ARVINMERITOR INC Form 10-Q January 29, 2008 UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended December 30, 2007

Commission File No. 1-15983

ARVINMERITOR, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

38-3354643 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2135 West Maple Road, Troy, Michigan (Address of principal executive offices)

48084-7186 (Zip Code)

(248) 435-1000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filerXAccelerated filerNon-accelerated filerIndicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No X

72,826,118 shares of Common Stock, \$1.00 par value, of ArvinMeritor, Inc. were outstanding on December 30, 2007.

INDEX

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION:				
	Item 1.	Financial Statements:	Page No.		
		Consolidated Statement of Operations Three Months Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	2		
		Consolidated Balance Sheet December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007	3		
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows Three Months Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	4		
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	5		
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29		
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	37		
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	38		
PART II.	OTHER IN	IFORMATION:			
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	39		
	Item 1A.	Risk Factors	39		
	Item 5.	Other Information	39		
	Item 6.	Exhibits	40		
Signatures			41		

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

ARVINMERITOR, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

(in millions, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended December 31,		
		2007	2006
		(Unaudited)	
Sales	\$	1,663 \$	1,568
Cost of sales		(1,533)	(1,464)
GROSS MARGIN		130	104
Selling, general and administrative		(92)	(73)
Restructuring costs		(10)	
Gain on divestitures			2
OPERATING INCOME		28	33
Equity in earnings of affiliates		11	7
Interest expense, net		(27)	(27)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES		12	13
Provision for income taxes		(10)	(1)
Minority interests		(3)	(2)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS		(1)	10
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		(11)	(3)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	(12) \$	7
BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE			
Continuing operations	\$	(0.01) \$	0.14
Discontinued operations		(0.16)	(0.04)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$	(0.17) \$	0.10
DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE			
Continuing operations	\$	(0.01) \$	0.14
Discontinued operations		(0.16)	(0.04)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$	(0.17) \$	0.10
Basic average common shares outstanding		71.9	69.4
Diluted average common shares outstanding		71.9	70.5
Cash dividends per common share	\$	0.10 \$	0.10

See notes to consolidated financial statements. Amounts for the three months ended December 31, 2006 have been restated for discontinued operations.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(in millions)

	December 31, 30, 2007 2007
ASSETS	(Unaudited)
CURRENT ASSETS:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 164 \$ 409
Receivables, trade and other, net	1,154 1,144
Receivables, EMCON Technologies Holdings Limited	58 79
Inventories	569 541
Other current assets	234 216
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	2,179 2,389
NET PROPERTY	741 738
GOODWILL	524 520
OTHER ASSETS	1,114 1,142
TOTAL ASSETS	\$4,558 \$4,789

LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS EQUITY

LIADILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS EQUIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Short-term debt	\$ 95	\$ 18
Accounts payable	1,116	1,342
Liabilities, EMCON Technologies Holdings Limited	57	61
Other current liabilities	528	658
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	1,796	2,079
LONG-TERM DEBT	1,141	1,130
RETIREMENT BENEFITS	768	763
OTHER LIABILITIES	241	209
MINORITY INTERESTS	68	65
SHAREOWNERS EQUITY:		
Common stock (December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007, 72.8 and 72.6 shares issued and outstanding, respectively)	72	72
Additional paid-in capital	622	618
Retained earnings	104	128
Treasury stock (December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007, 0.1 shares)	(3)	(3)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(251)	(272)
TOTAL SHAREOWNERS EQUITY	544	543
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS EQUITY	\$4,558	\$4,789

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions)

		Three Months Ended December 31, 2007 2006	
		(Unaudited)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(1) \$	10
Adjustments to income (loss) from continuing operations to arrive			
at cash used for operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization		32	30
Gain on divestitures			(2)
Restructuring costs, net of payments			(8)
Pension and retiree medical expense		26	33
Loss on debt extinguishment		3	
Other adjustments to income (loss) from continuing operations		(7)	
Pension and retiree medical contributions		(22)	(39)
Changes in receivable securitization and factoring		115	3
Changes in assets and liabilities, excluding effects of acquisitions,			
divestitures foreign currency adjustments and discontinued operations		(413)	(31)
Operating cash flows used for continuing operations		(267)	(4)
Operating cash flows used for discontinued operations		(4)	(29)
CASH USED FOR OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(271)	(33)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Capital expenditures		(34)	(20)
Acquisitions of businesses and investments, net of cash acquired		(43)	(20)
Proceeds from disposition of property and businesses		8	5
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities		0	5
Net investing cash flows provided by (used for) discontinued operations		23	(14)
CASH USED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(46)	(14)
CASH OSED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(40)	(20)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Borrowings on revolving credit facility		4	
Borrowings on accounts receivable securitization program		70	80
Borrowings on lines of credit and other, net		7	1
Net change in debt		81	81
Debt issuance and extinguishment costs		(6)	
Cash dividends		(7)	(7)
CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES		68	74
EFFECT OF CHANGES IN FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE			
RATES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		4	4
CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(245)	19
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD		409	350
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$	164 \$	369
See notes to consolidated financial statements. Amounts for the three months ended Dec	cember 31, 200)6 have been restated for di	scontinued

See notes to consolidated financial statements. Amounts for the three months ended December 31, 2006 have been restated for discontinued operations.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

ArvinMeritor, Inc. (the company or ArvinMeritor) is a global supplier of a broad range of integrated systems, modules and components serving commercial truck, trailer, light vehicle and specialty original equipment manufacturers (OEM) and certain aftermarkets. The consolidated financial statements are those of the company and its consolidated subsidiaries.

In the opinion of the company, the unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting solely of adjustments of a normal, recurring nature, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. These statements should be read in conjunction with the company s audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. The results of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2007, are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year.

The company s fiscal year ends on the Sunday nearest September 30. The company s fiscal quarters end on the Sundays nearest December 31, March 31 and June 30. The first quarter of fiscal years 2008 and 2007 ended on December 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, respectively. All year and quarter references relate to the company s fiscal year and fiscal quarters, unless otherwise stated. For ease of presentation, September 30 and December 31 are used consistently throughout this report to represent the fiscal year end and first quarter end, respectively.

2. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each period. The diluted earnings per share calculation includes the impact of dilutive common stock options, restricted stock, performance share awards and convertible securities, if applicable.

A reconciliation of basic average common shares outstanding to diluted average common shares outstanding is as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	
Basic average common shares outstanding	71.9	69.4	
Impact of restricted stock		0.9	
Impact of stock options		0.2	
Diluted average common shares outstanding	71.9	70.5	

The potential effects of restricted stock, performance shares and stock options were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation for the three months ended December 31, 2007 because their inclusion in a net loss period would reduce the net loss per share. Therefore, at December 31, 2007, options to purchase 2.0 million shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. At December 31, 2006, options to purchase 3.2 million shares of common stock were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their exercise price exceeded the average market price for the period and thus their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

The company s convertible senior unsecured notes are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as the company s average stock price during the quarter is less than the conversion price.

