

PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST  
Form 40-F  
March 20, 2006

**United States Securities and Exchange Commission**

**Washington, D.C. 20549  
Form 40-F**

(Check One)

Registration statement pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

or

Annual report pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For Fiscal year ended:

December 31, 2005

Commission File number:

00-115124

PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Ontario, Canada

(Province or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

1331

(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number, if Applicable)

600, 444 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, Alberta Canada T2P 0X8  
(403) 218-8625

(Address and Telephone Number of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

CT Corporation System

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

111 Eighth Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10011

U.S.A.

(212) 894-8700

(Name, Address (Including Zip Code) and Telephone Number (Including Area Code)  
of Agent For Service in the United States)

---

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title Of Each Class:

Name Of Each Exchange On Which Registered:

Trust Units

The American Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

For annual reports, indicate by check mark the information filed with this form:

Annual Information Form

Audited Annual Financial Statements

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

117,172,421 Trust Units

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by filing the information contained in this form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). If Yes is marked, indicate the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with such rule.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13(d) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports); and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes

No [ ]

---

**UNDERTAKING AND CONSENT TO SERVICE OF PROCESS**

**Undertaking**

The Registrant undertakes to make available, in person or by telephone, representatives to respond to inquiries made by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), and to furnish promptly, when requested to do so by the SEC staff, information relating to the securities registered pursuant to Form 40-F, the securities in relation to which the obligation to file an annual report on Form 40-F arises or transactions in said securities.

**Consent to service of Process**

The Registrant has previously filed with the SEC a written irrevocable consent and power of attorney on Form F-X in connection with the Trust Units.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Registrant certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 40-F and has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

**PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST**

Date: March 15, 2006

By:

*(signed)* Jeffery E. Errico

Jeffery E. Errico

President and Chief Executive Officer

---

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b><u>Exhibit No.</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
1	Annual Information Form for the year ended December 31, 2005.
2	Management's Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2005.
3	Audited Consolidated Financial Statements, including the notes thereto, dated December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, together with the reports of the Independent Registered Chartered Accountants thereon.
4	Disclosures regarding the Registrant's Disclosure Controls and Procedures.
5	Disclosures regarding the Registrant's Audit Committee Financial Expert.
6	Disclosures regarding the Registrant's Code of Ethics.
7	Disclosures regarding the Registrant's Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures and Principal Accountant Fees and Services.
8	Consent of GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd.
9	Consent of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants.
10	Officers' Certifications pursuant to Rule 13a-15(f) or Rule 15d-15(f).
11	Officers' Certifications pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

---

**EXHIBIT 1**

Annual Information Form

For the year ended December 31, 2005

**PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST**  
**ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005**

**March 15, 2006**

---



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>INFORMATION PREPARED BY PETROFUND CORP</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>DOLLAR AMOUNTS</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>GLOSSARY OF TERMS</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST</b>	<b>8</b>
GENERAL	8
<b>GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE TRUST</b>	<b>9</b>
GENERAL	9
FINANCINGS	10
ACQUISITIONS	10
SIGNIFICANT ACQUISITIONS AND SIGNIFICANT DISPOSITIONS	13
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	10
<b>BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES</b>	<b>13</b>
OVERVIEW	13
STRATEGY	13
KEY FACTORS FOR SUCCESS	13
OUTLOOK FOR NEXT YEAR	14
PROPERTIES	14
<b>STATEMENT OF RESERVES DATA AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION</b>	<b>18</b>
DISCLOSURE OF RESERVES DATA	18
<i>RESERVES DATA (CONSTANT PRICES AND COSTS)</i>	19
<i>RESERVES DATA (FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS)</i>	21
DEFINITIONS AND OTHER NOTES	22
PRICING ASSUMPTIONS	26
RECONCILIATIONS OF CHANGES IN RESERVES AND FUTURE NET REVENUE	28
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATING TO RESERVES DATA	29
OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION	30
<b>CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF PC</b>	<b>34</b>
COMMON SHARES	34
PC EXCHANGEABLE SHARES	34
<b>INFORMATION RELATING TO THE TRUST</b>	<b>36</b>
TRUST INDENTURE	36
NPI AGREEMENTS	40
DISTRIBUTION REINVESTMENT AND UNIT PURCHASE PLAN	41
DISTRIBUTION POLICY	41
DISTRIBUTIONS	41
CREDIT FACILITY <input type="checkbox"/> LIMITATIONS ON DISTRIBUTIONS	41
STABILITY RATING	42
<b>DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>AUDIT COMMITTEE MANDATE AND TERMS OF REFERENCE</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>PRICE RANGE AND TRADING VOLUME OF TRUST UNITS</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>ESCROWED SECURITIES</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>RISK FACTORS</b>	<b>48</b>

---

<b>INDUSTRY REGULATIONS</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>MATERIAL CONTRACTS</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>INTEREST OF EXPERTS</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>64</b>

**INFORMATION PREPARED BY PETROFUND CORP.**

The information contained in this annual information form has been prepared by Petrofund Corp., who manages the Trust.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Certain statements contained in this annual information form constitute forward-looking statements. The use of any of the words anticipate, continue, estimate, expect, may, project, should, believe and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements. The Trust and PC believe the expectations reflected in those forward-looking statements are reasonable but no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct and such forward-looking statements included in this annual report should not be unduly relied upon. These statements speak only as of the date of this annual information form.

In particular, this annual information form contains forward-looking statements pertaining to the following:

- the size of the Trust's oil and natural gas reserves;
- the net present value of future net revenue from the Trust's oil and natural gas reserves;
- projections of market prices and costs;
- projections of currency exchange rates and inflation rates;
- anticipated distributions on units of the Trust and the payout ratio;
- capital expenditures and the timing thereof;
- the source of funding for capital expenditures;
- abandonment and reclamation costs and the source of funding for such costs;

- the taxability of the Trust and PC;
- the Trust's expectation as to production of oil and natural gas;
- supply and demand for oil and natural gas;
- the Trust's expectations with respect to acquisitions and the properties obtained thereunder;
- expectations regarding the ability to raise capital and to continually add to reserves through acquisitions and development;
- treatment under governmental regulatory regimes; and
- the potential impact to the Trust of the Kyoto Protocol.

The actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of the risk factors set forth below and elsewhere in this annual information form:

- volatility in market prices for oil and natural gas;
- liabilities inherent in oil and gas operations;
- uncertainties associated with estimating reserves;
- competition for, among other things, capital, acquisitions of reserves, undeveloped lands and skilled personnel;
- incorrect assessments of the value of acquisitions;

- geological, technical, drilling and processing problems; and

- 

the other factors described under **Business Risks** in this annual information form and in the annual report.

These factors should not be construed as exhaustive. Except as required by applicable securities laws, neither the Trust nor PC undertakes any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

#### **DOLLAR AMOUNTS**

Unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts set out in this annual information form are in Canadian dollars.

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following terms used herein have the meanings set out below:

<b>AECO:</b>	The regional pricing hub for natural gas located at the storage facilities of Alberta Energy Company near Medicine Hat, Alberta.
<b>Aggregate Equivalent Vote Amount:</b>	With respect to any matter, proposition or question on which Unitholders are entitled to vote, consent or otherwise act, the number of votes that the holder of a Special Voting Unit would be entitled to had the holder exchanged all of the PC Exchangeable Shares held by the holder for Units immediately prior to the record date set for any such meeting.
<b>Bbl:</b>	Barrel.
<b>Bcf:</b>	Billions of cubic feet.
<b>Board or Board of Directors:</b>	The board of directors of PC.
<b>Boe:</b>	Barrels of oil equivalent, using a conversion factor of 6 Mcf of gas being equivalent to one Bbl of oil and one Bbl of NGLs being equivalent to one Bbl of oil.
<b>Boepd:</b>	Barrels of oil equivalent per day.
<b>Bpd:</b>	Barrels of oil or NGLs per day.
<b>Cash Retraction Notice:</b>	A notice to redeem PC Exchangeable Shares exercisable for a period of 5 business days from the date of expiry of the subject Dividend Period.
<b>Current Market Price:</b>	In respect of a Unit on any date, the weighted average trading price of a Unit on the TSX for the 10 trading days preceding that date.
<b>Distribution Payment Date:</b>	Each date on which a distribution is paid to Unitholders.
<b>Distribution Record Date:</b>	In respect of any distribution, the day on which Unitholders are identified for purposes of determining entitlement to such distribution.
<b>Dividend Period:</b>	A period within two business days of a Distribution Payment Date.
<b>Drip Price:</b>	In respect of a Unit on any Valuation Date, the most recently applicable price at which a holder of a Unit is entitled to purchase a Unit in respect of the Distribution to which the subject Valuation Date relates pursuant to any distribution re-investment plan which Petrofund may have in effect on such Valuation Date and which is available to the holders of Units generally.
<b>Exchange Ratio:</b>	At any time and in respect of each PC Exchangeable Share, shall initially be equal to one, and provided that PC shall not have declared a dividend in respect of the subject Dividend Period, shall be cumulatively increased on the expiry date of each Dividend Period by an amount equal to the (i) fraction having as its numerator the Per Share Dividend Amount relating to the subject expired Dividend Period, and having as its denominator the Current Market Price on the Valuation Date, or (ii) in the event that: (a) as at the subject Valuation Date, the Trust has in place a distribution re-investment plan which is available to the holders of Units generally, and (b) the holder has not delivered a Cash Retraction Notice in respect of the Distribution to which the expired Dividend Period relates within the time

period provided for, the fraction having as its numerator the Per Share Dividend Amount relating to the subject expired Dividend Period, and having as its denominator the Drip Price in effect as at the Valuation Date.



<b>gj:</b>	Gigajoule.
<b>GLJ:</b>	GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd., independent oil and gas reservoir engineers of Calgary, Alberta.
<b>GLJ Report:</b>	The report prepared by GLJ dated February 9, 2006 with respect to the petroleum, natural gas and NGL reserves of PC effective as at December 31, 2005.
<b>Internalization Transaction:</b>	The transaction approved at the annual and special meeting of Unitholders held on April 16, 2003, under which management of the Trust was internalized through the acquisition by PC of all of the issued and outstanding shares of NCEP Management and the consequent elimination of all management, acquisition and disposition fees payable to NCEP Management.
<b>Mbbls:</b>	Thousands of barrels.
<b>Mboe:</b>	Thousands of barrels of oil equivalent.
<b>Mcf:</b>	Thousands of cubic feet.
<b>Mcfpd:</b>	Thousands of cubic feet per day.
<b>mlt:</b>	Thousand long tons.
<b>MMboe:</b>	Millions of barrels of oil equivalent.
<b>MMBtu:</b>	Millions of British Thermal Units
<b>MMcf:</b>	Millions of cubic feet.
<b>MMcfpd:</b>	Millions of cubic feet per day.
<b>M\$:</b>	Thousands of dollars.
<b>MM\$:</b>	Millions of dollars.
<b>NCE Services or NMSI:</b>	NCE Management Services Inc.
<b>netback:</b>	The amount received from the sale of a barrel of oil, or barrel of oil equivalent, after the deduction of operating costs, royalty payments, cash hedging costs, and transportation expenses.
<b>NGL or NGLs:</b>	Natural gas liquids.
<b>NPI Agreements</b>	The PC NPI Agreement and the PVT NPI Agreement.
<b>PC</b>	Petrofund Corp.
<b>PC Exchangeable Share Provisions:</b>	The rights, privileges and conditions attaching to the PC Exchangeable Shares set forth in the Articles of PC.
<b>PC Exchangeable Shares:</b>	Non voting exchangeable shares in the capital of PC.
<b>PC NPI Agreement:</b>	The amended and restated NPI agreement dated November 8, 2005, and made effective October 1, 2005, and made between PC and the Trust.
<b>PC Support Voting and Exchange Agreement:</b>	The agreement dated April 29, 2003, between PC, the Trust, 1518274 Ontario Limited ("Exchangeco"), and Petro Assets whereby PC agrees to take certain actions and make certain payments and deliveries necessary to

ensure that the Trust and Exchangeco will be able to make certain payments and to deliver or cause to be delivered Units in satisfaction of the obligations of the Trust and Exchangeco under the PC Exchangeable Share Provisions and the Voting Shareholder Agreement.

**Per Share Dividend Amount:**

A distribution relating to the subject Distribution Payment Date multiplied by the Exchange Ratio.

<b>Petro Assets:</b>	Petro Assets Inc.
<b>Petrofund or the Trust:</b>	Petrofund Energy Trust.
<b>Previous Manager:</b>	NCE Petrofund Management Corp., the previous manager of the Trust.
<b>Properties:</b>	The interests, including working interests, royalty interests, and unit interests, in petroleum and natural gas rights held by PC and PVT.
<b>PVT:</b>	Petrofund Ventures Trust, a wholly owned subsidiary trust of Petrofund formally known as Ultima Ventures Trust.
<b>PVT NPI Agreement:</b>	The amended and restated NPI agreement dated November 8, 2005, and made effective October 1, 2005, and made between PC, as trustee of PVT, and the Trust.
<b>Redemption Date:</b>	The date which is 60 days after the date of delivery of a Redemption Notice.
<b>Redemption Price:</b>	A price per PC Exchangeable Share equal to the amount determined by multiplying the Exchange Ratio on the last business day prior to the applicable Redemption Date by the current market price on the last Business Day prior to such Redemption Date.
<b>Retracted Shares:</b>	Means the number of PC Exchangeable Shares redeemed in accordance with a Cash Retraction Notice.
<b>Retraction Date:</b>	The date that is 5 Business days after the date on which PC receives a retraction request in respect of the Retracted Shares.
<b>Special Resolution:</b>	A resolution approved in writing by Unitholders holding not less than 66 2/3% of the outstanding Trust Units or passed by a majority of not less than 66 2/3% of the votes cast, either in person or by proxy, at a meeting of the Unitholders called for the purpose of approving such resolution.
<b>Tax Act:</b>	Income Tax Act (Canada), as amended.
<b>TSX:</b>	Toronto Stock Exchange.
<b>Trustee:</b>	Computershare Trust Company of Canada, as trustee of the Trust.
<b>Trust Indenture:</b>	The amended and restated trust indenture made as of November 16, 2004, between PC and the Trustee.
<b>Trust Unit or Unit:</b>	A trust unit created pursuant to the Trust Indenture and representing a fractional undivided interest in the Trust.
<b>Ultima:</b>	Ultima Energy Trust.
<b>Unitholder:</b>	A holder from time to time of Trust Units.
<b>Valuation Date:</b>	The first Business Day following the Distribution Record Date in respect of the Distribution to which the expired Dividend Period

relates.

**Voting Shareholder Agreement:**

The voting shareholder agreement made as of April 29, 2003, as amended as of April 12, 2004, between PC and Petrofund relating to, among other things, the election of the Board of Directors.

**Boes may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A Boe conversion ratio of 6 Mcf/1 Bbl is based on energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.**

**PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST**  
**ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005**  
**DATED MARCH 15, 2006**

**PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST**

**General**

The Trust is an open-ended investment trust created under the laws of the Province of Ontario on December 18, 1988 under the name "NCE Petrofund I". Active operations commenced March 3, 1989. On July 4, 1996, the name of the Trust was changed to "NCE Petrofund" and on November 1, 2003 the name was changed to its present name of "Petrofund Energy Trust". Effective September 7, 2001, the Trustee became the trustee of the Trust. The Trust is currently governed by the Trust Indenture. The executive office, head office and operations of the Trust are located at Suite 600, 444 - 7th Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta, T2P 0X8.

The Trust's primary source of income is from 99% net royalty interests granted by PC pursuant to the PC NPI Agreement and by PVT pursuant to the PVT NPI Agreement. The Trust may also purchase directly or indirectly securities of oil and gas companies, oil and gas properties and other related assets.

PC, formerly named NCE Petrofund Corp., was incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* (Alberta) on March 17, 1988. PC acquires and manages producing oil and gas properties in western Canada. Pursuant to the PC NPI Agreement, the Trust receives a 99% net royalty interest in the oil and gas properties of PC. All of the issued and outstanding voting shares of PC are held by the Trust. The capital structure of PC also includes PC Exchangeable Shares. As at December 31, 2005 there were 283,025 PC Exchangeable Shares issued and outstanding, which were issued in connection with the Internalization Transaction. As at December 31, 2005, the PC Exchangeable Shares were exchangeable into 388,147 Trust Units, based on a ratio which is adjusted on each date the Trust pays a distribution to its Unitholders. The PC Exchangeable Shares are not listed securities on any stock exchange. See "Capital Structure of PC" for a description of the attributes of the PC Exchangeable Shares.

PVT is a trust created under the laws of the Province of Alberta on August 31, 1997. Following completion of the business combination of the Trust and Ultima pursuant to which on June 16, 2004 the Trust acquired all of the assets of Ultima, the sole beneficiary of PVT is the Trust. PVT was established for the purpose of, and its business is restricted to, purchasing, holding, operating and divesting petroleum, natural gas and related hydrocarbons and related facility interests including the development of petroleum and natural gas, the transportation, processing, marketing and sale thereafter and all business operations incidental or in anyway related to the foregoing. PC is presently the trustee of PVT. Pursuant to the PVT NPI Agreement, the Trust receives a 99% net royalty interest in the oil and gas properties of PVT.

Each Trust Unit represents an equal undivided beneficial interest in the assets of the Trust. Historically, the Trust's activities have been focused on the acquisition of net royalties from PC and, more recently, from PVT. For each property for which a net royalty is granted by PC or PVT, the Trust receives 99% of the revenue generated by the

property net of operating costs, debt service charges, general and administrative costs and certain other taxes and charges. The Trust distributes to its Unitholders a majority of its cash flow in the form of monthly distributions, part of which is on a tax-advantaged basis. Cash flow includes royalty income and may include cash flow generated by properties and interests not currently subject to the Trust's net royalty interests.


**Subsidiaries**

The following are the names, the percentage of voting securities, and the jurisdiction governing the Trust's material subsidiaries and trusts, either direct or indirect, as at the date hereof:

	Percentage of voting securities (directly or indirectly)	Nature of Entity	Jurisdiction of Incorporation/ Formation
Petrofund Corp.	100%	Corporation	Alberta
Petrofund Ventures Trust	100%	Trust	Alberta

**Organizational Structure**

The following chart shows the structure of the Trust and its material subsidiaries at the date hereof:



**Notes:**

(1)

As at December 31, 2005, the Trust also had a total of 283,025 PC Exchangeable Shares outstanding that were exchangeable for 388,147 Trust Units.

(2)

Held by Petrofund Corp. as trustee for Petrofund Ventures Trust.

## GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS OF THE TRUST

### General

The Trust was initially formed as a closed-end royalty trust for the purposes of acquiring royalty interests from PC. Effective February 2, 1999, the Trust was converted to an open-ended investment trust. The Trust Indenture, NPI Agreement and related agreements were amended to: (i) permit the Trust and PC to acquire, directly or indirectly, interests in resource issuers and/or resource properties and other related assets; (ii) remove



certain financing restrictions applicable to the Trust and PC to permit the Trust and PC, subject to certain limitations, to raise or issue capital in connection with, or to finance, such acquisitions, either through the issuance of Trust Units or other equity or debt securities of the Trust or PC or through borrowing; and (iii) provide that Unitholders have the right to cause the Trust to redeem their Trust Units in certain circumstances.

Effective November 1, 2000, the Trust acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of PC from a subsidiary of the Previous Manager for nominal consideration, resulting in PC becoming a wholly-owned direct subsidiary of the Trust. This change simplified the structure of the Trust and related entities and allows the Trust to present consolidated financial statements which fully reflect the assets and liabilities of the Trust and PC.

In conjunction with PC becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Trust, the corporate governance of the Trust was changed so that the stewardship of the Trust and PC was undertaken by the Board of Directors of PC.

On March 10, 2003, the Trust entered into an agreement to internalize its management structure such that the Previous Manager, the then manager of the Trust, became a wholly-owned subsidiary of PC. Unitholder and regulatory approval of the Internalization Transaction was received at the annual and special meeting of Unitholders held on April 16, 2003. As a result of the Internalization Transaction, all management, acquisition and disposition fees payable to the Previous Manager were eliminated effective January 1, 2003. The cost of the Internalization Transaction was \$30.9 million, including \$2.5 million of transaction costs. The purchase price for the shares of the Previous Manager was satisfied by the issuance of 1,939,147 PC Exchangeable Shares plus a cash amount per PC Exchangeable Share equal to the distributions paid or payable per Trust Unit by the Trust to Unitholders of record from and after January 1, 2003 up to and including the closing date. In addition, at closing, PC paid \$3.4 million in cash to fund the repayment of a debt owing by the Previous Manager and, in addition, certain senior executives of the Previous Manager were paid \$780,000 in cash and issued 100,244 Trust Units plus an amount per Trust Unit equal to the distributions per Trust Unit paid to Unitholders of record of Trust Units during the period commencing on January 1, 2003 and ending on the closing date.

Management of the Trust is presently carried out by directors, officers and other employees of PC.

## Financings

During the last three years, the Trust completed the following public offerings of Trust Units:

Date	Trust Units	Price	Gross Proceeds
May, 2003	9,200,000	\$10.60	\$97,520,000
December, 2003	6,600,000	\$16.20	\$106,920,000
June, 2005	4,150,000	\$18.25	\$75,737,500
November, 2005	12,500,000 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$20.00	\$250,000,000

### Note:

(1)

12,500,000 Subscription Receipts were issued. On December 15, 2005, these Subscription Receipts were automatically converted into Trust Units on a one-for-one basis.

In addition, on June 16, 2004, 26,449,102 Trust Units were issued to purchase Ultima.

**Acquisitions**

The following is a description of significant acquisitions made by PC in the last three completed financial years.

## **2003**

### *Solaris*

Effective January 1, 2003, PC acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of Solaris Oil & Gas Inc. ("Solaris"), and on February 7, 2003, amalgamated Solaris in PC. PC paid \$7.4 million in cash, and assumed debt and negative working capital of \$1.2 million, for a total cost of the oil and gas properties of \$8.6 million.

### *Property Package*

In the second quarter of 2003, PC closed the acquisition of a diverse group of oil and gas properties for \$61.7 million after adjustment. The purchase was accretive to distributable cash flow; production from the properties was approximately 2,300 Boepd of which 42% was gas. The properties contained a large percentage of unit production.

### *Swan Hills*

On August 21, 2003, PC purchased a 7.22% interest in Swan Hills Unit #1 for \$37.1 million from a private Canadian company. This acquisition increased the Trust's interest in the unit, bringing the Trust's total interest in the unit to 9.87%. This acquisition added approximately 1,100 Boepd of production.

## **2004**

### *Ultima Energy Trust*

On June 16, 2004, PC acquired Ultima. Under the terms of the agreement, each Ultima unit was effectively exchanged for 0.442 of a Trust Unit on a tax-deferred rollover basis and PC acquired all the assets and assumed all of the liabilities of Ultima. Ultima unitholders also received an aggregate \$10 million one-time special distribution from Ultima of \$0.167113 per Ultima unit on June 15, 2004. The aggregate cost of the transaction was \$454.7 million consisting of 26.4 million Petrofund Trust units valued at \$17.12 per unit, which was the weighted average trading price of the Units for the period commencing five days before and ending five days after the acquisition was announced, the assumption of debt and negative working capital of \$104.4 million and transaction costs incurred by the Trust of \$1.9 million.

Production from the Ultima properties from January 1, 2004, to the date of closing was approximately 9,900 Boepd of which 78% was oil and natural gas liquids.

The major properties acquired were Weyburn, Spirit River, Cherhill, Kerrobert, and Westeros. The Weyburn and Kerrobert properties have common ownership with Petrofund's existing holdings. Ultima's properties were held either by Ultima Energy Inc., as trustee for Ultima, or by Ultima Ventures Corp., as trustee for Ultima Ventures Trust, now PVT, a wholly owned subsidiary of Ultima Energy Trust. Ultima Energy Inc. was amalgamated into PC and Ultima Ventures Corp. was wound up and dissolved into PC, and all properties have now been transferred to and are held by PC on behalf of Petrofund, or PVT, now a wholly owned subsidiary of Petrofund.

## **2005**

### *Northern Crown*

Effective April 1, 2005, PC acquired all of the outstanding common shares of Northern Crown Petroleum Ltd. ("Northern Crown"), and on May 10, 2005, Northern Crown was dissolved and, pursuant to the terms of a distribution of assets and assumption of liabilities agreement, PC acquired all the assets and assumed

all of the liabilities of Northern Crown. PC paid an aggregate cost of \$32.7 million, including the assumption of debt and negative working capital. The acquisition was synergetic to Petrofund with the major properties acquired being located in the Bruce, Drowning Ford, Dyberg, Leduc, Macleod, Shaw Lake, and Siebert Lake areas of Alberta and the Browning, Florence, Hastings, and Steelman areas of Saskatchewan. The Leduc property and the Southeast Saskatchewan properties are contiguous with Petrofund's existing properties in those areas. The properties are complementary to Petrofund's internal development program as they contain considerable upside potential.

#### *Tahiti*

Effective May 1, 2005, PC acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Tahiti Gas Ltd. ("Tahiti"), and on May 31, 2005, Tahiti was dissolved and, pursuant to the terms of a distribution of assets and assumption of liabilities agreement, PC acquired all the assets and assumed all of the liabilities of Tahiti. The aggregate cost of the transaction was \$23.4 million, including the assumption of debt and negative working capital. The acquisition was synergetic to Petrofund, as Tahiti's major properties are located in July Lake and Helmet areas of British Columbia where Petrofund has an existing operating base. The properties are also complementary to Petrofund's internal development program as they contain considerable upside potential.

#### *Kaiser Energy Ltd.*

Effective December 1, 2005, PC acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Kaiser Energy Ltd. (Kaiser). Following the acquisition, which was completed on December 15, 2005, Kaiser was dissolved and, pursuant to the terms of a distribution of assets and assumption of liabilities agreement, PC acquired all the assets and assumed all of the liabilities of Kaiser. The estimated aggregate cost of the transaction was \$471.9 million, including the assumption of debt and negative working capital.

The transaction increased Petrofund's production by 14% to approximately 42,500 boepd. The almost 100% gas weighting of the acquired production strengthened Petrofund, by realigning the commodity balance to a 50% oil and 50% natural gas production ratio. Kaiser's assets also include 55,000 net acres of highly prospective undeveloped land on which Petrofund has already identified 166 (net) low to medium risk development drilling opportunities, which are expected to contribute positively to Petrofund's production in 2006, and thereafter.

The major properties acquired were Berland River, Drumheller, Herronton, Mitsue, Ribstone, Strachan, and Sugden. The Strachan property has common ownership with Petrofund's existing holdings.

### **SIGNIFICANT ACQUISITIONS AND SIGNIFICANT DISPOSITIONS**

There were no significant acquisitions or significant dispositions by the Trust or any significant probable acquisition by the Trust within or since the completion of the most recently completed financial year of the Trust except for the purchase of the shares of Kaiser Energy Ltd. (the "Acquisition") as described above under "General Development of the Business of the Trust - Acquisitions".

The Corporation filed a Form 51-102F4 dated January 27, 2006 in respect of the Acquisition (the "Business Acquisition Report") on SEDAR, which Business Acquisition Report is incorporated herein by this reference.

**RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

There have been no significant or material developments concerning the Trust since the completion of the most recently completed financial year of the Trust.

## BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

### Overview

PC acquires, manages and disposes of petroleum and natural gas property rights and interests. As of December 31, 2005, PC's principal properties were located in Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba and Saskatchewan. PC primarily produces light and medium oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids. As at December 31, 2005, PC's asset base included proved plus probable gross reserves (before deduction of royalties and without including any royalty interests) of 88.5MMbbls of oil, 389Bcf of natural gas and 9.0MMbbls of natural gas liquids based on forecast prices and cost assumptions, and an inventory of undeveloped land totalling 663,250 gross acres and 309,924 net acres. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information - Disclosure of Reserves Data" and "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information - Properties With No Attributed Reserves".

One of PC's ongoing objectives is to enhance reserves and production through acquisitions. With respect to acquisitions, PC operates in a competitive environment with both large and small competitors.

### Strategy

The Trust's objective is to maximize value and sustainability for its Unitholders. The Trust intends to execute its business strategy by:

- continuing to pursue selected acquisitions that meet its portfolio acquisition criteria;
- continuing to develop its existing properties to enhance production and increase reserves;
- maintaining a balanced portfolio of geographically and geologically diversified oil and gas properties;
- controlling costs through efficient operation of existing and acquired properties;
- maintaining a capital structure that provides flexibility in accessing debt and capital markets; and
- managing commodity price risk when appropriate through hedging agreements that will increase the level of predictability in prices for its oil and gas production.

**Key Factors for Success**

The success of the Trust in meeting its objectives lies in management's ability to positively influence three main factors:

- identify, pursue and acquire oil and gas properties and/or companies at prices that add value to the Trust;
- cost effectively add or extend reserves with farmouts and internal development and drilling; and
- manage and contain costs.

PC's ability to achieve these three factors depends mainly on the experience, knowledge, and capability of the management team. In addition to the factors over which management has influence, there are numerous other factors beyond management's control which will influence the success of the organization. These other potential risks are identified in the Risk Factors section of this document.



## Outlook for Next Year

The level of cash flow for 2006 will be affected by oil and gas prices, the \$US/\$CDN exchange rate, and the Trust's ability to add reserves and production in a cost effective manner. Both product prices and the exchange rate showed significant volatility in 2005 and this trend is expected to continue in 2006. The acquisition market is expected to continue to be active and supply should be stable with recent release of a number of large property packages by various large producers. Nevertheless, competition for these assets is expected to be intense due to increased demand resulting from the increasing number of oil and gas companies that have converted to a trust structure. We expect prices for quality, long life assets to be at or near record levels. Petrofund expects to be an active participant in this market but success will be tempered by commitment to maintain historic discipline and bid only at levels consistent with the best long term interest of our unitholders.

Acquisition activities will be complemented by an extensive drilling and farmout program that will be conducted on our existing land base.

Although product prices have remained at high levels, the strength of the Canadian dollar in 2005 significantly moderated the net effect of these prices on Petrofund's cash flow. The exchange rate US\$/CDN\$ averaged \$0.8254 in 2005, as compared to \$0.769 in 2004, reaching a high of \$0.8751 on December 14, 2005. We expect the Canadian dollar to remain fairly strong throughout 2006.

Petrofund pursues a well defined risk management program to help offset the effect of price fluctuations. This program utilizes collars as the main hedging tool but Petrofund also enters into fixed price transactions when commodity prices approach historic highs. To date, the Trust has not entered into any currency related transactions.

## Properties

The following is a summary of PC's and PVT's properties as at December 31, 2005. Unless otherwise specified, gross and net acres and well count information are as at December 31, 2005, and all references to PC refer, collectively, to both PC and PVT. Gross reserve amounts are stated, before deduction of royalties and without including any royalty interests, as at December 31, 2005, based on forecast costs and price assumptions as evaluated in the GLJ Report. The estimates of reserves and future net revenue for individual properties may not reflect the same confidence level as estimates of reserves and future net revenue for all properties, due to the effects of aggregation.

Property Name	Operator	Average Working Interest	Major Product	2005	Proved Plus
				Average Production (Boepd)	Probable Gross Reserves (Mboe)
Weyburn	EnCana Oil & Gas Partnership	21%	Oil	5,686	41,557
Swan Hills	Various	11%	Oil	1,903	11,001
Pembina	PC and Others	35%	Oil & Gas	1,173	8,330
Kerrobert	PC	90%	Oil & Gas	1,903	6,050

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Strachan	PC and Others	75%	Gas	1,066	5,397
July Lake	PC and CNRL	50%	Gas	1,556	5,204
Fort Saskatchewan	PC	95%	Gas	1,155	4,795
Three Hills Creek	PC	60%	Gas	1,123	4,346
Berland River	PC and Burlington	85%	Gas	115	4,176
Willesden Green	PC and Penn West	75%	Oil & Gas	841	3,959
Others	Various	Various	Oil & Gas	20,470	67,475
	Total			36,991	162,290

***Weyburn, Saskatchewan***

The Weyburn Unit, operated by EnCana Oil & Gas Partnership, is situated 30 kilometres south of Weyburn in southeast Saskatchewan and is the third largest conventional oil pool in Western Canada. PC's ownership in this unit is 21%, including an 11.7136% net royalty interest that is treated as a working interest as PC takes its production in kind and pays its share of capital costs, operating costs, royalties, and abandonment costs.

This unit's reserves life index remains especially long at 19 years due to ongoing enhanced recovery operations by both water and CO<sub>2</sub> flooding. The unit's 2005 production averaged 27,076 Boepd compared to 23,405 Boepd in 2004. The unit's 2005 exit rate was 28,674 Boepd. PC's working interest production averaged 5,686 Boepd in 2005 and 6,022 Boepd in December 2005.

2005 was another very active year for development activity within the unit, with 52 highly successful horizontal infill wells drilled within the CO<sub>2</sub> and waterflood areas. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005 amounted to 41,557 Mboe, comprised of 40,751 Mbbl of oil and 806 Mbbl of NGL.

***Swan Hills, Alberta***

PC's Swan Hills property is located approximately 200 kilometres northwest of Edmonton, Alberta, and includes significant ownership in two major oil units, Swan Hills Unit #1 and House Mountain Unit #1. Both units exhibit long life reserves due to enhanced recovery through water flooding and/or miscible hydrocarbon flooding. In addition, an investigational CO<sub>2</sub> enhanced recovery project has been operating in Swan Hills Unit #1 since 2004. 2005 development activity in Swan Hills Unit #1 included the drilling of 7 infill wells and a significant upgrade of the water injection plant. PC's working interest Swan Hills production averaged 1,903 Boepd in 2005. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, totalled 11,001 Mboe, consisting of 1.9 Bcf of gas, 9,998 Mbbl of oil and 692 Mbbl of NGL.

***Pembina, Alberta***

Located 100 kilometres southwest of Edmonton, Alberta, PC has significant holdings in six non-operated oil units and six operated properties. Four wells were drilled within the non-operated units in 2005. In addition, PC acquired some gas assets in Pembina via its acquisition of Kaiser Energy Ltd. in late 2005. PC's Pembina production averaged 1,173 Boepd in 2005. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, totalled 8,330 Mboe, made up of 9.4 Bcf of gas, 6,243 Mbbl of oil and 521 Mbbl of NGL.

***Kerrobert, Saskatchewan***

PC's Kerrobert property is located approximately 25 kilometres north of Kindersley in west central Saskatchewan. Wells are largely operated, with a working interest averaging approximately 95%. PC drilled 19 wells here in 2005, consisting of 16 oil wells and 3 gas wells. PC's working interest production from this area averaged 1,903 Boepd in 2005. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, were 6,050 Mboe, consisting of 6.4 Bcf of gas, 4,771 Mbbl of oil and 208 Mbbl of NGL.

***Strachan, Alberta***

PC's Strachan property is located approximately 160 kilometres northwest of Calgary, Alberta, and consists of a variety of operated and non-operated producing entities. The Strachan area is recognized for its multiple zone potential, including the Leduc, Nisku, Beaverhill Lake, Ellerslie, Ostracod, Cardium, Viking, and Glauconitic. Petrofund substantially increased its holdings in the Strachan area by acquiring Kaiser Energy Ltd.

in December 2005. PC's Strachan production rate averaged 1,066 Boepd in 2005. Petrofund's 2005 exit production rate was 1,700 Boepd owing to nearly 700 Boepd being added by the Kaiser acquisition. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, were 5,397 Mboe, consisting of 25.2 Bcf of gas, 123 Mbbbl of oil and 1,071 Mbbbl of NGL.

#### ***July Lake, British Columbia***

PC's July Lake gas property is situated about 160 kilometres northeast of Fort Nelson in the extreme northeast portion of British Columbia. PC operates several high working interest gas wells and associated gas gathering and compression facilities, plus has a 34% working interest in 19 non-operated gas wells. In early 2005, PC did a corporate acquisition which added approximately 2 MMcfpd to PC's production base. In addition, Petrofund drilled four 100% working interest horizontal gas wells and constructed a central compressor-dehydration facility in the first quarter of 2005. PC's production averaged 1,556 Boepd in 2005. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, were 5,204 Mboe, consisting of 31.2 Bcf of gas and 12 Mbbbl of NGL.

#### ***Fort Saskatchewan, Alberta***

PC operates its Fort Saskatchewan gas property located immediately east of Edmonton, Alberta. PC's Fort Saskatchewan property includes the Beaverhill Lake Viking Gas Unit #1 and several non-unit wells, most of which produce from a large mature Viking gas pool extending from Fort Saskatchewan, on the west side of the Elk Island National Park, to Beaverhill Lake east of Toefield, Alberta. PC's working interests throughout this area average 97%. During 2005, PC began producing 2 wells drilled in late 2004, one being an infill gas well within the Beaverhill Lake Gas Unit #1 and the other being a well drilled to exploit the deeper Mannville gas horizons. Both wells are excellent producers and accordingly PC is actively looking to identify follow-up locations using recently-run seismic. In addition, PC acquired the Fort Saskatchewan assets of a junior oil and gas company in mid 2005, which added 325 boepd to PC's already significant production base. PC's working interest production averaged 1,155 Boepd in 2005, a 20% increase from 2004. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, amounted to 4,795 Mboe, made up of 28.7 Bcf of gas and 4 Mbbbl of NGL.

#### ***Three Hills Creek, Alberta***

PC's Three Hills Creek property is located approximately 16 km southeast of Red Deer, Alberta. PC has an extensive position in the area covering approximately one hundred sections of land. PC has a high working interest in these lands and operates most of its production. Productive horizons include the Lower Mannville, Blairmore, Viking, Belly River, and Edmonton Sands. During 2005, PC participated in drilling 6 conventional gas wells and 78 coalbed methane gas wells. PC's net production from the area in 2005 averaged 1,123 Boepd (largely gas). PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, were 4,346 Mboe, consisting of 23.7 Bcf of gas, 91 Mbbbl of oil and 312 Mbbbl of NGL.

#### ***Berland River, Alberta***

PC's Berland River property is situated 250 kilometres northeast of Edmonton, Alberta. PC obtained this property by way of its acquisition of Kaiser Energy Ltd. as of December 1, 2005. On an annualized basis, Berland River contributed 115 Boepd to PC's 2005 production base. More importantly, PC's Berland River production for December 2005 was 1,383 Boepd. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, were 4,176 Mboe, consisting of 22.4 Bcf of gas, 49 Mbbbl of oil and 385 Mbbbl of NGL.



***Willesden Green, Alberta***


PC's Willesden Green property is situated approximately 50 kilometres west of Red Deer, Alberta. Willesden Green is a collection of several individual operated and non-operated oil and gas entities. Producing horizons include the Glauconitic (gas) and the Cardium (oil). PC's average working interest production in 2005 was 841 Boepd. PC's total proved plus probable reserves as of December 31, 2005, were 3,957 Mboe, comprised of 6.9 Bcf of gas, 2,628 Mbbbl of oil and 177 Mbbbl of NGL.

The above 10 properties account for approximately 60% of PC's total proved plus probable reserves as at December 31, 2005.

***Other Properties***

Petrofund has various interests in numerous other properties located in Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba and Saskatchewan. Petrofund's proved plus probable reserves for these other properties as at December 31, 2005, amounted to approximately 67,475 Mboe. In total these properties represent approximately 40% of Petrofund's proved plus probable reserves as at December 31, 2005.

A map illustrating the approximate locations of PC's principal properties is set out below:



[click the image to enlarge](#)



## STATEMENT OF RESERVES DATA AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION

The statement of reserves data and other oil and gas information set forth below (the "Statement") is dated February 9, 2006. The effective date of the Statement is December 31, 2005, and the preparation date of the Statement is January 30, 2006. The Report of Management and Directors on Oil and Gas Disclosure in Form 51-101F3, and the Report on Reserves Data by Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator in Form 51-101F2, are attached as Appendices A and B to this Annual Information Form. All references to PC in this Statement refer, collectively, to both PC and PVT.

### Disclosure of Reserves Data

The reserves data set forth below (the "Reserves Data") is based upon an evaluation by GLJ with an effective date of December 31, 2005 contained in the GLJ Report dated February 9, 2006. The Reserves Data summarizes the oil, liquids and natural gas reserves of PC and the net present values of future net revenue for these reserves using constant prices and costs and forecast prices and costs. The Reserves Data conforms with the requirements of National Instrument 51-101 Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities ("NI 51-101"). Additional information not required by NI 51-101 has been presented to provide continuity and additional information which we believe is important to the readers of this information. PC engaged GLJ to provide an evaluation of proved and proved plus probable reserves and no attempt was made to evaluate possible reserves. (As noted PC's reserves data disclosure is made in accordance with Canadian disclosure requirements and may differ from US domestic standards and practices.)

All of PC's reserves are in Canada and, specifically, in the provinces of Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, and Saskatchewan.

**It should not be assumed that the estimates of future net revenue presented in the tables below represent the fair market value of the reserves. There is no assurance that the constant price and cost assumptions and forecast price and cost assumptions will be attained and variances could be material. The recovery and reserve estimates of crude oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas reserves provided herein are estimates only and there is no guarantee that the estimated reserves will be recovered. Actual crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquid reserves may be greater than or less than the estimates provided herein. For more information as to certain risks involved, see "Risk Factors".**

Petrofund is a taxable entity under the Tax Act and is taxable only on income that is not distributed or distributable to the Unitholders. As Petrofund distributes all its taxable income to Unitholders, and meets the requirements of the Tax Act applicable to it, future net revenue after income taxes is not included in the disclosure below.

