PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO Form 10-Q October 29, 2010 <u>Table of Contents</u>

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED September 30, 2010

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission	Registrants, State of Incorporation,	I.R.S. Employer
File Number	Address, and Telephone Number	Identification No.
001-09120	PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED	22-2625848
	(A New Jersey Corporation)	
	80 Park Plaza, P.O. Box 1171	
	Newark, New Jersey 07101-1171	
	973 430-7000	
	http://www.pseg.com	
001-34232	PSEG POWER LLC	22-3663480
	(A Delaware Limited Liability Company)	
	80 Park Plaza T25	
	Newark, New Jersey 07102-4194	
	973 430-7000	
	http://www.pseg.com	
001-00973	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY	22-1212800
	(A New Jersey Corporation)	

80 Park Plaza, P.O. Box 570 Newark, New Jersey 07101-0570 973 430-7000 http://www.pseg.com

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on their corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to submit and post such files).

Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated	Yes x	No "
PSEG Power LLC	Yes "	No "
Public Service Electric and Gas Company	Yes "	No "
Indicate by check mark whether each registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated	ted filer or a smaller	reporting

Indicate by check mark whether each registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company " PSEG Power LLC Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company " Public Service Electric and Gas Company Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company " Indicate by check mark whether any of the registrants is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

As of October 15, 2010, Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated had outstanding 505,933,984 shares of its sole class of Common Stock, without par value.

As of October 15, 2010, Public Service Electric and Gas Company had issued and outstanding 132,450,344 shares of Common Stock, without nominal or par value, all of which were privately held, beneficially and of record by Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated.

PSEG Power LLC and Public Service Electric and Gas Company are wholly owned subsidiaries of Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated and meet the conditions set forth in General Instruction H(1) (a) and (b) of Form 10-Q. Each is filing its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the reduced disclosure format authorized by General Instruction H.

FODWADI		rage
	D-LOOKING STATEMENTS NANCIAL INFORMATION	ii
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
Item I.		1
	Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated	1
	PSEG Power LLC	5
	Public Service Electric and Gas Company Nature to Conducted Consultated Financial Statements	9
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	13
	Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation	13
	Note 2. Recent Accounting Standards	
	Note 3. Variable Interest Entities	15
	Note 4. Asset Dispositions	16 17
	Note 5. Available-for-Sale Securities	
	Note 6. Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefits (OPEB)	21
	Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities	22
	Note 8. Changes in Capitalization	34
	Note 9. Financial Risk Management Activities	35
	Note 10. Fair Value Measurements	42
	Note 11. Other Income and Deductions	49
	Note 12. Income Taxes	50
	Note 13. Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax	52
	Note 14. Earnings Per Share (EPS)	53
	Note 15. Financial Information by Business Segments	54
	Note 16. Related-Party Transactions	55
L 2	Note 17. Guarantees of Debt	58
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	61
	Overview of 2010 and Future Outlook	61
	Results of Operations	66
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	76
	<u>Capital Requirements</u>	79
L 2	Accounting Matters	79
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	80
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	81
	THER INFORMATION	22
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	82
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	82
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	82
Item 5.	Other Information	82
Item 6.	Exhibits	87
	<u>Signatures</u>	88

i

D.....

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain of the matters discussed in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These include, but are not limited to, future performance, revenues, earnings, strategies, prospects, consequences and all other statements that are not purely historical. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Such statements are based on management s beliefs as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. When used herein, the words anticipate, intend, estimate, believe, expect, plan, should potential, forecast, project, variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. hypothetical, Factors that may cause actual results to differ are often presented with the forward-looking statements themselves. Other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in any forward-looking statements made by us herein are discussed in Item 1. Financial Statements Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities, Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and other factors discussed in filings we make with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These factors include, but are not limited to:

adverse changes in energy industry law, policies and regulation, including market structures, transmission planning and cost allocation rules, including rules regarding who is permitted to build transmission going forward, and reliability standards,

any inability of our transmission and distribution businesses to obtain adequate and timely rate relief and regulatory approvals from federal and state regulators,

changes in federal and state environmental regulations that could increase our costs or limit operations of our generating units,

changes in nuclear regulation and/or developments in the nuclear power industry generally that could limit operations of our nuclear generating units,

actions or activities at one of our nuclear units located on a multi-unit site that might adversely affect our ability to continue to operate that unit or other units located at the same site,

any inability to balance our energy obligations, available supply and trading risks,

any deterioration in our credit quality,

availability of capital and credit at commercially reasonable terms and conditions and our ability to meet cash needs,

any inability to realize anticipated tax benefits or retain tax credits,

changes in the cost of, or interruption in the supply of, fuel and other commodities necessary to the operation of our generating units,

delays in receipt of necessary permits and approvals for our construction and development activities,

delays or unforeseen cost escalations in our construction and development activities,

adverse changes in the demand for or price of the capacity and energy that we sell into wholesale electricity markets,

increase in competition in energy markets in which we compete,

adverse performance of our decommissioning and defined benefit plan trust fund investments and changes in discount rates and funding requirements, and

changes in technology and customer usage patterns. Additional information concerning these factors is set forth in Part II under Item 1A. Risk Factors.

All of the forward-looking statements made in this report are qualified by these cautionary statements and we cannot assure you that the results or developments anticipated by management will be realized, or even if

ii

realized, will have the expected consequences to, or effects on, us or our business prospects, financial condition or results of operations. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements in making any investment decision. Forward-looking statements made in this report only apply as of the date of this report. While we may elect to update forward-looking statements from time to time, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so, even if internal estimates change, unless otherwise required by applicable securities laws.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report are intended to qualify for the safe harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

٠	٠	٠	
1	1	1	
1	1	1	

PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Millions

(Unaudited)

	For The Th Ended Sep 2010		For The Ni Ended Sept 2010	
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 3,254	\$ 3,040	\$ 9,389	\$ 9,520
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Energy Costs	1,355	1,241	4,270	4,376
Operation and Maintenance	601	621	1,915	1,922
Depreciation and Amortization	265	224	730	634
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	31	30	101	100
Total Operating Expenses	2,252	2,116	7,016	7,032
OPERATING INCOME	1,002	924	2,373	2,488
Income from Equity Method Investments	4	6	12	17
Other Income	75	43	165	205
Other Deductions	(9)	(19)	(37)	(118)
Other-Than-Temporary Impairments Interest Expense	(3) (120)	0 (129)	(9) (356)	(61) (407)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE				
INCOME TAXES	949	825	2,148	2,124
Income Tax (Expense) Benefit	(382)	(337)	(866)	(881)
NET INCOME	\$ 567	\$ 488	\$ 1,282	\$ 1,243
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING (THOUSANDS):				
BASIC	505,945	505,982	506,001	505,986
DILUTED	506,968	507,242	507,068	506,957
EARNINGS PER SHARE: BASIC	1.12	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.53	\$ 2.45
DILUTED	1.12	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.53	\$ 2.45
DIVIDENDS PAID PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK	\$ 0.3425	\$ 0.3325	\$ 1.0275	\$ 0.9975

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Millions

(Unaudited)

	Sept	ember 30, 2010		ember 31, 2009
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS			*	
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	332	\$	350
Accounts Receivable, net of allowances of \$57 and \$79 in 2010 and 2009, respectively		1,211		1,229
Unbilled Revenues		276		411
Fuel		812		806
Materials and Supplies, net		373		361
Prepayments		331		161
Derivative Contracts		275		243
Other		61		85
Total Current Assets		3,671		3,646
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT		23,458		22,069
Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization		(6,995)		(6,629)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment		16,463		15,440
NONCURRENT ASSETS				
Regulatory Assets		4,105		4,402
Regulatory Assets of Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)		1,181		1,367
Long-Term Investments		1,698		2,032
Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT) Funds		1,270		1,199
Other Special Funds		158		149
Goodwill		16		16
Other Intangibles		129		123
Derivative Contracts		172		123
Restricted Cash of VIEs		21		17
Other		220		216
Total Noncurrent Assets		8,970		9,644
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	29,104	\$	28,730

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Millions

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Long-Term Debt Due Within One Year	\$ 800	\$ 323
Securitization Debt of VIEs Due Within One Year	204	198
Commercial Paper and Loans	0	530
Accounts Payable	992	1,081
Derivative Contracts	123	201
Accrued Interest	159	102
Accrued Taxes	38	90
Deferred Income Taxes	76	0
Clean Energy Program	189	166
Obligation to Return Cash Collateral	101	95
Other	336	428
Total Current Liabilities	3,018	3,214
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Deferred Income Taxes and Investment Tax Credits (ITC)	4,232	4,139
Regulatory Liabilities	498	397
Regulatory Liabilities of VIEs	8	7
Asset Retirement Obligations	454	439
Other Postretirement Benefit (OPEB) Costs	1,088	1,095
Accrued Pension Costs	711	1,094
Clean Energy Program	270	400
Environmental Costs	671	704
Derivative Contracts	39	40
Long-Term Accrued Taxes	247	538
Other	151	140
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	8,369	8,993
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 7)		
CAPITALIZATION		
LONG-TERM DEBT		
Long-Term Debt	7,121	6,481
Securitization Debt of VIEs	998	1,145
Project Level, Non-Recourse Debt	33	19
Total Long-Term Debt	8,152	7,645
SUBSIDIARY'S PREFERRED STOCK WITHOUT MANDATORY REDEMPTION	0	80

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

STOCHHOLDENS EXCHT		
Common Stock, no par, authorized 1,000,000,000 shares; issued, 2010 and 2009 533,556,660		
shares	4,796	4,788
Treasury Stock, at cost, 2010 27,622,433 shares; 2009 27,567,030 shares	(594)	(588)
Retained Earnings	5,466	4,704
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(111)	(116)
Total Common Stockholders Equity	9,557	8,788
Noncontrolling Interest	8	10
Total Stockholders Equity	9,565	8,798
Total Capitalization	17,717	16,523
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION	\$ 29,104	\$ 28,730

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Millions

(Unaudited)

For the Nine Months Ended

	September 30,	
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net Income	\$ 1,282	\$ 1,243
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	730	634
Amortization of Nuclear Fuel	102	88
Provision for Deferred Income Taxes (Other than Leases) and ITC	205	209
Non-Cash Employee Benefit Plan Costs	236	260
Leveraged Lease Income, Adjusted for Rents Received and Deferred Taxes	(391)	(542)
Net (Gain) Loss on Lease Investments	(51)	(135)
Net Realized and Unrealized (Gains) Losses on Energy Contracts and Other Derivatives	(42)	(125)
Over (Under) Recovery of Electric Energy Costs (BGS and NTC) and Gas Costs	35	55
Over (Under) Recovery of Societal Benefits Charge (SBC)	(55)	40
Market Transition Charge Refund, net	98	0
Cost of Removal	(47)	(38)
Net Realized (Gains) Losses and (Income) Expense from NDT Funds	(73)	(25)
Realized Gains from Rabbi Trust	(31)	0
Net Change in Certain Current Assets and Liabilities	(237)	252
Employee Benefit Plan Funding and Related Payments	(483)	(426)
Other	61	(149)
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities	1,339	1,341
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment	(1,517)	(1,232)
Proceeds from the Sale of Capital Leases and Investments	427	729
Proceeds from Sales of Available-for-Sale Securities	886	1,633
Investments in Available-for-Sale Securities	(905)	(1,655)
Restricted Funds	(2)	113
Other	15	(7)
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	(1,096)	(419)
		. ,
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net Change in Commercial Paper and Loans	(530)	224
Issuance of Long-Term Debt	1,608	209
Redemption of Long-Term Debt	(548)	(584)
Repayment of Non-Recourse Debt	(3)	(284)
Redemption of Securitization Debt	(140)	(133)
Premium Paid on Debt Exchange	(13)	(36)

Cash Dividends Paid on Common Stock	(520)	(505)
Redemption of Preferred Securities	(80)	0
Other	(35)	(4)
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities	(261)	(1,113)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(18)	(191)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	350	321
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 332	\$ 130
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Income Taxes Paid (Received)	\$ 1,080	\$ 1,060
Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized	\$ 299	\$ 344
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		

PSEG POWER LLC

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Millions

(Unaudited)

	For The Three Months		For The Nine Mont	
	Ended September 30,		Ended Sep	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 1,663	\$ 1,564	\$ 5,324	\$ 5,391
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Energy Costs	714	599	2,732	2,757
Operation and Maintenance	263	265	817	820
Depreciation and Amortization	48	48	144	152
Total Operating Expenses	1,025	912	3,693	3,729
OPERATING INCOME	638	652	1,631	1,662
Other Income	44	40	126	196
Other Deductions	(9)	(17)	(36)	(111)
Other-Than-Temporary Impairments	(2)	0	(8)	(60)
Interest Expense	(37)	(37)	(119)	(125)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME				
TAXES	634	638	1,594	1,562
Income Tax (Expense) Benefit	(250)	(256)	(642)	(619)
EARNINGS AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED	\$ 384	\$ 382	\$ 952	\$ 943

See disclosures regarding PSEG Power LLC included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PSEG POWER LLC

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Millions

(Unaudited)

	Sept	ember 30,	
		2010	ember 31, 2009
ASSETS		2010	2009
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	26	\$ 64
Accounts Receivable		379	425
Accounts Receivable Affiliated Companies, net		283	459
Short-Term Loan to Affiliate		309	0
Fuel		812	806
Materials and Supplies, net		286	290
Derivative Contracts		254	231
Prepayments		72	64
Other		0	3
		÷	
Total Current Assets		2 421	2 2 4 2
I otal Current Assets		2,421	2,342
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT		9,104	8,579
Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization		(2,415)	(2,194)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment		6,689	6,385
Net Hoperty, Hait and Equipment		0,089	0,585
NONCURRENT ASSETS			
Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT) Funds		1,270	1,199
Goodwill		16	16
Other Intangibles		122	114
Other Special Funds		31	30
Derivative Contracts		89	118
Long-Term Accrued Taxes		11	39
Other		86	90
Total Noncurrent Assets		1,625	1,606
Total Nonculture Assets		1,025	1,000
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	10,735	\$ 10,333
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER SEQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Long-Term Debt Due Within One Year	\$	650	0
Accounts Payable	Ψ	470	622
Short-Term Loan from Affiliate		0	194
Derivative Contracts		123	201
Deferred Income Taxes		123	0
Accrued Interest		84	43
Other		116	163
		110	105
Total Current Liabilities		1,566	1,223

NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Deferred Income Taxes and Investment Tax Credits (ITC)	660	644
Asset Retirement Obligations	239	226
Other Postretirement Benefit (OPEB) Costs	166	158
Derivative Contracts	39	26
Accrued Pension Costs	227	344
Environmental Costs	51	52
Other	99	72
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	1,481	1,522
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 7)		
LONG-TERM DEBT		
Total Long-Term Debt	2,805	3,121
MEMBER SEQUITY		
Contributed Capital	2,028	2,028
Basis Adjustment	(986)	(986)
Retained Earnings	3,889	3,486
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(48)	(61)
Total Member s Equity	4,883	4,467
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND MEMBER S EQUITY	\$ 10,735	\$ 10,333

See disclosures regarding PSEG Power LLC included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PSEG POWER LLC

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Millions

(Unaudited)

		Months Ended nber 30,
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net Income	\$ 952	\$ 943
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	144	152
Amortization of Nuclear Fuel	102	88
Provision for Deferred Income Taxes and ITC	145	105
Net Realized and Unrealized (Gains) Losses on Energy Contracts and Other Derivatives	(42)	(125)
Non-Cash Employee Benefit Plan Costs	53	58
Net Realized (Gains) Losses and (Income) Expense from NDT Funds	(73)	(25)
Realized Gains from Rabbi Trust	(7)	0
Impairment of Emissions Allowances	15	0
Net Change in Certain Current Assets and Liabilities:		
Fuel, Materials and Supplies	(2)	(39)
Margin Deposits	(26)	63
Accounts Receivable	16	312
Accounts Payable	(99)	(236)
Accounts Receivable/Payable-Affiliated Companies, net	186	260
Accrued Interest Payable	41	45
Other Current Assets and Liabilities	(42)	(50)
Employee Benefit Plan Funding and Related Payments	(131)	(112)
Other	24	(10)
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities	1,256	1,429
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment	(579)	(636)
Proceeds from Sales of Available-for-Sale Securities	759	1,633
Investments in Available-for-Sale Securities	(778)	(1,653)
Short-Term Loan Affiliated Company, net	(309)	55
Restricted Funds	2	111
Other	26	20
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	(879)	(470)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Issuance of Recourse Long-Term Debt	594	209
Contributed Capital	0	229
Cash Dividend Paid	(550)	(815)
Redemption of Long-Term Debt	(248)	(530)
Short-Term Loan Affiliated Company, net	(194)	65
Cash Payment for Debt Exchange	(13)	0
,	(10)	0

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable due from Affiliate Related to Debt Exchange		0	(101)
Other		(4)	0
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities		(415)	(943)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(38)	16
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		64	40
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	26	\$ 56
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:			
Income Taxes Paid (Received)	\$	558	\$ 464
Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized	\$	85	\$ 94
See disclosures regarding PSEG Power LLC included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Sta	ateme	nts.	

