

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.
Form 10-K
March 14, 2019
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-36432

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

8000 NE Parkway Drive, Suite 350, Vancouver, WA

(Address of principal executive offices)

(360) 260-7272

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value NASDAQ Global Select Market

(Title of Each Class)

(Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered)

Securities

registered

pursuant

to Section

12(g) of

the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes

☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☒ Smaller reporting company ☒

Emerging growth company ☒

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐. No ☒.

At July 2, 2018, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the shares of voting and non-voting common stock of the Registrant held by non-affiliates was \$42,453,882 based on the last sales price of the Registrant's common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market on that day.

At March 1, 2019, there were 17,027,528 shares of the Registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Table of Contents

Pursuant to general instruction G to Form 10-K, portions of information to be disclosed in the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Business	<u>4</u>
Item 1A.Risk Factors	<u>8</u>
Item 1B.Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>26</u>
Item 2. Properties	<u>26</u>
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	<u>29</u>
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>30</u>

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>31</u>
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	<u>31</u>
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>31</u>
Item 7A.Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>47</u>
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>48</u>
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>80</u>
Item 9A.Controls and Procedures	<u>80</u>
Item 9B.Other Information	<u>80</u>

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>81</u>
Item 11. Executive Compensation	<u>81</u>
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>81</u>
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>81</u>
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>81</u>

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>82</u>
---	-----------

SIGNATURES	<u>90</u>
------------	-----------

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. Business

General

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. is a franchisor and operator of the largest Take 'N' Bake pizza chain in the United States. We were founded in 1981 and have a total of 1,437 system-wide stores as of December 31, 2018.

We have defined three reportable segments for the Company: Company Stores, Franchise, and Brand Funds. Financial information about segment operations appears in Selected Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in Note 20—Segment Information of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We are a Delaware corporation that was organized and then acquired a majority of the capital stock of PMI Holdings, Inc., our predecessor, in 2010. In May 2014, we completed our initial public offering of our common stock (the "IPO") and now our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the "FRSH" ticker symbol. Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries are sometimes referred to as the "Company," "Papa Murphy's," or in the first person as "we," "us," and "our" in this report.

We make available, free of charge, the following filings on our corporate website located, at www.papamurphys.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after such filings are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"): our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, any amendments to such reports, and our annual proxy statement. Information contained on our corporate website located at www.papamurphys.com is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The SEC also maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the Commission.

Our Concept

The Papa Murphy's experience is different from traditional pizza restaurants. Our customers:

• **CREATE** their fresh, personally customized pizza with high quality ingredients;

• **TAKE** their fresh pizza home; and

• **BAKE** their pizza fresh in their ovens, at their convenience, for a home-cooked meal served hot.

We were founded on the core values of "Great Quality, Great Value, Great Customer Service" and we strive to deliver on these values every day.

Great Quality: We have continually focused on quality since our founding and we believe customers can taste the difference. Unlike some of our competitors, we do not use pre-shredded, pre-packaged, or frozen cheese and our dough is made from scratch daily, never frozen.

Great Value: We offer a high quality pizza at a value price point.

Great Customer Service: We train our store crews to greet each customer, to promote the latest new products and to assist each customer in choosing the combination of fresh made pizzas and side items to complete the customer's meal. We actively target solving the "dinnertime dilemma" by providing a scratch-made, customized, home-baked meal. Our concept has broad appeal and is focused on freshness, quality, and convenience.

• We make our dough fresh in each store daily, starting with flour, water, and yeast;

• We grate our cheese daily from blocks of 100% whole-milk mozzarella cheese;

• We slice fresh, never-frozen vegetables by hand;

• We feature specialty, premium ingredients;

• We use only high quality meats with no added fillers; and

Table of Contents

• We provide a convenient, easy, meal-time solution.

Our stores feature a food-forward design with a store layout that highlights our high quality, scratch-made pizzas. Pizzas are made fresh to order and our customers can follow their pizza as it is created.

Our menu offers customers the ability to create a customized pizza from a broad selection of crusts, sauces, and topping combinations. Our core menu offerings include the following:

Signature pizzas: classic combinations with broad appeal;

Gourmet Delite pizzas: our artisan thin crust with premium, specialty toppings;

Stuffed pizzas: two-layer, four-pound pizzas with meats and vegetables stuffed between two layers of dough;

Fresh Pan pizzas: signature recipes with a thick, buttery crust; and

“C.Y.O.” or Create Your Own pizzas: customer choice of crust, sauce, and any combination of our cheese, meat, and vegetable toppings.

We have developed a loyal and diverse customer base. We attribute our success across a national footprint to the broad appeal of our concept. Our business model resonates across all ages, demographics, and income levels. Finally, we believe our model encourages a stronger emotional connection. The active role of ordering and watching the pizza being built gives customers a feeling of ownership: “a pizza” becomes “my pizza.”

Efficient, Simple Operating Model

Our stores average just 1,400 square feet in size and do not require ovens, venting hoods, freezers, or dining areas.

Our store model offers franchise owners operating advantages that differentiate us from other restaurant concepts. Our domestic stores:

• Focus on creating fresh pizzas for carry out, reducing operational complexity for franchise owners and their employees;

• Maintain shorter operating hours (typically 11:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.) that are attractive to franchise owners and their employees;

• Require fewer employees each shift compared to other restaurant concepts, resulting in lower labor costs;

• Accept electronic benefit transfer (“EBT”) payment systems (food stamps);

• Benefit from local cooperative marketing and consistent creative marketing assets for use in a variety of media channels;

• Utilize a centrally-managed, locally customizable, integrated e-commerce platform; and

• Receive strong franchisor support through training, operating standards, supply-chain management, and development assistance.

Our Strategy

Our strategy remains consistent around four key components: (i) convenience; (ii) relevance; (iii) people; and (iv) process. We believe that successfully implementing these strategic components will enable us to achieve our growth and profitability targets and leverage our current infrastructure.

Convenience

We believe convenience is our differentiating attribute because our customers have control over when they order, receive, bake, and serve our fresh, hot, made to order pizza. Customers decide exactly when their pizza goes into and comes out of their oven for maximum convenience and freshness. We believe customers want a product that is easy to order, easy to pay for, and easy to obtain.

E-commerce and Online Ordering. We continue to improve our e-commerce platform to provide a consistent and convenient online ordering experience for consumers. To remain relevant, we have designed systems that have the flexibility to advertise and promote special products or promotions as well as provide convenience to the consumer through ease of ordering and payment. We believe our e-commerce platform enables owners to realize greater efficiencies in store labor costs and provide an easy consumer experience through multiple ordering platforms and integration with third party delivery services.

Table of Contents

Expansion of Delivery. Online ordering addresses only part of the convenience cycle. In 2018, we continued expansion of delivery through the use of various third-party delivery options as they became available in the markets we serve. Our research indicates a strong demand for delivery from our customers, with more than half indicating they would order more often if delivery was available. We have a unique opportunity with delivery because our pizzas are not baked and customers receive the same high quality fresh food when delivered as when they pick up their order in-store.

Relevance

As we strive to provide fresh, hot, and convenient options for the “dinnertime dilemma,” we must ensure that our messaging and brand strategy resonate with consumers in a competitive marketplace. We have broadened our marketing messaging and focus on telling the right story to the right audience.

Increased Digital Marketing. We continue to learn which value offers drive traffic by testing a broad range of messages through a variety of media channels, including social media, text, and email. Through this dynamic, strategic shift in media mix, we have begun to capture consumer ordering and shopping trends in a rapidly changing marketplace. The insights gained from this data enable us to rapidly adapt to changes in consumer preferences and develop increasingly effective digital marketing campaigns.

Loyalty Program. We are in the early stages of developing a loyalty program on a digital platform intended to increase the frequency of purchases.

Improved Messaging. To better communicate the brand’s benefits and differentiation, we have refined our consumer messaging to reach a broader audience across all communication points. Emphasis will be placed on empowering consumers and providing them with control over ingredients, quality, and timing.

Targeted Communication. In order to increase the effectiveness of our messaging, we have focused on reaching customers through targeted communications and offers.

People

By cultivating a culture of teamwork, continuous learning, and development, we expect to improve productivity and performance throughout our organization.

Franchisee Relations. Developing and maintaining strong relations with franchise owners are crucial components to our business strategy. With 1,400 stores across the United States and 460 domestic franchise owners as of December 31, 2018, we have a diverse base of owners who can help cultivate ingenuity, entrepreneurship, and community connections that are key to successful store-level operations. Engaging with franchise owners from a perspective of cooperation will allow us to learn best practices for ensuring quality, value, and service, as well as help all franchise owners execute our strategy locally on a consistent basis.

Field Support. To help our franchise owners operate a profitable business and to protect our brand standards, we deploy teams located across the country to provide support in operations, store technology, and marketing. These teams assist franchise owners by coaching them on strategies for reaching new audiences, operating their stores with maximum efficiency, and building brand awareness and community engagement locally through offering employment opportunities, providing a high quality convenient meal, and partnering with local organizations to give back to the community.

Process

In order to achieve optimal results, we are focusing efforts on creating an efficient, consistent execution process.

- **Spending Optimization.** We continue to optimize and prioritize our spending to deliver improved store-level and support center financial results. We are prioritizing initiatives that focus on the areas of store operations, growth channels, business reviews, advertising relevance, and real-time sales analytics with the intended result of stabilizing comparable store sales figures and improving profitability.

Consumer Experience. We believe a consistent, high quality consumer experience in product, service, and advertising is key to building a strong brand. In addition, providing franchise owners with access to real-time store performance metrics will allow them to quickly optimize their store operations, identify trends in customer patterns, and improve overall store economics.

Table of Contents

Our Industry and Competition

With system-wide sales of \$809 million in fiscal year 2018, we are the fifth largest pizza chain in the United States measured by system-wide sales and total number of stores. We generally compete on the basis of product quality, variety, price, location, image, convenience, and service with regional and local pizza restaurants as well as national chains such as Domino's Pizza®, Pizza Hut®, Papa John's®, and Little Caesars Pizza®. The quick service restaurant ("QSR") pizza market, a subset of the overall pizza category, was about \$37 billion in 2018. We believe the QSR pizza restaurant market continues to be an attractive category due to its size and growth, as well as its fragmented competitive landscape.

On a broader scale, we compete with other limited service restaurants ("LSRs"), the overall food service industry, grocery and convenience stores, and online meal kit delivery services. The food service industry, particularly LSRs, are competitive with respect to product quality, price, location, service, and convenience. Many of our competitors have been in existence for longer periods of time and have developed stronger brand awareness in markets where we compete. In these markets, we compete for customers, employees, management personnel, franchisees, and real estate sites suitable for our stores.

Suppliers and Distribution

We enter into national supply or pricing agreements with various key third-party suppliers. We negotiate pricing for our franchised and Company-owned stores with national pricing agreements covering a term of three months to one year. We do not realize any profits from the sale of these supplies to franchise owners.

We rely on multiple third-party distributors as our primary distributors of cheese, refrigerated items, meat, canned and dry goods, paper and disposables, and janitorial supplies. Pursuant to our distribution service agreements, we have the right to designate the brands and products supplied. Supplies are delivered to each store one to two times each week. For our beverage products, we rely on Pepsi-Cola Advertising and Marketing, Inc. ("Pepsi") as our primary provider of packaged beverage products. We have maintained a national distribution relationship with Pepsi since 2004.

Intellectual Property and Trademarks

We regard the Papa Murphy's brand name and associated trademarks as valuable assets. We have a portfolio of 31 trademarks registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. We have also secured trademark registrations for our brand name in multiple countries outside of the United States in which we currently conduct business or may consider conducting business in the future. All of the marks we own cover store-related services and/or food products.

Management Information/Technology Systems

Our point-of-sale system ("POS system") has been customized specifically for Papa Murphy's stores, and we use this integrated restaurant-level technology for inventory, labor management and cash handling in our domestic stores. Our POS system allows us to track sales data and evaluate store efficiency. Through our POS system we are able to collect, utilize, and disseminate data and information collected by each store to generate reports and evaluate sales performance in real-time. In addition, we collect monthly store-level profit and loss statements for analysis.

We continue to make substantial investments to further develop our e-commerce capabilities and platform, including a new Papa Murphy's website and mobile application ordering system. This ordering channel, fully integrated with our in-store POS system, enables us to gather more information about customer ordering habits, which we believe will enable us to further develop attractive offers and increase sales with digital marketing.

Table of Contents

Franchising Overview

Our store base was 92.6% franchised as of December 31, 2018, with our franchise owners operating a total of 1,331 Papa Murphy's stores in 37 states, Canada, and the Middle East. Through our franchise support, development infrastructure, and screening process, we have successfully built a base of 469 franchise owners with an average store ownership of approximately 2.8 stores per franchise owner. As of December 31, 2018, a majority of our franchise owners owned one store, approximately 73% owned one or two stores, and approximately 24% of all franchised stores were owned by our 10 largest franchise owners. We believe this highly diversified owner base demonstrates the viability of our store concept across numerous types of owners and operators, and the relationships we have with our franchise owners provide a solid platform for growth.

We are dedicated to providing the tools our franchise owners need to succeed before, during, and after a store opening, including assistance with site selection and development, training, operations, and marketing. We set forth qualification criteria and provide training programs for franchise owners to ensure that every Papa Murphy's store meets the same quality and customer service standards to preserve the consistency and reliability of the Papa Murphy's brand.

Our asset-light franchised business model offers us strategic and financial benefits. It enables us to focus Company resources on menu innovation, marketing, franchise owner training, and operations support to drive the overall success of our brand. Our franchised business model also allows us to grow our store base and brand awareness with limited corporate capital investment. Further, our predominantly franchised business model reduces our exposure to changes in commodity and other operating costs. As a result, our business model is designed to provide high operating margins and cash flows with low capital expenditures and working capital.

Employees

As of March 1, 2019, we had 1,288 employees, including 236 salaried employees and 1,052 hourly employees. None of our employees are unionized or covered by a collective bargaining agreement and we consider our current employee relations to be good.

Government Regulation

We, along with our franchise owners, are subject to various federal, state, local, and foreign laws affecting the operation of our respective businesses. Each store is subject to licensing and regulation by a number of governmental authorities, which include zoning, health, safety, sanitation, building, and fire agencies in the jurisdiction in which the store operates. In order to maintain our stores, we may be required to expend funds to meet certain federal, state, local, and foreign regulations, including regulations that require remodeled stores to be accessible to persons with disabilities. Difficulties in obtaining, or the failure to obtain, required licenses or approvals could delay or prevent the opening of a new store. Our domestic store operations are subject to various federal and state laws governing such matters as minimum wage requirements, benefits, working conditions, citizenship requirements, and overtime. We are also subject to federal and state environmental regulations.

We are subject to Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") rules and to various state and foreign laws that govern the offer and sale of franchises. The FTC requires us to furnish to prospective franchise owners a franchise disclosure document containing prescribed information. Some states and foreign countries also have disclosure requirements and other laws regulating franchising and the franchisor-franchisee relationship. These laws regulate various aspects of the franchise relationship, including terminations and the refusal to renew franchises. The failure to comply with these laws and regulations in any jurisdiction or to obtain required government approvals could result in a ban or temporary suspension of future franchise sales, fines, or other penalties or require us to make offers of rescission or restitution, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the following risk factors, as well as the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, in

Table of Contents

evaluating our business. If any of these risks, as well as other risks and uncertainties that are not yet identified or that we currently think are immaterial, actually occur, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially and adversely affected. In such an event, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose part or all of your investment.

Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry

The limited service restaurant pizza category and restaurant sector overall are highly competitive and such competition could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The restaurant industry in general, and the limited service restaurant pizza category in particular, are highly competitive with respect to price, value, food quality, ambience, convenience, concept, service, and location. A substantial number of restaurant operations compete with us for customer traffic, both in store and online. We compete against other major national limited service restaurant pizza chains and regional and local businesses, including other chains offering pizza products. We also compete on a broader scale with other international, national, regional, and local limited service restaurants. In addition, we face increasing competition from pizza product and other offerings available at grocery stores and convenience stores and through home delivery, including from online meal kit delivery services, which offer pre-made ready-to-bake frozen and carry-out pizzas and other foods that customers may prepare at home. Many of our competitors have significantly greater financial, marketing, personnel, and other resources as well as greater brand recognition than we do and may have lower operating costs, more restaurants, better locations, broader delivery options, and more effective marketing than we do. Many of our competitors are well established in markets in which we and our franchise owners operate stores or intend to locate new stores. In addition, many of our competitors emphasize lower-cost value options or meal packages, home delivery, or have loyalty programs, which provide discounts on certain menu offerings, and they may continue to do so in the future.

We also compete for employees, suitable real estate sites, and qualified franchise owners. If we are unable to compete successfully and maintain or enhance our competitive position, or if customers have a poor experience at a Papa Murphy's store, whether Company-owned or franchise-owned, we could experience decreased customer traffic, downward pressure on prices, lower demand for our products, reduced margins, diminished ability to take advantage of new business opportunities, and the loss of market share, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

The food service market is affected by consumer preferences and perceptions. Changes in these preferences and perceptions may lessen the demand for our products, which would reduce sales and harm our business.

Food service businesses are affected by changes in consumer tastes, demographic trends and international, national, regional, and local economic conditions. For instance, if prevailing health or dietary preferences cause consumers to avoid pizza and other products we offer in favor of foods that are perceived as more healthy, our business, financial condition, and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected. In addition, if consumers no longer seek pizza that they can bake at home in favor of pizza that is already baked or can be delivered already baked, our business, financial condition, and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected. Moreover, because we are primarily dependent on a single product, if consumer demand for pizza in general, and take and bake pizza in particular, should decrease, our business would be adversely affected more than if we had a more diversified menu, as many other food service businesses do.

Our business and results of operations depend significantly upon the success of our and our franchise owners' existing and new stores.

Our business and results of operations are significantly dependent upon the success of our franchised and Company-owned stores. We and our franchise owners may be adversely affected by:

declining economic conditions, increases in unemployment rates, reductions in consumer disposable income, adverse credit market conditions, increases in fuel prices, drops in consumer confidence, and other events or factors that adversely affect consumer spending in the markets that we serve;

increased competition in the restaurant industry, particularly in the pizza, casual, and fast-casual dining segments, and from grocery stores, convenience stores, and online meal kit delivery services;

changes in consumer tastes and preferences;

demographic trends;
customers' budgeting constraints;

9

Table of Contents

customers' willingness to accept menu price increases;

adverse weather conditions;

our reputation and consumer perception of our offerings in terms of quality, price, value, ambiance, and service; and customers' experiences in our stores.

In addition, the adverse effects of any of the preceding factors may reduce the attractiveness of new franchise stores to prospective franchise owners, which could make it more difficult to recruit the qualified franchise owners needed to implement our refranchising initiative. Our Company-owned stores and our franchise owners are also susceptible to increases in certain key operating expenses that are either wholly or partially beyond our control, including:

food costs, particularly for mozzarella cheese and other raw materials, many of which we do not or cannot effectively hedge;

labor costs, including wages, which are affected by minimum wage requirements, workers' compensation, health care, and other benefits expenses;

rent expenses and construction, remodeling, maintenance, and other costs under leases for our new and existing stores;

compliance costs as a result of changes in legal, regulatory, or industry standards;

energy, water, and other utility costs;

insurance costs;

information technology and other logistics costs; and

litigation expenses.

Furthermore, the success of our and our franchise owners' stores in markets in which we have historically not had a significant number of stores may be adversely affected by a lack of awareness or acceptance of our brand and the take and bake concept as well as by a lack of existing marketing efforts and operational execution in these new markets.

Stores in new markets may also face challenges related to being new to a market and having less marketing funds than competitors, which may be due in part to lower store density than competitors. To the extent that we are unable to foster name recognition and affinity for our brand and concept in new markets and implement effective advertising and promotional programs, our franchise owners' and our new stores may not perform as expected and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our strategic initiatives may not be successful, which may have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our business depends upon our ability to execute on our strategic initiatives in order to stabilize our sales and maintain profitability. These strategic initiatives include expanding our online ordering platform, continuing to expand our use of third-party delivery services, implementing a customer loyalty program, deploying curb-side pick-up, and increasing the scope and effectiveness of our digital marketing. These initiatives may not increase our sales and margins to the degree we expect, or at all. Delivery and curb-side pick-up also introduce new operating procedures to our stores, which, if not implemented properly, could adversely affect the experience of our customers and be burdensome to our store operators. Our digital marketing strategy relies, in part, on our customers using our online ordering platform, which provides us with contact and other information about our customers. If we are not successful in improving and expanding our online ordering platform, our digital marketing efforts may suffer. In furtherance of our strategic initiatives, we are devoting additional resources to digital marketing. However, many of our competitors have greater resources than we do and have used those greater resources to invest more heavily in their own digital marketing initiatives. If our digital marketing efforts are not as effective as traditional forms of marketing, such as television or print, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are exploring strategic alternatives, but we do not give any assurance that our exploration of strategic alternatives will result in any transaction being completed, and speculation and uncertainty regarding the outcome of our exploration of strategic alternatives may adversely affect our business.

On November 7, 2018, we announced that in conjunction with conducting a comprehensive review of our business strategy, we are conducting a process to explore and evaluate strategic alternatives to maximize shareholder value and position us for long-term success, which strategic alternatives may include a possible sale of the business, and have engaged North Point Advisors to act as our financial advisor to assist with the review. We do not give any assurance

that the exploration of strategic alternatives will result in the completion of any transaction

The process of exploring strategic alternatives involves the dedication of significant resources and the incurrence of significant costs and expenses. If we are unable to mitigate these or other potential risks related to the uncertainty caused

Table of Contents

by our exploration of strategic alternatives, our business may be disrupted and our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

The planned refranchising of a portion of our Company-owned stores could adversely affect our results of operations. We have announced plans to refranchise a significant number of our 106 Company-owned stores (total as of December 31, 2018) by selling the stores and entering into franchise agreements with the buyers. We are targeting to own no more than 50 Company-owned stores by the end of 2020. Company-owned store sales accounted for 49% of our total revenues in 2018. We expect the proposed refranchising to result in a decrease in our total revenues because once the stores are refranchised we will derive revenue from the collection of a royalty equal to a percentage of net sales rather than from the total net sales of food and beverages to customers. In addition, refranchising Company-owned stores, and particularly Company-owned stores that have historically enjoyed high profit margins, may reduce our operating income.

We face many challenges associated with refranchising Company-owned stores, including identifying, recruiting, and contracting with a sufficient number of qualified franchise owners, retaining employees during the transition to franchise owner management, and obtaining necessary consents from landlords and other third parties. These challenges may delay, limit, or prevent our planned refranchising, which could materially and adversely affect our ability to improve operating margins, reduce our exposure to changes in commodity and other operating costs, and generate cash to be used to repay debt. In addition, if we fail to manage our refranchising initiative effectively, the initiative could be time-consuming and distracting for management.

If we fail to identify, recruit, and contract with a sufficient number of qualified franchise owners, our ability to refranchise Company-owned stores and open new franchise stores could be materially and adversely affected.

The refranchising of Company-owned stores and the opening of additional franchise stores depends, in part, upon the attractiveness of our franchise stores to prospective franchise owners and the availability of prospective franchise owners who meet our criteria. We believe that in order for our refranchising initiative to be successful, we will need to identify, recruit, and contract with qualified existing franchise owners and prospective new owners that are capable of operating multiple stores. Decreases in system-wide average store sales or comparable store sales in select markets and uncertainty regarding our exploration of strategic alternatives may reduce the attractiveness of new franchise stores to prospective franchise owners, making it more difficult for us to recruit qualified franchise owners. We may not be able to identify, recruit, or contract with suitable franchise owners in our target markets on a timely basis or at all. In addition, our franchise owners may not have access to the financial or management resources that they need to open the stores contemplated by their agreements with us, or they may elect to cease store development for other reasons. If we are unable to recruit suitable franchise owners or if franchise owners are unable or unwilling to acquire existing Company-owned stores or open new stores, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We may be unable to refinance or generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our outstanding debt, which would adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

As of December 31, 2018, we had \$82.5 million of outstanding indebtedness, including \$79.5 million outstanding under our senior secured credit facilities, and \$7.5 million of availability under a revolving credit facility, and we may, from time to time, incur additional indebtedness. Our obligations under our senior secured credit facility will mature in August 2020.

Our ability to meet our payment obligations under our debt depends on our ability to generate significant cash flows in the future. Interest rates on our senior secured credit facility are based on LIBOR and so increases in LIBOR would increase our payment obligations. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flows to service our debt payment obligations, we may need to refinance or restructure our debt, sell assets, reduce or delay investments in our business, or seek to raise additional capital through the sale of equity securities. Such measures might not be successful, and additional debt or equity capital may not be available on acceptable terms or at all. If we are unable to implement one or more of these alternatives, we may be unable to meet our debt payment obligations, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Our indebtedness may limit our ability to invest in the ongoing needs of our business and if we are unable to comply with our financial covenants, our liquidity and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities places certain conditions on us, including that it:
requires us to utilize a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make payments on our indebtedness,
reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, development activity, and
other general corporate purposes;
increases our vulnerability to adverse general economic or industry conditions;

Table of Contents

limits our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business or the industries in which we operate; makes us more vulnerable to increases in interest rates, since borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities are made at variable rates;

limits our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital or other purposes; and

places us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less indebtedness.

Our senior secured credit facilities place certain limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness. However, subject to the qualifications and exceptions in our senior secured credit facilities, we may be permitted to incur substantial additional indebtedness and may incur obligations that do not constitute indebtedness under the terms of the senior secured credit facilities. The senior secured credit facilities also place certain limitations on, among other things, our ability to enter into certain types of transactions, financing arrangements and investments, to make certain changes to our capital structure, and to guarantee certain indebtedness and payments. These restrictions limit or prohibit, among other things, our ability to:

pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase our stock, or make other distributions;

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

sell stock in our subsidiaries;

create or incur liens;

make acquisitions or investments;

transfer or sell certain assets or merge or consolidate with or into other companies;

make certain payments or prepayments of indebtedness subordinated to our obligations under our new senior secured credit facilities; and

enter into certain transactions with our affiliates.

Failure to comply with certain covenants or the occurrence of a change of control under our senior secured credit facilities could result in the acceleration of our obligations under the senior secured credit facilities, which would have an adverse effect on our liquidity, capital resources, and results of operations.

Our senior secured credit facilities also require us to comply with certain financial covenants regarding our leverage ratio, our interest coverage ratio, and our fixed charge coverage ratio. Changes with respect to these financial covenants may increase our interest rate and failure to comply with these covenants could result in a default and an acceleration of our obligations under the senior secured credit facilities, which would have an adverse effect on our liquidity, capital resources, and results of operations.

The success of our business continues to depend, to a significant degree, upon the continued contributions of our senior officers and key employees, both individually and as a group, and the hiring of qualified executives to join our management team.

The composition of our senior management team has changed, resulting in reallocations of duties and potentially increasing employee uncertainty and causing unintended attrition. If we are not able to successfully manage these changes, as well as any uncertainty or speculation concerning our ongoing exploration of strategic alternatives, it may be more difficult for us to retain, attract and recruit highly skilled employees and our Company culture may suffer.

The loss of any additional senior officers and key employees could have negative effects on our business and operations.

Although we have employment agreements in place with certain senior officers and key employees, we cannot prevent them from terminating their employment with us. The loss of the services of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, other senior officers or key employees could have negative effects on our business and operations and could materially and adversely affect our business and plans for future development. We do not believe that we will lose the services of any of our current senior officers and key employees in the foreseeable future; however, we currently have no effective replacement for any of these individuals due to their experience, reputation in the industry, and special role in our operations. We do not maintain any key man life insurance policies for any of our employees. New information or attitudes regarding diet and health could result in changes in regulations and consumer consumption habits that could adversely affect our results of operations.

Government regulation and consumer eating habits may affect our business as a result of changes in attitudes regarding diet and health or new information regarding the adverse health effects of consuming certain menu

offerings. These changes have resulted in, and may continue to result in, laws and regulations requiring us to disclose the nutritional content of our food offerings, such as the nutrition labeling provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (the “PPACA”) that became effective in May 2018, and they have resulted, and may continue to result in, laws and regulations affecting permissible ingredients and menu offerings. An unfavorable report on, or reaction to, our menu ingredients, the

Table of Contents

size of our portions, or the nutritional content of our menu items could negatively influence the demand for our products and materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Compliance with current and future laws and regulations regarding the ingredients and nutritional content of our menu items may be costly and time-consuming. Additionally, if consumer health regulations or consumer eating habits change significantly, we may be required to modify or discontinue certain menu items, and we may experience higher costs associated with the implementation of those changes. The risks and costs associated with nutritional disclosures on our menus could also affect our operations, particularly given differences among applicable legal requirements and practices within the restaurant industry with respect to testing and disclosure, ordinary variations in food preparation among our own restaurants, and the need to rely on the accuracy and completeness of nutritional information obtained from third-party suppliers.

We may also become subject to legislation or regulation seeking to tax and/or regulate high-fat foods, foods with high sugar and salt content, or foods otherwise deemed “unhealthy”. If we fail to comply with existing or future laws and regulations, we may be subject to governmental or judicial fines or sanctions.

Our results of operations and strategy depend in significant part on the success of our franchise owners, and we are subject to a variety of additional risks associated with our franchise owners, including litigation that has been brought against us by certain franchise owners.

A substantial portion of our revenues comes from royalties generated by our franchise stores. We anticipate that franchise royalties will represent a substantial and growing part of our revenues in the future. Accordingly, we are reliant on the performance of our franchise owners in successfully opening and operating their stores and paying royalties to us on a timely basis, and our reliance on the performance of our franchise owners increases as we franchise Company-owned stores. Our franchise system subjects us to a number of risks, any one of which may affect our ability to collect royalty payments from our franchise owners, may harm the goodwill associated with our brands, and may materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Franchise owner independence. Franchise owners are independent operators, and their employees are not our employees. Accordingly, their actions are outside of our control. Although we have developed criteria to evaluate and screen prospective franchise owners, we cannot be certain that our franchise owners will have the business acumen or financial resources necessary to operate successful franchises in their locations, and state franchise laws may limit our ability to terminate or modify these franchise agreements. Moreover, despite our training, support, and monitoring, franchise owners may not successfully operate stores in a manner consistent with our standards and requirements, or may not hire and adequately train qualified managers and other store personnel. The failure of our franchise owners to operate their franchises successfully, and actions taken by their employees, could each have a material adverse effect on our reputation, brand, ability to attract prospective franchise owners, business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Franchise agreement termination or non-renewal. Each franchise agreement is subject to termination by us as the franchisor in the event of a default, generally after expiration of applicable cure periods, although in certain circumstances a franchise agreement may be terminated by us upon notice without an opportunity to cure. The default provisions under the franchise agreements are drafted broadly and include, among other things, any failure to meet operating standards and actions that may threaten our licensed intellectual property.

In addition, each franchise agreement has an expiration date. Upon the expiration of the franchise agreement, we or the franchise owner may elect not to renew the franchise agreement. If the franchise agreement is renewed, the franchise owner will receive a successive franchise agreement for an additional term. Such option, however, is contingent on the franchise owner’s execution of the then-current form of franchise agreement (which may include new obligations, as well as increased franchise fees, royalty payments, advertising fees, and other fees and costs), the satisfaction of certain conditions (including modernization of the restaurant and related operations) and the payment of a renewal fee. If a franchise owner is unable or unwilling to satisfy any of the foregoing conditions, we may elect not to renew the expiring franchise agreement, in which event the franchise agreement will terminate upon expiration of the term.

Franchise owner insurance. The franchise agreements require each franchise owner to maintain certain insurance types and levels. Certain extraordinary hazards, however, may not be covered, and insurance may not be available (or

may be available only at prohibitively expensive rates) with respect to many other risks. Moreover, any loss incurred could exceed policy limits and any policy payments made to franchise owners may not be made on a timely basis. Any such loss or delay in payment could have a material adverse effect on a franchise owner's ability to satisfy obligations under the franchise agreement, including the ability to make royalty payments and perform

Table of Contents

indemnity obligations. Further, the franchise owner may fail to obtain or maintain the required insurance types and levels, and we may not be aware of that failure until a loss is incurred.

Product liability exposure. We require franchise owners to maintain general liability insurance coverage to protect against product liability and other risks and demand strict franchise owner compliance with health and safety regulations. However, franchise owners may receive or produce defective food or beverage products, which may materially and adversely affect our brand's goodwill and our business. Further, a franchise owner's failure to comply with health and safety regulations, including requirements relating to food quality or preparation and the sourcing of food from vendors, could subject the franchise owner, and possibly us, to litigation. Any litigation, including the imposition of fines or damage awards, could adversely affect the ability of a franchise owner to make royalty payments, or could generate negative publicity, or otherwise adversely affect us.

Franchise owners' participation in our strategy. Our franchise owners are an integral part of our business. We may be unable to successfully implement our strategy if our franchise owners do not actively participate in such implementation. From time to time, franchise owners have disagreed with or resisted elements of our strategy, including new product initiatives and investments in their stores such as remodeling, and adopting third party delivery. Franchise owners may also fail to participate in our marketing initiatives, with respect to financial contributions, time spent on initiatives and the content of marketing messaging, and implementation of recommended promotions, which could materially and adversely affect their sales trends, average weekly sales ("AWS"), and results of operations. In addition, the failure of our franchise owners to focus on the fundamentals of restaurant operations, such as quality, service, and cleanliness, would have a negative effect on our business. It also may be difficult for us to monitor our international franchise owners' implementation of our strategy due to our lack of personnel in the markets served by such franchise owners.

Franchise owner litigation and conflicts with franchise owners. Franchise owners are subject to a variety of litigation risks, including customer claims, personal-injury claims, environmental claims, employee claims, intellectual property claims, and claims related to violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, religious freedom, the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and advertising laws. Each of these claims may increase costs and limit the funds available to make royalty payments and reduce entries into new franchise agreements. We also may be named in lawsuits against our franchise owners.

In addition, the nature of the franchisor-franchise owner relationship may give rise to conflict. For example, franchise owners have expressed a number of concerns and disagreements with our Company, including concern over a lack of franchise owner involvement in strategic decision-making, inadequate assistance in increasing and maintaining franchise store profitability in a higher cost environment, disagreement with marketing strategy and initiatives and product launches, concern over the implementation of the Company's marketing programs, disagreement with the Company's expansion strategy and the availability of development incentives, dissatisfaction with food safety measures implemented by the Company, including required purchases and new protocols, and dissatisfaction with costs associated with the Company's online ordering platform and reluctance to adopt, and concerns over the cost of, third party delivery. Our senior management team engages with franchise owner leadership to address these concerns and resolve specific issues raised by the franchise owners. Such engagement may not result in a satisfactory resolution of the issues, which could materially and adversely affect our ability to strengthen our franchise system and maintain relationships with our franchise owners, damage our reputation and our brand, and materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

We currently are subject to litigation with a franchise owner group as described in Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings. We also may become subject to additional litigation with franchise owners in the future. In addition to these and other claims that may be brought against us by franchise owners, we also may engage in future litigation with franchise owners to enforce the terms of our franchise agreements and compliance with our standards as determined necessary to protect our brand, the consistency of our products, and the customer experience. Any negative outcome of these or any other claims could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, as well as our ability to expand our franchise system, and may damage our reputation and our brand.

Access to credit. Our franchise owners typically finance new operations and new store openings with loans or other forms of credit. If our franchise owners are unable to access credit or obtain sufficient credit, if interest rates on loans

that our franchise owners use to finance operations of current stores or to open new stores increase or if franchise owners are unable to service their debt, our franchise owners may have difficulty operating their stores or opening new stores, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations as well as our ability to expand our franchise system.

Table of Contents

Franchise owner bankruptcy. The bankruptcy of a multi-unit franchise owner could negatively affect our ability to collect payments due under such franchise owner's franchise agreement. In a franchise owner bankruptcy, the bankruptcy trustee may reject its franchise agreements pursuant to Section 365 under the United States Bankruptcy Code, in which case there would be no further royalty payments from such franchise owner.

Termination of area development agreements ("ADAs") or master franchise agreements with certain franchise owners could adversely affect our revenues.

We enter into ADAs with certain domestic franchise owners that plan to open multiple Papa Murphy's stores in a designated market area and we have entered into master franchise agreements with third parties to develop and operate stores in Canada and in the Middle East. These franchise owners are granted certain rights with respect to specified territories, and, at their discretion, these franchise owners may open more stores than specified in their agreements. The termination of ADAs or other arrangements with a master franchise owner or a lack of expansion by these franchise owners could result in the delay of the development of franchised restaurants or discontinuation or an interruption in the operation of our brands in a particular market or markets. We may not be able to find another operator to resume development activities in such market or markets. Any such delay, discontinuation or interruption would result in a delay in, or loss of, royalty income to us by way of reduced sales and could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

We are subject to all of the risks associated with leasing space subject to long-term non-cancelable leases.

We do not own any of the real property where our Company-owned stores operate. Payments under our operating leases account for a portion of our operating expenses. Our leases generally have an initial term of five years and generally can be extended only in five-year increments (at increased rates). All of our leases require a fixed annual rent, although some require the payment of additional rent if store sales exceed a negotiated amount. Generally, our leases are net leases, which require us to pay all of the cost of insurance, taxes, maintenance, and utilities. We generally cannot cancel these leases. Additional sites that we lease are likely to be subject to similar long-term non-cancelable leases. We also sublease or assign some of our leases to our franchisees, and will continue to do so in the future, either before or after we have developed a store at the leased location. When we assign or sublease our leases to franchisees, such as assignments or subleases made in connection with refranchising Company-owned stores, we are in most instances required to retain ultimate liability to the landlord. If an existing or future store is not profitable, resulting in its closure (or, in the case of a lease that we have subleased or assigned to a franchisee, the franchisee defaults on the subleased or assigned lease), we could lose some or all of our development investment as well as be committed to perform our obligations under the applicable lease. This could include, among other things, paying the base rent for the balance of the lease term. We may also be subject to a claim by a franchise owner who defaults on a lease that we knew or should have known that the leased location would be unprofitable.

In addition, we may fail to negotiate renewals as each of our leases expires, either on commercially acceptable terms or at all, which could cause us to pay increased occupancy costs or to close stores in desirable locations. These potential increased occupancy costs and closed stores could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

The effect of negative economic factors, including the availability of credit, on our franchise owners' and our landlords could negatively affect our results of operations.

Negative effects on our and our franchise owners' existing and potential landlords due to the inaccessibility of credit and other unfavorable economic factors may adversely affect our business and results of operations. If our or our franchise owners' landlords are unable to obtain financing or remain in good standing under their existing financing arrangements, they may be unable to provide construction funding to us or satisfy other lease covenants. In addition, if our franchise owners or our landlords are unable to obtain sufficient credit to continue to properly manage their retail sites, we may experience a drop in the level of quality of such retail locations.

Damage to our reputation and the Papa Murphy's brand and negative publicity relating to our stores, including our franchise stores, could reduce sales at some or all of our other stores and could negatively affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our success is dependent in part upon our ability to maintain and enhance the value of the Papa Murphy's brand, consumers' connection to our brand and positive relationships with our franchise owners. We may, from time to time,

be faced with negative publicity relating to food quality, food safety, store facilities, customer complaints or litigation alleging illness or injury, health inspection scores, integrity of our or our suppliers' food processing, employee and franchise owner relationships, franchise owner or employee litigation, or other matters, regardless of whether the allegations are valid or whether we are held to be responsible. The risks associated with such negative publicity cannot be completely eliminated or mitigated and may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations and result in damage to our brand. For multi-location food service businesses such as ours, the negative effect of adverse publicity

Table of Contents

relating to one store or a limited number of stores may extend far beyond the stores or franchise owners involved to affect some or all of our other stores. The risk of negative publicity is particularly great with respect to our franchise stores because we are limited in the manner in which we can regulate them, especially on a real-time basis. A similar risk exists with respect to unrelated food service businesses, if consumers associate those businesses with our own operations.

The use of social media platforms and similar devices, including social media websites, blogs, and other forms of Internet-based communications that allow individuals to access a broad audience of consumers and other interested persons has increased markedly. Consumers value readily available information concerning goods and services that they purchase and may act on such information without further investigation or authentication. The availability of information on social media platforms is virtually immediate, as is its effect. Many social media platforms immediately publish the content their subscribers and participants' post, often without filters or checks on the accuracy of the content posted. The opportunity for dissemination of information, including inaccurate information, is seemingly limitless and readily available. Information concerning our Company may be posted on such platforms at any time. Information posted may be adverse to our interests or may be inaccurate, each of which may harm our performance, prospects, or business. The harm may be immediate without affording us an opportunity for redress or correction. Such platforms also could be used for dissemination of trade secret information, compromising valuable Company assets.

Food safety and foodborne illness concerns could have an adverse effect on our business.

We cannot guarantee that our supply chain and food safety controls and training will be fully effective in preventing all food safety issues at our stores, including any occurrences of foodborne illnesses such as salmonella, E. coli, and hepatitis A. In addition, we do not assure you that our franchise locations will maintain the high levels of internal controls and training we require at our Company-owned stores. Furthermore, our franchise owners and we rely on third-party vendors, making it difficult to monitor food safety compliance and increasing the risk that foodborne illness would affect multiple locations rather than a single store. Some foodborne illness incidents could be caused by third-party vendors and transporters outside of our control. New illnesses resistant to our current precautions may develop in the future, or diseases with long incubation periods could arise, that could give rise to claims or allegations on a retroactive basis. One or more instances of foodborne illness in any of our stores or markets or related to types of food products we sell, if publicized on national media outlets or through social media, could negatively affect our store sales nationwide. This risk exists even if it were later determined that the illness was wrongly attributed to us or one of our stores. A number of other restaurant chains have experienced incidents related to foodborne illnesses that have had a material adverse effect on their operations. The occurrence of a similar incident at one or more of our stores, or negative publicity or public speculation about an incident, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations. In addition, our business may be adversely affected by recalls of products in cases where foodborne illnesses have been detected elsewhere. For example, in April 2018 and November 2018 we undertook voluntary recalls of romaine lettuce following notifications by the Center for Disease Control regarding possible links of E. coli infection to romaine lettuce.

Our success depends in part upon effective advertising and marketing campaigns, which may not be successful, and franchise owner support of such advertising and marketing campaigns, as well as compliance with the restrictions and obligations imposed by laws regulating certain marketing practices.

We believe the Papa Murphy's brand is critical to our business. We expend resources in our marketing efforts using a variety of media, including television advertising, digital and social media, email, and opt-in text messaging. We expect to continue to conduct brand awareness programs and customer initiatives to attract and retain customers. Some of our competitors have greater financial resources than we do, which enables them to spend significantly more on marketing and advertising than us. Should our competitors increase spending on marketing and advertising, or should our advertising and promotions be less effective than our competitors, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

The support of our franchise owners is critical for the success of our advertising and the marketing campaigns we seek to undertake, and the successful execution of these campaigns will depend on our ability to maintain alignment with our franchise owners. Our franchise owners are required to spend a minimum of five percent of net sales directly on

local advertising or contribute to a local fund managed by franchise owners in certain market areas to fund the purchase of advertising media. Our franchise owners are also required to contribute two percent of their net sales to a fund to support the development of new products, brand development, and national marketing programs. Consequently, a decline in net sales at franchise stores may result in reduced spending on advertising and the development of new products, brand development, and national marketing programs, or may require us, our franchise owners, or other third-parties to contribute additional advertising funds, which we, our franchise owners, and other third-parties have done at various times. Although we maintain control over advertising and marketing materials and can mandate certain strategic initiatives pursuant to our franchise agreements, we need the active support of our franchise owners if the implementation of these initiatives is to be successful. Additional advertising funds are not contractually required, and we, our franchise owners and other third-parties

Table of Contents

may choose to discontinue contributing additional funds in the future. Any significant decreases in our advertising and marketing funds or financial support for advertising activities could significantly curtail our marketing efforts, which may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Further, some of our marketing campaigns involve emails and opt-in text messages. In the United States, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act regulates making phone calls and sending text messages to consumers. The Federal Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003 (the “CAN-SPAM Act”) regulates commercial email messages and specifies penalties for the transmission of commercial email messages that do not comply with the law’s requirements, such as providing an opt-out mechanism for stopping future emails from senders. States and other countries have similar laws related to telemarketing and commercial emails. Failure to comply with obligations and restrictions related to text message and email marketing could subject us to lawsuits, fines, statutory damages, consent decrees, injunctions, adverse publicity, and other losses that could harm our business.

We experience the effects of seasonality.

Seasonal factors and the timing of holidays cause our revenues to fluctuate from quarter to quarter. We typically follow family eating patterns at home, with our strongest sales levels occurring in the months of September through May, and our lowest sales levels occurring in the months of June, July, and August. Therefore, our revenues per store have typically been higher in the first and fourth quarters and lower in the second and third quarters. Additionally, our new store openings have historically been concentrated in the fourth and first quarters because new franchise owners may seek to benefit from historically stronger sales levels occurring in these periods. We believe that new store openings will continue to be weighted towards the fourth quarter. As a result of these factors, our quarterly and annual results of operations and comparable store sales may fluctuate significantly. Accordingly, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year and comparable store sales for any particular future period may decrease and materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Changes in economic conditions, including effects from recession, adverse weather, and other unforeseen conditions, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

The restaurant industry depends on consumer discretionary spending. Volatile economic conditions now or in the future may depress consumer confidence and discretionary spending. If the economy worsens and if our customers have less discretionary income or reduce the amount they spend on quick service meals, customer traffic could be adversely affected. We believe that if negative economic conditions persist for a long period of time or become pervasive, consumers might make long-lasting changes to their discretionary spending behavior, including dining out less frequently. Declines in food commodity prices may accelerate these changes in consumer spending by inducing consumers to purchase more of their meals from grocery and convenience stores. In addition, given our geographic concentrations in the West and Midwest, economic conditions in these particular areas of the country could have a disproportionate effect on our overall results of operations, and regional occurrences such as local strikes, terrorist attacks, increases in energy prices, adverse weather conditions, tornadoes, earthquakes, floods, droughts, fires, or other natural or man-made disasters could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations. Adverse weather conditions may also affect customer traffic at our stores, and, in more severe cases, cause temporary store closures, sometimes for prolonged periods. If store sales decrease, our profitability could decline as we spread fixed costs across a lower level of sales. Reductions in staff levels, asset impairment charges, and potential store closures could result from prolonged negative store sales.

Changes in food availability and costs could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our profitability and operating margins are dependent in part on our ability to anticipate and react to changes in food costs, particularly the costs of mozzarella cheese and flour. We are party to national supply agreements for core ingredients with certain key third party suppliers, including Saputo Cheese Inc. and Davisco Foods, for cheese; Pizza Blends, Inc., for flour and dough mix; Neil Jones Foods Company, for tomatoes used in sauce; and several suppliers for meat, pursuant to which we lock in pricing for our franchise owners and Company-owned stores. We rely on Sysco Corporation as the primary distributor of food and other products to our franchise owners and Company-owned stores. Our pricing arrangements with national suppliers typically have terms from three months to a year, after which

the pricing may be renegotiated. Each store purchases food supplies directly from our approved distributors and produce locally through an approved produce supplier.

The type, variety, quality, availability, and price of produce, meat, and cheese are volatile and are subject to factors beyond our control, including weather, governmental regulation, availability, seasonality, and restrictions on international trade, each of which may affect our and our franchise owners' food costs or cause a disruption in our supply. Our food distributors and suppliers also may be affected by higher costs to produce and transport commodities used in our stores, higher minimum wage and benefit costs, and other expenses that they pass through to their customers, which could result in higher costs for goods and services supplied to us. The closure of stores or the opening of new stores in markets where we do not have a significant presence may increase costs to stores that remain in those markets or are newly opened in those markets

Table of Contents

because suppliers may charge a premium to transport commodities to those locations. We may not be able to anticipate and react to changing food costs through our purchasing practices and menu price adjustments in the future. As a result, any increase in the prices charged by suppliers would increase the food costs for our Company-owned stores and for our franchise owners and could adversely affect our and their profitability. In addition, because we provide moderately priced food, we may choose not to, or may be unable to, pass along commodity price increases to consumers, and any price increases that are passed along to consumers may materially and adversely affect store sales, which would lower revenues generated from Company-owned stores and franchise owner royalties. These potential changes in food and supply costs and availability could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

We do not currently have a formal program to hedge our exposure to commodity price changes but may adopt such a program in the future. Implementing a commodity hedging program may be costly and we provide no assurance that any hedging program would result in lower costs in the aggregate.

Decreases in food costs may also affect consumer behavior in ways adverse to us. If our competitors, including grocery stores, are better able to pass along commodity price decreases to customers than we are, then we may experience lower demand for our products and loss of market share.

Our dependence on a sole supplier or a limited number of suppliers for some ingredients could result in disruptions to our business.

Sysco Corporation is the primary distributor of our food and other products to our domestic franchise owners and Company-owned stores, and any disruption to this distribution due to work stoppages, strikes, or other business interruption may materially and adversely affect our franchise owners and us. Additionally, we do not have formal long-term arrangements with all of our suppliers, and therefore our suppliers may implement significant price increases or may not meet our requirements in a timely fashion, or at all. Any material interruptions in our supply chain, such as a material interruption of ingredient supply due to the failures of third-party distributors or suppliers, or interruptions in service by common carriers that ship goods within our distribution channels, may result in significant cost increases and reduce store sales. We may not be able to find alternative distributors or suppliers on a timely basis or at all. Our franchise and Company-owned stores could also be harmed by any prolonged disruption in the supply of products from or to our key suppliers due to weather, crop failure, civil unrest, and other events beyond our control. Insolvency of key suppliers could also negatively affect our business. Our focus on a limited menu would make the consequences of a shortage of a key ingredient, such as cheese or flour, more severe, and affected stores could experience significant reductions in sales during the shortage.

Changes in laws related to, or the administration of, electronic benefit transfer (“EBT”) systems could adversely affect our results of operations.

Because our products are not cooked, we and our franchise owners currently are able to accept EBT payments, or food stamps, at stores in the United States. Changes in state and federal laws governing where EBT cards may be used and what they may be used for may limit our ability to accept such payments and could significantly reduce sales.

