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## ITEM 1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars)
Farmers \& Merchants Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

> Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands of dollars)

|  | June 30, 2013 |  | December 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 15,054 | \$ | 25,620 |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks |  | 6,463 |  | 11,941 |
| Federal Funds Sold |  | 946 |  | 6,531 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 22,463 |  | 44,092 |
| Securities - available for sale (Note 2) |  | 354,474 |  | 355,905 |
| Other Securities, at cost |  | 4,216 |  | 4,365 |
| Loans, net (Note 4) |  | 495,777 |  | 496,178 |
| Bank premises and equipment |  | 18,287 |  | 17,599 |
| Goodwill |  | 4,074 |  | 4,074 |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights |  | 2,047 |  | 2,063 |
| Other Real Estate Owned |  | 1,754 |  | 2,310 |
| Accrued interest and other assets |  | 19,241 |  | 20,074 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 922,333 | \$ | 946,660 |

## Liabilities and Stockholders Equity

## Liabilities

| Deposits |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Noninterest-bearing | 95,442 | $\$$ |
| Interest-bearing | 201,843 | 103,966 |
| NOW accounts | 195,888 | 196,971 |
| Savings | 254,439 | 192,808 |
| Time |  | 269,507 |
|  | 747,612 |  |
| Total deposits | 54,728 | 763,252 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 7,100 | 51,312 |
| FHLB Advances | 930 | 11,600 |
| Dividend payable | 4,673 | 931 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 9,326 |  |

Total liabilities
\$ 815,043 \$
836,421

## Stockholders Equity

Common stock - No par value - authorized 6,500,000 shares; issued \& outstanding 5,200,000
shares 12,677
12,677
Treasury Stock - 515,902 shares 2013, 515,942 shares 2012
$(10,677)$
$(10,588)$


Note: The December 31, 2012 Balance Sheet has been derived from the audited financial statements of that date.
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## FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME \& COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)
Farmers \& Merchants Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income \& Comprehensive Income
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  | June 30, 2012 |  | June 30, 2013 |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, including fees | \$ | 6,089 | \$ | 6,804 | \$ | 12,167 | \$ | 13,601 |
| Debt securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities |  | 64 |  | 92 |  | 125 |  | 173 |
| Securities of U.S. Government Agencies |  | 1,016 |  | 1,055 |  | 2,008 |  | 2,080 |
| Municipalities |  | 541 |  | 518 |  | 1,049 |  | 1,028 |
| Dividends |  | 45 |  | 46 |  | 94 |  | 95 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 11 |  | 11 |
| Other |  | 6 |  | 6 |  | 13 |  | 13 |
| Total interest income |  | 7,765 |  | 8,525 |  | 15,467 |  | 17,001 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 1,079 |  | 1,470 |  | 2,206 |  | 2,909 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase |  | 62 |  | 60 |  | 122 |  | 121 |
| Borrowed funds |  | 43 |  | 123 |  | 89 |  | 247 |
| Total interest expense |  | 1,184 |  | 1,653 |  | 2,417 |  | 3,277 |
| Net Interest Income - Before provision for loan losses |  | 6,581 |  | 6,872 |  | 13,050 |  | 13,724 |
| Provision for Loan Losses (Note 4) |  | 112 |  | 78 |  | 279 |  | 206 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision For Loan Losses |  | 6,469 |  | 6,794 |  | 12,771 |  | 13,518 |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer service fees |  | 1,256 |  | 1,245 |  | 2,617 |  | 2,569 |
| Other service charges and fees |  | 967 |  | 860 |  | 1,829 |  | 1,618 |
| Net gain (loss) on sale of other assets owned |  | (110) |  | (210) |  | (126) |  | (277) |
| Net gain on sale of loans |  | 322 |  | 622 |  | 802 |  | 783 |
| Net gain on sale of securities |  | 377 |  |  |  | 598 |  | 169 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 2,812 |  | 2,517 |  | 5,720 |  | 4,862 |
| Noninterest Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and Wages |  | 2,260 |  | 2,193 |  | 4,697 |  | 4,479 |
| Pension and other employee benefits |  | 610 |  | 744 |  | 1,454 |  | 1,572 |
| Occupancy expense (net) |  | 288 |  | 386 |  | 618 |  | 791 |
| Furniture and equipment |  | 358 |  | 349 |  | 707 |  | 701 |
| Data processing |  | 300 |  | 286 |  | 610 |  | 551 |
| Franchise taxes |  | 255 |  | 236 |  | 510 |  | 473 |
| FDIC Assessment |  | 141 |  | 89 |  | 260 |  | 219 |
| Mortgage servicing rights amortization |  | 120 |  | 178 |  | 257 |  | 372 |
| Other general and administrative |  | 1,438 |  | 1,292 |  | 2,783 |  | 2,450 |


| Total Noninterest Expense | 5,770 |  | 5,753 |  | 11,896 |  | 11,608 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Before Federal Income Taxes |  | 3,511 |  | 3,558 |  | 6,595 |  | 6,772 |
| Federal Income Taxes |  | 1,009 |  | 1,020 |  | 1,941 |  | 1,950 |
| Net Income | \$ | 2,502 | \$ | 2,538 | \$ | 4,654 | \$ | 4,822 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Net of Tax): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gains (loss) on securities | \$ | $(4,916)$ | \$ | 1,159 | \$ | $(5,666)$ | \$ | 6,764 |
| Comprehensive Income | \$ | $(2,414)$ | \$ | 3,697 | \$ | $(1,012)$ | \$ | 11,586 |
| Net Income Per Share | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 0.54 | \$ | 0.99 | \$ | 1.02 |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding |  | 79,971 |  | 95,151 |  | 81,805 |  | 04,674 |
| Dividends Declared | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.40 | \$ | 0.38 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements
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## FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)
Farmers \& Merchants Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
(in thousands of dollars)

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Six Months Ended

Six Months Ended

|  | Six Month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  | 30, 2012 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 4,654 | \$ | 4,822 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 609 |  | 577 |
| Accretion and amortization of securities | 1,220 |  | 1,587 |
| Amortization of servicing rights | 257 |  | 372 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangible | 156 |  | 156 |
| Stock Based Compensation | 93 |  | 86 |
| Provision for loan loss | 279 |  | 206 |
| Gain on sale of loans held for sale | (802) |  | (783) |
| Originations of loans held for sale | $(43,331)$ |  | $(69,946)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 46,881 |  | 71,746 |
| Loss on sale of other assets | 126 |  | 277 |
| Gain on sales of investment securities | (598) |  | (169) |
| Change in operating assets and other liabilities, net | (194) |  | 4,728 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 9,350 |  | 13,659 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities |  |  |  |
| Activity in securities: |  |  |  |
| Maturities, prepayments and calls | 17,305 |  | 16,428 |
| Securities | 58,413 |  | 24,584 |
| Purchases | $(83,310)$ |  | $(71,740)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of assets | 3 |  | 2 |
| Additions to premises and equipment | $(1,300)$ |  | (477) |
| Loan originations and principal collections, net | $(3,428)$ |  | 5,786 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(12,317)$ |  | $(25,417)$ |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities |  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | $(15,640)$ |  | 10,769 |
| Net change in short-term debt | 3,416 |  | 2,034 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | $(4,500)$ |  | (34) |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock | (77) |  | (683) |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock | $(1,861)$ |  | $(1,780)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | $(18,662)$ |  | 10,306 |
| Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents | $(21,629)$ |  | $(1,452)$ |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of Year | 44,092 |  | 43,143 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Period | \$ 22,463 | \$ | 41,691 |

## Edgar Filing: FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| Cash and cash due from banks | \$ | 15,054 | \$ | 15,579 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks |  | 6,463 |  | 14,049 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 946 |  | 12,063 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ | 22,463 | \$ | 41,691 |
| Supplemental Information |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during the year for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 2,528 | \$ | 3,295 |
| Income taxes | \$ | 1,770 | \$ | 2,266 |
| Noncash investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ | 175 | \$ | 182 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements
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## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X; accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that are expected for the year ended December 31, 2013. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## NOTE 2 FAIR VALUE OF INSTRUMENTS FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair values of financial instruments are management s estimate of the values at which the instruments could be exchanged in a transaction between willing parties. These estimates are subjective and may vary significantly from amounts that would be realized in actual transactions. In addition, other significant assets are not considered financial assets including deferred tax assets, premises, equipment and intangibles. Further, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on the fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the estimates.

The following assumptions and methods were used in estimating the fair value for financial instruments.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, cash equivalents and federal funds sold approximate their fair values. Also included in this line item are the carrying amounts of interest-bearing deposits maturing within ninety days which approximate their fair values. Fair values of other interest-bearing deposits are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on current rates for similar types of deposits.

## Securities

Fair values for securities, excluding Federal Home Loan Bank stock, are based on quoted market price, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

## Other Securities

The carrying value of Federal Home Loan Bank stock, listed as other securities, approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions of the Federal Home Loan Bank.

## Loans

For those variable-rate loans that re-price frequently, and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair values of the fixed rate and all other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers with similar credit quality.

Deposits - Interest Bearing, Non-interest Bearing and Time
The fair values disclosed for deposits with no defined maturities are equal to their carrying amounts, which represent the amount payable on demand. The carrying amounts for variable-rate, fixed term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair value at the reporting date. Fair value for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.
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## ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

## Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying value of short-term borrowings approximates fair values.

## FHLB Advances

Fair values of FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company s current incremental borrowing rates for similar types or borrowing arrangements.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate their fair values.
Dividends Payable
The carrying amounts of dividends payable approximate their fair values and are generally paid within forty days of declaration.

Off Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counter-parties credit standing.

The estimated fair values, and related carrying or notional amounts, for on and off-balance sheet financial instruments as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are reflected below.

|  | (In Thousands) June 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Financial Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ 22,463 | \$ 22,463 | \$ 22,463 | \$ | \$ |
| Securities - available for sale | 354,474 | 354,474 | 25,479 | 316,571 | 12,424 |
| Other Securities | 4,216 | 4,216 |  |  | 4,216 |
| Loans, net | 495,777 | 504,731 |  |  | 504,731 |
| Interest receivable | 3,776 | 3,776 |  |  | 3,776 |
| Total Assets | \$ 880,706 | \$ 889,660 | \$ 47,942 | \$ 316,571 | \$ 525,147 |
| Financial Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing Deposits | \$ 397,731 | \$ 399,483 | \$ | \$ | \$ 399,483 |
| Non-interest bearing Deposits | 95,442 | 96,348 |  | 96,348 |  |
| Time Deposits | 254,439 | 255,153 |  |  | 255,153 |
| Total Deposits | \$ 747,612 | \$ 750,984 | \$ | \$ 96,348 | \$ 654,636 |
| Short-term debt | 54,728 | 54,728 |  |  | 54,728 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 7,100 | 8,489 |  |  | 8,489 |
| Interest payable | 270 | 270 |  |  | 270 |
| Dividends payable | 930 | 930 |  | 930 |  |
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## ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr} & & & \\ \text { (In Thousands) } \\ \text { December 2012 }\end{array}\right)$

In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access.

Available-for-sale securities- When quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are valued using the quoted price and are classified as Level 1. The quoted prices are not adjusted.

Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs use other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly. These Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and other inputs such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Available-for-sale securities classified as Level 2 are valued using the prices obtained from an independent pricing service. The prices are not adjusted. Securities of obligations of state and political subdivisions are valued using a type of matrix, or grid, pricing in which securities are benchmarked against the treasury rate based on credit rating. Substantially all assumptions used by the independent pricing service are observable in the marketplace, can be derived from observable data, or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the market place.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs, including inputs that are available in situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the related asset or liability. Local municipals have been purchased that the Bank evaluates based on the credit strength of the underlying project such as the hospital or retirement home. The fair value is determined by valuing similar credit payment streams at similar rates.

In instances where inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels in the above fair value hierarchy, fair value measurements in their entirety are categorized based on the lowest level input that is significant to the valuation. The Company s assessment of the significance of particular inputs to these fair value measurements requires judgment and considers factors specific to each asset.
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## ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement (Continued)

The following summarizes financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, segregated by level or the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

| Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In Thousands) June 30, 2013 | Quoted Prices in Active Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) |  | Significant <br> Observable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Significant <br> Observable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 3) |
| Assets-(Securities Available for Sale) |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ | 25,479 | \$ | \$ |
| U.S. Government agency |  |  | 210,915 |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  |  | 45,338 |  |
| State and local governments |  |  | 60,318 | 12,424 |
| Total Securities Available for Sale | \$ | 25,479 | \$ 316,571 | \$ 12,424 |



Most of the Company s available for sale securities, including any bonds issued by local municipalities, have CUSIP numbers or have similar characteristics of those in the municipal markets, making them marketable and comparable as Level 2.

The Company also has assets that, under certain conditions, are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, such assets consist primarily of impaired loans. Impaired loans categorized as Level 3 assets consist of non-homogeneous loans that are considered impaired. The Company estimates the fair value of the loans based on the present value of expected future cash flows using management s best estimate of key assumptions. These assumptions include future payment ability, timing of payment streams, and estimated realizable values of available collateral (typically based on outside appraisals.)

