

GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO
Form 10-Q
October 31, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

or

Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 1-9761

ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

36-2151613
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Two Pierce Place, Itasca, Illinois 60143-3141
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(630) 773-3800
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, \$1.00 par value, as of September 30, 2012 was 124,387,000.

Table of Contents

Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.

Index

	Page No.
Part I. <u>Financial Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statement of Earnings for the Three-month and Nine-month Periods Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Earnings for the Three-month and Nine-month Periods Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Nine-month Periods Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine-month Period Ended September 30, 2012</u>	7
<u>Notes to September 30, 2012 Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8-27
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	28
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29-52
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	52-53
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	53
Part II. <u>Other Information</u>	
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	53-54
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	54
<u>Signature</u>	55
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	56

Table of Contents**Part I Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.****Consolidated Statement of Earnings****(Unaudited-in millions, except per share data)**

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Commissions	\$ 346.0	\$ 308.0	\$ 962.7	\$ 829.7
Fees	248.2	225.1	706.0	628.8
Supplemental commissions	16.6	14.5	50.3	42.0
Contingent commissions	7.7	9.9	37.0	34.6
Investment income	2.7	2.6	8.0	6.7
Net gains on books of business sales	0.7	0.8	1.4	4.4
Revenues from clean coal activities	28.4	1.9	80.3	10.0
Other net revenues	0.1		1.4	0.1
Total revenues	650.4	562.8	1,847.1	1,556.3
Compensation	373.9	345.2	1,082.4	965.1
Operating	121.6	110.5	353.6	312.7
Cost of revenues from clean coal activities	27.7	0.7	74.4	12.4
Interest	10.7	10.3	32.1	30.4
Depreciation	10.7	9.4	30.6	26.6
Amortization	25.8	20.0	73.1	54.7
Change in estimated acquisition earnout payables	3.7	(4.3)	1.0	(6.0)
Total expenses	574.1	491.8	1,647.2	1,395.9
Earnings before income taxes	76.3	71.0	199.9	160.4
Provision for income taxes	14.6	24.3	38.4	56.8
Net earnings	\$ 61.7	\$ 46.7	\$ 161.5	\$ 103.6
Basic net earnings per share	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.93
Diluted net earnings per share	0.50	0.41	1.33	0.93
Dividends declared per common share	0.34	0.33	1.02	0.99

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.****Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Earnings****(Unaudited - in millions)**

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net earnings	\$ 61.7	\$ 46.7	\$ 161.5	\$ 103.6
Change in pension liability, net of taxes	1.0	0.2	2.0	0.7
Foreign currency translation	19.6	(15.1)	17.4	(13.7)
Change in fair value of derivative investments, net of taxes	1.7	(0.9)	2.0	(1.3)
Comprehensive earnings	\$ 84.0	\$ 30.9	\$ 182.9	\$ 89.3

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.****Consolidated Balance Sheet****(In millions)**

	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 305.2	\$ 291.2
Restricted cash	805.5	692.5
Premiums and fees receivable	1,031.7	1,027.1
Other current assets	182.5	188.6
Total current assets	2,324.9	2,199.4
Fixed assets - net	101.0	91.3
Deferred income taxes	240.9	240.2
Other noncurrent assets	245.9	235.8
Goodwill - net	1,373.9	1,155.3
Amortizable intangible assets - net	722.7	561.5
Total assets	\$ 5,009.3	\$ 4,483.5
Premiums payable to insurance and reinsurance companies	\$ 1,703.6	\$ 1,621.9
Accrued compensation and other accrued liabilities	285.1	304.1
Unearned fees	67.7	69.7
Other current liabilities	33.9	67.9
Corporate related borrowings - current		10.0
Total current liabilities	2,090.3	2,073.6
Corporate related borrowings - noncurrent	725.0	675.0
Other noncurrent liabilities	557.8	491.3
Total liabilities	3,373.1	3,239.9
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock - issued and outstanding 124.4 shares in 2012 and 114.7 shares in 2011	124.4	114.7
Capital in excess of par value	1,017.9	693.2
Retained earnings	519.7	482.9
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25.8)	(47.2)
Total stockholders' equity	1,636.2	1,243.6
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,009.3	\$ 4,483.5

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.****Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows****(Unaudited - in millions)**

	Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 161.5	\$ 103.6
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net gain on investments and other	(1.4)	(1.2)
Depreciation and amortization	103.7	81.3
Change in estimated acquisition earnout payables	1.0	(6.0)
Amortization of deferred compensation and restricted stock	6.4	5.3
Stock-based and other noncash compensation expense	5.5	11.4
Effect of changes in foreign exchange rates	0.9	0.1
Net change in restricted cash	(65.7)	3.0
Net change in premiums receivable	75.1	95.2
Net change in premiums payable	(55.5)	(80.2)
Net change in other current assets	12.2	20.6
Net change in accrued compensation and other accrued liabilities	(42.6)	(34.9)
Net change in fees receivable/unearned fees	(7.9)	(6.6)
Net change in income taxes payable	12.9	(9.8)
Net change in deferred income taxes	3.3	28.3
Net change in other noncurrent assets and liabilities	(30.0)	(24.5)
Net cash provided by operating activities	179.4	185.6
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Net additions to fixed assets	(36.1)	(35.3)
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(137.9)	(241.8)
Net proceeds from sales of operations/books of business	8.9	12.7
Net proceeds (funding) of investment transactions	10.7	(3.7)
Net cash used by investing activities	(154.4)	(268.1)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	60.9	54.8
Tax impact from issuance of common stock	3.7	2.2
Repurchases of common stock		(1.1)
Dividends paid	(119.7)	(108.6)
Borrowings on line of credit facility	162.0	102.0
Repayments on line of credit facility	(172.0)	(102.0)
Borrowings of long-term debt	50.0	125.0
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(15.1)	72.3
Effect of changes in foreign exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	4.1	1.8
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	14.0	(8.4)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	291.2	249.8

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 305.2	\$ 241.4
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$ 38.0	\$ 33.8
Income taxes paid	17.0	31.0

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

- 6 -

Table of Contents**Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.****Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity****(Unaudited - in millions)**

	Common Stock		Capital in	Retained	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Excess of	Earnings	Other	
			Par Value		Comprehensive	
					Loss	
Balance at December 31, 2011	114.7	\$ 114.7	\$ 693.2	\$ 482.9	\$ (47.2)	\$ 1,243.6
Net earnings				161.5		161.5
Change in pension liability, net of taxes of \$1.3 million					2.0	2.0
Foreign currency translation					17.4	17.4
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of taxes of \$1.3 million					2.0	2.0
Compensation expense related to stock option plan grants			5.2			5.2
Tax impact from issuance of common stock			3.7			3.7
Common stock issued in:						
Thirty-three acquisition transactions	7.3	7.3	252.2			259.5
Stock option plans	2.1	2.1	52.2			54.3
Employee stock purchase plan	0.2	0.2	6.4			6.6
Deferred compensation and restricted stock	0.1	0.1	4.7			4.8
Other compensation expense			0.3			0.3
Common stock repurchases						
Cash dividends declared on common stock				(124.7)		(124.7)
Balance at September 30, 2012	124.4	\$ 124.4	\$ 1,017.9	\$ 519.7	\$ (25.8)	\$ 1,636.2

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Notes to September 30, 2012 Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. and its subsidiaries, collectively referred to herein as we, our or us, provide insurance brokerage and risk management services to a wide variety of commercial, industrial, institutional and governmental organizations through two reportable operating segments. Commission and fee revenue generated by the brokerage segment is primarily related to the negotiation and placement of insurance for our clients. Fee revenue generated by the risk management segment is primarily related to claims management, information management, risk control consulting (loss control) services and appraisals in the property/casualty market. Investment income and other revenue is generated from our investment portfolio, which includes invested cash and restricted funds, as well as tax-advantaged, clean energy and other investments. We are headquartered in Itasca, Illinois, have operations in 17 countries and offer client-service capabilities in more than 110 countries through a global network of correspondent insurance brokers and consultants.

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. We believe the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein are, in the opinion of management, prepared on a basis consistent with our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 and include all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the information set forth. The quarterly results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be reported for subsequent quarters or the full year. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the amounts reported in the prior year's unaudited consolidated financial statements in order to conform to the current year presentation.

In the preparation of our unaudited consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2012, management evaluated all material subsequent events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date through the date on which the financial statements were issued, for potential recognition or disclosure therein.

2. Effect of New Accounting Pronouncements

Other Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (which we refer to as the FASB) issued ASU 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)* to make the presentation of items within other comprehensive income (which we refer to as OCI) more prominent. The guidance requires companies to present items of net income, items of OCI and total comprehensive income in one continuous statement or two separate consecutive statements. We adopted this guidance for reporting in the first quarter of 2012 by presenting the required information in two separate consecutive statements in the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements. We were able to make the changes required by this guidance without incurring any costs or operational challenges because we had all of the necessary information, and previously presented it in the statement of stockholders' equity and notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Testing Goodwill for Impairment

In August 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment* that gives companies the option to perform a qualitative assessment that may allow them to skip the annual two-step test on goodwill impairment. The previously mandated two-step test requires companies to first assess goodwill for impairment by quantitatively comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying amount, an analysis must then be performed to measure the amount of the goodwill impairment, if any. ASU 2011-08 gives companies the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If a company concludes that this is the case, it must perform the two-step test. Otherwise, a company does not have to perform the two-step test.

Table of Contents

Using the optional qualitative screen introduced in the new guidance to test goodwill for impairment will require significant judgment. The qualitative assessment should consider not only company-specific information but all significant inputs used to determine fair value. Companies that use the screen will have to consider and weigh both positive and negative evidence that has a significant effect on a reporting unit's fair value and thoroughly document their analyses. Companies that appropriately apply the screen and achieve a positive result do not have to perform the annual two-step test and achieve the intended cost relief. The ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not plan to use the qualitative assessment provisions of this new guidance for our 2012 annual goodwill impairment review.

3. Business Combinations

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we acquired substantially all of the net assets of the following firms in exchange for our common stock and/or cash. These acquisitions have been accounted for using the acquisition method for recording business combinations (in millions except share data):

Name and Effective Date of Acquisition	Common Shares Issued (000s)	Common Share Value	Cash Paid	Accrued Liability	Escrow Deposited	Recorded Earnout Payable	Total Recorded Purchase Price	Maximum Potential Earnout Payable
Riley & Associates, Inc. January 1, 2012	64	\$ 1.9	\$ 0.7	\$	\$ 0.3	\$ 1.2	\$ 4.1	\$ 1.6
Detlefs & Company Benefit Resources, LLC February 1, 2012	52	1.7	0.6		0.1		2.4	1.4
First Premium Insurance Group, Inc. (FPI) February 1, 2012	599	19.9	0.4		1.0	2.8	24.1	7.0
Gary Johnson & Associates, Inc. February 1, 2012	55	1.8	0.7		0.1	0.3	2.9	1.4
ProSource Financial, LLC February 1, 2012	207	7.3	6.7		0.5	1.3	15.8	9.5
BenefitLink Resource Group, Inc. (BRG) March 1, 2012	357	12.3			0.5	6.0	18.8	8.0
Human Resource Management Systems, LLC March 1, 2012	143	5.0	1.7		0.1	1.8	8.6	5.3
Wischmeyer Financial, LP March 1, 2012	142	4.9	1.6		0.1	1.4	8.0	5.5
Besselman & Little Agency, LLC April 1, 2012	195	7.0	2.4		0.1	1.8	11.3	4.6
Schiff, Kreidler-Shell Insurance and Risk Services (SKS) April 1, 2012	744	27.6	13.3			1.4	42.3	18.5
CGM Gallagher Group Limited (CGM) April 1, 2012			12.0				12.0	
VEBA Service Group, LLC May 1, 2012	162	5.8	2.0		0.1	2.2	10.1	4.2

Table of Contents

Name and Effective Date of Acquisition	Common Shares Issued (000s)	Common Share Value	Cash Paid	Accrued Liability	Escrow Deposited	Recorded Earnout Payable	Total Recorded Purchase Price	Maximum Potential Earnout Payable
Professional Claims Managers, Inc. May 1, 2012	175	\$ 5.4	\$ 2.2	\$	\$ 0.6	\$ 1.3	\$ 9.5	\$ 3.9
Insurance Dialogue Limited (IDL) May 1, 2012			26.7				26.7	
Grossman & Associates, Inc. June 1, 2012	99	3.3			0.1	0.6	4.0	5.6
Broker Benefit Services, LLC June 1, 2012	180	6.2	2.1		0.1	1.4	9.8	4.0
Whitehaven Insurance Group, Inc. June 1, 2012	75	2.6	0.6		0.2	0.7	4.1	1.6
Contego Underwriting Limited July 1, 2012			7.1			5.0	12.1	6.3
Grace/Mayer Insurance Agency, Inc. (GMI) July 1, 2012	549	19.5	1.5		2.6	2.4	26.0	7.0
G.S. Chapman & Associates Insurance Brokers, Inc. (GSC) July 1, 2012	905	28.6	7.0		6.7	6.6	48.9	19.5
Miller Buettner & Parrott, Inc. July 1, 2012	127	4.4	1.5		0.1	1.1	7.1	6.0
Triad USA, Inc. July 1, 2012	164	5.6	1.9		0.2	1.4	9.1	7.3
Blenheim Park Ltd. (BPL) August 1, 2012	254	9.1	5.0			12.3	26.4	17.2
Sunday and Associates, Inc. August 1, 2012	99	3.3			0.1	0.9	4.3	2.6
Acumus Limited (ACL) September 21, 2012			25.0	5.5			30.5	
Thirteen other acquisitions completed in 2012	208	7.0	9.9		0.7	4.0	21.6	8.4
	5,555	\$ 190.2	\$ 132.6	\$ 5.5	\$ 14.3	\$ 57.9	\$ 400.5	\$ 156.4

In 2007, we acquired a 38.5% equity interest in CGM for \$11.9 million and accounted for our non-controlling interest in CGM's common stock using equity method accounting. CGM is an insurance intermediary and risk management company that provides property/casualty, health, risk management and other related services to clients throughout the Caribbean. CGM is headquartered in St. Lucia and has operations in Jamaica, Barbados, St. Vincent and St. Lucia. Effective April 1, 2012, we increased our ownership interest in CGM to 80%, with the option to increase our ownership in CGM to 100%, and consolidated its operations into our consolidated financial statements. CGM's acquisition date balance sheet and the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the tangible net assets acquired at the acquisition date, has been included in the tables above and below, respectively. We recognized a loss of \$3.5 million and a corresponding reduction in goodwill for the decrease in fair value of our initial 38.5% equity interest in CGM upon the acquisition of the additional 41.5% equity interest. The carrying

Table of Contents

value of our non-controlling interest in CGM was \$13.6 million as of the acquisition date. The fair value of our initial 38.5% equity interest in CGM was determined by allocating, on a pro rata basis, the fair value of the CGM entity as adjusted for the prior non-controlling ownership position. We determined the fair value of the CGM entity using the valuation techniques discussed below related to net assets acquired.

Effective May 1, 2012, we acquired a 78.5% ownership interest in IDL, with the option to increase our ownership in IDL to 100%, and consolidated its operations into our consolidated financial statements. IDL is a retail insurance broker that provides personal lines insurance within the homeowner and automobile markets in the U.K. IDL's acquisition date balance sheet and the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the tangible net assets acquired at the acquisition date, has been included in the tables above and below, respectively.

Common shares issued in connection with acquisitions are valued at closing market prices as of the date on which the consideration was paid for the applicable acquisition. We record escrow deposits that are returned to us as a result of adjustments to net assets acquired as reductions of goodwill when the escrows are settled. The maximum potential earnout payables disclosed in the foregoing table represent the maximum amount of additional consideration that could be paid pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement for the applicable acquisition. The amounts recorded as earnout payables, which are primarily based upon the estimated future operating results of the acquired entities over a two- to three-year period subsequent to the acquisition date, are measured at fair value as of the acquisition date and are included on that basis in the recorded purchase price consideration in the foregoing table. We will record subsequent changes in these estimated earnout obligations, including the accretion of discount on these obligations, in our consolidated statement of earnings, when incurred.

The fair value of these earnout obligations is based on the present value of the expected future payments to be made to the sellers of the acquired entities in accordance with the provisions outlined in the respective purchase agreements. In determining fair value, we estimated the acquired entity's future performance using financial projections developed by management for the acquired entity and market participant assumptions that were derived for revenue growth and/or profitability. We estimated future payments using the earnout formula and performance targets specified in each purchase agreement and these financial projections. We then discounted these payments to present value using a risk-adjusted rate that takes into consideration market-based rates of return which reflect the ability of the acquired entity to achieve the targets. Changes in financial projections, market participant assumptions for revenue growth and/or profitability, or the risk-adjusted discount rate, would result in a change in the fair value of recorded earnout obligations.

