

INFOSPACE INC
Form 10-Q
May 06, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 000-25131

INFOSPACE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	91-1718107 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1200	
Bellevue, Washington (Address of principal executive offices)	98004 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (425) 201-6100	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at
Common Stock, Par Value \$0.0001	April 29, 2011 37,034,841

Table of Contents

INFOSPACE, INC.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	3
	<u>Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Three Months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	12
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	21
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	21

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	21
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	34
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	34
Item 4.	<u>[Removed and Reserved]</u>	34
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	34
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	34
	<u>Signature</u>	35

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****INFOSPACE, INC.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 149,053	\$ 155,645
Short-term investments, available-for-sale	100,713	98,091
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$7 and \$15	20,637	19,554
Other receivables	1,872	2,286
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,955	3,178
Total current assets	275,230	278,754
Property and equipment, net	7,521	7,470
Goodwill	69,878	69,878
Other intangible assets, net	1,262	1,383
Other long-term assets	4,334	4,258
Total assets	\$ 358,225	\$ 361,743
<u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 14,299	\$ 7,241
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	24,273	42,753
Total current liabilities	38,572	49,994
Other long-term liabilities	1,025	955
Total liabilities	39,597	50,949
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$0.0001 authorized, 900,000,000 shares; issued, 36,502,257 shares; and outstanding, 36,088,646 shares	4	4
Additional paid-in capital	1,327,935	1,322,265
Accumulated deficit	(1,009,338)	(1,011,473)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	27	(2)
Total stockholders' equity	318,628	310,794
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 358,225	\$ 361,743

See accompanying notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**INFOSPACE, INC.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****(amounts in thousands, except per share data)**

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Revenues:		
Services revenue	\$ 51,650	\$ 61,773
Product revenue	9,979	
Total revenues	61,629	61,773
Cost of sales:		
Services cost of sales	31,716	43,559
Product cost of sales	8,761	
Total cost of sales	40,477	43,559
Gross profit	21,152	18,214
Expenses and other income:		
Engineering and technology	2,197	1,906
Sales and marketing	9,495	6,482
General and administrative	5,462	6,755
Depreciation	677	820
Amortization of intangible assets	121	
Other loss (income),	(65)	137
Total expenses and other income	17,887	16,100
Income before income taxes	3,265	2,114
Income tax expense	(1,130)	(570)
Net income	\$ 2,135	\$ 1,544
Income per share - Basic		
Net income per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.04
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing basic income per share	36,339	35,466
Income per share - Diluted		
Net income per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.04
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing diluted income per share	37,084	37,059
Comprehensive income:		
Net income	\$ 2,135	\$ 1,544
Foreign currency translation adjustment		(171)

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Unrealized gain on investments, available-for-sale, net	29	63
Comprehensive income	\$ 2,164	\$ 1,436

See accompanying notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 4 -

Table of Contents**INFOSPACE, INC.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(amounts in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 2,135	\$ 1,544
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation	2,410	2,280
Depreciation and amortization of intangible assets	1,588	1,763
Earn-out contingent liability adjustments	1,500	
Gain on resolution of contingent liability	(1,500)	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based award activity	(974)	(509)
Amortization of premium on investments, net	105	509
Deferred income taxes	70	
Other	(17)	219
Cash provided (used) by changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(1,057)	4,099
Other receivables	414	(263)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	223	(27)
Other long-term assets	(244)	201
Accounts payable	6,960	(1,459)
Accrued expenses and other current and long-term liabilities	(16,932)	(3,005)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	(5,319)	5,352
Investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,315)	(742)
Other long-term assets	168	
Proceeds from maturities of investments	30,486	5,570
Purchases of investments	(33,186)	(61,879)
Net cash used by investing activities	(3,847)	(57,051)
Financing activities:		
Earn-out payments for business acquisitions	(423)	
Proceeds from stock option exercises	2,266	1,303
Proceeds from issuance of stock through employee stock purchase plan	207	169
Excess tax benefits from stock-based award activity	974	509
Tax payments from shares withheld upon vesting of restricted stock units	(299)	(973)
Repayment of capital lease obligation	(151)	(146)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,574	862
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(6,592)	(50,837)
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of period	155,645	83,750
End of period	\$ 149,053	\$ 32,913

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 5 -

Table of Contents**INFOSPACE, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. The Company and Basis of Presentation**

InfoSpace, Inc. (the *Company* or *InfoSpace*) develops search tools and technologies that assist consumers with finding information, merchants, individuals, products, and other content on the Internet. The Company uses its metasearch technology, which selects search results from several search engine content providers, to power its own branded websites and to provide search services to distribution partners. Distribution partner versions of web offerings are generally private-labeled and delivered with each distribution partner's unique requirements. Some content providers, such as Google and Yahoo!, pay the Company to distribute their content, and we refer to those providers as search customers. Beginning in May 2010, the Company also operates an e-commerce business that includes a collection of more than 200 specialty online retail stores operated under the Mercantila brand.

The Company has two reporting segments: Core and E-Commerce. The Company's Core segment consists of the Company's search operations and the E-Commerce segment consists of the Mercantila business. Unless context indicates otherwise, the Company uses the term "services" to represent search services and the Core segment and uses the term "products" to represent retail products sold through the E-Commerce segment.

The Company has revised the presentation of its consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to conform to the current year presentation, as outlined below:

New caption:	Comprised of amounts from:
Services revenue	Revenues (completely allocated to new caption)
Product revenue	New caption, no amounts in prior periods
	Content and distribution (completely allocated to new caption)
	Systems and network operations (partially allocated to new caption)
	Sales and marketing (partially allocated to new caption)
Services cost of sales	General and administrative (partially allocated to new caption)
	Depreciation (partially allocated to new caption)
	Amortization of intangible assets (partially allocated to new caption)
Cost of product sales	New caption, no amounts in prior periods
Engineering and technology	Systems and network operations (partially allocated to new caption)
	Product development (completely allocated to new caption)

The Company also added the caption "total expenses and other income" and eliminated the captions "total operating expenses" and "operating income." The reclassifications did not impact previously reported revenues, income before income taxes, net income, total assets, total liabilities, or stockholders' equity.

The accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial information set forth herein. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (*GAAP*) have been condensed under the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (*SEC*). Investors should read these interim Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes in conjunction with the audited financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of future financial results. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual amounts may differ, perhaps materially, from these financial estimates.

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on its results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

- 6 -

Table of Contents**2. Fair Value Measures**

The Company measures its investments at fair value under GAAP. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial assets carried at fair value and measured on a recurring basis is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	Fair value measurements at the reporting date using		
		Quoted prices in active markets using identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Cash equivalents	\$ 129,866	\$ 129,866	\$	\$
Available-for-sale securities	100,713	100,713		
	\$ 230,579	\$ 230,579	\$	\$

Cash equivalents and short-term investments classified as available-for-sale at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted of the following, stated at fair value (amounts in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
U.S. government securities	\$ 93,558	\$ 90,850
Taxable municipal bonds	7,155	19,337
Corporate notes	129,796	87,902
Money market funds	70	31
Total cash equivalents and short-term investments available-for-sale	\$ 230,579	\$ 198,120

In the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and at December 31, 2010, the Company did not measure the fair value of any of its assets or liabilities other than cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments. The Company's management considers the carrying values of accounts receivable, other receivables, prepaid expenses and other current assets, accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other current liabilities to approximate fair values primarily due to their short-term nature.

Table of Contents**3. Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company has included the following amounts for stock-based compensation expense, including the cost related to restricted stock units (*RSUs*) and stock options granted under the Company's equity award plans including the Company's employee stock purchase plan, in the accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Services cost of sales	\$ 144	\$ 108
Engineering and technology	353	211
Sales and marketing	701	479
General and administrative	1,212	1,482
Total	\$ 2,410	\$ 2,280

Excluded and capitalized as part of internal-use software	\$ 112	\$ 48
---	--------	-------

The total intrinsic value and net shares issued for RSUs vested, options exercised, and shares purchased pursuant to the employee stock purchase plan during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is presented below (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,			
	2011	2011	2010	2010
	\$	Net shares issued	\$	Net shares issued
RSUs vested	\$ 882	67	\$ 2,120	117
Options exercised	429	317	198	135
Shares purchased pursuant to ESPP	41	30	75	26
Total	\$ 1,352	414	\$ 2,393	278

To determine the stock-based compensation expense that was recognized with respect to RSUs and stock options in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company used the fair value at date of grant for RSUs and the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model with the following weighted-average inputs for stock option grants:

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Risk-free interest rate	1.06%	1.41%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	49%	51%
Expected life	2.9 years	3.1 years

Table of Contents**4. Net Income Per Share**

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the number of potentially dilutive shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options and vesting of unvested RSUs using the treasury stock method. Potentially dilutive shares are excluded from the computation of earnings per share if their effect is antidilutive. The treasury stock method calculates the dilutive effect for equity awards with an exercise price less than the average stock price during the period presented (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic	36,339	35,466
Dilutive stock options and RSUs	745	1,593
Weighted average common shares outstanding, diluted	37,084	37,059
Antidilutive stock options and RSUs excluded from dilutive share calculation	423	90
Outstanding stock options with an exercise price greater than the average price during the applicable period not included in dilutive share calculation	3,207	2,322

5. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company's contractual commitments associated with its purchase commitments and capital and operating lease obligations did not change materially from the commitments and obligations disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Litigation

From time to time the Company is subject to various legal proceedings or claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Although the Company cannot predict the outcome of these matters with certainty, the Company's management does not believe that the disposition of these ordinary course matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

6. Income Taxes

The Company provides income taxes for the various jurisdictions in which it operates. The income tax expense or benefit reflects the income tax effects of financial reporting income, permanent differences between financial reporting income and taxable income and the effects for the change in the valuation allowance applied to its deferred tax assets. At the end of each quarterly reporting period, the Company estimates the income, permanent differences, changes in the deferred tax assets and the related change to its valuation allowance, and the effective income tax rate that it expects for the full year.

Each quarterly reporting period, the Company applies the expected effective income tax rate to financial reporting income recorded in its financial statements on a year-to-date basis and records the change in expected income taxes as income tax expense or benefit. The Company reflects the tax effect of any tax law changes and certain other discrete events in the period in which they occur.

The expected annual effective tax rate may vary during each quarterly reporting period due to a number of factors, including any changes to the valuation allowance arising from the limitation on forecasting the reversal of deferred tax assets attributable to stock-based compensation prior to the settlement date, increases or decreases in deferred tax assets during a subsequent quarterly reporting period and expectations on realizability of deferred tax assets, enactment of tax legislation in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates, and certain other discrete events.

As discussed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company has a valuation allowance against substantially the full amount of its net deferred tax asset. The Company currently provides a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of its deferred tax

assets will not be realized.

Table of Contents

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$1,130,000 and \$570,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In the three months ended March 31, 2011, income tax expense did not significantly differ from the taxes at the statutory rates. In the three months ended March 31, 2010, income tax expense differed from the taxes at the statutory rates primarily due to non-deductible permanent differences and relieving a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets reversing during the period.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, there were no material changes to the unrecognized tax benefits, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would affect the effective tax rate if recognized, the amount of interest and penalties recognized in connection with the unrecognized tax benefits, and the tax years that remain subject to examination. The Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months.

