0.3%, 0.1% and 0.3%, respectively to the higher total operating costs as a percentage of restaurant revenue. In addition, increases in advertising expense increased operating costs 0.3% as a percentage of restaurant revenue as

15

contributions to the national advertising fund began in April 2007.

Occupancy

| (In thousands, except percentages) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | |
| Occupancy | \$ 13,253 | \$ 10,073 | 31.6 % |
| As a percent of restaurant revenue | 6.4 % | 6.1 % | 0.3 % |

Occupancy costs include fixed rents, percentage rents, common area maintenance charges, real estate and personal property taxes, general liability insurance and other property costs. Occupancy expense as a percent of restaurant revenue increased over the prior year period due to lower average restaurant sales volumes as well as higher occupancy expenses from the Acquired Restaurants.

Depreciation and Amortization

| (In thousands, except percentages) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 12,289 | \$ 9,122 | 34.7 % |
| As a percent of total revenues | 5.8 % | 5.3 % | 0.5 % |

Depreciation and amortization includes depreciation on capital expenditures for restaurants and corporate assets as well as amortization of acquired intangible assets and liquor licenses. Depreciation and amortization expense as a percentage of total revenues increased primarily due to increased depreciation and amortization for tangible and intangible assets related to the Acquired Restaurants.

General and Administrative

| (In thousands, except percentages) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | |
| General and administrative | \$ 18,933 | \$ 15,847 | 19.5 % |
| As a percent of total revenues | 8.9 % | 9.3 % | (0.4) % |

General and administrative costs include all corporate and administrative functions that support existing restaurant operations, franchises, and provide infrastructure to facilitate our future growth. Components of this category include corporate management, supervisory and staff salaries, bonuses, stock-based compensation and related employee benefits, travel, information systems, training, office rent, franchise administrative support, legal, professional and consulting fees and marketing costs. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues decreased from 9.3% in the 2006 quarter to 8.9% in the 2007 quarter. The decrease in general and administrative expense as a percentage of total revenues is due to a 0.4% reduction in administrative bonuses, a 0.3% decrease in stock compensation expense offset by small increases in other various general and administrative categories.

Pre-opening Costs

| (In thousands, except percentages) | Sixteen Weeks Ended | | Percent Change |
|--|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | April 22, 2007 | April 16, 2006 | |
| Pre-opening costs | \$ 2,479 | \$ 2,243 | 10.5 % |
| As a percent of total revenues | 1.2 % | 1.3 % | (0.1) % |
| Average per restaurant pre-opening costs | \$ 278 | \$ 249 | 11.6 % |

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

Pre-opening costs, which are expensed as incurred, consist of the costs of labor, hiring and training the initial hourly work force for our new restaurants, travel expenses for our training teams, the cost of food and beverages used in training, marketing costs, lease costs incurred prior to opening and other direct costs related to the opening of new restaurants. Pre-opening costs for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007 and April 16, 2006 reflect the opening of nine new restaurants in each period presented. In addition, these amounts include approximately \$547,000 and \$55,000, respectively, for restaurants that will be opened or have been opened in the second quarter 2007 and 2006. Average per restaurant pre-opening costs

16

represent total costs incurred for those restaurants that opened for business during the periods presented. The increase in the average per restaurant pre-opening costs reflects higher training expenses for our new training programs. This increased training is designed to focus on accelerating the development of proficiencies of each hourly team member to improve productivity and normalize operations of our new restaurants sooner.

Interest Expense, net

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

Interest expense was \$2.3 million and \$1.1 million for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007 and April 16, 2006, respectively. Interest expense in 2007 was higher due to higher borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility slightly offset by a lower average interest rate of 6.7% versus 6.8% in 2006.

Provision for Income Taxes

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

The effective income tax rate for the first quarter 2007 was 32.0% compared to 34.2% for the first quarter 2006. This decrease is due to more favorable tax credits as disclosed in our 2006 Annual Report of Form 10-K.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. Cash and cash equivalents increased approximately \$4.3 million to \$7.1 million during the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007, compared to \$2.8 million at the end of fiscal 2006. This increase was due primarily to higher cash flows provided by operating activities and net borrowings under the revolving credit facility. We continue to reinvest available cash flows from operations to develop new restaurants or enhance existing restaurants.