During each of the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$2.4 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, of expense in our consolidated statement of earnings related to the accretion of the discount recorded for earnout obligations related to our 2009 to 2012 acquisitions. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$7.0 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, of expense in our consolidated statement of earnings related to the accretion of the discount recorded for earnout obligations related to our 2009 to 2012 acquisitions. In addition, during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$1.3 million of expense and \$6.6 million of income, respectively, related to net adjustments in the estimated fair value of earnout obligations related to revised projections of future performance for twelve and eight acquisitions, respectively. In addition, during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$6.0 million and \$12.2 million, respectively, of income related to net adjustments in the estimated fair value of earnout obligations related to revised projections of future performance for thirty-one and thirteen acquisitions, respectively. The aggregate amount of maximum potential earnout obligations related to acquisitions made in 2009 and subsequent years was \$314.4 million as of September 30, 2012, of which \$114.8 million was recorded in our consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2012, based on the estimated fair value of the expected future payments to be made.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the estimated fair values of the net assets acquired at the date of each acquisition made in 2012 (in millions):

	FPI	BRG	SKS	IDL	GMI	GSC	BPL	ACL	Thirty Other Acquisitions	Total
Cash	\$ 0.2	\$ 1.7	\$ 0.4	\$	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.7	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.6	\$ 8.4	\$ 13.8
Other current assets	5.5		5.6	9.6	2.1	0.8	4.9	11.1	37.5	77.1
Fixed assets	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.8	3.5
Noncurrent assets				3.8	1.8			6.4		12.0
Goodwill	13.8	9.7	24.8	11.1	18.3	19.3	16.5	17.9	78.7	210.1
Expiration lists	14.1	8.7	27.2	19.4	15.3	29.0	11.9	9.7	89.8	225.1
Non-compete agreements	0.3	0.2	0.4		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	2.8	4.8
Trade names				0.6						0.6
Total assets acquired	34.4	20.4	58.5	44.9	37.9	50.1	35.5	46.3	219.0	547.0
Current liabilities	4.8	1.6	5.6	10.7	6.0	1.2	5.7	13.2	37.4	86.2
Noncurrent liabilities	5.5		10.6	7.5	5.9		3.4	2.6	24.8	60.3
Total liabilities assumed	10.3	1.6	16.2	18.2	11.9	1.2	9.1	15.8	62.2	146.5
Total net assets acquired	\$ 24.1	\$ 18.8	\$ 42.3	\$ 26.7	\$ 26.0	\$ 48.9	\$ 26.4	\$ 30.5	\$ 156.8	\$ 400.5

These acquisitions are expected to allow us to, among other things, expand into desirable geographic locations, further extend our presence in the retail and wholesale insurance brokerage services industries and increase the volume of general services currently provided. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the tangible net assets acquired at the acquisition date was allocated to goodwill, expiration lists, non-compete agreements and trade names in the amounts of \$210.1 million, \$225.1 million, \$4.8 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, within the brokerage segment.

Provisional estimates of fair value are established at the time of the acquisition and are subsequently reviewed within the first year of operations subsequent to the acquisition date to determine the necessity for adjustments. The fair value of the tangible assets and liabilities for each applicable acquisition at the acquisition date approximated their carrying values. The fair value of expiration lists was established using the excess earnings method, which is an income approach based on estimated financial projections developed by management for each acquired entity using market participant assumptions. We estimate fair value as the present value of the benefits anticipated from ownership of the subject customer list in excess of returns required on the investment in contributory assets necessary to realize those benefits. The rate used to discount the net benefits was based on a risk-adjusted rate that takes into consideration market-based rates of return and reflects the risk of the asset relative to the acquired business. The fair value of non-compete agreements was established using the profit differential method, which is an income approach based on estimated financial projections developed by management for the acquired company using market participant assumptions and various non-compete scenarios.

Expiration lists, non-compete agreements and trade names related to our acquisitions are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives (ten years for trade names, three to fifteen years for expiration lists and three to five years for non-compete agreements), while goodwill is not subject to amortization. We use the straight-line method to amortize these intangible assets because the pattern of their economic benefits cannot be reasonably determined with any certainty. We review all of our intangible assets for impairment periodically (at least annually) and whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. In reviewing intangible assets, if the fair value is less than the carrying amount of the respective (or underlying) asset, an indicator of impairment would exist and further analysis would be required to determine whether or not a loss would need to be charged against current period earnings. Based on the results of impairment reviews during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, we wrote off \$0.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, of amortizable intangible assets related to the brokerage segment. No such indicators were noted in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Of the \$225.1 million of expiration lists, \$4.8 million of non-compete agreements and \$0.6 million of trade names related to our 2012 acquisitions, \$121.5 million, \$2.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, is not expected to be deductible for income tax purposes. Accordingly, we recorded a deferred tax liability of \$40.4 million and a corresponding amount of goodwill in 2012 related to the nondeductible amortizable intangible assets.

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we issued 425,000 shares of our common stock and paid \$3.4 million in cash related to earnout obligations of four acquisitions made prior to 2009 and recorded additional goodwill of \$0.1 million. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we issued 153,000 shares of our common stock, paid \$7.3 million in cash and accrued \$10.2 million in liabilities related to earnout obligations of seventeen acquisitions made prior to 2009 and recorded additional goodwill of \$11.7 million.

Our consolidated financial statements for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 include the operations of the acquired entities from their respective acquisition dates. The following is a summary of the unaudited pro forma historical results, as if these entities had been acquired at January 1, 2011 (in millions, except per share data):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Total revenues	\$ 653.6	\$ 601.8	\$ 1,902.8	\$ 1,679.8
Net earnings	62.0	49.2	165.6	115.7
Basic net earnings per share	0.50	0.42	1.35	0.99
Diluted net earnings per share	0.49	0.41	1.34	0.99

The unaudited pro forma results above have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of the results of operations which actually would have resulted had these acquisitions occurred at January 1, 2011, nor are they necessarily indicative of future operating results. Annualized revenues of the businesses acquired during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 totaled approximately \$155.5 million. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, total revenues and net earnings recorded in our unaudited consolidated statement of earnings related to our 2012 acquisitions in the aggregate were \$62.6 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

4. Intangible Assets

The carrying amount of goodwill at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 allocated by domestic and foreign operations is as follows (in millions):

	Brokerage	Risk Management	Corporate	Total
At September 30, 2012				
United States	\$ 1,085.9	\$ 18.5	\$	\$ 1,104.4
Foreign, principally Australia, Canada and the U.K.	267.4	2.1		269.5
Total goodwill net	\$ 1,353.3	\$ 20.6	\$	\$ 1,373.9
At December 31, 2011				
United States	\$ 951.0	\$ 18.5	\$	\$ 969.5
Foreign, principally Australia, Canada and the U.K.	185.6	0.2		185.8
Total goodwill net	\$ 1,136.6	\$ 18.7	\$	\$ 1,155.3

Table of Contents

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 are as follows (in millions):

	Brokerage	Risk Management	Corporate	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 1,136.6	\$ 18.7	\$	\$ 1,155.3
Goodwill acquired during the period	210.1			210.1
Goodwill related to earnouts recognized during the period	0.1			0.1
Goodwill adjustments due to appraisals and other acquisition adjustments	(0.6)	(0.2)		(0.8)
Goodwill related to transfers of operations between segments	(2.0)	2.0		
Foreign currency translation adjustments during the period	9.1	0.1		9.2
Balance as of September 30, 2012	\$ 1,353.3	\$ 20.6	\$	\$ 1,373.9

Major classes of amortizable intangible assets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consist of the following (in millions):

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Expiration lists	\$ 1,066.8	\$ 837.5
Accumulated amortization - expiration lists	(367.3)	(296.7)
	699.5	540.8
Non-compete agreements	30.4	26.3
Accumulated amortization - non-compete agreements	(22.9)	(21.3)
	7.5	5.0
Trade name	20.5	19.0
Accumulated amortization - trade name	(4.8)	(3.3)
	15.7	15.7
Net amortizable assets	\$ 722.7	\$ 561.5

Estimated aggregate amortization expense for each of the next five years is as follows:

2012 (remaining three months)	\$ 25.7
2013	102.4
2014	99.7
2015	94.5
2016	89.0
Total	\$ 411.3

Table of Contents

5. Credit and Other Debt Agreements

Note Purchase Agreement - We are a party to an amended and restated note purchase agreement dated December 19, 2007, with certain accredited institutional investors, pursuant to which we issued and sold \$100.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 6.26% Senior Notes, Series A, due August 3, 2014 and \$300.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 6.44% Senior Notes, Series B, due August 3, 2017, in a private placement. These notes require semi-annual payments of interest that are due in February and August of each year.

We are also a party to a note purchase agreement dated November 30, 2009, with certain accredited institutional investors, pursuant to which we issued and sold \$150.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5.85% Senior Notes, Series C, due in three equal installments on November 30, 2016, November 30, 2018 and November 30, 2019, in a private placement. These notes require semi-annual payments of interest that are due in May and November of each year.

We are also a party to a note purchase agreement dated February 10, 2011, with certain accredited institutional investors, pursuant to which we issued and sold \$75.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5.18% Senior Notes, Series D, due February 10, 2021 and \$50.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5.49% Senior Notes, Series E, due February 10, 2023, in a private placement. These notes require semi-annual payments of interest that are due in February and August of each year.

We are also a party to a note purchase agreement dated July 10, 2012, with certain accredited institutional investors, pursuant to which we issued and sold \$50.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 3.99% Senior Notes, Series F, due July 10, 2020, in a private placement. These notes require semi-annual payments of interest that are due in January and July of each year.

Under the terms of the note purchase agreements, we may redeem the notes at any time, in whole or in part, at 100% of the principal amount of such notes being redeemed, together with accrued and unpaid interest and a make-whole amount. The make-whole amount is derived from a net present value computation of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest using a discount rate based on U.S. Treasury yields plus 0.5% and is designed to compensate the purchasers of the notes for their investment risk in the event prevailing interest rates at the time of prepayment are less favorable than the interest rates under the notes. We do not currently intend to prepay the notes.

The note purchase agreements contain customary provisions for transactions of this type, including representations and warranties regarding us and our subsidiaries and various financial covenants, including covenants that require us to maintain specified financial ratios. We were in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2012. The note purchase agreements also provide customary events of default, generally with corresponding grace periods, including, without limitation, payment defaults with respect to the notes, covenant defaults, cross-defaults to other agreements evidencing our or our subsidiaries' indebtedness, certain judgments against us or our subsidiaries and events of bankruptcy involving us or our material subsidiaries.

The notes issued under the note purchase agreements are senior unsecured obligations of ours and rank equal in right of payment with our Credit Agreement discussed below.

Credit Agreement - On July 15, 2010, we entered into an unsecured multicurrency credit agreement (which we refer to as the Credit Agreement), which expires on July 14, 2014, with a group of twelve financial institutions.

The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit commitment of up to \$500.0 million, of which up to \$75.0 million may be used for issuances of standby or commercial letters of credit and up to \$50.0 million may be used for the making of swing loans, as defined in the Credit Agreement. We may from time to time request, subject to certain conditions, an increase in the revolving credit commitment up to a maximum aggregate revolving credit commitment of \$600.0 million.

The Credit Agreement provides that we may elect that each borrowing in U.S. dollars be either base rate loans or Eurocurrency loans, as defined in the Credit Agreement. All loans denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars will be Eurocurrency loans. Interest rates on base rate loans and outstanding drawings on letters of credit in U.S. dollars under the Credit Agreement are based on the base rate, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Interest rates on Eurocurrency loans or outstanding drawings on letters of credit in currencies other than U.S. dollars are based on an adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate, as defined in the Credit Agreement, plus a margin of 1.45%, 1.65%, 1.85% or 2.00%, depending on the financial leverage ratio we maintain. Interest rates on swing loans are based, at our election, on either the base rate, as defined in the Credit Agreement, or such alternate rate as may be quoted by the lead lender. The annual facility fee related to the Credit Agreement is either .30%, .35%, .40% or .50% of the used and unused portions of the revolving credit commitment, depending on the financial leverage ratio we maintain.

Table of Contents

The terms of our Credit Agreement include various financial covenants, including covenants that require us to maintain specified levels of net worth and financial leverage ratios. We were in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2012. The Credit Agreement also includes customary events of default, with corresponding grace periods, including, without limitation, payment defaults, cross-defaults to other agreements evidencing indebtedness and bankruptcy-related defaults.

At September 30, 2012, \$15.9 million of letters of credit (for which we had \$8.6 million of liabilities recorded at September 30, 2012) were outstanding under the Credit Agreement. There were no borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2012. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2012, \$484.1 million remained available for potential borrowings under the Credit Agreement, of which \$59.1 million may be in the form of additional letters of credit.

See Note 12 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements for additional discussion on our contractual obligations and commitments as of September 30, 2012.

The following is a summary of our corporate debt (in millions):

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Note Purchase Agreements:		
Semi-annual payments of interest, fixed rate of 6.26%, balloon due 2014	\$ 100.0	\$ 100.0
Semi-annual payments of interest, fixed rate of 6.44%, balloon due 2017	300.0	300.0
Semi-annual payments of interest, fixed rate of 5.85%, \$50 million due in 2016, 2018 and 2019	150.0	150.0
Semi-annual payments of interest, fixed rate of 5.18%, balloon due 2021	75.0	75.0
Semi-annual payments of interest, fixed rate of 5.49%, balloon due 2023	50.0	50.0
Semi-annual payments of interest, fixed rate of 3.99%, balloon due 2020	50.0	
Total Note Purchase Agreements	725.0	675.0
Credit Agreement:		
Periodic payments of interest and principal, prime or LIBOR plus up to 2.00%, expires July 14, 2014		10.0
	\$ 725.0	\$ 685.0

The fair value of the \$725.0 million in debt under the note purchase agreements at September 30, 2012 was \$826.8 million due to the long-term duration and fixed interest rates associated with these debt obligations. No active or observable market exists for our private placement long-term debt. Therefore, the estimated fair value of this debt is based on discounted future cash flows, which is a Level 3 fair value measurement, using current interest rates available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. To estimate an all-in interest rate for discounting, we obtain market quotes for notes with the same terms as ours, which we have deemed to be the closest approximation of current market rates. We have not adjusted this rate for risk profile changes, covenant issues or credit ratings changes.

Table of Contents**6. Earnings Per Share**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net earnings per share (in millions, except per share data):

	Three-month period ended		Nine-month period ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net earnings	\$ 61.7	\$ 46.7	\$ 161.5	\$ 103.6
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	123.1	112.6	119.7	111.0
Dilutive effect of stock options using the treasury stock method	1.4	0.5	1.5	0.7
Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding	124.5	113.1	121.2	111.7
Basic net earnings per share	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.93
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.93

Options to purchase 1.4 million and 5.0 million shares of common stock were outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of the dilutive effect of stock options for the three-month periods then ended. Options to purchase 1.0 million and 3.8 million shares of common stock were outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of the dilutive effect of stock options for the nine-month periods then ended. These options were excluded from the computation because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of our common shares during the respective period, and therefore would be anti-dilutive to earnings per share under the treasury stock method.

7. Stock Option Plans**Long-Term Incentive Plan**

On May 10, 2011, our stockholders approved the Arthur J. Gallagher 2011 Long-Term Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the LTIP), which replaced our previous stockholder-approved Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the 2009 LTIP). The LTIP term began May 10, 2011 and it terminates on the date of the annual meeting of stockholders that occurs during the year of the seventh anniversary of its effective date, unless terminated earlier by our board of directors. All of our officers, employees and non-employee directors are eligible to receive awards under the LTIP. The compensation committee of our board of directors determines the participants under the LTIP. The LTIP provides for non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units, any or all of which may be made contingent upon the achievement of performance criteria. A stock appreciation right entitles the holder to receive, upon exercise and subject to withholding taxes, cash or shares of our common stock (which may be restricted stock) with a value equal to the difference between the fair market value of our common stock on the exercise date and the base price of the stock appreciation right. Subject to the LTIP limits, the compensation committee has the discretionary authority to determine the size of awards.

Shares of our common stock available for issuance under the LTIP include authorized and unissued shares of common stock or authorized and issued shares of common stock reacquired and held as treasury shares or otherwise, or a combination thereof. The number of available shares will be reduced by the aggregate number of shares that become subject to outstanding awards granted under the LTIP. To the extent that shares subject to an outstanding award granted under either the LTIP or the 2009 LTIP are not issued or delivered by reason of the expiration, termination, cancellation or forfeiture of such award or by reason of the settlement of such award in cash, then such shares will again be available for grant under the LTIP. Shares that are subject to a stock appreciation right and were not issued upon the net settlement or net exercise of such stock appreciation right, shares that are used to pay the exercise price of an option, delivered to or withheld by us to pay withholding taxes, and shares that are purchased on the open market with the proceeds of an option exercise, may not again be made available for issuance.

Table of Contents

The maximum number of shares available under the LTIP for restricted stock, restricted stock unit awards and performance unit awards settled with stock (i.e., all awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights) is 1.2 million. To the extent necessary to be qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (which we refer to as the IRC): (i) the maximum number of shares with respect to which options or stock appreciation rights or a combination thereof that may be granted during any fiscal year to any person is 200,000; (ii) the maximum number of shares with respect to which performance-based restricted stock or restricted stock units that may be granted during any fiscal year to any person is 100,000; and (iii) the maximum amount that may be payable with respect to performance units granted during any fiscal year to any person is \$3.0 million.

The LTIP provides for the grant of stock options, which may be either tax-qualified incentive stock options or non-qualified options and stock appreciation rights. The compensation committee determines the period for the exercise of a non-qualified stock option, tax-qualified incentive stock option or stock appreciation right, provided that no option can be exercised later than seven years after its date of grant. The exercise price of a non-qualified stock option or tax-qualified incentive stock option and the base price of a stock appreciation right cannot be less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the date of grant, provided that the base price of a stock appreciation right granted in tandem with an option will be the exercise price of the related option.