New accounting standards to be implemented:

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements which provides a definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those years. The provisions of SFAS 157 will be applied prospectively and are not expected to have a material impact on the company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). This statement requires an entity to recognize the funded status of its defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans, such as a retiree health care plan, on the balance sheet and to recognize changes in the funded status that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost, within other comprehensive income, net of income taxes. The recognition requirements of SFAS 158 were adopted by the company as of September 30, 2007. The initial adoption of SFAS 158 resulted in a reduction in shareowners equity of \$357 million. This reduction is net of taxes of \$193 million and is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss in the Consolidated Statement of Shareowners Equity. SFAS 158 also requires that companies measure the funded status of their defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans. The measurement date of June 30 for its defined benefit and other postretirement benefit plans. The measurement date provisions of SFAS 158 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008 and will require the company to change its measurement date to September 30 from June 30.

Accounting standards implemented in fiscal year 2008:

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48), which supplements SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, by defining the confidence level that a tax position must meet in order to be recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 requires that the tax effects of a position be recognized only if it is

more-likely-than-not to be sustained based solely on its technical merits as of the reporting date. The more-likely-than-not threshold represents a positive assertion by management that a company is entitled to the economic benefits of a tax position. If a tax position is not considered more-likely-than-not to be sustained based solely on its technical merits, no benefits of the position are to be recognized. Moreover, the more-likely-than-not threshold must continue to be met in each reporting period to support continued recognition of a benefit. At adoption, companies must adjust their financial statement to reflect only those tax positions that are more-likely-than-not to be sustained as of the adoption date. Any necessary adjustment would be recorded directly to retained earnings in the period of adoption and reported as a change in accounting principle.

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the company adopted FIN 48 and recognized a \$5 million decrease to retained earnings in the consolidated balance sheet as of October 1, 2007 as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. See Note 6 for further information regarding the adoption of FIN 48.

4. Discontinued Operations

Emissions Technologies

The company s Emissions Technologies (ET) business supplied exhaust systems and exhaust system components, including mufflers, exhaust pipes, catalytic converters, diesel particulate filters and exhaust manifolds, primarily to original equipment manufacturers. On May 17, 2007, the company sold its ET business to EMCON Technologies Holdings Limited (EMCON), a private equity affiliate of J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. Total consideration was \$310 million, including cash, a \$20 million note and the assumption of certain liabilities, and is subject to adjustments for working capital and other items. Charges associated with the sale of ET are included in the results of discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations. ET is reported in discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of cash flows and related notes through the date of sale for all periods presented.

Receivables due from EMCON include an estimated adjustment to the purchase price based upon closing working capital. The final working capital adjustment was settled in January 2008, resulting in no change to the receivable recorded at December 31, 2007. Pre-sale funding obligations that are expected to be completed in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008 resulted in an additional receivable from EMCON and an offsetting payable that is included in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31 and September 30, 2007. Certain assets and liabilities of ET were retained by the company and are included in receivables and liabilities due from (to) EMCON in the consolidated balance sheet.

As of the May 17, 2007 closing date, assets and liabilities of certain businesses were not legally transferred to EMCON due to delays in certain procedures required to be completed by the buyer. Pursuant to the sale agreement, legal ownership will be transferred upon receipt by the buyer of required licenses and establishment of appropriate entities to receive the transferred assets. Sale values were fixed and EMCON assumed

operational control of the businesses as of the May 17, 2007 closing date. The steps required to complete the legal transfer were considered perfunctory by the company and the company recorded these assets and liabilities as sold and excluded them from the consolidated balance sheet effective on May 17, 2007. Consideration for these assets and assumed liabilities was deposited in an escrow account by EMCON and is reflected as a receivable due from EMCON in the consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2007. The legal transfer of these operations was substantially completed and related proceeds were received by the company as of December 31, 2007.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the company recognized approximately \$9 million of additional costs related to the sale of the ET business. These costs are primarily related to revised estimates for certain pre-sale liabilities retained by the company.

Light Vehicle Aftermarket

In October 2004, the company announced plans to divest its Light Vehicle Aftermarket (LVA) businesses. This plan was part of the company s long-term strategy to focus on core competencies and support its global light vehicle systems OEM customers and its commercial vehicle systems OEM and aftermarket customers. LVA supplied exhaust, ride control, motion control and filter products, as well as other automotive parts to the passenger car, light truck and sport utility vehicle aftermarket. As of September 30, 2007, the company had completed the sale of its LVA filters, exhaust and motion control businesses, and its Gabriel South Africa ride control business. These businesses represented a significant portion of its combined LVA business and are reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations through the date of sale.

In the second quarter of fiscal year 2007, the company made a strategic decision to retain its Gabriel North America and Europe ride control aftermarket business. Restructuring actions contemplated through the company s Performance Plus initiative (see Note 5) are expected to make this business viable as part of the company s core light vehicle strategy. As a result of this decision, the results of operations, assets and liabilities and cash flows of the Gabriel Ride Control aftermarket business are presented in continuing operations in the consolidated financial statements for all periods presented.

At December 31, 2007 and at September 30, 2007, \$2 million of restructuring reserves primarily related to unpaid employee termination benefits are included in liabilities of continuing operations (see Note 5).

Results of the discontinued operations are summarized as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended December 31,			
	2	2007		2006
Sales:				
Emissions Technologies	\$		\$	808
Light Vehicle Aftermarket and other		5		48
Total sales	\$	5	\$	856
Loss before income taxes	\$	(14)	\$	(2)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes		3		(1)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	(11)	\$	(3)

Restructuring Costs 5.

At December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007, \$61 million and \$59 million, respectively, of restructuring reserves primarily related to unpaid employee termination benefits remained in the consolidated balance sheet. The changes in restructuring reserves for the three months ended December 31, 2007 are as follows (in millions):

	Employee				
	Termination	Plant Shutdown			
	Benefits	and Other	Total		
Balance at September 30, 2007	\$59	\$	\$59		
Activity during the period:					

Charges to continuing operations	9	1		10	
Cash payments	(9) (1)	(10)
Currency translation and other	2			2	
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$61			61	

Performance Plus: During fiscal year 2007, the company launched a profit improvement and cost reduction initiative called Performance Plus. As part of this program, the company identified significant restructuring actions which would eliminate up to

7

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

2,800 positions in North America and Europe and consolidate and combine certain global facilities. The company s Light Vehicle Systems business recorded \$10 million of costs associated with this restructuring program in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008. Restructuring costs recorded in the three months ended December 31, 2007 primarily relate to employee termination benefits in connection with a reduction of approximately 110 salaried and hourly employees. Remaining costs of this restructuring program will be incurred over the next several years.

6. Income Taxes

For each interim reporting period, the company makes an estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. The rate so determined is used in providing for income taxes on a year-to-date basis. Jurisdictions with a projected loss for the year or an actual year-to-date loss where no tax benefit can be recognized are excluded from the estimated annual effective tax rate. The impact of including these jurisdictions on the quarterly effective rate calculation could result in a higher or lower effective tax rate during a particular quarter, based upon the mix and timing of actual earnings versus annual projections. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the company recorded approximately \$6 million of unfavorable tax items discrete to the quarter. These discrete items increased the company s effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2007.

As of December 31, 2007 the company had approximately \$648 million in U.S. net deferred tax assets. These deferred tax assets include net operating loss carryovers that can be used to offset taxable income in future periods and reduce income taxes payable in those future periods. However, many of these deferred taxes will expire if they are not utilized within certain time periods. At this time, the company considers it more likely than not that it will have U.S. taxable income in the future that will allow it to realize these deferred tax assets. Significant factors considered by management in its determination of the probability of the realization of the deferred tax benefits include: (a) historical operating results, (b) expectations of future earnings, and (c) tax planning strategies.