7. Segment Information

In May 2010, the Company changed its operational structure upon the acquisition of certain assets from Mercantila, Inc., an online retail company. The Company's Core segment consists of its search operations and the Company's E-Commerce segment consists of the Mercantila business. The Company's Chief Executive Officer is its chief operating decision maker and reviews financial information presented on a disaggregated basis. This information is used to allocate resources and evaluate financial performance.

The Company presents revenue and cost of sales for each of the two segments. Core cost of sales primarily consists of revenue sharing arrangements with the Company's search distribution partners, and usage-based content fees. E-Commerce cost of sales primarily consist of the purchase price of products sold by the Company to its customers, drop-ship and other shipping charges, and payment processing fees for customer transactions.

The Company does not allocate stock-based compensation, depreciation, amortization of intangible assets, other loss (income), or income tax expense to the reportable segments. The Company does not account for, and does not report to management, its assets or capital expenditures by segment other than goodwill and intangible assets for impairment analysis purposes.

Information on reportable segments currently presented to the Company's chief operating decision maker and a reconciliation to consolidated net income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Core		
Revenue	\$ 51,650	\$ 61,773
Cost of sales	29,185	41,123
Operating expenses	13,416	14,356
Core income	9,049	6,294
E-Commerce		
Revenue	9,979	
Cost of sales	8,761	
Operating expenses	3,069	
E-Commerce loss	(1,851)	
Total		
Total revenue	61,629	\$ 61,773
Total cost of sales	37,946	41,123
Total segment operating expenses	16,485	14,356
Total segment income, net	7,198	6,294
Corporate		

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Stock-based compensation	2,410	2,280
Depreciation	1,467	1,715
Amortization of intangible assets	121	48
Other (income) loss, net	(65)	137
Income tax expense	1,130	570
Net income	\$ 2,135	\$ 1,544

- 10 -

Table of Contents

In the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded in other loss (income), net, \$1.5 million of expense to adjust the estimated earn-out payments to be made related to our acquisition of the Make The Web Better assets and a gain of \$1.5 million related to the resolution of a contingent liability.

- 11 -

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The statements in this report that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: statements regarding projections of our future financial performance; trends in our businesses; our future business plans and growth strategy, including our plans to expand, develop, or acquire particular operations, businesses, or assets; and the sufficiency of our cash balances and cash generated from operating, investing, and financing activities for our future liquidity and capital resource needs.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our results, levels of activity, performance, achievements, prospects, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances, to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties, and other factors include, among others, those identified under Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report. You should not rely on forward-looking statements included herein, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or the date specified herein. We do not undertake any obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statement to reflect new information, events, or circumstances after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Overview

InfoSpace, Inc. offers applications and services that deliver differentiated and convenient benefits for the online consumer. We offer a range of search and online retail solutions for consumers and extend our search solutions to our distribution partners.

We operate our business in two primary segments: Core and E-Commerce. Our Core segment is comprised of our web search offerings to Internet users and our distribution partners. Our E-Commerce business includes a collection of more than 200 specialty online retail stores under the Mercantila brand. We generally use the term *services* to represent search services and our Core segment and use the term *products* to represent retail products sold through our E-Commerce segment.

We use our metasearch technology to power our own branded websites and to provide search services to distribution partners. Our metasearch technology selects search results from several search engine content providers including Google, Yahoo!, and Bing, among others and aggregates, filters, and prioritizes the results. This combination provides a more relevant search results page and leverages the investments made by our search customers to continually improve the user experience. Some content providers, such as Google and Yahoo!, pay us to distribute their content and we refer to those providers as our search customers.

We offer search services directly to consumers through our owned and operated web properties, such as *Dogpile.com*, *WebCrawler.com*, *MetaCrawler.com*, and *WebFetch.com*, which collectively receive millions of unique visitors each month. We use the term *properties* to refer to the methods in which end users access our search services, which typically are websites and downloadable applications belonging to us or our distribution partners. In addition, we provide search services through the web properties of distribution partners. Partner versions of our web offerings are generally private-labeled and delivered with each distribution partner's unique requirements.

We generate revenues from our web search services when an end user of our services clicks on a paid search link provided by a search customer and displayed on one of our owned and operated web properties or displayed on a distribution partner's web property. The search customer that provided the paid search link receives a fee from the advertiser who paid for the click and the search customer pays us a portion of that fee. If the click originated from one of our distribution partners' web properties, we share a portion of the fee we receive with such partner. Revenue is recognized in the period in which such paid clicks occur and is based on the amounts earned and remitted to us by our search customers for such clicks. Revenue from Google and Yahoo! jointly account for over 80% of our total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and each also accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues during such periods, and we expect this concentration to continue. If either of these search customers reduces or eliminates the content it provides to us or our distribution partners, or if either of these search customers became unwilling to pay us amounts that it owed us, our business and financial results may materially suffer. Our agreement with Yahoo! runs through December 31, 2013 and our agreement with Google runs through March 31, 2013, and may be extended until March 31, 2014 in our sole discretion, provided that we have not assigned this agreement to another party.

On April 1, 2010, we purchased assets consisting of web properties and licenses for content and technology from Make The Web Better, a search distribution partner and privately-held developer of online products used on social networking sites.

Table of Contents

This purchase contributed \$2.7 million (or 19%) to our search revenue generated through our owned and operated properties for the three months ended March 31, 2011, and, since Make The Web Better had been a distribution partner, there was a corresponding decrease of \$9.4 million in distribution revenue from the three months ended March 31, 2010. As we anticipated, the revenue generated by the operation of the acquired Make The Web Better assets has steadily declined since we acquired them, and we expect that the revenue generated will be between \$7 million and \$8 million in 2011, and decline by approximately 25% in each quarter when compared to the prior quarterly period, as the end-user base of those assets continues to decrease.

In recent periods and excluding the revenue from the Make The Web Better purchased assets, we experienced an overall decline in revenue generated through our owned and operated properties. This trend is a result of fewer retained users on our metasearch engine sites and, therefore, fewer paid clicks from these sites. The impact of this trend is partially offset by higher fees earned from our search customers for these paid clicks. Our ability to increase our search services revenue in our metasearch engine sites relies in part on our ability to attract end users to these properties and retain them by providing a satisfying search experience. Revenue from our metasearch engine sites (such as *Dogpile.com*) accounted for 48% and 58% of overall owned and operated revenue (excluding revenue from the Make The Web Better purchased assets) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Further offsetting the impact of the overall negative trend is the greater amount of revenue we are generating through our online direct marketing initiatives. Revenue growth for our online direct marketing initiatives is dependent on our ability to execute to an expected return on our online direct marketing expenditures. Revenue from our online direct marketing initiatives accounted for 52% and 42% of owned and operated revenue (excluding revenue from the Make The Web Better purchased assets) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Our ability to increase our revenue generated from distribution partners depends on growth in the revenues generated by our existing distribution partners web properties and the addition of new distribution partners who can successfully generate revenue. In an effort to drive quality traffic to our search customers, we continue to invest in research and development to expand the search services we offer on our owned and operated web properties and those of our distribution partners.

The May 2010 acquisition of certain assets from Mercantila, Inc., an online retail company, diversified our business model and expanded our operations into the online retail industry. We earn revenue in our E-Commerce business when we deliver purchases to customers of Mercantila's web properties (typically the final criterion in our revenue recognition process). We generally do not maintain inventory for sale, but arrange for our third-party vendors to drop-ship the purchased goods directly to our customers. Our ability to increase our revenue and profitability depends on our success in attracting customers through our marketing activities, retaining them by providing them with a satisfying purchase experience, effectively managing our costs, and attracting and retaining high quality third-party vendors.

For both of our segments, engineering, operations, and product management personnel remain paramount to our ability to deliver high quality search services, enhance our current technology, and expand our product offerings. As a result, we expect to continue to invest in our workforce and our research and development operations. Additionally, we may use our cash and short-term available-for-sale investments to acquire businesses and other assets, including businesses that may not be related to search or online retail.

Overview of 2011 Operating Results

The following is an overview of our operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. A more detailed discussion of our operating results, comparing our operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, is included under the heading Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Table of Contents

Several of our key operating financial measures for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 in total dollars (in thousands) and as a percentage of segment revenue are presented below:

	Three months ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	
Revenues:			
Services/Core revenue	\$ 51,650	\$ 61,773	
Product/E-Commerce revenue	9,979		
Total Revenues	\$ 61,629	\$ 61,773	
Gross profit:			
		% of segment revenue	% of segment revenue
Services gross profit	\$ 19,934	38.6%	\$ 18,214
Product gross profit	1,218	12.2%	0.0%
Total gross profit	\$ 21,152	34.3%	\$ 18,214
Segment income (loss):			
Core segment income	\$ 9,049	17.5%	\$ 6,294
E-Commerce segment loss	(1,851)	(18.5)%	0.0%
Total segment income and Adjusted EBITDA (1)	\$ 7,198	11.7%	\$ 6,294
Net income	\$ 2,135		\$ 1,544
Revenue from distribution partners	\$ 37,521	73%	\$ 48,479
Revenue from existing distribution partners (launched on or before December 31 of previous year)	\$ 37,213	72.%	\$ 47,150
Revenue from new distribution partners (launched during the year)	\$ 308	1%	\$ 1,329
Revenue from online direct marketing initiatives on owned and operated web properties	\$ 5,895	11%	\$ 4,767
Revenue from Make The Web Better distribution partner	\$	0%	\$ 9,442
Revenue from Make The Web Better owned and operated	\$ 2,729	5%	\$

(1) Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure, defined below in Non-GAAP Financial Measures.

Search services revenue excludes services revenue from early-stage business initiatives, which is *de minimis* for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease in our search services revenue from our Core segment for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily due to a decrease in revenue generated by the Make The Web Better assets, which were owned by a distribution partner for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and owned by us for the three months ended March 31, 2011. In addition, excluding the effect of the Make The Web Better assets and our direct marketing initiatives on owned and operated Web properties, we experienced a decrease in revenue coming from our distribution partners and from our owned and operated properties, which was partially offset by an increase in revenue generated by our direct marketing initiatives on owned and operated Web properties for the three months ended March 31, 2011. We generated 41% and 47% of our search revenue through our top five distribution partners for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The web properties of our top five distribution partners for the three months ended March 31, 2011 generated 31% of our search revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Mercantila was acquired during the second quarter of 2010; therefore, year-over-year comparisons are not applicable. As a result, we are presenting quarter-over-quarter sequential comparisons for this business. The decrease in product revenue from our E-Commerce segment to \$10.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, from \$14.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010, was primarily due to reducing sales of low-margin products.

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

The increase in services gross profit as a percent of revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, was primarily due to our acquisition of the Make The Web Better assets described above.

- 14 -

Table of Contents

E-Commerce segment's loss, as a percent of revenue, increased for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended December 31, 2010, while the revenue and dollar loss decreased due to reducing the revenue and marketing costs related to low-margin products.