Upon exercise, the option exercise price may be paid in cash, by the delivery of previously owned shares of our common stock, through a net-exercise arrangement, or through a broker-assisted cashless exercise arrangement. The compensation committee determines all of the terms relating to the exercise, cancellation or other disposition of an option or stock appreciation right upon a termination of employment, whether by reason of disability, retirement, death or any other reason. Stock option and stock appreciation right awards under the LTIP are non-transferable.

On March 16, 2012, the compensation committee granted 1,355,000 options to our officers and key employees that become exercisable at the rate of 34%, 33% and 33% on the anniversary date of the grant in 2015, 2016 and 2017, respectively. On March 8, 2011, the compensation committee granted 851,000 options under the 2009 LTIP to our officers and key employees that become exercisable at the rate of 20% per year on each anniversary date of the grant. The 2012 and 2011 options expire seven years from the date of grant, or earlier in the event of certain terminations of employment.

Other Information

All of our stock option plans provide for the immediate vesting of all outstanding stock option grants in the event of a change in control of our company, as defined in the applicable plan documents.

During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of compensation expense related to our stock option grants. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$5.2 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, of compensation expense related to our stock option grants.

For purposes of expense recognition, the estimated fair values of the stock option grants are amortized to expense over the options vesting period. We estimated the fair value of stock options at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2012	2011
Expected dividend yield	4.0%	4.5%
Expected risk-free interest rate	1.2%	2.7%
Volatility	26.9%	26.8%
Expected life (in years)	5.0	6.0

Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. Because our employee and director stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the selective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of our employee and non-employee director stock options. The weighted average fair value per option for all options granted during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, as determined on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was \$5.49 and \$5.25, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of our stock option activity and related information for 2012 (in millions, except exercise price and year data):

	Nine-month period ended September 30, 2012			
	Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Beginning balance	10.6	\$ 27.20		
Granted	1.4	35.71		
Exercised	(2.1)	25.98		
Forfeited or canceled	(0.1)	30.87		
Ending balance	9.8	\$ 28.62	3.54	\$ 70.3
Exercisable at end of period	5.8	\$ 27.38	2.68	\$ 49.0
Ending vested and expected to vest	9.7	\$ 28.58	3.52	\$ 70.1

Options with respect to 10.0 million shares (less any shares of restricted stock issued under the LTIP see Note 9 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements) were available for grant under the LTIP at September 30, 2012.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$19.1 million and \$6.9 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, we had approximately \$19.2 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested options. We expect to recognize that expense over a weighted average period of approximately four years.

Other information regarding stock options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2012 is summarized as follows (in millions, except exercise price and year data):

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 5.79 - \$ 24.90	2.4	2.92	\$ 24.25	1.6	\$ 24.27
24.99 - 27.25	2.4	3.11	26.94	1.7	26.94
27.35 - 29.42	2.2	2.59	29.10	1.8	29.11
29.45 - 35.71	2.8	5.19	33.44	0.7	31.51
\$ 5.79 - \$ 35.95	9.8	3.54	\$ 28.62	5.8	\$ 27.38

8. Deferred Compensation

We have a Deferred Equity Participation Plan, which is a non-qualified plan that generally provides for distributions to certain of our key executives when they reach age 62 or after their actual retirement. Under the provisions of the plan, we typically contribute shares of our

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

common stock or cash, in an amount approved by the compensation committee, to a rabbi trust on behalf of the executives participating in the plan. Distributions under the plan may not normally be made until the participant reaches age 62 and are subject to forfeiture in the event of voluntary termination of employment prior to age 62. All distributions of stock contributions from the plan, except for accumulated non-invested dividends, are made in the form of our common stock and all distributions of cash contributions are distributed in cash.

- 19 -

Table of Contents

Our common stock that is issued under the plan to the rabbi trust is valued at historical cost, which equals its fair market value at the date of grant. When common stock is issued, we record an unearned deferred compensation obligation as a reduction of capital in excess of par value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, which is amortized to compensation expense ratably over the vesting period of the participants. Future changes in the fair market value of our common stock owed to the participants do not have any impact on the amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements. During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we charged \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, to stock-based compensation expense related to this plan. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we charged \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, to stock-based compensation expense related to this plan. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we recorded \$5.9 million (related to 610,000 shares) and \$6.8 million (related to 629,000 shares), respectively, of unearned deferred compensation as a reduction of capital in excess of par value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The total intrinsic value of our unvested common stock under the plan at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$21.9 million and \$21.0 million, respectively.

In first quarter 2012 and 2011, the compensation committee approved \$7.3 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, of cash awards in the aggregate to certain key executives under the Deferred Equity Participation Plan that were contributed to the rabbi trust in first quarter 2012 and first quarter 2011, respectively. The fair value of the funded cash award assets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$40.5 million and \$28.6 million, respectively, and has been included in other noncurrent assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we charged \$1.2 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, to compensation expense related to these cash awards. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we charged \$3.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, to compensation expense related to these cash awards. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, cash and equity awards with an aggregate fair value of \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million were vested and distributed to executives under this plan.

9. Restricted Stock and Cash Awards**Restricted Stock Awards**

As discussed in Note 7 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements, on May 10, 2011, our stockholders approved the LTIP, which replaced our previous stockholder approved 2009 LTIP. The LTIP provides for the grant of a stock award either as restricted stock or as restricted stock units. In either case, the compensation committee may determine that the award will be subject to the attainment of performance measures over an established performance period. Stock awards are non-transferable and subject to forfeiture if the holder does not remain continuously employed with us during the applicable restriction period or, in the case of a performance-based award, if applicable performance measures are not attained. The compensation committee will determine all of the terms relating to the satisfaction of performance measures and the termination of a restriction period, or the forfeiture and cancellation of a restricted stock award upon a termination of employment, whether by reason of disability, retirement, death or any other reason. The compensation committee may grant unrestricted shares of common stock or units representing the right to receive shares of common stock to employees who have attained age 62.

The agreements awarding restricted stock units will specify whether such award may be settled in shares of our common stock, cash or a combination of shares and cash and whether the holder will be entitled to receive dividend equivalents, on a current or deferred basis, with respect to such award. Prior to the settlement of a restricted stock unit, the holder of a restricted stock unit will have no rights as a stockholder of the company. The maximum number of shares available under the LTIP for restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units settled with stock (i.e., all awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights) is 1.2 million. At September 30, 2012, 0.9 million shares were available for grant under the LTIP for such awards.

In first quarter 2012 and 2011, we granted 332,000 and 200,000 units, respectively, of our common stock to employees under the LTIP and 2009 LTIP, respectively, with an aggregate fair value of \$11.9 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, at the date of grant. These 2012 and 2011 restricted stock awards (consisting of restricted stock units) vest as follows: 332,000 units granted in first quarter 2012 and 200,000 units granted in first quarter 2011, vest in full based on continued employment through March 16, 2016 and March 8, 2015, respectively. In second quarter 2012 and 2011, we granted 20,000 and 20,000 units, respectively, of our common stock to non-employee directors under the LTIP and 2009 LTIP, respectively, with an aggregate fair value of \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, at the date of grant. These grants vest in full one year from the date of grant.

Table of Contents

We account for restricted stock awards at historical cost, which equals its fair market value at the date of grant. When restricted stock units are granted, the aggregate amount to be expensed is determined based on the fair value of our common stock at the date of grant and the number of units granted, which is then amortized to stock-based compensation expense (and an increase to capital in excess of par value) ratably over the vesting period of the participants. Future changes in the fair value of our common stock that is owed to the participants do not have any impact on the amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements. During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we charged \$1.7 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, to compensation expense related to restricted stock unit awards granted in 2006 through 2012. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we charged \$5.5 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, to compensation expense related to restricted stock unit awards granted in 2006 through 2012. The total intrinsic value of unvested restricted stock units at September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$33.8 million and \$23.2 million, respectively. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, equity awards (including accrued dividends) with an aggregate fair value of \$7.2 million and \$3.9 million were vested and distributed to employees under this plan.

Cash Awards

On March 16, 2012, pursuant to our Performance Unit Program (which we refer to as the Program), the compensation committee approved provisional cash awards of \$13.1 million in the aggregate for future grant to our officers and key employees that are denominated in units (368,000 units in the aggregate), each of which was equivalent to the value of one share of our common stock on the date the provisional award was approved. The Program consists of a one-year performance period based on our financial performance and a two-year vesting period. At the discretion of the compensation committee and as determined based on our performance, the officer or key employee will be granted a percentage of the provisional cash award units that equates to the EBITAC growth achieved (as defined in the Program). At the end of the performance period, eligible employees will be granted a number of units based on achievement of the performance goal and subject to approval by the compensation committee. Granted units for the 2012 provisional award will fully vest based on continuous employment through January 1, 2015. The ultimate award value will be equal to the trailing twelve-month stock price on December 31, 2014, multiplied by the number of units subject to the award, but limited to between 0.5 and 1.5 times the original value of the units determined as of the grant date. The fair value of the granted units will be paid out in cash as soon as practicable in 2015. If an eligible employee leaves us prior to the vesting date, the entire award will be forfeited. We did not recognize any compensation expense during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 related to the 2012 provisional award under the Program.

On March 8, 2011, pursuant to the Program, the compensation committee approved provisional cash awards of \$14.4 million in the aggregate for future grant to our officers and key employees that were denominated in units (464,000 units in the aggregate), each of which was equivalent to the value of one share of our common stock on the date the provisional award was approved. Terms of the 2011 provisional award were similar to the terms discussed above for the 2012 provisional award. Based on our performance for 2011, we granted 432,000 units under the Program in first quarter 2012 that will fully vest on January 1, 2014. During the three-month period ended September 30, 2012, we charged \$1.9 million to compensation expense related to these awards. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we charged \$5.7 million to compensation expense related to these awards.

On March 2, 2010, pursuant to the Program, the compensation committee approved provisional cash awards of \$17.0 million in the aggregate for future grant to our officers and key employees that are denominated in units (706,000 units in the aggregate), each of which is equivalent to the value of one share of our common stock on the date the provisional award was approved. Terms of the 2010 provisional award were similar to the terms discussed above for the 2012 provisional award. However, based on company performance for 2010, we did not grant any units in 2011 related to the 2010 provisional award under the Program. We did not recognize any compensation expense during 2012 or 2011 related to this provisional award.

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, cash awards related to the 2009 provisional award with an aggregate fair value of \$26.5 million (1.1 million units in the aggregate) were vested and distributed to employees under the Program. No cash awards were vested or distributed during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011 related to the 2008 provisional award because, based on our performance for 2008, we did not grant any units in 2009 related to the 2008 provisional award under the Program.

Table of Contents**10. Retirement Plans**

We have a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan that, prior to July 1, 2005, covered substantially all of our domestic employees who had attained a specified age and one year of employment. Benefits under the plan were based on years of service and salary history. In 2005, we amended our defined benefit pension plan to freeze the accrual of future benefits for all domestic employees, effective on July 1, 2005. In the table below, the service cost component represents plan administration costs that are incurred directly by the plan.

The components of the net periodic pension benefit cost for the plan consists of the following (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3
Interest cost on benefit obligation	3.0	3.0	9.0	9.0
Expected return on plan assets	(3.8)	(3.8)	(11.4)	(11.3)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	1.8	0.4	5.4	1.2
Net periodic benefit (income) cost	\$ 1.1	\$ (0.3)	\$ 3.3	\$ (0.8)

We are not required under the IRC to make any minimum contributions to the plan for the 2012 plan year. We were required under the IRC to make a minimum contribution of \$0.3 million to the plan for the 2011 plan year. This level of required funding is based on the plan being frozen and the aggregate amount of our historical funding. During each of the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we made discretionary contributions of \$5.4 million to the plan. We are considering making additional discretionary contributions to the plan in 2012 and may be required to make significantly larger minimum contributions to the plan in future periods.

11. Investments

The following is a summary of our investments reported in other current and non-current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and the related funding commitments (in millions):

	September 30, 2012		December 31,
	Assets	Funding Commitments	2011 Assets
Chem-Mod LLC	\$ 5.1	\$	\$ 2.9
Clean-coal investments			
Non-controlling interest in five limited liability companies that own twelve 2009 Era Clean Coal Plants	8.1	0.1	8.9
Controlling interest in a limited liability company that owns two 2009 Era Clean Coal Plants	1.4		1.5
Non-controlling interest in six limited liability companies that own five 2011 Era Clean Coal Plants	10.3	3.3	
Controlling interest in a limited liability company that owns ten 2011 Era Clean Coal Plants	8.9	8.6	33.4
Notes receivable and interest from co-investor related to the sales of three 2009 Era Plants	8.2		8.0
Other investments	3.3	3.0	2.0
Total investments	\$ 45.3	\$ 15.0	\$ 56.7

Chem-Mod LLC - We hold a 42% controlling interest in Chem-Mod LLC, which possesses the exclusive marketing rights in the U.S. and Canada, for technologies used to reduce unwanted emissions created during the combustion of coal. The clean coal production plants discussed below, as well as those owned by other unrelated parties, license and use Chem-Mod's technologies, The Chem-Mod Solution, in the production of refined coal. The Chem-Mod Solution uses a dual injection sorbent system to reduce mercury, sulfur dioxide and other toxic emissions at

coal-fired power plants.

Table of Contents

We believe that the application of The Chem-Mod Solution qualifies for refined coal tax credits under IRC Section 45 when used by clean coal production plants placed in service by December 31, 2011. Chem-Mod has been marketing its technologies principally to coal-fired power plants owned by utility companies, including those utilities that are operating with the IRC Section 45 clean coal production plants in which we hold an investment. To date, the Chem-Mod technologies have been permitted for use by coal-fired utilities in sixteen states. Six other states are considering similar approvals.

Chem-Mod is determined to be a variable interest entity (which we refer to as a VIE). We are the controlling manager of Chem-Mod and therefore consolidate its operations into our consolidated financial statements. At September 30, 2012, total assets and total liabilities of this VIE included in our consolidated balance sheet were \$5.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, total revenues and expenses were \$19.1 million and \$11.5 million (including non-controlling interest of \$10.4 million), respectively. We are under no obligation to fund Chem-Mod's operations in the future.

Chem-Mod International LLC - At September 30, 2012, we held a non-controlling 20% interest in Chem-Mod International LLC, which has the rights to market The Chem-Mod Solution in countries other than the U.S. and Canada. Such marketing activity has been limited to date.

C-Quest Technology LLC - At September 30, 2012, we held a non-controlling 8% interest in C-Quest's global operation. C-Quest possesses rights, information and technology for the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions created by burning fossil fuels. Thus far, C-Quest's operations have been limited to laboratory testing. C-Quest is determined to be a VIE, but due to our lack of control over the operation of C-Quest, we do not consolidate this investment into our consolidated financial statements. We also have options to acquire an additional 19% interest in C-Quest's global operations for \$9.5 million at any time on or prior to August 1, 2013.

Clean Coal Investments

We have investments in limited liability companies that own 29 clean coal production plants which produce refined coal using proprietary technologies owned by Chem-Mod. We believe the production at these plants is qualified to receive refined coal tax credits under IRC Section 45. The fourteen plants which were placed in service prior to December 31, 2009 (which we refer to as the 2009 Era Plants) can receive tax credits through 2019 and the fifteen plants which were placed in service prior to December 31, 2011 (which we refer to as the 2011 Era Plants) can receive tax credits through 2021.

2009 Era Plants - Twelve plants are operating under long-term production contracts and we are seeking long-term production agreements and co-investors for the other two plants.

2011 Era Plants - Five plants are operating under long-term production contracts. We have signed long-term production contracts for two plants that may resume production prior to December 31, 2012. We have signed a long-term production agreement for one plant that may resume production in early 2013. We are in negotiations for long-term production agreements for three plants that may resume production in mid-2013. We have agreements in principle with co-investors for the sale of majority ownership interests in four plants. We are seeking long-term production agreements for the remaining four plants.

For all plants that are not yet operating, we estimate that we will invest an additional \$2.0 to \$3.0 million per plant, net of co-investor funding, to connect and house each of them. We plan to sell majority ownership interests in such plants to co-investors and relinquish control of the plants thereby becoming a non-controlling, minority investor.

Pursuant to connecting and housing one 2009 Era Plant and two 2011 Era Plants, each of which are not currently operating, we have invested \$2.2 million in capital expenditures and are currently committed to an additional \$8.7 million under engineering and construction contracts. In addition, we are committed to a total of \$3.3 million of capital improvements to two other 2011 Era Plants that are currently operating.

Twelve of the 2009 Era Plants and five of the 2011 Era Plants are owned by limited liability companies, which we have determined to be VIEs. In 2010, we sold majority ownership interests in the limited liability companies that own the twelve 2009 Era Plants and

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

became a non-controlling, minority investor, effective March 1, 2010. In 2012, we sold majority ownership interests in six limited liability companies that own the

- 23 -

Table of Contents

five 2011 Era Plants and became a non-controlling, minority investor, effective January 1, 2012. The membership agreements for the operations of each of these entities contain provisions that preclude an individual member from being able to make major decisions that would denote control. As a result of these sale transactions, we deconsolidated these entities and because we do not control the operations of these entities, we account for the investments using equity method accounting. At September 30, 2012, total assets and total liabilities of these VIEs were \$110.7 million and \$57.6 million, respectively. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, total revenues and expenses were \$550.3 million and \$609.7 million, respectively. Each investor funds its portion of the operations of the limited liability companies in proportion to its investment ownership percentage. There are no additional debts that we are committed to fund related to these investments.