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Millions

(Unaudited)

		hree Months ptember 30, 2009	For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009			
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 2,007	\$ 1,943	\$ 5,987	\$ 6,321		
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Energy Costs	1,115	1,167	3,572	4,005		
Operation and Maintenance	327	351	1,084	1,090		
Depreciation and Amortization	209	169	563	462		
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	31	30	101	100		
Total Operating Expenses	1,682	1,717	5,320	5,657		
OPERATING INCOME	325	226	667	664		
Other Income	14	2	22	7		
Other Deductions	(1)	0	(2)	(2)		
Interest Expense	(82)	(77)	(239)	(236)		
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	256	151	448	433		
Income Tax (Expense) Benefit	(101)	(63)	(172)	(177)		
NET INCOME	155	88	276	256		
Preferred Stock Dividends	0	(1)	(1)	(3)		
EARNINGS AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED	\$ 155	\$ 87	\$ 275	\$ 253		

See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Millions

(Unaudited)

ASSETS	September 30, 2010			ember 31, 2009
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	115	\$	240
Accounts Receivable, net of allowances of \$56 in 2010 and \$78 in 2009, respectively	-	818	Ŧ	800
Unbilled Revenues		276		411
Materials and Supplies		87		70
Prepayments		212		86
Deferred Income Taxes		37		52
Other		22		3
Total Current Assets		1,567		1,662
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT		13,749		12,933
Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization		(4,311)		(4,187)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment		9,438		8,746
NONCURRENT ASSETS				
Regulatory Assets		4,105		4,402
Regulatory Assets of VIEs		1,181		1,367
Long-Term Investments		218		204
Other Special Funds		54		51
Derivative Contracts		37		5
Restricted Cash of VIEs		21		17
Other		87		79
Total Noncurrent Assets		5,703		6,125
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	16,708	\$	16,533

See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Millions

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Long-Term Debt Due Within One Year	\$ 0	\$ 300
Securitization Debt of VIEs Due Within One Year	204	198
Accounts Payable	401	337
Accounts Payable Affiliated Companies, net	141	496
Accrued Interest	68	56
Clean Energy Program	189	166
Obligation to Return Cash Collateral	101	95
Other	206	214
Total Current Liabilities	1,310	1,862
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Deferred Income Taxes and ITC	2,815	2,710
Other Postretirement Benefit (OPEB) Costs	870	887
Accrued Pension Costs	338	565
Regulatory Liabilities	498	397
Regulatory Liabilities of VIEs	8	7
Clean Energy Program	270	400
Environmental Costs	620	652
Asset Retirement Obligations	213	211
Long-Term Accrued Taxes	118	96
Other	27	29
	27	
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	5,777	5,954
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 7)		
CAPITALIZATION		
LONG-TERM DEBT		
Long-Term Debt	4,282	3,271
Securitization Debt of VIEs	998	1,145
Total Long-Term Debt	5,280	4,416
Preferred Stock Without Mandatory Redemption, \$100 par value, 7,500,000		
authorized; issued and outstanding, 2009 795,234 shares	0	80
STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY		
Common Stock; 150,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding, 2010 and		
2009 132,450,344 shares	892	892

Contributed Capital	420	420
Basis Adjustment	986	986
Retained Earnings	2,043	1,918
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	0	5
Total Stockholder s Equity	4,341	4,221
Total Capitalization	9,621	8,717
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION	\$ 16,708	\$ 16,533

See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Millions

(Unaudited)

For The Nine Months Ended

	Septem	ber 30.	
	2010	2009	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net Income	\$ 276	\$ 256	
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Depreciation and Amortization	563	462	
Provision for Deferred Income Taxes and ITC	41	99	
Non-Cash Employee Benefit Plan Costs	162	177	
Realized Gains from Rabbi Trust	(11)	0	
Non-Cash Interest Expense	10	11	
Cost of Removal	(47)	(38)	
Market Transition Charge Refund, net	98	0	
Over (Under) Recovery of Electric Energy Costs (BGS and NTC) and Gas Costs	35	55	
Over (Under) Recovery of SBC	(55)	40	
Other Non-Cash Charges	0	(2)	
Net Changes in Certain Current Assets and Liabilities:			
Accounts Receivable and Unbilled Revenues	117	253	
Materials and Supplies	(17)	(9)	
Prepayments	(126)	(182)	
Accounts Payable	11	(6)	
Accounts Receivable/Payable-Affiliated Companies, net	(318)	(334)	
Other Current Assets and Liabilities	8	(59)	
Employee Benefit Plan Funding and Related Payments	(305)	(270)	
Other	(15)	(31)	
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities	427	422	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment	(871)	(580)	
Proceeds from Sales of Available-for-Sale Securities	54	1	
Investments in Available-for-Sale Securities	(54)	(1)	
Solar Loan Investments	(11)	(18)	
Other	(4)	4	
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	(886)	(594)	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Net Change in Short-Term Debt	0	54	
Issuance of Long-Term Debt	1.014	0	
6			
Redemption of Long-Term Debt Redemption of Securitization Debt	(300) (140)	(60) (133)	
Redemption of Preferred Securities	× ,	· · ·	
	(80)	0 250	
Contributed Capital Deferred Issuance Costs	(9)	250	
Common Stock Dividend	(9)	0	
Preferred Stock Dividends	(150)	(3)	
Therefore Stock Dividends	(1)	(3)	

Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities		334		108
Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents		(125)		(64)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		240		91
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	115	\$	27
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:				
Income Taxes Paid (Received)	\$	182	\$	47
Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized	\$	213	\$	223
See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consol	idated	d Financial Stat	emen	is.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated (PSEG), PSEG Power LLC (Power) and Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G). Information relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Power and PSE&G each is only responsible for information about itself and its subsidiaries.

Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

PSEG is a holding company with a diversified business mix within the energy industry. Its operations are primarily in the Northeastern and Mid Atlantic United States and in other select markets. PSEG s four principal direct wholly owned subsidiaries are:

Power which is a multi-regional, wholesale energy supply company that integrates its generating asset operations and gas supply commitments with its wholesale energy, fuel supply, energy trading and marketing and risk management functions through three principal direct wholly owned subsidiaries. Power s subsidiaries are subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the states in which they operate.

PSE&G which is an operating public utility engaged principally in the transmission of electricity and distribution of electricity and natural gas in certain areas of New Jersey. PSE&G is subject to regulation by the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (BPU) and FERC. Pursuant to applicable BPU orders, PSE&G is also investing in the development of solar generation projects and energy efficiency programs within its service territory.

PSEG Energy Holdings L.L.C. (Energy Holdings) which owns and operates primarily domestic projects engaged in the generation of energy and has invested in leveraged leases through its direct wholly owned subsidiaries. Certain Energy Holdings subsidiaries are subject to regulation by FERC and the states in which they operate. Energy Holdings is also investing in solar generation projects and exploring opportunities for other investments in renewable generation.

PSEG Services Corporation (Services) which provides management and administrative and general services to PSEG and its subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

The respective financial statements included herein have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) applicable to Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Notes) should be read in conjunction with, and update and supplement matters discussed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial information furnished herein reflects all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly state the results for the interim periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The year-end Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets were derived from the audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period financial statements to conform to the current presentation.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

As a result of new guidance adopted in 2010 on Variable Interest Entities (VIEs), we are required to present certain consolidated amounts related to VIEs separately on the face of our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for PSEG and PSE&G with prior period amounts being reclassified as appropriate. See Note 2. Recent Accounting Standards for additional information.

On October 1, 2009, Energy Holdings distributed the outstanding equity of PSEG Texas, LP (PSEG Texas) to PSEG. PSEG in turn contributed it to Power as an additional equity investment. This transaction was accounted for as a noncash transfer of an equity interest between entities under common control with prior period financial statements for Power being retrospectively adjusted to include the earnings related to PSEG Texas. As a result, Power s Operating Revenues for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009 increased by \$142 million and \$294 million, respectively. Power s Net Income for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009 increased by \$35 million and \$21 million, respectively.

Note 2. Recent Accounting Standards

New Standards Adopted during 2010

During 2010, we have adopted the following new accounting standards. The new standards adopted did not have a material impact on our financial statements. The following is a summary of the requirements and impacts of the new standards.

Accounting for VIEs

This accounting standard amends the criteria used to determine which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a VIE. The amended standard includes the following provisions:

requires an enterprise to qualitatively assess whether it should consolidate a VIE based on whether it has (i) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the economic performance of a VIE, and (ii) has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE,

requires an ongoing reconsideration of the primary beneficiary,

amends the VIE reconsideration events (triggering events), and

requires additional disclosures for the enterprise that consolidates a VIE (the primary beneficiary) to present separately on the face of the consolidated balance sheet (i) assets of the consolidated VIE that can be used only to settle obligations of the consolidated VIE and (ii) liabilities of a consolidated VIE for which creditors have no recourse to the general credit of the primary beneficiary.

We adopted the standard on January 1, 2010 and there was no impact on our financial statements upon initial adoption, other than presentation. In accordance with the guidance, we continuously assess the primary beneficiaries of VIEs for which we have a variable interest. See Note 3. Variable Interest Entities for further information.

Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements

Table of Contents

requires disclosure of transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 and reasons for transfer,

requires disaggregation beyond the financial statement line item when disclosing fair value instruments in the hierarchy table, and

requires gross presentation in Level 3 rollforward (purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements) effective January 1, 2011. We adopted the standard on January 1, 2010. We disclose the fair value instruments by appropriate classes, as required by this standard, and we do not have any transfers between Levels 1 and 2. See Note 10. Fair Value Measurements for further information.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

New Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Adopted

Disclosures about Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and Allowance for Credit Losses

This accounting standard update has been issued to provide greater transparency about an entity s allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables by requiring:

quantitative and qualitative information about the credit quality of financing receivables,

description of accounting policies and methodology used to estimate the allowance for credit losses, and

an analysis of financing receivables on nonaccrual or past due status. We will adopt this new guidance effective December 31, 2010 and expect to enhance disclosure related to leveraged lease receivables.

Note 3. Variable Interest Entities

VIEs for which PSE&G is the Primary Beneficiary

PSE&G is the primary beneficiary of and consolidates two marginally capitalized VIEs, PSE&G Transition Funding LLC (Transition Funding) and PSE&G Transition Funding II LLC (Transition Funding II), which were created for the purpose of issuing transition bonds and purchasing bond transitional property of PSE&G, which is pledged as collateral to the trustee. PSE&G acts as the servicer for these entities to collect securitization transition charges authorized by the BPU. These funds are remitted to Transition Funding and Transition Funding II and are used for interest and principal payments on the transition bonds and related costs.

The assets and liabilities of these VIEs are presented separately on the face of the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of PSEG and PSE&G because the Transition Funding and Transition Funding II assets are restricted and can only be used to settle their respective obligations. The Transition Funding and Transition Funding II creditors do not have any recourse to the general credit of PSE&G in the event the transition charges are not sufficient to cover the bond principal and interest payments of Transition Funding and Transition Funding II, respectively.

PSE&G s maximum exposure to loss is equal to its equity investment in these VIEs which was \$16 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The risk of actual loss to PSE&G is considered remote. PSE&G did not provide any financial support to Transition Funding or Transition Funding II during the first nine months of 2010 or in 2009. Further, PSE&G does not have any contractual commitments or obligations to provide financial support to Transition Funding II.

VIE for which Energy Holdings is the Primary Beneficiary

Energy Holdings has a variable interest through its equity investment in a project for energy storage where it is also the primary beneficiary. Energy Holdings has the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance. Energy Holdings also has the obligation to fund up to \$15 million in operating losses of the VIE through 2011. As of September 30, 2010, \$7 million had been extended in the form of a note receivable.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

As a result, Energy Holdings consolidates the assets and liabilities of this project which are disclosed below (excluding intercompany balances which are eliminated in consolidation):

	As of September 30, 2010	As of December 31, 2009
		Millions
Current Assets	\$ 1	\$ 1
Noncurrent Assets	\$ 8	\$ 8

Other than the \$15 million obligation to fund operating losses through 2011, Energy Holdings does not have any contractual or other obligation to provide additional financial support to the VIE. There are no third party debt obligations for this VIE.

Note 4. Asset Dispositions

Dispositions

Leveraged Leases

During the first nine months of 2010, Energy Holdings sold its interest in five leveraged leases, including four international leases for which the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has indicated its intention to disallow certain tax deductions taken in prior years.

During the first nine months of 2009, Energy Holdings sold its interest in twelve leveraged leases, including ten international leases for which the IRS has indicated its intention to disallow certain tax deductions taken in prior years.

					onths Ended mber 30,	
		2010	2009	2010	2009	
			Mill	ions		
Proceeds from Sales		\$ 204	\$ 219	\$ 365	\$ 679	
Gain (Loss) on the Sales, after-tax		\$ 15	\$ 17	\$ 27	\$ 52	
	1 . 1 . 1 .	1 . 1			1	

Proceeds from the sales of the international leases were used to reduce the tax exposure related to these lease investments. For additional information see Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.

GWF Energy LLC (GWF Energy)

In May 2009, Energy Holdings entered into a Memorandum of Understanding under which it would sell, in two separate transactions, its ownership interest in GWF Energy, an equity method investment, for a total purchase price of \$70 million. As a result, Energy Holdings recorded an after-tax impairment charge of \$3 million.

Energy Holdings completed the first stage of the sale in June 2009 for approximately \$7 million. Energy Holdings completed the second stage of the sale in September 2010 for approximately \$63 million. The total proceeds from both sales were approximately the book value of the investment.

PPN Power Generating Company Limited (PPN)

In May 2009, Energy Holdings sold its ownership interest in PPN, which owns and operates a 330 MW generation facility in India for approximately book value.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Other

In May 2009, Energy Holdings sold its ownership interest in the Midland Cogeneration Venture LP for an after-tax gain of \$2 million.

Note 5. Available-for-Sale Securities

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT) Funds

Power maintains an external master NDT to fund its share of decommissioning for its five nuclear facilities upon their respective termination of operation. The trust contains two separate funds: a qualified fund and a non-qualified fund. Section 468A of the Internal Revenue Code limits the amount of money that can be contributed into a qualified fund. The trust funds are managed by third party investment advisors who operate under investment guidelines developed by Power. Power classifies investments in the NDT Funds as available-for-sale. The following tables show the fair values and gross unrealized gains and losses for the securities held in the NDT Funds:

			As of September 30, 2010 Gross Gross						
		Unrealized Unrealized Gains Losses Millions		Unrealized Unrealized		Unrealized Unrealized		Est	imated
	Cost			ains Losses Millions		Fair Value			
Equity Securities	\$ 499	\$	164	\$	(6)	\$	657		
Debt Securities									
Government Obligations	316		10		0		326		
Other Debt Securities	244		18		(1)		261		
Total Debt Securities	560		28		(1)		587		
Other Securities	26		0		0		26		
Total Available-for-Sale Securities	\$ 1,085	\$	192	\$	(7)	\$	1,270		

		As of Dec			
		Gross	Gross		
		Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated	
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value	
		Millions			
Equity Securities	\$ 475	\$ 180	\$ (5)	\$ 650	

Debt Securities				
Government Obligations	296	4	(3)	297
Other Debt Securities	209	10	(3)	216
Total Debt Securities	505	14	(6)	513
Other Securities	37	0	(1)	36
Total Available-for-Sale Securities	\$ 1,017	\$ 194	\$ (12)	\$ 1,199

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following table shows the value of securities in the NDT Funds that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than and greater than 12 months:

	As of September 30, 2010 Less Than 12 Greater Than 12 Months Months Gross Gross				As of Decem 5 Than 12 1onths Gross	ber 31, 2009 Greater Than 12 Months Gross		
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses Mi	Value llions	Losses	Value	Losses
Equity Securities(A)	\$ 80	\$ (6)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 61	\$ (5)	\$ 0	\$ 0
Debt Securities								
Government Obligations(B)	16	0	2	0	78	(2)	15	(1)
Other Debt Securities(C)	12	0	7	(1)	59	(3)	0	0
Total Debt Securities	28	0	9	(1)	137	(5)	15	(1)
Other Securities	0	0	0	0	1	(1)	0	0
Total Available-for-Sale Securities	\$ 108	\$ (6)	\$ 9	\$ (1)	\$ 199	\$ (11)	\$ 15	\$ (1)

- (A) Equity Securities Investments in marketable equity securities within the NDT funds are primarily investments in common stocks within a broad range of industries and sectors. The unrealized losses are distributed over several hundred companies with limited impairment durations and a severity that is generally less than ten percent of cost. Power does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of September 30, 2010.
- (B) Debt Securities (Government) Unrealized losses on Power's NDT investments in US Treasury obligations and Federal Agency mortgage-backed securities were caused by interest rate changes. Since these investments are guaranteed by the US government or an agency of the US government, it is not expected that these securities will settle for less than their amortized cost basis, since Power does not intend to sell nor will it be more-likely-than-not required to sell. Power does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of September 30, 2010.
- (C) Debt Securities (Corporate) Power s investments in corporate bonds are primarily with investment grade securities. It is not expected that these securities would settle for less than their amortized cost. Since Power does not intend to sell these securities nor will it be more-likely-than-not required to sell, Power does not consider these debt securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of September 30, 2010.

The proceeds from the sales of and the net realized gains on securities in the NDT Funds were:

		Three Months Ended September 30,			ded),
	2010	2009	2010	2	2009
		Mil	lions		
Proceeds from Sales	\$ 302	\$ 156	\$ 728	\$	1,631
Net Realized Gains (Losses):					
Gross Realized Gains	\$ 26	\$ 29	\$ 86	\$	156
Gross Realized Losses	(8)	(14)	(31)		(125)
Net Realized Gains	\$ 18	\$ 15	\$ 55	\$	31

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Net realized gains disclosed in the above table were recognized in Other Income and Other Deductions on Power's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Net unrealized gains of \$91 million (after-tax) were recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss on Power's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2010.

The available-for-sale debt securities held as of September 30, 2010 had the following maturities:

Time Frame	Value lions
Less than one year	\$ 16
1 - 5 years	104
6 - 10 years	174
11 - 15 years	53
16 - 20 years	8
Over 20 years	232
	\$ 587

The cost of these securities was determined on the basis of specific identification.

Power periodically assesses individual securities whose fair value is less than amortized cost to determine whether the investments are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. For equity securities, management considers the ability and intent to hold for a reasonable time to permit recovery in addition to the severity and duration of the loss. For fixed income securities, management considers its intent to sell or requirement to sell a security prior to expected recovery. In those cases where a sale is expected, any impairment would be recorded through earnings. For fixed income securities where there is no intent to sell or likely requirement to sell, management evaluates whether credit loss is a component of the impairment. If so, that portion is recorded through earnings while the noncredit loss component is recorded through Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (OCI). In 2010, other-than-temporary impairments of \$8 million were recognized on securities in the NDT Funds. Any subsequent recoveries in the value of these securities would be recognized in OCI unless the securities are sold, in which case, any gain would be recognized in income. The assessment of fair market value compared to cost is applied on a weighted average basis taking into account various purchase dates and initial cost of the securities.

Rabbi Trust

PSEG maintains certain unfunded nonqualified benefit plans to provide supplemental retirement and deferred compensation benefits to certain key employees. Certain assets related to these plans have been set aside in a grantor trust commonly known as a Rabbi Trust . In August 2010, PSEG revised the asset structure of the Rabbi Trust and realized gains of approximately \$31 million as the investments were transitioned to a new asset allocation and investment manager. The new structure is expected to result in lower investment management fees.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

PSEG classifies investments in the Rabbi Trust as available-for-sale. The following tables show the fair values, gross unrealized gains and losses and amortized cost bases for the securities held in the Rabbi Trust:

		As of Septe Gross	ember 30, 2010 Gross	
		Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated
	Cost	Gains	Losses illions	Fair Value
Equity Securities	\$ 16	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 16
Debt Securities	141	1	0	142
Other Securities	0	0	0	0
Total PSEG Available-for-Sale Securities	\$ 157	\$ 1	\$0	\$ 158

		As of Dece Gross	ember 31, 2009 Gross	
		Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Equity Securities	\$ 10	\$ 3	\$ 0	\$ 13
Debt Securities	101	21	0	122
Other Securities	14	0	0	14
Total PSEG Available-for-Sale Securities	\$ 125	\$ 24	\$0	\$ 149

The Rabbi Trust is invested in commingled indexed mutual funds, in which the shares have the characteristics of equity securities. Due to the commingled nature of these funds, PSEG does not have the ability to hold these securities until expected recovery. As a result, any declines in fair market value below cost are recorded as a charge to earnings. In each of the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, other-than-temporary impairments of \$1 million were recognized on the equity investments of the Rabbi Trust.

		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Er September 30		ed
	2010	20	09	2010	20	09
			Mi	llions		
Proceeds from Sales	\$ 158	\$	0	\$ 158	\$	2

Net Realized Gains (Losses) Gross Realized Gains Gross Realized Losses	\$ 31 0	\$ 0	\$ 31 0	\$ 0
Net Realized Gains (Losses)	\$ 31	\$ 0	\$ 31	\$ (1)

The cost of these securities was determined on the basis of specific identification.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The estimated fair value of the Rabbi Trusts related to PSEG, Power and PSE&G are detailed as follows:

	As of September 30,	As of mber 31,
	2010 Milli	2009
Power	\$ 31	\$ 30
PSE&G Other	54 73	51 68
Total PSEG Available-for-Sale Securities	\$ 158	\$ 149

Note 6. Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefits (OPEB)

PSEG sponsors several qualified and nonqualified pension plans and OPEB plans covering PSEG s and its participating affiliates current and former employees who meet certain eligibility criteria. The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit costs relating to all qualified and nonqualified pension and OPEB plans on an aggregate basis. OPEB costs are presented net of the federal subsidy expected for prescription drugs under the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003. New federal health care legislation enacted in March 2010 eliminates the tax deductibility of retiree health care costs beginning in 2013, to the extent of federal subsidies received by plan sponsors that provide retiree prescription drug benefits equivalent to Medicare Part D coverage. See Note 12. Income Taxes for additional information.

Pension and OPEB costs for PSEG, Power and PSE&G are detailed as follows:

			OP	EB			OP	EB
	Three I En		Thi Mor Enc	nths led	Pension Nine M Enc	Ionths ded	Nine M Enc	led
	Septem 2010	ber 30, 2009	Septem 2010	ber 30, 2009	Septem 2010	ber 30, 2009	Septem 2010	ber 30, 2009
				Mi	llions			
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost:								
Service Cost	\$ 21	\$ 19	\$4	\$ 3	\$ 65	\$ 57	\$ 12	\$ 9
Interest Cost	58	58	18	18	173	176	54	54
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(67)	(54)	(4)	(3)	(200)	(162)	(11)	(9)
Amortization of Net								
Transition Obligation	0	0	6	7	0	0	20	21
Prior Service Cost	0	2	4	3	0	6	10	10

Actuarial Loss	31	29	2	0	92	85	6	(2)
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ 43	\$ 54	\$ 30	\$ 28	\$ 130	\$ 162	\$ 91	\$ 83
Effect of Regulatory Asset	0	0	5	5	0	0	15	15
Total Benefit Costs, Including Effect of Regulatory Asset	\$ 43	\$ 54	\$ 35	\$ 33	\$ 130	\$ 162	\$ 106	\$ 98

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

			OP	EB			OPE	B
	Pension	Benefits			Pension	Benefits		
	Three Mon Septem		Three Mor Septem		Nine Mont Septem		Nine Montl Septemb	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
				Mi	llions			
Power	\$13	\$ 16	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 40	\$ 49	\$ 13	\$ 9
PSE&G	24	30	30	29	72	90	90	87
Other	6	8	1	1	18	23	3	2
Total Benefit Costs	\$ 43	\$ 54	\$ 35	\$ 33	\$ 130	\$ 162	\$ 106	\$ 98

As of May 31, 2010, PSEG had contributed its planned contributions for the year 2010 of \$415 million and \$11 million into its pension and postretirement healthcare plans, respectively.

Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Guaranteed Obligations

Power s activities primarily involve the purchase and sale of energy and related products under transportation, physical, financial and forward contracts at fixed and variable prices. These transactions are with numerous counterparties and brokers that may require cash, cash-related instruments or guarantees.

Power has unconditionally guaranteed payments to counterparties by its subsidiaries in commodity-related transactions in order to

support current exposure, interest and other costs on sums due and payable in the ordinary course of business, and

obtain credit.

Under these agreements, guarantees cover lines of credit between entities and are often reciprocal in nature. The exposure between counterparties can move in either direction.

In order for Power to incur a liability for the face value of the outstanding guarantees, its subsidiaries would have to

fully utilize the credit granted to them by every counterparty to whom Power has provided a guarantee, and

all of the related contracts would have to be out-of-the-money (if the contracts are terminated, Power would owe money to the counterparties).

Power believes the probability of this result is unlikely. For this reason, Power believes that the current exposure at any point in time is a more meaningful representation of the potential liability under these guarantees. This current exposure consists of the net of accounts receivable and accounts payable and the forward value on open positions, less any collateral posted.

Power is subject to

counterparty collateral calls related to commodity contracts, and

certain creditworthiness standards as guarantor under performance guarantees of its subsidiaries.

Changes in commodity prices can have a material impact on collateral requirements under such contracts, which are posted and received primarily in the form of cash and letters of credit. Power also routinely enters into futures and options transactions for electricity and natural gas as part of its operations. These futures contracts usually require a cash margin deposit with brokers, which can change based on market movement and in accordance with exchange rules.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The face value of outstanding guarantees, current exposure and margin positions as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are shown below:

	As of September 30,		As of mber 31,
	2010		2009
	Mi	illions	
Face Value of Outstanding Guarantees	\$ 1,924	\$	1,783
Exposure under Current Guarantees	\$ 333	\$	403
Letters of Credit Margin Posted	\$ 162	\$	122
Letters of Credit Margin Received	\$ 133	\$	123
Cash Deposited and Received			
Counterparty Cash Margin Deposited	\$ 0	\$	0
Counterparty Cash Margin Received	\$ (43)	\$	(90)
Net Broker Balance Received	\$ (52)	\$	(31)
In the event Power were to lose its investment grade rating:			
Additional Collateral that could be Required	\$ 840	\$	986
Liquidity Available under PSEG s and Power s Credit Facilities to Post Collateral	\$ 2,779	\$	2,368
Additional Amounts Posted			
Other Letters of Credit	\$ 107	\$	52
owner adverse of oreal	+ - • •		

Power nets receivables and payables with the corresponding net energy contract balances. See Note 9. Financial Risk Management Activities for further discussion. The remaining balance of net cash (received) deposited is primarily included in Accounts Payable.

In the event of a deterioration of Power s credit rating to below investment grade, which would represent a two level downgrade from its current ratings, many of these agreements allow the counterparty to demand further performance assurance. See table above.

In addition to amounts for outstanding guarantees, current exposure and margin positions, Power had posted letters of credit to support various other non-energy contractual and environmental obligations. See table above.

Environmental Matters

Passaic River

Historic operations by PSEG companies and the operations of hundreds of other companies along the Passaic and Hackensack Rivers are alleged by Federal and State agencies to have discharged substantial contamination into the Passaic River/Newark Bay Complex.

Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA)

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has determined that an eight-mile stretch of the Passaic River in the area of Newark, New Jersey is a facility within the meaning of that term under CERCLA. The EPA has determined the need to perform a study of the entire 17-mile tidal reach of the lower Passaic River.

PSE&G and certain of its predecessors conducted operations at properties in this area on or adjacent to the Passaic River. The properties included one operating electric generating station (Essex Site), which was transferred to Power, one former generating station and four former Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) sites. When the Essex Site was transferred from PSE&G to Power, PSE&G obtained releases and indemnities for liabilities arising out of the former Essex generating station and Power assumed any environmental liabilities.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The EPA believes that hazardous substances were released from the Essex Site and one of PSE&G s former MGP locations (Harrison Site). In 2006, the EPA notified the potentially responsible parties (PRPs) that the cost of its study would greatly exceed the original estimated cost of \$20 million. 73 PRPs, including Power and PSE&G, agreed to assume responsibility for the study and to divide the associated costs according to a mutually agreed upon formula. The PRP group, currently 69 members, is presently executing the study. Approximately five percent of the study costs are attributable to PSE&G s former MGP sites and approximately one percent to Power s generating stations. Power has provided notice to insurers concerning this potential claim.

In 2007, the EPA released a draft Focused Feasibility Study that proposes six options to address the contamination cleanup of the lower eight miles of the Passaic River. The estimated costs for the proposed remedy range from \$1.3 billion to \$3.7 billion. The work contemplated by the study is not subject to the cost sharing agreement discussed above. A revised focused feasibility study may be released as early as the second quarter of 2011.

In June 2008, an agreement was announced between the EPA and two PRPs for removal of a portion of the contaminated sediment in the Passaic River at an estimated cost of \$80 million. The two PRPs have reserved their rights to seek contribution for the removal costs from the other PRPs, including Power and PSE&G.

New Jersey Spill Compensation and Control Act (Spill Act)

In 2005, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) filed suit against a PRP and its related companies in the New Jersey Superior Court seeking damages and reimbursement for costs expended by the State of New Jersey to address the effects of the PRP s discharge of hazardous substances into the both the Passaic River and the balance of the Newark Bay Complex. Power and PSE&G are alleged to have owned, operated or contributed hazardous substances to a total of 11 sites or facilities that impacted these water bodies. In February 2009, third party complaints were filed against some 320 third party defendants, including Power and PSE&G, claiming that each of the third party defendants is responsible for its proportionate share of the clean-up costs for the hazardous substances they allegedly discharged into the Passaic River and the Newark Bay Complex. The third party complaints seek statutory contribution and contribution under the Spill Act to recover past and future removal costs and damages. Power and PSE&G filed answers to the complaint in June 2010. A special master for discovery has been appointed by the court. Power and PSE&G believe they have good and valid defenses to the allegations contained in the third party complaints and will vigorously assert those defenses.

Natural Resource Damage Claims

In 2003, the NJDEP directed PSEG, PSE&G and 56 other PRPs to arrange for a natural resource damage assessment and interim compensatory restoration of natural resource injuries along the lower Passaic River and its tributaries pursuant to the NJ Spill Act. The NJDEP alleged that hazardous substances had been discharged from the Essex Site and the Harrison Site. The NJDEP estimated the cost of interim natural resource injury restoration activities along the lower Passaic River at approximately \$950 million. In 2007, agencies of the United States Department of Commerce and the United States Department of the Interior sent letters to PSE&G and other PRPs inviting participation in an assessment of injuries to natural resources that the agencies intended to perform. In 2008, PSEG and a number of other PRPs agreed to share certain immaterial costs the trustees have incurred and will incur going forward, and to work with the trustees to explore whether some or all of the trustees claims can be resolved in a cooperative fashion. That effort is continuing.

Newark Bay Study Area

The EPA has established the Newark Bay Study Area, which it defines as Newark Bay and portions of the Hackensack River, the Arthur Kill and the Kill Van Kull. In August 2006, the EPA sent PSEG and 11 other entities notices that it considered each of the entities to be a PRP with respect to contamination in the Study Area. The notice letter requested that the PRPs fund an EPA-approved study in the Newark Bay Study Area and encouraged the PRPs to contact Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) to discuss participating in the

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study that OCC was conducting. The notice stated the EPA s belief that hazardous substances were released from sites owned by PSEG companies and located on the Hackensack River, including two operating electric generating stations (Hudson and Kearny sites) and one former MGP site. PSEG is participating in and partially funding this study. Notices to fund the next phase of the study have been received but it is uncertain at this time whether the PSEG companies will consent to fund the next phase.

PSEG, Power and PSE&G cannot predict what further actions, if any, or the costs or the timing thereof, may be required with respect to the Passaic River, the NJDEP Litigation, the Newark Bay Study Area or with respect to natural resource damages claims; however, such costs could be material.

MGP Remediation Program

PSE&G is working with the NJDEP to assess, investigate and remediate environmental conditions at PSE&G s former MGP sites. To date, 38 sites requiring some level of remedial action have been identified. The NJDEP has also announced initiatives to accelerate the investigation and subsequent remediation of the riverbeds underlying surface water bodies that have been impacted by hazardous substances from adjoining sites. In 2005, the NJDEP initiated a program on the Delaware River aimed at identifying the ten most significant sites for cleanup. One of the sites identified was PSE&G s former Camden Coke facility.

During the third quarter of 2010, PSE&G updated the estimated cost to remediate all MGP sites to completion and determined that the cost to completion could range between \$668 million and \$774 million from September 30, 2010 through 2021. Since no amount within the range was considered to be most likely, PSE&G reflected a liability of \$668 million on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2010. Of this amount, \$48 million was recorded in Other Current Liabilities and \$620 million was reflected as Environmental Costs in Noncurrent Liabilities. PSE&G has recorded a \$668 million Regulatory Asset with respect to these costs.

Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)/New Source Review (NSR)

The PSD/NSR regulations, promulgated under the Clean Air Act, require major sources of certain air pollutants to obtain permits, install pollution control technology and obtain offsets, in some circumstances, when those sources undergo a major modification, as defined in the regulations. The federal government may order companies that are not in compliance with the PSD/NSR regulations to install the best available control technology at the affected plants and to pay monetary penalties ranging from \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day for each violation, depending upon when the alleged violation occurred.

In November 2006, Power reached an agreement with the EPA and the NJDEP to achieve emissions reductions targets at certain of Power s generating stations. Under this agreement, Power was required to undertake a number of technology projects, plant modifications and operating procedure changes at the Hudson and Mercer facilities designed to meet targeted reductions in emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxide (NO₂), particulate matter and mercury. The remaining projects necessary to implement this program are expected to be completed by the end of 2010 at an estimated cost of \$200 million to \$250 million for Mercer and \$750 million to \$800 million for Hudson, of which \$932 million has been spent on both projects as of September 30, 2010.

In January 2009, the EPA issued a notice of violation to Power and the other owners of the Keystone coal fired plant in Pennsylvania, alleging, among other things, that various capital improvement projects were completed at the plant which are considered modifications (or major modifications) causing significant net emission increases of PSD/NSR air pollutants, beginning in 1985 for Keystone Unit 1 and in 1984 for Keystone Unit 2. The notice of violation states that none of these modifications underwent PSD/NSR permitting process prior to being put into service, which the EPA alleges was required under the Clean Air Act. The notice of violation states that the EPA may issue an order requiring compliance with the relevant Clean Air Act provisions and may seek injunctive relief and/or civil penalties. Power owns approximately 23% of the plant. Power cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Mercury Regulation

In 2005, the EPA established a limit for nickel emissions from oil fired electric generating units and a cap-and-trade program for mercury emissions from coal fired electric generating units.

In 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit rejected the EPA s mercury emissions program and required the EPA to develop standards for mercury and nickel emissions that adhere to the Maximum Available Control Technology (MACT) provisions of the Clean Air Act. In 2009, the EPA indicated that it intended to move forward with a rule-making process to develop MACT standards consistent with the Court s ruling and agreed to finalize them by November 2011.

The full impact to PSEG of these developments is uncertain. It is expected that new MACT requirements will require more stringent control than the cap-and-trade program struck down by the D.C. Circuit Court; however, the costs of compliance with mercury MACT standards will have to be compared with the existing state level mercury control requirements, as described below.

Pennsylvania

In 2007, Pennsylvania finalized its state-specific requirements to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired electric generating units. These requirements were more stringent than the EPA s vacated Clean Air Mercury Rule but not as stringent as would be required by a MACT process. In 2009, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania struck down the state rule, indicating that the rule violated Pennsylvania law because it was inconsistent with the Clean Air Act. In December 2009, the Commonwealth Court s decision was affirmed by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. Unless the law in Pennsylvania is changed requiring the regulation of mercury by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, then our Pennsylvania generating stations likely will be subject to regulation under the EPA s MACT rule. It is uncertain whether the Keystone and Conemaugh generating stations will be able to achieve the necessary reductions at these stations with currently planned capital projects under MACT regulation.

Connecticut

Mercury emissions control standards were effective in July 2008 and require coal fired power plants to achieve either an emissions limit or 90% mercury removal efficiency through technology installed to control mercury emissions. With the recently installed activated carbon injection and baghouse at Bridgeport Unit 3, Power has demonstrated that it complies with the mercury limits in these standards.

New Jersey

New Jersey regulations required coal fired electric generating units to meet certain emissions limits or reduce mercury emissions by approximately 90% by December 15, 2007. Companies that are parties to multi-pollutant reduction agreements, such as Power, have been permitted to postpone such reductions on half of their coal fired electric generating capacity until December 15, 2012.

Power has achieved or will achieve the required reductions with mercury control technologies that are part of Power s multi-pollutant reduction agreement that resolved issues arising out of the PSD/NSR air pollution control programs discussed above.

NO_x Reduction

New Jersey

In April 2009, the NJDEP finalized revisions to NO_x emission control regulations that impose new NO_x emission reduction requirements and limits for New Jersey fossil fuel fired electric generation units. The rule has a significant impact on Power s generation fleet, as it imposes NQ_x emissions limits that will require significant capital investment for controls or the retirement of up to 102 combustion turbines (approximately 2,000 MW) and five older New Jersey steam electric generation units (approximately 800 MW) by April 30, 2015.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Power has been working with the NJDEP throughout the development of this rulemaking to minimize financial impact and to provide for transitional lead time to address the retirement of electric generation units. Power cannot predict the financial impact resulting from compliance with this rulemaking.

Connecticut

Under current Connecticut regulations, Power s Bridgeport and New Haven facilities have been utilizing Discrete Emission Reduction Credits (DERCs) to comply with certain NO_x emission limitations that were incorporated into the facilities operating permits. On April 30, 2010, Power negotiated new agreements with the State of Connecticut extending the continued use of DERCs for certain emission units and equipment until May 31, 2014.

New Jersey Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA)

Potential environmental liabilities related to the alleged discharge of hazardous substances at certain generating stations have been identified. In the second quarter of 1999, in anticipation of the transfer of PSE&G s generation-related assets to Power, a study was conducted pursuant to ISRA, which applied to the sale of certain assets. Power had a \$50 million liability related to these obligations, which was included in Environmental Costs on Power s and PSEG s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Permit Renewals

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA), New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) permits expire within five years of their effective date. In order to renew these permits, but allow the plant to continue to operate, an owner or operator must file a permit application no later than six months prior to expiration of the permit. Power has filed or will be filing applications for permits in a variety of states that require discharge.

Pursuant to a consent decree with environmental groups, the EPA was required to promulgate rules governing cooling water intake structures under Section 316(b) of the FWPCA. In 2004, the EPA published a rule which did not mandate the use of cooling towers at large existing generating plants. Rather, the rule provided alternatives for compliance with 316(b), including the use of restoration efforts to mitigate for the potential effects of cooling water intake structures, as well as the use of site-specific analysis to determine the best technology available for minimizing adverse impact based upon a cost-benefit test. Power has used restoration and/or a site-specific cost-benefit test in applications filed to renew the permits at its once-through cooled plants, including Salem, Hudson and Mercer.

One of the most significant NJPDES permits governing cooling water intake structures at Power is for Salem. In 2001, the NJDEP issued a renewed NJPDES permit for Salem, expiring in July 2006, allowing for the continued operation of Salem with its existing cooling water intake system. In February 2006, Power filed with the NJDEP a renewal application allowing Salem to continue operating under its existing NJPDES permit until a new permit is issued. Power prepared its renewal application in accordance with the FWPCA Section 316(b) and the Phase II 316(b) rules published in 2004, which govern cooling water intake structures at large electric generating facilities. Power had historically used restoration and/or a site-specific cost-benefit test in applications it had filed to renew the permits at its once-through cooled plants, including Salem, Hudson and Mercer. However, the 316(b) rules would also have been applicable to Bridgeport, and possibly, the Sewaren and New Haven stations. In addition to the Salem renewal application, permit renewal applications have been submitted to the NJDEP for Hudson and Sewaren and to the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection for Bridgeport.

Portions of the 316(b) rule were challenged by certain northeast states, environmentalists and industry groups. In January 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit issued a decision that remanded major portions of the regulations and determined that Section 316(b) of the FWPCA does not support the use of restoration and the site-specific cost-benefit test. In April 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the Second

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Circuit s opinion, concluding that the EPA could rely upon cost-benefit analysis in setting the national performance standards and in providing for cost-benefit variances from those standards as part of the Phase II regulations. The matter was sent back to the Second Circuit for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court s opinion. In September 2009, the Second Circuit issued an order remanding the matter to the EPA in light of the Supreme Court s opinion.

The Supreme Court s ruling allows the EPA to continue to use the site-specific cost-benefit test in determining best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact. However, the results of further proceedings on this matter could have a material impact on Power s ability to renew permits at its larger once-through cooled plants, including Salem, Hudson, Mercer, Bridgeport and possibly Sewaren and New Haven, without making significant upgrades to existing intake structures and cooling systems. The costs of those upgrades to one or more of Power s once-through cooled plants could be material, and would require economic review to determine whether to continue operations at these facilities. For example, in Power s application to renew its Salem permit, filed with the NJDEP in February 2006, the estimated costs for adding cooling towers for Salem were approximately \$1 billion, of which Power s share would have been approximately \$575 million. These cost estimates have not been updated. Currently, potential costs associated with any closed cycle cooling requirements are not included in Power s forecasted capital expenditures.

The EPA has stated that it anticipates proposing a rule in February 2011, and publishing a final rule in July 2012. Until a new rule governing cooling water intake structures at existing power generating stations is finalized, the EPA and states implementing the FWPCA have been instructed to issue permits on a case-by-case basis using the agency s best professional judgment.

In addition to the anticipated EPA rulemaking, several states, including California and New York, have begun setting policies that may require closed cycle cooling. It is unknown how these policies will ultimately impact the EPA s rulemaking.

In January 2010, the NJDEP issued a draft NJPDES permit to another company which would require the installation of closed cycle cooling at that company s nuclear generating station located in New Jersey. The draft permit is subject to public comment and review prior to being finalized by the NJDEP. We cannot predict at this time the final outcome of the NJDEP decision and the impact, if any, such a decision would have on any of Power s once-through cooled generating stations.

Stormwater

In October 2008, the NJDEP notified Power that it must apply for an individual stormwater discharge permit for its Hudson generating station. Hudson stores its coal in an open air pile and, as a result, it is exposed to precipitation. Discharge of stormwater from Hudson has been regulated pursuant to a Basic Industrial Stormwater General Permit, authorization of which has been previously approved by the NJDEP. The NJDEP has determined that Hudson is no longer eligible to utilize this general permit and must apply for an individual NJPDES permit for stormwater discharges. While the full extent of these requirements remains unclear, to the extent Power may be required to reduce or eliminate the exposure of coal to stormwater, or be required to construct technologies preventing the discharge of stormwater to surface water or groundwater, those costs could be material.

New Generation and Development

Nuclear

Power has approved the expenditure of approximately \$192 million for a steam path retrofit and related upgrades at its co-owned Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3. Completion of these upgrades is expected to result in an increase of Power s share of nominal capacity by 32 MW (14 MW at Unit 3 in 2011 and 18 MW at Unit 2 in 2012). Total expenditures through September 30, 2010 were \$44 million and are expected to continue through 2012.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Power has begun expenditures in pursuit of additional output through an extended power uprate of the Peach Bottom nuclear units. The uprate is expected to be in service in 2015 for Unit 2 and 2016 for Unit 3. Power s share of the increased capacity is expected to be 133 MW with an anticipated cost of approximately \$400 million. Total expenditures through September 30, 2010 were \$10 million and are expected to continue through 2016.

Connecticut

Power has been selected by the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control in a regulatory process to build 130 MW of gas fired peaking capacity. Final approval has been received and construction is expected to commence in the second quarter of 2011. The project is expected to be in service by June 2012. Power estimates the cost of these generating units to be \$130 million to \$140 million. Total capitalized expenditures through September 30, 2010 were \$25 million, which are included in Property, Plant and Equipment on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of PSEG and Power.

PJM Interconnection L.L.C. (PJM)

Power plans to construct gas fired peaking facilities at its Kearny site. Capacity in the amount of 178 MW was bid into and cleared the PJM Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) base residual capacity auction for the 2012-2013 period. Final approval has been received and construction is expected to commence in the second quarter of 2011. The project is expected to be in service by June 2012. In addition, capacity in the amount of 89 MW was bid into and cleared the PJM RPM base residual capacity auction for the 2013-2014 period. Final approval has been received, and the project is expected to be in service by June 2012. Power estimates the cost of these generating units to be \$250 million to \$300 million. Total capitalized expenditures through September 30, 2010 were \$16 million which are included in Property, Plant and Equipment on Power s and PSEG s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

PSE&G Solar

As part of the BPU-approved Solar 4 All Program, PSE&G is installing up to 40MW of solar generation on existing utility poles within its service territory. PSE&G has entered into an agreement to purchase solar units for this program. PSE&G s commitments under this agreement are contingent upon, among other things, the availability of suitable utility poles for installation of the units. PSE&G s remaining 2010 expenditures for these solar units are anticipated to be approximately \$20 million, with additional purchases made on a quarterly basis during the remaining two-year term of the purchase agreement.

Another aspect of the Solar 4 All program is the installation of another 40 MW solar systems on land and buildings owned by PSE&G and third parties. As of September 30, 2010, there were 15 projects in various phases of development representing 24 MW, with an estimated investment of about \$115 million. These projects include the following:

PSE&G has entered into contracts with four developers for solar capacity to be developed on land it owns in Edison, Linden, Trenton and Hamilton. The Trenton project is operational. The other projects are under construction and are expected to be operational during the fourth quarter of 2010.

PSE&G has also awarded another 11 contracts for the installation of solar systems on third party owned sites. Construction started during the third quarter on a number of these projects, and all are expected to be operational in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Solar Source

Energy Holdings has developed a solar project in western New Jersey and has acquired two additional solar projects in Florida and Ohio, which together have a total capacity of approximately 29 MW. The projects have all commenced operations. Energy Holdings issued guarantees to cover the construction costs of the Florida and Ohio projects and as of September 30, 2010 had \$8 million of future payment obligations related to the

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

remaining construction milestones to be achieved. By the end of the fourth quarter 2010, it is expected that these payment obligations will be zero. The total investment for the three projects is expected to be approximately \$117 million.

Basic Generation Service (BGS) and Basic Gas Supply Service (BGSS)

PSE&G obtains its electric supply requirements for customers who do not purchase electric supply from third party suppliers through the annual New Jersey BGS auctions. Pursuant to applicable BPU rules, PSE&G enters into the Supplier Master Agreement (SMA) with the winners of these BGS auctions following the BPU s approval of the auction results. PSE&G has entered into contracts with Power, as well as with other winning BGS suppliers, to purchase BGS for PSE&G s load requirements. The winners of the auction (including Power) are responsible for fulfilling all the requirements of a PJM Load Serving Entity including the provision of capacity, energy, ancillary services, transmission and any other services required by PJM. BGS suppliers assume all volume risk and customer migration risk and must satisfy New Jersey s renewable portfolio standards.

Power seeks to mitigate volatility in its results by contracting in advance for the sale of most of its anticipated electric output as well as its anticipated fuel needs. As part of its objective, Power has entered into contracts to directly supply PSE&G and other New Jersey electric distribution companies (EDCs) with a portion of their respective BGS requirements through the New Jersey BGS auction process, described above. In addition to the BGS-related contracts, Power also enters into firm supply contracts with EDCs, as well as other firm sales and commitments.

PSE&G has contracted for its anticipated BGS-Fixed Price eligible load, as follows:

	Auction Year				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	
36-Month Terms Ending	May 2010	May 2011	May 2012	May 2013(A)	
Eligible Load (MW)	2,758	2,800	2,900	2,800	
\$ per kWh	0.09888	0.11150	0.10372	0.09577	

(A) Prices set in the 2010 BGS auction became effective on June 1, 2010 when the 2007 BGS auction agreements expired. PSE&G has a full requirements contract with Power to meet the gas supply requirements of PSE&G s gas customers. The contract extends through March 31, 2012, and year-to-year thereafter. Power has entered into hedges for a portion of these anticipated BGSS obligations, as permitted by the BPU. The BPU permits PSE&G to recover the cost of gas hedging up to 115 billion cubic feet or 80% of its residential gas supply annual requirements through the BGSS tariff. For additional information, see Note 16. Related-Party Transactions. Current plans call for Power to hedge on behalf of PSE&G approximately 70 billion cubic feet or 50% of its residential gas supply annual requirements.

Minimum Fuel Purchase Requirements

Power has various long-term fuel purchase commitments for coal and oil to support its fossil generation stations and for supply of nuclear fuel for the Salem and Hope Creek nuclear generating stations and for firm transportation and storage capacity for natural gas.

Power s various multi-year contracts for firm transportation and storage capacity for natural gas are primarily used to meet its gas supply obligations to PSE&G. These purchase obligations are consistent with Power s strategy to enter into contracts for its fuel supply in comparable volumes to its sales contracts.

Power s strategy is to maintain certain levels of uranium concentrates and uranium hexafluoride in inventory and to make periodic purchases to support such levels. As such, the commitments referred to below may include estimated quantities to be purchased that deviate from contractual

nominal quantities.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Power s nuclear fuel commitments cover approximately 100% of its estimated uranium, enrichment and fabrication requirements through 2011 and a portion for 2012, 2013 and 2014 at Salem, Hope Creek and Peach Bottom.

As of September 30, 2010, the total minimum purchase requirements included in these commitments were as follows:

Fuel Type	 nitments gh 2014		Power	s Share
		Millions		
Nuclear Fuel				
Uranium	\$ 623		\$	360
Enrichment	\$ 543		\$	325
Fabrication	\$ 206		\$	127
Natural Gas	\$ 738		\$	738
Coal/Oil/Limestone	\$ 1,100		\$	1,100

Included in the \$1,100 million commitment for coal, oil and limestone above is \$520 million related to a certain coal contract under which Power can cancel contractual deliveries at minimal cost. Through the nine months ended September 2010, Power has cancelled coal shipments at a total cost of \$8 million.

The Texas generation facilities also have a contract for low BTU content gas which commenced in late 2009 with a term of 15 years and a minimum volume of approximately 13 MMBTUs per year. The gas must meet availability and quality specifications. Power has the right to cancel delivery of the gas at a minimal cost.

Regulatory Proceedings

Competition Act

In April 2007, PSE&G and Transition Funding were served with a purported class action complaint (Complaint) in New Jersey Superior Court challenging the constitutional validity of certain stranded cost recovery provisions of the Competition Act, seeking injunctive relief against continued collection from PSE&G s electric customers of the Transition Bond Charge (TBC) of Transition Funding, as well as recovery of TBC amounts previously collected. Under New Jersey law, the Competition Act, enacted in 1999, is presumed constitutional.

In July 2007, the plaintiff filed an amended Complaint to also seek injunctive relief from continued collection of related taxes as well as recovery of such taxes previously collected. In July 2007, PSE&G filed a motion to dismiss the amended Complaint, which was granted in October 2007. In November 2007, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal with the Appellate Division of the New Jersey Superior Court. In February 2009, the New Jersey Appellate Division affirmed the decision of the lower court dismissing the case. In May 2009, the New Jersey Supreme Court denied a request from the plaintiff to review the Appellate Division s decision.

In July 2007, the same plaintiff also filed a petition with the BPU requesting review and adjustment to PSE&G s recovery of the same stranded cost charges. In September 2007, PSE&G filed a motion with the BPU to dismiss the petition. In June 2010, the BPU granted PSE&G s motion to dismiss. PSE&G has not yet received the written order from the BPU memorializing its decision.

BPU Deferral Audit

The BPU Energy and Audit Division conducts audits of deferred balances under various adjustment clauses. A draft Deferral Audit Phase II report relating to the 12-month period ended July 31, 2003 was released to the BPU in April 2005.

That report, which addressed Societal Benefits Charges (SBC), Market Transition Charge (MTC) and non-utility generation (NUG) deferred balances, found that the Phase II deferral balances complied in all

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

material respects with applicable BPU Orders. However, the BPU Staff raised certain questions with respect to the reconciliation method PSE&G had employed in calculating the overrecovery of its MTC and other charges during the Phase I and Phase II four-year transition period. The matter was referred to the Office of Administrative Law.

In January 2009, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued a decision which upheld PSE&G s central contention that the 2004 BPU Order approving the Phase I settlement resolved the issues being raised by the Staff and the NJ Division of Rate Counsel, and that these issues should not be subject to re-litigation with respect to the first three years of the transition period. The ALJ s decision stated that the BPU could elect to convene a separate proceeding to address the fourth and final year reconciliation of MTC recoveries.

In September 2009, the BPU rejected the ALJ s initial decision and elected to maintain jurisdiction over the matter. In June 2010, the BPU approved a settlement agreement resolving the MTC issue. Under the agreement, PSE&G will refund \$122 million to electric customers over a two-year period through a new component of the NUG charge. As a result, during the second quarter of 2010, PSE&G recorded a pre-tax charge of \$122 million, which is included in Operating Revenues and the corresponding Regulatory Liability. Through September 2010, \$24 million has been refunded.

Retail Gas Transportation Rates

In July 2010, as part of PSE&G s gas base rate proceeding, the BPU ordered a supplemental and expedited review of certain issues related to the gas transportation rate that PSE&G charges to Power, including:

whether the current rate charged to Power should be changed prospectively,

whether any retroactive relief is warranted with respect to these charges to Power since 2002,

whether the SBC and other clause charges are applicable, and

whether the Transportation Service Gas-Nonfirm (TSG-NF) rate should apply to Power and other electric generation customers in PSE&G s service territory.

In the event that the BPU were to find that the rate charged to Power was not proper and order refunds, the results could be material. PSE&G believes such refunds would constitute retroactive ratemaking and are prohibited under applicable law. However, the outcome of the regulatory proceeding cannot be predicted. Hearings before the BPU were scheduled to commence on October 25, 2010. Since settlement discussions are in progress, the BPU has adjourned the hearings so that settlement efforts can continue. In July, a complaint was filed by an independent power generator against Power at FERC related to the gas transportation rate. The complaint asserts that the existing rate charged to Power violates FERC s affiliate rules and Power s market-based rate authority. The complaint requests, among other things, that Power s market-based rate authority be revoked. While Power views revocation of its market-based rate authority as unlikely, it is not possible to predict the outcome of this proceeding. PSEG believes that the rates charged to Power were and continue to be lawful and appropriate, and has asserted this position vigorously at FERC.

Consolidated Tax Adjustments

A BPU proceeding regarding consolidated tax adjustments is expected to begin in 2010. New Jersey is one of five states that make consolidated tax adjustments. These adjustments are intended to allocate tax benefits realized by non-regulated subsidiaries to utility customers under certain

Table of Contents

circumstances. The generic proceeding is expected to address the appropriateness of the adjustment and the methodology and mechanics of the calculation. The policy adopted by the BPU will influence the non-regulated investments made by PSEG in the future.

New Jersey Clean Energy Program

In 2008, the BPU approved funding requirements for each New Jersey utility applicable to its Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency programs for the years 2009 to 2012. The aggregate funding amount is \$1.2

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

billion for all years. PSE&G s share is \$705 million. PSE&G has recorded a discounted liability of \$459 million as of September 30, 2010. Of this amount, \$189 million was recorded as a current liability and \$270 million as a noncurrent liability. The liability has been recorded with an offsetting Regulatory Asset, since the costs associated with this program are expected to be recovered from PSE&G ratepayers through the SBC.

Leveraged Lease Investments

The IRS has issued reports with respect to its audits of PSEG s consolidated federal corporate income tax returns for tax years 1997 through 2003, which disallowed all deductions associated with certain lease transactions. The IRS reports also proposed a 20% penalty for substantial understatement of tax liability. PSEG has filed protests of these findings with the Office of Appeals of the IRS.

PSEG believes its tax position related to these transactions was proper based on applicable statutes, regulations and case law in effect at the time that the deductions were taken. There are several pending tax cases involving other taxpayers with similar leveraged lease investments. To date, six cases have been decided at the trial court level, four of which were decided in favor of the government. An appeal of one of these decisions was affirmed. The fifth case involves a jury verdict that was challenged by both parties on inconsistency grounds but was later settled by the parties. One case, involving an investment in an energy transaction by a utility, was decided in favor of the taxpayer.

In order to reduce the cash tax exposure related to these leases, Energy Holdings continues to pursue opportunities to terminate international leases with lessees that are willing to meet certain economic thresholds. Including four terminations this year, Energy Holdings has terminated a total of 17 of these leasing transactions since December 2008, leaving only one remaining in its portfolio, and reduced the related cash tax exposure by \$1 billion. PSEG s total gross investment in such transactions decreased from \$347 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$63 million as of September 30, 2010.

Cash Impact

As of September 30, 2010, an aggregate of approximately \$330 million would become currently payable if PSEG conceded all deductions taken through that date. PSEG has deposited \$320 million with the IRS to defray potential interest costs associated with this disputed tax liability, reducing its potential net cash exposure to \$10 million. In the event PSEG is successful in defense of its position, the deposit is fully refundable with interest. Penalties of \$150 million would also become payable if the IRS successfully asserted and litigated a case against PSEG. PSEG has not established a reserve for penalties because it believes it has strong defenses to the assertion of penalties under applicable law. Interest and penalty exposure will grow at the rate of \$4 million per quarter during 2010. If the IRS is successful in a litigated case consistent with the positions it has taken in the generic settlement offer recently proposed, an additional \$30 million to \$50 million of tax would be due for tax positions through September 30, 2010.

Unless this matter is resolved with the IRS, PSEG currently anticipates that it may be required to pay between \$110 million and \$300 million in tax, interest and penalties for the tax years 1997-2000 during 2011 and subsequently commence litigation to recover those amounts. It is possible that an additional payment of between \$210 million and \$540 million could be required during 2011 for tax years 2001-2003 followed by further litigation to recover those amounts. The amounts that may be required to litigate differ from the potential net cash exposure noted above, as the former amounts include all potential deficiencies for only contested tax years 1997 through 2003. These litigation amounts also include penalties which are not included in the computation of potential net cash exposure as PSEG believes it has strong defenses. These amounts also exclude an offset for taxes paid on lease terminations, which is netted in the potential net cash exposure as PSEG would be entitled to a refund of such amounts under a loss scenario. Any potential claims PSEG would make to recover such amounts would include the deposit noted above.

Earnings Impact

PSEG s current reserve position represents its view of the earnings impact that could result from a settlement related to these transactions, although a total loss, consistent with the broad settlement offer proposed by the IRS, would result in an additional earnings charge of \$120 million to \$140 million.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 8. Changes in Capitalization

The following capital transactions occurred in the first nine months of 2010:

Power

issued \$300 million of 2.50% unsecured Senior Notes due April 2013 in April,

issued \$250 million of 5.125% unsecured Senior Notes due April 2020 in April,

redeemed \$161 million of 6.50% Medium-Term Notes (MTNs) due 2014 in April,

redeemed \$48 million of 6.00% MTNs due 2013 in April,

exchanged an aggregate principal amount of \$195 million of 7.75% Senior Notes due 2011 for \$208 million comprised of \$156 million in newly issued 5.125% Senior Notes due April 2020 and cash payments of \$52 million. Since the debt exchange was treated as a debt modification, the resulting premium of \$13 million was deferred and will be amortized over the term of the newly issued debt. The deferred amount is reflected as an offset to Long-Term Debt on Power s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

converted \$44 million of its Senior Notes servicing and securing the 4.00% Pollution Control Bonds of the Pennsylvania Economic Development Authority (PEDFA) to variable rate in January 2009 when the PEDFA Bonds were converted to variable rate demand bonds. Power reacquired the PEDFA Bonds in December 2009. In January 2010, Power caused the PEDFA Bonds to be converted from Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) to non-AMT status and to be remarketed as variable rate demand bonds backed by a letter of credit expiring in January 2011.

paid cash dividends of \$550 million to PSEG.

PSE&G

remarketed \$64 million 2003 Series A due May 2028, \$50 million 2003 Series B-1 due November 2033 and \$50 million 2003 Series B-2 due November 2033, totaling \$164 million, tax-exempt variable rate bonds of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Salem County (Salem County Authority Bonds) (non-AMT) as The Pollution Control Financing Authority of Salem County Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Public Service Electric and Gas Company Project) mandatory puts due November 2011 at an

initial term rate of 0.95% in September,

issued \$250 million of 3.50% MTNs, Series G due August 2020 in August,

issued \$300 million of 2.70% MTNs, Series G due May 2015 in May,

redeemed all of its \$80 million of outstanding preferred stock in March,

paid \$300 million of floating rate (Libor + .875%) First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds at maturity in March,

issued \$300 million of 5.50% MTNs, Series G due March 2040 in March,

paid cash dividends of \$150 million to PSEG,

paid \$135 million of Transition Funding s securitization debt, and

paid \$5 million of Transition Funding II s securitization debt. Energy Holdings

paid \$3 million of nonrecourse project debt.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

PSE&G

In October 2010, at PSE&G s request, the New Jersey Economic Development Authority (EDA) called \$100 million of its 6.40% tax-exempt Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, 1994 Series A (Public Service Electric and Gas Company Project) due May 2032, and refinanced them with the issuance of \$100 million of its Exempt Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2010 Series A (Public Service Electric and Gas Project) (AMT), due December 2031 as multi-mode bonds with a mandatory put due December 2011 and an initial term rate of 1.20%. The EDA bonds that were redeemed were serviced and secured by PSE&G s First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds, Pollution Control Series A which were also redeemed. The new EDA bonds are serviced and secured by PSE&G s First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds, Pollution Control Series A E of similar tenor.

Energy Holdings

In October 2010, Energy Holdings issued a call for redemption of the remaining \$127 million outstanding principal balance of its 8.50% Senior Notes due June 2011. The call is expected to be completed in December 2010.

Note 9. Financial Risk Management Activities

The operations of PSEG, Power and PSE&G are exposed to market risks from changes in commodity prices, interest rates and equity prices that could affect their results of operations and financial condition. Exposure to these risks is managed through normal operating and financing activities and, when appropriate, through hedging transactions. Hedging transactions use derivative instruments to create a relationship in which changes to the value of the assets, liabilities or anticipated transactions exposed to market risks are expected to be offset by changes in the value of these derivative instruments.

Commodity Prices

The availability and price of energy commodities are subject to fluctuations due to weather, environmental policies, changes in supply and demand, state and federal regulatory policies, market conditions, transmission availability and other events. Power uses physical and financial transactions in the wholesale energy markets to mitigate the effects of adverse movements in fuel and electricity prices. Derivative contracts that do not qualify for hedge accounting or normal purchases/normal sales treatment are marked to market with changes in fair value recorded in the income statement. The fair value for the majority of these contracts is obtained from quoted market sources. Modeling techniques using assumptions reflective of current market rates, yield curves and forward prices are used to interpolate certain prices when no quoted market exists.

Cash Flow Hedges

Power uses forward sale and purchase contracts, swaps, futures and firm transmission right contracts to hedge

forecasted energy sales from its generation stations and the related load obligations and

the price of fuel to meet its fuel purchase requirements.

These derivative transactions are designated and effective as cash flow hedges. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value and the impact on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) associated with these hedges was as follows:

	As Septen		As of December 31,		
	20)10	2 Millions	2009	
Fair Value of Cash Flow Hedges	\$	329	\$	286	
Impact on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (after tax)	\$	181	\$	184	

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The expiration date of the longest-dated cash flow hedge at Power is in 2012. Power s after-tax unrealized gains on these derivatives that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012 are \$150 million and \$31 million, respectively. Ineffectiveness associated with these hedges was \$(3) million at September 30, 2010.

Trading Derivatives

In general, the main purpose of Power s wholesale marketing operation is to optimize the value of the output of the generating facilities via various products and services available in the markets we serve. Power does engage in trading of electricity and energy-related products where such transactions are not associated with the output or fuel purchase requirements of our facilities. This trading consists mostly of energy supply contracts where we secure sales commitments with the intent to supply the energy services from purchases in the market rather than from our owned generation. Such trading activities are marked to market through the income statement and represent approximately two percent of Power s gross margin.

Other Derivatives

Power enters into other contracts that are derivatives, but do not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting. Most of these contracts are used for fuel purchases for generation requirements and for electricity purchases for contractual sales obligations. Prior to June 2009, some of the derivative contracts were also used in Power s NDT Funds. Changes in fair market value of these contracts are recorded in earnings. The fair value of these contracts as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was \$63 million and \$8 million, respectively.

