

Aramark
Form 424B4
May 30, 2014
Table of Contents

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(B)(4)
File Number 333-196050

20,000,000 Shares

Common Stock

The selling stockholders named in this prospectus are offering 20,000,000 shares of our common stock. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares being sold by the selling stockholders.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ARMK. On May 29, 2014, the last sale price of our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange was \$25.83 per share.

After completion of this offering, certain stockholders will continue to beneficially own a majority of the voting power of all outstanding shares of our common stock. As a result, we will continue to be a controlled company within the meaning of the corporate governance standards of the New York Stock Exchange. See Principal and Selling Stockholders.

	Per Share	Total
Public offering price	\$ 25.50	\$ 510,000,000
Underwriting discounts and commissions(1)	\$ 0.95625	\$ 19,125,000
Proceeds, before expenses, to selling stockholders	\$ 24.54375	\$ 490,875,000

(1) See the section titled Underwriting (Conflicts of Interest) for a description of the compensation payable to the underwriters. Certain of the selling stockholders have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 3,000,000 additional shares of common stock at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount. If this option is not exercised in full, the shares will be purchased from these selling stockholders on a pro rata basis.

Investing in shares of our common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors beginning on page 20.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares on or about June 4, 2014.

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

J.P. Morgan

Credit Suisse

Morgan Stanley

Barclays

BofA Merrill Lynch

RBC Capital Markets

Wells Fargo Securities

Baird

PNC Capital Markets LLC

Rabo Securities

Ramirez & Co., Inc.

Santander

SMBC Nikko

The Williams Capital Group, L.P.

The date of this prospectus is May 29, 2014

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>Prospectus Summary</u>	1
<u>Risk Factors</u>	20
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	36
<u>Market Price of Our Common Stock</u>	37
<u>Dividend Policy</u>	38
<u>Capitalization</u>	39
<u>Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information</u>	40
<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	43
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	46
<u>Business</u>	78
<u>Management</u>	91
<u>Principal and Selling Stockholders</u>	147
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions</u>	151
<u>Description of Certain Indebtedness</u>	154
<u>Description of Capital Stock</u>	161
<u>Shares Eligible for Future Sale</u>	169
<u>Certain United States Federal Income and Estate Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders</u>	172
<u>Underwriting (Conflicts of Interest)</u>	175
<u>Legal Matters</u>	181
<u>Experts</u>	181
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	181
<u>Index to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-1

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus or in any free writing prospectuses we have prepared. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information and we and the underwriters take no responsibility for, and can provide no assurance as to the reliability of, any other information that others may give you. We are not, the selling stockholders are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer to sell or seeking offers to buy these securities in any state or jurisdiction where an offer or sale is not permitted. You should not assume that the information contained in this prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of this prospectus.

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws, including, without limitation, statements concerning the conditions in our industry, our operations, our economic performance and financial condition, including, in particular, statements relating to our business and growth strategy under Prospectus Summary, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Business. You can identify forward-looking statements because they contain words such as aim, anticipate, are confident, estimate, expect, will be, will continue, will likely result, project, intend, plan, believe and other words and terms of in connection with a discussion of future operating or financial performance. All statements we make relating to our estimated and projected earnings, costs, expenditures, cash flows, growth rates and financial results are forward-looking statements. In addition, we, through our senior management, from time to time make forward-looking public statements concerning our expected future operations and performance and other developments. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may change at any time, and, therefore, our actual results may differ materially from those that we expected. We derive many of our forward-looking statements from our operating budgets and forecasts, which are based upon many detailed assumptions. While we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, we caution that it is very difficult to predict the impact of known factors, and, of course, it is impossible for us to anticipate all factors that could affect our actual results. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations (cautionary statements) are disclosed under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus, including, without limitation, in conjunction with the forward-looking statements included in this prospectus. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements. Some of the factors that we believe could affect our results include:

unfavorable economic conditions;

natural disasters, global calamities, sports strikes and other adverse incidents;

the failure to retain current clients, renew existing client contracts and obtain new client contracts;

a determination by clients to reduce their outsourcing or use of preferred vendors;

competition in our industries;

increased operating costs and obstacles to cost recovery due to the pricing and cancellation terms of our food and support services contracts;

the inability to achieve cost savings through our cost reduction efforts;

our expansion strategy;

the failure to maintain food safety throughout our supply chain, food-borne illness concerns and claims of illness or injury;

governmental regulations including those relating to food and beverages, the environment, wage and hour and government contracting;

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

liability associated with noncompliance with applicable law or other governmental regulations;

changes in, new interpretations of or changes in the enforcement of the government regulatory framework;

currency risks and other risks associated with international operations, including Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, U.K. Bribery Act and other anti-corruption law compliance;

continued or further unionization of our workforce;

liability resulting from our participation in multiemployer defined benefit pension plans;

risks associated with suppliers from whom our products are sourced;

Table of Contents

disruptions to our relationship with, or to the business of, our primary distributor;

the inability to hire and retain sufficient qualified personnel or increases in labor costs;

healthcare reform legislation;

the contract intensive nature of our business, which may lead to client disputes;

seasonality;

our leverage;

the inability to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness;

debt agreements that limit our flexibility in operating our business;

potential conflicts of interest between our Controlling Owners (as defined herein) and us; and

other factors set forth under the heading "Risk Factors" in this prospectus.

We caution you that the foregoing list of important factors may not contain all of the material factors that are important to you. In addition, in light of these risks and uncertainties, the matters referred to in the forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus may not in fact occur. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law.

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL AND OTHER INFORMATION

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus to "we," "our," "us," "Aramark," "the Company" and similar terms refer to Aramark and its subsidiaries and references to "Parent" refer to Aramark and not any of its subsidiaries.

Our fiscal year ends on the Friday nearest September 30 in each year. In this prospectus, when we refer to our fiscal years, we say "fiscal" and the year number, as in "fiscal 2013," which refers to our fiscal year ended September 27, 2013. In addition, "client" refers to those businesses and other organizations which engage us to provide services. "Consumers" refers to those consumers of our services, such as employees, students and patrons, to whom our clients provide us access.

We present Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA, as defined under "Prospectus Summary" Summary Consolidated Financial Data, as non-U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or non-GAAP, financial measures in various places throughout this prospectus. Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA are supplemental measures used by management to measure operating performance. Our presentation of Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("U.S. GAAP"). We use Adjusted Net Income as a supplemental measure of our overall profitability because it excludes the impact of the non-cash amortization of certain intangible assets and depreciation of property and equipment that were created at the time of our 2007 going-private transaction (the "2007 Transaction"), non-cash share-based compensation expense and other costs that are not indicative of our ongoing operational performance. Similarly, we use Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA as supplemental measures of our operating profitability and to evaluate and control our cash operating costs because they exclude the impact of the items noted above relating to the calculation of Adjusted Net Income that are not indicative of our ongoing operational

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

performance. We believe the presentation of Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about our operating performance.

Table of Contents

We also present Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA as non-GAAP financial measures of Aramark Services, Inc. and its restricted subsidiaries under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources. Our presentation of Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under U.S. GAAP. In addition, Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA are measures of Aramark Services, Inc. and its restricted subsidiaries only and do not include the results of Parent. We believe that the inclusion of Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA in this prospectus is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about the calculation of certain financial measures in our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020, which we refer to as our senior notes. For instance, our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes contain financial ratios that are calculated by reference to Covenant Adjusted EBITDA. Non-compliance with the financial ratio maintenance covenants contained in our senior secured credit facilities could result in the requirement to immediately repay all amounts outstanding under such facilities, while non-compliance with the debt incurrence ratio contained in our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes would prohibit us from being able to incur additional indebtedness other than pursuant to specified exceptions.

Because Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income, Adjusted EBITDA, Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA are not measures determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP and are susceptible to varying calculations, we caution investors that these measures as presented may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Under Prospectus Summary Summary Consolidated Financial Data herein, we include a quantitative reconciliation of Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA to the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP financial performance measure, which is net income. Under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources, we include a quantitative reconciliation of Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA to the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP financial performance measure, which is net income attributable to Aramark Services, Inc. stockholder.

MARKET AND INDUSTRY DATA

The data included in this prospectus regarding our industry and market opportunity, including the size of certain sectors and geographies, our position and the position of our competitors within these sectors and geographies and the portion of the market opportunity that is currently outsourced, are based on management estimates, which were derived using our management's knowledge and experience in the sectors and geographies in which we operate, our own internal estimates and research, industry and general publications and research, and surveys and studies conducted by third parties. We believe these estimates to be accurate as of the date of this prospectus. However, these estimates may prove to be inaccurate because of the method by which we obtained some of the data for the estimates or because this information cannot always be verified with complete certainty due to the limits on the availability and reliability of raw data, the voluntary nature of the data gathering process and other limitations and uncertainties.

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider before making your investment decision. You should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the matters discussed under the caption Risk Factors and the detailed information and financial statements included in this prospectus.

Following this offering, we will continue to be a controlled company under the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance standards, and as a result, will continue to rely on exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements. See Risk Factors.

Our Company

We are a leading global provider of food, facilities and uniform services to education, healthcare, business and industry and sports, leisure and corrections clients. Our core market is North America, which is supplemented by an additional 19-country footprint serving many of the fastest growing global geographies. We hold the #2 position in North America in food and facilities services and uniform services based on total sales in 2013. Internationally, we hold a top 3 position in food and facilities services based on total sales in 2013 in most countries in which we have significant operations, and are one of only 3 food and facilities competitors with our combination of scale, scope, and global reach. Through our established brand, broad geographic presence and approximately 272,000 employees, we anchor our business in our partnerships with thousands of education, healthcare, business, sports, leisure and corrections clients. Through these partnerships we serve millions of consumers including students, patients, employees, sports fans and guests worldwide. The scope and range of our services are evidenced by the following:

We provide services to 86% of the Fortune 500

We serve over 500 million meals annually to approximately 5 million students at colleges, universities, and K-12 schools

We service over 2,000 healthcare facilities, collectively representing over 75 million patient days annually

We cater to approximately 100 million sports fans annually through our partnerships with over 150 professional and collegiate teams

We put over 2 million people in uniforms each day

We operate in 22 countries in North America, Europe, Asia and South America

Our mission is to **Deliver experiences that enrich and nourish lives.** This mission is anchored in a set of goals, which we refer to as our core values, that guide our execution in the marketplace:

Sell and Serve with Passion. Placing clients and consumers at the center of all that we do by listening and responding to their needs with service focused on quality and innovation

Set Goals. Act. Win. Maintaining a culture of accountability where performance matters and exhibiting leadership that achieves and exceeds expectations through our execution

Front-Line First. Providing our front-line employees with tools and training that empower them to deliver excellence at the point of service to thousands of consumers and clients every day

Integrity and Respect Always. High ethical standards are the cornerstone of the Aramark brand and help us earn the trust of our key constituents

Table of Contents

We strive to accomplish this mission through a repeatable business model founded on five principles of excellence – selling, service, execution, marketing and operations. Our commitment to these values has earned us numerous awards and recognitions; we have been named one of the World’s Most Admired Companies by Fortune Magazine in the category of Diversified Outsourcing Services every year since 1999 and we are recognized as one of the World’s Most Ethical Companies by the Ethisphere Institute.

We operate our business in three reportable segments that share many of the same operating characteristics: Food and Support Services North America, or FSS North America, Food and Support Services International, or FSS International, and Uniform and Career Apparel, or Uniform. The following chart provides a brief overview of our reportable segments (dollars in millions):

(1) Fiscal 2013 operating income excludes \$74.2 million of unallocated corporate expenses.

(2) Based on 2013 total sales.

(3) We have significant operations in the following countries: China, Chile, Germany, Ireland, Japan, Spain and the UK. We believe we hold top 3 positions in all of these countries except Spain.

Within our reportable segments, our business is generally focused around key client types – Education, Healthcare, Business & Industry, Sports & Leisure and Corrections.

(1) Based on 2013 total sales.

Table of Contents

We believe that our broad range of services, diversified client base, global reach and repeatable business model position us well for continued growth and margin expansion opportunities, although there can be no assurance that we will continue to grow. In fiscal 2013, we generated \$13.9 billion of sales, \$70 million of net income and \$1.2 billion of Adjusted EBITDA. As of March 28, 2014, we had \$5.6 billion of total debt. Please see Summary Consolidated Financial Data for a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income.

Our History and Recent Accomplishments

Since our founding in 1959, we have broadened our service offerings and expanded our client base through a combination of organic growth and acquisitions, with the goal of further developing our food, facilities and uniform capabilities, as well as growing our international presence.

On January 26, 2007, we delisted from the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) in conjunction with a going-private transaction executed with investment funds affiliated with GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and Warburg Pincus LLC as well as approximately 250 senior management personnel.

In May 2012, Eric Foss became the new CEO and President of our company. Previously, Mr. Foss was the CEO of Pepsi Beverages Company and was Chairman and CEO of the publicly-traded Pepsi Bottling Group. Under Mr. Foss' leadership at our company, we have introduced a number of initiatives designed to accelerate revenue and profit growth and expand margins.

In 2013, we continued to grow our existing business and win new clients, including the Ohio and Michigan departments of corrections, the Minnesota Vikings, the Chicago Bears, and the Tampa Bay Buccaneers, and additional services from existing clients such as Airbus and American University. There is no assurance that we will continue to grow and gain new customers.

On December 17, 2013, we completed an initial public offering of our common stock at a price to the public of \$20.00 per share. In the initial public offering, we issued and sold 28,000,000 shares of common stock and the selling stockholders in the initial public offering offered and sold 13,687,500 shares of common stock, including 5,437,500 shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise in full of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares. Our initial public offering raised net proceeds to us, after deducting underwriters' discounts and commissions and expenses payable by us, of \$524.1 million, which was used to repay \$370.0 million of outstanding term loans under our senior secured credit facilities and \$154.1 million of outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facilities constituting part of our senior secured credit facilities. We did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares sold by the selling stockholders in our initial public offering. Our common stock began trading on the NYSE under the ticker symbol ARMK on December 12, 2013.

Our Market Opportunity

We operate in large and highly fragmented markets. We believe that the global food and support services market and the North American uniform and career apparel market is approximately \$900 billion. As only approximately 50% of this opportunity is outsourced, we believe that there is a substantial potential for growth by winning business with educational and healthcare institutions, businesses, sports and leisure facilities and correctional facilities that currently provide these services in-house. We expect that demand for increased outsourced services will continue to be driven by shifting client imperatives, including: the need to focus on core businesses, the desire to deliver a high level of consumer satisfaction, the pursuit of reduced costs and the attractiveness of consolidating services with a single provider. We believe our provision of these services is increasingly important to our clients' achievement of their own missions.

Table of Contents

The food and support services market is highly fragmented, with the five largest competitors capturing only 9% of the global market. We believe that larger service providers are better positioned to win a disproportionate amount of the business that is converted from self-operated services as clients seek services from partners with the scale and sophistication necessary to drive consumer satisfaction and increase operational efficiency. There can be no assurance that the number of outsourcing opportunities will increase or that our sales will increase if they do.

Our core geographic market is North America, which we believe will remain an attractive opportunity due to the favorable underlying economic conditions, stability and opportunities for profitable growth, and growing trend towards outsourcing. We continue to focus on the Education and Healthcare sectors, which are only approximately 30% outsourced, and have increased as a percentage of GDP, representing significant growth opportunities. While cost reduction continues to be a key consideration, we believe that clients' decisions are increasingly driven by other benefits associated with outsourcing as they recognize that providing higher quality, more efficient food and facilities services is critical to driving satisfaction of their key constituents: students and faculty, patients, employees and sports fans.

We also operate in select, high growth, emerging markets in Asia and South America. The GDP of the countries making up these markets grew at approximately 8.6% in 2012, although GDP growth in Asia generally slowed from prior years. The economic growth in these countries is driven by factors such as rising discretionary income and increased investment in growth sectors such as mining, education and healthcare. Additionally, we estimate emerging markets are approximately 70% self-operated, making them highly attractive opportunities for outsourcing expansion. In Europe, we hold top 3 positions in Germany, the UK and Ireland. While we anticipate that economic conditions in Europe will continue to remain challenging, our exposure to southern Europe is limited to Spain, which represented approximately 1% of our total sales in 2013.

Our Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths are key to our continued success:

Leader in a Large, Fragmented and Growing Market

We are a global market leader in the large, fragmented and growing food, facilities and uniform services industries. We believe that we have developed our leadership positions through using our experience and client and consumer knowledge to provide service offerings to our clients that allow our clients to focus on their core business. These leadership positions provide us with economies of scale, allow us to attract and retain industry talent and we believe position us to compete effectively for new business opportunities. We believe that clients are increasingly interested in service providers with a broad geographic reach and a breadth of service offerings.

Favorable Geographic, Sector and Service Mix

We have the global reach and capability to deliver our services in 22 countries around the world, which represent approximately 65% of the world's GDP. We believe that our leading position in our core North American market will remain a principal growth driver. Also, utilizing the skills and experience we have developed over decades of service in the North American market, we have established positions in strategic emerging markets in Asia and South America. Our sales in emerging markets have increased at an annual rate of approximately 14% over the last five years, and represent 8% of our total sales in 2013 versus 4% in 2007. We believe that our expanding presence in these geographies will become increasingly important for our overall growth. In Europe, we have a selective position concentrated in Germany, the UK and Ireland with limited exposure to southern Europe.

Table of Contents

We serve a large and diversified client base across a wide range of sectors and businesses, including Education, Healthcare, Business & Industry and Sports, Leisure and Corrections, with no single client accounting for more than 2% of 2013 sales (other than collectively a number of U.S. government entities). The Education and Healthcare sectors, which together contributed 43% of our 2013 sales globally, represent attractive growth opportunities for us due to their size and low penetration.

We believe that the breadth of our service capabilities and ability to innovate position us well to meet evolving consumer needs and address our clients' increasing desire to conduct business with an experienced single provider of multiple services. Clients rely on us to provide a variety of services, from offering safe living and working environments for miners to patient transportation services for healthcare clients to convenience stores on college campuses.

Longstanding Client Relationships

Our leading positions, scale and breadth of product offering enable us to continue to grow our business through higher penetration into existing clients and cross-selling of additional services. We have long-lasting relationships with our clients as evidenced by our approximately 94% annual retention rate and an average client relationship of approximately 10 years. We believe we are able to maintain these strong relationships year after year by providing services that help our clients focus on their own mission and also improve satisfaction of their key constituencies: employees, students and faculty, patients and sports fans. We believe that this is increasingly important for our clients as, for example, businesses compete for employees, colleges compete for students and hospitals compete for patients. Given that only 11% of our current clients utilize both food and facilities services, we believe substantial opportunities remain for us to provide additional services to our existing client base.

Further, we aim to increase the per capita spending of our target consumers and expand the participation rates of these populations in our existing service offering, through innovative marketing and merchandising programs. We continuously innovate our existing services to better meet our clients' evolving needs. We use our consumer insights and other research to increase our awareness of market trends, client needs and consumer preferences.

Improving Profitability with Significant Cash Flow Generation

We have in the past and continue to implement a number of programs and tools designed to increase our profitability, including enhanced management of our key costs—food, labor and overhead—through SKU rationalization (a consolidation of product categories for our purchases), standardization of portion sizes, waste control, enhanced labor scheduling, turn-over reduction and SG&A discipline, among others. Because of the leverage inherent in our business model, we believe the implementation of these measures will increase our profitability. Since instituting these new productivity initiatives in 2012, we have seen positive momentum in our performance. During fiscal 2013, we achieved year-over-year growth in our Adjusted Operating Income of 7% and sales growth of 3%. Please see Summary Consolidated Financial Data for a reconciliation of Adjusted Operating Income to net income.

We believe our business mix allows us to deliver consistent profitability in most macroeconomic environments and our high mix of variable costs allows us to react quickly to changing conditions in our day to day operations. We have historically generated significant cash flow as a result of our consistent profitability and limited working capital and capital expenditure requirements. Our capital expenditures in the last 5 years have averaged only 2.5% of sales. In the economic downturn in 2009 for example, our cash flow actually increased as lower capital expenditures and a reduction in working capital more than offset an earnings decline. We believe that the low capital investment requirements of our business position us to continue to generate significant cash flow, which should give us the flexibility to reduce debt, pursue strategic acquisitions and return capital to our stockholders.

Table of Contents

Experienced Management Team

Our management team consists of long-tenured leaders with significant industry experience along with outside leaders with significant Fortune 500 management, consumer/retail and food industry experience. Our CEO and President, Eric Foss, is an experienced Fortune 500 public company CEO. Since joining our company in 2012, he has introduced an integrated strategy focusing on growth, productivity, people and delivering on financial commitments. The average tenure of our principal operating leaders is 14 years, with individual tenure ranging from 32 years to less than one year. Our remaining senior management team and business unit presidents' tenure averages approximately 12 years. We have a long history of broad management ownership dating back to the 1980s, and our management team collectively has a significant equity position in Aramark.

Our Strategies

Through the following growth and operational strategies, we seek to provide the highest quality food, facilities and uniform services to our clients and consumers through a consistent, repeatable business model founded on five principles of excellence: selling, service, execution, marketing and operations.

Grow Our Base Business

Drive Incremental Revenue from Existing Clients

We intend to increase penetration within our existing client base. We believe our ideas and innovations are a key differentiating factor for us in winning new business at existing clients. We believe that opportunities exist to increase penetration in each of our major service lines: food service, facilities service and uniform service. In each of our sectors we have identified the top items that drive demand and have established standardized frameworks at the location level to maximize results. At our Major League Baseball venues where these programs have been introduced, per-capita expenditures by fans in 2013 were 5.9% higher than in the 2012 season.

Currently, 11% of our clients use both our food and facilities services. We believe that having an on-site team successfully providing one service positions us well to expand the services we provide. An example of a recent success is American University, where we have been providing facilities services since 2001 and recently won the dining business from a competitor based on our strategic vision for the campus and the local management teams that have consistently delivered high quality services.

Increase Client Retention Rates

We have historically experienced high and consistent client retention rates. In 2013, our client retention rate was 94%. We believe that our front-line focus and emphasis on satisfying our clients' needs enable us to increase the quality of our operations. Our service orientation is centered on creating a culture of excellence. We believe that providing our front-line employees with tools and training that empower them to improve the quality and breadth of service that they provide clients will drive client and consumer loyalty, enabling us to increase our retention rates and enhance profitability for our stockholders.

Grow New Business

Expand New Business Through Selling Excellence

Our platform for growing new business is centered on understanding our clients' needs, creating innovative service offerings that meet those needs and selling our services with passion. We believe that our market leadership and extensive industry experience position us to capitalize on the large, under-penetrated and growing food, facilities and uniform services markets. We believe that the current rates of penetration will increase as more

Table of Contents

businesses and organizations continue to see the benefits of outsourcing non-core activities. Estimated annualized revenue from new clients contracted during 2013 as if they were acquired at the beginning of the fiscal year was over \$1.3 billion. Our estimated net new business (the estimated annualized sales of new clients less the annualized sales of lost clients as if they were acquired or lost on the first day of the fiscal year) was approximately \$525 million in fiscal 2013. There can be no assurance that the current rates of penetration of outsourcing for the food, facilities and uniform services markets will increase or that our sales will increase if they do.

We are particularly focused on the Education and Healthcare sectors due to their lower level of economic sensitivity and strong growth. Despite recent economic weakness, total spending on Education and Healthcare has increased as a percentage of total GDP. Additionally, we believe the addressable Education and Healthcare sectors represent opportunities of \$87 billion and \$31 billion, respectively, and are only approximately 30% outsourced to third party providers, which provides a significant opportunity for further growth.

Increase Our Presence in Emerging Markets

The favorable growth characteristics and relatively low outsourcing rates in emerging market regions present a substantial opportunity for accelerated growth. Our emerging markets presence currently consists of 7 countries across Asia and South America and represented 8% of our total sales in 2013. Our growth strategy in select emerging market geographies is focused on three initiatives: supporting existing clients as they expand into emerging markets, growing in geographies in which we already operate profitably, and entering new geographies where we have identified attractive prospects for profitable expansion. Over the last several years, our China business has experienced significant growth, including 27% growth in 2013, and we believe that we are well positioned to utilize deep industry and country experience to continue to expand in this key geography. Additionally, we are focused on growing our presence in South America, where we held the #2 position in Chile and the #1 position in Argentina based on 2012 total sales. Given the scale and coordination required to successfully execute a multinational contract, we believe we are one of a very small group of global companies currently capable of competing for these contracts within emerging markets.

Pursue Strategic Acquisitions

We anticipate that continued consolidation in the global food, facilities and uniform services markets will create opportunities for us to acquire businesses with complementary geographic and service offering profiles. We intend to continue strengthening our existing business through selective, accretive acquisitions that will solidify our position, enhance and expand our service capabilities, further develop our differentiated positions, or allow us to enter into high growth geographies. We have a history of acquisitions, which we have integrated into our existing operations while achieving targeted synergies with minimal client losses. For example, in fiscal 2012 we acquired Filterfresh, a leader in providing quality office refreshment services to employees in the workplace, and in fiscal 2011 we acquired Masterplan, a clinical technology management and medical equipment maintenance company, which expanded our capability to service all levels of hospital clinical technology and strengthened our position in a key sector within the North American market. Both acquisitions were integrated into larger, similar existing Company operations.

Accelerate Margin Expansion through Operational Excellence

We have been implementing a disciplined process to achieve operational excellence and capture productivity for growth through a standard, repeatable business model. To achieve this, we are investing in the systems, tools and training utilized by our front-line employees, and establishing quality standards and processes to more efficiently manage our food, merchandise, labor, and above-unit costs. Additionally, our scale and operating leverage allow us to effectively manage these costs, which together accounted for 77% of our operating costs in fiscal 2013. We are also incorporating automated, standardized and centralized processes that have resulted in the reduction of overhead costs through the elimination of redundancies in our finance and HR functions.

Table of Contents

The implementation of these initiatives has led to increased profitability, a portion of which we are reinvesting in our business to achieve additional growth and margin expansion. This reinvestment is focused on two primary goals: improving the efficiency of standard tools and selling resources, and continuing to recruit, train and develop employees to maintain our culture of high performance. Through continued reinvestment in our business, we expect to both increase our ability to execute upon our core strategies and maintain our operational excellence.

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

Amendments to Our Senior Secured Credit Facilities

On February 24, 2014, Aramark Services, Inc. (formerly known as ARAMARK Corporation) entered into an Amendment Agreement (the "2014 Amendment Agreement") to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 26, 2010 (as amended, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Credit Agreement") with ARAMARK Canada Ltd., ARAMARK Investments Limited, ARAMARK Ireland Holdings Limited, ARAMARK Holdings GmbH & Co KG, ARAMARK GmbH, ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation, each subsidiary of Aramark Services, Inc. that, from time to time, becomes a party thereto, the lenders from time to time party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as LC Facility Issuing Bank thereunder, the Issuing Banks named therein, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent for the Lenders thereunder and the other parties thereto from time to time. Among other things, the 2014 Amendment Agreement provides for approximately \$3,982 million in the aggregate of new term loans, \$2,582 million of which have a maturity date of February 24, 2021 and \$1,400 million of which have a maturity date of September 7, 2019. The term loans due on February 24, 2021 include 140 million of term loans denominated in euros, £115 million of term loans denominated in sterling and ¥5,042 million of term loans denominated in yen. The new term loans were borrowed on February 24, 2014 and the proceeds were used to repay Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing term loans due 2016 and 2019 (with the exception of approximately \$75 million in term loans due 2016 borrowed by its Canadian subsidiary, which remain outstanding). The 2014 Amendment Agreement also provides for the extension, from January 26, 2017 to February 24, 2019, of the maturity of \$565 million in revolving lender commitments of the existing \$605 million revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement. In addition, the 2014 Amendment Agreement increases the revolving lender commitments by \$165 million, for a total revolving credit facility of \$770 million.

On March 28, 2014, Aramark Services, Inc. entered into Amendment Agreement No. 1 to the 2014 Amendment Agreement ("Amendment Agreement No. 1"). Among other things, Amendment Agreement No. 1 provides for approximately \$31 million (C\$34 million) of new Canadian term loans, which have a maturity date of February 24, 2021.

As of March 28, 2014, term loans under our senior secured credit facilities amounting to approximately \$4,089 million in the aggregate were outstanding, \$2,614 million of which have a maturity date of February 24, 2021, \$1,400 million of which have a maturity date of September 7, 2019 and \$75 million of which have a maturity date of July 26, 2016, and a revolving credit facility of up to \$770 million.

Amendments to Our Receivables Facility

In May 2014, we amended our receivables facility to increase the maximum amount to \$350.0 million and extend the maturity date to May 2017. In addition, our receivables facility will now include a seasonal tranche which will increase its capacity by \$25.0 million. See "Description of Certain Indebtedness - Receivables Facility."

Aramark Name Change

On May 9, 2014, Parent changed its name from ARAMARK Holdings Corporation to Aramark and ARAMARK Corporation changed its name to Aramark Services, Inc.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Our Business

Participating in this offering involves substantial risk. In particular, the risks described under the heading "Risk Factors" immediately following this summary may cause us to be unable to:

fully execute upon our mission and core values;

succeed in our initiatives designed to accelerate revenue, expand margins and grow profits;

achieve continued sales and customer growth;

take advantage of incremental market opportunities;

realize the full benefits of our strengths; and

successfully implement all or part of our strategies.

Some of the more significant challenges include the following:

unfavorable economic conditions, as well as natural disasters, global calamities, sports strikes and other adverse incidents, have, and in the future could, adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition;

our failure to retain our current clients, renew our existing client contracts and obtain new clients could adversely affect our business;

we may be adversely affected if clients reduce their outsourcing or use of preferred vendors;

competition in our industries could adversely affect our results of operations;

increased operating costs and obstacles to cost recovery due to the pricing and cancellation terms of our FSS contracts may constrain our ability to make a profit;

our inability to achieve cost savings through our cost reduction efforts could impact our results of operations;

a failure to maintain food safety throughout our supply chain and food-borne illness concerns may result in reputational harm and claims of illness or injury that could adversely affect us;

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

governmental regulations, including those relating to food and beverages, the environment, wage and hour, anti-corruption and our government contracts, may subject us to significant liability;

our business may suffer if we are unable to hire and retain sufficient qualified personnel or if labor costs increase;

our leverage could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industries, expose us to interest rate risk to the extent of our variable rate debt and prevent us from meeting our obligations; and

the other factors set forth under the **Risk Factors** in this prospectus.

Before you participate in this offering, you should carefully consider all of the information in this prospectus, including those matters set forth under the heading **Risk Factors**.

Company Information

Aramark is organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. Our business traces its history back to the 1930s.

Our executive offices are located at Aramark Tower, 1101 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Our website is www.aramark.com. Please note that our Internet website address is provided as an inactive textual reference only. **Information on our website does not constitute part of this prospectus.**

Table of Contents

The Offering

Common stock offered by the selling stockholders	20,000,000 shares
Option to purchase additional shares	Certain of the selling stockholders have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 3,000,000 additional shares. The underwriters could exercise this option at any time within 30 days from the date of this prospectus. If this option is not exercised in full, the shares will be purchased from these selling stockholders on a pro rata basis.
Use of proceeds	We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock in this offering.
Dividend policy	On February 4, 2014, we declared cash dividends of \$0.075 per share on the outstanding shares of our common stock, which were paid on March 11, 2014 to shareholders of record on the close of business on February 18, 2014. On May 6, 2014, we declared a cash dividend of \$0.075 per share on the outstanding shares of our common stock, payable on June 9, 2014 to holders of record of our common stock on May 19, 2014 (the June 2014 Dividend). Settlement of shares purchased in this offering will occur following the record date for the June 2014 Dividend. Holders of record as of May 19, 2014 will be entitled to receive the June 2014 Dividend and will be responsible for any related tax obligation. We intend to continue to pay cash dividends on our common stock, subject to our compliance with applicable law, and depending on, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, level of indebtedness, capital requirements, contractual restrictions, business prospects and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by the covenants of our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes and may be further restricted by the terms of any future debt or preferred securities. See Dividend Policy and Description of Certain Indebtedness.
Controlled company (Conflicts of interest)	After the completion of this offering, certain stockholders will continue to control a majority of the voting power of our outstanding common stock. As a result, we will continue to be a controlled company within the meaning of the NYSE corporate governance standards. In addition, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that none of the Controlling Owners (as defined herein) or any of their affiliates will have any duty to refrain from (i) engaging in a corporate opportunity in the same or similar lines of business in which we or our affiliates now engage or propose to engage or (ii) otherwise competing with us or our affiliates. See Description of Capital Stock Conflicts of Interest.

Table of Contents

Under our stockholders agreement, each of the Controlling Owners is entitled to select for nomination one person to serve on our board of directors and a majority of the Sponsor directors and Joseph Neubauer must be present in order to constitute a quorum for purposes of any meetings of the board of directors. The stockholders agreement also sets forth the circumstances under which the Controlling Owners and other existing stockholders can transfer shares following the offering. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.

Risk factors

You should read the Risk Factors section of this prospectus for a discussion of factors that you should consider carefully before deciding to invest in our common stock.

New York Stock Exchange symbol

ARMK

Conflicts of interest

Goldman, Sachs & Co. and/or its affiliates own in the aggregate in excess of 10% of our issued and outstanding common stock. In addition, it is expected that by selling shares of common stock in this offering Goldman, Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and/or their respective affiliates will each receive more than 5% of the net proceeds of the offering not including underwriting compensation. As a result they are deemed to have a conflict of interest with us within the meaning of Rule 5121 of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (Rule 5121). Accordingly, this offering will be made in compliance with the applicable provisions of Rule 5121. In accordance with that rule, the appointment of a qualified independent underwriter is not required in connection with this offering because a bona fide public market exists for our common stock. Any underwriter that has a conflict of interest pursuant to Rule 5121 will not confirm sales to accounts in which it exercises discretionary authority without the prior written consent of the customer. See Underwriting (Conflicts of Interest).

The number of shares of our common stock to be outstanding following this offering is based on 231,181,581 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 25, 2014 and excludes:

30,997,483 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase shares outstanding as of April 25, 2014 with a weighted average exercise price of \$11.12 per share; 3,201,130 restricted stock units; 468,464 performance stock units; and 283,157 director deferred stock units; and

22,781,864 shares reserved for future issuance following this offering under our share-based compensation plans.

Unless otherwise noted, the information in this prospectus reflects and assumes no exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares.

Table of Contents

Summary Consolidated Financial Data

The following table sets forth summary consolidated financial data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The summary consolidated financial data for the fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 have been derived from our consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, which have been audited by KPMG LLP. The summary consolidated financial data as of September 30, 2011 has been derived from our consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus, which have been audited by KPMG LLP.

The summary consolidated financial data as of March 28, 2014, and for the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013, have been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary consolidated financial data as of March 29, 2013 has been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus. The unaudited financial data presented have been prepared on a basis consistent with our audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, such unaudited financial data reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal and recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results for those periods. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or any future period.

The financial data set forth in this table should be read in conjunction with the sections titled "Selected Consolidated Financial Data," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information," included elsewhere in this prospectus, as well as with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes and the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes that are also included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Table of Contents

	Fiscal year(1)			Six months ended	
	2013	2012	2011	March 28, 2014 (unaudited)	March 29, 2013 (unaudited)
(dollars in millions, except per share data)					
Statement of operations data:					
Sales	\$ 13,946	\$ 13,505	\$ 13,082	\$ 7,265	\$ 6,940
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of services provided	12,661	12,191	11,836	6,515	6,304
Depreciation and amortization	542	529	511	262	269
Selling and general corporate expenses	228	203	188	210	112
Operating income	515	582	547	278	255
Interest and other financing costs, net	424	457	451	185	260
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	91	125	96	93	(5)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	20	18	(1)	35	(8)
Income from continuing operations	71	107	97	58	3
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax(2)	(1)		(12)		
Net income	70	107	85	58	3
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1	3	1		
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 69	\$ 104	\$ 84	\$ 58	\$ 3
Pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(3)	\$ 116				
Pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders (as adjusted)(4)	\$ 109				
Per share data:					
Basic:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.01
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)		(0.06)		
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.01
Diluted:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.01
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)		(0.06)		
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.01
Pro forma basic:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.58				
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)				
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(3)	\$ 0.57				

Table of Contents

	Fiscal year(1)			Six months ended	
	2013	2012	2011	March 28, 2014 (unaudited)	March 29, 2013 (unaudited)
(dollars in millions, except per share data)					
Pro forma diluted:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.56				
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)				
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(3)	\$ 0.55				
Pro forma, as adjusted, basic:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.48				
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)				
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(4)	\$ 0.47				
Pro forma, as adjusted, diluted:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.47				
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)				
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(4)	\$ 0.46				
Cash dividend per share(5)	\$	\$	\$ 3.50	\$ 0.075	\$
Statement of cash flows data:					
Net cash provided by/(used in):					
Operating activities(6)	\$ 696	\$ 692	\$ 304	\$ (127)	\$ 51
Investing activities	(385)	(482)	(363)	(141)	(159)
Financing activities(6)	(336)	(287)	112	297	91
Balance sheet data (at period end):					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 111	\$ 137	\$ 213	\$ 139	\$ 120
Total assets(6)	10,267	10,487	10,523	10,287	10,243
Total debt (including current portion of long term debt)(6)(7)	5,824	6,009	6,232	5,638	6,224
Total equity(5)	904	967	882	1,699	810
Other financial data:					
Adjusted Net Income(8)	\$ 269	\$ 206	\$ 200	\$ 187	\$ 124
Adjusted Operating Income(8)	794	746	718	457	408
Adjusted EBITDA(8)	1,181	1,122	1,076	649	599
Capital expenditures, net of disposals	382	343	272	160	161

- (1) Fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 refer to the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. All periods presented are 52-week periods.
- (2) During fiscal 2011, the Company completed the sale of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Galls, for approximately \$75.0 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a pretax loss of approximately \$1.5 million (after-tax loss of approximately \$12.0 million). Galls is accounted for as a discontinued operation. Galls' results of operations have been removed from the Company's results of continuing operations for all periods presented.
- (3) The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders assumes a reduction of interest expense, net of tax, of approximately \$47 million for fiscal 2013 related to the debt refinancing that occurred during the second quarter of fiscal 2013. The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders and per share data assumes the debt refinancing occurred at the beginning of fiscal 2013.
- (4) The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders (as adjusted) and per share data (as adjusted) for fiscal 2013 reflects the application of \$524.1 million in net proceeds from our initial public offering to repay amounts due under our senior secured credit facilities. Pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders (as adjusted) for fiscal 2013 assumes a reduction of interest expense, net of tax, of approximately \$11.9 million related to such repayment of amounts due under our senior secured credit facilities and an increase in share-based compensation expense of approximately \$22.6 million for the ongoing portion of the non-cash charge related to the modification of the terms of certain performance-based options outstanding. The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark

stockholders (as adjusted) and

Table of Contents

per share data (as adjusted) assumes our initial public offering and the related application of net proceeds was completed at the beginning of fiscal 2013.

- (5) During fiscal 2011, the Company paid a dividend of approximately \$711 million to its stockholders. On October 29, 2012, we completed the spin-off of our majority interest in Seamless North America, LLC, an online and mobile food ordering service, to our stockholders in the form of a dividend. Each stockholder received one share of the common stock of Seamless Holdings, a newly formed company created to hold our former interest in Seamless North America, LLC, for each share of our common stock held as of the record date.
- (6) In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company adopted the new authoritative accounting guidance regarding transfers of financial assets. The impact upon adoption resulted in the recognition of both the receivables securitized under the Company's receivables securitization facility and the borrowings they collateralize on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which led to a \$220.9 million increase in Receivables and Long-Term Borrowings. As a result of implementing the new guidance, funding under the agreement of \$220.9 million on October 2, 2010 was reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows as a use of cash from the securitization of accounts receivable under net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities and as a source of cash under net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities.
- (7) During fiscal 2011, the Company completed a private placement of \$600 million, net of a 1% discount, in aggregate principal amount of 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016. In the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company completed a refinancing, repurchasing Aramark Services, Inc.'s outstanding 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015 and Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 and our 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016. The Company refinanced that debt with new term loan borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities and the issuance of our senior notes.
- (8) We use Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA as supplemental measures to evaluate our performance. Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA are not measurements of financial performance under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. Adjusted Net Income represents net income adjusted to eliminate the impact from discontinued operations, net of tax; the increased amortization of acquisition-related customer relationship intangible assets and depreciation of property and equipment resulting from the 2007 Transaction; share-based compensation; the effect of currency translation; severance and other charges; the effects of acquisitions and divestitures; branding; initial public offering-related expenses, including share-based compensation; gains, losses and settlements relating to certain transactions; and the effects of refinancing on interest and other financing costs, less the tax impact of these adjustments. Adjusted Operating Income represents Adjusted Net Income further adjusted to exclude the impact from income taxes and interest and other financing costs, net. Adjusted EBITDA represents Adjusted Operating Income further adjusted to exclude the impact of all other depreciation and amortization expense.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of certain non-GAAP financial measures used in this prospectus. A directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure to Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA is net income. Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA are reconciled from net income as follows:

	Fiscal year			Six months ended	
	2013	2012	2011	March 28, 2014	March 29, 2013
(unaudited, dollars in millions)					
Net Income (as reported)	\$ 70	\$ 107	\$ 85	\$ 58	\$ 3
Adjustment:					
Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of tax	1		12		
Increased Amortization of Acquisition-Related Customer Relationship Intangible Assets and Depreciation of Property and Equipment Resulting from the 2007 Transaction(a)	155	153	153	70	78
Share-Based Compensation(b)	19	16	17	22	9
Effect of Currency Translation(c)					(4)
Severance and Other Charges(d)	114	5	30	14	83
Effects of Acquisitions and Divestitures(e)				(1)	1
Branding(f)				15	
Initial Public Offering-Related Expenses, including share-based compensation(g)				56	
Gains, Losses and Settlements impacting comparability(h)	(9)	(10)	(29)	3	(14)
Effects of Refinancing on Interest and Other Financing Costs, net(i)	40			26	40
Tax Impact of Adjustments to Adjusted Net Income	(121)	(65)	(68)	(76)	(72)
Adjusted Net Income	\$ 269	\$ 206	\$ 200	\$ 187	\$ 124
Adjustment:					
Tax Impact of Adjustments to Adjusted Net Income and Interest Adjustments	81	65	68	50	32
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	20	18	(1)	35	(8)
Interest and Other Financing Costs, net	424	457	451	185	260
Adjusted Operating Income	\$ 794	\$ 746	\$ 718	\$ 457	\$ 408
Adjustment:					
Increased Amortization of Acquisition-Related Customer Relationship Intangible Assets and Depreciation of Property and Equipment Resulting from the 2007 Transaction	(155)	(153)	(153)	(70)	(78)
Depreciation and Amortization	542	529	511	262	269
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 1,181	\$ 1,122	\$ 1,076	\$ 649	\$ 599

(a) Increased amortization of acquisition-related customer relationship intangible assets and depreciation of property and equipment resulting from the 2007 Transaction adjustments to eliminate the increased amortization and depreciation above historical levels resulting from the purchase accounting applied to the January 26, 2007 going-private transaction executed with investment funds affiliated with GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC and J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and Warburg Pincus LLC as well as approximately 250 senior management personnel.

(b) Share-based compensation adjustments to eliminate non-cash compensation expense related to the Company's issuances of stock options, restricted stock units, performance stock units and other share-based units, excluding the expense related to the modification of missed year options in connection with the initial public offering which are included in the initial public offering and related expenses adjustment noted below.

Table of Contents

- (c) Effect of currency translation adjustment to eliminate the impact that fluctuations in currency translation rates had on the comparative results for the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 by presenting the periods on a constant currency basis. Adjustments are not presented for the three fiscal years as we only use these adjustments in two period comparisons. This adjustment is (\$3.4) million in fiscal 2012 when comparing fiscal 2013 to fiscal 2012 and (\$4.1) million in fiscal 2011 when comparing fiscal 2012 to fiscal 2011.

- (d) Severance and other charges adjustments to eliminate severance expenses and other costs incurred, such as costs incurred to start-up our Business Service Center in Nashville, TN, organizational streamlining initiatives, goodwill impairments and asset write-offs and other transformational initiatives.

- (e) Effects of acquisitions and divestitures adjustments to eliminate the impact that acquisitions and divestitures had on the comparative periods for the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 by only presenting the acquired or divested businesses for the same periods of time in each period of the comparison. Adjustments are not presented for the three fiscal years as we only use these adjustments in two period comparisons. This adjustment is \$7.0 million when comparing fiscal 2013 to fiscal 2012 and \$3.3 million when comparing fiscal 2012 to fiscal 2011.

- (f) Branding adjustments to eliminate the expenses incurred in the period for the Aramark rebranding, such as costs related to the logo redesign, painting of trucks, changing signage, advertising, an internal new brand roll-out meeting, including travel and lodging expenses for company employees to attend this meeting, etc.

- (g) Initial public offering and related expenses adjustments to eliminate non-cash compensation expense related to the modification of missed year options in connection with the initial public offering, bonuses paid to select senior management individuals related to the successful completion of the initial public offering and other costs attributable to the completion of the initial public offering.

- (h) Gains, losses and settlements impacting comparability adjustments to eliminate certain transactions that are not indicative of our ongoing operational performance such as the loss on the McKinley Chalet divestiture during the first quarter of fiscal 2014, divestiture gains during fiscal 2011, insurance reserve adjustments due to favorable claims experience, multiemployer pension withdrawal charges, wage and hour settlement, other income relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at National Park Service sites, favorable non-income tax settlements during fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 and other transactions.

- (i) Effects of refinancing on interest and other financing costs, net adjustments to eliminate expenses associated with refinancing activities undertaken by the Company such as third party costs and non-cash charges for the write-offs of deferred financing costs related to refinancings that occurred in the second quarter of fiscal 2014 and the second quarter of fiscal 2013.

We use Adjusted Net Income as a supplemental measure of our overall profitability because it excludes the impact of the non-cash amortization of certain intangible assets and depreciation of property and equipment that were created at the time of the 2007 Transaction, non-cash share-based compensation expense and other items which are not indicative of our ongoing operational performance. Similarly, we use Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA as supplemental measures of our operating profitability and to evaluate and control our cash operating costs because they exclude the impact of the items noted above relating to the calculation of Adjusted Net Income that are not indicative of our ongoing operational performance. We believe the presentation of Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about our operating performance.

Table of Contents

Our presentation of these measures has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under U.S. GAAP. You should not consider these measures as alternatives to net income or operating income, determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA, as presented by us, may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies because not all companies use identical calculations. Moreover, our definition of Adjusted EBITDA as presented here, although similar, is not the same as Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA, which are calculated for Aramark Services, Inc. in connection with our financial covenants in the indenture governing our senior notes and in our senior secured credit facilities.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider each of the following risks as well as the other information included in this prospectus, including Selected Consolidated Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our financial statements and related notes, before investing in our common stock. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. In such a case, the trading price of the common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business

Unfavorable economic conditions have, and in the future could, adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

A national or international economic downturn has, and in the future could, reduce demand for our services in each of our reportable segments, which may result in the loss of business or increased pressure to contract for business on less favorable terms than our generally preferred terms. Economic hardship among our client base can also impact our business. For example, during the recent period of economic distress, certain of our businesses have been negatively affected by reduced employment levels at our clients' locations and declining levels of business and consumer spending. In addition, insolvency experienced by clients, especially larger clients, has, and in the future could, make it difficult for us to collect amounts we are owed and could result in the voiding of existing contracts. Similarly, financial distress or insolvency, if experienced by our key vendors and service providers such as insurance carriers, could significantly increase our costs.

The portion of our food and support services business that provides services in public facilities such as convention centers and tourist and recreational attractions is particularly sensitive to an economic downturn, as expenditures to take vacations or hold or attend conventions are funded to a partial or total extent by discretionary income. A decrease in such discretionary income on the part of potential attendees at our clients' facilities has, and in the future could, result in a reduction in our sales. Further, because our exposure to the ultimate consumer of what we provide is limited by our dependence on our clients to attract those consumers to their facilities and events, our ability to respond to such a reduction in attendance, and therefore our sales, is limited. There are many factors that could reduce the numbers of events in a facility or attendance at an event, including labor disruptions involving sports leagues, poor performance by the teams playing in a facility, number of playoff games, inclement weather and adverse economic conditions which would adversely affect sales and profits.

Natural disasters, global calamities, sport strikes and other adverse incidents could adversely affect our sales and operating results.

Natural disasters, including hurricanes and earthquakes, or global calamities have, and in the future could, affect our sales and operating results. In the past, we experienced lost and closed client locations, business disruptions and delays, the loss of inventory and other assets, and the effect of the temporary conversion of a number of our client locations to provide food and shelter to those left homeless by storms. In addition, any terrorist attacks, particularly against venues that we serve, and the national and global military, diplomatic and financial response to such attacks or other threats, also may adversely affect our sales and operating results. Sports strikes, particularly those that are for an extended time period, can reduce our sales and have an adverse impact on our results of operations. For example, in 2012, the collective bargaining agreement for the players in the National Hockey League expired. As a result, the 2012/2013 season was significantly shortened and our sales and profits were negatively impacted. Any decrease in the number of games played would mean a loss of sales and reduced profits at the venues we service.

Table of Contents

Our failure to retain our current clients, renew our existing client contracts and obtain new client contracts could adversely affect our business.

Our success depends on our ability to retain our current clients, renew our existing client contracts and obtain new business. Our ability to do so generally depends on a variety of factors, including the quality, price and responsiveness of our services, as well as our ability to market these services effectively and differentiate ourselves from our competitors. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain new business, renew existing client contracts at the same or higher levels of pricing or that our current clients will not turn to competitors, cease operations, elect to self-operate or terminate contracts with us. The failure to renew a significant number of our existing contracts would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations and the failure to obtain new business could have an adverse impact on our growth.

We may be adversely affected if clients reduce their outsourcing or use of preferred vendors.

Our business and growth strategies depend in large part on the continuation of a current trend toward outsourcing services. Clients will outsource if they perceive that outsourcing may provide quality services at a lower overall cost and permit them to focus on their core business activities. We cannot be certain that this trend will continue or not be reversed or that clients that have outsourced functions will not decide to perform these functions themselves.

In addition, labor unions representing employees of some of our current and prospective clients have occasionally opposed the outsourcing trend to the extent that they believed that current union jobs for their memberships might be lost. In these cases, unions typically seek to prevent public sector entities from outsourcing and if that fails, ensure that jobs that are outsourced continue to be unionized, which can reduce our pricing and operational flexibility with respect to such businesses.

We have also identified a trend among some of our clients toward the retention of a limited number of preferred vendors to provide all or a large part of their required services. We cannot be certain that this trend will continue or not be reversed or, if it does continue, that we will be selected and retained as a preferred vendor to provide these services. Unfavorable developments with respect to either outsourcing or the use of preferred vendors could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Competition in our industries could adversely affect our results of operations.

There is significant competition in the food and support services business from local, regional, national and international companies, of varying sizes, many of which have substantial financial resources. Our ability to successfully compete depends on our ability to provide quality services at a reasonable price and to provide value to our clients and consumers. Certain of our competitors have been and may in the future be willing to underbid us or accept a lower profit margin or expend more capital in order to obtain or retain business. Also, certain regional and local service providers may be better established than we are within a specific geographic region. In addition, existing or potential clients may elect to self-operate their food and support services, eliminating the opportunity for us to serve them or compete for the account. While we have a significant international presence, certain of our competitors have more extensive portfolios of services and a broader geographic footprint than we do. Therefore, we may be placed at a competitive disadvantage for clients who require multiservice or multinational bids.

We have a number of major national competitors in the uniform rental industry with significant financial resources. In addition, there are regional and local uniform suppliers whom we believe may have strong client loyalty. While most clients focus primarily on quality of service, uniform rental also is a price-sensitive service and if existing or future competitors seek to gain clients or accounts by reducing prices, we may be required to lower prices, which would reduce our sales and profits. The uniform rental business requires investment capital for growth. Failure to maintain capital investment in this business would put us at a competitive disadvantage. In

Table of Contents

addition, due to competition in our uniform rental business, it has become increasingly important for us to source garments and other products overseas, particularly from Asia. To the extent we are not able to effectively source such products from Asia and gain the related cost savings, we may be at a further disadvantage in relation to some of our competitors.

Increased operating costs and obstacles to cost recovery due to the pricing and cancellation terms of our food and support services contracts may constrain our ability to make a profit.

Our profitability can be adversely affected to the extent we are faced with cost increases for food, wages, other labor related expenses (including workers' compensation, state unemployment insurance and federal or state mandated health benefits and other healthcare costs), insurance, fuel, utilities, piece goods, clothing and equipment, especially to the extent we are unable to recover such increased costs through increases in the prices for our products and services, due to one or more of general economic conditions, competitive conditions or contractual provisions in our client contracts. Oil and natural gas prices have fluctuated significantly in the last several years. Substantial increases in the cost of fuel and utilities have historically resulted in substantial cost increases in our uniform rental business, and to a lesser extent in our food and support services segments. From time to time we have experienced increases in our food costs. While we believe a portion of these increases were attributable to fuel prices, we believe the increases also resulted from rising global food demand and the increased production of biofuels such as ethanol. In addition, food prices can fluctuate as a result of temporary changes in supply, including as a result of incidences of severe weather such as droughts, heavy rains and late freezes. We have two main types of contract in our food and facilities business: profit and loss contracts in which we bear all of the expenses of the contract but gain the benefit of the sales, and client interest contracts in which our clients share some or all of the expenses and gain some or all of the sales. Approximately 73% of our food and support services sales in fiscal 2013 are from profit and loss contracts under which we have limited ability to pass on cost increases to our clients. Therefore, in many cases, we will have to absorb any cost increases, which may adversely impact our operating results.

The amount of risk that we bear and our profit potential vary depending on the type of contract under which we provide food and support services. We may be unable to fully recover costs on contracts that limit our ability to increase prices. In addition, we provide many of our services under contracts of indefinite term, which are subject to termination on short notice by either party without cause. Some of our profit and loss contracts contain minimum guaranteed remittances to our client regardless of our sales or profit at the facility involved. If sales do not exceed costs under a contract that contains minimum guaranteed commissions, we will bear any losses which are incurred, as well as the guaranteed commission. Generally, our contracts also limit our ability to raise prices on the food, beverages and merchandise we sell within a particular facility without the client's consent. In addition, some of our contracts exclude certain events or products from the scope of the contract, or give the client the right to modify the terms under which we may operate at certain events. The payment of guaranteed commissions to a client under a profit and loss contract that is not profitable, the refusal by individual clients to permit the sale of some products at their venues, the imposition by clients of limits on prices which are not economically feasible for us, or decisions by clients to curtail their use of the services we provide could adversely affect our sales and results of operations. For example, during the recent economic downturn, certain of our business and industry clients curtailed their employees' use of catering, which had a negative effect on our sales and profits.

Our inability to achieve cost savings through our cost reduction efforts could impact our results of operations.

The achievement of the goals we set in our plans and our future financial performance is dependent, in part, on our efforts to reduce our cost structure through various cost reduction initiatives. One of our recent initiatives is the establishment of a North American business services center that will bring together certain back office operations that are currently dispersed in many areas. Successful execution of our cost reduction initiatives is not assured and there are several obstacles to success, including our ability to enable the information technology and business process required for these efforts, as well as the timing of the transition to our business services center.

Table of Contents

In addition, there can be no assurance that our efforts, if properly executed, will result in our desired outcome of improved financial performance.

Our expansion strategy involves risks.

We may seek to acquire companies or interests in companies or enter into joint ventures that complement our business, and our inability to complete acquisitions, integrate acquired companies successfully or enter into joint ventures may render us less competitive. At any given time, we may be evaluating one or more acquisitions or engaging in acquisition negotiations, although we are not currently contemplating any acquisition transaction that would be material to our business. We cannot be sure that we will be able to continue to identify acquisition candidates or joint venture partners on commercially reasonable terms or at all. If we make acquisitions, we also cannot be sure that any benefits anticipated from the acquisitions will actually be realized. Likewise, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain necessary financing for acquisitions. Such financing could be restricted by the terms of our debt agreements or it could be more expensive than our current debt. The amount of such debt financing for acquisitions could be significant and the terms of such debt instruments could be more restrictive than our current covenants. In addition, our ability to control the planning and operations of our joint ventures and other less than majority-owned affiliates may be subject to numerous restrictions imposed by the joint venture agreements and majority stockholders. Our joint venture partners may also have interests which differ from ours.

The process of integrating acquired operations into our existing operations may result in operating, contract and supply chain difficulties, such as the failure to retain clients or management personnel and problems coordinating technology and supply chain arrangements. Also, in connection with any acquisition, we could fail to discover liabilities of the acquired company for which we may be responsible as a successor owner or operator in spite of any investigation we make prior to the acquisition. In addition, labor laws in certain countries may require us to retain more employees than would otherwise be optimal from entities we acquire. Such difficulties may divert significant financial, operational and managerial resources from our existing operations, and make it more difficult to achieve our operating and strategic objectives. The diversion of management attention, particularly in a difficult operating environment, may affect our sales. Similarly, our business depends on effective information technology systems and implementation delays or poor execution of the integration of different information technology systems could disrupt our operations and increase costs. Possible future acquisitions could result in the incurrence of additional debt and related interest expense or contingent liabilities and amortization expenses related to intangible assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, operating results and/or cash flow. In addition, goodwill resulting from business combinations represents a significant portion of our assets. If the goodwill were deemed to be impaired, we would need to take a charge to earnings to write down the goodwill to its fair value.

A failure to maintain food safety throughout our supply chain and food-borne illness concerns may result in reputational harm and claims of illness or injury that could adversely affect us.

Food safety is a top priority for us and we dedicate substantial resources to ensuring that our consumers enjoy safe, quality food products. Claims of illness or injury relating to food quality or food handling are common in the food service industry, and a number of these claims may exist at any given time. Because food safety issues could be experienced at the source or by food suppliers or distributors, food safety could, in part, be out of our control. Regardless of the source or cause, any report of food-borne illness or other food safety issues such as food tampering or contamination at one of our locations could adversely impact our reputation, hindering our ability to renew contracts on favorable terms or to obtain new business, and have a negative impact on our sales. Even instances of food-borne illness, food tampering or contamination at a location served by one of our competitors could result in negative publicity regarding the food service industry generally and could negatively impact our sales. Future food product recalls and health concerns associated with food contamination may also increase our raw materials costs and, from time to time, disrupt our business.

Table of Contents

Governmental regulations relating to food and beverages may subject us to significant liability.

The regulations relating to each of our food and support services segments are numerous and complex. A variety of regulations at various governmental levels relating to the handling, preparation and serving of food (including, in some cases, requirements relating to the temperature of food), and the cleanliness of food production facilities and the hygiene of food-handling personnel are enforced primarily at the local public health department level. We cannot assure you that we are in full compliance with all applicable laws and regulations at all times or that we will be able to comply with any future laws and regulations. Furthermore, legislation and regulatory attention to food safety is very high. Additional or amended regulations in this area may significantly increase the cost of compliance or expose us to liabilities.

We serve alcoholic beverages at many facilities, and must comply with applicable licensing laws, as well as state and local service laws, commonly called dram shop statutes. Dram shop statutes generally prohibit serving alcoholic beverages to certain persons such as an individual who is intoxicated or a minor. If we violate dram shop laws, we may be liable to the patron and/or third parties for the acts of the patron. Although we sponsor regular training programs designed to minimize the likelihood of such a situation, we cannot guarantee that intoxicated or minor patrons will not be served or that liability for their acts will not be imposed on us. There can be no assurance that additional regulation in this area would not limit our activities in the future or significantly increase the cost of regulatory compliance. We must also obtain and comply with the terms of licenses in order to sell alcoholic beverages in the states in which we serve alcoholic beverages. Some of our contracts require us to pay liquidated damages during any period in which our liquor license for the facility is suspended, and most contracts are subject to termination if we lose our liquor license for the facility.

If we fail to comply with requirements imposed by applicable law or other governmental regulations, we could become subject to lawsuits, investigations and other liabilities and restrictions on our operations that could significantly and adversely affect our business.

We are subject to governmental regulation at the federal, state, international, national, provincial and local levels in many areas of our business, such as employment laws, wage and hour laws, discrimination laws, immigration laws, human health and safety laws, import and export controls and customs laws, environmental laws, false claims or whistleblower statutes, minority, women and disadvantaged business enterprise statutes, tax codes, antitrust and competition laws, consumer protection statutes, public procurement regulations, intellectual property laws, food safety and sanitation laws, cost and accounting principles, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, other anti-corruption laws, lobbying laws, motor carrier safety laws, data privacy laws and alcohol licensing and service laws.

From time to time, both federal and state governmental agencies have conducted reviews of our billing practices as part of investigations or audits of providers of services under governmental contracts, or otherwise. We also receive requests for information from governmental agencies in connection with these reviews. While we attempt to comply with all applicable laws and regulations, we cannot assure you that we are in full compliance with all applicable laws and regulations or interpretations of these laws and regulations at all times or that we will be able to comply with any future laws, regulations or interpretations of these laws and regulations.

If we fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, including those referred to above, we may be subject to investigations, criminal sanctions or civil remedies, including fines, penalties, damages, reimbursement, injunctions, seizures or debarments from government contracts or the loss of liquor licenses. The cost of compliance or the consequences of non-compliance, including debarments, could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. In addition, governmental units may make changes in the regulatory frameworks within which we operate that may require either the corporation as a whole or individual businesses to incur substantial increases in costs in order to comply with such laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

Changes in, new interpretations of or changes in the enforcement of the governmental regulatory framework may affect our contracts and contract terms and may reduce our sales or profits.

A portion of our sales, estimated to be approximately 11.2% in fiscal 2013, is derived from business with U.S. federal, state and local governments and agencies. Changes or new interpretations in, or changes in the enforcement of, the statutory or regulatory framework applicable to services provided under governmental contracts or bidding procedures, including an adverse change in government spending policies or appropriations (including budget cuts at the federal level resulting from sequestration or reductions in government spending resulting from the October 2013 government shut down), budget priorities or revenue levels, particularly by our food and support services businesses, could result in fewer new contracts or contract renewals, modifications to the methods we apply to price government contracts, or in contract terms of shorter duration than we have historically experienced. Any of these changes could result in lower sales or profits than we have historically achieved, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Environmental regulations may subject us to significant liability and limit our ability to grow.

We are subject to various environmental protection laws and regulations, including the U.S. federal Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and similar state statutes and regulations governing the use, management, and disposal of chemicals and hazardous materials. In particular, industrial laundries in our uniform rental business use certain detergents and cleaning chemicals to launder garments and other merchandise. The residues from such detergents and chemicals and residues from soiled garments and other merchandise laundered at our facilities may result in potential discharges to air and to water (through sanitary sewer systems and publicly owned treatment works) and may be contained in waste generated by our wastewater treatment systems.

Our industrial laundries are subject to certain volume and chemical air and water pollution discharge limits, monitoring, permitting and recordkeeping requirements.

We own or operate aboveground and underground storage tank systems at some locations to store petroleum products for use in our or our clients' operations. Certain of these storage tank systems also are subject to performance standards, periodic monitoring, and recordkeeping requirements. We also may use and manage chemicals and hazardous materials in our operations from time to time. In the course of our business, we may be subject to penalties and fines for non-compliance with environmental protection laws and regulations and we may settle, or contribute to the settlement of, actions or claims relating to the management of underground storage tanks and the handling and disposal of chemicals or hazardous materials. We may, in the future, be required to expend material amounts to rectify the consequences of any such events.

In addition, changes to environmental laws may subject us to additional costs or cause us to change aspects of our business. Under U.S. federal and state environmental protection laws, as an owner or operator of real estate we may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous materials located on or in or emanating from our owned or leased property or our clients' properties, as well as related costs of investigation and property damage, without regard to our fault, knowledge, or responsibility for the presence of such hazardous materials. There can be no assurance that locations that we own, lease or otherwise operate, either for ourselves or for our clients, or that we may acquire in the future, have been operated in compliance with environmental laws and regulations or that future uses or conditions will not result in the imposition of liability upon us under such laws or expose us to third-party actions such as tort suits. In addition, such regulations may limit our ability to identify suitable sites for new or expanded facilities. In connection with our present or past operations and the present or past operations of our predecessors or companies that we have acquired, hazardous substances may migrate from properties on which we operate or which were operated by our predecessors or companies we acquired to other properties. We may be subject to significant liabilities to the extent that human health is adversely affected or the value of such properties is diminished by such migration.

Table of Contents

Our international business faces risks different from those we face in the United States that could have an effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

A significant portion of our sales is derived from international business. During fiscal 2013, approximately 21% of our sales were generated outside of North America. We currently have a presence in 19 countries outside of North America with approximately 88,000 personnel. Our international operations are subject to risks that are different from those we face in the United States, including the requirement to comply with changing and conflicting national and local regulatory requirements; Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, U.K. Bribery Act and other anti-corruption law compliance matters; potential difficulties in staffing and labor disputes; differing local labor laws; managing and obtaining support and distribution for local operations; credit risk or financial condition of local clients; potential imposition of restrictions on investments; potentially adverse tax consequences, including imposition or increase of withholding, VAT and other taxes on remittances and other payments by subsidiaries; foreign exchange controls; and local political and social conditions. In addition, the operating results of our non-U.S. subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars and those results are affected by movements in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar.

We intend to continue to develop our business in emerging countries over the long term. Emerging international operations present several additional risks, including greater fluctuation in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar; economic and governmental instability; civil disturbances; volatility in gross domestic production; and nationalization and expropriation of private assets.

There can be no assurance that the foregoing factors will not have a material adverse effect on our international operations or on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Continued or further unionization of our workforce may increase our costs and work stoppages could damage our business.

Approximately 40,000 employees in our North American operations are represented by unions and covered by collective bargaining agreements. The continued or further unionization of a significantly greater portion of our workforce could increase our overall costs at the affected locations and adversely affect our flexibility to run our business in the most efficient manner to remain competitive or acquire new business. In addition, any significant increase in the number of work stoppages at our various operations could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may incur significant liability as a result of our participation in multiemployer defined benefit pension plans.

We operate at a number of locations under collective bargaining agreements. Under some of these agreements, we are obligated to participate in and contribute to multiemployer defined benefit pension plans. As a contributing employer to such plans, should we withdraw, either totally or trigger a partial withdrawal, we would be subject to withdrawal liability (or partial withdrawal liability) for our proportionate share of any unfunded vested benefits. In addition, if a multiemployer defined benefit pension plan fails to satisfy the minimum funding standards, we could be liable to increase our contributions to meet minimum funding standards. Also, if a participating employer withdraws from the plan or experiences financial difficulty, including bankruptcy, our obligation could increase. The financial status of certain of the plans in which we participate has deteriorated in the recent past and continues to deteriorate. In addition, any increased funding obligations for underfunded multiemployer defined benefit pension plans could have a financial impact on us.

Risks associated with the suppliers from whom our products are sourced could adversely affect our results of operations.

The raw materials we use in our business and the finished products we sell are sourced from a wide variety of domestic and international suppliers. We seek to require our suppliers to comply with applicable laws and

Table of Contents

otherwise be certified as meeting our supplier standards of conduct. Our ability to find qualified suppliers who meet our standards, and to access raw materials and finished products in a timely and efficient manner is a challenge, especially with respect to suppliers located and goods sourced outside the United States. In addition, insolvency experienced by suppliers could make it difficult for us to source the items we need to run our business. Political and economic stability in the countries in which foreign suppliers are located, the financial stability of suppliers, suppliers' failure to meet our supplier standards, labor problems experienced by our suppliers, the availability of raw materials to suppliers, currency exchange rates, transport availability and cost, inflation and other factors relating to the suppliers and the countries in which they are located are beyond our control. In addition, United States foreign trade policies, tariffs and other impositions on imported goods, trade sanctions imposed on certain countries, the limitation on the importation of certain types of goods or of goods containing certain materials from other countries and other factors relating to foreign trade are beyond our control. In addition, if one of our suppliers were to violate the law, our reputation may be harmed simply due to our association with that supplier. These and other factors affecting our suppliers and our access to raw materials and finished products could adversely affect our results of operations.

In fiscal 2013, one distributor distributed approximately 60% of our food and non-food products in the United States and Canada, and if our relationship or their business were to be disrupted, we could experience disruptions to our operations and cost structure.

Although we negotiate the pricing and other terms for the majority of our purchases of food and related products in the U.S. and Canada directly with national manufacturers, we purchase these products and other items through SYSCO Corporation and other distributors. SYSCO, the main U.S. and Canadian distributor of our food and non-food products, and other distributors are responsible for tracking our orders and delivering products to our specific locations. If our relationship with, or the business of, SYSCO were to be disrupted, we would have to arrange alternative distributors and our operations and cost structure could be adversely affected in the short term. Similarly, a sudden termination of the relationship with a significant provider in other geographic areas could in the short term adversely affect our ability to provide services and disrupt our client relationships in such areas.

Our business may suffer if we are unable to hire and retain sufficient qualified personnel or if labor costs increase.

From time to time, we have had difficulty in hiring and retaining qualified management personnel, particularly at the entry management level. We will continue to have significant requirements to hire such personnel. In the past, at times when the United States or other geographic regions have periodically experienced reduced levels of unemployment, there has been a shortage of qualified workers at all levels. Given that our workforce requires large numbers of entry level and skilled workers and managers, low levels of unemployment when such conditions exist or mismatches between the labor markets and our skill requirements can compromise our ability in certain areas of our businesses to continue to provide quality service or compete for new business. We also regularly hire a large number of part-time and seasonal workers, particularly in our food and support services segments. Any difficulty we may encounter in hiring such workers could result in significant increases in labor costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Competition for labor has at times resulted in wage increases in the past and future competition could substantially increase our labor costs. Due to the labor intensive nature of our businesses and the fact that 73% of our food and support services segments' sales are from profit and loss contracts under which we have limited ability to pass along cost increases, a shortage of labor or increases in wage levels in excess of normal levels could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Healthcare reform legislation could have an impact on our business.

During 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 were signed into law in the United States. Certain of the provisions that have

Table of Contents

increased our healthcare costs include the removal of annual plan limits and the mandate that health plans provide 100% coverage on expanded preventative care. In addition, our healthcare costs could increase as the new legislation and accompanying regulations require us to apply new eligibility rules, which could potentially cover more variable hour employees than we do currently or pay penalty amounts in the event that employees do not elect our offered coverage. While much of the cost of the recent healthcare legislation enacted will occur after 2014 due to provisions of the legislation being delayed and phased in over time, changes to our healthcare cost structure could have an impact on our business and operating costs.

Our business is contract intensive and may lead to client disputes.

Our business is contract intensive and we are parties to many contracts with clients all over the world. Our client interest contracts provide that client billings, and for some contracts the sharing of profits and losses, are based on our determinations of costs of service. Contract terms under which we base these determinations and, for certain government contracts, regulations governing our cost determinations, may be subject to differing interpretations which could result in disputes with our clients from time to time. Clients generally have the right to audit our contracts, and we periodically review our compliance with contract terms and provisions. If clients were to dispute our contract determinations, the resolution of such disputes in a manner adverse to our interests could negatively affect sales and operating results. While we do not believe any reviews, audits or other such matters should result in material adjustments, if a large number of our client arrangements were modified in response to any such matter, the effect could be materially adverse to our business or results of operations.

Our operations are seasonal and quarter to quarter comparisons may not be a good indicator of our performance.

In our first and second fiscal quarters, within the FSS North America segment, there historically has been a lower level of sales at the sports, entertainment and recreational clients, which is partly offset by increased activity in educational operations. In our third and fourth fiscal quarters, there historically has been a significant increase in sales at the sports, entertainment and recreational clients, which is partially offset by the effect of summer recess in educational operations. For these reasons, a quarter to quarter comparison is not a good indication of our performance or how we will perform in the future.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our leverage could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industries, expose us to interest rate risk to the extent of our variable rate debt and prevent us from meeting our obligations.

We are highly leveraged. As of March 28, 2014, our outstanding indebtedness was \$5,637.5 million, including amounts outstanding under our credit facilities, senior notes and receivables facility. We also had additional availability of \$580.8 million under our revolving credit facility at that date.

This degree of leverage could have important consequences, including:

exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates as certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities and our receivables facility, are at variable rates of interest;

making it more difficult for us to make payments on our indebtedness;

increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions;

requiring a substantial portion of cash flow from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, thereby reducing our ability to use our cash flow to fund our operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities;

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

restricting us from making strategic acquisitions or causing us to make non-strategic divestitures;

Table of Contents

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and

limiting our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who are less highly leveraged.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, subject to the restrictions contained in our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes. If new indebtedness is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could increase.

If our financial performance were to deteriorate, we may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. While we believe that we currently have adequate cash flows to service our indebtedness, if our financial performance were to deteriorate significantly, we might be unable to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

If, due to such a deterioration in our financial performance, our cash flows and capital resources were to be insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay investments and capital expenditures, or to sell assets, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. These alternative measures may not be successful and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. In addition, if we were required to raise additional capital in the current financial markets, the terms of such financing, if available, could result in higher costs and greater restrictions on our business. In addition, although a significant amount of our long-term borrowings do not mature until 2019 and later, if we were to need to refinance our existing indebtedness, the conditions in the financial markets at that time could make it difficult to refinance our existing indebtedness on acceptable terms or at all. If such alternative measures proved unsuccessful, we could face substantial liquidity problems and might be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. Our senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes restrict our ability to dispose of assets and use the proceeds from any disposition of assets and to refinance our indebtedness. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or to obtain the proceeds that we could realize from them and these proceeds may not be adequate to meet any debt service obligations then due.

Our debt agreements contain restrictions that limit our flexibility in operating our business.

Our senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes contain various covenants that limit our ability to engage in specified types of transactions. These covenants limit our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

incur additional indebtedness, refinance or restructure indebtedness or issue certain preferred shares;

pay dividends on, repurchase or make distributions in respect of our capital stock, make unscheduled payments on our notes, repurchase or redeem our notes or make other restricted payments;

make certain investments;

sell certain assets;

create liens;

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; and

enter into certain transactions with our affiliates.

Table of Contents

In addition, our senior secured revolving credit facility requires us to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests. Our ability to meet those financial ratios and tests can be affected by events beyond our control, and in the event of a significant deterioration of our financial performance, we cannot assure you that we will satisfy those ratios and tests. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under the senior secured credit agreement. Upon our failure to maintain compliance with these covenants that is not waived by the lenders under the revolving credit facility, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the senior secured credit facilities to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit under such facilities. If we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. We have pledged a significant portion of our assets as collateral under the senior secured credit agreement. If the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities accelerate the repayment of borrowings, we cannot assure you that we will have sufficient assets to repay those borrowings, as well as our unsecured indebtedness. If our senior secured indebtedness was accelerated by the lenders as a result of a default, our senior notes may become due and payable as well. Any such acceleration may also constitute an amortization event under our receivables facility, which could result in the amount outstanding under that facility becoming due and payable.

Risks Related to this Offering and Ownership of Our Common Stock

Our share price may change significantly following the offering, and you could lose all or part of your investment as a result.

We completed our initial public offering in December 2013. Since our initial public offering, the price of our common stock, as reported by the NYSE, has ranged from a low of \$20.10 on December 12, 2013 to a high of \$29.98 on March 21, 2014. The trading price of our common stock is likely to continue to be volatile and could fluctuate due to a number of factors such as those listed in [Risks Related to Our Business](#) and the following, some of which are beyond our control:

quarterly variations in our results of operations;

results of operations that vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;

results of operations that vary from those of our competitors;

changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;

announcements by us, our competitors or our vendors of significant contracts, acquisitions, joint marketing relationships, joint ventures or capital commitments;

announcements by third parties of significant claims or proceedings against us;

future sales of our common stock;

general domestic and international economic conditions; and

unexpected and sudden changes in senior management.

Furthermore, the stock market has experienced extreme volatility that, in some cases, has been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market and industry fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance.

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

In the past, following periods of market volatility, stockholders have instituted securities class action litigation. If we were involved in securities litigation, it could have a substantial cost and divert resources and the attention of executive management from our business regardless of the outcome of such litigation.

Table of Contents

Future sales, or the perception of future sales, by us or our existing stockholders in the public market following this offering could cause the market price for our common stock to decline.

After this offering, the sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales could occur, could harm the prevailing market price of shares of our common stock. These sales, or the possibility that these sales may occur, also might make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate. As of April 25, 2014, there were 231,181,581 shares of common stock outstanding, of which 41,687,500 were freely tradable on the NYSE. After giving effect to this offering, approximately 61,687,500, or 26.7%, of our shares of common stock outstanding would be freely tradable on the NYSE (or approximately 64,687,500, or 28.0%, if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional shares from the selling stockholders).

In connection with our initial public offering, we and the selling stockholders, our directors and executive officers and certain of our principal stockholders agreed not to offer or sell, dispose of or hedge, directly or indirectly, any of our common stock without the permission of Goldman, Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC during the 180-day period ending June 9, 2014, subject to certain exceptions and automatic extensions in certain circumstances. In connection with this offering, we, certain of our executive officers, certain of our directors and the selling stockholders have each agreed with the underwriters, subject to certain exceptions, not to sell, dispose of or hedge any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of our common stock, during the period ending 90 days after the date of this prospectus, except with the prior written consent of any three of the following four representatives of the underwriters: Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC. See Underwriting (Conflicts of Interest) for a description of these lock-up agreements. Collectively, these shares will represent approximately 72.0% of our outstanding common stock following this offering (or 70.7% if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional shares from the selling stockholders). Upon the expiration of the lock-up agreements described above, shares held by the selling stockholders and certain of our directors, officers and employees will be eligible for resale, subject to volume, manner of sale and other limitations under Rule 144 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act).

In addition, under our stockholders agreement that was amended and restated in connection with our initial public offering, management stockholders are generally permitted to sell up to 50% of their shares (including shares underlying vested stock-based awards) commencing June 18, 2014, which is the day following the six-month anniversary of our initial public offering, and the remainder of their shares commencing December 18, 2014, which is the day following the one-year anniversary of our initial public offering. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement entered into in connection with our initial public offering, stockholders who hold more than 10% of our then-outstanding shares, or Joseph Neubauer, or the coordination committee (in the case of a shelf registration) have the right to require us to file a registration statement with the SEC for the resale of our common stock following the completion of this offering. By exercising their registration rights and selling a large number of shares, the selling stockholders could cause the prevailing market price of our common stock to decline. Following the completion of this offering, the shares covered by registration rights would represent approximately 69.0% of our outstanding common stock (or 67.7%, if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional shares from the selling stockholders). Registration of any of these outstanding shares of common stock would result in such shares becoming freely tradable without compliance with Rule 144 of the Securities Act (Rule 144) upon effectiveness of the registration statement. See Shares Eligible for Future Sale.

To the extent that such registration rights are exercised, the resulting sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock into the market could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. These shares also may be sold pursuant to Rule 144 depending on their holding period and subject to restrictions in the case of shares held by persons deemed to be our affiliates. As restrictions on resale end, the market price of our common stock could also decline if the holders of restricted shares sell them or are perceived by the market as intending to sell them. These factors could also make it more difficult for us to raise additional funds through future offerings of our shares of common stock or other securities.

Table of Contents

In addition, we also have outstanding options to purchase our common stock. To the extent that these options are exercised, you will experience further dilution. We have filed a registration statement on Form S-8 under the Securities Act covering all of the common stock subject to outstanding equity awards, as well as options and shares reserved for future issuance, under our stock incentive plans. These shares may be freely sold in the public market upon issuance and vesting, subject to the lock-up agreements described above and contained in the terms of such plans, or unless they are held by affiliates, as that term is defined in Rule 144. Sales of a substantial number of shares of these shares could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

In the future, we may also issue our securities in connection with investments or acquisitions. The amount of shares of our common stock issued in connection with an investment or acquisition could constitute a material portion of our then-outstanding shares of our common stock. Any issuance of additional securities in connection with investments or acquisitions may result in additional dilution to you.

We cannot assure you that we will continue to pay dividends on our common stock, and our indebtedness could limit our ability to pay dividends on our common stock.

Payment of cash dividends on our common stock is subject to our compliance with applicable law and depends on, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, level of indebtedness, capital requirements, contractual restrictions, business prospects and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant. Our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes contain, and the terms of any future indebtedness we or our subsidiaries incur may contain, limitations on our ability to pay dividends. For more information, see Dividend Policy. Although we paid a cash dividend of \$0.075 per share on March 11, 2014 and declared a cash dividend of \$0.075 per share payable on June 9, 2014, there can be no assurance that we will continue to pay any dividend in the future.

Our Controlling Owners can significantly influence our business and affairs and may have conflicts of interest with us in the future.

Following the completion of this offering, investment funds associated with or designated by GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and Warburg Pincus, which we refer to as the Sponsors, and Joseph Neubauer, who, together with the Sponsors, we refer to as the Controlling Owners (with CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC and J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC acting together as one Controlling Owner for purposes of our Stockholders Agreement), will collectively own approximately 69.0% of our common stock (or approximately 67.7% if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full). As a result, the Controlling Owners have the ability to prevent any transaction that requires the approval of stockholders, including the election of directors, mergers and takeover offers, regardless of whether others believe that approval of those matters is in our best interests. In addition, under the Stockholders Agreement, each of the Controlling Owners is entitled to select for nomination one person to serve on our board of directors and a majority of the Sponsor directors and Mr. Neubauer must be present in order to constitute a quorum for purposes of any meetings of the board of directors. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.

In addition, the Sponsors are in the business of making investments in companies and may from time to time acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. One or more of the Sponsors may also pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. So long as the Controlling Owners, or funds controlled by or associated with the Sponsors, continue to own a significant amount of the outstanding shares of our common stock, even if such amount is less than 50%, the Controlling Owners will continue to be able to strongly influence us. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that none of the Controlling Owners or any of their affiliates will have any duty to refrain from (i) engaging in a corporate opportunity in the same or similar lines of business in which we or our affiliates now engage or propose to engage or (ii) otherwise competing with us or our affiliates. See Description of Capital Stock Conflicts of Interest.

Table of Contents

Anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents could delay or prevent a change of control.

Certain provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may have an anti-takeover effect and may delay, defer or prevent a merger, acquisition, tender offer, takeover attempt or other change of control transaction that a stockholder might consider in its best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the shares held by our stockholders.

These provisions provide for, among other things:

the ability of our board of directors to issue one or more series of preferred stock;

advance notice for nominations of directors by stockholders and for stockholders to include matters to be considered at our annual meetings;

certain limitations on convening special stockholder meetings;

the removal of directors only upon the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% in voting power of all the then-outstanding common stock of the company entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class, if the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, less than a majority in voting power of the common stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; and

that certain provisions may be amended only by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% in voting power of all the then-outstanding common stock of the company entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class, if the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, less than 50% in voting power of the common stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors.

These anti-takeover provisions could make it more difficult for a third-party to acquire us, even if the third-party's offer may be considered beneficial by many of our stockholders. As a result, our stockholders may be limited in their ability to obtain a premium for their shares. See Description of Capital Stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation designates the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware as the sole and exclusive forum for certain types of actions and proceedings that may be initiated by our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders' ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with us or our directors, officers or other employees.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that, with certain limited exceptions, unless we consent in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the sole and exclusive forum for any stockholder (including any beneficial owner) to bring (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of fiduciary duty owed by any director or officer of the Company owed to us or our stockholders, creditors or other constituents, (iii) any action asserting a claim against us or any director or officer of the Company arising pursuant to any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law or our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or our amended and restated bylaws, or (iv) any action asserting a claim against the Company or any director or officer of the Company governed by the internal affairs doctrine. Any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in shares of our capital stock is deemed to have received notice of and consented to the foregoing provisions. This choice of forum provision may limit a stockholder's ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that it finds favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers or other employees, which may discourage such lawsuits against us and our directors, officers and employees. Alternatively, if a court were to find this choice of forum provision inapplicable to, or unenforceable in respect of, one or more of the specified types of actions or proceedings, we may incur additional costs associated with resolving such matters in other jurisdictions, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

We are a controlled company within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange rules and, as a result, will continue to qualify for, and intend to continue to rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements. You will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to such requirements.

After completion of this offering, certain stockholders will continue to beneficially own a majority of the voting power of all outstanding shares of our common stock. Under New York Stock Exchange corporate governance standards, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by an individual, group or another company is a controlled company and may elect not to comply with certain corporate governance requirements, including:

the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors as defined under the rules of the NYSE;

the requirement that we have a nominating/corporate governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities;

the requirement that we have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities; and

the requirement for an annual performance evaluation of the nominating/corporate governance and compensation committees.

Following this offering, we intend to continue to utilize these exemptions. As a result, we may not have a majority of independent directors, our nominating and corporate governance committee and compensation committee may not consist entirely of independent directors and such committees may not be subject to annual performance evaluations. Accordingly, you will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the New York Stock Exchange.

We will incur increased costs and our management will face increased demands as a result of operating as a listed company.

As a listed company, we will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. In addition, our administrative staff will be required to perform additional tasks.

In addition, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Dodd-Frank Act and related regulations implemented by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, and the stock exchanges are creating uncertainty for public companies, increasing legal and financial compliance costs and making some activities more time-consuming. We are currently evaluating and monitoring developments with respect to new and proposed rules and cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. These laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices.

We intend to invest resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management's time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and our business may be harmed. We also expect that being a listed company and these new rules and regulations will make it more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage. These factors could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of our board of directors, particularly to serve on our audit committee and compensation committee, and attract and retain qualified executive officers.

Table of Contents

The increased costs associated with operating as a listed company may decrease our net income, and may cause us to reduce costs in other areas of our business or increase the prices of our products or services to offset the effect of such increased costs. Additionally, if these requirements divert our management's attention from other business concerns, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If securities or industry research analysts do not publish or cease publishing research or reports about our business or if they issue unfavorable commentary or downgrade our common stock, our share price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock relies in part on the research and reports that securities and industry research analysts publish about us, our industry, our competitors and our business. We do not have any control over these analysts. Our share price and trading volumes could decline if one or more securities or industry analysts downgrade our common stock, issue unfavorable commentary about us, our industry or our business, cease to cover our company or fail to regularly publish reports about us, our industry or our business.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock in this offering.

Table of Contents**MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK**

Our common stock has been listed on the NYSE under the symbol **ARMK** since December 12, 2013. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices of our common stock, as reported on the NYSE.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
December 27, 2013 (from December 12, 2013)	\$ 26.47	\$ 20.10
March 28, 2014	\$ 29.98	\$ 23.04
June 27, 2014 (through May 29, 2014)	\$ 29.04	\$ 25.08

On May 29, 2014, the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE was \$25.83. Computershare Trust Company, N.A. is the transfer agent and registrar for our common stock. On April 25, 2014, we had 463 holders of record of our common stock.

Table of Contents

DIVIDEND POLICY

We intend to continue to pay cash dividends on our common stock, subject to our compliance with applicable law, and depending on, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, level of indebtedness, capital requirements, contractual restrictions, business prospects and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant. In addition, our ability to pay dividends is limited by covenants in our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes. Future agreements may also limit our ability to pay dividends. Specifically, the senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes each limit the ability of our subsidiary Aramark Services, Inc. to declare dividends or make other distributions to us, which could in turn limit our ability to pay dividends. See Description of Certain Indebtedness.

On April 18, 2011, we declared a dividend to our stockholders of approximately \$711 million. The dividend was funded with the net proceeds from the private offering of our 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 and dividends from our subsidiaries. The 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 were repurchased through a cash tender offer in March 2013. In addition, on October 29, 2012, we completed the spin-off of our majority interest in Seamless North America, LLC, an online and mobile food ordering service, to our stockholders in the form of a dividend. Each stockholder received one share of the common stock of Seamless Holdings, a newly formed company created to hold our former interest in Seamless North America, LLC, for each share of our common stock held as of the record date. On February 4, 2014, we declared cash dividends of \$0.075 per share on the outstanding shares of our common stock, which were paid on March 11, 2014 to shareholders of record on the close of business on February 18, 2014. On May 6, 2014, we declared a cash dividend of \$0.075 per share on the outstanding shares of our common stock, payable on June 9, 2014 to holders of record of our common stock on May 19, 2014. Settlement of shares purchased in this offering will occur following the record date for the June 2014 Dividend. Holders of record as of May 19, 2014 will be entitled to receive the June 2014 Dividend and will be responsible for any related tax obligation. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes and our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Table of Contents**CAPITALIZATION**

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of March 28, 2014. The information in this table should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Prospectus Summary Summary Consolidated Financial Data, the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes and the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

(in millions)	As of March 28, 2014 Actual
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 139.2
Senior secured credit facilities:	
Revolving credit facility(1)	\$ 173.2
Term loan facility(2)	4,068.2
5.75% senior notes due 2020	1,000.0
Receivables facility	300.0
Capital leases	54.0
Other existing debt(3)	42.1
Total debt	5,637.5
Stockholders' equity:	
Common stock, 600,000,000 shares authorized, actual; 250,120,845 shares issued and 231,086,368 shares outstanding	2.5
Capital surplus	2,480.4
Accumulated deficit	(438.9)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(58.8)
Treasury stock	(286.1)
Total stockholders' equity	1,699.1
Total capitalization	\$ 7,336.6

- (1) Consists of a \$720.0 million revolving credit facility available to the Company in U.S. dollars and a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility available to the Company and a Canadian subsidiary in U.S. dollars and Canadian dollars. The final maturity date of the Canadian dollar revolving loan commitments and \$680.0 million of the \$720.0 million U.S. dollar revolving loan commitments is February 24, 2019. The final maturity date of the remaining \$40.0 million in U.S. dollar revolving loan commitments is January 26, 2015. See Description of Certain Indebtedness.
- (2) As of March 28, 2014, term loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$4,089 million (recorded at \$4,068 million to reflect original issue discount) were outstanding, \$2,614 million of which have a maturity date of February 24, 2021, \$1,400 million of which have a maturity date of September 7, 2019 and \$75 million of which have a maturity date of July 26, 2016. The senior secured credit agreement also includes a \$159.3 million synthetic letter of credit facility, which matures on July 26, 2016. As of March 28, 2014, there were approximately \$148.7 million of issued letters of credit under the synthetic letter of credit facility. See Description of Certain Indebtedness.
- (3) Consists of borrowings by our foreign subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION

We derived the unaudited pro forma financial data set forth below by the application of pro forma adjustments to the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated statements of income for fiscal 2013 have been presented:

on a pro forma basis which gives effect to the debt refinancing that occurred in the second quarter of fiscal 2013 whereby the 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015, Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 and 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 were refinanced with the proceeds of new term loan borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities and the issuance of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020; and

on a pro forma basis (as adjusted), which gives effect to our sale of 28,000,000 shares of common stock in our initial public offering at an initial public offering price of \$20.00 per share and net proceeds of \$524.1 million which were used to repay approximately \$370.0 million in outstanding term loans and approximately \$154.1 million of borrowings on the revolving credit facility under our senior secured credit facilities as well as certain share-based compensation transactions that were contingent upon the completion of our initial public offering and for which expense will be recognized over a future derived service period.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated statements of income for fiscal 2013 give effect to the pro forma adjustments as if they had occurred at the beginning of our 2013 fiscal year. The notes to the unaudited pro forma financial statements provide a more detailed discussion of how such adjustments were derived and presented in the pro forma financial statements.

The pro forma adjustments set forth below were based on available information and certain assumptions made by our management and may be revised as additional information becomes available. The unaudited pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only, and does not purport to represent what our results of operations would actually have been if the transactions had occurred on the date indicated, nor does it purport to project our results of operations that we may achieve in the future. The pro forma adjustments do not include the impact of any non-recurring additional charges which are directly related to the completion of our initial public offering.

You should read our unaudited pro forma financial information and the accompanying notes in conjunction with all of the historical financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus and the financial and other information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, including information contained in Risk Factors, Selected Consolidated Financial Data, Capitalization and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Table of Contents**ARAMARK AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013****(in millions, except per share amounts)**

	Actual	Debt Refinancing Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma for the Debt Refinancing	Initial Public Offering Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma As Adjusted
Sales	\$ 13,946	\$	\$ 13,946	\$	\$ 13,946
Costs and Expenses:					
Cost of services provided	12,661		12,661		12,661
Depreciation and amortization	542		542		542
Selling and general corporate expenses	228		228	32(c)	260
Operating income	515		515	(32)	483
Interest and Other Financing costs, net	424	(77)(a)	347	(20)(d)	327
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	91	77	168	(12)	156
Provision for Income Taxes	20	30(b)	50	(5)(e)	45
Income from Continuing Operations	71	47	118	(7)	111
Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of tax	(1)		(1)		(1)
Net income	70	47	117	(7)	110
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1		1		1
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 69	\$ 47	\$ 116	\$ (7)	\$ 109
Earnings per share attributable to Aramark stockholders:					
Basic:					
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 0.35		\$ 0.58		\$ 0.48
Loss from Discontinued Operations	(0.01)		(0.01)		(0.01)
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 0.34		\$ 0.57		\$ 0.47
Diluted:					
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 0.34		\$ 0.56		\$ 0.47
Loss from Discontinued Operations	(0.01)		(0.01)		(0.01)
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 0.33		\$ 0.55		\$ 0.46

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- (a) Amount represents the impact of eliminating the interest expense on the 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015, Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 and 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 and including the interest expense on the new term loan borrowings and the issuance of the 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020. Amount also impacted by the elimination of the amortization of deferred financing fees associated with the 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015, Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 and 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 and the inclusion of the amortization of deferred financing fees associated with the new term loan borrowings and the issuance of the 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020. The amount also includes the impact of the write-off of the deferred financing fees and the payment of the tender offer premium each as it relates to the debt that was refinanced.
- (b) Amount represents the tax impact using an effective tax rate of 39.5% on the reduction in interest expense as a result of the debt refinancing.
- (c) Amount represents the estimated share-based compensation expense of \$9.3 million on an annual basis from grants of certain restricted stock units to senior executives upon the completion of our initial public offering which vest over a three year period. The total value of the restricted stock units granted is approximately \$35 million. The Company applied a forfeiture assumption of 8.7% per annum in the calculation of such expense. Amount also represents approximately \$22.6 million of the estimated \$55.0 million of share-based compensation expense in connection with the modification of certain performance-based options, which will be recognized over a derived service period upon completion of our initial public offering. The fair value of the modified performance-based options was estimated using a Monte-Carlo option model, which simulated a range of possible future stock prices and estimated the probabilities of meeting the modified vesting provision of the trading price for the common stock of Parent equaling or exceeding \$25.00 per share over any consecutive twenty day trading period during the 18 month period following the initial public offering (See note 17 to the audited consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2013 and note 9 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2014).
- (d) To reflect the reduction in interest expense resulting from the application of \$524.1 million of net proceeds from our initial public offering to repay approximately \$370.0 million in outstanding term loans and approximately \$154.1 million of borrowings on the revolving credit facility under our senior secured credit facilities.
- (e) Amount represents the tax impact using an effective tax rate of 39.5% on the estimated share-based compensation expense and the reduction in interest expense as a result of our initial public offering.

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

Set forth below is selected consolidated financial data of the Company, as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The selected consolidated financial data as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, and for the fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 have been derived from our consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, which have been audited by KPMG LLP. The selected consolidated financial data as of September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 and for fiscal year 2010 have been derived from our consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus, which have been audited by KPMG LLP. The selected consolidated financial data as of October 2, 2009 and for fiscal year 2009 have been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus. Consolidated financial statements for our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Aramark Services, Inc., have been audited for such period.

The selected consolidated financial data as of March 28, 2014, and for the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 have been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary consolidated financial data as of March 29, 2013 has been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus. The unaudited financial data presented have been prepared on a basis consistent with our audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, such unaudited financial data reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal and recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for those periods. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or any future period.

The selected consolidated financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified by reference to, the sections titled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information, as well as with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes and the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes that are also included in this prospectus.

	Fiscal year(1)					Six months ended	
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	March 28, 2014 (unaudited)	March 29, 2013 (unaudited)
(dollars in millions, except per share data)							
Statement of operations data:							
Sales	\$ 13,946	\$ 13,505	\$ 13,082	\$ 12,419	\$ 12,138	\$ 7,265	\$ 6,940
Costs and expenses:							
Cost of services provided	12,661	12,191	11,836	11,247	11,009	6,515	6,304
Depreciation and amortization	542	529	511	503	497	262	269
Selling and general corporate expenses	228	203	188	191	183	210	112
Operating income	515	582	547	478	449	278	255
Interest and other financing costs, net	424	457	451	445	473	185	260
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	91	125	96	33	(24)	93	(5)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	20	18	(1)	1	(24)	35	(8)
Income from continuing operations	71	107	97	32		58	3
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax(2)	(1)		(12)	(1)	(7)		
Net income (loss)	70	107	85	31	(7)	58	3
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1	3	1				
Net income (loss) attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 69	\$ 104	\$ 84	\$ 31	\$ (7)	\$ 58	\$ 3

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Pro forma net income attributable to Aramark
stockholders(3) \$ 116

Pro forma net income attributable to Aramark
stockholders (as adjusted)(4) \$ 109

Table of Contents

	Fiscal year(1)					Six months ended	
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	March 28, 2014 (unaudited)	March 29, 2013 (unaudited)
(dollars in millions, except per share data)							
Per Share Data:							
Basic:							
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.01
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.01)		(0.06)	(0.01)	(0.03)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.01
Diluted:							
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.01
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.01)		(0.06)	(0.01)	(0.03)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Aramark stockholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.01
Pro forma basic:							
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.58						
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)						
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(3)	\$ 0.57						
Pro forma diluted:							
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.56						
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)						
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(3)	\$ 0.55						
Pro forma, as adjusted, basic:							
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.48						
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)						
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders (4)	\$ 0.47						
Pro forma, as adjusted, diluted:							
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.47						
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)						
Net income attributable to Aramark stockholders(4)	\$ 0.46						
Cash dividend per share(5)	\$	\$	\$ 3.50	\$	\$	\$ 0.075	
Statement of cash flows data:							
Net cash provided by/(used in):							
Operating activities(6)	\$ 696	\$ 692	\$ 304	\$ 634	\$ 707	\$ (127)	\$ 51
Investing activities	(385)	(482)	(363)	(354)	(465)	(141)	(159)
Financing activities(6)	(336)	(287)	112	(344)	(167)	297	91
Other financial data:							
Capital expenditures, net of disposals	382	343	272	264	330	160	161
Balance sheet data (at period end):							

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 111	\$ 137	\$ 213	\$ 161	\$ 225	\$ 139	\$ 120
Total assets(6)	10,267	10,487	10,523	10,222	10,327	10,287	10,243
Total debt (including current portion of long term debt)(6)(7)	5,824	6,009	6,232	5,402	5,722	5,638	6,224
Total equity(5)	904	967	882	1,397	1,360	1,699	810

- (1) Fiscal years 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009 refer to the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012, September 30, 2011, October 1, 2010 and October 2, 2009, respectively. Fiscal years 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were each 52-week periods.
- (2) During fiscal 2011, the Company completed the sale of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Galls, for approximately \$75.0 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a pretax loss of approximately \$1.5 million (after-tax loss of approximately \$12.0 million). Galls is accounted for as a discontinued operation. Galls' results of operations have been removed from the Company's results of continuing operations for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

- (3) The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders assumes a reduction of interest expense, net of tax, of approximately \$47 million for fiscal 2013 related to the debt refinancing that occurred during the second quarter of fiscal 2013. The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders and per share data assumes the debt refinancing occurred at the beginning of fiscal 2013.
- (4) The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders (as adjusted) and per share data (as adjusted) for fiscal 2013 reflects the application of \$524.1 million of the net proceeds from our initial public offering to repay amounts due under our senior secured credit facilities. Pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders (as adjusted) for fiscal 2013 assumes a reduction of interest expense, net of tax, of approximately \$11.9 million related to such repayment of amounts due under our senior secured credit facilities and an increase in share-based compensation expense of approximately \$22.6 million for the ongoing portion of the non-cash charge related to the modification of the terms of certain performance-based options outstanding. The pro forma net income attributable to Aramark stockholders (as adjusted) and per share data (as adjusted) assumes the offering and the related application of net proceeds was completed at the beginning of fiscal 2013.
- (5) During fiscal 2011, the Company paid a dividend of approximately \$711 million to its stockholders. On October 29, 2012, we completed the spin-off of our majority interest in Seamless North America, LLC, an online and mobile food ordering service, to our stockholders in the form of a dividend. Each stockholder received one share of the common stock of Seamless Holdings, a newly formed company created to hold our former interest in Seamless North America, LLC, for each share of our common stock held as of the record date.
- (6) In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company adopted the new authoritative accounting guidance regarding transfers of financial assets. The impact upon adoption resulted in the recognition of both the receivables securitized under the program and the borrowings they collateralize on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which led to a \$220.9 million increase in Receivables and Long-Term Borrowings. As a result of implementing the new guidance, funding under the agreement of \$220.9 million on October 2, 2010 was reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows as a use of cash from the securitization of accounts receivables under net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities and as a source of cash under net cash provided by/(used in) provided by financing activities.
- (7) During fiscal 2011, the Company completed a private placement of \$600 million, net of a 1% discount, in aggregate principal amount of 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016. In the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company completed a refinancing, repurchasing Aramark Services, Inc.'s outstanding 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015 and Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 and our 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016. The Company refinanced that debt with new term loan borrowings and the issuance of our senior notes.

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations for the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011, and for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013, should be read in conjunction with Selected Consolidated Financial Data, our audited consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements and our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements.

Our discussion contains forward-looking statements based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties, such as our plans, objectives, opinions, expectations, anticipations, intentions and beliefs. Actual results and the timing of events could differ materially from those anticipated in those forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including those set forth under Risk Factors, Statements Regarding Forward-looking Information and Business sections and elsewhere in this prospectus. In the following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, certain financial measures may be considered non-GAAP financial measures under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules. These rules require supplemental explanation and reconciliation, which is provided elsewhere in this prospectus.

Overview

We are a leading global provider of food, facilities and uniform services to education, healthcare, business and industry, and sports, leisure and corrections clients. Our core market is North America, which is supplemented by an additional 19-country footprint serving many of the fastest growing global geographies. Through our established brand, broad geographic presence and approximately 272,000 employees, we anchor our business in our partnerships with thousands of education, healthcare, business, sports, leisure and corrections clients. Through these partnerships we serve millions of consumers including students, patients, employees, sports fans and guests worldwide.

The Company operates its business in three reportable segments:

Food and Support Services North America (FSS North America) Food, refreshment, specialized dietary and support services, including facility maintenance and housekeeping, provided to business, educational and healthcare institutions and in sports, entertainment, recreational and other facilities serving the general public in the United States, Canada and Mexico.

Food and Support Services International (FSS International) Food, refreshment, specialized dietary and support services, including facility maintenance and housekeeping, provided to business, educational and healthcare institutions and in sports, entertainment, recreational and other facilities serving the general public. We have operations in 19 countries outside North America. Our largest international operations are in the United Kingdom, Germany, Chile and Ireland, and in each of these countries we are one of the leading food service providers. We also have operations in emerging market geographies, such as South America and China, and we own 50% of AIM Services Co., Ltd., a leader in providing outsourced food services in Japan.

Uniform and Career Apparel (Uniform) Rental, sale, cleaning, maintenance and delivery of personalized uniform and career apparel and other textile items on a contract basis and direct marketing of personalized uniforms and career apparel and accessories to businesses, public institutions and individuals. We also provide walk-off mats, cleaning cloths and disposable towels.

Our Food and Support Services operations focus on serving clients in four principal sectors: Education, Healthcare, Business & Industry and Sports, Leisure and Corrections. Our FSS International reportable segment provides a similar range of services as those provided to our FSS North America reportable segment clients and operates in the same sectors although it is more heavily weighted towards Business & Industry. In fiscal 2013 and

Table of Contents

the first half of fiscal 2014, our FSS North America segment generated \$9.6 billion and \$5.0 billion in sales, respectively, or 69% of our total sales in both periods, and our FSS International segment generated \$2.9 billion and \$1.5 billion in sales, respectively, or 21% of our total sales in both periods. Our Uniform segment provides uniforms, career and image apparel, work clothes and accessories to meet the needs of clients in a wide range of industries in the United States, Puerto Rico, Japan and Canada, including manufacturing, transportation, construction, restaurants and hotels, healthcare and pharmaceutical industries and many others. We supply garments, other textile and paper products and other accessories through rental and direct purchase programs to businesses, government agencies and individuals. In fiscal 2013 and the first half of fiscal 2014, our Uniform segment generated \$1.4 billion and \$0.7 billion in sales, respectively, or 10% of our total sales in both periods. Administrative expenses not allocated to our three reportable segments are presented separately as corporate expenses and are not included in our segment results.

Our operating results continue to be affected by the economic uncertainty being experienced in the countries in which we operate. Across all of our businesses, we are planning and executing both growth and cost control initiatives and are working to streamline and improve the efficiency and effectiveness of our general and administrative functions through increased standards, process improvements, and consolidation. As a result, we recorded certain costs related to these initiatives starting in the second quarter of fiscal 2013 and continuing through the second quarter of fiscal 2014 and estimate that we will incur approximately an additional \$30 to \$40 million of costs over the remainder of the year.

Seasonality

Our sales and operating results have varied, and we expect them to continue to vary, from quarter to quarter, as a result of different factors. Within our FSS North America segment, historically there has been a lower level of activity during our first and second fiscal quarters in operations that provide services to sports and leisure clients. This lower level of activity historically has been partially offset during our first and second fiscal quarters by the increased activity in our educational operations. Conversely, historically there has been a significant increase in the provision of services to sports and leisure clients during our third and fourth fiscal quarters, which is partially offset by the effect of summer recess at colleges, universities and schools on our educational operations.

Sources of Sales

Our clients engage us, generally through written contracts, to provide our services at their locations. Depending on the type of client and service, we are paid either by our client or directly by the consumer to whom we have been provided access by our client. We use two general contract types in our FSS North America and FSS International segments: profit and loss contracts and client interest contracts. These contracts differ in their provision for the amount of financial risk that we bear and, accordingly, the potential compensation, profits or fees we may receive. Under profit and loss contracts, we receive all of the revenue from, and bear all of the expenses of, the provision of our services at a client location. For fiscal 2013, approximately 73% of our FSS North America and FSS International sales were derived from profit and loss contracts. Client interest contracts include management fee contracts, under which our clients reimburse our operating costs and pay us a management fee, which may be calculated as a fixed dollar amount or a percentage of sales or operating costs. Some management fee contracts entitle us to receive incentive fees based upon our performance under the contract, as measured by factors such as sales, operating costs and customer satisfaction surveys. For fiscal 2013, approximately 27% of our FSS North America and FSS International sales were derived from client interest contracts.

For our Uniform segment, we typically serve our rental clients under written service contracts for an initial term of three to five years. Because the majority of our clients purchase on a recurring basis, our backlog of orders at any given time consists principally of orders in the process of being filled. With the exception of certain governmental bid business, most of our direct marketing business is conducted under invoice arrangement with repeat clients. Our direct marketing business is, to a large degree, relationship-centered. While we have long term relationships with some of our larger clients, we generally do not have contracts with these clients.

Table of Contents***Costs and Expenses***

Our costs and expenses are comprised of Cost of services provided, depreciation and amortization and selling and general corporate expenses. Cost of services provided consists of direct expenses associated with our operations, including food costs, wages, other labor related expenses (including workers' compensation, state unemployment insurance and federal or state mandated health benefits and other healthcare costs), insurance, fuel, utilities, piece goods and clothing and equipment. Depreciation and amortization mainly relate to assets used in generating sales. Selling and general corporate expenses include expenses related to sales commissions, marketing, share-based compensation and other costs related to administrative functions including compensation and benefits, professional services and information technology.

Interest and Other Financing Costs, net

Interest and other financing costs, net relates primarily to interest expense on long-term borrowings. Interest and other financing costs, net also includes third-party costs associated with long-term borrowings that were capitalized as deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the term of the borrowing.

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes represents federal, foreign, state and local income taxes. Our effective tax rate differs from the statutory U.S. income tax rate due to the effect of state and local income taxes, tax rates in foreign jurisdictions and certain nondeductible expenses. Our effective tax rate will change from quarter to quarter based on recurring and nonrecurring factors including, but not limited to, the geographical mix of earnings, enacted tax legislation, including certain business tax credits, state and local income taxes, tax audit settlements and the effect of various global tax strategies. Changes in judgment from the evaluation of new information resulting in the recognition, derecognition or remeasurement of a tax position taken in a prior annual period are recognized separately in the quarter of the change.

Foreign Currency Fluctuations

The impact from foreign currency translation assumes constant foreign currency exchange rates based on the rates in effect for the current year period were used in translation for the comparable prior year period. We believe that providing the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency rates on certain financial results can facilitate analysis of period-to-period comparisons of business performance.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following tables present our sales and operating income (loss), and related percentages, attributable to each operating segment for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 (dollars in millions).

Sales by Segment	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	March 28, 2014		March 29, 2013		March 28, 2014		March 29, 2013	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
FSS North America	\$ 2,396.9	68%	\$ 2,354.3	69%	\$ 5,017.3	69%	\$ 4,811.9	69%
FSS International	744.1	21%	702.1	21%	1,519.7	21%	1,427.1	21%
Uniform	361.0	10%	347.3	10%	728.1	10%	700.7	10%
	\$ 3,502.0	100%	\$ 3,403.7	100%	\$ 7,265.1	100%	\$ 6,939.7	100%

Table of Contents

Operating Income (Loss) by Segment	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	March 28, 2014		March 29, 2013		March 28, 2014		March 29, 2013	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
FSS North America	\$ 125.4	104%	\$ 84.2	105%	\$ 288.5	104%	\$ 225.8	88%
FSS International	13.2	12%	(10.0)	-12%	40.3	14%	9.2	4%
Uniform	36.5	30%	23.3	28%	76.8	28%	54.4	21%
	175.1	145%	97.5	122%	405.6	146%	289.4	113%
Corporate	(54.3)	-45%	(17.3)	-22%	(127.6)	-46%	(33.9)	-13%
	\$ 120.8	100%	\$ 80.2	100%	\$ 278.0	100%	\$ 255.5	100%

Three and Six Months Ended March 28, 2014 Compared to Three and Six Months Ended March 29, 2013**Consolidated Overview**

Sales of \$3.5 billion for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 and \$7.3 billion for the six month period represented an increase of 3% and 5% over the prior year periods, respectively. This increase is primarily attributable to growth in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections and Education sectors of the FSS North America segment, growth in the U.K., China, Chile and Argentina in our FSS International segment and growth in the uniform rental business in our Uniform segment. This increase was partially offset by the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -1% in both the three and six month periods). Sales for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 were negatively impacted by the severe winter weather within our FSS North America segment. Sales for the six month period of fiscal 2013 were negatively impacted by the National Hockey League (NHL) lockout and Hurricane Sandy.

Cost of services provided was \$3.2 billion for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 and \$6.5 billion for the six month period compared to \$3.1 billion and \$6.3 billion for the prior year periods, respectively. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 90% for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 and 90% for the six month period compared to 92% and 91% in the prior year periods, respectively. Food and support service costs comprised approximately 29% of Cost of services provided for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 as compared to 27% for the prior year period, personnel costs comprised approximately 47% of Cost of services provided for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 as compared to 48% for the prior year period, and other direct costs comprised the remaining approximately 24% of Cost of services provided for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 as compared to 25% for the prior year period. Food and support service costs comprised approximately 29% of Cost of services provided for the six month period of fiscal 2014 as compared to 28% for the prior year period, personnel costs comprised approximately 46% of Cost of services provided for the six month period of fiscal 2014 as compared to 48% for the prior year period, and other direct costs comprised the remaining approximately 25% of Cost of services provided for the six month period of fiscal 2014 as compared to 24% for the prior year period. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income was \$120.8 million and \$278.0 million for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 compared to \$80.2 million and \$255.5 million in the prior year periods, respectively. This increase for both periods is primarily attributable to profit growth in the Business & Industry and Education sectors of the FSS North America segment and profit growth in the Uniform segment which more than offset the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -4% and -2%, respectively). The three month period of fiscal 2014 includes an increase in share-based compensation expense of approximately \$22.8 million, of which \$14.0 million related to the modification of performance-based options (see Note 9 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements) and certain other expenses of approximately \$18.5 million related to our transformation and rebranding initiatives. The six month period of fiscal 2014 includes an increase in share-based compensation expense of approximately \$64.2 million, of which \$50.9 million related to the modification of performance-based options (see Note 9 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements), the loss on

Table of Contents

the sale of the McKinley Chalet hotel of approximately \$6.7 million within the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, cash bonuses and certain other expenses of approximately \$5.0 million related to the completion of our initial public offering and approximately \$28.7 million related to our transformation and rebranding initiatives. During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company initiated a series of actions to streamline its operations and become more efficient. As a result, the Company recorded charges during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 of approximately \$40.8 million for severance and related costs. In addition, during the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company recorded approximately \$11.7 million of goodwill impairment charges, other asset write-downs of approximately \$11.4 million primarily related to the write-offs of certain client contractual investments and approximately \$12.6 million of costs related to transformation initiatives. The three and six month periods of fiscal 2013 also includes other income recognized of approximately \$14.0 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the prior year. Operating income for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 were negatively impacted by the severe winter weather within our FSS North America segment. The six month period of fiscal 2013 was also negatively affected by the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy.

Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 decreased approximately \$45.1 million and \$75.0 million as compared to the prior year periods, respectively, primarily due to the favorable impact of the refinancing of the Company's debt during fiscal 2013, lower average debt levels and lower refinancing fees. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 includes charges of \$25.7 million in connection with the 2014 Amendment Agreement. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for the three and six months ended March 29, 2013 includes charges of \$39.8 million in connection with the tender offer and the full and complete satisfaction and discharge of the remaining aggregate principal of the Notes. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for the six month period of fiscal 2013 also includes approximately \$11.6 million of third-party costs incurred related to Amendment Agreement No. 3 to the senior secured credit agreement and approximately \$3.2 million of hedge ineffectiveness related to the repayment of the Canadian subsidiary's term loan.

The Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$5.6 million on pretax income of \$18.7 million for the three months ended March 28, 2014 as compared to a benefit for income taxes of (\$27.0) million on pretax loss of (\$66.9) million in the prior year period. The Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$34.6 million on pretax income of \$92.6 million for the six months of fiscal 2014 as compared to a benefit for income taxes of (\$8.2) million on pretax loss of (\$4.9) million in the prior year period. The provision for income taxes for the six months of fiscal 2014 includes the reduction of goodwill in connection with the sale of the McKinley Chalet hotel that was not tax deductible. The benefit for income taxes in the prior year periods includes the impact of the extension of tax credits under the 2012 American Taxpayer Relief Act, non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charges and the reversal of tax reserves related to audit settlements in the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

Net income (loss) for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 was \$13.1 million and \$58.0 million, respectively, compared to (\$39.9) million and \$3.3 million in the prior year periods, respectively. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 was \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, compared to \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million in the prior year periods, respectively.

Table of Contents**Segment Results**

The following tables present a comparison of segment sales and operating income (loss) for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 together with the amount of and percentage change between periods (dollars in millions).

Sales by Segment	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	March 28, 2014	March 29, 2013	Change		March 28, 2014	March 29, 2013	Change	
			\$	%			\$	%
FSS North America	\$ 2,396.9	\$ 2,354.3	\$ 42.6	2%	\$ 5,017.3	\$ 4,811.9	\$ 205.4	4%
FSS International	744.1	702.1	42.0	6%	1,519.7	1,427.1	92.6	6%
Uniform	361.0	347.3	13.7	4%	728.1	700.7	27.4	4%
	\$ 3,502.0	\$ 3,403.7	\$ 98.3	3%	\$ 7,265.1	\$ 6,939.7	\$ 325.4	5%

Operating Income (Loss) by Segment	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	March 28, 2014	March 29, 2013	Change		March 28, 2014	March 29, 2013	Change	
			\$	%			\$	%
FSS North America	\$ 125.4	\$ 84.2	\$ 41.2	49%	\$ 288.5	\$ 225.8	\$ 62.7	28%
FSS International	13.2	(10.0)	23.2	**	40.3	9.2	31.1	**
Uniform	36.5	23.3	13.2	57%	76.8	54.4	22.4	41%
	(54.3)	(17.3)	(37.0)	**	(127.6)	(33.9)	(93.7)	**
Corporate	\$ 120.8	\$ 80.2	\$ 40.6	51%	\$ 278.0	\$ 255.5	\$ 22.5	9%

** - Not meaningful

FSS North America Segment

The FSS North America reportable segment consists of four operating segments which have similar economic characteristics and are aggregated into a single operating segment. The four operating segments of the FSS North America reportable segment are Business & Industry; Education; Healthcare; and Sports, Leisure and Corrections.

Sales for each of these operating segments are summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

	Three Months	Three Months	Six Months	Six Months
	Ended March 28, 2014	Ended March 29, 2013	Ended March 28, 2014	Ended March 29, 2013
Business & Industry	\$ 549.3	\$ 565.1	\$ 1,124.7	\$ 1,133.1
Education	946.2	908.8	2,043.4	1,929.8
Healthcare	497.8	501.4	982.9	998.3
Sports, Leisure and Corrections	403.6	379.0	866.3	750.7
	\$ 2,396.9	\$ 2,354.3	\$ 5,017.3	\$ 4,811.9

The Company's Education and Healthcare operating segments generally have high-single digit operating margins on an annual basis while the Business & Industry and Sports, Leisure and Corrections operating segments generally have mid-single digit operating margins on an annual basis. Sales for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 were negatively impacted by severe winter weather within all of our operating

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

segments. Sales for the six month period of fiscal 2013 were negatively impacted by the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy.

FSS North America segment sales for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 increased 2% and 4% over the prior year periods, respectively, primarily due to growth in the Education and Sports, Leisure and

Table of Contents

Corrections sectors partially offset by the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -1% in both the three and six month periods).

The Business & Industry sector had low-single digit sales decline for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 primarily due to a decline in base business within our remote services business.

The Education sector had mid-single digit sales growth for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 due to growth in base business within our Higher Education food business and net new business in our K-12 food business.

The Healthcare sector had low-single digit sales decline for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 due to the impact of prior year lost business within our healthcare technologies business.

The Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector had high-single digit sales growth for the three month period of fiscal 2014 primarily related to new business within Corrections. The sector had double digit sales growth for the six month period of fiscal 2014 primarily related to additional Major League Baseball playoff games, including the World Series, and additional games at the stadiums and arenas we serve due to new business from National Football League teams and from new business within Corrections.

Cost of services provided was \$2.2 billion and \$4.5 billion for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014, respectively, compared to \$2.1 billion and \$4.3 billion for the prior year periods, respectively. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 90% in the three and six months periods of fiscal 2014 as compared to 91% and 90% in the prior year periods, respectively. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 was \$125.4 million and \$288.5 million compared to \$84.2 million and \$225.8 million in the prior year periods, respectively. This increase is primarily attributable to profit growth in our Business & Industry sector and Higher Education business, the impact of our cost control initiatives and a reduction in severance reserves as a result of refinements to our original plan for consolidation and centralization initiatives and higher levels of actual attrition for the impacted workforce for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014. FSS North America operating income for the six months ended March 28, 2014 also includes a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$3.0 million related to favorable claims experience offset by the loss of approximately \$6.7 million on the Chalet divestiture (see Note 2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements). Operating income for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 were also negatively impacted by the severe winter weather. Operating income for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2013 includes \$27.1 million of severance and related costs, \$6.8 million of asset write-offs and \$11.2 million of costs related to transformation initiatives and the other income recognized of approximately \$14.0 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports & Entertainment sector, which was terminated in the prior year. The six month period of fiscal 2013 was also negatively affected by the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy.

FSS International Segment

Sales in the FSS International segment for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 increased 6% compared to both prior year periods as growth in the U.K., Germany, Chile, Argentina and China and the timing of the Easter holiday more than offset the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -2% in both the three and six month periods).

Cost of services provided for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 was \$0.7 billion and \$1.4 billion as compared to \$0.7 billion and \$1.4 billion for the prior year periods, respectively. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 96% and 95% for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 as compared to 98% and 96% in the prior year periods, respectively. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Table of Contents

Operating income (loss) for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 was \$13.2 million and \$40.3 million compared to (\$10.0) million and \$9.2 million in the prior year periods, respectively. This increase is primarily due to profit growth in the U.K. and Germany and the \$12.8 million of severance and related costs, \$16.3 million of goodwill impairment charges and other asset write-offs and \$1.4 million of costs related to transformation initiatives recorded in the three and six month periods of fiscal 2013. Operating income in the three and six months of fiscal 2014 includes \$13.1 million of severance and related costs.

Uniform Segment

Uniform and Career Apparel segment sales increased 4% for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 compared to both prior year periods primarily due to growth in our uniform rental base and net new business.

Cost of services provided was \$0.3 billion for the three month periods of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 and \$0.6 billion for the six month periods of fiscal 2014 and 2013. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales for the three month period of fiscal 2014 was 80% as compared to 82% for the prior year period due to cost control initiatives. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales for the six month period of fiscal 2014 was 79% as compared to 81% for the prior year period also due to cost control initiatives. Cost of services provided was also impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 was \$36.5 million compared to \$23.3 million in the prior year period. This increase is primarily due to profit growth in the uniform rental business and a net charge of approximately \$4.7 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2013 related to a multiemployer pension withdrawal and a wage and hour claims settlement, net of a favorable risk insurance adjustment. Operating income for the six month period of fiscal 2014 was \$76.8 million compared to \$54.4 million in the prior year period. This increase is primarily due to profit growth in the uniform rental business and charges in the six month period of fiscal 2013 of severance related expenses of \$3.7 million and a net charge of approximately \$3.0 million related to a multiemployer pension withdrawal and a wage and hour settlement, net of a favorable risk insurance adjustment.

Corporate

Corporate expenses, those administrative expenses not allocated to the business segments, were \$54.3 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2014 compared to \$17.3 million for the prior year period. For the six month period of fiscal 2014, corporate expenses were \$127.6 million compared to \$33.9 million for the prior year period. The increase for the three month period of fiscal 2014 is primarily due to additional share-based compensation expense of approximately \$22.8 million, of which \$14.0 million related to a modification of the vesting provisions relating to outstanding performance-based options and certain other expenses related to our transformation and rebranding initiatives of approximately \$15.1 million. The increase for the six month period of fiscal 2014 is primarily due to additional share-based compensation expense of approximately \$64.2 million, of which \$50.9 million related to a modification of the vesting provisions relating to outstanding performance-based options, cash bonuses and certain other expenses of approximately \$5.0 million related to the completion of our initial public offering and transformation and rebranding initiatives of approximately \$25.3 million. Corporate expenses in the six month period of fiscal 2013 included an accounting charge related to the retirement obligation to our current Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer.

Table of Contents

The following tables present our sales and operating income from continuing operations, and related percentages, attributable to each reportable segment for the fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in millions). On September 30, 2011, the Company sold its wholly-owned subsidiary, Galls. Accordingly, operating results for this business are reported as discontinued operations.

Sales by Segment	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013		Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012		Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
FSS North America	\$ 9,665.2	69%	\$ 9,413.2	70%	\$ 9,019.0	69%
FSS International	2,869.2	21%	2,729.5	20%	2,723.3	21%
Uniform	1,411.3	10%	1,362.7	10%	1,340.1	10%
	\$ 13,945.7	100%	\$ 13,505.4	100%	\$ 13,082.4	100%

Operating Income by Segment	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013		Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012		Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
FSS North America	\$ 405.1	79%	\$ 425.6	73%	\$ 400.5	73%
FSS International	66.2	13%	89.9	15%	79.9	15%
Uniform	117.3	22%	118.1	21%	117.3	21%
	588.6	114%	633.6	109%	597.7	109%
Corporate	(74.2)	-14%	(51.8)	-9%	(50.6)	-9%
	\$ 514.4	100%	\$ 581.8	100%	\$ 547.1	100%

Fiscal 2013 Compared to Fiscal 2012**Consolidated Overview**

Sales of \$13.9 billion for fiscal 2013 represented an increase of 3% over the prior year period. This increase is primarily attributable to growth in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections, Healthcare and Education sectors and the facilities business in the Business & Industry sector of the FSS North America segment, growth in Ireland, China, Chile and Argentina in our FSS International segment and growth in the uniform rental base business in our Uniform segment. This increase was partially offset by a sales decline in the U.K. in our FSS International segment. Sales for fiscal 2013 were negatively impacted as a result of the NHL lockout and the impact of Hurricane Sandy in our FSS North America segment and the spin-off of Seamless North America, LLC (Seamless) in October 2012 in the Business & Industry sector of the FSS North America segment.

Cost of services provided was \$12.7 billion for fiscal 2013 compared to \$12.2 billion for the prior year period. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 91% for fiscal 2013 compared to 90% in the prior year period. Food and support service costs comprised approximately 27% of Cost of services provided for fiscal 2013 compared to 28% for fiscal 2012, personnel costs comprised approximately 47% of Cost of services provided for both periods, and other direct costs comprised the remaining approximately 26% of Cost of services provided for fiscal 2013 as compared to 25% for fiscal 2012. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for fiscal 2013 was \$514.4 million compared to \$581.8 million in the prior year period. The decline in operating income for fiscal 2013 was primarily due to \$63.9 million of severance and related costs as a result of the series of actions the Company initiated in the second quarter of fiscal 2013 to drive efficiency through the consolidation and centralization of its operations (see Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements). During fiscal 2013, the Company also recorded approximately \$11.7 million of goodwill impairment charges (see Note 5 to our audited consolidated financial statements), other asset write-downs of approximately

Table of Contents

\$12.0 million primarily related to the write-offs of certain client contractual investments and approximately \$20.7 million of costs related to transformation initiatives. In addition, fiscal 2013 was also negatively affected by the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy. This profit decline was partially offset by profit growth in our Business & Industry and Education sectors and other income recognized of approximately \$14.0 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the current year. Fiscal 2012 includes other income recognized of \$6.7 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated during fiscal 2012, a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$7.4 million related to favorable claims experience, of which \$5.7 million relates to our Uniform segment, transition and integration costs of \$4.9 million related to the Filterfresh acquisition and severance related charges of \$6.9 million in the Uniform segment and FSS International segment.

Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2013 decreased by approximately \$33.0 million when compared to the prior year period. The decrease in fiscal 2013 was primarily due to the maturity of interest rate swaps during fiscal 2012 and the repurchase of the 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 (Holdings Notes), 8.50% senior notes due 2015 (Fixed Rate Notes) and senior floating rate notes due 2015 (Floating Rate Notes) (see Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements). Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2013 includes charges of \$39.8 million in connection with the tender offer and repayment of the Holdings Notes, Fixed Rate Notes and Floating Rate Notes, consisting of \$12.9 million of third-party costs for the tender offer premium and \$26.9 million of non-cash charges for the write-off of deferred financing costs. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2013 also includes approximately \$11.6 million of third-party costs incurred related to Amendment Agreement No. 3 to the senior secured credit agreement and approximately \$3.2 million of hedge ineffectiveness related to the repayment of the Canadian subsidiary's term loan with a maturity date of January 26, 2014. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2012 includes \$11.1 million of third-party costs related to Amendment Agreement No. 2 and the amendment of the Company's Canadian subsidiary cross currency swap.

The effective income tax rate for fiscal 2013 was 21.2% compared to 14.5% in the prior year period. The increase is primarily due to the goodwill impairment charges in the second quarter of fiscal 2013 that were non-tax deductible which more than offset the impact of the work opportunity tax credits that were extended under the American Taxpayer Relief Act.

Income from continuing operations was \$71.4 million during fiscal 2013 compared to \$106.9 million in the prior year period. Income (loss) from discontinued operations was (\$1.0) million during fiscal 2013 compared to \$0.3 million in the prior year period. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for fiscal 2013 was \$1.0 million compared to \$3.6 million in the prior year period.

Table of Contents**Segment Results**

The following tables present a fiscal 2013/2012 comparison of reportable segment sales and operating income from continuing operations together with the amount of and percentage change between periods (dollars in millions).

Sales by Segment	Fiscal Year Ended	Fiscal Year Ended	\$	%
	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012		
FSS North America	\$ 9,665.2	\$ 9,413.2	\$ 252.0	3%
FSS International	2,869.2	2,729.5	139.7	5%
Uniform	1,411.3	1,362.7	48.6	4%
	\$ 13,945.7	\$ 13,505.4	\$ 440.3	3%

Operating Income by Segment	Fiscal Year Ended	Fiscal Year Ended	\$	%
	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012		
FSS North America	\$ 405.1	\$ 425.6	\$ (20.5)	-5%
FSS International	66.2	89.9	(23.7)	-26%
Uniform	117.3	118.1	(0.8)	-1%
Corporate	(74.2)	(51.8)	(22.4)	43%
	\$ 514.4	\$ 581.8	\$ (67.4)	-12%

FSS North America Segment

The Food and Support Services North America reportable segment consists of four operating segments which have similar economic characteristics and are aggregated into a single operating segment consistent with the objective and basic principles of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standard Codification 280-10-50-11. The four operating segments of the Food and Support Services North America reportable segment are Business & Industry; Education; Healthcare; and Sports, Leisure and Corrections.

Sales for each of these operating segments are summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

	Fiscal Year Ended	Fiscal Year Ended
	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Business & Industry	\$ 2,287.1	\$ 2,315.4
Education	3,385.5	3,217.9
Healthcare	1,982.5	1,941.6
Sports, Leisure and Corrections	2,010.1	1,938.3
	\$ 9,665.2	\$ 9,413.2

The Company's Education and Healthcare operating segments generally have high-single digit operating margins while the Business & Industry and Sports, Leisure and Corrections operating segments generally have mid-single digit operating margins.

FSS North America segment sales for fiscal 2013 increased 3% over the prior year period, primarily due to growth in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections, Healthcare and Education sectors and in the facilities business in the Business & Industry sector. Sales for fiscal 2013 were negatively impacted by the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy. The negative impact of acquisitions and divestitures was approximately -1% in fiscal 2013.

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

The Business & Industry sector had a low-single digit sales decline for fiscal 2013 primarily due to the spin-off of Seamless in October 2012 and the impact of Hurricane Sandy on our business dining operations. This was somewhat offset by growth in our facilities business as a result of base and net new business growth.

Table of Contents

The Education sector had mid-single digit sales growth for fiscal 2013 due to base and net new business growth in our Higher Education and K-12 food and facilities businesses.

The Healthcare sector had low-single digit sales growth for fiscal 2013. This was primarily due to base business growth as net new business has been impacted by sector uncertainty.

The Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector had mid-single digit sales growth for fiscal 2013 due to growth in our Major League Baseball venues. In addition, we have seen an increase in attendance and number of events in our amphitheaters. This growth was partially offset by the effect of the NHL lockout.

Cost of services provided was \$8.8 billion for fiscal 2013 compared to \$8.6 billion for the prior year period. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 91% in both periods. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for fiscal 2013 was \$405.1 million compared to \$425.6 million in the prior year period. The decrease in fiscal 2013 is due to approximately \$43.5 million of severance and related costs, \$6.8 million of asset write-offs and approximately \$15.2 million of costs related to transformation initiatives, which more than offset the profit growth in our Business & Industry and Education sectors from food and labor productivity initiatives and the other income recognized of approximately \$14.0 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the current year. Fiscal 2013 was also negatively affected by the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy. Operating income for fiscal 2012 includes other income recognized of \$6.7 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated during fiscal 2012 and \$4.9 million of transition and integration costs related to the Filterfresh acquisition.

FSS International Segment

Sales in the FSS International segment for fiscal 2013 increased 5% compared to the prior year period, as net new and base business growth in Chile, China, Argentina and Germany more than offset the sales decline in the U.K. as a result of the Olympics in the prior year (-2%) and prior year lost business.

Cost of services provided was \$2.7 billion for fiscal 2013 compared to \$2.5 billion for the prior year period. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 95% in fiscal 2013 compared to 94% in fiscal 2012. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for fiscal 2013 was \$66.2 million compared to \$89.9 million in the prior year period as profit growth in Chile and Germany was more than offset by \$14.6 million of severance and related costs, \$16.9 million of goodwill impairment charges and other asset write-offs and \$2.3 million of costs related to transformation initiatives as well as the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -3%).

Uniform Segment

Uniform segment sales increased 4% for fiscal 2013 compared to the prior year period primarily due to growth in our uniform rental base business.

Cost of services provided was \$1.1 billion for both periods. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 80% for fiscal 2013 compared to 79% for the prior year period. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for fiscal 2013 was \$117.3 million compared to \$118.1 million in the prior year period as growth in the uniform rental business and operational efficiencies across the segment were more than offset by

Table of Contents

\$8.5 million of severance and related costs, which includes \$3.7 million of severance related expenses recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, and a net charge of approximately \$6.5 million related to multiemployer pension withdrawals and a final settlement of wage and hour claims, net of a favorable risk insurance adjustment. Operating income for fiscal 2012 includes severance related charges of \$2.6 million and a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$5.7 million.

Corporate

Corporate expenses, those administrative expenses not allocated to the business segments, were \$74.2 million for fiscal 2013 compared to \$51.8 million for the prior year period. The increase is primarily due to the increase in share-based compensation expense for performance-based options and the issuance of restricted stock units (see note 11 to the audited consolidated financial statements), an accounting charge related to the retirement obligation to our current Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer, increase in consulting costs, approximately \$1.0 million of severance and related costs and approximately \$3.2 million of costs related to transformation initiatives.

Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011

Consolidated Overview

Sales of \$13.5 billion for fiscal 2012 represented an increase of 3% over the prior year. This increase is primarily attributable to growth in the Higher Education business and Business & Industry sector of the FSS North America segment, growth in Ireland, Germany, China, Chile and Argentina in our FSS International segment, growth in the uniform rental business in our Uniform segment and the impact of acquisitions (approximately 1%). Sales were also positively impacted from our role as the exclusive food service provider in the Athletes Villages for the London Olympics in the U.K. in our FSS International segment. This increase more than offset the sales decline in Spain in our FSS International segment and the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -1%).

Cost of services provided was \$12.2 billion for fiscal 2012 compared to \$11.8 billion for the prior year. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 90% in both periods. Food and support service costs comprised approximately 28% of Cost of services provided for both periods, personnel costs comprised approximately 47% of Cost of services provided for both periods, and other direct costs comprised the remaining approximately 25% of Cost of services provided for both periods. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income was \$581.8 million for fiscal 2012 compared to \$547.1 million for the prior year. This increase is primarily attributable to profit growth in our Higher Education business, our Healthcare sector and in the U.K., Ireland and Chile, offset by the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -1%). Fiscal 2012 also includes other income recognized of \$6.7 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated during fiscal 2012, a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$7.4 million related to favorable claims experience, of which \$5.7 million relates to our Uniform segment, transition and integration costs of \$4.9 million related to the Filterfresh acquisition and severance related charges of \$6.9 million. Fiscal 2011 included a gain of approximately \$7.7 million on the sale of the Company's 67% ownership interest in the security business of its Chilean subsidiary, favorable non-income tax settlements of approximately \$5.3 million in the U.K., a goodwill and other intangible assets impairment charge of \$5.3 million, severance related charges of approximately \$22.8 million, other income of approximately \$7.8 million related to a compensation agreement signed with the NPS under which the NPS agreed to pay down a portion of our investment (possessory interest) in certain assets at one of our NPS sites in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector and a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$5.7 million.

Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2012 increased approximately \$5.7 million from the prior year primarily due to the impact of a full year of interest expense on the Holdings Notes compared to the prior

Table of Contents

year period, which more than offset the positive impact of interest rate swaps that matured during fiscal 2012. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2012 includes \$7.5 million of third-party costs incurred related to the March 2012 amendment that extended the U.S. dollar equivalent of approximately \$1,231.6 million of the Company's term loans and approximately \$3.6 million of hedge ineffectiveness incurred related to the Company's amendment of its cross currency swaps. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2011 includes interest income related to \$14.1 million of favorable non-income tax settlements in the U.K. recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 and a write-off of deferred financing fees of \$2.1 million related to the amendment that extended the U.S. dollar denominated portion of the revolving credit facility.

The effective income tax rate for fiscal 2012 was 14.5% compared to (0.8%) in the prior year. The higher effective income tax rate in fiscal 2012 is due to a reduction of approximately \$17.0 million in reserves in fiscal 2011 related to the remeasurement of an uncertain tax position.

Income from continuing operations for fiscal 2012 was \$106.9 million compared to \$96.7 million in the prior year. Income (loss) from discontinued operations during fiscal 2012 was \$0.3 million compared to \$(11.7) million in fiscal 2011. Fiscal 2011 included the pretax loss of approximately \$1.5 million (after-tax loss of approximately \$12.0 million) related to the sale of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Galls, for approximately \$75.0 million in cash (see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements). Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for fiscal 2012 was \$3.6 million compared to \$1.1 million in the prior year.

Segment Results

The following tables present a fiscal 2012/2011 comparison of reportable segment sales and operating income from continuing operations together with the amount of and percentage change between periods (dollars in millions).

	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011	\$	%
Sales by Segment				
FSS North America	\$ 9,413.2	\$ 9,019.0	\$ 394.2	4%
FSS International	2,729.5	2,723.3	6.2	%
Uniform	1,362.7	1,340.1	22.6	2%
	\$ 13,505.4	\$ 13,082.4	\$ 423.0	3%
Operating Income by Segment				
FSS North America	\$ 425.6	\$ 400.5	\$ 25.1	6%
FSS International	89.9	79.9	10.0	13%
Uniform	118.1	117.3	0.8	1%
Corporate	(51.8)	(50.6)	(1.2)	2%
	\$ 581.8	\$ 547.1	\$ 34.7	6%

FSS North America Segment

The Food and Support Services North America reportable segment consists of four operating segments which have similar economic characteristics and are aggregated into a single operating segment consistent with the objective and basic principles of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standard Codification 280-10-50-11. The four operating segments of the Food and Support Services North America reportable segment are Business & Industry; Education; Healthcare; and Sports, Leisure and Corrections.

Table of Contents

Sales for each of these operating segments are summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Business & Industry	\$ 2,315.4	\$ 2,139.1
Education	3,217.9	3,111.6
Healthcare	1,941.6	1,877.6
Sports, Leisure and Corrections	1,938.3	1,890.7
	\$ 9,413.2	\$ 9,019.0

The Company's Education and Healthcare operating segments generally have high-single digit operating margins while the Business & Industry and Sports, Leisure and Corrections operating segments generally have mid-single digit operating margins.

FSS North America segment sales for fiscal 2012 increased 4% over the prior year primarily due to growth in the Higher Education business, the Sports, Leisure and Corrections and Business & Industry sectors and the positive impact of acquisitions (approximately 2%), which more than offset the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -1%).

The Business & Industry sector had high-single digit sales growth for fiscal 2012 primarily due to base business growth in our refreshment services and facilities businesses. New sales related to the Filterfresh acquisition also contributed to the sales growth in the fiscal year. This growth more than offset the sales decline in our business dining operations due to the impact of net lost business.

The Education sector had low-single digit sales growth for fiscal 2012. This was due to base and net new business growth in our Higher Education food and facilities services businesses. This growth more than offset the sales decline in K-12 due to the impact of lost business.

The Healthcare sector had low-single digit sales growth for fiscal 2012. This was primarily due to base business growth and the full year impact of the 2011 acquisition of Masterplan within the Healthcare Technologies business.

The Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector had low-single digit sales growth for fiscal 2012 primarily related to growth at our National Hockey League and Major League Baseball venues. Sales in our Corrections business were flat as base business growth was offset by net lost business.

Cost of services provided was \$8.6 billion for fiscal 2012 compared to \$8.2 billion for the prior year. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 91% in both periods. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for fiscal 2012 was \$425.6 million compared to \$400.5 million in the prior year. The increase is due to profit growth in our Higher Education business and Healthcare sector and other income recognized of \$6.7 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the current year. This profit growth more than offset the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -1%), the profit decline in our Business & Industry sector and the transition and integration costs of \$4.9 million related to the Filterfresh acquisition. Fiscal 2011 included other income recognized in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 of \$7.8 million related to a compensation agreement signed with the NPS under which the NPS agreed to pay down a portion of our investment (possessory interest) in certain assets at one of our NPS sites in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector and severance related charges of \$6.2 million.

Table of Contents

FSS International Segment

Sales in the FSS International segment for fiscal 2012 were flat compared to the prior year as growth in Ireland, Germany, China, Chile and Argentina and sales related to the London Olympics (approximately 2%) were offset by the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -5%), divestitures (approximately -1%) and the sales decline in Spain (approximately -1%).

Cost of services provided was \$2.5 billion for both periods. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 94% in both periods. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for fiscal 2012 was \$89.9 million compared to \$79.9 million in the prior year. This increase is primarily attributable to profit growth in the U.K., Ireland and Chile and a reduction in severance related expenses, which more than offset the profit decline in Belgium and in our equity method investee in Japan and the negative impact of foreign currency translation (approximately -4%). Operating income for fiscal 2011 included a gain of \$7.7 million related to the divestiture of the Company's 67% ownership interest in the security business of its Chilean subsidiary, favorable non-income tax settlements in the U.K. of \$5.3 million, a goodwill and other intangible assets impairment charge of \$5.3 million and severance related expenses of \$11.4 million.

Uniform Segment

Uniform segment sales increased 2% for fiscal 2012 compared to the prior year, resulting primarily from growth in our uniform rental business.

Cost of services provided was \$1.1 billion for both periods. Cost of services provided as a percentage of sales was 79% for both periods. Cost of services provided was impacted by the items discussed below for operating income.

Operating income for fiscal 2012 was \$118.1 million compared to \$117.3 million in the prior year as the increase in favorable risk insurance adjustments compared to the prior year and a reduction in severance related expenses more than offset the fiscal 2012 increased investment in our growing sales force and higher energy costs. Operating income for fiscal 2012 includes a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$5.7 million compared to \$4.8 million in the prior year. Operating income for fiscal 2012 also includes severance related charges of \$2.6 million compared to \$3.9 million in the prior year.

Corporate

Corporate expenses, those administrative expenses not allocated to the business segments, were \$51.8 million in fiscal 2012, compared to \$50.6 million for the prior year. The increase is mainly due to costs related to the hiring of our new Chief Executive Officer and President in May 2012, which more than offset the decrease in share-based compensation expense related to performance-based options (see Note 10 to our audited consolidated financial statements), gains due to the change in fair value on gasoline and diesel fuel agreements and prior year charges for headcount reductions.

Table of Contents**Quarterly Financial Data**

The following table presents select historical quarterly consolidated statements of operations data for fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013 and for the first and second quarters of fiscal 2014.

This quarterly information has been prepared using our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and only includes all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods.

(in millions)	Quarter Ended									
	December 30, 2011	March 30, 2012	June 29, 2012	September 28, 2012	December 28, 2012	March 29, 2013	June 28, 2013	September 27, 2013	December 27, 2013	March 28, 2014
Sales	\$ 3,423	\$ 3,345	\$ 3,336	\$ 3,401	\$ 3,536	\$ 3,404	\$ 3,490	\$ 3,516	\$ 3,763	\$ 3,502
Operating Income	167	134	115	166	175	80	124	135	157	121
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK stockholders	30	1	14	59	43	(40)	27	39	45	13

Liquidity and Capital Resources**Overview**

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash generated from operating activities, funds from borrowings and existing cash on hand. As of March 28, 2014, we had \$139.2 million of cash and cash equivalents and approximately \$580.8 million of availability under our senior secured revolving credit facility.

We believe that our cash and cash equivalents and the unused portion of our committed credit availability under our senior secured revolving credit facility will be adequate to meet anticipated cash requirements to fund working capital, capital spending, debt service obligations, refinancings and other cash needs. We will continue to seek to invest strategically but prudently in certain sectors and geographies. Over time, the Company has repositioned its service portfolio so that today a significant portion of the operating income in our FSS North America segment comes from sectors and businesses such as Education, Healthcare and Corrections, which we believe to be economically less sensitive. In addition, we have worked to further diversify our international business by geography and sector. The Company routinely monitors its cash flow and the condition of the capital markets in order to be prepared to respond to changing conditions.

The table below summarizes our cash activity (in millions):

	Fiscal Year			Six Months Ended	
	2013	2012	2011	March 28, 2014	March 29, 2013
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 695.9	\$ 691.8	\$ 303.6	\$ (127.4)	\$ 51.1
Net cash used in investing activities	(385.4)	(481.6)	(363.1)	(141.3)	(159.3)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(336.3)	(286.8)	112.0	296.9	91.2

Reference to the audited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows will facilitate understanding of the discussion that follows.

Cash Flows Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities

For the second quarter of fiscal 2014, the increase in the total of net income and noncash charges results mainly from the overall growth of the business and higher operating results of the Company's segments as discussed above. As expected and consistent with historical patterns, working capital was a use of cash for us during the first half of fiscal 2014. The change in working capital requirements relates principally to changes in Accounts Receivable (approximately \$76.2 million), primarily due to the growth of the business and timing of collections, Accounts Payable (approximately \$30.3 million), due to timing of disbursements and Accrued Expenses (approximately \$163.7 million) related to the timing of payments for severance and related costs, insurance and commissions as compared to the prior year period offset by changes in Prepayments and other current assets (approximately \$30.2 million), due to the impact of changes in income taxes. The Other, net caption reflects adjustments to net income in the current year and prior year periods related to nonoperating gains and losses, including goodwill

write-offs and impairments and other financing related charges.

Table of Contents

During fiscal 2013, the decrease in the total of net income and noncash charges results mainly from the results of operations of the Company as discussed above. As expected and consistent with historical patterns, working capital was a source of cash for us during fiscal 2013. The change in working capital requirements relates principally to changes in Inventory (approximately \$15.4 million) due to less inventory purchases in our Uniform segment offset by inventory purchases for new business in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector and Accrued Expenses (approximately \$144.9 million) due to the severance and related costs from the series of actions undertaken by the Company to drive efficiency through the consolidation and centralization of its operations, timing of interest payments and timing of higher commission payments from increased business offset by Accounts Receivable (approximately \$63.4 million) primarily due to business growth and the timing of collections, Prepayments (approximately \$87.5 million) due to the timing of estimated tax payments and a change in tax regulations that impacts the timing of deductions allowable for certain in service inventory and Accounts Payable (approximately \$9.5 million) primarily due to business growth and the timing of disbursements. The Other operating activities caption reflects adjustments to net income in the current year period related to nonoperating gains and losses, which are primarily non-cash and include goodwill impairments and other financing related charges and write-offs.

During fiscal 2012, the increase in the total of net income and noncash charges results mainly from the overall growth of the business and higher results of operations of the Company, as discussed above. A portion of the net change in cash provided by operating activities was driven by the new accounting treatment for the Company's accounts receivable securitization agreement. On October 2, 2010, the Company adopted new accounting guidance that affected the presentation of its accounts receivables securitization program, through which the Company sells eligible accounts receivables on a revolving basis. As a result of implementing the new guidance, funding under the agreement of \$220.9 million on October 2, 2010 was reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows as a use of cash from the securitization of accounts receivables under net cash provided in operating activities and as a source of cash under net cash (used in) provided by financing activities in fiscal 2011. As expected and consistent with historical patterns, working capital was a source of cash for us during fiscal 2012. The change in working capital requirements relates principally to changes in Accounts Receivable (approximately \$66.7 million), primarily due to the improvement and timing of collections offset by the overall growth in the business, Accounts Payable (approximately \$57.0 million), due to the timing of disbursements and Prepayments (approximately \$41.4 million), primarily due to the timing of income tax payments, partially offset by changes in Inventory (approximately \$24.3 million), primarily due to the growth of the business. The increase in the Other operating activities caption is primarily due to \$34.9 million of cash distributions received in fiscal 2012 versus \$10.5 million in fiscal 2011 from our 50% ownership interest in AIM Services Co., Ltd. The Other, net caption also reflects adjustments to net income in the current year and prior year periods related to nonoperating gains and losses.

During fiscal 2011, the increase in the total of net income and noncash charges results mainly from the overall growth of the business and higher results of operations of the Company, as discussed above. Cash flows provided by operating activities include an increase in accounts receivable of \$220.9 million associated with the Company's adoption of the new authoritative accounting guidance related to the transfer of financial assets in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Effective October 2, 2010, the periodic transfers of undivided interests in accounts receivable no longer qualify for sale accounting treatment in accordance with the new accounting guidance and are now accounted for as secured borrowings. Cash flows after October 2, 2010 associated with the receivables facility are presented as financing activities. During fiscal 2011, the Company's accounts receivable increased by \$220.9 million resulting in a cash outflow being reported in the operating section of the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows and the secured borrowings associated with the Receivables Facility increased by \$225.9 million resulting in a cash inflow being reported in the financing section of the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. As expected, working capital was a use of cash during fiscal 2011. The change in working capital requirements relates principally to changes in Accounts Receivable (approximately \$42.6 million), primarily due to the overall growth of the business and timing of collections, Inventory (approximately \$44.9 million) due to growth of the business, Accounts Payable (approximately \$47.7 million) due to timing of disbursements and Accrued Expenses (approximately \$35.7 million) due to the timing of commissions and income tax payments as compared to the prior year period. The Other operating activities caption reflects adjustments to net income related to nonoperating gains and losses.

Table of Contents
Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities

During the six month period of fiscal 2014, the Company received proceeds of \$24.0 million related to the sale of the McKinley Chalet hotel in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector. During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$15.3 million related to the recovery of our investment (possessory interest) in certain assets at one of our NPS sites in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the prior year.

During fiscal 2013, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$15.3 million related to the recovery of our investment (possessory interest) in certain assets at one of our NPS sites in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the current year.

During fiscal 2012, ARAMARK Refreshment Services, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, acquired all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Van Houtte USA Holdings, Inc. (doing business as Filterfresh), a refreshment services company, for approximately \$145.2 million. The acquisition was financed with cash on hand and borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, if a certain significant customer relationship was not maintained within a specific timeframe, the Company was entitled to a refund of a portion of the purchase price. During fiscal 2012, the Company received a refund of approximately \$7.4 million related to the termination of this customer relationship. During fiscal 2012, the Company received \$5.5 million in cash related to the settlement of indemnity claims filed against the former owners of Masterplan. During fiscal 2012, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$7.3 million related to the recovery of our investment (possessory interest) at one of our NPS sites in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector which was terminated in the current year.

During fiscal 2011, ARAMARK Clinical Technology Services, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, purchased the common stock of the ultimate parent company of Masterplan, a clinical technology management and medical equipment maintenance company, for cash consideration of approximately \$154.2 million. During fiscal 2011, the Company completed the sale of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Galls, for approximately \$75.0 million in cash. The Company, also during fiscal 2011, completed the sale of the Company's 67% ownership interest in a security business in its Chilean subsidiary for approximately \$11.6 million in cash. During fiscal 2011, the Company received proceeds of \$7.8 million related to a compensation agreement signed with the NPS under which the NPS agreed to pay down a portion of our investment (possessory interest) in certain assets at one of our NPS sites in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector.

Cash Flows Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities

During the three months ended March 28, 2014, the Company paid a dividend of approximately \$17.3 million to its stockholders.

On February 24, 2014, Aramark Services, Inc. entered into the 2014 Amendment Agreement to the Credit Agreement. Among other things, the 2014 Amendment Agreement provides for approximately \$3,982.0 million in the aggregate of new term loans, \$2,582.0 million of which have a maturity date of February 24, 2021 and \$1,400.0 million of which have a maturity date of September 7, 2019. The term loans due on February 24, 2021 include \$140.0 million of term loans denominated in euros, £115.0 million of term loans denominated in sterling and ¥5,042.0 million of term loans denominated in yen. The new term loans were borrowed on February 24, 2014 and the proceeds were used to refinance Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing term loans due 2016 and 2019 (with the exception of approximately \$75.0 million in term loans due 2016 borrowed by its Canadian subsidiary, which remained outstanding). During the second quarter of fiscal 2014, approximately \$22.9 million of lender fees and third-party costs directly attributable to the term loans of the 2014 Amendment Agreement were capitalized in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company also recorded charges to Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 consisting of \$13.1 million of third party costs and \$12.6 million of non-cash charges for the write-off of deferred financing costs and original issue discount.

Table of Contents

The 2014 Amendment Agreement also provides for the extension, from January 26, 2017 to February 24, 2019, of the maturity of \$565.0 million in revolving lender commitments of the existing \$605.0 million revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement. The 2014 Amendment Agreement also increases the revolving lender commitments by \$165.0 million, for a total revolving credit facility of \$770.0 million. During the second quarter of fiscal 2014, approximately \$4.8 million of third-party costs directly attributable to the revolving credit facility of the 2014 Amendment Agreement were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On December 17, 2013, we completed an initial public offering of 28,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$20.00 per share, raising approximately \$524.1 million, net of costs directly related to the offering. We used the net proceeds to repay borrowings of \$154.1 million on the senior secured revolving credit facility and \$370.0 million on the senior secured term loan facility.

During fiscal 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. made a payment of \$265.0 million on the outstanding U.S. dollar term loan due 2016. During fiscal 2013, the Company completed the spin-off of its majority interest in Seamless to its stockholders. In the spin-off, Aramark Services Inc. distributed all of the issued and outstanding shares of the common stock of Seamless Holdings Corporation (Seamless Holdings), an entity formed for the purpose of completing the spin-off and whose assets primarily consist of the Company's former interest in Seamless, to its parent company and sole stockholder, ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation (ARAMARK Intermediate). Thereafter, ARAMARK Intermediate distributed such shares to the Company, its parent company and sole stockholder, who then distributed all of the shares of Seamless Holdings on a pro rata basis to the holders of Aramark common stock as of October 26, 2012, the record date, through a tax-free stock dividend. Each Aramark stockholder received one share of Seamless Holdings common stock for each share of Aramark common stock held as of the record date. The Company distributed cash of approximately \$47.4 million to Seamless prior to the spin-off.

On February 22, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. amended the senior secured credit agreement (Amendment Agreement No. 4) to provide for, among other things, additional term loans and the extension of a portion of the revolving credit facility. On March 7, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. borrowed \$1,400 million of term loans pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4. The new term loans were borrowed by Aramark Services, Inc. with an original issue discount of 0.50% and mature on September 7, 2019. During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$14.0 million of third-party costs directly attributable to the term loans borrowed pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4 were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, of which approximately \$6.2 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners. Amendment Agreement No. 4 also provided for the extension, from January 26, 2015 to January 26, 2017, of the maturity of \$500 million in revolving lender commitments of the existing \$550 million revolving credit facility. Third-party costs directly attributable to the revolving credit facility of approximately \$2.8 million were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, of which approximately \$0.6 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

On December 20, 2012, Aramark Services, Inc. amended the senior secured credit agreement (Amendment Agreement No. 3) to, among other things, borrow \$670 million of new term loans with a maturity date of July 26, 2016. The proceeds of the new term loans were used primarily to repay approximately \$650 million of existing term loans with a maturity date of January 26, 2014 and to fund certain discounts, fees and costs associated with the amendment. During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$11.6 million of third-party costs directly attributable to the amendment were expensed and are included in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Approximately \$4.6 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

On March 7, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. issued \$1,000 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (the Senior Notes) pursuant to a new indenture, dated as of March 7, 2013 (the Indenture), entered into by Aramark Services, Inc. During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$13.8 million of third-party costs

Table of Contents

directly attributable to the Senior Notes were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Approximately \$7.3 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

In February 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. and the Company commenced a tender offer to purchase for cash any and all of the Holdings Notes, the Fixed Rate Notes and the Floating Rate Notes (collectively, the Notes). On March 7, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. used a portion of the aggregate proceeds of the Senior Notes offering and the borrowings under the new term loans pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4 to purchase all Notes tendered by March 6, 2013, the early tender date. On March 7, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. issued redemption notices for the portions of Aramark Services, Inc.'s Fixed Rate Notes and Floating Rate Notes that remained outstanding, including accrued and unpaid interest, as of March 7, 2013, which provided for the redemption of such notes on April 6, 2013 at prices of 100% of the principal amount thereof. On March 7, 2013, the Company issued a redemption notice for the portion of the Holdings Notes that remained outstanding as of March 7, 2013, including accrued and unpaid interest, which notices provided for the redemption of the Holdings Notes on May 1, 2013 at a price of 101% of the principal amount thereof. On March 7, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. and the Company deposited sufficient funds in trust with the trustee under the indenture governing the Notes in full and complete satisfaction and discharge of the remaining aggregate principal amount of such notes, including accrued and unpaid interest (the Satisfaction and Discharge). As a result of the Satisfaction and Discharge, the trustee became the primary obligor for payment of the remaining Notes on or about the redemption notice date of March 7, 2013. Aramark Services, Inc. and the Company had a contingent obligation for payment of the Notes were the trustee to default on its payment obligations. The Company believed the risk of such default was remote and therefore did not record a related liability. The remaining Fixed Rate Notes and Floating Rate Notes were redeemed by the trustee on April 6, 2013. The remaining Holdings Notes were redeemed by the trustee on May 1, 2013. In connection with the tender offer and Satisfaction and Discharge of the Notes, the Company recorded \$39.8 million of charges to

Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income for fiscal 2013, consisting of \$12.9 million cash charges for the tender offer premium and \$26.9 million of non-cash charges for the write-off of deferred financing costs.

During fiscal 2012, the Company's 5.00% Senior Notes, contractually due in June 2012, were paid in full. The Company, also during fiscal 2012, paid an amendment fee of approximately \$3.2 million and third-party costs of approximately \$7.5 million related to Amendment Agreement No. 2 to the senior secured credit agreement, which extended the maturity date of an aggregate U.S. dollar equivalent of approximately \$1,231.6 million of the Company's term loans and \$66.7 million of letter of credit deposits securing the Company's synthetic letter of credit facility to July 26, 2016. Approximately \$4.5 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

During fiscal 2011, the Company paid commitment fees and third-party costs of approximately \$7.2 million, of which approximately \$3.9 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners, related to an Amendment Agreement to the senior secured credit agreement that extended, from January 2013 to January 2015, the maturity of, and increased, from \$435 million to \$500 million, the U.S. dollar denominated portion of its existing revolving credit facility. In addition, during fiscal 2011, the Company paid third-party costs of approximately \$14.6 million, of which approximately \$8.3 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners, related to the private placement of \$600 million, net of a 1% discount, in aggregate principal amount of the Holdings Notes. The Company used the net proceeds from the offering of the Holdings Notes, along with \$132.7 million in borrowings under the extended U.S. dollar revolving credit facility, to pay an approximately \$711 million dividend to the Company's stockholders and to pay fees and expenses related to the issuance of the Holdings Notes. During fiscal 2011, the Company sold a noncontrolling ownership interest in Seamless North America, LLC, an online and mobile food ordering service, for consideration of \$50.0 million in cash.

Table of Contents

Our Indebtedness

Senior Secured Credit Facilities

Our senior secured credit facilities currently provide:

a total of approximately \$4,089.1 million in term loan facilities comprised of various tranches denominated in U.S. dollars, Canadian dollars, euros, yen and pounds sterling;

a revolving credit facility of up to \$770.0 million available for loans denominated in U.S. dollars, \$50.0 million of which is also available in Canadian dollars; and

a synthetic letter of credit facility of up to \$159.3 million.

The primary borrower under the senior secured credit facilities is Aramark Services, Inc. In addition, certain subsidiaries of Aramark Services, Inc. are borrowers under certain tranches of the term loan facility and/or the revolving credit facility. Parent is not a guarantor under the senior secured credit facilities and is not subject to the covenants or obligations under the senior secured credit agreement.

The revolving credit facility currently consists of the following subfacilities:

a revolving credit facility available for loans in U.S. dollars to Aramark Services, Inc. with aggregate commitments of \$720.0 million; and

a revolving credit facility available for loans in Canadian dollars or U.S. dollars to ARAMARK Canada, Ltd. or Aramark Services, Inc. with aggregate commitments of \$50.0 million.

The final maturity date of \$680.0 million of the \$720.0 million U.S. dollar revolving loan commitments and of all of the Canadian dollar revolving loan commitments is February 24, 2019. The final maturity date of the \$40.0 million of remaining U.S. dollar revolving loan commitments is January 26, 2015.

Our revolving credit facility includes a \$250.0 million sublimit for letters of credit and includes borrowing capacity available for short-term borrowings referred to as swingline loans subject to a sublimit.

The senior secured credit facilities provide that we have the right at any time to request up to \$555.0 million of incremental commitments in the aggregate under one or more incremental term loan facilities and/or synthetic letter of credit facilities and/or revolving credit facilities and/or by increasing commitments under the revolving credit facility. The lenders under these facilities are not under any obligation to provide any such incremental facilities or commitments, and any such addition of or increase in facilities or commitments will be subject to pro forma compliance with an incurrence-based financial covenant and customary conditions precedent. Our ability to obtain extensions of credit under these incremental facilities or commitments is subject to the same conditions as extensions of credit under the existing credit facilities.

As of March 28, 2014, outstanding term loan borrowings were \$4,089.1 million (recorded at \$4,068.2 million to reflect original issue discount) and outstanding revolving credit borrowings were \$173.2 million.

Senior Notes

On March 7, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. issued \$1,000 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "senior notes") pursuant to the indenture, dated as of March 7, 2013 (the "Indenture"), among the Aramark Services, Inc., the guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee.

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

The senior notes are unsecured obligations of Aramark Services, Inc. The senior notes rank equal in right of payment to all of Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing and future senior debt and senior in right of payment to all of Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing and future debt that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior

Table of Contents

notes. Each of the guarantors named in the Indenture (each a Senior Notes Guarantor) is providing an unconditional guarantee of the senior notes which ranks equal in right of payment to all of the senior obligations of such Senior Notes Guarantor. The senior notes and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing and future secured debt and that of the Senior Notes Guarantors, including all indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities, to the extent of the value of the assets securing that indebtedness. The senior notes and guarantees are structurally subordinated to all of the liabilities of any of Aramark Services, Inc.'s subsidiaries that do not guarantee the senior notes.

Interest on the senior notes is payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year, commencing on September 15, 2013. Interest on the senior notes accrues from March 7, 2013 and is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months. The senior notes mature on March 15, 2020.

Parent guarantees the senior notes for purposes of financial reporting, but is not subject to the covenants that apply to Aramark Services, Inc. or its restricted subsidiaries under the senior notes.

Receivables Facility

We have in place an agreement whereby ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, a wholly-owned, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary of Aramark Services, Inc., purchases accounts receivable generated by certain of our operating subsidiaries using funding provided through the sale of an interest in such accounts receivable and other related assets to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Wells Fargo) and a commercial paper conduit (the Commercial Paper Conduit) sponsored by Coöperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank B.A., New York Branch (Rabobank). ARAMARK Receivables, LLC was formed for the sole purpose of transferring receivables generated by certain of our subsidiaries. Under the Receivables Facility, we and certain of our subsidiaries transfer without recourse all of our accounts receivable to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC. As collections reduce previously transferred interests, interests in new, eligible receivables are transferred to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, subject to meeting certain conditions. The availability of funding under the facility depends on the amount of receivables eligible for funding under the receivables facility and satisfaction of other customary conditions. As of March 28, 2014, approximately \$300.0 million was outstanding under this receivables facility. Amounts borrowed under the Receivables Facility fluctuate monthly based on our funding requirements and the level of qualified receivables available to collateralize the Receivables Facility.

In May 2014, we amended the receivables facility to increase the maximum amount available under this facility from \$300.0 million to \$350.0 million and extend the maturity date to May 2017. In addition, the receivables facility will now include a seasonal tranche which will increase the capacity of the receivables facility by \$25.0 million.

Availability of funding under the receivables facility depends primarily upon the outstanding accounts receivable balance of our subsidiaries that participate in the facility. Aggregate availability is determined by using a formula that reduces the gross receivables balance by factors that take into account, among other things, historical default and dilution rates, excessive obligor concentrations and average days outstanding and the costs of the facility.

The Commercial Paper Conduit may discontinue funding the receivables facility at any time for any reason. If it does, Rabobank will be obligated to fund the Commercial Paper Conduit's proportion of the receivables facility.

Twenty-three of our subsidiaries participate in the receivables facility program all of which are domestic subsidiaries in our FSS North America segment.

Covenant Compliance

The senior secured credit agreement contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to: incur additional indebtedness; issue preferred stock or provide guarantees; create liens on assets; engage in mergers or consolidations; sell assets; pay dividends, make distributions or repurchase our capital

Table of Contents

stock; make investments, loans or advances; repay or repurchase any notes, except as scheduled or at maturity; create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us from our restricted subsidiaries; make certain acquisitions; engage in certain transactions with affiliates; amend material agreements governing the notes (or any indebtedness that refinances the notes); and fundamentally change the Company's business. The indenture governing our senior notes contains similar provisions. As of March 28, 2014, we were in compliance with these covenants.

Under the senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes we are required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests and covenants. Our continued ability to meet those financial ratios, tests and covenants can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will meet those ratios, tests and covenants.

These financial ratios, tests and covenants involve the calculation of certain measures that we refer to in this discussion as Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA. Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA are not measurements of financial performance under U.S. GAAP. Covenant EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) of Aramark Services, Inc. and its restricted subsidiaries plus interest and other financing costs, net, provision (benefit) for income taxes, and depreciation and amortization. Covenant Adjusted EBITDA is defined as Covenant EBITDA, further adjusted to give effect to adjustments required in calculating covenant ratios and compliance under our senior secured credit agreement and the indenture.

Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA are included in this section to provide additional information to investors about the calculation of certain financial measures in the senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes that are calculated by reference to Covenant Adjusted EBITDA. Our presentation of these measures has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under U.S. GAAP. You should not consider these measures as alternatives to net income or operating income determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA, as presented by us, may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies because not all companies use identical calculations.

The following is a reconciliation of net income attributable to Aramark Services, Inc. stockholder, which is a U.S. GAAP measure of Aramark Services, Inc.'s operating results, to Covenant Adjusted EBITDA as defined in our debt agreements. The terms and related calculations are defined in the senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes. Covenant EBITDA and Covenant Adjusted EBITDA are measures of Aramark Services, Inc. and its restricted subsidiaries only and do not include the results of Parent.

	Twelve Months Ended March 28, 2014	Twelve Months Ended September 27, 2013	Twelve Months Ended September 28, 2012	Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2011
(dollars in millions)				
Net income attributable to Aramark Services, Inc. stockholder	\$ 124.7	\$ 102.1	\$ 138.3	\$ 100.1
Interest and other financing costs, net	348.7	372.8	401.7	426.3
Provision for income taxes	61.8	38.4	38.8	9.0
Depreciation and amortization	535.5	542.1	529.2	510.5
Covenant EBITDA	1,070.7	1,055.4	1,108.0	1,045.9
Share-based compensation expense(1)	83.6	19.4	15.7	17.3
Unusual or non-recurring (gains)/losses(2)	4.9	8.7	(6.7)	1.8
Pro forma EBITDA for equity method investees(3)	19.4	21.0	26.0	23.6
Pro forma EBITDA for certain transactions(4)	(7.4)		(0.1)	2.0
Seamless North America, LLC EBITDA(5)		(1.6)	(17.5)	(17.2)
Other(6)	31.5	76.1	10.3	26.8
Covenant Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 1,202.7	\$ 1,179.0	\$ 1,135.7	\$ 1,100.2

Table of Contents

- (1) Represents share-based compensation expense resulting from the application of accounting for stock options, restricted stock units, performance stock units, Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities and deferred stock unit awards.
 - (2) The twelve months ended March 28, 2014 includes a pretax loss of approximately \$6.7 million related to the sale of the McKinley Chalet hotel in our Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector of the FSS North America segment. The twelve months ended September 27, 2013 includes goodwill impairment charges in Spain and Korea, asset write-downs mainly related to client contract investments and other income related to the Company's investments (possessory interest) at one of our terminated National Park Service (NPS) client sites. The twelve months ended September 28, 2012 includes other income recognized related to our investment (possessory interest) at one of our NPS sites which was terminated. The twelve months ended September 30, 2011 includes the after-tax loss on the sale of our Galls business, the gain on the sale of our 67% ownership interest in a security business in Chile, goodwill and other intangible assets impairment charge and other income related to a compensation agreement signed with the NPS under which the NPS agreed to pay down a portion of our investment (possessory interest) in certain assets at one of our NPS sites.
 - (3) Represents our estimated share of EBITDA from our AIM Services Co., Ltd. equity method investment not already reflected in our Covenant EBITDA. EBITDA for this equity method investee is calculated in a manner consistent with consolidated Covenant EBITDA but does not represent cash distributions received from this investee.
 - (4) Represents the annualizing of estimated EBITDA from acquisitions and divestitures made during the period.
 - (5) During fiscal 2011, the Company sold a noncontrolling ownership interest in Seamless North America, LLC. In connection with the sale, we designated Seamless North America, LLC as an Unrestricted Subsidiary under the senior secured credit agreement, and as a result, its EBITDA for all periods presented are excluded from Covenant Adjusted EBITDA.
 - (6) Other includes certain other miscellaneous items (primarily severance-related expenses).
- Our covenant requirements and actual ratios for the twelve months ended March 28, 2014 are as follows:

	Covenant Requirements	Actual Ratios
Maximum Consolidated Secured Debt Ratio(1)	5.75x	3.76x
Interest Coverage Ratio (Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio)(2)	2.00x	4.15x

- (1) Our senior secured credit agreement requires us to maintain a maximum Consolidated Secured Debt Ratio, defined as consolidated total indebtedness secured by a lien to Covenant Adjusted EBITDA, of 5.75x, being reduced over time to 5.125x. Consolidated total indebtedness secured by a lien is defined in the senior secured credit agreement as total indebtedness outstanding under the senior secured credit agreement, capital leases, advances under the receivables facility and any other indebtedness secured by a lien reduced by the lesser of the amount of cash and cash equivalents on our balance sheet that is free and clear of any lien and \$75 million. Non-compliance with the maximum Consolidated Secured Debt Ratio could result in the requirement to immediately repay all amounts outstanding under such agreement, which, if the Company's revolving credit facility lenders failed to waive any such default, would also constitute a default under our indenture.
- (2) Our senior secured credit agreement establishes an incurrence-based minimum Interest Coverage Ratio, defined as Covenant Adjusted EBITDA to consolidated interest expense, the achievement of which is a condition for us to incur additional indebtedness and to make certain restricted payments. If we do not maintain this minimum Interest Coverage Ratio calculated on a pro forma basis for any such additional indebtedness or restricted payments, we could be prohibited from being able to incur additional indebtedness, other than the additional funding provided for under the senior secured credit agreement and pursuant to specified exceptions, and make certain restricted payments, other than pursuant to certain exceptions. The minimum Interest Coverage Ratio is 2.00x for the term of the senior secured credit agreement. Consolidated interest expense is defined in the senior secured credit agreement as consolidated

Table of Contents

interest expense excluding interest income, adjusted for acquisitions and dispositions, further adjusted for certain non-cash or nonrecurring interest expense and our estimated share of interest expense from one equity method investee. The indenture governing our senior notes includes a similar requirement which is referred to as a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio.

The Company and its subsidiaries, affiliates or significant stockholders may from time to time, in their sole discretion, purchase, repay, redeem or retire any of the Company's outstanding debt securities (including any publicly issued debt securities), in privately negotiated or open market transactions, by tender offer or otherwise, or extend or refinance any of the Company's outstanding indebtedness.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the Company's future obligations for debt repayments, capital leases, estimated interest payments, future minimum rental and similar commitments under noncancelable operating leases as well as contingent obligations related to outstanding letters of credit and guarantees as of September 27, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Contractual Obligations as of September 27, 2013	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Long-term borrowings(1)(5)	\$ 5,779,450	\$ 53,822	\$ 3,354,128	\$ 38,000	\$ 2,333,500
Capital lease obligations(5)	52,385	12,019	20,699	12,029	7,638
Estimated interest payments(2)(5)	1,127,100	258,800	482,700	242,400	143,200
Operating leases	599,969	219,698	170,081	119,592	90,598
Purchase obligations(3)	249,840	140,961	56,934	17,164	34,781
Other long-term liabilities reflected on the balance sheet(4)	254,300	20,100	12,300	6,600	215,300
	\$ 8,063,044	\$ 705,400	\$ 4,096,842	\$ 435,785	\$ 2,825,017

Other Commercial Commitments as of September 27, 2013	Total Amounts Committed	Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Letters of credit	\$ 121,660	\$ 121,660	\$	\$	\$
Guarantees					
	\$ 121,660	\$ 121,660	\$	\$	\$

- (1) Excludes the \$7.8 million discount on the term loans.
- (2) These amounts represent future interest payments related to our existing debt obligations based on fixed and variable interest rates specified in the associated debt agreements. Payments related to variable debt are based on applicable rates at September 27, 2013 plus the specified margin in the associated debt agreements for each period presented. The amounts provided relate only to existing debt obligations and do not assume the refinancing or replacement of such debt. The average debt balance for each fiscal year from 2014 through 2020 is \$5,433,000, \$5,385,700, \$4,843,500, \$2,356,300, \$2,342,300, \$2,243,700 and \$791,700, respectively. The average interest rate (after giving effect to interest rate swaps) for each fiscal year from 2014 through 2020 is 4.76%, 4.71%, 4.73%, 5.38%, 4.94%, 4.78% and 4.55%, respectively. Refer to Note 6 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the terms and maturities of existing debt obligations.
- (3) Represents commitments for capital projects and client contract investments to help finance improvements or renovations at the facilities from which the Company operates.
- (4) Includes certain unfunded employee retirement obligations.
- (5) During the second quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company had a material change to its future obligations for debt repayments in which the Company extended the maturity date of approximately \$2,614 million of term

Table of Contents

loans to February 24, 2021. In addition, in May 2014, the Company amended the Receivables Facility to increase the amount to \$350 million and extend the maturity date to May 2, 2017. The below table provides the effect of these debt maturity extensions on the Company's future debt payments (excluding the original issue discount on the senior secured term loan facilities) and estimated interest payments as of March 28, 2014 (in thousands):

As of March 28, 2014	Total	Payments Due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Long-term borrowings	\$ 5,604,446	\$ 78,660	\$ 159,367	\$ 553,438	\$ 4,812,981
Capital lease obligations	54,012	10,953	21,777	13,107	8,175
Estimated interest payments	1,268,600	222,700	429,400	386,600	229,900

The Company has excluded from the table above uncertain tax liabilities due to the uncertainty of the amount and period of payment. As of September 27, 2013, the Company has gross uncertain tax liabilities of \$27.3 million (see Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements). During fiscal 2013, the Company made contributions totaling \$19.7 million into our defined benefit pension plans and benefit payments and settlements of \$16.8 million out of these plans. Estimated contributions to our defined benefit pension plans in fiscal 2014 are \$25.8 million and estimated benefit payments out of these plans in fiscal 2014 are \$12.2 million (see Note 8 to our audited consolidated financial statements).

Pursuant to the stockholders agreement which was entered into in connection with the 2007 Transaction and which was amended and restated in connection with our initial public offering (as so amended, the Stockholders Agreement), upon termination of employment from the Company or one of its subsidiaries, members of the Company's management (other than Mr. Neubauer) who hold shares of common stock could have required the Company to repurchase all of their initial investment shares (as defined) or shares acquired as a result of the exercise of installment stock purchase opportunities at appraised fair market value. With the completion of our initial public offering, this provision was terminated. The amount of common stock subject to repurchase as of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013 was \$0 and \$158.7 million, respectively. The Stockholders Agreement, the senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes contain limitations on the amount that can be expended for such share repurchases.

The Company's business activities do not include the use of unconsolidated special purpose entities, and there are no significant business transactions that have not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements. The Company is self-insured for a limited portion of the risk retained under its general liability and workers' compensation arrangements. Self-insurance reserves are recorded based on actuarial analyses.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company's significant accounting policies are described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus. As described in such notes, the Company recognizes sales in the period in which services are provided pursuant to the terms of our contractual relationships with our clients. Sales from direct marketing activities are recognized upon shipment.

In preparing our financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that, among other things, affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, sales and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are most significant where they involve levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or matters susceptible to change, and where they can have a material impact on our financial condition and operating performance. We discuss below the more significant estimates and related assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. If actual results were to differ materially from the estimates made, the reported results could be materially affected.

Table of Contents

Asset Impairment Determinations

Goodwill and the Aramark trade name are indefinite-lived intangible assets that are not amortizable and are subject to an impairment test that we conduct annually as of the end of fiscal August or more frequently if a change in circumstances or the occurrence of events indicates that potential impairment exists, using discounted cash flows. The Company performs its assessment of goodwill at the reporting unit level. Within the FSS International segment, each country is evaluated separately since such operating units are relatively autonomous and separate goodwill balances have been recorded for each entity. The Company completed its annual goodwill and trade name impairment tests for fiscal 2013, which did not result in an impairment charge. During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company performed an interim goodwill impairment test and recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$11.7 million in the FSS International segment to write-off all of the goodwill associated with its reporting units in Spain and Korea. The impairment charge resulted from continued economic weakness in Spain and reductions in government support for the healthcare and education sectors, two of the primary sectors of the Spanish reporting unit. In Korea, the Company undertook a strategic analysis of the Korean reporting unit, which prompted the impairment analysis in the second quarter. The completion of the step two impairment analyses confirmed that goodwill for both reporting units was impaired. The Company estimated the fair value measurements using a discounted cash flow valuation methodology which included making assumptions about the future profitability and cash flows of the business.

With respect to our other long-lived assets, we are required to test for asset impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. If indicators of impairment are present, the Company compares the sum of the future expected cash flows from the asset, undiscounted and without interest charges, to the asset's carrying value. If the sum of the future expected cash flows from the asset is less than the carrying value, an impairment would be recognized for the difference between the estimated fair value and the carrying value of the asset.

In making future cash flow analyses of various assets, the Company makes assumptions relating to the following:

the intended use of assets and the expected future cash flows resulting directly from such use;

comparable market valuations of businesses similar to our business segments;

industry specific economic conditions;

competitor activities and regulatory initiatives; and

client and consumer preferences and behavior patterns.

We believe that an accounting estimate relating to asset impairment is a critical accounting estimate because the assumptions underlying future cash flow estimates are subject to change from time to time and the recognition of an impairment could have a significant impact on our consolidated statement of income.

Environmental Loss Contingencies

Accruals for environmental loss contingencies (i.e., environmental reserves) are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can reasonably be estimated. Management views the measurement of environmental reserves as a critical accounting estimate because of the considerable uncertainty surrounding estimation, including the need to forecast well into the future. We are involved in legal proceedings under federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws in connection with our operations or businesses conducted by our predecessors or companies that we have acquired. The calculation of environmental reserves is based on the evaluation of currently available information, prior experience in the remediation of contaminated sites and assumptions with respect to government regulations and enforcement activity, changes in remediation technology and practices, and financial obligations and creditworthiness of other responsible parties and insurers.

Table of Contents

Litigation and Claims

From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries are party to various legal actions, proceedings and investigations involving claims incidental to the conduct of their business, including those brought by clients, consumers, employees, government entities and third parties under, among others, federal, state, international, national, provincial and local employment laws, wage and hour laws, discrimination laws, immigration laws, human health and safety laws, import and export controls and customs laws, environmental laws, false claims or whistleblower statutes, minority, women and disadvantaged business enterprise statutes, tax codes, antitrust and competition laws, consumer protection statutes, procurement regulations, intellectual property laws, food safety and sanitation laws, cost and accounting principles, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, other anti-corruption laws, lobbying laws, motor carrier safety laws, data privacy laws and alcohol licensing and service laws, or alleging negligence and/or breaches of contractual and other obligations. Management considers the measurement of litigation reserves as a critical accounting estimate because of the significant uncertainty in some cases relating to the outcome of potential claims or litigation and the difficulty of predicting the likelihood and range of potential liability involved, coupled with the material impact on our results of operations that could result from litigation or other claims. In determining legal reserves, management considers, among other issues:

interpretation of contractual rights and obligations;

the status of government regulatory initiatives, interpretations and investigations;

the status of settlement negotiations;

prior experience with similar types of claims;

impact to the Company's brand or reputation;

whether there is available insurance; and

advice of counsel.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We encounter risks associated with sales and the collection of the associated accounts receivable. We record a provision for accounts receivable that are considered to be uncollectible. In order to calculate the appropriate provision, management analyzes the creditworthiness of specific clients and the aging of client balances. Management also considers general and specific industry economic conditions, industry concentrations, such as exposure to small and medium-sized businesses, the non-profit healthcare sector and the automotive, airline and financial services industries, and contractual rights and obligations. Management believes that the accounting estimate related to the allowance for doubtful accounts is a critical accounting estimate because the underlying assumptions used for the allowance can change from time to time and uncollectible accounts could potentially have a material impact on our results of operations.

Inventory Obsolescence

We record an inventory obsolescence reserve for obsolete, excess and slow-moving inventory, principally in the Uniform segment. In calculating our inventory obsolescence reserve, management analyzes historical and projected data regarding client demand within specific product categories and makes assumptions regarding economic conditions within client specific industries, as well as style and product changes. Management believes that its accounting estimate related to inventory obsolescence is a critical accounting estimate because client demand in certain of our businesses can be variable and changes in our reserve for inventory obsolescence could materially affect our results of operations.

Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, income tax expense is recognized for the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and for deferred tax

Table of Contents

liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns. We must make assumptions, judgments and estimates to determine our current provision for income taxes and also our deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance to be recorded against a deferred tax asset. Our assumptions, judgments and estimates relative to the current provision for income taxes take into account current tax laws, our interpretation of current tax laws and possible outcomes of current and future audits conducted by foreign and domestic tax authorities. Changes in tax law or our interpretation of tax laws and the resolution of current and future tax audits could significantly impact the amounts provided for income taxes in our consolidated financial statements. Our assumptions, judgments and estimates relative to the amount of deferred income taxes take into account estimates of the amount of future taxable income, and actual operating results in future years could render our current assumptions, judgments and estimates inaccurate. Any of the assumptions, judgments and estimates mentioned above could cause our actual income tax obligations to differ from our estimates.

Share-Based Compensation

On November 12, 2013, our board of directors approved, and our stockholders adopted by written consent, the Aramark 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2013 Stock Plan), which became effective on December 1, 2013. The 2013 Stock Plan provides that the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2013 Stock Plan is 25,500,000. In connection with the adoption of the 2013 Stock Plan, our board of directors approved, and our stockholders adopted by written consent, the Fifth Amended and Restated ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (the Fifth Amended Stock Plan) which amended certain terms of the 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (the 2007 Stock Plan) in contemplation of our initial public offering, including providing that no awards will be granted under the Fifth Amended Stock Plan shortly following the consummation of an initial public offering, as grants following our initial public offering are made under the 2013 Stock Plan.

We value our non-qualified stock option and ISPO awards using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model uses assumptions of expected volatility, the expected dividend yield of our stock, the expected term of the awards and the risk-free interest rate, as well as our estimated fair value of our common stock. Since our stock has not been publicly traded for a significant amount of time, the expected volatility is based on an average of the historical volatility of our competitors' stocks over the expected term of the share-based awards. The dividend yield assumption is based on our history and expected future dividend payouts, excluding dividends that resulted from activities we deemed to be one-time in nature. The expected term of share-based awards represents the weighted-average period the share-based award is expected to remain outstanding. The expected term for all grants of time-based awards and ISPO awards were calculated using the simplified method, as permitted under SEC rules and regulations due to the lack of history of our equity incentive plan and the lack of history of a public market for our common stock. The expected term for all grants and modifications of performance-based awards was calculated utilizing the same approach as time-based awards as management believes that the exercise activity of award holders is similar for both types of awards, however management has calculated a different expected term for each tranche of the performance-based awards. The simplified method uses the midpoint between an option's vesting date and contractual term. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon the rate applicable to the U.S. Treasury security with a maturity equal to the expected term of the option on the grant date. All other employee share-based awards and non-employee director awards are valued based on the fair value of our common stock on the date of grant.

Share-based compensation expense is recognized in our results of operations for the awards that are expected to vest. For time-based options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, share-based compensation expense is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, usually the vesting period. For performance-based options, management must assess the probability of the achievement of the earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) targets, as defined in the Plan. If the EBIT targets are not probable of achievement, changes in the recognition of share-based compensation expense may occur. Management makes its probability assessments based on the Company's actual and projected results of operations. As share-based compensation expense recognized in the Company's results of operations is based on

Table of Contents

awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of each grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on our historical experience.

During the three and six months ended March 28, 2014, share-based compensation expense was approximately \$27.6 million and \$73.0 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended March 29, 2013, share-based compensation expense was approximately \$4.8 million and \$8.8 million, respectively. During fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, share-based compensation expense was approximately \$19.4 million, \$15.7 million and \$17.3 million, respectively. We expect to continue to grant share-based awards in the future, and to the extent that we do, our actual share-based compensation expense recognized will likely increase.

Management believes that the accounting estimate related to the expense of share-based awards is a critical accounting estimate because the underlying assumptions can change from time to time and, as a result, the compensation expense that we record in future periods may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period with respect to similar instruments.

Fair Value of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value are classified based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. The hierarchical levels related to the subjectivity of the valuation inputs are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement

Management believes that the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable are representative of their respective fair values. The fair value of the Company's debt was computed using market quotes, if available, or based on discounted cash flows using market interest rates as of the end of the period. The fair values for interest rate swap agreements, foreign currency forward exchange contracts and gasoline and diesel fuel agreements are based on quoted market prices from various banks for similar instruments, adjusted for the Company and the counterparties' credit risk. The Company performs an independent review of these values to determine if they are reasonable. The fair value of our derivative instruments are impacted by changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and the prices of natural gas, gasoline and diesel fuel. The fair value of our common stock subject to repurchase is derived principally from unobservable inputs. Management believes that the accounting estimate related to the fair value of our financial assets and financial liabilities is a critical accounting estimate due to its complexity and the significant judgments and estimates involved in determining fair value in the absence of quoted market prices.

Critical accounting estimates and the related assumptions are evaluated periodically as conditions warrant, and changes to such estimates are recorded as new information or changed conditions require.

New Accounting Standard Updates

See Note 13 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for a full description of recent accounting standard updates, including the expected dates of adoption.

Table of Contents**Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk**

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and manage this exposure through the use of variable-rate and fixed-rate debt and by utilizing interest rate swaps. We do not enter into contracts for trading purposes and do not use leveraged instruments. The information below summarizes our market risks associated with debt obligations and other significant financial instruments as of March 28, 2014 (See Notes 6 and 7 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements). Fair values were computed using market quotes, if available, or based on discounted cash flows using market interest rates as of the end of the respective periods. For debt obligations, the table presents principal cash flows and related interest rates by contractual fiscal year of maturity. Variable interest rates disclosed represent the weighted-average rates of the portfolio at March 28, 2014. For interest rate swaps, the table presents the notional amounts and related weighted-average interest rates by the fiscal year of maturity. The variable rates presented are the average forward rates for the term of each contract.

As of March 28, 2014	Expected Fiscal Year of Maturity						Total	Fair Value
	Sep-14	Sep-15	Sep-16	Sep-17	Sep-18	Thereafter		
	(US\$ equivalent in millions)							
Debt:								
Fixed Rate	\$ 5.5	\$ 19.1	\$ 8.1	\$ 6.8	\$ 6.3	\$ 1,008.2 ^(a)	\$ 1,054.0	\$ 1,110.3
Average Interest Rate	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.7%	5.7%	
Variable Rate	\$ 56.7 ^(b)	\$ 43.9 ^(b)	\$ 117.4 ^(b)	\$ 340.1 ^{(b)(c)}	\$ 40.1 ^(b)	\$ 4,006.2 ^{(b)(d)}	\$ 4,604.4	\$ 4,584.5
Average Interest Rate	7.7%	3.6%	3.7%	1.8%	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	
Interest Rate Swaps:								
Receive Variable/Pay Fixed			\$ 575.4	\$ 1,000.0	\$ 600.0	\$ 300.0	\$ 2,475.4	\$ (36.4)
Average Pay Rate			3.2%	1.6%	1.7%	1.9%		
Average Receive Rate			0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%		

(a) Balance includes \$1,000 million of senior notes callable by us at any time with any applicable prepayment penalty.

(b) Balance includes \$21 million for fiscal 2014, \$41 million for fiscal 2015, \$114 million for fiscal 2016, \$40 million for fiscal 2017 and fiscal 2018 and \$3,833 million thereafter of senior secured term loan facilities callable by us at any time.

(c) Balance includes \$300 million of borrowings under the receivables facility.

(d) Balances includes \$173 million of borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility.

As of March 28, 2014, the Company had foreign currency forward exchange contracts outstanding with notional amounts of 28.7 million, £8.2 million and CAD43.8 million to mitigate the risk of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on short-term intercompany loans to certain international subsidiaries. As of March 28, 2014, the fair value of these foreign exchange contracts is \$0.3 million, which is included in Accounts Payable in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company entered into a series of pay fixed/receive floating gasoline and diesel fuel agreements based on the Department of Energy weekly retail on-highway index in order to limit its exposure to price fluctuations for gasoline and diesel fuel. As of March 28, 2014, the Company has contracts for approximately 3.4 million gallons outstanding for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015. As of March 28, 2014, the fair value of the Company's gasoline and diesel fuel hedge agreements is \$0.1 million, which is included in Prepayments and Other Current Assets in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS

Our Company

We are a leading global provider of food, facilities and uniform services to education, healthcare, business and industry, and sports, leisure and corrections clients. Our core market is North America, which is supplemented by an additional 19-country footprint serving many of the fastest growing global geographies. We hold the #2 position in North America in food, and facilities services and uniform services based on total sales in 2013. Internationally, we hold a top 3 position in food and facilities services based on total sales in 2013 in most countries in which we have significant operations, and are one of only 3 food and facilities competitors with our combination of scale, scope, and global reach. Through our established brand, broad geographic presence and approximately 272,000 employees, we anchor our business in our partnerships with thousands of education, healthcare, business, sports, leisure and corrections clients. Through these partnerships we serve millions of consumers including students, patients, employees, sports fans and guests worldwide. The scope and range of our services are evidenced by the following:

We provide services to 86% of the Fortune 500

We serve over 500 million meals annually to approximately 5 million students at colleges, universities, and K-12 schools

We service over 2,000 healthcare facilities, collectively representing over 75 million patient days annually

We cater to approximately 100 million sports fans annually through our partnerships with over 150 professional and collegiate teams

We serve approximately 50 million visitors annually to convention centers, national and state parks

We maintain and enhance the environment in over 800 million square feet of client facility space

We put over 2 million people in uniforms each day

We operate in 22 countries in North America, Europe, Asia and South America

We provide refreshment services to over 100,000 offices in North America

We provide food and commissary services to correctional facilities housing over 300,000 inmates

We provide food and facilities services to over 160 mining and off-shore and in-shore oil & gas drilling operations around the world. We operate our business in three reportable segments that share many of the same operating characteristics: FSS North America, FSS International and Uniform. Both FSS North America and Uniform have significant scale and hold the #2 position in North America while all of our reportable segments hold a top 3 position in most countries in which we have significant operations based on 2013 total sales. The following chart shows a breakdown of our sales and operating income by our reportable segments:

⁽¹⁾ Fiscal 2013 operating income excludes \$74.2 million of unallocated corporate expenses.

Table of Contents

We believe that our broad range of services, diversified client base, global reach and repeatable business model position us well for continued growth and margin expansion opportunities, although there can be no assurance that we will continue to grow. In fiscal 2013, we generated \$13.9 billion of sales, \$70 million of net income, \$514.4 million of operating income, \$798.3 million of Adjusted Operating Income and \$1.2 billion of Adjusted EBITDA. As of March 28, 2014, we had \$5.6 billion of total debt. Please see Prospectus Summary Consolidated Financial Data for a reconciliation of Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted EBITDA to net income.

Our Mission

Our mission is to *Deliver experiences that enrich and nourish lives.* This mission is anchored in a set of goals, which we refer to as our core values, that guide our execution in the marketplace:

Sell and Serve with Passion. Placing clients and consumers at the center of all that we do by listening and responding to their needs with service focused on quality and innovation

Set Goals. Act. Win. Maintaining a culture of accountability where performance matters and exhibiting leadership that achieves and exceeds expectations through our execution

Front-Line First. Providing our front-line employees with tools and training that empower them to deliver excellence at the point of service to thousands of consumers and clients every day

Integrity and Respect Always. High ethical standards are the cornerstone of the Aramark brand and help us earn the trust of our key constituents

We strive to accomplish this mission through a repeatable business model founded on five principles of excellence – selling, service, execution, marketing and operations. Our commitment to these values has earned us numerous awards and recognitions; we have been named one of the

World's Most Admired Companies by Fortune Magazine in the category of Diversified Outsourcing Services every year since 1999 and we are recognized as one of the World's Most Ethical Companies by the Ethisphere Institute. Fortune Magazine conducts its survey of the World's Most Admired Companies by requesting industry executives, directors and analysts to rank the 15 largest companies in each international industry and the 10 largest for each U.S. industry based on revenue based on nine criteria ranging from investment value to social responsibility. The criteria for selection as one of the Ethisphere Institute's World's Most Ethical Companies is based on Ethisphere's collection of certain information regarding a company's corporate governance, risk, sustainability, compliance or ethics and the processing of such information through Ethisphere's internal ethics rating system.

Our History and Recent Accomplishments

Since our founding in 1959, we have broadened our service offerings and expanded our client base through a combination of organic growth and successful acquisitions, with the goal of further developing our food, facilities and uniform capabilities, as well as growing our international presence.

In 1984, we completed a management buyout, after which our management and employees increased their Company ownership to approximately 90% of our equity capital leading up to our December 2001 public offering.

On January 26, 2007, we delisted from the NYSE in conjunction with a going-private transaction executed with investment funds affiliated with GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Advisors, J.P. Morgan Partners, Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and Warburg Pincus LLC as well as approximately 250 senior management personnel.

In May 2012, Eric Foss became the new CEO and President of our company. Previously, Mr. Foss was the CEO of Pepsi Beverages Company and was Chairman and CEO of the publicly-traded Pepsi Bottling Group.

Table of Contents

Under Mr. Foss' leadership at our company, we have introduced a number of initiatives designed to accelerate revenue and profit growth and expand margins. Our programs focused on the enhanced management of our key costs—food, labor and overhead—include SKU rationalization (a consolidation of product categories for our purchases), standardization of portion sizes, waste control, enhanced labor scheduling, turn-over reduction and SG&A discipline, among others. We expect SKU rationalization to reduce our food costs by allowing us to concentrate our purchasing power with suppliers and achieve a lower average cost per unit. We are also training our employees to use standardized portioning and waste control measures in an effort to reduce the amount of food that we utilize in providing our services thereby improving our profitability. To improve our labor costs, we have implemented technology solutions and process improvements designed to reduce over-scheduling of labor and similar staffing inefficiencies, thereby reducing total hours worked. We also hope to lower our recruiting and training costs through programs designed to reduce employee turnover. Our initiatives to reduce our SG&A costs are focused on eliminating redundant processes and centralizing our back office functions, among others.

In 2013, we continued to grow our existing business and win new clients, including the Ohio and Michigan departments of corrections, the Minnesota Vikings, the Chicago Bears, and the Tampa Bay Buccaneers, and additional services from existing clients such as Airbus and American University. There is no assurance that we will continue to grow and gain new customers.

On December 17, 2013, we completed an initial public offering of our common stock at a price to the public of \$20.00 per share. In the initial public offering, we issued and sold 28,000,000 shares of common stock and the selling stockholders offered and sold 13,687,500 shares of common stock, including 5,437,500 shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise in full of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares. Our initial public offering raised net proceeds to us, after deducting underwriters' discounts and commissions and expenses payable by us, of \$524.1 million, which was used to repay \$370.0 million of the outstanding term loans under our senior secured credit facilities and \$154.1 million of outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facilities constituting part of our senior secured credit facilities. We did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares sold by the selling stockholders in our initial public offering. Our common stock began trading on the NYSE under the ticker symbol "ARMK" on December 12, 2013.

Food and Support Services

Our Food and Support Services segments manage a number of interrelated services—including food, hospitality and facility services—for school districts, colleges and universities, healthcare facilities, businesses, sports, entertainment and recreational venues, conference and convention centers, national and state parks and correctional institutions. Our Food and Support Services segments holds the #2 position in North America and a top 3 position in most countries in which FSS has significant operations based on 2013 total sales.

We are the exclusive provider of food and beverage services at most of the locations we serve and are responsible for hiring, training and supervising substantially all of the food service personnel in addition to ordering, receiving, preparing and serving food and beverage items sold at those facilities. Our facilities services capabilities are broad, and include plant operations and maintenance, custodial/housekeeping, energy management, clinical equipment maintenance, grounds keeping, and capital project management. In governmental, business, educational and healthcare facilities (for example, offices and industrial plants, schools and universities and hospitals), our clients provide us with a captive client base through their on-site employees, students and patients. At sports, entertainment and recreational facilities, our clients attract patrons to their site, usually for specific events such as sporting events and conventions.

We manage our Food and Support Services business in two geographic reportable segments split between our North American and International operations. In fiscal 2013 and the first half of fiscal 2014, our FSS North America segment generated \$9.6 billion and \$5.0 billion in sales, respectively, or 69% of our total sales in both periods, and our FSS International segment generated \$2.9 billion and \$1.5 billion in sales, respectively, or 21% of our total sales in both periods. No individual client represents more than 2% of our 2013 total sales, other than, collectively, a

Table of Contents

number of U.S. government agencies. See note 14 to our audited consolidated financial statements and note 12 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for information on revenue, profit and total assets for the FSS North America segment and the FSS International segment.

Table of Contents

Clients and Services

Our Food and Support Services segments serves a number of client sectors across 22 countries around the world. Our Food and Support Services operations focus on serving clients in four principal sectors:

Sector	Types of Clients	Food Services	Facilities Services
Education	Colleges and universities Public school districts and systems Private schools	Dining services	Facilities management
		Catering	Custodial services
		Food service management	Grounds
		Retail operations	Energy management
Healthcare	Hospitals Nursing homes	Food and nutrition services Retail operations	Construction management
			Capital project management
			Clinical equipment maintenance
			Environmental services
			Laundry and linen distribution
			Plant operations
			Energy management
			Strategic and technical services
			Supply chain management
			Purchasing
Business & Industry	Manufacturing plants Corporate cafeterias Mining operations Oil & Gas drilling operations	Dining services On-site restaurants Catering Convenience stores Executive dining rooms Coffee and vending Drinking water filtration	Housekeeping management
			Plant operations/maintenance
			Energy management
			Groundskeeping
			Landscaping
			Transportation
			Capital program management
			Commissioning services
Sports, Leisure and Corrections	Professional and collegiate stadiums and arenas	Concessions Banquet and catering	Building operations consulting
			Recreational and lodging services
			Commissary services

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Concert venues	Retail and merchandise sales	Laundry and linen management
National and state parks	Food and nutrition services	Property room management
Convention and civic centers		
Correctional facilities		

Education. Within the Education sector we serve Higher Education and K-12 clients. We deliver a wide range of food and facility services at more than 1,400 colleges, universities, school systems and districts and private schools. We offer our education clients a single source provider for managed service solutions, including dining, catering, food service management, convenience-oriented retail operations, grounds and facilities maintenance, custodial, energy management, construction management, and capital project management.

Healthcare. We provide a wide range of non-clinical support services to approximately 1,100 healthcare clients and more than 2,000 facilities across our global footprint. We offer healthcare organizations a single source provider for managed service solutions, which include food services such as patient food and nutrition services and retail food services, and facilities services such as clinical equipment maintenance, environmental services, laundry and linen distribution, plant operations, energy management, strategic/technical services, supply chain management, purchasing and central transportation.

Business & Industry. We provide a comprehensive range of business dining services, including on-site restaurants, catering, convenience stores and executive dining.

We also provide coffee and vending services to business and industry clients at thousands of locations. Our service and product offerings include a full range of coffee and beverage offerings, grab and go food operations, convenience stores and a proprietary drinking water filtration system.

Table of Contents

We also offer a variety of facility management services to business and industry clients. These services include the management of housekeeping, plant operations and maintenance, energy management, laundry and linen, groundskeeping, landscaping, transportation, capital program management and commissioning services and other facility consulting services relating to building operations.

We also offer remote services which include facility and business support services primarily for mining and oil operations.

Sports, Leisure and Corrections. We administer concessions, banquet and catering services, retail services and merchandise sales, recreational and lodging services and facility management services at sports, entertainment and recreational facilities. We serve 156 professional (including minor league affiliates) and college sports teams, including 40 teams in Major League Baseball, the National Basketball Association, the National Football League and the National Hockey League. We also serve 25 convention and civic centers, 16 national and state parks and other resort operations, plus numerous concert venues, entertainment complexes and other popular tourist attractions in the United States and Canada. Additionally, we provide correctional food services, operate commissaries, laundry facilities and property rooms and provide food and facilities management services for parks.

Our FSS International segment provides a similar range of services as those provided to our FSS North America segment clients and operates in all of our sectors. We have operations in 19 countries outside North America. Our largest international operations are in the United Kingdom, Germany, Chile and Ireland, and in each of these countries we are one of the leading food service providers. We also have operations in emerging market countries, such as China, and a strong presence in Japan through our 50% ownership of AIM Services Co., Ltd., a leader in providing outsourced food services in Japan. In addition to the core Business & Industry sector, our FSS International segment serves many soccer stadiums across Europe, and numerous educational institutions, correctional institutions and convention centers globally. There are particular risks attendant with our international operations. Please see Risk Factors.

Purchasing

We negotiate the pricing and other terms for the majority of our purchases of food and related products in the United States and Canada directly with national manufacturers. We purchase these products and other items through SYSCO Corporation and other distributors. We have a master distribution agreement with SYSCO that covers a significant amount of our purchases of these products and items in the United States and another distribution agreement with SYSCO that covers our purchases of these products in Canada. Our distributors are responsible for tracking our orders and delivering products to our specific locations. Due to our ability to negotiate favorable terms with our suppliers, we receive vendor consideration, including rebates, allowances and volume discounts. See Types of Contracts below. Our location managers also purchase a number of items, including bread, dairy products and alcoholic beverages from local suppliers, and we purchase certain items directly from manufacturers.

Our relationship with SYSCO is important to our operations we have had distribution agreements in place for more than 20 years. In fiscal 2013, SYSCO distributed approximately 60% of our food and non-food products in the United States and Canada, and we believe that we are one of their largest clients. However, we believe that the products acquired through SYSCO can, in significant cases, be purchased through other sources and that termination of our relationship with them or any disruption of their business would cause only short-term disruptions to our operations.

Our agreements with our distributors are generally for an indefinite term, subject to termination by either party after a notice period, which is generally 60 to 120 days. The pricing and other financial terms of these agreements are renegotiated periodically. Our current agreement with SYSCO is terminable by either party with 180 days notice.

Table of Contents

In our international segment, our approach to purchasing is substantially similar. On a country-by-country basis, we negotiate pricing and other terms for a majority of our purchases of food and related products with manufacturers operating in the applicable country, and we purchase these products and other items through distributors in that country. Due to our ability to negotiate favorable terms with our suppliers, we receive vendor consideration, including rebates, allowances and volume discounts. See *Types of Contracts* below. As in North America, our location managers also purchase a number of items, including bread, dairy products and alcoholic beverages from local suppliers, and we purchase certain items directly from manufacturers. Our agreements with our distributors are subject to termination by either party after a notice period, which is generally 60 days. The pricing and other financial terms of these agreements are renegotiated periodically.

Our relationship with distributors in the countries outside the United States and Canada is important to our operations, but from an overall volume standpoint, no distributor outside the United States and Canada distributes a significant volume of products. We believe that products we acquire from our distributors in countries outside the United States and Canada can, in significant cases, be purchased from other sources, and that the termination of our relationships with our distributors outside the United States and Canada, or the disruption of their business operations, would cause only short-term disruption to our operations.

Sales and Marketing

We maintain selling and marketing excellence by focusing on the execution of a common selling process as well as optimal resource allocation and deployment. Our common selling process ensures that we sell our services to our clients in the same way, regardless of the sector in which such client is located. We have developed consistent tools and training that are used across all of our businesses to train our employees on this selling process. Our business development functions are aligned directly with the sectors and services in which we have leadership positions, and we combine our targeted business development strategies with our strong client relationships to deliver differentiated and innovative solutions. We target our business development by aligning our sales efforts directly with the sectors and services in which we operate. We identify individuals at various levels in our organization to match up with individuals in a variety of roles at both existing and potential clients. We believe that these connections throughout various levels within the client organization allow us to develop strong relationships with the client and gain a better understanding of the clients' requirements. Based on the knowledge of the clients' requirements and the sector, our goal is to develop solutions for the client that are unique and that help to differentiate us from our competitors. We believe that, through our years of operational experience as well as periodic surveys, we have significant insight into the factors that influence client and consumer choice and tailor our interactions to maximize impact and create a demonstrable growth trajectory. We believe that our sales and marketing platform has created a common toolkit and a repeatable process to deliver both improved retention as well as cross-selling opportunities.

The effectiveness of our approach has been demonstrated through our improved growth trajectory. Our estimated net new business (the estimated annualized sales of new clients less the annualized sales of lost clients as if they were acquired or lost on the first day of the fiscal year) during fiscal year 2013 was approximately 208% of the previous three year average and our performance in fiscal 2013 was approximately 32% greater than in fiscal 2012. Our estimated net new business was approximately \$525 million in fiscal 2013. Because our calculation of estimated net new business is made on an annualized basis, the full amount of estimated net new business for any given fiscal year will not be fully recognized in our sales until the following fiscal year.

Types of Contracts

We use contracts that allow us to manage our potential upside and downside risk in connection with our various business interactions with clients. Our contracts may require that the client's consent be obtained in order to raise prices on the food, beverages and merchandise we sell within a particular facility. The length of contracts that we enter into with clients varies. Contracts generally are for fixed terms, many of which are in excess of one year. Client contracts for sports, entertainment and recreational services typically require larger capital investments, but have correspondingly longer and fixed terms, usually from five to fifteen years.

Table of Contents

When we enter into new contracts, or extend or renew existing contracts, particularly those for stadiums, arenas, convention centers, colleges and universities, we are sometimes contractually required to make some form of up-front or future capital investment to help finance improvement or renovation, typically to the food and beverage facilities of the venue from which we operate. Contractually required capital expenditures typically take the form of investment in leasehold improvements, food service equipment and/or grants to clients. At the end of the contract term or upon its earlier termination, assets such as equipment and leasehold improvements typically become the property of the client, but generally the client must reimburse us for any undepreciated or unamortized capital investments.

Food and Support Services contracts are generally obtained and renewed either through a competitive process or on a negotiated basis, although contracts in the public sector are frequently awarded on a competitive bid basis, as required by applicable law. Contracts for Food and Support Services with school districts and correctional clients are typically awarded through a formal bid process. Contracts in the private sector may be entered into on a less formal basis, but we and other companies will often compete in the process leading up to the award or the completion of contract negotiations. Typically, after the award, final contract terms are negotiated and agreed upon.

We use two general contract types in our Food and Support Services segments: profit and loss contracts and client interest contracts. These contracts differ in their provision for the amount of financial risk that we bear and, accordingly, the potential compensation, profits or fees we may receive. Commission rates and management fees, if any, may vary significantly among contracts based upon various factors, including the type of facility involved, the term of the contract, the services we provide and the amount of capital we invest.

Profit and Loss Contracts. Under profit and loss contracts, we receive all of the revenue from, and bear all of the expenses of, the provision of our services at a client location. Expenses under profit and loss contracts sometimes include commissions paid to the client, typically calculated as a fixed or variable percentage of various categories of sales, and, in some cases, require minimum guaranteed commissions. We benefit from greater upside potential with a profit and loss contract, although we do consequently bear greater downside risk than with a client interest contract. For fiscal 2013, approximately 73% of our Food and Support Services sales were derived from profit and loss contracts.

Client Interest Contracts. Client interest contracts include management fee contracts, under which our clients reimburse our operating costs and pay us a management fee, which may be calculated as a fixed dollar amount or a percentage of sales or operating costs. Some management fee contracts entitle us to receive incentive fees based upon our performance under the contract, as measured by factors such as sales, operating costs and client satisfaction surveys. Client interest contracts also include limited profit and loss contracts, under which we receive a percentage of any profits earned from the provision of our services at the facility and we generally receive no payments if there are losses. As discussed above under *Purchasing*, we receive vendor consideration, including rebates, allowances and volume discounts that we retain except in those cases and to the extent that, under certain arrangements, they are passed through to our clients. For our client interest contracts, both our upside potential and downside risk are reduced compared to our profit and loss contracts. For fiscal 2013, approximately 27% of our Food and Support Services sales were derived from client interest contracts.

Competition

There is significant competition in the Food and Support Services business from local, regional, national and international companies, as well as from the businesses, healthcare institutions, colleges and universities, correctional facilities, school districts and public assembly facilities that decide to provide these services themselves. Institutions may decide to operate their own services or outsource to one of our competitors following the expiration or termination of contracts with us. Clients do not necessarily choose the lowest cost provider, and tend to place a premium on the total value proposition offered. In our FSS North America segment, our external competitors include other multi-regional food and support service providers, such as Centerplate, Inc., Compass Group plc, Delaware North Companies Inc. and Sodexo SA. Internationally, our external food service and support service competitors include Compass Group plc, Elior SA, International Service System A/S and Sodexo SA. We also face competition from many regional and local service providers.

Table of Contents

We believe that the following competitive factors are the principal drivers of our success:

quality and breadth of services and management talent;

service innovation;

reputation within the industry;

pricing; and

financial strength and stability.

Seasonality

Our sales and operating results have varied, and we expect them to continue to vary, from quarter to quarter as a result of different factors. Within our FSS North America segment, historically there has been a lower level of activity during our first and second fiscal quarters in operations that provide services to sports and leisure clients. This lower level of activity historically has been partially offset during our first and second fiscal quarters by the increased activity in our educational operations. Conversely, historically there has been a significant increase in the provision of services to sports and leisure clients during our third and fourth fiscal quarters, which is partially offset by the effect of summer recess at colleges, universities and schools.

Uniform

Our Uniform segment provides uniforms and other garments and work clothes and ancillary items such as mats and shop towels in the United States, Puerto Rico, Canada and through a joint venture in Japan. We hold the #2 position in the North American uniform services market. We operate approximately 2,600 routes nationally, giving us a broad reach to service our clients' needs.

Clients use our uniforms to meet a variety of needs, including:

establishing corporate identity and brand awareness;

projecting a professional image;

protecting workers' work clothes can help protect workers from difficult environments such as heavy soils, heat, flame or chemicals; and

protecting products' uniforms can help protect products against contamination in the food, pharmaceutical, electronics, health care and automotive industries.

We provide a full service employee uniform solution, including design, sourcing and manufacturing, delivery, cleaning and maintenance. We rent uniforms, work clothing, outerwear, particulate-free garments and non-garment items and related services, including industrial towels, floor mats, mops, linen products, and paper products to businesses in a wide range of industries, including manufacturing, food services, automotive, healthcare, construction, utilities, repair and maintenance services, restaurant and hospitality. In fiscal 2013 and the first half of fiscal 2014, our Uniform segment generated \$1.4 billion and \$0.7 billion in sales, respectively, or 10% of our total sales in both periods. See note 14 to our

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

audited consolidated financial statements and note 12 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for information on revenue, profit and total assets for the Uniform segment.

Clients and Services

We serve businesses of all sizes in many different industries. We have a diverse client base, serving clients in all 50 states, Puerto Rico and one Canadian province, from over 200 service location and distribution centers across the United States and one service center in Ontario, Canada. None of our clients individually represents a material portion of our sales. We typically visit our clients' sites weekly, delivering clean, finished uniforms and, at the same time, removing the soiled uniforms or other items for cleaning, repair or replacement. We also offer products for direct sale.

Table of Contents

Our cleanroom service offers advanced static dissipative garments, barrier apparel, sterile garments and cleanroom application accessories for clients with contamination-free operations in the technology, food, healthcare and pharmaceutical industries.

We conduct our direct marketing business through three primary brands WearGuard, Crest and Aramark. We design, source or manufacture and distribute distinctive image apparel to workers in a wide variety of industries through the Internet at www.shoparamark.com, dedicated sales representatives and telemarketing sales channels. We customize and embroider personalized uniforms and logos for clients through an extensive computer assisted design center and distribute work clothing, outerwear, business casual apparel and footwear throughout the United States, Puerto Rico and Canada.

Operations

We operate our uniform rental business as a network of 78 laundry plants and 148 satellite plants and depots supporting over 2,600 pick-up and delivery routes. We operate a fleet of service vehicles that pick up and deliver uniforms for cleaning and maintenance. We conduct our direct marketing activities principally from our facilities in Salem, Virginia; Norwell, Massachusetts; and Reno, Nevada. We market our own brands of apparel and offer a variety of customized personalization options such as embroidery and logos. We also source uniforms and other products to our specifications from a number of domestic and international suppliers and also manufacture a significant portion of our uniform requirements. We purchase uniform and textile products as well as equipment and supplies from domestic and international suppliers. The loss of any one supplier would not have a significant impact on us. We also operate a cutting and sewing plant in Mexico, which satisfies a substantial amount of our standard uniform inventory needs.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales representatives and route sales drivers are responsible for selling our services to current and potential clients and developing new accounts through the use of an extensive, proprietary database of pre-screened and qualified business prospects. We build our brand identity through local advertising, promotional initiatives and through our distinctive service vehicles. Our clients frequently come to us through client referrals, either from our uniform rental business or from our other service sectors. Our customer service representatives generally interact on a weekly basis with their clients, while our support personnel are charged with expeditiously handling client requirements regarding the outfitting of new client employees and other customer service needs.

Types of Contracts

We typically serve our rental clients under written service contracts for an initial term of three to five years. While clients are not required to make an up-front investment for their uniforms, in the case of nonstandard uniforms and certain specialty programs, clients typically agree to reimburse us for our costs if they terminate their agreement early. With the exception of certain governmental bid business, most of our direct marketing business is conducted under invoice arrangement with repeat clients.

Competition

Although the United States rental industry has experienced some consolidation, there is significant competition in all the areas that we serve, and such competition varies across geographies. Although many competitors are smaller local and regional firms, we also face competition from other large national firms such as Cintas Corporation, G&K Services, Inc. and UniFirst Corporation. We believe that the primary competitive factors that affect our operations are quality, service, design, consistency of product, and distribution capability, particularly for large multi-location clients, and price. We believe that our ability to compete effectively is enhanced by the quality and breadth of our product line as well as our nationwide reach.

Table of Contents

Employees

As of September 27, 2013, we had a total of approximately 272,000 employees, including seasonal workers, consisting of approximately 162,000 full-time and approximately 110,000 part-time employees in our three business segments. The number of part-time employees varies significantly from time to time during the year due to seasonal and other operating requirements. We generally experience our highest level of employment during the fourth fiscal quarter. The approximate number of employees by segment is as follows: FSS North America: 170,000; FSS International: 88,000; Uniform: 14,000. In addition, the Aramark corporate staff is approximately 200 employees. Approximately 40,000 employees in our North American operations are covered by collective bargaining agreements. We have not experienced any material interruptions of operations due to disputes with our employees and consider our relations with our employees to be satisfactory.

Properties

Our principal executive offices are located at Aramark Tower, 1101 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Our principal real estate is primarily comprised of Uniform facilities. As of September 27, 2013, we operated 248 service facilities in our Uniform segment, consisting of industrial laundries, cleanroom laundries, warehouses, distribution centers, satellites, depots, and stand-alone garages that are located in 40 states, Mexico, Canada and Puerto Rico. Of these, approximately 50% are leased and approximately 50% are owned. In addition, we operate one cutting and sewing plant in Mexico. We own 11 buildings that we use in our FSS North America segment, including two office buildings, three hotels and several office/warehouse spaces, and we lease 148 premises, consisting of offices, office/warehouses and distribution centers. In addition, we own a distribution center and four other properties and lease 109 facilities throughout the world that we use in our FSS International segment. We also maintain other real estate and leasehold improvements, which we use in the Uniform and FSS segments. No individual parcel of real estate owned or leased is of material significance to our total assets.

Legal Proceedings

Our business is subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing, among other things, the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of water wastes and other substances. We engage in informal settlement discussions with federal, state, local and foreign authorities regarding allegations of violations of environmental laws in connection with our operations or businesses conducted by our predecessors or companies that we have acquired, the aggregate amount of which and related remediation costs we do not believe should have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries are party to various legal actions, proceedings and investigations involving claims incidental to the conduct of their business, including those brought by clients, consumers, employees, government entities and third parties under, among others, federal, state, international, national, provincial and local employment laws, wage and hour laws, discrimination laws, immigration laws, human health and safety laws, import and export controls and customs laws, environmental laws, false claims or whistleblower statutes, minority, women and disadvantaged business enterprise statutes, tax codes, antitrust and competition laws, consumer protection statutes, procurement regulations, intellectual property laws, food safety and sanitation laws, cost and accounting principles, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, other anti-corruption laws, lobbying laws, motor carrier safety laws, data privacy laws and alcohol licensing and service laws, or alleging negligence and/or breaches of contractual and other obligations. Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage, established reserves and other resources, the Company does not believe that any such actions, proceedings or investigations are likely to be, individually or in the aggregate, material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, in the event of unexpected further developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, or other similar matters, if unfavorable, may be materially adverse to the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Governmental Regulation

We are subject to various governmental regulations, such as environmental, labor, employment, immigration, health and safety laws and liquor licensing and dram shop laws. In addition, our facilities and products are subject to periodic inspection by federal, state and local authorities. We have established, and periodically update, various internal controls and procedures designed to maintain compliance with these regulations. Our compliance programs are subject to changes in federal or state legislation, or changes in regulatory interpretation, implementation or enforcement. From time to time both federal and state government agencies have conducted audits of certain of our practices as part of routine investigations of providers of services under government contracts, or otherwise. Like others in our business, we receive requests for information from governmental agencies in connection with these audits. If we fail to comply with applicable laws, we may be subject to investigations, criminal sanctions or civil remedies, including fines, penalties, damages, reimbursement, injunctions, seizures, debarments from government contracts or loss of liquor licenses.

Our operations are subject to various governmental regulations, including those governing:

the service of food and alcoholic beverages;

collection of sales tax;

minimum wage, overtime, wage payment and employment discrimination;

immigration;

governmentally funded entitlement programs;

environmental protection;

human health and safety;

customs, import and export control laws;

the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act and other anti-bribery laws;

minority business enterprise and women owned business enterprise statutes;

federal motor carrier safety; and

privacy and client data security.

There are a variety of regulations at various governmental levels relating to the handling, preparation and serving of food, including in some cases requirements relating to the temperature of food, the cleanliness of the kitchen, and the hygiene of personnel, which are enforced primarily

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

at the local public health department level. While we attempt to comply with applicable laws and regulations, we cannot assure you that we are in full compliance at all times with all of the applicable laws and regulations referenced above. Furthermore, legislation and regulatory attention to food safety is very high. Additional or amended regulations in this area may significantly increase the cost of compliance.

In addition, various federal, state and provincial agencies impose nutritional guidelines and other requirements on us at certain of the healthcare, education and corrections facilities we serve. We may also be subject to regulations that limit or restrict the use of trans fats in the food we serve or other requirements relating to ingredient or nutrient labeling. There can be no assurance that federal or state legislation, or changes in regulatory implementation or interpretation of government regulations, would not limit our activities in the future or significantly increase the cost of regulatory compliance.

Because we serve alcoholic beverages at many sports, entertainment and recreational facilities, including convention centers and national and state parks, we also hold liquor licenses incidental to our contract food service business and are subject to the liquor license requirements of the jurisdictions in which we hold a liquor license. As of September 27, 2013, our subsidiaries held liquor licenses in 41 states and the District of Columbia,

Table of Contents

three Canadian provinces and certain other countries. Typically, liquor licenses must be renewed annually and may be revoked or suspended for cause at any time. Alcoholic beverage control regulations relate to numerous aspects of our operations, including minimum age of patrons and employees, hours of operation, advertising, wholesale purchasing, inventory control and handling, and storage, dispensing and service of alcoholic beverages. We have not encountered any material problems relating to alcoholic beverage licenses to date. The failure to receive or retain a liquor license in a particular location could adversely affect our ability to obtain such a license elsewhere. Some of our contracts require us to pay liquidated damages during any period in which our liquor license for the facility is suspended, and most contracts are subject to termination if we lose our liquor license for the facility. Our service of alcoholic beverages is also subject to state, provincial and local service laws, commonly called dram shop statutes. Dram shop statutes generally prohibit serving alcoholic beverages to minors or visibly intoxicated persons. If we violate dram shop laws, we may be liable to the patron or to third parties for the acts of the patron. We sponsor regular training programs designed to minimize the likelihood of such a situation. However, we cannot guarantee that intoxicated or minor patrons will not be served or that liability for their acts will not be imposed on us.

Our uniform rental business and our food and support service business are subject to various environmental protection laws and regulations, including the U.S. federal Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and similar state and local statutes and regulations governing the use, management, shipping and disposal of chemicals and hazardous materials. In particular, industrial laundries use certain detergents and cleaning chemicals to launder garments and other merchandise. The residues from such detergents and chemicals and residues from soiled garments and other merchandise laundered at our facilities may result in potential discharges to air and to water (through sanitary sewer systems and publicly owned treatment works) and may be contained in waste generated by our wastewater treatment systems. Our industrial laundries are subject to certain volume and chemical air and water pollution discharge limits, monitoring, permitting and recordkeeping requirements. We own or operate aboveground and underground storage tank systems at some locations to store petroleum products for use in our or our clients' operations. Certain of these storage tank systems also are subject to performance standards, periodic monitoring and recordkeeping requirements. We also may use and manage chemicals and hazardous material in our operations from time to time. We are mindful of the environmental concerns surrounding the use, management, shipping and disposal of these chemicals and hazardous materials, and have taken and continue to take measures to maintain compliance with environmental protection laws and regulations. Given the regulated nature of our operations, we could face penalties and fines for non-compliance. In the past, we have settled, or contributed to the settlement of, actions or claims relating to the management of underground storage tanks and the handling and disposal of chemicals or hazardous materials, either on or off-site. We may, in the future, be required to expend material amounts to rectify the consequences of any such events. Under environmental laws, we may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous materials located on or in or emanating from our owned or leased property or our clients' properties, as well as related costs of investigation and property damage. Such laws may impose liability without regard to our fault, knowledge or responsibility for the presence of such hazardous substances. We may not know whether our clients' properties or our acquired or leased properties have been operated in compliance with environmental laws and regulations or that our future uses or conditions will not result in the imposition of liability upon us under such laws or expose us to third-party actions such as tort suits.

We do not anticipate any capital expenditures for environmental remediation that would have a material effect on our financial condition.

Intellectual Property

We have the patents, trademarks, trade names and licenses that are necessary for the operation of our business. Other than the Aramark brand, we do not consider our patents, trademarks, trade names and licenses to be material to the operation of our business in any material respect.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT****Executive Officers and Directors**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers and directors as of the date of this prospectus:

Name	Age	Position	With the company since
Joseph Neubauer	72	Chairman of the Board and Director	1979
Eric J. Foss	56	Chief Executive Officer and President and Director	2012
Lynn B. McKee	58	Executive Vice President, Human Resources	1980
Christina Morrison	47	Senior Vice President, Finance	2013
Joseph Munnely	50	Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	2007
Stephen Reynolds	55	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2012
L. Frederick Sutherland	62	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	1980
Karen A. Wallace	47	Vice President and Treasurer	2004
Todd M. Abbrecht	45	Director	2007
Lawrence T. Babbio, Jr.	69	Director	1999
David A. Barr	50	Director	2013
Leonard S. Coleman, Jr.	65	Director	1999
Daniel J. Heinrich	58	Director	2013
James E. Ksansnak	74	Director	1986
Sanjeev Mehra	55	Director	2007
Stephen P. Murray	51	Director	2007
Stephen Sadove	62	Director	2013

Joseph Neubauer has been our Chairman of the Board since April 1984. He served as our Chief Executive Officer from February 1983 to December 2003 and from September 2004 to May 2012. From January 2004 to September 2004, he served as our Executive Chairman. He was our President from April 1981 to May 1997. He currently is a director of Macy's, Inc. and previously served as a director of Verizon Communications, Inc. and Wachovia Corporation.

Eric J. Foss has been our Chief Executive Officer and President since May 2012. Before joining us, Mr. Foss served as Chief Executive Officer of Pepsi Beverages Company from 2010 until December 2011. Prior to that Mr. Foss served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Pepsi Bottling Group from 2008 until 2010; President and Chief Executive Officer from 2006 until 2007; and Chief Operating Officer from 2005 until 2006. Mr. Foss serves on the board of UDR, Inc. and CIGNA Corporation.

Lynn B. McKee has been our Executive Vice President, Human Resources since August 2013 and she previously served as our Executive Vice President, Human Resources from May 2004 to August 2012. From August 2012 to August 2013, Ms. McKee served as our Executive Vice President, Human Resources and Communications. From January 2004 to May 2004, she was our Senior Vice President of Human Resources and from September 2001 to December 2003, she served as Senior Vice President of Human Resources for our Food and Support Services Group. From August 1998 to August 2001, she served as our Staff Vice President, Executive Development and Compensation. Ms. McKee serves on the board of directors of Bryn Mawr Bank Co.

Table of Contents

Christina Morrison joined us in June 2013 as our Senior Vice President, Finance. Before joining us, Ms. Morrison served as Senior Vice President, Business and Financial Planning of Merck & Co., Inc. from 2009 to 2013. Prior to that, Ms. Morrison served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Wyeth Pharmaceuticals from 2007 to 2009 and as Vice President, U.S. Chief Financial Officer from 2005 to 2007; she served as Wyeth's Vice President, New Business, Women's Health Care from 2004 to 2005. From 2003 to 2004 Ms. Morrison was Executive Director, Strategic Planning of The Rouse Company. From 1989 to 2002 Ms. Morrison served in various capacities at Deutsche Bank's Mergers and Acquisitions and Health Care Groups.

Joseph Munnely joined us in September 2007 as Senior Vice President and Deputy Controller and was elected as our Senior Vice President and appointed Controller and Chief Accounting Officer effective March 2008. Prior to joining us, he served as Vice President and Corporate Controller at Unisys Corporation, a worldwide information technology services and solutions company, since 2005. Prior to that, he served as a partner at KPMG LLP in the Audit and Risk Advisory Services Practice. Prior to his tenure at KPMG, he spent 16 years with Arthur Andersen LLP, most recently as a partner in the Audit and Business Advisory practice.

Stephen R. Reynolds was appointed our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, effective September 2012. Before joining us, Mr. Reynolds was an executive with Alcatel-Lucent for seven years, having most recently served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel from January 2006 to August 2012.

L. Frederick Sutherland became our Chief Financial Officer in May 1997. He has served as an Executive Vice President since May 1993. He served as Group Executive, Aramark Uniform and Career Apparel from June 2009 to August 2012. From May 1993 to May 1997, he also served as President of our Uniform Services division and from February 1991 to May 1993, he served as our Senior Vice President of Finance and Corporate Development. Mr. Sutherland served as our Treasurer from February 1984 to February 1991. Mr. Sutherland is a director of Consolidated Edison, Inc.

Karen A. Wallace became our Vice President and Treasurer in May 2012. From November 2010 to May 2012, she served as Staff Vice President and Assistant Treasurer. She joined us in December 2004 and was elected Assistant Treasurer in February 2005 and served in that role until November 2010. Before joining us, Ms. Wallace served as Assistant Treasurer of Armstrong World Industries.

Todd M. Abbrecht is a Managing Director of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. Prior to joining Thomas H. Lee Partners in 1992, Mr. Abbrecht was in the Mergers and Acquisitions department of Credit Suisse First Boston. Mr. Abbrecht previously served on the board of directors of Warner Chilcott plc and Dunkin' Brands Group, Inc. Mr. Abbrecht currently serves as a director of Fogo de Chão, Intermedix Corporation, inVentiv Health, Inc. and Party City.

Lawrence T. Babbio, Jr. is currently retired. He most recently served as a Senior Advisor to Warburg Pincus, a private equity firm, from June 2007 until March 2012. Previously, Mr. Babbio served as Vice Chairman and President of Verizon Communications, Inc., a telecommunications company, from 2000 until his retirement in April 2007. Mr. Babbio also served as Vice Chairman of Bell Atlantic Corporation, a telecommunications company, from 1995 until the formation of Verizon through the merger of Bell Atlantic and GTE Corporation, another telecommunications company, in 2000; as President and Chief Operating Officer of Bell Atlantic from 1994 to 1995; and Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Bell Atlantic Enterprises International, Inc. from 1991 to 1994. Mr. Babbio previously served on the board of directors of Hewlett-Packard Company and Verizon Communications, Inc.

David A. Barr has been a Partner of Warburg Pincus & Co. and a Member and Managing Director of Warburg Pincus LLC since January 2001. Prior to joining Warburg Pincus, Mr. Barr was a managing director at Butler Capital for more than 10 years and worked at Goldman Sachs. He currently serves on the board of Builders FirstSource, Inc and several private companies. Previously, he served as a director of The Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., TransDigm Group Incorporated and Polypore International, Inc.

Table of Contents

Leonard S. Coleman, Jr. is currently retired. Mr. Coleman most recently served as a Senior Advisor to Major League Baseball from 1999 to December 2005. Mr. Coleman served as President of The National League of Professional Baseball Clubs from 1994 to 1999, having served since 1992 as Executive Director, Market Development of Major League Baseball. Previously, Mr. Coleman was a municipal finance banker for Kidder, Peabody & Company. Mr. Coleman is a director of Avis Budget Group, Inc., Omnicom Group Inc., Churchill Downs Incorporated and Electronic Arts Inc. He previously served on the board of directors of H.J. Heinz Company.

Daniel J. Heinrich most recently served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at The Clorox Company from 2009 to 2011. He started with Clorox in 2001 as Vice President and Controller and served in that role until 2003. In 2003 he became Chief Financial Officer and from 2004 until 2009, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining Clorox he was Senior Vice President and Treasurer of Transamerica Corporation from 1996 to 2001; Senior Vice President, Controller and Treasurer of Granite Management Company from 1994 to 1996; Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of First Nationwide Bank from 1986 to 1994 and at Ernst & Young LLP from 1978 to 1986 as an accountant and then Senior Audit Manager. Mr. Heinrich serves on the board of directors of Energizer Holdings, Inc. and E.&J. Gallo Winery. He previously served on the board of Advanced Medical Optics, Inc.

James E. Ksansnak is currently retired. Mr. Ksansnak served as Chairman of the board of directors of Tasty Baking Company from May 2003 until May 2011. He was our vice chairman from May 1997 until March 2000. From February 1991 to May 1997, he was our executive vice president; from May 1986 to February 1991, he was our senior vice president; and from May 1986 to May 1997, he was our chief financial officer. Previously, Mr. Ksansnak also served on the board of directors of CSS Industries, Inc.

Sanjeev Mehra has been a Managing Director of Goldman, Sachs & Co.'s Principal Investment Area of its Merchant Banking Division since 1996 and is currently Co-Head of the U.S. private equity business. He serves on the board of directors of Sungard Data Systems, Inc., and Interline Brands, Inc. and Mr. Mehra previously served on the board of directors of Hawker Beechcraft, Inc., Burger King Holdings, Inc. and KAR Auction Services, Inc.

Stephen P. Murray has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC (CCMP) since March 2007. Currently, he serves on the board of directors of Generac Holdings, Inc. Previously, Mr. Murray also served on the board of directors of AMC Entertainment Inc., Warner Chilcott plc and Cabela's Incorporated.

Stephen Sadove served as Chief Executive Officer of Saks Incorporated from 2006 until November 2013 and Chairman and CEO from 2007 until November 2013. He was Chief Operating Officer of Saks from 2004 to 2006. He started with Saks in 2002, serving as Vice Chairman of the board of directors and has been Chairman of the board since 2007. Prior to joining Saks, Mr. Sadove was with Bristol-Meyers Squibb Company from 1991 until 2002, first as President, Clairol from 1991 to 1996, then President, Worldwide Beauty Care from 1996 to 1997, then President, Worldwide Beauty Care and Nutritionals from 1997 to 1998, and finally, Senior Vice President and President, Worldwide Beauty Care. He was employed by General Foods Corporation from 1975 until 1991 in various managerial roles, most recently as Executive Vice President and General Manager, Desserts Division from 1989 until 1991. Mr. Sadove currently serves on the board of directors of Colgate-Palmolive Company, Ruby Tuesday, Inc. and J.C. Penney Company, Inc. He was previously a director of Equity Office Properties Trust.

Our executive officers are elected annually by the board of directors and serve at its discretion or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

Composition of the Board of Directors

Our business and affairs are managed under the direction of our board of directors. Our board of directors consists of 11 directors, five of whom (Messrs. Babbio, Coleman, Heinrich, Ksansnak and Sadove) have been determined by our board of directors to be independent under NYSE corporate governance standards.

Table of Contents

Background and Experience of Directors

When considering whether directors and nominees have the experience, qualifications, attributes or skills, taken as a whole, to enable our board of directors to satisfy its oversight responsibilities effectively in light of our business and structure, the board of directors focused primarily on each person's background and experience as reflected in the information discussed in each of the directors' individual biographies set forth above. We believe that our directors provide an appropriate mix of experience and skills relevant to the size and nature of our business. In particular, the members of our board of directors considered the following important characteristics, among others:

Mr. Neubauer—our board considered his extensive history with and knowledge of the Company, his business experience both before and after he joined the Company and his experience serving on the boards of other public companies.

Mr. Foss—our board considered his extensive knowledge of the Company through his service as CEO and President, his business experience and his experience serving on boards of other public companies.

Mr. Abbrecht—our board considered his financial acumen and business leadership skills gained during his tenure at Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and his experience serving on the boards of a number of other public companies, including his past performance as a board member of the Company.

Mr. Babbio—our board considered his strong business skills and experience, extensive knowledge of financial and operational matters and his service on boards of other public companies, including his long history of service as a board member of the Company.

Mr. Barr—our board considered his financial acumen and business leadership skills gained during his tenure at Warburg Pincus and his experience serving on the boards of a number of other public companies.

Mr. Coleman—our board considered his leadership roles, his long history of board service to the Company, his extensive experience as a board member of other public companies and his sports industry background.

Mr. Heinrich—our board considered his extensive financial and business background, including his tenure as chief financial officer of a public company.

Mr. Ksanskak—our board considered his extensive financial and business background, his food industry background, his long history with the Company, and his experience serving on the board of a number of public companies, including his past performance as a board member of the Company.

Mr. Mehra—our board considered his financial acumen and business leadership skills gained during his tenure at Goldman, Sachs & Co. and his experience serving on the boards of a number of other public companies, including his past performance as a board member of the Company.

Mr. Murray—our board considered his financial acumen and business leadership skills gained during his tenure at CCMP, and prior to that, at J.P. Morgan Partners, and his experience serving on the boards of a number of other public companies, including his past performance as a board member of the Company.

Mr. Sadove—our board considered his strong business skills and experience, his extensive knowledge of financial and operational matters in the retail industry and his service on boards of other public companies.

Role of Board in Risk Oversight

The board of directors has extensive involvement in the oversight of risk management related to us and our business and accomplishes this oversight through the regular reporting by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee represents the board of directors by periodically reviewing our accounting, reporting and financial practices, including the integrity of our financial statements, the surveillance of administrative and financial controls and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. Through its regular meetings with management, including the accounting, finance, legal, and internal audit functions, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses all significant areas of our business and summarizes for the board of directors all areas of risk and the appropriate mitigating factors. In addition, our board of directors receives periodic detailed operating performance reviews from management.

Table of Contents

Controlled Company Exception

Certain stockholders beneficially own a majority of the voting power of all outstanding shares of our common stock. Under the NYSE corporate governance standards, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by an individual, group or another company is a controlled company and may elect not to comply with certain corporate governance standards, including (1) the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors, (2) the requirement that we have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities, (3) the requirement that we have a nominating and corporate governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities and (4) the requirement for an annual performance evaluation of the nominating and corporate governance and compensation committees. We utilize certain of these exemptions and do not have a majority of independent directors on our board of directors; and do not have a nominating and corporate governance committee or a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors. Accordingly, you will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements. In the event that we cease to be a controlled company, we will be required to comply with these provisions within the transition periods specified in the NYSE corporate governance rules.

Board Committees

Our board of directors has five standing committees: the Audit and Corporate Practices Committee (the Audit Committee), the Compensation and Human Resources Committee (the Compensation Committee), the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the Nominating Committee), the Finance Committee and the Stock Committee.

Each of our standing committees operates under a written charter approved by our board of directors. The charters of each of our standing committees are available on our website. The board and each of our standing committees, other than the Stock Committee, perform self-evaluations on an annual basis.

Our chief executive officer and president and other executive officers regularly report to the non-executive directors and the Audit, the Compensation, the Nominating and the Finance Committees to ensure effective and efficient oversight of our activities and to assist in proper risk management and the ongoing evaluation of management controls. The vice president of internal audit reports functionally and administratively to our chief financial officer and directly to the Audit Committee. We believe that the leadership structure of our board of directors provides appropriate risk oversight of our activities given the controlling interests held by certain of our stockholders.

Audit Committee

Our Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Ksansnak (Chairman), Neubauer, Abbrecht, Coleman and Heinrich. Messrs. Coleman, Ksansnak and Heinrich qualify as independent directors under the NYSE corporate governance standards and the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and each of Messrs. Ksansnak and Heinrich qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K.

The purpose of the Audit Committee is to prepare the audit committee report required by the SEC to be included in our proxy statement and to assist our board of directors in overseeing and monitoring (1) the quality and integrity of our financial statements, (2) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (3) our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, (4) the performance of our internal audit function and (5) the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm.

Table of Contents

Compensation and Human Resources Committee

Our Compensation and Human Resources Committee consists of Messrs. Murray (Chairman), Neubauer, Babbio, Coleman, Mehra and Sadove.

The purpose of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee is to assist our board of directors in discharging its responsibilities relating to (1) setting our compensation program and compensation of our executive officers and directors, (2) monitoring our incentive and equity-based compensation plans, (3) preparing the compensation committee report required to be included in our proxy statement under the rules and regulations of the SEC and (4) reviewing our contribution policy and practices for our retirement benefit plans.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of Messrs. Mehra (Chairman), Neubauer, Barr, Coleman and Sadove.

The purpose of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to assist our board of directors in discharging its responsibilities relating to (1) identifying individuals qualified to become new members of the board of directors, consistent with criteria approved by the board of directors, subject to the Stockholders Agreement; (2) reviewing the qualifications of incumbent directors to determine whether to recommend them for reelection and selecting, or recommending that the board of directors select, the director nominees for the next annual meeting of stockholders; (3) identifying board of directors members qualified to fill vacancies on any board of directors committee and recommending that the board of directors appoint the identified member or members to the applicable committee, subject to the Stockholders Agreement; (4) reviewing and recommending to the board of directors corporate governance principles applicable to us; (5) overseeing the evaluation of the board of directors and management; and (6) handling such other matters that are specifically delegated to the committee by the board of directors from time to time. For more information on the Stockholders Agreement, see the disclosure under Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.

Finance Committee

Our Finance Committee consists of Messrs. Abbrecht (Chairman), Neubauer, Babbio, Barr, Heinrich and Ksansnak.

The purpose of our Finance Committee is to assist our board of directors in discharging its responsibilities relating to the review of our long-term business direction and goals and the strategy for maintaining that direction and achieving those goals. In connection with its fulfillment of this responsibility, the Finance Committee reviews with management and recommends to the board of directors our overall financial plans, including capital expenditures, acquisitions and divestitures, securities issuances and incurrences of debt, and reviews the performance of our retirement benefit plans. It will also recommend to our board of directors specific transactions involving these matters, and it has been empowered by our board of directors to approve certain financial commitments and acquisitions and divestitures by us up to specified levels.

Stock Committee

Our Stock Committee consists of Messrs. Coleman and Sadove.

The Stock Committee has authority to administer or grant approvals under our equity and incentive compensation plans and to approve specific equity transactions or incentive awards involving our officers and directors and us. The Stock Committee also approves performance targets under our Senior Executive Annual Performance Bonus Plan and equity compensation plans.

Table of Contents

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Business Conduct Policy that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, which is available on the Investor Relations section of our website at www.aramark.com. Our Business Conduct Policy contains a code of ethics, as defined in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K. Please note that our Internet website address is provided as an inactive textual reference only. We will make any legally required disclosures regarding amendments to, or waivers of, provisions of our code of ethics on our Internet website.

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Background

This compensation discussion and analysis provides information regarding our executive compensation programs for the following executive officers in fiscal 2013:

Eric J. Foss, our Chief Executive Officer and President;

L. Frederick Sutherland, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer;

Lynn B. McKee, our Executive Vice President, Human Resources;

Stephen R. Reynolds, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary; and

Christina T. Morrison, our Senior Vice President, Finance.

Equity Compensation Background

Each of our named executive officers holds a substantial amount of equity in the Company that they were granted in connection with our 2007 Transaction or upon their commencement of employment with us, and through subsequent equity grants. This equity serves as a substantial component of our compensation program for our named executive officers and has provided significant motivation and retention value to us for two reasons:

As a private company, the investment in our common stock generally was illiquid while our named executive officers remained employed by us, subject only to the specified rights of the Company to repurchase stock following a termination of employment and the executive's right to cause such repurchase under certain circumstances following termination of employment or in specified amounts at specified times with respect to shares originally bought in connection with the 2007 Transaction. Currently, as a public company, our named executive officers are subject to restrictions on transfer for a period of up to 12 months from the date of our initial public offering (subject to reduction to the extent the Sponsors reduce their ownership of the Company). In addition, Mr. Foss is currently subject to stock ownership guidelines equal to six times his base salary (taking into account owned stock) under his Employment Letter Agreement. The other named executive officers are also subject to stock ownership guidelines, and are required to retain either two or three times their base salaries depending upon their executive level. These restrictions will help to ensure that our named executive officers' interests remained aligned with the interests of our stockholders and the long-term interests of the Company.

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Prior to June 2013, one-half of all stock options granted have had a time-based vesting schedule and vest over a four-year period, provided that the employee continues to be employed by us. The other half of the stock options have been performance-based and require, in addition to the elapse of certain time periods, that we achieve specified financial targets before those stock options will vest. See Components of Executive Compensation Equity Incentives.

Table of Contents

New Equity Compensation Program

In fiscal 2013, the compensation committee reviewed our equity program and determined to align it more closely to that of large public companies, by utilizing restricted stock, time-based stock options and restricted stock units and discontinuing the grant of Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities, or ISPOs, which required upfront employee investment in accordance with the terms of the ISPO. Stock options and restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2013 are time-based and vest 25% per year over four years, provided that the named executive officer remains employed by us. This four-year pro rata vesting schedule supports our retention objective. Beginning in early fiscal 2014, we also began granting performance stock units, which will continue our executives' focus on long-term performance. ISPOs were a specialized form of stock option for new hires and promoted employees that were divided into five equal installments, with the first installment vesting immediately upon grant and remaining exercisable for one year. The holder was required to exercise at least 25% of the first installment or he or she would forfeit the entire remaining grant. The remaining installments vested in each subsequent year on the 15th of December, beginning in December following the grant date anniversary, and were exercisable only during the 31-day period from December 15th until the immediately following January 15th. Any subsequent vested installments that were not exercised during the relevant exercise period were canceled. In connection with the compensation committee's determination to change our equity program, the Company completed an exchange offer in July 2013 whereby holders of outstanding ISPO awards had the ability to exchange such awards for new grants of restricted stock and non-qualified stock options, as further described below under *Components of Executive Compensation* *Equity Incentives*.

For fiscal 2013, Mr. Foss received an equity grant consisting of time-based stock options and restricted stock units in accordance with the terms of his Employment Letter Agreement. Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee received equity grants consisting of time-based stock options and restricted stock units in July 2013 that were intended to make up for grants that they did not receive in 2012. In addition, in November 2013, the compensation committee approved grants of restricted stock units to each of the named executive officers, which became effective at the time of our initial public offering. See *Components of Executive Compensation* *Equity Incentives* *Grants in Connection with our Initial Public Offering*.

As contemplated at the time of our initial public offering, the Company made further grants of equity in late December 2013 to our named executive officers, in respect of fiscal 2013 equity compensation. Such grants consisted of performance stock units, vesting in one-third annual increments, subject to the achievement of certain adjusted earnings per share targets over a period of the 2014 fiscal year, time-based stock options, vesting in equal annual installments over a four-year period and time-based restricted stock units, vesting in equal annual installments over a four-year period.

Our Executive Compensation Policy

Our compensation programs are designed to support our overall commitment to continued growth and the provision of quality services to our clients and customers. Our programs are focused on three important goals:

Attraction and Retention to enable us to recruit and retain the best performers;

Company and Individual Performance to provide compensation levels consistent with the executive's level of contribution and degree of accountability; and

Alignment and Stockholder Value Creation to use performance measures consistent with our goals and to include a significant portion of incentive compensation to motivate business results and strengthen the connection between the long-term interests of our executives and the interests of stockholders by encouraging each executive to maintain a significant ownership interest in us.

Attraction and Retention

Our compensation programs are an integral part of attracting and retaining our named executive officers. When we are attracting new executives, we aim to be competitive with the overall market, while maintaining

Table of Contents

internal consistency in the compensation among executives at similar levels in the Company and building compensation packages that will motivate executives to leave their then current positions and join us. We primarily achieve retention through equity grants with multi-year vesting schedules. Our stock options (other than Replacement Stock Options (as defined below)) and restricted stock units generally vest over four years, which encourages executives who receive these grants to remain with us.

Company and Individual Performance

Our business requires us to deliver exceptional, value-driven experiences to our clients and customers. Our compensation programs, particularly our Senior Executive Annual Performance Bonus Plan (the Bonus Plan) and the Amended and Restated Management Incentive Bonus Plan (the MIB), are designed to reward all executives, including our named executive officers, who perform to or exceed our standards by recognizing each executive's scope of responsibilities, and management capabilities, and providing incentives to him or her to optimize Company-wide financial results including, among other measures, earnings before interest and taxes, or EBIT.

Alignment and Stockholder Value Creation

We attempt to align our named executive officers' and other executives' goals with those of our clients, customers and stockholders. For our named executive officers who hold a significant amount of stock, either due to their initial purchases in connection with the 2007 Transaction or their commencement of employment, or who have been granted significant equity, our named executive officers' interests are strongly aligned with those of our stockholders. In addition, because historically 50% of stock options granted were subject to performance-based vesting as described below in Components of Executive Compensation Equity Incentives, portions of the total number of stock options held by our named executive officers vest based on Company performance. Further, in December 2013, we granted performance stock units subject to vesting based, in part, on the achievement of certain adjusted earnings per share targets. Therefore, if any executive helps us to achieve corporate EBIT growth, share price increases and/or certain earnings per share targets, he or she has a direct impact on the vesting of a portion of his or her equity. This emphasis on long-term compensation underscores the importance of maintaining our executives' focus on creating long-term success and sustained stockholder value.

Role of Compensation Consultants

The compensation committee originally engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. as its compensation consultant in October 2007 and has reengaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. each fiscal year since that time. Ms. McKee, our Executive Vice President, Human Resources, participated in the selection of and discussions with representatives from Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. None of our other executive officers has participated in the selection of any particular compensation consultant. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. has provided us with market intelligence and guidance on compensation trends, along with general views on specific compensation programs being designed by our Human Resources management. While only the compensation committee may formally engage compensation consultants with respect to the compensation of executive officers and directors, our management may seek the advice of these or other compensation consultants from time to time with the approval of our compensation committee chairman. In addition, only the compensation committee has the right to terminate Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., its compensation consultant.

The compensation committee re-engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. in November 2012 to assist in the evaluation of compensation for our named executive officers (other than Ms. Morrison) and our board of directors, as well as other compensation-related matters for fiscal 2013. In fiscal 2013, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. assisted the compensation committee with the redesign of the Company's equity compensation program and recommendations for equity awards to our named executive officers.

Table of Contents

In the past, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. assisted the compensation committee with the configuration of our peer group of companies, which the compensation committee uses to benchmark or market check compensation for certain of our named executive officers. Since 2008, our peer group has consisted of Cintas, Compass Group PLC, Darden Restaurants, FedEx, Hertz, Manpower, Marriott, McDonald's, RR Donnelley, Ryder System, Starbucks, SYSCO, Tyco International, UPS, Waste Management and Yum Brands. In terms of size, our revenues approximate the median of the peer companies, our enterprise value approximates the 25th percentile and the number of our employees is above the 75th percentile.

In November 2012, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. performed a competitive review of 2012 compensation paid to Mr. Foss and the named executive officers who report directly to him to provide the compensation committee with information relating to the competitiveness of existing compensation and to assist the compensation committee with compensation decisions for fiscal 2013. In November 2012, for Mr. Foss, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. benchmarked the individual components of his compensation and his total compensation against chief executive officers in our peer group as is required by his Employment Letter Agreement. For Mr. Sutherland, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. performed a market check of individual components of his compensation, as well as his total compensation against other chief financial officers in our peer group. For Ms. McKee and Mr. Reynolds, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. utilized a subset of the Towers Watson 2012 CDB General Industry Executive Compensation Survey - U.S. that relates to companies with over \$10 billion in global corporate revenue (206 companies from the overall survey of 435 companies) (the Survey Data) and together with our peer group data, Market Practice) to perform a market check of the individual components of their compensation, as well as their total compensation. We do not consider any specific company included in the survey data to be a material factor in the review of the compensation of our named executive officers. Total cash compensation paid to our named executive officers in fiscal 2013 was generally between the median and the 75th percentile of Market Practice, which is consistent with our targeted competitive positioning. Base salaries paid to our named executive officers in fiscal 2013 generally approximated between the median and the 75th percentile of Market Practice and target bonus as a percentage of base salary generally approximated the median. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc.'s report, which was based upon 2012 compensation data for the abovementioned named executive officers, was utilized as one data point by the compensation committee to determine base salary and bonus targets for fiscal 2013.

In November 2013, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. confirmed to the compensation committee that the Company's compensation programs are competitive with Market Practice and are well balanced to provide annual and longer-term capital accumulation opportunities by way of salary, annual incentives and equity interests. As described below, in fiscal 2013, after evaluating current named executive officer compensation levels and Market Practice, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. indicated that no significant changes to base salary levels and/or target bonus opportunities were required in order to support the competitiveness of the Company's compensation programs, and proposed that the compensation committee adopt market competitive long-term equity incentive opportunities to bring total direct compensation opportunities in line with the Company's proposed compensation strategy.

Role of Compensation Committee and Executive Officers

The compensation committee is responsible for the oversight of our executive compensation program. The compensation committee or its subcommittee (in the case of equity grants and bonus plan payments to executive officers, subject to the approval of the stock committee) makes or approves all decisions concerning compensation awarded to our named executive officers.

Compensation decisions for Mr. Foss and the named executive officers who report directly to him (Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee) are made differently than those for our other executive officers. Compensation recommendations for Ms. Morrison are made by Mr. Sutherland, who is her supervisor, with input from Ms. McKee.

Table of Contents**Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee**

Mr. Foss joined us as our Chief Executive Officer and President in May 2012. Ms. McKee negotiated his compensation package on behalf of the Company, with input from the compensation committee and our board and assistance from Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. and outside legal counsel. Mr. Foss' compensation package was based upon benchmarking data with regard to compensation paid to other chief executive officers in our peer group. Under Mr. Foss' Employment Letter Agreement, for fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013, he was entitled to receive minimum equity grants such that his total annual compensation is consistent with the 75th percentile of our market peer group. The agreement by Mr. Foss to retain shares of our common stock equal to six times his base salary also reflects the Company's emphasis on management stockholders maintaining a substantial investment in the Company. The compensation committee felt that Mr. Foss' perquisites should be consistent with what other senior executives at the Company receive, also consistent with the goal of maintaining the Company's existing compensation structure.

Mr. Reynolds joined us in September 2012. In making Mr. Reynolds' compensation recommendation, Ms. McKee and Mr. Foss considered the results of a market check of the Survey Data between the 50th and 75th percentile for similarly situated general counsels, the compensation level that was likely to be attractive to Mr. Reynolds considering his then-current compensation at his previous employer, the compensation paid to our former general counsel, Mr. Reynolds' experience compared to the requirements of the position and internal consistency with respect to Mr. Reynolds' compensation and the compensation of executives at similar levels in the Company. Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee recommended and the compensation committee approved with respect to Mr. Reynolds a starting base salary of \$500,000 and a bonus target of \$400,000, which is consistent with the targets of Company executives at his level (80% of base salary). His compensation was not increased for fiscal 2013, since he had only been at the Company for one month of fiscal 2012. In addition, Mr. Reynolds received a new hire grant of 60,000 ISPOs and 250,000 stock options that were one-half time-based and one-half performance-based. Mr. Reynolds' grant recommendation was made by Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee to be consistent with a value range for Company executives at his level and was approved by the compensation committee in December 2012.

For fiscal 2013, our Human Resources department initially prepared a tally sheet for use by Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. in its analysis of the compensation of Mr. Foss and the named executive officers who are Mr. Foss' direct reports (Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee). The tally sheet contained the following information for Mr. Foss and each of our named executive officers who are direct reports of Mr. Foss: current base salary, bonus target and prior year's bonus award, current year option grant, if any, and current equity holdings. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. used the information contained in the tally sheet, along with market data (relating to our peer group for Messrs. Foss and Sutherland and Survey Data for Ms. McKee and Mr. Reynolds) to prepare a compensation competitive review report to the compensation committee, which it provided directly to the chairman of the compensation committee. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. then provided the report to Ms. McKee and discussed the report with the chairman of the compensation committee and Ms. McKee. With regard to bonus awards, our Human Resources department also prepared a report containing hypothetical bonus amounts that Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee could have received under the MIB, which is the bonus plan available to other executives at the Company, based on business results, including revenue, EBIT and a group metric, if they had been participants in the MIB.

For 2013 base salary recommendations, which were determined in November 2012, Ms. McKee engaged in discussions with Mr. Foss regarding Mr. Sutherland's proposed calendar 2013 base salary. Following this consultation, Mr. Foss presented a recommendation for Ms. McKee's base salary and Ms. McKee presented the recommendations for Messrs. Foss' and Sutherland's base salaries, to the compensation committee for its consideration. Mr. Reynolds joined the Company in September 2012 and did not receive a salary increase for calendar 2013.

For fiscal 2013 bonuses, Ms. McKee engaged in discussions with Mr. Foss regarding a bonus recommendation for Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds, which she then presented to the compensation committee.

Table of Contents

Mr. Foss presented a bonus recommendation for Ms. McKee directly to the compensation committee. The compensation committee met in executive session to discuss Mr. Foss' fiscal 2013 bonus.

Under his Employment Letter Agreement, Mr. Foss was entitled to receive an equity grant in 2013 such that his total compensation would be equal to the 75th percentile of our market peer group of companies. In June 2013, the compensation committee and the stock committee determined to give Mr. Foss an equity grant consisting of time-based stock options and restricted stock units with a value of \$11,149,732, which exceeded the 75th percentile of our market peer group of companies. This grant was awarded to recognize Mr. Foss' contributions and to further encourage retention. In July 2013, Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee received equity grants consisting of time-based stock options and restricted stock units. The equity grants were generally based upon the 50th percentile of Market Practice for equity grants made to similarly situated executives and were adjusted to maintain consistency among similarly situated executives. In making the July 2013 grants, after input from Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. and discussions between the chairman of the compensation committee, Frederick W. Cook & Co., Inc. and Ms. McKee, the compensation committee was presented with a recommended grant level and delegated to a subcommittee (in the case of executive officers, subject to the approval of the stock committee) the authority to make individual grants to employees, including the named executive officers. The final awards were determined by the compensation subcommittee after consultation with Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee regarding, among other things, individual grant amounts, aggregate amount of equity to be awarded to management, and the effects of stockholder dilution and accounting expense, balanced against reward and retention factors.

In addition, in November 2013, the compensation committee and the stock committee approved grants of restricted stock units to each of our named executive officers, which became effective at the time of our initial public offering. With respect to these grants, Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee met with members of the compensation committee, including the chairman of the compensation committee, over a period of weeks prior to the grants to discuss their recommendations for the dollar amount of the pool of equity to be distributed, the particular executives who would receive restricted stock unit grants and the amount of such individual awards, which were based upon a multiple of the average of base salary and bonus target for each particular executive level. Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee made recommendations regarding the restricted stock unit award amounts for each of the named executive officers other than Mr. Foss. Mr. Foss made the recommendation for Ms. McKee. Recommended amounts were consistent for executives at similar levels. The compensation committee approved the recommendations of management for the named executive officers other than Mr. Foss and determined a grant amount for Mr. Foss based upon earlier compensation committee discussions. These grants are more fully described below in "Components of Executive Compensation - Equity Incentives."

When the compensation committee met in June 2013 to discuss the equity grants to the named executive officers, Ms. McKee alerted the compensation committee that management would return to the compensation committee in early fiscal 2014 to propose equity grants in respect of fiscal 2013 compensation in order to establish an annual grant cycle. In December 2013, the compensation committee was presented with and approved, subject to stock committee approval, the recommended grants for each of the named executive officers other than Mr. Foss at 50% of the value of the July 2013 equity grants. The grants consisted of 40% performance stock units, 40% time-based stock options and 20% time-based restricted stock units, a ratio that the compensation committee had discussed at its June 2013 meeting after input from Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. and Ms. McKee. The compensation committee then met in executive session with Ms. McKee to determine the equity grant for Mr. Foss. The compensation committee determined the equity grant for Mr. Foss after a recommendation from the chairman of the compensation committee. The final recommended grants were submitted to the stock committee, which approved the grants to our named executive officers.

Ms. Morrison

Ms. Morrison joined us in June 2013. In making Ms. Morrison's cash compensation recommendation, Ms. McKee and Mr. Sutherland considered the level of cash compensation that was likely to be attractive to Ms. Morrison considering her then-current compensation at her previous employer, internal consistency with

Table of Contents

respect to the compensation of executives at similar levels in the Company, and the results of a market check against the Survey Data at the 50th and 75th percentile for similarly situated positions. Ms. Morrison's starting base salary is \$500,000 and her bonus target is equal to \$250,000, or 50% of her base salary, which is generally consistent with the bonus targets for Company executives at her level. Ms. Morrison's bonus for fiscal 2013 was prorated for her partial year of service, in addition to a guaranteed amount of \$129,000 that was designed to compensate her for the bonus that she forfeited by leaving her previous employer. Ms. Morrison also received an equity grant of 186,000 time-based stock options and 38,000 restricted stock units. Of that total equity grant, 94,000 stock options and 8,000 restricted stock units were granted to her in the form of a typical new hire grant for an executive at her level. The remaining 92,000 stock options and 30,000 restricted stock units were designed to compensate Ms. Morrison for the unvested equity that she forfeited by leaving her prior employer. In November 2013, the compensation committee and the stock committee also approved a grant to Ms. Morrison of \$500,000 worth of restricted stock units, which became effective at the time of our initial public offering. Her grant was recommended to the compensation committee by Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee and was consistent with grants made to other executives at her level.

The Compensation Committee's Processes

The compensation committee generally makes its cash compensation decisions at its November meetings. New hires and promotions and other compensation adjustments are considered at its meetings throughout the year. Annual base salary decisions for the following calendar year and bonus decisions for the immediately preceding fiscal year are made in November. The bonus pool under the Bonus Plan for the current fiscal year is set in November as well. The compensation committee makes its decisions after review and discussion of recommendations made by Ms. McKee, with input from Mr. Foss, and, with respect to Mr. Foss and the named executive officers who report directly to him, materials prepared by Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., and in the case of bonus recommendations, by our Human Resources department. In addition, with regard to participants in the Bonus Plan, Mr. Foss provides the compensation committee with qualitative assessments of the performance of the other named executive officers who are his direct reports and his review of their performance, before it makes its compensation decisions. The compensation committee also considers skill set and experience, data based upon Market Practice, incumbent responsibilities relative to the applicable position, and internal consistency with respect to compensation among similarly situated executives when making its compensation decisions. The compensation committee is entitled to exercise its discretion with regard to any element of compensation and exercised negative discretion with regard to bonuses under the Bonus Plan.

Historically, stock options were granted in 2007 to certain of our named executive officers who were employed at that time in connection with their individual investments in the Company. Since that time, stock options have generally been granted in connection with new employment, management realignments and changes in responsibility and from time to time at the discretion of the compensation committee. For fiscal 2013, Mr. Foss received an equity grant consisting of time-based stock options and restricted stock units in accordance with the terms of his Employment Letter Agreement. Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee received equity grants consisting of time-based stock options and restricted stock units in July 2013 that were intended to make up for grants that they did not receive in 2012. The value of the July 2013 grant was generally based upon the 50th percentile of Market Practice, adjusted to maintain consistency among levels of executives. Ms. Morrison received an equity grant consisting of time based stock options and restricted stock units in July 2013 in connection with the commencement of her employment. In November 2013, the compensation committee and the stock committee approved an additional grant of restricted stock units to each of the named executive officers that became effective at the time of our initial public offering. These grants are more fully described below in Components of Executive Compensation Equity Incentives. The named executive officers received additional grants in December 2013 in respect of fiscal 2013 equity compensation. Regular annual grants are expected to begin in November 2014.

Table of Contents

Components of Executive Compensation

The principal components of our executive compensation program are base salary, bonus and equity incentives. We also provide employee and post-employment benefits and perquisites.

Base Salary

We use base salary to reflect the value of a particular position to us and the marketplace and the value the individual contributes to us. Salary levels for our executives are reviewed at least annually.

Messrs. Foss, Sutherland, Reynolds and Ms. McKee

Mr. Foss' initial annual base salary of \$1,350,000 was negotiated in connection with his total compensation package in 2012. The Company agreed that Mr. Foss' total compensation for fiscal 2013 would be at the 75th percentile of chief executive officers in the Company's peer group. Mr. Foss' base salary increased by 3% to \$1,390,500 for calendar 2013, after consultation with Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. and consistent generally with salary increases for senior management, followed by a review of market data. Mr. Foss' calendar 2014 base salary of \$1,390,500 was set by the compensation committee at its November 2013 meeting and represents no increase from his 2013 base salary.

For 2013, the specific salary recommendations for each of our named executive officers who are Mr. Foss' direct reports were based upon a review of Market Practice between the median and 75th percentile, their previous salary increases, internal consistency with respect to the compensation of Company executives at similar levels, budgetary considerations and consideration of the percentage increases for other members of management. The salary recommendations were then made to the compensation committee for its review and approval. Salaries for Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee were reviewed by Mr. Foss. Ms. McKee participated in reviews for Mr. Sutherland. Ms. McKee reviewed Mr. Foss' base salary with the compensation committee and the compensation committee then met in executive session to make its determination with regard to Mr. Foss' calendar 2013 base salary. Salary adjustments generally are effective at the beginning of the following calendar year. Salary increases or decreases also can be recommended and approved in connection with a promotion or a significant change in responsibilities. For calendar 2012, Mr. Sutherland's base salary was \$800,000 and Ms. McKee's base salary was \$625,000. Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee each received a salary increase for calendar 2013 of 3%, which was generally consistent with the overall salary increase budget for the Company. The compensation committee believes that the 2013 salaries are consistent with Market Practice for similarly situated executives. Mr. Reynolds joined us in September 2012 at a base salary of \$500,000 and his base salary remained the same for 2013. For calendar 2013, base salaries were as follows: for Mr. Sutherland, \$824,000, for Mr. Reynolds \$500,000, and for Ms. McKee, \$643,750. Base salaries for calendar 2014 are as follows: for Mr. Sutherland, \$840,480, for Mr. Reynolds, \$510,000, and for Ms. McKee, \$656,625. These base salaries represent a 2% increase over their 2013 base salaries, which is consistent with overall increases for all salaried employees in the Company.

Ms. Morrison

Ms. Morrison joined us in June 2013. Ms. McKee and Mr. Sutherland considered the level of cash compensation that was likely to be attractive to Ms. Morrison considering her then-current compensation at her previous employer, internal consistency with respect to the compensation of executives at similar levels in the Company and the results of a market check against the Survey Data at the 50th and 75th percentile for similarly situated positions to determine the recommended base salary for Ms. Morrison of \$500,000. Ms. Morrison's base salary was then approved by the compensation committee at its June 2013 meeting. For calendar 2014, Ms. Morrison's base salary is \$507,500, which represents a 1.5% increase over her 2013 base salary. This increase is consistent with those of other salaried employees at the Company, prorated due to Ms. Morrison's brief tenure at the Company.

Table of Contents**Bonus****Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee**

In fiscal 2013, Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee participated in the Bonus Plan. Under the Bonus Plan, the compensation committee approved in November 2012 the establishment of a bonus pool that was funded based on 1.44% of adjusted EBIT. This pool method was chosen to satisfy the requirements of the performance-based pay exception to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (when we had historically been subject to Section 162(m) as a public company prior to 2007), which limits tax deductions for compensation paid to a public company's named executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) to \$1,000,000. Although we are not currently subject to the Section 162(m) compensation deduction limit, following any applicable phase-in period after our initial public offering, we will become subject to the Section 162(m) compensation deduction limit. Therefore, we intend to operate our Bonus Plan to comply with Section 162(m). For purposes of the Bonus Plan and the formula used to determine the bonus pool approved by the compensation committee, adjusted EBIT is income from both continuing and discontinued operations before income taxes, if any, and before interest expense and other financing costs, in each case as shown on our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. In addition, adjusted EBIT for purposes of the pool excluded incremental customer relationship amortization and incremental depreciation that resulted from the 2007 Transaction and share-based compensation expense. For fiscal 2013 bonuses, the compensation committee adjusted the calculation of actual adjusted EBIT for purposes of the Bonus Plan to exclude share-based compensation expense and incremental customer relationship amortization and incremental depreciation that resulted from the 2007 Transaction. These adjustments were made to normalize the adjusted EBIT number so that it does not reflect certain non-operational items. For fiscal 2013, our adjusted EBIT under the Bonus Plan was \$689,334,000. The following percentages of adjusted EBIT for Mr. Foss and the named executive officers who are his direct reports represent the maximum amount that could have been awarded to him or her for fiscal 2013: for Mr. Foss, 0.5904% (up to the plan maximum of \$4,500,000), for Mr. Sutherland, 0.3280%, and for Mr. Reynolds and Ms. McKee, 0.2624%. The potential bonus amounts under the Bonus Plan for fiscal 2013 based upon the percentages of adjusted EBIT were as follows: For Mr. Foss, \$4,070,000, for Mr. Sutherland, \$2,261,000, and for Ms. McKee and Mr. Reynolds, \$1,809,000. For fiscal 2013, the compensation committee exercised negative discretion under the Bonus Plan with regard to the actual bonus amounts awarded to Mr. Foss and the other named executive officers who report directly to him and those actual bonus amounts are as follows: for Mr. Foss, \$2,632,200, for Mr. Sutherland, \$783,000, for Ms. McKee, \$620,000 and for Mr. Reynolds, \$481,000. For fiscal 2014, the compensation committee approved the following percentages of adjusted EBIT for each of the participants in the Bonus Plan, which represent the maximum amount that can be awarded to him or her in respect of his or her fiscal 2014 bonus under the Bonus Plan: for Mr. Foss, 0.76% (up to a plan maximum of \$6,000,000), for Mr. Sutherland, 0.32%, and for Ms. McKee and Mr. Reynolds, 0.25%.

Bonuses are designed to encourage and reward performance that is consistent with our financial objectives and individual performance goals and targets. In determining the actual bonuses paid to our named executive officers who are Mr. Foss's direct reports for fiscal 2013, the compensation committee considered the maximum bonus amount based on the above adjusted EBIT formula and then considered reference points, including amounts that the named executive officers would have received under the MIB had they participated in the MIB and the named executive officers' historical bonus awards. As described in more detail below, bonus calculations under the MIB are based on achievement against an adjusted EBIT target, a sales target, and additional functional objectives depending on the participant's responsibilities. Had Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee participated in the MIB, the functional objectives would have consisted of leadership in driving new business growth for Mr. Foss, company-wide managed cash flow for Mr. Sutherland, evaluation, planning and implementation of contract life cycle management for Mr. Reynolds, and leadership of the human resources department's initiative to embed the Company's new mission, value and focus into the organization for Ms. McKee. Based upon fiscal 2013 performance, Mr. Foss would have been deemed to have achieved a 150% payout on the functional objective metric (resulting from achievement of net new business of approximately \$525 million in fiscal 2013), Mr. Sutherland would have been deemed to have achieved a payout against the managed cash flow of

112.6% as described in more detail below, and Mr. Reynolds and Ms. McKee would have been deemed to have

Table of Contents

achieved a 120% payout on their respective functional objectives, based on a qualitative assessment of the performance of each of their respective departments against the stated objective, in each case, had the executive been a participant in the MIB in fiscal 2013. Ms. McKee presented the reference points for the named executive officers who report to Mr. Foss (other than herself) to Mr. Foss for his review. After consultation with Mr. Foss, who considered the individual contributions of the named executive officers who report to him, final bonus recommendations for those executives were made to the compensation committee in November 2013. The compensation committee considered the reference points and recommendations and exercised negative discretion to determine the bonus amounts under the Bonus Plan for our named executive officers who are Mr. Foss' direct reports. The compensation committee also considered a target amount which represents the compensation committee's view of a market competitive award based upon a competitive review by Frederic W. Cook and Co., Inc. at approximately the 75th percentile of Market Practice. For fiscal 2013, as determined in November 2012, bonus targets were equal to approximately 80% of salary or \$659,200 for Mr. Sutherland, \$400,000 for Mr. Reynolds and \$515,000 for Ms. McKee. Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee's bonus awards for fiscal 2013 that were determined by the compensation committee in November 2013 were equal to the amounts each would have received if he or she were a participant in the MIB. For fiscal 2014, bonus targets are as follows: for Mr. Sutherland, \$672,384, for Mr. Reynolds, \$408,000, and Ms. McKee, \$525,300.

In determining the actual bonus paid to Mr. Foss, the compensation committee considered the maximum bonus amount based on the above Bonus Plan formula and considered as reference points Mr. Foss' historical bonus awards, and the amount Mr. Foss would have received had he participated in the MIB, keeping in mind the provision in Mr. Foss' agreement that his total annual compensation will be targeted to the 75th percentile of the Company's market peer group for fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013. The compensation committee also considered a target amount as a percentage of base salary, which represented the compensation committee's view of a market competitive award (for fiscal 2013, Mr. Foss' target bonus was \$2,085,750, which is equal to 150% of his base salary for 2013). The chairman of the compensation committee then presented his bonus recommendation for Mr. Foss to the compensation committee. The compensation committee then considered the reference points and recommendation, which was based upon the award Mr. Foss would have received had he participated in the MIB, and exercised negative discretion to determine Mr. Foss' bonus amount of \$2,632,200 under the Bonus Plan. The compensation committee determined not to increase Mr. Foss' bonus target for fiscal 2014.

IPO Bonuses

In addition to their annual bonuses under the Bonus Plan, the compensation committee determined to award Mr. Foss and the other named executive officers who report directly to him special bonuses designed to recognize the critical role Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee played in positioning the Company for and executing a successful initial public offering as follows: for Mr. Foss, \$2,367,800, for Mr. Sutherland, \$704,700, for Ms. McKee, \$558,000, and for Mr. Reynolds, \$432,900. These bonus amounts, which were based upon approximately 90% of the bonuses awarded under the Bonus Plan, were determined by the compensation committee in executive session based upon a recommendation by the chairman of the compensation committee. Prior to the meeting at which the bonuses were awarded, the chairman of the compensation committee had discussed with Ms. McKee the IPO bonus that he had been planning to recommend for Mr. Foss, which equated to approximately 90% of Mr. Foss' annual bonus, and based upon that amount, determined an IPO bonus recommendation for the other named executive officers at the same percentage level.

Ms. Morrison

Ms. Morrison participates in the MIB, which provides annual cash bonuses to eligible executives for the achievement of explicit performance objectives established for each fiscal year. Each November (or at another time during the year in the case of a promotion), the compensation committee sets a bonus target in dollars for each executive who participates in the MIB which was amended and restated in November 2012. For fiscal 2013, the MIB was composed of two parts: a financial portion representing 80% of the overall potential MIB award, with functional or business group objectives representing the remaining 20%. In the MIB in fiscal 2013, the

Table of Contents

financial portion focused on top and bottom line performance, with a sales target (\$13.785 billion) representing 39% of the total target and an adjusted EBIT target (\$784,700,000) representing 41% of the total target. The sales target for purposes of the MIB is adjusted for the impact of currency translation and acquisitions and divestitures. The adjusted EBIT target for purposes of the MIB excludes the impact of currency translation, acquisitions and divestitures, the incremental customer relationship amortization and incremental depreciation from the 2007 Transaction and share-based compensation expense and includes an amount intended to normalize the plan targets for corporate functional participants. The functional objective that comprised 20% of the overall MIB award for Ms. Morrison in fiscal 2013 was company-wide managed cash flow (\$686,409,000), which consists of EBITDA excluding share-based compensation expense, less capital expenditures, minus the change in accounts receivable, minus the change in inventories, plus the change in deferred income. Ms. Morrison joined the Company in June 2013 and as a result, her MIB award was prorated to reflect the portion of fiscal 2013 that she was employed by the Company. She was also guaranteed an additional bonus amount of \$129,000, which was designed to compensate her for the bonus amount that she forfeited by leaving her prior employer. For fiscal 2013, actual sales for purposes of the MIB equaled \$13.978 billion, actual adjusted EBIT for purposes of the MIB was \$805,200,000 and actual managed cash flow for purposes of the MIB equaled \$703,727,000. Actual adjusted sales for purposes of the MIB excludes the estimated impact of the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy and the impact of acquisitions and divestitures. Actual adjusted EBIT for purposes of the MIB excludes share-based compensation expense, incremental customer relationship amortization and incremental depreciation that resulted from the 2007 Transaction, the estimated impact of the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy, the impact of acquisitions and divestitures, severance and related costs as a result of a series of actions the Company initiated to drive efficiency through a consolidation and centralization of its operations, goodwill impairment charges, other asset write-offs primarily related to certain client contractual investments, costs related to transformation initiatives and certain other gains and losses recorded by the Company. Actual adjusted managed cash flow excludes the estimated impact of the NHL lockout and Hurricane Sandy, severance and related costs as a result of a series of actions the Company initiated to drive efficiency through a consolidation and centralization of its operations, goodwill impairment charges, costs related to transformation initiatives and certain other gains and losses recorded by the Company and includes an adjustment for capital expenditures related to new business.

The following table describes the threshold, targets and maximum for each of the components of the MIB award to Ms. Morrison for fiscal 2013:

Measure	Business Performance (Percentage of Target Performance)			Payout (Percentage of Target Payout)		
	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum
EBIT (41%)	87.5	100	110	25	100	200
Sales (39%)	90	100	110	25	100	200
Managed Cash Flow (20%)	87.5	100	110	25	100	150

As the table illustrates, the Company must attain a threshold, or minimum, performance on each financial measure for the participant to receive any payout for the measure. If the threshold performance is achieved, the participant will receive 25% of the payout for that measure, which increases to 100% when 100% of the measure is attained. If greater than 100% of the target for a particular measure is achieved, the participant will receive more than 100% payout on that measure up to the maximum amount set forth in the table. Therefore, if the maximum performance of all measures was achieved, Ms. Morrison could receive up to 190% of her target bonus amount, prorated for the portion of the fiscal year that she was employed by the Company.

Ms. Morrison's target bonus amount of 50% of her base salary, or \$250,000, was based upon bonus targets for similarly situated executives at the Company, market checked against the Survey Data and approved by the compensation committee.

The actual award of bonuses under the MIB was based on the mechanical calculation of the financial target (for the 80% financial portion) and the achievement of a certain functional group objective (for Ms. Morrison, company-wide managed cash flow). Ms. Morrison's fiscal 2013 bonus was \$99,000, as prorated for the portion

Table of Contents

of the year that she was employed by us, and was approved by the compensation committee in November 2013. Her fiscal 2014 bonus target as determined by the compensation committee is \$253,750.

Equity Incentives

Historical Grants

Historically, stock options were granted in 2007 to certain of our named executive officers who were employed at that time in connection with their individual investments in the Company. Since that time, stock options have generally been granted in connection with new employment, management realignments and changes in responsibility and from time to time at the discretion of the compensation committee. In fiscal 2013, after consultation with Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. and management, each of the named executive officers received a grant of time-based stock options and restricted stock units.

As was negotiated with the sponsors in connection with the 2007 Transaction, half of all of the stock options granted through March 2013 had a time-based vesting schedule and vest over a four-year period, while half of all stock options granted through March 2013 were intended to have a performance-based vesting schedule and require that we achieve specified financial targets in addition to the four-year vesting period before those options will vest, subject to the compensation committee's discretion to accelerate vesting.

The Company completed a spinoff of Seamless Holdings Corporation on October 26, 2012. The exercise price of all stock options granted prior to that spinoff was adjusted to reflect the reduction of \$1.06 per share, which was the portion of the appraisal price of a share of Company common stock allocated to each share of Seamless Holdings Corporation common stock at the time of the spinoff.

New Equity Program

After review of our equity compensation program, which consisted of ISPOs as a vehicle for investment in the Company and stock options, 50% of which were subject to time-based vesting and 50% of which vested based upon the Company's achievement of annual or cumulative EBIT targets, the compensation committee determined to change our equity program to make it more like the equity programs of large public companies. The new equity program generally consists of time-based stock options and restricted stock units and performance stock units and may in the future include additional stock-based awards, including other performance-based awards.

Time-based awards under the new equity program are generally subject to a vesting schedule with 25% of the award vesting on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the participant's continued employment with the Company or one of its subsidiaries through each such anniversary. Performance based stock options and performance stock units under the new equity program generally vest over a 3 or 4 year period, subject to achievement of certain specified performance targets. Upon termination of employment, unvested stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units or performance stock units are immediately forfeited (other than in the case of death, disability or retirement) and vested stock options are forfeited immediately, in the case of termination for cause or 90 days after termination, in the case of any other termination of employment other than death, disability or retirement, when vested stock options are forfeited one year after termination of employment. If the participant's service with the Company or any of its subsidiaries terminates due to death, disability or retirement (as disability and retirement are defined in the 2007 Stock Plan or the 2013 Stock Plan, as applicable, as defined below), the installment of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units or performance stock units that are scheduled to vest on the next vesting date (subject to achievement of the performance target(s), if applicable) following such termination will immediately vest, and all remaining unvested stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units will be forfeited, except that with respect to performance stock units, if the date of termination due to retirement, death or disability occurs prior to the date achievement of the applicable performance goals is certified by the compensation committee or the stock

Table of Contents

committee, then the first tranche of performance stock units will become vested (subject to achievement of the applicable performance target(s)). Mr. Sutherland is the only named executive officer who has attained the retirement age under the Fifth Amended and Restated Aramark 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (the 2007 Stock Plan) and the Aramark 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2013 Stock Plan). In the event of a change of control (as defined in the 2007 Stock Plan) prior to a termination of the participant's service, any remaining unvested time-based stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units granted under the 2007 Stock Plan will vest and a percentage of the unvested performance-based options granted under the 2007 Plan will be eligible to vest based on achievement of the applicable performance targets. With respect to equity awards granted under the 2013 Plan, in the event of a change of control (as defined under the 2013 Stock Plan) and a termination of the participant's employment without cause (or, if applicable, a resignation for good reason), time-based equity awards become immediately vested and performance-based stock units become vested either at target level or based on actual performance. For more information regarding the treatment of equity awards upon a termination of employment, see Potential Post-Employment Benefits . Participants holding restricted stock units or performance stock units will receive the benefit of any dividends paid on shares in the form of additional restricted stock units or performance stock units.

Amendment to CEO's Employment Letter Agreement and Equity Grant to CEO

Mr. Foss entered into an amendment to his Employment Letter Agreement on June 25, 2013 providing that any equity compensation awards granted as part of his compensation package for any fiscal year after 2012 will be in a form and have terms (including vesting conditions) that are the same as those granted to senior management of the Company as determined by the compensation committee from time to time.

Mr. Foss's June 2013 equity grant, which consisted of 1,247,638 stock options and 271,438 restricted stock units, was made on June 20, 2013, subject to the approval of the stock committee of the board, which approval was received on the same date. The stock options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of a share of the Company common stock on June 20, 2013, which was the most recent appraisal price of our common stock on that date, have a ten-year term, vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to Mr. Foss continued employment with the Company, and contain such other terms as are set forth in the award agreement. The restricted stock units vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to Mr. Foss continued employment with the Company, and contain such other terms as are set forth in the award agreement.

Fiscal 2013 Equity Grants to Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee and Morrison

On July 9, 2013 Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee received equity grants consisting of stock options and restricted stock units, each with the time-based vesting schedules described above. While there was no formal grant program in place for fiscal 2012, the July grants were intended to represent equity compensation for fiscal 2012, as Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee had not received an equity grant since June 2011. The value of the aggregate annual equity grants to Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee for fiscal 2013 was at approximately the 50th percentile of Market Practice, adjusted to maintain consistency among the levels of executives. Mr. Sutherland received 94,518 stock options and 30,846 restricted stock units; Mr. Reynolds received 75,615 stock options and 24,677 restricted stock units; and Ms. McKee received 94,518 stock options and 30,846 restricted stock units. Ms. Morrison received a grant of 186,000 time-based stock options and 38,000 restricted stock units in connection with the commencement of her employment with us in June 2013. Of her total equity grant, 94,000 stock options and 8,000 restricted stock units were granted to her in the form of a typical new hire grant for a Company executive at her level. The remaining 92,000 stock options and 30,000 restricted stock units were designed to compensate Ms. Morrison for the unvested equity that she forfeited by leaving her prior employer.

The stock options granted have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of a share of the Company common stock on the date of grant, which was the most recent appraisal price of our common stock on that date,

Table of Contents

have a ten-year term, vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the holder's continued employment with the Company, and contain such other terms as are set forth in the award agreement. The restricted stock units vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the holder's continued employment with the Company, and contain such other terms as are set forth in the award agreement.

Early Fiscal 2014 Grants

As contemplated at the time of our initial public offering, our compensation committee determined to make additional grants of equity to our named executive officers in December 2013 in respect of fiscal 2013 performance. These grants were made under the Company's 2013 Stock Incentive Plan, described below. The compensation committee and stock committee approved grants of performance stock units which vest over a three-year period, subject to the achievement of certain adjusted earnings per share targets over the fiscal 2014 period, as well as time-based stock options and time-based restricted stock units, each of which vest in equal annual installments over a four-year period. Regular annual grants are expected to begin in November 2014. The value of the equity grants that the compensation committee made to Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee in December 2013 was approximately 50% of the value of the equity award that they received in July 2013, or, in the case of Ms. Morrison, 50% of the value of the award that a similarly situated executive received in July 2013, and was allocated as follows: 40% performance stock units, 40% time-based stock options and 20% time-based restricted stock units. The compensation committee discussed the equity mix for the December 2013 grants at its June 2013 meeting, after consultation with Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. and Ms. McKee, with the goal of focusing more heavily on performance-based equity going forward. The performance metric for the performance-based restricted stock units is based upon an adjusted earnings per share target for fiscal 2014, which was recommended by management after input from Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. regarding metrics used by members of the Company's peer group, and approved by the compensation committee. The number of performance stock units that can be earned is based upon the percentage of the adjusted earnings per share target that is achieved as follows:

Target Adjusted Earnings per Share Performance Level	Percentage of Target Number of PSUs Earned
less than 90%	0%
90%	50%
100%	100%
110%	150%
115% or greater	200%

If the performance target is satisfied at or above 90%, the performance stock units achieved effectively convert into time-based restricted stock units, vesting in equal installments over a three year period from the date of grant. In December 2013, Mr. Sutherland received 8,526 performance stock units, 30,817 time-based stock options and 4,263 time-based restricted stock units; Mr. Reynolds received 6,821 performance stock units, 24,654 time-based stock options and 3,411 time-based restricted stock units; Ms. McKee received 8,526 performance stock units, 30,817 time-based stock options and 4,263 time-based restricted stock units and Ms. Morrison received 3,411 performance stock units, 12,327 time-based stock options and 1,706 time-based restricted stock units.

Mr. Foss' December 2013 grant was considered separately by the compensation committee and recommended by the chairman of the compensation committee. The compensation committee and the stock committee approved the equity grant to Mr. Foss based upon his performance to date and in order to retain him in his current position. Mr. Foss received a December 2013 equity grant consisting of 213,129 performance stock units, 770,417 stock options and 106,565 restricted stock units.

Table of ContentsISPO Exchange Offer

On July 29, 2013, the Company closed an exchange offer for all outstanding ISPOs (the ISPO Exchange Offer) held by certain employees, including certain named executive officers. Under the ISPO Exchange Offer, the Company offered to holders of outstanding ISPO awards the ability to exchange such awards for new grants of restricted stock (Restricted Stock) and non-qualified time-based stock options with an exercise price of \$16.21, which was equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant based upon the most recent appraisal price of our common stock on the date of grant (Replacement Stock Options), in each case, granted under the 2007 Stock Plan and forms of a Restricted Stock Award Agreement and a non-qualified Replacement Stock Option Award Agreement approved by the compensation committee on June 20, 2013. The named executive officers who participated in the ISPO Exchange Offer received a number of shares of Restricted Stock with a grant date fair value equal to the aggregate in-the-money spread value of their ISPOs plus a number of Replacement Stock Options, calculated in a manner such that the total number of shares of Restricted Stock received in the ISPO Exchange Offer plus the number of Replacement Stock Options equaled the aggregate number of shares subject to the ISPOs. The grants of Restricted Stock and Replacement Stock Options were made to certain of our named executive officers by the stock committee on July 31, 2013.

The vesting schedule of the Restricted Stock and Replacement Stock Options is based upon the vesting schedule of the ISPO award that was exchanged. If the participant's service with the Company or any of its subsidiaries terminates due to death, disability or retirement (as disability and retirement are defined in the 2007 Stock Plan), the installments of Restricted Stock and Replacement Stock Options that are scheduled to vest during the twelve months following such termination will immediately vest and any remaining shares of Restricted Stock and any unvested Replacement Stock Options will be forfeited. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the 2007 Stock Plan) prior to a termination of the participant's service, any remaining shares of Restricted Stock and any unvested Replacement Stock Options will vest and become nonforfeitable. Replacement Stock Options expire on the date that falls at the end of a number of years that equals (x) 10 minus (y) the number of years (rounded down to the nearest whole year) that have elapsed from the date of grant of the ISPOs being exchanged through the exchange date. Upon termination of employment, unvested Replacement Stock Options are immediately forfeited (other than in the case of death, disability or retirement) and vested Replacement Stock Options expire immediately, in the case of termination for cause, and 90 days after termination, in the case of any other termination of employment. The Restricted Stock Award Agreement also provides that a participant may make an election under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code with regard to his or her restricted stock.

Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee elected to participate in the ISPO Exchange Offer. The following table shows the number of ISPOs each named executive officer exchanged and the number of shares of Restricted Stock and Replacement Stock Options that each of them received in the ISPO Exchange Offer:

Name	ISPOs Exchanged	Restricted Stock Granted	Replacement Options Granted ¹
Eric J. Foss	400,000	57,002	342,998
L. Frederick Sutherland	36,000	10,172	25,828
Lynn B. McKee	36,000	10,172	25,828
Stephen R. Reynolds	60,000	4,516	55,484

- (1) The exercise price of the Replacement Stock Options granted is \$16.21, which equals the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the date of grant based upon the most recent appraisal price of our common stock on the date of grant.

Table of Contents*Grants in Connection with our Initial Public Offering*

In November 2013, the compensation committee and stock committee approved grants of restricted stock units to each of the named executive officers, which became effective at the time of our initial public offering. The restricted stock units will vest in one third increments on the first three anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the named executive officer's continued employment with the Company and its subsidiaries. The number of restricted stock units received was based on the following dollar values divided by \$20.00, the initial public offering price per share:

Eric Foss	\$ 10,000,000
L. Frederick Sutherland	\$ 1,875,000
Lynn B. McKee	\$ 1,875,000
Stephen R. Reynolds	\$ 1,150,000
Christina T. Morrison	\$ 500,000

Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee met with members of the compensation committee, including the chairman of the compensation committee, over a period of time prior to the grants to discuss the dollar amount of the pool of equity to be distributed, the particular executives who would receive restricted stock unit grants and the amount of such individual awards, which were based upon a multiple of the average of base salary and bonus target for a particular executive level. Mr. Foss and Ms. McKee made recommendations regarding the restricted stock unit award amounts for each of the named executive officers other than Mr. Foss. Recommended amounts were consistent for executives at similar levels. The compensation committee approved the recommendations of management for the named executive officers other than Mr. Foss and determined a grant amount for Mr. Foss based upon earlier compensation committee discussions.

Equity Grant Procedures

The compensation committee intends to make annual awards of equity at its meeting held early in each fiscal year. The compensation committee has in the past, and may in the future, make limited grants of equity on other dates to retain key employees, to compensate an employee in connection with a promotion or to compensate newly hired executives for equity or other benefits lost upon termination of their previous employment or to otherwise induce them to join our Company or otherwise at the discretion of the compensation committee. The grant date of equity awards to executives is the date of compensation committee approval or a later date of subcommittee or stock committee approval if designated by the compensation committee or a date that is designated by the compensation committee or stock committee. The exercise price of option grants is the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our compensation committee has adopted stock ownership guidelines that apply to our named executive officers. Mr. Foss must retain stock with a value equal to six times his base salary, Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee must retain stock with a value equal to three times his or her base salary and Ms. Morrison must retain stock with a value equal to two times her base salary. Directly owned shares, beneficially owned shares held indirectly (including by family members or family trusts) and vested share units in a non-qualified deferral arrangement count toward the guidelines for Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee and Ms. Morrison. There is no required time period for attaining the minimum stock ownership level for these executives.

Other Components of Compensation

Employee and Post-Employment Benefits. We offer basic employee benefits to provide our workforce with a reasonable level of coverage in the event of illness or injury. The cost of certain employee benefits is partially or

Table of Contents

fully borne by the employee, including each named executive officer. We offer comparable benefits to our eligible U.S. employees, which include medical, dental and vision coverage, disability insurance and optional life insurance. In addition, our named executive officers receive excess medical coverage that provides reimbursement for medical, dental and vision expenses in excess of \$1,500 per covered individual per year. Our named executive officers also participate in a Survivor Income Protection Plan, which entitles a surviving spouse or domestic partner and dependent children to receive the executive's full base salary for one year after the executive's death and one-half of the executive's base salary for the subsequent nine years or, alternatively, may receive excess term life insurance. A participant in the Survivor Income Protection Plan who is 65 and has attained 5 years of employment with us is entitled to a benefit equal to one times his or her base salary upon his or her retirement or death instead of the benefit described above.

Generally, our highly compensated employees (for 2013, those earning more than \$110,000), including our named executive officers, are not eligible to participate in our 401(k) plans because of certain legal requirements. Instead, those employees are eligible to participate in a non-qualified savings plan that we call our Savings Incentive Retirement Plan, the successor plan to our Stock Unit Retirement Plan. This plan is intended to be a substitute for those employees' participation in our 401(k) plans. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013 below for further information.

Messrs. Foss, Reynolds and Sutherland and Ms. McKee

Messrs. Foss, Reynolds and Sutherland and Ms. McKee are also parties to employment agreements that entitle them to lump sum payments and severance payments in installments if there is a change of control of us or Aramark Services, Inc. as described in those agreements and their employment is terminated under specified circumstances. These provisions are intended to align executive and stockholder interests by enabling executives to consider corporate transactions that are in the best interests of the stockholders and our other constituents without concern over whether the transactions may jeopardize the executives' own employment.

Mr. Foss' employment agreement contains a "double trigger" for payments to be made, there must be a change of control followed by an involuntary loss of employment (or resignation by Mr. Foss for "good reason") within three years or his employment must be terminated in anticipation of a change of control. See Potential Post-Employment Benefits below for the applicable definition of "good reason." The agreements with Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee were entered into following the 2007 Transaction and also contain a "double trigger." We chose to implement a "double trigger" for Mr. Foss and the other named executive officers who report directly to him after receiving advice from Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. that a "double trigger" is a more common practice in the market than a "single trigger."

Ms. Morrison

Ms. Morrison is a party to an Agreement Relating to Employment and Post Employment Competition that provides for certain benefits if she should be terminated by us without cause. Those benefits include between 26 and 52 weeks of severance pay, depending on her length of service with the Company, as well as the continuation of an auto allowance and the continuation of basic health care coverage through the end of the severance pay period. Pursuant to the agreement, Ms. Morrison must adhere to certain non-disclosure, non-disparagement, non-competition and non-solicitation requirements for various periods of time after a termination of employment. Ms. Morrison is currently entitled to 26 weeks of severance pay if she is terminated by us without cause.

For more information on change of control and severance payments for our named executive officers, see the disclosure under Employment Agreements and Change of Control Arrangements and under Potential Post-Employment Benefits.

Perquisites. We provide our named executive officers with other benefits, reflected in the All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table, that we believe are reasonable and encourage

Table of Contents

retention. We believe that these benefits enable our executives to focus on our business and enhance their commitment to us. These benefits include premiums paid on life insurance or the Survivor Income Protection Plan, disability insurance (in Mr. Sutherland's case, a legacy policy the premiums for which are paid 100% by the Company), excess health insurance, receipt of a car allowance, no cost parking at a garage near Company offices, an executive physical, financial planning services and personal use of Company tickets or the Company box and related items at sporting or other events and the costs of these benefits constitute a small percentage of each named executive officer's total compensation. The availability of financial planning services assists those who receive them to optimize the value received from all of the compensation and benefit programs offered. Generally, Company-provided perquisites are reviewed by the compensation committee in consultation with Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. on an annual basis. Based upon the usefulness of such perquisites for retention, the compensation committee determines whether or not to continue them.

Messrs. Foss and Reynolds and Ms. Morrison received benefits under our relocation program in fiscal 2012 and/or 2013 that provided them with temporary housing and reimbursement for meals and other incidental expenses. Although the relocation program provides for benefits for a 90-day period to enable new employees to search for and purchase a permanent residence, we may extend the temporary housing benefit due to the tight housing market, work demands and/or family-related issues. In Mr. Foss's case, we extended his relocation benefits because his work demands delayed him in his search for his permanent residence in the Philadelphia area. We also extended relocation benefits for Mr. Reynolds and Ms. Morrison due to delays in procuring new permanent residences.

Our compensation committee established a policy, which it has determined to be in our business interest, directing the Chief Executive Officer to use Company aircraft, whenever possible, for all air travel, whether personal or business. Under the policy, the Chief Executive Officer may also designate other members of senior management to use the Company aircraft for air travel. Some of Mr. Foss's business use of the corporate aircraft in fiscal 2013 included flights to attend outside board meetings at the companies or organizations for which he serves as a director. We believe that Mr. Foss's service on these boards, and his ability to conduct Company business while traveling to these board meetings, provides benefits to us and therefore deem it to be business use. In addition, depending on seat availability, Mr. Foss's family members may accompany him on the Company aircraft. Although there is little or no incremental cost to us for these trips, we reflect a \$500 per seat charge in the All Other Compensation amounts in the Summary Compensation Table for flights in which those additional passengers' travel is not business-related. Mr. Foss has a car and driver that we provide to him. Much of his use of the Company-provided car and driver, which generally enables him to make efficient use of travel time, is business use, although Mr. Foss utilizes the car and driver for commuting to and from the office, which is considered personal use, and for other limited personal use.

Impact of Regulatory Requirements on our Executive Compensation

Sections 280G and 4999. Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, respectively, limit our ability to take a tax deduction for certain excess parachute payments (as defined in Sections 280G and 4999) and impose excise taxes on each executive that receives excess parachute payments in connection with his or her severance from us in connection with a change of control. The compensation committee considered the adverse tax liabilities imposed by Sections 280G and 4999, as well as other competitive factors, when it structured certain post-termination compensation payable to our named executive officers. The potential adverse tax consequences to us and/or the executive, however, are not necessarily determinative factors in such decisions. Our agreements with Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee relating to employment require us to make a gross-up payment to compensate them for any excise taxes (and income taxes on such gross-up payment) that they incur under Section 4999. Messrs. Foss and Reynolds' agreements do not provide for such gross-up payments, as the compensation committee was advised that it is no longer a common practice in the marketplace. Similarly, Ms. Morrison's agreement does not provide for a gross-up.

Section 162(m). Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits tax deductions for compensation paid to a public company's named executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) to \$1,000,000. Although

Table of Contents

we are not currently subject to the Section 162(m) compensation deduction limit, following any applicable phase-in period after our initial public offering, we will become subject to the Section 162(m) compensation deduction limit. The compensation committee considers the loss of deductibility, as well as other factors, when it structures compensation arrangements for our named executive officers (such as the Bonus Plan, which we intend to operate in compliance with Section 162(m)). However, the potential tax consequences to us are not necessarily determinative in such decisions and the compensation committee believes it should have flexibility in awarding compensation to accomplish business objectives and to attract and retain our named executive officers, even though some compensation awards may result in non-deductible compensation expenses.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Joseph Neubauer, who is our Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer (and was an employee of the Company until December 31, 2013), serves on our compensation committee.

Please see **Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions** for information on transactions with JPMorgan and Goldman, Sachs & Co. Stephen P. Murray, Chairman of our Compensation and Human Resources Committee, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC (**CCMP**), a private equity firm specializing in buyout and growth equity investments. Pursuant to an agreement with JPMorgan and J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, CCMP advises J.P. Morgan Partners with respect to certain of its private equity investments, including its investment in us. Sanjeev Mehra, a member of our Compensation and Human Resources Committee, is Managing Director of Goldman, Sachs & Co.'s Principal Investment Area of its Merchant Banking Division.

Compensation Risk Disclosure

As part of its oversight responsibilities, the compensation committee considered the impact of our compensation programs on our risk profile and whether these programs promote excessive risk taking. Based on its review and the recommendation of its compensation consultant, the compensation committee determined that our compensation programs are appropriately structured and that risks arising from our compensation programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us for the following reasons, among others:

our compensation programs are well aligned with sound compensation design principles;

our Bonus Plan and the MIB utilize financial performance measures at the corporate level, which cannot be easily manipulated by any one individual;

none of our individual business areas pose a significant business risk to the overall enterprise;

post-initial public offering transfer restrictions and our stock ownership guidelines will encourage a long-term focus by our executives on our growth and long-term viability; and

equity compensation constitutes a meaningful portion of pay for most senior executives and focuses them on enhancing long-term stockholder value over a multi-year period.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table provides summary information concerning the compensation we paid to our named executive officers in the fiscal years indicated.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (1)(\$)	Bonus (2)(\$)	Stock Awards (3)(\$)	Option Awards (4)(\$)	Non- Equity Incentive Plan Compen- sation (2)(\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non- Qualified Deferred Compen- sation Earnings (5)(\$)	All Other Compensation (6)(\$)	Total (\$)
Eric J. Foss Chief Executive Officer and President	2013	1,380,375	2,632,200	5,160,987	8,161,031		155	742,452	18,077,200
	2012	545,192	1,512,500		5,658,563			339,240	8,055,495
L. Frederick Sutherland EVP and Chief Financial Officer	2013	818,000	783,000	639,676	723,363		17,915	58,408	3,040,362
	2012	787,500	625,000		111,875		16,655	57,661	1,598,691
	2011	750,000	650,000		816,925		15,385	51,273	2,283,583
Lynn B. McKee EVP, Human Resources and Communications	2013	639,063	620,000	639,676	723,363		9,990	41,163	2,673,255
	2012	616,250	460,000		111,875		9,189	36,436	1,233,750
	2011	590,000	500,000		816,925		8,389	40,392	1,955,706
Stephen R. Reynolds EVP, General Counsel and Secretary	2013	500,000	481,000	460,303	1,428,714		89	290,152	3,160,258
Christina T. Morrison SVP, Finance	2013	140,385	129,000	615,980	1,023,000	99,000		96,279	2,103,644

- (1) Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee each deferred a portion of their salaries for 2013 under the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan and Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee each deferred a portion of their salaries for prior fiscal years. These amounts for fiscal 2013 are reflected in the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2013 and in this column.
- (2) For fiscal 2012, Mr. Foss' bonus amount includes a signing bonus of \$500,000, which was intended to cover relocation and commuting expenses, as well as \$1,012,500, which was his target bonus, prorated for six months. Ms. Morrison was guaranteed a bonus amount of \$129,000, which was intended to compensate her for her forgone bonus at her previous employer and her fiscal 2013 bonus under the MIB was prorated to reflect the portion of the year that she was employed by us.
- (3) Includes the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted with respect to the 2013 fiscal year computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value per share for restricted stock and restricted stock units is equal to the appraisal price of a share of Company common stock on the date of grant. Also includes the incremental grant date fair value of restricted stock issued in the ISPO Exchange Offer to Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee. For additional information on the valuation assumptions and more discussion with respect to the restricted stock and restricted stock units, refer to Note 11 to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.
- (4) This column represents the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 with respect to the 2013, 2012 and 2011 fiscal years for the stock options granted to each of the named executive officers in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Fiscal 2013 includes the grant date fair value for performance-based stock options granted prior to fiscal 2013 whose vesting was subject to the 2013 EBIT target and such target was not established at the time the option was granted, as targets for later years had not been determined. Fiscal 2012 includes the grant date fair value for performance-based stock options granted prior to fiscal 2012 whose vesting was subject to the 2012 EBIT target and such target was not

Table of Contents

established at the time the option was granted, as targets for later years had not been determined. Fiscal 2011 includes the grant date fair value for performance-based stock options granted in fiscal 2011 whose vesting was subject to the 2011 EBIT target, as targets for later years had not been determined. As future targets are determined in future years, additional grant date fair value will be reflected in the years in which such targets are set. Also includes the incremental fair value of Replacement Stock Options granted in the ISPO Exchange Offer, computed as of the modification date in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, with respect to the modified award. See Grants of Plan Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013 for additional information on stock options granted or deemed granted in 2013. For additional information on the valuation assumptions and more discussion with respect to the stock options, refer to Note 11 to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. For Mr. Reynolds, the amount included in this column reflects the incremental grant date fair value of the Replacement Stock Options he received and the grant date fair value of the ISPO that he was granted in fiscal 2013, but later exchanged in fiscal 2013 for restricted stock and Replacement Stock Options.

- (5) Includes amounts earned on deferred compensation in excess of 120% of the applicable federal rate, based upon the above-market return at the time the rate basis was set.
- (6) The following are included in this column for 2013:
- a. The aggregate incremental cost to us of the following perquisites: car allowance, premium payments for disability insurance, premium payments for an excess health insurance plan, payments for an executive physical, parking fees paid by the Company, costs associated with personal use of Company-owned tickets or the Company-owned suite at sports stadiums with respect to Messrs. Foss and Reynolds and Ms. McKee, relocation expenses with respect to Messrs. Foss and Reynolds and Ms. Morrison, and, for Mr. Foss, personal use of the Company aircraft and personal use of a Company-provided car and driver.
 - b. With regard to Mr. Foss, \$385,234 for Mr. Foss personal use of the Company aircraft and \$270,813 for relocation expenses incurred by Mr. Foss and paid under our relocation program or reimbursed to Mr. Foss (including a tax gross up of \$111,726 and \$20,000 in attorney fees for assistance with the purchase of his new residence, each as provided for in the program). The calculation of incremental cost for personal use of Company aircraft includes the variable costs incurred as a result of personal flight activity: a portion of ongoing maintenance and repairs, landing fees, aircraft fuel, telephone communications and any travel expenses for the flight crew. With regard to Mr. Reynolds, \$265,069 for relocation expenses incurred by Mr. Reynolds and paid under our relocation program (including a tax gross up of \$55,406 as provided for in the program). With regard to Ms. Morrison, \$86,324 for relocation expenses incurred by Ms. Morrison and paid under our relocation program (including a tax gross up of \$14,484 as provided for in the program).
 - c. Premium payments for term life insurance or the Survivor Income Protection Plan as follows: for Mr. Foss, \$1,608, for Mr. Sutherland, \$24,587, for Ms. McKee, \$4,958, for Mr. Reynolds, \$1,608 and for Ms. Morrison, \$357.
 - d. Includes amounts that constitute the Company match to the Savings Incentive Retirement Plan for fiscal 2013 as follows: for Messrs. Foss and Sutherland and Ms. McKee, \$11,375, and for Mr. Reynolds, \$1,500.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013**

The following table provides information about equity awards granted or deemed granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2013: (1) the grant date, (2) estimated future payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards, which consist of potential awards under the MIB, (3) estimated future payouts under equity incentive plan awards, which consist of the stock options with performance-based vesting schedules, (4) all other stock awards, which consist of the number of shares of restricted stock or the number of shares underlying restricted stock units, (5) all other option awards, which consist of the number of shares underlying stock options with time-based vesting schedules, (6) the exercise price of the stock option awards, which reflects the most recent appraisal price of our common stock on the date of grant and (7) the grant date fair value of each equity award computed under FASB ASC Topic 718.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards(3) (\$/sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(4)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Max (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (2)(#)	Max (#)				
Foss	11/13/2012					181,250	181,250(5)			\$ 13.90(10)	\$ 730,438
	6/20/2013								1,247,638(6)	\$ 16.21	\$ 6,749,722
	6/20/2013							271,438(7)			\$ 4,400,010
	7/31/2013							57,002(8)			\$ 760,977
	7/31/2013								342,998(9)	\$ 16.21	\$ 680,872
Sutherland	11/13/2012					31,250	31,250(5)			\$ 11.63(10)	\$ 151,563
	7/9/2013								94,518(6)	\$ 16.21	\$ 519,849
	7/9/2013							30,846(7)			\$ 500,014
	7/31/2013							10,172(8)			\$ 139,662
	7/31/2013								25,828(9)	\$ 16.21	\$ 51,951
McKee	11/13/2012					31,250	31,250(5)			\$ 11.63(10)	\$ 151,563
	7/9/2013								94,518(6)	\$ 16.21	\$ 519,849
	7/9/2013							30,846(7)			\$ 500,014
	7/31/2013							10,172(8)			\$ 139,662
	7/31/2013								25,828(9)	\$ 16.21	\$ 51,951
Reynolds	12/5/2012								60,000(11)	\$ 14.99	\$ 171,600
	12/5/2012								125,000(6)	\$ 14.99	\$ 590,000
	12/5/2012					31,250	31,250(5)			\$ 14.99	\$ 134,687
	7/9/2013								75,615(6)	\$ 16.21	\$ 415,883
	7/9/2013							24,677(7)			\$ 400,014
	7/31/2013							4,516(8)			\$ 60,289
	7/31/2013								55,484(9)	\$ 16.21	\$ 116,544
Morrison	6/20/2013	\$ 20,833	\$ 83,333	\$ 158,333							
	7/9/2013								186,000(6)	\$ 16.21	\$ 1,023,000
	7/9/2013							38,000(7)			\$ 615,980

- (1) The amounts represent the threshold, target, and maximum payouts under our MIB for the fiscal 2013 performance period. The payment for threshold performance is 25% of target on all measures. Ms. Morrison's threshold, target and maximum amounts have been prorated to reflect the portion of the fiscal year that she was employed by the Company.
- (2) Named executive officers may receive all, or less than all, of the target amount of performance-based options when certain events occur, including the achievement of certain percentage returns by our Sponsors. See the discussion under Performance-Based Stock Options below. Consists of shares underlying options granted that vest in 25% increments on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant and upon the attainment of certain EBIT targets that are established by the compensation committee within the first ninety days of each

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

fiscal year and described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the narrative following this table. These stock options will expire ten years from the date of grant. The EBIT targets associated with the grants of performance-based options are listed below under Performance-Based Stock Options.

Table of Contents

- (3) The exercise price of the options reported in the table is the most recent appraisal price of a share of our common stock on the original date of grant, as adjusted as described in footnote 10 below, if applicable.
- (4) This column shows the full grant date fair value of stock options granted or deemed granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2013 under FASB ASC Topic 718 and the incremental fair value, computed as of the modification date in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, with respect to Restricted Stock and Replacement Stock Options granted in the ISPO Exchange Offer. Performance-based options granted on December 5, 2012 include only those performance-based options granted on that date whose vesting is subject to the achievement of the 2013 EBIT target, which was the only EBIT target for that grant that had been set. For additional information on the valuation assumptions, refer to Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in the prospectus. These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that will be received by the named executive officers.
- (5) The grant date fair value for these previously granted performance-based stock options reflects the value attributable only to those options whose vesting is based on 2013 targets, which were set on November 13, 2012, as that is the only target that had been determined during fiscal 2013. As future targets are determined in future years, additional grant date fair value will be reflected in the years in which such targets are set.
- (6) These stock options vest 25% per year over four years and have a ten-year term, subject to the grantee's continued employment with the Company.
- (7) These restricted stock units vest 25% per year over four years, subject to the grantee's continued employment with the Company.
- (8) These are shares of Restricted Stock that were granted in the ISPO Exchange Offer described above under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Components of Executive Compensation Equity Incentives New Equity Program ISPO Exchange Offer. The vesting schedule of the restricted stock is based upon the vesting schedule of the ISPO award that was exchanged. For Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee, one-third of the restricted stock vests on each of December 15, 2013, December 15, 2014 and December 15, 2015. For Mr. Foss, 25% of the restricted stock vests on each of December 15, 2013, December 15, 2014, December 15, 2015 and December 15, 2016. For Mr. Reynolds, 20% of the restricted stock vested immediately and 20% vests on each of December 15, 2013, December 15, 2014, December 15, 2015 and December 15, 2016.
- (9) These Replacement Stock Options have a vesting schedule based upon the vesting schedule of the ISPO award that was exchanged. For Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee, one-third of the Replacement Stock Option vests on each of December 15, 2013, December 15, 2014 and December 15, 2015. For Mr. Foss, 25% of the Replacement Stock Option vests on each of December 15, 2013, December 15, 2014, December 15, 2015 and December 15, 2016. For Mr. Reynolds, 20% of the Replacement Stock Option vested immediately and 20% vests on each of December 15, 2013, December 15, 2014, December 15, 2015 and December 15, 2016.
- (10) Exercise price reflects the reduction of \$1.06 per share, which was the portion of the appraisal price of a share of Company common stock allocated to each share of Seamless Holdings Corporation common stock. Seamless Holdings Corporation was spun off by the Company on October 26, 2012 and the exercise prices of all stock options issued prior to that time were adjusted to reflect the spinoff.
- (11) These stock options are installment stock purchase opportunities that are divided into 5 installments. The first installment vests immediately upon grant and is exercisable for one year. The remaining installments vest on the first four anniversaries of the December 15th following the grant date and are exercisable for a period of 31 days. At least 25% of the first installment must be exercised or the remaining installments are canceled. As described above under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Components of Executive Compensation Equity Incentives New Equity Program ISPO Exchange Offer, in July 2013, Mr. Reynolds exchanged his outstanding ISPOs granted in December 2012 for shares of Restricted Stock and stock options, which are also reflected in the table.

Table of Contents**Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan Based Awards Table****Performance-Based Stock Options**

The performance targets for 50% of the stock options granted to our named executive officers through June 2013 are based upon our annual EBIT. If we do not achieve the performance target for any particular fiscal year (other than that of the Final Fiscal Year for each grant, as defined below), but we do achieve a cumulative performance target at the end of a later fiscal year, then all installments of performance-based options that did not become vested because of a missed performance target or targets in a prior year will vest. Our EBIT targets over the four-year vesting schedule of options granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 are as follows:

Year	Annual EBIT Target (in millions)	Cumulative EBIT Target (in millions)
2010	\$ 718.1	N/A
2011	\$ 748.5	\$ 1,507.5
2012	\$ 834.8	\$ 2,366.0
2013 (the Final Fiscal Year)	\$ 804.2	\$ 3,299.3

In June 2011, our board approved a new form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement that provides that annual and cumulative EBIT targets for performance-based stock options will be established by the compensation committee within the first ninety days of each fiscal year. For example, performance-based options granted to certain of our named executive officers in fiscal 2011 had only an EBIT target for fiscal 2011 and those granted in fiscal 2012 had only an EBIT target for fiscal 2012 at the time of grant. The compensation committee established EBIT targets for each fiscal year in November of that fiscal year. EBIT targets for 2015 and later fiscal years will be established within the first ninety days of the respective fiscal year. The EBIT targets for options granted in fiscal 2011 are as follows:

Year	Annual EBIT Target (in millions)	Cumulative EBIT Target (in millions)
2011	\$ 748.5	N/A
2012	\$ 834.8	\$ 1,583.3
2013	\$ 804.2	\$ 2,387.5
2014 (the Final Fiscal Year)	\$ 823.2	\$ 3,594.6

The EBIT targets for options granted in fiscal 2012 are as follows:

Year	Annual EBIT Target (in millions)	Cumulative EBIT Target (in millions)
2012	\$ 834.8	N/A
2013	\$ 804.2	\$ 1,639.0
2014	\$ 823.2	\$ 2,462.2
2015 (the Final Fiscal Year)	*	*

* EBIT Target not yet established

Mr. Reynolds was the only named executive officer who received a grant of performance-based options in fiscal 2013. The EBIT targets for options granted in fiscal 2013 are as follows:

Year	Annual EBIT Target (in millions)	Cumulative EBIT Target (in millions)
2013	\$ 804.2	N/A
2014	\$ 823.2	\$ 1,627.4
2015	*	*

2016 (the Final Fiscal Year)

*

*

* EBIT Target not yet established

Table of Contents

When we calculate our EBIT (which calculation is subject to review and approval by the compensation committee) for purposes of determining whether we have achieved our annual EBIT target, we take our net income and increase it by: (1) net interest expense and (2) the provision for income taxes. We are then required to exclude a number of categorical amounts as follows:

any extraordinary gains or losses, cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, income or loss from disposed or discontinued operations and any gains or losses on disposed or discontinued operations, all as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

any gain or loss greater than \$2 million attributable to asset dispositions, contract terminations and similar items, provided that losses on contract terminations and asset dispositions in connection with client contract terminations are limited in any given fiscal year to \$5 million;

any increase in amortization or depreciation resulting from the application of purchase accounting to the 2007 Transaction, including the current amortization of existing acquired intangibles;

any gain or loss from the early extinguishment of indebtedness, including any hedging obligation or other derivative instrument;

any impairment charge or similar asset write-off required by generally accepted accounting principles;

any non-cash compensation expense resulting from the application of the authoritative accounting pronouncement for share-based compensation expense or similar accounting requirements;

any expenses or charges related to any equity offering, acquisition, disposition, recapitalization, refinancing or similar transaction, including the 2007 Transaction;

any transaction, management, monitoring, consulting, advisory and related fees and expenses paid or payable to the Sponsors;

the effects of changes in foreign currency translation rates from the rates used in the calculation of the EBIT targets. The 2011 and later EBIT targets are based on the foreign currency translation rates used in the Business Plan approved by our board for the applicable year; and

the impact of the 53rd week of operations on our financial results during any 53-week fiscal year referenced in the relevant Schedule 1 to the Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement.

Our calculation of EBIT is adjusted for acquisitions as follows:

for small acquisitions, which have purchase prices of less than \$20 million each, there is no adjustment until the total consideration for all small acquisitions exceeds \$20 million in any fiscal year, and then the EBIT targets will be adjusted for the percentage of EBIT that results from the cumulative amounts of such acquisitions over \$20 million; and

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

for larger acquisitions, which have purchase prices of more than \$20 million, our EBIT targets are adjusted based on the amount of EBIT that we project for that acquisition when it is approved by our board.

Our calculation of EBIT also is adjusted when we sell a business by an amount equal to the last twelve months of earnings of the divested business.

The terms of the performance targets and calculation of EBIT, including the adjustments described above, were initially negotiated with the Sponsors in connection with the 2007 Transaction and were approved by our board in connection with the closing of the 2007 Transaction and subsequently modified. The EBIT targets were also modified in November 2008 and in December 2009 to reflect acquisitions and divestitures, pursuant to the terms of the Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreements. The EBIT targets were intended to measure achievement of the plan presented by us to the Sponsors at the time of the negotiation of the 2007 Transaction, as modified in response to changed circumstances, and the adjustments to EBIT described above primarily represent elements of our performance that are either beyond the control of management or were not predictable at the time the targets

Table of Contents

were set. On June 21, 2011, our board approved a revised EBIT target for fiscal year 2011 and adopted a new form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement that provides that the compensation committee will, on an annual basis, set both annual and cumulative EBIT targets for future fiscal years within 90 days of the beginning of each fiscal year based upon the Company's business plan as approved by our board. Our board also approved an amendment to outstanding Stock Option Agreements, which provides that if an annual EBIT target is established for fiscal 2012 or later years for options granted after June 21, 2011 that is less than the annual EBIT target for such fiscal year for the outstanding stock options, the EBIT target for such outstanding options will be reduced to such lower EBIT target. In addition, if a cumulative EBIT target as established for options granted after June 21, 2011 is achieved in a fiscal year ending after fiscal 2011, then for any years (beginning with fiscal 2011) that an annual EBIT target as established under the outstanding Stock Option Agreement was not achieved and which was not the final performance year of such option (a missed year), then the portion of the performance option under the outstanding Stock Option Agreement that did not vest in respect of such missed year will nevertheless become vested or will vest.

We did not achieve our EBIT target for 2012; therefore, performance-based stock options whose vesting is based upon the attainment of the 2012 EBIT target did not or will not vest unless an alternate vesting condition for those options is later satisfied. Mr. Foss's employment agreement contains a provision that provides that his performance-based tranche that would vest based on our performance for fiscal year 2012 was to become fully vested so long as Mr. Foss remained employed with us through the applicable vesting date (regardless of whether the 2012 EBIT target was achieved). Accordingly, even though we did not achieve our 2012 EBIT target, in May 2013, the compensation committee vested the tranche of Mr. Foss's performance options whose vesting was subject to the 2012 EBIT target. The compensation committee determined that we achieved our EBIT target for fiscal 2013; therefore, performance-based stock options whose vesting was subject to the 2013 EBIT target vested.

Amendment to Vesting of Outstanding Performance-Based Options

On November 11, 2013, the compensation committee approved a form of amendment to all outstanding Non-Qualified Option Agreements under the 2007 Stock Plan modifying the vesting provisions relating to outstanding stock options subject to performance-based vesting conditions granted under the 2007 Stock Plan. This amendment provided that at our initial public offering, 50% of any then-unvested performance-based options that did not meet applicable performance thresholds in prior years (the Missed Year Options) would become vested if the price of our common stock in the offering equaled or exceeded \$20.00 per share. In addition, during the 18 month period following our initial public offering, if the closing trading price for our common stock equaled or exceeded \$25.00 per share over any twenty consecutive trading-day period, 100% of the Missed Year Options would become vested. As a result of meeting these performance targets, all Missed Year Options have vested as of March 5, 2014.

Some or all of the performance-based options also will vest if certain other events occur, including the achievement of a return or internal rate of return by our Sponsors. For example, if our Sponsors were to sell a portion of their investment in us and, in connection with that sale, achieve an internal rate of return (i) on or after the third anniversary of the grant date of the options for options granted prior to June 2012 and (ii) at any time for options granted in June 2012 or later equal to 15%, or, for options granted prior to June 2012, prior to the third anniversary of the grant date, that equals or exceeds 200% of that Sponsor's investment, the sale would be a qualified partial liquidity event and a percentage of the unvested performance-based options would vest. The percentage will be based upon the percentage of our Sponsors' interest in us that was sold in the qualified partial liquidity event. In addition, if there is a change of control of us in which our sponsors do not achieve the return or internal rate of return described above, a portion of the unvested performance-based options will vest, with the percentage vesting based upon the percentage of eligible performance-based options that had previously vested (all unvested time-based options will vest on a change of control). Upon death, disability or retirement (attaining at least age 60 with five years of service), unvested performance-based options that would have vested during the twelve-month period immediately following termination had the termination not occurred during that period will vest if the performance targets for that period are satisfied. Time-based options that would have vested in the

Table of Contents

year following retirement, death or disability would also vest according to the vesting schedule. In addition, if employment terminates due to death, disability or retirement, the exercise period for vested options is one year, rather than the 90-day period that is otherwise available for terminations other than for cause. All performance-based options terminate on the date of termination of employment in the case of termination for cause.

The above performance targets do not represent a prediction of how we did or will perform during the fiscal years 2013 through 2016. We are not providing any guidance, nor updating any prior guidance, of our future performance with the disclosure of these performance targets, and you are cautioned not to rely on these performance targets as a prediction of our future performance.

ISPO Exchange Offer

On July 29, 2013, we closed the ISPO Exchange Offer whereby we offered to holders of outstanding ISPO awards (including certain named executive officers) the ability to exchange such awards for new grants of Restricted Stock equal to the spread value of the ISPO and a number of Replacement Stock Options equal to the number of ISPOs exchanged minus the number of shares of Restricted Stock granted. The exercise price of the Replacement Stock Options (\$16.21) was equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant based upon the most recent appraisal price of our common stock on the date of grant. The grants of Restricted Stock and Replacement Stock Options were made to certain of our named executive officers by the stock committee on July 31, 2013. The incremental fair value attributable to the Restricted Stock and Replacement Stock Options is reflected in the Summary Compensation Table and the Grants of Plan Based Awards table with respect to Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee.

Employment Agreements and Change of Control Arrangements

We have employment agreements with all of the named executive officers for indeterminate periods terminable by either party, in most cases subject to post-employment severance and benefit obligations. While we do have these agreements in place, from time to time, it has been necessary to renegotiate some terms upon actual termination.

For more information regarding change of control and severance payments for our named executive officers, see the disclosure under Potential Post-Employment Benefits.

Mr. Foss

In connection with his employment with us, we entered into an employment letter agreement and an agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition, dated May 7, 2012, with Mr. Foss, which was later amended in June 2013. Mr. Foss' letter agreement provides that Mr. Foss will serve as Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company and will be elected to our board so long as we are controlled by investment funds associated with or designated by our Sponsors. Thereafter, Mr. Foss, while he remains the Chief Executive Officer and President, will be included as a nominee for election to our board at each annual stockholders meeting.

Mr. Foss is employed with us at-will and may be terminated at any time, subject to the severance provisions contained in his employment letter agreement. Mr. Foss' initial annual base salary was \$1,350,000, and is subject to periodic review by the compensation committee. The compensation committee may, in its discretion, increase Mr. Foss' annual base salary. Mr. Foss' annual cash bonus will be determined by the compensation committee under our Bonus Plan. For fiscal 2012, however, Mr. Foss received a guaranteed bonus of \$1,012,500 (his 2012 target bonus prorated for six months). His target bonus for fiscal 2013 is equal to 150% of his annual base salary. When we hired Mr. Foss, we committed to providing a total annual compensation package to Mr. Foss for fiscal 2013 based on total annual compensation values at the 75th percentile of the Company's market peer group of companies. In addition, Mr. Foss received a one-time signing bonus of

Table of Contents

\$500,000 intended to cover commuting and relocation expenses and is eligible to participate in all retirement, welfare and perquisite programs applicable to senior executives of the Company at benefit levels applicable to senior executives. Mr. Foss also receives a \$2,000 monthly car allowance. Under our agreement with Mr. Foss, he is entitled to serve on two for-profit boards, subject to the prior approval of the board. His current service on the boards of CIGNA Corporation and UDR, Inc. was approved by our board in connection with the approval of his employment arrangements.

Mr. Foss invested \$3,750,000 through the purchase of our common stock at a per share purchase price equal to \$14.96, which was equal to the fair market value (the appraisal price on the date of purchase) per share of our common stock. In addition, Mr. Foss received an ISPO to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock, of which Mr. Foss exercised the first installment for 100,000 shares of our common stock, which he had committed to do under the terms of his employment letter agreement. The remaining portion of Mr. Foss's ISPO grant was exchanged in connection with the ISPO Exchange Offer described above. Mr. Foss was also granted a nonqualified stock option to purchase 1,450,000 shares of our common stock, one-half of which has time-based vesting conditions and the other half of which has service and performance-based vesting conditions, except that the performance-based tranche that would vest based on our performance for fiscal year 2012 was to become fully vested so long as Mr. Foss remained employed with us through the applicable vesting date (regardless of whether the 2012 EBIT target was achieved). Accordingly, even though we did not achieve our 2012 EBIT target, in May 2013, the compensation committee vested the tranche of Mr. Foss's performance options whose vesting was subject to the 2012 EBIT target. In addition, under his Employment Letter Agreement, Mr. Foss is required to hold shares of our common stock having a fair market value equal to six times his base salary.

If we terminate Mr. Foss's employment without cause or Mr. Foss resigns for good reason prior to a change of control (as defined in his agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition), Mr. Foss will receive severance payments equal to two times his base salary plus two times his most recent annual bonus, paid over a twenty-four month period. In addition, he would receive a pro rata portion of his bonus for the year of his termination, based upon our actual performance (his 2012 bonus was deemed to be his full target bonus of \$2,025,000 for this purpose). Mr. Foss would also continue to receive his car allowance and to participate in our medical and life insurance programs for the same period. Finally, Mr. Foss's time-based stock options that would have vested in the 24-month period following his termination date, but for his termination of employment, would vest immediately.

Mr. Foss's agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition contains a double trigger in the event of a change of control. If we experience a change of control (as defined in Mr. Foss's agreement), and in anticipation of or within three years after that change of control Mr. Foss is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason, he would be entitled to similar payments as if he were terminated without cause or resigned for good reason prior to a change of control. Mr. Foss would receive severance payments equal to two times his base salary plus two times his most recent annual bonus or his target bonus, whichever is higher, paid over a twenty-four month period. In addition, he would receive a pro rata portion of his bonus for the year of his termination payable in a lump sum within 60 days of the date of termination (his 2012 bonus was deemed to be his full target bonus of \$2,025,000 for this purpose). Mr. Foss would also continue to receive his car allowance and to participate in our medical and life insurance programs for the severance period. Finally, Mr. Foss's stock options would vest in accordance with the applicable plan document or award agreement.

Upon any termination of employment, Mr. Foss would also receive any accrued amounts (earned but unpaid salary and benefits) owed to him by us. During his employment term and for a period of two years thereafter, Mr. Foss would be subject to a non-competition restriction that would restrict him from associating with or acquiring or maintaining an ownership interest in a competing business and non-solicitation restrictions.

Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee

In connection with the 2007 Transaction, we entered into employment agreements relating to employment and post-employment competition with Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee in July 2007. We entered into an

Table of Contents

employment agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition with Mr. Reynolds in connection with his commencement of employment with us.

If Messrs. Sutherland or Reynolds or Ms. McKee is terminated for any reason other than cause, the agreements generally provide, subject to execution of a general release, for severance payments equal to 6 to 18 months of pay based on years of service, plus the continuation of certain other benefits, including basic group medical and life insurance coverage and continuation of a car allowance, during the period of such payment. The agreements contain non-competition provisions pursuant to which the executive would be restricted from associating with or acquiring or maintaining an ownership interest in a competing business for a period of two years (or one year if employment is terminated by us other than for cause or is terminated by the employee for good reason after a change of control).

Upon a change of control of us as described in the agreements, in addition to the severance payments discussed in the preceding paragraph, each executive also would be entitled to a lump sum payment if their employment is terminated within the three years following such change of control or in anticipation of such change of control. The agreements provide a payout in the event of a change of control based on a double trigger (more fully described under Potential Post-Employment Benefits). The agreements, including the double trigger provision, were negotiated with the Sponsors in connection with the 2007 Transaction. These provisions are intended to align executive and stockholder interests by enabling executives to consider corporate transactions that are in the best interests of the stockholders and our other constituents without undue concern over whether the transactions may jeopardize the executives' own employment.

Ms. Morrison

We entered into an agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition with Ms. Morrison in connection with her commencement of employment with us. The agreement provides for post-employment benefits should Ms. Morrison be terminated by us without cause. Those benefits include between 26 and 52 weeks of severance pay (Ms. Morrison is currently entitled to 26 weeks of severance pay), depending on the length of time Ms. Morrison has been employed by the Company, and basic group medical coverage and continuation of the Company-paid auto allowance during the severance pay period. The agreements contain non-disclosure and non-disparagement provisions to which Ms. Morrison must adhere for certain periods of time after termination of employment, as well as a two-year non-competition provision and a two-year non-solicitation provision.

Indemnification Agreements

We have entered into Indemnification Agreements with our named executive officers, among others, that provide rights that are substantially similar to those to which they are currently entitled pursuant to our certificate of incorporation and by-laws and that spell out further the procedures to be followed in connection with indemnification.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End**

The following table provides information with respect to holdings of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units by our named executive officers at 2013 fiscal year-end.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options(1)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options(2)	Option Awards			Stock Awards	
			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options(3)	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested
Foss	362,500	725,000	362,500	\$ 13.90(4)	6/6/2022	271,438(5)	\$ 4,581,873
		1,247,638		\$ 16.21	6/20/2023		
		342,998		\$ 16.21	7/31/2022		
Sutherland	756,591		235,659	\$ 5.44(4)	1/26/2017	30,846(7)	\$ 520,680
	274,500		85,500	\$ 5.44(4)	2/27/2017		
	57,189		17,811	\$ 9.74(4)	3/5/2018		
	150,000		50,000	\$ 8.59(4)	9/2/2019		
	100,000	50,000	50,000	\$ 9.48(4)	3/2/2020		
	93,750	93,750	62,500	\$ 11.63(4)	6/22/2021		
		94,518		\$ 16.21	7/9/2023		
	25,828		\$ 16.21	7/31/2021	10,172(6)	\$ 171,703	
McKee	466,555		145,321	\$ 5.44(4)	1/26/2017	30,846(7)	\$ 520,680
	228,750		71,250	\$ 5.44(4)	2/27/2017		
	38,126		11,874	\$ 9.74(4)	3/5/2018		
	75,000	37,500	37,500	\$ 9.48(4)	3/2/2020		
	93,750	93,750	62,500	\$ 11.63(4)	6/22/2021		
		94,518		\$ 16.21	7/9/2023		
Reynolds		156,250	93,750	\$ 14.99	12/5/2022	24,677(7)	\$ 416,547
		75,615		\$ 16.21	7/9/2023		
	11,097	44,387		\$ 16.21	7/31/2023		
Morrison		186,000		\$ 16.21	7/9/2023	38,000(7)	\$ 641,440

(1) The amounts in this column are time-based and performance-based options that have vested.

(2) These are options subject to time-based vesting (including options previously also subject to performance-based conditions which have been satisfied) and generally vest 25% per year over four years from the date of grant, provided that the named executive officer is still employed by us. Certain options included in this column were granted in connection with our ISPO Exchange Offer and have vesting schedules based upon the original vesting schedule of the ISPO that was exchanged.

Table of Contents

Expiration Date	Grant Date	Vesting Schedule	Equity Instrument
January 26, 2017	January 26, 2007	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date.	Option
February 27, 2017	February 27, 2007	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of January 26, 2007.	Option
March 5, 2018	March 5, 2008	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date.	Option
September 2, 2019	September 2, 2009	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date.	Option
March 2, 2020	March 2, 2010	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date.	Option
June 22, 2021	June 22, 2011	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date.	Option
July 31, 2021	July 31, 2013	One-third on each of December 15, 2013, 2014 and 2015.	Replacement Option (ISPO Exchange)
June 6, 2022	June 6, 2012	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date.	Option
July 31, 2022	July 31, 2013	25% on each of December 15, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.	Replacement Option (ISPO Exchange)
December 5, 2022	December 5, 2012	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date	Option
June 20, 2023	June 20, 2013	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date	Option
July 9, 2023	July 9, 2013	25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date	Option
July 31, 2023	July 31, 2013	20% vested and 20% to vest on each of December 15, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.	Replacement Option (ISPO Exchange)

- (3) These are the total number of options that are still subject to performance-based vesting. 25% of the original award is eligible to vest each year over four years from the grant date, which in each case was 10 years prior to the listed expiration date, provided that certain performance targets are satisfied and the named executive officer is still employed by us, with certain exceptions (disability, retirement or death). See Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan Based Awards Table .
- (4) Exercise price reflects the reduction of \$1.06 per share, which was the portion of the appraisal price of a share of Company common stock allocated to each share of Seamless Holdings Corporation common stock. Seamless Holdings Corporation was spun off by the Company on October 26, 2012 and the exercise prices of all stock options issued prior to that time were adjusted to reflect the spinoff.
- (5) These are restricted stock units granted to Mr. Foss on June 20, 2013 that are subject to time-based vesting and vest 25% per year over four years from the date of grant, provided Mr. Foss is still employed by us on such dates.
- (6) These are shares of restricted stock that were granted as part of the ISPO Exchange on July 31, 2013 and vest as follows:

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Name	Vesting Schedule
Foss	25% on each of December 15, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.
Sutherland	One-third on each of December 15, 2013, 2014 and 2015.
McKee	One-third on each of December 15, 2013, 2014 and 2015.
Reynolds	20% vested immediately upon grant and 20% will vest on each of December 15, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.

- (7) These are restricted stock units granted on July 9, 2013 that are subject to time-based vesting and vest 25% per year over four years from the date of grant, provided that the named executive officer is still employed by us on such dates.

Table of Contents**Options Exercises and Stock Vested Table for Fiscal Year 2013**

The following table sets forth information with respect to the named executive officers concerning the exercise of options and the vesting of restricted stock in fiscal 2013.

Name	Option Awards		Stock awards	
	Number of Shares	Value Realized on Exercise \$(2)	Number of Shares	Value Realized on Vesting \$(2)
	Acquired on Exercise (#)		Acquired on Vesting (#)	
Foss				
Sutherland	12,000	40,320		
McKee	7,000	23,520		
Reynolds			903(1)	14,638
Morrison				

(1) For Mr. Reynolds, 306 shares were withheld to cover tax liability at the time the restricted stock vested. He received 597 shares after the 306 shares were withheld.

(2) Value realized on exercise and vesting is calculated based upon the most recent appraisal price of our common stock at the date of exercise or vesting, as applicable.

Pension Benefits for Fiscal 2013

No named executive officer participated in a pension benefit plan during fiscal 2013.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013

Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in two deferred compensation plans: the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan and the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, each of which is discussed in Other Components of Compensation in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee participated in predecessor plans to the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan and retain balances in these older plans, all of which are reflected in the table.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY \$(1)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY \$(2)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY \$(3)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE \$(3)(4)
Foss					
2007 SIRP	64,084	11,375	1,104		76,563
2005 Deferred Comp Plan					
Sutherland					
2007 SIRP	49,052	11,375	127,746		2,795,056
2005 Deferred Comp Plan					
McKee					
2007 SIRP	38,322	11,375	71,237		1,570,182
2005 Deferred Comp Plan					
Reynolds					
2007 SIRP	28,846	1,500	632		30,978
2005 Deferred Comp Plan					
Morrison					
2007 SIRP					

Table of Contents

- (1) All amounts in this column were deferred under the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan during fiscal 2013. All amounts deferred are included in the named executive officer's salary amount in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) With respect to our named executive officers, these amounts constitute the Company match to the Savings Incentive Retirement Plan for fiscal 2013, which were made in November 2013. These amounts are reported in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (3) Our Summary Compensation Table for previous years included the amount of salary deferred and Company match for those years. The amounts in the Executive Contributions column are included in the Salary column in the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal 2013 and amounts in the Registrant Contributions column are reflected in the All Other Compensation column and separately footnoted. To the extent that earnings for the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan and the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan exceeded 120% of the applicable federal rate, those excess earnings were reported in the Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column of the Summary Compensation Table as follows: for Mr. Foss, \$155, for Mr. Sutherland, \$17,915, for Ms. McKee, \$9,990, and for Mr. Reynolds, \$89.
- (4) The Aggregate Balance at Fiscal Year End includes amounts that were reported in the Summary Compensation Table for the last three fiscal years as follows: for Mr. Foss, \$75,614 (for 2013 only), for Mr. Sutherland, \$223,260, for Ms. McKee, \$170,112, and for Mr. Reynolds, \$30,435 (for 2013 only).

The 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan enables our named executive officers to defer up to 25% of their base salaries, which become our unfunded deferral obligations. We credit amounts deferred with interest at the Moody's Long Term Corporate Baa Bond Index rate for October of the previous year; which was 4.58% beginning January 1, 2013. From September 29, 2012 until December 31, 2012, we credited amounts deferred with an interest rate equal to 5.37%. Employees who participate in the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan are eligible to receive a Company matching contribution equal to 25-75% of the first 6% of their salary deferred up to the Internal Revenue Code maximum deferral limit (\$17,500 for fiscal 2013). This match is intended to replicate what the employee would have received if he or she had been able to participate in our 401(k) plans. For fiscal 2013, the Company matching contribution was 65%. Participants in the Savings Incentive Retirement Plan may only make account withdrawals if there occurs an unforeseeable emergency as defined in the plan and the withdrawal is approved by the plan administrative committee. Company match amounts are not available for a hardship withdrawal. The 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan is settled in cash following termination of employment and in compliance with certain requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

Named executive officers may defer receipt of part or all of their cash compensation under our 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan. The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan allows executives to save for retirement in a tax-deferred way at minimal cost to us. Under this unfunded Plan, amounts deferred by the executive are credited at an interest rate based on Moody's Long Term Corporate Baa Bond Index rate for October of the previous year, which was 4.58% beginning January 1, 2013. From September 29, 2012 until December 31, 2012, we credited amounts deferred with an interest rate equal to 5.37%. The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan permits participants to select a payment schedule at the time they make their deferral election, subject to a three-year minimum deferral period as long as the participant remains employed by us. All or a portion of the amount then credited to a deferral account may be withdrawn, if the withdrawal is necessary in light of a severe financial hardship.

The interest rate for both the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan and the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan will be adjusted on January 1, 2014 based on the Moody's Long Term Corporate Baa Bond Index rate for October 2013 which is 5.31%.

In connection with, and effective upon, our initial public offering, our board of directors approved the assumption by us of the obligations of Aramark Services, Inc. under the 2007 Savings Incentive Retirement Plan and the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, each as amended from time to time.

Table of Contents

Potential Post-Employment Benefits

Our named executive officers may be eligible to receive benefits in the event their employment is terminated (1) upon their retirement, disability or death, (2) by Aramark without cause, or (3) in certain circumstances following a change of control. The amount of benefits will vary based on the reason for the termination.

The following sections present a discussion and calculations, as of September 27, 2013, of the estimated benefits the named executive officers would receive in these situations. Although the calculations are intended to provide reasonable estimates of the potential benefits, they are based on numerous assumptions discussed in the footnotes to the table and may not represent the actual amount an executive would receive if an eligible termination event were to occur.

In addition to the amounts disclosed in the following sections, each of our named executive officers would retain the amounts which he or she has earned or accrued over the course of his or her employment prior to the termination event, such as the executive's balances under our deferred compensation plans and, in certain circumstances as described below, the vesting one additional tranche of unvested time-based equity and the proceeds of any unvested performance-based options that would have otherwise vested in the year following termination. For further information about previously earned and accrued amounts, see Summary Compensation Table, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2013 Year-End and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013.

Treatment of Equity Grants

Retirement, Death, Disability

Upon retirement, death or disability, our named executive officers are eligible to vest in one additional tranche of time based equity awards, performance-based stock options and performance stock units (subject to the achievement of the applicable performance target(s)) that are scheduled to vest in the year following retirement, death or disability, except that with respect to performance stock units, if the date of termination due to retirement, death or disability occurs prior to the date achievement of the applicable performance goals is certified by the compensation committee or stock committee (the PSU Determination Date), then the first tranche of performance stock units will become vested (subject to achievement of the applicable performance target(s)). In addition, vested stock options remain exercisable for one year following termination of employment due to death, disability or retirement. Mr. Sutherland has attained the retirement age under our equity plans.

Termination for Cause

Upon termination for cause, all vested stock options and unvested equity awards are immediately cancelled.

Termination without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason Prior to a Change of Control

Upon termination without cause prior to a change of control, all of our named executive officers' unvested equity awards (other than Mr. Foss stock options) are cancelled and the named executive officers have 90 days to exercise vested stock options. Upon a termination of Mr. Foss employment without cause or Mr. Foss' resignation for good reason, in each case, prior to a change of control, all time-based stock options that would have vested during the 24-month period following his termination would vest immediately.

Change of Control

With respect to equity awards granted under the 2007 Plan, upon a change of control, all time-based equity awards become immediately vested. If the change of control occurs prior to the final fiscal year of the

Table of Contents

performance-based vesting schedule for a performance-based option, a percentage of the then-unvested performance-based options which would have been eligible for vesting based on EBIT performance for the fiscal year during which the change of control occurs and those eligible for any subsequent fiscal years, equal to (x) 100% multiplied by (y) a quotient, the numerator of which is the aggregate number of performance-based options that previously became vested options prior to the fiscal year in which the change of control occurs, and the denominator of which is the aggregate number of performance-based options that were eligible to become vested options if all EBIT Targets were achieved prior to the fiscal year in which the change of control occurs, will vest. Some or all of the performance-based options also will vest if certain other events occur, including the achievement of a return or internal rate of return by our Sponsors. See Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan Based Awards Table.

With respect to equity awards granted under the 2013 Plan, upon a termination without cause (or, if applicable, a resignation for good reason) during the 2 year period following a change of control, all time-based equity awards become immediately vested and all performance stock units will become vested (i) at the target level if the termination date occurs prior to the PSU Determination Date and (ii) based on the actual performance level if the termination date occurs on or after the PSU Determination Date.

Retirement, Death and Disability

The named executive officers do not receive any special benefits upon retirement, disability or death, other than those under the Survivor Income Protection Plan and/or life insurance, as applicable, in the case of death as more fully described in the Other Components of Compensation section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or with regard to their equity awards that are more fully described above.

*Termination for Cause or Resignation without Good Reason*Mr. Foss

Mr. Foss is not entitled to any benefits under his employment agreement upon termination for cause or resignation without good reason. Termination for cause as defined in his employment agreement means termination of employment due to conviction or plea of guilty or nolo contendere to a felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude that has a substantial adverse effect on Mr. Foss' ability to perform his duties, willful and continuous failure to perform his or her duties after written notice, willful and continuous failure to perform lawfully assigned duties that are consistent with his position with the Company, willful violation of our Business Conduct Policy that causes us material harm or intentionally working against our best interests, in each case after notice and failure to cure the conduct within 15 business days. Mr. Foss is subject to a two-year non-competition covenant if his employment is terminated for cause or if he resigns without good reason.

Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee

Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee are not entitled to any benefits under their employment agreements upon termination for cause or resignation without good reason. With respect to Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee, termination for cause means termination of employment due to conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a felony, intentional fraud or dishonesty with regard to us that causes us demonstrable harm, willful and continuous failure to perform his or her lawfully assigned duties that are consistent with his or her position, willful violation of our Business Conduct Policy that causes material harm to us or our business reputation or intentionally working against our best interests, in each case after notice and failure to cure the conduct within 10 business days. Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee are subject to a two-year non-competition covenant if their employment is terminated for cause or if they resign without good reason.

Ms. Morrison

Ms. Morrison is not entitled to any benefits under her employment agreements upon termination for cause or resignation without good reason. With respect to Ms. Morrison, termination for cause means termination of employment due to conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a felony, fraud or dishonesty, willful failure to

Table of Contents

perform her assigned duties, willful violation of our Business Conduct Policy or intentionally working against our best interests. Ms. Morrison is subject to a one-year non-competition and a two-year non-solicitation covenant if her employment is terminated for cause or she resigns without good reason.

Termination without Cause / Resignation for Good Reason in the Absence of a Change of Control

Mr. Foss

If Mr. Foss is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason in the absence of a change of control (as defined in his agreement and described below), he will be entitled to the following payments and benefits:

a pro rata bonus for the year of termination based upon actual performance;

continued payment of his base salary for 24 months;

two times the prior year's bonus (if any) paid over 24 months (for 2012, this is deemed to be his full target bonus);

continued participation in the Company's basic medical and life insurance programs on the same terms as prior to termination for a period of 24 months, both for Mr. Foss and for his dependents;

continued payment of his car allowance for 24 months;

immediate vesting of time-based stock options that would have vested during the 24 month period following his termination; and

all of his vested stock options, with 90 days following termination of employment to exercise.

Mr. Foss is subject to non-competition and non-solicitation provisions for the two year period following his termination of employment.

Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee

If we terminate Messrs. Sutherland or Reynolds or Ms. McKee without cause, he or she will receive:

severance payments equal to his or her monthly base salary for 12 to 18 months, depending on length of service (Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee would receive severance for 18 months, while Mr. Reynolds would receive severance for 12 months, based on their respective length of service), made in the course of our normal payroll cycle;

participation in our basic medical and life insurance programs during the period over which he or she receives severance payments, with the employee's share of premiums deducted from the severance payments;

continuation of his or her car allowance payments during the severance period; and

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

all of his or her vested stock options, with 90 days following termination of employment to exercise. Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee are subject to a two-year non-competition covenant if their employment is terminated in the absence of a change of control and it is reduced to one year if, following a change of control, they are terminated without cause or they resign for good reason.

Ms. Morrison

If we terminate Ms. Morrison without cause, she will receive:

severance payments equal to her monthly base salary for 26 weeks made in the course of our normal payroll cycle;

participation in our basic medical and life insurance programs during the period over which she receives severance payments, with her share of premiums deducted from the severance payments;

continuation of her car allowance payments, as applicable, during the severance period; and

all of her vested stock options, with 90 days following termination of employment to exercise.

Table of Contents

Ms. Morrison is subject to non-disclosure and non-disparagement obligations, a one-year non-competition covenant and a two-year non-solicitation covenant after termination of employment under her agreement.

Termination without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason in Relation to a Change of Control

Mr. Foss

Our employment agreement with Mr. Foss contains a double trigger to be initiated, there must be a change of control followed by an involuntary loss of employment or decrease in responsibilities within three years thereafter, or employment must be terminated in anticipation of a change of control. If we terminate Mr. Foss employment without cause during the three-year period following a change of control or he resigns for good reason following a change of control, Mr. Foss would receive:

a pro-rata portion of his annual target bonus in effect on the date of the change of control or on the date of termination, whichever is higher, in a lump sum;

two times his base salary in effect on the date of the change of control or on the date of termination, whichever is higher, payable over 24 months;

two times the higher of his annual target bonus in effect on the date of the change of control or his most recent annual bonus, whichever is higher, payable over 24 months;

outplacement counseling in an amount not to exceed 20% of his base salary, for a period of 24 months;

continued participation in our medical (for Mr. Foss and his dependents), life and disability insurance programs on the same terms as in effect immediately prior to his termination, for a period of 24 months;

continued payment of his car allowance, if provided at the time of termination, for a period of 24 months; and

accelerated vesting of outstanding equity-based awards or retirement plan benefits (this would not be applicable to Mr. Foss for 2013 as he does not have any unvested retirement plan benefits) as is specified under the terms of the applicable plans. See Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan Based Awards Table.

Change of control is defined in Mr. Foss agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition to include the following:

an entity or group other than us, our Sponsors or one of our employee benefit plans acquires more than 50% of our voting stock;

the Company experiences a reorganization, merger or sale or disposition of substantially all of our assets or we purchase the assets or stock of another entity unless the stockholders prior to the transaction own at least 50% of the voting stock after the transaction and no person owns a majority of the voting stock (unless that ownership existed before the transaction); or

a majority of the members of our board are replaced during any 12-month period and the new directors are not endorsed by a majority of the Company's board before the replacement or the replacement is not contemplated by our stockholders agreement.

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

In addition to termination by us following a change of control, Mr. Foss' employment agreement provides the same benefits to him if he resigns for good reason following a change of control. Good reason is defined in Mr. Foss' agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition as:

any diminution in title or reporting relationships, or substantial diminution in duties or responsibilities (other than a change of control after which we are no longer publicly held or independent) including the requirement that he report to any person or entity other than our board;

reduction in base salary or target annual bonus opportunity, other than, prior to a change of control, an across-the-board reduction applicable to all senior executives;

Table of Contents

the relocation of his principal place of employment by more than 35 miles in a direction further away from his current residence;

a material decrease in his employee benefits in the aggregate; and

failure to pay or provide (in any material respect) the compensation and benefits under his employment letter agreement or his agreement relating to employment and post-employment competition.

Mr. Foss must provide 90 days' written notice that he is resigning for good reason and the Company then has 30 days to cure. If the condition is not cured, Mr. Foss has 30 days from the end of the cure period to resign for good reason.

Mr. Foss' employment agreement also provides that if any payments to Mr. Foss in connection with a change of control of the Company would constitute excess parachute payments that are subject to excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, such payments will be subject to a reduction to avoid any such excise taxes that may be due, if such reduction results in Mr. Foss retaining a greater after-tax amount than if Mr. Foss paid the excise taxes otherwise due. Mr. Foss is not eligible to receive a gross-up payment in respect of any such excise taxes he may pay. During his employment term and for a period of two years thereafter, Mr. Foss would be subject to non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions with the Company.

Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee

Our employment agreements with Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee contain a "double trigger" to be initiated, there must be a change of control followed by a termination of employment by us without cause or by them for good reason within three years thereafter, or in anticipation of a change of control. We chose to implement a "double trigger" because we were advised by Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. that a "double trigger" is more common in the market than a "single trigger." In addition, in connection with the 2007 Transaction, change of control amounts were paid to Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee under their previous employment agreements. With respect to Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee, a change of control is deemed to occur if:

an entity or group other than our Sponsors acquires more than 50% of our voting stock;

the Company experiences a reorganization, merger or sale or disposition of substantially all of our assets or we purchase the assets or stock of another entity unless the stockholders prior to the transaction own at least 50% of the voting stock after the transaction and no person owns a majority of the voting stock (unless that ownership existed before the transaction); or

a majority of the members of our board are replaced during any 12-month period and the new directors are not endorsed by a majority of the Company's board before the replacement or the replacement is not contemplated by our stockholders' agreement.

In addition to termination by us following a change of control, the employment agreements with Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee provide the same benefits to them if they resign for good reason following a change of control. Good reason is defined in their employment agreements as any of the following actions occurring after a change of control:

a decrease in base salary or target bonus;

a material decrease in aggregate employee benefits;

diminution in title or substantial diminution in reporting relationship or responsibilities; or

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

relocation of his or her principal place of business by 35 miles or more.

If Messrs. Sutherland or Reynolds or Ms. McKee's employment is terminated by us without cause or if he or she resigns with good reason (as defined in his or her employment agreement), following a Change of Control, he or she is entitled to the following in addition to severance payments and benefits, which are also included in the change of control amounts in the table (see Employment Agreements and Change of Control Arrangements):

cash severance benefits based on a multiple of two times his or her base salary and target bonus (or the prior year's actual bonus, if higher) over a two-year period according to our payroll cycle;

Table of Contents

a lump sum payment, within 40 days after his or her termination date, equal to the portion of his or her target bonus attributable to the portion of the fiscal year served prior to termination, plus any earned but unpaid amounts;

continued medical, life and disability insurance at our expense for a two-year period following termination;

outplacement counseling in an amount not to exceed 20% of base salary; and

accelerated vesting of outstanding equity-based awards or retirement plan benefits (this would not be applicable to Messrs. Sutherland or Reynolds or Ms. McKee for 2013 as they do not have any unvested retirement plan benefits) as is specified under the terms of the applicable plans. See Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan Based Awards Table. Messrs. Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee are subject to a one-year non-competition covenant if their employment is terminated without cause or they terminate for good reason, each after a change of control.

If the payments made to Mr. Sutherland or Ms. McKee were to result in excise taxes or interest and penalties, the Company is required to gross up the payments to Mr. Sutherland or Ms. McKee for the income or excise tax imposed. This gross-up provision ensures that Mr. Sutherland or Ms. McKee receives the full benefit of payments related to a change of control to which they are entitled. If a change of control were to have occurred at the end of fiscal 2013, excise tax would have been imposed on Messrs. Foss, Sutherland and Reynolds and Ms. McKee and, therefore, the table below includes any gross-up for excise taxes for Mr. Sutherland or Ms. McKee.

Mr. Reynolds' employment agreement also provides that if any payments to Mr. Reynolds in connection with a change of control of the Company would constitute excess parachute payments that are subject to excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, such payments will be subject to a reduction to avoid any such excise taxes that may be due, if such reduction results in Mr. Reynolds retaining a greater after-tax amount than if Mr. Reynolds paid the excise taxes otherwise due. Mr. Reynolds is not eligible to receive a gross-up payment in respect of any such excise taxes he may pay.

Ms. Morrison

Ms. Morrison is not entitled to any additional benefits under her employment agreement upon termination without cause after a change of control, other than severance benefits as follows:

severance payments equal to her monthly base salary for 26 weeks made in the course of our normal payroll cycle;

participation in our basic medical and life insurance programs during the period over which she receives severance payments, with her share of premiums deducted from the severance payments;

continuation of her car allowance payments during the severance period;

all of her vested stock options; and

accelerated vesting of unvested time-based options and restricted stock units in accordance with our 2007 Stock Plan. There is no concept of "good reason" in her employment agreement.

Estimated Benefits Upon Termination

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

The following table shows potential payments to our named executive officers under existing contracts, agreements, plans or arrangements, whether written or unwritten, for various scenarios involving a termination of employment, assuming a September 27, 2013 termination date and using the appraisal price of our common stock (\$16.88) as of September 27, 2013. The named executive officers would also be eligible to receive their accrued

Table of Contents

deferred compensation (see Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013), which does not automatically accelerate upon a change of control. Certain of the named executive officers have optional life insurance for which they pay 100% of the premium.

This table shows amounts that would be payable under existing employment and post-employment competition and other agreements.

Name	Retirement \$	Death(4) \$	Disability \$	Termination w/out cause (5) \$	Change of Control (6) \$
Foss(7)					
Cash Payment (Lump Sum)		2,000,000		2,085,750	2,085,750
Cash Payment (Over Time)				6,831,000	6,952,500
Vested Stock Options(1)		1,080,250	1,080,250	1,080,250	1,080,250
Unvested Stock Options, Restricted Stock and RSUs(2)		2,732,698	2,732,698	1,613,113	9,850,543
Perquisites(3)				76,602	367,722
Total		5,812,948	3,812,948	11,686,715	20,336,765
Sutherland(8)					
Cash Payment (Lump Sum)		1,000,000			659,200
Cash Payment (Over Time)		4,032,000		1,236,000	7,038,374
Vested Stock Options(1)	14,472,448	14,472,448	14,472,448	14,472,448	14,472,448
Unvested Stock Options, Restricted Stock and RSUs(2)	1,114,380	1,114,380	1,114,380		1,701,016
Perquisites(3)				43,377	277,555
Total	15,586,828	20,618,828	15,586,828	15,751,825	24,148,593
McKee(9)					
Cash Payment (Lump Sum)		1,500,000			515,000
Cash Payment (Over Time)		3,040,625		965,625	5,473,471
Vested Stock Options(1)		9,273,696	9,273,696	9,273,696	9,273,696
Unvested Stock Options, Restricted Stock and RSUs(2)		814,630	814,630		1,528,016
Perquisites(3)				20,872	159,970
Total		14,628,951	10,088,326	10,260,193	16,950,153
Reynolds(10)					
Cash Payment (Lump Sum)		2,000,000			400,000
Cash Payment (Over Time)				500,000	2,300,000
Vested Stock Options(1)		7,435	7,435	7,435	7,435
Unvested Stock Options, Restricted Stock and RSUs(2)		259,468	259,468		1,030,437
Perquisites(3)				34,988	161,243
Total		2,266,903	266,903	542,423	3,899,115
Morrison(11)					
Cash Payment (Lump Sum)		2,000,000			
Cash Payment (Over Time)				250,000	250,000
Vested Stock Options(1)					
Unvested Stock Options, Restricted Stock and RSUs(2)		191,515	191,515		766,060
Perquisites(3)				17,760	17,760
Total		2,191,515	191,515	267,760	1,033,820

Table of Contents

- (1) Represents vested stock options as of the end of the fiscal year. Calculations with regard to stock options are based upon the most recent appraisal price of our common stock (\$16.88) as of September 27, 2013. Vested stock options are included in the table due to the fact that absent the events set forth in the table, the named executive officers would not have been able to gain any liquidity as of September 27, 2013 from any of the stock they would receive upon exercising vested options.
- (2) Represents unvested stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units that would vest upon the occurrence of the specified event. Calculations with regard to stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units are based upon the most recent appraisal price of our common stock (\$16.88) as of September 27, 2013.
- (a) Only Mr. Sutherland has attained the eligible retirement age of 60 under the 2007 Stock Plan. Therefore, the accelerated vesting for equity awards on retirement would apply only to Mr. Sutherland.
- (b) In the case of death or disability of any named executive officer, amounts were calculated assuming that all time-based options, restricted stock and restricted stock units scheduled to vest in fiscal 2014 vest and the performance-based options granted in 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 that were scheduled to vest based upon the achievement of the 2013 EBIT target would vest.
- (c) Unvested stock option amounts (which apply to options not yet vested) on a change of control for named executive officers assume that unvested performance-based options scheduled to vest based upon the achievement on the 2013 EBIT target that were granted in 2009 and 2010 vest at a rate of 50%, which is the blended achieved rate for the vesting of performance-based stock options based on the 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 EBIT targets. Stock option amounts on a change of control for named executive officers assume that unvested performance-based options scheduled to vest based upon the achievement on the 2013 EBIT target that were granted in 2011 vest at a rate of 67%, which is the achieved rate for the vesting of performance-based stock options based on the 2011, 2012 and 2013 EBIT targets. Stock option amounts on a change of control for named executive officers assume that unvested performance-based options scheduled to vest based upon the achievement on the 2013 EBIT target that were granted in 2012 vest at a rate of 100% which is the achieved rate for the vesting of performance-based stock options based on the 2013 EBIT target. Assumes that other events that would trigger vesting of performance-based options do not occur, including the achievement of a return or internal rate of return by our Sponsors. See Grants of Plan Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013 and Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan Based Awards Table. Unvested time based stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units would become fully vested upon a change of control and such full vesting is reflected in the table.
- (3) The following assumptions were used in our calculation of the cost of perquisites in connection with termination of employment: a 5% increase annually for health insurance premiums, dental insurance premiums, vision insurance premiums and excess health, with 2013 used as the base year, and no increase annually for life and accident insurance premiums.
- (4) Includes amounts payable under the Survivor Income Protection Plan (for Mr. Sutherland and Ms. McKee), various term life insurance policies and accidental death and dismemberment policies for which we pay all or part of the premium, which amounts are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (5) For Mr. Foss, the Termination Without Cause column means termination without cause or resignation for Good Reason (as defined in his employment arrangements) prior to a change of control.
- (6) Cash payments and perquisites included in this column will only be paid to or received by the named executive officers if they are terminated following the change of control.
- (7) Included in Mr. Foss perquisites: (a) in the case of termination without cause, are basic medical and life insurance coverage and a car allowance over a 24-month severance period; and (b) in the case of a change of control, are health care, accident, disability and survivor insurance premiums for two years and a car allowance for 24-months, as well as outplacement benefits of 20% of his base salary for 24 months. Mr. Foss would incur excise tax if a change of control of the Company had occurred on September 27, 2013, as his payout would be considered a parachute payment. He is not entitled to a 280G gross up, but under the terms of his employment agreement, if his payout on a change of control would be considered a parachute payment, we would reduce his payments if that reduction (to avoid the excise tax) would result in him receiving a greater after tax amount than he would have received had he been paid the full amount and then paid the excise tax. If Mr. Foss would receive a greater after tax amount if his payout were cut back to

Table of Contents

avoid the excise tax, his payments on change of control would be reduced. In the event that Mr. Foss' payments were considered parachute payments, the Company would lose the tax deduction for all amounts it paid to Mr. Foss above the base amount as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

- (8) (a) Only Mr. Sutherland has attained the eligible retirement age of 60 under the 2007 Stock Plan. Therefore, the accelerated vesting for equity awards on retirement would apply only to Mr. Sutherland.
- (b) Included in the amount paid to Mr. Sutherland over time upon a change of control is \$2,835,974 which is the gross up amount to compensate him for excise tax imposed.
- (c) Included in Mr. Sutherland's perquisites: (i) in the case of termination without cause, are basic medical and life insurance coverage and a car allowance over an 18-month severance period; and (ii) in the case of a change of control, are health care, accident, disability and survivor insurance premiums for two years, a car allowance for eighteen months and outplacement benefits of 20% of his base salary.
- (9) (a) Included in the amount paid to Ms. McKee over time upon a change of control is \$2,190,346 which is the gross up amount to compensate her for excise tax imposed.
- (b) Included in Ms. McKee's perquisites: (i) in the case of termination without cause, are basic life insurance coverage and a car allowance over an 18-month severance period; and (ii) in the case of a change of control, are health care, accident, disability and survivor insurance premiums for two years, a car allowance for 18 months, as well as outplacement benefits of 20% of her base salary.
- (10) (a) Mr. Reynolds would incur excise tax if a change of control of the Company had occurred on September 27, 2013, as his payout would be considered a parachute payment. He is not entitled to a 280G gross up, but under the terms of his employment agreement, if his payout on a change of control would be considered a parachute payment, we would reduce his payments if that reduction (to avoid the excise tax) would result in him receiving a greater after tax amount than he would have received had he been paid the full amount and then paid the excise tax. If Mr. Reynolds would receive a greater after tax amount if his payout were cut back to avoid the excise tax, his payments on change of control would be reduced. In the event that Mr. Reynolds' payments were considered parachute payments, the Company would lose the deduction for all amounts it paid to Mr. Reynolds above the base amount as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.
- (b) Included in Mr. Reynolds' perquisites: (i) in the case of termination without cause, are basic medical and life insurance coverage and a car allowance over a 12-month severance period; and (ii) in the case of a change of control, are health care, accident, disability and survivor insurance premiums for two years, a car allowance for 12 months, and outplacement benefits of 20% of his base salary.
- (11) Included in Ms. Morrison's perquisites, in the case of termination without cause, are basic medical and life insurance coverage and receipt of a car allowance over a 26-week severance period.

Amended and Restated Senior Executive Performance Bonus Plan

In connection with our initial public offering, on November 12, 2013, the Board of Directors adopted, and the stockholders approved, the Amended and Restated Aramark Senior Executive Performance Bonus Plan (the "Amended Performance Bonus Plan"). The Amended Performance Bonus Plan is intended to provide for annual bonus awards for the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives designated by the compensation committee administering the plan. The compensation committee will administer the plan and establish in writing the amount of the bonus award and the performance measure or measures for each participant not later than 90 days after the beginning of any performance period (or prior to the expiration of 25% of the performance period, if the performance period is less than 12 months). The Amended Performance Bonus Plan will be effective through the first meeting of the stockholders of the Company occurring in 2017. The compensation committee may amend the Amended Performance Bonus Plan from time to time as it deems desirable; provided, that no such amendment may, without stockholder approval, increase the scope of the group of employees who may receive compensation, change the permitted performance measures, increase the maximum bonus award payable or make any other change requiring further stockholder approval under Section 162(m).

The bonus award will be based on the attainment of target levels of, a targeted percentage increase in, or, to the extent permitted under Section 162(m), solely the achievement of, one or more of the following measures

Table of Contents

(which may be expressly modified by the compensation committee with respect to the relevant performance period): (1) earnings before interest and taxes, (2) return on net assets, (3) net income, (4) after tax return on investment, (5) sales, (6) revenues, (7) earnings per share, (8) total shareholder return, (9) return on equity, (10) return on investment, (11) total business return, (12) return on gross investment, (13) operating cash flow, (14) free cash flow, (15) operating income, (16) pretax income or (17) stock price appreciation. The measures may be based on our absolute performance or our performance relative to a peer group or other external measure of selected performance. In all events, the performance measures will be established in a manner intended to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m).

A participant in the Amended Performance Bonus Plan is only entitled to the award if the performance goals are attained and if such participant is employed by the Company or one of its subsidiaries on the last day of the applicable performance period. An award pursuant to the Amended Performance Bonus Plan is payable in the form of cash or settled shares of common stock, restricted stock units that are settled in common stock or a combination thereof, with any stock-based awards settled under the 2013 Stock Plan. The maximum bonus payment for any participant in respect of any performance period that is established as the fiscal year of the Company under the Amended Performance Bonus Plan is \$6,000,000. In addition, the compensation committee may, in its discretion, pay to any participant under the Amended Performance Bonus Plan an additional, discretionary bonus award in respect of any performance period, whether or not based on achievement of performance measures in an amount not to exceed \$6,000,000 to any participant in any one fiscal year.

Fifth Amended and Restated 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan

We established the 2007 Stock Plan, which became effective on January 25, 2007, as amended and restated from time to time. In connection with our initial public offering, on November 12, 2013, our board of directors adopted, and our stockholders approved, the fifth amendment and restatement of the 2007 Stock Plan, which became effective December 1, 2013. The purpose of the 2007 Stock Plan was to further our growth and success by enabling directors, employees and consultants of the Company and its affiliates to acquire shares of our common stock thereby increasing their personal interest in such growth and success and to provide a means of rewarding outstanding performance by such persons to the Company and its affiliates. Prior to the effective date of our initial public offering, the Company granted awards under the 2007 Stock Plan to directors and employees of, or consultants to, the Company or any of its affiliates, which awards included nonqualified stock options, restricted shares of common stock, the opportunity to purchase shares of common stock, and other stock-based awards. The Company also made certain grants in connection with our initial public offering. No further awards will be granted under the 2007 Stock Plan.

Share Reserve. Subject to the adjustment provisions in the 2007 Stock Plan described further below, the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock reserved under the 2007 Stock Plan is 55,797,497. To the extent an award is forfeited, cancelled, redeemed, terminated or expires unexercised, the number of shares of Common Stock subject to such award would become available again for grant under the 2007 Stock Plan, if any future grants were to be contemplated under the 2007 Stock Plan. Shares of Common Stock that are used to pay the exercise price of an award or to satisfy tax withholding obligations, including shares redeemed as part of a net exercise settlement, would also become available for future grants under the 2007 Stock Plan.

Eligibility. Prior to the effective date of our initial public offering, awards were granted only to directors and employees of, or consultants to, the Company or any of its affiliates on the date of the grant. The compensation committee, a sub-committee or other committee of our board of directors appointed pursuant to the 2007 Stock Plan or our board of directors (such committee, the 2007 Plan Committee) is authorized to determine eligibility of particular persons who will receive awards.

Administration. The 2007 Stock Plan is administered by the 2007 Plan Committee. The 2007 Plan Committee is authorized to approve the forms of award agreements, construe and interpret the 2007 Stock Plan and any award agreement, make factual determinations, further define the terms used in the 2007 Stock Plan, and prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the administration of the 2007 Stock Plan. The

Table of Contents

2007 Plan Committee may also cancel, modify, waive, terminate, accelerate, extend the exercisability or extend the term of any or all outstanding awards, subject to any consent required under the 2007 Stock Plan, and make all other determinations and take such other action as contemplated by or as may be necessary or advisable for the administration of the 2007 Stock Plan, other than the amendment of any Plan provision, which power and authority shall be held by our board of directors and, to the extent applicable, subject to the Stockholders Agreement.

Options. Prior to the effective date of our initial public offering, the 2007 Plan Committee determined whether and to what extent any options were subject to vesting based upon the participant's continued service to the Company and its subsidiaries, and/or upon any other basis. The 2007 Plan Committee also determined the exercise price for each option, except that the option must have had an exercise price that was at least equal to the fair market value per share on the date the option was granted.

An option holder may exercise an option granted under the 2007 Stock Plan and pay the option price, to the extent permitted by applicable law and subject to the provisions of the 2007 Stock Plan, (1) in cash, (2) by surrender of shares of common stock with fair market value equal to the option price, (3) pursuant to a net exercise arrangement where a number of shares with a fair market value equal to the exercise price are withheld by us in satisfaction of the exercise price, or (4), in compliance with any cashless exercise program under the 2007 Stock Plan at the time of such exercise.

Other Stock-Based Awards. Prior to the effective date of our initial public offering, the 2007 Plan Committee could, subject to the Stockholders Agreement and the reserved shares limit, grant or sell awards of shares, including awards of restricted stock, purchased stock, and awards that are valued in whole or in part by reference to, or are otherwise based on fair market value of shares, including awards of deferred stock units or restricted stock units. The 2007 Plan Committee also determined terms and conditions of such other stock based awards including, without limitation, vesting conditions, to whom and when other stock-based awards will be made, the number of shares to be awarded and whether such awards may be settled in cash, shares or a combination of cash and shares.

Adjustments Upon Certain Events. In the event of a stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split, share combination, or recapitalization or similar event affecting the capital structure of the Company, an extraordinary cash dividend, separation, spin-off or a reorganization, or a merger, consolidation, acquisition of property or shares, stock rights offering, liquidation, disaffiliation, or similar event affecting the Company or any of its subsidiaries, the 2007 Plan Committee will act in good faith and make appropriate and equitable substitutions or adjustments, as applicable, to (A) the aggregate number and kind of shares or other securities reserved for issuance and delivery under the 2007 Stock Plan, (B) the number and kind of shares or other securities subject to outstanding awards; (C) performance metrics and targets underlying outstanding awards; and (D) the option exercise price of outstanding options. In the case of a corporate transaction that does not constitute a change of control of us (Change of Control), the 2007 Plan Committee will act in good faith and make appropriate and equitable substitutions or adjustments which may include, without limitation, (1) the cancellation of outstanding awards in exchange for the same amount and kind of consideration, in the same proportion, as that received by each of our sponsors; and (2) the substitution of other property for the shares subject to outstanding awards.

In the case of a corporate transaction that does constitute a Change of Control, unless a participant agrees otherwise with respect to his own awards, then all outstanding awards will be cancelled in exchange for, on a per share basis, the same amount and kind of consideration, in the same proportion as that received by each of our Sponsors.

In the event of an extraordinary cash distribution on our shares, the option exercise price of each option will be reduced by the amount of such cash distribution, but only to the extent permitted without subjecting such option to tax under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. If the adjustment amount exceeds the reduction permitted without subjecting such option to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, then, if and when the option becomes a vested option, the holder thereof may receive, in addition to the shares subject to such option, an amount in cash or in the form of additional shares having a value equal to such excess.

Table of Contents

Limitations on Transferability. Generally, awards granted under the 2007 Stock Plan are not assignable or otherwise transferable, except by designation of a beneficiary in compliance with any applicable laws, by will or by the laws of descent and distribution.

Amendment and Termination. The 2007 Stock Plan may be modified or amended in any respect by our board of directors or by the 2007 Plan Committee with the prior approval of our board of directors, except that the 2007 Stock Plan may not be modified or amended as it pertains to any existing award agreement without the consent of an applicable participant where such modification or amendment would materially impair the rights of such participant. In addition, no such amendment may be made without the approval of the Company's stockholders to the extent such approval is required by applicable law or regulation or the listing standards of the securities exchange, which is, at the applicable time, the principal market for our common stock.

2013 Stock Incentive Plan

In connection with our initial public offering, on November 12, 2013, our board of directors adopted, and, our stockholders approved, the Aramark 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2013 Stock Plan).

Purpose. The purpose of the 2013 Stock Plan is to provide a means through which to attract and retain key personnel and to provide a means whereby our current and prospective directors, officers, employees, consultants and advisors can acquire and maintain an equity interest in us, or be paid incentive compensation, which may (but need not) be measured by reference to the value of our common stock, thereby strengthening their commitment to our welfare and aligning their interests with those of our stockholders.

Administration. The 2013 Stock Plan is administered by the compensation committee of our board of directors, a sub-committee or other committee of our board of directors as may be appointed pursuant to the 2013 Stock Plan or our board of directors (as applicable, the 2013 Plan Committee). The 2013 Plan Committee has the sole and plenary authority to establish the terms and conditions of any award and any amendments thereto consistent with the provisions of the 2013 Stock Plan. The 2013 Plan Committee is authorized to interpret, administer, reconcile any inconsistency in, correct any defect in and/or supply any omission in the 2013 Stock Plan and any instrument or agreement relating to, or any award granted under, the 2013 Stock Plan; establish, amend, suspend, or waive any rules and regulations and appoint such agents as the 2013 Plan Committee deems appropriate for the proper administration of the 2013 Stock Plan; accelerate the vesting or exercisability of, payment for or lapse of restrictions on awards; and to make any other determination and take any other action that the 2013 Plan Committee deems necessary or desirable for the administration of the 2013 Stock Plan.

Shares Subject to the 2013 Stock Plan. The 2013 Stock Plan provides that the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2013 Stock Plan is 25,500,000. Of this amount, no more than 2,000,000 shares of common stock may be issued upon the exercise of incentive stock options; no more than 2,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options or stock appreciation rights may be granted to any single participant during any calendar year; no more than 800,000 shares of common stock may be earned by any single participant in respect of a single calendar year during a performance period or in the event any such award is paid in cash, other securities or other property, no more than the fair market value of 800,000 shares of common stock on the last day of the performance period to which such award relates; and the maximum amount that can be paid to any single participant in any one calendar year pursuant to a cash bonus award is \$8,000,000. To the extent an award is forfeited, cancelled, redeemed, terminated or expires unexercised, the number of shares of common stock subject to such award will become available again for grant under the 2013 Stock Plan. Shares of common stock that are used to pay the exercise price of an award or to satisfy tax withholding obligations, including shares redeemed as part of a net exercise settlement, will become available for future grant under the 2013 Stock Plan.

Awards may, in the sole discretion of the 2013 Plan Committee, be granted in assumption of, or in substitution for, outstanding awards previously granted by an entity acquired by us or with which we combine

Table of Contents

(referred to as substitute awards). The number of shares of common stock underlying any substitute awards will not be counted against the total number of shares of common stock available for awards under the 2013 Stock Plan. No award may be granted under the 2013 Stock Plan during any suspension of the 2013 Stock Plan or after the tenth anniversary of the effective date (as defined therein), but awards theretofore granted may extend beyond that date.

Options. The 2013 Plan Committee may grant non-qualified stock options and incentive stock options, under the 2013 Stock Plan. All stock options granted under the 2013 Stock Plan are required to have a per share exercise price that is not less than 100% of the fair market value of our common stock underlying such stock options on the date the option is granted, and all stock options that are intended to qualify as incentive stock options must be granted pursuant to an award agreement expressly stating that the option is intended to qualify as an incentive stock option, and will be subject to the terms and conditions that comply with the rules as may be prescribed by Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code. The maximum term for stock options granted under the 2013 Stock Plan will be ten years from the initial date of grant, or five years with respect to any stock options intended to qualify as incentive stock options granted to a participant who owns stock representing more than 10% of the voting power of all classes of stock of us or any of our affiliates.

The purchase price for the shares as to which a stock option is exercised may be paid to us, to the extent permitted by law and subject to the limitations set forth in the 2013 Stock Plan: (i) in cash, (ii) by surrender of shares of common stock having a fair market value equal to the aggregate exercise price for the shares being purchased, (iii) through a net exercise arrangement where a number of shares with a fair market value equal to the exercise price is withheld by us in satisfaction of the exercise price and tax withholding obligations; (iv) in other property having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the purchase price; (v) through the delivery of irrevocable instructions to a broker to sell the shares being acquired upon the exercise of the stock option and to deliver to us the amount of the proceeds of such sale equal to the aggregate exercise price for the shares being purchased, or (vi) a combination of the foregoing methods. Any fractional shares of common stock will be settled in cash.

Stock Appreciation Rights. The 2013 Plan Committee may grant stock appreciation rights under the 2013 Stock Plan. Generally, each stock appreciation right will entitle the participant upon exercise to an amount (in cash, shares or a combination of cash and shares, as determined by the 2013 Plan Committee) equal to the product of (i) the excess of (A) the fair market value on the exercise date of one share of common stock, over (B) the strike price per share, times (ii) the numbers of shares of common stock covered by the stock appreciation right being exercised. The strike price per share of a stock appreciation right granted in tandem with an option will be the exercise price of the related option and in the case of a stock appreciation right granted independent of an option, the fair market value on the date of grant (other than in the case of stock appreciation rights granted in substitution of previously granted awards).

Restricted Shares and Restricted Stock Units. The 2013 Plan Committee may grant restricted shares of our common stock or restricted stock units, representing the right to receive, upon the expiration of the applicable restricted period, one share of common stock for each restricted stock unit, or, if provided in a restricted stock unit award agreement, in the sole discretion of the 2013 Plan Committee, the cash value thereof (or any combination thereof). As to restricted shares of our common stock, subject to the other provisions of the 2013 Stock Plan, the holder will generally have the rights and privileges of a stockholder as to such restricted shares of common stock, including without limitation the right to vote such restricted shares of common stock. A holder of restricted stock units will have no rights as a stockholder until such time as the award has vested and any other applicable conditions and/or criteria have been satisfied and the shares of common stock underlying the award have been issued to the holder.

Stock Bonus Awards. The 2013 Plan Committee may issue unrestricted common stock, or other awards denominated in shares of common stock, either alone or in tandem with other awards, under the 2013 Stock Plan.

Table of Contents

Deferred Stock Units. The 2013 Plan Committee may grant deferred stock units under the 2013 Stock Plan. Generally, each deferred stock unit will entitle the holder thereof to receive one share of common stock on the date the deferred stock unit becomes vested or upon a specified settlement date thereafter (which settlement date may, but is not required to, be the date of the participant's termination of relationship). A holder of deferred stock units will have no rights as a stockholder until such time as the award has vested and any other applicable conditions and/or criteria have been satisfied and the shares of common stock underlying the award have been issued to the holder.

Dividend Equivalents. The 2013 Plan Committee may grant dividend equivalents based on dividends declared on the common stock, to be credited as of the dividend payment dates during the period between the date an award is granted to a participant and the date such award vests, is exercised, is distributed or expires, as determined by the 2013 Plan Committee. Such dividend equivalents will be converted to cash, additional awards or additional shares of common stock by such formula and at such time and subject to such limitations as may be determined by the 2013 Plan Committee.

Performance Compensation Awards. The 2013 Plan Committee may also designate any award as a performance compensation award intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The 2013 Plan Committee also has the authority to make an award of a cash bonus to any participant and designate such award as a performance compensation award under the 2013 Stock Plan. The 2013 Plan Committee has sole discretion to select the length of any applicable performance periods, the types of performance compensation awards to be issued, the applicable performance criteria and performance goals, and the kinds and/or levels of performance goals that are to apply. The performance criteria that will be used to establish the performance goals will be based on the attainment of target levels of, a targeted percentage increase in, or, to the extent permitted under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, solely the achievement of, one or more of the following Company or business group measures (which may be expressly modified by the 2013 Plan Committee with respect to the relevant performance period): (i) earnings before interest and taxes, (ii) return on net assets, (iii) net income, (iv) after tax return on investment, (v) sales, (vi) revenues, (vii) earnings per share, (viii) total shareholder return, (ix) return on equity, (x) return on investment, (xi) total business return, (xii) return on gross investment, (xiii) operating cash flow, (xiv) free cash flow, (xv) operating income, (xvi) pretax income or (xvii) stock price appreciation. The measures may be based on our absolute performance or our performance relative to a peer group or other external measure of selected performance. The 2013 Plan Committee also has the authority to provide for accelerated vesting of any award based on the achievement of performance goals pursuant to the performance criteria specified above. To the extent required under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the 2013 Plan Committee will, within the first ninety (90) days of a performance period (or, if longer or shorter, within the maximum period allowed under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code), define in an objective fashion the manner of calculating the performance criteria it selects to use for such performance period and thereafter promptly communicate such performance criteria to the participant and record them in writing.

Change in Capital Structure and Similar Events. In the event of (a) any dividend, extraordinary cash dividend or other distribution (whether in the form of securities or other property), recapitalization, stock split, reverse stock split, reorganization, merger, consolidation, split-up, split-off, combination, repurchase or exchange of our shares of common stock or other securities, issuance of warrants or other rights to acquire our shares of common stock or other securities, or other similar corporate transaction or event (including, without limitation, a change of control, as defined in the 2013 Stock Plan) that affects the shares of common stock, or (b) unusual or nonrecurring events (including, without limitation, a change of control) affecting us, any of our affiliates, or the financial statements of us or any of our affiliates, or changes in applicable rules, rulings, regulations or other requirements of any governmental body or securities exchange or inter-dealer quotation system, accounting principles or law, such that in either case an adjustment is determined by the 2013 Plan Committee in its sole discretion to be necessary or appropriate, then the 2013 Plan Committee must make any such adjustments in such manner as it may deem equitable, including without limitation, any or all of: (i) adjusting any or all of (A) the number of our shares of common stock or other securities which may be delivered in respect of awards or with

Table of Contents

respect to which awards may be granted under the 2013 Stock Plan and (B) the terms of any outstanding award, including, without limitation, (1) the number of shares of common stock subject to outstanding awards or to which outstanding awards relate, (2) the exercise price or strike price with respect to any award or (3) any applicable performance measures; (ii) providing for a substitution or assumption of awards, accelerating the exercisability of, lapse of restrictions on, or termination of, awards or providing for a period of time for participants to exercise outstanding awards prior to the occurrence of such event; and (iii) cancelling any one or more outstanding awards and causing to be paid to the holders, in cash, shares of common stock, other securities or other property, or any combination, the value of such awards, if any, as determined by the 2013 Plan Committee (which if applicable may be based upon the price per share of common stock received or to be received by other stockholders of the Company in such event), including without limitation, in the case of options and stock appreciation rights, a cash payment equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares of common stock subject to the option or stock appreciation right over the aggregate exercise price thereof.

Effect of Change of Control. Except to the extent otherwise provided in an award agreement, in the event of (i) the occurrence of a change of control of us (Change of Control) and (ii) thereafter, there is a termination of relationship of a participant by us without cause or by the participant for good reason (if applicable) that occurs prior to the second anniversary of the date of such Change of Control, then notwithstanding any provision of the 2013 Stock Plan to the contrary, with respect to all or any portion of the participant's outstanding award or awards: (a) the then outstanding options and stock appreciation rights will become immediately exercisable on the date of the termination of relationship; (b) the period of restriction applicable to awards will expire as on the date of the termination of relationship (including without limitation a waiver of any applicable performance goals); (c) performance periods in effect on the date the termination of relationship will end on such date, and all applicable performance goals will be deemed to have been achieved at the applicable target levels of performance; and (d) all awards that have been previously deferred to be settled in full as soon as practicable, but if and only if, with respect to an award which provides for the deferral of compensation and is subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, (I) such termination of relationship occurs prior to the second anniversary of the Change of Control and (II) such settlement does not contradict any pre-existing deferral election under any other plan, program or arrangement of the Company or any of its Affiliates then in effect.

Nontransferability of Awards. An award will not be transferable or assignable by a participant except by will or by the laws of descent and distribution and any such purported assignment, alienation, pledge, attachment, sale, transfer or encumbrance will be void and unenforceable against us or any affiliate. However, the 2013 Plan Committee may, in its sole discretion, permit awards (other than incentive stock options) to be transferred, including transfer to a participant's family members, any trust established solely for the benefit of participant or such participant's family members, any partnership or limited liability company of which participant, or participant and participant's family members, are the sole member(s), and a beneficiary to whom donations are eligible to be treated as charitable contributions for tax purposes.

Amendment and Termination. Our board of directors may amend, alter, suspend, discontinue, or terminate the 2013 Stock Plan or any portion thereof at any time, except that no such amendment, alteration, suspension, discontinuation or termination may be made without stockholder approval if such approval is necessary to comply with any applicable tax or regulatory requirement (including the rules or requirements of any securities exchange or inter-dealer quotation system on which our shares may be listed). In addition, any such amendment, alteration, suspension, discontinuance or termination that would materially and adversely affect the rights of any participant or any holder or beneficiary of any award will not to that extent be effective without such individual's consent.

Plan Duration and 162(m) Approval. The 2013 Stock Plan will expire on the tenth anniversary of the effective date of the plan, and no awards may be granted after such expiration, but the terms of the 2013 Stock Plan will continue to apply to previously granted awards. If determined by the 2013 Plan Committee, the 2013 Stock Plan will be approved by stockholders of the Company no later than the first meeting of stockholders at

Table of Contents

which directors are to be elected that occurs after the end of 2016, in order for certain awards granted after such time to be exempt from deduction limitations of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Claw-back Provisions. All awards (including any proceeds, gains or other economic benefit actually or constructively received by the participant in respect of such awards) will be subject to the provisions of any claw-back policy implemented by the Company or set forth in the applicable award agreement, including, without limitation, any claw-back policy adopted to comply with the requirements of applicable law, such as the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

Director Compensation

Annual Cash Compensation for Board Service

For fiscal 2013, each non-employee, non-Sponsor director received \$100,000 annually for service on the board, payable quarterly in arrears. The chairman of the Audit Committee was eligible to receive an additional annual retainer of \$20,000, the chairman of the Compensation Committee was eligible to receive an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 and the chairmen of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Finance Committee were eligible to receive an additional annual retainer of \$10,000, provided, in each case, that such committee chairmen were non-employee, non-Sponsor directors. In fiscal 2013, Messrs. Babbio (Finance Committee), Robert J. Callander (Compensation Committee), Kean (Nominating Committee) and Ksansnak (Audit Committee) each received additional fees for chairing board committees.

Starting in fiscal 2014, each member of the board who is not an employee of the Company is entitled to receive an annual cash retainer of \$100,000, including the Sponsor directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee chairman is entitled to receive an annual cash retainer of \$15,000, rather than the previous annual cash retainer of \$10,000. While Sponsor directors are eligible to receive annual board retainer fees, they are not eligible for committee chair fees.

Annual Deferred Stock Unit Grant

Prior to our initial public offering, each non-employee, non-Sponsor director received an annual grant of \$100,000 worth of deferred stock units (DSUs) under the 2007 Stock Plan, which vested immediately upon grant. DSUs granted in fiscal 2013 and prior years are deliverable to a director in shares of our common stock six months after his service as a director terminates.

Beginning in February 2014, each member of the board who is not an employee of the Company will receive an annual grant of \$125,000 worth of DSUs under the 2013 Stock Plan. These DSUs will vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant, subject to the director's continued service on the board of directors through the vesting date, and will be settled in shares of the Company's common stock on the first day of the seventh month following termination of service. Directors who are appointed to the board during the year will be entitled to a prorated DSU grant.

In addition, in connection with our initial public offering, our non-employee directors received grants of DSUs under the 2013 Stock Plan in respect of service on the board in early fiscal 2014.

Director Deferred Compensation Plan

Prior to our 2007 Transaction, our non-employee directors could participate in our Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, electing to receive all or part of an annual cash retainer in the form of deferred shares and/or deferred cash. We credit amounts deferred with interest at the Moody's Long Term Corporate Baa Bond Index rate for October of the previous year; which was 4.58% beginning January 1, 2013. From September 29, 2012 until December 31, 2012, we credited amounts deferred with an interest rate equal to 5.37%. This plan was frozen in 2007 and only Governor Kean retains a balance, which accrues interest.

Table of Contents*Health and Welfare Premiums*

Historically, non-employee, non-Sponsor directors were eligible to participate in the Company's health and welfare programs, for which the Company paid a portion of the premiums. The Company discontinued providing the benefit for new directors in May 2012, but grandfathered the benefit for then-serving non-employee, non-Sponsor directors who continued to serve on our board.

Effective December 31, 2013, non-employee, non-Sponsor directors are no longer eligible to participate in the Company's health and welfare plans.

Non-Employee, Non-Sponsor Directors

The following table sets forth compensation information for our non-employee, non-Sponsor directors in fiscal 2013. Mr. Callander retired from our Board effective January 1, 2013 and Governor Kean did not stand for re-election in November 2013.

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Change in Pension		Total (\$)
			Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings\$(3)	All Other Compensation \$(4)	
Lawrence T. Babbio, Jr.	105,000	99,997			204,997
Robert J. Callander	28,750			2,267	31,017
Leonard S. Coleman, Jr.	100,000	99,997		13,333	213,330
Thomas H. Kean	105,000	99,997	460	9,066	214,523
James E. Ksansnak	120,000	99,997		9,066	229,063

- (1) Includes base director fees of \$100,000, as well as chair fees as follows: for Messrs. Babbio and Kean, \$5,000 in chair fees for serving as chairman of the Finance Committee and Nominating Committee, respectively, for Mr. Callander, \$3,750 in chair fees for serving as the chairman of the Compensation Committee, and for Mr. Ksansnak, \$20,000 in chair fees for serving as chairman of the Audit Committee (amounts have been prorated to reflect the portion of fiscal 2013 that Messrs. Babbio, Calendar and Kean served as Chairmen of committees).
- (2) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 with respect to the DSUs granted on March 2, 2013. As of fiscal year end, Messrs. Babbio, Coleman, Kean and Ksansnak each held 55,584 DSUs. Mr. Callander held no DSUs at 2013 fiscal year-end. For additional information on the valuation assumptions and more discussion with respect to the stock options, refer to Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.
- (3) Includes amounts earned on deferred compensation in excess of 120% of the applicable federal rate, based upon the above-market return at the time the rate basis was set.
- (4) Consists of the Company's portion of the premiums for health and welfare benefits for the directors and their families.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership, as of April 25, 2014, of (i) each individual or entity known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of the shares of our common stock, (ii) each of our named executive officers, (iii) each of our directors and (iv) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. As of April 25, 2014, we had approximately 463 holders of record.

The amounts and percentages of shares beneficially owned are reported on the basis of SEC regulations governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under SEC rules, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Securities that can be so acquired are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing such person's ownership percentage, but not for purposes of computing any other person's percentage. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which such person has no economic interest.

Except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes below, each of the beneficial owners has, to our knowledge, sole voting and investment power with respect to the indicated shares. Unless otherwise noted, the address of each beneficial owner is Aramark, Aramark Tower, 1101 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to this Offering		Shares of Common Stock Offered	Common Stock Beneficially Owned After this Offering		Assuming the Underwriters Option is Exercised in Full(2)	
	Number	%(1)		Assuming the Underwriters Option is not Exercised	Option %	Number	%
Principal Stockholders:							
GS Capital Partners(3)	39,865,672	17.24	4,330,683	35,534,989	15.37	34,826,250	15.06
CCMP Capital Investors(4)	19,932,836	8.62	2,165,342	17,767,494	7.69	17,413,124	7.53
J.P. Morgan Partners(5)	19,932,836	8.62	2,165,342	17,767,494	7.69	17,413,124	7.53
Thomas H. Lee Partners(6)	39,865,672	17.24	4,330,683	35,534,989	15.37	34,826,250	15.06
Warburg Pincus LLC(7)	40,711,877	17.61	4,422,608	36,289,269	15.70	35,565,486	15.38
Directors and Named Executive Officers:							
Joseph Neubauer(8)	18,542,034	8.01	1,000,000	17,542,034	7.58	17,392,034	7.51
L. Frederick Sutherland(9)	3,301,760	1.42	200,000	3,101,760	1.33	3,101,760	1.33
Lynn B. McKee(10)	1,756,700	*	219,805	1,536,895	*	1,536,895	*
Eric J. Foss(11)	1,555,612	*					
Stephen R. Reynolds(12)	86,194	*					
Christina T. Morrison							
Todd M. Abbrecht(13)							
Lawrence T. Babbio, Jr.(14)							
David A. Barr(7)(15)	40,711,877	17.61					
Leonard S. Coleman, Jr.(16)							
Daniel J. Heinrich	3,750	*					
James E. Ksansnak(17)							
Sanjeev Mehra(3)	39,865,672	17.24					
Stephen P. Murray(4)	19,932,836	8.62					
Stephen Sadove							
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (17 Persons)(18)	25,666,221	10.86	1,419,805	24,246,416	10.26	24,096,416	10.19

Table of Contents

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to this Offering		Shares of Common Stock Offered	Common Stock Beneficially Owned After this Offering Assuming the Underwriters Option		Assuming the Underwriters Option is Exercised in Full(2)	
	Number	%(1)		is not Exercised	%	Number	%
Other Selling Stockholders							
National Philanthropic Trust(19)	1,000,000	*	1,000,000				
Other Selling Stockholders as a Group(20)	1,485,161	*	1,165,537	319,624	*	319,624	*

* Less than one percent or one share, as applicable.

(1) As of April 25, 2014, we had 231,181,581 shares outstanding.

(2) GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Partners, J.P. Morgan Partners, Thomas H. Lee Partners, Warburg Pincus LLC and Joseph Neubauer have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 3,000,000 additional shares of common stock at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount, allocated as set forth in the table above. If this option is not exercised in full, the shares will be purchased from these selling stockholders on a pro rata basis based upon such allocation.

(3) Shares shown as beneficially owned by GS Capital Partners reflect an aggregate of the following record ownership: (i) 20,991,668 shares held by GS Capital Partners V Fund, L.P.; (ii) 10,843,424 shares held by GS Capital Partners V Offshore Fund, L.P.; (iii) 7,198,345 shares held by GS Capital Partners V Institutional, L.P.; and (iv) 832,235 shares held by GS Capital Partners V GmbH & Co. KG (collectively, the GS Entities). The GS Entities, of which affiliates of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. are the general partner, managing general partner or investment manager, share voting and investment power with certain of its respective affiliates. Goldman, Sachs & Co., an affiliate of the GS Entities, is an underwriter of this offering. Mr. Sanjeev Mehra is a Managing Director of Goldman, Sachs & Co. and may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the shares held by the GS Entities. The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Mr. Mehra each disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held directly or indirectly by the GS Entities, except to the extent of its pecuniary interest therein, if any. GS Capital Partners did not purchase shares of the Company's common stock outside the ordinary course of business as an investor or with, at the time of its acquisition of shares of the Company's common stock, any agreements, understandings, or arrangements with any other persons, directly or indirectly, to dispose of the shares. The address of the GS Entities, the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Mr. Mehra is c/o The Goldman Sachs Group, 200 West Street, New York, New York 10282.

(4) Shares shown as beneficially owned by CCMP Capital Investors reflect an aggregate of the following record ownership: (i) 17,588,516 shares held by CCMP Capital Investors II, L.P.; and (ii) 2,344,320 shares held by CCMP Capital Investors (Cayman) II, L.P. CCMP Capital, LLC is the sole owner of CCMP Capital Associates GP, LLC, which is the general partner of CCMP Capital Associates, L.P., which is the general partner of each of CCMP Capital Investors II, L.P. and CCMP Capital Investors (Cayman) II, L.P. Stephen Murray is President and Chief Executive Officer of CCMP Capital, LLC, and of CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC. Mr. Murray is a member of a CCMP Capital, LLC investment committee that makes voting and disposition decisions with respect to the shares held by the CCMP Capital Investors, and may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of such shares. Mr. Murray disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the CCMP Capital Investors. CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, pursuant to an agreement with JPMorgan Chase & Co. and J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, advises J.P. Morgan Partners with respect to certain of its private equity investments, including its investment in the Company. CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, and its affiliates, including Mr. Murray, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by J.P. Morgan Partners and its affiliates. The address of the entities listed above and of Mr. Murray is 245 Park Avenue, 16th Floor, New York, New York 10167, except that the address for CCMP Capital Investors (Cayman) II, L.P. is c/o Intertrust Corporate Services (Cayman) Limited, 190 Elgin Avenue, George Town, Grand Cayman KY1-9005, Cayman Islands. CCMP Capital Investors did not purchase shares of the Company's common stock outside the ordinary course of business as an investor or with, at the time of its acquisition of shares of the Company's common stock, any agreements, understandings, or arrangements with any other persons, directly or indirectly, to dispose of the shares.

Table of Contents

- (5) Shares shown as beneficially owned by J.P. Morgan Partners reflect an aggregate of the following record ownership: (i) 11,240,430 shares held by J.P. Morgan Partners (BHCA), L.P.; (ii) 2,694,503 shares held by J.P. Morgan Partners Global Investors, L.P.; (iii) 414,020 shares held by J.P. Morgan Partners Global Investors A, L.P.; (iv) 1,352,762 shares held by J.P. Morgan Partners Global Investors (Cayman), L.P.; (v) 151,282 shares held by J.P. Morgan Partners Global Investors (Cayman) II, L.P.; (vi) 912,311 shares held by J.P. Morgan Partners Global Investors (Selldown), L.P.; and (vii) 3,167,528 shares held by J.P. Morgan Partners Global Investors (Selldown) II, L.P. The general partner of J.P. Morgan Partners (BHCA), L.P. is JPMP Master Fund Manager, L.P. The general partner of the entities listed in clauses (ii) through (vii) is JPMP Global Investors, L.P. The general partner of JPMP Master Fund Manager, L.P. and JPMP Global Investors, L.P. is JPMP Capital Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co., a publicly traded company. J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, an affiliate of J.P. Morgan Partners, is an underwriter of this offering. J.P. Morgan Partners did not purchase shares of the Company's common stock outside the ordinary course of business as an investor or with, at the time of its acquisition of shares of the Company's common stock, any agreements, understandings, or arrangements with any other persons, directly or indirectly, to dispose of the shares. The address of the J.P. Morgan Partners entities is 270 Park Avenue, 10th Floor, New York, New York 10017, except the address of each Cayman entity is c/o Trident Trust Company (Cayman) Limited, PO Box 847, 4th Floor, One Capital Place, Grand Cayman KY1-1102, Cayman Islands.
- (6) Shares shown as beneficially owned by investment funds affiliated with Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. reflect an aggregate of the following record ownership: (i) 21,952,014 shares held by Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund VI, L.P.; (ii) 14,864,735 shares held by Thomas H. Lee Parallel Fund VI, L.P.; (iii) 2,596,570 shares held by Thomas H. Lee Parallel (DT) Fund VI, L.P.; (iv) 188,046 shares held by THL Equity Fund VI Investors (Aramark), LLC; (v) 40,273 shares held by THL Coinvestment Partners, L.P. (collectively, the THL Funds); (vi) 112,039 shares held by Putnam Investment Holdings, LLC; and (vii) 111,995 shares held by Putnam Investments Employees Securities Company III LLC (collectively, the Putnam Funds). THL Holdco, LLC is the managing member of Thomas H. Lee Advisors, LLC, which is the general partner of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., which is the sole member of THL Equity Advisors VI, LLC, which is the general partner of Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund VI, L.P., Thomas H. Lee Parallel Fund VI, L.P. and Thomas H. Lee Parallel (DT) Fund VI, L.P. and the manager of THL Equity Fund VI Investors (Aramark), LLC. Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. is the general partner of THL Coinvestment Partners, L.P. The Putnam Funds are co-investment entities of the THL Funds, and are contractually obligated to co-invest (and dispose of securities) alongside certain of the THL Funds on a pro rata basis. Voting and investment determinations with respect to the shares held by the THL Funds are made by the management committee of THL Holdco, LLC. Anthony J. DiNovi and Scott M. Sperling are the members of the management committee of THL Holdco, LLC, and as such may be deemed to share beneficial ownership of the shares held or controlled by the THL Funds. Each of Messrs. DiNovi and Sperling disclaims beneficial ownership of such securities. Putnam Investment Holdings, LLC (Holdings) is the managing member of Putnam Investments Employees Securities Company III LLC (ESC III). Holdings disclaims any beneficial ownership of any shares held by ESC III. Putnam Investments LLC, the managing member of Holdings, disclaims beneficial ownership of any shares held by the Putnam Funds. The address of each of the THL Funds and Messrs. DiNovi and Sperling is c/o Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., 100 Federal Street, 35th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. The address of each of the Putnam Funds is c/o Putnam Investment, Inc., 1 Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts 02109. Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. did not purchase shares of the Company's common stock outside the ordinary course of business as an investor or with, at the time of its acquisition of shares of the Company's common stock, any agreements, understandings, or arrangements with any other persons, directly or indirectly, to dispose of the shares.
- (7) Shares shown as beneficially owned by affiliates of Warburg Pincus LLC, a New York limited liability company (WP LLC) reflect record ownership of 40,711,877 shares held by Warburg Pincus Private Equity IX, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (WP IX). The general partner of WP IX is Warburg Pincus IX LLC, a New York limited liability company (WP IX LLC). Warburg Pincus Partners LLC, a New York limited liability company (WP Partners), is the sole member of WP IX LLC. Warburg Pincus & Co., a New York general partnership (WP), is the managing member of WP Partners. WP LLC manages WP IX. Charles R. Kaye and Joseph P. Landy are each a Managing General Partner of WP and Co-

Table of Contents

Chief Executive Officers and Managing Members of WP LLC and may be deemed to control the Warburg Pincus entities. Messrs. Kaye and Landy disclaim beneficial ownership of all shares held by the Warburg Pincus entities. The address of the Warburg Pincus entities and Messrs. Kaye and Landy is 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017. Warburg Pincus LLC did not purchase shares of the Company's common stock outside the ordinary course of business as an investor or with, at the time of its acquisition of shares of the Company's common stock, any agreements, understandings, or arrangements with any other persons, directly or indirectly, to dispose of the shares.

- (8) Shares shown as beneficially owned by Mr. Neubauer reflect 346,249 shares subject to stock options exercisable as of April 25, 2014, or within 60 days of April 25, 2014. Includes 515,000 shares held by NEA Partners VIII, LP for which Mr. Neubauer serves as general partner, over which Mr. Neubauer disclaims beneficial ownership. On May 28, 2014, Mr. Neubauer made a donation of 1,000,000 shares of our common stock to the National Philanthropic Trust. The National Philanthropic Trust has chosen to participate in this offering as a selling shareholder with respect to those donated shares.
- (9) Includes beneficial ownership of shares held by a family limited liability company for which Mr. Sutherland serves as a manager. Shares shown as beneficially owned by Mr. Sutherland reflect 2,023,359 shares subject to stock options exercisable as of April 25, 2014, or within 60 days of April 25, 2014.
- (10) Includes beneficial ownership of shares held by a general partnership for which Ms. McKee serves as a general partner. Shares shown as beneficially owned by Ms. McKee reflect 1,307,985 shares subject to stock options exercisable as of April 25, 2014, or within 60 days of April 25, 2014.
- (11) Shares shown as beneficially owned by Mr. Foss reflect 1,122,659 shares subject to stock options exercisable as of April 25, 2014, or within 60 days of April 25, 2014 and 68,035 shares of restricted stock units scheduled to vest within 60 days of April 25, 2014.
- (12) Shares shown as beneficially owned by Mr. Reynolds reflect 84,694 shares subject to stock options exercisable as of April 25, 2014, or within 60 days of April 25, 2014.
- (13) Does not include shares of common stock held by the THL Funds or the Putnam Funds. Mr. Abbrecht is a member of THL Holdco, LLC, and by virtue of the relationships described in footnote (6) above, may be deemed to share beneficial ownership of the shares held by the THL Funds. Mr. Abbrecht disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares referred to in footnote (6) above. The address for Mr. Abbrecht is c/o Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., 100 Federal Street, 35th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02110.
- (14) Does not include 55,728 vested deferred stock units, which will convert to shares of common stock and be delivered to Mr. Babbio six months following his termination as a director.
- (15) David A. Barr is a Partner of Warburg Pincus & Co. and a Member and Managing Director of Warburg Pincus LLC. Mr. Barr disclaims beneficial ownership of all shares of common stock held by the Warburg Pincus entities. The address for Mr. Barr is c/o Warburg Pincus LLC, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017.
- (16) Does not include 55,728 vested deferred stock units, which will convert to shares of common stock and be delivered to Mr. Coleman six months following his termination as a director.
- (17) Does not include 55,728 vested deferred stock units, which will convert to shares of common stock and be delivered to Mr. Ksanskak six months following his termination as a director.
- (18) Does not include shares that may be deemed to be beneficially owned but disclaimed by Mr. Murray, Mr. Barr and Mr. Mehra pursuant to notes 4, 15 and 3, respectively. Shares shown as beneficially owned by Directors and Executive Officers as a group reflect 5,214,946 shares subject to stock options exercisable currently, or within 60 days of April 25, 2014 and 68,035 shares of underlying restricted stock units scheduled to vest within 60 days of April 25, 2014.
- (19) Shares shown are beneficially owned as of May 28, 2014.
- (20) Shares shown in the table include shares owned by the selling stockholders other than those named in the table that in the aggregate beneficially own less than 1.0% of our common stock as of April 25, 2014.

Table of Contents**CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS****Review of Related Person Transactions**

The Board of Directors adopted a written Policy Regarding Transactions with Related Persons, which is administered by our Audit and Corporate Practices Committee (the "Audit Committee"). This policy applies to any transaction or series of transactions in which the Company or a subsidiary is a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and a Related Person (as defined in Item 404(a) of SEC Regulation S-K) has a direct or indirect material interest; provided, however, our board of directors has determined that certain transactions not required to be reported pursuant to Item 404(a) of SEC Regulation S-K are not considered to be transactions covered by the Policy. Under the policy, a related person transaction must be reported to the Company's General Counsel and be reviewed and approved or ratified by the Audit Committee in accordance with the terms of the policy, prior to the effectiveness or consummation of the transaction, whenever practicable. The Audit Committee will review all relevant information available to it about the potential related person transaction. The Audit Committee, in its sole discretion, may impose such conditions as it deems appropriate on the Company or the Related Person in connection with the approval of the Related Person Transaction.

Stockholder Arrangements***Stockholders Agreement***

In connection with the 2007 Transaction, we entered into a stockholders agreement with Mr. Neubauer, the Sponsors and other management participants, which agreement was amended and restated in connection with our initial public offering (as so amended, the "Stockholders Agreement"). The Stockholders Agreement contains agreements among the parties with respect to the nomination and election of directors, restrictions on the transfer of shares, informational rights, corporate opportunities, and certain other corporate governance provisions.

Under the Stockholders Agreement, each of GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Advisors, Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and Warburg Pincus is entitled to select for nomination one person to serve on our board of directors, which right falls away when such Sponsor's share ownership falls below 20% of the original share amount acquired by such Sponsor in connection with the 2007 Transaction (which is equal to the share amount currently held by such Sponsor). In addition, pursuant to the agreement Mr. Neubauer is entitled to serve on our board of directors for as long as he and our employees collectively own 5% or more of outstanding shares on a fully diluted basis and will serve as the chairman of the board until at least the earlier of the first annual meeting of stockholders following this offering or November 30, 2014. Management stockholders are entitled to proportionate director representation based on their aggregate share ownership and Mr. Foss serves as the management stockholders representative. Unless waived, a majority of the Sponsor directors and Mr. Neubauer must be present in order to constitute a quorum for purposes of any meeting of our board of directors.

Stockholders party to the Stockholders Agreement may not transfer shares except pursuant to certain exceptions set forth in the agreement, including to specifically permitted transferees, in a public offering subject to the Registration Rights Agreement (as defined below) or as otherwise approved by the coordination committee established under the Registration Rights Agreement. In addition, under the Stockholders Agreement management stockholders are generally permitted to sell up to 50% of their shares (including shares underlying vested stock-based awards) commencing June 18, 2014, which is the day following the six-month anniversary of our initial public offering, and the remainder of their shares commencing December 18, 2014, which is the day following the one-year anniversary of our initial public offering, in each case subject to the company's policies. Management stockholders may also transfer shares to pay an option price or withholding tax in connection with a stock-based award. Mr. Neubauer and certain affiliated stockholders are also entitled to make certain transfers commencing in May 2014 or to cultural or academic not-for-profit institutions. The restrictions on transfer set forth in the Stockholders Agreement are in addition to those set forth in the lock-up agreements entered into in connection with this offering and our initial public offering. See "Shares Eligible for Future Sale Lock-Up Agreements".

Table of Contents

Registration Rights Agreement

In connection with the 2007 Transaction, we entered into a registration rights agreement with Mr. Neubauer, the Sponsors and other management participants, which agreement was amended and restated in connection with our initial public offering (as so amended, the Registration Rights Agreement). Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, these existing stockholders are entitled to participate in certain offerings of the Company's securities registered under the Securities Act which are initiated by the Company, the Sponsors or Mr. Neubauer, subject to certain exceptions. In addition, under the agreement certain stockholders who hold more than 10% of our then-outstanding shares, or Mr. Neubauer, or the coordination committee (in the case of a shelf registration), have the right to require us to file a registration statement with the SEC for the resale of our common stock. The agreement provides to the Sponsors an unlimited number of demand registrations and provides to Mr. Neubauer two demand registrations. In addition, the Sponsors, Mr. Neubauer and, in certain circumstances, some members of senior management are also entitled to piggy back rights in subsequent offerings. In any subsequent offering in which piggy back rights apply, Mr. Neubauer is entitled to participate in such offering at a participation rate two times his pro rata share as compared to the pro rata share of the Sponsors. The Registration Rights Agreement also provides that we will pay certain expenses of these stockholders relating to such registrations and indemnify them against certain liabilities which may arise under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Financing Transactions

We manage our exposure to interest rate changes with respect to our floating rate indebtedness through the use of interest rate swaps. Before and subsequent to the closing of the 2007 Transaction on January 26, 2007, our financial institution counterparties on these swaps have included entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and with J.P. Morgan Partners, two of our Sponsors. As of March 28, 2014, the notional value of interest rate swaps with entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners was \$388 million and with entities affiliated with J.P. Morgan Partners was \$238 million. In all of these swaps, we pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate in exchange for their payment of a floating interest rate. The net payments to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and entities affiliated with J.P. Morgan Partners pursuant to interest rate swap transactions in fiscal 2013 were approximately \$3.1 million and \$5.5 million, respectively.

J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., an affiliate of J.P. Morgan Partners, serves as administrative agent, collateral agent and LC facility issuing bank for our Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 26, 2010 (the Credit Agreement). In each of fiscal 2012 and 2013, we paid J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. \$200,000 for these services and expect to pay J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. a similar amount in fiscal 2014 for these services.

We engaged Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, affiliates of GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners, respectively, as co-lead arrangers in connection with several amendments to our Credit Agreement since the beginning of fiscal 2012. Under these engagements, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC was paid approximately \$2.3 million in fiscal 2012, approximately \$5.3 million in fiscal 2013 and approximately \$3.6 million in fiscal 2014 to date and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC was paid approximately \$2.3 million in fiscal 2012, approximately \$5.3 million in fiscal 2013 and approximately \$7.5 million in fiscal 2014 to date. In addition, we paid approximately \$927,000 in the aggregate in legal fees related to the Amendment Agreements on behalf of these co-lead arrangers.

Goldman, Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, affiliates of GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners, respectively, each acted as a lead book running manager and a representative of the initial purchasers of the \$1,000 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (the 2020 Notes) that Aramark Services, Inc. issued on March 7, 2013. Goldman, Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC were each paid \$3.6 million in connection with the offering of the 2020 Notes. The proceeds from the offering, along with borrowings under the new term loans pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4, were used to tender any and all of (i) our outstanding 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 (ii) Aramark Services, Inc.'s outstanding 8.50%

Table of Contents

Senior Notes due 2015 and (iii) Aramark Services, Inc.'s outstanding Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 (the "March 2013 Tender Offer"). Goldman, Sachs & Co. acted as a dealer manager in connection with the March 2013 Tender Offer and we paid approximately \$42,000 in legal fees in connection with this engagement on behalf of Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Goldman Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, affiliates of GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners, respectively, each acted as a joint book running manager and a representative of the underwriters of our initial public offering.

Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC is an affiliate of GS Capital Partners, and Sanjeev Mehra, Managing Director of Goldman, Sachs & Co. and a member of the board of directors of the Company. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC are affiliates of J.P. Morgan Partners. Stephen P. Murray, who serves on the board of directors of the Company, was employed by J.P. Morgan Partners until August 2006 and has been employed by CCMP Capital Advisors since August 2006.

Other Transactions

Effective March 5, 2013, Mr. Neubauer's 1995 Trust surrendered split dollar life insurance policies it owned, in which the Company held a security interest. Pursuant to Mr. Neubauer's Split Dollar Life Insurance Agreement, prior to 2003 we paid a substantial portion of the premiums on the policies, and those amounts were required to be repaid from the proceeds of the policies upon their termination. We did not charge interest in each fiscal year on this amount, but we captured at least some of the foregone interest because we reduced the amount of the interest that would otherwise accrue on Mr. Neubauer's deferred compensation. At March 5, 2013, the effective date of the surrender of the policies, the amount of the premium repayment obligation was \$ 2,497,692. Upon the surrender of the policies, we received the amount of the premium repayment obligation and then remitted the remaining \$1,341,212 to Mr. Neubauer's 1995 Trust.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS

*The summaries of our senior secured credit facilities and senior notes set forth below are qualified in their entirety by the actual text of the applicable agreements and indentures, each of which has been filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus constitutes a part and which may be obtained on publicly available websites at the addresses set forth under *Where You Can Find More Information*.*

Senior Secured Credit Facilities

Overview

Senior Secured Credit Facilities

Our senior secured credit facilities currently provide:

a total of approximately \$4,089.1 million in term loan facilities comprised of various tranches denominated in U.S. Dollars, Canadian dollars, euros, yen and pounds sterling;

a revolving credit facility of up to \$770.0 million available for loans denominated in U.S. Dollars, \$50.0 million of which is also available in Canadian dollars; and

a synthetic letter of credit facility of up to \$159.3 million.

The primary borrower under the senior secured credit facilities is Aramark Services, Inc. In addition, certain subsidiaries of Aramark Services, Inc. are borrowers under certain tranches of the term loan facility and/or the revolving credit facility. Parent is not a guarantor under the senior secured credit facilities and is not subject to the covenants or obligations under the senior secured credit agreement.

The revolving credit facility currently consists of the following subfacilities:

a revolving credit facility available for loans in U.S. dollars to Aramark Services, Inc. with aggregate commitments of \$720.0 million; and

a revolving credit facility available for loans in Canadian dollars or U.S. dollars to ARAMARK Canada Ltd., a wholly-owned Canadian subsidiary, and Aramark Services, Inc. with aggregate commitments of \$50.0 million.

Our revolving credit facility includes a \$250.0 million sublimit for letters of credit and includes borrowing capacity available for short-term borrowings referred to as swingline loans subject to a sublimit.

The senior secured credit facilities provide that we have the right at any time to request up to \$555.0 million of incremental commitments in the aggregate under one or more incremental term loan facilities and/or synthetic letter of credit facilities and/or revolving credit facilities and/or by increasing commitments under the revolving credit facility. The lenders under these facilities are not under any obligation to provide any such incremental facilities or commitments, and any such addition of or increase in facilities or commitments will be subject to pro forma compliance with an incurrence-based financial covenant and customary conditions precedent. Our ability to obtain extensions of credit under these incremental facilities or commitments is subject to the same conditions as extensions of credit under the existing credit facilities.

As of March 28, 2014, outstanding term loan borrowings were \$4,089.1 million (recorded at \$4,068.2 million to reflect original issue discount) and outstanding revolving credit borrowings were \$173.2 million.

Interest Rate and Fees

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (a) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits in the

Table of Contents

currency of such borrowing for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs, (b) with respect to borrowings denominated in U.S. Dollars, a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (1) the prime rate of the administrative agent, (2) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and (3) the LIBOR rate plus 1% or (c) with respect to borrowings denominated in Canadian dollars, (1) a base rate determined by reference to the prime rate of Canadian banks or (2) a BA (bankers' acceptance) rate determined by reference to the rate offered for bankers' acceptances in Canadian dollars for the interest period relevant to such borrowing.

The applicable margin spread for U.S. dollar borrowings under the \$680.0 million of extended revolving credit commitments is 2.50% with respect to eurocurrency (LIBOR) borrowings and 1.50% with respect to base-rate borrowings. The applicable margin spread for U.S. dollar borrowings under the remaining \$40.0 million of unextended revolving credit commitments is 3.25% with respect to eurocurrency (LIBOR) borrowings and 2.25% with respect to base-rate borrowings. The applicable margin spread for Canadian dollar borrowings under the revolving credit facility are 2.50% for BA (bankers' acceptance) rate borrowings and 1.50% for base rate borrowings. U.S. and Canadian swingline loans must be base rate borrowings.

The applicable margin spreads for all term loans are as follows (i) 2.50% for U.S. dollar denominated LIBOR term loan borrowings (ii) 1.50% for U.S. dollar denominated base rate term loan borrowings, (iii) 3.25% for sterling denominated term loan borrowings and (iv) 2.75% for yen denominated term loans and euro denominated term loans borrowings.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility in respect of the unutilized commitments thereunder. The commitment fee rate is 0.50% per annum.

Maturity Dates

Approximately \$2,614.0 million of term loans have a maturity date of February 24, 2021, approximately \$1,400.0 million of terms loans have a maturity date of September 7, 2019 and approximately \$75.0 million of term loans have a maturity date of July 26, 2016.

The final maturity date of \$680.0 million of the \$720.0 million U.S. dollar revolving loan commitments and of all of the Canadian dollar revolving loan commitments is February 24, 2019. The final maturity date of the \$40.0 million remaining U.S. dollar revolving loan commitments is January 26, 2015.

The maturity date for the synthetic letter of credit facility deposits is July 26, 2016. Fees with respect to the letter of credit facility deposits are 3.50%.

Prepayments

The senior secured credit agreement requires us to prepay outstanding term loans, subject to certain exceptions, with:

50% of Aramark Services, Inc.'s annual excess cash flow (as defined in the senior secured credit agreement) with stepdowns to 25% and 0% upon Aramark Services, Inc.'s reaching a certain senior secured leverage ratio threshold;

100% of the net cash proceeds of all nonordinary course asset sales or other dispositions of property subject to certain exceptions and customary reinvestment rights; and

100% of the net cash proceeds of any incurrence of debt, including debt incurred by any business securitization subsidiary in respect of any business securitization facility, but excluding proceeds from the receivables facilities and other debt permitted under the senior secured credit agreement.

The foregoing mandatory prepayments will be applied to the term loan facilities as directed by us. We may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under the senior secured credit facilities at any time without premium or

Table of Contents

penalty, other than customary breakage costs with respect to LIBOR loans. Prepaid term loans may not be reborrowed.

In September 2013, we made an optional prepayment of \$265.0 million of outstanding U.S. Dollar term loans. We used the net proceeds from our initial public offering to repay \$154.1 million of outstanding borrowings under the senior secured revolving credit facility that were borrowed during the first quarter of fiscal 2014 and \$370.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the senior secured term loan facility.

If a change of control as defined in the senior secured credit agreement occurs, this will cause an event of default under the credit agreement. Upon an event of default, the senior secured credit facilities may be accelerated, in which case we would be required to repay all outstanding loans plus accrued and unpaid interest and all other amounts outstanding under the senior credit facilities.

Amortization and Maturity

We are required to repay installments on the loans under the term loan facilities in quarterly principal amounts of 1% per annum of their funded total principal amount beginning on June 30, 2014 (except for the approximately \$75 million of Canadian term loans due on July 26, 2016, which we began to repay on March 31, 2014). For term loans due on September 7, 2019, the remaining principal amount is payable on the maturity date of September 7, 2019. For term loans due on February 24, 2021, the remaining principal amount is payable on the maturity date of February 24, 2021.

Principal amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility are due and payable in full at maturity. The final maturity date of \$680.0 million of the \$720.0 million of U.S. dollar revolving commitments and of the entire \$50.0 million of Canadian dollar revolving loan commitments is February 24, 2019. The final maturity date of the remaining \$40.0 million of U.S. dollar revolving loan commitments is January 26, 2015.

Principal amounts outstanding under the synthetic letter of credit facility are due and payable in full at maturity, on which day the commitments thereunder will terminate. The final date of maturity for \$159.3 million of synthetic letter of credit facility deposits has been extended to July 26, 2016.

Guarantee and Security

All obligations under the senior secured credit agreement are unconditionally guaranteed by ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation and, subject to certain exceptions, substantially all of Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing and future domestic subsidiaries (excluding certain immaterial and dormant subsidiaries, receivables facility subsidiaries, business securitization subsidiaries and certain subsidiaries designated by us under our senior secured credit agreement as unrestricted subsidiaries), referred to, collectively, as U.S. Guarantors. All obligations of each foreign borrower under the senior secured credit facilities are unconditionally guaranteed by Aramark Services, Inc., the U.S. guarantors and, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, the respective other foreign borrowers.

All obligations under the senior secured credit facilities, and the guarantees of those obligations, are secured by substantially all of the following assets of ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation, Aramark Services, Inc. and each U.S. Guarantor, subject to certain exceptions:

a pledge of 100% of the capital stock of Aramark Services, Inc.;

100% of the capital stock held by ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation, us or any of our domestic subsidiaries that are directly owned by us or one of the U.S. Guarantors and 100% of the capital stock of each of our existing and future foreign subsidiaries that are directly owned by us or one of the U.S. Guarantors; and

Table of Contents

a security interest in, and mortgages on, substantially all tangible and intangible assets of ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation, Aramark Services, Inc. and each U.S. Guarantor.

Certain Covenants and Events of Default

The senior secured credit agreement contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, Aramark Services, Inc.'s ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to:

incur additional indebtedness, issue preferred stock or provide guarantees;

create liens on assets;

engage in mergers or consolidations;

sell assets;

pay dividends, make distributions or repurchase our capital stock;

make investments, loans or advances;

repay or repurchase any notes, except as scheduled or at maturity;

create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other transfers to us from our restricted subsidiaries;

make certain acquisitions;

engage in certain transactions with affiliates;

amend material agreements governing the senior notes (or any indebtedness that refinances the senior notes); and

fundamentally change our business.

In addition, the senior secured credit agreement requires us to maintain the following financial covenants in connection with the revolving credit facility:

a maximum senior secured leverage ratio; and

maximum annual capital expenditures.

The senior secured credit agreement also contains certain customary affirmative covenants and certain events of default.

Senior Notes

General

On March 7, 2013, Aramark Services, Inc. issued \$1,000 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "senior notes") pursuant to the indenture, dated as of March 7, 2013 (the "Indenture"), among Aramark Services, Inc., the guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee.

The senior notes are unsecured obligations of Aramark Services, Inc. The senior notes rank equal in right of payment to all of Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing and future senior debt and senior in right of payment to all of Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing and future debt that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior notes. Each of the guarantors named in the Indenture (each a "Senior Notes Guarantor") is providing an unconditional guarantee of the senior notes which ranks equal in right of payment to all of the senior obligations of such Senior Notes Guarantor. The senior notes and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to Aramark Services, Inc.'s existing and future secured debt and that of the Senior Notes Guarantors, including all indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities, to the extent of the value of the assets securing that

Table of Contents

indebtedness. The senior notes and guarantees are structurally subordinated to all of the liabilities of any of Aramark Services, Inc.'s subsidiaries that do not guarantee the senior notes.

Interest on the senior notes is payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year, commencing on September 15, 2013. Interest on the senior notes accrue from March 7, 2013. Interest is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months. The senior notes mature on March 15, 2020.

Optional Redemption

Prior to March 15, 2015, Aramark Services, Inc. may redeem up to 40% of the senior notes with the proceeds from one or more qualified equity offerings at a price equal to 105.750% of the principal amount of the senior notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of redemption. In addition, at any time prior to March 15, 2015, Aramark Services, Inc. may redeem all or a portion of the senior notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the senior notes redeemed plus a make whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of redemption. Thereafter, Aramark Services, Inc. has the option to redeem all or a portion of the senior notes at any time at the redemption prices set forth in the Indenture.

Change of Control Offer

In the event of certain types of change of control, the holders of the senior notes may require Aramark Services, Inc. to purchase for cash all or a portion of their senior notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of such senior notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

Covenants

The Indenture contains covenants limiting Aramark Services, Inc.'s ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to:

incur additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred shares;

pay dividends and make certain distributions, investments and other restricted payments;

create certain liens;

sell assets;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

limit the ability of restricted subsidiaries to make payments to Aramark Services, Inc.;

enter into sale and leaseback transactions;

merge, consolidate, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of Aramark Services, Inc.'s assets; and

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

designate Aramark Services, Inc.'s subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries.

The Indenture also provides for events of default which, if any of them occurs, would permit or require the principal of and accrued interest on the senior notes to become or to be declared due and payable.

Parent has guaranteed the senior notes for purposes of financial reporting, but is not subject to the covenants that apply to Aramark Services, Inc. or its restricted subsidiaries under the senior notes.

Receivables Facility

Overview

We have in place an agreement whereby ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, a wholly-owned, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary of Aramark Services, Inc., purchases accounts receivable generated by certain of our operating

Table of Contents

subsidiaries using funding provided through the sale of an interest in such accounts receivable and other related assets to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Wells Fargo) and a commercial paper conduit (the Commercial Paper Conduit) sponsored by Coöperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank B.A., New York Branch (Rabobank). ARAMARK Receivables, LLC was formed for the sole purpose of transferring receivables generated by certain of our subsidiaries. Under the Receivables Facility, we and certain of our subsidiaries transfer without recourse all of our accounts receivable to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC. As collections reduce previously transferred interests, interests in new, eligible receivables are transferred to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, subject to meeting certain conditions. The availability of funding under the facility depends on the amount of receivables eligible for funding under the receivables facility and satisfaction of other customary conditions. As of March 28, 2014, we had outstanding borrowings under the receivables facility of \$300.0 million. Amounts borrowed under the Receivables Facility fluctuate monthly based on our funding requirements and the level of qualified receivables available to collateralize the Receivables Facility.

In May 2014, we amended the receivables facility to extend the maturity date to May 2017 and to increase the maximum amount available under this facility from \$300.0 million to \$350.0 million, which maximum amount increases by an additional \$25 million from November to March of each year to reflect certain seasonal fluctuations in the Company s accounts receivables.

Availability of funding under the receivables facility depends primarily upon the outstanding accounts receivable balance of our subsidiaries that participate in the facility. Aggregate availability is determined by using a formula that reduces the gross receivables balance by factors that take into account, among other things, historical default and dilution rates, excessive obligor concentrations and average days outstanding and the costs of the facility.

The Commercial Paper Conduit may discontinue funding the receivables facility at any time for any reason. If it does, Rabobank will be obligated to fund the Commercial Paper Conduit s proportion of the receivables facility.

Twenty-three of our subsidiaries participate in the receivables facility program all of which are domestic subsidiaries in our FSS North America segment.

Interest Rates and Fees

ARAMARK Receivables, LLC is required to pay interest on the amount of each advance forwarded by Wells Fargo at a variable rate equal to a one-month Eurodollar rate determined daily plus the applicable margin equal to 1.10%. ARAMARK Receivables, LLC is required to pay interest on the amount of each advance funded by the Commercial Paper Conduit at the quoted cost of funds for the Commercial Paper Conduit s issuance of commercial paper plus an applicable margin equal to 1.10%. For the amount of each advance funded through Rabobank directly, the receivables facility provides funding at an applicable margin equal to 1.10%, plus, either (1) a one, two, three or six-month Eurodollar rate plus the applicable margin for revolving loans under our senior secured credit agreement, or (2) the higher of (x) Rabobank s prime rate and (y) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50%.

In addition, ARAMARK Receivables, LLC is required to pay a fee on the unused portion of the receivables facility of between 0.35% and 0.45% (depending on the level of used capacity) per annum.

In addition, Aramark Services, Inc. acts as receivables collection agent, servicing, administering and collecting receivables transferred pursuant to the receivables facility. Under the receivables facility, Aramark Services, Inc. receives an estimated monthly servicing fee of approximately 1.0% per annum of the daily average outstanding balance of the receivables under such facility, payable monthly in arrears by ARAMARK Receivables, LLC.

Maturity and Termination Events

The final maturity of the receivables facility is May 2, 2017. The receivables facility may be terminated for material breaches of representations and warranties or covenants, bankruptcies of any seller, the collection agent

Table of Contents

or the transferor, a change of control or certain cross defaults under our senior secured credit facility or other material indebtedness, among other reasons.

Other Indebtedness

As of March 28, 2014, we had outstanding approximately \$54.0 million of secured debt representing capital lease obligations. In addition, we had \$42.1 million of other indebtedness outstanding at March 28, 2014, consisting primarily of borrowings by certain of our foreign subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following is a description of the material terms of, and is qualified in its entirety by, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, which are filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Our purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which corporations may now or hereafter be organized under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the "DGCL"). Our authorized capital stock consists of 600,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. No shares of preferred stock will be issued or outstanding immediately after the public offering contemplated by this prospectus. Unless our board of directors determines otherwise, we will issue all shares of our capital stock in uncertificated form.

Common Stock

Holders of shares of our common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held of record on all matters on which stockholders are entitled to vote generally, including the election or removal of directors. The holders of our common stock do not have cumulative voting rights in the election of directors.

Upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up and after payment in full of all amounts required to be paid to creditors and to the holders of shares of our preferred stock having liquidation preferences, if any, the holders of shares of our common stock will be entitled to receive pro rata our remaining assets available for distribution. Holders of shares of our common stock do not have preemptive, subscription, redemption or conversion rights. Shares of our common stock will not be subject to further calls or assessment by us. There will be no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to shares of our common stock. All shares of our common stock that will be outstanding at the time of the completion of the offering will be fully paid and non-assessable. The rights, powers, preferences and privileges of holders of shares of our common stock will be subject to those of the holders of any shares of our preferred stock we may authorize and issue in the future.

Preferred Stock

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation authorizes our board of directors to establish one or more series of shares of preferred stock (including shares of convertible preferred stock). Unless required by law or by the NYSE, the authorized shares of preferred stock will be available for issuance without further action by you. Our board of directors is able to determine, with respect to any series of shares of preferred stock, the powers (including voting powers), preferences and relative, participating, optional or other special rights, and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, including, without limitation:

the designation of the series;

the number of shares of the series, which our board of directors may, except where otherwise provided in the preferred stock designation, increase (but not above the total number of authorized shares of the class) or decrease (but not below the number of shares then outstanding);

whether dividends, if any, will be cumulative or non-cumulative and the dividend rate of the series;

the dates at which dividends, if any, will be payable;

the redemption rights and price or prices, if any, for shares of the series;

the terms and amounts of any sinking fund provided for the purchase or redemption of shares of the series;

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

the amounts payable on shares of the series in the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the affairs of the Company;

whether the shares of the series will be convertible into shares of any other class or series, or any other security, of the Company or any other corporation, and, if so, the specification of the other class or

Table of Contents

series or other security, the conversion price or prices or rate or rates, any rate adjustments, the date or dates as of which the shares will be convertible and all other terms and conditions upon which the conversion may be made;

restrictions on the issuance of shares of the same series or of any other class or series; and

the voting rights, if any, of the holders of the series.

We could issue a series of shares of preferred stock that could, depending on the terms of the series, impede or discourage an acquisition attempt or other transaction that some, or a majority, of the holders of shares of our common stock might believe to be in their best interests or in which the holders of shares of our common stock might receive a premium for your shares of common stock over the market price of the shares of common stock. Additionally, the issuance of shares of preferred stock may adversely affect the holders of shares of our common stock by restricting dividends on the shares of common stock, diluting the voting power of the shares of common stock or subordinating the liquidation rights of the shares of common stock. As a result of these or other factors, the issuance of shares of preferred stock could have an adverse impact on the market price of shares of our common stock.

Dividends

The DGCL permits a corporation to declare and pay dividends out of surplus or, if there is no surplus, out of its net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding fiscal year. Surplus is defined as the excess of the net assets of the corporation over the amount determined to be the capital of the corporation by the board of directors. The capital of the corporation is typically calculated to be (and cannot be less than) the aggregate par value of all issued shares of capital stock. Net assets equals the fair value of the total assets minus total liabilities. The DGCL also provides that dividends may not be paid out of net profits if, after the payment of the dividend, remaining capital would be less than the capital represented by the outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets.

Declaration and payment of any dividend is subject to the discretion of our board of directors. The time and amount of dividends depends on our financial condition, operations, cash requirements and availability, debt repayment obligations, capital expenditure needs and restrictions in our debt instruments, industry trends, the provisions of Delaware law affecting the payment of distributions to stockholders and any other factors our board of directors may consider relevant.

We paid a cash dividend of \$0.075 per share on March 11, 2014 and, on May 6, 2014, we declared a cash dividend of \$0.075 per share payable on June 9, 2014 to holders of record of our common stock on May 19, 2014.

We intend to continue to pay cash dividends on our common stock, subject to our compliance with applicable law, and depending on, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, level of indebtedness, capital requirements, contractual restrictions, business prospects and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant. In addition, our ability to pay dividends is limited by covenants in our senior secured credit agreement and our notes indenture. Future agreements may also limit our ability to pay dividends. See Dividend Policy,

Description of Certain Indebtedness for restrictions on our ability to pay dividends.

Annual Stockholder Meetings

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws provide that annual stockholder meetings will be held at a date, time and place, if any, as exclusively selected by our board of directors. To the extent permitted under applicable law, we may conduct meetings by remote communications, including by webcast.

We anticipate that our first annual stockholders meeting will take place in early 2015.

Table of Contents

Anti-Takeover Effects of Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws and Certain Provisions of Delaware Law

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws and the DGCL contain provisions, which are summarized in the following paragraphs, that are intended to enhance the likelihood of continuity and stability in the composition of our board of directors. These provisions are intended to avoid costly takeover battles, reduce our vulnerability to a hostile change of control and enhance the ability of our board of directors to maximize stockholder value in connection with any unsolicited offer to acquire us. However, these provisions may have an anti-takeover effect and may delay, deter or prevent a merger or acquisition of the Company by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest or other takeover attempt that a stockholder might consider in its best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the prevailing market price for the shares of common stock held by stockholders.

Authorized but Unissued Capital Stock

Delaware law does not require stockholder approval for any issuance of authorized shares. However, the listing requirements of the NYSE, which would apply if and so long as our common stock remains listed on the NYSE, require stockholder approval of certain issuances equal to or exceeding 20% of the then outstanding voting power or then outstanding number of shares of common stock. Additional shares that may be used in the future may be used for a variety of corporate purposes, including future public offerings, to raise additional capital or to facilitate acquisitions.

Our board of directors may generally issue preferred shares on terms calculated to discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of the Company or the removal of our management. Moreover, our authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock will be available for future issuances without stockholder approval and could be utilized for a variety of corporate purposes, including future offerings to raise additional capital, to facilitate acquisitions and employee benefit plans.

One of the effects of the existence of unissued and unreserved shares of common stock or preferred stock may be to enable our board of directors to issue shares to persons friendly to current management, which issuance could render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of the Company by means of a merger, tender offer, proxy contest or otherwise, and thereby protect the continuity of our management and possibly deprive our stockholders of opportunities to sell their shares of common stock at prices higher than prevailing market prices.

Delaware Anti-Takeover Statutes

Certain Delaware law provisions may make it more difficult for someone to acquire us through a tender offer, proxy contest or otherwise.

Section 203 of the DGCL, provides that, subject to certain stated exceptions, an interested stockholder is any person (other than the corporation and any direct or indirect majority-owned subsidiary) who owns 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation or is an affiliate or associate of the corporation and was the owner of 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation at any time within the three-year period immediately prior to the date of determination, and the affiliates and associates of such person. A corporation may not engage in a business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the time that such stockholder became an interested stockholder unless:

prior to such time the board of directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder;

upon consummation of the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation

Table of Contents

outstanding at the time the transaction commenced, excluding shares owned by persons who are directors and also officers and employee stock plans in which participants do not have the right to determine confidentially whether shares held subject to the plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer; or

at or subsequent to such time, the business combination is approved by the board of directors and authorized at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, and not by written consent, by the affirmative vote of 66-2/3% of the outstanding voting stock which is not owned by the interested stockholder.

The effect of these provisions may make a change of control of our business more difficult by delaying, deferring or preventing a tender offer or other takeover attempt that a stockholder might consider in its best interest. This includes attempts that might result in the payment of a premium to stockholders over the market price for their shares. These provisions also may promote the continuity of our management by making it more difficult for a person to remove or change the incumbent members of the board of directors.

Removal of Directors; Vacancies

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that directors may be removed with or without cause upon the affirmative vote of a majority in voting power of all outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class; provided, however, at any time when the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, less than a majority in voting power of the stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, directors may only be removed by the affirmative vote of holders of at least 75% in voting power of all the then-outstanding shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class. In addition, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation also provides that, subject to the rights granted to one or more series of shares of preferred stock then outstanding, and any provisions of the Stockholders Agreement, any newly created directorship on the board of directors that results from an increase in the number of directors and any vacancies on our board of directors will be filled only by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors, even if less than a quorum, by a sole remaining director or by the stockholders; provided, however, at any time when the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, less than a majority in voting power of the shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, any newly created directorship on the board of directors that results from an increase in the number of directors and any vacancy occurring in the board of directors may, unless otherwise required by law or by resolution by the board of directors, only be filled by a majority of the directors then in office, although less than a quorum, or by a sole remaining director (and not by the stockholders).

No Cumulative Voting

Under Delaware law, the right to vote cumulatively does not exist unless the certificate of incorporation specifically authorizes cumulative voting. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation does not authorize cumulative voting. Therefore, stockholders holding a majority in voting power of the shares of our stock entitled to vote generally in the election of directors will be able to elect all our directors.

Special Stockholder Meetings

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that special meetings of our stockholders may be called at any time only by or at the direction of the board of directors or the chairman of the board of directors; provided, however, at any time when the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, at least a majority in voting power of the shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, special meetings of our stockholders shall also be called by the board of directors or the chairman of the board of directors at the request of the Controlling Owners and their affiliates. Our amended and restated bylaws prohibit the conduct of any business at a special meeting other than as specified in the notice

Table of Contents

for such meeting. These provisions may have the effect of deferring, delaying or discouraging hostile takeovers, or changes in control or management of the Company.

Requirements for Advance Notification of Director Nominations and Stockholder Proposals

Our amended and restated bylaws establish advance notice procedures with respect to stockholder proposals and the nomination of candidates for election as directors, other than nominations made by or at the direction of the board of directors or a committee of the board of directors. In order for any matter to be properly brought before a meeting, a stockholder will have to comply with advance notice requirements and provide us with certain information. Generally, to be timely, a stockholder's notice must be received at our principal executive offices not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of stockholders. Our amended and restated bylaws also specify requirements as to the form and content of a stockholder's notice. Our amended and restated bylaws allow the chairman of the meeting at a meeting of the stockholders to adopt rules and regulations for the conduct of meetings which may have the effect of precluding the conduct of certain business at a meeting if the rules and regulations are not followed. These provisions will not apply to the Controlling Owners and their affiliates so long as the Stockholders Agreement remains in effect. These provisions may also defer, delay or discourage a potential acquirer from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquirer's own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to influence or obtain control of the Company.

Stockholder Action by Written Consent

Pursuant to Section 228 of the DGCL, any action required to be taken at any annual or special meeting of the stockholders may be taken without a meeting, without prior notice and without a vote if a consent or consents in writing, setting forth the action so taken, is signed by the holders of outstanding shares of stock having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to authorize or take such action at a meeting at which all shares of our stock entitled to vote thereon were present and voted, unless our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides otherwise. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation precludes stockholder action by written consent at any time when the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, less than a majority in voting power of the shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors.

Supermajority Provisions

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide that the board of directors is expressly authorized to make, alter, amend, change, add to, rescind or repeal, in whole or in part, our bylaws without a stockholder vote in any matter not inconsistent with the laws of the State of Delaware and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation. For as long as the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, at least a majority in voting power of the shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, any amendment, alteration, rescission or repeal of our bylaws by our stockholders will require the affirmative vote of a majority in voting power of the outstanding shares of our stock present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting of stockholders and entitled to vote on such amendment, alteration, change, addition, rescission or repeal. At any time when the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, less than a majority in voting power of all outstanding shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, any amendment, alteration, rescission or repeal of our bylaws by our stockholders will require the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% in voting power of all the then-outstanding shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class.

The DGCL provides generally that the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class, is required to amend a corporation's certificate of incorporation, unless the certificate of incorporation requires a greater percentage.

Table of Contents

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that at any time when the Controlling Owners and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, less than a majority in voting power of the stock of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors the following provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation may be amended, altered, repealed or rescinded only by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% in voting power of all the then-outstanding shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote thereon, voting together as a single class:

the provision requiring a 75% supermajority vote for stockholders to amend our amended and restated bylaws;

the provisions regarding resignation and removal of directors;

the provisions regarding competition and corporate opportunities;

the provisions regarding stockholder action by written consent;

the provisions regarding calling special meetings of stockholders;

the provisions regarding filling vacancies on our board of directors and newly created directorships;

the provisions eliminating monetary damages for breaches of fiduciary duty by a director;

the provisions related to the Court of Chancery as the exclusive forum for certain types of actions by stockholders; and

the amendment provision requiring that the above provisions be amended only with a 75% supermajority vote.

The combination of the lack of cumulative voting and the supermajority voting requirements will make it more difficult for our existing stockholders to replace our board of directors as well as for another party to obtain control of us by replacing our board of directors. Because our board of directors has the power to retain and discharge our officers, these provisions could also make it more difficult for existing stockholders or another party to effect a change in management.

These provisions may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers or delaying or preventing changes in control of our management or the Company, such as a merger, reorganization or tender offer. These provisions are intended to enhance the likelihood of continued stability in the composition of our board of directors and its policies and to discourage certain types of transactions that may involve an actual or threatened acquisition of the Company. These provisions are designed to reduce our vulnerability to an unsolicited acquisition proposal. These provisions are also intended to discourage certain tactics that may be used in proxy fights. However, such provisions could have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our shares and, as a consequence, they also may inhibit fluctuations in the market price of our shares that could result from actual or rumored takeover attempts.

Dissenters Rights of Appraisal and Payment

Under the DGCL, with certain exceptions, our stockholders will have appraisal rights in connection with a merger or consolidation of us. Pursuant to the DGCL, stockholders who properly request and perfect appraisal rights in connection with such merger or consolidation will have the right to receive payment of the fair value of their shares as determined by the Delaware Court of Chancery.

Stockholders Derivative Actions

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Under the DGCL, any of our stockholders may bring an action in our name to procure a judgment in our favor, also known as a derivative action, provided that the stockholder bringing the action is a holder of shares of

Table of Contents

our stock at the time of the transaction to which the action relates or such stockholder's stock thereafter devolved by operation of law.

Exclusive Forum

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that unless we consent to the selection of an alternative forum, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware shall be the sole and exclusive forum for any stockholder (including any beneficial owner) to bring (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on behalf of the Company, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any director or officer of the Company to the Company or the Company's stockholders, creditors or other constituents, (iii) any action asserting a claim against the Company or any director or officer of the Company arising pursuant to any provision of the DGCL or our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or our amended and restated bylaws, or (iv) any action asserting a claim against the Company or any director or officer of the Company governed by the internal affairs doctrine, in each such case subject to said Court of Chancery having subject matter jurisdiction, in certain cases, and having personal jurisdiction over the indispensable parties named as defendants therein. Any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in shares of capital stock of the Company shall be deemed to have notice of and consented to the forum provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation. However, the enforceability of similar forum provisions in other companies' certificates of incorporation has been challenged in legal proceedings, and it is possible that a court could find these types of provisions to be unenforceable.

Conflicts of Interest

Delaware law permits corporations to adopt provisions renouncing any interest or expectancy in certain opportunities that are presented to the corporation or its officers, directors or stockholders. Pursuant to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, we have, to the maximum extent permitted from time to time by Delaware law, renounced any interest or expectancy that we have in, or right to be offered an opportunity to participate in, specified business opportunities that are from time to time presented to our officers, directors or stockholders or their respective affiliates, other than those officers, directors, stockholders or affiliates who are our or our subsidiaries' employees. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that, to the fullest extent permitted by law, none of the Controlling Owners or any of their affiliates has any duty to refrain from (i) engaging in a corporate opportunity in the same or similar lines of business in which we or our affiliates now engage or propose to engage or (ii) otherwise competing with us or our affiliates. In addition, to the fullest extent permitted by law, in the event that a Controlling Owner acquires knowledge of a potential transaction or other business opportunity which may be a corporate opportunity for itself or its affiliates or for us or our affiliates, such person has no duty to communicate or offer such transaction or business opportunity to us or any of our affiliates and they may take any such opportunity for themselves or offer it to another person or entity. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation does not renounce our interest in any business opportunity that is expressly offered to a director designated by a Controlling Owner solely in his or her capacity as a director or officer of the Company.

Limitations on Liability and Indemnification of Officers and Directors

The DGCL authorizes corporations to limit or eliminate the personal liability of directors to corporations and their stockholders for monetary damages for breaches of directors' fiduciary duties, subject to certain exceptions. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation includes a provision that eliminates the personal liability of directors for monetary damages for any breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except to the extent such exemption from liability or limitation thereof is not permitted under the DGCL. The effect of these provisions is to eliminate the rights of us and our stockholders, through stockholders' derivative suits on our behalf, to recover monetary damages from a director for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, including breaches resulting from grossly negligent behavior. However, exculpation does not apply to any director if the

Table of Contents

director has acted in bad faith, knowingly or intentionally violated the law, authorized illegal dividends or redemptions or derived an improper benefit from his or her actions as a director.

Our amended and restated bylaws provide that we must indemnify and advance expenses to our directors and officers to the fullest extent authorized by the DGCL. We also are expressly authorized to carry directors' and officers' liability insurance providing indemnification for our directors, officers and certain employees for some liabilities. We believe that these indemnification and advancement provisions and insurance are useful to attract and retain qualified directors and executive officers.

The limitation of liability, indemnification and advancement provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage stockholders from bringing a lawsuit against directors for breach of their fiduciary duty. These provisions also may have the effect of reducing the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors and officers, even though such an action, if successful, might otherwise benefit us and our stockholders. In addition, your investment may be adversely affected to the extent we pay the costs of settlement and damage awards against directors and officers pursuant to these indemnification provisions.

We currently are party to indemnification agreements with certain of our directors and officers. These agreements require us to indemnify these individuals to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law against liabilities that may arise by reason of their service to us, and to advance expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified.

There is currently no pending material litigation or proceeding involving any of our directors or officers for which indemnification is sought.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for shares of our common stock is Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

Listing

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol ARMK.

Table of Contents

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

We cannot predict what effect, if any, market sales of shares of our common stock or the availability of shares of our common stock for sale will have on the market price of our common stock prevailing from time to time. Nevertheless, sales of substantial amounts of common stock, including shares issued upon the exercise of outstanding options or restricted stock units, in the public market, or the perception that such sales could occur, could materially and adversely affect the market price of our common stock and could impair our future ability to raise capital through the sale of our equity or equity-related securities at a time and price that we deem appropriate.

As of April 25, 2014, we had outstanding an aggregate of approximately 231,181,581 shares of common stock. Of the outstanding shares, the shares sold in our initial public offering and this offering will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, except that any shares held by our affiliates, as that term is defined under Rule 144, may be sold only in compliance with the limitations described below. The remaining outstanding shares of common stock will be deemed restricted securities as that term is defined under Rule 144. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if they are registered or if they qualify for an exemption from registration, including the exemptions under Rule 144, which we summarize below. The restricted shares held by our affiliates will be available for sale in the public market at various times after the date of this prospectus pursuant to Rule 144 following the expiration of the applicable lock-up period.

In addition, as of April 25, 2014: there were 30,997,483 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase shares outstanding as of April 25, 2014 with a weighted average exercise price of \$11.12 per share; 3,201,130 restricted stock units; 468,464 performance stock units; and 283,157 director deferred stock units and an aggregate of approximately 22,781,864 shares reserved for future issuance under our share-based compensation plans. We filed a registration statement on Form S-8 under the Securities Act to register common stock issued or reserved for issuance under our 2013 Stock Incentive Plan and our 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan. As a result, shares of our common stock issued pursuant to such stock incentive plans, including upon exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units, will be eligible for resale in the public market without restriction, subject to the Rule 144 limitations applicable to affiliates and the lock-up agreements described below.

Rule 144

In general, under Rule 144, as currently in effect, a person (or persons whose shares are aggregated) who is not deemed to be or have been one of our affiliates for purposes of the Securities Act at any time during 90 days preceding a sale and who has beneficially owned the shares proposed to be sold for at least six months, including the holding period of any prior owner other than an affiliate, is entitled to sell such shares without registration, subject to compliance with the public information requirements of Rule 144. If such a person has beneficially owned the shares proposed to be sold for at least one year, including the holding period of a prior owner other than an affiliate, then such person is entitled to sell such shares without complying with any of the requirements of Rule 144.

In general, under Rule 144, as currently in effect, our affiliates or persons selling shares on behalf of our affiliates, who have met the six month holding period for beneficial ownership of restricted shares of our common stock, are entitled to sell within any three-month period, a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of:

1% of the number of shares of our common stock then outstanding, which will equal approximately 2,311,815 shares immediately after this offering; or

the average reported weekly trading volume of our common stock on the NYSE during the four calendar weeks preceding the filing of a notice on Form 144 with respect to such sale.

Sales under Rule 144 by our affiliates or persons selling shares on behalf of our affiliates are also subject to certain manner of sale provisions and notice requirements and to the availability of current public information

Table of Contents

about us. The sale of these shares, or the perception that sales will be made, could adversely affect the price of our common stock after this offering because a great supply of shares would be, or would be perceived to be, available for sale in the public market.

Lock-Up Agreements

In connection with this offering, we, certain of our executive officers, certain of our directors and the selling stockholders have each agreed with the underwriters, subject to certain exceptions (some of which are described below), not to sell, dispose of or hedge any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of our common stock, during the period ending 90 days after the date of this prospectus, except with the prior written consent of any three of the following four representatives of the underwriters: Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC. Pursuant to this agreement, we may issue our common stock in connection with the acquisition of, or joint venture with, another entity so long as the aggregate number of shares issued, considered individually and together with all acquisitions or joint ventures announced during the 90-day restricted period, shall not exceed 5% of our common stock issued and outstanding as of the date of such acquisition and/or joint venture agreement. Further, individuals subject to lock-up during the 90-day restricted period will not make any transfer or distribution of shares of our common stock pursuant to gift or trust during the 90-day restricted period if any filing pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act (other than Form 5) will be required or voluntarily made in connection with such transfer or distribution. This agreement does not apply to any existing employee benefit plans.

The 90-day restricted period described in the preceding paragraph will be automatically extended if:

during the last 17 days of the 90-day restricted period we issue an earnings release or announces material news or a material event; or

prior to the expiration of the 90-day restricted period, we announce that we will release earnings results during the 15-day period following the last day of the 90-day period,

in which case the restrictions described in this paragraph will continue to apply until the expiration of the 18-day period beginning on the issuance of the earnings release or the announcement of the material news or material event. See Underwriting (Conflicts of Interest).

In connection with our initial public offering, we and the selling stockholders, our executive officers and directors and certain principal holders of outstanding shares of our common stock and common stock equivalents agreed, subject to certain exceptions, not to sell, dispose of or hedge any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of our common stock, during the 180-day period ending June 9, 2014, except with the prior written consent of Goldman, Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC. Pursuant to those agreements, individuals subject to lock-up during the 180-day restricted period would not make any transfer or distribution of shares of our common stock pursuant to gift or trust during the 180-day restricted period if any filing pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act (other than Form 5) would be required or voluntarily made in connection with such transfer or distribution, among other restrictions. Upon expiration of those lock-up agreements on June 9, 2014, shares held by those persons not otherwise subject to the lock-up agreements in connection with this offering will be eligible for resale, subject to Rule 144, as applicable.

In addition, certain shares held by officers and other employees of the company are subject to restrictions on transfer pursuant to our Stockholder s Agreement. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Stockholder Arrangements Stockholder Agreement.

Registration Rights

Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, certain existing stockholders are entitled to participate in certain offerings of the Company s securities registered under the Securities Act which are initiated by the Company, the Sponsors or Mr. Neubauer, subject to certain exceptions. In addition, under the agreement, certain

Table of Contents

stockholders who hold more than 10% of our then-outstanding shares, or Mr. Neubauer, or the coordination committee (in the case of a shelf registration) have the right to require us to file a registration statement with the SEC for the resale of our common stock. The agreement provides to the Sponsors an unlimited number of demand registrations and provides to Mr. Neubauer two demand registrations. In addition, the Sponsors, Mr. Neubauer and, in certain circumstances, some members of senior management are also entitled to piggy back rights in subsequent offerings. In any subsequent offering in which piggy back rights apply, Mr. Neubauer is entitled to participate in such offering at a participation rate two times his pro rata share as compared to the pro rata share of the Sponsors. The Registration Rights Agreement also provides that we will pay certain expenses of these stockholders relating to such registrations and indemnify them against certain liabilities which may arise under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The shares subject to the Registration Rights Agreement will represent approximately 69.0% of our outstanding common stock after this offering, or approximately 67.7% if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full. These shares also may be sold under Rule 144, depending on their holding period and subject to restrictions in the case of shares held by persons deemed to be our affiliates.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME AND ESTATE TAX CONSIDERATIONS FOR NON-U.S. HOLDERS

The following is a summary of certain United States federal income and estate tax consequences, as of the date hereof, to a non-U.S. holder (as defined below) of the purchase, ownership and disposition of shares of our common stock. This summary deals only with shares of common stock that are held as a capital asset.

Except as modified for estate tax purposes (as discussed below), non-U.S. holder means a beneficial owner of shares that, for United States federal income tax purposes, is an individual, corporation, estate or trust other than:

an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation created or organized under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;

an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust if it (1) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) has a valid election in effect under applicable United States Treasury regulations to be treated as a United States person.

If an entity treated as a partnership for United States federal income tax purposes holds shares of our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner of a partnership considering an investment in shares of our common stock, you should consult your own tax advisors.

This summary is based upon provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and regulations, rulings and judicial decisions as of the date hereof. Those authorities may be changed or subject to different interpretations, perhaps retroactively, so as to result in United States federal income and estate tax consequences different from those summarized below. We cannot assure you that a change in law will not alter significantly the tax considerations that we describe in this summary.

This summary does not address all aspects of United States federal income and estate taxes and does not address the effects of any other United States federal tax laws (including gift tax or the recently enacted Medicare tax on certain investment income) or any foreign, state, local or other tax laws that may be relevant to non-U.S. holders in light of their particular circumstances. In addition, it does not represent a detailed description of the United States federal income or estate tax consequences applicable to you if you are subject to special treatment under the United States federal income tax laws (including if you are a United States expatriate, controlled foreign corporation, passive foreign investment company or a partnership or other pass-through entity for United States federal income tax purposes (or an investor in such an entity)).

If you are considering the purchase of shares our common stock, you should consult your own tax advisors concerning the particular United States federal income and estate tax consequences to you of the purchase, ownership and disposition of the shares of common stock, as well as the consequences to you arising under other United States federal tax laws and the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction.

Dividends

Subject to the discussions of backup withholding and additional withholding requirements below, dividends paid to a non-U.S. holder of shares of our common stock generally will be subject to withholding of United States federal income tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

Table of Contents

However, dividends that are effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business by the non-U.S. holder within the United States are generally not subject to the withholding tax, provided certain certification and disclosure requirements are satisfied. Instead, such dividends are subject to United States federal income tax on a net income basis in generally the same manner as if the non-U.S. holder were a United States person as defined under the Code, unless an applicable income tax treaty provides otherwise. In addition, a foreign corporation may be subject to a branch profits tax at a 30% rate (or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty) on its effectively connected earnings and profits, subject to adjustments.

A non-U.S. holder of shares of our common stock who wishes to claim the benefit of an applicable treaty rate and avoid backup withholding, as discussed below, for dividends will be required (a) to complete Internal Revenue Service Form W-8BEN or W-8BEN-E (or other applicable form) and certify under penalty of perjury that such holder is not a United States person as defined under the Code and is eligible for treaty benefits or (b) if the shares of our common stock are held through certain foreign intermediaries, to satisfy the relevant certification requirements of applicable United States Treasury regulations. Special certification and other requirements apply to certain non-U.S. holders that are pass-through entities rather than corporations or individuals.

A non-U.S. holder of shares of our common stock eligible for a reduced rate of United States withholding tax pursuant to an income tax treaty may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld by timely filing an appropriate claim for refund with the Internal Revenue Service.

Gain on Disposition of Common Stock

Subject to the discussions of backup withholding and additional withholding requirements below, any gain recognized on a disposition of shares of our common stock generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax unless:

the gain is effectively connected with a trade or business of the non-U.S. holder in the United States;

the non-U.S. holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of such disposition, and certain other conditions are met; or

we are or have been a United States real property holding corporation for United States federal income tax purposes.

A non-U.S. holder described in the first bullet point immediately above will be subject to tax on the net gain derived from the disposition on a net income basis in generally the same manner as a United States person, unless an applicable income tax treaty provides otherwise. If a non-U.S. holder that is a foreign corporation falls under the first bullet point immediately above, it may also be subject to a branch profits tax equal to 30% of its effectively connected earnings and profits (subject to adjustments) or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

Unless an applicable income tax treaty provides otherwise, an individual non-U.S. holder described in the second bullet point immediately above will be subject to a flat 30% tax on the gain derived from the disposition, which may be offset by United States source capital losses, even though the individual is not considered a resident of the United States.

We believe we are not and do not anticipate becoming a United States real property holding corporation for United States federal income tax purposes. However, even if we are or were to become a United States real property holding corporation, so long as our common stock remains regularly traded on an established securities market for United States federal income tax purposes, any gain recognized by a non-U.S. holder that is not subject to tax under either of the first two bullet points would generally be subject to United States federal income tax only if such non-U.S. holder actually or constructively owned more than five percent of our

Table of Contents

outstanding common stock at some time during the shorter of such non-U.S. holder's holding period and the five year period ending on the date of such disposition.

Federal Estate Tax

Shares of common stock held (or deemed held) at the time of death by an individual non-U.S. holder who is neither a citizen or resident of the United States (as specifically defined for United States estate tax purposes) will be included in such holder's gross estate for United States federal estate tax purposes, unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

We must report annually to the Internal Revenue Service and to each non-U.S. holder the amount of dividends paid to such holder and the tax withheld with respect to such dividends, regardless of whether withholding was required. Copies of the information returns reporting such dividends and withholding may also be made available to the tax authorities in the country in which the non-U.S. holder resides under the provisions of an applicable income tax treaty.

A non-U.S. holder will be subject to backup withholding with respect to dividends paid to such holder unless such holder certifies under penalty of perjury that it is a non-U.S. holder (and the payor does not have actual knowledge or reason to know that such holder is a United States person as defined under the Code), or such holder otherwise establishes an exemption.

Information reporting and, depending on the circumstances, backup withholding will apply to the proceeds of a disposition of our common stock within the United States or conducted through certain United States-related financial intermediaries, unless the beneficial owner certifies under penalty of perjury that it is a non-U.S. holder (and the payor does not have actual knowledge or reason to know that the beneficial owner is a United States person as defined under the Code), or such owner otherwise establishes an exemption.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be allowed as a refund or a credit against a non-U.S. holder's United States federal income tax liability provided the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

Additional Withholding Requirements

Under legislation enacted in 2010 and administrative guidance as modified by intergovernmental agreements entered between the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions (IGAs), a new 30% United States federal withholding tax may apply to any dividends paid after June 30, 2014, and the gross proceeds from a disposition of shares of our common stock occurring after December 31, 2016, in each case paid to (i) a foreign financial institution (as specifically defined in the legislation or an applicable IGA, if any), whether such foreign financial institution is the beneficial owner or an intermediary, unless such foreign financial institution agrees to verify, report and disclose its United States account holders (as specifically defined in the legislation or an applicable IGA, if any) and meets certain other specified requirements or (ii) a non-financial foreign entity, whether such non-financial foreign entity is the beneficial owner or an intermediary, unless such entity provides a certification that the beneficial owner of the payment does not have any substantial United States owners or provides the name, address and taxpayer identification number of each such substantial United States owner and certain other specified requirements are met. In certain cases, the relevant foreign financial institution or non-financial foreign entity may qualify for an exemption from, or be deemed to be in compliance with, these requirements. You should consult your own tax advisor regarding this legislation, administrative guidance, and IGAs and whether they may be relevant to your ownership and disposition of shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents**UNDERWRITING (CONFLICTS OF INTEREST)**

We, the selling stockholders and the underwriters named below have entered into an underwriting agreement with respect to the shares being offered. Subject to certain conditions, each underwriter has severally agreed to purchase the number of shares indicated in the following table. Goldman Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC are the representatives of the underwriters.

Underwriters	Number of Shares
Goldman, Sachs & Co.	4,400,000
J.P. Morgan Securities LLC	4,400,000
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC	2,900,000
Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC	2,900,000
Barclays Capital Inc.	1,200,000
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith	
Incorporated	1,200,000
RBC Capital Markets, LLC	1,000,000
Wells Fargo Securities, LLC	1,000,000
Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated	300,000
PNC Capital Markets LLC	125,000
Rabo Securities USA, Inc.	125,000
Santander Investment Securities Inc.	125,000
SMBC Nikko Securities America, Inc.	125,000
Samuel A. Ramirez & Company, Inc.	100,000
The Williams Capital Group, L.P.	100,000
Total	20,000,000

The underwriters are committed to take and pay for all of the shares being offered, if any are taken, other than the shares covered by the option described below unless and until this option is exercised.

The underwriters have an option to buy up to an additional 3,000,000 shares from certain of the selling stockholders to cover sales by the underwriters of a greater number of shares than the total number set forth in the table above. They may exercise that option for 30 days. If any shares are purchased pursuant to this option, the underwriters will severally purchase shares in approximately the same proportion as set forth in the table above. If this option is not exercised in full, the shares will be purchased from these certain selling stockholders on a pro rata basis.

In connection with this offering and depending on the applicable facts and circumstances, a selling stockholder may be deemed to be an underwriter within the meaning of such term under the Securities Act. Based upon such facts and circumstances, including when and how shares of the Company's common stock were acquired, none of the selling stockholders believes that it should be considered an underwriter within the meaning of such term under the Securities Act.

Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, we will pay certain registration expenses of the selling stockholders but we will not pay any underwriting fees, discounts or commissions in connection with this offering. The following table shows the per share and total underwriting discounts and commissions to be paid to the underwriters by the selling stockholders. Such amounts are shown assuming both no exercise and full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase 3,000,000 additional shares.

Paid by the Selling Stockholders

	No Exercise	Full Exercise
Per Share	\$ 0.95625	\$ 0.95625
Total	\$ 19,125,000	\$ 21,993,750

Table of Contents

Shares sold by the underwriters to the public will initially be offered at the public offering price set forth on the cover of this prospectus. Any shares sold by the underwriters to securities dealers may be sold at a discount of up to \$0.573750 per share from the public offering price. After the initial offering of the shares, the representatives may change the offering price and the other selling terms. The offering of the shares by the underwriters is subject to receipt and acceptance and subject to the underwriters' right to reject any order in whole or in part.

We, certain of our executive officers, certain of our directors and the selling stockholders, have agreed with the underwriters, subject to certain exceptions, not to dispose of or hedge any of their common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of common stock during the period from the date of this prospectus continuing through the date 90 days after the date of this prospectus, except with the prior written consent of any three of the following four representatives of the underwriters: Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC. See "Shares Eligible for Future Sale" for a discussion of certain transfer restrictions and exceptions to the lock-up agreements.

The 90-day restricted period described in the preceding paragraph will be automatically extended if: (1) during the last 17 days of the 90-day restricted period, we issue an earnings release or announce material news or a material event; or (2) prior to the expiration of the 90-day restricted period, we announce that we will release earnings results during the 15-day period following the last day of the 90-day period, in which case the restrictions described in the preceding paragraph will continue to apply until the expiration of the 18-day period beginning on the issuance of the earnings release or the announcement of the material news or material event.

In connection with the offering, the underwriters may purchase and sell shares of common stock in the open market. These transactions may include short sales, stabilizing transactions and purchases to cover positions created by short sales. Short sales involve the sale by the underwriters of a greater number of shares than they are required to purchase in the offering, and a short position represents the amount of such sales that have not been covered by subsequent purchases. A "covered short position" is a short position that is not greater than the amount of additional shares for which the underwriters' option described above may be exercised. The underwriters may cover any covered short position by either exercising their option to purchase additional shares or purchasing shares in the open market. In determining the source of shares to cover the covered short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared to the price at which they may purchase additional shares pursuant to the option described above. "Naked" short sales are any short sales that create a short position greater than the amount of additional shares for which the option described above may be exercised. The underwriters must cover any such naked short position by purchasing shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the common stock in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering. Stabilizing transactions consist of various bids for or purchases of common stock made by the underwriters in the open market prior to the completion of the offering.

The underwriters may also impose a penalty bid. This occurs when a particular underwriter repays to the underwriters a portion of the underwriting discount received by it because the representatives have repurchased shares sold by or for the account of such underwriter in stabilizing or short covering transactions.

Purchases to cover a short position and stabilizing transactions, as well as other purchases by the underwriters for their own accounts, may have the effect of preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of our stock, and together with the imposition of the penalty bid, may stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the market price of the common stock. As a result, the price of the common stock may be higher than the price that otherwise might exist in the open market. The underwriters are not required to engage in these activities and may end any of these activities at any time. These transactions may be effected on the NYSE, in the over-the-counter market or otherwise.

In relation to each Member State of the European Economic Area which has implemented the Prospectus Directive (each, a "Relevant Member State"), with effect from and including the date on which the Prospectus

Table of Contents

Directive is implemented in that Relevant Member State, no offer of shares may be made to the public in that Relevant Member State other than:

- (a) to any legal entity which is a qualified investor as defined in the Prospectus Directive;
- (b) to fewer than 100, or, if the Relevant Member State has implemented the relevant portion of the 2010 PD Amending Directive, 150 natural or legal persons (other than qualified investors as defined in the Prospectus Directive), as permitted under the Prospectus Directive, subject to obtaining the prior consent of the representative;
- (c) in any other circumstances falling within Article 3(2) of the Prospectus Directive, provided that no such offer of shares shall require the Company or the representatives to publish a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive or supplement a prospectus pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive.

For the purposes of this provision, the expression an offer of shares to the public in relation to any shares in any Relevant Member State means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the shares to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe the shares, as the same may be varied in that Relevant Member State by any measure implementing the Prospectus Directive in that Relevant Member State and the expression Prospectus Directive means Directive 2003/71/EC (including the 2010 PD Amending Directive, to the extent implemented in the Relevant Member State) and includes any relevant implementing measure in each Relevant Member State and the expression 2010 PD Amending Directive means Directive 2010/73/EU.

Each underwriter has represented and agreed that:

- (a) it has only communicated or caused to be communicated and will only communicate or cause to be communicated an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of Section 21 of the FSMA) received by it in connection with the issue or sale of the shares in circumstances in which Section 21(1) of the FSMA does not apply to the Company; and
- (b) it has complied and will comply with all applicable provisions of the FSMA with respect to anything done by it in relation to the shares in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom.

The shares may not be offered or sold by means of any document other than (i) in circumstances which do not constitute an offer to the public within the meaning of the Companies Ordinance (Cap.32, Laws of Hong Kong), or (ii) to professional investors within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap.571, Laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made thereunder, or (iii) in other circumstances which do not result in the document being a prospectus within the meaning of the Companies Ordinance (Cap.32, Laws of Hong Kong), and no advertisement, invitation or document relating to the shares may be issued or may be in the possession of any person for the purpose of issue (in each case whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere), which is directed at, or the contents of which are likely to be accessed or read by, the public in Hong Kong (except if permitted to do so under the laws of Hong Kong) other than with respect to shares which are or are intended to be disposed of only to persons outside Hong Kong or only to professional investors within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571, Laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made thereunder.

This prospectus has not been registered as a prospectus with the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Accordingly, this prospectus and any other document or material in connection with the offer or sale, or invitation for subscription or purchase, of the shares may not be circulated or distributed, nor may the shares be offered or sold, or be made the subject of an invitation for subscription or purchase, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Singapore other than (i) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore (the SFA), (ii) to a relevant person, or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions, specified in Section 275 of the SFA or (iii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA.

Table of Contents

Where the shares are subscribed or purchased under Section 275 by a relevant person which is: (a) a corporation (which is not an accredited investor) the sole business of which is to hold investments and the entire share capital of which is owned by one or more individuals, each of whom is an accredited investor; or (b) a trust (where the trustee is not an accredited investor) whose sole purpose is to hold investments and each beneficiary is an accredited investor, shares, debentures and units of shares and debentures of that corporation or the beneficiaries' rights and interest in that trust shall not be transferable for six months after that corporation or that trust has acquired the shares under Section 275 except: (1) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the SFA or to a relevant person, or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions, specified in Section 275 of the SFA; (2) where no consideration is given for the transfer; or (3) by operation of law.

The securities have not been and will not be registered under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law of Japan (the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law) and each underwriter has agreed that it will not offer or sell any securities, directly or indirectly, in Japan or to, or for the benefit of, any resident of Japan (which term as used herein means any person resident in Japan, including any corporation or other entity organized under the laws of Japan), or to others for re-offering or resale, directly or indirectly, in Japan or to a resident of Japan, except pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of, and otherwise in compliance with, the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law and any other applicable laws, regulations and ministerial guidelines of Japan.

The shares may not be publicly offered in Switzerland and will not be listed on the SIX Swiss Exchange (SIX) or on any other stock exchange or regulated trading facility in Switzerland. This document has been prepared without regard to the disclosure standards for issuance prospectuses under art. 652a or art. 1156 of the Swiss Code of Obligations or the disclosure standards for listing prospectuses under art. 27 ff. of the SIX Listing Rules or the listing rules of any other stock exchange or regulated trading facility in Switzerland. Neither this document nor any other offering or marketing material relating to the shares or the offering may be publicly distributed or otherwise made publicly available in Switzerland.

Neither this document nor any other offering or marketing material relating to the offering, the Company, the shares have been or will be filed with or approved by any Swiss regulatory authority. In particular, this document will not be filed with, and the offer of shares will not be supervised by, the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA (FINMA), and the offer of shares has not been and will not be authorized under the Swiss Federal Act on Collective Investment Schemes (CISA). The investor protection afforded to acquirers of interests in collective investment schemes under the CISA does not extend to acquirers of shares.

This prospectus supplement relates to an Exempt Offer in accordance with the Offered Securities Rules of the Dubai Financial Services Authority (DFSA). This prospectus supplement is intended for distribution only to persons of a type specified in the Offered Securities Rules of the DFSA. It must not be delivered to, or relied on by, any other person. The DFSA has no responsibility for reviewing or verifying any documents in connection with Exempt Offers. The DFSA has not approved this prospectus supplement nor taken steps to verify the information set forth herein and has no responsibility for the prospectus supplement. The shares to which this prospectus supplement relates may be illiquid and/or subject to restrictions on their resale. Prospective purchasers of the shares offered should conduct their own due diligence on the shares. If you do not understand the contents of this prospectus supplement you should consult an authorized financial advisor.

No placement document, prospectus, product disclosure statement or other disclosure document has been lodged with the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC), in relation to the offering. This prospectus does not constitute a prospectus, product disclosure statement or other disclosure document under the Corporations Act 2001 (the Corporations Act), and does not purport to include the information required for a prospectus, product disclosure statement or other disclosure document under the Corporations Act.

Any offer in Australia of the shares may only be made to persons (the Exempt Investors) who are sophisticated investors (within the meaning of section 708(8) of the Corporations Act), professional

Table of Contents

investors (within the meaning of section 708(11) of the Corporations Act) or otherwise pursuant to one or more exemptions contained in section 708 of the Corporations Act so that it is lawful to offer the shares without disclosure to investors under Chapter 6D of the Corporations Act.

The shares applied for by Exempt Investors in Australia must not be offered for sale in Australia in the period of 12 months after the date of allotment under the offering, except in circumstances where disclosure to investors under Chapter 6D of the Corporations Act would not be required pursuant to an exemption under section 708 of the Corporations Act or otherwise or where the offer is pursuant to a disclosure document which complies with Chapter 6D of the Corporations Act. Any person acquiring shares must observe such Australian on-sale restrictions.

This prospectus contains general information only and does not take account of the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular person. It does not contain any securities recommendations or financial product advice. Before making an investment decision, investors need to consider whether the information in this prospectus is appropriate to their needs, objectives and circumstances, and, if necessary, seek expert advice on those matters.

The underwriters do not expect sales to discretionary accounts to exceed five percent of the total number of shares offered.

We estimate that the expenses of the offering payable by us, excluding underwriting discounts and commissions, will be approximately \$1,232,609. We have also agreed to reimburse the underwriters for certain of their expenses in an amount up to \$25,000 as set forth in the underwriting agreement. The underwriters have agreed to reimburse us for certain expenses in connection with the offering.

We and the selling stockholders have agreed to indemnify the several underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act.

Conflicts of Interest

Goldman, Sachs & Co. and/or its affiliates own in the aggregate in excess of 10% of our issued and outstanding common stock. In addition, it is expected that by selling shares of common stock in this offering Goldman, Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and/or their respective affiliates will each receive more than 5% of the net proceeds of the offering not including underwriting compensation. As a result they are deemed to have a conflict of interest with us within the meaning of Rule 5121 of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (Rule 5121). Accordingly, this offering will be made in compliance with the applicable provisions of Rule 5121. In accordance with that rule, the appointment of a qualified independent underwriter is not required in connection with this offering because a bona fide public market exists for our common stock. Any underwriter that has a conflict of interest pursuant to Rule 5121 will not confirm sales to accounts in which it exercises discretionary authority without the prior written consent of the customer.

Other Relationships

The underwriters and their respective affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include sales and trading, commercial and investment banking, advisory, investment management, investment research, principal investment, hedging, market making, brokerage and other financial and non-financial activities and services. Certain of the underwriters and their respective affiliates have provided, and may in the future provide, a variety of these services to us and to persons and entities with relationships with us, for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses. Certain of the underwriters or their affiliates are lenders of term loans or revolving loans under our senior secured credit facility and have received and will receive fees from us.

In addition, in the ordinary course of their various business activities, the underwriters and their respective affiliates, officers, directors and employees may purchase, sell or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade securities, derivatives, loans, commodities, currencies, credit default swaps and other financial instruments

Table of Contents

for their own account and for the accounts of their customers, and such investment and trading activities may involve or relate to assets, securities and/or instruments of ours (directly, as collateral securing other obligations or otherwise) and/or persons and entities with relationships with us. The underwriters and their respective affiliates may also communicate independent investment recommendations, market color or trading ideas and/or publish or express independent research views in respect of such assets, securities or instruments and may at any time hold, or recommend to clients that they should acquire, long and/or short positions in such assets, securities and instruments.

Solebury Consulting Relationship

Pursuant to an engagement agreement, we engaged Solebury Capital LLC (Solebury), a FINRA member, to provide certain financial consulting services (which do not include underwriting services) in connection with this offering. We agreed to pay Solebury, only upon successful completion of this offering, a fee in an amount equal to 2.0% of the gross spread earned by the underwriters. We also agreed to reimburse Solebury for reasonable and documented out-of-pocket expenses up to a maximum of \$25,000 and have provided indemnification of Solebury pursuant to the engagement agreement.

Solebury s services include advice with respect to selection of underwriters for this offering, deal structure, fees and economics, modeling metrics and presentations and investor marketing. Solebury is not acting as an underwriter and has no contact with any public or institutional investor on behalf of us or the underwriters. In addition, Solebury will not underwrite or purchase any of our common stock in this offering or otherwise participate in any such undertaking.

Table of Contents

LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters in connection with the offering will be passed upon for us and the selling stockholders by Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, New York, New York. Certain matters in connection with the offering will be passed upon for the underwriters by Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP, New York, New York.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule of Aramark and subsidiaries as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, and for each of the fiscal years in the three-year period ended September 27, 2013 have been included herein and in the registration statement in reliance upon the report of KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, appearing elsewhere herein, and upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The consolidated financial statements of AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 and for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2013 included in this Prospectus have been audited by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC, independent auditors, as stated in their report (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes explanatory paragraphs relating to (1) that accounting principles generally accepted in Japan vary in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements and (2) that the audits also comprehended the translation of Japanese yen amounts into U.S. dollar amounts and such translation has been made in conformity with the basis stated in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements) appearing herein and are included in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act with respect to the shares of common stock offered in this prospectus. This prospectus is a part of the registration statement and does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement. For further information about us and shares of our common stock, you should refer to the registration statement. This prospectus summarizes material provisions of contracts and other documents to which we refer you. Since the prospectus may not contain all of the information that you may find important, you should review the full text of these contracts and other documents. We have included or incorporated by reference copies of these documents as exhibits to our registration statement.

We will file annual, quarterly and special reports and other information with the SEC. Our filings with the SEC will be available to the public on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. Those filings will also be available to the public on, or accessible through our corporate web site at <http://www.aramark.com>. The information contained on our corporate web site or any other web site that we may maintain is not part of this prospectus, any prospectus supplement or the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. You may also read and copy, at SEC prescribed rates, any document we file with the SEC, including the registration statement (and its exhibits) of which this prospectus is a part, at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C. 20549. You can call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 to obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room.

Table of Contents**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Page
Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule of Aramark (f/k/a Aramark Holdings Corporation)	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-9
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves for the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011</u>	F-58
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Aramark (f/k/a Aramark Holdings Corporation)	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) as of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013</u>	F-59
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013</u>	F-60
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013</u>	F-62
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013</u>	F-63
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013</u>	F-64
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	F-66
Audited Financial Statements of AIM Services Co., Ltd.	
<u>Independent Auditors' Report</u>	F-91
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	F-93
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-95
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-96
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-97
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-98
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-101

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

ARAMARK Holdings Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ARAMARK Holdings Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and equity for each of the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule as listed in the accompanying index. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ARAMARK Holdings Corporation and subsidiaries as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
November 18, 2013, except for Note 18 as to which the
date is December 17, 2013

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****SEPTEMBER 27, 2013 AND SEPTEMBER 28, 2012****(in thousands, except share amounts)**

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 110,998	\$ 136,748
Receivables (less allowances: 2013 \$34,676; 2012 \$41,212)	1,405,843	1,315,997
Inventories	541,972	508,416
Prepayments and other current assets	228,352	224,340
Total current assets	2,287,165	2,185,501
Property and Equipment, at cost:		
Land, buildings and improvements	611,591	567,972
Service equipment and fixtures	1,642,395	1,524,702
	2,253,986	2,092,674
Less Accumulated depreciation	(1,276,663)	(1,116,365)
	977,323	976,309
Goodwill	4,619,987	4,729,474
Other Intangible Assets	1,408,764	1,595,149
Other Assets	973,867	1,000,921
	\$ 10,267,106	\$ 10,487,354
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term borrowings	\$ 65,841	\$ 37,462
Accounts payable	888,969	873,345
Accrued payroll and related expenses	555,894	468,622
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	878,549	784,245
Total current liabilities	2,389,253	2,163,674
Long-Term Borrowings	5,758,229	5,971,305
Deferred Income Taxes and Other Noncurrent Liabilities	1,047,002	1,207,585
Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and Other	168,915	177,926
Equity:		
ARAMARK Holdings Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock, par value \$.01 (authorized: 600,000,000 shares; issued: 2013 219,585,247 shares and 2012 216,050,523; and outstanding: 2013 201,798,518 and 2012 202,573,022)	2,194	2,159
Capital surplus	1,693,663	1,636,128
Accumulated deficit	(479,233)	(444,479)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(59,225)	(73,745)
Treasury stock (shares held in treasury: 2013 17,786,729 shares and 2012 13,477,501)	(253,692)	(187,046)

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Total ARAMARK Holdings stockholders equity	903,707	933,017
Noncontrolling interest		33,847
Total equity	903,707	966,864
	\$ 10,267,106	\$ 10,487,354

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013, SEPTEMBER 28, 2012 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2011****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Sales	\$ 13,945,657	\$ 13,505,426	\$ 13,082,377
Costs and Expenses:			
Cost of services provided	12,661,145	12,191,419	11,836,780
Depreciation and amortization	542,136	529,213	510,516
Selling and general corporate expenses	227,902	203,019	187,992
	13,431,183	12,923,651	12,535,288
Operating income	514,474	581,775	547,089
Interest and Other Financing Costs, net	423,845	456,807	451,120
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	90,629	124,968	95,969
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	19,233	18,066	(734)
Income from Continuing Operations	71,396	106,902	96,703
Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations, net of tax	(1,030)	297	(11,732)
Net income	70,366	107,199	84,971
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1,010	3,648	1,125
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 69,356	\$ 103,551	\$ 83,846
Earnings per share attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders:			
Basic:			
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.47
Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations	(0.01)		(0.06)
Net income	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.41
Diluted:			
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.46
Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations	\$ (0.01)		\$ (0.06)
Net income	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.40
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:			
Basic	201,916	203,211	203,525
Diluted	209,370	209,707	209,999

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013, SEPTEMBER 28, 2012 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2011****(in thousands)**

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Net income	\$ 70,366	\$ 107,199	\$ 84,971
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Pension plan adjustments	19,745	(16,208)	(4,058)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(17,142)	(4,368)	366
Fair value of cash flow hedges	9,112	34,976	54,873
Share of equity investee's comprehensive income (loss)	2,805	(10,800)	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	14,520	3,600	51,181
Comprehensive income	84,886	110,799	136,152
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1,010	3,648	1,125
Comprehensive income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 83,876	\$ 107,151	\$ 135,027

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013, SEPTEMBER 28, 2012 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2011****(in thousands)**

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 70,366	\$ 107,199	\$ 84,971
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	542,136	529,213	516,290
Income taxes deferred	(17,791)	(66,613)	(39,890)
Share-based compensation expense	19,417	15,678	17,317
Loss on sale of Galls			11,998
Changes in noncash working capital:			
Receivables	(108,583)	(45,190)	(111,862)
Inventories	(34,950)	(50,324)	(26,000)
Prepayments	(49,224)	38,267	(3,096)
Accounts payable	74,462	83,981	27,012
Accrued expenses	161,441	16,495	34,450
Net change in proceeds from sale of receivables			(220,855)
Changes in other noncurrent liabilities	(26,506)	4,569	9,391
Changes in other assets	30,581	43,038	12,311
Other operating activities	34,558	15,448	(8,429)
Net cash provided by operating activities	695,907	691,761	303,608
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of property and equipment, client contract investments and other	(392,932)	(354,542)	(293,776)
Disposals of property and equipment	11,298	11,666	21,499
Proceeds from divestitures	919	6,479	83,078
Acquisition of certain businesses:			
Working capital other than cash acquired	(547)	(8,415)	5,128
Property and equipment	(183)	(18,905)	(6,386)
Additions to goodwill, other intangible assets and other assets, net	(21,836)	(124,427)	(155,697)
Other investing activities	17,893	6,568	(16,993)
Net cash used in investing activities	(385,388)	(481,576)	(363,147)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	3,080,464	3,449	616,252
Payments of long-term borrowings	(3,314,853)	(288,940)	(31,236)
Net change in funding under the Receivables Facility	36,200	37,895	225,905
Dividends paid			(711,172)
Net proceeds from sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interest			48,369
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	5,597	11,258	4,593
Repurchase of common stock	(42,399)	(37,704)	(16,149)
Distribution in connection with spin-off of Seamless	(47,352)		
Other financing activities	(53,926)	(12,785)	(24,562)

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(336,269)	(286,827)	112,000
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(25,750)	(76,642)	52,461
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	136,748	213,390	160,929
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 110,998	\$ 136,748	\$ 213,390

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013, SEPTEMBER 28, 2012 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

(In thousands)

	Total	Total ARAMARK Holdings Stockholders Equity	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Noncontrolling Interest
Balance, October 1, 2010	\$ 1,396,957	\$ 1,396,957	\$ 2,094	\$ 1,523,110	\$ 79,296	\$ (128,526)	\$ (79,017)	\$
Net income	84,971	83,846			83,846			1,125
Other comprehensive income (loss)	51,181	51,181				51,181		
Capital contributions from issuance of common stock	25,252	25,252	29	25,223				
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans	17,317	17,317		17,317				
Tax benefits related to stock incentive plans	651	651		651				
Decrease in common stock subject to repurchase obligation, net	26,675	26,675		26,675				
Repurchases of common stock	(40,756)	(40,756)					(40,756)	
Dividends paid	(711,172)	(711,172)			(711,172)			
Sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interest	31,677	735		735				30,942
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(288)							(288)
Balance, September 30, 2011	\$ 882,465	\$ 850,686	\$ 2,123	\$ 1,593,711	\$ (548,030)	\$ (77,345)	\$ (119,773)	\$ 31,779
Net income	106,076	103,551			103,551			2,525
Other comprehensive income (loss)	3,600	3,600				3,600		
Capital contributions from issuance of common stock	31,636	31,636	36	31,600				
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans	15,678	15,678		15,678				
Tax benefits related to stock incentive plans	4,539	4,539		4,539				
Increase in common stock subject to repurchase obligation, net	(9,400)	(9,400)		(9,400)				
Repurchases of common stock	(67,273)	(67,273)					(67,273)	
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(457)							(457)

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Balance, September 28, 2012	\$ 966,864	\$ 933,017	\$ 2,159	\$ 1,636,128	\$ (444,479)	\$ (73,745)	\$ (187,046)	\$ 33,847
-----------------------------	------------	------------	----------	--------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	-----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY****FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013, SEPTEMBER 28, 2012 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**

(In thousands)

	Total	Total ARAMARK Holdings	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Noncontrolling Interest
Balance, September 28, 2012	\$ 966,864	\$ 933,017	\$ 2,159	\$ 1,636,128	\$ (444,479)	\$ (73,745)	\$ (187,046)	\$ 33,847
Net income	69,572	69,356			69,356			216
Other comprehensive income (loss)	14,520	14,520				14,520		
Capital contributions from issuance of common stock	24,559	24,559	35	24,524				
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans	19,417	19,417		19,417				
Tax benefits related to stock incentive plans	4,841	4,841		4,841				
Decrease in common stock subject to repurchase obligation, net	8,753	8,753		8,753				
Repurchases of common stock	(66,646)	(66,646)					(66,646)	
Distribution of Seamless Holdings	(138,173)	(104,110)			(104,110)			(34,063)
Balance, September 27, 2013	\$ 903,707	\$ 903,707	\$ 2,194	\$ 1,693,663	\$ (479,233)	\$ (59,225)	\$ (253,692)	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

On January 26, 2007, ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (the Company or Holdings), a Delaware corporation controlled by investment funds associated with GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Advisors, J.P. Morgan Partners, Thomas H. Lee Partners and Warburg Pincus LLC (collectively, the Sponsors), Joseph Neubauer, Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer of ARAMARK Corporation, and certain other members of the Company's management, acquired all of the outstanding shares of ARAMARK Corporation.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its subsidiaries in which a controlling financial interest is maintained. For those material consolidated subsidiaries in which the Company's ownership is less than 100%, the outside stockholders' interests are shown as noncontrolling interest in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated. Certain amounts in the prior period's financial statements have been reclassified to conform with the current year classifications. The reclassifications had no effect on net income.

Fiscal Year

The Company's fiscal year is the fifty-two or fifty-three week period which ends on the Friday nearest September 30th. The fiscal years ended September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011 were each fifty-two week periods.

New Accounting Standard Updates

In December 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update (ASU) that requires companies with financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset on the balance sheet or subject to a master netting arrangement to provide additional disclosures regarding the instruments impact on a company's financial position. In January 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update to clarify the scope of this ASU. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2014. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this pronouncement.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that simplifies how entities test goodwill for impairment. The amendment permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. The Company adopted the guidance beginning in fiscal 2013 which did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that modifies the presentation of comprehensive income in the financial statements. The standard requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This standard eliminates the current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. The Company adopted the guidance retrospectively beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 which only resulted in changes to the presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2012, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which amends the guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment. The amendment permits an entity to perform a qualitative impairment assessment before proceeding to the two-step impairment test. The Company adopted the guidance beginning in fiscal 2013 which did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which requires companies to disclose information about reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). Companies also are required to present reclassifications by component when reporting changes in AOCI balances. For significant items reclassified out of AOCI to net income in their entirety in the period, companies must report the effect of the reclassifications on the respective line items in the statement where net income is presented. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2014. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this pronouncement.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes sales when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the fee is fixed and determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. In each of the Company's reportable segments, sales are recognized in the period in which services are provided pursuant to the terms of the Company's contractual relationships with its clients. The Company generally records sales on food and support services contracts (both profit and loss contracts and client interest contracts) on a gross basis as the Company is the primary obligor and service provider.

Certain profit and loss contracts include commissions paid to the client, typically calculated as a fixed or variable percentage of various categories of sales. In some cases these contracts require minimum guaranteed commissions. Commissions paid to clients are recorded in Cost of services provided.

Sales from client interest contracts are generally comprised of amounts billed to clients for food, labor and other costs that the Company incurs, controls and pays for. Sales from client interest contracts also include any associated management fees, client subsidies or incentive fees based upon the Company's performance under the contract. Sales from direct marketing activities are recognized upon shipment. All sales related taxes are presented on a net basis.

Vendor Consideration

Consideration received from vendors include rebates, allowances and volume discounts and are accounted for as an adjustment to the cost of the vendors' products or services and are reported as a reduction of Cost of services provided, Inventory, or Property and Equipment. Income from rebates, allowances and volume discounts is recognized based on actual purchases in the fiscal period relative to total actual or forecasted purchases to be made over the contractual rebate period agreed to with the vendor. Rebates, allowances and volume discounts related to Inventory held at the balance sheet date are deducted from the carrying value of these inventories. Rebates, allowances and volume discounts related to Property and Equipment are deducted from the costs capitalized.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes all changes to stockholders' equity during a period, except those resulting from investments by and distributions to stockholders. Components of comprehensive income include net income (loss), changes in foreign currency translation adjustments (net of tax), pension plan adjustments (net of tax), changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges (net of tax) and changes to the share of any equity investees' comprehensive income (net of tax).

Table of Contents

The tax effects on comprehensive income were as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Pension plan adjustments	\$ (10,198)	\$ 8,646	\$ 2,207
Foreign currency translation adjustments	13,690	2,684	(5,515)
Fair value of cash flow hedges	(5,776)	(22,197)	(36,050)
Share of equity investee s comprehensive loss	(1,510)	7,200	

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of the following (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Pension plan adjustments	\$ (30,523)	\$ (50,268)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	3,287	20,429
Fair value of cash flow hedges	(23,994)	(33,106)
Share of equity investee s Accumulated Other Comprehensive loss	(7,995)	(10,800)
	\$ (59,225)	\$ (73,745)

Currency Translation

Gains and losses resulting from the translation of financial statements of non-U.S. subsidiaries are reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity. Transaction gains and losses included in operating results for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 were not material.

Current Assets

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost (principally the first-in, first-out method) or market. Personalized work apparel, linens and other rental items in service are recorded at cost and are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which primarily range from one to four years. The amortization rates used are based on the Company's specific experience.

The components of inventories are as follows:

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Food	40.4%	39.5%
Career apparel and linens	56.5%	57.2%
Parts, supplies and novelties	3.1%	3.3%
	100.0%	100.0%

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. Gains and losses on dispositions are included in operating results. Maintenance and repairs are charged to current operations, and replacements and significant improvements that extend the useful life of the asset are capitalized. The estimated useful lives for the major categories of property and equipment are 10 to 40 years for buildings and improvements and 3 to 10 years for service equipment and fixtures. Depreciation expense during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$239.1 million, \$236.6 million, and \$234.5 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**Other Assets**

Other assets consist primarily of investments in 50% or less owned entities, client contract investments, deferred financing costs, computer software costs and long-term receivables. Investments in which the Company owns more than 20% but less than a majority are accounted for using the equity method. Investments in which the Company owns less than 20% are accounted for under the cost method. Client contract investments generally represent a cash payment provided by the Company to help finance improvement or renovation at the facility from which the Company operates. These amounts are amortized over the contract period. If a contract is terminated prior to its maturity date, the Company is generally reimbursed for the unamortized client contract investment amount. Amortization expense for client contract investments was \$100.9 million, \$86.9 million and \$76.7 million during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively.

The Company's principal equity method investment is its 50% ownership interest in AIM Services Co., Ltd., a Japanese food and support services company (approximately \$190.7 million and \$233.4 million at September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, respectively, which is included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets). Summarized financial information for AIM Services Co., Ltd. follows (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Current assets	\$ 353,240	\$ 433,584
Noncurrent assets	169,469	222,813
Current liabilities	291,926	374,062
Noncurrent liabilities	50,880	74,680

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Sales	\$ 1,693,598	\$ 1,916,620	\$ 1,772,143
Gross profit	192,857	222,033	222,970
Net income	29,236	39,174	41,949

The period to period comparisons of the summarized financial information for AIM Services Co., Ltd., presented in U.S. dollars above, is significantly impacted by currency translation. The Company's equity in undistributed earnings of AIM Services Co., Ltd., net of amortization related to purchase accounting for the 2007 going-private transaction (the 2007 Transaction), was \$11.5 million, \$14.7 million and \$18.0 million for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively, and is recorded as a reduction of Cost of services provided in the Consolidated Statements of Income. During fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, the Company received \$7.9 million, \$34.9 million and \$10.5 million of cash distributions from AIM Services Co., Ltd, respectively.

Other Accrued Expenses and Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist principally of insurance accruals, advanced payments from clients, taxes, interest, fair value of interest rate swaps and accrued commissions. Advanced payments from clients as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 were \$292.9 million and \$278.5 million, respectively. The Company is self-insured for the risk retained under its health and welfare and general liability and workers' compensation arrangements. Self-insurance reserves are recorded based on historical claims experience and actuarial analyses. As of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, \$93.2 million and \$92.2 million of insurance accruals were included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities, respectively.

Noncurrent liabilities consist primarily of deferred compensation, insurance accruals, pension liabilities, environmental obligations, fair value of interest rate swaps and other hedging agreements and asset retirement obligations.

Table of Contents**Share-Based Compensation**

The Company recognizes compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions in the consolidated financial statements. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award). See Note 11 for additional information on share-based compensation.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted to include the potentially dilutive effect of stock awards.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders (in thousands, except per share data):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Earnings:			
Income from Continuing Operations attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 70,386	\$ 103,254	\$ 95,578
Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	(1,030)	297	(11,732)
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 69,356	\$ 103,551	\$ 83,846
Shares:			
Basic weighted-averages shares outstanding	201,916	203,211	203,525
Effect of dilutive securities	7,454	6,496	6,474
Basic weighted-averages shares outstanding	209,370	209,707	209,999
Earnings Per Share attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders:			
Basic:			
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.47
Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations	(0.01)		(0.06)
Net income	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.41
Diluted:			
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.46
Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations	(0.01)		(0.06)
Net income	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.40

Share-based awards to purchase 6.0 million, 3.2 million and 7.2 million shares were outstanding at September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as their effect would have been antidilutive. In addition, performance-based options to purchase 7.8 million, 8.7 million and 7.2 million shares were outstanding at September 27, 2013, September 28, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as the performance targets were not met.

Table of Contents**Supplemental Cash Flow Information**

(dollars in millions)	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Interest paid	\$ 350.6	\$ 422.5	\$ 386.4
Income taxes paid	\$ 74.8	\$ 82.5	\$ 64.9

Significant noncash activities follow:

During fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, the Company executed capital lease transactions. The present value of the future rental obligations was approximately \$16.1 million, \$17.0 million and \$16.2 million for the respective periods, which is included in property and equipment and long-term borrowings.

During fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, approximately \$3.5 million, \$6.7 million and \$4.8 million of common stock of the Company was repurchased through the issuance of promissory notes, respectively.

During fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, cashless settlements of the exercise price and related employee minimum tax withholding liabilities of share-based payment awards were approximately \$26.9 million, \$27.0 million and \$25.9 million, respectively.

NOTE 2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:

On September 30, 2011, the Company completed the sale of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Galls, LLC (Galls), for approximately \$75.0 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a pretax loss of approximately \$1.5 million (after-tax loss of approximately \$12.0 million) during fiscal 2011. Galls is accounted for as a discontinued operation in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Galls' results of operations have been removed from the Company's results of continuing operations for all periods presented. Galls was previously included in the Uniform and Career Apparel segment. All related disclosures have also been adjusted to reflect the discontinued operation.

The loss from discontinued operations in fiscal 2013 is related to a sales tax audit currently in process for Galls.

Summarized selected financial information of discontinued operations is as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Sales	\$	\$	\$ 162,294
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,701)	491	441
Income tax provision (benefit)	(671)	194	175
	(1,030)	297	266
Loss on sale, net of tax			(11,998)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (1,030)	\$ 297	\$ (11,732)

NOTE 3. ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES:**Fiscal 2013**

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Spin-off of Seamless Holdings Corporation

On October 29, 2012, the Company completed the spin-off of its majority interest in Seamless North America, LLC (Seamless) to its stockholders.

F-14

Table of Contents

In the spin-off, ARAMARK Corporation distributed all of the issued and outstanding shares of the common stock of Seamless Holdings Corporation (Seamless Holdings), an entity formed for the purpose of completing the spin-off and whose assets primarily consist of the Company's former interest in Seamless, to its parent company and sole stockholder, ARAMARK Intermediate. Thereafter, ARAMARK Intermediate distributed such shares to the Company, its parent company and sole stockholder, who then distributed all of the shares of Seamless Holdings on a pro rata basis to the holders of Holdings common stock as of October 26, 2012, the record date, through a tax-free stock dividend. Each ARAMARK Holdings stockholder received one share of Seamless Holdings common stock for each share of ARAMARK Holdings common stock held as of the record date.

Until October 29, 2012, Seamless Holdings and its subsidiaries were part of the Company and its assets, liabilities, results of operations, and cash flows are included in the amounts reported in these consolidated financial statements until that date.

Following the spin-off, Seamless Holdings is an independent company and the Company retains no ownership interest in Seamless Holdings or Seamless. The Company's proforma results of operations for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 would not have been materially different than reported assuming the spin-off and related transactions had occurred at the beginning of the prior year period.

Fiscal 2012

Acquisitions

On October 3, 2011, ARAMARK Refreshment Services, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, purchased all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Van Houtte USA Holdings, Inc. (doing business as Filterfresh), a provider of office coffee services in the United States, for cash consideration of approximately \$145.2 million. The acquisition was financed with cash on hand and borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, if a certain significant customer relationship was not maintained within a specific timeframe, the Company was entitled to a refund of a portion of the purchase price. During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company received a refund of approximately \$7.4 million related to the termination of this customer relationship.

As part of the acquisition of Filterfresh, the Company acquired a subsidiary with a redeemable noncontrolling interest. The Company classifies redeemable noncontrolling interests outside of stockholders' equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and Other. As of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, the redeemable noncontrolling interest related to the subsidiary was approximately \$10.2 million and \$10.4 million, respectively. For fiscal 2013, net income attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$0.8 million. Distributions to the redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$0.9 million for fiscal 2013. For fiscal 2012, net income attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$1.1 million. Distributions to the redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$0.9 million for fiscal 2012.

For fiscal 2012, \$108.0 million of sales and (\$1.6) million of net loss, which includes transition and integration costs, were recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income related to the acquisition. During fiscal 2011, approximately \$0.7 million of pretax transaction-related costs related to the acquisition were recorded in earnings. The Company's proforma results of operations for fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 would not have been materially different than reported, assuming the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of fiscal 2011.

Fiscal 2011

Acquisitions

On March 18, 2011, ARAMARK Clinical Technology Services, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, purchased the common stock of the ultimate parent company of Masterplan, a clinical technology management and medical equipment maintenance company, for cash consideration of approximately \$154.2 million. Also

Table of Contents

acquired in the transaction was ReMedPar, an independent provider of sourced and refurbished medical equipment parts. During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company sold MESA, a wholly-owned subsidiary acquired as part of the Masterplan acquisition, for cash consideration of approximately \$4.2 million. The sale resulted in a reduction to goodwill of approximately \$1.7 million. The Company's proforma results of operations for fiscal 2011 would not have been materially different than reported.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company received \$5.5 million in cash related to the settlement of indemnity claims filed against the former owners of Masterplan. After applying a portion of the proceeds to agreed upon indemnification assets, and after adjusting for certain other exposures, the Company recorded a pretax gain of \$1.0 million, which is included in *Cost of services provided* in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Company followed the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the accounting standard related to business combinations. The following table summarizes the final fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from Masterplan (in thousands):

Purchase consideration	\$ 154,154
Current assets	\$ 29,906
Current liabilities	(31,396)
Property and equipment	3,736
Other intangible assets	42,800
Goodwill	126,757
Other assets	314
Long-term borrowings	(767)
Deferred income taxes and other noncurrent liabilities	(17,196)
	\$ 154,154

For fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, \$107.3 million and \$62.4 million of sales and (\$0.2) million and (\$4.7) million of net loss, respectively, were recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income related to Masterplan.

Divestitures

During fiscal 2011, the Company completed the sale of its 67% ownership interest in the security business of its Chilean subsidiary for approximately \$11.6 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a pretax gain of approximately \$7.7 million (net of tax gain of approximately \$5.8 million), which is included in *Cost of services provided* in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The results of operations and cash flows associated with the security business were not material to the Company's consolidated operations and cash flows.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company sold a noncontrolling interest in Seamless, an online and mobile food ordering service, for consideration of \$50.0 million in cash. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company completed the sale of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Galls, for approximately \$75.0 million in cash (see Note 2).

NOTE 4. SEVERANCE AND ASSET WRITE-DOWNS:

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company initiated a series of actions and further developed its plans to drive efficiency through the consolidation and centralization of its operations. As a result, the Company recorded charges during fiscal 2013 of approximately \$63.9 million for severance and related costs. As of September 27, 2013, the Company has an accrual of approximately \$46.7 million related to the unpaid obligations for these costs. In addition, during the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company recorded charges of approximately \$11.7 million for goodwill impairments (see Note 5) and other asset write-downs of approximately \$11.4 million primarily related to the write-offs of certain client contractual investments.

Table of Contents**NOTE 5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS:**

Goodwill represents the excess of the fair value of consideration paid for an acquired entity over the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Goodwill is not amortized and is subject to an impairment test that the Company conducts annually or more frequently if a change in circumstances or the occurrence of events indicates that potential impairment exists, using discounted cash flows. The Company performs its assessment of goodwill at the reporting unit level. Within the Food and Support Services International segment, each country is evaluated separately since such operating units are relatively autonomous and separate goodwill balances have been recorded for each entity. The Company has completed the annual goodwill impairment test, which did not result in an impairment charge. The Company performs its annual impairment test as of the end of fiscal August.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$11.7 million in the Food and Support Services International segment to write-off all of the goodwill associated with its reporting units in Spain and Korea. The impairment charge is included in Cost of services provided in the Consolidated Statement of Income. The impairment charge resulted from continued economic weakness in Spain and reductions in government support for the healthcare and education sectors, two of the primary sectors of the Spanish reporting unit. In Korea, the Company undertook a strategic analysis of the Korean reporting unit, which prompted the impairment analysis in the second quarter. The completion of the step two impairment analyses confirmed that goodwill for both reporting units was impaired. During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$5.3 million in the Food and Support Services International segment in order to write off the goodwill (approximately \$4.0 million) and other intangible assets (approximately \$1.3 million) associated with its India operations. The impairment charge is included in Cost of services provided in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The impairment charge primarily resulted from a change in the strategic direction of the business and continuing operating losses due to competitive pressures. The completion of the step two impairment analyses confirmed that goodwill for the reporting unit was impaired. The Company estimated these nonrecurring fair value measurements using a discounted cash flow valuation methodology, a Level 3 measurement, which included making assumptions about the future profitability and cash flows of the business.

Goodwill, allocated by segment (see Note 14 for a description of segments), is as follows (in thousands):

Segment	September 28, 2012	Acquisitions and Divestitures	Impairment	Translation and Other	September 27, 2013
Food and Support Services North	\$ 3,701,137	\$ 7,398	\$	\$ (113,487)	\$ 3,595,048
Food and Support Services International	454,552		(11,698)	8,300	451,154
Uniform and Career Apparel	573,785				573,785
	\$ 4,729,474	\$ 7,398	\$ (11,698)	\$ (105,187)	\$ 4,619,987

The amounts for acquisitions during fiscal 2013 may be revised upon final determination of the purchase price allocations. The other adjustments to the Food and Support Services North America segment primarily represents the goodwill related to the Seamless Holdings spin-off (see Note 3).

Other intangible assets consist of (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013			September 28, 2012		
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Customer relationship assets	\$ 1,892,484	\$ (1,242,578)	\$ 649,906	\$ 1,897,933	\$ (1,064,492)	\$ 833,441
Trade names	760,491	(1,633)	758,858	763,127	(1,419)	761,708
	\$ 2,652,975	\$ (1,244,211)	\$ 1,408,764	\$ 2,661,060	\$ (1,065,911)	\$ 1,595,149

Table of Contents

Acquisition-related intangible assets consist of customer relationship assets, the ARAMARK trade name and other trade names. Customer relationship assets are being amortized principally on a straight-line basis over the expected period of benefit, 5 to 24 years, with a weighted average life of approximately 11 years. The ARAMARK trade name is an indefinite lived intangible asset and is not amortizable but is evaluated for impairment at least annually. The Company completed its annual trade name impairment test, which did not result in an impairment charge.

Intangible assets of approximately \$21.4 million were acquired through business combinations during fiscal 2013. Amortization of intangible assets for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was approximately \$192 million, \$198 million and \$193 million, respectively.

The estimated amortization expense of the amortizable intangible assets through 2018 reflects the 2007 Transaction and acquisitions since January 26, 2007. Based on the recorded balances at September 27, 2013, total estimated amortization of all acquisition-related intangible assets for fiscal years 2014 through 2018 follows (in thousands):

2014	\$ 156,302
2015	\$ 131,992
2016	\$ 95,454
2017	\$ 71,943
2018	\$ 48,874

NOTE 6. BORROWINGS:

As of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, all of the Company's indebtedness was held by the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, ARAMARK Corporation, except for the 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 (the Holdings Notes). Long-term borrowings at September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Senior secured revolving credit facility	\$ 10,000	\$
8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes, due April 2016		595,486
Senior secured term loan facility, due January 2014		650,913
Senior secured term loan facility, due July 2016	3,032,349	2,637,920
Senior secured term loan facility, due September 2019	1,393,559	
8.50% senior notes, due February 2015		1,280,000
Senior floating rate notes, due February 2015		500,000
5.75% senior notes, due March 2020	1,000,000	
Receivables Facility, due January 2015	300,000	263,800
Capital leases	52,385	49,584
Other	35,777	31,064
	5,824,070	6,008,767
Less current portion	(65,841)	(37,462)
	\$ 5,758,229	\$ 5,971,305

On January 26, 2007, ARAMARK Corporation (i) entered into a \$4.15 billion senior secured term loan facility with an original maturity date of January 26, 2014, (ii) issued \$1.28 billion of 8.50% senior notes due 2015 (the Fixed Rate Notes) and \$500 million of senior floating rate notes due 2015 (the Floating Rate Notes), (iii) entered into a \$600 million senior secured revolving credit facility with an original six-year maturity, and (iv) entered into a synthetic letter of credit facility for up to \$250 million (which was reduced to \$200 million in January 2008).

Table of Contents

In the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company completed a refinancing, repurchasing all of the Fixed Rate Notes, Floating Rate Notes and Holdings Notes. The Company refinanced that debt with borrowings of ARAMARK Corporation in the form of the new term loans and new notes as described herein.

Senior Secured Credit Agreement

The senior secured credit agreement is comprised of the senior secured term loan facility, the senior secured revolving credit facility and the synthetic letter of credit facility. The senior secured credit agreement provides that ARAMARK Corporation has the right at any time to request up to \$750 million of incremental commitments in the aggregate (reduced to \$630 million as of September 27, 2013) under one or more incremental term loan facilities and/or synthetic letter of credit facilities and/or revolving credit facilities and/or by increasing commitments under the revolving credit facility. ARAMARK Corporation's ability to obtain extensions of credit under these incremental facilities or commitments will be subject to the same conditions as extensions of credit under the existing credit facilities. However, the lenders under the existing facilities will not be under any obligation to provide any such incremental facilities or commitments, and any such addition of or increase in facilities or commitments will be subject to pro forma compliance with an incurrence-based financial covenant and customary conditions precedent.

Borrowings under the senior secured credit agreement bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at ARAMARK Corporation's option, either (a) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits in the currency of such borrowing for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs, (b) with respect to borrowings denominated in U.S. dollars, a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (1) the prime rate of the administrative agent, and (2) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% or (c) with respect to borrowings denominated in Canadian dollars, (1) a base rate determined by reference to the prime rate of Canadian banks or (2) a BA (bankers' acceptance) rate determined by reference to the rate offered for bankers' acceptances in Canadian dollars for the interest period relevant to such borrowing.

All obligations under the senior secured credit agreement are guaranteed by ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation and, subject to certain exceptions, substantially all existing and future domestic subsidiaries of ARAMARK Corporation (the U.S. Guarantors). All obligations of each foreign borrower under the senior secured credit facilities are unconditionally guaranteed by ARAMARK Corporation, the U.S. Guarantors and, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, the respective other foreign borrowers. All obligations under the senior secured credit agreement are secured by a security interest in substantially all assets of ARAMARK Intermediate Holdco Corporation, ARAMARK Corporation and the U.S. Guarantors. The senior secured credit agreement obligations are also secured by pledges of 100% of the capital stock of ARAMARK Corporation and 100% of the capital stock held by ARAMARK Corporation or any of the U.S. Guarantors.

The senior secured credit agreement requires ARAMARK Corporation to prepay outstanding term loans, subject to certain exceptions, with (i) 50% of ARAMARK Corporation's Excess Cash Flow if the Consolidated Capital Leverage Ratio is above 5.25x or 25% of ARAMARK Corporation's Excess Cash Flow if the Consolidated Capital Leverage Ratio falls between 5.25x and 4.50x (as defined in the senior secured credit agreement) (the actual ratio at September 27, 2013 was 4.88x), (ii) 100% of the net cash proceeds of all nonordinary course asset sales or other dispositions of property subject to certain exceptions and customary reinvestment rights, and (iii) 100% of the net cash proceeds of any incurrence of debt, including debt incurred by any business securitization subsidiary in respect of any business securitization facility, but excluding proceeds from ARAMARK Corporation's Receivables Facility and other debt permitted under the senior secured credit agreement. Any mandatory prepayments would be applied to the term loan facilities as directed by ARAMARK Corporation. Generally, ARAMARK Corporation is permitted to voluntarily repay outstanding loans under the senior secured credit agreement at any time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs with respect to LIBOR loans.

The senior secured credit agreement contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, ARAMARK Corporation's ability to: incur additional indebtedness; issue preferred stock or provide guarantees; create liens on assets; engage in mergers or consolidations; sell assets; pay

Table of Contents

dividends, make distributions or repurchase its capital stock; make investments, loans or advances; repay or repurchase any notes, except as scheduled or at maturity; create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to ARAMARK Corporation from its restricted subsidiaries; make certain acquisitions; engage in certain transactions with affiliates; amend material agreements governing ARAMARK Corporation's outstanding notes (or any indebtedness that refinances the notes); and fundamentally change ARAMARK Corporation's business. In addition, the senior secured revolving credit facility requires ARAMARK Corporation to maintain a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and imposes limitations on capital expenditures. The senior secured credit agreement also contains certain customary affirmative covenants, such as financial and other reporting, and certain events of default. At September 27, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation was in compliance with all of these covenants.

The senior secured credit agreement requires ARAMARK Corporation to maintain a maximum Consolidated Secured Debt Ratio, defined as consolidated total indebtedness secured by a lien to Covenant Adjusted EBITDA (as defined) of 5.875x, being reduced over time to 5.125x by the end of 2016 (as of September 27, 2013 5.75x). Consolidated total indebtedness secured by a lien is defined in the senior secured credit agreement as total indebtedness outstanding under the senior secured credit agreement, capital leases, advances under the Receivables Facility and any other indebtedness secured by a lien reduced by the lesser of the amount of cash and cash equivalents on the consolidated balance sheet that is free and clear of any lien and \$75 million. Non-compliance with the maximum Consolidated Secured Debt Ratio could result in the requirement to immediately repay all amounts outstanding under such agreement, which, if ARAMARK Corporation's revolving credit facility lenders failed to waive any such default, would also constitute a default under the indenture. The actual ratio at September 27, 2013 was 4.00x.

The senior secured credit agreement establishes an incurrence-based minimum Interest Coverage Ratio, defined as Adjusted EBITDA to consolidated interest expense, as a condition for ARAMARK Corporation to incur additional indebtedness and to make certain restricted payments. The minimum Interest Coverage Ratio is 2.00x for the term of the senior secured credit agreement. If ARAMARK Corporation does not maintain this minimum Interest Coverage Ratio calculated on a pro forma basis for any such additional indebtedness or restricted payments, it could be prohibited from being able to incur additional indebtedness, other than the additional funding provided for under the senior secured credit agreement and pursuant to specified exceptions, and make certain restricted payments, other than pursuant to certain exceptions. Consolidated interest expense is defined in the senior secured credit agreement as consolidated interest expense excluding interest income, adjusted for acquisitions and dispositions, further adjusted for certain non-cash or nonrecurring interest expense and ARAMARK Corporation's estimated share of interest expense from one equity method investee. The actual ratio was 3.52x for the twelve months ended September 27, 2013.

Senior Secured Term Loan Facilities

The senior secured term loan facility consists of the following subfacilities as of September 27, 2013:

A U.S. dollar denominated term loan to ARAMARK Corporation in the amount of \$2,670.5 million (due 2016) and \$1,400.0 million (due 2019);

A yen denominated term loan to ARAMARK Corporation in the amount of ¥5,056.0 million (due 2016);

A U.S. dollar denominated term loan to a Canadian subsidiary in the amount of \$75.5 million (due 2016);

A Euro denominated term loan to an Irish subsidiary in an amount of 30.4 million (due 2016);

A sterling denominated term loan to a U.K. subsidiary in an amount of £82.3 million (due 2016); and

A Euro denominated term loan to German subsidiaries in the amount of 46.1 million (due 2016).

Table of Contents

ARAMARK Corporation is required to repay the senior secured term loan facilities in quarterly principal amounts of 0.25% of the funded total principal amount, with the remaining amount payable on July 26, 2016. In September 2013, ARAMARK Corporation made a payment of outstanding U.S. dollar term loan due 2016 of \$265.0 million.

Amendment Agreement No. 4

On February 22, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation entered into Amendment Agreement No. 4 (Amendment Agreement No. 4) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 26, 2010 (as amended, the Credit Agreement) which provided for, among other things, additional term loans and the extension of a portion of the revolving credit facility. On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation borrowed \$1,400 million of term loans pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4. The new term loans were borrowed by ARAMARK Corporation with an original issue discount of 0.50%. The term loans under Amendment Agreement No. 4 mature on September 7, 2019. These term loans have a Eurocurrency rate margin, with respect to the U.S. dollar denominated, Canadian dollar denominated and Euro denominated term loans, of 3.00% and base rate margin on U.S. dollar denominated base rate term loans of 2.00%. Eurocurrency rate borrowings have a minimum LIBOR rate of 1.00% and base rate borrowings have a minimum rate of 2.00%.

Amendment Agreement No. 4 also increased the applicable margins for ARAMARK Corporation's existing term loans and the fee rates on letter of credit deposits with respect to the synthetic letter of credit facility. From and after the effective date of Amendment Agreement No. 4, (A) the Eurocurrency rate margin and letter of credit fees with respect to the U.S. dollar denominated, Canadian dollar denominated and Euro denominated term loans and extended maturity letter of credit deposits increased 0.25% to 3.50%, (B) the margin on U.S. dollar denominated base rate term loans increased 0.25% to 2.50% and (C) the margins on yen denominated term loans and sterling denominated term loans increased 0.125% to 3.50%.

Amendment Agreement No. 4 also provided for an increase in the maximum Consolidated Secured Debt Ratio to reflect the additional secured indebtedness associated with the new term loan borrowings and certain additional flexibility with respect to the restricted payments covenant.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$14.0 million of third-party costs directly attributable to the term loans borrowed pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4 were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, of which approximately \$6.2 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Amendment Agreement No. 3

On December 20, 2012, ARAMARK Corporation amended the senior secured credit agreement (Amendment Agreement No. 3) to, among other things, borrow \$670 million of new term loans with a maturity date of July 26, 2016. The proceeds of the new term loans were used primarily to repay approximately \$650 million of existing term loans with a maturity date of January 26, 2014 and to fund certain discounts, fees and costs associated with the amendment. The existing term loans repaid in connection with Amendment Agreement No. 3 included U.S. dollar denominated term loans as well as non-U.S. dollar term loans and consisted of the remaining balance of the term loans maturing in January 2014. The new term loans were borrowed by ARAMARK Corporation with an original issue discount of 0.25%.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$11.6 million of third-party costs directly attributable to Amendment Agreement No. 3 were expensed and are included in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Approximately \$4.6 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Table of Contents

Amendment Agreement No. 2

On February 29, 2012, ARAMARK Corporation entered into Amendment Agreement No. 2 (Amendment Agreement No. 2) to the Credit Agreement. Amendment Agreement No. 2 extended the maturity date of an aggregate U.S. dollar equivalent of approximately \$1,231.6 million of ARAMARK Corporation's term loans and \$66.7 million of letter of credit deposits securing ARAMARK Corporation's synthetic letter of credit facility to July 26, 2016. Consenting lenders received a one-time amendment fee of approximately \$3.2 million in the aggregate on their total loan commitments.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, approximately \$7.5 million of third-party costs directly attributable to Amendment Agreement No. 2 were expensed and are included in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Approximately \$4.5 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

The senior secured revolving credit facility consists of the following subfacilities:

A revolving credit facility available for loans in U.S. dollars to ARAMARK Corporation with aggregate commitments of \$555 million (\$515 million with a final maturity of January 26, 2017 and \$40 million with a final maturity of January 26, 2015); and

A revolving credit facility available for loans in Canadian dollars or U.S. dollars to ARAMARK Corporation or a Canadian subsidiary with aggregate commitments of \$50 million (due January 26, 2017).

Amendment Agreement No. 1

On April 18, 2011, ARAMARK Corporation entered into an Amendment Agreement to the Restated Credit Agreement (the Amendment Agreement No. 1) that extended, from January 2013 to January 2015, the maturity of, and increased, from \$435 million to \$500 million, the U.S. dollar denominated portion of its existing revolving credit facility. Any commitments from existing lenders in the U.S. dollar facility that were not extended were terminated, which resulted in a write-off of deferred financing fees of \$2.1 million in fiscal 2011. Existing lenders that extended the U.S. dollar denominated portion of their existing revolving credit facility include entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners. Commitment fees and third party costs directly attributable to the amendment were approximately \$7.2 million in fiscal 2011, of which approximately \$3.9 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Amendment Agreement No. 4

Amendment Agreement No. 4 provided for the extension, from January 26, 2015 to January 26, 2017, of the maturity of \$500 million in revolving lender commitments of the existing \$550 million revolving credit facility, which extended portion was subsequently increased to \$510 million. The maturity date of the extended revolving credit facilities would accelerate to April 26, 2016 if term loans under the Credit Agreement that are due on July 26, 2016 remain outstanding on April 26, 2016. Third party costs directly attributable to the revolving credit facility of approximately \$2.8 million were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, of which approximately \$0.6 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Amendment Agreement No. 5

On March 22, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation entered into Amendment Agreement No. 5 (Amendment Agreement No. 5) to the Credit Agreement. Amendment Agreement No. 5 increased the aggregate revolving loan commitments made available to ARAMARK Corporation under the Credit Agreement by \$55.0 million to \$605.0 million.

Table of Contents

The applicable margin spread for borrowings under the revolving credit facility are 3.25% with respect to Eurocurrency borrowings and 2.25% with respect to base-rate borrowings.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the senior secured credit agreement, ARAMARK Corporation is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility in respect of the unutilized commitments thereunder. The commitment fee rate is 0.50% per annum.

As of September 27, 2013, there was approximately \$579.0 million available for borrowing on the credit facility.

8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016

On April 18, 2011, the Company completed a private placement of \$600 million, net of a 1% discount, in aggregate principal amount of 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016. Interest on the Holdings Notes accrues at the rate of 8.625% per annum with respect to interest payments made in cash and 9.375% per annum with respect to any payment in-kind interest. The Holdings Notes are obligations of the Company, are not guaranteed by ARAMARK Corporation and its subsidiaries and are structurally subordinated to all existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities of ARAMARK Corporation and its subsidiaries.

The Company used the net proceeds from the offering of the Holdings Notes, along with \$132.7 million in borrowings by ARAMARK Corporation under the extended U.S. dollar revolving credit facility, to pay an approximately \$711 million dividend (\$3.50 per share) to the Company's stockholders and to pay fees and expenses related to the issuance of the Holdings Notes. Third party costs directly attributable to the Holdings Notes were approximately \$14.6 million, of which approximately \$8.3 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

5.75% Senior Notes due 2020

On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation issued \$1,000 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "Senior Notes") pursuant to a new indenture, dated as of March 7, 2013 (the "Indenture"). The Senior Notes were issued at par. The Senior Notes were sold only to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and to certain persons in offshore transactions in reliance on Regulation S, under the Securities Act. The Senior Notes are unsecured obligations of ARAMARK Corporation. The Senior Notes rank equal in right of payment to all of ARAMARK Corporation's existing and future senior debt and senior in right of payment to all of ARAMARK Corporation's existing and future debt that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Senior Notes. The Senior Notes are guaranteed on a senior, unsecured basis by substantially all of the domestic subsidiaries of ARAMARK Corporation. Interest on the Senior Notes is payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year, commencing on September 15, 2013. The Senior Notes and guarantees are structurally subordinated to all of the liabilities of any of ARAMARK Corporation's subsidiaries that do not guarantee the Senior Notes. The Senior Notes mature on March 15, 2020.

Prior to March 15, 2015, ARAMARK Corporation may redeem up to 40% of the Senior Notes with the proceeds from one or more qualified equity offerings at a price equal to 105.750% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of redemption. In addition, at any time prior to March 15, 2015, ARAMARK Corporation may redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes redeemed plus a make whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of redemption. Thereafter, ARAMARK Corporation has the option to redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at any time at the redemption prices set forth in the Indenture.

In the event of certain types of changes of control, the holders of the Senior Notes may require ARAMARK Corporation to purchase for cash all or a portion of their Senior Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of such Senior Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

Table of Contents

The Indenture contains covenants limiting ARAMARK Corporation's ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to: incur additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred shares; pay dividends and make certain distributions, investments and other restricted payments; create certain liens; sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; limit the ability of restricted subsidiaries to make payments to ARAMARK Corporation; enter into sale and leaseback transactions; merge, consolidate, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of ARAMARK Corporation's assets; and designate ARAMARK Corporation's subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. The Indenture also provides for events of default which, if any of them occurs, would permit or require the principal of and accrued interest on the Senior Notes to become or to be declared due and payable.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$13.8 million of third-party costs directly attributable to the Senior Notes were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Approximately \$7.3 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Registration Rights Agreement

On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation entered into a registration rights agreement (the Registration Rights Agreement) among the guarantors named therein and Goldman, Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as representatives of the several initial purchasers, with respect to the Senior Notes. In the Registration Rights Agreement, ARAMARK Corporation agreed to, among other things, (1) file an exchange offer registration statement pursuant to which ARAMARK Corporation will offer exchange notes with terms identical in all material respects to, and evidencing the same indebtedness as, the Senior Notes, in exchange for such notes (but which exchange notes will not contain terms with respect to transfer restrictions or provide for the additional interest described below); and (2) use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the exchange offer registration statement to be declared effective under the Securities Act. ARAMARK Corporation has agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the exchange offer to be consummated or, if required, to have one or more shelf registration statements declared effective, within 390 days after the issue date of the Senior Notes.

If ARAMARK Corporation fails to satisfy this obligation (a registration default), the annual interest rate on the Senior Notes will increase by 0.25%. The annual interest rate on the Senior Notes will increase by an additional 0.25% for each subsequent 90-day period during which the registration default continues, up to a maximum additional interest rate of 1.00% per year over the applicable interest rate in the Indenture. If the registration default is corrected, the applicable interest rate on the Senior Notes will revert to the original level.

Repurchase of 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015, Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 and 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016*Tender Offer*

In February 2013, ARAMARK Corporation and the Company commenced a tender offer to purchase for cash any and all of the Holdings Notes and the Fixed Rate Notes and the Floating Rate Notes (collectively, the Notes). On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation used a portion of the aggregate proceeds of the Senior Notes offering and the borrowings under the new term loans pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4 to purchase all Notes tendered by March 6, 2013, the early tender date. On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation issued redemption notices for the portions of ARAMARK Corporation's Fixed Rate Notes and Floating Rate Notes that remained outstanding, including accrued and unpaid interest, as of March 7, 2013, which provided for the redemption of such notes on April 6, 2013 at prices of 100% of the principal amount thereof. On March 7, 2013, the Company issued a redemption notice for the portion of the Holdings Notes that remained outstanding as of March 7, 2013, including accrued and unpaid interest, which notices provided for the redemption of the Holdings Notes on May 1, 2013 at a price of 101% of the principal amount thereof.

On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation and the Company deposited sufficient funds in trust with the trustee under the indenture governing the Notes in full and complete satisfaction and discharge of the remaining aggregate principal amount of such notes, including accrued and unpaid interest (the Satisfaction and

Table of Contents

Discharge). As a result of the Satisfaction and Discharge, the trustee became the primary obligor for payment of the remaining Notes on or about the redemption notice date of March 7, 2013. ARAMARK Corporation and the Company had a contingent obligation for payment of the Notes were the trustee to default on its payment obligations. The Company believed the risk of such default was remote and therefore did not record a related liability. The remaining Fixed Rate Notes and Floating Rate Notes were redeemed by the trustee on April 6, 2013. The remaining Holdings Notes were redeemed by the trustee on May 1, 2013.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, in connection with the tender offer and Satisfaction and Discharge, the Company recorded \$39.8 million of charges to Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income, consisting of \$12.9 million of third party costs for the tender offer premium and \$26.9 million of non-cash charges for the write-off of deferred financing costs.

Future Maturities and Interest and Other Financing Costs, net

At September 27, 2013, annual maturities on long-term borrowings in the next five fiscal years and thereafter (excluding the \$7.8 million discount on the senior secured term loan facilities and presumes repayment of the \$2.6 billion U.S. and non-U.S. denominated term loans on July 26, 2016) are as follows (in thousands):

2014	\$ 65,841
2015	\$ 349,487
2016	\$ 3,025,340
2017	\$ 30,241
2018	\$ 19,788
Thereafter	\$ 2,341,138

The components of interest and other financing costs, net, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Interest expense	\$ 425,625	\$ 459,083	\$ 466,140
Interest income	(6,430)	(5,477)	(18,653)
Other financing costs	4,650	3,201	3,633
Total	\$ 423,845	\$ 456,807	\$ 451,120

NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS:

The Company enters into contractual derivative arrangements to manage changes in market conditions related to interest on debt obligations, foreign currency exposures and exposure to fluctuating natural gas, gasoline and diesel fuel prices. Derivative instruments utilized during the period include interest rate swap agreements, foreign currency forward exchange contracts, and gasoline and diesel fuel agreements. All derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value at the end of each quarter. The counterparties to the Company's contractual derivative agreements are all major international financial institutions. The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties. The Company continually monitors its positions and the credit ratings of its counterparties, and does not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties. For designated hedging relationships, the Company formally documents the hedging relationship and its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk will be assessed prospectively and retrospectively, and a description of the method of measuring ineffectiveness. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting cash flows of hedged items.

Table of ContentsCash Flow Hedges

The Company previously entered into \$1.0 billion of interest rate swap agreements, fixing the rate on a like amount of variable rate borrowings. During fiscal 2013, the Company entered into \$1.3 billion of forward starting interest rate swap agreements to hedge the cash flow risk of variability in interest payments on variable rate borrowings. Subsequent to September 27, 2013, the Company entered into an additional \$600 million notional amount of forward starting interest rate swap agreements to hedge the cash flow risk of variability in interest rate payments on variable rate borrowings. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated as and meets all the required criteria for a cash flow hedge are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings as the underlying hedged item affects earnings. As of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, approximately (\$20.5) million and (\$28.1) million of unrealized net of tax losses related to the interest rate swaps were included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, respectively. The hedge ineffectiveness for these cash flow hedging instruments during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was not material.

The Company previously entered into a \$160.0 million amortizing cross currency swap to mitigate the risk of variability in principal and interest payments on the Canadian subsidiary's variable rate debt denominated in U.S. dollars. The agreement fixes the rate on the variable rate borrowings and mitigates changes in the Canadian dollar/U.S. dollar exchange rate. In March 2012, the cross currency swap was amended to match the terms of the Canadian subsidiary's debt that was impacted by the Amendment Agreement No. 2. A portion of the swap was amended and extended to match the terms related to its variable rate debt denominated in U.S. dollars that was extended under Amendment Agreement No. 2. The Company has designated the swaps as cash flow hedges. During fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, approximately \$1.0 million and (\$8.1) million of unrealized net of tax gains (losses) related to the swap were added to Accumulated other comprehensive loss, respectively. Approximately (\$4.0) million and \$10.0 million were reclassified to offset net translation gains (losses) on the foreign currency denominated debt during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, respectively. As of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, unrealized net of tax losses of approximately (\$3.5) million and (\$5.0) million related to the cross currency swap were included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, respectively. As a result of amending the cross currency swap, the hedge ineffectiveness for fiscal 2012 was approximately \$3.6 million, which is recorded in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net. The hedge ineffectiveness for this cash flow hedging instrument during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2011 was not material.

As a result of Amendment Agreement No. 3, the Company de-designated the cross currency swap that hedged the Canadian subsidiary's term loan with a maturity date of January 26, 2014. Prior to Amendment Agreement No. 3, these contracts met the required criteria to be designated as cash flow hedging instruments. As a result, approximately \$3.2 million was reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Consolidated Balance Sheets to Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income during fiscal 2013.

The following table summarizes the net of tax effect of our derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on Comprehensive Income (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ 7,598	\$ 28,147	\$ 58,082
Cross currency swap agreements	1,514	5,580	(1,956)
Natural gas hedge agreements		113	(21)
Gasoline and diesel fuel agreements			(1,232)
	\$ 9,112	\$ 33,840	\$ 54,873

Table of Contents**Derivatives not Designated in Hedging Relationships**

The Company elected to de-designate the cross currency swaps that were hedged against the Canadian subsidiary's term loan with a maturity date of January 26, 2014 due to the repayment of the term loan as a result of Amendment Agreement No. 3. As a result, on a prospective basis, changes in the fair value of these swaps are recorded in earnings. For fiscal 2013, the Company recorded a pretax gain (loss) of approximately \$3.0 million for the change in the fair value of these swaps in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The changes in the fair value of these swaps are expected to offset future currency transaction gains and losses on a U.S. dollar denominated intercompany loan between the Company and its Canadian subsidiary.

The Company entered into a series of pay fixed/receive floating gasoline and diesel fuel agreements based on the Department of Energy weekly retail on-highway index in order to limit its exposure to price fluctuations for gasoline and diesel fuel. As of September 27, 2013, the Company has contracts for approximately 0.2 million gallons outstanding for fiscal 2014. Prior to October 1, 2011, all outstanding contracts were designated as cash flow hedging instruments; therefore, changes in the fair value of these contracts were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings as the underlying hedged item affects earnings. Beginning in first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company no longer records its gasoline and diesel fuel agreements as hedges for accounting purposes. As a result, on a prospective basis, changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded in earnings. Amounts previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were reclassified into earnings as the underlying item affected earnings. During fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, the Company recorded a pretax gain (loss) of (\$0.7) million and \$0.7 million in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the change in the fair value on these agreements, respectively. The hedge ineffectiveness for the gasoline and diesel fuel hedging instruments for fiscal 2011 was immaterial.

As of September 27, 2013, the Company had foreign currency forward exchange contracts outstanding with notional amounts of \$95.9 million, £45.8 million, kr.26.6 million and CAD16.0 million to mitigate the risk of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on short-term intercompany loans to certain international subsidiaries. Gains and losses on these foreign currency exchange contracts are recognized in income as the contracts were not designated as hedging instruments, substantially offsetting currency transaction gains and losses on the short term intercompany loans.

The following table summarizes the location and fair value, using Level 2 inputs, of the Company's derivatives designated and not designated as hedging instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	Balance Sheet Location	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
ASSETS			
<i>Not designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Prepayments	\$	\$ 251
Gasoline and diesel fuel agreements	Prepayments	37	696
		\$ 37	\$ 947
LIABILITIES			
<i>Designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Interest rate swap agreements	Accrued Expenses	\$ 3,494	\$
Interest rate swap agreements	Other Noncurrent Liabilities	30,431	46,484
Cross currency swap agreements	Other Noncurrent Liabilities	16,129	45,406
		50,054	91,890
<i>Not designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Accounts Payable	366	
Cross currency swap agreements	Accrued Expenses	12,818	
		\$ 63,238	\$ 91,890

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the location of (gain) loss reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings for derivatives designated as hedging instruments and the location of (gain) loss for our derivatives not designated as hedging instruments in the Consolidated Statements of Income (in thousands):

Account	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
<i>Designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ 23,479	\$ 66,260	\$ 123,739
Cross currency swap agreements	4,090	8,077	8,960
Natural gas hedge agreements	Cost of services provided	396	158
Gasoline and diesel fuel agreements	Cost of services provided		(2,289)
	\$ 27,569	\$ 74,733	\$ 130,568
<i>Not designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Cross currency swap agreements	\$ 181	\$	\$
Gasoline and diesel fuel agreements	7	24	
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Interest Expense	(265)	(1,586)
	2,885	(241)	(1,586)
	\$ 30,454	\$ 74,492	\$ 128,982

As part of the 2007 Transaction, the Company entered into a Japanese yen denominated term loan in the amount of ¥5,422 million (see Note 6). The term loan was designated as a hedge of the Company's net Japanese currency exposure represented by the equity investment in our Japanese affiliate, AIM Services Co., Ltd.

At September 27, 2013, the net of tax loss expected to be reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings over the next twelve months based on current market rates is approximately \$17.0 million.

NOTE 8. EMPLOYEE PENSION AND PROFIT SHARING PLANS:

In the United States, the Company maintains qualified contributory and non-contributory defined contribution retirement plans for eligible employees, with Company contributions to the plans based on earnings performance or salary level. The Company also has a non-qualified retirement savings plan for certain employees. The total expense of the above plans for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$32.4 million, \$29.5 million and \$31.5 million, respectively. The Company also maintains similar contributory and non-contributory defined contribution retirement plans at several of its international operations. The total expense of these international plans for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$8.5 million, \$5.0 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. Additionally, the Company maintains several contributory and non-contributory defined benefit pension plans, primarily in Canada and the United Kingdom.

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic pension cost for the Company's single-employer defined benefit pension plans for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Service cost	\$ 11,045	\$ 9,961	\$ 10,310
Interest cost	12,693	13,001	13,086
Expected return on plan assets	(14,256)	(12,521)	(12,738)
Settlements	308	467	704

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Amortization of prior service cost	119	6	6
Recognized net (gain) loss	3,436	2,392	1,608
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 13,345	\$ 13,306	\$ 12,976

F-28

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth changes in the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets for these plans (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation, beginning	\$ 306,810	\$ 244,754
Foreign currency translation	(7,641)	14,056
Service cost	11,045	9,961
Interest cost	12,693	13,001
Employee contributions	2,954	3,565
Actuarial loss (gain)	(12,958)	34,227
Benefits paid	(15,172)	(11,699)
Settlements and curtailments	(1,342)	(1,055)
Benefit obligation, end	\$ 296,389	\$ 306,810
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets, beginning	\$ 222,272	\$ 178,587
Foreign currency translation	(5,359)	9,866
Employer contributions	19,731	20,558
Employee contributions	2,954	3,565
Actual return on plan assets	25,890	22,601
Benefits paid	(15,172)	(11,699)
Settlements	(1,637)	(1,206)
Fair value of plan assets, end	\$ 248,679	\$ 222,272
Funded Status at end of year	\$ (47,710)	\$ (84,538)

Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Current benefit liability (included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities)	\$ (924)	\$ (484)
Noncurrent benefit liability (included in Other Noncurrent Liabilities)	\$ (46,786)	\$ (84,054)
Net actuarial loss (gain) (included in Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss before taxes)	\$ 47,456	\$ 77,391
Prior service cost (included in Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss before taxes)	\$ 44	\$ 52

The settlements in both fiscal years primarily relate to the lump sum payments made to employees in the Company's Korean pension plan.

The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine pension expense of the respective fiscal years:

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Discount rate	4.2%	5.0%
Rate of compensation increase	3.4%	3.5%
Long-term rate of return on assets	6.7%	6.6%

Table of Contents

The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine the funded status of the respective fiscal years:

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Discount rate	4.6%	4.2%
Rate of compensation increase	3.3%	3.4%

Assumptions are adjusted annually, as necessary, based on prevailing market conditions and actual experience.

The accumulated benefit obligation as of September 27, 2013 was \$273.8 million. During fiscal 2013, actuarial gains of approximately \$24.2 million were recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (before taxes) and \$3.4 million of amortization of actuarial losses was recognized as net periodic pension cost during such period. The estimated portion of net actuarial loss included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of September 27, 2013 expected to be recognized in net periodic pension cost during fiscal 2014 is approximately \$1.0 million (before taxes).

The accumulated benefit obligation as of September 28, 2012 was \$279.4 million. During fiscal 2012, actuarial losses of approximately \$22.8 million and settlement gains of \$0.3 million were recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (before taxes) and \$0.6 million of amortization of actuarial losses was recognized as net periodic pension cost during such period. The estimated portion of net actuarial loss included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of September 28, 2012 expected to be recognized in net periodic pension cost during fiscal 2013 approximately \$3.6 million (before taxes).

The following table sets forth information for the Company's single-employer pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 166,798	\$ 302,072
Accumulated benefit obligation	160,798	274,701
Fair value of plan assets	131,392	217,044

Assets of the plans are invested with the goal of principal preservation and enhancement over the long-term. The primary goal is total return, consistent with prudent investment management. The Company's investment policies also require an appropriate level of diversification across the asset categories. The current overall capital structure and targeted ranges for asset classes are 50-70% invested in equity securities and 30-50% invested in debt securities. Performance of the plans is monitored on a regular basis and adjustments of the asset allocations are made when deemed necessary.

The weighted-average long-term rate of return on assets has been determined based on an estimated weighted-average of long-term returns of major asset classes, taking into account historical performance of plan assets, the current interest rate environment, plan demographics, acceptable risk levels and the estimated value of active asset management.

Table of Contents

The fair value of plan assets for the Company's defined benefit pension plans as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 is as follows (see Note 15 for a description of the fair value levels) (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	Quoted prices in active markets Level 1	Significant other observable inputs Level 2	Significant unobservable inputs Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents and other	\$ 2,394	\$ 2,394	\$	\$
Investment funds:				
Pooled funds equity	157,372		157,372	
Pooled funds fixed income	88,913		88,913	
Total	\$ 248,679	\$ 2,394	\$ 246,285	\$

	September 28, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets Level 1	Significant other observable inputs Level 2	Significant unobservable inputs Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents and other	\$ 2,042	\$ 2,042	\$	\$
Investment funds:				
Pooled funds equity	141,784		141,784	
Pooled funds fixed income	78,446		78,446	
Total	\$ 222,272	\$ 2,042	\$ 220,230	\$

The fair value of the pooled separate accounts is based on the value of the underlying assets, as reported to the Plan by the trustees. The pooled separate account is comprised of a portfolio of underlying securities that can be valued on active markets. Fair value is calculated by applying the Plan's percentage ownership in the pooled separate account to the total market value of the account's underlying securities, and is therefore categorized as Level 2 as the Plan does not directly own shares in these underlying investments. Investments in equity securities include publicly-traded domestic (approximately 33%) and international (approximately 67%) companies that are diversified across industry, country and stock market capitalization. Investments in fixed income securities include domestic (approximately 10%) and international (approximately 90%) corporate bonds and government securities. Cash and cash equivalents include direct cash holdings, which are valued based on cost, and short-term deposits and investments in money market funds for which fair value measurements are all based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

It is the Company's policy to fund at least the minimum required contributions as outlined in the required statutory actuarial valuation for each plan. The following table sets forth the benefits expected to be paid in the next five fiscal years and in aggregate for the five fiscal years thereafter by the Company's defined benefit pension plans (in thousands):

Fiscal 2014	\$ 12,218
Fiscal 2015	\$ 11,423
Fiscal 2016	\$ 11,899
Fiscal 2017	\$ 12,488
Fiscal 2018	\$ 13,010
Fiscal 2019 - 2023	\$ 69,358

The estimated benefit payments above are based on assumptions about future events. Actual benefit payments may vary significantly from these estimates.

The expected contributions to be paid to the Company's defined benefit pension plans during fiscal 2014 are approximately \$25.8 million.

Table of Contents*Multiemployer Defined Benefit Pension Plans*

The Company contributes to a number of multiemployer defined benefit pension plans under the terms of collective-bargaining agreements (CBA) that cover its union-represented employees. The risks of participating in these multiemployer plans are different from single-employer plans in the following respects:

- Assets contributed to the multiemployer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.
- If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.
- If the Company chooses to stop participating in some of its multiemployer plans, the Company may be required to pay those plans an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

The Company's participation in these plans for fiscal 2013 is outlined in the table below. The EIN/Pension Plan Number column provides the Employee Identification Number (EIN) and the three-digit plan number, if applicable. Unless otherwise noted, the most recent Pension Protection Act (PPA) zone status available in 2013 and 2012 is for the plans' two most recent fiscal year-ends. The zone status is based on information that the Company received from the plan and is certified by the plan's actuary. Among other factors, plans in the red zone are generally less than 65 percent funded, plans in the yellow zone are less than 80 percent funded, and plans in the green zone are at least 80 percent funded. The FIP/RP Status Pending/Implemented column indicates plans for which a financial improvement plan (FIP) or a rehabilitation plan (RP) is either pending or has been implemented. The last column lists the expiration date(s) of the CBA(s) to which the plans are subject. There have been no significant changes that affect the comparability of fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 contributions.

Pension Fund	EIN/Pension Plan Number	Pension Protection Act Zone Status		FIP/RP Status Pending/Implemented	Contributions by the Company (in thousands)			Surcharge Imposed	Range of Expiration Dates of CBAs
		2013	2012		2013	2012	2011		
National Retirement Fund	13-6130178/001	Red	Red	Implemented	\$ 6,011	\$ 4,868	\$ 5,367	No	1/15/2012-9/30/2016
Service Employees Pension Fund of Upstate New York(1)	16-0908576/001	Red	Red	Implemented	360	247	385	No	9/30/2014-6/30/2015
Local 1102 Retirement Trust(2)	13-1847329/001	Red	Red	Implemented	275	201	232	No	6/30/2013-6/30/2015
Central States SE and SW Areas Pension Plan	36-6044243/001	Red	Red	Implemented	3,415	3,164	2,869	No	1/31/2007-11/26/2015
Pension Plan for Hospital & Health Care Employees Philadelphia & Vicinity	23-2627428/001	Red	Red	Implemented	161	154	122	No	1/31/2018
Richmond Teamsters and Industry Pension Fund(3)	54-6056180/001	N/A	Green	N/A	154	121	109	No	N/A
Retail, Wholesale and Department Store International Union and Industry Pension Fund	63-0708442/001	Green	Green	N/A	306	292	292	No	1/31/2011-1/29/2018
Local 731 IBT Textile Maintenance and Laundry Craft Pension Fund	51-6051697/001	Red	Red	Implemented	453	384	350	No	5/1/2012
SEIU National Industry Pension Fund	52-6148540/001	Red	Red	Implemented	173	280	229	No	4/14/2016
Automotive Industries Pension Plan	94-1133245/001	Red	Red	Implemented	28	27	27	No	5/31/2014
Laundry Dry Cleaning Workers & Allied Industries Retirement Fund Workers United (4)	13-5521921/001	Green	Green	N/A	221	169	116	No	10/10/2011-2/26/2016
Other funds					12,706	12,394	11,771		
Total contributions					\$ 24,263	\$ 22,301	\$ 21,869		

(1) Over 60% of the Company's participants in this fund are covered by a single CBA that expires on 6/30/2015.

(2) Over 90% of the Company's participants in this fund are covered by a single CBA that expires on 6/30/2015.

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

- (3) During fiscal 2013, the Company negotiated with a union to discontinue its participation in this fund.
- (4) Over 75% of the Company's participants in this fund are covered by a single CBA that expires on 1/26/2016.

F-32

Table of Contents

The Company provided more than 5 percent of the total contributions for the following plans and plan years:

Pension Fund	Contributions to the plan exceeded more than 5% of total contributions (as of the plan's year-end)
Local 1102 Retirement Trust	December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
Service Employees Pension Fund of Upstate New York	December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
Local 731 IBT Textile Maintenance and Laundry Craft Pension Fund	March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011
Laundry Dry Cleaning Workers & Allied Industries Retirement Fund Workers United	December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

During fiscal 2013, the Company reached an agreement with the New England Teamsters and Trucking Industry Pension Fund (New England Pension Fund), a multiemployer pension plan in which the Company is a participant, to restructure the pension liabilities. As part of this agreement, the Company agreed to withdraw from the original pool of the New England Pension Fund of which it had historically been a participant, and reenter the New England Pension Fund's newly-established pool as a new employer. As a result of withdrawing from the original pool, the Company recorded a charge of approximately \$2.4 million, which represents the present value of the future payment obligations.

At the date the Company's financial statements were issued, Forms 5500 were not available for the plan years ending in 2013.

NOTE 9. INCOME TAXES:

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method, the provision for income taxes represents income taxes payable or refundable for the current year plus the change in deferred taxes during the year. Deferred taxes result from differences between the financial and tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and are adjusted for changes in tax rates and tax laws when changes are enacted. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. Interest and penalties related to income tax matters are included in the provision for income taxes.

The components of income from continuing operations before income taxes by source of income are as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
United States	\$ 18,557	\$ 34,498	\$ (16,162)
Non-U.S.	72,072	90,470	112,131
	\$ 90,629	\$ 124,968	\$ 95,969

Table of Contents

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Current:			
Federal	\$ 2,740	\$ 45,173	\$ (1,493)
State and local	126	7,205	8,494
Non-U.S.	34,158	32,301	34,356
	\$ 37,024	\$ 84,679	\$ 41,357
Deferred:			
Federal	\$ (1,007)	\$ (42,515)	\$ (22,885)
State and local	(656)	(11,189)	(8,946)
Non-U.S.	(16,128)	(12,909)	(10,260)
	(17,791)	(66,613)	(42,091)
	\$ 19,233	\$ 18,066	\$ (734)

Current taxes receivable of \$44.6 million and \$3.1 million at September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, respectively, are included in Prepayments and other current assets.

The provision for income taxes varies from the amount determined by applying the United States Federal statutory rate to pretax income as a result of the following (all percentages are as a percentage of pretax income):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
United States statutory income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
State income taxes, net of Federal tax benefit	1.0	0.5	2.1
Foreign taxes	(2.2)	(9.8)	(10.7)
Permanent book/tax differences	1.8	(0.6)	1.2
Uncertain tax positions	(1.6)	(1.8)	(17.7)
Tax credits & other	(12.8)	(8.8)	(10.7)
Effective income tax rate	21.2%	14.5%	(0.8)%

The effective tax rate is based on expected income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to the Company in the various jurisdictions in which it operates. Judgment is required in determining the effective tax rate and in evaluating the Company's tax positions. The Company establishes reserves when, despite the belief that the Company's tax return positions are supportable, the Company believes that certain positions are likely to be challenged and that the Company may not succeed. The Company adjusts these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of a tax audit. The effective tax rate includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to the reserve that the Company considers appropriate, as well as related interest and penalties. During fiscal 2011, the Company recorded a reduction of approximately \$17.0 million related to the remeasurement of an uncertain tax position. The American Taxpayer Relief Act (the Act) was enacted on January 3, 2013. Under the Act, the Work Opportunity Tax Credit was extended until December 31, 2013.

A number of years may elapse before a particular tax reporting year is audited and finally resolved. The number of years with open tax audits varies depending on the tax jurisdiction. In the United States, the statutes for state income taxes for 2002 and forward remain open. The

Company received final notification in November

F-34

Table of Contents

2012 that the Internal Revenue Service has settled the 2007 and 2008 examinations of the Company's tax returns. While it is often difficult to predict the final outcome or the timing of resolution of any particular tax matter, the Company believes that its income tax accruals reflect the probable outcome of known tax contingencies. Unfavorable settlement of any particular issue would require use of the Company's cash.

As of September 27, 2013, certain subsidiaries have recorded deferred tax assets of \$21.0 million associated with accumulated federal, state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards. The Company has approximately a \$10.3 million valuation allowance as of September 27, 2013 against these deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty of its realization. In addition, certain subsidiaries have accumulated state net operating loss carryforwards for which no benefit has been recorded as they are attributable to uncertain tax positions. The unrecognized tax benefits, as of September 27, 2013, attributable to these net operating losses was approximately \$5.7 million. Due to the uncertain tax position, these net operating losses are not included as components of deferred tax assets as of September 27, 2013. The federal, state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards will expire from 2014 through 2033.

As of September 27, 2013, the Company has approximately \$16.2 million of general business and foreign tax credit carryforwards, which expire in 2033 and 2023, respectively. The Company believes it is more likely than not that it will be able to generate taxable income in the future sufficient to utilize these carryforwards, and no valuation allowance is necessary. The Company does not currently hold significant or excessive cash balances at any of its foreign operations and does not consider any of its unremitted earnings to be permanently reinvested. Therefore, the Company has provided a deferred tax liability for incremental U.S. taxes on all unremitted earnings.

As of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, the components of deferred taxes are as follows (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property and equipment	\$ 71,425	\$ 82,627
Investments	43,527	95,445
Other intangible assets, including goodwill	700,526	717,583
Inventory and other	70,037	13,095
Gross deferred tax liability	885,515	908,750
 Deferred tax assets:		
Insurance	36,458	41,443
Employee compensation and benefits	218,491	214,847
Accruals and allowances	37,876	43,781
Derivatives	18,449	31,879
Net operating loss/credit carryforwards and other	37,264	37,509
Gross deferred tax asset, before valuation allowances	348,538	369,459
Valuation allowances	(10,263)	(15,187)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ 547,240	\$ 554,478

Current deferred tax assets of \$42.7 million and \$77.9 million at September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 are included in Prepayments and other current assets, respectively. Deferred tax liabilities of \$589.9 million and \$632.3 million at September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 are included in Deferred Income Taxes and Other Noncurrent Liabilities, respectively. The increase in the Company's inventory and other deferred tax liabilities relates to the change in tax regulations impacting the timing of allowable deductions for certain in service inventory.

Table of Contents

The Company had approximately \$27.3 million of total gross unrecognized tax benefits as of September 27, 2013, all of which, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits follows (in thousands):

	September 27, 2013
Gross unrecognized tax benefits at September 28, 2012	\$ 31,977
Additions based on tax positions taken in the current year	2,342
Reductions for tax positions taken in prior years	(1,123)
Reductions for remeasurements, settlements and payments	(3,919)
Reductions due to statute expiration	(1,940)
 Gross unrecognized tax benefits at September 27, 2013	 \$ 27,337
	 September 28, 2012
Gross unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2011	\$ 34,040
Additions based on tax positions taken in the current year	2,304
Additions for tax positions taken in prior years	(254)
Reductions for remeasurements, settlements and payments	(3,306)
Reductions due to statute expiration	(807)
 Gross unrecognized tax benefits at September 28, 2012	 \$ 31,977

The Company had approximately \$7.0 million and \$8.4 million accrued for interest and penalties as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, respectively, and recorded approximately (\$0.3) million and (\$1.0) million in interest and penalties during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, respectively.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company has substantially concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for years through 2009, with the exception of certain Work Opportunity Tax Credits and Welfare to Work Tax Credits which are pending the outcome of Protective Refund Claims filed for 1998 through 2006.

The Company has significant operations in approximately 20 states and foreign taxing jurisdictions. The Company has open tax years in these jurisdictions ranging from 1 to 10 years. The Company does not anticipate that any adjustments resulting from tax audits would result in a material change to the results of operations or financial condition.

The Company does not expect the amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change within the next 12 months.

NOTE 10. CAPITAL STOCK:

Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement of the Company, upon termination of employment from the Company or one of its subsidiaries, members of the Company's management (other than Mr. Neubauer) who hold shares of common stock of the Company can cause the Company to repurchase all of their initial investment shares (as defined) or shares acquired as a result of the exercise of Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities at appraised fair market value. Generally, payment for shares repurchased could be, at the Company's option, in cash or installment notes, which would be effectively subordinated to all indebtedness of ARAMARK Corporation. The amount of this potential repurchase obligation has been classified outside of stockholders' equity. The amount of common stock subject to repurchase as of September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 was \$158.7 million and \$167.5 million, which is based on approximately 9.4 million and 11.0 million shares of common stock of the Company valued at \$16.88 and \$15.17 per share, respectively. The fair value of the common stock subject to repurchase is calculated using discounted cash flow techniques and comparable public

Table of Contents

company trading multiples. Inputs used in the discounted cash flow analysis include the weighted average cost of capital, long-term revenue growth rates, long-term EBIT margins and residual growth rates. Inputs used in the comparable public company trading multiples include the last-twelve-months EBITDA multiple, forward EBITDA multiples and control premium. During fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, approximately \$66.6 million and \$67.3 million of common stock of the Company was repurchased, respectively, and has been reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Stockholders Agreement, the senior secured credit agreement and the indenture governing the Senior Notes contain limitations on the amount the Company can expend for such share repurchases.

NOTE 11. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION:

In connection with the 2007 Transaction, the Company established the ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (the 2007 MSIP). Incentive awards under the 2007 MSIP may be granted to employees or directors of, or consultants to, the Company or one of its affiliates in the form of non-qualified stock options, unvested shares of common stock, the opportunity to purchase shares of common stock and other awards that are valued in whole or in part by reference to, or are otherwise based on, the fair market value of the Company's shares. The 2007 MSIP permits the granting of awards of up to 42.0 million shares of common stock of the Company. As of September 27, 2013, there were 11.7 million shares available for grant.

On June 20, 2013, the Company adopted the Fourth Amended and Restated ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (the Fourth Amended Stock Incentive Plan). The Fourth Amended Stock Incentive Plan provides for the grant of restricted stock units and restricted stock in addition to stock options. The Company also approved a new form of non-qualified stock option award agreement which provides for 100% time-based vesting. Options granted under earlier forms of non-qualified discretionary stock option agreements provided for 50% time-based vesting and 50% performance-based vesting. Finally, the Company offered to holders of outstanding Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities (ISPOs) the ability to exchange such awards for restricted stock and non-qualified stock options, which were granted pursuant to a restricted stock award agreement and a replacement stock option award agreement, respectively, with the Company. On June 20, 2013, the Compensation and Human Resources Committee (the Compensation Committee) approved a new restricted stock award agreement and non-qualified replacement stock option award agreement to be used in connection with the exchange. The restricted stock award agreement provides for a grant of restricted stock under the Fourth Amended Stock Incentive Plan with a vesting schedule based upon the vesting schedule of the ISPO that is exchanged. The non-qualified replacement stock option award agreement is similar to the Time-Based Options discussed below, but the vesting schedule of the replacement stock option is based upon the vesting schedule of the ISPO that is exchanged.

Share-based compensation expense charged to expense for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was approximately \$19.4 million, before taxes of approximately \$7.6 million, approximately \$15.7 million, before taxes of approximately \$6.1 million, and approximately \$17.3 million, before taxes of approximately \$6.8 million, respectively. The compensation expense recognized is classified as Selling and general corporate expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income. No compensation expense was capitalized.

Cash received from option exercises during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$5.6 million, \$6.7 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. For fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, the amount of tax benefits included in Other financing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows was \$4.8 million, \$4.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Stock Options

Each award of stock options under the 2007 MSIP is comprised of two types of stock options. One-half of the options awarded vest solely based upon continued employment over a specific period of time, generally four years (Time-Based Options). One-half of the options awarded vest based both upon continued employment and

Table of Contents

upon the achievement of a level of earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT), as defined in the 2007 MSIP, over time, generally four years (Performance-Based Options). The Performance-Based Options may also vest in part or in full upon the occurrence of specific return-based events. The exercise price for Time-Based Options and Performance-Based Options equals the fair value of the Company's stock on the date of the grant. All options remain exercisable for ten years from the date of grant. Due to the Fourth Amended Stock Incentive Plan, all option awards granted subsequent to June 20, 2013 will only be comprised of Time-Based Options.

Time-Based Options

The fair value of the Time-Based Options granted was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the weighted-average assumptions noted in the table below. Since the Company's stock is not publicly traded, the expected volatility is based on an average of the historical volatility of the Company's competitors' stocks over the expected term of the stock options. The expected life represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and is calculated using the simplified method as permitted under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules and regulations due to the lack of history of our equity incentive plan and the lack of a public market for our common stock. The simplified method uses the midpoint between an option's vesting date and contractual term. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury security with terms equal to the expected life of the option as of the grant date.

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Expected volatility	30%	30%	30%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected life (in years)	6.25	6.25	6.25
Risk-free interest rate	1.02% - 2.36%	1.04% - 1.61%	1.41% - 2.86%

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of Time-Based Options granted during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$5.41, \$4.57 and \$4.42 per option, respectively.

Compensation expense for Time-Based Options is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period during which employees perform related services. Approximately \$9.3 million, \$8.5 million and \$10.3 million was charged to expense during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 for Time-Based Options, respectively. The Company has applied a forfeiture assumption of 8.7% per annum in the calculation of such expense.

As of September 27, 2013, there was approximately \$29.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested Time-Based Options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.16 years.

A summary of Time-Based Options activity is presented below:

Options	Shares (000s)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000s)	Weighted- Average Remaining Term (Years)
Outstanding at September 28, 2012	15,711	\$ 9.70		
Granted	4,850	\$ 16.11		
Exercised	(2,070)	\$ 7.34		
Forfeited and expired	(693)	\$ 11.57		
Outstanding at September 27, 2013	17,798	\$ 12.08	\$ 109,623	6.7
Exercisable at September 27, 2013	9,802	\$ 7.65	\$ 90,471	4.7
Expected to vest at September 27, 2013	6,908	\$ 14.36	\$ 17,420	8.9

Table of Contents

The total intrinsic value of Time-Based Options exercised during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$17.2 million, \$15.0 million and \$8.9 million, respectively. The total fair value of Time-Based Options that vested during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$3.9 million, \$7.9 million and \$15.8 million, respectively.

Performance-Based Options

The fair value of the Performance-Based Options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the weighted-average assumptions noted in the table below. Since the Company's stock is not publicly traded, the expected volatility is based on an average of the historical volatility of the Company's competitors' stocks over the expected term of the stock options. The expected life represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and is calculated using the simplified method as permitted under SEC rules and regulations due to the lack of history of our equity incentive plan and the lack of a public market for our common stock. The simplified method uses the midpoint between an option's vesting date and contractual term. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury security with terms equal to the expected life of the option as of the grant date.

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Expected volatility	30%	30%	30%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected life (in years)	4.5 - 5.5	5.0 - 6.0	5.5 - 7.0
Risk-free interest rate	0.61% - 0.85%	0.73% - 1.04%	1.43% - 2.86%

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of the Performance-Based Options granted during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$4.54, \$3.91 and \$4.21 per option, respectively.

Compensation expense for Performance-Based Options is recognized on a principally straight-line basis over the requisite performance and service periods. The Company recognized compensation expense of approximately \$6.4 million, \$3.6 million and \$5.1 million during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 for Performance-Based Options, respectively. The Company has applied a forfeiture assumption of 8.7% per annum in the calculation of such expense.

As of September 27, 2013, there was approximately \$2.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested Performance-Based Options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 0.54 years.

On June 21, 2011, the Company's board of directors (the Board) approved new annual and cumulative EBIT targets for fiscal 2011 and beyond. Approximately 3.7 million options were affected by these modifications. The fair values of these Performance-Based Options were revalued at the award modification date. The fair value of the Performance-Based Options modified during fiscal 2011 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated volatility (30%), expected dividend yield (0%), expected life (3.5-6.8 years) and risk-free interest rate (0.69%-2.27%). The weighted-average fair value of the Performance-Based Options modified during fiscal 2011 was \$4.66 per option.

On June 21, 2011, the Company's Board also agreed that for awards granted on or after June 21, 2011, annual and cumulative EBIT targets for future fiscal years beginning after fiscal 2011 will be set within 90 days of the beginning of each fiscal year. The Third Amended Stock Incentive Plan also provides that if an annual EBIT target is established for fiscal 2012 or later years for options granted after June 21, 2011 that is less than the annual EBIT target for such fiscal year for outstanding stock options, the EBIT target for such outstanding options will be reduced to the lower EBIT target.

Table of Contents

A summary of Performance-Based Options activity is presented below:

Options	Shares (000s)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000s)	Weighted- Average Remaining Term (Years)
Outstanding at September 28, 2012	15,929	\$ 9.68		
Granted	463	\$ 15.06		
Exercised	(1,032)	\$ 7.27		
Forfeited and expired	(1,422)	\$ 9.41		
Outstanding at September 27, 2013	13,938	\$ 8.86	\$ 111,759	5.6
Exercisable at September 27, 2013	4,548	\$ 7.29	\$ 43,596	4.4
Expected to vest at September 27, 2013	1,669	\$ 11.82	\$ 8,444	7.6

The total intrinsic value of Performance-Based Options exercised during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$8.5 million, \$7.5 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The total fair value of Performance-Based Options that vested during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$0.2 million, \$6.7 million and \$0 million, respectively.

Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities

Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities provide the grantee the option to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. ISPO awards are divided into five equal installments. The first installment, which represents 20% of the total award, vests immediately upon grant and will be exercisable until the first anniversary of the grant date. At least 25% of the first installment must be exercised or the entire grant (including the remaining four installments) will expire and any part of the first installment that is not exercised during the exercise period will also expire, in each case on the first anniversary of the grant date. If the exercise conditions of the first installment are met, the remaining four installments will vest on December 15th of the first calendar year following the year in which the ISPO is granted, and on each of the three anniversaries of such date, respectively, and will be exercisable for 31 days thereafter. Any of these remaining four installments that becomes vested but is not exercised during its respective exercise period will expire at the end of its exercise period, but the holder may still exercise any subsequent installments when they vest in future years.

The fair value of the ISPOs was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following weighted-average assumptions noted in the table below. Since the Company's stock is not publicly traded, the expected volatility is based on an average of the historical volatility of the Company's competitors' stocks over the expected term of the stock options. The expected life represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and is calculated using the simplified method as permitted under SEC rules and regulations due to the lack of history of our equity incentive plan and the lack of a public market for our common stock. The simplified method uses the midpoint between an option's vesting date and contractual term. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury security with terms equal to the expected life of the option as of the grant date.

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Expected volatility	30%	30%	30%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected life (in years)	2.5	2.5	2.5
Risk-free interest rate	0.25%	0.24% - 0.31%	0.33% - 0.68%

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of ISPOs granted during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 was \$2.88, \$2.80 and \$2.47 per option, respectively.

Table of Contents

Compensation expense for ISPOs is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period during which employees perform related services. The Company recorded approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million of compensation expense related to these awards, including the exchanged awards discussed below, during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively. The Company has applied a forfeiture assumption of 8.7% per annum in the calculation of such expense.

As discussed above, the Company offered to holders of outstanding ISPOs the ability to exchange such awards for restricted stock and non-qualified stock options. On July 31, 2013, as a result of the exchange, outstanding ISPOs were converted into approximately 0.2 million of restricted stock awards at a grant-date fair value of \$16.21 and approximately 1.1 million of non-qualified replacement stock option awards at a weighted-average exercise price of \$16.21. The fair value of the non-qualified replacement stock option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated volatility (30%), expected dividend yield (0%), expected life (4.6-6.2 years) and risk-free interest rate (1.38%). The weighted-average fair value of the replacement stock option awards was \$4.79 per option.

As of September 27, 2013, there was approximately \$5.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested ISPOs and exchanged awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.86 years.

A summary of ISPOs activity is presented below:

Options	Shares (000s)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000s)	Weighted- Average Remaining Term (Years)
Outstanding at September 28, 2012	1,403	\$ 13.81		
Granted	350	\$ 15.08		
Exercised	(158)	\$ 11.83		
Exchanged, forfeited and expired	(1,508)	\$ 13.41		
Outstanding at September 27, 2013	87	\$ 12.21	\$ 406	2.5

The total intrinsic value of ISPOs exercised during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 was \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The total fair value of ISPOs that vested during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 was \$0.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Seamless Unit Options

During fiscal 2011, Seamless established the Seamless North America 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan allows for the issuance of unit options and other equity-based awards in Seamless. The unit options awarded vest solely based on continued employment over a specific period of time, generally four years. The Company recognized compensation expense of approximately \$0.2 million, \$2.1 million and \$0.1 million for Seamless unit options during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively. During fiscal 2012, Seamless granted approximately 3.5 million unit options. The Company did not record any additional expense for these awards upon the completion of the spin-off of Seamless Holdings (see Note 3).

Deferred Stock Units

Deferred stock units are issued only to non-employee members of the Board of Directors of the Company who are not representatives of one of the Sponsors and represent the right to receive shares of the Company's common stock in the future. Each deferred stock unit will be converted to one share of the Company's common stock six months and one day after the date on which such director ceases to serve as a member of the Board of Directors. The grant-date fair value of deferred stock units is based on the fair value of the Company's common

Table of Contents

stock. Since the deferred stock units are fully vested upon grant, compensation expense for the entire award is recognized immediately upon grant. The Company granted 42,462 deferred stock units during fiscal 2013. The compensation cost charged to expense during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 for deferred stock units was approximately \$0.6 million, \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Time-Based Restricted Stock Units

The Restricted Stock Unit Agreement provides for grants of restricted stock units (RSUs), 25% of which will vest and be settled in shares on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the participant's continued employment with the Company through each such anniversary. The grant-date fair value of RSUs is based on the fair value of the Company's common stock. Participants holding RSUs will receive the benefit of any dividends paid on shares in the form of additional restricted stock units. The unvested units are subject to forfeiture if employment is terminated other than due to death, disability or retirement, and the units are nontransferable while subject to forfeiture.

The Company granted 1,273,275 RSUs during fiscal 2013 at a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$16.22. Compensation expense for RSUs is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period during which employees perform related services. The compensation cost charged to expense during fiscal 2013 for restricted stock units was approximately \$1.3 million.

As of September 27, 2013, there was approximately \$15.6 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested RSUs, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.78 years.

NOTE 12. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SECURITIZATION:

ARAMARK Corporation has an agreement (the Receivables Facility) with several financial institutions whereby it sells on a continuous basis an undivided interest in all eligible trade accounts receivable, as defined in the Receivables Facility. The maximum amount available under the Receivables Facility is \$300 million, which expires in January 2015. Pursuant to the Receivables Facility, ARAMARK Corporation formed ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, a wholly-owned, consolidated, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary. ARAMARK Receivables, LLC was formed for the sole purpose of buying and selling receivables generated by certain subsidiaries of the Company. Under the Receivables Facility, ARAMARK Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries transfer without recourse all of their accounts receivable to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC. As collections reduce previously transferred interests, interests in new, eligible receivables are transferred to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, subject to meeting certain conditions. At September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012, the amount of outstanding borrowings under the Receivables Facility was \$300.0 million and \$263.8 million, respectively, and is included in Long-Term Borrowings .

NOTE 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

The Company has capital and other purchase commitments of approximately \$249.8 million at September 27, 2013, primarily in connection with commitments for capital projects and client contract investments. At September 27, 2013, the Company also has letters of credit outstanding in the amount of \$121.7 million.

Certain of the Company's lease arrangements, primarily vehicle leases, with terms of one to eight years, contain provisions related to residual value guarantees. The maximum potential liability to the Company under such arrangements was approximately \$110.3 million at September 27, 2013 if the terminal fair value of vehicles coming off lease was zero. Consistent with past experience, management does not expect any significant payments will be required pursuant to these arrangements. No amounts have been accrued for guarantee arrangements at September 27, 2013.

Table of Contents

Rental expense for all operating leases was \$179.3 million, \$177.4 million and \$168.1 million for fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively. Following is a schedule of the future minimum rental and similar commitments under all noncancelable operating leases as of September 27, 2013 (in thousands):

Fiscal Year	
2014	\$ 219,698
2015	93,661
2016	76,420
2017	67,469
2018	52,123
Subsequent years	90,598
Total minimum rental obligations	\$ 599,969

From time to time, the Company is a party to various legal actions and investigations involving claims incidental to the conduct of its business, including actions by clients, customers, employees, government entities and third parties, including under federal, state, international, national, provincial and local employment laws, wage and hour laws, discrimination laws, immigration laws, human health and safety laws, import and export controls and customs laws, environmental laws, false claims or whistleblower statutes, minority, women and disadvantaged business enterprise statutes, tax codes, antitrust and competition laws, consumer protection statutes, procurement regulations, intellectual property laws, food safety and sanitation laws, cost and accounting principles, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, other anti-corruption laws, lobbying laws, motor carrier safety laws, data privacy laws and alcohol licensing and service laws, or alleging negligence and/or breaches of contractual and other obligations. Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage, established reserves and other resources, the Company does not believe that any such actions are likely to be, individually or in the aggregate, material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, in the event of unexpected further developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, or other similar matters, if unfavorable, may be materially adverse to the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In 2011, the Company was informed that an Illinois state civil action had been filed against a subsidiary of the Company by an unnamed Relator under the Illinois Whistleblower Reward and Protection Act in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois County Department, Law Division. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013, this matter was settled, payments were made pursuant to the terms of the settlement, and the case was dismissed with prejudice.

NOTE 14. BUSINESS SEGMENTS:

The Company provides or manages services in two strategic areas: Food and Support Services and Uniform and Career Apparel, which are organized and managed in the following reportable business segments:

Food and Support Services – North America Food, refreshment, specialized dietary and support services, including facility maintenance and housekeeping, provided to business, educational and healthcare institutions and in sports, entertainment, recreational and other facilities serving the general public in the United States, Canada and Mexico. Food and Support Services – North America sales and operating income for fiscal 2013 were negatively affected by Hurricane Sandy and the National Hockey League lockout. Food and Support Services – North America operating income for fiscal 2013 includes \$43.5 million of severance and related costs. Food and Support Services – North America operating income for fiscal 2013 also includes \$6.8 million of asset write-offs and other income recognized of approximately \$14.0 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the National Park Service (NPS) sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the current year. Food and Support Services – North America operating income for fiscal 2012 includes transition and integration costs of \$4.9 million related to the Filterfresh acquisition, a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$1.7 million related to favorable claims experience and

Table of Contents

other income recognized of \$6.7 million relating to the recovery of the Company's investment (possessory interest) at one of the NPS sites in Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, which was terminated in the prior year. Food and Support Services North America operating income for fiscal 2011 includes other income recognized of \$7.8 million related to a compensation agreement signed with the NPS under which the NPS agreed to pay down a portion of the Company's investment (possessory interest) in certain assets at one of the Company's NPS sites in the Sports, Leisure and Corrections sector, severance related expenses of \$6.2 million and a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$0.9 million related to favorable claims experience.

Food and Support Services International Food, refreshment, specialized dietary and support services, including facility maintenance and housekeeping, provided to business, educational and healthcare institutions and in sports, entertainment, recreational and other facilities serving the general public. Operations are conducted in 19 countries, including the U.K., Germany, Chile, Ireland, Spain, China, Belgium, Korea, Argentina and Japan. Food and Support Services International operating income for fiscal 2013 includes \$14.6 million of severance and related costs and \$16.9 million of goodwill impairment charges and other asset write-offs. Food and Support Services International operating income for fiscal 2012 includes a favorable adjustment of \$1.5 million related to a non-income tax settlement in the U.K. and \$2.9 million of severance related expenses. Food and Support Services International operating income for fiscal 2011 includes a gain of \$7.7 million related to the divestiture of the Company's 67% ownership interest in the security business of its Chilean subsidiary (see Note 3), favorable non-income tax settlements in the U.K. of \$5.3 million, a goodwill and other intangible assets impairment charge of \$5.3 million (see Note 5), a gain on the sale of land in Chile of \$1.7 million and severance related expenses of \$11.4 million.

Uniform and Career Apparel Rental, sale, cleaning, maintenance and delivery of personalized uniform and career apparel and other textile items on a contract basis and direct marketing of personalized uniforms and career apparel and accessories to businesses, public institutions and individuals. Also provided are walk-off mats, cleaning cloths and disposable towels. Uniform and Career Apparel operating income for fiscal 2013 includes \$8.5 million of severance and related costs, which includes \$3.7 million of severance related expenses recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, and a net charge of approximately \$6.5 million related to multiemployer pension withdrawals and a final settlement of wage and hour claims, net of a favorable risk insurance adjustment. Uniform and Career Apparel operating income for fiscal 2012 includes a favorable risk insurance adjustment of \$5.7 million related to favorable claims experience and severance related expenses of \$2.6 million. Uniform and Career Apparel operating income for fiscal 2011 includes a gain of \$2.6 million related to a property settlement of an eminent domain claim, a risk insurance adjustment of \$4.8 million related to favorable claims experience and severance related expenses of \$3.9 million.

Sales by segment are substantially comprised of services to unaffiliated customers and clients. Operating income reflects expenses directly related to individual segments plus an allocation of corporate expenses applicable to more than one segment.

Corporate Corporate includes general corporate expenses not specifically allocated to an individual segment and share-based compensation expense (see Note 11). Corporate expenses for fiscal 2013 includes \$1.1 million of severance and related costs. During fiscal 2011, the Company recorded severance related expenses of \$1.3 million.

Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2013 was favorably impacted by the maturity of interest rate swaps during fiscal 2012. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2013 includes charges of \$39.8 million in connection with the tender offer and Satisfaction and Discharge (see Note 6), consisting of \$12.9 million of third party costs for the tender offer premium and \$26.9 million of non-cash charges for the write-off of deferred financing costs. Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2013 also includes approximately \$11.6 million of third-party costs incurred related to Amendment Agreement No. 3 to the senior secured credit agreement (see Note 6) and approximately \$3.2 million of hedge ineffectiveness related to the repayment of the Canadian subsidiary's term loan with a maturity date of January 26, 2014 (see Note 7). Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2012 includes \$11.1 million of third-party costs related to Amendment Agreement

Table of Contents

No. 2 (see Note 6) and the amendment of the Company's Canadian subsidiary cross currency swap (see Note 7). Interest and Other Financing Costs, net, for fiscal 2011 includes a write-off of deferred financing fees of \$2.1 million related to the amendment that extended the U.S. dollar denominated portion of the revolving credit facility and interest income of \$14.1 million related to favorable non-income tax settlements in the U.K.

Financial information by segment follows (in millions):

	Sales		
	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Food and Support Services North America	\$ 9,665.2	\$ 9,413.2	\$ 9,019.0
Food and Support Services International	2,869.2	2,729.5	2,723.3
Uniform and Career Apparel	1,411.3	1,362.7	1,340.1
	\$ 13,945.7	\$ 13,505.4	\$ 13,082.4

	Operating Income		
	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Food and Support Services North America	\$ 405.1	\$ 425.6	\$ 400.5
Food and Support Services International	66.2	89.9	79.9
Uniform and Career Apparel	117.3	118.1	117.3
	588.6	633.6	597.7
Corporate	(74.2)	(51.8)	(50.6)
Operating Income	514.4	581.8	547.1
Interest and other financing costs, net	(423.8)	(456.8)	(451.1)
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	\$ 90.6	\$ 125.0	\$ 96.0

	Depreciation and Amortization		
	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Food and Support Services North America	\$ 375.7	\$ 364.7	\$ 341.9
Food and Support Services International	62.5	61.0	62.6
Uniform and Career Apparel	102.0	102.6	105.1
Corporate	1.9	0.9	0.9
	\$ 542.1	\$ 529.2	\$ 510.5

**Capital Expenditures and
Client Contract Investments and Other***

	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
Food and Support Services North America	\$ 285.6	\$ 281.0	\$ 207.9
Food and Support Services International	60.7	51.9	58.6
Uniform and Career Apparel	46.7	40.5	33.3
Corporate	0.1		0.4
	\$ 393.1	\$ 373.4	\$ 300.2

* Includes amounts acquired in business combinations

Table of Contents

	Identifiable Assets	
	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
Food and Support Services North America	\$ 6,939.3	\$ 7,120.8
Food and Support Services International	1,531.2	1,527.7
Uniform and Career Apparel	1,670.0	1,681.7
Corporate	126.6	157.2
	\$ 10,267.1	\$ 10,487.4

The following geographic data include sales generated by subsidiaries within that geographic area and net property & equipment based on physical location (in millions):

	Sales		
	Fiscal Year Ended September 27, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2012	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011
United States	\$ 10,025.0	\$ 9,729.6	\$ 9,369.6
Foreign	3,920.7	3,775.8	3,712.8
	\$ 13,945.7	\$ 13,505.4	\$ 13,082.4

	Net Property & Equipment	
	September 27, 2013	September 28, 2012
United States	\$ 789.4	\$ 790.1
Foreign	187.9	186.2
	\$ 977.3	\$ 976.3

NOTE 15. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES:

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value are classified based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. The hierarchical levels related to the subjectivity of the valuation inputs are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, borrowings, common stock subject to repurchase and derivatives (see Note 7). Management believes that the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents,

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

accounts receivable and accounts payable are representative of their respective fair values. In conjunction with the fair value measurement of the derivative instruments, the Company made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio. The fair value

F-46

Table of Contents

of the Company's debt at September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 was \$5,854.9 million and \$6,052.9 million, respectively. The carrying value of the Company's debt at September 27, 2013 and September 28, 2012 was \$5,824.1 million and \$6,008.8 million, respectively. The fair values were computed using market quotes, if available, or based on discounted cash flows using market interest rates as of the end of the respective periods. The inputs utilized in estimating the fair value of the Company's debt has been classified as level 2 in the fair value hierarchy levels.

The following table presents the changes in the Company's common stock subject to repurchase for which level 3 inputs were significant to their valuation for fiscal 2013 (in thousands):

	Common Stock Subject to Repurchase
Balance, September 28, 2012	\$ 167,461
Issuances of common stock	1,904
Repurchases of common stock	(27,474)
Change in fair market value of common stock	16,817
Balance, September 27, 2013	\$ 158,708

NOTE 16. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS:

As of September 27, 2013, the notional value of interest rate swaps with entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners was \$230 million and with entities affiliated with J.P. Morgan Partners was \$205 million. As of September 28, 2012, the notional value of interest rate swaps with entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners was \$96 million and with entities affiliated with J.P. Morgan Partners was \$221 million. In all of these swaps, the Company pays the counterparty a fixed interest rate in exchange for their payment of a floating interest rate. The net payments in fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners pursuant to interest rate swap transactions were approximately \$3.1 million, \$21.5 million and \$40.1 million, respectively. The net payments in fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 to entities affiliated with J.P. Morgan Partners pursuant to interest rate swap transactions were approximately \$5.5 million, \$28.2 million and \$51.6 million, respectively.

NOTE 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 11, 2013 and November 12, 2013, the Board and certain committees of the Board, including the Compensation Committee, took certain actions relating to compensation determinations for employees, as well as certain actions related to the compensation of executive officers and benefit plans of Holdings in connection with the previously announced preparation for the initial public offering of Holdings.

Amended and Restated Senior Executive Performance Bonus Plan

On November 12, 2013, the Board approved, and the stockholders of Holdings adopted by written consent, the Amended and Restated Senior Executive Performance Bonus Plan (the "Amended Bonus Plan"), which will become effective on December 1, 2013. The Amended Bonus Plan is intended to provide for an annual performance bonus for the chief executive officer and other designated executive officers of Holdings or any of its subsidiaries. The terms of the Amended Bonus Plan are generally consistent with the terms of the existing Senior Executive Annual Performance Bonus Plan of Holdings, except that the annual individual participant award limit has been increased to \$6 million.

Table of Contents

Approval of Special Bonuses

On November 11, 2013, the Compensation Committee approved special cash bonuses to certain senior executive officers of approximately \$4.3 million that are contingent on and payable following the completion of the initial public offering. The accounting for the bonuses will be reflected upon completion of this offering.

Grants in Connection with the Initial Public Offering

On November 11, 2013, the Compensation Committee and the Stock Committee approved grants of RSUs to certain senior executives under the Fourth Amended Stock Incentive Plan, to become effective at the time of the initial public offering of Holdings. The total value of the RSUs granted will be approximately \$35 million. The RSUs are subject to time-based vesting, with one-third of the RSUs vesting on each of the first three anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the executive's continued employment with Holdings and its subsidiaries. The terms of the RSUs are otherwise generally consistent with the terms of the previously approved form of restricted stock unit award agreement under the Fourth Amended Stock Incentive Plan. The accounting for the share-based compensation related to these RSU grants will be reflected beginning upon completion of this offering.

Amendment to Vesting of Outstanding Performance-Based Options

On November 11, 2013, the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to all outstanding 2007 MSIP Option Agreements (the Performance Option Amendment) modifying the vesting provisions relating to outstanding Performance-Based Options granted under the 2007 MSIP. The Performance Option Amendment provides that in the event of an initial public offering of Holdings, subject to continued employment on such date, 50% of any then-unvested performance-based options that did not meet applicable performance thresholds in prior years (the Missed Year Options) will become vested if the initial public offering price for the common stock of Holdings equals or exceeds \$20.00 per share. In addition, during the 18 month period following the initial public offering, if the closing trading price for common stock of Holdings equals or exceeds \$25.00 per share over any consecutive twenty day trading period, 100% of the Missed Year Options will become vested. There are a total of approximately 5.3 million Missed Year Options. The accounting for the modification related to the Missed Year Options, which in total is estimated to be approximately \$55 million, will be reflected beginning upon completion of this offering.

ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2013 Stock Incentive Plan and Forms of Agreements

On November 12, 2013, the Board approved, and the stockholders of Holdings adopted by written consent, the ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2013 Stock Plan), which will become effective on December 1, 2013. The 2013 Stock Plan provides that the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2013 Stock Plan is 25,500,000. In connection with the adoption of the 2013 Stock Plan, the Board approved, and the stockholders of Holdings adopted by written consent, the Fifth Amended and Restated ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (the Fifth Amended Stock Plan) which amends certain terms of the 2007 MSIP in contemplation of the initial public offering of Holdings, including providing that no awards will be granted under the Fifth Amended Stock Plan shortly following the consummation of an initial public offering, as it is intended that grants following the initial public offering will be made under the 2013 Stock Plan.

Table of Contents

NOTE 18. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES:

The following condensed consolidating financial statements of the Company have been prepared pursuant to Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X.

These condensed consolidating financial statements have been prepared from the Company's financial information on the same basis of accounting as the consolidated financial statements. Interest expense and certain other costs are partially allocated to all of the subsidiaries of the Company. Goodwill and other intangible assets have been allocated to the subsidiaries based on management's estimates. The 5.75% Senior Notes are an obligation of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, ARAMARK Corporation, and are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and substantially all of the Company's existing and future domestic subsidiaries (excluding the receivables facility subsidiary) (Guarantors). Each of the Guarantors is wholly-owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company. All other subsidiaries of the Company, either direct or indirect, do not guarantee the Senior Notes (Non-Guarantors). The Guarantors also guarantee certain other debt.

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

September 27, 2013

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS						
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 23.0	\$ 40.5	\$ 47.5	\$	\$ 111.0
Receivables		1.4	242.9	1,161.6		1,405.9
Inventories, at lower of cost or market		15.9	441.0	85.1		542.0
Prepayments and other current assets		46.2	103.1	79.0		228.3
Total current assets		86.5	827.5	1,373.2		2,287.2
Property and Equipment, net		24.4	751.2	201.7		977.3
Goodwill		173.1	3,994.6	452.3		4,620.0
Investment in and Advances to Subsidiaries	1,062.7	6,267.4	444.8	124.5	(7,899.4)	
Other Intangible Assets		32.6	1,230.0	146.1		1,408.7
Other Assets		68.4	629.5	278.0	(2.0)	973.9
	\$ 1,062.7	\$ 6,652.4	\$ 7,877.6	\$ 2,575.8	\$ (7,901.4)	\$ 10,267.1
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
Current Liabilities:						
Current maturities of long-term borrowings	\$	\$ 22.5	\$ 12.0	\$ 31.3	\$	\$ 65.8
Accounts payable		147.0	448.3	293.7		889.0
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	0.3	230.2	875.6	328.3	0.1	1,434.5
Total current liabilities	0.3	399.7	1,335.9	653.3	0.1	2,389.3
Long-term Borrowings		5,101.7	40.4	616.1		5,758.2
Deferred Income Taxes and Other Noncurrent Liabilities		326.2	618.3	102.5		1,047.0
Intercompany Payable			5,016.0	1,305.7	(6,321.7)	
Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and other	158.7		10.2			168.9
Total Equity	903.7	824.8	856.8	(101.8)	(1,579.8)	903.7
	\$ 1,062.7	\$ 6,652.4	\$ 7,877.6	\$ 2,575.8	\$ (7,901.4)	\$ 10,267.1

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

September 28, 2012

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS						
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0.1	\$ 27.4	\$ 41.7	\$ 67.6	\$	\$ 136.8
Receivables		2.7	235.9	1,077.4		1,316.0
Inventories, at lower of cost or market		15.9	414.8	77.7		508.4
Prepayments and other current assets		13.4	144.4	66.5		224.3
Total current assets	0.1	59.4	836.8	1,289.2		2,185.5
Property and Equipment, net		25.6	738.5	212.2		976.3
Goodwill		173.1	3,987.2	569.2		4,729.5
Investment in and Advances to Subsidiaries	1,706.1	6,353.3	389.9	139.2	(8,588.5)	
Other Intangible Assets		42.0	1,366.6	186.5		1,595.1
Other Assets	11.0	64.2	603.7	324.0	(2.0)	1,000.9
	1,717.2	\$ 6,717.6	\$ 7,922.7	\$ 2,720.3	\$ (8,590.5)	\$ 10,487.3
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
Current Liabilities:						
Current maturities of long-term borrowings	\$	\$ 0.7	\$ 11.6	\$ 25.2	\$	\$ 37.5
Accounts payable		148.6	387.7	337.0		873.3
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	21.2	146.1	798.1	287.4	0.1	1,252.9
Total current liabilities	21.2	295.4	1,197.4	649.6	0.1	2,163.7
Long-term Borrowings	595.5	4,586.0	38.4	751.4		5,971.3
Deferred Income Taxes and Other Noncurrent Liabilities		355.0	657.1	195.5		1,207.6
Intercompany Payable			5,294.3	1,079.9	(6,374.2)	
Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and other	167.5		10.4			177.9
Total Equity	933.0	1,481.2	725.1	43.9	(2,216.4)	966.8
	\$ 1,717.2	\$ 6,717.6	\$ 7,922.7	\$ 2,720.3	\$ (8,590.5)	\$ 10,487.3

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

For the year ended September 27, 2013

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales	\$	\$ 1,034.0	\$ 8,792.8	\$ 4,118.8	\$	\$ 13,945.6
Costs and Expenses:						
Cost of services provided		996.6	7,811.8	3,852.8		12,661.2
Depreciation and amortization		21.0	418.9	102.2		542.1
Selling and general corporate expenses	0.9	82.5	125.7	18.8		227.9
Interest and other financing costs, net	51.0	342.4	(2.7)	33.1		423.8
Expense allocations		(362.8)	326.1	36.7		
	51.9	1,079.7	8,679.8	4,043.6		13,855.0
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	(51.9)	(45.7)	113.0	75.2		90.6
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(19.2)	(31.9)	52.3	18.0		19.2
Equity in Net Income of Subsidiaries	102.1				(102.1)	
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	69.4	(13.8)	60.7	57.2	(102.1)	71.4
Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of tax			(1.0)			(1.0)
Net income (loss)	69.4	(13.8)	59.7	57.2	(102.1)	70.4
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest			0.8	0.2		1.0
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	69.4	(13.8)	58.9	57.0	(102.1)	69.4
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	14.5	34.8	0.6	(19.2)	(16.2)	14.5
Comprehensive income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 83.9	\$ 21.0	\$ 59.5	\$ 37.8	\$ (118.3)	\$ 83.9

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

For the year ended September 28, 2012

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales	\$	\$ 1,025.2	\$ 8,427.6	\$ 4,052.6	\$	\$ 13,505.4
Costs and Expenses:						
Cost of services provided		962.0	7,478.4	3,751.1		12,191.5
Depreciation and amortization		19.2	403.8	106.2		529.2
Selling and general corporate expenses	0.5	58.5	123.0	21.0		203.0
Interest and other financing costs, net	55.0	364.0	(0.4)	38.2		456.8
Expense allocations		(353.1)	316.0	37.1		
	55.5	1,050.6	8,320.8	3,953.6		13,380.5
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	(55.5)	(25.4)	106.8	99.0		124.9
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(20.9)	(9.2)	30.4	17.7		18.0
Equity in Net Income of Subsidiaries	138.2				(138.2)	
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	103.6	(16.2)	76.4	81.3	(138.2)	106.9
Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax			0.3			0.3
Net income (loss)	103.6	(16.2)	76.7	81.3	(138.2)	107.2
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest			1.1	2.5		3.6
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	103.6	(16.2)	75.6	78.8	(138.2)	103.6
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	3.6	32.9	2.3	(28.4)	(6.8)	3.6
Comprehensive income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 107.2	\$ 16.7	\$ 77.9	\$ 50.4	\$ (145.0)	\$ 107.2

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

For the year ended September 30, 2011

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales	\$	\$ 1,012.7	\$ 8,120.8	\$ 3,948.9	\$	\$ 13,082.4
Costs and Expenses:						
Cost of services provided		948.3	7,238.5	3,650.0		11,836.8
Depreciation and amortization		20.0	383.2	107.3		510.5
Selling and general corporate expenses	1.1	56.9	107.1	22.9		188.0
Interest and other financing costs, net	24.9	410.3	0.1	15.9		451.2
Expense allocations		(377.8)	345.6	32.2		
	26.0	1,057.7	8,074.5	3,828.3		12,986.5
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	(26.0)	(45.0)	46.3	120.6		95.9
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(9.8)	(35.6)	18.9	25.8		(0.7)
Equity in Net Income of Subsidiaries	100.0				(100.0)	
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	83.8	(9.4)	27.4	94.8	(100.0)	96.6
Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of tax			(11.7)			(11.7)
Net income (loss)	83.8	(9.4)	15.7	94.8	(100.0)	84.9
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest				1.1		1.1
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	83.8	(9.4)	15.7	93.7	(100.0)	83.8
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	51.2	42.6	(1.1)	(0.9)	(40.6)	51.2
Comprehensive income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 135.0	\$ 33.2	\$ 14.6	\$ 92.8	\$ (140.6)	\$ 135.0

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

For the year ended September 27, 2013

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 599.9	\$ 97.7	\$ 585.5	\$ 64.0	\$ (651.2)	\$ 695.9
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchases of property and equipment and client contract investments		(14.3)	(292.4)	(86.2)		(392.9)
Disposals of property and equipment			5.4	5.9		11.3
Proceeds from divestitures			0.9			0.9
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired			(22.6)			(22.6)
Other investing activities		(1.4)	27.4	(8.1)		17.9
Net cash used in investing activities		(15.7)	(281.3)	(88.4)		(385.4)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from additional long-term borrowings		3,071.4		9.1		3,080.5
Payments of long-term borrowings	(600.0)	(2,521.2)	(13.7)	(180.0)		(3,314.9)
Net change in funding under the Receivables Facility				36.2		36.2
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		5.6				5.6
Repurchase of common stock		(42.4)				(42.4)
Distribution in connection with spin-off of Seamless		(47.4)				(47.4)
Other financing activities		(50.3)	(2.7)	(0.9)		(53.9)
Change in intercompany, net		(502.1)	(289.0)	139.9	651.2	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(600.0)	(86.4)	(305.4)	4.3	651.2	(336.3)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(0.1)	(4.4)	(1.2)	(20.1)		(25.8)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	0.1	27.4	41.7	67.6		136.8
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 23.0	\$ 40.5	\$ 47.5	\$	\$ 111.0

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

For the year ended September 28, 2012

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	\$ 62.2	\$ 532.5	\$ 178.7	\$ (81.7)	\$ 691.7
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchases of property and equipment and client contract investments		(11.7)	(262.0)	(80.9)		(354.6)
Disposals of property and equipment		0.7	5.2	5.8		11.7
Proceeds from divestiture			6.5			6.5
Acquisitions of businesses			(139.9)	(11.9)		(151.8)
Other investing activities		1.3	3.6	1.7		6.6
Net cash used in investing activities		(9.7)	(386.6)	(85.3)		(481.6)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from long-term borrowings			0.2	3.2		3.4
Payments of long-term borrowings		(250.7)	(12.9)	(25.3)		(288.9)
Net change in funding under the Receivables Facility				37.9		37.9
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		11.3				11.3
Repurchase of common stock		(37.7)				(37.7)
Other financing activities		(6.1)	(3.8)	(2.8)		(12.7)
Change in intercompany, net		120.7	(119.4)	(83.0)	81.7	
Net cash used in financing activities		(162.5)	(135.9)	(70.0)	81.7	(286.7)
Increase (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(110.0)	10.0	23.4		(76.6)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	0.1	137.4	31.7	44.2		213.4
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 0.1	\$ 27.4	\$ 41.7	\$ 67.6	\$	\$ 136.8

F-56

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

For the year ended September 30, 2011

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 131.9	\$ 38.2	\$ 456.0	\$ (115.9)	\$ (206.6)	\$ 303.6
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchases of property and equipment and client contract investments		(9.5)	(206.3)	(77.9)		(293.7)
Disposals of property and equipment		1.0	10.0	10.5		21.5
Proceeds from divestitures			71.5	11.6		83.1
Acquisitions of businesses			(157.0)			(157.0)
Other investing activities		0.7	(13.7)	(4.0)		(17.0)
Net cash used in investing activities		(7.8)	(295.5)	(59.8)		(363.1)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	594.0		0.2	22.0		616.2
Payments of long-term borrowings		(5.7)	(13.5)	(12.0)		(31.2)
Net change in funding under the Receivables Facility				225.9		225.9
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		4.6				4.6
Repurchase of common stock		(16.1)				(16.1)
Dividends paid	(711.2)	(132.9)		(73.7)	206.6	(711.2)
Net proceeds from sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interest		48.4				48.4
Other financing activities	(14.6)	(6.6)	(3.1)	(0.3)		(24.6)
Change in intercompany, net		135.9	(146.2)	10.3		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(131.8)	27.6	(162.6)	172.2	206.6	112.0
Increase (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	0.1	58.0	(2.1)	(3.5)		52.5
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		79.4	33.8	47.7		160.9
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 0.1	\$ 137.4	\$ 31.7	\$ 44.2	\$	\$ 213.4

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013, SEPTEMBER 28, 2012
AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Description	Balance, Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Income	Reductions Deductions from Reserves(1)	Balance, End of Period
Fiscal Year 2013				
Reserve for doubtful accounts, advances & current notes receivable	\$ 41,212	\$ 11,297	\$ 17,833	\$ 34,676
Fiscal Year 2012				
Reserve for doubtful accounts, advances & current notes receivable	\$ 32,963	\$ 26,718	\$ 18,469	\$ 41,212
Fiscal Year 2011				
Reserve for doubtful accounts, advances & current notes receivable	\$ 36,886	\$ 10,298	\$ 14,221	\$ 32,963

(1) Amounts determined not to be collectible and charged against the reserve and translation.

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)****(In Thousands, Except Share Amounts)**

	March 28, 2014	September 27, 2013
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 139,184	\$ 110,998
Receivables	1,523,027	1,405,843
Inventories, at lower of cost or market	549,942	541,972
Prepayments and other current assets	203,563	228,352
Total current assets	2,415,716	2,287,165
Property and Equipment, net	960,903	977,323
Goodwill	4,615,402	4,619,987
Other Intangible Assets	1,327,349	1,408,764
Other Assets	967,261	973,867
	\$ 10,286,631	\$ 10,267,106
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term borrowings	\$ 89,613	\$ 65,841
Accounts payable	804,241	888,969
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	1,159,694	1,434,443
Total current liabilities	2,053,548	2,389,253
Long-Term Borrowings	5,547,928	5,758,229
Deferred Income Taxes and Other Noncurrent Liabilities	975,838	1,047,002
Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and Other	10,177	168,915
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock, par value \$.01 (authorized: 600,000,000 shares; issued: 2014 250,120,845 shares and 2013 219,585,247 shares; and outstanding: 2014 231,086,368 shares and 2013 201,798,518 shares)	2,501	2,194
Capital surplus	2,480,459	1,693,663
Accumulated deficit	(438,861)	(479,233)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(58,840)	(59,225)
Treasury stock (shares held in treasury: 2014 19,034,477 shares and 2013 17,786,729 shares)	(286,119)	(253,692)
Total stockholders' equity	1,699,140	903,707
	\$ 10,286,631	\$ 10,267,106

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Sales	\$ 3,502,007	\$ 3,403,737
Costs and Expenses:		
Cost of services provided	3,159,808	3,132,226
Depreciation and amortization	125,317	135,304
Selling and general corporate expenses	96,075	55,992
	3,381,200	3,323,522
Operating income	120,807	80,215
Interest and Other Financing Costs, net	102,074	147,124
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	18,733	(66,909)
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	5,616	(27,005)
Net income (loss)	13,117	(39,904)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	201	200
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 12,916	\$ (40,104)
Earnings per share attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders:		
Basic	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.20)
Diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.20)
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	230,693	201,468
Diluted	243,376	201,468

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Sales	\$ 7,265,088	\$ 6,939,652
Costs and Expenses:		
Cost of services provided	6,514,627	6,303,766
Depreciation and amortization	262,141	268,704
Selling and general corporate expenses	210,291	111,648
	6,987,059	6,684,118
Operating income	278,029	255,534
Interest and Other Financing Costs, net	185,427	260,475
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	92,602	(4,941)
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	34,569	(8,229)
Net income	58,033	3,288
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	355	578
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 57,678	\$ 2,710
Earnings per share attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders:		
Basic	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.01
Diluted	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.01
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	218,653	201,728
Diluted	229,410	208,841

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)****(Unaudited)****(In Thousands)**

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Net income (loss)	\$ 13,117	\$ (39,904)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		
Pension plan adjustments	(153)	(543)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(2,336)	(22,726)
Fair value of cash flow hedges	(2,677)	2,466
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(5,166)	(20,803)
Comprehensive income (loss)	7,951	(60,707)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	201	200
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 7,750	\$ (60,907)

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Net income	\$ 58,033	\$ 3,288
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		
Pension plan adjustments	(308)	(1,130)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(966)	(23,956)
Fair value of cash flow hedges	1,659	8,943
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	385	(16,143)
Comprehensive income (loss)	58,418	(12,855)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	355	578
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 58,063	\$ (13,433)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 58,033	\$ 3,288
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	262,141	268,704
Income taxes deferred	(33,883)	(28,957)
Share-based compensation expense	72,998	8,821
Changes in noncash working capital	(507,238)	(269,864)
Other operating activities	20,529	69,071
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(127,420)	51,063
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment, client contract investments and other	(172,223)	(167,207)
Disposals of property and equipment	12,636	6,469
Proceeds from divestitures	24,000	919
Acquisition of certain businesses, net of cash acquired	(10,820)	(22,477)
Other investing activities	5,129	22,974
Net cash used in investing activities	(141,278)	(159,322)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	1,734,343	3,230,710
Payments of long-term borrowings	(1,917,068)	(3,043,631)
Net change in funding under the Receivables Facility		36,200
Payment of dividends	(17,306)	
Proceeds from initial public offering, net	524,081	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	3,419	4,372
Distribution in connection with spin-off of Seamless Holdings		(47,352)
Repurchase of common stock	(1,549)	(33,155)
Other financing activities	(29,036)	(55,918)
Net cash provided by financing activities	296,884	91,226
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	28,186	(17,033)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	110,998	136,748
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 139,184	\$ 119,715

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 28, 2014

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

	Total	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock
Balance, September 27, 2013	\$ 903,707	\$ 2,194	\$ 1,693,663	\$ (479,233)	\$ (59,225)	\$ (253,692)
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	57,678			57,678		
Other comprehensive income (loss)	385				385	
Capital contributions from issuance of common stock	19,095	27	19,068			
Capital contributions from initial public offering	524,081	280	523,801			
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans	72,998		72,998			
Tax benefits related to stock incentive plans	12,221		12,221			
Change due to termination of provision in Stockholders Agreement (see Note 8)	158,708		158,708			
Purchases of common stock	(32,427)					(32,427)
Payment of dividends	(17,306)			(17,306)		
Balance, March 28, 2014	\$ 1,699,140	\$ 2,501	\$ 2,480,459	\$ (438,861)	\$ (58,840)	\$ (286,119)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 29, 2013

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

	Total	Total ARAMARK Holdings Stockholders Equity	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Noncontrolling Interest
Balance, September 28, 2012	\$ 966,864	\$ 933,017	\$ 2,159	\$ 1,636,128	\$ (444,479)	\$ (73,745)	\$ (187,046)	\$ 33,847
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	2,926	2,710			2,710			216
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(16,143)	(16,143)				(16,143)		
Capital contributions from issuance of common stock	14,164	14,164	19	14,145				
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans	8,821	8,821		8,821				
Tax benefits related to stock incentive plans	881	881		881				
Decrease in common stock subject to repurchase obligation, net	16,874	16,874		16,874				
Purchases of common stock	(46,407)	(46,407)					(46,407)	
Distributions of Seamless Holdings	(138,173)	(104,110)			(104,110)			(34,063)
Balance, March 29, 2013	\$ 809,807	\$ 809,807	\$ 2,178	\$ 1,676,849	\$ (545,879)	\$ (89,888)	\$ (233,453)	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****(1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION:**

On January 26, 2007, ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (the Company or Holdings), a Delaware corporation controlled by investment funds associated with GS Capital Partners, CCMP Capital Advisors, J.P. Morgan Partners, Thomas H. Lee Partners and Warburg Pincus LLC (collectively, the Sponsors), Joseph Neubauer, Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and certain other members of the Company's management, acquired all of the outstanding shares of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, ARAMARK Corporation (ARAMARK Corporation), in a going-private transaction.

On December 12, 2013, the Company began trading its common stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ARMK after its initial public offering (IPO) of 28,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$20.00 per share (see Note 8).

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its subsidiaries in which a controlling financial interest is maintained. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated. The Company has an ownership interest in a subsidiary with a redeemable noncontrolling interest. The Company classifies redeemable noncontrolling interests outside of stockholders' equity in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets in Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and Other. As of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, the redeemable noncontrolling interest related to the subsidiary was approximately \$10.2 million and \$10.2 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended March 28, 2014, net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$0.4 million for the six months ended March 28, 2014. For the three and six months ended March 29, 2013, net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$0.4 million for the six months ended March 29, 2013.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements, and the notes to those statements, included in the Company's prospectus, dated December 11, 2013, filed with the SEC pursuant to Rule 424(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, on December 12, 2013. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 27, 2013 was derived from audited financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading. In the opinion of the Company, the statements include all adjustments, which are of a normal, recurring nature, required for a fair presentation for the periods presented. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year, due to the seasonality of some of the Company's business activities and the possibility of changes in general economic conditions.

(2) DIVESTITURES:**Fiscal 2014***McKinley Chalet Hotel*

On October 7, 2013, the Company completed the sale of its McKinley Chalet Hotel (the Chalet) located in Denali National Park for approximately \$24.0 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a pretax loss of approximately \$6.7 million (net of tax loss of approximately \$9.1 million), which is included in Cost of services.

Table of Contents

provided in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended March 28, 2014. The pretax loss includes a write-off of an allocation of goodwill of approximately \$12.8 million (see Note 5). The results of operations and cash flows associated with the Chalet divestiture were not material to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Cash Flows.

Fiscal 2013*Spin-off of Seamless Holdings Corporation*

On October 29, 2012, the Company completed the spin-off of its majority interest in Seamless North America, LLC (Seamless) to its stockholders.

In the spin-off, ARAMARK Corporation distributed all of the issued and outstanding shares of the common stock of Seamless Holdings Corporation (Seamless Holdings), an entity formed for the purpose of completing the spin-off and whose assets primarily consist of the Company's former interest in Seamless, to its parent company and sole stockholder, ARAMARK Intermediate. Thereafter, ARAMARK Intermediate distributed such shares to the Company, its parent company and sole stockholder, who then distributed all of the shares of Seamless Holdings on a pro rata basis to the holders of Holdings common stock as of October 26, 2012, the record date, through a tax-free stock dividend. Each Holdings stockholder received one share of Seamless Holdings common stock for each share of Holdings common stock held as of the record date.

Until October 29, 2012, Seamless Holdings and its subsidiaries were part of the Company and its assets, liabilities, results of operations, and cash flows are included in the amounts reported in these condensed consolidated financial statements until that date. Following the spin-off, Seamless Holdings is an independent company and the Company retains no ownership interest in Seamless Holdings or Seamless. The Company's proforma results of operations for fiscal 2013 would not have been materially different than reported assuming the spin-off and related transactions had occurred at the beginning of the prior year period.

(3) SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

The Company made interest payments of approximately \$192.7 million and \$237.5 million and income tax payments of approximately \$43.6 million and \$52.4 million during the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013, respectively.

As of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of pension plan adjustments (net of tax) of approximately (\$30.8) million and (\$30.5) million, respectively, foreign currency translation adjustments (net of tax) of approximately \$2.3 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, fair value of cash flow hedges (net of tax) of approximately (\$22.3) million and (\$24.0) million, respectively, and share of equity investees' accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax) of approximately (\$8.0) million and (\$8.0) million, respectively.

For the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013, the tax effects on comprehensive income (loss) were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ 1,430	\$ 2,707
Fair value of cash flow hedges	1,654	(1,690)
Pension plan adjustments	82	294

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ 4,027	\$ 7,021
Fair value of cash flow hedges	(1,343)	(5,536)
Pension plan adjustments	166	611

(4) SEVERANCE AND ASSET WRITE-DOWNS:

During fiscal 2013, the Company initiated a series of actions and developed plans to drive efficiencies through the consolidation and centralization of select functions. As a result, the Company recorded charges during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 of approximately \$40.8 million for severance and related costs. The Company also recorded charges of approximately \$11.7 million for goodwill impairments and other asset write-downs of approximately \$11.4 million primarily related to the write-offs of certain client contractual investments during the second quarter of fiscal 2013. In the second quarter of fiscal 2014, as a result of additional cost saving and productivity initiatives offset by refinements to the Company's original plans for consolidation and centralization initiatives and actual attrition of the workforce, the Company recorded additional net severance charges of approximately \$1.8 million.

As of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, the Company had an accrual of approximately \$35.7 million and \$46.7 million, respectively, related to the unpaid obligations for these costs.

(5) GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS:

Goodwill represents the excess of the fair value of consideration paid for an acquired entity over the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Goodwill is not amortized and is subject to an impairment test that the Company conducts annually or more frequently if a change in circumstances or the occurrence of events indicates that potential impairment exists, using discounted cash flows.

Changes in total goodwill during the six months ended March 28, 2014 follow (in thousands):

Segment	September 27, 2013	Acquisitions and Divestitures	Translation	March 28, 2014
FSS North America	\$ 3,595,048	\$ (11,103)	\$ (176)	\$ 3,583,769
FSS International	451,154		6,694	457,848
Uniform	573,785			573,785
	\$ 4,619,987	\$ (11,103)	\$ 6,518	\$ 4,615,402

The reduction in goodwill for Food and Support Services North America (FSS North America) is primarily related to the Chalet divestiture (see Note 2).

Other intangible assets consist of (in thousands):

	Gross Amount	March 28, 2014 Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount	Gross Amount	September 27, 2013 Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Customer relationship assets	\$ 1,891,679	\$ (1,323,921)	\$ 567,758	\$ 1,892,484	\$ (1,242,578)	\$ 649,906
Trade names	761,224	(1,633)	759,591	760,491	(1,633)	758,858
	\$ 2,652,903	\$ (1,325,554)	\$ 1,327,349	\$ 2,652,975	\$ (1,244,211)	\$ 1,408,764

Acquisition-related intangible assets consist of customer relationship assets, the ARAMARK trade name and other trade names. Customer relationship assets are being amortized principally on a straight-line basis over

Table of Contents

the expected period of benefit, 5 to 24 years, with a weighted average life of approximately 12 years. The ARAMARK trade name is an indefinite lived intangible asset and is not amortizable but is evaluated for impairment at least annually.

Amortization of intangible assets for the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 was approximately \$85.5 million and \$96.3 million, respectively.

(6) BORROWINGS:

As of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, all of the Company's indebtedness was held by ARAMARK Corporation. Long-term borrowings are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

	March 28, 2014	September 27, 2013
Senior secured revolving credit facility	\$ 173,164	\$ 10,000
Senior secured term loan facility, due July 2016	75,450	3,032,349
Senior secured term loan facility, due September 2019	1,392,469	1,393,559
Senior secured term loan facility, due February 2021	2,600,279	
5.75% senior notes, due March 2020	1,000,000	1,000,000
Receivables Facility, due January 2015	300,000	300,000
Capital leases	54,012	52,385
Other	42,167	35,777
	5,637,541	5,824,070
Less current portion	(89,613)	(65,841)
	\$ 5,547,928	\$ 5,758,229

The Company used the net proceeds from its initial public offering to repay borrowings of approximately \$154.1 million on the senior secured revolving credit facility that were borrowed during the first quarter of fiscal 2014 and \$370.0 million on the senior secured term loan facility (see Note 8).

Senior Secured Credit AgreementSenior Secured Term Loan Facilities2014 Amendment Agreement

On February 24, 2014, ARAMARK Corporation entered into an Amendment Agreement ("2014 Amendment Agreement") to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 26, 2010 (as amended, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"). The 2014 Amendment Agreement amends and restates the Credit Agreement effective as of February 24, 2014. Among other things, the 2014 Amendment Agreement provides for approximately \$3,982.0 million in the aggregate of new term loans, \$2,582.0 million of which have a maturity date of February 24, 2021 and \$1,400.0 million of which have a maturity date of September 7, 2019. The term loans due on February 24, 2021 include 140.0 million of term loans denominated in euros, £115.0 million of term loans denominated in sterling and ¥5,042.0 million of term loans denominated in yen. The new term loans were borrowed on February 24, 2014 and the proceeds were used to refinance existing term loans due 2016 and 2019 (with the exception of approximately \$75.0 million in term loans due 2016 borrowed by ARAMARK Corporation's Canadian subsidiary, which remain outstanding). All U.S. dollar denominated new term loans have an applicable margin of 2.50% for eurocurrency (LIBOR) borrowings, subject to a LIBOR floor of 0.75%, and an applicable margin of 1.50% for base-rate borrowings, subject to a minimum base rate of 1.75%. The new yen denominated and Euro denominated term loans have an applicable margin of 2.75% and the new sterling denominated terms loans have an applicable margin of 3.25%. The term loans due on February 24, 2021 were borrowed with an original issue discount of 0.50%. The term loans due on September 7, 2019 were borrowed with an original issue discount of 0.25%.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of fiscal 2014, approximately \$22.9 million of lender fees and third-party costs directly attributable to the term loans of the 2014 Amendment Agreement were capitalized and are included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Approximately \$3.4 million and \$5.1 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners, respectively. The Company also recorded charges to Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 consisting of \$13.1 million of third-party costs and \$12.6 million of non-cash charges for the write-off of deferred financing costs and original issue discount.

Amendment Agreement No. 1

On March 28, 2014, ARAMARK Corporation entered into Amendment Agreement No. 1 to the Credit Agreement, which allowed ARAMARK Corporation to borrow Canadian dollar denominated term loan in an amount of CAD34.0 million, due February 2021.

2013 Amendment Agreements*Amendment Agreement No. 4*

On February 22, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation entered into Amendment Agreement No. 4 (Amendment Agreement No. 4) to the Credit Agreement which provided for, among other things, additional term loans and the extension of a portion of the revolving credit facility. On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation borrowed \$1,400 million of term loans pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4. The new term loans were borrowed by ARAMARK Corporation with an original issue discount of 0.50%. During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$16.8 million of third-party costs directly attributable to Amendment Agreement No. 4 were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, of which approximately \$6.8 million were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Amendment Agreement No. 3

On December 20, 2012, ARAMARK Corporation amended the senior secured credit agreement (Amendment Agreement No. 3) to, among other things, borrow \$670 million of new term loans to repay approximately \$650 million of existing term loans and to fund certain discounts, fees and costs associated with the amendment. For the six months ended March 29, 2013, approximately \$11.6 million of third-party costs directly attributable to Amendment Agreement No. 3 were expensed and are included in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Approximately \$4.6 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

The 2014 Amendment Agreement also provides for the extension, from January 26, 2017 to February 24, 2019, of the maturity of \$565.0 million in revolving lender commitments of the existing \$605.0 million revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement. The 2014 Amendment Agreement also increases the revolving lender commitments by \$165.0 million, for a total revolving credit facility of \$770.0 million.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2014, approximately \$4.8 million of third-party costs directly attributable to the revolving credit facility of the 2014 Amendment Agreement were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

5.75% Senior Notes due 2020

On March 7, 2013, ARAMARK Corporation issued \$1,000 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (the Senior Notes) pursuant to a new indenture, dated as of March 7, 2013 (the Indenture), entered into by ARAMARK Corporation.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, approximately \$13.8 million of third-party costs directly attributable to the Senior Notes were capitalized and are included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Approximately \$7.3 million of the third-party costs were paid to entities affiliated with GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners.

Repurchase of 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015, Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 and 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016

In February 2013, the Company and ARAMARK Corporation commenced a tender offer to purchase for cash any and all of the 8.625% / 9.375% Senior Notes due 2016 and the 8.50% Senior Notes due 2015 and the Senior Floating Notes due 2015 (collectively, the Notes). On March 7, 2013, the Company used a portion of the aggregate proceeds of the Senior Notes offering and the borrowings under the new term loans pursuant to Amendment Agreement No. 4 to purchase all Notes tendered by March 6, 2013, the early tender date.

In connection with the tender offer and the full and complete satisfaction and discharge of the remaining aggregate principal of the Notes, the Company recorded \$39.8 million of charges to Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 27, 2013, consisting of \$12.9 million of third party costs for the tender offer premium and \$26.9 million of non-cash charges for the write-off of deferred financing costs.

(7) DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS:

The Company enters into contractual derivative arrangements to manage changes in market conditions related to interest on debt obligations, foreign currency exposures and exposure to fluctuating gasoline and diesel fuel prices. Derivative instruments utilized during the period include interest rate swap agreements, foreign currency forward exchange contracts, and gasoline and diesel fuel agreements. All derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value at the end of each quarter. The counterparties to the Company's contractual derivative agreements are all major international financial institutions. The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties. The Company continually monitors its positions and the credit ratings of its counterparties, and does not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties. For designated hedging relationships, the Company formally documents the hedging relationship and its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk will be assessed prospectively and retrospectively, and a description of the method of measuring ineffectiveness. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting cash flows of hedged items.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company previously entered into \$2.4 billion notional amount of interest rate swap agreements, fixing the rate on a like amount of variable rate borrowings. As a result of the 2014 Amendment Agreement, the Company de-designated the interest rate swap agreements as the terms of the interest rate swaps did not match the terms of the new term loans. Prior to the 2014 Amendment Agreement, these agreements met the required criteria to be designated as cash flow hedging instruments. As a result of the de-designation, the mark-to-market values of the Company's cash flow hedges included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, which was approximately \$22.8 million of unrealized net of tax losses, were frozen as of the de-designation date and will be reclassified into earnings as the underlying hedged transactions affect earnings. In February 2014, the Company amended the interest rate swap agreements to match the terms of the new term loans under the 2014 Amendment Agreement to meet the criteria to be designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Subsequent to March 28, 2014, the Company entered into an additional \$500 million notional amount of forward starting interest rate swap agreements. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated as and meets all the required criteria for a

Table of Contents

cash flow hedge are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings as the underlying hedged item affects earnings. As of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, approximately (\$16.6) million and (\$20.5) million of unrealized net of tax losses related to the interest rate swaps were included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, respectively. The hedge ineffectiveness for these cash flow hedging instruments during the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 was not material.

The Company previously entered into a \$75.4 million amortizing cross currency swap to mitigate the risk of variability in principal and interest payments on the Canadian subsidiary's variable rate debt denominated in U.S. dollars. During the second quarter of fiscal 2014, approximately \$82.7 million of amortizing cross currency swaps matured. The agreement fixes the rate on the variable rate borrowings and mitigates changes in the Canadian dollar/U.S. dollar exchange rate. During the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013, approximately \$7.6 million and \$1.5 million of unrealized net of tax gains (losses) related to the swap were added to Accumulated other comprehensive loss, respectively. Approximately (\$5.3) million and (\$4.1) million were reclassified to offset net translation gains (losses) on the foreign currency denominated debt during the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013, respectively. As of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, unrealized net of tax losses of approximately (\$5.7) million and (\$3.5) million related to the cross currency swap were included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, respectively. The hedge ineffectiveness for this cash flow hedging instrument during the six months ended March 28, 2014 and March 29, 2013 was not material.

As a result of Amendment Agreement No. 3, the Company de-designated the cross currency swap that hedged the Canadian subsidiary's term loan with a maturity date of January 26, 2014. Prior to Amendment Agreement No. 3, these contracts met the required criteria to be designated as cash flow hedging instruments. As a result, approximately \$3.2 million was reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets to Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations during the six months ended March 29, 2013.

The following table summarizes the net of tax effect of our derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on Comprehensive Income (Loss) (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ (1,857)	\$ 3,166
Cross currency swap agreements	(820)	(700)
	\$ (2,677)	\$ 2,466

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ 3,926	\$ 6,298
Cross currency swap agreements	(2,267)	2,645
	\$ 1,659	\$ 8,943

Derivatives not Designated in Hedging Relationships

In fiscal 2013, the Company elected to de-designate the cross currency swaps as a result of Amendment Agreement No. 3. As a result, changes in the fair value of these swaps are recorded in earnings. For the three and six months ended March 28, 2014, the Company recorded a pretax gain (loss) of approximately \$3.6 million and \$5.8 million for the change in the fair value of these swaps in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, respectively. For the three and six months ended March 29, 2013, the Company recorded a pretax gain (loss) of approximately \$1.5 million and \$2.2 million for the change in the fair value of these swaps in Interest and Other Financing Costs, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company entered into a series of pay fixed/receive floating gasoline and diesel fuel agreements based on the Department of Energy weekly retail on-highway index in order to limit its exposure to price fluctuations for gasoline and diesel fuel. During fiscal 2014, the Company has entered into contracts for approximately 4.9 million gallons. As of March 28, 2014, the Company has contracts for approximately 3.4 million gallons outstanding for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015. The Company does not record its gasoline and diesel fuel agreements as hedges for accounting purposes. As such, changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded in earnings. The impact on earnings related to the change in fair value of these contracts for the three and six month periods of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 were not material.

As of March 28, 2014, the Company had foreign currency forward exchange contracts outstanding with notional amounts of \$28.7 million, £8.2 million and CAD43.8 million to mitigate the risk of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on intercompany loans to certain international subsidiaries. Gains and losses on these foreign currency exchange contracts are recognized in income currently as the contracts were not designated as hedging instruments, substantially offsetting currency transaction gains and losses on the intercompany loans.

The Company's interest rate swap agreements are subject to master netting arrangements, which serve as credit mitigants to both the Company and its counterparties under certain situations. The Company presents the net asset or liability position of its derivative fair values on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table summarizes the location and fair value, using Level 2 inputs, of the Company's derivatives designated and not designated as hedging instruments in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	Balance Sheet Location	March 28, 2014	September 27, 2013
ASSETS			
<i>Not designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Gasoline and diesel fuel agreements	Prepayments	118	37
		\$ 118	\$ 37
LIABILITIES			
<i>Designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Interest rate swap agreements	Accrued Expenses	\$	\$ 3,494
Interest rate swap agreements	Other Noncurrent Liabilities	27,139	30,431
Cross currency swap agreements	Other Noncurrent Liabilities	9,266	16,129
		36,405	50,054
<i>Not designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Accounts Payable	346	366
Cross currency swap agreements	Accrued Expenses		12,818
		\$ 36,751	\$ 63,238

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the location of (gain) loss reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings for derivatives designated as hedging instruments and the location of (gain) loss from the derivatives not designated as hedging instruments in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Account			
<i>Designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Interest rate swap agreements	Interest Expense	\$ 6,989	\$ 5,668
Cross currency swap agreements	Interest Expense	(2,209)	1,009
		\$ 4,780	\$ 6,677
<i>Not designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Cross currency swap agreements	Interest Expense	\$ (3,465)	\$ (1,513)
Gasoline and diesel fuel agreements	Cost of services provided	220	(253)
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Interest Expense	1,148	(6,882)
		\$ (2,097)	\$ (8,648)

		Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Account			
<i>Designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Interest rate swap agreements	Interest Expense	\$ 16,183	\$ 11,210
Cross currency swap agreements	Interest Expense	(4,824)	3,006
		\$ 11,359	\$ 14,216
<i>Not designated as hedging instruments:</i>			
Cross currency swap agreements	Interest Expense	\$ (5,111)	\$ 1,021
Gasoline and diesel fuel agreements	Cost of services provided	(136)	(131)
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Interest Expense	4,285	(6,042)
		\$ (962)	\$ (5,152)

At March 28, 2014, the net of tax loss expected to be reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings over the next twelve months based on current market rates is approximately \$17.2 million.

(8) CAPITAL STOCK:

On December 17, 2013, the Company completed the IPO of 28,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$20.00 per share, raising approximately \$524.1 million, net of costs directly related to the IPO. GS Capital Partners and J.P. Morgan Partners received approximately \$6.5 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, of underwriters' discounts relating to the shares sold by the Company which were included in the costs directly related to the IPO. The Company used the net proceeds to repay borrowings on the senior secured revolving credit facility and a portion of the principal on the senior secured term loan facility (see Note 6). In addition, the Company paid cash bonuses and certain other expenses of approximately \$5.0 million related to the IPO, which were included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Prior to the IPO, pursuant to the Amended and Restated Stockholders Agreement of the Company, upon termination of employment from the Company or one of its subsidiaries, members of the Company's management (other than Mr. Neubauer) who held shares of common stock could have caused the Company to repurchase all of their initial investment shares (as defined) or shares acquired as a result of the exercise of Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities at appraised fair market value. Generally, payment for shares

Table of Contents

repurchased could have been, at the Company's option, in cash or installment notes, which would be effectively subordinated to all indebtedness of the Company. The amount of this potential repurchase obligation had been classified outside of stockholders' equity. With the completion of the IPO, this provision was terminated. The amount of common stock subject to repurchase as of March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013 was \$0 and \$158.7 million, respectively.

During the three months ended March 28, 2014, the Company paid a dividend of approximately \$17.3 million to its stockholders. On May 6, 2014, the Company's Board declared a \$0.075 dividend per share of common stock, payable on June 9, 2014, to shareholders of record on the close of business on May 19, 2014.

(9) SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION:

On November 12, 2013, the Board of Directors (the Board) approved, and the stockholders of Holdings adopted by written consent, the ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2013 Stock Plan), which became effective on December 1, 2013. The 2013 Stock Plan provides that the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2013 Stock Plan is 25,500,000. In connection with the adoption of the 2013 Stock Plan, the Board approved, and the stockholders of Holdings adopted by written consent, the Fifth Amended and Restated ARAMARK Holdings Corporation 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (the Fifth Amended Stock Plan) which amended certain terms of the 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (2007 MSIP) in contemplation of the IPO, including providing that no awards will be granted under the Fifth Amended Stock Plan shortly following the consummation of an initial public offering, as grants following the IPO are made under the 2013 Stock Plan.

During the three and six months ended March 28, 2014, share-based compensation expense was approximately \$27.6 million, before taxes of \$10.8 million, and approximately \$73.0 million, before taxes of \$28.5 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended March 29, 2013, share-based compensation expense was approximately \$4.8 million, before taxes of \$1.9 million, and approximately \$8.8 million, before taxes of \$3.5 million, respectively.

Stock Options*Time-Based Options*

The Company granted 1.9 million time-based options with a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$6.65 during the first quarter of fiscal 2014. The compensation cost charged to expense during the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 for time-based options was approximately \$3.6 million and \$6.8 million, respectively. The compensation cost charged to expense during the three and six months ended March 29, 2013 for time-based options was approximately \$2.1 million and \$4.0 million, respectively.

Performance-Based Options

On November 11, 2013, the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to all outstanding 2007 MSIP Option Agreements (the Performance Option Amendment) modifying the vesting provisions relating to outstanding performance-based options granted under the 2007 MSIP. The Performance Option Amendment provides that in the event of an initial public offering of Holdings, subject to continued employment on such date, 50% of any then-unvested performance-based options that did not meet applicable performance thresholds in prior years (the Missed Year Options) will become vested if the initial public offering price for the common stock of Holdings equals or exceeds \$20.00 per share. In addition, during the 18 month period following the initial public offering, if the closing trading price for common stock of Holdings equals or exceeds \$25.00 per share over any consecutive twenty day trading period, 100% of the Missed Year Options will become vested. There were a total of approximately 5.0 million Missed Year Options which fully vested in the second quarter of fiscal 2014 as all performance targets were met. The fair values of the Missed Year Options were valued at the

Table of Contents

award modification date using a Monte-Carlo option model, which simulates a range of possible future stock prices and estimates the probabilities of meeting the modified vesting provision of the trading price for the common stock of Holdings equaling or exceeding \$25.00 per share over any consecutive twenty day trading period during the 18 month period following the initial public offering. The following weighted-average assumptions were used in estimating the fair value of the Missed Year Options: estimated volatility (30%), expected dividend yield (1.5%), expected life (3-8 years) and risk-free rate (0.66%-2.63%). The weighted-average fair value of the Missed Year Options modified on November 11, 2013 was \$10.19 per option.

During the three and six months ended March 28, 2014, the Company recognized a charge to expense of approximately \$16.3 million and \$55.4 million for performance-based options, respectively. These amounts include approximately \$14.0 million and \$50.9 million related to the Missed Year Options that were modified, respectively. During the three and six months ended March 29, 2013, \$2.1 million and \$3.4 million was charged to expense for performance-based options, respectively.

Installment Stock Purchase Opportunities (ISPOs)

The Company recorded approximately \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million of compensation expense related to ISPOs and the exchanged restricted stock and non-qualified stock options during the three and six months ended March 28, 2014, respectively. The Company recorded approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million of compensation expense related to ISPOs during the three and six months ended March 29, 2013, respectively.

Time-Based Restricted Stock Units

The Restricted Stock Unit Agreement provides for grants of restricted stock units (RSUs), 25% of which will vest and be settled in shares on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the participant's continued employment with the Company through each such anniversary. The grant-date fair value of RSUs is based on the fair value of the Company's common stock. Participants holding RSUs will receive the benefit of any dividends paid on shares in the form of additional RSUs. The unvested RSUs are subject to forfeiture if employment is terminated other than due to death, disability or retirement, and the units are nontransferable while subject to forfeiture.

The Company granted 2,048,785 RSUs during the first quarter of fiscal 2014 at a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$20.45. Compensation expense for RSUs is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period during which employees perform related services. The compensation cost charged to expense during the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 for RSUs was approximately \$4.0 million and \$6.3 million, respectively.

Performance Stock Units

Under the 2013 Stock Plan, the Company is authorized to grant Performance Stock Units (PSUs) to its employees. A participant is eligible to become vested in a number of PSUs equal to a percentage, higher or lower, of the target number of PSUs granted based on the level of the Company's achievement of performance conditions. The first 33% of the award will vest if and when the Company achieves these performance conditions while the remaining 67% will generally vest ratably over the next two anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the achievement of the performance condition in the first year of grant and the participant's continued employment with the Company through each such anniversary. The grant-date fair value of the PSUs is based on the fair value of the Company's common stock.

On December 20, 2013, the Company granted 466,763 PSUs with a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$23.92 with performance conditions based upon the achievement of a level of earnings per share. The compensation cost charged to expense during the six months ended March 28, 2014 for PSUs was approximately \$1.8 million.

Table of Contents**Deferred Stock Units**

The Company granted 60,088 deferred stock units during the six months ended March 28, 2014. The compensation cost charged to expense during the three and six months ended March 28, 2014 for deferred stock units was approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. The Company granted 42,462 deferred stock units during the six months ended March 29, 2013. The compensation cost charged to expense during the three and six months ended March 29, 2013 for deferred stock units was approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

(10) ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SECURITIZATION:

The Company has an agreement (the Receivables Facility) with several financial institutions whereby it sells on a continuous basis an undivided interest in all eligible trade accounts receivable, as defined in the Receivables Facility. The maximum amount available under the facility is \$300 million, which expires in January 2015. Pursuant to the Receivables Facility, the Company formed ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, a wholly-owned, consolidated, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary. ARAMARK Receivables, LLC was formed for the sole purpose of buying and selling receivables generated by certain subsidiaries of the Company. Under the Receivables Facility, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries transfer without recourse all of their accounts receivable to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC. As collections reduce previously transferred interests, interests in new, eligible receivables are transferred to ARAMARK Receivables, LLC, subject to meeting certain conditions. At March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, the amount of outstanding borrowings under the Receivables Facility was \$300.0 million and \$300.0 million and is included in Long-Term Borrowings, respectively.

In May 2014, the Company amended the Receivables Facility to increase the maximum amount to \$350.0 million and extend the maturity date to May 2017. In addition, the Receivable Facility will now include a seasonal tranche which will increase the capacity of the Receivable Facility by \$25.0 million.

(11) EQUITY INVESTMENTS:

The Company's principal equity method investment is its 50% ownership interest in AIM Services Co., Ltd., a Japanese food and support services company (approximately \$184.6 million and \$190.7 million at March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013, respectively, which is included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets). Summarized financial information for AIM Services Co., Ltd. follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Sales	\$ 366,242	\$ 414,571
Gross profit	39,543	45,665
Net income	5,589	5,978

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Sales	\$ 765,343	\$ 895,472
Gross profit	85,564	102,405
Net income	13,332	15,380

The period to period comparisons of the summarized financial information for AIM Services Co., Ltd., presented in U.S. dollars above, is significantly impacted by currency translation. ARAMARK's equity in undistributed earnings of AIM Services Co., Ltd., net of amortization related to purchase accounting for the 2007 going-private transaction, was \$2.2 million and \$5.4 million for the three and six months ended March 28, 2014,

Table of Contents

respectively. ARAMARK's equity in undistributed earnings of AIM Services Co., Ltd., net of amortization related to purchase accounting for the 2007 going-private transaction, was \$1.3 million and \$5.2 million for the three and six months ended March 29, 2013, respectively.

(12) BUSINESS SEGMENTS:

Sales, operating income and depreciation and amortization by reportable segment follow (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Sales		
FSS North America	\$ 2,396,880	\$ 2,354,315
FSS International	744,163	702,154
Uniform	360,964	347,268
	\$ 3,502,007	\$ 3,403,737

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Operating Income (Loss)		
FSS North America	\$ 125,416	\$ 84,238
FSS International	13,163	(10,027)
Uniform	36,564	23,277
	175,143	97,488
Corporate	(54,336)	(17,273)
	120,807	80,215
Operating Income	120,807	80,215
Interest and Other Financing Costs, net	(102,074)	(147,124)
	\$ 18,733	\$ (66,909)
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$ 18,733	\$ (66,909)

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Depreciation and Amortization		
FSS North America	\$ 91,954	\$ 93,161
FSS International	13,916	16,019
Uniform	19,170	25,898
Corporate	277	226
	\$ 125,317	\$ 135,304

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Sales		
FSS North America	\$ 5,017,231	\$ 4,811,899
FSS International	1,519,738	1,427,051
Uniform	728,119	700,702

\$ 7,265,088 \$ 6,939,652

F-78

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Operating Income		
FSS North America	\$ 288,550	\$ 225,789
FSS International	40,235	9,183
Uniform	76,859	54,373
	405,644	289,345
Corporate	(127,615)	(33,811)
Operating Income	278,029	255,534
Interest and Other Financing Costs, net	(185,427)	(260,475)
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$ 92,602	\$ (4,941)
Depreciation and Amortization	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
FSS North America	\$ 188,002	\$ 185,009
FSS International	29,375	31,798
Uniform	44,402	51,442
Corporate	362	455
	\$ 262,141	\$ 268,704

In the first and second fiscal quarters, within the FSS North America segment, historically there has been a lower level of activity at the sports and leisure food service operations that is partly offset by increased activity in the educational operations. However, in the third and fourth fiscal quarters, historically there has been a significant increase at sports and leisure accounts that is partially offset by the effect of summer recess on the educational accounts.

(13) NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARD UPDATES:

In December 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update (ASU) that requires companies with financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset on the balance sheet or subject to a master netting arrangement to provide additional disclosures regarding the instruments impact on a company's financial position. In January 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update to clarify the scope of this ASU. The Company adopted the guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2014 which did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued an ASU which requires companies to disclose information about reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). Companies also are required to present reclassifications by component when reporting changes in AOCI balances. For significant items reclassified out of AOCI to net income in their entirety in the period, companies must report the effect of the reclassifications on the respective line items in the statement where net income is presented. The Company adopted the guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2014 which did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued an ASU which requires unrecognized tax benefits to be offset against a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss or tax credit carryforward in certain situations. The guidance will likely change the balance sheet presentation of certain unrecognized tax benefits. The guidance is effective in the first quarter of fiscal 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the pronouncement.

Table of Contents

In January 2014, the FASB issued an ASU which states that companies should not account for certain service concession arrangements with public-sector entities as leases and should not recognize the related infrastructure as property, plant and equipment. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the pronouncement.

(14) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

Certain of the Company's lease arrangements, primarily vehicle leases, with terms of one to eight years, contain provisions related to residual value guarantees. The maximum potential liability to the Company under such arrangements was approximately \$128.1 million at March 28, 2014 if the terminal fair value of vehicles coming off lease was zero. Consistent with past experience, management does not expect any significant payments will be required pursuant to these arrangements. No amounts have been accrued for guarantee arrangements at March 28, 2014.

From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries are a party to various legal actions and investigations involving claims incidental to the conduct of its business, including actions by clients, customers, employees, government entities and third parties, including under federal, state, international, national, provincial and local employment laws, wage and hour laws, discrimination laws, immigration laws, human health and safety laws, import and export controls and customs laws, environmental laws, false claims or whistleblower statutes, minority, women and disadvantaged business enterprise statutes, tax codes, antitrust and competition laws, consumer protection statutes, procurement regulations, intellectual property laws, food safety and sanitation laws, cost and accounting principles, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, other anti-corruption laws, lobbying laws, motor carrier safety laws, data privacy laws and alcohol licensing and service laws, or alleging negligence and/or breaches of contractual and other obligations. Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage, established reserves and other resources, the Company does not believe that any such actions are likely to be, individually or in the aggregate, material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, in the event of unexpected further developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, or other similar matters, if unfavorable, may be materially adverse to the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

(15) FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES:

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value are classified based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. The hierarchical levels related to the subjectivity of the valuation inputs are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, borrowings and derivatives. Management believes that the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable are representative of their respective fair values. In conjunction with the fair value measurement of the derivative instruments, the Company made an accounting

Table of Contents

policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative instruments, that are subject to master netting agreements, on a net basis by counterparty portfolio. The fair value of the Company's debt at March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013 was \$5,694.8 million and \$5,854.9 million, respectively. The carrying value of the Company's debt at March 28, 2014 and September 27, 2013 was \$5,637.5 million and \$5,824.1 million, respectively. The fair values were computed using market quotes, if available, or based on discounted cash flows using market interest rates as of the end of the respective periods. The inputs utilized in estimating the fair value of the Company's debt has been classified as level 2 in the fair value hierarchy levels.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company's obligation to repurchase shares was eliminated (see Note 8). The following table presents the changes in the Company's common stock subject to repurchase for which level 3 inputs were significant to their valuation for the six months ended March 28, 2014 (in thousands):

	Common Stock Subject to Repurchase
Balance, September 27, 2013	\$ 158,708
Repurchases of common stock	(763)
Reclassification of common stock subject to repurchase	(157,945)
Balance, March 28, 2014	\$

(16) EARNINGS PER SHARE:

Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted to include the potentially dilutive effect of stock awards.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 28, 2014	Three Months Ended March 29, 2013
Earnings:		
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 12,916	\$ (40,104)
Shares:		
Basic weighted-averages shares outstanding	230,693	201,468
Effect of dilutive securities	12,683	
Diluted weighted-averages shares outstanding	243,376	201,468
Basic Earnings Per Share:		
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.20)
Diluted Earnings Per Share:		
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.20)

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended March 28, 2014	Six Months Ended March 29, 2013
Earnings:		
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 57,678	\$ 2,710
Shares:		
Basic weighted-averages shares outstanding	218,653	201,728
Effect of dilutive securities	10,757	7,113
Diluted weighted-averages shares outstanding	229,410	208,841
Basic Earnings Per Share:		
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.01
Diluted Earnings Per Share:		
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.01

Share-based awards to purchase 8.8 million shares were outstanding for the three month period of fiscal 2013, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as their effect would have been antidilutive. In addition, performance-based options and performance stock units to purchase 2.3 million and 10.0 million shares were outstanding for the three month periods of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as the performance targets were not yet met. Share-based awards to purchase 3.7 million and 6.4 million shares were outstanding for the six month periods of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as their effect would have been antidilutive. In addition, performance-based options and performance stock units to purchase 3.9 million and 10.3 million shares were outstanding during the six month periods of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as the performance targets were not yet met.

(17) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES:

The following condensed consolidating financial statements of the Company have been prepared pursuant to Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X.

These condensed consolidating financial statements have been prepared from the Company's financial information on the same basis of accounting as the consolidated financial statements. Interest expense and certain other costs are partially allocated to all of the subsidiaries of the Company. Goodwill and other intangible assets have been allocated to the subsidiaries based on management's estimates. The 5.75% Senior Notes are an obligation of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, ARAMARK Corporation, and are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and substantially all of the Company's existing and future domestic subsidiaries (excluding the receivables facility subsidiary) (Guarantors). Each of the Guarantors is wholly-owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company. All other subsidiaries of the Company, either direct or indirect, do not guarantee the Senior Notes (Non-Guarantors). The Guarantors also guarantee certain other debt.

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

March 28, 2014

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS						
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 30.1	\$ 43.8	\$ 65.3	\$	\$ 139.2
Receivables		0.6	321.0	1,201.4		1,523.0
Inventories, at lower of cost or market		15.6	453.4	80.9		549.9
Prepayments and other current assets		32.6	83.2	87.8		203.6
Total current assets		78.9	901.4	1,435.4		2,415.7
Property and Equipment, net		23.8	748.1	189.0		960.9
Goodwill		173.1	3,981.7	460.6		4,615.4
Investment in and Advances to Subsidiaries	1,699.9	5,886.6	477.2	114.5	(8,178.2)	
Other Intangible Assets		29.7	1,159.5	138.1		1,327.3
Other Assets		70.0	631.0	268.3	(2.0)	967.3
	\$ 1,699.9	\$ 6,262.1	\$ 7,898.9	\$ 2,605.9	\$ (8,180.2)	\$ 10,286.6
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
Current Liabilities:						
Current maturities of long-term borrowings	\$	\$ 36.0	\$ 11.0	\$ 42.7	\$	\$ 89.7
Accounts payable		166.9	396.3	241.0		804.2
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	0.8	164.7	676.1	318.0	0.1	1,159.7
Total current liabilities	0.8	367.6	1,083.4	601.7	0.1	2,053.6
Long-term Borrowings		4,681.2	43.1	823.6		5,547.9
Deferred Income Taxes and Other Noncurrent Liabilities		320.9	573.5	81.4		975.8
Intercompany Payable			5,277.5	1,183.3	(6,460.8)	
Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and Other			10.2			10.2
Total Stockholders Equity	1,699.1	892.4	911.2	(84.1)	(1,719.5)	1,699.1
	\$ 1,699.9	\$ 6,262.1	\$ 7,898.9	\$ 2,605.9	\$ (8,180.2)	\$ 10,286.6

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

September 27, 2013

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS						
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 23.0	\$ 40.5	\$ 47.5	\$	\$ 111.0
Receivables		1.4	242.9	1,161.6		1,405.9
Inventories, at lower of cost or market		15.9	441.0	85.1		542.0
Prepayments and other current assets		46.2	103.1	79.0		228.3
Total current assets		86.5	827.5	1,373.2		2,287.2
Property and Equipment, net		24.4	751.2	201.7		977.3
Goodwill		173.1	3,994.6	452.3		4,620.0
Investment in and Advances to Subsidiaries	1,062.7	6,267.4	444.8	124.5	(7,899.4)	
Other Intangible Assets		32.6	1,230.0	146.1		1,408.7
Other Assets		68.4	629.5	278.0	(2.0)	973.9
	\$ 1,062.7	\$ 6,652.4	\$ 7,877.6	\$ 2,575.8	\$ (7,901.4)	\$ 10,267.1
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
Current Liabilities:						
Current maturities of long-term borrowings	\$	\$ 22.5	\$ 12.0	\$ 31.3	\$	\$ 65.8
Accounts payable		147.0	448.3	293.7		\$ 889.0
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	0.3	230.2	875.6	328.3	0.1	\$ 1,434.5
Total current liabilities	0.3	399.7	1,335.9	653.3	0.1	2,389.3
Long-term Borrowings		5,101.7	40.4	616.1		5,758.2
Deferred Income Taxes and Other Noncurrent Liabilities		326.2	618.3	102.5		1,047.0
Intercompany Payable			5,016.0	1,305.7	(6,321.7)	
Common Stock Subject to Repurchase and Other	158.7		10.2			168.9
Total Stockholders Equity	903.7	824.8	856.8	(101.8)	(1,579.8)	903.7
	\$ 1,062.7	\$ 6,652.4	\$ 7,877.6	\$ 2,575.8	\$ (7,901.4)	\$ 10,267.1

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

For the three months ended March 28, 2014

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales	\$	\$ 248.5	\$ 2,217.8	\$ 1,035.7	\$	\$ 3,502.0
Costs and Expenses:						
Cost of services provided		221.0	1,967.6	971.2		3,159.8
Depreciation and amortization		3.1	98.9	23.3		125.3
Selling and general corporate expenses	0.7	56.6	33.8	5.0		96.1
Interest and other financing costs, net		95.3	(0.6)	7.4		102.1
Expense allocations		(129.2)	121.3	7.9		
	0.7	246.8	2,221.0	1,014.8		3,483.3
Income (Loss) before Income Taxes	(0.7)	1.7	(3.2)	20.9		18.7
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(0.3)	0.5	(1.8)	7.2		5.6
Equity in Net Income of Subsidiaries	13.3				(13.3)	
Net income (loss)	12.9	1.2	(1.4)	13.7	(13.3)	13.1
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			0.2			0.2
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	12.9	1.2	(1.6)	13.7	(13.3)	12.9
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(5.1)	(1.5)	0.5	(8.2)	9.2	(5.1)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 7.8	\$ (0.3)	\$ (1.1)	\$ 5.5	\$ (4.1)	\$ 7.8

F-85

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

For the six months ended March 28, 2014

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales	\$	\$ 512.5	\$ 4,607.8	\$ 2,144.8	\$	\$ 7,265.1
Costs and Expenses:						
Cost of services provided		454.7	4,069.7	1,990.2		6,514.6
Depreciation and amortization		8.1	205.3	48.7		262.1
Selling and general corporate expenses	6.0	127.4	67.3	9.6		210.3
Interest and other financing costs		170.5	(0.7)	15.6		185.4
Expense allocations		(252.3)	236.1	16.2		
	6.0	508.4	4,577.7	2,080.3		7,172.4
Income (Loss) before Income Taxes	(6.0)	4.1	30.1	64.5		92.7
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(2.1)	1.2	13.3	22.2		34.6
Equity in Net Income of Subsidiaries	61.6				(61.6)	
Net income	57.7	2.9	16.8	42.3	(61.6)	58.1
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			0.4			0.4
Net income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	57.7	2.9	16.4	42.3	(61.6)	57.7
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	0.4	10.1	1.6	(17.4)	5.7	0.4
Comprehensive income attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ 58.1	\$ 13.0	\$ 18.0	\$ 24.9	\$ (55.9)	\$ 58.1

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

For the three months ended March 29, 2013

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales	\$	\$ 250.8	\$ 2,131.9	\$ 1,021.0	\$	\$ 3,403.7
Costs and Expenses:						
Cost of services provided		255.1	1,896.1	981.0		3,132.2
Depreciation and amortization		4.9	104.3	26.1		135.3
Selling and general corporate expenses	(0.3)	21.3	30.3	4.7		56.0
Interest and other financing costs	37.1	103.3	(0.5)	7.2		147.1
Expense allocations		(121.8)	114.8	7.0		
	36.8	262.8	2,145.0	1,026.0		3,470.6
Loss before Income Taxes	(36.8)	(12.0)	(13.1)	(5.0)		(66.9)
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(13.8)	(7.5)	(6.8)	1.1		(27.0)
Equity in Net Loss of Subsidiaries	(17.1)				17.1	
Net loss	(40.1)	(4.5)	(6.3)	(6.1)	17.1	(39.9)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			0.2			0.2
Net loss attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	(40.1)	(4.5)	(6.5)	(6.1)	17.1	(40.1)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(20.8)	11.0	(3.0)	(38.0)	30.0	(20.8)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ (60.9)	\$ 6.5	\$ (9.5)	\$ (44.1)	\$ 47.1	\$ (60.9)

F-87

Table of Contents**ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

For the six months ended March 29, 2013

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales	\$	\$ 507.9	\$ 4,351.1	\$ 2,080.7	\$	\$ 6,939.7
Costs and Expenses:						
Cost of services provided		494.4	3,845.0	1,964.4		6,303.8
Depreciation and amortization		9.8	206.7	52.2		268.7
Selling and general corporate expenses	0.8	39.4	61.7	9.7		111.6
Interest and other financing costs	51.0	191.0	(0.5)	19.0		260.5
Expense allocations		(207.9)	196.6	11.3		
	51.8	526.7	4,309.5	2,056.6		6,944.6
Income (Loss) before Income Taxes	(51.8)	(18.8)	41.6	24.1		(4.9)
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(19.4)	(11.6)	11.9	10.9		(8.2)
Equity in Net Income of Subsidiaries	35.1				(35.1)	
Net income (loss)	2.7	(7.2)	29.7	13.2	(35.1)	3.3
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			0.4	0.2		0.6
Net income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	2.7	(7.2)	29.3	13.0	(35.1)	2.7
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(16.1)	24.6	(3.0)	(44.3)	22.7	(16.1)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to ARAMARK Holdings stockholders	\$ (13.4)	\$ 17.4	\$ 26.3	\$ (31.3)	\$ (12.4)	\$ (13.4)

F-88

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the six months ended March 28, 2014

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (3.4)	\$ 56.6	\$ (143.7)	\$ (33.7)	\$ (3.2)	\$ (127.4)
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchases of property and equipment, client contract investments and other		(9.0)	(134.5)	(28.7)		(172.2)
Disposals of property and equipment		7.8	2.2	2.6		12.6
Proceeds from divestiture			24.0			24.0
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired			(5.2)	(5.6)		(10.8)
Other investing activities		0.1	6.8	(1.8)		5.1
Net cash used in investing activities		(1.1)	(106.7)	(33.5)		(141.3)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from long-term borrowings		1,430.7		303.6		1,734.3
Payments of long-term borrowings		(1,824.4)	(6.8)	(85.9)		(1,917.1)
Net change in funding under the Receivables Facility						
Payment of dividends		(17.3)				(17.3)
Proceeds from initial public offering, net	524.1					524.1
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		3.4				3.4
Repurchase of common stock		(1.5)				(1.5)
Other financing activities		(23.9)	(1.9)	(3.2)		(29.0)
Change in intercompany, net	(520.7)	384.6	262.4	(129.5)	3.2	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	3.4	(48.4)	253.7	85.0	3.2	296.9
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		7.1	3.3	17.8		28.2
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		23.0	40.5	47.5		111.0
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 30.1	\$ 43.8	\$ 65.3	\$	\$ 139.2

Table of Contents

ARAMARK HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the six months ended March 29, 2013

(in millions)

	ARAMARK Holdings Corporation (Parent)	ARAMARK Corporation (Issuer)	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 609.4	\$ 22.8	\$ 48.7	\$ 13.3	\$ (643.1)	\$ 51.1
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchases of property and equipment, client contract investments and other		(5.2)	(130.5)	(31.5)		(167.2)
Disposals of property and equipment			2.3	4.2		6.5
Proceeds from divestitures			0.9			0.9
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired			(22.5)			(22.5)
Other investing activities		3.1	26.8	(6.9)		23.0
Net cash used in investing activities		(2.1)	(123.0)	(34.2)		(159.3)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from long-term borrowings		3,168.3		62.4		3,230.7
Payments of long-term borrowings	(600.0)	(2,255.8)	(6.8)	(181.0)		(3,043.6)
Net change in funding under the Receivables Facility				36.2		36.2
Distribution in connection with spin-off of Seamless Holdings		(47.4)				(47.4)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		4.4				4.4
Repurchase of common stock		(33.2)				(33.2)
Other financing activities	(9.4)	(44.9)	(1.2)	(0.4)		(55.9)
Change in intercompany, net		(814.4)	79.9	91.4	643.1	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(609.4)	(23.0)	71.9	8.6	643.1	91.2
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(2.3)	(2.4)	(12.3)		(17.0)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	0.1	27.4	41.7	67.5		136.7
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 0.1	\$ 25.1	\$ 39.3	\$ 55.2	\$	\$ 119.7

F-90

Table of Contents

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd.

Tokyo, Japan:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and its subsidiaries (the Company), which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2013, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements (all expressed in Japanese yen).

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Japan (Japanese GAAP); this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and its subsidiaries as of March 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2013 in accordance with Japanese GAAP.

Emphasis of Matter

Japanese GAAP varies in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Information relating to the nature and effect of such differences is presented in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Table of Contents

Convenience Translations

Our audits also comprehended the translation of Japanese yen amounts into U.S. dollar amounts and, in our opinion, such translation has been made in conformity with the basis stated in Note 1. Such U.S. dollar amounts are presented solely for the convenience of readers outside Japan.

/s/ DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LLC

Tokyo, Japan

September 9, 2013

F-92

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets****March 31, 2013 and 2012**

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1)
	2013	2012	2013
ASSETS			
CURRENT ASSETS:			
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2.b)	¥ 7,709,209	¥ 7,825,451	\$ 82,013
Marketable securities (Notes 2.d and 3)		99,970	
Receivables:			
Trade notes	2,241	817	24
Trade accounts	14,292,354	14,620,648	152,046
Other	167,262	128,022	1,780
Inventories (Notes 2.c and 4)	1,762,801	1,691,468	18,753
Short-term loans	3,117	4,299	33
Deposit (Notes 2.b and 12)	3,500,000	6,000,000	37,234
Deferred tax assets (Notes 2.p and 7)	1,814,557	1,768,432	19,304
Prepaid expenses and other	352,779	313,812	3,753
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(8,933)	(12,273)	(95)
Total current assets	29,595,387	32,440,646	314,845
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (Notes 2.f, 2.h, 2.m, 2.n, 8 and 9):			
Land	292,423	298,843	3,111
Buildings and structures	1,209,382	1,157,137	12,866
Machinery and equipment	288,072	269,507	3,064
Furniture and fixtures	1,731,085	1,547,960	18,416
Lease assets	1,594,140	1,065,994	16,959
Total	5,115,102	4,339,441	54,416
Accumulated depreciation	(2,834,722)	(2,360,896)	(30,157)
Net property, plant and equipment	2,280,380	1,978,545	24,259
INTANGIBLE FIXED ASSETS (Note 2.h):			
Software (Note 2.g)	690,359	533,918	7,344
Goodwill (Note 2.a)	1,252,233	1,570,171	13,321
Other assets	51,830	49,564	552
Total intangible fixed assets	1,994,422	2,153,653	21,217
INVESTMENTS AND OTHER ASSETS:			
Investment securities (Notes 2.d and 3)	756,298	707,179	8,046
Investment in an associated company (Note 2.e)	910,378	836,715	9,685
Golf membership (Note 2.i)	190,155	203,910	2,023
Lease deposits (Note 2.k)	908,267	920,251	9,662
Insurance deposits (Note 2.k)	413,418	397,135	4,398
Deferred tax assets (Notes 2.p and 7)	479,500	522,264	5,101
Other assets (Note 5)	265,756	433,006	2,827

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Allowance for doubtful accounts	(71,445)	(77,303)	(760)
Total investments and other assets	3,852,327	3,943,157	40,982
TOTAL	¥ 37,722,516	¥ 40,516,001	\$ 401,303

Continued on following page.

F-93

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets****March 31, 2013 and 2012**

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
	2013	2012	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES:			
Payables:			
Trade notes	¥ 220,560	¥ 240,009	\$ 2,346
Trade accounts (Note 12)	8,050,403	8,425,860	85,643
Other	396,519	107,394	4,218
Income tax payable	1,273,328	1,913,103	13,546
Consumption tax payable	1,008,494	1,018,512	10,729
Accrued bonuses to employees	3,587,549	3,458,791	38,165
Accrued bonuses to directors and corporate auditors	29,250	29,250	311
Other accrued expenses	6,819,843	6,751,363	72,552
Other current liabilities (Notes 2.m and 8)	1,534,547	1,334,676	16,325
Total current liabilities	22,920,493	23,278,958	243,835
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:			
Employees' retirement benefits (Notes 2.l and 5)	1,109,515	1,218,329	11,803
Retirement benefits for directors and corporate auditors (Note 2.l)	63,596	56,066	677
Long-term lease obligations (Notes 2.m and 8)	747,683	573,545	7,954
Other long-term liabilities (Notes 2.n and 9)	228,645	232,067	2,432
Total long-term liabilities	2,149,439	2,080,007	22,866
EQUITY (Notes 6 and 13)			
Common stock - authorized, 7,000,000 shares; issued, 556 shares in 2013 and 2012; and class shares subject to call option - authorized, 14,000,000 shares; issued, 11,507,826 shares in 2013 and 2012	1,909,797	1,909,797	20,317
Class A shares - authorized, 7,000,000 shares; issued, no shares in 2013 and 2012			
Additional paid-in capital	2,591,398	2,591,398	27,568
Retained earnings (Note 2.q)	8,766,148	11,339,464	93,257
Treasury stock - at cost:			
Common stock - 2 shares in 2013 and 2012; and class shares subject to call option - 11,507,826 shares in 2013 and 2012	(680,820)	(680,820)	(7,243)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (Note 2.t)			
Unrealized gain(loss) on available-for-sale securities	66,061	(2,803)	703
Total equity	12,652,584	15,157,036	134,602
TOTAL	¥ 37,722,516	¥ 40,516,001	\$ 401,303

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Income****Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

	2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
NET SALES (Note 2.s)	¥ 151,125,577	¥ 147,608,039	¥ 144,862,497	\$ 1,607,719
COST OF SALES (Notes 8 and 12)	133,416,552	130,143,576	126,605,907	1,419,325
Gross profit	17,709,025	17,464,463	18,256,590	188,394
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (Notes 2.j, 8 and 12)	12,252,188	11,640,463	12,013,783	130,342
Operating income	5,456,837	5,824,000	6,242,807	58,052
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES):				
Interest and dividend income	21,252	22,957	13,649	226
Interest expense	(24,168)	(21,356)	(16,500)	(257)
Loss on impairment of long-lived assets (Note 2.h)	(2,749)	(14,614)		(29)
Gain on sales of shares of subsidiaries (Note 2.a)			313,669	
Equity in earnings of associated company (Note 2.e)	97,328	110,410	112,078	1,035
Other net	22,990	43,631	(24,708)	245
Other income net	114,653	141,028	398,188	1,220
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	5,571,490	5,965,028	6,640,995	59,272
INCOME TAXES (Notes 2.p and 7):				
Current	2,714,671	2,927,892	3,163,705	28,880
Deferred	(36,737)	110,495	12,316	(391)
Total income taxes	2,677,934	3,038,387	3,176,021	28,489
NET INCOME	2,893,556	2,926,641	3,464,974	30,783
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS IN NET INCOME			(8,666)	
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO OWNERS OF PARENT COMPANY	¥ 2,893,556	¥ 2,926,641	¥ 3,456,308	\$ 30,783

	2013	Yen 2012	2011	U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK (Note 2.r):				
Net income	¥ 5,223,024.82	¥ 5,282,745.54	¥ 6,238,824.08	\$ 55,564.09
Cash dividends applicable to the year	9,831,000	2,641,000	3,119,000	104,585

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

	2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
NET INCOME	¥ 2,893,556	¥ 2,926,641	¥ 3,464,974	\$ 30,783
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME:				
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities (net of tax)	68,864	4,806	(40,691)	733
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	68,864	4,806	(40,691)	733
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Note 2.t)	¥ 2,962,420	¥ 2,931,447	¥ 3,424,283	\$ 31,516
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO:				
Owners of parent	¥ 2,962,420	¥ 2,931,447	¥ 3,415,617	\$ 31,516
Noncontrolling interests			8,666	
See notes to consolidated financial statements.				

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity****Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

	Thousands of yen							
	Outstanding Number of Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available- for-Sale Securities	Noncontrol- ling Interests	Total Equity
BALANCE, APRIL 1, 2010	554	¥ 1,909,797	¥ 2,591,398	8,379,127	¥ (680,820)	¥ 33,082	¥ 52,511	¥ 12,285,095
Net income				3,456,308				3,456,308
Cash dividends, ¥3,088,000 per share				(1,710,752)				(1,710,752)
Net change in the year						(40,691)	(52,511)	(93,202)
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2011	554	1,909,797	2,591,398	10,124,683	(680,820)	(7,609)		13,937,449
Net income				2,926,641				2,926,641
Cash dividends, ¥3,090,000 per share				(1,711,860)				(1,711,860)
Net change in the year						4,806		4,806
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2012	554	1,909,797	2,591,398	11,339,464	(680,820)	(2,803)		15,157,036
Net income				2,893,556				2,893,556
Cash dividends, ¥9,868,000 per share				(5,466,872)				(5,466,872)
Net change in the year						68,864		68,864
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2013	554	¥ 1,909,797	¥ 2,591,398	¥ 8,766,148	¥ (680,820)	¥ 66,061		¥ 12,652,584

	Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1)					
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available- for-Sale Securities	Total Equity
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2012	\$ 20,317	\$ 27,568	\$ 120,633	\$ (7,243)	\$ (30)	\$ 161,245
Net income			30,783			30,783
Cash dividends, \$104,979 per share			(58,159)			(58,159)
Net change in the year					733	733
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2013	\$ 20,317	\$ 27,568	\$ 93,257	\$ (7,243)	\$ 703	\$ 134,602

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

	2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Income before income taxes and noncontrolling interests	¥ 5,571,490	¥ 5,965,028	¥ 6,640,995	\$ 59,272
Adjustments for:				
Income taxes paid	(3,362,203)	(2,039,547)	(3,251,018)	(35,768)
Depreciation and amortization	816,840	738,495	758,497	8,690
Amortization of goodwill	317,938	317,938	342,707	3,382
(Reversal of) provision for allowance for doubtful receivables	(1,472)	(17,219)	2,996	(16)
Equity in earnings of an associated company	(97,328)	(110,410)	(112,078)	(1,035)
Loss (gain) on sales of property, plant and equipment	5,280	(1,194)	(296)	56
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	5,763	7,304	39,460	61
Gain on sales of shares of subsidiaries			(313,669)	
Loss on impairment of long-lived assets	2,749	14,614		29
Asset retirement cost			86	
Write-off of investment securities		9,696	77,343	
Decrease (increase) in receivables trade accounts	326,483	(986,530)	(306,755)	3,473
Increase in inventories	(71,333)	(232,712)	(39,287)	(759)
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	302	(594)	(69)	3
(Decrease) increase in trade payables	(394,907)	861,880	(31,788)	(4,201)
(Decrease) increase in interest payable		(244)	244	
(Increase) decrease in other current assets	(33,864)	423,385	(35,216)	(360)
Increase in other current liabilities	458,095	1,088,654	137,721	4,874
Increase (decrease) in accrued bonuses to employees	128,757	(52,642)	(250,230)	1,370
Increase in accrued bonuses to directors and corporate auditors			100	
(Decrease) increase in accrued employees retirement benefits	(108,814)	(105,136)	98,674	(1,158)
Increase (decrease) in accrued retirement benefits for director and corporate auditors	7,530	(24,277)	(6,394)	80
Prepaid pension costs	133,121	64,655	(3,514)	1,416
Other net	32,059	1,805	(14,761)	341
Total adjustments	(1,835,004)	(42,079)	(2,907,247)	(19,522)
Net cash provided by operating activities (Forward)	¥ 3,736,486	¥ 5,922,949	¥ 3,733,748	\$ 39,750

Continued on following page.

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

	2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
Net cash provided by operating activities (Forward)	¥ 3,736,486	¥ 5,922,949	¥ 3,733,748	\$ 39,750
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchases of marketable securities		(99,970)	(99,963)	
Redemption of marketable securities	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,064
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(355,616)	(549,038)	(296,820)	(3,783)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	1,431	2,363	6,727	15
Purchases of software	(327,493)	(253,142)	(196,091)	(3,484)
Purchases of other intangible assets	(3,022)	(730)	(386)	(32)
Proceeds from sales of intangible assets			51	
Purchases of investment securities	(10,804)	(15,880)	(19,627)	(115)
Proceeds from sales of investment securities	57,861	26,845		616
Disbursements for purchases of shares of subsidiary			(85,946)	
Proceeds from sales of shares of subsidiaries			651,099	
Refund from (deposit to) a subsidiary of a shareholder	2,497,890	(6,004,069)	(2,090)	26,573
Proceeds from collections of loans	3,486	3,972	8,387	37
Proceeds from (disbursements) for rental deposits of headquarter		390,609	(441,442)	
Other	(48,320)	(106,035)	(3,625)	(514)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,915,413	(6,505,075)	(379,726)	20,377
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Increase in short-term bank loans	13,500,000	12,800,000	6,600,000	143,617
Decrease in short-term bank loans	(13,500,000)	(14,800,000)	(4,600,000)	(143,617)
Repayments of capital lease obligation	(301,269)	(202,090)	(98,818)	(3,205)
Dividends paid	(5,466,872)	(1,711,860)	(1,710,752)	(58,158)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(5,768,141)	(3,913,950)	190,430	(61,363)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS				
	(116,242)	(4,496,076)	3,544,452	(1,236)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	7,825,451	12,321,527	8,777,075	83,249
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	¥ 7,709,209	¥ 7,825,451	¥ 12,321,527	\$ 82,013

Continued on following page.

Table of Contents**AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****Years Ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Interest payments for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	2013	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
		2012	2011	
Interest payments	¥ 24,168	¥ 21,600	¥ 16,256	\$ 257

Non-cash investing and financing activities were as follows:

	2013	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars (Note 1) 2013
		2012	2011	
Sales of shares of subsidiaries:				
Current assets			¥ 605,625	
Fixed assets			813,154	
Current liabilities			(831,100)	
Long-term liabilities			(41,348)	
Gain on sales of shares of subsidiaries			313,669	
Gross proceeds from sales of shares of subsidiaries			860,000	
Cash and cash equivalents of the sold subsidiaries			(208,901)	
Net proceeds from sales of shares of subsidiaries			¥ 651,099	

Acquisition of lease assets and obligations under finance leases ¥ 541,644 ¥ 371,433 ¥ 497,665 \$ 5,762

On March 31, 2011, the Company sold all shares of the common stock of its wholly owned subsidiary, Nissho Linen Supply Co., Ltd. (Nissho), to a third party (see Note 2.a for further information). The cash flows of Nissho from January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 were included in the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended March 31, 2011.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. BASIS OF PRESENTING CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIM SERVICES Co., Ltd. (the Company) mainly provides business dining services in Japan and is owned 50 percent by ARAMARK Corporation and 50 percent by Mitsui & Co., Ltd.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the provisions set forth in the Companies Act of Japan (the Companies Act) and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in Japan (Japanese GAAP). Japanese GAAP varies in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). Information relating to the nature and effect of such differences is presented in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements.

In preparing these consolidated financial statements, certain reclassifications and rearrangements, including additions of the consolidated statements of cash flows and footnote disclosures, have been made to the consolidated financial statements issued domestically in order to present them in a form which is more familiar to readers outside Japan. In addition, certain reclassifications have been made in the 2012 and 2011 consolidated financial statements to conform to the classifications used in 2013.

The consolidated financial statements are stated in Japanese yen, the currency of the country in which the Company is incorporated and operates. The translation of Japanese yen amounts into U.S. dollar amounts is included solely for the convenience of readers outside Japan and has been made at the rate of ¥94 to \$1, the approximate rate of exchange at March 31, 2013. Such translation should not be construed as a representation that the Japanese yen amounts could be converted into U.S. dollars at that or any other rate.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

- a. Consolidation* The consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2013 include the accounts of the Company and all 11 (11 in 2012 and 12 in 2011) subsidiaries (together, the Group).

An investment in an associated company (a company over which the Company has the ability to exercise significant influence) is accounted for by the equity method. Refer to Note 2.e.

The excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets of the acquired subsidiaries at the date of acquisition is represented as Goodwill on the consolidated balance sheets and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over a period from 10 to 13 years.

Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Unrealized profit included in assets resulting from transactions within the Group is eliminated.

The Company acquired the remaining 5.37% of ownership interest in Nissho on March 18, 2011 and sold all shares of the common stock of Nissho to Yamashita Co, a third party on March 31, 2011 to redeploy the Company's capital to its core food servicing businesses. Nissho had been a subsidiary of the Company engaged in the linen supply businesses and had a December 31 fiscal year-end. As the Company sold its shares on March 31, 2011, Nissho's income and loss, and cash flows from January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 were included in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The gross proceeds from the sale were ¥860,000 thousand, and the gain from the sale was ¥313,669 thousand. Nissho's net income after tax during the three months from January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011 amounted to ¥12,453 thousand.

- b. Cash and Cash Equivalents* Cash equivalents are short-term investments that are readily convertible into cash and that are exposed to insignificant risk of changes in value. Cash equivalents include time deposits and benefit bonds of securities investment trusts, all of which mature or become due within three months of the date of acquisition.

Table of Contents

Deposit is a contract in which cash is trusted to the subsidiary of the Company's shareholder. The cash can be readily withdrawn within a few days, however the Company does not have the intention to do so as the Company has sufficient working capital and do not need this deposit within a short period of time (i.e., three months). Based on this, the Company did not treat Deposit as Cash and Cash Equivalents.

- c. *Inventories*** Inventories are mainly stated at the latest purchase price which approximates the first-in, first-out cost method. In accordance with Accounting Standard Board of Japan (the ASBJ) Statement No. 9, Accounting Standard for Measurement of Inventories, inventories held for sale in the ordinary course of business are measured at the lower of cost or net selling value, which is defined as the selling price less additional estimated manufacturing costs and estimated direct selling expenses. The replacement cost may be used in place of the net selling value, if appropriate.
- d. *Marketable and Investment Securities*** Marketable and investment securities are classified and accounted for, depending on management's intent, as follows: (1) held-to-maturity debt securities, which are expected to be held to maturity with the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity, are reported at amortized cost and (2) available-for-sale securities, which are not classified as the aforementioned securities, are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of applicable taxes, reported in a separate component of equity.

Declines in fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities are analyzed to determine if the decline is temporary or other than temporary. When other than temporary declines occur, the investment is reduced to its fair value and the amount of the reduction is reported as a loss. Any subsequent increases in other than temporary declines in fair value will not be realized until the securities are sold.

Non-marketable available-for-sale securities are stated at cost determined by the moving-average cost method. For other than temporary declines in fair value, non-marketable available-for-sale securities are reduced to net realizable value by a charge to income.

- e. *Investment in Associated Company*** The Company uses the equity method of accounting for its investment in and earnings or losses of an associated company that the Company does not control but over which the Company does exert significant influence. Significant influence is generally deemed to exist if the Company has an ownership interest in the voting stock of an investee of between 20% and 50%. The Company determines whether a decline in fair value is other than temporary by considering various factors, such as historical financial data, product development activities and the overall health of the affiliate's industry. If the Company considers any such decline to be other than temporary, then a write-down to the estimated fair value is recorded.
- f. *Property, Plant and Equipment*** Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment of the Group is computed substantially by the declining-balance method at rates based on the estimated useful lives of the assets, while the straight-line method is applied to the buildings which were acquired after April 1, 1998. The range of useful lives is principally from 3 to 47 years for buildings and structures, from 2 to 10 years for machinery and equipment, from 5 to 20 years for furniture and fixtures, and 5 years for lease assets.

Amendments to the Corporate Tax Law in Japan have resulted in changes to the depreciation methods used for property, plant and equipment acquired since April 1, 2007. Prior to these amendments, the Group's depreciation methods were based on a depreciation limit of 95% and a residual value of 5% of the acquisition price of an asset. This depreciation limit and residual value were removed and the full acquisition price can now be depreciated to the nominal value of ¥1 at the end of the asset's useful life, either on a straight-line basis or on a declining-balance basis. The depreciation rates for both methods, set forth by the Corporate Tax Law, were also amended. Assets acquired on or after April 1, 2007 are depreciated according to the new depreciation methods while existing assets acquired on or before March 31, 2007 are depreciated based on the traditional methods with the depreciation limit written off equally over 5 years.

Table of Contents

Effective April 1, 2012, as a result of the revision of Japanese corporate tax law in Japan, the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries changed their depreciation method for property, plant and equipment acquired on or after April 1, 2012 to the method stipulated under the revised corporate tax law. The effect of this change was immaterial.

- g. Software* Acquired computer software licenses are capitalised on the basis of the costs incurred to acquire and bring to use the specific software. Costs directly associated with identifiable and unique software products, which are likely to generate economic benefits exceeding costs beyond one year, are recognized as intangible assets. Software is carried at cost less accumulated amortization, which is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of 5 years.
- h. Impairment of Long-Lived Assets* The Group reviews its long-lived assets including goodwill for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstance indicate the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized if the carrying amount of an asset or asset group exceeds the sum of the undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from the continued use and eventual disposition of the asset or asset group. The impairment loss would be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its recoverable amount, which is the higher of the discounted cash flows from the continued use and eventual disposition of the asset or the net selling price at disposition.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012, the Company wrote down the book value of its idle facilities amounting to ¥2,749 thousand (\$29 thousand) and ¥14,614 thousand, respectively, as an impairment loss.

- i. Golf Membership* Golf membership is stated at cost. For other than temporary declines in fair value, golf membership is reduced to net realizable value by a charge to income.
- j. Operating Rights* Operating rights are mainly the right to operate the kiosk in factories which were acquired in December 2005 as part of a business acquisition. Operating rights are carried at cost less accumulated amortization, which is calculated by the straight-line method over 5 years, and were fully amortized during the year ended March 31, 2011. Amortization expenses for the years ended March 31, 2011 amounted to ¥18,947 thousand.
- k. Lease Deposits and Insurance Deposits* Lease deposits are mainly related to the Group's office spaces and are refundable at the termination of each lease contract.

Insurance deposits consist of life insurance and non-life insurance policies for employees, for which the Company is the named beneficiary. Most of the insurance deposits are refundable.

- l. Retirement and Pension Plans* The Company and certain subsidiaries have defined benefit corporate pension plans covering substantially all of their regular employees. The Group accounts for the liability for retirement benefits based on projected benefit obligations and plan assets at the balance sheet date.

Retirement benefits to directors and corporate auditors are provided at the amount which would be required if all directors and corporate auditors retired at the balance sheet date.

- m. Leases* In March 2007, the ASBJ issued an Accounting Standard ASBJ Statement No. 13, Accounting Standard for Lease Transaction and its Implementation Guidance and ASBJ Guidance No. 16, Guidance on Accounting Standard for Lease Transactions. The new standard and related implementation guidance eliminated a transitional rule where companies were allowed to account for finance leases that did not transfer ownership at the end of the lease term as operating leases and required the companies to recognize them as

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

finance leases on their balance sheet.

In accordance with new accounting standard for lease, the Company capitalized all finance leases on its consolidated balance sheets and is depreciating the lease assets by the straight-line method over their respective lease terms. However, finance leases that do not transfer ownership and whose commencement day falls prior to April 1, 2008 continue to be accounted for as an operating lease with required disclosure in the notes in accordance with an exceptional rule in the new accounting standard.

F-103

Table of Contents

- n. Asset Retirement Obligations* In March 2008, the ASBJ issued Accounting Standard ASBJ Statement No. 18, Accounting Standard for Asset Retirement Obligations and ASBJ Guidance No. 21, Guidance on Accounting Standard for Asset Retirement Obligations. The new standard and related implementation guidance require companies to recognize asset retirement obligations as liabilities and the corresponding asset retirement costs as tangible fixed assets.

The Group leases several corporate and regional offices and has installed leasehold improvements, such as partitions, counters and phone systems, in these leased properties. Most lease agreements in Japan require the lessee to restore the leased property to its original condition, including removal of the leasehold improvements the lessee has installed when the lessee moves out of the leased property. As a result, the Group will incur certain future costs for the restorations that are required under the lease agreements.

- o. Financial Instruments* In March 2008, the ASBJ revised Accounting Standard ASBJ Statement No. 10, Accounting Standard for Financial Instruments and issued ASBJ Guidance No. 19, Guidance on Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. This revised standard and related implementation guidance expanded the disclosure requirements relating to the fair value measurements of financial instruments. This standard and implementation guidance were effective for the fiscal years ending on or after March 31, 2010. The adoption of ASBJ Statement No. 10 and related guidance did not have an impact on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.
- p. Income Taxes* The Group adopted the accounting standard for interperiod allocation of income taxes based on the asset and liability method. Deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect the impact of temporary differences between assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and such amounts recognized for tax purposes. These deferred taxes are measured by applying currently enacted tax laws to the temporary differences. The Group determined the recoverability of deferred tax assets based on all future information currently available.

Amendments to the Japanese tax regulations were enacted into law on November 30, 2011. As a result of these amendments, the statutory income tax rate will be reduced from approximately 40% to 38% effective from the year beginning April 1, 2012, and to approximately 35% effective from the year beginning April 1, 2015 and thereafter. Consequently, the statutory income tax rate utilized for deferred tax assets and liabilities expected to be settled or realized in the period from April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2015 is approximately 38% and for periods subsequent to March 31, 2015 the rate is approximately 35%. The adjustments to deferred tax assets and liabilities resulting from the reduction in the tax rate was an increase in income taxes of ¥176,903 thousand and have been reflected in income taxes in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended March 31, 2012.

- q. Appropriations of Retained Earnings* Appropriations of retained earnings at each year-end are reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the year following shareholders' approval.
- r. Per Share Information* Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Cash dividends per share presented in the accompanying consolidated statement of income are dividends applicable to the respective years including dividends to be paid after the end of the year.
- s. Revenue Recognition* Most of the operating businesses of the Group have contractual relationships with customers. In these businesses, revenue is recognized in the period in which the services are provided pursuant to the terms of the contracts. Revenue from dining, delivery food and beverage services is recognized upon delivery of food and beverage products.
- t. Presentation of Comprehensive Income* In June 2010, the ASBJ issued Accounting Standard ASBJ Statement No. 25, Accounting Standard for Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This new standard requires the presentation of comprehensive income and other comprehensive income on financial statements. This standard was effective for the consolidated financial statements for the periods ending on or after March 31, 2011. The Company presented Accumulated other comprehensive

Table of Contents

income as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 in the balance sheets, comprehensive income for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 in the statements of comprehensive income.

- u. Dividend distribution* Dividend distribution to the Company's shareholders is recognized as a liability in the Group's financial statements in the period in which the dividends are approved by the Company's shareholders.
- v. Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* In December 2009, the ASBJ issued ASBJ Statement No. 24, Accounting Standard for Accounting Changes and Error Corrections and ASBJ Guidance No. 24, Guidance on Accounting Standard for Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. Accounting treatments under this standard and guidance are as follows: (1) Changes in Accounting Policies When a new accounting policy is applied following revision of an accounting standard, the new policy is applied retrospectively unless the revised accounting standard includes specific transitional provisions, in which case the entity shall comply with the specific transitional provisions. (2) Changes in Presentation When the presentation of financial statements is changed, prior-period financial statements are reclassified in accordance with the new presentation. (3) Changes in Accounting Estimates A change in an accounting estimate is accounted for in the period of the change if the change affects that period only, and is accounted for prospectively if the change affects both the period of the change and future periods. (4) Corrections of Prior-Period Errors When an error in prior-period financial statements is discovered, those statements are restated.

3. MARKETABLE AND INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Marketable and investment securities at March 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of the following:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of
	2013	2012	U.S. Dollars
Current Marketable securities (debt securities)	¥	¥ 99,970	\$
Total	¥	¥ 99,970	\$
Non-current-Investment securities:			
Marketable equity securities	¥ 432,653	¥ 385,114	\$ 4,603
Non-marketable equity securities	323,645	322,065	3,443
Total	¥ 756,298	¥ 707,179	\$ 8,046

Information regarding marketable equity securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities at March 31, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

	Thousands of Yen			Fair Value
	Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
<u>March 31, 2013</u>				
Available-for-sale marketable equity securities	¥ 249,142	¥ 193,616	¥ 10,105	¥ 432,653
Total	¥ 249,142	¥ 193,616	¥ 10,105	¥ 432,653
<u>March 31, 2012</u>				
Available-for-sale marketable equity securities	¥ 296,911	¥ 104,557	¥ 16,354	¥ 385,114
Held-to-maturity debt securities	99,970			99,970
Total	¥ 396,881	¥ 104,557	¥ 16,354	¥ 485,084

F-105

Table of Contents

	Cost	Thousands of U.S. Dollars Unrealized Gains	Thousands of U.S. Dollars Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
March 31, 2013				
Available-for-sale marketable equity securities	\$ 2,650	\$ 2,060	\$ 107	\$ 4,603
Total	\$ 2,650	\$ 2,060	\$ 107	\$ 4,603

Carrying amounts of available-for-sale securities whose fair value is not readily determinable as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Thousands of Yen 2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013
Available-for-sale Non-marketable equity securities	¥ 323,645	¥ 322,065	\$ 3,443

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories at March 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of the following:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013
	2013	2012	
Merchandise	¥ 498,042	¥ 490,857	\$ 5,298
Raw materials	1,037,374	985,407	11,036
Supplies	227,385	215,204	2,419
Total	¥ 1,762,801	¥ 1,691,468	\$ 18,753

5. LIABILITY FOR EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company and certain subsidiaries have defined benefit corporate pension plans for employees.

Under most circumstances, employees terminating their employment are entitled to retirement benefits determined based on the rate of pay at the time of termination, years of service and certain other factors. Such retirement benefits are made in the form of a lump-sum severance payment from the Company or from certain subsidiaries and annuity payments from a trustee. Employees are entitled to larger payments if the termination is involuntary, by retirement at the mandatory retirement age, or by death.

The liability for employees retirement benefits at March 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of the following:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013
	2013	2012	
Projected benefit obligation	¥ 9,250,237	¥ 9,044,586	\$ 98,407
Fair value of plan assets	(7,198,328)	(6,232,419)	(76,578)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	(1,082,833)	(1,867,398)	(11,520)
Net amount on the consolidated balance sheets	969,076	944,769	10,309
Prepaid pension costs (included in other assets)	(140,439)	(273,560)	(1,494)
Employees retirement benefits	¥ 1,109,515	¥ 1,218,329	\$ 11,803

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic benefit costs are as follows:

	Thousands of Yen			Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2011	2013
Service cost	¥ 590,253	¥ 544,854	¥ 541,931	\$ 6,279
Interest cost	90,884	153,935	147,971	967
Expected return on plan assets	(124,615)	(114,443)	(118,221)	(1,326)
One-time amortization of prior service credit			(37,370)	
Recognized actuarial loss	264,415	166,003	113,761	2,813
Net periodic benefit costs	¥ 820,937	¥ 750,349	¥ 648,072	\$ 8,733

Assumptions used for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are set forth as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Discount rate	From 0.7% to 1.1%	From 0.7% to 1.1%	2.0%
Expected rate of return on plan assets	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Amortization period of prior service credit relating to the plan amendment			One-time amortization when incurred
Recognition period of actuarial gain/loss	From 5 to 12 years	From 5 to 12 years	From 5 to 12 years

6. EQUITY

The significant provisions in the Companies Act that affect financial and accounting matters are summarized below:

a. Dividends

Under the Companies Act, companies can pay dividends at any time during the fiscal year in addition to the year-end dividend upon resolution at the shareholders meeting. If companies meet certain criteria such as (1) having a Board of Directors, (2) having independent auditors, and (3) the term of service of the directors is prescribed as one year rather than two years of normal term by its articles of incorporation, the Board of Directors may declare dividends (except for dividends in-kind) at any time during the fiscal year if the company has prescribed so in its articles of incorporation. The Company meets the above criteria.

The Companies Act permits companies to distribute dividends-in-kind (non-cash assets) to shareholders subject to a certain limitation and additional requirements.

Semiannual interim dividends may also be paid once a year upon resolution by the Board of Directors if the articles of incorporation of the company so stipulate. The Companies Act provides certain limitations on the amounts available for dividends or the purchase of treasury stock. The limitation is defined as the amount available for distribution to the shareholders, but the amount of net assets after dividends must be maintained at no less than ¥3,000 thousand.

b. Increases/Decreases and Transfer of Common Stock, Reserve and Surplus

The Companies Act requires that an amount equal to 10% of dividends must be appropriated as a legal reserve (a component of retained earnings) or as additional paid-in capital (a component of capital surplus) depending on the equity account charged upon the payment of such dividends until the total of the aggregate amount of legal reserve and additional paid-in capital equals 25% of the common stock. Under the Companies Act, the total amount of additional paid-in capital and legal reserve may be reversed without limitation. The Companies Act also provides that common stock, legal reserve, additional paid-in capital, other capital surplus

Table of Contents

and retained earnings can be transferred among the accounts under certain conditions upon resolution of the shareholders.

c. Treasury Stock and Treasury Stock Acquisition Rights

The Companies Act also allows for companies to purchase treasury stock and dispose of such treasury stock by resolution of the Board of Directors. The amount of treasury stock purchased cannot exceed the amount available for distribution to the shareholders which is determined by specific formula. Under the Companies Act, stock acquisition rights, which were previously presented as a liability, are now presented as a separate component of equity. The Companies Act also provides that companies can purchase both treasury stock acquisition rights and treasury stock. Such treasury stock acquisition rights are presented as a separate component of equity or deducted directly from stock acquisition rights.

Class shares subject to call option included a call option which allowed the Company, at its option, to exchange all of the class shares subject to call option for new common shares at an exchange ratio of 20,000 class shares to 1 new common share. On November 1, 2007, the Company exercised its call options and exchanged all of its issued class shares for new shares of common stock. Class A shares are the shares without the right for the distribution of residual property.

Table of Contents**7. INCOME TAXES**

The tax effects of temporary differences which resulted in deferred tax assets at March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2013
Current:			
Deferred tax assets:			
Accrued bonuses to employees	¥ 1,363,008	¥ 1,318,875	\$ 14,500
Accrued enterprise taxes	114,388	111,822	1,217
Accrued social insurance contributions by employer	214,909	205,592	2,286
Accrued business office taxes	16,343	17,265	174
Accrued rent	54,203	76,532	577
Other	51,706	38,346	550
Total	1,814,557	1,768,432	19,304
Net deferred tax assets	¥ 1,814,557	¥ 1,768,432	\$ 19,304
Non-current:			
Deferred tax assets:			
Employees retirement benefits	¥ 392,198	¥ 391,557	\$ 4,172
Retirement benefits for directors and corporate auditors	22,429	19,789	239
Impairment loss on investment securities	43,841	43,843	466
Impairment loss on golf membership	11,110	11,113	118
Impairment loss on long-lived assets	61,495	66,281	654
Allowance for doubtful accounts	24,120	27,339	257
Asset retirement obligations	24,085	19,492	256
Other	33,251	49,947	354
Less valuation allowance	(68,655)	(76,099)	(730)
Total	543,874	553,262	5,786
Deferred tax liabilities net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	64,374	30,998	685
Total	64,374	30,998	685
Net deferred tax assets	¥ 479,500	¥ 522,264	\$ 5,101

Table of Contents

A reconciliation between the normal effective statutory tax rate and the actual effective tax rate reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Normal effective statutory tax rate	38%	40%	40%
Expenses not deductible for income tax purposes	1	1	1
Non-deductible per capita levy of local taxes	6	5	5
Non-deductible amortization of goodwill	2	2	2
Effect of amendments to the Japanese tax regulations		3	
Other net	1		
Actual effective tax rate	48%	51%	48%

As discussed in Note 2.p, the tax rate applied to the Company will be reduced approximately from 40% to 38% effective from the year beginning April 1, 2012, and to approximately 35% effective from the year beginning April 1, 2015 and thereafter. The effect of adjustments to deferred assets and liabilities resulting from the reduction in the tax rate was an increase in income taxes of ¥176,903 thousand and have been reflected in income taxes in the consolidate statement of income for the year ended March 31, 2012.

8. LEASES

The Group leases certain machinery, dining support service related equipment, office space and other assets.

Rent expenses for operating leases for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 amounted to ¥1,295,970 thousand (\$13,787 thousand), ¥1,207,902 thousand and ¥1,466,046 thousand, respectively.

Lease payments under finance leases for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were ¥30,952 thousand (\$329 thousand), ¥132,016 thousand and ¥250,035 thousand, respectively.

Obligations under finance leases and future minimum payments under noncancelable operating leases were as follows:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars	
	2013		2013	
	Finance Leases	Operating Leases	Finance Leases	Operating Leases
Due within one year	¥ 320,960	¥ 106,320	\$ 3,414	\$ 1,131
Due after one year	747,683	174,243	7,954	1,854
Total	¥ 1,068,643	¥ 280,563	\$ 11,368	\$ 2,985

As discussed in Note 2.m, the Company accounts for leases which existed at the transition date of the new accounting standards on April 1, 2008 and do not transfer ownership of the leased property to the lessee as operating lease transactions.

For the year ended March 31, 2013, such leases no longer existed due to expiration or cancellation.

Table of Contents

Pro forma information of such leased property existing at the transition date on an as if capitalized basis for the year ended March 31, 2012 was as follows:

	Thousands of Yen 2012		
	Machinery and Equipment	Furniture and Fixtures	Software
Acquisition cost	¥ 3,945	¥ 332,194	¥ 336,139
Accumulated depreciation	(3,737)	(300,178)	(303,915)
Net leased asset	¥ 208	¥ 32,016	¥ 32,224

Depreciation expense and interest expense as if capitalized:

	Thousands of Yen			Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2011	2013
Depreciation expense	¥ 28,981	¥ 124,449	¥ 235,812	\$ 308
Interest expense	360	2,299	6,856	4
Total	¥ 29,341	¥ 126,748	¥ 242,668	\$ 312

Depreciation expense and interest expense, which are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, are computed by the straight-line method and the interest method, respectively.

9. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The Company recognizes asset retirement obligations for its headquarters and some regional offices on the basis of lease agreements. To estimate asset retirement obligations, the Company uses the estimated useful lives for periods ranging from 5 to 29 years and discount rates ranging from 0.326% to 2.130%.

For the year ended March 31, 2013, the Company added ¥9,577 thousand (\$102 thousand) to the balance of asset retirement cost because it has become clear that retirement cost for some assets will be more than the estimation previously calculated.

The following represent the changes in asset retirement obligations for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2013
Asset retirement obligations at beginning of year	¥ 131,213	¥ 199,444	\$ 1,396
Additions to asset retirement obligations	3,904	6,430	42
Accretion of discount	2,368	2,340	25
Liabilities settled during the year	(1,239)	(77,001)	(13)
Revision to estimate	9,577		102
Asset retirement obligations at end of year	¥ 145,823	¥ 131,213	\$ 1,552

Table of Contents**10. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS****(1) Financial Instruments**

The Company obtains operating funds through loans from financial institutions such as banks, and excess funds are invested only in short-term deposit with banks and deposited with subsidiaries of shareholders. Interest rates for the loans are determined based on discussion with the financial institutions considering the current short-term money market.

Credit risks for notes receivable and accounts receivable are managed based on internal risk management policy.

The Company's investment securities mainly consist of equity securities. For listed shares, the Company reviews their fair value on a quarterly basis.

(2) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments recorded in the Company's consolidated balance sheets and their estimated fair values as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Thousands of Yen				Thousands of U.S. Dollars	
	2013		2012		2013	
	Carrying Amount (*)	Fair Value (*)	Carrying Amount (*)	Fair Value (*)	Carrying Amount (*)	Fair Value (*)
Cash and cash equivalents	¥ 7,709,209	¥ 7,709,209	¥ 7,825,451	¥ 7,825,451	\$ 82,013	\$ 82,013
Notes receivable and accounts receivable	14,294,595	14,294,595	14,621,465	14,621,465	152,070	152,070
Marketable securities						
Held-to-maturity debt securities			99,970	99,970		
Deposit	3,500,000	3,500,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	37,234	37,234
Investment securities						
Available-for-sale marketable equity securities	432,653	432,653	385,114	385,114	4,603	4,603
Notes payable and accounts payable	(8,270,963)	(8,270,963)	(8,665,869)	(8,665,869)	(87,989)	(87,989)

(*) () indicates liability account.

In accordance with the requirement of ASBJ Statement No. 10, Accounting Standard for Financial Instruments, the Company has provided the above fair value estimates and the following information about valuation methodologies.

Cash and cash equivalents

Due to nature of cash and cash equivalents, the fair value approximates the carrying value.

Notes receivable and accounts receivable

As these are settled in a short-term period, the fair value approximates the carrying value.

Marketable securities

Due to the nature and remaining terms of held-to-maturity debt securities, the fair value approximates the amortized cost.

Table of Contents

Deposit

As these are settled in a short-term period, the fair value approximates the carrying value.

Investment securities

Equity securities are valued using quoted market prices.

Notes payable and accounts payable

As these are settled in a short-term period, the fair value approximates the carrying value.

Because unlisted shares do not have market price and future cash flows are not estimable, it was determined that obtaining fair value information for non-marketable equity securities was not practicable. Thus, unlisted shares, whose carrying amount as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 were ¥1,234,022 thousand (\$13,128 thousand) and ¥1,158,780 thousand, respectively, are not included in Investment securities Available-for-sale marketable equity securities in the list above.

11. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Information about industry segments of the Group for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is set forth below. In March 2011, the Company disposed of its Linen Supply Services.

*Industry Segments**a. Sales and Operating Income*

	Thousands of Yen 2013					
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Sales to customers	¥ 144,335,546	¥ 6,503,205	¥ 286,826	¥ 151,125,577		¥ 151,125,577
Intersegment sales	2,032,169	1,356,948	272,106	3,661,223	¥ (3,661,223)	
Total sales	146,367,715	7,860,153	558,932	154,786,800	(3,661,223)	151,125,577
Operating expenses	138,497,390	7,736,571	621,821	146,855,782	(1,187,042)	145,668,740
Operating income (loss)	¥ 7,870,325	¥ 123,582	¥ (62,889)	¥ 7,931,018	¥ (2,474,181)	¥ 5,456,837

b. Total Assets, Depreciation, Capital Expenditures and Information about Goodwill

	Thousands of Yen 2013					
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Total assets	¥ 28,308,623	¥ 2,930,439	¥ 38,242	¥ 31,277,304	¥ 6,445,212	¥ 37,722,516
Depreciation and other	412,729	207,923	448	621,100	195,740	816,840
Capital expenditures	657,684	285,038		942,722	345,938	1,288,660
Goodwill:						
Unamortized balance	656,522	595,711		1,252,233		1,252,233
Amortization	119,368	198,570		317,938		317,938

Table of Contents**a. Sales and Operating Income**

	Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013					
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Sales to customers	\$ 1,535,485	\$ 69,183	\$ 3,051	\$ 1,607,719		\$ 1,607,719
Intersegment sales	21,618	14,436	2,895	38,949	\$ (38,949)	
Total sales	1,557,103	83,619	5,946	1,646,668	(38,949)	1,607,719
Operating expenses	1,473,376	82,304	6,615	1,562,295	(12,628)	1,549,667
Operating income (loss)	\$ 83,727	\$ 1,315	\$ (669)	\$ 84,373	\$ (26,321)	\$ 58,052

b. Total Assets, Depreciation, Capital Expenditures and Information about Goodwill

	Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013					
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Total assets	\$ 301,156	\$ 31,175	\$ 407	\$ 332,738	\$ 68,565	\$ 401,303
Depreciation and other	4,391	2,212	5	6,608	2,082	8,690
Capital expenditures	6,997	3,032		10,029	3,680	13,709
Goodwill:						
Unamortized balance	6,984	6,337		13,321		13,321
Amortization	1,270	2,112		3,382		3,382

a. Sales and Operating Income

	Thousands of Yen 2012					
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Sales to customers	¥ 140,895,794	¥ 6,529,919	¥ 182,326	¥ 147,608,039		¥ 147,608,039
Intersegment sales	2,027,093	1,331,024	267,648	3,625,765	¥ (3,625,765)	
Total sales	142,922,887	7,860,943	449,974	151,233,804	(3,625,765)	147,608,039
Operating expenses	134,730,976	7,885,922	511,873	143,128,771	(1,344,732)	141,784,039
Operating income (loss)	¥ 8,191,911	¥ (24,979)	¥ (61,899)	¥ 8,105,033	¥ (2,281,033)	¥ 5,824,000

b. Total Assets, Depreciation, Impairment Loss, Capital Expenditures and Information about Goodwill

	Thousands of Yen 2012					
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Total assets	¥ 28,135,318	¥ 3,111,591	¥ 41,890	¥ 31,288,799	¥ 9,227,202	¥ 40,516,001
Depreciation and other	391,714	157,196	505	549,415	189,080	738,495

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Capital expenditures	407,875	290,053	872	698,800	258,009	956,809
Goodwill:						
Unamortized balance	775,889	794,282		1,570,171		1,570,171
Amortization	119,368	198,570		317,938		317,938

F-114

Table of Contents**a. Sales and Operating Income**

	Thousands of Yen 2011						
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Linen Supply	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Sales to customers	¥ 136,036,998	¥ 6,747,427	¥ 1,827,560	¥ 250,512	¥ 144,862,497		¥ 144,862,497
Intersegment sales	2,043,682	1,286,006	701,305	272,856	4,303,849	¥ (4,303,849)	
Total sales	138,080,680	8,033,433	2,528,865	523,368	149,166,346	(4,303,849)	144,862,497
Operating expenses	129,709,807	7,950,403	2,278,133	604,631	140,542,974	(1,923,284)	138,619,690
Operating income (loss)	¥ 8,370,873	¥ 83,030	¥ 250,732	¥ (81,263)	¥ 8,623,372	¥ (2,380,565)	¥ 6,242,807

Intersegment sales and operating expenses of Food Business for the year ended March 31, 2011 have been corrected due to an immaterial error from ¥1,166,926 thousand to ¥2,043,682 thousand and from ¥128,833,051 thousand to ¥129,709,807 thousand, respectively. In addition, intersegment sales and operating expenses of Eliminations/Corporate for the year ended March 31, 2011 have been corrected from ¥(3,427,093) thousand to ¥(4,303,849) thousand and from ¥(1,046,528) thousand to ¥(1,923,284) thousand, respectively.

b. Total Assets, Depreciation, Capital Expenditures and Information about Goodwill

	Thousands of Yen 2011						
	Food Business	Office Coffee and Tea Services	Linen Supply	Other Services	Total	Eliminations/ Corporate	Consolidated
Total assets	¥ 27,149,121	¥ 3,146,105		¥ 40,485	¥ 30,335,711	¥ 8,111,664	¥ 38,447,375
Depreciation and other	329,801	115,262	¥ 68,755	214	514,032	244,465	758,497
Capital expenditures	622,455	298,000	3,524	526	924,505	516,488	1,440,993
Goodwill:							
Unamortized balance	895,257	992,852			1,888,109		1,888,109
Amortization	119,368	198,570	24,769		342,707		342,707

Presentation for the year ended March 31, 2011 was corrected to add information about goodwill in accordance with ASBJ Statement No. 17, Accounting Standard for Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information and ASBJ Guidance No. 20, Guidance on Accounting Standard for Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information.

The Company has no branch offices or subsidiaries in foreign countries, therefore geographic segment information has not been disclosed. Also, sales to foreign customers have not been presented because neither the Company nor its subsidiaries recorded foreign sales for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents**12. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

Transactions between the Company and subsidiaries of shareholders and other related parties for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Thousands of Yen			Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2011	2013
Tax accountant fee to corporate auditors	¥ 2,357	¥ 2,014	¥ 2,014	\$ 25
Purchase transactions with subsidiaries of shareholders during the year	11,366,274	10,688,971	9,995,806	120,918
Deposit made to subsidiaries of shareholders during the year (*)	5,679,641	4,892,076	1,460,273	60,422

Note: (*) Deposit made to subsidiaries of shareholders generally has terms of less than one month. The amounts in the table represent the average balances of the deposits during the year.

The balances due to or from these subsidiaries of shareholders at March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2013
Deposits to subsidiaries of shareholders	3,500,000	6,000,000	37,234
Accounts payable to subsidiaries of shareholders	2,004,693	1,940,478	21,327

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On June 21, 2013, the shareholders of the Company approved payments of cash dividends to the shareholders of record on March 31, 2013 of ¥1,044 thousand (\$11 thousand) per share or a total of ¥578,376 thousand (\$6,153 thousand) at the Company's ordinary general meeting of shareholders.

14. RECONCILIATION TO U.S. GAAP

The consolidated financial statements of the Group are prepared in accordance with Japanese GAAP, which varies in certain significant respects from U.S. GAAP. The following are reconciliations of equity and net income of the Company applying U.S. GAAP instead of Japanese GAAP.

The Group's equity as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 is reconciled as follows:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2013
Equity in accordance with Japanese GAAP	¥ 12,652,584	¥ 15,157,036	\$ 134,602

Differences arising from different accounting for:

a. Goodwill, intangible assets and other business combination related adjustments	6,998,290	7,288,273	74,450
b. Accrued vacation	(2,399,827)	(2,311,800)	(25,530)
c. Employees' retirement benefits	(1,408,285)	(2,223,798)	(14,982)
d. Capital leases	(12,745)	(16,452)	(136)
e. Tax effect of adjustments	(50,481)	60,040	(537)

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Total	3,126,952	2,796,263	33,265
Equity in accordance with U.S. GAAP	¥ 15,779,536	¥ 17,953,299	\$ 167,867

F-116

Table of Contents

The Group's net income for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is reconciled as follows:

	2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013
Net income in accordance with Japanese GAAP	¥ 2,893,556	¥ 2,926,641	¥ 3,456,308	\$ 30,783
Differences arising from different accounting for:				
a. Goodwill, intangible assets and other business combination related adjustments	(289,983)	(95,983)	(88,190)	(3,086)
b. Accrued vacation	(88,027)	(45,588)	(13,270)	(936)
c. Employees' retirement benefits	99,262	36,265	(19,564)	1,056
d. Capital leases	3,707	(2,944)	7,716	39
e. Tax effect of adjustments	143,326	226,435	173,776	1,525
Total	(131,715)	118,185	60,468	(1,402)
Net income in accordance with U.S. GAAP	¥ 2,761,841	¥ 3,044,826	¥ 3,516,776	\$ 29,381

ASC 220, Comprehensive Income, establishes rules for the reporting of comprehensive income and its components. The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive income under U.S. GAAP for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Thousands of Yen 2013			Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013		
	Gain (loss) before income tax expense	Income tax (expense) benefit	Gain (loss) after income tax expense	Gain (loss) before income tax expense	Income tax (expense) benefit	Gain (loss) after income tax expense
Net income			¥ 2,761,841			\$ 29,381
Other comprehensive income:						
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	¥ 102,240	¥ (33,376)	68,864	\$ 1,087	\$ (354)	733
Total	102,240	(33,376)	68,864	1,087	(354)	733
Gain (loss) associated with employees' retirement benefits	644,294	(228,389)	415,905	6,854	(2,429)	4,425
Reclassification adjustments for loss included in net income	71,957	(25,458)	46,499	766	(272)	494
Total	716,251	(253,847)	462,404	7,620	(2,701)	4,919
Other comprehensive income	818,491	(287,223)	531,268	8,707	(3,055)	5,652
Comprehensive income			¥ 3,293,109			\$ 35,033

Table of Contents

	Thousands of Yen		
	2012		
	Gain (loss) before income tax expense	Income tax (expense) benefit	Gain (loss) after income tax expense
Net income			¥ 3,044,826
Other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	¥ (10,237)	¥ 8,781	(1,456)
Reclassification adjustments for loss included in net income	9,696	(3,434)	6,262
Total	(541)	5,347	4,806
Gain (loss) associated with employees retirement benefits	(423,086)	167,941	(255,145)
Reclassification adjustments for loss included in net income	49,835	(17,073)	32,762
Total	(373,251)	150,868	(222,383)
Other comprehensive income	(373,792)	156,215	(217,577)
Comprehensive income			¥ 2,827,249

	Thousands of Yen		
	2011		
	Gain (loss) before income tax expense	Income tax (expense) benefit	Gain (loss) after income tax expense
Net income			¥ 3,516,776
Other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	¥ (69,214)	¥ 28,523	(40,691)
Total	(69,214)	28,523	(40,691)
Gain (loss) associated with employees retirement benefits	(443,337)	178,824	(264,513)
Reclassification adjustments for loss included in net income	16,844	(6,290)	10,554
Total	(426,493)	172,534	(253,959)
Other comprehensive income	(495,707)	201,057	(294,650)
Comprehensive income			¥ 3,222,126

The analysis of changes in equity under U.S. GAAP is as follows:

Thousands of Yen

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

	2013	2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013
Equity at beginning of year	¥ 17,953,299	¥ 16,837,910	¥ 15,379,047	\$ 190,993
Total comprehensive income (net of tax)	3,293,109	2,827,249	3,222,126	35,033
Cash dividends	(5,466,872)	(1,711,860)	(1,710,752)	(58,159)
Noncontrolling interests			(52,511)	
Equity at end of year	¥ 15,779,536	¥ 17,953,299	¥ 16,837,910	\$ 167,867

F-118

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the significant adjustments made to equity and net income to reconcile the Japanese GAAP results with U.S. GAAP. The paragraphs below refer to the corresponding items set forth above.

a. Business Combinations

Under Japanese GAAP, the Business Accounting Council issued a Statement of Opinion, *Accounting for Business Combinations* in October 2003 which was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2006. Before this statement, there was no specific accounting standard addressing accounting for business combinations; therefore, companies followed common business practices dictated by the Commercial Code of Japan (the *Code*), currently code of the Companies Act.

Under the purchase method generally applied by Japanese companies, goodwill is measured as the excess of purchase price over the carrying values of the individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date. Subsequently, the goodwill is amortized on a straight-line basis over a number of years that may vary, depending on the nature of the acquired business.

Under U.S. GAAP, all business combinations (excluding combinations of entities under common control) are accounted for using the acquisition method as defined in ASC 805, *Business Combinations*. ASC 805 requires that the net assets, tangible and identifiable intangible assets less liabilities of the acquired company be recorded at fair value, with the excess of the cost of an acquired company over the fair value of the acquired net assets recorded as goodwill. Also, after the adoption of ASC 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, goodwill and recognized indefinite-lived intangible assets in a business combination are not amortized, but are tested for impairment at least annually, as well as on an interim basis if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets might be impaired. Separate intangible assets that are not deemed to have an indefinite life are amortized over their expected economic life and also tested for impairment.

In 2000, the Company purchased 100% of the outstanding common stock of KK Kizembo (*Kizembo*). In December 2005, the Company purchased 100% of the common stock of Yamato Corporation (*Yamato*). In July 2002, the Company purchased 100% of the common stock of Atlas Co. (*Atlas*) which owned 52.8% of the common stock of Mefos Co. (*Mefos*); subsequently, Atlas acquired the remaining 47.2% of common stock of Mefos in a series of step acquisitions that concluded in December 2005.

In March 2006, the Company and Atlas merged, with the Company as the surviving entity. As a result of the merger, the Company directly held 100% of the common stock of Mefos. Under Japanese GAAP, and in line with the *Code*, the Company consolidated the net carrying amount of the assets and liabilities of Mefos and wrote off the unamortized amount of goodwill related to the previous acquisition of Atlas and its subsidiary, Mefos.

Under U.S. GAAP, the March 2006 merger between the Company and Atlas was accounted for as a transfer of net assets or equity interests between entities under common control. Such transfer is accounted for by the receiving entity (the Company) at the carrying amounts, including goodwill in the accounts of the transferring entity (Atlas) at the date of the transfer. Consequently, the one-time accelerated goodwill amortization charge is reversed for U.S. GAAP reporting purposes.

On November 1, 2007, the Company completed its merger with Yamato.

On April 1, 2008, the Company completed its merger with its wholly owned subsidiaries, Kizembo and AIM Dining Support Co., Ltd. All assets and liabilities of these entities were transferred to the Company at the appropriate carrying amount and there is no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents**Goodwill:**

The following table presents the carrying amount of goodwill under Japanese GAAP and U.S. GAAP as of March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Acquired Company	Thousands of Yen 2013					Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013					
	Japanese GAAP		U.S. GAAP			Japanese GAAP		U.S. GAAP			
	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Carrying Amount, Net of Impairment	Goodwill Related Reconciliation Item	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Carrying Amount, Net of Impairment	Goodwill Related Reconciliation Item	
Kizembo	¥ 482,935	¥ (482,935)	¥	¥ 332,018	¥ 332,018	\$ 5,138	\$ (5,138)	\$	\$ 3,532	\$ 3,532	
Mefos	6,175,740	(5,519,219)	¥	656,521	1,875,532	1,219,011	65,699	(58,715)	\$ 6,984	19,952	12,968
Yamato	2,982,465	(2,386,753)		595,712	1,918,419	1,322,707	31,728	(25,391)	6,337	20,408	14,071
Total	¥ 9,641,140	¥ (8,388,907)	¥	1,252,233	¥ 4,125,969	¥ 2,873,736	\$ 102,565	\$ (89,244)	\$ 13,321	\$ 43,892	\$ 30,571

Acquired Company	Thousands of Yen 2012				
	Japanese GAAP		U.S. GAAP		
	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Carrying Amount, Net of Impairment	Goodwill Related Reconciliation Item
Kizembo	¥ 482,935	¥ (482,935)	¥	¥ 332,018	¥ 332,018
Mefos	6,175,740	(5,399,851)	¥	775,889	1,875,532
Yamato	2,982,465	(2,188,183)		794,282	2,112,419
Total	¥ 9,641,140	¥ (8,070,969)	¥	1,570,171	¥ 4,319,969

For U.S. GAAP reporting purposes, the Company recognized goodwill impairment loss of ¥194,000 thousand (\$2,063 thousand) for the year ended March 31, 2013 in connection with goodwill of Yamato, which represents the office coffee and tea services (the OCS) business reporting unit. Goodwill was impaired primarily due to reduced profitability of the OCS business reporting unit resulting from macro and micro economic factors surrounding the OCS business reporting unit.

The amount of the impairment was determined based on the estimated fair value of the OCS business reporting unit using a discounted cash flow model as compared to the carrying amount of the OCS business reporting unit, including goodwill.

For the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the net income reconciliation item related to goodwill represents the reversal of the goodwill amortization charge amounting to ¥317,938 thousand (\$3,382 thousand), ¥317,938 thousand and ¥317,938 thousand, respectively, recorded under Japanese GAAP and the recognition of goodwill impairment loss under U.S. GAAP as referred above.

Under Japanese GAAP, the estimated aggregate amortization expense for goodwill for the next five years is as follows:

Year Ending March 31	Thousands of Yen	Thousands of U.S. Dollars
2014	¥ 317,938	\$ 3,382
2015	317,938	3,382
2016	317,938	3,382
2017	119,368	1,270
2018	119,368	1,270

Table of Contents**Adjustment to intangible assets:**

Under Japanese GAAP, the Company did not recognize identifiable intangible assets, other than goodwill, as part of purchase price allocation in a business combination.

In connection with the above-mentioned acquisitions, under U.S. GAAP, the Company recognized identifiable intangible assets and is amortizing those over the expected economic life of each intangible asset. The table below presents the gross carrying amount, accumulated amortization and net carrying amount, in total and by major class of intangible assets acquired in the above-mentioned business combinations as of March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Thousands of Yen					Thousands of U.S. Dollars			
	2013		2012		2013				
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Customer contracts	¥ 7,366,836	¥ (3,579,582)	¥ 3,787,254	¥ 7,366,836	¥ (3,165,661)	¥ 4,201,175	\$ 78,371	\$ (38,081)	\$ 40,290
Trademarks	361,723		361,723	361,723		361,723	3,848		3,848
Total	¥ 7,728,559	¥ (3,579,582)	¥ 4,148,977	¥ 7,728,559	¥ (3,165,661)	¥ 4,562,898	\$ 82,219	\$ (38,081)	\$ 44,138

For the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the net income reconciliation item related to intangible assets represents the intangible assets amortization charge recognized under U.S. GAAP amounting to ¥413,921 thousand (\$4,403 thousand), ¥413,921 thousand and ¥413,921 thousand, respectively.

Customer contracts are being amortized on a straight-line basis over periods of 14 to 20 years. Trademarks are not amortized but are tested for impairment at least annually, as well as on an interim basis if events or changes in the circumstances indicate that the trademarks might be impaired.

Under U.S. GAAP, the estimated aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets acquired for the next five years is as follows:

Year Ending March 31	Thousands of Yen	Thousands of U.S. Dollars
2014	¥ 413,921	\$ 4,403
2015	413,921	4,403
2016	413,921	4,403
2017	413,921	4,403
2018	413,921	4,403

Other adjustments in connection with business combinations:

The following table represents a summary of other adjustments in connection with the Yamato business combination as described above as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 and for the years ended March 31, 2011:

	Thousands of Yen			Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2011	2013
	As of March 31, 2013	As of March 31, 2012	Year Ended March 31, 2011	As of March 31, 2013
Amortization of deferred revenue recognized under U.S. GAAP which was not recognized under Japanese GAAP (*)				¥ 7,793

Edgar Filing: Aramark - Form 424B4

Land	¥ (24,423)	¥ (24,423)		\$ (259)
Total	¥ (24,423)	¥ (24,423)	¥ 7,793	\$ (259)

F-121

Table of Contents

(*) Under Japanese GAAP, Yamato, the acquired company, had arrangements that required Yamato's customers to pay a certain amount of revenue at the start of the contract prior to the acquisition date. These up-front payments were characterized as non-refundable and were related to services to be provided in future years. Yamato recognized these payments as revenue when cash is received. Under U.S. GAAP, the up-front payments are deferred over the longer of the contractual life of an arrangement or the customer relationship life. In addition, if the balance sheet of an acquired entity immediately before the acquisition date includes deferred revenue, the acquiring entity is required to recognize a liability if such deferred revenue represents a legal obligation assumed by the acquiring entity. The amount assigned to that liability is based on its estimated fair value at the acquisition date.

Business combinations adjustments summary:

The following table summarizes the U.S. GAAP adjustments related to the above-mentioned business combinations:

	Thousands of Yen				Thousands of U.S. Dollars		
	2013		2012		2011		2013
	As of March 31, 2013	Year Ended March 31, 2013	As of March 31, 2012	Year Ended March 31, 2012	Year Ended March 31, 2011	As of March 31, 2013	Year Ended March 31, 2013
Goodwill	¥ 2,873,736	¥ 123,938	¥ 2,749,798	¥ 317,938	¥ 317,938	\$ 30,571	\$ 1,317
Intangible assets	4,148,977	(413,921)	4,562,898	(413,921)	(413,921)	44,138	(4,403)
Land and deferred revenue	(24,423)		(24,423)		7,793	(259)	
Total	¥ 6,998,290	¥ (289,983)	¥ 7,288,273	¥ (95,983)	¥ (88,190)	\$ 74,450	\$ (3,086)

b. Accrued Vacation

Japanese GAAP does not specifically require a company to accrue liabilities for future compensated absences (short-term employee benefits). Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with ASC 710, Compensation - General, absences such as vacations are accrued when earned by employees.

c. Employees Retirement Benefits

Japanese GAAP and U.S. GAAP follow similar principles in accounting for retirement benefit obligations; however, there are currently several differences in the detailed application of these principles (See Note g for Recent Accounting Pronouncements Adopted and to Be Adopted in Future Periods for Retirement Benefits in Japanese GAAP).

The following represent the most relevant differences between Japanese GAAP and U.S. GAAP in connection with assumptions used to calculate the pension liability:

- (1) Unlike U.S. GAAP, there is no corridor approach and actuarial gain or loss is always amortized under Japanese GAAP. Instead, Japanese GAAP allows the entity to use the same assumed discount rate as the prior year if it fluctuates within the permitted range as described in (3) below.
- (2) Under Japanese GAAP, the prior service credits of ¥37,370 thousand which were recognized as a result of amendments of the pension plans were included in net periodic pension credit entirely in the year ended March 31, 2011. Under U.S. GAAP, the prior service credit was recognized as a charge to other comprehensive income at the date of amendment and amortized as a component of net periodic pension cost over the average remaining service period. Unamortized prior service credits as of March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 were ¥210,783 thousand (\$2,242 thousand) and ¥237,224 thousand, respectively, and were included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

- (3) Under Japanese GAAP, it is acceptable to select the same discount rate as the prior year as long as there would be only a minor difference between the projected benefit obligations estimated using the rate as of the balance sheet date and the one estimated using the prior year's rate. However, there is no such exception under U.S. GAAP.
- (4) Under Japanese GAAP, it is not required to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in accumulated other comprehensive income in the balance sheet. Under U.S. GAAP, such amounts are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, in the balance sheet, and also the actuarial gains or losses and prior service costs that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost are recognized as a component of other comprehensive income.

The liability for employees' retirement benefits at March 31, 2013 and 2012 under U.S. GAAP consisted of the following:

	Thousands of Yen		Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2013
Projected benefit obligation	¥ (9,575,689)	¥ (9,400,986)	\$ (101,869)
Fair value of plan assets	7,198,328	6,232,419	76,578
Net liability under U.S. GAAP	(2,377,361)	(3,168,567)	(25,291)
Net liability under Japanese GAAP:			
Employees' retirement benefits	(1,109,515)	(1,218,329)	(11,803)
Prepaid pension costs	140,439	273,560	1,494
Total	(969,076)	(944,769)	(10,309)
Equity reconciliation item	¥ (1,408,285)	¥ (2,223,798)	\$ (14,982)

Under U.S. GAAP, the components of net periodic benefit costs for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Thousands of Yen			Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2011	2013
Service cost	¥ 676,744	¥ 656,630	¥ 648,075	\$ 7,199
Interest cost	101,071	125,660	119,879	1,075
Amortization of prior service credit	(26,442)	(26,899)	(26,550)	(281)
Expected return on plan assets	(128,097)	(118,041)	(117,162)	(1,363)
Recognized actuarial loss	98,399	76,734	43,394	1,047
Net periodic benefit costs under U.S. GAAP	721,675	714,084	667,636	7,677
Net periodic benefit costs under Japanese GAAP	820,937	750,349	648,072	8,733
Net income reconciliation item	¥ (99,262)	¥ (36,265)	¥ 19,564	\$ (1,056)

Table of Contents

The U.S. GAAP assumptions used for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are set forth below:

	2013	2012	2011
Discount rate	1.10%	1.10%	1.50%
Expected rate of return on plan assets	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Amortization period of prior service credit relating to the plan amendment	From 8 to 12 years	From 8 to 12 years	From 8 to 12 years
Recognition period of actuarial gain/loss	From 8 to 12 years	From 5 to 12 years	From 5 to 12 years

d. Capital Leases

Previously, Japanese GAAP permitted finance leases that did not transfer ownership of the leased property to a lessee to be accounted for as operating lease transactions if certain "as if capitalized" information was disclosed in the notes to the lessee's financial statements. However, as explained in Note 2.m, the new accounting standard for lease required the Company to capitalize finance leases on its consolidated balance sheet.

Finance leases that do not transfer ownership and whose commencement day falls prior to the first year of implementation of this accounting standard may continue to be accounted for as an operating lease with required pro forma disclosure in the notes in accordance with an exception rule in the new accounting standard. Refer to Notes 2.m and 8.

U.S. GAAP requires the application of ASC 840, "Leases," in order to determine whether a lease should be classified as an operating or capital lease. The Group analyzed its leases in accordance with the criteria specified in ASC 840 and determined that certain of its leases should be capitalized.

The following table presents a summary of the differences between Japanese GAAP and U.S. GAAP for lease-related assets and liabilities as of March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and income statement related information for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013
Machinery and equipment	¥ 69,451	¥ 77,032	¥ 91,666	\$ 739
Furniture and fixtures	533,333	886,733	1,211,962	5,674
Other assets	18,713	50,694	95,727	198
Accumulated depreciation	(285,510)	(608,492)	(1,006,895)	(3,037)
Lease obligation	(348,732)	(422,504)	(406,439)	(3,710)
Other long-term liabilities		85	471	
Net impact on equity	¥ (12,745)	¥ (16,452)	¥ (13,508)	\$ (136)
Reversal of operating lease expense	¥ 170,842	¥ 259,943	¥ 390,044	\$ 1,817
Lease asset depreciation under U.S. GAAP	(158,839)	(253,049)	(367,066)	(1,690)
Lease related interest expense under U.S. GAAP	(8,296)	(9,838)	(15,262)	(88)
Lease related impact on net income before income tax	¥ 3,707	¥ (2,944)	¥ 7,716	\$ 39

Table of Contents**e. Tax Effect of Adjustments**

Accounting for income taxes in accordance with Japanese GAAP is substantially similar to accounting for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes. Other than the deferred tax impact related to the U.S. GAAP reconciliation items, there is no material difference in connection with accounting for income taxes resulting from the application of U.S. GAAP.

The following table illustrates the impact on the Japanese GAAP deferred tax assets and liabilities in the Group's consolidated balance sheets as a result of the U.S. GAAP adjustments as of March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Thousands of Yen 2013 ASC 740			Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013 ASC 740		
	Japanese GAAP Balances	Applied to U.S. GAAP Adjustments	U.S. GAAP Balances	Japanese GAAP Balances	Applied to U.S. GAAP Adjustments	U.S. GAAP Balances
Balance sheet:						
Current deferred tax assets	¥ 1,814,557	¥ 906,836	¥ 2,721,393	\$ 19,304	\$ 9,647	\$ 28,951
Non-current deferred tax assets	479,500	13,110	492,610	5,101	140	5,241
Non-current deferred tax liabilities		(970,427)	(970,427)		(10,324)	(10,324)
Net deferred tax assets	¥ 2,294,057	¥ (50,481)	¥ 2,243,576	\$ 24,405	\$ (537)	\$ 23,868

	Thousands of Yen 2012 ASC 740		
	Japanese GAAP Balances	Applied to U.S. GAAP Adjustments	U.S. GAAP Balances
Balance sheet:			
Current deferred tax assets	¥ 1,768,432	¥ 874,041	¥ 2,642,473
Non-current deferred tax assets	522,264	49,377	571,641
Non-current deferred tax liabilities		(863,378)	(863,378)
Net deferred tax assets	¥ 2,290,696	¥ 60,040	¥ 2,350,736

Tax effects arising from U.S. GAAP adjustments for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were charged or credited to the following items:

	Thousands of Yen			Thousands of U.S. Dollars
	2013	2012	2011	2013
Income taxes	¥ 143,326	¥ 226,435	¥ 173,776	\$ 1,525
Other comprehensive income: employees' retirement benefits	(253,847)	150,868	172,534	(2,701)
Total tax effects	¥ (110,521)	¥ 377,303	¥ 346,310	\$ (1,176)

U.S. GAAP adjustments related to the reversal of goodwill amortization charges recorded under Japanese GAAP have no tax effects since they are not deductible for tax purposes under Japanese Tax Laws and Regulations.

Table of Contents***f. Cash and Cash Equivalents***

The adjustment in the statements of cash flows to U.S. GAAP from Japanese GAAP mainly consisted of certain lease transactions which are only accounted for as capital leases under U.S. GAAP. Lease payments related to such are presented in financing activities under U.S. GAAP rather than operating activities under Japanese GAAP.

The following table represents the Group's condensed consolidated information related to the statements of cash flows under U.S. GAAP for the years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	Thousands of Yen 2012	2011	Thousands of U.S. Dollars 2013
Net cash provided by operating activities	¥ 3,896,823	¥ 6,087,013	¥ 4,108,530	\$ 41,456
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,916,363	(6,427,498)	(379,726)	20,387
Net cash used in financing activities	(5,929,428)	(4,155,591)	(184,352)	(63,079)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(116,242)	(4,496,076)	3,544,452	(1,236)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	7,825,451	12,321,527	8,777,075	83,249
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	¥ 7,709,209	¥ 7,825,451	¥ 12,321,527	\$ 82,013

g. Recent Accounting Pronouncements Adopted and to Be Adopted in Future Periods***U.S. GAAP***

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update regarding the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements, Accounting Standard Update No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605). The guidance addresses the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. The amendments in this guidance were effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The effect of the adoption of this guidance on the Company's financial position and results of operations was immaterial.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update which requires an additional disclosure and clarifies existing disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements, Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The guidance requires additional disclosure of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and activity in Level 3 fair value measurement. This guidance also clarifies existing disclosure requirements regarding the level of disaggregation and valuation inputs and techniques. This guidance was effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosure of the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis, which was effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The guidance amends the terms used in the requirements for fair value measurements and disclosures under ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement. It also amends certain principles and requirements

Table of Contents

of fair value measurements and disclosures, and expands the disclosure requirements. This guidance was effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. The guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in equity and requires that all non-owner changes in equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance was effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this provision had no impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08, *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Goodwill for Impairments*. The guidance allows companies an option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining if it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Under the guidance, a reporting entity is no longer required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on the qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The guidance was effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The effect of the adoption of this guidance on the Company's financial position and results of operations was immaterial.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-11 *Balance sheet (Topic 210)*. The guidance requires a reporting entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable financial statement users to understand the effect of such arrangements on the statement of financial position as well as to improve comparability of balance sheets prepared under U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The guidance is required to be applied retrospectively and is effective for annual periods for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect its adoption will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In July 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02, *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) : Testing Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*. The guidance allows entities an option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that indefinite lived intangible assets are impaired as a basis for determining if it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. Under the new guidance, entities are no longer required to calculate the fair value of the assets unless the entities determine, based on the qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that indefinite lived intangible assets is impaired. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The Company does not expect its adoption will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The guidance requires entities to report the significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income if the amount is required to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required that provide additional detail about those amounts.

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2013-04, *Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)*. This guidance requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, as the

Table of Contents

sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors, plus any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The Company does not expect its adoption will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

Japanese GAAP

In December 2009, the ASBJ issued Accounting Standard ASBJ Statement No. 24, Accounting Standard for Accounting Changes and Error Corrections and ASBJ Implementation Guidance No. 24, Guidance on Accounting Standard for Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. This new standard and implementation guidance clarify the treatment for retrospective applications to past financial statements when changes in accounting policies, changes in presentations and corrections of prior period errors are made, as well as the treatment for changes in accounting estimates, as required in the global accounting standards. This standard and implementation guidance apply to accounting changes and corrections of prior period errors which are made after the beginning of the fiscal year beginning on or after April 1, 2011. The effect of adoption of this provision on the Company's financial position and results of operations was immaterial.

In March 2011, the ASBJ issued Accounting Standard ASBJ Statement No. 22, Revised Accounting Standard for Consolidated Financial Statements, ASBJ Guidance No. 15, Revised Guidance on Disclosures about Certain Special Purpose Entities, ASBJ Guidance No. 22, Revised Guidance on Determining a Subsidiary and an Affiliate and PITF No. 20, Revised Practical Solution on Application of the Control Criteria and Influence Criteria to Investment Associations. These revisions are aimed to provide a short-term improvement to the existing standards to address current treatment for certain special purpose entities. Those pronouncements will be effective for the annual periods beginning on or after April 1, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect adoption of those pronouncements will have a significant impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In May 2012, the ASBJ issued Accounting Standard ASBJ Statement No. 26, Accounting Standard for Retirement Benefits and ASBJ Guidance No. 25, Guidance on Accounting Standard for Retirement Benefits. The standard and the guidance replace previous standards and guidance for retirement benefits and include (a) recognition of actuarial gains and losses and past service costs to be recognized in profit and loss as other comprehensive income after adjusting for tax effects and the deficit or surplus would be recognized as a liability or asset, (b) the choice of the method of attributing expected benefit to periods between straight-line basis and benefit formula basis and (c) enhancement of disclosures. The standard and guidance will be effective for the end of annual periods beginning on or after April 1, 2013. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact from adopting the standard and guidance on its financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

20,000,000 Shares

Common Stock

Prospectus

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

J.P. Morgan

Credit Suisse

Morgan Stanley

Barclays

BofA Merrill Lynch

RBC Capital Markets

Wells Fargo Securities

Baird

PNC Capital Markets LLC

Rabo Securities

Ramirez & Co., Inc.

Santander

SMBC Nikko

The Williams Capital Group, L.P.

May 29, 2014