The increase in our sales and marketing expense, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, was primarily due to advertising for Mercantila's web properties of \$1.2 million and an increase in expense associated with direct marketing initiatives of \$943,000, which was partially offset by the decrease of marketing associated with the Haggie business, which ceased operations in the fourth quarter of 2010.

The decrease in general and administrative expense, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, was primarily due to an decrease in legal fees of \$1.1 million.

In the three months ended March 31, 2011, we also recorded in other loss (income), net, \$1.5 million of expense to adjust the estimated earn-out payments to be made related to our acquisition of the Make The Web Better assets and a gain of \$1.5 million related to the resolution of a contingent liability.

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Revenues. Revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended		Change from 2010
	2011	March 31, 2010	
Services revenue	\$ 51,650	\$ 61,773	\$ (10,123)
Product revenue	9,979		9,979
Total revenues	\$ 61,629	\$ 61,773	\$ (144)

The decrease in services revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 is due to a decline in revenue generated by our distribution partners, which was partially offset by an increase in revenue from our owned and operated properties and online direct marketing initiatives. Revenue from existing distribution partners (launched during the previous year) decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 by \$9.9 million primarily due to a decline of \$9.4 million from distribution partner Make The Web Better as we acquired its search revenue generating assets on April 1, 2010. Additionally, revenue from new distribution partners (launched during the year) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 declined by \$1.0 million.

The increase of \$2.6 million in revenue generated by our owned and operated properties for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily due to the operation of the acquired Make The Web Better assets, which generated \$2.7 million of revenue as an owned and operated web property in the three months ended March 31, 2011, and revenue growth of \$1.1 million from our online direct marketing initiatives. Partially offsetting such increases is an overall decline in revenue generated through our owned and operated metasearch engine sites, excluding the revenue from the Make The Web Better purchased assets. This trend is a result of fewer retained users on our metasearch engine sites and, therefore, fewer paid clicks from these sites, which is partially offset by higher fees earned from our search customers for these paid clicks.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, 73% of our search services revenue was generated through our search distribution partners' web properties, compared to 81% of our search services revenue generated through our search distribution partners' web properties in the three months ended March 31, 2010. During the first half of 2010, we discontinued the syndication of our search results to certain distribution partners who we deemed to be delivering low quality clicks. Those discontinuations had a material negative impact on our revenues for the first half of 2010. We expect that search services revenue from searches conducted by end users on sites of our distribution partners will continue to represent a significant proportion of our search services revenues for the foreseeable future.

The product revenue was comprised of sales of product through our Mercantila business.

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Seasonality

Our Core revenue is affected by seasonal fluctuations in Internet usage, which generally declines in the summer months. Our E-Commerce revenue is seasonally affected, particularly during the fourth quarter holiday season as Mercantila's sales increase.

- 15 -

Table of Contents

Cost of sales. Services cost of sales consists of costs related to revenue sharing arrangements with our distribution partners, certain costs associated with the operation of the data centers that serve our search business, including depreciation, personnel expenses (which include salaries, benefits and other employee related costs, and stock-based compensation expense), usage-based content fees, and bandwidth costs. Product cost of sales primarily consist of the purchase price of goods sold by us to our customers, drop-ship and other shipping charges, and payment processing fees for customer transactions. Cost of sales in total dollars (in thousands) and as a percentage of associated and total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

	2011	2010	Change from 2010
Services cost of sales	\$ 31,716	\$ 43,559	\$ (11,843)
Percentage of services revenue	61.4%	70.5%	
Product cost of sales	8,761		8,761
Percentage of product revenue	87.8%		
Total cost of sales	\$ 40,477	\$ 43,559	\$ (3,082)
Percentage of total revenues	65.7%	70.5%	

The dollar decrease in cost of services sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 is primarily due to the decrease in revenue sharing expense resulting from our acquisition of Make The Web Better.

We anticipate that revenue sharing expenses paid to our distribution partners will increase in dollars if revenue increases through growth in existing arrangements with our distribution partners or we add new distribution partners. If search services revenue generated through our distribution partners web properties increases at a greater rate than revenue generated through our owned and operated web properties, revenue sharing expenses with our distribution partners as a percentage of services revenue will increase. As a result of our acquisition of assets from Make The Web Better in April 2010, we experienced a decrease in services cost of sales as a percentage of services revenue, and a corresponding increase in our gross profit percentage on our search services revenue. That effect has been declining as expected as the revenue has declined from the Make The Web Better assets. We expect that services revenue from searches conducted by end users on sites of our distribution partners will continue to be a significant portion of our search services revenue.

The product cost of sales was comprised of the cost of sales of products through our Mercantila business.

Engineering and technology expenses. Engineering and technology expenses are associated with the research, development, support, and ongoing enhancements of our offerings, including personnel expenses (which include salaries, stock-based compensation expense, and benefits and other employee related costs), software support and maintenance, and professional service fees. Engineering and technology expenses in total dollars (in thousands) and as a percentage of total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

	Three months ended March 31,		Change from 2010
	2011	2010	
Engineering and technology expenses	\$ 2,197	\$ 1,906	\$ 291
Percentage of revenues	3.6%	3.1%	

The dollar increase for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily comprised of Mercantila personnel costs of \$436,000.

Table of Contents

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses consist principally of marketing expenses associated with our owned and operated web properties (which consist of traffic acquisition, including our online direct marketing initiatives, which involve the purchase of online advertisements that drive traffic to an owned and operated website, agency fees, brand promotion expense, and market research expense), personnel costs (which include salaries, stock-based compensation expense, and benefits and other employee related costs), advertising for Mercantila's web properties, the cost of temporary help and contractors to augment our staffing, and the operation of Mercantila's call center. Sales and marketing expenses in total dollars (in thousands) and as a percentage of total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

	Three months ended March 31,		Change from 2010
	2011	2010	
Sales and marketing expenses	\$ 9,495	\$ 6,482	\$ 3,013
Percentage of revenues	15.4%	10.5%	

The dollar increase for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily attributable to \$1.2 million in advertising for Mercantila's web properties, an increase of \$744,000 in advertising costs for our marketing initiatives associated with traffic acquisition for the Core segment, and \$726,000 in Mercantila personnel costs, including costs related to temporary help and contractors.

We expect to continue to invest in marketing initiatives to promote new services.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses (which include salaries, stock-based compensation expense, and benefits and other employee related costs), professional service fees (which include legal, audit, and tax fees), general business development and management expenses, occupancy and general office expenses, taxes, insurance expenses, and certain legal settlements. General and administrative expenses in total dollars (in thousands) and as a percentage of total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

	Three months ended March 31,		Change from 2010
	2011	2010	
General and administrative expenses	\$ 5,462	\$ 6,755	\$ (1,293)
Percentage of revenues	8.9%	10.9%	

The dollar decrease for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily attributable to an decrease of \$1.1 million in legal fees.

Depreciation and amortization of other intangible assets. Depreciation of property and equipment includes depreciation of computers, software, office equipment and fixtures, and leasehold improvements. Depreciation and amortization of other intangible assets had no material variances for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Other loss (income), net. Other loss (income), net for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,		Change from 2010
	2011	2010	
Interest income	\$ (91)	\$ (57)	\$ (34)
Gain on contingency resolution	(1,500)		(1,500)
Increase in fair value of earn-out contingent liability	1,500		1,500
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	7	224	(217)
Other	19	(30)	49

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Other loss (income), net	\$	(65)	\$	137	\$	(202)
--------------------------	----	------	----	-----	----	-------

In the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded in other loss (income), net, \$1.5 million of expense to adjust the estimated earn-out payments to be made related to our acquisition of the Make The Web Better assets and a gain of \$1.5 million related to the resolution of a contingent liability.

Income Tax Expense. We recorded income tax expense of \$1,130,000 and \$570,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In the three months ended March 31, 2011, income tax expense did not significantly differ from the taxes at the statutory rates. In the three months ended March 31, 2010, income tax expense differed from the taxes at the statutory rates primarily due to non-deductible permanent differences and the reversal of a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets reversing during the period.

- 17 -

Table of Contents**Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income, determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, excluding the effects of income taxes, depreciation, amortization of intangible assets, stock-based compensation expense, and other loss (income), net (which includes such items as adjustments to the fair values of contingent liabilities related to business combinations, gains on resolution of contingencies, interest income, foreign currency gains or losses, and gains or losses from the disposal of assets).

We believe that Adjusted EBITDA provides meaningful supplemental information regarding InfoSpace's performance by excluding certain expenses and gains that we believe are not indicative of our operating results. We use this non-GAAP financial measure for internal management purposes, when publicly providing guidance on possible future results, and as a means to evaluate period-to-period comparisons. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA is a common measure used by investors and analysts to evaluate our performance, that it provides a more complete understanding of the results of operations and trends affecting our business when viewed together with GAAP results, and that management and investors benefit from referring to this non-GAAP financial measure. Items excluded from Adjusted EBITDA are significant and necessary components to the operations of our business, and, therefore, Adjusted EBITDA should be considered as a supplement to, and not as a substitute for or superior to, GAAP net income. Other companies may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently, and therefore our Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. A reconciliation of our Adjusted EBITDA to net income, which we believe to be the most comparable GAAP measure, is presented for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 below (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 2,135	\$ 1,544
Depreciation and amortization of intangible assets	1,588	1,763
Stock-based compensation	2,410	2,280
Other (income) loss, net	(65)	137
Income tax expense	1,130	570
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 7,198	\$ 6,294

We define non-GAAP net income (loss) as net income (loss), determined in accordance with GAAP, excluding the effect of non-cash income taxes. Non-cash income tax expense represents a reduction to cash taxes payable associated with the utilization of deferred tax assets, which are primarily comprised of U.S. federal net operating losses.

We believe that excluding the non-cash portion of income tax expense from our GAAP net income provides meaningful supplemental information to investors and analysts regarding our performance and the valuation of our business because of our ability to offset a substantial portion of our cash tax liabilities by using these deferred tax assets. The majority of these deferred tax assets will expire if unutilized in 2020. Non-GAAP net income should be evaluated in light of our financial results prepared in accordance with GAAP, and should be considered as a supplement to, and not as a substitute for or superior to, GAAP net income. A reconciliation of our non-GAAP net income to net income, which we believe to be the most comparable GAAP measure, is presented for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 below (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 2,135	\$ 1,544
Non-cash income tax expense	1,044	509
Non-GAAP net income	\$ 3,179	\$ 2,053
GAAP net income per share - diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.04
Non-cash income tax expense per share - diluted	0.03	0.02

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Non-GAAP net income per share - diluted	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.06
---	---------	---------

- 18 -

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of our non-GAAP net income (loss) to net income (loss), for the year ended December 31, 2010 and each of the three months ended March 31, June 30, September 30, and December 31, 2010 are presented below (amounts in thousands):

	March 31 2010	Three months ended June 30 2010	September 30 2010	December 31 2010	Year ended December 31 2010
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,544	\$ 670	\$ (102)	\$ 11,591	\$ 13,703
Non-cash income tax expense (benefit)	509	588	(332)	5,919	6,684
Non-GAAP net income (loss)	\$ 2,053	\$ 1,258	\$ (434)	\$ 17,510	\$ 20,387
GAAP net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.37
Non-cash income tax expense (benefit) per share - diluted	0.02	0.01	(0.01)	0.17	0.18
Non-GAAP net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.55

Liquidity and Capital Resources*Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Short-Term Investments*

Our principal source of liquidity is our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. As of March 31, 2011, we had cash and marketable investments of \$249.8 million, consisting of cash and cash equivalents of \$149.1 million and available-for-sale short-term investments of \$100.7 million. We generally invest our excess cash in high quality marketable investments. These investments include securities issued by U.S. government agencies, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, money market funds, and taxable municipal bonds. All of our financial instrument investments held at March 31, 2011 have minimal default risk and short-term maturities.

We plan to use our cash to fund operations, develop technology, advertise, market and distribute our products and services, and continue the enhancement of our network infrastructure. An important component of our strategy for future growth is to acquire technologies and businesses, and we plan to use our cash to acquire and integrate acceptable targets that we may identify. These targets may include businesses, products, or technologies unrelated to search or online retail. We may use a portion of our cash for special dividends or for common stock repurchases.

We believe that existing cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. However, the underlying levels of revenues and expenses that we project may not prove to be accurate. Our anticipated cash needs exclude any payments that may result from future litigation matters. In addition, we evaluate acquisitions of businesses, products, or technologies from time to time. Any such transactions, if completed, may use a significant portion of our cash balances and marketable investments. If we are unable to liquidate our investments when we need liquidity for acquisitions or business purposes, we may need to change or postpone such acquisitions or business purposes or find alternative financing for such acquisitions or business purposes, if available. We may seek additional funding through public or private financings or other arrangements prior to such time. Our ability to raise funds may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including factors beyond our control, such as economic conditions in markets in which we operate and from which we generate revenues, and increased uncertainty in the financial, capital, and credit markets. Adequate funds may not be available when needed or may not be available on favorable terms. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, dilution to existing stockholders may result. If funding is insufficient at any time in the future, we may be unable, or delayed in our ability, to develop or enhance our products or services, take advantage of business opportunities, or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could harm our business.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Our obligations under capital and operating lease agreements and other purchase commitments have not changed materially from those commitments disclosed in *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources* in Part II, Item 7, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents*Cash Flows*

Our net cash flows were comprised of the following for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$ (5,319)	\$ 5,352
Net cash used by investing activities	(3,847)	(57,051)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,574	862
 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	 \$ (6,592)	 \$ (50,837)

Net cash provided (used) by operating activities. Net cash provided (used) by operating activities consists of net income offset by certain adjustments not affecting current period cash flows and the effect of changes in our operating assets and liabilities.

Net cash used by operating activities was \$5.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, consisting of our net income of \$2.1 million, non-cash charges of \$5.7 million (primarily consisting of stock-based compensation expense, depreciation and amortization of intangible assets, and earn-out contingent liability adjustments), and changes in our operating assets and liabilities of \$7.6 million (primarily consisting of increases in accounts payable and decreases in other receivables). These increases were offset by cash provided by changes in our operating assets and liabilities of \$18.2 million (primarily consisting of decreases in accrued expenses and other current and long-term liabilities and increases in accounts receivable) and a gain on the resolution of a contingency of \$1.5 million and the presentation of the tax benefit from stock-based award activity in financing activities of \$974,000.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$5.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, consisting of our net income of \$1.5 million, non-cash charges of \$4.8 million (primarily consisting of stock-based compensation expense, depreciation and amortization of intangible assets, and amortization of premium on investments), and changes in our operating assets and liabilities of \$4.3 million (primarily consisting of decreases in accounts receivable and other long-term assets). These increases were partially offset by cash used by changes in our operating assets and liabilities of \$4.8 million (consisting of decreases in accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current and long-term liabilities) and presentation of the tax benefit from stock-based award activity in financing activities of \$509,000.

Net cash used by investing activities. Net cash used by investing activities primarily consists of transactions related to our marketable investments and purchases of property and equipment.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$3.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily consisting of purchases of \$33.2 million of marketable investments and purchases of property and equipment of \$1.3 million. Partially offsetting cash used by investing activities were proceeds of \$30.5 million from the maturities of our marketable investments

Net cash used by investing activities was \$57.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily consisting of purchases of \$61.9 million of marketable investments and purchases of property and equipment of \$742,000. Partially offsetting cash used by investing activities were proceeds of \$5.6 million from the maturities of our marketable investments.

Net cash provided by financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities consists of proceeds from the issuance of stock through the exercise of stock options and our employee stock purchase plan, tax payments from shares withheld upon vesting of restricted stock units, repayments of capital lease obligations, excess tax benefits generated by stock-based award activity and earn-out payments for business acquisitions.

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and primarily consisted of cash proceeds of \$2.5 million from the exercise of options and our employee stock purchase plan and tax benefits of \$974,000 generated by stock-based award activity. Partially offsetting cash provided by financing activities was \$423,000 in earn-out payments from business acquisitions.

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$862,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and primarily consisted of cash proceeds of \$1.5 million from the exercise of options and our employee stock purchase plan and tax benefits of \$509,000 generated by stock-based award activity. Partially offsetting cash provided by financing activities was \$973,000 in tax payments from shares withheld upon vesting of restricted stock units.

- 20 -

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements requires that we make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates. Our critical accounting policies, estimates, and methodologies for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are consistent with those in *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates* in Part II, Item 7, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. We do not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our market risks at March 31, 2011 have not changed significantly from those discussed in Part II, Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011 to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and that such information is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended).

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See the litigation disclosure under the subheading *Litigation* in Note 5 to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Most of our revenue is attributable to Google and Yahoo!, and the loss of, or a payment dispute with, either of these search customers (or any future significant search customer) would harm our business and financial results.

We rely on our ability to acquire rights to content from third-party content providers, whom we refer to as search customers, and our future success in our search business is highly dependent upon our ability to maintain and renew relationships with these search customers. Google and Yahoo! jointly accounted for over 80% of our total revenues in the first quarter of 2011 and in 2010, and we expect that concentration will continue. Google and Yahoo! compete with each other, and the way we do business with one of them may not be acceptable to one or more of their competitors with whom we also do business. Google and Yahoo! are also our competitors in search, and they have had relationships with some of our current and potential search distribution partners. In addition to competing with us on their own web properties, our search customers may, in the future, contract directly with our distribution partners to provide search services.

If Google, Yahoo!, or any future significant search customer were to substantially reduce or eliminate the content it provides to us or to our distribution partners, our business results could materially suffer to the extent we are unable to establish and maintain new search customer relationships, or expand our remaining search customer relationships, to replace the lost or disputed revenue. We recently extended our agreements with Yahoo! and Google. Our agreement with Yahoo! runs through December 31, 2013 and our agreement with Google runs through March 31, 2013, and may be extended until March 31, 2014 in our sole discretion, provided that we have not assigned this agreement to another party. The operational and financial impact of any new and changed provisions in the Yahoo! and Google agreements are currently unknown. If

Table of Contents

these new or changed provisions result in a loss or reduction of our, or our distribution partners', ability to successfully attract Internet users or to display content or advertisements from our Yahoo! or Google, our operations and financial performance could be materially impacted.

If any of our third-party content providers, including Yahoo! or Google, are unwilling to pay us amounts that it owes us, or dispute amounts it owes us or has paid to us for any reason (including for the reasons described in the risk factors below), our business and financial results could materially suffer to the extent we were unable to establish and maintain new search customer relationships, or expand our remaining search customer relationships, to replace the lost or disputed revenue. In addition, Yahoo! recently signed an agreement with Bing, under which Bing provides all of Yahoo!'s algorithmic search results and some of its paid search results. If Yahoo! cannot maintain an agreement with Bing on favorable terms, or if Bing is unable to adequately perform its obligations to Yahoo!, then Yahoo!'s ability to provide us with algorithmic and paid search results may be impaired, and our operations and financial performance may be materially impacted as a result.

Failure by us or our search distribution partners to comply with the guidelines promulgated by Google and Yahoo! relating to the use of content may cause that search customer to temporarily or permanently suspend the use of its content or terminate its agreement with us, or may require us to modify or terminate certain distribution relationships.

If we or our search distribution partners fail to meet the guidelines promulgated by Google or Yahoo! for the use of their content, we may not be able to continue to use their content or provide the content to such distribution partners. Our agreements with Google and Yahoo! give them the ability to suspend the use and the distribution of their content for non-compliance with their requirements and guidelines and, in the case of breaches of certain other provisions of their agreements, to terminate their agreements with us immediately, regardless of whether such breaches could be cured.

The terms of the search customer agreements with Google and Yahoo! and the related guidelines are subject to differing interpretations by the parties. Google and Yahoo! have in the past suspended, and may in the future, suspend their content provided to our websites or the websites of our distribution partners, without notice, when they believe that we or our distribution partners are not in compliance with their guidelines or are in breach of the terms of their agreements. During such suspension we will not receive any revenue from any property, ours or our distribution partners', affected by the suspended content, and the loss of such revenue could harm our business and financial results.

Additionally, as our business evolves, we expect that the guidelines of Google and Yahoo!, as well as the parties' interpretations of compliance, breach, and sufficient justification for suspension of use of content will change. Both Yahoo! and Google have recently changed their guidelines and requirements as part of our renegotiation of our agreements with them. These changes in the guidelines and any changes in the parties' interpretations of those guidelines may result in restrictions on our use of the Google and Yahoo! search services, and may require us to terminate our agreement with distribution partners or forego entering into agreements with distribution partners. The loss or reduction of content that we can use or make available to our distribution partners as a result of suspension, termination, or modification of distribution or search customer agreements, particularly our Google and Yahoo! agreements, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

A substantial portion of our revenues is dependent on our relationships with a small number of distribution partners who distribute our search services, the loss of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

We rely on our relationships with search distribution partners, including Internet service providers, web portals, and software application providers, for distribution of our search services. In the first quarter of 2011, 61% of our total revenues came from searches conducted by end users on the web properties of our search distribution partners. If we had not purchased certain assets from Make The Web Better on April 1, 2010, and the revenue generated by those assets had remained owned by a search distribution partner, 66% of our total revenues in 2010 would have come from searches conducted by end users on the web properties of our search distribution partners. We generated approximately 34% and 29% of our total revenues through relationships with our top five distribution partners in the first quarter of 2011 and fourth quarter of 2010, respectively. There can be no assurance that these relationships will continue or will result in benefits to us that outweigh their cost. Moreover, as the proportion of our revenue generated by distribution partners has increased in previous quarters, we have experienced, and expect to continue to experience, less control and visibility over performance. One of our challenges is providing our distribution partners with relevant services at competitive prices in rapidly evolving markets. Distribution partners may create their own services or may seek to license services from our competitors or replace the services that we provide. Also, many of our distribution partners have limited operating histories and evolving business models that may prove unsuccessful even if our services are relevant and our prices competitive. If we are unable to maintain relationships with our distribution partners, our business and financial results could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Our agreements with most of our distribution partners come up for renewal in 2011 and 2012. In addition, some of our distributors have the right to immediately terminate their agreements in the event of certain breaches. Such agreements may be terminated, may not be renewed, or may not be renewed on favorable terms, any of which could adversely impact our business and financial results. We anticipate that our distribution costs for our revenue sharing arrangements with our distribution partners will increase as revenue grows, and may increase as a percentage of revenues to the extent that there are changes to existing arrangements or we enter into new arrangements on less favorable terms.

In addition, competition continues for quality consumer traffic in the search market. Recently, we have experienced increased competition from our search customers as they seek to enter into content provider agreements directly with our existing or potential distribution partners, making it increasingly difficult for us to renew agreements with existing major distribution partners or to enter into distribution agreements with new partners on favorable terms. Any difficulties that we experience with maintaining or strengthening our business relationships with our major distribution partners could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results.

If advertisers perceive that they are not receiving quality traffic to their sites through their paid-per-click advertisements, they may reduce or eliminate their advertising through the Internet. Further, if Google, Yahoo!, or other search customers perceive that they are not receiving quality traffic from our own websites or the web property of a distribution partner, they may reduce the fees they pay to us. Either of these factors could have a negative material impact on our business and financial results.

Most of our revenue from our search business is based on the number of paid clicks on commercial search results served on our owned and operated web properties or our distribution partners' web properties. Each time a user clicks on a commercial search result, the search customer that provided the commercial search result receives a fee from the advertiser who paid for the click and the search customer pays us a portion of that fee. If the click originated from one of our distribution partners' web properties, we share a portion of the fee we receive with such partner. If an advertiser receives what it perceives to be poor quality traffic, meaning that the advertiser's objectives are met for an insufficient percentage of clicks for which it pays, the advertiser may reduce or eliminate its advertisements through the search customer that provided the commercial search result to us. This leads to a loss of revenue for our search customers and consequently fewer fees paid to us. Also, if a search customer perceives that the traffic originating from one of our web properties or the web property of a distribution partner is of poor quality, the search customer may discount the amount it charged all advertisers whose paid click advertisements appeared on such website or web property based on the amount of poor quality traffic the search customer deems to have been generated, and accordingly may reduce the fees it would have otherwise paid us. The search customer may also suspend or terminate our ability to provide its content through such websites or web properties if such activities are not modified to satisfy the search customer's concerns. The payment of fewer fees to us or the inability to provide content through such websites or web properties, particularly the content of Google and Yahoo!, could have a material negative effect on our business and financial results.

Poor quality traffic may be a result of invalid click activity. Such invalid click activity occurs, for example, when a person or automated click generation program clicks on a commercial search result to generate fees for the web property displaying the commercial search result rather than to view the webpage underlying the commercial search result. Some of this invalid click activity is referred to as click fraud. When such invalid click activity is detected, the search customer may not charge the advertiser or may refund the fee paid by the advertiser for such invalid clicks. If the invalid click activity originated from one of our distribution partners' web properties or our owned and operated properties, such non-charge or refund of the fees paid by the advertisers in turn reduces the amount of fees the search customer pays us. The resulting loss of revenue, particularly with respect to Google or Yahoo! content, could harm our business and financial results.

Initiatives we undertake to improve the quality of the traffic that we send to our search customers may not be successful and, even if successful, may result in loss of revenue in a given reporting period. For example, during the first half of 2010, we removed certain traffic from some distribution partners in an effort to improve traffic quality, and these actions, while successful in improving traffic quality, had a material negative impact on our revenues for the first and second quarters of 2010.

A significant part of our strategy involves identifying and acquiring businesses or technologies. We may not be successful in executing this strategy, and thus may not be able to use our capital in the way that provides the best possible return to shareholders.

An important component of our strategy for future growth is to identify and acquire new businesses or technologies. We had cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments (cash) of approximately \$250 million as of March 31, 2011 and approximately \$788 million of net operating losses as of December 31, 2010 that may provide a tax benefit on our taxable income substantially through 2020. Thus, in addition to operating our current businesses, we have a growth strategy predicated on the expectation that we will deploy a significant portion of our assets, including cash, to acquire businesses or technologies, in an effort to, among other things, utilize some or all of our tax asset. However, we may be unable to identify acceptable targets for acquisition. Competition for acquisitions, especially acquisitions that will allow us to use our net operating losses, has been intense, and will likely continue to be intense in the future. As a result, even if we are able to identify an acquisition that we would like to complete, we may not be able to complete the acquisition on commercially reasonable terms.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions or development of new businesses or technologies may involve the use of cash, dilutive issuances of stock, the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, and amortization expenses related to certain intangible assets. If outside financing is needed, we may be unable to obtain it on acceptable terms, or at all, in light of the current capital market conditions or other factors. The cost of development or acquisition, as the case may be, may be greater than anticipated by us or investors.

We believe that our strategy of growth through acquisitions of new businesses and technology is the best way to use our assets. However, this belief may turn out to be incorrect. If we are unable to successfully identify and acquire new businesses or technologies on terms that allow us to operate them profitably, we will be unable to execute our current strategy for growth and use of our assets. As a result, we may be unable to grow to the extent that we currently intend, and we may be unable to use our assets in the way that provides the best possible return to shareholders.

Our financial and operating results will suffer if we are unsuccessful in integrating our acquisitions. If we are successful in acquiring new businesses or technologies, they may not be complementary to our current operations or leverage our current infrastructure and operational experience.

The successful integration of newly-acquired or developed businesses or technologies into InfoSpace is critical for our success. For example, as a result of our May 2010 acquisition of certain assets of Mercantila, Inc., we began operating an online retail business that includes a collection of more than 200 specialty online retail stores. . If we are successful in identifying and acquiring targets, those targets may not be complementary to our current Core search or E-Commerce operations and may not leverage our existing infrastructure or operational experience. In addition, any acquisitions or developments of businesses or technologies may not prove successful. In the past, our financial results have suffered significantly due to impairment charges of goodwill and other intangible assets related to prior acquisitions.

Acquisitions involve numerous other risks that could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or stock price, including:

difficulties in assimilating the operations, products, technology, information systems and management, and other personnel of acquired companies that result in unanticipated costs, delays, or allocation of resources;

the dilutive effect on earnings per share as a result of issuances of stock, as well as, incurring operating losses and the amortization of intangible assets for the acquired business;

stock volatility due to the perceived value of the acquired business by investors;

diverting management's attention from current operations and other business concerns, including potential strain on financial and managerial controls and reporting systems and procedures;

disruption of our ongoing business or the ongoing acquired business, including impairment of existing relationships with our employees, distributors, suppliers, or customers or those of the acquired companies;

diversion of capital from other uses;

failing to achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisitions in a timely manner, or at all;

difficulties in acquiring foreign companies, including risks related to integrating operations across different cultures and languages, currency risks, and the particular economic, political, and regulatory risks associated with specific countries; and

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

adverse outcome of litigation matters or other contingent liabilities assumed in or arising out of the acquisitions. Developing or acquiring a business or technology, and then integrating it into InfoSpace, will be complex, time consuming, and expensive, particularly if we acquire a business or technology that is not in our current industries of search and online retail. For example, the successful integration of an acquisition requires, among other things, that we: retain key personnel; maintain and support preexisting supplier, distribution, and customer relationships; and integrate accounting and support functions. The complexity of the technologies and operations being integrated and, in the case of an acquisition, the disparate corporate cultures and/or industries being combined, may increase the difficulties of integrating an acquired technology or business. If our integration of acquired or internally developed technologies or businesses is not successful, we may experience adverse financial or competitive effects. Moreover, there can be no assurance that the short- or long-term value of any business or technology that we develop or acquire will be equal to the value of the cash and other consideration that we paid or expenses we incurred.

- 24 -

Table of Contents

Our new E-Commerce business is subject to many risks.

Our E-Commerce business is still in development, and we cannot ensure that it will succeed. We intend to offer additional types of products or related online retail services through our E-Commerce sites, but cannot provide assurance that any of them will be successful or that their failure will not result in harm to our overall business. The additions and modifications to our business as a result of our expansion into online retail have increased the complexity of our business. Future additions to or modifications of our business are likely to have similar effects. We may not be able to manage growth in our E-Commerce business effectively, which could damage our reputation, limit our growth in other areas, and negatively affect our operating results.

Our new E-Commerce business is subject to a number of additional risks, including the following risks and risks described elsewhere in this Item 1A, among others:

the risk that we will be unable to attract and retain customers cost-effectively, which would harm our ability to achieve and maintain profitability. Because much of our current E-Commerce business is based on one-time transactions with customers for relatively high-priced items, we must constantly acquire new customers. We rely on relationships with online services, search engines, affiliate marketing websites, directories, and other websites and online retail businesses to host and provide content, advertising banners, and other links that direct customers to our E-Commerce websites, and if we are unable to develop or maintain these relationships on acceptable terms, our ability to attract new customers could be harmed;

the risk that we may owe back taxes and penalties in the event that states in which we do not currently remit sales tax successfully assert that we are required to remit sales tax on products we sell to consumers in those states. If we are forced to remit sales tax for products sold in a particular jurisdiction, we may also be required to increase product prices for customers in that jurisdiction, which could impair our ability to compete for those customers (particularly for sales of higher value items) and harm our revenue;

the risk that we will fail to detect and sufficiently control credit card fraud, which could reduce our net revenues and our gross profit percentage;

the risk of product liability claims, which could result in costly and time-consuming litigation, decreased sales, damage to our brands, and have material adverse effects on our business, financial position, and operating results, particularly if the claim exceeds our or the vendor's insurance coverage or the vendor lacks the financial ability or willingness to properly indemnify us for the claim;

the risk of a significant number of merchandise returns, which could harm our business, reputation, and results of operations, and the risk that any change to returns policies intended to reduce the number of product returns may result in customer dissatisfaction, fewer initial sales, and fewer repeat customers; and

other risks associated with acquisitions.

If we do not address these and other risks timely and effectively, our E-Commerce business may not succeed.

We rely substantially on third-party relationships for our E-Commerce business. If these relationships do not continue on favorable terms, or if the third parties do not perform in the manner we expect, our business will suffer.

We rely on our relationships with independent product vendors for the products that we offer for sale on our E-Commerce websites. Our business will suffer if we are unable to develop and maintain relationships with product vendors that allow us to obtain sufficient quantities of merchandise on acceptable commercial terms and in a timely manner. We have relationships with thousands of vendors for the products we offer for sale on our websites. We depend on our vendors to provide almost all of the products we sell, as we do not generally keep products we sell in inventory. If we do not maintain our existing relationships or build new relationships with vendors on acceptable commercial terms, we may be unable to maintain a broad selection of merchandise. We generally do not have long-term supply agreements, price guarantees, or exclusive arrangements with our vendors. As a result, we cannot guarantee high levels of product quality and selection at competitive prices because our

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

vendors generally do not have a continuing obligation to provide us with merchandise at historical levels or prices or at all. In most cases, our relationships with our suppliers do not restrict the suppliers from selling their inventory to other traditional or online merchandise liquidators or retailers, which could in turn limit the selection of products available on our websites, particularly during peak seasons for those products.

In addition, we rely upon third-party delivery services for the shipment of products to customers. These relationships may not continue on terms we find acceptable, or at all, if our relationships with third-party delivery services are terminated or impaired, or if these third parties are unable to deliver products for us because of labor issues, deteriorating financial or business conditions, natural disasters, or any other reason, we would be required to use alternative carriers for the shipment of products to our customers. In any of these circumstances, we may be unable to engage alternative carriers on a timely basis,

Table of Contents

upon terms we find acceptable, or at all. In addition, third-party delivery services may not ship to our customers on a timely and consistent basis. Unexpected increases in shipping costs, delivery times, or damaged products could harm our business, reputation, financial condition, and results of operations.

We also have agreements with third-party service providers to provide processing and administrative functions with respect to our E-Commerce call center, warehouse, email and online marketing, search engine optimization, and other areas. Services provided by third parties could be interrupted as a result of many factors, such as natural disasters, failures of the providers' technology or personnel, or inability to manage peak demand during busy seasons. Failure by third parties to provide us with these services on a timely basis and within our service level expectations could harm our business. In addition, to the extent we are unable to maintain our outsourcing arrangements, we may incur substantial costs to either bring those services in-house or transition them to other providers, which may not be successful. In the interim, we could face significant losses in revenue due to decreased ability to market, accept, or fulfill orders.

The value of equity-based awards, including stock options, restricted stock units, and market stock units granted to employees may cease to provide sufficient incentive to retain to our employees and to attract new talent.

Like many technology companies, we use stock options, restricted stock units, and other equity-based awards (such as market stock units (*MSUs*), which are a form of performance-based restricted stock unit granted under our 2011 long-term executive compensation plan) to recruit and retain senior level employees. With respect to those employees to whom we issue such equity-based awards, we face a significant challenge in retaining them if the value of equity-based awards in aggregate or individually is either not deemed by the employee to be substantial enough or deemed so substantial that the employee leaves after their equity-based awards vest. If our stock price does not increase significantly above the exercise prices of our options or does not increase significantly above the comparative index price for our MSUs, we may need to issue new equity-based awards in order to motivate and retain our executives. We may undertake or seek stockholder approval to undertake other equity-based programs to retain our employees, which may be viewed as dilutive to our stockholders or may increase our compensation costs. Additionally, there can be no assurance that any such programs we undertake will be successful in motivating and retaining our employees.

If we are unable to hire, retain, and motivate highly qualified employees, including our key employees, we may not be able to successfully manage our business.

Our future success depends on our ability to identify, attract, hire, retain, and motivate highly skilled management, technical, sales and marketing, and corporate development personnel. Qualified personnel with experience relevant to our search and E-Commerce businesses are scarce and competition to recruit them is intense. If we fail to successfully hire and retain a sufficient number of highly qualified employees, we may have difficulties in supporting our search customers or expanding our business. Realignment of resources, reductions in workforce, or other operational decisions have created and could continue to create an unstable work environment and may have a negative effect on our ability to hire, retain, and motivate employees.

Our business and operations are substantially dependent on the performance of our key employees, all of whom are employed on an at-will basis. We have experienced significant changes at our executive management level and we may experience more changes in the future. Changes of management or key employees may cause disruption to our operations, which may materially and adversely affect our business and financial results or delay achievement of our business objectives. In addition, if we lose the services of one or more key employees and are unable to recruit and retain a suitable successor(s), we may not be able to successfully and timely manage our business or achieve our business objectives. For example, the success of our search business is partially dependent on key personnel who have long-term relationships with our search customers and distribution partners, and the success of our new E-Commerce business is dependent on the knowledge, experience, and relationships of the key leadership personnel who joined us in the acquisition of the Mercantila, Inc. assets. There can be no assurance that any retention program we initiate will be successful at retaining employees, including key employees.

Our stock price has been and is likely to continue to be highly volatile.

The trading price of our common stock has been highly volatile. Between April 1, 2009 and March 31, 2011, our stock price ranged from \$5.30 to \$11.82. On April 29, 2011, the closing price of our common stock was \$9.00. Our stock price could decline or fluctuate wildly in response to many factors, including the other risks discussed in this Item 1A and the following, among others:

actual or anticipated variations in quarterly and annual results of operations;

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

announcements of significant acquisitions, dispositions, charges, changes in or loss of material contracts, new search customers, new distribution partner relationships, or other business developments by us, our search customers, distribution partners, or competitors;

- 26 -

Table of Contents

conditions or trends in the search services or online retail markets;

changes in general conditions in the U.S. and global economies or financial markets;

announcements of technological innovations or new services by us or our competitors;

changes in financial estimates or recommendations by securities analysts;

disclosures of any accounting issues, such as restatements or material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting;

equity offerings resulting in the dilution of stockholders;

the adoption of new regulations or accounting standards; and

announcements or publicity relating to litigation and similar matters.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the NASDAQ Global Select Market and the market for Internet and technology company securities in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. These broad market and industry factors and general economic conditions may materially and adversely affect our stock price. Our stock has been subject to such price and volume fluctuations in the recent past. Often, class action litigation has been instituted against companies after periods of volatility in the overall market and in the price of such companies stock. If such litigation were to be instituted against us, even if we were to prevail, it could result in substantial cost and diversion of management's attention and resources.

We have a history of incurring net losses, we may incur net losses in the future, and we may not be able to regain or sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

Although we generated net income in our last two years and in the first quarter of 2011, we have incurred net losses on an annual basis for all but five of the years since our inception, and as of March 31, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of \$1.0 billion. We may incur net losses in the future, including but not limited to losses resulting from our operations, loss on investments, the impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, losses from acquisitions, restructuring charges, or expense related to stock-based compensation and other equity awards. There can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve and maintain consistent profitability in the future.

Our financial results are likely to continue to fluctuate, which could cause our stock price to continue to be volatile or decline.

Our financial results have varied on a quarterly basis and are likely to continue to fluctuate in the future. These fluctuations could cause our stock price to be volatile or decline. Many factors could cause our quarterly results to fluctuate materially, including but not limited to:

changes or potential changes in our relationships with Google or Yahoo! or future significant search customers, such as effects of changes to their requirements or guidelines or their measurement of the quality of traffic we send to their advertiser networks, and any resulting loss or reduction of content that we can use or make available to our distribution partners;

the loss, termination, or reduction in scope of key distribution relationships in our search business, for example, as a result of distribution partners licensing content directly from content providers, or any suspension by our search customers (particularly Google and Yahoo!) of the right to use or distribute content on the web properties of our distribution partners;

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

our strategic initiatives and our ability to implement those initiatives in a cost effective manner;

the mix of search revenue generated by our owned and operated web properties versus our distribution partners' web properties (in the impact to our financial results from our acquisition of certain assets including web properties from Make The Web Better, a distribution partner, in April 2010);

the mix of revenues generated by our Core search business and our E-Commerce business versus other businesses we develop or acquire;

our ability to attract and retain quality traffic;

litigation expenses, including but not limited to settlement costs;

expenses incurred in finding, negotiating, consummating, and integrating acquisitions;

variable demand for our products and services, rapidly evolving technologies and markets, and consumer preferences;

the effects of acquisitions by us, our search customers, or our distribution partners;

- 27 -

Table of Contents

increases in the costs or availability of content for our search services or the costs or availability of products for our E-Commerce business;

additional restructuring charges we may incur in the future;

seasonality of our E-Commerce business;

the continuing impact of the economic downturn, which has in the past led to and may in the future lead to lower online advertising spend by advertisers and decreases in discretionary consumer spending, resulting in lower revenue per click for paid searches and decreased revenue or slower revenue growth from our E-Commerce sites (particularly since we offer many products that consumers may view as discretionary items rather than necessities);

changes in commodity prices affecting our E-Commerce business, which may increase our vendors' costs and, as a result, our costs for acquiring the products we sell;

changes in energy costs, which may increase our shipping costs in our E-Commerce business, particularly since many of the products we sell have high shipping costs that are particularly susceptible to additional costs resulting from increases in energy prices;

new court rulings, or the adoption of new laws, rules, or regulations, that adversely affect our ability to acquire content and distribute our search services, that affect our ability to operate our E-Commerce business or offer non-search products and services, or that otherwise increase our potential liability;

impairment in the value of long-lived assets or the value of acquired assets, including goodwill, core technology, and acquired contracts and relationships;

the effect of changes in accounting principles or in our accounting treatment of revenues or expenses; and

the adoption of new regulations or accounting standards.

For these reasons, among others, you should not rely on period-to-period comparisons of our financial results to forecast our future performance. Furthermore, our fluctuating operating results may fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors and financial results volatility could make us less attractive to investors, either of which could cause the trading price of our stock to decline.

Our search services may expose us to claims relating to how the content was obtained, distributed, or displayed.

Our search services link users, either directly through our own websites or indirectly through the web properties of our distribution partners, to third-party webpages and content in response to search queries and other requests. These services could expose us to legal liability from claims relating to such third-party content and sites, the manner in which these services are distributed and displayed by us or our distribution partners, or how the content provided by our search customers was obtained or provided by our search customers. Such claims could include the following: infringement of patent, copyright, trademark, trade secret, or other intellectual property or proprietary rights; violation of privacy and publicity rights; unfair competition; defamation; providing false or misleading information; obscenity; pornography; and illegal gambling. Regardless of the legal merits of any such claims, they could result in costly litigation, be time consuming to defend, and divert management's attention and resources. If there was a determination that we had violated third-party rights or applicable law, we could incur substantial monetary liability, be required to enter into costly royalty or licensing arrangements (if available), or be required to change our business practices. We may also have an obligation to indemnify and hold harmless certain of our search customers or distribution partners from damages they suffer for such violations under our contracts with them. Implementing measures to reduce our exposure to such claims could require us to

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

expend substantial resources and limit the attractiveness of our services. As a result, these claims could result in material harm to our business.

In the past, there have been legal actions brought or threatened against distributors of downloadable applications deemed to be adware or spyware. Additionally, certain bills are pending and some laws have been passed in certain jurisdictions setting forth requirements that must be met before a downloadable application is downloaded to an end user's computer. We provide downloadable applications to promote use of our search services for our owned and operated search services. Such applications may be considered adware. We also partner with some distribution partners that provide adware to their users if the partners adhere to our strict guidelines requiring them, among other things, to disclose to the user what the adware does and to obtain the consent of the user before the application is downloaded. The adware must also be easy to uninstall. We also review the downloadable application the partner proposes to use before we distribute our results to them. We also have the right to audit our partners and, if we find that they are not following our guidelines, we can terminate our agreement with them or cease providing content to that downloadable application. Some partners have not been able to meet the new guidelines imposed by us or some of our search customers, and we no longer provide the applicable content or any content, as the case may be, to such partners or certain of their downloadable applications. We work closely with some of our major search customers to try to identify potential distribution partners that do not meet our guidelines or are in breach of our

- 28 -

Table of Contents

distribution agreements and we work with our distribution partners to ensure they deliver quality traffic. However, there can be no assurance that the measures we implement to reduce our exposure to claims that certain ways in which the content is distributed violate legal requirements will be successful. Any claims against us as a result of violations of legal requirements or contractual obligations could result in material harm to our business.

