AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES INC Form 10-O August 11, 2008 **Table of Contents** 

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

Х QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE **ACT OF 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

or

#### •• TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. to

For the transition period from

Commission file number 0-27275

# Akamai Technologies, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

# Edgar Filing: AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

8 Cambridge Center

Cambridge, MA 02142

(617) 444-3000

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number,

Including Area Code, of Registrant s Principal Executive Offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of accelerated filer , large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated Filer "

Non-accelerated Filer "Smaller reporting company " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant s common stock as of August 7, 2008: 169,118,648 shares.

04-3432319 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

# AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

# FORM 10-Q

# For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I. FINA	NCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	5
	Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	37
Item 5.	Other Information	37
PART II. OTH	ER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	38
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	38
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	45
Item 6.	Exhibits	45
SIGNATURE:	$\mathbf{S}$	46
EXHIBIT INC	EX	47

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Financial Statements

# AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# (UNAUDITED)

	June 30, 2008		De	cember 31, 2007
	(In thousands,			ls,
	except share data)			ata)
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1	65,417	\$	145,078
Marketable securities (including restricted securities of \$3,460 at June 30, 2008 and \$511 at December 31, 2007)	1	26,280		401,091
Accounts receivable, net of reserves of \$8,143 at June 30, 2008 and \$10,391 at December 31, 2007	1	25,765		118,944
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		30,608		23,782
Deferred income tax assets		6,147		6,147
Total current assets	4	54,217		695,042
Property and equipment, net	1	58,067		134,546
Marketable securities (including restricted securities of \$153 at June 30, 2008 and \$3,102 at December 31,				
2007)	4	53,945		87,339
Goodwill	3	61,645		361,637
Other intangible assets, net		80,419		87,500
Deferred income tax assets, net	2	41,621		285,463
Other assets		5,503		4,520
Total assets	\$ 1,7	55,417	\$	1,656,047
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	25,852	\$	18,540
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		41,434		56,233
Deferred revenue		13,993		12,995
Accrued restructuring		63		607
Total current liabilities		81,342		88,375
Other liabilities		9,878		7,812
Deferred revenue		1,745		1,453
1% convertible senior notes	1	99,855		199,855
Total liabilities	2	92,820		297,495
Commitments, contingencies and guarantees (Note 15)				
Stockholders equity:				

# Edgar Filing: AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; 700,000 shares designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock; none outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 700,000,000 shares authorized; 168,912,020 and 166,212,638 shares issued		
and outstanding at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively	1,689	1,662
Additional paid-in capital	4,495,675	4,446,703
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net	(13,146)	3,053
Accumulated deficit	(3,021,621)	(3,092,866)
Total stockholders equity	1,462,597	1,358,552
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,755,417	\$ 1,656,047

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

#### (UNAUDITED)

		For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007		For the Six Ended Jun 2008		
	(	In thous	ands, excep	ot per share amo	unts)	
Revenues	\$ 194,00	4 \$	152,654	\$ 381,023	\$ 29	91,928
Costs and operating expenses:						
Cost of revenues	53,68	3	39,759	105,263	-	74,239
Research and development	9,51	)	11,663	18,823	2	22,267
Sales and marketing	41,18	3	37,739	77,132	7	74,488
General and administrative	33,80	3	29,779	67,069	4	57,257
Amortization of other intangible assets	3,49	1	2,932	7,081		5,744
Restructuring benefit			(178)			(178)
Total costs and operating expenses	141,68	)	121,694	275,368	23	33,817
Income from operations	52,31	5	30,960	105,655	4	58,111
Interest income	5,49	)	6,015	13,531	]	11,518
Interest expense	(71	))	(772)	(1,420)		(1,543)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt						(1)
Other (expense) income, net	(97	))	(572)	(494)		(776)
Gain on investments, net	6	4		272		
Income before provision for income taxes	56,18	)	35,631	117,544	(	67,309
Provision for income taxes	21,85	5	13,985	46,299	2	26,484
Net income	\$ 34,33	4 \$	21,646	\$ 71,245	\$ 4	40,825
Net income per weighted average share:						
Basic	\$ 0.2	1 \$	0.13	\$ 0.43	\$	0.25
Diluted	\$ 0.1	Э \$	0.12	\$ 0.38	\$	0.23
Shares used in per share calculations:						
Basic	167,41	7	164,798	166,688	16	63,184
Diluted	187,64	1	185,601	187,493	18	84,648

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

# (UNAUDITED)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007 (In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:	¢ 71.045	¢ 40.9 <b>2</b> 5
Net income	\$ 71,245	\$ 40,825
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	46.059	22 427
Depreciation and amortization	46,058	32,427
Stock-based compensation expense	28,248	34,038
Provision for deferred income taxes, net	43,952	25,138
Amortization of deferred financing costs	420	420
Provision for doubtful accounts	736	1,109
Non-cash portion of loss on early extinguishment of debt		1
Non-cash portion of restructuring benefit	(10,000)	(178)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(10,282)	(15,973)
(Gains) losses on investments and loss on disposal of property and equipment, net	(303)	40
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:	(5.500)	(11, 105)
Accounts receivable	(5,708)	(11,497)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(8,815)	(5,433)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(8,107)	(15,103)
Deferred revenue	1,099	3,114
Accrued restructuring	(543)	(1,496)
Other non-current assets and liabilities	(197)	1,216
Net cash provided by operating activities	157,803	88,648
Cash flows from investing activities:	(45.042)	(52,121)
Purchases of property and equipment	(45,943)	(53,121)
Capitalization of internal-use software development costs	(12,579)	(8,114)
Purchases of short- and long-term marketable securities	(358,459)	(206,110)
Proceeds from sales of short- and long-term marketable securities	154,033	75,329
Proceeds from maturities of short- and long-term marketable securities	95,782	80,754
Cash of acquired businesses, net of cash paid		7,875
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	74	
Net cash used in investing activities	(167,092)	(103,387)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	10,282	15,973
Payments on capital leases		(23)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock under stock option and employee stock purchase plans	18,132	17,751
Net cash provided by financing activities	28,414	33,701
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1,214	605
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	20,339	19,567
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	145,078	80,595
	110,070	00,070

# Edgar Filing: AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 165,417	\$ 100,162
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 999	\$ 1,003
Cash paid for income taxes	7,211	1,314
Non-cash financing and investing activities:		
Capitalization of stock-based compensation as internal-use software, net of impairments	\$ 3,591	\$ 2,811
Common stock and vested stock options issued in connection with acquisition of businesses		171,957
Common stock issued upon conversion of 1% convertible senior notes		40
Common stock returned upon settlement of escrow claims related to prior business acquisitions	(952)	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.		

#### AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Nature of Business and Basis of Presentation

Akamai Technologies, Inc. ( Akamai or the Company ) provides services for accelerating and improving the delivery of content and applications over the Internet. Akamai s globally distributed platform comprises thousands of servers in hundreds of networks in approximately 70 countries. The Company was incorporated in Delaware in 1998 and is headquartered in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Akamai currently operates in one industry segment: providing services for accelerating and improving delivery of content and applications over the Internet.

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information. These financial statements include the accounts of Akamai and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in the accompanying financial statements.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the Company s annual audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been condensed or omitted in these interim financial statements. Accordingly, the consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in Akamai s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2008.

The results of operations presented in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for future periods. In the opinion of management, these unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments and accruals, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments that are necessary for a fair statement of the results of all interim periods reported herein.

#### 2. Business Acquisition

#### **Red Swoosh**

On April 12, 2007, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common and preferred stock of Red Swoosh Inc. (Red Swoosh), including vested stock options, in exchange for approximately 350,000 shares of Akamai common stock. The purchase of Red Swoosh was intended to augment Akamai s distributed Internet presence by combining client-side file management and distribution software with the Company s existing network of edge servers. The aggregate purchase price was \$18.7 million, which consisted of \$18.4 million in shares of Akamai common stock, \$4,000 in fair value of Akamai stock options issued, and transaction costs of \$0.2 million, which primarily consisted of fees for legal services. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 99-12, Determination of the Measurement Date for the Market Price of Acquirer Securities Issued in a Purchase Business Combination (EITF No. 99-12), the value of the common stock issued in the transaction was calculated using the average closing price of the Company s common stock for the five-day period beginning two days before and ending two days after the date on which all material aspects of the transaction were agreed to by all parties and the acquisition was announced.

The acquisition of Red Swoosh was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The results of operations of the acquired business since April 12, 2007, the date of acquisition, are included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company since the acquisition date. The total purchase consideration was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of the date of acquisition, as

determined by management. The excess of the purchase price over the amounts allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed was recorded as goodwill. The value of the goodwill from this acquisition can be attributed to a number of business factors including, but not limited to, cost synergies expected to be realized and a trained technical workforce. In accordance with current accounting standards, goodwill associated with the Red Swoosh acquisition will not be amortized and will be tested for impairment at least annually as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142) (see Note 10).

The following table presents the allocation of the purchase price for Red Swoosh (in thousands):

Total consideration:	
Value of common stock issued	\$ 18,449
Fair value of stock options issued	4
Transaction costs	237
Total purchase consideration	\$ 18,690
Allocation of the purchase consideration:	
Current assets, including cash and cash equivalents of \$2,677	\$ 3,236
Long-term assets	14
Deferred tax assets	1,355
Identifiable intangible assets	3,731
Goodwill	13,188
Deferred tax liabilities	(1,458)
Other liabilities assumed	(1,376)

\$18,690

In determining the purchase price allocation, the Company considered, among other factors, its intention to use the acquired assets and the estimated future demand for the acquired technology. The fair value of identifiable intangible assets was based upon both the cost avoidance and opportunity cost savings approaches. The rate used to discount the expected future net cash flows from the intangible assets to their present values was based upon a weighted average cost of capital of 20%, with a tax rate of 40%. The discount rate was determined after consideration of market rates of return on debt and equity capital, the weighted average return on invested capital and the risk associated with achieving forecasted sales and cost savings related to the technology and assets acquired.

The Company valued the acquired completed technologies at \$3.7 million, with a weighted average useful life of 4.4 years. The intangible assets are being amortized based upon the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible assets are being utilized, which in general reflects the cash flow savings from such assets. None of the goodwill or identifiable intangible assets resulting from the Red Swoosh acquisition are deductible for income tax purposes.

The consolidated financial statements include operating results from the date of acquisition. Pro forma results of operations for this acquisition have not been presented because the effects of the acquisition were not material to the Company s financial results.

#### 3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 allows companies to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The fair value option may be elected on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. If the fair value option is elected for an instrument, SFAS No. 159 specifies that unrealized gains and losses for that instrument shall be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 became effective on January 1, 2008. The Company adopted SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008 and elected not to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial position and results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141R). This statement establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer in a business combination (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquired company, (ii) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase and (iii) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of SFAS No. 141R on its financial position and results of operations.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 142-3 Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets (FSP 142-3). FSP 142-3 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years; accordingly, the Company will adopt FSP 142-3 in fiscal year 2009. FSP 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (FAS 142). FSP 142-3 is intended to improve the consistency between the useful life of an intangible asset

determined under FAS 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141R (revised 2007) Business Combinations and other United States generally accepted accounting principles. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP 142-3 on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

#### 4. Investments and Fair Value

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157), which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires enhanced disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 clarifies that fair value is an exchange price, representing the amount that would be received in an orderly transaction between market participants, upon the sale of an asset or a payment to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for such asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS No. 157-2, Partial Deferral of the Effective Date of Statement No. 157 (FSP No. 157-2). FSP No. 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities that are not measured or disclosed on a recurring basis until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. To date, therefore, the Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 only with respect to financial assets and liabilities. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements for financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities.

Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under SFAS No. 157 are required to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. SFAS No. 157 describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs, other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities, including certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques.

Unrealized gains and unrealized temporary losses on investments classified as available for sale are included within accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net. Upon realization, those amounts are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net to gain (loss) on investments, net. Realized gains and losses and other than temporary impairments are reflected in the income statement as gain (loss) on investments, net.

The following table summarizes the composition of the Company s investments at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

					Classified on	Balance Sheet
		Crease	Unrealized		Short-term	Long-term
	Amortized	Gross	Unrealized	Aggregate	Marketable	Marketable
As of June 30, 2008	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value	Securities	Securities
Certificates of deposit	\$ 604	\$	\$	\$ 604	\$ 604	\$
Commercial paper	51,323	12	(119)	51,216	51,216	
U.S. corporate debt securities	139,323	260	(922)	138,661	30,224	108,437
U.S. government agency obligations	110,653	93	(390)	110,356	44,236	66,120
Auction rate securities	295,400		(16,012)	279,388		279,388
	\$ 597,303	\$ 365	\$ (17,443)	\$ 580,225	\$ 126,280	\$ 453,945

					Classified on	Balance Sheet
		<b>C</b>	Unrealized		Short-term	Long-term
	Amortized	Gross	Unrealized	Aggregate	Marketable	Marketable
As of December 31, 2007	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value	Securities	Securities
Certificates of deposit	\$ 835	\$	\$	\$ 835	\$ 835	\$
Commercial paper	47,669	27	(9)	47,687	47,687	
U.S. corporate debt securities	119,961	305	(423)	119,843	66,190	53,653
U.S. government agency obligations	39,998	118	(1)	40,115	6,429	33,686
Auction rate securities	279,950			279,950	279,950	
	\$ 488,413	\$ 450	\$ (433)	\$ 488,430	\$ 401,091	\$ 87,339

The Company evaluates investments with unrealized losses to determine if the losses are other than temporary. The Company has determined that the gross unrealized losses at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 are temporary. In making this determination, the Company considered the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuers, the magnitude of the losses compared to the investments cost, the length of time the investments have been in an unrealized loss position and the Company s ability to hold the investments to maturity.

The following table details the fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy of the Company s financial assets, including investments and cash equivalents, at June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at Repo			
	Total F	Total Fair Value at		Date Using	
	Jun	e 30, 2008	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	\$	110,199	\$ 110,199	\$	\$
Certificates of deposit		604	604		
Commercial paper		64,350	64,350		
U.S. government agency obligations		110,356	110,356		
U.S. corporate debt securities		138,661	138,661		
Auction rate securities		279,388			279,388
	\$	703,558	\$ 424,170	\$	\$ 279,388

The following table reflects the activity for the Company s major classes of assets measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs for the six months ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Auction Rate Securities
Balance as of December 31, 2007	\$
Transfers in from Level 2	296,850
Unrealized losses included in accumulated other comprehensive loss	(15,990)
Balance as of March 31, 2008	280,860
Sales of securities	(1,450)
Change in unrealized losses included in accumulated other comprehensive loss	(22)
Balance as of June 30, 2008	\$ 279,388

As of June 30, 2008, the Company had grouped money market funds, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, U.S. government agency obligations and U.S. corporate debt securities using a Level 1 valuation because market prices are readily available in active markets. At June 30, 2008, the fair value of the Company s assets grouped using a Level 3 valuation consisted of auction rate securities (ARSs) that are AAA-rated bonds, most of which are collateralized by federally guaranteed student loans. ARSs are long-term variable rate bonds tied to short-term interest rates that may reset through a Dutch auction process that typically occurs every seven to 35 days.

Historically, the carrying value (par value) of the ARSs approximated fair market value due to the resetting of variable interest rates. Beginning in late February 2008 and continuing throughout the period ended June 30, 2008, however, the auctions for ARSs then held by the Company were unsuccessful. As a result, the interest rates on ARSs reset to the maximum rate per the applicable investment offering statements. The Company will not be able to liquidate affected ARSs until a future auction on these investments is successful, a buyer is found outside the auction process, the securities are called or refinanced by the issuer, or the securities mature. Due to these liquidity issues, the Company performed a discounted cash flow analysis to determine the estimated fair value of these investments. The discounted cash flow analysis performed by the Company considered the timing of expected future successful auctions, the impact of extended periods of maximum interest rates, collateralization of underlying security investments and the credit worthiness of the issuer. The discounted cash flow analysis as of June 30, 2008 assumes a weighted average discount rate of 5.5% and expected term of five years. The discount rate was determined using a proxy based upon the current market rates for similar debt offerings within the AAA-rated ARS market. The expected term was based on management s estimate of future liquidity. As a result, as of June 30, 2008, the Company has recorded a cumulative unrealized loss of \$16.0 million related to the temporary impairment of the ARSs, which was included in accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders equity on the unaudited consolidated balance sheet.

The Company s investment in ARSs is primarily comprised of student loans that are supported by the federal government as part of the Federal Family Education Loan Program through the United States Department of Education and, to a lesser extent, obligations of municipalities rated single-A or higher. The Company believes the quality of the collateral underlying these securities will enable it to recover the Company s principal balance.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company held \$280.0 million of ARSs and classified these as short-term investments. As of June 30, 2008, the Company classified its ARSs as long-term marketable securities on its consolidated balance sheet due to management s estimate of its inability to liquidate these investments within the following twelve months.