Financial Condition. The Company and the restaurant industry in general, maintain relatively low levels of accounts receivable and inventories. Vendors grant trade credit for purchases such as food and supplies. We also continually invest in our business through the addition of new restaurants and refurbishment of existing restaurants, which are reflected as long-term assets and not as part of working capital.

Credit Facility. We currently maintain a \$200 million revolving credit facility that matures on December 14, 2010. Borrowings under this credit agreement bear interest at one of the following rates as selected by the Company: an Alternative Base Rate (ABR), which is based on the Prime Rate plus 0.00% to 0.25% or a London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), which is based on the relevant one, two, three or six month LIBOR, at the Company's discretion, plus 0.625% to 1.25%. In addition to the ABR and LIBOR loans, the agreement provides for a swing-line loan sub-facility which allows the Company to borrow up to \$7.5 million. At April 22, 2007, we had \$105.0 million of outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility and had letters of credit outstanding against our credit facility of \$4.5 million.

In May 2007, we received bank commitments for a new \$300 million credit facility, which will amend and replace the existing \$200 million credit facility. The amended credit facility will be comprised of a \$150 million term loan, and a \$150 million revolving line of credit. The amended credit agreement will also allow, subject to lender participation, the Company to increase the revolving credit facility by up to an additional \$100 million in the future. The term of the agreement is for five years, with the option at the Company's request and lenders participation, for up to two additional years to be added to the maturity on the revolving line of credit. The amended credit agreement will provide for more favorable borrowing rates, and will also allow us the ability to repurchase our stock within certain limits and to continue to finance restaurant construction, fund franchise restaurant acquisitions, and for working capital and general corporate requirements.

Covenants. We are subject to a number of customary covenants under our various credit agreements, including limitations on additional borrowings, acquisitions, dividend payments, and requirements to maintain certain financial ratios. As of April 22, 2007, we were in compliance with all debt covenants.

Total debt outstanding increased to \$119.4 million at April 22, 2007 from \$114.0 million at December 31, 2006, due to additional borrowings to construct new restaurants and acquire other assets, offset by payments made on capital lease obligations.

Capital Expenditures. Cash paid for capital expenditures, including capital lease obligations, was \$27.7 million and \$25.1 million for the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007 and April 16, 2006, respectively. The increased capital expenditures in 2007 reflect higher expenditures for new restaurants as well as increases in facility improvements.

During fiscal year 2007, we expect capital expenditures to total \$75 million to \$85 million. We plan to open between 24 and 27 new company-owned restaurants in 2007, and we plan to continue our investment in restaurant remodels and capital improvements and expand our corporate infrastructure to support our growth model.

Future Liquidity. We require capital principally to grow the business through new restaurant construction, as well as to maintain, improve and refurbish existing restaurants, and for general operating purposes. In addition, we have and may continue to use capital to acquire franchise restaurants, including our previously announced acquisition of 18 franchised restaurants owned by Top Robin Ventures, Inc. and Morite of California. Our primary short-term and long-term sources of liquidity are expected to be cash flows from operations and the revolving bank credit facility as amended in May 2007. Based upon current levels of operations and anticipated growth, we expect that cash flows from operations, combined with other financing alternatives in place or available, will be sufficient to meet debt service, capital expenditures and working capital requirements for at least the next twelve months.

Inflation

The primary inflationary factors affecting our operations are food, labor costs, energy costs, and materials used in the construction of new restaurants. A large number of our restaurant personnel are paid at rates based on the applicable minimum wage, and increases in the minimum wage have directly affected our labor costs. Many of our leases require us to pay taxes, maintenance, repairs, insurance and utilities, all of which are generally subject to inflationary increases. We believe inflation has had a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations in the current year, due primarily to higher energy costs, higher costs for certain supplies and petroleum based products, higher costs for materials and labor related to construction of our new restaurants and, to a lesser extent, commodity prices for certain foods we purchase at market rates. Uncertainties related to higher costs, including energy costs, commodity prices, wages such as the federal minimum wage increase, and construction materials make it difficult to predict what impact, if any, inflation may have on our business during 2007.