*Reserves Data (Constant Prices and Costs)*

SUMMARY OF OIL AND GAS RESERVES  
AND NET PRESENT VALUES OF FUTURE NET REVENUE

as of December 31, 2005

CONSTANT PRICES AND COSTS

RESERVES CATEGORY	RESERVES							
	LIGHT AND MEDIUM OIL		HEAVY OIL		NATURAL GAS		NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(MMcft)	(MMcft)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)
<b>PROVED RESERVES</b>								
Developed Producing	53,129	45,663	733	657	262,751	209,898	5,888	4,175
Developed Non-Producing	300	282	0	0	16,607	13,277	261	175
Undeveloped	15,093	12,918	0	0	21,631	17,689	276	186
<b>TOTAL PROVED RESERVES</b>	<b>68,522</b>	<b>58,862</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>657</b>	<b>300,989</b>	<b>240,865</b>	<b>6,425</b>	<b>4,535</b>
<b>PROBABLE</b>	<b>20,470</b>	<b>17,420</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>93,439</b>	<b>75,056</b>	<b>2,704</b>	<b>2,059</b>
<b>TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE</b>	<b>88,992</b>	<b>76,282</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>895</b>	<b>394,428</b>	<b>315,920</b>	<b>9,129</b>	<b>6,594</b>

NET PRESENT VALUES OF FUTURE NET  
REVENUE  
BEFORE INCOME TAXES DISCOUNTED AT  
(%/year)

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	0	5	10	12	15	20
RESERVES CATEGORY	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)
<b>PROVED RESERVES</b>						
Developed Producing	3,321.6	2,485.3	2,009.7	1,871.8	1,701.1	1,483.8
Developed Non-Producing	119.6	81.2	63.0	58.1	52.2	44.9
Undeveloped	563.2	363.7	249.4	217.2	178.4	131.5
<b>TOTAL PROVED RESERVES</b>	<b>4,004.4</b>	<b>2,930.2</b>	<b>2,322.1</b>	<b>2,147.1</b>	<b>1,931.7</b>	<b>1,660.2</b>
<b>PROBABLE</b>	<b>1,401.5</b>	<b>790.0</b>	<b>517.1</b>	<b>448.8</b>	<b>371.1</b>	<b>283.2</b>
<b>TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE</b>	<b>5,405.9</b>	<b>3,720.2</b>	<b>2,839.2</b>	<b>2,595.9</b>	<b>2,302.8</b>	<b>1,943.4</b>

TOTAL FUTURE NET REVENUE

(UNDISCOUNTED)

as of December 31, 2005

CONSTANT PRICES AND COSTS

RESERVES CATEGORY	REVENUE (M\$)	ROYALTIES (M\$)	OPERATING COSTS (M\$)	DEVELOPMENT COSTS (M\$)	WELL ABANDONMENT COSTS (M\$)	FUTURE NET REVENUE BEFORE INCOME TAXES (M\$)
Proved Reserves	7,477,875	1,386,915	1,717,919	290,746	77,842	4,004,454
Proved Plus Probable Reserves	9,788,605	1,820,516	2,120,678	361,757	79,724	5,405,930

FUTURE NET REVENUE

BY PRODUCTION GROUP

as of December 31, 2005

CONSTANT PRICES AND COSTS

RESERVES CATEGORY	PRODUCTION GROUP	FUTURE NET REVENUE BEFORE INCOME TAXES (discounted at 10%/year) (M\$)
Proved Reserves	Light and Medium Crude Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	1,270,825
	Heavy Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	10,922
	Natural Gas (including by-products but excluding solution gas from oil wells)	1,067,266

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	Other Company revenue/costs	(26,920)
Proved Plus Probable Reserves	Light and Medium Crude Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	1,547,678
	Heavy Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	12,927
	Natural Gas (including by-products but excluding solution gas from oil wells)	1,290,487
	Other company revenue/costs	(11,898)

*Reserves Data (Forecast Prices and Costs)*

SUMMARY OF OIL AND GAS RESERVES  
AND NET PRESENT VALUES OF FUTURE NET REVENUE

as of December 31, 2005

FORECAST PRICES AND ESCALATING COSTS

RESERVES CATEGORY	RESERVES							
	LIGHT AND MEDIUM OIL		HEAVY OIL		NATURAL GAS		NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(MMcft)	(MMcft)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)
<b>PROVED RESERVES</b>								
Developed Producing	51,891	44,557	719	644	258,190	206,154	5,765	4,097
Developed Non-Producing	309	289	0	0	16,640	13,307	264	178
Undeveloped	15,139	13,166	0	0	21,695	17,742	275	187
<b>TOTAL PROVED RESERVES</b>	<b>67,338</b>	<b>58,011</b>	<b>719</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>296,526</b>	<b>237,203</b>	<b>6,304</b>	<b>4,462</b>
<b>PROBABLE</b>	<b>20,202</b>	<b>17,265</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>92,146</b>	<b>73,972</b>	<b>2,680</b>	<b>2,046</b>
<b>TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE</b>	<b>87,540</b>	<b>75,276</b>	<b>987</b>	<b>880</b>	<b>388,672</b>	<b>311,174</b>	<b>8,985</b>	<b>6,508</b>

NET PRESENT VALUES OF FUTURE NET REVENUE  
BEFORE INCOME TAXES DISCOUNTED AT (%/year)

RESERVES CATEGORY	0	5	10	12	15	20
-------------------	---	---	----	----	----	----

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)	(MM\$)
PROVED RESERVES						
Producing	2,511.3	1,950.8	1,631.5	1,537.8	1,420.7	1,268.9
Non-Producing	97.8	66.4	52.2	48.5	44.0	38.5
Undeveloped	442.0	280.8	189.9	164.5	134.0	97.4
TOTAL PROVED RESERVES	3,051.1	2,298.0	1,873.6	1,750.8	1,598.7	1,404.8
PROBABLE	1,129.8	627.6	409.7	356.0	295.3	227.3
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	4,180.9	2,925.6	2,283.3	2,106.8	1,894.0	1,632.1

TOTAL FUTURE NET REVENUE

(UNDISCOUNTED)

as of December 31, 2005

FORECAST PRICES AND ESCALATED COSTS

RESERVES CATEGORY	REVENUE (M\$)	ROYALTIES (M\$)	OPERATING COSTS (M\$)	DEVELOPMENT COSTS (M\$)	WELL ABANDONMENT COSTS (M\$)	FUTURE NET REVENUE BEFORE INCOME TAXES (M\$)
Proved Reserves	6,625,165	1,218,162	1,943,720	313,472	98,725	3,051,086
Proved Plus Probable Reserves	8,772,720	1,607,838	2,484,975	391,428	107,554	4,180,924

FUTURE NET REVENUE

BY PRODUCTION GROUP

as of December 31, 2005

FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS

RESERVES CATEGORY	PRODUCTION GROUP	FUTURE NET REVENUE BEFORE INCOME TAXES (discounted at 10%/year) (M\$)
Proved Reserves	Light and Medium Crude Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	1,019,653



Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	Heavy Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	10,209
	Natural Gas (including by-products but excluding solution gas from oil wells)	883,927
	Other company revenue/costs	(40,204)
Proved Plus Probable Reserves	Light and Medium Crude Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	1,244,016
	Heavy Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	12,014
	Natural Gas (including by-products but excluding solution gas from oil wells)	1,056,479
	Other company revenue/costs	(29,210)

**Definitions and Other Notes**

In the tables set forth above in "Disclosure of Reserves Data" and elsewhere in this Annual Information Form the following definitions and other notes are applicable:

1.

*"Gross" means:*

(a)

in relation to PC's interest in production and reserves, its "PC gross reserves", which are PC's interest (operating and non-operating) share before deduction of royalties and without including any royalty interest of PC. The Weyburn 11.7136 percent net royalty interest acquired with the Ultima transaction is treated as a gross interest as PC receives production in kind and is responsible for its share of capital costs, operating costs, royalties and abandonment costs;

(b)

in relation to wells, the total number of wells in which PC has an interest; and

(c)

in relation to properties, the total area of properties in which PC has an interest.

2.

*"Net" means:*

(a)

in relation to PC's interest in production and reserves, its "PC net reserves", which are PC's interest (operating and non-operating) share after deduction of royalty obligations, plus PC's royalty interest in production or reserves.

(b)

in relation to wells, the number of wells obtained by aggregating PC's working interest in each of its gross wells; and

(c)

in relation to PC's interest in a property, the total area in which PC has an interest multiplied by the working interest owned by PC.

3.

Definitions used for reserve categories are as follows:

#### Reserve Categories

Reserves are estimated remaining quantities of oil and natural gas and related substances anticipated to be recoverable from known accumulations, from a given date forward, based on

- 

analysis of drilling, geological, geophysical and engineering data;

- 

the use of established technology; and

- 

specified economic conditions (see the discussion of "Economic Assumptions" below).

Reserves are classified according to the degree of certainty associated with the estimates.

(a)

Proved reserves are those reserves that can be estimated with a high degree of certainty to be recoverable. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the estimated proved reserves.

(b)

Probable reserves are those additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.

"Economic Assumptions" will be the prices and costs used in the estimate, namely: constant prices and

- 

costs as at the last day of PC's financial year

- 

forecast prices and costs

#### Development and Production Status

Each of the reserve categories (proved and probable) may be divided into developed and undeveloped categories:

(a)

Developed reserves are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from existing wells and installed facilities or, if facilities have not been installed, that would involve a low expenditure

(for example, when compared to the cost of drilling a well) to put the reserves on production. The developed category may be subdivided into producing and non-producing.

(i)

Developed producing reserves are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from completion intervals open at the time of the estimate. These reserves may be currently producing or, if shut-in, they must have previously been on production, and the date of resumption of production must be known with reasonable certainty.

(ii)

Developed non-producing reserves are those reserves that either have not been on production, or have previously been on production, but are shut-in, and the date of resumption of production is unknown.

(b)

Undeveloped reserves are those reserves expected to be recovered from known accumulations where a significant expenditure (for example, when compared to the cost of drilling a well) is required to render them capable of production. They must fully meet the requirements of the reserves classification (proved, probable) to which they are assigned.

In multi-well pools it may be appropriate to allocate total pool reserves between the developed and undeveloped categories or to subdivide the developed reserves for the pool between developed producing and developed non-producing. This allocation should be based on the estimator's assessment as to the reserves that will be recovered from specific wells, facilities and completion intervals in the pool and their respective development and production status.

#### Levels of Certainty for Reported Reserves

The qualitative certainty levels referred to in the definitions above are applicable to individual reserve entities (which refers to the lowest level at which reserves calculations are performed) and to reported reserves (which refers to the highest level sum of individual entity estimates for which reserves are presented). Reported reserves should target the following levels of certainty under a specific set of economic conditions:

- 

At least a 90 percent probability that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the estimated proved reserves; and

- 

At least a 50 percent probability that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.

A qualitative measure of the certainty levels pertaining to estimates prepared for the various reserves categories is desirable to provide a clearer understanding of the associated risks and uncertainties. However, the majority of reserves estimates will be prepared using deterministic methods that do not provide a mathematically derived

quantitative measure of probability. In principle, there should be no difference between estimates prepared using probabilistic or deterministic methods.

4.

*Forecast prices and costs*

Future prices and costs that are:

(a)

Generally acceptable as being a reasonable outlook of the future; and

(b)

If and only to the extent that, there are fixed or presently determinable future prices or costs to which PC is legally bound by a contractual or other obligation to supply a physical product,

including those for an extension period of a contract that is likely to be extended, those prices or costs rather than the prices and costs referred to in paragraph (a).

The forecast summary table under "Pricing Assumptions" identifies benchmark reference pricing that apply to PC.

5.

*Constant prices and costs*

Prices and costs used in an estimate that are:

(a)

PC's prices and costs as at the effective date of the estimation, held constant throughout the estimated lives of the properties to which the estimate applies; and

(b)

If, and only to the extent that, there are fixed or presently determinable future prices or costs to which PC is legally bound by a contractual or other obligation to supply a physical product, including those for an extension period of a contract that is likely to be extended, those prices or costs rather than the prices and costs referred to in paragraph (a).

For the purposes of paragraph (a), PC prices are the posted prices for oil and the spot price for gas, after historical adjustments for transportation, gravity and other factors.

6.

The Alberta royalty tax credit ("ARTC") is included in the cumulative cash flow amounts. ARTC is based on the program announced November 1989 by the Alberta government with modifications effective January 1, 1995. PC qualifies for the maximum ARTC.

7.

"Development well" means a well drilled inside the established limits of an oil and gas reservoir, or in close proximity to the edge of the reservoir, to the depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive.

8.

"Development costs" means costs incurred to obtain access to reserves and to provide facilities for extracting, treating, gathering, and storing the oil and gas from reserves. More specifically, development costs, including applicable operating costs of support equipment and facilities and other costs of development activities, are costs incurred to:

(a)

Gain access to and prepare well locations for drilling; including, surveying well locations for the purpose of determining specific development drilling sites, clearing ground, draining, road building, and relocating public roads, gas lines, power lines, pumping equipment and wellhead assembly;

(b)

Drill and equip development wells, development type stratigraphic test wells, and service wells, including the costs of platforms and of well equipment such as casing, tubing, pumping equipment and wellhead assembly;

(c)

Acquire, construct, and install production facilities such as flow lines, separators, treaters, heaters, manifolds, measuring devices and production storage tanks, natural gas cycling and processing plants, and central utility and waste disposal systems; and

(d)

Provide improved recovery systems.

9.

"Exploration well" means a well that is not a development well, a service well or a stratigraphic test well.

10.

"Exploration costs" means costs incurred in identifying areas that may warrant examination and in examining specific areas that are considered to have prospects that may contain oil and gas reserves, including costs of drilling exploratory wells and exploratory type stratigraphic test wells. Exploration costs may be incurred both before acquiring the related property and after acquiring the property. Exploration costs, which include applicable operating costs of support equipment and facilities and other costs of exploration activities, are:

(a)

Costs of topographical, geochemical, geological and geophysical studies, rights of access to properties to conduct those studies, and salaries and other expenses of geologists, geophysical crews and others conducting those studies;

(b)

Costs of carrying and retaining unproved properties, such as delay rentals, taxes (other than income and capital taxes) on properties, legal costs for title defence, and the maintenance of land and lease records;

(c)

Dry hole contributions and bottom hole contributions;

(d)

Costs of drilling and equipping exploratory wells; and

(e)

Costs of drilling exploratory type stratigraphic test wells.

11.

"Service well" means a well drilled or completed for the purpose of supporting production in an existing field. Wells in this class are drilled for the following specific purposes: gas injection (natural gas, propane, butane, or flue gas), water injection, steam injection, air injection, salt water disposal, water supply for injection, observation, or injection for combustion.

12.

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

13.

The estimates of future net revenue presented in the tables above do not represent fair market value.

14.



Estimated further abandonment and reclamation costs related to a property have been taken into account by GLJ in determining reserves that should be attributable to a property and in determining the aggregate future net revenue therefrom, there was deducted the reasonable estimated further well abandonment costs.

15.

Both the constant and forecast price and cost assumptions assume the continuance of current laws and regulations.

16.

The extended character of all factual data supplied to GLJ were accepted by GLJ as represented. No field inspection was conducted.

### **Pricing Assumptions**

The following sets out the benchmark reference prices, as at December 31, 2005, reflected in the Reserves Data.

These price assumptions and exchange rate assumptions were provided to PC by GLJ, PC's independent reserves evaluator.

SUMMARY OF PRICING ASSUMPTIONS

as of December 31, 2005

CONSTANT PRICES AND COSTS

OIL

Year	WTI Cushing Oklahoma (\$US/Bbl)	Edmonton Par Price 40° API (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Hardisty Heavy 12° API (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Cromer Medium 29.3° API (\$Cdn/Bbl)	NATURAL GAS AECO Gas Price (\$Cdn/MMBtu)	Edmonton Propane (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Edmonton Butane (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Edmonton Pentanes Plus (\$Cdn/Bbl)	EXCHANGE RATE((1) (\$US/\$Cdn)
As at December 31, 2005	61.04	68.27	28.25	51.84	9.71	43.69	50.52	71.67	0.8577

Note:

(1)

The exchange rate used to generate the benchmark reference prices in this table.

SUMMARY OF PRICING AND INFLATION RATE ASSUMPTIONS

as of December 31, 2005

FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS

OIL

NATURAL

GAS

Year	Edmonton WTI Cushing Oklahoma (\$US/Bbl)	Edmonton Par Price 40° API (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Hardisty Heavy 12° API (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Cromer Medium 29.3° API (\$Cdn/Bbl)	NATURAL GAS AECO Gas Price (\$Cdn/MMBtu)	Edmonton Propane (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Edmonton Butane (\$Cdn/Bbl)	Edmonton Pentanes Plus (\$Cdn/Bbl)	EXCHANGE RATE(1) (\$US/\$Cdn)	INFLATION RATES(2) (%/Year)
------	--	--	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Forecast:

2006	57.00	66.25	33.25	55.75	10.60	42.50	49.00	67.00	0.85	2.0
2007	55.00	64.00	32.75	55.25	9.25	41.00	47.25	65.25	0.85	2.0
2008	51.00	59.25	32.50	51.25	8.00	38.00	43.75	60.50	0.85	2.0
2009	48.00	55.75	32.00	48.25	7.50	35.75	41.25	56.75	0.85	2.0
2010	46.50	54.00	32.00	46.75	7.20	34.50	40.00	55.00	0.85	2.0
2011	45.00	52.25	33.50	45.25	6.90	33.50	38.75	53.25	0.85	2.0
2012	45.00	52.25	33.50	45.25	6.90	33.50	38.75	53.25	0.85	2.0
2013	46.00	53.25	34.00	46.00	7.05	34.00	39.50	54.25	0.85	2.0
2014	46.75	54.25	34.75	47.00	7.20	34.75	40.25	55.25	0.85	2.0
2015	47.75	55.50	35.25	48.00	7.40	35.50	41.00	56.50	0.85	2.0
2016	48.75	56.50	36.00	48.75	7.55	36.25	41.75	57.75	0.85	2.0

Notes:

(1)

Exchange rates used to generate the benchmark reference prices in this table.

(2)

Inflation rates for forecasting prices and costs. Prices escalate 2.0% in 2017 and thereafter.

PC's weighted average prices received in 2005 after transportation and quality differentials were \$61.54/Bbl for oil, \$9.02/Mcf for natural gas, and \$52.98/Bbl for natural gas liquids.

**Reconciliations of Changes in Reserves and Future Net Revenue**

RECONCILIATION OF  
COMPANY NET RESERVES  
BY PRINCIPAL PRODUCT TYPE  
CONSTANT PRICES AND COSTS

FACTORS	LIGHT AND MEDIUM OIL			HEAVY OIL			ASSOCIATED AND NON ASSOCIATED GAS		
	Net Proved	Net Probable	Net Proved Plus Probable	Net Proved	Net Probable	Net Proved Plus Probable	Net Proved	Net Probable	Net Proved Plus Probable
	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(MMcf)	(MMcf)	(MMcf)
December 31, 2004	59,740	16,319	76,059	872	210	1,082	183,628	45,682	229,310
Extensions	47	-21	25	0	0	0	3,817	1,891	5,708
Improved Recovery	3,883	907	4,790	4	0	4	8,683	1,776	10,458
Technical Revisions	682	-52	629	-149	-19	-168	-6,851	-3,637	-10,488
Discoveries	16	4	20	0	0	0	792	362	1,154
Acquisitions	263	124	386	20	50	70	76,582	28,543	105,125
Dispositions	-282	-55	-337	0	0	0	-86	-18	-103
Economic Factors	-86	195	109	-3	-3	-6	2,073	456	2,529
Production	-5,400	0	-5,400	-87	0	-87	-27,773	0	-27,773
December 31, 2005	58,862	17,420	76,282	657	238	895	240,865	75,055	315,920

FACTORS	NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS			BARRELS OF OIL EQUIVALENT		
	Net Proved	Net Probable	Net Proved Plus Probable	Net Proved	Net Probable	Net Proved Plus Probable
	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mbbbl)	(Mboe)	(Mboe)	(Mboe)
December 31, 2004	4,477	1,742	6,219	95,694	25,884	121,578
Extensions	16	5	20	698	298	996
Improved Recovery	109	27	136	5,443	1,229	6,672
Technical Revisions	-82	-34	-116	-692	-710	-1,402
Discoveries	1	1	2	149	65	214
Acquisitions	658	307	965	13,704	5,238	18,942
Dispositions	-29	-5	-34	-325	-63	-388
Economic Factors	35	16	51	292	284	576
Production	-649	0	-649	-10,764	0	-10,764
December 31, 2005	4,536	2,058	6,594	104,199	32,225	136,424

RECONCILIATION OF CHANGES IN  
NET PRESENT VALUES OF FUTURE NET REVENUE  
DISCOUNTED AT 10% PER YEAR  
PROVED RESERVES  
CONSTANT PRICES AND COSTS

PERIOD AND FACTOR

2005

(M\$)

Estimated Net Present Value Before Tax at Beginning of Year	1,156,672
Oil and Gas Sales During the Period <sup>(1)</sup>	(481,790)
Changes due to Prices, Production Costs and Royalties Related to Forecast Production <sup>(2)</sup>	1,001,636
Development Costs During the Period <sup>(3)</sup>	136,200
Changes in Forecast Development Costs <sup>(4)</sup>	(178,330)
Changes Resulting from Extensions and Improved Recovery <sup>(5)</sup>	137,275

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Changes Resulting from Discoveries <sup>(5)</sup>	3,521
Changes Resulting from Acquisitions of Reserves <sup>(5)</sup>	317,374
Changes Resulting from Dispositions of Reserves <sup>(5)</sup>	(6,933)
Accretion of Discount <sup>(6)</sup>	115,667
Net Change in Income Taxes <sup>(7)</sup>	N/A
Changes Resulting from Technical Reserves Revisions	20,926
All Other Changes <sup>(8)</sup>	99,874
Estimated Net Present Value Before Tax at End of Period	2,322,092

**Notes:**

- (1)  
Company actual before income taxes, excluding G&A
- (2)  
The impact of changes in prices and other economic factors on future net revenue
- (3)  
Actual capital expenditures relating to the exploration and development and production of oil and gas reserves
- (4)  
The change in forecast development costs

(5)

End of period net present value of the related reserves

(6)

Estimated as 10% of the beginning of period net present value

(7)

The difference between forecast income taxes at beginning of period and the actual taxes for the period, plus forecast income taxes at the end of the period

(8)

Includes changes due to revised production profiles, development timing, operating costs, royalty rates, actual price received in 2004 versus forecast, etc.

#### **Additional Information Relating to Reserves Data**

##### ***Undeveloped Reserves***

Proved and probable undeveloped reserves have been estimated in accordance with procedures and standards contained in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook (the "COGE Handbook"). In general, undeveloped reserves are scheduled to be developed within the next two years of the effective date of the GLJ Report. Capital expenditures to develop proved undeveloped reserves are estimated at \$53.3 million in 2006 and \$28.7 million in 2007. Capital expenditures to develop probable undeveloped reserves are estimated at \$8.5 million in 2006 and \$11.0 million in 2007.

##### ***Significant Factors or Uncertainties***

Petrofund does not anticipate that any important economic factors or significant uncertainties would affect particular components of the reserves data. Notwithstanding that, a number of factors which are beyond Petrofund's and PCs control can significantly affect the reserves, including fluctuations in product pricing, royalty and tax regimes, changing operating and capital costs, surface access issues, availability of services and processing facilities and technical issues affecting well performance. See "Risk Factors".



**Future Development Costs**

The following table sets forth development costs deducted in the estimation of PC's future net revenue attributable to the reserve categories noted below.

Year	Forecast Prices and Costs (M\$)		Constant Prices and Costs (M\$)
	Proved Reserves	Proved Plus Probable Reserves	Proved Reserves
2006	86,424	94,944	84,424
2007	42,050	53,097	41,226
2008	34,770	38,787	33,425
2009	27,076	33,404	25,512
2010	21,430	33,442	19,797
Remainder	101,722	137,754	86,362
Total Undiscounted	313,472	391,428	290,746
Total Discounted at 10%	222,912	272,285	213,027

The source of funding for future development costs will be internally generated cash flow, debt or a combination of both. Disclosed reserves and future net revenue will not be materially affected by the costs of funding the future development expenditures.

**Other Oil and Gas Information****Oil And Gas Wells**

The following table sets forth the number and status of wells in which PC has a working interest as at December 31, 2005.

	Oil Wells				Natural Gas Wells				Service Wells	
	Producing		Non-Producing		Producing		Non-Producing		Gross	Net
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net		
Alberta	2,602	591.1	1,023	212.6	1,988	665.9	565	197.9	829	142.4
British Columbia	83	21.1	98	8.5	286	63.1	90	19.1	28	5.7
Manitoba	122	106.1	48	45.5	0	0	0	0	26	25.7

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Saskatchewan	3,148	1,787.4	289	54.9	345	303.1	13	7.5	138	105.2
Total	5,955	2,505.7	1,458	321.5	2,619	1,032.1	668	224.5	1,021	279

***Properties with no Attributable Reserves***

The following table sets out PC's undeveloped land holdings as at December 31, 2005.

	Undeveloped Acres	
	Gross	Net
Alberta	442,089	208,733
British Columbia	126,018	39,824
Manitoba	1,361	1,341
Saskatchewan	93,782	60,026
Total	663,250	309,924

There are no material work commitments on the undeveloped land holdings.

PC expects that rights to explore, develop and exploit 29,000 net acres of its undeveloped land holdings will expire by December 31, 2006.

#### ***Additional Information Concerning Abandonment and Reclamation Costs***

Future abandonment and reclamation costs have been estimated based on actual costs incurred to date by PC for abandonment and reclamation activities. Costs to abandon and reclaim approximately 3500 net wells totalling \$79.7 million net of salvage value (\$27.1 million discounted at 10%) are included in the estimate of future net revenue. Facility abandonment costs and suspended well abandonment costs of \$47.6 million (\$15.4 million discounted at 10%) are not included in the estimate of future net revenue disclosed in the tables contained under Disclosure of Reserves Data . Abandonment and reclamation costs estimated for the next three years are \$3.6 million in 2006, \$3.9 million in 2007 and \$5.9 million in 2008.

#### ***Forward Contracts***

For details of material commitments to sell natural gas and crude oil which were outstanding at December 31, 2005, see Note 15 to the Trust's audited consolidated financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2005, which Note is incorporated herein by this reference.

#### ***Tax Horizon***

As a result of the Trust's tax efficient structure, annual taxable income is transferred from its operating entities to the Trust and from the Trust to Unitholders. Therefore, it is expected that no income tax liability will be incurred by the Trust for so long as the Trust maintains its organizational tax structure. PC also will not be taxable so long as the interest on the notes held by the Trust, royalties under the NPI Agreements and other expenses in PC are sufficient to reduce taxable income to nil in the operating subsidiaries. PC is not expected to be taxable in 2006.

#### ***Capital Expenditures***

The following tables summarize capital expenditures (net of incentives and net of certain proceeds and including capitalized general and administrative expenses) related to PC's activities for the last three years:

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Corporate and property acquisitions <sup>(1)</sup>	\$561.1	\$32.1	\$115.6
Property dispositions	(0.9)	(1.0)	(33.5)
<b>Total corporate and property acquisitions - cash</b>	<b>560.2</b>	<b>31.1</b>	<b>82.1</b>
Development expenditures:			
Land & seismic	8.5	2.2	2.5
Drilling & completion	68.9	35.3	42.5
Well equipping	10.4	10.6	7.9
Tie-ins	14.3	5.4	5.2
Facilities	24.9	13.3	8.4

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

CO <sub>2</sub> purchases	17.7	8.4	3.5
Other	0.6	1.5	1.4
<b>Total development expenditures - cash</b>	<b>145.3</b>	<b>76.7</b>	<b>71.4</b>
Total net capital expenditures - cash	705.5	107.8	153.5
Corporate acquisitions - non-cash <sup>(2)</sup>	178.5	570.0	4.7
Current year ARO capitalized	15.2	1.2	2.3
<b>Total capital expenditures <sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>\$899.2</b>	<b>\$679.0</b>	<b>\$160.5</b>

(1)

The corporate and property acquisition totals exclude the impact of non-cash items on corporate acquisitions such as future income taxes and ARO.

(2)

Includes non-cash items such as: Trust units issued, working capital assumed, future income tax adjustments for the difference between the cost and tax basis of assets acquired and asset retirement obligations recognized for corporate acquisitions.

(3)

Includes change in oil and natural gas royalty and property interest and goodwill.

***Exploration and Development Activities***

The following tables set forth the gross and net exploratory and development wells in which PC participated during the year ended December 31, 2005:

*Working Interest Wells*

	Development Wells		Exploration Wells	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Oil	111	31.3	2	1.5
Gas	148	40.6	13	4.2
Service	5	2.2	0	0
Dry	2	1.8	1	1
Total:	266	75.9	16	6.7

*Farm-out Wells*

	Development Wells	Exploration Wells
Oil	0	5
Gas	8	6
Service	0	0
Dry	0	0
Total:	8	11

PC's most important current and likely exploration and development activities are described under "Business and Properties".

***Production Estimates***

The following table sets out the gross volume of PC's production estimated for the year ended December 31, 2006 which is reflected in the estimate of future net revenue disclosed in the tables contained under "Disclosure of Reserves Data" using constant prices and costs.

	Light and Medium Oil (Bpd)	Heavy Oil (Bpd)	Natural Gas (Mcfpd)	Natural Gas Liquids (Bpd)	BOE (Boepd)
Proved Producing	17,108	274	111,152	2,274	38,182
Total Proved	17,542	274	121,603	2,248	40,332
Proved plus Probable	18,004	326	130,190	2,382	42,410

No one area accounts for 20% or more of the estimated production disclosed.

***Production History and Prices Received***

The following tables summarize certain information in respect of production, product prices received, royalties paid, operating expenses and resulting netbacks for the periods indicated below:

	<b>2005</b>				
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Quarter Ended</b>			
		<b>Dec. 31</b>	<b>Sept. 30</b>	<b>June 30</b>	<b>Mar. 31</b>
<b>Average Daily Production</b>					
Gas (MMcfd)	98.1	108.9	97.8	97.0	88.3
Light and Medium Crude Oil (Bpd) <sup>(1)</sup>	18,264	18,856	18,451	17,500	18,238
NGLs (Bpd)	2,383	2,164	2,730	2,353	2,283
Combined (Boepd)	36,991	39,178	37,485	36,011	35,234
<b>Selling Price</b>					
Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$9.02	\$11.78	\$9.10	\$7.65	\$6.97
Light and Medium Crude Oil (\$/Bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$61.54	\$62.46	\$69.37	\$59.18	\$54.74
NGLs (\$/Bbl)	\$52.98	\$65.46	\$50.36	\$51.10	\$46.04
Combined (\$/Boe)	\$57.71	\$66.44	\$61.57	\$52.69	\$48.79
<b>Cash Cost of Hedging</b>					
Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$0.14	\$0.28	\$0.21	\$0.02	-
Light and Medium Crude Oil (\$/Bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$5.48	\$5.38	\$6.49	\$5.01	\$5.02
NGLs (\$/Bbl)	-	-	-	-	-
Combined (\$/Boe)	\$3.03	\$0.66	\$3.72	\$2.45	\$2.60
<b>Royalties, Net of ARTC</b>					
Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$2.01	\$2.80	\$2.01	\$1.47	\$1.62
Light and Medium Crude Oil (\$/Bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$10.83	\$11.12	\$12.20	\$9.76	\$10.13
NGLs (\$/Bbl)	\$13.42	\$16.98	\$13.18	\$12.15	\$11.50
Combined (\$/Boe)	\$11.54	\$14.07	\$12.21	\$9.49	\$10.04
<b>Lease Operating Costs</b>					
Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$1.31	\$1.47	\$1.57	\$1.30	\$1.32
Light and Medium Crude Oil (\$/Bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$12.97	\$12.50	\$11.17	\$13.96	\$12.00
NGLs (\$/Bbl)	\$9.39	\$9.39	\$9.99	\$9.19	\$9.03
Combined (\$/Boe)	\$10.49	\$10.64	\$10.31	\$10.89	\$10.09
<b>Transportation Costs</b>					
Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$0.12	\$0.10	\$0.15	\$0.12	\$0.13
Light and Medium Crude Oil (\$/Bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$0.48	\$0.40	\$0.49	\$0.43	\$0.58

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

NGLs (\$/Bbl)	\$9.39	\$0.55	\$0.46	\$0.56	\$0.50
Combined (\$/Boe)	\$10.49	\$0.51	\$0.66	\$0.58	\$0.64
<b>Netback Received</b>					
Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$5.44	\$7.13	\$5.16	\$4.74	\$3.90
Light and Medium Crude Oil (\$/Bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$31.78	\$33.06	\$39.02	\$30.02	\$27.01
NGLs (\$/Bbl)	\$29.65	\$38.54	\$26.73	\$29.20	\$25.03
Combined (\$/Boe)	\$32.05	\$37.85	\$34.67	\$29.28	\$25.45

Note:

(1)

Heavy oil production is not significant and is included in light and medium crude oil.



## CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF PC

PC's authorized capital is comprised of an unlimited number of common shares and an unlimited number of PC Exchangeable Shares.

### Common Shares

PC has authorized for issuance an unlimited number of common shares of which, as at March 15, 2006, two (two as at December 31, 2005) common shares are issued and outstanding and held by Computershare Trust Company of Canada, as trustee of the Trust. The holders of common shares are entitled to notice of, to attend and to one vote per share held at any meeting of the shareholders of PC (other than meetings of a class or series of shares of PC other than the common shares as such). The holders of common shares are entitled to receive dividends as and when declared by the Board of Directors of PC on the common shares as a class, and subject to prior satisfaction of all preferential rights to dividends attached to all shares of other classes of shares of PC ranking in priority to the common shares in respect of dividends, to share rateably, together with the shares of any other class of shares of PC ranking equally with the common shares in respect of dividends. The holders of common shares are entitled to in the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of PC, whether voluntary or involuntary, or any other distribution of the assets of PC among its shareholders for the purpose of winding up its affairs, and subject to prior satisfaction of all preferential rights to return of capital on dissolution attached to all shares of other classes of shares of PC ranking in priority to the common shares in respect of return of capital on dissolution, to share rateably, together with the shares of any other class of shares of PC ranking equally with the common shares in respect of return of capital on dissolution, in such assets of PC as are available for distribution.

Pursuant to the Voting Shareholder Agreement, Unitholders are entitled to designate the individuals to be elected as directors of PC by resolution of Unitholders and, following such designation, the Trust will take all actions necessary to elect or appoint the nominees so designated as directors of PC. In addition, the Board of Directors may, between annual meetings, appoint one or more additional directors of PC to serve as directors until the next annual meeting, but the number of additional directors may not at any time exceed 1/3 of the number of directors for available office at the expiration of the last annual meeting of PC. In addition, pursuant to the Voting Shareholder Agreement, Unitholders designate the independent auditors to be appointed as auditors of the Trust and PC by resolution passed by Unitholders.

### PC Exchangeable Shares

PC is authorized to issue an unlimited number of PC Exchangeable Shares, of which, as at December 31, 2005, 283,025 PC Exchangeable Shares were issued and outstanding, which can be exchanged into 388,147 Trust Units. The PC Exchangeable Shares rank prior to the common shares of PC and any other shares ranking junior to the PC Exchangeable Shares with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets in the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of PC, whether voluntary or involuntary, or any other distribution of the assets of PC among its shareholders for the purpose of winding up its affairs. Provided that same is declared during the Dividend Period, holders of PC Exchangeable Shares are entitled to receive, as and when declared by the board of directors of PC in its sole discretion, from time to time, non cumulative preferential cash dividends in an amount per share equal to the amount of the Distribution relating to the subject Distribution Payment Date multiplied by the Exchange Ratio as at the subject Distribution Payment Date. It is not anticipated that dividends will be declared or paid on the PC Exchangeable Shares; however, the Board of Directors has the right in its sole discretion to do so.

PC will not, without obtaining the approval of the holders of the PC Exchangeable Shares as set forth below:

(a)

pay any dividend on the common shares of PC or any other shares ranking junior to the PC Exchangeable Shares, other than stock dividends payable in common shares of PC or any such other shares ranking junior to the PC Exchangeable Shares;

(b)

redeem, purchase or make any capital distribution in respect of the common share of PC or any other shares ranking junior to the PC Exchangeable Shares;

(c)

redeem or purchase any other shares of PC ranking equally with the PC Exchangeable Shares with respect to the payment of dividends or on any liquidation distribution; or

(d)

issue any shares, other than PC Exchangeable Shares or common shares of PC, which rank superior to the PC Exchangeable Shares with respect to the payment of dividends or on any liquidation distribution.

In the event that a dividend is not declared by PC prior to the expiry of a Dividend Period, each holder of PC Exchangeable Shares shall have the right, exercisable for a period of 5 business days from the date of expiry of the subject Dividend Period, to redeem such number of PC Exchangeable Shares (the "Cash Retracted Shares") as have a value (calculated as the amount equal to the Exchange Ratio as at the date of delivery of the notice of the holder to retract multiplied by the Current Market Price) equal to the aggregate amount of the dividend which would have been paid to the holder had a dividend been declared and paid in respect of the subject Dividend Period (the "Aggregate Dividend Amount") for an amount in cash equal to the Aggregate Dividend Amount.

A holder of PC Exchangeable Shares is entitled at any time to exchange each PC Exchangeable Shares into a set number of Trust Units determined by multiplying the number of PC Exchangeable Shares by the Exchange Ratio then in effect.

The PC Exchangeable Shares provide holders with a security having economic, ownership, and voting rights which are substantially equivalent to those of Trust Units. The PC Exchangeable Shares are maintained economically equivalent to the Trust Units by the progressive increase in the Exchange Ratio to reflect distributions paid by the Trust to Unitholders. The PC Exchangeable Shares are provided equivalent voting rights as unitholders through the PC Support Voting and Exchange Agreement. Pursuant to the PC Support Voting and Exchange Agreement, the Trust has issued a Special Voting Unit to Petro Assets, the holder of the PC Exchangeable Shares. The Special Voting Unit entitles Petro Assets to such number of votes, exercisable at any meeting at which unitholders are entitled to vote, equal to the Aggregate Equivalent Vote Amount.

At any time on or after April 29, 2010, or at any time on or after the date when the aggregate number of issued and outstanding PC Exchangeable Shares is less than 100,000, holders of PC Exchangeable Shares may be required by PC to sell all of the then outstanding PC Exchangeable Shares in exchange for the payment of either cash, PC Exchangeable Shares or that number of Trust Units determined by multiplying the number of PC Exchangeable Shares by the Exchange Ratio then in effect.

The PC Exchangeable Shares are convertible, at the option of the holder thereof, into common shares of PC, on a one for one basis (the "Conversion Right"). Pursuant to the provisions of that Shareholders Agreement dated April 29, 2003, and made among Petrofund Energy Trust, Petrofund Corp., 1518274 Ontario Limited, and Petro Assets Inc., Petro Assets has agreed never to exercise the Conversion right in respect of any PC Exchangeable Shares held thereby.

## INFORMATION RELATING TO THE TRUST

### Trust Indenture

#### *General*

The Trust is an investment trust created pursuant to the Trust Indenture and governed by the laws of the Province of Ontario. The Trust has been established for the purpose of holding royalties granted by PC and acquiring, directly and indirectly, securities and royalties of oil and gas companies, oil and gas properties and other related assets. The following is a summary of certain provisions of the Trust Indenture. For a complete description of such Trust Indenture, reference should be made to the Trust Indenture, a copy of which has been filed on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

#### *Trust Units*

An unlimited number of Trust Units are issueable pursuant to the Trust Indenture. As at December 31, 2005, 117,561,000 Trust Units and Trust Units issueable for PC Exchangeable Shares were issued and outstanding. Each Trust Unit represents an equal undivided beneficial interest in the assets of the Trust. Each outstanding Trust Unit is entitled to an equal share of distributions by the Trust and, in the event of termination of the Trust, the net assets of the Trust. All Trust Units rank equally. Each Trust Unit entitles the holder thereof to one vote at all meetings of Unitholders.

#### *Special Voting Units*

An unlimited number of Special Voting Units are also issueable pursuant to the Trust Indenture. Special Voting Units may only be issued by the Trust in conjunction with the issuance by the Corporation or an affiliate of exchangeable shares or exchangeable partnership interests. Each holder of a Special Voting Unit of record is entitled to vote at all meetings of Unitholders. The number of votes attached to each Special Voting Unit shall be that number of Trust Units into which the exchangeable shares issued in conjunction with the Special Voting Unit and at that time outstanding are then exchangeable. The holders of Trust Units and the holder of Special Voting Units vote together as a single class on all matters. Special Voting Units have the foregoing rights in respect of voting at all meetings of unitholders but have no other rights and, for greater certainty, Special Voting Units do not represent a beneficial interest in the Trust. In the event that exchangeable shares issued in conjunction with a Special Voting Unit cease to be outstanding, such Special Voting Unit shall be deemed to be cancelled.

A Special Voting Unit was issued in connection with the Internalization Transaction to Petro Assets, which company was issued PC Exchangeable Shares pursuant to the Internalization Transaction.

#### *Trustee*

The Trust Indenture provides that the Trustee is required to exercise its powers and carry out its functions thereunder as trustee honestly, in good faith, and in the best interests of the Trust and the Unitholders and, in connection therewith, will exercise that degree of care, diligence, and skill that a reasonably prudent trustee would exercise in comparable circumstances.

The Trustee, where it has met its standard of care, will be indemnified out of the assets of the Trust for any actions, suits, or proceedings commenced against the Trustee in respect of the Trust and for costs, taxes, and other liabilities incurred by the Trustee in respect of the administration or termination of the Trust but will have no additional recourse against Unitholders. In addition, the Trust Indenture contains other customary provisions limiting the liability of the Trustee.

### *Issuance of Trust Units*

The Trust Indenture provides that Trust Units may be issued whether fully paid or in the context of an offering, on an instalment basis, subject to the approval of the Board of Directors, for the purposes of, among other things, acquiring, or raising capital to acquire, net royalty interests, securities of oil and gas companies and oil and gas properties and related assets. The Trust Indenture also provides that the Board of Directors may also authorize the creation and issuance from time to time of rights, warrants or options to subscribe for Trust Units or other securities convertible or exchangeable into Trust Units.

### *Distributions*

The Trust makes monthly cash distributions of the distributable cash flow received by the Trust in each month. Distributions are made on the last business day of each month to Unitholders of record as at the close of business on the tenth business day preceding each such distribution date.

### *Management of the Trust*

The Trust Indenture provides for the delegation to PC by the Trustee the authority to manage the business and affairs of the Trust and the authority to administer and manage the operations of the Trust. Without limiting the foregoing, the Trustee has delegated to PC: (i) the responsibility and authority for all matters relating to an offering of Units or any rights, warrants, options, or other securities to acquire Units or other securities of the Trust and all matters relating to the content and accuracy of disclosure contained in any offering documents, management proxy circulars, or continuous disclosure documents relating thereto; (ii) the ability and other responsibilities to exercise all rights, powers, and privileges in relation to all matters relating to any take-over bid, merger, amalgamation, arrangement, acquisition of all or substantially all of the assets of a person, or similar transaction or form of business combination; (iii) the voting of investments and securities held by the Trust; (iv) the responsibility and authority for all matters pertaining to the repurchase and retraction of Units pursuant to the Trust Indenture; (v) the responsibility and authority for entering into and the amendment of the provisions of the NPI Agreements; (vi) the responsibility and authority for any borrowing, securing of credit, or granting of security by the Trust and related matters; (vii) the responsibility and authority to approve financial statements of the Trust and to furnish to Unitholders reports required under the Trust Indenture or by law; (viii) the responsibility and authority to call, hold, and distribute materials in respect of meetings of Unitholders; (ix) the responsibility and authority to arrangement for payment of all costs and expenses incurred by the Trustee or any third party on account of the Trust in connection with the establishment and ongoing management of the Trust (but excluding any expenses deducted in determining royalty income for purposes of the NPI Agreements); and (x) the responsibility and authority for all matters pertaining to tax and other matters.

PC has accepted such delegation and has agreed that it shall exercise its powers and carry out its functions honestly, in good faith and with a view to the best interests of the Trust and the Unitholders, and, in connection therewith, shall exercise that degree of care, skill, and diligence that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances.

### *Retraction Right in Respect of Trust Units*

Trust Units are retractable at any time on demand by the holders thereof upon delivery to the Trust of the certificate or certificates representing such Trust Units, accompanied by a duly completed and properly executed notice requesting retraction. Upon receipt of the retraction request by the Trust, all rights to and under the Trust Units tendered for retraction shall be surrendered and the holder thereof shall be entitled to receive a price per Trust Unit (the "Retraction

Price") equal to the lesser of: (i) 95% of the "market price" (as defined in the Trust Indenture) of the Trust Units on the principal market on which the Trust Units are listed for trading during the 10 trading day period commencing immediately after the date on which the Trust Units were surrendered for



retraction (the "Retraction Date"); and (ii) the "closing market price" (as defined in the Trust Indenture) on the principal market on which the Trust Units are quoted for trading on the Retraction Date.

The aggregate Retraction Price payable by the Trust in respect of any Trust Units surrendered for retraction during any calendar month shall be satisfied by way of a cash payment on the last day of the following month; provided that the entitlement of Unitholders to receive cash upon the retraction of their Trust Units is subject to the limitation that the total amount payable by the Trust in respect of such Trust Units and all other Trust Units tendered for retraction in the same calendar month shall not exceed \$100,000 provided that such limitation may be waived in the discretion of the Trustee. If at the time any Units are tendered for retraction the Units are not listed on a Canadian stock exchange, are not traded in a manner that provides representative fair market value prices for the Units or the normal trading of the Units is suspended or halted, the retraction price of Units will be equal to 95% of the fair market value as of the Retraction Date as determined by the Board of Directors.

If a Unitholder is not entitled to receive cash upon the retraction of Trust Units as a result of the foregoing limitations, then the Retraction Price shall, subject to any applicable regulatory approvals, be paid and satisfied by way of a distribution in specie of debt securities of PC then held by the Trust (the "PC Notes") having a term determined by the Board of Directors ending not more than five years after the date of issue and a rate of interest which is no less than the then highest rate of interest charged by the Trust to PC. If the Trust does not hold PC Notes having a sufficient principal amount outstanding to effect such payment, the Trust will be entitled to create and, subject to any applicable regulatory approvals, issue in satisfaction of the Retraction Price its own debt securities (the "Trust Retraction Notes") having such terms and conditions as the Trustee may determine and with recourse of the holder limited to the assets of the Trust.

