

SHORE BANCSHARES INC
Form 10-K
March 16, 2018

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Commission File No. 0-22345

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 52-1974638
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)

28969 Information Lane, Easton, Maryland 21601
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (410) 763-7800

Securities Registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class:	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered:
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share	Nasdaq Global Select Market

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
" Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 16(d) of the Act. " Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", "smaller reporting company", and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	" Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	" Smaller reporting company " <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Emerging growth company " <input type="checkbox"/>

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes
" No p

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$ 203,644,667.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of the latest practicable date: 12,735,918 as of February 28, 2018.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Certain information required by Part III of this annual report is incorporated therein by reference to the definitive proxy statement for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

INDEX

Part I

<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>28</u>

Part II

<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>99</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>99</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>99</u>

Part III

<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>101</u>

Part IV

<u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>103</u>

<u>EXHIBIT LIST</u>	<u>104</u>
----------------------------	-------------------

Cautionary note regarding forward-looking statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K of Shore Bancshares, Inc. (the “Company” and “we,” “our” or “us” on a consolidated basis) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward looking statements represent plans, estimates, objectives, goals, guidelines, expectations, intentions, projections and statements of our beliefs concerning future events, business plans, expected operating results and the assumptions upon which those statements are based. In some cases, you can identify these forward-looking statements by words like “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential,” or the negative of those words and other comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements contain these words. Forward-looking statements are not a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by, which such performance or results will be achieved. We caution that the forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations and information available at the time the statements are made and are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that are subject to change based on factors which are in many instances, beyond our control. Actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, or implied by the forward-looking statements. You should bear this in mind when reading this annual report and not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The following factors, among others, could cause our financial performance to differ materially from that expressed in such forward-looking statements:

- general economic conditions, whether national or regional, and conditions in the lending markets in which we participate that may have an adverse effect on the demand for our loans and other products, our credit quality and related levels of nonperforming assets and loan losses, and the value and salability of the real estate that we own or that is the collateral for our loans;

- results of examinations of us by our regulators, including the possibility that our regulators may, among other things, require us to increase our reserve for loan losses or to write-down assets;

- our ability to prudently manage our growth and execute our strategy;

- impairment of our goodwill and intangible assets;

- changing bank regulatory conditions, policies or programs, whether arising as new legislation or regulatory initiatives, that could lead to restrictions on activities of banks generally, or our subsidiary banks in particular, more restrictive regulatory capital requirements, increased costs, including deposit insurance premiums, regulation or prohibition of certain income producing activities or changes in the secondary market for loans and other products;

- changes in market rates and prices may adversely impact the value of securities, loans, deposits and other financial instruments and the interest rate sensitivity of our balance sheet;

- our liquidity requirements could be adversely affected by changes in our assets and liabilities;

- the effect of legislative or regulatory developments, including changes in laws concerning taxes, banking, securities, insurance and other aspects of the financial services industry;

- competitive factors among financial services organizations, including product and pricing pressures and our ability to attract, develop and retain qualified banking professionals;
- the growth and profitability of non-interest or fee income being less than expected;
- the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and other regulatory agencies; and
- the effect of fiscal and governmental policies of the United States federal government.

You should also consider carefully the Risk Factors contained in Item 1A of Part I of this annual report, which address additional factors that could cause our actual results to differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements and could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. The risks discussed in this annual report are factors that, individually or in the aggregate, management believes could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, you should not consider such disclosures to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties.

The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and, except to the extent required by federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. In addition, we cannot assess the impact of each factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

BUSINESS

General

The Company was incorporated under the laws of Maryland on March 15, 1996 and is a financial holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the “BHC Act”). The Company is the largest independent financial holding company located on the Eastern Shore of Maryland. The Company, through its subsidiaries, provides commercial banking products and services, including trust, wealth management and financial planning services, and insurance products and services. The Company and its affiliated subsidiaries are Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employers. Financial information related to our operations in these segments for each of the three years ended December 31, 2017 is provided in Note 27 to the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report.

Until June 30, 2016, the Company conducted its banking operations through two wholly owned banking subsidiaries, CNB and The Talbot Bank of Easton (“Talbot”). CNB and Talbot, which commenced operations in 1876 and 1885, respectively, were merged on July 1, 2016 to form Shore United Bank (the “Bank”). The consolidation has streamlined efficiencies, reduced costs and facilitated a consistent corporate culture and unified branding. As used in this annual report, the term “Banks” refers to CNB and Talbot for periods prior to July 1, 2016 and the term “Bank” refers to Shore United Bank for all other periods.

On May 19, 2017, the Bank purchased three branches from Northwest Bank (“NWBI”) located in Arbutus, Elkridge, and Owings Mills, Maryland. Pursuant to the transaction, the Bank acquired \$122.9 million in loans and \$212.5 million in deposits, as well as the branch premises and equipment. In connection with its purchase of the branches from NWBI, the Bank received a cash payment from NWBI of \$64.0 million, which was net of a premium paid on deposits of \$17.2 million. Total acquisition costs totaled \$18.2 million. This acquisition provides the Bank with the opportunity to enhance its footprint in Maryland by extending its branch network across the Eastern Shore to the greater Baltimore area communities of Elkridge, Owings Mills and Arbutus.

The Company engages in the insurance business through an insurance producer, The Avon-Dixon Agency, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company, with two specialty lines, trading as Elliot Wilson Insurance (Trucking) and Jack Martin & Associates (Marine); and an insurance premium finance company, Mubell Finance, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company, (all of the foregoing are collectively referred to as the “Insurance Subsidiaries”).

Banking Products and Services

Shore United Bank is a Maryland chartered commercial bank with trust powers that can trace its origin to 1876. The Bank currently operates 21 full service branches, 23 ATMs, 2 loan production offices, and provides a full range of commercial and consumer banking products and services to individuals, businesses, and other organizations in Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Howard County, Kent County, Queen Anne’s County, Caroline County, Talbot County and Dorchester County in Maryland, Kent County, Delaware and in Accomack County, Virginia. The Bank’s deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the “FDIC”).

The Bank is an independent community bank that serves businesses and individuals in their respective market areas. Services offered are essentially the same as those offered by larger regional institutions that compete with the Bank. Services provided to businesses include commercial checking, savings, certificates of deposit and overnight investment sweep accounts. The Bank offers all forms of commercial lending, including secured and unsecured loans, working capital loans, lines of credit, term loans, accounts receivable financing, real estate acquisition and development, construction loans and letters of credit. Merchant credit card clearing services are available as well as direct deposit of payroll, internet banking and telephone banking services.

Services to individuals include checking accounts, various savings programs, mortgage loans, home improvement loans, installment and other personal loans, credit cards, personal lines of credit, automobile and other consumer financing, safe deposit boxes, debit cards, 24-hour telephone banking, internet banking, mobile banking, and 24-hour automatic teller machine services. The Bank also offers nondeposit products, such as mutual funds and annuities, and discount brokerage services to their customers. Additionally, the Bank has Saturday hours and extended hours on certain evenings during the week for added customer convenience.

Lending Activities

The Bank originates secured and unsecured loans for business purposes. Commercial loans are typically secured by real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment and/or other assets of the business. Commercial loans generally involve a greater degree of credit risk than one to four family residential mortgage loans. Repayment is often dependent upon the successful operation of the business and may be affected by adverse conditions in the local economy or real estate market. The financial condition and cash flow of commercial borrowers is therefore carefully analyzed during the loan approval process, and continues to be monitored by obtaining business financial statements, personal financial statements and income tax returns. The frequency of this ongoing analysis depends upon the size and complexity of the credit and collateral that secures the loan. It is also the Bank's general policy to obtain personal guarantees from the principals of the commercial loan borrowers.

The Bank's commercial real estate loans are primarily secured by land for residential and commercial development, agricultural purpose properties, service industry buildings such as restaurants and motels, retail buildings and general purpose business space. The Bank attempts to mitigate the risks associated with these loans through thorough financial analyses, conservative underwriting procedures, including loan to value ratio standards, obtaining additional collateral, closely monitoring construction projects to control disbursement of funds on loans, and management's knowledge of the local economy in which the Bank lends.

The Bank provides residential real estate construction loans to builders and individuals for single family dwellings. Residential construction loans are usually granted based upon "as completed" appraisals and are secured by the property under construction. Additional collateral may be taken if loan to value ratios exceed 80%. Site inspections are performed to determine pre-specified stages of completion before loan proceeds are disbursed. These loans typically have maturities of six to 12 months and may have fixed or variable rate features. Permanent financing options for individuals include fixed and variable rate loans with three- and five-year balloon features and one-, three- and five-year adjustable rate mortgage loans. The risk of loss associated with real estate construction lending is controlled through conservative underwriting procedures such as loan to value ratios of 80% or less at origination, obtaining additional collateral when prudent, and closely monitoring construction projects to control disbursement of funds on loans.

The Bank originates fixed and variable rate residential mortgage loans. As with any consumer loan, repayment is dependent upon the borrower's continuing financial stability, which can be adversely impacted by job loss, divorce, illness, or personal bankruptcy, among other factors. Underwriting standards recommend loan to value ratios not to exceed 80% at origination based on appraisals performed by approved appraisers. The Bank relies on title insurance to protect their lien priorities and protect the property securing the loans by requiring fire and casualty insurance.

A variety of consumer loans are offered to customers, including home equity loans, credit cards and other secured and unsecured lines of credit and term loans. Careful analysis of an applicant's creditworthiness is performed before granting credit, and ongoing monitoring of loans outstanding is performed in an effort to minimize risk of loss by identifying problem loans early.

Deposit Activities

The Bank offers a full array of deposit products including checking, savings and money market accounts, and regular and IRA certificates of deposit. The Bank also offers the CDARS program, providing up to \$50 million of FDIC insurance to our customers. In addition, we offer our commercial customers packages which include cash management services and various checking opportunities.

Trust Services

The Bank has a trust department through which it offers trust, asset management and financial planning services to customers within our market areas using the trade name Wye Financial & Trust.

Internet Access to Company Documents. The Company provides access to its Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings through its web site at www.shorebancshares.com. After accessing the web site, the filings are available upon selecting "Investor Relations." Reports available include the annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Insurance Products and Services

The Insurance Subsidiaries offer a full range of insurance products and services to customers throughout the Delmarva Region. The insurance entity of Avon Dixon offers coverage under the categories of personal, business, benefits, commercial trucking, and marine which are provided below:

Personal

-Auto	-Health/Dental	-Long-Term Care	-Travel
-Boat/Yacht	-Home	-Motorcycle & ATV	-Umbrella
-Flood	-Life	-Recreational Vehicle	

Business

-Auto	-Directors & Officers	-Foreign Liability	-Marine & Boat builders
-Contractors	-Excess Liability	-General Liability	-Local/Long-Haul Trucking
-Cyber Liability	-Farm	-Workers Compensation	

Benefits

-Health/Dental	-Medicare & Supplemental	-Group Dental
-Annuities	Prescription Plans	-Voluntary Benefits
-Long-Term Care	-Group Health	-Life

Commercial Trucking

-Primary Liability	-Physical Damage	-Motor Truck Cargo	-Occupational/Accidental
-Non-Trucking Liability	(comprehensive & collision)	-Surety Bonds	
-Excess/Umbrella	-General Liability	-Workers Compensation	

Marine

-Yachts	-Ocean Voyaging
-Boats	-Grand Prix Yacht Racing
-Charter	

In addition, the Company offers insurance premium financing through a separate legal entity, Mubell, LLC.

Seasonality

Management does not believe that our business activities are seasonal in nature.

Employees

At February 28, 2018, we employed 346 persons, of which 330 were employed on a full-time basis. None of our employees are represented by any collective bargaining unit or are a party to a collective bargaining agreement. Management of the Company considers its employee relations to be excellent.

COMPETITION

Shore Bancshares, Inc. and its community of companies operate in a highly competitive environment. Our competitors include community banks, commercial banks, credit unions, thrifts, mortgage banking companies, credit card issuers, investment advisory firms, brokerage firms, mutual fund companies, insurance companies, and e-commerce and other internet based companies. We compete with our competitors on a local and regional basis as it relates to banking and investments, and on a national basis for our insurance products.

The primary factors when competing in the financial service market include personalized services, the quality and range of products and services, interest rates on loans and deposits, lending services, price, customer convenience, and our ability to attract and retain experienced employees.

To compete in our market areas, we utilize multiple media channels including print, online, social media, television, radio, direct mail, e-mail and digital signage. Our employees also play a significant role in maintaining existing relationships with customers while establishing new relationships to grow all areas of our businesses.

The following tables set forth deposit data for FDIC-insured institutions in Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Howard County, Kent County, Queen Anne's County, Caroline County, Talbot County and Dorchester County in Maryland and in Kent County, Delaware as of June 30, 2017, the most recent date for which comparative information is available.

Baltimore County, Maryland	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
Bank of America, NA	\$ 3,982,623	22.77 %
M&T	3,499,658	20.01
Wells Fargo Bank, NA	2,081,985	11.91
PNC Bank, NA	1,848,076	10.57
Branch Banking and Trust	1,461,923	8.36
SunTrust Bank	778,204	4.45
Rosedale Federal Savings and Loan Association	481,515	2.75
CFG Community Bank	468,121	2.68
First National Bank of Pennsylvania	465,353	2.66
First Mariner Bank	317,823	1.82
Bay Bank, FSB	296,895	1.70
Hamilton Bank	219,354	1.25
Farmers and Merchants Bank	198,859	1.14
Eastern Savings Bank, FSB	188,522	1.08
The Columbia Bank	154,455	0.88
Midstate Community Bank	140,579	0.80
Chesapeake Bank of Maryland	128,745	0.74
Howard Bank	122,737	0.70
Peoples Bank	107,146	0.61
Revere Bank	94,867	0.54
Bay-Vanguard Federal Savings Bank	89,367	0.51
Old Line Bank	59,622	0.34
TD Bank, NA	58,867	0.34
Madison Bank of Maryland	50,291	0.29
Maryland Financial Bank	47,757	0.27
Shore United Bank	35,764	0.20
The Harbor Bank of Maryland	32,491	0.19
Essex Bank	29,294	0.17
Saint Casimir's Savings Bank	24,933	0.14
Capital One, NA	14,977	0.09
Woodforest National Bank	5,563	0.03
Beal Bank, SSB	1,334	0.01
Total	\$ 17,487,700	100.00 %

Source: FDIC DataBook

Baltimore City, Maryland	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
Bank of America, NA	\$ 10,851,306	40.76 %
M&T	7,776,943	29.21
Wells Fargo Bank, NA	3,018,498	11.34
PNC Bank, NA	2,606,351	9.79
Branch Banking and Trust	536,174	2.01
SunTrust Bank	432,514	1.63
First Mariner Bank	214,896	0.81
The Harbor Bank of Maryland	176,090	0.66
Shore United Bank	138,427	0.52
Howard Bank	116,807	0.44
The Columbia Bank	115,260	0.43
Hamilton Bank	100,697	0.38
Capital One, NA	80,857	0.30
Bay Bank, FSB	79,025	0.30
Arundel Federal Savings Bank	78,264	0.29
Kopernik Bank	65,429	0.25
First National Bank of Pennsylvania	46,523	0.18
Saint Casimir's Savings Bank	42,529	0.16
Homewood Federal Savings Bank	40,797	0.15
Peoples Bank	28,295	0.11
Bay-Vanguard Federal Savings Bank	24,755	0.09
TD Bank, NA	22,468	0.08
Colombo Bank	18,694	0.07
CFG Community Bank	10,164	0.04
Atlantic Trust Company, NA	-	-
Stifel Trust Company, NA	-	-
Wilmington Trust, NA	-	-
Total	\$ 26,621,763	100.00%

Source: FDIC DataBook

Caroline County, Maryland	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
Provident State Bank, Inc	\$ 193,319	45.78 %
PNC Bank, NA	98,198	23.25
Shore United Bank	66,605	15.77
M&T	30,038	7.11
Branch Banking & Trust	26,613	6.30
The Queenstown Bank of MD	7,546	1.79
Total	\$ 422,319	100.00%

Source: FDIC DataBook

Dorchester County, Maryland	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
1880 Bank	\$ 167,613	31.04 %
Hebron Savings Bank	128,860	23.86
Provident State Bank, Inc	73,899	13.68
Branch Banking & Trust	67,922	12.58
Bank of America, NA	38,702	7.17
M&T	37,106	6.87
Shore United Bank	25,938	4.80
Total	\$ 540,040	100.00%

Source: FDIC DataBook

Howard County, Maryland	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
Bank of America, NA	\$ 1,633,997	23.20 %
M&T	839,347	11.91
PNC Bank, NA	815,142	11.57
The Columbia Bank	640,941	9.10
Wells Fargo Bank, NA	574,558	8.15
Capital One, NA	542,944	7.70
Howard Bank	433,152	6.15
Sandy Spring Bank	375,896	5.33
Branch Banking and Trust Company	360,069	5.11
SunTrust Bank	318,112	4.51
Revere Bank	123,599	1.75
Bay Bank, FSB	93,335	1.32
First Mariner Bank	70,659	1.00
First National Bank of Pennsylvania	52,943	0.75
Woori America Bank	48,488	0.69
Hamilton Bank	45,815	0.65
Eastern Savings Bank, FSB	45,070	0.64
Shore United Bank	32,589	0.46
Capital Bank, NA	595	0.01
BOKF, NA	-	-
Total	\$ 7,047,251	100.00%

Source: FDIC DataBook

Kent County, Maryland	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
The Peoples Bank	\$ 194,151	32.47 %
PNC Bank, NA	191,043	31.95
Branch Banking & Trust	89,351	14.95
Chesapeake Bank & Trust Co.	76,145	12.74
Shore United Bank	47,166	7.89
Total	\$ 597,856	100.00%

Source: FDIC DataBook

Queen Anne's County, Maryland	Deposits	% of Total
-------------------------------	----------	---------------

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

	(in thousands)	
The Queenstown Bank of MD	\$ 377,084	37.43 %
Shore United Bank	258,324	25.64
Bank of America, NA	102,082	10.13
PNC Bank, NA	92,387	9.17
M&T	66,839	6.63
First National Bank of Pennsylvania	47,201	4.69
Branch Banking & Trust	33,341	3.31
SunTrust Bank	15,806	1.57
The Peoples Bank	14,420	1.43
Total	\$ 1,007,484	100.00 %

Source: FDIC DataBook

Talbot County, Maryland	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
Shore United Bank	\$ 500,744	40.07 %
Bank of America, NA	188,776	15.11
PNC Bank, NA	164,299	13.15
1880 Bank	102,643	8.22
Branch Banking & Trust	76,215	6.10
SunTrust Bank	66,965	5.36
M&T	56,662	4.54
Provident State Bank, Inc	48,799	3.91
The Queenstown Bank of Maryland	43,003	3.44
The Peoples Bank	1,198	0.10
Total	\$ 1,249,304	100.00%

Source: FDIC DataBook

Kent County, Delaware	Deposits (in thousands)	% of Total
M&T	\$ 532,133	27.31 %
PNC Bank, NA	405,451	20.80
Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB	325,917	16.72
Citizens Bank, NA	211,634	10.86
Wells Fargo Bank, NA	167,117	8.57
Shore United Bank	93,454	4.80
TD Bank, NA	86,299	4.43
Artisans' Bank	53,245	2.73
County Bank	40,239	2.06
MidCoast Community Bank	24,417	1.25
FSNB, NA	9,235	0.47
Total	\$ 1,949,141	100.00%

Source: FDIC DataBook

For further information about competition in our market areas, see the Risk Factor entitled “We operate in a highly competitive market and our inability to effectively compete in our markets could have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations” in Item 1A of Part I of this annual report.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

The following is a summary of the material regulations and policies applicable to us and is not intended to be a comprehensive discussion. Changes in applicable laws and regulations may have a material effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

General

The Company is a financial holding company registered with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “FRB”) under the BHC Act and, as such, is subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the BHC Act and the regulations of the FRB.

The Bank is a Maryland chartered commercial bank subject to the banking laws of Maryland and to regulation by the Commissioner of Financial Regulation of Maryland, who is required by statute to make at least one examination in each calendar year (or at 12-month intervals if the Commissioner determines that an examination is unnecessary in a particular calendar year). The primary federal regulator of the Bank is the FRB. The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC, so certain laws and regulations administered by the FDIC also govern their deposit taking operations. In addition to the foregoing, the Bank is subject to numerous state and federal statutes and regulations that affect the business of banking generally.

Nonbank affiliates of the Company are subject to examination by the FRB, and, as affiliates of the Bank, may be subject to examination by the Bank’s regulators from time to time. In addition, the Insurance Subsidiaries are each subject to licensing and regulation by the insurance authorities of the states in which they do business. Retail sales of insurance products by the Insurance Subsidiaries to customers of the Bank are also subject to the requirements of the Interagency Statement on Retail Sales of Nondeposit Investment Products promulgated in 1994, as amended, by the FDIC, the FRB and the other federal banking agencies.

Regulation of Financial Holding Companies

In November 1999, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (the “GLB Act”) was signed into law. The GLB Act revised the BHC Act and repealed the affiliation provisions of the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which, taken together, limited the securities, insurance and other non-banking activities of any company that controls an FDIC insured financial institution. Under the GLB Act, a bank holding company can elect, subject to certain qualifications, to become a

“financial holding company.” The GLB Act provides that a financial holding company may engage in a full range of financial activities, including insurance and securities underwriting and agency activities, merchant banking, and insurance company portfolio investment activities, with new expedited notice procedures. The Company is a financial holding company.

Under FRB policy, the Company is expected to act as a source of strength to the bank, and the FRB may charge the Company with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices for failure to commit resources to the Bank when required. This support may be required at times when the Company may not have the resources to provide the support. Under the prompt corrective action provisions, if a controlled bank is undercapitalized, then the regulators could require the bank holding company to guarantee the bank’s capital restoration plan. In addition, if the FRB believes that a company’s activities, assets or affiliates represent a significant risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of a controlled bank, then the FRB could require the bank holding company to terminate the activities, liquidate the assets or divest the affiliates. The regulators may require these and other actions in support of controlled banks even if such actions are not in the best interests of the bank holding company or its stockholders. Because the Company is a bank holding company, it is viewed as a source of financial and managerial strength for any controlled depository institutions, like the Bank.

On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), which made sweeping changes to the financial regulatory landscape that impacts all financial institutions, including the Company and the Bank. The Dodd-Frank Act directs federal bank regulators to require that all companies that directly or indirectly control an insured depository institution serve as sources of financial strength for the institution. The term “source of financial strength” is defined under the Dodd-Frank Act as the ability of a company to provide financial assistance to its insured depository institution subsidiaries in the event of financial distress. The appropriate federal banking agency for such a depository institution may require reports from companies that control the insured depository institution to assess their abilities to serve as sources of strength and to enforce compliance with the source-of-strength requirements. The appropriate federal banking agency may also require a holding company to provide financial assistance to a bank with impaired capital. Under this requirement, the Company could be required to provide financial assistance to the Bank should it experience financial distress.

In addition, under the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (“FIRREA”), depository institutions insured by the FDIC can be held liable for any losses incurred by, or reasonably anticipated to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with (i) the default of a commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution or (ii) any assistance provided by the FDIC to a commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution in danger of default. Accordingly, in the event that any insured subsidiary of the Company causes a loss to the FDIC, other insured subsidiaries of the Company could be required to compensate the FDIC by reimbursing it for the estimated amount of such loss. Such cross guaranty liabilities generally are superior in priority to obligations of a financial institution to its stockholders and obligations to other affiliates.

Federal Regulation of Banks

Federal and state banking regulators may prohibit the institutions over which they have supervisory authority from engaging in activities or investments that the agencies believe are unsafe or unsound banking practices. These banking regulators have extensive enforcement authority over the institutions they regulate to prohibit or correct activities that violate law, regulation or a regulatory agreement or which are deemed to be unsafe or unsound practices. Enforcement actions may include the appointment of a conservator or receiver, the issuance of a cease and desist order, the termination of deposit insurance, the imposition of civil money penalties on the institution, its directors, officers, employees and institution-affiliated parties, the issuance of directives to increase capital, the issuance of formal and informal agreements, the removal of or restrictions on directors, officers, employees and institution-affiliated parties, and the enforcement of any such mechanisms through restraining orders or other court actions.

The Bank is subject to the provisions of Section 23A and Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. Section 23A limits the amount of loans or extensions of credit to, and investments in, the Company and its nonbank affiliates by the Bank. Section 23B requires that transactions between the Bank and the Company and its nonbank affiliates be on terms and under circumstances that are substantially the same as with non-affiliates.

The Bank is also subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, and principal stockholders or any related interest of such persons, which generally require that such credit extensions be made on substantially the same terms as are available to third parties dealing with the Bank and not involve more than the normal risk of repayment. Other laws tie the maximum amount that may be loaned to any one customer and its related interests to capital levels.

As part of the Federal Deposit Insurance Company Improvement Act of 1991 (“FDICIA”), each federal banking regulator adopted non-capital safety and soundness standards for institutions under its authority. These standards include internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, and compensation, fees and benefits. An institution that fails to meet those standards may be required by the agency to develop a plan acceptable to meet the standards. Failure to submit or implement such a plan may subject the institution to regulatory sanctions. The Company, on behalf of the Bank, believes that the Bank meets substantially all standards that have been adopted. FDICIA also imposes capital standards on insured depository institutions.

The Community Reinvestment Act (“CRA”) requires that, in connection with the examination of financial institutions within their jurisdictions, the federal banking regulators evaluate the record of the financial institution in meeting the credit needs of their communities including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those banks. These factors are also considered by all regulatory agencies in evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or facility. As of the date of its most recent examination report, the

Bank has a CRA rating of “Satisfactory.”