It is possible that some or all of these deferred tax assets could ultimately expire unused. Risk factors include (a) a slower then anticipated recovery in the fiscal year 2008 outlook for the company s CVS segment, which has significant U.S. operations, (b) higher than planned volume or price reductions from the company s key customers and (c) higher than planned material cost increases.

These risk factors are offset by the following strategic initiatives: (a) the company has undertaken numerous restructuring initiatives in 2007 which are expected to result in significant savings in future periods, (b) the commercial vehicle market in the United States is expected to recover in 2008 and 2009 significantly benefiting the company and (c) the company has embarked on a major cost reduction and value creation program that is expected to generate improvements in earnings in future periods.

On October 1, 2007, the company adopted FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. The effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 on the company s consolidated balance sheet as of October 1, 2007 is as follows (in millions):

	Before Adoption of FIN 48	Adjustments	After Adoption of FIN 48	
Non-current deferred income tax assets - other assets (see Note 12)	\$ 781	\$ (99)	\$ 682	
Other assets (see Note 12)	1,142	42	1,184	
Income taxes - other current liabilities (see Note 13)	(130)	97	(33)	
Other liabilities (see Note 14)	(209)	(45)	(254)	
Retained earnings	(128)	5	(123)	

At October 1, 2007, the company had approximately \$200 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits of which, \$151 million represents the amount that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in future periods.

The company files tax returns in multiple jurisdictions and is subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world. The company s U.S. and Canadian federal income tax returns for fiscal years 2003 through 2005 are currently under audit. The company s French subsidiary is currently under audit for fiscal years 2005 through 2007. In addition, the company is under audit in various U.S. state tax jurisdictions for various years. It is reasonably possible that audit settlements, the conclusion of current examinations or the expiration of the statute of

limitations in several jurisdictions could significantly change the company s unrecognized tax benefits during the next twelve months. However, quantification of an estimated range cannot be made at this time.

In addition to the audits discussed above, the company has open tax years primarily from 1999-2007 with various significant taxing jurisdictions including the United States, Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Mexico and the United Kingdom. These open years contain matters that could be subject to differing interpretations of applicable tax laws and regulations as they relate to the amount,

8

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

timing or inclusion of revenue and expenses or the sustainability of income tax credits for a given audit cycle. The company has recorded a tax benefit only for those positions that meet the more-likely-than-not standard.

The company s continuing practice is to recognize interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions in the provision for income taxes in the consolidated statement of operations. At December 31, and at October 1, 2007, the company has recorded \$2 million of interest and \$8 million of penalties in the consolidated balance sheet.

7. Acquisitions and Divestitures

On December 19, 2007, the company s Commercial Vehicle Systems (CVS) business acquired Mascot Truck Parts Ltd (Mascot) for a cash purchase price of \$19 million. Mascot remanufactures transmissions, drive axles, steering gears and drivelines. This acquisition did not have a material impact on the company s consolidated financial position or results of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2007.

8. Accounts Receivable Securitization and Factoring

The company participates in a European arrangement to sell trade receivables through certain of its European subsidiaries. Under the arrangement, the company sells up to, at any point in time, 125 million of eligible trade receivables. The receivables under this program are sold at face value and excluded from the company s consolidated balance sheet. The company continues to perform collection and administrative functions related to these receivables. Costs associated with this securitization arrangement were \$2 million and \$1 million in the three months ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and are included in operating income in the consolidated statement of income. The gross amount of proceeds received from the sale of receivables under this arrangement were \$152 million and \$116 million for the three months ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The company s retained interest in receivables sold was \$10 million and \$5 million at December 31 and September 30, 2007, respectively. The company had utilized, net of retained interests, 100 million (\$146 million) and 73 million (\$104 million) of this accounts receivable securitization facility as of December 31 and September 30, 2007, respectively.

In November 2007 the company entered into another European arrangement to sell trade receivables. Under this arrangement the company can sell up to 85 million of eligible trade receivables. The receivables under this program are sold at face value and excluded from the company s consolidated balance sheet. The company continues to perform collection and administrative functions related to these receivables. The company had utilized 35 million (\$51 million) of this accounts receivable securitization program as of December 31, 2007. The company has no retained interest in these trade receivables and costs associated with this arrangement were not significant in the three months ended December 31, 2007.

The company also participates in a U.S. accounts receivable securitization program to enhance financial flexibility and lower interest costs. Under this \$175 million program, which was established in September 2005, and amended in fiscal years 2006 and 2007, the company sells substantially all of the trade receivables of certain U.S. subsidiaries to ArvinMeritor Receivables Corporation (ARC), a wholly-owned, special purpose subsidiary. ARC funds these purchases with borrowings under a loan agreement with a bank. Amounts outstanding under this agreement are collateralized by eligible receivables purchased by ARC and are reported as short-term debt in the consolidated balance sheet (see Note 15). At December 31, 2007, the company had utilized \$70 million of this accounts receivable securitization facility. As of September 30, 2007, no amounts were outstanding under this program. Borrowings under this arrangement are collateralized by approximately \$237 million of receivables held at ARC at December 31, 2007. If certain receivables performance-based covenants are not met, it would constitute a termination event, which, at the option of the banks, could result in termination of the accounts receivable securitization arrangement. At December 31, 2007, the company was in compliance with all covenants.

In addition, several of the company s European subsidiaries factor eligible accounts receivable with financial institutions. Certain receivables are factored without recourse to the company and are excluded from accounts receivable. The amount of factored receivables excluded from accounts receivable was \$203 million and \$181 million at December 31 and September 30, 2007, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

9. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (using first-in, first-out (FIFO) or average cost methods) or market (determined on the basis of estimated realizable values) and are summarized as follows (in millions):

		December 31,	September 30,	
	2007		2007	
Finished goods	\$	223	\$ 218	
Work in process		112	103	
Raw materials, parts and supplies		234	220	
Total	\$	569	\$ 541	

10. Other Current Assets

Other current assets are summarized as follows (in millions):

	Decer 2	September 30, 2007	
Current deferred income tax assets	\$	119 \$	111
Customer reimbursable tooling and engineering		22	22
Asbestos-related recoveries (see Note 18)		8	8
Investment in debt defeasance trust		6	6
Assets held for sale		8	19
Prepaid and other		71	50
Other current assets	\$	234 \$	216

Costs incurred for tooling and engineering, principally for light vehicle products, for which customer reimbursement is contractually guaranteed, are classified as customer reimbursable tooling and engineering. These costs are billed to the customer based on the terms of the contract. Provisions for losses are provided at the time management expects costs to exceed anticipated customer reimbursements.

The company holds certain assets as held for sale. These assets primarily relate to land and buildings that have been previously closed through restructuring and other rationalization actions. The company sold certain of these properties in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008 and expects to complete the sale of the remainder of these properties in fiscal year 2008.

