

GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS INC
Form DEF 14A
October 27, 2014

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. ____)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3)

Edgar Filing: GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS, INC.

600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, FL 33131

**Notice of 2014 Annual Meeting of
Stockholders and Proxy Statement**

To Our Stockholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of stockholders of Globe Specialty Metals, Inc. to be held on Wednesday, December 3, 2014, commencing at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time at 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, Florida. The formal Notice of the meeting and a Proxy Statement describing the proposals to be voted upon are enclosed.

The proxy materials describe the formal business to be transacted at the annual meeting and a report on the operations of the Company. Officers will be present to answer any questions that you and other stockholders may have. Included in the materials is our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 that contains detailed information concerning the Company's activities and operating performance.

The business to be conducted at the annual meeting consists of the election of six directors, an advisory vote on our executive compensation program, and ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2015. The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the election of each of the director nominees, an advisory vote "FOR" our executive compensation program, and a vote "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2015.

Your vote is important. Please vote your shares now, even if you currently plan to attend the annual meeting. This will not prevent you from voting in person, but it will ensure that your vote is counted.

Sincerely,

GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS, INC.

Alan Kestenbaum

Executive Chairman

Miami, Florida

October 27, 2014

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for
the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on December 3, 2014

This Proxy Statement and our Annual Report to Stockholders
are available at <http://www.edocumentview.com/GSM>.

GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS, INC.

600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, FL 33131

**Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders
to be Held ON DECEMBER 3, 2014**

To the Stockholders of Globe Specialty Metals:

Notice is hereby given that the annual meeting of stockholders of Globe Specialty Metals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Globe” or the “Company”), will be held on Wednesday, December 3, 2014, commencing at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time at 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, Florida, for the following purposes:

1. To elect six directors to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To consider and vote on an advisory basis upon the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K;
3. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2015; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Only record holders of Globe common stock as of the close of business on October 24, 2014 will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting or any adjournments thereof.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend, please complete, date, sign and return the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying envelope. Your prompt response will greatly facilitate arrangements for the meeting, and your cooperation will be appreciated.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Stephen Lebowitz, Corporate Secretary

Miami, Florida

October 27, 2014

GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

to be held on December 3, 2014

INTRODUCTION

General

This proxy statement is being furnished to the stockholders of Globe Specialty Metals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Globe” or the “Company”), in connection with the solicitation of proxies by Globe’s Board of Directors (the “Board”) for use at the annual meeting of Globe’s stockholders to be held on Wednesday, December 3, 2014, commencing at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time at 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, Florida, and at any adjournments thereof, for the purposes specified in the accompanying notice of meeting (the “Annual Meeting”).

Stockholders of record at the close of business on October 24, 2014 (the “Record Date”) will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Holders of a majority of Globe’s outstanding common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share (the “Stock”), as of the Record Date, must be present at the Annual Meeting, either in person or represented by properly executed proxy, to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted solely for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present. A proxy submitted by a broker that is not voted is sometimes referred to as a broker non-vote.

At the Record Date, 73,747,990 shares of Stock were outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each stockholder at the Record Date is entitled to one vote for each share of Stock so held. Each share of Stock outstanding on the Record Date is entitled to one vote on each of the six director nominees and one vote on each other matter.

This proxy statement and the accompanying form of proxy are first being sent or delivered to stockholders on or about November 4, 2014.

Matters to be Considered

The purpose of the Annual Meeting is to vote on the election of six directors, to vote on an advisory basis on our executive compensation program, and to vote to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2015.

As of the date of this proxy statement, the Board does not intend to present, and has not been informed that any other person intends to present, any matter for action at the Annual Meeting other than those matters specifically referred to herein. If, however, any other matters are properly presented to the Annual Meeting for action, the proxy holders will vote the proxies, which confer authority on such holders to vote on such matters, in accordance with their best judgment. The persons named as attorneys-in-fact in the proxies are Globe officers.

Voting and Recommendation of the Board

All Stock represented by valid proxies will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the directions on the proxies. If no direction is indicated on a proxy, the Stock represented thereby will be voted as recommended by the Board, namely “FOR” the election as directors of each of the six nominees listed below under Proposal No. 1, “FOR” approval, on an advisory basis, of our executive compensation program under Proposal 2, and “FOR” ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2015 under Proposal No. 3.

Vote Required

Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast and without regard to either broker non-votes or proxies as to which authority to vote for the nominees being proposed is withheld. The advisory vote on the executive compensation program and ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm will be determined by a majority of the votes cast, without regard to broker non-votes or proxies marked “ABSTAIN.”

How to Vote

You can vote your Stock either by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person or by proxy. If you choose to vote by proxy, please complete, date, sign and return the enclosed proxy card. The proxies named in the enclosed proxy card will vote your Stock as you have instructed. You may authorize the proxies to vote your Stock in favor of each of the proposals contained in this proxy statement by simply signing and returning the enclosed proxy card without indicating how your votes should be cast.

Even if you expect to attend the meeting, please complete and mail your proxy card in any case in order to assure representation of your Stock. If you attend the meeting, you can always revoke your proxy by voting in person. No postage is necessary if the proxy card is mailed in the United States.

Revocation of Proxies

A stockholder returning a proxy to Globe may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by granting a later proxy with respect to the same Stock or by communicating such revocation in writing to our Corporate Secretary. In addition, any stockholder who has executed a proxy but attends the Annual Meeting in person may cancel a previously given proxy by voting in person whether or not the proxy has been revoked in writing.

Stockholders Sharing the Same Surname and Address.

In some cases, stockholders holding their shares in a brokerage or bank account who share the same surname and address and have not given contrary instructions may receive only one copy of our annual report and proxy statement. This practice is designed to reduce duplicate mailings and save significant printing and postage costs as well as natural resources. If you would like to have additional copies of our annual report and/or proxy statement mailed to you, please call or write us at our principal executive offices, 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, FL 33131, Attn: Corporate Secretary, telephone: 786-509-6900. If you want separate copies of the proxy statement or annual report to be sent to each stockholder in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household, you should contact your bank, broker or other nominee record holder.

PROPOSAL NO. 1**Election of Directors***Nominees*

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will elect, by a plurality of the votes cast, in person or by proxy, six Globe directors, who will constitute the entire Board. Each nominee listed below is currently serving as a Globe director. Each nominee elected as a director will serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until his successor is elected and qualified. If any nominee should become unable to serve for any reason, the proxies will be voted for such substitute nominee as shall be designated by the Board.

The six nominees for election as Globe directors and certain information regarding them are as follows:

| Name | Age | Director since |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Alan Kestenbaum | 52 | December 2004 |
| Stuart E. Eizenstat | 71 | February 2008 |
| Franklin L. Lavin | 57 | September 2008 |
| Donald G. Barger, Jr. | 71 | December 2008 |
| Bruce L. Crockett | 70 | April 2014 |
| Alan R. Schriber | 69 | September 2012 |

Business Experience of Nominees for Election as Directors

Mr. Kestenbaum has served as Executive Chairman and director since our inception in December 2004, and served as Chief Executive Officer from our inception through May 2008. From June 2004, Mr. Kestenbaum served as Chairman of Globe Metallurgical, Inc., until its acquisition by us in November 2006. He has over 25 years of experience in metals including finance, distribution, trading and manufacturing. Mr. Kestenbaum is a founder and the Chief Executive Officer of Marco International Corp. and its affiliates, a finance trading group specializing in metals,

minerals and other raw materials, founded in 1985. Mr. Kestenbaum began his career in metals with Glencore, Inc. and Philipp Brothers in New York City. He received his B.A. degree in Economics cum laude from Yeshiva University, New York.

Mr. Eizenstat has served as a member of our Board since February 2008 and is the Chairman of our Nominating Committee. Mr. Eizenstat is Senior Counsel of Covington & Burling LLP in Washington, D.C. and heads the law firm's international practice. He served as Deputy Secretary of the United States Department of the Treasury from July 1999 to January 2001. He was Under Secretary of State for Economic, Business and Agricultural Affairs from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Eizenstat served as Under Secretary of Commerce for International Trade from 1996 to 1997 and was the U.S. Ambassador to the European Union from 1993 to 1996. During the Clinton Administration he also served as Special Representative of the President and Secretary of State on Holocaust Issues. From 1977 to 1981 he was Chief Domestic Policy Advisor in the White House to President Carter. He is a trustee of BlackRock Funds and a member of the Board of Directors of United Parcel Service, Inc. and Alcatel-Lucent. He serves on the Advisory Board of GML Ltd., and Office of Cherifien de Phosphates. He has received eight honorary doctorate degrees and awards from the United States, French, German, Austrian, Belgian and Israeli governments. He is the author of "Imperfect Justice: Looted Assets, Slave Labor, and the Unfinished Business of World War II" and "The Future of the Jews: How Global Forces are Impacting the Jewish People, Israel, and its Relationship with the United States." He currently serves as Special Adviser to Secretary of State Kerry on Holocaust-Era Issues.

Mr. Lavin has served as a member of our Board since September 2008 and is a member of our Audit Committee and of our Nominating Committee. Mr. Lavin has been the Chairman and CEO of Export Now since 2010. Previously he was the Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer of Cushman & Wakefield Investors Asia where he was responsible for building the firm's private equity business in Asia between 2007 and 2009. Prior to that, between 2005 and 2007, Mr. Lavin served as Under Secretary for International Trade at the United States Department of Commerce. From 2001 to 2005, Mr. Lavin was the U.S. Ambassador to the Republic of Singapore. Between 1996 and 2001, Mr. Lavin worked in Hong Kong and Singapore in senior banking and management positions at Citibank and Bank of America. Earlier in his career, Mr. Lavin served as Deputy Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Asia and the Pacific during the George H.W. Bush Administration. During the Reagan Administration, Mr. Lavin served in the White House as Director of the Office of Political Affairs. He also served as Deputy Executive Secretary of the National Security Council. He is a director of United Overseas Bank. Mr. Lavin received a B.S. degree from the School of Foreign Service at Georgetown University; a M.S. degree in Chinese Language from Georgetown University; a M.A. degree in International Relations and International Economics from the School of Advanced International Studies at the Johns Hopkins University; and an M.B.A. degree in Finance at the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania. Mr. Lavin served as a Lieutenant Commander in the U.S. Naval Reserves.

Mr. Barger has served as a member of our Board since December 2008 and is Chairman of our Audit Committee and Chairman of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Barger had a successful 36 year business career in manufacturing and services companies. He retired in February 2008 from YRC Worldwide Inc. (formerly Yellow Roadway Corporation), one of the world's largest transportation service providers. Mr. Barger served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of YRC Worldwide Inc. from December 2000 to August 2007 and from August 2007 until his retirement as advisor to the CEO. From March 1998 to December 2000, Mr. Barger was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Hillenbrand Industries, a provider of services and products for the health care and funeral services industries. From 1993 to 1998, Mr. Barger was Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Worthington Industries, Inc., a diversified steel processor. Mr. Barger served on the Board of Directors, and was a member of the Audit Committee for his entire nineteen year tenure at Gardner Denver, Inc. until the company's sale in July 2013, serving as chair of the committee seventeen of those years. He also served on the Board of Directors of Quanex Building Products Corporation for sixteen years, retiring in February 2012. Additionally, he served on the Audit Committee for fourteen years and was its Chair for most of the time he was a member of the committee. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Precision Aerospace Components, Inc. Mr. Barger received a B.S. degree from the U.S. Naval Academy and an M.B.A. degree from the University of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Crockett has served as a member of our Board since April 2014 and is a member of our Audit Committee. Mr. Crockett is Chairman of the Invesco Mutual Funds Group. He serves as a director of the Investment Company Institute and as a director, audit committee member and finance committee member of ALPS Property & Casualty Insurance Company. Mr. Crockett is the chairman of Crockett Technologies Associates and a private investor. Mr. Crockett served as President and Chief Executive Officer of COMSAT Corporation from February 1992 until July 1996 and as President and Chief Operating Officer of COMSAT from April 1991 to February 1992. As an employee of COMSAT since 1980, Mr. Crockett held various other operational and financial positions including Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Crockett served as a director of Ace Limited from 1995 until 2012 and as a director of Captaris, Inc. from 2001 until its acquisition in 2008, and as Chairman from 2003 to 2008. Mr. Crockett is also a life trustee of the University of Rochester. Mr. Crockett received an A.B. degree from the University of Rochester, a B.S. degree from the University of Maryland, and an M.B.A. degree from Columbia University and holds an honorary Doctor of Law degree from the University of Maryland.