**The retraction right described above will not be the primary mechanism for holders of Trust Units to dispose of their Trust Units. The PC Notes, Trust Retraction Notes, or other assets which may be distributed in specie to Unitholders in connection with a retraction will not be listed on any stock exchange and no market is expected to develop in such PC Notes or Trust Retraction Notes.**

#### *Meetings of Unitholders*

The Trust Indenture provides that the following must be approved by Special Resolution: (i) removing or appointing the Trustee (subject to exceptions such as the Trustee failing to qualify to act as trustee and insolvency-related events); (ii) amendments to the Trust Indenture (except as described under "Information Relating to the Trust - Trust Indenture - Amendments to the Trust Indenture"); (iii) subdivisions or consolidations of Trust Units; (iv) the termination of the Trust; (v) the sale of the property of the Trust as an entirety or substantially as an entirety; (viii) directing the Trustee to exercise, or refrain from exercising, any power under the Trust Indenture; (ix) directing the Trustee with respect to legal proceedings in connection with the Trust; and (x) approving the disposition of properties having a value in excess of 35% of the asset value of the properties of the Trust.

The Trust holds meetings of Unitholders on an annual basis for the purposes of electing the directors of PC.

A meeting of Unitholders may be convened at any time and for any purpose by the Trustee and must be convened if requested by the holders of not less than 25% of the Trust Units then outstanding by a written requisition. A requisition must specify the purpose for which the meeting is to be called.



### *Amendments to the Trust Indenture*

Except as specifically provided otherwise, the Trust Indenture may only be amended by Special Resolution.

The Trustee is entitled to make certain amendments to the Trust Indenture without the approval of the Unitholders. These include amendments for the purposes of ensuring compliance with applicable laws, ensuring the Trust satisfies the requirements of the Tax Act to be a unit trust and mutual fund trust, providing additional protection for Unitholders, removing conflicts or inconsistencies (if such amendment is not detrimental to the interests of the Unitholders) and correcting ambiguities or errors (provided the rights of the Trustee and the Unitholders are not prejudiced thereby).

### *Mutual Fund Trust*

Under the Trust Indenture, PC may require declarations as to the jurisdictions in which beneficial holders of Trust Units are resident. Pursuant to the Trust Indenture, except to the extent permitted under the Tax Act, the Trust shall endeavour to satisfy the requirements of the Tax Act to maintain its status as a mutual fund trust.

### *Termination of the Trust*

Unless the Trust is terminated earlier, the Trustee will commence to wind up the affairs of the Trust on December 31, 2066. If, in the opinion of the Board of Directors of PC, it would be in the best interests of the Unitholders to wind up the Trust, the Trust will be wound up. In addition, the Unitholders may, by Special Resolution, decide to terminate the Trust. Upon a decision to terminate the Trust, the Trustee will sell the assets of the Trust and distribute the net proceeds to Unitholders, or wind up the Trust as otherwise directed by the Unitholders or the Board of Directors.

### *Borrowing*

The Trust and PC may finance the acquisition of securities and royalties of oil and gas companies, oil and gas properties and related assets and capital expenditures in respect thereof through the issuance of equity or debt securities.

The Trust and PC are also permitted to borrow funds and to grant security in respect of their assets, in priority to the royalty granted by PC, for the purposes of financing the purchase of oil and gas properties and related assets, capital expenditures in respect thereof or the purchase of securities and royalties of oil and gas companies or to facilitate the repurchase of Trust Units.

The maximum amount which may be borrowed for such purposes shall not exceed 40% of the aggregate Asset Value of all properties and other resource assets (including, where applicable, those being acquired) held by Petrofund, PC and their subsidiaries and 40% of the net asset value of non-reserve based assets. "Asset Value" is defined as the present worth of all of the estimated pre-tax net cash flow from the proved plus probable reserves shown in the most recent engineering report relating thereto, discounted at an annual rate equal to the then current annual yield of long term (10 year) Government of Canada bonds plus 400 basis points, subject to a maximum rate of 10% and using forecast price and cost assumptions.

In calculating the 40% borrowing restriction, amounts borrowed by the Trust or PC which the Trust or PC has the right to effectively repay or cause to be repaid through the issuance of Trust Units will not form part of the 40% borrowing restriction provided the Trust or PC, as applicable, has agreed to cause payment of such indebtedness to be

made through the issuance of Trust Units prior to the maturity of such indebtedness to the

extent necessary to ensure that the aggregate borrowings of the Trust and PC do not then otherwise exceed the 40% borrowing restriction on maturity of the indebtedness.

#### *Reporting to Unitholders*

The financial statements of the Trust are audited annually by an independent recognized firm of chartered accountants. The audited financial statements of the Trust, together with the report of such chartered accountants, and the unaudited interim financial statements of the Trust will be mailed to Unitholders within the periods prescribed by securities legislation unless, in each case, such mailing is not required by applicable securities law. The year end of the Trust is December 31. The Trust is subject to the continuous disclosure obligations under applicable securities legislation.

Unitholders are entitled to inspect, during normal business hours, at the offices of the Trustee, and, upon payment of reasonable reproduction costs, to receive photocopies of the NPI Agreement, the Trust Indenture, and, subject to the provisions of the Trust Indenture, a listing of the registered holders of Trust Units.

#### **NPI Agreements**

Under the NPI Agreements, PC and PVT grant net royalties to the Trust of 99% of the revenue received in respect of each property held by PC and PVT net of certain related costs and expenses.

The net royalty consists of a 99% share of the royalty income from PC's and PVT's properties. Net royalty income is gross production revenue less the following amounts:

- all operating costs;
- debt service charges;
- general and administrative costs;
- taxes or other charges payable by PC and PVT;
- acquisition costs incurred in acquiring new properties; and
-

amounts paid into the cash reserve established by PC and PVT to fund the payment of operating costs, capital expenditures, reclamation obligations, general and administrative costs, and debt service charges.

Gross production revenues essentially consist of cash proceeds from the sale of oil, natural gas and other substances produced from PC's and PVT's properties, any drilling credits resulting from any expenditures made on the properties (other than drilling credits applied to capital expenditures), amounts arising out of "take or pay" contracts for oil, gas and other products and any other consideration received by PC and PVT as a result of its ownership of the properties with the exception of revenues from the rental, sale or exchange of tangible assets and the proceeds from any unitization or pooling equalization payments relating to tangible assets and excluding the proceeds from the sale of any properties.

Operating costs are all expenditures from or allocated to a property made in connection with the maintenance of a property or any activities related to producing, gathering, treating, storing, compressing, processing and transporting oil, gas and other substances including, without limitation, overriding royalties and lessor royalties.

PC and PVT are required to pay the royalty on the last business day of each month.

The properties in respect of which the Trust has net royalties may be encumbered by security granted by PC to secure its loan obligations. The obligations of PC and PVT to pay net royalties to the Trust are not secured. Borrowing is subject to the 40% borrowing restriction referred to under "Governance of the Trust and PC - Trust Indenture - Borrowing".

### **Distribution Reinvestment and Unit Purchase Plan**

The Trust has a distribution reinvestment and unit purchase plan (the "Plan"). The Plan allows Unitholders resident in Canada to acquire additional Trust Units by reinvesting their cash distributions or by making optional cash payments. Only Unitholders who are resident in Canada and hold in excess of 100 Trust Units may participate in the Plan. The Plan is not available to Unitholders who are residents of the United States or other foreign jurisdictions.

### **Distribution Policy**

A major objective of the Trust's distribution policy is to provide unitholders with relatively stable and predictable monthly distributions despite potentially significant variations in product prices. A second objective is to retain a portion of cash flow to fund ongoing development and optimization projects designed to enhance the sustainability of cash flow.

The percentage of cash flow from operations paid to Unitholders each quarter will vary according to a number of factors assessed by management including:

- 

Fluctuations in oil and gas prices.

- 

Changes in the \$Canadian/\$US exchange rate.

- 

The size of the development drilling programs and the portion funded from cash flow.

- 

The level of debt within PC.

Although the payout ratio will vary significantly from quarter to quarter, the objective is to pay less than 80% of cash flow to unitholders over the long term. The payout ratio was 73% in 2004 and 70% in 2003. The payout ratio in 2005 was 67%, 56%, 45%, and 44% in the first, second, third and fourth quarters respectively.

### **Distributions**

The following cash distributions per Trust Unit in respect of the quarters indicated have been made to Unitholders since 2003:

	<b>Cash Distributions</b>		
	<u><b>2005</b></u>	<u><b>2004</b></u>	<u><b>2003</b></u>
First Quarter	\$0.48	\$0.48	\$0.48
Second Quarter	\$0.48	\$0.48	\$0.53
Third Quarter	\$0.48	\$0.48	\$0.54
Fourth Quarter	\$0.51	\$0.48	\$0.54
Total Annual	\$1.95	\$1.92	\$2.09

**Credit Facility Limitations on Distributions**

PC has a revolving working capital operating facility of \$50 million and a syndicated facility of \$540 million. Interest rates fluctuate under the syndicated facility with Canadian prime and U.S. base rates plus



between 0 and 25 basis points, as well as with Canadian banker's acceptance and LIBOR rates between 75 basis points and 125 basis points, depending, in each case, upon PC's debt to cash flow ratio. Substantially all of the credit facility is financed with banker's acceptances, resulting in an average reduction in interest rates of 0.76% per annum.

The limit of the syndicated facility is subject to adjustment from time to time to reflect changes in PC's asset base. PC had long-term debt outstanding of \$462.8 million at December 31, 2005, compared to \$214.4 million at the end of the prior year.

The revolving period on the syndicated facility ends on April 28, 2006, unless extended for a further 364 day period. There are no principal repayments required during the revolving period. PC may request the facility be extended no earlier than 90 days and no later than 60 days prior to the end of the revolving period at which time lenders may extend the facility for an additional one year period. In the event the lenders elect not to extend the revolving period, no payments are required to be made to non-extending lenders for a period of one year. However, during that year, PC will be required to maintain certain minimum balances on deposit with the syndicate agent. At the end of the one year period, the entire amount becomes due and payable. If this event were to occur, it is likely that PC would be forced to suspend royalty payments to the Trust, which, in turn, would be unable to make distributions to Unitholders. The revolving period has been extended each year by the lenders since the inception of the Trust.

In addition from time to time, the lenders have the right to review the borrowing base of PC's properties. If the borrowings exceed the re-determined borrowing base, on 60 days notice from the lender, PC is required to reduce its borrowing to the re-determined borrowing base. If, during the 60 day period, borrowings exceed the borrowing base by less than five percent, PC is permitted to make a cash payment to the Trust for one normal monthly distribution to unitholders. However, if the excess borrowings are greater than five percent, no distributions are permitted.

The credit facility is secured by a debenture in the amount of \$900 million under which a Canadian chartered bank, as principal and as agent for the other lenders, received a first ranking security interest on all of PC's assets. The loan is the legal obligation of PC. Unitholders have no direct liability to the lenders or to PC should the assets securing the loan generate insufficient cash flow to repay the obligation.

#### Stability Rating

Dominion Bond Rating Service Limited ("**DBRS**") has assigned a stability rating of STA-5 (low) to the Trust Units. The stability rating is based on a rating scale developed by DBRS that provides an indicator of both the stability and sustainability of an income fund's distributions per unit. Ratings categories range from STA-1 to STA-7, with STA-1 being the highest. In addition, DBRS further separates the ratings into "high", "middle" and "low" subcategories to indicate where they fall within the rating category. Ratings take into consideration the seven main factors of: (1) operating and industry characteristics; (2) asset quality; (3) financial flexibility; (4) diversification; (5) size and market position; (6) sponsorship/governance; and (7) growth. In addition, consideration is given to specific structural or contractual elements that may eliminate or mitigate risks or other potentially negative factors.

Specifically, income funds rated as STA-5 are considered by DBRS to have weak distribution per unit stability and sustainability. An income fund rated as STA-5 is subject to many of the same cyclical, seasonal and economic factors as the higher STA-4 rating category, but the lack of diversification is generally more pronounced and such income funds will tend to be below average in several areas.

A rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any security and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by DBRS.

**DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS**

The Board of Directors of PC currently consist of eight individuals, all of whom were nominated for election of PC by Unitholders.

The name, municipality of residence, position held by each of the directors and executive officers of PC and period each director has served as a director are set out below:

<u>Name and Municipality of Residence</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
John F. Driscoll Toronto, Ontario	Chairman and Director	July 15, 1988
Jeffery E. Errico Calgary, Alberta	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	April 16, 2003
Jeffrey D. Newcommon Calgary, Alberta	Executive Vice-President	
Glen C. Fischer Calgary, Alberta	Senior Vice-President, Operations	
Edward J. Brown Calgary, Alberta	Vice-President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer	
Noel F. Cronin Calgary, Alberta	Vice-President, Production	
James E. Allard <sup>(1)(4)</sup> Calgary, Alberta	Director	April 16, 2003
Sandra S. Cowan <sup>(2)</sup> Toronto, Ontario	Director	January 17, 2002
Arthur E. Dumont <sup>(2)(4)</sup> Calgary, Alberta	Director	July 28, 2004
Gary L. Lee <sup>(1)(3)</sup> Calgary, Alberta	Director	July 28, 2004
Wayne M. Newhouse <sup>(3)(4)</sup> Calgary, Alberta	Director	April 16, 2003

Frank Potter<sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>

Director

November 1, 2000

Toronto, Ontario

**Notes:**

(1)

Member of the Audit Committee.

(2)

Member of the Governance Committee.

(3)

Member of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee.

(4)

Member of the Reserves Audit and EH&S Committee.

(5)

The term of office of each director is from the date of the meeting at which he or she is elected until the next annual meeting or until his or her successor is elected or appointed.

Set forth below are the particulars of the principal occupations of each director and officer of PC for the past several years.

John F. Driscoll is the founding President, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sentry Select Capital Corp. He also founded and has been Chairman of NCE Resources Group since 1984, and Chairman and founder of Petrofund Energy Trust since 1988. He has been Chairman of Inter Pipeline Fund, Strategic Energy Fund, and Endev Energy since October 2002, May 2002, and August 2002 respectively. Mr. Driscoll has been President, since 1981, of J.F. Driscoll Investment Corp., a company specializing in investment management and related

advisory and consulting services. Mr. Driscoll received his Bachelor of Science degree from the Boston College Business School and attended the New York Institute of Finance for advanced business studies. He has more than 30 years of diversified business experience. He is a member of the CFA Institute (formerly the Association for Investment Management and Research) and also attained the professional manager designation with the Canadian Institute of Management. He has founded numerous public partnerships as well as public and private energy and investment related companies. During the last 20 years, issuers of which Mr. Driscoll was Chairman or Chief Executive Officer have invested or managed the investment of more than \$6 billion. He is Vice-Chair of the Royal Ontario Museum Foundation Board of Directors.

Jeffery E. Errico is a Professional Engineer with a Bachelor of Applied Science Degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of British Columbia. Prior to joining Petrofund he gained extensive experience in the areas of economic evaluations, reservoir, and operations engineering having served as a senior executive for several oil and gas companies. Mr. Errico joined Petrofund in 1995, and has played a key role in its growth from 450 to the current 42,500 Boepd of production. He was appointed President in 2002 and CEO in 2003.

Glen C. Fischer is a Professional Engineer who received a Degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Calgary. He has over 20 years of engineering and management experience in the oil and gas industry and from 1984 to 1996 was Manager, Engineering & Operations for ATCOR Ltd. and its successor Canadian Forest Oil Ltd. Mr. Fischer joined Petrofund in July, 1996.

Edward J. Brown is a Chartered Accountant and holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from the University of Toronto with majors in finance and economics. He has over 25 years of international finance and management experience having held several senior financial positions in the energy industry. Prior to joining Petrofund in 2005, Mr. Brown was the senior financial officer at Duke Energy Field Services Canada and provided advisory and consulting services. From 1984 to 2002, he held a number of senior executive positions at TransCanada PipeLines Limited in both Calgary and Toronto. From 1978 to 1984, Mr. Brown practiced public accounting most recently as a senior audit manager for KPMG a national public accounting firm, headquartered in Toronto. Mr. Brown is a member of Financial Executives International and a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of both Alberta and Ontario. He is past Chair, Financial Executives International Canada. Mr. Brown joined Petrofund in April 2005.

Jeffrey D. Newcommon received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Finance and Economics from the University of Western Ontario in 1983. From 1984 to 1995 he held various positions with Canadian Hunter Exploration Ltd., including, most recently, Land Manager. He joined Petrofund in April, 1995.

Noel F. Cronin is a Professional Engineer with over 20 years of diversified experience in the petroleum industry in western Canada, including reservoir management/exploitation, economic evaluations, joint interests, and production operations. He has worked for various Calgary-based oil and gas producers during his career and joined Petrofund as Production Manager in 1997.

James E. Allard received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from the University of Connecticut and completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard University. Mr. Allard has focused his career in international finance and the petroleum industry for the past 40 years serving as CEO, CFO and director of a number of publicly traded and private companies during that period. During the past five years he has continued to serve on the board of the Alberta Securities Commission, act as the sole external trustee and advisor to a mid-sized pension plan and serve as a director and advisor to several companies. From 1981 to 1995, he served as a senior executive officer of Amoco Corporation as well as a director of Amoco Canada, then Canada's largest natural gas producer.

Sandra S. Cowan is Partner and General Counsel of EdgeStone Capital Partners, an independent private equity firm managing over \$1 billion of private capital. Prior to joining EdgeStone in 2001, Ms. Cowan practiced

law for over 15 years, most recently as a senior partner of Goodman and Carr LLP. Her practice specialized in private equity and corporate finance transactions, including fund formation, mergers, acquisitions and divestitures, cross-border and public market transaction. Ms. Cowan has an LLB from the University of Western Ontario and serves on a number of private and public boards.

Arthur E. Dumont is a Professional Engineer with a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Saskatchewan. Mr. Dumont has over 36 years of professional experience in oil and gas, serving as president of several well known Calgary based companies. He is currently President and C.E.O. of Technicoil Corporation and serves on a number of boards and volunteer committees. Mr. Dumont is based in Calgary and was a director of Ultima prior to its recent acquisition by Petrofund.

Gary L. Lee is currently a director and principal of North West Capital Inc., a private merchant banking firm based in Calgary. Prior to joining North West Capital he was a lawyer with extensive experience in energy related transactions and financings. He has been actively involved as a principal and adviser in organizing and financing several oil and gas companies and oilfield service companies. Mr. Lee was a director of Ultima Energy Trust until it was acquired by Petrofund in June 2004.

Wayne M. Newhouse is a Professional Engineer and oil and gas executive with over 40 years of broad industry experience. Since 1995, Mr. Newhouse has served as President of two private oil and gas companies, as well as being a director of several publicly traded companies. From 1989 to 1994, Mr. Newhouse served as Senior Vice President, Production and Senior Vice President, Exploration and International Development with Norcen Energy Resources Ltd.

Frank Potter attended Royal Military College of Science, and is a Fellow of the Institute of Canadian Bankers. Mr. Potter has been the Chairman since 1995 of Emerging Markets Advisors, Inc., a Toronto-based consultancy that assists corporations in making and managing direct investments internationally. Prior thereto, Mr. Potter was executive director of The World Bank Group in Washington, and was subsequently senior advisor at the federal Department of Finance. Mr. Potter is a director of a number of public and private corporations and public service organizations.

## **AUDIT COMMITTEE MANDATE AND TERMS OF REFERENCE**

The Mandate and Terms of Reference of the Audit Committee of the board of directors is attached hereto as Appendix "C". The members of the Audit Committee are James E. Allard, Gary L. Lee, and Frank Potter.

### **Composition of the Audit Committee**

The members of the Audit Committee are independent (in accordance with National Instrument 52-110) and are financially literate.

### **Relevant Education and Experience**

Please refer to the biography section above.

### **Pre-Approval of Policies and Procedures**

The Audit Committee shall have the sole authority to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services not prohibited by applicable law or the rules of the Toronto Stock Exchange or the American Stock Exchange to be provided by the Trust's independent registered chartered accountants including the remuneration and the terms of engagement.



## **External Auditor Service Fees**

### ***Audit Fees***

The aggregate fees billed by the Corporation's independent registered chartered accountants in each of the last two fiscal years for audit services were \$214,380 in 2005 and \$196,300 in 2004. The audit fees relate to professional services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the audit of the Trust's annual financial statements and the review of the Trust's quarterly financial statements.

### ***Audit Related Fees***

The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for products and services provided by the Corporation's independent registered chartered accountants other than services reported above were \$284,752 in 2005 and \$47,025 in 2004. These fees relate to procedures performed in connection with prospectus offering documents, the French translation of these documents and related documents incorporated by reference, and fees related to Sarbanes-Oxley 404 compliance.

### ***Tax Fees***

The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for tax and tax related fees were nil in 2005 and \$31,428 in 2004. The majority of fees billed in 2004 relate to the Internalization Transaction, which is disclosed in the Consolidated Income Statement and in note 12 to the Consolidated Income Statement.

## **Ownership of Trust Units by Directors and Officers**

As at December 31, 2005, the directors and executive officers of PC, as a group, beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or exercised control or direction over, an aggregate of 1,111,670 Trust Units representing less than 1% of the issued and outstanding Trust Units and 283,025 PC Exchangeable Shares representing 100% of the issued and outstanding PC Exchangeable Shares, which are exchangeable into 388,147 Trust Units.

## **Corporate Cease Trade Orders or Bankruptcies**

None of the directors of executive officers of PC or a Unitholder holding a sufficient number of securities of the Trust to affect materially the control of the Trust have been subject to:

(a)

a cease trade or similar order or an order that denied the issuer access to any statutory exemptions for a period of more than 30 consecutive days; or

(b)

was declared bankrupt or made a voluntary assignment in bankruptcy, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy and insolvency or been subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement, or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets.

**Penalties or Sanctions**

None of the directors or executive officers of PC, or a Unitholder holding a sufficient number of securities of the Trust to affect materially the control of the Trust, have been subject to any penalties or sanctions under securities legislations, or any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a Court or regulatory body, that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making investment decisions.

### Personal Bankruptcies

None of the directors or executive officers of PC have in the ten years preceding the date of this Renewal Annual Information Form become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or been subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement, or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager, or trustee appointed to hold their assets.

### Conflicts Of Interest

Circumstances may arise where members of the Board of Directors or officers of PC serve as directors or officers of corporations or other entities which are in competition to the interests of PC and the Trust. No assurances can be given that opportunities identified by such board members or officers will be provided to PC and the Trust.

The Business Corporations Act (Alberta) provides that in the event that a director or officer has an interest in a contract or proposed contract or agreement, the director or officer shall disclose his interest in such contract or agreement and such director shall refrain from voting on any matter in respect of such contract or agreement unless otherwise provided under such Act. To the extent that conflicts of interest arise, such conflicts will be resolved in accordance with the provisions of such Act.

### PRICE RANGE AND TRADING VOLUME OF TRUST UNITS

The Trust is listed and posted for trading on the TSX under the symbol "PTF.UN" and on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "PTF". The following sets forth the price ranges and trading volumes of the Common Shares on the TSX and AMEX for the periods indicated.

	TSX			AMEX (US\$)		
	Price Range		Volume	Price Range		Volume
	High	Low		High	Low	
<b>2005</b>						
January	\$17.05	\$15.50	4,317,300	\$13.75	\$12.66	10,281,800
February	\$18.85	\$16.95	6,488,600	\$15.34	\$13.68	11,473,700
March	\$19.33	\$16.25	5,573,100	\$16.05	\$13.40	17,476,300
April	\$18.57	\$17.00	3,377,900	\$15.22	\$13.62	10,786,800
May	\$18.79	\$17.47	3,426,800	\$15.04	\$13.90	8,093,900
June	\$19.97	\$18.25	4,445,700	\$16.25	\$14.63	11,125,100
July	\$21.12	\$19.57	2,648,800	\$17.25	\$15.94	9,351,500
August	\$22.98	\$19.30	2,751,500	\$19.26	\$15.72	16,038,100
September	\$23.31	\$20.90	3,882,500	\$19.85	\$17.55	11,669,700
October	\$23.17	\$19.05	3,270,700	\$19.88	\$16.10	16,006,000
November	\$21.80	\$20.02	5,260,700	\$18.47	\$16.84	10,135,100
December	\$21.43	\$20.30	7,317,680	\$18.50	\$17.30	8,508,800

**ESCROWED SECURITIES**

There are 45,196 Trust Units (which represents less than 1% of the Trust Units outstanding as at December 31, 2005) remaining in escrow, of the original 100,244 Trust Units which were issued to executive management in connection with the internalization of management. They are released as to five percent of the original number issued at the end of each quarter to March 31, 2008. The escrow agent is Goodman and Carr LLP.

## **RISK FACTORS**

The following are certain risk factors relating to the business of the Trust which prospective investors should carefully consider before deciding whether to purchase Trust Units.

### **Industry-Related Risks**

#### **Volatility in Oil and Natural Gas Prices**

The monthly cash distributions the Trust pays to Unitholders are highly dependant on the prices received for PC's oil and natural gas production. Oil and natural gas prices can fluctuate significantly on a month-to-month basis in response to a variety of factors that are beyond the control of the Trust and PC. These factors include: political conditions throughout the world, worldwide economic conditions, weather conditions, the supply and price of foreign oil and natural gas, the level of consumer demand, the price and availability of alternative fuels, the proximity to, and capacity of, transportation facilities, the effect of worldwide energy conservation measures and government regulations.

#### **Foreign Currency Exchange Rates and Interest Rates**

World oil prices are quoted in U.S. dollars and the price received by Canadian producers is therefore affected by the Canadian/U.S. dollar exchange rate that fluctuates over time. A material increase in the value of the Canadian dollar which occurred from 2004 to 2005 negatively impacted the Trust's net production revenue. The Canadian dollar averaged US 0.83 in 2005 versus US 0.77 in 2004. The increase in the exchange rate for the Canadian dollar and future Canadian/United States exchange rates will impact future distributions and the future value of the Trust's reserves as determined by independent evaluators.

#### **Operations**

PC's operations are subject to all of the risks normally associated with drilling for and the production and transportation of oil and natural gas. Such risks and hazards include encountering unexpected formations or pressures, blow-outs, craterings, and fires, all of which could result in personal injury, loss of life, property damage, and environmental damage. PC has safety and environmental policies and liability insurance in place to protect operators and employees, as well as to meet regulatory requirements. Not all risks, however, are insurable and therefore PC cannot fully insure against all such risks. PC may become liable for damages arising from such events which cannot be insured against or which we may elect not to insure because of high premium costs or other reasons. See "Environmental Concerns".

Continuing production from a property, and to some extent the marketing of production there from, are largely dependant upon the ability of the operator of the property. Operating costs on most properties have increased over recent years. To the extent the operator fails to perform these functions properly, revenue may be reduced. PC markets and hedges a portion of its oil and natural gas production with a number of counterparties and therefore is subject to the risk that these parties may not be able to meet all their commitments under these contracts. A reduction of the distributions could result in such circumstances.

**Expansion of Operations**

The operations and expertise of management of the Trust are currently focused on conventional oil and gas production and development in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin. In the future, the Trust may acquire oil and gas properties outside this geographic area. In addition, the Trust Indenture does not limit the activities of the Trust to oil and gas production and development, and the Trust could acquire other energy related assets, such as oil and natural gas processing plants or pipelines, wind power generation, or an interest in an oil

sands project. Expansion of activities into new areas may present new additional risks or alternatively, significantly increase the exposure to one or more of the present risk factors which may result in future operational and financial conditions of the Trust being adversely affected.

### **Competition**

There is strong competition relating to all aspects of the oil and natural gas industry. The Trust competes for capital, acquisitions of reserves, undeveloped lands, skilled personnel, access to drilling rigs, service rigs and other equipment, access to processing facilities, pipeline and refining capacity, and in many other respects with a substantial number of other organizations, many of which may have greater technical and financial resources than the Trust. There are numerous trusts in the oil and natural gas industry that are competing for the acquisition of properties with longer life reserves and with exploitation and developmental opportunities. As a result of the increasing competition, it may be more difficult to acquire reserves on beneficial terms.

### **Environmental Concerns**

The oil and natural gas industry is subject to extensive environmental and safety regulations pursuant to local, provincial, and federal legislation. A breach of such legislation may result in the imposition of fines or issuance of clean up orders. Such legislation may be changed to impose higher standards and potentially more costly obligations.

PC has established a reclamation fund for the purpose of funding its estimated future environmental and reclamation obligations based on its current knowledge. There can be no assurance that PC will be able to satisfy its actual future environmental and reclamation obligations. While PC has established a reserve for extraordinary and significant site reclamation or abandonment costs, actual abandonment costs incurred in the ordinary course of business during a specific period reduce the amounts available for distribution to Unitholders. PC maintains insurance coverage considered to be customary in the industry, it is not fully insured against certain environmental risks, either because such insurance is not available, or because of high premium costs. In particular, insurance against risks from environmental pollution occurring over time (compared to sudden and catastrophic damages) is not available. In addition, the December 1997, Kyoto Protocol with respect to the reduction of greenhouse gases has been ratified by Canada. It is not possible at this time to assess the potential impact on the business and operations of the Trust, and they could be significant.

### **Business-Related Risks**

#### **Reserves**

The value of the Trust Units depends upon, among other things, the reserves attributable to PC's properties. The reserves and recovery information contained in PC's independent reserve evaluation is only an estimate. The actual production and ultimate reserves from the properties may be greater or less than the estimates prepared by the independent reserve evaluator. The reserve report was prepared using certain commodity price assumptions that are described in the notes to the reserve tables. If lower prices for crude oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas are realized by the Trust, the present value of estimated future net cash flows for the Trust's reserves would be reduced and the reduction could be significant.

#### **Depletion of Reserves**

The Trust has certain unique attributes which differentiate it from other oil and natural gas industry participants. Distributions by the Trust, absent commodity price increases or cost effective acquisition and development activities, will decline. As the Trust will not be reinvesting the majority of its cash flow, absent acquisitions and development activities, the Trust's production levels and reserves will decline. PC's reserves and production, and therefore its cash flows, are highly dependant upon its success in exploiting its reserve base and acquiring additional reserves. To the extent that external sources of capital, including the issuance of additional



Trust Units, become limited or unavailable, the Trust's ability to make the necessary capital investments to maintain or expand reserves will be impaired.

### **Marketability of Production**

The marketability of PC's production depends in part upon the availability, proximity and capacity of gas gathering systems, pipelines, and processing facilities. Canadian federal and provincial, as well as U.S. federal and state, regulation of oil and gas production and transportation, tax and energy policies, general economic conditions, and changes in supply and demand all could adversely affect PC's ability to produce and market oil and natural gas. If market factors dramatically change, the financial impact on the Trust's business could be substantial. The availability of markets is beyond PC's control.

### **Assessments of Value of Acquisitions**

Acquisitions of resource issuers and resource assets are based in large part on engineering and economic assessments made by independent engineers. These assessments will include a series of assumptions regarding such factors as recoverability and marketability of oil and natural gas, future prices of oil and natural gas and operating costs, future capital expenditures and royalties and other government levies which will be imposed over the producing life of the reserves. Many of these factors are subject to change and are beyond PC's control. In particular, the prices of and markets for resource products may change from those anticipated at the time of making such assessment. In addition, all such assessments involve a measure of geologic and engineering uncertainty which could result in lower production and reserves than anticipated. Initial assessments of acquisitions may be based on reports by a firm of independent engineers that are not the same as the firm that PC uses for its year end reserve evaluations, and these assessments may differ significantly from the assessments of the firm used by PC. Any such instance may offset the return on and value of the Trust Units.

### **Reliance on Third Party Operators**

Continuing production from a property and marketing of product produced from the property are dependent to a large extent on the ability of the operator of the property. PC currently operates properties that represent approximately 50% of its total daily production. To the extent the operator fails to perform these functions properly or becomes insolvent, revenue may be reduced.

### **Enforcement of Operating Agreements**

Operations of the wells on properties not operated by PC are generally governed by operating agreements, which typically require the operator to conduct operations in a good and workmanlike manner. Operating agreements generally provide, however, that the operator will have no liability to the other non-operating working interest owners for losses sustained or liabilities incurred, except such as may result from gross negligence or wilful misconduct. In addition, third-party operators are generally not fiduciaries with respect to PC, the Trust, or the Unitholders. PC, as owner of working interests in properties not operated by it, will generally have a cause of action for damages arising from a breach of such duty. Although not established by definitive legal precedent, it is unlikely that the Trust, or Unitholders, would be entitled to bring suit against third-party operators to enforce the terms of the operating

agreements; thus, Unitholders will be dependent on PC, as owner of the working interest, to enforce such rights.

## **Borrowing**

PC has secured credit facilities with variable interest rates. Variations in interest rates and scheduled principal repayments could result in significant changes in the amount of PC's revenues required to be applied to its debt service before payment of any amounts to the Trust. Certain covenants contained in PC's agreements with its lenders may also limit the amounts paid to the Trust and the distributions paid by the Trust to Unitholders.

PC's lenders have been provided with security over substantially all of the assets of PC. If PC becomes unable to pay its debt service charges or otherwise commits an event of default, such as bankruptcy, these lenders may foreclose on or sell PC's properties. The proceeds of any such sale would be applied to satisfy amounts owed to PC's lenders and other creditors and only the remainder, if any, would be available to the Trust.

PC acknowledges that the credit facilities may not be adequate and additional funds may not be attainable. The syndicated facility is available on a one year revolving basis. If the revolving period at which the lenders may extend the facility is not renewed for an additional one year period, the loan will convert to a one year term with payments due in three consecutive quarterly amounts equal to one-twentieth of the loan amount with an additional payment due on the last day of the term equal to the balance outstanding. If this occurs, PC will have to arrange alternate financing. There is no assurance that such financing will be available or be available on favourable terms. Trust distributions may be materially reduced in these circumstances and the failure to obtain suitable replacement financing may have a material adverse effect on the Trust.

## **Delays in Distributions**

In addition to the usual delays in payment by purchasers of oil and natural gas to the operators of PC's properties, and by those operators to PC, payments between any of these parties may also be delayed by restrictions imposed by lenders, delays in the sale or delivery of products, delays in the connection of wells to a gathering system, blowouts or other accidents, recovery by the operator of expenses incurred in the operation of the properties, or the establishment by the operator of reserves for such expenses. Any of these delays could adversely affect Trust distributions.

## **Unforeseen Title Defects**

Although title reviews are conducted prior to any purchase of resource issuers or resource assets, such reviews do not guarantee that an unforeseen defect in the chain of title will not arise to defeat PC's title to certain assets. A reduction of the distributable cash flow of the Trust and possible reduction of capital could result from such defects.

## **Sensitivity Analysis**

As discussed above Petrofund is subject to numerous business and industry related risks.

In 2005, PC's cash flow from operating activities was \$337.2 million, and net income was \$210.7 million. The sensitivity of PC's cash flow and net income before income taxes to oil price, gas price, \$US/\$Cdn exchange rate, and the prime interest rate is listed below.

The table below shows sensitivities to pre-hedging cash flow as a result of product price and operational changes. The table is based on actual 2005 prices received for the fourth quarter of 2005 and the fourth quarter of 2005 production

volumes of 39,178 Boepd. These sensitivities are approximations only and are not necessarily valid at other price and production levels. As well, hedging activities can significantly affect these sensitivities.

	<b>Change</b>	<b>M\$ \$/Unit per year</b>
Price per barrel of oil*	US\$1.00 WTI	\$7,457 \$0.063
Price per Mcf of natural gas*	Cdn\$0.25	\$7,556 \$0.064
US/Cdn exchange rate	\$0.01	\$6,215 \$0.053
Interest rate on debt (\$125 million)	1%	\$4,627 \$0.039
Oil production volumes *	100 Bpd	\$1,846 \$0.016
Gas production volumes *	1MMcfd	\$3,268 \$0.028

\*After adjustment for estimated royalties.

### **Risks Related to the Securities Markets and the Ownership of Trust Units**

#### **Nature of Trust Units**

The Trust Units do not represent a traditional investment in the oil and natural gas sector and should not be viewed by investors as shares in PC. The Trust Units are also dissimilar to conventional debt instruments in that there is no principal amount owing directly to Unitholders. The Trust Units represent a fractional interest in the Trust. As holders of Trust Units, Unitholders do not have the statutory rights normally associated with ownership of shares of a corporation including, for example, the right to bring "oppression" or "derivative" actions.

**The Trust Units are not "deposits" within the meaning of the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation Act (Canada) and are not insured under the provisions of that Act or any other legislation. Furthermore, the Trust is not a trust company and, accordingly, is not registered under any trust and loan company legislation as it does not carry on or intend to carry on the business of a trust company.**

The after-tax return from an investment in Units to Unitholders subject to Canadian income tax can be made up of both a return on and a return of capital. That composition may change over time, thus affecting a Unitholder's after-tax return.

#### **Trading Price of Trust Units**

The price per Trust Unit is a function of anticipated Trust Unit distributions, the properties acquired by the Trust, and its ability to effect long-term growth in the value of the Trust. The market price of the Trust Units will be sensitive to a variety of market conditions including, but not limited to, interest rates and the ability of the Trust to acquire suitable oil and natural gas properties. Changes in market conditions may adversely affect the trading price of the Trust Units.

Trust Units will have no value when reserves from the properties can no longer be economically produced or marketed and, as a result, cash distributions do not represent a "yield" in the traditional sense as they represent both return of capital and return on investment. Investors in Trust Units will have to obtain the return of capital invested out of cash flow derived from their investments in the Trust Units during the period when reserves can be economically recovered. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the distributions Unitholders receive over the life of their

investment will meet or exceed their initial capital investment.

**Reliance on Petrofund Corp. and Others**

Unitholders are entirely dependent on the management of PC with respect to the acquisition of oil and gas properties and assets, the development and acquisition of additional reserves, the management and administration

of all matters relating to properties, and the administration of the Trust. The loss of the services of key individuals who currently comprise the management team of PC could have a detrimental effect on the Trust. PC currently operates properties that represent approximately 50% of its total daily production. Investors who are not willing to rely on the management of PC should not invest in the Trust Units.

### **Unitholder Limited Liability**

Because of uncertainties in the law relating to investment trusts there is a risk that a Unitholder could be held personally liable for obligations of the Trust (to the extent that claims are not satisfied by the Trust) in respect of contracts or undertakings which the Trust enters into and for certain liabilities arising otherwise than out of contract including claims in tort, claims for taxes and possibly certain other statutory liabilities. The Trust Indenture requires that the operations of the Trust be conducted in such a way as to minimize any such risk and, in particular, where feasible, every written contract or commitment of the Trust must contain an express disavowal of liability upon the Unitholders and a limitation of liability to Trust property. Notwithstanding the terms of the Trust Indenture, Unitholders may not be protected from liabilities of the Trust to the same extent as a shareholder is protected from the liabilities of a corporation. It is unlikely, however, that personal liability will attach in Canada to the holders of Trust Units for claims arising out of any agreement or contract containing such a disavowal and limitation of liability. It is also considered unlikely that personal liability will attach in Canada to the holders of Trust Units for claims in tort, claims for taxes and possibly certain other statutory liabilities. In the event that a Unitholder is required to satisfy any obligation of the Trust, such Unitholder will be entitled to reimbursement from any available assets in the Trust.

The *Trust Beneficiaries' Liability Act*, 2004 (Ontario) was proclaimed in force as of December 16, 2004. The legislation provides that unitholders will not be liable, as beneficiaries of a trust, for any act, default, obligation, or liability of the trust or its trustee that arises after the legislation came into force.

### **Retraction Right**

Cash payments for Trust Units surrendered for retraction are subject to limitations and any notes issued in lieu of a cash payment will not be listed on any stock exchange and no market is expected to develop for such notes.

### **Additional Financing**

An objective of the Trust is to continually add to its reserves through acquisitions and through development, and because the Trust does not reinvest its cash flow, the success of the Trust is in part dependent on its ability to raise capital from time to time. Holders of Trust Units may also suffer dilution in connection with future issuances of Trust Units, whether issued pursuant to a financing or acquisition or otherwise. Conversely to the extent that external sources of capital, including the issuance of additional Trust Units become limited or unavailable, the Trust's and PC's ability to make the necessary capital investments to maintain or expand its oil and gas reserves will be impaired. To the extent that the Trust is required to use cash flow to finance capital expenditures or property acquisitions or to pay debt service charges or to reduce debt, the level of distributions paid by the Trust to Unitholders may be reduced.

### **Mutual Fund Trust**

Pursuant to the Tax Act, in order for the Trust to qualify as "mutual fund trust" for the purposes of the Tax Act, it is required, among other things, that (i) the Trust not be considered to be a trust established or maintained primarily for

the benefit of non-residents of Canada; or (ii) the Trust satisfies certain conditions as to the nature of the assets of the Trust as specified in the Tax Act (the "Asset Test"). The Trust Indenture provides that, except to the extent permitted under the Tax Act, the Trust shall endeavour to satisfy the requirements of the



Tax Act to qualify as a "mutual fund trust" at all times. The Trust believes it has at all material times satisfied the Asset Test and, accordingly, for purposes of the requirements of these provisions should qualify as a "mutual fund trust" under the current provisions of the Tax Act.

### **Changes in Legislation**

There can be no assurance that income tax laws, other laws or government incentive programs relating to the oil and gas industry, such as the status of mutual fund trusts and resource allowance, will not be changed in a manner which will adversely affect the Trust and Unitholders. There can be no assurance that tax authorities having jurisdiction will agree with how the Trust calculates its income for tax purposes or that such tax authorities will not change their administrative practices to the detriment of the Trust or the Unitholders.

### **Changes in the Trust's Status under Tax Laws**

Income tax laws, or other laws or government incentive programs relating to the oil and gas industry, such as the treatment of mutual fund trusts and resource taxation, may in the future be changed or interpreted in a manner that adversely affects the Trust and its Unitholders. Tax authorities having jurisdiction over the Trust or the Unitholders may disagree with how the Trust calculates its income for tax purposes or could change administrative practises to the detriment of the Trust or the detriment of its Unitholders.

PC intends that the Trust will continue to qualify as a mutual fund trust for purposes of the Tax Act. The Trust may not, however, always be able to satisfy any future requirements for the maintenance of mutual fund trust status. Should the status of the Trust as a mutual fund trust be lost or successfully challenged by a relevant tax authority, certain adverse consequences may arise for the Trust and its Unitholders. Some of the significant consequences of losing mutual fund trust status are as follows:

- 

The Trust would be taxed on certain types of income distributed to Unitholders, including income generated by the royalties held by the Trust. Payment of this tax may have adverse consequences for some Unitholders, particularly Unitholders that are not residents of Canada and residents of Canada that are otherwise exempt from Canadian income tax.

- 

The Trust would cease to be eligible for the capital gains refund mechanism available under Canadian tax laws if it ceased to be a mutual fund trust.

- 

Trust Units held by Unitholders that are not residents of Canada would become taxable Canadian property. These non-resident holders would be subject to Canadian income tax on any gains realized on a disposition of Trust Units held by them.

-

Trust Units would not constitute qualified investments for registered retirement savings plans ("RRSPs"), registered retirement income funds ("RRIFs"), registered education savings plans ("RESTs") or deferred profit sharing plans ("DPSPs"). If, at the end of any month, one of these exempt plans holds Trust Units that are not qualified investments, the plan must pay a tax equal to 1% of the fair market value of the Trust Units at the time the Trust Units were acquired by the exempt plan. An RRSP or RRIF holding non-qualified Trust Units would be subject to taxation on income attributable to the Trust Units. If an RESP holds non-qualified Trust Units, it may have its registration revoked by the Canada Revenue Agency.

In addition, PC may take certain measures in the future to the extent it believes necessary to ensure that the Trust maintains its status as a mutual fund trust. These measures could be adverse to certain holders of Trust Units, particularly "non-residents" of Canada as defined in the Tax Act.

