CAL MAINE FOODS INC

Form 10-Q

Delaware

April 05, 2013
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
FORM 10-Q
(mark one)
b Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended March 2, 2013
OR
" Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to
Commission File Number: 000-04892
CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

64-0500378

(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
incorporation or organization)	

3320	Woodrow	Wilson	A venue,	Jackson.	Mississin	mi	39209
~~	110001	, , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Jucisoni	TITELDOLDOLD	, P =	

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(601) 948-6813

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated filer " Accelerated filer b

Non – Accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes "No b

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock (exclusive of treasury shares), as of March 22, 2013.

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 21,698,399 shares

Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 2,400,000 shares

INDEX

		Page Number
Part I.	Financial Information	
	Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - March 2, 2013 and June 2, 2012	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income - Thirteen Weeks and Thirty-Nine weeks ende March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012	d ₄
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income - Thirteen Weeks and Thirty-Nine weeks ended March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow - Thirty-Nine weeks ended March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
	Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
	Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	25
	Item 4. Controls and Procedures	25
Part II.	Other Information	
	Item 1.Legal Proceedings	25
	Item 1A. Risk Factors	28
	Item 6. Exhibits	29
	Signatures	30

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands)

ASSETS	March 2, 2013 (unaudited)	June 2, 2012
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities available-for-sale Trade receivables (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$529 at March 2, 2013 and \$589 at June 2, 2012) and other receivables Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets Total current assets	\$ 37,391 149,774 85,100 146,898 1,360 420,523	\$ 97,128 163,623 62,768 117,158 1,525 442,202
Property, plant and equipment, net Goodwill Other investments Other intangible assets Other assets Notes receivable – noncurrent TOTAL ASSETS	269,395 30,017 19,765 7,683 6,745 562 \$ 754,690	222,615 22,117 22,330 8,028 6,441 2,583 \$ 726,316
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued expenses Accrued dividends payable Current maturities of long-term debt Deferred income taxes Total current liabilities	\$ 81,268 10,184 10,868 29,201 131,521	\$ 91,305 12,419 11,458 25,474 140,656
Long-term debt, less current maturities Other noncurrent liabilities Deferred income taxes Total liabilities	56,878 3,529 41,437 233,365	64,762 3,165 38,405 246,988

Commitments and Contingencies - see Note 5

Stockholders' equity:

Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share:

Authorized shares – 60,000 Issued 35,130 shares and 21,698 shares outstanding at March 2, 2013 and 21,521 shares outstanding at June 2, 2012 351 351 Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, authorized, issued and outstanding 2,400 shares at March 2, 2013 and June 2, 2012 24 24 Paid-in capital 33,651 38,871 Retained earnings 502,525 466,164 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (222)11) Common stock in treasury at cost – 13,432 shares at March 2, 2013 and 13,609 shares at June 2, 2012 (20,572)(20,843) Total Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. stockholders' equity 521,210 479,125 Noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities 115 203 Total stockholders' equity 521,325 479,328 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY \$ 754,690 \$ 726,316

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

Net sales Cost of sales Gross profit Selling, general, and administrative expense Operating income Other income (expense):	13 Weeks March 2, 2013 \$360,373 293,326 67,047 36,136 30,911	Ended February 25, 2012 \$ 303,660 238,511 65,149 30,210 34,939	39 Weeks March 2, 2013 \$962,171 799,111 163,060 96,186 66,874	Ended February 25, 2012 \$ 837,871 677,444 160,427 83,858 76,569
Interest expense, net Patronage dividends Equity in income of affiliates Other	(963) 11,504 2,037 2,393 14,971	(1,151) 6,548 645 (406) 5,636	14,267 2,880	(3,369) 6,548 1,529 39 4,747
Income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest Income tax expense Net income including noncontrolling interest Less: net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest Net income attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	45,882	40,575	82,980	81,316
	15,335	14,291	28,583	28,746
	30,547	26,284	54,397	52,570
	(4	182	141	91
	\$30,551	\$ 26,102	\$54,256	\$ 52,479
Net income per common share attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.: Basic Diluted Dividends per common share Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic Diluted	\$1.27	\$ 1.09	\$2.26	\$ 2.20
	\$1.27	\$ 1.09	\$2.26	\$ 2.19
	\$0.423	\$ 0.364	\$0.753	\$ 0.733
	24,035	23,874	23,966	23,871
	24,104	23,949	24,013	23,948

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	13 Weeks March 2, 2013	s Ended February 25, 2012		39 Week March 2, 2013	s Ended February 25, 2012	
Net income, including noncontrolling interests	\$30,547	\$ 26,284		\$54,397	\$ 52,570	
Other comprehensive income, before tax:						
Unrealized holding gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustments	157	(1,545)	381	(1,065)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	157	(1,545)	381	(1,065)
Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income	61	(602)	148	(418)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	96	(943)	233	(647)
Comprehensive income	30,643	25,341		54,630	51,923	
Less: comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(4)	182		141	91	
Comprehensive income attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	\$30,647	\$ 25,159		\$54,489	\$ 51,832	

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	39 Weeks End	led	
		ebruary 25, 012	
Operating activities:			
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$54,397 \$	52,570	
Depreciation and amortization	24,718	22,805	
Other adjustments, net	(36,515)	9,504	
Net cash provided by operations	42,600	84,879	
Investing activities:			
Purchase of investments	(163,307)	(116,433)
Sales of investments	177,396	88,956	
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(19,121)	(19,060)
Payments received on notes receivable and from affiliates	6,285	4,840	
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash	(74,907)	-	
Increase in notes receivable and investments in affiliates	(294)	-	
Net proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	62	42	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(73,886)	(41,655)
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock from treasury (including tax benefit on nonqualifying disposition of incentive stock options)	380	296	
Principal payments on long-term debt	(8,474)	(9,215)
Payments of dividends	(20,357)	(11,245)
Net cash used in financing activities	(28,451)	(20,164)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(59,737)	23,060	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	97,128 \$37,391 \$	57,679 80,739	

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

March 2, 2013
(unaudited)

1. Presentation of Interim Information

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary to a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented have been included. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affected reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions. Operating results for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending June 1, 2013.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 2, 2012 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 2, 2012. References to "we," "us," "our," or the "Company" refer to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.

2. Acquisitions

Pilgrim's Pride Acquisition

On August 10, 2012, the Company purchased substantially all of the commercial egg assets of Pilgrim's Pride Corporation ("PPC") for \$16,318 in cash at closing, plus additional cash consideration of up to \$2,500 based upon a formulaic methodology that takes into account commodity feed prices and the price of shell eggs for the period September 2012 through August 2013. The fair value of the contingent earn-out payment was approximately \$2,500 at the acquisition date. We will settle this contingency in the first quarter of fiscal 2014. The fair value of this contingent liability will not be adjusted on a recurring basis. Any difference between the acquisition date fair value of this contingency and the settlement of this liability in the first quarter of fiscal 2014 will be reported in the results of operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2014. The cash purchase price was funded from our available cash balances. The assets acquired include two production complexes with capacity for approximately 1.4 million laying hens located near Pittsburg, Texas, and PPC's 13.6% interest in Texas Egg Products, LLC (TEP), which gave the Company's amajority interest in TEP. The results of the Company's operation of the assets acquired are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition.

The following table presents the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired, based on their fair values:

Assets acquired:

Inventories	\$3,615
Property, plant, and equipment	14,059
Other intangible assets	1,144
Total assets acquired	\$18,818

The purchase price exceeded the fair values of the tangible assets acquired by \$1,144. The acquired intangible asset is made up of a customer relationship intangible (8-year useful life).

Maxim Acquisition

On November 15, 2012, the Company acquired the commercial egg assets of Maxim Production Co., Inc. ("MPC") located near Boling, Texas for \$64,942. The purchase price was partially funded with \$58,589 in cash and the issuance of \$5,000 in common stock, and the remainder of the purchase price was funded through certain setoffs and credits. A total of 114 common shares were issued from treasury to fund the \$5,000 of stock issued. The assets purchased include a feed mill and two production complexes with capacity for 3.5 million laying hens near Boling, Texas. The purchase also includes approximately 500,000 laying hens which are contracted to independent growers near Gonzales, Texas and MPC's 21.8% interest in TEP, which gives us a 72.1% ownership in TEP. The results of the Company's operation of the assets acquired are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition.