As of September 30, 2012, we have a promissory note from a co-investor as part of the consideration for the sale of ownership interests in three of the 2009 Era Plants. The note bears interest at 4.7% per annum and is due in installments through February 15, 2020. As of September 30, 2012, the carrying value of the note, including interest, was \$8.2 million.

Other Investments - At September 30, 2012, we owned a non-controlling, minority interest in three venture capital funds totaling \$2.8 million, a 20% non-controlling interest in an investment management company totaling \$0.5 million, twelve certified low-income housing developments with zero carrying value and two real estate entities with zero carrying value. The low-income housing developments and real estate entities have been determined to be VIEs, but are not required to be consolidated due to our lack of control over their respective operations. At September 30, 2012, total assets and total debt of these VIEs were approximately \$60.0 million and \$20.0 million, respectively.

12. Commitments, Contingencies and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In connection with our investing and operating activities, we have entered into certain contractual obligations and commitments. See Notes 5 and 11 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements for additional discussion of these obligations and commitments. Our future minimum cash payments, including interest, associated with our contractual obligations pursuant to the note purchase agreements and Credit Agreement, operating leases and purchase commitments at September 30, 2012 were as follows (in millions):

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period						Total
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	
Note purchase agreements	\$	\$	\$ 100.0	\$	\$ 50.0	\$ 575.0	\$ 725.0
Credit Agreement							
Interest expense on debt	4.4	43.0	43.0	36.7	36.7	77.1	240.9
Total debt obligations	4.4	43.0	143.0	36.7	86.7	652.1	965.9
Operating lease obligations	18.7	64.4	48.4	40.7	30.4	53.2	255.8
Less sublease arrangements	(3.2)	(2.0)	(1.5)	(0.6)			(7.3)
Outstanding purchase obligations	4.1	9.2	5.7	1.5	1.3	0.3	22.1
Total contractual obligations	\$ 24.0	\$ 114.6	\$ 195.6	\$ 78.3	\$ 118.4	\$ 705.6	\$ 1,236.5

The amounts presented in the table above may not necessarily reflect our actual future cash funding requirements, because the actual timing of the future payments made may vary from the stated contractual obligation.

Note Purchase Agreements and Credit Agreement - See Note 5 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the terms of the note purchase agreements and the Credit Agreement.

Operating Lease Obligations - Our corporate segment's executive offices and certain subsidiary and branch facilities of our brokerage and risk management segments are located at Two Pierce Place, Itasca, Illinois, where we lease approximately 306,000 square feet of space, or approximately 60% of the building. The lease commitment on this property expires February 28, 2018.

We generally operate in leased premises at our other locations. Certain of these leases have options permitting renewals for additional periods. In addition to minimum fixed rentals, a number of leases contain annual escalation clauses which are generally related to increases in an inflation index.

Table of Contents

We have leased certain office space to several non-affiliated tenants under operating sublease arrangements. In the normal course of business, we expect that the leases will not be renewed or replaced. We adjust charges for real estate taxes and common area maintenance annually based on actual expenses, and we recognize the related revenues in the year in which the expenses are incurred. These amounts are not included in the minimum future rentals to be received in the contractual obligations table above.

Outstanding Purchase Obligations - As a service company, we typically do not have a material amount of outstanding purchase obligations at any point in time. The amount disclosed in the contractual obligations table above represents the aggregate amount of unrecorded purchase obligations that we had outstanding as of September 30, 2012. These obligations represent agreements to purchase goods or services that were executed in the normal course of business.

Off-Balance Sheet Commitments - Our total unrecorded commitments associated with outstanding letters of credit and funding commitments as of September 30, 2012 were as follows (in millions):

Off-Balance Sheet Commitments	Amount of Commitment Expiration by Period						Total Amounts Committed
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	
Letters of credit	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 15.9	\$ 15.9
Funding commitments		12.2				2.8	15.0
Total commitments	\$ 12.2	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 18.7	\$ 30.9

Since commitments may expire unused, the amounts presented in the table above do not necessarily reflect our actual future cash funding requirements. See Note 11 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our funding commitments related to our corporate segment and the Off-Balance Sheet Debt section below for a discussion of our letters of credit. All of the letters of credit represent multiple year commitments that have annual, automatic renewing provisions and are classified by the latest commitment date.

Since January 1, 2002, we have acquired 226 companies, all of which were accounted for using the acquisition method for recording business combinations. Substantially all of the purchase agreements related to these acquisitions contain provisions for potential earnout obligations. For all of our 2009 to 2012 acquisitions that contain potential earnout obligations, such obligations are measured at fair value as of the acquisition date and are included on that basis in the recorded purchase price consideration for the respective acquisition. The amounts recorded as earnout payables are primarily based upon estimated future operating results of the acquired entities over a two- to three-year period subsequent to the acquisition date. The aggregate amount of maximum potential earnout obligations related to these acquisitions was \$314.4 million, of which \$114.8 million was recorded in our consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2012 based on the estimated fair value of the expected future payments to be made.

Off-Balance Sheet Debt - Our unconsolidated investment portfolio includes investments in enterprises where our ownership interest is between 1% and 50%, in which management has determined that our level of influence and economic interest is not sufficient to require consolidation. As a result, these investments are accounted for using the equity method. None of these unconsolidated investments had any outstanding debt at September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011 that was recourse to us.

At September 30, 2012, we had posted two letters of credit totaling \$10.2 million, in the aggregate, related to our self-insurance deductibles, for which we had a recorded liability of \$8.6 million. We have an equity investment in a rent-a-captive facility, which we use as a placement facility for certain of our insurance brokerage operations. At September 30, 2012, we had posted \$5.7 million of letters of credit to allow the rent-a-captive facility to meet minimum statutory surplus requirements and for additional collateral related to premium and claim funds held in a fiduciary capacity. These letters of credit have never been drawn upon.

Litigation - We are the defendant in various legal actions related to employment matters and otherwise incident to the nature of our business. We believe we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously in all unresolved legal actions. In addition, we are the plaintiff in certain legal actions with and relating to former employees regarding alleged breaches of non-compete or other restrictive covenants, theft of trade secrets, breaches of fiduciary duties and related causes of action. Neither the outcomes of these legal actions nor their effect upon our business, financial condition or results of operations can be determined at this time.

Table of Contents

Contingent Liabilities - We purchase insurance to provide protection from errors and omissions (which we refer to as E&O) claims that may arise during the ordinary course of business. We currently retain the first \$5.0 million of each and every E&O claim. Our E&O insurance provides aggregate coverage for E&O losses up to \$175.0 million in excess of our retained amounts. We have historically maintained self-insurance reserves for the portion of our E&O exposure that is not insured. We periodically determine a range of possible reserve levels using actuarial techniques that rely heavily on projecting historical claim data into the future. Our E&O reserve in the September 30, 2012 consolidated balance sheet is above the lower end of the most recently determined actuarial range by \$1.3 million and below the upper end of the actuarial range by \$4.3 million. We can make no assurances that the historical claim data used to project the current reserve levels will be indicative of future claim activity. Thus, the E&O reserve level and corresponding actuarial range could change in the future as more information becomes known, which could materially impact the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

Tax-advantaged Investments No Longer Held - Between 1996 and 2007, we developed and then sold portions of our ownership in various energy related investments, many of which qualified for tax credits under IRC Section 29. In connection with the sales to other investors, we provided various indemnities. At September 30, 2012, the maximum potential amount of future payments that we could be required to make under these indemnification obligations totaled approximately \$195.0 million, net of the applicable income tax benefit. In addition, we recorded tax benefits in connection with our ownership in these investments. At September 30, 2012, we had exposure on \$129.2 million of previously earned tax credits. In 2004, 2007 and 2009, the IRS examined several of these investments and all examinations were closed without any changes being proposed by the IRS. However, any future adverse tax audits, administrative rulings or judicial decisions could disallow previously claimed tax credits or cause us to be subject to liability under our indemnification obligations. Because of the contingent nature of these exposures, no liabilities have been recorded in our September 30, 2012 consolidated balance sheet related to these indemnification obligations.

13. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The after-tax components of our accumulated other comprehensive loss consist of the following:

	Pension Liability	Foreign Currency Translation	Fair Value of Derivative Investments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ (49.0)	\$ 4.4	\$ (2.6)	\$ (47.2)
Net change in period	2.0	17.4	2.0	21.4
Balance as of September 30, 2012	\$ (47.0)	\$ 21.8	\$ (0.6)	\$ (25.8)

The foreign currency translation during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 primarily relates to the net impact of changes in the value of the local currencies relative to the U.S. dollar for our operations in Australia, Canada and the U.K.

14. Segment Information

We have identified three reportable operating segments: brokerage, risk management and corporate.

The brokerage segment is primarily comprised of our retail and wholesale insurance brokerage operations. The brokerage segment generates revenues through commissions paid by insurance underwriters and through fees charged to our clients. Our brokers, agents and administrators act as intermediaries between insurers and their customers and we do not assume underwriting risks.

The risk management segment provides contract claim settlement and administration services for enterprises that choose to self-insure some or all of their property/casualty coverages and for insurance companies that choose to outsource some or all of their property/casualty claims departments. These operations also provide claims management, loss control consulting and insurance property appraisal services. Revenues are principally generated on a negotiated per-claim or per-service fee basis.

The corporate segment manages our clean energy and other investments. This segment also holds all of our corporate debt.

Table of Contents

Allocations of investment income and certain expenses are based on reasonable assumptions and estimates primarily using revenue, headcount and other information. We allocate the provision for income taxes to the brokerage and risk management segments as if those segments were preparing income tax provisions on a separate company basis. Reported operating results by segment would change if different methods were applied.

Financial information relating to our segments for 2012 and 2011 is as follows (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Brokerage				
Total revenues	\$ 479.7	\$ 421.9	\$ 1,338.5	\$ 1,143.1
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 82.0	\$ 75.0	\$ 203.8	\$ 178.6
Identifiable assets at September 30, 2012 and 2011			\$ 3,835.0	\$ 3,220.2
Risk Management				
Total revenues	\$ 142.2	\$ 139.0	\$ 426.9	\$ 403.1
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 18.2	\$ 13.5	\$ 54.9	\$ 34.6
Identifiable assets at September 30, 2012 and 2011			\$ 547.9	\$ 510.0
Corporate				
Total revenues	\$ 28.5	\$ 1.9	\$ 81.7	\$ 10.1
Loss before income taxes	\$ (23.9)	\$ (17.5)	\$ (58.8)	\$ (52.8)
Identifiable assets at September 30, 2012 and 2011			\$ 626.4	\$ 517.0

Table of Contents

Review by Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The interim consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2012 and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 have been reviewed by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, and their report is included herein.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.

We have reviewed the consolidated balance sheet of Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. as of September 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated statement of stockholders' equity for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, not presented herein, and in our report dated February 14, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois

October 31, 2012

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The discussion and analysis that follows relates to our financial condition and results of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012. Readers should review this information in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes included in Item 1 of Part I of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contained in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Information Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (which we refer to as the PSLRA) found at Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (which we refer to as the Exchange Act). Statements contained in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the PSLRA and the Exchange Act.

Forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, discussions concerning liquidity and capital resources, acquisition strategy, revenues, expenses, earnings, cash flow, capital structure and financial losses, as well as market and industry conditions, premium rates, financial markets, interest rates, foreign exchange rates, contingencies and matters relating to our operations and income taxes (including expectations regarding our clean energy investments). In addition, when used in this report, the words anticipates, believes, could, should, estimates, contemplates, expects, intends, plans and variations thereof and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to be materially different than those expressed in our forward-looking statements, including but not limited to the following:

Our revenues, which consist primarily of commissions and fees based on insurance premiums, may vary significantly from period to period as a result of the volatility and cyclical nature of insurance premiums;

The recent recession and the current or any future economic downturn could adversely affect our business in a number of ways, including by causing our clients to purchase less insurance coverage, by leading to a continued reduction in the number of claims we process or by causing insurance companies with which we do business to experience liquidity problems and withdraw from writing certain coverages, or fail;

Our ability to grow has been enhanced through acquisitions, which may or may not be available on acceptable terms in the future and which, if consummated, may or may not be advantageous to us;

Our growing international operations expose us to certain risks such as exchange rate fluctuations, geopolitical risk, and risks related to regulatory requirements, including those imposed by the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U.K. Bribery Act 2010;

We are subject to regulation worldwide including insurance industry and federal and state employment regulation, and such regulations could change at any time;

We are subject to a number of contingencies and legal proceedings that would adversely affect our results, if ultimately determined to be unfavorable to us;

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

The portion of our revenues consisting of contingent and supplemental commissions is less predictable than standard commissions, and our results could be adversely affected if we are unable to meet insurance companies' thresholds for paying these types of commissions, or for contingent commissions, if insurance companies increase their estimates of loss reserves (over which we have no control);

An inability to recruit and retain key personnel (including those that manage our interests in our clean energy investments), or a failure in succession planning for key members of management, could adversely affect our operations;

Rising employee benefits costs (including pension expense) could reduce our profitability;

- 29 -

Table of Contents

Significant uncertainties related to our Internal Revenue Code (which we refer to as the IRC) Section 45-related investments (including uncertainties due to our lack of control over such operations) could negatively impact our ability to take full advantage of our proportionate share of the tax credits they generate;

Our IRC Section 45-related investments could subject us to environmental and product liability claims and environmental compliance costs;

We have direct exposure and may incur significant obligations under tax indemnity agreements relating to historically claimed tax credits under IRC Section 29;

Improper disclosure or theft of our clients' confidential information and the personal data of their employees as a result of a cybersecurity incident or otherwise, could result in legal liability or harm our reputation;

Our debt agreements contain restrictions and covenants that could significantly impact our ability to operate our business;

Changes in our accounting estimates and assumptions could adversely affect our financial position and operating results;

Our success could be compromised if we are unable to keep pace with new technological developments and implement technology solutions for our clients and for internal efficiency purposes; and

A disaster or significant disruption to business continuity could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

The foregoing and other risks and uncertainties are described in more detail in Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements contained in this report, which speak only as of the date set forth on the signature page of this report. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after such date or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Information Regarding Non-GAAP Measures and Other

In this discussion and analysis, we provide information regarding EBITDAC, EBITDAC margin, adjusted EBITDAC, adjusted EBITDAC margin, adjusted EBITDAC margin excluding Heath Lambert, diluted net earnings per share (as adjusted), organic revenue measures for each operating segment, adjusted revenues, adjusted compensation and operating expenses, adjusted compensation expense ratio and adjusted operating expense ratio. These measures are not in accordance with, or an alternative to, the GAAP information provided in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. We believe that these presentations provide useful information to management, analysts and investors regarding financial and business trends relating to our results of operations and financial condition. Our industry peers provide similar supplemental non-GAAP information related to organic revenues and EBITDAC, although they may not use the same or comparable terminology and may not make identical adjustments. The non-GAAP information we provide should be used in addition to, but not as a substitute for, the GAAP information provided. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year amounts reported in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q in order to conform them to the current-year presentation.

Adjusted presentation - We believe that the adjusted presentations of the 2012 and 2011 information presented on the following pages provides stockholders and other interested persons with useful information regarding certain financial metrics of the company that will assist such persons in analyzing our operating results as they develop a future earnings outlook for us. The after-tax amounts related to the adjustments were computed using the normalized effective tax rate for each respective period.

Adjusted revenues, expenses and net earnings - We define these measures as revenues, compensation expense and operating expense, respectively, each adjusted to exclude net gains realized from sales of books of business, New Zealand earthquake claims administration, workforce related charges, lease termination related charges and acquisition related integration costs, as applicable. Acquisition related integration costs include costs related to transactions not expected to occur on an ongoing basis in the future once we fully assimilate the applicable acquisition. These costs are typically associated with redundant workforce, extra lease space, duplicate services and external costs incurred to assimilate the acquired business with our IT related systems.

Table of Contents

Adjusted ratios - Compensation expense ratio and operating expense ratio are defined as adjusted compensation expense and adjusted operating expense, respectively, each divided by adjusted revenues.

Earnings Measures - We believe that the presentation of EBITDAC, EBITDAC margin, adjusted EBITDAC, adjusted EBITDAC margin, adjusted EBITDAC margin excluding Heath Lambert and diluted net earnings per share (as adjusted), provides a meaningful representation of our operating performance. We consider EBITDAC and EBITDAC margin as a way to measure financial performance on an ongoing basis. Adjusted EBITDAC, adjusted EBITDAC margin, adjusted EBITDAC margin excluding Heath Lambert and diluted net earnings per share (as adjusted), are presented to improve the comparability of our results between periods by eliminating the impact of the items that have a high degree of variability.

EBITDAC - We define this measure as net earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and the change in estimated acquisition earnout payables.

EBITDAC margin - We define this measure as EBITDAC divided by total revenues.

Adjusted EBITDAC - We define this measure as EBITDAC adjusted to exclude net gains realized from sales of books of business, earnout related compensation charges, workforce related charges, lease termination related charges and acquisition related integration costs, as applicable.

Adjusted EBITDAC margin - We define this measure as adjusted EBITDAC divided by total revenues, as adjusted to exclude net gains realized from sales of books of business and New Zealand earthquake claims administration.