In addition, as of June 30, 2008, \$3.6 million of the Company s marketable securities were classified as restricted. These securities primarily represent collateral for irrevocable letters of credit in favor of third-party beneficiaries, mostly related to facility leases; \$0.1 million of these securities are classified as long-term and \$3.5 million are classified as short-term on the unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008. The restrictions on these marketable securities lapse as the Company fulfills its obligations or as such obligations expire under the terms of the letters of credit. These restrictions are expected to lapse at various times through May 2011.

#### 5. Accounts Receivable

Net accounts receivable consists of the following (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2008	De	As of cember 31, 2007
Trade accounts receivable	\$ 118,165	\$	113,357
Unbilled accounts	15,743		15,978
Gross accounts receivable	133,908		129,335
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(4,840)		(6,878)
Reserve for cash-basis customers	(3,303)		(3,513)
Total accounts receivable reserves	(8,143)		(10,391)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 125,765	\$	118,944

The Company s accounts receivable balance includes unbilled amounts that represent revenues recorded for customers that are typically billed monthly in arrears. The Company records reserves against its accounts receivable balance. These reserves consist of allowances for doubtful accounts and reserves for cash-basis customers. Increases and decreases in the allowance for doubtful accounts are included as a component of general and administrative expenses. The Company s reserve for cash-basis customers increases as services are provided to customers where collection is no longer assured. Increases to the reserve for cash-basis customers are recorded as reductions of revenues. The reserve decreases and revenue is recognized when and if cash payments are received.

Estimates are used in determining these reserves and are based upon the Company s review of outstanding balances on a customer-specific, account-by-account basis. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based upon a review of customer receivables from prior sales with collection issues where the Company no longer believes that the customer has the ability to pay for services previously provided. The Company also performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers. If such an evaluation indicates that payment is no longer reasonably assured for services provided, any future services provided to that customer will result in the creation of a cash-basis reserve until the Company receives consistent payments. The Company does not have any off-balance sheet credit exposure related to its customers.

#### 6. Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2008	Dec	As of ember 31, 2007
Payroll and other related benefits	\$ 17,010	\$	27,381
Bandwidth and co-location	13,580		12,968
Property, use and other taxes	5,296		10,182
Legal professional fees	1,488		1,781
Other	4,060		3,921
Total	\$ 41,434	\$	56,233

#### 7. Net Income per Share

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the applicable period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of potential common stock. Potential common stock consists of shares issuable pursuant to stock options, deferred stock units, restricted stock units (RSUs) and convertible notes, excluding those options or securities that have an exercise price greater than the average market price for the Company's common stock during the applicable period.

The following table sets forth the components used in the computation of basic and diluted net income per common share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007		For the Six Ended Ju 2008		 		
Numerator:							
Net income	\$ 34	4,334	\$	21,646	\$	71,245	\$ 40,825
Adjustment for interest expense on assumed conversion of 1% convertible senior							
notes, net of tax		434		710		860	1,420
Numerator for diluted net income	\$ 3	4,768	\$	22,356	\$	72,105	\$ 42,245
Denominator:							
Denominator for basic net income per common share	16	7,417	1	164,798	1	66,688	163,184
Effect of dilutive securities:							
Stock options		4,871		7,372		5,198	7,808
Effect of escrow contingencies		810				810	
Restricted stock units and deferred stock units		1,616		489		1,870	713
Assumed conversion of 1% convertible senior notes	1	2,927		12,942		12,927	12,943
Denominator for diluted net income per common share	18	7,641	1	185,601	1	187,493	184,648
Basic net income per common share	\$	0.21	\$	0.13	\$	0.43	\$ 0.25
Diluted net income per common share	\$	0.19	\$	0.12	\$	0.38	\$ 0.23

The Company included 1.1 million shares of common stock issuable under the terms of performance-based RSUs in the computation of diluted net income per share for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, because the performance conditions of these awards had been met as of June 30, 2008. The Company excluded 1.6 million and 0.2 million shares of common stock from the calculation of diluted earnings per

# Edgar Filing: AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

share for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and 1.6 million and 0.6 million shares of common stock for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, from the calculation of diluted

earnings per share because the exercise prices of stock options issuable in respect of such numbers of shares were greater than the average market price of the Company s common stock during the respective periods. Additionally, 2.6 million and 3.6 million shares issuable in respect of performance-based RSUs were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 because the performance conditions had not been met as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The calculation of assumed proceeds used to determine the diluted weighted average shares outstanding under the treasury stock method in the periods presented was adjusted by tax windfalls and shortfalls associated with all of the Company s outstanding stock awards. Such windfalls and shortfalls are computed by comparing the tax deductible amount of outstanding stock awards to their grant date fair values and multiplying the results by the applicable statutory tax rate. A positive result creates a windfall, which increases the assumed proceeds, and a negative result creates a shortfall, which reduces the assumed proceeds.

#### 8. Stockholders Equity

#### Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes the components of total stock-based compensation expense included in the Company s condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

		For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007		x Months June 30, 2007
Stock-based compensation expense by type of award:				
Stock options	\$ 5,468	\$ 8,032	\$11,640	\$ 14,714
Deferred stock units	1,885	925	1,885	925
Restricted stock units	10,667	8,725	16,454	19,351
Shares issued under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan	897	953	1,860	1,859
Amounts capitalized as internal-use software	(1,920)	(1,427)	(3,591)	(2,811)
-				
Total stock-based compensation before income taxes	16,997	17,208	28,248	34,038
Less: Income tax benefit	(5,133)	(4,501)	(8,550)	(9,737)
Total stock-based compensation, net of taxes	\$ 11,864	\$ 12,707	\$ 19,698	\$ 24,301
•				
Effect of stock-based compensation on income by line item:				
Cost of revenues	\$ 599	\$ 847	\$ 1,165	\$ 1,586
Research and development expense	2,662	3,944	5,110	7,920
Sales and marketing expense	7,104	6,471	12,053	13,298
General and administrative expense	6,632	5,946	9,920	11,234
Provision for income taxes	(5,133)	(4,501)	(8,550)	(9,737)
Total stock-based compensation, net of taxes	\$ 11,864	\$ 12,707	\$ 19,698	\$ 24,301

Akamai estimates the fair value of stock option awards using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company s stock price and implied volatility from traded options in its stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate the expected life of options granted within the valuation model. The risk-free interest rate for periods commensurate with the expected life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield rate in effect at the time of grant. The fair values of each option grant were estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Mon	the nths Ended e 30,	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Expected life (years)	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0
Risk-free interest rate (%)	3.1	4.7	2.7	4.7
Expected volatility (%)	47.8	58.6	50.3	59.8

Dividend yield (%)

For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the weighted average fair value of Akamai s stock option awards granted in those periods was \$14.38 per share and \$24.70 per share, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the weighted average fair value of Akamai s stock option awards granted in those periods was \$13.92 per share and \$26.67 per share, respectively.

The fair values of awards granted under the Company s Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Mo	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		the hs Ended e 30,
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Expected life (years)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Risk-free interest rate (%)	2.7	4.9	2.9	5.0
Expected volatility (%)	53.2	47.4	52.1	65.9
Dividend yield (%)				

For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the weighted average fair value of ESPP awards granted in those periods was \$5.89 per share and \$6.58 per share, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the weighted average fair value of ESPP awards granted in those periods was \$5.74 per share and \$6.77 per share, respectively.

As of June 30, 2008, the total pre-tax unrecognized compensation cost for outstanding stock options, RSUs and stock issued under the ESPP was \$109.1 million. This non-cash expense is estimated to be recognized through 2012 over a weighted average period of 1.3 years. Nearly all of the Company s employees have received stock-based awards through the Company s equity compensation programs.

#### Stock Options

Options to purchase shares of the Company s common stock are granted at the discretion of the Board of Directors, a committee thereof or, subject to defined limitations, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company to whom such authority has been delegated. Options granted under the Company s 1998 Stock Incentive Plan generally have a contractual life of ten years, while options granted under the Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan have a contractual life of seven years. Options typically vest as to 25% of the shares one year from date of

grant, with the remaining 75% of the shares vesting in twelve equal quarterly installments thereafter so that all options are vested in full at the end of four years. The exercise price of the option is the Company s closing stock price on the effective date of grant.