Seasonality

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

Our business is subject to seasonal fluctuations. Historically, sales in most of our restaurants have been higher during the summer months and winter holiday season. Our quarterly and annual operating results and comparable restaurant sales may fluctuate significantly as a result of seasonality and other factors. Accordingly, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year and comparable restaurant sales for any particular future period may decrease.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Except for operating leases (primarily restaurant ground leases), we do not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

We have identified the following as the Company's most critical accounting policies, which are those that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results and require management's most subjective and complex judgments. Information regarding the Company's other significant accounting policies are disclosed in Note 1 of our consolidated financial statements.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense. Under the fair value recognition provisions of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, a revision of SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, we recognize stock-based compensation using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and recognize expense on a graded vesting basis over the requisite service periods of an option. Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of share-based payment awards requires the input of highly subjective and judgmental assumptions including volatility, forfeiture rates, and expected option life. If any of the assumptions used in the model change significantly, share-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period.

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Expenditures for major additions and improvements are capitalized and minor replacements, maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life for owned assets and the shorter of the estimated useful life or the term of the underlying lease for leased assets. Changes in circumstances, such as changes to our

business model or changes in our capital strategy, can result in the actual useful lives differing from our estimates. In those cases where management determines that the useful life of property and equipment should be shortened, we would depreciate the net book value over its revised remaining useful life thereby increasing depreciation and amortization expense. Factors such as changes in the planned use of fixtures or closing of facilities could also result in shortened useful lives.

Our accounting policies regarding property and equipment include judgments by management regarding the estimated useful lives of these assets, the expected lease term for assets related to properties under lease and the determination as to what constitutes enhancing the value of or increasing the life of existing assets. These judgments and estimates may produce materially different amounts of depreciation and amortization expense than would be reported if different assumptions were used. As discussed further below, these judgments may also impact management's need to recognize an impairment charge on the carrying amount of these assets as the cash flows associated with the assets are realized.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Long-lived assets, including restaurant sites, leasehold improvements, other fixed assets and amortized intangible assets are reviewed when indicators of impairment are present. Expected cash flows associated with an asset are the key factor in determining the recoverability of the asset. Identifiable cash flows are generally measured at the restaurant level. The estimate of cash flows is based upon, among other things, certain assumptions about expected future operating performance. Management's estimates of undiscounted cash flows may differ from actual cash flows due to, among other things, changes in economic conditions, changes to our business model or changes in operating performance. If the sum of the undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying value of the asset, we recognize an impairment loss, measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the asset.

Judgments made by management related to the expected useful lives of long-lived assets and our ability to realize undiscounted cash flows in excess of the carrying amounts of such assets are affected by factors such as the ongoing maintenance and improvements of the assets, changes in economic conditions and changes in operating performance. As the ongoing expected cash flows and carrying amounts of long-lived assets are assessed, these factors could cause us to realize a material impairment charge. There were no asset impairment charges during the sixteen weeks ended April 22, 2007 and April 16, 2006.

Goodwill. We also evaluate goodwill annually or more frequently if indicators of impairment are present. The evaluation is based upon a comparison of the carrying value of our net assets including goodwill balances to the fair value of our net assets using the quoted market price of our common stock. We completed our most recent goodwill impairment test in December 2006 and determined that there were no impairment losses related to goodwill. In the event that business conditions change and our market value were to drop significantly below year-end levels, future tests may result in a need to record a loss due to a write-down of the value of goodwill. At April 22, 2007, goodwill recorded in the consolidated balance sheet totaled \$43.5 million.

Lease Accounting. Under the provisions of certain of our leases, there are rent holidays and/or escalations in payments over the base lease term, as well as renewal periods. The effects of rent holidays and escalations are reflected in rent costs on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term, which includes cancelable option periods when it is deemed to be reasonably assured that we will exercise such option periods due to the fact that we would incur an economic penalty for not doing so. The lease term commences on the date when we become legally obligated for the rent payments which generally coincides with the time when the landlord delivers the property for us to develop and we waive contract contingencies. All rent costs recognized during construction periods are expensed immediately as pre-opening expenses.