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, impaired loans categorized as Level 3 were $\$ 4.5$ and $\$ 4.6$ million, respectively. The specific allocation for impaired loans was $\$ 771$ and $\$ 865$ thousand as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which are accounted for in the allowance for loan losses (see Note 4).

## Edgar Filing: FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Other real estate is reported at either the lower of the fair value of the real estate minus the estimated costs to sell the asset or the cost of the asset. The determination of fair value of the real estate relies primarily on appraisals from third parties. If the fair value of the real estate, minus the estimated costs to sell the asset, is less than the asset s cost, the deficiency is recognized as a valuation allowance against the asset through a charge to expense. The valuation allowance is therefore increased or decreased, through charges or credits to expense, for changes in the asset $s$ fair value or estimated selling costs.
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## ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

The following table presents impaired loans and other real estate owned as recorded at fair value on June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

|  | Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis at June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in Thousands) | Balance at June 30, 2013 | Markets for Identical sets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Uno | icant ble Inputs l 3) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { fa } \\ \text { Six- } \\ \text { ended } \end{array}$ | for <br> period <br> 0, 2013 |
| Impaired loans | \$4,521 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 4,521 | \$ | (3) |
| Other real estate owned residential mortgages | \$ 609 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 609 | \$ | (16) |
| Other real estate owned commercial | \$ 1,145 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 1,145 | \$ | (64) |


|  | Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis at December 31, 2012Change in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in Thousands) | Balance at December 31, 201 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Markets for } \\ & \text { Identical } \\ & \text { sets (Level 1) } \end{aligned}$ | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | cant <br> le Inputs <br> 3) | twel | for <br> h period <br> c. 31, |
| Impaired loans | \$4,591 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 4,591 | \$ | (76) |
| Other real estate owned residential mortgages | \$ 783 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 783 | \$ | (62) |
| Other real estate owned commercial | \$ 1,526 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 1,526 | \$ | (214) |

The Company also has other assets, which under certain conditions, are subject to measurement at fair value. These assets include loans held for sale, bank owned life insurance, and mortgage servicing rights. The Company estimated the fair values of these assets utilizing Level 3 inputs, including, the discounted present value of expected future cash flows. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company estimates that there is no impairment of these assets, with the exception of mortgage servicing rights. Mortgage servicing rights recognized impairment in one stratum with a charge of $\$ 16$ thousand in 2012 to expense. The impairment however was eliminated, as of June 30, 2013. Therefore, no impairment charge to other expense was required to adjust these assets to their estimated fair values.

NOTE 3 ASSET PURCHASES
In connection with a December 31, 2007 Knisely acquisition, the Company recognized a core deposit intangible asset of $\$ 1.1$ million, which is being amortized on a straight line basis over 7 years, which represents the estimated remaining economic useful life of the deposits.

The Company also recognized core deposit intangible assets of $\$ 1.09$ million with the purchase of the Hicksville office on July 9, 2010. These are being amortized over an estimated remaining economic useful life of the deposits of 7 years on a straight line basis.

## Edgar Filing: FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was $\$ 312$ thousand. Of the $\$ 312$ thousand to be expensed in 2013, $\$ 156$ thousand has been expensed as of June 30, 2013.
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ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 3 ASSET PURCHASES (Continued)

|  |  | (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Knisley | Hicksville | Total |  |
| 2013 | $\$ 157$ | $\$ 155$ | $\$ 312$ |  |
| 2014 |  | 157 | 155 | 312 |
| 2015 |  |  | 155 | 155 |
| 2016 |  | 155 | 155 |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |
| Thereafter |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 314$ | $\$ 699$ | $\$ 1,013$ |  |

## NOTE 4 LOANS

The Company had $\$ 565.7$ thousand in loans held for sale as of June 30, 2013 as compared to $\$ 2.5$ million in loans held for sale on December 31, 2012. Due to lack of materiality, these loans are included in the Consumer Real Estate loans below.

Loan balances as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

|  | (In Thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Loans: | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Commercial real estate | $\$ 215,246$ | $\$$ |
| Agricultural real estate | 35,746 | 199,999 |
| Consumer real estate | 77,948 | 40,143 |
| Commercial and industrial | 93,978 | 80,287 |
| Agricultural | 55,331 | 101,624 |
| Consumer | 19,881 | 57,770 |
| Industrial Development Bonds | 3,102 | 20,413 |
|  |  | 1,299 |
|  | 501,232 | 501,535 |
| Less: Net deferred loan fees and costs | $(158)$ | $(133)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 501,074 | 501,402 |
|  | $(5,297)$ | $(5,224)$ |
| Loans - Net |  |  |

The following is a maturity schedule by major category of loans as of June 30, 2013:

|  | Maturities (In Thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | After One |  |
| Within | Year Within | After |
| One Year | Five Years | Five Years |
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| Commercial Real Estate | $\$ 36,770$ | $\$ 103,283$ | $\$ 75,193$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agricultural Real Estate | 2,609 | 9,126 | 24,011 |
| Consumer Real Estate | 11,501 | 13,082 | 53,365 |
| Commercial/Industrial | 61,597 | 27,005 | 5,376 |
| Agricultural | 32,792 | 19,349 | 3,190 |
| Consumer | 5,210 | 12,573 | 1,940 |
| Industrial Development Bonds | 2,220 | 490 | 392 |
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 NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)The distribution of fixed rate loans and variable rate loans by major loan category is as follows as of June 30, 2013. Variable rate loans whose current rates are equal to their floor or ceiling are classified as fixed in this table.

|  | (In Thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed | Variable |
| Rate | Rate |  |
| Commercial Real Estate | $\$ 127,488$ | $\$ 87,758$ |
| Agricultural Real Estate | $\$ 25,836$ | $\$ 9,910$ |
| Consumer Real Estate | $\$ 64,041$ | $\$ 13,907$ |
| Commercial/Industrial | $\$ 73,134$ | $\$ 20,844$ |
| Agricultural | $\$ 50,697$ | $\$ 4,634$ |
| Consumer | $\$ 16,415$ | $\$ 3,308$ |
| Industrial Development Bonds | $\$ 2,102$ | $\$$ |

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 one to four family residential mortgage loans amounting to $\$ 26.8$ and $\$ 26.8$ million, respectively, have been pledged as security for loans the Bank has received from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

The percentage of delinquent loans has trended downward since the beginning of January 2010 from a high of $2.85 \%$ of total loans to a low of $.64 \%$ as of March 31, 2012. As of June 30, 2013, past dues were $1.07 \%$. These percentages do not include nonaccrual loans which are not past due (nonaccruals are not considered past due if current). This level of delinquency is due in part to an adherence to sound underwriting practices over the course of time, an improvement in the financial status of companies to which the Bank extends credit, continued financial stability in the agricultural loan portfolio, and the writing down of uncollectable credits in a timely manner.

Industrial Development Bonds are included in the commercial and industrial category for the remainder of the tables in this Note 4.
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The following table represents the contractual aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by portfolio segment of loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, net of deferred fees:

| June 30, 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-59 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | Greater Than 90 Days |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ |  | Current |  | Total Financing eceivables | Recorded Investment $>90$ Days and Accruing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | \$ | 453 | \$ | 249 | \$ | 319 | \$ 1,021 | \$ | 76,927 |  | 77,948 | \$ |
| Ag Real Estate |  | 104 |  |  |  | 88 | 192 |  | 35,554 |  | 35,746 |  |
| Ag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55,331 |  | 55,331 |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 501 |  |  |  | 1,035 | 1,536 |  | 213,710 |  | 215,246 |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 65 |  |  |  | 2,552 | 2,617 |  | 94,463 |  | 97,080 |  |
| Consumer |  | 13 |  | 7 |  |  | 20 |  | 19,703 |  | 19,723 |  |
| Total | \$ | 1,136 | \$ | 256 | \$ | 3,994 | \$ 5,386 |  | 495,688 |  | 501,074 | \$ |


| December 31, 2012 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-59 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | 60-89 Days <br> Past <br> Due |  | Greater Than 90 Days |  | Total <br> Past Due | Current |  | Total <br> Financing <br> Receivables |  | Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | \$ | 575 | \$ |  | \$ | 648 | \$ 1,223 | \$ | 79,064 |  | 80,287 | \$ |  |
| Ag Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,143 |  | 40,143 |  |  |
| Ag |  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 57,759 |  | 57,770 |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  | 877 | 877 |  | 199,122 |  | 199,999 |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 78 |  |  |  | 2,567 | 2,645 |  | 100,278 |  | 102,923 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 65 |  | 7 |  |  | 72 |  | 20,208 |  | 20,280 |  | 1 |
| Total | \$ | 729 | \$ | 7 | \$ | 4,092 | \$ 4,828 |  | 496,574 |  | 501,402 | \$ | 1 |
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## ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans by class of loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

|  | (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June <br>  <br>  <br> 30 | December 31 <br> 2013 |  |
| Consumer Real Estate | $\$ 551$ | $\$$ |  |
| Agricultural Real Estate | 88 | 964 |  |
| Agriculture | 1,035 |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate | 2,935 | 877 |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |
| Consumer | $\$ 4,609$ | $\$$ |  |
|  |  | 4,828 |  |

The Bank uses a nine tier risk rating system to grade its loans. The grade of a loan may change during the life of the loan.
The risk ratings are described as follows.

1. Zero (0) Unclassified. Any loan which has not been assigned a classification.
2. One (1) Excellent. Credit to premier customers having the highest credit rating based on an extremely strong financial condition, which compares favorably with industry standards (upper quartile of Risk Management Association ratios). Financial statements indicate a sound earnings and financial ratio trend for several years with satisfactory profit margins and excellent liquidity exhibited. Prime credits may also be borrowers with loans fully secured by highly liquid collateral such as traded stocks, bonds, certificates of deposit, savings account, etc. No credit or collateral exceptions exist and the loan adheres to the Bank s loan policy in every respect. Financing alternatives would be readily available and would qualify for unsecured credit. This grade is summarized by high liquidity, minimum risk, strong ratios, and low handling costs.
3. Two (2) Good. Desirable loans of somewhat less stature than Grade 1, but with strong financial statements. Loan supported by financial statements containing strong balance sheets, generally with a leverage position less than 1.50 , and a history of profitability. Probability of serious financial deterioration is unlikely. Possessing a sound repayment source (and a secondary source), which would allow repayment in a reasonable period of time. Individual loans backed by liquid personal assets, established history and unquestionable character.
4. Three (3) Satisfactory. Satisfactory loans of average or slightly above average risk having some deficiency or vulnerability to changing economic conditions, but still fully collectible. Projects should normally demonstrate acceptable debt service coverage. Generally, customers should have a leverage position less than 2.00 . May be some weakness but with offsetting features of other support readily available. Loans that are meeting the terms of repayment.
Loans may be graded 3 when there is no recent information on which to base a current risk evaluation and the following conditions apply:

At inception, the loan was properly underwritten and did not possess an unwarranted level of credit risk:
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a. At inception, the loan was secured with collateral possessing a loan value adequate to protect the Bank from loss;
b. The loan exhibited two or more years of satisfactory repayment with a reasonable reduction of the principal balance;
c. During the period that the loan has been outstanding, there has been no evidence of any credit weakness. Some examples of weakness include slow payment, lack of cooperation by the borrower, breach of loan covenants, or the business is in an industry which is known to be experiencing problems. If any of the credit weaknesses is observed, a lower risk grade is warranted.
5. Four (4) Satisfactory / Monitored. A 4 (Satisfactory/Monitored) risk grade may be established for a loan considered satisfactory but which is of average credit risk due to financial weakness or
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uncertainty. The loans warrant a higher than average level of monitoring to ensure that weaknesses do not advance. The level of risk in Satisfactory/Monitored classification is considered acceptable and within normal underwriting guidelines, so long as the loan is given management supervision.
6. Five (5) Special Mention. Loans that possess some credit deficiency or potential weakness which deserves close attention, but which do not yet warrant substandard classification. Such loans pose unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the loan and increase risk in the future. The key distinctions of a 5 (Special Mention) classification are that (1) it is indicative of an unwarranted level of risk, and (2) weaknesses are considered potential, versus defined, impairments to the primary source of loan repayment and collateral.
7. Six (6) Substandard. One or more of the following characteristics may be exhibited in loans classified substandard:
a. Loans, which possess a defined credit weakness and the likelihood that a loan will be paid from the primary source, are uncertain. Financial deterioration is underway and very close attention is warranted to ensure that the loan is collected without loss.
b. Loans are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower.
c. The primary source of repayment is weakened, and the Bank is forced to rely on a secondary source of repayment such as collateral liquidation or guarantees.
d. Loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected.
e. Unusual courses of action are needed to maintain a high probability of repayment.
f. The borrower is not generating enough cash flow to repay loan principal; however, continues to make interest payments.
g. The lender is forced into a subordinate position or unsecured collateral position due to flaws in documentation.
h. Loans have been restructured so that payment schedules, terms and collateral represent concessions to the borrower when compared to the normal loan terms.
i. The lender is seriously contemplating foreclosure or legal action due to the apparent deterioration in the loan.
j. There is significant deterioration in the market conditions and the borrower is highly vulnerable to these conditions.
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8. Seven (7) Doubtful. One or more of the following characteristics may be exhibited in loans classified Doubtful:
a. Loans have all of the weaknesses of those classified as Substandard. Additionally, however, these weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full based on existing conditions improbable.
b. The primary source of repayment is gone, and there is considerable doubt as to the quality of the secondary source of repayment.
c. The possibility of loss is high, but, because of certain important pending factors which may strengthen the loan, loss classification is deferred until its exact status is known. A Doubtful classification is established deferring the realization of the loss.
9. Eight (8) Loss. Loans are considered uncollectable and of such little value that continuing to carry them as assets on the institution sfinancial statements is not feasible. Loans will be classified Loss when it is neither practical nor desirable to defer writing off or reserving all or a portion of a basically worthless asset, even though partial recovery may be possible at some time in the future.
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The following table represents the risk category of loans by class based on the most recent analysis performed as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| (in Thousands) | Agriculture Real Estate |  | Agriculture |  | Commercial Real Estate |  | Commercial and Industrial |  | Industrial Development Bonds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | \$ | 3,258 | \$ | 5,014 | \$ | 2,573 | \$ | 605 | \$ |  |
| 3 |  | 12,953 |  | 21,695 |  | 53,936 |  | 23,894 |  | 2,758 |
| 4 |  | 18,625 |  | 28,588 |  | 147,286 |  | 63,047 |  | 344 |
| 5 |  | 786 |  | 34 |  | 5,283 |  | 2,245 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 36 |  |  |  | 6,168 |  | 1,517 |  |  |
| 7 |  | 88 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,670 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 35,746 | \$ | 55,331 | \$ | 215,246 | \$ | 93,978 | \$ | 3,102 |


