

MORGAN STANLEY
 Form 10-K
 March 02, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the year ended December 31, 2014

Commission File Number 1-11758

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	1585 Broadway	36-3145972	(212) 761-4000
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	New York, NY 10036	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)	(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
	(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)		
			Name of exchange on
Title of each class			which registered
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:			
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value			New York Stock Exchange
Depository Shares, each representing 1/1,000th interest in a share of Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series A, \$0.01 par value			New York Stock Exchange
Depository Shares, each representing 1/1,000th interest in a share of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series E, \$0.01 par value			New York Stock Exchange
Depository Shares, each representing 1/1,000th interest in a share of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series F, \$0.01 par value			New York Stock Exchange
Depository Shares, each representing 1/1,000th interest in a share of 6.625% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series G, \$0.01 par value			New York Stock Exchange
Depository Shares, each representing 1/1,000th interest in a share of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series I, \$0.01 par value			New York Stock Exchange
6 1/4% Capital Securities of Morgan Stanley Capital Trust III (and Registrant's guaranty with respect thereto)			New York Stock Exchange
6 1/4% Capital Securities of Morgan Stanley Capital Trust IV (and Registrant's guaranty with respect thereto)			New York Stock Exchange
5 3/4% Capital Securities of Morgan Stanley Capital Trust V (and Registrant's guaranty with respect thereto)			New York Stock Exchange
6.60% Capital Securities of Morgan Stanley Capital Trust VI (and Registrant's guaranty with respect thereto)			New York Stock Exchange
6.60% Capital Securities of Morgan Stanley Capital Trust VII (and Registrant's guaranty with respect thereto)			New York Stock Exchange
6.45% Capital Securities of Morgan Stanley Capital Trust VIII (and Registrant's guaranty with respect thereto)			New York Stock Exchange
Market Vectors ETNs due March 31, 2020 (2 issuances); Market Vectors ETNs due April 30, 2020 (2 issuances)			NYSE Arca, Inc.
Morgan Stanley Cushing® MLP High Income Index ETNs due March 21, 2031			NYSE Arca, Inc.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark if Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. YES " NO x

Indicate by check mark whether Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer x

Accelerated Filer "

Non-Accelerated Filer "

Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). YES " NO x

As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate market value of the common stock of Registrant held by non-affiliates of Registrant was approximately \$60,823,096,775. This calculation does not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purposes.

As of January 31, 2015, there were 1,976,612,907 shares of Registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of Registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2015 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

for the year ended December 31, 2014

Table of Contents		Page
<u>Part I</u>		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
	<u>Overview</u>	1
	<u>Available Information</u>	1
	<u>Business Segments</u>	2
	<u>Institutional Securities</u>	2
	<u>Wealth Management</u>	4
	<u>Investment Management</u>	5
	<u>Competition</u>	6
	<u>Supervision and Regulation</u>	7
	<u>Executive Officers of Morgan Stanley</u>	22
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	24
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	35
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	36
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	37
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	49
<u>Part II</u>		
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	50
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	53
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	55
	<u>Introduction</u>	55
	<u>Executive Summary</u>	57
	<u>Business Segments</u>	64
	<u>Accounting Developments Updates</u>	85
	<u>Other Matters</u>	87
	<u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>	92
	<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	96
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	123
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	151
	<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	151
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition</u>	152
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	153
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	154
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	155

Table of Contents

Table of Contents		Page
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Total Equity</u>	156
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	157
	<u>Financial Data Supplement (Unaudited)</u>	301
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	309
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	309
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	311
<u>Part III</u>		
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	312
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	312
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	313
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	313
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	313
<u>Part IV</u>		
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	314
<u>Signatures</u>		S-1
<u>Exhibit Index</u>		E-1

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

We have included in or incorporated by reference into this report, and from time to time may make in our public filings, press releases or other public statements, certain statements, including (without limitation) those under **Legal Proceedings** in Part I, Item 3, **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations** in Part II, Item 7 and **Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk** in Part II, Item 7A, that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In addition, our management may make forward-looking statements to analysts, investors, representatives of the media and others. These forward-looking statements are not historical facts and represent only our beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and beyond our control.

The nature of our business makes predicting the future trends of our revenues, expenses and net income difficult. The risks and uncertainties involved in our businesses could affect the matters referred to in such statements, and it is possible that our actual results may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results indicated in these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ from those in the forward-looking statements include (without limitation):

the effect of economic and political conditions and geopolitical events;

the effect of market conditions, particularly in the global equity, fixed income, credit and commodities markets, including corporate and mortgage (commercial and residential) lending and commercial real estate and energy markets;

the impact of current, pending and future legislation (including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act)), regulation (including capital, leverage and liquidity requirements), policies (including fiscal and monetary) and legal and regulatory actions in the United States of America (U.S.) and worldwide;

the level and volatility of equity, fixed income and commodity prices (including oil prices), interest rates, currency values and other market indices;

the availability and cost of both credit and capital as well as the credit ratings assigned to our unsecured short-term and long-term debt;

investor, consumer and business sentiment and confidence in the financial markets;

the performance of our acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, strategic alliances or other strategic arrangements;

our reputation and the general perception of the financial services industry;

inflation, natural disasters, pandemics and acts of war or terrorism;

the actions and initiatives of current and potential competitors as well as governments, regulators and self-regulatory organizations;

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

the effectiveness of our risk management policies;

technological changes and risks and cybersecurity risks (including cyber attacks and business continuity risks); and

other risks and uncertainties detailed under **Business Competition** and **Business Supervision and Regulation** in Part I, Item 1, **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A and elsewhere throughout this report.

Accordingly, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. We undertake no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that arise after the dates they are made, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise except as required by applicable law. You should, however, consult further disclosures we may make in future filings of our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments thereto or in future press releases or other public statements.

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1. Business.

Overview.

Morgan Stanley is a global financial services firm that, through its subsidiaries and affiliates, provides a wide variety of products and services to a large and diversified group of clients and customers, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals. Morgan Stanley was originally incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in 1981, and its predecessor companies date back to 1924. The Company is a financial holding company regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve) under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act). The Company conducts its business from its headquarters in and around New York City, its regional offices and branches throughout the U.S. and its principal offices in London, Tokyo, Hong Kong and other world financial centers. At December 31, 2014, the Company had 55,802 employees worldwide. Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms Morgan Stanley, the Company, we, us and our mean Morgan Stanley together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

Financial information concerning the Company, its business segments and geographic regions for each of the 12 months ended December 31, 2014 (2014), December 31, 2013 (2013) and December 31, 2012 (2012) is included in the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in Part II, Item 8.

Available Information.

The Company files annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). You may read and copy any document the Company files with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the public reference room. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy and information statements and other information that issuers (including the Company) file electronically with the SEC. The Company's electronic SEC filings are available to the public at the SEC's internet site, www.sec.gov.

The Company's internet site is www.morganstanley.com. You can access the Company's Investor Relations webpage at www.morganstanley.com/about-us-ir. The Company makes available free of charge, on or through its Investor Relations webpage, its proxy statements, Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The Company also makes available, through its Investor Relations webpage, via a link to the SEC's internet site, statements of beneficial ownership of the Company's equity securities filed by its directors, officers, 10% or greater shareholders and others under Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

You can access information about the Company's corporate governance at www.morganstanley.com/about-us-governance. The Company's Corporate Governance webpage includes the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation; Amended and Restated Bylaws; charters for its Audit Committee, Compensation, Management Development and Succession Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee, Operations and Technology Committee, and Risk Committee; Corporate Governance Policies; Policy Regarding Communication

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

with the Company's Board of Directors; Policy Regarding Director Candidates Recommended by Shareholders; Policy Regarding Corporate Political Activities; Policy Regarding Shareholder Rights Plan; Code of Ethics and Business Conduct; Code of Conduct; and Integrity Hotline information.

Morgan Stanley's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct applies to all directors, officers and employees, including its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Deputy Chief Financial Officer. The Company

Table of Contents

will post any amendments to the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and any waivers that are required to be disclosed by the rules of either the SEC or the New York Stock Exchange LLC (NYSE) on its internet site. You can request a copy of these documents, excluding exhibits, at no cost, by contacting Investor Relations, 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036 (212-761-4000). The information on the Company's internet site is not incorporated by reference into this report.

Business Segments.

The Company is a global financial services firm that maintains significant market positions in each of its business segments Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management.

Institutional Securities.

The Company's Institutional Securities business segment provides financial advisory and capital-raising services to a diverse group of corporate and other institutional clients globally, primarily through wholly owned subsidiaries that include Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC (MS&Co.) and Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc (MSIP), and certain joint venture entities that include Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd. (MSMS) and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. (MUMSS). The Company, primarily through these entities, also conducts sales and trading activities worldwide, as principal and agent, and provides related financing services on behalf of institutional investors.

Investment Banking and Corporate Lending Activities.

Capital Raising. The Company manages and participates in public offerings and private placements of debt, equity and other securities worldwide. The Company is a leading underwriter of common stock, preferred stock and other equity-related securities, including convertible securities and American Depositary Receipts (ADRs). The Company is also a leading underwriter of fixed income securities, including investment grade debt, non-investment grade instruments, mortgage-related and other asset-backed securities, tax-exempt securities and commercial paper and other short-term securities.

Financial Advisory Services. The Company provides corporate and other institutional clients globally with advisory services on key strategic matters, such as mergers and acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, corporate restructurings, recapitalizations, spin-offs, exchange offers and leveraged buyouts and takeover defenses as well as shareholder relations. The Company also provides advice and services concerning rights offerings, dividend policy, valuations, foreign exchange exposure, financial risk management strategies and financial planning. In addition, the Company furnishes advice and services regarding project financings and provides advisory services in connection with the purchase, sale, leasing and financing of real estate.

Corporate Lending. The Company provides loans or lending commitments, including bridge financing, to select corporate clients through its subsidiaries, including Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. (MSBNA). These loans and lending commitments have varying terms; may be senior or subordinated; may be secured or unsecured; are generally contingent upon representations, warranties and contractual conditions applicable to the borrower; and may be syndicated, traded or hedged by the Company. The borrowers may be rated investment grade or non-investment grade.

Sales and Trading Activities.

The Company conducts sales, trading, financing and market-making activities on securities, swaps and futures, both on exchanges and in over-the-counter (OTC) markets around the world. The Company s Institutional Securities sales and trading activities comprise Institutional Equity; Fixed Income and Commodities; Research; and Investments.

Table of Contents

Institutional Equity. The Company acts as agent and principal (including as a market-maker) in executing transactions globally in cash equity and equity-related products, including common stock, ADRs, global depositary receipts and exchange-traded funds.

The Company acts as agent and principal (including as a market-maker) in executing transactions globally in equity derivatives and equity-linked or related products, including options, equity swaps, warrants, structured notes and futures on individual securities, indices and baskets of securities and other equity-related products. The Company offers prime brokerage services to clients, including consolidated clearance, settlement, custody, financing and portfolio reporting. In addition, the Company provides wealth management services to ultra-high net worth and high net worth clients in select regions outside the U.S.

Fixed Income and Commodities. The Company trades, invests and makes markets in fixed income securities and related products globally, including, among other products, investment and non-investment grade corporate debt; distressed debt; bank loans; U.S. and other sovereign securities; emerging market bonds and loans; convertible bonds; collateralized debt obligations; credit, currency, interest rate and other fixed income-linked notes; securities issued by structured investment vehicles; mortgage-related and other asset-backed securities and real estate-loan products; municipal securities; preferred stock and commercial paper; and money-market and other short-term securities. The Company is a primary dealer of U.S. federal government securities and a member of the selling groups that distribute various U.S. agency and other debt securities. The Company is also a primary dealer or market-maker of government securities in numerous European, Asian and emerging market countries, as well as Canada.

The Company trades, invests and makes markets globally in listed swaps and futures and OTC cleared and uncleared swaps, forwards, options and other derivatives referencing, among other things, interest rates, currencies, investment grade and non-investment grade corporate credits, loans, bonds, U.S. and other sovereign securities, emerging market bonds and loans, credit indexes, asset-backed security indexes, property indexes, mortgage-related and other asset-backed securities and real estate loan products.

The Company trades, invests and makes markets in major foreign currencies, such as the British pound, Canadian dollar, euro, Japanese yen and Swiss franc, as well as in emerging markets currencies. The Company trades these currencies on a principal basis in the spot, forward, option and futures markets.

Through the use of repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, the Company acts as an intermediary between borrowers and lenders of short-term funds and provides funding for various inventory positions. The Company also provides financing to customers for commercial and residential real estate loan products and other securitizable asset classes, and distributes such securitized assets to investors. In addition, the Company engages in principal securities lending with clients, institutional lenders and other broker-dealers.

The Company advises on investment and liability strategies and assists corporations in their debt repurchases and planning. The Company structures debt securities, derivatives and other instruments with risk/return factors designed to suit client objectives, including using repackaged asset and other structured vehicles through which clients can restructure asset portfolios to provide liquidity or reconfigure risk profiles.

The Company trades, invests and makes markets in the spot, forward, OTC cleared and uncleared swaps, options and futures markets in several commodities, including metals (base and precious), agricultural products, crude oil, oil products, natural gas, electric power, emission credits, coal, freight, liquefied natural gas and related products and indices. The Company offers counterparties hedging programs relating to production, consumption, reserve/inventory management and structured transactions, including energy-contract securitizations and monetization. The Company is an electricity power marketer in the U.S. and owns electricity-generating facilities in the U.S. The Company owns a minority interest in Heidmar Holdings LLC, which owns a group of companies that provide international marine transportation and U.S. marine logistics

services.

Table of Contents

Research. The Company's research department (Research) coordinates globally across all of the Company's businesses and consists of economists, strategists and industry analysts who engage in equity and fixed income research activities and produce reports and studies on the U.S. and global economy, financial markets, portfolio strategy, technical market analyses, individual companies and industry developments. Research examines worldwide trends covering numerous industries and individual companies, the majority of which are located outside the U.S.; provides analysis and forecasts relating to economic and monetary developments that affect matters such as interest rates, foreign currencies, securities, derivatives and economic trends; and provides analytical support and publishes reports on asset-backed securities and the markets in which such securities are traded; and data are disseminated to investors through third-party distributors, proprietary internet sites such as Client Linksm and Matrixsm, and the Company's global representatives.

Investments. The Company from time to time makes investments that represent business facilitation or other investing activities. Such investments are typically strategic investments undertaken by the Company to facilitate core business activities. From time to time, the Company may also make investments and capital commitments to public and private companies, funds and other entities.

The Company sponsors and manages investment vehicles and separate accounts for clients seeking exposure to private equity, infrastructure, mezzanine lending and real estate-related and other alternative investments. The Company may also invest in and provide capital to such investment vehicles. See also Investment Management herein.

Operations and Information Technology.

The Company's Operations and Information Technology departments provide the process and technology platform required to support Institutional Securities sales and trading activity, including post-execution trade processing and related internal controls over activity from trade entry through settlement and custody, such as asset servicing. This support is provided for listed and OTC transactions in commodities, equity and fixed income securities, including both primary and secondary trading, as well as listed, OTC and structured derivatives in markets around the world. These activities are undertaken through the Company's own facilities, through membership in various clearing and settlement organizations, and through agreements with unaffiliated third parties.

Wealth Management.

The Company's Wealth Management business segment provides comprehensive financial services to clients through a network of 16,076 global representatives in 622 locations at year-end 2014. As of December 31, 2014, Wealth Management had \$2,025 billion in client assets.

Clients.

Wealth Management professionals serve individual investors and small-to-medium sized businesses and institutions with an emphasis on ultra-high net worth, high net worth and affluent investors. Wealth Management representatives are located in branches across the U.S. and provide solutions designed to accommodate the individual investment objectives, risk tolerance and liquidity needs of investors residing in and outside the U.S. Call centers are available to meet the needs of emerging affluent clients.

Products and Services.

Wealth Management provides clients with a comprehensive array of financial solutions, including products and services from the Company and third-party providers, such as other financial institutions, insurance companies and mutual fund families. Wealth Management provides brokerage and investment advisory services covering various types of investments, including equities, options, futures, foreign currencies, precious metals, fixed

Table of Contents

income securities, mutual funds, structured products, alternative investments, unit investment trusts, managed futures, separately managed accounts and mutual fund asset allocation programs. Wealth Management also engages in fixed income principal trading, which primarily facilitates clients' trading or investments in such securities. In addition, Wealth Management offers education savings programs, financial and wealth planning services, and annuity and other insurance products.

In addition, Wealth Management offers its clients access to several cash management services through various banks and other third parties, including deposits, debit cards, electronic bill payments and check writing, as well as lending products through affiliates such as MSBNA and Morgan Stanley Private Bank, National Association (MSPBNA and, together with MSBNA, the U.S. Subsidiary Banks), including securities-based lending, mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit. Wealth Management also offers access to trust and fiduciary services, offers access to cash management and commercial credit solutions to qualified small- and medium-sized businesses in the U.S., and provides individual and corporate retirement solutions, including individual retirement accounts and 401(k) plans and U.S. and global stock plan services to corporate executives and businesses.

Wealth Management provides clients a variety of ways to establish a relationship and conduct business, including brokerage accounts with transaction-based pricing and investment advisory accounts with asset-based fee pricing.

Operations and Information Technology.

The Company's Operations and Information Technology departments provide the process and technology platform to support the Wealth Management business segment, including core securities processing, capital markets operations, product services, and alternative investments, margin, payments and related internal controls over activity from trade entry through settlement and custody. These activities are undertaken through the Company's own facilities, through membership in various clearing and settlement organizations, and through agreements with affiliates and unaffiliated third parties.

Investment Management.

The Company's Investment Management business segment, consisting of Traditional Asset Management, Alternative Investments, Merchant Banking and Real Estate Investing activities, is one of the largest global investment management organizations of any full-service financial services firm and offers clients a broad array of equity, fixed income, alternative investments, real estate and merchant banking strategies. Portfolio managers and other investment professionals located in the U.S., Europe and Asia manage investment products ranging from money market funds to equity and fixed income strategies, alternative investment and merchant banking products in developed and emerging markets across geographies and market capitalization ranges.

Institutional Investors.

The Company provides investment management strategies and products to institutional investors worldwide, including corporations, pension plans, endowments, foundations, sovereign wealth funds, insurance companies and banks through a broad range of pooled vehicles and separate accounts. Additionally, the Company provides sub-advisory services to various unaffiliated financial institutions and intermediaries. A Global Sales and Client Services team is engaged in business development and relationship management for consultants to help serve institutional clients.

Intermediary Clients and Individual Investors.

The Company offers open-end and alternative investment funds and separately managed accounts to individual investors through affiliated and unaffiliated broker-dealers, banks, insurance companies, financial planners and

Table of Contents

other intermediaries. Closed-end funds managed by the Company are available to individual investors through affiliated and unaffiliated broker-dealers. The Company also distributes mutual funds through numerous retirement plan platforms. Internationally, the Company distributes traditional investment products to individuals outside the U.S. through non-proprietary distributors and distributes alternative investment products through broker-dealers and banks.

Alternative Investments, Merchant Banking and Real Estate Investing.

The Company offers a range of alternative investment, real estate investing and merchant banking products for institutional investors and high net worth individuals. The Company's alternative investments product mix includes funds of hedge funds, funds of private equity and real estate funds, portable alpha strategies and managed futures. The Company also holds minority stakes in Lansdowne Partners and Avenue Capital Group. The Company's real estate and merchant banking businesses include its real estate investing business, private equity funds, credit investing group and infrastructure investing group. The Company typically acts as general partner of, and investment adviser to, its alternative investment, real estate and merchant banking funds and typically commits to invest a minority of the capital of such funds with subscribing investors contributing the majority.

Operations and Information Technology.

The Company's Operations and Information Technology departments provide or oversee the process and technology platform required to support its Investment Management business segment, including transfer agency, mutual fund accounting and administration, transaction processing and certain fiduciary services on behalf of institutional, intermediary and high net worth clients. This activity is undertaken through the Company's own facilities, through membership in various clearing and settlement organizations, and through agreements with unaffiliated third parties.

Competition.

All aspects of the Company's businesses are highly competitive, and the Company expects them to remain so. The Company competes in the U.S. and globally for clients, market share and human talent in all aspects of its business segments. The Company's competitive position depends on its reputation and the quality and consistency of its long-term investment performance. The Company's ability to sustain or improve its competitive position also depends substantially on its ability to continue to attract and retain highly qualified employees while managing compensation and other costs. The Company competes with commercial banks, brokerage firms, insurance companies, electronic trading and clearing platforms, financial data repositories, sponsors of mutual funds, hedge funds and private equity funds, energy companies and other companies offering financial or ancillary services in the U.S., globally and through the internet. Over time, certain sectors of the financial services industry have become more concentrated, as institutions involved in a broad range of financial services have left businesses, been acquired by or merged into other firms or have declared bankruptcy. Such changes could result in the Company's remaining competitors gaining greater capital and other resources, such as the ability to offer a broader range of products and services and geographic diversity, or new competitors may emerge. See also "Supervision and Regulation" below and "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A.

Institutional Securities and Wealth Management.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

The Company's competitive position for its Institutional Securities and Wealth Management business segments depends on innovation, execution capability and relative pricing. The Company competes directly in the U.S. and globally with other securities and financial services firms and broker-dealers and with others on a regional or product basis.

The Company's ability to access capital at competitive rates (which is generally impacted by the Company's credit ratings) and to commit capital efficiently, particularly in its capital-intensive underwriting and sales,

Table of Contents

trading, financing and market-making activities, also affects its competitive position. Corporate clients may request that the Company provide loans or lending commitments in connection with certain investment banking activities and such requests are expected to increase in the future.

It is possible that competition may become even more intense as the Company continues to compete with financial institutions that may be larger, or better capitalized, or may have a stronger local presence and longer operating history in certain areas. Many of these firms have the ability to offer a wide range of products and services that may enhance their competitive position and could result in pricing pressure on the Company's businesses. Operating within the financial services industry on a global basis presents, among other things, technological, risk management, regulatory and other infrastructure challenges that require effective resource allocation in order for the Company to remain competitive. In addition, the Company's business is subject to increased regulation in the U.S. and abroad, while certain of its competitors may be subject to less stringent legal and regulatory regimes than the Company, thereby putting the Company at a competitive disadvantage.

The Company has experienced intense price competition in some of its businesses in recent years. In particular, the ability to execute securities trades electronically on exchanges and through other automated trading markets has increased the pressure on trading commissions and comparable fees. The trend toward direct access to automated, electronic markets will likely increase as additional markets move to more automated trading platforms. It is also possible that the Company will experience competitive pressures in these and other areas in the future as some of its competitors seek to obtain market share by reducing prices (in the form of commissions or pricing).

Investment Management.

Competition in the asset management industry is affected by several factors, including the Company's reputation, investment objectives, quality of investment professionals, performance of investment strategies or product offerings relative to peers and an appropriate benchmark index, advertising and sales promotion efforts, fee levels, the effectiveness of and access to distribution channels and investment pipelines, and the types and quality of products offered. The Company's alternative investment products, such as private equity funds, real estate and funds of funds, compete with similar products offered by both alternative and traditional asset managers, who may be subject to less stringent legal and regulatory regimes than the Company.

Supervision and Regulation.

As a major financial services firm, the Company is subject to extensive regulation by U.S. federal and state regulatory agencies and securities exchanges and by regulators and exchanges in each of the major markets where it conducts its business. Moreover, in response to the 2007-2008 financial crisis, legislators and regulators, both in the U.S. and worldwide, have adopted, continue to propose and are in the process of adopting, finalizing and implementing a wide range of reforms that are resulting in major changes to the way the Company is regulated and conducts its business. These reforms include the Dodd-Frank Act; risk-based capital, leverage and liquidity standards adopted by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee), including Basel III, and the national implementation of those standards; and new resolution regimes that are being developed in the U.S. and other jurisdictions. While certain portions of these reforms are effective, others are still subject to final rulemaking or transition periods.

It is likely that 2015 and subsequent years will see further material changes in the way major financial institutions are regulated in both the U.S. and other markets in which the Company operates, although it remains difficult to predict the exact impact these changes will have on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for a particular future period.

Table of Contents

Financial Holding Company.

Consolidated Supervision.

The Company has operated as a bank holding company and financial holding company under the BHC Act since September 2008. As a bank holding company, the Company is subject to comprehensive consolidated supervision, regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve. As a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve also gained heightened authority to examine, prescribe regulations and take action with respect to all of the Company's subsidiaries. In particular, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company is, or will become, subject to (among other things) significantly revised and expanded regulation and supervision, to more intensive scrutiny of its businesses and plans for expansion of those businesses, to new activities limitations, to a systemic risk regime that imposes heightened capital and liquidity requirements, to new restrictions on activities and investments imposed by a section of the BHC Act added by the Dodd-Frank Act referred to as the Volcker Rule and to comprehensive new derivatives regulation. In addition, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has primary rulemaking, enforcement and examination authority over the Company and its subsidiaries with respect to federal consumer protection laws, to the extent applicable.

Scope of Permitted Activities. The BHC Act places limits on the activities of bank holding companies and financial holding companies, and grants the Federal Reserve authority to limit the Company's ability to conduct activities. The Company must obtain Federal Reserve Board (FRB) approval before engaging in certain banking and other financial activities both in the U.S. and internationally. Since becoming a bank holding company, the Company has disposed of certain nonconforming assets and conformed certain activities to the requirements of the BHC Act.

In addition, the Company continues to engage in discussions with the Federal Reserve regarding its commodities activities, as the BHC Act also grandfathered activities related to the trading, sale or investment in commodities and underlying physical properties, provided that the Company was engaged in any of such activities as of September 30, 1997 in the United States and provided that certain other conditions that are within the Company's reasonable control are satisfied. If the Federal Reserve were to determine that any of the Company's commodities activities did not qualify for the BHC Act grandfather exemption, then the Company would likely be required to divest any such activities that did not otherwise conform to the BHC Act. At this time, the Company believes, based on its interpretation of applicable law, that (i) such commodities activities qualify for the BHC Act grandfather exemption or otherwise conform to the BHC Act and (ii) if the Federal Reserve were to determine otherwise, any required divestment would not have a material adverse impact on its financial condition. After issuing an advance notice of proposed rulemaking in January 2014 on certain aspects of financial holding companies' physical commodities activities and merchant banking investments in nonfinancial companies, the Federal Reserve stated that it is considering a range of possible actions to address the risks associated with these activities and investments, including additional capital, risk management and reporting requirements, and indicated that it will issue a formal notice of rulemaking regarding such matters in 2015.

Activities Restrictions under the Volcker Rule. In December 2013, U.S. regulators issued final regulations to implement the Volcker Rule. The Volcker Rule will, over time, prohibit banking entities, including the Company and its affiliates, from engaging in certain prohibited proprietary trading activities, as defined in the Volcker Rule, subject to exemptions for underwriting, market making-related activities, risk-mitigating hedging and certain other activities. The Volcker Rule will also require banking entities to either restructure or unwind certain investments and relationships with covered funds, as defined in the Volcker Rule, subject to certain exemptions and exclusions. Banking entities have until July 21, 2015 to bring all of their activities and investments into conformance with the Volcker Rule, subject to certain extensions. In addition, the Volcker Rule requires banking entities to establish comprehensive compliance programs designed to help ensure and monitor compliance with restrictions under the Volcker Rule.

The Volcker Rule also requires that certain deductions be made from a bank holding company's Tier 1 capital for certain investments in covered funds. These deductions do not yet apply and in any event must be reconciled by the applicable regulators with the U.S. Basel III capital

requirements discussed below.

Table of Contents

The Company is taking steps to establish the necessary compliance programs to comply with the Volcker Rule. Given the complexity of the new framework, the full impact of the Volcker Rule is still uncertain and will ultimately depend on the interpretation and implementation by the five regulatory agencies responsible for its oversight.

Capital and Liquidity Standards. The Federal Reserve establishes capital requirements for the Company and evaluates its compliance with such capital requirements. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the OCC) establishes similar capital requirements and standards for the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks.

The current risk-based and leverage capital framework governing the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks is based on the Basel III capital standards established by the Basel Committee, as modified in certain respects by the U.S. banking agencies, and is referred to herein as U.S. Basel III. The Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks became subject to U.S. Basel III on January 1, 2014. Aspects of U.S. Basel III, such as the minimum risk-based capital ratio requirements, new capital buffers, and certain deductions from and adjustments to capital, will be phased in over several years. Prior to January 1, 2014, the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks calculated regulatory capital ratios using the U.S. banking regulators' U.S. Basel I-based rules (U.S. Basel I) as supplemented by rules that implemented the Basel Committee's market risk capital framework amendment, commonly referred to as Basel 2.5.

U.S. Basel III, which is aimed at increasing the quality and amount of regulatory capital, establishes Common Equity Tier 1 capital as a new tier of capital, increases minimum required risk-based capital ratios, provides for capital buffers above those minimum ratios, narrows the eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments, provides for new regulatory capital deductions and adjustments, modifies methods for calculating risk-weighted assets (RWAs) the denominator of risk-based capital ratios by, among other things, increasing counterparty credit risk capital requirements and, introduces a supplementary leverage ratio.

On a fully phased in basis, the Company will be subject to the following minimum capital ratios under U.S. Basel III: Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0%; Total capital ratio of 8.0%; Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4.0%; and supplementary leverage ratio of 3.0%. In addition, on a fully phased in basis by 2019, the Company will also be subject to a greater than 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer and, if deployed by banking regulators, up to a 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 countercyclical buffer. The capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer, if any, apply over each of the Company's Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total risk-based capital ratios. Failure to maintain such buffers will result in restrictions on the Company's ability to make capital distributions, including the payment of dividends and the repurchase of stock, and to pay discretionary bonuses to executive officers. The Federal Reserve may require the Company and its peer financial holding companies to maintain risk and leverage-based capital ratios substantially in excess of mandated minimum levels, depending upon general economic conditions and a financial holding company's particular condition, risk profile and growth plans.

Effective January 1, 2015, the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks qualify as well-capitalized under the higher capital requirements in U.S. Basel III, by maintaining a total risk-based capital ratio (total capital to risk-weighted assets) of at least 10%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 8%, a Common Equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.5%, and a Tier 1 leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average total consolidated assets) of at least 5%.

In addition, under U.S. Basel III, new items (including certain investments in the capital instruments of unconsolidated financial institutions) are deducted from the respective tiers of regulatory capital, and certain existing regulatory deductions and adjustments are modified or are no longer applicable. Most of these capital deductions are subject to a phase in schedule and will be fully phased in by 2018. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are reflected in Common Equity Tier 1 capital, subject to a phase in schedule.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

On February 21, 2014, the Federal Reserve and the OCC approved the Company's and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks' respective use of the U.S. Basel III advanced internal ratings-based approach for determining credit risk.

Table of Contents

capital requirements and advanced measurement approaches for determining operational risk capital requirements to calculate and publicly disclose their risk-based capital ratios beginning with the second quarter of 2014, subject to the capital floor discussed below (the Advanced Approach). As an Advanced Approach banking organization, the Company is required to compute risk-based capital ratios using both (i) standardized approaches for calculating credit risk weighted assets (RWAs) and market risk RWAs (the Standardized Approach); and (ii) an advanced internal ratings-based approach for calculating credit risk RWAs, an advanced measurement approach for calculating operational risk RWAs, and an advanced approach for calculating market risk RWAs under U.S. Basel III.

To implement a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act, U.S. Basel III subjects Advanced Approach banking organizations that have been approved by their regulators to exit the parallel run, such as the Company, to a permanent capital floor. In 2014, as a result of the capital floor, an Advanced Approach banking organization's binding risk-based capital ratios were the lower of its ratios computed under the Advanced Approach and U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5. Beginning on January 1, 2015, the Company's ratios for regulatory purposes are the lower of the capital ratios computed under the Advanced Approach or the Standardized Approach under U.S. Basel III. The U.S. Basel III Standardized Approach modifies certain U.S. Basel I-based methods for calculating RWAs and prescribes new standardized risk weights for certain types of assets and exposures. The capital floor applies to the calculation of the minimum risk-based capital requirements as well as the capital conservation buffer and, if deployed by banking regulators, the countercyclical capital buffer. The methods for calculating each of the Company's risk-based capital ratios will change through January 1, 2022 as U.S. Basel III's revisions to the numerator and denominator are phased in and as the Company calculates RWAs using the Advanced Approach and the Standardized Approach. These ongoing methodological changes may result in differences in the Company's reported capital ratios from one reporting period to the next that are independent of changes to the Company's capital base, asset composition, off-balance sheet exposures or risk profile.

U.S. Basel III also requires the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks to comply with supplementary leverage ratio requirements, which U.S. banking regulators increased in 2014 above standards established by the Basel Committee. Specifically, beginning in 2018, the Company must maintain a Tier 1 supplementary leverage capital buffer of greater than 2% in addition to the 3% minimum supplementary leverage ratio (for a total of greater than 5%), in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions, including dividends and stock repurchases, and discretionary bonus payments to executive officers. In addition, beginning in 2018, to be considered well-capitalized the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks must maintain a supplementary leverage ratio of 6%. The denominator of the supplementary leverage ratio, as revised by the U.S. banking agencies in 2014 to conform with revised leverage standards adopted by the Basel Committee, is based on the average daily balance of consolidated on-balance sheet assets under generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. (U.S. GAAP) less certain amounts deducted from Tier 1 capital at quarter-end and the average month-end balance of certain off-balance sheet exposures associated with derivatives (including centrally cleared derivatives and sold credit protection), repo-style transactions and other off-balance sheet items during the calendar quarter. The enhanced supplementary leverage ratio standards will become effective for both the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks on January 1, 2018 with quarterly public disclosure beginning on January 1, 2015.

Although U.S. Basel III is in effect, the U.S. banking agencies and the Basel Committee have each proposed, or are considering proposing, revisions to the regulatory capital framework that would modify the regulatory capital standards governing the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks. In December 2014, the Federal Reserve issued a proposed rule that would impose risk-based capital surcharges on U.S. bank holding companies that are identified as global systemically important banks (G-SIBs). Although the Federal Reserve's proposal is based upon the Basel Committee's international G-SIB surcharge framework, the methodologies proposed by the Federal Reserve generally would result in G-SIB surcharges that are higher than the levels required by the Basel Committee framework and would directly take into account the extent of each U.S. G-SIB's reliance on short-term wholesale funding. Under the proposal, a bank holding company identified as a G-SIB would calculate its G-SIB surcharge under two methods. The first would consider the G-SIB's size, interconnectedness, cross-

Table of Contents

jurisdictional activity, substitutability, and complexity, which is generally consistent with the methodology developed by the Basel Committee. The second method would use similar inputs, but would replace substitutability with use of short-term wholesale funding and generally would result in higher surcharges than the Basel Committee framework. A G-SIB's surcharge would be the higher of the surcharges determined under the two methods. Under the proposal, the G-SIB surcharge must be satisfied using Common Equity Tier 1 capital and would function as an extension of the capital conservation buffer. The Federal Reserve estimates that its proposal could result in G-SIB surcharges ranging from 1.0% to 4.5% of a G-SIB's RWAs. The proposal would be phased in between January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2019.

The Basel Committee is in the process of considering revisions to various provisions of the Basel III framework that, if adopted by the U.S. banking agencies, could result in substantial changes to U.S. Basel III. In particular, the Basel Committee has finalized a new methodology for calculating counterparty credit risk exposures, the standardized approach for measuring counterparty credit risk exposures (SA-CCR); has finalized a revised framework establishing capital requirements for securitizations; and has proposed revisions to various regulatory capital standards. In each case, the impact of these revised standards on the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks is uncertain and depends on future rulemakings by the U.S. banking agencies.

In addition to capital regulations, the U.S. banking agencies and the Basel Committee have adopted, or are in the process of considering, liquidity standards. The Basel Committee has developed two standards intended for use in liquidity risk supervision, the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR). The LCR generally requires banking organizations to maintain an amount of high-quality liquid assets that is no less than 100% of their total net cash outflows arising from significant stress over a prospective 30 calendar-day period.

In September 2014, U.S. banking regulators issued a final rule to implement the LCR in the U.S. (U.S. LCR). The U.S. LCR applies to the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks. The U.S. LCR is more stringent in certain respects than the Basel Committee's version of the LCR as it includes a generally narrower definition of debt and equity securities that qualify as high-quality liquid assets, different methodologies and assumptions for calculating net cash outflows during the 30-day stress period, a maturity mismatch add-on, and a shorter, two-year phase-in period that ends on December 31, 2016. Additionally, under the U.S. LCR, a banking organization must submit a liquidity compliance plan to its primary federal banking agency if it fails to maintain the minimum U.S. LCR requirement for three consecutive business days. Beginning on January 1, 2015, the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks are required to maintain a minimum U.S. LCR of 80%. This minimum requirement will increase to 90% beginning on January 1, 2016, and will be fully phased in at 100% beginning on January 1, 2017. The Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks must calculate their respective LCR on a monthly basis during the period between January 1, 2015 and June 30, 2015, and on each business day starting on July 1, 2015.

The NSFR is defined as the ratio of the amount of available stable funding to the amount of required stable funding. The standard's objective is to reduce funding risk over a one-year horizon by requiring banking organizations to fund their activities with sufficiently stable sources of funding in order to mitigate the risk of future funding stress. In October 2014, the Basel Committee finalized revisions to the original December 2010 version of the NSFR. The U.S. banking agencies are expected to issue a proposal to implement the NSFR in the U.S. The Company continues to evaluate the NSFR and its potential impact on the Company's current liquidity and funding requirements.

See also Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Liquidity and Capital Resources Basel Liquidity Framework and Regulatory Requirements in Part II, Item 7.

Capital Planning, Stress Tests and Dividends. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve has adopted capital planning and stress test requirements for large bank holding companies, including the Company, which form part of the Federal Reserve's annual Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) framework. Under the Federal Reserve's capital plan rule, the Company must submit an annual capital plan to the Federal Reserve, taking into account the results of separate stress tests designed by the Company and the Federal Reserve.

Table of Contents

The capital plan must include a description of all planned capital actions over a nine-quarter planning horizon, including any issuance of a debt or equity capital instrument, any capital distribution (i.e., payments of dividends or stock repurchases), and any similar action that the Federal Reserve determines could impact the bank holding company's consolidated capital. The capital plan must include a discussion of how the bank holding company will maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratios, including the minimum ratios under U.S. Basel III that are phased in over the planning horizon, and above a Tier I common risk-based capital ratio of 5%, and serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary U.S. depository institutions under supervisory stress scenarios. The capital plan rule requires that such companies receive no objection from the Federal Reserve before making a capital distribution. In addition, even with an approved capital plan, the bank holding company must seek the approval of the Federal Reserve before making a capital distribution if, among other reasons, the bank holding company would not meet its regulatory capital requirements after making the proposed capital distribution. In addition to capital planning requirements, the OCC, the Federal Reserve and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) have the authority to prohibit or to limit the payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise, including the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks, if, in the banking regulator's opinion, payment of a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice in light of the financial condition of the banking organization. All of these policies and other requirements could affect the Company's ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock, or require it to provide capital assistance to its U.S. Subsidiary Banks under circumstances which the Company would not otherwise decide to do so.

In addition, the Federal Reserve's final rule on stress testing under the Dodd-Frank Act requires the Company to conduct semi-annual company-run stress tests. The rule also subjects the Company to an annual supervisory stress test conducted by the Federal Reserve. On January 5, 2015, the Company submitted the results of its semi-annual stress test to the Federal Reserve.

The Company expects that, on March 11, 2015, the Federal Reserve will provide its response to the Company's 2015 capital plan (that was submitted to the Federal Reserve on January 5, 2015). The Company received no objection to its 2014 capital plan (see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Management in Part II, Item 7).

The Dodd-Frank Act also requires each of the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks to conduct an annual stress test. MSBNA submitted its 2015 annual company-run stress tests to the OCC on January 5, 2015. MSPBNA will submit its 2015 annual company-run stress tests in March 2015.

See also Capital and Liquidity Standards above and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Liquidity and Capital Resources Regulatory Requirements in Part II, Item 7.

Systemic Risk Regime. The Dodd-Frank Act established a regulatory framework applicable to financial institutions deemed to pose systemic risks. Bank holding companies with \$50 billion or more in consolidated assets, such as the Company, became automatically subject to the systemic risk regime in July 2010. A new oversight body, the Financial Stability Oversight Council (the FSOC), can recommend prudential standards, reporting and disclosure requirements for systemically important financial institutions to the Federal Reserve. The FSOC is also empowered to designate systemically important payment, clearing and settlement activities of financial institutions, subjecting them to prudential supervision and regulation and, assisted by the new Office of Financial Research within the U.S. Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) (established by the Dodd-Frank Act), can gather data and reports from financial institutions, including the Company.

The systemic risk regime established by the Dodd-Frank Act, provides that, if the Federal Reserve determines that a systemically important financial institution poses a grave threat to U.S. financial stability, the Federal Reserve, with the FSOC's approval, must limit that institution's ability to merge, restrict its ability to offer financial products, require it to terminate activities, impose conditions on activities or, as a last resort, require it to dispose of assets. The Federal Reserve also has the ability to establish further standards, including those

Table of Contents

regarding contingent capital, enhanced public disclosures, and limits on short-term debt, including off-balance sheet exposures.

In February 2014, the Federal Reserve issued final rules to implement certain requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act's enhanced prudential standards. Effective on January 1, 2015, the final rules require bank holding companies with \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets, such as the Company, to conduct internal liquidity stress tests, maintain unencumbered highly liquid assets to meet projected net cash outflows for 30 days over the range of liquidity stress scenarios used in internal stress tests, and comply with various liquidity risk management requirements. In addition, the final rules require institutions to comply with a range of risk management and corporate governance requirements, such as establishment of a risk committee of the board of directors and appointment of a chief risk officer, both of which the Company already has. Under the final rules, upon a grave threat determination by the FSOC, the Federal Reserve must require the affected bank holding company to maintain a debt-to-equity ratio of no more than 15-to-1 if the FSOC considers it necessary to mitigate the risk.

In addition, the Federal Reserve has proposed rules that would limit the aggregate exposure of each bank holding company with \$500 billion or more in total consolidated assets, such as the Company, and each company designated by the FSOC, to other such institution to 10% of the aggregate capital and surplus of each institution, and limit the aggregate exposure of such institutions to any other unaffiliated counterparty to 25% of the institution's aggregate capital and surplus. The proposed rules would also create a new early remediation framework to address financial distress or material management weaknesses determined with reference to four levels of early remediation, including heightened supervisory review, initial remediation, recovery, and resolution assessment, with specific limitations and requirements tied to each level. The Federal Reserve has stated that it will issue, at a later date, final rules establishing single counterparty credit limits and an early remediation framework.

See also [Capital and Liquidity Standards](#) herein and [Resolution and Recovery Planning](#) below.

Resolution and Recovery Planning. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company is required to submit to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC an annual resolution plan that describes its strategy for a rapid and orderly resolution under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the event of material financial distress or failure of the Company. On August 5, 2014, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC notified the Company and 10 other large banking organizations that certain shortcomings in their 2013 resolution plans must be addressed in the 2015 resolution plans, which must be submitted on or before July 1, 2015. If the Federal Reserve and the FDIC were to determine that the Company's resolution plan is not credible or would not facilitate an orderly resolution and the Company does not cure the plan's deficiencies, the Company or any of its subsidiaries may be subjected to more stringent capital, leverage, or liquidity requirements or restrictions on its growth, activities, or operations, or the Company may be required to divest assets or operations.

In addition, MSBNA must submit to the FDIC an annual resolution plan that describes MSBNA's strategy for a rapid and orderly resolution in the event of a material financial distress or failure of MSBNA. On December 17, 2014, the FDIC issued guidance regarding the resolution plans for insured depository institutions such as MSBNA, including requirements with respect to failure scenarios and the development and analysis of a range of realistic resolution strategies.

Further, the Company is required to submit an annual recovery plan to the Federal Reserve that outlines the steps that management could take over time to reduce risk, increase liquidity, and conserve capital in times of prolonged stress.

Certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are also subject to resolution and recovery planning requirements in the jurisdictions in which they operate.

Table of Contents

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, certain financial companies, including bank holding companies such as the Company and certain covered subsidiaries, can be subjected to resolution under an orderly liquidation authority with the FDIC appointed as receiver. A financial company whose largest U.S. subsidiary is a broker or dealer could be resolved under this authority only upon the recommendation of two-thirds of the FRB and two-thirds of the SEC Commissioners, on their own initiative or at the request of the U.S. Treasury Secretary, and in consultation with the FDIC as well as a determination by the U.S. Treasury Secretary in consultation with the President of the U.S.

The orderly liquidation authority rulemaking is proceeding in stages, with some regulations now finalized and others planned but not yet proposed. If the Company were subject to the orderly liquidation authority, the FDIC would have considerable powers, including (i) the power to remove officers and directors responsible for the Company's failure and to appoint new directors and officers; (ii) the power to assign assets and liabilities to a third party or bridge financial company without the need for creditor consent or prior court review; (iii) the ability to differentiate among creditors, including by treating junior creditors better than senior creditors, subject to a minimum recovery right to receive at least what they would have received in bankruptcy liquidation; and (iv) broad powers to administer the claims process to determine distributions from the assets of the receivership. In December 2013, the FDIC released its proposed single point of entry strategy for resolution of a systemically important financial institution under the orderly liquidation authority. The strategy involves placing the top-tier U.S. holding company in receivership and keeping its operating subsidiaries open and out of insolvency proceedings by transferring the operating subsidiaries to a new bridge holding company, recapitalizing the operating subsidiaries and imposing losses on the shareholders and creditors of the holding company in receivership according to their statutory order of priority.

The Federal Reserve has indicated that it may also introduce a requirement that certain large bank holding companies maintain a minimum amount of long-term debt at the holding company level to facilitate orderly resolution of those firms. In November 2014, the Financial Stability Board (FSB) issued a policy proposal to establish a minimum international standard for total loss-absorbing capacity (TLAC) for G-SIBs, in addition to regulatory capital requirements, in order to enhance the loss-absorbing and recapitalization capacity of such institutions in resolution. The FSB's proposed minimum TLAC requirement would be set within the range of 16% to 20% of RWAs (excluding any applicable regulatory capital buffers, which would continue to be required in addition to the minimum TLAC requirement) and at least twice the minimum Basel III Tier 1 leverage ratio requirement. Regulators may also impose an additional TLAC requirement taking into account the G-SIB's recovery and resolution plans, systemic footprint, business model, risk profile and organizational structure. The minimum TLAC requirement would apply to each entity to which resolution tools would be applied within a G-SIB. The FSB has proposed eligibility criteria for liabilities to qualify as TLAC and a requirement that TLAC-eligible liabilities be subordinated to non-TLAC-eligible liabilities. In addition, certain material entities that are not resolution entities would be subject to an internal TLAC requirement. According to the FSB, the conformance period for the TLAC requirement would not begin prior to January 1, 2019.

On November 12, 2014, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries adhered to the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) 2014 Resolution Stay Protocol (the Protocol), which applies to OTC derivatives traded under ISDA Master Agreements. The Protocol overrides certain cross-default rights and certain other default rights related to the entry of an adhering dealer party or its affiliates into certain resolution proceedings. The Federal Reserve is expected to promulgate regulations implementing portions of the Protocol related to U.S. Bankruptcy Code and certain other matters, which are anticipated to take effect in 2016 or 2017.

As with other major financial companies, the combined effects of the orderly liquidation authority and of the FSB's TLAC proposal and requirements that may be enacted by the Federal Reserve and the FDIC to facilitate the orderly resolution of G-SIBs, may make more uncertain recoveries by creditors of the parent holding company in the event of its resolution.

Table of Contents

U.S. Subsidiary Banks.

U.S. Banking Institutions. MSBNA, primarily a wholesale commercial bank, offers commercial lending and certain retail securities-based lending services in addition to deposit products. Certain foreign exchange activities are also conducted by MSBNA. MSBNA is an FDIC-insured national bank that is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the OCC.

MSPBNA offers certain mortgage and other secured lending products primarily for customers of its affiliate retail broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC (MSSB LLC). MSPBNA also offers certain deposit products, as well as prime brokerage custody services. MSPBNA is an FDIC-insured national bank that is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the OCC.

Effective October 1, 2013, the lending limits applicable to the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks were revised to take into account credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, securities lending, securities borrowing and repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements with third parties.

In September 2014, the OCC issued final risk governance guidelines to establish heightened standards for large national banks, and the guidelines apply to both MSBNA and MSPBNA. The final guidelines set minimum standards for the design and implementation of a bank's risk governance framework and the oversight of that framework by a bank's board of directors.

Prompt Corrective Action. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 provides a framework for regulation of depository institutions and their affiliates, including parent holding companies, by their federal banking regulators. Among other things, it requires the relevant federal banking regulator to take prompt corrective action (PCA) with respect to a depository institution if that institution does not meet certain capital adequacy standards. Current PCA regulations generally apply only to insured banks and thrifts such as MSBNA or MSPBNA and not to their parent holding companies. The Federal Reserve is, however, subject to limitations, authorized to take appropriate action at the holding company level. In addition, as described above, under the systemic risk regime, the Company will become subject to an early remediation protocol in the event of financial distress. The Dodd-Frank Act also formalized the requirement that bank holding companies, such the Company, serve as a source of strength to their U.S. bank subsidiaries and commit resources to support these subsidiaries in the event such subsidiaries are in financial distress.

Transactions with Affiliates. The Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks are subject to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which impose restrictions on covered transactions with any affiliates. Covered transactions include any extension of credit to, purchase of assets from, and certain other transactions with an affiliate. These restrictions limit the total amount of credit exposure that the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks may have to any one affiliate and to all affiliates, as well as collateral requirements, and they require all such transactions to be made on market terms. Effective July 2012, derivatives, securities borrowing and securities lending transactions between the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks and their affiliates became subject to these restrictions. These reforms place limits on the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks' ability to engage in derivatives, repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions with other affiliates of the Company. The Federal Reserve has indicated that it will propose a rulemaking to implement these more recent restrictions, but has not yet done so.

In addition, the Volcker Rule generally prohibits covered transactions between (i) the Company or any of its affiliates and (ii) covered funds for which the Company or any of its affiliates serve as the investment manager, investment adviser, commodity trading advisor or sponsor or other covered funds organized and offered by the Company or any of its affiliates pursuant to specific exemptions in the Volcker Rule.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

FDIC Regulation. An FDIC insured depository institution is generally liable for any loss incurred or expected to be incurred by the FDIC in connection with the failure of an insured depository institution under common control by the same bank holding company. As commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institutions, each of MSBNA and MSPBNA could be responsible for any loss to the FDIC from the failure of the other. In

Table of Contents

addition, both institutions are exposed to changes in the cost of FDIC insurance. In 2010, the FDIC adopted a restoration plan to replenish the reserve fund over a multi-year period. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, some of the restoration must be paid for exclusively by large depository institutions, including MSBNA, and FDIC deposit insurance assessments are calculated using a new methodology that generally favors banks that are mostly funded by deposits.

Institutional Securities and Wealth Management.

Broker-Dealer and Investment Adviser Regulation. The Company's primary U.S. broker-dealer subsidiaries, MS&Co. and MSSB LLC, are registered broker-dealers with the SEC and in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and are members of various self-regulatory organizations, including the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (FINRA), and various securities exchanges and clearing organizations. Broker-dealers are subject to laws and regulations covering all aspects of the securities business, including sales and trading practices, securities offerings, publication of research reports, use of customers' funds and securities, capital structure, recordkeeping and retention, and the conduct of their directors, officers, representatives and other associated persons. Broker-dealers are also regulated by securities administrators in those states where they do business. Violations of the laws and regulations governing a broker-dealer's actions could result in censures, fines, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders, revocation of licenses or registrations, the suspension or expulsion from the securities industry of such broker-dealer or its officers or employees, or other similar consequences by both federal and state securities administrators.

In addition, MSSB LLC is a registered investment adviser with the SEC. MSSB LLC's relationship with its investment advisory clients is subject to the fiduciary and other obligations imposed on investment advisors under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder as well as various state securities laws. These laws and regulations generally grant the SEC and other supervisory bodies with broad administrative powers to address non-compliance, including the power to restrict or limit MSSB LLC from carrying on its investment advisory and other asset management activities. Other sanctions that may be imposed include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on engaging in certain activities for specified periods of time or for specified types of clients, the revocation of registrations, other censures and significant fines.

The Dodd-Frank Act includes various provisions that affect the regulation of broker-dealer sales practices and customer relationships. For example, the SEC is authorized to adopt a fiduciary duty applicable to broker-dealers when providing personalized investment advice about securities to retail customers. The U.S. Department of Labor is considering revisions to regulations under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 that could subject broker-dealers to a fiduciary duty and prohibit specified transactions for a wider range of customer interactions. These developments may impact the manner in which affected businesses are conducted, decrease profitability and increase potential liabilities.

Margin lending by broker-dealers is regulated by the Federal Reserve's restrictions on lending in connection with customer and proprietary purchases and short sales of securities, as well as securities borrowing and lending activities. Broker-dealers are also subject to maintenance and other margin requirements imposed under FINRA and other self-regulatory organization rules. In many cases, the Company's broker-dealer subsidiaries' margin policies are more stringent than these rules.

As registered U.S. broker-dealers, certain subsidiaries of the Company are subject to the SEC's net capital rule and the net capital requirements of various exchanges, other regulatory authorities and self-regulatory organizations. Many non-U.S. regulatory authorities and exchanges also have rules relating to capital and, in some cases, liquidity requirements that apply to the Company's non-U.S. broker-dealer subsidiaries. These rules are generally designed to measure general financial integrity and/or liquidity and require that at least a minimum amount of net and/or liquid assets be maintained by the subsidiary. See also *Financial Holding Company Consolidated Supervision* and *Financial Holding Company Capital and Liquidity Standards* above. Rules

Table of Contents

of FINRA and other self-regulatory organizations also impose limitations and requirements on the transfer of member organizations' assets.

Compliance with regulatory capital requirements may limit the Company's operations requiring the intensive use of capital. Such requirements restrict the Company's ability to withdraw capital from its broker-dealer subsidiaries, which in turn may limit its ability to pay dividends, repay debt, or redeem or purchase shares of its own outstanding stock. Any change in such rules or the imposition of new rules affecting the scope, coverage, calculation or amount of capital requirements, or a significant operating loss or any unusually large charge against capital, could adversely affect the Company's ability to pay dividends or to expand or maintain present business levels. In addition, such rules may require the Company to make substantial capital infusions into one or more of its broker-dealer subsidiaries in order for such subsidiaries to comply with such rules.

MS&Co. and MSSB LLC are members of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC), which provides protection for customers of broker-dealers against losses in the event of the insolvency of a broker-dealer. SIPC protects customers' eligible securities held by a member broker-dealer up to \$500,000 per customer for all accounts in the same capacity subject to a limitation of \$250,000 for claims for uninvested cash balances. To supplement this SIPC coverage, each of MS&Co. and MSSB LLC have purchased additional protection for the benefit of their customers in the form of an annual policy issued by certain underwriters and various insurance companies that provides protection for each eligible customer above SIPC limits subject to an aggregate firmwide cap of \$1 billion with no per client sublimit for securities and a \$1.9 million per client limit for the cash portion of any remaining shortfall. As noted under "Financial Holding Company Systemic Risk Regime" above, the Dodd-Frank Act contains special provisions for the orderly liquidation of covered financial institutions (which could potentially include MS&Co. and/or MSSB LLC). While these provisions are generally intended to provide customers of covered broker-dealers with protections at least as beneficial as they would enjoy in a broker-dealer liquidation proceeding under the Securities Investor Protection Act, the details and implementation of such protections are subject to further rulemaking.

The SEC adopted rules requiring broker-dealers to maintain risk management controls and supervisory procedures with respect to providing access to securities markets, which became fully effective in 2012. In July 2012, the SEC adopted a rule requiring the creation of a consolidated audit trail, which, when implemented, will require broker-dealers to report into one consolidated audit trail comprehensive information about every material event in the lifecycle of every quote, order, and execution in all exchange-listed stocks and options, and may ultimately be expanded to other instruments.

It is possible that the SEC or self-regulatory organizations could propose or adopt additional market structure or other rules for equity and fixed income markets in the future. The provisions, new rules and proposals discussed above could result in increased costs and could otherwise adversely affect trading volumes and other conditions in the markets in which the Company operates.

Regulation of Futures Activities and Certain Commodities Activities. MS&Co., as a futures commission merchant, and MSSB LLC, as an introducing broker, are subject to net capital requirements of, and their activities are regulated by, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the CFTC), the National Futures Association (the NFA), a registered futures association, and various commodity futures exchanges. MS&Co. and MSSB LLC and certain of their affiliates are registered members of the NFA in various capacities. Rules and regulations of the CFTC, NFA and commodity futures exchanges address obligations related to, among other things, the segregation of customer funds and the holding of a part of a secured amount, the use by futures commission merchants of customer funds, recordkeeping and reporting obligations of futures commission merchants and introducing brokers, risk disclosure, risk management and discretionary trading. Under rules finalized by the CFTC in November 2013 and effective in January 2014, MS&Co. and MSSB LLC are required to incorporate enhanced customer protections as part of their existing customer protection regime.