The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired, based on their fair values:

Assets acquired:

Inventories	\$20,845
Property, plant, and equipment	35,802
Goodwill	7,900
Note Receivable - noncurrent	295
Other intangible assets	100
Total assets acquired	\$64,942

The purchase of the commercial egg assets of MPC also includes an earn-out contingency of \$4,400. This earn-out is based on earnings of the acquired business exceeding a certain level of EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization) over a three year period. Based upon the Company's preliminary analysis as of the acquisition date, management determined that the probability of a material payout under this earn-out contingency agreement is remote; therefore, no liability has been recorded.

Pro-forma information, which is usually presented for information purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that actually would have been achieved had the acquisitions been consummated as of an earlier time, was not available for the current quarter. We are in the process of obtaining and reviewing such information in order to assess the materiality of this information and make a determination for subsequent period

presentation.

3. Stock Based Compensation

Total stock based compensation expense for the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012 was \$712 and \$622, respectively. Our liabilities associated with Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs") as of March 2, 2012 and June 2, 2012 were \$898 and \$866 respectively. The liabilities for our Stock Appreciation Rights are included in the line item "Accounts payable and accrued expenses" in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Refer to Note 10 of our June 2, 2012 audited financial statements for further information on our stock compensation plans.

At the Company's most recent annual meeting on October 5, 2012, shareholders approved the Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. 2012 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2012 Plan"). The purpose of the 2012 Plan is to assist us and our subsidiaries in attracting and retaining selected individuals who, serving as our employees, outside directors and consultants, are expected to contribute to our success and to achieve long-term objectives which will benefit our shareholders through the additional incentives inherent in the awards under the 2012 Plan. The maximum number of shares of common stock that are available for awards under the 2012 Plan is 500 shares and they will come from treasury. In the event of any merger, reorganization, consolidation, recapitalization, dividend or distribution (whether in cash, shares or other property, other than a regular cash dividend), stock split, reverse stock split, spin-off or similar transaction or other change in our corporate structure affecting our common stock or the value thereof, appropriate adjustments to the 2012 Plan and awards will be made, including adjustments in the number and class of shares of stock available for awards under the 2012 Plan, the number, class and exercise or grant price of shares subject to awards outstanding under the 2012 Plan, and the limits on the number of awards that any person may receive. Options, SARs, restricted shares and stock units may be granted under the 2012 Plan. Options may be either "incentive stock options," as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or nonstatutory stock options. Awards may be granted under the 2012 Plan to any employee, any non-employee member of the Company's Board of Directors, and any consultant who is a natural person and provides services to us or one of our subsidiaries (except for incentive stock options which may be granted only to our employees).

On January 15, 2013, the Company granted 63 shares of restricted stock from Treasury under the 2012 Plan. The restricted shares vest three years from the grant date and contain no other service or performance conditions. Restricted stock is awarded in the name of the recipient and except for the right of disposal, constitutes issued and outstanding shares of the Company's common stock for all corporate purposes during the period of restriction including the right to receive dividends. Compensation expense is a fixed amount based on the grant date closing price and is recognized ratably over the vesting period. For the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013 compensation expense related to the 2012 Plan was \$111, which is a component of total stock based compensation expense. Our unrecognized compensation expense as a result of non-vested shares at March 2, 2013 was \$2,218. The unrecognized compensation expense will be recorded over a period of 2.9 years. The table below provides a summary of the stock based compensation activity for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013:

Restricted Stock
Weighted-Average
ShareGrant Date Fair
Value per Share

Non-vested restricted shares at June 2, 2012
Granted
63 41.08
Vested
- Forfeited
Non-vested restricted shares at March 2, 2013
63 \$ 41.08

4. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

	March 2, 2013	June 2, 2012
Flocks	\$ 79,924	\$ 71,071
Eggs	13,840	9,856
Feed and supplies	53,134	36,231
	\$ 146,898	\$ 117,158

5. Contingencies

Financial Instruments

The Company maintains standby letters of credit ("LOC") with a bank totaling \$5,086 at March 2, 2013. These LOCs are collateralized with cash. The cash that collateralizes the LOCs is included in the line item "Other assets" in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The outstanding LOCs are for the benefit of certain insurance companies. None of the LOCs are recorded as a liability on the consolidated balance sheets.

Legal Contingencies

The Company is a defendant in certain legal actions. The Company intends to vigorously defend its position in these legal actions. The ultimate outcome of these legal actions cannot presently be determined. Consequently, no estimate of any possible loss related to these legal actions can reasonably be determined. However, in management's opinion, the likelihood of a material adverse outcome is remote in regards to all matters except the egg antitrust litigation.

Management believes that the likelihood of a material adverse outcome is reasonably possible in the egg antitrust litigation. Since the inception of this litigation, the Company has denied the allegations of the plaintiffs and has been vigorously defending the case. Two of the Company's co-defendants in the case have settled with the plaintiffs. Neither settlement agreement admits any liability on the part of the Company's co-defendants. The Company's decision to defend its position in the egg antitrust litigation was not altered by settlement by two of our co-defendants. The Company will continue to defend the case based on defenses which we believe are meritorious and provable. At the present time it is not possible to estimate the amount of monetary exposure, if any, to the Company as a result of this case.

Accordingly, adjustments, if any, that might result from the resolution of these legal matters have not been reflected in the financial statements. These legal actions are discussed in detail at Part II, Item 1, of this report.

6. Net Income per Common Share

Basic net income per share was calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share was calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period plus the dilutive effects of options. The computations of basic and diluted net income per share attributable to the Company are as follows:

Net income attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	2, 2013	Ended February 25, 2012 \$ 26,102	39 Weeks March 2, 2013 \$54,256	s Ended February 25, 2012 \$ 52,479
Basic weighted-average common shares	24,035	23,874	23,966	23,871
Effect of dilutive securities: Restricted Shares Common stock options	32 37	- 75	10 37	- 77

Dilutive potential common shares	24,104	23,949	24,013	23,948
Net income per common share attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.: Basic Diluted	\$1.27 \$1.27	 1.09 1.09	T = 1 = 0	 2.20 2.19

7. Accrued Dividends Payable and Dividends per Common Share

We make an accrual of dividends payable at the end of each quarter according to the Company's dividend policy. According to the dividend policy, the Company pays a dividend to shareholders of its Common Stock and Class A Common Stock on a quarterly basis for each quarter for which the Company reports net income computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in an amount equal to one-third (1/3) of such quarterly income. Dividends are paid to shareholders of record as of the 60th day following the last day of such quarter, except for the fourth fiscal quarter. For the fourth quarter, the Company will pay dividends to shareholders of record on the 70th day after the quarter end. Dividends are payable on the 15th day following the record date. Following a quarter for which the Company does not report net income, the Company will not pay a dividend for a subsequent profitable quarter until the Company is profitable on a cumulative basis computed from the date of the last quarter for which a dividend was paid. The amount of the accrual appears on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as "Accrued dividends payable."

On our condensed consolidated statement of income, we determine dividends per common share in accordance with the computation in the following table (shares in thousands):

	13 Weeks E	Ended	39 Week	s Ended
	March 2, 2013 F	Sebruary 25, 2012	March 2, 2013	February 25, 2012
Net income attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	\$30,551 \$	- , -	\$54,256	
1/3 of net income attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	10,184	8,701	18,085	17,493
Accrued dividends payable	10,184	8,701		
Common stock outstanding (shares)	21,698	21,474		
Class A common stock outstanding (shares)	2,400	2,400		
Total common stock outstanding (shares)	24,098	23,874		
Dividends per common share*	\$0.423 \$	0.364	\$0.753	\$ 0.733

^{*}Dividends per common share = 1/3 of Net income (loss) attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. ÷ Total common stock outstanding (shares)

8. Fair Value Measures

The Company is required to categorize both financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities based on the following fair value hierarchy. The fair value of an asset is the price at which the asset could be sold in an orderly transaction between unrelated, knowledgeable, and willing parties able to engage in the transaction. A liability's fair value is defined as the amount that would be paid to transfer the liability to a new obligor in a transaction between such parties, not the amount that would be paid to settle the liability with the creditor.

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 - Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

The disclosure of fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities that are recorded at cost are as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Long-term debt: The carrying value of the Company's long-term debt is at its stated value. We have not elected to carry our long-term debt at fair value. Except for the "Notes payable-Texas Egg Products, LLC," fair values for debt are based on quoted market prices or published forward interest rate curves. We believe that cost approximates fair value for the "Notes payable-Texas Egg Products, LLC." The fair value and carrying value of the Company's borrowings under its credit facilities and long-term debt were as follows:

	March 2,	2013	June 2, 2012		
	Carrying Value Fair Value		Carrying Value Fair Value		
	Value	rair value	Value	ran value	
6.0 - 6.8% Notes payable	\$56,439	\$ 58,845	\$63,039	\$ 66,388	
Series A Senior Secured Notes at 5.45%	11,050	11,107	12,629	12,905	
Notes payable-Texas Egg Products, LLC *	257	257	552	552	
	\$67,746	\$ 70,209	\$76,220	\$ 79,845	

^{*} Cost approximates fair value of Texas Egg Products, LLC notes payable to non-affiliate equity members

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Investment securities available-for-sale

State municipal bonds

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following types of instruments as of March 2, 2013:

Fair Value Measurements Quoted Prices in Activignificant	at Reporting Da	te Using
Market Ofher	Significant	
Identic O bservable	Unobservable	
Instrum lents ts	Inputs	Total
(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Balance
\$ - \$ 60,891	\$ -	\$ 60,89

US government obligations	-	13,832	-	13,832
Corporate bonds	-	56,601	-	56,601
Certificates of deposit	-	9,724	-	9,724
Government agency bonds	-	8,726	-	8,726
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ -	\$ 149,774	\$ _	\$ 149,774

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following types of instruments as of June 2, 2012:

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using								
	Quoted Prices								
	in Activagnificant								
	Marke	et Ofhe r	Sign						
	Identi	c O bservable	Uno						
	Instru	m lempts ts	Inpu	ts	Total				
	(Level 2)		(Level 3)		Balance				
Investment securities available-for-sale									
State municipal bonds	\$ -	\$ 104,866	\$	-	\$ 104,866				
US government obligations	-	20,783		-	20,783				
Corporate bonds	-	16,244		-	16,244				
Certificates of deposit	-	11,514		-	11,514				
Government agency bonds	-	10,216		-	10,216				
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ -	\$ 163,623	\$	-	\$ 163,623				

Level 2: We classified our current investment securities – available-for-sale as level 2. These securities consist of municipal bonds, US government obligations, corporate bonds, certificates of deposit, and government agency bonds which contain the aforementioned securities with maturities of three months or longer when purchased. We classified these securities as current because amounts invested are available for current operations. Observable inputs for these securities are yields, credit risks, default rates, and volatility.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis.

The Company also applies fair value accounting guidance to measure non-financial assets and liabilities associated with business acquisitions. These assets and liabilities are measured at fair value for the initial purchase price allocation and are not subject to recurring revaluations. The fair value of non-financial assets acquired is determined internally. Our internal valuation methodology for non-financial assets takes into account the remaining estimated life of the assets acquired and what management believes is the market value for those assets. The fair value of our earn-out contingency takes into account commodity prices based on published forward commodity price curves and projected future egg prices as of the date of the estimate. Given the unobservable nature of these inputs, they are deemed to be Level 3 fair value measurements.

9. Available-for-Sale Securities Classified as Current Assets

March 2, 2013

		Ga	ins in	Los	sses in	
		Accumulated		Aco	cumulated	
		Other		Other		Estimated
	Amortized	mortized Comprehensive		Comprehensive		Fair
	Cost	Inc	ome	Inc	ome	Value
State municipal bonds	\$61,020	\$	-	\$	129	\$60,891
US government obligations	13,816		16		-	13,832
Corporate bonds	56,339		262		-	56,601
Certificates of deposit	9,670		54		-	9,724
Government agency bonds	8,911		-		185	8,726
Total available-for-sale securities	\$149,756	\$	332	\$	314	\$149,774

June 2 2012

June 2, 2012							
	Gains in	Loss	ses in				
	Accumulated	Accı	umulated				
	Other	Othe	er	Estimated			
Amortized	Comprehensive	Com	prehensive	Fair			
Cost	Income	Inco	me	Value			
\$105,029	\$ -	\$	163	\$104,866			
20,681	102		-	20,783			
16,405	-		161	16,244			
11,591	-	,	77	11,514			
10,291	-		75	10,216			
\$163,997	\$ 102	\$	476	\$163,623			
	Amortized Cost \$105,029 20,681 16,405 11,591 10,291	Gains in Accumulated Other Amortized Comprehensive Cost Income \$105,029 \$ - 20,681 102 16,405 - 11,591 - 10,291 -	Gains in Accumulated Accumulated Other Other Amortized Comprehensive Com Cost Income Inco \$105,029 \$ - \$ 20,681 102 16,405 - 11,591 - 10,291 -	Gains in Accumulated Other Losses in Accumulated Accumulated Other Amortized Comprehensive Cost Income Comprehensive Income \$105,029 \$ - \$ 163 20,681 102 - 16,405 - 161 11,591 - 77 10,291 - 75			

Proceeds from the sales of available-for-sale securities were \$177,396 and \$88,956 during the thirty-nine week periods ending March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012, respectively. Gross realized gains on those sales during the thirty-nine week periods ending March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012 were \$0 and \$9, respectively. Gross realized losses on those sales during the thirty-nine week periods ending March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012 were \$31 and \$315, respectively. For purposes of determining gross realized gains and losses, the cost of securities sold is based on specific identification. Unrealized holding gains net of tax on available-for-sale securities were \$233 for the thirty-nine week period ending March 2, 2013. Unrealized losses net of tax on available-for-sale securities were \$647 for the thirty-nine week period ending February 25, 2012. These have been included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Contractual maturities of available-for-sale debt securities at March 2, 2013, are as follows:

	Es	timated Fair Value
Within one year	\$	67,473
After 1-5 years		82,301
After 5-10 years		-
·	\$	149,774

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because some borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

10. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2012-02, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment ("ASU

2012-02"). ASU 2012-02 simplifies the guidance for testing the decline in the realizable value (impairment) of indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. The amendments in ASU 2012-02 allow an organization the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. According to ASU 2012-02, an organization electing to perform a qualitative assessment is no longer required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the organization determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is "more likely than not" that the asset is impaired. The amendments in ASU 2012-02 are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("ASU 2013-02"). This update requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, ASU 2013-02 requires presentation, either on the face of the income statement or in the notes, of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by respective line items of net income, but only if the amounts reclassified are required to be reclassified in their entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about these amounts. The amendments in ASU 2013-02 will be effective prospectively for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012, and interim periods within those annual periods. ASU 2013-02 is effective for us on August, 31, 2013. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2013-02 to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statement presentation.

11. Guarantee

The Company owns 50% of the membership interests in Delta Egg Farm, LLC ("Delta Egg"). The Company is a guarantor of 50% of approximately \$8,000 of Delta Egg's long-term debt at March 2, 2013. Delta Egg's long-term debt is secured by substantially all of the fixed assets of Delta Egg and is due in monthly installments through fiscal 2018. Delta Egg is engaged in the production, processing, and distribution of shell eggs. The other 50% owner also guarantees 50% of the debt. Payment under the guarantee would be required if Delta Egg is not able to pay the debt. Management of the Company believes that payment under the guarantee is unlikely because Delta Egg is now well capitalized.

12. Equity

The following reflects the equity activity, including our noncontrolling interest, for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013:

Cal-Maine Foods, Inc
Common Stock

	Class A Treasury		Paid In	Accum. Other Comp.	Retained	Noncontro	olling	
	Amou	ın A mouı	ntAmount	Capital	Income/(Lossarnings	Interests	Total
Balance at June 2, 2012	\$351	\$ 24	\$(20,843)	\$33,651	\$ (222) \$466,164	\$ 203	\$479,328
Dividends*	-	-	-	-	-	(18,124)	-	(18,124)
Issuance of common stock from treasury	-	-	174	4,826	-	-	-	5,000

Edgar Filing: CAL MAINE FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Issuance of restricted stock	_	_	97	(97) -		_	_	_
from treasury			<i>71</i>		,				
Restricted stock compensation				111					111
expense	-	-	-	111	_	•	-	-	111
Tax benefit on non-qualifying				380					380
disposition of incentive stock	-	-	-	360	-	•	-	-	360
Reclass equity portion of TEP									
in connection with	-	-	-	-	-		229	(229) -
Acquisitions - see Note 2									
Unrealized gain on securities,					2	233			222
net of tax	-	-	-	-	2	233	-	-	233
Net income	-	-	-	-	-		54,256	141	54,397
Balance at March 2, 2013	\$351	\$ 24	\$(20,572)	\$38,871	\$ 1	11	\$502,525	\$ 115	\$521,325

^{*} Dividends are calculated as 1/3 of net income (includes adjustment for actual dividends paid based on accrual from previous period).

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This report contains numerous forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") relating to our shell egg business, including estimated production data, expected operating schedules, expected capital costs, and other operating data, including anticipated results of operations and financial condition. Such forward-looking statements are identified by the use of words such as "believes," "intends," "expects," "hopes," "may," "should," "plans," "pro "contemplates," "anticipates," or similar words. Actual production, operating schedules, capital costs, results of operations, and other projections and estimates could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are based on management's current intent, belief, expectations, estimates, and projections regarding the Company and its industry. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties, assumptions, and other factors that are difficult to predict and may be beyond our control. The factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements include, among others, (i) the risk factors set forth in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 2, 2012, as updated by our subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, (ii) the risks and hazards inherent in the shell egg business (including disease, pests, weather conditions, and potential for recall), (iii) changes in the demand for and market prices of shell eggs and feed costs, (iv) risks, changes, or obligations that could result from our future acquisition of new flocks or businesses, and (v) adverse results in pending litigation matters. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements because, while we believe the assumptions on which the forward-looking statements are based are reasonable, there can be no assurance that these forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate. Further, the forward-looking statements included herein are only made as of the respective dates thereof, or if no date is stated, as of the date hereof. Except as otherwise required by law, we disclaim any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether because of new information, future events, or otherwise.

OVERVIEW

Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. ("we," "us," "our," or the "Company") is primarily engaged in the production, grading, packaging, marketing, and distribution of fresh shell eggs. Our fiscal year end is the Saturday closest to May 31.

Our operations are fully integrated. At our facilities we hatch chicks, grow and maintain flocks of pullets (young female chickens, usually under 20 weeks of age), layers (mature female chickens) and breeders (male or female birds used to produce fertile eggs to be hatched for egg production flocks), manufacture feed, and produce, process and distribute shell eggs. We are the largest producer and marketer of shell eggs in the United States. We market the majority of our shell eggs in 29 states, primarily in the southwestern, southeastern, mid-western, and mid-Atlantic regions of the United States. We market our shell eggs through our extensive distribution network to a diverse group of customers, including national and regional grocery store chains, club stores, foodservice distributors, and egg product manufacturers.

Our operating results are directly tied to egg prices, which are highly volatile, subject to wide fluctuations, and outside of our control. The shell egg industry has traditionally been subject to periods of high profitability followed by periods of significant loss. In the past, during periods of high profitability, shell egg producers have tended to increase the number of layers in production with a resulting increase in the supply of shell eggs, which generally has caused a drop in shell egg prices until supply and demand return to balance. As a result, our financial results from quarter to quarter and year to year may vary significantly. Shorter term, retail sales of shell eggs historically have been greatest during the fall and winter months and lowest during the summer months. Our need for working capital generally is highest in the last and first fiscal quarters ending in May/June and August/September, respectively, when egg prices are normally at seasonal lows. Prices for shell eggs fluctuate in response to seasonal factors and a natural increase in shell egg production during the spring and early summer. Shell egg prices tend to increase with the start of the school year and are highest prior to holiday periods, particularly Thanksgiving, Christmas, and Easter. Consequently, we generally experience lower sales and net income in our first and fourth fiscal quarters ending in August/September and May/June, respectively. Because of these seasonal and quarterly fluctuations, comparisons of our sales and operating results between different quarters within a single fiscal year are not necessarily meaningful comparisons.

For the quarter ended March 2, 2013, we produced approximately 72% of the total number of shell eggs we sold with approximately 7% of such total shell egg production being provided by contract producers. Contract producers utilize their facilities in the production of shell eggs by layers owned by us. We own the shell eggs produced under these arrangements. Approximately 28% of the total number of shell eggs sold by us was purchased from outside producers for resale.

Our cost of production is materially affected by feed costs, which currently averages about 70% of our total farm egg production cost. Changes in market prices for corn and soybean meal, the primary ingredients in the feed we use, result in changes in our cost of goods sold. The cost of our feed ingredients, which are commodities, are subject to factors over which we have little or no control, such as volatile price changes caused by weather, size of harvest, transportation and storage costs, demand, and the agricultural and energy policies of the United States and foreign governments. The supply/demand balance for corn and soybeans is very tight and should remain so through at least the summer of 2013. Drought conditions in major crop growing regions of the mid-western United States significantly reduced yields for last years' crop. This has resulted in higher prices for these commodities. If normal weather patterns return and the crops planted this spring achieve normal yields, stocks should be replenished in the fall, reducing pressure on prices. Market prices for soybean meal remain high because of competition for planted acres from other grain production.

The purchases of the commercial egg assets of Pilgrim's Pride Corporation and Maxim Production Co., Inc. as described in Note 2 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are referred to below as the "Acquisitions."

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income expressed as a percentage of net sales.

	Percentage of Net Sales							
	13 Weeks Ended			39 Weeks Ended				
	March			March				
	2,	2, February 25, 2012			February 25, 2012			
	2013			2013				
Net sales	100.0%	100.0	%	100.0 %	100.0	%		
Cost of sales	81.4	78.5		83.1	80.9			
Gross profit	18.6	21.5		16.9	19.1			
Selling, general, and administrative expense	10.0	9.9		10.0	10.0			

Edgar Filing: CAL MAINE FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Operating income	8.6	11.6		6.9	9.1	
Other income (expense):						
Interest expense, net	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.4)
Other	4.4	2.2		2.0	1.0	
	4.1	1.8		1.7	0.6	
Income before income taxes	12.7	13.4		8.6	9.7	
Income tax expense	4.3	4.7		3.0	3.4	
Net income before noncontrolling interest	8.4	8.7		5.6	6.3	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(0.1)	0.1		0.0	0.0	
Net income attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	8.5 %	8.6	%	5.6 %	6.3	%

NET SALES

Year-to-date, approximately 96% of our net sales consist of shell egg sales and approximately 3% was for sales of egg products, with the 1% balance consisting of sales of incidental feed and feed ingredients. Net sales for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 were \$360.4 million, an increase of \$56.7 million, or 18.7%, as compared to net sales of \$303.7 million for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012. Total dozens of eggs sold and egg selling prices increased for the current thirteen-week period as compared with the same period in fiscal 2012. Dozens sold for the third quarter of fiscal year 2013 were 257.1 million, an increase of 27.9 million, or 12.2%, as compared to 229.2 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2012. Our net average selling price per dozen of shell eggs for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 was \$1.351, compared to \$1.275 for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012, an increase of 6.0%. Our net average selling price is the blended price for all sizes and grades of shell eggs, including non-graded shell egg sales, breaking stock, and undergrades.

For the thirteen weeks ended March 2, 2013, egg product sales were \$8.1 million, an increase of \$481,000, or 6.3%, as compared to \$7.6 million for the same thirteen-week period last year. Pounds sold for the third quarter of fiscal year 2013 were 13.1 million pounds, a decrease of 100,000 pounds, or 0.8%, as compared to 13.0 million pounds for the third quarter of fiscal year 2012. The slight decrease in sales volume for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 was offset by higher market prices for unpasteurized liquid whole eggs and unpasteurized liquid egg yolks.

On a comparable basis, excluding the Acquisitions, net sales for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 were \$318.1 million, an increase of \$14.4 million, or 4.7%, as compared to net sales of \$303.7 million for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012. Dozens sold for the current thirteen-week period, excluding the Acquisitions, were 223.5 million, compared to 229.2 million for the same thirteen-week period in fiscal 2012, a decrease of 5.7 million, or 2.5%.

Net sales for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013 were \$962.2 million, an increase of \$124.3 million, or 14.8%, as compared to net sales of \$837.9 million for the fiscal 2012 thirty-nine week period. Dozens sold for the current thirty-nine week period were 705.2 million, compared to 655.5 million for the same thirty-nine week period in fiscal 2012, an increase of 49.7 million, or 7.6%. For the current fiscal 2013 thirty-nine week period, our net average selling price per dozen of shell eggs was \$1.308, compared to \$1.224 per dozen for the same period in fiscal 2012, an increase of \$0.084 per dozen, or 6.9%.

For the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013, egg product sales were \$25.9 million, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 4.0%, as compared to \$24.9 million for the same thirty-nine week period last year. Pounds sold for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013 were 39.7 million pounds, a decrease of 1.2 million pounds, or 2.9%, as compared to 40.9 million pounds for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012. The slight decrease in sales volume for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013 was offset by higher market prices for unpasteurized liquid whole eggs and unpasteurized liquid egg yolks.

On a comparable basis, excluding the Acquisitions, net sales for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013 were \$897.9 million, an increase of \$60.0 million, or 7.2%, as compared to net sales of \$837.9 million for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012. Dozens sold for the current thirty-nine week period, excluding the Acquisitions, were 653.8 million, compared to 655.5 million for the same thirty-nine week period in fiscal 2012, a decrease of 1.7 million, or 0.3%.

The table below represents an analysis of our non-specialty and specialty shell egg sales. Following the table is a discussion of the information presented in the table.

(Amounts in thousands) Total net sales	13 Weeks E March 2, 20 \$360,373	1B	ed ebruary 25, 2012 303,660		39 Weeks E March 2, 20 \$962,171	1B	ed ebruary 25, 2012 837,871
Non-specialty shell egg sales Specialty shell egg sales Other Net shell egg sales	\$265,032 82,331 1,643 \$349,006		222,381 69,866 1,002 293,249		\$705,866 216,333 3,948 \$926,147		613,847 188,208 3,335 805,390
Net shell egg sales as a percent of total net sales	97 %		97	%	96 %		96 %
Non-specialty shell egg dozens sold Specialty shell egg dozens sold Total dozens sold	214,137 42,914 257,051		190,785 38,450 229,235		589,539 115,637 705,176		548,765 106,698 655,463

Our non-specialty shell eggs include all shell egg sales not specifically identified as specialty shell egg sales. The non-specialty shell egg market is characterized by an inelasticity of demand, and small increases in production or decreases in demand can have a large adverse effect on prices and vice-versa. For the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013, non-specialty shell eggs represented approximately 75.9% of our shell egg dollar sales as compared to 75.8% for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012. For the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013, non-specialty shell eggs accounted for approximately 83.3% of the total shell egg dozen volume as compared to 83.2% for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012.

For the thirty-nine week periods ended March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012, non-specialty shell eggs represented approximately 76.2% of our shell egg dollar sales. For the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013, non-specialty shell eggs accounted for approximately 83.6% of the total shell egg dozen volumes as compared to 83.7% for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012.

Specialty shell eggs, which include nutritionally enhanced, cage free, and organic eggs, continue to represent a significant portion of our sales volume. Specialty egg retail prices are less cyclical than standard shell egg prices and are generally higher due to consumer willingness to pay for the increased benefits from these products. For the thirteen-week period March 2, 2013, specialty shell eggs represented approximately 23.6% of our shell egg dollar sales as compared to 23.8% for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012. For the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2012, specialty shell eggs accounted for approximately 16.7% of the total shell egg dozen volume as compared to 16.8% for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012.

For the thirty-nine week periods ended March 2, 2013 and February 25, 2012, specialty shell eggs represented approximately 23.4% of our shell egg dollar sales. For the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013, specialty shell eggs accounted for approximately 16.4% of the total shell egg dozen volumes, as compared to 16.3% for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012.

The shell egg sales classified as "Other" represent sales of hard cooked eggs, hatching eggs, and/or baby chicks, which are included with our shell egg operations. For the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013, shell egg sales classified as "Other" represented approximately 0.5% of shell egg dollar sales, as compared to 0.3% for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012.

COST OF SALES

Cost of sales consists of costs directly related to production, processing and packing shell eggs, purchases of shell eggs from outside producers, processing and packing of liquid and frozen egg products, and other non-egg costs. Farm production costs are those costs incurred at the egg production facility, including feed, facility, hen amortization, and other related farm production costs.

The following table presents the key variables affecting our cost of sales.

	13 Weeks Ended			39 Weeks Ended			
(Amounts in thousands)	March 2, 2	March 2, 20Hebruary 25, 2012			2(He	3 bruary 25, 2012	
Cost of Sales:							
Farm production	\$144,336	\$	119,355	\$407,426	\$	362,258	
Processing and packaging	37,031		31,469	101,136		89,879	
Outside egg purchases and other	105,094		80,840	267,717		202,890	
Total shell eggs	286,461		231,664	776,279		655,027	
Egg products	6,787		6,462	21,965		21,662	
Other	78		385	867		755	
Total	\$293,326	\$	238,511	\$799,111	\$	677,444	
Farm production cost (cost per dozen produced)							
Feed	\$0.547	\$	0.449	\$0.545	\$	0.466	
Other	0.234		0.231	0.236		0.233	
Total	\$0.781	\$	0.680	\$0.781	\$	0.699	
Outside egg purchases (average cost per dozen)	\$1.312	\$	1.251	\$1.297	\$	1.208	
Dozens Produced	185,632		166,109	523,383		491,785	
Dozens Sold	257,051		229,235	705,176		655,463	

Cost of sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 was \$293.3 million, an increase of \$54.8 million, or 23.0%, as compared to cost of sales of \$238.5 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2012. The primary reasons for this increase are increases in feed costs, increases in the cost of egg purchases from outside egg producers, and the Acquisitions. Feed cost per dozen for the fiscal 2013 third quarter was \$0.547, compared to \$0.449 per dozen for the comparable fiscal 2012 third quarter, an increase of 21.8%. Egg purchases from outside egg producers were higher due to higher average Urner Barry quoted prices for eggs during the quarter. The increase in feed costs and costs for outside egg purchases exceeded the increased average customer selling price, which resulted in a decrease of gross profit margin from 21.5% of net sales for the quarter ended February 25, 2012 to 18.6% of net sales for the current quarter ended March 2, 2013.

On a comparable basis, excluding the Acquisitions, cost of sales for the current thirteen-week period were \$255.4 million, an increase of \$16.9 million, or 7.1%, as compared to cost of sales of \$238.5 million for the same thirteen-week period in fiscal year 2012.

For the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013, total cost of sales was \$799.1 million, an increase of \$121.7 million, or 18.0%, as compared to cost of sales of \$677.4 million for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012. This increase is due primarily to higher costs of feed ingredients, increases in the costs of shell eggs purchased from outside producers, and the Acquisitions. Feed cost for the current thirty-nine week period was \$0.545 per dozen, compared to \$0.466 per dozen for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012, an increase of 17.0%. Our gross profit margin decreased from 19.1% of net sales for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012 to 16.9% of net sales for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013.

On a comparable basis, excluding the Acquisitions, cost of sales for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013 was \$742.1 million, an increase of \$64.7 million, or 9.6%, as compared to cost of sales of \$677.4 million for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012.

SELLING, GENERAL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The following table presents an analysis of our selling, general, and administrative expenses.

	13 Weeks Ended								
	Actual	Le	ss: Acquisitions	N	et				
(Amounts in thousands)	March 2,	2011	ach 2, 2013	M	Iarch 2, 2013	Fe	bruary 25, 2012	Change	e
Stock compensation expense	\$326	\$	0	\$	326	\$	405	\$(79)
Specialty egg expense	10,395		125		10,270		9,305	965	
Payroll and overhead	6,278		497		5,781		5,547	234	
Other expenses	8,674		665		8,009		6,045	1,964	ļ
Delivery expense	10,463		496		9,967		8,908	1,059)
Total	\$36,136	\$	1,783	\$	34,353	\$	30,210	\$4,143	3

Selling, general, and administrative expenses include costs of marketing, distribution, accounting, and corporate overhead. Selling, general, and administrative expense for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 was \$36.1 million, an increase of \$5.9 million, or 19.5%, as compared to \$30.2 million for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012. Excluding the Acquisitions, selling, general, and administrative expense for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 was \$34.4 million, an increase of \$4.2 million, or 13.9%, as compared to \$30.2 million for the same quarter in fiscal 2012. Stock compensation expense is dependent on the closing price of the Company's stock. Our stock compensation expense for the restricted shares classified as equity awards is recognized over the vesting period. For our stock compensation arrangements classified as liability awards, we recognize increases or decreases in the value of such awards as increases or decreases, respectively, to stock compensation expense. The increase in specialty egg expense is attributable to the continued promotion and strong sales of our specialty products. Excluding the Acquisitions, payroll and overhead increased as compared to the same period of the prior year due to general salary increases. As a percentage of net sales, payroll and overhead is 1.8% for both the current thirteen-week period and the same thirteen-week period of the prior year. Other expenses, which include expenses for repairs, professional fees, and insurance, had a net increase from the same period of the prior year due to increased professional fees related to ongoing litigation and insurance expense. Delivery expense increased due to increased fuel costs, depreciation on new vehicle purchases, and costs paid for the use of outside trucking companies. As a percent of net sales, selling, general, and administrative expense increased from 9.9% for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012 to 10.0% for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013.

39 Weeks Ended

Actual Less: Acquisitions Net

Edgar Filing: CAL MAINE FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

(Amounts in thousands)	March 2, 2013			N	March 2, 2013		ebruary 25, 2012	Change
Stock compensation expense	\$712	\$	0	\$	712	\$	622	\$90
Specialty egg expense	28,062		299		27,763		26,093	1,670
Payroll and overhead	18,105		1,183		16,922		16,322	600
Other expenses	20,685		2,145		18,540		15,145	3,395
Delivery expense	28,622		1,833		26,789		25,676	1,113
Total	\$96,186	\$	5,460	\$	90,726	\$	83,858	\$6,868

For the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013, selling, general, and administrative expense was \$96.2 million, an increase of \$12.3 million, or 14.7%, as compared to \$83.9 million for the same period in fiscal 2012. Excluding the Acquisitions, selling, general, and administrative expense for the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013 was \$90.7 million, an increase of \$6.8 million, or 8.1% compared to the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012. The increase in specialty egg expense is a result of our continued promotion of specialty products. Excluding the Acquisitions, payroll and overhead increased marginally due to general salary increases. As a percentage of net sales, payroll and overhead remained constant at 1.9% for both the current thirty-nine week period and the same thirty-nine week period of the prior year. Other expenses, which include expenses for repairs, professional fees, and insurance, had a net increase from the same period of the prior year due to increased professional fees related to ongoing litigation and insurance expense. Delivery expense increased due to rising fuel costs, increased depreciation, and increased costs paid for the use of outside trucking companies. As a percent of net sales, selling, general, and administrative expense was 10.0% for the thirty-nine week periods ended February 25, 2012 and March 2, 2013.

OPERATING INCOME

As a result of the above, operating income was \$30.9 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 as compared to \$34.9 million for the fiscal 2012 third quarter. Operating income as a percent of net sales was 8.6% for the third quarter of fiscal 2013, compared to 11.5% for the third quarter of fiscal 2012.

For the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013, operating income was \$66.9 million, compared to operating income of \$76.6 million for the comparable period in fiscal 2012. As a percent of net sales, operating income for the current fiscal 2013 period was 7.0% as compared to 9.1% for the same period in fiscal 2012.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other income (expense) consists of income (expenses) not directly charged to, or related to, operations such as interest expense and equity in income (loss) of affiliates for equity method investments. Other income for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 was \$15.0 million, an increase of \$9.4 million as compared to other income of \$5.6 million for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012. This current thirteen-week period, we recorded patronage refunds and dividends from Eggland's BesTM ("EB"), a marketing cooperative, for \$14.3 million as compared to \$6.5 million in the same period last year. EB operates as a cooperative and our ultimate ownership percentage is based on the patronage business we conduct with the cooperative. We account for our investment in EB using the cost method. Our equity in income of affiliates increased due to similar amounts being paid by EB to Specialty Eggs, LLC, an affiliated entity, which is also a franchisee and cooperative owner of EB. Our ownership interest in Specialty Eggs, LLC received dividends and patronage refunds of \$2.2 million as compared to \$1.4 million in the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012. As a percent of net sales, other income was 4.2% for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 and 1.8% of net sales for the thirteen-week period ended February 25, 2012.

For the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013, other income was \$16.1 million, compared to other income of \$4.7 million for the thirty-nine week period ended February 25, 2012. This current thirty-nine week period, we recorded patronage refunds and dividends from EB of \$14.3 million as compared to \$6.5 million in the same period last year. As a percent of net sales, other income was 1.7% for the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013, compared to other income of 0.6% for the comparable period last year.

INCOME TAXES

As a result of the above, our pre-tax income was \$45.9 million for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013, compared to pre-tax income of \$40.6 million for last year's comparable period. For the current thirteen-week period, income tax expense of \$15.3 million was recorded with an effective tax rate of 33.4% as compared to an income tax expense of \$14.3 million with an effective rate of 35.2% for last year's comparable thirteen-week period.

For the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013, pre-tax income was \$83.0 million, compared to pre-tax income of \$81.3 million for the comparable period in fiscal 2012. For the current fiscal 2013 thirty-nine week period, income tax expense of \$28.6 million was recorded with an effective tax rate of 34.4% as compared to an income tax expense of \$28.7 million with an effective rate of 35.4% for last year's comparable period.

Our effective rate differs from the federal statutory income tax rate of 35.0% due to state income taxes and certain items included in income or loss for financial reporting purposes that are not included in taxable income or loss for income tax purposes, including tax exempt interest income, the domestic manufacturers deduction, and net income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interest.

NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTEREST

For the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013, net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest was \$4,000 as compared to net income of \$182,000 for the same thirteen-week period of fiscal 2012.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest for the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013 was \$141,000 as compared to \$91,000 for the same thirty-nine week period of fiscal 2012.

NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.

Net income for the thirteen-week period ended March 2, 2013 was \$30.6 million, or \$1.27 per basic and diluted share, compared to net income of \$26.1 million, or \$1.09 per basic and diluted share for the same period last year.

For the thirty-nine week period ended March 2, 2013, net income was \$54.3 million or \$2.26 per basic and diluted share, compared to net income of \$52.5 million, or \$2.20 per basic and \$2.19 per diluted share for the same period last year.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Our working capital at March 2, 2013 was \$289.0 million, compared to \$301.5 million at June 2, 2012. The calculation of working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities. Our current ratio was 3.20 at March 2, 2013 as compared with 3.14 at June 2, 2012. The current ratio is calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities. Our need for working capital generally is highest in the last and first fiscal quarters ending in May/June and August/September, respectively, when egg prices are normally at seasonal lows. We have \$5.1 million in outstanding standby letters of credit, which are collateralized with cash. Our long-term debt at March 2, 2013, including current maturities, amounted to \$67.7 million as compared to \$76.2 million at June 2, 2012. Refer to Note 8 of our June 2, 2012 audited financial statements for further information on our long-term debt.

For the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013, \$42.6 million in net cash was provided by operating activities, a decrease of \$42.3 million, compared to \$84.9 million for the same thirty-nine week period in fiscal year 2012. Increases in accounts receivable balances and decreases in accrued expenses are the primary reasons for the lower cash flow from operations in the current thirty-nine week period.

For the thirty-nine weeks ended March 2, 2013, approximately \$177.4 million was provided from the sale of short-term investments, \$163.3 million was used for the purchase of short-term investments, and net \$6.0 million was provided by notes receivable and investments in nonconsolidated subsidiaries. Approximately \$62,000 was provided from disposal of property, plant, and equipment and \$19.1 million was used for purchases of property, plant and equipment. Construction projects accounted for approximately \$13.7 million of the \$19.1 million used for property, plant, and equipment. We used \$74.9 million for the Acquisitions. Approximately \$20.4 million was used for payment of dividends on common stock and \$8.5 million was used for principal payments on long-term debt. We also had a tax benefit of \$380,000 from a nonqualifying disposition of incentive stock options. The net result of these activities was a decrease in cash of approximately \$59.7 million since June 2, 2012.

For the thirty-nine weeks ended February 25, 2012, approximately \$89.0 million was provided from the sale of short-term investments, \$116.4 million was used for the purchase of short-term investments and net payments of \$4.8 million were received from notes receivable and investments in affiliates. Approximately \$42,000 was provided from disposal of property, plant and equipment and \$19.1 million was used for purchases of property, plant and equipment. Approximately \$11.2 million was used for payment of dividends on common stock and \$9.2 million was used for principal payments on long-term debt. Approximately \$71,000 was received from the issuance of common stock from treasury after the exercise of 12,000 stock options having a strike price of \$5.93 per share. We also had a tax benefit of \$225,000 from a nonqualifying disposition of incentive stock options. The net result of these activities was an increase in cash of approximately \$23.1 million since May 28, 2011.

Certain property, plant, and equipment is pledged as collateral on our notes payable and senior secured notes. Unless otherwise approved by our lenders, we are required by provisions of our loan agreements to (1) maintain minimum levels of working capital (ratio of not less than 1.25 to 1) and net worth (minimum of \$90.0 million tangible net worth, plus 45% of cumulative net income); (2) limit dividends paid in any given quarter to not exceed an amount equal to one third of the previous quarter's consolidated net income (allowed if no events of default), capital expenditures to an amount not to exceed \$60.0 million in any twelve month period, and lease obligations and additional long-term borrowings (total funded debt to total capitalization not to exceed 55%); and (3) maintain various current and cash-flow coverage ratios (1.25 to 1), among other restrictions. At March 2, 2013, we were in compliance with the financial covenant requirements of all loan agreements. Under certain of the loan agreements, the lenders have the option to require the prepayment of any outstanding borrowings in the event we undergo a change in control, as defined in the applicable loan agreement. Our debt agreements also require Fred R. Adams, Jr., our Founder and Chairman Emeritus, or his family, to maintain ownership of Company shares representing not less than 50% of the outstanding voting power of the Company.

The Company is in the process of constructing a new integrated cage-free production complex at its existing location in Bremen, Kentucky, which will replace contract production at other locations. The project will include a processing plant and layer and pullet houses to accommodate approximately 400,000 laying hens. The project is expected to cost approximately \$16.1 million and should be completed by October 2013.

The Company has begun construction of an expansion of its production facilities in south Texas. The project consists of the demolition of existing caged production facilities and construction of layer and pullet houses to accommodate approximately 200,000 cage-free laying hens. The project is expected to cost approximately \$8 million and should be completed in fiscal 2013.

The Company is in the process of converting existing layer facilities into pullet facilities at our existing location in Dade City, FL. The project will include pullet houses to accommodate approximately 650,000 pullets. This project is expected to cost \$7.7 million and should be completed by August 2014.

Looking forward to the next fiscal year, we believe that our current cash balances, investments, borrowing capacity, and cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund our current and projected capital needs.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company owns 50% of the membership interests in Delta Egg Farm, LLC ("Delta Egg"). At March 2, 2013, the Company is a guarantor of 50% of approximately \$8.0 million of Delta Egg's long-term debt. Delta Egg's long-term debt is secured by substantially all of the fixed assets of Delta Egg and is due in monthly installments through July

2018. Delta Egg is engaged in the production, processing, and distribution of shell eggs. The other 50% owner also guarantees 50% of the debt. Payment under the guarantee would be required if Delta Egg is not able to pay the debt. Management of the Company believes that payment under the guarantee is unlikely because Delta Egg is now well capitalized.

IMPACT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Please refer to Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report Form 10-K for the year ended June 2, 2012 and Note 10 in the "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" included herein for a discussion of the impact of recently issued accounting standards. There were no new accounting standards issued during the quarter ended March 2, 2013 that we expect will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We suggest that our Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Subsidiaries annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 2, 2012, be read in conjunction with this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. There have been no changes to critical accounting policies identified in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 2, 2012.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in the market risk reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 2, 2012.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures conducted by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, together with other financial officers, such officers concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 2, 2013 at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second quarter ended March 2, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Refer to the discussion of certain legal proceedings involving the Company and/or its subsidiaries in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 2, 2012, under Part I, Item 3: Legal Proceedings, and Part IV, Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, Note 13: Contingencies, which discussions are incorporated herein by reference, as well as the following:

Chicken Litter Litigation

Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. is presently a defendant in two personal injury cases in the Circuit Court of Washington County, Arkansas. Those cases are styled, McWhorter vs. Alpharma, Inc., et al., and Carroll, et al. vs. Alpharma, Inc., et al. Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. was named as a defendant in the McWhorter case on February 3, 2004. It was named as a defendant in the Carroll case on May 2, 2005. Co-defendants in both cases include other integrated poultry companies such as Tyson Foods, Inc., Cargill, Incorporated, George's Farms, Inc., Peterson Farms, Inc., Simmons Foods, Inc., and Simmons Poultry Farms, Inc. The manufacturers of an additive for broiler feed are also included as defendants. Those defendants are Alpharma, Inc. and Alpharma Animal Health, Co.

Both cases allege that the plaintiffs have suffered medical problems resulting from living near land upon which "litter" from the defendants' flocks was spread as fertilizer. The <u>McWhorter</u> case focuses on mold and fungi allegedly created by the application of litter, and seeks unspecified damages. The <u>Carroll</u> case also alleges injury from mold and fungi, but focuses primarily on the broiler feed ingredient as the cause of the alleged medical injuries, and seeks unspecified damages. No trial date for either the <u>Carroll</u> or <u>McWhorter</u> case has been set.

Several other separate, but related, cases were prosecuted in the same venue by the same attorneys. The same theories of liability were prosecuted in all of the cases. Neither the Company nor any of its affiliates were named as a defendant in any of those other cases. The plaintiffs selected one of those cases, Green, et al. vs. Alpharma, Inc., et al., as a bellwether case to go to trial first. All of the poultry defendants were granted summary judgment in the Green case in 2006. In 2008, however, the Arkansas Supreme Court reversed the summary judgment in favor of the poultry defendants and remanded the case for trial. The case was retried with a complete defendants' verdict, and that verdict was upheld by the Arkansas Supreme Court.

State of Oklahoma Watershed Pollution Litigation

On June 18, 2005, the State of Oklahoma filed suit, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, against Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. as well as Tyson Foods, Inc. and affiliates, Cobb-Vantress, Inc., Cargill, Inc. and its affiliate, George's, Inc. and its affiliate, Peterson Farms, Inc. and Simmons Foods, Inc. Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. was dismissed from the case in September 2009. The State of Oklahoma claims that through the disposal of chicken litter the defendants have polluted the Illinois River Watershed. This watershed provides water to eastern Oklahoma. The complaint seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages, but the claim for monetary damages has been dismissed by the court. Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. discontinued operations in the watershed. Accordingly, we do not anticipate that Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. will be materially affected by the request for injunctive relief unless the court orders substantial affirmative remediation. Since the litigation began, Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. purchased 100% of the membership interests of Benton County Foods, LLC, which is an ongoing commercial shell egg operation within the Illinois River Watershed. Benton County Foods, LLC is not a defendant in the litigation.

The trial in the case began in September 2009 and concluded in February 2010. The case was tried to the court without a jury and the court has not yet issued its ruling.

Egg Antitrust Litigation

Since September 25, 2008, the Company has been named as one of several defendants in numerous antitrust cases involving the United States shell egg industry. In some of these cases, the named plaintiffs allege that they purchased eggs or egg products directly from a defendant and have sued on behalf of themselves and a putative class of others who claim to be similarly situated. In other cases, the named plaintiffs allege that they purchased shell eggs and egg products directly from one or more of the defendants but sue only for their own alleged damages and not on behalf of a putative class. In the remaining cases, the named plaintiffs are individuals or companies who allege that they purchased shell eggs and egg products indirectly from one or more of the defendants - that is, they purchased from retailers that had previously purchased from defendants or other parties – and have sued on behalf of themselves and a putative class of others who claim to be similarly situated.

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation consolidated all of the putative class actions (as well as certain other cases in which the Company was not a named defendant) for pretrial proceedings in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania court has organized the putative class actions around two groups (direct purchasers and indirect purchasers) and has named interim lead counsel for the named plaintiffs in each group.

There are now seven non-class suits pending. Six of the non-class suits are pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The other non-class suit is pending in District Court of Wyandotte County, Kansas. The plaintiffs in two other non-class suits originally filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania voluntarily dismissed their suits without prejudice.

The Direct Purchaser Putative Class Action. The direct purchaser cases were consolidated into In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:08-md-02002-GP, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss direct purchaser class plaintiffs' claims for damages outside the four-year statute of limitations but did so without prejudice to the plaintiffs' right to seek leave to further amend their complaint if they, in good faith, believe they can address the deficiencies noted by the court. The direct purchasers filed an amended complaint, and the Company will file a renewed motion to dismiss the claims in the new complaint that are barred by the four-year statute of limitations. The court has granted final approval to two settlements. In one settlement, the settling party will not pay any money to the putative class. Instead, the settling defendant, while denying all liability and while remaining a defendant in certain non-class cases, will provide cooperation in the form of documents and witness interviews to the direct class plaintiffs' attorneys. In the other settlement, the settling defendant will pay a total of \$25 million and would provide other consideration in the form of documents, witness interviews, and declarations. This settling defendant denied all liability in its agreement with the direct purchaser class plaintiffs and stated publicly that it settled merely to avoid the cost and uncertainty of continued litigation. Discovery is ongoing in this case.

The Indirect Purchaser Putative Class Action. The indirect purchaser cases were consolidated into In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:08-md-02002-GP, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The court granted with prejudice the defendants' renewed motion to dismiss claims arising outside the limitations period applicable most causes of action. Discovery is ongoing in this case.

The Non-Class Cases. Six of the cases in which plaintiffs do not seek to certify a class have been consolidated with the putative class actions into *In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation*, No. 2:08-md-02002-GP, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania The court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the direct plaintiffs' claims for damages outside the four-year statute of limitations but did so without prejudice to the plaintiffs' right to seek leave to further amend their complaint if they, in good faith, believe they can address the deficiencies noted by the court. The direct plaintiffs have filed further amended complaints, and the Company will file a renewed motion to dismiss the claims in the new complaint that are barred by the four-year statute of limitations. Discovery is ongoing in this case.

On January 27, 2012, the Company filed its answer and affirmative defenses in the non-class case pending in Kansas state court styled as *Associated Wholesale Grocers, Inc., et al., v. United Egg Producers, et al., No.* 10-CV-2171, and the Company joined other defendants in the Kansas case in moving to dismiss all claims for damages arising outside the three-year statute of limitations period and all claims for damages arising from purchases of eggs and egg products outside the state of Kansas. The court took under advisement the limitations motion, pending a ruling in another case that will determine whether the limitations period in the Kansas case will be three or five years. The court reserved judgment on the motion to dismiss claims for damages arising from purchases of eggs and egg products outside the state of Kansas until discovery reveals which sales occurred within Kansas. In reserving judgment, the court stated that only sales within Kansas would be relevant to any calculation of alleged damages. Discovery is ongoing in this case.

Allegations in Each Case. In all of the antitrust cases described above, the plaintiffs allege that the Company and certain other large domestic egg producers conspired to reduce the domestic supply of eggs in a concerted effort to raise the price of eggs to artificially high levels. In each case, plaintiffs allege that all defendants agreed to reduce the domestic supply of eggs by (a) manipulating egg exports and (b) implementing industry-wide animal welfare guidelines that reduced the number of hens and eggs.

Both groups of named plaintiffs in the putative class actions seek treble damages and injunctive relief on behalf of themselves and all other putative class members in the United States. Both groups of named plaintiffs in the putative class actions allege a class period starting on January 1, 2000 and running "through the present." The direct purchaser putative class action case alleges two separate sub-classes – one for direct purchasers of shell eggs and one for direct purchasers of egg products. The direct purchaser putative class action case seeks relief under the Sherman Act. The indirect purchaser putative class action case seeks injunctive relief under the Sherman Act and damages under the statutes and common-law of various states and the District of Columbia.

Seven non-class cases remain pending. In five of the remaining non-class cases, the plaintiffs seek damages and injunctive relief under the Sherman Act. In one of the remaining non-class cases, the plaintiff seeks damages and injunctive relief under the Sherman Act and the Ohio antitrust act (known as the Valentine Act). In the other remaining non-class case, the plaintiffs seek damages and injunctive relief under the Kansas Restraint of Trade Act.

The Pennsylvania court has entered a series of orders related to case management, discovery, class certification, and scheduling. The Pennsylvania court has not set a trial date for any of the consolidated cases. The Kansas state court has entered a schedule for discovery and dispositive motions. The Kansas state court case is set for trial starting February 3, 2014.

The Company intends to continue to defend these cases as vigorously as possible based on defenses which the Company believes are meritorious and provable.

Florida Civil Investigative Demand

On November 4, 2008, the Company received an antitrust civil investigative demand from the Attorney General of the State of Florida. The demand seeks production of documents and responses to interrogatories relating to the production and sale of eggs and egg products. The Company is cooperating with this investigation and entered into a tolling agreement with the State of Florida to extend any applicable statute of limitations for one year from the date of the agreement. No allegations of wrongdoing have been made against the Company in this matter.

Environmental Information Request

In July 2011, the Company received an information request ("Request") from the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") pursuant to Section 308 of the Clean Water Act ("Act"). The Request stated that the information was sought by the EPA to investigate compliance with the Act and requested information pertaining to facilities involved in animal feeding operations, which are owned or operated by the Company or its affiliates. On October 19, 2011, the Company timely responded to the Request by providing information on each of the subject facilities. The EPA subsequently sent a notice of noncompliance ("Notice") dated March 29, 2012 to the Company which involved allegations of potential non-compliance with the Request and/or the Act. The Notice related to the Company's Edwards, Mississippi facility only. The Company timely responded to the Notice on May 2, 2012. The EPA and the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality ("MDEQ") provided certain preliminary findings to the Company alleging potential violations of the Act and/or the Mississippi Air and Water Pollution Control Law concerning unpermitted discharges of pollutants to water of the United States and/or Mississippi and violations of certain conditions established under the Company's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the Edwards, Mississippi facility. The Company is cooperating with the EPA and MDEQ in their investigations and, to facilitate settlement negotiations regarding the alleged violations, has entered into a tolling agreement for the period commencing January 1, 2013 and ending June 30, 2013, which would not be included in computing the running of any statute of limitaions applicable to any action brought by the United States and/or Mississippi.

Miscellaneous

In addition to the above, the Company is involved in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 2, 2012.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

a. Exhibits

Description
Composite Certificate of Incorporation of the Company
Composite Bylaws of the Company
$Specimen\ Stock\ Certificate\ of\ the\ Company's\ Common\ Stock\ (incorporated\ by\ reference\ to\ Exhibit\ 4.1$
to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-14809) filed on October 25, 1996
Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer
Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer
Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer
Press release dated April 1, 2013 announcing interim period financial information (incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 99.1 in the Company's Form 8-K, filed on April, 1, 2013)
XBRL Instance Document Exhibit
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document Exhibit
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document Exhibit
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document Exhibit
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

^{*} Filed herewith as an Exhibit.

^{**} Furnished herewith as an Exhibit.

⁺ Submitted electronically with this Quarterly Report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. (Registrant)

Date: April 5, 2013

/s/ Timothy A. Dawson Timothy A. Dawson

Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: April 5, 2013

/s/ Charles F. Collins Charles F. Collins Vice President, Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)