Interest Rates

PSEG, Power and PSE&G are subject to the risk of fluctuating interest rates in the normal course of business. Exposure to this risk is managed through the use of fixed and floating rate debt and interest rate derivatives.

Fair Value Hedges

PSEG enters into fair value hedges to convert fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt. In January 2010, we entered into a series of interest rate swaps totaling \$600 million converting \$300 million of Power s \$303 million of 5.32% Senior Notes due September 2016 and \$300 million of Power s \$600 million of 6.95% of Senior Notes due June 2012 into variable-rate debt. These interest rate swaps are designated and effective as fair value hedges. The fair value changes of the interest rate swaps are fully offset by the changes in the fair value of the underlying debt. In 2009, PSEG had entered into three interest rate swaps also designated as fair value hedges. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of all the underlying hedges was \$64 million and \$(3) million, respectively.

Cash Flow Hedges

PSEG, Power and Energy Holdings use interest rate swaps and other derivatives, which are designated and effective as cash flow hedges, to manage their exposure to the variability of cash flows, primarily related to variable-rate debt instruments. As of September 30, 2010, there was no hedge ineffectiveness associated with these hedges. The total fair value of these interest rate derivatives was immaterial as of each of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss related to interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges was \$(3) million and \$(4) million as of each of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

The following are the fair values of derivative instruments on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

		As of September 30, 2010 Power PSE&G							D	PSEG		olidated	
	Cash Flow Hedges	Noi	n Hedges	CI				Non l	Hedges ergy-	Fair	Value dges	Cons	onuaccu
Balance Sheet Location	Energy- Related Contracts	R	nergy- elated ontracts		etting (A)		Total Power Millions	Con	ated tracts	R	erest ate vaps	_	otal ivatives
Derivative Contracts													
Current Assets	\$ 286	\$	787	\$	(819)	9	5 254	\$	3	\$	18	\$	275
Noncurrent Assets	\$ 70	\$	200	\$	(181)	9	89	\$	37	\$	46	\$	172
Total Mark-to-Market													
Derivative Assets	\$ 356	\$	987	\$	(1,000)	9	343	\$	40	\$	64	\$	447
Derivative Contracts		<i>•</i>	(21.1)	¢				^	2	<i>.</i>	2	•	(100)
Current Liabilities	\$ (24)	\$	(814)	\$	715		5 (123)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(123)
Noncurrent Liabilities	\$ (3)	\$	(194)	\$	158	1	6 (39)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(39)
Total Mark-to-Market Derivative													
(Liabilities)	\$ (27)	\$	(1,008)	\$	873	\$	6 (162)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(162)
Total Net Mark-to-Market Derivative Assets (Liabilities)	\$ 329	\$	(21)	\$	(127)	\$	5 181	\$	40	\$	64	\$	285

	As of December 31, 2009									
Balance Sheet Location	Cash Flow Hedges Energy- Related Contracts *	Po Non Hedges Energy- Related Contracts	wer Netting * (A) (as restated)	Total Power	PSE&G Non Hedges Energy- Related Contracts	PSEG Fair Value Hedges Interest Rate Swaps	Consolidated Total Derivatives			

	(as restated)		*							
		(as r	estated)							
					Millions					
Derivative Contracts										
Current Assets	\$ 195	\$	622	\$ (586)	\$ 231	\$ 1	\$	11	\$	243
Noncurrent Assets	\$ 162	\$	125	\$ (169)	\$ 118	\$ 5	\$	0	\$	123
Total Mark-to-Market										
Derivative Assets	\$ 357	\$	747	\$ (755)	\$ 349	\$ 6	\$	11	\$	366
Derivative Contracts										
Current Liabilities	\$ (57)	\$	(662)	\$ 518	\$ (201)	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	(201)
Noncurrent Liabilities	\$ (14)	\$	(106)	\$ 94	\$ (26)	\$ 0	\$	(14)	\$	(40)
Total Mark-to-Market										
Derivative (Liabilities)	\$ (71)	\$	(768)	\$ 612	\$ (227)	\$ 0	\$	(14)	\$	(241)
Total Net Mark-to-Market Derivative Assets	* • •• ć	•					*		•	
(Liabilities)	\$ 286	\$	(21)	\$ (143)	\$ 122	\$ 6	\$	(3)	\$	125

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

* Disclosure Restatement

Subsequent to the issuance of Power's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010, Management determined that certain classifying entries were incorrectly included in the above Cash Flow Hedges, Non Hedges, and Netting disclosure table as of December 31, 2009, resulting in offsetting overstatements of both the previously disclosed gross balances of derivative assets and liabilities, as well as the disclosed netting amounts. As a result, such amounts disclosed in the table have been restated from the amounts previously reported to properly reflect the gross amounts of Cash Flow Hedge contracts and Non Hedge contracts and related Netting amounts. These corrections have no impact on Power's Total Net Mark-to-Market Derivative Assets (Liabilities), amounts reflected in Power's balance sheet (the Total Power' column above), or PSEG's consolidated Total Derivatives.

(A) Represents the netting of fair value balances with the same counterparty and the application of collateral. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, net cash collateral received of \$127 million and \$143 million, respectively, was netted against the corresponding net derivative contract positions. Of the \$127 million as of September 30, 2010, cash collateral of \$(182) million and \$(51) million were netted against current assets and noncurrent assets, respectively, and cash collateral of \$78 million and \$28 million were netted against current liabilities and noncurrent liabilities, respectively. Of the \$143 million as of December 31, 2009, cash collateral of \$(114) million and \$(109) million were netted against current liabilities and noncurrent liabilities, respectively, and cash collateral of \$47 million and \$33 million were netted against current liabilities and noncurrent liabilities, respectively.

The aggregate fair value of derivative contracts in a liability position as of September 30, 2010 that contain triggers for additional collateral was \$531 million. This potential additional collateral is included in the \$840 million discussed in Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.

The following shows the effect on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Ame	ount of		Ame	ount of		Amount of Pre-Tax				
	Pre	e-Tax		Pre	e-Tax	Location	6	ain			
	Gair	n (Loss)		Gair	(Loss)	of Pre-Tax Gain	(I	oss)			
	Recog	gnized in	T	Recl	assified	(Loss) Recognized	Reco		ed		
	AO	OCI on	Location of Pre-Tax Gain	from	AOCI	in Income on		in me c	m		
	Deri	ivatives	(Loss) Reclassified	into	Income	Derivatives	Deri				
Derivatives in SFAS 133	`	fective	from AOCI into	(Effective		(Ineffective	· ·	(Ineffective			
	Po	rtion)	Income	Po	rtion)	Portion)	Po	tion)		
Cash Flow Hedging	Three	e Months	ths		Months		Three	Mor	nths		
	E	Ended		E	nded		E	nded			
Relationships	Septer	mber 30,		September 30,			Septer	nber	30,		
	2010	2009		2010	2009		2010	20)09		
				Mi	llions						
PSEG(A)											
Energy-Related Contracts	\$ 62	\$ (19)	Operating Revenues	\$ 60	\$ 141	Operating Revenues	\$ 0	\$	(8)		
Energy-Related Contracts	0	(6)	Energy Costs	0	(19)		0		0		
Interest Rate Swaps	0	(3)	Interest Expense	0	(1)		0		0		
Total PSEG	\$ 62	\$ (28)		\$ 60	\$ 121		\$0	\$	(8)		

PSEG Power										
Energy-Related Contracts	\$62	\$	(19)	Operating Revenues	\$ 60	\$ 141	Operating Revenues	\$	0	\$ (8)
Energy-Related Contracts	0		(6)	Energy Costs	0	(19)			0	0
Total Power	\$ 62	\$	(25)		\$ 60	\$ 122		\$	0	\$ (8)
PSE&G										
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 0	\$	0	Interest Expense	\$ 0	\$ 0		\$	0	\$ 0
Total PSE&G	\$ 0	¢	0		\$ 0	\$ 0		¢	0	\$ 0

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following shows the effect on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Pre Gain Recogg AOO Deriv (Effe Por Nine M En	unt of -Tax (Loss) nized in CI on vatives ective tion) Months ded nber 30, 2009	Location of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income	Amount of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009 Millions		Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009		Location of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion)	Pre-T (L Recog Inco Deri (Inef Por Nine L Er	unt of ax Gain oss) nized in me on yatives fective tion) Months ided nber 30, 2009
				Mill	ions					
PSEG(A)										
Energy-Related Contracts	\$ 171	\$ 502	Operating Revenues	\$178	\$ 452	Operating Revenues	\$ (3)	\$ (17)		
Interest Rate Swaps	0	0	Income from Equity	0	(4)			0		
	0	0	Method Investments	0	(1)		0	0		
Energy-Related Contracts	1	(50)	Energy Costs	(2)	(82)		0	0		
Interest Rate Swaps	0	(4)	Interest Expense	(1)	(7)		0	0		
Total PSEG	\$ 172	\$ 448		\$ 175	\$ 362		\$ (3)	\$ (17)		
PSEG Power										
Energy-Related Contracts	\$ 171	\$ 502	Operating Revenues	\$178	\$ 452	Operating Revenues	\$(3)	\$ (17)		
Energy-Related Contracts	1	(50)	Energy Costs	(2)	(82)		0	0		
Interest Rate Swaps	0	0	Interest Expense	0	(4)		0	0		
Total Power	\$ 172	\$ 452		\$ 176	\$ 366		\$ (3)	\$ (17)		
PSE&G										
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 0	\$ (1)	Interest Expense	\$ 0	\$ (2)		\$ 0	\$ 0		
Total PSE&G	\$ 0	\$ (1)		\$ 0	\$ (2)		\$0	\$ 0		
Energy Holdings										
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 0	\$ 0	Income from Equity Method Investments	\$ 0	\$ (1)		\$ 0	\$ 0		
	φυ	φŪ	Method Investments	φU	φ (1)		ψυ	ψυ		
	\$ 0	\$ 0		\$ 0	\$ (1)		\$ 0	\$ 0		

(A) Includes amounts for PSEG Parent.

The following reconciles the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income for derivative activity included in the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss of PSEG on a pre-tax and after-tax basis:

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Pre-Tax After-Tax						
	Mil	lions					
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$ 305	\$	180				
Gain Recognized in AOCI (Effective Portion)	110		65				
Less: Gain Reclassified into Income (Effective Portion)	(115)		(68)				
Balance as of June 30, 2010	\$ 300	\$	177				
Gain Recognized in AOCI (Effective Portion)	\$ 62	\$	37				
Less: Gain Reclassified into Income (Effective Portion)	\$ (60)	\$	(36)				
Balance as of September 30, 2010	\$ 302	\$	178				

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following shows the effect on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments or as normal purchases and sales for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges	Location of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Three Me Septe	Gain (Loss) Ome on Derivatives Nine Months Ended September 30,			nded 30,		
PSEG and Power		2010	20	009	20	010	2	009
Energy-Related Contracts	Operating Revenues	\$(2)	\$	65	\$	32	\$	269
Energy-Related Contracts	Energy Costs	(5)		(33)		(23)		(157)
Interest Rate Swaps	Interest Expense	0		0		0		1
Derivatives in NDT Funds	Other Income	0		0		0		13
Total PSEG and Power		\$ (7)	\$	32	\$	9	\$	126

Power s derivative contracts reflected in the preceding tables include contracts to hedge the purchase and sale of electricity and the purchase of fuel. Not all of these contracts qualify for hedge accounting. Most of these contracts are marked to market. The tables above do not include contracts for which Power has elected the normal purchase/normal sales exemption, such as its BGS contracts and certain other energy supply contracts that it has with other utilities and companies with retail load.

In addition, PSEG has interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges. The effect of these hedges for the three months and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was to reduce interest expense by approximately \$6 million and \$18 million, respectively.

The following reflects the gross volume, on an absolute value basis, of derivatives as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

Type As of September 30, 2010	Notional	Total	PSEG Millions	Power	PSE&G
Natural Gas	Dth	1,083	0	789	294
Electricity	MWh	191	0	191	0
Capacity	MW days	1	0	1	0
FTRs	MWh	36	0	36	0
Emissions Allowances	Tons	0	0	0	0
Renewable Energy Credits	MWh	0	0	0	0
Interest Rate Swaps	US Dollars	1,150	1,150	0	0
As of December 31, 2009					

Natural Gas	Dth	842	0	613	229
Electricity	MWh	194	0	194	0
Capacity	MW days	1	0	1	0
FTRs	MWh	23	0	23	0
Emissions Allowances	Tons	1	0	1	0
Renewable Energy Credits	MWh	1	0	1	0
Interest Rate Swaps	US Dollars	550	550	0	0

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Credit Risk

Credit risk relates to the risk of loss that we would incur as a result of non-performance by counterparties pursuant to the terms of their contractual obligations. We have established credit policies that we believe significantly minimize credit risk. These policies include an evaluation of potential counterparties financial condition (including credit rating), collateral requirements under certain circumstances and the use of standardized agreements, which allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures associated with a single counterparty.

In the event of non-performance or non-payment by a major counterparty, there may be a material adverse impact on Power s financial condition, results of operations or net cash flows. As of September 30, 2010, 99% of the credit exposure (MTM plus net receivables and payables, less cash collateral) for Power s operations was with investment grade counterparties.

The following table provides information on Power's credit risk from others, net of collateral, as of September 30, 2010. Credit exposure is defined as any positive results of netting accounts receivable/accounts payable and the forward value of open positions. It further delineates that exposure by the credit rating of the counterparties and provides guidance on the concentration of credit risk to individual counterparties and an indication of the quality of the company's credit risk by credit rating of the counterparties.

Rating	Current Exposure	Securities held as Collateral Millions	Net Exposure	Number of Counterparties >10%	Net Exposure of Counterparties >10% Millions		
Investment Grade External Rating	\$ 1,108	\$ 117	\$ 1,078	2	\$ 511		
Non-Investment Grade External Rating	12	1	11	0	0		
Investment Grade No External Rating	46	11	35	0	0		
Non-Investment Grade No External Rating	3	0	3	0	0		
Total	\$ 1,169	\$ 129	\$ 1,127	2	\$ 511		

(A) Includes net exposure of \$347 million with PSE&G. The remaining net exposure of \$164 million is with a nonaffiliated power purchaser which is a regulated investment grade counterparty.

The net exposure listed above, in some cases, will not be the difference between the current exposure and the collateral held. A counterparty may have posted more cash collateral than the outstanding exposure, in which case there would be no exposure. When letters of credit have been posted as collateral, the exposure amount is not reduced, but the exposure amount is transferred to the rating of the issuing bank. As of September 30, 2010, Power had 202 active counterparties.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 10. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value measurements guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value measurement guidance emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data obtained from independent sources and those based on an entity s own assumptions. The hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to fair value measurement into three levels:

Level 1 measurements utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that PSEG, Power and PSE&G have the ability to access. These consist primarily of listed equity securities.

Level 2 measurements include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and other observable inputs such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. These consist primarily of non-exchange traded derivatives such as forward contracts or options and most fixed income securities.

Level 3 measurements use unobservable inputs for assets or liabilities, based on the best information available and might include an entity s own data and assumptions. In some valuations, the inputs used may fall into different levels of the hierarchy. In these cases, the financial instrument s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. These consist mainly of various financial transmission rights, certain full requirements contracts, other longer term capacity and transportation contracts and certain commingled securities.

In addition to establishing a measurement framework, the fair value measurement guidance nullified the prior guidance which did not allow an entity to recognize an unrealized gain or loss at the inception of a derivative instrument unless the fair value of that instrument was obtained from a quoted market price in an active market or was otherwise evidenced by comparison to other observable current market transactions or based on a valuation technique incorporating observable market data.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following tables present information about PSEG s, Power s and PSE&G s respective assets and (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, including the fair value measurements and the levels of inputs used in determining those fair values. Amounts shown for PSEG include the amounts shown for Power and PSE&G.

		Recurri	ing Fair V	alue Meas	surements as	of Sep	tember 30,	2010	
Description	Total	Col	Cash lateral ting(E)	Prio Identio (Le	d Market ces for cal Assets evel 1) Millions	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
PSEG									
Assets:									
Derivative Contracts:	¢ 292	¢	(222)	¢	0	ሰ	247	¢	2(0
Energy Related Contracts(A)	\$ 383	\$	(233)	\$	0	\$	347 64	\$	269
Interest Rate Swaps(B)	\$ 64	\$	0	\$	0	\$	64	\$	0
NDT Funds:(C)	ф (сп	¢	0	¢	(57	¢	0	¢	0
Equity Securities	\$ 657	\$	0	\$	657	\$	0	\$	0
Debt Securities Govt Obligations	\$ 326	\$	0	\$	0	\$	326	\$	0
Debt Securities Other	\$ 261	\$	0	\$	0	\$	261	\$	0
Other Securities	\$ 26	\$	0	\$	1	\$	16	\$	9
Rabbi Trust Mutual Funds(C)	\$ 158	\$	0	\$	16	\$	142	\$	0
Other Long-Term Investments(D) Liabilities: Derivative Contracts:	\$ 2	\$	0	\$	2	\$	0	\$	0
Energy Related Contracts(A)	\$ (162)	\$	106	\$	0	\$	(195)	\$	(73)
Power	\$(102)	ф	100	Ф	0	Ф	(195)	¢	(75)
Assets:									
Assets: Derivative Contracts:									
	¢ 242	¢	(222)	¢	0	¢	347	\$	229
Energy Related Contracts(A)	\$ 343	\$	(233)	\$	0	\$	547	\$	229
NDT Funds(C)	\$ 657	¢	0	¢	657	¢	0	¢	0
Equity Securities	1	\$	0	\$		\$		\$	0
Debt Securities Govt Obligations	\$ 326	\$	0	\$	0	\$	326	\$	0
Debt Securities Other	\$ 261	\$	0	\$	0	\$	261	\$	0
Other Securities	\$ 26	\$	0	\$	1	\$	16	\$	9
Rabbi Trust Mutual Funds(C)	\$ 31	\$	0	\$	3	\$	28	\$	0
Liabilities:									
Derivative Contracts:									
Energy Related Contracts(A)	\$ (162)	\$	106	\$	0	\$	(195)	\$	(73)
PSE&G									
Assets:									
Derivative Contracts:									
Energy Related Contracts(A)	\$ 40	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	40

Rabbi Trust Mutual Funds(C)	\$ 54	\$ 0	\$ 5	\$ 49	\$ 0

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

]	Recurri	ng Fair V	alue Meas	surements a	s of De	cember 31	, 2009	
			8		d Market	Sig	nificant)ther		ificant
				Pri	ces of			Unobservabl	
						Obs	ervable		
		(Cash	Identical Assets		Inputs		Inputs	
		Col	lateral						
Description	Total	Netting(E)		(Level 1) Millions		(Level 2)		(Le	vel 3)
PSEG									
Assets:									
Derivative Contracts:									
Energy-Related Contracts(A)	\$ 355	\$	(223)	\$	0	\$	415	\$	163
Interest Rate Swaps(B)	\$ 11	\$	0	\$	0	\$	11	\$	0
NDT Funds:(C)									
Equity Securities	\$ 650	\$	0	\$	650	\$	0	\$	0
Debt Securities-Government									
Obligations	\$ 297	\$	0	\$	0	\$	297	\$	0
Debt Securities-Other	\$ 216	\$	0	\$	0	\$	216	\$	0
Other Securities	\$ 36	\$	0	\$	0	\$	27	\$	9
Rabbi Trust Mutual Funds(C)	\$ 149	\$	0	\$	14	\$	121	\$	14
Other Long-Term Investments(D)	\$ 2	\$	0	\$	2	\$	0	\$	0
Liabilities:									
Derivative Contracts:	¢ (225)	<i></i>	00	<i>•</i>	0	<i>•</i>		<i>ф</i>	(10)
Energy-Related Contracts(A)	\$ (227)	\$	80	\$	0	\$	(267)	\$	(40)
Interest Rate Swaps(B)	\$ (14)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(14)	\$	0
Power									
Assets:									
Derivative Contracts:	¢ 240	¢	(222)	¢	0	¢	415	¢	157
Energy-Related Contracts(A)	\$ 349	\$	(223)	\$	0	\$	415	\$	157
NDT Funds:(C)	¢ (50	¢	0	¢	650	\$	0	\$	0
Equity Securities Debt Securities-Government	\$ 650	\$	0	\$	650	\$	0	\$	0
Obligations	\$ 297	\$	0	\$	0	\$	297	\$	0
Debt Securities-Other	\$ 297	ې \$	0	\$	0	۹ \$	297	\$	0
Other Securities	\$ 210	\$ \$	0	\$	0	\$ \$	210	\$	9
Rabbi Trust Mutual Funds(C)	\$ 30	\$	0	\$	3	\$	24	\$	3
Liabilities:	\$ 50	φ	0	φ	5	Ą	24	φ	5
Derivative Contracts:									
Energy-Related Contracts(A)	\$ (227)	\$	80	\$	0	\$	(267)	\$	(40)
PSE&G	$\varphi(227)$	Ψ	00	Ψ	0	Ψ	(207)	Ψ	(+0)
Assets:									
Derivative Contracts:									
Energy-Related Contracts(A)	\$ 6	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	6
Rabbi Trust Mutual Funds(C)	\$ 51	\$	0	\$	5	\$	41	\$	5
		-	-	Ŧ	-	-	-	-	-

(A) Level 2 Fair values for energy-related contracts are obtained primarily using a market-based approach. Most derivative contracts (forward purchase or sale contracts and swaps) are valued using the average midpoint from multiple broker or dealer quotes or auction prices. Prices used in the valuation process are also corroborated independently by management to determine that values are based on actual transaction data or, in the absence of transactions, bid and offers for the day. Examples may include certain exchange and non-exchange traded capacity and electricity contracts and natural

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

gas physical or swap contracts based on market prices, basis adjustments and other premiums where adjustments and premiums are not considered significant to the overall inputs.

Level 3 For energy-related contracts, which include more complex agreements where limited observable inputs or pricing information is available, modeling techniques are employed using assumptions reflective of contractual terms, current market rates, forward price curves, discount rates and risk factors, as applicable. For certain energy-related option contracts where daily settled option prices are not observable, a traditional Black-Scholes valuation methodology is used which incorporates an internally developed volatility curve that is considered a significant unobservable input. Fair values of other energy contracts may be based on broker quotes that we cannot corroborate with actual market transaction data. We considered the creditworthiness of our counterparties in the valuation of our energy-related contracts and the impacts are immaterial.

- (B) Interest rate swaps are valued using quoted prices on commonly quoted intervals, which are interpolated for periods different than the quoted intervals, as inputs to a market valuation model. Market inputs can generally be verified and model selection does not involve significant management judgment.
- (C) The NDT Funds maintain investments in various equity and fixed income securities classified as available for sale. These securities are valued using quoted market prices, broker or dealer quotations or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. All fair value measurements for the fund securities are provided by the trustees of these funds. Investments in marketable equity securities within the NDT funds have primarily been investments in common stocks across a broad range of industries and sectors. Most equity securities are priced utilizing the principal market close price or in some cases midpoint, bid or ask price (primarily Level 1).

Power s investments in fixed income securities are primarily with investment grade corporate bonds and US Treasury obligations or Federal Agency mortgage-backed securities with a wide range of maturities. Fixed income securities are priced using an evaluated pricing methodology that reflects observable market information such as the most recent exchange price or quoted bid for similar securities (primarily Level 2). Short-term investments are valued using observable market prices or market parameters such as time-to-maturity, coupon rate, quality rating and current yield (primarily Level 2). Certain commingled cash equivalents included in temporary investment funds are measured with significant unobservable inputs and internal assumptions (primarily Level 3).

The Rabbi Trust mutual funds are mainly invested in a US bond index fund, an S&P 500 index fund and a commingled temporary investment fund. The equity index fund is valued based on quoted prices in an active market (Level 1) while the bond index fund is valued using recent exchange prices or a quoted bid (Level 2).

- (D) Other long-term investments consist of equity securities and are valued using a market based approach based on quoted market prices.
- (E) Cash collateral netting represents collateral amounts netted against derivative assets and liabilities as permitted under the accounting guidance for Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of Level 3 derivative contracts and securities for three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010 follows:

Changes in Level 3 Assets and (Liabilities) Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

Total Gains or (Losses) **Realized/Unrealized** Included in

Description PSEG	Balance as of July 1, 2010	Included in Income(A)	U	ory Assets/ lities(B) Millions	(S a	chases, ales) and ements	Septe	ance as of mber 30, 2010
	¢ 170	¢ 24	¢	(11)	¢		¢	107
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 179	\$ 34	\$	(11)	\$	(6)	\$	196
NDT Funds	\$6	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	3	\$	9
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 16	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	(16)	\$	0
Power								
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 128	\$ 34	\$	0	\$	(6)	\$	156
NDT Funds	\$ 6	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	3	\$	9
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 3	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	(3)	\$	0
PSE&G								
Net Derivative Liabilities	\$ 51	\$ 0	\$	(11)	\$	0	\$	40
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 5	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	(5)	\$	0

Changes in Level 3 Assets and (Liabilities) Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

Total Gains or (Losses) **Realized/Unrealized** Included in

Description	Balance as of January 1, 2010	Included in Income(C)	0	ory Assets/ lities(B) Millions	(S a	chases, ales) and ements	Septer	nce as of mber 30, 010
PSEG								
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 123	\$ 64	\$	34	\$	(25)	\$	196
NDT Funds	\$ 9	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	9
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 14	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	(14)	\$	0

Power					
Net Derivative Assets	\$117	\$ 64	\$ 0	\$ (25)	\$ 156
NDT Funds	\$9	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 9
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 3	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (3)	\$ 0
PSE&G					
Net Derivative Liabilities	\$ 6	\$ 0	\$ 34	\$ 0	\$ 40
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 5	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (5)	\$ 0

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of Level 3 derivative contracts and securities for three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009 follows:

Changes in Level 3 Assets and (Liabilities) Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

Total Gains or (Losses) Realized/Unrealized Included in

	Balance as of		Regulato	ry Assets/	chases, ales)		ance as of
Description	July 1, 2009	Included in Income(A)	Liabili	ities(B) Millions	and ements	-	mber 30, 2009
PSEG							
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 150	\$18	\$	6	\$ (48)	\$	126
NDT Funds	\$ 30	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ (11)	\$	19
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 14	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	14
Power							
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 187	\$18	\$	0	\$ (48)	\$	157
NDT Funds	\$ 30	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ (11)	\$	19
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 3	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	3
PSE&G							
Net Derivative Liabilities	\$ (37)	\$ 0	\$	6	\$ 0	\$	(31)
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 5	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	5

Changes in Level 3 Assets and (Liabilities) Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

Total Gains or (Losses) Realized/Unrealized Included in

	Balance as of		Regulato	ory Assets/	chases, ales)		nce as of
Description	January 1, 2009	Included in Income(C)	Liabil	ities(B) Millions	and ements	-	mber 30, 009
PSEG							
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 32	\$ 102	\$	33	\$ (41)	\$	126
NDT Funds	\$ 41	\$ (2)	\$	0	\$ (20)	\$	19
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 14	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	14
Power							

Net Derivative Assets	\$ 96	\$ 102	\$ 0	\$ (41)	\$ 157
NDT Funds	\$ 41	\$ (2)	\$ 0	\$ (20)	\$ 19
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 3	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3
PSE&G					
Net Derivative Liabilities	\$ (64)	\$ 0	\$ 33	\$ 0	\$ (31)
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 5	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 5

 ⁽A) PSEG s and Power s gains and losses are mainly attributable to changes in net derivative assets and liabilities of which \$20 million and \$29 million are included in Operating Income and \$14 million and \$(11) million are included in OCI in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Of the \$20 million in Operating Income in 2010, \$30 million is unrealized and \$(10) million is realized. The \$29 million in Operating Income in 2009 is realized.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

- (B) Mainly includes gains and losses on PSE&G s derivative contracts that are not included in either earnings or OCI, as they are deferred as a Regulatory Asset and are expected to be recovered from PSE&G s customers.
- (C) PSEG s and Power s gains and losses are mainly attributable to changes in net derivative assets and liabilities of which \$36 million and \$94 million are included in Operating Income and \$28 million and \$8 million are included in OCI in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Of the \$36 million in Operating Income in 2010, \$12 million is unrealized and \$24 million is realized. Of the \$94 million in Operating Income in 2009, \$31 million is unrealized and \$63 million is realized.

As of September 30, 2010, PSEG carried approximately \$1.7 billion of net assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, of which approximately \$205 million were measured using unobservable inputs and classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. These Level 3 net assets represent less than 1% of PSEG s total assets and there were no transfers between levels during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

As of September 30, 2009, PSEG carried approximately \$1.4 billion of net assets that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis, of which approximately \$159 million were measured using unobservable inputs and classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. These Level 3 net assets represented less than 1% of PSEG s total assets. During the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009, approximately \$15 million of net derivative liabilities were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 due to more observable pricing in the Texas market.

It is PSEG s policy to recognize transfers in and out of levels, as of the beginning of the quarter in which the transfer occurs.

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements:

Due to a significant decline in market prices at June 30, 2010, Power assessed the recoverability of its SO₂ emission allowances not expected to be consumed. As a result of this evaluation, Power recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$15 million related to its forecasted excess SO₂ allowances during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, which was included in Energy Costs on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of remaining excess SO₂ emission allowances of \$6 million was determined based on a comparison of quoted market prices where available for each vintage year to the carrying value of the related allowances (Level 2 measurement within the fair value hierarchy). Due to the lack of observable prices beyond certain vintage years, significant internal assumptions were used in the valuation of approximately \$2 million of those allowances (Level 3 measurement within the fair value hierarchy).

As a result of the execution of a new lease, Energy Holdings assessed the recoverability of existing property located in Michigan. As a result of the evaluation, Energy Holdings recorded a pre-tax impairment of \$10 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, which was included in Operating Revenues on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of the property (\$6 million) was determined using an internal model based on a discounted cash flow analysis (income approach valuation technique) with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value of Debt

The estimated fair values were determined using market quotations or values of instruments with similar terms, credit ratings, remaining maturities, and redemptions as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	Septemb	oer 30, 2010	Decembe	er 31, 2009
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Amount	Value(A)	Amount	Value(A)
		Mill	ions	
Long-Term Debt:				
PSEG (Parent)	\$ 34	\$ 64	\$ (38)	\$ (3)
Power Recourse Debt	3,455	3,944	3,121	3,473
PSE&G	4,282	4,688	3,571	3,807
Transition Funding (PSE&G)	1,141	1,323	1,276	1,449
Transition Funding II (PSE&G)	61	66	67	71
Energy Holdings:				
Senior Notes	127	130	127	134
Project Level, Non-Recourse Debt	56	56	42	42
	\$ 9,156	\$ 10,271	\$ 8,166	\$ 8,973

(A) Fair value excludes unamortized discounts, including amounts related to the Debt Exchange between Power and Energy Holdings that is deferred at the PSEG parent level since the exchange was between subsidiaries of the same parent company.

