

HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

Form 10-Q

November 09, 2006

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-13754

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

04-3263626
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

440 Lincoln Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01653
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(508) 855-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY

PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 51,005,156 shares of common stock outstanding, as of November 1, 2006.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|---------|--|-------|
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 8-23 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 24-56 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 57 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 57 |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------|--|-------|
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 58 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 58-61 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 62 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 62 |
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 63 |

Table of Contents**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| (In millions, except per share data) | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
|---|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Quarter Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
| | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Premiums | \$ 568.2 | \$ 525.7 | \$ 1,682.4 | \$ 1,650.3 |
| Fees and other income | 22.6 | 22.2 | 58.8 | 60.8 |
| Net investment income | 78.3 | 79.4 | 237.8 | 238.0 |
| Net realized investment gains | 2.1 | 1.9 | 10.6 | 18.1 |
| Total revenues | 671.2 | 629.2 | 1,989.6 | 1,967.2 |
| BENEFITS, LOSSES AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Policy benefits, claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses | 399.5 | 566.9 | 1,114.8 | 1,340.9 |
| Policy acquisition expenses | 121.7 | 116.5 | 352.8 | 347.9 |
| Other operating expenses | 106.7 | 86.6 | 315.0 | 290.5 |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 627.9 | 770.0 | 1,782.6 | 1,979.3 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income taxes | 43.3 | (140.8) | 207.0 | (12.1) |
| Federal income tax expense (benefit): | | | | |
| Current | (4.5) | (0.8) | 18.3 | 5.2 |
| Deferred | 19.3 | (34.6) | 46.5 | (9.4) |
| Total federal income tax expense (benefit) | 14.8 | (35.4) | 64.8 | (4.2) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 28.5 | (105.4) | 142.2 | (7.9) |
| Discontinued operations (See Note 3): | | | | |
| Income from operations of discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$3.5 and \$14.1 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005) | - | 17.6 | - | 38.6 |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(2.5) and \$7.0 for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$5.3 and \$7.0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively) | (2.9) | (474.6) | (25.8) | (474.6) |
| Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. (net of income tax expense of \$1.2 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006) | 7.8 | - | 7.8 | - |
| Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 33.4 | (562.4) | 124.2 | (443.9) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (net of income tax expense of \$0.3) | - | - | 0.6 | - |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 33.4 | \$ (562.4) | \$ 124.8 | \$ (443.9) |
| PER SHARE DATA | | | | |
| Basic | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.56 | \$ (1.97) | \$ 2.75 | \$ (0.15) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|---------|-----------|
| Income from operations of discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.07 and \$0.26 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005) | - | 0.33 | - | 0.72 |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.05) and \$0.13 for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$0.10 and \$0.13 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively) | (0.05) | (8.87) | (0.49) | (8.88) |
| Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. (net of income tax expense of \$0.02 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006) | 0.15 | - | 0.15 | - |
| Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 0.66 | (10.51) | 2.41 | (8.31) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | - | - | 0.01 | - |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.66 | \$ (10.51) | \$ 2.42 | \$ (8.31) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 50.9 | 53.5 | 51.7 | 53.4 |
| <u>Diluted</u> | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.56 | \$ (1.97) | \$ 2.73 | \$ (0.15) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from operations of discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.07 and \$0.26 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005) | - | 0.33 | - | 0.72 |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.05) and \$0.13 for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$0.10 and \$0.13 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively) | (0.06) | (8.87) | (0.49) | (8.88) |
| Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. (net of income tax expense of \$0.02 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006) | 0.15 | - | 0.14 | - |
| Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 0.65 | (10.51) | 2.38 | (8.31) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | - | - | 0.01 | - |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.65 | \$ (10.51) | \$ 2.39 | \$ (8.31) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 51.3 | 53.5 | 52.2 | 53.4 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| (In millions, except per share data) | (Unaudited) September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Fixed maturities at fair value (amortized cost of \$5,498.4 and \$5,685.9) | \$ 5,474.9 | \$ 5,708.2 |
| Equity securities at fair value (cost of \$12.1 and \$13.0) | 17.3 | 18.0 |
| Mortgage loans | 59.4 | 99.6 |
| Policy loans | 127.2 | 139.9 |
| Other long-term investments | 40.5 | 42.6 |
| Total investments | 5,719.3 | 6,008.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 391.9 | 701.5 |
| Accrued investment income | 72.6 | 76.5 |
| Premiums, accounts and notes receivable, net | 606.9 | 493.2 |
| Reinsurance receivable on paid and unpaid losses, benefits and unearned premiums | 1,391.7 | 1,617.3 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 237.9 | 209.0 |
| Deferred federal income taxes | 432.5 | 465.3 |
| Goodwill | 121.4 | 128.2 |
| Other assets | 345.6 | 362.8 |
| Separate account assets | 524.2 | 571.9 |
| Total assets | \$ 9,844.0 | \$ 10,634.0 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Policy liabilities and accruals: | | |
| Future policy benefits | \$ 1,281.5 | \$ 1,336.1 |
| Outstanding claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses | 3,279.9 | 3,551.6 |
| Unearned premiums | 1,141.6 | 1,011.3 |
| Contractholder deposit funds and other policy liabilities | 203.2 | 254.7 |
| Total policy liabilities and accruals | 5,906.2 | 6,153.7 |
| Expenses and taxes payable | 898.2 | 1,062.0 |
| Reinsurance premiums payable | 60.4 | 92.0 |
| Trust instruments supported by funding obligations | 36.9 | 294.3 |
| Long-term debt | 508.8 | 508.8 |
| Separate account liabilities | 524.2 | 571.9 |
| Total liabilities | 7,934.7 | 8,682.7 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 12) | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 20.0 million shares authorized, none issued | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 300.0 million shares authorized, 60.5 million shares issued | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,809.0 | 1,785.1 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (87.5) | (59.5) |
| Retained earnings | 685.4 | 589.8 |
| Treasury stock at cost (9.6 million and 6.8 million shares) | (498.2) | (364.7) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| Total shareholders' equity | | 1,909.3 | | 1,951.3 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | | \$ 9,844.0 | \$ | 10,634.0 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| | (Unaudited) | |
|--|-------------------|---------|
| | Nine Months Ended | |
| | September 30, | |
| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
| PREFERRED STOCK | | |
| Balance at beginning and end of period | \$ - | \$ - |
| COMMON STOCK | | |
| Balance at beginning and end of period | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 1,785.1 | 1,782.1 |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options and other | 7.1 | 2.4 |
| Employee and director stock-based awards | 16.8 | (0.2) |
| Balance at end of period | 1,809.0 | 1,784.3 |
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS | | |
| NET UNREALIZED (DEPRECIATION) APPRECIATION ON INVESTMENTS AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 9.9 | 87.1 |
| Depreciation during the period: | | |
| Net depreciation on available-for-sale securities and derivative instruments | (33.3) | (59.1) |
| Benefit for deferred federal income taxes | 5.3 | 20.7 |
| | (28.0) | (38.4) |
| Balance at end of period | (18.1) | 48.7 |
| MINIMUM PENSION LIABILITY: | | |
| Balance at beginning and end of period | (69.4) | (84.1) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | (87.5) | (35.4) |
| RETAINED EARNINGS | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 589.8 | 943.4 |
| Net income (loss) | 124.8 | (443.9) |
| Treasury stock issued for less than cost | (29.2) | (13.3) |
| Balance at end of period | 685.4 | 486.2 |
| TREASURY STOCK | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (364.7) | (389.6) |
| Shares purchased at cost | (200.1) | - |
| Net shares reissued at cost under employee stock-based compensation plans | 66.6 | 21.6 |
| Balance at end of period | (498.2) | (368.0) |

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 1,909.3 | \$ 1,867.7 |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Quarter Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
| | September 30, 2006 | 2005 | September 30, 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 33.4 | \$ (562.4) | \$ 124.8 | \$ (443.9) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Net appreciation (depreciation) during the period | 95.5 | (104.8) | (34.6) | (135.1) |
| Benefit for deferred federal income taxes | 5.0 | 36.7 | 5.7 | 47.3 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 100.5 | (68.1) | (28.9) | (87.8) |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Net (depreciation) appreciation during the period | (0.1) | 1.7 | 1.3 | 76.0 |
| Benefit (provision) for deferred federal income taxes | 0.1 | (0.6) | (0.4) | (26.6) |
| Total derivative instruments | - | 1.1 | 0.9 | 49.4 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 100.5 | (67.0) | (28.0) | (38.4) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 133.9 | \$ (629.4) | \$ 96.8 | \$ (482.3) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------|
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 124.8 | \$ (443.9) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business | 25.8 | 474.6 |
| Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. | (7.8) | - |
| Net realized investment gains | (10.6) | (24.2) |
| Net amortization and depreciation | 16.3 | 24.8 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 13.0 | - |
| Interest credited to contractholder deposit funds and trust instruments supported by funding obligations | 6.0 | 17.8 |
| Deferred federal income taxes | 42.3 | (19.4) |
| Change in deferred acquisition costs | (29.7) | 107.4 |
| Change in premiums and notes receivable, net of reinsurance premiums payable | (130.9) | 2.4 |
| Change in accrued investment income | 3.9 | 18.1 |
| Change in policy liabilities and accruals, net | (212.1) | 320.9 |
| Change in reinsurance receivable | 225.6 | (227.7) |
| Change in expenses and taxes payable | (99.0) | (62.2) |
| Other, net | (14.0) | (17.9) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (46.4) | 170.7 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from disposals and maturities of available-for-sale fixed maturities | 1,105.8 | 1,729.1 |
| Proceeds from disposals of equity securities and other investments | 18.8 | 24.8 |
| Proceeds from mortgages sold, matured or collected | 40.2 | 13.1 |
| Proceeds from collections of installment finance and notes receivable | 259.3 | 240.7 |
| Proceeds from sale of variable life insurance and annuity business | 27.3 | - |
| Proceeds from sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. | 17.9 | - |
| Purchase of available-for-sale fixed maturities | (923.5) | (1,209.6) |
| Purchase of equity securities and other investments | (5.8) | (5.9) |
| Capital expenditures | (6.0) | (5.1) |
| Net payments related to margin deposits on derivative instruments | (28.3) | (37.2) |
| Disbursements to fund installment finance and notes receivable | (273.7) | (231.9) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 232.0 | 518.0 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Withdrawals from contractholder deposit funds | (25.8) | (1.7) |
| Withdrawals from trust instruments supported by funding obligations | (253.1) | (647.2) |
| Exercise of options | 37.8 | 7.6 |
| Proceeds from excess tax benefits related to share-based payments | 7.1 | - |
| Change in collateral related to securities lending program | (61.1) | 27.3 |
| Treasury stock purchased at cost | (200.1) | - |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (495.2) | (614.0) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (309.6) | 74.7 |
| Net change in cash held by discontinued operations | - | (19.2) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 701.5 | 376.9 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 391.9 | \$ 432.4 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. (THG or the Company) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the requirements of Form 10-Q.

The interim consolidated financial statements of THG include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance) and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), THG's principal property and casualty companies; First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC), THG's life insurance and annuity subsidiary; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. These legal entities conduct their operations through several business segments discussed in Note 9. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company's results of operations also include the accounts of Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company (AFLIAC) through December 30, 2005. As further described in Note 3 - Sale of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business, on December 30, 2005, the Company sold, as part of a stock purchase agreement, its run-off variable life insurance and annuity business.

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - an amendment of FASB statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)* (Statement No. 158). This statement requires an employer to recognize the funded status of its benefit plans in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status through comprehensive income in the year in which they occur. The funded status of the plans should be measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation. This statement also requires the recognition, as a component of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, of the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, *Employers' Accounting for Pensions* (Statement No. 87) or Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106, *Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions* (Statement No. 106). Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income shall continue to be subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to the recognition and amortization requirements of Statement No. 87 and Statement No. 106. In addition, the statement requires an employer to measure the funded status of its plans as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position. The statement also provides for enhanced disclosures which include, among other items, the estimated amount of actuarial gains or losses, prior services costs or credits, and transition assets or obligations that are included in accumulated other comprehensive income to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost in the next fiscal year. The effective date for a company to recognize the funded status of its plans and the related disclosure requirements is its fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. Based on assessments of plan data available, the Company believes that the effect of adopting Statement No. 158 will not be material to its statement of financial position. The effective date for changing a company's measurement date for plan assets and benefit obligations to coincide with the date of its statement of financial position will be the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2008. Retrospective application of this statement is not permitted. The Company currently measures its funded status as of December 31.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (Statement No. 157). This statement creates a common definition of fair value to be used throughout generally accepted accounting principles. Statement No. 157 will apply whenever another standard requires or permits assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, with certain exceptions. The standard establishes a hierarchy for determining fair value which emphasizes

Table of Contents

the use of observable market data whenever available. The statement also requires expanded disclosures which include the extent to which assets and liabilities are measured at fair value, the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. Statement No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The difference between the carrying amounts and fair values of those financial instruments held at the date this statement is initially applied should be recognized as a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for the fiscal year in which this statement is initially applied. The Company is currently assessing the effect of adopting Statement No. 157.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). The interpretation requires companies to recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. The amount recognized would be the amount that represents the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being ultimately realized. A liability would be recognized for any benefit claimed, or expected to be claimed, in a tax return in excess of the benefit recorded in the financial statements, along with any interest and penalty on the excess. FIN 48 will require, among other items, a tabular reconciliation of the change during the reporting period, in the aggregate unrecognized tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed in tax returns and disclosure relating to accrued interest and penalties for unrecognized tax benefits. Additional disclosure will also be required for those uncertain tax positions where it is reasonably possible that the estimate of the tax benefit will change significantly in the next twelve months. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the effect of adopting FIN 48.

In February 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140* (Statement No. 155). This Statement amends Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (Statement No. 133) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125*. Statement No. 155, among other things, permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, adds clarity regarding interest-only strips and principal-only strips that are not subject to the requirements of Statement No. 133, and requires companies to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments containing an embedded derivative that requires bifurcation. Statement No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Since early adoption is permitted, the Company adopted Statement No. 155 on January 1, 2006 with no material impact to its financial statements.

In September 2005, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) issued Statement of Position 05-1, *Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection with Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts* (SOP 05-1). SOP 05-1 provides guidance on accounting by insurance companies for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts other than those described in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 97, *Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments*. This statement is effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the effect of adopting SOP 05-1.

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3* (Statement No. 154). Statement No. 154 replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* (APB Opinion No. 20), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*. This statement establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for all voluntary changes in accounting principle in the absence of specific transition provisions for the newly adopted accounting principle. Statement No. 154 requires companies to retrospectively apply the effect of the change to all prior periods practicable, and the financial statements for all periods presented shall be adjusted to reflect the change. Similarly, an error in the financial statements of a prior period that is discovered subsequent to their issuance shall be reported as a prior-period adjustment, and the financial statements for each period presented shall be adjusted to reflect the correction. This statement also provides guidance for determining whether retrospective application of a change in accounting principle is impracticable and the necessary disclosures once that determination has been made. Additionally, changes in methods of depreciation, amortization or depletion of long-lived, non-financial assets must be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. The statement also requires certain disclosures in the period in which a change in accounting principle or correction of an error is made. Statement No. 154 is effective for changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (Statement No. 123(R)). This statement requires companies to measure and recognize the cost of employee services received in

Table of Contents

exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value. Statement No. 123(R) replaces Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (Statement No. 123), and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB Opinion No. 25). The Company has adopted Statement No. 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective transition method. Prior to the adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the Company accounted for its stock-based compensation in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25; therefore, the Company had not previously recognized compensation expense for employee stock options in net income because the exercise price equaled the market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date. Upon adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the Company began recognizing expense related to employee stock options and modified its expense calculation associated with restricted shares and restricted share units. (See Note 11 Stock-Based Compensation Plans.) The cumulative effect adjustment of adopting Statement No. 123(R), net of tax, was a benefit of \$0.6 million.

3. Sale of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business

On December 30, 2005, the Company sold all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of AFLIAC, a life insurance subsidiary representing approximately 95% of the Company's run-off variable life insurance and annuity business to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Goldman Sachs). The transaction also included the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC. In connection with these transactions, Allmerica Investment Trust (AIT) agreed to transfer certain assets and liabilities of its funds to certain Goldman Sachs Variable Insurance Trust managed funds through a fund reorganization transaction. Finally, the Company agreed to sell to Goldman Sachs all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Allmerica Financial Investment Management Service, Inc. (AFIMS), its investment advisory subsidiary, concurrently with the consummation of a fund reorganization transaction. The fund reorganization transaction was consummated on January 9, 2006.

Total proceeds from the sale were \$318.8 million, of which \$46.7 million was deferred and expected to be paid over a three year period, with 50% being received in 2006 and 25% in each of the following two years. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had received proceeds from Goldman Sachs totaling \$272.1 million. As of September 30, 2005, prior to the closing, total proceeds from the sale were estimated at \$292.4 million, of which \$27.6 million would be deferred.

In connection with the sale, the Massachusetts Division of Insurance approved a cash dividend of \$48.6 million from FAFLIC, including an \$8.6 million ceding commission received related to the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC, and for the distribution of other non-insurance subsidiaries, from which the holding company received \$15.4 million of additional funds. These funds were paid to the holding company in the first quarter of 2006.

The Company and Goldman Sachs have made various representations, warranties and covenants in the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into in connection with the transaction. The Company has agreed to indemnify Goldman Sachs for the breaches of the Company's representations, warranties and covenants. THG has also agreed to indemnify Goldman Sachs for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities relating to the pre-closing activities of the business that was sold.

The Company determined that the disposal of AFLIAC should be reflected as a discontinued operation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (Statement No. 144). As such, the Company recognized a loss of \$474.6 million related to this transaction as of September 30, 2005, primarily from a change in certain actuarial assumptions related to the deferred acquisition cost and deferred sales inducement asset. This was adjusted on December 30, 2005, the closing date, to reflect the actual purchase price paid by Goldman Sachs and the book value of AFLIAC on that date. The Company adjusted its loss on the sale of AFLIAC to a loss of \$444.4 million at December 30, 2005. The loss is presented in the Consolidated Statements of Income as Loss on Disposal of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business, a component of Discontinued Operations.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the components of the estimated loss on the disposal of our variable life insurance and annuity business as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2005:

| (In millions) | | (unaudited) | |
|---|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | September 30, 2005 | December 31, 2005 |
| Proceeds from Goldman Sachs | (1) | \$ 292.4 | \$ 318.8 |
| <u>Less:</u> | | | |
| Carrying value of AFLIAC | (2) | (726.0) | (719.3) |
| Estimated transaction costs | (3) | (11.0) | (10.5) |
| Deferred gain on FAFLIC coinsurance | (4) | (8.6) | (8.6) |
| Liability for certain legal indemnities | (5) | (13.0) | (13.0) |
| Hedge results | (6) | (8.4) | (27.9) |
| THG tax benefit | (7) | - | 10.0 |
| Realized gain on securities related to AFLIAC | | - | 6.1 |
| Net loss | | \$ (474.6) | \$ (444.4) |

- (1) Proceeds from Goldman Sachs include deferred payments of \$27.6 million and \$46.7 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2005, respectively, to be received over three years.
- (2) Shareholder's equity of the AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business at December 31, 2005 (estimated as of September 30, 2005), prior to the impact of the sale transaction.
- (3) Transaction costs include investment banker, vendor contract licensing, legal and other professional fees.
- (4) Included in the proceeds from Goldman Sachs is the FAFLIC variable business coinsurance ceding commission of \$8.6 million. Upon closing of the FAFLIC coinsurance agreement, this gain was deferred and is being amortized over the remaining life of the policies in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 113, *Accounting and Reporting for Reinsurance of Short-Duration and Long-Duration Contracts*.
- (5) Estimated liability to Goldman Sachs for certain contractual indemnities of AFLIAC related to the agreement recorded under FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN 45).
- (6) A hedging program was implemented on August 23, 2005 to reduce the volatility in the sales price calculation from the effect of equity market movements through the date of the closing.
- (7) At December 30, 2005, THG, the holding company, recognized a tax benefit primarily due to realized losses generated by the AFLIAC sale.

In the first nine months of 2006, THG incurred additional losses of \$25.8 million, net of taxes, related to the sale, of which \$2.9 million was recorded in the third quarter. Included in the \$25.8 million after-tax loss was a \$15.0 million expense related to the existing provision for the Company's estimated potential liability for certain contractual indemnities to Goldman Sachs relating to the pre-sale activities of the business

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

sold recorded under FIN 45. This additional provision relates to preliminary estimated expenses, reimbursements, penalties and other costs of remediating certain pre-closing processing errors relating to tax reporting to certain policyholders and others in connection with distributions under a subset of the Company's former variable annuity business. The estimate is based on, among other items, the Company's review of affected policies, mathematical extrapolations derived from such review, and management's preliminary view of possible settlement ranges with the Internal Revenue Service and affected policyholders. Although the Company believes its FIN 45 liability for legal and regulatory matter indemnities is appropriate, including with respect to this matter, such estimates are inherently uncertain and there can be no assurance that these estimates will not materially increase in the future.

Also included in the loss for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$2.9 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, related to after-tax net costs incurred for severance, transition services, conversion activities and other litigation matters. The Company has agreed to provide transition services until the earlier of eighteen months from the December 30, 2005 closing or when the operations of AFLIAC, and the FAFLIC business to be reinsured, can be transferred to Goldman Sachs. These services include policy and claims processing, accounting and reporting, and other administrative services. In the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, the Company earned pre-tax revenues of \$4.1 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, and incurred pre-tax costs relating to transition services of \$8.2 million and \$29.7 million, respectively. This transition period is currently expected to extend into the fourth quarter of 2006.

In accordance with Statement No. 144, the Company has reclassified prior year results of operations related to the discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business from its operations, to discontinued operations. These results, including the related

Table of Contents

tax effect, are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as Income from Operations of Discontinued Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business. For the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005, total revenues were \$80.8 million and \$261.2 million, respectively, and income before federal income taxes were \$14.1 million and \$24.5 million, respectively.

4. Significant Transactions

On August 31, 2006, the Company sold all of the outstanding shares of Financial Profiles, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Emerging Information Systems Incorporated. Financial Profiles, Inc. was originally acquired by the Company in 1998 in connection with the Company's then-ongoing life insurance and annuity operations. The Company received pre-tax proceeds of \$21.5 million from the transaction and recognized an after-tax gain of \$7.8 million during the third quarter of 2006.

On December 28, 2005, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$200 million. As of May 3, 2006, the Company completed its repurchases under this program, having repurchased 4.0 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$200 million.

5. Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 has been computed using estimated effective tax rates. These rates are revised, if necessary, at the end of each successive interim period to reflect the current estimates of the annual effective tax rates.

In the third quarter of 2006, the Company generated a tax gain of \$12.1 million from the sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. This tax gain was used to offset capital loss carryforwards and reduced the Company's valuation allowance by \$4.1 million. The reduction in the valuation allowance was recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income as an increase in Gain on Sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., a component of Discontinued Operations.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company reflected a \$12.9 million benefit representing a reduction in federal income tax reserves for prior years, resulting from ongoing Internal Revenue Service audits. Of this amount, \$10.6 million was reflected in Income from Operations of Discontinued Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income and the remaining \$2.3 million was recorded in the Company's federal income tax expense.

The Company's Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss included the additional minimum pension liability and net unrealized investment losses of \$124.8 million as of September 30, 2006. These unrealized losses resulted in a net deferred tax asset of \$43.7 million. The Company recorded a valuation allowance related to the unrealized losses associated with the Company's investment portfolio of \$6.4 million in the first nine months of 2006, as it is the Company's opinion that it is more likely than not that this asset will not be fully realized. The Company recorded this valuation allowance as an adjustment to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, in the Shareholders' Equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company's deferred tax asset valuation allowance was \$167.6 million and \$165.3 million, respectively.

6. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Prior to 2005, THG provided retirement benefits to substantially all of its employees under defined benefit pension plans based on a cash balance formula. In addition, certain transition group employees who had met specified age and service requirements as of December 31, 1994, were eligible for a grandfathered benefit based on service and compensation. As of January 1, 2005, the defined benefit pension plans were frozen; therefore, no further cash balance allocations have been credited for plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2005. Additionally, the grandfathered benefits were frozen at January 1, 2005 levels with an annual transition pension adjustment. Additional unfunded pension plans and postretirement plans provide certain benefits to a portion of full-time employees, former agents, retirees and their dependents.

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic pension cost for pension and other postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

(Unaudited)

Quarter Ended September 30,

| (In millions) | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | Pension Benefits | | Postretirement Benefits | |
| Service cost benefits earned during the period | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 0.4 | \$ 0.1 |
| Interest cost | 7.3 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (6.8) | (6.5) | - | - |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 3.0 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Amortization of transition asset | (0.4) | (0.4) | - | - |
| Amortization of prior service cost | - | 0.1 | (0.9) | (1.4) |
| Net periodic benefit cost (benefit) | \$ 3.1 | \$ 5.2 | \$ 1.2 | \$ (0.4) |

(Unaudited)

Nine Months Ended September 30,

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | Pension Benefits | | Postretirement Benefits | |
| Service cost benefits earned during the period | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 0.7 | \$ 0.3 |
| Interest cost | 22.0 | 22.9 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (20.6) | (19.5) | - | - |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 9.3 | 13.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Amortization of transition asset | (1.1) | (1.0) | - | - |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 0.2 | 0.4 | (3.8) | (4.1) |
| Net periodic benefit cost (benefit) | \$ 9.8 | \$ 15.9 | \$ 0.8 | \$ (1.1) |

Table of Contents

7. Closed Block

Summarized financial information of the Closed Block is as follows for the periods indicated:

| | (Unaudited) | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | September 30, December 31, | |
| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Fixed maturities at fair value (amortized cost of \$514.6 and \$514.5) | \$ 515.7 | \$ 520.7 |
| Mortgage loans | 24.3 | 25.2 |
| Policy loans | 127.2 | 135.8 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 0.4 | 4.4 |
| Accrued investment income | 11.0 | 11.5 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| Deferred federal income taxes | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| Other assets | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| Total assets | \$ 684.9 | \$ 707.1 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Policy liabilities and accruals | \$ 693.3 | \$ 707.1 |
| Policyholder dividends | 17.9 | 34.4 |
| Other liabilities | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 713.8 | \$ 743.7 |
| Excess of Closed Block liabilities over assets designated to the Closed Block | \$ 28.9 | \$ 36.6 |
| Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Net unrealized investment gains (losses), net of deferred federal income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.1) and \$1.8 | - | (3.4) |
| Maximum future earnings to be recognized from Closed Block assets and liabilities | \$ 28.9 | \$ 33.2 |

| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Quarter Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
| (In millions) | September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2005 | September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2005 |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Premiums | \$ 5.5 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 29.0 | \$ 30.2 |
| Net investment income | 9.7 | 10.4 | 29.8 | 31.0 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) | - | 3.8 | (0.9) | 5.2 |
| Total revenues | 15.2 | 19.9 | 57.9 | 66.4 |
| BENEFITS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Policy benefits | 12.7 | 17.2 | 51.3 | 59.0 |
| Policy acquisition expenses | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| Other operating expenses | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 13.1 | 17.6 | 52.0 | 59.9 |
| Contribution from the Closed Block | \$ 2.1 | \$ 2.3 | \$ 5.9 | \$ 6.5 |

Many expenses related to Closed Block operations are charged to operations outside the Closed Block; accordingly, the contribution from the Closed Block does not represent the actual profitability of the Closed Block operations. Operating costs and expenses outside of the Closed Block are, therefore, disproportionate to the business outside the Closed Block.

Table of Contents

8. Other Comprehensive Income

The following table provides a reconciliation of gross unrealized gains (losses) to the net balance shown in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 | | (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | |
|--|---|-----------|---|-----------|
| Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period, net of income tax benefit of \$4.3 and \$38.9 for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and \$2.1 and \$42.0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 | \$ 101.9 | \$ (72.0) | \$ (22.2) | \$ (77.9) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.7) and \$2.2 for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and \$(3.6) and \$(5.3) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 | 1.4 | (3.9) | 6.7 | 9.9 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 100.5 | (68.1) | (28.9) | (87.8) |
| Unrealized appreciation on derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period, net of income tax benefit (expense) of \$2.3 for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 and \$(8.2) and \$17.4 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 | 0.2 | (4.1) | 15.3 | (32.2) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.1) and \$2.9 for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and \$(7.8) and \$44.0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 | 0.2 | (5.2) | 14.4 | (81.6) |
| Total derivative instruments | - | 1.1 | 0.9 | 49.4 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 100.5 | \$ (67.0) | \$ (28.0) | \$ (38.4) |

9. Segment Information

The Company's business includes insurance products and services in two broad areas: Property and Casualty and Life Companies. Within these broad areas, the Company conducts business principally in four operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines, Other Property and Casualty, and Life Companies. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (Statement No. 131), the separate financial information of each segment is presented consistent with the way results are regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. A summary of the Company's reportable segments is included below.

The Property and Casualty group manages its operations principally through three segments: Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty. Personal Lines includes such property and casualty coverages as personal automobile, homeowners and other personal policies, while Commercial Lines includes such property and casualty coverages as commercial multiple peril, commercial automobile, workers compensation, and other commercial policies, such as bonds and inland marine. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment consists of: AMGRO, Inc. (AMGRO), the Company's premium financing business; Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus), which markets investment management services to institutions, pension funds and other organizations; earnings on holding company assets; as well as voluntary pools in which the Company has not actively participated since 1995.

As a result of the sale of the Company's variable life insurance and annuity business, the Life Companies segment, which is in run-off, now consists primarily of a block of traditional life insurance products (principally the Closed Block), guaranteed investment contract (GIC) business and certain group retirement products, as well as certain non-insurance subsidiaries. Assets

Table of Contents

and liabilities related to the reinsured variable life insurance and annuity business, as well as the discontinued group life and health business, including group life and health voluntary pools, are also reflected in this segment.

The Company reports interest expense related to its corporate debt separately from the earnings of its operating segments. Corporate debt consists of the Company's junior subordinated debentures and its senior debentures.

Management evaluates the results of the aforementioned segments on a pre-tax basis. Segment income excludes certain items, which are included in net income, such as federal income taxes and net realized investment gains and losses, including certain gains or losses on derivative instruments, because fluctuations in these gains and losses are determined by interest rates, financial markets and the timing of sales. Also, segment income excludes net gains and losses on disposals of businesses, discontinued operations, restructuring costs, extraordinary items, the cumulative effect of accounting changes and certain other items. While these items may be significant components in understanding and assessing the Company's financial performance, management believes that the presentation of segment income enhances understanding of the Company's results of operations by highlighting net income attributable to the core operations of the business. However, segment income should not be construed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Table of Contents

Summarized below is financial information with respect to business segments:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended September 30, | | (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-----------|---|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Segment revenues: | | | | |
| Property and Casualty: | | | | |
| Personal Lines | \$ 383.2 | \$ 361.8 | \$ 1,129.1 | \$ 1,133.3 |
| Commercial Lines | 239.6 | 216.8 | 705.7 | 658.5 |
| Other Property and Casualty | 16.6 | 7.3 | 35.2 | 21.4 |
| Total Property and Casualty | 639.4 | 585.9 | 1,870.0 | 1,813.2 |
| Life Companies | 31.2 | 41.9 | 113.5 | 141.3 |
| Intersegment revenues | (1.8) | (2.1) | (5.1) | (6.7) |
| Total segment revenues | 668.8 | 625.7 | 1,978.4 | 1,947.8 |
| Adjustments to segment revenues: | | | | |
| Net realized investment gains | 2.1 | 1.9 | 10.6 | 18.1 |
| Other income | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Total revenues | \$ 671.2 | \$ 629.2 | \$ 1,989.6 | \$ 1,967.2 |
| Segment income before federal income taxes: | | | | |
| Property and Casualty: | | | | |
| Personal Lines: | | | | |
| GAAP underwriting profit (loss) | \$ 6.0 | \$ (57.0) | \$ 49.1 | \$ (15.4) |
| Net investment income | 26.7 | 25.6 | 80.1 | 75.7 |
| Other | 2.3 | 3.6 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| Personal Lines segment income (loss) | 35.0 | (27.8) | 137.7 | 68.8 |
| Commercial Lines: | | | | |
| GAAP underwriting loss | (19.5) | (127.6) | (4.5) | (127.7) |
| Net investment income | 26.0 | 25.3 | 78.3 | 74.8 |
| Other | 1.2 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| Commercial Lines segment income (loss) | 7.7 | (101.0) | 76.9 | (49.5) |
| Other Property and Casualty: | | | | |
| GAAP underwriting loss | (0.2) | (1.4) | (1.4) | (2.0) |
| Net investment income | 3.2 | 1.3 | 10.0 | 3.9 |
| Other | 7.1 | 1.2 | 7.5 | 3.0 |
| Other Property and Casualty segment income | 10.1 | 1.1 | 16.1 | 4.9 |
| Total Property and Casualty | 52.8 | (127.7) | 230.7 | 24.2 |
| Life Companies | (1.1) | (0.9) | (4.0) | (17.7) |
| Interest on corporate debt | (10.0) | (10.0) | (29.9) | (29.9) |
| Segment income (loss) before federal income taxes | 41.7 | (138.6) | 196.8 | (23.4) |
| Adjustments to segment income: | | | | |
| Net realized investment gains (losses), net of deferred acquisition cost amortization | 2.0 | (2.0) | 11.4 | 12.9 |
| Gains (losses) on derivative instruments | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | (0.3) |
| Restructuring costs | (0.5) | (0.4) | (1.4) | (1.3) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------------|----|---------|----|--------------|----|--------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income taxes | \$ | 43.3 | \$ | (140.8) | \$ | 207.0 | \$ | (12.1) |
|--|----|-------------|----|---------|----|--------------|----|--------|

Table of Contents

| | Identifiable Assets (Unaudited) | | Deferred Acquisition Costs (Unaudited) | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|
| | September 30, | December 31, | September 30, | December 31, |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Property and Casualty (1) | \$ 6,958.8 | \$ 7,220.2 | \$ 232.5 | \$ 201.9 |
| Life Companies (2) | 2,885.2 | 3,478.0 | 5.4 | 7.1 |
| Intersegment eliminations (3) | - | (64.2) | - | - |
| Total | \$ 9,844.0 | \$ 10,634.0 | \$ 237.9 | \$ 209.0 |

- (1) The Company reviews assets based on the total Property and Casualty Group and does not allocate between the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments.
- (2) Includes assets related to the Company's discontinued group life and health operations.
- (3) The 2005 balance reflects a \$64.0 million dividend from FAFLIC and other Life Companies' non-insurance subsidiaries to the holding company, which was paid during the first quarter of 2006.

Discontinued Operations - Group Life and Health

During 1999, the Company exited its group life and health insurance business, consisting of its Employee Benefit Services (EBS) business, its Affinity Group Underwriters business and its accident and health assumed reinsurance pool business. Prior to 1999, these businesses comprised substantially all of the former Corporate Risk Management Services segment. Accordingly, the operating results of the discontinued segment have been reported in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions (APB Opinion No. 30). In 1999, the Company recorded a \$30.5 million loss, net of taxes, on the disposal of this segment, consisting of after-tax losses from the run-off of the group life and health business of approximately \$46.9 million, partially offset by net proceeds from the sale of the EBS business of approximately \$16.4 million. Subsequent to the measurement date of June 30, 1999, approximately \$25.1 million of the aforementioned \$46.9 million loss has been generated from the operations of the discontinued business and net proceeds of \$12.5 million were received from the sale of the EBS business.

As permitted by APB Opinion No. 30, the Consolidated Balance Sheets have not been segregated between continuing and discontinued operations. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the discontinued segment had assets of approximately \$352.1 million and \$369.9 million, respectively, consisting primarily of invested assets and reinsurance recoverables, and liabilities of approximately \$425.1 million and \$441.9 million, respectively, consisting primarily of policy liabilities.

10. Earnings Per Share

The following table provides share information used in the calculation of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share.

| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
|---|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Quarter Ended | September 30, | Nine Months Ended | September 30, |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| (In millions, except per share data) | | | | |
| Basic shares used in the calculation of earnings per share | 50.9 | 53.5 | 51.7 | 53.4 |
| Dilutive effect of employee stock options and non-vested grants (1) | 0.4 | - | 0.5 | - |
| Diluted shares used in calculation of earnings per share | 51.3 | 53.5 | 52.2 | 53.4 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|---|----|--------|----|---|
| Per share effect of dilutive securities on income from continuing operations | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (0.02) | \$ | - |
| Per share effect of dilutive securities on net income | \$ | (0.01) | \$ | - | \$ | (0.03) | \$ | - |

(1) Excludes 0.6 million and 0.5 million shares due to antidilution for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

Options to purchase 1.2 and 1.1 million shares of common stock were outstanding during the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and options to purchase 2.3 and 4.1 million shares of common stock were outstanding during the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the estimated proceeds from their exercise was greater than the average market price of the common shares for these periods and, therefore, the effect would be antidilutive.

11. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

On May 16, 2006, the shareholders approved the adoption of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the *Plan*). Key employees, directors and certain consultants of the Company and its subsidiaries are eligible for awards pursuant to the Plan, which is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the *Committee*) of the Company. Under the Plan, awards may be granted in the form of non-qualified or incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance awards, restricted stock, unrestricted stock, stock units, or any other award that is convertible into or otherwise based on the Company's stock, subject to certain limits. The Plan authorizes the issuance of 3,000,000 new shares that may be used for awards. In addition, shares of stock underlying any award granted and outstanding under the Company's Amended Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (the *1996 Plan*) as of the adoption date of the Plan that are forfeited or cancelled, or expire or terminate, after the adoption date without the issuance of stock become available for future grants under the Plan. As of September 30, 2006, there were 3,110,997 shares available for grants under the Plan. The Company utilizes shares of stock held in the treasury account for option exercises and other awards granted under both plans.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company applied the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 in accounting for its stock-based compensation plans. Under APB Opinion No. 25, the Company did not recognize compensation expense related to employee stock options because the exercise price of its options equaled the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement No. 123(R) which requires the Company to recognize the fair value of compensation costs for all share-based payments, including employee stock options, in the financial statements. The Company adopted Statement No. 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method. Under this method, the Company will not restate its prior financial statements, but will recognize compensation cost for all share-based awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2006, as well as any awards that were granted prior to January 1, 2006 for which the requisite service period had not been provided as of the implementation date, (i.e., unvested awards). Unvested awards are to be expensed consistent with the valuation used in previous disclosures of the pro-forma effect of Statement No. 123. The cumulative effect adjustment recognized in the first quarter of 2006 relating to the adoption of Statement No. 123(R), was a benefit of \$0.6 million, net of tax.

Compensation cost recorded pursuant to Statement No. 123(R) for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$4.4 million and \$13.0 million, respectively. The related tax benefit for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$1.5 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. For the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005, compensation cost of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, was recognized in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25. The related tax benefits for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005 was \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

The following table shows the additional costs and related per share effect for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006, reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Income as a result of implementing Statement No. 123(R). Also shown is the impact on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to cash flows from operating activities and financing activities as a result of implementing Statement No. 123(R).

| | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 | | (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Results | Earnings Per Share (Basic and Diluted) | Results | Earnings Per Share (Basic and Diluted) |
| <i>(In millions, except per share data)</i> | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations before federal income tax | \$ (2.1) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (5.0) | \$ (0.10) |
| Income from continuing operations | (1.4) | (0.03) | (3.3) | (0.06) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | - | - | 0.6 | 0.01 |
| Net income | \$ (1.4) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (2.7) | \$ (0.05) |

| | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----|----------|----|
| Cash flows: | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ (0.1) | NA | \$ (7.1) | NA |
| Financing activities | 0.1 | NA | 7.1 | NA |
| NA | not applicable | | | |

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and net loss per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement No. 123, to stock-based compensation in 2005:

| <i>(In millions, except per share data)</i> | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended September 30, 2005 | (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 |
|--|---|---|
| Net loss, as reported | \$ (562.4) | \$ (443.9) |
| Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of taxes | - | 0.2 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of taxes | (2.1) | (5.9) |
| Net loss, after effect of Statement No. 123 | \$ (564.5) | \$ (449.6) |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic and diluted - as reported | \$ (10.51) | \$ (8.31) |
| Basic and diluted - after effect of Statement No. 123 | \$ (10.54) | \$ (8.42) |

Stock Options

Under the Plan (or the 1996 Plan, as applicable), options may be granted to eligible employees, directors or consultants at an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Option shares may be exercised subject to the terms prescribed by the Committee at the time of grant. Options granted in 2005 and 2006 vest over three years with a 25% vesting rate in the first two years and a 50% vesting rate in the final year. Options must be exercised not later than ten years from the date of grant. When participants retire, their shares generally become fully vested and must be exercised within three years from the date of retirement. Information on the Company's stock option plans is summarized below.

| (Unaudited) | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | | | |
| <i>(In whole shares, dollars in millions, except per share data)</i> | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years) |
| Outstanding, beginning of period | 5,745,106 | \$ 38.45 | | |
| Granted | 138,702 | 45.61 | | |
| Exercised | 1,215,897 | 31.12 | | |
| Forfeited or cancelled | 391,001 | 43.88 | | |
| Outstanding, end of period | 4,276,910 | \$ 40.26 | \$ 28.9 | 6.1 |
| Exercisable, end of period | 2,870,256 | \$ 41.75 | \$ 18.4 | 5.1 |

The following table summarizes information for stock options exercised during the periods indicated.