The Bank is also subject to a variety of other laws and regulations with respect to the operation of their businesses, including, but not limited to, the Truth in Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Expedited Funds Availability (Regulation CC), Reserve Requirements (Regulation D), Privacy of Consumer Information (Regulation P), Margin Stock Loans (Regulation U), the Right To Financial Privacy Act, the Flood Disaster Protection Act, the Homeowners Protection Act, the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, the CAN-SPAM Act, the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act, and the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act.

The Dodd-Frank Act

The Dodd-Frank Act significantly changed the bank regulatory structure and affected the lending, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FRB to set minimum capital levels for bank holding companies that are as stringent as those required for insured depository institutions. The legislation also establishes a floor for capital of insured depository institutions and directs the federal banking regulators to implement new leverage and capital requirements. The new leverage and capital requirements must take into account off-balance sheet activities and other risks, including risks relating to securitized products and derivatives. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC has backup enforcement authority over a depository institution holding company, such as the Company, if the conduct or threatened conduct of such holding company poses a risk to the Deposit Insurance Fund (“DIF”), although such authority may not be used if the holding company is generally in sound condition and does not pose a foreseeable and material risk to the DIF. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act contains a wide variety of provisions (many of which are not yet effective) affecting the regulation of depository institutions, including restrictions related to mortgage originations, risk retention requirements as to securitized loans and the establishment of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”).

The Dodd-Frank Act will increase our regulatory compliance burden and costs and may restrict the financial products and services we offer to our customers. In particular, the Dodd-Frank Act will require us to invest significant management attention and resources so that we can evaluate the impact of this law and its regulations and make any necessary changes to our product offerings and operations. These impacts may be material.

On February 3, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order calling for his administration to review existing U.S. financial laws and regulations, including the Dodd-Frank Act, in order to determine their consistency with a set of “core principles” of financial policy. The core financial principles identified in the executive order include the following: empowering Americans to make independent financial decisions and informed choices in the marketplace, save for retirement, and build individual wealth; preventing taxpayer-funded bailouts; fostering economic growth and vibrant financial markets through more rigorous regulatory impact analysis that addresses systemic risk and market failures, such as moral hazard and information asymmetry; enabling American companies to be competitive with foreign firms in domestic and foreign markets; advancing American interests in international financial regulatory negotiations and meetings; and restoring public accountability within Federal financial regulatory agencies and “rationalizing” the Federal financial regulatory framework.

Although the order does not specifically identify any existing laws or regulations that the administration considers to be inconsistent with the core principles, areas that the mandated agency report may ultimately identify for reform include the Volcker Rule; any “fiduciary” standard applicable to investment advisers and broker-dealers; and the powers, structure and funding arrangements of the Financial Stability Oversight Council, the Office of Financial Research, the prudential bank regulators, the SEC, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, and CFPB. While some changes can be implemented by the regulatory agencies themselves, implementing much of the anticipated agenda of changes would require legislation from Congress.

In 2017, both the House of Representatives and the Senate introduced legislation that would repeal or modify provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and significantly impact financial services regulation. Although the bills vary in content, certain key aspects include revisions to rules related to mortgage loans, delayed implementation of rules related to the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, and reform and simplification of certain Volcker Rule requirements.

In conjunction with the executive order, President Trump also issued a memorandum to the Department of Labor (“DOL”) on the fiduciary rule, delaying the rule’s effectiveness and requiring further analysis. DOL must postpone the application of the rule for 180 days beyond its originally scheduled effective date of April 10, 2017, and must prepare an economic and legal analysis of the likely impact of the rule. If this analysis concludes that the rule will harm investors, disrupt the retirement services industry, increase litigation (and therefore the price of retirement services), be undermined as the result of certain exemptions, or violate any statute (including the Administrative Procedure Act) or that the rule is inconsistent with Administration policy, then DOL must propose rescission of or revisions to the rule. On November 17, 2017, the DOL announced a further transition period (ending in mid-2019) for key provisions of the fiduciary rule in order to allow the DOL to continue its analysis in response to the executive order and memorandum.

Regulatory Capital Requirements

General

FDICIA established a system of prompt corrective action to resolve the problems of undercapitalized institutions. Under this system, the federal banking regulators are required to rate supervised institutions on the basis of five capital categories: “well capitalized,” “adequately capitalized,” “undercapitalized,” “significantly undercapitalized,” and “critically undercapitalized;” and to take certain mandatory actions (and are authorized to take other discretionary actions) with respect to institutions in the three undercapitalized categories. The severity of the actions will depend upon the category in which the institution is placed. A depository institution is “well capitalized” if it has a total risk based capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 6% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to any order, regulatory agreement, or written directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. An “adequately capitalized” institution is defined as one that has a total risk based capital ratio of 8% or greater, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 4% or greater and a leverage ratio of 4% or greater (or 3% or greater in the case of a bank with a composite CAMEL rating of 1).

FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution, including the payment of cash dividends, or paying a management fee to its holding company if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized. Undercapitalized depository institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit capital restoration plans. For a capital restoration plan to be acceptable, the depository institution’s parent holding company must guarantee (subject to certain limitations) that the institution will comply with such capital restoration plan.

Significantly undercapitalized depository institutions may be subject to a number of other requirements and restrictions, including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized and requirements to reduce total assets and stop accepting deposits from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized depository institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator; generally within 90 days of the date such institution is determined to be critically undercapitalized.

As of December 31, 2017, the Bank was categorized as “well capitalized.” For more information regarding the capital condition of the Company, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report.

Basel III

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), an international forum for cooperation on banking supervisory matters, announced the "Basel III" capital standards, which substantially revised the existing capital requirements for banking organizations. Modest revisions were made in June 2011. On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve adopted a final rule for the Basel III capital framework. The requirements in the rule began to phase in on January 1, 2015 for the Company. The requirements in the rule will be fully phased in by January 1, 2019.

The rule imposes higher risk-based capital and leverage requirements than those currently in place. Specifically, the rule imposes the following minimum capital requirements: (1) a new common equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.5%; (2) a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% (increased from the previous 4% requirement); (3) a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from the previous requirement); and (4) a leverage ratio of 4%.

Under the rule, Tier 1 capital has been redefined to include two components: Common Equity Tier 1 capital and additional Tier 1 capital. The new and highest form of capital, Common Equity Tier 1 capital, consists solely of common stock (plus related surplus), retained earnings, accumulated other comprehensive income, and limited amounts of minority interests that are in the form of common stock. Additional Tier 1 capital includes other perpetual instruments historically included in Tier 1 capital, such as non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock. The rule permits bank holding companies with less than \$15 billion in total consolidated assets to continue to include trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred stock issued before May 19, 2010 in Tier 1 capital, but not in Common Equity Tier 1 capital, subject to certain restrictions. Tier 2 capital consists of instruments that previously qualified in Tier 2 capital plus instruments that the rule has disqualified from Tier 1 capital treatment.

In addition, in order to avoid restrictions on capital distributions or discretionary bonus payments to executives, a covered banking organization must maintain a "capital conservation buffer" on top of its minimum risk-based capital requirements. This buffer must consist solely of Tier 1 Common Equity, but the buffer applies to all three measurements (Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 capital and total capital). The capital conservation buffer will be phased in incrementally over time, becoming fully effective on January 1, 2019, and will consist of an additional amount of common equity equal to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets.

The previous capital rules required certain deductions from or adjustments to capital. The new rule retains many of these deductions and adjustments and also provides for new ones. As a result, deductions from Common Equity Tier 1 capital will be required for goodwill (net of associated deferred tax liabilities); intangible assets such as non-mortgage servicing assets and purchased credit card relationships (net of associated deferred tax liabilities); deferred tax assets that arise from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards (net of any related valuation allowances and net of deferred tax liabilities); any gain on sale in connection with a securitization exposure; any defined benefit pension fund net asset (net of any associated deferred tax liabilities) held by a bank holding company (this provision does not

apply to a bank or savings association); the aggregate amount of outstanding equity investments (including retained earnings) in financial subsidiaries; and identified losses.

Additionally, the new rule provides for the deduction of three categories of assets: (i) deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences that cannot be realized through net operating loss carrybacks (net of related valuation allowances and of deferred tax liabilities), (ii) mortgage servicing assets (net of associated deferred tax liabilities) and (iii) investments in more than 10% of the issued and outstanding common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions (net of associated deferred tax liabilities). The amount in each category that exceeds 10% of Common Equity Tier 1 capital must be deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital. The remaining, non-deducted amounts are then aggregated, and the amount by which this total amount exceeds 15% of Common Equity Tier 1 capital must be deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital. Amounts of minority investments in consolidated subsidiaries that exceed certain limits and investments in unconsolidated financial institutions may also have to be deducted from the category of capital to which such instruments belong.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) is presumptively included in Common Equity Tier 1 capital and often would operate to reduce this category of capital. The new rule provided a one-time opportunity at the end of the first quarter of 2015 for covered banking organizations to opt out of much of this treatment of AOCI and the Company has elected this option. The new rule also has the effect of increasing capital requirements by increasing the risk weights on certain assets, including high volatility commercial real estate, mortgage servicing rights not includable in Common Equity Tier 1 capital, equity exposures, and claims on securities firms, that are used in the denominator of the three risk-based capital ratios.

In September 2017, the federal bank regulators proposed to revise and simplify the capital treatment for certain deferred tax assets, mortgage servicing assets, investments in non-consolidated financial entities and minority interests for banking organizations, such as the Bank, that are not subject to the advanced approaches requirements. In November 2017, the federal banking regulators revised the Basel III Rules to extend the current transitional treatment of these items for non-advanced approaches banking organizations until the September 2017 proposal is finalized. The September 2017 proposal would also change the capital treatment of certain commercial real estate loans under the standardized approach, which we use to calculate our capital ratios.

In December 2017, the Basel Committee published standards that it described as the finalization of the Basel III post-crisis regulatory reforms (the standards are commonly referred to as “Basel IV”). Among other things, these standards revise the Basel Committee’s standardized approach for credit risk (including by recalibrating risk weights and introducing new capital requirements for certain “unconditionally cancellable commitments,” such as unused credit card lines of credit) and provides a new standardized approach for operational risk capital. Under the Basel framework, these standards will generally be effective on January 1, 2022, with an aggregate output floor phasing in through January 1, 2027. Under the current U.S. capital rules, operational risk capital requirements and a capital floor apply only to advanced approaches institutions, and not to us. The impact of Basel IV on us will depend on the manner in which it is implemented by the federal bank regulators.

Deposit Insurance

The Bank is a member of the FDIC and pays an insurance premium on a quarterly basis. Deposits are insured by the FDIC through the DIF and such insurance is backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, a permanent increase in deposit insurance to \$250,000 was authorized. The coverage limit is per depositor, per insured depository institution, for each account ownership category.

The Dodd-Frank Act also set a new minimum DIF reserve ratio at 1.35% of estimated insured deposits. The FDIC is required to attain this ratio by September 30, 2020. The Dodd-Frank Act required the FDIC to redefine the deposit insurance assessment base for an insured depository institution. Prior to the Dodd-Frank Act, an institution’s assessment base has historically been its domestic deposits, with some adjustments. As redefined pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, an institution’s assessment base is now an amount equal to the institution’s average consolidated total assets during the assessment period minus average tangible equity. Institutions with \$1.0 billion or more in assets at the end of a fiscal quarter must report their average consolidated total assets on a daily basis and report their average tangible equity on an end-of-month balance basis. Institutions with less than \$1.0 billion in assets at the end of a fiscal quarter may opt to report average consolidated total assets and average tangible equity on a weekly and end-of-quarter basis, respectively.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005, which created the DIF, gave the FDIC greater latitude in setting the assessment rates for insured depository institutions which could be used to impose minimum assessments. Deposit insurance assessments are based on average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity. Under the FDIC’s risk-based assessment system, insured institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets are assigned to one of four risk categories based on supervisory evaluations, regulatory capital level, and certain other factors, with less risky institutions paying lower assessments. An institution’s assessment rate depends upon the category to which it is assigned and certain other factors. The Bank expensed a total of \$599 thousand in FDIC insurance premiums during 2017. The FDIC has the flexibility to adopt actual deposit assessment rates that are higher or lower than the total base assessment rates adopted without notice and comment, if certain conditions are met.

DIF-insured institutions pay a Financing Corporation (“FICO”) assessment in order to fund the interest on bonds issued in the 1980s in connection with the failures in the thrift industry. For the fourth quarter of 2017, the FICO assessment was equal to 0.120 basis points computed on assets as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. These assessments will continue until the bonds mature in 2019.

The FDIC is authorized to conduct examinations of and require reporting by FDIC-insured institutions. It is also authorized to terminate a depository bank’s deposit insurance upon a finding by the FDIC that the bank’s financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or has violated any applicable rule, regulation, order or condition enacted or imposed by the bank’s regulatory agency. The termination of deposit insurance for the Bank would have a material adverse effect on our earnings, operations and financial condition.

Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering

The Bank Secrecy Act (“BSA”), which is intended to require financial institutions to develop policies, procedures, and practices to prevent and deter money laundering, mandates that every national bank have a written, board-approved program that is reasonably designed to assure and monitor compliance with the BSA.

The program must, at a minimum: (i) provide for a system of internal controls to assure ongoing compliance; (ii) provide for independent testing for compliance; (iii) designate an individual responsible for coordinating and monitoring day-to-day compliance; and (iv) provide training for appropriate personnel. In addition, state-chartered banks are required to adopt a customer identification program as part of its BSA compliance program. State-chartered banks are also required to file Suspicious Activity Reports when they detect certain known or suspected violations of federal law or suspicious transactions related to a money laundering activity or a violation of the BSA.

In addition to complying with the BSA, the Bank is subject to the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (the “USA Patriot Act”). The USA Patriot Act is designed to deny terrorists and criminals the ability to obtain access to the United States’ financial system and has significant implications for depository institutions, brokers, dealers, and other businesses involved in the transfer of money. The USA Patriot Act mandates that financial service companies implement additional policies and procedures and take heightened measures designed to address any or all of the following matters: (i) customer identification programs; (ii) money laundering; (iii) terrorist financing; (iv) identifying and reporting suspicious activities and currency transactions; (v) currency crimes; and (vi) cooperation between financial institutions and law enforcement authorities.

Ability-to-Repay and Qualified Mortgage Rule

Pursuant to the Dodd Frank Act, the CFPB issued a final rule on January 10, 2013 (effective on January 10, 2014), amending Regulation Z, as implemented by the Truth in Lending Act, that requires mortgage lenders to make a reasonable and good faith determination based on verified and documented information that a consumer applying for a mortgage loan has a reasonable ability to repay the loan according to its terms. Mortgage lenders are required to determine consumers' ability to repay in one of two ways. The first alternative requires the mortgage lender to consider the following eight underwriting factors when making the credit decision: (i) current or reasonably expected income or assets; (ii) current employment status; (iii) the monthly payment on the covered transaction; (iv) the monthly payment on any simultaneous loan; (v) the monthly payment for mortgage-related obligations; (vi) current debt obligations, alimony, and child support; (vii) the monthly debt-to-income ratio or residual income; and (viii) credit history. Alternatively, the mortgage lender can originate "qualified mortgages," which are entitled to a presumption that the creditor making the loan satisfied the ability-to-repay requirements. In general, a "qualified mortgage" is a mortgage loan without negative amortization, interest-only payments, balloon payments, or terms exceeding 30 years. In addition, to be a qualified mortgage the points and fees paid by a consumer cannot exceed three percent of the total loan amount. Qualified mortgages that are "higher-priced" (e.g. subprime loans) garner a rebuttable presumption of compliance with the ability-to-repay rules, while qualified mortgages that are not "higher-priced" (e.g. prime loans) are given a safe harbor of compliance.

The Tax Act

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 ("the Tax Act") was signed into law. The Tax Act includes a number of provisions that impact us, including the following:

Tax Rate. The Tax Act replaces the graduated corporate tax rates applicable under prior law, which imposed a maximum tax rate of 35%, with a reduced 21% flat tax rate. Although the reduced tax rate generally should be favorable to us by resulting in increased earnings and capital, it will decrease the value of our existing deferred tax assets. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires that the impact of the provisions of the Tax Act be accounted for in the period of enactment. As a result, the value of our deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2017 was reduced by approximately \$582 thousand.

FDIC Insurance Premiums. The Tax Act prohibits taxpayers with consolidated assets over \$50 billion from deducting any FDIC insurance premiums and prohibits taxpayers with consolidated assets between \$10 and \$50 billion from deducting the portion of their FDIC premiums equal to the ratio, expressed as a percentage, that (i) the taxpayer's total consolidated assets over \$10 billion, as of the close of the taxable year, bears to (ii) \$40 billion.

Employee Compensation. A “publicly held corporation” is not permitted to deduct compensation in excess of \$1 million per year paid to certain employees. The Tax Act eliminates certain exceptions to the \$1 million limit applicable under prior law related to performance-based compensation, such as equity grants and cash bonuses that are paid only on the attainment of performance goals.

Business Asset Expensing. The Tax Act allows taxpayers immediately to expense the entire cost (instead of only 50%, as under prior law) of certain depreciable tangible property and real property improvements acquired and placed in service after September 27, 2017 and before January 1, 2023 (with an additional year for certain property). This 100% “bonus” depreciation is phased out proportionately for property placed in service on or after January 1, 2023 and before January 1, 2027 (with an additional year for certain property).

Interest Expense. The Tax Act limits a taxpayer’s annual deduction of business interest expense to the sum of (i) business interest income and (ii) 30% of “adjusted taxable income,” defined as a business’s taxable income without taking into account business interest income or expense, net operating losses, and, for 2018 through 2021, depreciation, amortization and depletion.

Federal Securities Laws

The shares of the Company’s common stock are registered with the SEC under Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), and listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The Company is subject to information reporting requirements, proxy solicitation requirements, insider trading restrictions and other requirements of the Exchange Act, including the requirements imposed under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC. Among other things, loans to and other transactions with insiders are subject to restrictions and heightened disclosure, directors and certain committees of the Board must satisfy certain independence requirements, and the Company is generally required to comply with certain corporate governance requirements.

Governmental Monetary and Credit Policies and Economic Controls

The earnings and growth of the banking industry and ultimately of the Company are affected by the monetary and credit policies of governmental authorities, including the FRB. An important function of the FRB is to regulate the national supply of bank credit in order to control recessionary and inflationary pressures. Among the instruments of monetary policy used by the FRB to implement these objectives are open market operations in U.S. Government securities, changes in the federal funds rate, changes in the discount rate of member bank borrowings, and changes in reserve requirements against member bank deposits. These means are used in varying combinations to influence overall growth of bank loans, investments and deposits and may also affect interest rates charged on loans or paid for deposits. The monetary policies of the FRB authorities have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to have such an effect in the future. In view of changing conditions in the national economy and in the money markets, as well as the effect of actions by monetary and fiscal authorities, including the FRB, no prediction can be made as to possible future changes in interest rates, deposit levels, loan demand or their effect on the business and earnings of the Company and its subsidiaries.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company maintains an Internet site at www.shorebancshares.com on which it makes available, free of charge, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to the foregoing as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. In addition, stockholders may access these reports and documents on the SEC's web site at www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS.

An investment in our common stock involves significant risks. You should consider carefully the risk factors included below together with all of the information included in or incorporated by reference into this annual report, as the same may be updated from time to time by our future filings with the SEC under the Exchange Act, before making a decision to invest in our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. If any of the matters included in the following information about risk factors were to occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In such case, you may lose all or a substantial part of your investment. To the extent that any of the information contained in this document constitutes forward-looking statements, the risk factors below should be reviewed as cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. See "Cautionary note regarding forward-looking statements."

Risks Relating to Our Business

Changes in U.S. or regional economic conditions could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business activities and earnings are affected by general business conditions in the United States and in the local market area. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, unemployment levels, consumer confidence and spending, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, and the strength of the economy in the United States generally and in our market area in particular. Several years ago, the national economy experienced an extended recession, with rising unemployment levels, declines in real estate values and erosion in consumer confidence. Dramatic declines in the U.S. housing market during the recession, with falling home prices and higher levels of foreclosures, negatively affected the performance of mortgage loans and resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by many financial institutions. Although the housing sector has improved, real estate prices have rebounded and consumer confidence has shown improvement, historical trends indicate that a recession occurs every 8-10 years which could result in another economic downturn in the near future. A return to elevated levels of unemployment, declines in the values of real estate, or other events that affect household and/or corporate incomes could impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans in accordance with their terms and reduce demand for banking products and services.

A majority of our business is concentrated in Maryland and Delaware, a significant amount of which is concentrated in real estate lending, so a decline in the local economy and real estate markets could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Because most of our loans are made to customers who reside on the Eastern Shore of Maryland and in Delaware, a decline in local economic conditions may have a greater effect on our earnings and capital than on the earnings and capital of larger financial institutions whose loan portfolios are geographically diverse. Further, a significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by real estate, including construction and land development loans, all of which are in greater demand when interest rates are low and economic conditions are good. Accordingly, a decline in local economic conditions would likely have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations, and the impact on us would likely be greater than the impact felt by larger financial institutions whose loan portfolios are geographically diverse. The Company has made strides to make our loan portfolio more diverse by expanding its footprint closer to the metropolitan area of Baltimore which during the last recession rebounded at a much faster pace than the more rural areas of Maryland. We cannot guarantee that any risk management practices that we implement to address our geographic and loan concentrations will be effective in preventing losses relating to our loan portfolio.

Our concentrations of commercial real estate loans could subject us to increased regulatory scrutiny and directives, which could force us to preserve or raise capital and/or limit our future commercial lending

activities.

The FRB and the FDIC, along with the other federal banking regulators, issued guidance in December 2006 entitled “Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices” directed at institutions that have particularly high concentrations of commercial real estate loans within their lending portfolios. This guidance suggests that these institutions face a heightened risk of financial difficulties in the event of adverse changes in the economy and commercial real estate markets. Accordingly, the guidance suggests that institutions whose concentrations exceed certain percentages of capital should implement heightened risk management practices appropriate to their concentration risk. Federal bank regulatory guidelines identify institutions potentially exposed to commercial real estate concentration risk as those that have (i) experienced rapid growth in commercial real estate lending, (ii) notable exposure to a specific type of commercial real estate, (iii) total reported loans for construction, land development and other land loans representing 100% or more of the institution’s capital, or (iv) total commercial real estate loans representing 300% or more of the institution’s capital if the outstanding balance of the institution’s commercial real estate loan portfolio has increased 50% or more during the prior 36 months. The guidance provides that banking regulators may require such institutions to reduce their concentrations and/or maintain higher capital ratios than institutions with lower concentrations in commercial real estate. Due to our emphasis on commercial real estate and construction lending, as of December 31, 2017, non-owner-occupied commercial real estate loans (including construction, land and land development loans) represented 285.1% of total risk based capital. Construction, land and land development loans represent 91.9% of total risk based capital. The commercial real estate portfolio has increased 78.9% during the prior 36 months. We may be subject to heightened supervisory scrutiny during future examinations and/or be required to maintain higher levels of capital as a result of our commercial real estate concentrations, which could require us to obtain additional capital, and may adversely affect shareholder returns. Management cannot predict the extent to which this guidance will impact our operations or capital requirements. Further, we cannot guarantee that any risk management practices we implement will be effective in preventing losses resulting from concentrations in our commercial real estate portfolio.

Interest rates and other economic conditions will impact our results of operations.

Our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected by changes in prevailing economic conditions, including declines in real estate values, rapid changes in interest rates and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government. Our results of operations are significantly impacted by the spread between the interest rates earned on assets and the interest rates paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities (i.e., net interest income), including advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (the “FHLB”) of Atlanta. Interest rate risk arises from mismatches (i.e., the interest sensitivity gap) between the dollar amount of repricing or maturing assets and liabilities. If more assets reprice or mature than liabilities during a falling interest rate environment, then our earnings could be negatively impacted. Conversely, if more liabilities reprice or mature than assets during a rising interest rate environment, then our earnings could be negatively impacted. Fluctuations in interest rates are not predictable or controllable.

Changes in interest rates, particularly by the FRB, which implements national monetary policy in order to mitigate recessionary and inflationary pressures, also affect the value of our loans. In setting its policy, the FRB may utilize techniques such as: (i) engaging in open market transactions in United States government securities; (ii) setting the discount rate on member bank borrowings; and (iii) determining reserve requirements. These techniques may have an adverse effect on our deposit levels, net interest margin, loan demand or our business and operations. In addition, an increase in interest rates could adversely affect borrowers' ability to pay the principal or interest on existing loans or reduce their desire to borrow more money. This may lead to an increase in our nonperforming assets, a decrease in loan originations, or a reduction in the value of and income from our loans, any of which could have a material and negative effect on our results of operations.

Changes in market interest rates are affected by many factors beyond our control, including inflation, unemployment, money supply, international events, and events in world financial markets. We attempt to manage our risk from changes in market interest rates by adjusting the rates, maturity, re-pricing, and balances of the different types of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, but interest rate risk management techniques are not exact. As a result, a rapid increase or decrease in interest rates could have an adverse effect on the net interest margin and results of operations. Changes in the market interest rates for types of products and services in various markets also may vary significantly from location to location and over time based upon competition and local or regional economic factors. At December 31, 2017, our interest rate sensitivity simulation model projected that net interest income would increase by 1.8% if interest rates immediately rose by 200 basis points. The results of an interest rate sensitivity simulation model depend upon a number of assumptions which may not prove to be accurate. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully manage interest rate risk.

The Bank may experience credit losses in excess of its allowances, which would adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

The risk of credit losses on loans varies with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value and marketability of the collateral for the loan. Management at the Bank bases the allowance for credit losses upon, among other things, historical experience, an evaluation of economic conditions and regular reviews of delinquencies and loan portfolio quality. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for credit losses is inadequate to absorb future losses, or if the bank regulatory authorities, as a part of their examination process, require the Bank to increase its allowance for credit losses, our earnings and capital could be significantly and adversely affected. Material additions to the allowance for credit losses at the Bank would result in a decrease in the Bank's net income and capital and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

Although we believe that our allowance for credit losses is maintained at a level adequate to absorb any inherent losses in our loan portfolio, these estimates of loan losses are necessarily subjective and their accuracy depends on the outcome of future events.

While we strive to carefully monitor credit quality and to identify loans that may become nonperforming, at any time there are loans included in the portfolio that have not been identified as nonperforming or potential problem loans, but that will result in losses. We cannot be sure that we will be able to identify deteriorating loans before they become nonperforming assets, or that we will be able to limit losses on those loans that are identified. As a result, future additions to the allowance may be necessary.

Economic conditions and increased uncertainty in the financial markets could adversely affect our ability to accurately assess our allowance for credit losses. Our ability to assess the creditworthiness of our customers or to estimate the values of our assets and collateral for loans will be reduced if the models and approaches we use become less predictive of future behaviors, valuations, assumptions or estimates. We estimate losses inherent in our loan portfolio, the adequacy of our allowance for credit losses and the values of certain assets by using estimates based on difficult, subjective, and complex judgments, including estimates as to the effects of economic conditions and how those economic conditions might affect the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans or the value of assets.

Our investment securities portfolio is subject to credit risk, market risk and liquidity risk.

As of December 31, 2017, we had classified 97% of our investment securities as available-for-sale pursuant to the Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 320 (“ASC 320”) of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) relating to accounting for investments. ASC 320 requires that unrealized gains and losses in the estimated value of the available-for-sale portfolio be “marked to market” and reflected as a separate item in stockholders’ equity (net of tax) as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The remaining investment securities are classified as held-to-maturity in accordance with ASC 320 and are stated at amortized cost.

In the past, gains on sales of investment securities have not been a significant source of income for us. There can be no assurance that future market performance of our investment portfolio will enable us to realize income from sales of securities. Stockholders’ equity will continue to reflect the unrealized gains and losses (net of tax) of these investments. There can be no assurance that the market value of our investment portfolio will not decline, causing a corresponding decline in stockholders’ equity.

The Bank is a member of the FHLB of Atlanta. A member of the FHLB system is required to purchase stock issued by the relevant FHLB bank based on how much it borrows from the FHLB and the quality of the collateral pledged to secure that borrowing. Accordingly, our investments include stock issued by the FHLB of Atlanta. These investments could be subject to future impairment charges and there can be no guaranty of future dividends.

Management believes that several factors will affect the market values of our investment portfolio. These risk factors include, but are not limited to, rating agency downgrades of the securities, defaults of the issuers of the securities, lack of market pricing of the securities, and instability in the credit markets. Lack of market activity with respect to some securities has, in certain circumstances, required us to base our fair market valuation on unobservable inputs. Any changes in these risk factors, in current accounting principles or interpretations of these principles could impact our assessment of fair value and thus the determination of other-than-temporary impairment of the securities in the investment securities portfolio. Investment securities that previously were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired could require further write-downs due to continued erosion of the creditworthiness of the issuer. Write-downs of investment securities would negatively affect our earnings and regulatory capital ratios.

Impairment of investment securities, goodwill, other intangible assets, or deferred tax assets could require charges to earnings, which could result in a negative impact on our results of operations.

We are required to record a non-cash charge to earnings when management determines that an investment security is other-than-temporarily impaired. In assessing whether the impairment of investment securities is other-than-temporary, management considers the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the intent and ability to retain our investment in the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value in the near term.

Under current accounting standards, goodwill is not amortized but, instead, is subject to impairment tests on at least an annual basis or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. Intangible assets other than goodwill are also subject to impairment tests at least annually. A decline in the price of the Company's common stock or occurrence of a triggering event following any of our quarterly earnings releases and prior to the filing of the periodic report for that period could, under certain circumstances, cause us to perform goodwill and other intangible assets impairment tests and result in an impairment charge being recorded for that period which was not reflected in such earnings release. In the event that we conclude that all or a portion of our goodwill or other intangible assets may be impaired, a non-cash charge for the amount of such impairment would be recorded to earnings. At December 31, 2017, we had recorded goodwill of \$28.2 million and other intangible assets of \$4.7 million, representing approximately 17.2% and 2.9% of stockholders' equity, respectively.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Assessing the need for, or the sufficiency of, a valuation allowance requires management to evaluate all available evidence, both negative and positive, including the recent trend of quarterly earnings. Positive evidence necessary to overcome the negative evidence includes whether future taxable income in sufficient amounts and character within the carryback and carryforward periods is available under the tax law, including the use of tax planning strategies. When negative evidence (e.g., cumulative losses in recent years, history of operating loss or tax credit carry forwards expiring unused) exists, more positive evidence than negative evidence will be necessary. At December 31, 2017, our deferred tax assets were approximately \$4.9 million.

There was no valuation allowance for deferred taxes recorded at December 31, 2017 as management believes it is more likely than not that all of the deferred taxes will be realized because they were supported by positive evidence such as the expected generation of a sufficient level of future taxable income from operations and tax planning strategies.

The impact of each of these impairment matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition. See Notes 1 and 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report for further information.

Any changes in the Federal or State tax laws may negatively impact our financial performance.

We are subject to changes in tax law that could increase the effective tax rate payable to the state or federal government. These law changes may be retroactive to previous periods and as a result, could negatively affect our current and future financial performance. On December 22, 2017, President Donald Trump signed into law "The Tax Act, which among other items reduces the federal corporate tax rate to 21% from 35% effective January 1, 2018. As a result, at December 31, 2017 the Company had to revalue its deferred tax assets which resulted in a write-down of approximately \$582 thousand which subsequently increased income tax expense by the same amount. Although this one-time adjustment negatively affected our 2017 earnings, the future impact of a lower federal tax rate in future years will have a positive impact on net income.

Changes in accounting standards or interpretation of new or existing standards may affect how we report our financial condition and results of operations.

From time to time the FASB and the SEC change accounting regulations and reporting standards that govern the preparation of the Company's financial statements. In addition, the FASB, SEC, bank regulators and the outside independent auditors may revise their previous interpretations regarding existing accounting regulations and the application of these accounting standards. These changes can be hard to predict and can materially impact how to record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, there could be a requirement to apply a new or revised accounting standard retroactively, resulting in the restatement of prior period financial statements.

Our future success will depend on our ability to compete effectively in the highly competitive financial services industry.

We face substantial competition in all phases of our operations from a variety of different competitors. We compete with commercial banks, credit unions, savings and loan associations, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market funds and other mutual funds, as well as

other local and community, super-regional, national and international financial institutions that operate offices in our primary market areas and elsewhere. Our future growth and success will depend on our ability to compete effectively in this highly competitive financial services environment.

Many of our competitors are well-established, larger financial institutions and many offer products and services that we do not. Many have substantially greater resources, name recognition and market presence that benefit them in attracting business. Some of our competitors are not subject to the same regulations that are imposed on us, including credit unions that do not pay federal income tax, and, therefore, have regulatory advantage over us in accessing funding and in providing various services. While we believe we compete effectively with these other financial institutions in our primary markets, we may face a competitive disadvantage as a result of our smaller size, smaller asset base, lack of geographic diversification and inability to spread our marketing costs across a broader market. If we have to raise interest rates paid on deposits or lower interest rates charged on loans to compete effectively, our net interest margin and income could be negatively affected. Failure to compete effectively to attract new or to retain existing, clients may reduce or limit our net income and our market share and may adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and growth.

Our funding sources may prove insufficient to replace deposits and support our future growth.

We rely on customer deposits, advances from the FHLB, and lines of credit at other financial institutions to fund our operations. Although we have historically been able to replace maturing deposits and advances if desired, no assurance can be given that we would be able to replace such funds in the future if our financial condition or the financial condition of the FHLB or market conditions were to change. Our financial flexibility will be severely constrained and/or our cost of funds will increase if we are unable to maintain our access to funding or if financing necessary to accommodate future growth is not available at favorable interest rates. Finally, if we are required to place greater reliance on more expensive funding sources to support future growth, our revenues may not increase proportionately to cover our costs. In this case, our profitability would be adversely affected.

In addition, the FRB has issued rules pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act governing debit card interchange fees that apply to institutions with greater than \$10 billion in assets. Although we are not subject to these rules, market forces may effectively require all banks to adopt debit card interchange fee structures that comply with these rules, in which case our non-interest income for future periods could be materially and adversely affected.

The loss of key personnel could disrupt our operations and result in reduced earnings.

Our growth and profitability will depend upon our ability to attract and retain skilled managerial, marketing and technical personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the financial services industry is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel. Our current executive officers provide valuable services based on their many years of experience and in-depth knowledge of the banking industry. Due to the intense competition for financial professionals, these key personnel would be difficult to replace and an unexpected loss of their services could result in a disruption to the continuity of operations and a possible reduction in earnings.

The cost savings that we estimate for mergers and acquisitions may not be realized.

The success of our mergers and acquisitions may depend, in part, on the ability to realize the estimated cost savings from combining the acquired businesses with our existing operations. It is possible that the potential cost savings could turn out to be more difficult to achieve than anticipated. The cost savings estimates also depend on the ability to combine the businesses in a manner that permits those cost savings to be realized. If the estimates turn out to be incorrect or there is an inability to combine successfully, the anticipated cost savings may not be realized fully or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected.

Combining acquired businesses with the Bank may be more difficult, costly, or time-consuming than expected, or could result in the loss of customers.

It is possible that the process of merger integration of acquired companies could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of ongoing business or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect the ability to maintain relationships with clients and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger or acquisition. There also may be disruptions that cause the Bank to lose customers or cause customers to withdraw their deposits. Customers may not readily accept changes to their banking arrangements or other customer relationships after the merger or acquisition.

Our lending activities subject us to the risk of environmental liabilities.

A significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, we may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, we may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require us to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit our ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations of enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase our exposure to environmental liability. Although we have policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may be subject to other adverse claims.

We may from time to time be subject to claims from customers for losses due to alleged breaches of fiduciary duties, errors and omissions of employees, officers and agents, incomplete documentation, the failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, or many other reasons. Also, our employees may knowingly or unknowingly violate laws and regulations. Management may not be aware of any violations until after their occurrence. This lack of knowledge may not insulate us or our subsidiaries from liability. Claims and legal actions may result in legal expenses and liabilities that may reduce our profitability and hurt our financial condition.

We depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about customers and counterparties and our financial condition could be adversely affected if we rely on misleading information.

In deciding whether to extend credit or to enter into other transactions with customers and counterparties, we may rely on information furnished to us by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements and other financial information, which we do not independently verify. We also may rely on representations of customers and counterparties as to the accuracy and completeness of that information and, with respect to financial statements, on reports of independent auditors. For example, in deciding whether to extend credit to customers, we may assume that a customer's audited financial statements conform with U.S. GAAP and present fairly, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the customer. Our financial condition and results of operations could be negatively impacted to the extent we rely on financial statements that do not comply with GAAP or are materially misleading.

Our exposure to operational, technological and organizational risk may adversely affect us.

We are exposed to many types of operational risks, including reputation, legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, unauthorized transactions by employees or operational errors, clerical or record-keeping errors, and errors resulting from faulty or disabled computer or telecommunications systems.

Certain errors may be repeated or compounded before they are discovered and successfully rectified. Our necessary dependence upon automated systems to record and process transactions may further increase the risk that technical system flaws or employee tampering or manipulation of those systems will result in losses that are difficult to detect. We may also be subject to disruptions of our operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond our control (for example, computer viruses or electrical or telecommunications outages), which may give rise to disruption of service to customers and to financial loss or liability. We are further exposed to the risk that our external vendors may be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations (or will be subject to the same risk of fraud or

operational errors by their respective employees as are we) and to the risk that our (or our vendors') business continuity and data security systems prove to be inadequate.

Our information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

We rely heavily on communications and information systems to conduct our business. We, our customers, and other financial institutions with which we interact, are subject to ongoing, continuous attempts to penetrate key systems by individual hackers, organized criminals, and in some cases, state-sponsored organizations. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems, misappropriation of funds, and theft of proprietary Company or customer data. While we have policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the possible failure, interruption or security breach of our information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failure, interruption or security breach will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failure, interruption or security breach of our information systems could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability.

Security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to liability, which would cause our business and reputation to suffer.

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including intellectual property, our proprietary business information and that of our customers, suppliers and business partners, and personally identifiable information of our customers and employees, in our data centers and on our networks. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to our operations and business strategy. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, and regulatory penalties, disrupt our operations and the services we provide to customers, damage our reputation, and cause a loss of confidence in our products and services, which could adversely affect our business, revenues and competitive position.

Our reliance on third party vendors could expose us to additional cyber risk and liability.

The operation of our business involves outsourcing of certain business functions and reliance on third-party providers, which may result in transmission and maintenance of personal, confidential, and proprietary information to and by such vendors. Although we require third-party providers to maintain certain levels of information security, such providers remain vulnerable to breaches, unauthorized access, misuse, computer viruses, or other malicious attacks that could ultimately compromise sensitive information possessed by our company. Although we contract to limit our liability in connection with attacks against third-party providers, we remain exposed to risk of loss associated with such vendors.

We outsource certain aspects of our data processing to certain third-party providers which may expose us to additional risk.

We outsource certain key aspects of our data processing to certain third-party providers. While we have selected these third-party providers carefully, we cannot control their actions. If our third-party providers encounter difficulties, including those which result from their failure to provide services for any reason or their poor performance of services, or if we have difficulty in communicating with them, our ability to adequately process and account for customer transactions could be affected, and our business operations could be adversely impacted. Replacing these third-party providers could also entail significant delay and expense.

Our third-party providers may be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses, phishing schemes and other security breaches. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of customer information through various other third-party providers and their personnel. We may be required to expend significant additional resources to protect against the threat of such security breaches and computer viruses, or to alleviate problems caused by such security breaches or viruses. To the extent that the activities of our third-party providers or the activities of our customers involve the storage and transmission of confidential information, security breaches and viruses could expose us to claims, regulatory scrutiny, litigation and other possible liabilities.

We are dependent on our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers, and systems failures, interruptions or breaches of security could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our business is highly dependent on the successful and uninterrupted functioning of our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers. We outsource many of our major systems, such as data processing and deposit processing systems. The failure of these systems, or the termination of a third-party software

license or service agreement on which any of these systems is based, could interrupt our operations. Because our information technology and telecommunications systems interface with and depend on third-party systems, we could experience service denials if demand for such services exceeds capacity or such third-party systems fail or experience interruptions. If sustained or repeated, a system failure or service denial could result in a deterioration of our ability to provide customer service, compromise our ability to operate effectively, damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business and/or subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, we provide our customers the ability to bank remotely, including online over the Internet. The secure transmission of confidential information is a critical element of remote banking. Our network could be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses, phishing schemes, spam attacks, human error, natural disasters, power loss and other security breaches. We may be required to spend significant capital and other resources to protect against the threat of security breaches and computer viruses, or to alleviate problems caused by security breaches or viruses. Further, we outsource some of the data processing functions used for remote banking, and accordingly we are dependent on the expertise and performance of our third-party providers. To the extent that our activities, the activities of our customers, or the activities of our third-party service providers involve the storage and transmission of confidential information, security breaches and viruses could expose us to claims, litigation and other possible liabilities. Any inability to prevent security breaches or computer viruses could also cause existing customers to lose confidence in our systems and could adversely affect our reputation, results of operations and ability to attract and maintain customers and businesses. In addition, a security breach could also subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability and cause reputational damage.

Technological changes affect our business, and we may have fewer resources than many competitors to invest in technological improvements.

The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological changes with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to serving customers better, the effective use of technology may increase efficiency and may enable financial institutions to reduce costs. Our future success will depend, in part, upon our ability to use technology to provide products and services that provide convenience to customers and to create additional efficiencies in operations. We may need to make significant additional capital investments in technology in the future, and we may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements.

Risks Relating to the Regulation of our Industry

We operate in a highly regulated environment, which could restrain our growth and profitability.

We are subject to extensive laws and regulations that govern almost all aspects of our operations. These laws and regulations, and the supervisory framework that oversees the administration of these laws and regulations, are primarily intended to protect depositors, the DIF and the banking system as a whole, and not shareholders and consumers. These laws and regulations, among other matters, affect our lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy, operations and growth. Compliance with the myriad laws and regulations applicable to our organization can be difficult and costly. In addition, these laws, regulations and policies are subject to continual review by governmental authorities, and changes to these laws, regulations and policies, including changes in interpretation or implementation of these laws, regulations and policies, could affect us in substantial and unpredictable ways and often impose additional compliance costs. Further, any new laws, rules and regulations, such as the Dodd-Frank Act and regulatory capital rules, could make compliance more difficult or expensive. All of these laws and regulations, and the supervisory framework applicable to our industry, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Federal and state regulators periodically examine our business, and we may be required to remediate adverse examination findings.

The FRB and the Commissioner periodically examine our business, including our compliance with laws and regulations. If, as a result of an examination, the FRB or the Commissioner were to determine that our financial condition, capital resource, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity or other aspects of any of our operations had become unsatisfactory, or that we were in violation of any law or regulation, it may take a number of different remedial actions as it deems appropriate. These actions include the power to enjoin “unsafe or unsound” practices, to require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice, to issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced, to direct an increase in our capital, to restrict our growth, to assess civil monetary penalties against our officers or directors, to remove officers and directors and, if it is concluded that such conditions cannot be corrected or there is an imminent risk of loss to depositors, to terminate our deposit insurance and place us into receivership or conservatorship. Any regulatory action against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our FDIC deposit insurance premiums and assessments may increase.

The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC up to legal limits and, accordingly, subject to the payment of FDIC deposit insurance assessments. The Banks' regular assessments are determined by its risk classifications, which are based on its regulatory capital levels and the level of supervisory concern that it poses. High levels of bank failures since the beginning of the financial crisis and increases in the statutory deposit insurance limits have increased resolution costs to the FDIC and put significant pressure on the DIF. In order to maintain a strong funding position and restore the reserve ratios of the Deposit Insurance Fund, the FDIC increased deposit insurance assessment rates and charged a special assessment to all FDIC-insured financial institutions. Further increase in assessment rates or special assessments may occur in the future, especially if there are significant additional financial institution failures. Any future special assessments, increases in assessment rates or required prepayments in FDIC insurance premiums could reduce our profitability or limit our ability to pursue certain business opportunities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to numerous laws designed to protect consumers, including the Community Reinvestment Act and fair lending laws, and failure to comply with these laws could lead to a wide variety of sanctions.

The Community Reinvestment Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act and other fair lending laws and regulations impose nondiscriminatory lending requirements on financial institutions. The Department of Justice and other federal agencies are responsible for enforcing these laws and regulations. A successful regulatory challenge to an institution's performance under the Community Reinvestment Act or fair lending laws and regulations could result in a wide variety of sanctions, including damages and civil money penalties, injunctive relief, restrictions on mergers and acquisition activity, restrictions on expansion and restrictions on entering new business lines. Private parties may also have the ability to challenge an institution's performance under fair lending laws in private class action litigation. Such actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to evolving and extensive regulations and requirements. Our failure to adhere to these requirements or the failure or circumvention of our controls and procedures could seriously harm our business.

We are subject to extensive regulation as a financial institution and are also required to follow the corporate governance and financial reporting practices and policies required of a company whose stock is registered under the Exchange Act and listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Compliance with these requirements means we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses. Compliance also requires a significant diversion of management time and attention, particularly with regard to disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. Although we have reviewed, and will continue to review, our disclosure controls and procedures in order to determine whether they are effective, our controls and procedures may not be able to prevent errors or frauds in the future. Faulty judgments, simple errors or mistakes, or the failure of our personnel to adhere to established controls and procedures may make it difficult for us to ensure that the objectives of the control system will be met. A failure of our controls and procedures to detect other than inconsequential errors or fraud could seriously harm our business and results of operations.

We face a risk of noncompliance and enforcement action with the BSA and other anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.

The BSA, the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and other laws and regulations require financial institutions, among other duties, to institute and maintain an effective anti-money laundering program and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. The federal Financial Crimes Enforcement Network is authorized to impose significant civil money penalties for violations of those requirements and has recently engaged in coordinated enforcement efforts with the individual federal banking regulators, as well as the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration and Internal Revenue Service. We are also subject to increased scrutiny of compliance with the rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. If our policies, procedures and systems are deemed deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions, which may include restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the necessity to obtain regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan, including our acquisition plans. Failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Relating to the Company's Securities

Our common stock is not insured by any governmental entity.

Our common stock is not a deposit account or other obligation of any bank and is not insured by the FDIC or any other governmental entity. Investment in our common stock is subject to risk, including possible loss.

Our ability to pay dividends is limited by law and contract.

The continued ability to pay dividends to shareholders depends in part on dividends from the Bank. The amount of dividends that the Bank may pay to the Company is limited by federal laws and regulations. The ability of the Bank to pay dividends is also subject to its profitability, financial condition and cash flow requirements. There is no assurance that the Bank will be able to pay dividends to the Company in the future. The decision may be made to limit the payment of dividends even when the legal ability to pay them exists, in order to retain earnings for other uses.

The shares of our common stock are not heavily traded.

Shares of our common stock are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, but are not heavily traded. Securities that are not heavily traded can be more volatile than stock trading in an active public market. Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. Our stock price can fluctuate significantly and may decline in response to a variety of factors including:

- Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations;
- Developments in our business or the financial sector generally;
- Recommendations by securities analysts;
- Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to us;
- News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry;
- Perceptions in the marketplace regarding us or our competitors;
- New technology used or services offered by competitors;
- Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint venture or capital commitments by or involving us or our competitors;
- Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions;
- Regulatory changes affecting our industry generally or our business or operations; or
- Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

Management cannot predict the extent to which an active public market for the shares of the common stock will develop or be sustained in the future. Accordingly, holders of shares of our common stock may not be able to sell them at the volumes, prices, or times that they desire. General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes or credit loss trends, could also cause our stock price to decrease regardless of operating results. We urge you to obtain current market quotations for our common stock when you consider investing in our common stock.

Future sales of our common stock or other securities may dilute the value and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

In many situations, the board of directors has the authority, without any vote of our shareholders, to issue shares of authorized but unissued stock, including shares authorized and unissued under our equity incentive plans. In the future, additional securities may be issued, through public or private offerings, in order to raise additional capital. Any such issuance would dilute the percentage of ownership interest of existing shareholders and may dilute the per share book value of our common stock. In addition, option holders may exercise their options at a time when we would otherwise be able to obtain additional equity capital on more favorable terms.

Our Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws and Maryland law may discourage a corporate takeover which may make it more difficult for stockholders to receive a change in control premium.

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, as supplemented (the “Charter”), and Amended and Restated By-Laws, as amended (the “By-Laws”), contain certain provisions designed to enhance the ability of the board of directors to deal with attempts to acquire control of us. The Charter and By-Laws provide for the classification of the board into three classes; directors of each class generally serve for staggered three-year periods. No director may be removed except for cause and then only by a vote of at least two-thirds of the total eligible stockholder votes. The Charter gives the board certain powers in respect of our securities. First, the board has the authority to classify and reclassify unissued shares of stock of any class or series of stock by setting, fixing, eliminating, or altering in any one or more respects the preferences, rights, voting powers, restrictions and qualifications of, dividends on, and redemption, conversion, exchange, and other rights of, such securities. Second, a majority of the board, without action by the stockholders, may amend the Charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class that we have authority to issue. The board could use these powers, along with its authority to authorize the issuance of securities of any class or series, to issue securities having terms favorable to management to persons affiliated with or otherwise friendly to management.

Maryland law also contains anti-takeover provisions that apply to us. The Maryland Business Combination Act generally prohibits, subject to certain limited exceptions, corporations from being involved in any “business combination” (defined as a variety of transactions, including a merger, consolidation, share exchange, asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities) with any “interested shareholder” for a period of five years following the most recent date on which the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder. An interested shareholder is defined generally as a person who is the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation after the date on which the corporation had 100 or more beneficial owners of its stock or who is an affiliate or associate of the corporation and was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of the then outstanding stock of the corporation at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question and after the date on which the corporation had 100 or more beneficial owners of its stock. The Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act applies to acquisitions of “control shares,” which, subject to certain exceptions, are shares the acquisition of which entitle the holder, directly or indirectly, to exercise or direct the exercise of the voting power of shares of stock of the corporation in the election of directors within any of the following ranges of voting power: one-tenth or more, but less than one-third of all voting power; one-third or more, but less than a majority of all voting power or a majority or more of all voting power. Control shares have limited voting rights. The By-Laws exempt our capital securities from the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, but the board has the authority to eliminate the exemption without stockholder approval.

Although these provisions do not preclude a takeover, they may have the effect of discouraging, delaying or deferring a tender offer or takeover attempt that a stockholder might consider in his or her best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the common stock. Such provisions will also render the removal of the board of directors and of management more difficult and, therefore, may serve to perpetuate current management. These provisions could potentially adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We may issue debt and equity securities that are senior to the common stock as to distributions and in liquidation, which could negatively affect the value of the common stock.

In the future, we may increase our capital resources by entering into debt or debt-like financing or issuing debt or equity securities, which could include issuances of senior notes, subordinated notes, preferred stock or common stock. In the event of our liquidation, our lenders and holders of our debt or preferred securities would receive a distribution of our available assets before distributions to the holders of our common stock. Our decision to incur debt and issue securities in future offerings will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control. We cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of its future offerings and debt financings. Future offerings could reduce the value of shares of our common stock and dilute a stockholder's interest in us.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Our offices are listed in the tables below. The address of the Company's main office is 28969 Information Lane in Easton, Maryland. The Company owns the real property at this location, which also houses the Executive, Operations, Information Technology, Human Resources, Audit and Finance departments of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Shore United Bank

	Branches	
Main Office	Elliott Road Branch	Tred Avon Square Branch
18 East Dover Street	8275 Elliott Road	212 Marlboro Road
Easton, Maryland 21601	Easton, Maryland 21601	Easton, Maryland 21601
St. Michaels Branch	Sunburst Branch	Centreville Branch
1013 South Talbot Street	424 Dorchester Avenue	109 North Commerce Street
St. Michaels, Maryland 21663	Cambridge, Maryland 21613	Centreville, Maryland 21617
Route 213 South Branch	Chester Branch	Denton Branch
2609 Centreville Road	300 Castle Marina Road	850 South 5 th Avenue
Centreville, Maryland 21617	Chester, Maryland 21619	Denton, Maryland 21629
Grasonville Branch	Stevensville Branch	Tuckahoe Branch
202 Pullman Crossing	408 Thompson Creek Road	22151 WES Street
Grasonville, Maryland 21638	Stevensville, Maryland 21666	Ridgely, Maryland 21660
Washington Square Branch	Felton Branch	Milford Branch
899 Washington Avenue	120 West Main Street	698-A North Dupont Boulevard
Chestertown, Maryland 21620	Felton, Delaware 19943	Milford, Delaware 19963
Camden Branch	Dover Branch	Arbutus Branch
4580 South DuPont Highway	800 S. Governors Avenue	1101 Maiden Choice Lane
Camden, Delaware 19934	Dover, Delaware 19904	Baltimore, MD 21229

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Elkridge Branch	Owings Mills Branch	Onley Branch
6050 Marshalee Drive	9612 Reisterstown Road	25306 Lankford Highway
Elkridge, MD 21075	Owings Mills, MD 21117	Onley, VA 23418
Memorial Hospital at Easton	ATMs Talbottown	
219 South Washington Street	218 North Washington Street	
Easton, Maryland 21601	Easton, Maryland 21601	
	Offices	
Division Office - Wye Financial & Trust	Loan Production Office – Middletown	Loan Production Office – Ocean City
16 North Washington Street, Suite 1	651 North Broad Street	9748 Stephen Decatur Highway
Easton, Maryland 21601	Suite 201	Unit 104
	Middletown, Delaware 19709	Ocean City, Maryland 21842
<i>The Avon-Dixon Agency, LL C</i>		
Headquarters	Benefits Office	Centreville Office
28640 Marys Court, Suite 100	28640 Marys Court, Suite 100	2977 4H Park Road, Suite 204
Easton, Maryland 21601	Easton, Maryland 21601	Centreville, Maryland 21617
Elliott-Wilson Insurance	Jack Martin & Associates	
28640 Marys Court, Suite 100	135 Old Solomon’s Island Road	
Easton, Maryland 21601	Annapolis, Maryland 21401	
<i>Mubell Finance, LLC</i>		
Headquarters		
28640 Marys Court, Suite 100		
Easton, Maryland 21601		

The Bank owns the real property on which all of its Maryland offices are located, except that it operates under leases at its St. Michaels branch and the office of Wye Financial and Trust in Easton. The Bank leases the real property on which all of its Delaware offices are located, except that it owns the real property on which the Camden and Dover Branches are located. The Bank operates under a lease at its Onley branch in Virginia. The Insurance Subsidiaries do not own any real property, but operate under leases. For information about rent expense for all leased premises, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are at times, in the ordinary course of business, subject to legal actions. Management, upon the advice of counsel, believes that losses, if any, resulting from current legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

This item is not applicable.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.****MARKET PRICE, HOLDERS AND CASH DIVIDENDS**

The shares of the Company's common stock are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SHBI". As of February 28, 2017, the Company had approximately 1,620 registered holders of record. The high and low sales prices for the shares of common stock of the Company, as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, and the cash dividends declared on those shares for each quarterly period of 2017 and 2016 are set forth in the table below.

	2017			2016		
	Price Range High	Low	Dividends Paid	Price Range High	Low	Dividends Paid
First Quarter	\$17.92	\$14.64	\$ 0.05	\$12.10	\$10.61	\$ 0.03
Second Quarter	17.53	15.17	0.05	12.45	10.84	0.03
Third Quarter	17.34	15.97	0.05	11.95	10.84	0.03
Fourth Quarter	18.49	15.74	0.07	16.54	11.59	0.05
			\$ 0.22			\$ 0.14

On February 28, 2017, the closing sales price for the shares of common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$17.02 per share.

Shareholders received quarterly cash common stock dividends totaling \$2.8 million in 2017 and \$1.8 million in 2016. Dividends have increased from 2016 due to the Company's improved operating results. As a general matter, the payment of dividends is at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors, based on such factors as operating results, financial condition, capital adequacy, regulatory requirements, and stockholder return. The Company's ability to pay dividends is limited by federal banking and state corporate law and is generally dependent on the ability of the Bank to declare dividends to the Company. For more information regarding these dividend limitations, see "Risk Factors - Our ability to pay dividends is limited by law and contract".

The transfer agent for the Company's common stock is:

Broadridge

51 Mercedes Way

Edgewood, NY 11717

Investor Relations: 1-800-353-0103

E-mail for investor inquiries: shareholder@broadridge.com ..

www.broadridge.com

The performance graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on the common stock of the Company with the cumulative total return on the equity securities included in the NASDAQ Composite Index (reflecting overall stock market performance), the NASDAQ Bank Index (reflecting changes in banking industry stocks), the SNL Small Cap Bank Index and the SNL U.S. Bank Nasdaq Index (reflecting changes in stocks of banking institutions of a size similar to the Company) assuming in each case an initial \$100 investment on December 31, 2012 and reinvestment of dividends as of the end of each of the Company's fiscal years between December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2017. Returns are shown on a total return basis. The performance graph represents past performance and should not be considered to be an indication of future performance.

Index	Period Ending					
	12/31/12	12/31/13	12/31/14	12/31/15	12/31/16	12/31/17
Shore Bancshares, Inc.	100.00	171.06	173.31	202.67	287.36	320.10
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	140.12	160.78	171.97	187.22	242.71
SNL U.S. Bank NASDAQ	100.00	143.73	148.86	160.70	222.81	234.58
SNL Small Cap Bank	100.00	139.47	147.01	161.00	228.27	239.41

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

Pursuant to the SEC's Regulation S-K Compliance and Disclosure Interpretation 106.01, the information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans required by this Item pursuant to Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is located in Item 12 of Part III of this annual report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table sets forth certain selected financial data for each of the five years ended December 31, 2017, and is qualified in its entirety by the detailed statistical and other information contained in this annual report, including “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” appearing in Item 7 of Part II of this annual report and the financial statements and notes thereto appearing in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:					
Interest income	\$47,801	\$40,652	\$38,871	\$38,289	\$41,351
Interest expense	2,273	2,403	3,346	4,247	6,475
Net interest income	45,528	38,249	35,525	34,042	34,876
Provision for credit losses	2,291	1,848	2,075	3,350	27,784
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	43,237	36,401	33,450	30,692	7,092
Noninterest income	17,750	16,645	15,416	16,781	17,459
Noninterest expense	41,202	37,147	37,350	39,361	40,686
Income (loss) before income taxes	19,785	15,899	11,516	8,112	(16,135)
Income tax expense (benefit)	8,523	6,261	4,408	3,061	(6,501)
Net income (loss)	\$11,262	\$9,638	\$7,108	\$5,051	\$(9,634)
PER COMMON SHARE DATA:					
Net income (loss) - basic	\$0.89	\$0.76	\$0.56	\$0.46	\$(1.14)
Net income (loss) - diluted	0.89	0.76	0.56	0.46	(1.14)
Dividends paid	0.22	0.14	0.04	-	-
Book value (at year end)	12.90	12.18	11.64	11.13	12.19
Tangible book value (at year end) ¹	10.31	11.16	10.59	10.08	10.31
FINANCIAL CONDITION (at year end):					
Loans	\$1,093,514	\$871,525	\$795,114	\$710,746	\$711,919
Assets	1,393,860	1,160,271	1,135,143	1,100,402	1,054,124
Deposits	1,202,781	997,489	975,464	949,004	933,468
Stockholders' equity	163,736	154,299	146,967	140,469	103,299
PERFORMANCE RATIOS (for the year):					
Return on average total assets	0.87	% 0.84	% 0.64	% 0.47	% (0.89)%
Return on average stockholders' equity	7.05	6.32	4.93	4.04	(8.64)
Net interest margin	3.76	3.56	3.43	3.43	3.48
Efficiency ratio ²	65.11	67.67	73.32	77.45	77.59
Dividend payout ratio	24.72	18.42	7.14	-	-
Average stockholders' equity to average total assets	12.40	13.36	13.04	11.66	10.31

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

ASSET QUALITY RATIOS (for the year):

Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.53	%	0.99	%	1.44	%	1.57	%	2.11	%
Nonperforming assets and accruing TDRs to total assets	1.49		2.11		2.81		3.09		4.58	
Allowance for credit losses to loans	0.89		1.00		1.05		1.08		1.51	
Allowance for credit losses to nonaccrual loans	196.76		97.26		68.77		57.14		59.10	
Allowance for credit losses to nonaccrual loans and TDRs	53.46		39.71		30.14		25.53		24.25	

¹ Total stockholders' equity, net of goodwill and other intangible assets, divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding at year end.

² Noninterest expense as a percentage of total revenue (net interest income plus total noninterest income). Lower ratios indicate improved productivity.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion compares the Company's financial condition at December 31, 2017 to its financial condition at December 31, 2016 and the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto appearing in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report.

PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

The Company recorded net income of \$11.26 million for 2017, net income of \$9.64 million for 2016, and net income of \$7.11 million for 2015. The basic and diluted income per share was \$0.89 for 2017, \$0.76 for 2016, and \$0.56 for 2015. When comparing 2017 to 2016 and 2015, earnings were significantly improved due to increases in net interest income and noninterest income, partially offset by increase in provision for credit losses and noninterest expenses.

Total assets were \$1.394 billion at December 31, 2017, a \$233.6 million, or 20.1%, increase when compared to the \$1.160 billion at December 31, 2016. The primary factor contributing to the increase was the acquisition of three branches in the second quarter of 2017 which contributed \$192.7 million of assets at December 31, 2017. Absent the branch acquisition, total assets have increased \$40.9 million since December 31, 2016 which primarily resulted from increases in both gross loans of \$113.9 million and investment securities of \$33.2 million.

Total deposits increased \$205.3 million, or 20.6%, to \$1.203 billion at December 31, 2017. The increase was the direct result of the deposits acquired in the branch purchase which had a balance of \$187.0 million at December 31, 2017. Excluding the acquisition, deposits increased \$18.3 million. Total stockholders' equity increased \$9.5 million, or 6.1%, to \$163.7 million, or 11.75% of total assets at December 31, 2017.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be

recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. The fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based on quoted market prices, collateral value or are provided by other third-party sources, when available.

The most significant accounting policies that the Company follows are presented in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the notes to the financial statements and in this discussion, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, management has determined that the accounting policies with respect to the allowance for credit losses, goodwill and other intangible assets, deferred tax assets, and fair value are critical accounting policies. These policies are considered critical because they relate to accounting areas that require the most subjective or complex judgments, and, as such, could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

The allowance for credit losses represents management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Determining the amount of the allowance for credit losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the consolidated balance sheets. Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements describes the methodology used to determine the allowance for credit losses. A discussion of the factors driving changes in the amount of the allowance for credit losses is included in the Asset Quality - Provision for Credit Losses and Risk Management section below.

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Other intangible assets represent purchased assets that also lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights or because the asset is capable of being sold or exchanged either on its own or in combination with a related contract, asset or liability. Goodwill and other intangible assets are required to be recorded at fair value at inception. Determining fair value is subjective, requiring the use of estimates, assumptions and management judgment. Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are tested at least annually for impairment, usually during the third quarter, or on an interim basis if circumstances dictate. Intangible assets that have finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives and also are subject to impairment testing. Impairment testing requires that the fair value of each of the Company's reporting units be compared to the carrying amount of its net assets, including goodwill. The Company's reporting units were identified based on an analysis of each of its individual operating segments (i.e., the Bank and Insurance Subsidiaries). If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than book value, an expense may be required to write down the related goodwill or purchased intangibles to record an impairment loss.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined by applying the applicable federal and state income tax rates to cumulative temporary differences. These temporary differences represent differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the corresponding tax bases of certain assets and liabilities. Deferred taxes result from such temporary differences. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the expected amount most likely to be realized. Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on the generation of a sufficient level of future taxable income, recoverable taxes paid in prior years and tax planning strategies. The Company evaluates all positive and negative evidence before determining if a valuation allowance is deemed necessary regarding the realization of deferred tax assets.

The Company measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value, with the measurements made on a recurring or nonrecurring basis. Significant financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis are investment securities. Impaired loans and other real estate owned are significant financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company is required to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs, reducing subjectivity.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS AND DEVELOPMENTS

Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements discusses new accounting policies that the Company adopted during 2017 and the expected impact of accounting policies recently issued or proposed but not yet required to be adopted. To the extent the adoption of new accounting standards materially affects our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity, the impacts are discussed in the applicable section(s) of this discussion and Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

Net interest income remains the most significant factor affecting our results of operations. Net interest income represents the excess of interest and fees earned on total average earning assets (loans, investment securities, federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits with other banks) over interest owed on average interest-bearing liabilities (deposits and borrowings). Tax-equivalent net interest income is net interest income adjusted for the tax-favored status of income from certain loans and investments. As shown in the table below, tax-equivalent net interest income for 2017 was \$45.8 million. This represented a \$7.3 million, or 19.0%, increase from 2016, and net interest income increased \$2.8 million, or 8.0%, for 2016 when compared to 2015. The increase in net interest income when comparing 2017 to 2016 was primarily the result of higher average balances on loans and lower rates paid on time deposits. Although the yields on loans declined compared to 2016, the funding of loan growth with lower yielding assets, coupled with higher yielding investment securities resulted in a higher overall yield on earning assets. The

increase when comparing 2016 to 2015 was due to significant loan growth, which was funded by lower yielding assets and lower cost liabilities. When comparing 2017 to 2016, interest income increased \$7.2 million while interest expense decreased \$130 thousand. When comparing 2016 to 2015, interest income increased \$1.9 million while interest expense decreased \$943 thousand.

Our net interest margin (i.e., tax-equivalent net interest income divided by average earning assets) represents the net yield on earning assets minus the cost of liabilities. The net interest margin is managed through loan and deposit pricing and asset/liability strategies. The net interest margin was 3.76% for 2017, 3.56% for 2016, and 3.43% for 2015. The net interest margin increased when comparing 2017 to 2016 due to the significant increase in the average balance of loans, higher yields on investment securities and a decrease in rates paid on interest bearing deposits. The net interest margin increased when comparing 2016 to 2015 due to an increase in the average balance of loans and lower rates paid on interest-bearing deposits. The net interest spread, which is the difference between the average yield on earning assets and the rate paid for interest-bearing liabilities, was 3.67% for 2017, 3.46% for 2016 and 3.31% for 2015.

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the major components of net interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis, for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

	2017			2016			2015		
(Dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Interest (1)	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest (1)	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest (1)	Yield/Rate
Earning assets									
Loans (2) (3)	\$983,484	\$43,857	4.46 %	\$825,475	\$37,349	4.52 %	\$748,101	\$35,201	4.71 %
Investment securities:									
Taxable	201,792	3,847	1.91	196,026	3,195	1.63	231,960	3,602	1.55
Tax-exempt	87	5	5.42	210	11	5.30	328	15	4.54
Federal funds sold	-	-	-	1,764	6	0.34	2,991	3	0.10
Interest-bearing deposits	30,819	334	1.08	56,479	289	0.51	53,459	130	0.24
Total earning assets	1,216,182	48,043	3.95 %	1,079,954	40,850	3.78 %	1,036,839	38,951	3.76 %
Cash and due from banks	15,896			15,844			18,497		
Other assets	64,854			53,899			58,502		
Allowance for credit losses	(9,181)			(8,555)			(8,172)		
Total assets	\$1,287,751			\$1,141,142			\$1,105,666		
Interest-bearing liabilities									
Demand deposits	\$210,139	415	0.20 %	\$194,062	234	0.12 %	\$180,810	229	0.13 %
Money market and savings deposits	339,971	417	0.12	265,323	347	0.13	243,731	336	0.14
Certificates of deposit, \$100,000 or more	118,723	605	0.51	127,468	819	0.64	149,181	1,382	0.93
Other time deposits	152,959	805	0.53	148,671	989	0.67	164,239	1,384	0.84
Interest-bearing deposits	821,792	2,242	0.27	735,524	2,389	0.32	737,961	3,331	0.45
Short-term borrowings	4,525	31	0.68	5,753	14	0.24	6,226	15	0.24
Total interest-bearing liabilities	826,317	2,273	0.28 %	741,277	2,403	0.32 %	744,187	3,346	0.45 %
Noninterest-bearing deposits	296,283			241,357			211,171		
Other liabilities	5,410			6,082			6,132		
Stockholders' equity	159,741			152,426			144,176		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,287,751			\$1,141,142			\$1,105,666		
Net interest spread		\$45,770	3.67 %		\$38,447	3.46 %		\$35,605	3.31 %
Net interest margin			3.76 %			3.56 %			3.43 %

(1) All amounts are reported on a tax-equivalent basis computed using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%, exclusive of the alternative minimum tax rate and nondeductible interest expense. The tax-equivalent adjustment amounts used in the above table to compute yields aggregated \$242 thousand in 2017, \$198 thousand in 2016 and \$80 thousand in 2015.

(2) Average loan balances include nonaccrual loans.

(3) Interest income on loans includes amortized loan fees, net of costs, and all are included in the yield calculations.

On a tax-equivalent basis, total interest income was \$48.0 million for 2017 compared to \$40.9 million for 2016. The increase in interest income for 2017 compared to 2016 was primarily due to the increase in the average balance of loans coupled with a higher yield on taxable investment securities. Interest income on taxable investment securities increased \$652 thousand or 20.4% in 2017 compared to 2016. In addition, interest-bearing deposits increased due to three fed fund rate hikes of 25bps during 2017. For 2017 compared to 2016, average loans increased \$158.0 million and the yield earned on loans decreased 6 basis points. The increased volume of loans and the higher yield on loans purchased in the branch acquisition during the second quarter of 2017, outweighed the decline in the yield on originated loans resulting in an increase in tax-equivalent interest income of \$6.5 million. Also impacting interest income is the accretion of acquisition accounting adjustments from the branch acquisition for loans of \$420 thousand which is accounted for using a level yield method. In addition, the accretion on certificates of deposits acquired from the branch purchase, decreased interest expense by \$86 thousand. Excluding average nonaccrual loans, the yield on loans would have been 4.49%, 4.59% and 4.79% for 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

On a tax-equivalent basis, total interest income was \$40.9 million for 2016 compared to \$39.0 million for 2015. The increase in interest income for 2016 compared to 2015 was primarily due to the increase in the average balance of loans coupled with an increase in the fed funds rate at the end of 2016 of 25bps. Interest income on taxable securities decreased \$407 thousand or 11.3% in 2016 compared to 2015 due to a decrease in the average balance of \$35.9 million which was used to fund loan growth. For 2016 compared to 2015, average loans increased \$77.4 million and the yield earned on loans decreased 19 basis points. The increased volume of loans outweighed the decline in the yield resulting in an increase in interest income of \$2.1 million.

As a percentage of total average earning assets, loans, investment securities, and interest-bearing deposits were 80.9%, 16.6%, and 2.5%, respectively, for 2017 which reflected an increase in higher-yielding earning assets when compared to 2016. The comparable percentages for 2016 were 76.4%, 18.2%, and 5.4%, respectively, and for 2015 were 72.2%, 22.4%, and 5.4%, respectively. When comparing 2017 to 2016, the overall increase in average balances of earning assets produced \$7.1 million more in interest income and the decrease in yields on loans were offset by higher yields on taxable investment securities and interest-bearing deposits which produced \$129 thousand more in interest income, as seen in the Rate/Volume Variance Analysis below. When comparing 2016 to 2015, the overall increase in average balances of earning assets produced \$3.0 million more in interest income and the decrease in yields on earning assets produced \$1.1 million less in interest income, as seen in the Rate/Volume Variance Analysis below.

The following table sets forth the average balance of the components of average earning assets as a percentage of total average earning assets for the year ended December 31.

	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
Loans	80.9 %	76.4 %	72.2 %	71.1 %	76.0 %
Loans held for sale	-	-	-	-	0.4
Investment securities	16.6	18.2	22.4	20.0	13.8
Federal funds sold	-	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks	2.5	5.2	5.1	8.7	9.4
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest expense was \$2.3 million for 2017 compared to \$2.4 million for 2016. The decline in interest expense for 2017 was primarily due to lower expense on certificates of deposit and other time deposits. Interest expense on certificates of deposit and other time deposits declined \$398 thousand in 2017 when compared to 2016, the result of a decrease of \$4.5 million in average balances and a decline of 13 basis points on rates paid on these deposits. The decrease in average certificates of deposits and other time deposits reflected the lower rates due to the Bank not increasing these rates in conjunction with a rising interest rate environment. The decrease in average certificates of deposit and other time deposits was mostly transitioned to non-interest bearing and money market and savings deposits which reflected average increases of \$54.9 million and \$74.6 million, respectively.

Interest expense was \$2.4 million for 2016 compared to \$3.3 million for 2015. The decline in interest expense for 2016 was primarily due to lower expense on certificates of deposit and other time deposits. Interest expense on certificates of deposit and other time deposits declined \$959 thousand in 2016 when compared to 2015, the result of a decrease of \$37.3 million in average balances and a decline of 23 basis points on rates paid on these deposits. The decrease in average certificates of deposit and other time deposits reflected the lower rates due to current market conditions. The decrease in average certificates of deposit and other time deposits was mostly transitioned to non-interest bearing and money market and savings deposits which reflected average increases of \$30.2 million and \$21.6 million, respectively.

During 2017, lower rates on interest-bearing liabilities produced \$218 thousand less in interest expense and increased volume produced \$88 thousand more in interest expense, as shown in the table below. In 2016, lower rates on interest-bearing liabilities produced \$690 thousand less in interest expense and decreased volume produced \$253 thousand less in interest expense.

The following Rate/Volume Variance Analysis identifies the portion of the changes in tax-equivalent net interest income attributable to changes in volume of average balances or to changes in the yield on earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The rate and volume variance for each category has been allocated on a consistent basis between rate and volume variances, based on a percentage of rate, or volume, variance to the sum of the absolute two variances.

(Dollars in thousands)	2017 over (under) 2016			2016 over (under) 2015		
	Total Variance	Caused By Rate	Caused By Volume	Total Variance	Caused By Rate	Caused By Volume
Interest income from earning assets:						
Loans	\$6,508	\$(504)	\$7,012	\$2,148	\$(1,442)	\$3,590
Taxable investment securities	652	560	92	(407)	204	(611)
Tax-exempt investment securities	(6)	-	(6)	(4)	3	(7)
Federal funds sold	(6)	(3)	(3)	3	4	(1)
Interest-bearing deposits	45	76	(31)	159	152	7
Total interest income	7,193	129	7,064	1,899	(1,079)	2,978
Interest expense on deposits and borrowed funds:						
Interest-bearing demand deposits	181	163	18	5	(15)	20
Money market and savings deposits	70	(28)	98	11	(22)	33
Time deposits	(398)	(372)	(26)	(958)	(653)	(305)
Short-term borrowings	17	19	(2)	(1)	-	(1)
Total interest expense	(130)	(218)	88	(943)	(690)	(253)
Net interest income	\$7,323	\$347	\$6,976	\$2,842	\$(389)	\$3,231

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased \$1.1 million, or 6.6%, in 2017 when compared to 2016 and increased \$1.2 million, or 8.0%, in 2016 when compared to 2015. The increase in noninterest income in 2017 when compared to 2016 was primarily due to increases in service charges on deposit accounts of \$163 thousand, other fees on bank services of \$381 thousand included in other noninterest income, insurance agency commissions of \$286 thousand, a premium on an insurance investment of \$387 thousand and trust and investment fee income of \$90 thousand. These increases were partially offset by the absence of the sale of the credit card portfolio of \$198 thousand in 2016.

The increase in noninterest income in 2016 when compared to 2015 was primarily due to increases in service charges on deposit accounts of \$598 thousand, insurance agency commissions of \$277 thousand, bank service and loan fees of \$207 thousand and a gain on sale of the Bank's credit card portfolio of \$198 thousand. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in trust and investment fee income of \$185 thousand.

The following table summarizes our noninterest income for the years ended December 31.

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended			Change from Prior Year			
	2017	2016	2015	2017/ 16		2016/ 15	
				Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$3,628	\$3,465	\$2,867	\$163	4.7 %	\$598	20.9 %
Trust and investment fee income	1,532	1,442	1,627	90	6.2	(185)	(11.4)
Gains on sales and calls of investment securities	5	30	-	(25)	(83.3)	30	100.0
Insurance agency commissions income	8,837	8,551	8,274	286	3.3	277	3.3
Other noninterest income	3,748	3,157	2,648	591	18.7	509	19.2
Total	\$17,750	\$16,645	\$15,416	\$1,105	6.6	\$1,229	8.0

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased \$4.1 million, or 10.9%, in 2017 when compared to 2016 and decreased \$203 thousand, or 0.5%, in 2016 when compared to 2015. The increase in noninterest expense in 2017 when compared to 2016 was primarily due to the branch purchase in the second quarter of 2017 which resulted in acquisition costs of \$977 thousand and the cost of operating those branches for seven months. The operation of the additional purchased branches as well as the opening of a loan production office in Ocean City, MD in April of 2017 and a branch in Onley, VA in September of 2017 had an impact on nearly all noninterest expense accounts but had the most significant impact on salaries/wages and employee benefits of \$693 thousand and \$167 thousand, respectively. The most significant increases absent the operational costs from the additional branches were \$1.7 million in salaries and wages due to accrued bonuses, incentive equity programs and a full year of pay increases from 2016 and \$485 thousand in higher employer benefits due to more full-time employees and increased health care premiums.

The decrease in noninterest expense in 2016 when compared to 2015 was primarily due to a decline in legal and professional fees of \$505 thousand and reduced FDIC insurance premiums of \$518 thousand, partially offset by consolidation expenses for the former bank subsidiaries of \$507 thousand and increases in write-downs on other real estate owned of \$115 thousand and employee salaries/wages and employee benefits of \$174 thousand. The increased legal and professional fees in 2016 were the direct result of management outsourcing its internal audit function which resulted in significant implementation costs. In addition, the lower FDIC insurance premiums over the prior period are the direct result of the upgraded regulatory status and financial performance of the former Talbot Bank in the second quarter of 2015 and an adjustment of the annual assessment in the third quarter of 2016.

The Company had 327 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2017, 287 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2016 and 283 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2015.

The following table summarizes our noninterest expense for the years ended December 31.

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended			Change from Prior Year					
	2017	2016	2015	2017/ 16		2016/ 15			
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Salaries and wages	\$20,011	\$17,626	\$17,540	\$2,385	13.5 %	\$86	0.5 %		
Employee benefits	4,645	3,993	3,905	652	16.3	88	2.3		
Occupancy expense	2,696	2,452	2,420	244	10.0	32	1.3		
Furniture and equipment expense	1,035	963	926	72	7.5	37	4.0		
Data processing	3,680	3,496	3,260	184	5.3	236	7.2		
Directors' fees	380	511	470	(131)	(25.6)	41	8.7		
Amortization of intangible assets	315	131	133	184	140.5	(2)	(1.5)		
FDIC insurance premium expense	599	696	1,214	(97)	(13.9)	(518)	(42.7)		
Write-downs of other real estate owned	471	505	291	(34)	(6.7)	214	73.5		

Legal and professional fees	2,308	1,875	2,380	433	23.1	(505)	(21.2)
Other noninterest expenses	5,062	4,899	4,811	163	3.3	88	1.8
Total	\$41,202	\$37,147	\$37,350	\$4,055	10.9	\$(203)	(0.5)

Income Taxes

The Company reported an income tax expense of \$8.5 million for 2017, compared to an income tax expense of \$6.3 million for 2016 and an income tax expense of \$4.4 million for 2015. The effective tax rate was 43.1% for 2017, 39.4% for 2016 and 38.3% for 2015. The Company's income tax expense and its effective tax rate increased for 2017 from 2016 and 2015 primarily due to higher pretax income and the enactment of the Tax Act. Please refer to Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information. The Company's future effective tax rate will be affected by the Tax Act. Effective in 2018, the Tax Act reduces the U.S. statutory corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% and creates new taxes on certain foreign-sourced earnings and certain related-party payments, which are referred to as the global intangible low-taxes income tax and the base erosion tax, respectively.

REVIEW OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

Asset and liability composition, capital resources, asset quality, market risk, interest sensitivity and liquidity are all factors that affect our financial condition. The following sections discuss each of these factors.

Assets

Interest-Bearing Deposits with Other Banks and Federal Funds Sold

The Company invests excess cash balances (i.e., the excess cash remaining after funding loans and investing in securities with deposits and borrowings) in interest-bearing accounts and federal funds sold offered by our correspondent banks. These liquid investments are maintained at a level that management believes is necessary to meet current liquidity needs. Total interest-bearing deposits with other banks and federal funds sold decreased \$51.1 million from \$61.3 million at December 31, 2016 to \$10.3 million at December 31, 2017. This significant decrease was the direct result of funding a significant volume of new loans which closed late in the fourth quarter. Average interest-bearing deposits with other banks and federal funds sold decreased \$27.4 million in 2017 and increased \$1.8 million in 2016. The decrease in 2017 was due to higher period-end and average balances on loans which absorbed the excess liquidity in both average interest-bearing deposits with other banks and average balances on deposits. The increase in 2016 was due to higher period-end and average balances on deposits which resulted in excess liquidity for funding future loan growth.

Investment Securities

The investment portfolio is structured to provide us with liquidity and also plays an important role in the overall management of interest rate risk. Investment securities available for sale are stated at estimated fair value based on quoted prices and may be sold as part of the asset/liability management strategy or which may be sold in response to changing interest rates. Net unrealized holding gains and losses on these securities are reported net of related income taxes as accumulated other comprehensive income, a separate component of stockholders' equity. Investment securities in the held to maturity category are stated at cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. We have the intent and current ability to hold such securities until maturity. At December 31, 2017, 97% of the portfolio was classified as available for sale and 3% as held to maturity, similar to the 96% and 4%, respectively, at December 31, 2016. The percentage of securities designated as available for sale reflects the amount that management believes is needed to support our anticipated growth and liquidity needs. With the exception of municipal securities, our general practice is to classify all newly-purchased securities as available for sale. On December 15, 2016, the Company bought \$3.0 million in subordinated notes from a local regional bank which it intends to hold to maturity of December 30, 2026. We do not typically invest in derivative securities and held no such investments at December 31, 2017 or December 31, 2016. Total investment securities increased \$32.6 million from \$170.6 million at December 31, 2016 to \$203.2 million at December 31, 2017. Average investment securities increased \$5.6 million in 2017 due to receiving \$81.2 million in cash from the branch acquisition in the second quarter of 2017 which was also utilized to fund our significant loan growth in the third and fourth quarters of 2017. The decrease in average investment securities of \$36.1 million in 2016 from 2015 was due to funding new loan growth during 2016.

Investment securities available for sale were \$197.0 million at the end of 2017 and \$163.8 million at the end of 2016. Investment activity for 2017 included purchases of \$53.5 million in mortgage-backed securities and \$31.0 million in U.S. Government agencies, while investment activity for 2016 included purchases of \$9.1 million in mortgage-backed securities and \$9.0 million in U.S. Government agencies. At year-end 2017, 22.3% of the securities in the portfolio were U.S. Government agencies and 74.3% of the securities were mortgage-backed securities, compared to 20.9% and 78.7%, respectively, at year-end 2016. As seen in the table below, 38% of the available-for-sale portfolio will mature in over one through five years and 57% will mature in over ten years based on contractual maturities. The comparable amounts for 2016 were 10% and 73%, respectively. Our investments in mortgage-backed securities are issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or government-sponsored agencies.

Investment securities held to maturity totaled \$6.2 million at December 31, 2017. The comparable amount was \$6.8 million at December 31, 2016.

The following table sets forth the maturities and weighted average yields of the bond investment portfolio as of December 31, 2017.

1 Year or Less	1-5 Years	5-10 Years	Over 10 Years
Carrying Average	Carrying Average	Carrying Average	Carrying Average

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
Available for sale:								
U.S. Treasury and Government agencies	\$10,969	1.32 %	\$32,538	3.00 %	\$-	-	\$1,825	3.20 %
Mortgage-backed	-	-	1,937	1.62	39,252	2.04	109,776	2.14
Total available for sale	\$10,969	1.32	\$34,475	2.92	\$39,252	2.04	\$111,601	2.16
Held to maturity:								
U.S. Government agencies	\$-	-	\$-	-	\$-	-	\$1,844	2.04 %
States and political subdivisions ¹	-	-	902	4.63	501	5.38	-	-
Total held to maturity	\$-	-	\$902	4.63	\$501	6.34	\$1,844	2.04

¹ Yields have been adjusted to reflect a tax equivalent basis using the statutory federal tax rate of 35%.

Loans

The loan portfolio is the primary source of our income. Loans totaled \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2017, an increase of \$222.0 million, or 25.5%, from 2016. Loans significantly increased in 2017 when compared to 2016 due to organic loan growth of \$113.9 million, or 13.1% and acquired loans from the branch acquisition which contributed \$108.1 million, or 12.4% at December 31, 2017. Most of our loans are secured by real estate and are classified as construction, residential or commercial real estate loans. The increase in loans was comprised of increases in commercial real estate loans of \$82.2 million, or 21.5%, residential real estate loans of \$73.4 million, or 22.5%, construction loans of \$41.7 million, or 49.7% and commercial loans, which include financial and agricultural loans, of \$24.8 million, or 34.3%. Consumer loans, which consist of a small percentage of the overall loan portfolio, decreased \$232 thousand, or 3.5%, at December 31, 2017 compared to December 31, 2016.

At December 31, 2017, the real estate loan portfolio was comprised of 11.5% construction, 36.5% residential real estate and 42.5% commercial real estate. That compares to 9.6%, 37.4% and 43.9%, respectively, at December 31, 2016. Commercial and consumer loans were 8.9% and 0.6%, respectively, of the portfolio at December 31, 2017 and 8.3% and 0.8%, respectively, at December 31, 2016. At December 31, 2017, 74.2% of the loan portfolio had fixed interest rates and 25.8% had adjustable interest rates, compared to 74.8% and 25.2%, respectively, at December 31, 2016. See the discussion below under the caption “Asset Quality - Provision for Credit Losses and Risk Management” and Note 4, “Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses”, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company did not have any loans held for sale. We do not engage in foreign or subprime lending activities.

The table below sets forth trends in the composition of the loan portfolio over the past five years (including net deferred loan fees/costs).

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,									
	2017		2016		2015		2014		2013	
Construction	\$ 125,746	11.5 %	\$ 84,002	9.6 %	\$ 85,632	10.8 %	\$ 69,157	9.7 %	\$ 64,591	9.1 %
Residential real estate	399,190	36.5	325,768	37.4	307,063	38.6	273,336	38.5	274,857	38.0
Commercial real estate	464,887	42.5	382,681	43.9	330,253	41.5	305,788	43.0	304,605	42.5
Commercial	97,284	8.9	72,435	8.3	64,911	8.2	52,671	7.4	57,195	8.0
Consumer	6,407	0.6	6,639	0.8	7,255	0.9	9,794	1.4	10,671	1.5
Total	\$ 1,093,514	100.0%	\$ 871,525	100.0%	\$ 795,114	100.0%	\$ 710,746	100.0%	\$ 711,919	100.0%

The table below sets forth the maturities and interest rate sensitivity of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2017.

(Dollars in thousands)	Maturity			
	Maturing within one year	Maturing after one but within five years	Maturing after five years	Total
Construction	\$ 57,045	\$ 61,407	\$ 7,294	\$ 125,746
Residential real estate	40,305	118,190	240,695	399,190
Commercial real estate	60,246	268,237	136,404	464,887
Commercial	19,437	30,392	47,455	97,284
Consumer	1,814	2,942	1,651	6,407
Total	\$ 178,847	\$ 481,168	\$ 433,499	\$ 1,093,514
Rate terms:				
Fixed-interest rate loans	\$ 136,312	\$ 459,590	\$ 215,918	\$ 811,820
Adjustable-interest rate loans	42,535	21,578	217,581	281,694
Total	\$ 178,847	\$ 481,168	\$ 433,499	\$ 1,093,514

Liabilities

Deposits

We use deposits primarily to fund loans and to purchase investment securities. Total deposits increased from \$997.5 million at December 31, 2016 to \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2017. The increase was the direct result of the deposits acquired in the branch purchase which had a balance of \$187.0 million at December 31, 2017. Excluding the acquisition, deposits increased \$18.3 million. These increases were reflected in noninterest-bearing deposits of \$66.7 million as well as an increase in interest-bearing transaction accounts of \$136.0 million and an increase in certificates of deposit and other time deposits of \$2.6 million. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$90.7 million, or 19.7%, in 2017, compared to an increase of \$34.8 million, or 8.2% in 2016. Average certificates of deposit and other time deposits decreased \$4.5 million, or 1.6% in 2017, compared to a decrease of \$37.3 million, or 11.9% in 2016. Average noninterest-bearing deposits increased \$54.9 million, or 22.8%, in 2017, compared to an increase of \$30.2 million, or 14.3% in 2016. Deposits provided funding for approximately 91.9%, 90.5% and 91.5% of average earning assets for 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Average deposits increased for 2016 primarily in noninterest-bearing deposits and interest-bearing transaction accounts which increased \$65.0 million, or 10.2%, partially offset by decreases in certificates of deposit and other time deposits of \$37.3 million, or 11.9%. The increase in noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing transaction accounts reflected continued growth in our customer base and a shift from certificates of deposit and other time deposits providing lower yields than in 2015.

The following table sets forth the average balances of deposits and the percentage of each category to total average deposits for the years ended December 31.

(Dollars in thousands)	Average Balances					
	2017		2016		2015	
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$296,283	26.5 %	\$241,357	24.7 %	\$211,171	22.2 %
Interest-bearing deposits						
Demand	210,139	18.8	194,062	19.9	180,810	19.1
Money market and savings	339,971	30.4	265,323	27.2	243,731	25.7
Certificates of deposit, \$100,000 to \$249,999	91,373	8.2	98,397	10.1	149,181	15.7
Certificates of deposit, \$250,000 or more (1)	27,350	2.4	29,071	3.0	-	-
Other time deposits	152,959	13.7	148,671	15.2	164,239	17.3
Total	\$1,118,075	100.0%	\$976,881	100.0%	\$949,132	100.0%

(1) Disclosure of \$250,000 or more for 2015 were not separately reported.

The following table sets forth the maturity ranges of certificates of deposit with balances of \$250,000 or more as of December 31, 2017.

(Dollars in thousands)	
Three months or less	\$4,556
Over three through 6 months	1,422
Over 6 through 12 months	5,035
Over 12 months	13,019
Total	\$24,032

Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings generally consist of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and short-term borrowings from the FHLB. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are issued in conjunction with cash management services for commercial depositors. We also borrow from the FHLB on a short-term basis and occasionally borrow from correspondent banks under federal fund lines of credit arrangements to meet short-term liquidity needs. At December 31, 2017 short-term borrowings included advances and repurchase agreements. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, short-term borrowings included only repurchase agreements.

The average balance of short-term borrowings decreased \$1.2 million, or 21.3%, in 2017, while the average balance decreased \$473 thousand, or 7.6%, in 2016. In the month of December 2017, the Company borrowed \$21.7 million

due to significant loan closures late in the month, otherwise there was not a substantial need for short-term borrowings to supplement deposits as a funding source during 2017 and 2016.

The following table sets forth our position with respect to short-term borrowings.

	2017		2016		2015	
(Dollars in thousands)	Balance	Interest Rate	Balance	Interest Rate	Balance	Interest Rate
Average outstanding for the year	\$4,524	0.68 %	\$5,753	0.24 %	\$6,226	0.24 %
Outstanding at year end	21,734	1.36	3,203	0.25	6,672	0.23
Maximum outstanding at any month end	21,734	-	9,877	-	10,423	-

Long-Term Debt

We use long-term borrowings to meet longer term liquidity needs, specifically to fund loan growth when liquidity from deposit growth is not sufficient. The Company had no long-term debt at the end of 2017 and 2016.

Capital Resources Management

Total stockholders' equity for the Company was \$163.8 million at December 31, 2017, compared to \$154.3 million at December 31, 2016. The increase in stockholders' equity in 2017 was primarily due to net income during the year which was offset by dividends paid to common stockholders. The ratio of period-end equity to total assets was 11.75% for 2017, as compared to 13.30% for 2016. This ratio declined primarily due to the branch acquisition which significantly increased total assets and intangible assets.

We record unrealized holding gains (losses), net of tax, on investment securities available for sale as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of stockholders' equity. At December 31, 2017, the portion of the investment portfolio designated as "available for sale" had net unrealized holding (losses), net of tax, of (\$1.3) million compared to net unrealized holding (losses), net of tax, of \$(993) thousand at December 31, 2016.

The following table compares the Company's capital ratios to the minimum regulatory requirements as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

(Dollars in thousands)	2017	2016	2015	Minimum Regulatory Requirements for 2017			
Common equity Tier 1 capital	\$132,370	\$140,897	\$126,024				
Tier 1 capital	132,370	140,897	126,024				
Tier 2 capital	10,082	9,027	8,619				
Total risk-based capital	142,452	149,924	134,643				
Net risk-weighted assets	1,112,259	893,116	807,807				
Adjusted average total assets	1,345,876	1,144,968	1,116,692				
Risk-based capital ratios:							
Common equity Tier 1	11.90	% 15.78	% 15.60	% 5.75			*%
Tier 1	11.90	15.78	15.60	7.25			*
Total capital	12.81	16.79	16.67	9.25			*
Tier 1 leverage ratio	9.84	12.31	11.29	4.00			

* includes phased in capital conservation buffer for 2017 of 1.25%

See Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information about the regulatory capital positions of the Company and the Bank.

In July 2013, U.S. federal banking agencies published the Basel III Capital Rules establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The Basel III Capital Rules were effective for the Company on January 1, 2015 and will be fully phased in on January 1, 2019. When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, the Basel III Capital Rules will require the Company to maintain (i) a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% "capital conservation buffer," (ii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer, (iii) a minimum ratio of Total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer and (iv) a minimum leverage ratio of 4%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets. The Basel III Capital Rules eliminate the inclusion of certain instruments, such as trust preferred securities, from Tier 1 capital. Instruments issued prior to May 19, 2010 will be grandfathered for companies

with consolidated assets of \$15 billion or less.

The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. The implementation of the capital conservation buffer began on January 1, 2016 at 0.625% and will be phased in over a four-year period, increasing by that amount on each January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

The Basel III Capital Rules also revise the “prompt corrective action” regulations by (i) introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each level (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status and (ii) increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category (other than critically undercapitalized), with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8% (as compared to the prior 6%). The Basel III Capital Rules do not change the total risk-based capital requirement for any prompt corrective action category.

The Company currently meets all capital adequacy requirements under the Basel III Capital Rules as they became effective for the Company on January 1, 2015. For additional information regarding the Basel III Capital Rules, see “Business - Supervision and Regulation - Capital Requirements.”

Asset Quality - Provision for Credit Losses and Risk Management

Originating loans involves a degree of risk that credit losses will occur in varying amounts according to, among other factors, the types of loans being made, the credit-worthiness of the borrowers over the terms of the loans, the quality of the collateral for the loans, if any, as well as general economic conditions. Through the Company's and the Bank's Asset/Liability Management Committees, the Company's Audit Committee and the Company's Board actively reviews critical risk positions, including credit, market, liquidity and operational risk. The Company's goal in managing risk is to reduce earnings volatility, control exposure to unnecessary risk, and ensure appropriate returns for risk assumed. Senior members of management actively manage risk at the product level, supplemented with corporate level oversight through the Asset/Liability Management Committee and internal audit function. The risk management structure is designed to identify risk through a systematic process, enabling timely and appropriate action to avoid and mitigate risk.

Credit risk is mitigated through loan portfolio diversification, limiting exposure to any single industry or customer, collateral protection, and prudent lending policies and underwriting criteria. The following discussion provides information and statistics on the overall quality of the Company's loan portfolio. Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements describes the accounting policies related to nonperforming loans (nonaccrual and delinquent 90 days or more), TDRs and loan charge-offs and describes the methodologies used to develop the allowance for credit losses, including the specific, formula and unallocated components (also discussed below). Management believes the policies governing nonperforming loans, TDRs and charge-offs are consistent with regulatory standards. The amount of the allowance for credit losses and the resulting provision are reviewed monthly by senior members of management and approved quarterly by the Board of Directors.

The allowance is increased by provisions for credit losses charged to expense and recoveries of loans previously charged off. It is decreased by loans charged off in the current period. Loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when considered uncollectible by management. Provisions for credit losses are made to bring the allowance for credit losses within the range of balances that are considered appropriate.

The adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is determined based on management's estimate of the inherent risks associated with lending activities, estimated fair value of collateral, past experience and present indicators such as loan delinquency trends, nonaccrual loans and current market conditions. Management believes the current allowance is adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio; however, future changes in the composition of the loan portfolio and financial condition of borrowers may result in additions to the allowance. Examination of the portfolio and allowance by various regulatory agencies and consultants engaged by the Company may result in the need for additional provisions based on information available at the time of the examination. The Bank maintains a separate allowance for credit losses, which is only available to absorb losses from their respective loan portfolios. The allowance set by the Bank is subject to regulatory examination and determination as to its adequacy.

The allowance for credit losses is comprised of three parts: (i) the specific allowance; (ii) the historical formula allowance; and (iii) the qualitative formula allowance. The specific allowance is established against impaired loans until charge offs are made. Loans are considered impaired (i.e., nonaccrual loans and accruing TDRs) when it is probable that the Company will not collect all principal and interest payments according to the loan's contractual terms. The qualitative formula allowance is determined based on management's assessment of industry trends and economic factors in the markets in which we operate. The determination of the formula allowance involves a higher risk of uncertainty and considers current risk factors that may not have yet manifested themselves in our historical loss factors.

The specific allowance is used to individually allocate an allowance to loans identified as impaired. An impaired loan may involve deficiencies in the borrower's overall financial condition, payment history, support available from financial guarantors and/or the fair market value of collateral. If it is determined that there is a loss associated with an impaired loan, a specific allowance is established until a charge off is made. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

The historical formula allowance is used to estimate the loss on internally risk-rated loans, exclusive of those identified as impaired. Loans are grouped by type (construction, residential real estate, commercial real estate, commercial or consumer). Each loan type is assigned allowance factors based on management's estimate of the risk, complexity and size of individual loans within a particular category using average historical charge-offs by segment over the last 16 quarters. Loans that are identified as pass-watch, special mention, substandard and doubtful are considered to have elevated credit risk.

The qualitative formula allowance is used to estimate the loss on loans stemming from more global factors such as delinquencies, loss history, trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in lending policy, the experience and depth of management, national and local economic trends, concentrations of credit, the quality of the loan review system and the effect of external factors such as competition and regulatory requirements. These loans are assigned higher allowance factors than favorably rated loans due to management's concerns regarding collectability or management's knowledge of particular elements regarding the borrower.

Because most of our loans are secured by real estate, the lack of a meaningful upturn in real estate related activities in our local real estate market and construction industry and slow improvement in general economic conditions had a material adverse effect on the performance of our loan portfolio and the value of the collateral securing that portfolio between 2009 and 2013. Factors impeding our loan performance and overall financial performance included our levels of loan charge-offs and provisions for credit losses. However, we believe that the proactive measures we took in 2011, 2012 and 2013 to critically review our loan portfolio, take the necessary charge-offs, provide prudent reserves and engage in difficult but timely asset sales allowed us to focus on loan growth and improved earnings beginning in 2014.

On May 19, 2017, the Bank purchased three branches which resulted in \$122.9 million in loans being added to the Bank's portfolio. Management evaluated expected cash flows, prepayment speeds and estimated loss factors to measure fair values for the loans acquired. The Bank only acquired loans which were deemed to be performing loans with no signs of credit deterioration. The Bank re-evaluated these acquired loans during the fourth quarter of 2017 noting they remained in performing condition, therefore no allowance has been recorded as of December 31, 2017.

As seen in the table below, the provision for credit losses was \$2.3 million for 2017, \$1.8 million for 2016 and \$2.1 million for 2015. The increase in the level of provision for credit losses in 2017 was primarily due to the significant growth in loans as compared to 2016. Net loan charge-offs totaled \$1.2 million in 2017, \$1.4 million in 2016 and \$1.5 million in 2015. Real estate loans were 44%, 75% and 83% of total loans charged off during 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively and also due to the change in allowance methodology disclosed in Note 4 of the consolidated financial statements. Management deemed the change in methodology necessary due to the increase in loans migrating to the pass-watch risk rating category and due to the amount of unseasoned growth in the originated portfolio experienced in 2016 and 2017. The change in methodology resulted in \$1.4 million in additional provision for credit losses.

The allowance for credit losses was \$9.8 million, or 0.99% of average outstanding loans at December 31, 2017, compared to an allowance of \$8.7 million, or 1.06% of average outstanding loans at December 31, 2016. The higher allowance at the end of 2017 when compared to the end of 2016 was the result of significant growth in the loan portfolio and the above referenced change in methodology. At December 31, 2015, the allowance for credit losses was \$8.3 million, or 1.11% of average outstanding loans. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans was 0.13% in 2017, 0.17% in 2016 and 0.19% in 2015.

The overall credit quality improved in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily due to continued workout efforts on outstanding problem loans many of which were TDRs and nonperforming assets. Management will continue to monitor and charge off nonperforming assets as rapidly as possible, and focus on the generation of healthy loan growth and new business development opportunities.

The following table sets forth a summary of our loan loss experience for the years ended December 31.

(Dollars in thousands)	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$8,726	\$8,316	\$7,695	\$10,725	\$15,991
Loans charged off					
Construction	(54)	(615)	(1,058)	(725)	(20,695)
Residential real estate	(445)	(580)	(283)	(2,407)	(7,163)
Commercial real estate	(100)	(503)	(920)	(1,648)	(6,162)

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Commercial	(946)	(497)	(396)	(2,389)	(665)
Consumer	(32)	(45)	(67)	(163)	(113)
Total	(1,577)	(2,240)	(2,724)	(7,332)	(34,798)
Recoveries					
Construction	30	35	125	149	161
Residential real estate	40	298	398	376	545
Commercial real estate	31	25	379	58	161
Commercial	215	428	319	341	839
Consumer	25	16	49	28	42
Total	341	802	1,270	952	1,748
Net loans charged off	(1,236)	(1,438)	(1,454)	(6,380)	(33,050)
Provision for credit losses	2,291	1,848	2,075	3,350	27,784
Balance, end of year	\$9,781	\$8,726	\$8,316	\$7,695	\$10,725
Average loans outstanding	\$983,484	\$825,475	\$748,101	\$707,381	\$768,516
Percentage of net charge-offs to average loans outstanding during the year	0.13 %	0.17 %	0.19 %	0.90 %	4.30 %
Percentage of allowance for credit losses at year end to average loans	0.99 %	1.06 %	1.11 %	1.09 %	1.40 %
Percentage of allowance for credit losses at year end to loans	0.89 %	1.00 %	1.05 %	1.08 %	1.51 %

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for credit losses and the percentage of loans in each category to total loans for the years ended December 31.

	2017		2016		2015		2014		2013	
	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans
(Dollars in thousands)										
Construction	\$2,460	11.5 %	\$2,787	9.6 %	\$1,646	10.8 %	\$1,303	9.7 %	\$1,960	9.1 %
Residential real estate	2,284	36.5	1,953	37.4	2,181	38.6	2,834	38.5	3,854	38.6
Commercial real estate	2,594	42.5	2,610	43.9	2,999	41.5	2,379	43.0	3,029	42.8
Commercial	2,241	8.9	1,223	8.3	558	8.2	448	7.4	1,266	8.0
Consumer	202	0.6	153	0.8	156	0.9	229	1.4	243	1.5
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	776	-	502	-	373	-
Total	\$9,781	100.0 %	\$8,726	100.0 %	\$8,316	100.0 %	\$7,695	100.0 %	\$10,725	100.0 %

At December 31, 2017, nonperforming assets were \$7.4 million, a decrease of \$4.1 million, or 35.4%, when compared to December 31, 2016. Similarly, accruing TDRs were \$13.3 million at December 31, 2017, an increase of \$326 thousand, or 2.5%, when compared to December 31, 2016. At December 31, 2017, the ratio of nonaccrual loans to total assets was 0.36%, improving from 0.77% at December 31, 2016. Likewise, the ratio of accruing TDRs to total assets at December 31, 2017 was 0.96%, decreasing from 1.12% at December 31, 2016. When comparing December 31, 2017 to December 31, 2016, the positive trend in nonperforming assets, as well as the corresponding asset quality ratios, was due to continued work-out efforts and loan charge-offs. The slight increase in accruing TDRs from December 31, 2016 to December 31, 2017, was due to legacy nonaccrual TDRs transferring to accruing TDRs which also represented improving credit quality.

The Company continues to focus on the resolution of its nonperforming and problem loans. The efforts to accomplish this goal include frequently contacting borrowers until the delinquency is cured or until an acceptable payment plan has been agreed upon; obtaining updated appraisals; provisioning for credit losses; charging off loans; transferring loans to other real estate owned; aggressively marketing other real estate owned; and selling loans. The reduction of nonperforming and problem loans is and will continue to be a high priority for the Company.

Selected Quarterly Consolidated Financial Data

Please refer to Note 26 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

The following table summarizes our nonperforming assets and accruing TDRs as of December 31.

(Dollars in thousands)	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
Nonperforming assets					
Nonaccrual loans excluding nonaccrual loans held for sale ("hfs")					
Construction	\$3,003	\$3,818	\$7,529	\$6,046	\$3,949
Residential real estate	1,482	3,903	2,259	4,035	5,166
Commercial real estate	149	1,152	2,022	3,121	4,671
Commercial	337	-	161	141	792
Consumer	-	99	122	123	48
Total nonaccrual loans excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	4,971	8,972	12,093	13,466	14,626
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing					
Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Residential real estate	421	-	-	83	20
Commercial real estate	218	-	-	-	-
Commercial	-	10	-	-	250
Consumer	-	10	7	4	-
Total loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	639	20	7	87	270
Other real estate owned	1,794	2,477	4,252	3,691	3,779
Total nonperforming assets excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	7,404	11,469	16,352	17,244	18,675
Nonaccrual loans hfs	-	-	-	-	3,521
Total nonperforming assets including nonaccrual loans hfs	\$7,404	\$11,469	\$16,352	\$17,244	\$22,196
Accruing TDRs					
Construction	\$3,972	\$4,189	\$4,069	\$4,022	\$1,620
Residential real estate	4,536	3,875	5,686	6,368	14,582
Commercial real estate	4,818	4,936	5,740	6,237	9,791
Commercial	-	-	-	47	95
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-
Total accruing TDRs	\$13,326	\$13,000	\$15,495	\$16,674	\$26,088
As a percent of total loans:					
Nonaccrual loans excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	0.45 %	1.03 %	1.52 %	1.89 %	2.05 %
Accruing TDRs	1.22 %	1.49 %	1.95 %	2.35 %	3.66 %
Nonaccrual loans and accruing TDRs excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	1.67 %	2.52 %	3.47 %	4.24 %	5.72 %
As a percent of total loans and other real estate owned:					
Nonperforming assets excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	0.68 %	1.31 %	2.05 %	2.41 %	2.61 %
Nonperforming assets and accruing TDRs excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	1.89 %	2.80 %	3.98 %	4.75 %	6.25 %
As a percent of total assets:					
Nonaccrual loans excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	0.36 %	0.77 %	1.07 %	1.22 %	1.39 %

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Nonaccrual loans including nonaccrual loans hfs	0.36	%	0.77	%	1.07	%	1.22	%	1.72	%
Nonperforming assets excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	0.53	%	0.99	%	1.44	%	1.57	%	1.77	%
Nonperforming assets including nonaccrual loans hfs	0.53	%	0.99	%	1.44	%	1.57	%	2.11	%
Accruing TDRs	0.96	%	1.12	%	1.37	%	1.52	%	2.47	%
Nonperforming assets and accruing TDRs excluding nonaccrual loans hfs	1.49	%	2.11	%	2.81	%	3.08	%	4.25	%
Nonperforming assets and accruing TDRs including nonaccrual loans hfs	1.49	%	2.11	%	2.81	%	3.08	%	4.58	%

Market Risk Management and Interest Sensitivity

The Company's net income is largely dependent on its net interest income. Net interest income is susceptible to interest rate risk to the extent that interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price on a different basis than interest-earning assets. When interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price more quickly than interest-earning assets in a given period, a significant increase in market rates of interest could adversely affect net interest income. Similarly, when interest-earning assets mature or re-price more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could result in a decrease in net interest income. Net interest income is also affected by changes in the portion of interest-earning assets that are funded by interest-bearing liabilities rather than by other sources of funds, such as noninterest-bearing deposits and stockholders' equity.

The Company's interest rate risk management goals are (1) to increase net interest income at a growth rate consistent with the growth rate of total assets, and (2) to minimize fluctuations in net interest margin as a percentage of interest-earning assets. Management attempts to achieve these goals by balancing, within policy limits, the volume of floating-rate liabilities with a similar volume of floating-rate assets; by keeping the average maturity of fixed-rate asset and liability contracts reasonably matched; by maintaining a pool of administered core deposits; and by adjusting pricing rates to market conditions on a continuing basis.

The Company's Board of Directors has established a comprehensive asset liability management policy, which is administered by management's Asset Liability Management Committee ("ALCO"). The policy establishes limits on risk, which are quantitative measures of the percentage change in net interest income (a measure of net interest income at risk) and the fair value of equity capital (a measure of economic value of equity or "EVE" at risk) resulting from a hypothetical change in the yield curve of U.S. Treasury interest rates for maturities from one day to thirty years. The Company evaluates the potential adverse impacts that changing interest rates may have on its short-term earnings, long-term value, and liquidity by outsourcing simulation analysis through the use of computer modeling. The simulation model captures optionality factors such as call features and interest rate caps and floors imbedded in investment and loan portfolio contracts. As with any method of gauging interest rate risk, there are certain shortcomings inherent in the interest rate modeling methodology used by the Company. When interest rates change, actual movements in different categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, loan prepayments, and withdrawals of time and other deposits, may deviate significantly from assumptions used in the model. As an example, certain money market deposit accounts are assumed to reprice at 50% of the interest rate change in each of the up rate shock scenarios even though this is not a contractual requirement. As a practical matter, management would likely lag the impact of any upward movement in market rates on these accounts as a mechanism to manage the Company's net interest margin. Finally, the methodology does not measure or reflect the impact that higher rates may have on adjustable-rate loan customers' ability to service their debts, or the impact of rate changes on demand for loan, lease, and deposit products.

The Company presents a current base case and several alternative simulations at least once a quarter and reports the analysis to the Board of Directors. In addition, more frequent forecasts could be produced when interest rates are particularly uncertain or when other business conditions so dictate.

The statement of condition is subject to quarterly testing for six alternative interest rate shock possibilities to indicate the inherent interest rate risk. Average interest rates are shocked by +/- 100, 200, 300 and 400 basis points (“bp”), although the Company may elect not to use particular scenarios that it determines are impractical in a current rate environment. It is management’s goal to structure the balance sheet so that net interest earnings at risk over a twelve-month period and the economic value of equity at risk do not exceed policy guidelines at the various interest rate shock levels.

Measures of net interest income at risk produced by simulation analysis are indicators of an institution’s short-term performance in alternative rate environments. These measures are typically based upon a relatively brief period, usually one year. They do not necessarily indicate the long-term prospects or economic value of the institution.

Estimated Changes in Net Interest Income

Change in Interest Rates:	+400 bp	+300 bp	+200 bp	+100 bp	-100 bp	-200 bp
Policy Limit	40.00 %	30.00 %	20.00 %	10.00 %	(10.00)%	(20.00)%
December 31, 2017	3.2 %	2.5 %	1.8 %	0.9 %	(6.9)%	(12.8)%
December 31, 2016	5.4 %	4.1 %	2.8 %	1.5 %	(6.2)%	(10.6)%

Based on our net interest income simulation as of December 31, 2017, net interest income is expected to increase as interest rates rise. The results of the simulation are well within the policy limits adopted by the Company. This is due in part to our strategy to maintain short investment portfolio durations. In addition, rising interest rates would drive higher rates on loans, and subsequently increase funding costs. However, lower interest rates would likely cause a decline in net interest income as lower rates would lead to lower yields on loans and investment securities. Since deposit costs are already at low levels, lower interest rates are unlikely to significantly impact our funding costs. Based on our net interest income simulation as of December 31, 2017, net interest income sensitivity to changes in interest rates for the twelve months subsequent to December 31, 2017 was less sensitive compared to the sensitivity profile for the twelve months subsequent to December 31, 2016. The decline in sensitivity was partially due to changes in our balance sheet mix, including decreases in federal funds sold, floating rate commercial loans, and a shift from certificates of deposit and other time deposits to noninterest bearing deposits which drove down the Company’s cost of funds and interest expense.

The measures of equity value at risk indicate the ongoing economic value of the Company by considering the effects of changes in interest rates on all of the Company's cash flows, and by discounting the cash flows to estimate the present value of assets and liabilities. The difference between these discounted values of the assets and liabilities is the economic value of equity ("EVE"), which, in theory, approximates the fair value of the Company's net assets.

Estimated Changes in Economic Value of Equity (EVE)

	+400	+300	+200	+100	-100	-200
Change in Interest Rates:	bp	bp	bp	bp	bp	bp
Policy Limit	25.00%	20.00%	15.00%	10.00%	(20.00)%	(35.00)%
December 31, 2017	(1.7)%	(0.7)%	0.4 %	0.6 %	(6.1)%	(17.1)%
December 31, 2016	(0.5)%	0.3 %	0.9 %	1.1 %	(7.0)%	(17.3)%

Based on our EVE simulation as of December 31, 2017, equity is expected to increase as interest rates rise in all scenarios other than the 300bp and 400bp increase and will decline as interest rates decrease. The results of the simulation are well within the policy limits adopted by the Company. The decrease in the EVE at risk from December 31, 2016 to December 31, 2017 primarily resulted from significant loan growth for the Bank which experienced increased rates on the new loan volume, while deposit rates remained unchanged at historically low levels. This resulted in a lower EVE as loans became less valuable and deposits became more valuable.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, to meet the financing needs of its customers, the Bank is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to these financial instruments is represented by the contractual amount of the instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as they use for on-balance sheet instruments. The Bank generally requires collateral or other security to support the financial instruments with credit risk. The amount of collateral or other security is determined based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Letters of credit and other commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Because many of the letters of credit and commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Further information about these arrangements is provided in Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Management does not believe that any of the foregoing arrangements have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Liquidity Management

Liquidity describes our ability to meet financial obligations that arise during the normal course of business. Liquidity is primarily needed to meet the borrowing and deposit withdrawal requirements of customers and to fund current and planned expenditures. Liquidity is derived through increased customer deposits, maturities in the investment portfolio, loan repayments and income from earning assets. To the extent that deposits are not adequate to fund customer loan demand, liquidity needs can be met in the short-term funds markets. We have arrangements with correspondent banks whereby we have \$15 million available in federal funds lines of credit and a reverse repurchase agreement available to meet any short-term needs which may not otherwise be funded by the Banks' portfolio of readily marketable investments that can be converted to cash. The Bank is also a member of the FHLB, which provides another source of liquidity, and had credit availability of approximately \$205.6 million from the FHLB as of December 31, 2017.

At December 31, 2017, our loan to deposit ratio was approximately 90.9%, higher than the 87.4% at year-end 2016. Investment securities available for sale totaling \$197.0 million at the end of 2017 were available for the management of liquidity and interest rate risk. The comparable amount was \$163.8 million at December 31, 2016. Cash and cash equivalents were \$31.8 million at December 31, 2017, a decrease of \$44.1 million, or 58.1%, compared to the \$75.9 million at year-end 2016, which reflects the utilization of liquidity for funding loan growth in 2017. Management is not aware of any demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that will materially affect our ability to maintain liquidity at satisfactory levels.

We have various financial obligations, including contractual obligations and commitments that may require future cash payments. The following table presents significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations to third parties by payment date as of December 31, 2017.

(Dollars in thousands)	Within one year	One to three years	Three to five years	Over five years	Total
Deposits without a stated maturity	\$939,966	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$939,966
Time deposits	142,880	70,285	48,579	1,071	262,815
Operating leases	43	1,151	865	2,130	4,189
Purchase obligations	2,863	1,771	109	916	5,659
Total	\$1,085,752	\$ 73,207	\$ 49,553	\$ 4,117	\$1,212,629

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The information required by this item may be found in Item 7 of Part II of this annual report under the caption “Market Risk Management and Interest Sensitivity”, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<u>Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>59</u>

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of Shore Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") is responsible for the preparation, integrity and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and, as such, include some amounts that are based on the best estimates and judgments of management.

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. This internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and the Board of Directors regarding the reliability of the Company's financial reporting and the preparation and presentation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as well as to safeguard assets from unauthorized use or disposition. The system of internal control over financial reporting is evaluated for effectiveness by management and tested for reliability through a program of internal audit with actions taken to correct potential deficiencies as they are identified. Because of inherent limitations in any internal control system, no matter how well designed, misstatement due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected, including the possibility of the circumvention or overriding of controls. Accordingly, even an effective internal control system can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Further, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017 based upon criteria set forth in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 COSO Framework).

Based on this assessment and on the foregoing criteria, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2017, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective. Yount, Hyde & Barbour, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this annual report, has issued a report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which appears on the following page.

March 16, 2018

/s/ Lloyd L. Beatty, Jr.
Lloyd L. Beatty, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Edward C. Allen
Edward C. Allen
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders and the Board of Directors

Shore Bancshares, Inc.

Easton, Maryland

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Shore Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2017, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements (collectively, the financial statements). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013, and our report dated March 16, 2018 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audit included performing procedures to assess the risks of material

misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audit also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Yount, Hyde & Barbour, P.C.

We have served as the Company's
auditor since 2017.

Winchester, Virginia
March 16, 2018

Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Shore Bancshares, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Shore Bancshares, Inc. (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2016, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Shore Bancshares, Inc. as of December 31, 2016 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP

Baltimore, Maryland

March 16, 2017

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and

Stockholders of Shore Bancshares, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows of Shore Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. Our audit of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considering necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of Shore Bancshares, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2015 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Stegman & Company

Baltimore, Maryland

March 11, 2016

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31,

(In thousands, except share data)	2017	2016
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$21,534	\$14,596
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks	10,286	61,342
Cash and cash equivalents	31,820	75,938
Investment securities:		
Available-for-sale, at fair value	196,955	163,902
Held to maturity, at amortized cost - fair value of \$6,391 (2017) and \$6,806 (2016)	6,247	6,704
Loans	1,093,514	871,525
Less: allowance for credit losses	(9,781)	(8,726)
Loans, net	1,083,733	862,799
Premises and equipment, net	23,054	16,558
Goodwill	27,618	11,931
Other intangible assets, net	4,719	1,079
Other real estate owned, net	1,794	2,477
Other assets	17,920	18,883
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,393,860	\$1,160,271
LIABILITIES		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing	\$328,322	\$261,575
Interest-bearing	874,459	735,914
Total deposits	1,202,781	997,489
Short-term borrowings	21,734	3,203
Other liabilities	5,609	5,280
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,230,124	1,005,972
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; shares authorized - 35,000,000; shares issued and outstanding - 12,688,224 (2017) and 12,664,797 (2016)	127	127
Additional paid in capital	65,256	64,201
Retained earnings	99,662	90,964
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,309)	(993)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	163,736	154,299
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS'S EQUITY	\$1,393,860	\$1,160,271

The notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2017	2016	2015
INTEREST INCOME			
Interest and fees on loans	\$43,617	\$37,155	\$35,126
Interest and dividends on investment securities:			
Taxable	3,847	3,195	3,602
Tax-exempt	3	7	10
Interest on federal funds sold	-	6	3
Interest on deposits with other banks	334	289	130
Total interest income	47,801	40,652	38,871
INTEREST EXPENSE			
Interest on deposits	2,242	2,389	3,331
Interest on short-term borrowings	31	14	15
Total interest expense	2,273	2,403	3,346
NET INTEREST INCOME			
Provision for credit losses	45,528	38,249	35,525
	2,291	1,848	2,075
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES			
	43,237	36,401	33,450
NONINTEREST INCOME			
Service charges on deposit accounts	3,628	3,465	2,867
Trust and investment fee income	1,532	1,442	1,627
Gains on sales and calls of investment securities	5	30	-
Gain on sale of credit card portfolio	-	198	-
Insurance agency commissions	8,837	8,551	8,274
Other noninterest income	3,748	2,959	2,648
Total noninterest income	17,750	16,645	15,416
NONINTEREST EXPENSE			
Salaries and wages	20,011	17,626	17,540
Employee benefits	4,645	3,993	3,905
Occupancy expense	2,696	2,452	2,420
Furniture and equipment expense	1,035	963	926
Data processing	3,680	3,496	3,260
Directors' fees	380	511	470
Amortization of other intangible assets	314	131	133
FDIC insurance premium expense	599	696	1,214

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Other real estate owned expenses, net	272	505	291
Legal and professional fees	2,308	1,875	2,380
Other noninterest expenses	5,262	4,899	4,811
Total noninterest expense	41,202	37,147	37,350
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	19,785	15,899	11,516
Income tax expense	8,523	6,261	4,408
NET INCOME	\$11,262	\$9,638	\$7,108
Basic net income per common share	\$0.89	\$0.76	\$0.56
Diluted net income per common share	0.89	0.76	0.56
Dividends paid per common share	0.22	0.14	0.04

The notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the Years Ended December 31,

(Dollars in thousands)	2017	2016	2015
Net income	\$11,262	\$9,638	\$7,108
Other comprehensive (loss)			
Securities available for sale:			
Unrealized holding (losses) on available-for-sale-securities	(150)	(1,543)	(725)
Tax effect	45	622	293
Reclassification of gains recognized in net income	(5)	(30)	-
Tax effect	2	12	-
Amortization of unrealized loss on securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity securities	30	29	76
Tax effect	(12)	(12)	(31)
Net of tax amount	(90)	(922)	(387)
Total other comprehensive (loss)	(90)	(922)	(387)
Comprehensive income	\$11,172	\$8,716	\$6,721

The notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015

	Common Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income(Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balances, January 1, 2015	\$ 126	\$ 63,532	\$ 76,495	\$ 316	\$ 140,469
Net income	-	-	7,108	-	7,108
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(387)	(387)
Stock-based compensation	-	283	-	-	283
Cash dividends declared	-	-	(506)	-	(506)
Balances, December 31, 2015	126	63,815	83,097	(71)	146,967
Net income	-	-	9,638	-	9,638
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(922)	(922)
Common shares issued for employee stock-based awards	-	53	-	-	53
Stock-based compensation	1	333	-	-	334
Cash dividends declared	-	-	(1,771)	-	(1,771)
Balances, December 31, 2016	127	64,201	90,964	(993)	154,299
Net income	-	-	11,262	-	11,262
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(90)	(90)
Reclass of stranded tax effects from change in tax rate	-	-	226	(226)	-
Stock-based compensation	-	1,055	-	-	1,055
Cash dividends declared	-	-	(2,790)	-	(2,790)
Balances, December 31, 2017	\$ 127	\$ 65,256	\$ 99,662	\$ (1,309)	\$ 163,736

The notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31,

(Dollars in thousands)	2017	2016	2015
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net Income	\$11,262	\$9,638	\$7,108
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Net accretion of acquisition accounting estimates	(506)	-	-
Provision for credit losses	2,291	1,848	2,075
Depreciation and amortization	1,649	2,449	2,434
Net amortization of securities	820	(22)	(99)
Stock-based compensation expense	1,055	334	283
Deferred income tax expense	4,476	5,717	3,874
(Gains) on sales and calls of securities	(5)	(30)	-
Losses on disposals of premises and equipment	22	-	18
Losses on sales and valuation adjustments on other real estate owned	207	363	171
(Gain) on sale of credit card portfolio	-	(198)	-
Net changes in:			
Accrued interest receivable	(827)	(218)	205
Other assets	(3,330)	(98)	(870)
Accrued interest payables	(9)	(32)	(66)
Other liabilities	331	(729)	(15)
Net cash provided by operating activities	17,436	19,022	15,118
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from maturities and principal payments of investment securities available for sale	46,484	59,989	68,395
Proceeds from sales and calls of investment securities available for sale	4,000	3,961	-
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(84,499)	(18,120)	(46,102)
Proceeds from maturities and principal payments of investment securities held to maturity	479	376	432
Purchases of securities held to maturity	-	(3,000)	-
Proceeds from the sale of credit card portfolio	-	1,428	-
Net change in loans	(100,038)	(81,369)	(88,595)
Proceeds from sale of loans	-	-	-
Purchases of premises and equipment	(1,259)	(699)	(1,518)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	-	-	-
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	571	3,700	2,040
Cash received in branch acquisition (net of cash paid)	64,045	-	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(70,217)	(33,734)	(65,348)

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

For the Years Ended December 31,

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Net changes in:

Noninterest-bearing deposits	32,185	31,890	35,872
Interest-bearing deposits	(39,263)	(9,864)	(9,412)
Short-term borrowings	18,531	(3,469)	1,864
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	-	53	-
Common stock dividends paid	(2,790)	(1,771)	(506)
Net cash provided by financing activities	8,663	16,839	27,818
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(44,118)	2,127	(22,412)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	75,938	73,811	96,223
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$31,820	\$75,938	\$73,811

Supplemental cash flows information:

Interest paid	\$2,368	\$2,434	\$3,413
Income taxes paid	\$3,900	\$435	\$518
Transfers from loans to other real estate owned	\$95	\$2,289	\$2,773
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale	\$(155)	\$(1,664)	\$119
Amortization of unrealized loss on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	\$30	\$29	\$76

Branch purchase:

Tangible assets acquired (net of cash received)	\$129,188	\$-	\$-
Identifiable intangible assets acquired	\$3,954	\$-	\$-
Liabilities assumed	\$212,463	\$-	\$-

The notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

SHORE BANCSHARES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Shore Bancshares, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively referred to in these Notes as the “Company”), with all significant intercompany transactions eliminated. The investments in subsidiaries are recorded on the Company’s books (Parent only) on the basis of its equity in the net assets of the subsidiaries. The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). For purposes of comparability, certain reclassifications have been made to amounts previously reported to conform with the current period presentation.

Effective July 1, 2016, the Company’s two bank subsidiaries, The Talbot Bank of Easton Maryland and CNB were consolidated into one bank known as Shore United Bank. In these notes to the consolidated financial statements, the term “the Bank” refers to Shore United Bank, unless the context requires stipulating results of the individual banks before the consolidation occurred.

Nature of Operations

The Company engages in the banking business through Shore United Bank, a Maryland commercial bank with trust powers. The Company’s primary source of revenue is interest earned on commercial, real estate and consumer loans made to customers located in Maryland, Delaware and the Eastern Shore of Virginia. The Company engages in the insurance business through an insurance producer firm, The Avon-Dixon Agency, LLC, (“Avon-Dixon”) with two specialty lines, Elliott Wilson Insurance (Trucking) and Jack Martin Associates (Marine); and an insurance premium finance company, Mubell Finance, LLC (“Mubell”) (Avon-Dixon and Mubell are collectively referred to as the “Insurance Subsidiaries”). Avon-Dixon and Mubell are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Shore Bancshares, Inc. The Company engages in the trust services business through the trust department at Shore United Bank under the trade name Wye Financial & Trust.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and affect the reported amounts of revenues earned and expenses incurred during the reporting

period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates that could change significantly relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the determination of fair values related to impaired loans and other real estate owned, fair values initially assigned in an acquisition and subsequent evaluations of the related goodwill and intangible assets for impairment, and the valuation of deferred tax assets.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

Investment securities available for sale are stated at estimated fair value based on quoted prices. They represent those securities which management may sell as part of its asset/liability management strategy or which may be sold in response to changing interest rates, changes in prepayment risk or other similar factors. Realized gains and losses are recorded in noninterest income and are determined on a trade date basis using the specific identification method. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income using the interest method over the expected lives of the individual securities. Interest and dividends on investment securities are recognized in interest income on an accrual basis. Net unrealized holding gains and losses on these securities are reported as accumulated other comprehensive income, a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of related income taxes. Declines in the fair value of individual available-for-sale securities below their cost that are other than temporary result in write-downs of the individual securities to their fair value and are reflected in earnings as realized losses. Factors affecting the determination of whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred include a downgrade of the security by a rating agency, a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the issuer, or a determination that management has the intent to sell the security or will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost.

Investment Securities Held to Maturity

Investment securities held to maturity are stated at cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. The Company intends and has the ability to hold such securities until maturity. Declines in the fair value of individual held-to-maturity securities below their cost that are other than temporary result in write-downs of the individual securities to their fair value. Factors affecting the determination of whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred include a downgrade of the security by a rating agency, a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the issuer, or a determination that management has the intent to sell the security or will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost.

Loans

Loans are stated at their principal amount outstanding net of any deferred fees, premiums, discounts and costs and net of any partial charge-offs. Interest income on loans is accrued at the contractual rate based on the principal amount outstanding. Fees charged and costs capitalized for originating loans are being amortized substantially on the interest method over the term of the loan. A loan is placed on nonaccrual (i.e., interest income is no longer accrued) when it is specifically determined to be impaired or when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. Any unpaid interest previously accrued on those loans is reversed from income. Interest payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied as a reduction of the loan principal balance unless collectability of the principal amount is reasonably assured, in which case interest is recognized on a cash basis. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

A loan is considered impaired if it is probable that the Company will not collect all principal and interest payments according to the loan's contractual terms. An impaired loan may show deficiencies in the borrower's overall financial condition, payment history, support available from financial guarantors and/or the fair market value of collateral. The impairment of a loan is measured at the present value of expected future cash flows using the loan's effective interest rate, or at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Generally, the Company measures impairment on such loans by reference to the fair value of the collateral. Once the amount of impairment has been determined, the uncollectible portion is charged off. Income on impaired loans is recognized on a cash basis, and payments are first applied against the principal balance outstanding (i.e., placing impaired loans on nonaccrual status). Generally, interest income is not recognized on impaired loans unless the likelihood of further loss is remote. The allowance for credit losses may include specific reserves related to impaired loans. Specific reserves remain until charge offs are made. Impaired loans do not include groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans such as residential mortgage and consumer installment loans that are evaluated collectively for impairment. Reserves for probable credit losses related to these loans are based on historical loss ratios and an analysis of qualitative factors and are included in the formula portion of the allowance for credit losses. See additional discussion below under the section, "Allowance for Credit Losses".

A loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") if a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and a creditor has granted a concession. Concessions may include interest rate reductions or below market interest rates, principal forgiveness, restructuring amortization schedules and other actions intended to minimize potential losses. Loans are identified to be restructured when signs of impairment arise such as borrower interest rate reduction request, slowness to pay, or when an inability to repay becomes evident. The terms being offered are evaluated to determine if they are more liberal than those that would be indicated by policy or industry standards for similar, untroubled credits. In those situations where the terms or the interest rates are considered to be more favorable than industry standards or the current underwriting guidelines of the Company's banking subsidiary, the loan is classified as a TDR. All loans designated as TDRs are considered impaired loans and may be on either accrual or nonaccrual status. In instances where the loan has been placed on nonaccrual status, six consecutive months of timely payments are required prior to returning the loan to accrual status.

All loans classified as TDRs which are restructured and accrue interest under revised terms require a full and comprehensive review of the borrower's financial condition, capacity for repayment, realistic assessment of collateral values, and the assessment of risk entered into any workout agreement. Current financial information on the borrower, guarantor, and underlying collateral is analyzed to determine if it supports the ultimate collection of principal and interest. For commercial loans, the cash flows are analyzed, both for the underlying project and globally. For consumer loans, updated salary, credit history and cash flow information is obtained. Current market conditions are also considered. Following a full analysis, the determination of the appropriate loan structure is made. The Company does not participate in any specific government or Company sponsored loan modification programs. All TDR loan agreements are contracts negotiated with each of the borrowers.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by management to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is based on the size and current risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, an assessment of individual problem loans and actual loss experience, current economic events in specific industries and geographical areas, including unemployment levels, and other pertinent factors, including regulatory guidance and general economic conditions and other observable data. Determination of the allowance is inherently subjective as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows or collateral value of impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans that are based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Loans, or portions thereof, that are considered uncollectible are charged off against the allowance, while recoveries of amounts previously charged off are credited to the allowance. The criteria for charge offs are addressed in the Bank's Collection and Workout Policy. Per the policy, the recognition of the loss of loans or portions of loans will occur when there is a reasonable probability of loss. When the amount of loss can be readily calculated, the loss will be recognized. In cases where a probable charge-off amount cannot be calculated, specific reserves will be maintained. A provision for credit losses is charged to income based on management's periodic evaluation of the factors previously mentioned, as well as other pertinent factors. Evaluations are conducted at least quarterly and more often if deemed necessary.

The allowance for credit losses is an estimate of the losses that may be sustained in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on two basic principles of accounting: (i) Topic 450, “*Contingencies*”, of the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”), which requires that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and estimable; and (ii) ASC Topic 310, “*Receivables*”, which requires that losses be accrued based on the differences between the loan balance and the value of collateral, present value of future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market. Management uses many factors to estimate the inherent loss that may be present in our loan portfolio, including economic conditions and trends, the value and adequacy of collateral, the volume and mix of the loan portfolio, and our internal loan processes. Actual losses could differ significantly from management’s estimates. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another. Although the economics of transactions would be the same, the timing of events that would impact the transactions could change.

Three basic components comprise our allowance for credit losses: (i) the specific allowance; (ii) the historical formula allowance; and (iii) the qualitative formula allowance. Each component is determined based on estimates that can and do change when the actual events occur. The specific allowance is established against impaired loans (i.e., nonaccrual loans and troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”)) based on our assessment of the losses that may be associated with the individual loans. The specific allowance remains until charge-offs are made. An impaired loan may show deficiencies in the borrower’s overall financial condition, payment history, support available from financial guarantors and/or the fair market value of collateral

The historical formula allowance is used to estimate the loss on internally risk-rated loans, exclusive of those identified as impaired. Loans are grouped by type (construction, residential real estate, commercial real estate, commercial or consumer). Each loan type is assigned allowance factors based on management’s estimate of the risk, complexity and size of individual loans within a particular category using average historical charge-offs by segment over the last 16 quarters. Loans identified as pass-watch, special mention, substandard, and doubtful are considered to have elevated credit risk. These loans are assigned higher allowance factors than favorably rated loans due to management’s concerns regarding collectability or management’s knowledge of particular elements regarding the borrower. The qualitative formula allowance captures losses that have impacted the portfolio but have yet to be recognized in either the specific or historical formula allowance. A pass-watch loan has adequate risk and may include loans which may have been upgraded from another higher risk category. A special mention loan has potential weaknesses that could result in a future loss to the Company if the weaknesses are realized. A substandard loan has certain deficiencies that could result in a future loss to the Company if these deficiencies are not corrected. A doubtful loan has enough risk that there is a high probability that the Company will sustain a loss.

Management has significant discretion in making the adjustments inherent in the determination of the provision and allowance for credit losses, including in connection with the valuation of collateral, the estimation of a borrower’s prospects of repayment, and the establishment of the allowance factors in the formula allowance and unallocated allowance components of the allowance. The establishment of allowance factors is a continuing exercise, based on management’s ongoing assessment of the totality of all factors, including, but not limited to, delinquencies, loss history, trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in lending policy, the experience and depth of management, national and local economic trends, concentrations of credit, the quality of the loan review system and the effect of external factors such as competition and regulatory requirements, and their impact on the portfolio.

Allowance factors may change from period to period, resulting in an increase or decrease in the amount of the provision or allowance, based on the same volume and classification of loans. Changes in allowance factors will have a direct impact on the amount of the provision, and a corresponding effect on net income. Errors in management's perception and assessment of these factors and their impact on the portfolio could result in the allowance not being adequate to cover losses in the portfolio, and may result in additional provisions or charge-offs.

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost and premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Useful lives range from three to 10 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment; three to five years for computer hardware and data handling equipment; and 10 to 40 years for buildings and building improvements. Land improvements are amortized over a period of 15 years and leasehold improvements are amortized over the term of the respective lease. Sale-leaseback transactions are considered normal leasebacks and any realized gains are deferred and amortized to other income on a straight-line basis over the initial lease term. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, while improvements which extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over the estimated remaining life of the asset.

Long-lived assets are evaluated periodically for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Impairment exists when the expected undiscounted future cash flows of a long-lived asset are less than its carrying value. In that event, the Company recognizes a loss for the difference between the carrying amount and the estimated fair value of the asset.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Other intangible assets represent purchased assets that also lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights or because the asset is capable of being sold or exchanged either on its own or in combination with a related contract, asset or liability. Goodwill and other intangible assets are initially required to be recorded at fair value. Determining fair value is subjective, requiring the use of estimates, assumptions and management judgment. Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are tested at least annually for impairment, usually during the third quarter, or on an interim basis if circumstances dictate. Intangible assets that have finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives and also are subject to impairment testing.

Impairment testing requires that the fair value of each of the Company's reporting units be compared to the carrying amount of its net assets, including goodwill. The Company's reporting units were identified based on an analysis of each of its individual operating segments (i.e., the Bank and Insurance Subsidiaries). If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than book value, an expense may be required to write down the related goodwill or purchased intangibles to record an impairment loss.

During the third quarter of 2017 and 2016, goodwill and other intangible assets were subjected to the annual assessment for impairment. As a result of the assessment, it was determined that it was not more likely than not that the fair values of the Company's reporting units were less than their carrying amounts so no impairment was recorded.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned represents assets acquired in satisfaction of loans either by foreclosure or deeds taken in lieu of foreclosure. Properties acquired are recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs at the time of acquisition, establishing a new cost basis. Thereafter, costs incurred to operate or carry the properties as well as reductions in value as determined by periodic appraisals are charged to operating expense. Gains and losses resulting from the final disposition of the properties are included in noninterest income.

Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings are comprised primarily of repurchase agreements. The repurchase agreements are securities sold to the Company's customers, at the customers' request, under a continuing "roll-over" contract that matures in one business day. The underlying securities sold are U.S. Government agency securities, which are segregated from the Company's other investment securities by its safekeeping agents.

Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method in accordance with required accounting guidance. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined by applying the applicable federal and state income tax rates to cumulative temporary differences. These temporary differences represent differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the corresponding tax bases of certain assets and liabilities. Deferred taxes result from such temporary differences.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which

those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the expected amount most likely to be realized. Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on the generation of a sufficient level of future taxable income, recoverable taxes paid in prior years and tax planning strategies. The Company evaluates all positive and negative evidence before determining if a valuation allowance is deemed necessary regarding the realization of deferred tax assets.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties as a component of tax expense. Significant judgement is required in evaluating the Company's uncertain tax positions, determining its provision for income taxes and evaluating the impact of the Tax Act.

The U.S. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Act") was enacted on December 22, 2017 and introduces significant changes to U.S. income tax law. Effective in 2018, the Tax Act reduces the U.S. statutory tax rate from 35% to 21% and creates new taxes on certain foreign-sourced earnings and certain related-party payments, which are referred to as the global intangible low-taxes income tax and base erosion tax, respectively. In addition, in 2017 the Company is subject to a one-time transition tax on accumulated foreign subsidiary earnings not previously subject to U.S. income tax. Accounting for the income tax effects of the Tax Act requires significant judgements and estimates in the interpretation and calculations of the provisions of the Tax Act.

Due to the timing of the enactment and the complexity involved in applying the provisions of the Tax Act, the Company has made reasonable estimates of the effects and recorded provisional amounts in its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017. As the Company collects and prepares the necessary data, and interprets additional guidance issued by the U.S. Treasury Department, the IRS or other standard-setting bodies, it may make adjustments to the provisional amounts. Those adjustments may materially impact the provision for income taxes and the effective tax rate in the period in which the adjustments are made. The accounting for the tax effects of the enactment of the Tax Act will be completed in 2018.

Although the Company believes it has adequately reserved for its uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different. The Company adjusts these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact the provision for income taxes and the effective tax rate in the period in which such determination is made.

The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes in the reserves that are considered appropriate as well as the related net interest and penalties. In addition, the Company is subject to the continuous examination of its income tax returns by the IRS and other tax authorities which may assert assessments against the Company. The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations and assessments to determine the adequacy of its provision for income taxes. The Company remains subject to examination for tax years ending on or after December 31, 2014.

Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and does not include the effect of any potentially dilutive common stock equivalents. Included in this calculation due to dividend participation rights are restricted stock awards which have been granted. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, adjusted for the effect of any potentially dilutive common stock equivalents.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (i) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (ii) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (iii) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits with other banks and federal funds sold are considered “cash and cash equivalents” for financial reporting purposes. Interest-bearing deposits with banks generally exceed balances that are recoverable under Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) insurance.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company may grant share-based compensation to employees and non-employee directors in the form of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options. The fair value of restricted stock is determined based on the closing price of the Parent’s common stock on the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation expense related to restricted stock on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for service-based awards, plus additional recognition of costs associated with accelerated vesting based on the projected attainment of Company performance measures. Restricted stock units (“RSUs”) are payable solely in cash which are accounted for as other liabilities in the consolidated statements of condition. The fair value of RSUs is initially valued based on the closing price of the Parent’s common stock on the date of grant and is amortized in the statement of income over the vesting period. The RSUs are

subsequently remeasured in the same manner described above at the end of each reporting period until settlement. The fair value of stock options is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and related assumptions. The Company uses historical data to predict option exercise and employee termination behavior. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Parent's common stock. The expected term of options granted is derived from actual historical exercise activity and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate is derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant based on the expected life of the option. The dividend yield is equal to the dividend yield of the Parent's common stock at the time of grant. The amortization of the expense related to stock options reflects estimated forfeitures, adjusted for actual forfeiture experience. Amortization expense related to stock options is recorded in the statements of income as a component of salaries and benefits for employees and as a component of other noninterest expense for non-employee directors, with a corresponding increase to capital surplus in shareholders' equity. As the expense related to stock options is recognized, a deferred tax asset is established that represents an estimate of future income tax deductions from the release of restrictions or the exercise of stock options. See Note 13 for a further discussion.

Fair Value

The Company measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value, with the measurements made on a recurring or nonrecurring basis. Significant financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis are investment securities available for sale. Impaired loans and other real estate owned are significant financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company is required to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs, reducing subjectivity. See Note 21 for a further discussion of fair value.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are generally expensed as incurred. The Company incurred advertising costs of approximately \$662 thousand, \$528 thousand and \$495 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

Comprehensive Income

Changes in unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities is the only component of accumulated other comprehensive income for the Company. The amount reclassified out of other accumulated comprehensive income relating to a gain on call of available-for-sale securities was \$5 thousand and \$30 thousand for 2017 and 2016, respectively. The related tax effect for the reclassification was \$2 thousand and \$12 thousand for 2017 and 2016, respectively.

In February 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-02, “*Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (“AOCI”)*”. The Company early adopted this new standard in the current year. ASU 2018-01 requires reclassification from AOCI to retained earnings for stranded tax effects resulting from the impact of newly enacted federal corporate income tax rate on items included in AOCI. The amount of this reclassification in 2017 was \$226 thousand.

Recent Accounting Standards

ASU No. 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*” amendment requires entities to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2016. ASU 2015-14, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) Deferral of the Effective Date*” – ASU 2015-14 amendments defer the effective date of Update 2014-09 for all entities by one year. Public business entities, certain not-for-profit entities, and certain employee benefit plans should apply the guidance in ASU 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. ASU 2016-08, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations*” – ASU 2016-08 amendments are intended to improve the operability and understandability of the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. ASU 2016-10, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*” – ASU 2016-10 amendments clarify that contractual provisions that, explicitly or implicitly, require an entity to transfer control of additional goods or services to a customer should be distinguished from contractual provisions that, explicitly or implicitly, define the attributes of a single promised license. Attributes of a promised license define the scope of a customer’s right to use or right to access an entity’s intellectual property and, therefore, do not define whether the entity satisfies its performance obligation at a point in time or over time and do not create an obligation for the entity to transfer any additional rights to use or access its intellectual property. Revenues from services provided by financial institutions that could be impacted by the new guidance includes credit card arrangements, trust and custody services and administration services for customer deposits accounts (e.g., ATM and wire transfer transactions). This update will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Adoption of the ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company’s primary sources of revenue are derived from interest and dividends earned on loans, investment securities, and other financial instruments that are not within the scope of ASU 2014-09. The Company’s revenue recognition pattern for revenue streams within the scope of ASU 2014-09, including but not limited to service charges on deposit accounts and gains/losses on the sale of OREO, is not expected to change significantly from current practice. The standard permits the use of either the full retrospective or modified retrospective transition method. The Company is currently planning to use the modified retrospective transition method which requires application of ASU 2014-09 to uncompleted contracts at the date of adoption. Periods prior to the date of adoption are not retrospectively revised, but a cumulative effect of adoption is recognized for the impact of the ASU on uncompleted contracts at the date of adoption.

ASU No. 2016-01, “*Financial Instruments – Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*”. This ASU, among other things, (i) requires equity investments, with certain exceptions, to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income, (ii) simplifies the

impairment assessment of equity investments without readily determinable fair values by requiring a qualitative assessment to identify impairment, (iii) eliminates the requirement for public business entities to disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet, (iv) requires public business entities to use the exit price notion when measuring the fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes, (v) requires an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments, (vi) requires separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset on the balance sheet or the accompanying notes to the financial statements and (vii) clarifies that an entity should evaluate the need for a valuation allowance on a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale securities. ASU 2016-01 will be effective for us on January 1, 2018 and is not expected to have a significant impact on our financial statements. The Company is currently evaluating methods of measuring fair value of its loan portfolio using an exit price notion as noted in (iv) above. In addition, the Company has hired a third-party valuation expert to aid in the transition to the fair value guidance.

ASU No. 2016-02, "*Leases (Topic 842)*." This ASU stipulates that a lessee should recognize the assets and liabilities that arise from leases. All leases create an asset and a liability for the lessee in accordance with FASB Concepts Statement No. 6, *Elements of Financial Statement*, and, therefore, recognition of those lease assets and lease liabilities represents an improvement over previous GAAP, which did not require lease assets and lease liabilities to be recognized for most leases. A lessee should recognize in the statement of financial position a liability to make lease payments (the lease liability) and a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset for the lease term. When measuring assets and liabilities arising from a lease, a lessee (and a lessor) should include payments to be made in optional periods only if the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise an option to extend the lease or not to exercise an option to terminate the lease. Similarly, optional payments to purchase the underlying asset should be included in the measurement of lease assets and lease liabilities only if the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise that purchase option. In addition, also consistent with the previous leases guidance, a lessee (and a lessor) should exclude most variable lease payments in measuring lease assets and lease liabilities, other than those that depend on an index or a rate or are in substance fixed payments. For leases with a term of 12 months or less, a lessee is permitted to make an accounting policy election by class of underlying asset not to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities. If a lessee makes this election, it should recognize lease expense for such leases generally on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted upon issuance. Lessees (for capital and operating leases) and lessors (for sales-type, direct financing and operating leases) must apply a modified retrospective transition approach for leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements. The modified retrospective approach would not require any transition accounting for leases that expired before the earliest comparative period presented. Leases and lessors may not apply a full retrospective transition approach. While the Company is currently evaluating the impact of the new standard, we expect an increase to the Consolidated Balance Sheets for right-of-use assets and interest expense of the lease liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Income, for arrangements previously accounted for as operating leases. Therefore, the Company's preliminary evaluation indicates the provisions of ASU No. 2016-02 are expected to impact the Company's consolidated statements of condition, along with our regulatory capital ratios. However, the Company continues to evaluate the extent of potential impact the new guidance will have on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company is in the process of developing an inventory of all leases and accumulating the lease data necessary to apply the amended guidance.

ASU No. 2016-09, "*Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting.*" This ASU simplifies the treatment and accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted for any entity in any interim or annual period. If an entity early adopts the amendments in an interim period, any adjustments should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. An entity that elects early adoption must adopt all of the amendments in the same period. Upon adoption of ASU No. 2016-09 on January 1, 2017, the Company made an accounting policy election to recognize forfeitures of stock-based awards as they occur. The adoption of ASU No. 2016-09 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2016-13, "*Financial Instruments-Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments.*" The amendments in this ASU will replace the incurred loss impairment methodology in current GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. The amendments affect loans, debt securities, trade receivables, net investments in leases, off-balance-sheet credit exposures, reinsurance receivables, and any other financial assets not excluded from the scope that have the contractual right to receive cash. The amendments broaden the information that an entity must consider in developing its expected credit loss estimate for assets measured either collectively or individually. The use of forecasted information incorporates more timely information in the estimate of expected credit losses, which will be more decision useful to users of the financial statements. It is not expected that an entity will need to create an economic forecast over the entire contractual life of long-dated financial assets. Therefore, the amendments will allow an entity to revert to historical loss information that is reflective of the contractual term (considering the effect of prepayments) for periods that are beyond the time frame for which the entity is able to develop reasonable and supportable forecasts. The amendments retain many of the disclosure amendments in Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310): *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*, updated to reflect the change from an incurred loss methodology to an expected credit loss methodology. Credit losses on available-for-sale debt securities should be measured in a manner similar to current GAAP. However, the amendments require that credit losses be presented as an allowance rather than a write-down. For public entities that are U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filers, the amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. All entities may adopt the amendments earlier as of the fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company believes this ASU will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements and the method in which we calculate our credit losses, primarily on loans and held to maturity securities. At this time, the Company has established a project management team which is in the process of developing and understanding this pronouncement, evaluating the impact of this pronouncement and researching additional software resources that could assist with the implementation.

ASU No. 2016-15, "*Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments.*" Current GAAP is unclear or does not include specific guidance on how to classify certain transactions in the statement of cash flows. This ASU is intended to reduce diversity in practice in how eight particular transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows. ASU No. 2016-15 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted, provided that all of the amendments are adopted in the same period. Entities will be

required to apply the guidance retrospectively. If it is impracticable to apply the guidance retrospectively for an issue, the amendments related to that issue would be applied prospectively. We adopted the amendments in this ASU effective January 1, 2017. The adoption of ASU No. 2016-15 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2017-01 – In January 2017, FASB issued ASU No. 2017-01, *Business Combinations (Topic 805) Clarifying the Definition of a Business*. The ASU clarifies the definition of a business to assist with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s financial positions, results of operations or disclosures.

ASU No. 2017-03 – In January 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2017-03, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (Topic 250) and Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to Staff Announcements at the September 22, 2016 and November 17, 2016 EITF Meetings*. The ASU adds an SEC paragraph to ASUs 2014-09, 2016-02, and 2016-13 which specifies the SEC staff view that a registrant should evaluate ASUs that have not yet been adopted to determine the appropriate disclosure about the potential material effects of those ASUs on the financial statements when adopted. The guidance also specifies the SEC staff view on financial statement disclosures when the company does not know or cannot reasonably estimate the impact that adoption of the ASUs will have on the financial statements. The ASU also conforms SEC guidance on accounting for tax benefits resulting from investments in affordable housing projects to the guidance in ASU 2014-01, *Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323)*. The amendments in this update are effective upon issuance. The guidance did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2017-04 – In January 2017, FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, “*Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment.*” The ASU simplifies measurement of goodwill and eliminates Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. The Company should perform its goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An impairment charge should be recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit’s fair value. The impairment charge is limited to the amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted for goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s financial positions, results of operations or disclosures.

ASU No. 2017-08 – In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-08, “*Receivables – Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs (Subtopic 310-20), Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities.*” Under current GAAP, entities normally amortize the premium as an adjustment of yield over the contractual life of the instrument. This guidance shortens the amortization period of certain callable debt securities held at a premium to the earliest call date. This update is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. The adoption of ASU No. 2017-08 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2017-09 – In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09 “*Stock Compensation, Scope of Modification Accounting.*” This ASU clarifies when changes to the terms of conditions of a share-based payment award must be accounted for as modifications. Companies will apply the modification accounting guidance if the value, vesting conditions or classification of the award changes. The new guidance should reduce diversity in practice and result in fewer changes to the terms of an award being accounted for as modifications, as the guidance will allow companies to make certain non-substantive changes to awards without accounting for them as modifications. It does not change the accounting for modifications. ASU No. 2017-09 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017; early adoption is permitted. ASU No. 2017-09 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2018-02 – In February 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-02 “*Income Statement – Reporting Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.*” The amendments provide financial statement preparers with an option to reclassify stranded tax effects within accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings in each period in which the effect of the change in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (or portion thereof) is recorded. The amendments are effective for all organizations for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. Organizations should apply the proposed amendments either in the period of adoption or retrospectively to each period (or periods) in which the effect of the change in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act is recognized. The Company has elected to reclassify the stranded income tax effects from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in the financial statements for the period ending December 31, 2017. The amount of this reclassification in 2017 was \$226 thousand.

NOTE 2. BUSINESS COMBINATION

Northwest Bank Branch Acquisition

On May 19, 2017, the Bank purchased three branches from Northwest Bank (“NWBI”) located in Arbutus, Elkridge, and Owings Mills, Maryland. Pursuant to the transaction, the Bank acquired \$122.9 million in loans and \$212.5 million in deposits, as well as the branch premises and equipment. In connection with its purchase of the branches from NWBI, the Bank received a cash payment from NWBI of \$64.0 million, which was net of a premium paid on deposits of \$17.2 million. In addition to the premium paid on deposits, other costs associated with the acquisition totaled \$977 thousand. This acquisition provides the Bank with the opportunity to enhance its footprint in Maryland by extending its branch network across the Eastern Shore to the greater Baltimore area communities of Elkridge, Owings Mills and Arbutus.

The Company has accounted for the branch purchases under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with FASB ASC topic 805, “Business Combinations,” whereby the acquired assets and liabilities were recorded by the Bank at their estimated fair values as of their acquisition date.

The acquired assets and assumed liabilities of the NWBI branches were measured at estimated fair value. Management made significant estimates and exercised significant judgement in accounting for the acquisition of the NWBI branches. Management evaluated expected cash flows, prepayment speeds and estimated loss factors to measure fair values for loans. Deposits were valued based upon interest rates, original and remaining terms and maturities, as well as current rates for similar funds in the same markets. Premises were based on recent appraised values, whereas equipment was acquired based on the remaining book value from NWBI, which approximated fair value. Management engaged independent outside experts to provide the fair value estimates. Subsequent to the purchase, Management made a measurement period adjustment for deferred taxes related to intangible assets of \$291 thousand.

The following table provides the purchase price as of the acquisition date, the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values, and the resulting goodwill of \$15.0 million recorded from the acquisition:

Purchase Price Consideration:	
Cash consideration	\$ 17,186
Total purchase price for NWBI branch acquisition	\$ 17,186
Assets acquired at fair value:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 81,231
Loans	122,862
Premises and equipment, net	6,326
Core deposit intangible	3,954
Deferred tax assets	291
Total fair value of assets acquired	\$ 214,664
Liabilities assumed at fair value:	
Deposits	\$ 212,456
Other liabilities	7
Total fair value of liabilities assumed	\$ 212,463
Net assets acquired at fair value:	\$ 2,201
Amount of goodwill resulting from acquisition	\$ 14,985

The total amount of goodwill arising from this transaction of \$15.0 million is expected to be deductible for tax purposes, pursuant to section 197 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Acquired loans

The following table outlines the contractually required payments receivable, cash flows we expect to receive, and the accretable yield for all NWBI loans as of the acquisition date.

Contractually Required Payments Receivable	Cash Flows Expected To Be Collected	Accretable FMV Adjustments	Carrying Value of Loans Receivable
---	---	-------------------------------	--

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Performing loans acquired	\$ 125,131	125,131	2,269	\$ 122,862
---------------------------	------------	---------	-------	------------

The Company recorded all loans acquired at the estimated fair value on the purchase date with no carryover of the related allowance for loan losses. The Company only acquired loans which were deemed to be performing loans with no signs of credit deterioration.

The Company determined the net discounted value of cash flows on approximately 864 performing loans totaling \$125.1 million. The valuation took into consideration the loans' underlying characteristics, including account types, remaining terms, annual interest rates, interest types, past delinquencies, timing of principal and interest payments, current market rates, loan-to-value ratios, loss exposures, and remaining balances. These performing loans were segregated into pools based on loan and payment type. The effect of this fair valuation process was a net accretable discount adjustment of \$2.3 million at acquisition.

NOTE 3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The following table provides information on the amortized cost and estimated fair values of investment securities.

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities:				
December 31, 2017				
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 45,806	\$ 23	\$ 497	\$ 45,332
Mortgage-backed Equity	152,198 666	157 -	1,390 8	150,965 658
Total	\$ 198,670	\$ 180	\$ 1,895	\$ 196,955
December 31, 2016				
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 34,320	\$ 56	\$ 58	\$ 34,318
Mortgage-backed Equity	130,490 652	263 -	1,809 12	128,944 640
Total	\$ 165,462	\$ 319	\$ 1,879	\$ 163,902
Held-to-maturity securities:				
December 31, 2017				
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 1,844	\$ 21	\$ -	\$ 1,865
States and political subdivisions	1,403	47	-	1,450
Other Debt Securities (1)	3,000	76	-	3,076
Total	\$ 6,247	\$ 144	\$ -	\$ 6,391
December 31, 2016				
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 2,089	\$ 26	\$ -	\$ 2,115
States and political subdivisions	1,615	76	-	1,691
Other Debt Securities (1)	3,000	-	-	3,000
Total	\$ 6,704	\$ 102	\$ -	\$ 6,806

(1) On December 15, 2016 the Company bought \$3.0 million in subordinated notes from a local regional bank which it intends to hold to maturity of December 30, 2026.

The following table provides information about gross unrealized losses and fair value by length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2017.

(Dollars in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		More than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2017						
Available-for-sale securities:						
U.S. Government agencies	\$37,550	\$ 453	\$5,956	\$ 44	\$43,506	\$ 497
Mortgage-backed	96,622	700	28,215	690	124,837	1,390
Equity securities	-	-	666	8	666	8
Total	\$134,172	\$ 1,153	\$34,837	\$ 742	\$169,009	\$ 1,895

(Dollars in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		More than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2016						
Available-for-sale securities:						
U.S. Government agencies	\$11,926	\$ 58	\$-	\$ 104	\$11,926	\$ 162
Mortgage-backed	100,237	1,546	9,208	263	109,445	1,809
Equity securities	640	12	-	-	640	12
Total	\$112,803	\$ 1,616	\$9,208	\$ 367	\$122,011	\$ 1,983

Held-to-maturity securities:

U.S. Government agencies	\$2,115	\$ 78	\$-	\$ -	\$2,115	\$ 78
--------------------------	---------	-------	-----	------	---------	-------

All of the securities with unrealized losses in the portfolio have modest duration risk, low credit risk, and minimal losses when compared to total amortized cost. The unrealized losses on debt securities that exist are the result of market changes in interest rates since original purchase and are not related to credit concerns. Because the Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity for debt securities, the Company considers the unrealized losses to be temporary. There were seventy-two securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2017.

The following table provides information on the amortized cost and estimated fair values of investment securities by maturity date at December 31, 2017.

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

(Dollars in thousands)	Available for sale		Held to maturity	
	Amortized		Amortized	
	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$11,002	\$ 10,969	\$-	\$ -
Due after one year through five years	34,964	34,475	902	935
Due after five years through ten years	39,573	39,252	501	515
Due after ten years	112,465	111,601	4,844	4,941
	198,004	196,297	6,247	6,391
Equity securities	666	658	-	-
Total	\$198,670	\$ 196,955	\$6,247	\$ 6,391

The maturity dates for debt securities are determined using contractual maturity dates.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities which have been pledged as collateral for obligations to federal, state and local government agencies, and other purposes as required or permitted by law, or sold under agreements to repurchase. All pledged securities are in the available-for-sale investment portfolio.

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amortized		Amortized	
	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value
Pledged available-for-sale securities	\$ 131,035	\$ 129,880	\$ 140,042	\$ 138,875

There were no obligations of states or political subdivisions with carrying values, as to any issuer, exceeding 10% of stockholders' equity at December 31, 2017 or 2016.

Proceeds from sales of investment securities were \$0, \$4.0 million, and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively. Gross gains from sales and calls of investment securities were \$5 thousand, \$30 thousand and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively. There were no gross losses in 2017, 2016 and 2015.

NOTE 4. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The Company makes residential mortgage, commercial and consumer loans to customers primarily in Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Howard County, Kent County, Queen Anne's County, Caroline County, Talbot County and Dorchester County in Maryland, Kent County, Delaware and in Accomack County, Virginia. The following table provides information about the principal classes of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(Dollars in thousands)	2017	2016
Construction	\$ 125,746	\$ 84,002
Residential real estate	399,190	325,768
Commercial real estate	464,887	382,681
Commercial	97,284	72,435
Consumer	6,407	6,639
Total loans	1,093,514	871,525
Allowance for credit losses	(9,781)	(8,726)
Total loans, net	\$ 1,083,733	\$ 862,799

In the normal course of banking business, loans are made to officers and directors and their affiliated interests. These loans are made on substantially the same terms and conditions as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons who are not related to the Company and are not considered to involve more than the normal risk of collectibility. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, such loans outstanding, both direct and indirect (including guarantees), to directors, their associates and policy-making officers, totaled approximately \$13.8 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. During 2017 and 2016, loan additions were approximately \$2.3 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, and loan repayments were approximately \$1.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. Due to the consolidation of the former Bank subsidiaries and their Board of Directors during 2016, many directors who served on those boards no longer serve as members of the new consolidated Bank as of December 31, 2016. This resulted in approximately \$10.8 million in outstanding loans being excluded for reporting loans made to inside directors of the Company and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016. Net loan origination costs, included in balances above, totaled \$609 thousand and \$509 thousand as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Also included in total loans at December 31, 2017 were \$108.1 million in loans, acquired in the current year as part of the NWBI branch acquisition. These balances are presented net of the related discount which totaled \$1.8 million at December 31, 2017.

In the normal course of banking business, risks related to specific loan categories are as follows:

Construction loans – Construction loans generally finance the construction of residential real estate for builders and individuals for single family dwellings. In addition, the Bank periodically finances the construction of commercial projects. Credit risk factors include the borrower's ability to successfully complete the construction on time and within budget, changing market conditions which could affect the value and marketability of projects, changes in the borrower's ability or willingness to repay the loan and potentially rising interest rates which can impact both the borrower's ability to repay and the collateral value.

Residential real estate – Residential real estate loans are typically made to consumers and are secured by residential real estate. Credit risk arises from the borrower's continuing financial stability, which can be adversely impacted by job loss, divorce, illness, or personal bankruptcy, among other factors. Also impacting credit risk would be a shortfall in the value of the residential real estate in relation to the outstanding loan balance in the event of a default or subsequent liquidation of the real estate collateral.

Commercial real estate – Commercial real estate loans consist of both loans secured by owner occupied properties and non-owner occupied properties where an established banking relationship exists and involves investment properties for warehouse, retail, and office space with a history of occupancy and cash flow. These loans are subject to adverse changes in the local economy and commercial real estate markets. Credit risk associated with owner occupied properties arises from the borrower’s financial stability and the ability of the borrower and the business to repay the loan. Non-owner occupied properties carry the risk of a tenant’s deteriorating credit strength, lease expirations in soft markets and sustained vacancies which can adversely impact cash flow.

Commercial – Commercial loans are secured or unsecured loans for business purposes. Loans are typically secured by accounts receivable, inventory, equipment and/or other assets of the business. Credit risk arises from the successful operation of the business which may be affected by competition, rising interest rates, regulatory changes and adverse conditions in the local and regional economy.

Consumer – Consumer loans include home equity loans and lines, installment loans and personal lines of credit. Credit risk is similar to residential real estate loans above as it is subject to the borrower’s continuing financial stability and the value of the collateral securing the loan.

The following tables include impairment information relating to loans and the allowance for credit losses as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(Dollars in thousands)	Construction	Residential	Commercial	Commercial	Consumer	Total
		real estate	real estate			
December 31, 2017						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 6,975	\$ 6,018	\$ 4,967	\$ 337	\$ -	\$ 18,297
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	118,771	393,172	459,920	96,947	6,407	1,075,217
Total loans	\$ 125,746	\$ 399,190	\$ 464,887	\$ 97,284	\$ 6,407	\$ 1,093,514
Allowance for credit losses allocated to:						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 500	\$ 239	\$ 33	\$ 33	\$ -	\$ 805
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	1,960	2,045	2,561	2,208	202	8,976
Total loans	\$ 2,460	\$ 2,284	\$ 2,594	\$ 2,241	\$ 202	\$ 9,781

(Dollars in thousands)	Construction	Residential	Commercial	Commercial	Consumer	Total
		real estate	real estate			

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

December 31, 2016

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 8,007	\$ 7,778	\$ 6,088	\$ -	\$ 99	\$ 21,972
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	75,995	317,990	376,593	72,435	6,540	849,553
Total loans	\$ 84,002	\$ 325,768	\$ 382,681	\$ 72,435	\$ 6,639	\$ 871,525

Allowance for credit losses allocated to:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,639	\$ 317	\$ 185	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,141
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	1,148	1,636	2,425	1,145	231	6,585
Total loans	\$ 2,787	\$ 1,953	\$ 2,610	\$ 1,145	\$ 231	\$ 8,726

In the fourth quarter of 2017, management made a change to its method for calculating the allowance. This change and the effect on the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2017 was as follows:

The Company added pass/watch credits to an existing pool that included loans that are risk rated as special mention and substandard to be collectively evaluated for impairment for both quantitative and qualitative factors. The Company believes that attributing additional reserves to this pool of loans better reflects the perceived risk for the total loan portfolio going forward, due to the significant organic loan growth over the past 24 months, the increase in pass/watch rated credits, and increasing balances/concentrations in certain segments of the loan portfolio. Creating this new pool, increased the provision for loan losses by \$1.4 million compared to the prior methodology.

The following table represents the effect on the loan loss provision as a result of these changes in methodology. It compares the methodology actually used for the year ended December 31, 2017 to that used in prior periods.

	Calculated Provision Based on Current Methodology	Calculated Provision Based on Prior Methodology	Difference
(Dollars in thousands)			
Construction	\$ (303)	\$ (653)	\$ 350
Residential real estate	736	753	(17)
Commercial real estate	53	(439)	492
Commercial	1,827	1,217	610
Consumer	(22)	(9)	(13)
Total	\$ 2,291	\$ 869	\$ 1,422

The allowance for loan losses was 0.89% of total loans at December 31, 2017, compared to 1.00% at December 31, 2016.

The following tables provide information on impaired loans and any related allowance by loan class as of December 31, 2017 and 2016. The difference between the unpaid principal balance and the recorded investment is the amount of partial charge-offs that have been taken.

(Dollars in thousands)	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment with no allowance	Recorded investment with an allowance	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
December 31, 2017						
Impaired nonaccrual loans:						
Construction	\$ 3,100	\$ 182	\$ 2,821	\$ 459	\$ 3,181	\$ -
Residential real estate	1,620	1,482	-	-	3,191	-
Commercial real estate	795	149	-	-	542	-
Commercial	425	-	337	33	200	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-	41	-
Total	\$ 5,940	\$ 1,813	\$ 3,158	\$ 492	\$ 7,155	\$ -
Impaired accruing TDRs:						
Construction	\$ 3,972	\$ 3,038	\$ 934	\$ 41	\$ 4,067	\$ 101
Residential real estate	4,536	2,042	2,494	239	3,831	161
Commercial real estate	4,818	4,084	734	33	4,860	185
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 13,326	\$ 9,164	\$ 4,162	\$ 313	\$ 12,758	\$ 447
Total impaired loans:						
Construction	\$ 7,072	\$ 3,220	\$ 3,755	\$ 500	\$ 7,248	\$ 101
Residential real estate	6,156	3,524	2,494	239	7,022	161
Commercial real estate	5,613	4,233	734	33	5,402	185
Commercial	425	-	337	33	200	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-	41	-
Total	\$ 19,266	\$ 10,977	\$ 7,320	\$ 805	\$ 19,913	\$ 447

(Dollars in thousands)	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment with no allowance	Recorded investment with an allowance	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
December 31, 2016						
Impaired nonaccrual loans:						
Construction	\$ 7,247	\$ -	\$ 3,818	\$ 1,621	\$ 5,707	\$ -
Residential real estate	4,013	1,957	1,946	166	3,500	-
Commercial real estate	1,801	959	193	117	2,144	-
Commercial	-	-	-	-	108	-
Consumer	99	99	-	-	106	-
Total	\$ 13,160	\$ 3,015	\$ 5,957	\$ 1,904	\$ 11,565	\$ -
Impaired accruing TDRs:						
Construction	\$ 4,189	\$ 3,479	\$ 710	\$ 18	\$ 4,172	\$ 96
Residential real estate	3,875	2,829	1,046	151	4,663	195
Commercial real estate	4,936	1,573	3,363	68	5,090	174
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 13,000	\$ 7,881	\$ 5,119	\$ 237	\$ 13,925	\$ 465
Total impaired loans:						
Construction	\$ 11,436	\$ 3,479	\$ 4,528	\$ 1,639	\$ 9,879	\$ 96
Residential real estate	7,888	4,786	2,992	317	8,163	195
Commercial real estate	6,737	2,532	3,556	185	7,234	174
Commercial	-	-	-	-	108	-
Consumer	99	99	-	-	106	-
Total	\$ 26,160	\$ 10,896	\$ 11,076	\$ 2,141	\$ 25,490	\$ 465

The following tables provide a roll-forward for troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

(Dollars in thousands)	1/1/2017						12/31/2017	
	TDR Balance	New TDRs	Disbursements (Payments)	Charge offs	Reclassifications/ Transfer In/(Out)	Payoffs	TDR Balance	Related Allowance
For the year ended December 31, 2017								
Accruing TDRs								
Construction	\$4,189	\$-	\$ (25)	\$ -	\$ (58)	\$ (134)	\$3,972	\$ 41
Residential real estate	3,875	-	(333)	(89)	1,535	(452)	4,536	239
Commercial real estate	4,936	-	(118)	-	-	-	4,818	33
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$13,000	\$-	\$ (476)	\$ (89)	\$ 1,477	\$ (586)	\$13,326	\$ 313
Nonaccrual TDRs								
Construction	\$3,818	\$-	\$ (890)	\$ -	\$ (50)	\$ -	\$2,878	\$ 459
Residential real estate	1,603	-	(68)	-	(1,535)	-	-	-
Commercial real estate	83	-	-	-	-	-	83	-
Commercial	-	345	(8)	-	-	-	337	33
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$5,504	\$345	\$ (966)	\$ -	\$ (1,585)	\$ -	\$3,298	\$ 492
Total	\$18,504	\$345	\$ (1,442)	\$ (89)	\$ (108)	\$ (586)	\$16,624	\$ 805
For the year ended December 31, 2016								
Accruing TDRs								
Construction	\$4,069	\$-	\$ 120	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$4,189	\$ 18
Residential real estate	5,686	565	(405)	-	(1,595)	(376)	3,875	151
Commercial real estate	5,740	495	(724)	(117)	(458)	-	4,936	68
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$15,495	\$1,060	\$ (1,009)	\$ (117)	\$ (2,053)	\$ (376)	\$13,000	\$ 237
Nonaccrual TDRs								
Construction	\$4,960	\$2,570	\$ (2,022)	\$ (590)	\$ (1,100)	\$ -	\$3,818	\$ 1,621
Residential real estate	445	117	(531)	(23)	1,595	-	1,603	156

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Commercial real estate	-	-	(117)	(258)	458	-	83	-	
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Consumer	23	-	(23)	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	\$5,428	\$2,687	\$ (2,693)	\$ (871)	\$ 953	\$-	\$5,504	\$ 1,777	
Total	\$20,923	\$3,747	\$ (3,702)	\$ (988)	\$ (1,100)	\$ (376)	\$ 18,504	\$ 2,014

75

The following tables provide information on loans that were modified and considered TDRs during 2017 and 2016.

(Dollars in thousands)	Number of contracts	Premodification outstanding recorded investment	Postmodification outstanding recorded investment	Related allowance
TDRs:				
For the year ended December 31, 2017				
Construction	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Residential real estate	-	-	-	-
Commercial real estate	1	760	755	-
Commercial	1	462	345	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-
Total	2	\$ 1,222	\$ 1,100	\$ -
For the year ended December 31, 2016				
Construction	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Residential real estate	3	667	688	-
Commercial real estate	2	695	572	-
Commercial	-	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-
Total	5	\$ 1,362	\$ 1,260	\$ -

During the year ended December 31, 2017, there was one new TDR and one previously recorded TDR which was modified. The new TDR consisted of a reduction in principal, whereas, the previously recorded TDR consisted of a change in maturity date.

The following tables provide information on TDRs that defaulted during 2017 and 2016. Generally, a loan is considered in default when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more, the loan is placed on nonaccrual, charged-off, or foreclosure proceedings have commenced.

(Dollars in thousands)	Number of contracts	Recorded investment	Related allowance
TDRs that subsequently defaulted:			
For the year ended December 31, 2017			
Construction	-	\$ -	\$ -
Residential real estate	1	89	-
Commercial real estate	-	-	-
Commercial	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Total	1	\$ 89	\$ -
For the year ended December 31, 2016			
Construction	2	\$ 590	\$ -
Residential real estate	1	23	-
Commercial real estate	2	375	-
Commercial	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-
Total	5	\$ 988	\$ -

Management uses risk ratings as part of its monitoring of the credit quality in the Company's loan portfolio. Loans that are identified as special mention, substandard or doubtful are adversely rated. They are assigned higher risk ratings than favorably rated loans in the calculation of the formula portion of the allowance for credit losses along with loans rated pass/watch which are deemed to have higher credit risk than the remainder of the pass categories. At December 31, 2017, there were no nonaccrual loans classified as special mention or doubtful and \$5.0 million of nonaccrual loans were identified as substandard. The comparable amounts at December 31, 2016 were special mention \$0, substandard \$9.0 million and doubtful \$0, respectively.

The following tables provide information on loan risk ratings as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(Dollars in thousands)	Pass/Performing	Pass/Watch	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
December 31, 2017						
Construction	\$ 88,836	\$ 30,674	\$-	\$ 6,236	\$ -	\$125,746
Residential real estate	355,575	34,973	4,456	4,186	-	399,190
Commercial real estate	342,051	109,041	7,420	6,375	-	464,887
Commercial	72,440	24,102	308	434	-	97,284
Consumer	5,260	1,147	-	-	-	6,407
Total	\$ 864,162	\$ 199,937	\$ 12,184	\$ 17,231	\$ -	\$1,093,514

(Dollars in thousands)	Pass/Performing	Pass/Watch	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
December 31, 2016						
Construction	\$ 45,126	\$ 27,515	\$4,195	\$ 7,166	\$ -	\$84,002
Residential real estate	280,215	32,027	6,646	6,880	-	325,768
Commercial real estate	260,935	102,526	10,939	8,281	-	382,681
Commercial	49,697	21,616	857	265	-	72,435
Consumer	6,406	134	-	99	-	6,639
Total	\$ 642,379	\$ 183,818	\$ 22,637	\$ 22,691	\$ -	\$871,525

The following tables provide information on the aging of the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(Dollars in thousands)	Accruing					Total past due	Nonaccrual	Total
	Current	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	Greater than 90 days				
December 31, 2017								
Construction	\$122,475	\$ 268	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 268	\$ 3,003	\$125,746	
Residential real estate	394,653	1,589	1,045	421	3,055	1,482	399,190	
Commercial real estate	460,998	1,061	2,461	218	3,740	149	464,887	
Commercial	96,774	173	-	-	173	337	97,284	
Consumer	6,395	6	6	-	12	-	6,407	
Total	\$1,081,295	\$ 3,097	\$ 3,512	\$ 639	\$ 7,248	\$ 4,971	\$1,093,514	
Percent of total loans	98.8	% 0.3	% 0.3	% 0.1	% 0.7	% 0.5	% 100.0	

Accruing					Total
30-59 days	60-89 days	Greater than			

Edgar Filing: SHORE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

(Dollars in thousands)	Current	past due	past due	90 days	past due	Nonaccrual	Total
December 31, 2016							
Construction	\$80,079	\$ -	\$ 105	\$ -	\$ 105	\$ 3,818	\$84,002
Residential real estate	317,992	1,778	2,095	-	3,873	3,903	325,768
Commercial real estate	375,552	3,219	2,758	-	5,977	1,152	382,681
Commercial	72,272	19	134	10	163	-	72,435
Consumer	6,515	13	2	10	25	99	6,639
Total	\$852,410	\$ 5,029	\$ 5,094	\$ 20	\$ 10,143	\$ 8,972	\$871,525
Percent of total loans	97.8	% 0.6	% 0.6	% -	% 1.2	% 1.0	% 100.0

The following tables provide a summary of the activity in the allowance for credit losses allocated by loan class for 2017 and 2016. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one loan class does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other loan classes.

(Dollars in thousands)	Construction	Residential real estate	Commercial real estate	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
2017							
Allowance for credit losses:							
Beginning Balance	\$ 2,787	\$ 1,953	\$ 2,610	\$ 1,145	\$ 231	\$ -	\$8,726
Charge-offs	(54)	(445)	(100)	(946)	(32)	-	(1,577)
Recoveries	30	40	31	215	25	-	341
Net charge-offs	(24)	(405)	(69)	(731)	(7)	-	(1,236)
Provision	(303)	736	53	1,827	(22)	-	2,291
Ending Balance	\$ 2,460	\$ 2,284	\$ 2,594	\$ 2,241	\$ 202	\$ -	\$9,781

(Dollars in thousands)	Construction	Residential real estate	Commercial real estate	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
2016							