Judgments made by management for its lease obligations include the probable term for each lease that affects the classification and accounting for a lease as capital or operating; the rent holidays and/or escalations in payments that are taken into consideration when calculating straight-line rent; and the term over which leasehold improvements for each restaurant facility are amortized. These judgments may produce materially different amounts of depreciation, amortization and rent expense than would be reported if different assumed lease terms were used.

Insurance/Self-Insurance Liabilities. The Company is self-insured for a portion of losses related to group health insurance, general liability and workers compensation. We maintain stop-loss coverage with third party insurers to limit our total exposure. The self-insurance liability represents an estimate of the cost of claims incurred and unpaid as of the balance sheet date. The estimated liability is not discounted and is established based upon analysis of historical data and actuarial based estimates, and is closely monitored and adjusted when warranted by changing circumstances. In addition, our history of self-insured experience is short and our significant rate of growth could affect the accuracy of estimates based on historical experience. Should a greater amount of claims occur compared to what was estimated, or should medical costs increase

beyond what was expected, our accrued liabilities might not be sufficient and additional expenses may be recorded. Actual claims experience could also be more favorable than estimated, resulting in expense reductions. Unanticipated changes in our estimates may produce materially different amounts of expense than that reported historically under these programs.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Edgar Filing: RED ROBIN GOURMET BURGERS INC - Form 10-Q

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 allows entities the option to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value as of specified dates. Such election, which may be applied on an instrument by instrument basis, is typically irrevocable once elected. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and early application is allowed under certain circumstances. We have not yet determined the impact this interpretation will have on our financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, (SFAS 157). This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement applies whenever other statements require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS 157 is effective for our fiscal year beginning December 31, 2008. We are evaluating the impact the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain information and statements contained in this report that reflect the Company's current expectations regarding, among other things, future results of operations, economic performance, liquidity and capital resources, financial condition and achievements of the Company, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, intentions, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions and other statements which are other than statements of historical facts. These statements may be identified, without limitation, by the use of forward-looking terminology such as anticipate, assume, believe, estimates, expects, intend, plan, project, will, would or comparable and similar terms or the negative thereof. Certain forward-looking statements are included in this Form 10-Q, principally in the sections captioned Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis. All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q are based on information available to the Company on the date hereof. Such statements speak only as of the date hereof and we undertake no obligation to update any such statement to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date hereof. These statements are based on assumptions believed by us to be reasonable, and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following: our ability to consummate the acquisition of the restaurants from our franchisees in California; our ability to integrate the acquired restaurants and operate them as expected; finalization of purchase price and purchase price accounting adjustments related to the acquisition of the California franchised restaurants; our ability to achieve and manage our planned expansion, including both in new markets and existing markets; lack of awareness of our brand in new markets; higher percentage of operating weeks from non-comparable restaurants; concentration of less mature restaurants in the comp restaurant base; effectiveness of our initiative to normalize new restaurant operations; ability to attract, motivate and retain qualified team members, particularly in new markets; the effectiveness of our new national advertising strategy; the ability of our franchisees to open and manage new restaurants; effectiveness of our management strategies and decisions; the concentration of our restaurants in the Western United States; changes in the availability and costs of food; changes in labor costs; changes in energy costs; changes in availability of capital or credit facility borrowings and our ability to close the new amended credit facility; changes in the cost and availability of building materials and restaurant supplies; potential fluctuation in our quarterly operating results due to seasonality and other factors; the effect of increased competition in the casual dining market; the continued service of key management personnel; changes in consumer preferences, general economic conditions or consumer discretionary spending; health concerns about our food products and food preparation; our ability to protect our name and logo and other proprietary information; the impact of federal, state or local government regulations relating to our team members or the sale of food or alcoholic beverages; the costs associated with pending litigation and investigations including diversion of management time and attention and any expense related to settlement of such matters; approval by the Court of the settlement terms for certain pending litigation; our franchisees' adherence to our practices, policies and procedures; additional costs associated with compliance, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related regulations and requirements; the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting; future changes in financial accounting standards; and other risk factors described from time to time in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2006 filed with the SEC.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk exposures for our assets are related to cash, cash equivalents and investments. We invest our excess cash in highly liquid short-term investments with maturities of less than one year. These investments are not held for trading or other speculative purposes. Changes in interest rates affect the investment income we earn on our investments and, therefore, impact our cash flows and results of operations.