Adjusted EBITDAC margin excluding Heath Lambert - We define this measure as adjusted EBITDAC further adjusted to exclude the EBITDAC associated with the acquired Heath Lambert operations divided by total revenues, as adjusted to exclude net gains realized from sales of books of business, New Zealand earthquake claims administration, supplemental commission timing amounts and the revenues associated with the acquired Heath Lambert operations.

Diluted net earnings per share (as adjusted) - We define this measure as net earnings adjusted to exclude the after-tax impact of net gains realized from sales of books of business, New Zealand earthquake claims administration, workforce related charges, lease termination related charges, acquisition related integration costs, adjustments to the change in estimated acquisition earnout payables and effective income tax rate impact, divided by diluted weighted average shares outstanding. The effective income tax rate impact represents the difference in income tax expense for tax amounts derived using the actual effective tax rate compared to tax amounts derived using a normalized effective tax rate.

Organic Revenues - Organic change in commission and fee revenues excludes the first twelve months of net commission and fee revenues generated from acquisitions accounted for as purchases and the net commission and fee revenues related to operations disposed of in each year presented. These commissions and fees are excluded from organic revenues in order to help interested persons analyze the revenue growth associated with the operations that were a part of our business in both the current and prior year. In addition, change in organic revenues excludes the impact of supplemental and contingent commission revenues and the period-over-period impact of foreign currency translation. The amounts excluded with respect to foreign currency translation are calculated by applying current year foreign exchange rates to the same prior year periods. For the risk management segment, organic change in base domestic and international fees excludes international performance bonus fees and New Zealand earthquake claims administration, to improve the comparability of our results between periods by eliminating the impact of the items that have a high degree of variability or due to the limited-time nature of these revenue sources.

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Information Presented to GAAP Measures - This quarterly report on Form 10-Q includes tabular reconciliations to the most comparable GAAP measures for adjusted revenues, adjusted compensation expense and operating expense, EBITDAC, EBITDAC margin, adjusted EBITDAC, adjusted EBITDAC margin, adjusted EBITDAC margin excluding Heath Lambert, diluted net earnings per share (as adjusted) and organic revenue measures.

Other Information - Allocations of investment income and certain expenses are based on reasonable assumptions and estimates primarily using revenue, headcount and other information. We allocate the provision for income taxes to the brokerage and risk management segments as if those segments were computing income tax provisions on a separate company basis. As a result, the provision for income taxes for the corporate segment reflects the entire benefit to us of the IRC Section 45 credits generated in 2012, because that is the segment which produced the

Table of Contents

credits. The law that provides for IRC Section 45 credits expires on December 31, 2019 and 2021 for different portions of our related investments. We anticipate reporting an effective tax rate of approximately 38.0% to 40.0% in both our brokerage and risk management segments for the foreseeable future. Reported operating results by segment would change if different allocation methods were applied.

Overview and Third Quarter 2012 Highlights

We are engaged in providing insurance brokerage and third-party property/casualty claims settlement and administration services to entities in the U.S. and abroad. Throughout 2012, we have expanded and expect to continue to expand our international operations through both acquisitions and organic growth. We generate approximately 80% of our revenues domestically, with the remaining 20% derived in Australia, Bermuda, Canada, New Zealand and the U.K. (based on third quarter 2012 reported revenues). We expect that our international revenue will continue to grow as a percentage of our total revenues in 2012 compared to 2011. We have three reportable segments: brokerage, risk management and corporate, which contributed approximately 72%, 23% and 5%, respectively, to revenues during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012. Our major sources of operating revenues are commissions, fees and supplemental and contingent commissions from brokerage operations and fees from risk management operations. Investment income is generated from our investment portfolio, which includes invested cash and fiduciary funds, as well as clean energy and other investments.

We have generated positive organic growth in the last seven quarterly periods in both the brokerage and risk management segments. Based on our experience with customers, we are seeing further evidence of market firming and our customers' businesses are showing growth. The first quarter 2012 Council of Insurance Agents and Brokers (which we refer to as CIAB) survey indicated that rates were up, on average 4.4% across all sized accounts. The second quarter 2012 CIAB report indicated that rates were up, on average 4.3% across all sized accounts. The third quarter 2012 CIAB report was not published as of the filing date of this report, but we anticipate similar rate trends in third quarter 2012 as those of the first and second quarters of 2012. If the third quarter report does show a similar trend, it will be the fifth quarterly survey in a row showing rate increases. Rates are continuing to rise as insurance carriers tighten their underwriting standards. However, the demand for insurance continues to be restrained due to the sluggish economic recovery, which could offset the favorable pricing trend. The CIAB represents the leading domestic and international insurance brokers, who write approximately 80% of the commercial property/casualty premiums in the U.S.

Our operating results improved in third quarter 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 in both our brokerage and risk management segments:

In our brokerage segment, total revenues and adjusted total revenues were both up 14%, base organic commission and fee revenues were up 4%, net earnings were up 7% and adjusted EBITDAC was up 20%. In addition, we completed eleven acquisitions with annualized revenues totaling \$56.6 million in third quarter 2012.

In our risk management segment, total revenues and adjusted total revenues were up 2% and 5%, respectively, base organic fees were up 5%, net earnings were up 34% and adjusted EBITDAC was up 6%.

In our combined brokerage and risk management segments, total revenues and adjusted total revenues were up 11% and 12%, respectively, organic growth in base commissions and fee revenues was 4%, net earnings were up 11% and adjusted EBITDAC was up 18%.

In our corporate segment, our clean energy investments contributed \$11.0 million to net earnings in the third quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

The following provides non-GAAP information that management believes is helpful when comparing 2012 revenues, EBITDAC and diluted net earnings (loss) per share with the same periods in 2011:

For the Three-Month Periods Ended September 30,

Segment	Revenues			EBITDAC			Diluted Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share		
	2012	2011	Chg	2012	2011	Chg	2012	2011	Chg
	(in millions)			(in millions)					
Brokerage, as adjusted	\$ 479.0	\$ 421.1	14%	\$ 122.0	\$ 101.3	20%	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.40	8%
Net gains on book sales	0.7	0.8		0.7	0.8				
Heath Lambert integration costs				(4.2)	(5.5)		(0.02)	(0.03)	
Workforce & lease termination				(1.1)	(0.3)		(0.01)		
Acquisition related adjustments					(0.6)			0.03	
Effective income tax rate impact								0.01	
Brokerage, as reported	479.7	421.9		117.4	95.7		0.40	0.41	
Risk Management, as adjusted	140.3	133.2	5%	22.6	21.4	6%	0.09	0.09	%
New Zealand earthquake claims administration	1.9	5.8		0.3	1.4			0.01	
GAB Robins integration costs					(4.1)			(0.02)	
Workforce & lease termination				(0.1)	(1.0)			(0.01)	
Risk Management, as reported	142.2	139.0		22.8	17.7		0.09	0.07	
Total Brokerage & Risk Management, as reported	621.9	560.9		140.2	113.4		0.49	0.48	
Corporate, as reported	28.5	1.9		(13.0)	(7.0)		0.01	(0.07)	
Total Company, as reported	\$ 650.4	\$ 562.8		\$ 127.2	\$ 106.4		\$ 0.50	\$ 0.41	
Total Brokerage & Risk Management, as adjusted	\$ 619.3	\$ 554.3	12%	\$ 144.6	\$ 122.7	18%	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.49	6%

For the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30,

Segment	Revenues			EBITDAC			Diluted Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share		
	2012	2011	Chg	2012	2011	Chg	2012	2011	Chg
	(in millions)			(in millions)					
Brokerage, as adjusted	\$ 1,337.1	\$ 1,138.7	17%	\$ 309.8	\$ 253.9	22%	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.97	10%
Net gains on book sales	1.4	4.4		1.4	4.4		0.01	0.02	
Heath Lambert integration costs				(12.3)	(8.5)		(0.06)	(0.04)	
Workforce & lease termination				(4.7)	(2.2)		(0.02)	(0.01)	
Acquisition related adjustments					(6.4)		0.03	0.03	
Brokerage, as reported	1,338.5	1,143.1		294.2	241.2		1.03	0.97	
Risk Management, as adjusted	419.3	389.6	8%	67.3	59.8	13%	0.27	0.26	4%
New Zealand earthquake claims administration	7.6	13.5		1.5	3.6		0.01	0.02	
GAB Robins integration costs					(11.3)			(0.06)	
Workforce & lease termination				(0.1)	(5.2)			(0.03)	
Risk Management, as reported	426.9	403.1		68.7	46.9		0.28	0.19	

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

Total Brokerage & Risk Management, as reported	1,765.4	1,546.2		362.9	288.1		1.31	1.16	
Corporate, as reported	81.7	10.1		(26.2)	(22.0)		0.02	(0.23)	
Total Company, as reported	\$ 1,847.1	\$ 1,556.3		\$ 336.7	\$ 266.1		\$ 1.33	\$ 0.93	
Total Brokerage & Risk Management, as adjusted	\$ 1,756.4	\$ 1,528.3	15%	\$ 377.1	\$ 313.7	20%	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.23	9%

- 33 -

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Brokerage**

The brokerage segment accounted for 72% of our revenue during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012. Our brokerage segment is primarily comprised of retail and wholesale brokerage operations. Our retail brokerage operations negotiate and place property/casualty, employer-provided health and welfare insurance and retirement solutions, principally for middle-market commercial, industrial, public entity, religious and not-for-profit entities. Many of our retail brokerage customers choose to place their insurance with insurance underwriters, while others choose to use alternative vehicles such as self-insurance pools, risk retention groups or captive insurance companies. Our wholesale brokerage operations assist our brokers and other unaffiliated brokers and agents in the placement of specialized, unique and hard-to-place insurance programs.

Our primary sources of compensation for our retail brokerage services are commissions paid by insurance companies, which are usually based upon a percentage of the premium paid by insureds, and brokerage and advisory fees paid directly by our clients. For wholesale brokerage services, we generally receive a share of the commission paid to the retail broker from the insurer. Commission rates are dependent on a number of factors, including the type of insurance, the particular insurance company underwriting the policy and whether we act as a retail or wholesale broker. Advisory fees are dependent on the extent and value of services we provide. In addition, under certain circumstances, both retail brokerage and wholesale brokerage services receive supplemental and contingent commissions. A supplemental commission is a commission paid by an insurance carrier that is above the base commissions paid, is determined by the insurance carrier and is established annually in advance of the contractual period based on historical performance criteria. A contingent commission is a commission paid by an insurance carrier based on the overall profit and/or volume of the business placed with that insurance carrier during a particular calendar year and is determined after the contractual period.

Financial information relating to our brokerage segment results for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same periods in 2011, is as follows: (in millions, except per share, percentages and workforce data):

Statement of Earnings	Three-month period ended September 30,			Nine-month period ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change
Commissions	\$ 346.0	\$ 308.0	\$ 38.0	\$ 962.7	\$ 829.7	\$ 133.0
Fees	106.8	86.8	20.0	281.4	227.7	53.7
Supplemental commissions	16.6	14.5	2.1	50.3	42.0	8.3
Contingent commissions	7.7	9.9	(2.2)	37.0	34.6	2.4
Investment income and net gains realized on books of business sales	2.6	2.7	(0.1)	7.1	9.1	(2.0)
Total revenues	479.7	421.9	57.8	1,338.5	1,143.1	195.4
Compensation	282.7	251.9	30.8	814.7	701.1	113.6
Operating	79.6	74.3	5.3	229.6	200.8	28.8
Depreciation	6.5	5.6	0.9	18.3	15.7	2.6
Amortization	25.2	19.4	5.8	71.1	52.9	18.2
Change in estimated acquisition earnout payables	3.7	(4.3)	8.0	1.0	(6.0)	7.0
Total expenses	397.7	346.9	50.8	1,134.7	964.5	170.2
Earnings before income taxes	82.0	75.0	7.0	203.8	178.6	25.2
Provision for income taxes	32.4	28.5	3.9	79.5	70.3	9.2
Net earnings	\$ 49.6	\$ 46.5	\$ 3.1	\$ 124.3	\$ 108.3	\$ 16.0
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.41	\$ (0.01)	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.06

Table of Contents

	Three-month period ended September 30,			Nine-month period ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change
Other Information						
Change in diluted net earnings per share	(2%)	(2%)		6%	(3%)	
Growth in revenues	14%	20%		17%	14%	
Organic change in commissions and fees	4%	2%		4%	2%	
Compensation expense ratio	59%	60%		61%	61%	
Operating expense ratio	17%	18%		17%	18%	
Effective income tax rate	40%	38%		39%	39%	
Workforce at end of period (includes acquisitions)				8,683	7,762	
Identifiable assets at September 30				\$ 3,835.0	\$ 3,220.2	
EBITDAC						
Net earnings	\$ 49.6	\$ 46.5	\$ 3.1	\$ 124.3	\$ 108.3	\$ 16.0
Provision for income taxes	32.4	28.5	3.9	79.5	70.3	9.2
Depreciation	6.5	5.6	0.9	18.3	15.7	2.6
Amortization	25.2	19.4	5.8	71.1	52.9	18.2
Change in estimated acquisition earnout payables	3.7	(4.3)	8.0	1.0	(6.0)	7.0
EBITDAC	\$ 117.4	\$ 95.7	\$ 21.7	\$ 294.2	\$ 241.2	\$ 53.0
EBITDAC margin	24%	23%		22%	21%	
EBITDAC growth	23%	7%		22%	4%	

The following provides non-GAAP information that management believes is helpful when comparing 2012 EBITDAC and adjusted EBITDAC to the same periods in 2011 (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Total EBITDAC - see computation above	\$ 117.4	\$ 95.7	\$ 294.2	\$ 241.2
Net gains from books of business sales	(0.7)	(0.8)	(1.4)	(4.4)
Heath Lambert integration costs	4.2	5.5	12.3	8.5
Earnout related compensation charge		0.6		6.4
Workforce and lease termination related charges	1.1	0.3	4.7	2.2
Adjusted EBITDAC	\$ 122.0	\$ 101.3	\$ 309.8	\$ 253.9
Adjusted EBITDAC change	20.4%	17.0%	22.0%	14.9%
Adjusted EBITDAC margin	25.5%	24.1%	23.2%	22.3%
Adjusted EBITDAC margin excluding Heath Lambert	26.0%	24.9%	23.6%	22.7%

Effective May 12, 2011, we acquired HLG Holdings, Ltd. (which we refer to as Heath Lambert) for cash, net of cash received, of £99.7 million (\$164.0 million). As of the acquisition date, Heath Lambert generated business in nearly all lines of property/casualty and employee benefit insurance products through 1,200 professionals in 16 offices throughout the U.K. The transaction was initially expected to generate approximately \$145.0 million to \$155.0 million in annualized revenue. As of the acquisition date, we expected that it could take up to two years to fully integrate the Heath Lambert operations into our existing operations.

Table of Contents

The following provides non-GAAP information of Heath Lambert that management believes is helpful when analyzing the impact of the Heath Lambert acquisition on our results for three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 (in millions):

	Three Months	Nine Months
Total revenues	\$ 36.2	\$ 103.9
Compensation	(21.4)	(62.8)
Compensation - integration costs	(2.3)	(7.1)
Operating	(8.0)	(22.5)
Operating - integration costs	(1.9)	(5.2)
 EBITDAC	 \$ 2.6	 \$ 6.3
Adjusted EBITDAC (excludes integration costs)	\$ 6.8	\$ 18.6
Adjusted EBITDAC margin (excludes integration costs)	18.8%	17.9%
 Amortization	 \$ 1.4	 \$ 4.2

As expected, until the integration process is completed in 2013, the Heath Lambert operations will reduce the overall Brokerage Segment adjusted EBITDAC margins. Heath Lambert's current operating structure tends to produce lower compensation expense ratios and higher operating expense ratios in comparison to our other non-Heath Lambert related brokerage operations.

Our adjusted EBITDAC margin excluding Heath Lambert was 26.0% and 23.6% for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012. Our adjusted EBITDAC margin was 25.5% and 23.2% for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011.

Commissions and fees - The aggregate increase in commissions and fees for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, was principally due to revenues associated with acquisitions that were made in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012 (\$45.0 million). Commissions and fees in the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 included new business production and renewal rate increases of \$73.5 million, which was partially offset by lost business of \$60.5 million. Commissions increased 12% and fees increased 23% in the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Organic growth in commissions and fee revenues for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 was 4% compared to 2% for the same period in 2011, principally due to net new business production and premium rate increases.

The aggregate increase in commissions and fees for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, was principally due to revenues associated with acquisitions that were made in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012 (\$153.7 million). Commissions and fees in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 included new business production and renewal rate increases of \$168.2 million, which was partially offset by lost business of \$135.2 million. Commissions increased 16% and fees increased 24% in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Organic growth in commissions and fee revenues for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 was 4% compared to 2% for the same period in 2011, principally due to net new business production and premium rate increases.

