

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND
Form N-CSR
January 07, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-10573

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL
MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10105

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Joseph J. Mantineo

AllianceBernstein L.P.

1345 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10105

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 221-5672

Date of fiscal year end: October 31, 2012

Date of reporting period: October 31, 2012

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

ANNUAL REPORT

AllianceBernstein

National Municipal Income Fund

October 31, 2012

Annual Report

Investment Products Offered

Are Not FDIC Insured

May Lose Value

Are Not Bank Guaranteed

You may obtain a description of the Fund's proxy voting policies and procedures, and information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge. Simply visit AllianceBernstein's website at www.alliancebernstein.com, or go to the Securities and Exchange Commission's (the Commission) website at www.sec.gov, or call AllianceBernstein at (800) 227-4618.

The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Commission for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund's Forms N-Q are available on the Commission's website at www.sec.gov. The Fund's Forms N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC; information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling (800) SEC-0330. AllianceBernstein publishes full portfolio holdings for the Fund monthly at www.alliancebernstein.com.

AllianceBernstein Investments, Inc. (ABI) is the distributor of the AllianceBernstein family of mutual funds. ABI is a member of FINRA and is an affiliate of AllianceBernstein L.P., the manager of the funds.

AllianceBernstein® and the AB Logo are registered trademarks and service marks used by permission of the owner, AllianceBernstein L.P.

December 20, 2012

Annual Report

This report provides management's discussion of fund performance for AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund (the Fund) for the annual reporting period ended October 31, 2012. The Fund is a closed-end fund that trades under the New York Stock Exchange symbol AFB.

Investment Objectives and Policies

This closed-end fund seeks to provide high current income exempt from regular federal income tax by investing substantially all of its net assets in municipal securities that pay interest that is exempt from federal income tax. The Fund will normally invest at least 80%, and normally substantially all, of its net assets in municipal securities paying interest that is exempt from regular federal income tax. The Fund also normally will invest at least 75% of its assets in investment-grade municipal securities or unrated municipal securities considered to be of comparable quality. The Fund may invest up to 25% of its net assets in municipal bonds rated below investment-grade and unrated municipal bonds considered to be of comparable quality as determined by AllianceBernstein L.P., (the Adviser). The Fund intends to invest primarily in municipal securities that pay interest that is not subject to the federal Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT), but may invest without limit in municipal securities paying interest that is subject to the federal AMT. For more information regarding the Fund's risks, please see "A Word About Risk" on page 5 and "Note G Risks Involved in Investing

in the Fund" of the Notes to Financial Statements on page 35.

Investment Results

The table on page 6 provides performance data for the Fund and its benchmark, the Barclays Capital (BC) Municipal Bond Index, for the six and 12-month periods ended October 31, 2012.

The Fund outperformed its benchmark for both the six- and 12-month periods ended October 31, 2012. Yield curve positioning contributed positively to returns during both periods. For the six-month period, security selection benefited in the education sector, and detracted in the transportation sector. For the 12-month period, security selection contributed in the housing sector and detracted in the healthcare sector.

Leverage, achieved through the usage of Tender Option Bonds (TOBs) and Auction Rate Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares), benefited the total return of the Fund for both periods. Leverage increased the overall interest rate sensitivity and interest rates for bonds generally declined, during both periods. The Fund also used interest rate swaps for hedging purposes, which had an immaterial impact on performance for the six- and 12-month periods.

Market Review and Investment Strategy

The municipal bond market rallied over both the six- and 12-month periods ended October 31, 2012, in response to slow economic growth

and increasingly accommodative monetary policy; the U.S. Federal Reserve has said it would likely keep short-term interest rates low through at least the summer of 2015, continue Operation Twist (which entails monthly purchases of \$45 billion in long-term Treasury bonds) through the end of 2012, and begin QE3 by purchasing an additional \$40 billion per month in mortgage-backed securities to support the housing market. Strong investor demand coupled with declining supply also pushed municipal bond prices higher. Net flows into municipal funds were positive throughout the 12-month period, while the volume of outstanding municipal bonds continued to shrink as issuers' primary focus was to refinance outstanding debt at lower interest rates.

Compensation for public employees has been in the headlines as governments look to balance their budgets in a slow-growth environment. State and local government revenues rise and fall with the economy. State revenue collections are just now recovering from the 2008 recession, while local government collections are still under pressure due to their greater dependence on property tax revenues. In addition, poor investment returns and reduced annual contributions have left many pension plans underfunded, causing state and local governments to increase their required pension contributions instead of spending on other goods and services. For all these reasons, in the view of the Municipal Bond Investment Team (the Team), state and local governments are more vulnerable than normal to an

economic downturn at this point in a recovery. To reduce this vulnerability in the Fund, the Team has limited holdings of state and local government bonds and instead has been favoring essential purpose revenue and dedicated tax-backed bonds. The Team believes these bonds are less economically sensitive and are well-insulated from the current financial challenges of state and local governments.

The large U.S. federal deficit and accumulating debt has given rise to talk of possible tax reform. The value of municipal bonds is partly determined by the value of their tax exemption. An increase in tax rates could increase this value, while a limit on the municipal bonds' tax exemption could reduce their value. The Fund's most effective defense against this uncertainty is through limiting the Fund's exposure to long-maturity bonds that would be most affected by changes in the tax code.

In addition, as part of efforts to address the federal deficit in 2013, the U.S. federal government likely will be considering reduced outlays to states. Medicare and Medicaid comprise a significant portion of the federal budget and therefore likely will be a focus. These cuts could directly impact state budgets and contribute to a crowding out of other state programs. Not-for-profit hospitals also could face varying degrees of credit deterioration as a result of cuts to Medicare and/or Medicaid.

The Fund may purchase municipal securities that are insured under poli-

cies issued by certain insurance companies. Historically, insured municipal securities typically received a higher credit rating, which meant that the issuer of the securities paid a lower interest rate. As a result of declines in the credit quality and associated downgrades of most municipal securities insurers, insurance has less value than it did in the past. The market now values insured municipal securities primarily based on the credit quality of the issuer of the security with little value given to the insurance feature. In purchasing such insured securities, the Adviser evaluates the risk and return of municipal securities through its own research. The ratings of most insurance companies have been downgraded and it is possible that an insurance company may become insolvent. If an insurance company's rating is downgraded or the company becomes insolvent, the prices of municipal securities insured by the insurance company may decline. As of October 31, 2012, 23.77% of the Fund's total investments were in insured bonds and 2.19% of total investments were in pre-refunded/escrowed to maturity bonds.

Since February 2008, auctions of the Preferred Shares have had fewer buyers than sellers and, as a result, the auctions have failed. The failed auctions did not lower the credit quality of the Preferred Shares, but rather meant that a holder was unable to sell the Preferred Shares in the auctions, so that there was a loss of liquidity for the holders of the Preferred Shares. When an auction fails, the Preferred

Shares pay interest on a formula-based maximum rate based on AA-commercial paper and short-term municipal bond rates. The formula used to determine the maximum rate has been using the Kenny S&P 30 Day High Grade Index (the Kenny Index) as the short-term municipal bond reference rate. Standard & Poor's has advised the Fund that it intends to discontinue providing values for the Kenny Index, at or about which time the Fund intends to use the SIFMA Municipal Swap Index as the short-term municipal bond reference rate in the formula. This interest rate has been and remains generally economical versus the earnings of the Fund's investments. However, to the extent that the cost of this leverage increases in the future and earnings from the Fund's investments do not increase, the Fund's net investment returns may decline. The Team continues to explore other liquidity and leverage options, including TOBs, which it has used in the past; this may result in Preferred Shares being redeemed in the future. The Fund is not required to redeem any Preferred Shares, and the Team expects to continue to rely on the Preferred Shares for a portion of the Fund's leverage exposure.

Following the financial crisis that began in 2008, major rating agencies have undertaken reviews of their methodologies for rating various types of instruments, including securities issued by closed end funds. Moody's Investor Service, Inc. (Moody's) previously announced a revised methodology for rating closed-end fund

securities and also announced that it had placed on review for possible downgrade the ratings of a large number of securities issued by closed-end funds, including the Preferred Shares issued by the Fund. On July 12, 2012, Moody's downgraded the AAA rating assigned to the Fund's Preferred Shares to AA as a result of its revised rating methodology. The preferred shares of a number of other funds were also downgraded by Moody's. Under the formula used to determine the dividend rate for the

Fund's Preferred Shares, the downgrade to an AA rating had no impact on the Preferred Shares' dividend rate, although a downgrade below AA may result in an increase in the Preferred Shares' dividend rate (assuming all factors used to determine the dividend rate being equal), resulting in higher leveraging costs for the Fund.

The Adviser is currently reviewing the potential implications of the downgrades of the Preferred Shares by any of the other major ratings agencies.

4 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

DISCLOSURES AND RISKS

AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund Shareholder Information The Fund's NYSE trading symbol is **AFB**. Weekly comparative net asset value (NAV) and market price information about the Fund is published each Saturday in Barron's and in other newspapers in a table called **Closed End Funds**. Daily net asset values and market price information, and additional information regarding the Fund, is available at www.alliancebernstein.com and www.nyse.com. For additional shareholder information regarding this Fund, please see page 52.

Benchmark Disclosure

The unmanaged BC Municipal Bond Index does not reflect fees and expenses associated with the active management of a fund portfolio. The BC Municipal Bond Index represents the performance of the long-term tax-exempt bond market consisting of investment grade bonds. An investor cannot invest directly in an index, and its results are not indicative of the performance for any specific investment, including the Fund. In addition, the Index does not reflect the use of leverage, whereas the Fund utilizes leverage.

A Word About Risk

Among the risks of investing in the Fund are changes in the general level of interest rates or changes in bond credit quality ratings. Changes in interest rates have a greater effect on bonds with longer maturities than on those with shorter maturities. Please note, as interest rates rise, existing bond prices fall and can cause the value of your investment in the Fund to decline. While the Fund invests principally in bonds and other fixed-income securities, in order to achieve its investment objectives, the Fund may at times use certain types of investment derivatives, such as options, futures, forwards and swaps. These instruments involve risks different from, and in certain cases, greater than, the risks presented by more traditional investments. At the discretion of the Fund's Adviser, the Fund may invest up to 25% of its net assets in municipal bonds that are rated below investment grade (i.e., junk bonds). These securities involve greater volatility and risk than higher-quality fixed-income securities.

The value of municipal bonds is partly determined by the value of their tax exemption. An increase in tax rates could increase this value, while a limit on the municipal bonds' tax exemption could reduce their value.

Leverage Risks The Fund uses financial leverage for investment purposes, which involves leverage risk. The Fund's outstanding Auction Rate Preferred Stock results in leverage. The Fund may also use other types of financial leverage, including TOBs, either in combination with, or in lieu of, the Auction Preferred Stock. The Fund utilizes leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value attributable to its Common Stock. These objectives may not be achieved in all interest rate environments. Leverage creates certain risks for holders of Common Stock, including the likelihood of greater volatility of the net asset value and market price of the Common Stock. If income from the securities purchased from the funds made available by leverage is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Fund's return will be less than if leverage had not been used. As a result, the amounts available for distribution to Common Stockholders as dividends and other distributions will be reduced. During periods of rising short-term interest rates, the interest paid on the Auction Rate Preferred Stock or the floaters issued in connection with the Fund's TOB transactions would increase. In addition, the interest paid on inverse floaters held by the Fund, whether issued in connection with the Fund's TOB transactions or purchased in a secondary market transaction, would decrease. Under such circumstances, the Fund's income and distributions to Common Stockholders may decline, which would adversely affect the Fund's yield and possibly the market value of its shares.

An Important Note About Historical Performance

The performance on the following page represents past performance and does not guarantee future results. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance information shown. All fees and expenses related to the operation of the Fund have been deducted. Performance assumes reinvestment of distributions and does not account for taxes.

HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE

THE FUND VS. ITS BENCHMARK	NAV Returns	
PERIODS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2012	6 Months	12 Months
AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund (NAV)	5.39%	13.76%
BC Municipal Bond Index	3.34%	9.03%

The Fund's market price per share on October 31, 2012, was \$16.16. The Fund's NAV price per share on October 31, 2012, was \$15.24. For additional Financial Highlights, please see page 39.

Please keep in mind that high, double-digit returns are highly unusual and cannot be sustained. Investors should also be aware that these returns were primarily achieved during favorable market conditions.

See Disclosures, Risks and Note about Historical Performance on page 5.

PORTFOLIO SUMMARY

October 31, 2012 (unaudited)

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

Net Assets (\$mil): \$437.7

* All data are as of October 31, 2012. The Fund's quality rating breakdown is expressed as a percentage of the Fund's total investments in municipal securities and may vary over time. The quality ratings are determined by using the Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P), Moody's Investors Services, Inc. (Moody's) and Fitch Ratings, Ltd. (Fitch). These ratings are a measure of the quality and safety of a bond or portfolio, based on the issuer's financial condition. AAA is the highest (best) and D is the lowest (worst). If applicable, the pre-refunded category includes bonds which are secured by U.S. Government Securities and therefore are deemed high-quality investment grade by the Adviser. If applicable, Not Applicable (N/A) includes non credit worthy investments; such as, equities, currency contracts, futures and options. If applicable, the Not Rated category includes bonds that are not rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

Portfolio Summary

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND 7

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

October 31, 2012

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
MUNICIPAL OBLIGATIONS 170.5%		
Long-Term Municipal Bonds 170.5%		
Alabama 1.9%		
Alabama Pub Sch & Clg Auth Series 2009 A 5.00%, 5/01/29 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,762,840
Jefferson Cnty AL LT Sch Wts Series 04A 5.25%, 1/01/18-1/01/23	3,100	3,090,875
Montgomery AL BMC Spl Care (Baptist Health Montgomery) Series 04C 5.125%, 11/15/24	1,500	1,550,565
		8,404,280
Alaska 2.3%		
Alaska Intl Arpt NPFGC Series 03B 5.00%, 10/01/26	2,000	2,078,980
Alaska Muni Bond Bank Auth NPFGC Series 04G 5.00%, 2/15/22 (Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.00%, 2/15/24	1,585	1,679,720
(Pre-refunded/ETM) Four Dam Pool AK Elec Series 04 5.00%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.25%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.25%, 7/01/26	1,345	1,425,377
(Pre-refunded/ETM)	1,035	1,113,836
	2,195	2,371,236
	1,385	1,496,202
		10,165,351
Arizona 1.4%		
Phoenix AZ Civic Impt Corp. (Phoenix AZ Wastewater) NPFGC Series 04 5.00%, 7/01/23	1,250	1,333,375
Salt Verde Fin Corp. Gas (Citigroup, Inc.) 5.25%, 12/01/22-12/01/23	4,150	4,811,603

8 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

Portfolio of Investments

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
California 21.9%		
California Econ Recovery (California Econ Rec Spl Tax) Series 2009A 5.25%, 7/01/21	\$ 4,860	\$ 6,003,266
California GO 5.00%, 2/01/32	1,630	1,673,440
5.25%, 4/01/30	20	20,059
Series 04 5.00%, 2/01/33	1,100	1,127,907
Chula Vista CA IDR (San Diego Gas & Elec Co.) Series 96A 5.30%, 7/01/21	4,000	4,324,360
Coachella Valley CA USD COP NPFGC Series 03 5.00%, 9/01/31	1,000	1,006,260
Coast CA CCD GO AGM Series 06B 5.00%, 8/01/23-8/01/24 ^(a)	11,370	12,910,570
Golden St Tobacco Sec CA RADIANT Series 03 5.50%, 6/01/43 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	2,250	2,318,153
XLCA Series 03B 5.50%, 6/01/33 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	3,000	3,090,870
Grossmont-Cuyamaca CCD CA GO AGC 5.00%, 8/01/22-8/01/23 ^(a)	4,480	5,178,180
Hartnell CA CCD GO NPFGC Series 03A 5.00%, 8/01/27 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	1,155	1,195,910
La Quinta CA Fin Auth (La Quinta CA Local Agy Pool) AMBAC Series 04A 5.25%, 9/01/24	2,000	2,071,920
Los Angeles CA CCD GO Series F-1 5.00%, 8/01/28	5,800	6,718,314
Los Angeles CA Dept Arpts (Los Angeles Intl Airport) Series 2009A 5.25%, 5/15/29	5,700	6,657,372

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Los Angeles CA Regl Arpts Impt Corp. (Laxfuel Corp.) AMBAC Series 01 5.50%, 1/01/32	\$ 9,500	\$ 9,510,450
Los Angeles CA Wstwr Sys 5.00%, 6/01/26 Series 2012C	7,000	8,210,860
5.00%, 6/01/25	3,865	4,786,918
Pomona CA COP AMBAC Series 03 5.50%, 6/01/34	3,000	3,112,050
San Bernardino Cnty CA COP Series 2009 5.25%, 8/01/26	1,455	1,555,206
San Francisco CA Bay Area Rapid Transit (San Francisco City/Cnty CA Sales Tax) Series 2012A 5.00%, 7/01/27	2,035	2,488,520
San Francisco CA Bay Area Rapid Transit (San Francisco City/cnty CA Sales Tax) Series 2012A 5.00%, 7/01/28	1,850	2,251,617
San Francisco City/Cnty CA Pub Util Wtr 5.00%, 11/01/27	8,040	9,766,429
		95,978,631
Colorado 4.5%		
Colorado Edl & Cultural Facs Auth (Knowledge Quest Charter Sch) Series 05 6.50%, 5/01/36	465	458,392
Colorado Hlth Fac Auth (Evangelical Luth Good Sam Soc) 5.25%, 6/01/19-6/01/23	2,425	2,646,690
Colorado Hlth Fac Auth (Parkview Medical Center) Series 04 5.00%, 9/01/25	2,560	2,587,187
Denver CO Urban Renewal Auth (Stapleton) Series 2010B-1 5.00%, 12/01/25	6,865	7,469,189
Northwest Met Dist #3 CO 6.125%, 12/01/25	1,000	992,410
Park Creek Met Dist CO Series 05 5.25%, 12/01/25	3,000	3,249,810
5.50%, 12/01/30	890	962,393

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Todd Creek Farms Met Dist #1 CO 6.125%, 12/01/22 ^{(b)(c)} Series 04	\$ 1,970	\$ 886,500
6.125%, 12/01/19 ^(c)	1,180	590,000
		19,842,571
Florida 9.1%		
Florida Brd of Ed GO (Florida GO) Series 2011E 5.00%, 6/01/25	4,800	5,844,672
Florida HFC MFHR (Westlake Apts) AGM Series 02-D1 5.40%, 3/01/42	8,780	8,784,917
Florida HFC MFHR (Westminster Apts) AGM Series 02E-1 5.40%, 4/01/42	3,000	3,001,680
Florida Ports Fin Commn (Florida St Trnsp Trust Fund) Series 2011A 5.00%, 10/01/25-10/01/27	4,205	5,005,862
Miami Beach FL Hlth Fac Auth (Mt. Sinai Medical Center FL) 6.75%, 11/15/24	4,000	4,414,480
Miami-Dade Cnty FL Aviation (Miami-Dade Intl Airport) NPFGC-RE Series 02 5.375%, 10/01/32	6,040	6,046,100
Midtown Miami CDD FL Series 04A 6.00%, 5/01/24	2,060	2,085,956
Orange Cnty FL Hlth Fac Auth Series 02 5.75%, 12/01/32 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	2,800	2,811,172
Univ of Central FL COP NPFGC-RE Series 04A 5.125%, 10/01/24	1,325	1,355,210
Village CDD #5 FL Series 03A 6.00%, 5/01/22	480	494,621
		39,844,670
Georgia 3.6%		
Metro Atlanta Rapid Tran Auth GA 5.00%, 7/01/27	12,960	15,674,342

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Hawaii 2.3%		
Hawaii Arpts Sys Series 2010A 5.00%, 7/01/34	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,613,850
Hawaii Dept Budget & Finance (Hawaiian Electric Co.) XLCA Series 03B 5.00%, 12/01/22	4,500	4,535,820
		10,149,670
Illinois 7.0%		
Chicago IL GO AGM Series A 5.00%, 1/01/25	380	395,709
Chicago IL O Hare Intl Arpt (O Hare Intl Arpt) XLCA Series 03B-1 5.25%, 1/01/34	4,860	4,971,926
Chicago IL Tax Increment (Diversey/Narragansett Proj) 7.46%, 2/15/26	1,795	1,796,023
Chicago IL Wtr 5.00%, 11/01/26	2,000	2,394,580
Cook Cnty IL SD #29 GO AGM Series 04 5.00%, 12/01/20	2,000	2,137,160
Gilberts IL SSA #1 Series 03 6.00%, 3/01/28	2,633	2,644,638
Illinois Finance Auth XLCA Series 04A 5.00%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	1,495	1,610,175
Illinois Finance Auth (Illinois Institute of Technology) Series 06A 5.00%, 4/01/31	1,250	1,214,787
Manhattan SSA #2004-1 IL Series 05 5.875%, 3/01/28	1,743	1,763,010
Univ of Illinois AGM Series 07A 5.25%, 10/01/26(a)	10,800	11,910,888
		30,838,896

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Indiana 0.5%		
Hendricks Cnty IN GO Series 04 5.50%, 7/15/21 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	\$ 1,045	\$ 1,136,208
5.50%, 7/15/22		
(Pre-refunded/ETM)	1,105	1,201,444
		2,337,652
Kansas 0.3%		
Lenexa KS Hlth Care Fac (Lakeview Village, Inc.) 5.25%, 5/15/22	1,395	1,450,730
Louisiana 7.1%		
Louisiana Agric Fin Auth (Louisiana Agric Fin Auth Lease) 5.25%, 9/15/17	4,270	4,621,037
Louisiana Gas & Fuels Tax Series 2012A-1 5.00%, 5/01/25-5/01/26	10,000	12,288,045
Louisiana HFA SFMR (Louisiana HFA) Series 02C 5.60%, 6/01/33	495	503,232
Louisiana Loc Govt Envrn Fac & CDA (Jefferson Parish LA) Series 2009A 5.00%, 4/01/26	535	599,569
New Orleans LA GO NPFGC 5.00%, 3/01/18	2,285	2,463,001
5.25%, 12/01/20 NPFGC	1,000	1,102,650
Series 05 5.00%, 12/01/29 RADIANT	2,700	2,871,990
Series A 5.00%, 12/01/22	5,875	6,534,939
		30,984,463
Maine 0.6%		
Maine Turnpike Auth Series B 5.00%, 7/01/23	2,000	2,465,120
Massachusetts 1.3%		
Massachusetts GO Series 02C 5.25%, 11/01/30	3,470	3,470,000

(Pre-refunded/ETM)

Portfolio of Investments

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Massachusetts Hlth & Ed Facs Auth		
(Cape Cod Healthcare)		
RADIAN		
Series 01C		
5.25%, 11/15/31	\$ 2,100	\$ 2,122,596
		5,592,596
Michigan 8.7%		
Detroit MI City SD GO		
Series 2012A		
5.00%, 5/01/26-5/01/27	6,045	6,923,876
Detroit MI Swr Disp		
NPFGC		
5.25%, 7/01/22	5,000	5,357,100
Michigan Strategic Fund		
(Detroit Edison Co.)		
XLCA		
Series 02C		
5.45%, 12/15/32	5,000	5,005,950
Plymouth MI Ed Ctr Charter Sch		
Series 05		
5.125%, 11/01/23	2,140	2,048,943
Wayne State Univ MI		
Series 2009		
5.00%, 11/15/29	16,500	18,610,350
		37,946,219
Minnesota 0.3%		
Shakopee MN Hlthcare Fac		
(St Francis Reg Medical Ctr)		
Series 04		
5.10%, 9/01/25	1,200	1,235,472
Mississippi 0.9%		
Gulfport MS Hosp Fin Auth		
(Memorial Hosp At Gulfport)		
Series 01A		
5.75%, 7/01/31	4,000	4,005,480
Missouri 0.7%		
Kansas City MO Spl Oblig		
(Kansas City MO Lease Dntn Arena)		
Series 08C		
5.00%, 4/01/28	2,000	2,200,280
Riverside MO IDA		
(Riverside Horizons Proj)		
ACA		
Series 07A		
5.00%, 5/01/27	600	632,238
		2,832,518

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Nevada 1.8%		
Carson City NV Hosp RADIANT Series 03A 5.00%, 9/01/23 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	\$ 4,700	\$ 4,882,031
Reno NV Spl Tax NPFGC RE Series 02 5.375%, 6/01/32	2,790	2,792,651
		7,674,682
New Hampshire 0.2%		
New Hampshire Hlth & Ed Fac Auth (Covenant Health Sys) Series 04 5.375%, 7/01/24	820	853,759
New Jersey 2.9%		
Morris - Union NJ Jt Comm COP RADIANT Series 04 5.00%, 5/01/24	5,095	5,267,568
New Jersey EDA (New Jersey Lease Sch Fac) Series 05 5.25%, 3/01/25	500	545,625
New Jersey Turnpike Auth (New Jersey Turnpike) Series 2012B 5.00%, 1/01/28	5,525	6,641,492
		12,454,685
New York 27.1%		
Metropolitan Trnsp Auth NY Series 2012F 5.00%, 11/15/30	5,000	5,818,050
Metropolitan Trnsp Auth NY (Metro Trnsp Auth NY Ded Tax) Series 2012A 5.00%, 11/15/28	17,000	20,464,430
New York NY GO Series 04G 5.00%, 8/01/28	1,690	1,976,472
		1,743,712
5.00%, 12/01/23 Series 06	1,600	1,743,712
5.00%, 6/01/22 Series 2012E	1,160	1,336,111
5.00%, 8/01/24	2,000	2,435,920

Edgar Filing: ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Series 2012F		
5.00%, 8/01/26	\$ 7,510	\$ 9,050,001
Series 2012I		
5.00%, 8/01/27-8/01/28	3,355	4,043,046
New York NY Mun Wtr Fin Auth		
5.00%, 6/15/26	5,000	6,005,800
Series 2012FF		
5.00%, 6/15/28	7,150	8,655,003
New York NY Trnsl Fin Auth		
Series 2007B		
5.00%, 11/01/24	7,395	8,615,915
Series 2011B		
5.00%, 2/01/26	10,000	12,015,600
New York St Dormitory Auth (New York St Pers Income Tax)		
5.00%, 3/15/26 ^(a)	7,000	8,237,670
New York St Envrn Fac Corp. (New York NY Mun Wtr Fin Auth)		
5.00%, 6/15/24-6/15/27 ^(a)	7,000	8,371,965
5.00%, 6/15/27	5,000	6,110,250
New York St HFA (New York St Pers Income Tax)		
NPFGC-RE		
Series 05A		
5.00%, 9/15/25	300	327,930
Triborough Brdg & Tunl Auth NY		
5.00%, 1/01/26	10,000	12,171,200
Ulster Cnty NY IDA (Kingston Regl Sr Lvg Corp.)		
6.00%, 9/15/27	1,775	1,337,108
		118,716,183
North Carolina 1.0%		
Charlotte NC Arpt (Charlotte Douglas Intl Arpt)		
NPFGC		
Series 04A		
5.25%, 7/01/24	2,895	3,091,020
Iredell Cnty NC COP (Iredell Cnty Sch Proj)		
AGM		
5.25%, 6/01/22	1,080	1,275,437
		4,366,457
Ohio 2.9%		
Central OH Solid Wst Auth		
5.00%, 12/01/25-12/01/27	3,990	4,829,576
Columbiana Cnty Port Auth OH (Apex Environmental LLC)		
Series 04A		
7.125%, 8/01/25 ^(c)	1,840	1,475,146

Edgar Filing: ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Cuyahoga Cnty OH Port Auth (University Square Proj) Series 01 7.35%, 12/01/31	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,054,900
Summit Cnty OH Port Auth 5.00%, 12/01/25	1,000	1,173,680
		12,533,302
Oregon 2.5%		
Forest Grove OR (Pacific Univ) RADIAN Series 05A 5.00%, 5/01/28	4,760	4,873,954
Oregon Dept of Admin Svcs (Oregon Lottery) Series 2011A 5.25%, 4/01/25	5,000	6,151,450
		11,025,404
Pennsylvania 2.9%		
Allegheny Cnty PA IDA (Residential Resources, Inc.) 5.00%, 9/01/21	500	513,780
Montgomery Cnty PA IDA (New Regional Medical Ctr) 5.25%, 8/01/33	3,495	4,052,662
Pennsylvania Econ Dev Fin Auth (30th St Station Pkg Garage PA) ACA Series 02 5.875%, 6/01/33	4,100	4,182,000
Pennsylvania Hgr Ed Fac Auth (Univ of Pennsylvania) 5.00%, 9/01/28	1,500	1,780,110
Philadelphia PA IDA (Leadership Learning Partners) Series 05A 5.25%, 7/01/24 ^(c)	1,150	1,042,417
Wilkes-Barre PA Fin Auth (Wilkes Univ Proj) 5.00%, 3/01/22	990	1,067,161
		12,638,130
Puerto Rico 2.2%		
Puerto Rico GO 5.25%, 7/01/23 Series 01A	2,625	2,737,245
5.50%, 7/01/19 Series 03A	1,705	1,881,331
5.25%, 7/01/23 (Pre-refunded/ETM)	500	516,330

Portfolio of Investments

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Puerto Rico Govt Dev Bank Series 06B 5.00%, 12/01/15	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,074,080
Puerto Rico Pub Bldgs Auth (Puerto Rico GO) Series N 5.50%, 7/01/22	3,370	3,569,369
		9,778,355
Rhode Island 1.4%		
Rhode Island Hlth & Ed Bldg Corp. (Times 2 Academy RI) Series 04 5.00%, 12/15/24	5,845	6,020,525
South Carolina 5.9%		
Charleston SC Edl Excellence Fin Corp. (Charleston Cnty SC SD Lease) 5.25%, 12/01/30	2,000	2,212,260
Dorchester Cnty SC SD #2 Lease AGC 5.00%, 12/01/29	1,600	1,823,808
Newberry Inv IN Children SC (Newberry Cnty SC SD Lease) AGC Series 05 5.00%, 12/01/27	5,450	5,715,197
South Carolina Pub Svc Auth Series 2012A 5.00%, 12/01/26-12/01/27	13,220	15,984,345
		25,735,610
Tennessee 0.6%		
Sullivan Cnty TN Hlth & Hfb (Wellmont Hlth Sys Proj) Series 06C 5.00%, 9/01/22	1,760	1,895,379
5.25%, 9/01/26	725	775,076
		2,670,455
Texas 32.2%		
Alvin TX ISD GO Series 2004B 5.00%, 2/15/28	960	1,106,438
Bexar Cnty TX Hlth Fac Dev (Army Retirement Residence) 5.00%, 7/01/27	505	526,670
Dallas Fort Worth TX Intl Arpt NPFGC Series 03A 5.25%, 11/01/25	2,000	2,048,640
NPFGC-RE Series 01 5.50%, 11/01/35	2,455	2,455,000

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Dallas TX ISD GO 6.00%, 2/15/28	\$ 2,500	\$ 3,026,325
Dripping Springs TX ISD GO 5.125%, 2/15/28	5,715	6,595,796
Fort Bend TX ISD GO Series 2009 5.00%, 2/15/27	7,560	9,172,170
Frisco TX GO NPFGC-RE 5.00%, 2/15/23	3,220	3,625,366
Harris City TX Toll Road (Harris Cnty TX Toll Road) Series 2012 5.00%, 8/15/30	12,080	14,373,146
Harris Cnty TX Met Trnsp Auth 5.00%, 11/01/26	4,000	4,797,000
Harris Cnty TX Toll Road Series 2012 5.00%, 8/15/32	5,055	5,967,074
Hidalgo Cnty TX Hlth Fac Svcs (Mission Hospital, Inc.) Series 05 5.00%, 8/15/14-8/15/19	1,090	1,126,875
Houston TX GO Series 2012A 5.00%, 3/01/26-3/01/27	12,140	14,632,363
Houston TX Util Sys Series 2011D 5.00%, 11/15/25-11/15/26	8,500	10,261,675
Lewisville TX Spl AD #2 ACA Series 05 6.00%, 10/01/25	1,100	1,170,609
Lower Colorado River Auth TX 5.00%, 5/15/31		
(Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.00%, 5/15/31	45	46,136
(Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.00%, 5/15/31	10	10,250
(Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.25%, 5/15/25	25	25,598
(Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.25%, 5/15/25	70	71,860
(Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.25%, 5/15/25	10	10,263
(Pre-refunded/ETM) 5.25%, 5/15/25	55	56,446
(Pre-refunded/ETM) Series 20022 5.00%, 5/15/31	85	87,235
(Pre-refunded/ETM)	25	25,625

Edgar Filing: ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Series 2003-2

5.25%, 5/15/25

(Pre-refunded/ETM)

10

10,263

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND 19

Portfolio of Investments

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
AMBAC		
5.25%, 5/15/25	\$ 1,445	\$ 1,472,065
AMBAC		
Series 03		
5.25%, 5/15/25		
(Pre-refunded/ETM)	125	128,321
NPFGC		
5.00%, 5/15/31	1,355	1,370,217
5.00%, 5/15/31		
(Pre-refunded/ETM)	30	30,757
NPFGC Series 02A		
5.00%, 5/15/31		
(Pre-refunded/ETM)	10	10,250
Matagorda Cnty TX Nav Dist (Centerpoint Energy Houston)		
Series 04		
5.60%, 3/01/27	2,000	2,070,160
Seguin Hgr Ed Fac Corp. TX (Texas Lutheran Univ)		
Series 04		
5.25%, 9/01/28-9/01/33	2,250	2,295,517
Texas GO		
Series 02A		
5.50%, 8/01/41	9,470	9,486,572
Series 05		
5.00%, 4/01/28	8,000	8,730,640
Texas Private Acvty Bond Srvc Trnsp Corp. (NTE Mobility Partners LLC Project)		
6.875%, 12/31/39	1,720	2,085,758
Texas Trnsp Comm		
Series 07		
5.00%, 4/01/23 ^(a)	20,600	24,093,966
Univ of Texas		
Series A		
5.25%, 8/15/22	6,825	8,148,640
		141,151,686
Virginia 2.8%		
Fauquier Cnty VA IDA (Fauquier Hospital Obl Grp)		
RADIAN		
Series 02		
5.25%, 10/01/31	8,500	8,680,965
Virginia Trnsp Brd (Virginia Fed Hwy Grant)		
Series 2012A		
5.00%, 9/15/27	3,000	3,644,790

20 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

Portfolio of Investments

	Principal Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value
Washington 8.0%		
Energy Northwest WA (Bonneville Power Admin) Series 2011A 5.00%, 7/01/23	\$ 5,250	\$ 6,435,135
FYI Properties (Washington St Lease Dept Info Svc Proj) 5.125%, 6/01/28 Series 2009	5,200	5,815,108
5.00%, 6/01/27 Washington Fed Hwy Grant Series 2012F 5.00%, 9/01/24	3,885	4,342,809
	15,000	18,527,250
		35,120,302
Wisconsin 1.7%		
Wisconsin GO Series 03 5.00%, 11/01/26	2,875	2,953,890
Wisconsin Hsg & Econ Dev Auth SFMR (Wisconsin Hsg & Econ Dev Auth) NPFGC Series A 5.60%, 5/01/33	4,450	4,453,338
		7,407,228
Total Investments 170.5% (cost \$705,384,801)		746,366,157
Other assets less liabilities (15.2)%		(66,392,092)
Preferred Shares at liquidation value (55.3)%		(242,225,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders 100.0%^(d)		\$ 437,749,065

(a) Security represents the underlying municipal obligation of an inverse floating rate obligation held by the Fund (see Note H).

(b) Security is in default and is non-income producing.

(c) Illiquid security.

(d) Portfolio percentages are calculated based on net assets applicable to common shareholders.

As of October 31, 2012, the Fund held 40.5% of net assets in insured bonds (of this amount 9.2% represents the Fund's holding in pre-refunded or escrowed to maturity bonds).

Glossary:

ACA ACA Financial Guaranty Corporation

AD Assessment District

Edgar Filing: ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

AGC Assured Guaranty Corporation

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal

Portfolio of Investments

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND 21

AMBAC Ambac Assurance Corporation

CCD Community College District

CDA Community Development Authority

CDD Community Development District

COP Certificate of Participation

EDA Economic Development Agency

ETM Escrowed to Maturity

GO General Obligation

HFA Housing Finance Authority

HFC Housing Finance Corporation

IDA Industrial Development Authority/Agency

IDR Industrial Development Revenue Bond

ISD Independent School District

MFHR Multi-Family Housing Revenue

NPFGC National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation

NPFGC-RE National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation Reinsuring FGIC

RADIAN Radian Asset Assurance Inc.

SD School District

SFMR Single Family Mortgage Revenue

SSA Special Services Area

USD Unified School District

XLCA XL Capital Assurance Inc.

See notes to financial statements.

STATEMENT OF ASSETS & LIABILITIES

October 31, 2012

Assets	
Investments in securities, at value (cost \$705,384,801)	\$ 746,366,157
Interest and dividends receivable	10,043,736
Receivable for investment securities sold	15,000
Total assets	756,424,893
Liabilities	
Due to custodian	33,074,615
Payable for floating rate notes issued*	42,770,000
Advisory fee payable	316,585
Dividends payable - preferred shares	15,772
Accrued expenses	273,856
Total liabilities	76,450,828
Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value	
Preferred shares, \$.001 par value per share; 11,400 shares authorized, 9,689 shares issued and outstanding at \$25,000 per share liquidation preference	242,225,000
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders	\$ 437,749,065
Composition of Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders	
Common stock, \$.001 par value per share; 1,999,988,600 shares authorized, 28,728,145 shares issued and outstanding	\$ 28,728
Additional paid-in capital	407,966,739
Undistributed net investment income	2,798,138
Accumulated net realized loss on investment transactions	(14,025,896)
Net unrealized appreciation on investments	40,981,356
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders	\$ 437,749,065
Net Asset Value Applicable to Common Shareholders (based on 28,728,145 common shares outstanding)	\$ 15.24

* Represents short-term floating rate certificates issued by tender option bond trusts (see Note H).
See notes to financial statements.

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended October 31, 2012

Investment Income

Interest	\$	32,048,412	
Dividends - Affiliated issuers		5,409	\$ 32,053,821

Expenses

Advisory fee (see Note B)	3,678,960
Preferred Shares-auction agent's fees	147,787
Custodian	163,775
Audit	54,035
Directors' fees and expenses	50,886
Printing	47,008
Legal	28,538
Registration fees	25,870
Transfer agency	14,354
Miscellaneous	73,624
Total expenses before interest expense and fees	4,284,837
Interest expense and fees	395,108

Total expenses	4,679,945
-----------------------	------------------

Net investment income	27,373,876
-----------------------	------------

Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investment Transactions

Net realized gain (loss) on:	
Investment transactions	2,115,515
Swap contracts	(5,998,721)
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of:	
Investments	27,516,836
Swap contracts	4,384,798
Net gain on investment transactions	28,018,428

Dividends to Preferred Shareholders from

Net investment income	(600,098)
-----------------------	-----------

Net Increase in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting from Operations	\$ 54,792,206
---	----------------------

See notes to financial statements.

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS**APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS**

	Year Ended October 31, 2012	Year Ended October 31, 2011
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		
Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting from Operations		
Net investment income	\$ 27,373,876	\$ 29,975,570
Net realized loss on investment transactions	(3,883,206)	(5,983,977)
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments	31,901,634	(1,793,651)
Dividends to Preferred Shareholders from		
Net investment income	(600,098)	(788,537)
Net increase in net assets applicable to common shareholders resulting from operations	54,792,206	21,409,405
Dividends to Common Shareholders from		
Net investment income	(26,700,451)	(26,688,401)
Common Stock Transactions		
Reinvestment of dividends resulting in the issuance of common stock	462,752	0
Sale of common stock	0	(684)
Total increase (decrease)	28,554,507	(5,279,680)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders		
Beginning of period	409,194,558	414,474,238
End of period (including undistributed net investment income of \$2,798,138 and \$3,543,100, respectively)	\$ 437,749,065	\$ 409,194,558

See notes to financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

October 31, 2012

NOTE A

Significant Accounting Policies

AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (the Fund) was incorporated in the State of Maryland on November 9, 2001 and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as a diversified, closed-end management investment company. The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) which require management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Fund.

1. Security Valuation

Portfolio securities are valued at their current market value determined on the basis of market quotations or, if market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable, at fair value as determined in accordance with procedures established by and under the general supervision of the Fund's Board of Directors.

In general, the market value of securities which are readily available and deemed reliable are determined as follows: Securities listed on a national securities exchange (other than securities listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. (NASDAQ)) or on a foreign securities exchange are valued at the last sale price at the close of the exchange or foreign securities exchange. If there has been no sale on such day, the securities are valued at the last traded price from the previous day. Securities listed on more than one exchange are valued by reference to the principal exchange on which the securities are traded; securities listed only on NASDAQ are valued in accordance with the NASDAQ Official Closing Price; listed or over the counter (OTC) market put or call options are valued at the mid level between the current bid and ask prices. If either a current bid or current ask price is unavailable, AllianceBernstein L.P. (the Adviser) will have discretion to determine the best valuation (e.g. last trade price in the case of listed options); open futures contracts are valued using the closing settlement price or, in the absence of such a price, the most recent quoted bid price. If there are no quotations available for the day of valuation, the last available closing settlement price is used; U.S. government securities and other debt instruments having 60 days or less remaining until maturity are valued at amortized cost if their original maturity was 60 days or less; or by amortizing their fair value as of the 61st day prior to maturity if their original term to maturity exceeded 60 days; fixed-income securities, including mortgage backed and asset backed securities, may be valued on the basis of prices provided by a pricing service or at a price obtained from one or more of the major broker/dealers. In cases where broker/dealer quotes are obtained, the Adviser may establish procedures whereby changes in market yields or spreads are used to adjust, on a daily basis, a recently obtained quoted price on a

security. Swaps and other derivatives are valued daily, primarily using independent pricing services, independent pricing models using market inputs, as well as third party broker-dealers or counterparties. Investments in money market funds are valued at their net asset value each day.

Securities for which market quotations are not readily available (including restricted securities) or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value. Factors considered in making this determination may include, but are not limited to, information obtained by contacting the issuer, analysts, analysis of the issuer's financial statements or other available documents. In addition, the Fund may use fair value pricing for securities primarily traded in non-U.S. markets because most foreign markets close well before the Fund values its securities at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time. The earlier close of these foreign markets gives rise to the possibility that significant events, including broad market moves, may have occurred in the interim and may materially affect the value of those securities.

2. Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with U.S. GAAP regarding fair value measurements, fair value is defined as the price that the Fund would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. U.S. GAAP establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability. Inputs may be observable or unobservable and refer broadly to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset (including those values based on their market values as described in Note 1 above) or liability. Observable inputs reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Fund. Unobservable inputs reflect the Fund's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available in the circumstances. Each investment is assigned a level based upon the observability of the inputs which are significant to the overall valuation. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The fair value of debt instruments, such as bonds, and over-the-counter derivatives is generally based on market price quotations, recently executed market transactions (where observable) or industry recognized modeling techniques and are generally classified as Level 2. Pricing vendor inputs to Level 2 valuations may include quoted prices for similar investments in active markets, interest rates, coupon rates, yield curves, option adjusted spreads, default rates, credit spreads and other unique security features in order to estimate the relevant cash flows

which is then discounted to calculate fair values. If these inputs are unobservable and significant to the fair value, these investments will be classified as Level 3.

Other fixed income investments, including non-U.S. government and corporate debt, are generally valued using quoted market prices, if available, which are typically impacted by current interest rates, maturity dates and any perceived credit risk of the issuer. Additionally, in the absence of quoted market prices, these inputs are used by pricing vendors to derive a valuation based upon industry or proprietary models which incorporate issuer specific data with relevant yield/spread comparisons with more widely quoted bonds with similar key characteristics. Those investments for which there are observable inputs are classified as Level 2. Where the inputs are not observable, the investments are classified as Level 3.

The following table summarizes the valuation of the Fund's investments by the above fair value hierarchy levels as of October 31, 2012:

Investments in Securities:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	\$ 0	\$ 713,260,392	\$ 33,105,765	\$ 746,366,157
Total Investments in Securities	0	713,260,392	33,105,765	746,366,157
Other Financial Instruments*	0	0	0	0
Total^(a)	\$ 0	\$ 713,260,392	\$ 33,105,765	\$ 746,366,157

* Other financial instruments are derivative instruments, such as futures, forwards and swap contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

^(a) There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the reporting period.

The Fund recognizes all transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy assuming the financial instruments were transferred at the beginning of the reporting period.

The following is a reconciliation of investments in which significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) were used in determining fair value.

	Long-Term Municipal Bonds	Interest Rate Swap Contracts	Total
Balance as of 10/31/11	\$ 30,276,122	\$ (4,384,798)	\$ 25,891,324
Accrued discounts/(premiums)	(32,434)	0	(32,434)
Realized gain (loss)	(362,778)	(5,998,721)	(6,361,499)
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation	1,591,243	4,384,798	5,976,041
Purchases	0	0	0
Sales	(7,695,250)	0	(7,695,250)
Settlements	0	5,998,721	5,998,721
Transfers in to Level 3+	9,795,977	0	9,795,977
Transfers out of Level 3**	(467,115)	0	(467,115)
Balance as of 10/31/12	\$ 33,105,765	\$ 0	\$ 33,105,765
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation from Investments held as of 10/31/12*	\$ 1,113,028	\$ 0	\$ 1,113,028

* The unrealized appreciation/depreciation is included in net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments in the accompanying statement of operations.

+ Transferred out of Level 2 into Level 3 due to lack of external credit rating.

** There were de minimis transfers under 1% of net assets during the reporting period.

The following presents information about significant unobservable inputs related to the Fund with material categories of Level 3 investments at October 31, 2012:

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

	Fair Value at 10/31/2012	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	\$ 33,105,765	Third Party Vendor	Evaluated Quote	\$ 45.00 - \$ 108.03

The Adviser has established a Valuation Committee (the Committee) which is responsible for overseeing the pricing and valuation of all securities held in the Fund. The Committee operates under pricing and valuation policies and procedures established by the Adviser and approved by the Board, including pricing policies which set forth the mechanisms and processes to be employed on a daily basis to implement these policies and procedures. In particular, the pricing policies describe how to determine market quotations for securities and other instruments. The Committee's responsibilities include: 1) fair value and liquidity determinations (and oversight of any third parties to whom any responsibility for fair value and liquidity determinations is delegated), and 2) regular monitoring of the Adviser's pricing and valuation policies and procedures and modification or enhancement of these policies and procedures (or recommendation of the modification of these policies and procedures) as the Committee believes appropriate.

The Committee is also responsible for monitoring the implementation of the pricing policies by the Adviser's Pricing Group (the Pricing Group) and a third party which performs certain pricing functions in accordance with the pricing policies. The Pricing Group is responsible for the oversight of the third party on a day-to-day basis. The Committee and the Pricing Group perform a series of activities to provide reasonable comfort over the accuracy of prices including: 1) periodic vendor due diligence meetings, review methodologies, new developments, process at vendors, 2) daily and monthly multi-source pricing compares, reviewed and submitted to the Committee, and 3) daily review of unpriced, stale, and variance reports with exceptions reviewed by senior management and the Committee.

In addition, there are several processes outside of the pricing process that are used to monitor valuation issues including: 1) monitoring of performance and performance attribution reports for anomalous impacts based upon benchmark performance, and 2) review by portfolio managers, of all portfolios for performance and analytics (which are generated using the Adviser's prices).

3. Taxes

It is the Fund's policy to meet the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute all of its investment company taxable income and net realized gains, if any, to shareholders. Therefore, no provisions for federal income or excise taxes are required.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP requirements regarding accounting for uncertainties in income taxes, management has analyzed the Fund's tax positions taken or expected to be taken on federal and state income tax returns for all open tax years (the current and the prior three tax years) and has concluded that no provision for income tax is required in the Fund's financial statements.

4. Investment Income and Investment Transactions

Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date or as soon as the Fund is informed of the dividend. Interest income is accrued daily. Investment transactions are accounted for on the date the securities are purchased or sold. Investment gains or losses are determined on the identified cost basis. The Fund amortizes premiums and accretes original issue discounts and market discounts as adjustments to interest income.

5. Dividends and Distributions

Dividends and distributions to shareholders, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Income dividends and capital gains distributions are determined in accordance with federal tax regulations and may differ from those determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. To the extent these differences are permanent, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts based on their federal tax basis treatment; temporary differences do not require such reclassification.

NOTE B**Advisory Fees and Other Transactions with Affiliates**

Under the terms of an investment advisory agreement, the Fund pays the Adviser an advisory fee at an annual rate of .55% of the Fund's average daily net assets applicable to common and preferred shareholders. Such fee is accrued daily and paid monthly.

Under the terms of the Shareholder Inquiry Agency Agreement with AllianceBernstein Investor Services, Inc. (ABIS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Adviser, the Fund reimburses ABIS for costs relating to servicing phone inquiries on behalf of the Fund. During the year ended October 31, 2012, there was no reimbursement paid to ABIS.

The Fund may invest in the AllianceBernstein Fixed-Income Shares, Inc. Government STIF Portfolio (Government STIF Portfolio), an open-end management investment company managed by the Adviser. The Government STIF Portfolio is offered as a cash management option to mutual funds and other institutional accounts of the Adviser, and is not available for direct purchase by members of the public. The Government STIF Portfolio pays no investment management fees but does bear its own expenses. A summary of the Fund's transactions in shares of the Government STIF Portfolio for the year ended October 31, 2012 is as follows:

Market Value

October 31, 2011	Purchases	Sales	Market Value	Dividend
(000)	at Cost	Proceeds	October 31, 2012	Income
(000)	(000)	(000)	(000)	(000)
\$ 0	\$ 107,143	\$ 107,143	\$ 0	\$ 5

NOTE C**Investment Transactions**

Purchases and sales of investment securities (excluding short-term investments) for the year ended October 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Purchases	Sales
Investment securities (excluding U.S. government securities)	\$ 222,074,002	\$ 191,511,188
U.S. government securities	0	0

The cost of investments for federal income tax purposes, gross unrealized appreciation and unrealized depreciation (excluding swap transactions) are as follows:

Cost	\$ 629,769,376
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 43,826,794
Gross unrealized depreciation	(3,253,973)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 40,572,821

1. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Fund may use derivatives in an effort to earn income and enhance returns, to replace more traditional direct investments, to obtain exposure to otherwise inaccessible markets (collectively, investment purposes), or to hedge or adjust the risk profile of its portfolio.

The principal type of derivatives utilized by the Fund, as well as the methods in which they may be used are:

Swap Agreements

The Fund may enter into swaps to hedge its exposure to interest rates or credit risk. A swap is an agreement that obligates two parties to exchange a series of cash flows at specified intervals based upon or calculated by reference to changes in specified prices or rates for a specified amount of an underlying asset. The payment flows are usually netted against each other, with the difference being paid by one party to the other. In addition, collateral may be pledged or received by the Fund in accordance with the terms of the respective swap agreements to provide value and recourse to the Fund or its counterparties in the event of default, bankruptcy or insolvency by one of the parties to the swap agreement.

Risks may arise as a result of the failure of the counterparty to the swap contract to comply with the terms of the swap contract. The loss incurred by the failure of a counterparty is generally limited to the net interim payment to be received by the Fund, and/or the termination value at the end of the contract. Therefore, the Fund considers the creditworthiness of each counterparty to a swap contract in evaluating potential counterparty risk. This risk is mitigated by having a netting arrangement between the Fund and the counterparty and by the posting of collateral by the counterparty to the Fund to cover the Fund's exposure to the counterparty. Additionally, risks may arise from unanticipated movements in interest rates or in the value of the underlying securities. The Fund accrues for the interim payments on swap contracts on a daily basis, with the net amount recorded within unrealized appreciation/depreciation of swap contracts on the statement of assets and liabilities, where applicable. Once the interim payments are settled in cash, the net amount is recorded as realized gain/(loss) on swaps on the statement of operations, in addition to any realized gain/(loss) recorded upon the termination of swap contracts. Upfront premiums paid or received are recognized as cost or proceeds on the statement of assets and liabilities and are amortized on a straight line basis over the life of the contract. Amortized upfront premiums are included in net realized gain/(loss) from swaps on the statement of operations. Fluctuations in the value of swap contracts are recorded as a component of net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of swap contracts on the statement of operations.

Interest Rate Swaps:

The Fund is subject to interest rate risk exposure in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives. Because the Fund holds fixed rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. To help hedge against this risk and to maintain its ability to generate income at prevailing market rates, the Fund may enter into interest rate swap contracts. Interest rate swaps are agreements between two parties to exchange cash flows based on a notional amount. The Fund may elect to pay a fixed rate and receive a floating rate, or, receive a fixed rate and pay a floating rate on a notional amount.

In addition, a Fund may also enter into interest rate swap transactions to preserve a return or spread on a particular investment or portion of its portfolio, or protecting against an increase in the price of securities the Fund anticipates purchasing at a later date. Interest rate swaps involve the exchange by a Fund with another party of their respective commitments to pay or receive interest (*e.g.*, an exchange of floating rate payments for fixed rate payments) computed based on a contractually-based principal (or notional) amount. Interest rate swaps are entered into on a net basis (*i.e.*, the two payment streams are netted out, with the Fund receiving or paying, as the case may be, only the net amount of the two payments).

During the year ended October 31, 2012, the Fund held interest rate swaps for hedging purposes.

Documentation governing the Fund's OTC derivatives may contain provisions for early termination of such transaction in the event the net assets of the Fund decline below specific levels set forth in the documentation (net asset contingent features). If these levels are triggered, the Fund's counterparty has the right to terminate such transaction and require the Fund to pay or receive a settlement amount in connection with the terminated transaction. As of October 31, 2012, the Fund had no OTC derivatives with contingent features in net liability positions.

The effect of derivative instruments on the statement of operations for the year ended October 31, 2012:

Derivative Type	Location of Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives	Realized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives	Change in Unrealized Appreciation or (Depreciation)
Interest rate contracts	Net realized gain (loss) on swap contracts; Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of swap contracts	\$ (5,998,721)	\$ 4,384,798
Total		\$ (5,998,721)	\$ 4,384,798

The following table represents the volume of the Fund's derivative transactions during the year ended October 31, 2012:

Interest Rate Swap Contracts:	
Average notional amount	\$ 27,155,556 ^(a)

^(a) Positions were open nine months during the year.

NOTE D

Common Stock

There are 28,728,145 shares of common stock outstanding at October 31, 2012. During the year ended October 31, 2012, the Fund issued 30,941 shares in connection with the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan. During the year ended October 31, 2011, the Fund did not issue any shares in connection with the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan. The value for residual shares sold as reported in the statement of changes in net assets in 2010 was subsequently revised by \$(684) to reflect the actual amount received in 2011.

NOTE E

Preferred Shares

The Fund has 11,400 shares authorized and 9,689 shares issued and outstanding of auction preferred stock (the Preferred Shares), consisting of 2,677 shares each of series M, series W and series TH, and also 1,658 shares of series T. The Preferred Shares have a liquidation value of \$25,000 per share plus accumulated, unpaid dividends. The dividend rate on the Preferred Shares may change every 7 days as set by the auction agent for series M, T, W and TH. Due to the recent failed auctions, the dividend rate is the maximum rate set by the terms of the Preferred Shares, which is based on AA commercial paper rates and short-term municipal bond rates. The dividend rate on series M is 0.32% effective through November 5, 2012, series T is 0.32% effective through November 6, 2012, series W is 0.32% effective through November 7, 2012 and series TH is 0.32% effective through November 1, 2012.

At certain times, the Preferred Shares are redeemable by the Fund, in whole or in part, at \$25,000 per share plus accumulated, unpaid dividends. The Fund voluntarily may redeem the Preferred Shares in certain circumstances.

The Fund is not required to redeem any of its Preferred Shares and expects to continue to rely on the Preferred Shares for a portion of its leverage exposure. The Fund may also pursue other liquidity solutions for the Preferred Shares.

The preferred shareholders, voting as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors in the event two years' dividends on the Preferred Shares are unpaid. In each case, the remaining directors will be elected by the common shareholders and preferred shareholders voting together as a single class. The preferred shareholders will vote as a separate class on certain other matters as required under the Fund's Charter, the Investment Company Act of 1940 and Maryland law.

NOTE F

Distributions to Common Shareholders

The tax character of distributions paid during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 were as follows:

	2012	2011
Distributions paid from:		
Ordinary income	\$ 33,442	\$ 47,671
Tax-exempt income	26,667,009	26,640,730
Total distributions paid	\$ 26,700,451	\$ 26,688,401

As of October 31, 2012, the components of accumulated earnings/(deficit) on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 2,813,916
Accumulated capital and other losses	(13,649,093) ^(a)
Unrealized appreciation/(depreciation)	40,604,553 ^(b)
Total accumulated earnings/(deficit)	\$ 29,769,376 ^(c)

^(a) As of October 31, 2012, the Fund had a net capital loss carryforward of \$13,649,093. During the fiscal year, the Fund had \$10,206 of capital loss carryforwards expire.

^(b) The difference between book-basis and tax-basis unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) is attributable primarily to the tax treatment of tender option bonds.

^(c) The difference between book-basis and tax-basis components of accumulated earnings/(deficit) is attributable primarily to dividends payable.

For tax purposes, net capital losses may be carried over to offset future capital gains, if any. Under the Regulated Investment Company Modernization Act of 2010, funds are permitted to carry forward capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 22, 2010 for an indefinite period. These post-enactment capital losses must be utilized prior to the pre-enactment capital losses, which are subject to expiration. Post-enactment capital loss carryforwards will retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses rather than being considered short-term as under previous regulation.

As of October 31, 2012, the Fund had a net capital loss carryforward of \$13,649,093 which will expire as follows:

Short-Term Amount	Long-Term Amount	Expiration
\$ 979,235	n/a	2017
5,292,453	n/a	2018
4,345,107	n/a	2019
0	\$ 3,032,298	No expiration

During the current fiscal year, permanent differences primarily due to the tax treatment of swaps and the expiration of capital loss carryforwards resulted in a net decrease in undistributed net investment income, a net decrease in accumulated net realized loss on investment transactions, and a net decrease in additional paid-in capital. These reclassifications had no effect on net assets.

NOTE G

Risks Involved in Investing in the Fund

Interest Rate Risk and Credit Risk Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will affect the value of the Fund's investments in fixed-income debt

Notes to Financial Statements

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND 35

securities such as bonds or notes. Increases in interest rates may cause the value of the Fund's investments to decline. Credit risk is the risk that the issuer or guarantor of a debt security, or the counterparty to a derivative contract, will be unable or unwilling to make timely principal and/or interest payments, or to otherwise honor its obligations. The degree of risk for a particular security may be reflected in its credit risk rating. Credit risk is greater for medium quality and lower-rated securities. Lower-rated debt securities and similar unrated securities (commonly known as junk bonds) have speculative elements or are predominantly speculative risks.

Municipal Market Risk This is the risk that special factors may adversely affect the value of municipal securities and have a significant effect on the yield or value of the Fund's investments in municipal securities. These factors include economic conditions, political or legislative changes, uncertainties related to the tax status of municipal securities, or the rights of investors in these securities. To the extent that the Fund invests more of its assets in a particular state's municipal securities, the Fund may be vulnerable to events adversely affecting that state, including economic, political and regulatory occurrences, court decisions, terrorism and catastrophic natural disasters, such as hurricanes or earthquakes. The Fund's investments in certain municipal securities with principal and interest payments that are made from the revenues of a specific project or facility, and not general tax revenues, may have increased risks. Factors affecting the project or facility, such as local business or economic conditions, could have a significant effect on the project's ability to make payments of principal and interest on these securities.

Derivatives Risk The Fund may enter into derivative transactions such as forwards, options, futures and swaps. Derivatives may be illiquid, difficult to price, and leveraged so that small changes may produce disproportionate losses for the Fund, and subject to counterparty risk to a greater degree than more traditional investments. Derivatives may result in significant losses, including losses that are far greater than the value of the derivatives reflected in the statement of assets and liabilities.

Financing and Related Transactions; Leverage and Other Risks The Fund utilizes leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value attributable to its common stock. These objectives may not be achieved in all interest rate environments. Leverage creates certain risks for holders of common stock, including the likelihood of greater volatility of the net asset value and market price of the common stock. If income from the securities purchased from the funds made available by leverage is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Fund's return will be less than if leverage had not been used. As a result, the amounts available for distribution to common stockholders as dividends and other distributions will be reduced. During periods of rising short-term interest rates, the interest paid on the Preferred Shares or floaters in tender option bond transactions would increase, which may adversely affect the Fund's income and distribution to common stockholders. A decline in distributions would adversely affect the Fund's yield and possibly the market value of its shares. If rising short-term rates coincide with a period of rising long-term rates, the value of the long-term municipal bonds purchased with the proceeds of leverage would decline, adversely affecting the net asset value attributable to the Fund's common stock and possibly the market value of the shares.

The Fund's outstanding Preferred Shares results in leverage. The Fund may also use other types of financial leverage, including tender option bond transactions, either in combination with, or in lieu of, the Preferred Shares. In a tender option bond transaction, the Fund may transfer a highly rated fixed-rate municipal security to a broker, which, in turn, deposits the bond into a special purpose vehicle (typically, a trust) usually sponsored by the broker. The Fund receives cash and a residual interest security (sometimes referred to as an inverse floater) issued by the trust in return. The trust simultaneously issues securities, which pay an interest rate that is reset each week based on an index of high-grade short-term seven-day demand notes. These securities, sometimes referred to as floaters, are bought by third parties, including tax-exempt money market funds, and can be tendered by these holders to a liquidity provider at par, unless certain events occur. The Fund continues to earn all the interest from the transferred bond less the amount of interest paid on the floaters and the expenses of the trust, which include payments to the trustee and the liquidity provider and organizational costs. The Fund also uses the cash received from the transaction for investment purposes or to retire other forms of leverage. Under certain circumstances, the trust may be terminated and collapsed, either by the Fund or upon the occurrence of certain events, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying bond, or in the event holders of the floaters tender their securities to the liquidity provider. See Note H to the financial statements for more information about tender option bond transactions.

The Fund may also purchase inverse floaters from a tender option bond trust in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. The income received from an inverse floater varies inversely with the short-term interest rate paid on the floaters issued by the trust. The prices of inverse floaters are subject to greater volatility than the prices of fixed-income securities that are not inverse floaters. Investments in inverse floaters may amplify the risks of leverage. If short-term interest rates rise, the interest payable on the floaters would increase and income from the inverse floaters decrease, resulting in decreased amounts of income available for distribution to common stockholders.

The use of derivative instruments by the Fund, such as forwards, futures, options and swaps, may also result in a form of leverage.

Indemnification Risk In the ordinary course of business, the Fund enters into contracts that contain a variety of indemnifications. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown. However, the Fund has not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these indemnification provisions and expects the risk of loss thereunder to be remote. Therefore, the Fund has not accrued any liability in connection with these indemnification provisions.

NOTE H

Floating Rate Notes Issued in Connection with Securities Held

The Fund may engage in tender option bond transactions in which the Fund may transfer a fixed rate bond (Fixed Rate Bond) to a broker for cash. The

broker deposits the Fixed Rate Bond into a Special Purpose Vehicle (the SPV, which is generally organized as a trust), organized by the broker. The Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of the SPV, often referred to as an inverse floating rate obligation (Inverse Floater). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third parties. The Floating Rate Notes pay interest at rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to a liquidity provider for redemption at par. The Inverse Floater held by the Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the trustee transfer the Fixed Rate Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby collapsing the SPV. The SPV may also be collapsed in certain other circumstances. In accordance with U.S. GAAP requirements regarding accounting for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities, the Fund accounts for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Fixed Rate Bond in its portfolio of investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in its statement of assets and liabilities. Interest expense related to the Fund's liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The interest expense is also included in the Fund's expense ratio. At October 31, 2012, the amount of the Fund's Floating Rate Notes outstanding was \$42,770,000 and the related interest rate was 0.22% to 0.33%.

The Fund may also purchase Inverse Floaters in the secondary market without first owning the underlying bond. Such an Inverse Floater is included in the Fund's portfolio of investments but is not required to be treated as a secured borrowing and reflected in the Fund's financial statements as a secured borrowing. For the year ended October 31, 2012, the Fund did not engage in such transactions.

NOTE I

Recent Accounting Pronouncement

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued an Accounting Standards Update (ASU) related to disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities in financial statements. The amendments in this update require an entity to disclose both gross and net information for derivatives and other financial instruments that are either offset in the statement of assets and liabilities or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The ASU is effective during interim or annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. At this time, management is evaluating the implication of this ASU and its impact on the financial statements has not been determined.

NOTE J

Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events for possible recognition or disclosure in the financial statements through the date the financial statements are issued. Management has determined that there are no material events that would require disclosure in the Fund's financial statements through this date.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Selected Data For A Share Of Common Stock Outstanding Throughout Each Period

	2012	Year Ended October 31,		2009	2008
		2011	2010		
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$ 14.26	\$ 14.44	\$ 13.68	\$ 11.76	\$ 15.05
Income From Investment Operations					
Net investment income ^(a)	.95	1.04	1.07	1.08 ^(b)	1.08 ^(b)
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investment transactions	.98	(.26)	.65	1.79	(3.22)
Dividends to preferred shareholders from net investment income (common stock equivalent basis)	(.02)	(.03)	(.03)	(.08)	(.36)
Net increase (decrease) in net asset value from operations	1.91	.75	1.69	2.79	(2.50)
Less: Dividends to Common Shareholders from					
Net investment income	(.93)	(.93)	(.93)	(.87)	(.79)
Net asset value, end of period	\$ 15.24	\$ 14.26	\$ 14.44	\$ 13.68	\$ 11.76
Market value, end of period	\$ 16.16	\$ 13.92	\$ 14.38	\$ 13.60	\$ 10.95
Premium/(Discount), end of period	6.04 %	(2.38)%	(.42)%	(.58)%	(6.89)%
Total Return					
Total investment return based on: ^(c)					
Market value	23.57 %	3.82 %	12.99 %	33.78 %	(17.38)%
Net asset value	13.76 %	5.91 %	12.80 %	25.30 %	(16.99)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shareholders, end of period (000 s omitted)	\$437,749	\$409,195	\$414,474	\$392,158	\$336,979
Preferred Shares, at liquidation value (\$25,000 per share) (000 s omitted)	\$242,225	\$242,225	\$242,225	\$242,225	\$242,225
Ratio to average net assets applicable to common shareholders of:					
Expenses, net of waivers ^(d)	1.10 %	1.13 %	1.13 %	1.29 %	1.25 %
Expenses, net of waivers, excluding interest expense ^(d)	1.00 %	1.04 %	1.03 %	1.15 %	1.12 %
Expenses, before waivers ^(d)	1.10 %	1.13 %	1.13 %	1.31 %	1.36 %
Expenses, before waivers, excluding interest expense ^(d)	1.00 %	1.04 %	1.03 %	1.17 %	1.23 %
Net investment income, before Preferred Shares dividends ^(d)	6.42 %	7.63 %	7.65 %	8.74 % ^(b)	7.65 % ^(b)
Preferred Shares dividends	.14 %	.20 %	.24 %	.62 %	2.56 %
Net investment income, net of Preferred Shares dividends	6.28 %	7.43 %	7.41 %	8.12 % ^(b)	5.09 % ^(b)
Portfolio turnover rate	28 %	10 %	7 %	7 %	16 %
Asset coverage ratio	281 %	269 %	271 %	262 %	239 %

See footnote summary on page 40.

(a) *Based on average shares outstanding.*

(b) *Net of fees waived by the Adviser.*

(c) *Total investment return is calculated assuming a purchase of common stock on the opening of the first day and a sale on the closing of the last day of each period reported. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan. Generally, total investment return based on net asset value will be higher than total investment return based on market value in periods where there is an increase in the discount or a decrease in the premium of the market value to the net asset value from the beginning to the end of such periods. Conversely, total investment return based on net asset value will be lower than total investment return based on market value in periods where there is a decrease in the discount or an increase in the premium of the market value to the net asset value from the beginning to the end of such periods. Total investment return calculated for a period of less than one year is not annualized.*

(d) *These expense and net investment income ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.*

See notes to financial statements.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED

PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (the Fund), including the portfolio of investments, as of October 31, 2012, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets applicable to common shareholders for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31, 2012 by correspondence with the custodian and others, or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from others were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund, Inc. at October 31, 2012, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets applicable to common shareholders for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

New York, New York

December 27, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND 41

2012 FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION

(unaudited)

For Federal income tax purposes, the following information is furnished with respect to the distributions paid by the Fund during the taxable year ended October 31, 2012.

The Fund designates \$27,266,355 as exempt-interest dividends for the year ended October 31, 2012.

Shareholders should not use the above information to prepare their income tax returns. The information necessary to complete your income tax returns will be included with your Form 1099-DIV which will be sent to you separately in January 2013.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

(unaudited)

Shareholders whose shares are registered in their own names can elect to participate in the Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the Plan), pursuant to which dividends and capital gain distributions to shareholders will be paid in or reinvested in additional shares of the Fund (the Dividend Shares). Computershare Trust Company NA, (the Agent) will act as agent for participants under the Plan. Shareholders whose shares are held in the name of broker or nominee should contact such broker or nominee to determine whether or how they may participate in the Plan.

If the Board declares an income distribution or determines to make a capital gain distribution payable either in shares or in cash, non-participants in the Plan will receive cash and participants in the Plan will receive the equivalent in shares of Common Stock of the Fund valued as follows:

- (i) If the shares of Common Stock are trading at net asset value or at a premium above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the greater of net asset value or 95% of the then current market price.
- (ii) If the shares of Common Stock are trading at a discount from net asset value at the time of valuation, the Agent will receive the dividend or distribution in cash and apply it to the purchase of the Fund's shares of Common Stock in the open market on the New York Stock Exchange or elsewhere, for the participants' accounts. Such purchases will be made on or shortly after the payment date for such dividend or distribution and in no event more than 30 days after such date except where temporary curtailment or suspension of purchase is necessary to comply with Federal securities laws. If, before the Agent has completed its purchases, the market price exceeds the net asset value of a share of Common Stock, the average purchase price per share paid by the Agent may exceed the net asset value of the Fund's shares of Common Stock, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund.

The Agent will maintain all shareholders' accounts in the Plan and furnish written confirmation of all transactions in the account, including information needed by shareholders for tax records. Shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Agent in non-certificate form in the name of the participant, and each shareholder's proxy will include those shares purchased or received pursuant to the Plan.

There will be no charges with respect to shares issued directly by the Fund to satisfy the dividend reinvestment requirements. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Agent's open market purchases of shares.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

William H. Foulk, Jr.,⁽¹⁾ *Chairman*

John H. Dobkin⁽¹⁾

Michael J. Downey⁽¹⁾

D. James Guzy⁽¹⁾

Nancy P. Jacklin⁽¹⁾

OFFICERS

Philip L. Kirstein,
Senior Vice President and Independent Compliance Officer

Robert Guy B. Davidson III,

Senior Vice President

Douglas J. Peebles,
Senior Vice President

Robert M. Keith, *President and Chief Executive Officer*

Garry L. Moody⁽¹⁾

Marshall C. Turner, Jr.⁽¹⁾

Earl D. Weiner⁽¹⁾

Michael G. Brooks,⁽²⁾ Vice President

Fred S. Cohen,⁽²⁾ Vice President

Terrance T. Hults,⁽²⁾ Vice President

Emilie D. Wrapp, Secretary

Joseph J. Mantineo, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Phyllis J. Clarke, Controller

Custodian and Accounting Agent

State Street Bank and Trust Company

One Lincoln Street

Boston, MA 02111

Legal Counsel

Seward & Kissel LLP

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, NY 10004

Preferred Shares:

Dividend Paying Agent, Transfer

Agent and Registrar

Independent Registered Public

Accounting Firm

Ernst & Young LLP

5 Times Square

New York, NY 10036

Common Stock:

Dividend Paying Agent, Transfer

Agent and Registrar

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

P.O. Box 43010

Providence, RI 02940-3010

Edgar Filing: ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

The Bank of New York

101 Barclay Street - 7W

New York, NY 10286

(1) Member of the Audit Committee, the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Independent Directors Committee. Mr. Foulk is the sole member of the Fair Value Pricing Committee.

(2) The day-to-day management of, and investment decisions for, the Fund's portfolio are made by the Municipal Bond Investment Team. The investment professionals with the most significant responsibility for the day-to-day management of the Fund's portfolio are: Michael G. Brooks, Fred S. Cohen, Robert Guy B. Davidson III and Terrance T. Hults.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that the Fund may purchase at market prices from time-to-time shares of its Common Stock in the open market.

This report, including the financial statements therein, is transmitted to the shareholders of AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund for their information. This is not a prospectus, circular or representation intended for use in the purchase of shares of the Fund or any securities mentioned in the report.

Annual Certifications As required, on April 27, 2012, the Fund submitted to the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) the annual certification of the Fund's Chief Executive Officer certifying that he is not aware of any violation of the NYSE's Corporate Governance listing standards. The Fund also has included the certifications of the Fund's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as exhibits to the Fund's Form N-CSR filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the period.

44 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

Board of Directors

MANAGEMENT OF THE FUND

Board of Directors Information

The business and affairs of the Fund are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. Certain information concerning the Fund's Directors is set forth below.

NAME, ADDRESS*, AGE (YEAR FIRST ELECTED**) INTERESTED DIRECTOR	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST FIVE YEARS AND OTHER RELEVANT QUALIFICATIONS***	PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY DIRECTOR	OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS HELD BY DIRECTOR IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS
Robert M. Keith, 1345 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10105 52 (2010)	Senior Vice President of AllianceBernstein L.P. (the Adviser) and the head of AllianceBernstein Investments, Inc. (ABI) since July 2008; Director of ABI and President of the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds. Previously, he served as Executive Managing Director of ABI from December 2006 to June 2008. Prior to joining ABI in 2006, Executive Managing Director of Bernstein Global Wealth Management, and prior thereto, Senior Managing Director and Global Head of Client Service and Sales of the Adviser's institutional investment management business since 2004. Prior thereto, he was Managing Director and Head of North American Client Service and Sales in the Adviser's institutional investment management business, with which he had been associated since prior to 2004.	101	None

PRINCIPAL

NAME, ADDRESS*, AGE (YEAR FIRST ELECTED**) DISINTERESTED DIRECTORS	OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST FIVE YEARS AND OTHER RELEVANT QUALIFICATIONS***	PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY DIRECTOR	OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS HELD BY DIRECTOR IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS
<i>Chairman of the Board</i>	Investment Adviser and an Independent Consultant since prior to 2007. Previously, he was Senior Manager of Barrett Associates, Inc., a registered investment adviser. He was formerly Deputy Comptroller and Chief Investment Officer of the State of New York and, prior thereto, Chief Investment Officer of the New York Bank for Savings. He has served as a director or trustee of various AllianceBernstein Funds since 1983 and has been Chairman of the AllianceBernstein Funds and of the Independent Directors Committee of such Funds since 2003. He is also active in a number of mutual fund related organizations and committees.	101	None
William H. Foulk, Jr., #, ## 80 (2001)	Independent Consultant since prior to 2007. Formerly, President of Save Venice, Inc. (preservation organization) from 2001-2002; Senior Advisor from June 1999-June 2000 and President of Historic Hudson Valley (historic preservation) from December 1989-May 1999. Previously, Director of the National Academy of Design. He has served as a director or trustee of various AllianceBernstein Funds since 1992, and as Chairman of the Audit Committees of a number of such Funds from 2001-2008.	101	None

PRINCIPAL

NAME, ADDRESS*, AGE (YEAR FIRST ELECTED**)	OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST FIVE YEARS AND OTHER RELEVANT QUALIFICATIONS***	PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY DIRECTOR	OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS HELD BY DIRECTOR IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS
DISINTERESTED DIRECTORS (continued) Michael J. Downey, # 68 (2005)	Private Investor since prior to 2007. Formerly, managing partner of Lexington Capital, LLC (investment advisory firm) from December 1997 until December 2003. From 1987 until 1993, Chairman and CEO of Prudential Mutual Fund Management, director of the Prudential mutual funds and member of the Executive Committee of Prudential Securities, Inc. He has served as a director or trustee of the AllianceBernstein Funds since 2005 and is a director of two other registered investment companies (and Chairman of one of them).	101	Asia Pacific Fund, Inc. and The Merger Fund since prior to 2007, and Prospect Acquisition Corp. (financial services) from 2007 until 2009
D. James Guzy, # 76 (2005)	Chairman of the Board of PLX Technology (semi-conductors) and of SRC Computers Inc., with which he has been associated since prior to 2007. He was a director of Intel Corporation (semi-conductors) from 1969 until 2008, and served as Chairman of the Finance Committee of such company for several years until May 2008. He has served as a director or trustee of one or more of the AllianceBernstein Funds since 1982.	101	Cirrus Logic Corporation (semi-conductors), and PLX Technology (semi-conductors) since prior to 2007 and Intel Corporation (semi-conductors) since prior to 2007 until 2008

