BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD CALIFORNIA QUALITY FUND, INC.

Form N-CSR October 01, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-06692

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniYield

California Quality Fund, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2015

Date of reporting period: 07/31/2015

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

2

JULY 31, 2015

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc. (MYM)

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MYN)

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. (MYI)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

	Page
The Markets in Review	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
Fund Summaries	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	16
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	45
Statements of Operations	46
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	47
Statements of Cash Flows	50
Financial Highlights	51
Notes to Financial Statements	56
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	68
Important Tax Information	68
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements	69
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	73
Officers and Directors	74
Additional Information	77

The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder.

Diverging monetary policies and shifting economic outlooks between regions were the broader themes underlying market conditions during the 12-month period ended July 31, 2015. The period began with investors caught between the forces of low interest rates and an improving U.S. economy, high asset valuations, oil price instability and lingering geopolitical risks in Ukraine and the Middle East. As U.S. growth picked up considerably in the fourth quarter of 2014, the broader global economy showed signs of slowing. Investors favored the stability of U.S. assets despite uncertainty as to when the Federal Reserve (the Fed) would raise short-term interest rates. International markets continued to struggle even as the European Central Bank and the Bank of Japan eased monetary policy. Oil prices plummeted in late 2014 due to a global supply-and-demand imbalance, sparking a sell-off in energy-related assets and putting stress on emerging markets. Fixed income investors piled into U.S. Treasuries as their persistently low yields had become attractive as compared to the even lower yields on international sovereign debt.

Equity markets reversed in early 2015, with international markets outperforming the United States as global risks abated. Investors had held high expectations for the U.S. economy, but a harsh winter and west coast port strike brought disappointing first-quarter data and high valuations took their toll on U.S. stocks, while bond yields fell to extreme lows. (Bond prices rise as yields fall.) In contrast, economic reports in Europe and Asia easily beat investors—very low expectations, and accommodative policies from central banks in those regions helped international equities rebound. Oil prices stabilized, providing some relief for emerging market stocks, although a stronger U.S. dollar continued to be a headwind for the asset class.

U.S. economic data regained momentum in the second quarter, helping U.S. stocks resume an upward path, although meaningful strength in the labor market underscored the likelihood that the Fed would raise short-term rates before the end of 2015 and bond yields moved swiftly higher. The month of June brought a sharp, but temporary, sell-off across most asset classes as Greece s long-brewing debt troubles came to an impasse and investors feared the consequences should Greece leave the eurozone. Adding to global worries was a massive correction in Chinese equity prices despite policymakers attempts to stabilize the market. As these concerns abated in the later part of July, developed markets rebounded with the help of solid corporate earnings. Emerging markets, however, continued to slide as Chinese equities remained highly volatile and growth estimates for many emerging economies were revised lower. Bond markets moved back into positive territory as softer estimates for global growth and the return of falling commodity prices caused yields to move lower.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit blackrock.com for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Sincerely,
Dob Vanita
Rob Kapito
President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of July 31, 2015

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities	6.55%	11.21%
(S&P 500® Index)		

U.S. small cap equities	6.98	12.03
(Russell 2000® Index)		
International equities	7.19	(0.28)
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,		
Far East Index)		
Emerging market equities	(4.76)	(13.38)
(MSCI Emerging Markets		
Index)		
3-month Treasury bills	0.00	0.01
(BofA Merrill Lynch		
3-Month U.S. Treasury		
Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities	(3.64)	5.32
(BofA Merrill Lynch		
10-Year U.S. Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment-grade bonds	(1.47)	2.82
(Barclays U.S.		
Aggregate Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	(0.97)	3.50
bonds (S&P Municipal		
Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds	1.27	0.37
(Barclays U.S. Corporate		
High Yield 2% Issuer		
Capped Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended July 31, 2015 Municipal Market Conditions

Municipal bonds generated positive performance for the period, thanks to a favorable supply-and-demand environment and declining interest rates in the earlier half. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) Interest rates moved lower in 2014 even as the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) curtailed its open-market bond purchases. This, coupled with reassurance from the Fed that short-term rates would remain low for a considerable amount of time, resulted in strong demand for fixed income investments in 2014, with municipal bonds being one of the stronger performing sectors for the year. This trend continued into the beginning of 2015 until rate volatility ultimately increased in February as a result of uneven U.S. economic data and widening central bank divergence, i.e., rate cuts outside the United States while the Fed poised for normalizing U.S. rates. During the 12 months ended July 31, 2015, municipal bond funds garnered net inflows of approximately \$24 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance remained relatively strong from a historical perspective at \$406 billion (considerably higher than the \$306 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 60%) as issuers took advantage of low interest rates and a flatter yield curve to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of July 31, 2015

6 months: (0.97)% 12 months: 3.50%

A Closer Look at Yields

From July 31, 2014 to July 31, 2015, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds declined by 18 basis points (bps) from 3.30% to 3.12%, while 10-year rates fell by 7 bps from 2.26% to 2.19% and 5-year rates increased 8 bps from 1.22% to 1.30% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period even as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattened by 49 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattened by 38 bps.

During the same time period, U.S. Treasury rates fell by 38 bps on 30-year bonds, 35 bps on 10-year bonds and 21 bps in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds underperformed Treasuries across the yield curve, most notably in the intermediate part of the curve as a result of increased supply and tempered demand. In absolute terms, positive performance of muni bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where opportunities had become scarce. More broadly, municipal bonds benefited from the greater appeal of tax-exempt investing in light of the higher tax rates implemented in 2014. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized distress among a few issuers. The four largest states California, New York, Texas and Florida have exhibited markedly improved credit fundamentals during the slow national recovery. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities have seen their bond prices decline noticeably and remain vulnerable to additional price deterioration. On the local level, Chicago s credit quality downgrade is an outlier relative to other cities due to its larger pension liability and inadequate funding remedies. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

4 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2015

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value (NAV) of, their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Funds (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Funds shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and they utilize leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Funds financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Funds with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Funds financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on the Funds longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Funds—return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Funds had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Funds—portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Funds—obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Funds—NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Fund—s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also generally causes greater changes in the Funds NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Fund s Common Shares than if the Funds were not leveraged. In addition, the Funds may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Funds to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Fund s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Funds incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Funds investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Funds investment advisor will be higher than if the Funds did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Fund has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOB Trusts) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act.), each Fund is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Fund may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Fund may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Fund segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of the Funds obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), a TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in various derivative financial instruments. Derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage. Derivative financial instruments also involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Funds ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Funds investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 5

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II,

Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. s (MUE) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Fund Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	MUE
Initial Offering Date	February 26, 1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$13.13) ¹	6.21%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.97%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0680
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8160
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	36%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Based	Returns Based On		
	Market Price NA	V^7		
MUE ⁵	7.96% 6	.84%		
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ⁶	6.90% 6.	.95%		

⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

6 Average return.

The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Long-term bonds outperformed short-term debt, due to a flattening of the yield curve. In this environment, the Funds duration positioning contributed positively to performance. The Funds longer dated holdings in the transportation, utilities, health and school district sectors experienced the best price performance on an absolute basis. Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Funds total return for the period. In addition, the Funds minimal cash balance and use of leverage allowed it to increase its income.

The Fund s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the reporting period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.13	\$ 12.94	1.47%	\$ 14.30	\$ 12.67
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.48	\$ 14.42	0.42%	\$ 15.17	\$ 14.29

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Fund s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	7/31/15	7/31/14
Transportation	37%	37%
County/City/Special District/School District	25	23
Utilities	14	17
Health	11	12
State	7	6
Education	2	2
Corporate	2	
Housing	1	1
Tobacco	1	2

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	6%	6%
AA/Aa	65	67
A	26	25
BBB/Baa	3	2
N/P	2	

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

² Represents less than 1% of Fund s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,
 1%

 2015
 1%

 2016
 1

 2017
 1

 2018
 25

 2019
 15

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 7

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. s (MCA) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and California income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Fund Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MCA
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$14.71) ¹	5.96%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.15%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0730
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8760
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	38%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.93%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
MCA ⁵	8.47%	5.76%		
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds ⁶	7.67%	6.36%		

⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

6 Average return.

7 The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

The California municipal bond market delivered a gain during the 12-month period, as the combination of falling U.S. Treasury yields, the state s improving economy and rising revenues for state and local governments provided firm support for the market. These factors enabled longer-term bonds to outperform their short-term counterparts, and the Fund was positioned for this trend via its exposure to the long end of the yield curve. The Fund also maintained a fully invested posture with a low level of cash reserves, which allowed it to capitalize fully on the market s robust performance.

The Fund s positions in AA-rated credits within the school district, transportation and health sectors outperformed as California s improving credit profile enabled their valuations to rise. Positions in the utilities sector also aided performance. The Fund was further helped by having a zero weighting in Puerto Rico, where a deterioration of credit fundamentals led to a sharp downturn in prices.

Leverage on the Fund s assets, which was achieved through the use of tender option bonds, amplified the positive effect of falling rates on performance.

The Fund s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the reporting period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.71	\$ 14.37	2.37%	\$ 15.94	\$ 14.27
Net Asset Value	\$ 16.11	\$ 16.14	(0.19)%	\$ 16.62	\$ 15.91

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Fund s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	7/31/15	7/31/14
County/City/Special District/School District	39%	41%
Utilities	25	23
Transportation	10	10
Education	12	9
Health	9	10
State	5	7

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	12%	10%
AA/Aa	77	79
A	11	11

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

Call/Maturity Schedule²

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2015	4%
2016	5
2017	14
2018	9

2019

² Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 9

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc. s (MYM) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and Michigan income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Michigan income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

On April 30, 2015, the Board of the Fund and BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY) approved the reorganization of the Fund with and into MIY, with MIY continuing as the surviving fund after the reorganization. At a special shareholder meeting on August 6, 2015, the requisite shareholders of MIY approved the reorganization of the Fund with and into MIY, which was effective on September 14, 2015.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Fund Information

1 dild fillof mation	
Symbol on NYSE	MYM
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$12.56) ¹	6.02%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.11%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0630
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7560
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	37%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 45.81%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- 4 Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
MYM ⁵	6.32%	7.97%		
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds ⁶	4.85%	6.04%		

- ⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.
- 6 Average return.
- The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Long-term bonds outperformed short-term debt, due to a flattening of the yield curve for the full 12 months. Performance differed significantly during the two halves of the annual period, however. In the first half (August 2014 through January 2015), the market rallied significantly and the municipal yield curve flattened aggressively. During this time, long-term rates fell much more than intermediate rates, while two-year rates rose. In contrast, the second half brought weaker price performance and a steepening of the yield curve.

Income in the form of coupon payments made a significant contribution to the Fund s total return for the period. The Fund s exposure to longer-term debt also aided returns. The Fund s duration positioning further contributed to performance, as municipal bond yields decreased during the period. (Duration measures sensitivity to interest rate movements). Positions in the utilities and education sectors were an additional positive factor in performance. The Fund also benefited from the use of leverage, which enabled it to increase its income and capitalize on the market s positive price performance.

There were no detractors from performance on an absolute basis as all areas of the Fund s investment universe appreciated during the period. The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.56	\$ 12.56	0.00%	\$ 13.50	\$ 12.28
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.48	\$ 14.26	1.54%	\$ 15.13	\$ 14.26

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Fund s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	7/31/15	7/31/14
Health	23%	20%
Education	21	18
County/City/Special District/School District	19	19
State	13	11
Utilities	10	16
Transportation	8	9
Housing	4	5
Corporate	2	2

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	1%	2%
AA/Aa	75	78
A	21	20
BBB/Baa	2	
N/R	1	2

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade represents less than 1% of the Fund s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,
 5%

 2015
 5%

 2016
 4

 2017
 8

 2018
 13

 2019
 6

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 11

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. s (MYN) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes and New York State and New York City personal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE	MYN
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$13.13) ¹	5.67%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.48%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0620
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7440
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	38%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.59%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns B	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
MYN ⁵	9.52%	6.54%		
Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds ⁶	8.00%	6.41%		

⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

6 Average return.

The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Longer-term bonds, which were aided by the backdrop of relatively slow economic growth and low inflation, outpaced shorter-term issues, which were pressured by the prospects of an interest rate hike by the U.S. Federal Reserve later in 2015.

In this environment, the Fund s investments in longer duration and longer dated bonds generally provided the best returns. (Duration is a measure of interest rate sensitivity). Positions in the tax-backed (state and local), transportation and utilities sectors were positive contributors to performance. The Fund s investments in zero-coupon bonds, which generated strong price performance, also aided returns. The Fund s exposure to higher-yielding, lower-rated bonds in the investment grade category aided performance, as this market segment outperformed during the period. Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Fund s total return for the period. The Fund s leverage allowed it to increase its income.

The Fund s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the reporting period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.13	\$12.71	3.30%	\$13.86	\$12.68
Net Asset Value	\$14.16	\$14.09	0.50%	\$14.71	\$13.92

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Fund s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	7/31/15	7/31/14
County/City/Special District/School District	21%	27%
Transportation	20	24
Education	18	17
State	15	11
Utilities	14	10
Health	6	6
Housing	3	3
Corporate	2	2
Tobacco	1	

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	15%	16%
AA/Aa	59	60
A	20	21
BBB/Baa	3	2
BB/Ba	1	1
N/R ²	2	

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

² The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2015 and July 31, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade each represents 1% and less than 1%, respectively, of the Fund s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,
 6%

 2015
 6%

 2016
 4

 2017
 10

 2018
 7

 2019
 7

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 13

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. s (MYI) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Fund Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MYI
Initial Offering Date	March 27, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$14.04) ¹	6.32%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.17%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0740
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8880
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	37%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV ⁷	
MYI ⁵	11.06%	6.12%	
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ⁶	6.90%	6.95%	

⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

6 Average return.

The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Long-term bonds outperformed short-term debt, due to a flattening of the yield curve for the full 12 months. Performance differed significantly during the two halves of the annual period, however. In the first half (August 2014 through January 2015), the market rallied significantly and the municipal yield curve flattened aggressively. During this time, long-term rates fell much more than intermediate rates, while two-year rates rose. In contrast, the second half brought weaker price performance and a steepening of the yield curve.

Income in the form of coupon payments made a significant contribution to the Fund s total return for the period. The Fund s exposure to longer-term debt also aided returns. The Fund s duration positioning further contributed to performance, as yields on municipal bonds decreased during the period. (Duration measures sensitivity to interest rate movements). Positions in the utilities and transportation sectors were an additional positive factor in performance. The Fund also benefited from the use of leverage, which enabled it to increase its income and capitalize on the market s positive price performance.

The Fund s absolute performance was negatively affected by its positions in Chicago general obligation and related securities, as well as New Jersey state-appropriated credits. The yield spreads on these securities rose significantly, as prices fell, due to concerns about pensions and credit rating downgrades. The Fund s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk also had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the reporting period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.04	\$ 13.46	4.31%	\$ 14.90	\$ 13.45
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.79	\$ 14.84	(0.34)%	\$ 15.58	\$ 14.61

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Fund s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	7/31/15	7/31/14
Transportation	24%	25%
County/City/Special District/School District	18	20
State	17	16
Utilities	16	19
Health	11	9
Education	9	8
Corporate	2	1
Housing	2	2
Tobacco	1	

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	9%	12%
AA/Aa	65	65
A	20	21
BBB/Baa	6	2

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

Call/Maturity Schedule²

Calendar Year Ended December 31,

2015	1%
2016	4
2017	15
2018	21
2019	13

² Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 15

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

California 27.3% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 2.25%, 1001/18, 2.2865		Par	
Alabama 2.1.% City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC), 6.00%, 601/39 S. 5.225 \$ 5.975,728	M. Challes	(000)	X7.1
City of Brimingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children's Hospital (AGC), 6,00%, 6001/39 \$5,225 \$5,975,728		(000)	Value
(AGC), 6.00%, 60/L39 (City of Selma Alabama IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone, International Paper Co. Project, Series A, 940 1.052,546 7.028,274 California 27.3% California 127.3%			
California 27.3% Califor		\$ 5.225	\$ 5.975.728
California 27.3% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, California Educational Facilities Ennancing Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, S. 25.81, 100/192, S. 25.8		,	7 2,2.2,.2
California 27.3% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 25.2%, 1001/18	5.38%, 12/01/35	940	1,052,546
California 27.3% California 27.3% California			
California 27.3% California 27.3% California			7.028.274
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5,050 5,642,163 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6,00%, 8/15/42 2,865 3,396,572 City & County of Sam Francisco California Airprots Commission, ARB, Special Facility Lease, SFO Field, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.10%, 10/120 1,075,104 City & County of Sam Francisco California Airprots Commission, Refunding ARB, 2nd Series A, AMT: 1,800 2,112,210 S.50%, 50/1/38 1,800 2,117,76 City of San Jose California Ariprots Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 1,500%, 30/1/40 1,500%, 30/1/41 S.00%, 50/1/44 1,300 3,161,116 County of Saramento California, Refunding RB, S.25%, 40/1/40 2,300 3,161,116 County of Saramento California, ARB, Senies Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/41 3,500 3,800,110 County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 50%, 80/1/31 1,875 2,232,544 Exer Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C, 50%, 11/01/33 2,45 2,878,890 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Election of 2000, Series A (NPFCC), 5.00%, 80/117 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2000, Series A (NPFCC), 5.00%, 80/117 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2000, Series C, 52%, 80/139 2,000 2,338,780 Oceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 80/133 1,675 1,839,133 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 80/1/39 2,000 3,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 80/1/39 3,000 3,506,340 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,109, 11/01/31 3,130 3,755,701 3,000 3,506,340 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 40 1,101,492 University of Californi	California 27.3%		.,, .
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Suter Health, Series B, 6,00%, 8/15/42 2,865 3,396,572 City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB, Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6,10%, 1/01/20 1,075,104	California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A,		
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB, Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6, 10/82, 10/120 City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, 2nd Series A, AMT: S50%, 50/133 1,410 1,590,424 City of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 1,410 1,590,424 City of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 1,410 1,590,424 City of Suny At County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,011,776 1,860 2,860 2,860 2,860 2,860 2,860 2,860 2,860 2,87	5.25%, 10/01/38	5,050	5,642,163
Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.10%, 101/20 (1) & Country of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, 2nd Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 501/28 City & Country of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.50%, 501/33 City of Sun Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 301/30 (1) 40.55 City of Sun Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 301/30 (1) 40.55 City of Sun Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 301/30 (1) 40.55 City of Sunnyvale California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 401/40 (2) 800 (3) 161,116 Country of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 501/18 (a) (3) 175 (3) 500 (3) 3,890,110 Country of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 110/13 Emery Unified School District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 110/13 Les Angeles Community College District California, GO. Election of 2001, Series A (NFPGC), 5.00%, 801/17 (a) Election of 2003, Series C, 5.25%, 801/39 Coeanside Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 801/34 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 701/16 (a) 5.149, 5.50%, 5.00%, 5	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42	2,865	3,396,572
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, 2nd Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 50/123 1,800 2,112,210 1,500,424 City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 5/01/43 City & County of San Francisco California, ArB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 3/01/30 4,045 3,176 3,000 3,890,110 County of Venture California, Refunding RB, Series Aric, CQ, Election of 2002, Series C, 5,00%, 8/01/18 (a) Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5,50%, 8/01/35 Election of 2000, Series A (NPFGC), 5,00%, 8/01/17 (a) Election of 2000, Series A (NPFGC), 5,00%, 8/01/17 (a) Election of 2000, Series C, 250%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/33 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/33 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/33 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/33 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%, 8/01/39 Coenaside Unified School District, GO, Eries A (AGC), 5,25%			
1,800 2,112,210 2,559%, 5001/23 1,410 1,590,424 1,590,424 1,500%, 5001/34 1,500%, 5001/34 1,800 2,011,776 1,800 1,410 1,590,424 1,500%, 5001/34 1,800 2,011,776 1,800 1,80		1,070	1,075,104
5.25%, 501/33 City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 5/01/44 City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 3/01/30 4.045 City of San Jose California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 4/01/40 2.010, 700, 500, 5/01/41 3.00 3.800, 110 County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 3.500 3.800, 110 County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 3.500 3.800, 110 County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 3.500 3.800, 110 County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 3.500 3.800, 110 County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 8/01/35 1.875 2.50%, 8/01/18 (a) 3.175 3.605,657 Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/35 1.875 2.2445 2.878,890 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Ellection of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) 1.800		1.000	2.112.210
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 5.01144 1,860 2,011,776 City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 3.01/30 4,045 4,545,730 City of San Jose California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 4.01/40 2,800 3,161,116 County of San camento California, ARB, Senies Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7.01/41 3,500 3,890,110 County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8.01/18 (a) 3,175 3,605,657 Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8.01/18 (b) 3,175 2,232,544 Kern Community College District California, GO: Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33 2,445 2,878,890 Ceasing Community College District California, GO: Election of 2003, Series C, 5.50%, 8.01/17 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.50%, 8.01/17 (a) 5,000 2,328,780 Ceasing Community College District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8.01/33 1,675 1,839,133 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8.01/34 2,670 3,117,412 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 4,500 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000 Value California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/3 3,130 3,725,701 3,50%, 11/01/3 3,130			
1,860 2,011,776		1,410	1,390,424
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A. J., AMT, 5.50%, 301/30 City of Sunnyvale California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 4/01/40 County of Sacramento California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 4/01/40 County of Sacramento California, RRB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 3,500 3,800, 110 County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/18 (a) 3,175 3,605,657 Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/35 Emery Unified School District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Coeanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (Concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 State of California, CO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5,50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5,50%, 11/01/31 5,50%, 11/01/31 5,50%, 11/01/33 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,956,618 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5,50		1.860	2 011 776
City of Sunnyvale California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 4/01/40 County of Suramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 3,500 3,890,110 County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5,50%, 8/01/18 (a) Benery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/35 LR75 2,232,544 Kem Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C, 5,50%, 11/01/33 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Election of 2001, Series A (NPFCC), 5.09%, 8/01/17 (a) Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Ceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/34 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 Ceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Hospital Projects, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 Lag Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Hospital Pr			
County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 3,500 3,890,110 County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/18 (a) 3,175 3,605,657 Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/35 1,875 2,232,544 Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33 2,445 2,878,890 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 2,000 2,328,780 Coeanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 1,675 1,839,133 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 2,670 3,117,412 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 4,500 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California (concluded) State of California (Concluded) State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/30 7,000 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/30 7,000 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/30 7,000 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/30 7,000 5,		,	1 1
County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/18 (a)			
Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 801/35 Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) Election of 2001, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Oceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/34 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 Salto of California Concluded) Salto of California, Concluded Salto of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: Sow, 11/01/30 Salto of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 Part Salto Colorado California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 Responsible Colorado California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 Responsible Colorado California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical	County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C,	•	
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33	5.50%, 8/01/18 (a)	3,175	3,605,657
2,445 2,878,890	Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/35	1,875	2,232,544
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 2,000 2,328,780 Oceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 1,675 1,839,133 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 2,670 3,117,412 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 4,500 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5,50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5,50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5,50%, 11/01/33 3,130 3,725,701 5,50%, 11/01/33 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5,25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5,50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5,50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5,50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6,00%,			
Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a) 5,000 5,438,600 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 2,000 2,328,780 Oceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 1,675 1,839,133 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 2,670 3,117,412 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 4,500 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/31 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% Cuty & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	·	2,445	2,878,890
Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 2,000 2,328,780 Oceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 1,675 1,839,133 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 2,670 3,117,412 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 4,500 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a)		7 000	T 120 COO
Oceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33 1,675 1,839,133 Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 2,670 3,117,412 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Hospital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 4,500 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 5,190 5,437,822 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/33 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB			
Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34 Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 (a) 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/33 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,			
Riverside County Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 4,500 5,114,925 Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.525%, 9/01/33 3,00 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/31 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 5,50% 1,1/15/30 5,50% 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 5,50%, 11/1			
Sequoia Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/16 (a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par		,	
(a) 5,190 5,437,822 Par Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5,50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5,50%, 11/01/33 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.,000	5,11 1,525
Municipal Bonds (000) Value California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 \$ 5,000 \$ 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 \$ 3,130 \$ 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/33 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 \$ 1,260 \$ 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 \$ 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 \$ 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	(a)	5,190	5,437,822
California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 675 771,889			
California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 675 771,889			
California (concluded) State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43 \$ 4,500 \$ 5,027,175 State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 675 771,889	Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I: 5.50%, 11/01/30 5,000 5,984,000 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/33 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	California (concluded)	(
5.50%, 11/01/30 5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 5.50%, 11/01/33 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 88,956,618 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 5.00%, 4/01/43	\$ 4,500	\$ 5,027,175
5.50%, 11/01/31 3,130 3,725,701 3,000 3,566,340 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 **Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 **Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I:		
5.50%, 11/01/33 State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 1,260 1,467,031 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 88,956,618 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	5.50%, 11/01/30	5,000	5,984,000
State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	5.50%, 11/01/31	3,130	3,725,701
5.25%, 9/01/33 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 5.50%, 11/15/31 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	5.50%, 11/01/33	3,000	3,566,340
Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40 940 1,101,492 University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 88,956,618 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	•	1.000	1 467 021
University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38 7,580 8,665,911 88,956,618 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	•		
88,956,618 Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 5.50%, 11/15/28	·		
Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 771,889	Omversity of Camorina, Refunding RD, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 3/13/38	1,500	0,000,711
Colorado 2.1% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 771,889			99.057.719
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT: 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/28 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 771,889	Colorado 21%		88,956,618
5.50%, 11/15/28 1,500 1,738,275 5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 771,889			
5.50%, 11/15/30 565 649,609 5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 771,889	· ·	1 500	1 738 275
5.50%, 11/15/31 675 771,889 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,			
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,			
	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%,	0.0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	5/15/26	3,300	3,696,099

		6,855,872
Florida 20.4%		
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/33	405	468,966
City of St. Petersburg Florida Public Utility Revenue, Refunding RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/15 (a)	3,000	3,024,270
County of Broward Florida Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.13%, 10/01/38	5,665	6,183,347
County of Hillsborough Florida Aviation Authority, Refunding ARB, Tampa International Airport, Series		
A, AMT, 5.50%, 10/01/29	2,995	3,421,698
County of Lee Florida, Refunding ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.38%, 10/01/32	2,500	2,770,350
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Seaport:		
Series A, 5.38%, 10/01/33	1,765	1,989,543
Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/42	3,000	3,434,310
Series B, AMT, 6.25%, 10/01/38	800	976,672
Series B, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/42	1,060	1,241,832
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer System, Series B, 5.25%, 10/01/29	3,130	3,636,622
County of Miami-Dade Florida Aviation, Refunding ARB, AMT, Series A:		
Miami International Airport (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/41	4,610	5,015,911

Portfolio Abbreviations

AGC AGM AMBAC	Assured Guarantee Corp. Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.	EDC ERB FHA	Economic Development Corp. Education Revenue Bonds Federal Housing Administration	ISD LRB M/F	Independent School District Lease Revenue Bonds Multi-Family
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	GAB	Grant Anticipation Bonds	NPFGC	National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
ARB	Airport Revenue Bonds	GARB	General Airport Revenue Bonds	PILOT	Payment in Lieu of Taxes
BARB	Building Aid Revenue Bonds	GO	General Obligation Bonds	PSF-GTD	Permanent School Fund Guaranteed
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.	HDA	Housing Development Authority	Q-SBLF	Qualified School Bond Loan Fund
BOCES	Board of Cooperative Educational Services	HFA	Housing Finance Agency	RB	Revenue Bonds
CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	IDA	Industrial Development Authority	S/F	Single-Family
COP	Certificates of Participation	IDB	Industrial Development Board	SONYMA	State of New York Mortgage Agency
EDA	Economic Development Authority	IDRB	Industrial Development Revenue Bonds	Syncora	Syncora Guarantee

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Florida (concluded)	(000)		
County of Miami-Dade Florida Aviation, Refunding ARB, AMT, Series A (concluded):			
Miami International Airport (AGM), 5.50%, 10/01/41	\$ 4,180	\$ 4,633,781	
5.00%, 10/01/31	5,155	5,731,535	
5.00%, 10/01/32	5,000	5,511,350	
County of Orange Florida School Board, COP, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 8/01/34	7,600	8,474,836	
Reedy Creek Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/32	1,805	2,064,884	
Tohopekaliga Water Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/36	6,965	7,963,224	
Hawaii 0.7%		66,543,131	
State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation, COP, AMT:			
5.25%, 8/01/25	740	863,232	
5.25%, 8/01/26	1,205	1,393,715	
5.25%, 8/01/20	1,203	1,393,713	
		2,256,947	
Illinois 17.0% City of Chicago Illinois, GARB, O Hare International Airport, 3rd Lien:			
Series A, 5.75%, 1/01/39	2,000	2,293,060	
Series C, 6.50%, 1/01/41	9,085	10,915,082	
City of Chicago Illinois Midway International Airport, Refunding GARB, 2nd Lien, Series A:	9,083	10,913,082	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 140	1 100 100	
5.00%, 1/01/41	1,140	1,199,109	
AMT, 5.50%, 1/01/28	1,000	1,128,230	
AMT, 5.50%, 1/01/29	1,500	1,684,020	
AMT, 5.38%, 1/01/33	2,000	2,183,640	
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB:	2 400	2.702.210	
Federal Transit Administration, Section 5309, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 6/01/26	3,400	3,793,210	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/36	2,940	3,125,044	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/40	1,500	1,578,180	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.00%, 12/01/44	2,355	2,462,058	
City of Chicago Illinois Wastewater Transmission, RB, 2nd Lien, 5.00%, 1/01/42	2,985	3,062,252	
County of Cook Illinois Community College District No. 508, GO, City College of Chicago:			
5.25%, 12/01/30	1,270	1,442,161	
5.50%, 12/01/38	1,980	2,259,378	
5.25%, 12/01/43	5,500	6,106,265	
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB:			
5.50%, 6/01/23	2,350	2,714,414	
6.00%, 6/01/28	670	788,530	
State of Illinois, GO:			
5.25%, 2/01/31	1,495	1,559,524	
5.25%, 2/01/32	2,320	2,410,225	
5.50%, 7/01/33	1,000	1,075,590	
5.50%, 7/01/38	700	741,853	
State of Illinois, RB, Build Illinois, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/28	2,500	2,778,150	
		55,299,975	
Indiana 1.0%			
Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%,			
7/01/40	460	481,082	
Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A		2 ((0 5	
(AGC), 5.50%, 1/01/38	2,370	2,669,355	
		3,150,437	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Louisiana 1.4%	(000)	, arac	
Louisiana 1.7 /U			

Late Charles Harbar & Tarminal District DD Carios D AMT 5 500/ 1/01/20	¢ 2.225	¢ 2.502.749
Lake Charles Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT, 5.50%, 1/01/29	\$ 2,225 2,020	\$ 2,503,748 2,218,041
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/29	2,020	2,210,041
		4,721,789
Massachusetts 0.5%		
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding RB, Series C, AMT, 5.35%, 12/01/42	1,500	1,550,565
Michigan 2.3%		
Hudsonville Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.25%, 5/01/41	3,420	3,736,521
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, Series V,		
8.25%, 9/01/18 (a)	3,115	3,801,795
		7,538,316
Minnesota 0.7%		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC), 6.50%,		
11/15/38	1,975	2,258,926
Mississippi 1.3%	-,- ,-	_,,,
Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Jackson Water & Sewer System Project (AGM), 6.88%, 12/01/40	2,405	3,072,700
Mississippi State University Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Mississippi State University	_,	-,-,-,·,
Improvement Project, 5.25%, 8/01/38	1,000	1,136,130
impro-remema reject, or a rec	1,000	1,150,150
		4.000.000
		4,208,830
Nebraska 1.0%	2.000	2 122 522
Omaha Public Power District, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/46	3,000	3,423,630
Nevada 2.8%		
County of Clark Nevada, ARB, Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport, Series A (AGM),		
5.25%, 7/01/39	3,210	3,595,425
County of Clark Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 5.00%, 6/01/38	5,000	5,440,800
		9,036,225
New Jersey 5.2%		
New Jersey EDA, RB, The Goethals Bridge Replacement Project, AMT:		
5.38%, 1/01/43	1,940	2,077,973
(AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/31	1,355	1,491,137
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Virtua Health, Series A (AGC), 5.50%,		
7/01/38	3,400	3,755,504
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System:		
Series A, 5.50%, 6/15/41	3,030	3,193,529
Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 12/15/28	2,930	3,340,171
Series AA, 5.50%, 6/15/39	3,040	3,258,211
		17,116,525
New York 7.8%		17,110,323
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 2nd General		
Resolution, Series EE:		
Fiscal 2009, 5.25%, 6/15/40	6,930	7,833,672
Water & Sewer System, 5.38%, 6/15/43	2,220	2,595,669
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Senior, Fiscal 2012, 5.75%, 2/15/47	940	1,070,359
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB:	770	1,070,337
Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/38	8,500	9,762,080
Series A-1, 5.25%, 11/15/39	1,550	1,765,140
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding ARB, Consolidated, 166th Series, 5.25%, 7/15/36	2,000	2,253,840
2017 June 1910 Total Creation of the Constitution of the Constitut	2,000	2,233,010

25,280,760

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 17

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Ohio 0.9%		
State of Ohio Turnpike Commission, RB, Junior Lien, Infrastructure Projects, Series A-1, 5.25%, 2/15/31	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,869,700
Pennsylvania 3.2%		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB, The Pennsylvania Rapid Bridge		
Replacement Project, AMT:		
5.00%, 12/31/34	2,000	2,142,500
5.00%, 12/31/38	1,880	2,000,376
5.00%, 6/30/42	2,000	2,094,220
Township of Bristol Pennsylvania School District, GO: 5.25%, 6/01/37	2,500	2,837,675
5.25%, 6/01/43	1,100	1,238,864
3.23 /0, 0/01/ 4 3	1,100	1,230,004
		10,313,635
South Carolina 4.1%		
County of Charleston South Carolina, RB, Special Source, 5.25%, 12/01/38	3,760	4,325,692
County of Charleston South Carolina Airport District, ARB, Series A, AMT:		0.000.000
6.00%, 7/01/38	2,940	3,395,906
5.50%, 7/01/41	2,500	2,788,125
State of South Carolina Public Service Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:	200	220.027
5.50%, 1/01/19 (a)	200	229,936
5.50%, 1/01/38	2,300	2,577,495
		13,317,154
Texas 18.9%		
City of Beaumont Texas, GO, Certificates of Obligation, 5.25%, 3/01/37	2,345	2,664,670
City of Houston Texas Utility System, Refunding RB, Combined 1st Lien, Series A (AGC),		
6.00%, 11/15/35	6,700	7,877,860
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health,		
Series A (AGC): 6.50%, 1/01/19 (a)	620	728,760
6.50%, 7/01/37	2,380	2,699,872
Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, 5.25%, 12/01/38	4,555	5,054,957
Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, ARB, Joint Improvement, AMT:	7,333	3,034,737
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/38	1,615	1,715,049
Series H, 5.00%, 11/01/37	1,810	1,930,763
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, 5.50%, 5/15/33	2,155	2,507,105
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Special Projects, Series A, 5.50%, 9/01/41	7,000	8,247,960
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, 1st Tier System:		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.63%, 1/01/33	10,975	11,955,068
Series A (NPFGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40	11,575	12,694,650
Series B (NPFGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40	1,000	1,096,730
Series K-2 (AGC), 6.00%, 1/01/38	1,000	1,135,350
Red River Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project, 5.25%, 3/15/38	1,070	1,217,286
		61,526,080
Virginia 1.1%		
City of Lexington Virginia IDA, RB, Washington & Lee University, 5.00%, 1/01/43	945	1,038,338
Virginia Public School Authority, RB, Fluvanna County School Financing, 6.50%, 12/01/18 (a)	2,195	2,595,192
		3,633,530
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Washington 1.5%	(1.44)	
City of Seattle Washington Municipal Light & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/36	\$ 2,400	\$ 2,709,552
State of Washington, GO, Various Purposes, Series B, 5.25%, 2/01/36	1,865	2,160,472
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

		4,870,024	
Total Municipal Bonds 123.3%		401,756,943	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b)			
Colorado 3.1%			
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health, Series C-3 (AGM), 5.10%, 10/01/41	9,410	9,928,961	
District of Columbia 0.6%			
District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Series A,			
6.00%, 10/01/18 (a)(c)	1,699	1,963,340	
Florida 4.5%			
County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series			
A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40	583	590,692	
County of Miami-Dade Florida, GO, Building Better Communities Program, Series B-1,	12.500	14.150.750	
6.00%, 7/01/38	12,500	14,158,750	
		14,749,442	
Illinois 3.6%			
City of Chicago Illinois, ARB, O Hare International Airport, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/38	5,000	5,315,500	
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Waterworks, 2nd Lien (AGM), 5.25%, 11/01/33	3,967	4,262,581	
State of Illinois Toll Highway Authority, RB, Senior Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/40	1,980	2,194,077	
		11,772,158	
Kentucky 0.8%			
Kentucky State Property & Building Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC),			
5.25%, 2/01/27	2,304	2,592,989	
Nevada 6.4%			
County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO:			
Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/18 (a)	10,000	11,452,300	
Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/29	8,247	9,449,833	
		20,902,133	
New Jersey 1.7%			
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29	3,861	4,072,420	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%,			
6/15/36 (c)	1,500	1,573,531	
		5,645,951	
New York 7.0%			
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer			
System, 2nd General Resolution, Series FF, 5.00%, 6/15/45	5,958	6,545,418	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3,			
5.25%, 1/15/39	2,300	2,557,364	
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, 1 World Trade Center Port Authority Consolidated			
Bonds, 5.25%, 12/15/43	7,515	8,629,700	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Notes to Schedule of Investments

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to	(2.2.2)	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b)	(000)	Value
New York (concluded)		
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 4 World Trade Center Project, 5.75%, 11/15/51 (c)	\$ 4,400	\$ 5,072,232
		22,804,714
Texas 1.5%		22,004,714
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Baylor Health Care System		
Project, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/38	4.296	4,692,794
Utah 0.8%	4,270	4,072,774
City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services, Inc., 5.00%, 8/15/41	2,503	2,725,387
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to	2,303	2,723,307
Tender Option Bond Trusts 30.0%		97,777,869
Total Long-Term Investments		,,
(Cost \$455,499,220) 153.3%		499,534,812
		· ·
Short-Term Securities	Shares	Value
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (d)(e)	5,506,208	\$ 5,506,208
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost \$5,506,208) 1.7%		5,506,208
Total Investments (Cost \$461,005,428) 155.0%		505,041,020
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.1%		3,679,993
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest		
Expense and Fees Payable (15.9)%		(51,809,877)
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (40.2)%		(131,000,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 325,911,136

- (a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Fund. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOB Trusts.
- (c) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Fund to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB Trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB Trust or in the event of a default on the security. In the case of a shortfall or default, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from October 1, 2016 to November 15, 2019, is \$4,822,876.
- (d) During the year ended July 31, 2015, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at July 31,	Net	at July 31,	
Affiliate	2014	Activity	2015	Income
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	4,174,381	1,331,827	5,506,208	\$ 1,843

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Derivative Financial Instruments Outstanding as of July 31, 2015

Financial Futures Contracts

Contracts				Notional	Unrealized	
Short	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Depreciation	
(228)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2015	\$ 29,055,750	\$ (35,956)	

Derivative Financial Instruments Categorized by Risk Exposure

The following is a summary of the Fund's derivative financial instruments categorized by risk exposure. For information about the Fund's investment risks regarding derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

As of July 31, 2015, the fair values of derivative financial instruments were as follows:

				Foreign		
				Currency	Interest	
	Commodity	Credit	Equity	Exchange	Rate	
Statement of Assets and Liabilities Location	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Total

Derivative Financial Instruments Liabilities

Financial futures

contracts Net unrealized depreciation¹ \$ 35,956 \$ 35,956

¹ Includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) on financial futures contract, as reported in the Schedule of Investments. Only current day s variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 19

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

For the year ended July 31, 2015, the effect of derivative financial instruments in the Statements of Operations was as follows:

				Foreign		
				Currency	Interest	
	Commodity	Credit	Equity	Exchange	Rate	
	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Total
Net Realized Gain (Loss) from:						
Financial futures contracts					\$ (1,137,324)	\$ (1,137,324)

				Foreign		
				Currency	Interest	
	Commodity	Credit	Equity	Exchange	Rate	
	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Total
Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation						
(Depreciation) on:						
Financial futures contracts					\$ (125,896)	\$ (125,896)
E4		41 4	£:	4 £-11		

For the year ended July 31, 2015, the average quarterly balances of outstanding derivative financial instruments were as follows:

Financial futures contracts:

Average notional value of contracts short \$40,451,469

Fair Value Hierarchy as of July 31, 2015

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 499,534,812		\$ 499,534,812
Short-Term Securities	\$ 5,506,208			5,506,208
Total	\$ 5,506,208	\$ 499,534,812		\$ 505,041,020
¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political su	hdivision			
See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state of pointear su	barvision.			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative Financial Instruments ²				
Liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ (35,956)			\$ (35,956)

² Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on the instrument.

The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2015, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 307,800			\$ 307,800
Liabilities:				
TOB Trust Certificates		\$ (51,794,593)		(51,794,593)
VMTP Shares		(131,000,000)		(131,000,000)
Total	\$ 307,800	\$ (182,794,593)		\$ (182,486,793)

During the year ended July 31, 2015, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California 91.5%		
Corporate 0.5%		
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric, Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	\$ 2,435	\$ 2,800,007
County/City/Special District/School District 30.7%	2.250	2.550.242
Centinela Valley Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series B, 6.00%, 8/01/36	2,250	2,759,243
City & County of San Francisco California, COP, Port Facilities Project, Series C, AMT, 5.25%, 3/01/32	1,050	1,191,624
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35	2,000	2,300,880
County of Orange California Sanitation District, COP, Series A, 5.00%, 2/01/35	2,500	2,784,050
County of Orange California Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.25%, 8/15/34	9,045	10,368,103
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax, Measure K,	2.755	2 216 296
Series A, 6.00%, 3/01/36 Covina-Valley Unified School District, GO, Election of 2012, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/44	2,755 6,250	3,316,386 7,024,062
Fremont Union High School District, GO, Refunding, 4.00%, 8/01/40	5,000	5,098,550
Garden Grove Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/37	2,725	3,110,342
Grossmont Healthcare District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.13%, 7/15/21 (a)	2,723	3,148,075
Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/33	1,880	2,160,797
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety Repair & Improvements, Series C, 5.25%, 11/01/32	5,715	6,630,200
Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding LRB, Real Property, Series B (AGC),	3,713	0,030,200
5.50%, 4/01/39	2,075	2,342,447
Mount San Antonio Community College District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series 2013 A,	2,073	2,372,447
5.00%, 8/01/34	4,500	5,163,480
Ohlone Community College District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.25%, 8/01/41	8,140	9,441,830
Orchard School District, GO, Election of 2001, Series A, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/01/41	7,490	8,463,625
Oxnard Union High School District California, GO, Refunding, Series A (NPFGC), 6.20%, 8/01/30	9,645	10,432,900
Pittsburg Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGM):	7,043	10,432,700
5.50%, 8/01/34	2,000	2,239,720
5.63%, 8/01/18 (a)	4,500	5,135,625
Redlands Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008 (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	5,000	5,530,850
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/34	7,000	7,026,810
San Jose California Financing Authority, Series A, LRB, Convention Center Expansion & Renovation	7,000	,,020,010
Project:		
5.75%, 5/01/36	2,570	2,659,308
5.75%, 5/01/42	4,500	5,314,770
San Jose California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB:	,	,,,,,
Civic Center Project, 5.00%, 6/01/32	3,375	3,830,355
Convention Center Expansion & Renovation Project, 5.00%, 6/01/39	5,800	6,509,514
San Juan Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34	6,475	7,342,909
San Leandro California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.75%, 8/01/41	3,000	3,562,020
San Ramon Valley Unified School District, GO, Election of 2012, 4.00%, 8/01/40	1,665	1,695,270
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%, 9/01/38	5,600	6,443,920
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Walnut Valley Unified School District, GO, Election of 2007, Series B, 5.75%, 8/01/41	\$ 7,680	\$ 9,252,173
West Contra Costa California Unified School District, GO:		
Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/41	6,140	7,154,082
Election of 2010, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	3,000	3,460,740
Election of 2012, Series A, 5.50%, 8/01/39	2,500	2,883,950
Yosemite Community College District, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 8/01/32 (b)	3,500	4,077,885
		169,856,495
Education 6.0%		, , , , , ,
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Emerson College, 6.00%, 1/01/42	2,750	3,251,105
California State University, Refunding RB:	,	, , , ,
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/43 (b)	6,000	6,830,220
Series C (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/15 (a)	6,000	6,072,840
Gavilan Joint Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series D:		
5.50%, 8/01/31	2,165	2,537,640
5.75%, 8/01/35	8,400	9,937,620

University of California, RB, 5.25%, 5/15/36	3,680	4,337,137	
		32,966,562	
Health 10.6%		32,900,302	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB:			
Children s Hospital, Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/41	10,000	11,057,000	
Providence Health Services, Series B, 5.50%, 10/01/39	4.205	4,794,078	
Sutter Health, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/46	6,970	7,258,907	
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42	7,715	9,146,441	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare West, Series A:	.,	- , - ,	
6.00%, 7/01/34	2,365	2,704,259	
6.00%, 7/01/39	5,500	6,280,065	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB:			
Kaiser Permanente, Series B, 5.25%, 3/01/45	6,000	6,123,000	
Sutter Health, Series A, 6.00%, 8/15/42	4,700	5,572,038	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:			
Catholic Healthcare West, Series D (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/01/31	865	936,553	
Trinity Health Credit Group Composite Issue, 5.00%, 12/01/41	2,850	3,141,869	
Washington Township Health Care District, GO, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/38	1,625	1,912,495	
		58,926,705	
State 7.6%			
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:			
6.00%, 3/01/33	5,500	6,638,335	
6.00%, 4/01/38	17,180	20,021,400	
6.00%, 11/01/39	3,510	4,175,461	
State of California Public Works Board, LRB:			
Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B, 6.50%, 4/01/34	3,670	4,339,885	
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/33	2,575	3,061,109	
Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.13%, 11/01/29	3,365	4,015,118	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 21

42,251,308

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
California (continued)			
Transportation 15.1%			
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB:			
Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39	\$ 9,650	\$ 11,230,091	
Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.10%, 1/01/20	860	864,102	
Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.13%, 1/01/27	985	989,541	
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, AMT: 2nd Series 34E (AGM), 5.75%, 5/01/24	5,000	5,573,800	
2nd Series 34E (AGM), 5.75%, 5/01/25	3,500	3,863,055	
2nd Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/33	1,900	2,143,124	
Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/39	6,175	6,712,287	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, ARB, Los Angeles International Airoport,	· ·	· ·	
Sub-Series B, 5.00%, 5/15/40	2,500	2,814,400	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, Refunding ARB, Los Angeles International			
Airport, Senior Series A, 5.25%, 5/15/29	4,760	5,428,780	
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 6.25%, 3/01/34	1,400	1,646,316	
County of Orange California, ARB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/34	5,000	5,437,250	
County of Sacramento California, ARB:			
Senior Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	8,250	8,980,207	
Subordinated & Passenger Facility Charges/Grant, Series C (AGC), 5.75%, 7/01/39	5,555	6,218,656	
County of San Bernardino California Transportation Authority, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 3/01/40	4,500	5,227,785	
County of San Diego California Regional Airport Authority, Refunding ARB, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/40	6.250	7,046,087	
	6,350	7,046,087	
Los Angeles Harbor Department, RB, Series B: 5.25%, 8/01/34	5,530	6,267,536	
5.25%, 8/01/39	2,760	3,128,101	
5.25 %, 6(01/5)	2,700	3,126,101	
		02 571 110	
Utilities 21.0%		83,571,118	
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, RB, Electric System Distribution Facilities, Series A, 5.38%,			
10/01/36	5,000	5,847,850	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%,	5,000	3,847,830	
7/01/39	8,000	9,076,400	
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/28	2,000	2,307,300	
City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue, RB, Sub-Series A,		•	
5.00%, 11/01/37	10,000	11,463,700	
City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue, Refunding RB,			
Series A:			
5.00%, 11/01/36	5,335	6,157,764	
5.25%, 11/01/31	6,280	7,191,981	
County of Riverside California Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facility Project,			
5.25%, 11/01/45	10,000	11,302,500	
County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/38	4,895	5,355,766	
Dublin-San Ramon Services District, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 8/01/41	4,000	4,756,880	
East Bay California Municipal Utility District Water System Revenue, Refunding RB (a):	4.000	4 226 760	
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/17 Sub Series A (ACM), 5.00%, 6/01/17	4,000 5,000	4,326,760 5.408.450	
Sub-Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/17 East Bay California Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/30	5,000 5,000	5,408,450 5,776,850	
Last Day Camornia Municipal Curry District, Retunding RD, 3d0-3CHCS A, 3.00%, 0/01/30	9,000 Par	3,770,630	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
California (concluded)	(000)	, uiuc	
Utilities (concluded)			
El Dorado Irrigation District / El Dorado County Water Agency, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM),			
5.25%, 3/01/39	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,751,700	
Imperial Irrigation District, Refunding RB, Electric System, 5.13%, 11/01/38	5,000	5,504,300	
Los Angeles County Public Works Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Multiple Capital Projects,			
Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/44	2,045	2,289,071	
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37	15,000	16,069,350	
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Sewer, Refunding RB, Senior Series A, 5.25%,	2.000	0.270.202	
5/15/34	2,000	2,269,200	

Santa Monica Community College District, GO, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/44 5,000 5,669,700

116,525,522 **Total Municipal Bonds** 91.5% 506,897,717

Municipal Bonds Transferred to	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)	

Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)			
California 67.7%			
County/City/Special District/School District 31.9%			
Arcadia Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2006, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/37	7,925	8,372,803	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series A, 5.00%, 8/15/52	10,000	10,969,600	
County of San Luis Obispo Community College District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2014, Series A,			
4.00%, 8/01/40	6,585	6,677,225	
Desert Community College District California, GO, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/37	12,150	12,991,630	
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO (a):			
Election of 2001, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/17	12,000	13,052,640	
Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/17	26,438	28,756,631	
Election of 2003, Series F-1, 5.00%, 8/01/18	12,000	13,465,680	
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C,			
6.00%, 8/01/19 (a)	9,596	11,463,370	
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34	5,000	5,621,600	
Palomar California Community College District, GO, Election of 2006, Series C, 5.00%, 8/01/44	15,140	17,192,833	
Poway Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002, Improvement District 02, Series 1-B (AGM),			
5.00%, 8/01/16 (a)	10,000	10,471,000	
San Francisco California Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%,			
7/01/30	19,630	19,705,772	
West Valley-Mission Community College District, GO, Election of 2012, Series A, 4.00%, 8/01/40	17,000	17,843,880	
		176,584,664	
Education 12.4%			
Los Rios Community College District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/35	11,000	12,485,660	
University of California, RB:			
Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/16 (a)	8,000	8,379,840	
Series AM, 5.25%, 5/15/44	9,210	10,638,471	
Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/19 (a)	11,190	13,147,094	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

22 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c) California (continued)		Par (000)	Value	
Education (concluded)				
University of California, Refunding RB, Series I, 5.00%, 5/15/40	\$	21,105	\$ 24,065,569	
			68,716,634	
Health 3.9%			,,,,	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Kaiser Permanente, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/42		19,860	21,715,520	
Transportation 1.1%				
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, RB, Senior Revenue, Series A, AMT,				
5.00%, 5/15/40		5,500	6,091,910	
Utilities 18.4%				
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, RB, Refunding, Series A:				
5.00%, 5/01/39		6,000	6,730,680	
5.00%, 5/01/46		13,500	15,053,715	
City & County of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission, RB, Water Revenue, Series B, 5.00%, 11/01/39		4,380	4,933,939	
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System, RB, Green Bonds, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/44		6,290	7,141,855	
Country of San Mateo Community College District, GO, Series A, 5.00%, 9/01/45		17,615	20,181,650	
County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Election of 2014, Series A		17,013	20,161,030	
(AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33		8,510	9,323,130	
East Bay California Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%,		0,0 - 0	7,0 = 0,100	
6/01/17 (a)		7,990	8,642,703	
		Par		
Municipal Bonds Transferred to				
Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)		(000)	Value	
California (concluded)		(000)	, 4144	
Utilities (concluded)				
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System:				
Sub-Series A-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/37	\$	13,525	\$ 14,515,931	
Sub-Series A-1 (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/37	_	5,029	 5,397,776	
Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34		9,277	10,218,672	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	., .,	
			102,140,051	
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to				
Tender Option Bond Trusts 67.7%			375,248,779	
Total Long-Term Investments				
(Cost \$823,245,371) 159.2%			882,146,496	
Short-Term Securities		Shares		
BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (d)(e)	1:	2,279,624	12,279,624	
Total Chart Torm Cognities				
Total Short-Term Securities			10 070 (04	
(Cost \$12,279,624) 2.2%			12,279,624	
(Cost \$12,279,624) 2.2% Total Investments (Cost \$835,524,995) 161.4%			894,426,120	
(Cost \$12,279,624) 2.2% Total Investments (Cost \$835,524,995) 161.4% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.1)%				
(Cost \$12,279,624) 2.2% Total Investments (Cost \$835,524,995) 161.4% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.1)% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest			894,426,120 (1,258,448)	
(Cost \$12,279,624) 2.2% Total Investments (Cost \$835,524,995) 161.4% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.1)% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (31.2)%			894,426,120 (1,258,448) (172,607,309)	
(Cost \$12,279,624) 2.2% Total Investments (Cost \$835,524,995) 161.4% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.1)% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest			894,426,120 (1,258,448)	

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

		Un	realized
Counterparty	Value	App	oreciation
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.	\$ 6,830,220	\$	50,640
Stifel Nicolaus & Co.	\$ 4,077,885	\$	19,670

- (c) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Fund. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOB Trusts.
- (d) During the year ended July 31, 2015, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held		
	at July 31,	Net	at July 31,		Realized
Affiliate	2014	Activity	2015	Income	Gain
BIF California Municipal Money Fund	1,241,242	11,038,382	12,279,624	\$ 2	\$ 106

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Derivative Financial Instruments Outstanding as of July 31, 2015

Financial Futures Contracts

C	ontracts				Notional	Unrealized	
	Short	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Depreciation	
	(100)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2015	\$ 12,743,750	\$ (43,114)	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 23

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

Derivative Financial Instruments Categorized by Risk Exposure

The following is a summary of the Fund s derivative financial instruments categorized by risk exposure. For information about the Fund s investment risks regarding derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

As of July 31, 2015, the fair values of derivative financial instruments were as follows:

Foreign

Currency Interest

Commodity Credit Equity Exchange Rate

Statement of Assets and Liabilities Location Contracts Contracts Contracts Contracts Contracts Total

Derivative Financial Instruments Liabilities

Financial futures

contracts Net unrealized depreciation¹

\$ 43,114

\$ 43,114

For the year ended July 31, 2015, the effect of derivative financial instruments in the Statements of Operations was as follows:

				Foreign		
				Currency	Interest	
	Commodity	Credit	Equity	Exchange	Rate	
	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Total
Net Realized Gain (Loss) from:						
Financial futures contracts				Foreign	\$ (944,153)	\$ (944,153)
				Currency	Interest	
	Commodity	Credit	Equity	Exchange	Rate	
	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Total
Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on:						
Financial futures contracts					\$ (127,871)	\$ (127,871)

For the year ended July 31, 2015, the average quarterly balances of outstanding derivative financial instruments were as follows:

Financial futures contracts:

Average notional value of contracts short \$42,220,723

¹ Includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) on financial futures contract, as reported in the Schedule of Investments. Only current day s variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

Fair Value Hierarchy as of July 31, 2015

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 882,146,496		\$ 882,146,496
Short-Term Securities	\$ 12,279,624			12,279,624
Total	\$ 12,279,624	\$ 882,146,496		\$ 894,426,120

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative Financial Instruments ²				
Liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ (43,114)			\$ (43,114)

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on the instrument. The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2015, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1		Level 2	Level 3 To		Total
Assets:						
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$	135,000			\$	135,000
Liabilities:						
TOB Trust Certificates			\$ (172,574,311)		(1)	72,574,311)
VRDP Shares			(166,500,000)		(1	66,500,000)
Total	\$	135,000	\$ (339,074,311)		\$ (3	38,939,311)

During the year ended July 31, 2015, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2015

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc. (MYM)

1	n	_	

Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Michigan 136.3%			
Corporate 2.8%			
County of Monroe EDC Michigan, Refunding RB, Detroit Edison Co. Project, Series AA (NPFGC),	A 2005	4 4 000 605	
6.95%, 9/01/22	\$ 3,805	\$ 4,922,605	
County/City/Special District/School District 25.7%			
Anchor Bay School District, GO, Refunding (Q-SBLF):	< 10	605.450	
4.38%, 5/01/27	640	685,152	
4.50%, 5/01/29	605	645,983	
Berkley School District, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/35	1,125	1,262,329	
Charter Township of Canton Michigan, GO, Capital Improvement (AGM):	1.250	1 221 612	
5.00%, 4/01/25	1,250	1,331,612	
5.00%, 4/01/26 5.00%, 4/01/27	1,250	1,332,250	
5.00%, 4/01/27 City of Oak Park Michigan, GO, Street Improvement (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/30	500 600	530,160	
	000	616,524	
Columbia Michigan School District, GO, Unlimited Tax, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/38	1,970	2,199,505	
Comstock Park Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site, Series B (Q-SBLF):	1,970	2,199,303	
5.50%, 5/01/36	450	516,200	
5.50%, 5/01/41	830	950,201	
County of Genesee Michigan, GO, Refunding, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/19	400	404,128	
Dearborn Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, GO, Limited Tax, Redevelopment, Series A (AGC),	400	404,128	
5.50%, 5/01/39	2,000	2,238,300	
Dearborn School District, GO, Series A (Q-SBLF):	2,000	2,238,300	
5.00%, 5/01/32	570	645,177	
5.00%, 5/01/33	610	689,977	
5.00%, 5/01/34	455	512,894	
Farmington Public School District, GO, Refunding, School Building & Site (AGM):	433	312,054	
5.00%, 5/01/33	570	638,582	
5.00%, 5/01/34	570	636,587	
5.00%, 5/01/35	380	422,408	
Flint EDC, RB, Michigan Department of Human Services Office Building Project, 5.25%, 10/01/41	1,880	1,998,741	
Goodrich Area School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF):	-,	-,,,,,,,,,	
5.50%, 5/01/32	400	465,600	
5.50%, 5/01/36	800	917,688	
5.50%, 5/01/41	1,000	1,144,820	
Grandville Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), Series II, 5.00%, 5/01/40	1,235	1,350,522	
Harper Creek Community School District Michigan, GO, Refunding (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/22	1,000	1,003,910	
Hudsonville Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.25%, 5/01/41	2,650	2,895,257	
Jonesville Community Schools Michigan, GO, Refunding (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/29	1,085	1,088,515	
Lincoln Consolidated School District Michigan, GO, Refunding (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF), 4.63%, 5/01/28	1,675	1,717,897	
Livonia Public Schools School District Michigan, GO, Series I (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/43	1,910	2,098,823	
Mattawan Consolidated School District, GO, Series I (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/39	1,280	1,425,075	
Midland Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site, Series I (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/34	380	427,724	
Romulus Community Schools, GO, Unlimited Tax, Refunding (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 4.50%, 5/01/29	630	676,784	
Roseville Community Schools, GO, Refunding (Q-SBLF):			
5.00%, 5/01/30	1,615	1,846,575	
5.00%, 5/01/31	1,000	1,138,010	
	Par		
W 44 48 4	(000)	** *	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Michigan (continued) County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)			
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded) The month Kelloga School District Michigan CO. Refunding School Building & Site (NDECC)			
Thornapple Kellogg School District Michigan, GO, Refunding, School Building & Site (NPFGC) (O-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/17 (a)	¢ 1.500	¢ 1.614.000	
	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,614,090	
Troy School District, GO (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/28 Van Dyka Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (O SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/28	760 750	874,920 817 147	
Van Dyke Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/28 Walled Lake Consolidated School District, GO (Q-SBLF):	750	817,147	
5.00%, 5/01/37	1,080	1,209,946	
3.00 /0, 3/01/3 /	1,000	1,209,940	

5.00%, 5/01/40	1,000	1,111,930	
5.00%, 5/01/43	1,530	1,693,159	
Zeeland Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site, Series A (AGM):			
5.00%, 5/01/33	380	423,069	
5.00%, 5/01/34	380	421,747	
5.00%, 5/01/35	380	420,432	
		·	
		45 040 250	
Education 21.3%		45,040,350	
City of Grand Rapids Michigan, EDC, RB, Ferris State University Project, Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/35	760	859,302	
Ferris State University, Refunding RB, General (AGM):	700	639,302	
4.50%, 10/01/24	1,595	1,746,908	
4.50%, 10/01/24	1,405	1,538,812	
·	1,403	1,330,612	
Michigan Finance Authority, Refunding RB:	1 425	1 550 242	
5.00%, 11/15/45	1,425	1,550,243	
AMT, 4.00%, 11/01/28	3,325	3,320,711	
AMT, 4.00%, 11/01/29	2,240	2,227,277	
AMT, 4.00%, 11/01/30	1,080	1,065,183	
AMT, 4.00%, 11/01/31	1,195	1,174,398	
Michigan State University, Refunding RB, General:	2.025	2 207 220	
Series A, 5.00%, 8/15/41	3,035	3,385,330	
Series C, 5.00%, 2/15/40	3,770	4,201,401	
Series C, 5.00%, 2/15/44	1,000	1,114,430	
Michigan Technological University, RB, General, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/45	685	745,307	
Michigan Technological University, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/34	810	907,233	
Oakland University, RB, General:			
5.00%, 3/01/32	400	437,704	
Series A, 5.00%, 3/01/38	715	788,373	
Series A, 5.00%, 3/01/43	2,980	3,262,921	
University of Michigan, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/39	1,300	1,476,891	
Wayne State University, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/40	1,000	1,107,820	
Western Michigan University, Refunding RB, General, University and College Improvements:			
5.25%, 11/15/40	1,400	1,545,460	
5.25%, 11/15/43	3,220	3,634,575	
(AGM), 5.25%, 11/15/33	380	430,095	
(AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/39	665	732,178	
		37,252,552	
Health 35.9%		, ,	
Grand Traverse County Hospital Finance Authority, RB, Series A:			
5.00%, 7/01/44	2,230	2,390,605	
5.00%, 7/01/47	835	893,200	
Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, RB, Bronson Methodist Hospital (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/36	2,750	2,952,812	
Kent Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, Spectrum Health, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/29	3,000	3,370,680	
Michigan Finance Authority, RB, Sparrow Obligated Group, 5.00%, 11/15/36	950	1,018,181	
Michigan Finance Authority, Refunding RB:	750	1,010,101	
5.00%, 6/01/39	570	617,772	
Hospital, McLaren Health Care, 5.00%, 5/15/32	385	429,117	
Troophun, meducin ricultin cure, 5.00%, 5/15/52	303	727,117	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 25

Series I (AGC), 5.25%, 10/15/25

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc. (MYM)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Panda	(000)	Value	
Municipal Bonds Michigan (continued)	(000)	vaiue	
Health (concluded)			
Michigan Finance Authority, Refunding RB (concluded):			
Hospital, McLaren Health Care, 5.00%, 5/15/33	\$ 760	\$ 842,452	
Hospital, McLaren Health Care, 5.00%, 5/15/34	575	636,882	
Hospital, McLaren Health Care, 5.00%, 5/15/34	1,900	2,104,478	
Hospital, McLaren Health Care, 5.00%, 5/15/35	1,875	2,070,300	
Hospital, Oakwood Obligated Group, 5.00%, 8/15/31	570	621,528	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/31	1,900	2,122,281	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/35	2,400	2,653,032	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/39	1,650	1,814,010	
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, RB:			
Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/25	2,300	2,574,942	
MidMichigan Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 4/15/16 (a)	380	392,563	
Trinity Health Credit, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/16 (a)	855	905,838	
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB:			
Henry Ford Health, 5.75%, 11/15/39	1,200	1,357,104	
Hospital, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 7/15/17 (a)	6,210	6,730,274	
Hospital, Sparrow Obligated Group, 5.00%, 11/15/17 (a)	1,130	1,238,299	
Hospital, Sparrow Obligated Group, 5.00%, 11/15/31	465	492,286	
McLaren Health Care, Series A, 5.75%, 5/15/18 (a)	1,500	1,699,500	
McLaren Health Care, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/35	860	942,689	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 6.50%, 12/01/18 (a)	1,105	1,303,789	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 6.50%, 12/01/33	295	339,041	
Trinity Health Credit Group, Series A, 6.13%, 12/01/18 (a)	940	1,097,582	
Trinity Health Credit Group, Series A, 6.25%, 12/01/18 (a)	570	667,886	
Trinity Health Credit Group, Series C, 4.00%, 12/01/32	2,450	2,505,811	
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital:	12 220	14 242 402	
Series D, 5.00%, 9/01/39	13,230	14,242,492	
Series V, 8.25%, 9/01/18 (a)	1,000 575	1,220,480 683,175	
Series W, 6.00%, 8/01/19 (a)	3/3	065,175	
		62,931,081	
Housing 5.9%			
Michigan State HDA, RB:	1.000	4 000 550	
Deaconess Tower, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 5.25%, 2/20/48	1,000	1,009,750	
Series A, 4.75%, 12/01/25	2,605	2,772,059	
Series A, 4.45%, 10/01/34	380	389,659	
Series A, 4.63%, 10/01/39	1,325	1,363,266	
Series A, 4.30%, 10/01/40 Series A, 4.75%, 10/01/44	1,260 1,900	1,270,395	
Michigan State HDA, Refunding RB, Series A, 6.05%, 10/01/41	1,375	1,957,741 1,486,650	
Michigan State fida, Retunding RB, Series A, 0.03%, 10/01/41	1,575	1,460,030	
		10.010.500	
0 1550		10,249,520	
State 15.5%			
Michigan Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Detroit Regional Convention Facility Authority Local	2.050	2.259.922	
Project Bonds, 5.00%, 10/01/39 Michigan Structure Found BR Michigan Structure Office Business Service A 5.25% 10/15/40	2,050	2,258,833	
Michigan Strategic Fund, RB, Michigan Senate Offices Project, Series A, 5.25%, 10/15/40	1,140	1,275,614	
Michigan Strategic Fund, Refunding RB, Cadillac Place Office Building Project, 5.25%, 10/15/31 Michigan (concluded)	2,650	2,994,606	
State (concluded)			
State (concluded) State of Michigan Building Authority, RB, Local Government Loan Program, Series F,			
5.25%, 10/01/41	\$ 2,510	\$ 2,682,663	
State of Michigan Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program:	Ψ 2,310	Ψ 2,002,003	
Series I, 6.25%, 10/15/18 (a)	2,350	2,686,684	
Series I, 5.00%, 10/15/45 (b)	2,600	2,873,338	
Series I (AGC), 5.25%, 10/15/24	2,000	2,279,420	
Series I (ACC) 5.25%, 10/15/25	1.500	1 704 345	

1,704,345

1,500

Series I (AGC), 5.25%, 10/15/26	400	453,552
Series I-A, 5.50%, 10/15/45	750	838,298
Series II (AGM), 5.00%, 10/15/26	3,000	3,371,490

State of Michigan Trunk Line Fund, RB: