

UNITED BANCSHARES INC/OH
Form 10-K
March 09, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

Commission File No.: 000-29283

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.

(exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

OHIO **34-1516518**
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer I.D. No.)
incorporation or organization)

100 S. High Street, Columbus Grove, Ohio 45830

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(419) 659-2141**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, no par value – NASDAQ Global Market

(Title of class)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was 48,956,831, based upon the last sales price as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market as of June 30, 2015.

The number of shares of Common Stock, no par value outstanding as of January 31, 2016: 3,299,755

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 are incorporated by reference into Part II. Portions of the Proxy Statement dated March 23, 2016 for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 27, 2016 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Forward Looking Statements

From time to time, we have made or will make forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements usually can be identified by the use of words such as “goal,” “objective,” “outlook,” “plan,” “strategy,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “project,” “believe,” “estimate,” or other words of similar meaning, or by words or phrases indicating that an event or trend “may,” “should,” “will,” “is likely,” or that an event or trend is “probable” to occur or “continue.” Forward-looking statements also may use the words “may,” “should,” “will,” “is likely,” or “is probable” to occur or “continue.” Forward-looking statements also may use the words “has begun,” “is scheduled,” or is “on track.” Forward-looking statements provide our current expectations or forecasts of future events, circumstances, results or aspirations. Our disclosures in this report contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We may also make forward-looking statements in our other documents filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

Forward-looking statements are not historical facts and, by their nature, are subject to assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, many of which are outside of our control. Our actual results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements. There is no assurance that any list of risks and uncertainties or risk factors is complete. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those described in forward-looking statements, include, but are not limited to:

- deterioration of commercial real estate market fundamentals;
- defaults by our loan counterparties or trends;
- adverse changes in credit quality trends;
- declining asset prices;
- our ability to accurately estimate collateral values, future levels of nonperforming loans, and other borrower fundamentals as part of our credit review process;
- changes in local, regional and international business, economic or political conditions affecting the regions in which we operate;
- the extensive and increasing regulation of the U.S. financial services industry;
- changes in accounting policies, rules and interpretations;
- increasing capital and liquidity standards under applicable regulatory rules;
- unanticipated changes in our liquidity position, including but not limited to, changes in the cost of liquidity, our ability to enter the financial markets and to secure alternative funding sources;
- our ability to receive dividends from our subsidiary, The Union Bank Company;
- breaches of security or failures of our technology systems due to technological or other factors and cybersecurity threats;
- operational or risk management failures by us or critical third-parties;
- adverse judicial proceedings;
- the occurrence of natural or man-made disasters or conflicts or terrorist attacks;
- a reversal of the U.S. economic recovery due to financial, political or other shocks;
- our ability to anticipate interest rate changes and manage interest rate risk;
- deterioration of economic conditions in the geographic regions where we operate;
- the soundness of other financial institutions;

- our ability to attract and retain talented executives and employees and to manage our reputational risks;
- our ability to timely and effectively implement our strategic initiatives; and
- increased competitive pressure due to industry consolidation.

Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of subsequent events or circumstances. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider all risks and uncertainties disclosed in our SEC filings, including this report on Form 10-K and our subsequent reports on Form 10-Q and 8-K and any other filings made with the SEC, all of which are or will upon filing be accessible on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and on our website at www.theubank.com.

INDEX

	Page(s)
<u>Part I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	5-26
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	26-35
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	36
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	36
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	36
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	36
<u>Part II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	37
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	38
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	38
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	38
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	38
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	38
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	38
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	39
<u>Part III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant</u>	40
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	40
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	40
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	40
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	41
<u>Part IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	41
<u>Signatures</u>	43

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

United Bancshares, Inc. (“UBOH”), an Ohio corporation, organized in 1985, is headquartered in Columbus Grove, Ohio. We are a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the “BHCA”), with consolidated total assets of \$608.7 million at December 31, 2015. UBOH is regulated as a one-bank holding company by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve Board”), and its principal asset and operating subsidiary is The Union Bank Company, an Ohio state chartered commercial bank (“Union Bank”). As of December 31, 2015, UBOH and its subsidiary (collectively the “Corporation”) employed approximately 151 full-time equivalent employees.

United Bancshares, Inc.’s common stock has traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol “UBOH” since March 2001.

Union Bank

Union Bank is an Ohio state-chartered bank supervised by the State of Ohio, Division of Financial Institutions (the “Division”), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the “FDIC”). Through Union Bank, we provide a wide range of commercial and retail banking services. Union Bank offers a full range of commercial banking services, including checking accounts, savings and money market accounts; certificates of deposit; on-line banking and automatic teller machines; commercial, consumer, agricultural, residential mortgage and home equity loans; wealth management services; treasury management services; safe deposit box rentals; and other personalized banking services. Through our fifteen branch offices located in Bowling Green, Columbus Grove, Delaware, Delphos, Findlay, Gibsonburg, Kalida, Leipsic, Lima, Marion, Ottawa, and Pemberville, Ohio, we serve the Ohio counties of Allen, Delaware, Hancock, Marion, Putnam, Sandusky, Van Wert, and Wood.

In the operation of its business, Union Bank maintains a strong community orientation. Union Bank’s business model emphasizes personalized service, clients’ access to key decision makers, individualized-attention, tailored products, and access to on-line banking tools. Union Bank’s management has placed a special emphasis on personalized attention to its customers’ needs in order to better serve the members of the community and create opportunities for them. Union Bank concentrates its efforts on serving the financial needs of the business in the Ohio counties that it

serves as well as on providing financing to customers seeking to purchase or build their own homes; routinely seeking opportunities to foster economic growth and wealth accumulation in local economies through the financing of local entrepreneurs and residences in the areas we serve.

Union Bank has two subsidiaries: UBC Investments, Inc. (“UBC”), an entity formed to hold its securities portfolio, and UBC Property, Inc. (“UBC Property”), an entity formed to hold and manage certain property that is acquired in lieu of foreclosure.

Additional information

Our executive offices are located at 100 S High Street, Columbus Grove, OH 45830 and our telephone number is (419) 659-2141. Our website is www.theubank.com.

We make available free of charge, on or through the United Bancshares link on our website (www.theubank.com), our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), as well as proxy statements, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Also posted on our website and available in print upon request are the charters for our Audit Committee, Compensation, and Nominating Committees and our Senior Officer Code of Ethics. Within the time period required by the SEC and the NASDAQ Global Market, we will post on our website any amendment to the Senior Officer Code of Ethics or the above-referenced governance documents or you may request the documents by writing to our Chief Financial Officer at The Union Bank Co., 100 South High Street, Columbus Grove, OH 45830 or by calling (419) 659-2141.

The public may read and copy any filed materials with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 E. Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Referenced Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that the Corporation electronically files with the SEC.

Competition

The Corporation competes for deposits with other commercial banks, savings associations and credit unions and issuers of commercial paper and other securities, such as shares in money market mutual funds. Primary factors in competing for deposits include customer service, interest rates and convenience. In making loans, the Corporation competes with other commercial banks, savings associations, consumer finance companies, credit unions, leasing companies, mortgage companies and other lenders. Competition is affected by, among other things, the general availability of lendable funds, general and local economic conditions, current interest rate levels and other factors that are not readily predictable. The financial services industry is likely to become more competitive as further technology advances enable more companies to provide financial services. We compete by offering quality products and innovative services at competitive prices, and by maintaining our products and services offerings to keep pace with customer preferences in the regions that we operate.

In recent years, mergers and acquisitions have led to greater concentration in the banking industry, placing added competitive pressure on our core banking products and services. Consolidation continued during 2015, primarily through private merger and acquisition transactions, and led to redistribution of deposits and certain banking assets to other financial institutions. We expect this trend to continue during 2016, due primarily to increased compliance costs. We, therefore, expect competition in the markets we serve to intensify with the advent of new technology and consolidation trends. As a matter of course, we continue to evaluate opportunities in the markets we serve or contiguous markets to improve our footprint, while balancing the efficiency of technology.

Supervision and Regulation

General

The following discussion addresses the material elements of the regulatory framework applicable to bank holding companies, like UBOH, and our subsidiary bank, Union Bank. This regulatory framework is intended primarily to protect customers and depositors, the Deposit Insurance Fund (the "DIF") of the FDIC, and the banking system as a whole, rather than for the protection of security holders and creditors. We cannot predict changes in the applicable laws, regulations and regulatory agency policies, yet such changes may have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

UBOH

As a bank holding company, UBOH is subject to the regulation, supervision, and examination by the Federal Reserve Board under the BHCA. Pursuant to the BHCA, bank holding companies generally may not, in general, directly or indirectly own or control more than 5% of the voting shares, or substantially all of the assets, of any bank or savings association, without prior approval by the Federal Reserve Board. In addition, bank holding companies are generally prohibited from engaging in commercial or industrial activities.

Under federal law, a bank holding company, like UBOH, must serve as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary depository institutions by providing financial assistance to them in the event of their financial distress. This support may be required when we do not have the resources to, or would prefer not to, provide it. Certain loans by a bank holding company to a subsidiary bank are subordinate in right of payment to deposits in, and certain other indebtedness of, the subsidiary bank. In addition, federal law provides that in the bankruptcy of a bank holding company, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to priority of payment.

Union Bank

As an Ohio state-chartered bank, and a member of the DIF, administered by the FDIC, Union Bank is supervised and regulated by the Division and the FDIC. As insurer, the FDIC imposes deposit insurance premiums and conducts examinations of and requires reporting by FDIC-insured institutions under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended (the "FDIA").

Various requirements and restrictions under the laws of the United States and the State of Ohio affect the operations of Union Bank, including requirements to maintain reserves against deposits, restrictions on the nature and amount of loans which may be made and the interest that may be charged thereon, restrictions relating to investments and other activities, limitations on credit exposure to correspondent banks, limitations on activities based on capital and surplus, limitations on payment of dividends, and limitations on branching.

As a member of the FHLB, Union Bank is required to, among other things, maintain an investment in capital stock of the FHLB. Union Bank receives dividends on its investment in FHLB stock. Under certain conditions, secured advances to Union Bank are available from the FHLB to meet operational requirements. Such advances are renewable and can be obtained up to specified dollar amounts. These advances are secured primarily by Union Bank's eligible mortgage loans and FHLB stock.

Regulatory capital and liquidity

Current regulatory capital requirements

Federal banking regulators have promulgated risk-based capital and leverage ratio requirements applicable to UBOH and Union Bank. The adequacy of regulatory capital is assessed periodically by federal banking agencies in their examination and supervision processes, and in the evaluation of applications in connection with certain expansion activities.

The risk-based capital guidelines adopted by the federal banking regulators and effective through December 31, 2015, include both a definition and a framework for calculating risk weighted assets by assigning assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. The minimum ratio of total capital to risk weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items, such as standby letters of credit) is 8%. At least 4% is to be comprised of common shareholders' equity (including retained earnings but excluding treasury stock), noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, a limited amount of cumulative perpetual preferred stock, and minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets ("Tier 1 capital"). The remainder ("Tier 2 capital") may consist, among other things, of mandatory convertible debt securities, a limited amount of subordinated debt, other preferred stock and a limited amount of allowance for loan losses. Each of the federal banking agencies also impose a minimum leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to total assets) for banking organizations. The minimum leverage ratio is currently 3% for bank holding companies that are considered "strong" under the Federal Reserve Board's guidelines or which have implemented the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital measure for market risk. The minimum leverage ratio is 1%-2% higher for other bank holding companies and banks based on their particular circumstances and risk profiles and for those banks experiencing or anticipating significant growth. The FDIC imposes similar capital requirements on Union Bank adopted by the FDIC.

The Corporation currently satisfies all capital requirements. Failure to meet applicable capital guidelines could subject a banking institution to a variety of enforcement remedies available to federal and state regulatory authorities, including the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC. The junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued in 2003 and the trust preferred securities from The Ohio State Bank acquisition, as described in Note 10 in the consolidated financial statements contained in the Corporation's Annual Report, currently qualify as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. However, it is possible that regulations could change so that such securities do not qualify.

The federal banking regulators have established regulations governing prompt corrective action to resolve capital deficient banks. Under these regulations, institutions, which become undercapitalized, become subject to mandatory regulatory scrutiny and limitations that increase as capital decreases. Such institutions are also required to file capital plans with their primary federal regulator, and their holding companies must guarantee the capital shortfall up to 5% of the assets of the capital deficient institution at the time it becomes undercapitalized.

The ability of a bank holding company to obtain funds for the payment of dividends and for other cash requirements is largely dependent on the amount of dividends that may be declared by its subsidiary bank and other subsidiaries. However, the Federal Reserve Board expects the Corporation to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary bank, which may require it to retain capital for further investment in the subsidiary, rather than for dividends for shareholders of UBOH. The Bank may not pay dividends to UBOH if, after paying such dividends, it would fail to meet the required minimum levels under the risk-based capital guidelines and the minimum leverage ratio requirements. The Bank must have the approval of its regulatory authorities if a dividend in any year would cause the total dividends for that year to exceed the sum of the current year's net income and the retained net income for the preceding two years, less required transfers to surplus. Payment of dividends by a bank subsidiary may be restricted at any time at the discretion of the regulatory authorities, if they deem such dividends to constitute an unsafe and/or unsound banking practice. These provisions could have the effect of limiting UBOH's ability to pay dividends on its outstanding common shares.

The FDIA requires the relevant federal banking regulator to take "prompt corrective action" with respect to an FDIC-insured depository institution that does not meet certain capital adequacy standards. Banks and savings associations are classified into one (1) of five (5) categories based upon capital adequacy, ranging from "well-capitalized" to "critically undercapitalized." Restrictions on operations, management and capital distributions begin to apply at "adequately capitalized" status and become progressively stricter as the insured depository institutions approaches "critically undercapitalized" status. Generally, the regulations require the appropriate federal banking agency to take prompt corrective action with respect to an institution which becomes "undercapitalized" and to take additional actions if the institution becomes "significantly undercapitalized" or "critically undercapitalized." Under the Prompt Corrective Action requirements effective through December 31, 2015, a depository institution is considered well-capitalized if it maintains total risk-based capital of greater than or equal to 10%; tier 1 risk-based capital of at least 6%; and a tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 5%. As of December 31, 2015, Union Bank has total risk-based capital of 16.8%, tier 1 risk-based capital and CET 1 capital of 15.9%, and tier 1 leverage of 11.8%. Effective January 1, 2015, final rules promulgated by the FDIC pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, provide that for a depository institution to be considered well-capitalized it must maintain common equity tier 1 capital of at least 6.5%; tier 1 risk-based capital of at least 8%; total risk-based capital of at least 10%; and leverage ratio of at least 5%. While the Prompt Corrective Action requirements only apply to FDIC-insured depository institutions and not to bank holding companies, the mandatory Prompt Corrective Action "capital restoration plan" required of an undercapitalized institution by its relevant regulator must be guaranteed to a limited extent by the institution's parent bank holding company.

In October 2013, the federal banking regulators published final rules establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations (the “Regulatory Capital Rules”). The Regulatory Capital Rules implement the Basel Committee’s December 2010 framework known as “Basel III” for strengthening international capital standard as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The implementation of the Regulatory Capital Rules will lead to higher capital requirements and more restrictive leverage liquidity ratios than those currently in place. In addition, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions, such as dividend payments and certain bonus payments to executive officers, the Regulatory Capital Rules require insured financial institutions to hold a capital conservation buffer of common equity tier 1 capital above the minimum risk-based capital requirements. The capital conservation buffer will be phased in over time, beginning January 1, 2016, for non-advanced approach institutions, like Union Bank and UBOH, becoming fully effective on January 1, 2019, and will consist of an additional amount of common equity equal to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. The Regulatory Capital Rules also revise the regulatory agencies’ prompt corrective action framework by incorporating the new regulatory capital minimums and updating the definition of common equity. The Regulatory Capital Rules phase in beginning January 1, 2015, for non-advanced approaches banking organizations, like UBOH and Union Bank, and January 1, 2014, for advanced approach banking organizations, and will be fully phased in by January 1, 2019. Until the rules are fully phased in, we cannot predict the ultimate impact they will have upon the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation.

Federal banking law and regulations impose limitations on the payment of dividends by our bank subsidiary (like Union Bank). Historically, dividends paid by Union Bank have been an important source of cash flow for UBOH to pay dividends on its equity securities and interest on its debt. Dividends by our bank subsidiary are limited to the lesser of the amounts calculated under an earnings retention test and an undivided profits test. Under the earnings retention test, without the prior approval of the FDIC, a dividend may not be paid if the total of all dividends declared by a bank in any calendar year is in excess of the current year’s net income combined with the retained net income of the two preceding years. Under the undivided profits test, a dividend may not be paid in excess of a bank’s undivided profits. Moreover, under the FDIA, an insured depository institution may not pay a dividend if the payment would cause it to be in a less than “adequately capitalized” prompt corrective action capital category or if the institution is in default in the payment of an assessment due to the FDIC. For more information about the payment of dividends by Union Bank to UBOH, please see Note 15 in this report.

FDIA and Resolution Authority

Federal Deposit Insurance Act

The FDIC’s DIF provides insurance coverage for certain deposits, which insurance is funded through assessments on banks, like Union Bank. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the amount of deposit insurance coverage for deposits increased to \$250,000 per depositor. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), the FDIC has established 2.0% as the designated reserve ratio (the “DRR”), that is, the ratio of

the DIF to insured deposits. The Dodd-Frank Act directs the FDIC to amend its assessment regulations so that future assessments will generally be based upon a depository institution's average total consolidated assets minus the average tangible equity of the insured depository institution during the assessment period, whereas assessments were previously based on the amount of an institution's insured deposits. The minimum DIF rate will increase from 1.15% to 1.35% by September 30, 2020, and the cost of the increase will be borne by depository institutions with assets of \$10 billion or more. At least semi-annually, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the DIF and, if needed, will increase or decrease assessment rates, following notice-and-comment rulemaking if required.

Conservatorship and receivership of insured depository institutions Upon the insolvency of an insured depository institution, the FDIC will be appointed as receiver or, in rare circumstances, conservator for the insolvent institution under the FDIA. In an insolvency, the FDIC may repudiate or disaffirm any contract to which the institution is a party if the FDIC determines that performance of the contract would be burdensome and that disaffirming or repudiating the contract would promote orderly administration of the institution's affairs. If the contractual counterparty made a claim against the receivership (or conservatorship) for breach of contract, the amount paid to the counterparty would depend upon, among other factors, the receivership assets available to pay the claim and the priority of the claim relative to others. In addition, the FDIC may enforce most contracts entered into by the insolvent institution, notwithstanding any provision that would terminate, cause a default, accelerate or give other rights under the contract solely because of the insolvency, the appointment of the receiver (or conservator), or the exercise of rights or powers by the receiver (or conservator). The FDIC may also transfer any asset or liability of the insolvent institution without obtaining approval or consent from the institution's shareholders or creditors. These provisions would apply to obligations and liabilities of UBOH's insured depository institution subsidiary, including any obligations under senior or subordinated debt issued to public investors.

Depositor preference

The FDIA provides that, in the event of the liquidation or other resolution of an insured depository institution, the claims of its depositors (including claims of its depositors that have subrogated to the FDIC) and certain claims for administrative expenses of the FDIC as receiver have priority over other general unsecured claims. If an insured depository institution fails, insured and uninsured depositors, along with the FDIC, will be placed ahead of unsecured, nondeposit creditors, including the institution's parent bank, holding company and subordinated creditors, in order of priority of payment.

Other Regulatory Developments under the Dodd-Frank Act

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act created the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), a consumer financial services regulator with supervisory authority over banks and their affiliates with assets of more than \$10 billion for compliance with federal consumer protection laws. While the Corporation is not subject to examination by the CFPB it is subject to rulemaking promulgated by the CFPB. The CFPB's authority includes regulation of financial products and services sold to consumers and it has rulemaking authority with respect to federal consumer financial laws. Any new regulatory requirements promulgated by the CFPB or modifications in the interpretations of existing regulations could require changes to our consumer-facing businesses. The Dodd-Frank Act also gives the CFPB broad data collecting powers for fair lending for both small business and mortgage loans, as well as extensive authority to prevent unfair, deceptive and abusive practices.

During 2013, the CFPB issued a series of final rules related to residential mortgage loan originating and servicing. In particular, in January 2013, the CFPB issued a final rule implementing the ability-to-repay rules and qualified mortgage provisions of the Truth in Lending Act, as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act. Under these rules, a lender must make a reasonable, good faith determination that a borrower is able to repay a mortgage before extending the credit, based on a number of factors and consideration of financial information about the borrower. Loans meeting the definition of "qualified mortgage" are granted a presumption that the lender satisfied the ability-to-repay requirements. The CFPB has also issued rules affecting other aspects of the residential mortgage loan process, ranging from the customer application to servicing of the loan. These changes and additions to consumer mortgage banking rules have required enhancements to our compliance programs, as well as changes to Union Bank's systems and loan processing practices. The ability to repay and qualified mortgage rules became effective on January 10, 2014.

Truth in Lending Act

The CFPB, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, issued a final rule on January 10, 2013 (effective on January 10, 2014), amending Regulation Z as implemented by the Truth in Lending Act, requiring creditors to make a reasonable and good faith determination based on verified and documented information that a consumer applying for a mortgage loan has a reasonable ability to repay the loan according to its terms. Creditors are required to determine consumers' ability to repay in one of two ways. The first alternative requires the creditor to consider the following eight underwriting factors when making the credit decision: (i) current or reasonably expected income or assets; (ii) current employment status; (iii) the monthly payment on the covered transaction; (iv) the monthly payment on any simultaneous loan; (v) the monthly payment for mortgage-related obligations; (vi) current debt obligations, alimony, and child support; (vii) the monthly debt-to-income ratio or residual income; and (viii) credit history. Alternatively, the creditor can originate "qualified mortgages," which are entitled to a presumption that the creditor making the loan satisfied the ability-to-repay requirements. In general, a "qualified mortgage" is a mortgage loan without negative amortization, interest-only payments, balloon payments, or terms exceeding 30 years. In addition, to be a qualified mortgage the points and fees paid by a consumer cannot exceed 3% of the total loan amount. Qualified mortgages that are "higher-priced" (e.g. subprime loans) garner a rebuttable presumption of compliance with the ability-to-repay rules, while qualified mortgages that are not "higher-priced" (e.g. prime loans) are given a safe harbor of compliance. To meet the mortgage credit needs of a broader customer base, the Corporation is predominantly an originator of mortgages that are in compliance with the Ability-to-Pay rules.

Uncertainty remains as to the ultimate impact of the Dodd-Frank Act, which could have a material adverse impact either on the financial services industry as a whole, or on the Corporation's business, results of operations and financial condition. Provisions in the legislation that require revisions to the capital requirements of UBOH and Union Bank could require them to seek other sources of capital in the future.

The Bank Secrecy Act

The BSA requires all financial institutions (including banks and securities broker-dealers) to, among other things, maintain a risk-based system of internal controls reasonably designed to prevent money laundering and the financing of terrorism. It includes a variety of recordkeeping and reporting requirements (such as cash and suspicious activity reporting) as well as due diligence and know-your-customer documentation requirements. Union Bank has established and maintains an anti-money laundering program to comply with the BSA's requirements.

Bank transactions with affiliates

Federal banking law and regulation imposes qualitative standards and quantitative limitations upon certain transactions by a bank with its affiliates, including the bank's parent bank holding company and certain companies the parent bank holding company may be deemed to control for these purposes. Transactions covered by these provisions must be on arm's-length terms, and cannot exceed certain amounts which are determined with reference to the bank's regulatory capital. Moreover, if the transaction is a loan or other extension of credit, it must be secured by collateral in an amount and quality expressly prescribed by statute, and if the affiliate is unable to pledge sufficient collateral, the bank holding company may be required to provide it.

Statistical Financial Information Regarding the Corporation

The following schedules and table analyze certain elements of the consolidated balance sheets and statements of income of the Corporation and its subsidiary, as required under Securities Act Industry Guide 3 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and should be read in conjunction with the narrative analysis presented in ITEM 7, MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION and the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation, both of which are included in the 2015 Annual Report.

I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL

A. The following are the average balance sheets for the years ended December 31:

	2015	2014	2013
	(dollars in thousands)		
ASSETS			
Interest-earning assets			
Securities (1)			
Taxable	\$ 139,407	\$ 140,322	\$ 132,471
Non-taxable	68,331	61,155	60,107
Interest bearing deposits	11,336	31,653	29,770
Federal funds sold	-	-	23
Loans (2)	358,368	310,237	299,379
Total interest-earning assets	577,442	543,367	521,750
Non-interest-earning assets			
Cash and due from banks	8,932	13,625	8,487
Premises and equipment, net	12,211	9,053	9,174
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	33,754	27,727	28,027
Allowance for loan losses	(3,586)	(4,062)	(5,681)
	\$ 628,753	\$ 589,710	\$ 561,757
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Interest-bearing liabilities			
Deposits			
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 283,904	\$ 251,825	\$ 212,464
Time deposits	159,635	164,461	180,110
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	12,755	10,620	10,300
Other borrowings	9,739	11,601	21,589
Total interest-bearing liabilities	466,033	438,507	424,463
Non-interest-bearing liabilities			
Demand deposits	87,820	81,938	69,794
Accrued interest payable and other Liabilities	4,919	4,396	4,136
Shareholders' equity (3)	69,981	64,869	63,364
	\$ 628,753	\$ 589,710	\$ 561,757

Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and restricted bank stock carried at (1) cost. The average balance includes monthly average balances of fair value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

(2) Loan balances include principal balances of non-accrual loans and loans held for sale.

(3) Shareholders' equity includes average net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on securities available-for-sale, net of tax.

I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

The following tables set forth, for the years indicated, the condensed average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the interest earned or paid on such amounts, and the average interest rates earned or paid thereon.

	2015			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
	(dollars in thousands)			
Interest-earning assets				
Securities (1)				
Taxable	\$ 139,407	\$ 2,549	1.83	%
Non-taxable (2)	68,331	2,555	3.74	%
Loans (3, 4)	358,368	18,322	5.11	%
Interest-Bearing Deposits	11,336	279	2.46	%
Total interest-earning assets	577,442	23,705	4.11	%
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES				
Deposits				
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 283,904	335	0.12	%
Time deposits	159,635	1,245	0.78	%
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	12,755	446	3.50	%
Other borrowings	9,739	52	0.53	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 466,033	2,078	0.45	%
Net interest income, tax equivalent basis		\$ 21,627		
Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets			3.75	%

Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and restricted bank stock carried at (1) cost. The average balance includes monthly average balances of fair value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

(2) Computed on tax equivalent basis for non-taxable securities (34% statutory rate).

(3) Loan balances include principal balance of non-accrual loans.

(4) Interest income on loans includes fees of \$705,810.

DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND
 I. INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

	2014		Average	
	Average	Interest	Rate	
	Balance			
	(dollars in thousands)			
Interest-earning assets				
Securities (1)				
Taxable	\$ 140,322	\$ 2,851	2.03	%
Non-taxable (2)	61,155	2,554	4.18	%
Loans (3, 4)	310,237	14,966	4.82	%
Interest-Bearing Deposits	31,653	114	0.36	%
Total interest-earning assets	543,367	20,485	3.77	%
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES				
Deposits				
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 251,825	304	0.12	%
Time deposits	164,461	1,665	1.01	%
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	10,620	356	3.35	%
Other borrowings	11,601	343	2.96	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 438,507	2,668	0.61	%
Net interest income, tax equivalent basis		\$ 17,817		
Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets			3.28	%

Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and FHLB stock carried at cost. The (1) average balance includes monthly average balances of market value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

(2) Computed on tax equivalent basis for non-taxable securities (34% statutory rate).

(3) Loan balances include principal balance of non-accrual loans.

(4) Interest income on loans includes fees of \$700,578.

I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

	2013		Average	
	Average	Interest	Rate	
	Balance			
	(dollars in thousands)			
Interest-earning assets				
Securities (1)				
Taxable	\$ 132,471	\$ 2,690	2.03	%
Non-taxable (2)	60,107	2,816	4.68	%
Loans (3, 4)	299,379	15,243	5.09	%
Other	29,793	102	0.34	%
Total interest-earning assets	521,750	20,851	4.00	%
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES				
Deposits				
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 212,464	304	0.14	%
Time deposits	180,110	1,838	1.02	%
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	10,300	353	3.43	%
Other borrowings	21,589	754	3.49	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 424,463	3,249	0.77	%
Net interest income, tax equivalent basis		\$ 17,602		
Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets			3.38	%

Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and FHLB stock carried at cost. The (1) average balance includes monthly average balances of market value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

(2) Computed on tax equivalent basis for non-taxable securities (34% statutory rate).

(3) Loan balances include principal balance of non-accrual loans and loans held for sale.

(4) Interest income on loans includes fees of \$798,786.

I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

C. The following tables set forth the effect of volume and rate changes on interest income and expenses for the periods indicated. For purposes of these tables, changes in interest due to volume and rate were determined as follows:
 Volume variance - change in volume multiplied by the previous year's rate.

Rate variance - change in rate multiplied by the previous year's volume.

Rate/volume variance - change in volume multiplied by the change in rate.

This variance was allocated to volume variances and rate variances in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amount of the change in each.

Interest on non-taxable securities has been adjusted to a fully tax equivalent basis using a statutory tax rate of 34% in all years presented.

	2015/2014		
	Total Variance	Variance Volume	Attributable To Rate
	(dollars in thousands)		
INTEREST INCOME			
Securities -			
Taxable	\$(89)	\$ (18)	\$ (71)
Non-taxable	1	283	(282)
Loans	3,357	2,422	935
Other	(48)	(96)	48
Subtotal	3,221	2,591	630
INTEREST EXPENSE			
Deposits -			
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	31	38	(7)
Time deposits	(309)	(48)	(261)
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	90	74	16
Other borrowings	(291)	(48)	(243)
Subtotal	(479)	16	(495)

NET INTEREST INCOME	\$3,700	\$ 2,575	\$ 1,125
---------------------	---------	----------	----------

DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND
 I. INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

	2014/2013		
	Total Variance	Variance Volume	Attributable To Rate
	(dollars in thousands)		
INTEREST INCOME			
Securities -			
Taxable	\$ 161	\$ 160	\$ 1
Non-taxable	(262)	48	(310)
Loans	(277)	541	(818)
Other	12	7	5
Subtotal	(366)	756	(1,122)
INTEREST EXPENSE			
Deposits -			
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	-	52	(52)
Time deposits	(173)	(159)	(14)
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	3	10	(7)
Other borrowings	(411)	(309)	(102)
Subtotal	(581)	(406)	(175)
NET INTEREST INCOME	\$ 215	\$ 1,162	\$ (947)

II. INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

A. The carrying amounts of securities available-for-sale as of December 31 are summarized as follows:

	2015	2014	2013
	(dollars in thousands)		
U.S. Government agency securities	\$3,966	\$9,537	\$12,333
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	73,482	58,098	66,540
Mortgage-backed securities	104,480	137,819	117,472
Other	1,001	1,007	735
	\$182,929	\$206,461	\$197,080

The above excludes restricted bank stock amounting to \$4,829,500 in 2015 and 2014 and \$4,893,800 in 2013.

B. The maturity distribution and weighted average yield of securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2015 are as follows (1):

	Within One Year	Maturing After One Year But Within Five Years	After Five Years But Within Ten Years	After Ten Years
	(dollars in thousands)			
Agencies	\$-	\$3,966	\$-	\$-
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	1,137	12,306	40,446	19,593
Mortgage-backed securities (2)	-	466	14,832	89,182
	\$1,137	\$16,738	\$55,278	\$108,775

	0.00%	Weighted Average Yield	0.00%	0.00%
Agencies	0.00%	1.23%	0.00%	0.00%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4.57%	2.64%	2.77%	3.12%
Mortgage-backed securities (2)	0.00%	4.37%	3.16%	2.43%
Weighted Average Yield - Portfolio	4.57%	2.35%	2.87%	2.55%

- (1) Table excludes restricted bank stock and \$1,001,343 of securities having no maturity date.
- (2) Maturity based upon estimated weighted-average life.

The weighted average interest rates are based on coupon rates for securities purchased at par value and on effective interest rates considering amortization or accretion if the securities were purchased at a premium or discount.

C. There were no securities which exceeded 10% of shareholders' equity at December 31, 2015.

17

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO

A. Types of Loans – Total loans, including loans held for sale, are comprised of the following classifications at December 31 for the years indicated:

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(dollars in thousands)				
Commercial and agricultural	\$272,297	\$275,769	\$235,152	\$241,730	\$270,454
Real estate mortgage	78,443	80,598	56,651	61,276	64,888
Consumer loans	3,857	4,800	3,934	4,396	5,358
	\$354,597	\$361,167	\$295,737	\$307,402	\$340,700

Real estate mortgage loans include real estate construction loans of \$10.3 million in 2015, \$1.3 million in 2014, \$3.6 million in 2013, \$2.6 million in 2012, and \$5.3 million in 2011. There were no lease financing receivables in any year.

CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK – The Corporation’s depository institution subsidiary grants commercial, real estate, installment, and credit card loans to customers primarily located in Northwestern and West Central Ohio. Commercial loans include loans collateralized by business assets and agricultural loans collateralized by farm equipment. As of December 31, 2015, commercial and agricultural loans make up 76.79% of the loan portfolio; the loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow from operations of the businesses. As of December 31, 2015, real estate mortgage loans make up 22.12% of the loan portfolio and are collateralized by first mortgages on residential real estate. As of December 31, 2015, consumer loans to individuals make up 1.09% of the loan portfolio and are primarily collateralized by consumer assets.

Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates – The following table shows the amounts of commercial and agricultural loans outstanding as of December 31, 2015 which, based on remaining scheduled B. repayments of principal, are due in the periods indicated. Also, the amounts have been classified according to sensitivity to changes in interest rates for commercial and agricultural loans due after one year. (Variable-rate loans are those loans with floating or adjustable interest rates.)

Maturing	Commercial and Agricultural (dollars in thousands)
Within one year	\$ 50,299
After one year but within five years	32,767
After five years	189,231
	\$ 272,297

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO (CONTINUED)

	Interest Sensitivity		Total
	Fixed Rate	Variable and Adjustable Rate	
Due after one year but within five years	\$23,390	\$ 9,377	\$32,767
Due after five years	8,288	180,943	189,231
	\$31,678	\$ 190,320	\$221,998

C. Risk Elements – Non-accrual, Past Due, Restructured and Impaired Loans – The following table summarizes non-accrual, past due, restructured and impaired loans at December 31:

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(dollars in thousands)				
(a) Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis	\$5,945	\$5,220	\$6,511	\$17,171	\$21,700
(b) Loans contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal payments and still accruing interest	260	1,513	37	25	55
(c) Loans not included in (a) or (b) which are "Troubled Debt Restructurings" as defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America	1,796	2,121	495	2,139	4,479
	\$8,001	\$8,854	\$7,043	\$19,335	\$26,234

The following is reported for the years ended December 31:

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(dollars in thousands)				
Gross interest income that would have been recorded on non-accrual loans outstanding if the loans had been current, in accordance with their original terms and had been outstanding throughout the period or since origination, if held for part of the period	\$432	\$596	\$633	\$1,143	\$1,438
Interest income actually recorded on non-accrual loans and included in net income for the period	-	-	-	-	-
Interest income not recognized during the period	\$432	\$596	\$633	\$1,143	\$1,438

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO (CONTINUED)

1. Discussion of the non-accrual policy

The accrual of interest on mortgage and commercial loans is generally discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days past due unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Personal loans are typically charged-off no later than when they become 150 days past due. Past due status is based on contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on non-accrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

All interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off is reversed against interest income. Interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

2. Potential problem loans

As of December 31, 2015, in addition to the \$8.0 million of loans reported under Item III C, there are approximately \$15.0 million of other outstanding loans where known information causes management to have doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in disclosure of such loans pursuant to Item III C at some future date. Consideration was given to loans classified for regulatory purposes as substandard or special mention that have not been disclosed in Item III C above.

3. Foreign outstandings

None.

4. Loan concentrations

At December 31, 2015, loans outstanding relating to agricultural operations or collateralized by agricultural real estate aggregated \$34,997,920. At December 31, 2015, there were four borrowers with loans totaling \$71,373 in agricultural loans, which were accounted for on a non-accrual basis; and there was one accruing agricultural loan totaling \$259,858 which was contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal payments.

D. Other interest-bearing assets

As of December 31, 2015, there were no other interest-bearing assets that are required to be disclosed.

20

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE

A. The following schedule presents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses, average loan data and related ratios for the years ended December 31:

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(dollars in thousands)				
LOANS					
Loans outstanding at end of period (1)	\$354,597	\$361,167	\$295,737	\$307,402	\$340,700
Average loans outstanding during period (1)	\$358,368	\$310,237	\$299,379	\$325,114	\$360,669
ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES					
Balance at beginning of period	\$3,839	\$4,014	\$6,918	\$8,543	\$8,017
Loans charged off -					
Commercial and agricultural	(446)	(368)	(2,614)	(2,103)	(3,635)
Real estate mortgage	(176)	(117)	(4)	(144)	(515)
Consumer loans to individuals	(16)	(12)	(23)	(14)	(88)
	(638)	(497)	(2,641)	(2,261)	(4,238)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off -					
Commercial and agricultural	222	738	541	379	162
Real estate mortgage	\$20	9	11	14	142
Consumer loans	\$9	5	18	43	85
	251	752	570	436	389
Net loans (charged off) recoveries	(387)	255	(2071)	(1825)	(3849)
Provision for loan losses	382	(430)	(833)	200	4375
Balance at end of period	\$3,834	\$3,839	\$4,014	\$6,918	\$8,543
Ratio of net charge-offs (recoveries) during the period to average loans outstanding during the period	0.11 %	(0.08)%	0.69 %	0.56 %	1.07 %

(1)

Including loans held for sale.

The amount of loan charge-offs and recoveries fluctuate from year to year due to various factors relating to the condition of the general economy and specific business segments. The 2015 loan charge-offs included twenty-five consumer, mortgage, HELOC, commercial or agricultural credits, with the largest individual charge-off being \$327,000. In 2014, the net recoveries of \$255,000 included seven commercial or agricultural borrowers, with the largest charge-off being \$181,000. The 2013 loan charge-offs included nine commercial or agricultural credits, with the largest individual charge-off being \$1,269,000. The 2012 loan charge-offs included twenty-three commercial or agricultural credits, with the largest individual charge-off being \$509,000. The 2011 loan charge-offs included twenty-seven commercial or agricultural credits, with the largest individual charge-off being \$1,400,000.

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE (CONTINUED)

The Corporation had a provision for loan losses of \$382,000 in 2015 and recognized a negative provision for loan losses of \$430,000 in 2014. Problem and potential problem loans aggregated \$15.0 million at December 31, 2015 compared to \$18.7 million December 31, 2014. The Corporation will continue to monitor the credit quality of its loan portfolio, and especially the quality of those credits identified as problem or potential problem credits, to ensure the allowance for loan losses is maintained at an appropriate level.

The allowance for loan losses balance and the provision for loan losses are judgmentally determined by management based upon periodic reviews of the loan portfolio. In addition, management considered the level of charge-offs on loans as well as the fluctuations of charge-offs and recoveries on loans including the factors which caused these changes. Estimating the risk of loans and the amount of loss is necessarily subjective. Accordingly, the allowance is maintained by management at a level considered adequate to cover losses that are currently anticipated based on past loss experience, general economic conditions, information about specific borrower situations including their financial position and collateral value and other factors and estimates which are subject to change over time.

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE (CONTINUED)

B. The following schedule is a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses allocated by type of loan and related ratios.

	Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses					
	Percentage of Loans in Each Category			Percentage of Loans in Each Category		
	Allowance	to Total		Allowance	to Total	
	Amount	Loans		Amount	Loans	
	(dollars in thousands)					
	December 31, 2015			December 31, 2014		
Commercial and agricultural	\$ 3,433	89.5	%	\$ 3,453	76.4	%
Real Estate mortgages	373	9.7	%	363	22.3	%
Consumer loans to individuals	28	0.7	%	23	1.3	%
	\$ 3,834	100.0	%	\$ 3,839	100.0	%
	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
Commercial and agricultural	\$ 3,651	79.5	%	\$ 6,269	78.7	%
Real Estate mortgages	345	19.2	%	602	19.9	%
Consumer loans to individuals	18	1.3	%	47	1.4	%
	\$ 4,014	100.0	%	\$ 6,918	100.0	%
	December 31, 2011					
Commercial and agricultural	\$7,444	79.4	%			
Real Estate mortgages	999	19.0	%			
Consumer loans to individuals	100	1.6	%			
	\$8,543	100.0%				

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2015 included specific reserves for impaired loans amounting to \$1,371,000 compared to \$807,000 at December 31, 2014.

While the periodic analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses may require management to allocate portions of the allowance for specific problem loan situations, the entire allowance is available for any loan charge-offs that occur.

V. DEPOSITS

Deposits have traditionally been the Corporation's primary funding source for use in lending and other investment activities. In addition to deposits, the Corporation derives funds from interest and principal repayments on loans and income from other earning assets. Loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and outflows tend to fluctuate in response to economic conditions and interest rates. Deposits are attracted principally from within the Corporation's designated market area by offering a variety of deposit instruments, including regular savings accounts, demand deposit accounts, money market deposit accounts, term certificate accounts, and individual retirement accounts ("IRAs"). Interest rates paid, maturity terms, service fees, and withdrawal penalties for the various types of accounts are established periodically by the Corporation's management based on the Corporation's liquidity requirements, growth goals, and market trends. From time to time, the Corporation may also acquire brokered deposits. The amount of deposits from outside the Corporation's market area is not significant.

A.&B. The average amount of deposits and average rates paid are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31:

	(dollars in thousands)					
	2015	2015	2014	2014		
	Average	Average	Average	Average		
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate		
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$283,904	0.12 %	\$251,825	0.12 %		
Time deposits	159,635	0.85 %	164,461	1.01 %		
Demand deposits (non-interest bearing)	87,820	-	81,938	-		
	\$531,359		\$498,224			
	2013	2013				
	Average	Average				
	Amount	Rate				
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$212,464	0.14 %				
Time deposits	180,110	1.02 %				
Demand deposits (non-interest bearing)	69,794	-				
	\$462,368					

C.&E. There were no foreign deposits in any periods presented.

V. DEPOSITS (CONTINUED)

D. Maturities of certificates of deposit and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more outstanding at December 31, 2015 are summarized as follows:

Three months or less	\$7,195
Over three months and through six months	8,303
Over six months and through twelve months	13,824
Over twelve months	24,673
	\$53,995

VI. RETURN ON EQUITY AND ASSETS

The ratio of net income to average shareholders' equity and average total assets and certain other ratios are as follows:

	2015	2014	2013		
	(dollars in thousands)				
Average total assets	\$628,753	\$589,710	\$561,757		
Average shareholders' equity (1)	\$69,981	\$64,869	\$63,364		
Net Income	\$5,917	\$4,311	\$4,641		
Cash dividends declared	\$1,201	\$1,193	\$689		
Return on average total assets	0.94	% 0.73	% 0.83	%	
Return on average shareholders' equity	8.51	% 6.65	% 7.33	%	
Dividend payout ratio (2)	20.30	% 27.67	% 14.85	%	
Average shareholders' equity to average total assets	11.04	% 11.00	% 11.28	%	

(1) Average shareholders' equity includes average unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale.

(2) Dividends declared divided by net income.

VII. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

The Corporation has established lines of credit with its major correspondent banks to purchase federal funds to meet liquidity needs. At December 31, 2015, the Corporation did not have any federal funds purchased, out of the \$76.5 million available under such lines. The Corporation also uses repurchase agreements as a source of funds. These agreements essentially represent borrowings by the Corporation from customers with maturities of three months or less. Certain securities are pledged as collateral for these agreements. At December 31, 2015, the Corporation had no repurchase agreements.

The following table sets forth the maximum month-end balance for the Corporation's outstanding short-term borrowings (federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements), along with the average aggregate balances and weighted average interest for 2015, 2014, and 2013.

	2015	2014	2013
	(dollars in thousands)		
Balance at year-end	\$-	\$-	\$4,600
Maximum balance at month-end during the period	\$-	\$4,601	\$4,600
Average balance	\$-	\$2,952	\$4,226
Weighted average interest rate	0.00%	0.14 %	0.14 %

Item 1A.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in common stock is subject to risks inherent to the Corporation's business. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Corporation are described below. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Corporation. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair the Corporation's business operations. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

If any of the following risks actually occur, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the value of the Corporation's common stock could decline significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to the Corporation's Business

The Corporation is Subject to Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Corporation's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Federal Reserve Board. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest the Corporation receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) the Corporation's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of the Corporation's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of the Corporation's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, the Corporation's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

Changing interest rates may decrease our earnings and asset values.

Although management believes it has implemented effective asset and liability management strategies to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on the Corporation's results of operations, any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Expected interest rate increases could negatively affect our income, if we are not able to anticipate corresponding changes in market forces.

The Corporation's operating results are dependent to a significant degree on its net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from loans, investments and other interest-earning assets and interest expense on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities. The interest income and interest expense of the Corporation change as the interest rates on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities change. Interest rates may change because of general economic conditions, the policies of various regulatory authorities and other factors beyond the Corporation's control. In a rising interest rate environment, loans tend to prepay slowly and new loans at higher rates increase slowly, while interest paid on deposits increases rapidly because the terms to maturity of deposits tend to be shorter than the terms to maturity or prepayment of loans. Such differences in the adjustment of interest rates on assets and liabilities may negatively affect the Corporation's income.

We are subject to credit risk related to the interest rate environment and the economic conditions of the markets in which we operate.

There are inherent risks associated with the Corporation's lending activities. These risks include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and changes in the economic conditions in the markets where the Corporation operates as well as those across the State of Ohio, the United States and abroad. Increases in interest rates and/or weakening economic conditions could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing these loans. The Corporation is also subject to various laws and regulations that affect its lending activities. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject the Corporation to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil monetary penalties against the Corporation.

The Corporation's level of non-performing loans has decreased over the past couple of years. However, an increase in non-performing loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for possible loans losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's

financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation is subject to liquidity risk in its operations, which could adversely affect the ability to fund various obligations.

Liquidity risk is the possibility of being unable to meet obligations as they come due, pay deposits when withdrawn, capitalize on growth opportunities as they arise, or pay dividends because of an inability to liquidate assets or obtain adequate funding on a timely basis, at a reasonable cost and within acceptable risk tolerances. Liquidity is derived primarily from retail deposit growth and retention, principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities, net cash provided from operation and access to other funding sources. Liquidity is essential to our business. We must maintain sufficient funds to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale or pledging as collateral of loans and other assets could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry in general. Factors that could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources include a decrease in the level of our business activity due to a market downturn or regulatory action that limits or eliminates our access to alternate funding sources. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are nonspecific to us, such as severe disruption of the financial markets or negative expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry as a whole, as evidenced by recent turmoil in the domestic and worldwide credit markets.

Changes in accounting standards could impact the Corporation's reported earnings.

Current accounting and tax rules, standards, policies and interpretations influence the methods by which financial institutions conduct business and govern financial reporting and disclosures. These laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations are constantly evolving and may change significantly over time. Events that may not have a direct impact on the Corporation, such as bankruptcy of major U.S. companies, have resulted in legislators, regulators, and authoritative bodies, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and various taxing authorities, responding by adopting and/or proposing substantive revision to laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations. New accounting pronouncements and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements have occurred and may occur in the future. The Corporation's financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by a change in accounting standards.

The Corporation's Allowance for Loan Losses May Be Insufficient

The Corporation maintains an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry

concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Corporation to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of the Corporation's control, may require a potentially significant increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, the Corporation will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Prepayments of loans may negatively impact our business.

Generally, customers of the Corporation may prepay the principal amount of their outstanding loans at any time. The speed at which such prepayments occur, as well as the size of such prepayments, are within such customers' discretion. If customers prepay the principal amount of their loans, and the Corporation is unable to lend those funds to other borrowers or invest the funds at the same or higher interest rates, the Corporation's interest income will be reduced. A significant reduction in interest income could have a negative impact on the Corporation's results of operations and financial condition.

The Corporation may face increasing pressure from historical purchasers of our residential mortgage loans to repurchase those loans or reimburse purchasers for losses related to those loans.

The Corporation generally sells the fixed rate long-term residential mortgage loans it originates on the secondary market and retains adjustable rate mortgage loans for its portfolios. In response to the financial crisis, the Corporation believes that purchasers of residential mortgage loans, such as government sponsored entities, are increasing their efforts to seek to require sellers of residential mortgage loans to either repurchase loans previously sold or reimburse purchasers for losses related to loans previously sold when losses are incurred on a loan previously sold due to actual or alleged failure to strictly conform to the purchaser's purchase criteria. As a result, the Corporation may face increasing pressure from historical purchasers of its residential mortgage loans to repurchase those loans or reimburse purchasers for losses related to those loans and the Corporation may face increasing expenses to defend against such claims. If the Corporation is required in the future to repurchase loans previously sold, reimburse purchasers for losses related to loans previously sold, or if the Corporation incurs increasing expenses to defend against such claims, its financial condition and results of operations would be negatively affected. Additionally, such actions would lower the Corporation's capital ratios as a result of increased assets and reduced income through expenses and any losses incurred.

The Dodd-Frank Act may adversely impact the Corporation's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

The Dodd-Frank Act, enacted in 2010, is complex and several of its provisions are still being implemented. The Dodd-Frank Act established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which has extensive regulatory and enforcement powers over consumer financial products and services, and the Financial Stability Oversight Council, which has oversight authority for monitoring and regulating systemic risk. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act altered the authority and duties of the federal banking and securities regulatory agencies, implemented certain corporate governance requirements for all public companies including financial institutions with regard to executive compensation, proxy access by shareholders, and certain whistleblower provisions, and restricted certain proprietary trading and hedge fund and private equity activities of banks and their affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act also required the issuance of numerous regulations, many of which have not yet been issued. The regulations will continue to take

effect over several more years, continuing to make it difficult to anticipate the overall impact.

If the Corporation is required to write-down goodwill and other intangible assets, its financial condition and results of operations would be negatively affected.

A substantial portion of the value of the merger consideration paid in connection with recent branch acquisitions was allocated to goodwill and other intangible assets on the Corporation's consolidated balance sheet. The amount of the purchase price that is allocated to goodwill and other intangible assets is determined by the excess of the purchase price over the net identifiable assets acquired. The Corporation is required to conduct an annual review to determine whether goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets are impaired.

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of September 30th. An impairment test also could be triggered between annual testing dates if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value below the carrying amount. Examples of those events or circumstances would include a significant adverse change in business climate; a significant unanticipated loss of customers or assets under management; an unanticipated loss of key personnel; a sustained period of poor investment performance; a significant loss of deposits or loans; a significant reduction in profitability; or a significant change in loan credit quality.

The Corporation cannot assure that it will not be required to take an impairment charge in the future. Any material impairment charge would have a negative effect on the Corporation's financial results and shareholders' equity.

The Corporation's Profitability Depends Significantly on Economic Conditions in the State of Ohio

The Corporation's success depends primarily on the general economic conditions of the State of Ohio and the specific local markets in which the Corporation operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, the Corporation provides banking and financial services to customers primarily in the Ohio counties of Allen, Delaware, Hancock, Putnam, Marion, Sandusky, Van Wert, and Wood. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Corporation's products and services as well as the ability of the Corporation's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans and the stability of the Corporation's deposit funding sources. A significant decline in general economic conditions, caused by inflation, recession, acts of terrorism, outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences, unemployment, changes in securities markets or other factors could impact those local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Operates in a Highly Competitive Industry and Market Area

The Corporation faces substantial competition in all areas of its operations from a variety of different competitors, many of whom are larger and may have more financial resources. Such competitors primarily include national, regional, and community banks within the various markets the Corporation operates. The Corporation also faces competition from many other types of financial institutions, including, without limitation, savings and loans, credit unions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, factoring companies and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms and insurance companies can merge under the umbrella of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance (both agency and underwriting) and merchant banking. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for non-banks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks, such as automatic transfer and automatic payment systems. Many of the Corporation's competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size,

many competitors may be able to achieve economies of scale and, as a result, may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for those products and services than the Corporation can.

The Corporation's ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including, among other things:

The ability to develop, maintain and build upon long-term customer relationships based on top quality service, high ethical standards and safe, sound assets.

The ability to expand the Corporation's market position.

The scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands.

The rate at which the Corporation introduces new products and services relative to its competitors.

Customer satisfaction with the Corporation's level of service.

Industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken the Corporation's competitive position, which could adversely affect the Corporation's growth and profitability, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Legislative or regulatory changes or actions could adversely impact our business

The financial services industry is extensively regulated. We are subject to extensive state and federal regulation, supervision and legislation that govern almost all aspects of our operations. These laws and regulations are primarily intended for the protection of consumers, depositors, borrowers, and the DIF, not to benefit our shareholders. Changes to laws and regulations or other actions by regulatory agencies may negatively impact us, possibly limiting the services we provide, increasing the ability of non-banks to compete with us or requiring us to change the way we operate. Regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the ability to impose restrictions on the operation of an institution and the ability to determine the adequacy of an institution's allowance for loan losses. Failure by a bank or bank holding company to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and policies could result in sanctions being imposed by the regulatory agencies, including the imposition of civil money penalties, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition.

In the last several years, Congress and the federal bank regulators have acted on an unprecedented scale in responding to the stresses experienced in the global financial markets. Some of the laws enacted by Congress and regulations promulgated by the federal bank regulators and the SEC subject us and other financial institutions to additional restrictions, oversight, and costs that may have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

The Corporation is subject to Environmental Liability Risk Associated with Lending Activities

A significant portion of the Corporation's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Corporation may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Corporation may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Corporation to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Corporation's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Corporation's exposure to environmental liability. Although the Corporation may perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation's Controls and Procedures May Fail or Be Circumvented

Management regularly reviews and updates the Corporation's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of the Corporation's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, results of operations and financial condition.

UBOH Relies On Dividends from Its Subsidiaries for Most of Its Revenue

UBOH is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiary. It receives substantially all of its revenue from dividends from its subsidiary. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay dividends on UBOH common stock, interest and principal on UBOH debt, and other operating expenses. Various federal and/or state laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends that the Union Bank may pay to the UBOH. Under these law and regulations, the amount of dividends that may be paid by Union Bank in any calendar year is generally limited to the current year's net profits, combined with the retained net profits of the preceding two years. In addition, the FDIC has issued policy statements that provide that insured banks should generally only pay dividends out of current operating earnings. Thus, the ability of Union Bank to pay dividends to UBOH in the future will be subject to Union Bank's ability to earn profits in the future, and the federal statutory provisions, regulations, regulatory policies, and capital guidelines which are applicable to UBOH and Union Bank. Furthermore, the Federal Reserve's Small Bank Holding Company Policy Statement provides, *inter alia*, that it is expected that dividends by a holding company will be eliminated in the event that a holding company is: (1) not reducing its debt consistent with the requirement that the debt to equity ratio be reduced to 30:1, or (2) not meeting the requirements of its loan agreement(s). Also, UBOH's right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. In the event the Union Bank is unable to pay dividends to UBOH, UBOH may not be able to service debt, pay obligations or pay dividends on the UBOH's common stock or trust preferred securities. The inability to receive dividends from the Union Bank could have a material adverse effect on UBOH's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation May Not Be Able To Attract and Retain Skilled People

The Corporation's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by the Corporation can be intense and the Corporation may not be able to hire such people or to retain them. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of the Corporation's key personnel could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business because of their skills, knowledge of the Corporation's market, years of industry experience and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel.

The Corporation's Information Systems May Experience an Interruption or Breach in Security

The Corporation relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption, or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Corporation's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. While the Corporation has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption, or security breach of its information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions, or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions, or security breaches of the Corporation's information systems could damage the Corporation's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Corporation to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Corporation to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Continually Encounters Technological Change

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. The Corporation's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in the Corporation's operations. Many of the Corporation's competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. The Corporation may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Damage to the Corporation's reputation could damage its businesses.

Maintaining trust in the Corporation is critical to our ability to attract and maintain customers, investors and employees. Damage to our reputation can therefore cause significant harm to our business and prospects. Harm to our reputation can arise from numerous sources, including, among others, employee misconduct, security breaches, compliance failures, litigation or regulatory outcomes or governmental investigations. Our reputation could also be harmed by the failure of an affiliate, a vendor or other third party with which we do business, to comply with laws or regulations. In addition, a failure or perceived failure to deliver appropriate standards of service and quality, to treat customers and clients fairly, or to handle or use confidential information of customers or clients appropriately or in compliance with applicable privacy laws and regulations can result in customer dissatisfaction, litigation and heightened regulatory scrutiny, all of which can lead to lost revenue, higher operating costs and harm to our

reputation. Adverse publicity or negative information posted on social media websites regarding the Corporation, whether or not true, may result in harm to the prospects. Should any of these or other events or factors that can undermine our reputation occur, there is no assurance that the additional costs and expenses that we may need to incur to address the issues giving rise to the reputational harm could not adversely affect our earnings and results of operations, or that damage to our reputation will not impair our ability to retain our existing or attract new customers, investors and employees.

The Corporation Is Subject To Claims and Litigation Pertaining to Fiduciary Responsibility

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal action are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Corporation they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Corporation and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Severe Weather, Natural Disasters, Acts of War Or Terrorism And Other External Events Could Significantly Impact The Corporation's Business

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Corporation's ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of the Corporation's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Corporation to incur additional expenses. Although management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures, the occurrence of any such event could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Associated with the Corporation's Common Stock

The Corporation's Stock Price Can Be Volatile

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for stockholders to resell their common stock at prices they find attractive. The Corporation's stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including, among other things:

- Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations.
- Recommendations by securities analysts.
- Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to the Corporation.
- News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry.
- Perceptions in the marketplace regarding the Corporation and/or its competitors.
- New technology uses, or services offered, by competitors.

Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving the Corporation or its competitors.

- Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions.
- Changes in government regulations.
- Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes or credit loss trends, could also cause the Corporation's stock price to decrease regardless of operating results.

An Investment in the Corporation's Common Stock is NOT an Insured Deposit

The Corporation's common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in the Corporation's common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this report and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in many companies. As a result, individuals that acquire the Corporation's common stock may lose some or all of their investment.

The Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and Regulations as well as Certain Banking Laws may have an Anti-Takeover Effect

Provisions of the Corporation's articles of incorporation and regulations and federal banking laws, including regulatory approval requirements, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire the Corporation, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial to the Corporation's shareholders.

The combination of these provisions effectively inhibits a non-negotiated merger or other business combination, which, in turn, could adversely affect the market price of the Corporation's common stock.

Risks Associated with the Corporation's Industry

The Earnings of Financial Services Companies are significantly affected by General Business and Economic Conditions

The Corporation's operations and profitability are impacted by general business and economic conditions in the United States and abroad. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, broad trends in industry and finance, and the strength of the U.S. economy and the local economies in which the Corporation operates, all of which are beyond the Corporation's control. Deterioration in economic conditions could result in an increase in loan delinquencies and non-performing assets, decreases in loan collateral values and a decrease in demand for the Corporation's products and services, among other things, any of which could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Financial Services Companies Depend on the Accuracy and Completeness of Information about Customers and Counterparties

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, the Corporation may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Corporation may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of

operations.

Consumers May Decide Not To Use Banks to Complete their Financial Transactions

Technology and other changes are allowing parties to complete financial transactions that historically have involved banks through alternative methods. For example, consumers can now maintain funds that would have historically been held as bank deposits in brokerage accounts or mutual funds. Consumers can also complete transactions such as paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as “disintermediation,” could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. The loss of these revenue streams and the lower cost deposits as a source of funds could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation’s financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable

Item 2. Properties

The following is a listing and brief description of the properties owned by the Corporation and the Bank and used in its business. All of the 16 properties are suitable for their intended use. In total, the facilities represent approximately 101,643 square feet.

Full-Service Branch Locations

Main Office: Columbus Grove

100 South High Street

Branch Locations:

Bowling Green

1300 North Main Street

Ottawa

245 West Main Street

Delaware

30 Coal Bend

Delphos

114 East 3rd Street

Marion

111 South Main Street

220 Richland Road

Findlay

1500 Bright Road

Gibsonburg

230 West Madison Street

Pemberville

132 East Front Street

Kalida

110 East North Street

Drive-thru Facility

Columbus Grove

101 Progressive Drive

Leipsic

318 South Belmore Street

Lima Locations

3211 Elida Road
1410 Bellefontaine Avenue
701 Shawnee Road

Operations Facility
Columbus Grove
102 – 106 South High Street

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

As of March 9, 2016, there are no pending legal proceedings to which the Corporation or its subsidiary are a party or to which any of their property is subject except routine legal proceedings to which the Corporation or its subsidiary are a party incident to its banking business. None of such proceedings are considered by the Corporation to be material.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Additional information required herein is incorporated by reference from ("Market Price and Dividends on Common Stock") United Bancshares' Annual Report to Shareholders for 2015 ("Annual Report"), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Stock Repurchase Program

The table below includes certain information regarding the Corporation's repurchase of United Bancshares, Inc. common stock during the quarterly period ended December 31, 2015:

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number shares purchased as part of publicly announced plan or program	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan or program(i)
10/01/15- 10/31/15	0	0	333,879	266,121
11/01/15- 11/30/15	19,790	16.80	353,669	246,331
12/01/15- 12/31/15	0	0	353,669	246,331

(i) A stock repurchase program ("Plan") was announced on July 29, 2005 (100,000 shares authorized) and expanded by 100,000 shares on December 23, 2005, 200,000 shares on March 20, 2007, and 200,000 shares on December 17, 2013. The Plan authorizes the Corporation to repurchase up to 600,000 of the Corporation's common shares from time to time in a program of market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions as the securities laws and market conditions permit.

Additional information required herein is incorporated by reference from ("Market Price and Dividends on Common Stock") United Bancshares' Annual Report to Shareholders for 2015 ("Annual Report"), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from (“Five Year Summary of Selected Financial Data”) United Bancshares’ Annual Report to Shareholders for 2015 (“Annual Report”), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from page 4 through 17 (“Management’s Discussion and Analysis”) of United Bancshares’ Annual Report to Shareholders for 2015 (“Annual Report”), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We hereby incorporate information relating to market risk by reference to pages 16 through 17 (“Management’s Discussion and Analysis”) of United Bancshares’ Annual Report to Shareholders for 2015 (“Annual Report”), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from pages 18 through 60 of United Bancshares’ Annual Report to Shareholders for 2015 (“Annual Report”), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management of the Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. An evaluation was performed under the supervision, and with the participation, of the Corporation’s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Corporation’s disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2015. Based on the results of the evaluation,

and as of the time of that evaluation, the Corporation's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Corporation is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. Management of the Corporation and its subsidiary are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). The Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The purpose is to provide reasonable assurance to the Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Corporation's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Management maintains internal controls over financial reporting. The internal controls contain control processes, and actions are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified. The internal controls are evaluated on an ongoing basis by the Corporation's Management, and Audit Committee. Even effective internal controls, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations – including the possibility of circumvention or overriding of controls – and therefore can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Also, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time.

Management assessed the Corporation's internal controls as of December 31, 2015, in relation to criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2015, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting met the criteria.

There were no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Corporation's fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2015, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required herein concerning Directors and Executive Officers is contained under the captions “Election of Directors” and “Directors and Executive Officers” of the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item concerning the Corporation’s Audit Committee is contained under the captions “Audit Committee” and “Audit Committee Report” of the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item concerning the Corporation’s procedures for the nomination of Directors is contained under the caption “Committees of the Board of Directors” in the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item concerning compliance with section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is contained under the caption “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

On February 17, 2004, the Corporation adopted a Code of Ethics that is applicable to the Corporation’s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and other Senior Financial Officers. The Board of Directors reviews the Code of Ethics annually with the most recent review performed in February 2016. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available on the Corporation’s website at www.theubank.com.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required herein concerning Directors and Executive Officers of the Corporation is contained under the caption “Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers” in the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required herein is contained under the caption “Voting Securities” in the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

In the ordinary course of conducting its business, the Corporation, for itself or through its bank subsidiary, may engage in transactions with the directors, employees, and managers of the Corporation or of the subsidiary which may include, but not be limited to, loans. As required by and in compliance with Ohio banking law, all banking transactions with directors, employees or managers of the Corporation are conducted on the same basis and terms as would be provided to any other bank customer and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present any other unfavorable features.

Information required by this item concerning director independence is contained under the caption “Board of Directors Independence” in the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information required by this item is contained under the caption “Independent Public Accountants” in the Corporation’s definitive proxy statement dated March 23, 2016, which is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements (and reports thereon) are set forth on pages 18 through 59 of the Corporation’s 2015 Annual Report to Shareholders (Exhibit 13 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K) and are incorporated herein by reference:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2015 and 2014
Consolidated Statements of Income - Years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) - Years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity - Years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules have been omitted either because they are not applicable or because the required information is provided in the Consolidated Financial Statements, including the notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with or incorporated by reference (in accordance with Item 601 of SEC Regulation S-K) in this filing:

Exhibit No.		
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement by and among United Bancshares, Inc., Ohio State Bancshares, Inc. and Rbancshares, Inc., dated July 1, 2014	(7)
3.1	Articles of Incorporation	(1)
3.2	Regulations	(1)
10.1	Preferred Trust Securities, Placement and Debenture agreements	(2)
10.2	Agreement – Brian D. Young	(4)
10.3	Salary Continuation Agreement - Brian D. Young	(2)
10.4	Salary Continuation Agreement – Heather M. Oatman	(5)
10.6	Agreement and General Release – Diana L. Engelhardt	(6)
13	2015 Annual Report to Shareholders	(3)
21	Subsidiaries	(3)
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	(3)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CEO's Certification	(3)
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CFO's Certification	(3)
32.1	Section 1350 CEO's Certification	(3)
32.2	Section 1350 CFO's Certification	(3)
99	Safe Harbor under The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995	(3)
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document (a)	(3)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema	(3)
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation	(3)
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition	(3)
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label	(3)
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation	(3)

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Section 14(a) filed March 8, 2002, SEC file reference number 333-86543.

Edgar Filing: UNITED BANCSHARES INC/OH - Form 10-K

(2) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's 2004 Form 10K/A filed August 5, 2005, SEC file reference number 333-86543.

(3) Included herein.

(4) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Form 8-K filed July 20, 2006.

(5) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Form 10-K filed March 20, 2009.

(6) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Form 8-K filed September 11, 2015.

(7) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporations' s Form 8-K filed July 1, 2014

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.

By: /s/ BRIAN D. YOUNG
Brian D. Young, CEO, President

By: /s/ ANTHONY M.V. ERAMO
Anthony M.V. Eramo
Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 9, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	Date
/s/ BRIAN D. YOUNG Brian D. Young	Director	March 9, 2016
/s/ JAMES N. REYNOLDS James N. Reynolds	Director	March 9, 2016
/s/ H. EDWARD RIGEL H. Edward Rigel	Director	March 9, 2016
/s/ R. STEVEN UNVERFERTH R. Steven Unverferth	Director	March 9, 2016
/s/ ROBERT L. BENROTH Robert L. Benroth	Director	March 9, 2016
/s/ DAVID P. ROACH David P. Roach	Director	March 9, 2016

/s/ DANIEL W. SCHUTT
Daniel W. Schutt

Director March 9, 2016