11. Goodwill

A summary of the changes in the carrying value of goodwill, by segment, is as follows (in millions):

	LVS	CVS	Total
Balance at September 30, 2007	\$ 71 5	\$ 449	\$ 520
Acquisition of Mascot (see Note 7)		6	6
Foreign currency translation		(2)	(2)
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 71 5	\$ 453	\$ 524

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

12. Other Assets

Other Assets are summarized as follows (in millions):

	Decembé 31, 2007	Septem 30, 2007	
Non-current deferred income tax assets (see Note 6)	\$680	\$ 78	81
Investments in non-consolidated joint ventures	132	1	16
Long-term receivables (see Note 14)	48	4	47
Assets for uncertain tax positions (see Note 6)	42		
Prepaid pension costs	35	-	32
Unamortized debt issuance costs	33	-	31
Capitalized software costs, net	24		25
Asbestos-related recoveries (see Note 18)	32	-	32
Note receivable due from EMCON, net of \$9 million discount (see Note 4)	11		11
Patents, licenses and other intangible assets (less accumulated amortization: \$4 at December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007)	3		3
Investment in debt defeasance trust	6		6
Other	68	4	58
Other assets	\$1,114	\$1,14	42

The note receivable due from EMCON bears interest at rate of 4 percent per annum and is payable in June 2012 or earlier upon a change in control. EMCON may prepay the note at any time. The company recorded the note, net of a \$9 million discount, to reflect the difference between the stated rate per the agreement of 4 percent and the effective interest rate of approximately 9 percent. This discount will be amortized over the term of the note as interest income.

In accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*, costs relating to internally developed or purchased software in the preliminary project stage and the post-implementation stage are expensed as incurred. Costs in the application development stage that meet the criteria for capitalization are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line basis over the estimated economic useful life of the software.

Patents, licenses and other intangible assets are amortized over their contractual or estimated useful lives, as appropriate. The company anticipates amortization expense for patents, licenses and other intangible assets of approximately \$3 million to be recorded over the remaining five years of the assets useful lives.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

13. Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities are summarized as follows (in millions):

	nber 31,)07	September 30, 2007
Compensation and benefits	\$ 183 \$	223
Income taxes (see Note 6)	19	130
Taxes other than income taxes	51	56
Product warranties	52	50
Restructuring (see Note 5)	61	59
AB Volvo joint venture payable		27
Asbestos-related liabilities (see Note 18)	15	11
Interest	21	7
Environmental (see Note 18)	12	11
Current deferred income tax liabilities	7	6
Other	107	78
Other current liabilities	\$ 528 \$	658

On October 4, 2004, the company formed two joint ventures in France with AB Volvo to manufacture and distribute axles. The company acquired its 51-percent interest for a purchase price of 19 million (\$25 million). The company had an option to purchase and AB Volvo had an option to require the company to purchase the remaining 49-percent interest in one of the joint ventures beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008 for 16 million (\$23 million) plus interest at EURIBOR rates, plus a margin. In December 2007, this option was exercised and the related liability was settled. The option to purchase the minority interest was essentially a financing arrangement, as the minority shareholder did not participate in any profits or losses of the joint venture. Therefore, no minority interest was recognized in prior periods for the 49-percent interest in this joint venture.

The company s CVS segment records product warranty costs at the time of shipment of products to customers. Warranty reserves are primarily based on factors that include past claims experience, sales history, product manufacturing and engineering changes and industry developments. Liabilities for product recall campaigns are recorded at the time the company s obligation is known and can be reasonably estimated. Product warranties, including recall campaigns, not expected to be paid within one year are recorded as a non-current liability.

The company s LVS segment records product warranty liabilities based on individual customer or warranty-sharing agreements. Product warranties are recorded for known warranty issues when amounts can be reasonably estimated.

A summary of the changes in product warranties is as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2	:007	2006
Total product warranties beginning of period	\$	103 \$	122
Accruals for product warranties		11	14

Payments	(16)	(13)
Change in estimates and other	(1)	4
Total product warranties end of period	97	127
Less: Non-current product warranties (see Note 14)	(45)	(59)
Product warranties current	\$ 52 \$	68

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

14. Other Liabilities

Other Liabilities are summarized as follows (in millions):

	Dec	ember 31, 2007	September 30, 2007
Asbestos-related liabilities (see Note 18)	\$	40 \$	44
Non-current deferred income tax liabilities		6	6
Liabilities for uncertain tax positions (see Note 6)		48	
Product warranties (see Note 13)		45	53
Environmental (see Note 18)		8	13
Long-term payable (see Note 12)		48	47
Other		46	46
Other liabilities	\$	241 \$	209

15. Long-Term Debt

Long-Term Debt, net of discount where applicable, is summarized as follows (in millions):

	Dec	cember 31, 2007	September 30, 2007
6-3/4 percent notes due 2008	\$	5 \$	5
7-1/8 percent notes due 2009		6	6
6.8 percent notes due 2009		77	77
8-3/4 percent notes due 2012		276	276
8-1/8 percent notes due 2015		251	251
4.625 percent convertible notes due 2026 ⁽¹⁾		300	300
4.0 percent convertible notes due 2027 ⁽¹⁾		200	200
Accounts receivable securitization (see Note 8)		70	
Revolving credit facility		4	
Lines of credit and other		27	20
Unamortized gain on swap unwind		8	9
Fair value adjustment of notes		12	4
Subtotal		1,236	1,148
Less: current maturities		(95)	(18)
Long-term debt	\$	1,141 \$	1,130

⁽¹⁾ The 4.625 percent and 4.0 percent convertible notes contain a put and call feature, which allows for earlier redemption beginning in 2016 and 2019, respectively.

Senior Secured Credit Facilities

In October and December 2007, the company amended its revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the December amendment, the borrowing capacity of the revolving credit facility was reduced to \$700 million from \$900 million. The amended revolving credit facility replaced the existing financial covenants with new financial covenants based on (i) the ratio of the company s senior secured indebtedness to EBITDA and (ii) the amount of annual capital expenditures. The company is required to maintain a total senior secured-debt-to-EBITDA ratio, as defined in the

agreement, no greater than 2.50x on the last day of any fiscal quarter through and including the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2009 and (ii) 2.00 to 1.00 on the last day of any fiscal quarter thereafter. At

13

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

December 31, 2007, the company was in compliance with all covenants. As a result of the amendments, the company recognized a \$3 million loss on debt extinguishment associated with the write-off of debt issuance costs. The remaining unamortized debt issuance costs are being amortized over the remaining term of the amended credit facility.

Borrowings under the amended revolving credit facility are subject to interest based on quoted LIBOR rates plus a margin, and a commitment fee on undrawn amounts, both of which are based upon the company s current credit rating for the senior secured facility. At December 31, 2007, the margin over the LIBOR rate was 225 basis points, and the commitment fee was 50 basis points. The amended revolving credit facility includes a \$150 million limit on the issuance of letters of credit. At December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007, approximately \$26 million and \$30 million letters of credit, respectively, were issued. The company had an additional \$11 million outstanding at December 31, 2007 and at September 30, 2007 on letters of credit available through other facilities.

Borrowings under the amended revolving credit facility are collateralized by approximately \$905 million of the company s assets, primarily consisting of eligible domestic U.S. accounts receivable, inventory, plant, property and equipment, intellectual property and the company s investment in all or a portion of certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Certain of the company s subsidiaries, as defined in the credit agreement, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantee amounts outstanding under the amended revolving credit facility. Similar subsidiary guarantees are provided for the benefit of the holders of the publicly-held notes outstanding under the company s indentures (see Note 21).

Convertible Securities

In February 2007, the company issued \$200 million of 4.00 percent convertible senior unsecured notes due 2027 (the 2007 convertible notes). In March 2006, the company issued \$300 million of 4.625 percent convertible senior unsecured notes due 2026 (the 2006 convertible notes). The 2007 convertible notes bear cash interest at a rate of 4.00 percent per annum from the date of issuance through February 15, 2019, payable semi-annually in arrears on February 15 and August 15 of each year. After February 15, 2019, the principal amount of the notes will be subject to accretion at a rate that provides holders with an aggregate annual yield to maturity of 4.00 percent. The 2006 convertible notes bear cash interest at a rate of 4.625 percent per annum from the date of issuance through March 1, 2016, payable semi-annually in arrears on March 1 and September 1 of each year. After March 1, 2016, the principal amount of the notes will be subject to accretion at a rate that provides holders with an aggregate annual of the notes will be subject to accretion at a rate that provides holders with an aggregate annual of the notes will be subject to accretion at a rate that provides holders with an aggregate annual of the notes will be subject to accretion at a rate that provides holders with an aggregate annual of the notes will be subject to accretion at a rate that provides holders with an aggregate annual yield to maturity of 4.625 percent.

The 2007 and 2006 convertible notes are convertible in to shares of the company s common stock at an initial conversion rate, subject to adjustment, equivalent to 37.4111 and 47.6667 shares of common stock, respectively, per \$1,000 initial principal amount of notes. The maximum number of shares the 2007 and 2006 convertible notes are convertible into is approximately 7 million and 14 million, respectively.

Accounts Receivable Securitization

The company participates in a U.S. accounts receivable securitization program to enhance financial flexibility and lower interest costs (see Note 8). Under this \$175 million program, which was established in September 2005, and amended in fiscal years 2006 and 2007, the company sells substantially all of the trade receivables of certain U.S. subsidiaries to ARC. ARC funds these purchases with borrowings under a loan agreement with a bank. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings under this arrangement was approximately 5.50 percent at December 31, 2007. Amounts outstanding under this agreement are reported as short-term debt in the consolidated balance sheet and are collateralized by \$237 million of eligible receivables purchased and held by ARC at December 31, 2007. If certain receivables performance-based covenants are not met, it would constitute a termination event, which, at the option of the banks, could result in termination of the accounts receivable securitization arrangement. At December 31, 2007, the company was in compliance with all covenants.

Related Parties

A 57-percent owned consolidated joint venture of the company has a \$6 million, 6.5-percent loan with its minority partner. This loan matures in fiscal year 2009 and is included in long-term debt in the consolidated balance sheet.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

As of December 31, 2007, the company had interest rate swap agreements that effectively converted \$221 million of the company s 8-3/4 percent notes, \$63 million of the 6.8 percent notes and \$175 million of the 8-1/8 percent notes to variable interest rates. The fair value of the 8-3/4 percent swaps was a liability of \$2 million at both December 31 and September 30, 2007 and is included in Other Liabilities. The fair value of the 6.8 percent swap was not significant at the end of December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007. The fair value of the 8-1/8% swaps was \$11 million and \$4 million at December 31 and September 30, 2007,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

respectively and is included in Other Assets. The terms of the interest rate swap agreements require the company to place cash on deposit as collateral if the fair value of the interest rate swaps declines below a certain threshold. No amounts were posted as collateral at December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007. The swaps have been designated as fair value hedges and the impact of the changes in their fair values is offset by an equal and opposite change in the carrying value of the related notes. Under the terms of the swap agreements, the company receives a fixed rate of interest of 8.75 percent, 6.8 percent and 8.125 percent on notional amounts of \$221 million, \$63 million and \$175 million, respectively, and pays variable rates based on three-month LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 3.13 percent. The payments under the agreements coincide with the interest payment dates on the hedged debt instruments, and the difference between the amounts paid and received is included in interest expense, net and other. In January 2008, the company terminated all of its interest rate swaps. Included in the fair value adjustment of notes is \$8 million related to previously terminated interest rate swaps, which is being amortized to earnings as a reduction of interest expense over the remaining life of the related debt.

The company classifies the cash flows associated with its interest rate swaps in cash flows from operating activities in its consolidated statement of cash flows. This is consistent with the classification of the cash flows associated with the underlying hedged item.

Leases

The company has various operating leasing arrangements. Future minimum lease payments under these operating leases are \$21 million in 2008, \$16 million in 2009, \$12 million in 2010, \$10 million in 2011, \$5 million in 2012 and \$9 million thereafter.

16. Financial Instruments

The company s financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, short-term debt, long-term debt, interest rate swaps, and foreign exchange forward contracts. The company uses derivatives for hedging and non-trading purposes in order to manage its interest rate and foreign exchange rate exposures. The company s interest rate swap agreements are discussed in Note 15.

Foreign Exchange Contracts

The company s operations are exposed to global market risks, including the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The company has a foreign currency cash flow hedging program to reduce the company s exposure to changes in exchange rates. The company uses foreign currency forward contracts to manage the company s exposures arising from foreign currency exchange risk. Gains and losses on the underlying foreign currency exposures are partially offset with gains and losses on the foreign currency forward contracts.

Under this program, the company has designated the foreign exchange contracts (the contracts) as cash flow hedges of underlying forecasted foreign currency purchases and sales. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of the contracts is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (AOCL) in the consolidated statement of shareowners equity and is recognized in operating income when the underlying forecasted transaction impacts earnings. The contracts generally mature within 12-24 months. The impact to operating income associated with hedge ineffectiveness was not significant for the three months ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

At December 31 and September 30, 2007, there was a loss of \$5 million and a gain of \$1 million, respectively, recorded in AOCL. The company expects to reclassify this amount from AOCL to operating income during the next three months as the forecasted hedged transactions are recognized in earnings.

The company classifies the cash flows associated with the contracts in cash flows from operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows. This is consistent with the classification of the cash flows associated with the underlying hedged item.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Fair Value

Fair values of financial instruments are summarized as follows (in millions):

	December 31, 2007		September 30, 2007		
		Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	164	164	409	409
Interest rate swaps - asset		11	11	4	4
Foreign exchange contracts - asset		7	7	6	6
Investment in debt defeasance trust		12	12	12	12
Interest rate swaps liability		2	2	2	2
Foreign exchange contracts - liability		16	16	5	5
Short-term debt		95	95	18	18
Long-term debt		1,141	1,032	1,130	1,127

Cash and cash equivalents All highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents. The carrying value approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

Interest rate swaps and foreign exchange forward contracts Fair values are estimated by obtaining quotes from external sources.

Short-term debt The carrying value of short-term debt approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these borrowings.

Long-term debt Fair values are based on interest rates that would be currently available to the company for issuance of similar types of debt instruments with similar terms and remaining maturities.