Note 11. Other Income and Deductions

Other Income	Power	PSE&G	Other (A) Millions	Consolidated Total
Three Months Ended September 30, 2010				
NDT Fund Gains, Interest, Dividend and Other Income	\$ 35	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 35
Realized Gains from Rabbi Trust	7	11	13	31
Other	2	3	4	9
Total Other Income	\$ 44	\$ 14	\$ 17	\$ 75
Three Months Ended September 30, 2009				
NDT Fund Gains, Interest, Dividend and Other Income	\$ 39	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 39
Other	1	2	1	4

Total Other Income	\$ 40	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 43
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010				
NDT Fund Gains, Interest, Dividend and Other Income	\$ 115	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 115
Realized Gains from Rabbi Trust	7	11	13	31
Other	4	11	4	19
Total Other Income	\$ 126	\$ 22	\$ 17	\$ 165
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009				
NDT Fund Gains, Interest, Dividend and Other Income	\$ 191	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 191
Other	5	7	2	14
Total Other Income	\$ 196	\$ 7	\$ 2	\$ 205

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Other Deductions Three Months Ended September 30, 2010	Power	PSE&G	Other (A) Millions	Consol To	lidated tal
NDT Fund Losses and Expenses	\$ 9	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	9
Other	\$ 9 0	\$ U 1	+ •	φ	9
Other	0	1	(1)		0
Total Other Deductions	\$9	\$ 1	\$ (1)	\$	9
Three Months Ended September 30, 2009					
NDT Fund Losses and Expenses	\$ 16	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	16
Other	φ 10 1	φ 0 0	φ 0 2	Ψ	3
ouer	1	0	2		5
Total Other Deductions	\$ 17	\$ 0	\$2	\$	19
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010					
NDT Fund Losses and Expenses	\$ 35	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	35
Other	1	2	(1)		2
Total Other Deductions	\$ 36	\$ 2	\$ (1)	\$	37
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009					
NDT Fund Losses and Expenses	\$ 105	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	105
Other	6	2	5		13
Total Other Deductions	\$ 111	\$ 2	\$5	\$	118

(A) Other primarily consists of activity at PSEG (as parent company), Energy Holdings, Services and intercompany eliminations. **Note 12. Income Taxes**

		Three Months Ended September 30,		
Effective Tax Rate	2010	2009	2010	2009
PSEG	40.3%	40.8%	40.3%	41.5%
Power	39.4%	40.1%	40.3%	39.6%
PSE&G	39.5%	41.7%	38.4%	40.9%

For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the change in the effective tax rate for PSEG was due primarily to

reevaluating PSEG s reserves for uncertain tax positions primarily related to Nuclear Decommissioning Trusts,

the impact of taxes recorded in 2009 resulting from the sales of leveraged lease assets, and

the flow-through of tax benefits at PSE&G, primarily related to uncollectible accounts. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the change in the effective tax rate for PSEG was due primarily to

reevaluating PSEG s reserves for uncertain tax positions primarily related to Power s manufacturer s deductions under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 and Nuclear Decommissioning Trusts,

the impact of taxes recorded in 2009 resulting from the sales of leveraged lease assets,

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

the impacts of new health care legislation enacted in March 2010, and

the flow-through of tax benefits at PSE&G, primarily related to uncollectible accounts.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 include various health care-related provisions which will go into effect over the next several years. One of the provisions eliminates the tax deductibility of retiree health care costs, to the extent of federal subsidies received by plan sponsors that provide retiree prescription drug benefits equivalent to Medicare Part D coverage. Although this change does not take effect immediately, the accounting impact was required to be recognized when the legislation was signed. As a result, in the first quarter of 2010, PSEG recorded noncash after tax charges of \$9 million for income tax expense to establish the related deferred tax liabilities, primarily related to Power. There was no immediate impact on PSE&G s income tax expense or effective tax rate since the related amount of \$78 million was deferred as a Regulatory Asset to be collected and amortized over future periods.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits	As of September 30, 2010 Millions		
PSEG	\$	533	
Tax Deposits Associated with Disputed Tax Assessments		(320)	
PSEG Unrecognized Tax Benefits Net of Deposit	\$	213	
Power	\$	(27)	
PSE&G	\$	46	

PSEG and PSE&G had unrecognized tax benefits as of September 30, 2010. PSEG made tax deposits with the IRS to defray interest costs associated with disputed tax assessments associated with certain lease investments. The deposits are fully refundable and are recorded as a reduction to the Long-Term Accrued Taxes on PSEG s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, but are not reflected in the PSEG unrecognized tax benefits. PSEG materially reduced its unrecognized tax benefits by terminating some leases involved in the IRS lease issue. For additional information, see Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.

It is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits associated with the leasing tax issue discussed in Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities will change significantly in the next 12 months. This change could be triggered by a settlement with the IRS or developments in other litigated cases. Based upon these developments, unrecognized tax benefits could increase or decrease. It is not possible to predict the magnitude, timing or direction of any such change. Unrecognized tax benefits, shown above, include certain beneficial refund claims and adjustments as follows: PSEG \$150 million, Power \$56 million and PSE&G \$42 million.

Possible Increase (Decrease) in Total Unrecognized

Tax Benefits Including Interest

	PSEG	\$	(388)
	Power	\$	15
	PSE&G	\$	39
It is r	easonably possible that the total unrecognized tax benefits (including interest) at PSEG will decrease within the n	ext 12 mor	ths due to

either agreement with various taxing authorities upon audit or the expiration of the Statute of Limitations.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Possible Increase (Decrease) in unrecognized tax benefits, shown above, include certain beneficial refund claims and adjustments as follows: PSEG \$94 million, PSE&G \$42 million.

Note 13. Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax

Comprehensive Income

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010	Po	wer(A)	PS	E&G	er(A) lions	Cons	olidated
Net Income	\$	384	\$	155	\$ 28	\$	567
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		38		(6)	(4)		28
Comprehensive Income	\$	422	\$	149	\$ 24	\$	595
Three Months Ended September 30, 2009							
Net Income	\$	382	\$	88	\$ 18	\$	488
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		(32)		1	0		(31)
Comprehensive Income	\$	350	\$	89	\$ 18	\$	457
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010							
Net Income	\$	952	\$	276	\$ 54	\$	1,282
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		13		(5)	(3)		5
Comprehensive Income	\$	965	\$	271	\$ 51	\$	1,287
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009							
Net Income	\$	943	\$	256	\$ 44	\$	1,243
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		140		2	4		146
Comprehensive Income	\$	1,083	\$	258	\$ 48	\$	1,389

(A) Other consists of activity at PSEG (as parent company), Energy Holdings, Services and intercompany eliminations. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Balance	Power	PSE&G	Other	Balance as of
as of				September 30, 2010

	December 31, 2009				
			Millions		
Derivative Contracts	\$ 180	\$ (2)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 178
Pension and OPEB Plans	(400)	18	0	1	(381)
NDT Funds	91	0	0	0	91
Other	13	(3)	(5)	(4)	1
Accumulated Other Comprehensive					
Income (Loss)	\$ (116)	\$ 13	\$ (5)	\$ (3)	\$ (111)

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

	Balance as of December 31, 2008	Power	PSE&G Millions	Other	ce as of er 30, 2009
Derivative Contracts	\$ 172	\$ 52	\$ 0	\$ (2)	\$ 222
Pension and OPEB Plans	(371)	16	0	3	(352)
NDT Funds(A)	18	70	0	0	88
Other	4	2	2	3	11
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income					
(Loss)	\$ (177)	\$ 140	\$2	\$4	\$ (31)

(A) Includes reclassification of \$12 million of non-credit losses, net of tax, from Retained Earnings to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) recorded upon adoption of accounting guidance for determining whether an available-for-sale debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired.

Note 14. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Diluted EPS is calculated by dividing Net Income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, including shares issuable upon exercise of stock options outstanding or vesting of restricted stock awards granted under our stock compensation plans and upon payment of performance units or restricted stock units. The following table shows the effect of these stock options, performance units and restricted stock units on the weighted average number of shares outstanding used in calculating diluted EPS:

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009								e Mo 10	led S	d September 30, 2009				
	Ba	Basic Diluted			Basic Diluted			Basic Diluted			Diluted	Basic			iluted	
EPS Numerator:																
(Millions)																
Net Income	\$	567	\$	567	\$	488	\$	488	\$	1,282	\$	1,282	\$	1,243	\$	1,243
EPS Denominator:																
(Thousands)																
Weighted Average Common																
Shares Outstanding	50	5,945	505	5,945	50	5,982	50	5,982	5	06,001	4	506,001	5	05,986	5	505,986
Effect of Stock Options		0		165		0		181		0		148		0		187
Effect of Stock Performance																
Share Units		0		662		0		945		0		785		0		700
Effect of Restricted Stock Units		0		196		0		134		0		134		0		84
Total Shares	50	5,945	506	5,968	50	5,982	50	7,242	5	06,001	4	507,068	5	05,986	5	506,957

EPS:								
Net Income	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.12	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.53	\$ 2.53	\$ 2.45	\$ 2.45

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Mon Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
Dividend Payments on Common Stock	2010	2009	2010	2009		
Per Share	\$ 0.3425	\$ 0.3325	\$ 1.0275	\$ 0.9975		
in Millions	\$ 173	\$ 168	\$ 520	\$ 505		
	0 1					

Note 15. Financial Information by Business Segments

			Energy		
	Power	PSE&G	Holdings Millions	Other(A)	Consolidated
Three Months Ended September 30, 2010				<u>-</u>	
Operating Revenues	\$ 1,663	\$ 2,007	\$ 58	\$ (474)	\$ 3,254
Net Income (Loss)	384	155	24	4	567
Preferred Securities Dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Segment Earnings (Loss)	384	155	24	4	567
Gross Additions to Long-Lived Assets	251	341	12	2	606
Three Months Ended September 30, 2009					
Operating Revenues	\$ 1,564	\$ 1,943	\$ 57	\$ (524)	\$ 3,040
Net Income (Loss)	382	88	(1)	19	488
Preferred Securities Dividends	0	(1)	0	1	0
Segment Earnings (Loss)	382	87	(1)	20	488
Gross Additions to Long-Lived Assets	207	201	5	3	416
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010					
Operating Revenues	\$ 5,324	\$ 5,987	\$ 114	\$ (2,036)	\$ 9,389
Net Income (Loss)	952	276	43	11	1,282
Preferred Securities Dividends	0	(1)	0	1	0
Segment Earnings (Loss)	952	275	43	12	1,282
Gross Additions to Long-Lived Assets	579	871	61	6	1,517
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009					
Operating Revenues	\$ 5,391	\$ 6,321	\$ 196	\$ (2,388)	\$ 9,520
Net Income (Loss)	943	256	30	14	1,243
Preferred Securities Dividends	0	(3)	0	3	0
Segment Earnings (Loss)	943	253	30	17	1,243
Gross Additions to Long-Lived Assets	636	580	14	2	1,232
As of September 30, 2010					
Total Assets	\$ 10,735	\$ 16,708	\$ 2,359	\$ (698)	\$ 29,104
Investments in Equity Method Subsidiaries	\$ 28	\$ 0	112	\$ 0	\$ 140
As of December 31, 2009					
Total Assets	\$ 10,333	\$ 16,533	\$ 2,605	\$ (741)	\$ 28,730

\$ 36 \$ 0 \$ 176 \$ 0 Investments in Equity Method Subsidiaries \$ 212 Other activities include amounts applicable to PSEG (as parent company), Services and intercompany eliminations, primarily relating (A) to intercompany transactions between Power and PSE&G. No gains or losses are recorded on any intercompany transactions; rather, all intercompany transactions are priced in accordance with applicable regulations, including affiliate pricing rules, or at cost or, in the case of the BGS and BGSS contracts between Power and PSE&G, at rates prescribed by the BPU. For a further discussion of the intercompany transactions between Power and PSE&G, see Note 16. Related-Party Transactions. The net losses primarily relate to financing and certain administrative and general costs.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 16. Related-Party Transactions

The following discussion relates to intercompany transactions, the majority of which are eliminated during the PSEG consolidation process in accordance with GAAP.

Power

The financial statements for Power include transactions with related parties presented as follows:

	Three Mo	onths En	Nine Months Ended			
	September 30,			Septem	ber 30),
Related Party Transactions	2010	20	009	2010		2009
			Mil	lions		
Revenue from Affiliates:						
Billings to PSE&G through BGSS(A)	\$118	\$	126	\$ 1,102	\$	1,309
Billings to PSE&G through BGS(A)	345		388	904		1,051
Total Revenue from Affiliates	\$ 463	\$	514	\$ 2,006	\$	2,360
Expense Billings from Affiliates:						
Administrative Billings from Services(B)	\$ (34)	\$	(36)	\$ (106)	\$	(114)
-						
Total Expense Billings from Affiliates	\$ (34)	\$	(36)	\$ (106)	\$	(114)
r o o	. (•••)	+	()	, ()	+	()

Related Party Transactions	September 30, 2010	December fillions	er 31, 2009
Receivables from PSE&G through BGS and BGSS Contracts(A)	\$ 132	\$	404
Receivables from PSE&G Related to Gas Supply Hedges for BGSS(A)	119		120
Payable to Services(B)	(22)		(27)
Tax Sharing Receivable from (Payable to) PSEG(C)	41		(28)
Current Unrecognized Tax Receivable from PSEG(C)	15		3
Payable to PSEG	(2)		(13)
Accounts Receivable Affiliated Companies, net	\$ 283	\$	459

Short-Term Loan to (from) Affiliate (Demand Note to (from) PSEG)(D)	\$ 309	\$ (194)
Working Capital Advances to Services(E)	\$ 17	\$ 17
Long-Term Accrued Taxes Receivable(C)	\$ 11	\$ 39

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

PSE&G

The financials statements for PSE&G include transactions with related parties presented as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,			September 30,		
Related Party Transactions	2010	2	2009	2010	2009	
	Milli			llions		
Expense Billings from Affiliates:						
Billings From Power through BGSS(A)	\$ (118)	\$	(126)	\$ (1,102)	\$ (1,309)	
Billings From Power through BGS(A)	(345)		(388)	(904)	(1,051)	
Administrative Billings from Services(B)	(47)		(57)	(151)	(186)	
Total Expense Billings from Affiliates	\$ (510)	\$	(571)	\$ (2,157)	\$ (2,546)	

Related Party Transactions	September 30, 2010	Decembe Millions	er 31, 2009
Payable to Power through BGS and BGSS Contracts(A)	\$ (132)	\$	(404)
Payable to Power Related to Gas Supply Hedges for BGSS(A)	(119)		(120)
Payable to Power for SREC Liability(F)	(7)		(7)
Payable to Services(B)	(42)		(42)
Tax Sharing Receivable from (Payable to) PSEG(C)	86		13
Current Unrecognized Tax Receivable from PSEG(C)	72		61
Receivable from PSEG	1		3
Accounts Payable Affiliated Companies, net	\$ (141)	\$	(496)
Working Capital Advances to Services(E)	\$ 33	\$	33
Long-Term Accrued Taxes Payable(C)	\$ (118)	\$	(96)

(A) PSE&G has entered into a requirements contract with Power under which Power provides the gas supply services needed to meet PSE&G s BGSS and other contractual requirements through March 31, 2012 and year-to-year thereafter. Power has also entered into contracts to supply energy, capacity and ancillary services to PSE&G through the BGS auction process.

(B)

Services provides and bills administrative services to Power and PSE&G at cost. In addition, Power and PSE&G have other payables to Services, including amounts related to certain common costs, such as pension and OPEB costs, which Services pays on behalf of each of the operating companies.

(C) PSEG files a consolidated Federal income tax return with its affiliated companies. A tax allocation agreement exists between PSEG and each of its affiliated companies. The general operation of these agreements is that the subsidiary company will compute its taxable income on a stand-alone basis. If the result is a net tax liability, such amount shall be paid to PSEG. If there are net operating losses and/or tax credits, the subsidiary shall receive payment for the tax savings from PSEG to the extent that PSEG is able to utilize those benefits.

PSEG and its subsidiaries adopted the accounting guidance for Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes effective January 1, 2007, which prescribes a model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that it has taken or expects to take on a tax return.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

- (D) Power s short-term loans with PSEG are for working capital and other short-term needs. Interest Income and Interest Expense relating to these short-term funding activities were immaterial.
- (E) Power and PSE&G have advanced working capital to Services. The amounts are included in Other Noncurrent Assets on Power's and PSE&G's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (F) In January 2008 the BPU issued a decision that certain BGS suppliers will be reimbursed for the cost they incurred above \$300 per Solar Renewable Energy Certificate (SREC) during the period June 1, 2008 through May 31, 2010. The BPU order further provided that the excess cost may be passed on to ratepayers. The N.J. Division of Rate Counsel filed an appeal of the BPU decision and the Appellate Division of the Superior Court affirmed the BPU order in November 2009. However, the N.J. Supreme Court granted the N.J. Division of Rate Counsel s Petition for Certification and the matter is pending before the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court held oral arguments on October 14, 2010 and a decision is expected within the next few months. PSE&G has estimated and accrued a total liability for the excess SREC cost of \$17 million and \$15 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, including approximately \$7 million for Power s share which is included in PSE&G s Accounts Payable Affiliated Companies. Under current guidance, Power is unable to record the related intercompany receivable on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. As a result, PSE&G s liability to Power is not eliminated in consolidation and is included in Other Current Liabilities on PSEG s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 17. Guarantees of Debt

Each series of Power s Senior Notes, Pollution Control Notes and its syndicated revolving credit facilities are fully and unconditionally and jointly and severally guaranteed by PSEG Fossil LLC (Fossil), PSEG Nuclear LLC (Nuclear), and PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC (ER&T). The following table presents condensed financial information for the guarantor subsidiaries, as well as Power s non-guarantor subsidiaries.

		Guarantor	Other	Consolidating	
Three Months Ended September 30, 2010	Power	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries Millions	Adjustments	Consolidated
Operating Revenues	\$ 0	\$ 1,817	\$ 170	\$ (324)	\$ 1,663
Operating Expenses	0	1,207	143	(325)	1,025
Operating Income (Loss)	0	610	27	1	638
Equity Earnings (Losses) of Subsidiaries	378	14	0	(392)	0
Other Income	18	39	1	(14)	44
Other Deductions					