PRINCIPAL

NAME, ADDRESS*, AGE (YEAR FIRST ELECTED**)	OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST FIVE YEARS AND OTHER RELEVANT QUALIFICATIONS***	PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY DIRECTOR	OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS HELD BY DIRECTOR IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS
DISINTERESTED DIRECTORS (continued) Nancy P. Jacklin, # 64 (2006)	Professorial Lecturer at the Johns Hopkins School of Advanced International Studies since 2008. Formerly, U.S. Executive Director of the International Monetary Fund (December 2002-May 2006); Partner, Clifford Chance (1992-2002); Sector Counsel, International Banking and Finance, and Associate General Counsel, Citicorp (1985-1992); Assistant General Counsel (International), Federal Reserve Board of Governors (1982-1985); and Attorney Advisor, U.S. Department of the Treasury (1973-1982). Member of the Bar of the District of Columbia and of New York; member of the Council on Foreign Relations. She has served as a director or trustee of the AllianceBernstein Funds since 2006.	101	None
Garry L. Moody, # 60 (2008)	Independent Consultant. Formerly, Partner, Deloitte & Touche LLP, (1995-2008) where he held a number of senior positions, including Vice Chairman, and U.S. and Global Investment Management Practice Managing Partner; President, Fidelity Accounting and Custody Services Company (1993-1995); and Partner, Ernst & Young LLP (1975-1993), where he served as the National Director of Mutual Fund Tax Services. He is also a member of the Governing Council of the Independent Directors Council (IDC), organization of independent directors of mutual funds. He has served as a director or trustee, and as Chairman of the Audit Committee, of the AllianceBernstein Funds since 2008.	101	None

PRINCIPAL

NAME, ADDRESS*, AGE (YEAR FIRST ELECTED**) DISINTERESTED DIRECTORS (continued)	OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST FIVE YEARS AND OTHER RELEVANT QUALIFICATIONS***	PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY DIRECTOR	OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS HELD BY DIRECTOR IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS
Marshall C. Turner, Jr., # 71 (2005)	Private Investor since prior to 2007. Interim CEO of MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc. (semi-conductor and solar cell substrates) since November 2008 until March 2009. He was Chairman and CEO of Dupont Photomasks, Inc. (components of semi-conductor manufacturing), 2003-2005, and President and CEO, 2005-2006, after the company was acquired and renamed Toppan Photomasks, Inc. He has extensive experience in venture capital investing including prior service as general partner of three institutional venture capital partnerships, and serves on the boards of a number of education and science-related non-profit organizations. He has served as a director or trustee of one or more of the AllianceBernstein Funds since 1992.	101	Xilinx, Inc. (programmable logic semi-conductors) and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc. (semi-conductor and solar cell substrates) since prior to 2007
Earl D. Weiner, # 73 (2007)	Of Counsel, and Partner prior to January 2007, of the law firm Sullivan & Cromwell LLP and member of ABA Federal Regulation of Securities Committee Task Force to draft editions of the Fund Director's Guidebook. He also serves as a director or trustee of various non-profit organizations and has served as Chairman or Vice Chairman of a number of them. He has served as a director or trustee of the AllianceBernstein Funds since 2007 and is Chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committees of the Funds.	101	None

* *The address for each of the Fund's disinterested Directors is c/o AllianceBernstein L.P., Attention: Philip L. Kirstein, 1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10105.*

** *There is no stated term of office for the Fund's Directors.*

*** *The information above includes each Director's principal occupation during the last five years and other information relating to the experience, attributes and skills relevant to each Director's qualifications to serve as a Director, which led to the conclusion that each Director should serve as a Director for the Fund.*

Mr. Keith is an interested person of the Fund, as defined in the 1940 Act, due to his position as a Senior Vice President of the Adviser.

Member of the Audit Committee, the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Independent Directors Committee.

Member of the Fair Value Pricing Committee.

50 **ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND**

Management of the Fund

Officer Information

Certain information concerning the Fund's Officers is listed below.

NAME, ADDRESS* AND AGE	POSITION(S) HELD WITH FUND	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION DURING PAST FIVE YEARS
Robert M. Keith 52	President and Chief Executive Officer	See biography above.
Philip L. Kirstein 67	Senior Vice President and Independent Compliance Officer	Senior Vice President and Independent Compliance Officer of the AllianceBernstein Funds, with which he has been associated since October 2004. Prior thereto, he was Of Counsel to Kirkpatrick & Lockhart, LLP from October 2003 to October 2004, and General Counsel of Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. since prior to March 2003.
Robert B. Guy Davidson III 51	Senior Vice President	Senior Vice President of the Adviser,** with which he has been associated since prior to 2007.
Douglas J. Peebles 47	Senior Vice President	Senior Vice President of the Adviser,** with which he has been associated since prior to 2007.
Michael G. Brooks 64	Vice President	Senior Vice President of the Adviser,** with which he has been associated since prior to 2007.
Fred S. Cohen 54	Vice President	Senior Vice President of the Adviser,** with which he has been associated since prior to 2007.
Terrance T. Hults 46	Vice President	Senior Vice President of the Adviser,** with which he has been associated since prior to 2007.
Emilie D. Wrapp 57	Secretary	Senior Vice President, Assistant General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of ABI,** with which she has been associated since prior to 2007.
Joseph J. Mantineo 53	Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer	Senior Vice President of AllianceBernstein Investor Services, Inc. (ABIS),** with which he has been associated since prior to 2007.
Phyllis J. Clarke 51	Controller	Vice President of ABIS,** with which she has been associated since prior to 2007.

* The address for each of the Fund's Officers is 1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10105.

** The Adviser, ABI and ABIS are affiliates of the Fund.

SUMMARY OF GENERAL INFORMATION

Shareholder Information

The Fund's NYSE trading symbol is AFB. Weekly comparative net asset value (NAV) and market price information about the Fund is published each Monday in *The Wall Street Journal* and each Saturday in *Barron's* and other newspapers in a table called Closed-End Bond Funds. Daily net asset value and market price information, and additional information regarding the Fund, is available at www.alliancebernstein.com.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Pursuant to the Fund's Dividend Reinvestment Plan, shareholders whose shares are registered in their own names may elect to have all distributions reinvested automatically in additional shares of the Fund by ComputerShare Trust Company, N.A., as agent under the Plan. Shareholders whose shares are held in the name of the broker or nominee should contact the broker or nominee for details. All Distributions to investors who elect not to participate in the Plan will be paid by check mailed directly to the record holder by or under the direction of ComputerShare Trust Company, N.A.

For questions concerning shareholder account information, or if you would like a brochure describing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan, please call Computershare Trust Company at (800) 219-4218.

THIS PAGE IS NOT PART OF THE SHAREHOLDER REPORT OR THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN FAMILY OF FUNDS

Wealth Strategies

Balanced Wealth Strategy

Conservative Wealth Strategy

Wealth Appreciation Strategy

Tax-Managed Balanced Wealth Strategy

Tax-Managed Conservative Wealth Strategy

Tax-Managed Wealth Appreciation Strategy

Asset Allocation/Multi-Asset Funds

Dynamic All Market Fund

Emerging Markets Multi-Asset Portfolio

International Portfolio

Tax-Managed International Portfolio

Growth Funds

Domestic

Discovery Growth Fund**

Growth Fund

Large Cap Growth Fund

Select US Equity Portfolio

Small Cap Growth Portfolio

U.S. Strategic Research Portfolio

Global & International

Global Thematic Growth Fund

International Discovery Equity Portfolio

International Focus 40 Portfolio

International Growth Fund

Value Funds

Domestic

Core Opportunities Fund

Discovery Value Fund**

Equity Income Fund

Growth & Income Fund

Value Fund

Global & International

Global Real Estate Investment Fund

Global Value Fund

International Value Fund

Taxable Bond Funds

Bond Inflation Strategy

Global Bond Fund

High Income Fund

Intermediate Bond Portfolio

Limited Duration High Income Portfolio

Short Duration Portfolio

Municipal Bond Funds

Arizona Portfolio

California Portfolio

High Income Portfolio

Massachusetts Portfolio

Michigan Portfolio

Minnesota Portfolio

Municipal Bond

Inflation Strategy

Intermediate Municipal Bond Funds

Intermediate California Portfolio

Intermediate Diversified Portfolio

National Portfolio

New Jersey Portfolio

New York Portfolio

Ohio Portfolio

Pennsylvania Portfolio

Virginia Portfolio

Edgar Filing: ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Intermediate New York Portfolio

Closed-End Funds

Alliance California Municipal Income Fund

Alliance New York Municipal Income Fund

AllianceBernstein Global High Income Fund

AllianceBernstein Income Fund

AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund

Alternatives

Global Risk Allocation Fund**

Market Neutral Strategy-Global

Market Neutral Strategy-U.S.

Real Asset Strategy

Unconstrained Bond Fund

Retirement Strategies

2000 Retirement Strategy

2020 Retirement Strategy

2040 Retirement Strategy

2005 Retirement Strategy

2025 Retirement Strategy

2045 Retirement Strategy

2010 Retirement Strategy

2030 Retirement Strategy

2050 Retirement Strategy

2015 Retirement Strategy

2035 Retirement Strategy

2055 Retirement Strategy

We also offer Exchange Reserves,* which serves as the money market fund exchange vehicle for the AllianceBernstein mutual funds.

Investors should consider the investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses of the Fund carefully before investing. For copies of our prospectus or summary prospectus, which contain this and other information, visit us online at www.alliancebernstein.com or contact your AllianceBernstein investments representative. Please read the prospectus and/or summary prospectus carefully before investing.

* An investment in the Fund is not a deposit in a bank and is not insured or guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency. Although the Fund seeks to preserve the value of your investment at \$1.00 per share, it is possible to lose money by investing in the Fund.

** Prior to October 8, 2012, Global Risk Allocation Fund was named Balanced Shares. Prior to November 1, 2012, Discovery Growth Fund was named Small/Mid Cap Growth Fund and Discovery Value Fund was named Small/Mid Cap Value Fund.

NOTES

54 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

NOTES

NOTES

56 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

NOTES

NOTES

58 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

NOTES

NOTES

60 ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

Privacy Notice (This information is not part of the Shareholder Report.)

AllianceBernstein L.P., the AllianceBernstein Family of Funds and AllianceBernstein Investments, Inc. (collectively, AllianceBernstein or we) understand the importance of maintaining the confidentiality of our clients' nonpublic personal information. Nonpublic personal information is personally identifiable financial information about our clients who are natural persons. To provide financial products and services to our clients, we may collect information about clients from sources, including: (1) account documentation, including applications or other forms, which may contain information such as a client's name, address, phone number, social security number, assets, income, and other household information, (2) clients' transactions with us and others, such as account balances and transactions history, and (3) information from visitors to our websites provided through online forms, site visitorship data, and online information collecting devices known as cookies.

It is our policy not to disclose nonpublic personal information about our clients (or former clients) except to our affiliates, or to others as permitted or required by law. From time to time, AllianceBernstein may disclose nonpublic personal information that we collect about our clients (or former clients), as described above, to non-affiliated third parties, including those that perform processing or servicing functions and those that provide marketing services for us or on our behalf under a joint marketing agreement that requires the third party provider to adhere to AllianceBernstein's privacy policy. We have policies and procedures to safeguard nonpublic personal information about our clients (and former clients) that include restricting access to such nonpublic personal information and maintaining physical, electronic and procedural safeguards, that comply with applicable standards, to safeguard such nonpublic personal information.

ALLIANCEBERNSTEIN NATIONAL MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

1345 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10105

800.221.5672

ABNMIF-0151-1012

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

(a) The registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. A copy of the registrant's code of ethics is filed herewith as Exhibit 12(a)(1).

(b) During the period covered by this report, no material amendments were made to the provisions of the code of ethics adopted in 2(a) above.

(c) During the period covered by this report, no implicit or explicit waivers to the provisions of the code of ethics adopted in 2(a) above were granted.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant's Board of Directors has determined that independent directors Garry L. Moody and William H. Foulk, Jr. qualify as audit committee financial experts.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

(a) - (c) The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed by the independent registered public accounting firm Ernst & Young LLP, for the Fund's last two fiscal years for professional services rendered for: (i) the audit of the Fund's annual financial statements included in the Fund's annual report to stockholders; (ii) assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the Fund's financial statements and are not reported under (i), which include advice and education related to accounting and auditing issues and quarterly press release review (for those Funds which issue press releases), and preferred stock maintenance testing (for those Funds that issue preferred stock); and (iii) tax compliance, tax advice and tax return preparation.

	Audit Fees	Audit-Related Fees	Tax Fees
2011	\$ 32,500	\$ 8,295	\$ 12,467
2012	\$ 32,500	\$ 8,326	\$ 12,967

(d) Not applicable.

(e) (1) Beginning with audit and non-audit service contracts entered into on or after May 6, 2003, the Fund's Audit Committee policies and procedures require the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services provided to the Fund by the Fund's independent registered public accounting firm. The Fund's Audit Committee policies and procedures also require pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services provided to the Adviser and Service Affiliates to the extent that these services are directly related to the operations or financial reporting of the Fund.

(e) (2) All of the amounts for Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees in the table under Item 4 (a) - (c) are for services pre-approved by the Fund's Audit Committee.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table sets forth the aggregate non-audit services provided to the Fund, the Fund's Adviser and entities that control, are controlled by or under common control with the Adviser that provide ongoing services to the Fund:

	All Fees for Non-Audit Services Provided to the Portfolio, the Adviser and Service Affiliates	Total Amount of Foregoing Column Pre- approved by the Audit Committee (Portion Comprised of Audit Related Fees) (Portion Comprised of Tax Fees)
2011	\$ 696,428	\$ 20,762
		\$ (8,295)
		\$ (12,467)
2012	\$ 720,058	\$ 21,293
		\$ (8,326)
		\$ (12,967)

(h) The Audit Committee of the Fund has considered whether the provision of any non-audit services not pre-approved by the Audit Committee provided by the Fund's independent registered public accounting firm to the Adviser and Service Affiliates is compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The audit committee members are as follows:

Garry L. Moody

D. James Guzy

John H. Dobkin

Nancy P. Jacklin

Michael J. Downey

Marshall C. Turner, Jr.

William H. Foulk, Jr.

Earl D. Weiner

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

Please see Schedule of Investments contained in the Report to Shareholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Statement of Policies and Procedures for

Proxy Voting

1. Introduction

As a registered investment adviser, AllianceBernstein L.P. (**AllianceBernstein** , **we or us**) has a fiduciary duty to act solely in the best interests of our clients. We recognize that this duty requires us to vote client securities in a timely manner and make voting decisions that are intended to maximize long-term shareholder value. Generally, our clients' objective is to maximize the financial return of their portfolios within appropriate risk parameters. We have long recognized that environmental, social and governance (**ESG**) issues can impact the performance of investment portfolios. Accordingly, we have sought to integrate ESG factors into our investment process to the extent that the integration of such factors is consistent with our fiduciary duty to help our clients achieve their investment objectives and protect their economic interests. Our Statement of Policy Regarding Responsible Investment (**RI Policy**) is attached to this Statement as an Exhibit.

We consider ourselves shareholder advocates and take this responsibility very seriously. Consistent with our commitments, we will disclose our clients' voting records only to them and as required by mutual fund vote disclosure regulations. In addition, our proxy committees may, after careful consideration, choose to respond to surveys so long as doing so does not compromise confidential voting.

This statement is intended to comply with Rule 206(4)-6 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. It sets forth our policies and procedures for voting proxies for our discretionary investment advisory clients, including investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940. This statement applies to AllianceBernstein's investment groups investing on behalf of clients in both U.S. and non-U.S. securities.

2. Proxy Policies

Our proxy voting policies are principle-based rather than rules-based. We adhere to a core set of principles that are described in this Statement and in our Proxy Voting Manual. We assess each proxy proposal in light of those principles. Our proxy voting litmus test will always be what we view as most likely to maximize long-term shareholder value. We believe that authority and accountability for setting and executing corporate policies, goals and compensation should generally rest with the board of directors and senior management. In return, we support strong investor rights that allow shareholders to hold directors and management accountable if they fail to act in the best interests of shareholders. In addition, if we determine that ESG issues that arise with respect to an issuer's past, current or anticipated behaviors are, or are reasonably likely to become, material to its future earnings, we address these concerns in our proxy voting and engagement.

This statement is designed to be responsive to the wide range of proxy voting subjects that can have a significant effect on the investment value of the securities held in our clients' accounts. These policies are not exhaustive due to the variety of proxy voting issues that we may be required to consider. AllianceBernstein reserves the right to depart from these guidelines in order to make voting decisions that are in our clients' best interests. In reviewing proxy issues, we will apply the following general policies:

2.1. Corporate Governance

We recognize the importance of good corporate governance in our proxy voting policies and engagement practices in ensuring that management and the board of directors fulfill their obligations to shareholders. We favor proposals promoting transparency and accountability within a company. We support the appointment of a majority of independent directors on key committees and generally support separating the positions of chairman and chief executive officer, except in cases where a company has sufficient counter-balancing governance in place. Because we believe that good corporate governance requires shareholders to have a meaningful voice in the affairs of the company, we generally will support shareholder proposals which request that companies amend their by-laws to

provide that director nominees be elected by an affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Furthermore, we have written to the SEC in support of shareholder access to corporate proxy statements under specified conditions with the goal of serving the best interests of all shareholders.

2.2. Elections of Directors

Unless there is a proxy fight for seats on the Board or we determine that there are other compelling reasons to oppose directors, we will vote in favor of the management proposed slate of directors. That said, we believe that directors have a duty to respond to shareholder actions that have received significant shareholder support. Therefore, we may vote against directors (or withhold votes for directors where plurality voting applies) who fail to act on key issues such as failure to implement proposals to declassify the board, failure to implement a majority vote requirement, failure to submit a rights plan to a shareholder vote or failure to act on tender offers where a majority of shareholders have tendered their shares. In addition, we will vote against directors who fail to attend at least seventy-five percent of board meetings within a given year without a reasonable excuse, and we may abstain or vote against directors of non-U.S. issuers where there is insufficient information about the nominees disclosed in the proxy statement. Also, we will generally not oppose directors who meet the definition of independence promulgated by the primary exchange on which the company's shares are traded or set forth in the code we determine to be best practice in the country where the subject company is domiciled. Finally, because we believe that cumulative voting in single shareholder class structures provides a disproportionately large voice to minority shareholders in the affairs of a company, we will generally vote against such proposals and vote for management proposals seeking to eliminate cumulative voting. However, in dual class structures (such as A&B shares) where the shareholders with a majority economic interest have a minority voting interest, we will generally vote in favor of cumulative voting.

2.3. Appointment of Auditors

AllianceBernstein believes that the company is in the best position to choose its auditors, so we will generally support management's recommendation. However, we recognize that there are inherent conflicts when a company's independent auditor performs substantial non-audit services for the company. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 prohibits certain categories of services by auditors to U.S. issuers, making this issue less prevalent in the U.S. Nevertheless, in reviewing a proposed auditor, we will consider the fees paid for non-audit services relative to total fees and whether there are other reasons for us to question the independence or performance of the auditors.

2.4. Changes in Legal and Capital Structure

Changes in a company's charter, articles of incorporation or by-laws are often technical and administrative in nature. Absent a compelling reason to the contrary, AllianceBernstein will cast its votes in accordance with management's recommendations on such proposals. However, we will review and analyze on a case-by-case basis any non-routine proposals that are likely to affect the structure and operation of the company or have a material economic effect on the company. For example, we will generally support proposals to increase authorized common stock when it is necessary to implement a stock split, aid in a restructuring or acquisition, or provide a sufficient number of shares for an employee savings plan, stock option plan or executive compensation plan. However, a satisfactory explanation of a company's intentions must be disclosed in the proxy statement for proposals requesting an increase of greater than 100% of the shares outstanding. We will oppose increases in authorized common stock where there is evidence that the shares will be used to implement a poison pill or another form of anti-takeover device. We will support shareholder proposals that seek to eliminate dual class voting structures.

2.5. Corporate Restructurings, Mergers and Acquisitions

AllianceBernstein believes proxy votes dealing with corporate reorganizations are an extension of the investment decision. Accordingly, we will analyze such proposals on a case-by-case basis, weighing heavily the views of our research analysts that cover the company and our investment professionals managing the portfolios in which the stock is held.

2.6. Proposals Affecting Shareholder Rights

AllianceBernstein believes that certain fundamental rights of shareholders must be protected. We will generally vote in favor of proposals that give shareholders a greater voice in the affairs of the company and oppose any measure that seeks to limit those rights. However, when analyzing such proposals we will weigh the financial impact of the proposal against the impairment of shareholder rights.

2.7. Anti-Takeover Measures

AllianceBernstein believes that measures that impede corporate transactions (such as takeovers) or entrench management not only infringe on the rights of shareholders but may also have a detrimental effect on the value of the company. Therefore, we will generally oppose proposals, regardless of whether they are advanced by management or shareholders, when their purpose or effect is to entrench management or excessively or inappropriately dilute shareholder ownership. Conversely, we support proposals that would restrict or otherwise eliminate anti-takeover or anti-shareholder measures that have already been adopted by corporate issuers. For example, we will support shareholder proposals that seek to require the company to submit a shareholder rights plan to a shareholder vote. We will evaluate, on a case-by-case basis, proposals to completely redeem or eliminate such plans. Furthermore, we will generally oppose proposals put forward by management (including the authorization of blank check preferred stock, classified boards and supermajority vote requirements) that appear to be anti-shareholder or intended as management entrenchment mechanisms.

2.8. Executive Compensation

AllianceBernstein believes that company management and the compensation committee of the board of directors should, within reason, be given latitude to determine the types and mix of compensation and benefits offered to company employees. Whether proposed by a shareholder or management, we will review proposals relating to executive compensation plans on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the long-term interests of management and shareholders are properly aligned. In general, we will analyze the proposed plan to ensure that shareholder equity will not be excessively diluted taking into account shares available for grant under the proposed plan as well as other existing plans. We generally will oppose plans that allow stock options to be granted with below market value exercise prices on the date of issuance or permit re-pricing of underwater stock options without shareholder approval. Other factors such as the company's performance and industry practice will generally be factored into our analysis. In markets where remuneration reports or advisory votes on executive compensation are not required for all companies, we will generally support shareholder proposals asking the board to adopt a policy (*i.e.*, say on pay) that the company's shareholders be given the opportunity to vote on an advisory resolution to approve the compensation practices of the company. Although say on pay votes are by nature only broad indications of shareholder views, they do lead to more compensation-related dialogue between management and shareholders and help ensure that management and shareholders meet their common objective: maximizing the value of the company. In markets where votes to approve remuneration reports or advisory votes on executive compensation are required, we review the compensation practices on a case-by-case basis. With respect to companies that have received assistance through government programs such as TARP, we will generally oppose shareholder proposals that seek to impose greater executive compensation restrictions on subject companies than are required under the applicable program because such restrictions could create a competitive disadvantage for the subject company. We believe the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) took appropriate steps to ensure more complete and transparent disclosure of executive

compensation when it issued modified executive compensation and corporate governance disclosure rules in 2006 and February 2010. Therefore, while we will consider them on a case-by-case basis, we generally vote against shareholder proposals seeking additional disclosure of executive and director compensation, including proposals that seek to specify the measurement of performance-based compensation, if the company is subject to SEC rules. We will support requiring a shareholder vote on management proposals to provide severance packages that exceed 2.99 times the sum of an executive officer's base salary plus bonus that are triggered by a change in control. Finally, we will support shareholder proposals requiring a company to expense compensatory employee stock options (to the extent the jurisdiction in which the company operates does not already require it) because we view this form of compensation as a significant corporate expense that should be appropriately accounted for.

2.9. ESG

We are appointed by our clients as an investment manager with a fiduciary responsibility to help them achieve their investment objectives over the long term. Generally, our clients' objective is to maximize the financial return of their portfolios within appropriate risk parameters. We have long recognized that ESG issues can impact the performance of investment portfolios. Accordingly, we have sought to integrate ESG factors into our investment and proxy voting processes to the extent that the integration of such factors is consistent with our fiduciary duty to help our clients achieve their investment objectives and protect their economic interests. For additional information regarding our approach to incorporating ESG issues in our investment and decision-making processes, please refer to our RI Policy, which is attached to this Statement as an Exhibit.

Shareholder proposals relating to environmental, social (including political) and governance issues often raise complex and controversial issues that may have both a financial and non-financial effect on the company. And while we recognize that the effect of certain policies on a company may be difficult to quantify, we believe it is clear that they do affect the company's long-term

performance. Our position in evaluating these proposals is founded on the principle that we are a fiduciary. As such, we carefully consider any factors that we believe could affect a company's long-term investment performance (including ESG issues) in the course of our extensive fundamental, company-specific research and engagement, which we rely on in making our investment and proxy voting decisions. Maximizing long-term shareholder value is our overriding concern when evaluating these matters, so we consider the impact of these proposals on the future earnings of the company. In so doing, we will balance the assumed cost to a company of implementing one or more shareholder proposals against the positive effects we believe implementing the proposal may have on long-term shareholder value.

3. Proxy Voting Procedures

3.1. Proxy Voting Committees

Our growth and value investment groups have formed separate proxy voting committees (**Proxy Committees**) to establish general proxy policies for AllianceBernstein and consider specific proxy voting matters as necessary. These Proxy Committees periodically review these policies and new types of environmental, social and governance issues, and decide how we should vote on proposals not covered by these policies. When a proxy vote cannot be clearly decided by an application of our stated policy, the appropriate Proxy Committee will evaluate the proposal. In addition, the Proxy Committees, in conjunction with the analyst that covers the company, may contact corporate management, interested shareholder groups and others as necessary to discuss proxy issues. Members of the Proxy Committees include senior investment personnel and representatives of the Legal and Compliance Department.

Different investment philosophies may occasionally result in different conclusions being drawn regarding certain proposals and, in turn, may result in the Proxy Committees making different voting decisions on the same proposal for value and growth holdings. Nevertheless, the Proxy Committees always vote proxies with the goal of maximizing the value of the securities in client portfolios.

It is the responsibility of the Proxy Committees to evaluate and maintain proxy voting procedures and guidelines, to evaluate proposals and issues not covered by these guidelines, to evaluate proxies where we face a potential conflict of interest (as discussed below), to consider changes in policy and to review the Proxy Voting Statement and the Proxy Voting Manual no less frequently than annually. In addition, the Proxy Committees meet as necessary to address special situations.

3.2. Engagement

In evaluating proxy issues and determining our votes, we welcome and seek out the points of view of various parties. Internally, the Proxy Committees may consult chief investment officers, directors of research, research analysts across our value and growth equity platforms, portfolio managers in whose managed accounts a stock is held and/or other Investment Policy Group members. Externally, the Proxy Committees may consult company management, company directors, interest groups, shareholder activists and research providers. If we believe an ESG issue is, or is reasonably likely to become, material, we engage a company's management to discuss the relevant issues.

Our engagement with companies and interest groups continues to expand as we have had more such meetings in the past few years.

3.3. Conflicts of Interest

AllianceBernstein recognizes that there may be a potential conflict of interest when we vote a proxy solicited by an issuer whose retirement plan we manage or administer, who distributes AllianceBernstein-sponsored mutual funds, or with whom we have, or one of our employees has, a business or personal relationship that may affect (or may be reasonably viewed as affecting) how we vote on the issuer's proxy. Similarly, AllianceBernstein may have a potentially material

conflict of interest when deciding how to vote on a proposal sponsored or supported by a shareholder group that is a client. We believe that centralized management of proxy voting, oversight by the proxy voting committees and adherence to these policies ensures that proxies are voted based solely on our clients' best interests. Additionally, we have implemented procedures to ensure that our votes are not the product of a material conflict of interest, including: (i) on an annual basis, the Proxy Committees taking reasonable steps to evaluate (A) the nature of AllianceBernstein's and our employees' material business and personal relationships (and those of our affiliates) with any company whose equity securities are held in client accounts and (B) any client that has sponsored or has a material interest in a proposal upon which we will be eligible to vote; (ii) requiring anyone involved in the decision making process to disclose to the chairman of the appropriate Proxy Committee any potential conflict that he or she is aware of (including personal relationships) and any contact that he or she has had with any interested party regarding a proxy vote; (iii) prohibiting employees involved in the decision making process or vote administration from revealing how we intend to vote on a proposal in order to reduce any attempted influence from interested parties; and (iv) where a material conflict of interests exists, reviewing our proposed vote by applying a series of objective tests and, where necessary, considering the views of third party research services to ensure that our voting decision is consistent with our clients' best interests.

Because under certain circumstances AllianceBernstein considers the recommendation of third party research services, the Proxy Committees takes reasonable steps to verify that any third party research service is, in fact, independent taking into account all of the relevant facts and circumstances. This includes reviewing the third party research service's conflict management procedures and ascertaining, among other things, whether the third party research service (i) has the capacity and competency to adequately analyze proxy issues, and (ii) can make recommendations in an impartial manner and in the best interests of our clients.

3.4. Proxies of Certain Non-U.S. Issuers

Proxy voting in certain countries requires share blocking. Shareholders wishing to vote their proxies must deposit their shares shortly before the date of the meeting with a designated depository. During this blocking period, shares that will be voted at the meeting cannot be sold until the meeting has taken place and the shares are returned to the clients' custodian banks. Absent compelling reasons to the contrary, AllianceBernstein believes that the benefit to the client of exercising the vote is outweighed by the cost of voting (*i.e.*, not being able to sell the shares during this period). Accordingly, if share blocking is required we generally choose not to vote those shares.

AllianceBernstein seeks to vote all proxies for securities held in client accounts for which we have proxy voting authority. However, in non-US markets administrative issues beyond our control may at times prevent AllianceBernstein from voting such proxies. For example, AllianceBernstein may receive meeting notices after the cut-off date for voting or without sufficient time to fully consider the proxy. As another example, certain markets require periodic renewals of powers of attorney that local agents must have from our clients prior to implementing AllianceBernstein's voting instructions.

3.5. Loaned Securities

Many clients of AllianceBernstein have entered into securities lending arrangements with agent lenders to generate additional revenue. AllianceBernstein will not be able to vote securities that are on loan under these types of arrangements. However, under rare circumstances, for voting issues that may have a significant impact on the investment, we may request that clients recall securities that are on loan if we determine that the benefit of voting outweighs the costs and lost revenue to the client or fund and the administrative burden of retrieving the securities.

3.6. Proxy Voting Records

Clients may obtain information about how we voted proxies on their behalf by contacting their AllianceBernstein administrative representative. Alternatively, clients may make a written request for proxy voting information to: Mark R. Manley, Senior Vice President & Chief Compliance Officer, AllianceBernstein L.P., 1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10105.

[ALTERNATIVE LANGUAGE FOR U.S. MUTUAL FUNDS]

You may obtain information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge. Simply visit AllianceBernstein's web site at www.alliancebernstein.com, go to the Securities and Exchange Commission's web site at www.sec.gov or call AllianceBernstein at (800) 227-4618.

Statement of Policy Regarding
Responsible Investment
Principles for Responsible Investment,
ESG, and Socially Responsible Investment

1. Introduction

AllianceBernstein L.P. (**AllianceBernstein** or **we**) is appointed by our clients as an investment manager with a fiduciary responsibility to help them achieve their investment objectives over the long term. Generally, our clients' objective is to maximize the financial return of their portfolios within appropriate risk parameters. AllianceBernstein has long recognized that environmental, social and governance (**ESG**) issues can impact the performance of investment portfolios. Accordingly, we have sought to integrate ESG factors into our investment process to the extent that the integration of such factors is consistent with our fiduciary duty to help our clients achieve their investment objectives and protect their economic interests.

Our policy draws a distinction between how the Principles for Responsible Investment (**PRI** or **Principles**), and Socially Responsible Investing (**SRI**) incorporate ESG factors. PRI is based on the premise that, because ESG issues can affect investment performance, appropriate consideration of ESG issues and engagement regarding them is firmly within the bounds of a mainstream investment manager's fiduciary duties to its clients. Furthermore, PRI is intended to be applied only in ways that are consistent with those mainstream fiduciary duties.

SRI, which refers to a spectrum of investment strategies that seek to integrate ethical, moral, sustainability and other non-financial factors into the investment process, generally involves exclusion and/or divestment, as well as investment guidelines that restrict investments. AllianceBernstein may accept such guideline restrictions upon client request.

2. Approach to ESG

Our long-standing policy has been to include ESG factors in our extensive fundamental research and consider them carefully when we believe they are material to our forecasts and investment decisions. If we determine that these aspects of an issuer's past, current or anticipated behavior are material to its future expected returns, we address these concerns in our forecasts, research reviews, investment decisions and engagement. In addition, we have well-developed proxy voting policies that incorporate ESG issues and engagement.

3. Commitment to the PRI

In recent years, we have gained greater clarity on how the PRI initiative, based on information from PRI Advisory Council members and from other signatories, provides a framework for incorporating ESG factors into investment research and decision-making. Furthermore, our industry has become, over time, more aware of the importance of ESG factors. We acknowledge these developments and seek to refine what has been our process in this area.

After careful consideration, we determined that becoming a PRI signatory would enhance our current ESG practices and align with our fiduciary duties to our clients as a mainstream investment manager. Accordingly, we became a signatory, effective November 1, 2011.

In signing the PRI, AllianceBernstein as an investment manager publicly commits to adopt and implement all six Principles, where consistent with our fiduciary responsibilities, and to make progress over time on implementation of the Principles.

The six Principles are:

1. We will incorporate ESG issues into investment research and decision-making processes.

AllianceBernstein Examples: ESG issues are included in the research analysis process. In some cases, external service providers of ESG-related tools are utilized; we have conducted proxy voting training and will have continued and expanded training for investment professionals to incorporate ESG issues into investment analysis and decision-making processes across our firm.

2. We will be active owners and incorporate ESG issues into our ownership policies and practices.

AllianceBernstein Examples: We are active owners through our proxy voting process (for additional information, please refer to our *Statement of Policies and Procedures for Proxy Voting Manual*); we engage issuers on ESG matters in our investment research process (we define engagement as discussions with management about ESG issues when they are, or we believe they are reasonably likely to become, material).

3. We will seek appropriate disclosure on ESG issues by the entities in which we invest.

AllianceBernstein Examples: Generally, we support transparency regarding ESG issues when we conclude the disclosure is reasonable. Similarly, in proxy voting, we will support shareholder initiatives and resolutions promoting ESG disclosure when we conclude the disclosure is reasonable.

4. We will promote acceptance and implementation of the Principles within the investment industry.

AllianceBernstein Examples: By signing the PRI, we have taken an important first step in promoting acceptance and implementation of the six Principles within our industry.

5. We will work together to enhance our effectiveness in implementing the Principles.

AllianceBernstein Examples: We will engage with clients and participate in forums with other PRI signatories to better understand how the PRI are applied in our respective businesses. As a PRI signatory, we have access to information, tools and other signatories to help ensure that we are effective in our endeavors to implement the PRI.

6. We will report on our activities and progress towards implementing the Principles.

AllianceBernstein Examples: We will respond to the 2012 PRI questionnaire and disclose PRI scores from the questionnaire in response to inquiries from clients and in requests for proposals; we will provide examples as requested concerning active ownership activities (voting, engagement or policy dialogue).

4. RI Committee

Our firm's RI Committee provides AllianceBernstein stakeholders, including employees, clients, prospects, consultants and service providers alike, with a resource within our firm on which they can rely for information regarding our approach to ESG issues and how those issues are incorporated in different ways by the PRI and SRI. Additionally, the RI Committee is responsible for assisting AllianceBernstein personnel to further implement our firm's RI policies and practices, and, over time, to make progress on implementing all six Principles.

The RI Committee has a diverse membership, including senior representatives from investments, distribution/sales and legal. The Committee is chaired by Linda Giuliano, Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer-Equities.

If you have questions or desire additional information about this Policy, we encourage you to contact the RI Committee at RIinquiries@alliancebernstein.com or reach out to a Committee member:

Erin Bigley: SVP-Fixed Income, New York

Alex Chaloff: SVP-Private Client, Los Angeles

Nicholas Davidson: SVP-Value, London

Kathy Fisher: SVP-Private Client, New York

Linda Giuliano: SVP-Equities, New York

Christopher Kotowicz: VP-Growth, Chicago

David Lesser: VP-Legal, New York

Mark Manley: SVP-Legal, New York

Takuji Oya: SVP-Growth, Japan

Guy Prochilo: SVP-Institutional Investments, New York

Nitish Sharma: VP- Institutional Investments, Australia

Liz Smith: SVP-Institutional Investments, New York

Chris Toub: SVP-Equities, New York

Willem Van Gijzen: VP-Institutional Investments, Netherlands

James Wallin: SVP-Fixed Income, New York

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The day-to-day management of, and investment decisions for, the Fund's portfolio are made by the Municipal Bond Investment Team. While all members of the teams work jointly to determine the majority of the investment strategy including security selection for the Fund, Messrs. Michael G. Brooks, Fred S. Cohen, Robert B. Davidson III and Terrance T. Hults are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund's portfolio.

(a)(1) The following table sets forth when each person became involved in the management of the Fund, and each person's principal occupation during the past five years:

Employee; Year; Title	Principal Occupation During the Past Five (5) Years
Michael G. Brooks; since October 2005 Senior Vice President of AllianceBernstein L.P. (AB)	Senior Vice President of AB with which he has been associated in a substantially similar capacity to his current position since prior to 2005.
Fred S. Cohen; since October 2005 Senior Vice President of AB	Senior Vice President of AB, with which he has been associated in a substantially similar capacity to his current position since prior to 2005.
Robert B. Davidson III; since April 2002 Senior Vice President of AB	Senior Vice President of AB with which he has been associated in a substantially similar capacity to his current position since prior to 2005.
Terrance T. Hults; since December 2001 Senior Vice President of AB	Senior Vice President of AB with which he has been associated in a substantially similar capacity to his current position since prior to 2005.

(a)(2) The following tables provide information regarding registered investment companies other than the Fund, other pooled investment vehicles and other accounts over which the Fund's portfolio managers also have day-to-day management responsibilities. The tables provide the numbers of such accounts, the total assets in such accounts and the number of accounts and total assets whose fees are based on performance. The information is provided as of the Fund's fiscal year ended October 31, 2012.

REGISTERED INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Portfolio Manager	(excluding the Fund)		Number of Registered Investment Companies Managed with Performance-based Fees	Total Assets of Registered Investment Companies Managed with Performance-based Fees
	Total Number of Registered Investment Companies Managed	Total Assets of Registered Investment Companies Managed		
Michael G. Brooks	60	\$ 21,325,000,000	None	None
Fred S. Cohen	60	\$ 21,325,000,000	None	None
Robert B. Davidson III	60	\$ 21,325,000,000	None	None
Terrance T. Hults	60	\$ 21,325,000,000	None	None
Wayne Godlin	60	\$ 21,325,000,000	None	None

POOLED INVESTMENT VEHICLES

Portfolio Manager	Total Number of Pooled Investment Vehicles Managed	Total Assets of Pooled Investment Vehicles Managed	Number of Pooled Investment Vehicles Managed with Performance-based Fees	Total Assets of Pooled Investment Vehicles Managed with Performance-based Fees
	Michael G. Brooks	54	280,000,000	None
Fred S. Cohen	54	280,000,000	None	None
Robert B. Davidson III	54	280,000,000	None	None
Terrance T. Hults	54	280,000,000	None	None
Wayne Godlin	54	280,000,000	None	None

OTHER ACCOUNTS

	Total Number of Other Accounts Managed	Total Assets of Other Accounts Managed	Number of Other Accounts Managed with Performance- based Fees	Total Assets of Other Accounts with Performance- based Fees
Portfolio Manager				
Michael G. Brooks	1,710	\$ 15,623,000,000	6	\$ 729,000,000
Fred S. Cohen	1,710	\$ 15,623,000,000	6	\$ 729,000,000
Robert B. Davidson III	1,710	\$ 15,623,000,000	6	\$ 729,000,000
Terrance T. Hults	1,710	\$ 15,623,000,000	6	\$ 729,000,000
Wayne Godlin	1,710	\$ 15,623,000,000	6	\$ 729,000,000

Investment Professional Conflict of Interest Disclosure

As an investment adviser and fiduciary, the Adviser owes its clients and shareholders an undivided duty of loyalty. We recognize that conflicts of interest are inherent in our business and accordingly have developed policies and procedures (including oversight monitoring) reasonably designed to detect, manage and mitigate the effects of actual or potential conflicts of interest in the area of employee personal trading, managing multiple accounts for multiple clients, including AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds, and allocating investment opportunities. Investment professionals, including portfolio managers and research analysts, are subject to the above-mentioned policies and oversight monitoring to ensure that all clients are treated equitably. We place the interests of our clients first and expect all of our employees to meet their fiduciary duties.

Employee Personal Trading. The Adviser has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is designed to detect and prevent conflicts of interest when investment professionals and other personnel of the Adviser own, buy or sell securities which may be owned by, or bought or sold for, clients. Personal securities transactions by an employee may raise a potential conflict of interest when an employee owns or trades in a security that is owned or considered for purchase or sale by a client, or recommended for purchase or sale by an employee to a client. Subject to the reporting requirements and other limitations of its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, the Adviser permits its employees to engage in personal securities transactions, and also allows them to acquire investments in certain Funds managed by the Adviser. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics requires preclearance of all securities transactions (except transactions in U.S. Treasuries and open-end mutual funds) and imposes a 90-day holding period for securities purchased by employees to discourage short-term trading.

Managing Multiple Accounts for Multiple Clients. The Adviser has compliance policies and oversight monitoring in place to address conflicts of interest relating to the management of multiple accounts for multiple clients. Conflicts of interest may arise when an investment professional has responsibilities for the investments of more than one account because the investment professional may be unable to devote equal time and attention to each account. The investment professional or investment professional teams for each client may have responsibilities for managing all or a portion of the investments of multiple accounts with a common investment strategy, including other registered investment companies, unregistered investment vehicles, such as hedge funds, pension plans, separate accounts, collective trusts and charitable foundations. Among other things, the Adviser's policies and procedures provide for the prompt dissemination to investment professionals of initial or changed investment recommendations by analysts so that investment professionals are better able to develop investment strategies for all accounts they manage. In addition, investment decisions by investment professionals are reviewed for the purpose of maintaining uniformity among similar accounts and ensuring that accounts are treated equitably. Investment professional compensation reflects a broad contribution in multiple dimensions to long-term investment success for our clients and is generally not tied specifically to the performance of any particular client's account, nor is it generally tied directly to the level or change in level of assets under management.

Allocating Investment Opportunities. The investment professionals at the Adviser routinely are required to select and allocate investment opportunities among accounts. The Adviser has adopted policies and procedures intended to address conflicts of interest relating to the allocation of investment opportunities. These policies and procedures are designed to ensure that information relevant to investment decisions is disseminated promptly within its portfolio management teams and investment opportunities are allocated equitably among different clients. The policies and procedures require, among other things, objective allocation for limited investment opportunities (e.g., on a rotational basis), and documentation and review of justifications for any decisions to make investments only for select accounts or in a manner disproportionate to the size of the account. Portfolio holdings, position sizes, and industry and sector exposures tend to be similar across similar accounts, which minimize the potential for conflicts of interest relating to the allocation of investment opportunities. Nevertheless, access to portfolios funds or other investment opportunities may be allocated differently among accounts due to the particular characteristics of an account, such as size of the account, cash position, tax status, risk tolerance and investment restrictions or for other reasons.

The Adviser's procedures are also designed to address potential conflicts of interest that may arise when the Adviser has a particular financial incentive, such as a performance-based management fee, relating to an account. An investment professional may perceive that he or she has an incentive to devote more time to developing and analyzing investment strategies and opportunities or allocating securities preferentially to accounts for which the Adviser could share in investment gains.

Portfolio Manager Compensation

The Adviser's compensation program for investment professionals is designed to be competitive and effective in order to attract and retain the highest caliber employees. The compensation program for investment professionals is designed to reflect their ability to generate long-term investment success for our clients, including shareholders of the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds. The Portfolio Managers of the Funds do not receive any direct compensation based upon the investment returns of any individual client account, and compensation is not tied directly to the level or change in level of assets under management. The Portfolio Managers' annual compensation is comprised of the following:

(i) Fixed base salary: The base salary is a fixed cash amount within a similar range for all senior investment professionals. The base salary does not change significantly from year-to-year and hence, is not particularly sensitive to performance.

(ii) Discretionary incentive compensation in the form of an annual cash bonus: The Adviser's overall profitability determines the total amount of incentive compensation available to Portfolio Managers. Incentive compensation paid to a Portfolio Manager is determined subjectively based on qualitative and quantitative factors. Quantitative factors, which are weighted more heavily, are driven by investment performance, including measures of absolute, relative and risk-adjusted performance. Relative and risk-adjusted returns are determined based on the benchmark in the Fund's prospectus and versus peers over one-, three- and five-year calendar periods, with more weight given to longer time periods. There are no specific formulas used to determine this part of a Portfolio Manager's compensation and the compensation is not tied to any pre-determined or specified level of performance.

The qualitative component of incentive compensation incorporates the investment professional's contributions to the investment process and Fund success. Among the important assets are: thought leadership, collaboration with other investment professionals at the Adviser, contributions to risk-adjusted returns in other portfolios, building a strong talent pool, mentoring newer investment professionals and being a good corporate citizen. Other factors that can play a part in determining investment professional compensation include complexity of investment strategies managed, volume of assets managed and experience.

Incentive compensation is in the form of an annual cash bonus and awards under the Adviser's Incentive Compensation Award Plan (deferred awards). Deferred awards vest over a four-year period and are forfeited if the employee resigns and then competes with the Adviser. Deferred awards are in the form of restricted grants of the Adviser's Master Limited Partnership Units and award recipients have the ability to receive a portion of their awards (no more than half up to a certain cap) in deferred cash.

(iii) Contributions under the Adviser's Profit Sharing/401(k) Plan: The contributions are based on the Adviser's overall profitability. The amount and allocation of the contributions are determined at the sole discretion of the Adviser.

(a) (4) The dollar range of the Fund's equity securities owned directly or beneficially by the Fund's portfolio managers as of the Fund's fiscal year ended October 31, 2011 is set forth below:

	DOLLAR RANGE OF EQUITY
	SECURITIES IN THE FUND
Michael G. Brooks	None
Fred S. Cohen	None
Robert B. Davidson III	None
Terrance T. Hults	None

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

There have been no purchases of equity securities by the Fund or by affiliated parties for the reporting period.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the Fund's Board of Directors since the Fund last provided disclosure in response to this item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) The registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3 (c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) are effective at the reasonable assurance level based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this document.

(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

The following exhibits are attached to this Form N-CSR:

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBIT
12 (a) (1)	Code of Ethics that is subject to the disclosure of Item 2 hereof
12 (b) (1)	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
12 (b) (2)	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
12 (c)	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(Registrant): AllianceBernstein National Municipal Income Fund, Inc.

By: /s/ Robert M. Keith
Robert M. Keith

President

Date: December 21, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Robert M. Keith
Robert M. Keith

President

Date: December 21, 2012

By: /s/ Joseph J. Mantineo
Joseph J. Mantineo
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Date: December 21, 2012