MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD Form 20-F April 02, 2009

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

0 REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

OR

0 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

O SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Date of event requiring this shell company report

Commission file number: 0-19415

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter and translation of Registrant s name into English)

Israel (Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

5 Haplada Street, Or Yehuda 60218, Israel (Address of principal executive offices)

Amit Birk; +972 (3) 538 9322; abirk@magicsoftware.com 5 Haplada Street, Or Yehuda 60218, Israel (Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Ordinary Shares, NIS 0.1 Par Value Name of each exchange on which registered NASDAQ Global Market

Commission file number: 0-19415

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer s classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

Ordinary Shares, par value NIS 0. 1 per share ...31,893,880 (as of December 31, 2008)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes O No X

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes O No X

Yes X No O

Accelerated filer 0

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer O

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

| U.S. GAAP x | International Financial |
|-------------|----------------------------------|
| | Reporting Standards as issued by |
| | the International Accounting |
| | Standards Board O |

If Other has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

Item 17 0 Item 18 0

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes O No X

This annual report on Form 20-F is incorporated by reference into the registrant s Registration Statements on Form S-8, File Nos. 333-13270, 333-11220, 333-1946, 333-10794, 333-113552, 333-132221 and 333-149553.

Non-accelerated filer X

Other O

INTRODUCTION

Magic Software Enterprises Ltd. develops markets, sells and supports an application platform and business and process integration solutions. Our products and services are available through a global network of our regional offices, independent software vendors, or ISVs, system integrators, or SIs, distributors and value added resellers, or VARs, as well as original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and consulting partners in approximately 50 countries. Our technology provides our partners and customers the ability to create any type of business application, leverage existing information technology, or IT, resources, enhance business ability, and focus on core business priorities to gain maximum return on their existing and new IT investments. We are known for our code-free approach, allowing users to focus on business logic rather than technological requirements. This approach forms the driving principle of both our uniPaaS application platform and our iBOLT business and process integration suites. Our ordinary shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol MGIC and are also traded on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange. As used in this annual report, the terms we, us, our, and Magic mean Magic Software Enterprises Ltd. and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated.

We have obtained trademark registrations for Magic® in the United States, Canada, Israel, the Netherlands (Benelux), Switzerland, Thailand and the United Kingdom. All other trademarks and trade names appearing in this annual report are owned by their respective holders.

Our consolidated financial statements appearing in this annual report are prepared in U.S. dollars and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. All references in this annual report to dollars or \$ are to U.S. dollars and all references in this annual report to NIS are to New Israeli Shekels.

Statements made in this annual report concerning the contents of any contract, agreement or other document are summaries of such contracts, agreements or documents and are not complete descriptions of all of their terms. If we filed any of these documents as an exhibit to this annual report or to any previous filling with the Securities and Exchange Commission, you may read the document itself for a complete recitation of its terms.

This annual report on Form 20-F contains various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended, with respect to our business, financial condition and results of operations. Such forward-looking statements reflect our current view with respect to future events and financial results. Statements which use the terms anticipate, believe, expect, plan, intend, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward looking statements. We remind readers that forward-looking statements are merely predictions and therefore inherently subject to uncertainties and other factors and involve known and unknown risks that could cause the actual results, performance, levels of activity, or our achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance, levels of activity, or our achievements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we undertake no obligation to publicly release any update or revision to any forward looking statements to reflect new information, future events or circumstances, or otherwise after the date hereof. We have attempted to identify significant uncertainties and other factors section that appears in Item 3D., Key Information Risk Factors.

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>1711(11</u> | | 1 |
|------------------|---|----|
| <u>ITEM 1.</u> | IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS | 1 |
| <u>ITEM 2.</u> | OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE | 1 |
| <u>ITEM 3.</u> | KEY INFORMATION | 1 |
| | A. Selected Financial Data | 1 |
| | B. Capitalization and Indebtedness | 2 |
| | C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds | 2 |
| | D. Risk Factors | 2 |
| <u>ITEM 4.</u> | INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY | 13 |
| | A. History and Development of the Company | 13 |
| | B. Business Overview | 15 |
| | C. Organizational Structure | 25 |
| | D. Property, Plants and Equipment | 26 |
| <u>ITEM 4 A.</u> | UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | 26 |
| <u>ITEM 5.</u> | OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS | 26 |
| | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

1

est

| | A. Operating Results | 26 |
|-----------------|--|----|
| | B. Liquidity and Capital Resources | 39 |
| | C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses | 41 |
| | D. Trend Information | 41 |
| | E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements | 41 |
| | F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations | 41 |
| <u>ITEM 6.</u> | DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES | 42 |
| | A. Directors and Senior Management | 42 |
| | B. Compensation | 45 |
| | C. Board Practices | 45 |
| | D. Employees | 53 |
| | E. Share Ownership | 53 |
| <u>ITEM 7.</u> | MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS | 57 |
| | A. Major Shareholders | 57 |
| | B. Related Party Transactions | 58 |
| | C. Interests of Experts and Counsel | 58 |
| <u>ITEM 8.</u> | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 58 |
| | A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information | 58 |
| | B. Significant Changes | 60 |
| <u>ITEM 9.</u> | THE OFFER AND LISTING | 60 |
| | A. Offer and Listing Details | 60 |
| | B. Plan of Distribution | 61 |
| | C. Markets | 61 |
| | D. Selling Shareholders | 61 |
| | E. Dilution | 61 |
| | F. Expense of the Issue | 61 |
| <u>ITEM 10.</u> | ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | 61 |
| | A. Share Capital | 61 |
| | B. Memorandum and Articles of Association | 61 |
| | C. Material Contracts | 64 |
| | D. Exchange Controls | 64 |
| | E. Taxation | 64 |
| | F. Dividends and Paying Agents | 73 |
| | G. Statement by Experts | 73 |
| | H. Documents on Display | 73 |
| | I. Subsidiary Information | 74 |
| <u>ITEM 11.</u> | QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS | 74 |
| <u>ITEM 12.</u> | DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES | 74 |

ii

<u>PART II</u>

| <u>ITEM 13.</u> | DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES | 74 |
|------------------|--|----|
| <u>ITEM 14.</u> | MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS | 74 |
| ITEM 15. | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 75 |
| <u>ITEM 16.</u> | RESERVED | 76 |
| <u>ITEM 16A.</u> | AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT | 76 |
| <u>ITEM 16B.</u> | CODE OF ETHICS | 76 |
| <u>ITEM 16C.</u> | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES | 76 |
| <u>ITEM 16D.</u> | EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES | 77 |
| <u>ITEM 16E.</u> | PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS | 77 |
| <u>ITEM 16F.</u> | CHANGES IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT | 77 |
| <u>ITEM 16G.</u> | CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | 77 |
| PART III | | 77 |
| <u>ITEM 17.</u> | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 77 |
| <u>ITEM 18.</u> | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 77 |

74

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS SIGNATURES

iii

PART I

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

A. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected consolidated financial data as of the dates and for each of the periods indicated. This data includes discontinued operations for certain of the presented periods (see Note 3(a) and 3(c) to the consolidated financial statements). You should read the selected consolidated financial data set forth below together with Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects as well as our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this annual report.

We have derived the following consolidated income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 from our audited consolidated financial statements and notes included elsewhere in this annual report, with the relevant adjustments due to the discontinued operations. We have derived the consolidated income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006 from our audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this annual report, with the relevant adjustments due to the discontinued operations.

Income Statement Data:

| Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| 2004 | | 2005 | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 |
| (U | .S. dol | lars in thou | isands | s, except sha | are an | d per share | e data |) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| \$ 24,861 | \$ | 21,503 | \$ | 18,788 | \$ | 17,707 | \$ | 20,913 |
| 11,233 | | 11,238 | | 11,531 | | 12,605 | | 14,530 |
| 21,119 | | 19,095 | | 22,252 | | 28,116 | | 26,537 |
| 57,213 | | 51,836 | | 52,571 | | 58,428 | | 61,980 |
| \$ | (U. \$ 24,861 11,233 21,119 | (U.S. dol \$ 24,861 \$ 11,233 21,119 | 2004 2005 (U.S. dollars in thorem \$ 24,861 \$ 21,503 11,233 11,238 11,238 21,119 19,095 | 2004 2005 (U.S. dollars in thousands) \$ 24,861 \$ 21,503 \$ \$ 11,233 11,238 \$ \$ 21,119 19,095 \$ | 2004 2005 2006 (U.S. dollars in thousands, except sha \$ 24,861 \$ 21,503 \$ 18,788 11,233 11,238 11,531 21,119 19,095 22,252 | 2004 2005 2006 (U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and \$ 24,861 \$ 21,503 \$ 18,788 \$ 11,233 11,238 11,531 21,119 19,095 22,252 | 2004 2005 2006 2007 (U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share \$ 24,861 \$ 21,503 \$ 18,788 \$ 17,707 \$ 11,233 \$ 11,238 \$ 11,531 \$ 12,605 \$ 21,119 \$ 19,095 \$ 22,252 \$ 28,116 | 2004 2005 2006 2007 (U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data \$ 24,861 \$ 21,503 \$ 18,788 \$ 17,707 \$ 11,233 \$ 11,233 \$ 11,238 \$ 11,531 \$ 12,605 \$ 21,119 \$ 19,095 \$ 22,252 \$ 28,116 |

Cost of revenues:

Income Statement Data:

| | | Yea | ar ende | d Decemb | er 31 | , | | |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 4,814 | | 6,965 | | 5,433 | | 4,557 | | 4,898 |
| 3,072 | | 2,179 | | 2,873 | | 1,602 | | 2,263 |
| 14,403 | | 14,123 | | 16,862 | | 21,181 | | 19,978 |
| 22,289 | | 23,267 | | 25,168 | | 27,340 | | 27,139 |
| 34,924 | | 28,569 | | 27,403 | | 31,088 | | 34,841 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2,545 | | 2,413 | | 2,462 | | 2,716 | | 2,350 |
| 16,243 | | 17,197 | | 15,712 | | 15,558 | | 17,357 |
| 13,442 | | 14,510 | | 13,784 | | 11,532 | | 10,867 |
| - | | - | | 2,157 | | - | | - |
| 2,694 | | (5,551) | | (6,712) | | 1,282 | | 4,267 |
| 917 | | (809) | | 332 | | 161 | | 448 |
| - | | - | | 278 | | 170 | | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3.611 | | (6.360) | | (6.102) | | 1.613 | | 4,715 |
| 254 | | 462 | | 310 | | 362 | | 199 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3,357 | | (6,822) | | (6,412) | | 1,251 | | 4,516 |
| 79 | | 19 | | 15 | | (86) | | (8) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| (67) | | (8) | | 71 | \$ | (22) | | - |
| \$ 3,369 | \$ | (6,811) | \$ | (6,326) | \$ | 1,143 | \$ | 4,508 |
| 721 | | 2,204 | | 1.320 | | 11.465 | | _ |
| | | | | | | 12.608 | | 4,508 |
| \$ 0.13 | \$ | | \$ | . , , | \$ | 0.40 | \$ | 0.14 |
| \$ 0.13 | \$ | ~ / | \$ | (0.16) | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 0.14 |
| | | , , | | , | | | | |
| 31,029 | | 31,124 | | 31,184 | | 31,443 | | 31,769 |
| 32,426 | | 31,124 | | 31,184 | | 32,023 | | 32,032 |
| \$ | 3,072 $14,403$ $22,289$ $34,924$ $2,545$ $16,243$ $13,442$ $-$ $2,694$ 917 $-$ $3,611$ 254 $3,357$ 79 (67) $$ 3,369$ 721 $4,090$ $$ 0.13$ $$ 0.13$ $$ 0.13$ $31,029$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ |

1

Balance Sheet Data:

| | | | D | ecember 31, | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------|--------------|-------|--------|--------------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | | 2006 | | 2007 | 2008 |
| | | (U. | S. dol | lars in thou | sands |) | |
| Working capital | \$ 25,934 | \$ 19,052 | \$ | 15,584 | \$ | 28,737 | \$ 33,763 |
| Cash, cash equivalents, short term deposits and | | | | | | | |
| marketable securities | 10,982 | 10,173 | | 11,653 | | 16,446 | 32,588 |
| Total assets including discontinued operations | 80,285 | 73,722 | | 71,172 | | 82,298 | 82,656 |
| Shareholders' equity | 59,547 | 52,305 | | 47,644 | | 61,244 | 66,755 |

B. CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

Not applicable.

C. REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

D. RISK FACTORS

Investing in our ordinary shares involves a high degree of risk and uncertainty. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below before investing in our ordinary shares. Our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected due to any of the following risks. In that case, the value of our ordinary shares could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business and Our Industry

We have a history of losses and may not be able to maintain profitability in the future.

Although we reported net income from continued operations of \$ 1.1 million and \$ 4.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively, we incurred losses in the two prior years. No assurance can be given that we will be able to maintain our current level of revenues or profitability in the future.

2

We have a history of quarterly fluctuations in our results of operations and expect these fluctuations to continue.

We have experienced and in the future may continue to experience significant fluctuations in our quarterly results of operations and we expect these fluctuations to continue. Factors that may contribute to fluctuations in our quarterly results of operations include:

The size and timing of orders;

The high level of competition that we encounter;

The timing of our product introductions or enhancements or those of our competitors or of providers of complementary products;

Market acceptance of our new products, applications and services;

The purchasing patterns and budget cycles of our customers and end-users;

The mix of product sales;

Exchange rate fluctuations; and

General economic conditions.

Our customers ordinarily require the delivery of products promptly after we accept their orders. With the exception of contracts for services, we usually do not have a backlog of orders for our products. Consequently, revenues from our products in any quarter depend on orders received and accepted by the customers in that quarter. The deferral of the placing and acceptance of any large order from one quarter to another could materially adversely affect our results of operations for the former quarter. Our customers sometimes require an acceptance test for services we provide and as a result, we may have a significant backlog of orders for our services. Our revenues from services depend on orders received and services provided by us and accepted by our customers in that quarter. If sales in any quarter do not increase correspondingly or if we do not reduce our expenses in response to level or declining revenues in a timely fashion, our financial results for that quarter would be materially adversely affected. For these reasons, quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful and you should not rely on the results of our operations in any particular quarter as an indication of future performance.

Risks Related to Our Business and Our Industry

Continuing unfavorable national and global economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

The recent crisis in the financial and credit markets in the United States, Europe and Asia has led to a global economic slowdown, with the economies of the United States and Europe showing significant signs of weakness. If the economies in any part of the world continue to be weak or weaken further, the demand for our products, technology and services may decrease as a result of continued constraints on capital spending by our customers. In addition, this could result in longer sales cycles, slower adoption of new technologies and increased price competition for our products and services. Any of these events would likely harm our business, operating results and financial condition. If global economic and market conditions, or economic conditions in the United States, Europe or Asia or other key markets, remain uncertain, persist, or deteriorate further, our business, operating results and financial condition may be materially adversely affected.

Recent worldwide economic downturn may adversely affect our customers, exposing us to credit risk and payment delinquencies on our accounts receivable.

Our outstanding accounts receivables are not covered by collateral. As economic conditions deteriorate, certain of our customers may face liquidity concerns and may delay or be unable to satisfy their payment obligations, which would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

3

Changes in the ratio of our revenues generated from different revenue elements may adversely affect our gross profit margins.

We derive our revenues from the sale of software licenses, maintenance and technical support and consulting services. Our gross margin is affected by the proportion of our revenues generated from the sale of each of those elements of our revenues. Our revenues from the sale of our software licenses and maintenance and technical support have higher gross margins than our revenues from the sale of consulting services. If the relative proportion of our revenues from the sale of consulting services increases as a percentage of our total revenues, our gross profit margins will decline. Our software licenses revenues include the sale of the third party software license sales, which have a lower gross margin than the sales of our software products. Any increase in the portion of third party software license sales out of total license sales will decrease our gross profit margin.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from independent distributors who are under no obligation to purchase our products and the loss of such independent distributors could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We sell our products through our direct sales representatives, as well as through third parties that use our technology to develop and sell solutions for their customers, referred to as ISVs or Magic Software Providors, or MSPs, and also through SIs. These independent distributors then resell our products to end-users. We are dependent upon the acceptance of our products by our independent distributors and their active marketing and sales efforts. Typically, our arrangements with our independent distributors do not require them to purchase specified amounts of products or prevent them from selling non-competitive products. The independent distributors may not continue, or may not give a high priority to, marketing and supporting our products. Our results of operations could be materially adversely affected by changes in the financial condition, business, marketing strategies, local and global economic conditions, or results of our independent distributors.

We may lose independent distributors on whom we currently depend and we may not succeed in developing new distribution channels which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If any of our distribution relationships are terminated, we may not be successful in replacing them on a timely basis, or at all. In addition, we will need to develop new sales channels for new products, and we may not succeed in doing so. Any changes in our distribution and sales channels, or our inability to establish effective distribution and sales channels for new markets, will impact our ability to sell our products and result in a loss of revenues and profits.

We are dependent on a limited number of product families and a decrease in revenues from these products would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Currently, we derive most of our revenues from sales of application platform and integration products primarily under our uniPaaS and iBOLT brands, as well as related revenues from software maintenance and support and other services. We do not expect our revenue structure to change in the foreseeable future. Our future growth depends heavily on our ability to effectively develop and sell new and acquired products as well as add new features to existing products. A decrease in revenues from our primary products would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The revenue of one of our vertical subsidiaries is dependent upon two customers and a significant decrease in revenues from these customers could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The majority of revenues generated by one of our vertical subsidiaries in 2006, 2007 and 2008 were from two customers, and we expect the revenues of the subsidiary to continue to be largely dependent upon these two customers in 2009. We do not know if, or for how much longer, these two customers will continue to purchase the services of the subsidiary, nor do we have any control or influence over their purchasing decisions. A significant decrease in revenues from these customers could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

4

Our widespread operations may strain our management, operational and financial resources and could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our widespread operations have significantly strained our management, operational and financial resources in the past. Any future growth may increase this strain. To manage future growth effectively, we must:

Expand our operational, management, financial, marketing and research and development functions;

Train, motivate, manage and retain qualified employees; and

Hire additional personnel.

We may not succeed in managing future growth.

We may encounter difficulties with our international operations and sales which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

While our principal executive offices are located in Israel, 92% of our sales in 2006, 2007 and 2008 were generated from other countries. This subjects us to many risks inherent to international business activities, including:

Limitations and disruptions resulting from the imposition of government controls;

Changes in regulatory requirements;

Export license requirements;

Economic or political instability;

Trade restrictions;

Changes in tariffs;

Currency fluctuations;

Difficulties in the collection of receivables;

Foreign tax consequences;

Greater difficulty in safeguarding intellectual property; and

Difficulties in managing overseas subsidiaries and international operations.

We may encounter significant difficulties in connection with the sale of our products in international markets as a result of one or more of these factors and our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Currency exchange rate fluctuations in the world markets in which we conduct business could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our financial statements are stated in U.S. dollars, our functional currency. Nevertheless, a substantial portion of our revenues and expenses are incurred in other currencies, particularly Euros, Japanese yen, NIS and the British pound. We maintain substantial non-U.S. dollar balances of assets, including cash and accounts receivable, and liabilities, including accounts payable. Fluctuations in the value of the currencies in which we do business relative to the U.S. dollar may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, by decreasing the U.S. dollar value of assets held in other currencies and increasing the U.S. dollar amount of liabilities payable in other currencies, or by decreasing the U.S. dollar value of our revenues in other currencies and increasing the U.S. dollar amount of our expenses in other currencies. From time to time we use derivative or other instruments to hedge against part or all of our exposures.

| | | |
|--|--|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | / |
| | | |

5

Declines in our share price and/or operating performance could result in a future impairment of our goodwill or long-lived assets.

We assess potential impairments of goodwill annually and when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that an impairment condition may exist. We assess potential impairments of our long-lived assets, including property and equipment and capitalized software, when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. In the current capital market downturn, our share price, and consequently our market capitalization, have declined and may decline further in the future. If the value of our market capitalization falls below the value of our shareholders equity, it might indicate that an impairment of goodwill is required. We determine the value of each of our reporting units using the income approach, which utilizes a discounted cash flow model, as we believe that this approach best approximates our fair value at this time. Our ability to reconcile the gap between our market capitalization and aggregate fair value of the reporting units depends on various factors, some of which are qualitative, such as estimated control premium that an investor would be willing to pay for a controlling interest in us, while others involve management judgment. If our market capitalization stays below our shareholders equity, or actual results of operations materially differ from our modeling estimates, we may be required to record a non-cash impairment charge of our goodwill. A significant impairment loss could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and on the carrying value of our goodwill and/or our long-lived assets on our balance sheet.

We face intense competition in the markets for our application platform as well as process and business integration technologies and services, which are evolving into a new market for software as a service, orSaaS. This competition could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We compete with other companies in the areas of application platforms, business integration and business process management, or BPM, tools, and in the applications and services markets in which we operate. The enhancement of the SaaS market increases the competition in these areas, and one of our competitors even claims to offer a fully automated eDeveloper conversion process, converting eDeveloper based applications to .NET based applications. We expect that competition will increase in the future, both with respect to our technology, applications and services which we currently offer and applications and services which we and other vendors are developing. Increased competition, direct and indirect, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Some of our existing and potential competitors are larger, have substantially greater resources including financial, technological, marketing, skilled human resources and distribution capabilities, and enjoy greater market recognition than us. We may not be able to differentiate our products from those of our competitors, offer our products as part of integrated systems or solutions to the same extent as our competitors, or successfully develop or introduce new products that are more cost-effective, or offer better performance than our competitors. Failure to do so could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not succeed in increasing our market share in the business and process integration markets with our iBOLT products, or leverage our advantage in the rich internet application, or RIA, and SaaS enabled application platform, or SEAP, fields, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our iBOLT Integration Suite provides business integration and process management solutions with a particular focus on enterprise applications. iBOLT allows the seamless integration and interoperability of diverse solutions, including legacy applications, in a quick and efficient manner. Since we launched iBOLT in 2003, we have continued to develop this product and enhance it, releasing successive versions over the years (the current version is 3.0). In 2005, we started a line of special editions of iBOLT tailored for specific application packages, and we have released three such special editions, for SAP, Oracle JD Edwards and Salesforce.com. We are currently developing solution accelerators, which will be periodically released during 2009.

6

The business integration and BPM markets in which we compete are extremely competitive and subject to rapid changes. Our competitors utilize varying approaches to the provision of technology to business integration and BPM markets. We may not have the resources, skills and product variety required to successfully increase our market share in these markets. In addition, even if we succeed in convincing prospective customers and the market that our products are effective and provide real business benefits, our target customers may not choose them due to technical, cost, support or other reasons.

Our future success will be largely dependent on the acceptance of future releases of our RIA and SEAP offerings and if we are unsuccessful our business, results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected

In 2008, we released a new generation of our eDeveloper application platform, branded uniPaaS. uniPaaS is compatible with previous versions of eDeveloper, adds RIA capabilities, and in the future will add SaaS capabilities. Our future success will be in great measure dependent on the acceptance of uniPaaS. The acceptance of this product relies in part on the continued acceptance and growth of RIA and SaaS, for which uniPaaS is particularly useful and advantageous. If this product is not accepted, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected.

Our efforts to increase our presence worldwide, including the United States, Europe, Japan, Asia, South Africa and Russia may not be profitable, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our success in becoming a stronger competitor in the sale of application platform and integration solutions is dependent upon our ability to increase our sales in all our markets, including, but not limited to the United States, Europe, Japan, Asia Pacific, South Africa and Russia. Our efforts to increase our penetration to these markets are subject to risks inherent to such markets, including the high cost of doing business in such locations. Our efforts may be costly and the may not result in profits, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Rapid technological changes may adversely affect the market acceptance of our products and services, and our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We compete in a market that is characterized by rapid technological change. The introduction of new technologies could render existing products and services obsolete and unmarketable and could exert price pressures on our products and services. Our future success will depend upon our ability to address the increasingly sophisticated needs of our customers by:

Supporting existing and emerging hardware, software, databases and networking platforms; and

Developing and introducing new and enhanced software development technology and applications that keeps pace with such technological developments, emerging new markets and changing customer requirements.

If release dates of any future products or enhancements are delayed or if, when released, they fail to achieve market acceptance, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

Our products have a lengthy sales cycle which could adversely affect our revenues.

Our customers typically use our technology to develop and deploy as well as integrate applications that are critical to their businesses. As a result, the licensing and implementation of our technology generally involves a significant commitment of attention and resources by prospective customers. Because of the long approval process that typically accompanies strategic initiatives or capital expenditures by companies, our sales process is often delayed, with little or no control over any delays encountered by us. Our sales cycle can be further extended for sales made through third party distributors.

7

Our products may contain defects that may be costly to correct, delay market acceptance of our products and expose us to difficulties in the collection of receivables and to litigation.

Despite quality assurance testing performed by us, as well as by our partners and end-users who participate in our beta-testing programs, errors may be found in our software products or in applications developed with our technology. This risk is exacerbated by the fact that a significant percentage of the applications developed with our technology were and are likely to continue to be developed by our ISV partners and SIs over whom we exercise no supervision or control. If defects are discovered, we may not be able to successfully correct them in a timely manner or at all. Defects and failures in our products could result in a loss of, or delay in, market acceptance of our products, as well as difficulties in the collection of receivables and litigation, and could damage our reputation.

Our standard license agreement with our customers contains provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential product liability claims that may not be effective or enforceable under the laws of some jurisdictions. Accordingly, we could fail to realize revenues and suffer damage to our reputation as a result of, or in defense of, a substantial claim.

Our proprietary technology is difficult to protect and unauthorized use of our proprietary technology by third parties may impair our ability to compete effectively.

Our success and ability to compete depend in large part upon our ability to protect our proprietary technology. We rely on a combination of trade secret and copyright laws and confidentiality, non-disclosure and assignment-of-inventions agreements to protect our proprietary technology. We do not have any patents. Our policy is to require employees and consultants to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of their relationships with us. These measures may not be adequate to protect our technology from third-party infringement, and our competitors might independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to ours. Additionally, our products may be sold in foreign countries that provide less protection for intellectual property rights than that provided under U.S. or Israeli laws.

Third parties may claim that we infringe upon their intellectual property rights and could harm our business.

Third parties may assert infringement claims against us or claims that we have violated a patent or infringed upon a copyright, trademark or other proprietary right belonging to them. Any infringement claim, even one without merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources to defend any such claims.

We may be unable to attract, train and retain qualified personnel, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In the event our business grows, we will need to hire additional qualified personnel. The process of locating, training and successfully integrating qualified personnel into our operations can be lengthy and expensive. We may not be able to attract the personnel we need. Any loss of members of senior management or key technical personnel, or any failure to attract or retain highly qualified employees as needed, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We made and expect to make acquisitions that could disrupt our operations and harm our operating results.

Our growth depends upon market growth, our ability to enhance our existing products, and our ability to introduce new products on a timely basis. We intend to continue to address the need to develop new products and enhance existing products through acquisitions of other companies, product lines, technologies, and personnel. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including the following:

Difficulties in integrating the operations, systems, technologies, products, and personnel of the acquired companies;

Diversion of management s attention from normal daily operations of the business and the challenges of managing larger and more widespread operations resulting from acquisitions;

Potential difficulties in completing projects associated with in-process research and development;

Difficulties in entering markets in which we have no or limited direct prior experience and where competitors in such markets have stronger market positions;

Initial dependence on unfamiliar supply chains or relatively small supply partners;

Insufficient revenue to offset increased expenses associated with acquisitions; and

The potential loss of key employees, customers, distributors, vendors and other business partners of the companies we acquire following and continuing after announcement of acquisition plans.

Mergers and acquisitions of high-technology companies are inherently risky and subject to many factors outside of our control and no assurance can be given that our future acquisitions will be successful and will not materially adversely affect our business, operating results, or financial condition. Failure to manage and successfully integrate acquisitions could materially harm our business and operating results. Prior acquisitions have resulted in a wide range of outcomes, from successful introduction of new products and technologies to a failure to do so. Even when an acquired company has already developed and marketed products, there can be no assurance that product enhancements will be made in a timely fashion or that pre-acquisition due diligence will have identified all possible issues that might arise with respect to such products.

Because we are controlled by Formula Systems (1985) Ltd. and Emblaze Ltd., investors will not be able to affect the outcome of shareholder votes.

Formula Systems (1985) Ltd., or Formula Systems, an Israeli company whose shares trade on the NASDAQ Global Market and the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange, or TASE, directly owns 18,560,352, or 58.2%, of our outstanding ordinary shares. Emblaze Ltd., or Emblaze, an Israeli company traded on the London Stock Exchange, owns 51.7% of the outstanding shares of Formula Systems. Although transactions between us and our controlling shareholders are subject to special approvals under Israeli law (see Item 6C. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Board Practices Disclosure of Personal Interests of a Controlling Shareholder; Approval of Transactions with Controlling Shareholders), Formula Systems and Emblaze will be able to exercise control over our operations and business strategy and affairs, including any determinations with respect to potential mergers or other business combinations involving us, our acquisition or disposition of assets, our incurrence of indebtedness, our issuance of any additional ordinary shares or other equity securities, our repurchase or redemption of ordinary shares and our payment of dividends. Similarly, Formula Systems and Emblaze will be able to control most matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election of our directors (subject to a special majority required for the election of outside directors). Such concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying or preventing an acquisition or a change in control of us.

If we are unable to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the reliability of our financial statements may be questioned and our share price may suffer.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 imposes certain duties on us and on our executives and directors. Our efforts to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 governing internal control and procedures for financial reporting, which started in connection with our 2007 Annual Report on Form 20-F, have resulted in increased general and administrative expense and a diversion of management time and attention, and we expect these efforts to require the continued commitment of significant resources. We may identify material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our assessments of our internal controls over financial reporting. Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could result in investigation or sanctions by regulatory authorities, and could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, investor confidence in our reported financial information and the market price of our ordinary shares.

Risks Related to Our Ordinary Shares

Our share price has been very volatile in the past and may continue to be susceptible to significant market price and volume fluctuations in the future.

Our ordinary shares have experienced significant market price and volume fluctuations in the past and may experience significant market price and volume fluctuations in the future in response to factors such as the following, some of which are beyond our control:

Quarterly variations in our operating results;

Changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;

Announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors;

Announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;

Changes in the status of our intellectual property rights;

Announcements by third parties of significant claims or proceedings against us;

Additions or departures of key personnel;

The public s response to our press releases, our other public announcements and our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Israeli Securities Authority;

Future sales of our ordinary shares by our directors, officers and significant shareholders;

Political and economic conditions, such as a recession or interest rate or currency rate fluctuations or political events;

Other events or factors in any of the countries in which we operate, including those resulting from war, incidents of terrorism, natural disasters or responses to such events; and

General trends of the stock markets.

Domestic and international stock markets often experience extreme price and volume fluctuations. The market prices of ordinary shares of software companies have been extremely volatile. Stock prices of many software companies have often fluctuated in a manner unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of such companies. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been brought against registrants following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. We may in the future be the targets of similar litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert management s attention and resources.

10

We have not paid any cash dividends on our ordinary shares in the last five fiscal years and may not do so in the future.

Although we paid a dividend in 2003 we did not pay any cash dividends on our ordinary shares in the last five fiscal years. Future dividend distributions are subject to the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on various factors, including our operating results, future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and tax implications of dividend distributions on our income, future prospects and any other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. The distribution of dividends also may be limited by Israeli law, which permits the distribution of dividends only out of profits (as defined by Israeli law) or otherwise upon the permission of the court. You should not rely on an investment in our company if you require dividend income from your investment. The success of your investment will likely depend entirely upon any future appreciation of the market price of our ordinary shares, which is uncertain and unpredictable. There is no guarantee that our ordinary shares will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which you purchased your ordinary shares.

Our ordinary shares are traded on more than one market and this may result in price variations.

Our ordinary shares are traded primarily on the NASDAQ Global Market and on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange. Trading in our ordinary shares on these markets is made in different currencies (U.S. dollars on the NASDAQ Global Market, and New Israeli Shekels, or NIS, on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange), and at different times (resulting from different time zones, different trading days and different public holidays in the United States and Israel). Consequently, the trading prices of our ordinary shares on these two markets may differ. Any decrease in the trading price of our ordinary shares on the other market.

Risks Related to Our Location in Israel

Political, economic and military instability in Israel may disrupt our operations and negatively affect our business condition, harm our results of operations and adversely affect our share price.

We are incorporated under the laws of, and our executive offices and research and development facilities are located in, the State of Israel. Although most of our sales are made to customers outside Israel, we are influenced to a limited extent by the political, economic and military conditions affecting Israel. Specifically, we could be adversely affected by any major hostilities involving Israel, the interruption or curtailment of trade between Israel and its present trading partners, or a significant downturn in the economic or financial condition of Israel.

Since the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, Israel and its Arab neighbors have engaged in a number of armed conflicts. A state of hostility, varying from time to time in intensity and degree, has led to security and economic problems for Israel. Major hostilities between Israel and its neighbors may hinder Israel s international trade and lead to economic downturn. This, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our operations and business. There has been an increase in unrest and terrorist activity in Israel, which began in September 2000 and which continued with varying levels of severity through 2008. The future effect of this deterioration and violence on the Israeli economy and our operations is unclear. In the summer of 2006, Israel was engaged in an armed conflict with Hezbollah, which involved missile strikes against civilian targets in northern Israel and negatively affected business conditions in Israel. The establishment in 2006 of a government in the Gaza Strip by representatives of the Hamas, which effectively took control of the Gaza Strip from the Palestinian Authority in 2007 following Israel s disengagement from the Gaza Strip in 2005, has created additional unrest and uncertainty in the region. In December 2008 and January 2009, there was an armed conflict between Israel and Hamas, following the firing of thousands of missile into southern Israel. The missile attacks by Hamas have not targeted the greater Tel Aviv region, the location of our principal executive offices; however, any armed conflicts, terrorist activities or political instability in the region would likely negatively affect business conditions and could significantly harm our results of operations.

Furthermore, there are a number of countries, primarily in the Middle East, as well as Malaysia and Indonesia, that restrict business with Israel or Israeli companies, and we are precluded from marketing our products to these countries. Restrictive laws or policies directed towards Israel or Israeli businesses may have an adverse impact on our operations, our financial results or the expansion of our business.

11

Our results of operations may be negatively affected by the obligation of our personnel to perform military service.

Many of our executive officers and employees in Israel are obligated to perform annual reserve duty in the Israeli Defense Forces and may be called for active duty under emergency circumstances at any time. If a military conflict or war arises, these individuals could be required to serve in the military for extended periods of time. Our operations could be disrupted by the absence for a significant period of one or more of our executive officers or key employees or a significant number of other employees due to military service. Any disruption in our operations could adversely affect our business.

Our financial results may be adversely affected by inflation and currency fluctuations.

We report our financial results in dollars, while a substantial portion of our expenses, primarily labor expenses, are incurred in NIS. Therefore, our NIS related costs, as expressed in U.S. dollars, are influenced by the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the NIS. During 2007 and 2008, the NIS appreciated against the U.S. dollar, which resulted in a significant increase in the U.S. dollar cost of our NIS expenses. We are also influenced by the timing of, and the extent to which, any increase in the rate of inflation in Israel over the rate of inflation in the United States is not offset by the devaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar. Our dollar costs in Israel will increase if inflation in Israel exceeds the devaluation of the NIS against the U.S. dollar or if the timing of such devaluation lags behind inflation in Israel. We cannot predict any future trends in the rate of inflation in Israel or the rate of devaluation or appreciation of the NIS against the dollar cost of our operations in Israel increases, our dollar measured results of operations will be adversely affected.

We currently have the ability to benefit from government tax benefits, which may be cancelled or reduced in the future.

We are eligible to receive tax benefits under Government of Israel programs. In order to maintain our eligibility for these tax benefits, we must continue to meet specific conditions. If we fail to comply with these conditions in the future, the tax benefits we could receive may be cancelled.

Service and enforcement of legal process on us and our directors and officers may be difficult to obtain.

We are incorporated in Israel and some of our directors, executive officers and the Israeli experts named in this annual report reside outside the United States. Service of process upon them may be difficult to effect within the United States. Furthermore, most of our assets and the assets of some of our executive officers and directors and some of the experts named in this annual report are located outside the United States. Therefore, a judgment obtained against us or any of them in the United States, including one based on the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws may not be collectible in the United States and may not be enforced by an Israeli court. It also may be difficult for you to assert U.S. securities law claims in original actions instituted in Israel. For more information regarding the enforceability of civil liabilities against us, our directors and executive officers and the Israeli experts named in this prospectus, including the terms under which certain judgments may be enforced by an Israeli court, please see Enforceability of Civil Liabilities.

Provisions of Israeli law may delay, prevent or make difficult an acquisition of us, which could prevent a change of control and therefore depress the price of our shares.

Israeli corporate law regulates mergers, requires tender offers for acquisitions of shares above specified thresholds, requires special approvals for transactions involving directors, officers or significant shareholders and regulates other matters that may be relevant to these types of transactions. Furthermore, Israeli tax considerations may make potential transactions unappealing to us or to some of our shareholders. These provisions of Israeli corporate and tax law may have the effect of delaying, preventing or complicating a merger with, or other acquisition of, us. This could cause our ordinary shares to trade at prices below the price for which third parties might be willing to pay to gain control of us. Third parties who are otherwise willing to pay a premium over prevailing market prices to gain control of us may be unable or unwilling to do so because of these provisions of Israeli law.

Your rights and responsibilities as a shareholder will be governed by Israeli law and differ in some respects from the rights and responsibilities of shareholders under U.S. law.

We are incorporated under Israeli law. The rights and responsibilities of holders of our ordinary shares are governed by our memorandum of association, articles of association and by Israeli law. These rights and responsibilities differ in some respects from the rights and responsibilities of shareholders in typical U.S. corporations. In particular, a shareholder of an Israeli company has a duty to act in good faith in exercising his or her rights and fulfilling his or her obligations toward the company and other shareholders and to refrain from abusing his power in the company, including, among other things, in voting at the general meeting of shareholders on certain matters. Israeli law provides that these duties are applicable in shareholder votes at the general meeting with respect to, among other things, amendments to a company s articles of association, increases in a company s authorized share capital, mergers and actions and transactions involving interests of officers, directors or other interested parties which require the shareholders general meeting s approval. In addition, a controlling shareholder of an Israeli company, or any other systems to determine the outcome of a vote at a meeting of our shareholders, or who has, by virtue of the company s articles of association, the power to appoint or prevent the appointment of an office holder in the company, or any other power with respect to the company, has a duty of fairness toward the company. The Israeli Companies Law does not establish criteria for determining whether or not a shareholder has acted in good faith. Moreover, the law is relatively new and there is no case law available on the duty of a non-controlling shareholder to act in good faith.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Market, we may follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain NASDAQ requirements. We follow Israeli law and practice instead of NASDAQ rules regarding the requirement that our independent directors have regularly scheduled meetings at which only independent directors are present.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on The NASDAQ Global Market, we are permitted to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain requirements of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. We follow Israeli law and practice instead of The NASDAQ Marketplace Rules regarding the requirement that our independent directors have regularly scheduled meetings at which only independent directors are present. As a foreign private issuer listed on the NASDAQ Global Market, we may also follow home country practice with regard to, among other things, the composition of the board of directors, director nomination procedure, compensation of officers and quorum at shareholders meetings. In addition, we may follow our home country law, instead of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules, which require that we obtain shareholder approval for certain dilutive events, such as for the establishment or amendment of certain equity based compensation plans, an issuance that will result in a change of control of the company, certain transactions other than a public offering involving issuances of a 20% or more interest in the company and certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of another company. A foreign private issuer that elects to follow a home country practice instead of such requirements must submit to NASDAQ in advance a written statement from an independent counsel in such issuer s home country certifying that the issuer s practices are not prohibited by the home country s laws. In addition, a foreign private issuer must disclose in its annual reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission each such requirement that it does not follow and describe the home country practice followed by the issuer instead of any such requirement. Accordingly, our shareholders may not be afforded the same protection as provided under NASDAQ s corporate governance rules.

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

A. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Israel in February 1983 as Mashov Software Export (1983) Ltd. and we changed our name to Magic Software Enterprises Ltd. in 1991. We are a public limited liability company and operate under the Israeli Companies Law 1999 and associated legislation. Our registered offices and principal place of business are located at 5 Haplada Street, Or Yehuda 60218, Israel, and our telephone number is +972-3-538-9292. Our U.S. subsidiary, Magic Software Enterprises Inc., is located at 23046 Avenida de la Carlota, Laguna Hills, CA 926653. Our address on the Internet is <u>www.magicsoftware.com</u>. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report.

13

We develop, market and support uniPaaS, an application platform for software development and deployment, and iBOLT, a platform for business integration and BPM. The uniPaaS and the iBOLT enable enterprises to accelerate the process of building and deploying applications that can be rapidly customized and integrated with existing systems.

As a leading IT technology innovator, we have over 25 years of experience in assisting software companies and enterprise software companies worldwide to produce and integrate their business applications. Our application platform, uniPaaS, is used by thousands of enterprises and ISVs to develop solutions for their customers in approximately 50 countries. We also refer to these ISVs as MSPs. We also provide maintenance and technical support as well as professional services to our enterprise customers and to MSPs. In addition, we sell our technology for business integration, iBOLT, to customers of specific popular software applications, such as SAP, Salesforce.com, IBM i (AS/400) or Oracle JD Edwards or other business applications. We refer to these vendor-centered market sectors as ecosystems.

In May 2008, we launched the uniPaaS application platform, the next generation of our eDeveloper. In July 2008, uniPaaS was made available worldwide. Since May 2008, we have introduced a strategy to improve the penetration of our uniPaaS application platform by organizing user-group events, globally. In November 2008, we released the latest version of our flagship application platform, uniPaaS V1.5 for developing RIAs.

In 2008, we developed a migration program consisting of special prices, training marketing campaigns and informative webinars to help our customers achieve a smooth migration to new RIA technologies.

In 2008, we sharpened our iBOLT business integration suite to focus more on gaining ecosystem business. We have recruited a number of new partners from within a number of various ecosystems and gained new partners to aid our sales for both uniPaaS and iBOLT. To support this we ve increased our attendance at major ecosystem events in 2008.

In the latter part of 2008, we released a controlled and limited first version of iBOLT Version 3. Since then we have continued to develop the iBOLT channel and have entered into agreements with additional SIs, consultancies and service providers who acquired iBOLT skills and offer iBOLT licenses and related services to their customers.

In May 2008, we launched our partnership with Salesforce.com, an on-demand customer relationship management, or CRM, solution vendor, and introduced iBOLT Special Edition for Salesforce.com integration solution. Since then we have developed partnerships with SIs in the Salesforce.com ecosystem and we are continuing to experience increasing sales in this sector.

In June 2008, we introduced the new Intercompany Accelerator as part of the iBOLT for SAP solution. In July 2008, we won the SAP Business One Global Solution Partner Award for Leadership in Innovation. This is the third consecutive year that we have won a SAP partner award.

In the last quarter of 2008, we focused the iBOLT integration tool on the SAP R/3 market, and to date we completed several successful new deployments of iBOLT for SAP ERP R3.

We have increased our penetration into the JD Edwards market, particularly in the United States where we have gained a number of new partners specializing in JD Edwards solutions and won a number of significant deals.

We have continued to work closely with IBM as an Advanced Partner of the IBM Partnerworld for Developer Business Partner program and as a Member Partner of IBM Partnerworld for Software. IBM has awarded us with its ServerProven® certification for our uniPaaS and iBOLT products following a rigorous testing and evaluation process. Only those products that are validated by IBM to install quickly, start up easily and run reliably on IBM servers are awarded this certification, designed by IBM to assist its customers to easily identify complete solutions for their business-critical e-business needs. We are also part of IBM s System i Tools Innovation Program. As part of our activities with the IBM i customers and business partners, we released a special edition of iBOLT for Oracle JD Edwards, targeted at users of JD Edwards Enterprise One Oracle enterprise resource planning, or ERP, software on the IBM System i platform.

On December 30, 2007, we sold our wholly-owned subsidiary, Advanced Answers on Demand Holding Corporation, or AAOD, a Florida corporation that develops and markets application software targeted at the long-term care industry, to Fortissimo Capital, for \$17 million. Fortissimo Capital paid us \$1.0 million of the sale price in December 2007 and the remaining \$16 million at the beginning of 2008. In addition,

as part of the transaction, we entered into a three year license agreement with AAOD according to which AAOD will continue to sell products based on our platform in consideration of \$3.0 million, to be paid quarterly over three years starting in 2008. We recorded a capital gain of \$9.3 million in discontinued operations as a result of the transaction in 2007.

In June 2008, we sold our 40% interest in Nextstep Inforech PVT. Ltd., or Nexstep, in consideration of \$150,000. We recorded a capital loss of \$61,000 as a result of the transaction in 2008.

Our capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 were approximately \$1.0 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. These expenditures were principally for network equipment and computers, furniture and office equipment and leasehold improvements.

B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Industry Overview

In recent years the multiplication of enterprise applications has lead to a level of complexity of an enterprise s information system that is obstructing business progress and evolution, reducing business agility and often resulting in multiple versions of similar data objects, such as customer records. We believe that one of the main challenges the modern enterprise faces today is creating a single view of the truth, which is the better way to make effective and relevant business decisions. Business integration is employed to facilitate this. Traditionally, given their cost and complexity, business integration solutions were targeted at large enterprises. Consequently, business integration tools are mostly complex, require significant implementation resources, take a long time to implement and are costly. Given the critical need for business integration across the demand and supply chain, enterprises of all sizes require such solutions. We recognized this trend and emerging need when we designed iBOLT.

Another major evolution in enterprises is the trend of reusing IT assets, such as enterprise applications, which is driving the move towards service oriented architecture, or SOA. Due to the large investments in enterprise applications, such as ERP and CRM, on the one hand, and the accelerating business change, on the other hand, organizations need to find a way to continue to leverage and amortize their IT investments while increasing their ability to change business processes and support new ones. The software industry s response is a new SOA, a new paradigm of application development, service oriented development architecture, and composite applications. Most of these involve metadata (which is data that describes other data, similar to a table of content describing a book), rather than traditional programming. We have developed and enhanced this paradigm over the last 20 years, and we believe that we have one of the largest installed-base of products employing such technology.

Additionally, SaaS is becoming a well-established phenomenon in some areas of enterprise IT. It is growing into a mainstream option for software-based business solutions and will affect most of enterprise IT departments in the next three years in one way or another. It appears that SEAP is becoming a dominant player in the growing SaaS application industry. We are developing our technology to provide the functionality of a SEAP, as a result of the growing demand from application vendors to repackage their applications as a SaaS offering. In 2008, we were already recognized by independent industry analysts such a Gartner as one of the few vendors, providing a comprehensive application platform for SaaS and cloud computing.

15

General

Our technology enables enterprises to accelerate the process of building and deploying business software applications that can be rapidly customized to meet current and future needs. Our development and integration products empower customers to dramatically improve their business performance and return on investment by enabling the affordable and rapid integration of diverse applications, systems and databases to streamline business processes from within one comprehensive framework.

Our technology and solutions are especially in demand when time-to-market considerations are critical, budgets are tight, integration is required with multiple platforms or applications, databases or existing systems and business processes, as well as for RIA, cloud computing and SaaS.

We address the critical business needs of companies so that they are able to quickly respond to changing market forces and demands. Robust business solutions are created, deployed and maintained with unrivaled productivity and time-to-market results. Our development paradigm is aligned with modern application development theories and enables developers to create better solutions in less time and with fewer resources.

General

Our technology, comprised of the iBOLT and uniPaaS solutions, is comprehensive and industry proven. These technologies can be applied to the entire software development market, from the implementation of micro-vertical solutions, through tactical application renovation and process automation solutions, to enterprise spanning SOA migrations and composite applications initiatives. Unlike most competing platforms, we offer a coherent and unified toolset stemming from the same proven metadata driven and rules based declarative technology, resulting in unprecedented cost savings through fast and easy implementation and reduced project risk.

Development communities are facing high complexity, cost and extended pay-back periods in order to deliver SaaS applications. uniPaaS and iBOLT provide ISV s with the ability to rapidly build integrated applications in a more productive manner, deploy them in multiple modes and architectures as needed, lower IT maintenance costs and decreasing time-to-market.

With the launch of iBOLT, we started a process of expanding from the application development field to the business integration and process management fields, which are, presently converging, from a technology perspective, to the composite application field. Products for these fields require SOA, application integration capabilities, process management, orchestration capabilities, and information delivery capabilities. Our technology and products provide all of these capabilities.

With the impending introduction of our SEAP, we expect to strengthen our position as a leading application platform provider opening the path for us to address the top-tier sector of the market. The increasing adoption of the SaaS delivery and business model requires the use of a new generation application platforms, which support the relevant functions required for SaaS deployment. We are one of the first vendors to offer such a platform. By leveraging the easy migration of applications between the different versions of our products, our MSPs have the potential to become among the first and most versatile sources of SaaS applications. Industry analysts as well as several of our major MSPs have recognized this, and we have begun to work with some of them in this context.

Our Products

The driving principles behind our technology are:

Focus on business logic rather than technological means using our tried and tested code-free approach;

Abstraction - to hide complexity and facilitate change;

Automation of mundane tasks - to accelerate development and maintenance and reduce risk; and

Interoperability to support business logic across multiple hardware and software platforms, operating systems and geographies.

We offer two complementary products that address the wide spectrum of composite applications.

16

uniPaaS Application Platform

The uniPaaS application platform was released during 2008 as the next generation of eDeveloper. uniPaaS was released in recognition of the growing market demand for RIA and SaaS. It features new functionality and extensions to our application platform, with the objective of enabling the development of RIA and SaaS applications. SaaS is a relatively new business and technical model for delivering software applications, similar to a phone or cable TV model, in which the software applications are installed and operated in dedicated data centers and users subscribe to these centers and use the applications over an internet connection. This model requires the ability to deliver RIA.

uniPaaS is a comprehensive RIA platform which is also cloud enabled. It is the industry s first RIA and SEAP that uses a single development paradigm to handle all client and server partitioning. uniPaaS offers customers the power to choose how they deploy their applications, whether full client or web; on-premise or on-demand; in the cloud or behind the corporate firewall; software or SaaS; and global or local. Our uniPaaS application platform complies with event driven and service oriented architectural principles. By offering technology transparency, this product allows customers focus on their business requirements rather than technological means. uniPaaS single development paradigm significantly reduces the time and costs associated with the development and deployment of RIAs. In addition, application owners can leverage their initial investment when moving from full client mode to RIA and SaaS, and eventually modify these choices as the situation requires. Furthermore, enterprises can use cloud based uniPaaS applications in a SaaS model and still have their databases in the privacy of their own data centers. It also supports most hardware and operating system environments such as Windows, Unix, Linux and AS/400, as well as multiple databases. In addition, uniPaas is interoperable with .NET and Java technologies.

uniPaaS can be applied to the full range of software development, from the implementation of micro-vertical solutions, through tactical application renovation and process automation solutions, to enterprise spanning SOA migrations and composite applications initiatives. Unlike most competing platforms, we offer a coherent and unified toolset stemming from the same proven metadata driven and rules based declarative technology, resulting in unprecedented cost savings through fast and easy implementation and reduced project risk.

iBOLT Business and Process Integration

The iBOLT business integration suite is a graphical, wizard-based code-free solution delivering fast and simple integration and orchestration of business processes and applications. iBOLT allows businesses to more easily view, access, and leverage their mission-critical information, delivering true enterprise application integration, or EAI, BPM, and SOA, infrastructure.

iBOLT allows the seamless integration and interoperability of diverse solutions, including legacy applications, in a quick and efficient manner. In 2008, we released iBOLT Version 3.0 and since then we have continued to develop the iBOLT channel and entered into agreements with additional SIs, consultancies and service providers, who acquired iBOLT skills and offer iBOLT licenses and related services to their customers.

Increasing the usability and life span of existing legacy and other IT systems, iBOLT allows fast EAI, development and customization of diverse applications, systems and databases, assuring rapid return on invested capital and time-to-market, increased profitability, and customer satisfaction. We also offer special editions of iBOLT targeted at specific enterprise application vendor ecosystems, such as SAP, JD Edwards or Salesforce.com. These special editions contain specific features and pricing tailored for these market sectors.

Our Value Proposition

Our technology and solutions are especially in demand when budgets are tight and time-to-market considerations are critical. Our technology enables enterprises to accelerate the process of building and deploying business software applications that can be rapidly customized to meet current and future needs. Our development and integration products empower customers to dramatically improve their business performance and return on investment by enabling the affordable and rapid integration of diverse applications, systems and databases to streamline business processes from within one comprehensive framework.

We address the critical business needs of companies so that they are able to quickly respond to changing market forces and demands. Robust business solutions are created, deployed and maintained with unrivaled productivity and time-to-market results.

With uniPaaS, our unique, single development paradigm is aligned with modern application development theories and enables developers to create better solutions in less time and with fewer resources.

uniPaaS offers our customers ISVs, SIs and enterprises the following benefits:

Time to Market. uniPaaS eliminates the difficulties and costs of developing distinct client and server paradigms and partitioning.

Total Cost of Ownership. When deployment is required uniPaaS automatically instructs the business logic to the various technical components, thus saving the need for human intervention or planning and enabling deployment at an unprecedented low cost of ownership.

Deployment Flexibility. Unique to the market, uniPaaS gives users the power to choose how they deploy their applications, whether full client or web, on-premise or on-demand, software or SaaS.

Scalability and Adaptability. uniPaaS enables application owners to move from full client mode to RIA and SaaS and back again as business situations and demands change.

Portability. uniPaaS can be used with most hardware platforms, operating systems and databases. Applications developed with our technology for one platform can also be deployed on other supported platforms.

Database Access and Technology Independence. Our technology can easily move data across platforms and convert the data from one database format to another.

Comprehensiveness. uniPaaS incorporates all aspects of the development and deployment process which usually requires organizations to buy and integrate multiple and diverse Server and Client paradigms.

Global Experience and Expertise. uniPaaS leverages 25 years of research and development, including applied customer experience and feedback.

We believe that iBOLT offers our customers and partners the following benefits:

Time to Market. iBOLT has services, components and wizards that translate into a level of productivity between five and ten times greater than other technologies, ensuring that once an integration project is started, it finishes on time and on budget.

Cost Effectiveness. Many vendors design their business logic in a way that s so complex; customers can barely use it. iBOLT s graphical business flow editor allows users to easily and intuitively configure their business processes, ensuring that their end project is practical, usable and gives value for their money.

Comprehensiveness. iBOLT is a comprehensive integration technology stack, guaranteeing powerful and cost-effective integration for any business scenario.

| 1 | 0 |
|---|---|
| I | 0 |

Deployment Flexibility. iBOLT has a significant range of built-in certified and optimized adaptors to maximize the integration flexibility and intuitive use.

Scalability and Adaptability. iBOLT is used by hundreds of companies of every size in every vertical worldwide and is responsible for tens of millions of transactions every single day.

Global Experience and Expertise. iBOLT leverages 25 years of research and development, including applied customer experience and feedback. uniPaaS stands at the core of the iBOLT integration suite, from studio to its actual deployment.

Special editions of iBOLT with optimized adaptors are available to expand the capabilities of the most commonly used ERP and CRM packages, including SAP Business One, SAP Business All-in-One, SAP R/3, Salesforce.com, JD Edwards, IBM i Series, Lotus Notes, and HL7.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to achieve a leadership position in the application platform and business integration markets. We focus on providing technology, applications and services that enable enterprises to meet their business needs on time and budget. The key elements of our strategy to achieve this goal are to:

Develop and up-sell to our installed base and partner community by leveraging our solutions (uniPaaS, iBOLT and professional services);

Utilize connectivity/integration solutions (iBOLT based) in existing ecosystems (SAP, Salesforce.com, JD Edwards and OEMs) to enlarge our installed base;

Strengthen our alliance with SAP, Salesforce.com, Oracle JD Edwards and IBM i;

Develop additional alliances with leading application vendors and develop offerings and partner programs for their ecosystems, such as Oracle s JD Edwards and Salesforce.com;

Focus on recruiting OEM partners that will incorporate our iBOLT integration technology into their product offerings;

Promote uniPaaS (RIA and SaaS platforms) into the mid- and upper-markets of both enterprises and ISVs;

Increase the number of software houses and ISVs that use uniPaaS to build their applications;

Focus our sales efforts on our core products, uniPaaS and iBOLT; and

Focus our efforts on further building a strong partner base of SIs, ISVs, distributors, resellers, OEMs and consulting partners of our core technologies.

Product Development

The software industry is characterized by rapid technological changes and is highly competitive with respect to timely product innovation. We must maintain compatibility and competitiveness in the face of ongoing changes in industry standards.

We place considerable emphasis on research and development in order to improve and expand the functionality of our technology and to develop new applications. We believe that our future success depends upon our ability to maintain our technological leadership, to enhance our existing products and to introduce new commercially viable products addressing the needs of our customers on a timely basis. We also intend to support emerging technologies as they are introduced in the same way we have supported new technologies in the past. We will continue to devote a significant portion of our resources to research and development. We believe that internal development of our technology is the most effective means of achieving our strategic objective of providing an extensive, integrated and feature-rich development technology.

19

During 2008, we invested mainly in the development of the following products:

uniPaaS. During 2008, in response to customers needs and service requests, we released uniPaaS version 1.0 and later version 1.5, as well as service packs for current eDeveloper versions.

RIA Technology. This is a new technology of web based solutions. Based on eDeveloper browser client engine, we developed a new client module that provides full thin-client functionality using standard widgets. The advantage of the new technology is its ability to run on any .NET device, significantly reducing the cost of application management and operation. We are continuing to develop the technology targeting the SaaS market. We plan to release a version of uniPaaS with full SaaS capabilities during the first half of 2009.

A new version of iBOLT. iBOLT Version 3 was the main focus of the iBOLT development in 2008. The new version delivers a full range of new functionalities for the integration market as well as massive performance improvements. Some of the main functionalities include new data mapper, expression editor, user defined storages, resource management and component software development kit.

Intercompany iBOLT solution. In 2007 we developed a generic solution for inter-company synchronization with iBOLT Version 2.5. Some of the main functionalities include mail and HTTP synchronization, object management, synchronization process monitoring and more. We released the first version during the fourth quarter of 2007 and continue to improve the functionality of this product.

Hermes software. In 2007, we continued to develop the Hermes software solution for air cargo handling. HERMES Releases 3.1 and 3.2 incorporate new and advanced functionalities. HERMES Release 3.1 software is operating in full production. During 2008, HERMES Release 3.2 was launched by HERMES users in India, and Hermes Release 4.0 was deployed at a first air cargo center in Europe. During the first half of 2009, Hermes expects Release 4.0 to be launched by additional HERMES users in Europe and elsewhere.

In January 2009, we released the Data Replicator for Salesforce.com, a new addition to the iBOLT for Salesforce.com product line.

Vertical Solutions

Two of our subsidiaries develop, market, and support vertical applications, cargo handling solutions and IT professional services.

CoreTech Consulting Group LLC, our wholly-owned subsidiary, is an IT consulting firm offering flexible and creative solutions in the areas of infrastructure design and delivery, application development, technology planning and implementation services, as well as supplemental staffing services.

Hermes Logistics Technologies Ltd., (formerly Magic eCargo), our wholly-owned subsidiary, develops and markets a comprehensive solution for air cargo handling, which is designed to increase productivity, improve efficiency and reduce costs. The Hermes system provides a complete and integrated solution encompassing all physical handling, documentation and messaging requirements, including real-time warehousing, automated build-up of flights/manifesting, messaging to CARGO-IMP standards, customs clearance, weight and balance departure control systems, interfacing, scanning and verification of cargo, secured tracking and a comprehensive financial package for all aspects of billing and collecting fees.

20

Services

Professional Services. We provide a broad range of consulting and software development project management services to customers developing, deploying and integrating distributed applications. We believe that the availability of effective consulting services is an important factor in achieving widespread market acceptance.

We offer fee-based consulting services in connection with installation assurance, application audits and performance enhancement, application migration and application prototyping and design. Consulting services are aimed at both generating additional revenues and ensuring successful implementation of uniPaaS and iBOLT projects through knowledge transfer. As part of management efforts to focus on license sales, our goal is to provide such activities as a complementary service to our customers and partners.

Services are offered as separately purchased add-on packages or as part of an overall software development and deployment technology framework. Over the last several years, we have built upon our established global presence to form business alliances with our MSPs that use our technology to develop solutions for their customers, and distributors to deliver successful solutions in focused market sectors.

Maintenance. We offer our customers annual maintenance contracts providing for upgrades and new versions of our products for an annual fee.

Technical Support. We believe that a high level of customer support is important to the successful marketing and sale of our products. Our in-house technical support group provides training and post-sale support. We believe that effective technical support during product evaluation as well as after the sale has substantially contributed to product acceptance and customer satisfaction and will continue to do so in the future.

We offer an online support system for the MSPs, which provides them with the ability to instantaneously enter, confirm and track support requests via the Internet. This system supports MSPs and end-users worldwide.

Training. We conduct formal and organized training on our development tools. We develop courses, pertaining to our principal products, uniPaaS and iBOLT and provide trainer and student guidebooks. Course materials are available both in traditional, classroom courses and as web-based training modules, which can be downloaded and studied at the student s own pace and location. The courses and course materials are designed to accelerate the learning process, using an intensive technical curriculum in an atmosphere conducive to productive training

Customers, End-Users and Markets

We market and sell our products and services in more than 50countries worldwide. The following table presents our revenues by revenue type and geographical market for the periods indicated:

 Year ended December 31,

 2006
 2007
 2008

(In thousands)

| | | Ye | ear en | ded Decemb | er 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|----|--------------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| Software sales | | \$ 18,788 | \$ | 17,707 | \$ | 20,913 |
| Maintenance and technical support | | 11,531 | | 12,605 | | 14,530 |
| Consulting services | | 22,252 | | 28,116 | | 26,537 |
| Total revenues | | \$ 52,571 | \$ | 58,428 | \$ | 61,980 |
| | | Ye | ear en | ded Decemb | er 31, | |
| | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 |
| | | | (In | thousands) | _ | |
| Israel | | \$ 4,307 | \$ | 4,471 | \$ | 4,760 |
| Europe | | 21,713 | | 24,916 | | 25,359 |
| United States | | 13,995 | | 18,612 | | 20,096 |
| Japan | | 10,223 | | 9,080 | | 10,110 |
| Other | | 2,333 | | 1,349 | | 1,655 |
| Total revenues | | \$ 52,571 | \$ | 58,428 | \$ | 61,980 |
| | 21 | | | | | |

Industries that are significantly represented by our partners include finance, insurance, government, health care, logistics, manufacturing media, retail and telecommunications. Our uniPaaS and iBOLT technologies are used by a wide variety of developers, integrators and solution providers, which can generally be divided into two sectors: in the first sector are those performing in-house development (corporate IT departments) and in the second sector are MSPs, including large SIs and smaller independent developers, and VARs that use our technology to develop or provide solutions to their customers. MSPs who are packaged software publishers use our technology to write standard packaged software products that are sold to multiple clients, typically within a vertical industry sector or a horizontal business function.

Among the thousands of customers running their business systems with our technology are the following: Access Data, Adecco, Adidas-Canada, Administar Services Group, AFC Worldwide Express, Allstate, Anglo-Canadian Housewares, Anritsu, Athlon Group, Boeing, Burger King, Carey International, City of Phoenix Police Department, Club Med, CBIA, Charlotte Country Court System, Compass Group PLC, Danish Ministry of Economic, Deka Bank, Deutsche Bank, DHL, Electricité de Strasbourg, Electra, Esmee Fairbairn Foundation, Fortis Assurances, Fujitsu-Ten, Euroclear, Europ Assistance Netherlands, Financial Times, FMRP, Fraport AG, GAP, GGD Amsterdam, Grange Insurance, Heller Bank AG, Hitachi, ING Commercial Finance, Johnson & Johnson, John Menzies, Kodak, Lord Corporation, Marconi Mobile, Merrill Lynch, Matsushita, Minolta, NEC, Nestle Nespresso, Nintendo, New York State Public Defenders Association, Online Trading Academy, OTOR, Paris Nord Villepinte Exhibition Center, Philip Morris, Primagas, Prestwick House, Rosenbauer, San Francisco Courts, Semeru, Sharp System Products, Sklar Peppler, TEVA, Title Solutions, Titan Software, Vadim Software, Vodafone, the United Nations, UPS, Victorinox, Vodafone, W3Com, Warren Board and Washington State.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

We market, sell and support our products through our own direct sales force as well as through a global channel-network of ISVs, SIs, value-added distributors and resellers, as well as OEM and consulting partners. Our own sales force is based in our regional offices in the United States, Japan, the United Kingdom, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Hungary, India and Israel, and through local distributors elsewhere, our channel-network is present in about 50 countries worldwide.

Direct Sales. For uniPaaS, our direct sales force pursues enterprise accounts and software solution providers. Our sales personnel carry out strategic sales with a direct approach to decision makers, managing a constantly monitored consultative type of sales cycle. iBOLT is mostly sold via indirect channels and through our ecosystem business relationships, but we have some direct customers with integration needs.

At December 31, 2008, we had approximately 58 sales personnel including a team of 10 sales engineers who provide pre-sale technical support, presentations and demonstrations in order to support our sales force.

Indirect Sales. We maintain an indirect sales channel for iBOLT, through our ecosystem business relationships, as well as via SIs, value added distributors and resellers, OEM partners, as well as consultancies and service providers. We maintain an indirect sales channel for uniPaaS through ISVs and SIs, who use our application platform to develop and deploy different applications selling them to their end-user customers. We carry out marketing activities with our indirect channels, and expect to see during 2009 an improved channel focus and programs.

Distributors. In general, we distribute our products through local distributors in those countries where we do not have a sales office. A local distributor is typically a software marketing organization with the capability to add value with consulting, training, and support. Distributors are generally responsible for the localization of our software development technology and applications into their native language. The distributors also translate our marketing literature and technical documentation. Distributors must undergo our program of sales and technical training. Marketing, sales, training, consulting, product and client support are provided by the local distributor. We are available for backup support for the distributor and for end-users. In coordination with the local subsidiaries and distributors, we also provide sales support for large and multinational accounts. We have approximately 13 distributors in Europe, Latin America and Asia, many of whom are also MSPs.

22

VARs. In general, we resell our products through VARs that extend their capabilities with our offerings. These include SAP VARs.

Marketing Activities. We carry out a wide range of marketing activities aimed at generating awareness of our solutions offering. Among our activities we focus on online and viral marketing, PR and media relations, analyst relations, an extensive program of Internet-based webcasts, exhibitions, attendance at trade shows, fairs and exhibitions, direct mail, response mail, telemarketing campaigns and user and distributor conferences and seminars. We conduct distributor and user conferences to update our worldwide affiliates and user base concerning our new releases, marketing strategies, pricing, technical information and the like.

In order to foster improved relationships with our channel partners, we periodically sponsor local events and other marketing programs and activities. On our corporate Internet website, we host an online solutions directory, which highlights applications developed and offered by our partners, and an information sharing section, which enables our partners to participate alongside our representatives at trade shows and conferences. Furthermore, we expect to have an effective partner portal aired during 2009 as well as our Developers Network where all developers using our software can exchange ideas, learn best practices and hear recent tips for more effective use of our technology and more.

iBOLT Ecosystems. The important ecosystem businesses pursued by us to date include:

SAP. During 2004, we entered into a partnership with SAP that focused on providing a special edition of iBOLT as a collaboration platform for the SAP Business One product, an integrated business management solution designed specifically for small and midsize businesses. Our iBOLT Special Edition was accepted by the SAP community with enthusiasm, and our company was awarded by SAP the ISV Partner Leadership in Innovation 2005 award, in 2006 we were awarded the SAP Software Solution Partner Quality Excellence Award, and in 2007 we were awarded the SAP global award for SAP Business One Global Solution Partner Award for Leadership in Innovation. Our iBOLT Special Edition partner program is endorsed by over 230 SAP Business One partners across the globe that have signed a partnership agreement with us and have become a significant new addition to the Magic partner community. In the beginning of 2007, we announced a new iBOLT Special Edition for SAP R/3 ERP software and we received SAP s xAPPS certification. In addition to the direct economic impact of iBOLT sales, we are experiencing the following benefits that arise from our partnership with SAP: (i) recognition and validation of our technology as a mainstream player in the business integration and composite application development domains; (ii) privileged access to a pre-qualified partner community that can also employ iBOLT in non-SAP related projects; and (iii) revitalization of our partner community, by offering them access to the SAP Partner Program and branding of their existing applications.

IBM. In March 2007, we qualified for the IBM Business Partner SOA Specialty. For this specialty, IBM selects business partners who market SOA content, services, or both that demonstrate compatibility with or complement the IBM SOA Foundation products, who endorse the IBM SOA strategy, and whose marketing activities IBM determines to be in agreement with its own. We offer SOA capabilities in the System i (iSeries / AS/400) market and we qualified for this specialty with respect to one of our SOA projects. Our technology allows IBM i users to better utilize the value of their legacy systems and integrate them with different applications in their organization to maximize the return on their existing investments.

Salesforce.com. In late 2007, we joined the partner program of Salesforce.com and became AppExchange certified. This enables us to address the Salesforce.com ecosystem and introduce our iBOLT for Salesforce.com to its partners and customers. Since then, we have participated in Salesforce.com s regional Success Tours, Tour-de-Force events, as well as launched our iBOLT for

Salesforce.com at Dreamforce Europe 2008 in May in London, and participated at Dreamforce U.S. in November 2008, where we released the advanced version of iBOLT for Salesforce.com. We have signed partnerships and already implemented our solutions with customers in the United Kingdom, the United States and Israel.

Oracle JD Edwards. Since late 2006 we have been very active in Oracle s JD Edwards ecosystem, including JD Edwards Enterprise One and JD Edwards World, offering a special edition of iBOLT called JDE Connect. We have sponsored and participated in a range of annual events including Oracle OpenWorld and Oracle Collaborate. We have recruited more than 12 partners and in 2008, we won a number of customer deals and increased our JD Edwards business.

Competition

The markets for our uniPaaS and iBOLT technologies and applications are characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, frequent new product introductions and rapidly changing customer requirements. These markets are therefore highly competitive, and we expect competition to intensify in the future. The enhancement of the SaaS market increases the competition in these areas, and one of our competitors even claims to offer a fully automated eDeveloper conversion process, converting eDeveloper based applications to .NET based applications. We constantly follow and analyze the market trends and our competitors in order to effectively compete in these markets and avoid losing market share to other players and to our competitors.

With the introduction of uniPaaS in mid 2008, we further shifted our activities from the integrated development environment market, in which we were competing with eDeveloper in the past, towards the application platform and web oriented architecture market. Our current competitors include Cordys, IBM, Microsoft, Oracle, Pegasystems, Progress, Fiorano, Intersystems, Sun, Ultimus, and Unify. In the iBOLT integration market, our competitors include Microsoft BizTalk, Informatica, TIBCO and Software AG. Additional competitors may enter each of our markets at any time. Moreover, our customers may seek to develop internally the products that we currently sell to them and thereafter they may also compete with us.

Our goal is to maintain our technology superiority, time to market and worldwide channel network, as well as our constant market analysis to quickly address changing market dynamics. We believe that the principal competitive factors affecting the market for our products include developer productivity, rapid results, product functionality, performance, reliability, portability, interoperability, ease-of-use, demonstrable economic benefits for developers and users relative to cost, quality of customer support and documentation, ease of installation, vendor reputation and experience, financial stability as well as intuitive and out of the box solutions to extend the capabilities of ERP and/or CRM and other application vendors for enterprise integration.

Intellectual Property

We do not hold any patents and rely upon a combination of copyright, trademark, trade secret laws and contractual restrictions to protect our rights in our software products. Our policy has been to pursue copyright protection for our software and related documentation and trademark registration of our product names. Also, our key employees and independent contractors and distributors are required to sign non-disclosure and secrecy agreements.

We provide our products to customers under a non-exclusive, non-transferable license. Usually, we have not required end-users of our products to sign license agreements. However, in some accounts license agreements are required to be signed by the end-users. Generally, a shrink wrap license agreement is included in the product packaging, which explains that by opening the package seal, the user is agreeing to the terms contained therein. It is uncertain whether license agreements of this type are legally enforceable in all of the countries in which the software is marketed.

Our trademark rights include rights associated with our use of our trademarks, and rights obtained by registration of our trademarks. We have obtained trademark registrations in South Africa, Canada, China, Israel, the Netherlands (Benelux), Switzerland, Thailand, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States. The initial terms of the registration of our trademarks range from 10 to 20 years and are renewable thereafter. Our use and registration of our trademarks do not ensure that we have superior rights to others that may have registered or used identical or related marks on related goods or services. We do not believe that patent laws are a significant source of protection for our products. We have registered a copyright for our software in the United States and Japan. Also, we have registered copyrights for some of our manuals in the United States and have acquired an International Standard Book Number (ISBN) for some of our manuals. Our copyrights expire 70 years from date of first publication.

24

Since the software industry is characterized by rapid technological changes, the policing of the unauthorized use of software is a difficult task and software piracy is expected to continue to be a persistent problem for the packaged software industry. As there can be no assurance that the above-mentioned means of legal protection will be effective against piracy of our products, and since policing unauthorized use of software is difficult, software piracy can be expected to be a persistent potential problem.

We believe that because of the rapid pace of technological change in the software industry, the legal protections for our products are less significant factors in our success than the knowledge, ability and experience of our employees, the frequency of product enhancements and the timeliness and quality of our support services.

C. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Emblaze, an Israeli company traded on the London Stock Exchange (LSE: BLZ), has a 51.7% controlling interest in our controlling shareholder Formula Systems, an Israeli company (NASDAQ: FORTY). Formula Systems beneficially owns 58.2% or our outstanding ordinary shares. Formula Systems is an international IT company principally engaged, through its subsidiaries, in providing software consulting services, developing proprietary software products and producing computer-based solutions.

The following table sets forth the legal name, location and country of incorporation and percentage ownership of each of our subsidiaries:

| Subsidiary/Affiliate Name | Country of Incorporation | Ownership Percentage |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Maria Saftwara Janan V. V. | Isnon | 100% |
| Magic Software Japan K.K Magic Software Enterprises Inc. | Japan United States | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises (UK) Ltd. | United Kingdom | 100% |
| Hermes Logistics Technologies Limited | United Kingdom | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises Spain Ltd. | Spain | 100% |
| Coretech Consulting Group Inc. | United States | 100% |
| Coretech Consulting Group LLC | United States | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises (Israel) Ltd. | Israel | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises Italy S.r.l.* | Italy | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises Netherlands B.V | Netherlands | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises France | France | 100% |
| Magic Beheer B.V | Netherlands | 100% |
| Magic Benelux B.V | Netherlands | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises GMBH | Germany | 100% |
| Magic Software Enterprises India Pvt. Ltd. | India | 100% |
| Onyx Magyarorszag Szsoftverhaz | Hungary | 100% |
| CarPro Systems Ltd. | Israel | 90.48% |

* In March 2009 a liquidator was appointed for Magic Software Enterprises Italy S.r.l.

25

D. PROPERTY, PLANTS AND EQUIPMENT

Facilities

Our headquarters and principal administrative, finance, sales, marketing and research and development operations are located in an office building of approximately 39,321 square feet that we own in Or Yehuda, Israel, a suburb of Tel Aviv. The building was constructed on a parcel of land leased from the Israel Land Authority. The lease expires in 2040 and can be renewed for an additional period of 49 years.

Our Hungarian subsidiary owns a 4,850 square foot office facility in Budapest, Hungary.

Our subsidiaries lease office space in Laguna Hills, California; King of Prussia, Pennsylvania Paris, France; Munich, Germany; Pune, India; Bangalore, India; Tokyo, Japan; Houten, the Netherlands; and Bracknell, United Kingdom. The aggregate annual cost for such facilities was \$1,198,000 in the year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 4A. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

A. OPERATING RESULTS

The following discussion of our results of operations should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes, which appear elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our current plans, estimates and beliefs and involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this annual report.

Background

We were incorporated under the laws of Israel in February 1983 and began operations in 1986. Our ordinary shares have been listed on the NASDAQ Global Market (symbol: MGIC) since our initial public offering in the United States on August 16, 1991. Since November 16, 2000, our ordinary shares have also traded on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange. We develop market, sell and support an application platform and business and process integration solutions. We have 16 wholly-owned subsidiaries, incorporated in the United States, Europe, Asia and Israel. Our subsidiaries are engaged in developing, marketing and supporting vertical applications, as well as in selling and supporting our products, and one of our subsidiaries provides software consulting services.

Overview

We develop market, sell and support uniPaaS, an application platform for software development and deployment, and iBOLT, a platform for business integration and BPM. Both uniPaaS and iBOLT enable enterprises to accelerate the process of building and deploying applications that can be rapidly customized and integrated with existing systems.

As a leading IT technology innovator, we have over 25 years of experience in assisting software companies and enterprise software companies worldwide to produce and integrate their business applications. Our application platform, uniPaaS, is used by thousands of enterprises and ISVs to develop solutions for their customers in approximately 50 countries. We also refer to these ISVs as MSPs. We also provide maintenance and technical support as well as professional services to our enterprise customers and to MSPs. In addition, we sell our technology for business integration, iBOLT, to customers of specific popular software applications, such as SAP, Salesforce.com, IBM i (AS/400) or Oracle JD Edwards or other business applications. We refer to these vendor-centered market sectors as ecosystems.

During 2008, we generated cash flows from operations of \$7.7 million and repaid \$3.6 million of short-term credit and long-term loans. Our cash and cash equivalents, together with our investments, were \$32.6 million as of December 31, 2008, compared with \$16.4 million at the

end of 2007. However, during the second half of 2008, it became apparent that global economic conditions had deteriorated and that we are in the midst of a global economic downturn. Specifically, the economic downturn that began in the United States had spread, initially to our customers in our European and Japanese markets and later to our customers in other geographical areas. We believe that it is likely that this economic downturn will persist; however, we cannot predict its severity or duration. We believe that our strong cash position, our solid balance sheet and our financing capabilities all provide a key competitive advantage and collectively will enable us to be well positioned to manage our business through the economic downturn.

Strategy and Focus Areas

Based on our experience with managing through economic downturns in the past, we have developed a multifaceted strategy for addressing the current economic downturn that involves the following:

Vision and strategy. Our vision of how the industry will evolve is being driven by SOA, RIA and SaaS. This transition appears to be occurring as we expected. We believe that our technology will allow us to move into market adjacencies with speed, scale and flexibility. We intend to remain focused on both the technology and business architectures to enable our customers objectives.

Resource management and realignment. During the first quarter of 2009, we continued to realign resources to better focus on our priorities. During 2009, we plan to continue this realignment and at the same time reduce our expenses.

Implementation of our strategy. During the economic downturn, we will attempt to prudently take advantage of opportunities to capture market transitions and to put our assets to use in existing and new markets as the recovery occurs.

As we have done in the past, we will attempt to use the current economic downturn as an opportunity to expand our share of our customers information technology spending and to continue moving into product adjacencies. Our approach of aiming to achieve balance across products and services, customer markets and geographic areas contributed to the growth we experienced in past quarters. We have delivered several new products recently, and we are pleased with the breadth and depth of our innovations and the impact that we believe these innovations will have on our long-term prospects. We believe that our strategy and our ability to innovate and execute may enable us to improve our relative competitive position in difficult business conditions and may continue to provide us with long-term growth opportunities.

Significant Revenues and Expenses

Revenues. Revenues consist from sales of software, maintenance and technical support and consulting services. Revenues may continue to be affected by factors including the current global economic downturn and related market uncertainty, which so far has resulted in cautious spending in our global markets; changes in the geopolitical environment; sales cycles; high fluctuation of exchange rates; changes in the mix of direct sales and variations in sales channels.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues for software sales consist primarily of software production costs royalties and licenses payable to third parties, as well as amortization of capitalized software. Cost of revenues for maintenance and technical support and professional services consists primarily of personnel expenses, subcontracting and other related costs. Cost of revenues continue to be affected by changes in the mix of revenues sold; price competition; sales discounts and increases in labor costs. Service gross margin may be impacted by various factors such as the change in mix between technical support services and advanced services, the timing of technical support service contract initiations and renewals, and the timing of our strategic investments in headcount and resources to support this business.

| 2 | 7 |
|---|---|
| 4 | / |

Research and Development Expenses, Net. Research and development costs consist primarily of salaries of employees engaged in on-going research and development activities and other related expenses. The capitalization of software development costs is applied as reductions to gross research and development costs to calculate net research and development expenses.

The following table sets forth the gross research and development costs, capitalized software development costs, and the net research and development expenses for the periods indicated:

 Year ended December 31

 2006
 2007
 2008

Year ended December 31

| | (U.S. dollars in thousands) | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Gross research and development costs | \$ 5,944 | \$ | 5,743 | \$ | 4,927 |
| Less capitalized software development costs | (3,482) | | (3,027) | | (2,577) |
| Research and development expenses, net | \$ 2,462 | \$ | 2,716 | \$ | 2,350 |

Selling and Marketing Expenses. Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses for sales and marketing personnel, sales commissions, marketing programs, web site related expenses, public relations, promotional materials, travel expenses and trade show exhibit expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses for executive, accounting, human resources and administrative personnel, professional fees, provisions for doubtful accounts, and other general corporate expenses.

Financial income (expenses), net. Net financial income (expenses) consists primarily of interest earned on cash equivalents and marketable securities, interested paid on loans received and currency translation adjustments.

General

Our consolidated financial statements appearing in this annual report are prepared in U.S. dollars and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. All references in this annual report to dollars or \$ are to U.S. dollars and all references in this annual report to NIS are to New Israeli Shekels.

Transactions and balances originally denominated in dollars are presented at their original amounts. Transactions and balances in other currencies are converted into dollars in accordance with the principles set forth in Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Statement of Financial Accounting Standard, or SFAS, No. 52. The majority of our sales are made outside of Israel and a substantial part of them are in dollars. In addition, substantial portions of our costs are incurred in dollars. Since the dollar is the primary currency of the economic environment in which we and certain of our subsidiaries operate, the dollar is our functional and reporting currency and accordingly, monetary accounts maintained in currencies other than the dollar are converted using the foreign exchange rate at the balance sheet date. Operational accounts and non monetary balance sheet accounts are measured and recorded at the exchange rate in effect at the date of the transaction. For certain subsidiaries whose functional currency is other than the U.S. dollar, all balance sheet accounts have been translated using the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Operational accounts have been translated using the average exchange rate for the period. The resulting translation adjustments are reported as a component of shareholders equity in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimations

We have identified the policies below as critical to the understanding of our financial statements. The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect the amounts reported in the accompanying financial statements and the related footnotes. Actual results may differ from these estimates. To facilitate the understanding of our business activities, certain of our accounting policies that we believe are the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and that require management subjective judgments are described below. We base our judgments on our experience and various assumptions that we believe are reasonable.

28

Revenue Recognition

We derive our revenues mainly from licensing the rights to use our software, maintenance and technical support and professional services. We sell our products primarily through direct sales force and indirectly through distributors.

We account for our software sales in accordance with Statement of Position, or SOP, No. 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended by SOP No. 98-9, *Modification of SOP No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions*, referred to together as SOP 97-2. Software license revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the vendor s fee is fixed or determinable, no further obligation exists and collectability is probable.

As required by SOP 97-2, we determine the value of the software component of our multiple-element arrangements using the residual method when vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, of fair value exists for the undelivered elements of the support and maintenance agreements. VSOE is based on the price charged when an element is sold separately or renewed. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue. Maintenance and support revenue is deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the maintenance and support agreement.

We generally do not grant a right of return to our customers. When a right of return exists, we defer revenue until the right of return expires, at which time revenue is recognized provided that all other revenue recognition criteria are met.

Revenue from consulting services consists of billable hours for services provided, recognized as the services are rendered.

Arrangements that include consulting services are evaluated to determine whether those services are essential to the functionality of other elements of the arrangement. When services are considered essential, revenues under the arrangement are recognized using contract accounting based on SOP No. 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts*, or SOP 81-1, on a percentage of completion method based on inputs measures. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are first determined, in the amount of the estimated loss for the entire contract. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, no such estimated losses were identified.

When consulting services are not considered essential, the revenues allocable to the consulting services are recognized as the services are performed. In most cases, we have determined that the services are not considered essential to the functionality of other elements of the arrangement.

Deferred revenue includes unearned amounts received under maintenance and support contracts, and amounts received from customers but not yet recognized as revenues.

Capitalization of software development costs

Certain software development costs are capitalized subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility in accordance with FASB SFAS, No. 86 *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed*. Based on our product development process and that of our subsidiaries, technological feasibility is established upon completion of a detailed program design and a working model.

Research and development costs incurred in the process of developing product improvements are generally charged to expenses as incurred.

29

Significant costs incurred by us and our subsidiaries between completion of the detailed program design and the point at which the product is ready for general release, have been capitalized.

Capitalized software costs are amortized on a product by product basis, by the greater of the amount computed using the: (i) ratio that current gross revenues from sales of the software to the total of current and anticipated future gross revenues from sales of that software, or (ii) the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the product (three to five years). We assess the recoverability of this intangible asset on a regular basis by determining whether the amortization of the asset over its remaining life can be recovered through undiscounted future operating cash flows from the specific software product sold. As of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, no impairment losses have been identified.

Goodwill

Goodwill and intangible assets with an indefinite useful life are no longer amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests based on estimated fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 142 *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, or SFAS No. 142. We conduct our annual test of impairment for goodwill in December of each year. In addition we test for impairment periodically whenever events or circumstances occur subsequent to our annual impairment tests that indicate that the asset might be impaired. Indicators we consider important which could trigger an

impairment include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of use of acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business, significant negative industry or economic trends, a significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period and our market capitalization relative to net book value.

As of December 31, 2008, we had two reporting units. Goodwill attributable to each of the reporting units is measured separately. The first step of the goodwill impairment test compares the carrying value of each reporting unit with its fair value on that date. We determined the fair value of each of our reporting units using the income approach, which utilizes a discounted cash flow model, as we believe that this approach best approximates our fair value at this time. The aggregate fair value of the two reporting units was reconciled to our market capitalization based on the share price of our ordinary share as of December 31, 2008, including estimated control premium that an investor would be willing to pay for controlling interest in us. A key assumption used in the calculation of our fair value based on market capitalization was the consideration of a control premium. We reviewed industry premium data and determined an appropriate control premium for our analysis based on the average of any premium received in transactions over the past several months.

Significant estimates used in the discounted cash flow model include projections of revenue growth, net income margins, discount rate, and terminal business value. The forecasts of revenue growth and net income margins are based upon management s long-term view of the business and are used by senior management and the Board of Directors to evaluate operating performance. The discount rate utilized was estimated using our weighted average cost of capital. The terminal business value was determined by applying a growth factor to the latest year for which a forecast exists. Since the fair value of the reporting units exceeded their carrying amount, no impairment was identified in 2006, 2007 and 2008.

Subsequent to December 31, 2008, our share price has declined. The decline is consistent with overall market conditions and is not a result of changes in our expectations of future cash flows. We will continue to monitor our market capitalization and expectations of future cash flows and will perform impairment testing if deemed necessary.

Other Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are comprised of distribution rights, acquired technology and customer relations, and are amortized over their useful life using a method of amortization that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible assets are consumed or otherwise used up. Distribution rights, acquired technology and customer relations are amortized on a straight line basis over a period of five years. As of December 31, 2008, such assets have been fully amortized.

Impairment of long-lived assets

We review our long-lived assets for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, or SFAS No. 144, whenever a sale event or change in circumstances indicates that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying value of an asset to the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, no impairment indicators have been identified.

In 2006, our board of directors approved a comprehensive global restructuring plan in connection with which we wrote off certain intangible assets following a determination that the assets were impaired. As a result, we recorded a \$309,000 impairment charge for the year ended December 31, 2006. See Note 15c to our consolidated financial statements.

Marketable Securities

We account for investments in marketable securities in accordance with SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, or SFAS No. 115. Our management determines the appropriate classification of its investments in marketable debt and equity securities at the time of purchase and reevaluates such determinations at each balance sheet date. Debt and equity securities are designated as available-for-sale and are stated at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of shareholders equity. Realized gains and losses on sales of investments, as determined on a specific identification basis, are included in financial income, net.

In accordance with our policy and FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-1 and SAB Topic 5M, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and It s Application to Certain Investments*, we recognize an impairment charge when a decline in the fair value of our investments below the cost basis is determined to be other-than-temporary. Factors we consider to determine whether to recognize an impairment charge

include our intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value, the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost basis, the credit ratings of the securities and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuers.

During 2008, we recorded a \$131,000 other than temporary impairment of marketable securities. Our ongoing consideration of these factors could result in additional impairment charges in the future, which could adversely affect our net income.

Stock-based compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123(R). SFAS 123(R) requires registrants to estimate the fair value of equity-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as an expense over the requisite service periods in our consolidated statement of operation. We recognize compensation expenses for the value of our awards, which have graded vesting based on the accelerated method over the requisite service period of each of the awards, net of estimated forfeitures. Estimated forfeitures are based on actual historical pre-vesting forfeitures. We used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model through December 31, 2006 and use the Binomial option-pricing model for options granted after such date.

Contingencies

We are, from time to time, subject to claims arising in the ordinary course of our business, including claims relating to product liability, employees, suppliers and public authorities. In determining whether liabilities should be recorded for pending litigation claims, we assess the allegations made and the likelihood that we will be able to defend against the claim successfully. When we believe that it is probable that we will not prevail in a particular matter, we estimate the amount of liability based, in part, on advice of legal counsel.

| 2 | 1 |
|---|---|
| 5 | I |
| | |

Allowance for doubtful accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for losses that may result from the failure of our customers to make required payments. We estimate this allowance based on our judgment as to our ability to collect outstanding receivables. We form this judgment based on an analysis of significant outstanding invoices, the age of the receivables, our historical collection experience and current economic trends. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in their inability to make payments, we would need to increase the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Discontinued operations

We recorded the results of the sale of AAOD in December 2007 and the liquidation of one of our subsidiaries as discontinued operations, according to Exhibit 03-13A of FASB Emerging Issues Task Force No. 03-13, or EITF 03-13, which applies to par. 42 of FASB SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets*. Under Exhibit 03-13A of EITF 03-13, in order to record a disposed activity as a discontinued operation, the activity must meet all of the following criteria:

The activity needs to be qualified as a "component of an entity"; and

The results of operations of a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale shall be reported as a discontinued operation if both of the following conditions are met:

(i) The operations and cash flows of the component have been (or will be) eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity as a result of the transaction

(ii) The entity will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the transaction.

According to Exhibit 03-13A of EITF 03-13, activities that meet the foregoing requirements are classified as a discontinued operation for all presented periods.

Accounting for income tax

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, or SFAS No. 109. This Statement prescribes the use of the liability method whereby deferred tax assets and liability account balances are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. We provide a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted FIN 48, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, or SFAS No. 109. The first step is to evaluate the tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that, on an evaluation of the technical merits, the tax position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. Prior to January 1, 2007, we estimated our uncertain income tax obligations in accordance with SFAS No.109 and SFAS No. 5 *Accounting for Contingencies*, or SFAS No. 5.

We recognize accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expense. Accounting for tax positions requires judgments, including estimating reserves for potential uncertainties. We also assess our ability to utilize tax attributes, including those in the form of carry forwards, for which the benefits have already been reflected in the financial statements. We do not record valuation allowances for deferred tax assets that we believe are more likely than not to be realized in future periods. While we believe the resulting tax balances as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 are appropriately accounted for in accordance with FIN 48 and SFAS No. 109, as applicable, the ultimate outcome of such matters could result in favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our consolidated financial statements and such adjustments could be material.

32

We have filed or are in the process of filing local and foreign tax returns that are subject to audit by the respective tax authorities. The amount of income tax we pay is subject to ongoing audits by the tax authorities, which often result in proposed assessments. Our estimate of the potential outcome for any uncertain tax issue is highly judgmental. We believe that we adequately provided for any reasonably foreseeable outcomes related to tax audits and settlement. However, our future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our estimated tax liabilities in the period the assessments are made or resolved, audits are closed or when statutes of limitation on potential assessments expire.

As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, we recorded a charge of \$530,000 in our accumulated deficit for the year ended December 31, 2007. We recorded a tax expense of \$50,000 and \$16,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Interest associated with uncertain income tax positions and penalties expense are classified as income tax expenses. We have not recorded any material interest or penalties during 2007 and 2008.

Results of Operations

The following table presents selected consolidated statement of operations data for the periods indicated as a percentage of total revenues:

| | Year | Year ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Software | 35.7% | 30.3% | 33.7% | | |
| Maintenance and technical support | 21.9 | 21.6 | 23.5 | | |
| Consulting services | 42.4 | 48.1 | 42.8 | | |
| Total revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Cost of revenues: | 100.070 | 100.070 | 1001070 | | |
| Software | 10.3 | 7.8 | 7.9 | | |
| Maintenance and technical support | 5.5 | 2.7 | 3.7 | | |
| Consulting services | 32.1 | 36.3 | 32.2 | | |
| Total cost of revenues | 47.9 | 46.8 | 43.8 | | |
| Gross profit | 52.1 | 53.2 | 56.2 | | |

Results of Operations

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|------|
| | <u> </u> | | |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | |
| Research and development, net | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.8 |
| Selling and marketing, | 29.9 | 26.6 | 28.0 |
| General and administrative | 26.2 | 19.7 | 17.5 |
| Restructuring and impairment | 4.1 | | - |
| Total operating expenses, net | 64.9 | 51.0 | 49.3 |
| Operating income (loss) | (12.8) | 2.2 | 6.9 |
| Financial income, net | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Other income, net | 0.5 | 0.3 | - |
| Income (loss) before taxes on income | (11.6) | 2.8 | 7.6 |
| Taxes on income | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates | (0.0) | (0.2) | 0.0 |
| Minority interest in (earnings) losses of consolidated subsidiaries | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Net income (loss) from continued operations | (12.0) | 2.0 | 7.3 |
| Net income from discontinued operations | 2.5 | 19.6 | - |
| | (9.5) | 21.6 | 7.3 |

Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared With Year Ended December 31, 2007

Revenues. Revenues in 2008 increased by 6% from \$58.4 million in 2007 to \$62.0 million in 2008. License revenues increased by 19% from \$15.0 million in 2007 to \$17.9 million in 2008, as a result of uniPaaS and iBOLT sales to new customers. Revenues from sales of applications increased by 11% from \$2.7 million in 2007 to \$3.0 million in 2008, primarily due to sales of additional licenses to current customers. Revenues from maintenance and technical support increased by 15% from \$12.6 million in 2007 to \$14.5 million in 2008, as a result of the increased maintenance and support services that we provided to existing and new customers consistent with the increase in license sales. Revenues from consulting and other services decreased by 5% from \$28.1 million in 2007 to \$26.6 million in 2008, as a result of the advancement of a number of customers projects to production stage, consequently reducing the technology consulting needs of these customers. Based on the decline in our business that we began to experience in the fourth quarter of 2008, we anticipate that our revenues may decline on a year-over-year basis in 2009.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues decreased by 1.0% from \$27.3 million in 2007 to \$27.1 million in 2008. Cost of revenues for licenses decreased by 3% from \$3.3 million in 2007 to \$3.2 million in 2008, due to a decrease in amortization of capitalized software development expenses from \$2.5 million in 2007 to \$2.4 million in 2008. Cost of revenues for applications increased by 31% from \$1.3 million in 2007 to \$1.7 million in 2008, consistent with the increase in application revenues. Cost of revenues for maintenance and technical support increased by 38% from \$1.6 million in 2007 to \$2.2 million in 2008, consistent with the increase in maintenance and technical support revenues. Cost of revenues for consulting and other services decreased by 6% from \$21.2 million in 2007 to \$20.0 million in 2008, consistent with the decrease in consulting and other services revenues. Cost of revenues for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008 includes \$35,000 and \$20,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under FASB SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS No. 123(R).

Gross Profit. Gross profit in 2008 was 56.2% compared to gross profit of 53.2% in 2007. The improvement in gross profit was mainly a result of the increase in license sales, which have a high gross profit margin, and cost savings that we implemented that improved the gross profit margin from professional services.

Research and Development Expenses, Net. Total research and development expenses decreased by 14% from \$5.7 million in 2007 to \$4.9 million in 2008. Net research and development expenses decreased by 15% from \$2.7 million in 2007 to \$2.3 million in 2008. In 2008, we capitalized \$2.6 million of software development costs, compared to \$3.0 million in 2007. The decrease in total research and development expenses in 2008 was due to a decrease in the number of our research and development department personnel. Net research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues in 2008 was 4% compared with 5% in 2007. Research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008 include \$47,000 and \$13,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under SFAS No. 123(R).

Selling and Marketing Expenses. Selling and marketing expenses increased by 12% from \$15.6 million in 2007 to \$17.4 million in 2008. The increase in selling and marketing expenses is primarily attributable to the appreciation of the Japanese Yen against the U.S. dollar in 2008, which adversely affected the U.S. dollar value of our Japanese Yen denominated expenses and the reclassification of certain employees between departments, which resulted in an increase in selling and marketing personnel in 2008. Selling and marketing expenses for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008 include \$132,000 and \$112,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under SFAS No. 123(R).

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses decreased by 5% from \$11.5 million in 2007 to \$10.9 million in 2008. The decrease in general and administrative expenses is primarily attributable to the depreciation of the Euro against the U.S. dollar in 2008, which positively affected the U.S. dollar value of our Euro denominated expenses and the reclassification of certain employees between departments, which resulted in a decrease in general and administrative personnel in 2008. General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008 include \$220,000 and \$99,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under SFAS No. 123(R).

34

Financial Income, Net. Financial income, net increased from \$0.2 million in 2007 to \$0.4 million in 2008. The increase in financial income, net was primarily due to an increase in interest income as a result of increased cash and cash equivalents.

Other Income, *Net*. We recorded other income of \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million in 2007 and 2008, respectively, relating to the sale of CarPro System Ltd. s intellectual property, related assets and eDeveloper licenses.

Taxes on Income. We recorded taxes on income of \$0.4 million in 2007 compared to \$0.2 million in 2008. Taxes on income are primarily attributable to taxes incurred in Europe and the United States. Most of our subsidiaries have accumulated carryforward losses for tax purposes.

Equity in Earnings (Losses) of Affiliates. Prior to the sale of our 40% ownership interest in Nextstep in June 2008, we recognized income and loss from the operations of Nextstep. In 2008, we recorded equity in losses of affiliates of \$8,000 compared to equity in losses of affiliates of \$86,000 in 2007. As a result of the sale of our interest in Nextstep in June 2008, we recorded a loss of \$61,000 in 2008.

Minority Interest in Earnings (Losses) of Subsidiaries. Minority interest in earnings (losses) of subsidiaries represents the minority shareholders share of the earnings or losses of CarPro Systems Ltd. In 2007, we recorded a loss of \$22,000 relating to the minority interest in earnings of CarPro Systems Ltd. We did not record a minority interest in the earnings (losses) of subsidiaries in 2008 as CarPro Systems was not active in 2008.

Net Income (Loss) from Continued Operations. We recorded net income of \$1.1 million from continued operations in 2007 compared to net income of \$4.5 million from continued operations in 2008. The increase in net income in 2008 is mainly attributable to the increase of licenses sales, maintenance and support and improved profitability.

Net Income from Discontinued Operations. In 2007, we recorded \$11.5 million net income from discontinued operations relating to our former wholly-owned subsidiary, AAOD, which we sold in 2007, and to our Italian subsidiary, which ceased to be active in 2007. The net income from discontinued operations in 2007 consists of a \$9.3 million capital gain resulting from the sale of AAOD and \$2.9 million net income from the operations of AAOD, offset in part by a \$0.7 million loss from the operations of our Italian subsidiary. We did not record net income from discontinued operations in 2008.

Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared With Year Ended December 31, 2006

Revenues. Total revenues increased by 11% to \$58.4 million in 2007 from \$52.6 million in 2006. License revenues decreased by 5% to \$15.0 million in 2007 from \$15.8 million in 2006. Application revenues decreased by 7% to \$2.7 million in 2007 from \$2.9 million in 2006, primarily due to our efforts to decrease sales to third parties. Revenues from maintenance and technical support increased by 10% to \$12.6 million in 2007 from \$11.5 million in 2006, as a result of increased maintenance and support services that we provided to existing customers. Revenues from consulting and other services increased by 27% to \$28.1 million in 2007 from \$22.2 million in 2006, as a result of customers upgrading to eDeveloper V10 and due to the growth of our IT consulting subsidiary.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues increased by 8% to \$27.3 million in 2007 from \$25.2 million in 2006. Cost of revenues for licenses decreased by 6% to \$3.3 million in 2007 from \$3.5 million in 2006, consistent with the decrease in licenses sales. These costs include the amortization of capitalized software development assets in the amount of \$2.5 million in 2007 and \$3.6 million in 2006. Cost of revenues for applications decreased by 32% to \$1.3 million in 2007 from \$1.9 million in 2006, primarily as a result of decrease in sales to third parties. Cost of revenues for maintenance and technical support decreased by 45% to \$1.6 million in 2007 from \$2.9 million in 2006, primarily due to cost saving resulting from the implementation of our restructuring plan. Cost of revenues for consulting and other services increased by 25% to \$21.2 million in 2007 from \$16.9 million in 2006, consistent with the increase in consulting and other services revenues. Cost of revenues for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 includes \$0 and \$35,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under FASB SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS No. 123(R).

35

Gross Profit. Gross profit in 2007 was 53.2% compared to gross profit of 52.1% in 2006. The improvement in gross profit was due to cost saving resulting from the implementation of our restructuring plan and improved gross profit margin from professional services.

Research and Development Expenses, Net. Total research and development expenses decreased by 3% to \$5.7 million in 2007 from \$5.9 million in 2006. Net research and development expenses increased by 8% to \$2.7 million in 2007 from \$2.5 million in 2006. In 2007, we capitalized \$3.0 million of software development costs, compared to \$3.4 million in 2006. The decrease in total research and development expenses in 2007 was due to a decrease in the number of employees in our research and development personnel. Net research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues remained consistent at 5% in 2007 and 2006. Research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 include \$0 and \$47,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under SFAS No. 123(R).

Selling and Marketing Expenses, Net. Selling and marketing expenses decreased slightly to \$15.6 million in 2007 from \$15.7 million in 2006. Marketing and selling expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 include \$0 and \$132,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under SFAS No. 123(R).

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses decreased by 17% to \$11.5 million in 2007 from \$13.8 million in 2006. The decrease in general and administrative expenses is primarily attributable to cost saving as a result of the implementation of our restructuring plan. General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 include \$27,000 and \$220,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation recorded under SFAS No. 123(R).

Restructuring and impairment expenses. In the third quarter of 2006, we announced a restructuring and impairment plan primarily to reduce our costs and improve profitability. Total restructuring and impairment expenses in 2006 were \$2.2 million, a significant portion of which was attributable to severance payments. We did not record any restructuring and impairment expenses in 2007.

Financial Income, Net. Financial income, net decreased from \$0.3 million in 2006 to \$0.2 million in 2007, primarily due to the appreciation of certain currencies in which we held assets or to which assets were tied, against the U.S. dollar in 2007, which adversely affected the U.S. dollar value of such assets.

Other Income, Net. We recorded other income of \$0.3 million in 2007 and \$1.2 million in 2006 relating to the sale of CarPro System Ltd. s intellectual property, related assets and eDeveloper licenses.

Taxes on Income. We recorded taxes on income of \$0.4 million in 2007 compared to \$0.3 million in 2006. Taxes on income are primarily attributable to taxes incurred in Europe and the United States. Most of our subsidiaries have accumulated carryforward losses for tax purposes.

Equity in Earnings (Losses) of Affiliates. Prior to the sale of our 40% ownership interest in Nextstep in June 2008, we recognized income and loss from the operations of Nextstep. In 2007, we recorded equity in losses of affiliates of \$86,000, while we recorded equity in earnings of affiliates of \$15,000 in 2006.

Minority Interest in Earnings (Losses) of Subsidiaries. Minority interest in earnings (losses) of subsidiaries represents the minority shareholders share of the earnings or losses of CarPro Systems Ltd. In 2007, we recorded a loss of \$22,000 relating to the minority interest in earnings of CarPro Systems Ltd. compared to income of \$71,000 in 2006 relating to the minority interest in losses of CarPro Systems Ltd.

Net Income (Loss) from Continued Operations. We recorded \$6.3 million net loss from continued operations in 2006 compared to \$1.1 million net income from continued operations in 2007.

Discontinued Operations. In 2007, we recorded \$11.5 million net income from discontinued operations relating to our former wholly-owned subsidiary AAOD, which we sold in 2007, and to our Italian subsidiary, which ceased to be active in 2007. The net income from discontinued operations in 2007 consists of a \$9.3 million capital gain resulting from the sale of AAOD and \$2.9 million net income from the operations of AAOD, offset in part by a \$0.7 million loss from the operations of our Italian subsidiary. In 2006 we recorded \$1.3 million net income from discontinued operations relating to AAOD and our Italian subsidiary. The net income from discontinued operations in 2006 consists of \$1.7 million net income from the operations of AAOD, offset in part by a \$0.4 million loss from the operations of our Italian subsidiary.

Impact of Currency Fluctuations and of Inflation

Our financial statements are stated in U.S. dollars, our functional currency. However, a substantial portion of our revenues and costs are incurred in other currencies, particularly NIS, Euros, Japanese yen, and the British pound. We also maintain substantial non-U.S. dollar balances of assets, including cash, accounts receivable, and liabilities, including accounts payable. Therefore, fluctuations in the value of the currencies in which we do business relative to the U.S. dollar may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. The depreciation of such other currencies in relation to the U.S. dollar has the effect of reducing the U.S. dollar value of any of our liabilities which are payable in those other currencies (unless such costs or payables are linked to the U.S. dollar). Such depreciation also has the effect of decreasing the U.S. dollar value of any asset that is denominated in such other currencies or receivables payable in such other currencies (unless such receivables are linked to the U.S. dollar value of any currencies (unless such receivables are linked to the U.S. dollar). In addition, the U.S. dollar value of revenues and expenses denominated in such other currencies and expenses denominated in such other currencies would increase. Conversely, the appreciation of any currency in relation to the U.S. dollar has the effect of increasing the U.S. dollar value of any unlinked liabilities and increasing the U.S. dollar value of revenues and expenses denominated in other currencies.

In addition, while we incur a portion of our costs in NIS, the U.S. dollar cost of our operations in Israel is influenced by the extent to which any increase in the rate of inflation in Israel is (or is not) offset, or is offset on a lagging basis, by a devaluation of the NIS in relation to the U.S. dollar.

Because exchange rates between the NIS, Euro, Japanese yen, and the British pound and the U.S. dollar fluctuate continuously, exchange rate fluctuations and especially larger periodic devaluations will have an impact on our profitability and period-to-period comparisons of our results. We cannot assure you that in the future our results of operations may not be materially adversely affected by currency fluctuations.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, (i) devaluation or appreciation of the U.S. dollar against the most important currencies for our business, the NIS, Euro, Japanese yen, the British pound; and (ii) inflation as reflected in changes in the Israeli consumer price index.

| | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | | | | |
| New Israeli Shekel | (4.9)% | 6.8% | 2.0% | 9.9% | 1.2% | | | | |
| Euro | 1.0% | (1.0)% | 4.2% | 11.7% | (5.3)% | | | | |
| Japanese Yen | (2.4)% | (0.3)% | (7.6)% | 6.2% | 23.1% | | | | |
| British Pound | 2.1% | 2.1% | 6.4% | 2.2% | (27.2)% | | | | |
| Israeli Consumer Price Index | 1.2% | 2.4% | (0.1)% | 3.4% | 3.8% | | | | |

Conditions in Israel

We are incorporated under the laws of, and our principal executive offices and most of our research and development facilities are located in, the State of Israel. See Item 3.D. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Location in Israel for a description of governmental, economic, fiscal, monetary or political polices or factors that have materially affected or could materially affect our operations.

Corporate Tax Rate

Israeli companies are subject to company tax on their taxable income. The applicable rate was 27% in 2008, was reduced to 26% in 2009 and will be further reduced to 25% in 2010 and thereafter. However, the effective tax rate payable by a company that derives income from an approved enterprise (as further discussed below), may be considerably less.

Eight investment programs at our facility in Or Yehuda have been granted approved enterprise status under the Law for Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959, commonly referred to as the Investment Law, and we are, therefore, eligible for certain tax benefits. Subject to compliance with applicable requirements, the portion of our income derived from the approved enterprise programs will be tax-exempt for a period of two to four years commencing in the first year in which an approved enterprise generates taxable income and will be subject, for a period of five to eight years, to a reduced corporate tax of 25%. However, these benefits will not be available to us with respect to any income derived by our non-Israeli subsidiaries.

On April 1, 2005, an amendment to the Investment Law came into effect that has significantly changed the provisions of the Investment Law. The amendment limits the scope of enterprises which may be approved by the Investment Center of the Ministry of Industry and Trade of the State of Israel, or the Investment Center, by setting criteria for the approval of a facility as an approved enterprise, such as provisions generally requiring that at least 25% of the approved enterprise s income will be derived from export. Additionally, the amendment enacted major changes in the manner in which tax benefits are awarded under the Investment Law so that companies no longer require Investment Center approval in order to qualify for tax benefits.

However, the April 2005 amendment to the Investment Law provides that terms and benefits included in any certificate of approval granted prior to the amendment will remain subject to the provisions of the Investment Law as they were on the date of such approval. Therefore, our existing approved enterprise programs will generally not be subject to the provisions of the April 2005 amendment. As a result of the amendment, tax-exempt income will subject us to taxes upon distribution or liquidation and we may be required to record deferred tax liability with respect to such tax-exempt income. As of December 31, 2008, we did not generate income under the provision of the amended Investment Law.

As of December 31, 2008, our net operating loss carry-forwards for Israeli tax purposes was approximately \$40.6 million. Under current Israeli tax laws, operating loss carry forwards do not expire and may be offset against future taxable income. As of December 31, 2008, our subsidiaries in Europe and Japan had estimated total available tax loss carry-forwards of \$10.8 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, which may be offset against future taxable income for 15 to 20 years, and two years, respectively.

We received final tax assessments for the years 1997 to 2002 from the Israeli tax authorities and have submitted an appeal to the District Court of Tel Aviv-Jaffa with respect to such tax assessments. As of March 2009, one open issue remains to be settled by the court (see Item 8.A, Financial Information Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information), while all other issues were resolved with no additional taxes to be paid by us. Our management believes, based on the advice of its legal advisors, that the probability of an unfavorable outcome to our company on this matter is remote, therefore no provision was provided in the financial statements in respect of this matter.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, or SFAS 141R. SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and early adoption is prohibited. We believe that the impact of SFAS 141R on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition will depend on the nature and size of acquisitions, if any, subsequent to the effective date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51,* or SFAS 160. SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards that require that the ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent s equity; the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of income; and changes in a parent s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary be accounted for consistently. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We do not expect that the adoption of SFAS 160 will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statement.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position, or FSP, FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*, or FSP FAS 142-3. FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and early adoption is prohibited. We believe that the adoption of FPS FAS 142-3 will not have impact on our consolidated financial statement.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, or FSP FAS 157-2, to delay the effective date of FASB SFAS 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, excluding those that are recognized or disclosed in financial statements at fair value on a recurring basis (that is, at least annually). For purposes of applying FSP FAS 157-2, nonfinancial liabilities include all assets and liabilities other than those meeting the definition of a financial asset or a financial liability in FASB SFAS 159. FSP FAS 157-2 defers the effective date of SFAS 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of this FSP FAS 157-2. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 with respect to certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, excluding those that are recognized or disclosed in financial statements at fair value on a recurring basis (that is, at least annually), to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

B. LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Historically, we have financed our operations through cash generated by operations, funds generated by our public offerings in 1991 (approximately \$8.5 million), 1996 (approximately \$5.0 million) and 2000 (approximately \$79.6 million), private equity investments in 1998 (approximately \$12.2 million), as well as from research and development and marketing grants primarily from the Government of Israel. In addition, we have also financed our operations through short-term loans and borrowings under available credit facilities.

On December 30, 2007, we entered into an agreement, to sell our wholly-owned subsidiary, AAOD, a Florida corporation, that develops and markets application software targeted at the long-term care industry, to Fortissimo Capital, for \$17 million. We received \$1.0 million of the sale proceeds in December 2007 and \$16.0 million at the beginning of 2008. We recorded a capital gain of \$9.3 million as a result of the transaction in 2007.

In June 2008, we sold our 40% interest in Nextstep in consideration of \$150,000. We recorded a capital loss of \$61,000 as a result of the transaction in 2008.

As of December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$32.6 million in cash and cash equivalents and working capital of approximately \$33.8 million, compared to \$16.4 million in cash and cash equivalents and working capital of approximately \$28.7 million at December 31, 2007.

As of December 31, 2008, our accumulated debt was approximately \$180,000, comprised of \$178,000 of long-term loans (including current maturities) and \$2,000 of short term credit, compared to approximately \$3.8 million as of December 31, 2007, comprised of \$202,000 of long-term loans (including current maturities) and \$3.6 million of short-term credit. The decrease is mainly attributable to the repayment of short-term credit in 2008.

39

We do not currently have significant capital spending or purchase commitments; however we anticipate a moderate decrease in capital expenditures and lease commitments during 2009 consistent with our anticipated level of operations, infrastructure and personnel. We believe that our accumulated cash, in conjunction with cash generated from operations and available funds, will be sufficient to meet our cash requirements for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. We expect that cash provided by operating activities may fluctuate in future periods as a result of a number of factors, including fluctuations in our operating results, accounts receivable collections, and the timing and amount of tax and other payments.

In light of the challenging conditions in the financial markets over the last several months, we have been more proactively managing our cash equivalents and fixed income portfolio. We believe the overall credit quality of our portfolio is strong, with our cash equivalents and fixed income portfolio invested in securities with a weighted-average credit rating exceeding A. A majority of our investments are priced by pricing vendors and our fixed income and publicly traded equity securities are classified as Level 1 investments, as measured under FASB SFAS 157, as these vendors either provide a quoted market price in an active market or use observable inputs.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the periods presented:

| | 2006 | | nded December 31, 2007 ollars in thousands) | 2008 |
|---|-------|-----|---|---------|
| Net income (loss) from continuing operation \$ | (6,32 | 26) | \$ 1,143 | 4,508 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) from continuing | | | | |
| operations to net cash provided by operating activities from | | | | |
| continuing operations: | 9,70 | 54 | 8,073 | 3,186 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operation | 3,43 | 38 | 9,216 | 7,694 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from | | | | |
| discontinued operation | 3 | 93 | (1,656) | (21) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,8. | 31 | 7,560 | 7,673 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (5,13 | 85) | (2,391) | 11,266 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 6' | 78 | (756) | (3,371) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (2) | 72) | (375) | (458) |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operation. | 6 | 93 | 5,243 | 15,131 |

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to approximately \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 and approximately \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Net cash provided by operations in 2008 consists primarily of our ongoing operations activity and of net income adjusted for non cash activity, including depreciation and amortization of our capitalized research and development assets and an increase in accrued expenses and other accounts payable. Net cash provided by operations in 2007 consists primarily of net income adjusted for non cash activity, including stock-based compensation expenses, depreciation and amortization of our capitalized research and development assets and an increase in accrued expenses and other accounts payable and a decrease in other accounts receivable and prepaid expenses. Net cash provided by operations in 2006 consists primarily of net income adjusted for non cash activity, depreciation and amortization of our capitalized research and development assets. Net cash provided by operations in 2006 consists primarily of net income adjusted for non cash activity, depreciation and amortization of our capitalized research and development assets, an increase in accrued expenses and other accounts payable, a decrease in other accounts receivable and prepaid expenses and a decrease in trade receivables.

Net cash provided by investing activities was approximately \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to net cash used in investing activities of approximately \$2.4 million and \$5.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Net cash provided by investment activities in 2008 is primarily attributable to proceeds received from the sale of our wholly owned subsidiary AAOD, as well as proceeds of \$150,000 from the sale of Nextstep, offset by capitalized software development costs, the purchase of property and equipment and investment in marketable securities and short term bank deposits. Net cash used in investment activities in 2007 is primarily attributable to capitalized software development costs and the purchase of property and equipment. Net cash used in investment activities in 2006 is primarily attributable to software development costs, the purchase of property and equipment and investment in marketable securities, offset in part by proceeds of \$900,000 from the sale of the assets of CarPro Systems Ltd.

40

Net cash used in financing activities was approximately \$3.4 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, primarily attributable to the repayment of short-term loans, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of approximately \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily attributable to an increase in short-term loans and proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

C. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, PATENTS ANDLICENSES

Our research and development and support personnel work closely with our customers and prospective customers to determine their requirements and to design enhancements and new releases to meet their needs. We periodically release enhancements and upgrades to our core products. In the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, we invested \$5.9 million, \$5.7 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, in research and development. Research and development activities take place in our facilities in Israel, India, Japan, the United States and Europe.

As of December 31, 2008, we employed 96 employees in research and development activities, of which 33 persons were located in Israel, 56 persons in India, four persons in Japan and three persons in Europe. Our product development team includes technical writers who prepare user documentation for our products. In addition, we have also entered into arrangements with subcontractors for the preparation of product user documentation and certain product development work.

For additional information regarding product development see Item 4. Information on the Company Business Overview Product Development .

D. TREND INFORMATION

Based on the decline in our business that we began to experience in the fourth quarter of 2008, we anticipate that our revenues may decline on a year-over-year basis in 2009. In order to mitigate a reduction in sales, we intend to continue our tight cost control efforts in order to achieve improved profitability in 2009.

For more information on trends in our industry, please see Item 4. Information on the Company-Business Overview-Industry Background and Trends and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Results of Operations.

E. OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We are not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements. In addition, we have no unconsolidated special purpose financing or partnership entities that are likely to create material contingent obligations.

F. TABULAR DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACTUALOBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes our minimum contractual obligations as of December 31, 2008 and the effect we expect them to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods.

| Contractual Obligations | | Payments due by period | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------------------------|----|----------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | _ | Total | le | ss than 1 year | | 1-3 years | | 3-5 years | | | | |
| Operating lease obligations | \$ | 3,421,000 | \$ | 2,250,000 | \$ | 1,150,000 | \$ | 21,000 | | | | |
| Severance payments* | \$ | 186,000 | | - | | - | | | | | | |
| Uncertainties in income taxes (FIN 48) | \$ | 596,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| Long term loan | \$ | 178,000 | \$ | 145,000 | \$ | 33,000 | | | | | | |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ | 4,381,000 | \$ | 2,395,000 | \$ | 1,183,000 | \$ | 22,000 | | | | |

^{*}Severance payments relate to accrued severance obligations mainly to our Israeli employees as required under Israeli labor law. We are legally required to pay severance upon certain circumstances, primarily upon termination of employment by our company, retirement or death of the respective employee. Our liability for all of our Israeli employees is fully provided for by monthly deposits with insurance policies and by an accrual.

41

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

A. DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

Set forth below are the name, age, principal position and a biographical description of each of our directors and executive officers:

| Name | Age | Position |
|---------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|
| | | |
| Guy Bernstein | 41 | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| Hadas Gazit Kaiser (1)(3) | 33 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Gad Goldstein | 50 | Director |
| Itiel Efrat (2) | 45 | Outside director |
| Elan Penn $(1)(2)(3)$ | 58 | Outside director |
| Eli Reifman | 40 | Director |
| Naamit Salomon | 44 | Director |
| Yehezkel Zeira (2) | 65 | Director |

(1) Member of our Option Committee

(2) Member of our Audit Committee

(3) Member of our Investment Committee

Messrs. Guy Bernstein, Gad Goldstein, Yehezkel Zeira and Eli Reifman, Ms. Naamit Salomon and Ms. Hadas Gazit Kaiser were elected at our 2008 annual general meeting of shareholders for a one year period, to serve as directors until our 2009 annual general meeting of shareholders. Messrs. Itiel Efrat and Elan Penn will serve as our outside directors pursuant to the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law for three-year terms until December 28, 2009 and December 29, 2011, respectively.

In April 2008, Mr. Eitan Naor, our former president and chief executive officer, ceased to serve in such capacity and in May 2008, Mr. Naor ceased to serve as a member of our board of directors. In January 2009, Mr. David Zigdon, our former financial executive officer, ceased to serve in such capacity. On March 31, 2009, Ms. Hadas Gazit Kaiser ceased to served as a member of our board of directors.

Guy Bernstein has served as the chairman of our board of directors since April 2008 and as a board member since January 2007. Mr. Bernstein has served as the chief executive officer of Formula Systems, our parent company, since January 2008. Since December 2006, Mr. Bernstein has served as a director and the chief executive officer of Emblaze, our controlling shareholder. Mr. Bernstein also serves as a director of Sapiens and is the chairman of the board of directors of Matrix, both of which are subsidiaries of Formula Systems. From April 2004 to December 2006, Mr. Bernstein served as the chief financial officer of Emblaze and he has served as a director of Emblaze since April 2004. Prior thereto and from 1999, Mr. Bernstein served as our chief financial and operations officer. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Bernstein was at Kost Forer Gabbay &Kasierer, a member of Ernst & Young Global, where he acted as senior manager from 1994 to 1997. Mr. Bernstein holds a B.A. degree in accounting and economics from Tel Aviv University and is a certified public accountant (CPA) in Israel.

42

Hadas Gazit Kaiser has served as our chief financial officer since February 2009. Ms. Gazit Kaiser served as a director of our company from August 2007 to March 31, 2009. Prior to joining us and from December 2006, Ms. Gazit Kaiser served as chief financial officer of Emblaze. From August 2005 to December 2006, Ms. Gazit Kaiser served as a vice president of finance of Emblaze and as chief financial officer of Emblaze Mobile. Prior thereto and from August 2003, Ms. Gazit Kaiser served as the budget control manager of TTI Team Telecom International. From August 2000 to August 2003, Ms. Gazit Kaiser was a manager for Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer, a member of Ernst & Young Global. Ms. Gazit Kaiser is a director of Matrix IT Ltd., Formula Systems, Sapiens International Corporation and RadView Software Ltd. Ms. Gazit Kaiser is a certified public accountant (CPA) in Israel and holds a B.A. degree in economics and accounting and an M.B.A. degree in finance from Tel Aviv University.

Gad Goldstein has served as a director of our company since December 1998. Mr. Goldstein served as the chief executive officer of Formula Systems, our parent company, from November 2006 to January 2008 and as its President from 1995 until November 2006, and also served as a member of its board of directors from 1985 until January 2008. Mr. Goldstein serves as a director of Matrix IT Ltd. of the Formula

group and Formula Vision Technologies Ltd. (formerly of the Formula group). Mr. Goldstein has a B.A. degree in economics and an MBA degree, both from Tel Aviv University.

Itiel Efrat has served as an outside director of our company since December 2006 and is a member of our audit committee. Mr. Efrat is the founder and has served as co-managing director of ERB Ltd., a leading financial consulting firm, since 1995. Mr. Efrat was also the founder and is a member of the board of directors of ESOP-Excellence Trust Company since 2004. Mr. Efrat is a certified public accountant (CPA) in Israel and holds a B.A. degree in accounting and economics from Tel-Aviv College of Management.

Elan Penn has served as an outside director of our company since December 2005 and is a member of our audit committee. Mr. Penn has served as chief executive officer and chairman of Penn Publishing Ltd., a private company based in Tel Aviv, Israel since 2001. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Penn served as vice president of finance and administration of A.I. Research and Development Ltd. Mr. Penn served as chief executive officer of Sivan Computer Training Company Ltd. during the years 1998 through 2000. From 1992 to 2000, Mr. Penn served as vice president of finance and administration. Mr. Penn 1987 to 1991 and again from 1992 to 1997, Mr. Penn served as our company s vice president of finance and administration. Mr. Penn also serves as a director of Telcoor Telekom Ltd. Mr. Penn holds a B.A. degree in economics from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and a Ph.D. in management science from the University of London.

Eli Reifman has served as a director of our company since January 2007. In 1994, Mr. Reifman co-founded Emblaze and has served as its President since December 2006. Mr. Reifman serves as a director of Emblaze and Sapiens International Corporation. Mr. Reifman served as the chief executive officer of Emblaze from September 2000 until December 2006. Before founding Emblaze, Mr. Reifman was the head of the Technical Development Department and acting head of all production in the Training Development Center of the Israeli Defense Forces, where he was responsible for producing high-end military simulators. In parallel to his business activities, Mr. Reifman is a regular lecturer at BA and MBA courses to Israeli and international students and is involved with promoting social education agenda in Israel via his involvement in various nonprofit organizations.

Naamit Salomon has served as director of our company since March 2003. Ms. Salomon has served as chief financial officer of Formula Systems since August 1997. Ms. Salomon serves as a director of Sapiens International Corporation N.V. of the Formula group. From 1990 through August 1997, Ms. Salomon served as the controller of two large privately held companies in the Formula group. Ms. Salomon holds a B.A. degree in economics and business administration from Ben Gurion University and a L.L.M. degree from Bar-Ilan University.

Yehezkel Zeira has served as a director of our company since December 2005 and is a member of our audit committee. Mr. Zeira has served as an independent information technologies consultant since 2001. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Zeira served as executive vice president international of Ness Technologies Inc., and from 1970 to 2000, Mr. Zeira served in various positions at Advanced Technology Ltd., including as chief executive officer which position he assumed in 1982. Mr. Zeira also serves as a director of Tim Computer Systems Ltd. and Dafron Ltd. Mr. Zeira is also a lecturer at Ben Gurion University Faculty of Engineering. Mr. Zeira holds a B. Sc. degree in industrial engineering and M. Sc. degree in operations research, both from the Technion Israel Institute of Technology and has participated in the Harvard Business School program for management development.

43

The following table lists our other key employees:

| Name | Age | Position |
|----------------|-----|---|
| Amit Ben-Zvi | 42 | Vice President, International Sales and Chief Executive Officer of Hermes Logistics Technologies Limited |
| Amit Birk | 38 | Vice President, Mergers and Acquisitions, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary |
| Arita Mattsoff | 45 | Vice President, Marketing |
| Avikam Perry | 52 | Chief Technology Officer and Vice President of Products |
| Udi Ertel | 49 | Vice President, Magic Global Services, Distribution and Operations |
| Regev Yativ | 40 | President and Chief Executive Officer Magic Software Enterprises Inc. |

Amit Ben-Zvi has served as our vice president and chief executive officer of our subsidiary, Hermes Logistics Technologies Limited, since October 2007. Prior to that and from September 2005, Mr. Ben-Zvi served as the vice president marketing and manager of our iBOLT division.

From July 2002 to July 2005, Mr. Ben-Zvi served as chief executive officer of WizCom Technologies, a publicly traded company specializing in scanning pens and mobile data capture products. Prior thereto and from January 2000, Mr. Ben-Zvi served as the chief executive officer of ISYS Operational Management Systems Ltd., a software applications company based in Israel. From December 1997 to January 2000, Mr. Ben-Zvi served as chief operating officer of Top Imaging Systems Ltd., a publicly traded company. Mr. Ben-Zvi holds a B.A. degree in accounting and LLB degree, both from Tel-Aviv University.

Amit Birk has served as our vice president, mergers and acquisitions, general counsel and corporate secretary since May 1999. From 1997 to 1998, Mr. Birk was an associate at Avital Dromi & Co., a leading law firm in Tel Aviv, Israel. Since November 2007, Mr. Birk has served as an outside director of BGI Investment (1961) Ltd., an Israeli public company. Mr. Birk holds an L.L.B. degree from the University of Sheffield, M.B.A. degree from Bar Ilan University and a Practical Engineer degree from ORT College. Mr. Birk is also a certified mediator.

Arita Mattsoff has served as our vice president, global marketing since September 2007. From June 2004 to September 2007, Ms. Mattsoff served as vice president marketing at Finjan Software Ltd., and from July 2001 to June 2004 as vice president commercial marketing at Paradigm Geophysical Ltd. From 1995 to1999, Ms. Mattsoff held senior marketing positions at Scitex Corporation. Ms. Mattsoff serves as a board member of LATET, Israeli Humanitarian Organization, and during 2000 Ms. Mattsoff served as active general manager of LATET. Ms. Mattsoff holds a BA degree in social sciences and an MBA degree, both from Tel Aviv University.

Avikam Perry has served as our chief technology officer and vice president of products since January 2008. Prior to that and from July 1997, Mr. Perry served as our vice president, research and development. Mr. Perry joined our company in July 1992 and has held various positions, including group and product manager, development department manager and vice president, product development. Mr. Perry holds a B.Sc. degree in mathematics and computer science from Tel Aviv University.

Udi Ertel has served as vice president of global services and distribution in Asia Pacific region, East Europe, South Africa and the Middle East since January 2009. From July 2004 until December 2008, Mr. Ertel served as the chief executive officer of Magic Israel. From June 1995 until July 2004, Mr. Ertel served as the chief executive officer of Complot (83) Ltd. Mr. Ertel holds a B.Sc. degree in mathematics and computer science from Tel Aviv University.

Regev Yativ has served as our president and chief executive officer Magic Software Enterprises Inc. since January 2008. Prior to that and from October 2006, Mr. Yativ served as our vice president international sales and was responsible for our business activities and branches in Europe and Japan, as well as the Israel-based team that oversees the distribution network in the Asia Pacific region, Latin America and South Africa. From September 2002 until June 2006, Mr. Yativ served as our vice president and managing director of Europe, Middle East and Africa, based at our Netherlands office. From 2001 to 2002, Mr. Yativ served as chief operating officer of Agro Marches Int. Paris, a company specializing in software and eBusiness platforms and managed its branches across Europe. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. Yativ was the chief executive officer of G.E.D B.V. in Amsterdam, an investments and business development group dealing in software and eBusiness solutions throughout Europe. Mr. Yativ holds a B.A. degree in linguistics & middle east science from Tel Aviv University.

44

B. COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth all compensation we paid with respect to all of our directors and executive officers as a group for the year ended December 31, 2008.

| | llaries, fees, missions and bonuses | n, retirement nilar benefits |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
| All directors and executive officers as a group (11 persons) | \$ 1,370,330 | \$ 181,180 |

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we paid to each of our outside and independent directors an annual fee of approximately \$10,000 and a per-meeting attendance fee of approximately \$530. Those fees are paid based on the fees detailed in a schedule published semi-annually by the Committee for Public Directors under the Israeli Securities Law. The above compensation excludes stock based compensation costs in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*.

As of December 31, 2008, our directors and executive officers as a group, then consisting of 11 persons, held options to purchase an aggregate of 368,155 ordinary shares, at exercise prices ranging from \$0.8 to \$4.02 per share (after the dividend adjustment). Of such options, options to purchase 2,779 ordinary shares expire in 2012, options to purchase 154,376 ordinary shares expire in 2013, options to purchase 36,000 ordinary shares expire in 2015 and options to purchase 175,000 ordinary shares expire in 2017. All such options were granted under our 2000 Stock Option Plan and 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan. See Item 6E. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Share Ownership Stock-Based Compensation Plans.

C. BOARD PRACTICES

Introduction

According to the Israeli Companies Law and our Articles of Association, the management of our business is vested in our board of directors. The board of directors may exercise all powers and may take all actions that are not specifically granted to our shareholders. Our executive officers are responsible for our day-to-day management. The executive officers have individual responsibilities established by our board of directors. Executive officers are appointed by and serve at the discretion of the board of directors, subject to any applicable agreements.

Election of Directors

Our articles of association provide for a board of directors consisting of no less than three and no more than 11 members or such other number as may be determined from time to time at a general meeting of shareholders. Our board of directors is currently composed of eight directors.

Pursuant to our articles of association, all of our directors are elected at our annual general meeting of shareholders, which are required to be held at least once during every calendar year and not more than 15 months after the last preceding meeting. Except for our outside directors (as described below), our directors are elected by a vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power represented and voting at such meeting and hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders following the annual meeting at which they were appointed. Directors (other than outside directors) may be removed earlier from office by resolution passed at a general meeting of our shareholders. Our board of directors may temporarily fill vacancies in the board until the next annual meeting of shareholders, provided that the total number of directors will not exceed the maximum number permitted under our articles of association.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, our board of directors is required to determine the minimum number of directors who must have accounting and financial expertise (as such term is defined in regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law). In determining such number, the board of directors must consider, among other things, the type and size of the company and the scope of and complexity of its operations. Our board of directors has determined that at least one director must have accounting and financial expertise, within the meaning of the regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law.

We are exempt from the requirements of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules with regard to the nomination process of directors, since we are a controlled company within the meaning of NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(c)(5). See below in this Item 6C. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Board Practices NASDAQ Exemptions for a Controlled Company.

Outside and Independent Directors

Outside Directors. The Israeli Companies Law requires companies incorporated under the laws of the State of Israel with shares that have been offered to the public in or outside of Israel to appoint at least two outside directors. No person may be appointed as an outside director if the person or the person s relative, partner, employer or any entity under the person s control has or had, on or within the two years preceding the date of the person s appointment to serve as outside director, any affiliation with the company or any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the company. The term affiliation includes an employment relationship, a business or professional relationship maintained on a regular basis, control and service as an office holder as defined in the Israeli Companies Law, however, affiliation does not include service as a director of a private company prior to its first public offering if the director was appointed to such office for the purpose of serving as an outside director following the company s first public offering.

In addition, no person may serve as an outside director if the person s position or other activities create or may create a conflict of interest with the person s responsibilities as an outside director or may otherwise interfere with the person s ability to serve as an outside director. If, at the time outside directors are to be appointed, all current members of the board of directors are of the same gender, then at least one outside director must be of the other gender.

At least one of the outside directors must have accounting and financial expertise and the other outside directors must have professional expertise, as such terms are defined by regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law.

The outside directors are elected by a majority vote at a shareholders meeting. The shareholders voting in favor of their election must include at least one-third of the shares of the non-controlling shareholders of the company who voted on the matter (not including abstentions). This minority approval requirement need not be met if the total shareholdings of those non-controlling shareholders who vote against their election represent 1% or less of all of the voting rights in the company.

In general, outside directors serve for a three-year term and may be reelected to one additional three-year term. However, Israeli companies listed on certain stock exchanges outside Israel, including The NASDAQ Global Market, may appoint an outside director for additional terms of not more than three years subject to certain conditions. Such conditions include the determination by the audit committee and board of directors, that in view of the director s professional expertise and special contribution to the company s board of directors and its committees, the appointment of the outside director for an additional term is in the best interest of the company.

Outside directors may be removed from office only by the same percentage of shareholders as is required for their election, or by a court, and then only if the outside directors cease to meet the statutory qualifications for their appointment, violate their duty of loyalty to the company or are found by a court to be unable to perform his or hers duties on a full time basis. Outside directors may also be removed by the court if they are found guilty of bribery, fraud, administrative offenses or use of inside information.

46

Each committee of the board of directors must include at least one outside director and the audit committee must be comprised of at least three directors and include all the outside directors. An outside director is entitled to compensation as provided in regulations adopted under the Israeli Companies Law and is otherwise prohibited from receiving any other compensation, directly or indirectly, in connection with such service.

Until the lapse of two year from termination of office, we may not engage an outside director to service as an office holder and cannot employ or receive services from that person, either directly or indirectly, including through a corporation controlled by that person.

Independent Directors. NASDAQ Marketplace Rules require us to establish an audit committee comprised of at least three members and only of independent directors each of whom satisfies the respective independence requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ.

As a controlled company, within the meaning of NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(c)(5), we are exempted from the NASDAQ Marketplace Rule which requires that a majority of our board of directors must qualify as independent directors, within the meaning of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. See Item 6.C. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Board Practices NASDAQ Exemptions for a Controlled Company.

Pursuant to a recent amendment to the Israeli Companies Law, an Israeli company whose shares are publicly traded may elect to adopt a provision in its articles of association pursuant to which a majority of its board of directors will constitute individuals complying with certain independence criteria prescribed by the Israeli Companies Law. We have not included such a provision in our articles of association.

Our Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Itiel Efrat and Mr. Elan Penn both qualify as independent directors under the Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ requirements and as outside directors under the Israeli Companies Law requirements. Our Board of Directors has further determined that Mr. Yehezkel Zeira qualifies as an independent director under the Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ requirements.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Audit Committee. Our audit committee, established in accordance with Section 114 of the Israeli Companies Law and Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, assists our board of directors in overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes of our company and audits of our financial statements, including the integrity of our financial statements, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, our independent public accountants qualifications and independence, the performance of our internal audit function and independent public accountants, finding any defects in the business management of our company for which purpose the audit committee may consult with our independent auditors and internal auditor, proposing to the board of directors ways to correct such defects and such other duties as may be directed by our board of directors.

The responsibilities of the audit committee also include approving related-party transactions as required by law. Under Israeli law, an audit committee may not approve an action or a transaction with a controlling shareholder, or with an office holder, unless at the time of approval two outside directors are serving as members of the audit committee and at least one of the outside directors was present at the meeting in which an approval was granted.

Our audit committee is currently composed of Messrs. Efrat, Penn and Zeira, each of whom satisfies the respective independence requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ. We also comply with Israeli law requirements for audit committee members. Mr. Elan Penn has been elected as the chairperson of the audit committee. Our Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Penn qualifies as a financial expert. The audit committee meets at least once each quarter.

47

Option Committee. Our board of directors has established an option committee, which administers our option plans (see Item 6E. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Share Ownership Stock-Based Compensation Plans). Mr. Penn, an outside director, and Ms. Gazit Kaiser, our chief financial officer, are the current members of our option committee.

Investment Committee. Our board of directors has established an investment committee, which administers our investments. Mr. Penn, an outside director, Ms. Gazit Kaiser, our chief financial officer, and Ms. Dafna Cohen, the treasurer of Emblaze, are the current members of our investment committee. Our investment committee meets approximately once each quarter

Internal Audit

The Israeli Companies Law also requires the board of directors of a public company to appoint an internal auditor proposed by the audit committee. A person who does not satisfy the Israeli Companies Law s independence requirements may not be appointed as an internal auditor. The role of the internal auditor is to examine, among other things, the compliance of the company s conduct with applicable law and orderly business practice. Our internal auditor complies with the requirements of the Israeli Companies Law.

Directors Service Contracts

There are no arrangements or understandings between us and any of our subsidiaries, on the one hand, and any of our directors, on the other hand, providing for benefits upon termination of their employment or service as directors of our company or any of our subsidiaries.

Approval of Related Party Transactions Under Israeli Law

Fiduciary Duties of Office Holders

The Israeli Companies Law codifies the fiduciary duties that office holders, including directors and executive officers, owe to a company. An office holder is defined in the Israeli Companies Law as a director, general manager, chief business manager, deputy general manager, vice general manager, any other person assuming the responsibilities of any of the foregoing positions without regard to such person s title or any other manager directly subordinate to the general manager. An office holder s fiduciary duties consist of a duty of care and a duty of loyalty. The duty of care requires an office holder to act at a level of care that a reasonable office holder in the same position would employ under the same circumstances. This includes the duty to utilize reasonable means to obtain (i) information regarding the appropriateness of a given action brought for his approval or performed by him by virtue of his position and (ii) all other information of importance pertaining to the foregoing actions. The duty of loyalty includes (i) avoiding any completion with the company s business, (iii) avoiding exploiting any business opportunity of the company in order to receive personal gain for the office holder or others, and (iv) disclosing to the company any information or documents relating to the company s affairs that the office holder has received due to his position as an office holder.

Disclosure of Personal Interests of an Office Holder

The Israeli Companies Law requires that an office holder promptly, and no later than the first board meeting at which such transaction is considered, disclose any personal interest that he or she may have and all related material information known to him or her and any documents in their position, in connection with any existing or proposed transaction by us. In addition, if the transaction is an extraordinary transaction, that is, a transaction other than in the ordinary course of business, other than on market terms, or likely to have a material impact on the company s profitability, assets or liabilities, the office holder must also disclose any personal interest held by the office holder s spouse, siblings, parents, grandparents, descendants, spouse s descendants and the spouses of any of the foregoing, or by any corporation in which the office holder or a relative is a 5% or greater shareholder, director or general manager or in which he or she has the right to appoint at least one director or the general manager.

48

Approval of Transactions with Office Holders

Under the Israeli Companies Law, all arrangements as to compensation of office holders who are not directors require approval by the board of directors, and exculpation, insurance and indemnification of, or an undertaking to, indemnify an office holder who is not a director requires both board of directors and audit committee approval. The compensation of office holders who are directors must be approved by our audit committee, board of directors and shareholders.

Some transactions, actions and arrangements involving an office holder (or a third party in which an office holder has an interest) must be approved by the board of directors or as otherwise provided for in a company s articles of association, however, a transaction that is adverse to the company s interest may not be approved. In some cases, such a transaction must be approved by the audit committee and by the board of directors itself, and under certain circumstances shareholder approval may be required. A director who has a personal interest in a transaction that is considered at a meeting of the board of directors or the audit committee may not be present during the board of directors or audit committee discussions and may not vote on the transaction, unless the transaction is not an extraordinary transaction or the majority of the members of the board or the audit committee have a personal interest, as the case may be. In the event the majority of the members of the board of directors or the audit committee have a personal interest, then the approval of the general meeting of shareholders is also required.

Disclosure of Personal Interests of a Controlling Shareholder; Approval of Transactions with Controlling Shareholders

The disclosure requirements which apply to an office holder also apply to such transaction with respect to his or her personal interest in the transaction. The Israeli Companies Law provides that an extraordinary transaction with a controlling shareholder or an extraordinary transaction with another person in whom the controlling shareholder has a personal interest or a transaction with a controlling shareholder or his relative regarding terms of service and employment, must be approved by the audit committee, the board of directors and shareholders. The shareholder approval for such a transaction must include at least one-third of the shareholders who have no personal interest in the transaction who voted on the matter (not including abstentions). The transaction can be approved by shareholders without this one-third approval if the total shareholdings of those shareholders who have no personal interest and voted against the transaction do not represent more than one percent of the voting rights in the company.

Under the Companies Regulations (Relief from Related Party Transactions), 5760-2000, promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law, as amended, certain extraordinary transactions between a public company and its controlling shareholder(s) do not require shareholder approval. In addition, under such regulations, directors compensation and employment arrangements in a public company do not require the approval of the shareholders if both the audit committee and the board of directors agree that such arrangements are solely for the benefit of the company. Also, employment and compensation arrangements for an office holder that is a controlling shareholder of a public company do not require shareholders holding at least 1% of the issued and outstanding share capital of the company or of the company s voting rights, objects to the use of these exemptions provided that such objection is submitted to the company in writing not later than fourteen days from the date of the filing of a report regarding the adoption of such resolution by the company pursuant to the requirements of the Israeli Securities Law. If such objection is duly and timely submitted, then the transaction or compensation arrangement of the directors will require shareholders approval as detailed above.

In addition, a private placement of securities that will (i) cause a person to become a controlling shareholder or (ii) increase the relative holdings of a shareholder that holds 5% or more of the company s outstanding share capital, or (iii) will cause any person to become, as a result of the issuance, a holder of more than 5% of the company s outstanding share capital in a private placement in which 20% or more of the company s outstanding share capital in a private placement in which 20% or more of the market terms, requires approval by the board of directors and the shareholders of the company.

The Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares in a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would become a 25% or greater shareholder of the company. This rule does not apply if there is already another 25% or greater shareholder of the company. Similarly, the Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares in a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would hold greater than a 45% interest in the company, unless there is another shareholder holding more than a 45% interest in the company. These requirements do not apply if, in general, the acquisition was made in a private placement that received shareholder approval, (i) was from a 25% or greater shareholder of the company which resulted in the acquirer becoming a 25% or greater shareholder of the company, if there is not already a 25% or greater shareholder of the company which resulted in the acquirer becoming a 45% interest in the company which resulted in the acquirer becoming a 45% interest in the company which resulted in the acquirer becoming a 45% or greater shareholder of the company.

If, as a result of an acquisition of shares, the acquirer will hold more than 90% of a public company s outstanding shares or a class of shares, the acquisition must be made by means of a tender offer for all of the outstanding shares or a class of shares. If less than 5% of the outstanding shares are not tendered in the tender offer, all the shares that the acquirer offered to purchase will be transferred to the acquirer. The Israeli Companies Law provides for appraisal rights if any shareholder files a request in court within three months following the consummation of a full tender offer. If more than 5% of the outstanding shares are not tendered in the tender offer, then the acquirer may not acquire shares in the tender offer that will cause his shareholding to exceed 90% of the outstanding shares.

Provisions Restricting Change in Control of Our Company

Tender Offer. A person wishing to acquire shares or any class of shares of a publicly traded Israeli company and who would as a result hold over 90% of the company s issued and outstanding share capital or of a class of shares which are listed, is required by the Israeli Companies Law to make a tender offer to all of the company s shareholders for the purchase of all of the issued and outstanding shares of the company. If the shareholders who do not respond to the offer hold less than 5% of the issued share capital of the company, all of the shares that the acquirer offered to purchase will be transferred to the acquirer by operation of law. The Israeli Companies Law provides for an exception regarding the threshold requirement for a shareholder that prior to and following February 2000 holds over 90% of a company s issued and outstanding share capital. However, the shareholders may petition the court to alter the consideration for the acquireing shareholders hold more than 5% of the issued and outstanding share capital of the company, the acquirer may not acquire additional shares of the company from shareholders who accepted the tender offer if following such acquisition the acquirer would then own over 90% of the company s issued and outstanding share capital.

The Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares of a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would become a 25% or greater shareholder of the company. This rule does not apply if there is already another 25% shareholder of the company. Similarly, the Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares in a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would become a 45% or greater shareholder of the company, if there is no 45% or greater shareholder of the company.

These requirements regarding tender offers do not apply to companies that are traded outside of Israel if, local law or the rules of the foreign stock exchange impose a limit on the percentage of control which may be acquired or require that acquisitions will be made by a way of a tender offer to the public.

Merger. The Israeli Companies Law permits merger transactions if approved by each party s board of directors and the majority of each party s shares voted on the proposed merger at a shareholders meeting called on at least 21 days prior notice. Under the Israeli Companies Law, merger transactions may be approved by holders of a simple majority of our shares present, in person or by proxy, at a general meeting and voting on the transaction. In determining whether the required majority has approved the merger, if shares of a company are held by the other party to the merger, or by any person holding at least 25% of the outstanding voting shares or 25% of the means of appointing directors of the other party to the merger, then a vote against the merger by holders of the majority of the shares present and voting, excluding shares held by the other party or by such person, or anyone acting on behalf of either of them, is sufficient to reject the merger transaction. If the transaction would have been approved but for the exclusion of the votes of certain shareholders as provided above, a court may still approve the merger upon the request of holders of at least 25% of the voting rights of a company, if the court holds that the merger is fair and reasonable, taking into account the value of the parties to the merger and the consideration offered to the shareholders. Upon the request of a creditor of either party to the merger, the court may delay or prevent the merger if it concludes that there exists a reasonable concern that, as a result of the merger, the surviving company will be unable to satisfy the obligations of any of the parties to the merger. In addition, a merger may not be executed unless at least 30 days have passed from the receipt of the shareholders approval and 50 days have passed from the time that a proposal for approval of the merger has been filed with the Israeli Registrar of Companies.

50

Exculpation, Indemnification and Insurance of Directors and Officers

Exculpation of Office Holders

The Israeli Companies Law provides that an Israeli company cannot exculpate an office holder from liability with respect to a breach of his duty of loyalty, but may, if permitted by its articles of association, exculpate in advance an office holder from his liability to the company, in whole or in part, with respect to a breach of his or her duty of care. However, a company may not exculpate in advance a director from his or her liability to the company with respect to a breach of his duty of care in the event of distributions.

Insurance for Office Holders

The Israeli Companies Law provides that a company may, if permitted by its articles of association, insure an office holder for acts or omissions performed by the office holder in such capacity for:

A breach of his or her duty of care to the company or to another person;

A breach of his or her duty of loyalty to the company, provided that the office holder acted in good faith and had reasonable cause to assume that his act would not prejudice the company s interests; and

A financial liability imposed upon the office holder in favor of another person as a result of an action which was performed by that office holder.

Indemnification of Office Holders

The Israeli Companies Law provides that a company may, if permitted by its articles of association, indemnify an office holder for acts or omissions performed by the office holder in such capacity for:

A financial liability imposed on the office holder in favor of another person by any judgment, including a settlement or an arbitrator s award approved by a court;

Reasonable litigation expenses, including attorney s fees, actually incurred by the office holder as a result of an investigation or proceeding instituted against him or her by a competent authority, provided that such investigation or proceeding concluded without the filing of an indictment against the office holder or the imposition of any financial liability in lieu of criminal proceedings, or concluded without the filing of an indictment against the office holder against the office holder and a financial liability was imposed on the officer holder in lieu of criminal proceedings with respect to a criminal offense that does not require proof of criminal intent; and

Reasonable litigation expenses, including attorneys fees, incurred by such office holder or which were imposed on him by a court, in proceedings the company instituted against the office holder or that were instituted on the company s behalf or by another person, or in a criminal charge from which the office holder was acquitted, or in a criminal proceeding in which the office holder was convicted of a crime which does not require proof of criminal intent.

51

In accordance with the Israeli Companies Law, a company s articles of association may permit the company to:

Undertake in advance to indemnify an office holder, except that with respect to a financial liability imposed on the office holder by any judgment, settlement or court-approved arbitration award, the undertaking must be limited to types of occurrences, which, in the opinion of the company s board of directors, are, at the time of the undertaking, foreseeable due to the company s activities and to an amount or standard that the board of directors has determined is reasonable under the circumstances; and

Retroactively indemnify an office holder of the company.

Limitations on Exculpation, Insurance and Indemnification

The Israeli Companies Law provides that neither a provision of the articles of association permitting the company to enter into a contract to insure the liability of an office holder, nor a provision in the articles of association or a resolution of the board of directors permitting the indemnification of an office holder, nor a provision in the articles of association exempting an office holder from duty to the company shall be valid, where such insurance, indemnification or exemption relates to any of the following:

a breach by the office holder of his duty of loyalty, except with respect to insurance coverage or indemnification if the office holder acted in good faith and had reasonable grounds to assume that the act would not prejudice the company;

a breach by the office holder of his duty of care if such breach was committed intentionally or recklessly, unless the breach was committed only negligently;

any act or omission committed with intent to derive an unlawful personal gain; and

any fine or forfeiture imposed on the office holder.

In addition, pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law, exemption of, procurement of insurance coverage for, an undertaking to indemnify or indemnification of an office holder must be approved by the audit committee and the board of directors and, if such office holder is a director or a controlling shareholder or a relative of the controlling shareholder, also by the shareholders general meeting. A special majority at the general meeting is required if a controlling shareholder is interested in such transaction as an office holder or as a relative of an office holder, as described above.

Our articles of association allow us to insure, indemnify and exempt our office holders to the fullest extent permitted by law, subject to the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law. We currently maintain a directors and officers liability insurance policy with a per claim and aggregate coverage limit of \$20 million, including legal costs incurred world-wide.

NASDAQ Exemptions for a Controlled Company

We are a controlled company within the meaning of NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(c)(5), or Rule 4350(c)(5), since Formula Systems (1985) Ltd. holds more than 50% of our voting power.

Under Rule 4350(c)(5), a controlled company is exempt from the requirements of NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(c) that would otherwise require that

the majority of the company s board of directors must qualify as independent directors, as defined under NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

the compensation of the chief financial officer and all other executive officers be determined, or recommended to the board of directors for determination, either by (i) a majority of the independent directors or (ii) a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors.

director nominees either be selected or recommended for the board of directors selection, either by (a) a majority of independent directors or (b) a nominations committee comprised solely of independent directors.

52

D. EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2008, we and our 16 wholly-owned subsidiaries and one controlled subsidiary had 422 employees worldwide, of which 102 employees were located in Israel, 112 employees were located in Asia, 80 employees were located in Europe and 128 employees were located in North America. Of such employees, 96 persons were employed in research and development, 177 persons were employed in technical support and consulting, 89 persons were employed in marketing and sales and 60 persons were employed in operations and administration.

At December 31, 2007, we and our 16 wholly-owned subsidiaries and one controlled subsidiary had 414 employees worldwide, of which 122 employees were located in Israel, 124 employees were located in Asia, 73 employees were located in Europe and 95 employees were located in North America. Of such employees, 118 persons were employed in research and development, 150 persons were employed in technical support and consulting, 74 persons were employed in marketing and sales and 72 employees were employed in operations and administration.

At December 31, 2006, we and our 17 wholly-owned subsidiaries and one controlled subsidiary had 503 employees worldwide, of which 159 employees were located in Israel, 123 employees were located in Asia, 73 employees were located in Europe and 148 located were based in North America. Of such employees, 145 persons were employed in research and development, 179 persons were employed in technical support and consulting, 90 persons were employed in marketing and sales and 89 persons were employed in operations and administration.

Our relationships with our employees in Israel are governed by Israeli labor legislation and regulations, extension orders of the Israeli Ministry of Labor and personal employment agreements. Israeli labor laws and regulations are applicable to all of our employees in Israel. The laws concern various matters, including severance pay rights at termination, notice period for termination, retirement or death, length of workday and workweek, minimum wage, overtime payments and insurance for)work-related accidents. We currently fund our ongoing legal severance pay obligations by paying monthly premiums for our employees insurance policies and or pension funds. At the time of commencement of employment, our employees generally sign written employment agreements specifying basic terms and conditions of employment as well as non-disclosure, confidentiality and non-compete provisions.

E. SHARE OWNERSHIP

Beneficial Ownership of Executive Officers and Directors

The following table sets forth certain information as of April 2, 2009 regarding the beneficial ownership by each of our directors and executive officers:

| Name | Number of Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned (1) | Percentage of Ownership (2) |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Guy Bernstein | - | - |
| Hadas Gazit Kaiser (3) | 12,500 | - |
| Gad Goldstein | - | - |
| Itiel Efrat | - | - |
| Elan Penn (4) | 18,000 | * |
| Eli Reifman | - | - |
| Naamit Salomon (5) | - | - |
| Yehezkel Zeira (4) | 18,000 | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (8 persons) (3) | 48,500 | * |

54

- (3) Subject to currently exercisable options granted under our 2007 Stock Option Plan, having an exercise price of \$1.11 per share and expire in 2019.
- (4) Subject to currently exercisable options granted under our 2000 Stock Option Plan, having an exercise price of \$1.5 per share and expire in 2015.
- (5) Naamit Salomon, an officer of Formula Systems and a director of our company, disclaims beneficial ownership of 18,560,352 ordinary shares of our company held by Formula Systems.

^{*} Less than 1%

⁽¹⁾ Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Ordinary shares relating to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the date of this table are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such securities but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person. Except as indicated by footnote, and subject to community property laws where applicable, the persons named in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them.

⁽²⁾ The percentages shown are based on 31,893,880 ordinary shares issued and outstanding as of April 2, 2009.

Stock-Based Compensation Plans

1991 Stock Option Plan

Our 1991 Employee Stock Option Plan, or the 1991 Plan, as amended, authorized the grant of options to purchase an aggregate of 6,750,000 ordinary shares. Employees and directors of our company and its subsidiaries were eligible to participate in the 1991 Plan. The 1991 Plan had a ten-year term and no options were granted under the 1991 Plan after July 31, 2001.

During 2008, options to purchase 36,050 ordinary shares were exercised under the 1991 Plan, at an average exercise price of \$1.039 per share. As of December 31, 2008, options to purchase 111,636 ordinary shares were outstanding under the 1991 Plan, having an average exercise price of \$3.29 per share. As of December 31, 2008, our executive officers and directors as a group, then consisting of 11 persons, did not hold any options under the 1991 Plan.

2000 Stock Option Plan

In 2000, we adopted our 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan, or the 2000 Plan, under which we may grant options to employees, officers, directors and consultants of our company and its subsidiaries. The 2000 Plan initially authorized the grant of options to purchase up to 3,000,000 ordinary shares. In January 2004, our shareholders approved an increase in the number of shares available for grant under the 2000 Plan by 1,000,000 ordinary shares and in December 2005 our shareholders approved an additional increase in the number of shares available for grant under the 2000 Plan by 600,000 ordinary shares. As such, up to an aggregate of 4,600,000 ordinary shares may be issued under the 2000 Plan.

Awards under the 2000 Plan may be granted in the forms of incentive stock options as provided in Section 422 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, non-qualified stock options, options granted pursuant to Section 102 of the Israeli Tax Ordinance and options granted pursuant to Section 3.9 of the Israeli Tax Ordinance. The 2000 Plan has a term of ten years and will terminate in November 2010. No award of options may be made after such date.

54

Our Board of Directors and Option Committee, which was appointed by the board of directors, administer the 2000 Plan. Subject to the provisions of the 2000 Plan and applicable law, the Option Committee has the authority, in its sole discretion, to:

Propose to grant awards under the 2000 Plan and recommend to the board of directors the persons to whom such awards be granted;

Determine the form, terms and conditions of the written stock option agreement evidencing the option, including (but not limited to) the type of option and the number of shares to which it pertains, the option price, the option period and its vesting schedule, and exercisability of the option in special cases (such as death, retirement, disability and change of control);

Prescribe the form and provisions of the notice of exercise and payment of the option;

Nominate a trustee for options issued under Section 102 of the Israeli Tax Ordinance, in accordance with the provisions of such Section 102;

Adjust any or all of the number and type of shares that thereafter may be made the subject of options, the number and type of shares subject to outstanding options, and the grant or exercise price with respect to any option, or, if deemed appropriate, make provision for a cash payment to the holder of any outstanding option in order to prevent dilution or enlargement of the benefits or potential benefits intended to be made available under the 2000 Plan in the event of any dividend or other distribution, recapitalization, stock split, reverse stock split, reorganization, merger, consolidation, split-up, spin-off, combination, repurchase, or exchange of shares or other securities;

Interpret the provisions of the 2000 Plan; and

Prescribe, amend, and rescind rules and regulations relating to the 2000 Plan or any award thereunder as it may deem necessary or advisable.

Neither the board of directors nor the Option Committee may, without the consent of the optionee, alter or in any way impair the rights of such optionee under any award previously granted. Neither the termination of the 2000 Plan nor the change of control of our company (except to the extent provided in the 2000 Plan) will affect any option previously granted.

Under the 2000 Plan, the option price per share may not be less than 65% of the fair market value (as such term is defined in the 2000 Plan) of such share on the date of the award; except that, that in the case of an award of an incentive stock option made to a 10% owner (as such term is defined in the 2000 Plan), the option price per share may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of such share on the date of the award.

An option may not be exercisable after the expiration of ten years from the date of its award, except that in case of an incentive stock option made to a 10% owner (as such term is defined in the 2000 Plan), such option may not be exercisable after the expiration of five years from its date of award. No option may be exercised after the expiration of its term.

Options are not assignable or transferable by the optionee, other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution, and may be exercised during the lifetime of the optionee only by the optionee or his guardian or legal representative; provided, however, that during the optionee s lifetime, the optionee may, with the consent of the Option Committee transfer without consideration all or any portion of his options to members of the optionee s immediate family, a trust established for the exclusive benefit of members of the optionee s immediate family, or a limited liability company in which all members are members of the optionee s immediate family.

During 2008, options to purchase 155,780 ordinary shares were exercised under the 2000 Plan at an average exercise price of \$1.23 per share. As of December 31, 2008, options to purchase 1,681,742 ordinary shares were outstanding under the 2000 Plan having an average exercise price of \$2.45 per share. As of December 31, 2008, our executive officers and directors as a group, then consisting of 11 persons, held options to purchase 193,155 ordinary shares under the 2000 Plan, having an average exercise price of \$3.5 per share.

55

As of December 29, 2005, our Board of Directors resolved to accelerate the vesting period of all of the outstanding unvested options as of such date, for the purchase of an aggregate 611,517 ordinary shares, with vesting periods from January 1, 2006 through March 2009, so that these options became fully vested. These options had an average exercise price of \$3.46 per share. All of the accelerated options had an exercise price exceeding our market price on such date. The ordinary shares that may be purchased upon exercise of the accelerated options shall be subject to a holding period, according to which the optionees will be entitled to sell the purchased shares over a three year period, 1/36 of the purchased shares per month. Our foregoing decision to accelerate the vesting of such options and to grant fully vested options in the future was primarily due to the issuance of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, which requires all unvested stock options to be treated as a compensation expense as of January 1, 2006.

2007 Incentive Compensation Plan.

In 2007, we adopted our 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan, or the 2007 Plan, under which we may grant options, restricted shares, restricted share units and performance awards to employees, officers, directors and consultants of our company and its subsidiaries. The shares subject to the 2007 Plan may be either authorized and unissued shares or previously issued shares acquired by our company or any of its subsidiaries. The total number of shares that may be delivered pursuant to awards under the 2007 Plan shall not exceed 1,500,000 shares in the aggregate. If any award shall expire, terminate, be cancelled or forfeited without having been fully exercised or satisfied by the issuance of shares, then the shares subject to such award shall be available again for delivery in connection with future awards under the 2007 Plan.

The 2007 Plan commenced on August 8, 2007 and will terminate upon the earliest of (i) the expiration of its ten year period, or (ii) the termination of all outstanding awards in connection with a corporate transaction, or (iii) in connection with, and as a result of, any other relevant event, including the 2007 Plan s termination by the Board of Directors.

Under the 2007 Plan, the option committee shall have full discretionary authority to grant or, when so restricted by applicable law, recommend the Board of Directors to grant, pursuant to the terms of the 2007 Plan, options and restricted shares and restricted share units to those individuals who are eligible to receive awards under the 2007 Plan.

The 2007 Plan provides that each option will expire on the date stated in the award agreement, which will not be more than ten years from its date of grant. The exercise price of an option shall be determined by the option committee of the Board of Directors and set forth in the award agreement. Unless determined otherwise by the Board of Directors, the exercise price shall be equal to, or higher than, the fair market value of our company s shares on the date of grant.

Under the 2007 Plan, restricted shares and restricted share units shall not be purchased for less than the ordinary share s par value, unless determined otherwise by the Board of Directors.

Our Board of Directors may, from time to time, alter, amend, suspend or terminate the 2007 Plan, with respect to awards that have not been granted, subject to shareholder approval, if and to the extent required by applicable law. In addition, no such amendment, alteration, suspension or termination of the 2007 Plan or any award theretofore granted, shall be made which would materially impair the previously accrued rights of a participant under any outstanding award without the written consent of such participant, provided, however, that the Board of Directors may amend or alter the 2007 Plan and the option committee may amend or alter any award, including any agreement, either retroactively or prospectively, without the consent of the applicable participant, (1) so as to preserve or come within any exemptions from liability under any law or the rules and releases promulgated by the SEC, or (2) if the Board of Directors or the option committee determines in its discretion that such amendment or alteration either (I) is required or advisable for us, the 2007 Plan or the award to satisfy, comply with or meet the requirements of any law, regulation, rule or accounting standard or (II) is not reasonably likely to significantly diminish the benefits provided under such award, or that such diminishment has been or will be adequately compensated.

56

During 2008, options to purchase 145,000 ordinary shares were granted under the 2007 Plan, having an average exercise price of \$1.12 per share and options to purchase 160,000 ordinary shares were exercised at an average exercise price of \$0 per share under the 2007 Plan. As of December 31, 2008, options to purchase 645,000 ordinary shares were outstanding under the 2007 Plan having an average exercise price of \$1.78 per share. As of December 31, 2008, our executive officers and directors as a group, then consisting of 11 persons, held options to purchase 175,000 ordinary shares under the 2007 Plan, having an average exercise price of \$1.97 per share.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

A. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

Formula Systems, an Israeli company traded on the NASDAQ Global Market and the TASE, holds 18,560,352 or 58.2% of our outstanding ordinary shares. Formula Systems is controlled by Emblaze, an Israeli company traded on the London Stock Exchange, which holds 51.7% of the ordinary shares of Formula Systems. Accordingly, Emblaze ultimately controls our company.

The following table sets forth certain information as of April 2, 2009 regarding the beneficial ownership by all shareholders known to us to own beneficially 5.0% or more of our ordinary shares:

| Name | Number of Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned(1) | Percentage of Ownership (2) |
|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Emblaze Ltd. (3) | 18,560,352 | 58.2% |
| Formula Systems (1985) Ltd. (4) | 18,560,352 | 58.2% |

⁽¹⁾ Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Ordinary shares relating to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the date of this table are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such securities but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person. Except as indicated by footnote, and subject to community property laws where applicable, the persons named in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them.

- (3) Includes 18,560,352 ordinary shares held directly by Formula Systems, which is 51.7% controlled by Emblaze. As such, Emblaze may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the aggregate 18,560,352 ordinary shares held directly by Formula Systems. The address of Emblaze is 1 Emblaze Square, Industrial Area, Ra anana 43662, Israel.
- (4) Formula Systems is 51.7% controlled by Emblaze. As such, Emblaze may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the aggregate 18,560,352 ordinary shares held directly by Formula Systems. The address of Formula Systems is 3 Abba Even Street, Herzliya Pituach, Israel.

⁽²⁾ The percentages shown are based on 31,893,880 ordinary shares issued and outstanding as of April 2, 2009.

Significant Changes in the Ownership of Major Shareholders

In September 2006, the controlling interest in Formula Systems, our controlling shareholder, was sold. Our former principal shareholder, FIMGold Limited Partnership, an entity owned in equal parts by Dan Goldstein, the former chairman of our board of directors, and FIMI Opportunity Fund and parties related to it, entered into an agreement to sell its entire shareholding in Formula Systems to Emblaze. Under the agreement, Emblaze acquired a 33.4% interest in Formula Systems. During 2007 and 2008, Emblaze increased its ownership interest in Formula Systems from 33.4% to 51.7% in open-market transactions.

During 2008, our parent company Formula Systems purchased an additional 2,392,531 of our ordinary shares in open-market transactions, increasing its ownership interest in our company from 51.2% to 58.2%.

57

Major Shareholders Voting Rights

Our major shareholders do not have different voting rights.

Record Holders

Based on a review of the information provided to us by our U.S. transfer agent, as of April 1, 2009, there were approximately 96 record holders, of which 77 record holders holding approximately 47.74% of our ordinary shares had registered addresses in the United States. These numbers are not representative of the number of beneficial holders of our shares nor are they representative of where such beneficial holders reside, since many of these ordinary shares were held of record by brokers or other nominees (including one U.S. nominee company, CEDE & Co., which held approximately 47.67% of our outstanding ordinary shares as of such date).

B. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Not applicable.

C. INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

Not applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS AND OTHERFINANCIAL INFORMATION

See the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, included in Item 18.

Export Sales

Our export sales constitute a significant portion of our total sales volume. See Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements.

Legal Proceedings

We received final tax assessments for the years 1997 to 2002 from the Israeli tax authorities and have submitted an appeal to the District Court of Tel Aviv-Jaffa with respect to such tax assessments. As of March 2009, one open issue remains to be settled by the court, while all other issues were resolved with no additional taxes to be paid by us. Our management believes, based on the advice of its legal advisors, that the probability of an unfavorable outcome to our company on this matter is remote; therefore no provision was recorded in our consolidated

Legal Proceedings

financial statements in respect of this matter.

In June 2004, an Israeli company filed a lawsuit against us in the District Court of Tel Aviv Jaffa seeking NIS 8.0 million (approximately \$2.1 million), with an option to increase this amount to approximately NIS 17.0 million (approximately \$4.5 million), for recovery of damages allegedly caused by our failure to integrate a software application. During the last three years, the parties tried to settle the case with an external mediator. This attempt failed in late 2008 and the parties returned to the court to proceed with the court proceedings. Preliminary court proceedings have commenced, such as disclosure of documents and questionnaires. Due to the preliminary stage of the litigation, our management, based on the advice of its legal advisors, believes that it cannot predict the outcome of this lawsuit nor can it estimate the amount of damages, therefore no provision was recorded in our consolidated financial statements in respect of this matter.

In May 2005, a former client of our subsidiary Magic Software Enterprises (Israel) Ltd. filed a lawsuit against the subsidiary claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between the parties. The plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of \$361,000. The claim was moved to arbitration. Due to the preliminary stage of this litigation, our management is unable to assess the outcome of this lawsuit nor can it make an estimate of the amount of damages; therefore, no provision has been made for the lawsuit.

58

In March 2006, a client of our Magic solution partner in France filed a lawsuit against the Magic solution partner and our subsidiary Magic Software Enterprises (France) S.A.R.L. in the commercial court in Paris claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between the parties. The plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of 488,000 Euros (approximately \$680,000). Due to the preliminary stage of this litigation and based on the advice of our legal advisors, our management is unable to assess the outcome of the lawsuit nor can it estimate the amount of damages; therefore, no provision has been made for the lawsuit.

In 2006, a subcontractor of our Italian subsidiary filed a lawsuit against our subsidiary in Milan, claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between the parties. The plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of 524,000 Euros (approximately \$730,000). Our management, based on its legal advisors opinion, recorded an appropriate provision to cover the outcome of the claim. However, at this stage, we cannot predict our chances of success in this matter.

In May 2007, the former chief executive officer of our subsidiary CarPro Systems Ltd. filed a claim against the subsidiary claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between him and CarPro Systems Ltd. The plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of \$438,000. The claim was subsequently moved to arbitration, which commenced during 2008. The claim is in its final stages. Based on our legal advisors opinion, we have recorded an appropriate provision to cover the outcome of the claim.

From time to time, claims arising in the ordinary course of our business are brought against us. In the opinion of our management, these claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

Dividend Distributions Policy

In February 2003, following receipt of the approval of the District Court of Tel Aviv, we paid a cash dividend to our shareholders of \$0.40 per ordinary share. The total dividend amounted to approximately \$11.8 million dollars.

Since 2003 we have not paid any cash dividends on our ordinary shares. Any future dividend policy will be determined by the board of directors and will be based upon conditions then existing, including our results of operations, financial condition, current and anticipated cash needs, contractual restrictions and other conditions as the board of directors may deem relevant.

According to the Israeli Companies Law, a company may distribute dividends out of its profits provided that there is no reasonable concern that such dividend distribution will prevent the company from paying all its current and foreseeable obligations, as they become due. Notwithstanding the foregoing, dividends may be paid with the approval of a court, provided that there is no reasonable concern that such dividend distribution will prevent the company from satisfying its current and foreseeable obligations, as they become due. Profits, for purposes of the Israeli Companies Law, means the greater of retained earnings or earnings accumulated during the preceding two years, after deducting previous distributions that were not deducted from the surpluses. In the event cash dividends are declared, such dividends will be paid in NIS.

B. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

ITEM 9. <u>THE OFFER AND LISTING</u>

A. OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS

Annual Stock Information

The following table sets forth, for each of the years indicated, the range of high ask and low bid prices of our ordinary shares on the NASDAQ Global Market and the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange:

| | NASDAQ Global Market | | | | Tel Aviv Stock Exchange* | | | |
|------|--------------------------|----|------|----|--------------------------|----|------|--|
| | High | | Low | | High | | Low | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Year | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | \$ 8.70 | \$ | 3.11 | \$ | 8.65 | \$ | 2.60 | |
| 2005 | \$ 3.56 | \$ | 1.45 | \$ | 3.49 | \$ | 1.41 | |
| 2006 | \$ 2.74 | \$ | 1.20 | \$ | 2.22 | \$ | 1.41 | |
| 2007 | \$ 2.97 | \$ | 1.72 | \$ | 2.87 | \$ | 1.74 | |
| 2008 | \$ 2.38 | \$ | 0.94 | \$ | 2.47 | \$ | 0.91 | |

* The U.S. dollar price of shares on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange is determined by dividing the price of an ordinary share in New Israeli Shekels by the representative exchange rate of the New Israeli Shekel against the U.S. dollar on the same date.

Quarterly Stock Information

The following table sets forth, for each of the financial quarters in the two most recent financial years and subsequent period, the range of high ask and low bid prices of our ordinary shares on the NASDAQ Global Market and the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange:

| | NASDAQ Global Market | | | | Tel Aviv Stock Exchange* | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|----|------|----|--------------------------|----|------|--|--|
| | High | | Low | | High | | Low | | |
| 2007 | | | | | | | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 3.00 | \$ | 2.16 | \$ | 2.87 | \$ | 2.35 | | |
| Second Quarter | \$ 3.47 | \$ | 2.21 | \$ | 2.45 | \$ | 2.29 | | |
| Third Quarter | \$ 2.88 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 2.38 | \$ | 1.88 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 2.36 | \$ | 1.85 | \$ | 2.09 | \$ | 1.74 | | |
| <u>2008</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 2.01 | \$ | 1.47 | \$ | 1.92 | \$ | 1.52 | | |
| Second Quarter | \$ 1.85 | \$ | 1.45 | \$ | 1.89 | \$ | 1.19 | | |
| Third Quarter | \$ 2.38 | \$ | 1.12 | \$ | 2.47 | \$ | 1.16 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 2.05 | \$ | 0.94 | \$ | 2.19 | \$ | 0.91 | | |
| <u>2009</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 1.46 | \$ | 0.98 | \$ | 1.45 | \$ | 1.04 | | |

^{*} The U.S. dollar price of shares on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange is determined by dividing the price of an ordinary share in New Israeli Shekels by the representative exchange rate of the New Israeli Shekel against the U.S. dollar on the same date.

60

Monthly Stock Information

The following table sets forth, for the most recent six months, the range of high ask and low bid prices of our ordinary shares on the NASDAQ Global Market and the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange:

| | NASDAQ (| l Market | Tel Aviv Stock Exchange* | | | | |
|---------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|------|------|-----|------|
| | High Low | | | High | | Low | |
| October 2008 | \$ 2.05 | \$ | 1.30 | \$ | 1.85 | \$ | 1.52 |
| November 2008 | \$ 1.95 | \$ | 1.08 | \$ | 1.93 | \$ | 1.18 |
| December 2008 | \$ 1.50 | \$ | 0.94 | \$ | 1.49 | \$ | 0.87 |
| January 2009 | \$ 1.64 | \$ | 1.04 | \$ | 1.52 | \$ | 1.15 |
| February 2009 | \$ 1.45 | \$ | 1.12 | \$ | 1.82 | \$ | 1.10 |
| March 2009 | \$ 1.16 | \$ | 0.98 | \$ | 1.16 | \$ | 1.02 |

* The U.S. dollar price of shares on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange is determined by dividing the price of an ordinary share in New Israeli Shekels by the representative exchange rate of the New Israeli Shekel against the U.S. dollar on the same date.

B. PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

Not applicable.

C. MARKETS

Our ordinary shares have been listed on the NASDAQ Global Market (symbol: MGIC) since our initial public offering in the United States on August 16, 1991. Since November 16, 2000, our ordinary shares have also traded on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange.

D. SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

Not applicable.

E. DILUTION

Not applicable.

F. EXPENSE OF THE ISSUE

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. <u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>

A. SHARE CAPITAL

Not applicable.

B. MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

Set out below is a description of certain provisions of our Articles of Association and of the Israeli Companies Law related to such provisions. This description is only a summary and does not purport to be complete and is qualified by reference to the full text of the Articles of Association, which are incorporated by reference as exhibits to this Annual Report, and to Israeli law.

61

Purposes and Objects of the Company

We are a public company registered with the Israeli Companies Registry as Magic Software Enterprises Ltd., registration number 52-003674-0. Section 2 of our memorandum of association provides that we were established for the purpose of engaging in all fields of the computer business and in any other lawful activity permissible under Israeli law.

The Powers of the Directors

Under the provisions of the Israel Companies Law and our articles of association, subject to specified exceptions, a director cannot participate in a meeting nor vote on a proposal, arrangement or contract in which he or she is materially interested. In addition, our directors cannot vote compensation to themselves or any members of their body without the approval of our audit committee and our shareholders at a general meeting. See Item 6C. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Board Practices Approval of Related Party Transactions Under Israeli Law.

The authority of our directors to enter into borrowing arrangements on our behalf is not limited, except in the same manner as any other transaction by us.

Under our articles of association, retirement of directors from office is not subject to any age limitation and our directors are not required to own shares in our company in order to qualify to serve as directors.

Rights Attached to Shares

Our authorized share capital consists of 50,000,000 ordinary shares of a nominal value of NIS 0.1 each. All outstanding ordinary shares are validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable. The rights attached to the ordinary shares are as follows:

Dividend rights. Holders of our ordinary shares are entitled to the full amount of any cash or share dividend subsequently declared. The board of directors may declare interim dividends and propose the final dividend with respect to any fiscal year only out of the retained earnings, in accordance with the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law. See Item 8A. Financial Information Consolidated and Other Financial Information Dividend Distributions Policy. All unclaimed dividends or other monies payable in respect of a share may be invested or otherwise made use of by the Board of Directors for our benefit until claimed. Any dividend unclaimed after a period of three years from the date of declaration of such dividend will be forfeited and will revert to us; provided, however, that the Board of Directors may, at its discretion, cause us to pay any such dividend to a person who would have been entitled thereto had the same not reverted to us. We are not obligated to pay interest or linkage differentials on an unclaimed dividend.

Voting rights. Holders of ordinary shares have one vote for each ordinary share held on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders. Such voting rights may be affected by the grant of any special voting rights to the holders of a class of shares with preferential rights that may be authorized in the future.

The quorum required at any meeting of shareholders consists of at least two shareholders present in person or represented by proxy who hold or represent, in the aggregate, at least one-third (33%) of the voting rights in the company. A meeting adjourned for lack of a quorum is generally adjourned to the same day in the following week at the same time and place or any time and place as the directors designate in a notice to the shareholders. At the reconvened meeting, the required quorum consists of any two members present in person or by proxy.

Under our articles of association, all resolutions require approval of no less than a majority of the voting rights represented at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting thereon.

Pursuant to our articles of association, our directors (except outside directors) are elected at our annual general meeting of shareholders by a vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power represented and voting at such meeting and hold office until the next annual general meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been elected. All the members of our Board of Directors (except the outside directors) may be reelected upon completion of their term of office. Emblaze, our controlling shareholder, and Formula Systems, our parent company, will be able to exercise control over the election of our directors (subject to a special majority required for the election of outside directors). See Item 7A. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Major Shareholders. For information regarding the election of outside directors, see Item 6C. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Board Practices Election of Directors.

62

Rights to share in the company s profits. Our shareholders have the right to share in our profits distributed as a dividend and any other permitted distribution. See this Item 10B. Additional Information Memorandum and Articles of Association Rights Attached to Shares Dividend Rights.

Rights to share in surplus in the event of liquidation. In the event of our liquidation, after satisfaction of liabilities to creditors, our assets will be distributed to the holders of ordinary shares in proportion to the nominal value of their holdings. This right may be affected by the grant of preferential dividend or distribution rights to the holders of a class of shares with preferential rights that may be authorized in the future.

Liability to capital calls by the company. Under our memorandum of association and the Israeli Companies Law, the liability of our shareholders to provide us with additional funds is limited to the par value of the shares held by them.

Limitations on any existing or prospective major shareholder. See Item 6C. Directors and Senior Management Board Practices Approval of Related Party Transactions Under Israeli Law.

Changing Rights Attached to Shares

According to our articles of association, the rights attached to any class of shares may be modified or abrogated by us, subject to the consent in writing of, or sanction of a resolution passed by, the holders of a majority of the issued shares of such class at a separate general meeting of the holders of the shares of such class.

Annual and Extraordinary Meetings

Under the Israeli Companies Law a company must convene an annual meeting of shareholders at least once every calendar year and within fifteen months of the last annual meeting. Depending on the matter to be voted upon, notice of at least 21 days or 35 days prior to the date of the meeting is required. Our board of directors may, in its discretion, convene additional meetings as special general meetings. With respect to special general meetings, notice of at least 35 days prior to the date of the meeting is required. In addition, the board must convene a special general meeting upon the demand of two of the directors, 25% of the nominated directors, one or more shareholders having at least 5% of the outstanding share capital and at least 1% of the voting power in the company, or one or more shareholders having at least 5% of the voting power in the company. See Item 10B. Additional Information Memorandum and Articles of Association Rights Attached to Shares Voting Rights.

Limitations on the Rights to Own Securities in Our Company

Neither our memorandum of association or our articles of association nor the laws of the State of Israel restrict in any way the ownership or voting of shares by non-residents, except with respect to subjects of countries which are in a state of war with Israel.

Provisions Restricting Change in Control of Our Company

The Israeli Companies Law requires that mergers between Israeli companies be approved by the board of directors and general meeting of shareholders of both parties to the transaction. The approval of the board of directors of both companies is subject to such boards confirmation that there is no reasonable doubt that following the merger the surviving company will be able to fulfill its obligations towards its creditors. Each company must notify its creditors about the contemplated merger. Under the Israeli Companies Law, our articles of association are deemed to include a requirement that such merger be approved by an extraordinary resolution of the shareholders, as explained above. The approval of the merger by the general meetings of shareholders of the companies is also subject to additional approval requirements as specified in the Israeli

Companies Law and regulations promulgated thereunder. See also Item 6C. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Board Practices Approval of Related Party Transactions Under Israeli Law.

Disclosure of Shareholders Ownership

The Israeli Securities Law and the regulations promulgated thereunder require that a company whose shares are traded on a stock exchange in Israel, as in the case of our company, report the share ownership of its interested parties. An interested party is defined under the Israeli Securities Law as any one of the following: (i) a person holding 5% or more of the company s issued capital stock or voting power, or who is entitled to appoint one or more of the company s directors or its general manager; or (ii) any person acting as a director or general manager of the company; or (iii) any company, in which any of the above persons either holds 25% or more of its capital stock or voting power or is entitled to appoint 25% or more of its directors.

Changes in Our Capital

Changes in our capital are subject to the approval of the shareholders by a majority of the votes of shareholders present at the meeting, in person or by proxy, and voting on the matter.

C. MATERIAL CONTRACTS

In December 30, 2007, we entered into a stock purchase agreement with Fortissimo Capital Fund GP LP, to sell our wholly-owned subsidiary, AAOD, a Florida corporation that develops and markets application software targeted at the long-term care industry to Fortissimo Capital for \$17 million. \$1 million of the proceeds was paid in December 2007 and \$16 million in the beginning of 2008. In addition, as part of the transaction, we entered into a three years license agreement with AAOD according to which AAOD will continue to sell products based on our platform in consideration for \$3.0 million paid in equal payments over three years starting in 2008.

While we have numerous contracts with customers, resellers and distributors we do not deem any such individual contract to be material.

D. EXCHANGE CONTROLS

Israeli law and regulations do not impose any material foreign exchange restrictions on non-Israeli holders of our ordinary shares. In May 1998, a new general permit was issued under the Israeli Currency Control Law, 1978, which removed most of the restrictions that previously existed under such law, and enabled Israeli citizens to freely invest outside of Israel and freely convert Israeli currency into non-Israeli currencies.

Non-residents of Israel who purchase our ordinary shares will be able to convert dividends, if any, thereon, and any amounts payable upon our dissolution, liquidation or winding up, as well as the proceeds of any sale in Israel of our ordinary shares to an Israeli resident, into freely repatriable dollars, at the exchange rate prevailing at the time of conversion, provided that the Israeli income tax has been withheld (or paid) with respect to such amounts or an exemption has been obtained.

E. TAXATION

The following is a discussion of Israeli and United States tax consequences material to our shareholders. To the extent that the discussion is based on new tax legislation which has not been subject to judicial or administrative interpretation, we cannot assure you that the views expressed in the discussion will be accepted by the appropriate tax authorities or the courts. The discussion is not intended, and should not be construed, as legal or professional tax advice and is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations

Holders of our ordinary shares should consult their own tax advisors as to the United States, Israeli or other tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of ordinary shares, including, in particular, the effect of any foreign, state or local taxes.

ISRAELI TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following is a summary of some of the current tax law applicable to companies in Israel, with special reference to its effect on us. The following also contains a discussion of specified Israeli tax consequences to our shareholders and government programs benefiting us. To the extent that the discussion is based on tax legislation that has not been subject to judicial or administrative interpretation, there can be no assurance that the views expressed in the discussion will be accepted by the tax authorities in question. The discussion is not intended, and should not be construed, as legal or professional tax advice and is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations.

General Corporate Tax Structure

Israeli companies were generally subject to corporate tax at the rate of 27% of their taxable income in 2008. The corporate tax was reduced to a rate of 26% in 2009 and will be further reduced to 25% in 2010 and thereafter.

However, the rate is effectively reduced for income derived from an approved enterprise and beneficiary enterprise, as discussed below.

Under the Income Tax Law (Adjustment for Inflation) 1985, income for tax purposes is generally measured in terms of earnings in NIS adjusted for the increase in the Israeli CPI. This law was repealed as of the end of 2007 and its provisions will not apply to us from tax year 2008 and onward.

Tax Benefits Under the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959

The Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investment, 1959, or the Investment Law, provides that a proposed capital investment in production facilities or other eligible facilities may be designated as an approved enterprise. To obtain approved enterprise status, an application to the Investment Center of the Ministry of Industry and Trade, or the Investment Center, needs to be submitted. Each instrument of approval for an approved enterprise relates to a specific investment program that is defined both by the financial scope of the investment, including sources of funds, and by the physical characteristics of the facility or other assets.

The tax benefits available under any instrument of approval relate only to taxable profits attributable to the specific program and are contingent upon meeting the criteria set out in the instrument of approval. If a company has more than one approval or only a portion of its capital investments are approved, its effective tax rate is the weighted average of the applicable rates. As explained below, following the amendment of the Investment Law which became effective on April 1, 2005, companies may receive tax benefits under the law without applying for an approved enterprise status.

Tax Benefits for Income from Approved Enterprises Approved Before April 1, 2005

Before April 1, 2005 an approved enterprise was entitled to either receive a grant from the Government of Israel or an alternative package of tax benefits, or the Alternative Benefits. We have elected to forego the entitlement to grants and have applied for the Alternative Benefits, under which undistributed income that we generate from our approved enterprises will be completely tax exempt. The period of such tax exemption for a company electing the Alternative Benefits ranges between two and ten years, depending upon the location within Israel and the type of the approved enterprise. Because we are located in Or Yehuda, the period of tax exemption applicable is two to four years (as described below).

On expiration of the exemption period, the approved enterprise would be eligible for beneficial tax rates otherwise available for approved enterprises under the Investment Law (for our company, a rate of 25%) for the remainder of the otherwise applicable benefits period.

65

Alternative Benefits are available until the earlier of (i) seven consecutive years, commencing in the year in which the specific approved enterprise first generates taxable income, (ii) 12 years from commencement of production and (iii) 14 years from the date of approval of the approved enterprise status.

Dividends paid out of income generated by an approved enterprise (or out of dividends received from a company whose income is generated by an approved enterprise) are generally subject to withholding tax at the rate of 15%. This withholding tax is deductible at source by the approved enterprise. The 15% tax rate is limited to dividends and distributions out of income derived during the benefits period and actually paid at any time up to 12 years thereafter. Since we elected the Alternative Benefits track, we will be subject to payment of corporate tax at the rate of 25% in respect of the gross amount of the dividend that we may distribute out of profits which were exempt from corporate tax in accordance with the provisions of the Alternative Benefits track. If we are also deemed to be a Foreign Investors Company, or FIC, and if the

FIC (the definition of which appears below) is at least 49% owned by non-Israeli residents, the corporate tax rate paid by us in respect of the dividend we may distribute from income derived by our approved enterprises during the tax exemption period may be taxed at a lower rate.

Since we have elected the Alternative Benefits package, we are not obliged to attribute any part of dividends that we may distribute to exempt profits, and we may decide from which year s profits to declare dividends. We currently intend to reinvest any income that we may in the future derive from our approved enterprise programs and not to distribute the income as a dividend.

If we qualify as a FIC, our approved enterprises will be entitled to additional tax benefits. Subject to certain conditions, a FIC is a company with a level of foreign investment of more than 25%. The level of foreign investment is measured as the percentage of rights in the company (in terms of shares, rights to profits, voting and appointment of directors), and of combined share and loan capital, that are owned, directly or indirectly, by persons who are not residents of Israel. Such a company will be eligible for an extension of the period during which it is entitled to tax benefits under its approved enterprise status (so that the benefit periods may be up to ten years) and for further tax benefits if the level of foreign investment exceeds 49%.

The Investment Center of the Ministry of Industry and Trade has granted approved enterprise status under Israeli law to eight investment programs at our manufacturing facility. We have elected the alternative package of benefits with respect to each of these approved enterprise programs.

The benefits available to an approved enterprise are subject to the fulfillment of conditions stipulated in the Investment Law and its regulations and the criteria in the specific certificate of approval, as described above. If a company does not meet these conditions, it would be required to refund the amount of tax benefits, together with consumer price index linkage adjustment and interest.

Tax Benefits under an Amendment that Became Effective on April 1, 2005

On April 1, 2005, an amendment to the Investment Law became effective. The Investment Law provides that terms and benefits included in any certificate of approval that was granted before the April 2005 amendment came into effect will remain subject to the provisions of the Investment Law as they were on the date of such approval.

Under the April 2005 amendment, approved enterprise status will continue to be granted by the Investment Center to qualifying investments. However, the amendment limits the scope of enterprises which may be approved by the Investment Center by setting criteria for the approval of a facility as an approved enterprise, such as provisions generally requiring that at least 25% of the approved enterprise s income will be derived from export.

The April 2005 amendment provides that approved enterprise status will only be necessary for receiving grants. As a result, it is no longer necessary for a company to acquire approved enterprise status in order to receive the tax benefits previously available under the Alternative Benefits provisions. Rather, a company may claim the tax benefits offered by the Investment Law directly in its tax returns, provided that its facilities meet the criteria for tax benefits set out by the amendment. Companies are entitled to approach the Israeli Tax Authority for a pre-ruling regarding their eligibility for benefits under the amendment.

66

Tax benefits are available under the April 2005 amendment to production facilities (or other eligible facilities), which are generally required to derive more than 25% of their business income from export. In order to receive the tax benefits, the amendment states that the company must make an investment which meets all the conditions set out in the amendment for tax benefits and exceeds a minimum amount specified in the Investment Law. Such investment allows the company to receive a benefited enterprise status, and may be made over a period of no more than three years ending at the end of the year in which the company requested to have the tax benefits apply to the benefited enterprise, referred to as the Year of Election. Where the company requests to have the tax benefits apply to an expansion of existing facilities, only the expansion will be considered to be a benefited enterprise and the company s effective tax rate will be the weighted average of the applicable rates. In this case, the minimum investment required in order to qualify as a benefited enterprise is required to exceed a certain amount or certain percentage of the value of the company s production assets before the expansion.

The extent of the tax benefits available under the April 2005 amendment to qualifying income of a benefited enterprise are determined by the geographic location of the benefited enterprise. The location will also determine the period for which tax benefits are available.

Dividends paid out of income derived by a benefited enterprise will be treated similarly to payment of dividends by an approved enterprise under the Alternative Benefits track. Therefore, dividends paid out of income derived by a benefited enterprise (or out of dividends received from a company whose income is derived from a benefited enterprise) are generally subject to withholding tax at the rate of 15% (deductible at source). The reduced rate of 15% is limited to dividends and distributions out of income derived from a benefited enterprise during the benefits

period and actually paid at any time up to 12 years thereafter. A company qualifying for tax benefits under the amendment which pays a dividend out of income derived by its benefited enterprise during the tax exemption period will be subject to tax in respect of the gross amount of the dividend at the otherwise applicable rate of 25%, (or lower in the case of a qualified FIC which is at least 49% owned by non-Israeli residents). The dividend recipient would be subject to tax at the rate of 15% on the amount received which tax would be deducted at source.

As a result of the April 2005 amendment, tax-exempt income generated under the provisions of the new law will subject us to taxes upon distribution of the tax-exempt income to shareholders or liquidation of the company, and we may be required to record a deferred tax liability with respect to such tax-exempt income.

The April 2005 amendment sets a minimal amount of foreign investment required for a company to be regarded a FIC.

Tax Benefits and Grants for Research and Development

Israeli tax law allows, under certain conditions, a tax deduction in the year incurred for expenditures (including capital expenditures) in scientific research and development projects if the expenditures are approved by the relevant Israeli government ministry (determined by the field of research) and the research and development is for the promotion of the enterprise and is carried out by or on behalf of the company seeking such deduction. Expenditures not so approved are deductible over a three-year period. However, expenditures made out of proceeds made available to us through government grants are not deductible according to Israeli law.

Law for the Encouragement of Industry (Taxes), 1969

The following preferred corporate tax benefits, among others, are available to Industrial Corporations, which may be applicable to us:

Amortization of purchases of know-how and patents over eight years for tax purposes.

Amortization of expenses incurred in connection with certain public security issuances over a three-year period.

Tax exemption for shareholders who held shares before a public offering on capital gains derived from the sale (as defined by law) of securities, if realized after more than five years from the public issuance of additional securities of the company. (As of November 1994, this exemption was repealed, however, it applies to our shareholders pursuant to a grand-fathering clause.) This exemption applies only to gains that accrued before January 1, 2003.

Accelerated depreciation rates on equipment and buildings.

Special Provisions Relating to Taxation Under Inflationary Conditions

The Income Tax Law (Inflationary Adjustments), 1985, or the Inflationary Adjustments Law, which was repealed effective January 1, 2008, represented an attempt to overcome the problems presented to a traditional tax system by an economy undergoing rapid inflation. The Inflationary Adjustments Law was highly complex. The features that were material to us can be described as follows:

When the value of a company s equity, as calculated under the Inflationary Adjustments Law, exceeded the depreciated cost of its fixed assets (as defined in the Inflationary Adjustments Law), a deduction from taxable income was permitted equal to the product of the excess multiplied by the applicable annual rate of inflation. The maximum deduction permitted in any single tax year was 70% of taxable income, with the unused portion permitted to be carried forward, linked to the increase in the consumer price index.

If the depreciated cost of a company s fixed assets exceeded its equity, the product of the excess multiplied by the applicable annual rate of inflation was added to taxable income.

Subject to certain limitations, depreciation deductions on fixed assets and losses carried forward were adjusted for inflation based on the increase in the Israeli consumer price index.

Since most of the provisions of the Inflationary Adjustments Law were repealed as of January 1, 2008, the above provisions were only applicable to the determination of our taxable income for periods prior to the 2008 fiscal year.

Israeli Capital Gains Tax

An individual is subject to a 20% tax rate on real capital gains derived from the sale of shares, as long as the individual is not a substantial shareholder (generally a shareholder with 10% or more of the right to profits, right to nominate a director and voting rights) in the company issuing the shares.

A substantial shareholder will be subject to tax at a rate of 25% in respect of real capital gains derived from the sale of shares issued by the company in which he or she is a substantial shareholder. The determination of whether the individual is a substantial shareholder will be made on the date that the securities are sold. In addition, the individual will be deemed to be a substantial shareholder if at any time during the 12 months preceding this date he had been a substantial shareholder.

Non-Israeli residents are exempt from Israeli capital gains tax on any gains derived from the sale of shares in an Israeli corporation publicly traded on the TASE and/or on a foreign stock exchange, provided such gains do not derive from a permanent establishment of such shareholders in Israel and that such shareholders did not acquire their shares prior to the issuer s initial public offering. However, non-Israeli corporations will not be entitled to such exemption if an Israeli resident (i) has a controlling interest of 25% or more in such non-Israeli corporation, or (ii) is the beneficiary of or is entitled to 25% or more of the revenues or profits of such non-Israeli corporation, whether directly or indirectly.

In some instances where our shareholders may be liable to Israeli tax on the sale of their ordinary shares, the payment of the consideration may be subject to the withholding of Israeli tax at the source.

| | , |
|----|---|
| 00 | |

Pursuant to the treaty between the governments of the United States and Israel with respect to taxes on income, or the U.S.-Israel tax treaty, the sale, exchange or disposition of our ordinary shares by a person who qualifies as a resident of the United States under the treaty and who is entitled to claim the benefits afforded to him by the treaty, will generally not be subject to Israeli capital gains tax. This exemption shall not apply to a person who held, directly or indirectly, shares representing 10% or more of the voting power in our company during any part of the 12 month period preceding the sale, exchange or disposition, subject to certain conditions. A sale, exchange or disposition of our shares by a U.S. resident qualified under the treaty, who held, directly or indirectly, shares representing 10% or more of the voting power in our company at any time during the preceding 12 month period would be subject to Israeli tax, to the extent applicable; however, under the treaty, this U.S. resident would be permitted to claim a credit for these taxes against the U.S. income tax with respect to the sale, exchange or disposition, subject to the limitations in U.S. laws applicable to foreign tax credits.

Israeli Tax on Dividend Income

Taxation of Israeli Residents

Israeli resident individuals are generally subject to Israeli income tax on the receipt of dividends paid on our ordinary shares, other than bonus shares (share dividends) or stock dividends, at the rate of 20%, or 25% for a shareholder that is considered a material shareholder within the meaning of the Israeli Tax Ordinance, at any time during the 12-month period preceding such distribution. Dividends paid on our ordinary shares to Israeli resident companies are exempt from such tax (except with respect to dividends that are distributed from the income derived outside of Israel, which are subject to the 25% tax rate).

Trust funds, pension funds and other institutions which are exempt from tax based on Section 9(2) of the Israeli Tax Ordinance are exempt from the tax on dividend distributions.

The withholding tax on dividends paid on our ordinary shares is 20% (including for a shareholder considered a material shareholder within the meaning of the Israeli Tax Ordinance).

Dividends paid from income derived from our approved enterprise or benefited enterprise are subject to tax, which is withheld at the source at the rate of 15%, although we cannot assure you that we will designate the profits that are being distributed in a way that will reduce shareholders tax liability.

Taxation of Non-Israeli Residents

Under Israeli tax law, a distribution of dividends from income attributable to an approved enterprise will be subject to tax in Israel at the rate of 15%, which is withheld and paid by the company paying the dividend, if the dividend is distributed during the benefits period or within the following 12 years (but the 12-year limitation does not apply to a Foreign Investors Company). Any distribution of dividends from income that is not attributable to an approved enterprise will be subject to tax in Israel at the rate of 25%, except that dividends distributed on or after January 1, 2006 to an individual who is deemed a non-substantial shareholder will be subject to tax at the rate of 20%.

Under the US-Israel Tax Treaty, Israeli withholding tax on dividends paid to a U.S. treaty resident may not in general exceed 25%, or 15% in the case of dividends paid out of the profits of an approved enterprise. Where the recipient is a U.S. corporation owning 10% or more of the voting stock of the paying corporation and the dividend is not paid from the profits of an approved enterprise, the Israeli tax withheld may not exceed 12.5%, subject to certain conditions.

UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

The following is a summary of certain material U.S. federal income tax consequences that apply to U.S. Holders who hold ordinary shares as capital assets. This summary is based on the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, judicial and administrative interpretations thereof, and the U.S.-Israel Tax Treaty, all as in effect on the date hereof and all of which are subject to change either prospectively or retroactively. This summary does not address all tax considerations that may be relevant with respect to an investment in ordinary shares. This summary does not account for the specific circumstances of any particular investor, such as:

| 6 | 9 |
|---|---|
| | |

broker-dealers,

financial institutions,

certain insurance companies,

investors liable for alternative minimum tax,

tax-exempt organizations,

non-resident aliens of the U.S. or taxpayers whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar,

persons who hold the ordinary shares through partnerships or other pass-through entities,

persons who acquire their ordinary shares through the exercise or cancellation of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation for services,

investors that actually or constructively own 10% or more of our voting shares, and

investors holding ordinary shares as part of a straddle, or appreciated financial position or a hedging or conversion transaction.

If a partnership or an entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes owns ordinary shares, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner in such a partnership will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. A partnership that owns ordinary shares and the partners in such partnership should consult their tax advisors about the U.S. federal income tax consequences of holding and disposing of ordinary shares.

This summary does not address the effect of any U.S. federal taxation other than U.S. federal income and gift and estate taxation. In addition, this summary does not include any discussion of state, local or foreign taxation.

You are urged to consult your tax advisors regarding the foreign and United States federal, state and local tax considerations of an investment in ordinary shares.

For purposes of this summary, a U.S. Holder is:

an individual who is a citizen or, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a resident of the United States;

a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof;

an estate whose income is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source; or

a trust that (a) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and the control of one or more U.S. persons or (b) has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

Taxation of Dividends

The gross amount of any distributions received with respect to ordinary shares, including the amount of any Israeli taxes withheld therefrom, will constitute dividends for U.S. federal income tax purposes to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. You will be required to include this amount of dividends in gross income as ordinary income. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits will be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of your tax basis in the ordinary shares and any amount in excess of your tax basis will be treated as gain from the sale of ordinary shares. See -Disposition of Ordinary Shares below for the discussion on the taxation of capital gains. Dividends will not qualify for the dividends-received

deduction generally available to corporations under Section 243 of the Code.

Dividends that we pay in NIS, including the amount of any Israeli taxes withheld therefrom, will be included in your income in a U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the day such dividends are received. A U.S. Holder who receives payment in NIS and converts NIS into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate other than the rate in effect on such day may have a foreign currency exchange gain or loss that would be treated as ordinary income or loss. U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors concerning the U.S. tax consequences of acquiring, holding and disposing of NIS.

Subject to complex limitations, any Israeli withholding tax imposed on such dividends will be a foreign income tax eligible for credit against a U.S. Holder s U.S. federal income tax liability (or, alternatively, for deduction against income in determining such tax liability). The limitations set out in the Code include computational rules under which foreign tax credits allowable with respect to specific classes of income cannot exceed the U.S. federal income taxes otherwise payable with respect to each such class of income. Dividends generally will be treated as foreign-source passive category income or, in the case of certain U.S. Holders, general category income for United States foreign tax credit purposes. Further, there are special rules for computing the foreign tax credit limitation of a taxpayer who receives dividends subject to a reduced tax, see discussion below. A U.S. Holder will be denied a foreign tax credit with respect to Israeli income tax withheld from dividends received on the ordinary shares to the extent such U.S. Holder has not held the ordinary shares for at least 16 days of the 31-day period beginning on the date which is 15 days before the ex-dividend date or to the extent such U.S. Holder has substantially diminished its risk of loss on the ordinary shares are not counted toward meeting the 16-day holding period required by the statute. The rules relating to the determination of the foreign tax credit are complex, and you should consult with your personal tax advisors to determine whether and to what extent you would be entitled to this credit.

Subject to certain limitations, qualified dividend income received by a noncorporate U.S. Holder in tax years beginning on or before December 31, 2010 will be subject to tax at a reduced maximum tax rate of 15 percent. Distributions taxable as dividends paid on the ordinary shares should qualify for the 15 percent rate provided that either: (i) we are entitled to benefits under the income tax treaty between the Untied States and Israel, or the Treaty, or (ii) the ordinary shares are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States and certain other requirements are met. We believe that we are entitled to benefits under the Treaty and that the ordinary shares currently are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. However, no assurance can be given that the ordinary shares will remain readily tradable. The rate reduction does not apply unless certain holding period requirements are satisfied. With respect to the ordinary shares, the U.S. Holder must have held such shares for at least 61 days during the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the ex-dividend date. The rate reduction also does not apply to dividends received from passive foreign investment companies, see discussion below, or in respect of certain hedged positions or in certain other situations. U.S. Holders of ordinary shares should consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect of these rules in their particular circumstances.

Disposition of Ordinary Shares

If you sell or otherwise dispose of ordinary shares, you will recognize gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized on the sale or other disposition and the adjusted tax basis in ordinary shares. Subject to the discussion below under the heading Passive Foreign Investment Companies, such gain or loss will generally be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if you have held the ordinary shares for more than one year at the time of the sale or other disposition. In general, any gain that you recognize on the sale or other disposition of ordinary shares will be U.S.-source for purposes of the foreign tax credit limitation; losses will be generally allocated against U.S. source income. Deduction of capital losses is subject to certain limitations under the Code.

71

In the case of a cash basis U.S. Holder who receives NIS in connection with the sale or disposition of ordinary shares, the amount realized will be based on the U.S. dollar value of the NIS received with respect to the ordinary shares as determined on the settlement date of such exchange. A U.S. Holder who receives payment in NIS and converts NIS into United States dollars at a conversion rate other than the rate in effect on the settlement date may have a foreign currency exchange gain or loss that would be treated as ordinary income or loss.

An accrual basis U.S. Holder may elect the same treatment required of cash basis taxpayers with respect to a sale or disposition of ordinary shares, provided that the election is applied consistently from year to year. Such election may not be changed without the consent of the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS. In the event that an accrual basis U.S. Holder does not elect to be treated as a cash basis taxpayer (pursuant to the Treasury regulations applicable to foreign currency transactions), such U.S. Holder may have a foreign currency gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes because of differences between the U.S. dollar value of the currency received prevailing on the trade date and the settlement date. Any such currency gain or loss would be treated as ordinary income or loss and would be in addition to gain or loss, if any, recognized by such U.S. Holder on the sale or disposition of such ordinary shares.

Passive Foreign Investment Companies

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, we will be considered a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for any taxable year in which either (i) 75% or more of our gross income is passive income, or (ii) the average percentage of our assets for the taxable year which are produced or held for the production of passive income is at least 50%. For this purpose, passive income includes generally dividends, interest, royalties, rents, annuities and the excess of gains over losses from the disposition of assets which produce passive income. If we were determined to be a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes, highly complex rules would apply to U.S. Holders owning ordinary shares. Accordingly, you are urged to consult your tax advisors regarding the application of such rules.

Based on our current and projected income, assets and activities, we believe that we are not currently a PFIC nor do we expect to become a PFIC in the foreseeable future. However, because the determination of whether we are a PFIC is based upon the composition of our income and assets from time to time, there can be no assurances that we will not become a PFIC for any future taxable year.

If we are treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, dividends would not qualify for the reduced maximum tax rate, discussed above, and, unless you elect either to treat your investment in ordinary shares as an investment in a qualified electing fund, or a QEF election, or to mark-to-market your ordinary shares, as described below,

you would be required to allocate income recognized upon receiving certain dividends or gain recognized upon the disposition of ordinary shares ratably over the holding period for such ordinary shares,

the amount allocated to each year during which we are considered a PFIC other than the year of the dividend payment or disposition would be subject to tax at the highest individual or corporate tax rate, as the case may be, and an interest charge would be imposed with respect to the resulting tax liability allocated to each such year,

the amount allocated to the current taxable year and any taxable year before we became a PFIC would be taxable as ordinary income in the current year, and

you would be required to make an annual return on IRS Form 8621 regarding distributions received with respect to ordinary shares and any gain realized on your ordinary shares.

If you make either a timely QEF election or a timely mark-to-market election in respect of your ordinary shares, you would not be subject to the rules described above. If you make a timely QEF election, you would be required to include in your income for each taxable year your pro rata share of our ordinary earnings as ordinary income and your pro rata share of our net capital gain as long-term capital gain, whether or not such amounts are actually distributed to you. You would not be eligible to make a QEF election unless we comply with certain applicable information reporting requirements. We will provide U.S. Holders with the information needed to report income and gain under a QEF election if we are classified as a PFIC.

Alternatively, assuming the ordinary shares qualify as marketable stock within the meaning of section 1296(e) of the Code, if you elect to mark-to-market your ordinary shares, you will generally include in income, in each year in which we are considered a PFIC, any excess of the fair market value of the ordinary shares at the close of each tax year over your adjusted basis in the ordinary shares. If the fair market value of the ordinary shares over its fair market value at that time. However, such deductions would generally be limited to the net mark-to-market gains, if any, that you included in income with respect to such ordinary shares in prior years. Income recognized and deductions allowed under the mark-to-market provisions, as well as any gain or loss on the disposition of ordinary shares with respect to which the mark-to-market election is made, is treated as ordinary income or loss, except that in a year that we are not considered a PFIC, a gain or loss will be treated as capital gain or loss.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Payments in respect of ordinary shares may be subject to information reporting to the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and to U.S. backup withholding tax at a rate equal to the fourth lowest income tax rate applicable to individuals, which, under current law, is 28%. Backup withholding will not apply, however, if you (i) are a corporation or fall within certain exempt categories, and demonstrate the fact when so required, or (ii) furnish a correct taxpayer identification number and make any other required certification.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be credited against a U.S. Holder s U.S. tax liability, and a U.S. Holder may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules by filing the appropriate claim for refund with the IRS.

U.S. Gift and Estate Tax

An individual U.S. Holder of ordinary shares will generally be subject to U.S. gift and estate taxes with respect to ordinary shares in the same manner and to the same extent as with respect to other types of personal property.

F. DIVIDENDS AND PAYING AGENTS

Not applicable.

G. STATEMENT BY EXPERTS

Not applicable.

H. DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

We are subject to certain of the reporting requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as applicable to foreign private issuers as defined in Rule 3b-4 under the Exchange Act. As a foreign private issuer, we are exempt from certain provisions of the Exchange Act. Accordingly, our proxy solicitations are not subject to the disclosure and procedural requirements of Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act, and transactions in our equity securities by our officers and directors are exempt from reporting and the

short-swing profit recovery provisions contained in Section 16 of the Exchange Act. In addition, we are not required under the Exchange Act to file periodic reports and financial statements as frequently or as promptly as U.S. companies whose securities are registered under the Exchange Act. However, we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission an annual report on Form 20-F containing financial statements audited by an independent accounting firm. We also submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission reports on Form 6-K containing (among other things) press releases and unaudited financial information. We post our annual report on Form 20-F on our website (<u>www.magicsoftware.com</u>)

promptly following the filing of our annual report with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report.

73

This annual report and the exhibits thereto and any other document we file pursuant to the Exchange Act may be inspected without charge and copied at prescribed rates at the Securities and Exchange Commission public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Securities and Exchange Commission s public reference room in Washington, D.C. by calling the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Exchange Act file number for our Securities and Exchange Commission filings is 000-19415.

The Securities and Exchange Commission maintains a website at <u>www.sec.gov</u> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding registrants that make electronic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission using its EDGAR (Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval) system.

The documents concerning our company that are referred to in this annual report may also be inspected at our offices located at 5 Haplada Street, Or Yehuda 60218, Israel.

I. SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

We are exposed to a variety of market risks, primarily changes in interest rates affecting our investments in marketable securities and foreign currency fluctuations.

Interest Rate Risk

Except for our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relating to our investments in marketable securities, we do not have any substantial risk which is derived from a change in interest rates.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Our financial results may be negatively impacted by foreign currency fluctuations. Our foreign operations are transacted through a global network of subsidiaries. As a result, these sales and related expenses are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Because our financial results are reported in U.S. dollars, our results of operations may be adversely impacted by fluctuations in the rates of exchange between the U.S. dollar and such other currencies as the financial results of our foreign subsidiaries are converted into U.S. dollars in consolidation. From time to time, we use derivative or hedge instruments to cover part of our exposures.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

None.

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

74

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our chief executive officer and chief financial officer to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e), as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20-F. Based upon that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company s board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transaction and dispositions of the assets of the company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use of disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on that assessment, our management concluded that as of December 31, 2008, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial report. Management s report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management s report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

75

ITEM 16. <u>RESERVED</u>

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Elan Penn, an outside director, meets the definition of an audit committee financial expert, as defined by rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. For a brief listing of Mr. Penn s relevant experience, see Item 6.A. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Directors and Senior Management.

ITEM 16B. <u>CODE OF ETHICS</u>

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to any chief executive officer and all senior financial officers of our company, including the chief financial officer, chief accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The code of ethics is publicly available on our website at <u>www.magicsoftware.com</u>. Written copies are available upon request. If we make any substantive amendment to the code of ethics or grant any waivers, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the codes of ethics, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on our website.

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The following table sets forth, for each of the years indicated, the fees paid to our principal independent registered public accounting firm. All of such fees were pre-approved by our Audit Committee.

| | Year Endec | l Decer | nber 31, | |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|---------|
| | Services Rendered | 2007 | | 2008 |
| Audit (1) | | \$ 179,000 | \$ | 141,000 |
| Audit-related (2) | | \$ 10,000 | \$ | 51,00 |
| Tax (3) | | \$ 27,000 | \$ | 51,00 |
| | | | | |
| Total | | \$ 216,000 | \$ | 243,00 |

Audit fees relate to audit services provided for each of the years shown in the table, including fees associated with the annual audit, consultations on various accounting issues and audit services provided in connection with other statutory or regulatory filings.

- (2) Audit-related fees for 2007 and 2008 relate primarily to consulting services provided in connection with the sale of AAOD.
- (3) Tax fees relate to services performed by the tax division for tax compliance, planning and advice.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our Audit Committee has adopted a policy and procedures for the pre-approval of audit and non-audit services rendered by our independent registered public accountants, Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer, a member of Ernst & Young Global. Pre-approval of an audit or non-audit service may be given as a general pre-approval, as part of the audit committee s approval of the scope of the engagement of our independent auditor, or on an individual basis. Any proposed services that exceed general pre-approved levels also require specific pre-approval by our audit committee. The policy prohibits retention of the independent public accountants to perform the prohibited non-audit functions defined in Section 201 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and also requires the Audit Committee to consider whether proposed services are compatible with the independence of the public accountants.

76

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

Not applicable.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

During 2008, our parent company Formula Systems purchased an additional 2,392,531 of our ordinary shares in open-market transactions, at a total purchase price of approximately \$4,503,297, increasing it ownership interest in our company from 51.2% to 58.2%. The purchases made by Formula Systems were not made pursuant to a publicly announced plan or program. Such purchases were made independent of our company. As of the date of this annual report, Formula Systems has not acquired any shares of our company during 2009.

ITEM 16F. CHANGES IN REGISTRANT S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT

Not applicable.

ITEM 16G. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Under NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350, or Rule 4350, foreign private issuers, such as our company, are permitted to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain provisions of Rule 4350, without the need to seek individual exemptions from NASDAQ. A foreign private issuer that elects to follow a home country practice instead of any of such provisions of Rule 4350, must submit to NASDAQ, in advance, a written statement from an independent counsel in such issuer s home country certifying that the issuer s practices are not prohibited by the home country s laws.

In June 2005, we provided NASDAQ with a notice of non-compliance with respect to the NASDAQ requirement that independent directors have regularly scheduled meetings at which only independent directors are present. Instead, we follow Israel law and practice, under which independent directors are not required to hold executive sessions.

PART III

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| Index to Financial Statements | F-1 |
|---|-------------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-2 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | F- 3 - F- 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations | F- 5 |
| Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity | F- 6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | F-7 - F-8 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-9- F- 35 |
| Appendix A - Details of Subsidiaries and Affiliated Company | F- 36 |

77

ITEM 19. <u>EXHIBITS</u>

Index to Exhibits

| <u>Exhibit</u> | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Memorandum of Association of the Registrant ¹ |
| 3.2 | Articles of Association of the Registrant ² |
| 2.1 | Specimen of Ordinary Share Certificate ³ |
| 4.1 | 1991 Employee Stock Option Plan, as amended ⁴ |
| 4.2 | 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan ⁵ |
| 4.3 | 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan ⁶ |
| 4.4 | Form of Stock Purchase Agreement with Fortissimo Capital Fund GP LP ⁷ |
| 8 | List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 12.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended |
| 12.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended |
| 13.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 13.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 15.1 | Consent of Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer, a Member of Ernst & Young Global |

- 15.2 Consent of Levy Cohen & Co., Chartered Accountants (relating to Magic Software Enterprises (UK) Limited)
- 15.3 Consent of Levy Cohen & Co., Chartered Accountants (relating to Hermes Logistics Technologies Limited)
- 15.4 Consent of KDA Audit Corporation (relating to Magic Software Japan K.K.)
- 15.5 Consent of Verstegen accountants en adviseurs (relating to Magic Benelux B.V.)
- 15.6 Consent of Maria Negyessy, Registered Auditors (relating to Magic (Onyx) Magyarorszag Szoftverhaz Kft.)
- (1) Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant s registration statement on Form F-1, registration number 33-41486, and incorporated herein by reference.

78

- (2) Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant s registration statement on Form F-1, registration number 33-41486, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the registrant s registration statement on Form F-1, registration number 33-41486, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant s annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2000, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant s annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2000, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the registrant s annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the registrant s annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference.

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2008

IN U.S. DOLLARS

INDEX

Page

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

| Consolidated Balance Sheets | F-3 - F-4 |
|--|------------------|
| Consolidated Statements of Operations | F-5 |
| Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity | F-6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | F-7 - F-8 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-9 - F-35 |
| Details Of Subsidiaries And Affiliate | F-36 |
| | |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Magic Software Enterprises Ltd. (the Company) and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We did not audit the financial statements of certain subsidiaries, which statements reflect total assets of 15% and 15% as of December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively, and total revenues of 37%, 36% and 39% for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 of the related consolidated totals. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose reports have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for those subsidiaries, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2007 the Company adopted FASB interpretation No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB No. 109, effective January 1, 2007.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the reports of the other auditors, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2008, and the related consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer

Tel-Aviv, Israel April 2, 2009 KOST FORER GABBAY & KASIERER A Member of Ernst & Young Global

F - 2

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

U.S. dollars in thousands

| | December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------|----|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 2007 | | 2008 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 12,178 | \$ | 27,309 | | | |
| Short-term bank deposits | | 89 | | 1,810 | | | |
| Available for sale marketable securities (Note 4) | | 4,179 | | 3,469 | | | |
| Trade receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$ 1,829 and | | | | | | | |
| \$ 2,143 at December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively) | | 12,941 | | 13,140 | | | |
| Other accounts receivable and prepaid expenses (Note 6) | | 1,915 | | 1,933 | | | |
| Receivables from a sale of subsidiary (Note 3a) | | 16,000 | | | | | |
| Current assets of discontinued operations (Note 18) | | 41 | | 31 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total current assets | | 47,343 | | 47,692 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS: | | | | | | | |
| Long-term lease deposits | | 383 | | 290 | | | |
| Investments in an affiliated company | | 222 | | | | | |
| Severance pay fund | | 1,925 | | 1,753 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total long-term investments | | 2,530 | | 2,043 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET (Note 7) | | 5,758 | | 5,436 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET (Note 8) | | 10,681 | | 10,656 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| GOODWILL (Note 9) | | 15,986 | | 16,829 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | \$ | 82,298 | \$ | 82,656 | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

F - 3

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share and per share data)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | | Decem | ber 3 | er 31, | | |
|---|----|----------|-------|----------|--|--|
| | | 2007 | | 2008 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | | | | | |
| Short-term credit and current maturities of long-term loans (Note 10) | \$ | 3,621 | \$ | 147 | | |
| Trade payables | | 2,999 | | 2,988 | | |
| Accrued expenses and other accounts payable (Note 11) | | 9,169 | | 8,779 | | |
| Deferred revenues | | 2,314 | | 1,643 | | |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations (Note 18) | | 503 | | 372 | | |
| Total current liabilities | | 18,606 | | 13,929 | | |
| ACCRUED SEVERANCE PAY | | 2,316 | | 1,939 | | |
| LONG-TERM LOANS (Note 12) | | 132 | | 33 | | |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENTIES (Note 16) | | | | | | |
| $\mathbf{CHAPEHOIDERG} = \mathbf{COUTV} (\mathbf{M}_{-4-1} 1).$ | | | | | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Note 14): | | | | | | |
| Share capital: Authorized: 50,000,000 Ordinary shares of NIS 0.1 par value at | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2007 and 2008; Issued 32,817,418 and 33,359,248 shares at December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively; Outstanding: 31,542,050 | | | | | | |
| and 31,893,880 shares at December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively | | 838 | | 845 | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 107,052 | | 107,521 | | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | | (496) | | 31 | | |
| Treasury shares, at cost: 1,275,368 and 1,465,368 Ordinary shares at | | (/ | | | | |
| December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively. | | (6,772) | | (6,772) | | |
| Accumulated deficit | | (39,378) | | (34,870) | | |
| Total shareholders equity | | 61,244 | | 66,755 | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| | \$ | 82,298 | \$ | 82,656 | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except per share data)

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|----|---------|----|--------|--|
| | _ | 2006 2007 | | 2007 | | 2008 | |
| Revenues (Note 19): | | | | | | | |
| Software | \$ | 18,788 | \$ | 17,707 | \$ | 20,913 | |
| Maintenance and technical support | Ψ | 11,531 | Ψ | 12,605 | ψ | 14,530 | |
| Consulting services | | 22,252 | | 28,116 | | 26,537 | |
| Consulting services | | 22,232 | | 20,110 | | 20,337 | |
| Total revenues | | 52,571 | | 58,428 | | 61,980 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Cost of revenues: | | 5 400 | | 4 5 5 7 | | 4.000 | |
| Software | | 5,433 | | 4,557 | | 4,898 | |
| Maintenance and technical support | | 2,873 | | 1,602 | | 2,263 | |
| Consulting services | | 16,862 | | 21,181 | | 19,978 | |
| <u>Total</u> cost of revenues | | 25,168 | | 27,340 | | 27,139 | |
| Correct on St | | 27 402 | | 31,088 | | 24.041 | |
| Gross profit | | 27,403 | | 51,088 | | 34,841 | |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Research and development, net (Note 15a) | | 2,462 | | 2,716 | | 2,350 | |
| Selling and marketing | | 15,712 | | 15,558 | | 17,357 | |
| General and administrative | | 13,784 | | 11,532 | | 10,867 | |
| Restructuring and impairment (Note 15c) | | 2,157 | | | | | |
| Total operating expenses, net | | 34,115 | | 29,806 | | 30,574 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | | (6,712) | | 1,282 | | 4,267 | |
| Financial income, net (Note 15b) | | 332 | | 161 | | 448 | |
| Other income, net | | 278 | | 170 | | | |
| Income (loss) before taxes on income | | (6,102) | | 1,613 | | 4,715 | |
| Taxes on income (Note 13) | | 310 | | 362 | | 4,713 | |
| Taxes on meone (Note 15) | | 510 | | 502 | | 177 | |
| Income (loss) after taxes on income | | (6,412) | | 1,251 | | 4,516 | |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates | | 15 | | (86) | | (8) | |
| Minority interest in loss (earnings) of subsidiaries | _ | 71 | | (22) | | | |
| Net income (loss) from continued operation | | (6,326) | | 1,143 | | 4,508 | |

| | | enue | | , | |
|--|---------------|------|--------|----|-------|
| Net income from discontinued operation (Note 18) | 1,320 | | 11,465 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (5,006) | \$ | 12,608 | \$ | 4,508 |
| Income (loss) per share (Note 17) | | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (0.20) | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.14 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.04 | | 0.36 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.16) | \$ | 0.40 | \$ | 0.14 |
| | | | | | |
| Diluted : | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (0.20) | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.14 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.04 | | 0.35 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.16) | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 0.14 |
| | | | | _ | |

Year ended December 31,

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

F - 5

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

U.S. dollars in thousands

| | Share capital (Number) | cap | are bital ount) |] | dditional paid-in capital | comj | umulated other prehensive ncome (loss) | `reasury shares at cost | cumulated deficit | Comprehensive income (loss) | sha | Total reholders equity |
|---|------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|----|---------------------------------|------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2006 | 31,070,499 | \$ | 829 | \$ | 106,072 | \$ | (1,514) | \$ (6,772) | \$ (46,310) | | \$ | 52,305 |
| Effect of SAB 108 | | | | | | | | | (140) | | | (140) |
| | | | | | | | | | (46,450) | | | 52,165 |
| Exercise of stock options | 253,346 | | 5 | | 276 | | | | | | | 281 |
| Stock-based compensation expenses | | | | | 27 | | | | | | | 27 |
| Realized losses from available-for-sale securities | | | | | | | 5 | | | | | 5 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | | | 22 | | | 22 | | 22 |
| Unrealized gain from available-for-sale | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| securities | | | | | | | 150 | | | 150 | | 150 |
| Net loss | | | | | | | | | (5,006) | (5,006) | | (5,006) |

| | Share capital (Number) | Share capital (Amount) | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Treasury shares at cost | Accumulated deficit | Comprehensive income (loss) | Total shareholders equity |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | | \$ (4,834) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Balance as of | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2006 | 31,323,845 | 834 | 106,375 | (1,337) | (6,772) | (51,456) | | 47,644 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Exercise of stock options | 218,205 | 4 | 243 | | | | | 247 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 434 | | | | | 434 |
| expenses Realized gain from | | | 434 | | | | | 434 |
| available-for-sale securities | | | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | _ | | | | _ |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | | | | |
| adjustments | | | | 673 | | | 673 | 673 |
| Cumulative effect of | | | | | | | | |
| changes in accounting | | | | | | | | |
| for uncertainties | | | | | | | | |
| in income taxes (FIN 48) | | | | | | (530) | (530) | (530) |
| Unrealized gain from | | | | | | | | |
| available-for-sale | | | | | | | | |
| securities | | | | 166 | | 10 (00 | 166 | 166 |
| Net income | | | | | | 12,608 | 12,608 | 12,608 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | \$ 12,917 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Balance as of | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2007 | 31,542,050 | 838 | 107,052 | (496) | (6,772) | (39,378) | | 61,244 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Exercise of stock options | 351,830 | 7 | 225 | | | | | 232 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | | 244 | | | | | 244 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | 510 | | | 510 | 510 |
| adjustments | | | | 519 | | | 519 | 519 |
| Other than temporary impairment on | | | | | | | | |
| marketable securities | | | | 47 | | | 47 | 47 |
| Realized and unrealized | | | | 47 | | | 47 | 47 |
| gain from | | | | | | | | |
| available-for-sale | | | | | | | | |
| securities | | | | (39) | | | (39) | (39) |
| Net income | | | | . , | | 4,508 | 4,508 | 4,508 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | \$ 5,035 | |
| Balance as of | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2008 | 31,893,880 | \$ 845 | \$ 107,521 | \$ 31 | \$ (6,772) | \$ (34,870) | | \$ 66,755 |
| | | | | | | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

F - 6

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

U.S. dollars in thousands

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------|----------|----|-------------|--|
| | 2006 | 2007 | | | 2008 | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Natingame (loss) | \$ (5,006) | ¢ | 12,608 | ¢ | 4,508 | |
| Net income (loss) Less: Net income from discontinued operation | \$ (5,006) (1,320) | \$ | (11,465) | \$ | 4,308 | |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operation | (6,326) | | 1,143 | | 4,508 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) from continuing operations to net | | | | | | |
| cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5,182 | | 3,798 | | 3,615 | |
| Equity in losses (earnings) of affiliates | (15) | | 86 | | 8 | |
| Minority interest in earnings (losses) of subsidiaries | (71) | | 22 | | (20.5) | |
| Accrued severance pay, net | (69) | | 68 | | (205) | |
| Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment | 56 | | (7) | | 244 | |
| Stock-based compensation expenses | 27 | | 434 | | 244 | |
| Amortization of marketable securities premium, | = = | | 57 | | 011 | |
| accretion of discount and other than temporary losses, net | 55 | | 57 | | 211 | |
| Loss (gain) on sale of marketable securities | 10 | | (8) | | (53) | |
| Gain on sale of subsidiary s operation | (278) | | (170) | | (170) | |
| Loss on sale of affiliated company | 309 | | | | 61 | |
| Impairment of intangible asset Decrease (increase) in trade receivables | 2,852 | | (102) | | (395) | |
| Decrease in other accounts receivable and prepaid expenses | 1,537 | | 1,253 | | (393) 87 | |
| Decrease in trade payables | (77) | | (599) | | (82) | |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other accounts payable | 856 | | 2,620 | | 525 | |
| Increase (decrease) in deferred revenues | (610) | | 621 | | (660) | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations | 3,438 | | 9,216 | | 7,694 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations | 393 | | (1,656) | | (21) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations | 3,831 | | 7,560 | | 7,673 | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | | |
| Capitalized software development costs | (3,482) | | (3,027) | | (2,577) | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (961) | | (769) | | (737) | |
| Proceeds from sale of subsidiary s operation | 900 | | 170 | | 170 | |
| Proceeds from sale of affiliated company | | | | | 150 | |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 97 | | 74 | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of marketable securities | 226 | | 680 | | 1,182 | |
| Proceeds from maturity of marketable securities | | | | | 410 | |
| Investment in marketable securities | (408) | | | | (1,032) | |
| Change in short-term and long-term deposits | 477 | | 30 | | 174 | |
| Investment in short-term bank deposit | | | | _ | (1,810) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations | (3,151) | _ | (2,842) | _ | (4,070) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities from discontinued operations | (2,034) | | 451 | | 15,336 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (5,185) | | (2,391) | | 11,266 | |

Index to Exhibits

Year ended December 31,

F - 7

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

U.S. dollars in thousands

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|----|----------------|----|------------------|--|--|
| | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | | 281 | | 247 | | 232 | | |
| Short-term credit, net | | 334 | | (906) | | (3,432) | | |
| Repayment of long-term loans | | (122) | | (111) | | (171) | | |
| Proceeds from long-term loans | | 185 | | 14 | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations | | 678 | | (756) | | (3,371) | | |
| Net cash used in financing activities from discontinued operations | | (6) | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | 672 | | (756) | | (3,371) | | |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations | | (272) | | (375) | | (458) | | |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year | | 693 5,424 | | 5,243 6,935 | | 15,131 12,178 | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$ | 6,935 | \$ | 12,178 | \$ | 27,309 | | |
| Non-cash activities related to discontinued operation: | | | | | | | | |
| Receivables from a sale of subsidiary (see Note 3a) | \$ | | \$ | 16,000 | \$ | | | |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow activities: | | | | | | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | | | | | | |
| Income taxes | \$ | 433 | \$ | 238 | \$ | 534 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 265 | \$ | 503 | \$ | 15 | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1:- GENERAL

Magic Software Enterprises Ltd. (the Company), an Israeli company, and its subsidiaries (the Group) develop, market and support software development and deployment technology (the Magic technology) and applications developed using this Magic technology. Magic technology enables enterprises to accelerate the process of building and deploying software applications that can be rapidly customized and integrated with existing systems. The principal markets of the Group are Europe, United States, Japan and Israel (see Note 19).

For information about the Company s holdings in subsidiaries and affiliated company, see Page F-36.

NOTE 2: - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP), applied on a consistent basis, as follows:

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Financial statements in United States dollars

A substantial portion of the revenues of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries is generated in U.S. dollars (dollar). In addition, a substantial portion of the Company s costs is incurred in dollars. The Company s management believes that the dollar is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the Company and its subsidiaries operate. Thus, the functional and reporting currency of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries is the dollar.

Accordingly, monetary accounts maintained in currencies other than the dollar are remeasured into dollars in accordance with SFAS No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation (SFAS No. 52). All transaction gains and losses of the remeasurement of monetary balance sheet items are reflected in the statements of operations as financial income or expenses, as appropriate.

The financial statements of foreign subsidiaries and of certain entities that are accounted for using the equity method of accounting, whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, have been translated into dollars. All balance sheet amounts have been translated using the exchange rates in effect at each balance sheet dates. Statement of operations amounts have been translated using the average exchange rate prevailing during each year. Such translation adjustments are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders equity.

Principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions, including profit from intercompany sales not yet realized outside the Group, have been eliminated upon consolidation.

F - 9

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2: - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

Cash and cash equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents include short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash with original maturities of three months or less, at the date acquired.

Short-term deposits

Short-term deposits include deposits with maturities of more than three months and less than one year, which are presented at cost, including accrued interest. The deposits as of December 31, 2007 are in Japanese Yen and bore interest at an average annual rate of 0.03%. The deposits as of December 31, 2008 are in U.S. dollars and bear interest at an average annual rate of 3.12%.

Marketable securities

The Company accounts for investments in marketable securities in accordance with SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities (SFAS No. 115). Management determines the appropriate classification of its investments in marketable debt and equity securities at the time of purchase and reevaluates such determinations at each balance sheet date. Debt and equity securities are classified as available - for - sale and reported at fair value.

Debt and equity securities that are designated as available-for-sale are stated at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of shareholders equity. Realized gains and losses on sales of investments, as determined on a specific identification basis, are included in financial income, net.

In accordance with the Company s policy and the Financial Accounting Standard Board (the FASB) Staff Position (FSP) No. SFAS 115-1 (FSP 115-1) and SAB Topic 5M, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, the Company recognizes an impairment charge when a decline in the fair value of its investments below the cost basis is judged to be other-than-temporary. The Company considers various factors in determining whether to recognize an impairment charge, including the Company s intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value, the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost basis, the credit ratings of the securities and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuers. During 2008, other than temporary impairment on marketable securities of \$ 131 was recorded. See further details in Note 4.

Investments in affiliated company

In these financial statements, an affiliated company is a company held to the extent of 20% or more (which are not subsidiaries), where the Company can exercise significant influence over operating and financial policy of the affiliate. The investment in an affiliated company was accounted for by the equity method, in accordance with Accounting Principle Board Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock. Profits on inter-company sales, not realized outside the Group, were eliminated. On June, 2008, the Company sold its entire interest in its affiliated company resulting in a loss of \$61.

F - 10

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2: - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

Property and equipment, net

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, at the following annual rates:

| | Years |
|------------------------------------|--|
| | |
| Buildings | 25 |
| Computers and peripheral equipment | 3 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 7 – 15 (mainly 7) |
| Motor vehicles | 7 |
| Software for internal use | 3 |
| Lessshold improvements | Over the shorter of the lease term or useful economic life |
| Leasehold improvements | economic me |

Impairment of long-lived assets

The Company s long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144) whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, no impairment indicators were identified. For the year 2006, see Note 15c.

Other intangible assets

Intangible assets are comprised of distribution rights, acquired technology and customer relations, and are amortized over their useful life using a method of amortization that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible assets are consumed or otherwise used up. Distribution rights, acquired technology and customer relations were amortized on a straight line basis over a period of five years.

Goodwill

Goodwill has been recorded as a result of acquisitions. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but rather is subject to an annual impairment test. The Company performs an annual impairment test during December of each fiscal year, or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. The Company operates in one operating segment, and this segment is comprised of its two reporting units.

F - 11

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

The Company then compares the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value, including goodwill. The Company estimates the fair value of each reporting unit by estimating the present value of the reporting unit s discounted cash flows. The discounted cash flow calculations use projections that are based on management s estimations. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value, no impairment loss is recognized. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the fair value calculations of its reporting units by reconciling the total of the fair values of all of its reporting units to the total market capitalization, and adjusting for an appropriate control premium. If the carrying value exceeds the fair value, the goodwill of the reporting unit is considered potentially impaired and the second step is completed in order to measure the impairment loss. Under the second step goodwill is reduced to its implied fair value through an adjustment to the goodwill balance, resulting in an impairment charge.

Since the fair value of the Company s two reporting units exceeded their carrying amount, no impairment losses were identified in 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Revenue recognition

The Company derives its revenues mainly from licensing the rights to use its software, maintenance and technical support and professional services. The Company sells its products primarily through its direct sales force and indirectly through distributors.

The Company accounts for its software sales in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, as amended by SOP No. 98-9, Modification of SOP No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions (collectively SOP 97-2). Software license revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the vendor s fee is fixed or determinable, no further obligation exists and collectability is probable.

As required by SOP 97-2, the Company determines the value of the software component of its multiple-element arrangements using the residual method when vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value exists for the undelivered elements of the support and maintenance agreements. VSOE is based on the price charged when an element is sold separately or renewed. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue. Maintenance and support revenue is deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the maintenance and support agreement.

The Company generally does not grant a right of return to its customers. When a right of return exists, the Company defers revenue until the right of return expires, at which time revenue is recognized provided that all other revenue recognition criteria are met.

Revenue from consulting services consists of billable hours for services provided, and are recognized as the services are rendered.

Arrangements that include consulting services are evaluated to determine whether those services are essential to the functionality of other elements of the arrangement. When services are considered essential, revenues under the arrangement are recognized using contract accounting based on Statement of Position No. 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (SOP 81-1) on a percentage of completion method based on inputs measures. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are first determined, in the amount of the estimated loss for the entire contract. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, no such estimated losses were identified.

F - 12

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2: - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

When consulting services are not considered essential, the revenues allocable to the consulting services are recognized as the services are performed. In most cases, the Company has determined that the services are not considered essential to the functionality of other elements of the arrangement.

Deferred revenue includes unearned amounts received under maintenance and support contracts, and amounts received from customers but not yet recognized as revenues.

Research and development costs

Research and development costs incurred in the process of software development before establishment of technological feasibility are charged to expenses as incurred. Costs incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility are capitalized according to the principles set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed (SFAS No. 86).

The Company s and its subsidiaries technological feasibility is established upon completion of a detailed program design and working model.

Research and development costs incurred in the process of developing product improvements are generally charged to expenses as incurred.

Capitalized software costs are amortized on a product by product basis. Amortization equals the greater of the amount computed using the: (i) ratio that current gross revenues from sales of the software to the total of current and anticipated future gross revenues from sales of that software, or (ii) the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the product (three to five years). The Company assesses the recoverability of these intangible assets on a regular basis by determining whether the amortization of the asset over its remaining life can be recovered through undiscounted future operating cash flows from the specific software product sold. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, no impairment losses were identified.

Severance pay

The Company s and its Israeli subsidiary s liability for severance pay with respect to their Israeli employees (for the period for which the employees were not included under Section 14 of the Severance Pay Law -1963), is calculated pursuant to Israeli severance pay law and employee agreements based on the most recent salary of the employees. The Company s liability for all of its Israeli employees is fully provided for by monthly deposits with insurance policies and by an accrual. The value of these policies is recorded as an asset in the Company s balance sheet.

The Company s and its Israeli subsidiary s agreements with their Israeli employees are in accordance with Section 14 of the Severance Pay Law -1963, under which the Company s contributions for severance pay shall be instead of severance compensation. Upon release of the policy to the employee, no additional liability exists between the parties regarding the matter of severance pay and no additional payments shall be made by the Company to the employee.

The carrying value of deposited funds include profits (losses) accumulated up to the balance sheet date. The deposited funds may be withdrawn only upon the fulfillment of the obligations pursuant to Israeli severance pay law or labor agreements.

Severance expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 amounted to approximately \$ 463, \$ 617 and \$ 584, respectively.

F - 13

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

Advertising expenses

Advertising expenses are charged to selling and marketing expenses, as incurred. Advertising expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 amounted to \$ 87 \$ 305 and \$ 225, respectively.

Income taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS No. 109). The Statement prescribes the use of the liability method whereby deferred tax assets and liability account balances are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company and its subsidiaries provide a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value.

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The first step is to evaluate the tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return

by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that, on an evaluation of the technical merits, the tax position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. The adoption of FIN 48, on January 1, 2007, resulted in a charge of \$ 530 to the Company s accumulated deficit. The Company accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in its projection for income taxes. The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 were \$ 50 and \$ 16, respectively.

Treasury shares

The Company repurchases its Ordinary shares from time to time in the open market or in other transactions and holds such shares as treasury shares. The Company applies the cost method and presents the cost to repurchase such shares as a reduction in shareholders equity. As of December 31, 2008, the Company has not resold any of the repurchased shares.

Basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share

Basic net earnings (loss) per share is computed based on the weighted average number of Ordinary shares outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed based on the weighted average number of Ordinary shares outstanding during each year, plus dilutive potential Ordinary shares considered outstanding during the year, in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share (SFAS No. 128).

Part of the outstanding stock options and warrants has been excluded from the calculation of the diluted earnings (loss) per share because such securities are anti-dilutive. The total weighted average number of Ordinary shares related to the outstanding options and warrants excluded from the calculations of diluted earnings (loss) per share was 883,884, 839,045 and 1,397,389 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

F - 14

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

Accounting for stock-based compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)).

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of equity-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as an expense over the requisite service periods in the Company s consolidated statement of operations.

The Company recognizes compensation expenses for the value of its awards, which have graded vesting based on the accelerated method over the requisite service period of each of the awards, net of estimated forfeitures. Estimated forfeitures are based on actual historical pre-vesting forfeitures.

The Company used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model through December 31, 2006 and the Binomial option-pricing model (The Binomial model) for options granted thereafter, excluding a grant to a consultant of the Company that was calculated in 2006 based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and was granted in 2007. The impact of the option grant to the consultant on the basic and diluted net income (loss) per share, had the Company accounted for such share-based compensation using the Binomial model option pricing model instead of the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, would not have been significant.

For these reasons, the Company believes that the Binomial model provides a fair value that is more representative of actual experience and future expected experience than that calculated using the Black-Scholes model.

The fair value for the Company s stock options granted to employees and directors was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

In the Black-Scholes options pricing Model for the year:

| | 2006 |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| | |
| Dividend yield | 0% |
| Expected volatility | 64% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.7% |
| Expected forfeiture (employees) | 11% |
| Expected life (in years) | 5 years |
| Contractual term of up to | 10 years |

The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires a number of assumptions, of which the most significant are expected stock price volatility and the expected option term. Expected stock price volatility was calculated based upon actual historical stock price movements over the most recent periods ending on the grant date, equal to the expected option term. The expected option term represents the period that the Company s stock options are expected to be outstanding and was determined based on historical experience of similar options, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock options. The Company has not paid any cash dividend since 2003 and has no foreseeable plans to issue dividends. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield from U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with an equivalent term to the expected life of the options.

F - 15

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

In the Binomial Model for the years:

| | 2007 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|----------|
| | | |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% |
| Expected volatility | 51%-73% | 56%-65% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.46%-5.05% | 1.83% |
| Expected forfeiture (employees) | 11% | 11% |
| Expected forfeiture (executives) | 8% | 8% |
| Contractual term of up to | 10 years | 10 years |
| Suboptimal exercise multiple (employees) | 2.48 | 2.48 |
| Suboptimal exercise multiple (executives) | 3 | 3 |

The Binomial model for option pricing requires a number of assumptions, of which the most significant are the suboptimal exercise factor and expected stock price volatility. The suboptimal exercise factor is estimated using historical option exercise information. The suboptimal exercise factor is the ratio by which the stock price must increase over the exercise price before employees are expected to exercise their stock options. Expected volatility is based upon actual historical stock price movements and was calculated as of the grant dates for different periods, since the Binomial model can be used for different expected volatilities for different periods. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield from U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with an equivalent term to the contractual term of the options.

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options in the amount of \$ 27, \$ 434 and \$ 244, respectively, as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|----|------|----|------|--|--|
| | 2 | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | |
| Cost of revenue | \$ | | \$ | 35 | \$ | 20 | | |
| Research and development | | | | 47 | | 13 | | |
| Selling and marketing | | | | 132 | | 112 | | |
| General and administrative | | 27 | | 220 | | 99 | | |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$ | 27 | \$ | 434 | \$ | 244 | | |

The Company accounts for stock option and warrant grants issued to non-employee using the guidance of SFAS No. 123(R), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and EITF No. 96-18: Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services, whereby the fair value of such option and warrant grants is determined using the Black-Scholes model at the earlier of the date at which the non-employee s performance is completed or a performance commitment is reached.

Concentrations of credit risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company and its subsidiaries to concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, short-term deposits, marketable securities and trade receivables.

F - 16

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

The Company s cash and cash equivalents and short-term deposits are invested primarily in deposits with major banks worldwide, mainly in the United States and Israel, however, such cash and cash equivalents and short-term deposits in the United States may be in excess of insured limits and are not insured in other jurisdictions. Generally, these deposits may be redeemed upon demand and, therefore, bear low risk.

The Company s marketable securities include investments in debentures of corporations, foreign banks, governments and commercial debentures. Management believes that those corporations and governments are financially sound and that the portfolios are well-diversified, and accordingly, low risk exists with respect to these marketable securities.

Trade receivables of the Company and its subsidiaries are derived from sales to customers located primarily in the United States, Europe, Japan and Israel. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and to date, has not experienced any material losses. An allowance for doubtful accounts is determined with respect to those amounts that the Company has determined to be doubtful of collection. The doubtful accounts expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 was \$ 582, \$ 255 and \$ 444, respectively.

Discontinued operations

Under SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, when a component of an entity, as defined in SFAS 144, has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale, the results of its operations, including the gain or loss on the disposed

component, should be classified as discontinued operations and the assets and liabilities of such component should be classified as assets and liabilities attributed to discontinued operations; that is, provided that the operations, assets and liabilities and cash flows of the component have been eliminated from the Company s consolidated operations and the Company will no longer have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component.

Comprehensive income (loss)

The Company accounts for comprehensive income (loss) in accordance with SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income. This statement establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. Comprehensive income (loss) generally represents all changes in shareholders equity during the period except those resulting from investments by, or distributions to, stockholders. The Company determined that its items of other comprehensive income (loss) relates to gain and loss on foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gain and loss on available-for-sale marketable securities.

Derivative instruments

The Company accounts for derivatives based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133). SFAS No. 133 requires the company to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value with changes in the fair value carried to the statements of income included in the financial expenses.

During 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company recorded net income of \$ 6, net loss of \$ 89 and net loss of \$ 4, respectively, related to speculative forward transactions.

F - 17

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

Besides the aforementioned foreign exchange contracts, the Company and its subsidiaries have no off-balance-sheet concentration of credit risk such as option contracts or other foreign hedging arrangements.

Impact of recently issued Accounting Standards:

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141R). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The impact of SFAS 141R on the Company s consolidated results of operations and financial condition will depend on the nature and size of acquisitions, if any, subsequent to the effective date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51. SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards that require that the ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent s equity; the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of income; and changes in a parent s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary be accounted for consistently. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statement.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP FAS 157-2), to delay the effective date of FASB Statement 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, excluding those that are recognized or disclosed in financial statements at fair value on a recurring basis (that is, at least annually). For purposes of applying the FSP FAS 157-2, nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities include all assets and liabilities other than those meeting the definition of a financial asset or a financial liability in FASB Statement 159. FSP FAS 157-2 defers the effective date of Statement 157 to fiscal years

beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of this FSP FAS 157-2. The Company does not expect the adoption of FAS 157 with respect to certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, excluding those that are recognized or disclosed in financial statements at fair value on a recurring basis (that is, at least annually) to have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. FSP FAS No. 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. FSP FAS No. 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and early adoption is prohibited. The adoption of FAS No. 142-3 will not have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

F - 18

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 3:- BUSINESS COMBINATION, SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTION AND SALE OF BUSINESS

a. As of January 2006, the Company held an 83.89% equity interest in Advanced Answer on Demand Holdings Corp. (AAOD), a private Florida-based company that provides integrated software solutions for the long-term healthcare industry.

In February 2006, in consideration of \$ 1,910, the Company purchased the remaining 16.11% equity interest in AAOD. As a result, the Company s interest in AAOD s share capital increased to 100%. The purchase price was allocated, as follows:

| Customer relations | \$ 314 |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Acquired technology | 216 |
| Goodwill | 990 |
| Minority interest | 390 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Total net assets acquired | \$ 1,910 |

On December 30, 2007, the Company sold its entire holdings in AAOD in consideration of \$ 17,000, of which of \$ 1,000 was received in December 2007 and \$ 16,000 was received in March 2008. As a result of the sale, the Company recorded a net gain of approximately \$ 9,300 in 2007.

AAOD s results have been classified as discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 (see also Note 18).

- b. In December 2006, the Company sold CarPro Systems Ltd s (CarPro), a 90.48% owned Israeli-based company, assets and liabilities, including the intellectual property (the RentPro and LeasePro software) and its customer base, to its distributor CarPro Systems International B.V. (the Buyer). Additionally, the Company sold to the Buyer a substantial number of licenses for the Company s products for continued use in the ongoing maintenance and enhancement of the CarPro software products. The aggregate sales price was \$1,750 to be paid over a period of five years. The Company recognizes the consideration from the sale of CarPro s operations on a cash basis and net of related expenses (including goodwill and other intangible assets write-offs). Due to immateriality, CarPro s results were not classified as discontinued operations.
- In 2007, the Company decided to liquidate its Italian subsidiary. Consequently, Magic Software Enterprises Italy S.r.l s
 (Magic Italy) results have been classified as discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 (see also Note 18). In March 2009, a liquidator was appointed by the Company for Magic Italy.

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 4:- MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Group invests in marketable debt and equity securities, which are classified as available-for-sale. The following is a summary of marketable securities:

| | | | | | | | | Decem | ber 3 | 1, | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|-------------------|----|-----------------|-------|------------------|----|-------------------|----|-----------------|----|------------------|
| | 2007 | | | | | | | 200 | 08 | | | | | | | |
| | | ortized cost | - | ealized sses | - | realized gains | | Market value | | nortized cost | - | realized osses | - | ealized ains | | /larket value |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Governmental debentures Commercial | \$ | 1,306 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 59 | \$ | 1,363 | \$ | 952 | \$ | | \$ | 59 | \$ | 1,011 |
| debentures Equity funds | | 2,540 249 | | (16) (47) | | 90 | | 2,614 202 | | 2,306 118 | | (10) | | 44 | | 2,340 118 |
| <u>Total</u> available-for-sale marketable | ¢ | 4 005 | ¢ | (65) | ¢ | 140 | ¢ | 4 170 | ¢ | 2 276 | ¢ | (10) | ¢ | 102 | ¢ | 2 460 |
| securities | \$ | 4,095 | \$ | (65) | \$ | 149 | \$ | 4,179 | \$ | 3,376 | \$ | (10) | \$ | 103 | \$ | 3,469 |

The amortized costs of available-for-sale debt securities at December 31, 2008, by contractual maturities, are shown below:

| | | | U | Inrealized g | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|----|--------------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|
| | Amortized cost | | | Gains | Losses | | Estimated fair value | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | 1,460 | \$ | 3 | \$ | | \$ | 1,456 |
| Due between one year to five years | | 1,221 | | 44 | | (3) | | 1,262 |
| Due in more than five years | | 577 | | 56 | | | | 633 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ | 3,258 | \$ | 103 | \$ | (10) | \$ | 3,351 |

The actual maturity dates may differ from the contractual maturities because debtors may have the right to call or prepay obligations without penalties.

In 2008, unrealized losses from debt securities are primarily attributable to the recent credit crisis in capital markets. It is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the Company s investment. Based on the ability of the

Company to hold these investments until recovery, the debentures were not considered to be other than temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008. During 2008, an other than temporary impairment on marketable securities in the amount of \$ 131 was recorded.

NOTE 5:- FAIR VALUE MEASURMENTS

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS 157 and effective October 10, 2008, the Company adopted FSP FAS No. 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active, except as it applies to the nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities subject to FSP FAS No. 157-2. SFAS 157 clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, SFAS 157 establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

F - 20

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 5:- FAIR VALUE MEASURMENTS (Cont.)

Level 1- Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2- Includes other inputs that are directly or indirectly observable in the marketplace.

Level 3- Unobservable inputs which are supported by little or no market activity.

In accordance with SFAS 157, the Company measures its cash equivalents, short-term deposits and marketable securities at fair value. Cash equivalents, short-term deposits and marketable securities are classified within Level 1. This is because these assets are valued using quoted market prices.

The Company s financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, excluding accrued interest components; consisted of the following types of instruments as of December 31, 2008:

| | Fair value measurements using input type | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|---------|---------|----|-------|--|--|
| | Level 1 | | Level 2 | Level 3 | 1 | Fotal | | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ | 371 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 371 | | |
| Short-term deposits | | 1,810 | | | | 1,810 | | |
| Marketable securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Government and corporate debentures - fixed | | | | | | | | |
| interest rate | | 3,351 | | | | 3,351 | | |
| Equity Fund | | 118 | | | | 118 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | · | | | | |
| Total Financials Assets | \$ | 5,650 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 5,650 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

NOTE 6: - OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND PREPAID EXPENSES

| | December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------|------|-------|--|
| | 2007 | | 2008 | | |
| Short-term deposits and other accounts receivable | \$ | 670 | \$ | 761 | |
| Prepaid expenses | | 674 | | 558 | |
| Government authorities | | 488 | | 531 | |
| Employee loans | | 53 | | 40 | |
| Other | | 30 | | 43 | |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ | 1,915 | \$ | 1,933 | |
| | F - 2 | 21 | | | |

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 7:- PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

| | December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|------|--------------|--|
| | | 2007 | 2008 | | |
| Cost: | | | | | |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | \$ | 6,665 | \$ | 6,780 | |
| Computers and peripheral equipment | | 9,861 | | 9,955 | |
| Office furniture and equipment | | 3,083 | | 2,119 | |
| Motor vehicles | | 196 | | 145 | |
| Software for internal use | | 2,264 | | 2,455 | |
| | | 22,069 | - | 21,454 | |
| Accumulated depreciation: | | | | | |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | | 2,943 | | 3,201 | |
| Computers and peripheral equipment | | 9,113 | | 9,329 | |
| Office furniture and equipment Motor vehicles | | 2,508 132 | | 1,670 117 | |
| | | | | | |
| Software for internal use | | 1,615 | | 1,701 | |
| | | 16,311 | | 16,018 | |
| Depreciated cost | \$ | 5,758 | \$ | 5,436 | |

December 31,

Depreciation expenses amounted to \$ 1,232, \$ 1,090 and \$ 1,015 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. As for charges, see Note 16c.

NOTE 8:- OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

a. Intangible assets:

| | December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------|----|--------|--|
| | | 2007 | | 2008 | |
| Original amounts: | | | | | |
| Capitalized software costs | \$ | 34,692 | \$ | 37,578 | |
| Acquired technology and other | | 1,593 | | 1,961 | |
| | | 36,285 | | 39,539 | |
| Accumulated amortization: | | 24.007 | | 26.022 | |
| Capitalized software costs | | 24,087 | | 26,922 | |
| Acquired technology and other | | 1,517 | | 1,961 | |
| | | 25,604 | | 28,883 | |
| Amortized cost | \$ | 10,681 | \$ | 10,656 | |

b. Amortization expenses amounted to \$3,965, \$2,708 and \$2,600 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

F - 22

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 8:- OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Cont.)

c. The estimated future amortization expense of other intangible assets as of December 31, 2008 is as follows:

| 2009 | \$ 3,463 |
|---------------------|-------------|
| 2010 | 2,772 |
| 2011 | 2,049 |
| 2012 | 1,483 |
| 2013 | 845 |
| 2014 and thereafter | 44 |



NOTE 9:- GOODWILL

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the two years ended December 31, 2008 are as follows:

| Balance as of December 31, 2006 Foreign currency translation adjustments | \$ 15,693 293 |
|---|---------------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2007 Foreign currency translation adjustments | 15,986 843 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2008 | \$ 16,829 |

NOTE 10:- SHORT-TERM CREDIT AND CURRENT MATURITIES OF LONG-TERM LOANS

a. Classified by currency, linkage terms and interest rates, the credit and loans are as follows:

| | Interest rate | | Interest rate December | | | ecember 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|-------|----|-------------|--|--|
| | 2007 2008 | | | 2007 | | 2008 | | |
| | |) | | | | | | |
| Short-term bank loans: | | | | | | | | |
| In, or linked to, U.S. dollars | 6.48 | | \$ | 1,250 | \$ | | | |
| In, or linked to, Euro | 5.63 | | | 1,479 | | | | |
| In other currencies | 5.25 | | | 705 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 3,434 | | | | |
| Short-term bank credit: | | | | | | | | |
| | | 16 | | | | | | |
| In other currencies | | 16 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short-term credit : | | | | | | | | |
| In, or linked to, U.S. dollar | 6.11-6.64 | 6.11-6.64 | | 117 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 117 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Interest rate | Decem | mber 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----|
| Current maturities of long-term loans | | 70 | | 65 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | \$ 3,621 | \$ | 147 |
| | | | | |
| | F - 23 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 10:- SHORT-TERM CREDIT AND CURRENT MATURITIES OF LONG-TERM LOANS (Cont.)

b. Credit line:

As of December 31, 2008, the Company did not use any of its credit facilities with The First International Bank of Israel Ltd. and Bank Hapoalim Ltd. As of December 31, 2008, the Company has an unutilized credit line of \$ 210 and \$ 132 at The First International Bank of Israel Ltd. and Bank Hapoalim Ltd., respectively.

NOTE 11:- ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

| | December 31, | | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|----|----------------|--|--|
| | | 2007 | | 2008 | | |
| Employees and payroll accruals | \$ | 3,468 | \$ | 3,956 | | |
| Accrued expenses Government authorities and other | | 4,267 1,434 | | 3,475 1,348 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | \$ | 9,169 | \$ | 8,779 | | |

NOTE 12:- LONG-TERM LOANS

Long-term loans are composed as follows:

| | Interest | Interest rate | | | December 3 | | | |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | | | 2008 | | |
| | | % | | | | | | |
| In U.S. dollar | 6.1-6.6 | | \$ | 56 | \$ | | | |
| In Japanese Yen | 3.23 | 2.59 | | 117 | | 88 | | |

| | Interes | st rate | | December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|----|--------------|------|--|
| In other currencies | 5.5 | 6.5 | | 29 | 10 | |
| Less - current maturities | | | | (70) | (65) | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | \$ | 132 \$ | 33 | |
| | | | _ | | | |

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME

- a. Israeli Taxation:
 - 1. Tax rates:

Taxable income of Israeli companies is subject to tax at the rate of 31% in 2006, 29% in 2007, 27% in 2008, 26% in 2009 and 25% in 2010 and thereafter.

2. Measurement of taxable income under the Income Tax (Inflationary Adjustments) Law, 1985:

According to the Income Tax (Inflationary Adjustments) Law, 1985, until 2007, the results for tax purposes were measured adjusted for changes in the Israeli consumer price index.

F - 24

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

In February 2008, the Israeli parliament passed an amendment to the Income Tax (Inflationary Adjustments) Law, 1985, which limits the scope of the law starting 2008 and thereafter. Starting 2008, the results for tax purposes are measured in nominal values, excluding certain adjustments for changes in the Israeli consumer price index carried out in the period up to December 31, 2007. The amendment to the law includes, among other things, the elimination of the inflationary additions and deductions and the additional deduction for depreciation starting 2008

3. Tax benefits under the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 (the Law):

Certain production and development facilities of the Company have been granted Approved Enterprise status pursuant to the Law, which provides certain tax benefits to its investment programs. For these programs, the Company has elected the alternative benefits track, waiving grants in return for tax exemptions. Pursuant thereto, the income of the Company derived from the Approved Enterprise programs is tax-exempt for periods of two to four years and will be eligible for reduced tax rates for additional periods of five to eight years (such reduced tax rates are dependent on the level of foreign investments in the Company).

The period of benefits for those expansions has not yet commenced.

The tax benefit periods provided end at the earlier of 12 years from the commencement of production or 14 years from receipt of the approval for the Approved Enterprise. As the Company currently has no taxable income in Israel, these benefits have not yet commenced.

The benefits available to an Approved Enterprise are conditional upon the fulfillment of conditions stipulated in the Law and its regulations and the criteria set forth in the specific letters of approval. In the opinion of the Company s management, the Company has been in full compliance with the conditions of the above programs through December 31, 2008.

If dividends were to be distributed out of tax-exempt profits deriving from an Approved Enterprise, the Company would be liable for corporate tax at a rate of 25%. The Company does not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future.

On April 1, 2005, an amendment to the Investment Law came into effect (the Amendment) that has significantly changed the provisions of the Investment Law. The Amendment limits the scope of enterprises that may be approved by the Investment Center by setting criteria for the approval of a facility as an Approved Enterprise, such as provisions generally requiring that at least 25% of the Approved Enterprise s income will be derived from export. Additionally, the Amendment enacted major changes in the manner in which tax benefits are awarded under the Investment Law so that companies no longer require Investment Center approval in order to qualify for tax benefits.

4. The Company has final tax assessments through the year 1996.

F - 25

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

b. Net operating loss carryforwards:

As of December 31, 2008, the Company and its Israeli subsidiaries had operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$ 40,602, which can be carried forward and offset against taxable income in the future for an indefinite period.

The Company s subsidiaries in Europe and Japan had estimated total available tax loss carryforwards of \$ 10,835 and \$ 2,304 in 2008, respectively, to offset against future taxable income for 15-20 years and two years, respectively.

c. Income (loss) before taxes on income:

| | | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----|-------------------------|------|------------------|----|----------------|--|--|
| 2006 | | 2006 | 2007 | | | 2008 | | |
| Domestic Foreign | \$ | (2,414) (3,688) | \$ | (2,735) 4,348 | \$ | 1,370 3,345 | | |
| | \$ | (6,102) | \$ | 1,613 | \$ | 4,715 | | |

d. Taxes on income:

Taxes on income consist of the following:

Year ended December 31,

| 2006 2007 | 2008 |
|-----------|------|
|-----------|------|

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|--|--|--|
| Current: | | | | | | | | | |
| Domestic | \$ | 1 | \$ | | \$ | 16 | | | |
| Foreign | | 309 | | 362 | | 183 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Taxes on income | \$ | 310 | \$ | 362 | \$ | 199 | | | |

e. Deferred income taxes:

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company and its subsidiaries deferred tax assets are as follows:

| | December 31, | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|--|--|
| | 2007 | | 2 | 008 | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards Allowances and reserves | \$ | 14,859 1,283 | \$ | 13,922 687 | | |
| Less: valuation allowance | | 16,142 (16,142) | | 14,609 (14,609) | | |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ | F - 2 | \$ 26 | | | |

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

The Company and its subsidiaries provided a 100% valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets relating to its tax loss carryforwards and other temporary differences due to uncertainty concerning its ability to realize these deferred tax assets in the foreseeable future.

f. Reconciliation of the theoretical tax expense to the actual tax expense:

The main reconciling items of the statutory tax rate of the Company (2006 - 31%, 2007 - 29% and 2008 - 27%) to the effective tax rate (0%, 22% and 4%, respectively) are valuation allowances provided for deferred tax assets (in all reported periods).

Tax expenses mainly represent taxes for a limited number of subsidiaries that do not have net operating loss carryforwards.

No tax asset was recorded since the Company s management currently believes it is more likely than not those deferred tax assets will not be realized in the foreseeable future.

g. The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. The impact of adopting FIN 48 is estimated at \$530 on the Company s shareholder s equity and an impact of \$50 and \$16 on the Company s tax expenses during the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Interest associated with uncertain income tax positions and penalties expense are classified as income tax expenses. The Company has not recorded any material interest or penalties during any of the years presented.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the total amounts of gross unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| Gross unrecognized tax positions at January 1, 2008 | \$ | 580 |
|--|----|-----|
| Increases in tax positions for prior years | | 12 |
| Increases in tax positions for current years | | 4 |
| | | |
| Gross unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2008 | \$ | 596 |
| Gross unceognized tax benefits at December 51, 2000 | Ψ | 570 |

Increase in tax positions for prior years is a result of interest and penalties.

NOTE 14:- SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

a. The Ordinary shares of the Company are listed on the NASDAQ Global Market in the United States and are traded on the Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange in Israel.

b. Treasury shares

The Company s Board of Directors resolved to authorize the Company to repurchase its shares, from time to time, in the open market or in other transactions. Accordingly, through the end of 2008, the Company repurchased 1,465,368 of its Ordinary shares for an aggregate amount of \$ 6,772.

F - 27

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 14:- SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Cont.)

c. Stock Option Plan:

Under the Company s 1991, 2000 and 2007 Stock Option Plans, as amended (collectively, the plans), options may be granted to employees, officers, directors and consultants of the Company and its subsidiaries. Pursuant to the plans, the Company reserved for issuance 6,750,000, 4,600,000 and 1,500,000 Ordinary shares, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, an aggregate of 1,628,703 Ordinary shares of the Company are still available for future grants. Each option granted under the plans is exercisable for a period of ten years from the date of the grant of the option. The 2000 plan will expire on May 5, 2010 and the 2007 plan will expire on August 1, 2017. No options were granted under the 1999 plan after July 31, 2001. The option s exercise price for each option shall be determined by the Board of directors and set forth in the Company s award agreement. Unless determined otherwise by the Board of directors, the option exercise price shall be equal to, or higher than the share market price at the grant date. The options generally vest over three years. Any option that is forfeited or canceled before expiration becomes available for future grants under the plans.

A summary of employee option activity under the Company s stock option plans as of December 31, 2008 and changes during the year ended December 31, 2008 are as follows:

| | Number of options | Weighted average exercise price | Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years) | intr | ggregate insic value housands) |
|--|----------------------|--|---|------|--------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at the January 1, 2008 | 3,673,528 | \$ 2.22 | | | |
| Granted | 145,000 | \$ 1.12 | | | |
| Exercised | (351,830) | \$ 0.65 | | | |
| Forfeited | (1,076,653) | \$ 2.35 | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 2,390,045 | \$ 2.31 | 6.4 | \$ | 109 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2008 | 1,581,051 | \$ 2.53 | 5.12 | \$ | 86 |
| Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2008 | 2,113,510 | \$ 2.37 | 6.07 | \$ | 105 |

A summary of employee option activity under the Company s stock option plans as of December 31, 2008 whose vesting is contingent upon meeting various departmental and companywide performance goals, including revenue growth and net gain index is as follows:

| | Number of options | Weighted average exercise price | | average exercise price | | Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years) | intrin | regate sic value ousands) |
|--|----------------------|--|------|------------------------------|----|---|--------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at the January 1, 2008 | 713,334 | \$ | 2.08 | | | | | |
| Granted | 100,000 | \$ | 1.12 | | | | | |
| Exercised Forfeited | (88,333) | \$ | 2.35 | | | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 725,001 | \$ | 1.76 | 8.9 | \$ | 16 | | |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2008 | 219,334 | \$ | 2.04 | 8.8 | \$ | | | |
| Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2008 | 463,576 | \$ | 1.92 | 8.9 | \$ | -13 | | |
| | F - 28 | | | | | | | |

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 14:- SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Cont.)

A summary of employee option activity under the Company s stock option plans as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 and changes during the years ended on those dates, are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----|--|----------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| | 20 | 06 | | 20 | 07 | | | | |
| | Number of options | | Weighted average exercise price | Number of options | | Weighted average exercise price | | | |
| Outstanding at the beginning of the year | 3,061,216 | \$ | 2.62 | 2,352,632 | \$ | 2.52 | | | |
| Granted | 62,000 | \$ | 1.69 | 1,773,332 | \$ | 1.78 | | | |
| Exercised | (253,346) | \$ | 1.11 | (218,205) | \$ | 1.18 | | | |
| Forfeited | (517,238) | \$ | 3.41 | (234,231) | \$ | 2.98 | | | |
| Outstanding at the end of the year | 2,352,632 | \$ | 2.52 | 3,673,528 | \$ | 2.22 | | | |
| Exercisable at the end of the year | 2,290,632 | \$ | 2.54 | 2,407,532 | \$ | 2.22 | | | |

During 2007 and 2008, the Company granted selected executives and other key employees options to purchase 825,000 Ordinary Shares and 100,000 Ordinary Shares, respectively, with vesting contingent upon meeting various departmental and companywide performance goals, including revenue growth and net gain index. The options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company s Ordinary Shares on the date of the grants, contingently vest over a period of four years, and are for a term of ten years. The fair value of those options was estimated on the date of grant using the same option valuation model used for the other options granted. If such goals are not met, no compensation cost is recognized and any recognized compensation cost is reversed. The inputs for expected volatility, expected dividends, expected term and risk-free rate used in estimating those options fair value are the same as those noted in the table related to options issued under the plans.

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 were \$ 0.98, \$ 1.31 and \$ 0.68, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total intrinsic value that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2008. This amount is changed based on the fair market value of the Company s shares. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the year ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 were \$ 161, \$ 168 and \$ 383, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, there was \$ 218 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company s stock option plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a period of approximately three years.

F - 29

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 14:- SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Cont.)

The options outstanding as of December 31, 2008, have been separated into ranges of exercise price categories, as follows:

| Exercise price | Options | Weighted | Weighted | Options | Weighted |
|----------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | outstanding | average | average | exercisable | average |

| | as of December 31, 2008 | remaining contractual life (years) | | exercise price | as of December 31, 2008 | exercise price of exercisable options |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|----|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 0-1 | 106,938 | 4 | \$ | 0.89 | 106,938 | \$ 0.89 |
| 1-2 | 1,451,132 | 7 | \$ | 1.55 | 802,132 | \$ 1.37 |
| 2-3 | 251,999 | 8 | \$ | 2.35 | 92,005 | \$ 2.35 |
| 3-4 | 292,196 | 5 | \$ | 3.86 | 292,196 | \$ 3.86 |
| 4-5 | 176,169 | 5 | \$ | 4.08 | 176,169 | \$ 4.08 |
| 5-6 | 92,500 | 5 | \$ | 5.95 | 92,500 | \$ 5.95 |
| 6-7 | 711 | 1 | \$ | 6.14 | 711 | \$ 6.14 |
| 10-11 | 18,400 | 1 | \$ | 10.16 | 18,400 | \$ 10.16 |
| | 2,390,045 | 6 | \$ | 2.31 | 1,581,051 | \$ 2.53 |
| | | | _ | | | |

Stock-based compensation expense, recognized as general and administrative expense, for options granted to non employees amounted to \$ 19 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

d. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|------|------------|--|
| | 2007 200 | | 2008 | | |
| Accumulated realized and unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments | \$ 85 \$ (581) | | | 93 (62) | |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ | (496) | \$ | 31 | |

NOTE 15:- SELECTED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA

a. Research and development costs, net:

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----|---------|----|---------|--|--|--|
| | 2006 | | | 2007 | | 2008 | | | |
| Total costs | \$ | 5,944 | \$ | 5,743 | \$ | 4,927 | | | |
| Less - capitalized software costs | | (3,482) | | (3,027) | | (2,577) | | | |
| Research and development, net | \$ | 2,462 | \$ | 2,716 | \$ | 2,350 | | | |

b. Financial income, net:

| Interest and bank charges | \$ (127) | \$ (12) \$ | 485 |
|--|-------------|---------------|-------|
| Other than temporary losses of Marketable Securities | | | (131) |
| Gain arising from foreign currency transactions | 459 | 173 | 94 |

| Financial income, net | \$ | 332 | \$ | 161 | \$ | 448 | |
|-----------------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|------------|--|
| | F - 30 | | | | | | |
| | | | ЪЛА | | TXX7 A D1 | E ENTERPRI | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 15:- SELECTED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA

- (Cont.)
 - c. On August 16, 2006, the Company s board of directors approved a comprehensive global restructuring plan (the Plan). The Plan establishes the terms of the benefit arrangement, including the benefits that employees will receive upon termination. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities (SFAS No. 146) the Company recorded \$ 1,365 related to one-time termination benefits provided to terminated employees in 2006. As of December 31, 2006, all termination benefits were paid and substantially all terminated employees no longer provide services to the Company. In addition, during 2006, the Company wrote- off certain intangible assets related to the restructuring plan and incurred other exit costs in the amounts of \$ 309 and \$ 483, respectively.

NOTE 16:- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENTIES

a. Lease commitments:

Certain of the facilities, motor vehicles and equipment of the Company and its subsidiaries are rented under long-term operating lease agreements. Future minimum lease commitments under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2008, are as follows:

| 2009 | \$ 2,250 |
|---------------------|-------------|
| 2010 | 791 |
| 2011 | 359 |
| 2012 | 11 |
| 2013 and thereafter | 10 |
| | |
| | |

3,421

\$

Rent expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, were approximately \$ 1,274, \$ 1,116 and \$ 1,198, respectively.

b. Guarantees:

The Company has provided two of its clients with bank guarantees totaling \$ 64, which is linked to the New Israeli Shekel and valid through November 2009.

c. Charges:

In respect of a lease agreement, the Company placed a lien on the leased computer equipment.

d. Legal proceedings:

AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Lawsuits have been brought against the Company in the ordinary course of business. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously against those lawsuits.

F - 31

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTE 16:- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENTIES (Cont.)

- 1. In June 2004, an Israeli company filed a lawsuit against the Company in the Tel-Aviv District Court seeking damages of NIS 8 million (approximately \$ 2,104), with a possibility to increase the amount sought to approximately NIS 17 million (approximately \$ 4,471), for recovery of alleged damages caused to the plaintiff by the Company s failure to integrate a software system. During the last three years, the parties tried to settle the case with an external mediator. This attempt failed in late 2008 and the parties returned to the court to proceed with the court proceedings. Preliminary court proceedings have commenced, such as disclosure of documents and questionnaires. As of December 31, 2008, the Company s management, due to the preliminary stage of the litigation, and based on its legal advisors opinion, cannot predict the outcome of the lawsuit nor can they make any estimate of the amount of damages; therefore, no provision has been made for the lawsuit.
- 2. In May 2005, a former client of the Company s subsidiary, Magic Software Enterprises (Israel) Ltd., filed a lawsuit against the subsidiary claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between the parties. The plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of \$ 361. The claim was moved to arbitration. The Company s management, due to the preliminary stage of this litigation and based on its legal advisors opinion, cannot predict the outcome of the lawsuit nor can they make any estimate of the amount of damages; therefore, no provision has been made for the lawsuit.
- 3. In March 2006, a client of the Company s subsidiary, Magic Software Enterprises France, filed a lawsuit against the subsidiary in the commercial court in Paris claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between the parties. The plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of 488 (approximately \$ 680). The Company s management, due to the preliminary stage of this litigation and based on its legal advisors opinion, cannot predict the outcome of the lawsuit nor can they make any estimate of the amount of damages; therefore, no provision has been made for the lawsuit.
- 4. In May 2007, a former CEO of the Company s subsidiary filed a lawsuit against the subsidiary claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between him and the Company s subsidiary, and he is seeking damages in the amount of \$ 438. The claim had been moved to arbitration, which commenced during 2008. The claim is in its final stages. The Company, based on its legal advisors opinion, recorded an appropriate provision to cover the outcome of the claim.
- 5. In 2006, a subcontractor of the Company s Italian subsidiary filed a lawsuit against the subsidiary in the court of Milan, claiming an alleged breach of the agreement between the parties. The plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of 524 (approximately \$ 730). The Company s management, based on its legal advisors opinion, recorded an appropriate provision to cover the outcome of the claim.
- e. Royalty commitments:
 - 1. The Government of Israel, through the Fund for the Encouragement of Marketing Activities (the Fund), awarded the Company grants for participation in its foreign marketing expenses. The Company received an aggregate amount of grants of \$1,526 for the years up to and including 2005. The Company is committed to pay royalties at the rate of 3% of the increase in exports, up to the amount of the grants. As of December 31, 2008, the remaining contingent obligation of the Company amounted to \$341.

F - 32

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 16:- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENTIES (Cont.)

2. The Company was committed to pay royalties to Enformia Software Ltd. (Enformia) equal to 40% of any sale of products related to the intellectual property purchased from Enformia and to comply with all of the terms required by the Office of the Chief Scientist (OCS) in connection with its research and development grants awarded to Enformia.

As of December 31, 2008, the aggregate liability to the OCS for Enformia products amounted to \$ 5. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, the Company has paid and accrued royalties to Enformia relating to sales of its product in the amount of \$ 11 and \$ 38, respectively.

In January 2007, the Company sold the above mentioned intellectual property to Axcepia Technologies Ltd. (Axcepia). Under the agreement, in consideration for the transfer and assignment of the Company s rights in and to the Enformia technology, Axcepia agreed to pay the Company a commission equal to 50% of its revenues derived from sales to customers who held licenses to use the technology for a period of five years as of the date of the agreement. In addition, commencing as of six months from the date of the agreement, Axcepia agreed to pay the Company a commission equal to 20% of the revenues it derived from the provision of maintenance and support services relating to the iBOLT Portal technology, for a period of five years from the date of the agreement.

3. The Group is committed to pay royalties of 1.75% of gross sales of the Hermes application, including license fees and all services fees to Menzies Aviation Plc (Menzies) for a period of five years until mid of 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Group has paid and accrued royalties to Menzies relating to sales of the product in the amount of \$65.

NOTE 17:- NET EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share:

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|------|---------------|----|---------------|--|--|
| | 2006 | | 2007 | | | 2008 | | |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share - net income (loss) available to shareholders | \$ | (5,006) | \$ | 12,608 | \$ | 4,508 | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator for basic net earnings (loss) per share Effect of dilutive securities | | 31,184 *) | | 31,443 580 | | 31,769 263 | | |
| Denominator for diluted net earnings (loss) per share | | 31,184 | | 32,023 | | 32,032 | | |
| Basic net earnings (loss) per share | \$ | (0.16) | \$ | 0.40 | \$ | 0.14 | | |
| Diluted net earnings (loss) per share | \$ | (0.16) | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 0.14 | | |

*) Anti dilutive.

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 18:- DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

During 2007, the Company disposed two of its subsidiaries (AAOD and Magic Italy), which met the definition of a component under SFAS 144. Accordingly, the results of operations of these subsidiaries and businesses and the gain resulting from the disposals have been classified as discontinued operations in the statement of operations and prior periods results have been reclassified accordingly. In addition, comparative data of the assets and liabilities attributed to the discontinued operations have been reclassified in the balance sheet.

a. The following are the results of discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007.

| | Year ended December 31 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|------|--------|--|--|
| | | 2006 | 2007 | | | |
| 2 | • | 0.415 | ф. | 11 (50 | | |
| Revenues | \$ | 9,415 | \$ | 11,650 | | |
| Cost of revenues | | 3,577 | | 4,349 | | |
| Gross profit | | 5,838 | | 7,301 | | |
| Operating expenses, net | | 4,329 | | 5,099 | | |
| Operating income | | 1,509 | | 2,202 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Other income (expenses) | | (174) | | 9,281 | | |
| Taxes on income | | 15 | | 18 | | |
| Net income | \$ | 1,320 | \$ | 11,465 | | |
| | | | _ | | | |

Revenues relating to discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 were as follows:

| | Year ended December 31 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|----|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2006 | | 2007 | | | | |
| AAOD Magic Italy | \$ | 9,241 174 | \$ | 11,611 39 | | | | |
| | \$ | 9,415 | \$ | 11,650 | | | | |

The breakdown of assets and liabilities attributed to discontinued operations of the Company as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 was as follows:

| | December 31 | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|------|-----|
| | 2007 | | 2008 | |
| | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 22 | \$ | 1 |
| Trade receivables, net | | 9 | | 4 |
| Other receivables and prepaid expenses | | 9 | | 25 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 1 | | 1 |
| | | | | |
| | \$ | 41 | \$ | 31 |
| | _ | | _ | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Trade payables | \$ | 250 | \$ | 237 |
| Other payables and accrued expenses | | 253 | | 135 |
| | | | | |
| | \$ | 503 | \$ | 372 |
| | F - 34 | | | |

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 19:- GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Summary information about geographic areas:

The Company manages its business on the basis of one reportable segment (see Note 1 for a brief description of the Company s business). The Company s business is divided into the following geographic areas: Israel, Europe, the United States, Japan and other regions. Total revenues are attributed to geographic areas based on the location of the customers.

This data is presented in accordance with SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information (SFAS No. 131).

The following table presents total revenues classified according to geographical destination for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008:

| | _ | Year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---------------|----|-------------------------|----|--------|----|--------|--|
| | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Israel | \$ | 4,307 | \$ | 4,471 | \$ | 4,760 | |
| Europe | | 21,713 | | 24,916 | | 25,359 | |
| United States | | 13,995 | | 18,612 | | 20,096 | |
| Japan | | 10,223 | | 9,080 | | 10,110 | |

| Other | 2,333 | 1,349 | 1,655 |
|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | \$ 52,571 | \$ 58,428 | \$ 61,980 |

The Company s long-lived assets are located as follows:

| | | December 31, | | | |
|---------------|------|--------------|----|--------|--|
| | 2007 | | | 2008 | |
| Israel | \$ | 19,444 | \$ | 19,440 | |
| Europe | Ψ | 2,319 | Ŷ | 1,848 | |
| United States | | 5,177 | | 5,195 | |
| Japan | | 4,979 | | 5,975 | |
| Other | | 506 | | 463 | |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ | 32,425 | \$ | 32,921 | |
| | | | | F - 35 | |

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

APPENDIX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DETAILS OF SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATE

Details of the percentage of control of the share capital and voting rights of subsidiaries and an affiliated company as of December 31, 2008:

| Name of Company | Percentage of ownership and control | Place of incorporation | |
|---|---|------------------------|--|
| | % | | |
| Magic Software Japan K.K. | 100 | Japan | |
| Magic Software Enterprises Inc. | 100 | U.S.A. | |
| Magic Software Enterprises (UK) Ltd. | 100 | U.K. | |
| Hermes Logistics Technologies Limited | 100 | U.K. | |
| Magic Software Enterprises Spain Ltd. | 100 | Spain | |
| Coretech Consulting Group Inc. | 100 | U.S.A | |
| Coretech Consulting Group LLC | 100 | U.S.A | |
| Magic Software Enterprises (Israel) Ltd. | 100 | Israel | |
| Magic Software Enterprises Italy S.r.l. | 100 | Italy | |
| Magic Software Enterprises Netherlands B.V. | 100 | Netherlands | |
| Magic Software Enterprises France | 100 | France | |
| Magic Beheer B.V. | 100 | Netherlands | |
| Magic Benelux B.V. | 100 | Netherlands | |

J. Cohen C.P.A. (ISR)

R. Shahmoon ACA

Edgar Filing: MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD - Form 20-F

| Magic Software Enterprises GMBH | 100 | Germany |
|--|--------|---------|
| Magic Software Enterprises India Pvt. Ltd. | 100 | India |
| Onyx Magyarorszag Szsoftverhaz | 100 | Hungary |
| CarPro Systems Ltd. | 90.48 | Israel |
| | F - 36 | |
| | | |

Levy Cohen & Co.

Registered Auditors

37 Broadhurst Gardens, London NW6 3QT

Tel: 020 - 7624 2251 Fax: 020-7372 2328 E - mail: lc@levy-cohen.co.uk

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Magic Software Enterprises (UK) Limited

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Magic Software Enterprises (UK) Limited as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

LEVY COHEN & CO. Certified Public Accountants

21 January 2009

Registered by The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out Company Audit work

Levy Cohen & Co.

Registered Auditors

37 Broadhurst Gardens, London NW6 3QT

Tel: 020 - 7624 2251 Fax: 020-7372 2328 E - mail: lc@levy-cohen.co.uk

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Hermes Logistics Technologies Limited

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Hermes Logistics Technologies Limited as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

LEVY COHEN & CO. Certified Public Accountants

11 February 2009

J. Cohen C.P.A. (ISR) R. Shahmoon ACA Registered by The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out Company Audit work

F - 38

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Magic Software Japan K. K.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Magic Software Japan K.K. (the Company) as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Tokyo, Japan January 30, 2009

KDA Audit Corporation

F - 39

Magic Benelux B.V.

Auditor s report

Report on the financial statements

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets as at December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the related statements of operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 of Magic Benelux B.V., Houten.

Management s responsibility

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements and for the preparation of the management board report, both in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. This responsibility includes: designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies; and making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Auditor s responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Dutch law. This law requires that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance

whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity s internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

F - 40

Magic Benelux B.V.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of Magic Benelux B.V. as at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and of its related statements of operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Dordrecht, January 30, 2009

Verstegen accountants en adviseurs

Drs. L.K. Hoogendoorn RA MGA

F - 41

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Magic (Onyx) Magyarország Szoftverház Kft.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Magic (Onyx) Szoftverház Kft. (the Company) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we

express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing, the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the reports of the other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company and at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

February 25, 2009

Maria Negyessy Reg. Auditor

F - 42

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

MAGIC SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES LTD.

By: /s/ Guy Bernstein

Guy Bernstein Chairman of the Board of Directors

Dated: April 2, 2009

80