

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP
Form 10-Q
May 07, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

- Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
for the quarterly period ended: **March 31, 2009**
- Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
for the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number: **000-25597**

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

OREGON
(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation or Organization)

93-1261319
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

One SW Columbia Street, Suite 1200

Portland, Oregon 97258

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(503) 727-4100

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding for each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date:

Common stock, no par value: 60,215,353 shares outstanding as of April 30, 2009

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| PART I. | <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 3 |
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 28 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 50 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 50 |
| PART II. | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 51 |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 51 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 51 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 51 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 51 |
| Item 4. | <u>Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 51 |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> | 51 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 51 |
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 52 |
| | <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | 53 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)****UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands, except shares)

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 136,035 | \$ 148,064 |
| Temporary investments | 70,565 | 56,612 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 206,600 | 204,676 |
| Investment securities | | |
| Trading | 1,485 | 1,987 |
| Available for sale, at fair value | 1,435,293 | 1,238,712 |
| Held to maturity, at amortized cost | 13,783 | 15,812 |
| Loans held for sale | 34,013 | 22,355 |
| Loans and leases | 6,082,480 | 6,131,374 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (95,086) | (95,865) |
| Net loans and leases | 5,987,394 | 6,035,509 |
| Restricted equity securities | 16,491 | 16,491 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 103,712 | 104,694 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | 756,468 | 757,833 |
| Mortgage servicing rights, at fair value | 8,732 | 8,205 |
| Other real estate owned | 32,766 | 27,898 |
| Other assets | 185,796 | 163,378 |
| Total assets | \$ 8,782,533 | \$ 8,597,550 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ 1,292,512 | \$ 1,254,079 |
| Interest bearing | 5,500,022 | 5,334,856 |
| Total deposits | 6,792,534 | 6,588,935 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 50,274 | 47,588 |
| Term debt | 206,458 | 206,531 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | 91,682 | 92,520 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at amortized cost | 103,430 | 103,655 |
| Other liabilities | 68,311 | 71,313 |
| Total liabilities | 7,312,689 | 7,110,542 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 7) | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| | 202,692 | 202,178 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Preferred stock, no par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized; Series A (liquidation preference \$1,000 per share); issued and outstanding: 214,181 in 2009 and 2008 | | |
| Common stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding: 60,198,057 in 2009 and 60,146,400 in 2008 | 1,006,199 | 1,005,820 |
| Retained earnings | 243,447 | 264,938 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 17,506 | 14,072 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 1,469,844 | 1,487,008 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 8,782,533 | \$ 8,597,550 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| INTEREST INCOME | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 88,173 | \$ 104,152 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities | | |
| Taxable | 14,371 | 9,329 |
| Exempt from federal income tax | 1,800 | 1,679 |
| Dividends | - | 78 |
| Interest on temporary investments | 32 | 203 |
| Total interest income | 104,376 | 115,441 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | |
| Interest on deposits | 24,463 | 39,625 |
| Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 184 | 749 |
| Interest on term debt | 1,756 | 1,125 |
| Interest on junior subordinated debentures | 2,560 | 3,922 |
| Total interest expense | 28,963 | 45,421 |
| Net interest income | 75,413 | 70,020 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES | | |
| | 59,092 | 15,132 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 16,321 | 54,888 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 7,701 | 8,377 |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 1,379 | 2,175 |
| Mortgage banking revenue, net | 4,070 | (1,870) |
| Net gain on investment securities | 35 | 3,901 |
| Gain on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value | 580 | 1,642 |
| Proceeds from Visa mandatory partial redemption | - | 12,633 |
| Other income | 1,752 | 2,736 |
| Total non-interest income | 15,517 | 29,594 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 31,073 | 28,244 |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 9,621 | 9,116 |
| Communications | 1,753 | 1,778 |
| Marketing | 970 | 771 |
| Services | 5,329 | 4,707 |
| Supplies | 795 | 665 |
| FDIC assessments | 2,625 | 1,215 |
| Net loss on other real estate owned | 2,299 | 611 |
| Intangible amortization | 1,362 | 1,491 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|
| Merger related expenses | 200 | - |
| Visa litigation | - | (5,183) |
| Other expenses | 3,924 | 4,072 |
| Total non-interest expense | 59,951 | 47,487 |
| (Loss) income before (benefit from) provision for income taxes | (28,113) | 36,995 |
| (Benefit from) provision for income taxes | (12,864) | 12,324 |
| Net (loss) income | (15,249) | 24,671 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 3,191 | - |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | \$ (18,440) | \$ 24,671 |

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three months ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| (Loss) earnings per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.31) | \$ 0.41 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.31) | \$ 0.41 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 60,176 | 60,029 |
| Diluted | 60,176 | 60,374 |
| See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements | | |

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except shares)

| | Common Stock | | | Accumulated | | Total |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Preferred Stock | Shares | Amount | Retained | Other | |
| | | | | Earnings | Comprehensive Income | |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2008 | \$ - | 59,980,161 | \$ 988,780 | \$ 251,545 | \$ (387) | \$ 1,239,938 |
| Net income | | | | 51,044 | | 51,044 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | | 14,459 | 14,459 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | \$ 65,503 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 3,893 | | | 3,893 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | | (8,199) | (129) | | | (129) |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related net tax benefits | | 174,438 | 1,022 | | | 1,022 |
| Issuance of preferred stock to U.S. Treasury | 201,927 | | | | | 201,927 |
| Issuance of warrants to U.S. Treasury | | | 12,254 | | | 12,254 |
| Amortization of discount on preferred stock | 251 | | | (251) | | - |
| Cash dividends on common stock (\$0.62 per share) | | | | (37,400) | | (37,400) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 202,178 | 60,146,400 | \$ 1,005,820 | \$ 264,938 | \$ 14,072 | \$ 1,487,008 |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2009 | \$ 202,178 | 60,146,400 | \$ 1,005,820 | \$ 264,938 | \$ 14,072 | \$ 1,487,008 |
| Net loss | | | | (15,249) | | (15,249) |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | | 3,434 | 3,434 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | \$ (11,815) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 916 | | | 916 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | | (18,306) | (162) | | | (162) |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related net tax deficiencies | | 69,963 | (375) | | | (375) |
| Amortization of discount on preferred stock | 514 | | | (514) | | - |
| Dividends declared on preferred stock | | | | (2,707) | | (2,707) |
| Cash dividends on common stock (\$0.05 per share) | | | | (3,021) | | (3,021) |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Balance at March 31, 2009 | \$ 202,692 | 60,198,057 | \$ 1,006,199 | \$ 243,447 | \$ 17,506 | \$ 1,469,844 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (15,249) | \$ 24,671 |
| Unrealized gains arising during the period on investment securities available for sale | 6,847 | 8,311 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income, (net of tax expense of \$869 and \$1,560 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively) | (1,303) | (2,341) |
| Income tax expense related to unrealized gains on investment securities, available for sale | (2,739) | (3,324) |
| Net change in unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale | 2,805 | 2,646 |
| Reclassification adjustment for impairments realized in net income (net of tax benefit of \$379 for the three months ended March 31, 2009) | 568 | - |
| Amortization of unrealized losses on investment securities transferred to held to maturity (net of tax benefit of \$41 for the three months ended March 31, 2009) | 61 | - |
| Net change in unrealized losses on investment securities transferred to held to maturity | 629 | - |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | 3,434 | 2,646 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (11,815) | \$ 27,317 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (15,249) | \$ 24,671 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Restricted equity securities stock dividends | - | (51) |
| Amortization of investment premiums, net | 795 | 194 |
| Net gain on sale of investment securities available for sale | (2,172) | (3,901) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities held to maturity | 2,137 | - |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 688 | 611 |
| Valuation adjustment on other real estate owned | 1,611 | - |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 59,092 | 15,132 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 2,228 | 3,412 |
| Increase in mortgage servicing rights | (1,968) | (475) |
| Change in mortgage servicing rights carried at fair value | 1,441 | 1,923 |
| Change in junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value | (838) | (1,883) |
| Stock-based compensation | 916 | 735 |
| Net decrease in trading account assets | 502 | 458 |
| Gain on sale of loans | (810) | (588) |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (179,174) | (66,118) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 168,326 | 39,896 |
| Net (increase) decrease in other assets | (25,069) | 19,615 |
| Net decrease in other liabilities | (2,423) | (9,451) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 10,033 | 24,180 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | (327,282) | (355,844) |
| Proceeds from investment securities available for sale | 140,936 | 345,515 |
| Proceeds from investment securities held to maturity | 918 | 737 |
| Redemption of restricted equity securities | - | 55 |
| Net loan and lease originations | (17,278) | (19,320) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | - | 5,392 |
| Proceeds from disposals of furniture and equipment | 28 | 66 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (2,451) | (1,896) |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 1,588 | 1,903 |
| Cash acquired in merger, net of cash consideration paid | 178,905 | - |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (24,636) | (23,392) |

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposit liabilities | 19,728 | (76,108) |
| Net decrease in federal funds purchased | - | (14,500) |
| Net increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 2,686 | 2,002 |
| Proceeds from term debt borrowings | - | 170,000 |
| Repayment of term debt | (52) | (70,043) |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock | (2,707) | - |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (3,018) | (11,436) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 52 | 501 |
| Retirement of common stock | (162) | (95) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 16,527 | 321 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,924 | 1,109 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 204,676 | 192,070 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 206,600 | \$ 193,179 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 30,308 | \$ 46,807 |
| Income taxes | \$ 44 | \$ - |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Change in unrealized gain on investment securities available for sale, net of taxes | \$ 2,805 | \$ 2,646 |
| Change in unrealized loss on investment securities held to maturity, net of taxes | \$ 629 | \$ - |
| Cash dividend declared and payable after period-end | \$ 3,017 | \$ 11,449 |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ 8,755 | \$ 12,126 |
| Acquisitions: | | |
| Assets acquired | \$ 4,978 | \$ - |
| Liabilities assumed | \$ 183,883 | \$ - |
| See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements | | |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and financial reporting policies of Umpqua Holdings Corporation (referred to in this report as we, our or the Company) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Umpqua Bank (Bank), and Strand, Atkinson, Williams & York, Inc. (Strand). All material inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated financial statements have not been audited. A more detailed description of our accounting policies is included in the 2008 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes contained in the 2008 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

In management's opinion, all accounting adjustments necessary to accurately reflect the financial position and results of operations on the accompanying financial statements have been made. These adjustments include normal and recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair and accurate presentation. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any other interim period. Certain reclassifications of prior period amounts have been made to conform with current classifications.

Note 2 Business Combinations

On January 16, 2009, the Washington Department of Financial Institutions closed the Bank of Clark County, Vancouver, Washington, and appointed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as its receiver. The FDIC entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with Umpqua Bank to assume the insured non-brokered deposit balances, which totaled \$183.9 million, at no premium. The Company recorded the deposit related liabilities at book value. In connection with the assumption, Umpqua Bank acquired certain assets totaling \$23.0 million, primarily cash and marketable securities, with the difference of \$160.9 million representing funds received directly from the FDIC. Through this agreement, Umpqua Bank now operates two additional store locations in Vancouver, Washington. In addition, the FDIC is reimbursing Umpqua Bank for all overhead costs related to the acquired Bank of Clark County operations for 90 days following closing, while Umpqua Bank will pay the FDIC a servicing fee on assumed deposit accounts for that same period.

The results of the Bank of Clark County's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements beginning January 17, 2009. Since this date, the Bank of Clark County has contributed net income of approximately \$310,000, net of tax, and primarily represents interest income earned from the proceeds of the assumption and service income on deposits, partially offset by interest expense on deposits and the accrued servicing fee payable to the FDIC. In the second quarter, Umpqua will no longer incur the FDIC servicing fee, and will begin incurring overhead expenses such as salaries and employee benefits expense and rent expense. The Company does not expect to incur any significant additional merger-related expenses in connection with the assumption of the Bank of Clark County deposits and assets.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Investment Securities**

The following table presents the amortized costs, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and approximate fair values of investment securities at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

March 31, 2009

(in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 13,888 | \$ 319 | \$ (6) | \$ 14,201 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 187,769 | 3,943 | (1,029) | 190,683 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,198,202 | 32,702 | (2,995) | 1,227,909 |
| Other debt securities | 884 | - | (376) | 508 |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 1,959 | 33 | - | 1,992 |
| | \$ 1,402,702 | \$ 36,997 | \$ (4,406) | \$ 1,435,293 |
| HELD TO MATURITY: | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 3,585 | \$ 10 | \$ (32) | \$ 3,563 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 10,048 | 2 | (6,756) | 3,294 |
| Other investment securities | 150 | - | - | 150 |
| | \$ 13,783 | \$ 12 | \$ (6,788) | \$ 7,007 |

December 31, 2008

(in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 30,831 | \$ 401 | \$ (6) | \$ 31,226 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 176,966 | 3,959 | (1,340) | 179,585 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,000,155 | 26,726 | (1,586) | 1,025,295 |
| Other debt securities | 884 | - | (250) | 634 |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 1,959 | 13 | - | 1,972 |
| | \$ 1,210,795 | \$ 31,099 | \$ (3,182) | \$ 1,238,712 |
| HELD TO MATURITY: | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 4,166 | \$ 8 | \$ (75) | \$ 4,099 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 11,496 | 1 | (7,367) | 4,130 |
| Other investment securities | 150 | - | - | 150 |
| | \$ 15,812 | \$ 9 | \$ (7,442) | \$ 8,379 |

Table of Contents

Investment securities that were in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are presented in the following tables, based on the length of time individual securities have been in an unrealized loss position. In the opinion of management, these securities are considered only temporarily impaired due to changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of the securities, and not due to concerns regarding the underlying credit of the issuers or the underlying collateral:

March 31, 2009

(in thousands)

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 2,025 | \$ 3 | \$ 151 | \$ 3 | \$ 2,176 | \$ 6 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 39,304 | 754 | 6,512 | 275 | 45,816 | 1,029 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 173,936 | 1,401 | 12,960 | 1,594 | 186,896 | 2,995 |
| Other debt securities | 508 | 376 | - | - | 508 | 376 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 215,773 | \$ 2,534 | \$ 19,623 | \$ 1,872 | \$ 235,396 | \$ 4,406 |
| HELD TO MATURITY: | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 773 | \$ 32 | \$ 773 | \$ 32 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,947 | 6,755 | 28 | 1 | 2,975 | 6,756 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 2,947 | \$ 6,755 | \$ 801 | \$ 33 | \$ 3,748 | \$ 6,788 |

December 31, 2008

(in thousands)

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 93 | \$ 2 | \$ 230 | \$ 4 | \$ 323 | \$ 6 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 43,341 | 1,291 | 5,520 | 49 | 48,861 | 1,340 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 103,323 | 1,083 | 41,262 | 503 | 144,585 | 1,586 |
| Other debt securities | - | - | 634 | 250 | 634 | 250 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 146,757 | \$ 2,376 | \$ 47,646 | \$ 806 | \$ 194,403 | \$ 3,182 |
| HELD TO MATURITY: | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 4,099 | \$ 75 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,099 | \$ 75 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 4,130 | 7,367 | - | - | 4,130 | 7,367 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 8,229 | \$ 7,442 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 8,229 | \$ 7,442 |

The unrealized losses on investments in U.S. Treasury and agencies securities were caused by interest rate increases subsequent to the purchase of the securities. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than par. Because the

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Bank does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that Bank will be required to sell the security before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

The unrealized losses on mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations were caused by changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of the securities, and not concerns regarding the underlying credit of the issuers or the underlying collateral. It is expected that the securities will not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates or widening market spreads and not credit quality, and because the Bank does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that Bank will be required to sell the security before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

The unrealized losses on obligations of political subdivisions were caused by changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of the securities. Management monitors published credit ratings of these securities and no adverse ratings changes have occurred since the date of purchase on obligations of political subdivisions in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2009. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates or widening market spreads and not credit quality, and because the Bank does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that Bank will be required to sell the security before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

Table of Contents

The unrealized losses on other debt securities, which consist of trust preferred securities, were caused by changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of the securities. Management monitors the credit ratings of the underlying institutions of the securities and no adverse ratings changes have occurred since the date of purchase on the other debt securities in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2009. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates or widening market spreads and not credit quality, and because the Bank does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that Bank will be required to sell the security before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

We review investment securities on an ongoing basis for the presence of other-than-temporary (OTTI) or permanent impairment, taking into consideration current market conditions, fair value in relationship to cost, extent and nature of the change in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, our ability and intent to hold investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, whether we expect to recover the amortized cost basis of the investments, and other factors.

In the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company recorded a \$2.1 million OTTI charge within net gain on investment securities. This charge related to three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations carried as held to maturity and where the default rates and loss severities of the underlying collateral indicate credit losses are expected to occur. These securities were valued by third party pricing services using matrix or model pricing methodologies, and were corroborated by broker indicative bids. These securities were written-down to fair value as the entire impairment was determined to be credit related. The remaining non-agency securities within mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations carried as held to maturity were reviewed for OTTI, and based on the default rates and loss severities of the underlying collateral, management estimates that the amortized cost basis of these securities will be recovered and that no credit losses are expected to occur. At March 31, 2009, the held to maturity non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations represented \$9.9 million of the investment portfolio. There were no similar charges recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

The following table presents the maturities of investment securities at March 31, 2009:

(in thousands)

| | Available For Sale | | Held To Maturity | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| AMOUNTS MATURING IN: | | | | |
| Three months or less | \$ 4,870 | \$ 4,882 | \$ 504 | \$ 507 |
| Over three months through twelve months | 60,054 | 60,874 | 588 | 593 |
| After one year through five years | 941,049 | 963,057 | 1,740 | 1,743 |
| After five years through ten years | 343,501 | 354,670 | 113 | 114 |
| After ten years | 51,269 | 49,818 | 10,688 | 3,900 |
| Other investment securities | 1,959 | 1,992 | 150 | 150 |
| | \$ 1,402,702 | \$ 1,435,293 | \$ 13,783 | \$ 7,007 |

The amortized cost and fair value of collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities are presented by expected average life, rather than contractual maturity, in the preceding table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay underlying loans without prepayment penalties.

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses on the sale of securities available for sale for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

(in thousands)

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three months ended March 31, 2009 | | Three months ended March 31, 2008 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | Gains | Losses | Gains | Losses |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 78 | \$ - |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,210 | 38 | 5,358 | - |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | - | - | - | 1,535 |
| | \$ 2,210 | \$ 38 | \$ 5,436 | \$ 1,535 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents, as of March 31, 2009, investment securities which were pledged to secure borrowings and public deposits as permitted or required by law:

(in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| To Federal Home Loan Bank to secure borrowings | \$ 505,307 | \$ 519,131 |
| To state and local governments to secure public deposits | 383,831 | 394,032 |
| To U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve to secure customer tax payments | 7,412 | 7,667 |
| Other securities pledged, principally to secure deposits | 248,751 | 253,982 |
| Total pledged securities | \$ 1,145,301 | \$ 1,174,812 |

Note 4 Loans, Leases and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The following table presents the major types of loans recorded in the balance sheets as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Loan Concentrations

(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| Real estate - construction and land development | \$ 871,447 | \$ 931,090 |
| Real estate - commercial and agricultural | 3,249,733 | 3,236,645 |
| Real estate - single and multi-family residential | 669,710 | 661,723 |
| Commercial, industrial and agricultural | 1,202,757 | 1,211,167 |
| Leases | 39,953 | 40,155 |
| Installment and other | 59,556 | 62,044 |
| | 6,093,156 | 6,142,824 |
| Deferred loan fees, net | (10,676) | (11,450) |
| Total loans and leases | \$ 6,082,480 | \$ 6,131,374 |

The following table summarizes activity related to the allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 95,865 | \$ 84,904 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 59,092 | 15,132 |
| Charge-offs | (60,414) | (13,970) |
| Recoveries | 543 | 494 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 95,086 | \$ 86,560 |

At March 31, 2009, the recorded investment in loans classified as impaired in accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting for Impaired Loans*, totaled \$156.3 million, with no corresponding valuation allowance. Due to declining real estate values in our markets, it is increasingly likely that an impairment reserve on collateral dependent real estate loans represent a confirmed loss. As a result, the Company recognizes the charge-off of impairment reserves on impaired loans in the period it arises for collateral dependent loans. Therefore, the non-accrual loans as of March 31, 2009 have already been written-down to their estimated net realizable value, based on disposition value, and are expected to be resolved over the coming quarters with no additional material loss, absent further decline in market prices. At December 31, 2008, the total recorded investment in impaired loans was \$151.5 million, with no corresponding valuation allowance.

The average recorded investment in impaired loans was approximately \$153.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and \$116.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. At March 31, 2009, \$57.2 million of loans were classified as restructured. At December 31, 2008, \$38.2 million of loans were classified as restructured. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, and generally provide for a temporary modification of loan repayment terms. While all restructured loans are classified as impaired, only \$13.8 million as of March 31, 2009 and \$14.6 million as of December 31, 2008 were placed on

Table of Contents

non-accrual status. The \$43.4 million as of March 31, 2009 and \$23.6 million as of December 31, 2008 of restructured loans on accrual status represent the only impaired loans accruing interest at each respective date. The Company has obligations to lend \$3.8 million of additional funds on the restructured loans as of March 31, 2009, which primarily relates to one residential development project. Non-accrual loans totaled \$117.6 million at March 31, 2009, and \$127.9 million at December 31, 2008.

Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights

The following table presents the changes in the Company's mortgage servicing rights (MSR) for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Mortgage Servicing Rights

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 8,205 | \$ 10,088 |
| Additions for new mortgage servicing rights capitalized | 1,968 | 475 |
| Changes in fair value: | | |
| Due to changes in model inputs or assumptions ⁽¹⁾ | (1,068) | (659) |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | (373) | (1,264) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 8,732 | \$ 8,640 |
| Balance of loans serviced for others | \$ 1,038,715 | \$ 866,652 |
| MSR as a percentage of serviced loans | 0.84% | 1.00% |

(1) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates.

(2) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.

The amount of contractually specified servicing fees, late fees and ancillary fees earned, recorded in mortgage banking revenue on the *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*, was \$654,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, as compared to \$600,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Key assumptions used in measuring the fair value of MSR as of March 31, 2009 were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Constant prepayment rate | 16.70% |
| Discount rate | 8.83% |
| Weighted average life (years) | 4.7 |

Note 6 Junior Subordinated Debentures

As of March 31, 2009, the Company had 14 wholly-owned trusts (Trusts), including a Master Trust formed in 2007 to issue two separate series of trust preferred securities, that were formed to issue trust preferred securities and related common securities of the Trusts and are not consolidated. Ten Trusts, representing aggregate total obligations of approximately \$106.3 million (fair value of approximately \$117.6 million as of the merger dates), were assumed in connection with previous mergers.

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Board rule, with a five-year transition period set to end on March 31, 2009, would have limited the aggregate amount of trust preferred securities and certain other restricted core capital elements to 25% of Tier 1 capital, net of goodwill and any associated deferred tax liability. The rule allowed the amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit to be included in Tier 2 capital, subject to restrictions. In response to the stressed conditions in the financial markets and in order to promote stability in the financial markets and the banking industry, on March 17, 2009, the Federal Reserve adopted a new rule that delayed the effective date of the new limits on the inclusion of trust preferred securities and other restricted core capital elements in Tier 1 capital until March 31, 2011. At March 31, 2009, the Company's restricted core capital elements were 23% of total core capital, net of goodwill and any associated deferred tax liability. There can be no assurance that the Federal Reserve Board will not further limit the amount of trust preferred securities permitted to be included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes.

Effective January 1, 2007 the Company adopted SFAS No. 159 and SFAS No. 157 allowing us to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Umpqua selected the fair value measurement option for certain pre-existing junior subordinated debentures of

Table of Contents

\$97.9 million (the Umpqua Statutory Trusts) as of the adoption date. The remaining junior subordinated debentures as of the adoption date were acquired through business combinations and were measured at fair value at the time of acquisition. Accounting for the junior subordinated debentures originally issued by the Company at fair value enables us to more closely align our financial performance with the economic value of those liabilities. Additionally, we believe it improves our ability to manage the market and interest rate risks associated with the junior subordinated debentures. The junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value and amortized cost have been presented as separate line items on the balance sheet. We use a discounted cash flow model to determine the fair value of the junior subordinated debentures using market discount rate assumptions. The future cash flows of these instruments are extended to the next available redemption date or maturity date as appropriate based upon the spreads of recent issuances or quotes from brokers for comparable bank holding companies compared to the contractual spread of each junior subordinated debenture measured at fair value. For additional assurance, we obtain a valuation from a third party pricing service to validate the results of our model.

As a result of the fair value measurement election for the above financial instruments, we recorded gains of \$580,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, as compared to \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, resulting from the change in fair value of the junior subordinated debentures recorded at fair value. The change in fair value of the junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value have resulted from the widening of the credit risk adjusted spread on potential new issuances and recent reductions in three month LIBOR rates. These gains were recorded in gain on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value within non-interest income. The contractual interest expense on junior subordinated debentures continues to be recorded on an accrual basis and is reported in interest expense. The junior subordinated debentures recorded at fair value of \$91.7 million had contractual unpaid principal amounts of \$134.0 million outstanding as of March 31, 2009. The junior subordinated debentures recorded at fair value of \$92.5 million had contractual unpaid principal amounts of \$134.0 million outstanding as of December 31, 2008.

Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments The Company leases 112 sites under non-cancelable operating leases. The leases contain various provisions for increases in rental rates, based either on changes in the published Consumer Price Index or a predetermined escalation schedule. Substantially all of the leases provide the Company with the option to extend the lease term one or more times following expiration of the initial term.

Rent expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$3.1 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. Rent expense was offset by rent income of \$148,000 and \$182,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk The Company's financial statements do not reflect various commitments and contingent liabilities that arise in the normal course of the Bank's business and involve elements of credit, liquidity and interest rate risk. The following table presents a summary of the Bank's commitments and contingent liabilities:

(in thousands)

| | As of March 31, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 1,181,297 |
| Commitments to extend overdrafts | \$ 192,284 |
| Commitments to originate loans held for sale | \$ 130,266 |
| Forward sales commitments | \$ 74,500 |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 59,964 |

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet credit risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve elements of credit and interest-rate risk similar to the amounts recognized in the *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets*. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Bank's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees written, is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any covenant or condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

requirements. While most standby letters of credit are not utilized, a significant portion of such utilization is on an immediate payment basis. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral varies but may include cash, accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Table of Contents

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. The Bank has not been required to perform on any financial guarantees and did not incur any losses in connection with standby letters of credit during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. At March 31, 2009, approximately \$35.2 million of standby letters of credit expire within one year, and \$24.8 million expire thereafter. Upon issuance, the Company recognizes a liability equivalent to the amount of fees received from the customer for these standby letter of credit commitments. Fees are recognized ratably over the term of the standby letter of credit. The estimated fair value of guarantees associated with standby letters of credit was \$120,000 as of March 31, 2009.

At March 31, 2009, the reserve for unfunded commitments, which is included in other liabilities on the *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets*, was \$935,000. The adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments is reviewed on a quarterly basis, based upon changes in the amount of commitments, loss experience, and economic conditions.

Mortgage loans sold to investors may be sold with servicing rights retained, with only the standard legal representations and warranties regarding recourse to the Bank. Management believes that any liabilities that may result from such recourse provisions are not significant.

Legal Proceedings In November 2007, Visa Inc. (Visa) announced that it had reached a settlement with American Express related to an antitrust lawsuit. Umpqua Bank and other Visa member banks are obligated to fund the settlement and share in losses resulting from this litigation. In the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company recorded a liability and corresponding expense of approximately \$3.9 million pre-tax, for its proportionate share of that settlement.

In addition, Visa notified the Company that it had established a contingency reserve related to unsettled litigation with Discover Card. In connection with this contingency, the Company recorded, in the fourth quarter of 2007, a liability and corresponding expense of \$1.2 million pre-tax, for its proportionate share of that liability. The Company is not a party to the Visa litigation and its liability arises solely from the Bank's membership interest in Visa.

During 2007, Visa announced that it completed restructuring transactions in preparation for an initial public offering of its Class A stock, and, as part of those transactions, Umpqua Bank's membership interest was exchanged for 764,036 shares of Class B common stock in Visa. In March 2008, Visa completed its initial public offering. Following the initial public offering, the Company received \$12.6 million proceeds as a mandatory partial redemption of 295,377 shares, reducing the Company's holdings from 764,036 shares to 468,659 shares of Class B common stock. A conversion ratio of 0.71429 was established for the conversion rate of Class B shares into Class A shares. Using the proceeds from this offering, Visa also established a \$3.0 billion escrow account to cover settlements, resolution of pending litigation and related claims (covered litigation). In connection with Visa's establishment of the litigation escrow account, the Company reversed the \$5.2 million Visa litigation related reserve in the first quarter of 2008.

In October 2008, Visa announced that it had reached a settlement with Discover Card related to an antitrust lawsuit. Umpqua Bank and other Visa member banks were obligated to fund the settlement and share in losses resulting from this litigation that were not already provided for in the escrow account. Visa notified the Company that it had established an additional reserve related to the settlement with Discover Card that had not already been funded into the escrow account. In connection with this settlement, the Company recorded, in the third quarter of 2008, a liability and corresponding expense of \$2.1 million pre-tax, for its proportionate share of that liability. In December 2008, this liability and expense was reversed when Visa deposited additional funds into the escrow account to cover the remaining amount of the settlement. The deposit of funds into the escrow account further reduced the conversion ratio applicable to Class B common stock outstanding from 0.71429 per Class A share to 0.6296 per Class A share.

The remaining unredeemed shares of Visa Class B common stock are restricted and may not be transferred until the later of (1) three years from the date of the initial public offering or (2) the period of time necessary to resolve the covered litigation. If the funds in the escrow account are insufficient to settle all the covered litigation, Visa may sell additional Class A shares, use the proceeds to settle litigation, and further reduce the conversion ratio. If funds remain in the escrow account after all litigation is settled, the Class B conversion ratio will be increased to reflect that surplus.

As of March 31, 2009, the value of the Class A shares was \$55.60 per share. The value of unredeemed Class A equivalent shares owned by the Company was \$16.4 million as of March 31, 2009, and has not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

In the ordinary course of business, various claims and lawsuits are brought by and against the Company, the Bank and Strand. In the opinion of management, there is no pending or threatened proceeding in which an adverse decision could result in a material adverse change in the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Concentrations of Credit Risk The Company grants real estate mortgage, real estate construction, commercial, agricultural and installment loans and leases to customers throughout Oregon, Washington and California. In management's judgment, a concentration exists in real estate-related loans, which represented approximately 79% of the Company's loan and lease portfolio at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008. Commercial real estate concentrations are managed to assure wide geographic and business diversity. Although management believes such concentrations have no more than the normal risk of collectibility, a substantial decline in the economy in general, material increases in interest rates, changes in tax policies, tightening credit or refinancing markets, or a decline in real estate values in the Company's primary market areas in particular, such as was seen with the deterioration in the residential development market since 2007, could have an adverse impact on the repayment of these loans. Personal and business incomes, proceeds from the sale of real property, or proceeds from refinancing, represent the primary sources of repayment for a majority of these loans.

The Bank recognizes the credit risks inherent in dealing with other depository institutions. Accordingly, to prevent excessive exposure to any single correspondent, the Bank has established general standards for selecting correspondent banks as well as internal limits for allowable exposure to any single correspondent. In addition, the Bank has an investment policy that sets forth limitations that apply to all investments with respect to credit rating and concentrations with an issuer.

Note 8 Derivatives

The Company may use derivatives to hedge the risk of changes in the fair values of interest rate lock commitments, residential mortgage loans held for sale, and mortgage servicing rights. None of the Company's derivatives are designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133. Rather, they are accounted for as free-standing derivatives, or economic hedges, with changes in the fair value of the derivatives reported in income. The Company primarily utilizes forward interest rate contracts in its derivative risk management strategy.

The Bank enters into forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities to broker/dealers at specific prices and dates (MBS TBAs) in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale and its residential mortgage loan commitments. Credit risk associated with forward contracts is limited to the replacement cost of those forward contracts in a gain position. There were no counterparty default losses on forward contracts in the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. Market risk with respect to forward contracts arises principally from changes in the value of contractual positions due to changes in interest rates. The Bank limits its exposure to market risk by monitoring differences between commitments to customers and forward contracts with broker/dealers. In the event the Company has forward delivery contract commitments in excess of available mortgage loans, the Company completes the transaction by either paying or receiving a fee to or from the broker/dealer equal to the increase or decrease in the market value of the forward contract. At March 31, 2009, the Bank had commitments to originate mortgage loans held for sale totaling \$130.3 million and forward sales commitments of \$74.5 million.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company began using derivative instruments, primarily MBS TBAs, to hedge the risk of changes in the fair value of MSR due to changes in interest rates. Starting in late February 2008 and continuing into March 2008, the bond markets experienced extraordinary volatility. This volatility resulted in widening spreads and price declines on the derivative instruments that were not offset by corresponding gains in the MSR asset. In March of 2008, the Company suspended the MSR hedge, given the continued volatility.

The following tables summarize the types of derivatives, separately by assets and liabilities, their locations on the *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets*, and the fair values of such derivatives as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

(in thousands)

| Underlying Risk Exposure | Description | Balance Sheet Location | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Asset Derivatives | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Rate lock commitments | Other assets | \$ 1,297 | \$ 1,170 |
| Interest rate contracts | Forward sales commitments | Other assets | 43 | 151 |
| Total asset derivatives | | | \$ 1,340 | \$ 1,321 |

Liability Derivatives

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----|-----|----|-----|
| Interest rate contracts | Rate lock commitments | Other liabilities | \$ | 1 | \$ | 3 |
| Interest rate contracts | Forward sales commitments | Other liabilities | | 961 | | 583 |
| Total liability derivatives | | | \$ | 962 | \$ | 586 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the types of derivatives, their locations within the *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*, and the gains (losses) recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

(in thousands)

| Underlying Risk Exposure | Description | Income Statement Location | Three months ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | | | 2009 | 2008 |
| Interest rate contracts | Rate lock commitments | Mortgage banking revenue | \$ 129 | \$ 14 |
| Interest rate contracts | Forward sales commitments | Mortgage banking revenue | (955) | (138) |
| Interest rate contracts | MSR hedge instruments | Mortgage banking revenue | - | (2,398) |
| Total | | | \$ (826) | \$ (2,522) |

The Company's derivative instruments do not have specific credit risk-related contingent features. The forward sales commitments do have contingent features that may require transferring collateral to the broker/dealers upon their request. However, this amount would be limited to the net unsecured loss exposure at such point in time and would not materially effect the Company's liquidity or results of operations.

Note 9 Preferred Stock

On November 14, 2008, in exchange for an aggregate purchase price of \$214.2 million, the Company issued and sold to the United State Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) pursuant to the TARP Capital Purchase Program (the CPP) the following: (i) 214,181 shares of the Company's newly designated non-convertible Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, (the preferred stock) no par value per share and liquidation preference \$1,000 per share (and \$214.2 million liquidation preference in the aggregate) and (ii) a warrant to purchase up to 2,221,795 shares of the Company's common stock, no par value per share, at an exercise price of \$14.46 per share, subject to certain customary anti-dilution and other adjustments.

The preferred stock bears cumulative dividends at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years and 9% per annum thereafter, in each case, applied to the \$1,000 per share liquidation preference, but will only be paid when, as and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor. Dividend payments are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of February, May, August and November of each year.

In February 2009, the Board declared and the Company paid the first quarterly dividend payable to the United States Department of the Treasury. In April 2009, the Board declared a dividend in the amount of \$2.7 million, which is payable May 15, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, no dividends on the preferred stock were in arrears.

Note 10 Stock-Based Compensation

The compensation cost related to stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units (included in salaries and employee benefits) was \$916,000 and \$735,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement related to stock based compensation was \$366,000 and \$294,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about stock option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2009:

(in thousands, except per share data)

| Options Outstanding | Three months ended March 31, 2009 | | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price | Weighted-Avg Remaining Contractual | |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | Term (Years) | |
|------------------------------------|-------|----|---------------------|-------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | 1,819 | \$ | 15.66 | |
| Granted | 222 | \$ | 9.32 | |
| Exercised | (7) | \$ | 6.99 | |
| Forfeited/expired | (58) | \$ | 17.89 | |
| | | | | |
| Balance, end of period | 1,976 | \$ | 14.91 | 6.13 \$ 942 |
| | | | | |
| Options exercisable, end of period | 1,235 | \$ | 15.52 | 4.51 \$ 940 |

Table of Contents

The total intrinsic value (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise price on the date of exercise) of options exercised was \$19,000 and \$350,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$52,000 and \$501,000, respectively.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following weighted average assumptions were used for stock options granted in the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Dividend yield | 2.23% | 4.33% |
| Expected life (years) | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Expected volatility | 45% | 32% |
| Risk-free rate | 2.16% | 3.19% |
| Weighted average fair value of options on date of grant | \$ 3.63 | \$ 3.43 |

The Company grants restricted stock periodically as a part of the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan for the benefit of employees. Restricted shares issued generally vest on an annual basis over five years. A deferred restricted stock award was granted to an executive in the second quarter of 2007. The award vests monthly based on continued service in various increments through July 1, 2011. The Company will issue certificates for the vested award within the seventh month following termination of the executive's employment. The following table summarizes information about non-vested restricted share activity for the three months ended March 31, 2009:

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three months ended March 31, 2009 | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Restricted Shares Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Balance, beginning of period | 216 | \$ 23.42 |
| Granted | 18 | \$ 9.96 |
| Released | (40) | \$ 23.89 |
| Forfeited/expired | (4) | \$ 26.80 |
| Balance, end of period | 190 | \$ 21.98 |

The total fair value of restricted shares vested and released during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$376,000 and \$448,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company grants restricted stock units as a part of the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan for the benefit of certain executive officers. Restricted stock unit grants are subject to performance-based vesting as well as other approved vesting conditions. In the second quarter of 2007, restricted stock units were granted that cliff vest after three years based on performance and service conditions. In the first quarter of 2008 and 2009, additional restricted stock units were granted to these executives under substantially similar vesting terms. The total number of restricted stock units granted represents the maximum number of restricted stock units eligible to vest based upon the performance and service conditions set forth in the grant agreements. The following table summarizes information about restricted stock unit activity for the three months ended March 31, 2009:

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three months ended March 31, 2009 | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | Restricted Stock Units Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Balance, beginning of period | 301 | \$ 19.48 |
| Granted | 114 | \$ 8.01 |
| Released | (23) | \$ 21.33 |
| Forfeited/expired | (57) | \$ 18.98 |
| Balance, end of period | 335 | \$ 15.54 |

As of March 31, 2009, there was \$2.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.5 years. As of March 31, 2009, there was \$3.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.1 years. As of March 31, 2009, there was \$1.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock units which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years, assuming performance conditions are met.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company received income tax benefits of \$218,000 and \$319,000, respectively, related to the exercise of non-qualified employee stock options, disqualifying dispositions in the exercise of incentive stock options and the vesting of restricted shares. In the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company had net tax deficiencies (tax deficiency resulting from tax deductions less than the compensation cost recognized) of \$331,000, compared to net tax deficiencies of \$133,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Only cash flows from gross excess tax benefits are classified as financing cash flows, for which there were none in either period.

Note 11 Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, as well as the Oregon and California state jurisdictions. Except for the California amended returns of an acquired institution for the tax years 2001, 2002, and 2003, and only as it relates to the net interest deduction taken on these amended returns, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or Oregon state examinations by tax authorities for years before 2005 and California state examinations for years before 2004. The Internal Revenue Service concluded an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax returns for 2003 and 2004 in the second quarter of 2006. The results of the examination had no significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established to reduce the net carrying amount of deferred tax assets if it is determined to be more likely than not, that all or some portion of the potential deferred tax asset will not be realized.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, (FIN 48) on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized no material adjustment in the form of a liability for unrecognized tax benefits. The Company periodically reviews its income tax positions based on tax laws and regulations and financial reporting considerations,

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

and records adjustments as appropriate. This review takes into consideration the status of current taxing authorities' examinations of the Company's tax returns, recent positions taken by the taxing authorities on similar transactions, if any, and the overall tax environment.

In accordance with FIN 48, the Company recorded a net liability for unrecognized tax benefits relating to California tax incentives in the amount of \$487,602 during the first quarter of 2009. The Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits recorded as of March 31, 2009 in the amount of \$1,792,484. If recognized, the unrecognized tax benefit would impact the 2009 annual effective tax rate by 0.4%. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company also accrued \$140,127 of interest related to unrecognized tax benefits, which is reported by the Company as a component of tax expense. As of March 31, 2009, the accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits is \$303,691.

Table of Contents**Note 12 Earnings Per Common Share**

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. According to FSP EITF 03-6-1, nonvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. According to SFAS 128, *Earnings Per Share*, the two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Certain of the Company's nonvested restricted stock awards qualify as participating securities under FSP EITF 03-6-1.

Net income, less any preferred dividends accumulated for the period (whether or not declared), is allocated between the common stock and participating securities pursuant to the two-class method. *Basic earnings per common share* is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, excluding participating nonvested restricted shares.

Diluted earnings per common share is computed in a similar manner, except that first the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive common shares, excluding the participating securities, were issued using the treasury stock method. For all periods presented, warrants, stock options, certain restricted stock awards and restricted stock units are the only potentially dilutive non-participating instruments issued by the Company. Next, we determine and include in diluted earnings per common share calculation the more dilutive effect of the participating securities using the treasury stock method or the two-class method. Losses are not allocated to the nonvested share-based payment awards (the participating securities) under the two-class method as the holders are not contractually obligated to share in the losses of the Company.

According to the provisions of FSP EITF 03-6-1, all prior-period earnings per common share amounts have been retrospectively adjusted; however, this has had no effect on the current periods presented.

The following is a computation of basic and diluted (loss) earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Earnings per Share

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| NUMERATORS: | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (15,249) | \$ 24,671 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 3,191 | - |
| Net income allocated to participating nonvested restricted stock awards | - | 82 |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | \$ (18,440) | \$ 24,589 |
| DENOMINATORS: | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic | 60,176 | 60,029 |
| Effect of potentially dilutive common shares ⁽¹⁾ | - | 345 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - diluted | 60,176 | 60,374 |
| (LOSS) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE: | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.31) | \$ 0.41 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.31) | \$ 0.41 |

- (1) Represents the effect of the assumed exercise of warrants, assumed exercise of stock options, vesting of non-participating restricted shares, and vesting of restricted stock units, based on the treasury stock method.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, options and warrants to purchase 3.8 million shares of common stock and 27,000 nonparticipating, nonvested restricted shares and units were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because their effect would be anti-dilutive. This compares to options to purchase 1.0 million shares of common stock and 38,000 nonparticipating, nonvested restricted shares and units that were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because their effect would be anti-dilutive for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Segment Information**

The Company operates three primary segments: Community Banking, Mortgage Banking and Retail Brokerage. The Community Banking segment's principal business focus is the offering of loan and deposit products to business and retail customers in its primary market areas. As of March 31, 2009, the Community Banking segment operated 150 stores located throughout Oregon, Northern California and Washington.

The Mortgage Banking segment, which operates as a division of the Bank, originates, sells and services residential mortgage loans.

The Retail Brokerage segment consists of the operations of Strand, which offers a full range of retail brokerage services and products to its clients who consist primarily of individual investors. The Company accounts for intercompany fees and services between Strand and the Bank at estimated fair value according to regulatory requirements for services provided. Intercompany items relate primarily to management services, referral fees and interest on intercompany borrowings.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments and the reconciliation to the consolidated financial results is shown in the following tables:

Segment Information

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Community Banking | Retail Brokerage | Mortgage Banking | Consolidated |
| Interest income | \$ 101,148 | \$ 9 | \$ 3,219 | \$ 104,376 |
| Interest expense | 27,918 | - | 1,045 | 28,963 |
| Net interest income | 73,230 | 9 | 2,174 | 75,413 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 59,092 | - | - | 59,092 |
| Non-interest income | 9,911 | 1,461 | 4,145 | 15,517 |
| Non-interest expense | 54,459 | 2,050 | 3,442 | 59,951 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (30,410) | (580) | 2,877 | (28,113) |
| (Benefit from) provision for income taxes | (13,782) | (233) | 1,151 | (12,864) |
| Net (loss) income | (16,628) | (347) | 1,726 | (15,249) |
| Preferred stock dividends | 3,191 | - | - | 3,191 |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | \$ (19,819) | \$ (347) | \$ 1,726 | \$ (18,440) |

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Community Banking | Retail Brokerage | Mortgage Banking | Consolidated |
| Interest income | \$ 112,155 | \$ - | \$ 3,286 | \$ 115,441 |
| Interest expense | 43,828 | - | 1,593 | 45,421 |
| Net interest income | 68,327 | - | 1,693 | 70,020 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 15,132 | - | - | 15,132 |
| Non-interest income | 29,128 | 2,299 | (1,833) | 29,594 |
| Non-interest expense | 43,324 | 2,172 | 1,991 | 47,487 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 38,999 | 127 | (2,131) | 36,995 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 13,124 | 52 | (852) | 12,324 |
| Net income (loss) | 25,875 | 75 | (1,279) | 24,671 |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | - | - | - |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ 25,875 | \$ 75 | \$ (1,279) | \$ 24,671 |

Table of Contents

(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2009 | | | | Consolidated |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Community Banking | Retail | | Mortgage Banking | |
| | | Brokerage | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 8,551,279 | \$ 10,689 | \$ 220,565 | \$ 8,782,533 | |
| Total loans | \$ 5,907,435 | \$ - | \$ 175,045 | \$ 6,082,480 | |
| Total deposits | \$ 6,781,928 | \$ - | \$ 10,606 | \$ 6,792,534 | |

| | December 31, 2008 | | | | Consolidated |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Community Banking | Retail | | Mortgage Banking | |
| | | Brokerage | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 8,376,734 | \$ 7,656 | \$ 213,160 | \$ 8,597,550 | |
| Total loans | \$ 5,951,047 | \$ - | \$ 180,327 | \$ 6,131,374 | |
| Total deposits | \$ 6,582,440 | \$ - | \$ 6,495 | \$ 6,588,935 | |

Note 14 Fair Value Measurement

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

(in thousands)

| Description | Fair Value at March 31, 2009 | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Trading securities | \$ 1,485 | \$ 1,485 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Available for sale securities | 1,435,293 | - | 1,435,293 | - |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 8,732 | - | 8,732 | - |
| Derivatives | 1,340 | - | 1,340 | - |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 1,446,850 | \$ 1,485 | \$ 1,445,365 | \$ - |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | \$ 91,682 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 91,682 |
| Derivatives | 962 | - | 962 | - |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ 92,644 | \$ - | \$ 962 | \$ 91,682 |

| Description | Fair Value at December 31, 2008 | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Trading securities | \$ 1,987 | \$ 1,987 | \$ - | \$ - |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| Available for sale securities | 1,238,712 | - | 1,238,712 | - |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 8,205 | - | 8,205 | - |
| Derivatives | 1,321 | - | 1,321 | - |
| | | | | |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 1,250,225 | \$ 1,987 | \$ 1,248,238 | \$ - |
| | | | | |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | \$ 92,520 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 92,520 |
| Derivatives | 586 | - | 586 | - |
| | | | | |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ 93,106 | \$ - | \$ 586 | \$ 92,520 |

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Securities - Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices when available or through the use of alternative approaches, such as matrix or model pricing, when market quotes are not readily accessible or available.

Mortgage Servicing Rights - The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated using a discounted cash flow model. Assumptions used include market discount rates, anticipated prepayment speeds, delinquency and foreclosure rates, and ancillary fee income. This model is periodically validated by an independent external model validation group. Management believes the significant inputs utilized in the valuation model are observable in the market. The model assumptions and the MSR fair value estimates are also compared to observable trades of similar portfolios as well as to MSR broker valuations and industry surveys.

Table of Contents

Junior Subordinated Debentures - The fair value of junior subordinated debentures is estimated using a discounted cash flow model. The future cash flows of these instruments are extended to the next available redemption date or maturity date as appropriate based upon the spreads of recent issuances or quotes from brokers for comparable bank holding companies compared to the contractual spread of each junior subordinated debenture measured at fair value. For additional assurance, we obtained a valuation from a third party pricing service to validate the results of our model. Due to the increasing credit concerns in the capital markets and inactivity in the trust preferred markets that have limited the observability of market spreads, we have classified this as a Level 3 fair value measure since the third quarter of 2008. Management believes that the credit risk adjusted spread being utilized is indicative of those that would be used by willing market participants in an active market.

Derivative Instruments - The fair value of the derivative instruments is estimated using quoted or published market prices for similar instruments, adjusted for factors such as pull-through rate assumptions based on historical information, where appropriate. The fair value of derivative instruments are presented net by each instrument type.

The following table provides a reconciliation of liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring basis during the period ended March 31, 2009. There were no financial assets or liabilities measured at fair value using level 3 inputs on a recurring basis during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

(in thousands)

| | Junior Subordinated Debentures |
|---|---|
| Beginning balance | \$ 92,520 |
| Total net loss included in earnings | |
| Accrued interest on junior subordinated debentures | 1,464 |
| Gains on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value | (580) |
| Purchases, issuances, and settlements | (1,722) |
| Ending Balance | \$ 91,682 |

| | |
|---|--------|
| The amount of total net loss for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains relating to liabilities still held at the reporting date | \$ 884 |
|---|--------|

Gains resulting from the widening of the credit risk adjusted spreads on potential new issuances and recent reductions in the three month LIBOR rates are recorded as gains on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value within other income. The contractual interest expense on the junior subordinated debentures is recorded on an accrual basis as interest on junior subordinated debentures within interest expense. Settlements represent the payment of accrued interest that is embedded in the fair value of the liability.

The change in fair value for junior subordinated debentures during the period is not the result of instrument-specific credit risk, but rather market changes in the pricing of this type of debt. The widening of the credit risk adjusted rate spread on potential new issuances above the Company's contractual spreads and recent reductions in the three month LIBOR rates have contributed to the positive fair value adjustments. Conversely, contractions in future credit risk adjusted rate spreads on potential new issuances relative to the market rate spread utilized to measure the Company's junior subordinated debentures at fair value as of March 31, 2009 or future increases to the three month LIBOR will result in negative fair value adjustments.

Table of Contents

Additionally, from time to time, certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These adjustments to fair value generally result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets due to impairment. The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded during the reporting period:

(in thousands)

| Description | Total | Fair Value at March 31, 2009 | | |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Investment securities, held to maturity | \$ 187 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 187 |
| Loans and leases | 60,953 | - | - | 60,953 |
| Other real estate owned | 9,525 | - | - | 9,525 |
| | \$ 70,665 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 70,665 |

| Description | Total | Fair Value at December 31, 2008 | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Investment securities, held to maturity | \$ 319 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 319 |
| Loans and leases | 65,752 | - | - | 65,752 |
| Goodwill | 2,715 | - | - | 2,715 |
| Other real estate owned | 4,251 | - | - | 4,251 |
| | \$ 73,037 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 73,037 |

The following table presents the losses resulting from nonrecurring fair value adjustments for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Investment securities, held to maturity | \$ 2,137 | \$ - |
| Loans and leases | 54,372 | 9,172 |
| Other real estate owned | 1,611 | - |
| Total loss from nonrecurring measurements | \$ 58,120 | \$ 9,172 |

The investment securities held to maturity above represent three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations where other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) has been identified and the investments have been adjusted to fair value. The fair value of these investments securities were obtained from third party pricing services using matrix or model pricing methodologies and were corroborated by broker indicative bids. OTTI charges are recognized within net gain on investment securities.

The loans and leases amount above represents impaired, collateral dependent loans that have been adjusted to fair value. When we identify a collateral dependent loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs. Depending on the characteristics of a loan, the fair value of collateral is estimated by obtaining external appraisals. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment and adjust the carrying value of the loan to fair value through the allowance for loan and lease losses. The loss represents charge-offs or impairments on collateral dependent loans for fair value

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

adjustments based on the fair value of collateral. The carrying value of loans fully charged-off is zero.

The other real estate owned amount above represents impaired real estate that has been adjusted to fair value. Other real estate owned represents real estate which the Bank has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of loans. At the time of foreclosure, other real estate owned is recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or fair value less costs to sell, which becomes the property's new basis. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. After foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations such that the real estate is carried at the lower of its new cost basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Fair value adjustments on other real estate owned are recognized within net loss on real estate owned. The loss represents impairments on other real estate owned for fair value adjustments based on the fair value of the real estate.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Report contains certain forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may include statements that expressly or implicitly predict future results, performance or events. Statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. In addition, the words anticipates, expects, believes, estimates and intends and words or phrases of similar meaning identify forward-looking statements. We make forward-looking statements regarding projected sources of funds, adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses and provision for loan and lease losses, and subsequent charge-offs. Forward-looking statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of Umpqua. Risks and uncertainties include those set forth in our filings with the SEC and the following factors that might cause actual results to differ materially from those presented:

- The ability to attract new deposits and loans
- Demand for financial services in our market areas
- Competitive market pricing factors
- Deterioration in economic conditions that could result in increased loan and lease losses
- Risks associated with concentrations in real estate related loans
- Market interest rate volatility
- Stability of funding sources and continued availability of borrowings
- Changes in legal or regulatory requirements or the results of regulatory examinations that could restrict growth
- The ability to recruit and retain certain key management and staff
- Risks associated with merger integration
- Significant decline in the market value of the Company that could result in an impairment of goodwill
- The ability to raise capital or incur debt on reasonable terms
- Regulatory limits on the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company
- Effectiveness of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the EESA) and other legislative and regulatory efforts to help stabilize the U.S. financial markets
- Future legislative or administrative changes to the Capital Purchase Program enacted under EESA

There are many factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements. We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements. Readers should consider any forward-looking statements in light of this explanation, and we caution readers about relying on forward-looking statements.

General

Umpqua Holdings Corporation (referred to in this report as we, our, Umpqua, and the Company), an Oregon corporation, is a financial holding company with two principal operating subsidiaries, Umpqua Bank (the Bank) and Strand, Atkinson, Williams and York, Inc. (Strand).

Our headquarters are located in Portland, Oregon, and we engage primarily in the business of commercial and retail banking and the delivery of retail brokerage services. The Bank provides a wide range of banking, mortgage banking and other financial services to corporate, institutional and individual customers. Along with our subsidiaries, we are subject to the regulations of state and federal agencies and undergo periodic examinations by these regulatory agencies.

We are considered one of the most innovative community banks in the United States, combining a retail product delivery approach with an emphasis on quality-assured personal service. The Bank has evolved from a traditional community bank into a community-oriented financial services retailer by implementing a variety of retail marketing strategies to increase revenue and differentiate ourselves from our competition.

Strand is a registered broker-dealer and investment advisor with offices in Portland, Eugene, and Medford, Oregon, and in many Umpqua Bank stores. The firm is one of the oldest investment companies in the Northwest and is active in many community events. Strand offers a full range of investment products and services including: stocks, fixed income securities (municipal, corporate, and government bonds, CDs, and money market instruments), mutual funds, annuities, options, retirement planning, money management services, life insurance, disability insurance and medical supplement policies.

Table of Contents

Executive Overview

Significant items for the first quarter of 2009 were as follows:

Net loss per diluted common share was \$0.31 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, as compared to earnings per diluted common share of \$0.41 for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Non-performing assets decreased to \$159.5 million, or 1.82% of total assets, as of March 31, 2009, compared to \$161.3 million, or 1.88% of total assets as of December 31, 2008. Non-performing loans decreased to \$126.7 million, or 2.08% of total loans, as of March 31, 2009, compared to \$133.4 million, or 2.18% of total loans, as of December 31, 2008. Non-accrual loans have been written-down to their estimated net realizable values.

Net charge-offs were \$59.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, or 3.96% of average loans and leases (annualized), as compared to net charge-offs of \$13.5 million, or 0.89% of average loans and leases (annualized), for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The majority of charge-offs in both periods primarily relate to our residential development portfolio.

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$59.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, as compared to the \$15.1 million recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This resulted from the increase in net charge-offs and non-performing loans, and downgrades within the portfolio between the two periods.

We recorded gains of \$580,000 in the income statement representing the change in fair value on our junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value in the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to gains of \$1.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008. The gains recognized during these periods were due to the widening of the credit risk adjusted rate spread on potential new issuances above the Company's contractual spreads and recent reductions in the three month LIBOR rates.

Mortgage banking revenue was \$4.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to a loss of \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Closed mortgage volume in the current period increased 137% over the first quarter of 2008 due to a significant increase in refinancing activity, resulting from historically low mortgage interest rates. The loss in the prior period primarily resulted from a \$2.4 million charge on an ineffective mortgage servicing right (MSR) hedge, which has been suspended, due to widening spreads and price declines that were not offset by a corresponding gain in the related MSR asset.

Net gain on investment securities of \$35,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 includes an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charge of \$2.1 million, which primarily relates to non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations where the default rates and loss severities of the underlying collateral indicate credit losses are expected to occur. At March 31, 2009, the held to maturity non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations represented \$9.9 million of the investment portfolio. The impairment charge was offset by the gain on sales of securities of \$2.2 million.

Net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, increased to 4.07% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to 3.98% for the same period a year ago. The increase in net interest margin resulted from a decrease in the cost of interest bearing deposits, partially offset by reductions in earning asset yields primarily due to the decline in the prime rate between the two periods. Excluding interest reversals on loans of \$976,000 during the quarter, net interest margin would have been 4.12%.

Total risk based capital decreased to 14.4% as of March 31, 2009, compared to 14.6% as of December 31, 2008 related to the net loss for the quarter and growth from the FDIC assisted purchase and assumption of certain assets and liabilities of the Bank of Clark

County.

On January 16, 2009, Umpqua Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with the FDIC to assume at no premium the insured non-brokered deposit balances, which totaled \$183.9 million, and certain other assets, of the Bank of Clark County in Vancouver, Washington. The integration and conversion of all of Bank of Clark County's accounts was completed prior to quarter-end.

Total gross loans and leases were \$6.1 billion as of March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$48.9 million, or 3.2% annualized, as compared to December 31, 2008. Total gross loan fundings during the first quarter of 2009 were \$454.5 million, which were offset by payments received on previously funded loans of \$443.5 million, and net charge-offs of \$59.9 million.

Total deposits were \$6.8 billion as of March 31, 2009, an increase of \$203.6 million, or 12.5% annualized, as compared to December 31, 2008. The remaining deposits from the Bank of Clark County assumption totaled \$166.8 million as of March 31, 2009. Excluding the assumption, the organic deposit growth rate was 2.3% annualized.

Table of Contents

Total consolidated assets were \$8.8 billion as of March 31, 2009, representing an increase of \$185.0 million compared to December 31, 2008.

Cash dividends declared in the first quarter of 2009 were \$0.05 per common share, consistent with the amount declared in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in the Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on February 26, 2009. Not all of these critical accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. Management believes that the following policies would be considered critical under the SEC 's definition.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The Bank performs regular credit reviews of the loan and lease portfolio to determine the credit quality and adherence to underwriting standards. When loans and leases are originated, they are assigned a risk rating that is reassessed periodically during the term of the loan through the credit review process. The Company 's risk rating methodology assigns risk ratings ranging from 1 to 10, where a higher rating represents higher risk. The 10 risk rating categories are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank has a management Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) Committee, which is responsible for, among other things, regularly reviewing the ALLL methodology, including loss factors, and ensuring that it is designed and applied in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL Committee reviews and approves loans and leases recommended for impaired status. The ALLL Committee also approves removing loans and leases from impaired status. The Bank 's Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology on a quarterly basis.

Each risk rating is assessed an inherent credit loss factor that determines the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses provided for that group of loans and leases with similar risk rating. Credit loss factors may vary by region based on management 's belief that there may ultimately be different credit loss rates experienced in each region.

Regular credit reviews of the portfolio also identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designated loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine that we will probably not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we either recognize an impairment reserve as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses or charge-off the impaired balance on collateral dependent loans if it is determined that such amount represents a confirmed loss. The combination of the risk rating-based allowance component and the impairment reserve allowance component lead to an allocated allowance for loan and lease losses.

The Bank may also maintain an unallocated allowance amount to provide for other credit losses inherent in a loan and lease portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the credit loss factors. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance, but may be maintained at higher levels during times of deteriorating economic conditions characterized by falling real estate values. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

The reserve for unfunded commitments (RUC) is established to absorb inherent losses associated with our commitment to lend funds, such as with a letter or line of credit. The adequacy of the ALLL and RUC are monitored on a regular basis and are based on management 's evaluation of numerous factors. These factors include the quality of the current loan portfolio; the trend in the loan portfolio 's risk ratings; current economic conditions; loan concentrations; loan growth rates; past-due and non-performing trends; evaluation of specific loss estimates for all significant problem loans; historical charge-off and recovery experience; and other pertinent information.

Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of March 31, 2009. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review. Approximately 79% of our loan portfolio

Table of Contents

is secured by real estate, and a significant decline in real estate market values may require an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses. Over the last two years, there has been deterioration in the residential development market which has led to an increase in non-performing loans and the allowance for loan and lease losses. A continued deterioration in this market or deterioration in other segments of our loan portfolio may lead to additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses.

Mortgage Servicing Rights (MSR)

In accordance with SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140*, the Company determines its classes of servicing assets based on the asset type being serviced along with the methods used to manage the risk inherent in the servicing assets, which includes the market inputs used to value the servicing assets. The Company elected to measure its residential mortgage servicing assets at fair value and to report changes in fair value through earnings. Fair value adjustments encompass market-driven valuation changes and the runoff in value that occurs from the passage of time, which are separately reported. Under the fair value method, the MSR, net, is carried in the balance sheet at fair value and the changes in fair value are reported in earnings under the caption mortgage banking revenue in the period in which the change occurs.

Retained mortgage servicing rights are measured at fair values on the date of sale. We use quoted market prices when available. Subsequent fair value measurements are determined using a discounted cash flow model. In order to determine the fair value of the MSR, the present value of expected future cash flows is estimated. Assumptions used include market discount rates, anticipated prepayment speeds, delinquency and foreclosure rates, and ancillary fee income. This model is periodically validated by an independent external model validation group. The model assumptions and the MSR fair value estimates are also compared to observable trades of similar portfolios as well as to MSR broker valuations and industry surveys.

The expected life of the loan can vary from management's estimates due to prepayments by borrowers, especially when rates fall. Prepayments in excess of management's estimates would negatively impact the recorded value of the mortgage servicing rights. The value of the mortgage servicing rights is also dependent upon the discount rate used in the model, which we base on current market rates. Management reviews this rate on an ongoing basis based on current market rates. A significant increase in the discount rate would reduce the value of mortgage servicing rights. Additional information is included in Note 5 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Valuation of Goodwill and Intangible Assets

At March 31, 2009, we had \$756.5 million in goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of business combinations. Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but instead are periodically tested for impairment. Management performs an impairment analysis for the intangible assets with indefinite lives on an annual basis as of December 31. As a result of this analysis, management determined that there was a \$1.0 million impairment related to the Retail Brokerage reporting segment as of December 31, 2008, which resulted from the Company's evaluation following the departure of certain Strand financial advisors. The valuation of the impairment at the Retail Brokerage operating segment was determined using an income approach by discounting cash flows of forecasted earnings. The remaining balance of goodwill and other intangible assets relates to the Community Banking reporting segment. The Company engaged an independent valuation specialist to assist us in determining whether and to what extent the Community Banking's goodwill asset was impaired at December 31, 2008. The valuation of the reporting unit was determined using discounted cash flows of forecasted earnings, estimated sales price multiples based on recent observable market transactions and market capitalization based on current stock price. The step one impairment test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was less than its carrying value. Based on the results of the step two impairment test, management determined that the implied fair value of the goodwill was greater than its carrying amount on the Company's balance sheet and no goodwill impairment existed as of December 31, 2008 in the Community Banking segment. If impairment was deemed to exist, a write down of the asset would occur with a charge to earnings. The impairment analysis requires management to make subjective judgments. Events and factors that may significantly affect the estimates include, among others, competitive forces, customer behaviors and attrition, changes in revenue growth trends, cost structures, technology, changes in discount rates and specific industry and market conditions. Management does not believe there have been any events in the three months ended March 31, 2009, such as a significant decrease in the fair value of the reporting unit or its underlying assets and liabilities, a significant adverse change in the business climate, or any other indication of impairment, that would trigger a remeasurement of, or result in an impairment to goodwill as of March 31, 2009.

Stock-based Compensation

Consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, *Share Based Payment*, a revision to the previously issued guidance on accounting for stock options and other forms of equity-based compensation, we recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees' requisite service period (generally the vesting period). The requisite service period may be subject to performance conditions. The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Management assumptions utilized at the time of grant impact the fair value of the option calculated under

the Black-Scholes methodology, and ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the life of the option. Additional information is included in Note 10 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Table of Contents*Fair Value*

SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about financial instruments carried at fair value. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observability utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair value. The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial instruments with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of pricing observability and a lesser degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments rarely traded or not quoted will generally have little or no pricing observability and a higher degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Pricing observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established and the characteristics specific to the transaction. See Note 14 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* for additional information about the level of pricing transparency associated with financial instruments carried at fair value.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised), *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquired entity and the goodwill acquired. Furthermore, acquisition-related and other costs will now be expensed rather than treated as cost components of the acquisition. SFAS No. 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 141R will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements as related to business combinations consummated prior to January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 141R will increase the costs charged to operations for acquisitions consummated on or after January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment to ARD No 51*. SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. The standard also requires additional disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interest of the parent's owners and the interest of the noncontrolling owners of the subsidiary. This statement is effective on January 1, 2009 for the Company, to be applied prospectively. The impact of adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*. SFAS No. 161 expands the disclosure requirements in SFAS No. 133 about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. This includes enhanced disclosures regarding how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Provisions of this statement are to be applied prospectively, and comparative disclosures for earlier periods are encouraged. We adopted the provisions of SFAS 161 for the year ended December 31, 2008, and the impact was not material to our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. Under SFAS 162, the U.S. GAAP hierarchy will now reside in the accounting literature established by the FASB. SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP for nongovernmental entities. This statement is effective 60 days after the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission approves the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No 162 will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. FSP EITF 03-6-1 concludes that nonvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, to be applied retrospectively. Certain of the Company's nonvested restricted stock awards qualify as participating securities as described under this pronouncement. The adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 reduced both basic and diluted earnings per common share by \$0.01 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

In October 2008, FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*. FSP No. 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate

Table of Contents

key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. The FSP does not change existing generally accepted accounting principles. This FSP was effective immediately upon issuance, including prior periods for which financial statements had not been issued. The impact of adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2009, FASB issued FSP EITF 99-20-1, *Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20*. FSP EITF 99-20-1 addresses certain practice issues in EITF No. 99-20, *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests That Continue to Be Held by a Transferor in Securitized Financial Assets*, by making its other-than-temporary impairment assessment guidance consistent with SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. FSP EITF 99-20-1 removes the reference to the consideration of a market participant's estimates of cash flows in EITF 99-20, and instead requires an assessment of whether it is probable, based on current information and events, that the holder of the security will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms. If it is probable that there has been an adverse change in estimated cash flows, an other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to exist, and a corresponding loss shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment's carrying value and its fair value at the balance sheet date of the reporting period for which the assessment is made. This FSP became effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2008, and is applied prospectively. The impact of adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On April 9, 2009, FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*. FSP FAS 157-4 provides guidance to help an entity determine whether the market for an asset is not active and when a price for a transaction is not distressed. In this two-step model, an entity must first determine whether there are factors present that indicate that the market for the asset is not active at the measurement date. Second, an entity must evaluate whether a quoted price is representative of a transaction that is not orderly. If determined that a quoted price is distressed (not orderly), and thereby not representative of fair value under SFAS 157, the entity must make adjustments to the quoted price or utilize an alternative valuation technique (e.g. income approach or multiple valuation techniques) to determine fair value. Additionally, an entity must incorporate appropriate risk premium adjustments, reflective of an orderly transaction under current market conditions, due to uncertainty in cash flows. This FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of FSP FAS 157-4.

On April 9, 2009, FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments (OTTI)*, that changes the OTTI model for debt securities. Under previous guidance, an entity is required to assess whether it has the intent and ability to hold a security to recovery in determining whether an impairment of that security is other-than-temporary. If the impairment was deemed other-than-temporarily impaired, the investment was written-down to fair value through earnings. Under the new guidance, OTTI is triggered if an entity has the intent to sell the security, it is more likely than not that will be required to sell the security before recovery, or if the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If the entity intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost basis, the entire impairment loss would be recognized in earnings as an OTTI. If the entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not likely that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost basis, only the portion of the impairment loss representing credit losses would be recognized in earnings as an OTTI. The remaining impairment loss would be recognized as a charge to other comprehensive income (OCI). The FSP also results in a new category within OCI for the portion of the OTTI that is unrelated to credit losses for securities held to maturity. The impairment recognized in OCI would be amortized over the remaining life of the debt security prospectively based on the amount and timing of future estimated cash flows, unless there is an indication of additional credit losses. The amortization of the OTTI amount recorded in OCI will increase the carrying value of the investment, and would not affect earnings. Upon adoption of the FSP, the noncredit portion of previously recognized OTTI shall be reclassified to accumulated OCI by a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. This FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2.

On April 9, 2009, FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This FSP requires SFAS 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, disclosures in the notes of an entity's interim financial statements for all financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the statement of financial position. This FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****OVERVIEW**

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, net loss available to common shareholders was \$18.4 million, or \$0.31 per diluted common share, as compared to net income available to common shareholders of \$24.7 million, or \$0.41 per diluted common share for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease in net income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is principally attributable to increased provision for loan losses, decreased non-interest income and increased non-interest expense, partially offset by increased net interest income. We assumed the insured non-brokered deposit balances and certain other assets of the Bank of Clark County on January 16, 2009 and the results of the acquired operations are only included in our financial results starting on January 17, 2009.

We incur significant expenses related to the completion and integration of mergers. Additionally, we may recognize goodwill impairment losses that have no direct effect on the Company's or the Bank's cash balances, liquidity, or regulatory capital ratios. Accordingly, we believe that our operating results are best measured on a comparative basis excluding the impact of merger-related expenses, net of tax, and other charges related to business combinations such as goodwill impairment charges. We define *operating income* as income available to common shareholders before merger related expenses, net of tax, and goodwill impairment, and we calculate *operating income per diluted share* by dividing operating income by the same diluted share total used in determining diluted earnings per common share (see Note 12 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*). Operating income and operating income per diluted share are considered non-GAAP financial measures. Although we believe the presentation of non-GAAP financial measures provides a better indication of our operating performance, readers of this report are urged to review the GAAP results as presented in the *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

The following table presents a reconciliation of operating (loss) income and operating (loss) income per diluted share to net income (loss) and net (loss) income per diluted common share for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Reconciliation of Operating (Loss) Income to Net Income (Loss) Available to Common Shareholders

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three months ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | \$ (18,440) | \$ 24,671 |
| Merger-related expenses, net of tax | 120 | - |
| Goodwill impairment | - | - |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ (18,320) | \$ 24,671 |
| Per diluted share: | | |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | \$ (0.31) | \$ 0.41 |
| Merger-related expenses, net of tax | 0.01 | - |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ (0.30) | \$ 0.41 |

The following table presents the returns on average assets, average common shareholders' equity and average tangible common shareholders' equity for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. For each of the periods presented, the table includes the calculated ratios based on reported net (loss) income available to common shareholders and operating (loss) income as shown in the table above. Our return on average common shareholders' equity is negatively impacted as the result of capital required to support goodwill. To the extent this performance metric is used to compare our performance with other financial institutions that do not have merger-related intangible assets, we believe it beneficial to also consider the return on average tangible common shareholders' equity. The return on average tangible common shareholders' equity is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by average shareholders' common equity less average intangible assets. The return on average tangible common shareholders' equity is considered a non-GAAP financial measure and should be viewed in conjunction with the return on average common shareholders' equity.

Table of Contents**Return on Average Assets, Common Shareholders Equity and Tangible Common Shareholders Equity**

(dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Returns on average assets: | | |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | -0.86% | 1.20% |
| Operating (loss) income | -0.85% | 1.20% |
| Returns on average common shareholders equity: | | |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | -5.80% | 7.94% |
| Operating (loss) income | -5.77% | 7.94% |
| Returns on average tangible common shareholders equity: | | |
| Net (loss) income available to common shareholders | -14.07% | 20.44% |
| Operating (loss) income | -13.98% | 20.44% |

Calculation of average common tangible shareholders equity:

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Average common shareholders equity | \$ 1,288,744 | \$ 1,249,391 |
| Less: average intangible assets | (757,055) | (763,989) |
| Average tangible common shareholders equity | \$ 531,689 | \$ 485,402 |

NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income is the largest source of our operating income. Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$75.4 million, an increase of \$5.4 million or 8% compared to the same period in 2008. Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was negatively impacted by the \$976,000 reversal of interest income on loans during the quarter. The results for the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008 are attributable to growth in outstanding average interest-earning assets, primarily loans and leases and investment securities, and an increase in net interest margin, partially offset by growth in interest-bearing liabilities, primarily time deposits and term debt. In addition to organic growth, the FDIC assisted purchase and assumption of certain assets and liabilities of the Bank of Clark County, which was completed on January 16, 2009, contributed to the increase in liabilities in the three months ended March 31, 2009 over the same period in 2008. The remaining deposit liabilities assumed from the Bank of Clark County totaled \$166.8 million as of March 31, 2009.

The net interest margin (net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets) on a fully tax-equivalent basis was 4.07% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, an increase of 9 basis points as compared to the same period in 2008. The increase in net interest margin primarily resulted from the decrease in our interest expense to earning assets of 101 basis points in the three months ended March 31, 2009 from the lower costs of interest bearing deposits, and junior subordinated debentures that are indexed to the three month LIBOR. This was partially offset by the decreased yield on interest-earning assets of 92 basis points primarily resulting from reductions in the prime rate, and interest reversals on loans. The \$976,000 reversal of interest income on non-accrual loans in the quarter contributed to a 5 basis point decline in the tax equivalent net interest margin during the quarter.

Table of Contents

Our net interest income is affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as changes in the yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on deposits and borrowed funds. The following table presents condensed average balance sheet information, together with interest income and yields on average interest-earning assets, and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Average Rates and Balances (Quarterly)

(dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, 2009 | | | Three months ended March 31, 2008 | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases (1) | \$ 6,179,936 | \$ 88,173 | 5.79% | \$ 6,082,366 | \$ 104,152 | 6.89% |
| Taxable securities | 1,188,859 | 14,371 | 4.83% | 876,813 | 9,407 | 4.29% |
| Non-taxable securities (2) | 183,581 | 2,656 | 5.79% | 173,749 | 2,412 | 5.55% |
| Temporary investments (3) | 52,063 | 32 | 0.24% | 25,685 | 203 | 3.18% |
| Total interest earning assets | 7,604,439 | 105,232 | 5.61% | 7,158,613 | 116,174 | 6.53% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (93,116) | | | (84,447) | | |
| Other assets | 1,202,522 | | | 1,213,477 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 8,713,845 | | | \$ 8,287,643 | | |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing checking and savings accounts | \$ 3,182,938 | \$ 8,426 | 1.07% | \$ 3,311,923 | \$ 18,176 | 2.21% |
| Time deposits | 2,267,676 | 16,037 | 2.87% | 1,942,903 | 21,449 | 4.44% |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 59,093 | 184 | 1.26% | 97,491 | 749 | 3.09% |
| Term debt | 206,486 | 1,756 | 3.45% | 111,245 | 1,125 | 4.07% |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 195,779 | 2,560 | 5.30% | 236,077 | 3,922 | 6.68% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 5,911,972 | 28,963 | 1.99% | 5,699,639 | 45,421 | 3.21% |
| Non-interest-bearing deposits | 1,251,971 | | | 1,250,628 | | |
| Other liabilities | 58,808 | | | 87,985 | | |
| Total liabilities | 7,222,751 | | | 7,038,252 | | |
| Preferred equity | 202,350 | | | - | | |
| Common equity | 1,288,744 | | | 1,249,391 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 8,713,845 | | | \$ 8,287,643 | | |
| NET INTEREST INCOME (2) | | \$ 76,269 | | | \$ 70,753 | |
| NET INTEREST SPREAD | | | 3.62% | | | 3.32% |
| AVERAGE YIELD ON EARNING ASSETS (1), (2) | | | 5.61% | | | 6.53% |

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| INTEREST EXPENSE TO EARNING ASSETS | 1.54% | 2.55% |
| NET INTEREST INCOME TO EARNING ASSETS OR NET INTEREST MARGIN (1), (2) | 4.07% | 3.98% |

(1) Non-accrual loans and mortgage loans held for sale are included in the average balance.

(2) Tax-exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate. The amount of such adjustment was an addition to recorded income of approximately \$856,000 and \$733,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(3) Temporary investments include federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits at other banks.

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in tax equivalent net interest income due to changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates (rate) for the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. Changes in tax equivalent interest income and expense, which are not attributable specifically to either volume or rate, are allocated proportionately between both variances.

Table of Contents**Rate/Volume Analysis (Quarterly)**

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to 2008 | | |
|--|---|-------------|--------------|
| | Increase (decrease) in interest income and expense due to changes in | | |
| | Volume | Rate | Total |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: | | | |
| Loans and leases | \$ 1,647 | \$ (17,626) | \$ (15,979) |
| Taxable securities | 3,661 | 1,303 | 4,964 |
| Non-taxable securities (1) | 139 | 105 | 244 |
| Temporary investments | 107 | (278) | (171) |
| Total (1) | 5,554 | (16,496) | (10,942) |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: | | | |
| Interest-bearing checking and savings accounts | (682) | (9,068) | (9,750) |
| Time deposits | 3,176 | (8,588) | (5,412) |
| Repurchase agreements and federal funds | (225) | (340) | (565) |
| Term debt | 834 | (203) | 631 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | (605) | (757) | (1,362) |
| Total | 2,498 | (18,956) | (16,458) |
| Net increase in net interest income (1) | \$ 3,056 | \$ 2,460 | \$ 5,516 |

(1) Tax exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate.

PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$59.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to \$15.1 million for the same period in 2008. As an annualized percentage of average outstanding loans, the provision for loan losses recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was 3.91% as compared to 1.00% in the same period in 2008.

The increase in the provision for loan and lease losses in the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 is attributable to an increase in non-performing loans and leases and downgrades within the portfolio related primarily to the housing market downturn and its impact on our residential development portfolio, growth in the loan and lease portfolio, and the increase in net charge-offs during the period.

Prior to the second quarter of 2008, the Company recognized the charge-off of an impairment reserve when the loan was resolved, sold, or foreclosed and transferred to other real estate owned. Due to declining real estate values in our markets, it is increasingly likely that an impairment reserve on collateral dependent real estate loans represent a confirmed loss. As a result, beginning in the second quarter of 2008, the Company began recognizing the charge-off of impairment reserves on impaired loans in the period it arises for collateral dependent loans. Therefore, the non-accrual loans of \$117.6 million as of March 31, 2009 have already been written-down to their estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sale, and are expected to be resolved over the coming quarters with no additional material loss, absent further decline in market prices. Depending on the characteristics of a loan, the fair value of collateral is estimated by obtaining external appraisals.

The provision for loan and lease losses is based on management's evaluation of inherent risks in the loan portfolio and a corresponding analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Additional discussion on loan quality and the allowance for loan and lease losses is provided under the heading *Asset Quality and Non-Performing Assets* below.

Table of Contents**NON-INTEREST INCOME**

Non-interest income in the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$15.5 million, a decrease of \$14.1 million, or 48%, as compared to the same period in 2008. The following table presents the key components of non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Non-Interest Income

(dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Change Amount | Change Percent |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 7,701 | \$ 8,377 | \$ (676) | -8% |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 1,379 | 2,175 | (796) | -37% |
| Mortgage banking revenue, net | 4,070 | (1,870) | 5,940 | NM |
| Net gain on investment securities | 35 | 3,901 | (3,866) | -99% |
| Gain on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value | 580 | 1,642 | (1,062) | -65% |
| Proceeds from Visa mandatory partial redemption | - | 12,633 | (12,633) | -100% |
| Other income | 1,752 | 2,736 | (984) | -36% |
| Total | \$ 15,517 | \$ 29,594 | \$ (14,077) | -48% |

NM - Not meaningful

The decrease in deposit service charges in 2009 over the same periods in 2008 is attributable to decreased non-sufficient funds and overdraft fee income in the current period due to lower average overdraft balances. Brokerage commissions and fees declined as a result of the continued deteriorating conditions in the trading market during 2009, as compared to the same periods in 2008, and the departure of certain Strand investment advisors in the fourth quarter of 2008. Mortgage banking revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009 increased due to a significant increase in refinancing activity, resulting from historically low mortgage interest rates, compared to the same quarter of the prior year. Closed mortgage volume in the first quarter of 2009 was \$191.7 million, representing a 137% increase over the first quarter of 2008. The current low mortgage interest rate environment contributed to a \$1.4 million decline in fair value on the mortgage servicing right (MSR) asset in the current quarter, compared to a \$1.9 million decline in fair value recognized in the same period prior year. Additionally, mortgage banking revenue in the first quarter of 2008 reflects a \$2.4 million realized loss on an ineffective MSR hedge, which has been suspended, due to widening spreads and price declines that were not offset by a corresponding gain in the related MSR asset. In the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company realized gain on sale of investment securities of \$2.2 million, which was offset by an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charge of \$2.1 million. Additional discussion on the OTTI charges are provided under the heading *Investment Securities* below. In the first quarter of 2008, the Company realized a \$3.9 million gain on the sale of investment securities as part of a repositioning of the investment portfolio to reduce the weighted average life in response to the economic outlook. The gain on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value for the three months ended March 31, 2009 resulted from reductions in the current three month LIBOR since the last measurement date, December 31, 2008. The gain on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value for the three months ended March 31, 2008 resulted from the widening of credit spreads for potential new issuances over the contractual rate of each junior subordinated debenture measured at fair value. Additional information on the junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value is included in Note 6 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*. Other income decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to 2008 as a result of decreased gains and broker fee income related to Small Business Administration loan sales, and proceeds from a legal settlement in the first quarter of 2008.

In the first quarter of 2008 Visa completed an initial public offering and the Company received \$12.6 million as part of a subsequent mandatory partial redemption of our Class B shares. As part of this offering, Visa also established a \$3.0 billion escrow account to cover settlements, the resolution of pending litigation and related claims (covered litigation).

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company's remaining 468,659 shares of Visa Class B common stock are restricted and may not be transferred until the later of (1) three years from the date of the initial public offering or (2) the period of time necessary to resolve the covered litigation. A conversion ratio of 0.71429 was established for the conversion rate of Class B shares into Class A shares. If the funds in the escrow account are insufficient to settle all the covered litigation, Visa may sell additional Class A shares, use the proceeds to settle litigation, and further reduce the conversion ratio. If funds remain in the escrow account after all litigation is settled, the Class B conversion ratio will be increased to reflect that surplus. In December 2008, Visa deposited additional funds into the escrow account to satisfy a settlement with Discover Card related to an antitrust lawsuit. The deposit of these funds into the escrow account reduced the conversion ratio applicable to Class B common stock outstanding from 0.71429 per Class A share to 0.6296 per Class A share.

As of March 31, 2009, the value of the Class A shares was \$55.60 per share. The value of unredeemed Class A equivalent shares owned by the Company was \$16.4 million as of March 31, 2009, and has not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

Table of Contents**NON-INTEREST EXPENSE**

Non-interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$60.0 million, an increase of \$12.5 million or 26% compared to the three months ended March 31, 2008. The following table presents the key elements of non-interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Non-Interest Expense

(dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Change Amount | Change Percent |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 31,073 | \$ 28,244 | \$ 2,829 | 10% |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 9,621 | 9,116 | 505 | 6% |
| Communications | 1,753 | 1,778 | (25) | -1% |
| Marketing | 970 | 771 | 199 | 26% |
| Services | 5,329 | 4,707 | 622 | 13% |
| Supplies | 795 | 665 | 130 | 20% |
| FDIC assessments | 2,625 | 1,215 | 1,410 | 116% |
| Net loss on other real estate owned | 2,299 | 611 | 1,688 | 276% |
| Intangible amortization | 1,362 | 1,491 | (129) | -9% |
| Merger related expenses | 200 | - | 200 | NM |
| Visa litigation | - | (5,183) | 5,183 | -100% |
| Other expenses | 3,924 | 4,072 | (148) | -4% |
| Total | \$ 59,951 | \$ 47,487 | \$ 12,464 | 26% |

NM - Not meaningful

Salaries and employee benefits costs increased \$2.8 million in the three months ending March 31, 2009, as compared to the same period prior year. Approximately \$2.0 million of the increase is a result of increased production in our mortgage banking division as well as an overall increase in our full-time equivalent employees. The remaining \$842,000 increase in the current quarter is a result of reduced loan origination activity related to lower customer demand, resulting in a reduced offset to compensation expense for deferred loan costs. Net occupancy and equipment expense increased in the first quarter of 2009 as compared to the first quarter of 2008 due to increased maintenance contract and software amortization costs. Communications, marketing, supplies, and other expenses fluctuated in the period as a result of normal operations. Services expense increased in the current period over the prior period due to higher legal and other professional fees. FDIC assessments increased for the three months ending March 31, 2009 as compared to the same period of the prior year as a result of an industry-wide increase in assessments by the FDIC in order to restore the deposit insurance fund. The continued slowdown in the housing industry, which has affected our residential development portfolio, has led to an increase of foreclosures into other real estate owned on residential development related properties. Declines in the market values of these properties after foreclosure have resulted in additional losses on the sale of the properties or by valuation adjustments. During 2009 the Company has recognized losses on sale of other real estate owned of \$688,000 and valuation adjustments of \$1.6 million. The decrease in intangible amortization for the three months ending March 31, 2009 as compared to the prior year same period is due to the run-off of intangible assets that are being amortized on an accelerated basis. We incur significant expenses in connection with the completion and integration of bank acquisitions that are not capitalizable. Classification of expenses as merger-related is done in accordance with the provisions of a Board-approved policy. The merger-related expenses incurred in 2009 relate to the FDIC assisted purchase and assumption of certain assets and liabilities of the Bank of Clark County.

In connection with Visa establishing a \$3.0 billion litigation escrow account from the proceeds of an initial public offering, the Company reversed a \$5.2 million reserve in the first quarter of 2008, reflected as a reduction of other non-interest expense. We were required to recognize an estimate of Visa's pending litigation settlements in the fourth quarter of 2007 based on our ownership position prior to the initial public offering by Visa. With the escrow litigation account funded for the estimated liability for covered litigation as of the end of the first quarter 2008, we were able to reverse the accrual.

Table of Contents**INCOME TAXES**

Our consolidated effective tax rate as a percentage of pre-tax income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was (45.8)% as a result of the operating loss recognized, compared to 33.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The effective tax rates differed from the federal statutory rate of 35% and the apportioned state rate of 5% (net of the federal tax benefit) principally because of non-taxable income arising from bank-owned life insurance, income on tax-exempt investment securities, tax credits arising from low income housing investments, Business Energy tax credits and exemptions related to loans and hiring in designated enterprise zones.

FINANCIAL CONDITION**INVESTMENT SECURITIES**

Trading securities consist of securities held in inventory by Strand for sale to its clients and securities invested in trust for the benefit of certain executives or former employees of acquired institutions as required by agreements. Trading securities were \$1.5 million at March 31, 2009, as compared to \$2.0 million at December 31, 2008. This decrease is principally attributable to a decrease in Strand's inventory of trading securities and a decrease in trading assets invested for the benefit of former employees, due to distributions and decreases in the fair market value of investment securities therein, partially offset by contributions to supplemental retirement plans for the benefit of certain executives.

Investment securities available for sale increased \$196.6 million to \$1.4 billion as of March 31, 2009, as compared to December 31, 2008. This increase is principally attributable to purchases of \$327.3 million of investment securities available for sale, \$4.2 million of investment securities available for sale assumed from the Bank of Clark County, and an increase in fair value of investments securities available for sale of \$6.8 million, offset by the proceeds from the sales and maturities of \$140.9 million of investment securities available for sale (of which \$2.2 million represents net gains on sale) and amortization of net purchase price premiums of \$772,000.

Investment securities held to maturity were \$13.8 million as of March 31, 2009, as compared to \$15.8 million at December 31, 2008. This decrease is principally attributable to paydowns and maturities of investment securities held to maturity of \$918,000 and other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges of \$1.2 million.

The following table presents the available for sale and held to maturity investment securities portfolio by major type as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Investment Securities Composition

(dollars in thousands)

| | Investment Securities Available for Sale | | | |
|--|--|------|-------------------|------|
| | March 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
| | Fair Value | % | Fair Value | % |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 14,201 | 1% | \$ 31,226 | 3% |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 190,683 | 13% | 179,585 | 14% |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,227,909 | 86% | 1,025,295 | 83% |
| Other debt securities | 508 | 0% | 634 | 0% |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 1,992 | 0% | 1,972 | 0% |
| Total | \$ 1,435,293 | 100% | \$ 1,238,712 | 100% |

| | Investment Securities Held to Maturity | | | |
|--|--|-----|-------------------|-----|
| | March 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
| | Amortized Cost | % | Amortized Cost | % |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 3,585 | 26% | \$ 4,166 | 26% |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 10,048 | 73% | 11,496 | 73% |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| Other investment securities | 150 | 1% | 150 | 1% |
| Total | \$ 13,783 | 100% | \$ 15,812 | 100% |

We review investment securities on an ongoing basis for the presence of OTTI or permanent impairment, taking into consideration current market conditions, fair value in relationship to cost, extent and nature of the change in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, our ability and intent to hold investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, whether we expect to recover the amortized cost basis of the investments, and other factors.

Table of Contents

In the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company recorded a \$2.1 million OTTI charge within net gain on investment securities. This charge related to three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations carried as held to maturity and where the default rates and loss severities of the underlying collateral indicate credit losses are expected to occur. These securities were valued by third party pricing services using matrix or model pricing methodologies, and were corroborated by broker indicative bids. These securities were written-down to fair value as the entire impairment was determined to be credit related. The remaining non-agency securities within mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations carried as held to maturity were reviewed for OTTI, and based on the default rates and loss severities of the underlying collateral, management estimates that the amortized cost basis of these securities will be recovered and that no credit losses are expected to occur. There were no similar charges recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Gross unrealized losses in the available for sale investment portfolio was \$4.4 million at March 31, 2009. This consisted primarily of unrealized loss on mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations of \$3.0 million, unrealized loss on obligations of states and political subdivisions of \$1.0 million, and an unrealized loss on other debt securities of \$376,000. The unrealized losses were primarily caused by interest rate increases subsequent to the purchase of the securities, and not credit quality. In the opinion of management, these securities are considered only temporarily impaired due to changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of the securities, and not due to concerns regarding the underlying credit of the issuers or the underlying collateral. Additional information about the loan portfolio is provided in Note 3 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

LOANS AND LEASES

Total loans and leases outstanding at March 31, 2009 were \$6.1 billion, a decrease of \$48.9 million as compared to year-end 2008. The decrease in the current year primarily results from the charge-offs recognized in the current period. The following table presents the concentration distribution of our loan portfolio at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Loan Concentrations

(dollars in thousands)

| | March 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Construction and development | \$ 871,447 | 14.3% | \$ 931,090 | 15.2% |
| Farmland | 100,631 | 1.7% | 93,533 | 1.5% |
| Home equity credit lines | 267,685 | 4.4% | 266,107 | 4.4% |
| Single family first lien mortgage | 210,909 | 3.5% | 204,076 | 3.3% |
| Single family second lien mortgage | 24,973 | 0.4% | 26,946 | 0.4% |
| Multifamily | 166,143 | 2.7% | 164,594 | 2.7% |
| Commercial real estate | 3,149,102 | 51.8% | 3,143,112 | 51.3% |
| Total real estate secured | 4,790,890 | 78.8% | 4,829,458 | 78.8% |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,139,529 | 18.7% | 1,139,441 | 18.5% |
| Agricultural production | 63,228 | 1.0% | 71,726 | 1.2% |
| Consumer | 35,798 | 0.6% | 36,316 | 0.6% |
| Leases | 39,953 | 0.7% | 40,155 | 0.7% |
| Other | 23,758 | 0.4% | 25,728 | 0.4% |
| Deferred loan fees, net | (10,676) | -0.2% | (11,450) | -0.2% |
| Total loans | \$ 6,082,480 | 100.0% | \$ 6,131,374 | 100.0% |

ASSET QUALITY AND NON-PERFORMING ASSETS

Non-performing loans, which include non-accrual loans and accruing loans past due over 90 days, totaled \$126.7 million, or 2.08% of total loans, at March 31, 2009, as compared to \$133.4 million, or 2.18% of total loans, at December 31, 2008. Non-performing assets, which include non-performing loans and foreclosed real estate (other real estate owned), totaled \$159.5 million, or 1.82% of total assets, as of March 31, 2009, as compared to \$161.3 million, or 1.88% of total assets, as of December 31, 2008.

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Loans are classified as non-accrual when collection of principal or interest is doubtful generally if they are past due as to maturity or payment of principal or interest by 90 days or more unless such loans are well-secured and in the process of collection. Additionally, all loans that are impaired in accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for the Impairment of a Loan*, are considered for non-accrual status. Loans placed on non-accrual will typically remain on non-accrual status until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospects for future payments in accordance with the loan agreement appear relatively certain.

Table of Contents

Foreclosed properties held as other real estate owned are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or market value of the property less expected selling costs. Other real estate owned at March 31, 2009 totaled \$32.8 million and consisted of 42 properties. At March 31, 2009, one property with a carrying value of \$8.9 million is subject to a sales contract, but is accounted for under the deposit method and continues to be reported as other real estate owned pursuant to SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*.

The Company has written down impaired, non-accrual loans as of March 31, 2009 to their estimated net realizable value, based on disposition value, and are expected to be resolved over the coming quarters with no additional material loss, absent further decline in market prices. Depending on the characteristics of a loan, the fair value of collateral is estimated by obtaining external appraisals. The following table summarizes our non-performing assets as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Non-Performing Assets

(dollars in thousands)

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Loans on nonaccrual status | \$ 112,949 | \$ 127,914 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing | 13,780 | 5,452 |
| Total non-performing loans | 126,729 | 133,366 |
| Other real estate owned | 32,766 | 27,898 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 159,495 | \$ 161,264 |
| | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 95,086 | \$ 95,865 |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments | 935 | 983 |
| | | |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 96,021 | \$ 96,848 |
| | | |
| Asset quality ratios: | | |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 1.82% | 1.88% |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 2.08% | 2.18% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.56% | 1.56% |
| Allowance for credit losses to total loans | 1.58% | 1.58% |
| Allowance for credit losses to total non-performing loans | 76% | 73% |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our non-performing assets by loan type and region as of March 31, 2009:

Non-Performing Assets by Type and Region

(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2009 | | | | | | Total |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Northwest Oregon | Central Oregon | Southern Oregon | Washington | Greater Sacramento | Northern California | |
| Loans on nonaccrual status | | | | | | | |
| Residential development | \$ 8,030 | \$ 12,220 | \$ 5,987 | \$ 216 | \$ 30,547 | \$ 13,270 | \$ 70,270 |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | 629 | 10,043 | 367 | 11,039 |
| Commercial real estate | 5,785 | 1,767 | 1,175 | 170 | 3,709 | 12,177 | 24,783 |
| Commercial | 337 | 3,396 | 244 | - | 52 | 2,828 | 6,857 |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total loans on nonaccrual status | 14,152 | 17,383 | 7,406 | 1,015 | 44,351 | 28,642 | 112,949 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing | | | | | | | |
| Residential development | 659 | 195 | 2,259 | - | 6,718 | - | 9,831 |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial | - | 602 | - | - | 37 | 30 | 669 |
| Other | 3,202 | - | - | - | 78 | - | 3,280 |
| Total loans past due 90 days or more and accruing | 3,861 | 797 | 2,259 | - | 6,833 | 30 | 13,780 |
| Total non-performing loans | 18,013 | 18,180 | 9,665 | 1,015 | 51,184 | 28,672 | 126,729 |
| Other real estate owned | | | | | | | |
| Residential development | 3,247 | 13,083 | 2,530 | 1,876 | 7,330 | - | 28,066 |
| Commercial construction | 520 | - | - | - | - | - | 520 |
| Commercial real estate | - | - | 324 | 381 | 1,700 | - | 2,405 |
| Commercial | 750 | - | - | - | - | 293 | 1,043 |
| Other | 520 | - | - | - | 212 | - | 732 |
| Total other real estate owned | 5,037 | 13,083 | 2,854 | 2,257 | 9,242 | 293 | 32,766 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 23,050 | \$ 31,263 | \$ 12,519 | \$ 3,272 | \$ 60,426 | \$ 28,965 | \$ 159,495 |

As of March 31, 2009, the non-performing assets of \$159.5 million have been written down by 39%, or \$100.6 million, from their original balance of \$260.1 million.

Our residential development loan portfolio, a subset of the construction and development category, has been adversely impacted by the housing market downturn. As a result, the Company has focused its efforts to reduce our exposure to this segment. The following table presents a geographic distribution of the residential development portfolio for the periods shown:

Residential Development Loans

(dollars in thousands)

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | December 31, 2008 | March 31, 2009 | Change Since December 31, 2008 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Northwest Oregon | \$ 134,506 | \$ 120,460 | -10% |
| Central Oregon | 31,186 | 20,951 | -33% |
| Southern Oregon | 33,850 | 29,738 | -12% |
| Washington | 27,531 | 26,514 | -4% |
| Greater Sacramento | 109,181 | 92,744 | -15% |
| Northern California | 47,905 | 38,266 | -20% |
| Total | \$ 384,159 | \$ 328,673 | -14% |
| Percentage of total loan portfolio | 6% | 5% | |
| Quarterly change amount | | \$ (55,486) | |

Table of Contents

The majority of our non-performing loans throughout the year were concentrated in our residential development loan portfolio. At March 31, 2009, \$80.1 million, or 61%, of the total \$131.4 million of non-performing loans were residential development loans. The following table presents a geographic distribution of the non-accrual residential development loans for the periods shown:

Residential Development Non-Performing Loans

(dollars in thousands)

| | December 31, 2008 | March 31, 2009 | Change Since December 31, 2008 |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Northwest Oregon | \$ 18,720 | \$ 8,689 | -54% |
| Central Oregon | 15,846 | 12,415 | -22% |
| Southern Oregon | 6,477 | 8,246 | 27% |
| Washington | 648 | 216 | -67% |
| Greater Sacramento | 33,374 | 37,264 | 12% |
| Northern California | 14,041 | 13,271 | -5% |
| Total | \$ 89,106 | \$ 80,101 | -10% |

Percentage of non-performing loans 67% 63%

Quarterly change amount \$ (9,005)

The following table presents the remaining performing residential development loans by size and geographic distribution as of March 31, 2009:

Residential Development Performing Loans

(dollars in thousands)

| | \$250k and less | \$250k to \$1 million | \$1 million to \$3 million | \$3 million to \$5 million | \$5 million to \$10 million | \$10 million and greater | Total |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Northwest Oregon | \$ 3,434 | \$ 16,424 | \$ 28,374 | \$ 16,479 | \$ 47,060 | \$ - | \$ 111,771 |
| Central Oregon | 1,705 | 3,285 | 3,546 | - | - | - | 8,536 |
| Southern Oregon | 2,778 | 10,218 | 8,496 | - | - | - | 21,492 |
| Washington | - | 3,093 | 5,082 | 12,772 | 5,351 | - | 26,298 |
| Greater Sacramento | 4,245 | 8,985 | 10,115 | 3,435 | 11,497 | 17,203 | 55,480 |
| Northern California | 2,780 | 9,463 | 12,752 | - | - | - | 24,995 |
| Total | \$ 14,942 | \$ 51,468 | \$ 68,365 | \$ 32,686 | \$ 63,908 | \$ 17,203 | \$ 248,572 |

Commercial real estate and commercial construction represents 28% of the remaining non-performing loans as of March 31, 2009, compared to 24% as of December 31, 2008. Commercial real estate non-performing loans were \$24.8 million at March 31, 2009, compared to \$14.2 million at December 31, 2008. Commercial construction non-performing loans were \$11.0 million at March 31, 2009, compared to \$17.3 million at December 31, 2008. Of these non-performing loan balances, 74% are directly affected by the housing market downturn or indirectly impacted from the contraction of real estate dependant businesses. The remaining non-performing loans in these segments primarily reflect the impact of the recession on certain businesses. During the quarter the Company completed an extensive review of our permanent commercial real estate portfolio, including stress testing. The review was completed to verify leasing status, to ensure the accuracy of risk ratings, and to develop pro-active action plans with borrowers on projects where debt service coverage has dropped below the Bank's benchmark. The stress testing was performed to determine the effect of rising cap rates, interest rates and vacancy rates, on this portfolio. Based on our analysis, the Company believes our lending teams are effectively managing the risks in this portfolio, and that this portfolio would be resilient to the effects of the changes projected in the stress testing. There can be no assurance that any further declines in economic conditions, such as potential increases in

retail or office vacancy rates, will exceed the projected assumptions utilized in the stress testing, that may result in additional non-performing loans in the future.

At March 31, 2009, \$57.2 million of loans were classified as restructured as compared to \$38.2 million of loans at December 31, 2008. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, and generally provide for a temporary modification of loan repayment terms. While all of the restructured loans as of March 31, 2009 were classified as impaired, only \$13.8 million were placed on non-accrual status. The \$43.4 million of restructured loans on accrual status represent the only impaired loans accruing interest at March 31, 2009. The Company has obligations to lend \$3.8 million of additional funds on the restructured loans as of March 31, 2009, which primarily relates to one residential development project.

A further decline in the economic conditions in our general market areas or other factors could adversely impact individual borrowers or the loan portfolio in general. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that loans will not become 90 days or more past due, become impaired or placed on non-accrual status, restructured or transferred to other real estate owned in the future. Additional information about the loan portfolio is provided in Note 4 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Table of Contents**ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES AND RESERVE FOR UNFUNDED COMMITMENTS**

The allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) totaled \$95.1 million at March 31, 2009, a decrease from the \$95.9 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease in the ALLL from the prior year-end results from the realization of charge-offs in the current quarter in excess of the current quarter's reserve build. The following table shows the activity in the ALLL for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

(dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 95,865 | \$ 84,904 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 59,092 | 15,132 |
| Loans charged-off | (60,414) | (13,970) |
| Charge-off recoveries | 543 | 494 |
| Net charge-offs | (59,871) | (13,476) |
| | | |
| Total allowance for loan and lease losses | 95,086 | 86,560 |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments | 935 | 1,141 |
| | | |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 96,021 | \$ 87,701 |

As a percentage of average loans and leases (annualized):

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Net charge-offs | 3.96% | 0.89% |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 3.91% | 1.00% |

The increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses as of March 31, 2009 in relation to the same period of the prior year is primarily a result of the significant slowdown in the housing industry, which has affected our residential development portfolio, resulting in downgrades within the portfolio, an increase in non-performing loans, and an increase in loans charged-off. Downgrades within the portfolio have increased our classified credit balances resulting in a higher risk rating-based component of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Non-performing loans have increased due to the identification of impaired loans that have been placed on non-accrual status. All non-accrual loans are individually evaluated for impairment. Prior to the second quarter of 2008, the Company would establish reserves within the allowance for loan and lease losses for these impairments and would recognize the charge-off of an impairment reserve when the loan was resolved, sold, or foreclosed and transferred to other real estate owned. Due to declining real estate values in our markets, it is increasingly likely that an impairment reserve on collateral dependent real estate loans represent a confirmed loss. As a result, beginning in the second quarter of 2008, the Company began recognizing the charge-off of impairment reserves on impaired loans in the period it arises for collateral dependent loans. This process has accelerated the amount of charge-offs recognized since the second quarter of 2008. Of the \$60.4 million in charge-offs year-to-date, \$36.2 million are residential development related. Additional discussion on the increase in provision for loan and lease losses is provided under the heading *Provision for Loan and Lease Losses* above.

The following table presents a summary of activity in the reserve for unfunded commitments (RUC):

Summary of Reserve for Unfunded Commitments Activity

(in thousands)

| | Three months ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 983 | \$ 1,182 |
| Net decrease credited to other expense | (48) | (41) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 935 | \$ 1,141 |

We believe that the ALLL and RUC at March 31, 2009 are sufficient to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio and credit commitments outstanding as of that date, respectively, based on the best information available. This assessment, based in part on historical levels of net charge-offs, loan growth, and a detailed review of the quality of the loan portfolio, involves uncertainty and judgment. Therefore, the adequacy of the ALLL and RUC cannot be determined with precision and may be subject to change in future periods. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review.

Table of Contents**MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS**

The following table presents the key elements of our mortgage servicing rights asset for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively:

Summary of Mortgage Servicing Rights

(dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 8,205 | \$ 10,088 |
| Additions for new mortgage servicing rights capitalized | 1,968 | 475 |
| Changes in fair value: | | |
| Due to changes in model inputs or assumptions ⁽¹⁾ | (1,068) | (659) |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | (373) | (1,264) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 8,732 | \$ 8,640 |
| Balance of loans serviced for others | \$ 1,038,715 | \$ 866,652 |
| MSR as a percentage of serviced loans | 0.84% | 1.00% |

(1) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates.

(2) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.

As of March 31, 2009, we serviced residential mortgage loans for others with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$1.0 billion for which servicing assets have been recorded. Mortgage servicing rights are adjusted to fair value quarterly with the change recorded in mortgage banking revenue.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company began using derivative instruments to hedge the risk of changes in the fair value of MSR due to changes in interest rates. Starting in late February 2008 and continuing into March 2008, the bond markets experienced extraordinary volatility. This volatility resulted in widening spreads and price declines on the derivative instruments that were not offset by corresponding gains in the MSR asset. As a result, a \$2.4 million charge was recognized within mortgage banking revenue in the first quarter of 2008. In March 2008, the Company suspended the MSR hedge, given the continued volatility. Additional information about the Company's mortgage servicing rights is provided in Note 5 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

GOODWILL AND CORE DEPOSIT INTANGIBLE ASSETS

At March 31, 2009, we had goodwill and other intangible assets of \$722.0 million and \$34.5 million, respectively, as compared to \$722.0 million and \$35.8 million, respectively, at year-end 2008. The goodwill recorded in connection with acquisitions represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. A portion of the purchase price is allocated to the value other intangible assets such as the merchant servicing portfolio or core deposits, which included all deposits except certificates of deposit. The value of other intangible assets was determined by a third party based on the net present value of future cash flows for the merchant servicing portfolio and an analysis of the cost differential between the core deposits and alternative funding sources for the core deposit intangible.

We amortize other intangible assets on an accelerated or straight-line basis over an estimated ten to fifteen year life. Substantially all of the goodwill is associated with our community banking operations. We evaluate intangible assets with indefinite lives on an annual basis as of December 31. As a result of this analysis, management determined that there was a \$1.0 million impairment related to the Retail Brokerage reporting segment as of December 31, 2008, which resulted from the Company's evaluation following the departure of certain Strand financial advisors. The valuation of the impairment at the Retail Brokerage operating segment was determined using an income approach by discounting cash flows of forecasted earnings. The remaining balance of goodwill and other intangible assets relates to the Community Banking reporting segment. The Company engaged an independent valuation specialist to assist us in determining whether and to what extent the Community

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Banking's goodwill asset was impaired at December 31, 2008. The valuation of the reporting unit was determined using discounted cash flows of forecasted earnings, estimated sales price multiples based on recent observable market transactions and market capitalization based on current stock price. The step one impairment test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was less than its carrying value. Based on the results of the step two impairment test, management

Table of Contents

determined that the implied fair value of the goodwill was greater than its carrying amount on the Company's balance sheet and no goodwill impairment existed as of December 31, 2008 in the Community Banking segment. If impairment was deemed to exist, a write down of the asset would occur with a charge to earnings. The impairment analysis requires management to make subjective judgments. Events and factors that may significantly affect the estimates include, among others, competitive forces, customer behaviors and attrition, changes in revenue growth trends, cost structures, technology, changes in discount rates and specific industry and market conditions. Management does not believe there have been any events in the three months ended March 31, 2009, such as a significant decrease in the fair value of the reporting unit or its underlying assets and liabilities, a significant adverse change in the business climate, or any other indication of impairment, that would trigger a remeasurement of, or result in an impairment to, goodwill as of March 31, 2009.

DEPOSITS

Total deposits were \$6.8 billion at March 31, 2009, an increase of \$203.6 million, or 12.5% annualized, as compared to year-end 2008. The remaining deposits from the Bank of Clark County assumption totaled \$166.8 million as March 31, 2009. Excluding the assumption, the organic deposit growth rate was 2.3% annualized. Management attributes the organic growth to ongoing business development and marketing efforts in our service markets. Information on average deposit balances and average rates paid is included under the *Net Interest Income* section of this report.

The following table presents the deposit balances by major category as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Deposits

(dollars in thousands)

| | March 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|----------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 1,292,512 | 19% | \$ 1,254,079 | 19% |
| Interest bearing demand | 811,331 | 12% | 752,931 | 11% |
| Savings and money market | 2,387,255 | 35% | 2,335,158 | 36% |
| Time, \$100,000 or greater | 1,302,440 | 19% | 1,232,265 | 19% |
| Time, less than \$100,000 | 998,996 | 15% | 1,014,502 | 15% |
| Total | \$ 6,792,534 | 100% | \$ 6,588,935 | 100% |

BORROWINGS

At March 31, 2009, the Bank had outstanding \$50.3 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and no federal funds purchased. The Bank had outstanding term debt of \$206.5 million at March 31, 2009. Borrowings outstanding as of March 31, 2009 were relatively unchanged since December 31, 2008. Advances from the FHLB amounted to \$205.9 million of the total term debt and are secured by investment securities and residential mortgage loans. The FHLB advances outstanding at March 31, 2009 had fixed interest rates ranging from 3.07% to 7.44% and \$130.0 million, or 63%, mature prior to December 31, 2009, while another \$75.0 million, or 36%, mature prior to December 2010. Management expects continued use of FHLB advances as a source of short and long-term funding.

JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

We had junior subordinated debentures with carrying values of \$195.1 million and \$196.2 million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

At March 31, 2009, approximately \$219.6 million, or 95% of the total issued amount, had interest rates that are adjustable on a quarterly basis based on a spread over LIBOR. Interest expense for junior subordinated debentures has decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to the same periods in 2008, primarily resulting from decreases in short-term market interest rates and LIBOR. Although increases in short-term market interest rates will increase the interest expense for junior subordinated debentures, we believe that other attributes of our balance sheet will serve to mitigate the impact to net interest income on a consolidated basis.

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

In conjunction with the adoption of No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, Umpqua elected the fair value measurement option for certain pre-existing junior subordinated debentures of \$97.9 million (the Umpqua Statutory Trusts). The remaining junior subordinated debentures as of the adoption date were acquired through business combinations and were measured at fair value at the time of acquisition. In 2007 the Company issued two series of trust preferred securities and elected to measure each instrument at fair value. Accounting for junior subordinated debentures originally issued by the Company at fair value enables us to more closely align our financial performance with the economic value of those liabilities. Additionally, we believe it improves our ability to manage the market and interest rate risks associated with the junior subordinated debentures. The junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value and amortized cost have been presented as separate line items on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The fair value of junior subordinated debentures is estimated using a discounted cash flow model. The future cash flows of these instruments are extended to the next available redemption date or maturity date as appropriate based upon the spreads of recent issuances or quotes from brokers for comparable bank holding companies compared to the contractual spread of each junior subordinated debenture measured at fair value. The significant inputs utilized in the estimation of fair value of these instruments is the credit risk adjusted spread and three month LIBOR. Generally, an increase in the credit risk adjusted spread and/or a decrease in the three month LIBOR will result in positive fair value adjustments. Conversely, a decrease in the credit risk adjusted spread and/or an increase in the three month LIBOR will result in positive fair value adjustments. For additional assurance, we obtained valuations from a third party pricing service to validate the results of our model.

Prior to the third quarter of 2008, we utilized a credit risk adjusted spread that was based upon recent issuances or quotes from brokers for comparable bank holding companies as of the date of valuation, and we considered this to be a Level 2 input. Due to the increasing and credit concerns in the capital markets and inactivity in the trust preferred markets that have limited the observability of market spreads, we have classified this as a Level 3 fair value measure in the third quarter of 2008. Management believes that the credit risk adjusted spread being utilized is indicative of those that would be used by willing market participants in an active market. Additional information regarding junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value and SFAS No. 157, is included in Note 14 the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

All of the debentures issued to the Trusts, less the common stock of the Trusts, qualified as Tier 1 capital as of March 31, 2009, under guidance issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Additional information regarding the terms of the junior subordinated debentures, including maturity/redemption dates, interest rates and the adoption of SFAS No. 159, is included in Note 6 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOW

The principal objective of our liquidity management program is to maintain the Bank's ability to meet the day-to-day cash flow requirements of our customers who either wish to withdraw funds or to draw upon credit facilities to meet their cash needs.

We monitor the sources and uses of funds on a daily basis to maintain an acceptable liquidity position. In addition to liquidity from core deposits and the repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities, the Bank can utilize established uncommitted federal funds lines of credit, sell securities under agreements to repurchase, borrow on a secured basis from the FHLB or issue brokered certificates of deposit.

The Bank had available lines of credit with the FHLB totaling \$1.8 billion at March 31, 2009 subject to certain collateral requirements, namely the amount of pledged loans and investment securities. The Bank had uncommitted federal funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$150.0 million at March 31, 2009. Availability of lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan and continued borrower eligibility. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements may restrict consecutive day usage.

The Company is a separate entity from the Bank and must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of the Company's revenues are obtained from dividends declared and paid by the Bank. In the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Bank paid the Company \$6.0 million in dividends to fund regular operations. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Company. We believe that such restrictions will not have an adverse impact on the ability of the Company to fund its quarterly cash dividend distributions to preferred or common shareholders and meet its ongoing cash obligations, which consist principally of debt service on the \$230.1 million (issued amount) of outstanding junior subordinated debentures. As of March 31, 2009, the Company did not have any borrowing arrangements of its own.

As disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows*, net cash provided by operating activities was \$10.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2009. The principal source of cash provided by operating activities was net income, after excluding non-cash charges such as the provision for loan and lease losses. Net cash of \$24.6 million used in investing activities consisted principally of \$327.3 million of purchases of investment securities available for sale and net loan growth \$17.3 million, partially offset by cash acquired in the Bank of Clark County merger of \$178.9 million and proceeds from investment securities of \$141.9 million. The \$16.5 million of cash provided by financing activities primarily consisted of \$19.7 million increase in net deposits and \$2.7 million increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase, partially offset by \$3.0 million of dividends paid on common stock and \$2.7 million of dividends paid on preferred stock.

Although we expect the Bank's and the Company's liquidity positions to remain satisfactory during 2009, it is possible that our deposit growth for 2009 may not be maintained at previous levels due to pricing pressure or, in order to generate deposit growth, our pricing may need to be adjusted in a manner that results in increased interest expense on deposits.

Table of Contents**OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

Information regarding Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements is included in Note 7 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Information regarding Concentrations of Credit Risk is included in Note 7 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Shareholders' equity at March 31, 2009 was \$1.5 billion, a decrease of \$17.2 million from December 31, 2008. The decrease in shareholders' equity during the three months ended March 31, 2009 was principally due to net loss of \$15.2 million for the three month period, preferred stock dividends of \$2.7 million and common stock dividends of \$3.0 million, partially offset by the net change in unrealized losses on investment securities available for sale of \$2.8 million (net of tax) and the net change in unrealized losses on investment securities held to maturity of \$629,000 (net of tax).

The following table shows Umpqua Holdings' consolidated and Umpqua Bank capital adequacy ratios, as calculated under regulatory guidelines, compared to the regulatory minimum capital ratio and the regulatory minimum capital ratio needed to qualify as a well-capitalized institution at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

(dollars in thousands)

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy purposes | | To be Well Capitalized | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of March 31, 2009: | | | | | | |
| Total Capital | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 1,022,379 | 14.38% | \$ 568,778 | 8.00% | \$ 710,973 | 10.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$ 927,695 | 13.06% | \$ 568,266 | 8.00% | \$ 710,333 | 10.00% |
| Tier I Capital | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 933,444 | 13.13% | \$ 284,370 | 4.00% | \$ 426,555 | 6.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$ 838,836 | 11.81% | \$ 284,110 | 4.00% | \$ 426,166 | 6.00% |
| Tier I Capital | | | | | | |
| (to Average Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 933,444 | 11.70% | \$ 319,126 | 4.00% | \$ 398,908 | 5.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$ 838,836 | 10.58% | \$ 317,140 | 4.00% | \$ 396,425 | 5.00% |
| As of December 31, 2008: | | | | | | |
| Total Capital | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 1,041,882 | 14.62% | \$ 570,113 | 8.00% | \$ 712,642 | 10.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$ 943,986 | 13.25% | \$ 569,954 | 8.00% | \$ 712,442 | 10.00% |
| Tier I Capital | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 952,725 | 13.37% | \$ 285,034 | 4.00% | \$ 427,550 | 6.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$ 854,829 | 12.00% | \$ 284,943 | 4.00% | \$ 427,415 | 6.00% |
| Tier I Capital | | | | | | |
| (to Average Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 952,725 | 12.38% | \$ 307,827 | 4.00% | \$ 384,784 | 5.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$ 854,829 | 11.13% | \$ 307,216 | 4.00% | \$ 384,020 | 5.00% |

On November 14, 2008, we issued 214,181 shares of our Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$214.2 million, or \$1,000 per share, to the United State Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) pursuant to the

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

TARP Capital Purchase Program. The preferred stock will bear cumulative dividends at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years and 9% per annum thereafter, in each case, applied to the \$1,000 per share liquidation preference, but will only be paid when, as and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor. Dividend payments are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15 day of February, May, August and November of each year.

Table of Contents

In connection with the issuance and sale of the preferred stock, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement including the Securities Purchase Agreement Standard Terms (the Agreement) with the U.S. Treasury. The Agreement contains certain limitations on the payment of quarterly cash dividends on the Company's common stock in excess of \$0.19 per share, and on the Company's ability to repurchase its common stock. The preferred stock has no maturity date and ranks senior to our common stock with respect to the payment of dividends and distribution of amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution and winding up of the Company. The preferred stock has no general voting or participation rights, and no sinking fund requirements. In the event dividends on the preferred stock are not paid full for six dividend periods, whether or not consecutive, the preferred stock holders will have the right to elect two directors.

The Company's dividend policy considers, among other things, earnings, regulatory capital levels, the overall payout ratio and expected asset growth to determine the amount of dividends declared, if any, on a quarterly basis. There is no assurance that future cash dividends on common shares will be declared or increased. The following table presents cash dividends declared and dividend payout ratios (dividends declared per common share divided by basic earnings per common share) for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

Cash Dividends and Payout Ratios per Common Share

| | Three months ended | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Dividend declared per common share | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.19 |
| Dividend payout ratio | -16% | 46% |

On April 21, 2009, the Company announced that the Board of Directors approved an extension to the expiration date of common stock repurchase plan from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2011. As of March 31, 2009, a total of 1.5 million shares remained available for repurchase. No shares were repurchased under the repurchase plan during the first quarter of 2009. The timing and amount of future repurchases will depend upon the market price for our common stock, securities laws restricting repurchases, asset growth, earnings, our capital plan, and are subject to certain limitations resulting from the Company's participation in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. In addition, our stock plans provide that option and restricted stock award holders may pay for the exercise price and tax withholdings in part or whole by tendering previously held shares.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our assessment of market risk as of March 31, 2009 indicates there are no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures from those in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to information relating to us that is required to be included in our periodic SEC filings. The disclosure controls and procedures were last evaluated by management as of March 31, 2009.

There have been no changes in our internal controls or in other factors that have materially affected or are likely to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting subsequent to the date of the evaluation.

Table of Contents**Part II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Because of the nature of our business, we are involved in legal proceedings in the regular course of business. At this time, we do not believe that there is pending litigation the unfavorable outcome of which would result in a material adverse change to our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors as of March 31, 2009 from those presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not Applicable

(b) Not Applicable

(c) The following table provides information about repurchases of common stock by the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2009:

| Period | Total number of Shares Purchased (1) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (2) | Maximum Number of Remaining Shares that May be Purchased at Period End under the Plan |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| 1/1/09 - 1/31/09 | 6,302 | \$ 9.72 | - | 1,542,945 |
| 2/1/09 - 2/28/09 | 3,745 | \$ 9.37 | - | 1,542,945 |
| 3/1/09 - 3/31/09 | 8,259 | \$ 7.97 | - | 1,542,945 |
| Total for quarter | 18,306 | \$ 8.86 | - | |

(1) Shares repurchased by the Company during the quarter consist of cancellation of 18,306 restricted shares to pay withholding taxes. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, no shares were tendered in connection with option exercises and no shares were repurchased pursuant to the Company's publicly announced corporate stock repurchase plan described in (2) below.

(2) The repurchase plan, which was approved by the Board and announced in August 2003, originally authorized the repurchase of up to 1.0 million shares. The authorization was amended to increase the repurchase limit initially to 1.5 million shares and subsequently to 2.5 million shares. On April 19, 2007, the Company announced an expansion of the repurchase plan by increasing the repurchase limit to 6.0 million shares and extending the plan's expiration date to June 30, 2009. On April 21, 2009, the Company announced a further extension of the plan's expiration date to June 30, 2011.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

(a) Not Applicable

(b) Not Applicable

(c) Not Applicable

(d) Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

(a) Not Applicable

(b) Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits filed as part of this Report and exhibits incorporated herein by reference to other documents are listed in the Exhibit Index to this Report, which follows the signature page.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Dated May 07, 2009

/s/ Raymond P. Davis
Raymond P. Davis
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated May 07, 2009

/s/ Ronald L. Farnsworth
Ronald L. Farnsworth
Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer and
Principal Financial Officer

Dated May 07, 2009

/s/ Neal T. McLaughlin
Neal T. McLaughlin
Executive Vice President/Treasurer and
Principal Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

| | |
|------|--|
| 3.1 | (a) Restated Articles of Incorporation with Designation of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A |
| 3.2 | (b) Bylaws, as amended |
| 4.1 | (c) Specimen Stock Certificate |
| 10.1 | (d) Long Term Incentive Restricted Stock Unit Agreements dated March 30, 2009 between the Company and Raymond P. Davis and Brad F. Copeland for granting restricted stock units under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting requirements |
| 10.2 | Employment Agreement dated effective February 1, 2009 between the Company and Daniel A. Sullivan |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.3 | Certification of Principal Accounting Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

- (a) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed November 14, 2008
- (b) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed April 21, 2008
- (c) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-77259) filed April 28, 1999
- (d) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed April 2, 2009