Table of Contents

Items excluded from organic revenue computations yet impacting revenue comparisons for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 include the following (in millions):

For the Three-Month Periods Ended September 30,	2012 Organic Revenue		2011 Organic Revenue	
	2012	2011	2011	2010
Base Commissions and Fees				
Commission revenues as reported	\$ 346.0	\$ 308.0	\$ 308.0	\$ 251.9
Fee revenues as reported	106.8	86.8	86.8	74.8
Less commission and fee revenues from acquisitions	(45.0)		(61.9)	
Less disposed of operations		(1.5)		(2.5)
Levelized foreign currency translation		(1.0)		1.4
Organic base commission and fee revenues	\$ 407.8	\$ 392.3	\$ 332.9	\$ 325.6
Organic change in base commission and fee revenues		4.0%		2.2%
Supplemental Commissions				
Supplemental commissions as reported	\$ 16.6	\$ 14.5	\$ 14.5	\$ 10.2
Less supplemental commissions from acquisitions	(2.8)		(2.9)	
Less disposed of operations		(0.1)		
Organic supplemental commissions	\$ 13.8	\$ 14.4	\$ 11.6	\$ 10.2
Organic change in supplemental commissions		-4.2%		13.7%
Contingent Commissions				
Contingent commissions as reported	\$ 7.7	\$ 9.9	\$ 9.9	\$ 9.5
Less contingent commissions from acquisitions	(1.3)		(0.4)	
Organic contingent commissions	\$ 6.4	\$ 9.9	\$ 9.5	\$ 9.5
Organic change in contingent commissions		-35.4%		0.0%
For the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30,				
Base Commissions and Fees				
Commission revenues as reported	\$ 962.7	\$ 829.7	\$ 829.7	\$ 713.1
Fee revenues as reported	281.4	227.7	227.7	198.2
Less commission and fee revenues from acquisitions	(153.7)		(124.9)	
Less disposed of operations		(7.2)		(2.5)
Levelized foreign currency translation		(3.0)		5.3
Organic base commission and fee revenues	\$ 1,090.4	\$ 1,047.2	\$ 932.5	\$ 914.1
Organic change in base commission and fee revenues		4.1%		2.0%
Supplemental Commissions				
Supplemental commissions as reported	\$ 50.3	\$ 42.0	\$ 42.0	\$ 48.7
Less supplemental commissions from acquisitions	(8.3)		(3.6)	
Less disposed of operations		(0.5)		
Timing items, net				(14.7)

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

Organic supplemental commissions	\$	42.0	\$	41.5	\$	38.4	\$	34.0
Organic change in supplemental commissions		1.2%				12.9%		

- 37 -

Table of Contents

For the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30,	2012 Organic Revenue		2011 Organic Revenue	
	2012	2011	2011	2010
Contingent Commissions				
Contingent commissions as reported	\$ 37.0	\$ 34.6	\$ 34.6	\$ 33.7
Less contingent commissions from acquisitions	(4.7)		(3.3)	
Organic contingent commissions	\$ 32.3	\$ 34.6	\$ 31.3	\$ 33.7
Organic change in contingent commissions	-6.7%		-7.1%	

Supplemental and contingent commissions - Reported supplemental and contingent commission revenues recognized in 2012, 2011 and 2010 by quarter are shown in the table below. As previously disclosed, many insurance carriers provide sufficient information for us to recognize supplemental commission revenues on a quarterly basis for a majority of our 2012, 2011 and 2010 supplemental commission arrangements. However, in 2009 and prior years, most carriers only provided this information on an annual basis after the end of the contract period. Accordingly, the 2010 amounts reported in the table below include both a full year of 2009 supplemental commission revenues and 2010 supplemental commission revenues that were recognized by us on a quarterly basis. We anticipate that most of the carriers will continue to provide information on a quarterly basis sufficient to allow recognition of revenues in a similar manner in future quarters.

An analysis of supplemental and contingent commission revenues recognized in 2012, 2011 and 2010 by quarter is as follows (in millions):

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Full Year
2012					
Reported supplemental commissions	\$ 17.1	\$ 16.6	\$ 16.6		\$ 50.3
Reported contingent commissions	19.0	10.3	7.7		37.0
Reported supplemental and contingent commissions	\$ 36.1	\$ 26.9	\$ 24.3		\$ 87.3
2011					
Reported supplemental commissions	\$ 13.5	\$ 14.0	\$ 14.5	\$ 14.0	\$ 56.0
Reported contingent commissions	16.8	7.9	9.9	3.5	38.1
Reported supplemental and contingent commissions	\$ 30.3	\$ 21.9	\$ 24.4	\$ 17.5	\$ 94.1
2010					
Reported supplemental commissions	\$ 27.9	\$ 10.6	\$ 10.2	\$ 12.1	\$ 60.8
Adjustments as if supplemental commission information was provided on a quarterly basis	(14.7)				(14.7)
Adjusted supplemental commissions	13.2	10.6	10.2	12.1	46.1
Reported contingent commissions	15.5	8.7	9.5	3.1	36.8
Adjusted supplemental and reported contingent commissions	\$ 28.7	\$ 19.3	\$ 19.7	\$ 15.2	\$ 82.9

Investment income and net gains realized on books of business sales - This primarily represents interest income earned on cash, cash equivalents and restricted funds and one-time gains related to sales of books of business, which were \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and \$4.9 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Offsetting the one-time gains related to sales of books of business for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 was a non-cash loss of \$3.5 million we recognized related to our acquisition of an additional 41.5% equity interest in CGM Gallagher Group Limited (which we refer to as CGM), which increased our ownership in CGM to 80%. The loss represents the decrease in fair value of our initial 38.5% equity interest in CGM based on the purchase price paid to acquire the additional 41.5% equity interest in CGM. Investment income in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 increased slightly compared to the same periods in 2011.

Table of Contents

Compensation expense - The following provides non-GAAP information that management believes is helpful when comparing 2012 compensation expense with the same periods in 2011 (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Reported amounts	\$ 282.7	\$ 251.9	\$ 814.7	\$ 701.1
Heath Lambert integration costs	(2.3)	(3.3)	(7.1)	(4.5)
Earnout related compensation charge		(0.6)		(6.4)
Workforce related charges	(1.1)	(0.3)	(4.7)	(2.2)
Adjusted amounts	\$ 279.3	\$ 247.7	\$ 802.9	\$ 688.0
Adjusted revenues - see page 33	\$ 479.0	\$ 421.1	\$ 1,337.1	\$ 1,138.7
Adjusted ratios	58.3%	58.8%	60.1%	60.4%

The increase in compensation expense for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to increased headcount, salary increases, one-time compensation payments and increases in incentive compensation linked to our overall operating results (\$26.3 million in the aggregate), increases in employee benefits (\$3.8 million), severance related costs (\$0.7 million), stock compensation expense (\$0.5 million) and temporary staffing (\$0.1 million), offset by a decrease in earnout related compensation charges (\$0.6 million). The increase in employee headcount primarily relates to employees associated with the acquisitions completed in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012.

The increase in compensation expense for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to increased headcount, salary increases, one-time compensation payments and increases in incentive compensation linked to our overall operating results (\$98.1 million in the aggregate), increases in employee benefits (\$17.6 million), severance related costs (\$2.4 million), stock compensation expense (\$1.4 million) and temporary staffing (\$0.5 million), offset by a decrease in earnout related compensation charges (\$6.4 million). The increase in employee headcount primarily relates to employees associated with the acquisitions completed in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012.

During the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, we recognized \$0.6 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, of compensation expense for an earnout obligation related to a prior year acquisition. Pursuant to ASC Subtopic 805-10-55-25 (formerly EITF 95-8), the portion of the earnout obligation that was paid to our existing employees by the sellers after the earnout was settled, must be recorded as compensation expense in our consolidated statement of earnings.

Operating expenses - The following provides non-GAAP information that management believes is helpful when comparing 2012 operating expense with the same periods in 2011 (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Reported amounts	\$ 79.6	\$ 74.3	\$ 229.6	\$ 200.8
Heath Lambert integration costs	(1.9)	(2.2)	(5.2)	(4.0)
Adjusted amounts	\$ 77.7	\$ 72.1	\$ 224.4	\$ 196.8
Adjusted revenues - see page 33	\$ 479.0	\$ 421.1	\$ 1,337.1	\$ 1,138.7

Adjusted ratios	16.2%	17.1%	16.8%	17.3%
-----------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Table of Contents

The increase in operating expense for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to unfavorable foreign currency translation (\$0.7 million), increases in professional fees (\$3.5 million), office expense (\$1.7 million), sales development expense (\$0.3 million), travel and entertainment expense (\$0.3 million) and interest expense (\$0.3 million), slightly offset by decreases in bad debt expense (\$0.8 million), other expense (\$0.4 million), licenses and fees (\$0.2 million), business insurance (\$0.1 million) and net rent and utilities (\$0.1 million). Also contributing to the increase in operating expenses in the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 were increased expenses associated with the acquisitions completed in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012.

The increase in operating expense for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to unfavorable foreign currency translation (\$0.7 million), increases in professional fees (\$10.0 million), office expense (\$8.4 million), other expense (\$4.8 million), net rent and utilities (\$3.3 million), sales development expense (\$3.3 million), licenses and fees (\$1.5 million), travel and entertainment expense (\$1.1 million) and interest expense (\$0.3 million), slightly offset by decreases in business insurance (\$3.8 million) and bad debt expense (\$0.5 million). Also contributing to the increase in operating expenses in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 were increased expenses associated with the acquisitions completed in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012.

Depreciation - Depreciation expense in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 increased slightly compared to the same periods in 2011 due to expenses associated with acquisitions completed in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012.

Amortization - The increase in amortization expense in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 was due primarily to amortization expense of intangible assets associated with acquisitions completed in the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012. Expiration lists, non-compete agreements and trade names are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives (three to fifteen years for expiration lists, three to five years for non-compete agreements and ten years for trade names). Based on the results of impairment reviews during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, we wrote off \$0.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, of amortizable intangible assets related to the brokerage segment. No indicators of impairment were noted in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011.

Change in estimated acquisition earnout payables - The increase in expense from the change in estimated acquisition earnout payables in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011, was due primarily to adjustments made to the estimated fair value of earnout obligations related to revised projections of future performance. During each of the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$2.4 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, of expense related to the accretion of the discount recorded for earnout obligations related to our 2009 to 2012 acquisitions. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$7.0 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, of expense related to the accretion of the discount recorded for earnout obligations related to our 2009 to 2012 acquisitions. In addition, during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$1.3 million of expense and \$6.6 million of income, respectively, related to net adjustments in the estimated fair value of earnout obligations related to revised projections of future performance for twelve and eight acquisitions, respectively. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$6.0 million and \$12.2 million, respectively, of income related to net adjustments in the estimated fair value of earnout obligations related to revised projections of future performance for thirty-one and thirteen acquisitions, respectively.

The amounts initially recorded as earnout payables for our 2009 to 2012 acquisitions are measured at fair value as of the acquisition date and are primarily based upon the estimated future operating results of the acquired entities over a two- to three-year period subsequent to the acquisition date. The fair value of these earnout obligations is based on the present value of the expected future payments to be made to the sellers of the acquired entities in accordance with the provisions outlined in the respective purchase agreements. In determining fair value, we estimated the acquired entity's future performance using financial projections developed by management for the acquired entity and market participant assumptions that were derived for revenue growth and/or profitability. We estimated future earnout payments using the earnout formula and performance targets specified in each purchase agreement and these financial projections. Subsequent changes in the underlying financial projections or assumptions will cause the estimated earnout obligations to change and such adjustments are recorded in our consolidated statement of earnings when incurred. Increases in the earnout payable obligations will result in the recognition of expense and decreases in the earnout payable obligations will result in the recognition of income.

Table of Contents

Provision for income taxes - The brokerage segment's effective income tax rates for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were 39.5% and 38.0%, respectively. The brokerage segment's effective income tax rates for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were 39.0% and 39.4%, respectively. We anticipate reporting an effective tax rate of approximately 38.0% to 40.0% in our brokerage segment for the foreseeable future.

Risk Management

The risk management segment accounted for 23% of our revenue during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012. The risk management segment provides contract claim settlement and administration services for enterprises that choose to self-insure some or all of their property/casualty coverages and for insurance companies that choose to outsource some or all of their property/casualty claims departments. In addition, this segment generates revenues from integrated disability management programs, information services, risk control consulting (loss control) services and appraisal services, either individually or in combination with arising claims. Revenues for risk management services are substantially in the form of fees that are generally negotiated in advance on a per-claim or per-service basis, depending upon the type and estimated volume of the services to be performed.

Financial information relating to our risk management segment results for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same periods in 2011, is as follows: (in millions, except per share, percentages and workforce data):

Statement of Earnings	Three-month period ended September 30,			Nine-month period ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change
Fees	\$ 141.4	\$ 138.3	\$ 3.1	\$ 424.6	\$ 401.1	\$ 23.5
Investment income	0.8	0.7	0.1	2.3	2.0	0.3
Total revenues	142.2	139.0	3.2	426.9	403.1	23.8
Compensation	86.0	88.3	(2.3)	256.3	255.2	1.1
Operating	33.4	33.0	0.4	101.9	101.0	0.9
Depreciation	4.0	3.6	0.4	11.8	10.5	1.3
Amortization	0.6	0.6		2.0	1.8	0.2
Total expenses	124.0	125.5	(1.5)	372.0	368.5	3.5
Earnings before income taxes	18.2	13.5	4.7	54.9	34.6	20.3
Provision for income taxes	7.1	5.2	1.9	21.3	13.5	7.8
Net earnings	\$ 11.1	\$ 8.3	\$ 2.8	\$ 33.6	\$ 21.1	\$ 12.5
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.09
Other information						
Change in diluted net earnings per share	29%	17%		48%	(17%)	
Growth in revenues	2%	25%		6%	21%	
Organic change in fees	2%	13%		6%	8%	
Compensation expense ratio	60%	64%		60%	63%	
Operating expense ratio	23%	24%		24%	25%	
Effective income tax rate	39%	39%		39%	39%	
Workforce at end of period (includes acquisitions)				4,316	4,318	
Identifiable assets at September 30				\$ 547.9	\$ 510.0	
EBITDAC						
Net earnings	\$ 11.1	\$ 8.3	\$ 2.8	\$ 33.6	\$ 21.1	\$ 12.5
Provision for income taxes	7.1	5.2	1.9	21.3	13.5	7.8
Depreciation	4.0	3.6	0.4	11.8	10.5	1.3

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

Amortization	0.6	0.6	2.0	1.8	0.2	
EBITDAC	\$ 22.8	\$ 17.7	\$ 5.1	\$ 68.7	\$ 46.9	\$ 21.8
EBITDAC margin	16%	13%	16%	12%		
EBITDAC growth (decline)	29%	26%	46%	(4%)		

- 41 -

Table of Contents

The following provides non-GAAP information that management believes is helpful when comparing 2012 EBITDAC and adjusted EBITDAC to the same periods in 2011 (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Total EBITDAC - see computation above	\$ 22.8	\$ 17.7	\$ 68.7	\$ 46.9
New Zealand earthquake claims administration	(0.3)	(1.4)	(1.5)	(3.6)
GAB Robins integration costs		4.1		11.3
Workforce related charges	0.1	1.0	0.1	5.2
Adjusted EBITDAC	\$ 22.6	\$ 21.4	\$ 67.3	\$ 59.8
Adjusted EBITDAC change	5.6%	28.9%	12.5%	14.8%
Adjusted EBITDAC margin	16.1%	16.1%	16.1%	15.3%

Fees - The increase in fees for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was due primarily to revenues associated with new business and the impact of increased claim counts (total of \$6.7 million), which were partially offset by lost business of \$3.6 million. Organic growth in fee revenues for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 was 2% compared to 13% for the same period in 2011.

The increase in fees for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was due primarily to revenues associated with new business and the impact of increased claim counts (total of \$37.5 million), which were partially offset by lost business of \$14.0 million. Organic growth in fee revenues for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 was 6% compared to 8% for the same period in 2011.

Items excluded from organic fee computations yet impacting revenue comparisons for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 include the following (in millions):

	2012 Organic Revenue		2011 Organic Revenue	
For the Three-Month Periods Ended September 30,	2012	2011	2011	2010
Base domestic and international fees	\$ 136.1	\$ 129.5	\$ 129.5	\$ 108.0
Less fees from acquisitions	(0.3)		(10.9)	
Levelized foreign currency translation		(0.1)		1.9
Organic base domestic and international fees	135.8	129.4	118.6	109.9
International performance bonus fees	3.4	3.0	3.0	2.5
New Zealand earthquake claims administration	1.9	5.8	5.8	0.4
Organic fees	\$ 141.1	\$ 138.2	\$ 127.4	\$ 112.8
Organic change in fees	2.1%		12.9%	
Organic change in base domestic and international fees only	5.0%		7.9%	

Table of Contents

For the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30,	2012 Organic Revenue		2011 Organic Revenue	
	2012	2011	2011	2010
Base domestic and international fees	\$ 404.1	\$ 378.5	\$ 378.5	\$ 322.0
Less fees from acquisitions	(1.3)		(34.1)	
Levelized foreign currency translation		(0.8)		7.2
Organic base domestic and international fees	402.8	377.7	344.4	329.2
International performance bonus fees	12.9	9.1	9.1	9.5
New Zealand earthquake claims administration	7.6	13.5	13.5	0.4
Organic fees	\$ 423.3	\$ 400.3	\$ 367.0	\$ 339.1
Organic change in fees	5.8%		8.2%	
Organic change in base domestic and international fees only	6.7%		4.6%	

Investment income - Investment income primarily represents interest income earned on our cash and cash equivalents. Investment income in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 remained relatively unchanged compared to the same periods in 2011.

Compensation expense - The following provides non-GAAP information that management believes is helpful when comparing 2012 compensation expense with the same periods in 2011 (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Reported amounts	\$ 86.0	\$ 88.3	\$ 256.3	\$ 255.2
New Zealand earthquake claims administration	(1.0)	(3.7)	(4.7)	(8.2)
GAB Robins integration costs		(3.0)		(8.4)
Workforce and lease termination related charges	(0.1)	(1.0)	(0.1)	(3.5)
Adjusted amounts	\$ 84.9	\$ 80.6	\$ 251.5	\$ 235.1
Adjusted revenues - see page 33	\$ 140.3	\$ 133.2	\$ 419.3	\$ 389.6
Adjusted ratios	60.5%	60.5%	60.0%	60.3%

The decrease in compensation expense for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to favorable foreign currency translation (\$0.1 million), decreases in GAB Robins integration costs (\$3.0 million), New Zealand earthquake claims administration (\$2.7 million), severance related costs (\$0.9 million), temporary-staffing expense (\$0.6 million) and employee benefits expense (\$0.3 million), offset by increased headcount and salaries (\$5.2 million) and stock compensation expense (\$0.1 million).

The increase in compensation expense for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to increased headcount and salaries (\$16.3 million), employee benefits expense (\$2.6 million) and stock compensation expense (\$0.2 million), offset by favorable foreign currency translation (\$0.4 million), decreases in GAB Robins integration costs (\$8.4 million), New Zealand earthquake claims administration (\$3.5 million), severance related costs (\$3.4 million) and temporary-staffing expense (\$2.3 million).

Table of Contents

Operating expenses - The following provides non-GAAP information that management believes is helpful when comparing 2012 operating expense with the same periods in 2011 (in millions):

	Three-month period ended September 30,		Nine-month period ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Reported amounts	\$ 33.4	\$ 33.0	\$ 101.9	\$ 101.0
New Zealand earthquake claims administration	(0.6)	(0.7)	(1.4)	(1.7)
GAB Robins integration costs		(1.1)		(2.9)
Workforce and lease termination related charges				(1.7)
Adjusted amounts	\$ 32.8	\$ 31.2	\$ 100.5	\$ 94.7
Adjusted revenues - see page 33	\$ 140.3	\$ 133.2	\$ 419.3	\$ 389.6
Adjusted ratios	23.4%	23.4%	24.0%	24.3%

The increase in operating expense for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to increases in professional fees (\$3.0 million), sales development expense (\$0.3 million) and travel and entertainment (\$0.2 million), offset by decreases in office expenses (\$1.4 million), GAB Robins integration costs (\$1.1 million), other expense (\$0.6 million), New Zealand earthquake claims administration (\$0.1 million) and bad debt expense (\$0.1 million). The increase in professional fees is primarily related to a new product that was introduced during third quarter 2012, that is primarily outsourced.

The increase in operating expense for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to increases in professional fees (\$5.6 million), sales development expenses (\$1.3 million), bad debt expense (\$0.5 million) and net rent and utilities (\$0.3 million), offset by decreases in GAB Robins integration costs (\$2.9 million), office expenses (\$2.3 million), travel and entertainment (\$0.5 million), licenses and fees (\$0.5 million), business insurance (\$0.4 million), New Zealand earthquake claims administration (\$0.3 million) and lease termination charges (\$0.2 million). The increase in professional fees is primarily related to a new product that was introduced during third quarter 2012, that is primarily outsourced.

Depreciation - Depreciation expense increased slightly in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 and reflects the impact of purchases of furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements related to office expansions and relocations, and expenditures related to upgrading computer systems.

Amortization - Amortization expense remained the same in the three-month period and increased slightly in nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. Historically, the risk management segment has made few acquisitions. We made no acquisitions in this segment during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Provision for income taxes - The risk management segment's effective income tax rates for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were 39.0% and 38.5%, respectively. The risk management segment's effective income tax rates for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were 38.8% and 39.0%, respectively. We anticipate reporting an effective tax rate of approximately 38.0% to 40.0% in our risk management segment for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents**Corporate**

The corporate segment reports the financial information related to our clean energy and other investments, our debt, and certain corporate and acquisition-related activities. For a detailed discussion of the nature of these investments, see our consolidated financial statements included herein for a summary of our investments as of September 30, 2012 (unaudited) (Note 11) and in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K as of December 31, 2011 (Note 12). See our consolidated financial statements included herein for a summary of our debt as of September 30, 2012 (unaudited) (Note 5) and in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion as of December 31, 2011 (Note 6).

Financial information relating to our corporate segment results for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same periods in 2011 is as follows: (in millions, except per share and percentages):

Statement of Earnings	Three-month period ended September 30,			Nine-month period ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change
Revenues from consolidated clean coal production plants	\$ 23.6	\$ 0.7	\$ 22.9	\$ 65.3	\$ 10.6	\$ 54.7
Royalty income from clean coal licenses	7.6	1.2	6.4	19.1	1.7	17.4
Loss from unconsolidated clean coal production plants	(2.8)		(2.8)	(4.1)	(2.3)	(1.8)
Other net revenues	0.1		0.1	1.4	0.1	1.3
Total revenues	28.5	1.9	26.6	81.7	10.1	71.6
Cost of revenues from consolidated clean coal production plants	27.7	0.7	27.0	74.4	12.4	62.0
Compensation	5.2	5.0	0.2	11.4	8.8	2.6
Operating	8.6	3.2	5.4	22.1	10.9	11.2
Interest	10.7	10.3	0.4	32.1	30.4	1.7
Depreciation	0.2	0.2		0.5	0.4	0.1
Total expenses	52.4	19.4	33.0	140.5	62.9	77.6
Loss before income taxes	(23.9)	(17.5)	(6.4)	(58.8)	(52.8)	(6.0)
Benefit for income taxes	(24.9)	(9.4)	(15.5)	(62.4)	(27.0)	(35.4)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.0	\$ (8.1)	\$ 9.1	\$ 3.6	\$ (25.8)	\$ 29.4
Diluted net earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.23)	\$ 0.25
Identifiable assets at September 30				\$ 626.4	\$ 517.0	
EBITDAC						
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.0	\$ (8.1)	\$ 9.1	\$ 3.6	\$ (25.8)	\$ 29.4
Benefit for income taxes	(24.9)	(9.4)	(15.5)	(62.4)	(27.0)	(35.4)
Interest	10.7	10.3	0.4	32.1	30.4	1.7
Depreciation	0.2	0.2		0.5	0.4	0.1
EBITDAC	\$ (13.0)	\$ (7.0)	\$ (6.0)	\$ (26.2)	\$ (22.0)	\$ (4.2)

Revenues - Revenues in the corporate segment consist of the following:

Revenues from consolidated clean coal production plants - This represents revenues from two leased facilities. In April 2011 and April 2012, we entered into separate agreements to lease two IRC Section 45 facilities and began to produce and sell refined coal from their operations. Due to our control over the operations of the leased facilities, we were required to consolidate their operating results starting in

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

April 2011 and 2012, respectively.

The increase in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011, is due to increased production from these leased facilities.

- 45 -

Table of Contents

As of January 1, 2012, we relinquished control in connection with the sale of majority interests in our investments in five of the 2011 Era Plants, which resulted in the deconsolidation of these operations. We continue to consolidate the results of the remaining ten plants, which are currently not operating, as we seek, negotiate and finalize long-term purchase commitments and find co-investors.

Royalty income from clean coal licenses - This represents revenues related to Chem-Mod LLC. We have a 42% ownership interest in Chem-Mod and, as its manager, are required to consolidate its operations. There was a limited amount of production of refined coal by Chem-Mod's licensees in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011.

Expenses related to royalty income of Chem-Mod in the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, were \$4.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, which include non-controlling interest of \$4.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Expenses related to royalty income of Chem-Mod in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, were \$11.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, which include non-controlling interest of \$10.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Loss from unconsolidated clean coal production plants - This includes losses related to our equity portion of the pretax operating results from the unconsolidated clean coal production plants, offset by the production based income from the majority investors of the twelve 2009 Era Plants, in which we became non-controlling, minority investors as of March 1, 2010, and the five 2011 Era Plants in which we became non-controlling, minority investors as of January 1, 2012.

The increase in losses in both the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, is due to increased production in 2012 from the 2011 Era Plants that generate less installment sale income due to our higher ownership levels than for the 2009 Era Plants currently in production.

Other net revenues primarily include our equity portion of the earnings from our investment in three venture capital funds.

Cost of revenues - Cost of revenues from consolidated clean coal production plants in 2012 and 2011 primarily represents the direct expenses incurred by the leased clean coal production operations to generate the consolidated revenues discussed above.

Compensation expense - Compensation expense for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, includes salary and benefit expenses of \$3.8 million and \$1.3 million and incentive compensation of \$1.4 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. The increase in salary and benefits expense for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is due primarily to an increase in employee benefits. The decrease in incentive compensation expenses for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is primarily due to the higher level of incentive compensation recognized in 2011 related to progress made in constructing the new IRC Section 45 plants in 2011.

Compensation expense for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, includes salary and benefit expenses of \$7.4 million and \$4.0 million and incentive compensation of \$4.0 million and \$4.8 million, respectively. The increase in salary and benefits for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is due primarily to severance expense of \$0.5 million in the second quarter of 2012, additional headcount and an increase in employee benefits. The decrease in incentive compensation expenses for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is primarily due to the higher level of incentive compensation recognized in 2011 related to progress made in constructing the new IRC Section 45 plants in 2011.

Operating expenses - Operating expense in the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 includes banking and related fees of \$0.8 million, external professional fees and other due diligence costs related to 2012 acquisitions of \$1.5 million, operating expenses, professional fees and non-controlling interest related to royalty income of \$4.5 million and other corporate and clean energy related expenses of \$1.8 million.

Operating expense in the three-month period ended September 30, 2011 includes banking and related fees of \$0.7 million, external professional fees and other due diligence costs related to 2011 acquisitions of \$1.2 million, operating expenses, professional fees and non-controlling interest related to royalty income of \$0.6 million and other corporate operating and clean energy related expenses of \$0.7 million.

Table of Contents

Operating expense in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 includes banking and related fees of \$2.4 million, external professional fees and other due diligence costs related to 2012 acquisitions of \$3.8 million, operating expenses, professional fees and non-controlling interest related to royalty income of \$11.5 million and other corporate and clean energy related expenses of \$4.6 million.

Operating expense in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011 includes banking and related fees of \$2.3 million, company-wide award and sales meeting expense of \$0.7 million, external professional fees and other due diligence costs related to 2011 acquisitions of \$3.9 million, operating expenses, professional fees and non-controlling interest related to royalty income of \$1.3 million and other corporate operating and clean energy related expenses of \$2.7 million.

Interest expense - The increase in interest expense for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011, is due to increased interest on the \$125.0 million and \$50.0 million note purchase agreements entered into on February 10, 2011 and July 10, 2012, respectively, and increased interest on borrowings from our Credit Agreement.

Depreciation - Depreciation expense in the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 was relatively unchanged compared to the same periods in 2011.

Benefit for income taxes - Our consolidated effective tax rate for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 was 19.1% compared to 34.2% for the same period in 2011. Our consolidated effective tax rate for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 was 19.2% compared to 35.4% for the same period in 2011. The effective tax rates for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were lower than the statutory rate primarily due to the IRC Section 45 tax credits recognized during 2012 and 2011. GAAP accounting requires us to estimate at each quarter end, an expected annual effective tax rate based on, among other factors, the estimated annual amount of tax credits we will generate in the current year, and recognize these estimated tax credits each quarter based on estimated company-wide quarterly earnings before income taxes. This accounting will cause a difference in the amount of tax credits recognized in the financial statements compared to the amount of tax credits actually generated. There were \$38.8 million and \$8.3 million of tax credits recognized in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were \$33.0 million and \$6.2 million of tax credits generated in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following provides non-GAAP information that we believe is helpful when comparing 2012 and 2011 operating results for the corporate segment (in millions):

	Pretax Earnings (Loss)	2012 Income Tax Benefit	Net Earnings (Loss)	Pretax Earnings (Loss)	2011 Income Tax Benefit	Net Earnings (Loss)
Three-Month Periods Ended September 30,						
Interest and banking costs	\$ (11.6)	\$ 4.6	\$ (7.0)	\$ (11.1)	\$ 4.4	\$ (6.7)
Clean energy investments	(6.2)	17.2	11.0	(2.9)	4.7	1.8
Acquisition costs	(1.5)	0.1	(1.4)	(1.2)	0.5	(0.7)
Corporate	(4.6)	3.0	(1.6)	(2.3)	(0.2)	(2.5)
Total	\$ (23.9)	\$ 24.9	\$ 1.0	\$ (17.5)	\$ 9.4	\$ (8.1)

	Pretax Earnings (Loss)	2012 Income Tax Benefit	Net Earnings (Loss)	Pretax Earnings (Loss)	2011 Income Tax Benefit	Net Earnings (Loss)
Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30,						
Interest and banking costs	\$ (34.5)	\$ 13.7	\$ (20.8)	\$ (32.7)	\$ 13.0	\$ (19.7)
Clean energy investments	(11.6)	43.2	31.6	(10.1)	11.5	1.4
Acquisition costs	(3.8)	0.6	(3.2)	(3.9)	1.0	(2.9)
Corporate	(8.9)	4.9	(4.0)	(6.1)	1.5	(4.6)
Total	\$ (58.8)	\$ 62.4	\$ 3.6	\$ (52.8)	\$ 27.0	\$ (25.8)

Table of Contents

Interest and banking costs primarily includes expenses related to our debt. Clean energy investments include the operating results related to our investments in clean coal operations and Chem-Mod. Acquisition costs include professional fees and other due diligence costs incurred related to our acquisitions. Corporate consists of overhead allocations mostly related to corporate staff compensation and, in 2011, costs related to a company-wide award, cross-selling and motivational meeting for our production staff and field management.

Clean energy investments - We have investments in limited liability companies that own 29 clean coal production plants which produce refined coal using propriety technologies owned by Chem-Mod. We believe the production at these plants is qualified to receive refined coal tax credits under IRC Section 45. The fourteen plants which were placed in service prior to December 31, 2009 (which we refer to as the 2009 Era Plants) can receive tax credits through 2019 and the fifteen plants which were placed in service prior to December 31, 2011 (which we refer to as the 2011 Era Plants) can receive tax credits through 2021.

2009 Era Plants - Twelve plants are operating under long-term production contracts. At September 30, 2012, our net carrying value of these investments was \$8.1 million and collectively they could potentially generate approximately \$4.3 million of net after-tax earnings per quarter through 2019. We are seeking long-term production agreements and co-investors for the other two plants. At September 30, 2012, our net carrying value of these two investments was \$1.4 million. We cannot predict when these two plants will resume production of refined coal or the amount of refined coal that will ultimately be produced.

2011 Era Plants - Five plants are operating under long-term production contracts. Our carrying value of these investments at September 30, 2012 was \$10.3 million and collectively they could potentially generate approximately \$7.8 million of net after-tax earnings per quarter through 2021. In July 2012, we signed long-term production contracts for two plants that may resume production prior to December 31, 2012. Our carrying value of these two investments at September 30, 2012 was \$3.5 million and collectively they could potentially generate approximately \$3.7 million of net after-tax earnings per quarter through 2021 once production resumes. In October 2012, we signed a long-term production agreement for one plant that may resume production in early 2013. Our carrying value of this investment at September 30, 2012 was \$0.7 million and it could potentially generate approximately \$1.1 million of net after-tax earnings per quarter through 2021 once production resumes. We are in negotiations for long-term production agreements for three plants that may resume production in mid-2013. Our carrying value of these two investments at September 30, 2012 was \$2.0 million and collectively they could potentially generate approximately \$3.7 million of net after-tax earnings per quarter through 2021 once production resumes. We have agreements in principle with co-investors for the sale of majority ownership interests in four plants. We are seeking long-term production contracts for the remaining four plants, which had a carrying value of \$2.7 million at September 30, 2012.

For those plants that are not yet operating under long-term production contracts, we estimate that we will invest an additional \$2.0 to \$3.0 million per plant, net of co-investor funding, to connect and house each of these plants. We plan to sell majority ownership interests in such plants to co-investors and relinquish control of the plants thereby becoming a non-controlling, minority investor.

Our investment in Chem-Mod generates royalty income from clean energy plants owned by those limited liability companies in which we invest as well as clean energy plants owned by other unrelated parties. Based on current production estimates provided by licensees, Chem-Mod could potentially generate for us approximately \$2.5 million of net after-tax earnings per quarter through 2021.

There is a provision in IRC Section 45 that phases out the tax credits if the coal reference price per ton, based on market prices, reaches certain levels as follows:

Calendar Year	IRS Reference Price per Ton	IRS Beginning Phase Out Price	IRS 100% Phase Out Price	Conclusion
2005	\$ 36.36	\$ 67.94	\$ 76.69	No phase out
2006	42.78	70.40	79.15	No phase out
2007	48.35	72.85	81.60	No phase out
2008	45.56	75.13	83.88	No phase out
2009	39.72	76.84	85.59	No phase out

Edgar Filing: GALLAGHER ARTHUR J & CO - Form 10-Q

2010	54.74	77.78	86.53	No phase out
2011	55.66	78.41	87.16	No phase out
2012	58.49	80.25	89.00	No phase out

- 48 -

Table of Contents

See the risk factors regarding our IRC Section 45 investments under Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for more information regarding risks and uncertainties related to these investments.

Financial Condition and Liquidity

Liquidity describes the ability of a company to generate sufficient cash flows to meet the cash requirements of its business operations. The insurance brokerage industry is not capital intensive. Historically, our capital requirements have primarily included dividend payments on our common stock, repurchases of our common stock, funding of our investments, acquisitions of brokerage and risk management operations and capital expenditures.