Mr. Schriber has served as a member of our Board since September 2012 and is a member of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Schriber currently is a consultant. He was Chairman of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio from 1999 to 2010, which oversees the regulation of electric, natural gas, telecommunication, water, and commercial transportation. He also served as the Chairman of the Ohio Power Siting Board from 1999 to 2010. Prior to his public service, he was President of ARS Broadcasting Corp., an owner and operator of radio stations in Indiana, from 1989 to 1997. He also was as an Assistant Professor of Economics at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio from 1977 to 1983. Mr. Schriber has served as a member of the Board of Directors of Cincinnati Bell Inc., a diversified telecommunications and technology services company, since 2011 and serves as a director of American Transmission Company, an owner and operator of high-voltage electric transmission systems. Mr. Schriber received a Ph.D. degree in Economics from Indiana University, an M.S. degree in Economics from Miami University, and a B.S. degree in Economics from the University of Wisconsin.

Board, Committees and Corporate Governance

There are currently six Board members. Except for Mr. Kestenbaum who serves as our Executive Chairman, all of our current directors are “independent” as defined by the applicable rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. (“NASDAQ”). In addition, Messrs. Barger, Crockett and Lavin are “independent directors” as defined by Securities Exchange Act Rule 10A-3 pertaining to Audit Committee members. The independent directors regularly meet without Mr. Kestenbaum in attendance. During the 2014 fiscal year, there were six Board meetings, and in addition the Board took action by written consent in lieu of a meeting on three occasions. No director attended less than 75% of the aggregate of (a) the total number of Board meetings (in person or by telephone) and (b) meetings of Board committees on which he served (during the period that he served). We do not have a specific policy regarding attendance at the annual stockholders meeting. All directors, however, are encouraged to attend if available. The Board has an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating Committee. The current charters for the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating Committee were attached to last year’s proxy statement. The members of the Board committees, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, are identified in the following table.

Board Committees and Membership

| Director | Audit Committee | Compensation Committee | Nominating Committee |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Donald G. Barger, Jr. | Chair | Chair | |
| Stuart E. Eizenstat | | | Chair |
| Franklin L. Lavin | X | | X |
| Bruce L. Crockett | X | | |
| Alan R. Schriber | | X | |

Audit Committee. Each of the members of our Audit Committee satisfies independence standards promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and by NASDAQ, as such standards apply specifically to members of audit committees. The Board has determined that Mr. Barger and Mr. Crockett meet the SEC’s qualifications to be an “audit committee financial expert.” During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Audit Committee met five times. In addition, members of the Audit Committee frequently communicate with our financial and accounting staff. Our Audit Committee is responsible for, among other things:

- reviewing and providing guidance to the Board and management in respect of our principal financial reporting and audit policies;
- the qualification, selection, retention, independence, performance and compensation of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- the adoption of an independent accountant non-audit services policy and the approval of audit and non-audit services;
- discussion of and recommendation of the audited financial statements, review of financial reporting issues and review of earnings news releases;
- preparation of the report of the Audit Committee that SEC rules require to be included in our annual meeting proxy statement;
- obtaining from our independent registered public accounting firm a written statement concerning their independence, actively discussing with them any disclosed relationships or services that may impact their objectivity or independence and taking, or recommending to the full board, any appropriate action;
- overseeing accounting and financial controls with our independent registered public accounting firm and our financial and accounting staff;
- overseeing internal audit functions;
- reviewing and approving transactions between us and our directors, officers and affiliates; and
- establishing procedures for receipt and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal control and auditing matters.

Compensation Committee. Each member of our Compensation Committee is qualified as independent under the current definition promulgated by NASDAQ. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, our Compensation Committee took action at five meetings and also took action by written consent in lieu of a meeting on three occasions. The members of the Compensation Committee had numerous discussions with members of senior management, the Company's finance and accounting staff, outside counsel, a compensation consultant, and occasionally with the Company's investment bankers. In addition they reviewed data regarding relative performance and met numerous times to discuss compensation matters. Mr. Schriber became a member of the Compensation Committee in the third quarter of fiscal 2014. Mr. Barger and Mr. Danjczek served as the Compensation Committee members during calendar 2013 and reviewed and approved the compensation described in this proxy statement. Mr. Danjczek retired from the Company in May, 2014, the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014.

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for, among other things:

taking action with respect to the compensation levels of officers and other senior executives, including recommending to the full Board the compensation of our Executive Chairman and approving the compensation of our other executive officers;

administering our bonus plans, equity-based compensation plan, deferred compensation plans and similar programs, including the exercise of negative judgment in the administration of bonus plans;

determining, based upon management input and proposals, compensatory awards to executives under compensation plans and similar programs;

assisting management in preparing our Compensation Discussion and Analysis and preparing the report of the Compensation Committee that SEC rules require to be included in our annual meeting proxy statement; and

reviewing and making recommendations to the Board regarding the compensation of directors.

Nominating Committee. Each member of our Nominating Committee is qualified as independent under the current definition promulgated by NASDAQ. Our Nominating Committee took action by written consent in lieu of a meeting on one occasion with respect to this Annual Meeting. Our Nominating Committee is responsible for, among other things:

identifying and recommending to the Board for selection individuals qualified to become Board members, consistent with qualification standards and other criteria approved by the Board for selecting directors; and

reviewing and providing guidance on the independence of nominees, consistent with applicable federal and state regulations and NASDAQ requirements.

Director Nominations and Qualifications

The Nominating Committee proposes nominees for election to the Board. In evaluating nominees to serve on the Board, the Nominating Committee seeks to achieve a balance of knowledge, experience and capability on the Board and to address the membership criteria discussed below.

Under these criteria for Board nominations, Board members should have the highest professional and personal ethics and values, consistent with longstanding Globe values and standards. As a group, the Board should have diverse and broad experience at the policy-making level in business, government, education, technology or public interest. They should be committed to enhancing stockholder value and should have sufficient time to carry out their duties and to provide insight and practical wisdom based on experience. Their service on other boards of public companies should be limited to a number that permits them, given their individual circumstances, to perform responsibly all director duties. Each director must represent the interests of all stockholders. While we do not have a formal policy regarding diversity of Board nominees or a formal definition of “diversity,” the independent directors have discussed diversity considerations of potential Board nominees within the context of nominating new Board members. Factors discussed as relevant to the selection of Board nominees may include nature and length of business experience, experience in business areas related to our potential growth areas, international experience, understanding of relevant regulatory authorities, understanding of market entry issues, people skills, business judgment, creativity and other factors that promote alignment of the Board with the interests of stockholders.

The members of the Nominating Committee utilize a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director. They periodically assess the appropriate size of the Board and whether any vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. If vacancies are anticipated or otherwise arise, the Committee members will consider various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating Committee members through the Chairman, other current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders or other persons. These candidates are evaluated regularly and may be considered at any point during the year. The Nominating Committee has not in the past retained any third party to assist in identifying nominees for Board membership.

When considering whether the proposed nominees have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, taken as a whole, to enable the Board to satisfy its responsibilities effectively in light of the Company's business, structure and governance, the Nominating Committee and the Board focused primarily on the factors discussed above and the information described in each of the directors' individual biographies set forth above in the section above entitled "Business Experience of Nominees for Election as Directors."

Each of the directors has extensive experience as a business leader and has a strong understanding of business operations and the Company's industry. Each of the directors has earned university or graduate degrees in disciplines that are valuable to the Board including engineering, science, business and finance. The Board considered Mr. Kestenbaum's entrepreneurial skills, his experience with the Company as its founder and his role as the primary driver of strategic actions. His knowledge of the Company's business and products is essential to the Board's understanding of the Company. With regard to Mr. Crockett, the Board considered his extensive corporate executive and finance experience. With regard to Messrs. Barger, Eizenstat and Lavin, the Board considered their significant experience, expertise and background in financial and international trade matters. The Board considered Mr. Schriber's knowledge and experience in the regulation of power generating utilities, which is useful to the Board and management as they evaluate growth opportunities, which may depend in part upon the availability of reliable and economically efficient sources of electric power. Each of Messrs. Lavin and Eizenstat has experience in the private equity business, which adds value to the Board's understanding of business development, financing, strategic alternatives and industry trends. Mr. Eizenstat also brings insight on environmental issues through his government service and private sector experience in climate change issues. Mr. Schriber provides additional insight through his management experience as President of ARS Broadcasting Corp. and his academic training in economics. The Board also considered that Messrs. Crockett, Eizenstat and Schriber serve, and Messrs. Barger and Kestenbaum have served, on the boards of directors of other public companies.

Stockholder Recommendations

The Nominating Committee considers stockholder recommendations for candidates to serve on the Board. Stockholders entitled to vote for the election of directors may recommend candidates to serve on the Board by sending a timely notice, in proper form, to the attention of the Chairman of the Nominating Committee in care of the Company's executive offices at 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, FL 33131. If the notice is not timely and in proper form, the nominee will not be considered by the Nominating Committee. To be timely for the 2015 annual

meeting of our stockholders, the notice must be received within the time frame set forth in “Stockholder Proposals” below. To be in proper form, the notice must contain the following: the candidate’s name, a detailed biography outlining the candidate’s relevant background, professional and business experience and other significant accomplishments, an acknowledgement from the candidate that he or she would be willing to serve on the board of directors, if elected, a statement by the stockholder outlining the reasons why this candidate’s skills, experience and background would make a valuable contribution to the board and a minimum of two references who have either worked with the candidate, served on a board of directors with the candidate, or can otherwise provide relevant perspective on the candidate’s capabilities as a potential board member.

Candidates for director who are properly recommended by the Company's stockholders will be evaluated in the same manner as any other candidate for director. The Nominating Committee may require the candidate to furnish other information as the Committee may reasonably request to assist the Committee in determining the eligibility of the candidate to serve as a member of our Board. The Nominating Committee (or the presiding officer at any meeting of the stockholders) may disregard the purported nomination of any person not made in compliance with these procedures.

Leadership Structure

The positions of Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer currently are separated. The Board believes that this structure best serves the Company's needs at this time. The current structure reflects the significantly different services provided by Mr. Kestenbaum and Mr. Bradley. Mr. Kestenbaum, as the founder of the Company and in view of his business experience, has special insight into the industry and the Company's strategic position in the industry. He principally focuses on growth, business development and other strategic issues. Further, in his position as Executive Chairman, he helps maintain separate oversight of management and provides an interface between the Board and management. Mr. Bradley, as Chief Executive Officer, principally focuses on managing and directing operational matters, including in conjunction with the Chief Financial Officer, financial and administrative matters, including periodic reporting. The Board intends to periodically review and consider whether the positions of Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer should be combined or separated as part of its regular review of the effectiveness of our governance structure.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

The Board is actively involved in the oversight of risks that could affect the Company. This oversight is conducted at the Board level and, where relevant to a committee's duties, through the committees of the Board. While the Board and its committees oversee risk management strategy, management is responsible for implementing and supervising day-to-day risk management processes. We believe this division of risk management responsibilities is the most effective approach for addressing the risks that the Company faces. The existing Board leadership structure discussed above encourages communication between management, the Executive Chairman and the independent directors. We believe that this communication improves the Company's identification and implementation of effective risk management strategies.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Corporate Governance Guidelines specify, among other things, that:

- a majority of the Board shall be composed of directors that are independent from the Company's management; the Board and Committees shall have specific duties and authorities, as set forth in the Committee Charters and restated in the Corporate Governance Guidelines;
- nominees for the Board shall have specific qualifications and shall be selected for election to the Board, as set forth in Nomination Committee Charter, the Corporate Governance Guidelines and this Proxy Statement;
- the Board and Board Committees shall conduct annual self-evaluations;

the Company shall maintain a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to directors, officer and employees; the Company shall maintain a means for stockholders to communicate directly with the directors, as set forth in this Proxy Statement; and the Company shall maintain director and executive officer stock ownership requirements, as set forth in this Proxy Statement.