The following tables summarize the stock option activity under all of the Company s stock incentive plans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands, except exercise prices):

		Weighted Average
	Shares	Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	12,034	\$ 15.83
Granted	458	31.95
Exercised	(466)	9.01
Forfeited and expired	(28)	29.12
Outstanding at March 31, 2008	11,998	16.68
Granted	218	34.79
Exercised	(1,653)	4.97
Forfeited and expired	(132)	36.02
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	10,431	18.67
Exercisable at June 30, 2008	7,678	13.41

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	13,247	\$ 12.33
Granted (including those for business acquisition)	902	32.13
Exercised	(857)	7.81
Forfeited and expired	(33)	18.64
Outstanding at March 31, 2007	13,259	13.80
Granted (including those for business acquisition)	310	49.10
Exercised	(703)	9.28
Forfeited and expired	(44)	30.24
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	12,822	14.85
Exercisable at June 30, 2007	7,719	8.80

The total pre-tax intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$47.9 million and \$27.5 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the total pre-tax intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$58.4 million and \$66.8 million, respectively. Cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options were \$8.2 million and \$6.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively; and \$12.4 million and \$13.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### **Deferred Stock Units**

The Company has granted deferred stock units (DSUs) to non-employee members of its Board of Directors and to the Company's Executive Chairman. Each DSU represents the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock upon vesting. The holder may elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of the vested shares of stock represented by the DSU for a period of at least one year but not more than ten years from the grant date. The DSUs typically vest with respect to 50% of the shares upon the first anniversary of the grant date with the remaining 50% of the shares vesting in equal installments of 12.5% each quarter thereafter so that all DSUs are vested in full at the end of two years.

The following tables summarize the DSU activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands, except grant date fair values):

	CI.	Grant	ted Average Date Fair
	Shares		Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	196	\$	15.03
Vested and distributed	(1)		31.15
Outstanding at March 31, 2008	195		14.98
Granted	47		39.86
Vested and distributed	(2)		19.85
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	240		19.84

	Shares	Gran	ted Average t Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	198	\$	12.55
Vested and distributed	(18)		17.22
Outstanding at March 31, 2007	180		12.01
Granted	22		42.30
Vested and distributed	(5)		21.89
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	197		14.91

The grant date fair value of each DSU is calculated based upon the Company s closing stock price on the date of grant. The total fair value of DSUs that was expensed during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$1.9 million and \$925,000, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, DSUs representing 58,227 shares of common stock were unvested, with a weighted average pre-tax intrinsic value of approximately \$2.0 million and a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 1.7 years. These units are expected to vest through May 2010. All DSUs vest upon fulfilling service conditions or, under certain circumstances, upon a director s departure from the Board.

#### **Restricted Stock Units**

The following table summarizes the different types of RSUs granted by the Company during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	Three Mor	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		the is Ended 30,
	2008	2007	2008	2007
RSUs with service-based vesting conditions		86	1,319	614
Performance-based RSUs			898	1,365
Total		86	2,217	1,979

Each RSU represents the right to receive one share of the Company s common stock upon vesting. The fair value of these RSUs was calculated based upon the Company s closing stock price on the date of grant, and the stock-based compensation expense is being recognized over the vesting period. RSUs with service-based vesting provisions that were granted in 2006 and 2007 vest in either (i) three equal annual installments

# Table of Contents

# Edgar Filing: AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

over the three-year period commencing on the grant date so that all such RSUs are vested in full at the end of three years or (ii) in quarterly installments of 6.25% following the grant date so that all such RSUs are vested in full at the end of four years. For RSUs with service-based vesting provisions that were granted in 2008, one third of the RSUs will vest at the first anniversary of the grant date and the remaining unvested RSUs will vest in quarterly installments of 8.375% thereafter so that all RSUs are vested in full at the end of three years.

During the first quarters of each of 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company granted performance-based RSUs. These performance-based RSUs have a three-year cliff vest and will only vest to the extent that the Company exceeds specified cumulative revenue and earnings per share targets over a period of three consecutive fiscal years commencing with the year in which each RSU was granted. For RSUs granted in 2006 and 2007, the maximum number of performance-based RSUs that may vest is equal to 300% of the number of service-based RSUs granted on the same date; such maximum vesting would only occur if the Company meets or exceeds 110% of both its cumulative revenue and earnings per share targets for the three designated fiscal years. For the RSUs issued in 2006 and 2007, if the Company s cumulative revenue and/or earnings per share results for the applicable years is between 100% and 110% of the targets, the number of performance-based RSUs that would vest would be equal to a number between zero and the maximum number described above. No performance-based RSUs will vest if the Company fails to exceed the applicable targets.

For most performance-based RSUs granted in 2008, the maximum number of performance-based RSUs that may vest is equal to 50% of the number of the service-based RSUs granted on the same date; such maximum vesting would only occur if the Company meets or exceeds 105% of both its cumulative revenue and earnings per share targets for the three designated fiscal years. No performance-based RSUs will vest if the Company fails to exceed the applicable targets. If the Company s cumulative revenue and/or earnings per share results for the applicable years is between 100% and 105% of the targets, the number of performance-based RSUs that would vest would be equal to a number between zero and the maximum number described above.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, management measured compensation expense for these performance-based RSUs based upon a review of the Company s expected achievement of future cumulative revenue and earnings per share performance. Such compensation cost is being recognized over the three-year performance period for each series of grants. Management will continue to review periodically the Company s expected performance and adjust the compensation cost, if needed, at such time.

The following table summarizes the RSU activity during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands, except grant date fair values):

	Units	Ğra	ted Average ant Date ir Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	4,595	\$	36.67
Granted	2,217		32.05
Vested	(395)		35.56
Forfeited	(16)		38.38
Outstanding at March 31, 2008	6,401		35.23
Vested	(28)		54.07
Forfeited	(170)		37.66
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	6,203		35.07

	Units	Ğr	ted Average ant Date ir Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	3,140	\$	25.44
Granted	1,892		52.74
Vested	(263)		25.40
Forfeited	(52)		25.57
Outstanding at March 31, 2007	4,717		32.59
Granted	86		53.58
Vested	(8)		38.56
Forfeited	(31)		45.61

Outstanding at June 30, 2007	4 764	36.69
Outstanding at suite 50, 2007	1,701	50.07

As of June 30, 2008, RSUs representing 6.2 million shares of common stock were outstanding and unvested, with an aggregate pre-tax intrinsic value of \$215.8 million and a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 1.6 years. These RSUs are expected to vest through April 2011.

#### 9. Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the calculation of comprehensive income and its components (in thousands):

For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
2008	2007	2008	2007
\$ 34,334	\$21,646	\$ 71,245	\$ 40,825
(497)	55	921	290
(1,382)	(447)	(17,120)	(132)
731	154	6,436	(62)
(1,148)	(238)	(9,763)	96
\$ 33,186	\$ 21,408	\$ 61,482	\$40,921
	Three Mon June 2008 \$ 34,334 (497) (1,382) 731 (1,148)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007   2008 2007   \$ 34,334 \$ 21,646   (497) 55   (1,382) (447)   731 154   (1,148) (238)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Six Month June 2008   2008 2007   \$ 34,334 \$ 21,646   \$ 71,245   (497) 55   (1,382) (447)   731 154   6,436   (1,148) (238)

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net consisted of (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2008	Dece	As of ember 31, 2007
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 3,957	\$	3,036
Net unrealized (loss) gain on investments	(17,103)		17
Total accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net	\$ (13,146)	\$	3,053

#### 10. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company recorded goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of business acquisitions during 2000, 2005, 2006 and 2007. The Company also acquired license rights from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1999. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company did not acquire additional goodwill or intangible assets.

The Company reviews goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment annually on December 31 of each year or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may exceed their fair value. The Company concluded that it had one reporting unit and assigned the entire balance of goodwill to this reporting unit as of December 31, 2007 for purposes of performing an impairment test. The fair value of the reporting unit was determined using the Company s market capitalization as of December 31, 2007. The fair value on December 31, 2007 exceeded the net assets of the reporting unit, including goodwill. The carrying value of goodwill will next be tested for impairment at December 31, 2008, unless events or changes in circumstances suggest a significant reduction in value prior thereto.

Other intangible assets that are subject to amortization consist of the following (in thousands):

		June 30	, 2008	
	Gross	Gross		Net
	Carrying Amount	Accum Amorti		Carrying Amount
Completed technology	\$ 25,831	\$ (	3,982)	\$ 21,849
Customer relationships	84,400	(2	6,451)	57,949
Non-compete agreements	1,600	(	1,326)	274
Trademarks	500		(175)	325
Acquired license rights	490		(468)	22
Total	\$ 112,821	\$ (3	2,402)	\$ 80,419

		December 31, 2007		
	Gross Carrying		Net Carrying	
	Amount	Amort	ization	Amount
Completed technology	\$ 25,831	\$	(2,631)	\$ 23,200
Customer relationships	84,400	(2	21,029)	63,371
Non-compete agreements	1,600		(1,108)	492
Trademarks	500		(109)	391
Acquired license rights	490		(444)	46
Total	\$ 112,821	\$ (2	25,321)	\$ 87,500

Aggregate expense related to amortization of other intangible assets for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$3.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, aggregate expense related to amortization of other intangible assets was \$7.1 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. Based on the Company s other intangible assets as of June 30, 2008, aggregate expense related to amortization of other intangible assets is expected to be \$6.3 million for the remainder of 2008, and \$13.6 million, \$12.9 million, \$12.3 million and \$11.5 million for fiscal years 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively.

#### 11. Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that subject the Company to credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and accounts receivable. The Company maintains the majority of its cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities balances principally with domestic financial institutions that the Company believes are of high credit standing.

At June 30, 2008, the Company held ARSs, with an estimated fair value of \$279.4 million, that have experienced failed auctions, which have prevented the Company from liquidating those investments. As a result, the Company has classified these investments as long-term marketable securities on its consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008. Based on its ability to access its cash and short-term investments and its expected cash flows, the Company does not anticipate the current lack of liquidity with respect to these ARSs to have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations during 2008. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had recorded a cumulative unrealized loss of \$16.0 million related to the temporary impairment of the ARSs, which was included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on its consolidated balance sheet.

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable are limited to certain customers to which the Company makes substantial sales. The Company s customer base consists of a large number of geographically dispersed customers diversified across numerous industries. To reduce risk, the Company routinely assesses the financial strength of its customers. Based on such assessments, the Company believes that its accounts receivable credit risk exposure is limited. No customer accounted for 10% or more of accounts receivable as of June 30, 2008 or as of December 31, 2007. The Company believes that, at June 30, 2008, concentration of credit risk related to accounts receivable was not significant.

#### 12.1% Convertible Senior Notes

During 2007, the Company issued 9,379 shares of common stock in connection with the conversion of \$145,000 in aggregate principal amount of its 1% convertible senior notes due December 15, 2033. As of June 30, 2008, the carrying amount and fair value of the Company s 1% convertible senior notes were \$199.9 million and \$216.5 million, respectively. The initial, and current, conversion price of the 1% convertible senior notes is \$15.45 per share (equivalent to 64.7249 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 1% convertible senior notes). The conversion price is subject to adjustment in certain events. The Company may redeem the 1% convertible senior notes on or after December 15, 2010 at the Company s option at 100% of the principal amount together with accrued and unpaid interest. Conversely, holders of the 1% convertible senior notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of such notes at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest on certain specified dates beginning on December 15, 2010. In the event of a change of control of the Company, the holders may require Akamai to repurchase all or a portion of such 1% convertible senior notes at a repurchase price of 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Interest on the 1% convertible senior notes began to accrue as of the issue date and is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year. The 1% convertible senior notes are senior unsecured obligations and are the same rank as all existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness of Akamai. The 1% convertible senior notes rank senior to all of the Company s subordinated indebtedness. Deferred financing costs of \$5.9 million, including the initial purchaser s discount and other offering expenses, for the 1% convertible senior notes are being amortized over the first seven years of the term of these notes to reflect the put and call rights discussed above. Amortization of deferred financing costs of the 1% convertible senior notes was \$210,000 for each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. For each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, amortization of deferred financing costs of the 1% convertible senior notes was \$420,000. Using the effective interest method, the Company records the amortization of deferred financing costs as interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

#### 13. Segment Information

Akamai s chief decision-maker, as defined under SFAS No. 131, Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, (SFAS No. 131) is the Chief Executive Officer and the executive management team. As of June 30, 2008, Akamai operated in one industry segment: providing services for accelerating and improving the delivery of content and applications over the Internet. The Company is not organized by market and is managed and operated as one business. A single management team that reports to the Chief Executive Officer comprehensively manages the entire business. The Company does not operate any material separate lines of business or separate business entities with respect to its services. Accordingly, the Company does not accumulate discrete financial information with respect to separate product lines and does not have separately reportable segments as defined by SFAS No. 131.

The Company deploys its servers into networks worldwide. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had \$127.2 million and \$30.9 million of property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, located in the United States and foreign locations, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had \$107.9 million and \$26.6 million of property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, located in the United States and foreign locations, respectively. Akamai sells its services through a direct sales force located both in the United States and certain foreign locations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, approximately 26% and 25% of revenues, respectively, was derived from the Company s operations outside the United States, including 19% and 18%, respectively, from Europe during such periods. For each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, 23% of revenues were derived from the Company s operations outside the United for 10% or more of revenues derived outside the United States during these periods. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, no customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenues.



#### 14. Income Taxes

The Company s effective income tax rate, including discrete items, was 39.4% for each of the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. The effective income tax rate is based upon the estimated income for the year, the estimated composition of the income in different jurisdictions and adjustments, if any, in the applicable quarterly periods for the potential tax consequences, benefits, resolution of tax audits or other tax contingencies. The discrete items include the tax effect of disqualifying dispositions of incentive stock options and shares purchased under the ESPP. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the effective income tax rate varied from the federal statutory tax rate mainly due to the effects of accounting for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, and state tax expense.

#### 15. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

#### **Operating Lease Commitments**

The Company leases its facilities under non-cancelable operating leases. These operating leases expire at various dates through December 2019 and generally require the payment of real estate taxes, insurance, maintenance and operating costs. The expected minimum aggregate future obligations under non-cancelable leases as of June 30, 2008, are as follows (in thousands):

	perating Leases
Remaining 2008	\$ 7,932
2009	16,763
2010	19,162
2011	15,772
2012	14,402
Thereafter	92,534
Total	\$ 166,565

#### Purchase Commitments

The Company has long-term purchase commitments for bandwidth usage and co-location services with various network and Internet service providers. For the remainder of 2008 and for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010, the minimum commitments pursuant to these contracts in effect as of June 30, 2008, are \$30.2 million, \$9.9 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had entered into purchase orders with various vendors for aggregate purchase commitments of \$13.0 million, which are expected to be paid over the next twelve months.

#### Litigation

Between July 2, 2001 and November 7, 2001, purported class action lawsuits seeking monetary damages were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against us as well as against the underwriters of our October 28, 1999 initial public offering of common stock. The complaints were filed allegedly on behalf of persons who purchased our common stock during different time periods, all beginning on October 28, 1999 and ending on various dates. The complaints are similar and allege violations of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Exchange Act primarily based on the allegation that the underwriters received undisclosed compensation in connection with our initial public offering. On April 19, 2002, a single consolidated amended complaint was filed, reiterating in one pleading the allegations contained in the previously filed separate actions. The consolidated amended complaint defines the alleged class period as October 28, 1999 through December 6, 2000. A Special Litigation Committee of our Board of Directors authorized management to negotiate a settlement of the pending claims substantially consistent with a Memorandum of Understanding that was

negotiated among class plaintiffs, all issuer defendants and their insurers. The parties negotiated a settlement that was subject to approval by the Court. On February 15, 2005, the Court issued an Opinion and Order preliminarily approving the settlement, provided that the defendants and plaintiffs agree to a modification narrowing the scope of the bar order set forth in the original settlement agreement. The parties agreed to a modification narrowing the scope of the bar order, and on August 31, 2005, the Court issued an order preliminarily approving the settlement. On December 5, 2006, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit overturned the District Court scertification of the class of plaintiffs who are pursuing the claims that would be settled in the settlement against the underwriter defendants. Thereafter, the District Court ordered a stay of all proceedings in all of the lawsuits pending the outcome of plaintiffs rehearing petition, but clarified that the plaintiffs may seek to certify a more limited class in the District Court. On June 25, 2007, the District Court signed an order terminating the settlement. There were no material developments in these proceedings during the quarter ended June 30, 2008. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the claims made in the complaint, and we intend to contest the lawsuit vigorously. An adverse resolution of this action could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations in the period in which the lawsuit is resolved. We are not presently able to estimate potential losses, if any, related to this lawsuit.

In addition, on or about October 3, 2007, a purported Akamai shareholder filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, against the underwriters involved in our 1999 initial public offering of common stock, alleging violations of Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act. The complaint alleges that the combined number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by the lead underwriters and certain unnamed officers, directors, and principal shareholder, exceeded ten percent of our outstanding common stock from the date of our initial public offering on October 29, 1999, through at least October 28, 2000. The complaint further alleges that those entities and individuals were thus subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) and the short-swing trading prohibition of Section 16(b) and failed to comply with those provisions. The complaint seeks to recover from the lead underwriters any short-swing profits obtained by them in violation of Section 16(b). Akamai was named as a nominal defendant in the action, but has no liability for the asserted claims. We do not expect the results of this action to have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

The Company is party to various other litigation matters that management considers routine and incidental to its business. Management does not expect the results of any of these routine actions to have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations or financial condition.

#### Guarantees

The Company has identified guarantees in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others' an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. FIN 45 elaborates on the existing disclosure requirements for most guarantees, including loan guarantees such as standby letters of credit. FIN 45 also clarifies that at the time an entity issues a guarantee, that entity must recognize an initial liability for the fair value, or market value, of the obligation it assumes under the guarantee and must disclose that information in its interim and annual financial statements. The Company evaluates losses for guarantees under SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, as Interpreted by FIN 45. The Company considers such factors as the degree of probability that the Company would be required to satisfy the liability associated with the guarantee and the ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss. To date, the Company has not encountered material costs as a result of such obligations and has not accrued any liabilities related to such obligations in its financial statements. The fair value of the Company's guarantees issued or modified during the six months ended June 30, 2008 was determined to be immaterial.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, particularly Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth below, and notes to the Company s unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and are based on the beliefs and assumptions of our management as of the date hereof based on information currently available to our management. Use of words such as believes, expects, anticipates, intends, plans, estimates, should, forecasts, if, continues, goal, likely or similar expressions indicates a forward-looking statement. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make. See Risk Factors elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of certain risks associated with our business. We disclaim any obligation to update forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

We primarily derive income from the sale of services to customers executing contracts with terms of one year or longer, which we refer to as recurring revenue contracts or long-term contracts. These contracts generally commit the customer to a minimum monthly, annual or multi-year level of usage and specify the rate at which the customer must pay for actual usage above the committed minimum. We believe that having a consistent and predictable base level of income is important to our financial success. Accordingly, to be successful, we must maintain our base of recurring revenue contracts by eliminating or reducing customer cancellations or terminations and build on that base by adding new customers and increasing the number of services, features and functionalities that our existing customers purchase. At the same time, we must ensure that our expenses do not increase faster than, or at the same rate as, our revenues. Accomplishing these goals requires that we compete effectively in the marketplace on the basis of price, quality and the attractiveness of our services and technology.

#### **Overview of Financial Results**

The following sets forth, as a percentage of revenues, consolidated statements of operations data, for the periods indicated:

	Three Month	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		e Ended 0,
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues	27.7	26.0	27.6	25.4
Research and development expense	4.9	7.6	4.9	7.6
Sales and marketing expense	21.2	24.7	20.2	25.5
General and administrative expense	17.4	19.5	17.7	19.6
Amortization of other intangible assets	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0
Restructuring benefit		(0.1)		(0.1)
Total cost and operating expenses	73.0	79.6	72.3	80.0
Income from operations	27.0	20.4	27.7	20.0
Interest income	2.8	3.9	3.6	3.9
Interest expense	(0.3)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.5)
Other (expense) income, net	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.1)	(0.3)
Gain on investments, net			0.1	
Income before provision for income taxes	29.0	23.4	30.9	23.1
Provision for income taxes	11.3	9.2	12.2	9.1
Net income	17.7%	14.2%	18.7%	14.0%

We were profitable for the fiscal year 2007 and for the six months ended June 30, 2008; however, we cannot guarantee continued profitability or profitability for any period in the future at the levels we have recently experienced or at all. We have observed the following trends and events that are likely to have an impact on our financial condition and results of operations in the foreseeable future:

During each quarter of 2007 and for the first two quarters of 2008, the dollar volume of new recurring revenue contracts that we booked exceeded the dollar volume of the contracts we lost through cancellations, terminations and non-payment. A continuation of this trend could lead to increased revenues; however, any such increased revenues could be offset if lower traffic reduces the revenues we earn on a non-committed basis.

During the first two quarters of 2008, unit prices offered to some new and existing customers declined. The decrease was attributable, in part, to volume discounts negotiated by customers with large revenue commitments to us. Additionally, increased competition from new entrants into the market that are willing to use low unit prices as a method of differentiation contributed to these price declines. If we continue to experience decreases in unit prices for new and existing customers, our revenues and/or operating profit percentage could decrease.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, the rate of revenue growth attributable to some of our customers was less robust than we experienced in prior quarters. Some of this slower growth was attributable, we believe, to a reduction by some customers in their investments in online technology initiatives due to current economic conditions. If such conditions, and other factors affecting the sales of our services, persist or grow worse, we would experience slower rates of revenue growth in the future.

During each of the first two quarters of 2008, no customer accounted for 10% or more of our total revenues. We expect that customer concentration levels will continue to decline compared to those in prior years if our customer base continues to grow.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, revenues derived from customers outside the United States accounted for 26% of our total revenues. We expect revenues from such customers as a percentage of our total revenues to be between 24% and 27% for fiscal 2008.

During the first two quarters of 2008, we continued to reduce our network bandwidth costs per unit by entering into new supplier contracts with lower pricing and amending existing contracts to take advantage of price reductions offered by our suppliers. Additionally, we continue to invest in internal-use software development to improve the performance and efficiency of our network. Despite these investments, due to increased traffic delivered over our network, our total bandwidth costs have increased during recent periods. We believe that our overall bandwidth costs will continue to increase as a result of expected higher traffic levels, but we anticipate continued reductions in bandwidth costs per unit. If we do not experience lower per unit bandwidth pricing and we are unsuccessful at effectively routing traffic over our network through lower cost providers, network bandwidth costs could increase in excess of our expectations for the remainder of 2008.

Depreciation expense related to our network equipment and amortization expense of our internal-use software development costs increased \$1.3 million during the second quarter of 2008 to \$16.7 million as compared to the first quarter of 2008 of \$15.4 million. Due to expected future purchases of network equipment during the remainder of fiscal 2008, we believe that depreciation expense related to our network equipment will continue to increase, on a quarterly basis, during the remainder of 2008 and 2009, as compared to the first two quarters of 2008. We expect to continue to enhance and add functionality to our service offerings and capitalize qualifying expenses attributable to employees working on such projects, which would increase the amount of capitalized internal-use software costs. As a result, we believe that amortization of internal-use software development costs, which we include in cost of revenues, will increase during the remaining quarters in 2008 compared to corresponding periods in 2007.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, our stock-based compensation expense was \$17.0 million and \$28.2 million, respectively, as compared to \$17.2 million and \$34.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007. The primary reason for the decrease in stock-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was a change in management s estimate for the expected vesting of performance-based restricted stock units issued in 2007. We expect that stock-based compensation expense will remain relatively consistent in the third and fourth quarters of 2008 with that experienced in the second quarter. As of June 30, 2008, our total pre-tax unrecognized compensation costs for stock-based awards were \$109.1 million, which we expect to recognize as expense over a weighted average period of 1.3 years through 2012.

As of June 30, 2008, we have recorded a cumulative unrealized loss in stockholders equity of \$16.0 million related to the temporary impairment of our investments in auction rate securities, or ARSs. Based upon our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities balance of \$745.6 million and expected operating cash flows, we do not anticipate a lack of liquidity associated with our ARSs will adversely affect our ability to conduct business during the remainder of fiscal 2008, and we believe we have the ability to hold these ARSs until a recovery of the auction process, a buyer is found outside the auction process, the securities are called or refinanced by the issuer, or until maturity.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, our effective income tax rate, including discrete items, was 39.4%. We expect such annual effective income tax rate to remain relatively consistent on a quarterly basis during each remaining quarter in 2008. In addition, we do not expect to make significant cash tax payments due to the continued utilization of our deferred tax assets. Based on our analysis of, among other things, the aforementioned trends and events, as of the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, we expect to continue to generate net income on a quarterly basis for the remainder of 2008; however, our future results will be affected by many factors, including those identified in the section captioned Risk Factors and elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, and including our ability to:

increase our revenue by adding new customers through additional recurring revenue contracts and limiting customer cancellations, terminations or non-payment;

offset price declines with higher volumes of traffic delivered on our network;

prevent disruptions to our services and network due to accidents or intentional attacks; and

maintain our network bandwidth costs and other operating expenses consistent with our revenues. As a result, there is no assurance that we will achieve our expected financial objectives, including generating positive net income in any future period.

Our management s discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, which have been prepared by us in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim periods and Regulation S-X promulgated under the Exchange Act. The preparation of these unaudited consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related items, including, but not limited to, revenue recognition, accounts receivable and related reserves, investments, goodwill and other intangible assets, capitalized internal-use software costs, tax reserves, loss contingencies and stock-based compensation costs. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances at the time they were made. Actual results may differ from our estimates. See the section entitled

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 for further discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or the FASB, issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, or SFAS No. 159. SFAS No. 159 allows companies to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The fair value option may be elected on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. If the fair value option is elected for an instrument, SFAS No. 159 specifies that unrealized gains and losses for that instrument shall be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 became effective on January 1, 2008. We adopted SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008 and elected not to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations, or SFAS No. 141R. This statement establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer in a business combination (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquired company, (ii) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase and (iii) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of SFAS No. 141R on its financial position and results of operations.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 142-3 Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets, or FSP 142-3. FSP 142-3 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years; accordingly, we will adopt FSP 142-3 in fiscal year 2009. FSP 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, or FAS 142. FSP 142-3 is intended to improve the consistency between the useful life of an intangible asset determined under FAS 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141R (revised 2007)

Business Combinations and other United States generally accepted accounting principles. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP 142-3 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

#### **Investments and Fair Value**

Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS No. 157, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires enhanced disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 clarifies that fair value is an exchange price, representing the amount that would be received in an orderly transaction between market participants, upon the sale of an asset or a payment to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for such asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS No. 157-2, Partial Deferral of the Effective Date of Statement No. 157, or FSP No. 157-2. FSP No. 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities that are not measured or disclosed on a recurring basis until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. To date, therefore, we have adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 only with respect to financial assets and liabilities. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements for financial assets and liabilities.

SFAS No. 157 also requires disclosure about how fair value is determined for assets and liabilities and establishes a three-tiered value hierarchy into which these assets and liabilities must be grouped, based upon significant levels of inputs as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs, other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities, including certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques.

As of June 30 2008, we grouped money market funds, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, U.S. government agency obligations and U.S. corporate debt securities using a Level 1 valuation because market prices are readily available in active markets. As of June 30, 2008, the fair value of our assets grouped using a Level 3 valuation consisted of ARSs that are AAA-rated bonds, most of which are collateralized by federally guaranteed student loans. ARSs are long-term variable rate bonds tied to short-term interest rates that may reset through a Dutch auction process that is designed to occur every seven to 35 days. Historically, the carrying value (par value) of the ARSs approximated fair market value due to the resetting of variable interest rates. Beginning in late February 2008 and continuing throughout the period ended June 30, 2008, however, the auctions for ARSs held by us were unsuccessful. As a result, the interest rates on the investments reset to the maximum rate per the applicable investment offering statements. We will not be able to liquidate the affected ARSs until a future auction on these investments is successful, a buyer is found outside the auction process, the securities are called or refinanced by the issuer, or the securities mature. Due to these liquidity issues, we performed a discounted cash flow analysis to determine the estimated fair value of these investments. The discounted cash flow analysis performed by us considered the timing of expected future successful auctions, the impact of extended periods of maximum interest rates, collateralization of underlying security investments and the credit worthiness of the issuer. The discounted cash flow analysis as of June 30, 2008 assumes a weighted average discount rate of 5.5% and expected term of five years. The discount rate was determined using a proxy based upon the current market rates for similar debt offerings within the AAA-rated ARS market. The expected term was based on management s estimate of future liquidity. As a result, as of June 30, 2008, we recorded a cumulative unrealized loss of \$16.0 million related to the temporary impairment of the ARSs, which was included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on our consolidated balance sheet. Our valuation of the ARSs is sensitive to market conditions and management s judgment and could change significantly based on the assumptions used. If we had used a term of three years or seven years and discount rate of 5.5%, the gross unrealized loss would have been \$10.0 million or \$21.0 million, respectively. If we had used a term of five years and discount rate of 5.0% or 6.0%, the gross unrealized loss would have been \$10.6 million or \$21.2 million, respectively.

#### **Results of Operations**

*Revenues.* Revenues increased 27%, or \$41.4 million, to \$194.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$152.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, revenues increased 31%, or \$89.1 million, to \$381.0 million as compared to \$291.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in revenues for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in the prior year was primarily attributable to an increase in the number of customers under recurring revenue contracts, as well as an increase in traffic and additional services sold to new and existing customers, the latter leading to increases in the average revenue per customer during the periods, partially offset by reduced unit prices offered to new and certain existing customers entering into new recurring revenue contracts. We believe that the continued growth in use of the Internet by businesses and consumers during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same periods in the prior year is the

principal factor driving increased purchases of our services. We are unable, however, to predict whether this rate of growth will continue, accelerate or moderate in future periods. As of June 30, 2008, we had 2,725 customers under recurring revenue contracts as compared to 2,555 as of June 30, 2007.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, 26% and 23%, respectively, of our revenues were derived from our operations located outside of the United States, including 19% and 18%, respectively, derived from Europe during each of these periods. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, 25% and 23% respectively, of our revenues were derived from our operations located outside of the United States, including 18% derived from Europe during each of those periods. No single country outside of the United States accounted for 10% or more of revenues during these periods. For each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, resellers accounted for 16% of revenues, as compared to 20% of total revenues for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2007. For each of the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, no customer accounted for 10% or more of revenues.

*Cost of Revenues*. Cost of revenues includes fees paid to network providers for bandwidth and co-location of our network equipment. Cost of revenues also includes payroll and payroll-related costs and stock-based compensation expense for network operations personnel, cost of software licenses, depreciation of network equipment used to deliver our services and amortization of internal-use software.

Cost of revenues increased 35%, or \$13.9 million, to \$53.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$39.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, cost of revenues increased 42%, or \$31.0 million, to \$105.3 million as compared to \$74.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. These increases were primarily due to an increase in amounts paid to network providers for bandwidth due to higher traffic levels, partially offset by reduced bandwidth costs per unit, and an increase in depreciation expense of network equipment as we continued to invest in our infrastructure. We are unable to predict whether bandwidth costs per unit will continue to decline.

Cost of revenues during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 also included credits received of approximately \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, from settlements and renegotiated contracts entered into in connection with billing disputes related to bandwidth contracts. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, cost of revenues included similar credits of approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. Credits of this nature may occur in the future; however, the timing and amount of future credits, if any, is unpredictable.

Cost of revenues is comprised of the following (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Bandwidth, co-location and storage fees	\$ 32.9	\$ 24.1	\$ 64.9	\$ 45.8
Payroll and related costs of network operations personnel	2.5	2.2	5.2	3.8
Stock-based compensation	1.6	1.2	3.1	2.2
Depreciation and impairment of network equipment	13.5	10.0	26.1	18.2
Amortization of internal-use software	3.2	2.3	6.0	4.2
Total cost of revenues	\$ 53.7	\$ 39.8	\$ 105.3	\$ 74.2

We have long-term purchase commitments for bandwidth usage and co-location services with various network and Internet service providers. For the remainder of 2008 and for the years ending December 31, 2009, and 2010, the minimum commitments related to bandwidth usage and co-location services under agreements currently in effect are approximately \$30.2 million, \$9.9 million and \$3.1 million, respectively.

We expect that cost of revenues will increase on a quarterly basis during the remainder of 2008. We expect to deliver more traffic on our network, which would result in higher expenses associated with the increased traffic; however, such costs are likely to be partially offset by lower bandwidth costs per unit. Additionally, for each remaining quarter in 2008, we anticipate increases in depreciation expense related to our network equipment and amortization of internal-use software development costs, along with payroll and related costs, as compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2008, because we expect to continue to make investments in our network.

*Research and Development*. Research and development expenses consist primarily of payroll and related costs and stock-based compensation expense for research and development personnel who design, develop, test and enhance our services, network and software. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred, except certain internal-use software development costs requiring capitalization. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we capitalized software development costs of \$6.0 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, net of impairments. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we capitalized software development costs of \$3.9 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, net of impairments. These development costs consisted of external consulting expenses and payroll and payroll-related costs for personnel involved in the development of internal-use software used to deliver our services and operate our network. Additionally, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we capitalized \$1.9 million and \$3.6 million of stock-based compensation, respectively, as compared to \$1.4 million and \$2.8 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. These capitalized internal-use software costs are amortized to cost of revenues over their estimated useful lives of two years.

Research and development expenses decreased 18%, or \$2.1 million, to \$9.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$11.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, research and development expenses decreased 15%, or \$3.4 million, to \$18.8 million as compared to \$22.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decreases in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same periods in 2007 were due to an increase in capitalized salaries as a result of an increase in personnel working on projects subject to capitalization, as well as a decrease in stock-based compensation expense. The effect of these factors was partially offset by an increase in payroll and related costs resulting from an increase in headcount during the periods presented. The following table quantifies the changes in the various components of our research and development expenses for the periods presented (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 2007	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 2007
Payroll and related costs	\$ 1.1	\$ 3.5
Stock-based compensation	(1.3)	(2.8)
Capitalized salaries and other expenses	(1.9)	(4.1)
Total net decrease	\$ (2.1)	\$ (3.4)

We believe that research and development expenses will increase slightly on a quarterly basis during the remainder of 2008 as compared to the first two quarters of 2008, because we expect to continue to increase our hiring of development personnel in order to make anticipated investments in our core technology, develop new services and make refinements to our other service offerings.

*Sales and Marketing.* Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of payroll and related costs, stock-based compensation expense and commissions for personnel engaged in marketing, sales and support functions, as well as advertising and promotional expenses.

Sales and marketing expenses increased 9%, or \$3.4 million, to \$41.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$37.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, sales and marketing expenses increased 4%, or \$2.6 million, to \$77.1 million as compared to \$74.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increases in sales and marketing expenses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same periods in the prior year were primarily due to an increase in payroll and related costs due to an increase in sales and marketing headcount, as well as an increase in marketing costs, such as advertising and marketing events. Other expenses, the largest component of which is travel related expenses, also increased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same periods in the six months ended June 30, 2008 were partially offset by a decrease in stock-based compensation expense. The following table quantifies the changes in the various components of our sales and marketing expenses for the periods presented (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 2007	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 2007
Payroll and related costs	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.6
Stock-based compensation	0.6	(1.3)
Marketing and related costs	0.6	1.3
Other expenses	1.8	2.0
Total net decrease	\$ 3.4	\$ 2.6

We believe that sales and marketing expenses will increase on a quarterly basis during the remainder of 2008 as compared to the first two quarters of 2008 due to an expected increase in commissions on higher forecasted sales, an expected increase in hiring of sales and marketing personnel and an expected increase in other marketing costs such as advertising.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of the following components:

stock-based compensation expense and payroll and related expenses for executive, finance, business applications, network management, human resources and other administrative personnel;

depreciation and amortization of property and equipment we use internally;

fees for professional services;

rent and other facility-related expenditures for leased properties;

the provision for doubtful accounts;

insurance costs; and

non-income related taxes.

General and administrative expenses increased 14%, or \$4.0 million, to \$33.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$29.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, general and administrative expenses increased 17%, or \$9.8 million, to \$67.1 million as compared to \$57.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to an increase in payroll and related costs as a result of headcount growth, an increase in stock-based compensation expense and an increase in non-income tax expense. Additionally, facility-related costs increased due to office expansions. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in the prior year, general and administrative expenses increased due to an increase in payroll and related costs, an increase in facility-related expenditures, an increase non-income tax expense and an increase in legal fees related to litigation matters. These increases were offset by a reduction in stock-based compensation expense. The following table quantifies the change in various components of our general and administrative expenses for the periods presented (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 2007	Six Mont June 3	the hs Ended 0, 2008 red to 2007
Payroll and related costs	\$ 0.8	\$	2.4
Stock-based compensation	0.7		(1.3)
Depreciation and amortization	0.2		1.3
Facilities and related costs	0.5		1.8
Legal fees	(0.3)		2.4
Provision for doubtful accounts	(0.1)		(0.2)
Non-income related taxes	1.1		1.4
Consulting and advisory services	0.2		0.5
Other expenses	0.9		1.5
-			
Total net increase	\$ 4.0	\$	9.8

During the remainder of 2008, we expect general and administrative expenses to increase on a quarterly basis as compared to the first two quarters of 2008 due to anticipated higher payroll and related costs attributable to increased hiring and an increase in rent and facility costs, offset by an expected reduction in legal fees.

*Amortization of Other Intangible Assets.* Amortization of other intangible assets consists of amortization of intangible assets acquired in business combinations and amortization of acquired license rights. Amortization of other intangible assets increased 19%, or \$0.6 million, to \$3.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$2.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, amortization of other intangible assets increased 23%, or \$1.3 million, to \$7.1 million as compared to \$5.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increases in amortization of other intangible assets were primarily due to the amortization of intangible assets over two full quarters in 2008 from the acquisition of Netli, Inc., or Netli, in March 2007 and Red Swoosh, Inc. in April 2007. Based on our currently-owned intangible assets, we expect amortization of other intangible assets to be approximately \$6.3 million for the remainder of 2008, and \$13.6 million, \$12.9 million and \$11.5 million for fiscal years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

*Restructuring benefit.* No restructuring charges have been recorded in 2008. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded a restructuring benefit of \$0.2 million as result of terminating a facility lease that had previously been recorded as a restructuring liability.

*Interest Income*. Interest income includes interest earned on invested cash balances and marketable securities. Interest income decreased 9%, or \$0.5 million, to \$5.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to \$6.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease was to due to lower interest rates earned on our investments during 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007. For the six months

ended June 30, 2008, interest income increased 17%, or \$2.0 million, to \$13.5 million as compared to \$11.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase was primarily due to an increase in our total invested marketable securities during the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007 due to an increase in our cash from operations that was partially offset by lower interest rates earned on our investments during 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007.

*Interest Expense*. Interest expense includes interest paid on our debt obligations as well as amortization of deferred financing costs. Interest expense decreased 8%, or \$0.1 million, to \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, interest expense decreased 8%, or \$0.1 million, to \$1.4 million as compared to \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Based on our outstanding indebtedness at June 30, 2008, we believe that interest expense on our debt obligations, including deferred financing amortization, will not exceed \$1.5 million in the aggregate for the remainder of 2008.

*Other (Expense) Income, net.* Other expense, net primarily represents net foreign exchange gains and losses incurred. Other expense, net for the three months ended June 30, 2008 increased 70%, or \$0.4 million, to \$1.0 million as compared to \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, other expense decreased 36%, or \$0.3 million, to \$0.5 million as compared to \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. These changes in both periods in 2008 as compared to the same periods in 2007 were mainly due to exchange rate fluctuations. Other income (expense), net may fluctuate in the future based upon movements in foreign exchange rates or other non-recurring events.

*Gain on Investments, net.* During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded a gain of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, from the sales of marketable securities. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we did not record a gain or loss from the sales of marketable securities. We do not expect significant gains or losses on investments during the remainder of 2008.

*Provision for Income Taxes.* For each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, our effective income tax rate, including discrete items, was 39.4%. The effective income tax rate is based upon the estimated income for the year, the estimated composition of the income in different jurisdictions and adjustments, if any, in the applicable quarterly periods for potential tax consequences, benefits, resolution of tax audits, or other tax contingencies. Provision for income taxes increased 56%, or \$7.9 million, to \$21.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$14.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, provision for income taxes increased 75%, or \$19.8 million, to \$46.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$26.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same periods in 2007 were mainly due to the growth in our pre-tax net income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, our effective income tax rate varied from the statutory tax rate mainly due to the effects of accounting for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R) and state tax expense.

While we expect our annual effective income tax rate for the remainder of 2008 to remain relatively consistent with the rate in the first six months of 2008, this expectation does not take into consideration the effect of discrete items recorded as a result of SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment or the effect of the implementation of any potential tax planning strategies. The effective tax rate, including any discrete items, could be materially different depending on the nature and timing of the dispositions of incentive stock options and other stock-based awards held by employees.

Because of the availability of the net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, a significant portion of our future provision for income taxes is expected to be a non-cash expense; consequently, the amount of cash paid in respect of income taxes is expected to be a relatively small portion of the total annualized tax expense during periods in which the NOLs are utilized. In determining our net deferred tax assets and valuation allowances and annualized effective tax rates, management is required to make judgments and estimates about, among other things, domestic and foreign profitability, the timing and extent of the utilization of NOL carryforwards, certain

tax positions, applicable tax rates, transfer pricing methodologies and tax planning strategies. Judgments and estimates related to our projections and assumptions are inherently uncertain; therefore, actual results could differ materially from our projections.

# Liquidity and Capital Resources

To date, we have financed our operations primarily through the following transactions:

private sales of capital stock and subordi