Cash Flows From Operating Activities

Historically, we have depended on our ability to generate positive cash flows from operations to meet our cash requirements. We believe that our cash flows from operations and borrowings under our Credit Agreement will provide us with adequate resources to meet our liquidity needs in the foreseeable future. To fund acquisitions made during 2011 and 2012, we relied to a large extent on proceeds from borrowings under our Credit Agreement. In addition, for acquisitions made in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we used proceeds from the \$50.0 million note purchase agreement we entered into on July 10, 2012 and for acquisitions made in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we used proceeds from the \$125.0 million note purchase agreement we entered into on February 10, 2011.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$179.4 million and \$185.6 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to increases in payments related to incentive compensation and interest payments. Our cash flows from operating activities are primarily derived from our earnings from operations, as adjusted for realized gains and losses, and our non-cash expenses, which include depreciation, amortization, change in estimated acquisition earnout payables, deferred compensation, restricted stock and stock-based and other non-cash compensation expenses.

When assessing our overall liquidity, we believe that the focus should be on net earnings as reported in our consolidated statement of earnings, adjusted for non-cash items (i.e., EBITDAC), and cash provided by operating activities in our consolidated statement of cash flows. Consolidated EBITDAC was \$336.7 million and \$266.1 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively. We believe that these items are indicators of trends in liquidity. From a balance sheet perspective, the focus should not be on premium and fees receivable, premiums payable or restricted cash for trends in liquidity. Net cash flows provided by operations will vary substantially from quarter to quarter and year to year because of the variability in the timing of premiums and fees receivable and premiums payable. We believe that in order to consider these items in assessing our trends in liquidity, they should be looked at in a combined manner, because changes in these balances are interrelated and are based on the timing of premium payments, both to and from us. In addition, funds legally restricted as to our use relating to premiums and clients' claim funds held by us in a fiduciary capacity are presented in our consolidated balance sheet as Restricted Cash and have not been included in determining our overall liquidity.

Our policy for funding our defined benefit pension plan is to contribute amounts at least sufficient to meet the minimum funding requirements under the IRC. The Employee Retirement Security Act of 1974, as amended (which we refer to as ERISA), could impose a minimum funding requirement for our plan. We are not required to make any minimum contributions to the plan for the 2012 plan year. We were required to make a minimum contribution of \$0.3 million to the plan for the 2011 plan year. This level of required funding is based on the plan being frozen and the aggregate amount of our historical funding. The plan's actuaries determine contribution rates based on our funding practices and requirements. Funding amounts may be influenced by future asset performance, the level of discount rates and other variables impacting the assets and/or liabilities of the plan. In addition, amounts funded in the future, to the extent not due under regulatory requirements, may be affected by alternative uses of our cash flows, including dividends, acquisitions and common stock repurchases. During each of the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we made discretionary contributions of \$5.4 million to the plan. We are considering making additional discretionary contributions to the plan in 2012 and may be required to make significantly larger minimum contributions to the plan in future periods.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows From Investing Activities

Capital Expenditures - Net capital expenditures were \$36.1 million and \$35.3 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In 2012, we expect total expenditures for capital improvements to be approximately \$55.0 million, primarily related to office moves and expansions and updating computer systems and equipment.

Acquisitions - Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired, was \$137.9 million and \$241.8 million, which included the \$163.5 million in cash paid for the Heath Lambert acquisition, in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In addition, during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 we issued 5.6 million shares (\$190.2 million) and 2.2 million shares (\$62.2 million), respectively, of our common stock as consideration paid for 2012 and 2011 acquisitions. We completed 38 acquisitions and 21 acquisitions in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Annualized revenues of businesses acquired in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 totaled approximately \$155.5 million and \$234.8 million, respectively.

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we issued 425,000 shares of our common stock and paid \$3.4 million in cash related to earnout obligations for four acquisitions made prior to 2009 and recorded additional goodwill of \$0.1 million. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we issued 153,000 shares of our common stock, paid \$7.3 million in cash and accrued \$10.2 million in liabilities related to earnout obligations for seventeen acquisitions made prior to 2009 and recorded additional goodwill of \$11.7 million.

Dispositions - During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we sold several small books of business and recognized one-time gains of \$4.9 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. We received cash proceeds of \$8.9 million and \$12.7 million related to the 2012 and 2011 transactions, respectively. Offsetting the one-time gains related to sales of books of business for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, was a non-cash loss of \$3.5 million recognized in second quarter 2012 related to our acquisition of an additional 41.5% equity interest in CGM Gallagher Group Limited (which we refer to as CGM), which increased our ownership in CGM to 80%. The loss represents the decrease in fair value of our initial 38.5% equity interest in CGM based on the purchase price paid to acquire the additional 41.5% equity interest in CGM.

Clean Energy Investments - We have invested in clean energy operations capable of producing refined coal that we believe qualifies for tax credits under IRC Section 45. We believe these investments will increase our cash flows and liquidity by generating tax credits that will reduce our current and future tax payments. Please see [Clean energy investments](#) on page 48 for a more detailed description of these investments (including the reference therein to risks and uncertainties).

Outlook - We believe that we have sufficient capital to meet our short- and long-term cash flow needs. Except for 2008 and 2005, our earnings before income taxes, adjusted for non-cash items (i.e., EBITDAC), have increased year over year since 1991. In 2008, earnings before income taxes were adversely impacted by charges related to real estate lease terminations, severance, litigation, impairments of intangible assets and the adverse impact of foreign currency translation. In 2005, earnings before income taxes were adversely impacted by charges incurred for litigation and retail contingent commission related matters and claims handling obligations. We expect the historically favorable trend in earnings before income taxes, adjusted for non-cash items, to continue in the foreseeable future because we intend to continue to expand our business through organic growth from existing operations and through acquisitions. Additionally, we anticipate a favorable impact on the amount we will pay the IRS in 2012 and in future years based on anticipated tax credits from IRC Section 45 investments. We also anticipate that we will continue to use cash flows from operations and, if needed, borrowings under the Credit Agreement (described below under [Cash Flows From Financing Activities](#)) and our common stock to fund acquisitions. In addition, we may from time to time consider other alternatives for longer-term funding sources. Such alternatives could include raising additional capital through public or private debt offerings, equity markets, or restructuring our operations in the event that cash flows from operations are reduced dramatically due to lost business or if our acquisition program accelerates significantly.

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

Our Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit commitment of up to \$500.0 million, of which up to \$75.0 million may be used for issuances of standby or commercial letters of credit and up to \$50.0 million may be used for the making of swing loans, as defined in the Credit Agreement. We may from time to time request, subject to certain conditions, an increase in the revolving credit commitment up to a maximum aggregate revolving credit commitment of \$600.0 million. At September 30, 2012, no borrowings were outstanding under the Credit Agreement. Due to outstanding letters of credit, \$484.1 million remained available for potential borrowings under the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

We use our Credit Agreement from time to time to borrow funds to supplement operating cash flows. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we borrowed \$162.0 million and repaid \$172.0 million under our Credit Agreement. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we borrowed and repaid \$102.0 million under our Credit Agreement. Principal uses of the 2012 and 2011 borrowings were to fund acquisitions, earnout payments related to acquisitions and general corporate purposes.

In third quarter 2012, we entered into a note purchase agreement, with certain accredited institutional investors, pursuant to which we issued and sold to the investors \$50.0 million in aggregate debt. In first quarter 2011, we entered into a note purchase agreement, with certain accredited institutional investors, pursuant to which we issued and sold to the investors \$125.0 million in aggregate debt. We used the net proceeds of these debt transactions to fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. At September 30, 2012, we had \$725.0 million of corporate-related borrowings outstanding under separate note purchase agreements entered into in 2012, 2011, 2009 and 2007 and a cash and cash equivalent balance of \$305.2 million. See Note 5 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the terms of the note purchase agreements and the Credit Agreement.

The note purchase agreements and the Credit Agreement contain various financial covenants that require us to maintain specified levels of net worth and financial leverage ratios. We were in compliance with these covenants at September 30, 2012.

Dividends - Our board of directors determines our dividend policy. Our board of directors declares dividends on a quarterly basis after considering our available cash from earnings, our anticipated cash needs and current conditions in the economy and financial markets.

In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we declared \$124.7 million in cash dividends on our common stock, or \$1.02 per common share. On October 24, 2012, we announced a quarterly dividend for fourth quarter 2012 of \$.34 per common share, a 3% increase over fourth quarter 2011. The fourth quarter 2012 dividend will be payable on December 20, 2012 to stockholders of record as of December 3, 2012. This fourth quarter 2012 dividend schedule represents a change from our historical schedule related to stockholder of record and payable dates, and will result in five dividends being paid in 2012. Subject to approval by our board of directors, we anticipate that our stockholder of record and payable dates in future quarters will follow a similar schedule as the new fourth quarter 2012 dividend schedule. It is anticipated this dividend level will result in annualized net cash used by financing activities in 2012 of approximately \$204.3 million (based on the number of outstanding shares as of September 30, 2012) or an anticipated increase in cash used of approximately \$58.5 million compared to 2011.

Common Stock Issuances - Another source of liquidity to us is the issuance of our common stock pursuant to our stock option and employee stock purchase plans. Proceeds from the issuance of common stock under these plans for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$60.9 million and \$54.8 million, respectively. Prior to 2009, we issued stock options under four stock option-based employee compensation plans. The options were primarily granted at the fair value of the underlying shares at the date of grant and generally became exercisable at the rate of 10% per year beginning the calendar year after the date of grant. In May 2008, all of these plans expired. On May 10, 2011, our stockholders approved the 2011 Long-Term Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the LTIP), which replaced our previous stockholder-approved 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan. All of our officers, employees and non-employee directors are eligible to receive awards under the LTIP. Awards which may be granted under the LTIP include non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units and performance units any or all of which may be made contingent upon the achievement of performance criteria. Stock options with respect to 10.0 million shares (less any shares of restricted stock issued under the LTIP 0.9 million shares of our common stock were available for this purpose) were available for grant under the LTIP at September 30, 2012. In addition, we have an employee stock purchase plan which allows our employees to purchase our common stock at 95% of its fair market value. Proceeds from the issuance of our common stock related to these plans have contributed favorably to net cash provided by financing activities in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and we believe this favorable trend will continue in the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

In connection with our investing and operating activities, we have entered into certain contractual obligations and commitments. See Note 12 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for a discussion of these obligations and commitments. In addition, see Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for additional discussion of these obligations and commitments.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

See Notes 5, 11 and 12 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our off-balance sheet arrangements. In addition, see Notes 6, 12 and 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for additional discussion of these off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no changes in our critical accounting policies, which include revenue recognition, income taxes and intangible assets/earnout obligations, as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Business Combinations and Dispositions

See Note 3 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our business combinations during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012. We did not have any material dispositions during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks in our day-to-day operations. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest and foreign currency exchange rates. The following analyses present the hypothetical loss in fair value of the financial instruments held by us at September 30, 2012 that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The range of changes in interest rates used in the analyses reflects our view of changes that are reasonably possible over a one-year period. This discussion of market risks related to our consolidated balance sheet includes estimates of future economic environments caused by changes in market risks. The effect of actual changes in these market risk factors may differ materially from our estimates. In the ordinary course of business, we also face risks that are either nonfinancial or unquantifiable, including credit risk and legal risk. These risks are not included in the following analyses.

Our invested assets are primarily held as cash and cash equivalents, which are subject to various market risk exposures such as interest rate risk. The fair value of our portfolio of cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2012 approximated its carrying value due to its short-term duration. We estimated market risk as the potential decrease in fair value resulting from a hypothetical one-percentage point increase in interest rates for the instruments contained in the cash and cash equivalents investment portfolio. The resulting fair values were not materially different from the carrying values at September 30, 2012.

At September 30, 2012, we had \$725.0 million of borrowings outstanding under our note purchase agreements. The aggregate fair value of these borrowings at September 30, 2012 was \$826.8 million due to their long-term duration and fixed interest rates associated with these debt obligations. No active or observable market exists for our private placement long-term debt. Therefore, the estimated fair value of this debt is based on discounted future cash flows using current interest rates available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. To estimate an all-in interest rate for discounting, we obtained market quotes for notes with the same terms as ours, which we have deemed to be the closest approximation of current market rates. We have not adjusted this rate for risk profile changes, covenant issues or credit rating changes. We estimated market risk as the potential impact on the value of the debt recorded in our consolidated balance sheet resulting from a hypothetical one-percentage point decrease in our weighted average borrowing rate at September 30, 2012 and the resulting fair value would be \$34.3 million higher than their carrying value (or \$759.3 million).

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2012, we had no borrowings outstanding under our Credit Agreement. However, in the event that we do have borrowings outstanding, the fair value of these borrowings approximate their carrying value due to their short-term duration and variable interest rates. Market risk is estimated as the potential increase in fair value resulting from a hypothetical one-percentage point decrease in our weighted average short-term borrowing rate at September 30, 2012, and the resulting fair value would not be materially different from their carrying value.

We are subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk primarily from our U.K. based brokerage subsidiaries that incur expenses denominated primarily in British pounds while receiving a substantial portion of their revenues in U.S. dollars. In addition, we are subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk from our Australian, Canadian, Indian, Jamaican, Singaporean and various Caribbean operations because we transact business in their local denominated currencies. Foreign currency gains (losses) related to this market risk are recorded in earnings before income taxes as transactions occur. Assuming a hypothetical adverse change of 10% in the average foreign currency exchange rate for 2012 (a weakening of the U.S. dollar), earnings before income taxes would have decreased by approximately \$3.1 million. Assuming a hypothetical favorable change of 10% in the average foreign currency exchange rate for 2012 (a strengthening of the U.S. dollar), earnings before income taxes would have increased by approximately \$4.6 million. We are also subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk associated with the translation of local currencies of our foreign subsidiaries into U.S. dollars. However, it is management's opinion that this foreign currency exchange risk is not material to our consolidated operating results or financial position. We manage the balance sheets of our foreign subsidiaries, where practical, such that foreign liabilities are matched with equal foreign assets, maintaining a balanced book which minimizes the effects of currency fluctuations. Historically, we have not entered into derivatives or other similar financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. However, with respect to managing foreign currency exchange rate risk in the U.K., we have periodically purchased financial instruments when market opportunities arose to minimize our exposure to this risk. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we had several monthly put/call options in place with an external financial institution that are designed to hedge a significant portion of our future U.K. currency disbursements through various future payment dates. In addition, during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we had several monthly put/call options in place with an external financial institution that are designed to hedge a significant portion of our Indian currency disbursements through various future payment dates. These hedging strategies are designed to protect us against significant U.K. and India currency exchange rate movements, but we are still exposed to some foreign currency exchange rate risk for the portion of the payments and currency exchange rate that are unhedged. The impact of these hedging strategies was not material to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2012, our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II - Other Information**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

We have a common stock repurchase plan that the board of directors adopted on May 10, 1988 and has periodically amended since that date to authorize additional shares for repurchase (the last amendment was on January 24, 2008). We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock under the repurchase plan during the third quarter of 2012. Under the repurchase plan, as of September 30, 2012, we continue to have the authority to repurchase approximately 10,000,000 shares of our common stock. The repurchase plan has no expiration date and we are under no commitment or obligation to repurchase any particular amount of our common stock under the plan. At our discretion, we may suspend the repurchase plan at any time.

During the third quarter of 2012, we issued an aggregate of 2,950,000 unregistered shares of our common stock, with an aggregate share value of approximately \$103.9 million, in separate transactions, as partial consideration to acquire the businesses listed below. For each transaction below, the issuance of shares was exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, because in each case there was no general solicitation

Table of Contents

and only a small number of stock recipients, which were each sophisticated enough to evaluate the risks of the investment. For each transaction, we subsequently filed a prospectus supplement to our shelf registration statement on Form S-3 to register the re-sale of such shares.

Acquired Business	Date of Issuance	Number of Shares	Approximate Share Value (in millions)
Catalyst Benefits Group, LLC	August 3, 2012	63,000	\$ 2.2
Grace/Mayer Insurance Agency, Inc.	August 3, 2012	549,000	19.5
Miller Buettner & Parrott, Inc.	August 3, 2012	127,000	4.5
Triad USA, Inc.	August 3, 2012	164,000	5.8
Blenheim Park Ltd.	August 6, 2012	254,000	9.1
G.S. Chapman & Associates Insurance Brokers, Inc.	August 7, 2012	905,000	31.8
The Little Agency	August 7, 2012	51,000	1.8
Aviation Insurance Holdings, Inc.	August 8, 2012	28,000	1.0
Liberty Mutual Insurance Company	August 9, 2012	710,000	24.8
Sunday and Associates, Inc.	August 15, 2012	99,000	3.4

Item 6. Exhibits

Filed with this Form 10-Q

* 10.30	Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, Amended and Restated as of September 17, 2012.
15.1	Letter of acknowledgement from Ernst & Young LLP concerning unaudited interim financial information.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

* Such exhibit is a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this form pursuant to item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.

Date: October 31, 2012

By: /s/ Douglas K. Howell
Douglas K. Howell

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer and duly authorized officer)

- 55 -

Table of Contents

Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q

For The Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2012

Exhibit Index

*10.30	Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, Amended and Restated as of September 17, 2012.
15.1	Letter of acknowledgement from Ernst & Young LLP concerning unaudited interim financial information.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

* Such exhibit is a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this form pursuant to item 601 of Regulation S-K.