The full text of our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available upon written request.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws do not require the vote of a super-majority in order to adopt amendments. If the Board were to propose an amendment to our certificate of incorporation, such an amendment, in accordance with the Delaware General Corporation Law, could be approved by the vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding Stock. Under the Delaware General Corporation Law, stockholders may propose amendments to the bylaws. Such an amendment could be approved by a majority of the votes cast at a meeting with a quorum.

Risk-Related Compensation Policies and Practices

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the risk profile of its executive and non-executive compensation programs. The Compensation Committee believes that the Company's compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Services of Compensation Consultant

During fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee retained Hay Group to provide data and advice with respect to (a) the evaluation of relative performance and the exercise of negative discretion in the administration of bonus plans, (b) the revision of the Company's identified peer group, (c) the adequacy of the Company's current compensation plan for Named Executive Officers in the context of the Company's business and compensation strategy, (d) the compensation of the Named Executive Officers compared to the Named Executive Officers of peer group companies and (e) director compensation concepts.

Communications with the Board

Individuals may communicate with the Board or any director by writing c/o Globe Specialty Metals, Inc., 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, FL, 33131, attention Corporate Secretary. Communications to the non-employee

directors may be sent to the same address. We promptly forward all such correspondence to the indicated directors.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company has adopted a code of conduct and ethics applicable to all Company employees, including the Executive Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Legal Officer and also its directors. The code is available on the Company's website at www.glbsm.com and is available in print to any stockholder who requests a copy. Any amendment to the code will promptly be posted on the website. The Company intends to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Securities Exchange Act Form 8-K regarding any waiver or amendment of the code with respect to the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, by posting such required information on the Company's website.

Director Stock Ownership Policy

The Board believes that Stock ownership allows directors to better understand the viewpoint of stockholders and incentivizes them to enhance stockholder value. As a result, in November 2010, the Board adopted a director Stock ownership policy. The Stock ownership policy requires each independent director to retain an aggregate amount of equity and/or equity equivalents having a market value at least equal to three times that director's annual director cash compensation. The policy requires that each director attain the specified ownership level within five years after his date of election to the Board or November 10, 2015 (which is five years after the commencement of the Stock ownership guidelines), whichever is later, through the acquisition of equity and/or equity equivalents in an amount of at least 15% of the target each year. The value of the equity and/or equity equivalents held by each director is measured on an after tax basis at the end of each quarter, based upon the closing Stock price. As of June 30, 2014, each then current director met or exceeded the requirements of this policy.

The Board's Recommendation

The Board unanimously recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" the election of each of the SIX persons nominated to serve as a director of the Company for the ensuing year.

PROPOSAL No. 2

**ADVISORY VOTE REGARDING
THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

Description of Proposal

Pursuant to the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, we are providing our stockholders with the opportunity to vote, on an advisory basis, on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC.

As discussed in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis starting on page 20, our executive compensation program is designed to:

- motivate executives to achieve annual and long-term goals and manage the Company for sustained long-term growth;
- align executives' interests with those of the stockholders by rewarding our executives for superior performance measured by comparison to peer companies and appropriate indices; and
- attract and retain highly qualified and industrious executives who contribute to the Company's long-term success.

We believe that we have effectively achieved these key objectives by:

- establishing annual incentive plans based upon the Company's achievement in respect of modified EBITDA and modified cash flow; and

- providing that the majority of total compensation to the Company's Named Executive Officers be in the form of performance-based compensation, with a significant portion of each such officer's compensation targeted to be incentive-based equity based so as to align the executive's compensation with the interests of the Company's stockholders.

The advisory resolution set forth below, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express your views on our executive compensation program for our Named Executive Officers, which includes our Chief Executive Officer and the other individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table that follows the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The "say-on-pay" proposal is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our Named Executive Officers and the executive compensation policies, practices and plans described in this Proxy Statement. The resolution does not address the matters disclosed under the headings "Director Compensation" nor is it intended to indicate your approval of any future "golden parachute" payments. We will seek stockholder approval of any "golden parachute" payments at the time of any transaction triggering such payments to the extent required by applicable law. Accordingly, we will ask our stockholders to vote FOR the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Globe Specialty Metals, Inc. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (Item 402 of Regulation S-K), including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and narrative discussions and the other related tables and disclosure."

Vote Required

As provided by the Dodd-Frank Act, this vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the Board or the Compensation Committee. However, the Board and Compensation Committee value the views of our stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the Named Executive Officers' compensation as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, we will consider our stockholders' concerns and the Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

Approval of the say-on-pay proposal will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, and abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will not be counted as votes cast and would have no effect on the result of the vote.

The Board's Recommendation

The Board unanimously recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" the approval of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC.

Proposal No. 3

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT registered public accounting firm

Based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the Board has appointed the firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP to be the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2015 and recommends to stockholders that they vote for ratification of that appointment. Although not required to do so, the Board has determined that it would be desirable to request stockholders' approval of this appointment.

Effective November 26, 2013, the Company dismissed its prior independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, and effective December 3, 2013, the Company engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP as its new independent registered public accounting firm. The Company's Audit Committee voted unanimously to dismiss KPMG LLP and to engage Deloitte & Touche LLP.

KPMG LLP's reports regarding the Company's financial statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim period preceding such dismissal, there were no disagreements with KPMG LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of KPMG LLP, would have caused KPMG LLP to make reference thereto in connection with its reports on the financial statements of the Company. During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim period, there have been no reportable events (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim period through November 26, 2013, neither the Company nor anyone acting on its behalf has consulted with Deloitte & Touche LLP regarding either: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our financial statements; or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to that Item) or a reportable event (as described in Item 304(a)(i)(v) of Regulation S-K).

The following table presents aggregate fees billed to us for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 for professional services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP. Deloitte & Touche LLP was appointed to be our principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of our interim financial statements effective November 26, 2013. Prior to that date, KPMG LLP provided these services.

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--------------------|------------|-------|
| | (1) | |
| | (\$ in | |
| | thousands) | |
| Audit Fees | 1,728 | 2,399 |
| Audit-Related Fees | 36 | - |
| Tax Fees | 269 | 91 |
| All Other Fees | - | - |
| Total Fees | 2,033 | 2,490 |

(1) Excludes audit fees of \$118,395 and tax fees of \$27,157 billed by the Company's prior principal accounting firm, KPMG LLP.

Audit Fees. Audit fees consisted of fees billed for professional services rendered in connection with the audit and quarterly reviews of our consolidated financial statements as well as the audits of our subsidiaries.

Audit-Related Fees. Audit-related fees consist of fees billed for professional services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements but are not reported under “Audit Fees.”

Tax Fees. Tax fees consist of tax advice, tax planning and tax compliance services.

The Audit Committee of the Board has determined that the provision of the non-audit services described above is compatible with maintaining the independence of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Policy on Audit Committee pre-approval of audit and permissible non-audit services

All engagements for services by Deloitte & Touche LLP or other independent registered public accountants are subject to prior approval by the Audit Committee; however, de minimis non-audit services may instead be pre-approved in accordance with applicable SEC rules. The Audit Committee approved all services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP and KPMG LLP for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

A representative of Deloitte & Touche LLP is expected to attend the Annual Meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement, if he or she desires to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Vote required

The ratification of the appointment of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm will require the affirmative vote by the holders of a majority of the outstanding Stock present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting, and abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will not be counted as votes cast and would have no effect on the result of the vote. If such approval is not received, the Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment. Even if this appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

The Board's recommendation

The Board unanimously recommends that stockholders vote “FOR” the proposal to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2015.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

As of October 24, 2014, there were 73,747,990 shares of Stock outstanding. The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of Stock as of October 24, 2014 by each stockholder known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of Stock, each of our Named Executive Officers, each of our current directors and for all current executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and includes voting or investment power with respect to the securities. Shares of Stock that may be acquired by an individual or group within 60 days of October 24, 2014, pursuant to the exercise of options or warrants, are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such individual or group, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person shown in the table. Percentage of ownership is based on 73,747,990 shares of Stock outstanding on October 24, 2014. Brokers or other nominees may hold shares of our Stock in “street name” for customers who are the beneficial owners of the shares. As a result, we may not be aware of each person or group of affiliated persons who own more than 5% of our Stock.

Except as indicated in footnotes to this table, we believe that the stockholders named in this table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown to be beneficially owned by them, based on information provided to us by such stockholders. Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each director and executive officer listed is: c/o Globe Specialty Metals, Inc., 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, FL 33131.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Shares Beneficially Owned | Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned |
|--|---------------------------|---|
| Directors and Executive Officers: | | |
| Alan Kestenbaum (1) | 9,095,429 | 12.33 |
| Jeff Bradley (2) | 253,281 | * |
| Stephen Lebowitz (3) | 55,859 | * |
| Joseph Ragan (4) | 63,921 | * |
| Malcolm Appelbaum (5) | 78,399 | * |
| Stuart E. Eizenstat (6) | 23,700 | * |
| Donald G. Barger, Jr. (7) | 27,259 | * |
| Bruce L. Crockett (8) | 0 | - |
| Franklin L. Lavin (9) | 24,329 | * |
| Alan R. Schriber (10) | 14,647 | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (9 individuals) (11) | 9,636,824 | 13.07 |
| Five Percent Stockholders: | | |

Edgar Filing: GLOBE SPECIALTY METALS INC - Form DEF 14A

| | | |
|--|-----------|-------|
| Royce & Associates, LLC (12) 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 | 9,019,281 | 12.23 |
| Blue Harbour Group, LP (13) 646 Steamboat Road Greenwich, Connecticut 06830 | 6,953,899 | 9.43 |
| BlackRock, Inc. (14) 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022 | 5,778,535 | 7.83 |
| Baron Capital Group, Inc. (15) 767 Fifth Avenue, 49th Floor New York, NY 10153 | 4,000,000 | 5.42 |
| The Vanguard Group (16) 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355 | 4,177,459 | 5.66 |

- * Less than one (1%) percent.
- (1) Includes 406,250 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (2) Includes 213,281 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (3) Includes 55,859 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (4) Includes 63,921 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014. Includes 21,875 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014. Mr. Appelbaum resigned as Chief Financial Officer as of August 31, 2013, and Mr. Appelbaum's holdings are presented as of that date, as adjusted for subsequent vesting in accordance with the terms of his remaining outstanding awards.
 - (5) Includes 18,623 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (6) Includes 18,623 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (7) Includes no shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (8) Includes 18,623 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (9) Includes 13,324 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (10) Includes 830,379 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of October 24, 2014.
 - (11) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 10, 2014. Royce & Associates, LLC acts as an investment manager for various accounts. Based upon a Schedule 13G filed by Blue Harbour Group, LP, Blue Harbour Holdings, LLC and Clifton S. Robbins with the SEC on February 14, 2014. Blue Harbour Holdings, LLC is the manager of Blue Harbour Group, LP and Clifton S. Robbins is the chief executive officer of Blue Harbour Holdings, LLC.
 - (12) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 29, 2014. Based upon a Schedule 13G filed by Baron Capital Group, Inc. ("BCG"), BAMCO, Inc., Baron Capital Management, Inc. ("BCM"), Baron Small Cap Fund ("BSC") and Ronald Baron with the SEC on February 14, 2014. BAMCO and BCM are subsidiaries of BCG. BSC is an advisory client of BAMCO. Ronald Baron owns a controlling interest in BCG.
 - (13) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2014.
 - (14) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2014.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires Globe officers and directors and persons who own more than 10% of the Stock to file reports on changes in ownership with the SEC. Such officers, directors and stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all such reports that they file. Based solely on a review of copies of reports filed with the SEC and written representations by certain officers and directors, we believe that all of our officers, directors and stockholders subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) filed all of their reports on a timely basis during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, except for one report on Form 4 that was filed late on behalf of each of Messrs. Barger, Crocket, Eizenstat and Lavin, each reporting two exempt transactions in connection with the annual director awards, and one report on Form 4 that was filed late on behalf of each of Messrs. Kestenbaum, Bradley and Lebowitz, reporting two exempt transactions for Messrs. Kestenbaum and Bradley and one exempt transaction for Mr. Lebowitz in connection with annual officer stock awards. In addition, one report on Form 4 was filed late on behalf of Mr. Barger, reporting and option exercise and sale and an exempt award, and a report on Form 3 was filed late on behalf of Mr. Crockett, reporting no transaction.

AUDIT Committee Report

The Audit Committee is composed of three non-employee directors (Messrs. Barger, Crockett and Lavin), each of whom is considered an “independent” director for the purposes of the applicable rules of NASDAQ and the SEC. The Audit Committee’s responsibilities are set forth in its charter, a copy of which was attached to last year’s proxy statement. The Board and the Audit Committee believe that the Audit Committee members are and were at the time of the actions described in this report “independent” directors as independence is defined by NASDAQ Rule 4200(a)(15).

The Audit Committee has implemented the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the Marketplace Rules of NASDAQ with respect to the responsibilities of audit committees of public companies. Among other matters, the Audit Committee reviews procedures on internal control over financial reporting with management and with the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, and it discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the adequacy of the Company’s internal controls and the overall scope and specific plans for their audit.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management Globe’s audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014 and has discussed with Globe’s independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed under Public Company Accounting Oversight Board standards.

The Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountants’ communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the accountants the accountants’ independence and considered whether the provision of non-audit services by the accountants is compatible with maintaining their independence.

Based on the foregoing reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the above referenced consolidated financial statements be included in Globe’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Donald G. Barger, Jr., Chairman
Bruce L. Crockett
Franklin L. Lavin

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Compensation Philosophy

The objective of our compensation program is aligned with our goal to be a consistently high performing growth company. Our roots and current culture are entrepreneurial, and the compensation philosophy is consistent with those roots and culture. A concise statement of the philosophy is – *shareholders win, executives win*. Practically, this means a performance-based culture with a compensation system that permits executives and other employees to earn total compensation within the entire spectrum of other peer companies depending upon our performance and our relative performance. More specifically, our current performance-based compensation is formula driven and non-discretionary, adjustable only through negative judgment exercised by our Compensation Committee based on the relative performance of the Company versus its peers and appropriate indices. This past year's (i.e., calendar year 2013, as the program has been a calendar year program) compensation clearly evidences this philosophy in practice as compensation was substantially reduced because not only was the Company's performance lower than the prior calendar year (which we expected from an operational planning perspective) but also because we trailed our peers for the calendar year. This manifested itself in two ways: (i) the application of the non-discretionary formula performance-compensation bonuses were reduced (in the highest cases in excess of 40% year over year) and (ii) the Compensation Committee exercised negative discretion to reduce compensation a further 12.5%.

Our compensation strategy specifically focuses on, and is intended to influence, total return to stockholders by focusing on growth in operating earnings, including EBITDA, and efficient management of our operations, as measured by return on committed capital and cash flow generation. In determining compensation under our current performance-based plans, we evaluate our earnings, including modified EBITDA and modified cash flow, relative to comparable companies and require a minimum modified EBITDA return on modified committed capital of 20% before awarding performance-based compensation based on our results. Under our current performance-based plans, if the above-mentioned return on committed capital threshold is not met, no performance-based compensation is earned or paid. For calendar year 2013, a 20% modified EBITDA return on modified committed capital was approximately equivalent to a 6.1% net operating profit after tax divided by modified committed capital. For purposes of comparison, on December 31, 2013 Bloomberg published the Company's weighted average cost of capital as 10.34%.

We believe that these factors encourage management to meet our objectives and maintain a results-oriented culture. Additionally, the compensation programs are intended to provide competitive compensation to support the successful retention and recruitment of key personnel to meet our business objectives.

Process in Setting Executive Compensation

The Board maintains an independent Compensation Committee, currently composed of Messrs. Barger and Schriber. The Compensation Committee charter authorizes and directs the Committee, among other things, to:

Develop their independent view, with the assistance of management (and advisors, as needed), compensation policies that will clearly articulate the relationship of corporate performance to executive compensation, reward executives for the Company's progress and attract and retain the highest quality executives;

- Determine, based on management proposals and assistance, compensation and awards to executives;

Adopt and maintain policies and procedures relating to the granting of stock options, restricted stock and other equity-based compensation awards; and

Develop, based on management proposals and assistance, the terms of new employment agreements and the amendment of existing employment agreements.

The Committee participates in the development of, and reviews and approves the renewal or modification of, the employment agreements for the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Legal Officer. The Committee directs the development of, and reviews the renewal or modification of, the employment agreement for the Executive Chairman and submits it to the Board for approval.

Elements of Executive Compensation Arrangements

General. Our executive compensation arrangements include five components:

- Base salary
- Annual bonus
- Equity incentives
- 401K retirement plan
- Other benefits

Although we have not adopted any formal guidelines for allocating total compensation among these components, we implement and maintain compensation plans intended to tie a substantial portion of each executive's overall compensation to the achievement of corporate performance objectives. We view each of the components of the compensation program as distinct but related. We set each of the components separately, but we also review the entire amount of compensation and may make discretionary adjustments in one or more of the individual components based upon the evaluation of the total. Based upon performance, our executives may receive total compensation well above the median of other peers. Conversely, performance-related compensation, such as annual bonus, may be significantly less than the median of other companies in the metal manufacturing industry, or, indeed, zero.

Our executive compensation program is designed to encourage long-term value creation. With the review and approval of the Committee, we enter into multi-year employment agreements with our executive officers. During fiscal 2011, we renewed Mr. Kestenbaum's employment agreement through November 2014 and Mr. Bradley's employment agreement through May 2015. During fiscal 2013, we entered into a new employment agreement with

Mr. Ragan through May 2016 and renewed Mr. Lebowitz's employment agreement through December 2016. We are currently negotiating the terms of a new multi-year employment agreement with Mr. Kestenbaum. Consistent with this approach, we grant our executive officers equity awards with multi-year service periods to encourage them to focus on long-term performance. In order to encourage retention of executive officers, our employment agreements with these officers provide for severance payments if their employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by the executive for good reason.

Also consistent with this approach, we provide only a 401(k) retirement plan to our executive officers and do not provide supplemental retirement benefits. The Committee takes this into consideration in connection with setting other compensation.

With the approval of our stockholders, based upon the long-term, multi-year nature of our employment arrangements and in order to allow our executive compensation program to be evaluated in relation to our long-term performance, we solicit an advisory vote of our stockholders on our compensation program once every three years. The last vote was held at our November 2011 stockholders meeting, at which the holders of 85% of our outstanding stock (approximately 99% of the shares voted) voted, on an advisory basis, to approve our compensation program. We are soliciting an advisory vote of our stockholders on our compensation program again this year.

Base salary. The executive employment agreements provide for base salaries. We do not apply specific formulas to determine base salaries. Base salaries are the product of negotiation with the executive, but we generally seek to establish salaries that we believe are commensurate with the salaries being paid to executive officers serving in similar roles at peer companies, based upon our general familiarity with practices at other companies. In establishing the base salaries of executive officers, we take into account a number of factors, including the executive's seniority, position, functional role, level of responsibility and individual performance. To date, we have not increased annual base salaries during the terms of the employment agreements. In July 2013, Mr. Lebowitz's base salary was increased in connection with the renewal of his employment agreement. Mr. Lebowitz's base salary had previously been set in connection with entering his employment agreement in July 2008 and had not previously increased since that date.

Annual bonus. In addition to base salaries, our executive officers are eligible to receive annual bonuses. We enter into annual bonus arrangements with our executive officers intended to provide incentives to achieve corporate goals and to achieve individual business goals. We have adopted bonus plans under our 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan which specify annual awards to our Executive Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Legal Officer based upon modified EBITDA, modified cash flow and a minimum, threshold return on committed capital, as described below. These plans are not discretionary other than the ability of the Compensation Committee to exercise negative discretion to lower amounts awarded. The awards require an increasing percentage of the compensation to be deferred to later periods. In addition, we entered into individual awards, specifying individual performance goals, for Mr. Ragan and Mr. Lebowitz for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013, and we have entered into individual awards, specifying individual performance goals, for Mr. Ragan and Mr. Lebowitz for the calendar year ending December 31, 2014. We also adopted a bonus plan which specified awards to our Executive Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Legal Officer based upon the achievement of specified levels of total stockholder return (TSR) during the calendar year ended December 31, 2013.

In determining compensation under our current performance-based plans, we evaluate our earnings, including modified EBITDA and modified cash flow, and require a minimum return on modified committed capital of 20% before awarding performance-based compensation based on our results. If the minimum return on modified committed capital of 20% is not achieved, no performance-based compensation is earned under the plan. In addition, the performance based compensation calculated based upon our modified EBITDA and modified cash flow may be reduced based upon an evaluation of our performance relative to comparable companies and various stock indices, including the S&P Small Cap Index and S&P Mining and Metals Index. Based upon these requirements, the performance-based compensation was negatively adjusted by 12.5% for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013 and by 20% for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012. We monitor performance results on a quarterly basis and review the performance measures annually to make sure our plans and awards remain consistent with the Company's strategy.

The Company records and reports its results on a June 30 fiscal year basis but historically has paid its executives on a calendar year basis. As a result, we have measured performance and all other metrics as of December 31 of the relevant year. Effective with our 2015 fiscal year (July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2015), we are transitioning our compensation program to a fiscal year basis in order to more readily demonstrate the alignment of our performance-based bonus compensation and our reported financial results.

Level One Plan. The Annual Executive Bonus Plan for the Executive Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer (the “Level One Plan”) provides for an annual cash bonus pool based upon annual results. The formula for establishing the pool is fixed in the plan and is not discretionary. The bonus pool amount is calculated as the sum of eight percent of modified EBITDA, as defined in the plan, plus two percent of modified free cash flow, as defined in the plan. In determining modified EBITDA and modified free cash flow, specified one-time costs, such as any restructuring charges, non-recurring items, impairment charges, start-up or shut-down expenses, transaction expenses for acquisitions or dispositions, write-offs of inventory or fixed assets, litigation awards, charges or professional fees related to litigation or threatened litigation and for six months following closing, the results of operations of an acquired company or business which, on a stand-alone basis, including financing costs, does not return the Company’s cost of capital, will be excluded, unless the Committee, in its judgment, determines that such item should not be excluded from the calculation.

The percentage amounts of modified EBITDA and modified free cash flow were set at levels intended to provide superior bonus levels for the Executive Chairman and for competitive bonus levels for the Chief Executive Officer at levels of modified EBITDA and modified cash flow that are proportionately comparable to the results of peer companies and to provide superior bonuses for superior modified EBITDA and modified cash flow results. We believe that the total compensation set for the Executive Chairman is consistent with the value Mr. Kestenbaum brings to the Company.

The payment of any bonus under the Level One Plan is subject to the Company meeting a threshold performance requirement that the fraction determined by dividing modified EBITDA for the year ended December 31 by the average committed capital, as defined in the plan, for the year ended December 31, exceed 20%. We believe that this requirement places a stringent threshold requirement on these bonuses, resulting in no bonuses being awarded under the Level One Plan if the return on capital for the calendar year is less than 20%.

In addition to this threshold, the Committee retains discretion to reduce (but not to increase) the bonuses determined in accordance with the Level One Plan based upon relative performance analysis and its judgment. The relative performance analysis generally focuses on the Company’s growth in operating earnings, return on invested capital and total stockholder return and may be supplemented with other analysis the Committee deems appropriate. The Committee compares the Company’s results with respect to these factors to the results of a peer group of other metal manufacturing companies and relevant equity market indices, including the S&P Small Cap Index and the S&P Metals and Mining Index.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Committee obtained advice from Hay Group with respect to the composition of the peer companies, and based upon this advice, revised the list of peer companies to provide a better business focus while adhering to sound peer group development guidelines and maintaining a fair degree of commonality and overlap with peer groups developed by financial analysts and proxy advisory firms. The revised list of peer companies for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 (the “Peer Group”) is set forth below.

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Amcol International Corp. | Hayes International Inc. | Materion Corp. | Stillwater Mining Co. |
| Carpenter Technology | Hecla Mining Co. | Minerals Technologies Inc. | Thompson Creek Metals Co. Inc. |
| Century Aluminum Company | Horseheads Holding Corp. | Noranda Aluminum Holding | |
| Eagle Materials, Inc. | Intrepid Potash Inc. | RTI International Metals Inc. | |
| Graitech International Ltd. | Kaiser Aluminum Corp. | Schnitzer Steel Industries Inc. | |

The Committee uses its judgment in making any reductions based upon these factors and may weigh some measures more heavily than others in making determinations. Based upon its evaluation with respect to the 2013 calendar year, the Committee determined to set a 12.5% negative discretion adjustment to the amounts indicated by the formula under the Level One Plan (as well as under the Level Two Plan, described below) with respect to the period ended December 31, 2013. In making an assessment to utilize negative discretion, the Committee carefully compared the Company's performance against peers and selected indices in several different metrics, including growth in EBITDA and net operating profit, to develop a view on overall relative performance. When those metrics evidence quantitative differences in relative performance between the Company and its peers in growth in operating earnings and return on committed capital negative judgment may be used as it was in the period ended December 31, 2013. This adjustment may be applied even where, as here, formula driven awards were, at their highest, more than 40% below prior year awards.

The Level One Plan is intended to comply with requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code, pertaining to performance-based compensation, and accordingly, the bonus pool is capped at a maximum of \$20 million, although the aggregate bonus pool amount is expected to be significantly lower.

Mr. Kestenbaum, as the founder of the Company and in view of his business experience, has special insight into the industry and the Company's strategic position in the industry. He principally focuses on growth, business development and other strategic issues. Further, in his position as Executive Chairman, he helps maintain separate oversight of management and provides an interface between the Board and management. Mr. Bradley, as Chief Executive Officer, principally focuses on managing and directing operational matters, including in conjunction with the Chief Financial Officer, financial and administrative matters, including periodic reporting. In recognition of the special contributions of Mr. Kestenbaum, as the founder of the Company, and in setting the growth strategy for the Company, and in recognition that it would be extremely difficult to find a suitable replacement for Mr. Kestenbaum, the Executive Chairman is entitled to 70% of the Level One Plan pool amount, and the Chief Executive Officer is entitled to 30% of the Level One Plan pool amount.

All payments under the Level One Plan are made in accordance with a deferral schedule which provides that 20% of the payout will be deferred for pool amounts between \$2 million and \$5 million, increasing incrementally to 100% for pool amounts between \$15 million and \$20 million. All deferred amounts are awarded in restricted stock units (RSUs)

that settle in cash, vest proportionally over three years and are not paid out until the end of the third year. The Committee and the Board view the deferral of a significant portion of the bonuses into RSUs, which ties the deferred amounts to the performance of the Stock, as important to further incentivize participants in the Level One Plan.

A separate deferral plan permits voluntary deferral into RSUs of the cash portions not required to be deferred, up to a maximum of 50 percent of salary and 50 percent of the bonus amount (up to \$1 million), and provides a 20% match in the form of additional RSUs on voluntarily deferred amounts. RSUs granted with respect to voluntarily deferred amounts also vest over three years but are not paid out until the end of the third year.

Level Two Plan. The Annual Executive Bonus Plan for the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Legal Officer (the “Level Two Plan”) provides for a formulaic, non-discretionary (other than negative discretion) annual cash bonus based upon results for the calendar year. These awards also are intended to constitute performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code. The thresholds and percentage amounts for these awards were set at levels intended to provide competitive bonuses at levels of modified EBITDA and modified cash flow that are proportionately comparable to the results of peer companies and to provide superior bonuses for superior results.

Mr. Ragan’s award provides that he would receive a payment with respect to each of calendar years 2013, 2014 and 2015, calculated as a percentage of modified EBITDA and modified free cash flow for such years. If 80% of modified EBITDA plus 20% of modified free cash flow for such year is equal to or less than \$160,000,000, the total of Mr. Ragan’s award and individual bonus (described below) is capped at \$1,000,000. If 80% of modified EBITDA plus 20% of modified free cash flow for such year exceeds \$160,000,000, the award is capped at \$1,200,000. In addition to the award, Mr. Ragan is entitled to an annual bonus of up to \$200,000 with respect to 2013, 2014 and 2015 based upon Mr. Ragan achieving certain individual performance goals adopted, or to be adopted, by the Compensation Committee in consultation with the Executive Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer with respect to such years.

Mr. Lebowitz’s award provides that he would receive a payment with respect to each of calendar years 2013, 2014 and 2015, calculated as a percentage of modified EBITDA and modified free cash flow for such years. Pursuant to the award granted to Mr. Lebowitz in March 2013, the total award was capped at \$1,000,000 per year. Pursuant to the employment agreement entered into by the Company and Mr. Lebowitz on July 8, 2013, (a) if 80% of modified EBITDA plus 20% of modified free cash flow for the calendar year is equal to or less than \$160,000,000, the total of Mr. Lebowitz’s award and individual bonus (described below) is capped at \$900,000 in each of 2013, 2014 and 2015, and (b) if 80% of modified EBITDA plus 20% of modified free cash flow for the calendar year exceeds \$160,000,000, the award is capped at \$1,100,000 in each of 2013, 2014 and 2015. Mr. Lebowitz’s employment agreement provides that the award will be pro-rated for any partial year, meaning that Mr. Lebowitz’s award in 2013 was equal to the sum of (i) the award as calculated pursuant to the March 2013 award pro-rated for the period between January 1, 2013 and June 19, 2013 and (ii) the award as calculated pursuant to Mr. Lebowitz’s employment agreement pro-rated for the period commenced on June 20, 2013 and ended on December 31, 2013. In addition to the award, Mr. Lebowitz was entitled to an annual bonus of up to \$180,000 with respect to 2013 and is entitled to an annual bonus with respect to 2014 and 2015, based on achieving certain individual performance goals adopted, or to be adopted, by the Compensation Committee in consultation with the Executive Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer with respect to such years.

Payments under the Level Two Plan are made in accordance with a deferral schedule which provides that no amounts will be deferred if the sum of 80% of modified EBITDA plus 20% of modified free cash flow is below \$70,000,000,

increasing incrementally to 37.7% if the sum of 80% of modified EBITDA plus 20% of modified free cash flow equals or exceeds \$200,000,000. All deferred amounts are awarded in RSUs that settle in cash, vest proportionally over three years and are not paid out until the end of the third year.

The payment of any award under the Level Two Plan is subject to the Company meeting the threshold performance requirement that the fraction determined by dividing modified EBITDA for the calendar year divided by the average committed capital, as defined in the Level One Plan, for the year, exceed 20%. In determining all results, specified one-time costs, as described above, will be excluded, unless the Committee, in its judgment, determines that such item should not be excluded from the calculation. In addition the Committee retains discretion to reduce the deferred awards determined by the Level Two Plan based upon relative performance analysis and other factors, as described above. Based upon its evaluation with respect to the 2013 calendar year, and in particular with a view of the Company's relative performance in comparison to its peers, the Committee set a 12.5% negative discretion adjustment from the amount indicated by the formula under the Level Two Plan with respect to the period ended December 31, 2013.

TSR Plan. In March 2013, the Committee approved a plan to incentivize the Named Executive Officers to improve the Company's total stockholder return ("TSR") for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013 (the "TSR Plan"). The TSR Plan provided that if the Company's TSR for the year was positive and equaled or exceeded the 50th percentile of the TSR's for the Peer Group for the one-year and three-year periods ended December 31, 2013, the Executive Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Legal Officer will be entitled to cash awards equal to a target amount, subject to reduction based upon a comparison of the Company's TSR for the three-year period compared to the Peer Group's TSR for the three-year period. Mr. Kestenbaum's target amount was 75% of his base salary for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012, Mr. Bradley's target amount was 50% of his base salary for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012 and Mr. Lebowitz's target amount was 50% of his base salary for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012. Mr. Ragan did not participate in the TSR Plan because he was hired in May 2013, five months after the start of the measurement period. The TSR Plan is intended to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code, and accordingly, each of the awards was capped at a maximum of \$1,000,000. Fifty percent of all payouts under the TSR Plan were to be deferred into RSUs that settle in cash, vest proportionally over three years and are not paid out until the end of the third year.

The Company calculated target amounts of \$746,270 for Mr. Kestenbaum, \$350,000 for Mr. Bradley, and \$137,500 for Mr. Lebowitz pursuant to the TSR Plan. The Company's TSR for the year ended December 31, 2013 was in the top quartile, and the Company's TSR for the three-year period ended December 31, 2013 was above the median. As provided in the TSR Plan, because the Company's three-year period TSR was above the median as compared to the Peer Group, 87.5% of the awards vested.

The following table sets forth Company TSR as a percentile of Peer Group TSR for the last year and Company TSR as a percentile of Peer Group TSR for the last three years, calculated as defined in the TSR Plan .

TSR

Company's TSR as a percentile of the TSR's for the Peer Group (one year period) > 75th percentile
 Company's TSR as a percentile of the TSR's for the Peer Group (three year period) > median

Calendar Year. As discussed above, the awards under the Level One Plan, the Level Two Plan and the TSR Plan have been based upon results for calendar year periods. However, our financial results and compensation data are reported in this proxy statement on a June 30 fiscal year basis, making the correspondence between the Level One Plan, the Level Two Plan and TSR Plan awards for the calendar year periods and our financial results less visible. In order to more readily demonstrate the alignment of compensation and our results, the following table sets forth the Summary Executive Officer Compensation table on a calendar year basis.

| Name | Calendar Year | Calendar | | Stock | Option | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (2) | All Other Compensation | Total |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|--|------------------------|-------------|
| | | Salary | Bonus | Awards | Awards (1) | | | |
| Alan Kestenbaum | 2013 | \$995,000 | \$- | \$- | \$1,471,301 | \$3,852,362 | \$3,825 | \$6,322,488 |
| <i>Executive Chairman and Director</i> | 2012 | 995,000 | - | - | - | 6,405,000 | - | 7,400,000 |
| Jeff Bradley | 2011 | 991,219 | - | 1,968,519 | 4,530,000 | 10,394,000 | - | 17,883,738 |
| <i>Chief Executive Officer</i> | 2013 | 700,000 | - | - | 1,035,084 | 1,490,610 | 3,825 | 3,229,519 |
| | 2012 | 700,000 | - | - | - | 2,745,000 | 3,750 | 3,448,750 |
| Joseph Ragan | 2011 | 660,417 | - | - | 2,378,250 | 4,455,000 | 3,675 | 7,497,342 |
| <i>Chief Financial Officer (3)</i> | 2013 | 278,125 | 200,000 | - | 665,411 | 193,547 | - | 1,337,083 |
| Malcolm Appelbaum | 2013 | 350,000 | - | - | - | - | 3,825 | 353,825 |
| <i>Chief Financial Officer (4)</i> | 2012 | 350,000 | 133,000 | - | - | 659,000 | 3,750 | 1,145,750 |
| | 2011 | 316,667 | 290,000 | 298,771 | 1,259,218 | 608,000 | 3,646 | 2,776,301 |
| Stephen Lebowitz | 2013 | 327,603 | 180,000 | - | 643,425 | 280,977 | 3,825 | 1,435,830 |
| <i>Chief Legal Officer</i> | 2012 | 275,000 | 152,000 | - | - | 481,000 | 3,750 | 911,750 |
| | 2011 | 275,000 | 165,000 | - | 622,875 | 527,000 | 3,675 | 1,593,550 |

(1) Reflects awards of options and/or cash settled stock appreciation rights. Award valuation was performed using a Black-Scholes option pricing model on the date of grant. Life was estimated based on the average of the vesting term and contractual life of the award. The risk free rate used in the model was the zero-coupon government bond interest rate at the time of option grant of the instrument with the term closest to the estimated option life. The expected dividend yield is estimated over the expected life of the awards based on our historical annual dividend

activity. Volatility reflects movements in our stock price over the most recent historical period equivalent to the expected life of the awards. However, since historical trading data related to the Company's common stock does not exceed the expected life of certain awards, the expected volatility over the term of these awards is estimated using the historical volatility of similar companies.

- (2) See pages 22-26 for a discussion of the determination of these awards, including the return on modified committed capital requirement, the relative performance requirement and the negative adjustments made.
- (3) Mr. Ragan was appointed to be Chief Financial Officer effective May 20, 2013.
- (4) Mr. Appelbaum ceased to serve as Chief Financial Officer upon the effectiveness of Mr. Ragan's appointment and resigned on August 30, 2013, following the filing of our annual report.

As noted above, effective with our 2015 fiscal year (July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2015), we are transitioning our compensation program to a fiscal year basis in order to more readily demonstrate the alignment of our performance-based bonus compensation and our reported financial results. The transition from calendar year to fiscal year will not change the substance of our compensation programs, and the transition will be effected in manner designed to prevent compensating more than once for the results of any period.

Individual Awards. In addition to the Level One Plan, the Level Two Plan and the TSR Plan, which are based upon our corporate performance, we have entered into individual bonus arrangements with Mr. Ragan and Mr. Lebowitz to pay additional bonuses based upon the achievement of individual performance goals.

Mr. Ragan's employment agreement provides for a cash bonus opportunity of up to \$200,000 with respect to calendar year 2013, 2014 and 2015, in each case based upon achieving certain individual performance goals adopted by the Committee in consultation with the executive chairman and the chief executive officer.

Mr. Lebowitz employment agreement provides for a cash bonus opportunity of up to \$180,000 with respect to calendar year 2013, 2014, and 2015, in each case based upon achieving certain individual performance goals adopted by the Committee in consultation with the executive chairman and the chief executive officer.

Equity incentives. We believe that long-term performance is also incentivized through an ownership culture that encourages performance by executive officers through the grants of stock appreciation rights, stock options or restricted stock units. The Company's equity awards have been made to provide executive officers with incentives to help align their interests with the interests of the Company's stockholders. We believe that equity awards are an important retention tool for the executive officers. The Company's equity award amounts are not formulaic and rather are discretionary. As can be seen through an examination of past practice, executive officers have not received equity awards every year, but instead, through the end fiscal year 2014, typically have received equity awards every two years.

In the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, we awarded the executive officers cash settled stock appreciation rights in the amounts indicated in the Grant of Plan-Based Awards table. The awards have an exercise price equal to the fair market value per share on the date of grant, a term of five years and vesting over three years from the date of the grant. The amounts were determined as a ratio to salary and also reflected performance factors in addition to those formally considered in connection with the plans. The awards in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 to Mr. Lebowitz also included a "reload" stock option grant in connection with the scheduled expiration of his stock appreciation rights based upon equity-based awards made to him in April 2009, prior to the Company's initial public offering. The reload stock option was granted so that he would maintain his initial equity-based grant in the continued success of the Company and the value of its stock. Upon exercise of the awards granted in April 2009, he was awarded a stock option for an equal number of shares, with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of \$19.87 per share on the date of grant, a term of five years and vesting over three years from the date of the grant.

Retirement benefits. Certain of the Company's U.S. employees, including executive officers, are eligible to participate in the Company's 401(k) plans. The 401(k) plans are intended to qualify as a tax qualified plan under Section 401 of the Code. The 401(k) plans provide that each participant may contribute a portion of his or her pretax compensation, up to a statutory limit, and that contribution receives a 25% match by the Company, up to 6% of salary, with a cap on salary. The Company does not provide supplemental retirement benefits to its executive officers, and the Committee

takes this into consideration in connection with setting other compensation.

In fiscal 2012, the Committee approved the establishment of an Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. The plan permits the executive officers each calendar year to voluntarily elect to defer the receipt of up to 50% of their base salary and up to 50% of their annual cash bonus, up to an aggregate maximum of \$1,000,000 for that year, into a deferral account maintained by the Company.

The participants may elect that amounts deferred be deemed to be invested in restricted stock units representing the right to receive in cash the value per share of the Company's common stock based upon the fair market value on the specified payment date and/or one or more investment funds to be specified by the Committee. If a Participant elects that part or all of their annual bonus deferral amount be deemed invested in RSUs, the Company will credit to the participant's deferral account an additional amount equal to 20% of such bonus deferral. The Company made this matching commitment because it seeks to reward participants who choose to align themselves with stockholders and defer already earned compensation and align it squarely with the performance of the Company's stock performance. The matching contribution for each year will vest in accordance with the terms of the applicable bonus plan, subject to acceleration as specified in the bonus plan or the participant's employment agreement.

A participant may elect to have payment of the vested deferred amounts begin as of the sixth month anniversary of their separation from service or a January date that is not less than three years after the year in which the election is made or later than the January following the participant's sixty-fifth birthday (or such other period established by the Committee). Payment would be accelerated upon death, disability or other separation from service, and a participant may elect upon initial deferral that payment be accelerated upon a change in control of the Company.

Other benefits. The Company provides medical insurance to certain full-time employees, including executive officers. Unlike many of our peer companies, our executive officers generally do not receive perquisites, which is a factor the Committee considers in determining other compensation. From time to time, the Company has provided relocation expenses in connection with the relocation of executive officers to the geographic area of the Company's corporate headquarters. We intend to continue to provide relocation expenses in the future, as necessary, to obtain the services of qualified individuals.

Clawback. The Level One Plan, the Level Two Plan and the TSR Plan, because their awards are determined based upon the Company's financial results, include clawback provisions which provide that if the Board determines that (a) there was executive misconduct during an applicable period in the preparation of the financial results for that period that results in a restatement, (b) the restatement is material and (c) the executive is found to have actively participated in such executive misconduct, the Committee will determine the extent, if any, that (x) the achievement of the threshold performance requirements were overstated as a result of the restatement and (y) a portion or all of the award will be returned to the Company.

Ownership requirements. The Board has established ownership requirements of 20 times base salary for the Executive Chairman, 10 times base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 5 times base salary for the Chief Financial Officer

and the Chief Legal Officer. The Executive Chairman currently owns shares with a value substantially in excess of 20 times his base salary. The Chief Executive Officer is required to meet this requirement within five years from adoption in October 2010. The Chief Legal Officer is required to meet this requirement within five years from adoption in February 2013. The Chief Financial Officer is required to meet this requirement within five years of his start date of employment in May 2013. The ownership requirements are staged and with certain amounts needing to be met each of the years of the period. For the purposes of these requirements, the value of common stock is measured at the end of each quarter based upon the closing stock price, the value of vested and unvested options is based on each option's estimated after-tax value divided by the closing stock price and the value of vested and unvested RSUs is based on the RSUs' estimated after-tax value divided by the closing stock price. If an executive officer chooses to use proceeds of an option or RSU exercise to purchase equity required by this policy, the Company will pay the brokerage commissions associated with such purchase.

Federal Tax Considerations Under Code Sections 162(m) and 409A

Section 162(m) of the Code limits the Company's deduction for federal income tax purposes to not more than \$1,000,000 of compensation paid to specified executive officers in a calendar year. Compensation above \$1,000,000 may be deducted if it is performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m). To maintain flexibility in compensating our executive officers in a manner designed to promote our objectives, we have not adopted a policy that requires all compensation to be deductible. However, we evaluate the effects of the compensation limits of Section 162(m) on any compensation we approve and expect to provide future compensation in a manner consistent with the Company's best interests. In this regard, the Level One Plan, the Level Two Plan and the TSR Plan are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation plans.

Section 409A of the Code addresses the tax treatment of nonqualified deferred compensation benefits and provides for significant taxes and penalties in the case of payment of nonqualified deferred compensation. We currently intend to structure our executive compensation programs to avoid triggering these taxes and penalties under Section 409A.

Accounting Considerations

The Company recognizes share-based compensation in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation—Stock Compensation* (ASC 718). Under ASC 718, the Company is required to estimate and record an expense for each award of equity compensation, including stock options, over the vesting period of the award.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Donald G. Barger, Jr., Chairman

Alan Schriber

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION*Summary Executive Officer Compensation Table*

The following table sets forth annual compensation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 of our executive chairman, our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, our former principal financial officer and our chief legal officer in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. We refer to these persons as our “Named Executive Officers.”

| Name and Principal Position | Fiscal Year | Fiscal | | Stock | Option | Non-Equity | All | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | | Salary | Bonus | Awards | Awards (1) | Incentive Plan Compensation (2) | Other Compensation (3) | |
| Alan Kestenbaum | 2014 | \$995,000 | \$- | \$- | \$2,965,663 | \$5,947,148 | \$55,188 | \$9,962,999 |
| Executive Chairman and Director | 2013 | 995,000 | - | - | - | 2,128,000 | 3,731 | 3,126,731 |
| | 2012 | 995,000 | - | - | 4,530,000 | 9,290,100 | - | 14,815,100 |
| Jeff Bradley | 2014 | 700,000 | - | - | 1,735,955 | 2,573,742 | 3,900 | 5,013,597 |
| Chief Executive Officer | 2013 | 700,000 | - | - | - | 912,000 | 4,075 | 1,616,075 |
| | 2012 | 700,000 | - | - | 2,378,250 | 3,981,900 | 7,175 | 7,067,325 |
| Joseph Ragan | 2014 | 450,000 | 200,000 | - | 1,115,972 | 420,483 | 25,599 | 2,212,054 |
| Chief Financial Officer (3) | 2013 | 53,125 | 161,986 | - | - | - | 638 | 215,749 |
| Malcolm Appelbaum | 2014 | 58,333 | - | - | - | - | - | 58,333 |
| Chief Financial Officer (4) | 2013 | 350,000 | 133,000 | - | - | 121,000 | 3,825 | 607,825 |
| | 2012 | 341,667 | 290,000 | 298,772 | 1,258,973 | 668,230 | 7,425 | 2,865,066 |
| Stephen Lebowitz | 2014 | 375,000 | 180,000 | - | 1,768,893 | 605,213 | 5,525 | 2,934,631 |
| Chief Legal Officer | 2013 | 277,740 | 152,000 | - | - | 93,000 | 3,887 | 526,627 |
| | 2012 | 275,000 | 165,000 | - | 622,875 | 513,705 | 3,270 | 1,579,850 |

Reflects awards of options and/or cash settled stock appreciation rights. Award valuation was performed using a Black-Scholes option pricing model on the date of grant. Life was estimated based on the average of the vesting term and contractual life of the award. The risk free rate used in the model was the zero-coupon government bond interest rate at the time of option grant of the instrument with the term closest to the estimated option life. The

- (1) expected dividend yield is estimated over the expected life of the awards based on our historical annual dividend activity. Volatility reflects movements in our stock price over the most recent historical period equivalent to the expected life of the awards. However, since historical trading data related to the Company’s common stock does not exceed the expected life of certain awards, the expected volatility over the term of these awards is estimated using the historical volatility of similar companies.

Incentive compensation under the Level One Plan and the Level Two Plan is calculated based upon the calendar year. The amounts shown for fiscal year 2014 are composed of the portion of the awards paid with respect to the six months ended December 31, 2013 and the portion of the awards accrued with respect to the six months ended June 30, 2014, the amounts shown for fiscal year 2013 are composed of the portion of the awards paid with respect to the six months ended December 31, 2012 and the portion of the awards accrued with respect to the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the amounts shown for fiscal year 2012 are composed of the portion of the awards paid with respect to the six months ended December 31, 2011 and the portion of the awards accrued with respect to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

In fiscal year 2014, Mr. Kestenbaum's Other Compensation includes the services of a tax advisor (\$29,860), the services of a driver, Company 401K plan contributions, automobile lease expenses and parking fees, and Mr. Ragan's Other Compensation includes housing expenses, travel expenses and Company 401K plan contributions.

