

SUSSEX BANCORP
Form 8-K/A
December 06, 2017

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K/A
(Amendment No. 1)

CURRENT REPORT
Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): December 5, 2017

SUSSEX BANCORP
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

001-12569
(Commission
File Number)

22-3475473
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

Edgar Filing: SUSSEX BANCORP - Form 8-K/A

100 Enterprise Dr.

Rockaway, New Jersey 07866

(Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (844) 256-7328

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (17 CFR §230.405) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (17 CFR §240.12b-2).

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Explanatory Note

This Current Report on Form 8-K/A (Amendment No. 1) amends the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Sussex Bancorp on December 5, 2017 (the Initial Form 8-K), in order to provide additional supplemental disclosure to the joint proxy statement/prospectus that was inadvertently omitted from the Initial Form 8-K.

Item 8.01. Other Events.

On October 27, 2017, Sussex Bancorp (Sussex) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) a definitive joint proxy statement/prospectus with respect to (A) the special meeting of Sussex's shareholders scheduled to be held on December 15, 2017 at which Sussex shareholders will be asked to, among other things, vote on a proposal to approve the agreement and plan of merger by and among Sussex, Sussex Bank, a New Jersey-chartered bank and wholly owned subsidiary of Sussex, and Community Bank of Bergen County, NJ, a New Jersey-chartered bank (Community), dated as of April 10, 2017, pursuant to which Community will merge with and into Sussex Bank with Sussex Bank surviving the merger, and (B) the special meeting of Community's shareholders scheduled to be held on December 15, 2017 at which Community shareholders will be asked to vote on the same.

A purported securities class action lawsuit has been filed against Sussex, Community and each of the members of the board of directors of Community in the Superior Court of New Jersey Chancery Division, Bergen County. Captioned Parshall v. Community Bank of Bergen County, NJ, et al., (No. C-222-17), the case was filed on August 17, 2017, purports to have been brought on behalf of all public shareholders of Community, and seeks to enjoin the defendants from proceeding with the shareholder vote on the proposed merger transaction at the special meetings or consummating the proposed merger unless and until Sussex and Community disclose allegedly omitted information, in addition to paying damages allegedly suffered by the plaintiffs as a result of the asserted omissions, as well as attorneys' fees and expenses.

Sussex and Community believe that all allegations in the complaint are without merit, and further believe that no supplemental disclosure is required under applicable laws; however, Sussex and Community wish to make certain supplemental disclosures related to the merger transaction solely for the purpose of mooted the allegations contained in the complaint and avoiding the expense and burden of litigation. Nothing in the supplemental disclosures shall be deemed an admission of the legal necessity or materiality under applicable law of any of the supplemental disclosures.

Important information concerning the merger transaction is set forth in the joint proxy statement/prospectus. The joint proxy statement/prospectus is amended and supplemented by, and should be read as part of, and in conjunction with, the information set forth in this Current Report on Form 8-K.

SUPPLEMENT TO JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

Sussex and Community have agreed to make the following amended and supplemental disclosures to the joint proxy statement/prospectus. This supplemental information should be read in conjunction with the joint proxy statement/prospectus, which should be read in its entirety. Page references in the below disclosures are to the joint proxy statement/prospectus, and certain terms used but not defined herein have the meanings set forth in the joint proxy statement/prospectus. Without admitting in any way that the disclosures below are material or otherwise required by law, Sussex and Community make the following amended and supplemental disclosures:

The section of the joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled "The Merger Background of the Merger" is amended and supplemented as follows:

The third, fourth and fifth sentences of the first paragraph under the subsection Background of the Merger on page 80 of the joint proxy statement/prospectus are replaced, in their entirety, with the following:

After discussing this analysis with FinPro, in January 2017, Mr. Michelotti contacted Mr. Labozzetta, and they met to discuss the possibility and relative merits of a business combination between the two institutions. They also discussed, among other things, Mr. Michelotti's possible position with the combined organization as a senior executive in order to retain Mr. Michelotti's expertise and market presence, but no terms of such position were discussed. After their discussion, it was decided that a follow-up meeting was warranted.

The ninth paragraph under the subsection Background of the Merger on page 81 of the joint proxy statement/prospectus is replaced, in its entirety, with the following:

Thereafter, Sussex offered to Community in a non-binding indication of interest dated February 7, 2017, a price between \$22.00 and \$23.00 per share, resulting in an aggregate deal value between \$42.4 million and \$44.4 million (including the cash out of options). Sussex Bank would merge with Community in a 100% stock transaction in which Sussex Bank would be the surviving institution. The non-binding indication of interest also invited three current Community directors (to be selected by Sussex after consultation with Community) to join the board of directors of the combined entity and requested a 45-day exclusivity period to continue confidential discussions. It also stated that Sussex looked forward to discussing an ongoing senior executive role with Mr. Michelotti to ensure that Sussex retained his expertise and market presence, and that Sussex would enter into a new employment contract with Mr. Michelotti after paying the change in control payments set forth in his current contract with Community. Sussex also stated that it would consider hiring certain of Community's existing executive officers based on needs identified in the pro forma company.

The following supplemental disclosure is added after the last sentence of the eighteenth paragraph under the subsection Background of the Merger on page 82 of the joint proxy statement/prospectus :

During such time, Sussex determined that Mr. Michelotti would be appointed to one of the three board seats being offered to Community directors, and that determination was reflected in the press release announcing the merger. Also Sussex and Mr. Michelotti negotiated the terms of his employment agreement with Sussex. In order to ensure the continued employment of Community's key officers, Sussex and Community also agreed to an amendment to the employment contracts of Mr. Michelotti, Ms. James and Mr. Fantacone requested by such officers providing for the automatic payment of their change in control payments at the closing of the merger without regard to any termination of employment.

The second sentence of the nineteenth paragraph under the subsection Background of the Merger on page 82 of the joint proxy statement/prospectus is replaced, in its entirety, with the following:

Representatives of FinPro and Stevens & Lee participated in the meeting. Representatives of Stevens & Lee reviewed in detail the terms of the merger agreement, the separate bank merger agreement, the voting agreements to be entered into by the directors and senior management, the amendments to the employment agreements of Mr. Michelotti, Ms. James and Mr. Fantacone, and Mr. Michelotti's employment agreement with Sussex. Stevens & Lee also summarized the directors' fiduciary duties, specifically in the context of a change of control.

The section of the joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled **The Merger Opinion of FinPro Capital Advisors, Inc., Financial Advisor to Community** is amended and supplemented as follows:

The following supplemental disclosure is added before the last paragraph on page 89 of the joint proxy statement/prospectus under the subheading *Comparable Regional Group*.

Below is the full table and financial multiples and metrics that are shown above utilizing the 25th percentile, median and 75th percentile for the Regional Comparable Transactions:

Company Name	Target City	Target State	Announce Date	Transaction Pricing At Announcement				Total Assets (\$000s)	Target's Financials at Announcement				
				Deal Value (\$mil)	LTM Earnings (x)	Price/Book Value (%)	Franchise Premium/Core Deposits (%)		Tang. Assets (%)	NPAs/Assets (%)	ALLL/NPLs (%)	LTM ROA (%)	LTM ROAE (%)
/	Scottsdale	PA	3/29/2017	59.1	NM	130.0	6.4	\$263,308	17.3	0.3	128.0	0.2	1.2
/ DCB	Damascus	MD	2/1/2017	40.7	37.2	160.8	7.3	310,225	8.2	1.3	75.6	0.4	4.4
New	New Windsor	MD	11/22/2016	32.8	20.5	143.3	3.9	311,064	7.4	0.8	NA	0.5	7.1
/ Valley	Pittsburgh	PA	8/29/2016	53.4	15.0	123.5	3.5	434,990	10.1	1.3	67.3	0.8	7.1
Monument	Rockville	MD	5/3/2016	65.1	20.3	161.5	7.3	513,820	9.0	1.2	63.9	0.7	7.3
Financial /	Philadelphia	PA	4/4/2016	49.0	21.2	154.9	11.5	310,742	9.8	0.5	248.7	0.8	7.7
r Financial	Walton	NY	3/10/2016	15.2	25.7	114.5	0.7	371,689	3.6	0.5	NA	0.3	3.0
e	Jackson	NJ	2/18/2016	31.7	20.5	125.4	3.4	295,091	9.4	1.1	95.8	0.6	5.7
/	Baltimore	MD	12/18/2015	23.2	NM	106.9	0.9	239,659	14.3	2.3	34.1	0.0	0.0
kins	Frederick	MD	10/19/2015	20.1	38.8	121.1	2.7	205,096	8.1		NA	0.3	3.2
BlueRidge	Pennington	NJ	8/26/2015	55.3	22.4	147.8	5.2	495,016	9.4	1.4	69.7	0.5	5.3
l /	Waldwick	NJ	8/4/2015	41.9	18.8	130.0	3.9	353,929	9.1	0.7	NA	0.7	7.8
/ Pascack	Fredericksburg	PA	6/30/2015	22.9	NM	144.3	3.4	238,080	6.7	2.1	24.9	0.1	1.9
First	Naugatuck	CT	6/4/2015	77.8	35.5	125.9	5.3	507,032	12.1	1.5	81.0	0.4	3.5
rk Valley	Broomall	PA	3/3/2015	93.4	35.5	135.5	9.3	420,829	15.8	2.2	54.9	0.6	3.8
Financial /	Dundalk	MD	3/3/2015	10.1	6.1	100.6	NA	226,396	7.2	2.0	81.1	1.0	15.4
ancorp,													
osco													
entile:				23.1	20.3	122.9	3.4	257,396	7.9	0.6	61.6	0.3	3.1
				41.3	21.2	130.0	3.9	310,903	9.2	1.2	72.6	0.5	4.9
entile:				56.3	35.5	145.2	6.8	424,369	10.6	1.6	84.8	0.7	7.2

ity Bank
County,

45.4 27.0 157.2 6.2 \$ 340,500 8.4 2.4 46.7 0.5 5.7

The following supplemental disclosure is added before the last paragraph on page 90 of the joint proxy statement/prospectus under the subheading *Comparable National Group*.

Below is a table and financial multiples and metrics that are shown above utilizing the 25th percentile, median and 75th percentile for the National Comparable Transactions:

Transaction Name	Target City	Target State	Announce Date	Transaction Pricing At Announcement			
				Deal Value (\$mil)	LTM Earnings (x)	Book Value (%)	Tang.Premium Core Deposits (%)
Old Line / DCB	Damascus	MD	2/1/2017	40.7	37.2	160.8	7.3
ACNB / New Windsor	New Windsor	MD	11/22/2016	32.8	20.5	143.3	3.9
Glacier / TFB	Yuma	AZ	11/15/2016	63.6	17.5	171.8	10.2
Seacoast / GulfShore	Tampa	FL	11/4/2016	52.6	39.9	145.3	6.5
Bay Banks / Virginia	Petersburg	VA	11/3/2016	29.6	12.5	84.2	(2.8)
United Community / Liberty	Alton	IL	9/30/2016	36.0	25.7	115.7	2.0
HomeTrust / TriSummit	Kingsport	TN	9/21/2016	31.8	19.5	123.6	3.1
United Community / Ohio Legacy	North Canton	OH	9/8/2016	40.2	31.5	139.5	6.4
United Community / Illini	Springfield	IL	6/8/2016	30.9	37.8	138.2	NA
Sunshine / FBC	Orlando	FL	5/10/2016	39.2	17.1	133.1	3.8
DNB Financial / East River	Philadelphia	PA	4/4/2016	49.0	21.2	154.9	11.5
25% Percentile:				32.3	18.5	128.4	3.3
Median:				39.2	21.2	139.5	5.2

Upon standards related to a traditional debt instrument, the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption from debt classification. In addition, the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption from debt classification in the conversion price, and it further did not meet the criteria for equity classification. Therefore, we classified this instrument as a liability. We also concluded that this instrument is a debt-type instrument and carry it as a liability. We also concluded that debt-type instruments are generally not classified as equity. This instrument is indexed to certain events, noted above, and contains embedded features that required bifurcation from equity and the recognition of derivative liabilities. In addition, due to the presence of the conversion feature, we classified this instrument as a liability. On September 2002, February 2003 and May 2003, respectively, of Series J Preferred Stock with a par value of 14,000,000 shares of our common

nts have terms of five years and an exercise
 \$1,190,867 from the financing
 to the warrants, respectively. Since these
 required to be carried as derivative
 pound derivative on the inception dates, and
 use that technique embodies all of the
 atility and conversion estimates) that are
 mated the fair value of the warrants on the
 erton Valuation technique, because that
 y, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are
 se estimates, our valuation model resulted in
 rred Stock of \$6,014,000 and \$5,628,000
 e amounts are included in Derivative
 fair value adjustments that we have recorded
 ne Series J Preferred Stock. Six months Six
 June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 June 30, 2006

---- Compound derivative \$(1,400,000)

=====
 =====
 efore, derivative income (expense) related to
 our trading stock price and the credit risk
 warrant derivative is significantly affected
 underlying market conditions will have a
 th these instruments. The discounts to the
 allocations are being accreted through

The following table illustrates the
 three and six months ended June 30, 2006
 AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO
 ED) Six months Six months Three months
), 2005 June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005

---- Cumulative dividends at 8% \$ 40,000 \$
 164,694
 198,397 \$128,346 \$375,281 \$244,694

=====
 ===== As
 ars on Series J Preferred Stock. (c) Series K
 500,000 shares of our preferred stock as
 and liquidation value of \$10.00 per share.
 % of the stated amount, ranks senior to
 common stock at a fixed conversion price of
 latorily redeemable for common stock on
 em the Series K Preferred Stock for cash at
 he Series K Preferred Stock for cash at
 er contingent events, including events
 ertible, listing of our common stock and
 (the "Default Put"). Based upon our
 l Stock, we concluded that it was more akin
 at our accounting conclusions are based
 ld afforded the conventional convertible

the conversion price is fixed. Therefore, we and carry it as a liability. However, we while puts on debt-type instruments are Default Put is indexed to certain events, addition, due to the default and contingent tied this instrument as redeemable preferred and 80,000 shares of Series K Preferred Stock of Series K Preferred Stock to extinguish debt es, the trading market price of our common allocated \$160,000 and \$60,000 from the y which amount represented a beneficial ment loss of \$60,000 in connection with the e estimated that it had a fair value that amount. Finally, we allocated approximately es, in connection with the March and April ult Puts on the inception dates, and ility-weighting multiple outcomes at net ility-weighted outcomes included both our t our business plans that could give rise to or lts. As a result of these subjective estimates, RP. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO (ED) model resulted in Default Put balances 206,200 as of June 30, 2006 and December ve Liabilities on our balance sheet. The e recorded related to the Default Puts on the s ended Three months ended Ended ended

---- Derivative income (expense) \$(62,912)

=====
 s changes to the fair value arising from and amortization of the time-value element three and six months ended June 30, 2006, eased probability that the Default Put would ports with the Securities and Exchange eport on Form 10-QSB. While the Default Preferred Stock did not exercise their right olders of the Series K Preferred Stock would unts to the Series K Preferred Stock that d through periodic charges to paid-in capital omponents of preferred stock dividends and d 2005: Six months Six months Three June 30, 2005 June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 ---- Cumulative dividends at 8% \$19,000 878 0,186 \$29,519 \$60,201 \$58,878

=====
 on Series K Preferred Stock. (d) Other ed: We have designated 500,000 shares of ere were no Series A Preferred Stock ve have designated 1,260,000 shares of our

stated and liquidation value of \$1.00 per
%, ranks senior to common stock and has
it may be converted. Series B Preferred is
n our evaluation of the terms and conditions
s all of the requirements for equity
utstanding as of June 30, 2006 and December

AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO

(ED) Series D Preferred: We have designated
Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and
ulative dividend rights of 6.0%, ranks senior
es D Preferred Stock outstanding during any
ntinue to have 611,250 warrants outstanding
rred Stock Financing arrangement. Series F
stock as Series F Convertible Preferred
ere were 5,248 shares of Series F Preferred
Series F Preferred is non-voting and
qual to the lower of \$0.60 or 75% of the
has the option to redeem the convertible
f defaults and certain other contingent
h the instrument is convertible, registration
l filing of reports with the Securities and
the conversion feature was not afforded the
able conversion feature and it did not
quity classification is not available for the
d conversion feature and carry it as a
efault Put required bifurcation because, while
and closely related to the host, the Default
iated debt-type instruments. These two
ve instrument. In addition, due to the default
ock, we classified this instrument as

Series I Preferred: We have designated 200,000
Stock with a stated and liquidation value of
ghts at 8.0% of the stated value, ranks senior
rtible into a variable number of common
ling market price. There were no Series I
31, 2005. However, we had 30,000 shares
counted for Series I Preferred Stock while it
nstrument. We also bifurcated the
with the financing and carried these
reflects derivative income and (expense)
ncial instrument. The following table
derivatives and freestanding warrant
nsactions discussed above. Three months
d Derivative income (expense) June 30,

\$ (6,205)	\$ (977,522)	Series F Preferred:	
BSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED			
ivative (11,490)	(279,306)	(6,187)	(122,124)
Series I Preferred: Compound derivative --			
2)			
5	\$(5,133,035)	\$100,181	\$(4,771,605)

===== Note 7. -
 e-based plans that provide for the grant of up
 employees. As of June 30, 2006, there were
 ur stock plans. Options granted under plans
 d are under plans which become exercisable
 exercisable on grant date, provided that the
 options during the six months ended June 30,
 standard 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based
 using the modified prospective method.
 onths ended June 30, 2006 includes
 anted prior to but not yet vested as of,
 accordance with the original provisions of
 ight-line basis over the requisite service
 f SFAS 123R. As we had previously adopted
 2005, the adoption of FAS 123(R) had a
 costs of \$111,365 and \$222,957 for the
 0 for the second quarter and first half of
 ion arrangements due to the fact that we are
 ur Consolidated Statement of Operations. As
 stock options and recognize compensation
 determined for two groups of employees -
 rience. We adjust estimated forfeitures to
 f adopting FAS 123(R) of \$17,000, which
 ate of adoption, was not material and
 ompensation costs in Selling and General
 arately as a cumulative change in accounting
 ption of SFAS No. 123(R) had no effect on
 activities for the six months ended June 30,
 te of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton
 ions and amortize that value to expense over
 roach: F-33 BRAVO! FOODS
 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL
 ix months Quarter ended Quarter ended
 --- Expected Term (in years) n/a n/a 6 6
 141% 141% Expected dividends n/a n/a 0%
 0, 2006. Expected Term: The expected term
 pected to be outstanding. It has been
 d of the contractual term. Risk-Free Interest
 ns on the implied yield currently available
 uivalent to the stock option award's expected
 mptions is based on the historical price of
 xpected term of the stock option award.
 n our common stock for the foreseeable
 mptions. A summary of option activity
 0, 2006 is presented below: Weighted-
 e Exercise Term Intrinsic Options Shares
 - ----- Outstanding at
 d - \$0.00 Forfeited (33,333) \$0.30 Expired
 8.51 \$3,088,060 =====
 6 9,355,365 \$0.30 8.50 \$2,993,924
 30 , 2006 6,769,838 \$0.31 8.37 \$2,130,133

anted during the six months ended June 30,
 g the second quarter of 2005 was \$0.15.
 d June 30, 2006 and the same period in 2005.
 SSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
 06, the Company had \$422,826 of total
 k options, which is expected to be
 y 2005, we extended the contractual life of
 of that modification, we have recognized, on
 for the second quarter of 2005 Note 8. -

----- During the period
 ck upon the conversion of certain of our
 tion statements declared effective by the
 g the period ended June 30, 2006, we issued
 ts associated with certain of our convertible
 ents declared effective by the Securities and
 ded June 30, 2006, we issued 807,692
 associated with certain of our convertible
 stor pursuant to Regulation D and Section
 e 30, 2006, we issued 196,078 shares of our
 pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities
 amount \$2,500,000 and issued a promissory
 also issued five year warrants for 1,500,000
 connection with this financing. The warrants

ion D F-35 BRAVO! FOODS

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL

----- As of June 30, 2006, we
 Options Exercise Warrants Grant date date
 17/2008 17,500 0.100 Series D Preferred
 preferred Stock Financing 2/1/2000
 2/1/2000 11/17/2008 455,000 0.100 Series F
) Series H Preferred Stock Financing
 Financing 1/30/2002 1/30/2007 375,000
 125,000 0.500 Series H Preferred Stock
 Convertible Debt Financing 11/20/2003
 below) 6/20/2005 6/19/2007 1,000,000
 0 Warrant to Distributor 8/30/2005
 financing 11/28/2005 11/27/2010 15,667,188
 1/27/2010 1,012,500 0.500 May 2006 Debt
 ngs 12/27/2001 2/28/2007 25,000 0.400
 version features in our debt and preferred
 d upon our trading stock price. Accordingly,
 hares to share-settle all of our contracts that
 ult, current accounting standards require us to
 settle these other warrants and, therefore,
 ied in stockholders' equity to derivative
 ation were indexed to 48,679,688 and
 d December 31, 2005, respectively, We are
 e stock options, so those items are not
 d with these other warrants are summarized
 ded Three months ended Ended ended June
 e income (expense)

---- Warrant derivative \$(2,628,946)

=====
ended June 30, 2006 we settled a legal
by one year on 1,000,000 warrants
on as a reissuance and remeasurement of
SSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
which resulted in a charge to our income of
Merton valuation model. Note 9-
We lease office space, used for our
es October 31, 2015. Future non-cancelable
s of June 30, 2006 are as follows: Amount
nding December 31, 2007 92,868 2008
d six months ended June 30, 2006 amounted
months ended June 30, 2005 amounted to
ks and trade dress from certain Licensors for
ed royalties on a negotiated basis for these
each Licensor to which our licenses require
ective licenses as well as the advance
lty payments, as of June 30, 2006. We
Marvel Enterprises on our license for the
ow for Marvel (UK) Aggregate Advance
----- Marvel (UK) Two years \$
stitute One year 2,500 Employment
rren, has a two-year employment contract,
, plus a bonus of one quarter percent
tract has a minimum two-year term plus a
. Officers Toulan, Patipa, Edwards and Kee
0,000 annually, plus discretionary bonuses
two-year terms plus severance packages
OODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND
STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) Our Chief
piring November 2008, that provides a base
0,000 for year three, plus discretionary
imum three-year term plus a severance
g Commitments -----
a Master Distribution Agreement with CCE.
end an aggregate of \$5,000,000 on
distributed by CCE. Beginning in 2007, we
try within a defined territory equal or greater
ry by country basis). Such national and
he Slammers mark, based on a plan to be
ellectual property rights necessary for the
. During the period commencing at the
30, 2006, we have spent \$1.6 million on
10. Restatement Our statements of
ur statement of cash flows for the six months
have been restated to reflect our estimation
ements entered into in connection with
nting Standard No. 5, Accounting for
expenses as they had been incurred. The

of operations that were restated: Three
 ----- Net income
 wages expense (1,822,501) (2,430,000)
 4,926,521) \$(15,203,188)
 ended Six months ended June 30, 2006 June
 non shareholders, as reported \$(13,386,497)
 ,000) ----- Loss
 \$(15,744,448)
 ended Six months ended June 30, 2006 June
 on share, basic and diluted as reported
 ----- Income (loss) per
 ===== F-38 BRAVO! FOODS
 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL
 ths ended June 30, 2006 June 30, 2006
 reported \$(13,081,891) \$(12,751,749)
 e income (loss), as restated \$(14,904,392)
 The following table reflects the significant
 ed: Redeemable Stockholders Total Total
 ----- As
 5) Adjustments: Accrued liabilities --
 ----- As restated \$23,324,420

===== Note 11- Subsequent
 es of common stock pursuant to an exercise
 ote financing. The common stock underlying
 eclared effective by the Securities and
 ve issued 1,444,453 shares of common stock
 of our convertible preferred stock. These
 on D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act
 s of common stock pursuant to a conversion
 he preferred were issued pursuant to a
 Exchange Commission in 2004. Subsequent
 ursoruant to a conversion of our Series H
 eferred were issued pursuant to Regulation
 r common stock in a private placement,
 an accredited investor. On July 27, 2006,
 convertible notes that are due in 2010 to
 ment exempt from registration under the
 payable quarterly and are convertible into
 n may decline to LIBOR upon the Company
 amortize in equal, bi-monthly payments
 chase 12,857,143 shares of common stock
 e private placement. Under the terms of the
 n of the notes will be held in escrow. The
 er approval. We intend to file a proxy
 al. As a result of our failure to file our June
 under the terms of the Notes, and the
 n 9% to 14% per annum. Pursuant to the
 holders of the Notes may, upon written
 l or any portion of their Notes, at a default
 We have entered into an Amendment

certain respects as consideration for the
ay in the filing of this quarterly report. See
rities", for a description of the terms of the
SCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
NS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS
out our prospects and strategies and our
d-looking statements" within the meaning of
ction 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of
the present expectations or beliefs
g statements involve known and unknown
al results, performance or achievements to
achievements expressed or implied by such
things, the uncertainty as to our future
odel can be implemented successfully; the
ain financing on acceptable terms to finance
odel includes the development and
M) trademarked brands, the obtaining of
ights to other trademarked brands, logos and
nks through third party processors. In the
om the unit sales of finished branded
venue in our Middle East business through
s of an invoiced price for a fixed amount of
a fee charged to the dairy processors for the
l milk. Our business in the United Kingdom
ess has not been profitable owing to the
products introduced in the last half of 2005
gative gross margin for our UK operations.
, while we are making this determination,
ntain a normal supply pipeline. Our new
ensive, and we reported a loss from
ve had a net loss during this period of
\$2.1 million associated with our failure to
n stock underlying our November 2005
ntly is pending, and we are working to
ave that registration statement declared
our annual report on Form 10-KSB for the
m 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended
o-date results for June 30, 2005 in the
s. Notwithstanding these restatements, the
based on the restated financial results that
d to adjust and further modify our proposed
tments and modifications, if any, may have
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE The Board
e elected as Class A or Class B directors at
current directors of our board are
rate. The board meets regularly either in
nd all directors have access to the
The board, as a whole, and the audit
formance on an estimated vs. actual basis
periodic board meetings, based upon
Chief Accounting Officer. Our shareholders

oints directors when a vacancy arises prior to
based upon a rotating nomination
up for election. The board presently is
e audit and compensation committees,
committee Our audit committee is composed
in overseeing our accounting and reporting
r Chief Accounting Officer's office, from
independent registered public accountants
Accounting Officer reviews the preliminary
our securities counsel and the reports of the
and unaudited periodic financial reporting
Officer. The committee reviews significant
mendations presented by our internal
Our compensation committee is composed
ructure and policies concerning executive
mendations for executive compensation and
tion. The committee periodically reviews the
ommendations of the chief executive officer
e size of our company, the board
must approve all compensation packages
y, there is one vacancy on the compensation
was established in the second quarter 2002
election. The committee is charged with
eholders for election at the next scheduled
appointment. 42 CRITICAL
and analysis of our consolidated financial
ed financial statements, which have been
reports that are generally accepted in the
nts in conformity with accounting principles
nagement to make estimates and
ilities and disclosure of contingent assets and
d amounts of revenues and expenses during
cluded in our financial statements are the
e that are carried at net realizable values. -
hat are carried at lower of cost or market. -
required to be carried at fair value. -
all available information and appropriate
ould differ from our estimates. Revenue
----- Our revenues are derived from
ates of America, Great Britain and the
and 2% between the United States of
ne customer in the United States that
ded June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
ents with our customers, at contracted prices,
y is reasonably assured. We extend
it otherwise do not afford our customers with
cant. Our revenue arrangements often
payments to the respective customer to
pulated period of time. We also engage in
ales activities. We believe our participation
e and revenue growth in the competitive

recorded as reductions to our reported revenue.
 Our accounts receivable are exposed to credit
 and credit to our customers with normal and
 to be made by the thirtieth day following
 of each customer. We provide an
 ation of our customers' credit risk and our
 1, 2005, the allowance of doubtful accounts
 n, our accounts receivable are concentrated
 ble balances at June 30, 2006.
 5 are due from international customers.
 of finished goods, are stated at the lower of
 entories are perishable. Accordingly, we
 ntory reserves based upon a combination of
Impairment of Long-Lived Assets
 ture and equipment and intangible assets.
 ived assets when circumstances warrant
 ting Standard No. 144, Accounting for the
 AS 144 requires that long-lived assets be
 nstances indicate that the carrying amount of
 unted cash flows expected to result from the
 mpairment exists, an impairment loss will
 eds the fair value. Financial Instruments
 nstruments to hedge exposures to
 uently enter into certain other financial
 , redeemable preferred stock arrangements,
 rforded equity classification, (ii) embody
 ay be net-cash settled by the counterparty.
 arried as derivative liabilities, at fair value,
 re financial instruments using various
 e consistent with the objective measuring fair
 ong other factors, the nature of the
 means of settlement. For less complex
 rally use the Black Scholes option valuation
 (including trading volatility, estimated terms
 or complex derivative instruments, such as
 onte Carlo valuation technique because it
 , interest-rate risk and exercise/conversion
 nstruments. For forward contracts that
 of settlement, we project and discount
 ossible outcomes. Estimating fair values of
 gnificant and subjective estimates that may,
 th related changes in internal and external
 volatile and sensitive to changes in our
 e derivative financial instruments are
 l reflect the volatility in these estimate and
 nths Ended June 30, 2006 Compared to Six
 ----- Consolidated
 006 of \$7,266,441, with product costs of
 s margin of \$321,708. Our reported revenues
 3, or 117%, compared to revenues of
 the result of an increase in market

ation of our Master Distribution Agreement and gross margin are net of slotting fees and in the amount of \$294,332 compared to nically, our revenues are dispersed 98% and respectively. We plan to take measures to revenues. In addition, we currently have one revenue during the six months ended June curtailment in business with this customer ated Product Costs We incurred product ely, for the six months ended June 30, 2006. ncrease compared to \$2,358,127 for the same 0, a 73% increase compared to \$430,836 for an increase in revenues and the concomitant l with that increase. Consolidated Operating ix months ended June 30, 2006. Our selling ase compared to our selling expenses of expenses in the current period was due to ociated with increased revenues and our d administrative expense for the six months ative expense for this period increased by e period in 2005. As a percentage of total n 77.5% in the period ended June 30, 2005, d effort to reduce this expense as a orts and the refinement of business for the current period is the result of the nagement and implementation of our istribution Agreement. 45 We incurred product of \$277,319 representing a 28.5% increase of the prior year. This increase resulted from new products under our license agreement nse for the six months ended June 30, 2006 71% decrease compared to \$1,491,890 for of debt to common stock in late 2005 that al Settlement In June 2005, we issued ur common stock in connection with the arrant contained an expiration date of June ecuted a registration rights agreement with use the effectiveness of a registration arvel of the shares purchasable under the atement under From SB-2 that included the , 2006, however, the Registration Statement Marvel filed a complaint against the re to cause a registration statement to out the necessity of filing an answer to the nt extending its term through June 16, 2007, quishment We reported a gain on debt 164, resulting from the modification of the arises from changes in the fair value of our losses when the fair value of embedded and l in financing transactions exceed the e freestanding warrants, compound

debt and preferred stock financings. In classification of other non-financing the share settlement is not within our control s are outstanding. Our derivative expense compared to \$75,839,650 for the e of compound derivatives indexed to our ing stock price and the credit risk associated tives is significantly affected by changes in nstruments that are settled solely with cash estimates following the financing inception f default events on debt and preferred stock due to the decline in our common stock e, future changes in assumptions, arising cause further variation in the fair value of and external market conditions will have a ivative financial instruments. In addition, we 006 that will require the bifurcation of ounts of these derivatives, but their effects tent with the derivatives that we carry as of onths ended June 30, 2006, we recorded ne in the comparable periods of 2005. the fourth fiscal quarter of our year ended greements with certain investors that require shares, become effective on the registration tain the listing of the underlying shares. ment of cash penalties to the investors in the liquidated damages penalties as liabilities e and estimable. We will evaluate our estimates for changes, if any, in the facts had a net loss for the six months ended June 066 for the same period in 2005. The ar recording changes in derivative expense o Common Shareholders Loss applicable to ividends and accretion of our redeemable . Diluted loss per common share reflects the le preferred stock, convertible debt, e The Company's basic loss per common pared with a basic loss per common share experienced net losses for all periods our financial instruments would have an s per common share equals basic loss per common shares outstanding increased from 409 for the same period in 2006. The e debt and preferred instruments into ded from the computation of diluted r the six month periods ending June 30, (Loss) Comprehensive income (loss) differs and 2005 by \$21,439 and (\$5,327), ranslation on the financial statements of our erations are currently not significant. cts of foreign currency translation

June 30, 2006 Compared to the Three
 ----- June 30, 2005 -----
 Three months ended June 30, 2006 of
 costs of \$351,185, resulting in a gross margin of
 ended June 30, 2006 increased by
 \$8 for the three months ended June 30, 2005.
 ended June 30, 2006 is the result of the
 expenses. Consolidated Product Costs The
 costs of \$351,185 for the three months ended
 \$3,173, a 93.6% increase compared to
 increase in product costs and shipping costs in
 the result of increased revenues. Consolidated
 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 of
 ended June 30, 2006 by \$2,332,262, a 225%
 the three months ended June 30, 2005. The
 Company incurred general and
 2006 of \$1,628,317. General and
 2006 decreased by \$208,507, an 11.3%
 The decrease in general and administrative
 of warrant costs recognized in 2005 for
 The Company incurred interest expense for
 expense for the three months ended June 30,
 \$97,729, for the same period in 2005. This
 check in late 2005 that eliminated the accrual of
 during the three months ended June 30, 2006,
 in the comparable period of 2005. However,
 the fiscal quarter of our year ended December
 with certain investors that require us to file a
 some effective on the registration statement,
 offering of the underlying shares. Certain of
 with penalties to the investors in the event we
 and damages penalties as liabilities and
 not estimable. We will evaluate our estimate of
 for changes, if any, in the facts and
 had a net loss for the three months ended
 \$445,296 for the same period in 2005. The
 changing changes in the fair value in our
 Management's Plans: As reflected in the
 incurred operating losses and negative cash flow
 \$013 as of June 30, 2006. This negative
 derivative liabilities. In addition, we are
 and, we have experienced delays in filing
 errors in our historical accounting that currently
 resulting in our recognition of penalties payable
 complete our filings and register the common
 not profitable. Finally, our revenues are significantly
 reduced or curtailment in business with this
 These conditions raise substantial doubt
 dependent upon third party financings as we
 reserves have been substantially depleted as of
 financing in July 2006 that is expected to

ever, \$15.0 million of this financing is held
 delay in filing our quarterly financial report
 into an Amendment Agreement with the
 consideration for the holders' release of the
 quarterly report. We plan to increase our
 business and, if necessary, obtain
 dependent upon the achievement of profitable
 available at acceptable terms, if at all, or that
 financial statements do not reflect any
 y. Information about our cash flows As of
 ities was \$7,385,239, net cash provided by
 ing activities was \$736,514 during the six
 al of \$51,012,013 as of June 30, 2006. This
 ,561 for derivative liabilities. Compared to
 onths ended June 30, 2005, our current
 ,727 to \$7,385,239. This increase was the
 e providers in this current period and our
 ve expense in the consolidated statement of
 hs ended June 30, 2006 resulted in a cash
 oles of \$26,778 for the same period in 2005,
 d during this period by \$2,490,676,
 005. This increase was the result of our
 ation of our Master Distribution Agreement
 and accrued liabilities in the six months
 86, whereas the changes in accounts payable
 nted to an increase of \$893,461. Cash flows
 ver all of our cash disbursement needs in the
 ew convertible debt financing to cover
 06 in our investing activities was \$736,514
 and our purchase of eight vans in the U.S.,
 rovided by our financing activities for the six
 d by financing activities for the same period
 forward, our primary requirements for cash
 usiness model in the United States and on an
 ort for the capacity demands presented by
 ; o general overhead expenses for personnel
 nd marketing costs for our line of new
 ed license royalties. We estimate that our
 ll continue through the third or fourth
 g activities will approach the anticipated
 eed for 50 additional financing in 2006 to
 holders' equity status, and we secured \$30
 ave received half of the proceeds from this
 pending a shareholder vote to increase our
 s can be given that we will be able to obtain
 res, or that operating cash flows will be
 orking capital needs of approximately
 er expenses in 2006 in order to derive more
 support of our new products and the
 ca-Cola Enterprises. Certain of these
 ced as a function of unit sales costs as we

established markets. Freight charges will be given the reduced per unit cost associated with slotting fees, which are paid to warehouses as one-time charges per new customer. We have reduced average unit selling expenses and taken other steps to be more efficient in order to mitigate cash needs. In addition, we have taken other steps to address operational needs and to develop an expanded market presence.

----- On May 12, 2006, we issued promissory notes in that aggregate amount of \$30 million. Investors who have exercised rights of participation in the financing described below. The remaining part of the July 27, 2006 financing proceeds. The \$30 million senior convertible notes (the "Notes") were issued to investors in a private placement exempt from registration. The Notes initially carry a 9% coupon, payable semi-annually of \$0.70 per share. In 2007, the coupon may be increased to 10% upon certain milestones. The Notes will begin to mature in 2011. We issued warrants to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock in July 2011 to the investors in the \$30 million notes, of which \$15.0 million of the warrants will be subject to stockholder approval of the Board of Directors and the effectiveness of a registration statement for the Additional Notes and associated warrants. We have filed a proxy statement with the SEC regarding capital needs. We have filed a proxy statement with the SEC regarding the election of Shareholders. As a result of our failure to meet the conditions set forth in the indenture, a default has occurred under the terms of the Notes and the interest rate has increased from 9% to 14% per annum. Pursuant to the terms of the Notes, holders of the Notes may, upon written notice, demand payment of all or any portion of their Notes, at a default under the Notes. We have entered into an Amendment to the Notes in certain respects as consideration for the payment of the interest on the Notes. See "Description of Securities", for a description of the terms of the Notes. We believe that inflation has not had any material effect on our financial position.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES Evaluation of internal controls and procedures," as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act, we disclose any material weaknesses identified within the time periods specified in the rules. Such information is accumulated and reported to the chief executive officer and chief accounting officer, as well as the audit committee. We have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of the audit committee and management, including our chief executive officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our internal controls and procedures over financial reporting. During this evaluation, we identified weaknesses and other deficiencies in our internal controls and procedures. Based upon the results of the evaluation, the following weaknesses existed: Inadequate controls over the accounting for complex and non-routine transactions, which has caused the Company to restate its financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005,

ectively, the "financial statements") in order
erial weakness identified in connection with
s of June 30, 2006 has not been fully
ng Officer concluded our disclosure controls
ess these control weaknesses, the Company
sis and performed other procedures in order
ncial statements appearing in this Form
ciples in the United States of America. In
al controls to the Company's internal control
ol subsequent to the date of the evaluation.
l responsibilities as they relate to the
enced full-time accountant to our accounting
implementation of proper accounting
porting issues which apply to the Company.
review for the purpose of evaluating the
II - OTHER INFORMATION Item 2.
e Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial
006, we entered into definitive agreements to
due in 2010 to several institutional and
ation under the Securities Act of 1933.
which \$15.0 million were issued upon
onal Notes") held in escrow until our
non-timely filing of its Form 10-QSB for
ccurred under the terms of the \$30 million
al interest rate on the Notes and Additional
at such event of default is subsequently
num. In addition, upon the occurrence of an
y, upon written notice to the Company,
s. On August 31, 2006, the Company
otes and Additional Notes, pursuant to the
ents of default that occurred under the terms
O-QSB for the quarterly period ended June
ses, to exchange the \$15 million Additional
ted Notes). The Amendment Agreement
of the transactions contemplated by the
due to one party's failure to satisfy its
es for the extension of certain time limits
connection with the Securities Purchase
ted Notes will be issued upon closing of the
terms of the Amended and Restated Notes
. The conversion price applicable to the
Restated Notes is \$0.51, which price is
d Restated Notes also provide that, from and
ckholder Approval is obtained, the holder
y portion of the holder's Amended and
edeemed. Notwithstanding the foregoing,
t the Company meets certain conditions, the
redeem any portion of such holder's notes. In
t to any such optional redemptions shall
a request, its right to deliver a subsequent
and Restated Notes, each will also agree,

to waive certain debt and equity restrictions
ation in connection with the Securities
Events See Note 11 of Notes to
Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-B:
n Documents for \$30 million financing
4, 2006 Item 7.01 Triggering Events of
August 22, 2006 Item 4.02 Non-Reliance on
(nce) No. 20.4: Form 8-K Filed September 5,
(incorporated by reference) No. 31: Rule
fications SIGNATURES In accordance with
used this report to be signed on its behalf of
ATIONAL CORP. (Registrant) Date:
utive Officer In accordance with the
Corp. has caused this report to be signed on
stated. Signature Title Date -----
d Director /S/ Tommy E. Kee Chief