17. Retirement Benefit Liabilities

Retirement Benefit Liabilities consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31,		September 30,	
	200	7	2007	
Retiree medical liability	\$	575 \$	573	
Pension liability		215	208	
Other		41	45	

Subtotal	831	826
Less: current portion (included in compensation and benefits)	(63)	(63)
Retirement benefit liabilities	\$ 768 \$	763

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The components of net periodic pension and retiree medical expense, including discontinued operations, for the three months ended December 31, are as follows:

	2007		2006	
	Pension I	Retiree Medical	Pension	Retiree Medical
Service cost	\$ 7 \$	1 \$	9 \$	1
Interest cost	26	9	25	9
Assumed return on plan assets	(32)		(26)	
Amortization of prior service costs	1	(2)	1	(2)
Recognized actuarial loss	9	7	10	6
Total expense	\$ 11 \$	15 \$	19 \$	14

18. Contingencies

Environmental

Federal, state and local requirements relating to the discharge of substances into the environment, the disposal of hazardous wastes and other activities affecting the environment have, and will continue to have, an impact on the manufacturing operations of the company. The process of estimating environmental liabilities is complex and dependent on evolving physical and scientific data at the site, uncertainties as to remedies and technologies to be used and the outcome of discussions with regulatory agencies. The company records liabilities for environmental issues in the accounting period in which its responsibility and remediation plans are established and the cost can be reasonably estimated. At environmental sites in which more than one potentially responsible party has been identified, the company records a liability for its allocable share of costs related to its involvement with the site, as well as an allocable share of costs related to insolvent parties or unidentified shares. At environmental sites in which ArvinMeritor is the only potentially responsible party, the company records a liability for the total probable and estimable costs of remediation before consideration of recovery from insurers or other third parties.

The company has been designated as a potentially responsible party at seven Superfund sites, excluding sites as to which the company s records disclose no involvement or as to which the company s potential liability has been finally determined. Management estimates the total reasonably possible costs the company could incur for the remediation of Superfund sites at December 31, 2007 to be approximately \$24 million, of which \$4 million is recorded as a liability.

In addition to the Superfund sites, various other lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been asserted against the company, alleging violations of federal, state and local environmental protection requirements, or seeking remediation of alleged environmental impairments, principally at previously disposed-of properties. For these matters, management has estimated the total reasonably possible costs the company could incur at December 31, 2007 to be approximately \$57 million, of which \$16 million is recorded as a liability.

Included in the company s environmental liabilities are costs for on-going operating, maintenance and monitoring at environmental sites in which remediation has been put into place. This liability is discounted using a discount rate of 5-percent and is approximately \$9 million at December 31, 2007. The undiscounted estimate of these costs is approximately \$14 million.

Following are the components of the Superfund and Non-Superfund Environmental reserves (in millions):

Edgar Filing: ARVINMERITOR INC - Form 10-Q

	Superfund Sites	Non-Superfund Sites		Total	
Balance at September 30, 2007	\$ 8	\$ 16		\$	24
Payments and other	(4)	(1)		(5)
Change in cost estimate (1)		1			1
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 4	\$ 16		\$	20

⁽¹⁾ Environmental remediation costs of \$1 million were recorded in loss from discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations during the first quarter of fiscal year 2008.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Environmental reserves are included in Other Current Liabilities (see Note 13) and Other Liabilities (see Note 14).

The actual amount of costs or damages for which the company may be held responsible could materially exceed the foregoing estimates because of uncertainties, including the financial condition of other potentially responsible parties, the success of the remediation, discovery of new contamination and other factors that make it difficult to predict actual costs accurately. However, based on management s assessment, after consulting with outside advisors that specialize in environmental matters, and subject to the difficulties inherent in estimating these future costs, the company believes that its expenditures for environmental capital investment and remediation necessary to comply with present regulations governing environmental protection and other expenditures for the resolution of environmental claims will not have a material adverse effect on the company s business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, in future periods, new laws and regulations, changes in the remediation plan, advances in technology and additional information about the ultimate clean-up remedy could significantly change the company s estimates. Management cannot assess the possible effect of compliance with future requirements.

Asset Retirement Obligations

The company has identified conditional asset retirement obligations for which a reasonable estimate of fair value could not be made because the potential settlement dates cannot be determined at this time. Due to the long term, productive nature of the company s manufacturing operations, absent plans or expectations of plans to initiate asset retirement activities, the company was not able to reasonably estimate the settlement date for the related obligations. Therefore, the company has not recognized conditional asset retirement obligations for which there are no plans or expectations of plans to retire the asset.

Asbestos

Maremont Corporation (Maremont), a subsidiary of ArvinMeritor, manufactured friction products containing asbestos from 1953 through 1977, when it sold its friction product business. Arvin acquired Maremont in 1986. Maremont and many other companies are defendants in suits brought by individuals claiming personal injuries as a result of exposure to asbestos-containing products. Maremont had approximately 27,912 pending asbestos-related claims at September 30, 2007. There was no significant change in pending asbestos-related claims during the three months ended December 31, 2007. Although Maremont has been named in these cases, in the cases where actual injury has been alleged, very few claimants have established that a Maremont product caused their injuries. Plaintiffs lawyers often sue dozens or even hundreds of defendants in individual lawsuits on behalf of hundreds or thousands of claimants, seeking damages against all named defendants irrespective of the disease or injury and irrespective of any causal connection with a particular product. For these reasons, Maremont does not consider the number of claims filed or the damages alleged to be a meaningful factor in determining its asbestos-related liability.

Maremont s asbestos-related reserves and corresponding asbestos-related recoveries are summarized as follows (in millions):

	December	31, 2007 September 3	30, 2007
Pending and future claims	\$	37 \$	37
Shortfall and other		6	6
Asbestos-related reserves	\$	43 \$	43
Asbestos-related recoveries	\$	28 \$	28

A portion of the asbestos-related recoveries and reserves are included in Other Current Assets and Liabilities, with the majority of the amounts recorded in Other Assets and Liabilities (see Notes 10, 12, 13 and 14).

Prior to February 2001, Maremont participated in the Center for Claims Resolution (CCR) and shared with other CCR members in the payment of defense and indemnity costs for asbestos-related claims. The CCR handled the resolution and processing of asbestos claims on behalf of its members until February 2001, when it was reorganized and discontinued negotiating shared settlements. Upon dissolution of the CCR in February 2001, Maremont began handling asbestos-related claims through its own defense counsel and has taken a more aggressive defensive approach that involves examining the merits of each asbestos-related claim. Although the company expects legal defense costs to continue at

Edgar Filing: ARVINMERITOR INC - Form 10-Q

higher levels than when it participated in the CCR, the company believes its litigation strategy has reduced the average indemnity cost per claim.

Pending and Future Claims: Maremont engages Bates White LLC (Bates White), a consulting firm with extensive experience estimating costs associated with asbestos litigation, to assist with determining the estimated cost of resolving pending and future asbestos-related claims that have been, and could reasonably be expected to be, filed against Maremont, as well as the cost of Maremont s share of committed but unpaid settlements entered into by the CCR. Although it is not possible to estimate the full range of costs because of various uncertainties, Bates White advised Maremont that it would be possible to determine an estimate of a

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

reasonable forecast of the cost of resolving pending and future asbestos-related claims, based on historical data and certain assumptions with respect to events that occur in the future.

Bates White provided an estimate of the reasonably possible range of Maremont s obligation for asbestos personal injury claims over the next three to four years of \$28 million to \$38 million. After consultation with Bates White, Maremont determined that as of September 30, 2007 the most likely and probable liability for pending and future claims over the next four years is \$37 million. The ultimate cost of resolving pending and future claims and expenses for plaintiffs represented by law firms in jurisdictions with an established history with Maremont.

The following assumptions were made by Maremont after consultation with Bates White and are included in their study:

Pending and future claims were estimated for a four year period ending in fiscal year 2010. Maremont believes that the litigation environment will change The ultimate cost of resolving pending and future claims filed in Madison County, Illinois, a jurisdiction where a substantial amount of Maremont s claim Defense and processing costs for pending and future claims filed outside of Madison County, Illinois will be at the level consistent with Maremont s prior The ultimate indemnity cost of resolving nonmalignant claims with plaintiff s law firms in jurisdictions without an established history with Maremont can *Shortfall and other*: Several former members of the CCR have filed for bankruptcy protection, and these members have failed, or may fail, to pay certain financial obligations with respect to settlements that were reached while they were CCR members. Maremont is subject to claims for payment of a portion of these defaulted member shares (shortfall). In an effort to resolve the affected settlements, Maremont has entered into negotiations with plaintiffs attorneys, and an estimate of Maremont s obligation for the shortfall is included in the total asbestos-related reserves. In addition, Maremont and its insurers are engaged in legal proceedings to determine whether existing insurance coverage should reimburse any potential liability related to this issue. Payments by the company related to shortfall and other were not significant in the three months ended December 31, 2007 or 2006.

Recoveries: Maremont has insurance that reimburses a substantial portion of the costs incurred defending against asbestos-related claims. The coverage also reimburses Maremont for any indemnity paid on those claims. The coverage is provided by several insurance carriers based on insurance agreements in place. Incorporating historical information with respect to buy-outs and settlements of coverage, and excluding any policies in dispute, the insurance receivable related to asbestos-related liabilities is \$28 million. The difference between the estimated liability and insurance receivable is related to proceeds received from settled insurance policies and liabilities for shortfall and other. Certain insurance policies have been settled in cash prior to the ultimate settlement of related asbestos liabilities. Amounts received from insurance settlements generally reduce recorded insurance receivables. Receivables for policies in dispute are not recorded.

The amounts recorded for the asbestos-related reserves and recoveries from insurance companies are based upon assumptions and estimates derived from currently known facts. All such estimates of liabilities and recoveries for asbestos-related claims are subject to considerable uncertainty because such liabilities and recoveries are influenced by variables that are difficult to predict. The future litigation environment for Maremont could change significantly from its past experience, due, for example, to changes in the mix of claims filed against Maremont in terms of plaintiffs law firm, jurisdiction and disease; legislative or regulatory developments; Maremont s approach to defending claims; or payments to plaintiffs from other defendants. Estimated recoveries are influenced by coverage issues among insurers, and the continuing solvency of various insurance companies. If the assumptions with respect to the nature of pending claims, the cost to resolve claims and the amount of available insurance prove to be incorrect, the actual amount of liability for Maremont s asbestos-related claims, and the effect on the company, could differ materially from current estimates and, therefore, could have a material impact on the company s financial position and results of operations.

Rockwell ArvinMeritor, along with many other companies, has also been named as a defendant in lawsuits alleging personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos used in certain components of Rockwell products many years ago. Liability for these claims was transferred to the company at the time of the spin-off of the automotive business to Meritor from Rockwell in 1997. Currently there are thousands of claimants in lawsuits that name the company, together with many other companies, as defendants. However, the company does not consider the number of claims filed or the damages alleged to be a meaningful factor in determining asbestos-related liabilities. A significant portion of the claims do not identify any of Rockwell s products or specify which of the claimants, if any, were exposed to asbestos attributable to Rockwell s products, and past experience has shown that the vast majority

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

of the claimants will never identify any of Rockwell s products. For those claimants who do show that they worked with Rockwell s products, management nevertheless believes it has meritorious defenses, in substantial part due to the integrity of the products involved, the encapsulated nature of any asbestos-containing components, and the lack of any impairing medical condition on the part of many claimants. The company defends these cases vigorously. Historically, ArvinMeritor has been dismissed from the vast majority of these claims with no payment to claimants.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006, the company engaged Bates White to assist with determining whether it would be possible to estimate the cost of resolving pending and future Rockwell legacy asbestos-related claims that have been, and could reasonably be expected to be, filed against the company. Although it is not possible to estimate the full range of costs because of various uncertainties, Bates White advised the company that it would be able to determine an estimate of probable defense and indemnity costs which could be incurred to resolve pending and future Rockwell legacy asbestos-related claims. Accordingly, the company recorded a \$12 million liability for defense and indemnity costs associated with these claims. This estimate was based on historical data and certain assumptions with respect to events that occur in the future. The uncertainties of asbestos claim litigation and resolution of the litigation with the insurance companies make it difficult to predict accurately the ultimate resolution of asbestos claims. That uncertainties and based on the company s experience defending these asbestos claims, the company does not believe these lawsuits will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations. Rockwell was not a member of the CCR and handled its asbestos-related claims using its own litigation counsel. As a result, the company does not have any additional potential liabilities for committed CCR settlements or shortfall (as described above) in connection with the Rockwell-legacy cases.

Rockwell maintained insurance coverage that management believes covers indemnity and defense costs, over and above self-insurance retentions, for most of these claims. The company has initiated claims against these carriers to enforce the insurance policies. Although the status of one carrier as a financially viable entity is in question, the company expects to recover the majority of defense and indemnity costs it has incurred to date, over and above self-insured retentions, and a substantial portion of the costs for defending asbestos claims going forward. Accordingly, the company has recorded an insurance receivable related to Rockwell legacy asbestos-related liabilities of \$12 million at December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2007.

Guarantees

In December 2005, the company guaranteed a third party s obligation to reimburse another party (the other party) for payment of health and prescription drug benefits to a group of retired employees. The retirees were former employees of a wholly-owned subsidiary of the company prior to it being acquired by the company. To date, the third party has met its obligations to reimburse the other party. The APBO associated with these retiree medical benefits is considered the maximum potential exposure under this guarantee, and is estimated to be approximately \$25 million. No amount has been recorded for this guarantee based on the probability of the company having to perform under the guarantee. Due to the nature of this guarantee it is difficult to estimate its approximate term.

Indemnifications

The company has provided indemnifications in conjunction with certain transactions, primarily divestitures. These indemnifies address a variety of matters, which may include environmental, tax, asbestos and employment-related matters, and the periods of indemnification vary in duration. The company s maximum obligations under such indemnifications cannot be reasonably estimated. The company is not aware of any claims or other information that would give rise to material payments under such indemnifications.

Other

In fiscal year 2006, a light vehicle systems customer of the company initiated a field service campaign related in part to a product of the company, which covered approximately 750,000 vehicles. The customer has filed suit against the company seeking reimbursement of costs associated with the field service campaign as well as other warranty costs. In fiscal year 2006, the company recorded a contingency reserve of approximately \$11 million related to this matter. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the company recognized an additional charge of approximately \$9 million increasing its reserve to \$20 million. We are attempting to resolve the dispute pursuant to a commercial settlement,

Edgar Filing: ARVINMERITOR INC - Form 10-Q

and the ultimate resolution may have a material adverse effect on the company s business, financial condition or results of operations. However, the company can not estimate at this time the range of any possible additional loss.

Litigation associated with the company s retiree medical plans is discussed in Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. The ultimate outcome of these lawsuits may result in future plan amendments.

20

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Various other lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been or may be instituted or asserted against the company, relating to the conduct of the company s business, including those pertaining to product liability, warranty or recall claims, intellectual property, safety and health, and employment matters. Although the outcome of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty, and some lawsuits, claims or proceedings may be disposed of unfavorably to the company, management believes the disposition of matters that are pending will not have a material adverse effect on the company s business, financial condition or results of operations.

19. Comprehensive Income

On an annual basis, disclosure of comprehensive income is incorporated into the Consolidated Statement of Shareowners Equity. This statement is not presented on a quarterly basis. Comprehensive income includes net income and components of other comprehensive income, such as foreign currency translation adjustments, and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives and equity securities.

Comprehensive income is summarized as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended			
	December 31,			
	20	007	2006	
Net income (loss)	\$	(12) \$	7	
Foreign currency translation adjustments		30	50	
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign exchange contracts, net of tax		(6)	1	
Other		(3)		
Comprehensive income	\$	9 \$	58	
Comprehensive income	\$	9\$	58	

20. Business Segment Information

The company defines its operating segments as components of its business where separate financial information is available and is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The company s chief operating decision maker (CODM) is the Chief Executive Officer.

The company reports operating results under two segments: Commercial Vehicle Systems (CVS) and Light Vehicle Systems (LVS). CVS supplies drivetrain systems and components, including axles and drivelines, braking systems, and suspension systems, for medium- and heavy-duty trucks, trailers and specialty vehicles to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and the commercial vehicle aftermarket. LVS is a major supplier of aperture systems (roof and door systems), chassis systems (ride control, suspension systems and modules) and wheel products for passenger cars, motorcycles and all-terrain vehicles, light trucks and sport utility vehicles to OEMs.

The company measures segment operating performance based on earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, and loss on sale of receivables (EBITDA). The company uses EBITDA as the primary basis for the CODM to evaluate the performance of each of the company s reportable segments.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those applied in the Consolidated Financial Statements, except for the use of EBITDA. The company may allocate certain common costs, primarily corporate functions, between the segments differently than the company would for stand alone financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP. These allocated costs include expenses for shared services such as information technology, finance, communications, legal and human resources. The company does not allocate interest expense, equity in earnings of affiliates and certain legacy and other corporate costs not directly associated with the segments operating income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Segment information is summarized as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2007 2006						
Sales:	2	007	2000				
Commercial Vehicle Systems	\$	1,080 \$	1,046				
Light Vehicle Systems	Ý	583	522				
Total sales	\$	1,663 \$	1,568				
EBITDA:							
Commercial Vehicle Systems	\$	71 \$	63				
Light Vehicle Systems		2	14				
Segment EBITDA		73	77				
Unallocated legacy and corporate costs		(1)					
ET corporate allocations (1)			(7)				
Depreciation and amortization		(32)	(30)				
Loss on sale of receivables		(4)	(2)				
Interest expense, net		(27)	(27)				
Provision for income taxes		(10)	(1)				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(1) \$	10				

(1) As a result of the sale of ET, certain corporate costs previously allocated to ET s segment results are reported in continuing operations. These costs were not allocated to the company s two business segments and were included in ET corporate allocations in the above segment information.

21. Supplemental Guarantor Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements

Certain of the company s wholly-owned subsidiaries, as defined in the credit agreement (the Guarantors) irrevocably and unconditionally guarantee amounts outstanding under the senior credit facilities. Similar subsidiary guarantees were provided for the benefit of the holders of the publicly-held notes outstanding under the company s indentures.

In lieu of providing separate audited financial statements for the Guarantors subsidiaries, the company has included the accompanying condensed consolidating financial statements. These condensed consolidating financial statements are presented on the equity method. Under this method, the investments in subsidiaries are recorded at cost and adjusted for the parent s share of the subsidiary s cumulative results of operations, capital contributions and distributions and other equity changes. The Guarantor subsidiaries are combined in the condensed consolidating financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

(In millions)

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2007									
					Non-					
	Parent		Guarantor	s	Guaranton	s	Elims		Consolidat	ted
Sales										
External	\$		\$ 525		\$ 1,138		\$		\$1,663	
Subsidiaries			32		66		(98)		
Total sales			557		1,204		(98)	1,663	
Cost of sales	(7)	(510)	(1,114)	98		(1,533)
GROSS MARGIN	(7)	47		90				130	
Selling, general and administrative	(20)	(33)	(39)			(92)
Restructuring costs					(10)			(10)
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(27)	14		41				28	
Equity in earnings of affiliates			5		6				11	
Other income (expense), net			(4)	4					
Interest expense, net	(25)	20		(22)			(27)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(52)	35		29				12	
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	15		(14)	(11)			(10)
Minority interest					(3)			(3)
Equity income from continuing operations of subsidiaries	36		18				(54)		
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(1)	39		15		(54)	(1)
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, net of tax	(11)	(12)	(7)	19		(11)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(12)	\$27		\$8		\$(35)	\$(12)

... 4 E L ID mbor 31 2007

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

(In millions)

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006								
					Non-				
	Parent		Guarant	ors	Guaranto	rs	Elims	Consolidate	ed
Sales									
External	\$		\$691		\$ 877		\$	\$1,568	
Subsidiaries			19		92		(111)		
Total sales			710		969		(111)	1,568	
Cost of sales	(4)	(647)	(924)	111	(1,464)
GROSS MARGIN	(4)	63		45			104	
Selling, general and administrative	(18)	(24)	(31)		(73)
Other income (expense)			(3)	5			2	
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(22)	36		19			33	
Equity in earnings of affiliates			6		1			7	
Other income (expense), net			(2)	2				
Interest expense, net	(24)	9	-	(12)		(27)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(46)	49		10			13	
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	15		(12)	(4)		(1)
Minority interest				,	(2)		(2)
Equity income from continuing operations of subsidiaries	41		19				(60)		
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	10		56		4		(60)	10	
INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, net of							. ,		
tax	(3)	(21)	15		6	(3)
NET INCOME	\$7	,	\$35	,	\$ 19		\$(54)	\$7	,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

(In millions)

			Non-		
	Parent	Guarantors	Guarantors	Elims	Consolidated
CURRENT ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2	\$7	\$155	\$	\$164
Receivables, net	(11)	98	1,125		1,212
Inventories		198	371		569
Other current assets	51	70	113		234
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	42	373	1,764		2,179
NET PROPERTY	12	202	527		741
GOODWILL		347	177		524
OTHER ASSETS	571	217	326		1,114
INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES	1,494	578		(2,072)	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$2,119	\$1,717	\$2,794	\$(2,072)	\$4,558
CURRENT LIABILITIES					
Short-term debt	\$5	\$	\$90	\$	\$95
Accounts payable	36	208	872		1,116
Other current liabilities	100	82	403		585
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	141	290	1,365		1,796
LONG-TERM DEBT	1,134		7		1,141
RETIREMENT BENEFITS	578		190		768
INTERCOMPANY PAYABLE (RECEIVABLE)	(388)	3	385		
OTHER LIABILITIES	110	107	24		241
MINORITY INTERESTS			68		68
SHAREOWNERS EQUITY	544	1,317	755	(2,072)	544
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS EQUITY	\$2,119	\$1,717	\$2,794	\$(2,072)	\$4,558

December 31, 2007

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

(In millions)

	September 30, 2007						
		Non-					
	Parent	Guarantors	Guarantors	Elims	Consolidated		
CURRENT ASSETS							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$182	\$5	\$222	\$	\$409		
Receivables, net	1	151	1,071		1,223		
Inventories		188	353				