Reductions in food stamp benefits occurred in November 2013, and further additional reductions in food stamp benefits are periodically proposed by lawmakers in the U.S. Senate or House of Representatives. In addition, the Trump Administration has proposed modifying food stamp benefits so that recipients would receive packages of food in place of a portion of the cash food stamp benefits they previously received. The recent reductions and potential future reductions in food stamp benefits may reduce sales, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our acceptance of EBT payments also exposes us to variability caused by any shutdown of the U.S. federal government. The partial shutdown of the U.S. federal government in December 2018 and January 2019 resulted in changes in the timing of when EBT recipients received their EBT funds, which caused unusual variations in customer traffic at many of our stores. Furthermore, the government shutdown made it more difficult for store operators to renew EBT licenses when needed. Future government shutdowns may result in similar adverse effects on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Changes in employment laws may adversely affect our business.

Various federal and state labor laws govern our relationships with our employees and the relationships of our franchise owners with their employees, which may affect our and our franchise owners' operating costs. These laws include employee classification as exempt/non-exempt for overtime and other purposes, minimum wage requirements, unemployment tax rates, mandatory health benefits, workers' compensation rates, immigration status, tax reporting, and other wage and benefit requirements. A substantial number of employees at our franchise and Company-owned stores are paid at rates related to the U.S. federal minimum wage, and increases in the U.S. federal minimum wage, or higher minimum wage rates imposed by states or municipalities, may increase labor costs. Any such increases in labor costs might result in franchise owners inadequately staffing stores. Understaffed stores could reduce sales at such stores, decrease royalty payments, and adversely affect our brands.

Table of Contents

The U.S. federal government and various states are considering or have already adopted new immigration laws and enforcement programs. Some of these changes may increase obligations for compliance and oversight, which could subject us to additional costs and make the hiring process for us and our franchise owners more cumbersome, or reduce the availability of potential employees. Although we require all of our employees, including at our Company-owned stores, to provide us with government-specified documentation evidencing their employment eligibility, some of our employees may, without our knowledge, be unauthorized workers. We currently participate in the “E-Verify” program, an Internet-based, free program run by the United States government to verify employment eligibility. However, use of the “E-Verify” program does not guarantee that we will properly identify all applicants who are ineligible for employment. In addition, our franchise owners are responsible for screening any employees they hire. Unauthorized workers are subject to deportation and may subject us or our franchise owners to fines or penalties, and if we are found to be employing unauthorized workers, we could experience adverse publicity that negatively impacts our brand and may make it more difficult to hire and keep qualified employees. Termination of a significant number of employees who were unauthorized employees may disrupt store operations and cause temporary increases in our or our franchise owners’ labor costs as we train new employees. We could also become subject to fines, penalties, and other costs related to claims that we did not fully comply with all recordkeeping obligations of federal and state immigration compliance laws.

Franchisors may be subject to claims that they are joint employers with their franchisees. This could expose franchisors, including us, to liability for claims by, or based on the acts of, franchisees’ employees. Although we carry insurance policies for a significant portion of our risks and associated liabilities with respect to workers’ compensation, general liability, employer’s liability, health benefits, and other insurable risks, a claim not covered by that insurance, or a judgment in excess of our insurance coverage, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations. Regardless of whether any claims that may be brought against us are valid or whether we are ultimately determined to be liable, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could also be adversely affected by negative publicity, litigation costs resulting from the defense of these claims, and the diversion of time and resources from our operations.

If our franchise owners or we face labor shortages, labor disputes, or increased labor costs, our growth and operating results could be adversely affected.

Labor is a primary component in the cost of operating our Company-owned stores and for franchise owners. If we or our franchise owners face labor shortages or increased labor costs because of increased competition for employees, higher employee turnover rates, increases in the minimum wage, or other employee benefits costs (including costs associated with health insurance coverage), our operating expenses could increase and our operating results could be adversely affected. Minimum wage increases have been mandated by governing bodies in some localities in which we or our franchise owners operate stores. Moreover, our success depends in part upon our franchise owners’ and our ability to attract, motivate, and retain a sufficient number of well-qualified store operators and management personnel, as well as a sufficient number of other qualified employees. Qualified individuals needed to fill these positions are in short supply in some geographic areas. Competition for employees could require us or our franchise owners to pay higher wages, which could result in higher labor costs. Moreover, costs associated with workers’ compensation are rising, and these costs may continue to rise in the future. In addition, restaurants have traditionally experienced relatively high employee turnover rates. Although we have not yet experienced significant problems in recruiting or retaining employees, our franchise owners’ and our ability to recruit and retain such individuals may result in higher employee turnover in existing stores, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Additionally, while we do not currently have any unionized employees and are not currently subject to any unionization efforts, there is a potential for union organizers to engage in efforts to organize our employees or those of our franchise owners. Potential union representation and collective bargaining agreements may result in increased labor costs that can have an effect on competitiveness, as well as affect our ability to retain well-qualified employees. Labor disputes, as well, may precipitate strikes and picketing that may have an effect on business, including guest patronage. Further, potential changes in labor laws could increase the likelihood of some or all of our employees being subjected to greater organized labor influence, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial

condition, or results of operations by imposing requirements that could potentially increase our costs, reduce our flexibility, and affect our employee culture.

We may be unable to increase our menu prices in order to pass increased labor costs on to consumers, in which case our margins would be negatively affected, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

The effect of changes to healthcare laws in the United States may increase the number of employees who choose to participate in our healthcare plans, which may significantly increase our healthcare costs and negatively affect our results of operations and our franchise owners.

In 2010, the PPACA was signed into law in the United States to require health care coverage for many uninsured individuals and expand coverage for those already insured. We offer and subsidize comprehensive healthcare coverage, primarily for

Table of Contents

our salaried employees. The healthcare reform law requires us to offer healthcare benefits to all full-time employees (including full-time hourly employees) that meet certain minimum requirements of coverage and affordability, or face penalties. We offer hourly employees who qualify as full-time employees under the PPACA the option of enrolling in our health care coverage during our annual open enrollment period, but the number of such employees electing to so enroll is low. If the number of full-time hourly employees electing to enroll in our health care coverage increases significantly, we may incur substantial additional expense, or, if the benefits we offer do not meet applicable requirements, we may incur penalties. It is also possible that by making changes or failing to make changes in the healthcare plans offered by us, we will become less competitive in the market for our labor. Finally, implementing the requirements of healthcare reform is likely to impose additional administrative costs. The U.S. Congress may also consider repealing all or a portion of the PPACA and it is uncertain what, if any, new laws and regulations would replace the repealed portions of the PPACA. The costs and other effects of these new healthcare requirements, and any proposed repeal or modifications of such requirements, are still being determined, but they may significantly increase our healthcare coverage costs and could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Restaurant companies have been the target of class actions and other litigation alleging, among other things, violations of federal and state law. We could be party to litigation that could adversely affect us by distracting management, increasing our expenses, or subjecting us to material money damages and other remedies.

We are subject to lawsuits, administrative proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of our business. In recent years, a number of restaurant companies have been subject to claims by customers, employees, franchise owners, and others regarding issues such as food safety, personal injury and premises liability, employment-related claims, harassment, discrimination, disability, compliance with advertising laws (including the Telephone Consumer Protection Act), and other operational issues common to the foodservice industry. A number of these lawsuits have resulted in the payment of substantial damages by the defendants. For example, on January 8, 2019, we were named as a defendant in a putative collective action lawsuit claiming a violation of the FLSA. Specific information regarding the lawsuit is included in Part 1, Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

Although we have historically experienced very few customer lawsuits, our customers occasionally allege we caused an illness or injury they suffered at or after a visit to our stores, or that we have problems with food quality or operations. We are also subject to a variety of other claims arising in the ordinary course of our business, including personal injury claims, contract claims, and claims alleging violations of laws regarding workplace and employment matters, equal opportunity, discrimination, and similar matters and may become subject to class action or other lawsuits related to these or different matters in the future. We may also be named as a defendant in any such claims brought against any of our franchise owners. An adverse judgment or settlement related to any litigation claim that is not insured or is in excess of our insurance coverage could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations. Regardless of whether any claims that may be brought against us are valid or whether we are ultimately determined to be liable, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could also be adversely affected by negative publicity, litigation costs resulting from the defense of these claims, and the diversion of time and resources from our operations.

In addition, the restaurant industry has been subject to a growing number of claims based on the nutritional content of food products sold and disclosure and advertising practices. We may also be subject to this type of proceeding in the future and, even if we are not, publicity about these matters (particularly directed at the limited service or fast casual segments of the industry) may harm our reputation and could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Opening new stores in existing markets may negatively affect sales at existing stores.

Although our core strategy is to focus on stabilizing same store sales and maintain profitability by maximizing customer convenience, delivering effective marketing messages to our customers, cultivating a culture of teamwork, continuous learning, and development, and creating an efficient, consistent execution process, we may from time to time open new franchise stores, including new franchise stores in our existing markets. Expansion in existing markets may be affected by local economic and market conditions. Further, the customer target area of our stores varies by location, depending on a number of factors, including population density, other local retail and business attractions,

area demographics, and geography. As a result, the opening of a new store in or near markets in which stores already exist could adversely affect the sales of these existing stores. We and our franchise owners may selectively open new stores in and around areas of existing stores. Competition for sales between our stores may become significant in the future as we continue to expand our operations and could affect sales growth, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our operations in international markets exposes us to a number of risks that may differ in each country where we have franchise stores.

We currently have franchise stores in Canada and the United Arab Emirates and may continue to grow internationally. Our international operations are in the early stages, and historically have not been profitable and have achieved lower margins than our domestic stores. We expect this financial performance to continue in the near-term. Our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected if global markets in which our franchise stores compete are affected by changes in political, economic or other factors. These factors, over which neither our franchise owners nor we have control, may include:

- recessionary or expansive trends in international markets;
- changing labor conditions and difficulties in staffing and managing our foreign operations;
- increases in the taxes we pay and other changes in applicable tax laws;
- legal and regulatory changes, and the burdens and costs of our compliance with a variety of foreign laws;
- changes in inflation rates;
- changes in exchange rates and the imposition of restrictions on currency conversion or the transfer of funds;
- difficulty in protecting our brand, reputation and intellectual property, including as a result of differences in foreign laws concerning proprietary rights;
- difficulty in collecting our royalties and longer payment cycles;
- expropriation of private enterprises;
- anti-American sentiment and the identification of the Papa Murphy's brand as an American brand;
- restrictions on immigration and international travel;
- political and economic instability and civil unrest; and
- other external factors.

Any acquisitions, partnerships, or joint ventures that we make could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition.

From time to time, we may evaluate potential strategic acquisitions of existing stores or complementary businesses as well as partnerships or joint ventures with third parties, including potential franchisors, to facilitate our refranchising initiative and growth, particularly our international expansion. We may not be successful in identifying acquisition, partnership, and joint venture candidates. In addition, we may not be able to continue the operational success of any stores we acquire, or successfully finance or integrate any businesses that we acquire or with which we form a partnership or joint venture. We may have potential write-offs of acquired assets and an impairment of any goodwill recorded as a result of acquisitions. Furthermore, the integration of any acquisition may divert management's time and resources from our core business and disrupt our operations or may result in conflicts with our business.

Any acquisition, partnership, or joint venture may not be successful, may reduce our cash reserves, may negatively affect our earnings and financial performance, and, to the extent financed with stock or the proceeds of debt, may be dilutive to our stockholders or increase our already high levels of indebtedness. We do not ensure that any acquisition, partnership, or joint venture we make will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Cybersecurity breaches, intrusions, data loss, or other data security incidents could disrupt our operations and adversely affect our business.

We and our franchise owners rely on computer systems and information technology to conduct our business. The majority of our store sales are completed through credit or debit card transactions and we manage and store various proprietary information and sensitive or confidential data relating to our business, including sensitive and personally identifiable information related to our suppliers, customers, and franchise owners. Breaches of our security measures through hacking, fraud, or other forms of deception, or the accidental loss, inadvertent disclosure, or unapproved dissemination of proprietary information or sensitive or confidential data about us, our suppliers, our customers, our employees, or our franchise owners, could expose us, our customers, our suppliers, our employees, and our franchise owners to a risk of loss or misuse of this information, result in litigation and potential liability for us, damage our brand and reputation, or otherwise harm our business. In addition, our current data and IT protection measures might

not protect us against increasingly sophisticated and aggressive threats, and the cost and operational consequences of implementing further IT and data protection measures could be significant.

Table of Contents

Restaurants and other retailers have experienced security breaches in which credit and debit card information of their customers has been stolen. We may in the future become subject to lawsuits or other proceedings for purportedly fraudulent transactions arising out of the actual or alleged theft of our customers' credit or debit card information. In addition, most states have enacted legislation requiring notification of security breaches involving personal information, including credit and debit card information. Any such claim, proceeding, or mandatory notification could cause us to incur significant unplanned expenses, which could have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. Further, adverse publicity resulting from these allegations could harm our reputation and could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We collect and maintain personal information about our employees and our customers. The collection and use of personal information is regulated at the federal and state levels; such regulations include the California Consumer Privacy Act that is due to take effect in 2020 and which will require us to institute new processes and protections. If the security and information systems that we or our outsourced third-party providers use to store or process such information are compromised or if we, or such third parties, otherwise fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, we could face litigation and the imposition of penalties that could adversely affect our financial performance. Our reputation could also be adversely affected by these types of security breaches or regulatory violations.

Information technology system failures or breaches of our network security could interrupt our operations and adversely affect our business.

We rely on our computer systems and network infrastructure across our operations, including POS system processing at our stores. Our ability to effectively and efficiently manage our operations depends upon our ability to protect our computer equipment and systems against damage from physical theft, fire, power loss, telecommunications failure, or other catastrophic events, as well as from internal and external security breaches, viruses, worms, and other disruptive problems. Any damage or failure of our computer systems or network infrastructure that causes an interruption in our operations could materially and adversely affect our business, reputation, financial condition, and results of operations and subject us to litigation or actions by regulatory authorities. Remediation of such problems could also result in significant, unplanned expenditures.

An increasingly significant portion of our retail sales depends on the continuing operation of our information technology and communications systems, including our online ordering platform, POS system, and our credit card processing systems. Our information technology, communication systems, and electronic data may be vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, terrorist attacks, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunications failures, computer viruses, loss of data, unauthorized data breaches, or other attempts to harm our systems. Additionally, we rely on data centers that are also subject to break-ins, sabotage, and intentional acts of vandalism that could cause disruptions in our ability to serve our customers and protect customer data. Some of our systems are not fully redundant, and our disaster recovery planning cannot account for all eventualities. The occurrence of a natural disaster, intentional sabotage, or other unanticipated problems could result in lengthy interruptions in our service. Any errors or vulnerabilities in our systems, or damage to or failure of our systems, could result in interruptions in our services and non-compliance with certain regulations, which could materially and adversely affect our business, reputation, financial condition, and results of operations.

We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property, which could harm the value of our brand and adversely affect our business.

Our ability to implement our business plan successfully depends in part on our ability to build brand recognition in the markets served by our stores using our trademarks and other proprietary intellectual property, including our brand names and logos. If our efforts to protect our intellectual property are inadequate, or if any third party misappropriates, dilutes, infringes, or otherwise violates our intellectual property, the value of our brand may be harmed, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and might prevent our brand from achieving or maintaining market acceptance. We may also face the risk of claims that we have infringed third parties' intellectual property rights.

We also rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how to protect our brand. Our methods of safeguarding this information may not be adequate. Moreover, we may face claims of misappropriation or infringement of a third party's rights that could interfere with our use of this information. If competitors independently develop or otherwise obtain

access to our trade secrets or proprietary know-how, the appeal of our stores could be reduced and our business could be harmed.

We are subject to extensive government regulation and requirements issued by other groups and our failure to comply with existing or increased regulations could adversely affect our business and operating results.

We are subject to numerous federal, state, local, and foreign laws and regulations, as well as requirements issued by other groups, including those described elsewhere in this section and those relating to:
the preparation, sale, and labeling of food;

Table of Contents

building and zoning requirements;
environmental laws;
compliance with securities laws and NASDAQ listed company rules;
work and safety conditions;
sales taxes or other transaction taxes;
 compliance with the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards and similar requirements; and
compliance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and any rules promulgated thereunder.

In addition, stores located in the United States must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Although we believe newer stores meet the Americans with Disabilities Act construction standards and, further, that most franchise owners have historically been diligent in the remodeling of older stores, a finding of noncompliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act could result in the imposition of injunctive relief, fines, awards of damages, or additional capital expenditures to remedy such noncompliance. In addition, the Americans with Disabilities Act may also require modifications to guest-facing technologies, including our website, to provide service to, or make reasonable accommodations for, disabled persons.

We are also subject to Federal Trade Commission rules and to various state and foreign laws that govern the offer and sale of franchises. Additionally, these laws regulate various aspects of the franchise relationship, including terminations and the refusal to renew franchises. The failure to comply with these laws and regulations in any jurisdiction or to obtain required government approvals could result in a ban or temporary suspension on future franchise sales, fines or other penalties, or require us to make offers of rescission or restitution, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Some of the jurisdictions where we have franchise and Company-owned stores do not assess sales tax on our pizzas. Accordingly, we may benefit from a pricing advantage over some pizza chain competitors in these jurisdictions. If these jurisdictions were to impose sales tax on our products, these stores may experience a decline in sales due to the loss of this pricing advantage. In addition, our stores may be subject to unanticipated sales tax assessments. These sales tax assessments could result in losses to our franchise owners or franchise stores going out of business, which could adversely affect our number of franchise stores and our results of operations. Changes in sales tax assessments of this type at the franchise owner level could lead to undercapitalized franchise owners going out of business and loss of royalties at the Company level. Similarly, such tax assessments could impact the profitability of our Company-owned stores. As a result, changes in sales tax assessments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our current insurance coverage may not be adequate, insurance premiums for such coverage may increase and we may not be able to obtain insurance at acceptable rates, or at all.

We have retention programs for workers' compensation, general liability, and owned and non-owned automobile liabilities. These insurance policies may not be adequate to protect us from liabilities that we incur in our business. In addition, in the future our insurance premiums may increase and we may not be able to obtain similar levels of insurance on reasonable terms, or at all. Any substantial inadequacy of, or inability to obtain insurance coverage, including but not limited to coverage regarding litigation, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Changes to accounting rules or regulations may adversely affect our results of operations.

Changes to existing accounting rules or regulations may affect our future results of operations or cause the perception that we are more highly leveraged. Other new accounting rules or regulations and varying interpretations of existing accounting rules or regulations have occurred and may occur in the future. Any changes to accounting rules or regulations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Our Company and Our Ownership Structure

The requirements of being a public company may strain our resources and divert resources and management's attention and this burden may increase once we are no longer an "emerging growth company."

As a publicly traded company, we incur, and will continue to incur, significant legal, accounting, and other expenses that we were not required to incur prior to our initial public offering in May 2014. This will be particularly so after we are no longer an "emerging growth company" as defined under the Jumpstart our Business Startups Act ("JOBS Act"). The demands of being a public company, including engagement and communications with stockholders, require a significant commitment of resources and management oversight that has increased and may continue to increase our costs and might place a strain on our systems and resources. As a result, our management's attention might be diverted from other business concerns.

For as long as we remain an "emerging growth company" as defined in the JOBS Act, we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not "emerging growth companies." These exceptions provide for, but are not limited to, relief from the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, less extensive disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements, exemptions from the requirements to hold a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved, and an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. We may take advantage of these reporting exemptions until we are no longer an "emerging growth company." We may remain an "emerging growth company" for up to five years following our initial public offering. To the extent we do not use exemptions from various reporting requirements under the JOBS Act, we may be unable to realize our anticipated cost savings from those exemptions. Once we are no longer an "emerging growth company," we will no longer enjoy the benefits of those exemptions.

We have implemented internal controls over financial reporting and processes to evaluate their design and test their effectiveness so that management can provide the required management assessments under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("Section 404"). Section 404 requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Section 404 also generally requires a report by our independent registered public accounting firm on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. However, under the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 until we are no longer an "emerging growth company."

We may encounter problems or delays in implementing improvements in connection with receiving a favorable attestation from our independent registered public accounting firm. If we are unable to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting, we may be unable to report our financial information on a timely basis, may suffer adverse regulatory consequences, or violate applicable stock exchange listing rules. Any restatement of our financial statements due to a lack of adequate internal controls or otherwise could result in a loss of public confidence in the reliability of our financial statements and sanctions imposed on us by the SEC. Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on our business and share price.

Our largest stockholders have the power to significantly influence our decisions and their interests may conflict with our or yours in the future.

On May 4, 2010, affiliates of Lee Equity Partners, LLC ("Lee Equity") acquired a majority of the capital stock of PMI Holdings Inc., our predecessor. Lee Equity is a middle-market private equity investment firm managing more than \$1 billion of equity capital. Immediately following the consummation of our initial public offering, Lee Equity and its affiliates owned approximately 41% of our outstanding capital stock, and as of March 1, 2019, owns 26% of our outstanding capital stock. Under a stockholder's agreement that we entered into with Lee Equity in connection with our initial public offering, for so long as Lee Equity (or one or more of its affiliates, to the extent assigned thereto), individually or in the aggregate owns (i) 20% or more of the voting power of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock, Lee Equity will be entitled to designate two director nominees or (ii) 10% or more of the voting power of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock, Lee Equity will be entitled to designate one director nominee, in each case to serve on the Board of Directors (the "Board") at any meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected to the extent that Lee Equity does not have a director designee then serving on the Board. In addition

to its rights to designate nominees to the Board, Lee Equity has consent rights with respect to certain significant matters so long as Lee Equity owns 25% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock, including among others, certain change of control transactions, issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of significant indebtedness, declaration or payments of non-pro rata dividends, significant investments in, or acquisitions or dispositions of assets, adoption of any new equity-based incentive plan, any material

Table of Contents

increase in the salary of our Chief Executive Officer, certain amendments to our organizational documents, any material change to our business, or any change to the number of directors serving on our Board. So long as Lee Equity owns 10% or more of our issued and outstanding common stock, Lee Equity will be granted access to our customary non-public information and members of our management team and shall have the ability to share our material non-public information with any potential purchaser of us that executes an acceptable confidentiality agreement with us which will include a prohibition on trading on material non-public information. Lee Equity has the right to assign any of its governance and registration rights to its affiliates or to a third party in connection with the sale by Lee Equity of 10% or more of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock. As such, Lee Equity has substantial influence over us.

Lee Equity makes investments in companies in a variety of industries and may, from time to time, acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. Lee Equity may also pursue, for its own accounts, acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business, and as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation contains provisions renouncing any interest or expectancy held by our directors affiliated with Lee Equity in certain corporate opportunities. Accordingly, the interests of Lee Equity may supersede ours, causing it or its affiliates to compete against us or to pursue opportunities instead of us, for which we have no recourse. Such actions, on the part of Lee Equity and inaction on our part, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

On December 21, 2017, we entered into a Cooperation Agreement (the "Cooperation Agreement") with MFP Partners, L.P. ("MFP") and Misada Capital Holdings, LLC ("Misada"), among others. As of March 1, 2019, MFP and its affiliates collectively own 15% of our outstanding capital stock and Misada and its affiliates collectively own 9% of our outstanding capital stock. Pursuant to the Cooperation Agreement, MFP and Misada each has the right to designate a member of our Board so long as MFP and its affiliates or Misada and its affiliates, as the case may be, continue to beneficially own at least the lesser of (i) 10% or more of our outstanding capital stock, and (ii) 1,696,546 shares of our outstanding capital stock, in the case of MFP, or 848,273 shares of our outstanding capital stock, in the case of Misada, in each case subject to adjustment for stock splits, reclassifications, combinations, and similar adjustments. Such concentration of ownership among Lee Equity, MFP, and Misada, and the governance and control rights held by Lee Equity, MFP, and Misada, may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control, which may be to the benefit of Lee Equity, MFP, or Misada and their affiliates but not in the interest of the Company or other stockholders not affiliated with Lee Equity, MFP, or Misada.

Anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents could make an acquisition of us more difficult, limit attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management, and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of discouraging or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws include provisions that: authorize our Board to issue, without further action by the stockholders, up to 15,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock;

require that any action to be taken by our stockholders be effected at a duly called annual or special meeting and not by written consent;

specify that special meetings of our stockholders can be called only by or at the direction of our Board or, at the request of Lee Equity or its transferee that has privately acquired from Lee Equity at least 10% of our outstanding common stock, so long as Lee Equity or its transferee owns at least 10% of our outstanding common stock;

establish an advance notice procedure for stockholder proposals to be brought before an annual or special meeting, including proposed nominations of persons for election to our Board;

establish that our Board is divided into three classes, with each class serving three-year staggered terms;

prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors;

provide that our directors may be removed only for cause by a majority of the remaining members of our Board or the holders of at least 66 2/3% of our outstanding voting stock

empower our Board to cancel, postpone, or reschedule an annual meeting of stockholders, at any time before the holding of the annual meeting and for any reason; and

require comprehensive disclosures and affirmations from any individual who has been proposed by a stockholder as a nominee for election to our Board.

These provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our Board, which is responsible for appointing the

Table of Contents

members of our management, and may discourage, delay, or prevent a transaction involving a change in control of our Company that is in the best interest of our minority stockholders. Even in the absence of a takeover attempt, the existence of these provisions may adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock if they are viewed as discouraging future takeover attempts. In addition, we have opted out of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which would otherwise prohibit us from engaging in any of a broad range of business combinations with any “interested stockholder” (any stockholder with 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock) for a period of three years following the time that the stockholder became an “interested stockholder”, subject to certain exceptions. Our certificate of incorporation contains provisions that have similar effect as Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporations Law, except that they exclude from the definition of “interested stockholder” Lee Equity, and any person that has acquired from Lee Equity or any Lee Equity transferee 5% or more of our outstanding voting stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 2. Properties

Our corporate headquarters, located in Vancouver, Washington, houses substantially all of our executive management and employees who provide our primary corporate support functions: legal, marketing, technology, human resources, finance, and research and development. We lease the property for the corporate headquarters and all of our Company-owned stores.

Our system-wide stores are typically located in neighborhood shopping centers and the average store size is approximately 1,400 square feet. As of December 31, 2018, we and our franchise owners operated 1,437 stores with 1,400 of these stores located in 37 states (consisting of 1,294 franchised and 106 Company-owned stores) plus 12 stores in Canada and 25 stores in the Middle East. Our franchised stores are situated on real property owned by franchise owners or leased directly by franchise owners from third party landlords. The map and chart below show the locations of our franchised and Company-owned stores in the United States as of December 31, 2018.

Table of Contents

27

Table of Contents

	Domestic Franchised Stores	Company- Owned Stores	Total
Alabama	33	—	33
Alaska	12	—	12
Arizona	54	—	54
Arkansas	7	3	10
California	159	—	159
Colorado	86	—	86
Florida	10	9	19
Georgia	5	—	5
Hawaii	2	—	2
Idaho	26	9	35
Illinois	23	—	23
Indiana	29	—	29
Iowa	33	—	33
Kansas	33	—	33
Kentucky	13	—	13
Louisiana	2	—	2
Michigan	11	10	21
Minnesota	72	25	97
Mississippi	1	—	1
Missouri	47	3	50
Montana	14	—	14
Nebraska	13	—	13
Nevada	25	—	25
New Mexico	13	6	19
North Carolina	20	—	20
North Dakota	13	—	13
Oklahoma	19	—	19
Oregon	98	7	105
South Carolina	1	—	1
South Dakota	14	—	14
Texas	79	—	79
Tennessee	25	16	41
Utah	60	—	60
Virginia	6	—	6
Washington	135	16	151
Wisconsin	91	2	93
Wyoming	10	—	10
Total	1,294	106	1,400

Lease Arrangements

We lease the property for our corporate headquarters and our Company-owned stores and are not a party to the leases for the franchise locations except for two locations that operate under a sublease and leases assigned to franchisees under which we remain secondarily liable upon the sale of a store. Lease terms for Company-owned stores are generally five years with one or more five-year renewal options and generally require us to pay a proportionate share of real estate taxes, insurance, common area, and other operating costs in addition to a base or fixed rent.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We currently are subject to litigation with a group of our franchise owners. In January 2014, six franchise owner groups claimed that we misrepresented our sales volumes, made false representations to them and charged excess advertising fees, among other things. We engaged in mediation with these franchise owners, which is required under the terms of their franchise agreements, in order to address and resolve their claims, but we were unable to reach a settlement agreement. On April 4, 2014, a total of 12 franchise owner groups, including those franchise owners that previously made the allegations described above, filed a lawsuit against us in the Superior Court in Clark County, Washington, making essentially the same allegations for violation of the Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act, fraud, negligent misrepresentation and breach of contract and seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as monetary damages. Based on motions filed by us in that lawsuit, the court ruled on July 9, 2014, that certain of the plaintiffs' claims under the anti-fraud and nondisclosure provisions of the Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act should be dismissed and that certain other claims in the case would need to be more specifically alleged. The court also ruled that the six franchise owner groups who had not mediated with us prior to filing the lawsuit must mediate with us in good faith, and that their claims shall be stayed until they have done so.

On June 18, 2014, an additional 16 franchise owner groups, represented by the same counsel as the plaintiffs described above, filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court in Clark County, Washington making essentially the same allegations as made in the lawsuit described above and seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as monetary damages. The court consolidated the two lawsuits into a single case and ordered that the plaintiffs in the new lawsuit, none of whom had mediated with us prior to filing the lawsuit, must do so, and that their claims be stayed until they have completed mediating with us in good faith.

In October 2014, we engaged in mediation with the 22 franchise owner groups who had not previously done so. As a result of that mediation and other efforts, we reached resolution with 13 of the franchise owner groups involved in the consolidated lawsuits, and their claims have either been dismissed or dismissal is pending.

In February 2015, the remaining franchise owner groups in the consolidated lawsuits filed an amended complaint, removing some claims, amending some claims, adding claims and naming some of our former and current franchise sales staff as additional individual defendants. In September 2016, the remaining 15 franchise owner groups in the consolidated lawsuits filed an amended complaint to add a claim under the Washington Consumer Protection Act based on substantially the same allegations as the prior claims, to re-plead claims under the Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act that had previously been dismissed, and to dismiss Dan Harmon as a defendant.

In June 2017, the parties moved for summary judgment. We moved for summary judgment against two of the remaining franchise owner groups, the board of directors members moved for summary judgment on all claims against them, and the plaintiffs moved for summary judgment against all defendants on their Washington Consumer Protection Act and Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act claims. A hearing on the summary judgment motions was held on October 13, 2017.

In July 2017, we engaged in mediation with the remaining 15 franchise owner groups in the consolidated lawsuits. As a result of that mediation and other efforts, we reached resolutions with six of the remaining franchise owner groups, and their claims have been dismissed.

In April 2018, the Company reached resolution with four of the remaining franchise owner groups, conditioned upon dismissal of their claims.

In June 2018, the Company reached resolution with an additional franchise owner group.

On June 29, 2018, the Court granted the Company's motion to strike the remaining franchise owner groups' jury demand. The Court denied the Company's motion for separate trials, because at the time of the hearing there were only two franchise owner groups remaining in the case, based on tentative settlements with two other groups.

In July 2018, the Company finalized the tentative settlements with two of the aforementioned franchise owner groups.

In September 2018, the Company reached resolution with an additional franchise owner group.

One franchise owner group remains in the case, with a trial scheduled to start in the spring of 2019.

As before, we believe the allegations in this litigation lack merit and we will continue to vigorously defend our interests, including by asserting a number of affirmative defenses and, where appropriate, counterclaims. We provide no assurance that we will be successful in our defense of this lawsuit; however, we do not currently expect the cost of

resolving it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents

We are named the defendant in a putative collective action filed by plaintiff Amanda Cottle on January 8, 2019, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The lawsuit alleges that we violated the FLSA by failing to pay proper overtime wages to the plaintiff, a store manager. Ms. Cottle asks that the court certify the putative class and that unpaid wages and liquidated damages under the FLSA, as well as interest and fees, be awarded to her and each class member. We believe the allegations in this litigation lack merit and will vigorously defend our interests in the matter. We provide no assurance that we will be successful in our defense of this lawsuit; however, we do not currently expect the cost of resolving it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In January 2019, the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington issued the final order and mandate releasing all claims relating to the putative class action lawsuit filed against us by plaintiff John Lennartson on May 7, 2015, alleging the Company failed to comply with the requirements of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act when it sent SMS text messages to consumers.

We are from time to time involved in litigation, certain other claims and arbitration matters arising in the ordinary course of our business. We accrue for a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required in both the determination of the probability of a loss and the determination as to whether a loss is reasonably estimable. These accruals are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the effects of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and technical experts and other information and events pertaining to a particular matter. To the extent there is a reasonable possibility (within the meaning of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 450) that losses could exceed amounts already accrued, if any, and the additional loss or range of loss is able to be estimated, we disclose the additional loss or range of loss.

In some instances, we are unable to reasonably estimate any potential loss or range of loss. The nature and progression of litigation can make it difficult to predict the impact a particular lawsuit will have on our business. There are many reasons that we cannot make these assessments, including, among others, one or more of the following: the early stages of a proceeding; damages sought that are unspecified, unsupportable, unexplained or uncertain; discovery not having been started or incomplete; the complexity of the facts that are in dispute; the difficulty of assessing novel claims; the parties not having engaged in any meaningful settlement discussions; the possibility that other parties may share in any ultimate liability; and/or the often slow pace of litigation.

In addition to the foregoing, we are subject to routine legal proceedings, claims, and litigation in the ordinary course of our business. We also may engage in future litigation with franchise owners to enforce the terms of our franchise agreements and compliance with our standards as determined necessary to protect our brand, the consistency of our products, and the customer experience. Lawsuits require significant management attention and financial resources and the outcome of any litigation is inherently uncertain. We do not, however, expect that the costs to resolve these routine matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock has traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol FRSH since it began trading on May 2, 2014. Our initial public offering was priced at \$11.00 per share on May 1, 2014.

On March 1, 2019, we had approximately 34 stockholders of record of our common stock. These figures do not include beneficial owners who hold shares in nominee name.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2018, the Company repurchased the following shares of common stock:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average of Shares Price Paid per Share	Total Number Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 2 to October 31, 2018	3,772	(1) \$ 4.79	—	N/A
November 1 to November 30, 2018	—	—	—	N/A
December 1 to December 31, 2018	5,657	(1) 1.87	—	N/A
Total	9,429	\$ 3.04	—	N/A

The Company repurchased unvested restricted shares from former employees whose employment with the (1) Company had terminated. The unvested shares were repurchased by the Company at the historical price paid by the former employee for the unvested shares.

The Company does not have any share repurchase programs in effect.

Dividends

No dividends have been declared or paid on our shares of common stock and we do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We currently expect to retain all available funds and future earnings, if any, for use in the operation, development and expansion of our business. However, in the future, we may change this policy and choose to pay dividends. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on then-existing conditions, including our financial condition, operating results, business prospects, capital requirements, results of operations and other factors that our Board of Directors considers relevant.

We are a holding company that does not conduct any business operations of our own. As a result, our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock is dependent upon cash dividends and distributions and other transfers from our subsidiaries. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends is restricted by the terms of our senior secured credit facility. For additional information regarding our financial condition and restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 9 — Financing Arrangements of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, respectively.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

As a "smaller reporting company" as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with Selected Financial Data and Financial Statements and Supplementary Data and the related Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. To match our operating cycle, we use a 52- or 53-week fiscal year, ending on the

Monday nearest to December 31. Our fiscal quarters each contain 13 operating weeks, with the exception of the fourth quarter of a 53-week fiscal year, which contains 14 operating weeks. Fiscal years 2018 and 2017 were 52-week periods ended on December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018, respectively.

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors including, but not limited to, those discussed in the section entitled “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All statements other than statements of historical fact or relating to present facts or current conditions included in this discussion and analysis are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements give our current expectations and projections relating to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance, and business. You can identify forward-looking statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Examples of forward-looking statements include those regarding our future financial or operating results, cash flows, sufficiency of liquidity, prospects for refinancing, operating margins, capital expenditures and working capital needs, refranchising initiative and the intended use of proceeds from refranchising, effects of refranchising initiative on operating results, reduction in Company-owned stores, franchise store growth, identification and recruitment of qualified franchise owners, mix of new store openings, strategic initiatives, growth in online ordering and benefits from utilization of e-commerce platform, including trends in average check size for online orders, plans for delivery and demand for delivery among our customers, plans for a loyalty program, our marketing strategy, rate of increase of selling, general, and administrative expenses compared to increases in revenue, effects of changes to our senior management team, optimization and prioritization of spending, benefits of providing franchise owners access to real-time store performance metrics, business strategies and priorities, our exploration of strategic alternatives, plans for adopting a commodity hedging program, market opportunities, impacts of the 2017 Tax Act on the Company's federal income taxes, future government policies and regulatory changes, resolution of litigation and claims, expansion and growth opportunities, economies of scale achieved by growth, effects on earnings from acquisitions, plans for dividend payments, seasonal fluctuations, adoption of new accounting standards and the estimated effects of those new standards, exposure to foreign currency and interest rate risk, as well as industry trends and outlooks. These statements may include words such as “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “project,” “plan,” “intend,” “believe,” “may,” “should have,” “likely,” and other words and terms of similar meaning in connection with any discussion of the timing or nature of future operating or financial performance or other events.

The forward-looking statements contained in this discussion and analysis are based on assumptions that we have made in light of our industry experience and our perceptions of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments, and other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. As you read and consider this discussion and analysis, you should understand that these statements are not guarantees of performance or results. They involve risks, uncertainties (many of which are beyond our control), and assumptions. Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, you should be aware that many factors could affect our actual operating and financial performance and cause our performance to differ materially from the performance anticipated in the forward-looking statements. We believe these factors include, but are not limited to, those described under the section entitled “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should any of these assumptions prove incorrect, our actual operating and financial performance may vary in material respects from expectations based on these forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement made by us in this discussion and analysis speaks only as of the date on which we make it. Factors or events that could cause our actual operating and financial performance to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of them. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments, or otherwise, except as may be required by law.

Overview

Papa Murphy's is a franchisor and operator of the largest Take 'N' Bake pizza chain in the United States and the fifth largest pizza chain overall. We operate through a footprint of 1,437 stores as of December 31, 2018, of which 92.6% are franchised, located in 37 states plus Canada and the Middle East.

Our financial results are driven largely by system-wide sales at our franchised and Company-owned stores.

System-wide sales are driven by changes in comparable store sales and store counts, which translate into royalty

payments from franchise owners, as well as Company-owned store revenues. Total revenues can be impacted by the acquisition of franchised stores or the refranchising of Company-owned stores.

Our model offers operating advantages that differentiate us from other restaurant concepts. Our domestic stores (i) do not require ovens, venting hoods, freezers, or dining areas because our customers bake their pizzas at home; (ii) maintain shorter operating hours (typically 11:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.) that are attractive to franchise owners and their employees;

Table of Contents

(iii) require fewer employees during each shift compared to other restaurant concepts, resulting in lower labor costs; and (iv) accept EBT payment systems (food stamps).

The relatively moderate initial investments and low operating costs required to own and operate a Papa Murphy's store create the opportunity for high margins and attractive returns. We believe the low cost structure, simple operations, strong brand message supported by high levels of advertising spending, and high quality menu offerings drive attractive store-level economics, which, in turn, drive demand for new stores.

2018 Review**Revenues**

Total revenues declined 14.9% to \$126 million in 2018 from \$149 million in 2017 due primarily to the refranchising of 31 Company-owned stores and a decline in comparable store sales. System-wide sales declined 4.5% to \$809 million in 2018 from \$847 million in 2017 as a result of a net reduction of 86 stores during the year and a decline in comparable store sales. Comparable store sales decline for selected segments during the periods reported was as follows:

	Fiscal Year	
	2018	2017
Franchise	(2.3)%	(3.8)%
Company Stores	(4.8)%	(5.5)%
Total	(2.5)%	(4.0)%

The decline in comparable store sales in 2018 and 2017 resulted from reduced transactions. We believe the reduction in transactions was a result of the lack of certain critical technology components, suboptimal consumer messaging and inconsistent store-level execution.

Store Development and Refranchising

During 2018, our franchise owners opened 11 stores, including 10 in the United States. While we operate a small percentage of stores as Company-owned stores, we expect the majority of our new store expansion to continue to come from new franchised store openings.

Through 2016, we focused our financial resources on accelerating the build out of several markets with Company-owned stores. Consistent with our strategy, we are now working to refranchise a significant number of our Company-owned stores to experienced and well-capitalized franchisees who can further grow these markets. In May 2017, we successfully refranchised seven Company-owned stores pursuant to this strategy. In 2018, we refranchised a further 31 Company-owned stores, including two that were acquired during 2018. In 2018, we recorded a net loss on refranchising of \$1.2 million, which included a \$1.5 million contingent liability for marketing support. We also recorded an impairment of \$0.5 million for assets held for sale during 2018. Our target remains to reduce the number of Company-owned stores to no more than 50 stores by the end of 2020.

E-commerce

In the first quarter of 2018, we completed the successful transition to our new third-party online ordering platform with OLO.com. This transition was required to accelerate our convenience strategy and also to enable online and mobile ordering to be fully integrated with third-party marketplace and delivery services, where available. We continue to see positive results from online orders, with the average transaction amount about 25% higher with online orders than in-store orders.

Store Closures

In 2018, a total of 97 stores were closed system-wide, including 10 Company-owned stores. In connection with the Company-owned store closures, we recognized asset impairment charges of \$0.2 million before taxes.

Table of Contents**Company-owned Store Asset Impairment**

In the third quarter of 2018, as part of our impairment analysis of the book value of the property and equipment associated with our Company-owned stores, we determined that the book value of our stores in two markets was higher than the fair value of the stores located in those markets. Accordingly, we recognized an asset impairment charge of \$0.1 million before taxes during the third quarter of 2018, which impairment charge was in addition to the store closure impairment charge described above.

Income Taxes

In December 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “2017 Tax Act”) was enacted. The 2017 Tax Act includes a number of changes to existing U.S. tax laws that impact the Company, most notably a reduction of the top U.S. corporate income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017. The 2017 Tax Act also provides for the acceleration of depreciation for certain assets placed into service after September 27, 2017, as well as prospective changes that began in 2018, including additional limitations on executive compensation and limitations on the deductibility of interest. As a result of the 2017 Tax Act, the Company recorded a \$12.6 million benefit from income taxes for the year ended January 1, 2018, and a corresponding \$12.6 million decrease in net deferred tax liabilities as of January 1, 2018.

Key Operating Metrics

We evaluate the performance of our business using a variety of operating and performance metrics. Set forth below is a summary and description of our key operating metrics.

	2018	2017
Store average weekly sales (AWS)	\$10,518	\$10,483
Comparable store sales	(2.5)%	(4.0)%
Comparable stores	1,419	1,478
System-wide sales (in thousands)	\$808,727	\$846,864
System-wide stores	1,437	1,523
Adjusted EBITDA (in thousands)	\$22,306	\$20,500

Average Weekly Sales (AWS)

AWS consists of the average weekly sales of stores over a specified period of time. AWS is calculated by dividing the total net sales of our system-wide stores for the relevant time period by the number of weeks these stores were open in such time period. This measure allows management to assess changes in customer traffic and spending patterns in our stores.

Comparable Store Sales Growth

Comparable store sales growth (decline) represents the change in year-over-year sales for comparable stores. A comparable store is a store that has been open for at least 52 full weeks from the comparable date (the Tuesday following the opening date). Comparable store sales growth (decline) reflects changes in the number of transactions and in customer spend per transaction at existing stores. Customer spend per transaction is affected by changes in menu prices, sales mix, and the number of items sold per customer.

System-Wide Sales

System-wide sales include net sales by all of our system-wide stores. This measure allows management to assess the health of our brand, our relative position to competitors, and assess changes in our royalty revenues.

Store Openings, Closures, Acquisitions, and Divestitures

We review the number of new stores, the number of closed stores, and the number of acquired and divested stores to assess growth (decline) in system-wide sales, royalty revenues, and Company-owned store sales.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the changes in the number of stores in our system for fiscal 2018 and 2017:

	Franchise			Company	
	Domestic	International	Total Franchise	Stores	Total
Store count at 1/2/2017	1,369	40	1,409	168	1,577
Openings	31	4	35	—	35
Closings	(69)	(4)	(73)	(16)	(89)
Net transfers	7	—	7	(7)	—
Store count at 1/1/2018	1,338	40	1,378	145	1,523
Openings	10	1	11	—	11
Closings	(83)	(4)	(87)	(10)	(97)
Net transfers	29	—	29	(29)	—
Store count at December 31, 2018	1,294	37	1,331	106	1,437

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA

To supplement our consolidated financial statements presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. (“GAAP”), we consider certain financial measures that are not prepared in accordance with GAAP. These non-GAAP financial measures are not based on any standardized methodology prescribed by GAAP and are not necessarily comparable to similarly-titled measures presented by other companies.

“Adjusted EBITDA” is calculated as net income (loss) before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation, and amortization (“EBITDA”) as adjusted for the effects of items that we do not consider indicative of our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a supplemental measure of operating performance that does not represent and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss), as determined by GAAP, and our calculation of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to that reported by other companies.

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure. Management believes that this financial measure, when viewed with our results of operations in accordance with GAAP and our reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss), provides additional information to investors about certain material or unusual items that we do not expect to continue at the same level in the future. By providing this non-GAAP financial measure, we believe we are enhancing investors’ understanding of our business, our results of operations, and assisting investors in evaluating how well we are executing strategic initiatives. We believe Adjusted EBITDA is used by investors as a supplemental measure to evaluate the overall operating performance of companies in our industry.

Management uses Adjusted EBITDA and other similar measures:

- in comparing our operating performance on a consistent basis;
- to calculate incentive compensation for our employees;
- for planning purposes, including the preparation of our internal annual operating budget; and
- to evaluate the performance and effectiveness of our operational strategies.

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of the limitations are:

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the significant interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our debt;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized may have to be replaced in the future and Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the cash requirements for such replacements; and

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our tax expense or the cash requirements to pay our taxes.

To address these limitations, we reconcile Adjusted EBITDA to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, net income. Further, we also review GAAP measures and evaluate individual measures that are not included in Adjusted EBITDA.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation of our net income (loss) to Adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented:

	Fiscal Year	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Net Income (Loss)	\$4,324	\$(1,818)
Depreciation and amortization	7,241	10,452
Income tax provision (benefit)	1,483	(18,509)
Interest expense, net	5,212	5,078
EBITDA	18,260	(4,797)
CEO transition and restructuring ⁽¹⁾	595	2,614
E-commerce impairment ⁽²⁾	350	9,085
Store closures and impairments ⁽³⁾	1,918	9,145
Litigation settlements ⁽⁴⁾	908	4,453
Strategic alternatives ⁽⁵⁾	237	—
Debt refinancing ⁽⁶⁾	38	—
Adjusted EBITDA	\$22,306	\$20,500

(1) Represents non-recurring management transition and restructuring costs in connection with the recruitment of a new Chief Executive Officer and other executive positions.

(2) Represents impairment charges on the write-down of our e-commerce platform based on the decision to move to a third party developed and hosted solution and non-recurring costs incurred to complete the transition.

For 2018, represents primarily net losses on the refranchising of Company-owned stores primarily from the recording of contingent liabilities for committed marketing support expenditures in addition to impairments for Company-owned stores held for sale. For 2017, represents primarily non-cash charges associated with the impairment and disposal of store assets upon the decision to close stores.

(4) Accruals made toward litigation reserves.

(5) Reflects costs associated with the Company's exploration of strategic alternatives.

(6) Reflects costs associated with amendments to the Company's credit facilities.

Our Segments

As a result of changes in our executive management responsibilities, effective January 2, 2018 we changed our reportable segments by combining our domestic and international franchise business into a single Franchise segment and separating the Brand Funds business into a separate reportable segment. Management believes this change better reflects the priorities and decision-making analysis around the allocation of our resources. Prior period results for the affected segments have been retrospectively revised to reflect this change.

We operate in three business segments: Franchise, Company Stores, and Brand Funds. Our Franchise segment consists of our franchised stores, our Company Stores segment consists of our Company-owned stores, and our Brand Funds segment consists of our Brand Marketing Fund ("BMF") and our Convention Fund.

We measure the performance of our segments based on segment adjusted EBITDA and allocate resources based primarily on this measure. "EBITDA" is calculated as net income (loss) before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Segment adjusted EBITDA excludes certain unallocated and corporate expenses, which include costs related to our board of directors, CEO and CFO, and certain legal expenses. Although segment adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of financial condition or performance determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP"), we use segment adjusted EBITDA to compare the operating performance of our segments on a consistent basis and to evaluate the performance and effectiveness of our operational strategies. Our calculation of segment adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to that reported by other companies.

Our Chief Operating Decision Maker ("CODM") uses segment adjusted EBITDA as the primary measure of segment performance to allocate resources. The CODM believes this measure provides an enhanced basis for consistently measuring segment performance against operational objectives and strategies.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our revenues, segment adjusted EBITDA, and depreciation and amortization for each of our segments for the periods presented:

	Fiscal Year	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Revenues		
Franchise segment	\$44,864	\$42,707
Brand Funds segment	24,636	30,867
Intersegment eliminations	(4,847)	(1,934)
Franchise related	64,653	71,640
Company Stores segment	61,776	76,868
Total	\$126,429	\$148,508
	Fiscal Year	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Segment Adjusted EBITDA		
Franchise	\$25,673	\$25,682
Company Stores	884	2,685
Brand Funds	169	(450)
Total reportable segments adjusted EBITDA	26,726	27,917
Corporate and unallocated	(4,420)	(7,417)
Adjusted EBITDA	22,306	20,500
Depreciation and amortization	(7,241)	(10,452)
Interest expense, net	(5,212)	(5,078)
CEO transition and restructuring ⁽¹⁾	(595)	(2,614)
E-commerce impairment ⁽²⁾	(350)	(9,085)
Store closures and impairments ⁽³⁾	(1,918)	(9,145)
Litigation settlements ⁽⁴⁾	(908)	(4,453)
Strategic alternatives ⁽⁵⁾	(237)	—
Debt refinancing ⁽⁶⁾	(38)	—
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$5,807	\$(20,327)

(1) Represents non-recurring management transition and restructuring costs in connection with the recruitment of a new Chief Executive Officer and other executive positions.

(2) Represents impairment charges on the write-down of our e-commerce platform based on the decision to move to a third party developed and hosted solution and non-recurring costs incurred to complete the transition.

For 2018, represents primarily net losses on the refranchising of Company-owned stores primarily from the recording of contingent liabilities for committed marketing support expenditures in addition to impairments for Company-owned stores held for sale. For 2017, represents primarily non-cash charges associated with the impairment and disposal of store assets upon the decision to close stores.

(4) Accruals made toward litigation reserves.

(5) Reflects costs associated with the Company's exploration of strategic alternatives.

(6) Reflects costs associated with amendments to the Company's credit facilities.

The following table presents depreciation and amortization for our Franchise and Company Stores segments for the periods presented:

	Fiscal Year	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Depreciation and amortization		
Franchise	\$4,644	\$5,921
Company Stores	2,597	4,531
Total	\$7,241	\$10,452

Table of Contents

Key Financial Definitions

Revenues

Substantially all of our revenues are derived from sales of pizza and other food and beverage products to the general public by Company-owned stores and the collection of franchise royalties and fees associated with franchise and development rights. Franchise and development fees include initial franchise fees charged for opening a new franchised store, successive fees for the renewal of expiring franchise agreements, transfer fees for transferring ownership of a franchise, and deposit forfeitures. Lease income is recognized in the period earned, which coincides with the period the expense is due to the master leaseholder.

Store Operating Costs

Store operating costs relate to our Domestic Company Stores segment and consist of cost of food and packaging, compensation and benefits, advertising, occupancy costs, and other store operating costs, including new store pre-opening costs. We expect all of our store operating costs to vary as our store count changes from building, acquiring, closing, and refranchising Company-owned stores. Cost of food and packaging and advertising can be expected to fluctuate with commodity price changes and increases or decreases in the revenues of our Domestic Company Stores segment.

Selling, General, and Administrative Costs

Selling, general, and administrative costs consist of wages, benefits, and other compensation, franchise development expenses, travel, marketing, accounting fees, legal fees, the costs of POS system software licenses sold to franchise owners at cost, and other expenses related to the infrastructure required to support our franchised and Company-owned stores. See Revenues above for the related offsetting revenue from the POS system software licenses resold to franchise owners. Selling, general, and administrative costs also include net advertising expenses of an advertising fund we manage on behalf of all domestic stores.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization constitute non-cash charges related to the depreciation of fixed assets, including leasehold improvements and equipment, and the amortization of franchise relationships and reacquired franchise rights relating to our acquisition of certain franchised stores.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table presents our results of operations in dollars and as a percentage of total revenues for the periods reported.

(dollars in thousands)	Fiscal Year 2018		2017	
	\$	Total % of Revenues	\$	Total % of Revenues
Revenues				
Franchise	\$64,653	51.1 %	\$71,640	48.2 %
Company-owned store sales	61,776	48.9 %	76,868	51.8 %
Total revenues	126,429	100.0 %	148,508	100.0 %
Costs and Expenses				
Store operating costs:				
Cost of food and packaging ⁽¹⁾	20,415	16.3 %	25,958	17.4 %
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	19,601	15.5 %	23,603	15.9 %
Advertising ⁽¹⁾	4,794	3.8 %	6,684	4.5 %
Occupancy ⁽¹⁾	11,441	9.0 %	13,931	9.4 %
Selling, general, and administrative	49,731	39.3 %	64,565	43.5 %
Depreciation and amortization	7,241	5.7 %	10,452	7.0 %
Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment	1,937	1.5 %	18,360	12.4 %
Total costs and expenses	115,160	91.1 %	163,553	110.1 %
Operating Income (Loss)	11,269	8.9 %	(15,045)	(10.1)%
Interest expense, net	5,212	4.1 %	5,078	3.5 %
Loss on early retirement of debt	38	— %	—	— %
Other expense, net	212	0.2 %	204	0.1 %
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	5,807	4.6 %	(20,327)	(13.7)%
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	1,483	1.2 %	(18,509)	(12.5)%
Net Income (Loss)	\$4,324	3.4 %	\$(1,818)	(1.2)%

(1)Please see the table presented in Costs and Expenses below, which presents Company store expenses as a percentage of Company store revenue for 2018 and 2017.

Revenues

Franchise revenues. The following table presents franchise revenues for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Franchise	\$64,653	(9.8)%	\$71,640
Percentage of total revenues	51.1 %		48.2 %

Franchise revenues decreased in 2018 due primarily to decreases in Franchise comparable store sales of 2.3%, the closure of 87 franchised stores, and the elimination of an incremental advertising fee of 0.85% of sales charged to all franchise stores only in 2017 to help fund the test of national advertising in the first quarter of 2017.

Company-owned store sales. The following table presents Company-owned store sales for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Company-owned store sales	\$61,776	(19.6)%	\$76,868
Percentage of total revenues	48.9 %		51.8 %

Table of Contents

Company-owned store sales decreased in 2018 due to the sale of 31 Company-owned stores, the closure of 10 Company-owned stores, and a decline in comparable store sales of 4.8% in the Company Stores segment.

Costs and Expenses

Store operating costs. The following table presents store operating costs for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Store operating costs	\$56,251	(19.8)%	\$70,176
Percentage of total revenues	44.5 %		47.3 %

Store operating costs decreased in 2018 primarily as a result of the sale of 31 Company-owned stores and the closure of 10 Company-owned stores during 2018.

The following table presents store operating costs as a percentage of Company-owned store sales for the periods reported:

	Fiscal Year	
	2018	2017
As a % of Company-owned store sales:		
Cost of food and packaging	33.0%	33.8%
Compensation and benefits	31.7%	30.7%
Advertising	7.8 %	8.7 %
Occupancy and other store operating costs	18.5%	18.1%
Total store operating costs	91.1%	91.3%

Total store operating costs. Total store operating costs as a percentage of Company-owned store sales decreased by 20 basis points in 2018 compared to 2017, due primarily to the effect of store portfolio changes in select markets and as further explained below:

Cost of food and packaging. The decrease in costs of food and packaging as a percentage of Company-owned store sales was primarily due to decreases in commodity prices during 2018.

Compensation and benefits. Compensation and benefits as a percentage of Company-owned store sales increased primarily due to fixed labor costs such as store manager salaries representing a larger portion of compensation in lower volume stores. In addition, increases in the minimum wage have negatively affected several of our established markets.

Occupancy and other store operating costs. The increase in occupancy and other store operating costs as a percentage of Company-owned store sales was primarily a result of gains on the settlement of lease liabilities recorded in 2017 and increased repairs and maintenance expenditures in 2018.

Advertising. Advertising costs as a percentage of Company-owned store sales decreased in 2018 primarily due to reduced levels of spending on printed advertising compared to 2017.

Selling, general, and administrative. The following table presents selling, general, and administrative costs for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Selling, general, and administrative	\$49,731	(23.0)%	\$64,565
Percentage of total revenues	39.3 %		43.5 %

Selling, general, and administrative costs decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily as a result of Brand Marketing Fund expenses incurred in 2017 associated with our first test of a national media campaign, legal settlement reserves incurred in 2017, and severance and restructuring costs incurred in 2017 associated with executive turnover and staff reductions.

Depreciation and amortization. The following table presents depreciation and amortization for the periods reported:

Table of Contents

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Depreciation and amortization	\$7,241	(30.7)%	\$10,452
Percentage of total revenues	5.7 %		7.0 %

Depreciation and amortization decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily due to a reduction in the number of Company-owned stores period-over-period.

Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment. The following table presents the Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment	\$1,937	(89.4)%	\$18,360
Percentage of total revenues	1.5 %		12.4 %

The loss recorded in 2018 primarily resulted from the recording of contingent liabilities for committed marketing support expenditures associated with the refranchising of Company-owned stores. The loss recorded in 2017 primarily resulted from an asset impairment charge to our retired e-commerce platform of \$9.1 million and asset impairment charges from store closures and the write-down of Company-owned store assets in four markets of \$9.1 million in the aggregate, partially offset by gains from the refranchising of Company-owned stores.

Interest expense, net. The following table presents net interest expense for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Interest expense, net	\$5,212	2.6 %	\$5,078
Percentage of total revenues	4.1 %		3.5 %

Interest expense, net increased in 2018 compared to 2017 as a result of increases in LIBOR lending rates which resulted in a higher weighted average interest rate of 6.01% during 2018 compared to 4.34% in 2017, partially offset by a reduction in the balance of outstanding debt throughout 2018.

Income Taxes. The following table presents income taxes for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$1,483	N/M	\$(18,509)
Percentage of total revenues	1.2 %		(12.5)%
Effective tax rate	25.5%		N/M

N/M = Not Meaningful

The provision for (benefit from) income taxes increased in 2018 compared to 2017 due to the corporate tax rate reduction made by the 2017 Tax Act. This tax rate reduction yielded a \$12.6 million benefit in 2017. The effective tax rate for 2018 was higher due to a switch to pre-tax income in 2018 and a prior year benefit created by the 2017 Tax Act corporate tax rate reduction.

Segment Results

Franchise. The following table presents Franchise total revenues and Adjusted EBITDA for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Total revenues	\$44,864	5.1 %	\$42,707
Percentage of total revenues	31.6 %		27.4 %
Adjusted EBITDA	25,673	— %	25,682

Total revenues for the Franchise segment increased in 2018 compared to 2017 due primarily to increases in franchise fee revenues and e-commerce fees, partially offset by a decline in segment comparable store sales of 2.3% and the closure of 87 franchised stores.

Adjusted EBITDA for the Franchise segment remained constant in 2018 compared to 2017 as increased revenues were offset by and increases in Selling, general and administrative costs.

Table of Contents

Company Stores. The following table presents Company Stores total revenues and Adjusted EBITDA for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Total revenues	\$61,776	(19.6)%	\$76,868
Percentage of total revenues	48.9 %		51.8 %
Adjusted EBITDA	884	(67.1)%	2,685

Total revenues for the Company Stores segment decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 as a result of a decline in segment comparable store sales of 4.8%, the net refranchising of 29 segment stores, and the closure of 16 segment stores.

Adjusted EBITDA for the Domestic Company Stores segment decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 as a result of a decline in comparable store sales of 4.8% and the net refranchising of 29 segment stores, partially offset by savings generated from the closing of 10 underperforming stores.

Brand Funds. The following table presents Brand Funds total revenues and Adjusted EBITDA for the periods reported:

(dollars in thousands)	2018	Change	2017
Total revenues	\$24,636	(20.2)%	\$30,867
Percentage of total revenues	19.5 %		20.8 %
Adjusted EBITDA	169	N/M	(450)

N/M = Not Meaningful

Total revenues for the Brand Funds segment decreased in 2018 primarily as a result of declines in comparable domestic store sales of 2.4%, fewer domestic stores period-over-period, and the elimination of the incremental advertising fee of 0.85% of sales. Adjusted EBITDA for the Brand Funds increased in 2018 primarily due to the elimination of advertising expenditures associated with our test of national advertising during 2017.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operating activities and proceeds from the incurrence of debt, which together are sufficient to fund our operations, tax payments, capital expenditures, interest, fees, and principal payments on our debt as well as to support our growth strategy. As a public company, we may also raise additional capital through the sale of equity. Our ability to obtain additional financing will depend on many factors, including prevailing market conditions, our financial condition, and our ability to negotiate favorable terms and conditions. Financing may not be available on terms that are acceptable or favorable to us, if at all. We have also initiated a refranchising initiative to reduce our Company-owned stores to no more than 50 by the end of 2020. We intend to use the net cash proceeds from refranchising to reduce debt.

As of December 31, 2018, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$5.8 million and a \$7.5 million revolving credit facility, of which none was drawn. As of December 31, 2018, we had \$82.5 million of outstanding indebtedness and principal payments of \$2.1 million are due quarterly. We believe that our cash flows from operations, available cash and cash equivalents, and available borrowings under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient to meet our liquidity needs for at least the next 12 months.

As of December 31, 2018, we were in compliance with all of our covenants and other obligations under our senior secured credit facility.

While we believe that we will have the ability to refinance our senior secured credit facility when it matures in 2020, we do not assure you that we will be able to refinance our credit facility on acceptable terms, if at all.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows

The following table presents a summary of cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities for the periods presented:

	Twelve Months Ended	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$10,240	\$15,537
Net cash used in (provided by) investing activities	6,986	(1,648)
Net cash used in financing activities	(13,634)	(13,784)
Total cash flows	\$3,592	\$105

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$5.3 million in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily due to a \$6.1 million decrease in net income, partially offset by reduced spending on marketing in 2018 compared to the 2017, which included our test of national advertising. This reduction in advertising spend was partially offset by lower advertising fee revenues due to the elimination of an incremental advertising fee of 0.85% of sales charged to all franchise stores only in fiscal year 2017 to help fund the test of national advertising in the first quarter of 2017.

Investing Activities

In 2018, net cash used in investing activities increased by \$8.6 million compared to 2017 primarily due to a \$3.4 million decrease in capital spending as a result of a reduction in spending on the construction of new Company-owned stores and \$8 million in proceeds resulting from the sale and refranchising of 31 Company-owned stores in 2018 compared to proceeds of \$2 million from the sale and refranchising of seven Company-owned stores in 2017.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in (provided by) financing activities decreased in 2018 by \$0.2 million compared to 2017 primarily due to proceeds from the exercise of stock options offset by slightly higher payments on long term debt and no net borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have guaranteed certain operating lease payments related to specified franchised stores in connection with the divestiture and refranchising of Company-owned stores. The maximum aggregate potential liability associated with the guaranteed lease payments is \$3.0 million. We believe that none of these guarantees has or is likely to have a material effect on our results of operations, financial condition, or liquidity. Additional information on guaranteed lease payments can be found in the "Lease guarantees" section of Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in Note 13 — Leases of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our judgments and estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, accounts and notes receivable, goodwill and other intangible assets, impairment of long-lived assets, income taxes, advertising and marketing costs, and share-based compensation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable in the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that our most critical accounting policies and estimates are:

Table of Contents

Revenue recognition

Sales from Company-owned stores are recognized as revenue when the products are provided to customers. We report revenues net of sales taxes collected from customers and remitted to government taxing authorities. Royalty fees are based on a percentage of sales and are recorded as revenues as the fees are earned and become receivable from the franchise owner. Lease income is recognized in the period earned, which generally coincides with the period the expense is due to the master leaseholder, if a sublease.

Franchise and development fees are paid in advance of a store opening, typically when entering into a new franchise or development agreement. Fees allocated to the franchise license are recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of each respective franchise store agreement. We have determined that these fees, which are paid in advance of when they are recognized as revenue, do not contain a significant financing component.

The timing of revenue recognition may differ from the timing of payment from customers. We record in our Consolidated Balance Sheets a receivable when revenue is recognized in advance of payment, and a contract liability ("unearned revenue"), when revenue is recognized subsequent to payment. Unearned revenue consists mainly of franchise and development fees paid in advance. A refund liability is recorded when it is known that an amount previously received will be refunded instead of recognized as revenue. We do not incur a significant amount of contract acquisition costs in conducting our franchising activities and have not capitalized any such costs.

Revenue from gift cards is recognized when the gift card is redeemed by a customer in one of our stores. Revenue is not recognized for gift cards redeemed in franchised stores. As gift cards are redeemed by a customer, an estimate of the value of gift card balances that will be unredeemed ("gift card breakage") is recognized by us as a contribution to the brand marketing fund described below. We determine the gift card breakage rate based upon Company-specific historical redemption patterns.

Accounts and notes receivable

Accounts receivable consist primarily of (a) amounts due from franchise owners for continuing fees that are collected weekly, (b) receivables for supply chain vendor rebates, (c) subleased retail rents, and (d) other miscellaneous receivables. Accounts receivable are stated net of an allowance for doubtful accounts determined by management through an evaluation of specific accounts, considering historical losses and existing economic conditions where relevant.

Notes receivable consist primarily of amounts due from sales of Company-owned stores. Management reviews the notes receivable on a periodic basis and evaluates the creditworthiness and financial condition of the counterparty to determine the appropriate allowance, if any. In certain cases, we will choose to modify the terms of a note to help a store owner achieve certain profitability targets or to accommodate a store owner while the store owner obtains third party financing. If the store owner does not repay the note, we have the contractual right to take back ownership of the store based on the underlying franchise agreement, which therefore minimizes the credit risk to us.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

Goodwill arises from business combinations and represents the excess of the purchase consideration transferred over the fair value of the net assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and liabilities assumed. The majority of our goodwill was generated in May 2010 when Lee Equity acquired all of the equity interests of PMI Holdings, Inc. ("Lee Equity Acquisition"), though we have also recognized goodwill upon the acquisition of stores from franchise owners. Goodwill is assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing.

We consider our trade name and trademarks to be indefinite-lived intangible assets. These assets were initially recognized in May 2010 upon the Lee Equity Acquisition. Our intangible assets that are not indefinite-lived include franchise relationships and reacquired franchise rights.

Goodwill and intangible assets determined to have an indefinite life are not amortized, but are tested for impairment annually, or more often if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate an impairment might exist.

Management evaluates indefinite-lived assets each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis and tested for impairment together with long-lived assets.

In performing our annual goodwill impairment test, we have the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is not "more likely than not" that the

fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If we determine that it is more likely than not, we perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Under the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test, the fair value of the reporting unit is compared to its respective carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value exceeds the carrying

Table of Contents

amount, then no impairment exists. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, further analysis is performed to determine the amount of the impairment. Both the qualitative and quantitative assessments are completed separately with respect to the goodwill of each of our reporting units. We review goodwill for impairment annually, as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. We can bypass the qualitative assessment and move directly to the quantitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and can elect to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period.

Most of our goodwill is attributed to and tested for impairment at the Domestic Franchise segment, which is considered one reporting unit, as the segment does not have any components of a business for which discrete financial information is available and is regularly reviewed by segment management.

In performing our annual impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets, we have the option to first assess qualitatively whether it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, thus necessitating a quantitative impairment test. We do not calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived asset and perform the quantitative test unless we determine that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. We review indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. We can bypass the qualitative assessment and move directly to the quantitative assessment for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and can elect to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period.

Impairment of long-lived assets

Long-lived assets are evaluated for recoverability of the carrying amount whenever events and circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. Some of the events or changes in circumstances that would trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to, significant under-performance relative to expected and/or historical results (such as two years of comparable store sales decrease or two years of negative operating cash flows), significant negative industry or economic trends, or knowledge of transactions involving the sale of similar property at amounts below the carrying value.

Assets are grouped for recognition and measurement of impairment at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets. Typically, long-lived assets relating to Company-owned stores are tested for impairment at the level of the retail market area in which they are located and long-lived assets relating to franchised operations are tested for impairment at the segment level. If the carrying amount of an asset group exceeds the estimated, undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset, then an impairment charge is recognized to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the asset group's fair value. In determining fair value, management considers current results, trends, future prospects, and other economic factors.

Income taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability approach. This requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statement and the tax basis of assets and liabilities at the applicable tax rates. A valuation allowance is recorded against deferred tax assets if, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The effect of uncertain tax positions would be recorded in the consolidated financial statements only after determining a more likely than not probability that the uncertain tax positions would withstand an examination by tax authorities based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit to be recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. As facts and circumstances change, management reassesses these probabilities and would record any changes in the financial statements as appropriate.

Advertising and marketing costs

We expense media development costs when the advertisement is first aired. All other advertising costs, including contributions to other local and regional advertising programs are expensed when incurred. These costs are included in store operating costs or selling, general, and administrative expenses based on the nature of the advertising and marketing costs incurred.

Franchised and Company-owned stores in the United States contribute to an brand marketing fund (“BMF”) that we manage on behalf of these stores. In addition, certain supply chain vendors contribute to the BMF. The BMF also operates a gift card program for the Papa Murphy’s system. Any gift card breakage from this program is recognized as a contribution to the BMF. Under our franchise agreements and other agreements, the contributions received must be spent on marketing, creative efforts, media support, or other related purposes specified in the agreements and result in no profit recognized. The expenditures are primarily amounts paid to third parties, but may also include personnel expenses and allocated costs.

Table of Contents

Contributions to the BMF are recorded as franchise revenue and expenses are recorded as selling, general, and administrative expenses. At each reporting date, to the extent contributions exceed expenditures on a cumulative basis, the excess contributions to the BMF are accounted for as a deferred liability and are recorded in accrued expenses in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Previously recognized expenses in excess of contributions on a cumulative basis may be recovered if subsequent contributions exceed expenditures.

Share-based Compensation

We maintain two equity compensation plans, our 2010 Amended Management Incentive Plan and our 2014 Management Incentive Plan, under which we have granted awards of stock options and restricted stock awards that typically vest based on the achievement of a time-vesting or a market condition.

Compensation expense relating to restricted stock awards is recognized for the portion of the grant date fair value that exceeds any purchase price paid for the stock. This expense is recognized over the requisite service period, typically the vesting period, utilizing the straight-line attribution method.

The fair value of time-vesting stock option awards is estimated on the grant date using a Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model. Compensation expense relating to stock option awards is recognized for the grant date fair value. The fair value of stock options that contain a market condition is estimated on the grant date using a Monte Carlo valuation method, which utilizes multiple input variables to determine the probability of the Company achieving the market condition and the fair value of the award. This expense is recognized over the requisite service period, typically the vesting period, utilizing the straight-line attribution method.

In addition, prior to the IPO we sold unrestricted common and preferred stock to certain employees and recognized as compensation expense the portion of the fair value that exceeded the purchase price on the issue date.

Leases

We lease the property for our corporate headquarters, Company-owned stores, and certain office equipment. We determine if an arrangement is a lease at its inception. Operating leases are included in operating lease right-of-use ("ROU") assets, current portion of operating lease liabilities, and operating lease liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company currently has no finance leases.

ROU assets and operating lease liabilities are recognized based on the present value of lease payments over the lease term at commencement date. Because most of our leases do not provide an implicit rate of return, we use our incremental borrowing rate based on the information available at commencement date in determining the present value of lease payments. Operating lease ROU assets also exclude lease incentives received. We have lease agreements with lease and non-lease components, which are accounted for separately. For certain equipment leases, such as copiers, we account for the lease and non-lease components as a single lease component.

Lease expense for lease payments is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Lease terms for Company-owned stores are generally five years with one or more five-year renewal options and generally require us to pay a proportionate share of real estate taxes, insurance, common area, and other operating costs in addition to a base or fixed rent. For purposes of calculating operating lease liabilities, lease terms may be deemed to include options to extend or terminate the lease when it is reasonably certain that we will exercise that option. Economic performance of a store is the primary factor used to estimate whether an option to extend a lease term will be exercised or not.

JOBS Act

We qualify as an "emerging growth company" pursuant to the provisions of the JOBS Act. For as long as we are an "emerging growth company," we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not "emerging growth companies," including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements, reduced disclosure obligations relating to the presentation of financial statements in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, exemptions from the requirements of holding advisory "say-on-pay" votes on executive compensation and stockholder advisory votes on golden parachute compensation. We have availed ourselves of the reduced reporting obligations and executive compensation disclosure in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and expect to continue to avail ourselves of the reduced reporting obligations available to emerging growth companies in future

filings. We could be an “emerging growth company” until the end of our 2019 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

In addition, an emerging growth company can delay its adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. However, we are choosing to “opt out” of this extended transition period, and as a result, we plan to comply with any new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which non-emerging growth companies must adopt the standards. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that our decision to opt out of the extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards is irrevocable.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For a description of recent accounting pronouncements, see the “Recent Accounting Pronouncements” section in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in Note 2 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

As a “smaller reporting company” as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Fiscal Years ended December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	<u>49</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	<u>50</u>
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Fiscal Years ended December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	<u>51</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years ended December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	<u>52</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>53</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>79</u>

Table of ContentsPapa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except share and per share data)	Fiscal Year Ended	
	December 31, 2018	January 1, 2018
Revenues		
Franchise	\$64,653	\$ 71,640
Company-owned store sales	61,776	76,868
Total revenues	126,429	148,508
Costs and Expenses		
Store operating costs:		
Cost of food and packaging	20,415	25,958
Compensation and benefits	19,601	23,603
Advertising	4,794	6,684
Occupancy and other store operating costs	11,441	13,931
Selling, general, and administrative	49,731	64,565
Depreciation and amortization	7,241	10,452
Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment	1,937	18,360
Total costs and expenses	115,160	163,553
Operating Income (Loss)	11,269	(15,045)
Interest expense, net	5,212	5,078
Loss on early retirement of debt	38	—
Other expense, net	212	204
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	5,807	(20,327)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	1,483	(18,509)
Net Income (Loss)	\$4,324	\$ (1,818)
Earnings (loss) per share of common stock		
Basic	\$0.26	\$ (0.11)
Diluted	\$0.25	\$ (0.11)
Weighted average common stock outstanding		
Basic	16,929,764	16,870,013
Diluted	17,000,858	16,870,013
See accompanying notes.		

Table of ContentsPapa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except par value and share data)	December 31, 2018	January 1, 2018
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,766	\$2,174
Accounts receivable, net	3,564	3,788
Inventories	549	719
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,173	2,281
Total current assets	12,052	8,962
Property and equipment, net	4,866	10,064
Operating lease right of use assets	9,801	16,331
Goodwill	101,763	107,751
Trade name and trademarks	87,002	87,002
Definite-life intangibles, net	27,326	31,655
Assets held for sale	3,117	—
Other assets	797	350
Total assets	\$ 246,724	\$262,115
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,773	\$5,389
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	12,389	12,382
Current portion of lease liabilities	2,510	3,382
Current portion of unearned franchise and development fees	1,715	1,564
Current portion of long-term debt	11,400	8,400
Total current liabilities	32,787	31,117
Long-term debt, net of current portion	70,644	86,994
Lease liabilities, net of current portion	8,806	16,296
Unearned franchise and development fees, net of current portion	8,546	10,037
Deferred tax liability, net	23,121	21,825
Other long-term liabilities	3,797	1,704
Total liabilities	147,701	167,973
Commitments and contingencies (Note 17)		
Equity		
Preferred stock (\$0.01 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding)	—	—
Common stock (\$0.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 17,025,028 and 16,971,461 shares issued and outstanding, respectively)	170	170
Additional paid-in capital	121,171	120,614
Accumulated deficit	(22,318)	(26,642)
Total equity	99,023	94,142
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 246,724	\$262,115
See accompanying notes.		

Table of ContentsPapa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

(In thousands)	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Deficit	Equity
BALANCE, January 2, 2017	16,956	\$ 170	\$119,932	\$ (24,942)	\$95,160
Cumulative effect adjustment	—	—	—	118	118
Common stock issued	35	—	—	—	—
Common stock repurchases	(20)	—	(5)	—	(5)
Stock based compensation expense	—	—	687	—	687
Net loss	—	—	—	(1,818)	(1,818)
BALANCE, January 1, 2018	16,971	\$ 170	\$120,614	\$ (26,642)	\$94,142
Common stock issued	64	—	91	—	91
Common stock repurchases	(10)	—	(29)	—	(29)
Stock based compensation expense	—	—	495	—	495
Net income	—	—	—	4,324	4,324
BALANCE, December 31, 2018	17,025	\$ 170	\$121,171	\$ (22,318)	\$99,023

See accompanying notes.

Table of ContentsPapa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)	Fiscal Year Ended	
	December 31, 2018	January 1, 2018
Operating Activities		
Net Income (Loss)	\$4,324	\$(1,818)
Adjustments to reconcile to cash from operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	7,241	10,452
Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment	1,937	18,360
Deferred taxes	1,296	(18,689)
Share-based compensation	495	687
Other non-cash items	342	422
Change in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	238	1,541
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,985	4,879
Unearned franchise and development fees	(1,508)	(753)
Accounts payable	(1,208)	(548)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(4,902)	1,004
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,240	15,537
Investing Activities		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(576)	(3,987)
Acquisition of stores, less cash acquired	(134)	—
Proceeds from sale of stores	7,599	2,288
Payments received on notes receivable	97	51
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	6,986	(1,648)
Financing Activities		
Payments on long-term debt	(13,392)	(12,979)
Advances on revolver	5,900	14,900
Payments on revolver	(5,900)	(15,700)
Repurchases of common stock	(29)	(5)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	91	—
Debt issuance and modification costs	(304)	—
Net cash used in financing activities	(13,634)	(13,784)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	3,592	105
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year	2,174	2,069
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	\$5,766	\$2,174
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$4,970	\$4,835
Cash paid (received) during the period for income taxes	\$66	\$(185)
See accompanying notes.		

Table of Contents

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1	Description of Business	<u>54</u>
Note 2	Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	<u>54</u>
Note 3	Acquisitions	<u>62</u>
Note 4	Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets	<u>62</u>
Note 5	Property and Equipment	<u>62</u>
Note 6	Divestitures	<u>63</u>
Note 7	Goodwill	<u>63</u>
Note 8	Intangible Assets	<u>64</u>
Note 9	Financing Arrangements	<u>65</u>
Note 10	Fair Value Measurement	<u>66</u>
Note 11	Accrued and Other Liabilities	<u>67</u>
Note 12	Revenue	<u>67</u>
Note 13	Leases	<u>69</u>
Note 14	Income Taxes	<u>70</u>
Note 15	Share-based Compensation	<u>72</u>
Note 16	Earnings per Share (EPS)	<u>74</u>
Note 17	Commitments and Contingencies	<u>74</u>
Note 18	Retirement Plans	<u>76</u>
Note 19	Brand Marketing Fund	<u>76</u>
Note 20	Segment Information	<u>77</u>
Note 21	Subsequent Events	<u>78</u>

Table of Contents

Note 1 — Description of Business

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. ("Papa Murphy's" or the "Company"), together with its subsidiaries, is a franchisor and operator of a Take 'N' Bake pizza chain. The Company franchises the right to operate Take 'N' Bake pizza franchises and operates Take 'N' Bake pizza stores owned by the Company. As of December 31, 2018, the Company had 1,437 stores consisting of 1,400 domestic stores (1,294 franchised stores and 106 Company-owned stores) across 37 states, plus 37 franchised stores in Canada and the United Arab Emirates.

Substantially all revenues are derived from retail sales of pizza and other food and beverage products to the general public by Company-owned stores and the collection of franchise royalties and fees associated with franchise and development rights.

Note 2 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of consolidation and basis of presentation

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP") and include the accounts of Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc., its subsidiaries, and certain entities which the Company consolidates as variable interest entities ("VIEs"). The Company reports noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities as a component of equity separate from shareholders' equity. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The Company participates in various advertising cooperatives with its franchise owners established to collect and administer funds contributed for use in advertising and promotional programs in a specific market designed to increase sales and promote the Papa Murphy's brand. Contributions to the advertising cooperatives are required for both Company-owned and franchised stores and are generally based on a percentage of a store's sales. The Company maintains certain variable interests in these cooperatives. As the cooperatives are required to spend all funds collected on advertising and promotional programs, total equity at risk is not sufficient to permit the cooperatives to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support. Therefore, these cooperatives are VIEs. As a result of the Company's voting rights exercised through Company-owned stores, the Company consolidates certain of these cooperatives for which it is the primary beneficiary. Advertising cooperative assets, consisting primarily of cash and receivables, can only be used to settle the obligations of the respective cooperative. Advertising cooperative liabilities represent the corresponding obligation arising from the receipt of the contributions to purchase advertising and promotional programs for which creditors do not have recourse to the general credit of a primary beneficiary.

Therefore, the Company reports all assets and liabilities of the advertising cooperatives that it consolidates as prepaid expenses and other current assets and accrued expenses and other current liabilities, respectively, in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Because the contributions to these advertising and marketing cooperatives are specifically designated and segregated for advertising, the Company does not reflect franchise owner contributions to these cooperatives in its Consolidated Statements of Operations or Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Fiscal year

The Company uses a 52- or 53-week fiscal year, ending on the Monday nearest to December 31. Fiscal years 2018 and 2017 were 52-week years. All references to years relate to fiscal periods rather than calendar periods. References to fiscal 2018 and 2017 are references to fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018, respectively.

Use of estimates

Preparing financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant items that are subject to such estimates and assumptions include the estimation of the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities, including fixed assets, goodwill, and intangible assets and the related subsequent impairment analysis, fair value of stock based compensation, asset retirement obligations, lease guarantees, and deferred tax asset valuation allowance. Although management bases its estimates on historical experience and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable in the circumstances, actual results may differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalent balances with financial institutions that periodically exceed federally insured limits. The Company also holds limited funds, to the extent necessary, on deposit outside the United States. The Company makes such deposits with entities it believes are of high credit quality and has not incurred any losses related to these balances. Management believes its credit risk to be minimal.

All credit card, debit card, and electronic benefits transfer transactions that process in less than seven days are classified as cash and cash equivalents. The amounts due from banks for these transactions classified as cash and cash equivalents totaled \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million as of the end of fiscal 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable consist primarily of (a) amounts due from franchise owners for continuing fees that are collected weekly, (b) receivables for vendor rebates and (c) other miscellaneous receivables. Accounts receivable are stated net of an allowance for doubtful accounts determined by management through an evaluation of specific accounts, considering historical losses and existing economic conditions where relevant. Allowance for doubtful accounts amounted to \$70,000 and \$67,000 as of the end of fiscal 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Notes receivable

Notes receivable consist primarily of amounts due from sales of Company-owned stores. Management reviews the notes receivable on a periodic basis and evaluates the creditworthiness and financial condition of the counterparty to determine the appropriate allowance, if any. If the store owner does not repay the note, the Company has the contractual right to take back ownership of the store based on the underlying franchise agreement, which therefore minimizes the credit risk to the Company.

Inventories

Inventories consist principally of food products and packaging supplies for use in Company-owned stores. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost, determined under the first-in, first-out method or net realizable value.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Property and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the useful lives of the assets or the related lease term, including renewal options to the extent renewals are reasonably assured, not to exceed 10 years.

The estimated useful lives for property and equipment are:

Property and Equipment	Estimated Useful Life
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of lease term or estimated useful life, not to exceed 10 years
Restaurant equipment and fixtures	5 to 7 years
Office furniture and equipment	3 to 7 years
Software	3 to 5 years
Vehicles	5 years

Deferred financing costs

Costs incurred to obtain long-term financing are accounted for as a deferred charge and amortized to interest expense over the terms of the respective debt agreements using the effective interest method. Unamortized deferred charges are recorded as a reduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

Goodwill arises from business combinations and represents the excess of the purchase consideration transferred over the fair value of the net assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and liabilities assumed. The majority of the

Table of Contents

Company's goodwill was generated in May 2010 when affiliates of Lee Equity Partners, LLC ("Lee Equity") acquired all of the equity interests of PMI Holdings, Inc. ("Lee Equity Acquisition"), though the Company has also recognized goodwill upon the acquisition of stores from franchise owners. Goodwill is assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing.

The Company considers its trade name and trademarks to be indefinite-lived intangible assets. These assets were initially recognized in May 2010 upon the Lee Equity Acquisition. The Company's intangible assets that are not indefinite-lived include franchise relationships and reacquired franchise rights.

Goodwill and intangible assets determined to have an indefinite life are not amortized, but are tested for impairment annually, or more often if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate an impairment might exist.

Management evaluates indefinite-lived assets each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis and tested for impairment together with long-lived assets.

In performing its annual goodwill impairment test, the Company first assesses qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is not "more likely than not" that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the Company determines that it is more likely than not, it performs the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Under the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test, the fair value of the reporting unit is compared to its respective carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, then no impairment exists. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, further analysis is performed to determine the amount of the impairment. Both the qualitative and quantitative assessments are completed separately with respect to the goodwill of each of the Company's reporting units. The Company reviews goodwill for impairment annually, as of the first day of its fourth fiscal quarter, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. The Company can bypass the qualitative assessment and move directly to the quantitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and can elect to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period.

Most of the Company's goodwill is attributed to and tested for impairment at the Domestic Franchise segment, which is considered one reporting unit, as the segment does not have any components of a business for which discrete financial information is available and is regularly reviewed by segment management.

In performing its annual impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets, the Company first assesses qualitatively whether it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, thus necessitating a quantitative impairment test. The Company does not calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived asset and perform the quantitative test unless it determines that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. The Company reviews indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, as of the first day of its fourth fiscal quarter, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. The Company can bypass the qualitative assessment and move directly to the quantitative assessment for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and can elect to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period.

Impairment of long-lived assets

Long-lived assets are evaluated for recoverability of the carrying amount whenever events and circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. Some of the events or changes in circumstances that would trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to, significant under-performance relative to expected and/or historical results (such as two years of comparable store sales decrease or two years of negative operating cash flows), significant negative industry or economic trends, or knowledge of transactions involving the sale of similar property at amounts below the carrying value.

Assets are grouped for recognition and measurement of impairment at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets. Typically, long-lived assets relating to Company-owned stores are tested for impairment at the level of the retail market in which they are located and long-lived assets relating to franchised operations are tested for impairment at each segment level. If the carrying amount of an asset group exceeds the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset, then an impairment charge is recognized to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the asset group's fair value. In determining fair value, management considers current results, trends, future prospects, and other economic factors.

Assets held for sale

Assets are classified as held for sale when management with the appropriate authority commits to a plan to sell the assets, the assets are available for immediate sale, the assets are actively marketed at a reasonable price, the sale is probable within a year, and certain other criteria are met. Assets held for sale consist primarily of Company-owned stores where the Company has committed to a plan to sell specific stores. Assets designated as held for sale are held at the lower of the net

56

Table of Contents

book value or fair value less costs to sell. There is an additional amount of assets held for sale reported in Prepaid expenses and other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets (see Note 4 — Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets). Depreciation is not charged against property and equipment classified as assets held for sale.

Asset retirement obligations (“AROs”)

AROs are primarily associated with leasehold improvements which, at the end of a lease, the Company is obligated to remove in order to comply with certain lease agreements. At the inception of a lease with such conditions, the Company records an ARO and a corresponding capital asset in an amount equal to the estimated fair value of the obligation. Fair value is estimated based on a number of assumptions requiring management’s judgment, including store closing costs, cost inflation rates, and discount rates in effect at the time the lease is signed. Over time, the obligation is accreted to its projected future value and, upon satisfaction of the ARO conditions, any difference between the recorded liability and the actual retirement costs incurred is recognized as an operating gain or loss in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company had AROs outstanding of \$1.3 million and \$1.6 million as of the end of fiscal 2018 and 2017, respectively, as a component of other liabilities.

Revenue recognition

The Company owns and franchises Papa Murphy’s Take ‘N’ Bake pizza stores. Revenue is recognized upon the transfer of control of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration the Company expects to receive for those goods or services. The following are the principal activities from which the Company earns revenue:

Company-owned Stores Revenue

Company-owned stores revenue consists of retail sales of food through Company-owned stores located in the United States. Company-owned stores revenue is recognized when the food items are delivered to or carried out by customers. Customer payments are generally collected at the time of sale. Sales taxes collected from customers are remitted to the appropriate taxing authority and are not recognized as revenue.

Franchise Revenues

The franchise arrangement between the Company and each franchise owner of a Papa Murphy’s Take ‘N’ Bake pizza store is documented in the form of a franchise agreement and, in select cases, a development agreement. The franchise arrangement requires the Company as franchisor to perform various activities to support the Papa Murphy’s Take ‘N’ Bake pizza brand and does not involve the direct transfer of goods and services to the franchise owner as a customer. Activities performed by the Company are highly interrelated with the franchise license and are considered to represent a single performance obligation, which is the transfer of the franchise license. The nature of the Company’s promise in granting the franchise license is to provide the franchise owner with access to the brand’s intellectual property over the term of the franchise arrangement.

The transaction price in a standard franchise arrangement consists of (a) franchise/development fees; (b) continuing franchise fees (royalties); and (c) advertising fees. Since the Company considers the franchise license to be a single performance obligation, no allocation of the transaction price under a standard agreement is performed for revenue recognition purposes. However, if additional separate and distinct goods or services are included with a franchise arrangement and are deemed to be additional performance obligations, the total transaction price of the contract is allocated to each performance obligation based on the stand-alone selling price of each performance obligation.

Franchise revenues are recognized by the Company from the following different sources:

Royalty revenues. Royalty revenues, which include advertising fees from domestic franchise stores, are based on a percentage of sales and are recognized when the food items are delivered to or carried out by customers. Payments for domestic royalties and advertising fees are generally due and collected within seven days of the prior week end date. Payments for international royalties are due and collected within 30 days of month-end.

Franchise and development fees. Franchise and development fees are paid in advance of a store opening, typically when entering into a new franchise or development agreement. Fees allocated to the franchise license are recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of each respective franchise store agreement. Initial franchise agreement terms are typically ten years while successive agreement terms are typically five years. The Company has determined that these fees, which are paid in advance of when they are recognized as revenue, do not contain a significant financing component.

- E-commerce fees. E-commerce fees include point-of-sale (“POS”) support fees and transaction fees for purchases made through the Company’s e-commerce platform. POS support fees are due quarterly in advance and

Table of Contents

recognized as revenue over the respective quarter. Transaction fees are recognized when the food items purchased from a store are delivered to or carried out by customers and are due and collected within seven days of the prior week end date.

Vendor payments. Vendor payments are received from vendors that supply franchised and Company-owned stores with products and are typically based on the volume of product purchased by the stores. Revenues from the sale of products are recognized when product is shipped from a distribution center to a store. Payments are due and collected within 30 days after month-end.

- Marketing kits. The Company charges domestic stores for marketing materials shipped to stores one to three times per quarter. These products are sold at cost and the revenues from their sale are recognized when the product is shipped by the vendors producing the kits. Payments are due and collected within 30 days of shipment.

Gift cards. The Company operates a system-wide gift card program and recognizes revenue from gift cards when a gift card is redeemed in a Company-owned store. As gift cards are redeemed by a customer, an estimate of the value of gift card balances that will be unredeemed (“gift card breakage”) is recognized by the Company as a contribution to the brand marketing fund described under Advertising and marketing costs below. The Company determines the gift card breakage rate based upon Company-specific historical redemption patterns.

The timing of revenue recognition may differ from the timing of payment from customers. The Company records a receivable when revenue is recognized in advance of payment, and a contract liability (“unearned revenue”), when revenue is recognized subsequent to payment. Unearned revenue consists mainly of franchise and development fees paid in advance. A refund liability is recorded when it is known that an amount previously received will be refunded instead of recognized as revenue. The Company does not incur a significant amount of contract acquisition costs in conducting its franchising activities and has not capitalized any such costs.

Software revenue recognition

Periodically, the Company acquires point-of-sale (“POS”) software licenses in a lump sum purchase. The Company recognizes revenues for the resale of software licenses upon delivery to franchise owners to the extent collectability is probable. The Company had \$0.4 million of software licenses as of the end of fiscal 2018 and 2017 as a component of prepaid expenses and other current assets.

Advertising and marketing costs

Advertising costs, including contributions to local advertising cooperatives which are based on a percentage of sales, are expensed when incurred except for media development costs, which are expensed when the advertisement is first aired. These costs are included in store operating costs or selling, general, and administrative expenses based on the nature of the advertising and marketing costs incurred.

Franchised and Company-owned stores in the United States contribute to a brand marketing fund (“BMF”) that the Company manages on behalf of these stores. In addition, certain suppliers contribute to the BMF. The Company is committed under its franchise and other agreements to spend revenues of the BMF on marketing, creative efforts, media support, or related purposes specified in the agreements. Contributions to the BMF are recognized as revenue, while expenditures are included in selling, general, and administrative expenses. Expenditures of the BMF are primarily amounts paid to third-parties, but may also include personnel expenses and allocated costs. At each reporting date, to the extent contributions to the BMF exceed expenditures on a cumulative basis, the excess contributions are recorded in accrued expenses in the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheets. While no profit is recognized on amounts received by the BMF, when expenditures exceed contributions to the BMF on a cumulative basis, income from operations and net income may be affected due to the timing of when revenues are received and expenses are incurred (see Note 19 — Brand Marketing Fund).

Leases

The Company leases the property for its corporate headquarters, Company-owned stores, and certain office equipment. The Company is not a party to leases for franchise locations except for two locations that operate under a sublease and a few leases assigned to franchisees when stores were refranchised wherein it remains secondarily liable (see Lease guarantees below). The Company determines if an arrangement is a lease at inception. Operating leases are included in operating lease right-of-use (“ROU”) assets, current portion of operating lease liabilities, and operating

lease liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company currently has no finance leases.

Table of Contents

ROU assets and operating lease liabilities are recognized based on the present value of lease payments over the lease term at commencement date. Because most of the Company's leases do not provide an implicit rate of return, the Company uses its incremental borrowing rate based on the information available at commencement date in determining the present value of lease payments. Operating lease ROU assets also exclude lease incentives received. The Company has lease agreements with lease and non-lease components, which are accounted for separately. For certain equipment leases, such as copiers, the Company accounts for the lease and non-lease components as a single lease component.

Lease expense for lease payments is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Lease terms for Company-owned stores are generally five years with one or more five-year renewal options and generally require the Company to pay a proportionate share of real estate taxes, insurance, common area, and other operating costs in addition to a base or fixed rent. The Company's leases have remaining lease terms of 0.6 to 9.9 years. For purposes of calculating operating lease liabilities, lease terms may be deemed to include options to extend or terminate the lease when it is reasonably certain that the Company will exercise that option. Economic performance of a store is the primary factor used to estimate whether an option to extend a lease term will be exercised or not.

Lease guarantees

On occasion, the Company becomes a guarantor for certain operating leases when it sells a Company-owned store or a store under construction by the Company. The guarantee obligation is initially measured as the fair value of the guarantee, which is recorded as a liability. The Company recognizes its release from risk as a guarantor as the lease obligation is settled over the remaining lease term. In addition, throughout the guarantee period, the Company records a reserve when any loss becomes probable in connection with such lease guarantee. As of the end of fiscal 2018 and 2017, the Company's liability in connection with the unamortized value of these lease guarantees was \$165,000 and \$69,000, respectively, and no additional liability has been recorded in connection with a probable loss from these guarantees.

Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability approach. This requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statement and the tax basis of assets and liabilities at the applicable tax rates. A valuation allowance is recorded against deferred tax assets if, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The effect of uncertain tax positions would be recorded in the consolidated financial statements only after determining a more likely than not probability that the uncertain tax positions would withstand an examination by tax authorities based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit to be recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. As facts and circumstances change, management reassesses these probabilities and would record any changes in the financial statements as appropriate.

As of the end of each of fiscal years 2018 and 2017, the Company has recorded reserves for uncertain tax positions totaling \$77,000.

Share-based compensation

The Company awards equity compensation under the Company's 2010 Amended Management Incentive Plan ("2010 Plan") and 2014 Management Incentive Plan ("2014 Plan"), consisting of stock option and restricted stock awards. Restricted stock and stock option awards typically vest based on the achievement of a time-vesting or a market condition. Compensation expense relating to restricted stock with time-vesting conditions is recognized for the portion of the grant date fair value that exceeds any purchase price paid for the stock. This expense is recognized over the requisite service period, typically the vesting period, utilizing the straight-line attribution method.

The fair value of time-vesting stock option awards is estimated on the grant date using a Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model. Compensation expense relating to stock option awards is recognized for the grant date fair value. The fair value of stock options that contain a market condition is estimated on the grant date using a Monte Carlo valuation method, which utilizes multiple input variables to determine the probability of the Company achieving the market condition and the fair value of the award. The risk-free interest rate is based on the estimated

effective life and is estimated based on U.S. Treasury Yield Curve rates. Since the Company has limited relevant option exercise experience, the expected term is based on a simplified method calculation and the expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the share price of a group of peer companies. Compensation expense relating to stock option awards is recognized for the grant date fair value. This expense is recognized over the requisite service period, typically the vesting period, utilizing the straight-line attribution method.

Table of Contents

Business Combinations

The Company accounts for business combinations under the acquisition method of accounting, recording any assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values. Any excess of the fair value of purchase consideration over the fair value of the assets acquired less liabilities assumed is recorded as goodwill. The Company uses management estimates based on historically similar transactions to assist in establishing the acquisition date fair values of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and contingent consideration granted, if any. These estimates and valuations require the Company to make significant assumptions, including projections of future events and operating performance.

Internal use software

Expenditures for major software purchases and software developed for internal use are capitalized and amortized over the useful life of the software (three to five years) on a straight-line basis. The Company's policy provides for the capitalization of external direct costs of materials and services associated with developing or obtaining internal-use computer software. Costs associated with preliminary project stage activities, training, maintenance, and all other post-implementation stage activities are expensed as incurred.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

The Company adopted ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09") as of January 2, 2018. The Company adopted the new standard using the full retrospective method and elected applicable practical expedients on adoption. Accordingly, previously reported financial information has been restated to reflect the application of the new standard to all comparative periods presented.

Adoption of ASU 2014-09 had a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The most significant impacts relate to the: (i) accounting for franchise and development fees, and (ii) accounting for the Company's advertising fund ("BMF") and Convention Fund (with the BMF, the "Brand Funds"). Specifically, under the new standard the Company recognizes franchise fees ratably over the life of the contract rather than at the time the store is opened or a successive contract commences. Revenue related to the Company's franchise royalties, which are based on a percentage of franchise sales, and revenue from Company-owned stores remain substantially unchanged. The Company has determined that ASU 2014-09 requires a gross presentation on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations for revenues and related expenses of the Brand Funds. These funds exist solely for the purpose of promoting the Papa Murphy's brand in the U.S. While this change materially affects the gross amount of reported revenues and expenses, the effect generally is an offsetting increase to both revenues and expenses with no net effect on reported Operating Income (Loss) and Net Income (Loss).

Refer to Impacts to Reported Results below for more detailed effects of adoption on the Company's financial statements and refer to Note 12 — Revenue for more information on its accounting for revenue.

Leases

The Company early adopted ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02") as of January 2, 2018, concurrent with the adoption of the new revenue standard. The Company adopted this standard using the modified retrospective approach and elected the available practical expedients on adoption. Accordingly, previously reported financial information has been restated to reflect the application of the new standard to all comparative periods presented.

Adoption of the new standard has had a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The most significant impacts related to the (i) recognition of ROU assets and lease liabilities for operating leases, and (ii) changes in occupancy costs and impairment losses related to prior year store closures and impairments. ROU assets represent the Company's right to use an underlying asset for the lease term and lease liabilities represent the Company's obligation to make lease payments arising from the lease. A loss is recognized when the ROU asset is impaired in connection with the impairment of a store's assets due to economic or other factors.

Refer to Impacts to Reported Results below for more detailed effects of adoption on the Company's financial statements and refer to Note 13 — Leases for more information on its accounting for leases.