|  | Agriculture Real Estate |  | Agriculture |  | Commercial Real Estate |  | Commercial and Industrial |  | Industrial Development Bonds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | \$ | 2,719 | \$ | 5,022 | \$ | 4,046 | \$ | 750 | \$ | 97 |
| 3 |  | 15,111 |  | 23,525 |  | 42,467 |  | 21,750 |  | 859 |
| 4 |  | 21,481 |  | 29,188 |  | 137,537 |  | 71,228 |  | 343 |
| 5 |  | 794 |  | 35 |  | 8,984 |  | 3,385 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 38 |  |  |  | 6,295 |  | 2,202 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 670 |  | 2,309 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 40,143 | \$ | 57,770 | \$ | 199,999 | \$ | 101,624 | \$ | 1,299 |
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NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

For consumer residential real estate, and other, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously stated, and by payment activity. The following tables present the recorded investment in those classes based on payment activity and assigned risk grading as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

|  | (In Thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumer <br> Real Estate <br> Cune 30 | Consumer <br> Real Estate <br> December 31 <br> 2012 |
|  | 2013 |  |
| Grade | $\$ 77,531$ | $\$$ |
| Pass |  | 79,766 |
| Special Mention (5) | 393 | 110 |
| Substandard (6) | 24 | 411 |
| Doubtful (7) |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 77,948$ | $\$$ |


|  | (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumer - Credit |  |  | Consumer - Other |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | December 31 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ember } 31 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| Performing | \$3,498 | \$ | 3,470 | \$ 16,225 | \$ | 16,775 |
| Nonperforming |  |  | 3 |  |  | 32 |
| Total | \$ 3,498 | \$ | 3,473 | \$ 16,225 | \$ | 16,807 |

Information about impaired loans as of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012 are as follows:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  | (In Thousands) December 31, 2012 |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans without a valuation allowance | \$ | 1,703 | \$ | 730 | \$ | 806 |
| Impaired loans with a valuation allowance |  | 2,818 |  | 3,861 |  | 3,548 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ | 4,521 | \$ | 4,591 | \$ | 4,354 |
| Valuation allowance related to impaired loans | \$ | 771 | \$ | 865 | \$ | 557 |
| Total non-accrual loans | \$ | 4,609 | \$ | 4,828 | \$ | 4,893 |
| Total loans past-due ninety days or more and still accruing | \$ |  | \$ | 1 | \$ |  |
| Three months ended average investment in impaired loans | \$ | 4,363 | \$ | 4,468 | \$ | 2,772 |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Six months ended average investment in impaired loans } & \$ & 4,342 & \$ & 4,508 & \$ & 2,363\end{array}$
No additional funds are committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans.

The Bank had approximately $\$ 2.9$ million of its impaired loans classified as troubled debt restructured as of June $30,2013, \$ 627.3$ thousand as of December 31, 2012 and $\$ 207$ thousand as of June 30, 2012.
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The following table represents three months and six months ended June 30, 2013.

| Three Months | Number of Contracts | Modification | Modification | Six Months | Number of Contracts |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Modified in the | Modification Outstanding | Modification Outstanding |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings | Last 3 <br> Months | Recorded Investment | Recorded Investment | Troubled Debt Restructurings | Last 3 <br> Months | Recorded Investment | Recorded <br> Investment |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | \$ | \$ | Commercial Real Estate |  | \$ | \$ |
| Ag Real Estate |  | \$ | \$ | Ag Real Estate |  | \$ | \$ |
| Commercial and Industrial | 3 | \$ 2,251 | \$ 2,251 | Commercial and Industrial | 4 | \$ 2,294 | \$ 2,332 |


| Troubled Debt Restructurings | Number of <br> Contracts <br> Modified in the <br> Last 3 Months | Recorded <br> Investment | $\$$ That Subsequently Defaulted | Number of <br> Contracts |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| That Subsequently Defaulted |  | $\$$ | Commercial Real Estate | Modified in the <br> Last 3 Months | | Recorded |
| :---: |
| Investment |

The following table represents three months and six months ended June 30, 2012.

| Three Months | Six Months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Contracts Modified in the Last 6 Months | Pre- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |  | Post- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |  | June 30, 2012 <br> Troubled Debt <br> Restructurings | Number of Contracts Modified in the Last 6 Months | Pre- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |  | Post- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |  |
| June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  | Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | \$ | 1,937 | \$ | 1,937 | Estate | 1 | \$ | 1,937 | \$ | 1,937 |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | Ag Real Estat |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  | Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | Industrial |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |


| Troubled Debt Restructurings | Number of Contracts |  | Troubled Debt Restructurings | Number of Contracts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| That Subsequently Defaulted | Modified in the <br> Last 6 Months | Recorded Investment | That Subsequently Defaulted | Modified in the <br> Last 6 Months | Recorded Investment |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | \$ | Commercial Real Estate |  | \$ |
| Ag Real Estate |  | \$ | Ag Real Estate |  | \$ |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | \$ | Commercial and Industrial |  | \$ |

## Table of Contents

## ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

For the majority of the Bank s impaired loans, the Bank will apply the observable market price methodology. However, the Bank may also utilize a measurement incorporating the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan seffective rate of interest. To determine observable market price, collateral asset values securing an impaired loan are periodically evaluated. Maximum time for re-evaluation is every 12 months for chattels and titled vehicles and every two years for real estate. In this process, third party evaluations are obtained and heavily relied upon. Until such time that updated appraisals are received, the Bank may discount the collateral value used.

The Bank uses the following guidelines as stated in policy to determine when to realize a charge-off, whether a partial or full loan balance. A charge-off in whole or in part is realized when unsecured consumer loans, credit card credits and overdraft lines of credit reach 90 days delinquency. At 120 days delinquent, secured consumer loans are charged down to the value of the collateral, if repossession of the collateral is assured and/or in the process of repossession. Consumer mortgage loan deficiencies are charged down upon the sale of the collateral or sooner upon the recognition of collateral deficiency. Commercial and agricultural credits are charged down at 120 days delinquency, unless an established and approved work-out plan is in place or litigation of the credit will likely result in recovery of the loan balance. Upon notification of bankruptcy, unsecured debt is charged off. Additional charge-off may be realized as further unsecured positions are recognized.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans for three months ended June $30,2013$.

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands) | Recorded Investment |  | Unpaid Principal Balance |  | Related <br> Allowance |  | Average Recorded Investment |  | Interest <br> Income <br> Recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer real estate | \$ | 359 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 202 | \$ |
| Agriculture real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,035 |  | 1,459 |  |  |  | 1,038 |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 309 |  | 309 |  |  |  | 309 |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| With a specific allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer real estate |  | 111 |  | 111 |  | 38 |  | 111 |  |
| Agriculture real estate |  | 88 |  | 88 |  | 15 |  | 111 |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 2,619 |  | 2,619 |  | 718 |  | 2,659 |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Totals: | $\$$ | 470 | $\$$ | 542 | $\$$ | 38 | $\$$ | 313 | $\$$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer real estate | $\$$ | 88 | $\$$ | 88 | $\$$ | 15 | $\$$ | 111 | $\$$ |
| Agriculture real estate | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |
| Agriculture | $\$$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | $\$ 1,035$ | $\$ 1,459$ | $\$$ |  | $\$$ | 1,053 | $\$$ |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | $\$ 2,928$ | $\$ 2,928$ | $\$$ | 718 | $\$$ | 2,968 | $\$$ |  |  |
| Consumer | $\$$ | $\$$ | 3 | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |  |
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| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | Recorded <br> Investment |  | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance |  | Related <br> Allowance |  | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment |  | Interest <br> Income Recognized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer real estate | \$ | 340 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 213 | \$ |  |
| Agriculture real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate |  | 207 |  | 384 |  |  |  | 207 |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 364 |  | 364 |  |  |  | 122 |  |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With a specific allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer real estate |  | 398 |  | 425 |  | 139 |  | 400 |  |  |
| Agriculture real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate |  | 600 |  | 847 |  |  |  | 664 |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 2,441 |  | 2,441 |  | 417 |  | 1,162 |  | 1 |
| Consumer |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer real estate | \$ | 738 | \$ | 780 | \$ | 139 | \$ | 613 | \$ |  |
| Agriculture real estate | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Agriculture | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | 807 | \$ | 1,231 | \$ |  | \$ | 871 | \$ |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 2,805 |  | 2,805 | \$ | 417 | \$ | 1,284 | \$ | 1 |
| Consumer | \$ | 4 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 4 | \$ |  |
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ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans for six months ended June 30, 2013.

| Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands) | Recorded Investment |  | Unpaid |  | Related Allowance |  | Average <br> Recorded Investment |  | Interest <br> Income Recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Principal Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate | \$ | 359 | \$ | 431 | \$ |  | \$ | 131 | \$ |
| Agriculture Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 1,035 |  | 1,459 |  |  |  | 622 |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 309 |  | 309 |  |  |  | 297 |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| With a specific allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate |  | 111 |  | 111 |  | 38 |  | 121 |  |
| Agriculture Real Estate |  | 88 |  | 88 |  | 15 |  | 55 |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 332 |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 2,619 |  | 2,619 |  | 718 |  | 2,701 |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate | \$ | 470 | \$ |  | \$ | 38 | \$ | 252 | \$ |
| Agriculture Real Estate | \$ | 88 | \$ | 88 | \$ | 15 | \$ | 55 | \$ |
| Agriculture | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Commercial Real Estate | \$ | 1,035 | \$ | 1,459 | \$ |  | \$ | 954 | \$ |
| Commercial and Industrial | \$ | 2,928 |  | 2,928 | \$ | 718 | \$ | 2,998 | \$ |
| Consumer | \$ |  | \$ | 3 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |
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| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | Recorded <br> Investment |  | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance |  | Related <br> Allowance |  | Average <br> Recorded Investment |  | Interest <br> Income Recognized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate | \$ | 340 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 185 | \$ |  |
| Agriculture Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 207 |  | 384 |  |  |  | 207 |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 364 |  | 364 |  |  |  | 61 |  |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With a specific allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate |  | 398 |  | 425 |  | 139 |  | 395 |  | 5 |
| Agriculture Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 600 |  | 847 |  |  |  | 683 |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 2,441 |  | 2,441 |  | 417 |  | 827 |  | 2 |
| Consumer |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate | \$ | 738 | \$ | 780 | \$ | 139 | \$ | 580 | \$ | 5 |
| Agriculture Real Estate | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Agriculture | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial Real Estate | \$ | 807 | \$ | 1,231 | \$ |  | \$ | 890 | \$ |  |
| Commercial and Industrial | \$ | 2,805 |  | 2,805 | \$ | 417 | \$ | 888 | \$ | 2 |
| Consumer | \$ | 4 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 4 | \$ |  |

The ALLL has a direct impact on the provision expense. An increase in the ALLL is funded through recoveries and provision expense. The following tables summarize the activities in the allowance for credit losses.

|  | (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 |  |
| Allowance for Loan Losses |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 5,224 | \$ | 5,091 |
| Provision for loan loss | 279 |  | 738 |
| Loans charged off | (362) |  | (891) |
| Recoveries | 156 |  | 286 |
| Allowance for Loan \& Leases Losses | \$ 5,297 | \$ | 5,224 |
| Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments \& Letters of Credit | \$ 187 | \$ | 162 |

## Edgar Filing: FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

## Total Allowance for Credit Losses <br> \$ 5,484 <br> \$ <br> 5,386

The Company segregates its Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) into two reserves: The ALLL and the Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments and Letters of Credit (AULC). When combined, these reserves constitute the total Allowance for Credit Losses (ACL).

The AULC is reported within other liabilities on the balance sheet while the ALLL is netted within the loans, net asset line. The ACL presented above represents the full amount of reserves available to absorb possible credit losses.
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The following table breaks down the activity within ACL for each loan portfolio segment and shows the contribution provided by both the recoveries and the provision along with the reduction of the allowance caused by charge-offs.

Additional analysis related to the allowance for credit losses for three months ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

|  | Consumer Real <br> Estate |  | Agriculture <br> Real <br> Estate |  | Agriculture |  | Commercial Real Estate |  | Commercial and Industrial |  | Unfunded Loan Commitment \& |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance |  |  | \$ | 463 |  |  | \$ | 105 |  |  | \$ | 260 | \$ | 1,566 | \$ | 1,972 | \$ | 256 | \$ | 172 | \$ | 722 | \$ | 5,516 |
| Charge Offs |  | (89) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (44) |  |  |  | (112) |  |  |  |  | \$ | (245) |
| Recoveries |  | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 41 |  | 38 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 86 |
| Provision |  | (17) |  | 10 |  | 14 |  | (62) |  | 125 |  | 84 |  |  |  | (42) | \$ | 112 |
| Other Non-interest expense related to unfunded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  | \$ | 15 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 361 | \$ | 115 | \$ | 277 | \$ | 1,460 | \$ | 2,138 | \$ | 266 | \$ | 187 | \$ | 680 | \$ | 5,484 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 38 | \$ | 15 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 718 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 771 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 323 | \$ | 100 | \$ | 277 | \$ | 1,460 | \$ | 1,420 | \$ | 266 | \$ | 187 | \$ | 680 | \$ | 4,713 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 2 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 2 |
| FINANCING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 77,948 | \$ | 35,746 | \$ | 55,331 |  | 215,246 | \$ | 97,080 |  | 9,723 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 01,074 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 470 | \$ | 88 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,035 | \$ | 2,928 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 4,521 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 77,478 |  | 35,658 | \$ | 55,331 |  | 214,211 | \$ | 94,152 |  | 9,723 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 96,553 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 549 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 549 |
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| (in thousands) | Consumer Real Estate |  | Agriculture <br> Real <br> Estate |  | Agriculture |  | Commercial Real Estate |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  | Unfunded LoanCommitment$\&$ConsumerLetters of CreduInallocated |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 433 | \$ | 90 | \$ | 272 | \$ | \$ | 1,569 | \$ | 1,859 | \$ | 293 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 636 | \$ | 5,292 |
| Charge Offs |  | (53) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (97) |  |  |  | (121) |  |  |  |  |  | (271) |
| Recoveries |  | 25 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| Provision |  | 55 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 249 |  | (7) |  | 66 |  |  |  | (288) |  | 77 |
| Other Non-interest expense related to unfunded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 460 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 275 |  | \$ | 1,722 | \$ | 1,856 | \$ | 283 | \$ | 141 | \$ | 348 | \$ | 5,177 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 139 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | 417 | \$ | 1 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 557 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 321 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 275 |  | \$ | 1,722 | \$ | 1,439 | \$ | 282 | \$ | 141 | \$ | 348 | \$ | 4,620 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 1 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1 |
| FINANCING RECEIVABLES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 81,252 | \$ | 32,408 | \$ | 54,808 |  |  | 202,900 | \$ | 105,423 |  | 21,577 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 98,368 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 738 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 807 | \$ | 2,805 | \$ | 4 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 4,354 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 80,514 |  | 32,408 |  | 54,808 |  |  | 202,093 | \$ | 102,618 |  | 21,573 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 94,014 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 547 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 547 |
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Additional analysis related to the allowance for credit losses for six months ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

|  | Consumer Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  | Commercial Real Estate |  | Commercial and Industrial |  | Unfunded LoanCommitment$\&$ConsumerLetters of Creditnallocated |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) |  |  | Real Estate |  | Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 368 | \$ | 113 | \$ | 290 | \$ | 1,749 | \$ | 2,183 | \$ | 268 | \$ | 162 | \$ | 253 | \$ | 5,386 |
| Charge Offs |  | (100) |  |  |  |  |  | (64) |  |  |  | (198) |  |  |  |  | \$ | (362) |
| Recoveries |  | 9 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 56 |  | 87 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 156 |
| Provision |  | 84 |  | 2 |  | (17) |  | (225) |  | (101) |  | 109 |  |  |  | 427 | \$ | 279 |
| Other Non-interest expense related to unfunded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | \$ | 25 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 361 | \$ | 115 | \$ | 277 | \$ | 1,460 | \$ | 2,138 | \$ | 266 | \$ | 187 | \$ | 680 | \$ | 5,484 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 38 | \$ | 15 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 718 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 771 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 323 | \$ | 100 | \$ | 277 | \$ | 1,460 | \$ | 1,420 | \$ | 266 | \$ | 187 | \$ | 680 | \$ | 4,713 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 2 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 2 |
| FINANCING RECEIVABLES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 77,948 |  | 35,746 | \$ | 55,331 |  | 215,246 | \$ | 97,080 |  | 9,723 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 01,074 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 470 | \$ | 88 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,035 | \$ | 2,928 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 4,521 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 77,478 |  | 35,658 | \$ | 55,331 |  | 214,211 | \$ | 94,152 |  | 9,723 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 6,553 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 549 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 549 |
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| (in thousands) | Consumer Real Estate |  | Agriculture <br> Real Estate |  | Agriculture |  | Commercial Real Estate |  | Commercial and Industrial |  | Unfunded LoanCommitment$\&$ConsumerLetters of Creditnallocated |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 261 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 266 | \$ | 2,088 | \$ | 1,947 | \$ | 315 | \$ | 130 | \$ | 74 | \$ | 5,221 |
| Charge Offs |  | 93 |  |  |  |  |  | (97) |  |  |  | (208) |  |  |  |  |  | (398) |
| Recoveries |  | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  | 2 |  | 19 |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |  | 137 |
| Provision |  | 262 |  | (48) |  | (1) |  | (271) |  | (110) |  | 100 |  |  |  | 274 |  | 206 |
| Other Non-interest expense related to unfunded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 460 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 275 | \$ | 1,722 | \$ | 1,856 | \$ | 283 | \$ | 141 | \$ | 348 | \$ | 5,177 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 139 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 417 | \$ | 1 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 557 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 321 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 275 | \$ | 1,722 | \$ | 1,439 | \$ | 282 | \$ | 141 | \$ | 348 | \$ | 4,620 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 1 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1 |
| FINANCING RECEIVABLES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 81,252 |  | 32,408 | \$ | 54,808 | \$ | 202,900 | \$ | 105,423 |  | 1,577 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 98,368 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 738 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 807 | \$ | 2,805 | \$ | 4 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 4,354 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 80,514 |  | 32,408 |  | 54,808 |  | 202,093 | \$ | 102,618 |  | 1,573 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 94,014 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | 547 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 547 |
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## ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS INTRODUCTION

Farmers \& Merchants Bancorp, Inc. (Company) is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of Ohio in 1985. Our primary subsidiary, The Farmers \& Merchants State Bank (Bank) is a community bank operating in Northwest Ohio since 1897. We report our financial condition and net income on a consolidated basis and we report only one segment.

Our executive offices are located at 307 North Defiance Street, Archbold, Ohio 43502, and our telephone number is (419)446-2501.

For a discussion of the general development of the Company s business throughout 2013, please see the portion of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations captioned 2013 in Review .

## NATURE OF ACTIVITIES

The Bank s primary service area, Northwest Ohio and Northeast Indiana, continue to experience a higher level of unemployment as compared to the national average. All averages both local and national however, show a slight downward trend. The agricultural industry continued its strong performance in 2013 as evidenced by strengthened financial statements. Wet, stormy weather has delayed the wheat harvest and yields appear to be running slightly lower than previous years. Automotive showed improvement with car dealers in our marketing area ending with more profitable numbers than in recent years. Overall, business profits are improving however borrowing activity remains sluggish, and the loan balances remain below yearend 2012. New 1-4 family residential and construction remain weak and refinancing activity is also below the level of first half 2012.

The Bank opened its $20^{\text {th }}$ office during the second quarter. The office is located in Waterville, Ohio and was a natural extension of the Bank s market area. The office provides the full range of services discussed below.

The Farmers \& Merchants State Bank engages in general commercial banking and savings business. Their activities include commercial, agricultural and residential mortgage, consumer and credit card lending activities. Because the Bank soffices are located in Northwest Ohio and Northeast Indiana, a substantial amount of the loan portfolio is comprised of loans made to customers in the farming industry for such things as farm land, farm equipment, livestock and operating loans for seed, fertilizer, and feed. Other types of lending activities include loans for home improvements, and loans for such items as autos, trucks, recreational vehicles, motorcycles, etc.

The Bank also provides checking account services, as well as savings and time deposit services such as certificates of deposits. In addition ATM s (Automated Teller Machines) are provided at most branch locations along with other independent locations such as major employers and hospitals in the market area. The Bank has custodial services for IRA s (Individual Retirement Accounts) and HSA s (Health Savings Accounts). The Bank provides on-line banking access for consumer and business customers. For consumers, this includes bill-pay, on-line statement opportunities and mobile banking. For business customers, it provides the option of electronic transaction origination such as wire and ACH file transmittal. In addition the Bank offers remote deposit capture or electronic deposit processing and merchant credit card services. Mobile banking was added in 2012 and has been widely accepted and used by consumers.

The Bank s underwriting policies exercised through established procedures facilitates operating in a safe and sound manner in accordance with supervisory and regulatory guidance. Within this sphere of safety and soundness, the Bank spractice has been not to promote innovative, unproven credit products which will not be in the best interest of the Bank or its customers. The Bank does offer a hybrid mortgage loan. Hybrid loans are loans that start out as a fixed rate mortgage but after a set number of years automatically adjust to an adjustable rate mortgage. The Bank offers a three year fixed rate mortgage after which the interest rate will adjust annually. The majority of the Bank sadjustable rate mortgages are of this type. In order to offer longer term fixed rate mortgages, the Bank does participate in the Freddie Mac, Farmer Mac and Small Business Lending programs. The Bank does also retain the servicing on these partially or $100 \%$ sold loans. In order for the customer to participate in these programs they must meet the requirements established by these agencies. In addition, the Bank does sell some of its longer term fixed rate agricultural mortgages into the secondary market with the aid of a broker.
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## ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS INTRODUCTION (Continued)

The Bank does not have a program to fund sub-prime loans. Sub-prime loans are characterized as a lending program or strategy that target borrowers who pose a significantly higher risk of default than traditional retail banking customers.

Following are the characteristics and underwriting criteria for each major type of loan the Bank offers:

Commercial Real Estate Construction, purchase, and refinance of business purpose real estate. Risks include loan amount in relation to construction delays and overruns, vacancies, collateral value subject to market value fluctuations, interest rate, market demands, borrower s ability to repay in orderly fashion, and others. The Bank does employ stress testing on higher balance loans to mitigate risk by ensuring the customer s ability to repay in a changing rate environment before granting loan approval.

Agricultural Real Estate Purchase of farm real estate or for permanent improvements to the farm real estate. Cash flow from the farm operation is the repayment source and is therefore subject to the financial success of the farm operation.

Consumer Real Estate Purchase, refinance, or equity financing of one to four family owner occupied dwelling. Success in repayment is subject to borrower s income, debt level, character in fulfilling payment obligations, employment, and others.

Commercial/Industrial Loans to proprietorships, partnerships, or corporations to provide temporary working capital and seasonal loans as well as long term loans for capital asset acquisition. Risks include adequacy of cash flow, reasonableness of profit projections, financial leverage, economic trends, management ability, and others.

The Bank does employ stress testing on higher balance loans to mitigate risk by ensuring the customer $s$ ability to repay in a changing rate environment before granting loan approval.

Agricultural Loans for the production and housing of crops, fruits, vegetables, and livestock or to fund the purchase or re-finance of capital assets such as machinery and equipment, and livestock. The production of crops and livestock is especially vulnerable to commodity prices and weather. The vulnerability to commodity prices is offset by the farmer $s$ ability to hedge their position by the use of the future contracts. The risk related to weather is often mitigated by requiring federal crop insurance.

Consumer Funding for individual and family purposes. Success in repayment is subject to borrower $s$ income, debt level, character in fulfilling payment obligations, employment, and others.

Industrial Development Bonds Funds for public improvements in the Bank s service area. Repayment ability is usually based on the continuance of the taxation revenue as the source of repayment.

All loan requests are reviewed as to credit worthiness and are subject to the Bank sunderwriting guidelines as to secured versus unsecured credit. Secured loans are in turn subject to loan to value (LTV) requirements based on collateral types as set forth in the Bank s Loan Policy. In addition, credit scores of principal borrowers are reviewed and an approved exception from an additional officer is required should a credit score not meet the Bank s Loan Policy guidelines.

Consumer Loans:

Maximum loan to value (LTV) for cars, trucks and light trucks vary from $90 \%$ to $110 \%$ depending on whether direct or indirect.
Loans above $100 \%$ are generally due to additional charges for extended warranties and/or insurance coverage in the event of wage loss resulting from disability or death.

Boats, campers, motorcycles, RV s and Motor Coaches range from $80 \%-90 \%$ based on age of vehicle.
1st or 2nd mortgages on 1-4 family homes range from $75 \%-90 \%$ with in-house first real estate mortgages requiring private mortgage insurance on those exceeding $80 \%$ LTV.
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Raw land LTV maximum ranges from $65 \%-75 \%$ depending on whether or not the property has been improved.
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Commercial/Agriculture/Real Estate:
Maximum LTVs range from 70\%-80\% depending on type.

Accounts Receivable:

Up to $80 \%$ LTV.

Inventory:
Agriculture:
Livestock and grain up to $80 \%$ LTV, crops (insured) up to $75 \%$ and Warehouse Receipts up to $87 \%$.

## Commercial:

Maximum LTV of $50 \%$ on raw and finished goods.

Used vehicles, new recreational vehicles and manufactured homes not to exceed (NTE) 80\% LTV.

## Equipment:

New not to exceed $80 \%$ of invoice, used NTE $50 \%$ of listed book or $75 \%$ of appraised value.

Restaurant equipment up to $35 \%$ of market value.

Heavy trucks, titled trailers, NTE 75\% LTV and aircraft up to 75\% of appraised value.
We also provide checking account services, as well as savings and time deposit services such as certificates of deposits. In addition ATM sare provided at our Ohio offices in Archbold, Wauseon, Stryker, West Unity, Lucas, Bryan, Delta, Napoleon, Montpelier, Swanton, Defiance, Hicksville, Waterville and Perrysburg, along with ones at our Auburn and Angola, Indiana offices. Two ATM sare located at Sauder Woodworking Co., Inc., a major employer in Archbold. Additional locations in Ohio are at Northwest State Community College, Archbold; Community Hospitals of Williams County, Bryan; Fairlawn Haven Wyse Commons, Archbold; R\&H Restaurant, Fayette; Delta Eagles; Sauder Village, Archbold; Fulton County Health Center, Wauseon; downtown Defiance; and a mobile trailer ATM. In Indiana, four additional remote ATM s are located at St. Joe; at Kaiser s Supermarket and Therma-Tru in Butler; and at DeKalb Memorial Hospital in Auburn.

F\&M Investment Services, the brokerage department of the Bank, opened for business in April, 1999. Securities are offered through Raymond James Financial Services Inc.

The Company is a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. Our subsidiary bank is in turn regulated and examined by the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The activities of our bank subsidiary are also subject to other federal and state laws and regulations.

The Bank s primary market includes communities located in the Ohio counties of Defiance, Fulton, Henry, Williams and Wood and in the Indiana counties of DeKalb and Steuben. The commercial banking business in this market is highly competitive with approximately 17 other depository institutions currently doing business in the Bank s primary market. In our banking activities, we compete directly with other commercial banks, credit unions and farm credit services and savings and loan institutions in each of their operating localities. In a number of locations, we compete against entities which are much larger than us. The primary factors in competing for loans and deposits are the rates charged as well as location and quality of service provided. On December 31, 2007, the Bank acquired the Knisely Bank of Indiana, expanding
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its market with the addition of offices in Butler and Auburn, Indiana, both located in DeKalb County. An additional office was opened in the summer of 2008 in Angola, Indiana, located in Steuben County. On July 9, 2010 the Bank purchased a branch office in Hicksville, Ohio shortening the distance between our Ohio and Indiana offices. During 2012, the Bank purchased land in Waterville, Lucas County, Ohio, and began construction of an office. The office was opened in second quarter 2013 providing growth opportunity and extension of the market area.

At June 30, 2013, we had 251 full time equivalent employees. The employees are not represented by a collective bargaining unit. We provide our employees with a comprehensive benefit program, some of which are contributory. We consider our employee relations to be excellent.

## 2013 IN REVIEW

Non-interest income bolstered the second quarter and first half results of 2013 while net interest income decreased as compared to second quarter 2012 and first half. During the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter, the largest improvement in the non-interest
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income arena was the net gain on sale of loans; sales of 1-4 family and agricultural real estate were an extension of 2012 s heightened activity level. Due to the continued low rate environment, the Company s customers were able to refinance their loans and lower their payments and/or reduce the term of the loan. During the second quarter, gain on sale of securities bolstered the noninterest income and was the largest improvement in the quarter.

The trend of declining net interest income continued through the second quarter 2013 as evidenced by the lower net interest income on the income statement. Lack of significant loan growth was a contributing factor along with loss on yield from investments due to maturities and calls and lower reinvestment rate opportunities.

Total allowance provision for loan losses remained constant and was just slightly higher than 2012. Due to lack of loan growth and a low net charge-off position, the allowance for loan loss did not require a large influx of funds to provide more than an adequate level of protection. Impaired loans decreased from December 31, 2012 levels. They increased by just $\$ 166$ thousand over June 30, 2012 levels. The same comparison changed slightly for nonaccrual loans, showing an improvement in lower balances than December 31, 2012 as compared to same time period last year. One commercial loan was added to nonaccrual and impaired status during the second half 2012 is behind the increase in comparing second quarter balances. Overall, the Company continues to work on the collection of these loans and looks forward to continuing to decrease the exposure during 2013.

All rates remain low and are expected to remain low throughout 2013. This has enabled the Company to continue to sell investment securities and recognize a gain without compromising the yield. The transactions have modestly extended the duration of the investment portfolio. For all of 2012, the recognized gain was $\$ 852$ thousand, of which $\$ 169$ thousand was recognized in the second quarter. For second quarter 2013, the Company has recognized gains of $\$ 377$ thousand bringing the total for 2013 to $\$ 598$ thousand. Most of the securities sold were agencies maturing in a shorter time period than the securities that were purchased to replace them. The Bank was able to continue to capitalize on the steepness of the yield curve and the unrealized market gain position the last three years. The market value of the security portfolio has declined as evidenced by the high negative comprehensive income reported on the income statement. Additional opportunity to sell investment securities for a gain is limited for the remainder of 2013.

The majority of the Bank s commercial borrowers have experienced slight improvement, although a few still lag. As the economic recovery remains fragile and consumer confidence, while is improving, still remains at lower levels, consumer sensitive industries and the retail sector may continue to experience pressures as well. Drought conditions existed in the majority of the market area we service during 2012 and 2013 has brought increased moisture and storms. Crop insurance and two previous years of strong yields lessened any negative impact on our agricultural portfolio. Though yields in 2012 were down, prices were higher than a year earlier which helped lessen the financial impact. Though water levels have not yet returned to pre-drought levels, the outlook remains optimistic for 2013 agricultural activity.

Overall, profitability in the second quarter of 2013 was bolstered from the improvement in noninterest income. Noninterest income was up $17.7 \%$ over first half 2012. The Company has done an exceptional job of recognizing opportunities to provide services and products that the low rate environment made possible. These opportunities are further discussed in the Material Changes in Results of Operations. The Company remains strong, stable, and well capitalized and has the capacity to continue to cover the increased costs of doing business in a tough economy while seeking good loans to improve profitability. The Company continues to look for new opportunities to generate and protect revenue and provide additional channels through which to serve our customers and maintain our high level of customer satisfaction.

The Bank has been attentive to the significant final mortgage rules and additional guidance issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to implement the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act provisions. Effective in January 2014, these rules are a game-changer which impacts the entire mortgage lending industry, as well as the Bank s perspective on its mortgage lending business. The Bank continues to work toward fulfillment of applicable requirements for these new mortgage rules, as it gains further understanding of the complexities and inter-related nature of these rules, makes strategic decisions, and addresses key considerations necessary for implementation of each rule.
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## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICY AND ESTIMATES

The Company s consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and the Company follows general practices within the financial services industry in which it operates. At times the application of these principles requires management to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes.

These assumptions, estimates and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements. As this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different assumptions, estimates and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on assumptions, estimates and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Examples of critical assumptions, estimates and judgments are when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not required to be recorded at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability must be recorded contingent upon a future event.

These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the notes to the consolidated financial statements and in the management discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued and how those values are determined for the financial statements. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to assumptions, estimates, and judgments underlying those amounts, management has identified the determination of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) and the valuation of its Mortgage Servicing Rights and Other Real Estate Owned (OREO) as the accounting areas that require the most subjective or complex judgments, and as such could be the most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at the lower of fair value or the loan carrying amount at the date of foreclosure. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

The ALLL represents management $s$ estimate of credit losses inherent in the Bank s loan portfolio at the report date. The estimate is a composite of a variety of factors including past experience, collateral value and the general economy. ALLL includes a specific portion, a formula driven portion, and a general nonspecific portion. The collection and ultimate recovery of the book value of the collateral, in most cases, is beyond our control.

The Company is required to estimate the value of its Mortgage Servicing Rights. The Company recognizes as separate assets rights to service fixed rate single-family mortgage loans that it has sold without recourse but services for others for a fee. Mortgage servicing assets are initially recorded at cost, based upon pricing multiples as determined by the purchaser, when the loans are sold. Mortgage servicing assets are carried at the lower of the initial carrying value, adjusted for amortization, or estimated fair value. Amortization is determined in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income using the level yield method. For purposes of determining impairment, the mortgage servicing assets are stratified into like groups based on loan type, term, new versus seasoned and interest rate. The valuation is completed by an independent third party.

The expected and actual rates of mortgage loan prepayments are the most significant factors driving the potential for the impairment of the value of mortgage servicing assets. Increases in mortgage loan prepayments reduce estimated future net servicing cash flows because the life of the underlying loan is reduced.

The Company s mortgage servicing rights relating to loans serviced for others represent an asset of the company. This asset is initially capitalized and included in other assets on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. The mortgage servicing rights are then amortized against noninterest income in proportion to, and over the period of the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying mortgage servicing rights. There are a number of factors, however, that can affect the ultimate value of the mortgage servicing rights to the Company, including the estimated prepayment speed of the loan and the discount rate used to present value the servicing right. For example, if the mortgage loan is prepaid, the Company will receive fewer servicing fees, meaning that the present value of the mortgage servicing rights is less than the carrying value of those rights on the Company s balance sheet. Therefore, in an attempt to reflect an accurate expected value to the Company of the mortgage servicing rights, the Company receives a valuation of its mortgage servicing rights from an independent third party. The independent third party s valuation of the mortgage servicing rights is based on relevant characteristics of the Company s loan servicing
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#### Abstract

portfolio, such as loan terms, interest rates and recent national prepayment experience, as well as current national market interest rate levels, market forecasts and other economic conditions. Management, with the advice from its third party valuation firm reviewed the assumptions related to prepayment speeds, discount rates, and capitalized mortgage servicing income on a quarterly basis. Changes are reflected in the following quarter $s$ analysis related to the mortgage servicing asset. In addition, based upon the independent third party $s$ valuation of the Company s mortgage servicing rights, management then establishes a valuation allowance by each strata, if necessary, to quantify the likely impairment of the value of the mortgage servicing rights to the Company. The estimates of prepayment speeds and discount rates are inherently uncertain, and different estimates could have a material impact on the Company s net income and results of operations. The valuation allowance is evaluated and adjusted quarterly by management to reflect changes in the fair value of the underlying mortgage servicing rights based on market conditions. The accuracy of these estimates and assumptions by management and its third party valuation specialist can be directly tied back to the fact that management has only been required to record a minor valuation allowance through its income statement based upon the valuation of each stratum of servicing rights. For more information regarding the estimates and calculations used to establish the ALLL and the value of Mortgage Servicing Rights, please see Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements provided herewith.


Foreclosed real estate for sale is carried at the lower of fair value minus estimated costs to sell, or cost. Costs of holding foreclosed real estate are charged to expense in the current period, except for significant property improvements, which are capitalized. Valuations are periodically performed by management and a write-down is recorded by a charge to non-interest expense if the carrying value exceeds the fair value minus estimated costs to sell. Foreclosed real estate is classified as other real estate owned. The net income from operations of foreclosed real estate held for sale is reported in non-interest income. At June 30, 2013, holdings were $\$ 1.8$ million and were $\$ 2.3$ million as of December 31, 2012 and $\$ 3.3$ million as of June 30, 2012.

## MATERIAL CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

In comparing the balance sheet of June 30, 2013 to that of December 31, 2012, the liquidity of the Bank has decreased by approximately $\$ 21.6$ million and still remains strong. The size of the Bank is smaller by a similar amount. The decrease in liquidity also corresponds to a lower balance in the lowest interest yielding category. During the six months of 2013, net loans have decreased just $\$ 401$ thousand of which a $\$ 10$ million decrease was expected and happens each year at this time as a result of line of credit utilization by a single relationship. The fact that loan levels remained steady in light of the anticipated yearly reduction is a positive factor towards future improvement to profitability.

The Company s decrease in size was due to lower liability balances within deposits and FHLB borrowings. The Company has an unsecured borrowing capacity of $\$ 95.3$ million through correspondent banks and over $\$ 153.6$ million of unpledged securities which may be sold or used as collateral. The strength of the security portfolio is shown in the tables to follow. With the exception of stock, all of the Bank s security portfolio is categorized as available for sale and as such is recorded at market value. The charts that follow do not include stock.

Investment securities will at times depreciate to an unrealized loss position. The Bank utilizes the following criteria to assess whether or not an impaired security is other than temporary. No one item by itself will necessarily signal that a security simpairment should be recognized as other than temporary impairment.

1. The fair value of the security has significantly declined from book value.
2. A down grade has occurred that lowers the credit rating to below investment grade (below Baa3 by Moody and BBB- by Standard and Poors).
3. Dividends have been reduced or eliminated or scheduled interest payments have not been made.
4. The underwater security has longer than 10 years to maturity and the loss position had existed for more than 3 years.
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5. Management does not possess both the intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.
If the impairment is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of the individual security shall be written down to fair value, thereby establishing a new cost basis. The amount of the write down shall be included in earnings as a

## Table of Contents

## ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS MATERIAL CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (Continued)

realized loss. The new cost basis shall not be changed for subsequent recoveries in fair value. The recovery in fair value shall be recognized in earnings when the security is sold. The first table is presented by category of security and length of time in a continuous loss position. Municipalities may be more likely to be in a loss position greater than 12 months due to their length to maturity and are not indicative of an issue with safety and soundness of the municipality. The Bank currently does not hold any securities with other than temporary impairment.

As the chart below shows, there were only minor amounts of securities in a loss position as of June 30, 2013.


A large fluctuation in the market value of the securities occurred during the second quarter causing the unrealized gain position to decrease significantly. Management recognized the change in the market early and was quick to capture the gain before it fluctuated to an unrealized loss position. Management feels confident that liquidity needs can easily be funded from an orderly runoff of the investment portfolio.

The following chart shows the breakdown of the unrealized gain or loss associated within each category of the investment portfolio as of June 30, 2013.

|  | (In Thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated <br> Market <br> Value |
| Available-for-Sale: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 26,183 | \$ | \$ (704) | \$ 25,479 |
| U.S. Government agency | 212,713 | 1,715 | $(3,513)$ | 210,915 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 44,835 | 793 | (290) | 45,338 |
| State and local governments | 70,095 | 3,020 | (373) | 72,742 |
|  | \$ 353,826 | \$ 5,528 | \$ (4,880) | \$ 354,474 |

The following table shows the maturity schedule of the security portfolio as of June 30, 2013

|  | (In Thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| June 30, 2013 |  |  |
|  | Amortized | Cost |
| One year or less | $\$ 13,903$ | $\$ 14,008$ |
| After one year through five years | 168,149 | 169,545 |
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| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| After five years through ten years | 116,226 | 113,720 |
| After ten years | 10,713 | 11,863 |
|  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $\$ 308,991$ | $\$ 309,136$ |
| Mortgage Backed Securities | 44,835 | 45,338 |
| Total | $\$ 353,826$ | $\$ 354,474$ |
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#### Abstract

As previously stated, net loans show only a slight decrease of $\$ 401$ thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013. $\$ 362$ thousand was charged-off during the six month period indicating the total decrease was not due to charge-offs. The balance of the decrease in loans was due to the pay down, payoff or refinancing of loans. Loan sales into the secondary market have also impacted the consumer and agricultural real estate portfolios. During the year almost $\$ 46.9$ million of these sales occurred with only $\$ 43.3$ million of the loans originated in the same period as shown in the cash flow statement for the period. Agricultural real estate accounted for just over one eighth of the activity with 1-4 family representing the majority. Both portfolios include a large portion of refinancing. The trend of decreasing loan balances is not unique to this year as the chart to follow shows the trend during the last three years and shows an uptick for June 2013 as compared to June 2012. The Bank is however, closer to reversing the trend after our second quarter activity when comparing to yearend. The Bank s pipeline of loans has increased, driven by opportunities for new relationships as national banks downsize certain portfolios and an overall more optimistic opinion of the economy by businesses.


The chart below shows the breakdown of the loan portfolio by category less deferred loan fees and costs as of June 30 for the last three years.

|  | (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 2013 <br> Amount | June 2012 <br> Amount | June 2011 <br> Amount |
| Commercial Real Estate | \$ 215,246 | \$ 202,900 | \$ 193,993 |
| Agricultural Real Estate | 35,746 | 32,408 | 32,228 |
| Consumer Real Estate | 77,948 | 81,252 | 81,557 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 93,978 | 104,224 | 113,947 |
| Agricultural | 55,331 | 54,808 | 57,221 |
| Consumer, Overdrafts and other loans | 19,723 | 21,577 | 25,249 |
| Industrial Development Bonds | 3,102 | 1,199 | 1,965 |
| Total Loans | \$ 501,074 | \$ 498,368 | \$ 506,160 |

The Commercial and Industrial portfolio shows the largest decrease of $\$ 10.2$ million in balance as of June 30, 2013 compared to June 30, 2012. Agricultural real estate shows an increase of $\$ 3.3$ million which may switch positions next quarter as additional sales may occur. Commercial real estate showed the largest improvement in balances of $\$ 12.3$ million which was higher than the previous quarter s comparison increase of $\$ 8.7$ million. Overall, loans increased $\$ 2.7$ million as compared to the same period last year and decreased $\$ 5.1$ million as compared to June 30, 2011.

Overall, total assets of the Company decreased $\$ 24.3$ million from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013.
Deposits decreased $\$ 15.6$ million with the largest decrease of $\$ 15.1$ million in the time deposits. The largest increase in the deposit portfolio of $\$ 4.9$ million was in the NOW portfolio due to the continuing popularity of the KASASA program. The mix of the portfolio continued to transition to a higher level of core deposits as a result primarily of the Bank soffering of a high interest bearing transaction account along with an increase in health savings accounts. In 2010, the Bank strengthened its line of deposit products by adding additional products which added additional options to its already highly successful Reward Checking, which was renamed KASASA Cash. The additional options include KASASA Saver, KASASA Giver and KASASA ITunes. KASASA Saver, whose product utilizes a higher yielding rate than the Bank s regular saving account, is the reason behind the retention and increase of dollars in savings. These continue to be the deposits of choice and attract not only new money from existing customers but new customers to the Bank.

The Certificate of Deposit (COD) portfolio has decreased $\$ 15.1$ million during the first six months of 2013, which is part of the reason why the Bank continues to decrease the cost of funds. Through its pricing, the Bank has limited its attractiveness to short-term rate shoppers and foresees continuing this strategy throughout 2013. This is demonstrated below in the section of this MD\&A captioned MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATION - Interest Expense .
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The Bank paid off $\$ 5.1$ million in FHLB advances which had matured during 2012 and made principal payments and payoffs totaling $\$ 4.5$ million so far in 2013. This too should lower the cost of funds. Securities sold under agreement to repurchase increased $\$ 3.4$ million during the first six months of 2013 as compared to yearend.

Capital decreased a modest $\$ 2.9$ million from year-end during the six months of 2013. Positive earnings and a significant decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income are the factors behind the decrease. Comprehensive income decreased $\$ 5.7$ million which encompassed the shift of $\$ 598$ thousand from unrealized gain to realized gain with the sale of securities. Dividends paid were $\$ 81$ thousand higher the same period last year. Dividends declared increased approximately $\$ 40$ thousand as compared to June 2012 while comparable to December 2012.

The Company continues to be well-capitalized in accordance with Federal regulatory capital requirements as the capital ratios below show:

| Primary Ratio | $11.50 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tier I Leverage Ratio | $10.97 \%$ |
| Risk Based Capital Tier I | $17.06 \%$ |
| Total Risk Based Capital | $17.98 \%$ |
| Stockholders Equity/Total Assets | $11.63 \%$ |

## MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of Results of Operation for six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

The improvement in noninterest income for the first half 2013, was not high enough to offset the loss of interest income. As a result, net income was $\$ 168$ thousand lower than 2012 s first half. The $\$ 858$ thousand increase in noninterest income was driven primarily by (i) an increase in the gain on sale of securities of $\$ 429$ thousand, and (ii) smaller improvements in the remainder of categories in the range of $\$ 19$ to $\$ 211$ thousand. The increase in the aggregate number of checking and savings accounts has been the principal factor behind the increase in customer service fees for the two periods.

Net interest income after the provision for loan expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 was down $\$ 747$ thousand from the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in total interest income for the comparison of the two periods was $\$ 674$ thousand less than the decrease in total interest expense, making it the principal driver behind the overall decrease. The provision for loan loss expense was approximately $\$ 73$ thousand higher than same period 2012.

Noninterest expense was higher in comparison due to the addition of the Waterville office. The number of full time equivalent employees was 245 as of June 30, 2012 compared to 251 as of June 30, 2013.

Overall, the performance for the first half comparison was favorable and continues to showcase the issue with decreasing interest income being offset by strong noninterest revenue.

Interest Income

Annualized interest income and yield on earning assets is down 34 basis points in 2013 as compared to June 30, 2012. While the average total earning assets were only slightly higher by less than $1 \%$ for $\$ 5.4$ million than the prior year, the decrease in interest income resulted primarily from the decreased yields of the Company s earning assets. As the table that follows confirms, the decrease in the rate of the interest earning portfolios from loans to investments caused a lower June 2013 yield in loans and securities thereby causing lower interest income. The increased volume in the security portfolio did not offset the loss in interest income due to rate changes. However, the portfolio continues to have calls due to the low rate environment. Prepayment speeds remain high on mortgage-backed securities. These may slow as the Bank s refinancing activity has and long term rates inch higher.
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Overall, interest income from loans was down $\$ 1.4$ million in comparing the six months ended June 30, 2013 to same period 2012 which accounts for the majority of the overall drop in interest income. This emphasizes the impact of declining or stagnant loan balances and the need to find good loans with which to rebuild the portfolio. The overall asset yield decreased 34 basis points between the two periods.

The yields on tax-exempt securities and the portion of tax-exempt IDB loans included in loans have been tax adjusted based on a $34 \%$ tax rate in the charts to follow.

|  | (In Thousands) |  |  | Yield/Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Earning Assets: | Average Balance | Interest/Dividends | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |  |
| Loans | $\$ 489,831$ | $\$$ | 12,167 | $5.01 \%$ | $5.52 \%$ |
| Taxable Investment Securities | 299,045 |  | 2,365 | $1.58 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ |
| Tax-exempt Investment Securities | 63,560 |  | 911 | $4.34 \%$ | $4.44 \%$ |
| Fed Funds Sold \& Interest Bearing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 25,270 |  | 24 | $0.19 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ |
| Total Interest Earning Assets | $\$ 877,706$ | $\$$ | 15,467 | $3.65 \%$ | $3.99 \%$ |

Change in June 30, 2013 Interest Income Compared to June 30, 2012

|  |  | Due to |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Interest Earning Assets: | Change | Volume | Due to Rate |
| Loans | $\$(1,434)$ | $\$(160)$ | $\$(1,274)$ |
| Taxable Investment Securities | $(70)$ | 96 | $(166)$ |
| Tax-exempt Investment Securities | $(30)$ | $(14)$ | $(16)$ |
| Fed Funds Sold \& Interest Bearing |  |  | $(8)$ |
| Deposits |  |  | 8 |
| Total Interest Earning Assets | $\$(1,534)$ | $\$(86)$ | $\$(1,448)$ |

## Interest Expense

Interest expense continued to be lower than the comparable six months of 2012. Interest expense related to deposits was down $\$ 703$ thousand while the average interest-bearing deposit balance increased by $\$ 14.5$ million in comparing the balances of each six month period. Time deposits continue to reprice down and the Bank continues to try and lengthen the duration of the portfolio with specials offered in terms longer than thirty-six months. However, depositors continue to place more funds in shorter term deposits while they wait for rates to rise or move funds elsewhere. KASASA Cash and Saver along with HSA s helped to increase the savings average deposit balances by $\$ 26$ million.

Interest on borrowed funds was $\$ 158$ thousand lower for the six month period ended June 30, 2013 than 2012. More borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank were paid off during 2012 and 2013, making the balance in other borrowed money considerably lower in 2013 in comparison. Thus the largest decrease in cost of funds for other borrowed money was due to the decreased volume which also impacted the rate of the remaining borrowings portfolio. Fed Funds Purchased and Securities Sold under Agreement to Repurchase had similar balances in 2013 and 2012 making the fluctuation cost a minimal $\$ 1$ thousand.

For the same comparison as last year, the decrease in interest expense did not outpace the decrease in interest income. It remains a focus for improvement in 2013. Asset yield decreased 34 basis points while cost of funds decreased 22 basis points. The main focus is to increase asset yield by using excess cash and investments to fund loan growth.
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|  | (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Yield/Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | Average Balance | Interest/Dividends | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |  |
| Savings Deposits | $\$ 398,942$ | $\$$ | 766 | $0.38 \%$ | $0.58 \%$ |
| Other Time Deposits | 261,209 |  | 1,440 | $1.10 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ |
| Other Borrowed Money | 7,439 |  | 89 | $2.39 \%$ | $2.97 \%$ |
| Fed Funds Purchased \& Securities Sold under |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agreement to Repurch | 51,556 |  | 122 | $0.47 \%$ | $0.48 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Interest Bearing Liabilities | $\$ 719,146$ | $\$$ | 2,417 | $0.67 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ |

## Change in June 30, 2013 Interest Expense Compared to June 30, 2012

|  |  | Due to |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | Change | Volume | Due to Rate |  |
| Savings Deposits | $\$(309)$ | $\$$ | 51 | $\$$ |
| Other Time Deposits | $(360)$ | $(180)$ | $(214)$ |  |
| Other Borrowed Money | $(158)$ | $(110)$ | $(48)$ |  |
| Fed Funds Purchased \& Securities Sold under Agreement to Repurch | 1 | 2 | $(1)$ |  |
| Total Interest Bearing Liabilities | $\$(860)$ | $\$(237)$ | $\$$ | $(623)$ |

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is lower in the six month comparison, which is the same position as yearend 2012 s comparison to yearend 2011. The issue of earning less per earning asset dollar still remains as evidenced by the lower net interest margin ratio. The tables above demonstrate that the decline in net interest income is primarily a result of continued interest rate compression that results from falling rates impacting yields on assets more dramatically than rates paid on liabilities.

Management expects the current interest rate environment to continue to further compress the Company s interest margins throughout the remainder of the fiscal year. As a result, interest income, in comparison to 2012, may remain behind throughout the year if a higher level of loan growth does not occur. The Bank continues to attempt to add spread on renewing loans while loan growth is needed to improve the overall numbers. Interest expense on time deposits should also continue to show a decrease until depositors begin to transition back into longer-term deposits. Should rates begin to rise, the challenge will be to delay the pricing up of deposits in order to allow the Bank to generate a greater spread from the increased yield on its earning assets.

Provision Expense
Provision for loan loss was $\$ 73$ thousand higher for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same 2012 period. The decrease in the average balance in nonaccrual loans, along with improving asset quality and low loan growth, as compared to yearend 2012, warranted the low provision to the loan loss reserve. The balance in nonaccrual loans decreased $\$ 219$ thousand along with a decrease of $\$ 70$ thousand in impaired loan balances as of June 30, 2013 as compared to the balances as of December 31, 2012. In comparing to June 30, 2012, nonaccrual balances decreased $\$ 284$ thousand and impaired loan balances increased $\$ 167$ thousand. Provision expense related to those increased balances was recognized in the later time periods of 2012. The overall loan portfolio was also $\$ 2.7$ million higher as of June 30,2013 compared to June 30, 2012. The Bank continues to focus on the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios for both asset quality and growth. As the charts below will show for 2013 and 2012, a large portion of the provision was also to replace the reserve balance depleted from the net charge-offs during the period and 2013 had a smaller net charge-off position than 2012. Should the recovery stop or continue to slow even further, it is more likely additional credits may encounter cash flow problems and the Bank remains diligent in providing funds to offset future losses. In the immediate future, the Bank would expect to fund the loan loss reserve for any loan growth that may occur.
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Provision Expense (Continued)

The Bank uses the following guidelines as stated in policy to determine when to realize a charge-off, whether a partial or full loan balance. A charge down in whole or in part is realized when unsecured consumer loans, credit card credits and overdraft lines of credit reach 90 days delinquency. At 120 days delinquent, secured consumer loans are charged down to the value of the collateral, if repossession of the collateral is assured and/or in the process of repossession. Consumer mortgage loan deficiencies are charged down upon the sale of the collateral or sooner upon the recognition of collateral deficiency. Commercial and agricultural credits are charged down at 120 days delinquency, unless an established and approved work-out plan is in place or litigation of the credit will likely result in recovery of the loan balance. Upon notification of bankruptcy, unsecured debt is charged off. Additional charge-off may be realized as further unsecured positions are recognized.

Looking at the balance in impaired loans, it shows the Bank has recognized a slight increase in the overall balance of impaired loans when looking at June 2013 compared to June 2012. However, a positive factor can also been seen. A decrease in the current average balance during 2013 as compared to yearend December 2012. This is due mainly to the collection of principal from the sale of collateral from borrowers and continual collection of payments on these borrowers classified as impaired.

Increase in the impaired loans with a valuation allowance occurred during the second quarter 2012 relates to two relationships of $\$ 2.4$ million, one comprising $\$ 2.3$ million. In addition, during the second and third quarter, one relationship previously declared impaired had a decrease in the allocation amount of $\$ 5$ thousand. In the future, a collection of the collateral may have a larger short fall.

The Bank had $\$ 2.9$ million of its impaired loans classified as troubled debt restructured as of June 30, 2013, of which $\$ 207$ thousand was classified the same as at June 30, 2012. Four new impaired relationships were added during the second quarter and two were removed. The change resulted in $\$ 503$ thousand less in impaired balances; however the new relationship added $\$ 30$ thousand to the specific allocation balances.

In determining the allocation for impaired loans the Bank applies the observable market price of the collateral securing the asset, reduced by applying a discount for estimated costs of collateral liquidation. In some instances where the discounted market value is less than the loan amount, a specific impairment allocation is assigned, which may be reduced or eliminated by the write down of the credit sactive principal outstanding balance.

For the majority of the Bank s impaired loans, the Bank will apply the observable market price methodology. However, the Bank may also utilize a measurement incorporating the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan $s$ effective rate of interest. To determine observable market price, collateral asset values securing an impaired loan are periodically evaluated. Maximum time of re-evaluation is every 12 months for chattels and titled vehicles and every two years for real estate. In this process, third party evaluations are obtained and heavily relied upon. Until such time that updated appraisals are received, the Bank may discount the collateral value used.

The ALLL has a direct impact on the provision expense. The increase in the ALLL is funded through recoveries and provision expense. The following tables both deal with the allowance for credit losses. The first table breaks down the activity within ALLL for each loan portfolio segment and shows the contribution provided by both the recoveries and the provision along with the reduction of the allowance caused by charge-offs. The second table discloses how much of the ALLL is attributed to each segment of the loan portfolio, as well as the percent that each particular segment of the loan portfolio represents to the entire loan portfolio in the aggregate. Consumer activity has accounted for the largest component of charge-offs and recoveries in second quarter 2013 as compared to 2012. As was mentioned in previous discussion, the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios are having a major impact on the ALLL.
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The following table presents activities for the allowance for loan losses by loan type for three months ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

|  | Three <br> Months <br> Ended <br> June-13 | In Thousands <br> Three <br> Months <br> Ended <br> June-12 | Three <br> Months <br> Ended <br> June-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans | \$ 501,074 | \$ 498,368 | \$ 506,160 |
| Daily average of outstanding loans | \$ 495,014 | \$ 500,515 | \$ 505,960 |
| Allowance for Loan Losses - April 1 | \$ 5,344 | \$ 5,151 | \$ 5,752 |
| Loans Charged off: |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate | 44 | 96 | 66 |
| Ag Real Estate |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate | 89 | 53 | 97 |
| Commercial and Industrial |  |  | 845 |
| Agricultural |  |  |  |
| Consumer \& other loans | 112 | 122 | 53 |
|  | 245 | 271 | 1,061 |
| Loan Recoveries |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 1 | 29 |
| Ag Real Estate |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate | 4 | 24 | 5 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 41 | 4 | 1 |
| Agricultural | 3 | 3 | 62 |
| Consumer \& other loans | 38 | 46 | 48 |
|  | 86 | 78 | 145 |
| Net Charge Offs | 159 | 193 | 916 |
| Provision for loan loss | 112 | 78 | 653 |


| Allowance for Loan \& Lease Losses - June 30 | \$ | 5,297 | \$ | 5,036 | \$ | 5,489 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments \& Letters of Credit June 30 |  | 187 |  | 141 |  | 144 |
| Total Allowance for Credit Losses - June 30 | \$ | 5,484 | \$ | 5,177 | \$ | 5,633 |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average Loans outstanding |  | 0.03\% |  | 0.04\% |  | 0.18\% |
| Ratio of Allowance for Loan Loss to Nonperforming Loans |  | 114.93\% |  | 102.93\% |  | 61.90\% |
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The following table presents activities for the allowance for loan losses by loan type for six months ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

|  | (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Six <br> Months Ended June-13 |  | Six <br> Months <br> Ended <br> June-12 |  | Six <br> Months Ended June-11 |  |
| Loans | \$ 501,074 |  | \$ 498,368 |  | \$ 506,160 |  |
| Daily average of outstanding loans | \$ 489,831 |  | \$ 496,242 |  | \$ 509,564 |  |
| Allowance for Loan Losses - January 1 | \$ | 5,224 | \$ | 5,091 | \$ | 5,706 |
| Loans Charged off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 64 |  | 97 |  | 155 |
| Ag Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate |  | 100 |  | 93 |  | 190 |
| Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  | 1,316 |
| Agricultural |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| Consumer \& other loans |  | 198 |  | 208 |  | 169 |
|  |  | 362 |  | 398 |  | 1,854 |
| Loan Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  | 2 |  | 29 |
| Ag Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Real Estate |  | 9 |  | 30 |  | 23 |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 56 |  | 18 |  | 6 |
| Agricultural |  | 4 |  | 10 |  | 65 |
| Consumer \& other loans |  | 87 |  | 77 |  | 85 |
|  |  | 156 |  | 137 |  | 208 |
| Net Charge Offs |  | 206 |  | 261 |  | 1,646 |
| Provision for loan loss |  | 279 |  | 206 |  | 1,429 |
| Acquisition provision for loan loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for Loan \& Lease Losses - June 30 | \$ | 5,297 | \$ | 5,036 | \$ | 5,489 |
| Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments \& Letters of Credit June 30 |  | 187 |  | 141 |  | 144 |
| Total Allowance for Credit Losses - June 30 | \$ | 5,484 | \$ | 5,177 | \$ | 5,633 |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average Loans outstanding |  | 0.04\% |  | 0.05\% |  | 0.32\% |
| Ratio of Allowance for Loan Loss to Nonperforming Loans |  | 114.93\% |  | 102.93\% |  | 61.90\% |
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The following table presents the balances for allowance of loan losses by loan type for six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

|  | Amount <br> $(000$ s $)$ | $\%$ of <br> Portfolio | Amount <br> $(000$ <br> s $)$ | $\%$ of <br> Portfolio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balance at End of Period Applicable To: | $\$ 1,460$ | 42.95 | $\$ 1,722$ | 38.33 |
| Commercial Real Estate | 115 | 7.13 | 92 | 6.37 |
| Ag Real Estate | 361 | 15.56 | 460 | 16.11 |
| Consumer Real Estate | 2,138 | 18.76 | 1,856 | 22.51 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 277 | 11.04 | 275 | 11.30 |
| Agricultural | 266 | 3.94 | 283 | 4.99 |
| Consumer, Overdrafts and other loans | 680 | 0.62 | 348 | 0.39 |
| Unallocated |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 5,297$ |  | $\$ 5,036$ |  |
| Allowance for Loan \& Lease Losses | 187 |  | 141 |  |
| Off Balance Sheet Commitments | $\$ 5,484$ |  | $\$ 5,177$ |  |

The percentage of delinquent loans has trended downward since the beginning of 2010 from a high of $2.85 \%$ of total loans in January to $.64 \%$ as of the end of June 2012. June 2013 remained low at 1.07\%. These percentages do not include nonaccrual loans which are not past due. This level of delinquency is due in part to an adherence to sound underwriting practices over the course of time, an improvement in the financial status of companies to which the Bank extends credit, continued financial stability in the agricultural loan portfolio, and the write down of uncollectable credits in a timely manner.

Non-interest Income

Non-interest income was higher for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to same period in June 30, 2012. Improvement in non-interest income was reached in all categories from customer service fees to net gain on sale of securities. The increase in the checking and savings portfolios in terms of number of accounts in 2013 as compared to 2012 has been one of the main factors behind the maintaining of and additional collection of fees. Increases came from debit card usage for the first six months of 2013 being approximately $\$ 195$ thousand higher in revenue than for the first six months 2012. This revenue stream is very important to the Bank and its ability to offer free checking accounts to our customers.

Net gain on sale of securities represented the largest improvement in noninterest income, up $\$ 429$ thousand as of second quarter end 2013 compared to second quarter end 2012. As the market rates for long term assets began to rise, the Bank began to see the unrealized gain on the market value of securities erode. At the end of May and beginning of June, the Bank decided to capture some of the gain before the opportunity evaporated. $\$ 377$ thousand was recognized in the second quarter 2013.

The Bank was able to continue to take advantage of the opportunity to recognize gains from the sales of securities without impacting the yield of the investment portfolio by marginally extending the maturity duration. The gain in 2013 was based on security sales of $\$ 37.6$ million.

The impact of mortgage servicing rights, both to income and expense, is shown in the following table which reconciles the value of mortgage servicing rights. The capitalization runs through non-interest income while the amortization thereof is included in non-interest expense. A slight impairment in the valuation of the thirty year segment occurred in the fourth quarter of 2012 and was reversed in the second quarter of 2013, eliminating all impairment.
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|  | (In Thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Beginning Balance, January 1 | $\$ 2,063$ | $\$ 2,071$ |
| Capitalized Additions | 241 | 384 |
| Amortization | $(257)$ | $(372)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Ending Balance, June 30 | 2,047 | 2,083 |
| Valuation Allowance |  |  |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights, net June 30 | $\$ 2,047$ | $\$ 2,083$ |

Loss on sale of assets was lower by $\$ 151$ thousand as of first half 2013 as compared to same period 2012. This represents an improvement in non-interest income for the period. This line item includes losses from sales of assets, losses from write-downs to the Bank s Other Real Estate Owned (ORE) and losses resulting from the loss or disposal of fixed assets, though the fixed asset sales are inconsequential. Holdings in ORE decreased to $\$ 1.8$ million as of June 30, 2013 compared to holdings of $\$ 3.3$ million as of June 30, 2012. Activity on sales of ORE has increased in 2013 and the Bank expects this to continue throughout the remainder of 2013 and decrease the holdings even further.

Overall non-interest income improved $\$ 858$ thousand for the six months of operations in 2013 as compared to 2012. It is expected to continue through 2013, specifically in the area of loss on sale of other assets owned.

The movement of income from comprehensive income to realized gain on sale of securities is disclosed in the table to follow. Since the Bank classifies its entire investment portfolio, with the exception of stock, as available for sale, the majority of any gain/loss on the sale is a direct shift of funds from unrealized gain to realized gain. Since the purchase of additional or replacement securities occurs at the same time, those new securities immediately impact the other comprehensive income.

|  | (In Thousands) <br> Six <br> Months Ended <br> June 30, <br> 2013 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Six Months Ended |
| :---: |

## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was only $\$ 288$ thousand higher than for the same period of 2012. The largest individual increase in expense is related to the salaries and wages. Salaries and wages were higher by $\$ 218$ thousand during the six months as compared to the same time frame of 2012. Base pay was higher by $3.7 \%$ or $\$ 84.4$ thousand in 2013 than 2012 with the number of full time equivalent employees having increased from 246 as of June 30 , 2012 to 251 as of June 30, 2013. This percentage is in line with the small increases that were authorized to be implemented throughout the organization for higher performing employees. Pension and other employee benefits decreased by $\$ 118$ thousand in the same time period. Medical costs increased by $\$ 69.5$ thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to June 30, 2012. This was due to a higher level of claims accompanied by higher insurance premiums for plan year 2013. Occupancy expense decreased $\$ 173$ thousand as compared to 2012.
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## Non-Interest Expense (Continued)

A decrease occurred of $\$ 115$ thousand in the amortization expense of mortgage servicing rights. When a mortgage is refinanced, any unamortized servicing right is fully expensed and therefore, drives the amortization expense higher within that period. Of the sales and originations shown in the cash flow, $\$ 29.7$ million were originated and $\$ 31.7$ million sold from the 1-4 family portfolio which had mortgage servicing rights attached. These were down from $\$ 44.8$ million in originations and $\$ 46.3$ million in sales from the same portfolio in second quarter 2012. Therefore, amortization expense from refinancing activity would be expected to be lower, which it is.

Other general and administrative expenses were higher during the six months for 2013 by $\$ 333$ thousand; as compared to the same six months 2012. Almost one fourth; $\$ 77.1$ thousand, of the increase is attributed to loan collection fees, including legal. Overall non-interest expense was just $\$ 288$ thousand higher in 2013 than in 2012. The Company continues to monitor costs to safeguard profitability.

## Net Income

Overall, net income was down $\$ 168$ thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period of 2012. The improvement in asset quality that has occurred over the last two years along with lower loan balances enabled the Company to have low levels of provision expense. This coupled with the decrease on ORE write-down and losses summarized in gain/loss on sale of other assets owned of $\$ 151$ thousand less in 2013 than in 2012, increased gain on sale of investments of $\$ 429$ thousand in 2013 over 2012, are the largest factors behind the decrease not being larger. Obviously, the gain on sale of securities plays a role in the improvement and the Company is fortunate that the opportunity existed to capture income that has been used to improve profitability the last two years. The decrease in net interest income for the quarter is proof of the importance of the effect of balance sheet mix as the low loan balances continues to impact overall asset yield.

The Company is positioned for improvement in the net interest margin while rates remain low, provided there is an increase in loan demand. It will be a challenge to maintain the margin once short term rates begin to rise. However, the Bank remains focused on improving the asset yield through improved asset quality and added spread to prime on variable and adjustable rate loans. As with the rest of the banking industry, the Company is also limited from achieving higher profitability by the cost of increased regulatory requirements such as Regulation E, Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and any other additional regulations that may be enacted during 2013 and their corresponding cost of compliance. The Company will continue to seek to enhance existing products and services to increase revenue, improve efficiency and increase customer satisfaction.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

In comparing changes in the balance sheet composition from first quarter to second quarter-end 2013, the two most important ones are the net loans from the asset side and accumulated comprehensive income from the capital section. This statement would also hold true when comparing the June $30^{\text {th }}$ balances of 2012 to 2013.

Net loans show an increase in both circumstances, which is a step in the desired direction. The increase is minor at less than half a million in year to year comparison, but is an increase in comparing 2013 quarter-end balances of $\$ 16.3$ million. The operative word in both instances is increase . The Bank is anticipating it may be the start of a new trend that will help to bolster long-term profitability.

Accumulated comprehensive income has experienced the opposite and is significantly lower at June 30, 2013 by $\$ 6.3$ million compared to June 30, 2012 and by $\$ 4.9$ million compared to March 31, 2013. This basically signifies that only a small opportunity or not one at all, exists to recognize additional income from gain on sale of investments, especially, if future income is not to be jeopardized by the sale. As the income statement has shown, this has been a portion of non-interest income for many recent years. This fluctuation also stresses the importance of why community banks did not want it to be a part of the Basel III capital rules to be implemented in the coming years: it can be a very volatile portion of capital and play havoc with meeting regulatory guidelines without much warning.
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In comparing June quarterly results for 2012 and 2013, interest income is lower in 2013 by $\$ 760$ thousand. $94 \%$ of the decrease is from lower earnings on loans. While the balances were similar, the decrease was due to rate. Interest expense was lower by $\$ 469$ thousand with $83 \%$ due to the rate on deposits and lower time deposit balances. The net of these two amounted to net interest income being lower in second quarter 2013 than second quarter 2012 by $\$ 291$ thousand.

The largest differences in quarter comparisons within noninterest income were an increase in 2013 by the gain on sale of securities of $\$ 377$ thousand, and a decrease of $\$ 300$ thousand in 2013 from gain on sale of loans. Overall, noninterest income improved by $\$ 295$ thousand for second quarter 2013 compared to same time period 2012.

Noninterest expense was separated by only $\$ 17$ thousand in comparing the two periods. Second quarter 2013 was higher than 2012. Fluctuation by category was limited with the largest being $\$ 146$ thousand in other general and administrative. The difference in year-to-date expenses was due more to the first quarter activity.

For second quarter comparison, net income as down only $\$ 36$ thousand in 2013 as compared to 2012. Earnings per share and dividends declared were different by one cent. As discussed previously, the largest fluctuation in the quarter comparison relates to other comprehensive income, a loss of $\$ 6.1$ million in the market value of securities for 3 months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

Overall, the Bank is working to offset the probable loss of noninterest income streaming from sales by increasing the loan balances. Possible improvement in the net interest margin appears attainable with the loan increases shown in the quarterly comparisons.

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements contained in this portion of the Company s report may be forward-looking statements, as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as intend, believe, expect, anticipate, should, planned, estimated, and potential. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, but may differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors, which include, but are not limited to, factors discussed in documents filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time. Other factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries which include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, general economic conditions, legislative and regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the quality and composition of the loan or investment portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in the Bank s market area, changes in relevant accounting principles and guidelines and other factors over which management has no control. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this report, and the Company assumes no obligation to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons why actual results differ from those projected in the forward-looking statements.

## ITEM 3 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates and equity prices. The primary market risk to which the Company is subject is interest rate risk. The majority of the our interest rate risk arises from the instruments, positions and transactions entered into for purposes, other than trading such as loans, available for sale securities, interest bearing deposits, short term borrowings and long term borrowings. Interest rate risk occurs when interest bearing assets and liabilities re-price at different times as market interest rates change. For example, if fixed rate assets are funded with variable rate debt, the spread between asset and liability rates will decline or turn negative if rates increase.

Interest rate risk is managed within an overall asset/liability framework. The principal objectives of asset/liability management are to manage sensitivity of net interest spreads and net income to potential changes in interest rates. Funding positions are kept within predetermined limits designed to ensure that risk-taking is not excessive and that liquidity is properly managed. In the event that our asset/liabilities management strategies are unsuccessful, our profitably may be adversely affected. The Company employs a sensitivity analysis utilizing interest rate shocks to help in this analysis.
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The Company also reviews shocks with a $4.0 \%$ fluctuation with a delayed time frame of 10 months. The shocks presented below assume an immediate change of rate in the percentages and directions shown:

| Interest Rate Shock on Net Interest Margin | Interest Rate Shock on Net Interest Income |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Change |  |  |  | \% Change |
|  | to | Rate | Rate | Cumulative | to |
| Net Interest Margin (Ratio) | Flat Rate | Direction | Changes by | Total (\$000) | Flat Rate |
| 2.87\% | 2.44\% | Rising | 3.00\% | 25,850 | 0.12\% |
| 2.90\% | 1.45\% | Rising | 2.00\% | 25,824 | 0.22\% |
| 2.86\% | 2.77\% | Rising | 1.00\% | 25,393 | 1.88\% |
| 2.94\% | 0.00\% | Flat | 0.00\% | 25,880 | 0.00\% |
| 2.68\% | 9.00\% | Falling | 1.00\% | 23,779 | 8.12\% |
| 2.52\% | 14.35\% | Falling | 2.00\% | 22,435 | 13.31\% |
| 2.31\% | 21.31\% | Falling | 3.00\% | 20,771 | 19.74\% |

The net interest margin represents the forecasted twelve month margin. It also shows what effect rate changes will have on both the margin and net interest income. The goal of the Company is to lengthen some of the liabilities or sources of funds to decrease the exposure to a rising rate environment. The Bank has offered higher rates on certificates of deposits for longer periods since 2011. Of course, customer desires also drive the ability to capture longer term deposits. Currently, the customer looks for terms twelve months and under while the Bank would prefer 24 months and longer. It is often a meeting in the middle that satisfies both. What the Bank has experienced is a decrease in the time balances of our deposit portfolio, therefore a loss of term funding. Over the last two years, other borrowings that had matured were not replaced which thus eliminated a category of what historically was longer term liability. A high level of liquidity negated the need to re-borrow.

The shock chart currently shows a tightening in net interest margin over the next twelve months in both a rising and decreasing rate environment. Due to the length of and existence of such a low rate environment, the model does not predict expansion of net income margin at any level. Cost of funds are below $1 \%$ so at even the lowest shock of 100 basis points, the Bank cannot take full advantage and reprice funds to match the level of shock. The negative impact in a rising rate environment is partially caused by a timing issue on the ability to reprice assets as immediately as the liabilities. The average duration of the majority of the assets is outside the 12 month shock period. The Bank enhanced its use of the software model during 2012 by including decay rates and key rate ties on certain deposit accounts. Both enhancements were based on historical performance data of the Bank. Both directional changes are within risk exposure guidelines at the 200 basis point level. The effect of the rate shocks may be mitigated to the extent that not all lines of business are directly tied to an external index and actual balance sheet composition may differ from prediction.

Overall, what the chart shows is that the Company cannot remain stagnant in its choices. Changes in portfolio and/or balance sheet composition are needed for the margin to improve regardless of any rate shock.

## ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of June 30, 2013, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management including the CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company s management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2013. There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None

## ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors disclosed by Registrant in its Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

## ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Treasury stock repurchase for quarter ended June 30, 2013

| Period $4 / 1 / 2013 \text { to } 4 / 30 / 2013$ | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased | (b) Average Price Paid per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Programs | (d) Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be purchased under the Plans or Programs 196,500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/1/2013 to 5/31/2013 |  |  |  | 196,500 |
| 6/1/2013 to 6/30/2013 |  |  |  | 196,500 |
| Total |  |  |  | 196,500 |

(1) The Company purchased shares in the market pursuant to a stock repurchase program publicly announced on January 18, 2013. On that date, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of 200,000 common shares between January 18, 2013 and December 31, 2013.

ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES
None

ITEM 4 MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES
Not applicable

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS

## Edgar Filing: FARMERS \& MERCHANTS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Amended Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on August 1, 2006)
3.2 Code of Regulations of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 10, 2004)
31.1 Rule 13-a-14(a) Certification - CEO
31.2 Rule 13-a-14(a) Certification - CFO
32.1 Section 1350 Certification - CEO
32.2 Section 1350 Certification - CFO
101.INS
101.SCH
101.CAL
101.DEF
101.LAB
101.PRE XBRL Instance Document (1)

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (1)
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document (1)
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (1)
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document (1)
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document (1)

## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 31, 2013

Date: July 31, 2013

Farmers \& Merchants Bancorp, Inc.,
By: /s/ Paul S. Siebenmorgen
Paul S. Siebenmorgen
President and CEO

By: /s/ Barbara J. Britenriker
Barbara J. Britenriker
Exec. Vice-President and CFO