Table of Contents

MS&Co. and MSSB LLC have affiliates that are registered as commodity trading advisors and/or commodity pool operators, or are operating under certain exemptions from such registration pursuant to CFTC rules and other guidance. Under CFTC and NFA rules, commodity trading advisors who manage accounts and commodity pool operators that are registered with the NFA must distribute disclosure documents and maintain specified records relating to their activities, and commodity trading advisors and commodity pool operators have certain responsibilities with respect to each pool they advise or operate. Violations of the rules of the CFTC, the NFA or the commodity exchanges could result in remedial actions, including fines, registration restrictions or terminations, trading prohibitions or revocations of commodity exchange memberships.

The Company's commodities activities are subject to extensive and evolving energy, commodities, environmental, health and safety and other governmental laws and regulations in the U.S. and abroad. Intensified scrutiny of certain energy markets by U.S. federal, state and local authorities in the U.S. and abroad and by the public has resulted in increased regulatory and legal enforcement and remedial proceedings involving energy companies, including those engaged in power generation and liquid hydrocarbons trading. Terminal facilities and other assets relating to the Company's commodities activities also are subject to environmental laws both in the U.S. and abroad. In addition, pipeline, transport and terminal operations are subject to state laws in connection with the cleanup of hazardous substances that may have been released at properties currently or previously owned or operated by the Company or locations to which the Company has sent wastes for disposal. See also Financial Holding Company Scope of Permitted Activities above.

Derivatives Regulation. Through the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company faces a comprehensive U.S. regulatory regime for its activities in certain OTC derivatives. The regulation of swaps and security-based swaps (collectively, Swaps) in the U.S. is being, and will continue to be, effected and implemented through the CFTC, SEC and other agency regulations. The CFTC has completed the majority of its regulations in this area, most of which are in effect. The SEC has not yet adopted the majority of its Swaps regulations.

Subject to certain limited exceptions, the Dodd-Frank Act requires central clearing of certain types of Swaps, public and regulatory reporting, and mandatory trading on regulated exchanges or execution facilities. Reporting requirements for CFTC-regulated Swaps are now in effect and certain types of CFTC-regulated interest rate and index credit default swaps are subject to mandatory central clearing. Certain Swaps are also required to be traded on an exchange or execution facility.

The Dodd-Frank Act also requires the registration of swap dealers with the CFTC and security-based swap dealers with the SEC (collectively, Swaps Entities). Certain of the Company's subsidiaries have registered with the CFTC as swap dealers and in the future additional subsidiaries may register with the CFTC. One or more subsidiaries of the Company will in the future be required to register with the SEC as security-based swap dealers.

Swaps Entities are or will be subject to a comprehensive regulatory regime with new obligations for the Swaps activities for which they are registered, including new capital requirements, a new margin regime for uncleared Swaps and a new segregation regime for collateral of counterparties to uncleared Swaps. Swaps Entities are subject to additional duties, including, among others, internal and external business conduct and documentation standards with respect to their Swaps counterparties and recordkeeping.

The specific parameters of some of these requirements for Swaps have been and continue to be developed through the CFTC, SEC and bank regulator rulemakings. In particular, in September 2014, the CFTC and the U.S. banking regulators re-proposed their rules on margin requirements for uncleared Swaps. The full impact on the Company of the U.S. agencies' margin and capital requirements for Swaps Entities will not be known with certainty until the requirements are finalized. In December 2014, the CFTC re-opened the comment period on re-proposed rules that, if finalized as proposed, would limit positions in 28 agricultural, energy and metals commodities, including Swaps, futures and options that are economically equivalent to those commodity contracts. Through this re-proposal, the CFTC is taking steps to institute position limits that were previously finalized in November 2011 but were vacated by a federal court in September 2012.

Table of Contents

Although the full impact of U.S. derivatives regulation on the Company remains unclear, the Company has already, and will continue to, face increased costs and regulatory oversight due to the registration and regulatory requirements indicated above. Complying with the Swaps rules also has required, and will in the future require, the Company to change its Swaps businesses, and has required, and will in the future require, extensive systems and personnel changes. Compliance with Swap-related regulatory capital requirements may require the Company to devote more capital to its Swaps business.

The European Union (E.U.) has adopted and implemented certain rules relating to the OTC derivatives market and these rules imposed regulatory reporting beginning in February 2014. The E.U. plans to impose central clearing requirements on OTC derivatives beginning in 2015 and has started reviewing and adopting determinations of equivalence of the regulatory regimes for central counterparties and trade repositories, and of risk mitigation requirements. In April 2014, E.U. regulators also proposed margin requirements for uncleared Swaps. In addition, other non-U.S. jurisdictions are in the process of adopting and implementing legislation emanating from the G-20 commitments that will require, among other things, the central clearing of certain OTC derivatives, mandatory reporting of derivatives and bilateral risk mitigation procedures for non-cleared trades. It remains unclear at present how the non-U.S. and U.S. derivatives regulatory regimes will interact.

Credit Risk Retention. In October 2014, federal regulatory agencies issued final rules to implement the credit risk retention requirements of Section 941 of the Dodd-Frank Act, which generally require securitizers of different types of asset-backed securitizations, including transactions backed by residential mortgages, commercial mortgages, and corporate, credit card and auto loans, to retain at least 5% of the credit risk of the assets being securitized. Compliance with respect to new securitization transactions backed by residential mortgages is required beginning December 24, 2015 and with respect to new securitization transactions backed by other types of assets beginning December 24, 2016. The Company continues to evaluate the final rules and assess their impact on its securitization activities.

Non-U.S. Regulation. The Company's Institutional Securities businesses also are regulated extensively by non-U.S. regulators, including governments, securities exchanges, commodity exchanges, self-regulatory organizations, central banks and regulatory bodies, especially in those jurisdictions in which the Company maintains an office. Non-U.S. policy makers and regulators, including the European Commission and European Supervisory Authorities, continue to propose and adopt numerous market reforms, including those that may further impact the structure of banks, and formulate regulatory standards and measures that will be of relevance and importance to the Company's European operations. Certain Morgan Stanley subsidiaries are regulated as broker-dealers under the laws of the jurisdictions in which they operate. Subsidiaries engaged in banking and trust activities outside the U.S. are regulated by various government agencies in the particular jurisdiction where they are chartered, incorporated and/or conduct their business activity. For instance, the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA), the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and several securities and futures exchanges in the United Kingdom (U.K.), including the London Stock Exchange and ICE Futures Europe, regulate the Company's activities in the U.K.; the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority) and the Deutsche Börse AG regulate its activities in the Federal Republic of Germany; Eidgenössische Finanzmarktaufsicht (the Financial Market Supervisory Authority) regulates its activities in Switzerland; the Financial Services Agency, the Bank of Japan, the Japanese Securities Dealers Association and several Japanese securities and futures exchanges, including the Tokyo Stock Exchange, the Osaka Securities Exchange and the Tokyo International Financial Futures Exchange, regulate its activities in Japan; the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission, the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited regulate its operations in Hong Kong; and the Monetary Authority of Singapore and the Singapore Exchange Limited regulate its business in Singapore.

Regulators in the U.K., E.U. and other major jurisdictions have also finalized or are in the process of proposing or finalizing risk-based capital, leverage capital, liquidity, banking structural reforms and other regulatory standards applicable to certain Morgan Stanley subsidiaries that operate in those jurisdictions. For example, the Company's primary broker-dealer in the U.K., MSIP, is subject to regulation and supervision by the PRA with

Table of Contents

respect to prudential matters. As a prudential regulator, the PRA seeks to promote the safety and soundness of the firms that it regulates and to minimize the adverse effects that such firms may have on the stability of the U.K. financial system. The PRA has broad legal authority to establish prudential and other standards to pursue these objectives, including approvals of relevant regulatory models, as well as to bring public and non-public disciplinary actions against regulated firms to address noncompliance with such standards. MSIP is also regulated and supervised by the FCA with respect to business conduct matters. On January 1, 2014, MSIP became subject to the Capital Requirements Regulation and Capital Requirements Directive (collectively, "CRD IV"), which implements the Basel III and other regulatory requirements for E.U. credit institutions and investment firms, including MSIP. European Market Infrastructure Regulation introduces new requirements regarding the central clearing and reporting of derivatives. In addition, the E.U. Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive ("BRRD") has established a recovery and resolution framework for E.U. credit institutions and investment firms, including MSIP. E.U. Member States were required to apply provisions implementing the BRRD as of January 1, 2015, subject to certain exemptions. A recast Markets in Financial Instruments Directive ("MiFID II") and a new Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation ("MiFIR") have also been adopted and will introduce various trading and market infrastructure reforms in the E.U. MiFID II and MiFIR are to apply from January 3, 2017, subject to certain exemptions.

Investment Management.

Many of the subsidiaries engaged in the Company's asset management activities are registered as investment advisers with the SEC. Many aspects of the Company's asset management activities are subject to federal and state laws and regulations primarily intended to benefit the investor or client. These laws and regulations generally grant supervisory agencies and bodies broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict the Company from carrying on its asset management activities in the event that it fails to comply with such laws and regulations. Sanctions that may be imposed for such failure include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on the Company engaging in various asset management activities for specified periods of time or specified types of clients, the revocation of registrations, other censures and significant fines. In order to facilitate its asset management business, the Company owns a registered U.S. broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Distribution, Inc., which acts as distributor to the Morgan Stanley mutual funds and as placement agent to certain private investment funds managed by the Company's Investment Management business segment. A number of legal entities within the Company's Investment Management business are registered as commodity trading advisors and/or commodity pool operators, or are operating under certain exemptions from such registration pursuant to CFTC rules and other guidance. See also "Institutional Securities and Wealth Management Broker-Dealer and Investment Adviser Regulation" and "Institutional Securities and Wealth Management Regulation of Futures Activities and Certain Commodities Activities" above.

As a result of the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company's asset management activities will be subject to certain additional laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, additional reporting and recordkeeping requirements (including with respect to clients that are private funds), restrictions on sponsoring or investing in, or maintaining certain other relationships with, "covered funds," as defined in the Volcker Rule, subject to certain limited exemptions, and certain rules and regulations regarding trading activities, including trading in derivatives markets. Many of these new requirements may increase the expenses associated with the Company's asset management activities and/or reduce the investment returns the Company is able to generate for its asset management clients.

The Company is continuing its review of its asset management activities that may be affected by the Volcker Rule and is taking steps to establish the necessary compliance programs to help ensure and monitor compliance with the Volcker Rule. The Company had already taken certain steps to comply with the Volcker Rule prior to the issuance of the final regulations, including, for example, launching new funds that are designed to comply with the Volcker Rule. Given the complexity of the new framework, the full impact of the Volcker Rule is still uncertain, and will ultimately depend on the interpretation and implementation by the five regulatory agencies responsible for its oversight. See also "Financial Holding Company Activities Restrictions under the Volcker Rule."

Table of Contents

The Company's Investment Management business is also regulated outside the U.S. For example, the FCA is the primary regulator of the Company's business in the U.K.; the Financial Services Agency regulates the Company's business in Japan; the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission regulates the Company's business in Hong Kong; and the Monetary Authority of Singapore regulates the Company's business in Singapore.

Anti-Money Laundering and Economic Sanctions.

The Company's Anti-Money Laundering (AML) program is coordinated on an enterprise-wide basis. In the U.S., for example, the Bank Secrecy Act, as amended by the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, imposes significant obligations on financial institutions to detect and deter money laundering and terrorist financing activity, including requiring banks, bank holding company subsidiaries, broker-dealers, futures commission merchants, introducing brokers and mutual funds to implement AML programs, verify the identity of customers that maintain accounts, and monitor and report suspicious activity to appropriate law enforcement or regulatory authorities. Outside the U.S., applicable laws, rules and regulations similarly require designated types of financial institutions to implement AML programs. The Company has implemented policies, procedures and internal controls that are designed to comply with all applicable AML laws and regulations. The Company has also implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with the regulations and economic sanctions programs administered by the U.S. Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), which target foreign countries, entities and individuals based on external threats to U.S. foreign policy, national security or economic interests, and as applicable similar sanctions programs imposed by foreign governments or global or regional multilateral organizations such as the United Nations Security Council and the E.U. Council.

Anti-Corruption.

The Company is subject to applicable anti-corruption laws, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U.K. Bribery Act, in the jurisdictions in which it operates. Anti-corruption laws generally prohibit offering, promising, giving, or authorizing others to give anything of value, either directly or indirectly, to a government official or private party in order to influence official action or otherwise gain an unfair business advantage, such as to obtain or retain business. The Company has implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with such laws, rules and regulations.

Protection of Client Information.

Many aspects of the Company's businesses are subject to legal requirements concerning the use and protection of certain customer information, including those adopted pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 in the U.S., the E.U. Data Protection Directive and various laws in Asia, including the Japanese Personal Information (Protection) Law, the Hong Kong Personal Data (Protection) Ordinance and the Australian Privacy Act. The Company has adopted measures designed to comply with these and related applicable requirements in all relevant jurisdictions.

Research.

Both U.S. and non-U.S. regulators continue to focus on research conflicts of interest. Research-related regulations have been implemented in many jurisdictions. In November 2014, FINRA proposed to amend its equity research rules and adopt new rules for debt research. New and revised requirements resulting from these regulations and the global research settlement with U.S. federal and state regulators (to which the

Company is a party) have necessitated the development or enhancement of corresponding policies and procedures.

Compensation Practices and Other Regulation.

The Company's compensation practices are subject to oversight by the Federal Reserve. In particular, the Company is subject to the Federal Reserve's guidance that is designed to help ensure that incentive compensation paid by banking organizations does not encourage imprudent risk-taking that threatens the organizations' safety.

Table of Contents

and soundness. The scope and content of the Federal Reserve's policies on executive compensation are continuing to develop and may change based on findings from its peer review process, and the Company expects that these policies will evolve over a number of years.

The Company is subject to the compensation-related provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, which may impact its compensation practices. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, among other things, federal regulators, including the Federal Reserve, must prescribe regulations to require covered financial institutions, including the Company, to report the structures of all of their incentive-based compensation arrangements and prohibit incentive-based payment arrangements that encourage inappropriate risk taking by providing employees, directors or principal shareholders with compensation that is excessive or that could lead to material financial loss to the covered financial institution. In April 2011, seven federal agencies, including the Federal Reserve, jointly proposed an interagency rule implementing this requirement. Further, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the SEC must direct listing exchanges to require companies to implement policies relating to disclosure of incentive-based compensation that is based on publicly reported financial information and the clawback of such compensation from current or former executive officers following certain accounting restatements.

In addition to the guidelines issued by the Federal Reserve and referenced above, the Company's compensation practices may also be impacted by other regulations, including those relating to the E.U. CRD IV, the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive, the fifth Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities Directive, the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive and the future second Markets in Financial Instruments Directive and Regulation. The Company's compensation practices with respect to certain employees whose activities have a material impact on the risk profile of the Company's E.U. operations are subject to the CRD IV and related E.U. and local Member State regulations, including, amongst others, a cap on the ratio of variable remuneration to fixed remuneration and other variable remuneration restrictions. In the U.K., the remuneration of certain employees of banks and other firms is governed by the Remuneration Codes in the PRA and FCA Handbooks, including since January 1, 2014, provisions that implement the CRD IV as well as additional U.K. requirements.

For a discussion of certain risks relating to the Company's regulatory environment, see "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A.

Executive Officers of Morgan Stanley.

The executive officers of Morgan Stanley and their ages and titles as of March 2, 2015 are set forth below. Business experience for the past five years is provided in accordance with SEC rules.

Gregory J. Fleming (52). Executive Vice President (since February 2010), President of Investment Management (since February 2010) and President of Wealth Management of Morgan Stanley (since January 2011). President of Research of Morgan Stanley (February 2010 to January 2011). Senior Research Scholar at Yale Law School and Distinguished Visiting Fellow of the Center for the Study of Corporate Law at Yale Law School (January 2009 to December 2009). President of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Merrill Lynch) (February 2008 to January 2009). Co-President of Merrill Lynch (May 2007 to February 2008). Executive Vice President and Co-President of the Global Markets and Investment Banking Group of Merrill Lynch (August 2003 to May 2007).

James P. Gorman (56). Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Morgan Stanley (since January 2012). President and Chief Executive Officer (January 2010 through December 2011) and member of the Board of Directors (since January 2010). Co-President (December 2007 to December 2009) and Co-Head of Strategic Planning (October 2007 to December 2009). President and Chief Operating Officer of Wealth Management (February 2006 to April 2008).

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Eric F. Grossman (48). Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of Morgan Stanley (since January 2012). Global Head of Legal (September 2010 to January 2012). Global Head of Litigation (January 2006 to

Table of Contents

September 2010) and General Counsel of the Americas (May 2009 to September 2010). General Counsel of Wealth Management (November 2008 to September 2010). Partner at the law firm of Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP (June 2001 to December 2005).

Keishi Hotsuki (52). Executive Vice President (since May 2014) and Chief Risk Officer of Morgan Stanley (since May 2011). Interim Chief Risk Officer (January 2011 to May 2011) and Head of Market Risk Department (March 2008 to April 2014). Director of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. (since May 2010). Global Head of Market Risk Management at Merrill Lynch (June 2005 to September 2007).

Colm Kelleher (57). Executive Vice President (since October 2007), President of Institutional Securities (since January 2013) and of Head of International (since January 2011) of Morgan Stanley. Co-President of Institutional Securities (January 2010 to December 2012). Chief Financial Officer and Co-Head of Strategic Planning (October 2007 to December 2009). Head of Global Capital Markets (February 2006 to October 2007). Co-Head of Fixed Income Europe (May 2004 to February 2006).

Ruth Porat (57). Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Morgan Stanley (since January 2010). Vice Chairman of Investment Banking (September 2003 to December 2009). Global Head of Financial Institutions Group (September 2006 to December 2009) and Chairman of the Financial Sponsors Group (July 2004 to September 2006) within Investment Banking.

James A. Rosenthal (61). Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Morgan Stanley (since January 2011). Head of Corporate Strategy (January 2010 to May 2011). Chief Operating Officer of Wealth Management (January 2010 to August 2011). Head of Firmwide Technology and Operations of Morgan Stanley (March 2008 to January 2010). Chief Financial Officer of Tishman Speyer (May 2006 to March 2008).

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Market Risk.

Market risk refers to the risk that a change in the level of one or more market prices, rates, indices, implied volatilities (the price volatility of the underlying instrument imputed from option prices), correlations or other market factors, such as market liquidity, will result in losses for a position or portfolio owned by us. For more information on how we monitor and manage market risk, see [Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk](#) in Part II, Item 7A.

Our results of operations may be materially affected by market fluctuations and by global and economic conditions and other factors.

Our results of operations may be materially affected by market fluctuations due to global and economic conditions and other factors. Our results of operations in the past have been, and in the future may be, materially affected by many factors, including the effect of economic and political conditions and geopolitical events; the effect of market conditions, particularly in the global equity, fixed income, currency, credit and commodities markets, including corporate and mortgage (commercial and residential) lending and commercial real estate and energy markets; the impact of current, pending and future legislation (including the Dodd-Frank Act), regulation (including capital, leverage and liquidity requirements), policies (including fiscal and monetary), and legal and regulatory actions in the U.S. and worldwide; the level and volatility of equity, fixed income and commodity prices (including oil prices), interest rates, currency values and other market indices; the availability and cost of both credit and capital as well as the credit ratings assigned to our unsecured short-term and long-term debt; investor, consumer and business sentiment and confidence in the financial markets; the performance of our acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, strategic alliances or other strategic arrangements (including with Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. (MUFG)); our reputation and the general perception of the financial services industry; inflation, natural disasters, pandemics and acts of war or terrorism; the actions and initiatives of current and potential competitors, as well as governments, regulators and self-regulatory organizations; the effectiveness of our risk management policies; and technological changes and risks and cybersecurity risks (including cyber attacks and business continuity risks); or a combination of these or other factors. In addition, legislative, legal and regulatory developments related to our businesses are likely to increase costs, thereby affecting results of operations. These factors also may have an adverse impact on our ability to achieve our strategic objectives.

The results of our Institutional Securities business segment, particularly results relating to our involvement in primary and secondary markets for all types of financial products, are subject to substantial fluctuations due to a variety of factors, such as those enumerated above that we cannot control or predict with great certainty. These fluctuations impact results by causing variations in new business flows and in the fair value of securities and other financial products. Fluctuations also occur due to the level of global market activity, which, among other things, affects the size, number and timing of investment banking client assignments and transactions and the realization of returns from our principal investments. During periods of unfavorable market or economic conditions, the level of individual investor participation in the global markets, as well as the level of client assets, may also decrease, which would negatively impact the results of our Wealth Management business segment. In addition, fluctuations in global market activity could impact the flow of investment capital into or from assets under management or supervision and the way customers allocate capital among money market, equity, fixed income or other investment alternatives, which could negatively impact our Investment Management business segment.

We may experience declines in the value of our financial instruments and other losses related to volatile and illiquid market conditions.

Market volatility, illiquid market conditions and disruptions in the credit markets make it extremely difficult to value certain of our financial instruments, particularly during periods of market displacement. Subsequent valuations, in light of factors then prevailing, may result in

significant changes in the values of these instruments

Table of Contents

in future periods. In addition, at the time of any sales and settlements of these financial instruments, the price we ultimately realize will depend on the demand and liquidity in the market at that time and may be materially lower than their current fair value. Any of these factors could cause a decline in the value of our financial instruments, which may have an adverse effect on our results of operations in future periods.

In addition, financial markets are susceptible to severe events evidenced by rapid depreciation in asset values accompanied by a reduction in asset liquidity. Under these extreme conditions, hedging and other risk management strategies may not be as effective at mitigating trading losses as they would be under more normal market conditions. Moreover, under these conditions market participants are particularly exposed to trading strategies employed by many market participants simultaneously and on a large scale. Our risk management and monitoring processes seek to quantify and mitigate risk to more extreme market moves. However, severe market events have historically been difficult to predict, as seen in the last several years, and we could realize significant losses if extreme market events were to occur.

Holding large and concentrated positions may expose us to losses.

Concentration of risk may reduce revenues or result in losses in our market-making, investing, block trading, underwriting and lending businesses in the event of unfavorable market movements. We commit substantial amounts of capital to these businesses, which often results in our taking large positions in the securities of, or making large loans to, a particular issuer or issuers in a particular industry, country or region.

Credit Risk.

Credit risk refers to the risk of loss arising when a borrower, counterparty or issuer does not meet its financial obligations to us. For more information on how we monitor and manage credit risk, see [Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk](#) Risk Management Credit Risk in Part II, Item 7A.

We are exposed to the risk that third parties that are indebted to us will not perform their obligations.

We incur significant credit risk exposure through our Institutional Securities business segment. This risk may arise from a variety of business activities, including but not limited to entering into swap or other derivative contracts under which counterparties have obligations to make payments to us; extending credit to clients through various lending commitments; providing short or long-term funding that is secured by physical or financial collateral whose value may at times be insufficient to fully cover the loan repayment amount; posting margin and/or collateral and other commitments to clearing houses, clearing agencies, exchanges, banks, securities firms and other financial counterparties; and investing and trading in securities and loan pools whereby the value of these assets may fluctuate based on realized or expected defaults on the underlying obligations or loans.

We also incur credit risk in our Wealth Management business segment lending to mainly individual investors, including, but not limited to, margin and securities-based loans collateralized by securities, residential mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

While we believe current valuations and reserves adequately address our perceived levels of risk, there is a possibility that adverse difficult economic conditions may negatively impact our clients and our current credit exposures. In addition, as a clearing member of several central counterparties, we finance our customer positions and we could be held responsible for the defaults or misconduct of our customers. Although we regularly review our credit exposures, default risk may arise from events or circumstances that are difficult to detect or foresee.

A default by a large financial institution could adversely affect financial markets generally.

The commercial soundness of many financial institutions may be closely interrelated as a result of credit, trading, clearing or other relationships between the institutions. For example, increased centralization of trading activities

Table of Contents

through particular clearing houses, central agents or exchanges as required by provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act may increase our concentration of risk with respect to these entities. As a result, concerns about, or a default or threatened default by, one institution could lead to significant market-wide liquidity and credit problems, losses or defaults by other institutions. This is sometimes referred to as systemic risk and may adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges, with which we interact with on a daily basis, and therefore could adversely affect us. See also Systemic Risk Regime under Business Supervision and Regulation Financial Holding Company in Part I, Item 1.

Operational Risk.

Operational risk refers to the risk of loss, or of damage to our reputation, resulting from inadequate or failed processes, people and systems or from external events (e.g., fraud, theft, legal and compliance risks or damage to physical assets). We may incur operational risk across the full scope of our business activities, including revenue-generating activities (e.g., sales and trading) and control groups (e.g., information technology and trade processing). Legal, regulatory and compliance risk is included in the scope of operational risk and is discussed below under Legal, Regulatory and Compliance Risk. For more information on how we monitor and manage operational risk, see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Risk Management Operational Risk in Part II, Item 7A.

We are subject to operational risks, including a failure, breach or other disruption of our operational or security systems, that could adversely affect our businesses or reputation.

Our businesses are highly dependent on our ability to process, on a daily basis, a large number of transactions across numerous and diverse markets in many currencies. In some of our businesses, the transactions we process are complex. In addition, we may introduce new products or services or change processes, resulting in new operational risk that we may not fully appreciate or identify. The technology used is increasingly complex and relies on the continued effectiveness of the programming code and integrity of the data to process the trades. We perform the functions required to operate our different businesses either by ourselves or through agreements with third parties. We rely on the ability of our employees, our internal systems and systems at technology centers operated by unaffiliated third parties to process a high volume of transactions.

As a major participant in the global capital markets, we maintain extensive controls to reduce the risk of incorrect valuation or risk management of our trading positions due to flaws in data, models, systems or processes or due to fraud. Nevertheless, such risk cannot be completely eliminated.

We also face the risk of operational failure or termination of any of the clearing agents, exchanges, clearing houses or other financial intermediaries we use to facilitate our securities transactions. In the event of a breakdown or improper operation of our or a third party's systems or improper or unauthorized action by third parties or our employees, we could suffer financial loss, an impairment to our liquidity, a disruption of our businesses, regulatory sanctions or damage to our reputation. In addition, the interconnectivity of multiple financial institutions with central agents, exchanges and clearing houses, and the increased importance of these entities, increases the risk that an operational failure at one institution or entity may cause an industry-wide operational failure that could materially impact our ability to conduct business.

Despite the business contingency plans we have in place, there can be no assurance that such plans will fully mitigate all potential business continuity risks to us. Our ability to conduct business may be adversely affected by a disruption in the infrastructure that supports our business and the communities where we are located, which are concentrated in the New York metropolitan area, London, Hong Kong and Tokyo as well as Mumbai, Budapest, Glasgow and Baltimore. This may include a disruption involving physical site access, cyber incidents, terrorist activities,

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

disease pandemics, catastrophic events, natural disasters, extreme weather events, electrical, environmental, computer servers, communications or other services we use, our employees or third parties with whom we conduct business.

Table of Contents

Although we devote significant resources to maintaining and upgrading our systems and networks with measures such as intrusion and detection prevention systems, monitoring firewalls to safeguard critical business applications, and supervising third party providers that have access to our systems, there is no guarantee that these measures or any other measures can provide absolute security. The increased use of smartphones, tablets and other mobile devices as well as cloud computing may also heighten these and other operational risks. Like other financial services firms, we and our third party providers continue to be the subject of attempted unauthorized access, mishandling or misuse of information, computer viruses or malware, cyber attacks designed to obtain confidential information, destroy data, disrupt or degrade service, sabotage systems or cause other damage, denial of service attacks and other events. These threats may derive from human error, fraud or malice on the part of our employees or third parties, including third party providers, or may result from accidental technological failure. Additional challenges are posed by external extremist parties, including foreign state actors, in some circumstances as a means to promote political ends. Any of these parties may also attempt to fraudulently induce employees, customers, clients, third parties or other users of our systems to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to our data or that of our customers or clients. There can be no assurance that such unauthorized access or cyber incidents will not occur in the future, and they could occur more frequently and on a more significant scale.

If one or more of these events occur, it could result in a security impact on our systems and jeopardize our or our clients', partners' or counterparties' personal, confidential, proprietary or other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our and our third party providers' computer systems. Furthermore, such events could cause interruptions or malfunctions in our, our clients', partners', counterparties' or third parties' operations, which could result in reputational damage with our clients and the market, client dissatisfaction, additional costs to us (such as repairing systems or adding new personnel or protection technologies), regulatory investigations, litigation or enforcement or regulatory fines or penalties, all or any of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Given our global footprint and the high volume of transactions we process, the large number of clients, partners and counterparties with which we do business, and the increasing sophistication of cyber attacks, a cyber attack could occur without detection for an extended period of time. In addition, we expect that any investigation of a cyber attack will be inherently unpredictable and it may take time before any investigation is complete and full and reliable information is available. During such time we may not know the extent of the harm or how best to remediate it and certain errors or actions may be repeated or compounded before they are discovered and rectified, all or any of which would further increase the costs and consequences of a cyber attack.

While many of our agreements with partners and third party vendors include indemnification provisions, we may not be able to recover sufficiently, or at all, under such provisions to adequately offset any losses. In addition, although we maintain insurance coverage that may, subject to policy terms and conditions, cover certain aspects of cyber risks, such insurance coverage may be insufficient to cover all losses.

Liquidity and Funding Risk.

Liquidity and funding risk refers to the risk that we will be unable to finance our operations due to a loss of access to the capital markets or difficulty in liquidating our assets. Liquidity and funding risk also encompasses our ability to meet our financial obligations without experiencing significant business disruption or reputational damage that may threaten our viability as a going concern. For more information on how we monitor and manage liquidity and funding risk, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources in Part II, Item 7.

Liquidity is essential to our businesses and we rely on external sources to finance a significant portion of our operations.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Liquidity is essential to our businesses. Our liquidity could be negatively affected by our inability to raise funding in the long-term or short-term debt capital markets or our inability to access the secured lending markets.

Table of Contents

Factors that we cannot control, such as disruption of the financial markets or negative views about the financial services industry generally, including concerns regarding the remaining sovereign debt issues in Europe or fiscal matters in the U.S., could impair our ability to raise funding. In addition, our ability to raise funding could be impaired if investors or lenders develop a negative perception of our long-term or short-term financial prospects due to factors such as an incurrence of large trading losses, a downgrade by the rating agencies, a decline in the level of our business activity, or if regulatory authorities take significant action against us, or we discover significant employee misconduct or illegal activity. If we are unable to raise funding using the methods described above, we would likely need to finance or liquidate unencumbered assets, such as our investment and trading portfolios, to meet maturing liabilities. We may be unable to sell some of our assets, or we may have to sell assets at a discount to market value, either of which could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Our borrowing costs and access to the debt capital markets depend significantly on our credit ratings.

The cost and availability of unsecured financing generally are impacted by our short-term and long-term credit ratings. The rating agencies are continuing to monitor certain issuer specific factors that are important to the determination of our credit ratings, including governance, the level and quality of earnings, capital adequacy, funding and liquidity, risk appetite and management, asset quality, strategic direction, and business mix. Additionally, the rating agencies will look at other industry-wide factors such as regulatory or legislative changes, macro-economic environment, and perceived levels of government support, and it is possible that they could downgrade our ratings and those of similar institutions. See also Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Ratings in Part II, Item 7.

Our credit ratings also can have a significant impact on certain trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where longer term counterparty performance is a key consideration, such as OTC derivative transactions, including credit derivatives and interest rate Swaps. In connection with certain OTC trading agreements and certain other agreements associated with our Institutional Securities business segment, we may be required to provide additional collateral to, or immediately settle any outstanding liability balance with, certain counterparties in the event of a credit ratings downgrade. Termination of our trading and other agreements could cause us to sustain losses and impair our liquidity by requiring us to find other sources of financing or to make significant cash payments or securities movements. The additional collateral or termination payments which may occur in the event of a future credit rating downgrade vary by contract and can be based on ratings by either or both of Moody's Investor Services and Standard & Poor's Rating Services. At December 31, 2014, the future potential collateral amounts and termination payments that could be called or required by counterparties, exchanges and clearing organizations in the event of one-notch or two-notch downgrade scenarios based on the relevant contractual downgrade triggers were \$1,856 million and an incremental \$2,984 million, respectively.

We are a holding company and depend on payments from our subsidiaries.

The parent holding company depends on dividends, distributions and other payments from its subsidiaries to fund dividend payments and to fund all payments on its obligations, including debt obligations. Regulatory, tax restrictions or elections and other legal restrictions may limit our ability to transfer funds freely, either to or from our subsidiaries. In particular, many of our subsidiaries, including our broker-dealer subsidiaries, are subject to laws, regulations and self-regulatory organization rules that authorize regulatory bodies to block or reduce the flow of funds to the parent holding company, or that prohibit such transfers altogether in certain circumstances, including steps to ring fence entities by regulators outside of the U.S. to protect clients and creditors of such entities in the event of financial difficulties involving such entities. These laws, regulations and rules may hinder our ability to access funds that we may need to make payments on our obligations. Furthermore, as a bank holding company, we may become subject to a prohibition or to limitations on our ability to pay dividends or repurchase our common stock. The OCC, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC have the authority, and under certain circumstances the duty, to prohibit or to limit the payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise, including us and our U.S. Subsidiary Banks.

Table of Contents

Our liquidity and financial condition have in the past been, and in the future could be, adversely affected by U.S. and international markets and economic conditions.

Our ability to raise funding in the long-term or short-term debt capital markets or the equity markets, or to access secured lending markets, has in the past been, and could in the future be, adversely affected by conditions in the U.S. and international markets and economies. Global market and economic conditions have been particularly disrupted and volatile in the last several years and may be in the future. In particular, our cost and availability of funding in the past have been, and may in the future be, adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Significant turbulence in the U.S., the E.U. and other international markets and economies could adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition and the willingness of certain counterparties and customers to do business with us.

Legal, Regulatory and Compliance Risk.

Legal, regulatory and compliance risk includes the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss including fines, penalties, judgments, damages and/or settlements, or loss to reputation we may suffer as a result of our failure to comply with laws, regulations, rules, related self-regulatory organization standards and codes of conduct applicable to our business activities. This risk also includes contractual and commercial risk such as the risk that a counterparty's performance obligations will be unenforceable. In today's environment of rapid and possibly transformational regulatory change, we also view regulatory change as a component of legal, regulatory and compliance risk. For more information on how we monitor and manage legal, regulatory and compliance risk, see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Risk Management Legal and Compliance Risk in Part II, Item 7A.

The financial services industry is subject to extensive regulation, which is undergoing major changes that will impact our business.

Like other major financial services firms, we are subject to extensive regulation by U.S. federal and state regulatory agencies and securities exchanges and by regulators and exchanges in each of the major markets where we conduct our business. These laws and regulations significantly affect the way we do business and can restrict the scope of our existing businesses and limit our ability to expand our product offerings and pursue certain investments.

In response to the financial crisis, legislators and regulators, both in the U.S. and worldwide, have adopted, continue to propose and are in the process of adopting, finalizing and implementing a wide range of financial market reforms that are resulting in major changes to the way our global operations are regulated and conducted. In particular, as a result of these reforms, we are, or will become, subject to (among other things) significantly revised and expanded regulation and supervision, more intensive scrutiny of our businesses and any plans for expansion of those businesses, new activities limitations, a systemic risk regime that imposes heightened capital and liquidity requirements and other enhanced prudential standards, new resolution regimes and resolution planning requirements, new restrictions on activities and investments imposed by the Volcker Rule, and comprehensive new derivatives regulation. While certain portions of these reforms are effective, others are still subject to final rulemaking or transition periods. Many of the changes required by these reforms could materially impact the profitability of our businesses and the value of assets we hold, expose us to additional costs, require changes to business practices or force us to discontinue businesses, adversely affect our ability to pay dividends and repurchase our stock, or require us to raise capital, including in ways that may adversely impact our shareholders or creditors. In addition, regulatory requirements that are being proposed by foreign policymakers and regulators may be inconsistent or conflict with regulations that we are subject to in the U.S. and, if adopted, may adversely affect us. While there continues to be uncertainty about the full impact of these changes, we do know that the Company is and will continue to be subject to a more complex regulatory framework, and will incur costs to comply with new requirements as well as to monitor for compliance in the future.

Table of Contents

For example, the Volcker Rule provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will have an impact on us, including potentially limiting various aspects of our business. We are continuing our review of activities that may be affected by the Volcker Rule and are taking steps to establish the necessary compliance programs to comply with the Volcker Rule. Given the complexity of the new framework, the full impact of the Volcker Rule is still uncertain and will ultimately depend on the interpretation and implementation by the five regulatory agencies responsible for its oversight.

The financial services industry faces substantial litigation and is subject to extensive regulatory investigations, and we may face damage to our reputation and legal liability.

As a global financial services firm, we face the risk of investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory organizations in all countries in which we conduct our business. Interventions by authorities may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief. In addition to the monetary consequences, these measures could, for example, impact our ability to engage in, or impose limitations on, certain of our businesses. The number of these investigations and proceedings, as well as the amount of penalties and fines sought, has increased substantially in recent years with regard to many firms in the financial services industry, including us. Significant regulatory action against us could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations or cause us significant reputational harm, which could seriously harm our business. The Dodd-Frank Act also provides compensation to whistleblowers who present the SEC or CFTC with information related to securities or commodities law violations that leads to a successful enforcement action. As a result of this compensation, it is possible we could face an increased number of investigations by the SEC or CFTC.

We have been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, and other litigation, as well as investigations or proceedings brought by regulatory agencies, arising in connection with our activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Certain of the actual or threatened legal or regulatory actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages, claims for indeterminate amounts of damages, or may result in penalties, fines, or other results adverse to us. In some cases, the issuers that would otherwise be the primary defendants in such cases are bankrupt or in financial distress. Like any large corporation, we are also subject to risk from potential employee misconduct, including non-compliance with policies and improper use or disclosure of confidential information.

We may be responsible for representations and warranties associated with residential and commercial real estate loans and may incur losses in excess of our reserves.

We originate loans secured by commercial and residential properties. Further, we securitize and trade in a wide range of commercial and residential real estate and real estate-related whole loans, mortgages and other real estate and commercial assets and products, including residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities. In connection with these activities, we have provided, or otherwise agreed to be responsible for, certain representations and warranties. Under certain circumstances, we may be required to repurchase such assets or make other payments related to such assets if such representations and warranties were breached. Between 2004 and December 31, 2014, we sponsored residential mortgage-backed securities transactions containing approximately \$148.0 billion of residential loans, primarily in the U.S. Of that amount, we made representations and warranties concerning approximately \$47.0 billion of loans and agreed to be responsible for the representations and warranties made by third-party sellers, many of which are now insolvent, on approximately \$21.0 billion of loans. At December 31, 2014, the current unpaid principal balance (UPB) for all the U.S. residential loans subject to such representations and warranties was approximately \$15.5 billion and the cumulative losses associated with such loans were approximately \$14.1 billion. We did not make, or otherwise agree to be responsible, for the representations and warranties made by third party sellers on approximately \$79.9 billion of residential loans that we securitized during that time period. We have not made representations and warranties on loans deposited into any U.S. RMBS transactions since 2007.

Table of Contents

We have also made representations and warranties in connection with our role as an originator of certain commercial mortgage loans that we securitized in commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS). Between 2004 and December 31, 2014, we originated approximately \$56.0 billion and \$7.0 billion of U.S. and non-U.S. commercial mortgage loans, respectively, that were placed into CMBS sponsored by us. At December 31, 2014, the current UPB for all U.S. commercial mortgage loans subject to such representations and warranties was \$33.7 billion. At December 31, 2014, the current UPB when known for all non-U.S. commercial mortgage loans, subject to such representations and warranties was approximately \$1.8 billion and the UPB at the time of sale when the current UPB is not known was \$0.4 billion.

We currently have several legal proceedings related to claims for alleged breaches of representations and warranties. If there are decisions adverse to us in those legal proceedings, we may incur losses substantially in excess of our reserves. In addition, our reserves are based, in part, on certain factual and legal assumptions. If those assumptions are incorrect and need to be revised, we may need to adjust our reserves substantially.

Our commodities activities subject us to extensive regulation, potential catastrophic events and environmental risks and regulation that may expose us to significant costs and liabilities.

In connection with the commodities activities in our Institutional Securities business segment, we engage in the production, storage, transportation, marketing and trading of several commodities, including metals (base and precious), crude oil, oil products, natural gas, electric power, emission credits, coal, freight, liquefied natural gas and related products and indices. In addition, we are an electricity power marketer in the U.S. and own electricity generating facilities in the U.S. and own a minority interest in Heidmar Holdings LLC, which owns a group of companies that provide international marine transportation and U.S. marine logistics services. As a result of these activities, we are subject to extensive and evolving energy, commodities, environmental, health and safety and other governmental laws and regulations. In addition, liability may be incurred without regard to fault under certain environmental laws and regulations for the remediation of contaminated areas. Further, through these activities we are exposed to regulatory, physical and certain indirect risks associated with climate change. Our commodities business also exposes us to the risk of unforeseen and catastrophic events, including natural disasters, leaks, spills, explosions, release of toxic substances, fires, accidents on land and at sea, wars, and terrorist attacks that could result in personal injuries, loss of life, property damage, and suspension of operations.

Although we have attempted to mitigate our pollution and other environmental risks by, among other measures, adopting appropriate policies and procedures for power plant operations, monitoring the quality of petroleum storage facilities and transport vessels and implementing emergency response programs, these actions may not prove adequate to address every contingency. In addition, insurance covering some of these risks may not be available, and the proceeds, if any, from insurance recovery may not be adequate to cover liabilities with respect to particular incidents. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be adversely affected by these events.

We continue to engage in discussions with the Federal Reserve regarding our commodities activities, as the BHC Act provides a grandfather exemption for activities related to the trading, sale or investment in commodities and underlying physical properties, provided that we were engaged in any of such activities as of September 30, 1997 in the United States and provided that certain other conditions that are within our reasonable control are satisfied. If the Federal Reserve were to determine that any of our commodities activities did not qualify for the BHC Act grandfather exemption, then we would likely be required to divest any such activities that did not otherwise conform to the BHC Act. See also Scope of Permitted Activities under Business Supervision and Regulation in Part I, Item 1.

We also expect the other laws and regulations affecting our commodities business to increase in both scope and complexity. During the past several years, intensified scrutiny of certain energy markets by federal, state and local authorities in the U.S. and abroad and the public has resulted in increased regulatory and legal enforcement, litigation and remedial proceedings involving companies conducting the activities in which we are engaged. For

Table of Contents

example, the U.S. and the E.U. have increased their focus on the energy markets which has resulted in increased regulation of companies participating in the energy markets, including those engaged in power generation and liquid hydrocarbons trading. In addition, new regulation of OTC derivatives markets in the U.S. and similar legislation proposed or adopted abroad will impose significant new costs and impose new requirements on our commodities derivatives activities. We may incur substantial costs or loss of revenue in complying with current or future laws and regulations and our overall businesses and reputation may be adversely affected by the current legal environment. In addition, failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in substantial civil and criminal fines and penalties.

A failure to address conflicts of interest appropriately could adversely affect our businesses and reputation.

As a global financial services firm that provides products and services to a large and diversified group of clients, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals, we face potential conflicts of interest in the normal course of business. For example, potential conflicts can occur when there is a divergence of interests between us and a client, among clients, or between an employee on the one hand and us or a client on the other. We have policies, procedures and controls that are designed to address potential conflicts of interest. However, identifying and mitigating potential conflicts of interest can be complex and challenging, and can become the focus of media and regulatory scrutiny. Indeed, actions that merely appear to create a conflict can put our reputation at risk even if the likelihood of an actual conflict has been mitigated. It is possible that potential conflicts could give rise to litigation or enforcement actions, which may lead to our clients being less willing to enter into transactions in which a conflict may occur and could adversely affect our businesses and reputation.

Our regulators have the ability to scrutinize our activities for potential conflicts of interest, including through detailed examinations of specific transactions. Our status as a bank holding company supervised by the Federal Reserve subjects us to direct Federal Reserve scrutiny with respect to transactions between our U.S. Subsidiary Banks and their affiliates.

Risk Management.

Our risk management strategies may not be fully effective in mitigating our risk exposures in all market environments or against all types of risk.

We have devoted significant resources to develop our risk management policies and procedures and expect to continue to do so in the future. Nonetheless, our risk management strategies, including our hedging strategies, may not be fully effective in mitigating our risk exposure in all market environments or against all types of risk, including risks that are unidentified or unanticipated. As our businesses change and grow, and the markets in which we operate evolve, our risk management strategies may not always adapt with those changes. Some of our methods of managing risk are based upon our use of observed historical market behavior and management's judgment. As a result, these methods may not predict future risk exposures, which could be significantly greater than the historical measures indicate. For example, market conditions during the financial crisis involved unprecedented dislocations and highlight the limitations inherent in using historical information to manage risk. Management of market, credit, liquidity, operational, legal, regulatory and compliance risks requires, among other things, policies and procedures to record properly and verify a large number of transactions and events, and these policies and procedures may not be fully effective. Our trading risk management strategies and techniques also seek to balance our ability to profit from trading positions with our exposure to potential losses. While we employ a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and risk mitigation techniques, those techniques and the judgments that accompany their application cannot anticipate every economic and financial outcome or the timing of such outcomes. For example, to the extent that our trading or investing activities involve less liquid trading markets or are otherwise subject to restrictions on sale or hedging, we may not be able to reduce our positions and therefore reduce our risk associated with such positions. We may, therefore, incur losses in the course of our trading or investing activities. For more information on how we monitor and manage market and certain other risks, see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Risk Management Market Risk in Part II, Item 7A.

Table of Contents

Competitive Environment.

We face strong competition from other financial services firms, which could lead to pricing pressures that could materially adversely affect our revenue and profitability.

The financial services industry and all aspects of our businesses are intensely competitive, and we expect them to remain so. We compete with commercial banks, brokerage firms, insurance companies, electronic trading and clearing platforms, financial data repositories, sponsors of mutual funds, hedge funds, energy companies and other companies offering financial or ancillary services in the U.S., globally and through the internet. We compete on the basis of several factors, including transaction execution, capital or access to capital, products and services, innovation, reputation, risk appetite and price. Over time, certain sectors of the financial services industry have become more concentrated, as institutions involved in a broad range of financial services have left businesses, been acquired by or merged into other firms or have declared bankruptcy. Such changes could result in our remaining competitors gaining greater capital and other resources, such as the ability to offer a broader range of products and services and geographic diversity, or new competitors may emerge. We have experienced and may continue to experience pricing pressures as a result of these factors and as some of our competitors seek to obtain market share by reducing prices. In addition, certain of our competitors may be subject to different, and in some cases, less stringent, legal and regulatory regimes, than we are, thereby putting us at a competitive disadvantage. For more information regarding the competitive environment in which we operate, see Business Competition and Business Supervision and Regulation in Part I, Item 1.

Automated trading markets may adversely affect our business and may increase competition.

We have experienced intense price competition in some of our businesses in recent years. In particular, the ability to execute securities, derivatives and other financial instrument trades electronically on exchanges, Swap execution facilities, and other automated trading platforms has increased the pressure on bid-offer spreads, commissions, markups or comparable fees. The trend toward direct access to automated, electronic markets will likely continue and will likely increase as additional markets move to more automated trading platforms. We have experienced and it is likely that we will continue to experience competitive pressures in these and other areas in the future as some of our competitors may seek to obtain market share by reducing bid-offer spreads, commissions, markups or comparable fees.

Our ability to retain and attract qualified employees is critical to the success of our business and the failure to do so may materially adversely affect our performance.

Our people are our most important resource and competition for qualified employees is intense. If we are unable to continue to attract and retain highly qualified employees, or do so at rates or in forms necessary to maintain our competitive position, or if compensation costs required to attract and retain employees become more expensive, our performance, including our competitive position, could be materially adversely affected. The financial industry has and may continue to experience more stringent regulation of employee compensation, including limitations relating to incentive-based compensation, clawback requirements and special taxation, which could have an adverse effect on our ability to hire or retain the most qualified employees.

International Risk.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

We are subject to numerous political, economic, legal, operational, franchise and other risks as a result of our international operations which could adversely impact our businesses in many ways.

We are subject to political, economic, legal, tax, operational, franchise and other risks that are inherent in operating in many countries, including risks of possible nationalization, expropriation, price controls, capital controls, exchange controls, increased taxes and levies and other restrictive governmental actions, as well as the outbreak of hostilities or political and governmental instability. In many countries, the laws and regulations applicable to the securities and financial services industries are uncertain and evolving, and it may be difficult for us to determine the exact requirements of local laws in every market. Our inability to remain in compliance with

Table of Contents

local laws in a particular market could have a significant and negative effect not only on our business in that market but also on our reputation generally. We are also subject to the enhanced risk that transactions we structure might not be legally enforceable in all cases.

Various emerging market countries have experienced severe political, economic and financial disruptions, including significant devaluations of their currencies, defaults or potential defaults on sovereign debt, capital and currency exchange controls, high rates of inflation and low or negative growth rates in their economies. Crime and corruption, as well as issues of security and personal safety, also exist in certain of these countries. These conditions could adversely impact our businesses and increase volatility in financial markets generally.

The emergence of a disease pandemic or other widespread health emergency, or concerns over the possibility of such an emergency as well as natural disasters, terrorist activities or military actions, could create economic and financial disruptions in emerging markets and other areas throughout the world, and could lead to operational difficulties (including travel limitations) that could impair our ability to manage our businesses around the world.

As a U.S. company, we are required to comply with the economic sanctions and embargo programs administered by OFAC and similar multi-national bodies and governmental agencies worldwide, as well as applicable anti-corruption laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate. A violation of a sanction, embargo program, or anti-corruption law could subject us, and individual employees, to a regulatory enforcement action as well as significant civil and criminal penalties.

Acquisition, Divestiture and Joint Venture Risk.

We may be unable to fully capture the expected value from acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, minority stakes and strategic alliances.

In connection with past or future acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures or strategic alliances (including with MUFG), we face numerous risks and uncertainties combining, transferring, separating or integrating the relevant businesses and systems, including the need to combine or separate accounting and data processing systems and management controls and to integrate relationships with clients, trading counterparties and business partners. In the case of joint ventures and minority stakes, we are subject to additional risks and uncertainties because we may be dependent upon, and subject to liability, losses or reputational damage relating to, systems, controls and personnel that are not under our control.

For example, the ownership arrangements relating to the Company's joint venture in Japan with MUFG of their respective investment banking and securities businesses are complex. MUFG and the Company have integrated their respective Japanese securities businesses by forming two joint venture companies, MUMSS and MSMS. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Other Matters Japanese Securities Joint Venture in Part II, Item 7.

In addition, conflicts or disagreements between us and any of our joint venture partners may negatively impact the benefits to be achieved by the relevant joint venture.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

There is no assurance that any of our acquisitions or divestitures will be successfully integrated or disaggregated or yield all of the positive benefits anticipated. If we are not able to integrate or disaggregate successfully our past and future acquisitions or dispositions, there is a risk that our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows may be materially and adversely affected.

Certain of our business initiatives, including expansions of existing businesses, may bring us into contact, directly or indirectly, with individuals and entities that are not within our traditional client and counterparty base and may expose us to new asset classes and new markets. These business activities expose us to new and enhanced risks, greater regulatory scrutiny of these activities, increased credit-related, sovereign and operational risks, and reputational concerns regarding the manner in which these assets are being operated or held.

Table of Contents

For more information regarding the regulatory environment in which we operate, see also [Business Supervision and Regulation](#) in Part I, Item 1.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

The Company, like other well-known seasoned issuers, from time to time receives written comments from the staff of the SEC regarding its periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act. There are no comments that remain unresolved that the Company received not less than 180 days before the end of the year to which this report relates that the Company believes are material.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Properties.**

The Company has offices, operations and data centers located around the world. The Company's properties that are not owned are leased on terms and for durations that are reflective of commercial standards in the communities where these properties are located. The Company believes the facilities it owns or occupies are adequate for the purposes for which they are currently used and are well maintained. The Company's principal offices consist of the following properties:

Location	Owned/ Leased	Lease Expiration	Approximate Square Footage as of December 31, 2014(A)
U.S. Locations			
1585 Broadway New York, New York <i>(Global Headquarters and Institutional Securities Headquarters)</i>	Owned	N/A	1,332,700 square feet
2000 Westchester Avenue Purchase, New York <i>(Wealth Management Headquarters)</i>	Owned	N/A	597,400 square feet
522 Fifth Avenue New York, New York <i>(Investment Management Headquarters)</i>	Owned	N/A	571,800 square feet
New York, New York <i>(Several locations)</i>	Leased	2015 - 2029	2,346,000 square feet
Brooklyn, New York <i>(Several locations)</i>	Leased	2016 - 2023	344,100 square feet
655 Howard Avenue Somerset, New Jersey <i>(Data Center)</i>	Owned	N/A	369,600 square feet
International Locations			
20 Bank Street	Leased	2038	546,500 square feet

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

London

(London Headquarters)

25 Cabot Square	Leased	2020	454,600 square feet
Canary Wharf			
London			

1 Austin Road West	Leased	2019	499,900 square feet
--------------------	--------	------	---------------------

Kowloon

(Hong Kong Headquarters)

Otemachi Financial City South Tower	Leased	2023	246,700 square feet
Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku			
<i>(Tokyo Headquarters)</i>			

(A) The indicated total aggregate square footage leased does not include space occupied by Morgan Stanley branch offices.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

In addition to the matters described below, in the normal course of business, the Company has been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation, arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. In some cases, the entities that would otherwise be the primary defendants in such cases are bankrupt or in financial distress.

The Company is also involved, from time to time, in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding the Company's business, and involving, among other matters, sales and trading activities, financial products or offerings sponsored, underwritten or sold by the Company, and accounting and operational matters, certain of which may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief.

The Company contests liability and/or the amount of damages as appropriate in each pending matter. Where available information indicates that it is probable a liability had been incurred at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the Company can reasonably estimate the amount of that loss, the Company accrues the estimated loss by a charge to income. The Company expects future litigation accruals in general to continue to be elevated and the changes in accruals from period to period may fluctuate significantly, given the current environment regarding government investigations and private litigation affecting global financial services firms, including the Company.

In many proceedings and investigations, however, it is inherently difficult to determine whether any loss is probable or even possible, or to estimate the amount of any loss. The Company cannot predict with certainty if, how or when such proceedings or investigations will be resolved or what the eventual settlement, fine, penalty or other relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings and investigations where the factual record is being developed or contested or where plaintiffs or government entities seek substantial or indeterminate damages, restitution, disgorgement or penalties. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual matters, determination of issues related to class certification and the calculation of damages or other relief, and by addressing novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings or investigations in question, before a loss or additional loss or range of loss or additional loss can be reasonably estimated for a proceeding or investigation. Subject to the foregoing, the Company believes, based on current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, that the outcome of such proceedings and investigations will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of the Company, although the outcome of such proceedings or investigations could be material to the Company's operating results and cash flows for a particular period depending on, among other things, the level of the Company's revenues or income for such period.

Over the last several years, the level of litigation and investigatory activity (both formal and informal) by government and self-regulatory agencies has increased materially in the financial services industry. As a result, the Company expects that it may become the subject of increased claims for damages and other relief and, while the Company has identified below certain proceedings that the Company believes to be material, individually or collectively, there can be no assurance that additional material losses will not be incurred from claims that have not yet been asserted or are not yet determined to be material.

Residential Mortgage and Credit Crisis Related Matters.

Regulatory and Governmental Matters. The Company has received subpoenas and requests for information from certain federal and state regulatory and governmental entities, including among others various members of the RMBS Working Group of the Financial Fraud Enforcement Task Force, such as the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division and several state Attorney General's Offices,

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

concerning the origination, financing, purchase, securitization and servicing of subprime and non-subprime residential mortgages and related

Table of Contents

matters such as residential mortgage backed securities (RMBS), collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), structured investment vehicles (SIVs) and credit default swaps backed by or referencing mortgage pass-through certificates. These matters, some of which are in advanced stages, include, but are not limited to, investigations related to the Company's due diligence on the loans that it purchased for securitization, the Company's communications with ratings agencies, the Company's disclosures to investors, and the Company's handling of servicing and foreclosure related issues.

In May 2014, the California Attorney General's Office (CAAG), which is one of the members of the RMBS Working Group, indicated that it has made certain preliminary conclusions that the Company made knowing and material misrepresentations regarding RMBS and that it knowingly caused material misrepresentations to be made regarding the Cheyne SIV, which issued securities marketed to the California Public Employees Retirement System. The CAAG has further indicated that it believes the Company's conduct violated California law and that it may seek treble damages, penalties and injunctive relief. The Company does not agree with these conclusions and has presented defenses to them to the CAAG.

On September 16, 2014, the Virginia Attorney General's Office filed a civil lawsuit, styled *Commonwealth of Virginia ex rel. Integra REC LLC v. Barclays Capital Inc., et al.*, against the Company and several other defendants in the Circuit Court of the City of Richmond related to RMBS. The lawsuit alleges that the Company and the other defendants knowingly made misrepresentations and omissions related to the loans backing RMBS purchased by the Virginia Retirement System (VRS). The complaint alleges VRS suffered total losses of approximately \$384 million on these securities, but does not specify the amount of alleged losses attributable to RMBS sponsored or underwritten by the Company. The complaint asserts claims under the Virginia Fraud Against Taxpayers Act, as well as common law claims of actual and constructive fraud, and seeks, among other things, treble damages and civil penalties. On January 20, 2015, the defendants filed a demurrer to the complaint and a plea in bar seeking dismissal of the complaint.

In October 2014, the Illinois Attorney General's Office (IL AG) sent a letter to the Company alleging that the Company knowingly made misrepresentations related to RMBS purchased by certain pension funds affiliated with the State of Illinois and demanding that the Company pay the IL AG approximately \$88 million. The Company does not agree with these allegations and has presented defenses to them to the IL AG.

On January 13, 2015, the New York Attorney General's Office (NYAG), which is also a member of the RMBS Working Group, indicated that it intends to file a lawsuit related to approximately 30 subprime securitizations sponsored by the Company. NYAG indicated that the lawsuit would allege that the Company misrepresented or omitted material information related to the due diligence, underwriting and valuation of the loans in the securitizations and the properties securing them and indicated that its lawsuit would be brought under the Martin Act. The Company does not agree with NYAG's allegations and has presented defenses to them to NYAG.

On February 25, 2015, the Company reached an agreement in principle with the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division and the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California, Civil Division (collectively, the Civil Division) to pay \$2.6 billion to resolve certain claims that the Civil Division indicated it intended to bring against the Company. While the Company and the Civil Division have reached an agreement in principle to resolve this matter, there can be no assurance that the Company and the Civil Division will agree on the final documentation of the settlement.

Class Actions

On February 12, 2008, a purported class action, styled *Joel Stratte-McClure, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.*, was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (SDNY) against the Company and certain present and former executives asserting claims on behalf of a purported class of persons and entities who purchased shares of the Company's common stock during the period June 20, 2007 to

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

December 19, 2007 and who suffered damages as a result of such purchases. The allegations in the amended complaint related in

Table of Contents

large part to the Company's subprime and other mortgage related losses, and also included allegations regarding the Company's disclosures, internal controls, accounting and other matters. On August 8, 2011, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the second amended complaint, which was granted on January 18, 2013. On May 29, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (the Second Circuit). On January 12, 2015, the Second Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the action.

On October 25, 2010, the Company, certain affiliates and Pinnacle Performance Limited, a special purpose vehicle (SPV), were named as defendants in a purported class action related to securities issued by the SPV in Singapore, commonly referred to as Pinnacle Notes. The case is styled *Ge Dandong, et al. v. Pinnacle Performance Ltd., et al.* and is pending in the SDNY. The court granted class certification on October 17, 2013. The second amended complaint, filed on January 31, 2014, alleges that the defendants engaged in a fraudulent scheme to defraud investors by structuring the Pinnacle Notes to fail and benefited subsequently from the securities' failure, that the securities' offering materials contained material misstatements or omissions regarding the securities' underlying assets and alleged conflicts of interest between the defendants and the investors, and asserts common law claims of fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, fraudulent inducement, aiding and abetting fraudulent inducement, and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Plaintiffs seek damages of approximately \$138.7 million, rescission, punitive damages, and interest. On July 17, 2014, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the litigation, which received preliminary court approval December 2, 2014. The final approval hearing is scheduled for July 2, 2015.

Other Litigation. On December 23, 2009, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle filed a complaint against the Company and another defendant in the Superior Court of the State of Washington, styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., et al.* The amended complaint, filed on September 28, 2010, alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by the Company was approximately \$233 million. The complaint raises claims under the Washington State Securities Act and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On October 18, 2010, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the action. By orders dated June 23, 2011 and July 18, 2011, the court denied defendants' omnibus motion to dismiss plaintiff's amended complaint and on August 15, 2011, the court denied the Company's individual motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On March 7, 2013, the court granted defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's demand for a jury trial.

On March 15, 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco filed two complaints against the Company and other defendants in the Superior Court of the State of California. These actions are styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco v. Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, et al.*, and *Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco v. Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. et al.*, respectively. Amended complaints, filed on June 10, 2010, allege that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiff of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by the Company in these cases was approximately \$704 million and \$276 million, respectively. The complaints raise claims under both the federal securities laws and California law and seek, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On August 11, 2011, plaintiff's federal securities law claims were dismissed with prejudice. On February 9, 2012, defendants' demurrers with respect to all other claims were overruled. On December 20, 2013, plaintiff's negligent misrepresentation claims were dismissed with prejudice. On January 26, 2015, the plaintiff requested dismissal with prejudice of all remaining claims against the Company in the *Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco v. Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, et al.* action.

On July 15, 2010, The Charles Schwab Corp. filed a complaint against the Company and other defendants in the Superior Court of the State of California, styled *The Charles Schwab Corp. v. BNP Paribas Securities Corp., et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to one of plaintiff's subsidiaries of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts

Table of Contents

containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff's subsidiary by the Company was approximately \$180 million. The complaint raises claims under both the federal securities laws and California law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on August 2, 2010. On September 22, 2011, defendants filed demurrers to the amended complaint. On October 13, 2011, plaintiff voluntarily dismissed its claims brought under the Securities Act. On January 27, 2012, the court substantially overruled defendants' demurrers. On March 5, 2012, the plaintiff filed a second amended complaint. On April 10, 2012, the Company filed a demurrer to certain causes of action in the second amended complaint, which the court overruled on July 24, 2012. On November 24, 2014, plaintiff's negligent misrepresentation claims were dismissed with prejudice. An initial trial of certain of plaintiff's claims is scheduled to begin in August 2015.

On July 15, 2010, China Development Industrial Bank (CDIB) filed a complaint against the Company, styled *China Development Industrial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated et al.*, which is pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County (Supreme Court of NY). The complaint relates to a \$275 million credit default swap referencing the super senior portion of the STACK 2006-1 CDO. The complaint asserts claims for common law fraud, fraudulent inducement and fraudulent concealment and alleges that the Company misrepresented the risks of the STACK 2006-1 CDO to CDIB, and that the Company knew that the assets backing the CDO were of poor quality when it entered into the credit default swap with CDIB. The complaint seeks compensatory damages related to the approximately \$228 million that CDIB alleges it has already lost under the credit default swap, rescission of CDIB's obligation to pay an additional \$12 million, punitive damages, equitable relief, fees and costs. On February 28, 2011, the court denied the Company's motion to dismiss the complaint.

On October 15, 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago filed a complaint against the Company and other defendants in the Circuit Court of the State of Illinois, styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago v. Bank of America Funding Corporation et al.* A corrected amended complaint was filed on April 8, 2011. The corrected amended complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans and asserts claims under Illinois law. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by the Company at issue in the action was approximately \$203 million. The complaint seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the corrected amended complaint on May 27, 2011, which was denied on September 19, 2012. On December 13, 2013, the court entered an order dismissing all claims related to one of the securitizations at issue. After that dismissal, the remaining amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company or sold to plaintiff by the Company was approximately \$78 million.

On April 20, 2011, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston filed a complaint against the Company and other defendants in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston v. Ally Financial, Inc. F/K/A GMAC LLC et al.* An amended complaint was filed on June 29, 2012 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company or sold to plaintiff by the Company was approximately \$385 million. The amended complaint raises claims under the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act, the Massachusetts Consumer Protection Act and common law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On May 26, 2011, defendants removed the case to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. On October 11, 2012, defendants filed motions to dismiss the amended complaint, which were granted in part and denied in part on September 30, 2013. On November 25, 2013 and July 16, 2014, respectively, the plaintiff voluntarily dismissed its claims against the Company with respect to two of the securitizations at issue. After these voluntary dismissals, the remaining amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company or sold to plaintiff by the Company was approximately \$358 million.

Table of Contents

On July 18, 2011, the Western and Southern Life Insurance Company and certain affiliated companies filed a complaint against the Company and other defendants in the Court of Common Pleas in Ohio, styled *Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., et al.* An amended complaint was filed on April 2, 2012 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of the certificates allegedly sold to plaintiffs by the Company was approximately \$153 million. The amended complaint raises claims under the Ohio Securities Act, federal securities laws, and common law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiffs' purchases of such certificates. On May 21, 2012, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, which was denied on August 3, 2012. The Company filed a motion for summary judgment on January 20, 2015. Trial is currently scheduled to begin in July 2015.

On November 4, 2011, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), as receiver for Franklin Bank S.S.B, filed two complaints against the Company in the District Court of the State of Texas. Each was styled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as Receiver for Franklin Bank, S.S.B v. Morgan Stanley & Company LLC F/K/A Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc.* and alleged that the Company made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiff of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of certificates allegedly underwritten and sold to plaintiff by the Company in these cases was approximately \$67 million and \$35 million, respectively. The complaints each raised claims under both federal securities law and the Texas Securities Act and each seeks, among other things, compensatory damages associated with plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On June 7, 2012, the two cases were consolidated. The Company filed a motion for summary judgment and special exceptions, which was denied in substantial part on April 26, 2013. The FDIC filed a second amended consolidated complaint on May 3, 2013. The Company filed a motion for leave to file an interlocutory appeal as to the court's order denying its motion for summary judgment and special exceptions, which was denied on August 1, 2013. On October 7, 2014, the court denied the Company's motion for reconsideration of the court's order denying its motion for summary judgment and special exceptions and granted its motion for reconsideration of the court's order denying leave to file an interlocutory appeal. On November 21, 2014, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment, which was denied on February 10, 2015. The Texas Fourteenth Court of Appeals denied Morgan Stanley's petition for interlocutory appeal on November 25, 2014. Trial is currently scheduled to begin in July 2015.

On January 20, 2012, Sealink Funding Limited filed a complaint against the Company in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Sealink Funding Limited v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* Plaintiff purports to be the assignee of claims of certain special purpose vehicles (SPVs) formerly sponsored by SachsenLB Europe. A second amended complaint, filed on March 20, 2013, alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to the SPVs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company and/or sold by the Company was approximately \$507 million. The second amended complaint raises common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, and aiding and abetting fraud and seeks, among other things, compensatory and/or rescissory damages as well as punitive damages associated with plaintiffs' purchases of such certificates. On May 3, 2013, the Company moved to dismiss the second amended complaint, and on April 18, 2014, the court granted the Company's motion. On May 1, 2014, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal of that decision.

On January 25, 2012, Dexia SA/NV and certain of its affiliated entities filed a complaint against the Company in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Dexia SA/NV et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* An amended complaint was filed on May 24, 2012 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company and/or sold to plaintiffs by the Company was approximately \$626 million. The amended complaint raises common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, and aiding and abetting fraud and seeks, among other things, compensatory and/or rescissory damages as well as punitive damages associated with plaintiffs' purchases of such certificates. On

Table of Contents

October 16, 2013, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On November 18, 2013, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal of the dismissal. Plaintiffs also filed a motion to renew their opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss, which the court denied on June 23, 2014. On July 16, 2014, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal of that decision, which has been consolidated with the appeal of the motion to dismiss.

On April 25, 2012, The Prudential Insurance Company of America and certain affiliates filed a complaint against the Company and certain affiliates in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, styled *The Prudential Insurance Company of America, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* On October 16, 2012, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company is approximately \$1.073 billion. The amended complaint raises claims under the New Jersey Uniform Securities Law, as well as common law claims of negligent misrepresentation, fraud, fraudulent inducement, equitable fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, and violations of the New Jersey RICO statute, and includes a claim for treble damages. On March 15, 2013, the court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On January 2, 2015, the court denied defendants' renewed motion to dismiss the amended complaint.

On August 7, 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as Trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-4SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-4SL (together, the Trust) against the Company. The matter is styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-4SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the Trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$303 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, rescission of the mortgage loan purchase agreement underlying the transaction, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. On August 8, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion to dismiss.

On August 8, 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as Trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-14SL, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-14SL, Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-4SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-4SL against the Company. The complaint is styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-14SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trusts, which had original principal balances of approximately \$354 million and \$305 million respectively, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, rescission of the mortgage loan purchase agreements underlying the transactions, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. On October 9, 2012, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. On August 16, 2013, the court granted in part and denied in part the Company's motion to dismiss the complaint. On September 26, 2013, and October 7, 2013, the Company and the plaintiffs, respectively, filed notices of appeal with respect to the court's August 16, 2013 decision.

On August 10, 2012, the FDIC, as receiver for Colonial Bank, filed a complaint against the Company and other defendants in the Circuit Court of Montgomery, Alabama styled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as Receiver for Colonial Bank v. Citigroup Mortgage Loan Trust Inc. et al.* The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on September 13, 2013. The complaint alleges that the Company made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to Colonial Bank of a mortgage pass-through certificate backed by a securitization trust containing residential loans. The complaint asserts claims under federal securities law and the Alabama Securities Act, and seeks, among other things, compensatory damages. The total amount of the certificate allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to Colonial Bank was approximately \$65 million. On November 12, 2013, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, which was denied on April 10, 2014.

Table of Contents

On September 28, 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as Trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-13ARX against the Company styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-13ARX v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. U.S. Bank filed an amended complaint on January 17, 2013, which asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$609 million, breached various representations and warranties. The amended complaint seeks, among other relief, declaratory judgment relief, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. On September 30, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the Company's motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On November 7, 2014, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal from the court's September 30, 2014 decision.

On December 14, 2012, Royal Park Investments SA/NV filed a complaint against the Company, certain affiliates, and other defendants in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Royal Park Investments SA/NV v. Merrill Lynch et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans totaling approximately \$628 million. On October 24, 2013, plaintiff filed a new complaint against the Company in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Royal Park Investments SA/NV v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The new complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiff was approximately \$597 million. The complaint raises common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, and aiding and abetting fraud and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On February 3, 2014, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On January 10, 2013, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as Trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-10SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-10SL against the Company. The complaint is styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-10SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$300 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, an order requiring the Company to comply with the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, and interest. On August 8, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the Company's motion to dismiss the complaint.

On January 31, 2013, HSH Nordbank AG and certain affiliates filed a complaint against the Company, certain affiliates, and other defendants in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *HSH Nordbank AG et al. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiff was approximately \$524 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against the Company for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and rescission and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On April 12, 2013, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On February 14, 2013, Bank Hapoalim B.M. filed a complaint against the Company and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Bank Hapoalim B.M. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiff was approximately \$141 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against the Company for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, and negligent misrepresentation, and seeks, among other things,

Table of Contents

compensatory and punitive damages. On April 22, 2014, the court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss in substantial part. On September 18, 2014, the Company filed a notice of appeal from the ruling denying defendants' motion to dismiss.

On March 7, 2013, the Federal Housing Finance Agency filed a summons with notice on behalf of the trustee of the Saxon Asset Securities Trust, Series 2007-1, against the Company and an affiliate. The matter is styled *Federal Housing Finance Agency, as Conservator for the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, on behalf of the Trustee of the Saxon Asset Securities Trust, Series 2007-1 v. Saxon Funding Management LLC and Morgan Stanley* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The notice asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$593 million, breached various representations and warranties. The notice seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, indemnity, and interest.

On May 3, 2013, plaintiffs in *Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank AG et al. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* filed a complaint against the Company, certain affiliates, and other defendants in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiff was approximately \$694 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against the Company for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and rescission and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On June 10, 2014, the court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the case. On August 4, 2014, claims regarding two certificates were dismissed by stipulation. After these dismissals, the remaining amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company or sold to plaintiff by the Company was approximately \$644 million.

On May 17, 2013, plaintiff in *IKB International S.A. in Liquidation, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* filed a complaint against the Company and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiff was approximately \$132 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against the Company for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, and negligent misrepresentation, and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On October 30, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the Company's motion to dismiss. All claims regarding four certificates were dismissed. After these dismissals, the remaining amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company or sold to plaintiff by the Company was approximately \$116 million. On December 1, 2014, the Company filed a notice of appeal from the Court's October 30, 2014 decision.

On July 2, 2013, the trustee, Deutsche Bank became the named plaintiff in *Federal Housing Finance Agency, as Conservator for the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, on behalf of the Trustee of the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC1 (MSAC 2007-NC1) v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc.*, and filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of NY under the caption *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC1 v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I, Inc.* On February 3, 2014, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint, which asserts claims for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$1.25 billion, breached various representations and warranties. The amended complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, rescission and interest. On March 12, 2014, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint.

July 8, 2013, plaintiff filed a complaint in *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-2AX, by U.S. Bank National Association, solely in its capacity as Trustee v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as*

Table of Contents

successor-by-merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., and Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. The complaint, filed in the Supreme Court of NY, asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the Trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$650 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages and interest. On August 22, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, which was granted in part and denied in part on November 24, 2014.

On August 5, 2013, Landesbank Baden-Württemberg and two affiliates filed a complaint against the Company and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Landesbank Baden-Württemberg et al. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiffs was approximately \$50 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against the Company for, among other things, common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and rescission based upon mutual mistake, and seeks, among other things, rescission, compensatory damages, and punitive damages. On October 4, 2013, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On August 16, 2013, the plaintiff in *National Credit Union Administration Board v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, et al.* filed a complaint against the Company and certain affiliates in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts in the sale to the plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates issued by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiffs was approximately \$567 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against the Company for violations of Section 11 and Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, violations of the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968, and violations of the Kansas Blue Sky Law and seeks, among other things, rescissionary and compensatory damages. On December 27, 2013, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss in substantial part. The surviving claims relate to one certificate purchased by the plaintiff for approximately \$17 million. On November 17, 2014, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. On December 15, 2014, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint in part.

On August 26, 2013, a complaint was filed against the Company and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Phoenix Light SF Limited et al v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiffs, or their assignors, of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by the Company and/or sold to plaintiffs or their assignors by the Company was approximately \$344 million. The complaint raises common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation and rescission based on mutual mistake and seeks, among other things, compensatory damages, punitive damages or alternatively rescission or rescissionary damages associated with the purchase of such certificates. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on December 13, 2013. On June 17, 2014, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. By stipulation dated July 18, 2014, the parties agreed that the Company's previously filed motion to dismiss would be deemed to be directed at the amended complaint.

On September 23, 2013, the plaintiff in *National Credit Union Administration Board v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., et al.* filed a complaint against the Company and certain affiliates in the SDNY. The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates issued by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiffs was approximately \$417 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against the Company for violations of Section 11 and Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, violations of the Texas Securities Act, and violations of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953 and seeks, among other things, rescissionary and compensatory

Table of Contents

damages. On January 22, 2014, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss with respect to claims arising under the Securities Act of 1933 and denied defendants' motion to dismiss with respect to claims arising under Texas Securities Act and the Illinois Securities Law of 1953. On April 28, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the plaintiff's motion to strike certain of the defendants' affirmative defenses. On July 11, 2014, the defendants filed a motion for reconsideration of the court's order on the motion to dismiss the complaint or, in the alternative, for certification of interlocutory appeal and a stay of all proceedings, which the court denied on September 30, 2014. On November 17, 2014, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint.

On November 6, 2013, Deutsche Bank, in its capacity as trustee, became the named plaintiff in *Federal Housing Finance Agency, as Conservator for the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, on behalf of the Trustee of the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC3 (MSAC 2007-NC3) v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC*, and filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of NY under the caption *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, solely in its capacity as Trustee for Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC3 v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as Successor-by-Merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$1.3 billion, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, rescission, interest and costs. On December 16, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On December 24, 2013, Commerzbank AG London Branch filed a summons with notice against the Company and others in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Commerzbank AG London Branch v. UBS AG et al.* Plaintiff purports to be the assignee of claims of certain other entities. The complaint, which was filed on May 20, 2014, alleges that the Company made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff's assignors of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by the Company to plaintiff's assignors was approximately \$185 million. The complaint asserts causes of action against the Company for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, and aiding and abetting common law fraud and fraudulent concealment and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. The Company and other defendants moved to dismiss the complaint on December 5, 2014.

On December 30, 2013, Wilmington Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee for Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-12, filed a complaint against the Company. The matter is styled *Wilmington Trust Company v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC et al.* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$516 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, unspecified damages, interest and costs. On February 28, 2014, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On January 15, 2014, the FDIC, as receiver for United Western Bank filed a complaint against the Company and others in the District Court of the State of Colorado, styled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for United Western Bank v. Banc of America Funding Corp., et al.* The complaint alleges that the Company made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to United Western Bank of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold to United Western Bank by the Company was approximately \$75 million. The complaint raises claims under both federal securities law and the Colorado Securities Act and seeks, among other things, compensatory damages associated with plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On February 14, 2014, the defendants filed a notice removing the litigation to the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. On March 14, 2014, the plaintiff filed a motion to remand the action. On April 30, 2014, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

Table of Contents

On April 28, 2014, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee for Morgan Stanley Structured Trust I 2007-1, filed a complaint against the Company. The matter is styled *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC* and is pending in the SDNY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$735 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified compensatory and/or rescissory damages, interest and costs. On July 21, 2014, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On September 19, 2014, Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (FGIC) filed a complaint against the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County (Supreme Court of New York) styled *Financial Guaranty Insurance Company v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. et al.* The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the net interest margin securities (NIMS) in the trust breached various representations and warranties. FGIC issued a financial guaranty policy with respect to certain notes that had an original balance of approximately \$475 million. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the NIM breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, reimbursement of certain payments made pursuant to the transaction documents, attorneys' fees and interest. On November 24, 2014, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On September 19, 2014, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee of Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC4, filed a summons with notice against the Company in the Supreme Court of New York styled *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, solely in its capacity as Trustee of the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC4 v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor-by-merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., and Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc.* The notice asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$1.05 billion, breached various representations and warranties. The trustee filed its complaint on January 23, 2015, alleging breaches of representations and warranties, the repurchase obligation, and the duty to notify, and seeking, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents; compensatory, consequential, rescissory, equitable and/or punitive damages; attorneys' fees, costs and other related expenses, and interest.

On September 23, 2014, FGIC filed a complaint against the Company in the Supreme Court of New York styled *Financial Guaranty Insurance Company v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. et al.* The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and fraudulent inducement and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust breached various representations and warranties and defendants made untrue statements and material omissions to induce FGIC to issue a financial guaranty policy on certain classes of certificates that had an original balance of approximately \$876 million. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, compensatory, consequential and punitive damages, attorneys' fees and interest. On November 24, 2014, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

Other Matters. On a case-by-case basis the Company has entered into agreements to toll the statute of limitations applicable to potential civil claims related to RMBS, CDOs and other mortgage-related products and services when the Company has concluded that it is in its interest to do so.

On October 18, 2011, the Company received a letter from Gibbs & Bruns LLP (the Law Firm), which is purportedly representing a group of investment advisers and holders of mortgage pass-through certificates issued by RMBS trusts that were sponsored or underwritten by the Company. The letter asserted that the Law Firm's clients collectively hold 25% or more of the voting rights in 17 RMBS trusts sponsored or underwritten by the Company and that these trusts have an aggregate outstanding balance exceeding \$6 billion. The letter alleged generally that large numbers of mortgages in these trusts were sold or deposited into the trusts based on false and/or fraudulent representations and warranties by the mortgage originators, sellers and/or depositors. The letter also alleged generally that there is evidence suggesting that the Company has failed prudently to service

Table of Contents

mortgage loans in these trusts. On January 31, 2012, the Law Firm announced that its clients hold over 25% of the voting rights in 69 RMBS trusts securing over \$25 billion of RMBS sponsored or underwritten by the Company, and that its clients had issued instructions to the trustees of these trusts to open investigations into allegedly ineligible mortgages held by these trusts. The Law Firm's press release also indicated that the Law Firm's clients anticipate that they may provide additional instructions to the trustees, as needed, to further the investigations. On September 19, 2012, the Company received two purported Notices of Non-Performance from the Law Firm purportedly on behalf of the holders of significant voting rights in various trusts securing over \$28 billion of residential mortgage backed securities sponsored or underwritten by the Company. The Notice purports to identify certain covenants in Pooling and Servicing Agreements (PSAs) that the holders allege that the Servicer and Master Servicer failed to perform, and alleges that each of these failures has materially affected the rights of certificateholders and constitutes an ongoing event of default under the relevant PSAs. On November 2, 2012, the Company responded to the letters, denying the allegations therein.

Commercial Mortgage Related Matter.

On January 25, 2011, the Company was named as a defendant in *The Bank of New York Mellon Trust, National Association v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital, Inc.*, a litigation pending in the SDNY. The suit, brought by the trustee of a series of commercial mortgage pass-through certificates, alleges that the Company breached certain representations and warranties with respect to an \$81 million commercial mortgage loan that was originated and transferred to the trust by the Company. The complaint seeks, among other things, to have the Company repurchase the loan and pay additional monetary damages. On June 16, 2014, the court granted the Company's supplemental motion for summary judgment. On June 17, 2014, the court entered judgment in the Company's favor. On July 16, 2014, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal.

Matters Related to the CDS Market.

On July 1, 2013, the European Commission (EC) issued a Statement of Objections (SO) addressed to twelve financial firms (including the Company), the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) and Markit Group Limited (Markit) and various affiliates alleging that, between 2006 and 2009, the recipients breached European Union competition law by taking and refusing to take certain actions in an effort to prevent the development of exchange traded credit default swap (CDS) products. The SO indicates that the EC plans to impose remedial measures and fines on the recipients. The Company and the other recipients of the SO filed a response to the SO on January 21, 2014, and attended oral hearings before the EC during the period May 12-19, 2014. The Company's oral hearing took place on May 15, 2014. The Company filed a supplemental response to the SO on July 11, 2014. The Company and others have also responded to an investigation by the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice related to the CDS market.

Beginning in May 2013, twelve financial firms (including the Company), as well as ISDA and Markit, were named as defendants in multiple purported antitrust class actions now consolidated into a single proceeding in the SDNY styled *In Re: Credit Default Swaps Antitrust Litigation*. Plaintiffs allege that defendants violated United States antitrust laws from 2008 to present in connection with their alleged efforts to prevent the development of exchange traded CDS products. The complaints seek, among other relief, certification of a class of plaintiffs who purchased CDS from defendants in the United States, treble damages and injunctive relief. On September 4, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion to dismiss the second amended complaint.

The following matters were terminated during or following the quarter ended December 31, 2014:

In re Morgan Stanley ERISA Litigation and *Coulter v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated et al* were purported class action complaints asserting claims on behalf of participants in the Company's 401(k) plan and employee stock ownership plan against the Company and other

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

parties, including certain present and former directors and officers, under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) relating to the Company s subprime and other mortgage related losses. Both cases were dismissed by the SDNY and their dismissal affirmed by the Second Circuit. On December 3, 2014, the time for plaintiffs to pursue a further appeal expired.

Table of Contents

In re Morgan Stanley Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Litigation, which had been pending in the SDNY, was a putative class action involving allegations that, among other things, the registration statements and offering documents related to the offerings of certain mortgage pass-through certificates in 2006 and 2007 contained false and misleading information concerning the pools of residential loans that backed these securitizations. On December 18, 2014, the parties' agreement to settle the litigation received final court approval, and on December 19, 2014, the court entered an order dismissing the action.

In re IndyMac Mortgage-Backed Securities Litigation, which had been pending in the SDNY, was a class action involving allegations that, among other things, the registration statements and offering documents related to the offerings of certain mortgage pass-through certificates contained false and misleading information concerning the pools of residential loans that backed these securitizations. On February 3, 2015, the court issued its final approval of the parties' agreement to settle the litigation and on February 23, 2015, the court entered a final judgment dismissing the action.

Allstate Insurance Company, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al., which had been pending in the Supreme Court of NY, involved allegations that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. On January 16, 2015, the parties reached an agreement to settle the litigation.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**Part II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Morgan Stanley's common stock trades under the symbol "MS" on the NYSE. As of February 18, 2015, the Company had 73,376 holders of record; however, the Company believes the number of beneficial owners of common stock exceeds this number.

The table below sets forth, for each of the last eight quarters, the low and high sales prices per share of the Company's common stock as reported by Bloomberg Financial Markets and the amount of any cash dividends per share of the Company's common stock declared by its Board of Directors for such quarter.

	Low Sale Price	High Sale Price	Dividends
2014:			
Fourth Quarter	\$ 31.35	\$ 39.19	\$ 0.10
Third Quarter	\$ 31.12	\$ 36.44	\$ 0.10
Second Quarter	\$ 28.31	\$ 32.82	\$ 0.10
First Quarter	\$ 28.78	\$ 33.52	\$ 0.05
2013:			
Fourth Quarter	\$ 26.41	\$ 31.85	\$ 0.05
Third Quarter	\$ 23.83	\$ 29.50	\$ 0.05
Second Quarter	\$ 20.16	\$ 27.17	\$ 0.05
First Quarter	\$ 19.32	\$ 24.47	\$ 0.05

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Company of its common stock during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2014.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(C)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Month #1 (October 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014)				
Share Repurchase Program(A)	1,372,885	\$ 33.87	1,372,885	\$ 534
Employee Transactions(B)	83,415	\$ 34.12		
Month #2 (November 1, 2014 - November 30, 2014)				
Share Repurchase Program(A)	2,563,394	\$ 35.32	2,563,394	\$ 443
Employee Transactions(B)	71,601	\$ 35.50		
Month #3 (December 1, 2014 - December 31, 2014)				
Share Repurchase Program(A)	3,628,350	\$ 36.69	3,628,350	\$ 310
Employee Transactions(B)	254,550	\$ 35.87		
Total				
Share Repurchase Program(A)	7,564,629	\$ 35.71	7,564,629	\$ 310
Employee Transactions(B)	409,566	\$ 35.45		

(A) The Company's Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of the Company's outstanding stock under a share repurchase program (the "Share Repurchase Program"). The Share Repurchase Program is a program for capital management purposes that considers, among other things, business segment capital needs, as well as equity-based compensation and benefit plan requirements. The Share Repurchase Program has no set expiration or termination date. Share repurchases by the Company are subject to regulatory approval. In March 2014, the Company received no objection from the Federal Reserve to repurchase up to \$1 billion of the Company's outstanding common stock beginning in the second quarter of 2014 through the end of the first quarter of 2015 under the Company's 2014 capital plan. During the quarter ended December 31, 2014, the Company repurchased approximately \$271 million of the Company's outstanding common stock as part of its Share Repurchase Program. For further information, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Capital Management" in Part II, Item 7.

(B) Includes: (1) shares delivered or attested in satisfaction of the exercise price and/or tax withholding obligations by holders of employee and director stock options (granted under employee and director stock compensation plans) who exercised options; (2) shares withheld, delivered or attested (under the terms of grants under employee and director stock compensation plans) to offset tax withholding obligations that occur upon vesting and release of restricted shares; (3) shares withheld, delivered and attested (under the terms of grants under employee and director stock compensation plans) to offset tax withholding obligations that occur upon the delivery of outstanding shares underlying restricted stock units; and (4) shares withheld, delivered and attested (under the terms of grants under employee and director stock compensation plans) to offset the cash payment for fractional shares. The Company's employee and director stock compensation plans provide that the value of the shares withheld, delivered or attested, shall be valued using the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date the relevant transaction occurs, using a valuation methodology established by the Company.

(C) Share purchases under publicly announced programs are made pursuant to open-market purchases, Rule 10b5-1 plans or privately negotiated transactions (including with employee benefit plans) as market conditions warrant and at prices the Company deems appropriate and may be suspended at any time.

Table of Contents

Stock performance graph. The following graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return (rounded to the nearest whole dollar) of the Company's common stock, the S&P 500 Stock Index (S&P 500) and the S&P 500 Financials Index (S5FINL) for the last five years. The graph assumes a \$100 investment at the closing price on December 31, 2009 and reinvestment of dividends on the respective dividend payment dates without commissions. This graph does not forecast future performance of the Company's common stock.

	MS	S&P 500	S5FINL
12/31/2009	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
12/31/2010	\$ 92.60	\$ 115.06	\$ 112.12
12/31/2011	\$ 51.93	\$ 117.48	\$ 93.00
12/30/2012	\$ 66.43	\$ 136.27	\$ 119.73
12/31/2013	\$ 109.83	\$ 180.83	\$ 162.34
12/31/2014	\$ 137.38	\$ 205.07	\$ 186.98

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.****MORGAN STANLEY****SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA****(dollars in millions, except share and per share data)**

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Income Statement Data:					
Revenues:					
Total non-interest revenues	\$ 32,540	\$ 31,715	\$ 26,383	\$ 31,953	\$ 30,407
Interest income	5,413	5,209	5,692	7,234	7,288
Interest expense	3,678	4,431	5,897	6,883	6,394
Net interest	1,735	778	(205)	351	894
Net revenues	34,275	32,493	26,178	32,304	31,301
Non-interest expenses:					
Compensation and benefits	17,824	16,277	15,615	16,325	15,860
Other	12,860	11,658	9,967	9,792	9,154
Total non-interest expenses	30,684	27,935	25,582	26,117	25,014
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	3,591	4,558	596	6,187	6,287
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(90)	902	(161)	1,491	823
Income from continuing operations	3,681	3,656	757	4,696	5,464
Discontinued operations(1):					
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	(19)	(72)	(48)	(170)	600
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(5)	(29)	(7)	(119)	362
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(14)	(43)	(41)	(51)	238
Net income	3,667	3,613	716	4,645	5,702
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests(2)		222	124		
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests(2)	200	459	524	535	999
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,467	\$ 2,932	\$ 68	\$ 4,110	\$ 4,703
Preferred stock dividends and other	315	277	98	2,043	1,109
Earnings (loss) applicable to Morgan Stanley common shareholders(3)	\$ 3,152	\$ 2,655	\$ (30)	\$ 2,067	\$ 3,594
Amounts applicable to Morgan Stanley:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 3,481	\$ 2,975	\$ 138	\$ 4,168	\$ 4,478
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(14)	(43)	(70)	(58)	225
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,467	\$ 2,932	\$ 68	\$ 4,110	\$ 4,703

Table of Contents

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Per Share Data:					
Earnings (loss) per basic common share(4):					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.42	\$ 0.02	\$ 1.28	\$ 2.49
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	0.15
Earnings (loss) per basic common share	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.39	\$ (0.02)	\$ 1.25	\$ 2.64
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share(4):					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.38	\$ 0.02	\$ 1.27	\$ 2.45
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.04)	0.18
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.36	\$ (0.02)	\$ 1.23	\$ 2.63
Book value per common share(5)	\$ 33.25	\$ 32.24	\$ 30.70	\$ 31.42	\$ 31.49
Dividends declared per common share	0.35	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Average common shares outstanding(3):					
Basic	1,923,805,397	1,905,823,882	1,885,774,276	1,654,708,640	1,361,670,938
Diluted	1,970,535,560	1,956,519,738	1,918,811,270	1,675,271,669	1,411,268,971
Balance Sheet and Other Operating Data:					
Trading assets	\$ 256,801	\$ 280,744	\$ 267,603	\$ 275,353	\$ 306,746
Loans(6)	66,577	42,874	29,046	15,369	10,576
Total assets	801,510	832,702	780,960	749,898	807,698
Total deposits	133,544	112,379	83,266	65,662	63,812
Long-term borrowings	152,772	153,575	169,571	184,234	192,457
Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity	70,900	65,921	62,109	62,049	57,211
Return on average common equity(7)	4.8%	4.3%	N/M	3.8%	9.0%

N/M Not Meaningful

- (1) Prior-period amounts have been recast for discontinued operations. See Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for information on discontinued operations.
- (2) Information includes 100%, 65% and 51% ownership of the retail securities joint venture between the Company and Citigroup Inc. (the Wealth Management JV) effective June 28, 2013, September 17, 2012 and May 31, 2009, respectively (see Note 3 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (3) Amounts shown are used to calculate earnings (loss) per basic and diluted common share.
- (4) For the calculation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share, see Note 16 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (5) Book value per common share equals common shareholders' equity of \$64,880 million at December 31, 2014, \$62,701 million at December 31, 2013, \$60,601 million at December 31, 2012, \$60,541 million at December 31, 2011 and \$47,614 million at December 31, 2010, divided by common shares outstanding of 1,951 million at December 31, 2014, 1,945 million at December 31, 2013, 1,974 million at December 31, 2012, 1,927 million at December 31, 2011 and 1,512 million at December 31, 2010.
- (6) Amounts include loans held for investment and loans held for sale and exclude loans at fair value which are included in Trading assets in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition (see Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (7) The calculation of return on average common equity uses net income applicable to Morgan Stanley less preferred dividends as a percentage of average common equity. The return on average common equity is a non-generally accepted accounting principle financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess operating performance.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Introduction.

Morgan Stanley, a financial holding company, is a global financial services firm that maintains significant market positions in each of its business segments Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management. Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms Morgan Stanley or the Company mean Morgan Stanley (the Parent) together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

A brief summary of the activities of each of the Company's business segments is as follows:

Institutional Securities provides financial advisory and capital-raising services, including: advice on mergers and acquisitions, restructurings, real estate and project finance; corporate lending; sales, trading, financing and market-making activities in equity and fixed income securities and related products, including foreign exchange and commodities; and investment activities.

Wealth Management provides brokerage and investment advisory services to individual investors and small-to-medium sized businesses and institutions covering various investment alternatives; financial and wealth planning services; annuity and other insurance products; credit and other lending products; cash management services; and retirement services; and engages in fixed income trading, which primarily facilitates clients' trading or investments in such securities.

Investment Management provides a broad array of investment strategies that span the risk/return spectrum across geographies, asset classes, and public and private markets to a diverse group of clients across the institutional and intermediary channels as well as high net worth clients.

The results of operations in the past have been, and in the future may continue to be, materially affected by many factors, including: the effect of economic and political conditions and geopolitical events; the effect of market conditions, particularly in the global equity, fixed income, currency, credit and commodities markets, including corporate and mortgage (commercial and residential) lending and commercial real estate markets and energy markets; the impact of current, pending and future legislation (including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act)), regulation (including capital, leverage and liquidity requirements), policies (including fiscal and monetary), and legal and regulatory actions in the United States of America (U.S.) and worldwide; the level and volatility of equity, fixed income and commodity prices (including oil prices), interest rates, currency values and other market indices; the availability and cost of both credit and capital as well as the credit ratings assigned to the Company's unsecured short-term and long-term debt; investor, consumer and business sentiment and confidence in the financial markets; the performance of the Company's acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, strategic alliances or other strategic arrangements; the Company's reputation and the general perception of the financial services industry; inflation, natural disasters, pandemics and acts of war or terrorism; the actions and initiatives of current and potential competitors as well as governments, regulators and self-regulatory organizations; the effectiveness of the Company's risk management policies; technological changes and risks and cybersecurity risks (including cyber attacks and business continuity risks); or a combination of these or other factors. In addition, legislative, legal and regulatory developments related to the Company's businesses are likely to increase costs, thereby affecting results of operations. These factors also may have an adverse impact on the Company's ability to achieve its strategic objectives. For a further discussion of these and other important factors that could affect the Company's business, see Business Competition and Business Supervision and Regulation in Part I, Item 1, Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A and Liquidity and Capital Resources Regulatory Requirements herein.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

The discussion of the Company's results of operations below may contain forward-looking statements. These statements, which reflect management's beliefs and expectations, are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially. For a discussion of the risks and uncertainties that may affect the Company's future results, see "Forward-Looking Statements" immediately preceding Part I, Item 1, "Business Competition" and

Table of Contents

Business Supervision and Regulation in Part I, Item 1, Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A and Liquidity and Capital Resources Regulatory Requirements herein.

See Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a discussion of its discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**Executive Summary.**

Financial Information and Statistical Data (dollars in millions, except where noted and per share amounts).

	2014	2013	2012
Net revenues:			
Institutional Securities	\$ 16,871	\$ 15,519	\$ 11,101
Wealth Management(1)	14,888	14,143	12,947
Investment Management(1)	2,712	3,059	2,306
Intersegment Eliminations	(196)	(228)	(176)
Consolidated net revenues	\$ 34,275	\$ 32,493	\$ 26,178
Net income			
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests(2)	\$ 3,667	\$ 3,613	\$ 716
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests(2)	200	459	524
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,467	\$ 2,932	\$ 68
Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to Morgan Stanley:			
Institutional Securities	\$ (77)	\$ 983	\$ (797)
Wealth Management(1)	3,192	1,473	772
Investment Management(1)	366	519	167
Intersegment Eliminations			(4)
Income from continuing operations applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,481	\$ 2,975	\$ 138
Income (loss) from discontinued operations applicable to Morgan Stanley(3)	(14)	(43)	(70)
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,467	\$ 2,932	\$ 68
Preferred stock dividend and other	315	277	98
Earnings (loss) applicable to Morgan Stanley common shareholders	\$ 3,152	\$ 2,655	\$ (30)
Earnings (loss) per basic common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.42	\$ 0.02
Income (loss) from discontinued operations(3)	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Earnings (loss) per basic common share(4)	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.39	\$ (0.02)
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.38	\$ 0.02
Income (loss) from discontinued operations(3)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share(4)	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.36	\$ (0.02)
Regional net revenues(5):			
Americas	\$ 25,140	\$ 23,358	\$ 20,276
EMEA	4,772	4,542	3,078
Asia-Pacific	4,363	4,593	2,824
Net revenues	\$ 34,275	\$ 32,493	\$ 26,178

Pre-tax profit margin(6):

Institutional Securities	N/M	6%	N/M
Wealth Management	20%	18%	12%
Investment Management	24%	33%	28%
Consolidated	10%	14%	2%
Effective income tax rate from continuing operations(7)	(2.5)%	19.8%	(27.0)%

Table of Contents*Financial Information and Statistical Data (dollars in millions, except where noted and per share amounts) (Continued).*

	2014	2013	2012
Average common equity (dollars in billions)(8):			
Institutional Securities	\$ 32.2	\$ 37.9	\$ 29.0
Wealth Management	11.2	13.2	13.3
Investment Management	2.9	2.8	2.4
Parent capital	19.0	8.0	16.1
Consolidated average common equity	\$ 65.3	\$ 61.9	\$ 60.8
Return on average common equity from continuing operations(9):			
Institutional Securities	N/M	2.3%	N/M
Wealth Management	27.5%	9.9%	5.7%
Investment Management	12.8%	18.1%	6.7%
Consolidated	4.9%	4.4%	0.1%
Average tangible common equity (dollars in billions)(10)	\$ 55.5	\$ 53.0	\$ 53.9
Return on average tangible common equity from continuing operations(11)	5.7%	5.1%	0.1%
Selected management financial measures, excluding DVA:			
Net revenues, excluding DVA(12)	\$ 33,624	\$ 33,174	\$ 30,580
Income from continuing operations applicable to Morgan Stanley, excluding DVA(12)	\$ 3,063	\$ 3,427	\$ 3,256
Income per diluted common share from continuing operations, excluding DVA(12)	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.64
Return on average common equity, excluding DVA(9)	4.1%	5.0%	5.2%
Return on average tangible common equity, excluding DVA(11)	4.9%	5.8%	5.9%

Table of Contents

Financial Information and Statistical Data (dollars in millions, except where noted and per share amounts) (Continued).

	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
Total loans(13)	\$ 66,577	\$ 42,874
Total assets	\$ 801,510	\$ 832,702
U.S. Subsidiary Banks loans(13)(14)	\$ 59,622	\$ 35,039
U.S. Subsidiary Banks assets(14)	\$ 151,157	\$ 125,341
Total deposits	\$ 133,544	\$ 112,379
Long-term borrowings	\$ 152,772	\$ 153,575
Maturities of long-term borrowings outstanding (next 12 months)	\$ 20,740	\$ 24,193
Worldwide employees	55,802	55,794
Book value per common share(15)	\$ 33.25	\$ 32.24
Tangible book value per common share(16)	\$ 28.26	\$ 27.16
Global Liquidity Reserve held by bank and non-bank legal entities (dollars in billions)(17)	\$ 193	\$ 202
Average Global Liquidity Reserve (dollars in billions)(17)(18):		
Bank legal entities	\$ 87	\$ 75
Non-bank legal entities	108	117
Total average Global Liquidity Reserve	\$ 195	\$ 192
Capital ratios(19):		
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio (Transitional/Advanced Approach in 2014)	12.6%	N/A
Tier 1 common capital ratio	N/A	12.8%
Tier 1 capital ratio (Transitional/Advanced Approach in 2014)	14.1%	15.6%
Total capital ratio (Transitional/Advanced Approach in 2014)	16.4%	16.9%
Tier 1 leverage ratio (Transitional/Advanced Approach in 2014)(20)	7.9%	7.6%
Consolidated assets under management or supervision (dollars in billions)(1)(21):		
Investment Management(22)	\$ 403	\$ 377
Wealth Management	778	688
Total	\$ 1,181	\$ 1,065

N/M Not Meaningful

N/A Not Applicable

EMEA Europe, Middle East and Africa

DVA Debt Valuation Adjustment represents the change in the fair value of certain of the Company's long-term and short-term borrowings resulting from the fluctuation in the Company's credit spreads and other credit factors.

- (1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment. All prior-period amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.
- (2) See Notes 2, 3 and 15 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for information on redeemable and nonredeemable noncontrolling interests.
- (3) See Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for information on discontinued operations.
- (4) For the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS), see Note 16 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (5) Regional net revenues reflect the regional view of the Company's consolidated net revenues, on a managed basis. For a further discussion regarding the geographic methodology for net revenues, see Note 21 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (6)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Pre-tax profit margin is a non-generally accepted accounting principle (non-GAAP) financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess operating performance. Percentages represent income from continuing operations before income taxes as a percentage of net revenues.

- (7) For a discussion of the effective income tax rate, see Overview of 2014 Financial Results herein and Note 20 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Table of Contents

- (8) The computation of average common equity for each business segment is determined using the Company's Required Capital framework, an internal capital adequacy measure (see Liquidity and Capital Resources Regulatory Requirements Required Capital herein). Average common equity for each business segment is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess capital adequacy.
- (9) The calculation of each business segment's return on average common equity uses income from continuing operations applicable to Morgan Stanley less preferred dividends as a percentage of each business segment's average common equity. The return on average common equity is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess operating performance. The effective tax rates used in the computation of business segments' return on average common equity were determined on a separate legal entity basis. To determine the return on consolidated average common equity, excluding the impact of DVA, also a non-GAAP financial measure, both the numerator and the denominator were adjusted to exclude the impact of DVA. The impact of DVA in 2014, 2013 and 2012 was 0.8%, (0.6)% and (5.1)%, respectively.
- (10) Average tangible common equity is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess capital adequacy. For a discussion of tangible common equity, see Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Management herein.
- (11) Return on average tangible common equity is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess capital adequacy. The calculation of return on average tangible common equity uses income from continuing operations applicable to Morgan Stanley less preferred dividends as a percentage of average tangible common equity. To determine the return on average tangible common equity, excluding the impact of DVA, also a non-GAAP financial measure, both the numerator and the denominator were adjusted to exclude the impact of DVA. The impact of DVA in 2014, 2013 and 2012 was 0.8%, (0.7)% and (5.8)%, respectively.
- (12) From time to time, the Company may disclose certain non-GAAP financial measures in the course of its earnings releases, earnings conference calls, financial presentations and otherwise. For these purposes, U.S. GAAP refers to accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) defines a non-GAAP financial measure as a numerical measure of historical or future financial performance, financial positions, or cash flows that excludes or includes amounts or is subject to adjustments that effectively exclude, or include, amounts from the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by the Company are provided as additional information to investors in order to provide them with further transparency about, or as an alternative method for assessing, the Company's financial condition and operating results. These measures are not in accordance with, or a substitute for, U.S. GAAP, and may be different from or inconsistent with non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies. Whenever the Company refers to a non-GAAP financial measure, the Company will also generally present the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP, along with a reconciliation of the differences between the non-GAAP financial measure and the U.S. GAAP financial measure.

	2014	2013	2012
Reconciliation of selected management financial measures from a Non-GAAP to a U.S. GAAP basis (dollars in millions, except per share amounts):			
Net revenues			
Net revenues non-GAAP	\$ 33,624	\$ 33,174	\$ 30,580
Impact of DVA	651	(681)	(4,402)
Net revenues U.S. GAAP	\$ 34,275	\$ 32,493	\$ 26,178
Income from continuing operations applicable to Morgan Stanley			
Income applicable to Morgan Stanley non-GAAP	\$ 3,063	\$ 3,427	\$ 3,256
Impact of DVA	418	(452)	(3,118)
Income applicable to Morgan Stanley U.S. GAAP	\$ 3,481	\$ 2,975	\$ 138
Earnings per diluted common share			
Income from continuing operations per diluted common share non-GAAP	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.64
Impact of DVA	0.22	(0.23)	(1.62)
Income from continuing operations per diluted common share U.S. GAAP	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.38	\$ 0.02

- (13) Amounts include loans held for investment and loans held for sale and exclude loans at fair value which are included in Trading assets in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition (see Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (14) Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. (MSBNA) and Morgan Stanley Private Bank, National Association (MSPBNA) represent the Company's U.S. bank operating subsidiaries (U.S. Subsidiary Banks) and amounts exclude transactions with affiliated entities.
- (15) Book value per common share equals common shareholders' equity of \$64,880 million at December 31, 2014 and \$62,701 million at December 31, 2013 divided by common shares outstanding of 1,951 million at December 31, 2014 and 1,945 million at December 31, 2013.
- (16) Tangible book value per common share equals tangible common equity of \$55,138 million at December 31, 2014 and \$52,828 million at December 31, 2013 divided by common shares outstanding of 1,951 million at December 31, 2014 and 1,945 million at December 31, 2013. Tangible book value per common share is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure that the Company and investors use to assess capital adequacy.
- (17) Global Liquidity Reserve, which is held within the Company's bank and non-bank legal entities, is composed of highly liquid and diversified cash and cash equivalents and unencumbered securities. Eligible unencumbered securities include U.S. government securities,

Table of Contents

- U.S. agency securities, U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, non-U.S. government securities and other highly liquid investment-grade securities. For a discussion of Global Liquidity Reserve, see [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) [Liquidity Risk Management Framework](#) [Global Liquidity Reserve](#) herein.
- (18) The Company calculates the average Global Liquidity Reserve based upon daily amounts.
- (19) The Company calculates its applicable risk-based capital ratios and risk-weighted assets (RWAs) in accordance with the capital adequacy standards for financial holding companies adopted by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the [Federal Reserve](#)). For a further discussion of the Company's methods for calculating its risk-based capital ratios and RWAs, see [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) [Regulatory Requirements](#) herein.
- (20) Beginning with the first quarter of 2014, Tier 1 leverage ratio equals Tier 1 capital (calculated under U.S. Basel III Transitional rules) divided by adjusted average total assets (which reflects adjustments for disallowed goodwill, transitional intangible assets, certain deferred tax assets, certain financial equity investments and other adjustments). In 2013, Tier 1 leverage ratio equaled Tier 1 capital (calculated under U.S. Basel I) divided by adjusted average total assets (which reflects adjustments for disallowed goodwill, certain intangible assets, deferred tax assets, and financial and non-financial equity investments).
- (21) Revenues and expenses associated with these assets are included in the Company's [Wealth Management](#) and [Investment Management](#) business segments.
- (22) Amounts exclude the Company's [Investment Management](#) business segment's proportionate share of assets managed by entities in which it owns a minority stake.

Table of Contents***Global Market and Economic Conditions.***

During 2014, global market and economic conditions displayed a continued but choppy improvement from 2013, characterized by continued global central bank accommodations, low inflation, geopolitical tensions, and sharply lower oil prices during the final months of the year. The U.S. economy which started 2014 with a weather-impacted first quarter decline in gross domestic product (GDP) ended the year with an annualized GDP growth rate of 2.4%. The Eurozone economy by contrast stalled in the second quarter before showing some signs of improvement in the second half of the year, as the annexation of the Crimea region in Ukraine by Russia and conflict in Eastern Ukraine raised anxiety and tensions which weighed on regional economies. In the United Kingdom (U.K.), GDP growth continued to accelerate, with an annualized growth rate of 2.6% for all of 2014, while the Japanese economy saw substantial volatility surrounding a national sales tax hike to 8% from 5% in April, resulting in a GDP growth rate near zero for all of 2014. In China, the government continued reforms to change the structure of the Chinese economy, accepting a somewhat less rapid growth pace as deleveraging is pursued, but targeted easing measures by the Chinese central bank supported a 7.4% gain in real GDP in 2014.

In the U.S., major equity market indices ended the year significantly higher compared with year-end 2013, with the S&P 500 stock index posting a gain of 11.4% for the year, supported by the relative strength of the U.S. economy. The growth in U.S. GDP was driven by an improving labor market, with the unemployment rate dropping below 6% for the first time in six years to end 2014 at 5.6%, a 1.1% decline from the end of 2013. Average wage growth remained tepid, however, and inflation continued to run well below the Federal Reserve's 2% target. Household spending growth accelerated markedly over the course of 2014, supported by strong job growth and lower energy prices, while business investment also accelerated during the second and third quarters of 2014, but showed signs of sluggishness during the fourth quarter as uncertainty about global economic conditions increased. The recovery in the housing market remained slow, hampered by tight mortgage lending conditions. In October 2014, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) of the Federal Reserve ended its quantitative easing program with its final \$15 billion reduction in monthly bond purchases. At December 31, 2014, the federal funds target rate remained between 0.00% and 0.25%, while the discount rate remained at 0.75%. At its December 2014 meeting, the FOMC announced that it would be patient in beginning to normalize its stance on monetary policy.

In Europe, major equity market indices ended the year lower compared with year-end 2013 except for the DAX 30 index in Germany, which ended the year with a 2.7% gain. Euro-area GDP growth turned positive after declining in 2013, but the recovery was sluggish at less than 1% for 2014, and market-based measures of Eurozone inflation expectations fell well below levels consistent with the European Central Bank's (ECB) 2% inflation target, prompting an announcement of additional easing measures in September 2014, including a cut in the benchmark repurchase rate to 0.05% from 0.25% and in the deposit facility rate to negative 0.20% from 0.00% at the end of 2013, and the announcement of asset-backed securities and covered bond purchase programs. In January 2015, the ECB announced an expanded asset purchase program involving the purchase of Euro-area sovereign debt. In the U.K., stronger GDP growth in 2014 was supported by faster growth in consumer spending, business investment and residential investment, but export performance was sluggish. The U.K. also continued to experience significant declines in unemployment, while average wage gains also remained tepid, and inflation fell below the Bank of England's (BOE) target. At December 31, 2014, the BOE's benchmark interest rate was 0.5%, which was unchanged from December 31, 2013, and BOE asset purchases remained at £375 billion, also unchanged from December 31, 2013.

Major equity market indices in Asia ended 2014 higher compared with year-end 2013, except for the KOSPI Composite index in the Republic of Korea, which ended the year down 4.8%. Japan's economy resumed growth after the mid-year recession following the April 2014 tax increase, with support from a substantial increase in asset purchases announced by the Bank of Japan in October 2014 and strong exports. China's annual rate of economic growth has slowed slightly but remained above 7%, which is strong compared with the rest of the world. Nonetheless, the Chinese economy still faces downward pressure, and its government plans to respond with targeted measures to boost growth. The Chinese government's announced reforms reflect its intention to

Table of Contents

restructure its economy away from reliance on exports and investments and toward more sustainable growth driven by domestic consumption.

Overview of 2014 Financial Results.

Consolidated Results. The Company recorded net income applicable to Morgan Stanley of \$3,467 million on net revenues of \$34,275 million in 2014 compared with net income applicable to Morgan Stanley of \$2,932 million on net revenues of \$32,493 million in 2013.

Net revenues in 2014 included positive revenues due to the impact of DVA of \$651 million compared with negative revenues of \$681 million in 2013. In addition, net revenues in 2014 included a charge of approximately \$468 million related to the implementation of Funding Valuation Adjustments (FVA) (see Critical Accounting Policies herein and Note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8), which was recorded in the Company's Institutional Securities business segment. Non-interest expenses were \$30,684 million in 2014 compared with \$27,935 million in 2013. Compensation expenses increased 10% to \$17,824 million in 2014 compared with \$16,277 million in 2013, primarily driven by compensation expense adjustments of approximately \$1.1 billion related to changes in discretionary incentive compensation deferrals (see Business Segments Compensation Expense Discretionary Incentive Compensation herein). Non-compensation expenses increased 10% to \$12,860 million in 2014 compared with \$11,658 million in 2013, primarily due to higher legal expenses.

Diluted EPS and diluted EPS from continuing operations were \$1.60 and \$1.61, respectively, in 2014 compared with \$1.36 and \$1.38, respectively, in 2013. The diluted EPS calculation for 2013 included a negative adjustment of approximately \$151 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share, related to the purchase of the retail securities joint venture between the Company and Citigroup Inc. (Citi) (the Wealth Management JV), which was completed in June 2013.

Excluding the impact of DVA, net revenues were \$33,624 million and diluted EPS from continuing operations were \$1.39 per share in 2014 compared with \$33,174 million and \$1.61 per share, respectively, in 2013. The presentation of net revenues excluding the impact of DVA is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers useful for the Company and investors to allow further comparability of period-to-period operating performance.

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations was a benefit of 2.5% and a provision of 19.8% for 2014 and 2013, respectively. The results for 2014 and 2013 included discrete net tax benefits of \$2,226 million and \$407 million, respectively. Excluding these discrete net tax benefits, the effective tax rates from continuing operations for 2014 and 2013 would have been 59.5% and 28.7%, respectively. The increase in the tax rate is mainly attributable to higher non-deductible expenses related to litigation and regulatory matters and, to a lesser extent, the geographic mix of earnings. For a discussion of the net discrete tax benefits, see Other Matters Income Tax Matters herein.

Institutional Securities. Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes was \$(58) million in 2014 compared with \$946 million in 2013. Net revenues for 2014 were \$16,871 million compared with \$15,519 million in 2013. The results in 2014 included positive revenues due to the impact of DVA of \$651 million compared with negative revenues of \$681 million in 2013. Investment banking revenues increased 19% from 2013 to \$5,203 million in 2014, reflecting increases across equity and fixed income underwriting and advisory revenues. Equity sales and trading net revenues, excluding the impact of DVA, increased 4% from 2013 to \$6,903 million in 2014, primarily due to higher revenues in the prime brokerage business driven by higher client balances partially offset by a decrease in derivatives revenues, reflecting unfavorable volatility movement. Excluding the impact of DVA, fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues decreased 10% from 2013 to \$3,795 million in 2014 as lower fixed income product results, which included a charge of \$466 million related to the implementation of FVA, were partially offset by higher commodity net revenues. Non-interest expenses increased 16% from \$14,573 million in 2013 to \$16,929 million in 2014, primarily due to

Table of Contents

higher non-compensation expenses, reflecting increased legal expenses related to certain legacy residential mortgage matters, and higher compensation expense (see Other Matters Legal herein and Contingencies Legal in Note 13 to the Company's financial statements in Item 8 and Business Segments Compensation Expense Discretionary Incentive Compensation herein).

Wealth Management. Income from continuing operations before taxes was \$2,985 million in 2014 compared with \$2,604 million in 2013. Net revenues were \$14,888 million in 2014 compared with \$14,143 million in 2013. Transactional revenues, consisting of Investment banking, Trading, and Commissions and fees, decreased 10% from 2013 to \$3,875 million in 2014. Investment banking revenues decreased 14% from 2013 to \$791 million in 2014, primarily due to lower levels of underwriting activity in closed-end funds partially offset by higher revenues from structured products. Trading revenues decreased 18% from 2013 to \$957 million in 2014, primarily due to lower gains related to investments associated with certain employee deferred compensation plans and lower revenues from fixed income products. Commissions and fees revenues decreased 4% from 2013 to \$2,127 million in 2014, primarily due to lower equity, insurance and mutual fund activity. Asset management, distribution and administration fees increased 10% from 2013 to \$8,345 million in 2014, primarily due to higher fee-based revenues partially offset by lower revenues from referral fees from the bank deposit program. Net interest increased 25% from 2013 to \$2,339 million in 2014, primarily due to higher lending balances and growth in loans and lending commitments in Portfolio Loan Account (PLA) securities-based lending products. Non-interest expenses increased 3% from \$11,539 million in 2013 to \$11,903 million in 2014 primarily due to higher compensation expenses, which were partially offset by lower non-compensation expenses. Total client asset balances were \$2,025 billion and total client liability balances were \$51 billion at December 31, 2014. Balances in the bank deposit program were \$137 billion at December 31, 2014, which included deposits held by Company-affiliated Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insured depository institutions of \$128 billion at December 31, 2014. Client assets in fee-based accounts were \$785 billion, or 39% of total client assets, at December 31, 2014. Fee-based client asset flows for 2014 were \$58.8 billion compared with \$51.9 billion in 2013.

Investment Management. Income from continuing operations before taxes was \$664 million in 2014 compared with \$1,008 million in 2013. Net revenues were \$2,712 million in 2014 compared with \$3,059 million in 2013. The decrease in net revenues was primarily related to lower net investment gains and the non-recurrence of an additional allocation of fund income to the Company as general partner, in 2013 upon exceeding cumulative fund performance thresholds (carried interest) in the Company's Merchant Banking and Real Estate Investing businesses and lower gains from investments in the Company's deferred compensation and co-investment plans. Results also reflected lower revenues from the prior year on investments in the Real Estate Investing business driven by the deconsolidation in the second quarter of 2014 of certain legal entities associated with a real estate fund sponsored by the Company. Non-interest expenses of \$2,048 million in 2014 were essentially unchanged from 2013.

Business Segments.

Substantially all of the Company's operating revenues and operating expenses are directly attributable to its business segments. Certain revenues and expenses have been allocated to each business segment, generally in proportion to its respective net revenues, non-interest expenses or other relevant measures.

As a result of treating certain intersegment transactions as transactions with external parties, the Company includes an Intersegment Eliminations category to reconcile the business segment results to the Company's consolidated results. Intersegment Eliminations also reflect the effect of fees paid by the Company's Institutional Securities business segment to the Company's Wealth Management business segment related to the bank deposit program.

Net Revenues.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Trading. Trading revenues include revenues from customers' purchases and sales of financial instruments in which the Company acts as a market maker as well as gains and losses on the Company's related positions.

Table of Contents

Trading revenues include the realized gains and losses from sales of cash instruments and derivative settlements, unrealized gains and losses from ongoing fair value changes of the Company's positions related to market-making activities, and gains and losses related to investments associated with certain employee deferred compensation plans. In many markets, the realized and unrealized gains and losses from the purchase and sale transactions will include any spreads between bids and offers. Certain fees received on loans carried at fair value and dividends from equity securities are also recorded in this line item since they relate to market-making positions. Commissions received for purchasing and selling listed equity securities and options are recorded separately in the Commissions and fees line item. Other cash and derivative instruments typically do not have fees associated with them, and fees for related services are recorded in Commissions and fees.

The Company often invests in investments or other financial instruments to economically hedge its obligations under its deferred compensation plans. Changes in value of such investments made by the Company are recorded in Trading revenues and Investments revenues. Expenses associated with the related deferred compensation plans are recorded in Compensation and benefits. Compensation expense is calculated based on the notional value of the award granted, adjusted for upward and downward changes in fair value of the referenced investment and is recognized ratably over the prescribed vesting period for the award. Generally, changes in compensation expense resulting from changes in fair value of the referenced investment will be offset by changes in fair value of the investments made by the Company. However, there may be a timing difference between the immediate revenue recognition of gains and losses on the Company's investments and the deferred recognition of the related compensation expense over the vesting period.

As a market maker, the Company stands ready to buy, sell or otherwise transact with customers under a variety of market conditions and to provide firm or indicative prices in response to customer requests. The Company's liquidity obligations can be explicit and obligatory in some cases, and in others, customers expect the Company to be willing to transact with them. In order to most effectively fulfill its market-making function, the Company engages in activities across all of its trading businesses that include, but are not limited to: (i) taking positions in anticipation of, and in response to, customer demand to buy or sell and depending on the liquidity of the relevant market and the size of the position to hold those positions for a period of time; (ii) managing and assuming basis risk (risk associated with imperfect hedging) between customized customer risks and the standardized products available in the market to hedge those risks; (iii) building, maintaining and rebalancing inventory, through trades with other market participants, and engaging in accumulation activities to accommodate anticipated customer demand; (iv) trading in the market to remain current on pricing and trends; and (v) engaging in other activities to provide efficiency and liquidity for markets. Although not included in Trading revenues, interest income and expense are also impacted by market-making activities as debt securities held by the Company earn interest and securities are loaned, borrowed, sold with agreement to repurchase and purchased with agreement to resell.

Investments. The Company's investments generally are held for long-term appreciation and generally are subject to significant sales restrictions. Estimates of the fair value of the investments may involve significant judgment and may fluctuate significantly over time in light of business, market, economic and financial conditions generally or in relation to specific transactions. In some cases, such investments are required or are a necessary part of offering other products. The revenues recorded are the result of realized gains and losses from sales and unrealized gains and losses from ongoing fair value changes of the Company's holdings as well as from investments associated with certain employee deferred compensation and co-investment plans. Typically, there are no fee revenues from these investments. The sales restrictions on the investments relate primarily to redemption and withdrawal restrictions on investments in real estate funds, hedge funds and private equity funds, which include investments made in connection with certain employee deferred compensation plans (see Note 4 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8). Restrictions on interests in exchanges and clearinghouses generally include a requirement to hold those interests for the period of time that the Company is clearing trades on that exchange or clearinghouse. Additionally, there are certain investments related to assets held by consolidated real estate funds, which are primarily related to holders of noncontrolling interests.

Table of Contents

Commissions and Fees. Commission and fee revenues primarily arise from agency transactions in listed and over-the-counter (OTC) equity securities, services related to sales and trading activities, and sales of mutual funds, futures, insurance products and options.

Asset Management, Distribution and Administration Fees. Asset management, distribution and administration fees include fees associated with the management and supervision of assets, account services and administration, performance-based fees relating to certain funds, separately managed accounts, shareholder servicing and the distribution of certain open-ended mutual funds.

Asset management, distribution and administration fees in the Company's Wealth Management business segment also include revenues from individual investors electing a fee-based pricing arrangement and fees for investment management. Mutual fund distribution fees in the Company's Wealth Management business segment are based on either the average daily fund net asset balances or average daily aggregate net fund sales and are affected by changes in the overall level and mix of assets under management or supervision.

Asset management fees in the Company's Investment Management business segment arise from investment management services the Company provides to investment vehicles pursuant to various contractual arrangements. The Company receives fees primarily based upon mutual fund daily average net assets or based on monthly or quarterly invested equity for other vehicles. Performance-based fees in the Company's Investment Management business segment are earned on certain funds as a percentage of appreciation earned by those funds and, in certain cases, are based upon the achievement of performance criteria. These fees are normally earned annually and are recognized on a monthly or quarterly basis.

Net Interest. Interest income and Interest expense are a function of the level and mix of total assets and liabilities, including Trading assets and Trading liabilities; investment securities, which include available for sale (AFS) securities and held to maturity (HTM) securities; securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell; securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase; loans; deposits; commercial paper and other short-term borrowings; long-term borrowings; trading strategies; customer activity in the Company's prime brokerage business; and the prevailing level, term structure and volatility of interest rates. Certain Securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repurchase agreements) and Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) and Securities borrowed and Securities loaned transactions may be entered into with different customers using the same underlying securities, thereby generating a spread between the interest income on the reverse repurchase agreements or securities borrowed transactions and the interest expense on the repurchase agreements or securities loaned transactions.

Compensation Expense.

The Company's compensation and benefits expense includes accruals for base salaries and fixed allowances, formulaic programs, discretionary incentive compensation, amortization of deferred cash and equity awards, changes in fair value of deferred compensation plan referenced investments, and other items such as health and welfare benefits. The factors that drive compensation for the Company's employees vary from quarter to quarter, segment to segment and within a segment. For certain revenue-producing employees in the Company's Wealth Management and Investment Management business segments, their compensation is largely paid on the basis of formulaic payouts that link their compensation to revenues. Compensation for certain employees, including revenue-producing employees in the Company's Institutional Securities business segment, may also include incentive compensation that is determined following the assessment of the Company, business unit and individual performance. Compensation for the Company's remaining employees is largely fixed in nature (e.g., base salary, benefits, etc.).

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Discretionary Incentive Compensation. On December 1, 2014, the Compensation, Management Development and Succession Committee (CMDS Committee) of the Company s Board of Directors approved an approach for awards of discretionary incentive compensation for the 2014 performance year to be granted in 2015 that would reduce the average deferral of such awards to an approximate baseline of 50%. Additionally, the CMDS

Table of Contents

Committee approved the acceleration of vesting for certain outstanding deferred cash-based incentive compensation awards. The deferred cash-based incentive compensation awards subject to accelerated vesting will be distributed on their regularly scheduled future distribution dates and will continue to be subject to cancellation and clawback provisions. With its business strategy in place and greater financial stability, the Company is in a position to change the level of deferrals, making the Company's practice more consistent with deferral levels at the Company's global competitors. The increase in compensation and benefits expense for the Company and each of its business segments as a result of these actions was as follows:

	Institutional Securities	Wealth Management	Investment Management	Total
	(dollars in millions)			
Pro forma 2014 compensation and benefits expense(1)	\$ 6,882	\$ 8,737	\$ 1,068	\$ 16,687
Fourth quarter actions:				
Change in 2014 level of deferrals(2)	610	66	80	756
Acceleration of prior-year cash-based deferred awards(3)	294	22	65	381
Fourth quarter actions total	\$ 904	\$ 88	\$ 145	\$ 1,137
Actual 2014 compensation and benefits expense	\$ 7,786	\$ 8,825	\$ 1,213	\$ 17,824

- (1) Pro forma 2014 represents compensation and benefits expense at pre-adjustment accrual levels (*i.e.*, at an approximate average baseline 74% deferral rate and with no acceleration of cash-based award vesting that was utilized for the first three quarters of 2014).
- (2) Amounts reflect reduction in deferral level from an approximate average baseline of 74% to an approximate average baseline of 50%.
- (3) Amounts represent acceleration of vesting for certain cash-based awards.

Table of Contents**INSTITUTIONAL SECURITIES****INCOME STATEMENT INFORMATION**

	2014	2013	2012
	(dollars in millions)		
Revenues:			
Investment banking	\$ 5,203	\$ 4,377	\$ 3,930
Trading	8,445	8,147	6,003
Investments	240	707	219
Commissions and fees	2,610	2,425	2,175
Asset management, distribution and administration fees	281	280	241
Other	684	684	279
Total non-interest revenues	17,463	16,620	12,847
Interest income	3,389	3,572	4,224
Interest expense	3,981	4,673	5,970
Net interest	(592)	(1,101)	(1,746)
Net revenues	16,871	15,519	11,101
Compensation and benefits	7,786	6,823	6,979
Non-compensation expenses	9,143	7,750	5,734
Total non-interest expenses	16,929	14,573	12,713
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(58)	946	(1,612)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(90)	(315)	(985)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	32	1,261	(627)
Discontinued operations:			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	(26)	(81)	(158)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(7)	(29)	(36)
Income (losses) from discontinued operations	(19)	(52)	(122)
Net income (loss)	13	1,209	(749)
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests		1	4
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	109	277	170
Net income (loss) applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ (96)	\$ 931	\$ (923)
Amounts applicable to Morgan Stanley:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (77)	\$ 983	\$ (797)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(19)	(52)	(126)
Net income (loss) applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ (96)	\$ 931	\$ (923)

Table of Contents**Supplemental Financial Information.**

Investment Banking. Investment banking revenues are composed of fees from advisory services and revenues from the underwriting of securities offerings and syndication of loans, net of syndication expenses.

Investment banking revenues were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
	(dollars in millions)		
Advisory revenues	\$ 1,634	\$ 1,310	\$ 1,369
Underwriting revenues:			
Equity underwriting revenues	1,613	1,262	892
Fixed income underwriting revenues	1,956	1,805	1,669
Total underwriting revenues	3,569	3,067	2,561
Total investment banking revenues	\$ 5,203	\$ 4,377	\$ 3,930

The following table presents the Company's volumes of announced and completed mergers and acquisitions, equity and equity-related offerings, and fixed income offerings:

	2014(1)	2013(1)	2012(1)
	(dollars in billions)		
Announced mergers and acquisitions(2)	\$ 745	\$ 518	\$ 464
Completed mergers and acquisitions(2)	620	526	391
Equity and equity-related offerings(3)	72	61	52
Fixed income offerings(4)	260	289	277

(1) Source: Thomson Reuters, data at January 20, 2015. Announced and completed mergers and acquisitions volumes are based on full credit to each of the advisors in a transaction. Equity and equity-related offerings and fixed income offerings are based on full credit for single book managers and equal credit for joint book managers. Transaction volumes may not be indicative of net revenues in a given period. In addition, transaction volumes for prior periods may vary from amounts previously reported due to the subsequent withdrawal or change in the value of a transaction.

(2) Amounts include transactions of \$100 million or more. Announced mergers and acquisitions exclude terminated transactions.

(3) Amounts include Rule 144A and public common stock, convertible and rights offerings.

(4) Amounts include non-convertible preferred stock, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities and taxable municipal debt. Amounts also include publicly registered and Rule 144A issues. Amounts exclude leveraged loans and self-led issuances.

Sales and Trading Net Revenues. Sales and trading net revenues are composed of Trading revenues; Commissions and fees; Asset management, distribution and administration fees; and Net interest income (expenses). See Business Segments Net Revenues herein for information about the composition of the above-referenced components of sales and trading revenues. In assessing the profitability of its sales and trading activities, the Company views these net revenues in the aggregate. In addition, decisions relating to trading are based on an overall review of aggregate revenues and costs associated with each transaction or series of transactions. This review includes, among other things, an assessment of the potential gain or loss associated with a transaction, including any associated commissions and fees, dividends, the interest income or expense associated with financing or hedging the Company's positions, and other related expenses. See Note 12 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information related to gains (losses) on derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

Sales and trading net revenues were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
	(dollars in millions)		
Trading(1)	\$ 8,445	\$ 8,147	\$ 6,003
Commissions and fees	2,610	2,425	2,175
Asset management, distribution and administration fees	281	280	241
Net interest	(592)	(1,101)	(1,746)
Total sales and trading net revenues	\$ 10,744	\$ 9,751	\$ 6,673

Sales and trading net revenues by business were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
	(dollars in millions)		
Equity(1)	\$ 7,135	\$ 6,529	\$ 4,811
Fixed income and commodities(1)	4,214	3,594	2,358
Other(2)	(605)	(372)	(496)
Total sales and trading net revenues	\$ 10,744	\$ 9,751	\$ 6,673

(1) Results in 2014 included a charge of \$468 million related to the implementation of FVA (Equity: \$2 million; Fixed income and commodities: \$466 million).

(2) Amounts include net losses associated with costs related to the amount of liquidity held (negative carry), net gains (losses) on economic hedges related to the Company's long-term borrowings, and revenues from corporate loans and lending commitments.

The following sales and trading net revenues results exclude the impact of DVA. The reconciliation of sales and trading, including equity sales and trading and fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues, from a non-GAAP to a GAAP basis is as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
	(dollars in millions)		
Total sales and trading net revenues non-GAAP(1)	\$ 10,093	\$ 10,432	\$ 11,075
Impact of DVA	651	(681)	(4,402)
Total sales and trading net revenues(2)	\$ 10,744	\$ 9,751	\$ 6,673
Equity sales and trading net revenues non-GAAP(1)	\$ 6,903	\$ 6,607	\$ 5,941
Impact of DVA	232	(78)	(1,130)
Equity sales and trading net revenues(2)	\$ 7,135	\$ 6,529	\$ 4,811
Fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues non-GAAP(1)	\$ 3,795	\$ 4,197	\$ 5,630
Impact of DVA	419	(603)	(3,272)
Fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues(2)	\$ 4,214	\$ 3,594	\$ 2,358

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

- (1) Sales and trading net revenues, including equity and fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues that exclude the impact of DVA, are non-GAAP financial measures that the Company considers useful for the Company and investors to allow further comparability of period-to-period operating performance.
- (2) Results in 2014 included a charge of \$468 million related to the implementation of FVA (Equity: \$2 million; Fixed income and commodities: \$466 million).

Table of Contents**2014 Compared with 2013.**

Investment Banking. Investment banking revenues for 2014 increased 19% from 2013, reflecting increases across equity and fixed income underwriting and advisory revenues. Overall, underwriting revenues of \$3,569 million increased 16% from 2013. Equity underwriting revenues increased 28% to \$1,613 million in 2014, reflecting increased activity with clients across all regions. Fixed income underwriting revenues of \$1,956 million increased 8% from 2013, reflecting increased investment grade volumes and lower leveraged loan issuance. Advisory revenues from merger, acquisition and restructuring transactions (M&A) were \$1,634 million in 2014, an increase of 25% from 2013, reflective of increased deal activity primarily driven by the Americas and Asia-Pacific regions. Industry-wide announced M&A volume activity for 2014 increased across all regions compared with 2013, primarily driven by cross-border activity.

Sales and Trading Net Revenues. Total sales and trading net revenues increased to \$10,744 million in 2014 from \$9,751 million in 2013, reflecting higher revenues in equity and fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues partially offset by higher losses in other sales and trading net revenues.

Equity. Equity sales and trading net revenues increased 9% from 2013 to \$7,135 million in 2014. The results in equity sales and trading net revenues included positive revenues in 2014 of \$232 million due to the impact of DVA compared with negative revenues of \$78 million in 2013. Equity sales and trading net revenues, excluding the impact of DVA, increased 4% from 2013 to \$6,903 million in 2014, primarily due to higher revenues in the prime brokerage business driven by higher client balances partially offset by a decrease in derivatives revenues, reflecting unfavorable volatility movement.

Exclusive of a charge related to the implementation of FVA, equity sales and trading net revenues in 2014 reflected gains of \$18 million related to changes in the fair value of net derivative contracts attributable to the tightening of counterparties' credit default swap (CDS) spreads and other factors compared with gains of \$37 million in 2013. The Company's CDS spreads and other factors did not have a material impact on equity sales and trading net revenues for 2014 and 2013. The gains and losses on CDS spreads and other factors included gains and losses on related hedging instruments.

Fixed Income and Commodities. Fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues increased 17% from 2013 to \$4,214 million in 2014. Results in 2014 included positive revenues of \$419 million due to the impact of DVA compared with negative revenues of \$603 million in 2013. Excluding the impact of DVA, fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues decreased 10% from 2013 to \$3,795 million in 2014 as lower fixed income product results were partially offset by higher commodity net revenues. Net revenues in 2014 included a charge of \$466 million related to the implementation of FVA. Fixed income product net revenues, excluding the impact of DVA, decreased 15% from 2013 as higher results in interest rate products were offset by declines in credit products, which reflected an unfavorable market environment. Commodity net revenues, excluding the impact of DVA, increased 34% from 2013, reflecting higher levels of client demand for structured transactions and volatility in natural gas and power partly offset by lower revenues in the oil related businesses in part attributable to TransMontaigne Inc., which was sold on July 1, 2014 (see Global Oil Merchanting Business, CanTerm and TransMontaigne herein).

Exclusive of the FVA charge noted above, fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues in 2014 also reflected gains of \$23 million related to changes in the fair value of net derivative contracts attributable to the tightening of counterparties' CDS spreads and other factors compared with gains of \$127 million in 2013. In addition, the Company also recorded losses of \$55 million in 2014 related to changes in the fair value of net derivative contracts attributable to the tightening of the Company's CDS spreads and other factors compared with losses of \$114 million in 2013. The gains and losses on CDS spreads and other factors included gains and losses on related hedging instruments.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Other. In addition to the equity and fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues discussed above, sales and trading net revenues included other trading revenues, consisting of costs related to negative

Table of Contents

carry, gains (losses) on economic hedges related to the Company's long-term borrowings and certain activities associated with the Company's corporate lending activities.

In 2014, other sales and trading recognized negative net revenues of \$605 million compared with negative net revenues of \$372 million in 2013. Results in both periods included losses related to negative carry and losses on economic hedges and other costs related to the Company's long-term borrowings. Results in both periods also included net revenues from corporate loans and lending commitments, which were \$325 million and \$440 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Investments. See Business Segments Net Revenues herein for further information on what is included in Investments.

Net investment gains of \$240 million were recognized in 2014 compared with net investment gains of \$707 million in 2013. The decline reflects a gain recorded in the prior year related to the disposition of an investment in an insurance broker, lower gains on principal investments and from investments associated with the Company's deferred compensation and co-investment plans.

Other. Other revenues were \$684 million in 2014 and 2013. The results in 2014 included income of \$224 million, arising from the Company's 40% stake in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. (MUMSS) compared with income of \$570 million in 2013 (see Other Matters Japanese Securities Joint Venture herein and Note 22 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8). In 2014, Other revenues also included a \$112 million gain on sale of the Company's ownership stake in TransMontaigne Inc. (see Global Oil Merchancing Business, CanTerm and TransMontaigne herein), a gain on sale of a retail property space of \$84 million and a \$39 million gain related to the acquisition of NaturEner USA, LLC (see Note 9 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Non-interest Expenses. Non-interest expenses increased 16% in 2014 compared with 2013. The increase was primarily due to higher legal expenses and higher compensation expenses. Non-compensation expenses increased 18% in 2014 compared with 2013. The increase primarily reflected higher legal expenses related to certain legacy residential mortgage matters (see Other Matters Legal herein and Contingencies Legal in Note 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8). Compensation and benefits expenses increased 14% in 2014 from 2013. The increase was primarily due to the reduction of average deferral rates for discretionary incentive-based awards, an increase in amortization due to accelerated vesting of certain awards, and an increase in base salaries and fixed allowances partially offset by a decrease in the fair value of deferred compensation plan referenced investments (see also Business Segments Compensation Expense Discretionary Incentive Compensation herein).

2013 Compared with 2012.

Investment Banking. Investment banking revenues in 2013 increased 11% from 2012, reflecting higher revenues from equity and fixed income underwriting transactions, partially offset by lower advisory revenues. Overall, underwriting revenues of \$3,067 million increased 20% from 2012. Equity underwriting revenues increased 41% to \$1,262 million in 2013, largely driven by increased client activity across Europe, Asia and the Americas. Fixed income underwriting revenues were \$1,805 million in 2013, an increase of 8% from 2012, reflecting a continued favorable debt underwriting environment. Advisory revenues from M&A were \$1,310 million in 2013, a decrease of 4% from 2012, reflective of the lower level of deal activity in 2013. Industry-wide announced M&A activity for 2013 was relatively flat compared with 2012, with increases in the Americas offset by decreases in EMEA.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Sales and Trading Net Revenues. Total sales and trading net revenues increased to \$9,751 million in 2013 from \$6,673 million in 2012, reflecting higher revenues in equity and fixed income sales and trading net revenues and lower losses in other sales and trading net revenues.

Table of Contents

Equity. Equity sales and trading net revenues increased to \$6,529 million in 2013 from \$4,811 million in 2012. The results in equity sales and trading net revenues included negative revenues due to the impact of DVA of \$78 million in 2013 compared with negative revenues of \$1,130 million in 2012. Equity sales and trading net revenues, excluding the impact of DVA, increased 11% to \$6,607 million in 2013 from 2012, reflecting strong performance across most products and regions, from higher client activity with particular strength in prime brokerage.

In 2013, equity sales and trading net revenues also reflected gains of \$37 million related to changes in the fair value of net derivative contracts attributable to the tightening of counterparties' CDS spreads and other factors compared with gains of \$68 million in 2012. The Company also recorded losses of \$15 million in 2013 related to changes in the fair value of net derivative contracts attributable to the tightening of the Company's CDS spreads and other factors compared with losses of \$243 million in 2012. The gains and losses on CDS spreads and other factors include gains and losses on related hedging instruments.

Fixed Income and Commodities. Fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues were \$3,594 million in 2013 compared with net revenues of \$2,358 million in 2012. Results in 2013 included negative revenues of \$603 million due to the impact of DVA compared with negative revenues of \$3,272 million in 2012. Fixed income product net revenues, excluding the impact of DVA, in 2013 decreased 26% over 2012, primarily reflecting lower levels of client activity across most products and significant revenue declines in interest rate products. Commodity net revenues, excluding the impact of DVA, in 2013 decreased 38% over 2012, primarily reflecting lower levels of client activity across energy markets.

In 2013, fixed income and commodities sales and trading net revenues reflected gains of \$127 million related to changes in the fair value of net derivative contracts attributable to the tightening of counterparties' CDS spreads and other factors compared with losses of \$128 million in 2012 due to the widening of such spreads and other factors. The Company also recorded losses of \$114 million in 2013 related to changes in the fair value of net derivative contracts attributable to the tightening of the Company's CDS spreads and other factors compared with losses of \$482 million in 2012. The gains and losses on CDS spreads and other factors include gains and losses on related hedging instruments.

Other. Other sales and trading net losses were \$372 million in 2013 compared with net losses of \$496 million in 2012. The results in both periods included net losses related to negative carry and losses on economic hedges and other costs related to the Company's long-term borrowings. The results in 2013 and 2012 were partially offset by revenues of \$440 million and \$740 million, respectively, associated with corporate loans and lending commitments.

Investments. Net investment gains of \$707 million were recognized in 2013 compared with net investment gains of \$219 million in 2012. The increase primarily reflected a gain on the disposition of an investment in an insurance broker. The results in 2013 and 2012 included mark-to-market gains on principal investments in real estate funds and net gains from investments associated with the Company's deferred compensation and co-investment plans.

Other. Other revenues of \$684 million were recognized in 2013 compared with other revenues of \$279 million in 2012. The results in 2013 primarily included income of \$570 million, arising from the Company's 40% stake in MUMSS, compared with income of \$152 million in 2012 (see Other Matters Japanese Securities Joint Venture herein and Note 22 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Non-interest Expenses. Non-interest expenses increased 15% in 2013 compared with 2012. The increase was primarily due to higher non-compensation expenses. Compensation and benefits expenses decreased 2% in 2013, primarily due to a decrease in salaries due to lower headcount. Non-compensation expenses increased 35% in 2013 compared with 2012. The increase primarily reflected additions to legal expenses for litigation and investigations related to residential mortgage-backed securities and the credit crisis related matters (see Other

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Matters Legal herein and Contingencies Legal in Note 13 to the Company s consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Table of Contents

Brokerage, clearing and exchange expenses increased 16% in 2013 compared with 2012 primarily due to higher volumes of activity. Information processing and communications expenses decreased 9% in 2013 compared with 2012 primarily due to lower technology costs. Professional services expenses increased 5% in 2013 compared with 2012 primarily due to higher consulting expenses related to the Company's technology platform.

Income Tax Items.

In 2014, the Company recognized in Provision for (benefit from) income taxes an aggregate discrete net tax benefit of \$839 million attributable to its Institutional Securities business segment. This included discrete tax benefits of: \$612 million principally associated with remeasurement of reserves and related interest due to new information regarding the status of a multi-year tax authority examination, and \$237 million primarily associated with the repatriation of non-U.S. earnings at a cost lower than originally estimated. In addition, the Company's Provision for (benefit from) income taxes for the business segment was impacted by approximately \$900 million of tax provision as a result of non-deductible expenses related to litigation and regulatory matters.

In 2013, the Company recognized in Provision for (benefit from) income taxes an aggregate discrete net tax benefit of \$407 million attributable to its Institutional Securities business segment. This included discrete tax benefits of: \$161 million related to the remeasurement of reserves and related interest associated with new information regarding the status of certain tax authority examinations; \$92 million related to the establishment of a previously unrecognized deferred tax asset from a legal entity reorganization; \$73 million that is attributable to tax planning strategies to optimize foreign tax credit utilization as a result of the anticipated repatriation of earnings from certain non-U.S. subsidiaries; and \$81 million due to the retroactive effective date of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the Relief Act). For a further discussion of the Relief Act, see Other Matters Income Tax Matters herein.

In 2012, the Company recognized in Provision for (benefit from) income taxes a net tax benefit of \$249 million attributable to its Institutional Securities business segment. This included a discrete tax benefit of \$299 million related to the remeasurement of reserves and related interest associated with either the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations or new information regarding the status of certain Internal Revenue Service examinations and an out-of-period net tax provision of \$50 million, primarily related to the overstatement of deferred tax assets associated with repatriated earnings of foreign subsidiaries recorded in prior years. The Company has evaluated the effects of the understatement of the income tax provision both qualitatively and quantitatively, and concluded that it did not have a material impact on any prior annual or quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Discontinued Operations.

For a discussion about discontinued operations, see Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Nonredeemable Noncontrolling Interests.

Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests primarily relate to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.'s (MUFG) interest in Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd. (see Note 22 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Global Oil Merchanting Business, CanTerm and TransMontaigne.

On December 20, 2013, the Company and a subsidiary of Rosneft Oil Company (Rosneft) entered into a Purchase Agreement pursuant to which the Company would sell the global oil merchanting unit of its commodities division (the global oil merchanting business) to Rosneft. On December 22, 2014, the Company announced the termination of the sale due to the expiration of the Purchase Agreement on December 20, 2014. The Company continues to explore strategic options for its global oil merchanting business.

Table of Contents

On March 27, 2014, the Company completed the sale of Canterm Canadian Terminals Inc. (CanTerm), a public storage terminal operator for refined products with two distribution terminals in Canada. As a result of the Company's level of continuing involvement with CanTerm, the results of CanTerm are reported as a component of continuing operations within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment for all periods presented. The gain on sale was approximately \$45 million.

On July 1, 2014, the Company completed the sale of its ownership stake in TransMontaigne Inc., a U.S.-based oil storage, marketing and transportation company, as well as related physical inventory and the assumption of the Company's obligations under certain terminal storage contracts, to NGL Energy Partners LP. The gain on sale, which was included in continuing operations, was approximately \$112 million for 2014.

Table of Contents**WEALTH MANAGEMENT****INCOME STATEMENT INFORMATION**

	2014	2013(1)	2012(1)
	(dollars in millions)		
Revenues:			
Investment banking	\$ 791	\$ 923	\$ 835
Trading	957	1,161	1,041
Investments	9	14	10
Commissions and fees	2,127	2,209	2,087
Asset management, distribution and administration fees	8,345	7,571	7,101
Other	320	390	313
Total non-interest revenues	12,549	12,268	11,387
Interest income	2,516	2,100	1,886
Interest expense	177	225	326
Net interest	2,339	1,875	1,560
Net revenues	14,888	14,143	12,947
Compensation and benefits	8,825	8,265	7,788
Non-compensation expenses	3,078	3,274	3,587
Total non-interest expenses	11,903	11,539	11,375
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	2,985	2,604	1,572
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(207)	910	538
Income from continuing operations	3,192	1,694	1,034
Discontinued operations:			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes		(1)	94
Provision for income taxes			26
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(1)	68
Net income	3,192	1,693	1,102
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests		221	120
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests			167
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,192	\$ 1,472	\$ 815
Amounts applicable to Morgan Stanley:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 3,192	\$ 1,473	\$ 772
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(1)	43
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,192	\$ 1,472	\$ 815

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

- (1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment. All prior-period amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.

Table of Contents

Statistical Data (dollars in billions, except where noted).

	2014	2013(1)	2012(1)
Annual revenues per representative (dollars in thousands)(2)	\$ 914	\$ 863	\$ 780
Client assets per representative (dollars in millions)(3)	\$ 126	\$ 116	\$ 104
Fee-based asset flows(4)	\$ 58.8	\$ 51.9	\$ 26.9

	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
Client assets	\$ 2,025	\$ 1,909
Fee-based client assets(5)	\$ 785	\$ 697
Fee-based client assets as a percentage of total client assets(5)	39%	37%
Client liabilities	\$ 51	\$ 39
Bank deposit program(6)	\$ 137	\$ 134
Wealth Management U.S. Subsidiary Banks data(7):		
Investment securities portfolio	\$ 57.3	\$ 53.4
Loans and lending commitments	\$ 42.7	\$ 29.5
Wealth Management representatives	16,076	16,456
Retail locations	622	649

- (1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment. All prior-period amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.
- (2) Annual revenues per representative for 2014, 2013 and 2012 equal the Company's Wealth Management business segment's annual revenues divided by the average representative headcount in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
- (3) Client assets per representative equal total period-end client assets divided by period-end representative headcount.
- (4) Fee-based asset flows include net new fee-based assets, net account transfers, dividends, interest and client fees and exclude cash management-related activity.
- (5) Fee-based client assets represent the amount of assets in client accounts where the basis of payment for services is a fee calculated on those assets.
- (6) Balances in the bank deposit program included deposits held by the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks of \$128 billion and \$104 billion at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, with the remainder held at Citi-affiliated FDIC-insured depositories. See Note 3 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further discussion of the Company's customer deposits held by Citi.
- (7) Wealth Management U.S. Subsidiary Banks refers to the Company's U.S. bank operating subsidiaries MSBNA and MSPBNA.

Wealth Management JV. On June 28, 2013, the Company completed the purchase of the remaining 35% stake in the Wealth Management JV for \$4.725 billion. As the 100% owner of the Wealth Management JV, the Company retains all of the related net income previously applicable to the noncontrolling interests in the Wealth Management JV and benefits from the termination of certain related debt and operating agreements with the Wealth Management JV partner.

Concurrent with the acquisition of the remaining 35% stake in the Wealth Management JV, the deposit sweep agreement between Citi and the Company was terminated. During 2014 and 2013, \$19 billion and \$26 billion, respectively, of deposits held by Citi relating to the Company's customer accounts were transferred to the Company's depository institutions. At December 31, 2014, approximately \$9 billion of additional deposits are scheduled to be transferred to the Company's depository institutions on an agreed-upon basis through June 2015.

For further information, see Note 3 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Net Revenues. The Company's Wealth Management business segment's net revenues are composed of Transactional, Asset management, Net interest and Other revenues. Transactional revenues include Investment banking, Trading, and Commissions and fees. Asset management revenues include Asset management, distribution and administration fees, and referral fees related to the bank deposit program. Net interest income

Table of Contents

includes interest related to the bank deposit program, interest on AFS securities and HTM securities, interest on lending activities and other net interest. Other revenues include revenues from AFS securities and HTM securities, customer account services fees, other miscellaneous revenues and revenues from Investments.

	2014	2013(1)	2012(1)
	(dollars in millions)		
Net revenues:			
Transactional	\$ 3,875	\$ 4,293	\$ 3,963
Asset management	8,345	7,571	7,101
Net interest	2,339	1,875	1,560
Other	329	404	323
Net revenues	\$ 14,888	\$ 14,143	\$ 12,947

(1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment. All prior-period amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.

2014 Compared with 2013.***Transactional.***

Investment Banking. The Company's Wealth Management business segment's investment banking revenues include revenues from the distribution of equity and fixed income securities, including initial public offerings, secondary offerings, closed-end funds and unit trusts. Investment banking revenues decreased 14% from 2013 to \$791 million in 2014, primarily due to lower levels of underwriting activity in closed-end funds partially offset by higher revenues from structured products.

Trading. Trading revenues include revenues from customers' purchases and sales of financial instruments, in which the Company acts as principal, gains and losses on the Company's inventory positions, which are held primarily to facilitate customer transactions, and gains and losses associated with certain employee deferred compensation plans. Trading revenues decreased 18% from 2013 to \$957 million in 2014, primarily due to lower gains related to investments associated with certain employee deferred compensation plans and lower revenues from fixed income products.

Commissions and Fees. Commissions and fees revenues primarily arise from agency transactions in listed and OTC equity securities and sales of mutual funds, futures, insurance products and options. Commissions and fees revenues decreased 4% from 2013 to \$2,127 million in 2014, primarily due to lower equity, insurance and mutual fund activity.

Asset Management.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Asset Management, Distribution and Administration Fees. See Business Segments Net Revenues herein for information about the composition of Asset management, distribution and administration fees.

Asset management, distribution and administration fees increased 10% from 2013 to \$8,345 million in 2014, primarily due to higher fee-based revenues partially offset by lower revenues from referral fees from the bank deposit program. The referral fees for deposits placed with Citi-affiliated depository institutions declined to \$81 million in 2014 from \$240 million in 2013, reflecting the ongoing transfer of deposits to the Company from Citi.

Balances in the bank deposit program were \$137 billion at December 31, 2014 and \$134 billion at December 31, 2013, which included deposits held by the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks of \$128 billion at December 31, 2014 and \$104 billion at December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Client assets in fee-based accounts increased to \$785 billion and represented 39% of total client assets at December 31, 2014 compared with \$697 billion and 37% at December 31, 2013, respectively. Total client asset balances increased to \$2,025 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$1,909 billion at December 31, 2013, primarily due to higher fee-based asset flows and the impact of market conditions. Fee-based client asset flows for 2014 were \$58.8 billion compared with \$51.9 billion in 2013.

Net Interest.

Interest income and Interest expense are a function of the level and mix of total assets and liabilities. Net interest is driven by securities-based lending, mortgage lending, margin loans, securities borrowed and securities loaned transactions and bank deposit program activity.

Net interest increased 25% from 2013 to \$2,339 million in 2014, primarily due to higher lending balances and growth in loans and lending commitments in PLA securities-based lending products. Total client liability balances increased to \$51 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$39 billion at December 31, 2013, primarily due to higher growth from PLA securities-based lending products and residential mortgage loans. The loans and lending commitments in the Company's Wealth Management business segment have grown in 2014, and the Company expects this trend to continue. See Other Matters U.S. Subsidiary Banks Lending Activities herein and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Credit Risk Lending Activities in Item 7A.

Other.

Other revenues were \$320 million in 2014 compared with \$390 million in 2013. The results in 2014 included a \$40 million gain on sale of a retail property space. The decrease in 2014 primarily reflected a gain on sale of the U.K. operation of the Global Stock Plan Services business in the prior-year period and lower account fees.

Non-interest Expenses.

Non-interest expenses increased 3% in 2014 from 2013. Compensation and benefits expenses increased 7% in 2014 from 2013, primarily due to a higher formulaic payout to Wealth Management representatives linked to higher net revenues, and an increase in base salaries.

Non-compensation expenses decreased 6% in 2014 from 2013, primarily driven by non-recurring technology write-offs and an impairment expense related to certain intangible assets (management contracts) associated with alternative investments funds in the prior-year period, lower intangible amortization and a lower FDIC assessment on deposits partially offset by a provision in the current year related to a rescission offer to Wealth Management clients who may not have received a prospectus for certain securities transactions as required.

2013 Compared with 2012.

Transactional.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Investment Banking. Investment banking revenues increased 11% from 2012 to \$923 million in 2013, primarily due to higher levels of underwriting activity in closed-end funds and unit trusts.

Trading. Trading revenues increased 12% from 2012 to \$1,161 million in 2013, primarily due to gains related to investments associated with certain employee deferred compensation plans and higher revenues from fixed income products.

Commissions and Fees. Commissions and fees revenues increased 6% from 2012 to \$2,209 million in 2013, primarily due to higher equity, mutual fund and alternatives activity.

Table of Contents

Asset Management.

Asset Management, Distribution and Administration Fees. Asset management, distribution and administration fees increased 7% from 2012 to \$7,571 million in 2013, primarily due to higher fee-based revenues, partially offset by lower revenues from referral fees from the bank deposit program. The referral fees for deposits placed with Citi-affiliated depository institutions declined to \$240 million in 2013 from \$383 million in 2012. Lower revenues from the bank deposit program and the decrease in referral fees were both due to the ongoing transfer of deposits to the Company from Citi.

Balances in the bank deposit program were \$134 billion at December 31, 2013 from \$131 billion at December 31, 2012, which included deposits held by the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks of \$104 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$72 billion at December 31, 2012.

Client assets in fee-based accounts increased to \$697 billion and represented 37% of total client assets at December 31, 2013 compared with \$554 billion and 33% at December 31, 2012, respectively. Total client asset balances increased to \$1,909 billion at December 31, 2013 from \$1,696 billion at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the impact of market conditions and higher fee-based client asset flows. Effective from the quarter ended March 31, 2013, client assets also include certain additional non-custodied assets as a result of the completion of the Wealth Management JV platform conversion. Fee-based client asset flows for 2013 were \$51.9 billion compared with \$26.9 billion in 2012.

Net Interest.

Net interest increased 20% from 2012 to \$1,875 million in 2013, primarily due to higher lending balances and growth in loans and lending commitments in PLA securities-based lending products. In addition, interest expense declined in 2013 due to the Company's redemption of all the Class A Preferred Interests owned by Citi and its affiliates, in connection with the Company's acquisition of 100% ownership of the Wealth Management JV effective at the end of the second quarter of 2013. Total client liability balances increased to \$39 billion at December 31, 2013 from \$31 billion at December 31, 2012. See Other Matters U.S. Subsidiary Banks Lending Activities herein and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Credit Risk in Item 7A.

Other.

Other revenues increased 25% from 2012 to \$390 million in 2013, primarily due to a gain on sale of the U.K. operation of the Global Stock Plan Services business and realized gains on AFS securities.

Non-interest Expenses.

Non-interest expenses increased 1% in 2013 from 2012. Compensation and benefits expenses increased 6% in 2013 from 2012, primarily due to a higher formulaic payout to Wealth Management representatives linked to higher net revenues. Non-compensation expenses decreased 9% in 2013 from 2012, primarily driven by the absence of platform integration costs and non-recurring technology write-offs, partially offset by an impairment expense related to certain intangible assets (management contracts) associated with alternative investments funds in 2013 (see Note

9 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Income Tax Items.

In 2014, the Company recognized in Provision for (benefit from) income taxes a discrete tax benefit of \$1,390 million, attributable to its Wealth Management business segment, due to the release of a deferred tax liability as a result of an internal restructuring to simplify the Company's legal entity organization. For a further discussion of the discrete tax benefit, see "Other Matters - Income Tax Matters" herein.

Table of Contents**INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT****INCOME STATEMENT INFORMATION**

	2014	2013(1)	2012(1)
	(dollars in millions)		
Revenues:			
Investment banking	\$ 5	\$ 11	\$ 17
Trading	(19)	41	(44)
Investments	587	1,056	513
Commissions and fees			(6)
Asset management, distribution and administration fees	2,049	1,920	1,793
Other	106	32	51
Total non-interest revenues	2,728	3,060	2,324
Interest income	2	9	10
Interest expense	18	10	28
Net interest	(16)	(1)	(18)
Net revenues	2,712	3,059	2,306
Compensation and benefits	1,213	1,189	848
Non-compensation expenses	835	862	818
Total non-interest expenses	2,048	2,051	1,666
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	664	1,008	640
Provision for income taxes	207	307	286
Income from continuing operations	457	701	354
Discontinued operations:			
Income from discontinued operations before income taxes	7	9	13
Provision for income taxes	2		4
Income from discontinued operations	5	9	9
Net income	462	710	363
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	91	182	187
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 371	\$ 528	\$ 176
Amounts applicable to Morgan Stanley:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 366	\$ 519	\$ 167
Income from discontinued operations	5	9	9
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 371	\$ 528	\$ 176

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

- (1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment. All prior-period amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.

Table of Contents**Statistical Data.**

The Company's Investment Management business segment's period-end and average assets under management or supervision were as follows:

	At		2014	Average for	
	December 31, 2014	2013(1)		2013(1)	2012(1)
	(dollars in billions)				
Assets under management or supervision by asset class:					
Traditional Asset Management:					
Equity	\$ 141	\$ 140	\$ 145	\$ 130	\$ 114
Fixed income	65	60	63	61	59
Liquidity	128	112	119	104	87
Alternatives(2)	36	31	34	29	26
Managed Futures(1)	3	4	3	5	6
Total Traditional Asset Management	373	347	364	329	292
Real Estate Investing	20	21	21	20	19
Merchant Banking	10	9	9	9	9
Total assets under management or supervision	\$ 403	\$ 377	\$ 394	\$ 358	\$ 320
Share of minority stake assets(3)	\$ 7	\$ 6	\$ 7	\$ 6	\$ 5

(1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment. All prior-period amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.

(2) The alternatives asset class includes a range of investment products such as funds of hedge funds, funds of private equity funds and funds of real estate funds.

(3) Amounts represent the Company's Investment Management business segment's proportional share of assets managed by entities in which it owns a minority stake.

Activity in the Company's Investment Management business segment's assets under management or supervision during 2014, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

	2014	2013(1)	2012(1)
	(dollars in billions)		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 377	\$ 343	\$ 293
Net flows by asset class:			
Traditional Asset Management:			
Equity	(2)	(1)	(2)
Fixed income	5		(1)
Liquidity	17	12	26
Alternatives(2)	4	2	1
Managed Futures(1)	(1)	(1)	
Total Traditional Asset Management	23	12	24

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Real Estate Investing	(2)	(1)	1
Merchant Banking	3	1	
Total net flows	24	12	25
Net market appreciation	2	22	25
Total net increase	26	34	50
Balance at end of period	\$ 403	\$ 377	\$ 343

- (1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment. All prior-period amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.
- (2) The alternatives asset class includes a range of investment products such as funds of hedge funds, funds of private equity funds and funds of real estate funds.

Table of Contents

2014 Compared with 2013.

Investment Banking. The Company's Investment Management business segment generates investment banking revenues primarily from the placement of investments in real estate and merchant banking funds.

Trading. See Business Segments Net Revenues herein for information about the composition of Trading revenues.

The Company recognized a loss of \$19 million in 2014 compared with a gain of \$41 million in 2013, which primarily reflected losses and gains, respectively, related to certain consolidated real estate funds sponsored by the Company.

Investments. Real estate and private equity investments generally are held for long-term appreciation and generally subject to significant sales restrictions. Estimates of the fair value of the investments involve significant judgment and may fluctuate significantly over time in light of business, market, economic and financial conditions generally or in relation to specific transactions.

The Company recorded net investment gains of \$587 million in 2014 compared with gains of \$1,056 million in 2013. The decrease in 2014 primarily related to lower net investment gains and the non-recurrence of carried interest in the Company's Merchant Banking and Real Estate Investing businesses and lower gains from investments in the Company's employee deferred compensation and co-investment plans. 2014 results were also negatively impacted by the deconsolidation in the second quarter of 2014 of certain legal entities associated with a real estate fund sponsored by the Company.

Asset Management, Distribution and Administration Fees. See Business Segments Net Revenues herein for information about the composition of Asset management, distribution and administration fees.

Asset management, distribution and administration fees increased 7% from 2013 to \$2,049 million in 2014. The increase primarily reflected higher management and administration revenues, as a result of higher average assets under management.

The Company's assets under management increased \$26 billion from \$377 billion at December 31, 2013 to \$403 billion at December 31, 2014, reflecting positive net flows and market appreciation. The Company recorded net inflows of \$24 billion in 2014, reflecting net customer inflows in liquidity, fixed income and alternatives funds, partially offset by outflows in equity and managed futures. The Company recorded net customer inflows of \$12 billion in 2013, primarily in liquidity funds.

Other. Other revenues were \$106 million in 2014 as compared with \$32 million in 2013. The results in 2014 included higher revenues associated with the Company's minority investment in certain third-party investment managers and a \$17 million gain on sale of a retail property space.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Non-interest Expenses. Non-interest expenses of \$2,048 million in 2014 were essentially unchanged from 2013. Compensation and benefits expenses increased 2% in 2014 due to the reduction in deferral rates for incentive-based compensation, an increase in amortization due to accelerated vesting of certain awards and increases in salaries partially offset by a decrease in the fair value of deferred compensation plan referenced investments (see also Business Segments Compensation Expense Discretionary Incentive Compensation herein). Non-compensation expenses decreased 3% in 2014, primarily due to an impairment expense related to certain intangible assets (management contracts) associated with alternative investments funds in the prior-year period and the result of lower consumption taxes in the European Union.

Table of Contents

2013 Compared with 2012.

Trading. The Company recognized gains of \$41 million in 2013 compared with losses of \$44 million in 2012. Trading results in 2013 primarily reflected gains related to certain consolidated real estate funds sponsored by the Company. Trading results in 2012 primarily reflected losses related to certain consolidated real estate funds sponsored by the Company as well as losses on hedges on certain investments.

Investments. The Company recorded net investment gains of \$1,056 million in 2013 compared with gains of \$513 million in 2012. The increase in 2013 was primarily related to higher net investment gains predominantly within the Company's Merchant Banking and Real Estate Investing businesses and higher gains on certain investments associated with the Company's employee deferred compensation and co-investment plans. Results in 2013 also included the benefit of carried interest.

Asset Management, Distribution and Administration Fees. Asset management, distribution and administration fees increased 7% from 2012 to \$1,920 million in 2013. The increase primarily reflected higher management and administration revenues, primarily due to higher average assets under management, as well as higher performance fees.

The Company's assets under management increased \$34 billion from \$343 billion at December 31, 2012 to \$377 billion at December 31, 2013, reflecting market appreciation and positive net flows. The Company recorded \$22 billion in market appreciation and net inflows of \$12 billion in 2013, primarily reflecting net customer inflows in liquidity funds. In 2012, the Company recorded \$25 billion in market appreciation and \$25 billion in net customer inflows, primarily in liquidity funds.

Other. Other revenues were \$32 million in 2013 as compared with \$51 million in 2012. The results in 2013 included higher revenues associated with the Company's minority investment in certain third-party investment managers. The results in 2012 included gains associated with the expiration of a lending facility to a real estate fund sponsored by the Company.

Non-interest Expenses. Non-interest expenses were \$2,051 million in 2013 as compared with \$1,666 million in 2012. Compensation and benefits expenses increased 40% in 2013, primarily due to an increase in the fair value of deferred compensation plan referenced investments. Non-compensation expenses increased 5% in 2013, primarily due to higher brokerage and clearing, professional services expenses and an impairment expense related to certain intangible assets (management contracts) associated with alternative investments funds partially offset by lower information processing expenses.

Income Tax Items.

In 2012, the Company recognized in Provision for (benefit from) income taxes an out-of-period net tax provision of \$107 million, attributable to its Investment Management business segment, primarily related to the overstatement of deferred tax assets associated with partnership investments in prior years. The Company has evaluated the effects of the understatement of the income tax provision both qualitatively and quantitatively and concluded that it did not have a material impact on any prior annual or quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Nonredeemable Noncontrolling Interests.

Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests are primarily related to the consolidation of certain real estate funds sponsored by the Company. Investment gains associated with these consolidated funds were \$104 million, \$151 million and \$225 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests decreased in 2014, primarily due to the deconsolidation in the second quarter of 2014 of certain legal entities associated with a real estate fund sponsored by the Company.

Table of Contents

Accounting Development Updates.

Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis.

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued an amendment update that changes the analysis that the Company must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. The Company is required to reevaluate its interests in legal entities in scope of the new guidance under the revised consolidation model. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting this amendment update.

Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share Is More Akin to Debt or to Equity.

In November 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update requiring entities to determine the nature of the host contract in a hybrid financial instrument issued in the form of a share by considering the economic characteristics and risks of the entire hybrid financial instrument, including the embedded derivative feature that is being evaluated for separate accounting from the host contract, when evaluating whether the host contract is more akin to debt or equity and whether the economic characteristics and risks of the embedded derivative feature are clearly and closely related to the host contract. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2016 and must be applied on a modified retrospective basis. The guidance may be applied on a full retrospective basis to all relevant prior periods and early adoption is permitted. This guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern.

In August 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update that provides guidance on management's responsibility in evaluating whether there is substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern and the related footnote disclosures. For each reporting period, management will be required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the date the financial statements are issued. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. This guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Measuring the Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities of a Consolidated Collateralized Financing Entity.

In August 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update to clarify the measurement upon initial consolidation and subsequent measurement of the financial assets and the financial liabilities of a collateralized financing entity when the reporting entity has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of the collateralized financing entity. This guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period.

In June 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update clarifying that entities should treat performance targets that could be met after the requisite service period of a share-based payment award as performance conditions that affect vesting. Therefore, an entity would not record compensation expense (measured as of the grant date) for an award where transfer to the employee is contingent upon satisfaction of the performance target until it becomes probable that the performance target will be met. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. This guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures.

In June 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update requiring repurchase-to-maturity transactions be accounted for as secured borrowings consistent with the accounting for other repurchase agreements. This accounting update also requires separate accounting for a transfer of a financial asset executed contemporaneously with a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty (a repurchase financing), which will result in secured borrowing accounting for the repurchase agreement. This guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2015. In addition, new disclosures are required for sales of financial assets where the Company retains substantially all the exposure throughout the term and the collateral pledged and remaining maturity of repurchase and securities lending agreements, which are effective January 1, 2015, and April 1, 2015, respectively. This guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers.

In May 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update to clarify the principles of revenue recognition, to develop a common revenue recognition standard across all industries for U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards and to provide enhanced disclosures for users of the financial statements. The core principle of this guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting this accounting standard update.

Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure.

In January 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update clarifying when an in-substance repossession or foreclosure occurs; that is, when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. This guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2015. This guidance can be applied using either a modified retrospective transition method or a prospective transition method. This guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Other Matters.

Legal.

On February 25, 2015, the Company reached an agreement in principle with the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California, Civil Division (collectively, the Civil Division) to pay \$2,600 million to resolve certain claims that the Civil Division indicated it intended to bring against the Company related to legacy residential mortgage matters. This agreement in principle resulted from very rapidly evolving deliberations with the Civil Division, including meetings on February 18 and 19 and negotiations which continued through February 25, 2015.

In connection with the resolution of this matter, the Company has, subsequent to the announcement of the Company's 2014 earnings on January 20, 2015, increased previously established legal reserves for this settlement and other legacy residential mortgage matters by \$2,798 million, which increased Other expenses within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment for the year ended December 31, 2014. This decreased income from continuing operations by \$2,670 million and diluted EPS from continuing operations by \$1.35 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Civil Division legal matter was considered to be a recognizable subsequent event requiring adjustment to the Company's December 31, 2014 consolidated financial statements under U.S. GAAP.

While the Company and the Civil Division have reached an agreement in principle to resolve this matter, there can be no assurance that the Company and the Civil Division will agree on the final documentation of the settlement.

The Company incurred legal expenses of \$3,411 million in 2014, \$1,952 million in 2013 and \$513 million in 2012. The legal expenses incurred in 2014 were primarily due to reserve additions related to the agreement reached in principle with the Civil Division mentioned above, as well as reserves related to certain claims that other members of the RMBS Working Group of the Financial Fraud Enforcement Task Force have indicated they intend to bring against the Company. The legal expenses incurred in 2013 and 2012 were primarily due to reserve additions and settlements related to legacy residential mortgage-backed securities and credit crisis related matters (see Contingencies Legal in Note 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8). Legal expenses are included in Other expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income. The Company expects future legal expenses in general to continue to be elevated, and the changes in expenses from period to period may fluctuate significantly, given the current environment regarding financial crisis related government investigations and private litigation affecting global financial services firms, including the Company.

Return on Equity Goal.

The Company is aiming to improve its returns to shareholders with a goal of achieving a 10% or more return on average common equity excluding DVA (Return on Equity), subject to the successful execution of its strategic objectives.

The Company plans to progress toward achieving its Return on Equity goal through the following strategies. In the Wealth Management business, the Company plans to continue to improve profitability through cost discipline and revenue growth, as reflected in a pre-tax margin target of 22% to 25% by year-end 2015. In the Fixed Income and Commodities businesses, the Company plans to improve its Return on Equity to more than 10% over time by: optimizing the Commodities business through reducing exposure to physical commodities; pursuing in the Fixed Income business, a more centralized decision-making process with more strategic resource allocation and a focus on expenses, leveraging

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

technology, capital and balance sheet optimization; and the continued reduction of RWAs. Across the entire organization, the Company plans to pursue the following: executing its overall expense reduction plan and improving both compensation and non-compensation expense ratios; growing earnings through Morgan Stanley-specific opportunities, particularly with respect to deposit growth in its U.S.

Table of Contents

Subsidiary Banks and optimization of lending products and AFS securities; reducing funding costs due to the improvement in the Company's credit spreads and the refinancing of borrowings issued at higher interest rates; and prudently returning capital to shareholders, as appropriate, subject to regulatory approval.

The Company's Return on Equity goal and its related strategies are forward-looking statements that may be materially affected by many factors including, among other things: macroeconomic and market conditions; legislative and regulatory developments; industry trading and investment banking volumes; equity market levels; interest rate environment; and legal expenses. Given the uncertainties surrounding these and other factors, there are significant risks that the Company's Return on Equity goal may not be realized, and actual results may differ from the goal and the differences may be material and adverse. Accordingly, the Company cautions that undue reliance is not to be placed on any of these forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements immediately preceding Part I, Item 1, and Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A for additional information regarding these forward-looking statements. Return on Equity is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess operating performance.

U.S. Subsidiary Banks Lending Activities.

The Company provides loans to a variety of customers, from large corporate and institutional clients to high net worth individuals, primarily through the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks. The Company's lending activities in its Institutional Securities business segment primarily include corporate lending activities, in which the Company provides loans or lending commitments to certain corporate clients. In addition to corporate lending activities, the Institutional Securities business segment engages in other lending activities. The Company's lending activities in its Wealth Management business segment include securities-based lending that allows clients to borrow money against the value of qualifying securities in PLAs and residential mortgage lending. The Company expects its lending activities to continue to grow through further penetration of the Company's Institutional Securities and Wealth Management business segments' client base.

The following table presents the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks' lending activities included in its consolidated statements of financial condition:

	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
	(dollars in billions)	
Institutional Securities U.S. Subsidiary Banks data:		
Corporate lending	\$ 9.6	\$ 8.8
Other lending(1):		
Corporate loans	8.0	2.3
Wholesale real estate loans	8.6	1.8
Wealth Management U.S. Subsidiary Banks data:		
Securities-based lending and other loans	\$ 21.9	\$ 14.7
Residential real estate loans	15.8	10.1

- (1) In addition to primary corporate lending activity, the Company's Institutional Securities business segment engages in other lending activities. These activities include commercial and residential mortgage lending, asset-backed lending, corporate loans purchased in the secondary market, financing extended to Institutional equities clients and loans to municipalities. The increase in other lending from 2013 primarily reflects growth in commercial mortgage and asset-backed loans.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

For a further discussion of the Company's credit risks, see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk - Credit Risk in Item 7A. Also see Notes 8 and 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about the Company's loans and lending commitments, respectively.

Table of Contents

Investment Securities Available for Sale and Held to Maturity.

During 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company reported net unrealized gains (losses) of \$209 million, \$(433) million and \$28 million, net of tax, respectively, on its AFS securities portfolio. Unrealized gains (losses) in the AFS securities portfolio are included in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for all periods presented. The unrealized gains and losses for 2014, 2013 and 2012 were primarily due to changes in interest rates. The securities in the Company's AFS securities portfolio with an unrealized loss were not other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. In 2014, the Company purchased \$100 million in HTM securities and expects to grow its HTM Investment securities portfolio. For more information, see Notes 2 and 5 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Real Estate.

The Company acts as the general partner for various real estate funds and also invests in certain of these funds as a limited partner. The Company's real estate investments at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are described below. Such amounts exclude investments associated with certain employee deferred compensation and co-investment plans.

At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition included amounts representing real estate investment assets of consolidated subsidiaries of approximately \$0.3 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively, including noncontrolling interests of approximately \$0.2 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively, for a net amount of approximately \$23 million and \$451 million, respectively. The decrease was driven by the deconsolidation of certain legal entities associated with a real estate fund sponsored by the Company. The deconsolidation was due to the Volcker Rule regulations becoming effective on April 1, 2014, combined with an earlier expiration of a credit facility that was not renewed by the Company. This net presentation is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure for the Company and investors to use in assessing the Company's net exposure. In addition, the Company has contractual capital commitments, guarantees, lending facilities and counterparty arrangements with respect to real estate investments of \$0.3 billion at December 31, 2014.

In addition to the Company's real estate investments, the Company engages in various real estate-related activities, including origination of loans secured by commercial and residential properties. The Company also securitizes and trades in a wide range of commercial and residential real estate and real estate-related whole loans, mortgages and other real estate. In connection with these activities, the Company has provided, or otherwise agreed to be responsible for, representations and warranties. Under certain circumstances, the Company may be required to repurchase such assets or make other payments related to such assets if such representations and warranties are breached. The Company continues to monitor its real estate-related activities in order to manage its exposures and potential liability from these markets and businesses. See Legal Proceedings Residential Mortgage and Credit Crisis Related Matters in Part I, Item 3, and Note 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8, for further information.

Japanese Securities Joint Venture.

The Company holds a 40% voting interest and MUFG holds a 60% voting interest in MUMSS. The Company accounts for its interest in MUMSS as an equity method investment within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded income of \$224 million, \$570 million and \$152 million, respectively, within Other revenues in the Company's consolidated statements of income, arising from the Company's 40% stake in MUMSS.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

To the extent that losses incurred by MUMSS result in a requirement to restore its capital, MUFG is solely responsible for providing this additional capital to a minimum level, whereas the Company is not obligated to contribute additional capital to MUMSS. To the extent that MUMSS is required to increase its capital level due to factors other than losses, such as changes in regulatory requirements, both MUFG and the Company are required to contribute the necessary capital based upon their economic interest as set forth above.

Table of Contents

In June 2014 and June 2013, MUMSS paid a dividend of approximately \$594 million and \$287 million, respectively, of which the Company received approximately \$238 million and \$115 million, respectively, for its proportionate share of MUMSS.

See Note 22 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information.

Income Tax Matters.

In 2014, the Company recognized an aggregate discrete net tax benefit of \$2,226 million. This discrete net tax benefit consisted of: \$1,380 million primarily due to the release of a deferred tax liability as a result of a legal entity restructuring, \$609 million principally associated with remeasurement of reserves and related interest due to new information regarding the status of a multi-year tax authority examination, and \$237 million primarily associated with the repatriation of non-U.S. earnings at a cost lower than originally estimated. In addition, the Company's Provision for (benefit from) income taxes was impacted by approximately \$900 million of tax provision as a result of non-deductible expenses related to litigation and regulatory matters.

On October 31, 2014, the Company completed an internal restructuring to simplify its legal entity organization that included a change in tax status of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Holdings LLC from a partnership to a corporation. As a result of this change in tax status, the Company released a deferred tax liability which was previously established in 2009 as part of the acquisition of Smith Barney through a charge to Additional paid-in capital. This discrete net tax benefit of \$1,390 million was included in Provision for (benefit from) income taxes in the Company's consolidated statements of income for 2014, and attributable to its Wealth Management business segment.

The income of certain foreign subsidiaries earned outside of the U.S. has previously been excluded from taxation in the U.S. as a result of a provision of U.S. tax law that defers the imposition of tax on certain active financial services income until such income is repatriated to the U.S. as a dividend. This provision as well as other provisions that allow for tax benefits from certain tax credits were retroactively extended for one year on December 19, 2014 as part of the Tax Increase Prevention Act of 2014, and the associated tax benefits were recognized in Provision for (benefit from) income taxes in the Company's consolidated statement of income for 2014. For 2015, the increase to the effective tax rate as a result of the expiration of the provisions is estimated to be immaterial on a quarterly and on an annual basis.

New York State corporate tax reform (the tax reform) was signed into law on March 31, 2014. The tax reform, which is effective for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2015, merges the existing bank franchise tax into a substantially amended general corporation franchise tax and adopts customer-based single receipts factor for all New York taxpayers. The tax reform mainly impacts the Company's banking subsidiaries and did not have a material impact on the Company's 2014 annual effective tax rate and consolidated statements of income for 2014.

In 2013, the Company recognized an aggregate discrete net tax benefit of \$407 million. This included discrete tax benefits of: \$161 million related to the remeasurement of reserves and related interest associated with new information regarding the status of certain tax authority examinations; \$92 million related to the establishment of a previously unrecognized deferred tax asset from a legal entity reorganization; \$73 million that is attributable to tax planning strategies to optimize foreign tax credit utilization as a result of the anticipated repatriation of earnings from certain non-U.S. subsidiaries; and \$81 million due to the retroactive effective date of the Relief Act. The Relief Act that was enacted on January 2, 2013, among other things, extended with retroactive effect to January 1, 2012 a provision of U.S. tax law that defers the imposition of tax on certain active financial services income of certain foreign subsidiaries earned outside the U.S. until such income is repatriated to the U.S. as a dividend.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

In 2012, the Company recognized an aggregate net tax benefit of \$142 million. This included a discrete tax benefit of \$299 million related to the remeasurement of reserves and related interest associated with either the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations or new information regarding the status of certain Internal Revenue Service examinations and an aggregate out-of-period net tax provision of \$157 million, to adjust the

Table of Contents

overstatement of deferred tax assets associated with partnership investments, principally in the Company's Investment Management business segment and repatriated earnings of foreign subsidiaries recorded in prior years. The Company has evaluated the effects of the understatement of the income tax provision both qualitatively and quantitatively and concluded that it did not have a material impact on any prior annual or quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans.

Expense. The Company recognizes the compensation cost of an employee's pension benefits (including prior-service cost) over the employee's estimated service period. This process involves making certain estimates and assumptions, including the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The defined benefit pension plan that is qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (the U.S. Qualified Plan) ceased future benefit accruals after December 31, 2010. Any benefits earned by participants under the U.S. Qualified Plan at December 31, 2010 were preserved and will be payable based on the U.S. Qualified Plan's provisions. Net periodic pension expense for U.S. and non-U.S. plans was \$91 million, \$97 million and \$99 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In 2014, the Morgan Stanley Supplemental Executive Retirement and Excess Plan (the SEREP) was amended to cease accrual of benefits. Any benefits earned by participants under the SEREP prior to October 1, 2014 will be payable in the future based on the SEREP's provisions. The amendment did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Contributions. The Company made contributions of \$244 million, \$42 million and \$42 million to its U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These contributions were funded with cash from operations.

The Company determines the amount of its pension contributions to its funded plans by considering several factors, including the level of plan assets relative to plan liabilities, the types of assets in which the plans are invested, expected plan liquidity needs and expected future contribution requirements. The Company's policy is to fund at least the amounts sufficient to meet minimum funding requirements under applicable employee benefit and tax laws (for example, in the U.S., the minimum required contribution under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or ERISA). In December 2014, an elective \$200 million contribution was made to the U.S. Qualified Plan, primarily to offset the increase in liability due to the Plan's adoption of new mortality tables. In 2014, 2013 and 2012, there were no minimum required ERISA contributions for the U.S. Qualified Plan. No contributions were made to the U.S. Qualified Plan for 2013 and 2012.

See Note 19 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for more information on the Company's defined benefit pension and postretirement plans.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies.

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which require the Company to make estimates and assumptions (see Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8). The Company believes that of its significant accounting policies (see Note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8), the following policies involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Fair Value.

Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value. A significant number of the Company's financial instruments are carried at fair value. The Company makes estimates regarding valuation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value in preparing the Company's consolidated financial statements. These assets and liabilities include, but are not limited to:

Trading assets and Trading liabilities;

AFS securities;

Securities received as collateral and Obligation to return securities received as collateral;

Certain Securities purchased under agreements to resell;

Certain Deposits;

Certain Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, primarily structured notes;

Certain Securities sold under agreements to repurchase;

Certain Other secured financings; and

Certain Long-term borrowings, primarily structured notes.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (*i.e.*, the exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation approaches. A hierarchy for inputs is used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable prices and inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable prices and inputs by requiring that the relevant observable inputs be used when available. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels, wherein Level 1 uses quoted prices in active markets, Level 2 uses valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, and Level 3 consists of valuation techniques that incorporate significant unobservable inputs and, therefore, require the greatest use of judgment. In periods of market disruption, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be recategorized from Level 1 to Level 2 or Level 2 to Level 3. In addition, a downturn in market conditions could lead to declines in the valuation of many instruments. For further information on the valuation process, fair value definition, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3 and related valuation techniques, and quantitative information about and sensitivity of significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements, see Notes 2 and 4 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company incorporated FVA into the fair value measurements of OTC uncollateralized or partially collateralized derivatives, and in collateralized derivatives where the terms of the agreement do not permit the reuse of the collateral received. The Company's implementation of FVA reflects the inclusion of FVA in the pricing and valuations by the majority of market participants involved in the Company's principal exit market for these instruments. In general, FVA reflects a market funding risk premium inherent in the noted derivative instruments. The implementation of FVA required a number of important management judgments including:

Determining when sufficient market evidence exists to indicate that FVA should be incorporated into the fair value measurements;

Table of Contents

Estimating the fair value of funding costs and benefits in the principal exit market; and

Determining the interaction between Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) and FVA, given that CVA already reflects credit spreads, which are related to and can impact funding spreads.

For a further discussion of valuation adjustments applied by the Company, see Note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, certain of the Company's assets and liabilities were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, primarily relating to loans, other investments, premises, equipment and software costs, intangible assets and other assets. The Company incurs losses or gains for any adjustments of these assets to fair value. A downturn in market conditions could result in impairment charges in future periods.

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, fair value is determined by using various valuation approaches. The same hierarchy as described above, which maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by generally requiring that the observable inputs be used when available, is used in measuring fair value for these items.

See Note 4 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information on assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Fair Value Control Processes. The Company employs control processes to validate the fair value of its financial instruments, including those derived from pricing models. These control processes are designed to ensure that the values used for financial reporting are based on observable inputs wherever possible. In the event that observable inputs are not available, the control processes are designed to assure that the valuation approach utilized is appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions are reasonable.

See Note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information regarding the Company's valuation policies, processes and procedures.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets.

Goodwill. The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis on July 1 and on an interim basis when certain events or circumstances exist. The Company tests for impairment at the reporting unit level, which is generally at the level of or one level below its business segments. Goodwill no longer retains its association with a particular acquisition once it has been assigned to a reporting unit. As such, all the activities of a reporting unit, whether acquired or organically developed, are available to support the value of the goodwill. For both the annual and interim tests, the Company has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Company determines it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is not required. However, if the Company concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test. Goodwill impairment is determined by comparing the estimated fair value of a reporting unit with its respective carrying value. If the estimated fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill at the reporting unit

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

level is not deemed to be impaired. If the estimated fair value is below carrying value, however, further analysis is required to determine the amount of the impairment. Additionally, if the carrying value of a reporting unit is zero or a negative value and it is determined that it is more likely than not the goodwill is impaired, further analysis is required. The estimated fair value of the reporting units is derived based on valuation techniques the Company believes market participants would use for each of the reporting units. The estimated fair value is generally determined by utilizing a discounted cash flow methodology or methodologies that incorporate price-to-book and price-to-earnings multiples of certain comparable companies. At each annual goodwill impairment testing date, each of the Company's reporting units with goodwill had a fair value that was substantially in excess of its carrying value.

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets. Amortizable intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives and are reviewed for impairment on an interim basis when certain events or circumstances exist. An impairment exists when the carrying amount of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value. An impairment loss will be recognized only if the carrying amount of the intangible asset is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. The carrying amount of the intangible asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the expected undiscounted cash flows.

For both goodwill and intangible assets, to the extent an impairment loss is recognized, the loss establishes the new cost basis of the asset. Subsequent reversal of impairment losses is not permitted. For amortizable intangible assets, the new cost basis is amortized over the remaining useful life of that asset. Adverse market or economic events could result in impairment charges in future periods.

See Notes 2, 4 and 9 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about goodwill and intangible assets.

Legal and Regulatory Contingencies.

In the normal course of business, the Company has been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation, arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution.

Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. In some cases, the entities that would otherwise be the primary defendants in such cases are bankrupt or in financial distress.

The Company is also involved, from time to time, in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding the Company's business, and involving, among other matters, sales and trading activities, financial products or offerings sponsored, underwritten or sold by the Company, and accounting and operational matters, certain of which may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief.

Accruals for litigation and regulatory proceedings are generally determined on a case-by-case basis. Where available information indicates that it is probable a liability had been incurred at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the Company can reasonably estimate the amount of that loss, the Company accrues the estimated loss by a charge to income. In many proceedings, however, it is inherently difficult to determine whether any loss is probable or even possible or to estimate the amount of any loss. For certain legal proceedings and investigations, the Company can estimate possible losses, additional losses, ranges of loss or ranges of additional loss in excess of amounts accrued. For certain other legal proceedings and investigations, the Company cannot reasonably estimate such losses, particularly for proceedings and investigations where the factual record is being developed or contested or where plaintiffs or government entities seek substantial or indeterminate damages, restitution, disgorgement or penalties. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual matters, determination of issues related to class certification and the calculation of damages or other relief, and by addressing novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings or investigations in question, before a loss or additional loss or range of loss or additional loss can be reasonably estimated for a proceeding or investigation.

Significant judgment is required in deciding when and if to make these accruals and the actual cost of a legal claim or regulatory fine/penalty may ultimately be materially different from the recorded accruals.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

See Note 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information on legal proceedings.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes.

The Company is subject to the income and indirect tax laws of the U.S., its states and municipalities and those of the foreign jurisdictions in which the Company has significant business operations. These tax laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and the relevant governmental taxing authorities. The Company must make judgments and interpretations about the application of these inherently complex tax laws when determining the provision for income taxes and the expense for indirect taxes and must also make estimates about when certain items affect taxable income in the various tax jurisdictions. Disputes over interpretations of the tax laws may be settled with the taxing authority upon examination or audit. The Company periodically evaluates the likelihood of assessments in each taxing jurisdiction resulting from current and subsequent years' examinations, and unrecognized tax benefits related to potential losses that may arise from tax audits are established in accordance with the guidance on accounting for unrecognized tax benefits. Once established, unrecognized tax benefits are adjusted when there is more information available or when an event occurs requiring a change.

The Company's provision for income taxes is composed of current and deferred taxes. Current income taxes approximate taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period. The Company's deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the applicable enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when such differences are expected to reverse. The Company's deferred tax balances also include deferred assets related to tax attribute carryforwards, such as net operating losses and tax credits that will be realized through reduction of future tax liabilities and, in some cases, are subject to expiration if not utilized within certain periods. The Company performs regular reviews to ascertain whether deferred tax assets are realizable. These reviews include management's estimates and assumptions regarding future taxable income and incorporate various tax planning strategies, including strategies that may be available to utilize net operating losses before they expire. Once the deferred tax asset balances have been determined, the Company may record a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset balances to reflect the amount of these balances (net of valuation allowance) that the Company estimates it is more likely than not to realize at a future date. Both current and deferred income taxes could reflect adjustments related to the Company's unrecognized tax benefits.

Significant judgment is required in estimating the consolidated provision for (benefit from) income taxes, current and deferred tax balances (including valuation allowance, if any), accrued interest or penalties and uncertain tax positions. Revisions in our estimates and/or the actual costs of a tax assessment may ultimately be materially different from the recorded accruals and unrecognized tax benefits, if any.

See Note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information on the Company's significant assumptions, judgments and interpretations associated with the accounting for income taxes and Note 20 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information on the Company's tax examinations.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources.**

The Company's senior management establishes liquidity and capital policies. Through various risk and control committees, the Company's senior management reviews business performance relative to these policies, monitors the availability of alternative sources of financing, and oversees the liquidity and interest rate and currency sensitivity of the Company's asset and liability position. The Company's Treasury Department, Firm Risk Committee, Asset and Liability Management Committee, and other committees and control groups assist in evaluating, monitoring and controlling the impact that the Company's business activities have on its consolidated statements of financial condition, liquidity and capital structure. Liquidity and capital matters are reported regularly to the Board's Risk Committee.

The Balance Sheet.

The Company monitors and evaluates the composition and size of its balance sheet on a regular basis. The Company's balance sheet management process includes quarterly planning, business-specific limits, monitoring of business-specific usage versus limits, key metrics and new business impact assessments.

The Company establishes balance sheet limits at the consolidated, business segment and business unit levels. The Company monitors balance sheet usage versus limits, and variances resulting from business activity or market fluctuations are reviewed. On a regular basis, the Company reviews current performance versus limits and assesses the need to re-allocate limits based on business unit needs. The Company also monitors key metrics, including asset and liability size, composition of the balance sheet, limit utilization and capital usage.

The tables below summarize total assets for the Company's business segments at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	Institutional Securities	At December 31, 2014		Total
		Wealth Management	Investment Management	
(dollars in millions)				
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents(1)	\$ 23,161	\$ 23,363	\$ 460	\$ 46,984
Cash deposited with clearing organizations or segregated under federal and other regulations or requirements(2)	37,841	2,766		40,607
Trading assets	252,021	1,300	3,480	256,801
Investment securities(3)	11,999	57,317		69,316
Securities received as collateral(2)	21,316			21,316
Securities purchased under agreements to resell(2)	73,299	9,989		83,288
Securities borrowed(2)	136,336	372		136,708
Customer and other receivables(2)	27,328	21,022	611	48,961
Loans, net of allowance(4)	28,755	37,822		66,577
Other assets(5)	18,285	11,196	1,471	30,952
Total assets(6)	\$ 630,341	\$ 165,147	\$ 6,022	\$ 801,510

(1) Cash and cash equivalents include Cash and due from banks and Interest bearing deposits with banks.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

- (2) Certain of these assets are included in secured financing assets (see Secured Financing herein).
- (3) Investment securities include both AFS securities and HTM securities.
- (4) Amounts include loans held for sale and loans held for investment but exclude loans at fair value, which are included in Trading assets in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition (see Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (5) Other assets include Other investments; Premises, equipment and software costs; Goodwill; Intangible assets; and Other assets.
- (6) Total assets include Global Liquidity Reserve of \$193 billion at December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

	Institutional Securities	At December 31, 2013		Total
		Wealth Management(1)	Investment Management(1)	
(dollars in millions)				
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents(2)	\$ 30,169	\$ 28,966	\$ 748	\$ 59,883
Cash deposited with clearing organizations or segregated under federal and other regulations or requirements(3)	36,422	2,781		39,203
Trading assets	273,959	2,104	4,681	280,744
Investment securities(4)		53,430		53,430
Securities received as collateral(3)	20,508			20,508
Securities purchased under agreements to resell(3)	106,812	11,318		118,130
Securities borrowed(3)	129,366	341		129,707
Customer and other receivables(3)	33,927	22,493	684	57,104
Loans, net of allowance(5)	17,890	24,984		42,874
Other assets(6)	19,543	10,086	1,490	31,119
Total assets(7)	\$ 668,596	\$ 156,503	\$ 7,603	\$ 832,702

- (1) On October 1, 2014, the Managed Futures business was transferred from the Company's Wealth Management business segment to the Company's Investment Management business segment.
- (2) Cash and cash equivalents include Cash and due from banks and Interest bearing deposits with banks.
- (3) Certain of these assets are included in secured financing assets (see Secured Financing herein).
- (4) Investment securities include only AFS securities.
- (5) Amounts include loans held for sale and loans held for investment but exclude loans at fair value, which are included in Trading assets in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition (see Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (6) Other assets include Other investments; Premises, equipment and software costs; Goodwill; Intangible assets; and Other assets.
- (7) Total assets include Global Liquidity Reserve of \$202 billion at December 31, 2013.

A substantial portion of the Company's total assets consists of liquid marketable securities and short-term receivables arising principally from sales and trading activities in the Company's Institutional Securities business segment. The liquid nature of these assets provides the Company with flexibility in managing the size of its balance sheet. The Company's total assets decreased to \$802 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$833 billion at December 31, 2013. The decrease in total assets was primarily due to a decrease in Trading assets, primarily due to reductions in U.S. government and agency securities, interest bearing deposits with banks, and Securities purchased under agreements to resell partially offset by an increase in Loans, Investment securities and Securities borrowed.

The Company's assets and liabilities are primarily related to transactions attributable to sales and trading and securities financing activities. At December 31, 2014, securities financing assets and liabilities were \$320 billion and \$295 billion, respectively. At December 31, 2013, securities financing assets and liabilities were \$352 billion and \$353 billion, respectively. Securities financing transactions include Cash deposited with clearing organizations or segregated under federal and other regulations or requirements, repurchase and resale agreements, Securities borrowed and loaned transactions, Securities received as collateral and obligations to return securities received, and Customer and other receivables and payables. Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase are treated as collateralized financings (see Notes 2 and 6 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8). Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Securities loaned were \$95 billion at December 31, 2014 and averaged \$137 billion during 2014. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Securities loaned period-end balances were lower than the average balances during 2014 as the Company's assets decreased. Securities purchased under agreements to resell and Securities borrowed were \$220 billion at December 31, 2014 and averaged \$255 billion during 2014. Securities purchased under agreements to resell and Securities borrowed period-end balances were lower than the average balances during 2014 due to a reduction in client financing activity and an increase in financing balance sheet efficiencies.

Table of Contents

Securities financing assets and liabilities also include matched book transactions with minimal market, credit and/or liquidity risk. Matched book transactions accommodate customers, as well as obtain securities for the settlement and financing of inventory positions. The customer receivable portion of the securities financing transactions includes customer margin loans, collateralized by customer-owned securities, and customer cash, which is segregated in accordance with regulatory requirements. The customer payable portion of the securities financing transactions primarily includes customer payables to the Company's prime brokerage customers. The Company's risk exposure on these transactions is mitigated by collateral maintenance policies that limit the Company's credit exposure to customers. Included within securities financing assets were \$21 billion at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, recorded in accordance with accounting guidance for the transfer of financial assets that represented offsetting assets and liabilities for fully collateralized non-cash loan transactions.

Liquidity Risk Management Framework.

The primary goal of the Company's liquidity risk management framework is to ensure that the Company has access to adequate funding across a wide range of market conditions. The framework is designed to enable the Company to fulfill its financial obligations and support the execution of the Company's business strategies.

The following principles guide the Company's liquidity risk management framework:

Sufficient liquid assets should be maintained to cover maturing liabilities and other planned and contingent outflows;

Maturity profile of assets and liabilities should be aligned, with limited reliance on short-term funding;

Source, counterparty, currency, region, and term of funding should be diversified; and

Contingency Funding Plan (CFP) should anticipate, and account for, periods of limited access to funding.

The core components of the Company's liquidity risk management framework are the CFP, Liquidity Stress Tests and the Global Liquidity Reserve (as defined below), which support the Company's target liquidity profile.

Contingency Funding Plan.

The Company's CFP describes the data and information flows, limits, targets, operating environment indicators, escalation procedures, roles and responsibilities, and available mitigating actions in the event of a liquidity stress. The CFP also sets forth the principal elements of the Company's liquidity stress testing, which identifies stress events of different severity and duration, assesses current funding sources, and uses and establishes a plan for monitoring and managing a potential liquidity stress event.

Liquidity Stress Tests.

The Company uses Liquidity Stress Tests to model liquidity outflows across multiple scenarios over a range of time horizons. These scenarios contain various combinations of idiosyncratic and systemic stress events.

The assumptions underpinning the Liquidity Stress Tests include, but are not limited to, the following:

No government support;

No access to equity and unsecured debt markets;

Repayment of all unsecured debt maturing within the stress horizon;

Higher haircuts and significantly lower availability of secured funding;

Additional collateral that would be required by trading counterparties, certain exchanges and clearing organizations related to credit rating downgrades;

Additional collateral that would be required due to collateral substitutions, collateral disputes and uncalled collateral;

Table of Contents

Discretionary unsecured debt buybacks;

Drawdowns on unfunded commitments provided to third parties;

Client cash withdrawals and reduction in customer short positions that fund long positions;

Limited access to the foreign exchange swap markets; and

Maturity roll-off of outstanding letters of credit with no further issuance.

The Liquidity Stress Tests are produced for the Parent and major operating subsidiaries, as well as at major currency levels, to capture specific cash requirements and cash availability across the Company, including a limited number of asset sales in a stressed environment. The Liquidity Stress Tests assume that subsidiaries will use their own liquidity first to fund their obligations before drawing liquidity from the Parent. The Parent will support its subsidiaries and will not have access to subsidiaries' liquidity reserves. In addition to the assumptions underpinning the Liquidity Stress Tests, the Company takes into consideration the settlement risk related to intraday settlement and clearing of securities and financing activities.

At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company maintained sufficient liquidity to meet current and contingent funding obligations as modeled in its Liquidity Stress Tests.

Global Liquidity Reserve.

The Company maintains sufficient liquidity reserves (Global Liquidity Reserve) to cover daily funding needs and to meet strategic liquidity targets sized by the CFP and Liquidity Stress Tests. The size of the Global Liquidity Reserve is actively managed by the Company. The following components are considered in sizing the Global Liquidity Reserve: unsecured debt maturity profile, balance sheet size and composition, funding needs in a stressed environment inclusive of contingent cash outflows and collateral requirements. In addition, the Company's Global Liquidity Reserve includes an additional reserve, which is primarily a discretionary surplus based on the Company's risk tolerance and is subject to change dependent on market and firm-specific events.

The Company's Global Liquidity Reserve is held within the Parent and its major operating subsidiaries. The Company's Global Liquidity Reserve is composed of diversified cash and cash equivalents and unencumbered highly liquid securities. Eligible unencumbered highly liquid securities include U.S. government securities, U.S. agency securities, U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, non-U.S. government securities and other highly liquid investment grade securities.

Global Liquidity Reserve by Type of Investment.

The table below summarizes the Company's Global Liquidity Reserve by type of investment:

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
	(dollars in billions)	
Cash deposits with banks	\$ 12	\$ 18
Cash deposits with central banks	30	36
Unencumbered highly liquid securities:		
U.S. government obligations	76	84
U.S. agency and agency mortgage-backed securities	32	23
Non-U.S. sovereign obligations(1)	26	23
Investments in money market funds	1	1
Other investment grade securities	16	17
Global Liquidity Reserve	\$ 193	\$ 202

(1) Non-U.S. sovereign obligations are composed of unencumbered German, French, Dutch, U.K., Brazilian and Japanese government obligations.

Table of Contents

The ability to monetize assets during a liquidity crisis is critical. The Company believes that the assets held in its Global Liquidity Reserve can be monetized within five business days in a stressed environment given the highly liquid and diversified nature of the reserves. The currency profile of the Company's Global Liquidity Reserve is consistent with the Company's CFP and Liquidity Stress Tests. In addition to its Global Liquidity Reserve, the Company has other cash and cash equivalents and other unencumbered assets that are available for monetization that are not included in the balances in the table above.

Global Liquidity Reserve Held by Bank and Non-Bank Legal Entities.

The table below summarizes period-end and average balances of the Company's Global Liquidity Reserve held by bank and non-bank legal entities:

	At December 31,	At December 31,	Average Balance ⁽¹⁾	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(dollars in billions)			
Bank legal entities:				
Domestic	\$ 83	\$ 85	\$ 82	\$ 70
Foreign	5	4	5	5
Total Bank legal entities	88	89	87	75
Non-Bank legal entities:				
Domestic ⁽²⁾	70	80	76	83
Foreign	35	33	32	34
Total Non-Bank legal entities	105	113	108	117
Total	\$ 193	\$ 202	\$ 195	\$ 192

(1) The Company calculates the average Global Liquidity Reserve based upon daily amounts.

(2) The Parent held \$55 billion and \$58 billion at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which averaged \$57 billion and \$63 billion during 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Basel Liquidity Framework.

The Basel Committee has developed two standards intended for use in liquidity risk supervision: the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR).

Liquidity Coverage Ratio. The LCR was developed to ensure banking organizations have sufficient high-quality liquid assets to cover net cash outflows arising from significant stress over 30 calendar days. This standard's objective is to promote the short-term resilience of the liquidity risk profile of banking organizations.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

In September 2014, the U.S. bank regulators issued a final rule to implement the LCR in the U.S. (U.S. LCR). The U.S. LCR applies to the Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks. The U.S. LCR is more stringent in certain respects than the Basel Committee's version of the LCR as it includes a generally narrower definition of debt and equity securities that qualify as high-quality liquid assets, different methodologies and assumptions for calculating net cash outflows during the 30-day stress period, a maturity mismatch add-on, and a phase-in period that ends on December 31, 2016. In addition, under the U.S. LCR, a banking organization must submit a liquidity compliance plan to its primary federal banking agency if it fails to maintain the minimum U.S. LCR requirement for three consecutive business days. As of January 1, 2015 the Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks are required to maintain a minimum U.S. LCR of 80%. This minimum requirement will increase to 90% beginning on January 1, 2016 and will be fully phased in at 100% beginning on January 1, 2017. The Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks must calculate their respective U.S. LCR on a monthly basis during the period between January 1, 2015 and June 30, 2015 and on each business day starting on July 1, 2015. The Company is compliant with the minimum required U.S. LCR based on current estimates and interpretation and continues to evaluate its potential impact on the Company's liquidity and funding requirements.

Table of Contents

Net Stable Funding Ratio. The objective of the NSFR is to reduce funding risk over a one-year horizon by requiring banking organizations to fund their activities with sufficiently stable sources of funding in order to mitigate the risk of future funding stress. In October 2014, the Basel Committee finalized revisions to the original December 2010 version of the NSFR. The U.S. banking regulators are expected to issue a proposal to implement the NSFR in the U.S. The Company continues to evaluate the NSFR and its potential impact on the Company's current liquidity and funding requirements.

Funding Management.

The Company manages its funding in a manner that reduces the risk of disruption to the Company's operations. The Company pursues a strategy of diversification of secured and unsecured funding sources (by product, by investor and by region) and attempts to ensure that the tenor of the Company's liabilities equals or exceeds the expected holding period of the assets being financed.

The Company funds its balance sheet on a global basis through diverse sources. These sources may include the Company's equity capital, long-term debt, repurchase agreements, securities lending, deposits, commercial paper, letters of credit and lines of credit. The Company has active financing programs for both standard and structured products targeting global investors and currencies.

Secured Financing.

A substantial portion of the Company's total assets consists of liquid marketable securities and arises principally from its Institutional Securities business segment's sales and trading activities. The liquid nature of these assets provides the Company with flexibility in funding these assets with secured financing. The Company's goal is to achieve an optimal mix of durable secured and unsecured financing. Secured financing investors principally focus on the quality of the eligible collateral posted. Accordingly, the Company actively manages its secured financing book based on the quality of the assets being funded.

The Company utilizes shorter-term secured financing only for highly liquid assets and has established longer tenor limits for less liquid asset classes, for which funding may be at risk in the event of a market disruption. The Company defines highly liquid assets as government-issued or government-guaranteed securities with a high degree of fundability and less liquid assets as those that do not meet this criteria. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the weighted average maturity of the Company's secured financing against less liquid assets was greater than 120 days. To further minimize the refinancing risk of secured financing for less liquid assets, the Company has established concentration limits to diversify its investor base and reduce the amount of monthly maturities for secured financing of less liquid assets. Furthermore, the Company obtains term secured funding liabilities in excess of less liquid inventory, or spare capacity, as an additional risk mitigant to replace maturing trades in the event that secured financing markets or the Company's ability to access them become limited. Finally, in addition to the above risk management framework, the Company holds a portion of its Global Liquidity Reserve against the potential disruption to its secured financing capabilities.

The Company also maintains a pool of liquid and easily fundable securities, which provide a valuable future source of liquidity. With the implementation of U.S. Basel III liquidity standards, the Company has also incorporated high quality liquid asset classifications that are consistent with the U.S. LCR definitions into its encumbrance reporting, which further substantiates the demonstrated liquidity characteristics of the unencumbered asset pool and the Company's ability to readily identify new funding sources for such assets.

Table of Contents***Unsecured Financing.***

The Company views long-term debt and deposits as stable sources of funding. Unencumbered securities and non-security assets are financed with a combination of long-term and short-term debt and deposits. The Company's unsecured financings include structured borrowings, whose payments and redemption values are based on the performance of certain underlying assets, including equity, credit, foreign exchange, interest rates and commodities. When appropriate, the Company may use derivative products to conduct asset and liability management and to make adjustments to the Company's interest rate and structured borrowings risk profile (see Note 12 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Short-Term Borrowings.

The Company's unsecured short-term borrowings may consist of commercial paper, bank loans, bank notes and structured notes with maturities of 12 months or less at issuance.

The table below summarizes the Company's short-term unsecured borrowings:

	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
	(dollars in millions)	
Commercial paper	\$	\$ 8
Other short-term borrowings	2,261	2,134
Total	\$ 2,261	\$ 2,142

Deposits.

The Company's bank subsidiaries' funding sources include time deposits, money market deposit accounts, demand deposit accounts, repurchase agreements, federal funds purchased, commercial paper and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. The vast majority of deposits in the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks are sourced from the Company's retail brokerage accounts and are considered to have stable, low-cost funding characteristics. Concurrent with the acquisition of the remaining 35% stake in the Wealth Management JV, the deposit sweep agreement between Citi and the Company was terminated. During 2014, \$19 billion of deposits held by Citi relating to the Company's customer accounts were transferred to the Company's depository institutions. At December 31, 2014, approximately \$9 billion of additional deposits are scheduled to be transferred to the Company's depository institutions on an agreed-upon basis through June 2015 (see Note 3 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Deposits were as follows:

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

	At December 31, 2014(1)	At December 31, 2013(1)
(dollars in millions)		
Savings and demand deposits	\$ 132,159	\$ 109,908
Time deposits(2)	1,385	2,471
Total(3)	\$ 133,544	\$ 112,379

- (1) Total deposits subject to FDIC insurance at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were \$99 billion and \$84 billion, respectively.
- (2) Certain time deposit accounts are carried at fair value under the fair value option (see Note 4 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (3) At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, approximately \$128 billion and \$104 billion, respectively, were attributed to the Company's Wealth Management business segment. These total deposits exclude deposits held by Citi relating to the Company's customer accounts.

Table of Contents**Senior Indebtedness.**

At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate outstanding carrying amount of the Company's senior indebtedness (including guaranteed obligations of the indebtedness of subsidiaries) was approximately \$142 billion and \$143 billion, respectively.

Long-Term Borrowings.

The Company believes that accessing debt investors through multiple distribution channels helps provide consistent access to the unsecured markets. In addition, the issuance of long-term debt allows the Company to reduce reliance on short-term credit sensitive instruments (e.g., commercial paper and other unsecured short-term borrowings). Long-term borrowings are generally managed to achieve staggered maturities, thereby mitigating refinancing risk, and to maximize investor diversification through sales to global institutional and retail clients across regions, currencies and product types. Availability and cost of financing to the Company can vary depending on market conditions, the volume of certain trading and lending activities, the Company's credit ratings and the overall availability of credit.

The Company may engage in various transactions in the credit markets (including, for example, debt retirements) that it believes are in the best interests of the Company and its investors.

Long-term borrowings by maturity profile at December 31, 2014 consisted of the following:

	Parent	Subsidiaries (dollars in millions)	Total
Due in 2015	\$ 17,781	\$ 2,959	\$ 20,740
Due in 2016	18,963	1,680	20,643
Due in 2017	22,643	1,357	24,000
Due in 2018	16,728	951	17,679
Due in 2019	16,660	911	17,571
Thereafter	50,292	1,847	52,139
Total	\$ 143,067	\$ 9,705	\$ 152,772

Long-Term Borrowing Activity in 2014.

During 2014, the Company issued and reissued notes with a principal amount of approximately \$36.7 billion. In connection with these note issuances, the Company generally enters into certain transactions to obtain floating interest rates. The weighted average maturity of the Company's long-term borrowings, based upon stated maturity dates, was approximately 5.9 years at December 31, 2014. During 2014, approximately \$33.1 billion in aggregate long-term borrowings matured or were retired. Subsequent to December 31, 2014 and through January 31, 2015, the Company's long-term borrowings (net of repayments) increased by approximately \$5.4 billion. This amount includes the Company's issuance of \$5.5 billion in senior debt on January 27, 2015 and the issuance of \$1.7 billion in senior debt on January 30, 2015. For a further discussion of the Company's long-term borrowings see Note 11 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Credit Ratings.

The Company relies on external sources to finance a significant portion of its day-to-day operations. The cost and availability of financing generally are impacted by, among other variables, the Company's credit ratings. In addition, the Company's credit ratings can have an impact on certain trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where longer-term counterparty performance is a key consideration, such as OTC derivative transactions, including credit derivatives and interest rate swaps. Rating agencies consider company-specific factors; other industry factors such as regulatory or legislative changes; the macroeconomic environment; and perceived levels of government support, among other things.

Table of Contents

Some rating agencies have stated that they currently incorporate various degrees of credit rating uplift from external sources of potential support, as well as perceived government support of systemically important banks, including the credit ratings of the Company. Rating agencies continue to monitor the progress of U.S. financial reform legislation and regulations to assess whether the possibility of extraordinary government support for the financial system in any future financial crises is negatively impacted. Legislative and rulemaking outcomes may lead to reduced uplift assumptions for U.S. banks and, thereby, place downward pressure on credit ratings. At the same time, proposed and final U.S. financial reform legislation and attendant rulemaking, such as higher standards for capital and liquidity levels, also have positive implications for credit ratings. The net result on credit ratings and the timing of any change in rating agency views on changes in potential government support and financial reform efforts are currently uncertain.

At January 31, 2015, the Parent's and Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A.'s senior unsecured ratings were as set forth below:

	Parent			Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A.		
	Short-Term Debt	Long-Term Debt	Rating Outlook	Short-Term Debt	Long-Term Debt	Rating Outlook
DBRS, Inc.(1)	R-1 (middle)	A (high)	Stable			
Fitch Ratings, Inc.	F1	A	Stable	F1	A	Stable
Moody's Investors Service(2)	P-2	Baa2	Positive	P-2	A3	Positive
Rating and Investment Information, Inc.	a-1	A	Negative			
Standard & Poor's Ratings Services(3)	A-2	A-	Negative	A-1	A	Stable

- (1) On June 12, 2014, DBRS, Inc. confirmed the ratings for the Company, including its long-term debt rating of A (high) and short-term instruments rating of R-1 (middle). The rating outlook trend on all long-term ratings was revised to Stable from Negative, while the rating outlook trend on all short-term ratings remained Stable.
- (2) On July 24, 2014, Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) affirmed the Company's long-term debt rating as well as the ratings of its subsidiaries and revised its ratings outlook to Positive from Stable.
- (3) On November 26, 2014, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P) revised its rating outlook on the Company's operating subsidiaries, including Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. to Stable from Negative and affirmed its rating on Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. short-term and long-term debt of A-1 and A, respectively.

In connection with certain OTC trading agreements and certain other agreements where the Company is a liquidity provider to certain financing vehicles associated with the Company's Institutional Securities business segment, the Company may be required to provide additional collateral or immediately settle any outstanding liability balances with certain counterparties or pledge additional collateral to certain exchanges and clearing organizations in the event of a future credit rating downgrade irrespective of whether the Company is in a net asset or net liability position.

The additional collateral or termination payments that may be called in the event of a future credit rating downgrade vary by contract and can be based on ratings by either or both of Moody's and S&P. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the future potential collateral amounts and termination payments that could be called or required by counterparties or exchanges and clearing organizations in the event of one-notch or two-notch downgrade scenarios, from the lowest of Moody's or S&P ratings, based on the relevant contractual downgrade triggers were \$1,856 million and \$1,522 million, respectively, and an incremental \$2,984 million and \$3,321 million, respectively.

While certain aspects of a credit rating downgrade are quantifiable pursuant to contractual provisions, the impact it will have on the Company's business and results of operation in future periods is inherently uncertain and will depend on a number of interrelated factors, including, among others, the magnitude of the downgrade, the rating relative to peers, the rating assigned by the relevant agency pre-downgrade, individual client behavior and future mitigating actions the Company may take. The liquidity impact of additional collateral requirements is included in the Company's Liquidity Stress Tests.

Table of Contents

Capital Management.

The Company's senior management views capital as an important source of financial strength. The Company actively manages its consolidated capital position based upon, among other things, business opportunities, risks, capital availability and rates of return together with internal capital policies, regulatory requirements and rating agency guidelines and, therefore, in the future may expand or contract its capital base to address the changing needs of its businesses. The Company attempts to maintain total capital, on a consolidated basis, at least equal to the sum of its operating subsidiaries' required equity.

In March 2014, the Company received no objection from the Federal Reserve to the Company's 2014 capital plan, which included a share repurchase of up to \$1 billion of the Company's outstanding common stock beginning in the second quarter of 2014 through the end of the first quarter of 2015, as well as an increase in the Company's quarterly common stock dividend to \$0.10 per share from \$0.05 per share, beginning with the dividend declared on April 17, 2014. During 2014 and 2013, the Company repurchased approximately \$900 million and \$350 million, respectively, of the Company's outstanding common stock as part of its share repurchase program (see Note 15 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

At December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$0.3 billion remaining under its current share repurchase program. The share repurchase program is for capital management purposes and considers, among other things, business segment capital needs as well as equity-based compensation and benefit plan requirements. Share repurchases under the Company's existing authorized program will be exercised from time to time at prices the Company deems appropriate subject to various factors, including the Company's capital position and market conditions. The share repurchases may be effected through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions, including through Rule 10b5-1 plans, and may be suspended at any time. Share repurchases by the Company are subject to regulatory approval (see also Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities in Part II, Item 5). The share repurchase program has no set expiration or termination date.

The Company's Board of Directors determines the declaration and payment of dividends on a quarterly basis. The cash dividends declared on the Company's outstanding preferred stock were \$311 million, \$271 million and \$97 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. On January 20, 2015, the Company announced that its Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend per common share of \$0.10. The dividend was paid on February 13, 2015 to common shareholders of record on January 30, 2015 (see Note 25 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Issuances of Preferred Stock.

Series G Preferred Stock. On April 29, 2014, the Company issued 20,000,000 Depositary Shares for an aggregate price of \$500 million. Each Depositary Share represents a 1/1,000th interest in a share of perpetual 6.625% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series G, \$0.01 par value (Series G Preferred Stock). The Series G Preferred Stock is redeemable at the Company's option (i) in whole or in part, from time to time, on any dividend payment date on or after July 15, 2019 or (ii) in whole but not in part at any time within 90 days following a regulatory capital treatment event (as described in the terms of that series), in each case at a redemption price of \$25,000 per share (equivalent to \$25.00 per Depositary Share). The Series G Preferred Stock also has a preference over the Company's common stock upon liquidation. The Series G Preferred Stock offering (net of related issuance costs) resulted in proceeds of approximately \$494 million.

Series H Preferred Stock. On April 29, 2014, the Company issued 1,300,000 Depositary Shares for an aggregate price of \$1,300 million. Each Depositary Share represents a 1/25th interest in a share of perpetual Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series H, \$0.01 par

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

value (Series H Preferred Stock). The Series H Preferred Stock is redeemable at the Company s option (i) in whole or in part, from time to time, on any dividend payment date on or after July 15, 2019 or (ii) in whole but not in part at any time within 90 days following a regulatory capital treatment event (as described in the terms of that series), in each case at a

Table of Contents

redemption price of \$25,000 per share (equivalent to \$1,000 per Depositary Share). The Series H Preferred Stock also has a preference over the Company's common stock upon liquidation. The Series H Preferred Stock offering (net of related issuance costs) resulted in proceeds of approximately \$1,294 million.

Series I Preferred Stock. On September 18, 2014, the Company issued 40,000,000 Depositary Shares for an aggregate price of \$1,000 million. Each Depositary Share represents a 1/1,000th interest in a share of perpetual Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series I, \$0.01 par value (Series I Preferred Stock). The Series I Preferred Stock is redeemable at the Company's option (i) in whole or in part, from time to time, on any dividend payment date on or after October 15, 2024 or (ii) in whole but not in part at any time within 90 days following a regulatory capital treatment event (as described in the terms of that series), in each case at a redemption price of \$25,000 per share (equivalent to \$25.00 per Depositary Share). The Series I Preferred Stock also has a preference over the Company's common stock upon liquidation. The Series I Preferred Stock offering (net of related issuance costs) resulted in proceeds of approximately \$994 million.

On December 16, 2014, the Company announced that its Board of Directors declared, a quarterly or semi-annual dividend as appropriate, for preferred stock shareholders of record on December 31, 2014, that was paid on January 15, 2015 as follows:

Series	Preferred Stock Description	Quarterly Dividend Per Share(1)
A	Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (represented by Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of preferred stock and each having a dividend of \$0.25556)	\$ 255.56
C	10% Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock	25.00
E	Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (represented by Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of preferred stock and each having a dividend of \$0.44531)	445.31
F	Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (represented by Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of preferred stock and each having a dividend of \$0.42969)	429.69
G	6.625% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (represented by Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share and each having a dividend of \$0.41406)	414.06
H	Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (represented by Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/25th interest in a share of preferred stock and each having a dividend of \$27.25)(1)	681.25
I	Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (represented by Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of preferred stock and each having a dividend of \$0.51797)	517.97

(1) Dividend on Series H is payable semi-annually until July 15, 2019.

Table of Contents**Tangible Equity.**

The following table sets forth tangible Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity and tangible common equity at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and average tangible Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity and average tangible common equity for 2014 and 2013:

	Balance at		Average Balance(1)	
	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	2014	2013
	(dollars in millions)			
Common equity	\$ 64,880	\$ 62,701	\$ 65,284	\$ 61,895
Preferred equity	6,020	3,220	4,774	1,839
Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity	70,900	65,921	70,058	63,734
Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts	4,868	4,849	4,866	4,826
Less: Goodwill and net intangible assets(2)	(9,742)	(9,873)	(9,737)	(8,900)
Tangible Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity(3)	\$ 66,026	\$ 60,897	\$ 65,187	\$ 59,660
Common equity	\$ 64,880	\$ 62,701	\$ 65,284	\$ 61,895
Less: Goodwill and net intangible assets(2)	(9,742)	(9,873)	(9,737)	(8,900)
Tangible common equity(3)	\$ 55,138	\$ 52,828	\$ 55,547	\$ 52,995

(1) The Company calculates its average balances based upon month-end balances.

(2) The deduction for Goodwill and net intangible assets is partially offset by mortgage servicing rights (MSR) (net of disallowable MSR) of \$6 million and \$7 million at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(3) Tangible Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity, and tangible common equity, non-GAAP financial measures, equals Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity or common equity, respectively less goodwill and net intangible assets as defined above. The Company views tangible Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity and tangible common equity as a useful measure to the Company and investors to assess capital adequacy.

Capital Covenants.

In October 2006 and April 2007, the Company executed replacement capital covenants in connection with offerings by Morgan Stanley Capital Trust VII and Morgan Stanley Capital Trust VIII (the Capital Securities), which become effective after the scheduled redemption date in 2046. Under the terms of the replacement capital covenants, the Company has agreed, for the benefit of certain specified holders of debt, to limitations on its ability to redeem or repurchase any of the Capital Securities for specified periods of time. For a complete description of the Capital Securities and the terms of the replacement capital covenants, see the Company's Current Reports on Form 8-K dated October 12, 2006 and April 26, 2007.

Regulatory Requirements.**Regulatory Capital Framework.**

The Company is a financial holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and is subject to the regulation and oversight of the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve establishes capital requirements for the Company, including well-capitalized standards, and evaluates the Company's compliance with such capital requirements. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) establishes similar capital requirements and standards for the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks.

Implementation of U.S. Basel III.

The U.S. banking regulators have comprehensively revised their risk-based and leverage capital framework to implement many aspects of the Basel III capital standards established by the Basel Committee. The U.S. banking regulators' revised capital framework is referred to herein as U.S. Basel III. The Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks became subject to U.S. Basel III on January 1, 2014. Aspects of U.S. Basel III, such as the

Table of Contents

minimum risk-based capital ratio requirements, new capital buffers, and certain deductions from and adjustments to capital, will be phased in over several years. Prior to January 1, 2014, the Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks calculated regulatory capital ratios using the U.S. banking regulators' U.S. Basel I-based rules (U.S. Basel I) as supplemented by rules that implemented the Basel Committee's market risk capital framework amendment, commonly referred to as Basel 2.5.

Regulatory Capital. Under U.S. Basel III, new items (including certain investments in the capital instruments of unconsolidated financial institutions) are deducted from the respective tiers of regulatory capital, and certain existing regulatory deductions and adjustments are modified or are no longer applicable. The majority of these capital deductions are subject to a phase-in schedule and will be fully phased in by 2018. Unrealized gains and losses on AFS securities are reflected in Common Equity Tier 1 capital, subject to a phase in schedule. The percentage of the regulatory deductions and adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 capital that applied to the Company in 2014 ranged from 20% to 100%, depending on the specific item.

U.S. Basel III, which is aimed at increasing the quality and amount of regulatory capital, establishes Common Equity Tier 1 capital as a new tier of capital, increases minimum required risk-based capital ratios, provides for capital buffers above those minimum ratios, provides for new regulatory capital deductions and adjustments, modifies methods for calculating RWAs (the denominator of risk-based capital ratios) by, among other things, increasing counterparty credit risk capital requirements, and introduces a supplementary leverage ratio.

In addition, U.S. Basel III narrows the eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments. As a result of these revisions, existing trust preferred securities will be fully phased-out of the Company's Tier 1 capital by January 1, 2016. Thereafter, existing trust preferred securities that do not satisfy U.S. Basel III's eligibility criteria for Tier 2 capital will be phased out of the Company's regulatory capital by January 1, 2022.

Risk-Weighted Assets. The Company is required to calculate and hold capital against credit, market and operational risk RWAs. RWAs reflect both on- and off-balance sheet risk of the Company. Credit risk RWAs reflect capital charges attributable to the risk of loss arising from a borrower or counterparty failing to meet its financial obligations. Market risk RWAs reflect capital charges attributable to the risk of loss resulting from adverse changes in market prices and other factors. For a further discussion of the Company's market and credit risks, see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk (Credit Risk) in Item 7A. Operational risk RWAs reflect capital charges attributable to the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed processes, people and systems or from external events (e.g., fraud, theft, legal and compliance risks or damage to physical assets). The Company may incur operational risks across the full scope of its business activities, including revenue-generating activities (e.g., sales and trading) and control groups (e.g., information technology and trade processing). In addition, given the evolving regulatory and litigation environment across the financial services industry and that operational risk RWAs incorporate the impact of such related matters, operational risk RWAs have increased and may continue to do so.

The Basel Committee is in the process of considering revisions to various provisions of the Basel III framework that, if adopted by the U.S. banking agencies, could result in substantial changes to U.S. Basel III. In particular, the Basel Committee has finalized a new methodology for calculating counterparty credit risk exposures, the standardized approach for measuring counterparty credit risk exposures (SA-CCR); has finalized a revised framework establishing capital requirements for securitizations; and has proposed revisions to various regulatory capital standards, including for trading and banking book exposures, the credit risk framework and capital floors. In each case, the impact of these revised standards on the Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks is uncertain and depends on future rulemakings by the U.S. banking agencies.

Calculation of Risk-Based Capital Ratios. On February 21, 2014, the Federal Reserve and the OCC approved the Company's and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks' respective use of the U.S. Basel III advanced internal ratings-based approach for determining credit risk capital requirements and advanced measurement approaches for determining operational risk capital requirements to calculate and publicly disclose their risk-based capital ratios beginning with the second quarter of 2014, subject to the capital floor discussed below (the Advanced

Table of Contents

Approach). As an Advanced Approach banking organization, the Company is required to compute risk-based capital ratios using both (i) standardized approaches for calculating credit risk RWAs and market risk RWAs (the Standardized Approach); and (ii) an advanced internal ratings-based approach for calculating credit risk RWAs, an advanced measurement approach for calculating operational risk RWAs, and an advanced approach for calculating market risk RWAs under U.S. Basel III.

To implement a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act, U.S. Basel III subjects Advanced Approach banking organizations that have been approved by their regulators to exit the parallel run, such as the Company, to a permanent capital floor. In 2014, as a result of the capital floor, an Advanced Approach banking organization s binding risk-based capital ratios were the lower of its ratios computed under the Advanced Approach and U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5. Beginning on January 1, 2015, the Company s ratios for regulatory purposes are the lower of the capital ratios computed under the Advanced Approach or the Standardized Approach under U.S. Basel III. The U.S. Basel III Standardized Approach modifies certain U.S. Basel I-based methods for calculating RWAs and prescribes new standardized risk weights for certain types of assets and exposures. The capital floor applies to the calculation of the minimum risk-based capital requirements as well as the capital conservation buffer, the countercyclical capital buffer (if deployed by banking regulators), and, if adopted, the proposed global systemically important bank (G-SIB) buffer.

The methods for calculating each of the Company s risk-based capital ratios will change through January 1, 2022 as U.S. Basel III s revisions to the numerator and denominator are phased in and as the Company calculates RWAs using the Advanced Approach and the Standardized Approach. These ongoing methodological changes may result in differences in the Company s reported capital ratios from one reporting period to the next that are independent of changes to the Company s capital base, asset composition, off-balance sheet exposures or risk profile.

The basis for the calculation of the Company s U.S. Basel III capital ratios, on a transitional and fully phased-in basis, are presented below:

	First Quarter of 2014	Transition Period Second to Fourth Quarter of 2014	2015 to 2017	Fully Phased-In(1) 2018 and onward
Regulatory Capital (Numerator of risk-based capital and leverage ratios)		U.S. Basel III Transitional(2)		U.S. Basel III
RWAs (Denominator of risk-based capital ratios)		U.S. Basel I and Basel 2.5		U.S. Basel III
	Standardized Approach(3)			Standardized Approach
	Advanced Approach(4)		U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach	
Denominator of leverage ratios	Tier 1 Leverage Ratio			Adjusted Average On-Balance Sheet Assets(5)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Supplementary Leverage Ratio(6)

Adjusted Average

On-Balance Sheet Assets(5)
and Certain Off-Balance

Sheet Exposures

- (1) By the beginning of 2018, U.S. Basel III rules defining capital (numerator of capital ratios) will be fully phased in, except for the exclusion of non-qualifying trust preferred securities from Tier 2 capital, which will be fully phased-in as of January 1, 2022. In addition, the Company will also be subject to a greater than 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer, a G-SIB capital surcharge (if adopted) and, if deployed by banking regulators, up to a 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 countercyclical buffer, all of which will be fully phased in by the beginning of 2019. The capital conservation buffer, the G-SIB capital surcharge and, if deployed, the countercyclical buffer apply in addition to each of the Company's Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total capital ratios. The requirements for these additional capital buffers will be phased in beginning in 2016.
- (2) Beginning June 30, 2014, as a result of the Company's and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks' completion of the Advanced Approach parallel run, the amount of expected credit loss that exceeds eligible credit reserves must be deducted 20% from Common

Table of Contents

Equity Tier 1 capital and 80% from Additional Tier 1 capital. Over the next several years, this deduction from Common Equity Tier 1 capital will incrementally increase and the amount deducted from Additional Tier 1 capital will correspondingly decrease, until fully phased in by the beginning of 2018. In addition, under the Advanced Approach framework, the allowance for loan losses cannot be included in Tier 2 capital. Instead, an Advanced Approach banking organization may include in Tier 2 capital any eligible credit reserves that exceed its total expected credit losses to the extent that the excess reserve amount does not exceed 0.6% of its Advanced Approach credit risk RWAs. The allowance for loan losses may continue to be included in Tier 2 capital for purposes of calculating capital ratios under U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5 and under the Standardized Approach, up to 1.25% of credit risk RWAs.

- (3) Beginning in 2015, the Company is required to calculate credit risk RWAs and market risk RWAs under the U.S. Basel III Standardized Approach.
- (4) Public reporting of Advanced Approach capital ratios began during the second quarter of 2014.
- (5) In accordance with U.S. Basel III, adjusted average assets represent the Company's average total on-balance sheet assets minus certain amounts deducted from Tier 1 capital.
- (6) Beginning in 2015, the Company is required to publicly disclose its supplementary leverage ratio, which will become effective as a capital standard on January 1, 2018.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2014, the Company calculated the numerator of its risk-based capital ratios using the amount of Common Equity Tier 1 capital, Tier 1 capital and total capital determined under U.S. Basel III, subject to transitional arrangements. In the first quarter of 2014, the Company calculated the denominator of its risk-based capital ratios using the existing U.S. Basel I-based rules as supplemented by Basel 2.5. Beginning in the second quarter of 2014, the Company's risk-based capital ratios for regulatory purposes were the lower of each ratio calculated under U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5 and the Advanced Approach.

Regulatory Capital Ratios. The following table presents the Company's regulatory capital ratios at December 31, 2014, as well as the minimum required regulatory capital ratios applicable under U.S. Basel III in 2014. At December 31, 2014, the Company's risk-based capital ratios (as a result of the capital floor) were based on the Advanced Approach transitional rules.

	At December 31, 2014 Actual Capital Ratio		Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratio(1) 2014
	U.S. Basel III Transitional/ Advanced Approach	U.S. Basel III Transitional/ U.S. Basel I + Basel 2.5 Approach	
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	12.6%	14.7%	4.0%
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.1%	16.5%	5.5%
Total capital ratio	16.4%	19.4%	8.0%
Tier 1 leverage ratio(2)	7.9%	7.9%	4.0%

(1) Percentages show minimum capital ratios for calendar year 2014 under U.S. Basel III transitional provisions.

(2) Tier 1 leverage ratio is defined as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average total on-balance sheet assets minus certain amounts deducted from Tier 1 capital in accordance with U.S. Basel III rules.

Effective January 1, 2015, for the Company to remain a financial holding company, its U.S. Subsidiary Banks must qualify as well-capitalized under the higher capital requirements of U.S. Basel III by maintaining a total risk-based capital ratio (total capital to risk-weighted assets) of at least 10%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 8%, a Common Equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.5%, and a Tier 1 leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average total consolidated assets) of at least 5%. The Federal Reserve has not yet revised the well-capitalized standard for financial holding companies to reflect the higher capital standards in U.S. Basel III. Assuming that the Federal Reserve would apply the same or very similar well-capitalized standards to financial holding companies, each of the Company's risk-based capital ratios and Tier 1 leverage ratio at December 31, 2014 would have exceeded the revised well-capitalized standard. The Federal Reserve may require the Company and its peer financial holding companies to maintain risk and leverage-based capital ratios substantially in excess of mandated minimum levels, depending upon general economic conditions and a financial holding company's particular condition, risk profile and growth plans.

Table of Contents

The following is a roll-forward of the Company's Common Equity Tier 1 capital, Additional Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital calculated under U.S. Basel III on a transitional basis from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2014.

	2014 (dollars in millions)
Common Equity Tier 1 capital:	
Tier 1 Common capital under U.S. Basel I rules at December 31, 2013	\$ 49,917
Change in the value of shareholders' common equity	2,179
New items subject to deduction and adjustments under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules:	
Credit spread premium over risk-free rate for derivative liabilities	(161)
Expected credit loss that exceeds eligible credit reserves(1)	(10)
Other new deductions and adjustments	(181)
Modification of existing deductions under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules:	
Net goodwill	(17)
Net intangible assets (other than goodwill and mortgage servicing assets)	2,647
Net deferred tax assets	2,299
Net after-tax debt valuation adjustment(2)	(1,117)
Adjustments related to accumulated other comprehensive income	184
U.S. Basel I deductions that are no longer applicable under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules	1,584
Common Equity Tier 1 capital under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules at December 31, 2014	\$ 57,324
Additional Tier 1 capital:	
Additional Tier 1 capital under U.S. Basel I rules at December 31, 2013	\$ 11,090
New issuance of qualifying preferred stock	2,800
Modification of treatment of Additional Tier 1 capital components under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules:	
Trust preferred securities	(2,327)
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	(2,105)
New items subject to deduction and adjustments under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules:	
Net deferred tax assets	(2,318)
Credit spread premium over risk-free rate for derivative liabilities	(644)
Net after-tax debt valuation adjustment(2)	630
Expected credit loss that exceeds eligible credit reserves	(39)
Other adjustments and deductions	(229)
Additional Tier 1 capital at December 31, 2014	\$ 6,858
Tier 1 capital (Common Equity Tier 1 capital plus Additional Tier 1 capital) at December 31, 2014	\$ 64,182
Tier 2 capital:	
Tier 2 capital under U.S. Basel I rules at December 31, 2013	\$ 4,993
Change in subordinated debt	2,780
De-recognition of allowance for loan and lease losses under Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules(3)	(284)
New capital components subject to recognition under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules:	
Trust preferred securities	2,434
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	27
New items subject to deduction and adjustments under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules	(10)
U.S. Basel I deductions that are no longer applicable under U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules	850
Tier 2 capital at December 31, 2014	\$ 10,790

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Total capital at December 31, 2014 \$ 74,972

- (1) In 2014, as a result of the Company's and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks' completion of the Advanced Approach parallel run, the amount of expected credit loss that exceeded eligible credit reserves was deducted 20% from Common Equity Tier 1 capital and 80%

Table of Contents

from Additional Tier 1 capital. Over the next several years, this deduction from Common Equity Tier 1 capital will incrementally increase, and the amount deducted from Additional Tier 1 capital will correspondingly decrease, until fully phased-in by 2018.

- (2) The aggregate balance of net after-tax debt valuation adjustment includes an approximate \$69 million reconciling adjustment related to a prior period.
- (3) For purposes of calculating capital ratios under the Advanced Approach, the allowance for loan losses cannot be included in Tier 2 capital. Instead, an Advanced Approach banking organization may include in Tier 2 capital any eligible credit reserves that exceed its total expected credit losses to the extent that the excess reserve amount does not exceed 0.6% of its Advanced Approach credit risk RWAs. The allowance for loan losses may continue to be included in Tier 2 capital for purposes of calculating capital ratios under U.S. Basel I and Basel 2.5 and under the Standardized Approach, up to 1.25% of credit risk RWAs.

The following represents a roll-forward of the Company's RWAs based on pro forma estimates of RWAs under the Advanced Approach from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2014.

	2014(1) (dollars in millions)
Credit risk RWAs:	
Balance under U.S. Basel I rules at December 31, 2013	\$ 256,606
Change related to U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules(2)	(72,792)
Change related to the following items:	
Derivatives	250
Securities financing transactions	(6,090)
Other counterparty credit risk	(264)
Securitizations	(1,068)
Credit valuation adjustment	(4,158)
AFS debt securities	1,264
Loans	7,689
Cash	(2,245)
Equity investments	2,571
Other credit risk(3)	2,882
Total change in credit risk RWAs	(71,961)
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 184,645
Market risk RWAs:	
Balance under U.S. Basel 2.5 rules at December 31, 2013	\$ 133,760
Change related to U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach rules(2)	12,369
Change related to the following items:	
Regulatory VaR	(1,191)
Regulatory stressed VaR	(150)
Incremental risk charge	(5,289)
Comprehensive risk measure	(6,768)
Specific risk:	
Non-securitizations	(6,465)
Securitizations	(4,903)
Total change in market risk RWAs	(12,397)
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 121,363
Operational risk RWAs:	
Balance under U.S. Basel I rules at December 31, 2013	\$ N/A
Change related to U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach rules(2)	150,000
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 150,000

N/A Not Applicable.

Table of Contents

VaR Value-at-Risk.

- (1) The RWAs for each category in the above table reflect both on- and off-balance sheet exposures, where appropriate.
- (2) Represents the estimated impact of the change in methodology to present December 31, 2013 RWAs on a pro forma basis under the U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach transitional rules.
- (3) Amount reflects assets not in a defined category, non-material portfolios of exposures and unsettled transactions.

The Company is required to calculate capital ratios under both the Advanced Approach and the Standardized Approach, represented as U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5, as of December 31, 2014, in both cases subject to transitional provisions. The capital ratios calculated under the Advanced Approach were lower than those calculated under the Standardized Approach, represented as U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5, and therefore, are the binding ratios for the Company at December 31, 2014 as a result of the capital floor.

The following table summarizes the Company's Common Equity Tier 1 capital, Additional Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	At December 31, 2014 U.S. Basel III Transitional/ Advanced Approach	At December 31, 2013 U.S. Basel I(1) (dollars in millions)
Common Equity Tier 1 capital:		
Common stock and surplus	\$ 21,503	\$ 21,622
Retained earnings	44,625	42,172
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(1,248)	(1,093)
Regulatory adjustments and deductions:		
Less: Net goodwill	(6,612)	(6,595)
Less: Net intangible assets (other than goodwill and mortgage servicing assets)	(632)	(3,279)
Less: Credit spread premium over risk-free rate for derivative liabilities	(161)	N/A
Less: Net deferred tax assets	(580)	(2,879)
After-tax debt valuation adjustment(2)	158	1,275
Adjustments related to accumulated other comprehensive income	462	278
Expected credit loss over eligible credit reserves(3)	(10)	N/A
Other adjustments and deductions	(181)	(1,584)
Total Common Equity Tier 1 capital	\$ 57,324	\$ 49,917
Additional Tier 1 capital:		
Preferred stock	\$ 6,020	\$ 3,220
Trust preferred securities	2,434	4,761
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	1,004	3,109
Regulatory adjustments and deductions:		
Less: Net deferred tax assets	(2,318)	N/A
Less: Credit spread premium over risk-free rate for derivative liabilities	(644)	N/A
After-tax debt valuation adjustment(2)	630	N/A
Expected credit loss over eligible credit reserves	(39)	N/A
Other adjustments and deductions	(229)	N/A
Additional Tier 1 capital	\$ 6,858	\$ 11,090
Total Tier 1 capital	\$ 64,182	\$ 61,007

Tier 2 capital:			
Subordinated debt	\$	8,339	\$ 5,559
Trust preferred securities		2,434	N/A
Other qualifying amounts(3)		27	284
Regulatory adjustments and deductions		(10)	(850)
Total Tier 2 capital	\$	10,790	\$ 4,993
Total capital	\$	74,972	\$ 66,000

N/A Not Applicable.

Table of Contents

- (1) The standards applicable in 2013 included U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5.
- (2) The aggregate balance of net after-tax debt valuation adjustment includes an approximate \$69 million reconciling adjustment related to a prior period.
- (3) For purposes of calculating capital ratios under the Advanced Approach, the allowance for loan losses cannot be included in Tier 2 capital. Instead, an Advanced Approach banking organization may include in Tier 2 capital any eligible credit reserves that exceed its total expected credit losses to the extent that the excess reserve amount does not exceed 0.6% of its Advanced Approach credit risk RWAs. The allowance for loan losses may continue to be included in Tier 2 capital for purposes of calculating capital ratios under U.S. Basel I and Basel 2.5 and under the Standardized Approach, up to 1.25% of credit risk RWAs.

The following table presents the Company's RWAs and regulatory capital ratios at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	At December 31, 2014 U.S. Basel III Transitional/ Advanced Approach	At December 31, 2013 U.S. Basel I(1)
	(dollars in millions)	
RWAs:		
Credit risk	\$ 184,645	\$ 256,606
Market risk	121,363	133,760
Operational risk	150,000	N/A
Total RWAs	\$ 456,008	\$ 390,366
Capital ratios:		
Common Equity Tier 1 ratio/Tier 1 common capital ratio	12.6%	12.8%
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.1%	15.6%
Total capital ratio	16.4%	16.9%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	7.9%	7.6%
Adjusted average assets	\$ 810,524	\$ 805,838

N/A Not Applicable.

- (1) The standards applicable in 2013 included U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5. The Company's Total capital, Tier 1 capital, Tier 1 common capital and Tier 1 leverage ratios and RWAs at December 31, 2013 were calculated under this framework.

The following table presents the Company's pro forma estimates under the fully phased-in Advanced Approach and the fully phased-in U.S. Basel III Standardized Approach at December 31, 2014:

	At December 31, 2014 Fully Phased-In Basis Pro Forma Estimates	
	U.S. Basel III Advanced Approach	U.S. Basel III Standardized Approach
	(dollars in millions)	
Common Equity Tier 1 capital	\$ 49,433	\$ 49,433
RWAs	463,099	454,968
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	10.7%	10.9%

These fully phased-in basis pro forma estimates are based on the Company's current understanding of U.S. Basel III and other factors, which may be subject to change as the Company receives additional clarification and implementation guidance from the Federal Reserve relating to U.S.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Basel III and as the interpretation of the regulation evolves over time. The fully phased-in basis pro forma Common Equity Tier 1 capital, RWAs and Common Equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio estimates are non-GAAP financial measures that the Company considers to be useful measures for evaluating compliance with new regulatory capital requirements that were not yet effective at December 31, 2014. These preliminary estimates are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially and should not be taken as a projection of what the Company's capital ratios, RWAs, earnings or other results will actually be at future dates. For a discussion of risks and uncertainties that may affect the future results of the Company, see "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A.

Table of Contents

On a fully phased-in basis, the Company will be subject to the following minimum capital ratios under U.S. Basel III: Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0%; Total capital ratio of 8.0%; Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4.0%; and supplementary leverage ratio of 3.0%. In addition, on a fully phased-in basis by 2019, the Company will be subject to a greater than 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer and, if deployed by banking regulators, up to a 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 countercyclical buffer. The capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer, if any, apply over each of the Company's Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total risk-based capital ratios. Failure to maintain such buffers will result in restrictions on the Company's ability to make capital distributions, including the payment of dividends and the repurchase of stock and to pay discretionary bonuses to executive officers. In addition, in December 2014, the Federal Reserve issued a proposed rule that would impose a risk-based capital surcharge on U.S. bank holding companies that are identified as G-SIBs. See "G-SIB Capital Surcharge" herein. Beginning in 2018, the Company will also be subject to enhanced supplementary leverage ratio standards (see "Supplementary Leverage Ratio" herein).

Capital Plans and Stress Tests.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve has adopted capital planning and stress test requirements for large bank holding companies, including the Company, which form part of the Federal Reserve's annual Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) framework. Under the Federal Reserve's capital plan rule, the Company must submit an annual capital plan to the Federal Reserve, taking into account the results of separate stress tests designed by the Company and the Federal Reserve, so that the Federal Reserve may assess the Company's systems and processes that incorporate forward-looking projections of revenues and losses to monitor and maintain its internal capital adequacy. The capital plan rule requires that such companies receive no objection from the Federal Reserve before making a capital distribution. In addition, even with an approved capital plan, a large bank holding company must seek the approval of the Federal Reserve before making a capital distribution if, among other reasons, it would not meet its regulatory capital requirements after making the proposed capital distribution. In addition, the Federal Reserve's final rule on stress testing under the Dodd-Frank Act requires the Company to conduct semi-annual company-run stress tests. The rule also subjects the Company to an annual supervisory stress test conducted by the Federal Reserve. The Company received no objection to its 2014 capital plan (see "Capital Management" herein). The Company expects that, on March 11, 2015, the Federal Reserve will provide its response to the Company's 2015 capital plan, which was submitted to the Federal Reserve on January 5, 2015. On January 5, 2015, the Company submitted the results of its semi-annual stress test to the Federal Reserve. On March 5, 2015, the Federal Reserve will publish summary results of the supervisory stress tests of each large bank holding company, including the Company. In addition, the Company is required to disclose a summary of the results of its company-run stress tests within 15 days of the date the Federal Reserve discloses the results of the supervisory stress test.

In February 2014, the Federal Reserve issued a final rule specifying how large bank holding companies, including the Company, must incorporate U.S. Basel III into their capital plans and Dodd-Frank Act stress tests beginning with the October 1, 2014 cycle. Among other things, the final rule requires a large bank holding company to project its Tier 1 Common capital ratio using the methodology of U.S. Basel I as supplemented by Basel 2.5 and its Common Equity Tier 1 ratio using U.S. Basel III Standardized Approach after giving effect to transition provisions. The final rule also requires Advanced Approach banking organizations that have exited from the parallel run, including the Company, to incorporate the Advanced Approach into their capital planning and company-run stress tests beginning with the October 1, 2015 cycle. In October 2014, the Federal Reserve revised its capital planning and stress testing regulations to, among other things, generally limit a large bank holding company's ability to make capital distributions (other than scheduled payments on Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital instruments) if the bank holding company's net capital issuances are less than the amount indicated in its capital plan, and to shift the start and submission dates of the capital plan and stress test cycles beginning with the 2016 cycle.

The Dodd-Frank Act also requires each of the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks to conduct an annual stress test. MSBNA submitted its 2015 annual company-run stress tests to the OCC on January 5, 2015 and will publish a

Table of Contents

summary of its stress test results between March 15 and March 31, 2015. MSPBNA will submit its annual company-run stress tests to the OCC in March 2015, and publish the summary results between June 15 and June 30, 2015. In June 2014, the OCC issued a proposed rule to, among other things, shift the timing of the annual stress testing cycle that applies to the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks beginning with the 2016 cycle.

G-SIB Capital Surcharge.

Although U.S. Basel III is in effect, the U.S. banking agencies and the Basel Committee have each proposed, or are considering proposing, revisions to the regulatory capital framework that would modify the regulatory capital standards governing the Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks. In December 2014, the Federal Reserve issued a proposed rule that would impose risk-based capital surcharges on U.S. bank holding companies that are identified as G-SIBs. Although the Federal Reserve's proposal is based upon the Basel Committee's international G-SIB surcharge framework, the methodologies proposed by the Federal Reserve generally would result in G-SIB surcharges that are higher than the levels required by the Basel Committee framework and would directly take into account the extent of each U.S. G-SIB's reliance on short-term wholesale funding. Under the proposal, a bank holding company identified as a G-SIB would calculate its G-SIB surcharge under two methods. The first would consider the G-SIB's size, interconnectedness, cross-jurisdictional activity, substitutability and complexity, which is generally consistent with the methodology developed by the Basel Committee. The second method would use similar inputs, but would replace substitutability with use of short-term wholesale funding and generally would result in higher surcharges than the Basel Committee framework. A G-SIB's surcharge would be the higher of the surcharges determined under the two methods. Under the proposal, the G-SIB surcharge must be satisfied using Common Equity Tier 1 capital and would function as an extension of the capital conservation buffer. The Federal Reserve estimates that its proposal could result in G-SIB surcharges ranging from 1.0% to 4.5% of a G-SIB's RWAs. The surcharge proposal would be phased in between January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2019.

Supplementary Leverage Ratio.

U.S. Basel III requires the Company and the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks to comply with supplementary leverage ratio requirements, which the U.S. banking agencies increased in 2014 above standards established by the Basel Committee. Specifically, beginning in 2018, the Company must maintain a Tier 1 supplementary leverage capital buffer of greater than 2% in addition to the 3% minimum supplementary leverage ratio (for a total of greater than 5%), in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions, including dividends and stock repurchases, and discretionary bonus payments to executive officers. In addition, beginning in 2018, the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks must maintain a supplementary leverage ratio of 6% to be considered well-capitalized. The denominator of the supplementary leverage ratio, as revised by the U.S. banking agencies in 2014 to conform with revised leverage standards adopted by the Basel Committee, is calculated for each reporting quarter based on the average daily balance of consolidated on-balance sheet assets under U.S. GAAP less certain amounts deducted from Tier 1 capital at quarter-end and the average month-end balance of certain off-balance sheet exposures associated with derivatives (including centrally cleared derivatives and sold credit protection), repo-style transactions and other off-balance sheet items during the calendar quarter. The enhanced supplementary leverage ratio standards will become effective for both the Company and its U.S. Subsidiary Banks on January 1, 2018 with quarterly public disclosure beginning on January 1, 2015.

The Company estimates its pro forma supplementary leverage ratio to be approximately 4.7% at December 31, 2014. This estimate utilizes a fully phased-in U.S. Basel III Tier 1 capital numerator and a denominator of approximately \$1.19 trillion. The denominator represents the Company's consolidated assets under U.S. GAAP as adjusted, among other items, by: (i) the addition of the potential future exposure for derivative contracts (including contracts cleared for clients), off-balance sheet exposures multiplied by their respective credit conversion factors, counterparty credit risk associated with repo-style transactions and the effective notional amount of sold credit protection reduced by certain qualifying purchased credit protection; and (ii) the subtraction of certain amounts deducted from Tier 1 capital under U.S. Basel III. The pro forma supplementary

Table of Contents

leverage ratio estimate is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company considers to be a useful measure for evaluating compliance with new regulatory capital requirements that have not yet become effective. The Company expects to achieve a supplementary leverage ratio of greater than 5% in 2015 through accretion of capital and other actions which may include derivative portfolio compression and other balance sheet optimization.

The Company's estimated supplementary leverage ratio is based upon its current interpretation and expectations regarding the implementation of applicable regulations and remains subject to ongoing review and revision. The Company's expectations are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from estimates based on these regulations. Further, these expectations should not be taken as projections of what the Company's supplemental leverage ratios or earnings, assets or exposures will actually be at future dates. For a discussion of risks and uncertainties that may affect the future results of the Company, see "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A.

Required Capital.

The Company's required capital (Required Capital) estimation is based on the Required Capital framework, an internal capital adequacy measure. This framework is a risk-based and leverage use-of-capital measure, which is compared with the Company's regulatory capital to ensure that the Company maintains an amount of going concern capital after absorbing potential losses from extreme stress events, where applicable, at a point in time. The Company defines the difference between its regulatory capital and aggregate Required Capital as Parent capital. Average Common Equity Tier 1 capital, aggregate Required Capital and Parent capital for 2014 were approximately \$57.6 billion, \$38.4 billion and \$19.2 billion, respectively. The Company generally holds Parent capital for prospective regulatory requirements, including U.S. Basel III transitional deductions and adjustments expected to reduce the Company's capital through 2018. The increase in Parent capital from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2014 was primarily driven by these transitional provisions. The Company also holds Parent capital for organic growth, acquisitions and other capital needs.

Common Equity Tier 1 capital and common equity attribution to the business segments is based on capital usage calculated by the Required Capital framework as well as each business segment's relative contribution to total Company Required Capital. Required Capital is assessed at each business segment and further attributed to product lines. This process is intended to align capital with the risks in each business segment in order to allow senior management to evaluate returns on a risk-adjusted basis. The Required Capital framework will evolve over time in response to changes in the business and regulatory environment and to incorporate enhancements in modeling techniques. The Company will continue to evaluate the framework with respect to the impact of future regulatory requirements, as appropriate.

The following table presents the Company's business segments' and Parent's average Common Equity Tier 1 capital and average common equity for 2014 and average Tier 1 Common capital and average common equity for 2013:

	December 31, 2014 (U.S. Basel III)		December 31, 2013 (U.S. Basel I + Basel 2.5)	
	Average Common Equity Tier 1 Capital	Average Common Equity	Average Tier 1 Common Capital	Average Common Equity
	(dollars in billions)			
Institutional Securities	\$ 31.3	\$ 32.2	\$ 32.7	\$ 37.9
Wealth Management	5.2	11.2	4.3	13.2
Investment Management	1.9	2.9	1.7	2.8
Parent capital	19.2	19.0	9.0	8.0
Total	\$ 57.6	\$ 65.3	\$ 47.7	\$ 61.9

Table of Contents

Resolution and Recovery Planning.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company is required to submit to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC an annual resolution plan that describes its strategy for a rapid and orderly resolution under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the event of material financial distress or failure of the Company. On August 5, 2014, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC notified the Company and 10 other large banking organizations that certain shortcomings in their 2013 resolution plans must be addressed in the 2015 resolution plans, which must be submitted on or before July 1, 2015. If the Federal Reserve and the FDIC were to determine that the Company's resolution plan is not credible or would not facilitate an orderly resolution and the Company does not cure the plan's deficiencies, the Company or any of its subsidiaries may be subjected to more stringent capital, leverage, or liquidity requirements or restrictions on its growth, activities, or operations, or the Company may be required to divest assets or operations.

In addition, MSBNA must submit to the FDIC an annual resolution plan that describes MSBNA's strategy for rapid and orderly resolution in the event of the material financial distress or failure of MSBNA. On December 17, 2014, the FDIC issued guidance regarding the resolution plans for insured depository institutions such as MSBNA, including requirements with respect to failure scenarios and the development and analysis of a range of realistic resolution strategies.

Further, the Company is required to submit an annual recovery plan to the Federal Reserve that outlines the steps that management could take over time to reduce risk, increase liquidity, and conserve capital in times of prolonged stress.

Certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are also subject to resolution and recovery planning requirements in the jurisdictions in which they operate.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, certain financial companies, including bank holding companies such as the Company and certain covered subsidiaries, can be subjected to resolution under an orderly liquidation authority with the FDIC appointed as receiver with considerable powers. A financial company whose largest U.S. subsidiary is a broker or dealer could be resolved under this authority only upon the recommendation of two-thirds of the Federal Reserve Board and two-thirds of the SEC Commissioners, on their own initiative or at the request of the U.S. Treasury Secretary, and in consultation with the FDIC as well as a determination by the U.S. Treasury Secretary in consultation with the President of the U.S. In December 2013, the FDIC released its proposed single point of entry strategy for resolution of a systemically important financial institution under the orderly liquidation authority. The strategy involves placing the top-tier U.S. holding company in receivership and keeping its operating subsidiaries open and out of insolvency proceedings by transferring the operating subsidiaries to a new bridge holding company, recapitalizing the operating subsidiaries and imposing losses on the shareholders and creditors of the holding company in receivership according to their statutory order of priority.

The Federal Reserve has indicated that it may also introduce a requirement that certain large bank holding companies maintain a minimum amount of long-term debt at the holding company level to facilitate orderly resolution of those firms. In November 2014, the Financial Stability Board (FSB) issued a policy proposal to establish a minimum international standard for total loss-absorbing capacity (TLAC) for G-SIBs, in addition to regulatory capital requirements, in order to enhance the loss-absorbing and recapitalization capacity of such institutions in resolution. The FSB's proposed minimum TLAC requirement would be set within the range of 16% to 20% of RWAs (excluding any applicable regulatory capital buffers, which would continue to be required in addition to the minimum TLAC requirement) and at least twice the minimum Basel III Tier 1 leverage ratio requirement. Regulators may also impose an additional TLAC requirement taking into account the G-SIB's recovery and resolution plans, systemic footprint, business model, risk profile and organizational structure. The minimum TLAC requirement would apply to each entity to which resolution tools would be applied within a G-SIB. The FSB has proposed eligibility criteria for liabilities to qualify as TLAC and a requirement that TLAC-eligible liabilities be subordinated to non-TLAC-eligible liabilities. In addition, certain material entities that are not resolution entities would be subject to an internal TLAC requirement. According to the FSB, the conformance period for the

TLAC requirement would not begin prior to January 1, 2019.

Table of Contents

For further information on the Company's Resolution Planning, see Business Supervision and Regulation Resolution and Recovery Planning in Part I, Item 1.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements with Unconsolidated Entities.

The Company enters into various arrangements with unconsolidated entities, including variable interest entities, primarily in connection with its Institutional Securities and Investment Management business segments.

Institutional Securities Activities. The Company utilizes special purpose entities (SPE) primarily in connection with securitization activities. The Company engages in securitization activities related to commercial and residential mortgage loans, U.S. agency collateralized mortgage obligations, corporate bonds and loans, municipal bonds and other types of financial assets. The Company may retain interests in the securitized financial assets as one or more tranches of the securitization. These retained interests are included in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value. Any changes in the fair value of such retained interests are recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of income. Retained interests in securitized financial assets were approximately \$2.7 billion and \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, substantially all of which were related to U.S. agency collateralized mortgage obligations, commercial mortgage loan and residential mortgage loan securitization transactions. For further information about the Company's securitization activities, see Note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

The Company has entered into liquidity facilities with SPEs and other counterparties, whereby the Company is required to make certain payments if losses or defaults occur. The Company often may have recourse to the underlying assets held by the SPEs or require that such assets first be sold in the event payments are required under such liquidity facilities (see Notes 7 and 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Investment Management Activities. As a general partner in certain private equity and real estate partnerships, the Company receives distributions from the partnerships according to the provisions of the partnership agreements. The Company may, from time to time, be required to return all or a portion of such distributions to the limited partners in the event the limited partners do not achieve a certain return as specified in various partnership agreements, subject to certain limitations. These amounts are noted in the table below under General partner guarantees.

Guarantees. The Company discloses information about its obligations under certain guarantee arrangements. Guarantees are defined as contracts and indemnification agreements that contingently require a guarantor to make payments to the guaranteed party based on changes in an underlying measure (such as an interest or foreign exchange rate, a security or commodity price, an index, or the occurrence or non-occurrence of a specified event) related to an asset, liability or equity security of a guaranteed party. Guarantees are also defined as contracts that contingently require the guarantor to make payments to the guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform under an agreement as well as indirect guarantees of the indebtedness of others.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes certain information regarding the Company's obligations under guarantee arrangements at December 31, 2014:

Type of Guarantee	Maximum Potential Payout/Notional Years to Maturity				Total	Carrying Amount (Asset)/ Liability	Collateral/ Recourse
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5	Over 5			
	(dollars in millions)						
Credit derivative contracts(1)	\$ 188,357	\$ 438,999	\$ 233,886	\$ 46,820	\$ 908,062	\$ (6,611)	\$
Other credit contracts	51	539	1	620	1,211	(500)	
Non-credit derivative contracts(1)	1,386,044	713,180	269,632	517,968	2,886,824	81,021	
Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees issued(2)	607	1,102	1,056	5,792	8,557	(223)	6,434
Market value guarantees	28	426	125	104	683	5	88
Liquidity facilities	2,507				2,507	(4)	3,779
Whole loan sales guarantees				23,605	23,605	9	
Securitization representations and warranties				65,520	65,520	98	
General partner guarantees	72		58	352	482	71	

- (1) Carrying amounts of derivative contracts are shown on a gross basis prior to cash collateral or counterparty netting. For further information on derivative contracts, see Note 12 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (2) Approximately \$2.1 billion of standby letters of credit are also reflected in the Commitments table below in primary and secondary lending commitments. Standby letters of credit are recorded at fair value within Trading assets or Trading liabilities in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company guarantees the debt and/or certain trading obligations (including obligations associated with derivatives, foreign exchange contracts and the settlement of physical commodities) of certain subsidiaries. These guarantees generally are entity or product specific and are required by investors or trading counterparties. The activities of the subsidiaries covered by these guarantees (including any related debt or trading obligations) are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

See Note 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for information on other guarantees and indemnities.

Commitments and Contractual Obligations.

The Company's commitments associated with outstanding letters of credit and other financial guarantees obtained to satisfy collateral requirements, investment activities, corporate lending and financing arrangements, and mortgage lending at December 31, 2014 are summarized below by period of expiration. Since commitments

Table of Contents

associated with these instruments may expire unused, the amounts shown do not necessarily reflect the actual future cash funding requirements:

	Years to Maturity				Total at December 31, 2014
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5 (dollars in millions)	Over 5	
Letters of credit and other financial guarantees obtained to satisfy collateral requirements	\$ 457	\$ 1	\$	\$ 2	\$ 460
Investment activities	511	82	24	446	1,063
Primary lending commitments investment grade(1)	8,507	14,874	35,850	1,437	60,668
Primary lending commitments non-investment grade(1)	1,101	5,148	13,062	2,051	21,362
Secondary lending commitments(2)	1	32	38	116	187
Commitments for secured lending transactions	1,194	534	181	919	2,828
Forward starting reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing agreements(3)(4)	42,033				42,033
Commercial and residential mortgage-related commitments	7	444	528	329	1,308
Underwriting commitments	290				290
Other lending commitments	4,284	1,089	364	98	5,835
Total	\$ 58,385	\$ 22,204	\$ 50,047	\$ 5,398	\$ 136,034

- (1) Total amount includes \$49.9 billion of investment grade and \$13.0 billion of non-investment grade unfunded commitments accounted for as held for investment and \$8.4 billion of investment grade and \$7.4 billion of non-investment grade unfunded commitments accounted for as held for sale at December 31, 2014. The remainder of these lending commitments is carried at fair value.
- (2) These commitments are recorded at fair value within Trading assets and Trading liabilities in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition (see Note 4 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (3) The Company enters into forward starting reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements (agreements that have a trade date at or prior to December 31, 2014 and settle subsequent to period-end) that are primarily secured by collateral from U.S. government agency securities and other sovereign government obligations. These agreements primarily settle within three business days, and of the total amount at December 31, 2014, \$41.2 billion settled within three business days.
- (4) The Company also has a contingent obligation to provide financing to a clearinghouse through which it clears certain transactions. The financing is required only upon the default of a clearinghouse member. The financing takes the form of a reverse repurchase facility, with a maximum amount of approximately \$0.5 billion.

For a further description of these commitments, see Note 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Risk Management Credit Risk in Item 7A.

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into various contractual obligations that may require future cash payments. Contractual obligations include long-term borrowings, other secured financings, contractual interest payments, contractual payments on time deposits, operating leases and purchase obligations.

Table of Contents

The Company's future cash payments associated with certain of its obligations at December 31, 2014 are summarized below:

At December 31, 2014	2015	2016-2017	Payments Due in:		Total
			2018-2019	Thereafter	
			(dollars in millions)		
Long-term borrowings(1)	\$ 20,740	\$ 44,643	\$ 35,250	\$ 52,139	\$ 152,772
Other secured financings(1)	3,341	5,586	980	439	10,346
Contractual interest payments(2)	5,384	8,615	5,759	21,025	40,783
Time deposits(3)	1,386				1,386
Operating leases - premises(4)	599	1,159	847	2,588	5,193
Operating leases - equipment(4)	204	200	145	61	610
Purchase obligations(5)	546	615	244	70	1,475
Total(6)	\$ 32,200	\$ 60,818	\$ 43,225	\$ 76,322	\$ 212,565

- (1) See Note 11 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Amounts presented for Other secured financings are financings with original maturities greater than one year.
- (2) Amounts represent estimated future contractual interest payments related to unsecured long-term borrowings based on applicable interest rates at December 31, 2014. Amounts include stated coupon rates, if any, on structured or index-linked notes.
- (3) Amounts represent contractual principal and interest payments related to time deposits primarily held at the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks.
- (4) See Note 13 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (5) Purchase obligations for goods and services include payments for, among other things, consulting, outsourcing, computer and telecommunications maintenance agreements, and certain transmission, transportation and storage contracts related to the commodities business. Purchase obligations at December 31, 2014 reflect the minimum contractual obligation under legally enforceable contracts with contract terms that are both fixed and determinable. These amounts exclude obligations for goods and services that already have been incurred and are reflected on the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition.
- (6) Amounts exclude unrecognized tax benefits, as the timing and amount of future cash payments are not determinable at this time (see Note 20 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information).

Effects of Inflation and Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates.

To the extent that an increased inflation outlook results in rising interest rates or has negative impacts on the valuation of financial instruments that exceed the impact on the value of the Company's liabilities, it may adversely affect the Company's financial position and profitability. Rising inflation may also result in increases in the Company's non-interest expenses that may not be readily recoverable in higher prices of services offered.

A significant portion of the Company's business is conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, and changes in foreign exchange rates relative to the U.S. dollar, therefore, can affect the value of non-U.S. dollar net assets, revenues and expenses. Potential exposures as a result of these fluctuations in currencies are closely monitored, and, where cost-justified, strategies are adopted that are designed to reduce the impact of these fluctuations on the Company's financial performance. These strategies may include the financing of non-U.S. dollar assets with direct or swap-based borrowings in the same currency and the use of currency forward contracts or the spot market in various hedging transactions related to net assets, revenues, expenses or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Risk Management.

Overview.

Management believes effective risk management is vital to the success of the Company's business activities. Accordingly, the Company has established an enterprise risk management (ERM) framework to integrate the diverse roles of risk management into a holistic enterprise structure and to facilitate the incorporation of risk assessment into decision-making processes across the Company. Risk is an inherent part of the Company's businesses and activities. The Company has policies and procedures in place to identify, measure, monitor, advise, challenge and control the principal risks involved in the activities of its Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management business segments as well as at the holding company level. The principal risks involved in the Company's business activities include market (including non-trading interest rate risk), credit, operational, liquidity and funding, franchise and reputational risk. Strategic risk is integrated into the Company's business planning, embedded in the evaluation of all principal risks and overseen by the Company's Board of Directors (the Board).

The cornerstone of the Company's risk management philosophy is the pursuit of risk-adjusted returns through prudent risk-taking that protects the Company's capital base and franchise, and is implemented through the ERM framework. Five key principles underlie this philosophy: integrity, comprehensiveness, independence, accountability and transparency. To help ensure the efficacy of risk management, which is an essential component of the Company's reputation, senior management requires thorough and frequent communication and the appropriate escalation of risk matters. The fast-paced, complex and constantly evolving nature of global financial markets requires that the Company maintain a risk management culture that is incisive, knowledgeable about specialized products and markets, and subject to ongoing review and enhancement. In 2014, the Company established a formal Culture, Values and Conduct Program, which it will enhance in 2015.

Risk Governance Structure.

Risk management at the Company requires independent company-level oversight, accountability of the Company's business divisions, and effective communication of risk matters across the Company, to senior management and ultimately to the Board. The Company's risk governance structure is composed of the Board; the Risk Committee of the Board (BRC), the Audit Committee of the Board (BAC), and the Operations and Technology Committee of the Board (BOTC); the Firm Risk Committee (FRC); the functional risk and control committees; senior management oversight (including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Legal Officer and Chief Compliance Officer); the Internal Audit Department and risk managers, committees, and groups within and across the Company's business segments. The Company's ERM framework composed of independent but complementary entities facilitates efficient and comprehensive supervision of the Company's risk exposures and processes.

Table of Contents

Morgan Stanley Board of Directors. The Board has oversight for the Company's ERM framework is responsible for helping to ensure that the Company's risks are managed in a sound manner. The Board has authorized the committees within the ERM framework to help facilitate its risk oversight responsibilities. As set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Policies, the Board also oversees, and receives reports on, the Company's practices and procedures relating to culture, values and conduct.

Risk Committee of the Board. The BRC is composed of non-management directors. The BRC is responsible for assisting the Board in the oversight of the Company's global ERM framework; the major risk exposures of the Company, including market, credit, operational, liquidity and funding, franchise and reputational risk; the Company's risk appetite statement, including risk limits and tolerances; risk management and risk assessment guidelines; and the performance of the Chief Risk Officer. The BRC reports to the entire Board on a regular basis and the entire Board attends quarterly BRC meetings.

Audit Committee of the Board. The BAC is composed of independent directors. The BAC is responsible for oversight of the integrity of the Company's consolidated financial statements, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the Company's system of internal controls, the qualifications and independence of the Company's independent auditor, and the performance of the Company's internal and independent auditors. In addition, the BAC assists the Board and the BRC in its oversight of certain aspects of risk management, including review of the major legal and compliance risk exposures of the Company and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures, as well as guidelines and policies that govern the process for risk assessment and risk management. The BAC reports to the entire Board, including the BRC, on a regular basis.

Operations and Technology Committee of the Board. The BOTC is composed of non-management directors. The BOTC is responsible for reviewing the major operations and technology risk exposures of the Company, including cybersecurity risk, and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. Additionally, the BOTC is responsible for assisting the Board in its oversight of the Company's operations and technology strategy, including significant investments in support of such strategy. The BOTC is also responsible for the review and approval of operations and technology policies, as well as the review of the Company's risk management and risk assessment guidelines and policies regarding operations and technology risk. The BOTC reports to the entire Board, including the BRC, on a regular basis.

Table of Contents

Firm Risk Committee. The Board has also authorized the FRC, a management committee appointed and chaired by the Chief Executive Officer, which includes the most senior officers of the Company, including the Chief Risk Officer, Chief Legal Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to oversee the Company's global ERM framework. The FRC's responsibilities include oversight of the Company's risk management principles, procedures and limits and the monitoring of capital levels and material market, credit, operational, liquidity and funding, franchise and reputational risk matters, and other risks, as appropriate, and the steps management has taken to monitor and manage such risks. The FRC also establishes and communicates risk tolerance, including aggregate Company limits and tolerance, as appropriate. The FRC reports to the entire Board, the BAC, the BOTC and the BRC through the Company's Chief Risk Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Legal Officer.

Functional Risk and Control Committees. Functional risk and control committees comprising the ERM framework, including the Firm Credit Risk Committee, the Operational Risk Oversight Committee, the Asset/Liability Management Committee, the Global Compliance Committee, the Technology Governance Committee and the Franchise Committee, facilitate efficient and comprehensive supervision of the Company's risk exposures and processes. The Strategic Transactions Committee reviews large strategic transactions and principal investments for the Company; the CCAR/RRP Committee oversees the Company's Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review, Dodd-Frank Act Stress Testing and Title I Resolution Plan and Recovery Plan; the Global Legal Entity Oversight and Governance Committee monitors the governance framework that operates over the Company's consolidated legal entity population; the FHC Governance Committee oversees the Company's initiatives relating to its status as a financial holding company; and the Culture, Values and Conduct Committee, established in January 2015, is charged with developing Company-wide standards and overseeing initiatives relating to culture, values and conduct, including training and enhancements to performance and compensation processes.

In addition, each business segment has a risk committee that is responsible for helping to ensure that the business segment, as applicable, adheres to established limits for market, credit, operational and other risks; implements risk measurement, monitoring, and management policies, procedures, controls and systems that are consistent with the risk framework established by the FRC; and reviews, on a periodic basis, its aggregate risk exposures, risk exception experience, and the efficacy of its risk identification, measurement, monitoring and management policies and procedures, and related controls.

Chief Risk Officer. The Chief Risk Officer, who is independent of business units, reports to the Chief Executive Officer and the BRC. The Chief Risk Officer oversees compliance with the Company's risk limits; approves exceptions to the Company's risk limits; independently reviews material market, credit and operational risks; and reviews results of risk management processes with the Board, the BRC and the BAC, as appropriate. The Chief Risk Officer also coordinates with the Chief Financial Officer regarding capital and liquidity management and works with the Compensation, Management Development and Succession Committee of the Board to help ensure that the structure and design of incentive compensation arrangements do not encourage unnecessary and excessive risk-taking.

Internal Audit Department. The Internal Audit Department provides independent risk and control assessment and reports to the BAC. The Internal Audit Department provides an independent assessment of the Company's control environment and risk management processes using a risk-based methodology developed from professional auditing standards. The Internal Audit Department also assists in assessing the Company's compliance with internal guidelines set for risk management and risk monitoring as well as external rules and regulations governing the industry. It affects these responsibilities through risk-based reviews of the Company's processes, activities, products or information systems; targeted reviews of specific controls and activities; pre-implementation audits of new or significantly changed processes, activities, products or information systems; and special investigations required as a result of internal factors or regulatory requests.

Independent Risk Management Functions. The independent risk management function (Market Risk, Credit Risk and Operational Risk Management Departments) are independent of the Company's business units. These

Table of Contents

functions assist senior management and the FRC in monitoring and controlling the Company's risk through a number of control processes. Each function maintains its own risk governance structure with specified individuals and committees responsible for aspects of managing risk. Further discussion about the responsibilities of the risk management functions may be found below under Market Risk, Credit Risk, and Operational Risk.

Support and Control Groups. The Company's support and control groups include the Legal Department, the Compliance Department, the Finance Division, the Operations Division, the Technology and Data Division, and the Human Resources Department. The Company's support and control groups coordinate with the business segment control groups to review the risk monitoring and risk management policies and procedures relating to, among other things, controls over financial reporting and disclosure; the business segment's market, credit and operational risk profile; liquidity risks; sales practices; reputational, legal enforceability, compliance and regulatory risk; and operational and technological risks. Participation by the senior officers of the Company and business segment control groups helps ensure that risk policies and procedures, exceptions to risk limits, new products and business ventures, and transactions with risk elements undergo thorough review.

Culture, Values and Conduct of Employees. All of the Company's employees have accountability for risk management. The Company strives to establish a culture of effective risk management through its defined core values, governance framework, management oversight, training and development programs, policies, procedures, and defined roles and responsibilities within the Company. The actions and conduct of each employee are essential to risk management. The Company's Code of Conduct (the Code) has been established to provide a framework and standards for employee conduct that further reinforces the Company's commitment to integrity and high ethical standards. Every new hire and every employee annually must certify to their understanding of and adherence to the Code. The employee annual review process includes evaluation of adherence to the Code. The Global Incentive Compensation Discretion Policy sets forth standards that specifically provide that managers must consider whether the employee effectively managed and supervised the risk control practices of his/her employee reports during the performance year. The Company has several mutually reinforcing processes to identify incidents of employee conduct that may have an impact on the employment status, current year compensation or prior-year compensation. The Company's clawback and cancellation provisions permit recovery of deferred incentive compensation where, for example, an employee's act or omission (including with respect to direct supervisory responsibilities) causes a restatement of the Company's consolidated financial results, constitutes a violation of the Company's global risk management principles, policies and standards, or causes a loss of revenue associated with a position on which the employee was paid and the employee operated outside of internal control policies.

Stress Value-at-Risk.

The Company frequently enhances its market and credit risk management framework to address severe stresses that are observed in global markets during economic downturns. During 2014, the Company expanded and improved its risk measurement processes, including stress tests and scenario analysis, and further refined its market and credit risk limit framework. Stress Value-at-Risk (S-VaR), a proprietary methodology that comprehensively measures the Company's market and credit risks, was further refined and continues to be an important metric used in establishing the Company's risk appetite and its capital allocation framework. S-VaR simulates many stress scenarios based on more than 25 years of historical data and attempts to capture the different liquidities of various types of general and specific risks. Additionally, S-VaR captures event and default risks that are particularly relevant for credit portfolios.

Risk Management Process.

The following is a discussion of the Company's risk management policies and procedures for its principal risks (capital and liquidity risk is discussed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources in Item 7). The discussion focuses on the Company's

Table of Contents

securities activities (primarily its institutional trading activities) and corporate lending and related activities. The Company believes that these activities generate a substantial portion of its principal risks. This discussion and the estimated amounts of the Company's risk exposure generated by the Company's statistical analyses are forward-looking statements. However, the analyses used to assess such risks are not predictions of future events, and actual results may vary significantly from such analyses due to events in the markets in which the Company operates and certain other factors described below.

Market Risk.

Market risk refers to the risk that a change in the level of one or more market prices, rates, indices, implied volatilities (the price volatility of the underlying instrument imputed from option prices), correlations or other market factors, such as market liquidity, will result in losses for a position or portfolio. Generally, the Company incurs market risk as a result of trading, investing and client facilitation activities, principally within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment where the substantial majority of the Company's Value-at-Risk (VaR) for market risk exposures is generated. In addition, the Company incurs trading-related market risk within its Wealth Management business segment. The Company's Investment Management business segment incurs principally Non-trading market risk primarily from capital investments in real estate funds and investments in private equity vehicles.

Sound market risk management is an integral part of the Company's culture. The various business units and trading desks are responsible for ensuring that market risk exposures are well-managed and prudent. The control groups help ensure that these risks are measured and closely monitored and are made transparent to senior management. The Company's Market Risk Department is responsible for ensuring transparency of material market risks, monitoring compliance with established limits and escalating risk concentrations to appropriate senior management. To execute these responsibilities, the Company's Market Risk Department monitors the Company's risk against limits on aggregate risk exposures, performs a variety of risk analyses, routinely reports risk summaries, and maintains the Company's VaR and scenario analysis systems. These limits are designed to control price and market liquidity risk. Market risk is also monitored through various measures: by use of statistics (including VaR, S-VaR and related analytical measures); by measures of position sensitivity; and through routine stress testing, which measures the impact on the value of existing portfolios of specified changes in market factors, and scenario analyses conducted by the Company's Market Risk Department in collaboration with the business units. The material risks identified by these processes are summarized in reports produced by the Company's Market Risk Department that are circulated to and discussed with senior management, the FRC, the BRC and the Board.

The Chief Risk Officer, among other things, monitors market risk through the Company's Market Risk Department, which reports to the Chief Risk Officer and is independent of the business units, and has close interactions with senior management and the risk management control groups in the business units. The Chief Risk Officer is a member of the FRC, chaired by the Chief Executive Officer, which includes the most senior officers of the Company, and regularly reports on market risk matters to this committee, as well as to the BRC and the Board.

Sales and Trading and Related Activities.

Primary Market Risk Exposures and Market Risk Management. During 2014, the Company had exposures to a wide range of interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices and the associated implied volatilities and spreads related to the global markets in which it conducts its trading activities.

The Company is exposed to interest rate and credit spread risk as a result of its market-making activities and other trading in interest rate-sensitive financial instruments (*e.g.*, risk arising from changes in the level or implied volatility of interest rates, the timing of mortgage prepayments, the shape of the yield curve and credit spreads).

Table of Contents

The activities from which those exposures arise and the markets in which the Company is active include, but are not limited to, the following: corporate and government debt across both developed and emerging markets and asset-backed debt (including mortgage-related securities).

The Company is exposed to equity price and implied volatility risk as a result of making markets in equity securities and derivatives and maintaining other positions (including positions in non-public entities). Positions in non-public entities may include, but are not limited to, exposures to private equity, venture capital, private partnerships, real estate funds and other funds. Such positions are less liquid, have longer investment horizons and are more difficult to hedge than listed equities.

The Company is exposed to foreign exchange rate and implied volatility risk as a result of making markets in foreign currencies and foreign currency derivatives, from maintaining foreign exchange positions and from holding non-U.S. dollar-denominated financial instruments.

The Company is exposed to commodity price and implied volatility risk as a result of market-making activities and maintaining commodity positions in physical commodities (such as crude and refined oil products, natural gas, electricity, and precious and base metals) and related derivatives. Commodity exposures are subject to periods of high price volatility as a result of changes in supply and demand. These changes can be caused by weather conditions; physical production, transportation and storage issues; or geopolitical and other events that affect the available supply and level of demand for these commodities.

The Company manages its trading positions by employing a variety of risk mitigation strategies. These strategies include diversification of risk exposures and hedging. Hedging activities consist of the purchase or sale of positions in related securities and financial instruments, including a variety of derivative products (*e.g.*, futures, forwards, swaps and options). Hedging activities may not always provide effective mitigation against trading losses due to differences in the terms, specific characteristics or other basis risks that may exist between the hedge instrument and the risk exposure that is being hedged. The Company manages the market risk associated with its trading activities on a Company-wide basis, on a worldwide trading division level and on an individual product basis. The Company manages and monitors its market risk exposures in such a way as to maintain a portfolio that the Company believes is well-diversified in the aggregate with respect to market risk factors and that reflects the Company's aggregate risk tolerance as established by the Company's senior management.

Aggregate market risk limits have been approved for the Company across all divisions worldwide. Additional market risk limits are assigned to trading desks and, as appropriate, products and regions. Trading division risk managers, desk risk managers, traders and the Company's Market Risk Department monitor market risk measures against limits in accordance with policies set by senior management.

VaR. The Company uses the statistical technique known as VaR as one of the tools used to measure, monitor and review the market risk exposures of its trading portfolios. The Company's Market Risk Department calculates and distributes daily VaR-based risk measures to various levels of management.

VaR Methodology, Assumptions and Limitations. The Company estimates VaR using a model based on volatility-adjusted historical simulation for general market risk factors and Monte Carlo simulation for name-specific risk in corporate shares, bonds, loans and related derivatives. The model constructs a distribution of hypothetical daily changes in the value of trading portfolios based on the following: historical observation of daily changes in key market indices or other market risk factors; and information on the sensitivity of the portfolio values to these market risk factor changes. The Company's VaR model uses four years of historical data with a volatility adjustment to reflect current market conditions. The Company's VaR for risk management purposes (Management VaR) is computed at a 95% level of confidence over a one-day time horizon, which is a useful indicator of possible trading losses resulting from adverse daily market moves. The Company's 95%/one-day VaR corresponds to the unrealized loss in portfolio value that, based on historically observed market risk factor movements, would have been

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

exceeded with a frequency of 5%, or five times in every 100 trading days, if the portfolio were held constant for one day.

Table of Contents

The Company's VaR model generally takes into account linear and non-linear exposures to equity and commodity price risk, interest rate risk, credit spread risk and foreign exchange rates. The model also takes into account linear exposures to implied volatility risks for all asset classes and non-linear exposures to implied volatility risks for equity, commodity and foreign exchange referenced products. The VaR model also captures certain implied correlation risks associated with portfolio credit derivatives as well as certain basis risks (*e.g.*, corporate debt and related credit derivatives).

The Company uses VaR as one of a range of risk management tools. Among their benefits, VaR models permit estimation of a portfolio's aggregate market risk exposure, incorporating a range of varied market risks and portfolio assets. One key element of the VaR model is that it reflects risk reduction due to portfolio diversification or hedging activities. However, VaR has various limitations, which include, but are not limited to: use of historical changes in market risk factors, which may not be accurate predictors of future market conditions and may not fully incorporate the risk of extreme market events that are outsized relative to observed historical market behavior or reflect the historical distribution of results beyond the 95% confidence interval; and reporting of losses in a single day, which does not reflect the risk of positions that cannot be liquidated or hedged in one day. A small proportion of market risk generated by trading positions is not included in VaR. The modeling of the risk characteristics of some positions relies on approximations that, under certain circumstances, could produce significantly different results from those produced using more precise measures. VaR is most appropriate as a risk measure for trading positions in liquid financial markets and will understate the risk associated with severe events, such as periods of extreme illiquidity. The Company is aware of these and other limitations and, therefore, uses VaR as only one component in its risk management oversight process. This process also incorporates stress testing and scenario analyses and extensive risk monitoring, analysis and control at the trading desk, division and Company levels.

The Company's VaR model evolves over time in response to changes in the composition of trading portfolios and to improvements in modeling techniques and systems capabilities. The Company is committed to continuous review and enhancement of VaR methodologies and assumptions in order to capture evolving risks associated with changes in market structure and dynamics. As part of the Company's regular process improvements, additional systematic and name-specific risk factors may be added to improve the VaR model's ability to more accurately estimate risks to specific asset classes or industry sectors.

Since the reported VaR statistics are estimates based on historical data, VaR should not be viewed as predictive of the Company's future revenues or financial performance or of its ability to monitor and manage risk. There can be no assurance that the Company's actual losses on a particular day will not exceed the VaR amounts indicated below or that such losses will not occur more than five times in 100 trading days for a 95%/one-day VaR. VaR does not predict the magnitude of losses which, should they occur, may be significantly greater than the VaR amount.

VaR statistics are not readily comparable across firms because of differences in the firms' portfolios, modeling assumptions and methodologies. These differences can result in materially different VaR estimates across firms for similar portfolios. The impact of such differences varies depending on the factor history assumptions, the frequency with which the factor history is updated and the confidence level. As a result, VaR statistics are more useful when interpreted as indicators of trends in a firm's risk profile rather than as an absolute measure of risk to be compared across firms.

The Company utilizes the same VaR model for risk management purposes as well as for regulatory capital calculations. The Company's VaR model has been approved by the Company's regulators for use in regulatory capital calculations.

The portfolio of positions used for the Company's Management VaR differs from that used for regulatory capital requirements (Regulatory VaR), as Management VaR contains certain positions that are excluded from Regulatory VaR. Examples include counterparty credit valuation adjustments and related hedges, as well as loans

Table of Contents

that are carried at fair value and associated hedges. Additionally, the Company's Management VaR excludes certain risks contained in its Regulatory VaR, such as hedges to counterparty exposures related to the Company's own credit spread.

Table 1 below presents the Management VaR for the Company's Trading portfolio, on a period-end, annual average and annual high and low basis. The Credit Portfolio is disclosed as a separate category from the Primary Risk Categories, and includes counterparty credit valuation adjustments and related hedges, as well as loans that are carried at fair value and associated hedges.

Trading Risks.

The table below presents the Company's 95%/one-day Management VaR:

Market Risk Category	95%/One-Day VaR for 2014				95%/One-Day VaR for 2013			
	Period	Average	High	Low	Period	Average	High	Low
	End			(dollars in millions)	End			
Interest rate and credit spread	\$ 31	\$ 31	\$ 44	\$ 25	\$ 41	\$ 45	\$ 76	\$ 31
Equity price	18	18	26	15	22	19	43	15
Foreign exchange rate	10	11	17	6	15	14	22	7
Commodity price	15	17	24	12	15	21	31	15
Less: Diversification benefit(1)(2)	(30)	(34)	N/A	N/A	(44)	(46)	N/A	N/A
Primary Risk Categories	\$ 44	\$ 43	\$ 53	\$ 34	\$ 49	\$ 53	\$ 78	\$ 42
Credit Portfolio	15	11	15	9	12	14	18	12
Less: Diversification benefit(1)(2)	(14)	(7)	N/A	N/A	(8)	(8)	N/A	N/A
Total Management VaR	\$ 45	\$ 47	\$ 58	\$ 38	\$ 53	\$ 59	\$ 85	\$ 47

N/A Not Applicable

- (1) Diversification benefit equals the difference between the total Management VaR and the sum of the component VaRs. This benefit arises because the simulated one-day losses for each of the components occur on different days; similar diversification benefits also are taken into account within each component.
- (2) The high and low VaR values for the total Management VaR and each of the component VaRs might have occurred on different days during the year, and therefore, the diversification benefit is not an applicable measure.

The Company's average Management VaR for the Primary Risk Categories for 2014 was \$43 million compared with \$53 million for 2013. The decrease was primarily driven by reduced exposure to credit spread and commodity products.

The Company's average Total Management VaR for 2014 was \$47 million compared with \$59 million for 2013. This decrease was driven by the reduced risk in Primary Risk Categories.

Distribution of VaR Statistics and Net Revenues for 2014.

One method of evaluating the reasonableness of the Company's VaR model as a measure of the Company's potential volatility of net revenues is to compare the VaR with actual trading revenues. Assuming no intraday trading, for a 95%/one-day VaR, the expected number of times that trading losses should exceed VaR during the year is 13, and, in general, if trading losses were to exceed VaR more than 21 times in a year, the adequacy of the VaR model would be questioned. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of its VaR model by comparing the potential declines in portfolio values generated by the model with actual trading results for the Company, as well as individual business units. For days where losses exceed the VaR statistic, the Company examines the drivers of trading losses to evaluate the VaR model's accuracy relative to realized trading results.

The distribution of VaR Statistics and Net Revenues is presented in the histograms below for both the Primary Risk Categories and the Total Trading populations.

Table of Contents

Primary Risk Categories.

As shown in Table 1, the Company's average 95%/one-day Primary Risk Categories VaR for 2014 was \$43 million. The histogram below presents the distribution of the Company's daily 95%/one-day Primary Risk Categories VaR for 2014, which was in a range between \$35 million and \$50 million for approximately 94% of the trading days during the year.

Table of Contents

The histogram below shows the distribution for 2014 of daily net trading revenues, including profits and losses from positions included in VaR for the Company's businesses that comprise the Primary Risk Categories. Daily net trading revenues also include intraday trading activities but exclude certain items not captured in the VaR model, such as fees, commissions and net interest income. Daily net trading revenues differ from the definition of revenues required for Regulatory VaR backtesting, which further excludes intraday trading. During 2014, the Company's businesses that comprise the Primary Risk Categories experienced net trading losses on 25 days, of which no day was in excess of the 95%/one-day Primary Risk Categories VaR.

Table of Contents

Total Trading Including the Primary Risk Categories and the Credit Portfolio.

As shown in Table 1, the Company's average 95%/one-day Total Management VaR, which includes the Primary Risk Categories and the Credit Portfolio, for 2014 was \$47 million. The histogram below presents the distribution of the Company's daily 95%/one-day Total Management VaR for 2014, which was in a range between \$40 million and \$55 million for approximately 91% of trading days during the year.

Table of Contents

The histogram below shows the distribution for 2014 of daily net trading revenues, including profits and losses from Primary Risk Categories, Credit Portfolio positions and intraday trading activities, for the Company's Trading businesses. Daily net trading revenues also include intraday trading activities but exclude certain items not captured in the VaR model, such as fees, commissions and net interest income. Daily net trading revenues differ from the definition of revenues required for Regulatory VaR backtesting, which further excludes intraday trading. During 2014, the Company experienced net trading losses on 32 days, of which no day was in excess of the 95%/one-day Total Management VaR.

Non-trading Risks.

The Company believes that sensitivity analysis is an appropriate representation of the Company's non-trading risks. Reflected below is this analysis covering substantially all of the non-trading risk in the Company's portfolio.

Counterparty Exposure Related to the Company's Own Credit Spread.

The credit spread risk relating to the Company's own mark-to-market derivative counterparty exposure is managed separately from VaR. The credit spread risk sensitivity of this exposure corresponds to an increase in value of approximately \$6 million and \$5 million for each 1 basis point widening in the Company's credit spread level for December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Funding Liabilities.

The credit spread risk sensitivity of the Company's mark-to-market funding liabilities corresponded to an increase in value of approximately \$10 million and \$11 million for each 1 basis point widening in the Company's credit spread level for December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Interest Rate Risk Sensitivity on Income from Continuing Operations.

The Company measures the interest rate risk of certain assets and liabilities by calculating the hypothetical sensitivity of net interest income to potential changes in the level of interest rates over the next 12 months. This

Table of Contents

sensitivity analysis includes positions that are mark-to-market, as well as positions that are accounted for on an accrual basis. For interest rate derivatives that are perfect economic hedges to non-mark-to-market assets or liabilities, the disclosed sensitivities include only the impact of the coupon accrual mismatch.

The hypothetical model does not assume any growth, change in business focus, asset pricing philosophy or asset/liability funding mix and does not capture how the Company would respond to significant changes in market conditions. Furthermore, the model does not reflect the Company's expectations regarding the movement of interest rates in the near term nor the actual effect on income from continuing operations before income taxes if such changes were to occur.

Given the current low interest rate environment, the Company uses the following interest rate scenarios to quantify the Company's interest rate risk sensitivity: instantaneous parallel shocks of 100 and 200 basis point increases and a 100 basis point decrease to all points on all yield curves simultaneously.

	+200 Basis Points	+100 Basis Points	-100 Basis Points(1)
	(dollars in millions)		
Impact on the Company's consolidated income from continuing operations before income taxes:			
December 31, 2014	\$ 1,117	\$ 635	N/M
December 31, 2013	1,102	642	N/M

(1) N/M Not Meaningful given the current low interest rate environment.

Due to the non-trading nature of the assets and liabilities in the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks, net interest income sensitivity is computed and analyzed by management for both upward and downward movements in the yield curve. The Company uses the following interest rate scenarios to quantify the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks' interest rate risk sensitivity: instantaneous parallel shocks of 100 and 200 basis point increases and a 100 basis point decrease to all points on all yield curves simultaneously.

	+200 Basis Points	+100 Basis Points	-100 Basis Points
	(dollars in millions)		
Impact on the Company's U.S. Subsidiary Banks' income from continuing operations before income taxes:			
December 31, 2014	\$ 256	\$ 204	\$ (393)
December 31, 2013	503	342	(255)

Investments.

The Company makes investments in both public and private companies. These investments are predominantly equity positions with long investment horizons, the majority of which are for business facilitation purposes. The market risk related to these investments is measured by estimating the potential reduction in net income associated with a 10% decline in investment values.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Investments	10% Sensitivity	
	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
Investments related to Investment Management activities:		
Hedge fund investments	\$ 109	\$ 104
Private equity and infrastructure funds	136	148
Real estate funds	150	158
Other investments:		
Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd.	142	161
Other Company investments	195	198

Table of Contents

Equity Market Sensitivity.

In the Company's Wealth Management and Investment Management business segments, certain fee-based revenue streams are driven by the value of clients' equity holdings. The overall level of revenues for these streams also depends on multiple additional factors that include, but are not limited to, the level and duration of the equity market decline, price volatility, the geographic and industry mix of client assets, the rate and magnitude of client investments and redemptions, and the impact of such market decline and price volatility on client behavior. Therefore, overall revenues do not correlate completely with changes in the equity markets.

Credit Risk.

Credit risk refers to the risk of loss arising when a borrower, counterparty or issuer does not meet its financial obligations to the Company. Credit risk includes the risk that economic, social and political conditions and events in a foreign country will adversely affect an obligor's ability and willingness to fulfill their obligations. The Company primarily incurs credit risk exposure to institutions and individuals mainly through its Institutional Securities and Wealth Management business segments.

The Company may incur credit risk in its Institutional Securities business segment through a variety of activities, including, but not limited to, the following:

entering into swap or other derivative contracts under which counterparties have obligations to make payments to the Company;

extending credit to clients through various lending commitments;

providing short- or long-term funding that is secured by physical or financial collateral whose value may at times be insufficient to fully cover the loan repayment amount;

posting margin and/or collateral to clearinghouses, clearing agencies, exchanges, banks, securities firms and other financial counterparties;

placing funds on deposit at other financial institutions to support the Company's clearing and settlement obligations; and

investing or trading in securities and loan pools, whereby the value of these assets may fluctuate based on realized or expected defaults on the underlying obligations or loans.

The Company incurs credit risk in its Wealth Management business segment, primarily through lending to individuals and entities, including, but not limited to, the following:

margin loans collateralized by securities;

securities-based and other forms of secured loans; and

single-family residential mortgage loans in conforming, non-conforming or home equity lines of credit (HELOC) form, primarily to existing Wealth Management clients.

Monitoring and Control.

In order to help protect the Company from losses, the Company's Credit Risk Management Department establishes company-wide practices to evaluate, monitor and control credit risk exposure at the transaction, obligor and portfolio levels. The Company's Credit Risk Management Department approves extensions of credit, evaluates the creditworthiness of the Company's counterparties and borrowers on a regular basis, and ensures that credit exposure is actively monitored and managed. The evaluation of counterparties and borrowers includes an assessment of the probability that an obligor will default on its financial obligations and any losses that may occur when an obligor defaults. In addition, credit risk exposure is actively managed by credit professionals and committees within the Company's Credit Risk Management Department and through various risk committees,

Table of Contents

whose membership includes individuals from the Company's Credit Risk Management Department. A comprehensive and global Credit Limits Framework is utilized to manage credit risk levels across the Company. The Credit Limits Framework is calibrated within the Company's risk tolerance and includes single-name limits and portfolio concentration limits by country, industry and product type. The Company's Credit Risk Management Department ensures transparency of material credit risks, compliance with established limits and escalation of risk concentrations to appropriate senior management. The Company's Credit Risk Management Department also works closely with the Company's Market Risk Department and applicable business units to monitor risk exposures and to perform stress tests to identify, analyze and control credit risk concentrations arising in the Company's lending and trading activities. The stress tests shock market factors (*e.g.*, interest rates, commodity prices, credit spreads), risk parameters (*e.g.*, default probabilities and loss given default), recovery rates and expected losses in order to assess the impact of stresses on exposures, profit and loss, and the Company's capital position. Stress and scenario tests are conducted in accordance with established Company policies and procedures.

Credit Evaluation. The evaluation of corporate and institutional counterparties and certain high net worth borrowers includes assigning obligor credit ratings, which reflect an assessment of an obligor's probability of default and loss given default. Credit evaluations typically involve the assessment of financial statements; leverage; liquidity; capital strength; asset composition and quality; market capitalization; access to capital markets; adequacy of collateral, if applicable; and in the case of certain loans, cash flow projections and debt service requirements. The Company's Credit Risk Management Department also evaluates strategy, market position, industry dynamics, management and other factors that could affect the obligor's risk profile. Additionally, the Company's Credit Risk Management Department evaluates the relative position of the Company's exposure in the borrower's capital structure and relative recovery prospects, as well as adequacy of collateral (if applicable) and other structural elements of the particular transaction.

The evaluation of consumer borrowers is tailored to the specific type of lending. Margin and securities-based loans are evaluated based on factors that include, but are not limited to, the amount of the loan, the degree of leverage and the quality, diversification, price volatility and liquidity of the collateral. The underwriting of residential real estate loans includes, but is not limited to, review of the obligor's income, net worth, liquidity, collateral, loan-to-value ratio and credit bureau information. Subsequent credit monitoring for residential real estate loans is performed at the portfolio level, and for consumer loans, collateral values are monitored on an ongoing basis.

Credit risk metrics assigned to the Company's borrowers during the evaluation process are incorporated into the Company's Credit Risk Management Department's maintenance of the allowance for loan losses for the loans held for investment portfolio. Such allowance serves as a reserve for probable inherent losses as well as probable losses related to loans identified for impairment. For more information on the Company's allowance for loan losses, see Notes 2 and 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Risk Mitigation. The Company may seek to mitigate credit risk from its lending and trading activities in multiple ways, including collateral provisions, guarantees and hedges. At the transaction level, the Company seeks to mitigate risk through management of key risk elements such as size, tenor, financial covenants, seniority and collateral. The Company actively hedges its lending and derivatives exposure through various financial instruments that may include single-name, portfolio and structured credit derivatives. Additionally, the Company may sell, assign or syndicate funded loans and lending commitments to other financial institutions in the primary and secondary loan market. In connection with its derivatives trading activities, the Company generally enters into master netting agreements and collateral arrangements with counterparties. These agreements provide the Company with the ability to demand collateral, as well as to liquidate collateral and offset receivables and payables covered under the same master agreement in the event of a counterparty default.

Table of Contents

Lending Activities.

The Company provides loans to a variety of customers, from large corporate and institutional clients to high net worth individuals. In addition, the Company purchases loans in the secondary market. Loans held for investment and loans held for sale are classified in Loans, and loans held at fair value are classified in Trading assets in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition. See Notes 4 and 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information.

The following tables present the Company's loan portfolio by loan type within its Institutional Securities and Wealth Management business segments at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

	At December 31, 2014			Total(4)
	Institutional Securities Corporate Lending(1)	Institutional Securities Other Lending(2)	Wealth Management Lending(3)	
	(dollars in millions)			
Corporate loans	\$ 7,957	\$ 6,161	\$ 5,423	\$ 19,541
Consumer loans			16,574	16,574
Residential real estate loans			15,727	15,727
Wholesale real estate loans		5,277		5,277
Loans held for investment, net of allowance	7,957	11,438	37,724	57,119
Corporate loans	7,801	399		8,200
Consumer loans				
Residential real estate loans		16	98	114
Wholesale real estate loans		1,144		1,144
Loans held for sale	7,801	1,559	98	9,458
Corporate loans	483	6,610		7,093
Consumer loans				
Residential real estate loans		1,682		1,682
Wholesale real estate loans		3,187		3,187
Loans held at fair value	483	11,479		11,962
Total loans	\$ 16,241	\$ 24,476	\$ 37,822	\$ 78,539

- (1) In addition to loans, at December 31, 2014, \$62.9 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for investment, \$15.8 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for sale and \$3.3 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for at fair value.
- (2) In addition to loans, at December 31, 2014, \$2.3 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for investment, \$0.8 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for sale and \$2.1 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for at fair value.
- (3) In addition to loans, at December 31, 2014, \$5.0 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for investment.
- (4) Amounts exclude customer margin loans outstanding of \$29.0 billion and employee loans outstanding of \$5.1 billion at December 31, 2014. See Notes 6 and 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information.

Table of Contents

	At December 31, 2013			Total(4)
	Institutional Securities Corporate Lending(1)	Institutional Securities Other Lending(2)	Wealth Management Lending(3)	
	(dollars in millions)			
Corporate loans	\$ 7,837	\$ 1,988	\$ 3,301	\$ 13,126
Consumer loans			11,576	11,576
Residential real estate loans		1	10,001	10,002
Wholesale real estate loans		1,835	6	1,841
Loans held for investment, net of allowance	7,837	3,824	24,884	36,545
Corporate loans	6,168			6,168
Consumer loans				
Residential real estate loans		12	100	112
Wholesale real estate loans		49		49
Loans held for sale	6,168	61	100	6,329
Corporate loans	2,892	6,882		9,774
Consumer loans				
Residential real estate loans		1,434		1,434
Wholesale real estate loans		1,404		1,404
Loans held at fair value	2,892	9,720		12,612
Total loans	\$ 16,897	\$ 13,605	\$ 24,984	\$ 55,486

- (1) In addition to loans, at December 31, 2013, \$61.4 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for investment, \$8.1 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for sale and \$9.1 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for at fair value.
- (2) In addition to loans, at December 31, 2013, \$1.3 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for investment and \$0.8 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for at fair value.
- (3) In addition to loans, at December 31, 2013, \$4.5 billion of unfunded lending commitments were accounted for as held for investment.
- (4) Amounts exclude customer margin loans outstanding of \$29.2 billion and employee loans outstanding of \$5.5 billion at December 31, 2013. See Notes 6 and 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information.

At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the allowance for loan losses related to funded loans that were accounted for as held for investment, was \$149 million and \$156 million, respectively, and the allowance for loan losses related to unfunded lending commitments that were accounted for as held for investment, was \$149 million and \$127 million, respectively. The aggregate allowance for loan losses for funded and unfunded loans increased slightly over the year due to the growth of the portfolio and reflected the high quality of the Company's lending portfolios resulting from strong credit risk management. See Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information.

Institutional Securities Corporate Lending Activities. In connection with certain of its Institutional Securities business segment activities, the Company provides loans or lending commitments to select corporate clients. These loans and lending commitments may have varying terms; may be senior or subordinated; may be secured or unsecured; are generally contingent upon representations, warranties and contractual conditions applicable to the borrower; and may be syndicated, traded or hedged by the Company.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

The Company's corporate lending credit exposure is primarily from loans and lending commitments used for general corporate purposes, working capital and liquidity purposes and typically consists of revolving lines of credit, letter of credit facilities and term loans. In addition, the Company provides event-driven loans and lending commitments associated with a particular event or transaction, such as to support client merger, acquisition or recapitalization activities. The Company's event-driven loans and lending commitments typically consist of revolving lines of credit, term loans and bridge loans.

Table of Contents

Corporate lending commitments may not be indicative of the Company's actual funding requirements, as the commitment may expire unused or the borrower may not fully utilize the commitment or the Company's portion of the commitment may be reduced through the syndication or sales process. Such syndications or sales may involve third-party institutional investors where the Company may have a custodial relationship, such as prime brokerage clients.

The Company may hedge and/or sell its exposures in connection with loans and lending commitments. Additionally, the Company may mitigate credit risk by requiring borrowers to pledge collateral and include financial covenants in lending commitments to such borrowers. In the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition these loans are carried at either fair value with changes in fair value recorded in earnings; held for investment, which are recorded at amortized cost; or held for sale, which are recorded at lower of cost or fair value.

The Company's credit exposure from its corporate lending positions and lending commitments is measured in accordance with the Company's internal risk management standards. Lending commitments represent legally binding obligations to provide funding to clients for all lending transactions. Since commitments associated with these business activities may expire unused or may not be utilized to full capacity, they do not necessarily reflect the actual future cash funding requirements.

The following tables present the Company's Institutional Securities Corporate Lending Commitments and Funded Loans at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Credit Rating(1)	At December 31, 2014				
	Years to Maturity				
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5	Over 5	Total(2)(3)
	(dollars in millions)				
AAA	\$ 275	\$ 74	\$ 37	\$	\$ 386
AA	3,760	2,764	4,580		11,104
A	2,135	4,534	12,029	173	18,871
BBB	3,350	9,303	22,424	1,503	36,580
Investment grade	9,520	16,675	39,070	1,676	66,941
Non-investment grade	2,034	7,222	17,755	4,050	31,061
Total	\$ 11,554	\$ 23,897	\$ 56,825	\$ 5,726	\$ 98,002

- (1) Obligor credit ratings are determined by the Company's Credit Risk Management Department.
- (2) For syndications led by the Company, lending commitments accepted by the borrower but not yet closed are net of the amounts agreed to by counterparties that will participate in the syndication. For syndications that the Company participates in and does not lead, lending commitments accepted by the borrower but not yet closed include only the amount that the Company expects it will be allocated from the lead syndicate bank.
- (3) Amounts include the fair value adjustment of (\$0.3) billion related to the Company's unfunded lending commitments.

Credit Rating(2)	At December 31, 2013(1)				
	Years to Maturity				
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5	Over 5	Total(3)(4)
	(dollars in millions)				
AAA	\$ 859	\$ 114	\$ 121	\$	\$ 1,094
AA	2,718	1,870	5,556		10,144
A	3,159	4,230	11,417	598	19,404
BBB	2,486	10,551	21,530	752	35,319

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Investment grade	9,222	16,765	38,624	1,350	65,961
Non-investment grade	2,757	8,069	13,028	5,572	29,426
Total	\$ 11,979	\$ 24,834	\$ 51,652	\$ 6,922	\$ 95,387

- (1) All prior-year amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.
- (2) Obligor credit ratings are determined by the Company's Credit Risk Management Department.
- (3) For syndications led by the Company, lending commitments accepted by the borrower but not yet closed are net of the amounts agreed to by counterparties that will participate in the syndication. For syndications that the Company participates in and does not lead, lending

Table of Contents

commitments accepted by the borrower but not yet closed include only the amount that the Company expects it will be allocated from the lead syndicate bank.

(4) Amounts include the fair value adjustment of (\$0.1) billion related to the Company's unfunded lending commitments.

At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate amount of investment grade funded loans was \$6.3 billion and \$6.7 billion, respectively, and the aggregate amount of non-investment grade funded loans was \$9.9 billion and \$10.2 billion, respectively. In connection with these corporate lending activities (which include both corporate funded and unfunded lending commitments), the Company had hedges (which included single name, sector and index hedges) with a notional amount of \$12.9 billion related to the total corporate lending exposure of \$98.0 billion at December 31, 2014 and with a notional amount of \$9.0 billion related to the total corporate lending exposure of \$95.4 billion at December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, all Corporate lending activities held for investment were current.

Event-Driven Loans and Lending Commitments at December 31, 2014.

Included in the total corporate lending exposure amounts in the table above at December 31, 2014 were event-driven exposures of \$15.2 billion composed of funded loans of \$5.7 billion and lending commitments of \$9.5 billion. Included in the event-driven exposure at December 31, 2014 were \$11.6 billion of loans and lending commitments to non-investment grade borrowers. The maturity profile of these event-driven loans and lending commitments at December 31, 2014 were as follows: 18% will mature in less than 1 year, 14% will mature within 1 to 3 years, 37% will mature within 3 to 5 years and 31% will mature in over 5 years.

Industry Exposure Corporate Lending. The Company also monitors its credit exposure to individual industries for credit exposure arising from corporate loans and lending commitments as discussed below.

The following table presents the Company's Institutional Securities credit exposure from its primary Corporate Lending Commitments and Funded Loans by industry:

Industry	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013(1)
	(dollars in millions)	
Energy	\$ 14,056	\$ 12,240
Utilities	11,717	10,404
Consumer discretionary	10,214	10,332
Healthcare	9,707	10,096
Funds, exchanges and other financial services(2)	9,277	9,297
Industrials	9,134	10,976
Information technology	7,572	6,882
Consumer staples	7,320	6,964
Materials	5,259	4,895
Real Estate	4,616	4,161
Telecommunications services	4,335	5,684
Other	4,795	3,456
Total	\$ 98,002	\$ 95,387

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

- (1) All prior-year amounts have been recast to conform to the current year's presentation.
- (2) Includes mutual funds, pension funds, private equity and real estate funds, exchanges and clearinghouses and diversified financial services.

Institutional Securities Other Lending Activities. In addition to the primary corporate lending activities described above, the Company's Institutional Securities business segment engages in other lending activities. These activities include commercial and residential mortgage lending, asset-backed lending, corporate loans purchased in the secondary market, financing extended to institutional equities clients and loans to municipalities. In 2014, loans and lending commitments associated with these activities increased by approximately 89%, mainly due to growth in corporate and wholesale real estate loans. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, approximately 99.9% and 99.6%, respectively, of Institutional Securities other lending activities held for investment were current and

Table of Contents

approximately 0.1% and 0.4%, respectively, were on non-accrual status because the loans were past due for a period of 90 days or more or payment of principal or interest was in doubt.

The following tables present the Company's Institutional Securities business segment's other lending activities by remaining contract maturity:

	At December 31, 2014				Total
	Years to Maturity				
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5	Over 5	
	(dollars in millions)				
Corporate loans	\$ 4,231	\$ 4,826	\$ 1,884	\$ 2,229	\$ 13,170
Residential real estate loans		43		1,655	1,698
Wholesale real estate loans	100	5,060	2,112	2,336	9,608
Total	\$ 4,331	\$ 9,929	\$ 3,996	\$ 6,220	\$ 24,476

	At December 31, 2013				Total
	Years to Maturity				
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5	Over 5	
	(dollars in millions)				
Corporate loans	\$ 3,957	\$ 1,236	\$ 2,455	\$ 1,222	\$ 8,870
Residential real estate loans	8	16	91	1,332	1,447
Wholesale real estate loans	174	909	885	1,320	3,288
Total	\$ 4,139	\$ 2,161	\$ 3,431	\$ 3,874	\$ 13,605

In addition, Institutional Securities other lending activities include margin lending, which allows the client to borrow against the value of qualifying securities. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Institutional Securities margin lending of \$15.3 billion and \$15.2 billion, respectively, were classified within Customer and other receivables in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

Wealth Management Lending Activities. The principal Wealth Management lending activities include securities-based lending and residential real estate loans. The following tables present the Company's Wealth Management business segment lending activities by remaining contract maturity:

	At December 31, 2014				Total
	Years to Maturity				
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5	Over 5	
	(dollars in millions)				
Securities-based lending and other loans	\$ 19,408	\$ 1,071	\$ 750	\$ 768	\$ 21,997
Residential real estate loans				15,825	15,825
Total	\$ 19,408	\$ 1,071	\$ 750	\$ 16,593	\$ 37,822

At December 31, 2013

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

	Years to Maturity				Total
	Less than 1	1-3	3-5	Over 5	
Securities-based lending and other loans	\$ 13,241	\$ 509	\$ 539	\$ 594	\$ 14,883
Residential real estate loans				10,101	10,101
Total	\$ 13,241	\$ 509	\$ 539	\$ 10,695	\$ 24,984

Securities-based lending provided to the Company's retail clients is primarily conducted through the Company's PLA platform which had an outstanding funded loan balance of \$19.1 billion within the \$22.0 billion at December 31, 2014 and \$13.2 billion within the \$14.9 billion at December 31, 2013. These loans allow the client

Table of Contents

to borrow money against the value of qualifying securities for any purpose other than purchasing securities. The Company establishes approved credit lines against qualifying securities and monitors limits daily and, pursuant to such guidelines, requires customers to deposit additional collateral, or reduce debt positions, when necessary. These credit lines are primarily uncommitted loan facilities, as the Company reserves the right to not make any advances, or may terminate these credit lines at any time. Factors considered in the review of these loans include but are not limited to the loan amount, the degree of leverage and the quality of diversification, price volatility and liquidity of the collateral.

Residential real estate loans consist of first and second lien mortgages, including HELOC loans. For these loans, a loan evaluation process is adopted within a framework of credit underwriting policies and collateral valuation. The Company's underwriting policy is designed to ensure that all borrowers pass an assessment of capacity and willingness to pay, which includes an analysis of applicable industry standard credit scoring models (e.g., Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) scores), debt ratios and assets of the borrower. Loan-to-value ratios are determined based on independent third-party property appraisal/valuations, and security lien position is established through title/ownership reports. The vast majority of mortgage and HELOC loans are held for investment in the Company's Wealth Management business segment's loan portfolio.

In 2014, loans and lending commitments associated with the Company's Wealth Management business segment lending activities increased by approximately 45%, mainly due to growth in PLA and residential real estate loans. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, approximately 99.9% of the Company's Wealth Management business segment lending activities held for investment were current; while approximately 0.1% were on non-accrual status because the loans were past due for a period of 90 days or more or payment of principal or interest was in doubt.

The Company's Wealth Management business segment also provides margin lending to retail clients and had an outstanding balance of \$13.7 billion and \$14.0 billion at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which were classified within Customer and other receivables in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

In addition, the Company's Wealth Management business segment has employee loans that are granted primarily in conjunction with a program established by the Company to recruit and retain certain employees. These loans, recorded in Customer and other receivables in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition, are full recourse, require periodic payments and have repayment terms ranging from two to 12 years. The Company establishes an allowance for loan amounts it does not consider recoverable from terminated employees, which is recorded in Compensation and benefits expense.

Credit Exposure Derivatives.

The Company incurs credit risk as a dealer in over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives. Credit risk with respect to derivative instruments arises from the failure of a counterparty to perform according to the terms of the contract. In connection with its OTC derivative activities, the Company generally enters into master netting agreements and collateral arrangements with counterparties. These agreements provide the Company with the ability to demand collateral as well as to liquidate collateral and offset receivables and payables covered under the same master netting agreement in the event of counterparty default. The Company manages its trading positions by employing a variety of risk mitigation strategies. These strategies include diversification of risk exposures and hedging. Hedging activities consist of the purchase or sale of positions in related securities and financial instruments, including a variety of derivative products (e.g., futures, forwards, swaps and options). For credit exposure information on the Company's OTC derivative products, see Note 12 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Credit Derivatives. A credit derivative is a contract between a seller (guarantor) and buyer (beneficiary) of protection against the risk of a credit event occurring on one or more debt obligations issued by a specified

Table of Contents

reference entity. The beneficiary typically pays a periodic premium over the life of the contract and is protected for the period. If a credit event occurs, the guarantor is required to make payment to the beneficiary based on the terms of the credit derivative contract. Credit events, as defined in the contract, may be one or more of the following defined events: bankruptcy, dissolution or insolvency of the referenced entity, failure to pay, obligation acceleration, repudiation, payment moratorium and restructurings.

The Company trades in a variety of credit derivatives and may either purchase or write protection on a single name or portfolio of referenced entities. In transactions referencing a portfolio of entities or securities, protection may be limited to a tranche of exposure or a single name within the portfolio. The Company is an active market maker in the credit derivatives markets. As a market maker, the Company works to earn a bid-offer spread on client flow business and manages any residual credit or correlation risk on a portfolio basis. Further, the Company uses credit derivatives to manage its exposure to residential and commercial mortgage loans and corporate lending exposures during the periods presented. The effectiveness of the Company's credit default swap (CDS) protection as a hedge of the Company's exposures may vary depending upon a number of factors, including the contractual terms of the CDS.

The Company actively monitors its counterparty credit risk related to credit derivatives. A majority of the Company's counterparties are composed of banks, broker-dealers, insurance and other financial institutions. Contracts with these counterparties may include provisions related to counterparty rating downgrades, which may result in additional collateral being required by the Company. As with all derivative contracts, the Company considers counterparty credit risk in the valuation of its positions and recognizes credit valuation adjustments as appropriate within Trading revenues in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The following tables summarize the key characteristics of the Company's credit derivative portfolio by counterparty type at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The fair values shown are before the application of contractual netting or collateral. For additional credit exposure information on the Company's credit derivative portfolio, see Note 12 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

	At December 31, 2014				
	Fair Values(1)			Notionals	
	Receivable	Payable	Net	Beneficiary	Guarantor
	(dollars in millions)				
Banks and securities firms	\$ 25,452	\$ 25,323	\$ 129	\$ 712,466	\$ 687,155
Insurance and other financial institutions	6,639	6,697	(58)	216,489	217,201
Non-financial entities	91	89	2	5,049	3,706
Total	\$ 32,182	\$ 32,109	\$ 73	\$ 934,004	\$ 908,062

(1) The Company's CDS are classified in either Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Approximately 4% of receivable fair values and 7% of payable fair values represent Level 3 amounts (see Note 4 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

	At December 31, 2013				
	Fair Values(1)			Notionals	
	Receivable	Payable	Net	Beneficiary	Guarantor
	(dollars in millions)				
Banks and securities firms	\$ 60,728	\$ 57,399	\$ 3,329	\$ 1,620,774	\$ 1,573,217
Insurance and other financial institutions	7,313	6,908	405	278,705	313,897
Non-financial entities	226	187	39	7,922	6,078
Total	\$ 68,267	\$ 64,494	\$ 3,773	\$ 1,907,401	\$ 1,893,192

- (1) The Company's CDS are classified in either Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Approximately 7% of receivable fair values and 5% of payable fair values represent Level 3 amounts (see Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

Table of Contents

Industry Exposure OTC Derivative Products. The Company also monitors its credit exposure to individual industries for current exposure arising from the Company's OTC derivative contracts.

The following table shows the Company's OTC derivative products at fair value by industry:

Industry	At December 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
	(dollars in millions)	
Utilities	\$ 3,797	\$ 3,142
Banks and securities firms	3,297	2,358
Funds, exchanges and other financial services(1)	2,321	2,433
Industrials	2,278	914
Regional governments	1,603	1,597
Healthcare	1,365	1,089
Special purpose vehicles	1,089	1,908
Not-for-profit organizations	905	672
Sovereign governments	889	816
Real Estate	761	503
Consumer staples	650	487
Other	3,272	1,695
Total(2)	\$ 22,227	\$ 17,614

(1) Amounts include mutual funds, pension funds, private equity and real estate funds, exchanges and clearinghouses and diversified financial services.

(2) For further information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, see Note 12 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Other.

In addition to the activities noted above, there are other credit risks managed by the Company's Credit Risk Management Department and various business areas within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment. The Company participates in securitization activities whereby it extends short-term or long-term funding to clients through loans and lending commitments that are secured by the assets of the borrower and generally provide for over-collateralization, including commercial real estate loans, loans secured by loan pools, commercial company loans, and secured lines of revolving credit. Credit risk with respect to these loans and lending commitments arises from the failure of a borrower to perform according to the terms of the loan agreement or a decline in the underlying collateral value. See Note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for information about the Company's securitization activities. In addition, a collateral management group monitors collateral levels against requirements and oversees the administration of the collateral function. See Note 6 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about the Company's collateralized transactions.

Country Risk Exposure.

Country risk exposure is the risk that uncertainties arising from the economic, social, security and political conditions within a foreign country (any country other than the U.S.) will adversely affect the ability of the sovereign government and/or obligors within the country to honor their obligations to the Company. Country risk exposure is measured in accordance with the Company's internal risk management standards and

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

includes obligations from sovereign governments, corporations, clearinghouses and financial institutions. The Company actively manages country risk exposure through a comprehensive risk management framework that combines credit and market fundamentals and allows the Company to effectively identify, monitor and limit country risk. Country risk exposure before and after hedges is monitored and managed.

Table of Contents

The Company's obligor credit evaluation process may also identify indirect exposures whereby an obligor has vulnerability or exposure to another country or jurisdiction. Examples of indirect exposures include mutual funds that invest in a single country, offshore companies whose assets reside in another country to that of the offshore jurisdiction and finance company subsidiaries of corporations. Indirect exposures identified through the credit evaluation process may result in a reclassification of country risk.

The Company conducts periodic stress testing that seeks to measure the impact on the Company's credit and market exposures of shocks stemming from negative economic or political scenarios. When deemed appropriate by the Company's risk managers, the stress test scenarios include possible contagion effects. Second order risks such as the impact for core European banks of their peripheral exposures may also be considered. This analysis, and results of the stress tests, may result in the amendment of limits or exposure mitigation.

In addition to the Company's country risk exposure, the Company discloses its cross-border risk exposure in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Financial Data Supplement (Unaudited) in Item 8. It is based on the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's regulatory guidelines for reporting cross-border information and represents the amounts that the Company may not be able to obtain from a foreign country due to country-specific events, including unfavorable economic and political conditions, economic and social instability, and changes in government policies.

There can be substantial differences between the Company's country risk exposure and cross-border risk exposure. For instance, unlike the cross-border risk exposure, the Company's country risk exposure includes the effect of certain risk mitigants. In addition, the basis for determining the domicile of the country risk exposure is different from the basis for determining the cross-border risk exposure. Cross-border risk exposure is reported based on the country of jurisdiction for the obligor or guarantor. Besides country of jurisdiction, the Company considers factors such as physical location of operations or assets, location and source of cash flows/revenues and location of collateral (if applicable) in order to determine the basis for country risk exposure. Furthermore, cross-border risk exposure incorporates CDS only where protection is purchased while country risk exposure incorporates CDS where protection is both purchased and sold.

The Company's sovereign exposures consist of financial instruments entered into with sovereign and local governments. Its non-sovereign exposures consist of exposures to primarily corporations and financial institutions. The following table shows the Company's ten largest non-U.S. country risk net exposures at December 31, 2014. Index credit derivatives are included in the Company's country risk exposure tables. Each reference entity within an index is allocated to that reference entity's country of risk. Index exposures are allocated to the underlying reference entities in proportion to the notional weighting of each reference entity in the index, adjusted for any fair value receivable/payable for that reference entity. Where credit risk crosses multiple jurisdictions, for example, a CDS purchased from an issuer in a specific country that references bonds issued by an entity in a different country, the fair value of the CDS is reflected in the Net Counterparty Exposure

Table of Contents

column based on the country of the CDS issuer. Further, the notional amount of the CDS adjusted for the fair value of the receivable/payable is reflected in the Net Inventory column based on the country of the underlying reference entity.

Country	Net		Funded Lending	Unfunded Commitments	Exposure Before Hedges	Hedges(4)	Net Exposure(5)	Increase/ (Decrease) in Net Exposure from December 31, 2013
	Net Inventory(1)	Counterparty Exposure(2)(3)						
United Kingdom:								
Sovereigns	\$ (547)	\$ 110	\$	\$	\$ (437)	\$ (36)	\$ (473)	\$ (805)
Non-sovereigns	1,977	13,005	1,703	6,888	23,573	(2,106)	21,467	3,816
Subtotal	\$ 1,430	\$ 13,115	\$ 1,703	\$ 6,888	\$ 23,136	\$ (2,142)	\$ 20,994	\$ 3,011
Germany:								
Sovereigns	\$ 1,488	\$ 286	\$	\$	\$ 1,774	\$ (1,765)	\$ 9	\$ 1,365
Non-sovereigns	682	2,655	533	3,786	7,656	(1,813)	5,843	(932)
Subtotal	\$ 2,170	\$ 2,941	\$ 533	\$ 3,786	\$ 9,430	\$ (3,578)	\$ 5,852	\$ 433
Brazil:								
Sovereigns	\$ 3,222	\$	\$	\$	\$ 3,222	\$	\$ 3,222	\$ (238)
Non-sovereigns	10	219	949	150	1,328	(684)	644	(552)
Subtotal	\$ 3,232	\$ 219	\$ 949	\$ 150	\$ 4,550	\$ (684)	\$ 3,866	\$ (790)
France:								
Sovereigns	\$ (1,293)	\$	\$	\$	\$ (1,293)	\$	\$ (1,293)	\$ (591)
Non-sovereigns	482	2,221	195	2,890	5,788	(707)	5,081	395
Subtotal	\$ (811)	\$ 2,221	\$ 195	\$ 2,890	\$ 4,495	\$ (707)	\$ 3,788	\$ (196)
China:								
Sovereigns	\$ 484	\$ 130	\$	\$	\$ 614	\$	\$ 614	\$ 147
Non-sovereigns	1,657	364	548	396	2,965	(44)	2,921	989
Subtotal	\$ 2,141	\$ 494	\$ 548	\$ 396	\$ 3,579	\$ (44)	\$ 3,535	\$ 1,136
Singapore:								
Sovereigns	\$ 2,394	\$ 207	\$	\$	\$ 2,601	\$	\$ 2,601	\$ 244
Non-sovereigns	172	513	59	122	866		866	(33)
Subtotal	\$ 2,566	\$ 720	\$ 59	\$ 122	\$ 3,467	\$	\$ 3,467	\$ 211
Canada:								
Sovereigns	\$ (169)	\$ 78	\$	\$	\$ (91)	\$	\$ (91)	\$ (1,102)
Non-sovereigns	(230)	1,837	188	1,354	3,149	(86)	3,063	(288)
Subtotal	\$ (399)	\$ 1,915	\$ 188	\$ 1,354	\$ 3,058	\$ (86)	\$ 2,972	\$ (1,390)
Australia:								
Sovereigns	\$ (25)	\$ 14	\$	\$	\$ (11)	\$	\$ (11)	\$ 44
Non-sovereigns	892	799	320	1,139	3,150	(392)	2,758	65

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Subtotal	\$	867	\$	813	\$	320	\$	1,139	\$	3,139	\$	(392)	\$	2,747	\$	109
Italy:																
Sovereigns	\$	1,119	\$	12	\$		\$	1,131	\$	(101)	\$	1,030	\$	281		
Non-sovereigns		613		519				683		1,815		(153)		1,662		168
Subtotal	\$	1,732	\$	531	\$		\$	683	\$	2,946	\$	(254)	\$	2,692	\$	449
Netherlands:																
Sovereigns	\$	(180)	\$	2	\$		\$	(178)	\$	(23)	\$	(201)	\$	67		
Non-sovereigns		477		859		114		1,299		2,749		(307)		2,442		(452)
Subtotal	\$	297	\$	861	\$	114	\$	1,299	\$	2,571	\$	(330)	\$	2,241	\$	(385)

Table of Contents

- (1) Net inventory represents exposure to both long and short single-name and index positions (*i.e.*, bonds and equities at fair value and CDS based on notional amount assuming zero recovery adjusted for any fair value receivable or payable). As a market maker, the Company transacts in these CDS positions to facilitate client trading. At December 31, 2014, gross purchased protection, gross written protection and net exposures related to single-name and index credit derivatives for those countries were \$(261.7) billion, \$259.6 billion and \$(0.2) billion, respectively. For a further description of the triggers for purchased credit protection and whether those triggers may limit the effectiveness of the Company's hedges, see [Credit Exposure Derivatives](#) herein.
- (2) Net counterparty exposure (*i.e.*, repurchase transactions, securities lending and OTC derivatives) takes into consideration legally enforceable master netting agreements and collateral.
- (3) At December 31, 2014, the benefit of collateral received against counterparty credit exposure was \$11.9 billion in the U.K., with 98% of collateral consisting of cash, U.S. and U.K. government obligations, and \$14.1 billion in Germany with 98% of collateral consisting of cash and government obligations of Germany, France, Belgium and Netherlands. The benefit of collateral received against counterparty credit exposure in the other countries totaled approximately \$15.4 billion, with collateral primarily consisting of cash, U.S. and Japanese government obligations. These amounts do not include collateral received on secured financing transactions.
- (4) Amounts represent CDS hedges (purchased and sold) on net counterparty exposure and funded lending executed by trading desks responsible for hedging counterparty and lending credit risk exposures for the Company. Based on the CDS notional amount assuming zero recovery adjusted for any fair value receivable or payable.
- (5) In addition, at December 31, 2014, the Company had exposure to these countries for overnight deposits with banks of approximately \$5.1 billion.

Operational Risk.

Operational risk refers to the risk of loss, or of damage to the Company's reputation, resulting from inadequate or failed processes, people, and systems or from external events (*e.g.*, fraud, theft, legal and compliance risks or damage to physical assets). Operational risk includes legal and compliance risk. Operational risk relates to the following risk event categories as defined by Basel II: internal fraud; external fraud, employment practices and workplace safety; clients, products and business practices; business disruption and system failure; damage to physical assets; and execution, delivery and process management. The Company may incur operational risk across the full scope of its business activities, including revenue-generating activities (*e.g.*, sales and trading) and support and control groups (*e.g.*, information technology and trade processing). Legal and compliance risk is included in the scope of operational risk and is discussed below under [Legal and Compliance Risk](#).

The Company has established an operational risk framework to identify, measure, monitor and control risk across the Company. Effective operational risk management is essential to reducing the impact of operational risk incidents and mitigating legal and reputational risks. The framework is continually evolving to account for changes in the Company and respond to the changing regulatory and business environment. The Company has implemented operational risk data and assessment systems to monitor and analyze internal and external operational risk events, business environment and internal control factors and to perform scenario analysis. The collected data elements are incorporated in the operational risk capital model. The model encompasses both quantitative and qualitative elements. Internal loss data and scenario analysis results are direct inputs to the capital model, while external operational incidents, business environment internal control factors and metrics are evaluated as part of the scenario analysis process.

Primary responsibility for the management of operational risk is with the Company's business segments, the control groups and the business managers therein. The business managers maintain processes and controls designed to identify, assess, manage, mitigate and report operational risk. Each of the Company's business segments has a designated operational risk coordinator. The operational risk coordinator regularly reviews operational risk issues and reports to the Company's senior management within each business. Each control group also has a designated operational risk coordinator and a forum for discussing operational risk matters with the Company's senior management. Oversight of operational risk is provided by the Company's Operational Risk Oversight Committee, regional risk committees and senior management. In the event of a merger; joint venture; divestiture; reorganization; or creation of a new legal entity, a new product or a business activity, operational risks are considered, and any necessary changes in processes or controls are implemented.

The Company's Operational Risk Department is independent of the Company's divisions and reports to the Company's Chief Risk Officer. The Company's Operational Risk Department provides oversight of operational

Table of Contents

risk management and independently assesses, measures and monitors operational risk. The Company's Operational Risk Department works with the divisions and control groups to help ensure a transparent, consistent and comprehensive framework for managing operational risk within each area and across the Company. The Company's Operational Risk Department scope includes the information and technology risk oversight program (e.g., cybersecurity) and supplier management (vendor risk oversight and assessment) program. Furthermore, the Company's Operational Risk Department supports the collection and reporting of operational risk incidents and the execution of operational risk assessments; provides the infrastructure needed for risk measurement and risk management; and ensures ongoing validation and verification of the Company's advanced measurement approach for operational risk capital.

Business Continuity Management is responsible for identifying key risks and threats to the Company's resiliency and planning to ensure that a recovery strategy and required resources are in place for the resumption of critical business functions following a disaster or other business interruption. Disaster recovery plans are in place for critical facilities and resources on a company-wide basis, and redundancies are built into the systems as deemed appropriate. The key components of the Company's disaster recovery plans include: crisis management; business recovery plans; applications/data recovery; work area recovery; and other elements addressing management, analysis, training and testing.

The Company maintains an information security program that coordinates the management of information security risks and satisfies regulatory requirements. Information security policies are designed to protect the Company's information assets against unauthorized disclosure, modification or misuse. These policies cover a broad range of areas, including: application entitlements, data protection, incident response, Internet and electronic communications, remote access and portable devices. The Company has also established policies, procedures and technologies to protect its computers and other assets from unauthorized access.

In connection with its ongoing operations, the Company utilizes the services of external vendors, which it anticipates will continue and may increase in the future. These services include, for example, outsourced processing and support functions and consulting and other professional services. The Company manages its exposures to these services through a variety of means such as the performance of due diligence, consideration of operational risk, implementation of service level and other contractual agreements, and ongoing monitoring of the vendors performance. The Company maintains a supplier risk management program with policies, procedures, organization, governance and supporting technology. The programs are designed to ensure adequate risk management controls over the services exist, including but not limited to information security, operational failure, financial stability, disaster recoverability, reputational risk, safeguards against corruption, and termination.

Legal and Compliance Risk.

Legal and compliance risk includes the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss including fines, penalties, judgments, damages and/or settlements, or loss to reputation that the Company may suffer as a result of failure to comply with laws, regulations, rules, related self-regulatory organization standards and codes of conduct applicable to its business activities. This risk also includes contractual and commercial risk such as the risk that a counterparty's performance obligations will be unenforceable. The Company is generally subject to extensive regulation in the different jurisdictions in which it conducts its business (see also "Business Supervision and Regulation" in Part I, Item 1 and "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A). The Company has established procedures based on legal and regulatory requirements on a worldwide basis that are designed to facilitate compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. The Company, principally through its Legal and Compliance Division, also has established procedures that are designed to require that the Company's policies relating to business conduct, ethics and practices are followed globally. In connection with its businesses, for example, the Company has and continuously develops various procedures addressing issues such as regulatory capital requirements, sales and trading practices, new products, information barriers, potential conflicts of interest, structured transactions, use and safekeeping of customer funds and securities, lending and credit granting, anti-money laundering, information security, privacy and recordkeeping. In addition, the

Table of Contents

Company has established procedures to mitigate the risk that a counterparty's performance obligations will be unenforceable, including consideration of counterparty legal authority and capacity, adequacy of legal documentation, the permissibility of a transaction under applicable law and whether applicable bankruptcy or insolvency laws limit or alter contractual remedies. The legal and regulatory focus on the financial services and banking industry presents a continuing business challenge for the Company.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Morgan Stanley:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Morgan Stanley and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in total equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 2, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
New York, New York
March 2, 2015

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition****(dollars in millions, except share data)**

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Cash and due from banks (\$45 and \$544 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	\$ 21,381	\$ 16,602
Interest bearing deposits with banks	25,603	43,281
Cash deposited with clearing organizations or segregated under federal and other regulations or requirements (\$149 and \$117 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	40,607	39,203
Trading assets, at fair value (\$127,342 and \$151,078 were pledged to various parties at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively) (\$966 and \$2,825 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	256,801	280,744
Investment securities (includes \$69,216 and \$53,430 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	69,316	53,430
Securities received as collateral, at fair value	21,316	20,508
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (includes \$1,113 and \$866 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	83,288	118,130
Securities borrowed	136,708	129,707
Customer and other receivables	48,961	57,104
Loans:		
Held for investment (net of allowances of \$149 and \$156 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	57,119	36,545
Held for sale	9,458	6,329
Other investments (\$467 and \$561 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	4,355	5,086
Premises, equipment and software costs (net of accumulated depreciation of \$6,219 and \$6,420 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively) (\$191 and \$201 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	6,108	6,019
Goodwill	6,588	6,595
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$1,824 and \$1,527 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively) (includes \$6 and \$8 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	3,159	3,286
Other assets (\$59 and \$11 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	10,742	10,133
Total assets	\$ 801,510	\$ 832,702
Liabilities		
Deposits (includes \$0 and \$185 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively).	\$ 133,544	\$ 112,379
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (includes \$1,765 and \$1,347 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	2,261	2,142
Trading liabilities, at fair value (\$1 and \$33 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally non-recourse to the Company)	107,381	104,521
Obligation to return securities received as collateral, at fair value	25,685	24,568
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (includes \$612 and \$561 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	69,949	145,676
Securities loaned	25,219	32,799
Other secured financings (includes \$4,504 and \$5,206 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively) (\$348 and \$543 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally non-recourse to the Company)	12,085	14,215
Customer and other payables	181,069	157,125
Other liabilities and accrued expenses (\$72 and \$76 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally non-recourse to the Company)	19,441	16,672

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Long-term borrowings (includes \$31,774 and \$35,637 at fair value at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	152,772	153,575
Total liabilities	729,406	763,672
Commitments and contingent liabilities (see Note 13)		
Equity		
Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock (see Note 15)	6,020	3,220
Common stock, \$0.01 par value:		
Shares authorized: 3,500,000,000 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013;		
Shares issued: 2,038,893,979 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013;		
Shares outstanding: 1,950,980,142 and 1,944,868,751 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	20	20
Additional paid-in capital	24,249	24,570
Retained earnings	44,625	42,172
Employee stock trusts	2,127	1,718
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,248)	(1,093)
Common stock held in treasury, at cost, \$0.01 par value:		
Shares outstanding: 87,913,837 and 94,025,228 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	(2,766)	(2,968)
Common stock issued to employee stock trusts	(2,127)	(1,718)
Total Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity	70,900	65,921
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	1,204	3,109
Total equity	72,104	69,030
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 801,510	\$ 832,702

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****Consolidated Statements of Income****(dollars in millions, except share and per share data)**

	2014	2013	2012
Revenues:			
Investment banking	\$ 5,948	\$ 5,246	\$ 4,758
Trading	9,377	9,359	6,990
Investments	836	1,777	742
Commissions and fees	4,713	4,629	4,253
Asset management, distribution and administration fees	10,570	9,638	9,008
Other	1,096	1,066	632
Total non-interest revenues	32,540	31,715	26,383
Interest income	5,413	5,209	5,692
Interest expense	3,678	4,431	5,897
Net interest	1,735	778	(205)
Net revenues	34,275	32,493	26,178
Non-interest expenses:			
Compensation and benefits	17,824	16,277	15,615
Occupancy and equipment	1,433	1,499	1,543
Brokerage, clearing and exchange fees	1,806	1,711	1,535
Information processing and communications	1,635	1,768	1,912
Marketing and business development	658	638	601
Professional services	2,117	1,894	1,922
Other	5,211	4,148	2,454
Total non-interest expenses	30,684	27,935	25,582
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	3,591	4,558	596
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(90)	902	(161)
Income from continuing operations	3,681	3,656	757
Discontinued operations:			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	(19)	(72)	(48)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(5)	(29)	(7)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(14)	(43)	(41)
Net income	\$ 3,667	\$ 3,613	\$ 716
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests		222	124
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	200	459	524
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,467	\$ 2,932	\$ 68
Preferred stock dividends and other	315	277	98
Earnings (loss) applicable to Morgan Stanley common shareholders	\$ 3,152	\$ 2,655	\$ (30)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Amounts applicable to Morgan Stanley:				
Income from continuing operations	\$	3,481	\$ 2,975	\$ 138
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(14)	(43)	(70)
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$	3,467	\$ 2,932	\$ 68
Earnings (loss) per basic common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$	1.65	\$ 1.42	\$ 0.02
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Earnings (loss) per basic common share	\$	1.64	\$ 1.39	\$ (0.02)
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$	1.61	\$ 1.38	\$ 0.02
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share	\$	1.60	\$ 1.36	\$ (0.02)
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.35	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20
Average common shares outstanding:				
Basic		1,923,805,397	1,905,823,882	1,885,774,276
Diluted		1,970,535,560	1,956,519,738	1,918,811,270

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****(dollars in millions)**

	2014	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 3,667	\$ 3,613	\$ 716
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments(1)	\$ (491)	\$ (348)	\$ (255)
Amortization of cash flow hedges(2)	4	4	6
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities(3)	209	(433)	28
Pension, postretirement and other related adjustments(4)	29	(5)	(260)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (249)	\$ (782)	\$ (481)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 3,418	\$ 2,831	\$ 235
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests		222	124
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	200	459	524
Other comprehensive income (loss) applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests			(2)
Other comprehensive income (loss) applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	(94)	(205)	(120)
Comprehensive income (loss) applicable to Morgan Stanley	\$ 3,312	\$ 2,355	\$ (291)

(1) Amounts include provision for income taxes of \$352 million, \$351 million and \$120 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(2) Amounts include provision for income taxes of \$2 million, \$3 million and \$3 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(3) Amounts include provision for (benefit from) income taxes of \$142 million, \$(296) million and \$16 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(4) Amounts include provision for (benefit from) income taxes of \$18 million, \$8 million and \$(156) million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(dollars in millions)

	2014	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$ 3,667	\$ 3,613	\$ 716
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities:			
Deferred income taxes	(231)	(117)	(639)
Income from equity method investments	(156)	(451)	(52)
Compensation payable in common stock and options	1,260	1,180	891
Depreciation and amortization	1,161	1,511	1,581
Net gain on sale of available for sale securities	(40)	(45)	(78)
Impairment charges	111	198	271
Provision for credit losses on lending activities	23	110	155
Other operating activities	(72)	142	(69)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Cash deposited with clearing organizations or segregated under federal and other regulations or requirements	(1,404)	(8,233)	(1,516)
Trading assets, net of Trading liabilities	20,664	(23,054)	6,389
Securities borrowed	(7,001)	(8,006)	5,373
Securities loaned	(7,580)	(4,050)	6,387
Customer and other receivables and other assets	3,608	6,774	(10,030)
Customer and other payables and other liabilities	27,971	26,697	(1,283)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	34,842	16,282	(4,257)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(75,692)	23,002	20,920
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	1,131	35,553	24,759
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from (payments for):			
Premises, equipment and software, net	(992)	(1,316)	(1,312)
Business dispositions, net of cash disposed	989	1,147	1,725
Loans	(20,116)	(10,057)	(3,486)
Investment securities:			
Purchases	(32,623)	(30,557)	(24,477)
Proceeds from sales	12,980	11,425	10,398
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	4,651	4,757	4,738
Other investing activities	(213)	140	(211)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(35,324)	(24,461)	(12,625)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Net proceeds from (payments for):			
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	119	4	(705)
Noncontrolling interests	(189)	(557)	(296)
Other secured financings	(2,189)	(10,726)	(6,628)
Deposits	21,165	29,113	17,604
Proceeds from:			
Excess tax benefits associated with stock-based awards	101	10	42
Derivatives financing activities	855	1,003	243
Issuance of preferred stock, net of issuance costs	2,782	1,696	
Issuance of long-term borrowings	36,740	27,939	23,646
Payments for:			
Long-term borrowings	(33,103)	(38,742)	(43,092)
Derivatives financing activities	(776)	(1,216)	(125)
Repurchases of common stock and employee tax withholdings	(1,458)	(691)	(227)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Purchase of additional stake in Wealth Management JV		(4,725)	(1,890)
Cash dividends	(904)	(475)	(469)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	23,143	2,633	(11,897)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,804)	(202)	(119)
Effect of cash and cash equivalents related to variable interest entities	(45)	(544)	(526)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(12,899)	12,979	(408)
Cash and cash equivalents, at beginning of period	59,883	46,904	47,312
Cash and cash equivalents, at end of period	\$ 46,984	\$ 59,883	\$ 46,904
Cash and cash equivalents include:			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 21,381	\$ 16,602	\$ 20,878
Interest bearing deposits with banks	25,603	43,281	26,026
Cash and cash equivalents, at end of period	\$ 46,984	\$ 59,883	\$ 46,904

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash payments for interest were \$3,575 million, \$4,793 million and \$5,213 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cash payments for income taxes were \$886 million, \$930 million and \$388 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Total Equity**

(dollars in millions)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Employee Stock Trusts	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Common Stock Held in Treasury at Cost	Common Stock Issued to Employee Stock Trusts	Non- redeemable Non- controlling Interests	Total Equity
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011	\$ 1,508	\$ 20	\$ 22,836	\$ 40,341	\$ 3,166	\$ (157)	\$ (2,499)	\$ (3,166)	\$ 8,029	\$ 70,078
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley				68						68
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests									524	524
Dividends				(497)						(497)
Shares issued under employee plans and related tax effects			662		(234)		485	234		1,147
Repurchases of common stock and employee tax withholdings							(227)			(227)
Net change in Accumulated other comprehensive income						(359)			(120)	(479)
Purchase of additional stake in Wealth Management JV			(107)						(1,718)	(1,825)
Reclassification to redeemable noncontrolling interests									(4,288)	(4,288)
Other net increases			35						892	927
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2012	1,508	20	23,426	39,912	2,932	(516)	(2,241)	(2,932)	3,319	65,428
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley				2,932						2,932
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests									459	459
Dividends				(521)						(521)
Shares issued under employee plans and related tax effects			1,160		(1,214)		(36)	1,214		1,124
Repurchases of common stock and employee tax withholdings							(691)			(691)
Net change in Accumulated other comprehensive income						(577)			(205)	(782)
Issuance of preferred stock	1,712		(16)							1,696
Wealth Management JV redemption value adjustment				(151)						(151)
Other net decreases									(464)	(464)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2013	3,220	20	24,570	42,172	1,718	(1,093)	(2,968)	(1,718)	3,109	69,030
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley				3,467						3,467
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests									200	200

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Dividends			(1,014)							(1,014)
Shares issued under employee plans and related tax effects		(294)		409		1,660		(409)		1,366
Repurchases of common stock and employee tax withholdings						(1,458)				(1,458)
Net change in Accumulated other comprehensive income					(155)			(94)		(249)
Issuance of preferred stock	2,800		(18)							2,782
Deconsolidation of certain legal entities associated with a real estate fund								(1,606)		(1,606)
Other net decreases			(9)					(405)		(414)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2014	\$ 6,020	\$ 20	\$ 24,249	\$ 44,625	\$ 2,127	\$ (1,248)	\$ (2,766)	\$ (2,127)	\$ 1,204	\$ 72,104

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Introduction and Basis of Presentation.

The Company. Morgan Stanley, a financial holding company, is a global financial services firm that maintains significant market positions in each of its business segments Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management. The Company, through its subsidiaries and affiliates, provides a wide variety of products and services to a large and diversified group of clients and customers, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals. Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms Morgan Stanley or the Company mean Morgan Stanley (the Parent) together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

A brief summary of the activities of each of the Company's business segments is as follows:

Institutional Securities provides financial advisory and capital raising services, including: advice on mergers and acquisitions, restructurings, real estate and project finance; corporate lending; sales, trading, financing and market-making activities in equity and fixed income securities and related products, including foreign exchange and commodities; and investment activities.

Wealth Management provides brokerage and investment advisory services to individual investors and small-to-medium sized businesses and institutions covering various investment alternatives; financial and wealth planning services; annuity and other insurance products; credit and other lending products; cash management services; and retirement services; and engages in fixed income trading, which primarily facilitates clients' trading or investments in such securities.

Investment Management provides a broad array of investment strategies that span the risk/return spectrum across geographies, asset classes and public and private markets to a diverse group of clients across the institutional and intermediary channels as well as high net worth clients.

Global Oil Merchanting Business, CanTerm and TransMontaigne.

On December 20, 2013, the Company and a subsidiary of Rosneft Oil Company (Rosneft) entered into a Purchase Agreement pursuant to which the Company would sell the global oil merchanting unit of its commodities division (the global oil merchanting business) to Rosneft. On December 22, 2014, the Company announced the termination of the sale due to the expiration of the Purchase Agreement on December 20, 2014.

On March 27, 2014, the Company completed the sale of Canterm Canadian Terminals Inc. (CanTerm), a public storage terminal operator for refined products with two distribution terminals in Canada. As a result of the Company's level of continuing involvement with CanTerm, the

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

results of CanTerm are reported as a component of continuing operations within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment for all periods presented. The gain on sale was approximately \$45 million.

On July 1, 2014, the Company completed the sale of its ownership stake in TransMontaigne Inc., a U.S.-based oil storage, marketing and transportation company, as well as related physical inventory and the assumption of the Company's obligations under certain terminal storage contracts, to NGL Energy Partners LP. The gain on sale, which was included in continuing operations within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment, was approximately \$112 million for 2014.

Discontinued Operations.

Quilter. On April 2, 2012, the Company completed the sale of Quilter & Co. Ltd. (Quilter), its retail wealth management business in the United Kingdom (U.K.). Net revenues for Quilter were \$148 million for 2012. Net pre-tax gains (losses) were \$(1) million and \$97 million for 2013 and 2012, respectively, and included a gain of

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

approximately \$108 million in 2012 in connection with the sale. The results of Quilter are reported as discontinued operations within the Company's Wealth Management business segment for all periods presented.

Saxon. On October 24, 2011, the Company announced that it had reached an agreement to sell Saxon, a provider of servicing and subservicing of residential mortgage loans, to Ocwen Financial Corporation. The transaction, which was restructured as a sale of Saxon's assets was substantially completed in 2012. Net revenues for Saxon were \$79 million for 2012, and pre-tax losses were \$35 million, \$64 million and \$187 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Pre-tax results for 2012 included a gain of approximately \$51 million primarily resulting from an increase in the fair value of Saxon and a provision of approximately \$115 million related to a settlement with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve) concerning the independent foreclosure review related to Saxon. The results of Saxon are reported as discontinued operations within the Company's Institutional Securities business segment for all periods presented.

Remaining pre-tax gain (loss) amounts of \$16 million, \$(7) million and \$42 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are included in discontinued operations, primarily related to the prior sale of the Company's retail asset management business and a principal investment.

Prior-period amounts have been recast for discontinued operations.

Basis of Financial Information. The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), which require the Company to make estimates and assumptions regarding the valuations of certain financial instruments, the valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, compensation, deferred tax assets, the outcome of legal and tax matters, allowance for credit losses and other matters that affect its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company believes that the estimates utilized in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements are prudent and reasonable. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries and other entities in which the Company has a controlling financial interest, including certain variable interest entities (VIE) (see Note 7). For consolidated subsidiaries that are less than wholly owned, the third-party holdings of equity interests are referred to as noncontrolling interests. The portion of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for such subsidiaries is presented as either Net income (loss) applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests or Net income (loss) applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests in the Company's consolidated statements of income. The portion of shareholders' equity of such subsidiaries that is nonredeemable is presented as Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests, a component of total equity, in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

For entities where (1) the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to enable the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support and (2) the equity holders bear the economic residual risks and returns of the entity and have the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly affect its economic performance, the Company consolidates those entities it controls either through a majority voting interest or otherwise. For VIEs (*i.e.*, entities that do not meet these criteria), the Company consolidates those entities where the

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Company has the power to make the decisions that most significantly affect the economic performance of the VIE and has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE, except for certain VIEs that are money market funds, are investment companies or are entities qualifying for accounting purposes as investment companies. Generally, the Company consolidates those entities when it absorbs a majority of the expected losses or a majority of the expected residual returns, or both, of the entities.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

For investments in entities in which the Company does not have a controlling financial interest but has significant influence over operating and financial decisions, the Company generally applies the equity method of accounting with net gains and losses recorded within Other revenues (see Note 22). Where the Company has elected to measure certain eligible investments at fair value in accordance with the fair value option, net gains and losses are recorded within Investments revenues (see Note 4).

Equity and partnership interests held by entities qualifying for accounting purposes as investment companies are carried at fair value.

The Company's significant regulated U.S. and international subsidiaries include Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC (MS&Co.), Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC (MSSB LLC), Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc (MSIP), Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd. (MSMS), Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. (MSBNA) and Morgan Stanley Private Bank, National Association (MSPBNA).

Income Statement Presentation. The Company, through its subsidiaries and affiliates, provides a wide variety of products and services to a large and diversified group of clients and customers, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals. In connection with the delivery of the various products and services to clients, the Company manages its revenues and related expenses in the aggregate. As such, when assessing the performance of its businesses, primarily in its Institutional Securities business segment, the Company considers its trading, investment banking, commissions and fees, and interest income, along with the associated interest expense, as one integrated activity.

2. Significant Accounting Policies.

Revenue Recognition.

Investment Banking. Underwriting revenues and advisory fees from mergers, acquisitions and restructuring transactions are recorded when services for the transactions are determined to be substantially completed, generally as set forth under the terms of the engagement. Transaction-related expenses, primarily consisting of legal, travel and other costs directly associated with the transaction, are deferred and recognized in the same period as the related investment banking transaction revenues. Underwriting revenues are presented net of related expenses. Non-reimbursed expenses associated with advisory transactions are recorded within Non-interest expenses.

Commissions and fees. Commission and fee revenues primarily arise from agency transactions in listed and over-the-counter (OTC) equity securities; services related to sales and trading activities; and sales of mutual funds, futures, insurance products and options. Commission and fee revenues are recognized in the accounts on the trade date.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Asset Management, Distribution and Administration Fees. Asset management, distribution and administration fees are recognized over the relevant contract period. Sales commissions paid by the Company in connection with the sale of certain classes of shares of its open-end mutual fund products are accounted for as deferred commission assets. The Company periodically tests the deferred commission assets for recoverability based on cash flows expected to be received in future periods. In certain management fee arrangements, the Company is entitled to receive performance-based fees (also referred to as incentive fees) when the return on assets under management exceeds certain benchmark returns or other performance targets. In such arrangements, performance fee revenues are accrued (or reversed) quarterly based on measuring account/fund performance to date versus the performance benchmark stated in the investment management agreement. Performance-based fees are recorded within Investments or Asset management, distribution and administration fees depending on the nature of the

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

arrangement. The amount of performance-based fee revenue at risk of reversing if fund performance falls below stated investment management agreement benchmarks was approximately \$634 million and \$489 million at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Trading and Investments. See Financial Instruments and Fair Value below for Trading and Investments revenue recognition discussions.

Financial Instruments and Fair Value.

A significant portion of the Company's financial instruments is carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings each period. A description of the Company's policies regarding fair value measurement and its application to these financial instruments follows.

Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value. All of the instruments within Trading assets and Trading liabilities are measured at fair value, either through the fair value option election (discussed below) or as required by other accounting guidance. These financial instruments primarily represent the Company's trading and investment positions and include both cash and derivative products. In addition, debt securities classified as available for sale (AFS) securities are measured at fair value in accordance with accounting guidance for certain investments in debt securities. Furthermore, Securities received as collateral and Obligation to return securities received as collateral are measured at fair value as required by other accounting guidance. Additionally, certain Deposits, certain Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (structured notes), certain Other secured financings, certain Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and certain Long-term borrowings (primarily structured notes) are measured at fair value through the fair value option election.

Gains and losses on all of these instruments carried at fair value are reflected in Trading revenues, Investments revenues or Investment banking revenues in the Company's consolidated statements of income, except for AFS securities (see Investment Securities Available for Sale and Held to Maturity section herein and Note 5) and derivatives accounted for as hedges (see Hedge Accounting section herein and Note 12). Interest income and interest expense are recorded within the Company's consolidated statements of income depending on the nature of the instrument and related market conventions. When interest is included as a component of the instruments' fair value, interest is included within Trading revenues or Investments revenues. Otherwise, it is included within Interest income or Interest expense. Dividend income is recorded in Trading revenues or Investments revenues depending on the business activity. The fair value of OTC financial instruments, including derivative contracts related to financial instruments and commodities, is presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition on a net-by-counterparty basis, when appropriate. Additionally, the Company nets the fair value of cash collateral paid or received against the fair value amounts recognized for net derivative positions executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting agreement.

Fair Value Option. The fair value option permits the irrevocable fair value option election on an instrument-by-instrument basis at initial recognition of an asset or liability or upon an event that gives rise to a new basis of accounting for that instrument. The Company applies the fair value option for eligible instruments, including certain securities purchased under agreements to resell, certain loans and lending commitments, certain equity method investments, certain securities sold under agreements to repurchase, certain structured notes, certain time deposits and certain other secured financings.

Fair Value Measurement Definition and Hierarchy. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (*i.e.*, the exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation approaches and establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability that were developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions other market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability that are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from product to product and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of product, whether the product is new and not yet established in the marketplace, the liquidity of markets and other characteristics particular to the product. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company considers prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of market dislocation. In periods of market dislocation, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified from Level 1 to Level 2 or Level 2 to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy (see Note 4). In addition, a downturn in market conditions could lead to declines in the valuation of many instruments.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement falls in its entirety is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Valuation Techniques. Many cash instruments and OTC derivative contracts have bid and ask prices that can be observed in the marketplace. Bid prices reflect the highest price that a party is willing to pay for an asset. Ask prices represent the lowest price that a party is willing to accept for an asset. For financial instruments whose inputs are based on bid-ask prices, the Company does not require that the fair value estimate always be a predetermined point in the bid-ask range. The Company's policy is to allow for mid-market pricing and to adjust to the point within the bid-ask range that meets the Company's best estimate of fair value. For offsetting positions in the same financial instrument, the same price within the bid-ask spread is used to measure both the long and short positions.

Fair value for many cash instruments and OTC derivative contracts is derived using pricing models. Pricing models take into account the contract terms (including maturity) as well as multiple inputs, including, where applicable, commodity prices, equity prices, interest rate yield curves, credit curves, correlation, creditworthiness

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

of the counterparty, creditworthiness of the Company, option volatility and currency rates. Where appropriate, valuation adjustments are made to account for various factors such as liquidity risk (bid-ask adjustments), credit quality, model uncertainty and concentration risk. Adjustments for liquidity risk adjust model-derived mid-market levels of Level 2 and Level 3 financial instruments for the bid-mid or mid-ask spread required to properly reflect the exit price of a risk position. Bid-mid and mid-ask spreads are marked to levels observed in trade activity, broker quotes or other external third-party data. Where these spreads are unobservable for the particular position in question, spreads are derived from observable levels of similar positions. The Company applies credit-related valuation adjustments to its short-term and long-term borrowings (primarily structured notes) for which the fair value option was elected and to OTC derivatives. The Company considers the impact of changes in its own credit spreads based upon observations of the Company's secondary bond market spreads when measuring the fair value for short-term and long-term borrowings. For OTC derivatives, the impact of changes in both the Company's and the counterparty's credit rating is considered when measuring fair value. In determining the expected exposure, the Company simulates the distribution of the future exposure to a counterparty, then applies market-based default probabilities to the future exposure, leveraging external third-party credit default swap (CDS) spread data. Where CDS spread data are unavailable for a specific counterparty, bond market spreads, CDS spread data based on the counterparty's credit rating or CDS spread data that reference a comparable counterparty may be utilized. The Company also considers collateral held and legally enforceable master netting agreements that mitigate the Company's exposure to each counterparty. Adjustments for model uncertainty are taken for positions whose underlying models are reliant on significant inputs that are neither directly nor indirectly observable, hence requiring reliance on established theoretical concepts in their derivation. These adjustments are derived by making assessments of the possible degree of variability using statistical approaches and market-based information where possible. The Company generally subjects all valuations and models to a review process initially and on a periodic basis thereafter. The Company may apply a concentration adjustment to certain of its OTC derivatives portfolios to reflect the additional cost of closing out a particularly large risk exposure. Where possible, these adjustments are based on observable market information, but in many instances, significant judgment is required to estimate the costs of closing out concentrated risk exposures due to the lack of liquidity in the marketplace.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company incorporated funding valuation adjustments (FVA) into the fair value measurements of OTC uncollateralized or partially collateralized derivatives, and in collateralized derivatives where the terms of the agreement do not permit the reuse of the collateral received. The Company's implementation of FVA reflects the inclusion of FVA in the pricing and valuations by the majority of market participants involved in the Company's principal exit market for these instruments. In general, FVA reflects a market funding risk premium inherent in the noted derivative instruments. The methodology for measuring FVA leverages the Company's existing credit-related valuation adjustment calculation methodologies, which apply to both assets and liabilities.

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant rather than an entity-specific measure. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, the Company's own assumptions are set to reflect those that the Company believes market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Where the Company manages a group of financial assets and financial liabilities on the basis of its net exposure to either market risks or credit risk, the Company measures the fair value of that group of financial instruments consistently with how market participants would price the net risk exposure at the measurement date.

See Note 4 for a description of valuation techniques applied to the major categories of financial instruments measured at fair value.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis. Certain of the Company's assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The Company incurs losses or gains for any adjustments of these assets to fair value. A downturn in market conditions could result in impairment charges in future periods.

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, fair value is determined by using various valuation approaches. The same hierarchy for inputs as described above, which maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by generally requiring that the observable inputs be used when available, is used in measuring fair value for these items.

Valuation Process. The Valuation Review Group (VRG) within the Company's Financial Control Group (FCG) is responsible for the Company's fair value valuation policies, processes and procedures. VRG is independent of the business units and reports to the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), who has final authority over the valuation of the Company's financial instruments. VRG implements valuation control processes to validate the fair value of the Company's financial instruments measured at fair value, including those derived from pricing models. These control processes are designed to assure that the values used for financial reporting are based on observable inputs wherever possible. In the event that observable inputs are not available, the control processes are designed to ensure that the valuation approach utilized is appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions are reasonable.

The Company's control processes apply to financial instruments categorized in Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, unless otherwise noted. These control processes include:

Model Review. VRG, in conjunction with the Company's Market Risk Department (MRD) and, where appropriate, the Company's Credit Risk Management Department, both of which report to the Chief Risk Officer, independently review valuation models' theoretical soundness, the appropriateness of the valuation methodology and calibration techniques developed by the business units using observable inputs. Where inputs are not observable, VRG reviews the appropriateness of the proposed valuation methodology to ensure it is consistent with how a market participant would arrive at the unobservable input. The valuation methodologies utilized in the absence of observable inputs may include extrapolation techniques and the use of comparable observable inputs. As part of the review, VRG develops a methodology to independently verify the fair value generated by the business unit's valuation models. Before trades are executed using new valuation models, those models are required to be independently reviewed. All of the Company's valuation models are subject to an independent annual VRG review.

Independent Price Verification. The business units are responsible for determining the fair value of financial instruments using approved valuation models and valuation methodologies. Generally on a monthly basis, VRG independently validates the fair values of financial instruments determined using valuation models by determining the appropriateness of the inputs used by the business units and by testing compliance with the documented valuation methodologies approved in the model review process described above.

VRG uses recently executed transactions, other observable market data such as exchange data, broker-dealer quotes, third-party pricing vendors and aggregation services for validating the fair values of financial instruments generated using valuation models. VRG assesses the external

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

sources and their valuation methodologies to determine if the external providers meet the minimum standards expected of a third-party pricing source. Pricing data provided by approved external sources are evaluated using a number of approaches; for example, by corroborating the external sources' prices to executed trades, by analyzing the methodology and assumptions used by the external source to generate a price and/or by evaluating how active the third-party pricing source (or originating sources used by the third-party pricing source) is in the market. Based on this analysis, VRG generates a ranking of the observable market data to ensure that the highest-ranked market data source is used to validate the business unit's fair value of financial instruments.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

For financial instruments categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, VRG reviews the business unit's valuation techniques to ensure these are consistent with market participant assumptions.

The results of this independent price verification and any adjustments made by VRG to the fair value generated by the business units are presented to management of the Company's three business segments (*i.e.*, Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management), the CFO and the Chief Risk Officer on a regular basis.

Review of New Level 3 Transactions. VRG reviews the models and valuation methodology used to price all new material Level 3 transactions, and both FCG and MRD management must approve the fair value of the trade that is initially recognized.

For further information on financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis, see Note 4.

Hedge Accounting.

The Company applies hedge accounting using various derivative financial instruments to hedge interest rate and foreign exchange risk arising from assets and liabilities not held at fair value as part of asset/liability and currency management. These financial instruments are included within Trading assets—Derivative and other contracts or Trading liabilities—Derivative and other contracts in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

The Company's hedges are designated and qualify for accounting purposes as one of the following types of hedges: hedges of changes in fair value of assets and liabilities due to the risk being hedged (fair value hedges); and hedges of net investments in foreign operations whose functional currency is different from the reporting currency of the parent company (net investment hedges).

For further information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, see Note 12.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents consist of Cash and due from banks and Interest bearing deposits with banks, which are highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less, held for investment purposes, and readily convertible to known amounts of cash.

In the second quarter of 2014, the Company deconsolidated approximately \$1.6 billion in total assets that were related to certain legal entities associated with a real estate fund sponsored by the Company. The deconsolidation resulted in a non-cash reduction of assets of \$1.3 billion.

The Company's significant non-cash activities in 2013 included assets and liabilities of approximately \$3.6 billion and \$3.1 billion, respectively, disposed of in connection with business dispositions.

The Company's significant non-cash activities in 2012 included assets and liabilities of approximately \$2.6 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively, disposed of in connection with business dispositions, and approximately \$1.1 billion of net assets received from Citigroup Inc. (Citi) related to Citi's required equity contribution in connection with the retail securities joint venture between the Company and Citi (the Wealth Management JV) platform integration (see Notes 3 and 15).

Transfers of Financial Assets.

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when the Company has relinquished control over the transferred assets. Any related gain or loss on sale is recorded in Net revenues. Transfers that are not accounted

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

for as sales are treated as a collateralized financing, in certain cases referred to as failed sales. Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase are treated as collateralized financings (see Note 6). Securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repurchase agreements) and Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) are carried on the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition at the amounts of cash paid or received, plus accrued interest, except for certain repurchase agreements for which the Company has elected the fair value option (see Note 4). Where appropriate, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements with the same counterparty are reported on a net basis. Securities borrowed and securities loaned are recorded at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received.

Premises, Equipment and Software Costs.

Premises and equipment consist of buildings, leasehold improvements, furniture, fixtures, computer and communications equipment, power generation assets, terminals, pipelines and software (externally purchased and developed for internal use). Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset. Estimated useful lives are generally as follows: buildings 39 years; furniture and fixtures 7 years; computer and communications equipment 3 to 9 years; power generation assets 15 to 29 years; and terminals, pipelines and equipment 3 to 25 years. Estimated useful lives for software costs are generally 3 to 10 years.

As a result of an analysis completed by the Company, effective April 1, 2014, the Company revised the estimated useful lives for software costs from generally 3 to 5 years to generally 3 to 10 years. The adoption of these revised estimated useful lives for software costs resulted in lower amortization expense of approximately \$86 million in 2014.

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the estimated useful life of the asset or, where applicable, the remaining term of the lease, but generally not exceeding: 25 years for building structural improvements and 15 years for other improvements.

Premises, equipment and software costs are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that an asset's carrying value may not be fully recoverable in accordance with current accounting guidance.

Income Taxes.

The Company accounts for income tax expense (benefit) using the asset and liability method, under which recognition of deferred tax assets and related valuation allowance (recorded in Other assets) and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income tax expense (benefit) in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company recognizes net deferred tax assets to the extent that it believes these assets are more likely than not to be realized. In making such a determination, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income, tax-planning strategies, and results of recent operations. If the Company determines that it would be able to

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

realize deferred tax assets in the future in excess of their net recorded amount, it would make an adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, which would reduce the provision for income taxes.

Uncertain tax positions are recorded on the basis of a two-step process whereby (1) the Company determines whether it is more likely than not that the tax positions will be sustained on the basis of the technical merits of the position and (2) for those tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the Company recognizes the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with the related tax authority. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are classified as provision for income taxes.

Earnings per Common Share.

Basic earnings per common share (EPS) is computed by dividing income available to Morgan Stanley common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Income available to Morgan Stanley common shareholders represents net income applicable to Morgan Stanley reduced by preferred stock dividends and allocations of earnings to participating securities. Common shares outstanding include common stock and vested restricted stock units (RSUs) where recipients have satisfied either the explicit vesting terms or retirement eligibility requirements. Diluted EPS reflects the assumed conversion of all dilutive securities.

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and are included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. Share-based payment awards that pay dividend equivalents subject to vesting are not deemed participating securities and are included in diluted shares outstanding (if dilutive) under the treasury stock method.

The Company has granted performance-based stock units (PSUs) that vest and convert to shares of common stock only if the Company satisfies predetermined performance and market goals. Since the issuance of the shares is contingent upon the satisfaction of certain conditions, the PSUs are included in diluted EPS based on the number of shares (if any) that would be issuable if the end of the reporting period was the end of the contingency period.

Deferred Compensation.

Stock-Based Compensation. The Company measures compensation cost for stock-based awards at fair value and recognizes compensation cost over the service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The Company determines the fair value of RSUs (including RSUs with non-market performance conditions) based on the grant-date fair value of the Company's common stock, measured as the volume-weighted average price on the date of grant. RSUs with market-based conditions are valued using a Monte Carlo valuation model. The fair value of stock options is

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model and the single grant life method. Under the single grant life method, option awards with graded vesting are valued using a single weighted average expected option life.

Compensation expense for stock-based compensation awards is recognized using the graded vesting attribution method. Compensation expense for awards with performance conditions is recognized based on the probable outcome of the performance condition at each reporting date. At the end of the contingency period, the total compensation cost recognized will be the grant-date fair value of all units that actually vest based on the outcome of the performance conditions. Compensation expense for awards with market-based conditions is recognized irrespective of the probability of the market condition being achieved and is not reversed if the market condition is not met.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company recognizes the expense for stock-based awards over the requisite service period. These awards generally contain clawback and cancellation provisions. Certain awards provide the Company discretion to cancel all or a portion of the award under specified circumstances. Compensation expense for those awards is adjusted to fair value based upon changes in the share price of the Company's common stock until conversion. For anticipated year-end stock-based awards granted to employees expected to be retirement-eligible under award terms that do not contain a future service requirement, the Company accrues the estimated cost of these awards over the course of the calendar year preceding the grant date. The Company believes that this method of recognition for retirement-eligible employees is preferable because it better reflects the period over which the compensation is earned.

Employee Stock Trusts. The Company maintains and utilizes at its discretion, trusts, referred to as the Employee Stock Trusts, in connection with certain stock-based compensation plans. The assets of the Employee Stock Trusts are consolidated, and as such, are accounted for in a manner similar to treasury stock, where the shares of common stock outstanding are offset by an equal amount in Common stock issued to Employee Stock Trusts. The Company uses the grant-date fair value of stock-based compensation as the basis for recognition of the assets in the Employee Stock Trusts. Subsequent changes in the fair value are not recognized as the Company's stock-based compensation plans do not permit diversification and must be settled by the delivery of a fixed number of shares of the Company's common stock.

Deferred Cash-Based Compensation. The Company also maintains various deferred cash-based compensation plans for the benefit of certain current and former employees that provide a return to the participating employees based upon the performance of various referenced investments. The Company often invests directly, as a principal, in investments or other financial instruments to economically hedge its obligations under its deferred cash-based compensation plans. Changes in value of such investments made by the Company are recorded in Trading revenues and Investments revenues.

Compensation expense for deferred cash-based compensation plans is calculated based on the notional value of the award granted, adjusted for upward and downward changes in the fair value of the referenced investments. For unvested awards, the expense is recognized over the service period using the graded vesting attribution method. Changes in compensation expense resulting from changes in the fair value of the referenced investments will generally be offset by changes in the fair value of investments made by the Company. However, there may be a timing difference between the immediate revenue recognition of gains and losses on the Company's investments and the deferred recognition of the related compensation expense over the vesting period. For vested awards with only notional earnings on the referenced investments, the expense is fully recognized in the current period.

Translation of Foreign Currencies.

Assets and liabilities of operations having non-U.S. dollar functional currencies are translated at year-end rates of exchange, and amounts recognized in the income statement are translated at the rate of exchange on the respective date of recognition for each amount. Gains or losses resulting from translating foreign currency financial statements, net of hedge gains or losses and related tax effects, are reflected in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of Morgan Stanley Shareholders' equity on the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition. Gains or losses resulting from remeasurement of foreign currency transactions are included in net income.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets.

The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and on an interim basis when certain events or circumstances exist. The Company tests for impairment at the reporting unit level, which is generally at the level

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

of or one level below its business segments. For both the annual and interim tests, the Company has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Company determines it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is not required. However, if the Company concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test. Goodwill impairment is determined by comparing the estimated fair value of a reporting unit with its respective carrying value. If the estimated fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill at the reporting unit level is not deemed to be impaired. If the estimated fair value is below carrying value, however, further analysis is required to determine the amount of the impairment. Additionally, if the carrying value of a reporting unit is zero or a negative value and it is determined that it is more likely than not the goodwill is impaired, further analysis is required. The estimated fair values of the reporting units are derived based on valuation techniques the Company believes market participants would use for each of the reporting units.

The estimated fair values are generally determined by utilizing a discounted cash flow methodology or methodologies that incorporate price-to-book and price-to-earnings multiples of certain comparable companies.

Goodwill is not amortized and is reviewed annually (or more frequently when certain events or circumstances exist) for impairment. Other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives and reviewed for impairment. Impairment losses are recorded within Other expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income. There are no indefinite-lived intangible assets for years 2014 and 2013.

Investment Securities Available for Sale and Held to Maturity.

AFS securities are reported at fair value in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition with unrealized gains and losses reported in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI), net of tax. Interest and dividend income, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is included in Interest income in the Company's consolidated statements of income. Realized gains and losses on AFS securities are reported in the Company's consolidated statements of income (see Note 5). The Company utilizes the first-in, first-out method as the basis for determining the cost of AFS securities.

Held to maturity (HTM) securities are reported at amortized cost in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition. Interest income, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on HTM securities, is included in Interest income in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Other-than-temporary impairment. AFS debt securities and HTM securities with a current fair value less than their amortized cost are analyzed as part of the Company's periodic assessment of temporary versus other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at the individual security level. A temporary impairment is recognized in AOCI. OTTI is recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of income with the exception of the non-credit portion related to a debt security that the Company does not intend to sell and is not likely to be required to sell, which is recognized in AOCI.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

For AFS debt securities that the Company either has the intent to sell or that the Company is likely to be required to sell before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the impairment is considered other-than-temporary.

For those AFS debt securities that the Company does not have the intent to sell or is not likely to be required to sell, and for all HTM securities, the Company evaluates whether it expects to recover the entire amortized cost

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

basis of the debt security. If the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost of those AFS debt securities or HTM securities, the impairment is considered other-than-temporary and the Company determines what portion of the impairment relates to a credit loss and what portion relates to non-credit factors.

A credit loss exists if the present value of cash flows expected to be collected (discounted at the implicit interest rate at acquisition of the security or discounted at the effective yield for securities that incorporate changes in prepayment assumptions) is less than the amortized cost basis of the security. Changes in prepayment assumptions alone are not considered to result in a credit loss. When determining if a credit loss exists, the Company considers relevant information including the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis; adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or geographic area; changes in the financial condition of the issuer of the security, or in the case of an asset-backed debt security, changes in the financial condition of the underlying loan obligors; the historical and implied volatility of the fair value of the security; the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future; failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments; any changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency and recoveries or additional declines in fair value after the balance sheet date. When estimating the present value of expected cash flows, information includes the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, financial condition of the issuer(s), expected defaults and the value of any underlying collateral.

For AFS equity securities, the Company considers various factors including the intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value in evaluating whether an OTTI exists. If the equity security is considered other-than-temporarily impaired, the entire OTTI (*i.e.*, the difference between the fair value recorded on the balance sheet and the cost basis) will be recognized in the Company's consolidated statement of income.

Loans.

The Company accounts for loans based on the following categories: loans held for investment; loans held for sale; and loans at fair value.

Loans Held for Investment

Loans held for investment are reported as outstanding principal adjusted for any charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, any deferred fees or costs for originated loans, and any unamortized premiums or discounts for purchased loans.

Interest Income. Interest income on performing loans held for investment is accrued and recognized as interest income at the contractual rate of interest. Purchase price discounts or premiums, as well as net deferred loan fees or costs, are amortized into interest income over the life of the loan to produce a level rate of return.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses estimates probable losses related to loans specifically identified for impairment in addition to the probable losses inherent in the held for investment loan portfolio.

The Company utilizes the U.S. banking regulators' definition of criticized exposures, which consist of the special mention substandard and doubtful and loss categories as credit quality indicators. For further information on the credit indicators, see Note 8. Substandard loans are regularly reviewed for impairment. Factors considered by management when determining impairment include payment status, fair value of collateral, and probability of

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. The impairment analysis required depends on the nature and type of loans. Loans classified as Doubtful or Loss are considered impaired. When a loan is impaired, the impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or as a practical expedient, the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the present value of the expected future cash flows (or alternatively, the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral) is less than the recorded investment in the loan, then the Company recognizes an allowance and a charge to the provision for loan losses within Other revenues.

Generally, inherent losses in the portfolio for non-impaired loans are estimated using statistical analysis and judgment around the exposure at default, the probability of default and the loss given default. Qualitative and environmental factors such as economic and business conditions, nature and volume of the portfolio and lending terms, and volume and severity of past due loans may also be considered in the calculations.

Troubled Debt Restructurings. The Company may modify the terms of certain loans for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties by granting one or more concessions that the Company would not otherwise consider. Such modifications are accounted for and reported as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). A loan that has been modified in a TDR is generally considered to be impaired and is evaluated for the extent of impairment using the Company's specific allowance methodology.

Nonaccrual Loans. The Company places loans on nonaccrual status if principal or interest is past due for a period of 90 days or more or payment of principal or interest is in doubt unless the obligation is well-secured and in the process of collection. A loan is considered past due when a payment due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement has not been remitted by the borrower. Substandard loans, if identified as impaired, are categorized as nonaccrual. Loans classified as Doubtful or Loss are categorized as nonaccrual.

Payments received on nonaccrual loans held for investment are applied to principal if there is doubt regarding the ultimate collectability of principal (*i.e.*, cost recovery method). If collection of the principal of nonaccrual loans held for investment is not in doubt, interest income is recognized on a cash basis. If neither principal nor interest collection is in doubt, loans are on accrual status and interest income is recognized using the effective interest method. Loans that are on nonaccrual status may not be restored to accrual status until all delinquent principal and/or interest has been brought current, after a reasonable period of performance, typically a minimum of six months.

Charge-offs. The Company charges off a loan in the period that it is deemed uncollectible and records a reduction in the allowance for loan losses and the balance of the loan. In general, any portion of the recorded investment in a collateral dependent loan (including any capitalized accrued interest, net deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount) in excess of the fair value of the collateral that can be identified as uncollectible, and is therefore deemed a confirmed loss, is charged off against the allowance for loan losses. A loan is collateral-dependent if the repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely by the sale or operation of the underlying collateral. A loan that is charged off is recorded as a reduction in the allowance for loan losses and the balance of the loan. In addition, for loan transfers from loans held for investment to loans held for sale, at the time of transfer, any reduction in the loan value is reflected as a charge-off of the recorded investment, resulting in a new cost basis.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Loan Commitments. The Company records the liability and related expense for the credit exposure related to commitments to fund loans that will be held for investment in a manner similar to outstanding loans disclosed above. The analysis also incorporates a credit conversion factor, which is the expected utilization of the undrawn

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

commitment. The liability is recorded in Other liabilities and accrued expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition, and the expense is recorded in Other non-interest expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income. For more information regarding loan commitments, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, see Note 13.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are measured at the lower of cost or fair value, with valuation changes recorded in Other revenues. The Company determines the valuation allowance on an individual loan basis, except for residential mortgage loans for which the valuation allowance is determined at the loan product level. Any decreases in fair value below the initial carrying amount and any recoveries in fair value up to the initial carrying amount are recorded in Other revenues. However, increases in fair value above initial carrying value are not recognized.

Interest income on loans held for sale is accrued and recognized based on the contractual rate of interest. Loan origination fees or costs and purchase price discounts or premiums are deferred in a contra loan account until the related loan is sold. The deferred fees and discounts or premiums are an adjustment to the basis of the loan and, therefore, are included in the periodic determination of the lower of cost or fair value adjustments and/or the gain or loss recognized at the time of sale.

Loans held for sale are subject to the nonaccrual policies described above. Because loans held for sale are recognized at the lower of cost or fair value, the allowance for loan losses and charge-off policies does not apply to these loans.

Loans at Fair Value

Loans for which the fair value option is elected are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Loans carried at fair value are not evaluated for purposes of recording an allowance for loan losses. For further information on loans carried at fair value and classified as Trading assets and Trading liabilities, see Note 4.

For further information on loans, see Note 8.

Noncontrolling Interests.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

For consolidated subsidiaries that are less than wholly owned, the third-party holdings of equity interests are referred to as noncontrolling interests. Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests are presented as a component of total equity in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

Accounting Standards Adopted.

Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date. In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued an accounting update that requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay and any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. This update also requires additional disclosures about those obligations. This guidance became effective for the Company retrospectively beginning on January 1, 2014. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity. In March 2013, the FASB issued an accounting update requiring the parent entity to release any related cumulative translation adjustment into net income when the parent ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary that is a foreign entity. When the parent ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business within a foreign entity, the related cumulative translation adjustment would be released into net income only if the sale or transfer results in the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity in which the subsidiary or group of assets had resided. This guidance became effective for the Company prospectively beginning on January 1, 2014. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Amendments to the Scope, Measurement, and Disclosure Requirements of an Investment Company. In June 2013, the FASB issued an accounting update that modifies the criteria used in defining an investment company under U.S. GAAP and sets forth certain measurement and disclosure requirements. This update requires an investment company to measure noncontrolling interests in another investment company at fair value and requires an entity to disclose the fact that it is an investment company, and provide information about changes, if any, in its status as an investment company. An entity will also need to include disclosures around financial support that has been provided or is contractually required to be provided to any of its investees. This guidance became effective for the Company prospectively beginning January 1, 2014. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting update providing guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a deferred tax asset from a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or tax credit carryforward exists. This guidance requires an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to such deferred tax asset if a settlement in such manner is expected in the event the uncertain tax position is disallowed. This guidance became effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2014. This guidance was applied prospectively to unrecognized tax benefits that existed at the effective date. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects. In January 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update providing guidance on accounting for investments in flow-through limited liability entities that manage or invest in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit. The Company adopted this guidance on April 1, 2014, as early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. For further information on the adoption of this guidance, see Note 20.

Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity. In April 2014, the FASB issued an accounting update that changes the requirements and disclosure for reporting discontinued operations. The new guidance defines a discontinued operation as a disposal of a component or group of components that is disposed of or is classified as held for sale and represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. Individually significant components that have been disposed of or are held for sale that do not meet the definition of a discontinued operation require new disclosures. The Company adopted this guidance on April 1, 2014, as early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Wealth Management JV.

In 2009, the Company and Citi consummated the combination of each institution's respective wealth management business. The combined businesses operated as the Wealth Management JV through June 2013. Prior to September 2012, the Company owned 51% and Citi owned 49% of the Wealth Management JV. In September 2012, the Company purchased an additional 14% stake in the Wealth Management JV from Citi for \$1.89 billion, increasing the Company's interest from 51% to 65%. The Company recorded a negative adjustment to Paid-in-capital of approximately \$107 million (net of tax) to reflect the difference between the purchase price for the 14% interest in the Wealth Management JV and its carrying value. In addition, in September 2012, the terms of the Wealth Management JV agreement regarding the purchase of the remaining 35% interest were amended, which resulted in a reclassification of approximately \$4.3 billion from nonredeemable noncontrolling interests to redeemable noncontrolling interests.

In June 2013, the Company purchased the remaining 35% stake in the Wealth Management JV for \$4.725 billion, increasing the Company's interest from 65% to 100%. The Company recorded a negative adjustment to retained earnings of approximately \$151 million (net of tax) to reflect the difference between the purchase price for the remaining 35% interest in the Wealth Management JV and its carrying value. This adjustment negatively impacted the calculation of basic and diluted EPS in 2013 (see Note 16). Additionally, in conjunction with the purchase of the remaining 35% interest, in June 2013, the Company redeemed all of the Class A Preferred Interests in the Wealth Management JV owned by Citi and its affiliates for approximately \$2.028 billion and repaid to Citi \$880 million in senior debt.

Subsequent to June 2013, no results were attributed to Citi since the Company owned 100% of the Wealth Management JV. Prior to June 2013 and subsequent to September 2012, Citi's results related to its 35% interest were reported in net income (loss) applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Company's consolidated statement of income. Prior to September 2012, Citi's results related to its 49% interest were reported in net income (loss) applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests in the consolidated statements of income.

Concurrent with the acquisition of the remaining 35% stake in the Wealth Management JV in June 2013, the deposit sweep agreement between Citi and the Company was terminated. During 2014 and 2013, \$19 billion and \$26 billion, respectively, of deposits held by Citi relating to the Company's customer accounts were transferred to the Company's depository institutions. At December 31, 2014, approximately \$9 billion of additional deposits are scheduled to be transferred to the Company's depository institutions on an agreed-upon basis through June 2015.

4. Fair Value Disclosures.

Fair Value Measurements.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

A description of the valuation techniques applied to the Company's major categories of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis follows.

Trading Assets and Trading Liabilities.

U.S. Government and Agency Securities.

U.S. Treasury Securities. U.S. Treasury securities are valued using quoted market prices. Valuation adjustments are not applied. Accordingly, U.S. Treasury securities are generally categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

U.S. Agency Securities. U.S. agency securities are composed of three main categories consisting of agency-issued debt, agency mortgage pass-through pool securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. Non-callable agency-issued debt securities are generally valued using quoted market prices. Callable agency-issued debt securities are valued by benchmarking model-derived prices to quoted market prices and trade data for identical or comparable securities. The fair value of agency mortgage pass-through pool securities is model-driven based on spreads of the comparable To-be-announced security. Collateralized mortgage obligations are valued using quoted market prices and trade data adjusted by subsequent changes in related indices for identical or comparable securities. Actively traded non-callable agency-issued debt securities are generally categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Callable agency-issued debt securities, agency mortgage pass-through pool securities and collateralized mortgage obligations are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other Sovereign Government Obligations.

Foreign sovereign government obligations are valued using quoted prices in active markets when available. These bonds are generally categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. If the market is less active or prices are dispersed, these bonds are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. In instances where the inputs are unobservable, these bonds are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Corporate and Other Debt.

State and Municipal Securities. The fair value of state and municipal securities is determined using recently executed transactions, market price quotations and pricing models that factor in, where applicable, interest rates, bond or credit default swap spreads and volatility. These bonds are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities (RMBS), Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities (CMBS) and other Asset-Backed Securities (ABS). RMBS, CMBS and other ABS may be valued based on price or spread data obtained from observed transactions or independent external parties such as vendors or brokers. When position-specific external price data are not observable, the fair value determination may require benchmarking to similar instruments, and/or analyzing expected credit losses, default and recovery rates, and/or applying discounted cash flow techniques. In evaluating the fair value of each security, the Company considers security collateral-specific attributes, including payment priority, credit enhancement levels, type of collateral, delinquency rates and loss severity. In addition, for RMBS borrowers, Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) scores and the level of documentation for the loan are considered. Market standard models, such as Intex, Trepp or others, may be deployed to model the specific collateral composition and cash flow structure of each transaction. Key inputs to these models are market spreads, forecasted credit losses, and default and prepayment rates for each asset category. Valuation levels of RMBS and CMBS indices are used as an additional data point for benchmarking purposes or to price outright index positions.

RMBS, CMBS and other ABS are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. If external prices or significant spread inputs are unobservable or if the comparability assessment involves significant subjectivity related to property type differences, cash flows, performance and other inputs, then RMBS, CMBS and other ABS are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Corporate Bonds. The fair value of corporate bonds is determined using recently executed transactions, market price quotations (where observable), bond spreads, credit default swap spreads, at the money volatility and/or volatility skew obtained from independent external parties such as vendors and brokers

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

adjusted for any basis difference between cash and derivative instruments. The spread data used are for the same maturity as the bond. If the spread data do not reference the issuer, then data that reference a comparable issuer are used. When position-specific external price data are not observable, fair value is determined based on either benchmarking to similar instruments or cash flow models with yield curves, bond or single-name credit default swap spreads and recovery rates as significant inputs. Corporate bonds are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; in instances where prices, spreads or any of the other aforementioned key inputs are unobservable, they are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Collateralized Debt and Loan Obligations. The Company holds cash collateralized debt obligations (CDOs)/collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) that typically reference a tranche of an underlying synthetic portfolio of single name credit default swaps collateralized by corporate bonds (credit-linked notes) or cash portfolio of asset-backed securities/loans (asset-backed CDOs/CLOs). Credit correlation, a primary input used to determine the fair value of credit-linked notes, is usually unobservable and derived using a benchmarking technique. The other credit-linked note model inputs such as credit spreads, including collateral spreads, and interest rates are typically observable. Asset-backed CDOs/CLOs are valued based on an evaluation of the market and model input parameters sourced from similar positions as indicated by primary and secondary market activity. Each asset-backed CDO/CLO position is evaluated independently taking into consideration available comparable market levels, underlying collateral performance and pricing, deal structures, and liquidity. Cash CDOs/CLOs are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy when either the credit correlation input is insignificant or comparable market transactions are observable. In instances where the credit correlation input is deemed to be significant or comparable market transactions are unobservable, cash CDOs/CLOs are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Corporate Loans and Lending Commitments. The fair value of corporate loans is determined using recently executed transactions, market price quotations (where observable), implied yields from comparable debt, and market observable credit default swap spread levels obtained from independent external parties such as vendors and brokers adjusted for any basis difference between cash and derivative instruments, along with proprietary valuation models and default recovery analysis where such transactions and quotations are unobservable. The fair value of contingent corporate lending commitments is determined by using executed transactions on comparable loans and the anticipated market price based on pricing indications from syndicate banks and customers. The valuation of loans and lending commitments also takes into account fee income that is considered an attribute of the contract. Corporate loans and lending commitments are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy except in instances where prices or significant spread inputs are unobservable, in which case they are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Mortgage Loans. Mortgage loans are valued using observable prices based on transactional data or third-party pricing for identical or comparable instruments, when available. Where position-specific external prices are not observable, the Company estimates fair value based on benchmarking to prices and rates observed in the primary market for similar loan or borrower types or based on the present value of expected future cash flows using its best estimates of the key assumptions, including forecasted credit losses, prepayment rates, forward yield curves and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved or a methodology that utilizes the capital structure and credit spreads of recent comparable securitization transactions. Mortgage loans valued based on observable market data for identical or comparable instruments are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Where observable prices are not available, due to the subjectivity involved in the comparability assessment related to mortgage loan vintage, geographical concentration, prepayment speed and projected loss assumptions, mortgage loans

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Mortgage loans are presented within Loans and lending commitments in the fair value hierarchy table.

Auction Rate Securities (ARS). The Company primarily holds investments in Student Loan Auction Rate Securities (SLARS) and Municipal Auction Rate Securities (MARS), which are floating rate instruments for which the rates reset through periodic auctions. SLARS are ABS backed by pools of student loans. MARS are municipal bonds often wrapped by municipal bond insurance. The fair value of ARS is primarily determined using recently executed transactions and market price quotations, obtained from independent external parties such as vendors and brokers, where available. The Company uses an internally developed methodology to discount for the lack of liquidity and non-performance risk where independent external market data are not available.

Inputs that impact the valuation of SLARS are independent external market data, recently executed transactions of comparable ARS, the underlying collateral types, level of seniority in the capital structure, amount of leverage in each structure, credit rating and liquidity considerations. Inputs that impact the valuation of MARS are recently executed transactions, the maximum rate, quality of underlying issuers/insurers and evidence of issuer calls/prepayment. ARS are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as the valuation technique relies on observable external data. SLARS and MARS are presented within Asset-backed securities and State and municipal securities, respectively, in the fair value hierarchy table.

Corporate Equities.

Exchange-Traded Equity Securities. Exchange-traded equity securities are generally valued based on quoted prices from the exchange. To the extent these securities are actively traded, valuation adjustments are not applied, and they are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are categorized in Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Unlisted Equity Securities. Unlisted equity securities are valued based on an assessment of each underlying security, considering rounds of financing and third-party transactions, discounted cash flow analyses and market-based information, including comparable company transactions, trading multiples and changes in market outlook, among other factors. These securities are generally categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Fund Units. Listed fund units are generally marked to the exchange-traded price or net asset value (NAV) and are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy if actively traded on an exchange or in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy if trading is not active. Unlisted fund units are generally marked to NAV and categorized as Level 2; however, positions that are not redeemable at the measurement date or in the near future are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative and Other Contracts.

Listed Derivative Contracts. Listed derivatives that are actively traded are valued based on quoted prices from the exchange and are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued using the same approaches as

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

those applied to OTC derivatives; they are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

OTC Derivative Contracts. OTC derivative contracts include forward, swap and option contracts related to interest rates, foreign currencies, credit standing of reference entities, equity prices or commodity prices.

Depending on the product and the terms of the transaction, the fair value of OTC derivative products can be either observed or modeled using a series of techniques and model inputs from comparable benchmarks, including closed-form analytic formulas, such as the Black-Scholes option-pricing model,

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and simulation models or a combination thereof. Many pricing models do not entail material subjectivity because the methodologies employed do not necessitate significant judgment, and the pricing inputs are observed from actively quoted markets, as is the case for generic interest rate swaps, certain option contracts and certain credit default swaps. In the case of more established derivative products, the pricing models used by the Company are widely accepted by the financial services industry. A substantial majority of OTC derivative products valued by the Company using pricing models fall into this category and are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other derivative products, including complex products that have become illiquid, require more judgment in the implementation of the valuation technique applied due to the complexity of the valuation assumptions and the reduced observability of inputs. This includes certain types of interest rate derivatives with both volatility and correlation exposure and credit derivatives, including credit default swaps on certain mortgage-backed or asset-backed securities and basket credit default swaps, where direct trading activity or quotes are unobservable. These instruments involve significant unobservable inputs and are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative interests in credit default swaps on certain mortgage-backed or asset-backed securities, for which observability of external price data is limited, are valued based on an evaluation of the market and model input parameters sourced from similar positions as indicated by primary and secondary market activity. Each position is evaluated independently taking into consideration available comparable market levels as well as a cash synthetic basis or the underlying collateral performance and pricing, behavior of the tranche under various cumulative loss and prepayment scenarios, deal structures (*e.g.*, non-amortizing reference obligations, call features, etc.) and liquidity. While these factors may be supported by historical and actual external observations, the determination of their value as it relates to specific positions nevertheless requires significant judgment.

For basket credit default swaps, the correlation input between reference credits is unobservable for each specific swap or position and is benchmarked to standardized proxy baskets for which correlation data are available. The other model inputs such as credit spread, interest rates and recovery rates are observable. In instances where the correlation input is deemed to be significant, these instruments are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, these instruments are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company trades various derivative structures with commodity underlyings. Depending on the type of structure, the model inputs generally include interest rate yield curves, commodity underlier price curves, implied volatility of the underlying commodities and, in some cases, the implied correlation between these inputs. The fair value of these products is determined using executed trades and broker and consensus data to provide values for the aforementioned inputs. Where these inputs are unobservable, relationships to observable commodities and data points, based on historic and/or implied observations, are employed as a technique to estimate the model input values. Commodity derivatives are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; in instances where significant inputs are unobservable, they are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

For further information on the valuation techniques for OTC derivative products, see Note 2.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

For further information on derivative instruments and hedging activities, see Note 12.

Investments.

The Company's investments include direct investments in equity securities as well as investments in private equity funds, real estate funds and hedge funds, which include investments made in connection

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

with certain employee deferred compensation plans. Direct investments are presented in the fair value hierarchy table as Principal investments and Other. Initially, the transaction price is generally considered by the Company as the exit price and is the Company's best estimate of fair value.

After initial recognition, in determining the fair value of non-exchange-traded internally and externally managed funds, the Company generally considers the NAV of the fund provided by the fund manager to be the best estimate of fair value. For non-exchange-traded investments either held directly or held within internally managed funds, fair value after initial recognition is based on an assessment of each underlying investment, considering rounds of financing and third-party transactions, discounted cash flow analyses and market-based information, including comparable company transactions, trading multiples and changes in market outlook, among other factors. Exchange-traded direct equity investments are generally valued based on quoted prices from the exchange.

Exchange-traded direct equity investments that are actively traded are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Non-exchange-traded direct equity investments and investments in private equity and real estate funds are generally categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Investments in hedge funds that are redeemable at the measurement date or in the near future are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Physical Commodities.

The Company trades various physical commodities, including crude oil and refined products, natural gas, base and precious metals, and agricultural products. Fair value for physical commodities is determined using observable inputs, including broker quotations and published indices. Physical commodities are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; in instances where significant inputs are unobservable, they are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Investment Securities.

AFS Securities. The Company's AFS securities are composed of U.S. government and agency securities (e.g., U.S. Treasury securities, agency-issued debt, agency mortgage pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations), CMBS, Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) student loan asset-backed securities, auto loan asset-backed securities, corporate bonds, collateralized loan obligations and actively traded equity securities. Actively traded U.S. Treasury securities, non-callable agency-issued debt securities and equity securities are generally categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Callable agency-issued debt securities, agency mortgage pass-through securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, CMBS, FFELP student loan asset-backed securities, auto loan asset-backed securities, corporate bonds and collateralized loan obligations are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For further information on AFS securities, see Note 5.

Deposits.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Time Deposits. The fair value of certificates of deposit is determined using third-party quotations. These deposits are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Commercial Paper and Other Short-Term Borrowings/Long-Term Borrowings.

Structured Notes. The Company issues structured notes that have coupon or repayment terms linked to the performance of debt or equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities. Fair value of structured

Table of Contents

MORGAN STANLEY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

notes is determined using valuation models for the derivative and debt portions of the notes. These models incorporate observable inputs referencing identical or comparable securities, including prices to which the notes are linked, interest rate yield curves, option volatility and currency, and commodity or equity prices. Independent, external and traded prices for the notes are considered as well. The impact of the Company's own credit spreads is also included based on the Company's observed secondary bond market spreads. Most structured notes are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Securities Purchased under Agreements to Resell and Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase.

The fair value of a reverse repurchase agreement or repurchase agreement is computed using a standard cash flow discounting methodology. The inputs to the valuation include contractual cash flows and collateral funding spreads, which are estimated using various benchmarks, interest rate yield curves and option volatilities. In instances where the unobservable inputs are deemed significant, reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents**MORGAN STANLEY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following fair value hierarchy tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at December 31, 2014.

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting	Balance at December 31, 2014
	(dollars in millions)				
Assets at Fair Value					
Trading assets:					
U.S. government and agency securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 16,961	\$	\$	\$	\$ 16,961
U.S. agency securities	850	18,193			19,043
Total U.S. government and agency securities	17,811	18,193			36,004
Other sovereign government obligations	15,149	7,888	41		23,078
Corporate and other debt:					
State and municipal securities		2,049			2,049
Residential mortgage-backed securities		1,991	175		2,166
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		1,484	96		1,580
Asset-backed securities		583	76		659
Corporate bonds		15,800	386		16,186
Collateralized debt and loan obligations		741	1,152		1,893
Loans and lending commitments		6,088	5,874		11,962
Other debt		2,167	285		2,452
Total corporate and other debt		30,903	8,044		38,947
Corporate equities(1)	112,490	1,357	272		114,119
Derivative and other contracts:					
Interest rate contracts	663	495,026	2,484		498,173
Credit contracts		30,813	1,369		32,182
Foreign exchange contracts	83	72,769	249		73,101
Equity contracts	571	46,024	1,529		48,124
Commodity contracts	4,105	18,042	2,268		24,415
Other		376			376
Netting(2)	(4,910)	(564,127)	(4,220)	(66,720)	(639,977)
Total derivative and other contracts	512	98,923	3,679	(66,720)	36,394
Investments:					
Private equity funds			2,569		2,569

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form 10-K

Real estate funds		7	1,746		1,753
Hedge funds		344	343		687
Principal investments	58	3	835		896
Other	225	198	323		746
Total investments	283	552	5,816		6,651
Physical commodities		1,608			1,608
Total trading assets	146,245	159,424	17,852	(66,720)	256,801
AFS securities	37,200	32,016			69,216
Securities received as collateral	21,265	51			