Other standards adopted

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 320) (“ASU 2016-15”), which clarifies the presentation of certain cash receipts and cash payments in the statement of cash flows. The Company adopted the

Table of Contents

standard on January 2, 2018. Adoption of the new standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other: Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment ("ASU 2017-04"). The new standard simplifies how an entity measures goodwill impairment by removing the second step of the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. An entity will no longer perform a hypothetical purchase price allocation to measure goodwill impairment. Instead, impairment will be measured at the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of a reporting unit; however, the loss recognized should not exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. An entity still has the option to perform a qualitative assessment of whether it is more-likely-than-not that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying amount. ASU 2017-04 requires prospective adoption and is effective for the annual or any interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The Company is still evaluating the impact of ASU 2017-04 on its financial position and results of operations.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-15 Intangibles-Goodwill and Other-Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40): Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement That Is a Service Contract ("ASU 2018-15") which aligns the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs in cloud computing arrangements with the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred to develop or obtain internal-use software. ASU 2018-15 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. Companies can choose to adopt the new guidance prospectively or retrospectively. The Company is still evaluating the impact of ASU 2018-15 on its financial position and results of operations.

Impacts to Reported Results

Adoption of the standards related to revenue recognition and leases affected the Company's previously reported results as follows:

(in thousands, except earnings per share)	Fiscal 2017 Income Statement			
	As Reported	New Revenue Standard Adjustment	New Lease Standard Adjustment	As Adjusted
Total revenues ⁽¹⁾	\$ 118,661	\$ 29,847	\$ —	\$ 148,508
Store operating costs	72,927	(1,570)	(1,181)	70,176
Selling, general, and administrative ⁽¹⁾	33,869	30,737	(42)	64,565
Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment	15,680	—	2,680	18,360
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(19,543)	1,416	(383)	(18,509)
Net loss	(8)	(736)	(1,074)	(1,818)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	0.00	(0.04)	(0.07)	(0.11)

(1) Recognition of advertising revenue and expense on a gross basis instead of a net basis by the Brand Funds comprised \$29.2 million of the revenue adjustment and \$30.7 million of the expense adjustment under the revenue standard. The revenue adjustment due to the change in method of recognizing franchise and development fees was \$0.7 million.

Balance Sheet as of January 1, 2018				
(in thousands)	As Reported	New Revenue Standard Adjustment	New Lease Standard Adjustment	As Adjusted
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 2,671	\$ —	\$ (390)	\$ 2,281
Operating lease right of use assets	—	—	16,331	16,331
Unearned franchise and development fees	1,702	9,899	—	11,601
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	13,139	(507)	(250)	12,382
Lease liabilities	—	—	19,678	19,678

Edgar Filing: Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Deferred tax liability, net	24,457	(2,319)	(313)	21,825
Other long-term liabilities	3,922	—	(2,218)	1,704
Accumulated deficit	(18,613)	(7,073)	(956)	(26,642)

61

Table of Contents

Adoption of the standard related to revenue recognition did not materially affect cash from or used in operating, financing, or investing cash flows on the Company's consolidated cash flows statements.

Note 3 — Acquisitions

Acquisitions in 2018

In November 2018, Papa Murphy's Company Stores, Inc. ("PMCSI"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, acquired certain assets used in the operation of two Papa Murphy's stores in the Dallas, Texas area from a franchise owner and refranchised the assets to another franchisee the same day (see Note 6 — Divestitures for related information). The total consideration paid was \$0.1 million and the value of assets acquired was \$0.1 million.

Note 4 — Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Prepaid media production costs	\$724	\$376
Prepaid software and support	469	223
Prepaid occupancy related costs	136	159
Prepaid insurance	160	377
Taxes receivable	61	182
POS software licenses for resale	368	364
Assets held for sale	257	432
Advertising cooperative assets, restricted	(24)	4
Other	22	164
Total prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$2,173	\$2,281

Prepaid media development costs represent costs incurred for advertisements that have not aired.

Assets held for sale include assets from closed stores that the Company is actively marketing to new or existing franchisees.

Note 5 — Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Leasehold improvements	\$4,184	\$7,475
Restaurant equipment and fixtures	6,891	12,515
Office furniture and equipment	3,017	2,978
Software	8,923	7,917
Vehicles	—	6
Construction in progress	436	1,059
	23,451	31,950
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(18,585)	(21,886)
Property and equipment, net	\$4,866	\$10,064

Depreciation expense amounted to \$2.9 million and \$5.8 million during fiscal 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Table of Contents

During fiscal 2018, the Company transferred approximately \$3.0 million from fixed assets to assets held for sale as part of the Company's ongoing divestiture activity. See Note 6 — Divestitures.

As part of the Company's property and equipment impairment review, the Company recorded an impairment of \$0.1 million and \$4.4 million to its Company-owned store assets during fiscal 2018 and 2017, respectively. The Company recognized impairment losses of \$0.2 million and \$2.3 million in fiscal 2018 and 2017, respectively, related to the closure of certain underperforming Company-owned stores.

Note 6 — Divestitures

Divestitures in 2018

On April 23, 2018, the Company completed the sale and refranchise of two Company-owned stores in Arkansas. On May 21, 2018 and June 25, 2018, respectively, the Company completed the sale and refranchise of ten Company-owned stores in the Denver, Colorado area and ten Company-owned stores in the Colorado Springs, Colorado area. On October 1, 2018 and November 6, 2018 the Company completed the sale and refranchise of seven and two Company-owned stores, respectively, in the Dallas, Texas area. The aggregate sale price for the 31 stores was \$7.8 million, paid in cash and a long-term receivable of \$0.5 million. The Company recognized a pre-tax loss from these dispositions of \$1.2 million. The Company recorded a contingent liability for marketing expenditures of \$1.5 million, of which \$0.4 million is recorded as accrued expenses and other current liabilities and \$1.1 million is recorded as other long-term liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. In connection with the sale, the buyers paid \$450,000 in franchise fees. These dispositions did not meet the criteria for accounting as a discontinued operation.

Divestitures in 2017

On May 1, 2017, the Company completed the sale and refranchise of six Company-owned stores in Colorado. On May 8, 2017, the Company completed the sale and refranchise of one Company-owned store in Colorado in an unrelated transaction. The aggregate sale price for the seven stores was \$2.2 million, paid in cash, and the Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$0.1 million. In connection with the sale, the buyers paid \$0.3 million in franchise fees. The assets sold were classified as assets held for sale on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. These dispositions did not meet the criteria for accounting as a discontinued operation.

Note 7 — Goodwill

The following summarizes changes to the Company's goodwill by reportable segment:

(in thousands)	Company Stores	Franchise	Total
Balance at January 2, 2017	\$26,924	\$ 81,546	\$108,470
Disposition	(719)	—	(719)
Balance at January 1, 2018	26,205	81,546	107,751
Disposition	(5,988)	—	(5,988)
Balance at December 31, 2018	\$20,217	\$ 81,546	\$101,763

There is no goodwill associated with the Brand Funds segment. Based on the results of the Company's impairment testing, the Company did not recognize any impairment of goodwill during fiscal 2018 or 2017. The Company recorded Goodwill disposals in fiscal 2018 and 2017 from the sale of Company-owned stores to franchise owners (see Note 6 — Divestitures).

Table of Contents

Note 8 — Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following:

(in thousands)	2018		Net	Weighted Average Amortization Period
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization		
Intangible assets subject to amortization:				
Franchise relationships	\$56,000	\$ (30,355)	\$25,645	16.0
Reacquired franchise rights	4,660	(2,979)	1,681	7.8
Net intangible assets subject to amortization	\$60,660	\$ (33,334)	\$27,326	15.4
Intangible assets not subject to amortization				
Trade name and trademarks			\$87,002	
(in thousands)	2017		Net	Weighted Average Amortization Period
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization		
Intangible assets subject to amortization:				
Franchise relationships	\$56,000	\$ (26,855)	\$29,145	16.0
Reacquired franchise rights	5,887	(3,377)	2,510	6.8
Net intangible assets subject to amortization	\$61,887	\$ (30,232)	\$31,655	15.1
Intangible assets not subject to amortization				
Trade name and trademarks			\$87,002	

Reacquired franchise rights were recorded as part of the Company's acquisitions of franchised stores. Trade name and trademarks are intangible assets determined to have indefinite lives and are not subject to amortization. Management has concluded that none of its reporting units, with a material amount of intangible assets not subject to amortization, are at risk for failing step one of the quantitative assessment for impairment of intangible assets.

Amortization expense amounted to \$4.3 million and \$4.7 million for fiscal 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense of amortizable intangible assets as of the end of fiscal 2018 is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal year	2019	\$4,056
	2020	3,943
	2021	3,876
	2022	3,803
	2023	3,503
	Thereafter	8,145
		\$27,326

Table of Contents

Note 9 — Financing Arrangements

Long-term debt consists of the following:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Term loan under credit facility	\$79,508	\$92,900
Notes payable	3,000	3,000
Total principal amount of long-term debt	82,508	95,900
Less unamortized debt issuance costs	(464)	(506)
Total long-term debt	82,044	95,394
Less current portion	(11,400)	(8,400)
Total long-term debt, net of current portion	\$70,644	\$86,994

Maturities on long-term debt consist of the following:

(in thousands)	Senior Secured Credit Facility	Notes Payable	Total
Fiscal Years 2019	\$8,400	\$ 3,000	\$11,400
2020	71,108	—	71,108
	\$79,508	\$ 3,000	\$82,508

The weighted average interest rate across all senior secured credit facilities for fiscal 2018 and 2017 was 6.01% and 4.34%, respectively.

Senior secured credit facility

On August 28, 2014, PMI Holdings, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a \$132.0 million senior secured credit facility (the “Credit Facility”) consisting of a \$112.0 million term loan and a \$20.0 million revolving credit facility, which includes a \$2.5 million letter of credit sub-facility and a \$1.0 million swing-line loan sub-facility. Closing and structuring fees of \$1.6 million were incurred as a result of this transaction which will be amortized over the duration of the loan. The term loan and any loans made under the revolving credit facility were originally scheduled to mature in August 2019.

On November 6, 2018, PMI Holdings, Inc. entered into a second amendment to its Credit Facility. The amendment, among other things, extends the term of the Credit Facility by twelve months to August 2020 and reduces the revolving credit facility from \$20.0 million to \$7.5 million. In addition, the amendment increases the maximum leverage ratio, requires continuation of quarterly \$2.1 million installment payments through the new maturity date, and increases the applicable interest rate margins. Closing and structuring fees of \$0.3 million were incurred as a result of this transaction which will be amortized over the remaining duration of the loan.

Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin based on the Company’s consolidated leverage ratio, plus, at the Company’s option, either (a) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (i) the “Prime Rate” publicly quoted by The Wall Street Journal, (ii) the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points, or (iii) the LIBOR rate with a one-month interest period plus 100 basis points, or (b) a LIBOR rate determined for the specified interest period. The applicable margin for borrowings under the Credit Facility, as amended by the second amendment to the credit facility, ranges from 200 to 300 basis points for base rate borrowings and 300 to 400 basis points for LIBOR rate borrowings. The Credit Facility includes customary fees for loan facilities of this type, including a commitment fee on the revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2018, all \$79.5 million of the term loan was subject to the LIBOR rate option at 6.52%.

The obligations under the Credit Facility are guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company (the “subsidiary guarantors”) and are secured by substantially all assets of the Company and the subsidiary guarantors. The Credit Facility also contains customary affirmative and negative covenants that are typical for loan facilities of this type, including covenants that, among other things, restrict the Company’s ability and the ability of its subsidiaries to incur indebtedness, issue certain types of equity, incur liens, enter into fundamental changes, including mergers and consolidations, sell assets, make dividends, distributions and investments, and prepay subordinated indebtedness, subject to customary exceptions.

Table of Contents

The Credit Facility also includes certain financial covenants with respect to a maximum consolidated leverage ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio, and a fixed charge coverage ratio.

With a maturity date of over one year from December 31, 2018, balances outstanding under the Credit Facility are classified as non-current on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, except for mandatory, minimum term loan amortization payments of \$2.1 million due on the last day of each fiscal quarter.

Notes payable

PMCSI has a note payable for \$3.0 million which bears interest at 5% and matures in January 2019. This note is subordinated to the senior secured credit facility.

Deferred financing costs and prepayment penalties

In conjunction with the Credit Facility, the Company evaluated the refinancing of its prior credit facility and determined that the borrowing was extinguished and not modified. Accordingly, unamortized deferred financing costs of \$2.3 million from the prior credit facility and a prepayment penalty of \$1.1 million were expensed as a Loss on early retirement of debt in 2014. The Company incurred \$1.6 million in financing costs, which was capitalized and is being amortized using an effective interest rate method. The Company also incurred \$0.3 million in financing costs associated with the second amendment to the Credit Facility, which was also capitalized and is being amortized using an effective interest rate method.

Deferred financing costs amortized to interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations amounted to \$0.3 million for fiscal years 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Amortization of deferred financing costs in the future is expected to be as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years 2019 \$287

2020 177

\$464

Note 10 — Fair Value Measurement

The Company determines the fair value of assets and liabilities based on the price that would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability to a market participant. GAAP defines a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the assumptions used to measure fair value. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

(in thousands)	2018		2017		Fair Value Measurements
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	
Financial assets					
Notes receivable ⁽¹⁾	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 97	\$ 88	Level 3
Other receivables ⁽¹⁾	505	439	—	—	Level 3

⁽¹⁾ The fair value of notes receivable and other receivables was estimated primarily using a discounted cash flow method based on a discount rate, reflecting the applicable credit spread.

Table of Contents

Financial instruments not included in the table above consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and long-term debt. The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximates carrying value because of the short-term nature of the accounts. The fair value of long-term debt approximates carrying value because the borrowings are made with variable market rates and negotiated terms and conditions that are consistent with current market rates.

Note 11 — Accrued and Other Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Accrued compensation and related costs	\$4,100	\$3,902
Accrued legal settlement costs	2,363	3,940
Gift cards and certificates payable	2,700	2,676
Accrued interest and non-income taxes payable	318	461
Convention fund balance	1,175	841
Advertising cooperative liabilities	25	60
Lease liabilities held for sale	763	—
Other	945	502

Total accrued expenses and other current liabilities \$12,389 \$12,382

Accrued legal settlement costs decreased since January 1, 2018 due to \$1.8 million in payments to partially settle the TCPA class action lawsuit and \$3.3 million in payments to settle franchise litigation claims that reduced the \$3.7 million accrual for legal settlement costs related to the franchise litigation recorded in the current year. Both lawsuits are discussed in more detail in Note 17 — Commitments and Contingencies. Included in Accounts receivable, net is an insurance receivable equal to 75% of the anticipated settlement of the franchise owner lawsuit.

Note 12 — Revenue

Revenue by Category

The following series of tables present revenue disaggregated by several categories for the periods reported.

Revenues by contract type were as follows:

	2018			
(in thousands)	Franchise	Company Stores	Brand Funds	Total
Franchise royalties	\$36,234	\$—	\$14,677	\$50,911
Franchise fees	2,891	—	—	2,891
Vendor payments	—	—	5,008	5,008
E-commerce fees	2,384	—	—	2,384
Other franchise and brand	99	—	3,360	3,459
Company-owned stores	—	61,776	—	61,776
Total revenues	41,608	61,776	23,045	126,429
Intersegment revenues	3,256	—	1,591	4,847
Reconciliation to business segment revenues	\$44,864	\$61,776	\$24,636	\$131,276

Table of Contents

	2017			
(in thousands)	Franchise	Company Stores	Brand Funds	Total
Franchise royalties	\$37,552	\$—	\$22,189	\$59,741
Franchise fees	2,907	—	—	2,907
Vendor payments	—	—	4,524	4,524
E-commerce fees	1,936	—	—	1,936
Other franchise and brand	85	—	2,447	2,532
Company-owned stores	—	76,868	—	76,868
Total revenues	42,480	76,868	29,160	148,508
Intersegment revenues	227	—	1,707	1,934
Reconciliation to business segment revenues	\$42,707	\$76,868	\$30,867	\$150,442

Revenues by geographic location were as follows:

	2018			
(in thousands)	Franchise	Company Stores	Brand Funds	Total
United States	\$41,280	\$61,776	\$23,045	\$126,101
International	328	—	—	328
Total revenues	\$41,608	\$61,776	\$23,045	\$126,429

	2017			
(in thousands)	Franchise	Company Stores	Brand Funds	Total
United States	\$42,084	\$76,868	\$29,160	\$148,112
International	396	—	—	396
Total revenues	\$42,480	\$76,868	\$29,160	\$148,508

Contract Balances

Changes in the balances of contract liabilities (unearned revenue) during the periods reported were as follows:

(in thousands)	Contract Liabilities
Balance at January 1, 2018	\$11,151
Revenue recognized that was included in the balance at the beginning of the period	(2,718)
Cash received, net of amounts recognized as revenue during the period	1,775
Contract refunds	(330)
Balance at December 31, 2018	\$9,878

The Company had a refund liability of \$0.5 million as of December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018, respectively.

Receivables from contracts with customers included in Accounts receivable, net were \$3.1 million and \$3.8 million as of December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018, respectively.

The following table includes estimated franchise fee revenue expected to be recognized in the future related to performance obligations that were unsatisfied (or partially unsatisfied) as of December 31, 2018 (in thousands):

Fiscal year 2019	\$1,623
2020	1,488
2021	1,331
2022	1,170
2023	937
Thereafter	3,329
Total	\$9,878

Table of Contents

Note 13 — Leases

The components of lease expense for the periods reported are as follows:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Operating lease cost	\$3,789	\$5,068
Short-term lease cost	45	22
Variable lease cost	16	34
Sublease income	(60)	(66)
Total lease cost	\$3,790	\$5,058

Supplemental cash flow information related to leases for the periods reported is as follows:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Cash paid for amounts included in the measurement of lease liabilities:		
Operating cash flows from operating leases	\$4,410	\$4,871
Right-of-use assets obtained in exchange for new operating lease liabilities	926	213
Weighted-average remaining lease term of operating leases	5.3 years	5.9 years
Weighted-average discount rate of operating leases	6.0 %	6.0 %

Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable leases as of December 31, 2018 are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal year 2019	\$4,104
2020	3,509
2021	2,580
2022	1,913
2023	1,490
Thereafter	2,655
Total future minimum lease payments	16,251
Less imputed interest	(2,804)
Less lease liabilities held for sale ⁽¹⁾	(2,131)
Total Lease Liabilities	\$11,316

Lease liabilities held for sale includes \$0.8 million reported in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities (see (1)Note 11 — Accrued and Other Liabilities) and \$1.4 million reported in Other long-term liabilities in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As of December 31, 2018, the Company had no operating leases that had not yet commenced.

Lease guarantees

The Company is the guarantor for operating leases of 40 franchised stores that have terms expiring on various dates from February 2019 to April 2025. The obligations from these leases will generally continue to decrease over time as the leases expire. The applicable franchise owners continue to have primary liability for these operating leases. During 2018, the Company was required to perform under one of these guarantees when a franchisee declared bankruptcy and defaulted on its obligations. As a result, the Company recorded a loss contingency of \$170,000 for 2018. As of December 31, 2018, the Company does not believe it probable that it would be required to perform under any of the remaining guarantees.

Table of Contents

As of the end of fiscal 2018, future commitments under these leases are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years 2019	\$1,133
2020	900
2021	486
2022	240
2023	181
Thereafter	56
	\$2,996

Note 14 — Income Taxes

In December 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “2017 Tax Act”) was enacted. The 2017 Tax Act includes a number of changes to existing U.S. tax laws that affect the Company, most notably a reduction of the top U.S. corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017. The 2017 Tax Act also provides for the acceleration of depreciation for certain assets placed into service after September 27, 2017 as well as prospective changes beginning in 2018, including additional limitations on the deductibility executive compensation and interest.

The Company recognized the income tax effects of the 2017 Tax Act in its 2017 financial statements in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118, which provides SEC staff guidance for the application of ASC Topic 740 Income Taxes in the reporting period in which the 2017 Tax Act was signed into law.

As of December 31, 2018 the Company has completed its accounting for all enactment-date tax effects of the 2017 Tax Act and current period adjustments related to these items were immaterial.

The components of the provision for (benefit from) income taxes are as follows:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Current tax provision		
Federal	\$10	\$9
State	177	171
	187	180
Deferred tax provision (benefit)		
Federal	1,156	(17,790)
State	140	(899)
	1,296	(18,689)
Total provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$1,483	\$(18,509)

Table of Contents

The components of the non-current deferred tax liability are as follows:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Deferred income tax assets:		
Unearned franchise and development fees	\$1,816	\$2,565
Convention and Advertising funds balance	255	208
Compensation accruals	652	449
Gift card accruals	134	348
Asset retirement obligation	52	120
Lease obligations	700	596
Share-based compensation	838	769
Net operating loss	158	1,013
Other	700	213
Total deferred tax assets	5,305	6,281
Valuation allowance	(134)	(98)
Total deferred tax assets after valuation allowance	5,171	6,183
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Fixed asset, goodwill, and intangible asset basis differences	(26,283)	(27,273)
Other	(2,009)	(735)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(28,292)	(28,008)
Net deferred tax liability	\$(23,121)	\$(21,825)

As of the end of fiscal 2018, the Company had state net operating loss carry forwards of \$3.0 million. As of the end of fiscal 2017, the Company had federal and state net operating loss carry forwards of \$3.8 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The state net operating loss carry forwards begin to expire in 2020. As of the end of fiscal 2018, the Company has federal and state credit carryovers of \$0.5 million that are a combination of credits that begin to expire in 2035.

Tax benefits for federal and state net operating loss carry forwards are recorded as an asset to the extent that management assesses the utilization of such assets to be “more likely than not”; otherwise, a valuation allowance is required to be recorded. The Company has looked to future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences in determining that its federal and a majority of its state net operating loss carry forwards are more likely than not to be utilized prior to their expiration dates. Consequently, no valuation allowance has been recorded for these deferred tax assets. Several separate filing states where the Company operates have facts that indicate it is more likely that the Company will not utilize the separate filing state carryovers prior to their expiration dates. As of the end of fiscal 2018, the Company has recorded a \$134,000 valuation allowance for these particular carryovers. The Company will continue to evaluate the need for a valuation allowance in the future. Changes in estimated future taxable income and other underlying factors may lead to adjustments to the valuation allowance in the future.

As of the end of fiscal 2018, the Company had \$77,000 of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability for unrecognized tax benefits excluding interest and penalties is as follows (in thousands):

Balance as of the end of fiscal 2017	\$77
Additions for tax positions of prior years	—
Balance as of the end of fiscal 2018	\$77

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of income tax at the United States federal statutory tax rate (using a statutory tax rate of 21% and 34%) to income tax expense for the periods reported is as follows:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Federal income tax provision based on statutory rate	\$1,219	\$(6,899)
State and local income tax effect	250	(481)
Impact of change in tax rates	—	(11,300)
Non-deductible expenses	62	203
Tax credits and other	(48)	(32)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$1,483	\$(18,509)

Note 15 — Share-based Compensation

In May 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 2010 Plan. In May 2014, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the 2014 Plan (together with the 2010 Plan, the "Incentive Plans"). The Incentive Plans reserve 2,116,747 common shares for equity incentive awards consisting of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock awards, and unrestricted stock awards. Equity incentive awards may be issued from either the 2010 Plan or the 2014 Plan.

Restricted common shares

Under the Incentive Plans, restricted common stock awards are subject to either time-vesting or market conditions. Time-vesting restricted common stock awards issued under the 2010 Plan have generally vested 20% on each of the five anniversaries of the sale date. Time-vesting restricted common stock awards granted under the 2014 Plan have generally vested 100% on either the one-year or three-year anniversary of the grant date. Prior to fiscal 2017, market condition restricted common stock awards were scheduled to vest when the volume-weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock equals or exceeds \$22.00 per share for 90 consecutive trading days. In fiscal 2017, the vesting condition for market condition restricted common stock was modified to vest when the volume-weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock equals or exceeds \$14.50 per share for 90 consecutive trading days. To the extent the fair value of an award on the date of the sale, grant, or modification exceeds the sale price, if any, the excess is recognized as compensation expense as a component of selling, general, and administrative expenses. Compensation expense for time-vesting restricted common stock awards is recognized over the requisite service period on a straight-line basis.

The Company has a right to repurchase shares sold to employees under the 2010 Plan in the case of a qualifying sale, bankruptcy event, or a termination of employment or service of the employee who purchased shares, including a voluntary termination. Unvested shares as of the date of these events are repurchased at the original sale price. The Company generally does not repurchase vested shares from terminated employees.

Information with respect to restricted stock awards is as follows:

	Number of Shares of Restricted Common Stock		Weighted Average Award Date Fair Value per Share
	Time Vesting	Market Condition	
Unvested, January 1, 2018	34,898	40,354	\$ 3.44
Granted	41,000	—	5.33
Vested	(34,898)	—	4.82
Forfeited/Repurchased	—	(10,183)	3.80
Unvested, December 31, 2018	41,000	30,171	\$ 3.79

Table of Contents

Fair value information for restricted stock awards during the periods reported is as follows:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2018	2017
Weighted average grant date fair value per share	\$5.33	\$4.65
Total fair value of shares issued	\$218	\$164
Total fair value of shares vested	\$168	\$697

Stock options

Under the Incentive Plans, stock options are subject to either time-vesting or market conditions. Time-vesting stock options generally vest 25% on each of the four anniversaries of the grant date. Prior to fiscal 2017, market condition stock options were scheduled to vest when the volume-weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock equals or exceeds \$22.00 per share for 90 consecutive trading days. In fiscal 2017, the vesting condition for market condition stock options was modified to vest when the volume-weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock equals or exceeds \$14.50 per share for 90 consecutive trading days. The grant date fair value and any additional fair value from modification of an award are recognized as compensation expense as a component of selling, general, and administrative expenses. The compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period, typically the vesting period, on a straight line basis.

Information with respect to stock option activity is as follows:

	Number of Shares Subject to Stock Options		Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
	Time Vesting	Market Condition			
Outstanding, January 1, 2018	949,115	158,127	\$ 7.60		
Granted	210,700	—	5.12		
Exercised	(22,750)	—	3.99		
Forfeited	(333,721)	(46,837)	7.34		
Outstanding, December 31, 2018	803,344	111,290	\$ 7.23	7.8 years	\$ 121
Exercisable, December 31, 2018	395,928	—	\$ 9.11	6.7 years	\$ 51

Fair value information for stock options granted and vested and the intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the periods reported are as follows:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2018	2017
Weighted average grant date fair value per share	\$1.76	\$1.66
Total fair value of awards granted	\$370	\$1,179
Total fair value of awards vested	\$435	\$422
Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised	\$32	\$—

Compensation cost and valuation

Total compensation costs recognized in connection with the Incentive Plans for fiscal 2018 and 2017 amounted to \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Additionally, the associated income tax benefits for each of fiscal 2018 and 2017 amounted to \$0.1 million.

As of the end of fiscal 2018, the total unrecognized share-based compensation expense was \$1.0 million, with \$0.7 million associated with time-vesting awards and \$0.2 million associated with market condition awards. The remaining weighted average period for unrecognized share-based compensation expense was 2.2 years as of the end of fiscal 2018.

The fair value of the stock option awards granted during the periods reported was estimated with the following weighted-average assumptions.

Table of Contents

	2018	2017
Risk free rate	2.40%	2.00%
Expected volatility	24.4%	25.8%
Expected term	5.1 years	5.5 years
Expected dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%

Note 16 — Earnings per Share (EPS)

The number of shares and earnings per share (“EPS”) data for all periods presented are based on the historical weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding.

Basic EPS is calculated by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted EPS is calculated using income available to common stockholders divided by diluted weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding during each period, which includes unvested restricted common stock and outstanding stock options. Diluted EPS considers the effect of potentially dilutive securities except in periods in which there is a loss because the inclusion of the potential common shares would have an anti-dilutive effect.

The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted EPS:

(in thousands, except per share data)	2018	2017
Earnings:		
Net income (loss)	\$4,324	\$(1,818)
Preferred dividends	—	—
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$4,324	\$(1,818)
Shares:		
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	16,930	16,870
Dilutive effect of restricted equity awards ⁽¹⁾	71	—
Diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding	17,001	16,870
Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$0.26	\$(0.11)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$0.25	\$(0.11)

⁽¹⁾ An aggregated total of 661,000 and 901,000 potential common shares have been excluded from the diluted EPS calculation for 2018 and 2017, respectively, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Note 17 — Commitments and Contingencies

Legal proceedings

The Company is from time to time involved in litigation, certain other claims and arbitration matters arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company accrues a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required in both the determination of the probability of a loss and the determination as to whether a loss is reasonably estimable. These accruals are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the effects of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and technical experts and other information and events pertaining to a particular matter. To the extent there is a reasonable possibility (within the meaning of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 450) that losses could exceed amounts already accrued, if any, and the additional loss or range of loss is able to be estimated, the Company discloses the additional loss or range of loss.

In some instances, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate any potential loss or range of loss. The nature and progression of litigation can make it difficult to predict the impact a particular lawsuit will have on its business. There are many reasons that the Company cannot make these assessments, including, among others, one or more of the following: the early stages of a proceeding; damages sought that are unspecified, unsupported, unexplained or uncertain; discovery

Table of Contents

not having been started or incomplete; the complexity of the facts that are in dispute; the difficulty of assessing novel claims; the parties not having engaged in any meaningful settlement discussions; the possibility that other parties may share in any ultimate liability; and/or the often slow pace of litigation.

The Company is currently subject to litigation with a group of franchise owners. In January 2014, six franchise owner groups claimed that the Company misrepresented sales volumes, made false representations to them, and charged excess advertising fees, among other things. The Company engaged in mediation with these franchise owners, which is required under the terms of their franchise agreements, in order to address and resolve their claims, but was unable to reach a settlement agreement. On April 4, 2014, a total of twelve franchise owner groups, including those franchise owners that previously made the allegations described above, filed a lawsuit against the Company in the Superior Court in Clark County, Washington, making essentially the same allegations for violation of the Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act, fraud, negligent misrepresentation and breach of contract and seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as monetary damages. Based on motions filed by the Company in that lawsuit, the court ruled on July 9, 2014 that certain of the plaintiffs' claims under the anti-fraud and nondisclosure provisions of the Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act should be dismissed and that certain other claims in the case would need to be more specifically alleged. The court also ruled that the six franchise owner groups who had not mediated with the Company prior to filing the lawsuit must mediate with the Company in good faith, and that their claims shall be stayed until they have done so.

On June 18, 2014, an additional 16 franchise owner groups, represented by the same counsel as the plaintiffs described above, filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court in Clark County, Washington making essentially the same allegations as made in the lawsuit described above and seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as monetary damages. The court consolidated the two lawsuits into a single case and ordered that the plaintiffs in the new lawsuit, none of whom had mediated with the Company prior to filing the lawsuit, must do so, and that their claims be stayed until they completed mediating with the Company in good faith.

In October 2014, the Company engaged in mediation with the 22 franchise owner groups who had not previously done so. As a result of that mediation and other efforts, the Company has now reached resolution with 13 of the franchise owner groups involved in the consolidated lawsuits, and their claims have either been dismissed or dismissal is pending.

In February 2015, the remaining franchise owner groups in the consolidated lawsuits filed an amended complaint, removing some claims, amending some claims, adding claims and naming some of the Company's former and current franchise sales staff as additional individual defendants. In September 2016, the remaining 15 franchise owner groups in the consolidated lawsuits filed an amended complaint to add a claim under the Washington Consumer Protection Act based on substantially the same allegations as the prior claims, to re-plead claims under the Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act that had previously been dismissed, and to dismiss Dan Harmon as a defendant.

In June 2017, the parties moved for summary judgment. The Company moved for summary judgment against two of the remaining franchise owner groups, the board of directors members moved for summary judgment on all claims against them, and the plaintiffs moved for summary judgment against all defendants on their Washington Consumer Protection Act and Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act claims. A hearing on the summary judgment motions was held on October 13, 2017.

In July 2017, the Company engaged in mediation with the remaining 15 franchise owner groups in the consolidated lawsuits. As a result of that mediation and other efforts, the Company reached resolutions with six of the remaining franchise owner groups, and their claims have been dismissed.

In April 2018, the Company reached resolution with four of the remaining franchise owner groups, conditioned upon dismissal of their claims.

In June 2018, the Company reached resolution with an additional franchise owner group.

On June 29, 2018, the Court granted the Company's motion to strike the remaining franchise owner groups' jury demand. The Court denied the Company's motion for separate trials, because at the time of the hearing there were only two franchise owner groups remaining in the case, based on tentative settlements with two other groups.

In July 2018, the Company finalized the tentative settlements with two of the aforementioned franchise owner groups.

In September 2018, the Company reached resolution with an additional franchise owner group.

One franchise owner group remains in the case, with a trial scheduled to start in the spring of 2019. As before, the Company believes the allegations in this litigation lack merit and, for the remaining plaintiff, the Company will continue to vigorously defend its interests, including by asserting a number of affirmative defenses and, where appropriate, counterclaims. The Company provides no assurance that it will be successful in its defense of the remaining lawsuit;

Table of Contents

however, the Company does not currently expect the cost of resolving it to have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company is named the defendant in a putative collective action filed by plaintiff Amanda Cottle on January 8, 2019, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The lawsuit alleges that the Company violated the FLSA by failing to pay proper overtime wages to the plaintiff, a store manager. Ms. Cottle asks that the court certify the putative class and that unpaid wages and liquidated damages under the FLSA, as well as interest and fees, be awarded to her and each class member. The Company believes the allegations in this litigation lack merit and will vigorously defend its interests in the matter. The Company provides no assurance that it will be successful in its defense of this lawsuit; however, the Company does not currently expect the cost of resolving it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In January 2019, the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington issued the final order and mandate releasing all claims relating to the putative class action lawsuit filed against us by plaintiff John Lennartson on May 7, 2015, alleging the Company failed to comply with the requirements of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act when it sent SMS text messages to consumers.

In addition to the foregoing, the Company is subject to routine legal proceedings, claims and litigation in the ordinary course of its business. The Company may also engage in future litigation with franchise owners to enforce the terms of franchise agreements and compliance with the Company's standards as determined necessary to protect the Company's brand, the consistency of products and the customer experience. Lawsuits require significant management attention and financial resources and the outcome of any litigation is inherently uncertain. The Company does not, however, currently expect that the costs to resolve these routine matters will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Note 18 — Retirement Plans

The Company has a defined contribution benefit plan, qualified under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "401(k) Plan"), covering all eligible employees. 401(k) Plan participants may receive up to a 4% matching contribution up to the limits established by the plan and by the Internal Revenue Service and are vested immediately. Contributions to the 401(k) Plan by the Company during fiscal 2018 and 2017 amounted to \$0.4 million.

Note 19 — Brand Marketing Fund

The Company manages the BMF on behalf of all Papa Murphy's stores in the United States. The Company is committed under its franchise and other agreements to spend revenues of the BMF on marketing, creative efforts, media support, or related purposes specified in the agreements. Contributions to the BMF are recognized as revenue, while expenditures are included in selling, general, and administrative expenses. Expenditures of the BMF are primarily amounts paid to third-parties, but may also include personnel expenses and allocated costs. At each reporting date, to the extent contributions to the BMF exceed expenditures on a cumulative basis, the excess contributions are recorded in accrued expenses in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. While no profit is recognized on amounts received by the BMF, when expenditures exceed contributions to the BMF on a cumulative basis, income from operations and net income may be affected due to the timing of when revenues are received and expenses are incurred.

Information on the Company's BMF balances for the periods reported is as follows:

(in thousands)	2018	2017
Opening fund (deficit) surplus	\$(5,461)	\$(1,071)
Net activity during the period	38	(4,390)
Ending fund deficit	\$(5,423)	\$(5,461)

As of December 31, 2018, previously recognized expenses of \$5.4 million may be recovered in future periods if subsequent BMF contributions exceed expenditures.

Table of Contents

Note 20 — Segment Information

As a result of changes in the Company's executive management responsibilities, effective January 2, 2018, the Company changed its reportable segments by combining its domestic and international franchise business into a single Franchise segment and separating its Brand Funds business into a separate reportable segment. No changes were made to the Company's Company Stores segment. Management believes this change better reflects the priorities and decision making analysis around the allocation of the Company's resources. Prior period results for the affected segments have been retrospectively revised to reflect this change.

The Company now has the following reportable segments: (i) Franchise; (ii) Company Stores; and (iii) Brand Funds. The Franchise segment includes operations with respect to franchised stores and derives its revenues primarily from franchise and development fees and franchise royalties from franchised stores. The Company Stores segment includes operations with respect to Company-owned stores and derives its revenues from retail sales of pizza and side items to the general public. The Brand Funds segment includes the BMF and the Company's Convention Fund.

The Company measures the performance of its segments based on segment adjusted EBITDA and allocates resources based primarily on this measure. "EBITDA" is calculated as net income (loss) before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Segment adjusted EBITDA excludes certain unallocated and corporate expenses.

Although segment adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of financial condition or performance determined in accordance with GAAP, the Company uses segment adjusted EBITDA to compare the operating performance of its segments on a consistent basis and to evaluate the performance and effectiveness of its operational strategies. The Company's calculation of segment adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to that reported by other companies.

The following tables summarize information on revenues, segment adjusted EBITDA, depreciation and amortization, interest expense (income), and assets for each of the Company's reportable segments and include a reconciliation of segment adjusted EBITDA to income (loss) before income taxes:

	Revenues	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Franchise segment	\$44,864	\$42,707
Brand Funds segment	24,636	30,867
Intersegment eliminations	(4,847)	(1,934)
Franchise related	64,653	71,640
Company Stores segment	61,776	76,868
Total	126,429	148,508

The following table summarizes revenues by geographic area:

	Revenues	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
United States	\$126,101	\$148,112
International	328	396
Total	\$126,429	\$148,508

Table of Contents

	Segment Adjusted EBITDA	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Franchise	\$25,673	\$25,682
Company Stores	884	2,685
Brand Funds	169	(450)
Total reportable segments adjusted EBITDA	26,726	27,917
Corporate and unallocated	(4,420)	(7,417)
Depreciation and amortization	(7,241)	(10,452)
Interest expense, net	(5,212)	(5,078)
CEO transition and restructuring ⁽¹⁾	(595)	(2,614)
E-commerce impairment ⁽²⁾	(350)	(9,085)
Store closures and impairments ⁽³⁾	(1,918)	(9,145)
Litigation settlements ⁽⁴⁾	(908)	(4,453)
Strategic alternatives ⁽⁵⁾	(237)	—
Debt refinancing ⁽⁶⁾	(38)	—
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$5,807	\$(20,327)

(1) Represents non-recurring management transition and restructuring costs in connection with the recruitment of a new Chief Executive Officer and other executive positions.

(2) Represents impairment charges on the write-down of the Company's e-commerce platform based on the decision to move to a third-party developed and hosted solution and non-recurring costs incurred to complete the transition.

For 2018, represents primarily net losses on the refranchising of Company-owned stores primarily from the recording of contingent liabilities for committed marketing support expenditures in addition to impairments for Company-owned stores held for sale. For 2017, represents primarily non-cash charges associated with the impairment and disposal of store assets upon the decision to close stores.

(3) Accruals made toward litigation reserves.

(4) Reflects costs associated with the exploration of strategic alternatives.

(5) Reflects costs associated with amendments to the Company's credit facilities.

	Depreciation and amortization	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Franchise	\$4,644	\$5,921
Company Stores	2,597	4,531
Total	\$7,241	\$10,452

	Total Assets	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Franchise	\$120,611	\$121,180
Company Stores	38,177	53,226
Brand Funds	873	509
Other ⁽¹⁾	87,063	87,200
Total	\$246,724	\$262,115

(1) Other assets which are not allocated to the individual segments primarily include trade names & trademarks. All long-lived assets are held within the United States.

Note 21 — Subsequent Events

During January 2019, the note payable for \$3.0 million held by PMCSI was paid in full (see Note 9 — Financing Arrangements).

During January 2019, the Company made a \$1.9 million payment in connection with the final settlement of its TCPA class action lawsuit (see Note 11 — Accrued and Other Liabilities).

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of
Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.

Opinion of the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018, the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes and schedules (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Change in Accounting Principles

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 2, 2018, the Company changed its method of accounting for revenue recognition due to the adoption of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic No. 606, and its method of accounting for leases due to the adoption of ASC Topic No 842.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures to respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Moss Adams LLP

Portland, Oregon

March 14, 2019

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2006.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act). With the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, our management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013). Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013), our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2018.

We have not engaged an independent registered accounting firm to perform an audit of our internal control over financial reporting as of any balance sheet date or for any period reported in our financial statements. Our independent public registered accounting firm will first be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the first year we are no longer an “emerging growth company” or a “non-accelerated filer.”

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Pursuant to general instruction G to Form 10-K, we incorporate by reference into this item the information to be disclosed in our definitive proxy statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Pursuant to general instruction G to Form 10-K, we incorporate by reference into this item the information to be disclosed in our definitive proxy statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Pursuant to general instruction G to Form 10-K, we incorporate by reference into this item the information to be disclosed in our definitive proxy statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Pursuant to general instruction G to Form 10-K, we incorporate by reference into this item the information to be disclosed in our definitive proxy statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Pursuant to general instruction G to Form 10-K, we incorporate by reference into this item the information to be disclosed in our definitive proxy statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

Form 10-K
Page No.

1. Financial Statements: The following financial statements are included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data":	
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Fiscal Years ended December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	49
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	50
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Fiscal Years ended December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	51
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years ended December 31, 2018 and January 1, 2018	52
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	53
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	79
2. Financial Statement Schedule:	
Schedule I - Condensed Financial Information of the Registrant	85
Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	89
All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not required or the required information is shown in the financial statements or the notes thereto.	

3. Exhibits:

Exhibit Number	Description Of Exhibits	Incorporated By Reference			
		File	Exhibit	Filing	Date
		Form Number			
3.1	<u>Fifth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.</u>	8-K 001-36432	3.1		May 13, 2014
3.2	<u>Amended and Restated Bylaws of Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.</u>	8-K 001-36432	3.1		December 22, 2016
4.1	<u>Form of Common Stock Certificate.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	4.1		April 28, 2014
4.2	<u>Second Amended and Restated Stockholders' Agreement.</u>	8-K 001-36432	4.1		May 13, 2014
10.1†	<u>Amended 2010 Management Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.1		April 4, 2014
10.2	<u>Stockholder's Agreement</u>	8-K 001-36432	10.1		May 13, 2014
10.3	<u>Credit agreement, dated as of August 28, 2014 among PMI Holdings, Inc., General Electric Capital Corporation, and the other financial institutions party thereto.</u>	10-Q 001-36432	10.1		November 13, 2014
10.4‡	<u>Form of 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.5		April 28, 2014
10.5	<u>Form of Franchise Agreement.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.6		April 4, 2014
10.6	<u>Form of Area Development Agreement.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.7		April 4, 2014
10.7	<u>Form of Multiple Store Commitment Letter and Amendment to Franchise Agreement.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.8		April 4, 2014
10.8‡	<u>Offer Letter dated as of March 22, 2018 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Nik Rupp.</u>	10-Q 001-36432	10.1		May 10, 2018
10.9‡	<u>Executive Employment and Non-Competition Agreement dated as of May 9, 2018 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Nik Rupp.</u>	10-Q 001-36432	10.2		May 10, 2018
10.10*‡	<u>First Amendment to Executive Employment and Non-Competition Agreement dated as of November 19, 2018 between Papa Murphy's</u>				

Holdings, Inc. and Nik Rupp.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description Of Exhibits	Incorporated By Reference		
		File Form Number	Exhibit	Filing Date
10.11†	<u>Executive Employment and Non-Competition Agreement dated as of August 10, 2017 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Weldon Spangler.</u>	10-K 001-36432	10.8	March 15, 2018
10.12*†	<u>First Amendment to Executive Employment and Non-Competition Agreement dated as of November 20, 2018 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Weldon Spangler.</u>			
10.13†	<u>Amended and Restated Executive Employment and Non-Competition Agreement dated as of July 27, 2016 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Victoria J. Tullett.</u>	10-K 001-36432	10.16	March 15, 2017
10.14*†	<u>First Amendment to Amended and Restated Executive Employment and Non-Competition Agreement dated as of November 19, 2018 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Victoria J. Tullett.</u>			
10.15†	<u>Form of Stock Option Agreement subject to time-vesting under the Amended 2010 Management Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.20	April 21, 2014
10.16†	<u>Form of Stock Option Agreement subject to performance-vesting under the Amended 2010 Management Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.21	April 21, 2014
10.17†	<u>Form of Restricted Stock Agreement subject to time-vesting under the Amended 2010 Management Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.22	April 21, 2014
10.18†	<u>Form of Restricted Stock Agreement subject to performance-vesting under the Amended 2010 Management Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.23	April 21, 2014
10.19†	<u>Form of Amendment to the Restricted Stock Agreement subject to performance-vesting under the Amended 2010 Management Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.24	April 21, 2014
10.20†	<u>Form of Stock Option Agreement subject to time-vesting under the Form of 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.25	April 21, 2014
10.21†	<u>Form of Restricted Stock Agreement subject to time-vesting under the Form of 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.26	April 21, 2014
10.22	<u>Form of Indemnification Agreement between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and each of its directors and executive officers.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.27	April 21, 2014
10.23	<u>Form of Indemnification Agreement between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and each of its sponsor-affiliated directors.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.28	April 21, 2014
10.24†	<u>Form of Stock Option Agreement subject to performance-vesting under the Form of 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.</u>	S-1/A 333-194488	10.29	April 28, 2014
10.25	<u>First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2016, among PMI Holdings, Inc., Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and the other financial institutions party thereto.</u>	10-Q 001-36432	10.1	November 2, 2016
10.26	<u>Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 6, 2018, among PMI Holdings, Inc., Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and the other financial institutions party thereto.</u>	10-Q 001-36432	10.1	November 7, 2018
10.27†	<u>Executive Separation Agreement and Release dated as of March 31, 2017 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Brandon Solano.</u>	10-Q 001-36432	10.1	May 10, 2017
10.28†	<u>Executive Separation Agreement and Release dated as of March 29, 2017 between Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Jayson Tipp.</u>	10-Q 001-36432	10.2	May 10, 2017
10.29	<u>Cooperation Agreement by and among Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc., MFP Partners, L.P., Misada Capital Holdings, LLC, Alexander C. Matina and Noah A. Elbogen, dated December 21, 2017.</u>	8-K 001-36432	10.1	December 21, 2017

Edgar Filing: Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

10.30	<u>Letter Agreement by and among Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc., MFP Partners, L.P., Misada Capital Holdings, LLC, and LEP Papa Murphy's Holdings, LLC, dated December 21, 2017.</u>	8-K	001-36432	10.2	December 21, 2017
10.31	<u>Purchase and Sale Agreement dated April 17, 2018 among Papa Murphy's Company Stores, Inc., Papa Murphy's International LLC, and Fresh Take, LLC</u>	0-Q	001-36432	10.3	May 10, 2018
21.1*	<u>List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.</u>				
23.1*	<u>Consent of Moss Adams LLP</u>				
24.1*	<u>Directors' Powers of Attorney</u>				
31.1*	<u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>				

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description Of Exhibits	Incorporated By Reference	
		File	Filing
		Form Number	Exhibit Date
31.2*	<u>Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>		
32.1*	<u>Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>		
32.2*	<u>Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>		
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document		
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document		
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document		
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document		
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document		
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document		
*	Filed herewith		
†	A management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement		

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE I—CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.

Parent Company Information

Condensed Statements of Operations

	Fiscal Year	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Equity in earnings (losses) of subsidiaries	\$8,209	\$(17,490)
Selling, general, and administrative expense	2,202	2,637
Operating Income (Loss)	6,007	(20,127)
Other expense, net	200	200
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	5,807	(20,327)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	1,483	(18,509)
Net Income (Loss)	4,324	(1,818)
See accompanying notes.		

85

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE I—CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.

Parent Company Information

Condensed Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2018	January 1, 2018
(in thousands, except par value and share data)		
Assets		
Current Assets		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$—	\$183
Current deferred tax asset	61	—
Total current assets	61	183
Investment in affiliates	126,181	117,971
Total assets	\$126,242	\$118,154
Liabilities and Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Other current liabilities	\$40	\$56
Due to consolidated affiliates	4,057	2,130
Total current liabilities	4,097	2,186
Deferred tax liability	23,121	20,600
Total liabilities	\$27,218	\$22,786
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' Equity		
Preferred stock (\$0.01 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding)—	—	—
Common stock (\$0.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 17,025,028 and 16,971,461 shares issued and outstanding, respectively)	170	170
Additional paid-in capital	121,171	120,614
Accumulated deficit	(22,317)	(25,416)
Total shareholders' equity	99,024	95,368
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$126,242	\$118,154
See accompanying notes.		

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE I—CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.

Parent Company Information

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

	Fiscal Year	
(in thousands)	2018	2017
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$1,163	\$(1,153)
Investing Activities		
(Deemed) dividend from subsidiary	(1,225)	1,159
Net cash provided by investing activities	(1,225)	1,159
Financing Activities		
Repurchases of common stock	62	(6)
Net cash provided by financing activities	62	(6)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	—	—
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year	—	—
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	\$—	\$—
See accompanying notes.		

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE I—CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc.

Parent Company Information

Notes to Condensed Financial Information

Note 1—Basis of Presentation

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. (the "Parent Company") is a holding company with no material operations of its own that conducts substantially all of its activities through its subsidiaries.

These consolidated financial statements have been presented on a "parent-only" basis. Under a parent-only presentation, the Parent Company's investments in subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted. As such, these parent-only statements should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Table of Contents

Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Papa Murphy's Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to costs and expenses	(Write-offs), Net of Recoveries	Balance at End of Period
Fiscal year 2018				
Allowance for trade and other receivables	\$ 67	\$ 118	\$ (114)	\$ 71
Fiscal year 2017				
Allowance for trade and other receivables	\$ 37	\$ 48	\$ (18)	\$ 67

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized, on March 14, 2019.

PAPA MURPHY'S HOLDINGS,
INC.

By: /s/ Nik Rupp
Name: Nik Rupp
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
/s/ Weldon Spangler Weldon Spangler	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ Nik Rupp Nik Rupp	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 14, 2019
/s/ Jean Birch* Jean Birch	Chair of the Board	March 14, 2019
/s/ Benjamin Hochberg* Benjamin Hochberg	Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ Yoo Jin Kim* Yoo Jin Kim	Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ L. David Mounts* L. David Mounts	Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ John Shafer* John Shafer	Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ Rob Weisberg* Rob Weisberg	Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ Katherine L. Scherping* Katherine L. Scherping	Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ Noah Elbogen* Noah Elbogen	Director	March 14, 2019
/s/ Alex Matina* Alex Matina	Director	March 14, 2019

*By: /s/ Nik Rupp
Nik Rupp
Attorney-in-fact pursuant to filed Power of Attorney