- (4) Mr. Ragan was appointed to be Chief Financial Officer effective May 20, 2013.
- (5) Mr. Appelbaum ceased to serve as Chief Financial Officer upon the effectiveness of Mr. Ragan's appointment and resigned on August 30, 2013, following the filing of our annual report.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding grants awards that we made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 to each of the Named Executive Officers. The non-equity awards were made under the Level One Plan and the Level Two Plan. All options were granted under our 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan.

| Name | Grant Date | Threshold | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target | Maximum | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Share of Stock or Units | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Option | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards |
|------------------|------------|-----------|--|---------|---|---|---|--|
| | | \$ | \$ | \$ | (#) | (#)(3) | \$(4) | \$ |
| Alan Kestenbaum | 3/29/14 | — | 5,884,000 | (1) | (2) | | | |
| | 8/20/13 | | | | - | 424,006 | 12.54 | 1,471,301 |
| | 3/20/14 | | | | - | 185,866 | 21.36 | 1,494,363 |
| Jeff Bradley | 3/29/14 | — | 2,522,000 | (1) | (2) | | | |
| | 8/20/13 | | | | - | 298,295 | 12.54 | 1,035,084 |
| | 3/20/14 | | | | - | 87,173 | 21.36 | 700,871 |
| Joseph Ragan | 3/29/14 | — | 500,000 | (1) | 1,200,000 | | | |
| | 8/20/13 | | | | - | 191,761 | 12.54 | 665,411 |
| | 3/20/14 | | | | - | 56,040 | 21.36 | 450,562 |
| Stephen Lebowitz | 3/29/14 | — | 450,000 | (1) | 1,100,000 | | | |
| | 7/12/13 | | | | - | 23,585 | 11.28 | 88,915 |
| | 8/20/13 | | | | - | 159,801 | 12.54 | 554,509 |
| | 3/20/14 | | | | - | 46,700 | 21.36 | 375,468 |
| | 2/28/14 | | | | - | 100,000 | 19.87 | 750,000 |

- (1) The payment of any award under the Level One Plan and the Level Two Plan is subject to the Company meeting a threshold performance requirement that the fraction determined by dividing modified EBITDA by the committed capital for the year exceeding 20%. The Target amounts shown assume that the Company meets the return on capital requirement.

Under the Level One Plan, the potential bonus pool is capped at a maximum of \$20 million, although the aggregate (2) bonus pool amount is expected to be significantly lower. The Executive Chairman is entitled to 70% of the pool amount, and the Chief Executive Officer is entitled to 30% of the pool amount.

(3) Awards of cash settled stock appreciation rights and/or stock options. The awards vest in thirds on the first, second and third anniversary of the grant date.

(4) Per share exercise price of cash settled stock appreciation rights or stock options.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information about outstanding stock options, cash settled stock appreciation rights and restricted shares held by our Named Executive Officers at June 30, 2014. All of the options and restricted shares were granted under our 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan.

| Name | Option Awards | | | | | Stock Awards | |
|-------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Option Exercise Price (\$/Share) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) | |
| Alan Kestenbaum | 343,750 | 156,250 | (1) | 18.81 | 08/11/16 | 108,578 (4) | 2,256,251 |
| | - | 185,866 | (2) | 21.36 | 03/20/19 | | |
| | 141,336 | 282,670 | (3) | 12.54 | 08/20/18 | | |
| Jeff Bradley | 180,469 | 82,031 | (1) | 18.81 | 08/11/16 | | |
| | 99,432 | 198,863 | (3) | 12.54 | 08/20/18 | | |
| | - | 87,173 | (2) | 21.36 | 03/20/19 | | |
| Joseph Ragan | - | 191,761 | (5) | 12.54 | 08/20/18 | | |
| | - | 56,040 | (2) | 21.36 | 03/20/19 | | |
| Malcolm Appelbaum | 60,156 | 27,344 | (1) | 18.81 | 08/11/16 | 20,380 (9) | 423,496 |
| | - | 61,136 | (6) | 14.72 | 09/01/17 | | |
| Stephen Lebowitz | 21,500 | - | | 12.00 | 01/08/15 | | |
| | 28,500 | - | | 12.00 | 01/13/15 | | |
| | 47,266 | 21,484 | (1) | 18.81 | 08/11/16 | | |
| | - | 100,000 | (7) | 19.87 | 02/28/19 | | |
| | 7,862 | 15,723 | (8) | 11.28 | 07/08/23 | | |

| | | | | |
|--------|---------|-----|-------|----------|
| 53,267 | 106,534 | (3) | 12.54 | 08/20/18 |
| - | 46,700 | (2) | 21.36 | 03/20/19 |

- (1) Option vests quarterly over four years through August 11, 2015.
- (2) Cash settled SARs vesting annually through March 20, 2017.
- (3) Cash settled SARs vesting annually through August 20, 2016.
- (4) Restricted shares vest on November 13, 2020.
- (5) Option vests annually over three years through August 20, 2016.
- (6) Option vests on December 31, 2014.
- (7) Option vests annually over three years through February 28, 2017.
- (8) Cash settled SARs vesting annually through July 8, 2016.
- (9) RSU's cliff vest on December 31, 2014.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The table below summarizes the cash settled stock appreciation rights exercised and the restricted stock awards vested during the year ended June 30, 2014.

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise \$(1) | Number of Shares Acquired On Vesting (#) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$) |
| Alan Kestenbaum | 1,500,000 | \$ 24,210,000 | — | \$ — |
| Jeff Bradley | 750,000 | \$ 11,752,500 | — | \$ — |
| Joseph Ragan | — | \$ — | — | \$ — |
| Stephen Lebowitz | 100,000 | \$ 1,587,000 | — | \$ — |
| Malcolm Appelbaum | — | \$ — | — | \$ — |

(1) Represents exercise and settlement of cash settled stock appreciation rights. During the year ended June 30, 2014, directors and officers were issued 2,447,500 cash settled stock appreciation rights granted as a result of the forfeiture of 2,447,500 nonqualified stock options. The value realized on exercise equals number of share rights multiplied by the share market value on exercise date less exercise price.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table provides information about compensation deferred on a non-tax qualified basis during our fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 by each of our Named Executive Officers.

| Name | Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)(1) | Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$) | Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$) | Aggregate Balance at last FYE (\$) |
|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Alan Kestenbaum | 797,563 | 4,170,985 | 387,455 | 8,947,650 |
| Jeff Bradley | 354,887 | 1,789,075 | 165,430 | 3,850,529 |
| Joseph Ragan | - | - | - | - |

| | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Malcolm Appelbaum | - | 220,535 | 55,506 | 405,257 |
| Stephen Lebowitz | 60,157 | 179,441 | 46,799 | 384,507 |

(1) Under the Level One Plan and the Level Two Plan, payouts are made in accordance with deferral schedules which requires that certain bonus amounts be deferred. All deferred amounts are awarded in restricted stock units (RSUs) that settle in cash, vest proportionally over three years and are not paid out until the end of the third year. The entries reflect the amounts of the Named Executive Officers' cash bonus that were required to be deferred during our fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. The aggregate earnings reflect the net increase or decrease in the value of the stock during the fiscal year, and the aggregate balances at last fiscal year end reflect the closing price of the common stock on June 30, 2014.

Summary Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned during our fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 by our non-employee directors.

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash | Option Awards | Stock Awards(7) | Total |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Donald G. Barger, Jr. (1) | \$ 81,660 | \$ 194,309 | \$ 59,360 | \$ 335,329 |
| Bruce L. Crockett (2) | 13,125 | - | - | 13,125 |
| Thomas A. Danjczek (3) | 51,000 | 15,000 | 29,786 | 95,786 |
| Stuart E. Eizenstat (4) | 45,000 | 215,750 | 59,360 | 320,110 |
| Franklin L. Lavin (5) | 63,000 | 15,000 | 59,360 | 137,360 |
| Alan R. Schriber (6) | 52,500 | 101,750 | 59,360 | 213,610 |

(1) At fiscal year end, Mr. Barger held 12,784 unexercised cash settled stock appreciation rights (SARs), 43,623 option awards (whether or not exercisable) and 1,323 unvested stock awards (including unvested stock units).

(2) At fiscal year end, Mr. Crockett held no unexercised SARs, no option awards (whether or not exercisable) and no unvested stock awards (including unvested stock units).

(3) At fiscal year end, Mr. Danjczek held 4,261 unexercised SARs, 4,990 option awards (whether or not exercisable) and 1,323 unvested stock awards (including unvested stock units). Mr. Danjczek retired as a director effective as of May 15, 2014.

(4) At fiscal year end, Mr. Eizenstat held 12,784 unexercised SARs, 43,623 unexercised option awards (whether or not exercisable) and 1,323 unvested stock awards (including unvested stock units).

(5) At fiscal year end, Mr. Lavin held 12,784 unexercised SARs, 18,623 unexercised option awards (whether or not exercisable) and 1,323 unvested stock awards (including unvested stock units).

(6) At fiscal year end, Mr. Schriber held 12,784 unexercised SARs, 29,990 unexercised option awards (whether or not exercisable) and 1,323 unvested stock awards (including unvested stock units).

(7) For each director, reflects an award of cash settled restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$15,000 and an awards of SARs with a grant date fair value of \$44,360, except Mr. Danjczek, who received an award of cash settled restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$15,000 and an awards of SARs with a grant date fair value of \$14,786.

Employment Agreements

The following is a description of the terms of the employment agreements with each of the Named Executive Officer. Each of the agreement also provides for certain payments upon death or disability and certain payments and severance upon termination by the Company without cause or termination by the executive for good reason as described below in "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control."

Alan Kestenbaum. On January 27, 2011, we entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Kestenbaum, following approval by the Compensation Committee. In accordance with the agreement, Mr. Kestenbaum continues to serve as our Executive Chairman. The agreement also provides that throughout the term of the agreement, we shall seek election of Mr. Kestenbaum to the Board. The agreement provides for a four-year term, effective as of November 13, 2010. At the end of the term, the term will automatically be extended for successive one year periods, unless either the Company or Mr. Kestenbaum has provided the other with at least 90 days prior written notice of its or his intention to allow the agreement to expire. Mr. Kestenbaum's annual base salary is \$995,000, subject to any increase as determined by the Compensation Committee. Upon entering the agreement, the Compensation Committee also awarded Mr. Kestenbaum 108,578 shares of restricted common stock, which vest on the tenth anniversary of the commencement date of the agreement if Mr. Kestenbaum is then employed by the Company, subject to earlier vesting and delivery in certain circumstances described below. Mr. Kestenbaum is eligible for an annual bonus pursuant to the Company's Level One Plan and other bonuses, stock options and/or other stock benefits at the discretion of the Board. Furthermore, Mr. Kestenbaum is entitled to certain insurance and leave benefits. The agreement requires Mr. Kestenbaum to protect the confidentiality of the Company's proprietary and confidential information, and it further provides, if he is terminated for cause, resigns without good reason or is terminated or resigns in connection with a change of control, that for two years after termination he will not directly compete with the Company, seek to solicit the Company's customers or hire the Company's employees.

Jeff Bradley. On July 5, 2011, we entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Bradley, following approval by the Compensation Committee. In accordance with the agreement, Mr. Bradley continues to serve as our Chief Executive Officer. The agreement provides for a four-year term, effective as of May 25, 2011. At the end of the term, the term will automatically be extended for successive one year periods, unless either the Company or Mr. Bradley has provided the other with at least 90 days prior written notice of its or his intention to allow the agreement to expire. Mr. Bradley's annual base salary is \$700,000, subject to any increase as determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Bradley is eligible for an annual bonus pursuant to the Level One Plan and other bonuses, stock options and/or other stock benefits at the discretion of the Board. Furthermore, Mr. Bradley is entitled to certain insurance and leave benefits. The agreement requires Mr. Bradley to protect the confidentiality of the Company's proprietary and confidential information and it further provides, if he is terminated for cause, resigns without good reason or is terminated or resigns in connection with a change of control, that for two years after termination he will not directly compete with the Company, seek to solicit the customers or hire the Company's employees.