## **INDUSTRY REGULATIONS**

The oil and natural gas industry is subject to extensive controls and regulations governing its operations (including land tenure, exploration, development, production, refining, transportation, and marketing) imposed by legislation enacted by various levels of government and with respect to pricing and taxation of oil and natural gas by agreements among the governments of Canada, Alberta, British Columbia, and Saskatchewan, all of which should be carefully considered by investors in the oil and gas industry. It is not expected that any of these controls or regulations will affect the operations of PC in a manner materially different than they would affect other oil and gas companies of similar size. All current legislation is a matter of public record and PC is unable to predict what additional legislation or amendments may be enacted. Outlined below are some of the principal aspects of legislation, regulations and agreements governing the oil and gas industry.

### **Pricing and Marketing Oil and Natural Gas**

The producers of oil are entitled to negotiate sales contracts directly with oil purchasers, with the result that the market determines the price of oil. Oil prices are primarily based on worldwide supply and demand. The specific price depends in part on oil quality, prices of competing fuels, distance to market, value of refined products, the supply/demand balance, and other contractual terms. Oil exporters are also entitled to enter into export contracts with terms not exceeding one year in the case of light crude oil and two years in the case of heavy crude oil, provided that an order approving such export has been obtained from the National Energy Board of Canada (the "NEB"). Any oil export to be made pursuant to a contract of longer duration (to a maximum of 25 years) requires an exporter to obtain an export licence from the NEB and the issuance of such licence requires the approval of the Governor in Council.

The price of natural gas is determined by negotiation between buyers and sellers. Natural gas exported from Canada is subject to regulation by the NEB and the Government of Canada. Exporters are free to negotiate prices and other terms with purchasers, provided that the export contracts must continue to meet certain other criteria prescribed by the NEB and the Government of Canada. Natural gas exports for a term of less than 2 years or for a term of 2 to 20 years (in quantities of not more than 30,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day), must be made pursuant to an NEB order. Any natural gas export to be made pursuant to a contract of longer duration (to a maximum of 25 years) or a larger quantity requires an exporter to obtain an export licence from the NEB and the issuance of such licence requires the approval of the Governor in Council.

The governments of Alberta, British Columbia, and Saskatchewan also regulate the volume of natural gas that may be removed from those provinces for consumption elsewhere based on such factors as reserve availability, transportation arrangements, and market considerations.

### **Pipeline Capacity**

Although pipeline expansions are ongoing, the lack of firm pipeline capacity continues to affect the oil and natural gas industry and limit the ability to produce and to market natural gas production. In addition, the pro-rationing of capacity on the inter-provincial pipeline systems also continues to affect the ability to export oil and natural gas.

### **The North American Free Trade Agreement**

The North American Free Trade Agreement ("NAFTA") among the governments of Canada, United States of America, and Mexico became effective on January 1, 1994. NAFTA carries forward most of the material energy

terms that are contained in the Canada United States Free Trade Agreement. In the context of energy resources, Canada continues to remain free to determine whether exports of energy resources to the United States or Mexico will be allowed, provided that any export restrictions do not: (i) reduce the proportion of energy

resources exported relative to domestic use (based upon the proportion prevailing in the most recent 36 month period); (ii) impose an export price higher than the domestic price subject to an exception with respect to certain measures which only restrict the volume of exports; and (iii) disrupt normal channels of supply. All three countries are prohibited from imposing minimum or maximum export or import price requirements, provided, in the case of export-price requirements, prohibition in any circumstances in which any other form of quantitative restriction is prohibited, and in the case of import-price requirements, such requirements do not apply with respect to enforcement of countervailing and anti-dumping orders and undertakings.

NAFTA contemplates the reduction of Mexican restrictive trade practices in the energy sector and prohibits discriminatory border restrictions and export taxes. NAFTA also contemplates clearer disciplines on regulators to ensure fair implementation of any regulatory changes and to minimize disruption of contractual arrangements and avoid undue interference with pricing, marketing and distribution arrangements, which is important for Canadian natural gas exports.

## **Provincial Royalties and Incentives**

### *General*

In addition to federal regulation, each province has legislation and regulations which govern land tenure, royalties, production rates, environmental protection, and other matters. The royalty regime is a significant factor in the profitability of crude oil, natural gas liquids, sulphur, and natural gas production. Royalties payable on production from lands other than Crown lands are determined by negotiations between the mineral owner and the lessee, although production from such lands is subject to certain provincial taxes and royalties. Crown royalties are determined by governmental regulation and are generally calculated as a percentage of the value of the gross production. The rate of royalties payable generally depends in part on prescribed reference prices, well productivity, geographical location, field discovery date, method of recovery, and the type or quality of the petroleum product produced. Other royalties and royalty-like interests are from time to time carved out of the working interest owner's interest through non-public transactions. These are often referred to as overriding royalties, gross overriding royalties, net profits interests, or net carried interests.

From time to time the governments of the western Canadian provinces create incentive programs for exploration and development. Such programs often provide for royalty rate reductions, royalty holidays, and tax credits, and are generally introduced when commodity prices are low. The programs are designed to encourage exploration and development activity by improving earnings and cash flow within the industry. Royalty holidays and reductions would reduce the amount of Crown royalties paid by oil and gas producers to the provincial governments and would increase the net income and funds from operations of such producers. However, the trend in recent years has been for provincial governments to allow such incentive programs to expire without renewal, and consequently few such incentive programs are currently operative.

On March 3, 2003 the Department of Finance (Canada) released a technical paper entitled "Improving the Income Taxation of the Resource Sector in Canada" (the "Technical Paper"). In November, 2003 the Tax Act was amended to provide the following initiatives applicable to the oil and gas industry (to a maximum of \$2,000,000) to be phased in over a five year period: (i) a reduction of the federal statutory corporate income tax rate on income earned from resource activities from 28% to 21%, beginning with a one percentage point reduction effective January 1, 2003, and (ii) a deduction for federal income tax purposes of actual provincial and other Crown royalties and mining taxes paid and the elimination of the 25% resource allowance. In addition, the percentage of ARTC that PC will be required to include in federal taxable income will be 12.5% in 2004; 17.5% in 2005; 32.5% in 2006; 50% in 2007; 60% in 2008;

70% in 2009; 80% in 2010; 90% in 2011, and 100% in 2012 and beyond.

### ***Alberta***

Regulations made pursuant to the *Mines and Minerals Act* (Alberta) provide various incentives for exploring and developing oil reserves in Alberta. Oil produced from horizontal extensions commenced at least 5 years after the well was originally spudded may also qualify for a royalty reduction. A 24 month, 8,000 m<sup>3</sup> exemption is available to production from a reactivated well that has not produced for: (i) a 12 month period, if resuming production in October, November, or December of 1992 or January, 1993; or (ii) a 24 month period, if resuming production in February 1993, or later. As well, oil production from eligible new field and new pool wildcat wells and deeper pool test wells spudded or deepened after September 30, 1992, is entitled to a 12 month royalty exemption (to a maximum of \$1 million). Oil produced from low productivity wells, enhanced recovery schemes (such as injection wells), and experimental projects is also subject to royalty reductions.

The Alberta government has also introduced a Third Tier Royalty with a base rate of 10% and a rate cap of 25% for oil pools discovered after September 30, 1992. The new oil royalty reserved to the Crown has a base rate of 10% and a rate cap of 30%. The old oil royalty reserved to the Crown has a base rate of 10% and a rate cap of 35%.

In Alberta, the royalty reserved to the Crown in respect of natural gas production, subject to various incentives, is between 15% and 30%, in the case of new natural gas, and between 15% and 35%, in the case of old natural gas, depending upon a prescribed or corporate average reference price. Natural gas produced from qualifying intervals in eligible gas wells spudded or deepened to a depth below 2,500 metres is also subject to a royalty exemption, the amount of which depends on the depth of the well.

Oil sands projects are subject to a specific regulation made effective July 1, 1997, and expiring June 30, 2007, which, among other things, determines the Crown's share of crude and processed oil sands products.

In Alberta, a producer of oil or natural gas is entitled to a credit on qualified oil and natural gas production against the royalties payable to the Crown by virtue of the Alberta royalty tax credit ("ARTC") program. The ARTC rate is based on a price sensitive formula and the ARTC rate varies between 75% at prices at and below \$100 per m<sup>3</sup> and 25% at prices at and above \$210 per m<sup>3</sup>. In general, the ARTC program provides a rebate on Alberta Crown royalties paid in respect of eligible producing properties. The ARTC rate is applied to a maximum of \$2,000,000 of Alberta Crown royalties payable for each producer or associated group of producers. Crown royalties on production from producing properties acquired from a corporation claiming maximum entitlement to ARTC will generally not be eligible for ARTC. The rate is established quarterly based on the average "par price", as determined by the Alberta Department of Energy for the previous quarterly period.

On December 22, 1997, the Alberta government announced that it was conducting a review of the ARTC program with the objective of setting out better targeted objectives for a smaller program and to deal with administrative difficulties. On August 30, 1999, the Alberta government announced that it would not be reducing the size of the program but that it would introduce new rules to reduce the number of persons who qualify for the program. The new rules will preclude companies that pay less than \$10,000 in royalties per year and non-corporate entities from qualifying for the program. Such rules will not presently preclude PC from being eligible for the ARTC program.

### ***British Columbia***

Producers of oil and natural gas in the Province of British Columbia are also required to pay annual rental payments in respect of the Crown leases and royalties and freehold production taxes in respect of oil and gas produced from Crown and freehold lands, respectively. The amount payable as a royalty in respect of oil depends on the type of oil, the

value of the oil, the quantity of oil produced in a month, and the vintage of the oil. Generally, the vintage of oil is based on the determination of whether the oil is produced from a pool discovered



before October 31, 1975 (old oil), between October 31, 1975, and June 1, 1998 (new oil), or after June 1, 1998 (third-tier oil). Oil produced from newly discovered pools may be exempt from the payment of a royalty for the first 36 months of production. The royalty payable on natural gas is determined by a sliding scale based on a reference price, which is the greater of the amount obtained by the producer, and a prescribed minimum price. As an incentive for the production and marketing of natural gas, which may have been flared, natural gas produced in association with oil has a lower royalty than the royalty payable on non-conservation gas.

On May 30, 2003, the Ministry of Energy and Mines for the province of British Columbia announced an Oil and Gas Development Strategy for the Heartlands ("Strategy"). The Strategy is a comprehensive program to address road infrastructure, targeted royalties and regulatory reduction, and British Columbia service sector opportunities. In addition, the Strategy will result in economic and employment opportunities for communities in British Columbia's heartlands.

Some of the financial incentives in the Strategy include:

- 

Royalty credits of up to \$30 million annually towards the construction, upgrading, and maintenance of road infrastructure in support of resource exploration and development. Funding will be contingent upon an equal contribution from industry.

- 

Changes to provincial royalties: new royalty rates for low productivity natural gas to enhance marginally economic resources plays, royalty credits for deep gas exploration to locate new sources of natural gas, and royalty credits for summer drilling to expand the drilling season.

### ***Saskatchewan***

In Saskatchewan, the amount payable as a royalty in respect of oil depends on the vintage of the oil, the type of oil, the quantity of oil produced in a month, and the value of the oil. For Crown royalty and freehold production tax purposes, crude oil is considered "heavy oil", "southwest designated oil", or "non-heavy oil other than southwest designated oil". The conventional royalty and production tax classifications ("fourth tier oil" introduced October 1, 2002, "third tier oil", "new oil", or "old oil") of oil production are applicable to each of the three crude oil types. The Crown royalty and freehold production tax structure for crude oil is price sensitive and varies between the base royalty rates of 5% for all "fourth tier oil" to 20% for "old oil". Marginal royalty rates are 30% for all "fourth tier oil" to 45% for "old oil".

The amount payable as a royalty in respect of natural gas is determined by a sliding scale based on a reference price (which is the greater of the amount obtained by the producer and a prescribed minimum price), the quantity produced in a given month, the type of natural gas, and the vintage of the natural gas. As an incentive for the production and marketing of natural gas which may have been flared, the royalty rate on natural gas produced in association with oil is less than on non-associated natural gas. The royalty and production tax classifications of gas production are "fourth tier gas" introduced October 1, 2002, "third tier gas", "new gas", and "old gas". The Crown royalty and freehold production tax for gas is price sensitive and varies between the base royalty rate of 5% for "fourth tier gas" and 20% for "old gas". The marginal royalty rates are between 30% for "fourth tier gas" and 45% for "old gas".

On October 1, 2002, the following changes were made to the royalty and tax regime in Saskatchewan:

- 

A new Crown royalty and freehold production tax regime applicable to associated natural gas (gas produced from oil wells) that is gathered for use or sale. The royalty/tax will be payable on associated natural gas produced from an oil well that exceeds approximately 65 thousand cubic meters in a month.

- A modified system of incentive volumes and maximum royalty/tax rates applicable to the initial production from oil wells and gas wells with a finished drilling date on or after October 1, 2002, was introduced. The incentive volumes are applicable to various well types and are subject to a maximum royalty rate of 2.5% and a freehold production tax rate of zero per cent.

- The elimination of the re-entry and short section horizontal oil well royalty/tax categories. All horizontal oil wells with a finished drilling date on or after October 1, 2002, will receive the "fourth tier" royalty/ tax rates and new incentive volumes.

### **Land Tenure**

Crude oil and natural gas located in the western provinces is owned predominantly by the respective provincial governments. Provincial governments grant rights to explore for and produce oil and natural gas pursuant to leases, licences, and permits for varying terms from two years, and on conditions set forth in provincial legislation including requirements to perform specific work or make payments. Oil and natural gas located in such provinces can also be privately owned and rights to explore for and produce such oil and natural gas are granted by lease on such terms and conditions as may be negotiated.

### **Environmental Regulation**

The oil and natural gas industry is currently subject to environmental regulations pursuant to a variety of provincial and federal legislation. Such legislation provides for restrictions and prohibitions on the release or emission of various substances produced in association with certain oil and gas industry operations. In addition, such legislation requires that well and facility sites be abandoned and reclaimed to the satisfaction of provincial authorities. Compliance with such legislation can require significant expenditures and a breach of such requirements may result in suspension or revocation of necessary licenses and authorizations, civil liability for pollution damage, and the imposition of material fines and penalties.

Environmental legislation in the Province of Alberta has been consolidated into the *Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act* (Alberta) (the "AEPEA"), which came into force on September 1, 1993, and the *Oil and Gas Conservation Act* (Alberta) (the "OGCA"). The APEA and OGCA impose stricter environmental standards, require more stringent compliance, reporting and monitoring obligations, and significantly increase penalties. PC is committed to meeting its responsibilities to protect the environment wherever it operates and anticipates making increased expenditures of both a capital and an expense nature as a result of the increasingly stringent laws relating to the protection of the environment, and will be taking such steps as required to ensure compliance with the APEA and similar legislation in other jurisdictions in which it operates. PC believes that it is in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. PC also believes that it is reasonably likely that the trend towards stricter standards in environmental legislation and regulation will continue.

British Columbia's *Environmental Assessment Act* became effective June 30, 1995. This legislation rolls the previous processes for the review of major energy projects into a single environmental assessment process with public participation in the environmental review process.

In December, 2002, the Government of Canada ratified the Kyoto Protocol ("Protocol"). The Protocol calls for Canada to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions to 6% below 1990 "business-as-usual" levels between 2008 and 2012. Given revised estimates of Canada's normal emissions levels, this target translates into an approximately 40% gross reduction in Canada's current emissions. In April 2005, Environment Canada released "Project Green", a working paper giving early indications of how implementation was to be achieved. Large Final Emitters ( LFEs ), being 700 of Canada's largest emitters, will receive a specific reduction target of 45 mt, and will have the opportunity to purchase domestic offset and technology credits. The exact mechanism for operating in the domestic credit market has yet to be revealed, and the prospect of non-LFE enterprise

participating in that market to any great extent is uncertain. Various incentive funds have also been established to provide seed funding for the purchase of experimental technologies, encourage investment in alternative energy sources, and acquire credits from the domestic and international markets for re-sale to Canadian enterprise.

Environment Canada, in August 2005, released consultation papers for the management of a system of greenhouse gas offsets in the form of tradable and bankable credits. The credits are created by enterprise, individuals, or municipal government through the implementation of projects registered with the to-be-created offset authority. Standards for quantifying greenhouse gas reductions were also proposed in the consultation paper.

### **INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS**

There is no material interest, direct or indirect, of any director or executive officer, or to the knowledge of PC, any person or company that is the direct or indirect beneficial owner of, or who exercises control or direction over, more than 10% of outstanding Trust Units, or any associate or affiliate of any of the foregoing, in any transaction within the three most recently completed financial years except for the following.

John F. Driscoll is the Chairman of Board of PC. The Previous Manager was purchased by PC from Petro Assets pursuant to the Internalization Transaction. Petro Assets was owned by the Driscoll Family Trust (a trust established for the family of John F. Driscoll). Subsequent to closing of the Internalization Transaction, Sentry Select Capital Corp. ( Sentry ) agreed to provide certain management services to the Trust and PC and at Sentry's cost until December 31, 2003. Sentry is a company in which John F. Driscoll owns a controlling interest. See "General Development of the Business of the Trust - General".

### **TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR**

The transfer agent and registrar for the Trust Units is Computershare Trust Company of Canada at its principal offices in Calgary and Toronto.

### **LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

There were no outstanding legal proceedings material to the Trust to which the Trust is a party or in respect of which any of its properties is subject, nor are there any such proceedings known to be contemplated.

### **MATERIAL CONTRACTS**

Except for contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business, the Trust has not, within the most recently completed financial year, entered into any contracts which are material to the Trust. Further, there are no material contracts entered into before the most recently completed financial year, which are still material and still in effect.

### **INTEREST OF EXPERTS**

There is no person or company whose profession or business gives authority to a statement made by such person or company and who is named as having prepared or certified a statement, report or valuation described or included in a

filing, or referred to in a filing, made under National Instrument 51-102 by the Trust during, or related to, the Trust's most recently completed financial year other than GLJ, the independent reserve evaluator, and Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Trust's independent registered chartered accountants. None of the principals of GLJ had any registered or beneficial interests, direct or indirect, in any securities of the Trust or the property of the Trust or of the Trust's associates or affiliates either at the time they prepared the statement, report, or valuation prepared by it, at any time thereafter or to be received by them.

In addition, none of the aforementioned persons or companies, nor any director, officer or employee of any of the aforementioned persons or companies, is or is expected to be elected, appointed, or employed as a director, officer or employee of PC or of any of the Trust's associates or affiliates.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Additional information relating to the Trust is available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) and on the Trust's website at [www.petrofund.ca](http://www.petrofund.ca).

Additional information including directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Trust's securities, and securities authorized for issuance under share compensation plans, if applicable, is contained in the Trust's information circular for its most recent annual meeting of Unitholders that involved the election of directors, and additional financial information is provided in the Trust's comparative financial statements (and related management's discussion and analysis) for its most recently completed financial year.

For additional copies of this annual information form please contact:

Petrofund Corp.

444 - 7th Avenue S.W.

Suite 600

Calgary, Alberta

T2P 0X8

Attention: Investor Relations

**APPENDIX A**

**REPORT OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS ON OIL AND GAS DISCLOSURE**

Management of Petrofund Corp. (the "Company"), on behalf of Petrofund Energy Trust, are responsible for the preparation and disclosure of information with respect to the Company's oil and gas activities in accordance with securities regulatory requirements. This information includes reserves data, which consist of the following:

(a)

(i)

proved and proved plus probable oil and gas reserves estimated as at December 31, 2005, using forecast prices and costs; and

(ii)

the related estimated future net revenue; and

(b)

(i)

proved oil and gas reserves estimated as at December 31, 2005, using constant prices and costs; and

(ii)

the related estimated future net revenue.

An independent qualified reserves evaluator has evaluated the Company's reserves data. The report of the independent qualified reserves evaluator is presented below.

The Reserves Committee of the board of directors of the Company has:

(a)

reviewed the Company's procedures for providing information to the independent qualified reserves evaluator;

(b)

met with the independent qualified reserves evaluator to determine whether any restrictions affected the ability of the independent qualified reserves evaluator to report without reservation; and

(c)

reviewed the reserves data with management and the independent qualified reserves evaluator.



Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

The Reserves Audit and EH&S Committee of the Board of Directors (the Reserves EH&S Committee ) has reviewed the Company's procedures for assembling and reporting other information associated with oil and gas activities and has reviewed that information with management. The Board of Directors has, on the recommendation of the Reserves EH&S Committee, approved:

- (a)  
the content and filing with securities regulatory authorities of the reserves data and other oil and gas information;
- (b)  
the filing of the report of the independent qualified reserves evaluator on the reserves data; and
- (c)  
the content and filing of this report.

Because the reserves data are based on judgments regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material.

(signed) "*Jeffery E. Errico*"

Jeffery E. Errico

President and Chief Executive Officer

(signed) "*Glen C. Fischer*"

Glen C. Fischer

Senior Vice President, Operations

(signed) "*Wayne M. Newhouse*"

Wayne M. Newhouse

Director and Chairman of the Reserves EH&S Committee

(signed) "*James E. Allard*"

James E. Allard

Director and Member of the Reserves EH&S Committee

March 15, 2006

**APPENDIX B**

**REPORT ON RESERVES DATA BY INDEPENDENT QUALIFIED RESERVES EVALUATOR**

To the board of directors of Petrofund Corp. (the "Company"):

1.

We have prepared an evaluation of the Company's reserves data as at December 31, 2005. The reserves data consist of the following:

(a)

(i)

proved and proved plus probable oil and gas reserves estimated as at December 31, 2005, using forecast prices and costs; and

(ii)

the related estimated future net revenue; and

(b)

(i)

proved oil and gas reserves estimated as at December 31, 2005, using constant prices and costs; and

(ii)

the related estimated future net revenue.

2.

The reserves data are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the reserves data based on our evaluation.

We carried out our evaluation in accordance with standards set out in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook (the "COGE Handbook") prepared jointly by the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (Calgary Chapter) and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy & Petroleum (Petroleum Society).

3.

Those standards require that we plan and perform an evaluation to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the reserves data are free of material misstatement. An evaluation also includes assessing whether the reserves data are in accordance with principles and definitions presented in the COGE Handbook.

4.

The following table sets forth the estimated future net revenue (before deduction of income taxes) attributed to proved plus probable reserves, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated using a discount rate of 10 percent, included in the reserves data of the Company evaluated by us for the year ended December 31, 2005, and identifies the respective portions thereof that we have audited, evaluated and reviewed and reported on to the Company's board of directors:

Description and Preparation Date of Report	Location of Reserves (County or Foreign Geographic Area)	Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue			
		(M\$ before income taxes, 10% discount rate - \$M)			
		Audited	Evaluated	Reviewed	Total
January 30, 2006	Canada		\$1,917,333	\$365,965	\$2,283,298

5.

In our opinion, the reserves data respectively evaluated by us have, in all material respects, been determined and are in accordance with the COGE Handbook.

6.

We have no responsibility to update this evaluation for events and circumstances occurring after the preparation date.

7.

Because the reserves data are based on judgements regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material.

Executed as to our report referred to above:

GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd., Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Dated: February 9, 2006

*(signed) "Bryan M. Joa"*

Bryan M. Joa, P. Eng.

VP Corporate Evaluations

**APPENDIX C**

**Mandate and Charter of the Audit**

**Committee of the Board of Directors**

**I.**

**TERMS OF REFERENCE**

**22**

**II.**

**OPERATING PRINCIPLES**

**22**

**III.**

**COMPOSITION AND MEETINGS**

**22**

**IV.**

**RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES**

**22**

**V.**

**GENERAL**

**22**

**I.**

**TERMS OF REFERENCE**

**WHEREAS** Petrofund Corp. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Petrofund Energy Trust (the "**Trust**");

**AND WHEREAS** Petrofund Corp. is responsible for the overall governance of the Trust pursuant to the trust indenture of the Trust;

**AND WHEREAS** Petrofund Corp. is, in turn, governed by its board of directors (the "**Board**");

**AND WHEREAS**, financial reporting and disclosure by the Trust constitute a significant aspect of the management of the Trust's business and affairs;

**AND WHEREAS** the objective of the monitoring of the Trust's financial reporting and disclosure (the **Financial Reporting Objective** ) by the Board is to gain reasonable assurance of the following:

(a)

that the Trust complies with all applicable laws, regulations, rules, policies and other requirements of governments, regulatory agencies and stock exchanges relating to financial reporting and disclosure;

(b)

that the accounting principles, significant judgments and disclosures that underlie or are incorporated in the Trust's financial statements are the most appropriate in the prevailing circumstances;

(c)

that the Trust's quarterly and annual financial statements are accurate and present fairly the Trust's financial position and performance in accordance with Canadian (and if applicable, the United States of America) generally accepted accounting principles; and

(d)

that appropriate information concerning the financial position and performance of the Trust is disseminated to the public in a timely manner;

**AND WHEREAS**, the Board is of the view that the Financial Reporting Objective cannot be reliably met unless the following activities (the **Fundamental Activities** ) are conducted effectively:

(i)

the Trust's accounting functions are performed in accordance with a system of internal financial controls designed to capture and record properly and accurately all of the Trust's financial transactions;

(ii)

the Trust's internal financial controls are regularly assessed for effectiveness and efficiency;

(iii)

the Trust's quarterly and annual financial statements are properly prepared by management;

(iv)

the Trust's quarterly financial statements are reviewed by an independent external auditor appointed by the Unitholders of the Trust (the **external auditors** ) and the annual financial statements are reported on by the external auditors; and

(v)

the Disclosure Policy of the Trust, and in particular the financial components of such Disclosure Policy, are complied with by management and the Board;

**AND WHEREAS**, to assist the Board in its monitoring of the Trust's financial reporting and disclosure, the Board has established, and hereby continues the existence of, a committee of the Board known as the Audit Committee (the **Committee** );

The following shall be the mandate and charter of the Committee:

## **II.**

### **OPERATING PRINCIPLES**

The Committee shall fulfill its responsibilities within the context of the following principles:

2.

#### Committee Values

The Committee expects the management of the Trust to operate in compliance with any applicable code of conduct and corporate policies; with laws and regulations governing the Trust; and to maintain strong financial reporting and control processes.



3.

#### Communications

The Chairman of the Committee (and others on the Committee) expects to have direct, open and frank communications throughout the year with management, the Chairs of other committees, the Trust's external auditors and other key Committee advisors as applicable.

4.

#### Financial Literacy

All Committee members should be sufficiently versed in financial matters to understand the Trust's accounting practices and policies and the major judgments involved in preparing the financial statements.

5.

#### Annual Audit Committee Work Plan

The Committee, while not responsible for the planning or conduct of audits, may develop an annual audit committee work plan responsive to the Committee's responsibilities as set out in this charter. In addition, the Committee shall review the process developed by management in conjunction with the external auditors for review of important financial topics that have the potential to impact the Trust's financial disclosure.

6.

#### Meeting Agenda

Committee meeting agendas shall be the responsibility of the Chairman of the Committee in consultation with Committee members, senior management, and the Trust's external auditors.

7.

#### Committee Expectations and Information Needs

The Committee shall communicate its expectations to management and the Trust's external auditors with respect to the nature, timing and extent of its information needs. The Committee expects that written materials will be received from management and the external auditors at least one week in advance of meeting dates.



8.

#### External Resources

To assist the Committee in discharging its responsibilities, the Committee may, in addition to the Trust's external auditors, at the expense of the Trust, retain one or more persons having special expertise.

9.

#### In Camera Meetings

At the end of, or during, each meeting of the Committee, the members of the Committee shall meet in private sessions with the Trust's external auditors and with members of management, as required.

10.

#### Reporting to the Board

The Committee, through its Chairman, shall report after each Committee meeting to the Board at the Board's next meeting.

11.

#### The External Auditors

The Committee expects that, in discharging their responsibilities to Unitholders of the Trust, the Trust's external auditors shall be accountable to the Board through the Committee. The external auditors shall report all material issues or potentially material issues to the Committee.

### **III.**

#### **COMPOSITION AND MEETINGS**

12.

The Committee shall consist of at least three members of the Board appointed annually by the Board:

(i)

each of whom shall be an independent director (within the meaning of National Instrument 58-101 – Disclosure of Corporate Governance Practices) and free from any interest and any business or other relationship that could, or could reasonably be perceived to, materially interfere with the director's ability to act with a view to the best interests of the Trust (other than interests and relationships arising from holding units of the Trust);

(ii)

at least one of whom meets the Securities and Exchange Commission definition of **Financial Expert** ; and

(iii)

none of whom is an officer or employee of the Trust.

The composition of the Committee shall also satisfy such other independence, financial literacy, and other requirements of law, the Toronto Stock Exchange and the American Stock Exchange as may be applicable from time to time. The Board shall appoint one member as Chairman of the Committee.

13.

The members of the Committee may be removed or replaced, and any vacancies on the Committee shall be filled by, the Board. If and whenever a vacancy shall exist, the remaining members of the Committee may exercise all of its powers and responsibilities so long as a quorum remains in office.

14.

The Committee shall meet at least four times annually, or more frequently as circumstances dictate. Meetings may be called by the Chairman of the Committee, at the request of two members of the Committee, or at the request of the Trust's external auditors. A meeting of the Committee may be called by letter, telephone, facsimile, email, or other communication equipment, by giving at least 48 hours notice, provided that no notice of a meeting shall be necessary if all of the members are present either in person or by means of conference telephone or if those absent have waived notice or otherwise signified their consent to the holding of such meeting.

15.

Any member of the Committee may participate in the meeting of the Committee by means of conference telephone or other communication equipment, and the member participating in a meeting pursuant to this paragraph shall be deemed, for purposes hereof, to be present in person at the meeting.



16.

The Board and the Committee may, from time to time, appoint any person who need not be a member, to act as a secretary at any meeting.

17.

The Committee may invite such officers, directors and employees as it may see fit, from time to time, to attend meetings of the Committee.

18.

Any matters to be determined by the Committee shall be decided by a majority of votes cast at a meeting of the Committee called for such purpose. Actions of the Committee may be taken by an instrument or instruments in writing signed by all of the members of the Committee, and such actions shall be effective as though they had been decided by a majority of votes cast at a meeting of the Committee called for such purpose.

19.

In the absence of the Chairman of the Committee, the members of the Committee shall appoint an acting Chairman.

20.

A copy of the minutes of each meeting of the Committee shall be provided to each member of the Committee and to each director in a timely fashion and the Committee shall report to the Board periodically, but no less than once annually.

#### **IV.**

#### **RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES**

To fulfill its responsibilities and duties:

## Financial Reporting

21.

the Committee shall review the Trust's annual and quarterly financial statements, including the Trust's disclosures under **Management Discussion and Analysis**, with management and the Trust's external auditors to gain reasonable assurance that the statements are accurate, complete, represent fairly the Trust's financial position and performance and are in accordance with Canadian (and if applicable, the United States of America) GAAP and report thereon to the Board before such financial statements are approved by the Board;

22.

the Committee shall receive from the Trust's external auditors reports on the results of their audit or review, respectively, of the annual and quarterly financial statements;

23.

the Committee may receive from management a copy of the representation letter provided to the Trust's external auditors and receive from management any additional representations required by the Committee;

24.

the Committee may review with management and, if appropriate, recommend approval to the Board of news releases and reports to Unitholders containing financial information before they are issued by the Trust and review generally with management the nature of the financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies; and

25.

the Committee may review and, if appropriate, recommend approval to the Board of prospectuses, material change disclosures of a financial nature, management discussion and analysis, annual information forms, and similar disclosure documents to be issued by the Trust.





## Accounting Policies

26.

the Committee may review with management and the Trust's external auditors the appropriateness of the Trust's accounting policies, disclosures, reserves, key estimates and judgments, including changes or variations thereto, and to obtain reasonable assurance that they are in compliance with GAAP; and report thereon to the Board; and

27.

the Committee may review with management and the Trust's external auditors the quality of earnings of the Trust's underlying accounting policies, key estimates, judgments, and reserves.

## Risk and Uncertainty

28.

acknowledging that it is the responsibility of the Board, in consultation with management, to identify the principal business risks facing the Trust, to determine the Trust's tolerance for risk and to approve risk management policies, the Committee may focus on financial risk and gain reasonable assurance that financial risk is being effectively managed or controlled by:

(a)

reviewing with management the Trust's tolerance for financial risks;

(b)

reviewing with management its assessment of the significant financial risks facing the Trust;

(c)

reviewing with management the Trust's policies and any proposed changes thereto for managing those significant financial risks; and

(d)

reviewing with management its plans, processes and programs to manage and control such risks;

29.

the Committee may review with management policies and compliance therewith that require significant actual or potential liabilities, contingent or otherwise, to be reported to the Board in a timely fashion;

30.

the Committee may review with management foreign currency, interest rate and commodity price risk mitigation strategies, including the use of derivative financial instruments;

31.

the Committee may review with management the adequacy of insurance coverage maintained by the Trust; and

32.

the Committee may review with management, the Trust's external auditors and the Trust's legal counsel, any legal claim or other contingency, including tax assessments, which could have a material effect upon the financial position or operating results of the Trust and the manner in which these matters have been disclosed in the financial statements.

### **Financial Controls and Control Deviations**

33.

the Committee may review the plans of management with the Trust's external auditors to gain reasonable assurance of the comprehensiveness and co-ordination of the combined evaluation of the Trust's internal financial controls to identify significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the quality, adequacy and effectiveness of those controls; and

34.

the Committee may receive regular reports from management and the Trust's external auditors on all significant deviations or indications/detection of fraud and the corrective activity undertaken in respect thereto.



**Compliance with Laws and Regulations**

35.

the Committee may review regular reports from management and others (e.g. external auditors, external, and internal counsel) with respect to the Trust's compliance with laws and regulations and any legal matters that may have a material impact on the Trust, including:

(a)

tax and financial reporting laws and regulations;

(b)

legal withholding requirements;

(c)

environmental protection laws and regulations; and

(d)

other laws and regulations and any other legal matters (including the status of pending litigation) that may expose directors to liability;

36.

the Committee may review with management reports from the Reserve Committee with respect to matters having a potential material financial impact;

37.

the Committee may review with management the status of the Trust's tax returns and those of its subsidiaries;

38.

the Committee may review with management the organization, responsibilities, plans, results, budget, and staffing of the Trust's legal and compliance function;

39.

the Committee may review with management, and any outside professionals as the Committee considers appropriate, the effectiveness of the Trust's disclosure control and procedures;

40.

the Committee may review with management, and any outside professionals as the Committee considers appropriate, important trends and developments in financial reporting practices and requirements and their effect on the Trust's financial statements;

41.

the Committee may obtain reports from management and the Trust's external auditors regarding compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act; and

42.

the Committee shall with management prepare the report for the Trust's proxy statement that would be required by the Securities and Exchange Commission were the Trust a U.S. company.

#### **Relationship with Independent External Auditors**

43.

the Committee shall recommend to the Board the nomination of the Trust's external auditors and have direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the external auditors;

44.

the Committee shall have the sole authority to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services not prohibited by applicable law or the rules of the Toronto Stock Exchange or the American Stock Exchange to be provided by the Trust's external auditors including the remuneration and the terms of engagement;

45.

the Committee shall review the performance of the Trust's external auditors annually, or more frequently as required;

46.

the Committee may receive annually from the Trust's external auditors an acknowledgement in writing that Unitholders, as represented by the Board and the Committee, are their primary client;

47.

the Committee may review with the lead audit partner whether any of the audit team members receive any discretionary compensation from the audit firm with respect to non-audit services performed by the Trust's external auditors;

48.

the Committee may obtain and review with the lead audit partner and a more senior representative of the Trust's external auditor, annually or more frequently as the Committee considers appropriate, a report by the external auditors describing: the external auditor's internal quality-control procedures; any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the external auditor, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental professional or other regulatory authorities, within the preceding five years respecting independent audits carried out by the external auditor, and any steps taken to deal with these issues; and (to assess the external auditor's independence) all relationships between the external auditors and the Trust;

49.

the Committee may review the experience, qualifications, and performance of the senior members of the Trust's external auditor team;

50.

the Committee may pre-approve the hiring of any employee or former employee of the Trust's external auditors who was a member of the Trust's external audit team during the preceding three fiscal years and pre-approve the hiring of any employee or former employee of the external auditors (within the preceding three fiscal years) for senior positions within the Trust regardless of whether that person was a member of the Trust's audit team;

51.

the Committee may receive from the Trust's external auditors, and review with the external auditors, a report describing critical accounting policies and practices used in preparing the Trust's financial statements, all alternative treatments of financial information that were discussed with management, their ramifications, and the external auditors' preferred treatment and other material written communications between management and the external auditors, in addition to reviewing with the external auditors any audit problems or difficulties and management's response;

52.

the Committee may review with the external auditors the scope of the audit, the areas of special emphasis to be addressed in the audit, the extent to which the external audit can be co-ordinated with management, and the materiality levels that the external auditors propose to employ;

53.

the Committee may meet regularly with the external auditors in the absence of management to determine, inter alia, that no management restrictions have been placed on the scope and extent of the audit examinations by the external auditors or the reporting of their findings to the Committee; and

54.

the Committee may establish effective communication processes with management and the external auditors to assist the Committee to monitor objectively the quality and effectiveness of the relationship among the external auditors, management and the Committee.

#### **Other Responsibilities**

55.

the Committee may periodically review the form, content and level of detail of financial reports to the Board;

56.

the Committee may approve annually the reasonableness of the expenses of the Chairman of the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer;



57.

the Committee may after consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and the external auditors, gain reasonable assurance, at least annually, of the quality and sufficiency of the Trust's accounting and financial personnel and other resources;

58.

the Committee may review with management and the lead audit partner of the Trust's external auditors the scope, planning and staffing of the proposed audit for the upcoming year;

59.

the Committee may review, in advance, the appointment of the Trust's senior financial executives;

60.

the Committee may investigate any matters that, in the Committee's discretion, fall within the Committee's duties;

61.

the Committee may review reports from management, the external auditors, and/or the Chairs of other Committees on their review of the Trust's policies on political donations and commissions paid to suppliers or others;

62.

the Committee shall establish procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Trust regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters, and the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Trust of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters or fraudulent activities;

63.

the Committee may provide oversight to the disclosure committee on behalf of the Board; and

64.

the Committee may perform such other functions as may from time to time be assigned to the Committee by the Board.

**V.**

**GENERAL**

65.

In discharging its duties under this mandate and charter, each member of the Committee shall be entitled to rely in good faith upon:

(a)

financial statements of the Trust (which are the responsibility of management) represented to him or her by an officer or in a written report of the external auditors to present fairly the financial position and results of the Trust in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(b)

any report of a lawyer, accountant, engineer, appraiser, or other person whose profession lends credibility to a statement made by any such person; and

(c)

the integrity of those persons and organizations within and outside the Trust from whom he or she receives information, and the accuracy of the financial and other information provided to the Committee by such persons or organizations.

66.

In discharging its duties under this mandate and charter, each member of the Committee shall be obliged only to exercise the care, diligence, and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances. Nothing in this mandate and charter is intended, or may be construed, to impose on any member of the Committee a standard of care or diligence that is in any way more onerous or extensive than the standard to which all Board members are subject. The essence of the Committee's duties is monitoring and reviewing to gain reasonable assurance (but not to ensure) that the Fundamental Activities are being conducted effectively and that the Financial Reporting Objective is being met and to enable the Committee to report thereon to the Board.



67.

The Committee shall have full access to books, records, facilities, and personnel of the Trust and shall have the authority to retain independent counsel and other advisors, as it deems necessary to carry out its duties.

68.

The Trust shall furnish the Committee with appropriate funding, as determined by the Committee, for payment of compensation to the external auditors and to any advisors employed by the Committee.

69.

From time to time, as requested by the Board, the Committee shall review the description of the Committee's mandate and charter and activities to be included in the Trust's statement of corporate governance practices.

**EXHIBIT 2**

Management's Discussion and Analysis  
for the year ended December 31, 2005

**FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

(thousands of Canadian dollars and units, except per unit amounts and as indicated)

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>Variance</b>
<b>INCOME STATEMENT</b>			
Oil and natural gas sales <sup>(5)</sup>	\$		779,630
\$			
517,081			
51			
%			
Cash flow <sup>(1)</sup>			
\$			398,003
\$			
236,245			
68			
%			
Per unit - basic and diluted <sup>(2)</sup>			
\$			3.84
			157

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

\$

2.68

43

%

Per boe

\$

29.48

\$

20.54

44

%

Cash distributions paid per unit

\$

1.95

\$

1.92

2

%

Payout ratio <sup>(6)</sup>

51%

73%

(30)

%

Net income

\$

210,668

158

\$

74,359

183

%

Net income per unit

Basic

\$

2.03

\$

0.84

142

%

Diluted

\$

2.03

\$

0.84

142

%

**UNITS AND EXCHANGEABLE SHARES OUTSTANDING <sup>(2)</sup>**

Weighted average

103,660

88,169

18

159

%

Diluted

103,724

88,292

17

%

At year-end

117,561

100,451

17

%

**BALANCE SHEET**



Working capital (deficit) <sup>(3)</sup>	\$	\$		
		31,897 (49,310)	165	%
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$	\$		
		1,777,922 1,246,694	43	%
Total assets	\$	\$		
		2,267,119 1,486,412	53	%
Long-term debt	\$	\$		
		462,783 214,414	116	%
Unitholders' equity	\$	\$		
		1,385,343 1,026,526	35	%
<b>MARKET CAPITALIZATION</b> , as at December 31	\$	\$		
		2,408,816 1,568,036	54	%
<b>TOTAL CAPITALIZATION</b> , as at December 31 <sup>(3), (4)</sup>	\$	\$		
		2,839,702 1,831,760	55	%
<b>TRUST UNIT TRADING (TSX: PTF.UN)</b>				
High (\$CDN)	\$	\$		%
		23.31 19.24	21	
Low (\$CDN)	\$	\$		
		15.50 14.52	7	%
Close (\$CDN)	\$	\$		
		20.49 15.61	31	%
Average daily volumes		210 216	(3)	%
<b>TRUST UNIT TRADING (AMEX: PTF)</b>				
High (\$US)	\$	\$		
		19.88 14.96	33	%
Low (\$US)	\$	\$		
		12.66 10.95	16	%
Close (\$US)	\$	\$	35	%

17.64 13.04

Average daily volumes 559 476 17 %

(1)

Cash flow before net changes in non-cash operating working capital

(Non-GAAP measure, see special notes in the Management's Discussion and Analysis).

(2)

See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3)

Excludes net unrealized gains/losses on commodity contracts.

(4)

Total capitalization equals market capitalization plus net debt

(Non-GAAP measure, see special notes in the Management's Discussion and Analysis).

(5)

Prices and revenue are before realized gains/losses on commodity contracts and before transportation costs.

(6)

Cash distributions paid divided by cash flow before capital reinvestment.

**OPERATIONAL HIGHLIGHTS**

(thousands of Canadian dollars, except per unit amounts and as indicated)

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>Variance</b>
<b>DAILY PRODUCTION</b>			
Oil (bbls)	18,264		
			15,084
			21
%			
Natural gas (mmcf)			
98.1			
			84.5
			16
%			
Natural gas liquids (bbls)			
2,383			
			2,262
			5
%			
BOE (6:1)			
36,991			
			31,429
			18
%			
<b>Total annual production (mboe)</b>			
13,501			
			11,503
			163

%

**PRODUCTION PROFILE**

Oil

49%

48%

Natural gas

45%

45%

Natural gas liquids

6%

7%

**AVERAGE PRICES <sup>(1)</sup>**

Oil (per bbl)

\$

61.54

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

\$		
		48.83
		26
%		
Natural gas (per mcf)		
\$		
9.02		
\$		
		6.87
		31
%		
Natural gas liquids (per bbl)		
\$		
52.98		
\$		
		41.96
		26
%		
Per BOE (6:1)		
\$		
57.71		
\$		
		44.93
		28
%		
<b>CASH OPERATING NETBACK PER BOE <sup>(2)</sup></b>		
		165

\$

32.05

\$

23.01

39

%

**PROVEN PLUS PROBABLE RESERVES <sup>(3)</sup>**

Crude oil (millions of barrels)

88.5

86.0

3

%

---

Natural gas (billions of cubic feet)	388.7	283.7	37	%
Natural gas liquids (millions of barrels)	9.0	8.3	10	%
Millions of barrels of oil equivalent at 6:1	162.3	141.6	15	%
<b>LEASE OPERATING COSTS</b>	\$	\$		
	141,578			
				103,610

(37)

%

Cost per boe

\$

10.49

\$

9.01

(16)

%

**GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS**

\$

17,174

\$

14,441

(19)

%

Cost per boe

\$

1.27

\$

1.26

(1)

%

(3)

Prices and revenue are before realized gains/losses on commodity contracts and before transportation costs.

(2)

Cash operating netback per BOE is calculated as the selling price less the cash cost of hedging less royalties, net of ARC, lease operating costs and transportation costs, by product, divided by the total production volumes in each period. For details by product type see the section **Net Income** in the Management's Discussion and Analysis.

(3)

Reserves at December 31, 2005 and 2004 are based on total proved plus probable gross reserves (as defined in National Instrument 51-101 ( NI 51-101 )), being working interest reserves prior to deduction of royalties.





*Management's Discussion & Analysis*

**SPECIAL NOTES**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ( MD&A ) of financial results should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements of Petrofund Energy Trust ( Petrofund or the Trust ) for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 included in this 2005 annual report. All oil and natural gas properties are held by Petrofund Corp. ( PC ) and Petrofund Ventures Trust ( PVT ), wholly owned subsidiaries of the Trust. This commentary is based on information available to, and is dated, February 14, 2006. Additional information (including Petrofund's annual information form AIF ), when filed, can be obtained on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) or on the Trust's website at [www.petrofund.ca](http://www.petrofund.ca).

All amounts are stated in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted. Where amounts and volumes are expressed on a barrel of oil equivalent ( boe ) basis, gas volumes have been converted to barrels of oil at 6,000 cubic feet per barrel (6 mcf/1 bbl). BOEs may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A BOE conversion of 6 mcf/1 bbl is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead. Reserves at December 31, 2005, and 2004 are based on total proved plus probable gross reserves (as defined in National Instrument 51-101 ( NI 51-101 )), being working interest reserves prior to deduction of royalties.

**NON GAAP MEASURES**

The Trust uses adjusted cash flow (before changes in non-cash operating working capital and before capital reinvestment) to analyze operating performance and leverage. Adjusted cash flow (before changes in non-cash operating working capital) and adjusted cash flow before capital reinvestment before changes in working capital and before settlement of asset retirement obligations as presented does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ( Canadian GAAP ) and may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Cash flow (before changes in non-cash operating working capital) and cash flow from operations before changes in working capital and before settlement of asset retirement obligations as presented is not intended to represent operating cash flows or operating profits for the period, nor should it be viewed as an alternative to cash provided by operating activities, net earnings or other measures of financial performance calculated in accordance with Canadian GAAP. All references to cash flow (before changes in non-cash operating working capital) and cash flow from operations before changes in working capital and before settlement of asset retirement obligations are based on cash flow from operating activities before changes in non-cash operating working capital or before changes in non-cash working capital and before settlement of asset retirement obligations, as applicable.

The Trust also uses net debt . Net debt as presented does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by Canadian GAAP and may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Net debt as used by the Trust is calculated as bank debt and any working capital deficit excluding the current portion of derivative contracts.

The Trust also uses payout ratio as cash distributions paid divided by cash flow before capital reinvestment. Payout ratio as presented does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by Canadian GAAP and may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities.

Cash operating netback per BOE is calculated as the selling price less the cash cost of hedging less royalties, net of Alberta Royalty Credit ( ARC ), lease operating costs and transportation costs, by product, divided by the total production volumes in each period. For details by product type see the section Net Income in the Management s Discussion and Analysis.

The Trust uses certain key performance indicators and industry benchmarks such as operating netbacks ( netbacks ), finding, development and acquisition costs ( FD&A ), and total capitalization to analyze financial and operating performance. These performance indicators and benchmarks as presented do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by Canadian GAAP and, therefore, may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities.

These measures should be given careful consideration by the reader.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Certain statements contained in this annual report constitute forward-looking statements. The use of any of the words anticipate , continue , estimate , expect , may , project , should , believe and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements. The Trust and PC believe the expectations reflected in those forward-looking statements are reasonable but no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct and such forward-looking statements included in this annual report should not be unduly relied upon. These statements speak only as of the date of this annual report.

In particular, this annual report contains forward-looking statements pertaining to the following:

- the size of the Trust's oil and natural gas reserves;
- projections of market prices and costs;
- anticipated distributions on units of the Trust and the payout ratio;
- capital expenditures and the timing thereof;
- supply and demand for oil and natural gas;
- the Trust's expectations with respect to acquisitions and the properties obtained thereunder;
- expectations regarding the ability to raise capital and to continually add to reserves through acquisitions and development; and
- treatment under governmental regulatory regimes.

The actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of the risk factors set forth below and elsewhere in this annual report:

- volatility in market prices for oil and natural gas;
- liabilities inherent in oil and gas operations;
- uncertainties associated with estimating reserves;
- competition for, among other things, capital, acquisitions of reserves, undeveloped lands and skilled personnel;
- incorrect assessments of the value of acquisitions;
- geological, technical, drilling and processing problems; and
- the other factors described under **Business Risks** in this annual report and in the AIF.

These factors should not be construed as exhaustive. Except as required by applicable securities laws, neither the Trust nor PC undertakes any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

**2005 HIGHLIGHTS**

The Trust paid out cash distributions of \$1.95 per unit in 2005, compared to \$1.92 per unit in 2004 (2003 - \$2.09 per unit). Petrofund has since paid/distributed \$0.20 per unit for January

2006, announced distributions of \$0.20 per unit for February and based on current commodity prices and market conditions has indicated distributions of \$0.20 per unit for March 2006.

The Trust's payout ratio for 2005 was 51% compared to 73% in 2004 (2003 70%). The lower payout ratio enabled the Trust to fund 100% of its 2005 development expenditures from retained cash flow before capital reinvestment.

The Trust generated cash flow before non-cash operating working capital of \$398.0 million in 2005, an increase of 68% over 2004. This increase reflects increased average production and higher prices. Net income increased to \$210.7 million in 2005 versus \$74.4 million in 2004. The net income also includes an unrealized (non-cash) gain on commodity contracts of \$6.3 million in 2005 versus an unrealized (non-cash) loss on commodity contracts of \$6.2 million in 2004 as well as a future income tax recovery of \$6.7 million in 2005 versus \$7.1 million expense in 2004.

Average production on a boe basis increased 18% to 36,991 boe/d in 2005 from 31,429 boe/d in 2004. The change in production reflects PC's development drilling program, the acquisition of Ultima Energy Trust ( Ultima ) in June 2004, the Central Alberta acquisitions in November 2004 and the 2005 acquisitions listed later in this section, offset by natural production decline.

Average prices in 2005 were up 28% on a boe basis from the prior year and were \$57.71 per boe for 2005 compared to \$44.93 per boe in 2004.

Petrofund has a strong balance sheet with a net debt to cash flow ratio as at December 31, 2005, of 1.1:1.0 based on 2005 cash flow before non-cash operating working capital.

On November 16, 2005 Petrofund entered into an agreement to acquire 100% of Kaiser Energy Ltd. ( Kaiser ), effective December 1, 2005. Kaiser held (or held prior to the completion of the acquisition by Petrofund), either directly or indirectly, interests in Canadian Acquisition Limited Partnership ( Canadian Partnership ) and certain properties to be transferred to Kaiser (collectively, the Kaiser Entities ). Petrofund added \$489.7 million to oil and gas properties (excluding non-cash negative working capital of \$14.9 million, future income taxes of \$157.2 million and asset retirement obligations of \$4.9 million). This acquisition added approximately 5,400 boepd production to the Trust and working interest reserves additions of 20 million boe on a proved plus probable basis.

In 2005, Petrofund further acquired interests in various oil and gas properties for \$74.0 million (excluding non-cash negative working capital assumed of \$4.8 million, future income taxes of \$10.4 million and asset retirement obligations of \$1.2 million), which includes the purchase of Northern Crown Petroleum Ltd. ( Northern Crown ), Tahiti Gas Ltd. ( Tahiti ) and property interests in the Turin and Joarcam areas. These acquisitions added approximately 1,650 boepd of production to the Trust. Petrofund's internal estimate of reserves acquired, at the time of acquisition, was 4.6 million boe on a proved plus probable basis.

The Trust has a balanced production profile which averaged 45% natural gas and 55% oil and liquids for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

The Trust completed a bought deal financing of 4.15 million Trust units, raising gross proceeds of \$75.7 million (\$71.4 million net) in the second quarter of 2005. The Trust also



completed a bought deal financing of 12.5 million Trust units, raising gross proceeds of \$250 million (\$237 million net) in the fourth quarter of 2005. The weighted average number of Trust units/Exchangeable shares outstanding increased from 88.2 million in 2004 to 103.7 million in 2005. As at December 31, 2005 there were 117.6 million Trust units/Exchangeable shares outstanding.

The Trust's total capitalization as at December 31, 2005, was approximately \$2.8 billion (\$1.8 billion as at December 31, 2004).

### **CASH DISTRIBUTIONS**

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Distributions paid per unit	1.95	1.92	2.09

Trust unitholders who held their units in 2005 received aggregate cash distributions of \$1.95 per unit as compared to \$1.92 per unit in 2004 (2003 - \$2.09 per unit). For 2006, the Trust distributed \$0.20 per unit in January, has announced a distribution of \$0.20 per unit for February, and has indicated a distribution of \$0.20 per unit for March.

Petrofund focuses on the ability to maintain distribution levels. As part of this strategy, the Trust has lowered its payout ratio over the past two years in response to increasing oil and gas prices which currently exceed historical highs. At the same time, the Trust has allocated a higher percentage of cash flow for capital reinvestment. Petrofund monitors the distribution payout with respect to forecasted funds flow, debt levels and pending plans. The level of cash retained has historically varied between 10% and 30% of annual funds flow; however, Petrofund adjusts the payout levels in an effort to balance the desire for distributions with the requirement to maintain a prudent capital structure. To reflect the treatment of capital expenditures funded from cash flow, the Trust has modified the calculation of Distributions payable to Unitholders by applying the portion of capital expenditures funded from cash flow rather than an estimated amount as a reduction of Distributions payable up to the amount available for such purposes. Any remaining cash flow continues to be shown as Distributions payable to Unitholders at the end of the period.

During 2005, the Trust generated cash flow available for distribution before capital reinvestment of \$394.2 million (2004 - \$231.5 million). The Trust paid out \$202.3 million (2004 - \$169.5 million) in distributions representing a payout ratio of 51% (2004 - 73%). In the fourth quarter, the Trust generated cash flow available for distribution of \$125.3 million before deducting \$88.0 million for capital expenditures and paid out \$55.5 million in distributions for a payout ratio of 44%. For a detailed analysis of cash flow available for distributions refer to Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### **CASH DISTRIBUTION PAID HISTORY <sup>(1)</sup>**

Cash distributions comprise a return of capital portion (tax deferred) and a return on capital portion (taxable). The return of capital component reduces the cost basis of the trust units held, as described below. For additional information, please see our website at [www.petrofund.ca](http://www.petrofund.ca).





<b>Calendar Year</b>	<b>Distributions</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>Taxable Portion</b>	<b>Return of Capital</b>
	\$	\$	\$
1989 to 1996	20.8950	-	20.8950
1997	2.3700	-	2.3700
1998	1.4400	-	1.4400
1999	1.8300	-	1.8300
2000	3.9900	2.4633	1.5267
2001	4.2400	2.6771	1.5629
2002	1.7100	0.9365	0.7735
2003	2.0900	1.0706	1.0194
2004	1.9200	1.4849	0.4351
2005	1.9500 <sup>(3)</sup>	1.9184	0.0316
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Cumulative</b>	42.4350	10.5508	31.8842
(1)			

Applies to unitholders who are residents of Canada and hold their units as capital property.

(2)

Based on cash distributions paid in the calendar year and adjusted for unit splits.

(3)

Petrofund estimates that approximately 98% of cash distributions paid in 2005 to Canadian Unitholders will be taxable. U.S. unitholders will also be taxable. Any non-taxable amounts will be treated as a tax deferred return of capital. Actual taxable amounts may vary depending on actual distributions and are dependent upon production, commodity prices and funds flow experienced throughout the year.

For U.S. taxpayers, the taxable portion of the cash distribution is considered to be a dividend for U.S. tax purposes. For most U.S. taxpayers this should be a Qualified Dividend eligible for the reduced tax rate. The non-taxable portion of the cash distribution is a return of the cost (or other basis). The cost (or other basis) is reduced by this amount for computing any gain or loss arising from disposition. However, if the full amount of the cost (or other basis) has been recovered, any further non-taxable distributions should be reported as gains.

This is a general guideline and not intended to be legal advice to any particular holder or potential holder of units of the Trust. This information is not exhaustive of all possible U.S. income tax considerations. Unitholders or potential unitholders of the Trust should consult their own legal and tax advisers as to the particular tax consequences of

holding their Trust units.

**CASH FLOW**

(\$000 s)	2005	2004	2003
	\$		
<b>Cash provided by operating activities</b>	337,223	\$	
			243,652
\$			
			192,163
Increase (decrease) in non-cash working capital			
60,780			(7,407)
			(4,578)

---

<b>Cash flow before non-cash operating working capital</b>	398,003	236,245	187,585
Redemption of exchangeable shares	(1,154)	(1,803)	(2,792)
Asset retirement reserve fund	(2,025)	(1,725)	(776)
Capital lease repayment	(608)	(356)	(3,305)
Amortization of the cost of commodity contracts	-	(821)	-
<b>Cash flow before capital reinvestment</b>	\$	\$	\$
	394,216	231,540	180,712

#### MONTHLY CASH DISTRIBUTIONS

Actual cash distributions paid for per Trust unit along with relevant payment dates for 2005, 2004 and 2003 are as follows:

<b><u>Record Date</u></b> <sup>(1)</sup>	<b><u>Payment Date</u></b> <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
		\$	\$	\$
January 17	January 31	0.16	0.16	0.15
February 14	February 28	0.16	0.16	0.16
March 16	March 31	0.16	0.16	0.17
April 15	April 29	0.16	0.16	0.17
May 16	May 31	0.16	0.16	0.18
June 16	June 30	0.16	0.16	0.18
July 15	July 29	0.16	0.16	0.18
August 17	August 31	0.16	0.16	0.18
September 16	September 30	0.16	0.16	0.18
October 17	October 31	0.17	0.16	0.18
November 16	November 30	0.17	0.16	0.18
December 14	December 30	0.17	0.16	0.18

\$	\$	\$
1.95	1.92	2.09

(1) Dates relate to 2005 only.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **FOURTH QUARTER 2005 VERSUS FOURTH QUARTER 2004**

The Trust generated cash flow of \$126.1 million or \$1.17 per unit in the fourth quarter of 2005 compared to \$72.3 million or \$0.72 per unit in the fourth quarter of 2004. The Trust increased monthly cash distributions to \$0.17 per unit in the fourth quarter of 2005. The Trust's payout ratio of 44% in the fourth quarter of 2005 compared to a payout ratio of 67% in the fourth quarter of 2004.

The fourth quarter of 2005 was an active quarter for Petrofund with the acquisition of Kaiser, plus ongoing drilling and development activities. Total capital expenditures for the quarter were \$508.4 million. These activities provided new production in the fourth quarter of 2005, as discussed further in the Operational Highlights.

Average daily production volumes in the fourth quarter of 2005 of 39,178 boe were above the fourth quarter of 2004 volumes of 36,025 boe. This increase resulted from acquisitions and development activities in 2005 partially offset by the natural production decline.

Net income increased to \$100.1 million in the fourth quarter of 2005 compared to \$50.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2004. Revenues increased 53% which reflects an increase of 40% in prices on a boe basis and a 9% increase in production. The increase in revenue has partly been offset by a \$11.9 million loss on commodity contracts and an increase of \$12.4 million in depletion expense. The Trust recognized an unrealized (non-cash) commodity gain of \$31.6 million versus an unrealized (non-cash) commodity gain of \$26.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2004. Both adjustments were a result of the mark-to-market fair value accounting. In addition, the future income tax in the fourth quarter of 2005 was a recovery of \$2.5 million compared to \$774,000 expense in the fourth quarter of 2004, due to an increase in commodity contract losses and other tax related asset balances.

The cash loss on commodity contracts during the fourth quarter of 2005 was \$11.9 million compared to a \$14.1 million loss in the fourth quarter of 2004.

Royalties were 21% of revenue in the fourth quarter of 2005, compared to 20% for the fourth quarter of 2004.

Lease operating costs on a unit basis increased to \$10.64/boe in the fourth quarter of 2005 from \$8.82/boe in the fourth quarter of 2004. Costs for repairs and maintenance continue to increase as a result of high levels of activity in the upstream sector.



**PRODUCTION**

In accordance with Canadian practice, production volumes and reserves are reported on a working interest basis, before deduction of Crown and other royalties, unless otherwise indicated.

Annual production volumes averaged 36,991 boe/d in 2005, an increase of 18% over average production volumes of 31,429 boe/d in 2004. The change in production reflects, PC's development drilling program, the acquisition of Ultima in June 2004, the Central Alberta PNG Partnership and 1024373 Alberta Ltd. (Central Alberta acquisition) acquisition in November 2004, the Turin area acquisition in January 2005, the Northern Crown and Tahiti acquisitions in May 2005, the Joarcam area acquisition in July 2005, the Kaiser acquisition in December 2005 and positive prior period adjustments (136 boe/d), partially offset by natural production decline.

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>Daily Production</b>			
Oil (bbls)	18,264	15,084	12,454
Natural gas (mmcf)	98.1	84.5	83.3
Natural gas liquids (bbls)	2,383	2,262	2,079
<b>Total (boe 6:1)</b>	<b>36,991</b>	<b>31,429</b>	<b>28,418</b>

**PRICING & PRICE RISK MANAGEMENT**

Revenues from the sale of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids and sulphur increased 51% to \$779.6 million in 2005 from \$517.1 million in 2004 due to a 17% increase in production and a 28% increase in prices on a boe basis.

Crude oil sales increased to \$410.2 million in 2005 from \$269.6 million in 2004 due to a 21% increase in production from 15,084 bbl/d in 2004 to 18,264 bbl/d in 2005 and a 26% increase in the oil price received. The average WTI oil price increased from U.S. \$41.40/bbl in 2004 to U.S. \$56.56/bbl in 2005 or 37%; however, the Canadian par price at Edmonton increased only 31% from \$52.54/bbl to \$68.72/bbl due to the significant strengthening of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar which averaged 0.83 in 2005 versus 0.77 in 2004. The average Canadian wellhead price received by Petrofund increased to \$61.54/bbl in 2005 from \$48.83/bbl in 2004.

About 60% of the Trust's crude production was sold directly to refiners in 2005 with the balance being delivered to marketers. Petrofund intends to maintain this sales mix in 2006.

Crude differentials widened considerably in Western Canada during 2005 though Petrofund was partly shielded from the deterioration in these differentials due to its high quality portfolio. Petrofund's differential to Edmonton postings before hedging increased to \$7.18/bbl in 2005

from \$3.71/bbl in 2004 (2003 - \$3.98/bbl). Heavy oil differentials are expected to remain weak but the expectation is for more stable differentials for the lighter and medium sour crudes comprising the bulk of the Trust's portfolio (97% light and medium crudes). Petrofund does, however, expect its overall differential from Edmonton to increase in 2006.

Natural gas sales increased to \$322.9 million in 2005 from \$212.6 million in 2004 due to a 16% increase in production and a 31% increase in the average prices received from \$6.87/mcf in 2004 to \$9.02/mcf in 2005. The monthly AECO price per mmbtu increased from \$6.79 in 2004 to \$8.48 in 2005. Production volumes averaged 98.1 mmcf/d in 2005 compared to 84.5 mmcf/d in 2004. Petrofund sold 32% of its production in 2005 to aggregators at netback pricing, up from 30% in 2004. Netbacks from these markets are below those otherwise available to the Trust at AECO; however, the average aggregator discount to AECO for Petrofund improved in 2005 by \$0.24/mcf. The Trust sold the remaining 68% of its production on daily and monthly spot market pricing in Alberta, Saskatchewan and British Columbia. Petrofund intends to maintain this sales mix in 2006.

Sales of natural gas liquids and sulphur increased to \$46.5 million in 2005 from \$34.9 million in 2004 as production increased to 2,383 bbl/d in 2005 from 2,262 bbl/d in 2004. The average price increased from \$41.96/bbl in 2004 to \$52.98/bbl in 2005. The majority of the Trust's NGLs (88%) are sold to one buyer under one-year contract terms at market sensitive pricing with the remainder widely distributed among any number of buyers. The Trust has optimized netbacks by aggregating its NGL production with a single buyer. Alberta NGL netbacks lagged crude oil during the year in a pattern similar to the prior year but pricing was stable over the period with no periods of extreme weakness. The condensate market in Western Canada was exceptionally tight in the fourth quarter with prices trading well in excess of WTI. Petrofund expects pricing for 2006 to remain strong for its NGLs and condensate.

Crude oil accounted for 49% of production in 2005 (2004 48%, 2003 44%), while natural gas constituted 45% of production in 2005 (2004 45%, 2003 49%). Natural gas liquid volumes accounted for 6% of total production in 2005 (2004 and 2003 7%). The Trust continues to maintain a balance between oil and natural gas production.

<b>Average prices received for the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Oil (per bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	61.54	48.83	39.16
Natural gas (per mcf) <sup>(1)</sup>	9.02	6.87	6.63
Natural gas liquids (per bbl) <sup>(1)</sup>	52.98	41.96	35.05
	\$	\$	\$
Weighted average per BOE (6:1)	57.71	44.93	39.15

(1)

Prices are before realized gains/losses on commodity contracts and before transportation costs which were previously deducted from oil and natural gas prices and are now disclosed separately on the income statement. Prices previously reported for prior years have been restated.





<b>Production Revenue (\$millions)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Oil	410.2	269.6	178.0
Natural gas	322.9	212.6	201.5
Natural gas liquids & sulphur	46.5	34.9	26.8
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Total</b>	<b>779.6</b>	<b>517.1</b>	<b>406.3</b>

The Trust has a formal risk management policy which permits the risk management committee to use specified price risk management strategies for up to 40% of crude oil, natural gas and NGL production including: fixed price contracts; costless collars; the purchase of floor price options; and other derivative financial instruments to reduce price volatility and ensure minimum prices for a maximum of eighteen months beyond the current date. The program is designed to provide price protection on a portion of the Trust's future production in the event of adverse commodity price movement, while retaining significant exposure to upside price movements. By doing this, the Trust seeks to provide a measure of stability to cash distributions as well as to ensure Petrofund realizes positive economic returns from its capital development and acquisition activities.

As at December 31, 2005, Petrofund had 27.6 mmcf/d of natural gas and 4,500 bbl/d of crude oil hedged for the remainder of 2006 (approximately 25% of production). A summary of the hedged volumes and prices in place at December 31, 2005, by quarter is shown in the following table (see Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed disclosure of all derivative financial instruments and their corresponding mark-to-market values):

<b>Natural Gas</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Average Volumes (mcf/d)</b>			
		<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>
Collars	20,132	14,211	28,422	28,422	9,474
Three way collars	5,132	9,474	4,737	4,737	1,579
Floors	2,369	9,474	-	-	-
<b>Total mcf/d</b>	<b>27,633</b>	<b>33,159</b>	<b>33,159</b>	<b>33,159</b>	<b>11,053</b>

<b>Natural Gas</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Average Price (\$/mcf)</b>			
		<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Collar ceiling price	13.51	16.29	12.58	12.58	12.58
Collar floor price	8.82	8.09	9.06	9.06	9.06
Three way ceiling price	9.69	11.77	8.99	8.99	8.99
Three way floor price	7.17	6.52	7.39	7.39	7.39
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Three way floor short	5.92	5.47	6.07	6.07	6.07

<b>Oil</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Average Volumes (bbl/d)</b>			
		<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>
Collared	4,000	5,000	5,000	4,000	2,000
Three way collars	500	1,000	1,000	-	-
<b>Total bbl/d</b>	<b>4,500</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>2,000</b>

<b>Oil</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Average Price (\$/bbl)</b>			
		<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Collar ceiling price	87.22	85.54	88.62	88.52	86.18
Collar floor price	58.92	56.29	58.73	59.61	61.06
Three way ceiling price	65.28	61.64	68.91	-	-
Three way floor price	47.69	46.52	48.85	-	-
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Three way floor short	41.87	40.71	43.03	-	-

<b>Alberta Power</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>
----------------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------



Fixed, MW/h	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Fixed price (\$/MWh)	57.00	57.00	57.00	57.00	57.00

### Three-way Collars

A three-way collar is transacted by selling a call to create a ceiling, buying a put to create a floor, then selling a put below the floor to create a floor short. For example, a three-way collar of \$35 - \$40 - \$50 would result in the following prices received. For market prices above the ceiling (\$50), Petrofund receives \$50. For market prices between the ceiling and the floor (\$40 - \$50), Petrofund receives the market price. For market prices between the floor and the floor short (\$35 - \$40), Petrofund receives \$40. For market prices below the floor short (\$35), Petrofund receives the market price plus \$5.

After December 31, 2005 and as at February 14, 2006, Petrofund entered into the following additional hedges (not included in the table above):

(1)

A collar for July 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006, for 1,000 bbl/d of crude (WTI) between \$US \$55.00 and \$US \$75.50/bbl.

(2)

A swap for April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006, for 4.7 mmcf/d of natural gas at \$9.49/mcf, at AECO pricing.

(3)

A collar for October 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006, for 1,000 bbl/d of crude (WTI) between \$US \$55.00 and \$US \$84.75/bbl.

(4)

A collar for January 1, 2007 to March 31, 2007, for 1,000 bbl/d of crude (WTI) between \$US \$55.00 and \$US \$82.55/bbl.

(5)

A collar for July 1, 2006 to September 30, 2006, for 1,000 bbl/d of crude (WTI) between \$US \$55.00 and \$US \$86.00/bbl.

(6)

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

A collar for October 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006, for 1,000 bbl/d of crude (WTI) between \$US \$55.00 and \$US \$90.00/bbl.

(7)

A collar for January 1, 2007 to March 31, 2007, for 1,000 bbl/d of crude (WTI) between \$US \$55.00 and \$US \$90.25/bbl.

(8)

A collar for November 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007, for 4.7 mmcf/d of natural gas at \$9.45 and \$12.86/mcf, at AECO pricing.

Petrofund has no sales volumes hedged after March 31, 2007. All foreign exchange calculations in this section of the report incorporate the Bank of Canada U.S. dollar rate at the close on December 31, 2005 of CDN \$1.163:U.S. \$1.

**ROYALTIES**

**For the years ended December 31,**

		<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$	
Royalties (millions)	155.8	100.2	84.8	

---

Average royalty rate (%)	20.0	19.4	20.9
	\$	\$	\$
\$/boe	11.54	8.71	8.18

Royalties, which include crown, freehold and overrides paid on oil and natural gas production, increased to \$155.8 million in 2005 from \$100.2 million in 2004 (2003 \$84.8 million) net of the Alberta Royalty Credit ( ARC ). Royalties, as a percentage of revenues before hedging losses, increased to 20% of revenues in 2005 from 19.4% of revenues in 2004 (2003 20.9%).

## EXPENSES

For the years ended December 31,

Expenses (millions)

	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	\$
Lease operating	141.6	103.6	91.3
Transportation costs	8.1	5.9	5.5
General & administrative	17.2	14.4	13.0
Financing costs	10.6	5.8	8.7
<b>Expenses per boe</b>			
	\$	\$	\$
Lease operating	10.49	9.01	8.80
Transportation costs	0.60	0.51	0.53
General & administrative	1.27	1.26	1.26
Financing costs	0.79	0.51	0.84

### Lease Operating

Operating costs for 2005 were up 16% to \$10.49 per boe compared to \$9.01 per boe in 2004 (2003 \$8.80). Costs for repairs and maintenance continue to increase as a result of the high level of activity in the upstream sector.

The most significant contributor to the higher per unit operating costs to date in 2005 has been a general industry increase for all types of services and supplies including surface and downhole well repair and maintenance costs and facility maintenance work. In addition, the current high product price environment is driving average operating costs higher because marginal, higher cost properties continue to generate positive cash flow at higher than historical per unit costs and, as a result, remain on production longer. The Trust anticipates lease operating costs in 2006 will continue to increase at a rate similar to that of 2005.



### **Transportation Costs**

Transportation costs on a boe basis were \$0.60 in 2005 as compared to \$0.51 in 2004 (2003 - \$0.53), reflecting general increases in trucking costs of clean oil.

### **General & Administrative ("G&A") Costs**

General and administrative costs for 2005, were \$17.2 million compared to \$14.4 million in 2004 (2003 - \$13.0 million). Costs were \$1.27 per boe in 2005 compared to \$1.26 per boe in 2004 (2003 - \$1.26 per boe). G & A costs in 2005 include \$3.6 million of compensation expense related to the restricted unit plan ( RUP ) and the long-term incentive plan ( LTIP ) compared to \$1.5 million in 2004. The compensation expense is based on the unit price of the Trust units at December 31, 2005, of \$20.49 per unit (December 31, 2004 \$15.61 per unit). See Notes 13 and 14 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for details of the Trust's incentive plans.

G & A costs in 2005 include \$370,000 or \$0.03 per boe for external costs associated with Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act ( SOX 404 ) compared to \$212,000 in 2004 or \$0.09 per boe.

The Trust expects its per boe G&A costs to increase by approximately 20% in 2006, which mainly reflects increases in employee compensation expenses.

### **Financing Costs**

Financing costs and increases in loan balances as noted below reflect funding of expenditures associated with PC's active property acquisitions, and drilling and development programs.

Interest and other financing costs for 2005, increased to \$10.6 million in 2005 compared to \$5.8 million in 2004 (2003 - \$8.7 million), which reflects the increase in the average loan balance outstanding in 2005 of \$270.1 million from \$157.5 million in 2004 and an increase in the average prime loan rate from 4.0% in 2004 to 4.4% in 2005. Net debt as a percentage of total capitalization is 15.2% in 2005 compared to 14.9% in 2004 (2003 9.2%).

The bank loan outstanding at December 31, 2005, was \$462.8 million as compared to \$214.4 million at December 31, 2004. An amount of \$248.3 million of debt was incurred in the fourth quarter of 2005 which was mainly incurred to finance the acquisition of Kaiser. At December 31, 2005, 100% of PC's debt was based on floating interest rates.

### **DEPLETION, DEPRECIATION & ACCRETION**

Depletion, depreciation and accretion expense increased to \$202.8 million in 2005 from \$153.1 million in 2004 (2003 - \$118.3 million) due to the increase in production and an increase in the depletion rate. The rate per boe increased to \$15.02 in 2005 from \$13.31 in 2004 (2003 - \$11.41). The increase in the rate over 2004 and into 2005 reflects the increasing cost of acquisitions. The unproved properties are included in the depletion and depreciation expense calculation.

### **INCOME TAXES**

Current taxes consist of the Federal Large Corporations Tax and some minor amounts relating to income taxes of corporate entities acquired. The Federal Large Corporations Tax is based primarily on the debt and equity balances of the Trust's 100% owned subsidiary, PC as at





December 31, 2005. The Federal Large Corporations Tax rate is being reduced in stages so that by 2008 the tax will be eliminated.

Capital taxes of \$3.9 million in 2005 (2004 \$3.3 million, 2003 \$2.5 million) relate primarily to the Saskatchewan Capital Tax and Resource Surcharge, which is based upon gross revenues earned in Saskatchewan. On March 23, 2005, Saskatchewan Finance passed its 2005 budget that included an amendment to subject trusts to the Corporation Capital Tax Resources Surcharge ( Resource Surcharge ) effective April 1, 2005. Previously, the resource surcharge did not apply to resource trusts and, therefore, Petrofund Ventures Trust ( PVT ), a 100% owned subsidiary of the Trust, which holds certain Saskatchewan properties, was not previously impacted by the resource surcharge. The resource surcharge is calculated based on a rate applicable to working interest oil and natural gas revenue earned in Saskatchewan at a rate of 3.6 percent on revenue from wells drilled prior to October 1, 2002 and a rate of two percent on revenue from wells drilled on or after October 1, 2002. PVT cash flow has been reduced by approximately \$550,000 over the last three quarters of 2005.

Future income tax liabilities arise due to the differences between the tax basis of PC s assets and their respective accounting carrying cost. The future income tax expense in 2005 was a recovery of \$6.7 million compared to \$7.1 million expense in 2004 (2003 \$44.2 million recovery) due to an increase in deferred tax assets, primarily asset retirement obligations.

### **NET INCOME**

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>		<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$	
Net income (millions)	210.7	74.4	87.3	
Net income per Trust unit				
	\$	\$	\$	
Basic	2.03	0.84	1.43	
	\$	\$	\$	
Diluted	2.03	0.84	1.43	

Net income before taxes increased from \$82.0 million in 2004 to \$205.1 million in 2005 mainly due to a 51% increase in revenues reflected by a 17% increase in production and a 28% increase in prices on a boe basis and reduced net losses on commodity contracts. These increases have been offset partially by a 37% increase in lease operating costs and a 33% increase in depletion, depreciation and accretion expense.

The Trust recognized a net loss on commodity contracts of \$34.5 million in 2005 compared to \$48.7 million loss in 2004. The unrealized (non-cash) gain on commodity contracts was \$6.3 million 2005 compared to a \$6.2 million loss in 2004.

The increase in depletion is due to increased production and the increase in the depletion rate reflects the increasing cost of acquisitions.

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Total cash netbacks increased by \$159.6 million for 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. On a boe basis, cash netbacks were up to \$29.61 in 2005 from \$20.91 in 2004 (2003 - \$18.50).

---

<b>Total Cash Netbacks</b>	<b>2005</b>		<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$		\$	\$
Cash operating netback	32.05		23.01	20.89
Financing costs	0.79		0.51	0.84
General and administrative	1.27		1.26	1.26
Capital and current taxes	0.38		0.33	0.29
	\$		\$	\$
 Total cash netback per BOE	 29.61		 20.91	 18.50

As a result of the changes discussed above, net income increased to \$210.7 million in 2005 from the \$74.4 million reported in 2004.

<b>Cash Operating Netbacks 2005</b>	<b>Oil \$/bbl</b>	<b>Gas \$/mcf</b>	<b>NGL \$/bbl</b>	<b>Total \$/boe</b>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Selling price	61.54	9.02	52.98	57.71
Cash cost of hedging	(5.48)	(0.14)		(3.03)
Net selling price	56.06		8.88	52.98 54.68
Royalties, net of ARC	10.83		2.01	13.42 11.54
Lease operating	12.97		1.31	9.39 10.49
Transportation costs	0.48		0.12	0.52 0.60
	\$	\$	\$	\$
 Cash operating netback	 31.78	 5.44	 29.65	 32.05
<b>Cash Operating Netbacks 2004</b>	<b>Oil \$/bbl</b>	<b>Gas \$/mcf</b>	<b>NGL \$/bbl</b>	<b>Total \$/boe</b>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Selling price	48.83	6.87	41.96	44.93
Cash cost of hedging	(7.38)	(0.06)		(3.69)
Net selling price	41.45		6.81	41.96 41.24
Royalties, net of ARC	8.22		1.48	10.98 8.71
Lease operating	11.07		1.16	7.96 9.01
Transportation costs	0.25		0.13	0.44 0.51



	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash operating netback	21.91	4.04	22.58	23.01

<b>Cash Operating Netbacks 2003</b>	<b>Oil \$/bbl</b>	<b>Gas \$/mcf</b>	<b>NGL \$/bbl</b>	<b>Total \$/boe</b>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Selling price	39.16	6.63	35.05	39.15
Cash cost of hedging	(1.00)	(0.11)		- (0.75)
Net selling price	38.16		6.52 35.05	38.40
Royalties, net of ARC	6.32		1.60 9.80	8.18
Lease operating	11.23		1.13 7.89	8.80
Transportation costs	0.25		0.13 0.39	0.53
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash operating netback	20.36	3.66	16.97	20.89

**CAPITAL EXPENDITURES****Acquisitions**

On November 16, 2005 Petrofund entered into an agreement to acquire Kaiser for \$485 million, effective December 1, 2005, which added approximately 5,400 boepd of production with reserves of 20 million boe on a proved plus probable basis. Kaiser's assets also include 55,000 net acres of highly prospective undeveloped land on which Petrofund has already identified 166 (net) low to medium risk development drilling opportunities. These projects are expected to contribute positively to Petrofund's production in 2006 and thereafter.

In addition, PC spent \$32.7 million to acquire Northern Crown effective May 10, 2005, \$23.4 million to acquire Tahiti effective May 1, 2005, \$6.3 million to acquire property interests in the Turin area effective January 1, 2005 and \$11.8 million to acquire property interest in the Joarcam area effective July 1, 2005. These acquisitions added approximately 1,650 boepd of production to the Trust. On these acquisitions, Petrofund's internal estimate of reserves acquired, at the time of acquisition, was 4.6 million boe on a proved plus probable basis.

**Dispositions**

During 2005, PC disposed of minor properties for net proceeds of \$871,000, which included one non-core area in the Acheson area of Alberta for \$863,000.

**Development Activities**

During 2005, PC incurred \$145.3 million in drilling and development activities compared to \$76.7 million in 2004 (2003 - \$71.4 million) before asset retirement obligations (ARO) capitalized. A total of 301 wells were drilled; 282 working interest wells (82.6 net) and 19 farmout wells, of which 175 were gas, 118 oil, 5 service wells and 3 were dry and abandoned for an overall success rate of 99%.

A summary of capital expenditures for the last three years is as follows (\$ millions):

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Corporate and property acquisitions <sup>(1)</sup>	561.1	32.1	115.6
Property dispositions	(0.9)	(1.0)	(33.5)
<b>Total corporate and property acquisitions - cash</b>	<b>560.2</b>	<b>31.1</b>	<b>82.1</b>
Development expenditures:			
Land & seismic	8.5	2.2	2.5
Drilling & completion	68.9	35.3	42.5
Well equipping	10.4	10.6	7.9





Tie-ins	14.3	5.4	5.2
Facilities	24.9	13.3	8.4
CO <sub>2</sub> purchases	17.7	8.4	3.5
Other	0.6	1.5	1.4
<b>Total development expenditures - cash</b>	<b>145.3</b>	<b>76.7</b>	<b>71.4</b>
Total net capital expenditures cash	705.5	107.8	153.5
Corporate acquisitions - non-cash <sup>(2)</sup>	178.5	570.0	4.7
Current year ARO capitalized	15.2	1.2	2.3
<b>Total capital expenditures <sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>\$</b>		<b>899.2</b>
			<b>\$</b>
			<b>679.0</b>
			<b>\$</b>
			<b>160.5</b>

(4)

The corporate and property acquisition totals exclude the impact of non-cash items on corporate acquisitions such as future income taxes and ARO.

(5)

Includes non-cash items such as: Trust units issued, working capital assumed, future income tax adjustments for the difference between the cost and tax basis of assets acquired and asset retirement obligations recognized for corporate acquisitions.

(6)

Includes change in oil and natural gas royalty and property interest and goodwill.

The Trust is planning a capital program of \$200 million for 2006 however, the Trust may change its level of expenditure as it identifies and executes on more of the opportunities within its existing properties.

**ASSET RETIREMENT RESERVE FUND**

As at December 31, 2005, PC had \$9.1 million set aside in a segregated cash reserve to fund future abandonment

costs. This cash fund is currently being built up at a rate of \$0.15/boe produced, which is in place to fund significant future reclamation costs, such as the decommissioning of a major facility. PC performs well reclamation and abandonments, flare pit remediation work, etc. on a routine basis, which reduces cash flow available for distribution to proactively address environmental concerns. Petrofund incurred \$3.5 million for these activities in 2005 compared to \$4.6 million in 2004. PC expects to spend a further \$4.0 million on reclamation and abandonment work in 2006.

**GOODWILL**

The goodwill balance of \$349.5 million arose as a result of the Ultima and Central Alberta acquisitions in 2004 and the Kaiser Entities, the Northern Crown and Tahiti acquisitions in 2005. The goodwill balance was determined based on the excess of total consideration paid plus the future income tax liability less the fair value of the assets acquired in each transaction.

Accounting standards require that the goodwill balance be assessed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the balance

---

might be impaired. If such an impairment exists, it would be charged to income in the period in which the impairment occurs. The Trust has determined that there was no indication of goodwill impairment as of December 31, 2005.

### **LONG-TERM DEBT**

In April 2005, PC extended its syndicated loan facility increasing its borrowing base to \$415 million from \$390 million. In December 2005, concurrent with the Kaiser acquisition, the borrowing base was increased to \$590 million. As at December 31, 2005, the amount outstanding on PC's credit facility was \$462.8 million, with \$127.2 million available to finance future activities. See Note 6 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on Long-Term Debt.

### **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Working capital was \$31.9 million at December 31, 2005, an increase of \$81.2 million from the \$49.3 million deficit as at December 31, 2004. The December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 working capital exclude net unrealized gains/losses on commodity contracts. Current assets increased \$80.2 million from \$48.6 million at December 31, 2004 to \$128.8 million at December 31, 2005. Current liabilities of \$97.9 million at December 31, 2004 compare to \$96.9 million at December 31, 2005. This slight decrease in liabilities reflects a decrease in distributions payable to Unitholders in 2005 offset by an increase in trade payables.

In June 2005, the Trust completed a "bought deal" financing of Trust units, raising gross proceeds of \$75.7 million (\$71.4 million net). A total of 4.15 million Trust units were issued at \$18.25 per unit. The net proceeds were used to pay down debt and fund capital expenditures.

In December 2005, the Trust completed a "bought deal" financing of Trust units raising gross proceeds of \$250 million (\$237 million net). A total of 12.5 million Trust units were issued at \$20.00 per unit. The net proceeds were used to fund the acquisition of Kaiser.

Total long-term debt increased to \$462.8 million at December 31, 2005, from \$214.4 million at December 31, 2004, due to the funding of acquisitions and development activities.

The major changes in total long term debt were due to:

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b> (\$millions)	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Cash flow before non-cash operating working capital	\$		398.0
\$			236.2
\$			187.6
Net proceeds received from issuance of Trust units			315.0
			4.5
			214.0
Net change in non-cash working capital balances			(39.2)
			33.5
			6.4
Distributions paid			(202.3)
			(169.5)
			(127.3)
Expenditures on oil & natural gas properties, net			(705.5)
			(107.8)
			204

	(153.5)
Assumption of debt, net of cash on acquisitions	
	29.0
	(100.6)
	-
Asset retirement reserve fund	
	(2.0)
	(1.7)
	(0.8)
Redemption of exchangeable shares	
	(1.1)
	(1.8)
	(2.8)
Capital lease repayments	
	(0.6)
	(0.4)
	(9.3)
Net (increase) decrease in cash and cash equivalents	
	(39.7)
	2.9
	(3.7)
Internalization of management contract	
	-
	-
	(8.0)
Miscellaneous	
	205

	-
	0.6
	6.3
Total changes long-term debt	
\$	(248.4)
\$	(104.1)
\$	108.9

The ratio of long-term debt at December 31, 2005, based on 2005 cash flow before non-cash operating working capital was 1.1:1.0.

In the absence of an equity issue, long-term debt is expected to increase in 2006 due to the capital expenditure program which is expected to be approximately \$200 million (excluding acquisitions) of which a significant portion will be funded from cash flow. If the Trust is successful in completing one or more major acquisitions in 2006, these would be financed by further utilization of the credit facility or a combination of additional bank borrowing and a possible equity issue of treasury units.

The Trust anticipates it will continue to have adequate liquidity to fund future working capital and planned capital expenditures during 2006 primarily through cash flow from operations and utilization of our credit facility.

#### **Capitalization Analysis**

---

<b>(\$000 s, except per unit and percent amounts)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Working capital (deficiency) <sup>(1)</sup>	31,897	(49,310)	(30,006)
Bank debt	462,783	214,414	109,707
Capital lease obligation	-	-	608
	\$	\$	\$
Net debt obligation	430,886	263,724	140,321
Units outstanding and issuable for Exchangeable Shares at year end	117,561	100,451	73,628
	\$	\$	\$
Market Price at December 31,	20.49	15.61	18.79
	\$	\$	\$
Market capitalization	2,408,816	1,568,036	1,383,465
	\$	\$	\$
Total capitalization	2,839,702	1,831,760	1,523,786
Net debt as a percentage of total capitalization	15.2%	14.9%	9.2%
	\$	\$	\$
Cash flow before non-cash operating working capital	398,003	236,245	187,585
Net debt to cash flow	1.1:1.0	1.1:1.0	0.7:1.0

(1)

Working capital (deficiency) excludes net unrealized gains/losses on commodity contracts.

Total capitalization as presented does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by Canadian GAAP and, therefore, it may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Total capitalization is not intended to represent the total funds from equity and debt received by the Trust.

**UNITHOLDERS EQUITY**

The weighted average Trust units/exchangeable shares outstanding are as follows:

For the twelve months ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
Basic	103,660,178	88,169,339	61,010,105
Diluted	103,723,937	88,292,020	61,153,027

Trust units/exchangeable shares outstanding:

As at December 31,	2005	2004	2003
Trust units outstanding	117,172,421	99,511,576	72,688,577
Trust units issuable for exchangeable shares (Note 10)	388,147	939,147	939,147
	117,560,568	100,450,723	73,627,724

The Trust had 117,172,421 Trust units outstanding at December 31, 2005 compared to 99,511,576 Trust units at the end of 2004. The weighted average number of Trust units outstanding including Trust units issuable for Exchangeable Shares, was 103,660,178 Trust units in 2005 as compared to 88,169,339 for 2004. During the year ending December 31, 2005, 427,248 Exchangeable Shares were exchanged for 551,000 Trust units and 46,375 were redeemed for cash leaving 388,025 Exchangeable Shares outstanding at December 31, 2005 which are exchangeable into 388,147 Trust units.

On June 14, 2005, the Trust issued 4.15 million Trust units for gross proceeds of \$75.7 million (\$71.4 million net) at a deemed price of \$18.25 per unit. On December 15, 2005, 12.5 million Trust units were issued at a price of \$20.00 per unit with gross proceeds of \$250 million (\$237 million net).

The Trust had 99,511,576 Trust units outstanding at December 31, 2004, compared to 72,688,577 Trust units at the end of 2003. The weight average number of Trust units outstanding including Exchangeable Shares, was 88,169,339 Trust units for 2004 as compared to 61,010,105 for 2003.

On June 16, 2004, the Trust issued 26.4 million units for the purchase of Ultima at a deemed price of \$17.12 per unit. In May 2003, 9.2 million units were issued at a price of \$10.60 per unit and in December 2003, 6.6 million units were issued at a price of \$16.20 per units.

During the year, 418,424 options (2004 - 332,733, 2003 - 1,673,404 options) were exercised for the same number of Trust units generating proceeds of \$5.9 million in 2005 (2004 - \$3.8 million, 2003 - \$20.5 million). (For details of options exercised and outstanding at the end of the year refer to Note 14 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

**FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The net negative fair value of the commodity contracts at December 31, 2005 of \$4.6 million has been recorded on the balance sheet as commodity contracts under assets or liabilities, as appropriate. The negative change in the fair value of the contracts, December 31, 2005 of \$34.5





million (2004 - \$48.7 million) is recorded in the income statement on a separate line as loss on commodity contracts . The line item also includes realized losses on commodity contracts of \$40.9 million for 2005 compared to \$42.5 million for 2004.

	<b>January 1,</b>	<b>Amortized</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
<b>Deferred Commodity Contracts (\$000 s)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>to Expense</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Current Asset</b>			
Deferred loss	\$	\$	\$
	517	(517)	-
<b>Current Liability</b>			
Deferred gain	(184)	184	-
	\$	\$	\$
	333	(333)	-

---

	January 1, 2005	Change in Fair Value	December 31, 2005
<b>Commodity Contracts (\$000 s)</b>			
<b>Current Asset</b>			
Commodity contracts	\$ 3,281	\$ (1,375)	\$ 1,906
<b>Current Liability</b>			
Commodity contracts	(14,599)	8,053	(6,546)
	\$ (11,318)	\$ 6,678	\$ (4,640)

The following is a summary of the gain (loss) on commodity contracts for 2005:

(\$000 s)	Crude Oil & Liquids	Natural Gas	Electricity	2005 Total	2004 Total	2003 Total
Realized cash gain (loss) on contracts	\$ (36,554)	\$ (4,851)	\$ 514	\$ (40,891)	\$ (42,491)	\$ (7,755)
Unrealized gain (loss) on contracts	10,462	(4,764)	647	6,345	(6,221)	-
Gain (loss) on commodity contracts	\$ (26,092)	\$ (9,615)	\$ 1,161	\$ (34,546)	\$ (48,712)	\$ (7,755)

### **NON-RESIDENT OWNERSHIP**

Based on information available to the Trust, Petrofund estimated that non-resident ownership was approximately 70% as of January 31, 2006. There are to the knowledge of Petrofund, at this time, no significant changes pending or proposed to the Income Tax Act (Canada) (the Tax Act) that could impact Petrofund's qualification as a mutual fund trust under the Tax Act.

In order for Petrofund to qualify as a mutual fund trust for the purposes of the Tax Act, it is required, among other things, that (i) the Trust not be considered to be a trust established or maintained primarily for the benefit of non-residents of Canada; or (ii) the Trust satisfies certain conditions as to the nature of the assets of the Trust as specified in the Tax Act (the Asset Test). The Trust Indenture provides that, except to the extent permitted under the Tax Act, the Trust shall endeavour to satisfy the requirements of the Tax Act to qualify as a mutual fund trust at all times. The Trust believes it has at all material times satisfied the Asset Test and,



accordingly, for the purposes of the requirements of these provisions, should qualify as a mutual fund trust under the current provisions of the Tax Act.

Accordingly, there are to the knowledge of Petrofund, at this time, no restrictions or deadlines on Petrofund pertaining to non-resident ownership levels. However, the Trust will continue to provide non-resident ownership level updates on a quarterly basis and will continue to monitor any developments in this area.

---

**CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

The following is a summary of the Trust's contractual obligations due in the next five years and thereafter:

<b>Contractual Obligations</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Payment due by Period</b>			<b>after 5 years</b>
		<b>less than one year</b>	<b>1 3 years</b>	<b>4 5 years</b>	
(millions)					
Long-term debt <sup>(1), (5)</sup>					
\$					
462.8					
\$					
-					
\$					
-					
\$					
-					
\$					
462.8					
Operating leases					
17.7					
2.1					
4.4					
4.6					
6.6					

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Purchase obligations <sup>(2)</sup>

135.7

15.0

26.5

26.6

67.6

Asset retirement obligation <sup>(3)</sup>

217.4

4.0

7.7

11.1

194.6

RUP, LTIPs <sup>(4)</sup>

4.9

1.6

2.9

0.1

0.3

**Total**

\$

838.5

\$

22.7

\$

41.5

\$

42.4

\$ 731.9

(1)

Approval to extend the revolving period must be obtained from the banking syndicate on an annual basis; however it has been extended every year since the inception of the facility.

(2)

These amounts represent estimated commitments of \$108.6 million for CO<sub>2</sub> purchases and \$27.1 million for processing fees with respect to PC's interest in Weyburn unit.

(3)

These amounts represent the undiscounted future reclamation and abandonment costs that are expected to be incurred over the life of the properties.

(4)

Based on the current estimate of payments including distributions to be made on the vesting dates.

(5)

Interest expense of approximately \$21.0 million per year has been excluded from the above table.

#### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

The Trust had no off-balance sheet financing arrangements in the last completed financial year.

#### **RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The Trust had no related party transactions in the last completed financial year.

#### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make certain judgments and estimates. The Trust follows the full cost method of accounting for its oil and natural gas activities as described in Note 2 of the Consolidated Financial Statements. These estimates include:

(a)

estimated production revenues, royalties and operating costs as at a specific reporting date but for which actual revenues and costs have not yet been received.

(b)

estimated capital expenditures on projects that are in progress.





(c)

estimated depletion, depreciation, and accretion that are based on estimates of oil and gas reserves that the Trust expects to recover in the future.

(d)

estimated fair values of derivative contracts that are subject to fluctuation depending upon underlying commodity prices and foreign exchange rates.

(e)

estimated value of asset retirement obligations that are dependent upon estimates of future costs and timing of expenditures.

(f)

estimated fair value of acquired company's assets and liabilities are dependent on the estimated value of oil and natural gas properties. Determining fair value of these properties involves estimating oil and natural gas reserves and future prices of oil and natural gas.

The process of estimating reserves is critical to several accounting estimates. The process of estimating reserves is complex and requires significant judgments and decisions based on available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. These estimates may change substantially as additional data from ongoing development and production activities becomes available, and as economic conditions impacting oil and natural gas prices, operating costs, and royalty burdens change. Reserve estimates impact net income through depletion, depreciation and accretion and in the application of the ceiling test, whereby the value of the oil and natural gas assets are subjected to an impairment test. The reserve estimates are also used to assess the borrowing base for the Trust's credit facilities. Revision or changes in the reserve estimates can have either a positive or negative impact on net income or the borrowing base of the Trust.

All estimates are prepared by qualified individuals who have knowledge of operations and related activities. Prior estimates are compared to actual results to confirm or improve accrual procedures and to make more informed decisions on future estimates. Reserve estimates are prepared by an independent qualified reserves evaluator appointed by the Board. An independent committee of the Board, the Reserve's Audit and EH&S committee oversees the integrity of the Trust's reserves.

#### **FINANCIAL REPORTING AND REGULATORY UPDATE**

##### **Redeemable or Retractable Shares**

On November 5, 2004, the CICA issued EIC-149 "Accounting for Retractable or Mandatorily Redeemable Shares" that lists specific criteria required to be met in order for entities to reflect trust units and exchangeable shares as either a liability or equity in their financial statements. The trust units and exchangeable shares meet the required criteria to be reflected as Unitholders' equity and no additional presentation or disclosure is required.

##### **Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement**

On January 27, 2005, the Accounting Standard s Board (AcSB) issued CICA Handbook section 3855 Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement , CICA Handbook section 3861 Financial Instruments-Disclosure and Presentation , CICA Handbook section 1530 Comprehensive Income and CICA handbook section 3865 Hedges that deal with the recognition and measurement of financial instruments and comprehensive income. The new standards are intended to harmonize Canadian standards with United States and International accounting standards and are effective for annual and interim periods in fiscal years beginning

---

on or after October 1, 2006. These new standards will impact the Trust in future periods and the resulting impact will be assessed at that time.

### **Non-Controlling Interest**

On January 19, 2005, the CICA issued EI-151 Exchangeable Securities Issued by Subsidiaries of Income Trusts which states that exchangeable securities issued by a subsidiary of an income trust should be reflected as either non-controlling interest or debt on the consolidated balance sheet unless they meet certain criteria. The exchangeable shares issued by Petrofund Corp., a wholly owned corporate subsidiary of the Trust, are not publicly traded and therefore are not considered, by EIC-151.

EIC-151, Exchangeable Securities, has previously confirmed that the accounting adopted for the recording of the Exchangeable Shares that were issued by a subsidiary of the Trust in 2003 and subsequent redemptions and conversions is appropriate given that they are not transferable.

### **OUTLOOK FOR 2006**

The level of cash flow for 2006 will be affected by oil and gas prices, the Canadian U.S. dollar exchange rate and the Trust's ability to add reserves and production in a cost effective manner. Both product prices and the exchange rate showed volatility in 2006 to date and this trend is expected to continue into 2006. The Trust is expected to continue to be active in the acquisition market. Nevertheless, competition for these assets is expected to be fierce due to increased demand resulting from the increasing number of oil and gas companies that have converted to a trust structure. The Trust expects prices for quality, long life assets to be at or near record levels. Petrofund expects to be an active participant in this market but success will be tempered by a commitment to maintain historic discipline and bid only at levels consistent with the best long term interest of our unitholders.

Acquisition activities will be complemented by an extensive drilling and farmout program that will be conducted on our existing land base.

Although product prices have remained at high levels, the strengthening of the Canadian dollar in the fourth quarter of 2005 moderated the net effect of these prices on Petrofund's cash flow. The WTI price increased 37% to U.S. \$56.56/bbl in 2005 from U.S. \$41.40/bbl in 2004; however, as the (U.S./CDN) exchange rate averaged 0.83 in 2005 as compared to 0.77 in 2004 the par price at Edmonton was up only 31%. The Trust expects the Canadian dollar to remain strong throughout 2006.

Petrofund pursues a well defined risk management program to help offset the effect of commodity price fluctuations. This program utilizes collars as the main hedging tool but Petrofund also enters into fixed price transactions when commodity prices approach historic highs. To date, the Trust has not entered into any currency related transactions. The risk management strategies and hedged positions are discussed under the header Pricing and Price Risk Management in this report.

### **CORPORATE DEVELOPMENTS**

#### **Petrofund Energy Trust added to the S&P/TSX Composite Index**



Following market close on December 16, 2005 Petrofund was added to the S&P/TSX Composite Index at 50 per cent of its full float adjusted weight, resulting in Petrofund having a weighting of .08% in the Index. Standard & Poor's had previously announced it intended to include income trusts in the S&P/TSX Composite Index at 50 per cent of their full float adjusted weight on December 16, 2005 and at full weighting on the March 17, 2006 market close.

### **No Change to Tax Treatment of Income Trusts**

On November 23, 2005, the federal government of Canada announced a reduction in personal income taxes on dividends and an end to the consultation process initiated on September 8, 2005 to review the tax treatment of income trusts and flow-through entities. The government did not announce any changes to the tax treatment of income trusts and flow-through entities.

The Trust's management believes that the announcement reflects the overwhelming consensus of submissions received during the consultation process to reduce personal income tax on corporate dividends to correct the long-standing problem of double taxation of dividends at the federal level. Petrofund appreciated the opportunity to participate in the consultation process and is pleased with the government's decision. The decision reduces the uncertainty surrounding income trust taxation and assists in levelling the playing field between corporations and trusts by establishing a better balance between the tax treatment of these entities.

Petrofund continues to express to the federal government the need for removal of the limitation of non-Canadian resident ownership of income trusts. Income trusts need access to global capital markets, similar to the access that corporations currently enjoy, to grow their businesses. This, we believe, is ultimately in the best interests of investors and the Canadian economy.

### **MANAGEMENT AND FINANCIAL REPORTING SYSTEMS**

The Trust has established procedures and internal control systems in place to ensure timely and accurate preparation of management, financial and other reports. Disclosure controls and procedures are in place to ensure all ongoing statutory reporting requirements are met and material information is disclosed on a timely basis. The President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer, individually, sign certifications that the financial statements together with the other financial information included in the regulatory filings fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operation, and cash flows as of the dates and for the periods presented. During 2005, there were no significant changes that would materially effect the internal controls over financial reporting.

### **Evaluation of Disclosure Control and Procedures**

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Trust's disclosure controls are effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report, in all material respects, after considering the control assertion statements and the guidance published within U.S. Securities and Exchange



Commission Release No. 33-8124, Certification of Disclosures in Companies Quarterly and Annual Reports, and Canadian Securities Administrators Multilateral Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosures in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings. There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting during our last fiscal year that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### **Sarbanes-Oxley Update**

On July 31, 2002, the United States Congress enacted the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ( SOX ) that applies to all companies reporting with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). On March 2, 2005, the SEC announced a one year extension of the compliance date for all foreign private issuers that are accelerated filers. As a result of this extension, Petrofund is currently required to comply with Section 404 of the SOX legislation as of December 31, 2006. Section 404 requires that management identify, document, and assess internal control over financial reporting and issue an annual report on their assessment of its effectiveness. The Trust has implemented a comprehensive program for meeting the requirements of Section 404 by December 31, 2006.

### **BUSINESS RISKS**

#### **VOLATILITY IN OIL AND NATURAL GAS PRICES**

The monthly cash distributions the Trust pays to Unitholders are highly dependent on the prices received for PC's and PVT's oil and natural gas production. Oil and natural gas prices can fluctuate significantly on a month-to-month basis in response to a variety of factors that are beyond the control of the Trust and subsidiaries. These factors include: political conditions throughout the world, worldwide economic conditions, weather conditions, the supply and price of foreign oil and natural gas, the level of consumer demand, the price and availability of alternative fuels, the proximity to, and capacity of, transportation facilities, the effect of worldwide energy conservation measures and government regulations.

#### **RESERVE ESTIMATES**

The value of the Trust units depends upon, among other things, the reserves attributable to PC's and PVT's properties. The reserves and recovery information contained in PC's and PVT's independent reserve evaluation is only an estimate. The actual production and ultimate reserves from the properties may be greater or less than the estimates prepared by the independent reserve evaluator. The reserve report was prepared using certain commodity price assumptions that are described in the notes to the reserve tables. If lower prices for crude oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas are realized by the Trust, the present value of estimated future net cash flows for the Trust's reserves would be reduced and the reduction could be significant.

#### **DEPLETION OF RESERVES**

The Trust has certain attributes which differentiate it from many other oil and natural gas industry participants. Distributions by the Trust, absent commodity price increases or cost effective acquisition and development activities, will decline. As the Trust will not be





reinvesting the majority of its cash flow, absent acquisitions and development activities, the Trust's production levels and reserves will decline. PC's and PVT's reserves and production and, therefore, their cash flows will be highly dependant upon their success in exploiting their reserve base and acquiring additional reserves. To the extent that external sources of capital, including the issuance of additional Trust units, become limited or unavailable, the Trust's ability to make the necessary capital investments to maintain or expand reserves will be impaired.

#### **VARIATIONS IN INTEREST RATES AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES**

Variations in interest rates could result in a significant increase in the amount the Trust pays to service debt, resulting in a decrease in distribution to Unitholders.

World oil prices are quoted in U.S. dollars and the price received by Canadian producers is therefore affected by the Canadian/U.S. dollar exchange rate that fluctuates over time. A material increase in the value of the Canadian dollar which occurred from 2003 to 2005 negatively affected the Trust's net production revenue. The Canadian dollar averaged US 0.83 in 2005 versus US 0.77 in 2004 versus 0.71 in 2003. The increase in the exchange rate for the Canadian dollar and future Canadian/U.S. exchange rates will affect future distributions and the future value of the Trust's reserves as determined by independent evaluators.

#### **CREDIT RISK**

PC markets and hedges its and PVT's oil and natural gas production with a number of counterparties and, therefore, is subject to the risk that these parties may not be able to meet all their commitments under these contracts. A reduction of distributions could result in such circumstances. Oil and natural gas sales revenue credit risk is managed by limiting the exposure to customers based on assigned credit ratings as well as limiting the maximum exposure to any single customer. Risk is further managed as sales revenue receivables are due and settled in the month following the sale. PC manages its exposure to credit risk under financial instruments, such as commodity derivatives and foreign exchange contracts, by selecting counterparties of high credit quality. Risk is also minimized through regular management review of potential exposure to, and credit ratings of such counterparties. PC has not experienced a significant loss on uncollected receivables from any customers or counterparties.

#### **OPERATIONAL RISKS**

PC's and PVT's operations are subject to all of the risks normally associated with drilling for and the production and transportation of oil and natural gas. Such risks and hazards include encountering unexpected formations or pressures, blow-outs, craterings and fires, all of which could result in personal injury, loss of life, property damage and environmental damage. Although PC has safety and environmental policies in place to protect operators and employees, as well as to meet regulatory requirements, and although PC has liability insurance policies in place, PC cannot fully insure against all such risks, nor are all such risks insurable. PC may become liable for damages arising from such events which cannot be insured against or which we may elect not to insure because of high premium costs or other reasons. (See Environmental and Safety Risks).



Continuing production from a property, and to some extent, the marketing of production there-from are largely dependent upon the ability of the operator of the property. Operating costs on most properties have increased over recent years. PC currently operates approximately 50% of its total daily production. To the extent the operator fails to perform these functions properly, revenue may be reduced. Although satisfactory title reviews are generally conducted in accordance with industry standards, such reviews do not guarantee or certify that a defect in the chain of title may not arise to defeat the claim of the Trust to certain properties. A reduction of the distributions and possible reduction in capital could result in such circumstances.

### **EXPANSION OF OPERATIONS**

The operations and expertise of management of the Trust are currently focused on conventional oil and natural gas production and development in Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin. In the future, the Trust may acquire oil and natural gas properties outside this geographic area. In addition, the Trust Indenture does not limit the activities of the Trust to oil and natural gas production and development, and the Trust could acquire other energy related assets, such as oil and natural gas processing plants or pipelines, wind power generation, or an interest in an oil sands project. Expansion of activities into new areas may present new additional risks or alternatively, significantly increase the exposure to one or more of the present risk factors which may result in future operational and financial conditions of the Trust being adversely affected.

### **MARKETABILITY OF PRODUCTION**

The marketability of PC's and PVT's production depends in part upon the availability, proximity and capacity of gas gathering systems, pipelines, and processing facilities. Canadian federal and provincial, as well as U.S. federal and state, regulation of oil and gas production and transportation, tax and energy policies, general economic conditions, and changes in supply and demand all could adversely affect PC's and PVT's ability to produce and market oil and natural gas. If market factors dramatically change, the financial impact on the Trust's business could be substantial. The availability of markets is beyond PC's and PVT's control.

### **COMPETITION**

There is strong competition relating to all aspects of the oil and natural gas industry. The Trust competes for capital, acquisitions of reserves, undeveloped lands, skilled personnel, access to drilling rigs, service rigs and other equipment, access to processing facilities, pipeline and refining capacity and in many other respects with a substantial number of other organizations, many of which may have greater technical and financial resources than the Trust. There are numerous trusts in the oil and natural gas industry that are competing for the acquisition of properties with longer life reserves and with exploitation and developmental opportunities. As a result of the increasing competition, it may be more difficult to acquire reserves on beneficial terms.

### **ASSESSMENTS OF THE VALUE OF ACQUISITIONS**

Acquisitions of resource issuers and resource assets are based in large part on engineering and economic assessments made by independent engineers. These assessments will include a series of assumptions regarding such factors as recoverability and marketability of oil and natural gas, future prices of oil and natural gas and operating costs, future capital expenditures and



royalties and other government levies which will be imposed over the producing life of the reserves. Many of these factors are subject to change and are beyond PC's control. In particular, the prices of and markets for resource products may change from those anticipated at the time of making such assessment. In addition, all such assessments involve a measure of geologic and engineering uncertainty which could result in lower production and reserves than anticipated. Initial assessments of acquisitions may be based on reports by a firm of independent engineers that are not the same as the firm that PC uses for its and PVT's year end reserve evaluations, and these assessments may differ significantly from the assessments of the firm used by PC. Any such instance may offset the return on and value of the Trust units.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL AND SAFETY RISKS**

The oil and natural gas industry is subject to extensive environmental and safety regulations pursuant to local, provincial and federal legislation. A breach of such legislation may result in the imposition of fines or issuance of clean up orders. Such legislation may be changed to impose higher standards and potentially more costly obligations. Although PC and PVT have established a reclamation fund for the purpose of funding their estimated future environmental and reclamation obligations based on their current knowledge, there can be no assurance that PC and PVT will be able to satisfy their actual future environmental and reclamation obligations. While PC and PVT have established a reserve for extraordinary and significant site reclamation or abandonment costs, actual abandonment costs incurred in the ordinary course of business during a specific period reduce the amounts available for distribution to Unitholders. Although PC and PVT maintain insurance coverage considered to be customary in the industry, they are not fully insured against certain environmental risks, either because such insurance is not available, or because of high premium costs. In particular, insurance against risks from environmental pollution occurring over time (compared to sudden and catastrophic damages) is not available. In such an event, these environmental obligations would be funded out of PC's and PVT's cash flows and could, therefore, reduce distributable income payable to Unitholders. In addition, the December 1997, Kyoto Protocol with respect to the reduction of greenhouse gases has been ratified by Canada. Although it is not possible at this time to assess the potential impacts on the business and operations of the Trust, they could be significant.

### **CREDIT FACILITY RESTRICTIONS ON DISTRIBUTIONS**

PC has secured credit facilities with variable interest rates. Variations in interest rates and scheduled principal repayments could result in significant changes in the amount of PC's revenues required to be applied to its debt service before payment of any amounts to the Trust. Certain covenants contained in PC's agreements with its lenders may also limit the amounts paid to the Trust and the distributions paid by the Trust to Unitholders.

PC's lenders have been provided with security over substantially all of the assets of PC and PVT. If PC becomes unable to pay its debt service charges or otherwise commits an event of default, such as bankruptcy, these lenders may foreclose on or sell PC's and PVT's properties. The proceeds of any such sale would be applied to satisfy amounts owed to PC's lenders and other creditors and only the remainder, if any, would be available to the Trust.

Although PC believes that the credit facilities are sufficient, there is no assurance that the amounts available thereunder will be adequate for its future obligations or that additional



funds can be obtained. The syndicated facility is available on a one year revolving basis. If the revolving period at which the lenders may extend the facility is not renewed for an additional one year period, the loan will convert to a one year term with payments due in three consecutive quarterly amounts equal to one-twentieth of the loan amount with an additional payment due on the last day of the term equal to the balance outstanding. If this occurs, PC will have to arrange alternate financing. There is no assurance that such financing will be available or be available on favourable terms. Trust distributions may be materially reduced in these circumstances and the failure to obtain suitable replacement financing may have a material adverse effect on the Trust.

### **DELAYS IN DISTRIBUTIONS**

In addition to the usual delays in payment by purchasers of oil and natural gas to the operators of PC's and PVT's properties, and by those operators to PC, payments between any of these parties may also be delayed by restrictions imposed by lenders, delays in the sale or delivery of products, delays in the connection of wells to a gathering system, blowouts or other accidents, recovery by the operator of expenses incurred in the operation of the properties, or the establishment by the operator of reserves for such expenses. Any of these delays could adversely affect Trust distributions.

### **MUTUAL FUND TRUST**

Pursuant to the Tax Act, in order for the Trust to qualify as a mutual fund trust for the purposes of the Tax Act, it is required, among other things, that (i) the Trust not be considered to be a trust established or maintained primarily for the benefit of non-residents of Canada; or (ii) the Trust satisfies certain conditions as to the nature of the assets of the Trust as specified in the Tax Act (the Asset Test). The Trust Indenture provides that, except to the extent permitted under the Tax Act, the Trust shall endeavour to satisfy the requirements of the Tax Act to qualify as a mutual fund trust at all times. The Trust believes it has at all material times satisfied the Asset Test and, accordingly, for the purposes of the requirements of these provisions should qualify as a mutual fund trust under the current provisions of the Tax Act.

### **CHANGES IN LEGISLATION**

There can be no assurance that income tax laws, other laws or government incentive programs relating to the oil and gas industry, such as the status of mutual fund trusts and resource allowance, will not be changed in a manner which will adversely affect the Trust and Unitholders. There can be no assurance that tax authorities having jurisdiction will agree with how the Trust calculates its income for tax purposes or that such tax authorities will not change their administrative practices to the detriment of the Trust or the Unitholders.

### **TAXABILITY OF PETROFUND CORP.**

At the current time, there is no income tax payable by PC other than Large Corporate Tax; however, this situation could change depending upon the level of cash flows, within PC, the amount paid by PC to the Trust and the tax deductions generated within PC. Cash flow that is not paid to the Trust and subsequently distributed to unitholders is retained in PC and creates taxable income in PC. PC uses its available tax deductions from its development program and other deductions on property acquisitions that are not transferred to the Trust through the sale of a royalty to reduce its taxable income. If the tax deductions are not sufficient to reduce





taxable income to nil, PC could be liable for current income taxes. The amount of any current taxes payable would reduce cash available for distribution.

In addition, there is always legislative risk that could occur because of potential changes in current tax law that may erode the value of current and past tax positions. For example, the Federal Government has proposed certain changes relating to the deductibility of interest that could affect the operating corporation (PC). These, and any other changes, could adversely affect the taxability of our operating subsidiary and the amount of cash flow distributed to unitholders.

### ACCESS TO CAPITAL MARKETS

In the normal course of making capital investments to maintain and expand the oil and natural gas reserves of the Trust, additional Trust units are issued from treasury which may result in a decline in production per Trust unit and reserves per Trust unit. To the extent that external sources of capital, become limited or unavailable, the Trust's ability to make the necessary capital investments to maintain or expand its oil and natural gas reserves will be impaired. To the extent that PC is required to use cash flow to finance capital expenditures or property acquisitions, to pay debt service charges or to reduce debt, the level of distributable income will be reduced.

### SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Below is a table that shows sensitivities to pre-hedging cash flow as a result of product price and operational changes that can significantly affect cash flow and results of operations. The table is based on actual 2005 prices received for the fourth quarter of 2005 and 39,178 boe/d fourth quarter 2005 production volumes. These sensitivities are approximations only and are not necessarily valid at other price and production levels. As well, hedging activities can significantly affect these sensitivities.

		Change	\$000 s	\$/unit per year
Price per barrel of oil*	\$	\$		\$ 0.063
		1.00 U.S. WTI	7,457	
Price per mcf of natural gas*	\$	\$		\$ 0.064
		0.25 CDN	7,556	
US/Cdn exchange rate	\$	\$		\$ 0.053
		0.01	6,215	
Interest rate on debt (\$463 million)	1%	\$		\$ 0.039
			4,627	
Oil production volumes*		100 bbl/day	\$	\$ 0.016
			1,846	
Gas production volumes*		1 mmcf/day	\$	\$ 0.028

3,268

\*After adjustment for estimated royalties.

---

## **PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST**

### **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The relationship between the board of directors of PC (the Board) and the Management of Petrofund is grounded in a mutual understanding of respective roles and the ability of the Board to act independently while fulfilling its responsibilities. Further, the Board's involvement in strategic planning recognizes that the role of Directors is not to manage but to guide Management. Strategic planning is fundamental to Petrofund and strategic planning is done collaboratively between the Board and Management. The Board oversees and monitors systems for managing business risk and regularly reviews strategic plans with Management. Petrofund is in full compliance with the corporate governance standards established under National Policy 58-201 entitled Corporate Governance Guidelines (NP 58-201).

The Board is composed of individuals all of who have experience relevant to the Trust's operations and understand the complexities of the Trust's business environment. The Board includes a diversity of backgrounds, perspectives, and skills among its members. In 2004, the Board increased in size by two directorships as a result of the Ultima transaction which closed in June. This increase was done with a view to increase overall effectiveness and improve decision making. At the April 2005, annual meeting one director did not stand for re-election, thereby reducing the number of directors to eight.

In addition to those matters which must be approved by the Board by law, significant business activities and actions proposed to be undertaken by Petrofund are subject to Board approval. The Board of Directors approves appropriate corporate objectives and recommended courses of action which have been brought forward by the Chief Executive Officer and Management.

### **INDEPENDENCE OF THE BOARD**

The Board currently comprises eight members. All members of the Board, with the exception of Mr. Errico (the Trust's current President and Chief Executive Officer) are independent directors within the context and meaning outlined within NP 58-201. The responsibility for ensuring that individual directors are independent rests with the Board. The Board will ensure that Petrofund discloses on an annual basis the number of independent and non-independent directors.

### **ORIENTATION AND CONTINUING EDUCATION**

Petrofund has instituted, under the auspices of the Governance Committee, a formal orientation and education program for new Board members in order to ensure that new directors are familiarized with Petrofund's business; including Petrofund's field operations, management, administration, policies and plans, and the procedures of the Board. New directors attend a one day session conducted by the Chief Executive Officer, which includes presentations from the other executive officers and senior personnel, and which covers the general structure of Petrofund, corporate strategies, acquisition and development projects, oil and gas operations, legal matters, financial matters, accounting matters, and investor relations. The directors are provided with a Board Orientation Manual containing written information and materials pertaining to the subjects covered in the orientation session, which is revised and updated on a regular basis by management. The Board is also encouraged to take part in site visits to wellsites and facility locations in the field to observe for themselves the standard and quality of Petrofund's operations. In addition to the regular updates and revisions to the Board Orientation Manual, Petrofund encourages directors to attend, enrol, or participate in courses and/or seminars dealing with financial



literacy, corporate governance, and related matters and has agreed to pay the cost of such courses and seminars.

## **COMMITTEES**

The Board has four committees; the Governance Committee, the Audit Committee, the Human Resources & Compensation Committee and the Reserves Audit and EH&S Committee. All Board committees consist entirely of independent directors. Committees have formal written mandates approved by the Board. The Committees review these mandates and work processes at least annually; taking into account changes in regulatory and other appropriate requirements or practices, and propose changes as appropriate to the Board for its approval. All committees have the right to retain independent advisors at the expense of Petrofund.

### **GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE**

The Governance Committee is comprised of Sandra Cowan (Chairperson), Art Dumont and Frank Potter each of whom has been determined to be independent. The Committee has the responsibility for proposing new nominees to the Board, has the responsibility of reviewing the Board's size, composition and working processes and proposing changes to the Board for its consideration. The Governance Committee has the responsibility for assessing the performance of the Board, its committees, and individual directors. It recommends to the Board at least annually and at such other times as it sees fit, the composition of board committees and the chairmanship of such committees. A component of the Governance Committee's mandate is the responsibility for considering and proposing nominations to the Board, should such nominations be required. The Committee reviews director compensation at least annually, and recommends changes as it sees fit to the Board for its approval.

### **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

The Audit Committee is comprised of James Allard (Chairperson), Frank Potter and Gary Lee each of whom has been determined to be independent. The Committee oversees, among other things, Petrofund's finances, accounting and financial reporting practices and controls. All listed committee members possess the requisite financial skills necessary to qualify them as committee directors. Additionally, James Allard fulfills the requirement for a financial expert, having served as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer for several private and publicly traded companies throughout his lengthy career. The Committee meets with Petrofund's independent registered chartered accountants without management and does so a minimum of four times a year. The Committee has direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the independent registered chartered accountants. The Committee has sole authority to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services not prohibited by applicable law or rules of the stock exchanges (TSX and AMEX), including remuneration and terms of engagement.

### **HUMAN RESOURCES & COMPENSATION COMMITTEE**

The Human Resources & Compensation Committee is comprised of Frank Potter (Chairperson), Gary Lee and Wayne Newhouse each of whom has been determined to be independent. The Committee is responsible to the Board for overseeing the development and administration of



competitive policies designed to attract, develop and retain employees of the highest standards at all levels. It recommends to the Board appropriate policies dealing with recruitment, compensation, benefits and training, and oversees the administration of succession planning. It is responsible for recommending to the Board the compensation arrangements for individual senior officers, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer.

Under the guidance of the Committee, each director performs a written annual appraisal of the CEO's performance against stated performance objectives formulated at the beginning of each year.

#### **RESERVES AUDIT AND EH&S COMMITTEE**

The Reserves Audit and EH&S Committee is comprised of Wayne Newhouse (Chairperson), James Allard and Art Dumont each of whom has been determined to be independent. The Reserves Audit and EH&S Committee has the responsibility of overseeing the integrity of Petrofund's reserve estimates. Contained within the Reserves Audit and EH&S Committee's mandate is the responsibility to ascertain those procedures and policies which minimize environmental, occupational and safety risks to asset value thereby mitigating any potential damage to or deterioration of asset value. The Committee meets at least annually, and such other times as it sees fit. It meets with Petrofund's independent engineering consultants, and does so at least once annually without management.

#### **CODE OF BUSINESS ETHICS AND WHISTLEBLOWER POLICY**

Petrofund is committed to conducting its business in a responsible and ethical manner. To support this commitment, Petrofund has instituted a formal Code of Business Ethics and a Whistleblower Policy. These formalized documents are distributed to all officers, employees and contractors working for Petrofund. Each officer, employee or contractor is required to sign an acknowledgement and compliance letter stating that they have read, understand and will comply with these policies.

Specifically, the Code of Business Ethics clearly outlines the fundamental principles to which all officers, and employees and contractors are expected to adhere in the conduct of Petrofund's business. Fundamental principles of appropriate business conduct have been established, consistent with the core values and management philosophy of Petrofund, that are to be pursued by all officers, employees and contractors of the Trust.

The Whistleblower Policy describes Petrofund's principles and practices through which all officers, employees and contractors may report any concerns regarding the manner in which Petrofund conducts its business. Additionally, this policy outlines the means through which Petrofund will provide a safe, secure and confidential venue for staff to voice concerns about the Trust and its financial or operational processes. The Trust employs anonymous reporting methods easily accessible to all employees and consultants to help ensure anonymity and open access to those seeking to report such incidents. When required, senior management, as well as the Board through the Chairman of the Audit Committee participate in the review and investigation of the reported incidents.





**QUARTERLY and ANNUAL FINANCIAL DATA**

(millions of Canadian dollars, except per unit amounts)

	Net Oil and Natural		Net income per unit <sup>(2)</sup>	
	Gas Sales <sup>(1)</sup>	Net Income	Basic	Diluted
<b>2005</b>	\$	\$	\$	\$
First quarter	122.9	19.2	0.19	0.19
Second quarter	141.7	40.2	0.40	0.40
Third quarter	170.3	51.2	0.49	0.49
Fourth quarter	188.9	100.1	0.93	0.93
	\$	\$	\$	\$
	623.8	210.7	2.03	2.03
<b>2004</b>	\$	\$	\$	\$
First quarter	81.1	7.6	0.10	0.10
Second quarter	89.9	0.8	0.01	0.01
Third quarter	119.9	15.1	0.15	0.15
Fourth quarter	125.9	50.9	0.51	0.51
	\$	\$	\$	\$
	416.8	74.4	0.84	0.84
<b>2003</b>	\$	\$	\$	\$
First quarter	91.4	32.6	0.60	0.60
Second quarter	77.9	15.3	0.26	0.26
Third quarter	75.4	15.1	0.23	0.23
Fourth quarter	76.8	24.3	0.35	0.35



\$	\$	\$	\$
321.5	87.3	1.43	1.43

(1)

Net after royalties.

(2)

Net income per unit numbers are calculated quarterly and annually and therefore do not add.

<b>For the years ended December 31, (\$000 s)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Total assets	\$	\$	\$
	2,267,119	1,486,412	962,528
Total long-term debt	\$	\$	\$
	462,783	214,414	110,315

**SUMMARY of FOURTH QUARTER RESULTS**

<b>Three months ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>% change</b>
<b>Daily Production Volumes</b>			
Oil (bbls)	18,856	18,508	2
Natural gas (mmcf)	108.9	90.1	21
Natural gas liquids (bbls)	2,164	2,502	(14)
BOE (6:1)	39,178	36,025	9
<b>Average Prices <sup>(1)</sup></b>			
	\$	\$	
Oil (per bbl)	62.46	50.96	23
Natural gas (per mcf)	11.78	7.12	65
Natural gas liquids (per bbl)	65.46	48.20	36
	\$	\$	
Per BOE (6:1)	66.44	47.33	40

**Operational Highlights**

	\$	\$	
Oil and natural gas sales (\$ millions)			
(1)	239.6	156.9	53
Royalties (\$ millions)	50.7	31.2	(63)

---

Transportation costs (\$ millions)	1.8	1.6	(15)
Operating expenses (\$ millions)	38.3	29.2	(31)
Costs per boe	10.64	8.82	(21)
General and administrative (\$ millions)	4.8	4.2	(14)
	\$	\$	
Costs per boe	1.34	1.27	(6)
(1)			

Prices and revenue are before realized gains/losses on commodity contracts and before transportation costs.

**QUARTERLY REVIEW**

(thousands of Canadian dollars and units, except per unit amounts and as indicated)

	2005				2004			
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
<b>Daily Production</b>								
Oil (bbls)	18,856	18,451	17,500	18,238	18,508	17,504	12,679	11,579
Natural gas (mcf)	108,948	97,825	96,951	88,271	90,089	90,119	79,741	77,925
Natural gas liquids (bbls)	2,164	2,730	2,353	2,283	2,502	2,427	2,074	2,040
BOE (6:1)	39,178	37,485	36,011	35,234	36,025	34,950	28,043	26,607
<b>Average Prices <sup>(5)</sup></b>								
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Oil (per bbl)	62.46	69.37	59.18	54.74	50.96	52.02	47.01	42.50
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Natural gas (per mcf)	11.78	9.10	7.65	6.97	7.12	6.50	7.13	6.76
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Natural gas liquids (per bbl)	65.46	50.36	51.10	46.04	48.20	43.68	37.13	37.06
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Per BOE (6:1)	66.44	61.57	52.69	48.79	47.33	45.85	44.27	41.15
<b>Operational Highlights</b>								
	\$	\$	\$	\$				
Oil and natural gas sales <sup>(5)</sup>	239,627	212,404	172,831	154,768	\$			
								156,922
\$								
								147,489
\$								
								112,970
\$								
								99,699
Net oil and natural gas sales <sup>(1)</sup>								
\$								
								188,920

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

\$	170,309
\$	141,722
\$	122,924
\$	125,866
\$	119,911
\$	89,953
\$	81,121
Cash flow <sup>(2)</sup>	
\$	126,111
\$	111,122
\$	87,811
\$	72,959
\$	72,302
\$	248



	65,075
\$	
	49,820
\$	
	49,047

---

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Per unit - basic	1.17	1.06	0.86	0.73	0.72	0.65	0.64	0.67
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
- diluted	1.17	1.06	0.86	0.72	0.72	0.65	0.64	0.66
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Per boe	34.99	32.22	26.80	23.01	21.81	20.24	19.52	20.26
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash distribution paid	55,452	50,150	48,793	47,894	47,734	47,684	39,165	34,910
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash distribution paid per unit	0.51	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48
Payout ratio <sup>(6)</sup>	44%	45%	56%	67%	67%	75%	80%	73%
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Net income	100,023	51,209	40,193	19,243	50,765	15,147	817	7,629
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Net income per unit - Basic	0.93	0.49	0.40	0.19	0.51	0.15	0.01	0.10
-	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Diluted	0.93	0.49	0.40	0.19	0.51	0.15	0.01	0.10
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash operating netback per BOE <sup>(7)</sup>	37.93	34.67	29.28	25.45	24.40	22.57	22.05	22.71
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Lease operating costs	38,333	35,558	35,677	32,010	29,222	30,920	23,639	19,829
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cost per BOE	10.64	10.31	10.89	10.09	8.82	9.62	9.26	8.19
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
General & administrative costs	4,817	4,816	3,902	3,639	4,223	3,764	3,316	3,138
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Costs per BOE	1.34	1.40	1.19	1.15	1.27	1.17	1.30	1.30



**QUARTERLY REVIEW - continued**

(thousands of Canadian dollars and units, except per unit amounts and as indicated)

	2005				2004			
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
<b>Balance sheet</b>								
Working capital (deficit) <sup>(3)</sup>								
\$								31,897
\$								12,077
\$								(47,812)
\$								(59,531)
\$								(49,310)
\$								(24,229)
\$								(13,884)
\$								(56,093)
Property, plant and equipment, net								
\$								1,777,922
\$								1,297,522

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

\$	1,306,761
\$	1,259,248
\$	1,246,694
\$	1,230,636
\$	1,251,484
\$	883,191
Total assets	
\$	2,267,119
\$	1,569,436
\$	1,575,524
\$	1,503,672
\$	1,486,412
\$	1,469,209
\$	1,507,276
\$	

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	932,758
Long-term debt	
\$	
	462,783
\$	
	244,499
\$	
	254,345
\$	
	239,237
\$	
	214,414
\$	
	199,474
\$	
	212,537
\$	
	90,040
Unitholders equity	
\$	
	1,385,343
\$	
	1,084,746
\$	
	1,034,115
\$	
	992,882
\$	

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	1,026,526
\$	
	1,031,226
\$	
	1,063,704
\$	
	615,952
<b>Units and Exchangeable Shares Outstanding</b>	
Weighted average	
	107,363
	105,018
	101,569
	100,603
	100,396
	100,267
	78,074
	73,674
Diluted	
	107,415
	105,039
	101,593
	100,644
	100,466
	100,353
	78,229
	73,872
At period end	
	117,561
	<b>255</b>

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	105,046
	105,014
	100,746
	100,451
	100,344
	100,190
	73,682
<b>Market Capitalization</b>	
\$	
	2,408,816
\$	
	2,397,156
\$	
	2,047,767
\$	
	1,777,156
\$	
	1,568,036
\$	
	1,595,476
\$	
	1,487,823
\$	
	1,278,390





**Total Capitalization** <sup>(3)</sup> <sup>(4)</sup>

\$	2,839,702
\$	2,629,578
\$	2,349,924
\$	2,075,924
\$	1,831,760
\$	1,819,179
\$	1,714,244
\$	1,424,523

**Trust Unit Trading (TSX:PTF.UN)**

High (\$CDN)

\$	23.17
\$	23.31
\$	

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	19.97
\$	
	19.33
\$	
	17.15
\$	
	16.35
\$	
	18.08
\$	
	19.24
Low (\$CDN)	
\$	
	19.05
\$	
	19.30
\$	
	17.00
\$	
	15.50
\$	
	14.52
\$	
	14.62
\$	
	14.70
\$	
	14.56
	259

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Close (\$CDN)

\$	20.49
\$	22.82
\$	19.50
\$	17.64
\$	15.61
\$	15.90
\$	14.85
\$	17.35

Average daily volumes

256
147
176
264
185
287
189
204

**Trust Unit Trading (AMEX:PTF)**

High (\$US)

260

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

\$	19.88
\$	19.85
\$	16.25
\$	16.05
\$	13.65
\$	12.83
\$	13.54
\$	14.96
Low (\$US)	
\$	16.10
\$	15.72
\$	13.62
\$	12.66
\$	12.16
\$	261

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	11.10
\$	
	10.95
\$	
	10.95
Close (\$US)	
\$	
	17.64
\$	
	19.64
\$	
	15.92
\$	
	14.62
\$	
	13.04
\$	
	12.60
\$	
	11.16
\$	
	13.22
Average daily volumes	
	550
	579
	469
	643
	518
	262

(7)

Net after royalties.

(2)

Cash flow before net changes in non-cash operating capital  
(Non-GAAP measures, see special notes in Management's Discussion and Analysis).

(3)

Excludes net unrealized gains/losses on commodity contracts.

(4)

Total capitalization equals market capitalization plus net debt  
(Non-GAAP measures, see special notes in Management's Discussion and Analysis).

(5)

Prices and revenue are before realized gains/losses on commodity contracts and before transportation costs.

(6)

Cash distributions paid divided by cash flow before capital reinvestment.

(7)

Cash operating netback per BOE is calculated as the selling price less the cash cost of hedging less royalties, net of ARC, lease operating costs and transportation costs, by product, divided by the total production volumes in each period.

**CORPORATE DIRECTORY**

**PETROFUND CORP. DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS**

Jeffery E. Errico

John F. Driscoll

*President and Chief Executive Officer*

*Chairman of the Board and Director*

Jeffrey Newcommon

James E. Allard<sup>2,3</sup>

*Executive Vice-President*

*Director*

Glen Fischer

Sandra Cowan<sup>1</sup>

*Senior Vice-President, Operations*

*Director*

Edward J. Brown CA

Arthur E. Dumont<sup>1,3</sup>

*Vice-President, Finance and*

*Director*

*Chief Financial Officer*

Jeffery E. Errico

Noel Cronin

*Director*

*Vice-President, Production*

Gary L. Lee<sup>2,4</sup>



Hugo Potts

*Director*

*Corporate Secretary*

Wayne M. Newhouse<sup>3, 4</sup>

*Director*

Frank Potter<sup>1, 2, 4</sup>

*Director*

<sup>1</sup> Member of the Governance Committee

<sup>3</sup> Member of Reserves Audit Committee

<sup>2</sup> Member of the Audit Committee

<sup>4</sup> Member of Human Resources and Compensation

Committee

---

**LEGAL COUNSEL**

Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer, LLP

Calgary, Alberta

Goodman and Carr, LLP

Toronto, Ontario

**AUDITORS**

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Calgary, Alberta

**TRANSFER AGENT**

Computershare Trust Company of Canada

Calgary, Alberta

**PETROLEUM CONSULTANTS**

GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd.

Calgary, Alberta

**STOCK EXCHANGE LISTINGS**

Toronto Stock Exchange

Symbol: **PTF.UN**

American Stock Exchange

Symbol: **PTF**

**PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST**

**INVESTOR RELATIONS**

Toll Free: 1-866-318-1767

Tel: 403-218-4736

Fax: 416-539-4300

email: [info@petrofund.ca](mailto:info@petrofund.ca)

website: [www.petrofund.ca](http://www.petrofund.ca)

**HEAD OFFICE**

444-7<sup>th</sup> Avenue SW

Suite 600

Calgary, Alberta, T2P  
0X8

Tel: 403-218-8625

Fax: 403-269-5858



**EXHIBIT 3**

Audited Consolidated Financial Statements

dated December 31, 2005 and 2004

***Management's Report***

These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of Petrofund Corp. ( Management ). They have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles using Management's best estimates and judgments, where appropriate.

Management is responsible for the reliability and integrity of the financial statements, notes to the financial statements and other financial information contained in this report. Estimates are sometimes necessary in the preparation of these statements because a precise determination of some assets and liabilities depends on future events. Management has based these estimates on careful judgments and believes they are properly reflected in the accompanying financial statements. Management is also responsible for maintaining a system of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded and that accounting systems provide timely, accurate and reliable financial information.

The Board of Directors of Petrofund is responsible to oversee the manner in which Management fulfils its responsibilities for financial reporting and internal controls. The Board meets with Management to ensure that Management's responsibilities are fulfilled, to review financial statements and to recommend approval of the financial statements. An independent auditor appointed by the unitholders, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has audited the financial statements of Petrofund in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and has provided an independent audit report.

*(Signed) Jeffery E. Errico*

*(Signed) Edward J. Brown*

Jeffery E. Errico

Edward J. Brown, CA

President & CEO

Vice President, Finance & CFO

Calgary, Canada

February 14, 2006



**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS**

**To the Unitholders of Petrofund Energy Trust:**

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of Petrofund Energy Trust as at December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the consolidated statements of operations and accumulated earnings and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of Petrofund Corp. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Petrofund Energy Trust as at December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005 in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

Petrofund Energy Trust is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Petrofund Energy Trust's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion.

*(signed) Deloitte & Touche LLP*

Independent Registered Chartered Accountants

Calgary, Alberta

February 10, 2006

**Consolidated Balance Sheet**

(thousands of Canadian dollars)

<b>As at December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
	\$	\$
Cash and cash equivalents ( <i>Note 18(b)</i> )	38,935	-
Accounts receivable ( <i>Note 19</i> )	72,599	37,713
Deferred loss on commodity contracts	-	517
Commodity contracts ( <i>Note 15</i> )	1,906	3,281
Prepaid expenses	17,217	10,847
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>130,657</b>	<b>52,358</b>
<b>Asset retirement reserve fund</b> ( <i>Note 7(b)</i> )	<b>9,078</b>	<b>7,053</b>
<b>Goodwill</b> ( <i>Notes 3 and 5</i> )	<b>349,462</b>	<b>180,307</b>
<b>Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests</b> ( <i>Notes 4 and 5</i> )	<b>1,777,922</b>	<b>1,246,694</b>
	\$	\$
	<b>2,267,119</b>	<b>1,486,412</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND UNITHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
	\$	\$
Bank overdraft	-	733
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities ( <i>Note 19</i> )	96,854	60,961
Current portion of capital lease obligations	-	608
Deferred gain on commodity contracts	-	184
Commodity contracts ( <i>Note 15</i> )	6,546	14,599
Distributions payable to Unitholders ( <i>Note 8</i> )	-	35,568

<b>Total current liabilities</b>	103,400	112,653
<b>Long-term debt</b> (Note 6)	462,783	214,414
<b>Future income taxes</b> (Note 16)	242,320	81,411
<b>Asset retirement obligations</b> (Note 7(a))	73,273	51,408
<b>Total liabilities</b>	881,776	459,886
<b>Commitments and contingent liabilities</b> (Note 17)		
<b>Unitholders' equity</b>		
Unitholders' capital (Note 9)	1,799,137	1,477,963
Exchangeable shares (Note 10)	4,347	10,518
Contributed surplus (Note 11)	1,021	-
Accumulated earnings	483,280	272,612
Accumulated cash distributions (Note 8)	(902,442)	(734,567)
<b>Total unitholders' equity</b>	1,385,343	1,026,526
	\$	\$
	2,267,119	1,486,412

Signed on behalf of Petrofund Energy Trust by Petrofund Corp.

(Signed) Jeffery E. Errico

(Signed) James E. Allard

Jeffery E. Errico, Director

James E. Allard, Director

The accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this consolidated balance sheet.



**Consolidated Statement of Operations and Accumulated Earnings**

(thousands of Canadian dollars, except per unit amounts)

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>REVENUES</b>			
	\$	\$	\$
Oil and natural gas sales	779,630	517,081	406,346
Royalties	(155,755)	(100,230)	(84,804)
Loss on commodity contracts	(34,546)	(48,712)	(7,755)
	589,329	368,139	313,787
<b>EXPENSES</b>			
Lease operating	141,578	103,610	91,251
Transportation costs	8,059	5,862	5,482
Financing costs	10,600	5,849	8,748
General and administrative	17,174	14,441	13,047
Capital taxes	3,938	3,261	2,454
Depletion, depreciation and accretion	202,839	153,079	118,307
Internalization of management contract <i>(Note 12)</i>	-	-	30,850
-	384,188	286,102	270,139
<b>Income before provision for income taxes</b>	<b>205,141</b>	<b>82,037</b>	<b>43,648</b>
<b>Provision for (recovery of) income taxes <i>(Note 16)</i></b>			
Current	1,184	539	569
Future	(6,711)	7,139	(44,197)
=	(5,527)	7,678	(43,628)
<b>Net income</b>	<b>210,668</b>	<b>74,359</b>	<b>87,276</b>

<b>Accumulated earnings</b> , beginning of the year	272,612	198,253	110,977
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Accumulated earnings</b> , end of the year	483,280	272,612	198,253
<b>Net income per Trust unit</b> ( <i>Note 9</i> )			
	\$	\$	\$
Basic	2.03	0.84	1.43
	\$	\$	\$
Diluted	2.03	0.84	1.43

The accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this consolidated statement.

**Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows**

(thousands of Canadian dollars)

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>Cash provided by (used in):</b>			
<b>Operating activities</b>			
	\$	\$	\$
Net income	210,668	74,359	87,276
Add items not affecting cash:			
Depletion, depreciation and accretion	202,839	153,079	118,307
Unrealized (gains) losses on commodity contracts	(6,345)	6,221	-
Future income taxes	(6,711)	7,139	(44,197)
Unit-based compensation	1,021	-	-
Actual abandonment costs settled ( <i>Note 7(a)</i> )	(3,469)	(4,553)	(4,651)
Internalization of management contract ( <i>Note 12</i> ) -		-	30,850
(Increase) decrease in non-cash operating working capital ( <i>Note 18</i> )	(60,780)	7,407	4,578
<b>Cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>337,223</b>	<b>243,652</b>	<b>192,163</b>
<b>Financing activities</b>			
Long-term debt	248,369	(5,700)	(102,546)
Distributions paid ( <i>Note 8</i> )	(202,289)	(169,493)	(127,325)
Redemption of exchangeable shares ( <i>Note 10</i> )	(1,154)	(1,803)	(2,792)
Capital lease repayments	(608)	(356)	(9,305)
Issuance of Trust units, net ( <i>Note 9</i> )	315,003	4,479	214,002
(Increase) decrease in non-cash financing working capital ( <i>Note 18</i> )	388	(168)	168
<b>Cash provided by (used in) financing activities</b>	<b>359,709</b>	<b>(173,041)</b>	<b>(27,798)</b>

**Investing activities**

Asset retirement reserve fund <i>(Note 7(b))</i>	(2,025)	(1,725)	(776)
Corporate acquisitions <i>(Note 5)</i>	(542,819)	(28,960)	(8,549)
Property acquisitions	(18,241)	(3,093)	(107,023)
Property dispositions	871	1,043	33,466
Development expenditures	(145,262)	(76,788)	(71,384)
Cash acquired on acquisition <i>(Note 5)</i>	28,993	9,711	-
Internalization of management contract <i>(Note 12)</i>	-	-	(8,009)
Decrease in non-cash investing working capital <i>(Note 18)</i>	21,219	26,286	1,664
<b>Cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(657,264)</b>	<b>(73,526)</b>	<b>(160,611)</b>
<b>Net change in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>39,668</b>	<b>(2,915)</b>	<b>3,754</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents (bank overdraft), beginning of the year</b>	<b>(733)</b>	<b>2,182</b>	<b>(1,572)</b>
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Cash and cash equivalents (bank overdraft), end of the year <i>(Note 18)</i></b>	<b>38,935</b>	<b>(733)</b>	<b>2,182</b>

The accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this consolidated statement.

## **Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**

### **December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003**

(thousands of Canadian dollars, except unit and per unit amounts and as indicated)

1.

#### **ORGANIZATION**

Petrofund Energy Trust ( Petrofund or the Trust ) is an open-ended investment Trust created under the laws of the Province of Ontario pursuant to a trust indenture, as amended from time to time (the Trust Indenture ), between Petrofund Corp. ( PC ), and Computershare Trust Company of Canada (the Trustee ). The name of the Trust was changed to Petrofund Energy Trust effective November 1, 2003, from NCE Petrofund. On the same date the name of NCE Petrofund Corp. was changed to Petrofund Corp. Active operations commenced March 3, 1989. The beneficiaries of the Trust are the holders of the Trust units ( Unitholders ).

The Trust's primary source of income is the 99% net royalties granted to the Trust by PC and by Petrofund Ventures Trust ( PVT ), formerly Ultima Ventures Trust. The royalties are equal to production revenue from the properties owned by the subsidiaries less operating costs, general and administrative costs, debt service charges (including principal and interest) and taxes payable.

PC acquires, manages and disposes of petroleum and natural gas properties for its own account and holds the legal interest to all properties owned beneficially by PVT, and grants the royalties to the Trust. The royalties granted to the Trust effectively transfer substantially all of the economic interest in the oil and gas properties to the Trust.

2.

#### **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in Canadian dollars by the management of PC following Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ). The impact of significant differences between Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP in these Consolidated Financial Statements is disclosed in Note 20. The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingencies at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimated. The following significant accounting policies are presented to assist the reader in evaluating these Consolidated Financial Statements.

(a)

##### **Basis of Consolidation**

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Trust and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, PC, PVT, Petrofund Alternative Energy Ltd., 1518274 Ontario Ltd., NCE Management Services Inc. ( NMSI ), which previously employed all of the personnel who provided services to the Trust, and NCE Petrofund Management Corp. ( NCEP Management or the Previous Manager ), collectively, the Subsidiaries . NMSI and NCEP Management were acquired

to effect the internalization of management and the exchangeable shares of PC are exchangeable into Trust units. (See Notes 10 and 12). All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

(b)

**Revenue Recognition**

Revenue from the sale of oil and natural gas is recognized at time of sale when title to the products transfers to the purchasers based on volumes delivered and contractual delivery points and prices.

(c)

### **Goodwill**

Goodwill is recognized on corporate acquisitions when the total purchase price exceeds the fair value of the net identifiable assets and liabilities of the acquired company. The goodwill balance is not amortized but instead is assessed for impairment at each reporting period. Impairment is recognized based on the fair value of reporting entity (the consolidated Trust) compared to the book value of the reporting entity. If the fair value of the consolidated Trust is less than the book value, impairment is measured by allocating the fair value of the consolidated Trust to the identifiable assets and liabilities as if the Trust had been acquired in a business combination for a purchase price equal to its fair value. The excess of the fair value of the consolidated Trust over the amounts assigned to the identifiable assets and liabilities is the fair value of the goodwill. Any excess of the book value of goodwill over this implied fair value of goodwill is the impairment amount. Impairment is charged to earnings in the period in which it occurred.

(d)

### **Oil and Natural Gas Royalty and Property Interests**

Oil and gas royalty and property interests are accounted for using the full cost method of accounting whereby all costs of acquiring oil and natural gas royalty and property interests and equipment are capitalized.

The provision for depletion and depreciation is computed using the unit-of-production method including future development costs based on the estimated gross proven oil and gas reserves and based on forecast prices and escalated costs. Proceeds on sale or disposition of oil and gas royalty and property interests are credited to oil and gas royalty and property interests, unless this results in a change in the depletion and depreciation rate by 20% or more, in which case a gain or loss is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. Gas volumes are converted to barrels of oil at 6,000 cubic feet per barrel.

Impairment is recognized if the carrying value of the oil and natural gas royalty and property interests exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the Trust's proved reserves based on future prices, adjusted for contract prices and quality differentials. If impairment is indicated, the amount is measured by comparing the carrying value of the oil and natural gas royalty and property interests to the estimated net present value of future cash flows from proved plus probable reserves. The present value of the future cash flow is based on the Trust's risk-free interest rate. Any excess carrying value above the net present value of the Trust's future cash flows would be recorded in depletion, depreciation and accretion expense as a permanent impairment.

(e)

### **Asset Retirement Obligation**

The Trust recognizes as a liability the estimated fair value of the future retirement obligations associated with property, plant and equipment in the period in which it's incurred. The fair value is capitalized and amortized over the same period as the underlying asset. The Trust estimates the liability based on the estimated costs to abandon and reclaim its net ownership interest in all wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future periods. This estimate is reviewed on a periodic basis and any changes are prospectively applied as an increase or decrease to the liability. As time passes, the change in net present value of the future retirement liability is recorded as accretion and is charged to earnings in the period. Actual costs incurred upon settlement of the liability are charged

against the liability.

(f)

### **Financial Instruments**

Petrofund enters into numerous derivative financial instruments to reduce price volatility and establish minimum prices for a portion of its oil and natural gas production and electricity purchases. These contracts are effective economic hedges, however, a number do not qualify for hedge accounting due to the very detailed and complex rules outlined in Accounting Guideline 13 Hedging Relationships . Petrofund uses the fair value method of



accounting for all derivative transactions. Fair values are determined based on third-party statements for the amounts that would be paid or received to settle these instruments prior to maturity and recorded on the balance sheet with changes in the fair value recorded in the statement of income as a gain (loss).

(g)

#### **Distributions Payable to Unitholders**

Distributions payable to Unitholders are equal to amounts declared and payable by the Trust. In 2004, the distributions payable were based on amounts received or receivable by the Trust on the cash distributions date, with income earned, but not received, distributed on the cash distribution date following receipt.

(h)

#### **Income Taxes**

The Trust follows the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method future income tax liabilities and assets are recognized for the estimated tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the amounts reported in the financial statements of the subsidiaries and their respective tax bases, using substantially enacted income tax rates. The effect of a change in income tax rates on future income tax liabilities and assets is recognized in income in the period in which the change occurs. Temporary differences arising on acquisitions result in future income tax assets or liabilities.

The Trust is a taxable entity under the Income Tax Act (Canada) and is taxable only on income that is not distributed or distributable to the Unitholders. As the Trust distributes all of its taxable income to the Unitholders and meets the requirements of the Income Tax Act (Canada) applicable to the Trust, no provision for future income taxes in the Trust has been made.

(i)

#### **Transportation Costs**

Transportation costs associated with oil and natural gas sales are recognized when the product is delivered.

(j)

#### **Unit-based Compensation**

The Trust has three stock-based compensation plans: (i) the Restricted Unit Plan ( RUP ), (ii) the Long-Term Incentive Plan ( LTIP ) and (iii) the Trust Unit Incentive Plan ( TUIP ) which is being phased out. These plans are described in Notes 13 and 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(i)

#### **Restricted Unit Plan**

The RUP authorizes the Trust to issue units to directors, officers, employees or consultants. The RUP operates independently of the LTIP which is made available to the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ), the Executive Vice-President ( EVP ), Senior Vice-President, Operations ( SVP ) and the Vice-President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ); however, these officers are also eligible to participate in the RUP. The units, plus accrued distributions, vest over time and upon vesting may be redeemed by the holder for cash or Trust units. The Trust units are issued, or cash paid out, on the vesting dates based on the weighted average trading prices of the Trust units for the last 20 days prior to the vesting dates.

As the RUP is settled in cash or units at the option of the holder, the associated compensation expense and the related liability is calculated as the excess of the quoted market value of the trust units over the exercise price of the units at each reporting period. The expense is amortized over the vesting period of three years.

(ii)

### **Long-Term Incentive Plan**

The LTIP authorizes the Trust to issue units to the CEO, EVP, SVP and CFO. Directors and employees are not eligible to be issued units under the LTIP. The units, plus accrued distributions, vest over time and upon vesting may be redeemed by the holder for Trust units. One third of the LTIP award vests on the grant date, the remaining two thirds vests on the first and second anniversary date from the grant date.

As the LTIP is settled in equity, compensation expense is calculated as the fair value of the award at the date of grant. The Trust's LTIP is a full or whole share value grant. Under the plan, the eligible executives do not pay any consideration for this grant. Fair values are determined at the grant date, using an option pricing

model. The compensation expense associated with these units is charged to earnings over the vesting period. The exercise of the units together with any amount previously recognized in contributed surplus is recorded as an increase in Unitholders' capital.

(iii)

**Trust Unit Incentive Plan**

The TUIP was established authorizing the issuance of options to acquire Trust units to directors, senior officers, employees and consultants of NCEP management, NCE Petrofund Advisory Corp., NMSI and certain other related parties, all of whom are deemed to be employees of the Trust.

The Trust accounts for stock options granted prior to 2003 based on the intrinsic value at the grant date, which does not result in a charge to earnings because the exercise price was equal to the market price at grant date. In 2003, the Trust prospectively adopted amendments to CICA 3870 Stock-Based Compensation and other Stock-based Payments. These amendments required the Trust to account for compensation expense for all awards granted on or after January 1, 2003, based on the fair value of the options at the grant date. The Trust has not granted any options since December 31, 2002 and therefore these amendments had no material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consideration paid on the exercise of the options together with any amount previously recognized in contributed surplus is recorded as an increase in Unitholders' capital.

(k)

**Net Income per Trust unit**

Basic net income per Trust unit is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of Trust units and exchangeable shares outstanding for the period. Diluted per unit amounts reflect the potential dilution that would occur if options or LTIP units were exercised and Trust units were issued. The treasury stock method is used to determine the effect of dilutive instruments.

(l)

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash and cash equivalents include short-term, highly liquid investments with an original maturity of 90 days or less. They are recorded at cost and used to meet current operating activities and/or to reduce outstanding debt.

(m)

**Exchangeable Shares**

Exchangeable Shares are based on a ratio, which is adjusted each date that the Trust pays a distribution to its Unitholders. The Exchangeable Shares are not transferable and are presented as part of Unitholders' equity.

(n)

**Foreign Currency Translation**

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a foreign currency are translated at the ratio of exchange in effect at the consolidated balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at the monthly average rates of exchange. Translation gains and losses are included in income in the period in which they arise.

3.

**GOODWILL**

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are as follows:

(\$000 s)	2005	2004
Goodwill, January 1,	\$	
		180,307
\$		
-		

Goodwill acquired (*Note 5*)

169,155

180,307

**Goodwill, December 31,**

\$

349,462

\$

180,307

**4.**

**OIL AND NATURAL GAS ROYALTY AND PROPERTY INTERESTS ( PP&E )**

**As at December 31, (\$000 s)**

**2005**

**2004**

Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests, at cost \$

2,609,377

\$

1,879,362

Accumulated depletion and depreciation

831,455

632,668

Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests, net

\$

1,777,922

\$

1,246,694

Capitalized general and administrative expenses related to development activities of \$2.3 million in 2005 (2004 - \$1.0 million) is included in PP&E and the depletion and depreciation calculation includes future capital costs of \$290.7 million at December 31, 2005 (2004 - \$250.8 million) identified in our reserve report.



An impairment test calculation was performed on the Trust's oil and natural gas royalty property interests at December 31, 2005, in which the estimated undiscounted future net cash flows associated with proved reserves exceeded the carrying amount of the Trust's oil and natural gas royalty and property interests.

The Trust performed its impairment test at December 31, 2005, based on the undiscounted value of future net cash flows based on forecast prices and escalated costs associated with its proved reserves using the following bench mark commodity prices and foreign exchange rates:

	Fx	WTI	Edmonton Light	AECO Spot
	\$US/\$Cdn	\$US/Bbl	\$Cdn/Bbl	\$/mmbtu
2006	0.85	57.00	66.25	10.60
2007	0.85	55.00	64.00	9.25
2008	0.85	51.00	59.25	8.00
2009	0.85	48.00	55.75	7.50
2010	0.85	46.50	54.00	7.20
2011-2016 Average	0.85	46.54	54.00	7.16
2017+	0.85	+2.0%/yr	+2.0%/yr	+2.0%/yr

## 5.

### ACQUISITIONS

#### (a)

#### Acquisition of Kaiser Energy Ltd.

On November 16, 2005, Petrofund entered into an agreement to acquire 100% of Kaiser Energy Ltd. ( Kaiser ), effective December 1, 2005. Kaiser held, either directly or indirectly, interests in Canadian Acquisitions Limited Partnership and certain properties transferred to Kaiser.

Petrofund's total consideration for the Kaiser acquisition was \$471.9 million which includes acquisition costs of \$1.8 million and assumed debt and negative working capital of \$14.9 million. Of the total cash consideration of \$471.9 million, on a preliminary basis, \$489.7 million was allocated to oil and natural gas royalty and property interest and \$159.2 million to goodwill which is not deductible income for tax purposes.

A summary of the estimated net assets acquired is as follows:

	<b>\$000 s</b>
Current assets (including cash of \$32.3 million)	\$47,951
Goodwill	159,212
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests	489,676
Current liabilities	(62,840)
Asset retirement obligations	(4,930)
Future income taxes	(157,201)
	<b>\$471,868</b>

The consolidated financial statements reflect the operations of Kaiser from December 1, 2005. If the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2004 the following pro forma results would have been realized by the Trust in 2005 and 2004:



**(\$000 s except per unit amounts)**

**2005**

**2004**

(unaudited)

15

---

Revenue	\$	\$
	97,216	91,077
Net income (loss)	\$	\$
	8,309	(2,081)
Net income per Trust unit	\$	\$
	0.07	(0.02)

(b)

**Acquisition of Northern Crown Petroleum Ltd.**

On May 10, 2005, Petrofund acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Northern Crown Petroleum Ltd. and its wholly owned subsidiary Spiral Resources Ltd. (collectively Northern Crown ) for \$32.7 million in cash and assumed debt and negative working capital of \$4.8 million. Of the total acquisition costs of \$32.7 million, \$38.6 million was allocated to oil and natural gas royalty and property interest and \$7.1 million to goodwill, which is not deductible for income tax purposes.

A summary of the net assets acquired is as follows:

	<b>\$000 s</b>
Current assets	\$1,733
Goodwill	7,122
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests	38,556
Current liabilities (including bank overdraft of \$3,368)	(6,550)
Asset retirement obligations	(756)
Future income taxes	(7,398)
	<b>\$32,707</b>

The consolidated financial statements reflect the operations of Northern Crown from May 11, 2005. If the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2004 the following pro forma results would have been realized by the Trust in 2005 and 2004:

<b>(\$000 s except per unit amounts)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b> (unaudited)
Revenue	\$4,192	\$2,011
Net income (loss)	\$1,282	\$(1,319)
Net income (loss) per Trust unit	\$0.01	\$(0.01)

(c)

#### **Acquisition of Tahiti Gas Ltd.**

On May 31, 2005, Petrofund acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Tahiti Gas Ltd. ( Tahiti ) for \$23.4 million in cash and assumed debt and working capital of \$23,000. Of the total acquisition costs of \$23.4 million, \$24.0 million was allocated to oil and natural gas royalty and property interest and \$2.8 million to goodwill which is not deductible for income tax purposes.

A summary of the net assets acquired is as follows:

	<b>\$000 s</b>
Current assets (including cash of \$88)	\$184
Goodwill	2,821
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests	23,974
Current liabilities	(161)



Asset retirement obligations	(420)
Future income taxes	(3,021)
	\$23,377

The consolidated financial statement reflects the operations of Tahiti from June 1, 2005. If the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2004 the following pro forma results would have been realized in the Trust in 2005 and 2004:

(\$000 s except per unit amounts)	2005	2004 (unaudited)
Revenue	\$390	\$853
Net loss	\$(378)	\$(811)
Net loss per Trust unit	\$-	\$(0.01)

(d)

#### Ultima Energy Trust

On June 16, 2004, Petrofund acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding units of Ultima Energy Trust ( Ultima ) for 0.442 of a Petrofund unit for each Ultima unit on a tax-free rollover basis. The value assigned to each Petrofund unit issued was \$17.12 based on the weighted average trading price of the Trust units for the period commencing five days before and ending five days after the acquisition was announced. Petrofund issued 26.4 million Trust units valued at \$452.8 million which were distributed to former unitholders of Ultima and incurred \$1.9 million in transaction costs. Of the total acquisition cost of \$454.7 million, \$385.0 million was allocated to oil and natural gas royalty and property interests and \$178.1 million to goodwill, which is not deductible for income tax purposes.

A summary of the net assets acquired is as follows:

	\$	\$000 s
Current assets	22,244	
Asset retirement reserve	1,549	
Goodwill	178,110	
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests	384,987	
Current liabilities	(17,791)	
Long-term debt	(110,407)	
Asset retirement obligations	(16,672)	
Future income taxes	12,725	



\$  
454,745

The consolidated financial statements reflect the operations of Ultima from June 16, 2004. If the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2003 the following pro forma results would have been realized in the Trust in 2004 and 2003:

(\$000 s except per unit amounts)	2004 (unaudited)	2003
	\$	\$
Revenue	588,137	524,960
	\$	\$
Net income	80,622	65,266
	\$	\$
Net income per Trust unit	0.80	0.75

(e)

**Central Alberta PNG Partnership and 102437 Alberta Ltd.**

On November 10, 2004, Petrofund acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Central Alberta PNG Partnership and 1024373 Alberta Ltd., for \$27.7 million in cash.

A summary of the net assets acquired is as follows:

	\$	\$000 s
Goodwill	2,197	
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests	34,404	
Asset retirement obligations	(944)	
Future income taxes	(7,932)	
	\$	
	27,725	

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

The consolidated financial statements reflect the operations of Central Alberta PNG Partnership and 1034373 Alberta Ltd. from November 11, 2004. If the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2003 the following pro forma results would have been realized by the Trust in 2004 and 2003:

<b>(\$000 s except per unit amounts)</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	(unaudited)	
	\$	\$
Revenue	521,265	412,961
	\$	\$
Net income	74,870	88,584
	\$	\$
Net income per Trust unit	0.85	1.45

**(f)**

**Solaris Oil & Gas Inc.**



On February 7, 2003, Petrofund acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of Solaris Oil & Gas Inc. for \$7.4 million in cash and assumed \$1.2 million of debt including negative working capital and an outstanding bank loan.

A summary of the net assets acquired is as follows:

	<b>\$000 s</b>
Working capital	\$
	(813)
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests	13,219
Bank overdraft	(370)
Future income taxes	(4,676)
	\$ 7,360

## 6.

### LONG-TERM DEBT

Under the loan agreements, as at December 31, 2005, PC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Trust, had a revolving working capital operating facility of \$50 million and a syndicated facility of \$540 million. Interest rates fluctuate under the syndicated facility with Canadian prime and U.S. base rates plus between 0 and 25 basis points, as well as with Canadian banker's acceptance and LIBOR rates plus between 75 basis points and 125 basis points, depending, in each case upon the Trust's debt to cash flow ratio. The Credit Facilities are secured by a \$900 million debenture containing a first ranking security interest on all of PC's assets. In addition, the Credit Facilities requires each of the Trust, PVT and the subsidiaries of the Trust other than PC and PVT, to provide a guarantee of PC's indebtedness under the Credit Facilities that is secured by a \$900 million debenture containing a first ranking security interest on their respective assets. The Canadian prime rate at December 31, 2005, was 5% with an effective date of December 7, 2005. As at December 31, 2005 and 2004, there was no amount outstanding under the working capital facility and \$462.8 million (2004 - \$214.4 million) was outstanding under the syndicated facility, with \$127.2 million available to finance future activities.

The revolving period on the syndicated facility ends on April 28, 2006, unless extended for a further 364 day period. In the event that the revolving bank line is not extended at the end of the 364 day revolving period, no payments are required to be made to non-extending lenders during the first year of the term period. However, PC will be required to maintain certain minimum balances on deposit with the syndicate agent.

The limit of the syndicated facility is subject to adjustment from time to time to reflect changes in PC's asset base.

The loan is the legal obligation of PC. While principal and interest payments are allowable deductions in the calculation of royalty income, the Unitholders have no direct liability to the bank or to PC should the assets securing the loan generate insufficient cash flow to repay the obligation.

Substantially all of the Credit Facilities are financed with Banker's Acceptances, resulting in a reduction in the stated bank loan interest rates.

## 7.

### ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS AND RESERVE FUND

(a)

**Asset Retirement Obligations ( ARO )**

21

---

The total future asset retirement obligation was estimated by management based upon the Trust's share of estimated costs to reclaim and abandon the wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future periods.

Total undiscounted ARO are \$217.4 million as at December 31, 2005 (December 31, 2004 - \$147.6 million). These payments are expected to occur over the next 35 years, with the majority of payments occurring between 10 and 20 years. The Trust's credit adjusted risk free interest rate of 6.5 percent (2004 - 6.5 percent) and an inflation rate of 2.0 percent (2004 - 1.5 percent) were used to calculate the present value of the ARO.

The following reconciles the Trust's outstanding ARO for the periods indicated:

(\$000 s)	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Asset retirement obligations, January 1,</b>	51,408	34,363	34,497
Increase in liabilities during the year	5,721	1,222	2,273
Revision to previously estimated cash flows	9,455	-	-
Accretion expense during the year	4,052	2,760	2,244
Actual costs settled during the year	(3,469)	(4,553)	(4,651)
Acquisitions additions during the year <i>(Note 5)</i>	6,106	17,616	-
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Asset retirement obligations, December 31,</b>	73,273	51,408	34,363

(b)

#### Asset Retirement Reserve Fund

PC maintains a cash reserve to finance large and unusual oil and natural gas property reclamation and abandonment costs by withholding amounts which would otherwise represent distributions accruing to Unitholders. At December 31, 2005, the cash reserve was \$9.1 million (December 31, 2004 - \$7.1 million). In 2005, PC increased the cash reserve by withholding \$2.0 million (2004 - \$1.7 million, 2003 - \$0.8 million) from distributions accruing to Unitholders. In addition, routine ongoing reclamation and abandonment costs of \$3.5 million in 2005 (2004 - \$4.6 million, 2003 - \$4.7 million) were incurred and deducted from distributions accruing to Unitholders.

8.

#### RECONCILIATION OF CASH FLOW AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Cash distributions are calculated in accordance with the Trust Indenture. To arrive at cash distributions, cash provided by operating activities before changes in non-cash working capital, is reduced by asset retirement reserve fund contributions, a portion of capital expenditures, debt repayments, Trust expenses, unit retraction or repurchases, if any, and all amounts paid into the asset retirement reserve fund. The portion of cash flow withheld to fund capital expenditures and to make debt repayments is at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

#### Reconciliation of Distributions Accruing to Unitholders

(\$000 s)	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Distributions payable to Unitholders, January 1,</b>	35,568	53,452	30,065

**Distributions accruing during the year**

Cash provided by operating activities	337,223	243,652	192,163
Net change in non-cash operating working capital balances	60,780	(7,407)	(4,578)

Amortization of the cost of commodity contracts	-	(821)	-
Redemption of exchangeable shares	(1,154)	(1,803)	(2,792)
Asset retirement reserve fund	(2,025)	(1,725)	(776)
Capital lease repayment	(608)	(356)	(3,305 )
Weyburn deferred capital obligation	-	(34,931)	-
Capital expenditures funded from cash flow	(227,495)	(45,000)	(30,000 )
<b>Total distributions accruing during the year</b>	166,721	151,609	150,712
Distributions paid	(202,289)	(169,493)	(127,325 )
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Distributions payable to Unitholders, December 31,</b>	-	35,568	53,452

<b>Accumulated Cash Distributions</b> (\$000 s)	<b>-</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$	
<b>Accumulated cash distributions, January 1,</b>		734,567	581,155	427,651
Distributions accruing during the year		166,721	151,609	150,712
Redemption of exchangeable shares		1,154	1,803	2,792
	\$	\$	\$	
<b>Accumulated cash distributions, December 31,</b>		902,442	734,567	581,155

9.

**TRUST UNITS**

<b>Authorized: unlimited number of Trust units</b> <b>Issued</b>	<b>Number</b> <b>of Units</b>	<b>\$000 s</b>
		\$
<b>Balance, December 31, 2003</b>	72,688,577	1,020,677
Issued for the Ultima acquisition (Note 5(d))	26,449,102	452,807
Options exercised	332,733	3,771
Unit purchase plan	4,365	70
LTIP & RUP	36,799	638
<b>Balance, December 31, 2004</b>	99,511,576	1,477,963
Issued for cash	16,650,000	325,738
Exchangeable shares exchanged (Note 10)	551,000	6,171
Commissions and issue costs		- (17,333)
Options exercised	418,424	5,889
Unit purchase plan	5,419	107
LTIP & RUP	36,002	602
		\$
<b>Balance, December 31, 2005</b>	117,172,421	1,799,137

The Trust has a Distribution Reinvestment and Unit Purchase Plan (the Plan) for Canadian residents. Under the terms of the Plan, Unitholders can elect, firstly, to reinvest their cash distributions and obtain





either newly issued units of the Trust or previously issued units of the Trust that are purchased in the open market and, secondly, to purchase for cash newly issued units directly from the Trust.

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Distributions reinvested to acquire newly issued units (\$000 s)	107	70	89
	\$	\$	\$
Price per unit	19.77	15.96	13.65
Number of units acquired	5,419	4,365	6,509

**The weighted average Trust units/exchangeable shares outstanding are as follows:**

<b>As at December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Basic	103,660,178	88,169,339	61,010,105
Diluted	103,723,937	88,292,020	61,153,027

The diluted amounts include all dilutive instruments.

**Trust units/exchangeable shares outstanding:**

<b>As at December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Trust units outstanding	117,172,421	99,511,576	72,688,577
Trust units issuable for exchangeable shares ( <i>Note 10</i> )	388,147	939,147	939,147
	117,560,568	100,450,723	73,627,724

10.

**EXCHANGEABLE SHARES**

The number of Exchangeable Shares issued in connection with the internalization of the management contract (Note 12) was determined based on a negotiated value of \$12.17 per share as set out in the Trust's Information Circular dated March 10, 2003. For accounting purposes, the 1,939,147 Exchangeable Shares were deemed to be issued at a value of \$11.20 per share, being the average trading value of the Trust units for the last ten days prior to the closing date.

Initially, each Exchangeable Share was exchangeable into one Trust Unit. The exchange ratio is adjusted from time to time to reflect the per unit distributions paid to unitholders after the closing date. The holder of the Exchangeable Shares is entitled to redeem for cash the number of shares equal to the cash distributions that would have been received had the Exchangeable Shares been exchanged for Trust units. As a result of the redemption feature, the number of Trust units issuable upon conversion is expected to remain constant over time. As the substance of this feature is to allow the holder of the Exchangeable Shares to receive cash distributions, the redemption has been accounted for as a distribution of earnings rather than a return of capital. In 2005, 46,375 (2004 - 94,823, 2003 181,041) Exchangeable Shares were redeemed for \$1.2 million (2004- \$1.8 million, 2003 - \$2.8 million) in cash.

In 2005, 427,248 Exchangeable Shares were converted to 551,000 Trust units at an average exchange rate of 1.28965. At December 31, 2005, 283,025 Exchangeable shares were outstanding at an exchange ratio of 1.37142 per Trust Unit (2004 - 756,648 Exchangeable Shares, exchange ratio of 1.24119, 2003 - 851,471 Exchangeable Shares, exchange ratio of 1.20297).

	<b>Number of</b>	
<b>Issued and Outstanding</b>	<b>Shares</b>	<b>\$000 s</b>
		\$
<b>Balance, December 31, 2003</b>	851,471	10,518
Redemption of shares	(94,823)	-
<b>Balance, December 31, 2004</b>	756,648	10,518
Redemption of shares	(46,375)	-
Exchanged for Trust Units <sup>(1), (2)</sup>	(427,248)	(6,171)
<b>Balance, December 31, 2005</b>	283,025	4,347
Exchangeable ratio, end of period	1.37142	-
		\$
<b>Exchangeable for Trust units</b>	388,147	4,347

(1)

On March 7, 2005, 316,251 Exchangeable Shares were exchanged for 400,000 Trust units at an exchange rate of 1.26482.

(2)

On December 1, 2005, 110,997 Exchangeable Shares were exchanged for 151,000 Trust units at an exchange rate of 1.36040.

**11.**

**CONTRIBUTED SURPLUS**

<b>(\$000 s)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	\$	\$
<b>Contributed surplus, January 1,</b>	-	-

Long-term incentive plan (non-cash expensed)	1,021	-
	\$	\$
<b>Contributed surplus, December 31,</b>	1,021	-

**12.**

**INTERNALIZATION OF MANAGEMENT CONTRACT**

On April 29, 2003, PC purchased 100% of the outstanding shares of NCEP Management and NMSI. As a result of these transactions, all management, acquisition and disposition fees payable to the Previous Manager were eliminated retroactive to January 1, 2003.

The total consideration paid was \$30.9 million as detailed below:

<b>Total Consideration</b>	<b>\$000 s</b>
	\$
Issuance of 1,939,147 exchangeable shares to the shareholder of the Previous Manager	21,718
Cash payment for the repayment of indebtedness owing by the Previous Manager	3,400
Issuance of 100,244 units to executive management	1,123
Cash payment to executive management	780
Cash payment for distributions on exchangeable shares and Trust units from January 1 to April 30, 2003	1,326
Transaction costs	2,503
	\$
<b>Total Purchase Price</b>	<b>30,850</b>

To ensure an orderly transition of the services that were provided by the Previous Manager through its offices in Toronto, PC entered into an agreement with Sentry Select Capital Corp. ( Sentry ) to provide certain services to the Trust and PC until December 31, 2003, for a maximum cost of \$2 million. The amount incurred decreased from \$1 million in the first quarter of 2003 to \$500,000 in the second quarter and to \$250,000 in each of the third and fourth quarters. As of January 1, 2004, Sentry no longer provides any services to Petrofund or any of its subsidiaries. Sentry is a company in which John Driscoll, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of PC, owns a controlling interest.

**13.**

**RESTRICTED UNIT PLAN ( RUP ) AND LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN ( LTIP )**

The number of units outstanding, excluded accrued distributions, is as follows:

		<b>RUP</b>		
		<b>STAFF</b>	<b>DIRECTORS</b>	<b>LTIP</b>
<b>Balance, January 1, 2004</b>	-	-		-
		-		
Granted	67,185	8,486		93,468
Units exercised	(20,370)	-	(62,312)	
Forfeitures	(975)	-		-
				29
				310



	45,840	8,486	
<b>Balance, December 31, 2004</b>		8,486	31,156
Granted	102,480	7,925	61,245
Units exercised	(20,315)	-	(51,571)
Forfeitures	(23,700)	-	-
<b>Balance, December 31, 2005</b>	104,305	16,411	40,830

The fair value of the 2005 and 2004 LTIP grant was \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million respectively. The related expense is being recognized over a three year vesting period. The fair values have been determined using an option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Risk-free interest rate	3.49%	3.42%
Expected hold period to exercise	1.5 years	1.0 year
Volatility in market price of Trust units	17.07%	27.78%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

In 2005 and 2004, \$1.9 million and \$605,000 respectively was recorded as compensation expense with respect to the RUP for staff.

On July 1, 2005 each independent Director, other than the Chairman of the Board, received restricted units valued at \$15,000. In addition, each director must take a minimum of 20% of the annual \$30,000 retainer in restricted units for a minimum annual total of \$21,000 in unit grants per year.



Directors were granted 6,697 restricted units on July 1, 2005 and in addition one director takes 100% of the annual retainer in restricted units. Total allocation of restricted units to directors in 2005 was 7,925 restricted units. Directors were granted 6,816 restricted units on July 1, 2004. On October 1, 2004 additional grants were made totalling 1,670 restricted units for a total 2004 allocation of 8,486 restricted units.

The total value of the grants to Directors including accrued distributions that was expensed was \$248,000 and \$140,000 in 2005 and 2004 respectively.

Vesting period of the units granted but not vested at December 31, 2005 are:

	<b>Total</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>Thereafter</b>
RUP-Staff	104,305	45,915	29,795	28,595	-
RUP-Directors	16,411	-	1,393	1,580	13,438
LTIP	40,830	20,415	20,415	-	-
	161,546	66,330	51,603	30,175	13,438

#### 14.

#### TRUST UNIT INCENTIVE PLAN

A summary of the status of the Trust Unit Incentive Plan as of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and changes during the years then ended is presented below. No options have been issued under the plan since July 25, 2002 as the plan has been replaced by the RUP and the LTIP. The Trust units reserved for issuance under the unit incentive plan have been reduced to the number of options outstanding. No further options will be issued and this plan will be terminated once all options outstanding are exercised or expire.

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>		<b>2004</b>		<b>2003</b>	
	Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding,		\$		\$		\$
beginning of year	449,456	13.85	799,122	12.93	3,028,280	13.21
Forfeited	502	14.73	(16,933)	14.42	(555,754)	16.82
Exercised	(418,424)	14.08	(332,733)	11.33	(1,673,404)	12.88
Options outstanding before reduction of exercise						



price	31,534	15.08	449,456	16.97	799,122	14.74
Reduction of exercise price	-	(3.29)	-	(3.12)	-	(1.81 )
Options outstanding,		\$		\$		\$
end of year	31,534	11.79	449,456	13.85	799,122	12.93
Options exercisable,		\$		\$		\$
end of year	31,534	11.79	449,456	13.85	440,656	15.36

The options granted in 2002 and 2001 are exercisable at the original option prices, which were the market prices of the units on the date of the grants, or if so elected by the participant, at reduced prices as described below. The option prices are reduced for each calendar quarter ending after the date of the grant by the positive amount, if any, equal to the amount by which the aggregate distributions made by the Trust in any calendar quarter ending after the date of the grant exceed 2.5% of the oil and natural gas royalty and property interests on the Trust's consolidated balance sheet at the beginning of the applicable calendar quarter divided by the issued and outstanding units at the beginning of the applicable quarter.

The following table summarizes the options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2005:

<b>Number of Units</b>	<b>Exercise Price</b>	<b>Reduced Exercise Price</b>	<b>Expiry Date</b>
	\$	\$	
13,000*	19.35	14.82	January 30, 2006
	\$	\$	
1,834	17.25	13.37	April 4, 2006
	\$	\$	
3,600	14.71	11.90	July 20, 2006
	\$	\$	
13,100	10.65	8.52	July 25, 2007

\* Have been fully exercised prior to expiry date.

For options granted in 2002 the Trust elected to continue accounting for compensation expense based on the intrinsic value of the options at the grant date and disclose pro forma net income and pro forma net income per Trust unit as if the fair value method had been adopted retroactively. The compensation expense under this method is presented in the following table:

	<b>2005</b>			<b>2004</b>			<b>2003</b>		
	<b>Net Income</b>	<b><u>Earnings per Unit</u></b>		<b>Net Income</b>	<b><u>Earnings per Unit</u></b>		<b>Net Income</b>	<b><u>Earnings per Unit</u></b>	
		<b>Basic</b>	<b>Diluted</b>		<b>Basic</b>	<b>Diluted</b>		<b>Basic</b>	<b>Diluted</b>
As reported	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	210,668	2.03	2.03	74,359	0.84	0.84	87,276	1.43	1.43
Pro forma adjustments	(469	)	-	(924	)	)	)	)	)
		-			(0.01	(0.01	(1,998	(0.03	(0.03
Pro forma net income	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	210,199	2.03	2.03	73,435	0.83	0.83	85,278	1.40	1.40

## 15.

### FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Financial instruments of the Trust carried on the consolidated balance sheet consist mainly of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, asset retirement reserve fund, current liabilities, commodity contracts and long-term debt. As at December 31, 2005 and 2004, there were no significant differences between the carrying value of these

financial instruments and their estimated fair value.

The Trust is subject to normal industry credit risk on its accounts receivable with customers and joint venture partners. The Trust mitigates these risks by maintaining credit management policies and by entering into sales contracts with entities of high credit rating. In addition, the Trust uses derivative financial instruments which may expose the Trust to credit risk with respect to default by the counterparties to these derivative contracts. This credit risk is controlled as the Trust limits its transactions to those counterparties that are financially sound.

The Trust is exposed to fluctuations in commodity prices for oil and natural gas. Commodity prices are affected by many factors including supply and demand, economic and political factors, weather and other conditions. Any movement in commodity prices may impact the financial results of the Trust and cash distributions to unitholders. The Trust enters into various pricing mechanisms to reduce price volatility and establish minimum prices for a portion of its oil and gas production.

The Trust is exposed to fluctuations in interest rates as the long-term debt is based on floating interest rates.

The outstanding derivative financial instruments as at December 31, 2005 and the related unrealized gains or losses are summarized separately below:

<b>Natural Gas</b>	<b>Term</b>	<b>Volume mcf/d</b>	<b>Price \$/mcf</b>	<b>Delivery Point</b>	<b>Unrealized Gain (Loss) \$000 s</b>
Three way collar	November 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006	4,737	\$5.65-\$6.70-\$10.55	AECO	\$ (499)
Three way collar	November 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006	4,737	\$5.28-\$6.33-\$12.98	AECO	(86)
Collar	November 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006	4,737	\$7.39-\$13.72	AECO	(39)
Collar	November 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006	4,737	\$7.39-\$16.15	AECO	

## Collar

January 1, 2006 to March 31, 2006

4,737

\$9.50-\$19.00

AECO

98

## Floor

November 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006

4,737

\$8.44

AECO

50

## Floor

November 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006

4,737

\$8.44

AECO

51

## Three way collar

April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006

4,737

\$6.07-\$7.39-\$8.99

AECO

(1,875)

## Collar

320



Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006

4,737

\$7.39-\$10.55

AECO

(1,017)

Collar

April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006

4,737

\$8.44-\$11.35

AECO

(462)

Collar

April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006

4,737

\$8.44-\$14.51

AECO

120

Collar

April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006

4,737

\$8.97-\$14.78

AECO

332

Collar

April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006

4,737

321

\$10.55-\$11.61

AECO

489

Collar

April 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006

4,737

\$10.55-\$12.66

AECO

732

**Total**

\$

(2,124)

35

---

<b>Oil</b>	<b>Term</b>	<b>Volume bbl/d</b>	<b>Price \$/bbl</b>	<b>Delivery Point</b>	<b>Unrealized Gain (Loss) \$000 s</b>
Three way collar	January 1, 2006 to April 1, 2006	1,000	\$40.71-\$46.52-\$61.64	Edmonton	\$  (959)
Collar	January 1, 2006 to April 1, 2006				
		1,000			
			\$48.85-\$69.78		
			Edmonton		(361)
Three way collar	April 1, 2006 to June 30, 2006				
		1,000			
			\$43.03-\$48.85-\$68.91		
			Edmonton		(729)
Collar	January 1, 2006 to March 31, 2006				
		1,000			

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

\$52.34-\$81.41

Edmonton

(48)

Collar

January 1, 2006 to March 31, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$93.04

Edmonton

7

Collar

April 1, 2006 to

June 30, 2006

1,000

\$55.24-\$81.41

Edmonton

(191)

Collar

April 1, 2006 to

June 30, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$75.60

Edmonton

(318)

Collar

April 1, 2006 to

June 30, 2006

324

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

1,000

\$58.15-\$88.39

Edmonton

(22)

Collar

April 1, 2006 to

June 30, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$94.20

Edmonton

35

Collar

July 1, 2006 to

September 30, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$75.60

Edmonton

(416)

Collar

July 1, 2006 to

September 30, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$87.81

Edmonton

(90)

Collar

325

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

July 1, 2006 to

September 30, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$93.91

Edmonton

4

Collar

October 1, 2006 to

December 31, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$75.60

Edmonton

(474)

Collar

January 1, 2006 to

March 31, 2006

1,000

\$58.15-\$79.69

Edmonton

(55)

36

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Collar	January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2006	1,000	\$63.97-\$103.51	Edmonton	229
Collar	July 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006	1,000	\$63.97-\$96.76	Edmonton	311
<b>Total</b>					
\$					(3,077)

					<b>Unrealized</b>
					<b>Gain</b>
<b>Electricity</b>	<b>Term</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Price \$/Mhz</b>	<b>Delivery Point</b>	<b>\$000 s</b>
Fixed Price	January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2008	2.0	\$57.00	Alberta Power Pool	\$ 561
<b>Total</b>					\$





16.

**INCOME TAXES**

The future income tax liability consists of the following temporary differences:

As at December 31, (\$000 s)	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	
Oil and natural gas properties	268,836	102,294	\$
			85,185
Commodity contracts			
(1,560)			
(3,461)			
			-
Asset retirement obligations			
(24,956)			
(17,422)			
			(6,120)
<b>Future income taxes</b>			
\$			
242,320			
\$			
81,411			
	\$		
			79,065

The provision for current and future income taxes differs from the result which would be obtained by applying the combined federal and provincial statutory tax rates to income before income taxes. This difference results from the following:

<b>For the years ended December 31, (\$000 s)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Income before provision for income taxes	205,141	82,037	43,648
	\$	\$	\$
Income tax provision computed at statutory rates	70,682	31,886	17,782
Effect on income tax of:			
Income attributed to the Trust	(76,832)	(23,031)	(41,468)
Internalization of management contract	-	-	12,568
Non-deductible crown charges, net of Alberta Royalty Credit	21,897	20,031	24,190
Resource allowance	(20,852)	(19,138)	(20,730)
Capital taxes	686	1,267	1,000
Income tax rate reductions on opening balances	-	-	(36,688)
Effect of change in corporate tax rate	(403)	(898)	-
Attributed royalty income deductible for provincial taxes	-	(2,274)	-
Other	(705)	(165)	(282)
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Provision for (recovery of) income taxes</b>	<b>(5,527)</b>	<b>7,678</b>	<b>(43,628)</b>

The petroleum and natural gas properties and facilities owned by PC have a tax basis of \$313.2 million (2004 - \$213.7 million; 2003 - \$232.7 million) available for future use as deductions from taxable income. Included in this tax basis are non-capital loss carry forwards of \$18.2 million (2004 - \$18.3 million; 2003 - \$43.6 million), which expire in various years through 2010.

Royalty Trusts that meet certain criteria in the Canadian Income Tax Act qualify for special income tax treatment that permits a tax deduction by the trust for distributions paid to the trust's unitholders in addition to tax pool deductions available to the trust. Petrofund meets these requirements and has available resource tax pools for future tax deductions as at December 31, 2005, of \$545.5 million.

## 17.

### COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

In the normal course of operations, the Trust provides indemnifications that are often standard contractual terms to counterparties in transactions such as purchase and sale contracts, service agreements, director/officer contracts and leasing transactions. These indemnification agreements may require Petrofund to compensate the counterparties for costs incurred as a result of various events, including environmental liabilities, changes in (or in the interpretation of) laws and regulations, or as a result of litigation claims or statutory sanctions that may be suffered by the counterparty as a consequence of the transaction. The terms of these indemnification agreements will vary based upon the



contract, the nature of which prevents the Trust from making a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount that could be required to be paid to counterparties. Historically, the Trust has not made any significant payments under such indemnifications and no amounts have been accrued in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements with respect to these indemnification guarantees.

The Trust is involved in litigation and claims arising from the normal course of operations. Management is of the opinion that any resulting settlement would not materially affect the financial position or results of operations of the Trust.

The following is a summary of the Trust's contractual obligations due in the next five years and thereafter:

	Total	Payment due by Period			after 5 years
		less than one year	1 3 years	4 5 years	
<b>Contractual Obligations</b>					

(\$millions)

Long-term debt <sup>(1)</sup>

\$  
462.8  
\$  
-  
\$  
-  
\$  
-  
\$  
462.8

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Operating leases

17.7	
2.1	
4.4	
4.6	
6.6	
Purchase obligations <sup>(2)</sup>	
135.7	
15.0	
26.5	
26.6	
	67.6

Asset retirement obligation <sup>(3)</sup>

217.4	
4.0	
7.7	
11.1	
	194.6

RUP, LTIP <sup>(4)</sup>

4.9	
1.6	
2.9	
0.1	
	0.3

**Total**

\$	
	333

838.5

\$

22.7

\$

41.5

\$

42.4

\$ 731.9

(1)

Approval to extend the revolving period must be obtained from the banking syndicate on an annual basis; however it has been extended every year since the inception of the facility.

(2)

These amounts represent estimated commitments of \$108.6 million for CO<sub>2</sub> purchases and \$27.1 million for processing fees with respect to PC's interest in Weyburn unit.

(3)

These amounts represent the undiscounted future reclamation and abandonment costs that are expected to be incurred over the life of the properties.

(4)

Based on the current estimate of payments including distributions to be made on the vesting dates.

**18.**

**OTHER CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES**

(a)

**The net change in non-cash working capital balances comprises the following:**

(\$000 s)	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	
Accounts receivable	(65,514)	(977)	\$
			(6,317)

Due from affiliates

-

-

164

Prepays and deposits

(6,370)

(1,812)

54

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

32,711

36,314

14,677

39

---

Payable to affiliates		--	(2,168)
	\$	\$	\$
	(39,173)	33,525	6,410
Relating to:			
	\$	\$	\$
Operating activities	(60,780)	7,407	4,578
Financing		388 (168)	168
Investing		21,219 26,286	1,664
		\$	\$
	\$ (39,173)	33,525	6,410

(b)

Cash and cash equivalents comprises the following:

(\$000 s)	2005	2004	2003
	\$		
Cash/(bank overdraft)	\$ 8,935 (5,733)	\$	(4,318)
Short-term investments			
30,000			
5,000			
			6,500
<b>Cash and cash equivalent/(bank overdraft)</b>			
\$			
38,935			
\$			



(733)

\$

2,182

40

---

(c)

**Other cash flow information includes:**

(\$000 s)		2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	\$	
Interest paid during the year	9,843	5,393	5,393	
	\$	\$	\$	
Income taxes paid during the year	311	409	409	

**19.****ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES**

(a)

**Accounts receivable**

For the years ended December 31, (\$000 s)	2005	2004
		\$
Revenue accrual	\$ 43,209	25,106
Joint venture receivables	29,369	12,474
Other	21	133
		\$
<b>Accounts receivable</b>	<b>\$ 72,599</b>	<b>37,713</b>

(b)

**Accounts payable**

For the years ended December 31, (\$000 s)	2005	2004
		\$
Capital accrual	\$ 17,611	16,850
Joint venture payables	49,443	16,179
Trade payables	1,289	5,986
RUP and LTIP payable	2,716	1,199
Other	25,795	20,747
<b>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 96,854</b>	<b>\$</b>

20.

**DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CANADIAN AND UNITED STATES GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES ("GAAP ")**

The Trust's Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP"). These principles, as they pertain to the Trust's Consolidated Financial Statements, differ from United States generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") as follows:

(a)

Under U.S. GAAP, the carrying value of oil and gas royalty and property interests, net of deferred income taxes and inclusive of asset retirement obligation additions to oil and gas royalty

41

---

and property interests excluding asset retirement costs, is limited to the present value of after tax future net revenue from proven reserves, discounted at 10% (based on prices and costs at the balance sheet date), plus the lower of cost and fair value of unproven properties. Where the amount of an impairment test write-down under Canadian GAAP differs from the amount of the write-down under U.S. GAAP, the charge for depletion, depreciation and accretion will differ in subsequent years. There were no additional impairments during 2005 or 2004. In addition, U.S. GAAP depletion is calculated based on constant prices in effect at year end.

(b)

U.S. GAAP utilizes the concept of comprehensive income, which includes items not included in net income. At the current time, there is no similar concept under Canadian GAAP. U.S. GAAP hedge accounting treatment allows the effective portion of unrealized gains and losses to be deferred in other comprehensive income (for the effective portion of the hedge) until such time as the forecasted transaction occurs and requires that an entity formally document, designate and assess effectiveness of derivative instruments that receive hedge accounting treatment.

(c)

Prior to the Trust adopting AcG-13 for Canadian GAAP purposes, a difference existed in that U.S. GAAP accounting and reporting standards required that all derivative instruments (including derivative instruments embedded in other contracts), as defined, be recorded in the balance sheet as either an asset or a liability measured at fair value and requires that changes in fair value be recognized currently in income unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. Beginning in 2004, for Canadian GAAP purposes, Petrofund applied the fair value method of accounting for all derivative transactions with the change in fair value of these contracts reported in income and no difference between U.S. GAAP and Canadian GAAP.

(d)

Prior to January 1, 2004, for Canadian GAAP purposes, compensation expense for options granted under the Unit Incentive Plan was measured based on the intrinsic value of the award at the grant date. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 pro forma disclosures are included in the notes to the financial statements of the impact on net income and net income per Trust unit had the Trust accounted for compensation expense based on the fair value of options granted during 2003. No options have been granted since 2002, which would require, the Trust to account for compensation expense based on the fair value method of accounting.

For U.S. GAAP purposes, the Unit Incentive Plan is a variable compensation plan as the exercise price of the options is subject to downward revisions from time to time. Accordingly, compensation expense is determined as the excess of the market price of the Trust units over the adjusted exercise price of the options at each financial reporting date and is deferred and recognized in income over the vesting period of the options. After the options have vested, compensation expense is recognized in income in the period in which a change in the market price of the Trust units or the exercise price of the options occurs.

For 2005 and 2004 there are no significant differences that resulted in accounting for the LTIP or RUP under FAS123 for U.S. GAAP purposes.

(e)

On January 1, 2003, Petrofund adopted the U.S. reporting requirements for ARO through a cumulative effect adjustment in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Petrofund adopted the equivalent Canadian standard for ARO on January 1, 2004, as described in Note 7. These standards are consistent except for the method of implementation and the adoption date.

(f)

The Trust presents oil and natural gas sales and royalty amounts gross in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. These line items would be combined and presented net in a statement of operations prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. This difference does not result in an adjustment to the financial results as reported under Canadian GAAP.

(g)

An income statement prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP segregates operating and non-operation expenses in the statement of operations. Management fees, financing costs and internalization of management contracts would be presented in the non-operating section of the statement of operations and retained earnings. This difference does not result in an adjustment to the financial results as reported under Canadian GAAP.

(h)

Prior to the Trust adopting AcG-14 Disclosure of Guarantors, a U.S. GAAP difference was created once the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantors' Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" (FIN 45). FIN 45 elaborates on the disclosures that must be made regarding obligations under certain guarantees issued by the Trust. It also requires that the Trust recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligations undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The initial recognition and initial measurement provisions are to be applied to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2003. There are no guarantees which would need to be recognized for U.S. GAAP purposes at December 31, 2005 or 2004.

(i)

Under U.S. GAAP, the Trust's bank overdraft would be presented as a financial activity rather than as a component of cash. Therefore, cash provided by (used in) financing activities under U.S. GAAP would be \$358,976 in 2005 (2004 (\$172,308), 2003 (\$29,370)) which would need to be recognized for U.S. GAAP purposes. In addition, cash flow relating to derivative contracts would also be presented as investing activities.

(j)

In 2004, the FASB issued new and revised standards, all of which were assessed by Management to be not applicable to the Trust with the exception that in December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ( SFAS ) No. 123R, Share Based Payments, which addresses the issue of measuring compensation cost associated with Share Based Payment plans. This statement requires that all such plans be measured at fair value using an option pricing model whereas previously certain plans could be measured using either a fair value method or an intrinsic value method. The revision is intended to increase the consistency and comparability of financial results by only allowing one method of application. This revised standard is effective for fiscal year 2006. The Trust will evaluate the impact of this standard in 2006.

In addition, FAS 154, *Accounting Changes in Error Corrections*, changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. The standard is effective for the Trust in fiscal 2006.

On January 27, 2005, the Accounting Standard's Board (AcSB) issued CICA Handbook section 3855 Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement, CICA Handbook section 3861 Financial Instruments-Disclosure and Presentation, CICA Handbook section 1530 Comprehensive Income and CICA handbook section 3865 Hedges that deal with the recognition and measurement of financial instruments and comprehensive income. The new standards are intended to harmonize Canadian standards with United States and International accounting standards and are effective for annual and interim periods in fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2006. These new standards will impact the Trust in future periods and the resulting impact will be assessed at that time.

(k)

Under U.S. GAAP, the number of authorized and issued Trust units and Exchangeable Shares would be disclosed on the face of the balance sheet. This information is disclosed in Notes 9 and 10.

(1)

Under U.S. GAAP, redeemable equity instruments which are not mandatory redeemable at a specific or determinable date must be presented as temporary equity and carried on the balance sheet at redemption value. Changes in redemption value between periods are charged or credited to retained earnings. Prior to 2004, the Trust accounted for its trust units as a component of permanent unitholders' equity. This accounting was based on the assumption that the redemption feature embedded in the trust units was sufficiently restrictive to avoid classification as temporary equity under U.S. GAAP. The Trust has concluded that the restrictions on redemption are not substantive and the trust units must be presented as temporary equity and carried on the balance sheet at their redemption value.

The application of U.S. GAAP would have the following effects on net income as reported:

<b>For the years ended December 31, (\$000 s)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Net income as reported under Canadian GAAP	210,668	74,359	87,276
Adjustments:			
Realized/(unrealized) loss on derivatives	-	6,774	(6,774)
Compensation expense	(464)	1,991	(3,144)
Depletion and depreciation	2,482	14,584	23,263
Deferred income taxes on above adjustments	(855)	(7,518)	(3,505)
Net income, as adjusted, before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	211,831	90,190	97,116
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, net of income taxes	-	-	(2,419)
Net income, as adjusted, after cumulative effect	211,831	90,190	94,697
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net of income tax expense (recovery) of \$Nil (2004 - \$Nil 2003 \$ (330))	-	-	451
	\$	\$	\$
Comprehensive income	211,831	90,190	95,148



<b>For the years ended December 31, (\$000 s)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Net income per unit, as adjusted before cumulative effect	\$	\$	\$
Basic	2.04	1.02	1.59
	\$	\$	\$
Diluted	2.04	1.02	1.59
Net income per unit, as adjusted after cumulative effect	\$	\$	\$
Basic	2.04	1.02	1.55
	\$	\$	\$
Diluted	2.04	1.02	1.55
Accumulated other comprehensive income:			
<b>For the years ended December 31, (\$000 s)</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Opening balance at January 1,</b>	-	-	(451)
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net of income tax expense (recovery) of \$Nil (2004 - \$Nil, 2003 \$ (330))	-	-	451
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Closing balance at December 31,</b>	-	-	-

The application of U.S. GAAP would have the following effects on the consolidated balance sheet as reported:

<b>As at (\$000 s)</b>	<b>As reported</b>	<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	<b>U.S. GAAP</b>
<b>December 31, 2005</b>	\$	\$	\$
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests, net (Note 4)	1,777,922	(158,736)	1,619,186
Deferred income taxes	242,320	(46,137)	196,183
Temporary equity	-	2,409,837	2,409,837
Unitholders' equity	1,385,343	(2,522,436)	(1,137,093)
<b>December 31, 2004</b>			

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

	\$	\$	\$
Oil and natural gas royalty and property interests, net (Note 4)	1,246,694	(161,218)	1,085,476
Deferred income taxes	81,411	(46,992)	34,419

45

---

Temporary equity	-	1,568,036	1,568,036
Unitholders' equity	1,026,526	(1,682,262)	(655,736)

The following presents the consolidated statement of unitholders' equity and temporary equity for the three years ended December 31, 2005 under U.S. GAAP.

(\$000 s)	Accumulated Retained		Accumulated Other	Total	Temporary Equity
	Distributions	Earnings	Comprehensive Income	Unitholders Equity	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>December 31, 2002</b>	(427,651)	179,622	(451)	(248,480)	\$ 587,076
Units issued	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
Exchangeable shares issued	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
					205,563
					21,718

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Redemption of exchangeable shares

(2,792)

-

-

(2,792)

-

Commission & issue costs

-

-

-

-

(11,001)

Options exercised

-

-

-

-

20,474

Unit purchase plan

-

-

-

-

89

Net income

-

348

94,697

-

94,697

-

Other comprehensive income - gain on derivatives

-

-

451

451

-

Stock based compensation expense

-

-

-

-

3,144

Distribution accruing to unitholders

(150,712)

-

-

(150,712)

-

Change in redemption value

-

(556,402)

-

(556,402)

556,402

**December 31, 2003**

\$

(581,155)

\$

(282,083)

\$

-

\$

(863,238)

\$

1,383,465

46



(\$000 s)	Accumulated Retained		Accumulated Other	Total	Temporary
	Distributions	Earnings	Comprehensive	Unitholders	Equity
	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>December 31, 2003</b>	(581,155)	(282,083)	-	(863,238)	\$
					1,383,465
Units issued					
-					
-					
-					
					452,807
Redemption of exchangeable shares					
(1,803)					
-					
(1,803)					
Options exercised					
-					
-					

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

-	3,771
Unit purchase plan	
-	
-	-
-	70
RUP & LTIP	
-	
-	-
-	638
Net income	
-	
90,190	-
90,190	-
Stock based compensation expense	
-	
-	-
-	(1,991)
	352



Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Distribution accruing to unitholders

(151,609)

-

-

(151,609)

-

Change in redemption value

-

270,724

-

270,724

(207,724)

**December 31, 2004**

(734,567)

78,831

-

(655,736)

1,568,036

Units issued

-

-

-

-

308,405

Redemption of exchangeable shares

(1,154)

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

-	-
(1,154)	-
Options exercised	-
-	-
-	-
-	5,889
Unit purchase plan	-
-	-
-	-
-	107
RUP & LTIP	-
-	-
-	-
-	602
Net income	-
-	-
211,831	-
	354

211,831

-

Stock based compensation expense

-

-

-

-

1,485

Distribution accruing to unitholders

(166,721)

-

-

(166,721)

-

Temporary equity

-

(525,313)

-

(525,313)

525,313

**December 31, 2005**

\$

(902,442)

\$

(234,651)

\$

-

\$

(1,137,093)

\$

2,409,837

47

---

**SUPPLEMENTARY OIL AND GAS INFORMATION FAS 69 (unaudited)**

The tables in this section set forth oil and gas information prepared by the Registrant in accordance with U.S. disclosure standards, pertaining to FAS 69, Disclosure about Oil and Gas Producing Activities .

**Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows and Changes Therein**

In calculating the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, year-end constant prices and cost assumptions were applied to Petrofund's annual future production from proved reserves to determine cash inflows. Future production and development costs are based on constant price assumptions and assume the continuation of existing economic, operating and regulatory conditions. Future income taxes are calculated by applying statutory income tax rates to future pre-tax cash flows after provision for the tax cost of the oil and natural gas properties based upon existing laws and regulations. The Trust is currently not taxable. The discount was computed by application of a 10 percent discount factor to the future net cash flows. The calculation of the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows is based upon the discounted future net cash flows prepared by Petrofund's independent qualified reserves evaluators in relation to the reserves they respectively evaluated, and adjusted by Petrofund is to account for management's estimates of risk management activities, asset retirement obligations and future income taxes.

Petrofund cautions that the discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas reserves are an indication of neither the fair market value of the Registrant's oil and gas properties, nor of the future net cash flows expected to be generated from such properties. The discounted future cash flows do not include the fair market value of exploratory properties and probable or possible oil and gas reserves, nor is consideration given to the effect of anticipated future changes in crude oil and natural gas prices, development, asset retirement and production costs and possible changes to tax and royalty regulations. The prescribed discount rate of 10 percent may not appropriately reflect future interest rates.

**Capitalized Costs Relating to Oil and Gas Producing Activities**

(\$ thousands)

<b>As at December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Proved oil and gas properties	2,540,501	1,858,291	1,364,296
Unproved oil and gas properties	68,876	21,071	16,316
Total capital costs	2,609,377	1,879,362	1,380,612
Accumulated depletion and depreciation	990,191	793,886	658,151
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Net capitalized costs</b>	<b>1,619,186</b>	<b>1,085,476</b>	<b>722,461</b>

**Costs Incurred in Oil and Gas Property Acquisition,****Exploration and Development Activities**

**(\$ thousands)**

<b>For the years ended December 31, <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Property acquisition costs <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	\$	\$
Proved oil and gas properties	571,113	605,840	82,100
Unproved oil and gas properties	6,707	1,695	1,700
Exploration costs <sup>(2)</sup>	1,782	678	5,700
Development costs <sup>(3)</sup>	151,950	75,637	64,000
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Total</b>	731,552	683,850	153,500
(3)			

Acquisitions are net of disposition of properties.

(2)

Cost of geological and geophysical capital expenditures and drilling costs for exploration wells drilled.

(3)

Development and facilities capital expenditures.

**Results of Operations for Producing Activities**

(\$ thousands)

<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	\$	\$	\$
Oil and gas sales, net of royalties and commodity contracts	589,329	368,139	313,787
Lease operating costs and capital taxes	145,516	106,871	93,705
Transportation costs	8,059	5,862	5,482
Depletion, depreciation and accretion	200,357	138,495	95,044
Operating income	235,397	116,911	119,556
Income taxes <sup>(1)</sup>	1,184	539	569
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Results of operations</b>	<b>234,213</b>	<b>116,372</b>	<b>118,987</b>

(1)

Petrofund is currently not taxable, current income tax disclosed for the years 2003 through 2005 represent Large Corporation Tax, which is calculated by reference to balance sheet items (debt and equity) and not by income items.

**Reserve Quantity Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2004****Constant Prices and Costs****Net Proved Developed and****Proved Undeveloped Reserves****Light and****Medium oil****(mbbls)****Heavy Oil****(mbbls)****Natural****Gas****(bcf)**



	Natural Gas Liquids (mbls) Barrels of Oil Equivalent (mboe)
<b>December 31, 2003</b>	
37,793	
750	
164	
	4,036
	69,957
Extensions	
	170
-	
6	
	17
	1,005
Improved recovery	
	567
45	
9	
	56
	2,090
Technical revisions	
	2,769
	361

168		
7		
		353
		4,461
Discoveries		
		-
-		
1		
		8
		151
Acquisitions		
		23,163
-		
21		
		594
		27,305
Dispositions		
-		
-		
-		
-		
		(26)
Economic factors		
		36
4		
1		
		362

9

138

Production

(4,757)

(95)

(24)

(596)

(9,482)

50

---

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Change for year	21,947	122	20	441	
					25,737
<b>December 31, 2004</b>					
59,740					
872					
184					
4,477					
					95,694
Developed					
45,871					
872					
176					
					4,161
					80,245
Undeveloped					
13,869					
-					
8					
					316
					15,449
<b>Total</b>					
					364

59,740

872

184

4,477

95,694

51

---

**Reserve Quantity Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2005**

**Constant Prices and Costs**

<b>Net Proved Developed and Proved Undeveloped Reserves</b>	<b>Light and Medium oil (mbbls)</b>	<b>Natural Heavy Oil Gas (mbbls)</b>	<b>Natural Gas Liquids (mbbls) Barrels of Oil Equivalent (mboe)</b>
<b>December 31, 2004</b>			
59,740			
872			
184			
			4,477
			95,694
Extensions			
47			
-			
4			
16			
			698
			366

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Improved recovery

3,883

4

9

109

5,443

Technical revisions

682

(149)

(7)

(82)

(692)

Discoveries

16

-

1

1

149

Acquisitions

263

20

76

658

13,704

Dispositions

(282)

367

-

(1)

(29)

(325)

Economic factors

(86)

(3)

2

35

292

Production

(5,400)

(87)

(28)

(649)

(10,764)

Change for year

(878)

(215)

57

58

8,505

**December 31, 2005**

58,862

657

241

368



4,535

104,199

Developed

45,945

657

223

4,349

88,147

Undeveloped

12,918

-

18

186

16,052

**Total**

58,862

657

241

4,535

104,199

(1)

Definitions:

(a)

Net reserves are the remaining reserves of Petrofund after deduction of estimated royalties and including royalty interest.

(b)

Proved reserves are the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas and NGLs which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made.

(c)

Proved Developed reserves are reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods.

(d)

Proved Undeveloped reserves are reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

(2)

Petrofund does not file any estimates of total net proved crude oil or natural gas reserves with any U.S. federal authority or agency other than the SEC.

**Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows**

**Relating to Proved Oil and Gas Reserves**

(\$000 s)	2005	2004
	\$	\$
Future cash inflows	6,103,302	3,760,846
Future production costs	1,808,102	1,489,174
Future development costs	290,746	250,820
Undiscounted pre-tax cash flows	4,004,454	2,020,852
Future income taxes <sup>(1)</sup>	-	-
Future net cash flows	4,004,454	2,020,852
Less 10% annual discount factor	1,682,362	864,180
	\$	\$
<b>Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows</b>	2,322,092	1,156,672

(1) Petrofund is currently not taxable.

**Reconciliation of Changes in Net Present Values of Future Net Revenue****Discounted at 10% Per Year****Proved Reserves    Constant Prices and Costs**

(\$000 s)	2005		2004
	\$	\$	
<b>Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, beginning of year</b>	1,156,672	814,427	
Oil and gas sales during the period <sup>(1)</sup>	(481,790)	(312,752)	
Changes due to prices, production costs and royalties related to forecast production <sup>(2)</sup>	1,001,636	132,927	
Development costs during the period <sup>(3)</sup>	136,200	(77,900)	
Changes in forecast development costs <sup>(4)</sup>	(178,330)	90,297	
Changes resulting from extensions and improved recovery <sup>(5)</sup>	137,275	40,197	
Changes resulting from discoveries <sup>(5)</sup>	3,521	1,962	
Changes resulting from acquisitions of reserves <sup>(5)</sup>	317,374	320,784	
Changes resulting from dispositions of reserves <sup>(5)</sup>	(6,933)	(334)	
Accretion of discount <sup>(6)</sup>	115,667	81,443	
Net change in income taxes <sup>(7)</sup>	-	-	
Changes resulting from technical reserves revisions plus all other changes	120,800	65,621	
	\$	\$	
<b>Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, end of year</b>	2,322,092	1,156,672	

(7)

Net of production costs and royalties, before income taxes.

(2)

The impact of changes in prices and other economic factors on future net revenue.

(3)

Actual capital expenditures relating to the exploration and development and production of oil and gas reserves.

(4)

Includes the difference between actual and forecast development costs during the period.

(5)

Production and capital costs associated with recovery of the related reserves are included in this category.

(6)

10% of after adjustments for dispositions.

(7)

Includes the difference between actual and forecast income taxes during the period. Petrofund is currently not taxable.

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

**bbbl:** barrel

**bcf:** billion cubic feet

**boe:** barrels of oil equivalent

**boe/d:** barrels of oil equivalent per day

**bbbl/d:** barrels per day

**mdbl:** thousand barrels

**mboe:** thousand barrels of oil equivalent

**mmbtu:** million British thermal units

**mdbl/d:** thousand barrels per day

**mcf:** thousand cubic feet

**mcf/d:** thousand cubic feet per day

**mlt:** thousand long tons

**mdbl:** million barrels

**mboe:** million barrels of oil equivalent

**mmcf:** million cubic feet

**mmcf/d:** million cubic feet per day

**tcf:** trillion cubic feet

**APO:** after payout

**ARC:** Alberta Royalty Credit

**BPO:** before payout

**gj:** gigajoules

**NGL:** natural gas liquids

**RLI:** reserve life index (in years)

**WTI:** West Texas Intermediate

## **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

**boe:** Barrel of oil equivalent (boe) may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A boe conversion ratio 6 Mcf:1 bbl is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.

**Caps:** A contract that establishes a maximum price to be paid for a security OR commodity. See also floors.

**Collars:** A contract that establishes a maximum and a minimum price to be paid for a security or commodity. See also floors.

**Crown royalty:** The government's share of a property's production.

**Floors:** A contract that establishes a minimum price to be paid for a security or commodity. See also caps.

**Netback:** The amount received from the sale of a

barrel of oil or barrel of oil equivalent after the deduction of operating costs, royalty payments, cash hedging costs and transportation expenses.

**Net Production:** The working interest share of gross production.

**Probable reserves:** Probable reserves are those additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.

**Proved reserves:** Proved reserves are those reserves that can be estimated with a high degree of certainty to be recoverable. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the estimated proved reserves.

**Swaps:** An exchange of streams of payments between two counterparties, sometimes directly and at other times through an intermediary.

**Unitized production:** The joint operation of all or some portion of a production reservoir.

**Water flood:** A method of secondary recovery in which water is injected into an oil reservoir for the purpose of sweeping the oil out of the reservoir rock and into the bore of a production well.

**Working interest:** The interest in a lease that carries with it the rights and obligations to develop and operate and oil or natural gas property.



**EXHIBIT 4**

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

**Petrofund Energy Trust**

**Form 40-F**

***CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES***

**Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.** Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15-d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. They concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level, in ensuring that material information relating to the Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries would be made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report was being prepared.

**Changes in internal control over financial reporting.** There was no change in the Trust's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Trust's internal control over financial reporting.

**EXHIBIT 5**

Audit Committee Financial Expert

**Petrofund Energy Trust**

**Form 40-F**

Petrofund Corp. s Board of Directors has determined that Mr. James E. Allard is an audit committee financial expert.

Petrofund Corp. s board of directors has determined that it has at least one audit committee financial expert serving on its audit committee. Mr. James E. Allard has been determined to be such audit committee financial expert and is independent; as that term is defined by the American Exchange s listing standards applicable to Petrofund Energy Trust. The SEC has stated that the designation of a person as an audit committee financial expert, does not impose on such person any duties, obligations or liability that are greater than those imposed on such person as a member of the audit committee and the board of directors in the absence of such designation and does not affect the duties, obligation or liability of any other member of the audit committee or board of directors.

James E. Allard has focused his career in international finance and the petroleum industry for the past 40 years serving as CEO, CFO and director of a number publicly traded and private companies during that period. During the past five years, he has continued to serve on the Alberta Securities Commission, act as the sole external trustee and advisor to a mid-sized pension plan and serve as a director and advisor to several companies. From 1981 to 1995, he served as a senior executive officer of Amoco Corporation as well as a director of Amoco Canada, then Canada s largest natural gas producer.

**EXHIBIT 6**

Code of Ethics

**Petrofund Energy Trust**

**Form 40-F**

Petrofund Energy Trust has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer. There has been no revision or waiver to such code of ethics. This code of ethics has been posted on the Trust's website at [www.petrofund.ca](http://www.petrofund.ca).

---

**EXHIBIT 7**

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures and Registrant's

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

**Petrofund Energy Trust****Form 40-F**

Under the "Audit Committee Mandate and Charter", the Audit Committee has the sole authorization to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services. This authority has been delegated to the Chairman of the Audit Committee who is independent of management and who reports all pre-approved audit and non-audit services to the other members of the committee on a quarterly basis. This delegation of authority is pursuant to the Trust's Audit Committee Mandate and Charter a resolution of the Trust's Audit Committee, which includes detailed descriptions of the particular services that may be approved, and requires that each Audit Committee member be informed of each service that is approved.

The following sets out the Aggregate fees billed to the Trust for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, and 2004 by the Trust's principal accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates (collectively, "Deloitte & Touche"). During these years, Deloitte & Touche was the Trust's only external auditor.

<b>Category</b>	<b>Fiscal year ended</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Audit Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$214,380	\$196,300
Audit related fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$284,752	\$47,025
Total audit and audit related fees	\$471,580	\$236,200
Tax Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	-	\$31,428
<b>Total Fees</b>	<b>\$499,132</b>	<b>\$274,753</b>

**Notes:**

(1)

Audit fees relate to professional services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the audit for the Trust's annual financial statements and the review of the Trust's quarterly financial statements.

(2)

Audit related fees relate to professional services rendered by Deloitte & Touche for procedures performed in connection with offering documents, including the French translation of the those documents, and for Sarbanes-Oxley 404 compliance.

(3)

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

For professional services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP in connection with tax compliance and consultation on tax matters. The majority of fees billed in 2004 relate to the Internalization of Management which is disclosed in the Consolidated Income Statement and in note 12 to the Consolidated Statements.

There were no other fees paid to Deloitte & Touche.

---

**EXHIBIT 8**

Consent of GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd.

**Petrofund Energy Trust**

**Form 40-F**

We hereby consent to the use and reference to our name and reports evaluating Petrofund Corp. s oil and gas reserves as at December 31, 2005, and the information derived from our reports, as described or incorporated by reference in Petrofund Energy Trust s Annual Report on Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Sincerely,

**GLJ PETROLEUM CONSULTANTS LTD.**

(Signed) Bryan M. Joa

Name: Bryan M. Joa, P. Eng.

Title: VP Corporate Evaluations

March 15, 2006

Calgary, Alberta, Canada

**EXHIBIT 9**

Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP

**Petrofund Energy Trust**

**Form 40-F**

**Consent of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants**

We consent to the use of our report dated February 10, 2006, relating to the financial statements of Petrofund Energy Trust appearing in the Annual Report on Form 40-F of Petrofund Energy Trust for the year ended December 31, 2005.

*(signed) Deloitte & Touche LLP*

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Independent Registered Chartered Accountants

Calgary, Alberta, Canada

February 10, 2006

---

**EXHIBIT 10**

Certifications pursuant to  
Exchange Act Rules  
13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a)

**CERTIFICATION**

I, Jeffery E. Errico, certify that:

1.

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 40-F of Petrofund Energy Trust;

2.

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3.

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4.

The issuer's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the issuer and have:

(a)

Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b)

Evaluated the effectiveness of the issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c)



Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

Disclosed in this report any change in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

5.

The issuer's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a)

All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b)

Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date:

March 15, 2006

(signed) Jeffery E. Errico

Name: Jeffery E. Errico,

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

### CERTIFICATION

I, Edward J. Brown, certify that:

1.

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 40-F of Petrofund Energy Trust;

2.

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3.

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4.

The issuer's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the issuer and have:

(a)

Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b)

Evaluated the effectiveness of the issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c)

Disclosed in this report any change in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

5.

Edgar Filing: PETROFUND ENERGY TRUST - Form 40-F

The issuer's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a)

All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b)

Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date:

March 15, 2006

(signed) Edward J. Brown

Name:

Edward J. Brown, C.A.

Title:

Vice-President Finance and

Chief Financial Officer

**EXHIBIT 11**

Certifications pursuant to  
Exchange Act Rule  
13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b)

**CERTIFICATION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ENACTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

Petrofund Energy Trust ( Petrofund ) is filing its annual report on Form 40-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, (the Report ) with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

I, Jeffery E. Errico, President and Chief Executive Officer of Petrofund, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as enacted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1.

The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

2.

The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Petrofund.

Dated: March 15, 2006

(signed) Jeffery E. Errico

Jeffery E. Errico,

President and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION**  
**PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ENACTED PURSUANT TO**  
**SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

Petrofund Energy Trust ( Petrofund ) is filing its annual report on Form 40-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, (the Report ) with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

I, Edward J. Brown, Vice-President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as enacted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1.

The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

2.

The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Petrofund.

Dated: March 15, 2006

(signed) Edward J. Brown

Edward J. Brown, C.A.

Vice-President, Finance and

Chief Financial Officer