(Unaudited) **(Unaudited)**

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| <i>(In millions)</i> | Quarter Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised | \$ 0.5 | \$ 4.0 | \$ 20.6 | \$ 6.9 |
| Cash received for options exercised | 0.8 | 5.2 | 37.8 | 7.6 |
| Excess tax benefits realized from | | | | |
| options exercised | 0.1 | - | 7.1 | - |

Table of Contents

The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant or date of conversion using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For all options granted through September 30, 2006, the exercise price equaled the market price on the grant date. Compensation cost related to options is based upon the grant date fair value and expensed on a straight-line basis over the service period for each separately vesting portion of the option as if the option was, in substance, multiple awards.

Upon the adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the compensation cost associated with options granted to employees who are eligible for retirement is recognized immediately. Compensation cost for options granted to employees who are near retirement eligibility is recognized over the period from the grant date to the retirement eligibility date, if that period is shorter than the stated vesting period.

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$13.73 and \$11.54, respectively. The following significant assumptions were used to determine the fair value for options granted.

| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | Quarter Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Dividend yield | 0.6% | 0.6% to 0.8% | 0.5% to 0.6% | 0.6% to 0.8% |
| Expected volatility | 25.04% to 30.43% | 31.06% to 33.97% | 25.04% to 32.93% | 31.06% to 34.97% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.7% to 5.1% | 3.8% to 4.2% | 4.6% to 5.1% | 3.3% to 4.2% |
| Expected term, in years | 2.5 to 5.0 | 2.5 to 5.0 | 2.5 to 5.0 | 2.5 to 5.0 |

The expected dividend yield is based on the Company's most recent dividend payout. Expected volatility is based on the Company's historical daily stock price volatility. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding and is derived using historical exercise, forfeit and cancellation behavior, along with certain other factors expected to differ from historical data.

The fair value of shares vested during the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$1.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, and the fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$15.2 million and \$18.7 million, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense of \$5.3 million related to unvested stock options at September 30, 2006 will be recognized over the next 1.3 years (weighted average period).

Restricted Stock

Stock grants may be awarded to eligible employees at a price established by the Committee (which may be zero). Under the Plan, the Company may award shares of restricted stock, as well as shares of unrestricted stock. Restricted stock grants may vest based upon performance criteria or continued employment and be in the form of shares or units. Vesting periods are established by the Committee. Stock grants under the 1996 Plan which vest based on performance, vest over a minimum one year period. Stock grants under the 1996 Plan which vest based on continued employment, vest at the end of a minimum of three consecutive years of employment.

In 2006, the Company has granted performance based restricted share units to certain employees, using two vesting schedules. The first group vests after two years of continued employment and the achievement of specific individual performance goals. The second group vests upon the Company's achieving certain corporate goals at the end of the second year, with a vesting period of 50% after two years of continued service from the date of grant and the balance after an additional year of continued service. The Company also granted shares of restricted stock to eligible employees, which vest after three years of continued service.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information about employee nonvested stock and performance based restricted share units:

| <i>(In whole shares, dollars in millions, except per share data)</i> | (Unaudited) | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | | |
| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years) |
| Restricted Stock: | | | | |
| Restricted, beginning of period | 43,652 | \$ 35.02 | | |
| Granted | 8,203 | 45.16 | | |
| Forfeited | 4,000 | 28.80 | | |
| Restricted, end of period | 47,855 | \$ 37.93 | \$ 0.3 | 1.6 |
| Performance based restricted stock units: | | | | |
| Outstanding, beginning of period (1) | 245,294 | \$ 36.23 | | |
| Granted (1) | 319,143 | 46.31 | | |
| Forfeited | 32,063 | 38.20 | | |
| Outstanding, end of period (1) | 532,374 | \$ 42.16 | \$ 1.9 | 1.3 |

(1) Performance based restricted stock units are based upon the achievement of the performance metric at 100%. These units have the potential to range from 0% to 175% of the shares disclosed, which varies based on grant year and individual participation level.

Compensation cost associated with both restricted stock and restricted stock units is calculated based upon grant date fair value, which is determined using current market prices.

As of September 30, 2006, there was \$12.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock and performance based restricted stock units. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

There were no restricted shares that vested during the third quarter or first nine months of 2006 or the third quarter or first nine months of 2005.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Regulatory and Industry Developments

Unfavorable economic conditions, or other factors such as catastrophic losses, may contribute to an increase in the number of insurance companies that are under regulatory supervision. This may result in an increase in mandatory assessments by state guaranty funds, or voluntary payments by solvent insurance companies to cover losses to policyholders of insolvent or rehabilitated companies. Mandatory assessments, which are subject to statutory limits, can be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in some states. The Company is not able to reasonably estimate the potential impact of any such future assessments or voluntary payments.

From time to time, the Company is involved in investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies, which currently include investigations relating to market timing in sub-accounts of variable annuity and life products, and inquiries relating to tax reporting calculations to policyholders and others in connection with distributions under a subset of variable annuity contracts in this business. In the Company's opinion, based on the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate resolution of such proceedings will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, although they could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

Litigation

On July 24, 2002, an action captioned American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, as Trustee f/b/o Emerald Investments Limited Partnership, and Emerald Investments Limited Partnership v. Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company was commenced in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. In 1999, plaintiffs purchased two variable annuity contracts with initial premiums aggregating \$5 million. Plaintiffs, who AFLIAC subsequently identified as engaging in frequent transfers of significant sums between sub-accounts that in the Company's opinion constituted market timing, were subject to restrictions upon such trading that AFLIAC imposed in December 2001. Plaintiffs

Table of Contents

allege that such restrictions constituted a breach of the terms of the annuity contracts. In December 2003, the court granted partial summary judgment to the plaintiffs, holding that at least certain restrictions imposed on their trading activities violated the terms of the annuity contracts.

On May 19, 2004, plaintiffs filed a Brief Statement of Damages in which, without quantifying their damage claim, they outlined a claim for (i) amounts totaling \$150,000 for surrender charges imposed on the partial surrender by plaintiffs of the annuity contracts, (ii) loss of trading profits they expected over the remaining term of each annuity contract, and (iii) lost trading profits resulting from AFLIAC's alleged refusal to process five specific transfers in 2002 because of trading restrictions imposed on market timers. With respect to the lost profits, plaintiffs claim that pursuant to their trading strategy of transferring money from money market accounts to international equity accounts and back again to money market accounts, they have been able to consistently obtain relatively risk free returns of between 35% and 40% annually. Plaintiffs claim that they would have been able to continue to maintain such returns on the account values of their annuity contracts over the remaining terms of the annuity contracts (which are based in part on the lives of the named annuitants). The aggregate account value of plaintiffs' annuities was approximately \$12.8 million in December 2001. On February 1, 2006, the Court issued a ruling which, as confirmed by the Court at a status conference on April 12, 2006, precludes plaintiffs from claiming any damages accruing beyond July 31, 2004.

The Company continues to vigorously defend this matter, and regards plaintiffs' claims for lost trading profits as being speculative and, in any case, subject to an obligation to mitigate damages. Further, in the Company's view, these purported lost profits would not have been earned because of various actions taken by the investment management industry and regulators, to deter or eliminate market timing, including the implementation of fair value pricing.

The monetary damages sought by plaintiffs, if awarded, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position. Although AFLIAC was sold to Goldman Sachs on December 30, 2005, the Company has agreed to indemnify AFLIAC and Goldman Sachs with respect to this litigation. However, in the Company's judgment, the outcome is not expected to be material to the Company's financial position, although it could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period. A trial date for the damage phase of the litigation has been set for December 2006.

The Company has been named a defendant in various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business, including one other suit which, like the Emerald case described above, challenges the Company's imposition of certain restrictions on trading funds invested in separate accounts. Such matters also include class actions and other litigation in the southeast United States, particularly Louisiana and Mississippi, relating to the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies of losses due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping and business interruption, as well as factual disputes as to the underlying causes of certain losses.

Residual Markets

The Company is required to participate in residual markets in various states. The results of the residual markets are not subject to the predictability associated with the Company's own managed business, and are significant to the workers' compensation line of business, the homeowners line of business and both the personal and commercial automobile lines of business.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 2

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------|
| <u>Introduction</u> | 25 |
| <u>Executive Overview</u> | 25 |
| <u>Description of Operating Segments</u> | 26 |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | 26-30 |
| <u>Segment Income</u> | 27-28 |
| <u>Other Items</u> | 28-30 |
| <u>Segment Results</u> | 31-44 |
| <u>Property and Casualty</u> | 31-42 |
| <u>Life Companies</u> | 42-44 |
| <u>Investment Portfolio</u> | 44-46 |
| <u>Derivative Instruments</u> | 46-47 |
| <u>Income Taxes</u> | 47 |
| <u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u> | 47-50 |
| <u>Statutory Capital of Insurance Subsidiaries</u> | 50 |
| <u>Significant Transactions</u> | 50 |
| <u>Rating Agency Actions</u> | 51 |
| <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | 51 |
| <u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u> | 51 |
| <u>Contingencies and Regulatory Matters</u> | 52-53 |
| <u>Risks and Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 53 |
| <u>Glossary of Selected Insurance Terms</u> | 54-56 |

Table of Contents

Introduction

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is intended to assist readers in understanding the interim consolidated results of operations and financial condition of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (THG) and should be read in conjunction with the interim Consolidated Financial Statements and related footnotes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our results of operations include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance) and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), our principal property and casualty companies; First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC), our life insurance and annuity company; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. Our results of operations also include the accounts of Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company (AFLIAC) through December 30, 2005. On December 30, 2005, we completed the sale of AFLIAC to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Goldman Sachs). In addition, we have reinsured 100% of the variable life insurance and annuity business of FAFLIC. The results of AFLIAC's variable life insurance and annuity operations are reported as discontinued operations.

Executive Overview

As a result of the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business in December 2005, the property and casualty business constitutes our primary ongoing operations. During the remainder of 2006, we plan to continue to execute our strategies for profitably growing both our personal lines and commercial lines of business.

In our personal lines business, we are focused on making investments that are intended to help us maintain profitability, build a distinctive position in the market and continue to provide us with profitable growth opportunities. We plan to maintain our focus on the expansion of our distribution capabilities by actively seeking to write more business with our best agents, and to develop new relationships with agents in the states where we conduct business. At the same time, we are making significant investments to strengthen our product offerings. We continued to introduce *Connections Auto*, our multivariate auto product, to additional states in 2006. It is now available in all seventeen states that we had planned to be in for 2006. Additionally, we released an upgrade to our homeowners product in September 2006 which is expected to enhance our agents' ease of doing business and decrease quote times. We expect to introduce further homeowners' product enhancements in several states during the first half of 2007. In the first nine months of 2006, we generated growth in written premium, primarily due to the introduction of the *Connections Auto* product and the appointment of new agents in several states in 2005 and in 2006.

During the fourth quarter of 2006, we will continue to focus on growing our commercial lines business. We will continue to develop our product portfolio and specialty lines expertise in commercial lines as we target small and first-tier middle markets, which encompass clients whose annual premiums are generally below \$200,000, through mid-sized agents. We continue to expand our business owner's policy to accommodate a broader spectrum of risks, and continue to enhance our inland marine, bond and umbrella programs, which on average are expected to offer higher margins over time and enable us to deliver a more complete product portfolio to our agents and policyholders in our target markets. In the first nine months of 2006, we have experienced strong growth in both our inland marine and bond businesses, as well as solid growth in our more traditional products.

In the third quarter of 2005, the property and casualty industry was significantly and adversely affected by the damage caused by Hurricane Katrina. This catastrophe placed unprecedented demands on both the industry and Hanover Insurance, one of our primary property and casualty companies. During the third quarter of 2005, the estimated impact of this catastrophe, on a gross, pre-tax basis, was \$527 million. The estimated impact, net of reinsurance, of this catastrophe was approximately \$215 million on a pre-tax basis, or \$140 million on an after-tax basis. Recent trends in claims activity observed over the past several months led us to re-evaluate and increase our estimate of Hurricane Katrina loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves. During the third quarter of 2006, we increased our Hurricane Katrina gross reserves by approximately \$62 million on a pre-tax basis. Our increase in this reserve, net of reinsurance, totaled \$52 million on a pre-tax basis, or \$34 million on an after-tax basis. This, together with other adjustments to Hurricane Katrina reserves in previous quarters, results in an inception-to-date gross impact from this event of approximately \$621 million on a pre-tax basis. Our net of reinsurance impact from this catastrophe was approximately \$298 million on a pre-tax basis, or approximately \$194 million on an after-tax basis.

Excluding the impact of Hurricane Katrina, our property and casualty group's earnings would have increased during the first nine months of 2006 as compared to the prior year due primarily to improved loss performance in both Commercial Lines and Personal Lines. The improved earnings were driven by increased favorable development of prior years' reserves and improved current accident year results, primarily in Commercial Lines, as well as lower non-Katrina related catastrophe losses. Partially offsetting these improved earnings was an increase in underwriting and loss adjustment expenses.

Table of Contents

Description of Operating Segments

Our business includes insurance products and services in two areas: Property and Casualty and Life Companies. Within these broad areas, we conduct business principally in four operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines, Other Property and Casualty, and Life Companies. We present the separate financial information of each segment consistent with the manner in which our chief operating decision maker evaluates results in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

The Property and Casualty group manages its operations principally through three segments: Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty. Personal Lines includes such property and casualty coverages as personal automobile, homeowners and other personal policies, while Commercial Lines includes such property and casualty coverages as commercial multiple peril, commercial automobile, workers compensation and other commercial policies, such as bonds and inland marine business. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment consists of: Amgro, Inc. (AMGRO), our premium financing business; Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus), which markets investment management services to institutions, pension funds and other organizations; and earnings on holding company assets, as well as voluntary pools business in which we have not actively participated since 1995.

As a result of the aforementioned sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business, our Life Companies segment, which is in run-off, consists primarily of a block of traditional life insurance products (principally the Closed Block), our guaranteed investment contract (GIC) business and certain group retirement products, as well as certain non-insurance subsidiaries. Assets and liabilities related to our reinsured variable life insurance and annuity business, as well as our discontinued group life and health business, including group life and health voluntary pools, are reflected in this segment.

We report interest expense related to our corporate debt separately from the earnings of our operating segments. Corporate debt consists of our junior subordinated debentures and our senior debentures.

Results of Operations

Our consolidated net income includes the results of our four operating segments (segment income) which we evaluate on a pre-tax basis and our interest expense on corporate debt. In addition, segment income excludes certain items which we believe are not indicative of our core operations. The income of our segments excludes items such as federal income taxes and net realized investment gains and losses, including net gains or losses on certain derivative instruments, because fluctuations in these gains and losses are determined by interest rates, financial markets and the timing of sales. Also, segment income excludes net gains and losses on disposals of businesses, discontinued operations, restructuring costs, extraordinary items, the cumulative effect of accounting changes and certain other items. Although the items excluded from segment income may be significant components in understanding and assessing our financial performance, we believe segment income enhances an investor's understanding of our results of operations by highlighting net income attributable to the core operations of the business. However, segment income should not be construed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

Our consolidated net income for the third quarter of 2006 was \$33.4 million compared to a net loss of \$562.4 million for the same period in 2005. Consolidated net income for the first nine months was \$124.8 million, compared to a net loss of \$443.9 million for the same period in 2005. The increase in earnings for both the quarter and nine months is primarily the result of the absence in 2006 of the \$474.6 million loss representing the estimated loss on disposal of our variable life insurance and annuity business recorded in 2005, as well as an increase in segment results from our property and casualty business. This improvement in property and casualty segment results primarily reflects lower after-tax catastrophe losses in 2006. We experienced unusually high catastrophe losses in 2005 from Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects segment income as determined in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, and a reconciliation of total segment income to consolidated net income.

| (In millions) | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|
| | Quarter Ended September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Segment income (loss) before federal income taxes: | | | | |
| Property and Casualty | | | | |
| Personal Lines | \$ 35.0 | \$ (27.8) | \$ 137.7 | \$ 68.8 |
| Commercial Lines | 7.7 | (101.0) | 76.9 | (49.5) |
| Other Property and Casualty | 10.1 | 1.1 | 16.1 | 4.9 |
| Total Property and Casualty | 52.8 | (127.7) | 230.7 | 24.2 |
| Life Companies | (1.1) | (0.9) | (4.0) | (17.7) |
| Interest expense on corporate debt | (10.0) | (10.0) | (29.9) | (29.9) |
| Total segment income (loss) before federal income taxes | 41.7 | (138.6) | 196.8 | (23.4) |
| Federal income tax (expense) benefit on segment income | (14.1) | 29.6 | (61.3) | 0.3 |
| Change in prior year tax reserves | - | - | - | 2.3 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses), net of deferred acquisition cost and amortization | 2.0 | (2.0) | 11.4 | 12.9 |
| Gains (losses) on derivative instruments | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | (0.3) |
| Restructuring costs | (0.5) | (0.4) | (1.4) | (1.3) |
| Federal income tax (expense) benefit on non-segment items | (0.7) | 5.8 | (3.5) | 1.6 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of taxes | 28.5 | (105.4) | 142.2 | (7.9) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | - | 17.6 | - | 38.6 |
| Loss from disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | (2.9) | (474.6) | (25.8) | (474.6) |
| Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., net of taxes | 7.8 | - | 7.8 | - |
| Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 33.4 | (562.4) | 124.2 | (443.9) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, | | | | |
| net of taxes | - | - | 0.6 | - |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 33.4 | \$ (562.4) | \$ 124.8 | \$ (443.9) |

Segment Income

Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

Property and Casualty segment income increased \$180.5 million, to \$52.8 million, in the third quarter of 2006, compared to a loss of \$127.7 million in the third quarter of 2005. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$181.3 million, from \$245.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$64.2 million in the same period of 2006. In the third quarter of 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe related activity primarily due to Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita. In the third quarter of 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina. Segment income was also positively affected by a litigation settlement which resulted in a \$7.0 million benefit for the third quarter of 2006. Excluding the impact of all catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlement, segment income would have decreased \$7.8 million as compared to 2005. Segment income was negatively affected by increased underwriting and LAE expenses of \$34.6 million, primarily attributable to an increase in variable compensation costs, investments in technology, an unusually large premium tax refund for Michigan in 2005, increased expenses in our claims operation and the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006. These items were partially offset by an increase of \$15.8 million of favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves, as well as by improved current

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

accident year underwriting results, primarily due to earned premium growth and favorable loss performance in our bond and inland marine lines of business.

Table of Contents

Our federal tax expense on segment income was \$14.1 million for the third quarter of 2006, compared to a tax benefit of \$29.6 million for the same period in 2005. This increase in expense is primarily due to the improvement in earnings from our property and casualty segment.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Property and Casualty segment income increased \$206.5 million, to \$230.7 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$24.2 million in the same period in 2005. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$173.8 million, from \$264.8 million in 2005 to \$91.0 million in 2006. In the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe related activity primarily due to Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina. In addition, segment income was positively affected by the aforementioned \$7.0 million litigation settlement. Excluding the impact of catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlement, segment income would have increased \$25.7 million as compared to 2005. Segment income was positively affected by an increase of \$38.3 million of favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves, as well as by improved current accident year underwriting results in both our Commercial and Personal lines of business. Net investment income increased by \$14.0 million, primarily due to improved operational cash flows in the first nine months of 2006. These items were partially offset by increased underwriting and LAE expenses of \$69.2 million, primarily attributable to an increase in variable compensation costs, higher expenses in our claims operation, investments in technology, the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006 and higher expenses in support of our bond and inland marine business. In addition, there was an increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to the Property and Casualty group as a result of the disposal of the variable life insurance and annuity business in December 2005.

Life Companies segment loss was \$4.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a loss of \$17.7 million in the same period of 2005. This improvement was primarily the result of lower expenses due to the run-off of our continuing life business and the absence, in 2006, of a \$4.0 million provision for an SEC investigation related to market timing recognized in the second quarter of 2005.

Our federal income tax expense on segment income was \$61.3 million for the first nine months of 2006 compared to a benefit of \$0.3 million for the same period of 2005. The increase in tax expense is primarily the result of an increase in segment income in our Property and Casualty business.

Other Items

Net realized gains on investments were \$2.0 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to a loss of \$2.0 million for the same period in 2005. Net realized gains on investments for the first nine months of 2006 and 2005 were \$11.4 million and \$12.9 million, respectively, primarily due to gains recognized from the sale of fixed maturities, partially offset by impairments of fixed maturities.

In December 2005, we sold our variable life insurance and annuity business. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for Impairment on Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (Statement No. 144), we have reflected the 2005 results of AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business as a discontinued operation. As such, we have restated prior year balances related to this business as discontinued operations. We recognized income of \$17.6 million and \$38.6 million from discontinued operations in the third quarter and first nine months of 2005, respectively. Included in these amounts is \$10.6 million of a \$12.9 million federal income tax settlement. The remaining \$2.3 million is reflected as a change in prior years tax reserves within continuing operations. Also, for the third quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized a \$474.6 million loss representing the estimated loss on our variable life insurance and annuity business (See Life Companies Discontinued Operations for further discussion of this business).

Additionally, we recorded losses of \$2.9 million and \$25.8 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, related to these discontinued operations. The losses in the third quarter primarily related to costs associated with the transition of this business to Goldman Sachs. The losses in the first nine months related to both a \$15.0 million increase to the existing provision for our estimated potential liability for certain contractual indemnities to Goldman Sachs relating to the pre-sale activities of the business sold, as well as a \$10.8 million loss related to costs associated with the transition of this business to Goldman Sachs (see Life Companies for further information). The additional \$15.0 million provision was recorded under FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantors Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN 45). This additional provision relates to preliminary estimated expenses, reimbursements, penalties and other costs of remediating certain pre-closing processing errors relating to tax reporting to certain policyholders and others in connection with distributions under a subset of our former variable annuity business.

Table of Contents

On August 31, 2006, we sold all of the outstanding shares of Financial Profiles, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Emerging Information Systems Incorporated and recognized a \$7.8 million after-tax gain on the sale during the third quarter of 2006 (see Significant Transactions).

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (Statement No. 123(R)), which resulted in a benefit of \$0.6 million. This adjustment was the result of remeasuring the value of certain stock-based awards at grant-date fair value that had previously been measured at intrinsic value.

Net income includes the following items by segment:

| | Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--------|--------------|
| | Property and Casualty | | | | Total |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty (2) | | |
| | | | Life Companies | | |
| Net realized investment gains (1) | \$ 0.6 | \$ 1.0 | \$ - | \$ 0.4 | \$ 2.0 |
| Gains on derivative instruments | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Restructuring costs | - | - | - | (0.5) | (0.5) |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | - | - | - | (2.9) | (2.9) |
| Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., net of taxes | - | - | - | 7.8 | 7.8 |

| | Quarter Ended September 30, 2005 | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|----------|--------------|
| | Property and Casualty | | | | Total |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty (2) | | |
| | | | Life Companies | | |
| Net realized investment (losses) gains (1) | \$ (0.3) | \$ (0.3) | \$ 0.1 | \$ (1.5) | \$ (2.0) |
| Gains on derivative instruments | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Restructuring costs | - | - | - | (0.4) | (0.4) |
| Income from discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | - | - | - | 17.6 | 17.6 |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | - | - | - | (474.6) | (474.6) |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|----------|--------------|
| | Property and Casualty | | | | Total |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty (2) | | |
| | | | Life Companies | | |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) (1) | \$ 5.3 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 3.7 | \$ (3.3) | \$ 11.4 |
| Gains on derivative instruments | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Restructuring costs | - | - | - | (1.4) | (1.4) |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | - | - | - | (25.8) | (25.8) |
| Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., net of taxes | - | - | - | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | 0.1 | 0.6 |

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005
Property and Casualty

| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty (2) | Life Companies | Total |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------|
| Change in prior years tax reserves | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2.3 | \$ 2.3 |
| Net realized investment (losses) gains (1) | (0.8) | (1.0) | 0.8 | 13.9 | 12.9 |
| Losses on derivative instruments | - | - | - | (0.3) | (0.3) |
| Restructuring costs | - | - | - | (1.3) | (1.3) |
| Income from discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | - | - | - | 38.6 | 38.6 |
| Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | - | - | - | (474.6) | (474.6) |

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire property and casualty group. We allocate the investment income, expenses and realized gains (losses) to our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying business.

(2) Includes corporate eliminations.

Table of Contents**Segment Results**

The following is our discussion and analysis of our results of operations by business segment. The segment results are presented before taxes and other items which we believe are not indicative of core operations, including realized gains and losses.

Property and Casualty

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Property and Casualty group:

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Segment revenues | | | | |
| Net premiums written | \$ 602.9 | \$ 542.0 | \$ 1,775.3 | \$ 1,647.9 |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 562.6 | \$ 519.7 | \$ 1,652.9 | \$ 1,619.7 |
| Net investment income | 55.9 | 52.2 | 168.4 | 154.4 |
| Other income | 20.9 | 14.0 | 48.7 | 39.1 |
| Total segment revenues | 639.4 | 585.9 | 1,870.0 | 1,813.2 |
| Losses and operating expenses | | | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | 380.2 | 541.3 | 1,044.6 | 1,256.5 |
| Policy acquisition expenses | 121.5 | 115.1 | 352.1 | 342.7 |
| Other operating expenses | 84.9 | 57.2 | 242.6 | 189.8 |
| Total losses and operating expenses | 586.6 | 713.6 | 1,639.3 | 1,789.0 |
| Segment income (loss) | \$ 52.8 | \$ (127.7) | \$ 230.7 | \$ 24.2 |

Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

The following table summarizes the impact of catastrophes on results for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Hurricane Katrina: | | |
| Losses | \$ 43.7 | \$ 184.1 |
| LAE | 8.4 | 3.6 |
| Reinstatement premiums | - | 27.0 |
| Total impact of Katrina | 52.1 | 214.7 |
| Other | 12.1 | 30.8 |
| Total catastrophes | \$ 64.2 | \$ 245.5 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Property and Casualty segment income increased \$180.5 million, to \$52.8 million, in the third quarter of 2006, compared to a loss of \$127.7 million in the third quarter of 2005. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$181.3 million, from \$245.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$64.2 million in the same period of 2006. In the third quarter of 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe related activity due primarily to Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita. In the third quarter of 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina. In addition, segment income was positively affected by a litigation settlement related to Opus Investment Management, Inc., which resulted in a \$7.0 million benefit for the third quarter of 2006. Excluding the impact of all catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlement, our Property and Casualty group's segment income would have decreased \$7.8 million as compared to 2005. Segment income was negatively affected by increased underwriting and LAE expenses of \$34.6 million, primarily attributable to increased variable compensation costs, investments in technology, an unusually large premium tax refund for Michigan in 2005, increased expenses in our claims operation and the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006. These items were partially offset by an increase of \$15.8 million of favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves excluding Hurricane Katrina activity, to \$39.2 million in the third quarter of 2006, from \$23.4 million in the same period of 2005. Also positively affecting segment income was improved current accident year underwriting results of an estimated \$8 million, primarily due to earned premium growth and favorable loss performance in our bond and inland marine lines of business.

Table of Contents**Underwriting Results**

The following table summarizes GAAP net premiums written and GAAP loss, LAE, expense and combined ratios for the Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments. These items are not meaningful for our Other Property and Casualty segment.

| | Quarter Ended September 30, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | | | 2005 | | |
| | GAAP | Catast- | | GAAP | Catast- | |
| | Loss | rophe | | Loss | rophe | |
| | Ratios | loss | | Ratios | loss | |
| | GAAP Net | ratios (3) | | GAAP Net | ratios (3) | |
| | Premiums | | | Premiums | | |
| | Written | (1), (2) | | Written(4) | (1), (2) | |
| (In millions, except ratios) | | | | | | |
| Personal Lines: | | | | | | |
| Personal automobile | \$ 253.7 | 55.6 | 0.4 | \$ 241.2 | 58.2 | 1.6 |
| Homeowners | 118.8 | 56.1 | 14.2 | 102.3 | 133.2 | 88.9 |
| Other personal | 10.7 | 46.4 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 56.2 | 7.9 |
| Total Personal Lines | 383.2 | 55.4 | 4.7 | 353.5 | 77.9 | 24.8 |
| Commercial Lines: | | | | | | |
| Workers compensation | 27.2 | 48.9 | N/M | 28.1 | 77.4 | N/M |
| Commercial automobile | 48.7 | 40.7 | 1.4 | 49.3 | 48.1 | N/M |
| Commercial multiple peril | 86.2 | 73.4 | 38.7 | 72.3 | 212.4 | 166.8 |
| Other commercial | 57.6 | 40.3 | 11.5 | 38.8 | 45.2 | 11.9 |
| Total Commercial Lines | 219.7 | 54.8 | 18.7 | 188.5 | 119.8 | 70.4 |
| Total | \$ 602.9 | 55.2 | 9.9 | \$ 542.0 | 93.4 | 41.4 |

| | 2006 | | | 2005 | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| | GAAP | GAAP | GAAP | GAAP | GAAP | GAAP |
| | LAE | Expense | Combined | LAE | Expense | Combined |
| | Ratios | Ratios | Ratios (5) | Ratios | Ratios | Ratios (5) |
| Personal Lines | 12.6 | 30.4 | 98.4 | 10.7 | 28.5 | 117.1 |
| Commercial Lines | 12.0 | 42.4 | 109.2 | 10.9 | 37.1 | 167.8 |
| Total | 12.3 | 34.9 | 102.4 | 10.8 | 31.6 | 135.8 |

(1) GAAP loss ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio reflects incurred claims compared to premiums earned. Our GAAP loss ratios include catastrophe losses.

(2) Includes policyholders' dividends.

(3) Catastrophe loss ratio reflects incurred catastrophe claims compared to premiums earned.

(4) GAAP net premiums written for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 include Hurricane Katrina related reinstatement premiums of \$27.0 million; \$17.6 million for Personal Lines and \$9.4 million for Commercial Lines.

- (5) GAAP combined ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio is the sum of incurred claims, claim expenses and underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. Our GAAP combined ratios also include the impact of catastrophes. Federal income taxes, net investment income and other non-underwriting expenses are not reflected in the GAAP combined ratio. Our GAAP combined ratios include the impact of reinstatement premium, resulting from Hurricane Katrina, which represents increases of 5.8, 7.9 and 6.7 points to our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Total GAAP combined ratios, respectively for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes GAAP underwriting results for the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments and reconciles it to GAAP segment income.

| | 2006 | | | | 2005 | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total |
| GAAP underwriting profit (loss), excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes | \$ 13.1 | \$ (1.7) | \$ (0.1) | \$ 11.3 | \$ 31.3 | \$ 5.0 | \$ (0.2) | \$ 36.1 |
| Prior year reserve development | | | | | | | | |
| favorable (unfavorable) | 13.6 | 25.7 | (0.1) | 39.2 | 13.4 | 11.2 | (1.2) | 23.4 |
| Catastrophe effect | (20.7) | (43.5) | - | (64.2) | (101.7) | (143.8) | - | (245.5) |
| GAAP underwriting profit (loss) | 6.0 | (19.5) | (0.2) | (13.7) | (57.0) | (127.6) | (1.4) | (186.0) |
| Net investment income (1) | 26.7 | 26.0 | 3.2 | 55.9 | 25.6 | 25.3 | 1.3 | 52.2 |
| Other income | 3.4 | 4.1 | 13.4 | 20.9 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 14.0 |
| Other operating expenses | (1.1) | (2.9) | (6.3) | (10.3) | (1.2) | (1.9) | (4.8) | (7.9) |
| Segment income (loss) | \$ 35.0 | \$ 7.7 | \$ 10.1 | \$ 52.8 | \$ (27.8) | \$ (101.0) | \$ 1.1 | \$ (127.7) |

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire Property and Casualty group and allocate net investment income to each of our Property and Casualty segments.

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written increased \$29.7 million, or 8.4%, to \$383.2 million in the third quarter of 2006. In 2005, net premiums written were negatively impacted by a reinstatement premium of \$17.6 million, resulting from Hurricane Katrina. Excluding the impact of this reinstatement premium, Personal Lines net premiums written increased \$12.1 million, or 3.3%. This was primarily attributable to an increase in new business written in the personal automobile line due to the introduction of our product, *Connections Auto* and the related appointment of new agents.

Policies in force in the personal automobile line of business increased 5.6% as compared to policies in force at the end of the third quarter of 2005. The increase in policies in force compared to the prior year was due to new business growth in selected states as a result of our strategy to introduce our *Connections Auto* product and the related appointment of new agents. This increase was partially offset by reduced exposures in Massachusetts and New Jersey, where the decline in policies in force is primarily due to the termination of certain unprofitable agency relationships and certain employer and affinity group accounts that were not well aligned with our current strategy.

Policies in force in the homeowners line of business decreased 2.0% compared to policies in force at the end of the third quarter of 2005, while decreasing modestly by 0.3% as compared to June 30, 2006. The decrease from prior year primarily reflects declines in Michigan, Massachusetts and New Jersey. In Michigan, policies in force declined 2.5% compared to the third quarter of 2005, while decreasing by 1.0% as compared to June 30, 2006, due to the slowing economy in the state affecting new business production. In Massachusetts, the decrease was primarily driven by our decision to exit certain unprofitable agency relationships that were not well aligned with our current strategy and, to a lesser extent, by our actions to reduce coastal exposures. In New Jersey, we exited certain employer and affinity groups that were also not well aligned with our current strategy.

Personal Lines segment income increased \$62.8 million to \$35.0 million for the third quarter of 2006, compared to a loss of \$27.8 million for the same period in 2005. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$81.0 million, from \$101.7 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$20.7 million in the same period of 2006. Excluding the impact of catastrophe related activity, segment income would have decreased \$18.2 million as compared to 2005. Segment income was negatively affected by higher underwriting and LAE expenses of \$18.1 million primarily attributable to an increase in variable compensation costs, an unusually large premium tax refund in Michigan in the third quarter of last year, higher expenses in

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

our claims operations, the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006 and investments in technology. In addition, there was an increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to this segment.

Our ability to increase Personal Lines net written premiums and to maintain and improve underwriting results may be affected by price competition, the ability to achieve acceptable margins on new business written, regulatory actions and our plans to continue to reduce coastal exposures.

Table of Contents**Commercial Lines**

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$31.2 million, or 16.6%, to \$219.7 million in the third quarter of 2006. In 2005, net premiums written were negatively impacted by a reinstatement premium of \$9.4 million, resulting from Hurricane Katrina. Excluding the impact of this reinstatement premium, Commercial Lines net premium written increased \$21.8 million, or 11.0%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in new business driven by growth in our other commercial lines businesses, primarily bonds and inland marine. Also, to a lesser extent, written premiums grew in the commercial multiple peril line of business.

Commercial Lines segment income increased \$108.7 million to \$7.7 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to a loss of \$101.0 million in 2005. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$100.3 million, from \$143.8 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$43.5 million in the same period of 2006. Excluding the impact of catastrophe related activity, segment income would have increased \$8.4 million as compared to 2005. Segment income was positively affected by increased favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves excluding Hurricane Katrina of \$14.5 million, to \$25.7 million in the third quarter of 2006, from \$11.2 million in the same period of 2005, driven primarily by the workers compensation, commercial automobile and commercial multiple peril lines of business. In addition, current accident year results improved by an estimated \$10 million, primarily due to earned premium growth and favorable loss performance in our bond and inland marine lines of business. These items were partially offset by higher underwriting expenses of \$15.2 million, primarily attributable to an increase in variable compensation costs, investments in technology, higher expenses in support of our specialty lines and the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006. In addition, there was an increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to this segment.

Our ability to increase Commercial Lines net premiums written while maintaining or improving underwriting results may be affected by increased price competition.

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment increased \$9.0 million, to \$10.1 million in the third quarter of 2006, from \$1.1 million for the same period in 2005. Segment income in the third quarter of 2006 includes a payment to Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus) in the amount of \$7.0 million in settlement of claims which Opus alleged in a lawsuit filed in 2003 against various parties. Excluding this settlement, Other Property and Casualty segment income would have increased \$2.0 million as compared to 2005. The increase is principally due to additional net investment income in our holding company, primarily resulting from the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business at the end of 2005.

Investment Results

Net investment income increased \$3.7 million, or 7.1%, to \$55.9 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The increase in net investment income reflects an increase in average invested assets due to increased operational cash flows and proceeds from the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business, partially offset by cash outflows related to our share repurchase program and payments for losses related to Hurricane Katrina. The average pre-tax yield on fixed maturities was 5.5% for the third quarter of 2006 and the third quarter of 2005.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

The following table summarizes the impact of catastrophes on results for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

| (In millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Hurricane Katrina: | | |
| Losses | \$ 40.2 | \$ 184.1 |
| LAE | 8.4 | 3.6 |
| Reinstatement premiums | - | 27.0 |
| Total impact of Katrina (1) | 48.6 | 214.7 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------|---------|----------|
| Other | 42.4 | 50.1 |
| Total catastrophes | \$ 91.0 | \$ 264.8 |

(1) Includes a reallocation of \$3.5 million from Hurricane Katrina reserves to Hurricane Rita reserves during the first quarter of 2006. Property and Casualty segment income increased \$206.5 million, to \$230.7 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$24.2 million in the same period in 2005. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$173.8 million, from \$264.8 million in 2005 to \$91.0 million in 2006. In the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe related activity due primarily to Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita. For the nine months

Table of Contents

ended September 30, 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina. In addition, segment income was positively affected by the aforementioned litigation settlement which resulted in a \$7.0 million benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Excluding the impact of catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlement, segment income would have increased \$25.7 million as compared to 2005. Segment income was positively affected by an increase of \$38.3 million of favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves excluding Hurricane Katrina, to \$91.3 million in the first nine months of 2006, from \$53.0 million in the same period of 2005. Also positively affecting segment income was improved current accident year underwriting results of an estimated \$38 million, primarily due to favorable loss activity in both our Commercial and Personal lines of business and earned premium growth in our bond and inland marine lines of business. Net investment income increased by \$14.0 million, primarily due to improved operational cash flows in the first nine months of 2006. These items were partially offset by increased underwriting and LAE expenses of \$69.2 million, primarily attributable to an increase in variable compensation costs, higher expenses in our claims operations, investments in technology and the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006. In addition, there was an increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to the Property and Casualty group as a result of the disposal of the variable life insurance and annuity business in December 2005.

Underwriting Results

The following table summarizes GAAP net premiums written and GAAP loss, LAE, expense and combined ratios for the Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments. These items are not meaningful for our Other Property and Casualty segment.

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 2006 | | | 2005 | | |
| | GAAP Net Premiums Written | GAAP Loss Ratios (1), (2) | Catastrophe Loss Ratios (3) | GAAP Net Premiums Written (4) | GAAP Loss Ratios (1), (2) | Catastrophe Loss Ratios (3) |
| (In millions, except ratios) | | | | | | |
| Personal Lines: | | | | | | |
| Personal automobile | \$ 749.7 | 56.0 | 0.3 | \$ 719.0 | 61.3 | 0.6 |
| Homeowners | 305.6 | 51.5 | 8.5 | 288.6 | 73.0 | 29.9 |
| Other personal | 30.2 | 31.5 | 5.5 | 29.4 | 39.6 | 4.5 |
| Total Personal Lines | 1,085.5 | 53.9 | 2.8 | 1,037.0 | 64.0 | 8.9 |
| Commercial Lines: | | | | | | |
| Workers compensation | 90.5 | 57.9 | N/M | 96.9 | 82.6 | N/M |
| Commercial automobile | 154.3 | 44.8 | 1.3 | 151.9 | 50.2 | 0.1 |
| Commercial multiple peril | 275.4 | 53.0 | 15.2 | 251.0 | 99.0 | 55.1 |
| Other commercial | 169.3 | 37.8 | 9.7 | 110.9 | 38.9 | 5.8 |
| Total Commercial Lines | 689.5 | 48.5 | 8.7 | 610.7 | 74.7 | 24.5 |
| Total | \$ 1,775.0 | 52.0 | 5.0 | \$ 1,647.7 | 67.9 | 14.5 |

| | GAAP LAE Ratios | 2006 GAAP Expense Ratios | GAAP Combined Ratios (5) | GAAP LAE Ratios | 2005 GAAP Expense Ratios | GAAP Combined Ratios (5) |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Personal lines | 11.4 | 29.9 | 95.2 | 9.4 | 28.1 | 101.5 |
| Commercial lines | 10.9 | 41.5 | 100.9 | 10.0 | 37.5 | 122.2 |
| Total | 11.2 | 34.2 | 97.4 | 9.6 | 31.4 | 108.9 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

- (1) GAAP loss ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio reflects incurred claims compared to premiums earned. Our GAAP loss ratios include catastrophe losses.
- (2) Includes policyholders' dividends.
- (3) Catastrophe loss ratio reflects incurred catastrophe claims compared to premiums earned.
- (4) GAAP net premiums written for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 include Hurricane Katrina related reinstatement premiums of \$27.0 million; \$17.6 million for Personal Lines and \$9.4 million for Commercial Lines.
- (5) GAAP combined ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio is the sum of incurred claims, claim expenses and underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. Our GAAP combined ratios also include the impact of catastrophes. Federal income taxes, net investment income and other non-underwriting expenses are not reflected in the GAAP combined ratio. Our GAAP combined ratios include the impact of reinstatement premium, resulting from Hurricane Katrina, which represents increases of 1.7, 1.9 and 1.7 points to our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Total GAAP combined ratios, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes GAAP underwriting results for the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments and reconciles it to GAAP segment income.

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2006 | | | | 2005 | | | |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total |
| GAAP underwriting profit (loss), excluding | | | | | | | | |
| prior year reserve development and | | | | | | | | |
| catastrophes | \$ 41.9 | \$ 1.0 | \$ - | \$ 42.9 | \$ 65.0 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 66.7 |
| Prior year reserve development favorable | | | | | | | | |
| (unfavorable) | 39.0 | 53.7 | (1.4) | 91.3 | 32.5 | 22.9 | (2.4) | 53.0 |
| Catastrophe effect | (31.8) | (59.2) | - | (91.0) | (112.9) | (151.9) | - | (264.8) |
| GAAP underwriting profit (loss) | 49.1 | (4.5) | (1.4) | 43.2 | (15.4) | (127.7) | (2.0) | (145.1) |
| Net investment income (1) | 80.1 | 78.3 | 10.0 | 168.4 | 75.7 | 74.8 | 3.9 | 154.4 |
| Fee income | 11.6 | 12.1 | 25.0 | 48.7 | 12.4 | 9.4 | 17.3 | 39.1 |
| Other operating expenses | (3.1) | (9.0) | (17.5) | (29.6) | (3.9) | (6.0) | (14.3) | (24.2) |
| Segment income (loss) | \$ 137.7 | \$ 76.9 | \$ 16.1 | \$ 230.7 | \$ 68.8 | \$ (49.5) | \$ 4.9 | \$ 24.2 |

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire Property and Casualty group and allocate net investment income to each of our Property and Casualty segments.

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written increased \$48.5 million, or 4.7%, to \$1.1 billion in the first nine months of 2006. In 2005, net premiums written were negatively impacted by a reinstatement premium of \$17.6 million, resulting from Hurricane Katrina. Excluding the impact of the reinstatement premium, Personal Lines net written premium increased \$30.9 million, or 2.9%. This was primarily attributable to an increase in new business written in the personal automobile line due to the introduction of *Connections Auto* and related agency appointments.

Personal Lines segment income increased \$68.9 million to \$137.7 million for the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$68.8 million for the same period in 2005. Segment income was positively affected by a decrease in catastrophe related activity of \$81.1 million, from \$112.9 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$31.8 million in the same period of 2006. Excluding the impact of all catastrophe related activity, our Personal Lines segment income would have decreased \$12.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to 2005. LAE and underwriting expenses were \$35.3 million higher in 2006 primarily attributable to higher expenses in our claims operations, an increase in variable compensation costs and the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006. In addition, there was an increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to this segment. These items were partially offset by an estimated \$8 million of improved current accident year underwriting results primarily attributable to a decrease in frequency of non-catastrophe claims in the personal automobile line. Also, favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves excluding Hurricane Katrina increased \$6.5 million to \$39.0 million in the first nine months of 2006, from \$32.5 million in the first nine months of 2005. Investment earnings grew \$4.4 million in the first nine months of 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 due to an increase in average invested assets primarily due to higher operational cash flows. In addition, underwriting results for involuntary pools, primarily Massachusetts Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers (MA CAR), improved \$4.5 million in the first nine months of 2006.

Commercial Lines

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$78.8 million, or 12.9%, to \$689.5 million for the first nine months of 2006. In 2005, net premiums written were negatively impacted by a reinstatement premium of \$9.4 million, resulting from Hurricane Katrina. Excluding the impact of the reinstatement premium, Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$69.4 million, or 11.2%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in new business driven by growth in our other commercial lines businesses, primarily bonds and inland marine. Also, to a lesser extent, written premiums grew in the commercial multiple peril and commercial automobile lines of business. This growth was partially offset by declines in net written premium in the workers compensation line of business, primarily due to higher ceded premium as a result of increased reinsurance costs.

Commercial Lines segment income increased \$126.4 million to \$76.9 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to a loss of \$49.5 million in 2005. Segment income was positively affected by a decrease in catastrophe related activity of \$92.7 million, from \$151.9 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$59.2 million in the same period of 2006. Excluding all catastrophe related activity, Commercial Lines segment income would have increased \$33.7 million in 2006. Segment income was positively affected by an increase of \$30.8 million of favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves

Table of Contents

excluding Hurricane Katrina, to \$53.7 million in the first nine months of 2006, from \$22.9 million in the same period of 2005, driven primarily by the workers' compensation and commercial automobile lines of business. In addition, current accident year results improved by an estimated \$30 million, primarily due to growth in earned premium in our bond and inland marine lines of business and continued favorable loss trends. These items were partially offset by an increase of \$30.6 million in underwriting and LAE expenses primarily attributable to an increase in variable compensation costs, investments in technology, higher expenses in support of our specialty lines, and the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006. In addition, there was an increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to this segment.

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment increased \$11.2 million, to \$16.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, from \$4.9 million for the same period in 2005. Excluding the aforementioned \$7.0 million litigation settlement benefit related to Opus Investment Management Inc., segment income would have increased \$4.2 million as compared to 2005. This increase was principally due to additional net investment income in our holding company, primarily resulting from the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business at the end of 2005.

Investment Results

Net investment income increased \$14.0 million, or 9.1%, to \$168.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase in net investment income reflects an increase in average invested assets due to increased operational cash flows and proceeds from the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business, partially offset by cash outflows related to our share repurchase program and payments for losses related to Hurricane Katrina. The average pre-tax yield on fixed maturities was 5.5% for September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005.

Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Overview of Loss Reserve Estimation Process

We maintain reserves for our property and casualty products to provide for our ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses with respect to reported and unreported claims incurred as of the end of each accounting period. These reserves are estimates, taking into account actuarial projections at a given point in time, of what we expect the ultimate settlement and administration of claims will cost based on facts and circumstances then known, estimates of future trends in claim severity and frequency, judicial theories of liability and policy coverage, and other factors.

We determine the amount of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves (the "loss reserves") based on an estimation process that is very complex and uses information obtained from both company specific and industry data, as well as general economic information. The estimation process is judgmental and requires us to continuously monitor and evaluate the life cycle of claims on type-of-business and nature-of-claim bases. Using data obtained from this monitoring and assumptions about emerging trends, our actuaries develop information about the size of ultimate claims based on historical experience and other available market information. The most significant assumptions used in the actuarial estimation process, which vary by line of business, include determining the expected consistency in the frequency and severity of claims incurred but not yet reported to prior year claims, the trend in loss costs, changes in the timing of the reporting of losses from the loss date to the notification date, and expected costs to settle unpaid claims. This process assumes that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future events. On a quarterly basis, our actuaries provide to management a point estimate for each significant line of our direct business to summarize their analysis.

In establishing the appropriate loss reserve balances for any period, management carefully considers these actuarial point estimates, which are the principal bases for establishing our reserve balances, along with a qualitative evaluation of business trends, environmental changes, and numerous other factors. In general, such additional factors may include, but are not limited to, improvement or deterioration of the actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past such as changes in the mix of business or the impact of regulatory or litigation developments, the level of volatility within a particular line of business, and the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other facts. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, total recorded reserves were 5.4% greater than actuarially indicated point estimates for both periods.

Table of Contents

Management's Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions

There is greater inherent uncertainty in estimating insurance reserves for certain types of property and casualty insurance lines, particularly workers' compensation and other liability lines, where a longer period of time may elapse before a definitive determination of ultimate liability and losses may be made. In addition, the technological, judicial, regulatory and political climates involving these types of claims change regularly. We maintain a practice of significantly limiting the issuance of long-tailed other liability policies, including directors and officers (D&O) liability, errors and omissions (E&O) liability and medical malpractice liability. The industry has experienced recent adverse loss trends in these lines of business.

We regularly update our reserve estimates as new information becomes available and further events occur which may impact the resolution of unsettled claims. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the results of operations as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, these adjustments are recognized in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying policy was written and the loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are described separately as prior year reserve development. Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results and may vary by line of business.

Inflation generally increases the cost of losses covered by insurance contracts. The effect of inflation varies by product. Our property and casualty insurance premiums are established before the amount of losses and LAE and the extent to which inflation may affect such expenses are known. Consequently, we attempt, in establishing rates and reserves, to anticipate the potential impact of inflation and increasing medical costs in the projection of ultimate costs. We have experienced increasing medical costs, including those associated with personal automobile personal injury protection claims, particularly in Michigan, as well as in our workers' compensation line in most states. This increase is reflected in our reserve estimates, but continued increases could contribute to increased losses and LAE in the future.

We regularly review our reserving techniques, our overall reserving position and our reinsurance. Based on (i) our review of historical data, legislative enactments, judicial decisions, legal developments in impositions of damages and policy coverage, political attitudes and trends in general economic conditions, (ii) our review of per claim information, (iii) our historical loss experience and that of the industry, (iv) the relatively short-term nature of most policies written by us and (v) our internal estimates of required reserves, we believe that adequate provision has been made for loss reserves. However, establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process and there can be no certainty that current established reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. A significant change to the estimated reserves could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position. An increase or decrease in reserve estimates would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in financial results. For example, each one percentage point change in the aggregate loss and LAE ratio resulting from a change in reserve estimation is currently projected to have an approximate \$22 million impact on property and casualty segment income, based on 2005 full year premiums.

As discussed below, estimated loss and LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$91.3 million and \$53.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which represents 2.9%, and 1.5% of loss and LAE reserves held, respectively.

The major causes of material uncertainty relating to ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses (risk factors) generally vary for each line of business, as well as for each separately analyzed component of the line of business. In some cases, such risk factors are explicit assumptions of the estimation method and in others, they are implicit. For example, a method may explicitly assume that a certain percentage of claims will close each year, but will implicitly assume that the legal interpretation of existing contract language will remain unchanged. Actual results will likely vary from expectations for each of these assumptions, resulting in an ultimate claim liability that is different from that being estimated currently.

Some risk factors will affect more than one line of business. Examples include changes in claim department practices, changes in settlement patterns, regulatory and legislative actions, court actions, timeliness of claim reporting, state mix of claimants, and degree of claimant fraud. The extent of the impact of a risk factor will also vary by components within a line of business. Individual risk factors are also subject to interactions with other risk factors within line of business components. Thus, risk factors can have offsetting or compounding effects on required reserves.

In 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe losses associated with Hurricane Katrina and established estimated gross loss and LAE reserves of approximately \$535 million and incurred reinstatement premium of \$27 million. Our loss estimate for Hurricane Katrina was developed using closed claims data, an analysis of the claims reported to date and estimated values of properties in the affected areas. Wind-speed data, flood maps and intelligence provided by on-the-ground staff and independent adjusters were used to project anticipated claims and damage projections. Anticipated costs for demand surge (increased costs for construction material and labor due to the increased damage resulting from the hurricane) were also included in the estimate. However, estimating losses following a major catastrophe is an inherently uncertain process, which was made more difficult by the unprecedented nature of this event, including the legal and regulatory uncertainty, difficulty in accessing portions of the affected areas, the complexity of factors

Table of Contents

contributing to the losses, delays in claim reporting, aggravating circumstances of Hurricane Rita and a slower pace of recovery resulting from the extent of damage sustained in the affected areas.

For the third quarter of 2006, recent trends in claims activity caused us to re-evaluate and increase our estimate of Hurricane Katrina net loss and loss adjustment reserves by \$62 million on a pre-tax basis, or \$52.1 million, net of reinsurance, on a pre-tax basis. In Commercial Lines, the estimate of net losses increased primarily due to the recognition of higher business interruption exposure as more complete information was provided by insureds in response to a recent initiative to obtain related documentation, the impact of disputes related to wind versus water as the cause of loss, the continuation of supplemental payments on previously closed claims caused by the development of latent damages and inflationary pressures on repair costs. In Personal Lines, the estimate of net losses increased by approximately \$8 million, primarily due to the continuation of supplemental payments on previously closed claims caused by the development of latent damages and inflationary pressures on repair costs. The estimate of loss adjustment expenses also increased, driven primarily by a recent increase in litigation activity leading up to the pre-existing one year limit on a homeowner policyholders' ability to challenge claims (this period was extended to two years by legislative action) and a recent change to the Louisiana bad faith law. Although we believe our current Hurricane Katrina reserves are adequate, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not substantially exceed these estimates.

Loss Reserves by Line of Business

We perform actuarial reviews on certain detailed line of business coverages. These individual estimates are summarized into six broader lines of business: personal automobile, homeowners, workers' compensation, commercial automobile, commercial multiple peril, and other lines.

The process of estimating reserves involves considerable judgment by management and is inherently uncertain. Actuarial point estimates by lines of business are the primary basis for determining ultimate expected losses and LAE and the level of net reserves required; however, other factors are considered as well. In general, such additional factors may include, but are not limited to, improvement or deterioration of the actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past such as changes in the mix of business or the impact of regulatory or litigation developments, the amount of data or experience we have with respect to a particular product or geographic area, the level of volatility within a particular line of business, and the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves.

The table below shows our recorded net reserves and the actuarial reserve point estimates by line of business at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

| | September 30, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Recorded Net Reserves | Actuarial Point Estimate | Recorded Net Reserves | Actuarial Point Estimate |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Personal Automobile | \$ 695.7 | \$ 667.9 | \$ 695.2 | \$ 665.9 |
| Homeowners | 116.0 | 112.4 | 108.8 | 101.3 |
| Other Personal lines | 25.7 | 23.2 | 28.3 | 27.4 |
| Workers' Compensation | 410.0 | 394.1 | 424.1 | 409.1 |
| Commercial Automobile | 162.9 | 152.1 | 167.6 | 156.5 |
| Commercial Multiple Peril | 511.7 | 478.1 | 538.0 | 504.2 |
| Other Commercial lines | 153.5 | 132.5 | 140.4 | 121.8 |
| Asbestos and Environmental | 23.9 | 20.2 | 24.4 | 20.5 |
| Pools and other | 217.1 | 217.1 | 224.3 | 224.3 |
| Total | \$ 2,316.5 | \$ 2,197.6 | \$ 2,351.1 | \$ 2,231.0 |

The principal factors considered by management in addition to the actuarial point estimates in determining the reserves at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 vary by line of business. In our Commercial Lines segment, management considered the growth and product mix changes in commercial lines and recent adverse severity trends in certain commercial line coverages. In addition, management also considered the significant growth in our surety and inland marine business for which we have limited actuarial data to estimate losses and the product mix change in the surety bond business towards a greater proportion of contractor surety bonds where losses tend to emerge over a longer period of time and are cyclical related to general economic conditions. Moreover, in our Commercial Lines segment, management considered the likelihood of adverse development in the workers compensation line where losses also tend to emerge over long periods of time and rising

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

medical costs have continued. In our Personal Lines Segment, management considered the significant improvement in frequency and severity trends the industry has experienced over several years in these lines of business which were unanticipated and remain to some extent unexplained. Also in Personal Lines, management considered the significant growth in

Table of Contents

our new business and related growth in a number of states where there is additional uncertainty in the ultimate profitability and development of reserves due to the unseasoned nature of our new business and new agency relationships in these markets. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other factors. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, total recorded reserves were 5.4% greater than actuarially indicated point estimates for both periods.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the gross beginning and ending reserve for unpaid losses and LAE as follows:

| (In millions) | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| | September 30, 2006 | 2005 |
| Reserve for losses and LAE, beginning of period | \$ 3,458.7 | \$ 3,068.6 |
| Incurring losses and LAE, net of reinsurance recoverable: | | |
| Provision for insured events of current year | 1,087.3 | 1,121.2 |
| Decrease in provision for insured events of prior years | (91.3) | (53.0) |
| Hurricane Katrina | 48.6 | 187.8 |
| Total incurred losses and LAE | 1,044.6 | 1,256.0 |
| Payments, net of reinsurance recoverable: | | |
| Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of current year | 485.7 | 493.6 |
| Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of prior years | 512.1 | 517.5 |
| Hurricane Katrina | 81.2 | 9.2 |
| Total payments | 1,079.0 | 1,020.3 |
| Change in reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses | (226.7) | 260.1 |
| Reserve for losses and LAE, end of period | \$ 3,197.6 | \$ 3,564.4 |

The table below summarizes the reserve for losses and LAE by line of business.

| (In millions) | September 30, | December 31, |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Personal Automobile | \$ 1,222.4 | \$ 1,183.9 |
| Homeowners and Other | 185.5 | 224.5 |
| Total Personal | 1,407.9 | 1,408.4 |
| Workers Compensation | 646.1 | 672.5 |
| Commercial Automobile | 234.7 | 245.0 |
| Commercial Multiple Peril | 613.1 | 812.0 |
| Other Commercial | 295.8 | 320.8 |
| Total Commercial | 1,789.7 | 2,050.3 |
| Total reserve for losses and LAE | \$ 3,197.6 | \$ 3,458.7 |

The total reserve for losses and LAE as disclosed in the above table decreased by \$261.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The decrease in 2006 was mostly a result of payments to insureds for hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

Table of Contents**Prior Year Development by Line of Business**

When trends emerge that we believe affect the future settlement of claims, we adjust our reserves accordingly. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, we recognize these adjustments in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are disclosed and discussed separately as prior year reserve development. Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results.

The table below summarizes the change in the provision for insured events of prior years by line of business (excluding Hurricane Katrina).

| (In millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| (Decrease) increase in loss provision for insured events of prior years: | | |
| Personal Automobile | \$ (38.6) | \$ (25.6) |
| Homeowners and other | 0.7 | (6.3) |
| Total Personal | (37.9) | (31.9) |
| Workers Compensation | (15.5) | 4.2 |
| Commercial Automobile | (11.9) | (2.6) |
| Commercial Multiple Peril | (14.9) | (10.5) |
| Other Commercial | (5.0) | (5.4) |
| Total Commercial | (47.3) | (14.3) |
| Voluntary Pools | 1.4 | 2.4 |
| Decrease in loss provision for insured events of prior years | (83.8) | (43.8) |
| Decrease in LAE provision for insured events of prior years | (7.5) | (9.2) |
| Decrease in total loss and LAE provision for insured events of prior years | \$ (91.3) | \$ (53.0) |

Estimated loss reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$83.8 million and \$43.8 million during the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The favorable loss reserve development during the first nine months of 2006 is primarily the result of lower bodily injury claim frequency in the personal automobile line, primarily in the 2005 and 2004 accident years, and lower severity in the workers compensation line, primarily in the 2004 and 2003 accident years. In addition, lower frequency of liability claims in the commercial multiple peril line for the 2003, 2004 and 2005 accident years and lower frequency of bodily injury claims in the commercial automobile line, primarily in the 2004 and 2005 accident years, contributed to the favorable loss development.

The favorable loss reserve development during the first nine months of 2005 is primarily the result of a decrease in personal lines claim frequency and claim severity in the 2004 accident year. In addition, the commercial multiple peril line and other commercial lines experienced lower claim severity in the most recent accident years. Partially offsetting these items was adverse development in the workers compensation line during the first nine months of 2005, which is primarily the result of increased medical costs and long-term attendant care costs.

During the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, estimated LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$7.5 million and \$9.2 million, respectively. The favorable development in both years is primarily attributable to improvement in ultimate loss activity on prior accident years which results in the decrease of ultimate loss adjustment expenses primarily in the workers compensation line.

Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

Although we do not specifically underwrite policies that include asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability, we may be required to defend such claims. Ending loss and LAE reserves for all direct business written by our property and casualty companies related to asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability, included in the reserve for losses and LAE, were \$23.9 million and \$24.3 million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, net of reinsurance of \$15.8 million and \$16.2 million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The outstanding reserves for direct business asbestos and environmental damage have remained relatively consistent for the

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

last three years. As a result of our historical direct underwriting mix of commercial lines policies toward smaller and middle market risks, past asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability loss experience has remained minimal in relation to our total loss and LAE incurred experience.

Table of Contents

In addition, and not included in the numbers above, we have established loss and LAE reserves for assumed reinsurance and pool business with asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability of \$57.1 million and \$57.0 million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These reserves relate to pools in which we have terminated our participation; however, we continue to be subject to claims related to years in which we were a participant. A significant part of our pool reserves relates to our participation in the Excess and Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA) voluntary pool from 1950 to 1982. In 1982, the pool was dissolved and since that time, the business has been in runoff. Our percentage of the total pool liabilities varied from 1.15% to 6.00% during these years. Our participation in this pool has resulted in average paid losses of \$2 million annually over the past ten years. Because of the inherent uncertainty regarding the types of claims in these pools, we cannot provide assurance that our reserves will be sufficient.

We estimate our ultimate liability for asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability claims, whether resulting from direct business assumed reinsurance and pool business, based upon currently known facts, reasonable assumptions where the facts are not known, current law and methodologies currently available. Although these outstanding claims are not significant, their existence gives rise to uncertainty and are discussed because of the possibility that they may become significant. We believe that, notwithstanding the evolution of case law expanding liability in asbestos and environmental claims, recorded reserves related to these claims are adequate. Nevertheless, the asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability reserves could be revised, and any such revisions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period or on our financial position.

Life Companies

On December 30, 2005, we sold all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of AFLIAC, a life insurance subsidiary representing approximately 95% of our run-off variable life insurance and annuity business, to Goldman Sachs. The transaction also includes the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC. In connection with these transactions, Allmerica Investment Trust (AIT) agreed to transfer certain assets and liabilities of its funds to certain Goldman Sachs Variable Insurance Trust managed funds through a fund reorganization transaction. Finally, we agreed to sell to Goldman Sachs all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Allmerica Financial Investment Management Services, Inc. (AFIMS), an investment advisory subsidiary, concurrently with the consummation of the fund reorganization transaction. The fund reorganization transaction was consummated on January 9, 2006.

In connection with these agreements, FAFLIC will provide transition services until the earlier of eighteen months from the December 30, 2005 closing or when the operations of AFLIAC, and the FAFLIC business to be reinsured, can be transferred to Goldman Sachs. This transition period is currently expected to extend into the fourth quarter of 2006.

Description of Life Companies Segment

Our Life Companies segment is discussed in two major components: Continuing Operations and Discontinued Operations. Our Life Companies Continuing Operations segment component manages run-off blocks of traditional life insurance products (principally the Closed Block), group retirement products, our GIC business, our discontinued group life and health business, including group life and health voluntary pools, and certain non-insurance subsidiaries. This segment also included the FAFLIC variable business through December 30, 2005. Our Discontinued Operations segment component represents the results of operations of the sold AFLIAC variable business.

Table of Contents**Continuing Operations**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Continuing Operations segment component for the periods indicated:

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Segment revenues | | | | |
| Premiums | \$ 5.6 | \$ 6.0 | \$ 29.5 | \$ 30.6 |
| Fees and other income | 3.4 | 8.8 | 15.1 | 27.3 |
| Net investment income (1) | 22.2 | 27.1 | 68.9 | 83.4 |
| Total segment revenue | 31.2 | 41.9 | 113.5 | 141.3 |
| Policy benefits, claims and losses | 19.2 | 21.8 | 71.0 | 79.2 |
| Policy acquisition expenses | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 5.2 |
| Other operating expenses (2) | 12.9 | 19.5 | 45.8 | 74.6 |
| Segment loss | \$ (1.1) | \$ (0.9) | \$ (4.0) | \$ (17.7) |

- (1) Net investment income includes GIC income of \$0.6 million and \$3.3 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$4.4 million and \$13.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
- (2) Other operating expenses includes interest credited to the holders of such GICs of \$0.8 million and \$3.2 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$5.7 million and \$16.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

Life Companies Continuing Operations segment loss was \$1.1 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to a loss of \$0.9 million during the same period in 2005. This was primarily due to the absence, in 2006, of earnings from FAFLIC variable products that were 100% co-insured at December 30, 2005, largely offset by lower expenses resulting from the run-off of our continuing life business.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Life Companies Continuing Operations segment loss was \$4.0 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to a loss of \$17.7 million during the same period in 2005. This improvement was primarily the result of lower expenses due to the run-off of our continuing life business and the absence, in 2006, of a \$4.0 million provision for an SEC investigation related to market timing in the second quarter of 2005.

Discontinued Operations**Income from Operations of Discontinued Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business**

Results for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005 included \$17.6 million and \$38.6 million, respectively, net of taxes, related to the AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business. These results are reflected as a separate line item, Income from Operations of Discontinued Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business, in the Consolidated Statements of Income. This business was sold as of December 30, 2005; therefore, there will not be future income related to the operations of this business.

Loss on Sale of AFLIAC Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business

On September 30, 2005, we recorded a loss of \$474.6 million on the aforementioned sale of the AFLIAC business. In accordance with Statement No. 144, this loss represented the difference between the estimated carrying value of the AFLIAC business and its estimated fair value less cost to sell. This difference primarily consisted of deferred acquisition costs, which represents the majority of the excess in net asset carrying value measured on a GAAP basis, compared to a statutory basis. The estimated fair value was based on statutory surplus levels and market levels as of

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2005. A provision of the sales agreement with Goldman Sachs required the sales price to be adjusted for changes in AFLIAC statutory surplus levels, market levels, redemption activity and other factors through the closing date of the agreement. On August 23, 2005, we implemented a hedging program related to the sales price of the AFLIAC business. This program was entered into to reduce the volatility in the sales price calculation from the effects of equity market movements from August 30, 2005 through the date of the closing. The \$474.6 million estimate of the loss on sale was adjusted on December 30, 2005, the closing date, to reflect the actual purchase price paid by Goldman Sachs and the book value of AFLIAC on that date. We adjusted the loss on the sale of AFLIAC to a loss of \$444.4 million at December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded an additional loss of \$25.8 million, net of tax, of which \$2.9 million was recorded in the third quarter. Included in this charge was an additional \$15.0 million provision for our estimated potential liability for certain contractual indemnities to Goldman Sachs relating to the pre-sale activities of the business sold recorded under FIN 45. This additional provision relates to preliminary estimated expenses, reimbursements, penalties and other costs of remediating certain pre-closing processing errors relating to tax reporting to certain policyholders and others for a subset of our former variable annuity business. This estimate is based on, among other things, our review of affected policies, mathematical extrapolations derived from such review, and our preliminary view of possible settlement ranges with the Internal Revenue Service and affected policyholders. Although we believe our FIN 45 liability for legal and regulatory matter indemnities is appropriate, including with respect to this matter, such estimates are inherently uncertain and there can be no assurance that these estimates will not materially increase in the future.

Also included in the loss for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$2.9 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, of costs primarily related to employee severance costs, net costs of transitional services, operations conversion expenses and other litigation matters. We currently anticipate incurring an estimated \$4 million of additional costs related to these activities during the remainder of 2006.

Investment Portfolio

We held general account investment assets diversified across several asset classes, as follows:

| | September 30, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | Carrying Value | % of Total Carrying Value | Carrying Value | % of Total Carrying Value |
| (In millions, except percentage data) | | | | |
| Fixed maturities (1) | \$ 5,474.9 | 89.6% | \$ 5,708.2 | 85.1% |
| Equity securities (1) | 17.3 | 0.3 | 18.0 | 0.3 |
| Mortgages | 59.4 | 1.0 | 99.6 | 1.5 |
| Policy loans (1) | 127.2 | 2.1 | 139.9 | 2.1 |
| Cash and cash equivalents (1) | 391.9 | 6.4 | 701.5 | 10.4 |
| Other long-term investments | 40.5 | 0.6 | 42.6 | 0.6 |
| Total | \$ 6,111.2 | 100.0% | \$ 6,709.8 | 100.0% |

(1) We carry these investments at fair value.

Total investment assets decreased \$598.6 million, or 8.9%, to \$6.1 billion during the first nine months of 2006, of which cash and cash equivalents decreased \$309.6 million and fixed maturities decreased \$233.3 million. Cash and cash equivalents, particularly in the Property and Casualty segment, decreased primarily as a result of our share repurchase program, as well as payments for losses related to Hurricane Katrina. Fixed maturities decreased primarily due to our funding the maturity of long-term funding agreements. These decreases were partially offset by purchases of fixed maturities resulting from improved operational cash flows in our Property and Casualty segment.

Our fixed maturity portfolio is comprised primarily of investment grade corporate securities, tax-exempt issues of state and local governments, U.S. government and agency securities and other issues. Based on ratings by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), investment grade securities comprised 93.9% and 93.5% of our total fixed maturity portfolio at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

The following table provides information about the credit quality of our fixed maturities:

| Designation | NAIC | Rating Agency | Equivalent Designation | September 30, 2006 | | | December 31, 2005 | | |
|-------------|------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | Amortized Cost | Carrying Value | % of Total Carrying Value | Amortized Cost | Carrying Value | % of Total Carrying Value |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Aaa/Aa/A | \$ 3,917.3 | \$ 3,897.0 | 71.2% | \$ 3,891.2 | \$ 3,896.0 | 68.3% |
| 2 | Baa | 1,254.3 | 1,245.3 | 22.7 | 1,430.8 | 1,440.4 | 25.2 |
| 3 | Ba | 124.1 | 125.2 | 2.3 | 152.4 | 152.3 | 2.7 |
| 4 | B | 153.0 | 155.6 | 2.8 | 152.2 | 151.7 | 2.6 |
| 5 | Caa and lower | 48.4 | 49.0 | 0.9 | 55.5 | 62.8 | 1.1 |
| 6 | In or near default | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 0.1 |
| | Total fixed maturities | \$ 5,498.4 | \$ 5,474.9 | 100.0% | 5,685.9 | \$ 5,708.2 | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

Although we expect to invest new funds primarily in cash, cash equivalents and investment grade fixed maturities, we may invest a portion of new funds in below investment grade fixed maturities or equity securities. The average yield on fixed maturities was 5.6% for September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

At September 30, 2006, \$95.0 million of our fixed maturities were invested in traditional private placement securities, as compared to \$100.1 million at December 31, 2005. Fair values of traditional private placement securities are determined by either a third party broker or by internally developed pricing models, including the use of discounted cash flow analyses.

We recognized \$6.2 million of realized losses on other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturities for the first nine months of 2006, as compared to \$8.1 million for the first nine months of 2005, principally resulting from our exposure to below investment grade securities. Other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturities for the first nine months of 2006 included \$2.1 million from the industrial sector; \$1.1 million from the automotive sector; \$1.1 million from the consumer non-cyclical sector; \$1.1 million from the consumer cyclical sector; \$0.4 million from the communication sector; and \$0.4 million from the capital goods sector. For the first nine months of 2005, other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturities included \$3.9 million related to the industrial sector; \$1.6 million from the airline/transportation sector; \$1.4 million from the automotive sector; and \$1.2 million from the finance sector. In addition, we recognized \$2.0 million of realized losses on other-than-temporary impairments of other invested assets for the nine months ended 2006, of which \$1.7 million is from low income housing partnerships and \$0.3 million is from other invested asset partnerships. We recognized \$0.2 million of realized losses attributable to other-than-temporary impairments related to equity securities for the first nine months of 2005.

In our determination of other-than-temporary impairments, we consider several factors and circumstances, including the issuer's overall financial condition; the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer's financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments, and asset quality; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; the length of time in which the fair value of an issuer's securities remains below our cost; and with respect to fixed maturity investments, any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer's ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms. We apply these factors to all securities. Other-than-temporary impairments are recorded as a realized loss, which serves to reduce net income and earnings per share. Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses, which do not affect net income and earnings per share but reduce other comprehensive income. We cannot provide assurance that the other-than-temporary impairments will, in fact, be adequate to cover future losses or that we will not have substantial additional impairments in the future.

The following table provides information about our fixed maturities and equity securities that have been in an unrealized loss position as of the dates indicated.

| | September 30, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Investment grade fixed maturities: | | | | |
| 12 months or less | \$ 13.5 | \$ 1,230.5 | \$ 38.7 | \$ 2,335.7 |
| Greater than 12 months | 61.4 | 1,951.7 | 26.7 | 595.8 |
| Total investment grade fixed maturities | 74.9 | 3,182.2 | 65.4 | 2,931.5 |
| Below investment grade fixed maturities: | | | | |
| 12 months or less | 3.6 | 118.8 | 9.0 | 171.3 |
| Greater than 12 months | - | - | - | - |
| Total below investment grade fixed maturities | 3.6 | 118.8 | 9.0 | 171.3 |
| Equity securities | - | - | 0.1 | 1.4 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities | \$ 78.5 | \$ 3,301.0 | \$ 74.5 | \$ 3,104.2 |

We had \$78.5 million of gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and equity securities at September 30, 2006, as compared to \$74.5 million at December 31, 2005. The increase in gross unrealized losses of investment grade fixed maturity investments is primarily due to the impact of

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

higher market interest rates, rather than a decline in credit quality of these investments. The decrease in gross unrealized losses of below investment grade fixed maturity investments is primarily due to our other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturities during the first nine months of 2006. Obligations of U.S. Treasury, U.S. government and agency securities, states and political subdivisions had associated gross unrealized losses of \$11.5 million at September 30, 2006 and \$12.1 million at December 31, 2005. At both September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's.

Table of Contents

We view the gross unrealized losses of fixed maturities and equity securities as being temporary. The risks inherent in our assessment methodology include the risk that, subsequent to the balance sheet date, market factors may differ from our expectations; we may decide to subsequently sell a security for unforeseen business needs; or changes in the credit assessment or equity characteristics from our original assessment may lead us to determine that a sale at the current value would maximize recovery on such investments. To the extent that there are such adverse changes, the unrealized loss would then be realized and we would record a charge to earnings. Although unrealized losses are not reflected in the results of financial operations until they are realized or deemed other than temporary, the fair value of the underlying investment, which does reflect the unrealized loss, is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table sets forth gross unrealized losses for fixed maturities by maturity period, and for equity securities at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations, with or without call or prepayment penalties, or we may have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage backed securities are included in the category representing their ultimate maturity.

| (In millions) | September 30, | | December 31, | |
|---|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | 0.5 | \$ | 0.2 |
| Due after one year through five years | | 13.1 | | 13.9 |
| Due after five years through ten years | | 37.7 | | 33.4 |
| Due after ten years | | 27.2 | | 26.9 |
| Total fixed maturities | | 78.5 | | 74.4 |
| Equity securities | | - | | 0.1 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities | \$ | 78.5 | \$ | 74.5 |

We had fixed maturity securities with a carrying value of \$2.0 million on non-accrual status at September 30, 2006, as compared to \$5.1 million at December 31, 2005. The effect of non-accruals, compared with amounts that would have been recognized in accordance with the original terms of the fixed maturities, was a reduction in net investment income of \$1.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to a reduction of \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. We expect that defaults in the fixed maturities portfolio may continue to negatively affect investment income.

Derivative Instruments

We maintain an overall risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by foreign currency, equity market and interest rate volatility. As such, we enter into foreign currency swap contracts, as well as compound foreign currency/interest rate swap contracts, to hedge foreign currency and interest rate exposure on specific funding agreement liabilities. We also entered into various types of interest rate swap contracts to hedge exposure to interest rate fluctuations on floating rate funding agreement liabilities that were matched with fixed rate securities.

We maintained an economic hedging program involving exchange traded futures contracts to hedge against increased guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) claims that could arise from declines in the equity market below levels at December 3, 2003. In response to our entering into the agreement to sell our variable life insurance and annuity business, we discontinued this program on August 22, 2005. During the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we recognized \$4.2 million in losses and, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized \$0.5 million of losses as a result of this program. These results are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as Income from Operations of Discontinued Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business. The GMDB hedges did not qualify for hedge accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (Statement No. 133).

On August 23, 2005, we implemented a new derivative program designed to economically hedge against fluctuations in the purchase price of the variable life insurance and annuity business. The purchase price was determined at the time of closing and was subject to changes in interest rate, equity market, implied equity market volatility and surrender activity. The derivatives were terminated concurrent with the sale closing on December 30, 2005. The derivatives in this program included exchange traded futures contracts, interest rate swap contracts, and strike price call options. During the quarter ended September 30, 2005 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized \$14.2 million in losses, reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as Loss on Disposal of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business. The hedges did

not qualify for hedge accounting under Statement No. 133.

We had no realized gains or losses on derivatives for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized \$0.1 million of net realized losses, as compared to \$1.2 million of

Table of Contents

net realized gains for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The realized gains in 2005 were primarily due to the termination of derivative instruments used to hedge the embedded gains of certain bonds identified to be liquidated to settle the maturity of a particular long-term funding agreement. In May 2006, a long-term funding agreement and its associated swap contract, with a notional value of \$245.9 million, matured. The remaining long-term funding agreements and their associated swap contracts, with a combined \$32.6 million notional value, will mature in 2008 and 2011. In 2006 and 2005, excluding those derivatives used in the GMDB program, our derivative instruments primarily consisted of swap contracts. Approximately half of our derivative instruments were effective hedges under Statement No. 133.

Income Taxes

We file a consolidated United States federal income tax return that includes THG and its domestic subsidiaries (including non-insurance operations). We segregate the entities included within the consolidated group into either a life insurance or a non-life insurance company subgroup. The consolidation of these subgroups is subject to certain statutory restrictions on the percentage of eligible non-life tax losses that can be applied to offset life company taxable income.

Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was an expense of \$14.8 million during the third quarter of 2006 and resulted in a consolidated effective federal tax rate of 34.2%. The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was a benefit of \$35.4 million during the same period in 2005. This benefit resulted in a consolidated effective federal tax rate of 25.1% of pre-tax losses from continuing operations. The provision in the current quarter resulted primarily from segment income, principally, the property and casualty segments. The prior year tax benefit resulted from the loss from continuing operations due to Hurricane Katrina.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was an expense of \$64.8 million during the first nine months of 2006 and resulted in a consolidated effective federal tax rate of 31.3%. The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was a benefit of \$4.2 million during the same period in 2005. This benefit resulted in a consolidated effective federal tax rate of 34.7% of pre-tax losses from continuing operations. The 2005 benefit reflected the unusually low level of segment income due to Hurricane Katrina and included a \$2.3 million benefit representing a reduction in federal income tax reserves for prior years, resulting from ongoing Internal Revenue Service audits. Provisions for both years reflect deductions for tax exempt interest income and low income housing credits.

Our Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss included our additional minimum pension liability and net unrealized investment losses of \$124.8 million as of September 30, 2006. These unrealized losses resulted in a net deferred tax asset of \$43.7 million. We recorded a valuation allowance related to the unrealized losses associated with our investment portfolio of \$6.4 million, as it is our opinion that it is more likely than not that this asset will not be fully realized. We recorded this valuation allowance as an adjustment to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, in the Shareholders' Equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In addition, the sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. resulted in a tax gain of \$12.1 million. We used this tax gain to offset our capital loss carryforwards and to reduce our valuation allowance by \$4.1 million. We recorded the reduction in the valuation allowance in our Consolidated Statements of Income as an increase in Gain on Sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., a component of Discontinued Operations.

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, our deferred tax valuation allowance was \$167.6 million and \$165.3 million, respectively.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon the consolidated financial statements. These statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following critical accounting estimates are those which we believe affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements. Additional information about our other significant accounting policies and estimates may be found in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**Property & Casualty Insurance Loss Reserves**

We determine the amount of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves (the loss reserves), as discussed in Segment Results Property and Casualty, Overview of Loss Reserve Estimation Process based on an estimation process that is very complex and uses information obtained from both company specific and industry data, as well as general economic information. The estimation process is judgmental, and requires us to continuously monitor and evaluate the life cycle of claims on type-of-business and nature-of-claim bases. Using data obtained from this monitoring and assumptions about emerging trends, our actuaries develop information about the size of ultimate claims based on historical experience and other available market information. The most significant assumptions used in the actuarial estimation process, which vary by line of business, include determining the expected consistency in the frequency and severity of claims incurred but not yet reported to prior years claims, the trend in loss costs, changes in the timing of the reporting of losses from the loss date to the notification date and expected costs to settle unpaid claims. This process assumes that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future events. On a quarterly basis, our actuaries provide to management a point estimate for each significant line of our direct business to summarize their analysis.

In establishing the appropriate loss reserve balances for any period, management carefully considers these actuarial point estimates, which are the principal bases for establishing our reserve balances, along with a qualitative evaluation of business trends, environmental changes, and numerous other factors. In general, such additional factors may include, but are not limited to, improvement or deterioration of the actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past such as changes in the mix of business or the impact of regulatory or litigation developments, the level of volatility within a particular line of business, and the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves. Specific factors considered by management in determining the reserves at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 included the current extent to which changes in the mix of business in our Commercial Lines segment have affected our ultimate loss trends, significant improvement in personal lines frequency and severity trends the industry has experienced over the past couple of years which were unanticipated and remain to some extent unexplained, the likelihood of future adverse development related to significant catastrophe losses experienced late in 2005, the significant growth in our new *Connections Auto* product, bond and inland marine businesses and related growth in a number of states for which we have limited actuarial data to estimate ultimate losses, and the likelihood of adverse development in the workers' compensation line where losses tend to emerge over long periods of time and rising medical costs have continued. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other facts. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, total recorded reserves were 5.4% greater than actuarially indicated point estimates for both periods. We exercise judgment in estimating all loss reserves based upon our knowledge of the property and casualty business, review of the outcome of actuarial studies, historical experience and other facts to record an estimate which reflects our expected ultimate loss and loss adjustment expenses. We believe that adequate provision has been made for loss reserves. However, establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process and there can be no certainty that current established reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. A significant change to the estimated reserves could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position. An increase or decrease in reserve estimates would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in financial results. For example, each one percentage point change in the loss and LAE ratio resulting from a change in reserve estimation is currently projected to have an approximate \$22 million impact on property and casualty segment income, based on 2005 full year premiums.

When trends emerge that we believe affect the future settlement of claims, we adjust our reserves accordingly (see Segment Results Property and Casualty, Management's Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions for further explanation of factors affecting our reserve estimates, our review process and our process for determining changes to our reserve estimates). Reserve adjustments are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as adjustments to losses and loss adjustment expenses. Often, we recognize these adjustments in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are disclosed and discussed separately as prior year reserve development. Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results. As discussed in Segment Results Property and Casualty, Management's Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions, estimated loss and LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$91.3 million and \$53.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which represents 2.86% and 1.49% of loss reserves held, respectively. See also Analysis of Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses Reserve Development in Item 1-Business in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for guidance related to the annual development of our loss and LAE reserves.

The major causes of material uncertainty relating to ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses (risk factors) generally vary for each line of business, as well as for each separately analyzed component of the line of business. In some cases, such risk factors are explicit assumptions of the estimation method and in others, they are implicit. For example, a method may explicitly assume that a certain percentage of claims will close each year, but will implicitly assume that the legal interpretation of

Table of Contents

existing contract language will remain unchanged. Actual results will likely vary from expectations for each of these assumptions, resulting in an ultimate claim liability that is different from that being estimated currently. Some risk factors will affect more than one line of business. Examples include changes in claim department practices, changes in settlement patterns, regulatory and legislative actions, court actions, timeliness of claim reporting, state mix of claimants, and degree of claimant fraud. The extent of the impact of a risk factor will also vary by components within a line of business. Individual risk factors are also subject to interactions with other risk factors within line of business components. Thus, risk factors can have offsetting or compounding effects on required reserves.

Our loss estimate for Hurricane Katrina was developed using an analysis of the claims reported to date and estimated values of properties in the affected areas. Wind-speed data, flood maps and intelligence provided by on-the-ground staff and independent adjusters were used to project anticipated claims and damage projections. Anticipated costs for demand surge (increased costs for construction material and labor due to the increased damage resulting from the hurricane) were also included in the estimate. However, estimating losses following a major catastrophe is an inherently uncertain process, which is made more difficult by the unprecedented nature of this event, including the legal and regulatory uncertainty, difficulty in accessing portions of the affected areas, the complexity of factors contributing to the losses, delays in claim reporting, aggravating circumstances of Hurricane Rita and a slower pace of recovery resulting from the extent of damage sustained in the affected areas. As a result, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not substantially exceed these estimates.

Property & Casualty Reinsurance Recoverables

We share a significant amount of insurance risk of the primary underlying contracts with various insurance entities through the use of reinsurance contracts. As a result, when we experience loss events that are subject to the reinsurance contract, reinsurance recoveries are recorded. The amount of the reinsurance recoverable can vary based on the size of the individual loss or the aggregate amount of all losses in a particular line, book of business or an aggregate amount associated with a particular accident year. The valuation of losses recoverable depends on whether the underlying loss is a reported loss, or an incurred but not reported loss. For reported losses, we value reinsurance recoverables at the time the underlying loss is recognized, in accordance with contract terms. For incurred but not reported losses, we estimate the amount of reinsurance recoverable based on the terms of the reinsurance contracts and historical reinsurance recovery information and apply that information to the gross loss reserve estimates. The most significant assumption we use is the average size of the individual losses for those claims that have occurred but have not yet been recorded by us. The reinsurance recoverable is based on what we believe are reasonable estimates and is disclosed separately on the financial statements. However, the ultimate amount of the reinsurance recoverable is not known until all losses are settled.

Pension Benefit Obligations

Prior to 2005, we provided pension retirement benefits to substantially all of our employees based on a defined benefit cash balance formula. In addition to the cash balance allocation, certain transition group employees who had met specified age and service requirements as of December 31, 1994, were eligible for a grandfathered benefit based primarily on the employees' years of service and compensation during their highest five consecutive plan years of employment. As of January 1, 2005, the defined benefit pension plans were frozen.

We account for our pension plans in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, *Employers' Accounting for Pensions* (Statement No. 87). In order to measure the liabilities and expense associated with these plans, we must make various estimates and key assumptions, including discount rates used to value liabilities, assumed rates of return on plan assets, employee turnover rates and anticipated mortality rates. These estimates and assumptions are reviewed at least annually and are based on our historical experience, as well as current facts and circumstances. In addition, we use outside actuaries to assist in measuring the expenses and liabilities associated with this plan.

The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash flows as a present value on the measurement date. A lower discount rate increases the present value of benefit obligations and increases pension expense. We determine our discount rate utilizing the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve as of December 31, 2005. As of December 31, 2005, based upon our plan assets in relation to this discount curve, we decreased our discount rate to 5.50%, from 5.63% at December 31, 2004.

To determine the expected long-term return on plan assets, we consider the historical mean returns by asset class for passive indexed strategies, as well as current and expected asset allocations and adjust for certain factors that we believe will have an impact on future returns. As of December 31, 2005, the expected rate of return on plan assets was 8.25% compared to 8.50% at December 31, 2004. Increases in actual returns on plan assets will generally reduce our additional minimum pension liability.

Table of Contents

As a result of an increase in the actual return on assets in 2005, as well as demographic participant changes, partially offset by the lower 2005 year-end discount rate, we expect our pre-tax pension expense to decrease from \$21.2 million in 2005 to approximately \$13 million in 2006.

Holding all other assumptions constant, sensitivity to changes in our key assumptions are as follows:

Discount Rate A 25 basis point increase or reduction in discount rate would decrease or increase, respectively, our pension expense in 2006 by approximately \$2.5 million. This 25 basis point increase or reduction in the discount rate would also decrease or increase, respectively, our projected benefit obligation by approximately \$16 million.

Expected Return on Plan Assets A 25 basis point increase or decrease in the expected return on plan assets would decrease or increase our pension expense in 2006 by approximately \$1.0 million.

Statutory Capital of Insurance Subsidiaries

The NAIC prescribes an annual calculation regarding risk based capital (RBC). RBC ratios for regulatory purposes, as described in the glossary, are expressed as a percentage of the capital required to be above the Authorized Control Level (the Regulatory Scale); however, in the insurance industry RBC ratios are widely expressed as a percentage of the Company Action Level. Set forth below are Total Adjusted Capital, the Company Action Level, the Authorized Control Level and RBC ratios for FAFLIC and Hanover Insurance, as of September 30, 2006, expressed both on the Industry Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by the Company Action Level) and Regulatory Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by Authorized Control Level):

| | <i>Total Adjusted</i> | <i>Company Action</i> | <i>Authorized Control</i> | <i>RBC Ratio</i> | <i>RBC Ratio</i> |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| (In millions, except ratios) | <i>Capital</i> | <i>Level</i> | <i>Level</i> | <i>Industry Scale</i> | <i>Regulatory Scale</i> |
| Hanover Insurance (1) | \$ 1,343.9 | \$ 460.3 | \$ 230.1 | 292% | 584% |
| FAFLIC | 201.3 | 40.5 | 20.3 | 497% | 992% |

(1) Hanover Insurance Total Adjusted Capital includes \$677.5 million related to its subsidiary, Citizens.

The total adjusted statutory capital position of Hanover Insurance increased during the first nine months of 2006, from \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2005 to \$1.3 billion, primarily due to improved underwriting results in our property and casualty business. The total adjusted statutory capital position of FAFLIC also improved during 2006, from \$195.2 million at December 31, 2005 to \$201.3 million at September 30, 2006. The increase in statutory surplus primarily resulted from the utilization of our net operating loss carryforwards and other tax attributes, partially offset by losses incurred as a result of an agreement to provide transitional services to Goldman Sachs in connection with the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business (see Life Companies for further information).

The improvement of FAFLIC s RBC ratios reflects lower required risk-based capital primarily due to lower asset and statutory reserve levels as a result of scheduled GIC maturities, coinsurance, and continued business run-off.

Significant Transactions

On August 31, 2006, we sold all of the outstanding shares of Financial Profiles, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Emerging Information Systems Incorporated. We originally acquired Financial Profiles, Inc. in 1998 in connection with our then-ongoing life insurance and annuity operations. We received pre-tax proceeds of \$21.5 million from the transaction and recognized an after-tax gain of \$7.8 million during the third quarter of 2006.

On December 28, 2005, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$200 million. As of May 3, 2006, we completed our share repurchase program, with repurchases of 4.0 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$200 million.

Table of Contents

Rating Agency Actions

Insurance companies are rated by rating agencies to provide both industry participants and insurance consumers information on specific insurance companies. Higher ratings generally indicate the rating agencies' opinion regarding financial stability and a stronger ability to pay claims.

We believe that strong ratings are important factors in marketing our products to our agents and customers, since rating information is broadly disseminated and generally used throughout the industry. Insurance company financial strength ratings are assigned to an insurer based upon factors deemed by the rating agencies to be relevant to policyholders and are not directed toward protection of investors. Such ratings are neither a rating of securities nor a recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security.

In May 2006, Standard & Poor's revised its outlook on our financial strength rating to positive from stable and reaffirmed its BBB+ (good) rating on our property and casualty subsidiaries and BBB- (good) on our life insurance company. In addition, Standard & Poor's revised its outlook on our senior debt to positive from stable and re-affirmed its rating of BB+ (marginal). Also in May 2006, A.M. Best Co. reaffirmed the financial strength ratings of A- (excellent) of our property and casualty subsidiaries, and B+ (Very Good) of our life insurance company. All ratings from A.M. Best were assigned a stable outlook.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash used in operating activities was \$46.4 million during the first nine months of 2006, as compared to net cash provided of \$170.7 million during the same period in 2005. The \$217.1 million increase in cash used by operating activities resulted primarily from an increase in cash outflows for loss and LAE payments related to 2005 catastrophes. We also had an increase in operating expense payments as well as an increase in payments to fund our qualified defined benefit pension plan.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$232.0 million during the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$518.0 million for the same period of 2005. During 2006 and 2005, cash was primarily provided by net sales of fixed maturity securities to fund the maturity of long-term funding agreements.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$495.2 million during the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$614.0 million for the same period of 2005. During 2006, cash was primarily used to fund the maturity of certain long-term funding agreements and to fund our share repurchase program, while during 2005, cash was primarily used to fund the maturity of certain long-term funding agreements.

In 2005, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$200 million. We repurchased 4.0 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$200 million during the first nine months of 2006.

At September 30, 2006, THG, as a holding company, had \$268.6 million of cash and fixed maturities. We believe our holding company assets are sufficient to meet our obligations through the remainder of 2006, which consist primarily of interest on the senior debentures. In addition, in October 2006, the Board of Directors declared an annual dividend of 30 cents per share, to be paid by the holding company in the fourth quarter of this year. Additionally, we expect that it will be necessary for the holding company to pay certain federal income tax liabilities during 2006 or 2007, as well as the indemnification of liabilities related to the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business. However, we currently do not expect that it will be necessary to dividend funds from our insurance subsidiaries in order to fund 2006 holding company obligations.

We expect to continue to generate sufficient positive operating cash to meet all short-term and long-term cash requirements including the funding of our qualified defined benefit pension plan. Based on current law, we were required to and have contributed \$49.0 million through October 2006. We expect to make significant cash contributions to our qualified defined benefit pension plan in future years. As the single employer of THG, the funding of these plans is the responsibility of FAFLIC. This contribution did not affect the statutory surplus of FAFLIC.

Our insurance subsidiaries, including FAFLIC, maintain a high degree of liquidity within their respective investment portfolios in fixed maturity investments and short-term investments. We had no commercial paper borrowings as of September 30, 2006 and we do not anticipate utilizing commercial paper in 2006. Debt ratings continue to adversely affect the cost and availability of credit lines, commercial paper, and other additional debt and equity financing.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

We currently do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have an effect on our financial position, revenues, expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Table of Contents

Contingencies and Regulatory Matters

Contingencies and Certain Regulatory Matters

On July 24, 2002, an action captioned American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, as Trustee f/b/o Emerald Investments Limited Partnership, and Emerald Investments Limited Partnership v. Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company was commenced in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. In 1999, plaintiffs purchased two variable annuity contracts with initial premiums aggregating \$5 million. Plaintiffs, who AFLIAC subsequently identified as engaging in frequent transfers of significant sums between sub-accounts that in our opinion constituted market timing, were subject to restrictions upon such trading that AFLIAC imposed in December 2001. Plaintiffs allege that such restrictions constituted a breach of the terms of the annuity contracts. In December 2003, the court granted partial summary judgment to the plaintiffs, holding that at least certain restrictions imposed on their trading activities violated the terms of the annuity contracts.

On May 19, 2004, plaintiffs filed a Brief Statement of Damages in which, without quantifying their damage claim, they outlined a claim for (i) amounts totaling \$150,000 for surrender charges imposed on the partial surrender by plaintiffs of the annuity contracts, (ii) loss of trading profits they expected over the remaining term of each annuity contract, and (iii) lost trading profits resulting from AFLIAC's alleged refusal to process five specific transfers in 2002 because of trading restrictions imposed on market timers. With respect to the lost profits, plaintiffs claim that pursuant to their trading strategy of transferring money from money market accounts to international equity accounts and back again to money market accounts, they have been able to consistently obtain relatively risk free returns of between 35% to 40% annually. Plaintiffs claim that they would have been able to continue to maintain such returns on the account values of their annuity contracts over the remaining terms of the annuity contracts (which are based in part on the lives of the named annuitants). The aggregate account value of plaintiffs' annuities was approximately \$12.8 million in December 2001. On February 1, 2006, the Court issued a ruling which, as confirmed by the Court at a status conference on April 12, 2006, precludes plaintiffs from claiming any damages accruing beyond July 31, 2004.

We continue to vigorously defend this matter, and regard plaintiffs' claims for lost trading profits as being speculative and, in any case, subject to an obligation to mitigate damages. Further, in our view, these purported lost profits would not have been earned because of various actions taken by the investment management industry and regulators, to deter or eliminate market timing, including the implementation of fair value pricing.

The monetary damages sought by plaintiffs, if awarded, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position. Although AFLIAC was sold to Goldman Sachs on December 30, 2005, we have agreed to indemnify AFLIAC and Goldman Sachs with respect to this litigation. However, in our judgment, the outcome is not expected to be material to our financial position, although it could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period. A trial date for the damage phase of the litigation has been set for December 2006.

We have been named a defendant in various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business, including one other suit which, like the Emerald case described above, challenge the Company's imposition of certain restrictions on trading funds invested in separate accounts. Such matters also include class actions and other litigation in the southeast United States, particularly Louisiana and Mississippi, relating to the interpretation of certain insurance laws and the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners' and commercial property policies of losses due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping and business interruption, as well as factual disputes as to the underlying causes of certain losses. In addition, we are involved, from time to time, in investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies, which currently include investigations relating to market timing in sub-accounts of variable annuity and life products, and inquiries relating to tax reporting calculations to policyholders and others in connection with distributions under a subset of variable annuity contracts in this business. The potential outcome of any such action, or other legal proceedings in which we have been named a defendant, and our ultimate liability, if any, from such action or legal proceedings, is difficult to predict at this time. In our opinion, based on the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate resolutions of such proceedings will not have a material effect on our financial position, although they could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

Other Regulatory Matters

In September 2005, the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance directed the Massachusetts Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers to redistribute the Exclusive Representative Producers (ERPs) amongst the state's insurance carriers, effective during 2006. On January 27, 2006, the Commissioner of Insurance approved the Redistribution Plan for ERPs and the Plan was implemented on March 1, 2006 for all new business and May 1, 2006 for all renewal business. We expect this redistribution will at least temporarily lead to a better equalization of the loss burden from the ERPs and is not likely to adversely affect our results of operations or financial position. In addition, recently, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court unanimously affirmed the authority of the Commissioner to promulgate an assigned risk plan for the residual market of personal automobile insurance and the Commissioner of Insurance has issued a Notice of Hearing to consider implementation of an assigned risk plan for 2007. The proposed assigned risk plan would distribute the residual market based on individual policyholder assignments rather than the distribution of ERPs.

Table of Contents

In Massachusetts in 2006, personal automobile rate decreases of 8.7% were mandated by the Division of Insurance. We currently expect that the Division of Insurance will mandate a further rate decrease in 2007, which may exceed the decrease in 2006, and may be significant.

Legislation was recently enacted in Louisiana to extend the time period for Louisiana homeowners who have policy coverage claims arising out of hurricanes Katrina and Rita to take legal action against their insurance companies from the pre-existing 12 month period to 24 months from the date of loss. The Louisiana Supreme Court has determined that the legislation is constitutional. Legislation was also recently adopted which increased an insurer's potential exposure if it is determined to have acted in bad faith in the claim adjustment process. Additionally, the State of Louisiana continues to impose regulatory restrictions on our ability to reduce exposure to areas affected by hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

Risks and Forward-Looking Statements

Information regarding risk factors and forward-looking information appears in Part II Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in Part II Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. This Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read and interpreted in light of such factors.

Table of Contents

Glossary of Selected Insurance Terms

Annuity contracts An annuity contract is an arrangement whereby an annuitant is guaranteed to receive a series of stipulated amounts commencing either immediately or at some future date. Annuity contracts can be issued to individuals or to groups.

Benefit payments Payments made to an insured or their beneficiary in accordance with the terms of an insurance policy.

Casualty insurance Insurance that is primarily concerned with the losses caused by injuries to third persons and their property (other than the policyholder) and the related legal liability of the insured for such losses.

Catastrophe A single event that causes our property and casualty companies both a significant number of claims (175 or more) and \$500,000 or more in insured property damage losses.

Cede; cedent; ceding company When a party reinsures its liability with another, it cedes business and is referred to as the cedent or ceding company.

Closed Block Consists of certain individual life insurance participating policies, individual deferred annuity contracts and supplementary contracts not involving life contingencies which were in force as of FAFLIC's demutualization in 1995. The purpose of this block of business is to protect the policy dividend expectations of such FAFLIC dividend paying policies and contracts. The Closed Block will be in effect until none of the Closed Block policies are in force, unless an earlier date is agreed to by the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance.

Combined ratio, GAAP This ratio is the GAAP equivalent of the statutory ratio that is widely used as a benchmark for determining an insurer's underwriting performance. A ratio below 100% generally indicates profitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. A combined ratio over 100% generally indicates unprofitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio, the loss adjustment expense ratio, and the underwriting expense ratio.

Current year underwriting results A measure of the estimated earnings impact of current premiums offset by estimated loss experience and expenses for the current accident year. This measure includes the estimated increase in revenue associated with higher prices (premiums), including those caused by price inflation and changes in exposure, partially offset by higher volume driven expenses and inflation of loss costs. Volume driven expenses include policy acquisition costs such as commissions paid to property and casualty agents which are typically based on a percentage of premium dollars.

Dividends received deduction A corporation is entitled to a special tax deduction from gross income for dividends received from a domestic corporation that is subject to income tax.

Earned premium The portion of a premium that is recognized as income, or earned, based on the expired portion of the policy period, that is, the period for which loss coverage has actually been provided. For example, after six months, \$50 of a \$100 annual premium is considered earned premium. The remaining \$50 of annual premium is unearned premium. Net earned premium is earned premium net of reinsurance.

Excess of loss reinsurance Reinsurance that indemnifies the insured against all or a specific portion of losses under reinsured policies in excess of a specified dollar amount or retention.

Exposure A measure of the rating units or premium basis of a risk; for example, an exposure of a number of automobiles.

Frequency The number of claims occurring during a given coverage period.

Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefit (GMDB) A contract feature which provides annuity contractholders with a guarantee that the benefit received at death will be no less than a prescribed minimum amount. This minimum amount is based on either the net deposits paid into the contract, the net deposits accumulated at a specified rate, the highest historical account value on a contract anniversary, or more typically, the greatest of these values.

Inland Marine Insurance - A type of coverage developed for shipments that do not involve ocean transport. It covers articles in transit by all forms of land and air transportation as well as bridges, tunnels and other means of transportation and communication. Floater policies that cover expensive personal items such as fine art and jewelry are included in this category.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Loss adjustment expenses (LAE) Expenses incurred in the adjusting, recording, and settlement of claims. These expenses include both internal company expenses and outside services. Examples of LAE include claims adjustment services, adjuster salaries and fringe benefits, legal fees and court costs, investigation fees and claims processing fees.

Loss adjustment expense ratio, GAAP The ratio of loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums for a given period.

Loss costs An amount of money paid for a property and casualty claim.

Loss ratio, GAAP The ratio of losses to premiums earned for a given period.

Table of Contents

Loss reserves Liabilities established by insurers to reflect the estimated cost of claims payments and the related expenses that the insurer will ultimately be required to pay in respect of insurance it has written. Reserves are established for losses and for LAE.

Multivariate product An insurance product, the pricing for which is based upon the magnitude of, and correlation between, multiple rating factors. In practical application, the term refers to the foundational analytics and methods applied to the product construct.

Peril A cause of loss.

Property insurance Insurance that provides coverage for tangible property in the event of loss, damage or loss of use.

Rate The pricing factor upon which the policyholder's premium is based.

Rate increase (commercial lines) Represents the average change in premium on renewal policies caused by the estimated net effect of base rate changes, discretionary pricing, inflation or changes in policy level exposure.

Rate increase (personal lines) The estimated cumulative premium effect of approved rate actions during the prior policy period applied to a policy's renewal premium.

Reinstatement premium A pro-rata reinsurance premium that may be charged for reinstating the amount of reinsurance coverage reduced as the result of a reinsurance loss payment under a catastrophe cover. For example, in 2005 this premium was required to ensure that our property catastrophe occurrence treaty, which was exhausted by Hurricane Katrina, was available again in the event of another large catastrophe loss in 2005.

Reinsurance An arrangement in which an insurance company, the reinsurer, agrees to indemnify another insurance or reinsurance company, the ceding company, against all or a portion of the insurance or reinsurance risks underwritten by the ceding company under one or more policies. Reinsurance can provide a ceding company with several benefits, including a reduction in net liability on risks and catastrophe protection from large or multiple losses. Reinsurance does not legally discharge the primary insurer from its liability with respect to its obligations to the insured.

Risk based capital (RBC) A method of measuring the minimum amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in consideration of its size and risk profile. The RBC ratio for regulatory purposes is calculated as total adjusted capital divided by required risk based capital. Total adjusted capital for property and casualty companies is capital and surplus. Total adjusted capital for life insurance companies is defined as capital and surplus, plus asset valuation reserve, plus 50% of dividends apportioned for payment. The Company Action Level is the first level at which regulatory involvement is specified based upon the level of capital. Regulators may take action for reasons other than triggering various RBC action levels. The various action levels are summarized as follows:

The Company Action Level, which equals 200% of the Authorized Control Level, requires a company to prepare and submit a RBC plan to the commissioner of the state of domicile. A RBC plan proposes actions which a company may take in order to bring statutory capital above the Company Action Level. After review, the commissioner will notify the company if the plan is satisfactory.

The Regulatory Action Level, which equals 150% of the Authorized Control Level, requires the insurer to submit to the commissioner of the state of domicile a RBC plan, or if applicable, a revised RBC plan. After examination or analysis, the commissioner will issue an order specifying corrective actions to be taken.

The Authorized Control Level authorizes the commissioner of the state of domicile to take whatever regulatory actions considered necessary to protect the best interest of the policyholders and creditors of the insurer.

The Mandatory Control Level, which equals 70% of the Authorized Control Level, authorizes the commissioner of the state of domicile to take actions necessary to place the company under regulatory control (i.e., rehabilitation or liquidation).

Life and health companies whose Total Adjusted Capital is between 200% and 250% of the Authorized Control Level are subject to a trend test. The trend test calculates the greater of the decrease in the margin between the current year and the prior year and the average of the past three years.

Separate accounts An investment account that is maintained separately from an insurer's general investment portfolio and that allows the insurer to manage the funds placed in variable life insurance policies and variable annuity policies. Policyholders direct the investment of policy funds among the different types of separate accounts available from the insurer.

Severity A monetary increase in the loss costs associated with the same or similar type of event or coverage.

Statutory accounting principles Recording transactions and preparing financial statements in accordance with the rules and procedures prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities including the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), which in

general reflect a liquidating, rather than going concern, concept of accounting.

Table of Contents

Surrender or withdrawal Surrenders of life insurance policies and annuity contracts for their entire net cash surrender values and withdrawals of a portion of such values.

Underwriting The process of selecting risks for insurance and determining in what amounts and on what terms the insurance company will accept risks.

Underwriting expenses Expenses incurred in connection with the acquisition, pricing, and administration of a policy.

Underwriting expense ratio, GAAP This ratio reflects underwriting expenses to earned premiums.

Unearned premiums The portion of a premium representing the unexpired amount of the contract term as of a certain date.

Variable annuity An annuity which includes a provision for benefit payments to vary according to the investment experience of the separate account in which the amounts paid to provide for this annuity are allocated.

Written premium The premium assessed for the entire coverage period of a property and casualty policy without regard to how much of the premium has been earned. See also earned premium. Net written premium is written premium net of reinsurance.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our market risks, and the ways we manage them, are summarized in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as of December 31, 2005, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. There have been no material changes in the first nine months of 2006 to these risks or our management of them.

ITEM 4

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures Evaluation

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on our controls evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, subject to the limitations noted above, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information was made known timely to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting, as required by Rule 13a-15(d) of the Exchange Act, to determine whether any changes occurred during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2006 there were no such changes that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

On July 24, 2002, an action captioned American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, as Trustee f/b/o Emerald Investments Limited Partnership, and Emerald Investments Limited Partnership v. Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company was commenced in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. In 1999, plaintiffs purchased two variable annuity contracts with initial premiums aggregating \$5 million. Plaintiffs, who AFLIAC subsequently identified as engaging in frequent transfers of significant sums between sub-accounts that in our opinion constituted market timing, were subject to restrictions upon such trading that AFLIAC imposed in December 2001. Plaintiffs allege that such restrictions constituted a breach of the terms of the annuity contracts. In December 2003, the court granted partial summary judgment to the plaintiffs, holding that at least certain restrictions imposed on their trading activities violated the terms of the annuity contracts.

On May 19, 2004, plaintiffs filed a Brief Statement of Damages in which, without quantifying their damage claim, they outlined a claim for (i) amounts totaling \$150,000 for surrender charges imposed on the partial surrender by plaintiffs of the annuity contracts, (ii) loss of trading profits they expected over the remaining term of each annuity contract, and (iii) lost trading profits resulting from AFLIAC's alleged refusal to process five specific transfers in 2002 because of trading restrictions imposed on market timers. With respect to the lost profits, plaintiffs claim that pursuant to their trading strategy of transferring money from money market accounts to international equity accounts and back again to money market accounts, they have been able to consistently obtain relatively risk free returns of between 35% to 40% annually. Plaintiffs claim that they would have been able to continue to maintain such returns on the account values of their annuity contracts over the remaining terms of the annuity contracts (which are based in part on the lives of the named annuitants). The aggregate account value of plaintiffs' annuities was approximately \$12.8 million in December 2001. On February 1, 2006, the Court issued a ruling which, as confirmed by the Court at a status conference on April 12, 2006, precludes plaintiffs from claiming any damages accruing beyond July 31, 2004.

We continue to vigorously defend this matter, and regard plaintiffs' claims for lost trading profits as being speculative and, in any case, subject to an obligation to mitigate damages. Further, in our view, these purported lost profits would not have been earned because of various actions taken by the investment management industry and regulators, to deter or eliminate market timing, including the implementation of fair value pricing.

The monetary damages sought by plaintiffs, if awarded, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position. Although AFLIAC was sold to Goldman Sachs on December 30, 2005, we have agreed to indemnify AFLIAC and Goldman Sachs with respect to this litigation. However, in our judgment, the outcome is not expected to be material to our financial position, although it could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period. A trial date for the damage phase of the litigation has been set for December 2006.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS**Risks and Forward-Looking Statements**

We wish to caution readers that the following important factors, among others, in some cases have affected and in the future could affect our actual results and could cause our actual results for 2006 and beyond to differ materially from historical results and from those expressed in any of our forward-looking statements. When used in Management's Discussion and Analysis, the words believes, anticipates, expects, projection, outlook, should, plan, guidance and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. See Important Factors Regarding Forward-Looking Statements filed as Exhibit 99.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2005.

The following important factors, among others, in some cases have affected and in the future could affect our actual results, and cause actual results to differ materially from historical or projected results. While any of these factors could affect our business as a whole, we have grouped certain factors by the business segment to which we believe they are most likely to apply. Risk factors which have changed from those previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K have been marked in bold. The risks identified below reflect additional risks, or provide additional examples of risks, described in Important Factors Regarding Forward-Looking Statements filed as Exhibit 99.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2005. In order to better understand the risks we face, the following description and updates should be read in conjunction with the disclosure in such Exhibit 99.2.

Table of Contents**Risks Relating to Our Property and Casualty Insurance Business**

We generate most of our total revenues and earnings through our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries. The results of companies in the property and casualty insurance industry historically have been subject to significant fluctuations and uncertainties. Our profitability could be affected significantly by (i) adverse loss development or loss adjustment expense for events we have insured in either the current or in prior years, including risks indirectly insured through discontinued pools which are included in the Other Property and Casualty segment (our retained Life Companies business also includes discontinued pools which present similar risks); (ii) **an inability to retain profitable policies in force and attract profitable policies in our Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments, particularly in light of an increasingly competitive product pricing environment and the adoption by competitors of strategies to increase agency appointments and commissions;** (iii) increases in costs, particularly those occurring after the time our products are priced and including construction, automobile, and medical and rehabilitation costs; (iv) restrictions on insurance underwriting; (v) industry-wide change resulting from investigations and inquiries relating to compensation arrangements with insurance brokers and agents; and (vi) disruptions caused by the introduction of new personal lines products, such as our new multivariate auto product, and related technology changes and new personal and commercial lines operating models. Additionally, our profitability could be affected by adverse catastrophe experience, severe weather or other unanticipated significant losses. **Further, certain new catastrophe models assume an increased frequency and severity of certain weather events, and financial strength rating agencies are placing increased emphasis on capital and reinsurance adequacy for insurers with certain geographic concentrations of risk. This factor, along with the increased cost of reinsurance, may result in insurers seeking to diversify their geographic exposure which could result in increased regulatory restrictions in those markets where insurers seek to exit or reduce coverage, as well as an increase in competitive pressures in non-coastal markets such as the Midwest. We have significant concentration of exposures in certain areas, including portions of the Northeast and Southeast and derive a material amount of profits from operations in the Midwest.**

Specifically, underwriting results and segment income could be adversely affected by further changes in our net loss and LAE estimates related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita. The risks and uncertainties in our business that may affect such estimates and future performance, including the difficulties in arriving at such estimates, should be considered. Estimating losses following any major catastrophe is an inherently uncertain process, which is made more difficult by the unprecedented nature of this event. **Factors that add to the complexity in this event include the legal and regulatory uncertainty (including recent legislative changes in Louisiana to the statute of limitations for reporting certain claims and to sanctions for bad faith claims handling), difficulty in accessing portions of the affected areas, the complexity of factors contributing to the losses, delays in claim reporting, the exacerbating circumstances of Hurricane Rita and a slower pace of recovery resulting from the extent of damage sustained in the affected areas due in part to the availability and cost of resources to effect repairs.** As a result, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not be substantially different from current estimates. In addition, there can be no assurance that, in light of the devastation in the areas affected by Hurricane Katrina, our ability to obtain and retain policyholders will not be adversely affected.

Hurricane Katrina has also contributed to uncertainty regarding the reinsurance marketplace, which also experienced significant losses related to this catastrophe. We anticipate that the cost of and ability to obtain reinsurance coverages similar to our current programs will be adversely affected by these factors.

Additionally, future operating results as compared to prior years and forward-looking information regarding Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segment information on written and earned premiums, policies in force, underwriting results and segment income currently are expected to be adversely affected by competitive and regulatory pressures affecting rates. In addition, underwriting results and segment income could be adversely affected by changes in the current favorable frequency and loss trends generally being experienced industry-wide. **Results in personal lines business may also be adversely affected by pricing decreases and market disruptions (including any caused by the current economic environment in Michigan, recent proposals in Michigan to reduce rates or the Michigan Commissioner of Insurance's proposed ban on the use of credit scores), by unfavorable loss trends that may result in New Jersey due to that state's supreme court ruling relating to the no-fault tort threshold, and by disruptions caused by judicial and potential legislative intervention related to rules proposed by the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance to reform the distribution of losses from the Massachusetts personal automobile residual market, as well as the 2006 reduction in personal automobile rates and expected significant rate reductions for 2007.**

Also, our personal lines business production and earnings may be unfavorably affected by the introduction of our new multivariate auto product should we experience adverse selection because of our pricing, operational difficulties or implementation impediments with independent agents, **or the inability to grow new markets after the introduction of new products or the appointment of new agents. In addition, there are increased underwriting risks associated with premium growth and the introduction of new products or programs in both our personal and commercial lines businesses, as well as the appointment of new agencies and the expansion into new geographical areas.**

Additionally, during the past few years, we have made and our current plans are to continue to make, significant investments in our personal lines and commercial lines businesses to, among other things, strengthen our product

Table of Contents

offerings and service capabilities, improve technology and our operating models, build expertise in our personnel, and expand our distribution capabilities, with the ultimate goal of achieving significant and sustained profitable growth and obtaining favorable returns on these investments. In order for these investment strategies to be profitable, we must achieve both profitable premium growth and the successful implementation of our operating models so that our expenses do not increase proportionately with growth. The ability to grow profitably throughout the property and casualty cycle is crucial to our current strategy. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in profitably growing our business, or that we will not alter our current strategy due to changes in our markets or an inability to successfully maintain acceptable margins on new business or for other reasons, in which case written and earned premium, property and casualty segment income and net book value could be adversely affected.

Risks Relating to Our Life Insurance Business

Our businesses may be affected by (i) lower appreciation or decline in value of our managed investments or the investment markets in general; (ii) adverse trends in mortality and morbidity; (iii) possible claims relating to sales practices for insurance and investment products or our historical administration of such products; (iv) losses due to foreign currency fluctuations; (v) adverse loss and expense development related to our discontinued assumed accident and health reinsurance pool business or failures of our reinsurers to timely pay their obligations (especially in light of the fact that historically these pools sometimes involved multiple layers of overlapping reinsurers, or so called spirals); and (vi) **adverse actions related to legal and regulatory actions described under Contingencies and Regulatory Matters or which are subject to the FIN 45 reserve described under Life Companies Discontinued Operations Loss on Sale of AFLIAC Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business**.

In particular, we have provided forward-looking information relating to the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business and its effect on our results of operations and financial position. There are certain factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated herein. These include (i) the ability to timely achieve overhead and other expense savings; (ii) the ability of THG and FAFLIC to perform the transitional services in connection with the transactions without incurring unexpected expenses and the completion of the transitional services within the projected time so that we can realize projected cost savings; (iii) **the impact of contingent liabilities, including litigation and regulatory matters, assumed or retained by THG in connection with the transaction and the impact of other indemnification obligations owed from THG to Goldman Sachs (including with respect to existing and potential litigation and regulatory actions and the remediation of certain processing errors in connection with tax reporting); (iv) the ability to outsource the administration of the retained FAFLIC businesses at projected rates and within the expected time frame; and (v) future statutory operating results of FAFLIC, which will affect its projected statutory adjusted capital and ability to obtain future regulatory approval for dividends.**

Risks Relating to Our Business Generally

Other market fluctuations and general economic, market and political conditions also may negatively affect our business and profitability. These conditions include (i) **heightened competition, including the recent intensification of price competition and increased marketing efforts by our competitors, the entry of new competitors and the introduction of new products by new and existing competitors, or as the result of consolidation within the financial services industry and the entry of additional financial institutions into the insurance industry;** (ii) **adverse state and federal legislation or regulation, including decreases in rates, the inability to obtain further rate increases, limitations on premium levels, increases in minimum capital and reserve requirements, benefit mandates, limitations on the ability to manage care and utilization, requirements to write certain classes of business, limitations on the use of credit scoring, such as the proposal to ban the use of credit scores with respect to personal lines in Michigan or arising out of the pending report on credit scores to be issued by the U.S Fair Trade Commission or interpretations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act such as are currently under review by the United States Supreme Court (see, for example, Safeco Insurance Company of America v. Charles Burr, et al. No. 06-84), restrictions on the use of certain compensation arrangements with agents and brokers, as well as continued compliance with state and federal regulations;** (iii) changes in interest rates causing a reduction of investment income or in the market value of interest rate sensitive investments; (iv) **failure to obtain new customers, retain existing customers or reductions in policies in force by existing customers, whether as the result of recent competition or otherwise;** (v) higher service, administrative or general expense due to the need for additional advertising, marketing, administrative or management information systems expenditures; (vi) the inability to attract, or the loss or retirement of key executives or other key employees, particularly in the Life Companies segment through completion of the anticipated transition to Goldman Sachs; (vii) changes in our liquidity due to changes in asset and liability matching, including the effect of defaults of debt securities; (viii) adverse changes in the ratings obtained from independent rating agencies, such as Moody's, Standard and Poor's and A.M. Best (for example, written premiums in our Commercial Lines segment were adversely affected during the time that A.M. Best had Hanover Insurance and Citizens on credit watch shortly after hurricanes Katrina and Rita and before we provided our preliminary estimate of projected losses); (ix) failure of a reinsurer of our policies to pay its liabilities under reinsurance or coinsurance contracts or adverse effects on the cost and availability of reinsurance; (x) changes in the mix

Table of Contents

of assets comprising our investment portfolios and changes in general market conditions that may cause the market value of our investment portfolio to fluctuate; (xi) losses resulting from our participation in certain reinsurance pools, including pools in which we no longer participate but may have unquantified potential liabilities relating to asbestos and other matters, or from fronting arrangements where the reinsurer does not meet all of its reinsurance obligations; (xii) defaults or impairments of debt securities held by us; **(xiii) higher employee benefit costs due to changes in market values of plan assets, interest rates, employee compensation levels, regulatory requirements or judicial interpretations of benefits;** (xiv) the effects of our restructuring actions, including any resulting from our review of operational matters related to our business, including a review of our markets, products, organization, financial capabilities, agency management, regulatory environment, ancillary businesses and service processes; (xv) errors or omissions in connection with the administration of any of our products; and (xvi) interruptions in our ability to conduct business as a result of terrorist actions, catastrophes or other significant events affecting infrastructure, and delays in recovery of our operating capabilities.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) | Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| July 1 31, 2006 | - | - | - | - |
| August 1 31, 2006 | - | - | - | - |
| September 1 30, 2006 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | \$ - | - | \$ - |

ITEM 6 - EXHIBITS

| | |
|---------|---|
| EX 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 78m, 78o(d), as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| EX 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 78m, 78o(d), as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| EX 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| EX 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc.

Registrant

Dated November 9, 2006

/s/ Frederick H. Eppinger, Jr.
Frederick H. Eppinger, Jr.
President, Chief Executive Officer
and Director

Dated November 9, 2006

/s/ Edward J. Parry, III
Edward J. Parry, III
Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President,

Principal Accounting Officer and Director