Joseph Ragan. On May 30, 2013, we entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Ragan. In accordance with the agreement, Mr. Ragan serves as our Chief Financial Officer. The agreement provides for a three year term, effective as of May 21, 2013. At the end of the term, the term will automatically be extended for successive one year periods, unless either the Company or Mr. Ragan has provided the other with at least 90 days prior written notice of its or his intention to allow the agreement to expire. Mr. Ragan's annual base salary is \$450,000, subject to any increase as determined by the Compensation Committee. In connection with entering into the agreement, Mr. Ragan was awarded a cash bonus. The agreement also provides for the payment of a performance bonus based upon "modified EBITDA" and "modified free cash flow" for the calendar years 2013, 2014 and 2015. In addition, Mr. Ragan is also entitled to an additional annual bonus with respect to 2013, 2014 and 2015, based on achieving certain individual performance goals to be adopted by the Compensation Committee in consultation with the Executive Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer with respect to such years. Mr. Ragan is also eligible for bonuses pursuant to the Company's 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Furthermore, Mr. Ragan is entitled to certain insurance and leave benefits. The agreement requires Mr. Ragan to protect the confidentiality of the Company's proprietary and confidential information and it further provides, if he is terminated for cause, resigns without good reason or is terminated or resigns in connection with a change of control, that for two years after termination he will not directly compete with the Company, seek to solicit the customers or hire the Company's employees.

Stephen Lebowitz. On July 8, 2013, we entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Lebowitz. In accordance with the agreement, Mr. Lebowitz continues to serve as our Chief Legal Officer. The agreement provides for a three year and six month term, effective as of June 20, 2013. At the end of the term, the term will automatically be extended for successive one year periods, unless either the Company or Mr. Lebowitz has provided the other with at least 90 days prior written notice of its or his intention to allow the agreement to expire. Mr. Lebowitz's annual base salary is \$375,000, subject to any increase as determined by the Compensation Committee. In connection with entering into the agreement, Mr. Lebowitz was awarded stock appreciation rights. The agreement also provides for the payment of a performance bonus based upon "modified EBITDA" and "modified free cash flow" for the calendar years 2013, 2014, and 2015. In addition, Mr. Lebowitz is also entitled to an additional annual bonus with respect to 2013, 2014, and 2015, based on achieving certain individual performance goals to be adopted by the Compensation Committee in consultation with the Executive Chairman and the chief executive officer with respect to such years. Mr. Lebowitz is also eligible for bonuses pursuant to the Company's 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Furthermore, Mr. Lebowitz is entitled to certain insurance and leave benefits. The agreement requires Mr. Lebowitz to protect the confidentiality of the Company's proprietary and confidential information and it further provides, if he is terminated for cause, resigns

without good reason or is terminated or resigns in connection with a change of control, that for two years after termination he will not directly compete with the Company, seek to solicit the customers or hire the Company's employees.

Malcolm Appelbaum. On March 20, 2013, Mr. Appelbaum entered into an agreement to depart as Chief Financial Officer by the later of August 31, 2013 or the date that our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013 was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and attendant investor calls were completed. We agreed to pay him his base salary at the rate in effect as of December 31, 2012 and provide him with certain benefits in which he participated as of December 31, 2012 through the termination of his employment. He agreed that he would not be entitled to any cash bonus or performance payment with respect to the period after December 31, 2012 or award under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan with respect to the period after December 31, 2012. We paid him his 2012 award under the Level Two Plan in accordance with the terms of the plan. His outstanding options continue in accordance with their existing terms and his awards of RSU's continue to vest and to settle in accordance with their existing terms. The timing and settlement of the RSUs are subject to Section 409A of the Code. For a period of two years after his termination of employment, Mr. Appelbaum agreed not to, directly or indirectly, engage in any business that competes with the Company, solicit any employee to terminate their employment with the Company, hire any person who was an employee of the Company, or divert the business or patronage of any of customers of the Company.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control

The employment agreements the company has entered with Mr. Kestenbaum, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Ragan and Mr. Lebowitz provide that if the executive's employment terminates by reason of his death or disability, he would be entitled to payment of all vested and unvested incentive awards, payment of pro rata incentive awards for the then current plan year and, in the case of Mr. Kestenbaum, full vesting of the his long-term stock award. If the executive's employment is terminated without cause or if he resigns for good reason, he would be entitled to receive the foregoing items plus a lump sum severance payment comprised of a multiple of his base pay and the value of his Incentive Awards and the pre-tax cost of between one and two years' COBRA coverage for himself and his family under the Company's health plans. Nonrenewal of the term by the Company shall be treated as a termination without cause. The multiple for Mr. Kestenbaum is 2.0, for Mr. Bradley is 1.5, for Mr. Ragan is 1.0 and for Mr. Lebowitz is 1.0.

If the executive's employment is terminated by the Company during the six-month period before or the two-year period after a change of control of the Company as defined in the agreement (the "Protection Period") (other than for cause, disability or as a result of his death), or if he terminates his employment during the Protection Period for good reason, the executive shall be entitled to the same payments as upon termination without cause or for good reason, except that the lump sum severance payment shall be an amount equal to a multiple of the sum of his average base pay and his average Incentive Awards for the past five years. The multiple for Mr. Kestenbaum is one dollar less than three times, for Mr. Bradley is 2.0, for Mr. Ragan is 1.0 and for Mr. Lebowitz is 1.5. If the payments to the executive upon termination following a change of control would be subject to the excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code, a nationally recognized certified public accounting firm selected by the Company shall determine whether to reduce the payments so that the value shall not exceed the safe harbor amount specified in Section 280G(b)(3) of the Code. The payments shall be reduced if the accounting firm determines that the executive would receive a greater net-after tax amount if the aggregate payments were so reduced.

Under the agreements, “good reason” generally means: a material reduction of compensation, the assignment of duties substantially inconsistent with, or a reduction of, responsibilities then in effect or a material breach of the agreement. With respect to the Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, “cause” generally means conviction of a felony causing material harm to the Company or any crime involving material fraud or embezzlement with respect to the Company’s property or a breach of the agreement after written notice and thirty days opportunity to cure, and with respect to the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Legal Officer, “cause” generally means indictment or conviction of a felony or any crime involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud or embezzlement, failure to perform duties as reasonably directed or a breach of a material term of the agreement after written notice and thirty days opportunity to cure or commission of any act involving bad faith, moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, embezzlement, disloyalty or other similar conduct.

The table below reflects the amount of compensation to each of our Named Executive Officers upon termination of such executive’s employment following: voluntary resignation, involuntary termination not-for-cause or voluntary termination for good reason, involuntary termination for cause, termination on death or disability and termination following a change of control. The amounts shown assume that such termination was effective on June 30, 2014 and thus include amounts earned through such time and estimates of amounts that would be paid out to the executives on their termination. The actual amount to be paid can only be determined at the time of such executive’s termination.

| Named Executive Officer | Voluntary | By Company Without Cause or by Officer for | | Death | Disability | Following a Change of Control |
|--|-----------|---|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Good Reason | By Company for Cause | | | |
| Alan Kestenbaum | | | | | | |
| Salary and Bonus | - | 20,412,708 | - | 1,623,708 | 1,623,708 | 29,338,931 |
| Continuation of Benefits | - | 59,034 | - | - | - | 59,034 |
| Value of Accelerated Incentive Awards | - | 2,637,013 | - | 2,637,013 | 2,637,013 | 2,637,013 |
| Value of Accelerated Long Term Award (1) | - | 2,256,251 | - | 2,256,251 | 2,256,251 | 2,256,251 |
| Total | - | 25,365,006 | - | 6,516,972 | 6,516,972 | 34,291,229 |
| Jeff Bradley | | | | | | |
| Salary and Bonus | - | 5,862,933 | - | 695,433 | 695,433 | 8,385,925 |
| Continuation of Benefits | - | 51,504 | - | - | - | 51,504 |
| Value of Accelerated Incentive Awards | - | 1,800,232 | - | 1,800,232 | 1,800,232 | 1,800,232 |
| Total | - | 7,714,669 | - | 2,495,665 | 2,495,665 | 10,237,661 |
| Joseph Ragan | | | | | | |
| Salary and Bonus | - | 676,936 | - | 226,936 | 226,936 | 962,173 |
| Continuation of Benefits | - | 30,644 | - | - | - | 30,644 |
| Incentive Award granted/vested in prior year | - | 1,580,111 | - | - | - | - |
| Value of Accelerated Incentive Awards | - | 1,580,111 | - | 1,580,111 | 1,580,111 | 1,580,111 |
| Total | - | 3,867,801 | - | 1,807,047 | 1,807,047 | 2,572,927 |
| Stephen Lebowitz | | | | | | |
| Salary and Bonus | - | 1,211,923 | 187,500 | 203,923 | 203,923 | 1,615,962 |
| Continuation of Benefits | - | 33,803 | - | - | - | 33,803 |
| Value of Accelerated Incentive Award | - | 1,160,532 | - | 1,160,532 | 1,160,532 | 1,160,532 |
| Total | - | 2,406,258 | 187,500 | 1,364,455 | 1,364,455 | 2,810,297 |
| Malcolm Appelbaum (2) | | | | | | |
| Salary and Bonus | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Continuation of Benefits | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Value of Accelerated Restricted Stock (1) | - | 490,096 | - | - | - | - |
| Value of Accelerated Incentive Awards | - | 424,352 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | 914,448 | - | - | - | - |

(1) The amount represents the intrinsic value of the accelerated amount of the stock awards, based upon the closing price per share of \$20.78 on June 30, 2014 on NASDAQ.

(2)

Under Mr. Appelbaum's separation agreement, there were no change in control benefits with respect to the year ended June 30, 2014.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, some stockholder proposals may be eligible for inclusion in our 2015 annual meeting proxy statement. These stockholder proposals must be submitted, along with proof of ownership of our stock in accordance with Rule 14a-8(b)(2), to our principal executive offices at in care of our Corporate Secretary by no later than the close of business on June 26, 2015 to be considered for inclusion in Globe's proxy material relating to such meeting.

In addition, any stockholder intending to nominate a candidate for election to the Board or to propose any business at our 2015 annual meeting, other than a stockholder proposal presented under Rule 14a-8, must give notice to our Corporate Secretary between June 26 and July 27, 2015. A stockholder notice to the Corporate Secretary must set forth each matter the stockholder proposes to bring before the annual meeting.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The following is a description of the transactions we have engaged in since the beginning of our fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, with our directors and officers and beneficial owners of more than five percent of our voting securities and their affiliates.

We have entered into agreements with Marco International, an affiliate of Mr. Kestenbaum, to sell ferrosilicon and calcium silicon powder. Net sales to Marco International of these products were \$641,000 and \$4,173,000, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2014, there were no receivables from Marco International under these agreements. We also have entered into agreements with Marco International to purchase carbon electrodes and rare earth materials. For the year ended June 30, 2014, Marco International billed \$19,217,000 and \$559,000 under these agreements. At June 30, 2014, payables to Marco International under these agreements totaled \$1,140,000 and \$0, respectively.

We believe that all of the transactions above were made on terms no less favorable to us than could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties. Pursuant to the Company's policies, including Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for the Directors, Officers and Employees, each of the above-referenced relationships and related transactions was subject to the prior consideration and approval of the Board, including a majority vote of the disinterested directors. We intend that all future transactions with related parties will be approved by a majority of the Board, including a majority of the disinterested directors, and will continue to be on terms no less favorable to us than could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

There is no family relationship between any director or executive officer of Globe and any other director or executive officer of Globe.

OTHER MATTERS

Costs of Solicitation

Globe will bear the costs of the solicitation of proxies for use at the Annual Meeting. In addition to the use of the mails, proxies may be solicited by personal interview and telephone by Globe directors, officers and employees. Arrangements will also be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees, and fiduciaries, who are record holders of Stock, for forwarding solicitation material to the beneficial owners of the Stock. Globe will, on the request of such record holders, pay the reasonable expenses for completing the mailing of such materials to the beneficial owners.

Annual Report

A copy of the Globe's Annual Report on Form 10-K (without exhibits) for the year ended June 30, 2014, will be furnished without charge on written or telephonic request to 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1500, Miami, FL 33131, attention Corporate Secretary, or call 786-509-6900. The Form 10-K is also available at our website www.glbsm.com.

Other Business

The Board knows of no other business that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. If other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, however, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote the shares represented thereby on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Stephen Lebowitz, Corporate Secretary

Miami, Florida

October 27, 2014