Under our revolving credit agreement, amended in December 2005, we are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on borrowings, which bear interest at one of the following rates we select: an Alternate Base Rate (ABR), based on the Prime Rate plus 0.00% to 0.25%, or a LIBOR, based on the relevant one, two, three or six-month LIBOR, at our discretion, plus 0.625% to 1.25%. The spread, or margin, for ABR and LIBOR loans under the revolving credit agreement is subject to quarterly adjustment based on our then current leverage ratio, as defined by the credit agreement.

Our objective in managing exposure to interest rate changes is to lower overall borrowing costs and to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows. To achieve this objective, we may use interest rate swaps and caps to manage our net exposure to interest rate changes related to our borrowings. As appropriate, on the date derivative contracts are entered into, we designate derivatives as either a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment (fair value hedge), or a hedge of a forecasted transaction or of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge).

As of April 22, 2007, we had \$109.7 million of borrowings subject to variable interest rates, and a plus or minus 1.0% change in the effective interest rate applied to these loans would have resulted in pre-tax interest expense fluctuation of \$1.1 million on an annualized basis.

Primarily all of our transactions are conducted, and our accounts are denominated, in United States dollars. Accordingly, we are not exposed to significant foreign currency risk.

Many of the food products purchased by us are affected by changes in weather, production, availability, seasonality and other factors outside our control. In an effort to control some of this risk, we have entered into some fixed price purchase commitments. In addition, we believe that almost all of our food and supplies are available from several sources, which helps to control food commodity risks.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the management of Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc. (Management), including the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. As a result, the Company's CEO and CFO have concluded that, based upon the evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's Management, with the participation of the CEO and CFO, have evaluated whether any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the fiscal quarter ended April 22, 2007. Based on that evaluation, Management concluded that there has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended April 22, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On August 15, 2005, Andre Andropolis filed a purported class action complaint against the Company, the former chief executive officer and former chief financial officer (the Andropolis Complaint) in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado on behalf of himself and all other purchasers of the Company's common stock during the putative class period of November 8, 2004 through August 11, 2005. On September 30, 2005, Mark Baird filed a similar purported class action complaint (the Baird Complaint) on behalf of himself and the same class of stockholders as defined in the Andropolis Complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. These actions are more fully described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 28, 2007. On January 2, 2007, the Court granted the defendants' motions to dismiss all claims with prejudice. Final judgment was entered on January 17, 2007 and plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal on February 14, 2007. After a mediation the parties to the Andropolis matter reached an agreement to settle, subject to approval by the Court. The proposed settlement involves a payment of \$1.5 million, covered by insurance, to the putative class and its counsel. The agreement was preliminarily approved by the Court on May 31, 2007. The parties will provide notice to the class and payment to those members who timely submit a claim pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement filed with the Court. After the bar date to submit a claim, the Court will hold a hearing on final approval of the settlement. The hearing for final approval is scheduled for September 28, 2007.

On August 31, 2005, Elliot Wilster commenced a stockholder derivative suit on behalf of the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the Wilster Complaint). The action was brought against the Company as a nominal defendant and against the former chief executive officer, then-current board members and the Company's current senior vice president and chief concept officer. This action is more fully described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 28, 2007. On August 3, 2006, the Court in the Wilster case granted the Company's motion to dismiss all claims against all defendants for failure to plead demand futility. The plaintiff has appealed this ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. The parties have reached an agreement to settle. The proposed settlement involves payment of \$250,000 to plaintiff's counsel, also covered by insurance and the adoption by the Company of certain corporate governance measures. The proposed settlement is subject to court approval. The Company expects that the Wilster settlement will be filed in late May or early June.

See Note 9 *Contingencies*, in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional information regarding certain legal proceedings to which the Company is a party.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

A description of the risk factors associated with our business is contained in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2007. There have been no material changes in our Risk Factors disclosed in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 10.1 | Asset Purchase Agreement dated May 24, 2007 among Red Robin International, Inc., Top Robin Ventures, Inc. and Morite of California. |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer |

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

June 1, 2007
(Date)

Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc.

/s/ Katherine L. Scherping
Katherine L. Scherping
Chief Financial Officer