Our website and transaction management software, data center systems, or the systems of the third-party co-location facilities in which they are located could fail or become unavailable, which could harm our reputation, result in a loss of revenues and current or potential customers, and cause us to breach agreements with our partners.

Any system interruptions that result in the unavailability or unreliability of our websites, transaction processing systems, or network infrastructure could reduce our sales and impair our ability to properly process transactions. We use internally developed and third-party systems for our websites and certain aspects of transaction processing. Some of our systems, particularly in our E-Commerce business, are relatively new and untested, and thus may be subject to failure or unreliability. Any system unavailability or unreliability may cause unanticipated system disruptions, slower response times, degradation in customer satisfaction, additional expense, impaired quality and speed of order fulfillment, or delays in reporting accurate financial information.

We provide our own data center services for our search business from two geographically diverse third-party co-location facilities. Although the two data centers provide some redundancy, not all of our systems and operations have backup redundancy. Our E-Commerce infrastructure is partially hosted in a separate co-location facility that has system redundancy but not location redundancy, and partially hosted on a cloud-based system that has full redundancy. Our systems and operations could be damaged or interrupted by fire, flood, earthquakes, or other natural disasters, power loss, telecommunications failure, Internet breakdown, break-in, or other events beyond our control. We could face significant damage as a result of these events, and our business interruption insurance may not be adequate to compensate us for all the losses that may occur. In addition, such third-party co-location facilities and data center systems use sophisticated equipment, infrastructure, and software that may contain bugs or suffer outages that could interrupt service. During the period in which service is unavailable, we will be unable or severely limited in our ability to generate revenues, and we may also be exposed to liability from those third parties to whom we provide services through our data centers. For these reasons, our business and financial results could be materially harmed if our systems and operations are damaged or interrupted, including if we are unable to develop, or if we or our third-party co-location facility providers are unable to successfully manage, the infrastructure necessary to meet current or future demands for reliability and scalability of our systems.

If the volume of traffic to our E-Commerce websites or to search services infrastructure increases substantially, we must respond in a timely fashion by expanding our systems, which may entail upgrading our technology, transaction processing systems, and network infrastructure. Our ability to support our expansion and upgrade requirements may be constrained due to our business demands or constraints of our third-party co-location facility providers. Due to the number of our customers and the services that we offer, we could experience periodic capacity constraints which may cause temporary unanticipated system disruptions, slower response times and lower levels of customer service, and limit our ability to develop, offer, or release new or enhanced products and services. Our business could be harmed if we are unable to accurately project the rate or timing of increases, if any, in the use of our search services or we fail to expand and upgrade our systems and infrastructure to accommodate these increases in a timely manner.

The security measures we have implemented to secure information we collect and store may be breached. Security breaches may pose risks to the uninterrupted operation of our systems and could cause us to breach agreements with our customers and distribution partners, expose us to mitigation costs, litigation, potential investigation and penalties by authorities, potential claims by persons whose information was disclosed, and damage our reputation.

Our networks or those from third parties that we use may be vulnerable to unauthorized access by hackers, rogue employees or contractors, or other persons, computer viruses, and other disruptive problems. Someone who is able to circumvent security measures could misappropriate our proprietary information or cause interruptions in our operations. We receive, retain, and transmit certain personal information about our E-Commerce customers and website visitors, using technology and networks provided by third parties to provide the security and authentication used to transmit confidential information, including payment information. Subscribers to some of our search services are required to provide information that may be considered to be personally identifiable or private information. Unauthorized access to, and abuse of, this information could result in significant harm to our business.

We take, and we believe our third-party providers take, reasonable steps to protect the security, integrity, and confidentiality of the information that is collected and stored, but there is no guarantee that inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure will not occur or that third parties will not gain unauthorized access despite our efforts. If such unauthorized disclosure or access does occur, we may be required under existing and proposed laws to notify persons whose information was disclosed or accessed. We also may be subject to claims of breach of contract for such disclosure, investigation and penalties by regulatory authorities, and potential claims by persons whose information was disclosed, or other persons or

Table of Contents

companies who suffer damages as a result of unauthorized disclosure. Any such claims could result in costly litigation or liability, be time consuming to resolve, damage our reputation, and divert the attention and resources of management and other personnel.

We may need to expend significant capital or other resources protecting against the threat of security breaches or alleviating problems caused by breaches. Although we intend to continue to implement and improve our security measures, persons may be able to circumvent the measures that we implement in the future. Eliminating computer viruses and alleviating other security problems may require interruptions, delays, or cessation of service to users accessing our services, any of which could harm our business and financial results.

We may be subject to liability for our use or distribution of information that we gather or receive from third parties and indemnity protections or insurance coverage may be inadequate to cover such liability.

We obtain content and commerce information from third parties. When we distribute this information, we may be liable for the data that is contained in that content. This could subject us to legal liability for such things as defamation, negligence, intellectual property infringement, violation of privacy or publicity rights, and product or service liability, among others. Laws or regulations of certain jurisdictions may also deem some content illegal, which may expose us to legal liability as well. We also gather personal information from users in order to provide personalized services. Gathering and processing this personal information may subject us to legal liability for, among other things, negligence, defamation, invasion of privacy, or product or service liability. We are also subject to laws and regulations, both in the United States and abroad, regarding the collection and use of end user information and search related data. If we do not comply with these laws and regulations, we may be exposed to legal liability.

Although the agreements by which we obtain content contain indemnity provisions, these provisions may not cover a particular claim or type of claim or the party giving the indemnity may not have the financial resources to cover the claim. Our insurance coverage may be inadequate to cover fully the amounts or types of claims that might be made against us. Any liability that we incur as a result of content we receive from third parties could harm our financial results.

If others claim that our services infringe their intellectual property rights, we may be forced to seek expensive licenses, reengineer our services, engage in expensive and time-consuming litigation, or stop marketing and licensing our services.

Companies and individuals with rights relating to the Internet, software, and application services industries have frequently resorted to litigation regarding intellectual property rights. In some cases, the ownership or scope of an entity's or person's rights is unclear and may also change over time, including through changes in U.S. or international intellectual property laws or regulations or through court decisions or decisions by agencies or regulatory boards that manage such rights.

Third parties have in the past and may in the future make claims against us alleging infringement of copyrights, trademarks, trade secret rights, intellectual property or other proprietary rights, or alleging unfair competition or violations of privacy or publicity rights. Responding to any such claims could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, divert management's attention, cause product or service release delays, require us to redesign our services, or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Our technology and intellectual property may not be able to withstand any third-party claims or rights against their use. If a successful claim of infringement were made against us and we could not develop non-infringing technology or license the infringed or similar technology on a timely and cost-effective basis, our business could suffer.

We do not regularly conduct patent searches to determine whether the technology used in our services infringes patents held by third parties. Patent searches may not return every issued patent that may be deemed relevant to a particular product or service. It is therefore difficult to determine, with any level of certainty, whether a particular product or service may be construed as infringing a U.S. or foreign patent. Because patent applications in the United States are not immediately publicly disclosed, applications may have been filed by third parties that relate to our services that may not be discovered in a patent search. In addition, other companies, as well as research and academic institutions, have conducted research for many years in the search technology field, and this research could lead to the filing of further patent applications or affect filed applications.

If we were to discover that our services violated or potentially violated third-party proprietary rights, we might be required to obtain licenses that are costly or contain terms unfavorable to us, or expend substantial resources to reengineer those services so that they would not violate such third-party rights. Any reengineering effort may not be successful, and any such licenses may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. Any third-party infringement claims against us could result in costly litigation or liability and be time consuming to defend, divert management's attention and resources, cause product and service delays, or require us to enter into royalty and licensing agreements.

Table of Contents

We rely heavily on our technology and intellectual property, but we may be unable to adequately or cost-effectively protect or enforce our intellectual property rights, thus weakening our competitive position and negatively impacting our business and financial results. We may have to litigate to enforce our intellectual property rights, which can be time consuming, expensive, and difficult to predict.

To protect our rights in our services and technology, we rely on a combination of copyright and trademark laws, patents, trade secrets, confidentiality agreements with employees and third parties, and protective contractual provisions. We also rely on laws pertaining to trademarks and domain names to protect the value of our corporate brands and reputation. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may copy aspects of our services or technology, or obtain and use information, marks, or technology that we regard as proprietary, or otherwise violate or infringe our intellectual property rights. In addition, it is possible that others could independently develop substantially equivalent intellectual property. If we do not effectively protect our intellectual property, or if others independently develop substantially equivalent intellectual property, our competitive position could be weakened.

Effectively policing the unauthorized use of our services and technology is time-consuming and costly, and the steps taken by us may not prevent misappropriation of our technology or other proprietary assets. The efforts we have taken to protect our proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective, and unauthorized parties may obtain and use information, marks, or technology that we regard as proprietary, copy aspects of our services, or use similar marks or domain names. In some cases, the ownership or scope of an entity's or person's rights is unclear and may also change over time, including through changes in U.S. or international intellectual property laws or regulations or through court decisions or decisions by agencies or regulatory boards that manage such rights. Our intellectual property may be subject to even greater risk in foreign jurisdictions, as protection is not sought or obtained in every country in which our services and technology are available and it is often more difficult and costly to enforce our rights in foreign jurisdictions. Moreover, the laws of many countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States and intellectual property developed for us by our employees or contractors in foreign jurisdictions may not be as protected as if created in the United States.

We may have to litigate to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, or to determine the validity and scope of others' proprietary rights, which are sometimes not clear or may change. Litigation can be time consuming, expensive, and difficult to predict.

Delaware law and our charter documents may impede or discourage a takeover, which could cause the market price of our shares to decline.

We are a Delaware corporation and the anti-takeover provisions of Delaware law impose various impediments to the ability of a third party to acquire us, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. For example, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay, or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the person becomes an interested stockholder. In addition, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that may discourage, delay, or prevent a third party from acquiring us without the consent of our board of directors, even if doing so would be beneficial to our stockholders. Provisions of our charter documents that could have an anti-takeover effect include:

the classification of our board of directors into three groups so that directors serve staggered three-year terms, which may make it difficult for a potential acquirer to gain control of our board of directors;

the requirement for supermajority approval by stockholders for certain business combinations;

the ability of our board of directors to authorize the issuance of shares of undesignated preferred stock without a vote by stockholders;

the ability of our board of directors to amend or repeal the bylaws;

limitations on the removal of directors;

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

limitations on stockholders' ability to call special stockholder meetings;

advance notice requirements for nominating candidates for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholder meetings; and

certain limited transfer restrictions in our charter and shareholder rights plan on our common stock designed to preserve our federal net operating loss carryforwards (*NOLs*).

On July 19, 2002, our board of directors adopted a stockholder rights plan, pursuant to which we declared and paid a dividend of one right for each share of common stock held by stockholders of record as of August 9, 2002. Unless redeemed by us prior to the time the rights are exercised, upon the occurrence of certain events, the rights will entitle the holders of common stock (except the acquiring person, together with its affiliates and associates and certain transferees thereof, that becomes the beneficial owner of 15% or more of the common stock, whose rights will be null and void following a trigger event), to receive shares of our preferred stock, or shares of an acquiring entity. The security issuable upon exercise of one right approximates the value and voting rights of one share of common stock.

- 31 -

Table of Contents

In addition, at our 2009 annual meeting, our stockholders approved an amendment to our certificate of incorporation that restricts any person or entity from attempting to transfer our stock, without prior permission from the Board of Directors, to the extent that such transfer would (i) create or result in an individual or entity becoming a five-percent shareholder of our stock, or (ii) increase the stock ownership percentage of any existing five-percent shareholder. This amendment provides that any transfer that violates its provisions shall be null and void and would require the purported transferee to, upon demand by the Company, transfer the shares that exceed the five percent limit to an agent designated by the Company for the purpose of conducting a sale of such excess shares. The stockholder rights plan and the amendment to the certificate of incorporation would make the acquisition of the Company more expensive to the acquirer and could significantly delay, discourage, or prevent third parties from acquiring the Company without the approval of our board of directors.

If there is change in our ownership within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, our ability to utilize our NOLs may be severely limited or potentially eliminated.

As of December 31, 2010, we had NOLs of approximately \$788 million that will expire over a ten to twenty year period. If we were to have a change of ownership within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (defined as a cumulative change of 50 percentage points or more in the ownership positions of certain stockholders owning 5% or more of a company's common stock over a three-year rolling period), then under certain conditions, the amount of NOLs we could use in any one year could be limited to an amount equal to our market capitalization, net of substantial non-business assets, at the time of the ownership change multiplied by the federal long-term tax exempt rate. Our certificate of incorporation imposes certain limited transfer restrictions on our common stock that we expect will assist us in preventing a change of ownership and preserving our NOLs, but there can be no assurance that these restrictions will be sufficient. If we are unable to use our NOLs before they expire, or if the use of this tax benefit is severely limited or eliminated by a change of ownership, there could be a material reduction in the amount of after-tax income and cash flow from operations, and it could have an effect on our ability to engage in certain transactions.

Restructuring and streamlining our business, including implementing reductions in workforce, discretionary spending, and other expense reductions, may harm our business.

We have in the past and may in the future find it advisable to take measures to streamline operations and reduce expenses, including, without limitation, reducing our workforce or discontinuing products or businesses. Such measures may place significant strains on our management and employees, and could impair our development, marketing, sales, and customer support efforts. We may also incur liabilities from these measures, including liabilities from early termination or assignment of contracts, potential failure to meet obligations due to loss of employees or resources, and resulting litigation. Such effects from restructuring and streamlining could have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

RISKS RELATED TO THE INDUSTRIES IN WHICH WE OPERATE

We may be unable to compete successfully in the search and online retail markets.

We face intense competition in the search and online retail markets, both of which are extremely competitive and rapidly changing. In addition, the retail business for the products we sell on our E-Commerce sites has few barriers to entry. Many of our competitors or potential competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, and marketing resources, larger customer bases, longer operating histories, more developed infrastructures, greater brand recognition, better access to vendors, or more established relationships in the industry than we have. Our competitors may be able to adopt more aggressive pricing policies, develop and expand their product and service offerings more rapidly, adapt to new or emerging technologies and changes in search customer and distribution partner requirements more quickly, take advantage of acquisitions and other opportunities more readily, achieve greater economies of scale, and devote greater resources to the marketing and sale of their products and services than we can. Our competitors in the online retail business include general merchandise retailers and specialty retailers with an online presence, brick-and-mortar stores (both national and local), or both, as well as our own vendors selling direct to consumers or through other retailers. Some of the companies we compete with in search are currently search customers of ours, the loss of any of which could harm our business. In addition, we may face increasing competition for search market share from new search startups, mobile search providers, and social media sites and applications. If we are unable to match or exceed our competitors' product offerings, marketing reach, and customer service experience, our business may not be successful. Because of these competitive factors and due to our relatively small size and financial resources, we may be unable to compete successfully in the search and online retail markets and, to the extent that these competitive factors apply to other markets that we pursue, in such other markets.

Table of Contents

Additionally, our business and financial results could be adversely affected if our search distribution partners create their own services that compete or replace the services we provide or they acquire such services from other sources. We continue to experience increased competition from search customers seeking to enter into agreements directly with our existing or potential distribution partners, making it increasingly difficult for us to renew agreements with existing major distribution partners or to enter into distribution agreements with new partners on favorable terms.

Consolidation in the industries in which we operate could lead to increased competition and loss of customers.

The Internet industry (including search and online retail) has experienced substantial consolidation. This consolidation may continue. These acquisitions could adversely affect our business and results of operations in a number of ways, including the following:

search customers could acquire or be acquired by one of our other search customers, enter into new business relationships with each other, and stop licensing content to us or gain additional negotiating leverage in their relationships with us;

our search distribution partners could acquire or be acquired by one of our competitors and terminate their relationship with us;

our search distribution partners could merge with each other, which could reduce our ability to negotiate favorable terms; and

competitors in search or online retail (including both brick-and-mortar and Internet retail) could improve their competitive positions through strategic acquisitions or new business relationships with each other.

Consolidation in the Internet industry could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Governmental regulation and the application of existing laws may slow business growth, increase our costs of doing business, and create potential liability.

The growth and development of the Internet has led to new laws and regulations, as well as the application of existing laws to the Internet, in both the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions. Application of these laws can be unclear. For example, it is unclear how many existing laws regulating or requiring licenses for certain businesses (such as gambling, online auctions, distribution of pharmaceuticals, alcohol, tobacco, firearms, insurance, securities brokerage, or legal services) apply to search services, online advertising, and our business. The costs of complying or failure to comply with these laws and regulations could limit our ability to operate in our markets (including limiting our ability to distribute our products and services; conduct targeted advertising; collect, use, or transfer user information; or comply with new data security requirements), expose us to compliance costs and substantial liability, and result in costly and time-consuming litigation. It is impossible to predict whether or when any new legislation may be adopted or existing legislation or regulatory requirements will be deemed applicable to us, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business.

Any failure by us to comply with our posted privacy policies, Federal Trade Commission (*FTC*) requirements, or other privacy-related laws and regulations could result in proceedings by the FTC or others, including potential class action litigation, which could potentially have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition. For example, there are a large number of legislative proposals before the U.S. Congress and various state legislative bodies regarding privacy and data protection issues related to our businesses. It is not possible to predict whether or when such legislation may be adopted and certain proposals, if adopted, could materially and adversely affect our business through a decrease in user registrations and revenues. This could be caused by, among other possible provisions, the required use of disclaimers or other requirements before users can utilize our services.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, we ceased operation of www.haggle.com (*Haggle*), a competitive shopping website, and transferred user accounts and certain assets and liabilities to a competing website. We may face certain risks relating to the previous operation or shutdown of Haggle. Numerous states and foreign jurisdictions have regulations regarding auctions and may attempt to claim those regulations applied to Haggle. In addition, we understand that some academics and others have suggested that prepaid bidding fee auctions resemble games of chance and/or gambling. We believe that the results of Haggle auctions depended on the skill of the participants and are thus not subject to such laws. If lawmakers or regulators were to decide that bidding fee auctions are games of chance or constitute gambling, or are subject to auction laws, we could become subject to various federal and state penalties. In addition, we may be subject to legal claims from former Haggle users unhappy

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

with the operation or shutdown of Huggle or with the operation of the competing website to which user accounts were transferred.

The FTC has recommended that search engine providers delineate paid-ranking search results from non-paid results. To the extent that we are required to modify presentation of search results as a result of specific regulations or requirements that may be issued in the future by the FTC or other state or federal agencies or legislative bodies with respect to the nature of such delineation or other aspects of advertising in connection with search services, revenue from the affected search engines could be negatively impacted. With respect to our E-Commerce business, we must comply with regulations governing online promotions and the taxation of items sold online and the taxation of Internet commerce. Addressing these regulations may require us to develop additional technology or otherwise expend significant time and expense.

- 33 -

Table of Contents

Due to the nature of the Internet, it is possible that the governments of states and foreign countries might attempt to regulate Internet transmissions, through data protection laws amongst others, or institute proceedings for violations of their laws. We might unintentionally violate such laws, such laws may be modified, and new laws may be enacted in the future. Any such developments (or developments stemming from enactment or modification of other laws) could increase the costs of regulatory compliance for us or force us to change our business practices.

We rely on the infrastructure of the Internet networks, over which we have no control and the failure of which could substantially undermine our operations.

Our success depends, in large part, on other companies maintaining the Internet system infrastructure. In particular, we rely on other companies to maintain a reliable network backbone that provides adequate speed, data capacity, and security and to develop services that enable reliable Internet access and services. As the Internet continues to experience growth in the number of users, frequency of use, and amount of data transmitted, the Internet system infrastructure may be unable to support the demands placed on it, and the Internet's performance or reliability may suffer as a result of this continued growth. Some of the companies that we rely upon to maintain network infrastructure may lack sufficient capital to take the necessary steps to support such demands or their long-term operations. The failure of the Internet infrastructure would substantially undermine our operations and may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable with respect to the current reporting period.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable with respect to the current reporting period.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]**Item 5. Other Information**

Not applicable with respect to the current reporting period.

Item 6. Exhibits**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Date of First Filing	Exhibit Number	Filed Herewith
10.1	Amendment Number Seven to Amended and Restated Google Inc. Services Agreement and Order Form dated April 1, 2011 by and between Google Inc. and InfoSpace Sales LLC				X
10.2	Yahoo Publisher Network Contract #1-23975446 dated January 31, 2011 by and between Yahoo! Inc. and its subsidiary Yahoo! Sarl and InfoSpace Sales LLC				X
10.3*	Employment agreement between InfoSpace, Inc. and Travis McElfresh				X
10.4*	Amended and Restated Equity Grant Program for Nonemployee Directors	8-K	April 13, 2011	10.2	
10.5*	Nonemployee Director Cash Compensation Policy	8-K	April 13, 2011	10.3	
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X

Edgar Filing: INFOSPACE INC - Form 10-Q

31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Confidential treatment has been requested for portions of this exhibit. These portions have been omitted from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

INFOSPACE, INC.

By /s/ David B. Binder
David B. Binder
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: May 5, 2011

- 35 -

Table of Contents**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Date of First Filing	Exhibit Number	Filed Herewith
10.1	Amendment Number Seven to Amended and Restated Google Inc. Services Agreement and Order Form dated April 1, 2011 by and between Google Inc. and InfoSpace Sales LLC				X
10.2	Yahoo Publisher Network Contract #1-23975446 dated January 31, 2011 by and between Yahoo! Inc. and its subsidiary Yahoo! Sarl and InfoSpace Sales LLC				X
10.3*	Employment agreement between InfoSpace, Inc. and Travis McElfresh				X
10.4*	Amended and Restated Equity Grant Program for Nonemployee Directors	8-K	April 13, 2011	10.2	
10.5*	Nonemployee Director Cash Compensation Policy	8-K	April 13, 2011	10.3	
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement. Confidential treatment has been requested for portions of this exhibit. These portions have been omitted from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission.