

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP

Form 10-K

March 16, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 0-13888

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEW YORK

16-123703-8

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Chemung Canal Plaza, P.O. Box 1522, Elmira,
New York

14902

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (607) 737-3711

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 a share
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
YES o NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
YES o NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
YES NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
YES o NO o

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
YES NO x

Based upon the closing price of the registrant's Common Stock as of June 30, 2010, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$41,351,063.

As of February 28, 2011 there were 3,565,610 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 11, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 of this Form 10-K.

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

| Form 10-K Item Number: | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| PART I | 1 |
| Item 1. Business | 1 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 12 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 16 |
| Item 2. Properties | 16 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 17 |
| Item 4. Removed and Reserved | 17 |
| PART II | 17 |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 17 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 20 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation | 22 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 39 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 39 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 39 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 39 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 40 |
| PART III | 41 |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 41 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 42 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, and Related Stockholder Matters | 42 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 42 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 42 |
| PART IV | 42 |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 42 |
| Index to Consolidated Financial Statements | 44 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm-Crowe Horwath LLP | F-1 |
| SIGNATURES | |

Some of the information contained in this report concerning the markets and industry in which we operate is derived from publicly available information and from industry sources. Although we believe that this publicly available information and information provided by these industry sources are reliable, we have not independently verified the accuracy of any of this information.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General Development of Business

Chemung Financial Corporation (the "Corporation") was incorporated on January 2, 1985 under the laws of the State of New York. The Corporation was organized for the purpose of acquiring Chemung Canal Trust Company (the "Bank"). The Bank was established in 1833 under the name Chemung Canal Bank, and was subsequently granted a New York State bank charter in 1895. In 1902, the Bank was reorganized as a New York State trust company under the name Elmira Trust Company, and its name was changed to Chemung Canal Trust Company in 1903.

The Corporation has been a financial holding company since June 22, 2000. Financial holding company status provides the Corporation with the flexibility to offer an array of financial services, such as insurance products, mutual funds, and brokerage services, which provide additional sources of fee based income and allow the Corporation to better serve its customers. The Corporation established a financial services subsidiary, CFS Group, Inc., in September 2001 which offers non-banking financial services such as mutual funds, annuities, brokerage services and insurance. As such, the Corporation currently operates as a financial holding company with two subsidiaries, Chemung Canal Trust Company, a full-service community bank with full trust powers, and CFS Group, Inc.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") maintains a web site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding the Corporation. You may also read and copy materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F St., NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information concerning the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, we maintain a corporate web site at www.chemungcanal.com. We make available free of charge through our web site our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act and filed with the SEC. These items are available as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such material with or to the SEC. The contents of our web site are not a part of this report. These materials are also available free of charge by written request to: Jane H. Adamy, Senior Vice President and Secretary, Chemung Canal Trust Company, One Chemung Canal Plaza, Elmira, NY 14901.

Description of Business

Business

The Bank is a New York chartered commercial bank which engages in full-service commercial and consumer banking and trust business. The Bank's services include accepting time, demand and savings deposits, including NOW accounts, regular savings accounts, insured money market accounts, investment certificates, fixed-rate certificates of deposit and club accounts. The Bank's services also include making secured and unsecured commercial and consumer loans, financing commercial transactions (either directly or participating with regional industrial development and community lending corporations), and making commercial, residential and home equity mortgage loans, revolving credit loans with overdraft checking protection and small business loans. Additional services include renting safe deposit facilities and the provision of networked automated teller facilities.

Trust services provided by the Bank include services as executor and trustee under wills and agreements, and guardian, custodian, trustee and agent for pension, profit-sharing and other employee benefit trusts, as well as various

investment, pension, estate planning and employee benefit administrative services.

CFS Group, Inc. offers an array of financial services including mutual funds, full and discount brokerage services, annuity and other insurance products and tax preparation services.

For additional information, including information concerning the results of operations of the Corporation and its subsidiaries, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7.

There have been no material changes in the manner of doing business by the Corporation or its subsidiaries during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Market Area and Competition

Seven of the Bank's 23 full-service offices, including the main office, are located in Chemung County, New York. The Bank has thirteen full-service offices located in the adjacent counties of Broome, Schuyler, Steuben, Tioga and Tompkins, with a Trust and Investment Center located in Herkimer County within New York State and 3 full-service offices located in Bradford County, Pennsylvania. The Corporation defines its primary market areas as those areas within a 25-mile radius of its New York offices in Broome, Chemung, Herkimer, Steuben, Schuyler, Tioga and Tompkins counties, including the northern tier of Pennsylvania. The Bank's lending policy restricts substantially all lending efforts to these geographical regions.

Within these market areas, the Bank encounters intense competition in the lending and deposit gathering aspects of its business from commercial and thrift banking institutions, credit unions and other providers of financial services, such as brokerage firms, investment companies, insurance companies and Internet vendors. The Bank also competes with non-financial institutions, including retail stores and certain utilities that maintain their own credit programs, as well as governmental agencies that make available loans to certain borrowers. Unlike the Bank, many of these competitors are not subject to regulation as extensive as that affecting the Bank and, as a result, they may have a competitive advantage over the Bank in certain respects. This is particularly true of credit unions because their pricing structure is not encumbered by income taxes.

Competition for the Bank's Trust Department investment services comes primarily from brokerage firms and independent investment advisors. These firms devote much of their considerable resources toward gaining larger positions in these markets. The market value of the Bank's trust assets under administration totaled approximately \$1.6 billion at year-end 2010. The Trust and Investment Division is responsible for the largest component of non-interest revenue.

Supervision and Regulation

The Corporation is regulated under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHC Act"), and is subject to the supervision of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board"). As a bank holding company, the Corporation generally may engage in the activities permissible for a bank holding company, which includes banking, managing or controlling banks, performing certain servicing activities for subsidiaries, and engaging in other activities that the Federal Reserve Board has determined to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. Because the Corporation also has elected financial holding company status, it may also engage in a broader range of activities that are determined by the Federal Reserve and the Secretary of the Treasury to be financial in nature or incidental to financial activities or activities that are determined by the Federal Reserve Board to be complementary to a financial activity and that do not pose a substantial risk to the safety and soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally.

The Corporation is also under the jurisdiction of the SEC and is subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as administered by the SEC.

The Bank is chartered under the laws of New York State and is supervised by the New York State Banking Department ("NYSBD"). The Bank also is a member bank of the Federal Reserve System and, therefore, the Federal Reserve Board serves as its primary federal regulator.

CFS Group, Inc. is subject to supervision by other regulatory authorities as determined by the activities in which it is engaged. Insurance activities are supervised by the New York State Insurance Department, and brokerage activities are subject to supervision by the SEC and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA").

The Corporation is subject to capital adequacy guidelines of the Federal Reserve Board. The guidelines apply on a consolidated basis and require bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets (or "leverage ratio") of 4%. For the most highly rated bank holding companies, the minimum ratio is 3%. The Federal Reserve Board capital adequacy guidelines also require bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4% and a minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets of 8%. Any bank holding company whose capital does not meet the minimum capital adequacy guidelines is considered to be undercapitalized, and is required to submit an acceptable plan to the Federal Reserve Board for achieving capital adequacy. In addition, an undercapitalized company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders and expand its lines of business through the acquisition of new banking or non-banking subsidiaries also could be restricted by the Federal Reserve Board. The Federal Reserve Board may set higher minimum capital requirements for bank holding companies where circumstances warrant, such as companies anticipating significant growth or facing unusual risks. As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation's leverage ratio was 8.72%, its ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets was 12.92% and its ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets was 14.54%. The Federal Reserve Board has not advised the Corporation that it is subject to any special capital requirements.

Pursuant to Federal Reserve Board regulations and supervisory policies, bank holding companies also are expected to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to their subsidiary depository institutions. Therefore, to the extent the Bank is in need of capital, the Corporation could be expected to provide additional capital to the Bank, including, potentially, raising new capital for that purpose.

The Bank is subject to leverage and risk-based capital requirements and minimum capital guidelines of the Federal Reserve Board that are similar to those applicable to the Corporation. As of December 31, 2010, the Bank was in compliance with all minimum capital requirements. The Bank's leverage ratio as of that date was 8.30%, its ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets was 12.31%, and its ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets was 13.93%.

The Bank also is subject to substantial regulatory restrictions on its ability to pay dividends to the Corporation. Under Federal Reserve Board and NYSBD regulations, the Bank may not pay a dividend without prior approval of the Federal Reserve and the NYSBD if the total amount of all dividends declared during such calendar year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the sum of its retained net income to date during the calendar year and its retained net income over the preceding two calendar years. As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$5.1 million was available for the payment of dividends by the Bank to the Corporation without prior approval, after giving effect to the payment of dividends in the fourth quarter of 2010. The Bank's ability to pay dividends also is subject to the Bank being in compliance with regulatory capital requirements. The Bank is currently in compliance with these requirements.

The deposits of the Bank are insured up to regulatory limits by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and are subject to the deposit insurance premium assessments of the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"). The FDIC currently maintains a risk-based assessment system under which assessment rates vary based on the level of risk posed by the institution to the DIF. For institutions that have a long-term public debt rating, the individual risk assessment is based on its supervisory ratings and its debt rating. For institutions such as the Bank that do not have a long-term public debt rating, the individual risk assessment is based on its supervisory ratings and certain financial ratios and other measurements of its financial condition. The assessment rate may, therefore, change after any of these measurements change.

In February 2011, the FDIC adopted a final rule making certain changes to the deposit insurance assessment system, many of which were made as a result of provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule also revises the assessment rate schedule effective April 1, 2011, and adopts additional rate schedules that will go into effect when the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) reserve ratio reaches various milestones. The final rule changes the deposit insurance assessment system from one that is based on domestic deposits to one that is based on average consolidated total

assets minus average tangible equity. In addition, the rule suspends FDIC dividend payments if the DIF reserve ratio exceeds 1.5 percent but provides for decreasing assessment rates when the DIF reserve ratio reaches certain thresholds.

The Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Bank ("FHLB") of New York, which provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions for home mortgage and neighborhood lending. The Bank is subject to the rules and requirements of the FHLB, including the requirement to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the FHLB. The Bank was in compliance with the rules and requirements of the FHLB at December 31, 2010.

Recent Legislation

On July 21, 2010, the President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"). This new law will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new rules and regulations, and to prepare various studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting such rules and regulations. With that discretion, market litigation, and continued legislative efforts, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for months or years.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are expected to have a near term impact on the Corporation. For example, effective July 21, 2011, a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act eliminates the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to offer interest-bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on the Corporation's interest expense.

The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor per insured institution, retroactive to January 1, 2008, and qualifying non-interest bearing transaction accounts have unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012.

The Dodd-Frank Act and recently promulgated rules of the SEC will require publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called "golden parachute" payments, and allow greater access by shareholders to the Corporation's proxy material in connection with shareholder director nominations.

The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with wide-ranging powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. The Dodd-Frank Act also weakens the federal preemption rules that have been applicable to national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general certain powers to enforce federal consumer protection laws.

It is difficult to predict at this time with specificity the full range of the impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on the Corporation. The legislation and any implementing rules that are ultimately issued could have adverse implications on the financial industry, the competitive environment, and the Corporation's ability to conduct business. The Corporation will have to apply resources to ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and any implementing rules, which may increase its costs of operations and adversely impact its earnings.

Other Regulatory and Legislative Actions

On September 29, 2009, the FDIC increased annual assessment rates uniformly by 3 basis points beginning January 1, 2011. At least semi-annually thereafter, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the DIF and, if necessary to achieve its target reserve ratio, will change assessment rates via a rulemaking that will include a public notice and comment period.

In addition, all institutions with deposits insured by the FDIC are required to pay assessments to fund interest payments on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation ("FICO"), an agency of the Federal government established to recapitalize the predecessor to the Savings Association Insurance Fund. These assessments will continue until the FICO bonds mature in 2017. The FDIC's FICO assessment authority is separate from its authority to assess risk-based premiums for deposit insurance. The FICO assessment rate is adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in the assessment bases of the fund and is not risk-based by institution. The FICO assessment rate for the first quarter of 2011, due December 30, 2010, was 1.02% of insured deposits.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 also gave a credit to all insured depository institutions to be used as an offset to the institutions' assessments. The Bank received a \$598,000 credit, which entirely offset its 2007 and partially offset its 2008 deposit insurance settlement. Due to the full utilization of the credit in 2008, the systemic increase in deposit insurance assessments and the emergency special assessment, the Bank will be subject to increased deposit premium expenses in future periods.

On October 14, 2008, the FDIC announced the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP"), which provides unlimited deposit insurance on funds invested in noninterest-bearing transaction deposit accounts in excess of the existing deposit insurance limit of \$250,000. Participating institutions were assessed a \$0.10 surcharge per \$100 of deposits above the existing deposit insurance limit. The TLGP also provides that the FDIC, for an additional fee, will guarantee qualifying senior unsecured debt issued prior to October 2009 by participating banks and certain qualifying holding companies. The Bank and the Corporation elected to opt in to both portions of the TLGP. The TLGP expired on December 31, 2010, but was replaced by section 343 of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Transactions between the Bank and either the Corporation or CFS Group, Inc. are governed by sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("FRA") and the Federal Reserve Board's implementing Regulation W. Generally, these provisions are intended to protect insured depository institutions from suffering losses arising from transactions with non-insured affiliates, by placing quantitative and qualitative limitations on covered transactions between a bank and any one affiliate as well as all affiliates of the bank in the aggregate, and requiring that such transactions be on terms that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices. Sections 22(g) and (h) of the FRA and their implementing Regulation O restrict the amounts and terms of loans to directors, executive officers and principal shareholders.

In 2007, the Federal Reserve Board and SEC issued Regulation R to clarify that traditional banking activities involving some elements of securities brokerage activities, such as most trust and fiduciary activities, may continue to be performed by banks rather than being "pushed" out to affiliates supervised by the SEC. These rules took effect for the Bank on January 1, 2009.

Under the privacy and data security provisions of the Financial Modernization Act of 1999, also known as the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLB Act"), and rules promulgated thereunder, all financial institutions, including the Corporation, the Bank and CFS Group, Inc., are required to establish policies and procedures to restrict the sharing of nonpublic customer data with nonaffiliated parties at the customer's request and to protect customer data from unauthorized access. In addition, the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), as amended by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 ("FACT Act"), includes many provisions affecting the Corporation, Bank, and/or CFS Group, Inc., including provisions concerning obtaining consumer reports, furnishing information to consumer reporting agencies, maintaining a program to prevent identity theft, sharing of certain information among affiliated companies, and other provisions. For instance, FCRA requires persons subject to FCRA to notify their customers if they report negative information about them to a credit bureau or if they are granted credit on terms less favorable than those generally available. The Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") have extensive rulemaking authority under the FACT Act, and the Corporation and the Bank are subject to the rules that have been promulgated by the Federal Reserve Board and FTC thereunder, including recent rules regarding limitations on affiliate marketing and implementation of programs to identify, detect and mitigate the risk of identity theft through red flags. The Corporation has developed policies and procedures for itself and its subsidiaries to maintain compliance and believes it is in compliance with all privacy, information sharing and notification provisions of the GLB Act and FCRA.

The GLB Act and FCRA also impose requirements regarding data security and the safeguarding of customer information. The Bank is subject to the Interagency Guidelines Establishing Information Security Standards (Security Guidelines), which implement section 501(b) of the GLB Act and section 216 of the FACT Act. The Security Guidelines establish standards relating to administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to ensure the security, confidentiality, integrity and the proper disposal of customer information. The Bank believes it is in compliance with all such standards.

Under Title III of the USA PATRIOT Act, also known as the International Money Laundering Abatement and Anti-Terrorism Financing Act of 2001, all financial institutions are required in general to identify their customers, adopt formal and comprehensive anti-money laundering programs, scrutinize or prohibit altogether certain transactions of special concern, and be prepared to respond to inquiries from U.S. law enforcement agencies concerning their customers and their transactions. Additional information-sharing among financial institutions, regulators, and law enforcement authorities is encouraged by the presence of an exemption from the privacy provisions of the GLB Act for financial institutions that comply with this provision and the authorization of the Secretary of the Treasury to adopt rules to further encourage cooperation and information-sharing. The effectiveness of a financial institution in combating money laundering activities is a factor to be considered in any application submitted by the financial institution under the Bank Merger Act, which applies to the Bank, or the BHC Act, which applies to the Corporation.

The Bank has a responsibility under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 ("CRA") to help meet the credit needs of its communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions nor does it limit an institution's discretion to develop the types of products and services that it believes are best suited to its particular community, consistent with the CRA. Regulators assess the Bank's record of compliance with the CRA. In addition, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act prohibit discrimination in lending practices on the basis of characteristics specified in those statutes. The Bank's failure to comply with the provisions of the CRA could, at a minimum, result in regulatory restrictions on its activities and the activities of the Corporation. The Bank's failure to comply with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act could result in enforcement actions against it by its regulators as well as other federal regulatory agencies and the Department of Justice. The Bank's latest CRA rating was "Outstanding".

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 implemented a broad range of measures to increase corporate responsibility, enhance penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies, and protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures for companies that have securities registered under the Exchange Act, including publicly-held financial holding companies such as the Corporation. It includes very specific additional disclosure requirements and corporate governance rules, and the SEC and securities exchanges have adopted extensive additional disclosures, corporate governance and other related rules pursuant to its mandate. The Act represents significant federal involvement in matters traditionally left to state regulatory systems, such as the regulation of the accounting profession, and to state corporate law, such as the relationship between a board of directors and management and between a board of directors and its committees. In addition, the federal banking regulators have adopted generally similar requirements concerning the certification of financial statements by bank officials.

Home mortgage lenders, including banks, are required under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act to make available to the public expanded information regarding the pricing of home mortgage loans, including the "rate spread" between the interest rate on loans and certain Treasury securities and other benchmarks. The availability of this information has led to increased scrutiny of higher-priced loans at all financial institutions to detect illegal discriminatory practices and to the initiation of a limited number of investigations by federal banking agencies and the U.S. Department of Justice. The Corporation has no information that it or its affiliates are the subject of any investigation.

In the past two years, declining housing values have resulted in deteriorating economic conditions across the U.S., resulting in significant writedowns in the values of mortgage-backed securities and derivative securities by financial institutions, government sponsored entities, and major commercial and investment banks. This has led to decreased confidence in financial markets among borrowers, lenders, and depositors as well as extreme volatility in the capital and credit markets and the failure of some entities in the financial sector. The Company is fortunate that the markets it serves have been impacted to a lesser extent than many areas around the country.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation and its subsidiaries employed 317 persons on a full-time equivalent basis. None of the Corporation's employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, and the Corporation believes that its relationship with its employees is good.

Financial Information about Foreign and Domestic Operations and Export Sales

Neither the Corporation nor its subsidiaries relies on foreign sources of funds or income.

Statistical Disclosure by Bank Holding Companies

The following disclosures present certain summarized statistical data covering the Corporation and its subsidiaries. See also Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7, of this report for other required statistical data.

Investment Portfolio

The following table sets forth the carrying amount of available for sale and held to maturity investment securities at the dates indicated (in thousands of dollars):

| | December 31, | | |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S Government sponsored enterprises | \$102,132 | \$ 84,621 | \$ 61,543 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 62,762 | 93,945 | 102,933 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 46,480 | 44,284 | 24,859 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 11,694 | 12,185 | 1,750 |
| Trust preferred securities | 2,344 | 2,261 | 3,285 |
| Corporate stocks | 5,848 | 5,847 | 5,324 |
| Total | \$231,260 | \$243,143 | \$199,694 |

Included in the above table are \$223,545, \$230,984 and \$191,255 (in thousands of dollars) of securities available for sale at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Also included in the above table are \$7,715, \$12,160 and \$8,439 (in thousands of dollars) of securities held to maturity at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table sets forth the carrying amounts and maturities of debt securities at December 31, 2010 and the weighted average yields of such securities (all yields are calculated on the basis of the amortized cost and weighted for the scheduled maturity of each security, except mortgage-backed securities which are based on the average life at the projected prepayment speed of each security). Federal tax equivalent adjustments have not been made in calculating yields on municipal obligations:

| | Maturing | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|
| | Dollars in thousands | | | |
| | Within One Year | | After One, But Within Five Years | |
| | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S Government sponsored enterprises | \$35,740 | 1.58% | \$ 61,097 | 1.39% |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 1,240 | 3.16% | 58,643 | 4.13% |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 6,570 | 2.41% | 25,582 | 2.42% |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 513 | 5.28% | 11,181 | 4.59% |
| Trust preferred securities | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$44,063 | 1.79% | \$156,503 | 2.79% |

| | Maturing | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| | Dollars in thousands | | | |
| | After Five, But Within Ten Years | | After Ten Years | |
| | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |

| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S Government | | | | |
|---|----------|-------|----------|--------|
| sponsored enterprises | \$ 5,295 | 3.75% | \$ - | - |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 477 | 2.16% | 2,402 | 2.29% |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 14,136 | 3.38% | 192 | 3.80% |
| Corporate bonds and notes | - | - | - | - |
| Trust preferred securities | 2,009 | 9.22% | 335 | 16.10% |
| Total | \$21,917 | 3.96% | \$ 2,929 | 5.41% |

Loan Portfolio

The following table shows the Corporation's loan distribution at the end of each of the last five years, net of deferred origination fees and costs, and unearned income (in thousands of dollars):

| | December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$114,892 | \$118,478 | \$122,761 | \$129,533 | \$137,646 |
| Commercial mortgages | 133,070 | 123,669 | 92,978 | 72,318 | 55,358 |
| Residential mortgages | 172,727 | 162,087 | 156,150 | 159,087 | 133,286 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 97,787 | 92,902 | 99,723 | 89,609 | 65,853 |
| Consumer loans | 92,573 | 96,467 | 91,137 | 86,572 | 83,733 |
| Net deferred origination fees and costs, and unearned income | 2,635 | 2,250 | 2,436 | 2,403 | 1,788 |
| Total | \$613,684 | \$595,853 | \$565,185 | \$539,522 | \$477,664 |

The following table shows the maturity of loans (excluding residential mortgages, indirect consumer, and consumer loans) outstanding as of December 31, 2010. Also provided are the amounts due after one year, classified according to the sensitivity to changes in interest rates (in thousands of dollars):

| | Within One Year | After One But Within Five Years | After Five Years | Total |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 53,703 | \$ 57,138 | \$ 137,121 | \$ 247,962 |
| Loans maturing after one year with: | | | | |
| Fixed interest rates | N/A | \$ 34,882 | \$ 12,368 | \$ 47,250 |
| Variable interest rates | N/A | 22,256 | 124,753 | 147,009 |
| Total | N/A | \$ 57,138 | \$ 137,121 | \$ 194,259 |

Loan Concentrations

The loan portfolio is widely diversified by types of borrowers, industry groups, and market areas within our core footprint. Significant loan concentrations are considered to exist for a financial institution when there are amounts loaned to numerous borrowers engaged in similar activities that would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. At December 31, 2010, 10.4% of the Corporation's loans consist of commercial real estate loans to borrowers in the real estate, rental or leasing sector. The major portion of this sector comprises borrowers that rent, lease or otherwise allow the use of their own assets by others. No other significant concentrations existed in the Corporation's portfolio in excess of 10% of total loans as of December 31, 2010.

Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses

The allocated portions of the allowance reflect management's estimates of specific known risk elements in the respective portfolios. Management's methodology followed in evaluating the allowance for loan losses includes a detailed analysis of historical loss factors for pools of similarly graded loans, as well as specific collateral reviews of relationships graded special mention, substandard or doubtful with outstanding balances of \$1.0 million or greater. Among the factors considered in allocating portions of the allowance by loan type are the current levels of past due, non-accrual and impaired loans, as well as historical loss experience and the evaluation of collateral. In addition, management has formally documented factors considered in determining the appropriate level of unallocated allowance, including current economic conditions, forecasted trends in the credit quality cycle, loan growth, entry into new markets, and industry and peer group trends. From 2007 to 2009, these amounts, which had previously been shown as unallocated, have been included in the allocated portion of the loan categories to which they relate. At December 31, 2010, in addition to the qualitative factors allocated within the allowance, the Corporation maintained \$776 thousand of the allowance as unallocated. While we have seen some preliminary improvements in the local economy and while some loans have improved, the recovery is still very fragile and management believes it is prudent to see a period of sustained improvement before completely reflecting this in the allowance. Additionally, management monitors coverage ratios of nonperforming loans and total loans compared to peers on a regular basis. This analysis also suggests that it would not be prudent to eliminate the unallocated portion of the allowance at this time.

The following table summarizes the Corporation's allocation of the loan loss allowance for each year in the five-year period ended December 31, 2010:

Amount of loan loss allowance (in thousands) and Percent of Loans
by Category to Total Loans (%)

| Balance at end of period applicable to: | 2010 | % | 2009 | % | 2008 | % | 2007 | % | 2006 | % |
|--|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$2,118 | 18.6 | \$3,133 | 19.9 | \$3,854 | 21.7 | \$3,955 | 24.0 | \$4,122 | 28.8 |
| Commercial mortgages | 2,575 | 21.7 | 3,073 | 20.7 | 3,058 | 16.4 | 3,113 | 13.4 | 2,473 | 11.6 |
| Residential mortgages | 1,302 | 28.3 | 1,125 | 27.3 | 753 | 27.7 | 479 | 29.6 | 214 | 28.0 |
| Consumer loans | 2,727 | 31.4 | 2,636 | 32.1 | 1,441 | 34.2 | 906 | 33.0 | 574 | 31.6 |
| | 8,722 | 100.0 | 9,967 | 100.0 | 9,106 | 100.0 | 8,453 | 100.0 | 7,383 | 100.0 |
| Unallocated | 776 | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | 600 | N/A |
| Total | \$9,498 | 100.0 | \$9,967 | 100.0 | \$9,106 | 100.0 | \$8,453 | 100.0 | \$7,983 | 100.0 |

The allocation of the allowance to each category does not restrict the use of the allowance to absorb losses in any category.

Deposits

The average daily amounts of deposits and rates paid on such deposits are summarized for the periods indicated in the following table (in thousands of dollars):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate |
| Non-interest-bearing demand deposits | \$196,822 | -% | \$176,305 | -% | \$156,191 | -% |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 52,314 | 0.09% | 47,250 | 0.17% | 41,282 | 0.60% |
| Savings and insured money market deposits | 296,492 | 0.32% | 245,425 | 0.58% | 195,602 | 1.08% |
| Time deposits | 272,016 | 1.70% | 283,408 | 2.44% | 256,661 | 3.57% |
| | \$817,644 | | \$752,388 | | \$649,736 | |

Scheduled maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2010 are summarized as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2011 | \$176,436 |
| 2012 | 57,165 |
| 2013 | 11,284 |
| 2014 | 6,274 |
| 2015 | 2,641 |
| Thereafter | 4 |
| | \$253,804 |

Maturities of time deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or more outstanding at December 31, 2010 are summarized as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| 3 months or less | \$ 21,343 |
| Over 3 through 6 months | 12,786 |
| Over 6 through 12 months | 21,029 |
| Over 12 months | 26,784 |
| | \$ 81,942 |

Return on Equity and Assets

The following table shows consolidated operating and capital ratios of the Corporation for each of the last three years:

| Year Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Return on average assets | 1.02% | 0.56% | 1.00% |
| Return on average equity | 10.64% | 6.13% | 9.36% |
| Dividend payout ratio | 34.85% | 67.30% | 42.07% |
| Average equity to average assets ratio | 9.60% | 9.19% | 10.65% |
| Year-end equity to year-end assets ratio | 10.16% | 9.23% | 9.90% |

Short-Term Borrowings

For each of the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, the average outstanding balance of short-term borrowings did not exceed 30% of shareholders' equity.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") Advances

Information regarding securities sold under agreements to repurchase and FHLB advances is included in notes 8 and 9 to the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Corporation's business is subject to many risks and uncertainties. Although the Corporation seeks ways to manage these risks and develop programs to control those that management can, the Corporation ultimately cannot predict the extent to which these risks and uncertainties could affect results. Actual results may differ materially from management's expectations. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Corporation are discussed below.

Economic conditions may adversely affect the Corporation's financial performance.

As a consequence of the economic slowdown that the United States experienced, business activity across a wide range of industries continues to face serious difficulties due to reduced consumer spending, the weakened financial condition of some borrowers and employment levels. A continued weakness or further weakening in business and economic conditions generally or specifically in the principal markets in which the Corporation does business could have one or more of the following adverse effects on the Corporation's business: (i) a decrease in the demand for loans and other products and services; (ii) a decrease in the value of the Corporation's loans or other assets secured by consumer or commercial real estate; (iii) an impairment of certain of the Corporation's intangible assets, such as goodwill; and (iv) an increase in the number of borrowers and counterparties who become delinquent, file for protection under bankruptcy laws or default on their loans or other obligations to the Corporation. An increase in the number of delinquencies, bankruptcies or defaults could result in a higher level of nonperforming assets, net charge-offs and provision for loan losses.

Commercial real estate and business loans increase the Corporation's exposure to credit risks.

At December 31, 2010, the Corporation's portfolio of commercial real estate and business loans totaled \$247.8 million or 40.4% of total loans. The Corporation's plans are to continue to emphasize the origination of these types of loans, which generally expose the Corporation to a greater risk of nonpayment and loss than residential real estate or consumer loans because repayment of such loans often depends on the successful operations and income stream of the borrower's business. Additionally, such loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to residential real estate and consumer loans. Also, some of the Corporation's borrowers have more than one commercial loan outstanding. Consequently, an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose the Corporation to a significantly greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to residential real estate and consumer loans. The Corporation targets its business lending and marketing strategy towards small to medium-sized businesses. These small to medium-sized businesses generally have fewer financial resources in terms of capital or borrowing capacity than larger entities. If general economic conditions negatively impact these businesses, the Corporation's results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Increases to the allowance for loan losses may cause the Corporation's earnings to decrease.

The Corporation's customers may not repay their loans according to the original terms, and the collateral securing the payment of those loans may be insufficient to pay any remaining loan balance. Hence, we may experience significant loan losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. Management makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of its loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of its borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of loans. In determining the amount of the allowance for credit losses, management relies on loan quality reviews, past loss experience, and an evaluation of economic conditions, among other factors. If these assumptions prove to be incorrect, the allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover losses inherent in the Corporation's loan portfolio, resulting in additions to the allowance. Material additions to the allowance would materially decrease net

income.

The Corporation's emphasis on the origination of commercial loans is one of the more significant factors in evaluating its allowance for credit losses. As the Corporation continues to increase the amount of these loans, additional or increased provisions for loan losses may be necessary and as a result could result in a decrease in earnings.

12

Bank regulators periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require the Corporation to increase its provision for loan losses or loan charge-offs. Any increase in the allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory authorities could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and/or financial condition.

Changes in interest rates could adversely affect the Corporation's results of operations and financial condition.

The Corporation's results of operations and financial condition are significantly affected by changes in interest rates. Our financial results depend substantially on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income that we earn on interest-earning assets and the interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities. If the Corporation's interest-earning assets mature or reprice more quickly than its interest-bearing liabilities in a given period as a result of decreasing interest rates, net interest income may decrease. Likewise, net interest income may decrease if interest-bearing liabilities mature or reprice more quickly than interest-earning assets in a given period as a result of increasing interest rates. The Corporation has taken steps to mitigate this risk, such as holding fewer longer-term residential mortgages, as well as investing excess funds in shorter-term investments.

Changes in interest rates also affect the fair value of the Corporation's interest-earning assets and, in particular, its investment securities available for sale. Generally, the fair value of investment securities fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. Decreases in the fair value of investment securities available for sale, therefore, could have an adverse effect on our shareholders' equity or earnings if the decrease in fair value is deemed to be other than temporary.

Changes in interest rates may also affect the average life of loans and mortgage-related securities. Decreases in interest rates can result in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-related securities, as borrowers refinance to reduce borrowing costs. Under these circumstances, the Corporation is subject to reinvestment risk to the extent that it is unable to reinvest the cash received from such prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates on its existing loans and securities. Additionally, increases in interest rates may decrease loan demand and make it more difficult for borrowers to repay adjustable rate loans.

Strong competition within our industry and market area could limit the Corporation's growth and profitability.

The Corporation faces substantial competition in all phases of its operations from a variety of different competitors. Future growth and success will depend on the ability to compete effectively in this highly competitive environment. The Corporation competes for deposits, loans and other financial services with a variety of banks, thrifts, credit unions and other financial institutions as well as other entities which provide financial services. Some of the financial institutions and financial services organizations with which we compete are not subject to the same degree of regulation as the Corporation. Many competitors have been in business for many years, have established customer bases, are larger, and have substantially higher lending limits. The financial services industry is also likely to become more competitive as further technological advances enable more companies to provide financial services. These technological advances may diminish the importance of depository institutions and other financial intermediaries in the transfer of funds between parties.

The Corporation's growth strategy may not prove to be successful and our market value and profitability may suffer.

As part of the Corporation's strategy for continued growth, we may open additional branches. New branches do not initially contribute to operating profits due to the impact of overhead expenses and the start-up phase of generating loans and deposits. To the extent that additional branches are opened, the Corporation may experience the effects of higher operating expenses relative to operating income from the new operations, which may have an adverse effect on the Corporation's levels of net income, return on average equity and return on average assets.

In addition, the Corporation may acquire banks and related businesses that it believes provide a strategic fit with its business, such as the pending acquisition of Fort Orange Financial Corp. To the extent that the Corporation grows through acquisitions, it cannot provide assurance that such strategic decisions will be accretive to earnings.

The Corporation operates in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in laws and regulations.

Currently, the Corporation and its subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and examination by regulatory authorities. For example, the Corporation is regulated by the Federal Reserve and the Bank is regulated by the Federal Reserve, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and the New York State Banking Department. Such regulators govern the activities in which the Corporation and its subsidiaries may engage. These regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the imposition of restrictions on the operation of a bank, the classification of assets by a bank, and the adequacy of a bank's allowance for loan losses. Any change in such regulation and oversight, whether in the form of regulatory policy, regulations, or legislation, could have a material impact on the Corporation and its operations. The Corporation believes that it is in substantial compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations. Because our business is highly regulated, the laws, rules and applicable regulations are subject to regular modification and change. There can be no assurance that proposed laws, rules and regulations, or any other law, rule or regulation, will not be adopted in the future, which could make compliance more difficult or expensive or otherwise adversely affect our business, financial condition or prospects.

Recent legislative reforms may result in the Corporation's business becoming subject to significant and extensive additional regulations and/or can adversely affect the Corporation's results of operations and financial condition.

On July 21, 2010, the President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"). This new law will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new rules and regulations, and to prepare various studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting such rules and regulations. With that discretion, market litigation, and continued legislative efforts, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for months or years.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are expected to have a near term impact on the Corporation. Among other things, these provisions: (i) abolish the Office of Thrift Supervision and transfer its functions to other federal banking agencies; (ii) relax rules regarding interstate branching; (iii) allow financial institutions to pay interest on business checking accounts; (iv) change the scope of federal deposit insurance coverage; and (v) impose new capital requirements on bank holding companies.

It is difficult to predict at this time with specificity the full range of the impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on the Corporation. The Dodd-Frank Act substantially increases regulation of the financial services industry and imposes restrictions on the operations and general ability of firms within the industry to conduct business consistent with historical practices. The Corporation will have to apply resources to ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and any implementing rules, which may increase its costs of operations and adversely impact its earnings.

The Corporation is a holding company and depends on its subsidiaries for dividends, distributions and other payments.

The Corporation is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank and other subsidiaries. Its principal source of cash flow, including cash flow to pay dividends to its shareholders, is dividends from the Bank. There are statutory and regulatory limitations on the payment of dividends by the Bank to the Corporation, as well as by the Corporation to its shareholders. Federal Reserve regulations affect the ability of the Bank to pay dividends and other distributions and to make loans to the Corporation. If the Bank is unable to make dividend payments to the Corporation and sufficient capital is not otherwise available, we may not be able to make dividend payments to our common

shareholders.

14

The Corporation holds certain intangible assets that could be classified as impaired in the future. If these assets are considered to be either partially or fully impaired in the future, our earnings and the book values of these assets would decrease.

The Corporation is required to test its goodwill and core deposit intangible assets for impairment on a periodic basis. The impairment testing process considers a variety of factors, including the current market price of its common stock, the estimated net present value of its assets and liabilities, and information concerning the terminal valuation of similarly situated insured depository institutions. If an impairment determination is made in a future reporting period, our earnings and the book value of these intangible assets would be reduced by the amount of the impairment. If an impairment loss is recorded, it will have little or no impact on the tangible book value of our common shares or our regulatory capital levels, but such an impairment loss could significantly restrict the Bank from paying a dividend to the Corporation.

The Corporation continually encounters technological change and the failure to understand and adapt to these changes could adversely effect our business.

The banking industry is undergoing rapid technological changes with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The Corporation's future success will depend, in part, on the ability to address the needs of customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands for convenience as well as to create additional efficiencies in operations. Many competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. There can be no assurance that the Corporation will be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing such products and services to customers.

The Corporation is subject to security and operational risks relating to its use of technology.

Despite instituted safeguards, the Corporation cannot be certain that all of its systems are entirely free from vulnerability to attack or other technological difficulties or failures. The Corporation relies on the services of a variety of vendors to meet its data processing and communication needs. If information security is breached or other technology difficulties or failures occur, information may be lost or misappropriated, services and operations may be interrupted and the Corporation could be exposed to claims from customers. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Risk factors related to the Corporation's pending acquisition of Fort Orange Financial Corporation, ("FOFC"), the parent company of Capital Bank & Trust Company ("Capital Bank").

The Corporation may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of the merger.

The success of the merger will depend on, among other things, the Corporation's ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to combine the businesses of the Bank and Capital Bank in a manner that permits growth opportunities and does not materially disrupt the existing customer relationships of Capital Bank or result in decreased revenues due to loss of customers. If the Corporation is not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected.

The Merger Agreement may be terminated in accordance with its terms and the merger may not be completed.

While required regulatory approvals have been received, the Merger Agreement remains subject to a number of conditions which must be fulfilled in order to complete the merger. Those conditions include: (i) approval of the Merger Agreement by FOFC shareholders; (ii) approval of the Merger by the Corporation's shareholders; (iii) absence of court orders prohibiting the completion of the Merger; (iv) the continued accuracy of the representations and

warranties by both parties; (v) the performance by both parties of their covenants and agreements; and (vi) the receipt by both parties of legal opinions from their respective tax counsels.

In addition, certain circumstances exist whereby the Corporation or FOFC may choose to terminate the Merger Agreement. There can be no assurance that the conditions to closing of the merger will be fulfilled or that the merger will be completed.

A lawsuit challenging the merger has been filed against FOFC, the FOFC board of directors and the Corporation, and an adverse judgment in this lawsuit or any future similar lawsuits may prevent the merger from becoming effective or from becoming effective within the expected timeframe.

FOFC, the FOFC board of directors and the Corporation have been named as defendants in a purported class action lawsuit in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Albany, challenging the proposed merger and seeking, among other things, to enjoin the defendants from completing the merger on the agreed-upon terms and rescission of the merger to the extent it has been completed. See "Item 3. Legal Proceedings" hereof.

One of the conditions to the closing of the merger is that no order, injunction or decree that enjoins or prohibits the completion of the merger be in effect. If any plaintiff were successful in obtaining an injunction prohibiting the FOFC or the Corporation defendants from completing the merger on the agreed upon terms, then such injunction may prevent the merger from becoming effective or from becoming effective within the expected timeframe.

The pending acquisition of FOFC may distract our management from their other responsibilities.

The pending acquisition of FOFC could cause our management to focus their time and energies on matters related to the acquisition that otherwise would be directed to our business and operations. Management has considered this possibility and is confident that it has the resources necessary to manage this process effectively. Nonetheless, any such distraction on the part of management, if significant, could affect management's ability to service existing business and develop new business and otherwise adversely affect us following the acquisition.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Corporation and the Bank currently conduct all their business activities from the Bank's main office in Elmira, NY, 22 full-service branch locations in a seven-county area, owned office space adjacent to the Bank's main office in Elmira, NY and eleven off-site automated teller facilities (ATMs), nine of which are located on leased property. The main office is a six-story structure located at One Chemung Canal Plaza, Elmira, New York, in the downtown business district. The main office consists of approximately 59,342 square feet of space, of which 745 square feet is occupied by the Corporation's subsidiary CFS Group, with the remaining 58,597 square feet entirely occupied by the Bank. The combined square footage of the 23 branch banking facilities totals approximately 110,836 square feet. The office building adjacent to the main office was acquired in 1995 and consists of approximately 33,186 square feet of which 30,766 square feet are occupied by operating departments of the Bank and 2,420 square feet are leased. The leased automated teller facility spaces total approximately 435 square feet. The Bank operates six of its facilities (Bath, Binghamton, Community Corners, Oakdale Mall, Tioga and Vestal Offices) and nine automated teller facilities (four Byrne Dairy Food Stores, Convenient Food Mart, Elmira/Corning Regional Airport, General Revenue Corp., Ithaca College and Quality Beverage) under lease arrangements. The rest of its offices, including the main office and the adjacent office building, are owned by the Bank. All properties owned or leased by the Bank are considered to be in good condition.

The Corporation holds no real estate in its own name.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Following the public announcement on October 15, 2010 of the execution of the merger agreement by and between the Corporation and Fort Orange Financial Corp. (“FOFC”), Allan O. Birkett filed a stockholder class action lawsuit in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Albany, on March 11, 2011 against the Corporation, FOFC and the directors of FOFC challenging the Corporation’s proposed acquisition of FOFC. The lawsuit purports to be brought on behalf of all public stockholders of FOFC and alleges, among other things, that the directors of FOFC breached their fiduciary duties of care, loyalty, good faith and fair dealing by agreeing to the proposed transaction at an unfair price and through an unfair process. The lawsuit further alleges that FOFC and the Corporation aided and abetted the alleged fiduciary duty breaches. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, an order enjoining the defendants from proceeding with or consummating the transaction, rescission in the event the transaction is consummated, damages and attorney’s fees.

The Corporation, FOFC and the directors of FOFC deny any wrongdoing in connection with the merger and intend to vigorously defend the action.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Corporation's stock is traded in the over-the-counter market under the symbol CHMG.OB.

Below are the quarterly market price ranges for the Corporation's stock for the past two years, based upon actual transactions as reported by securities brokerage firms which maintain a market or conduct trades in the Corporation's stock and other transactions known by the Corporation's management.

Market Prices During Past Two Years (dollars)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1st Quarter | \$19.65 - \$21.40 | \$15.00 - \$22.00 |
| 2nd Quarter | \$19.90 - \$21.55 | \$17.25 - \$23.00 |
| 3rd Quarter | \$20.15 - \$22.00 | \$18.75 - \$21.25 |
| 4th Quarter | \$20.50 - \$24.00 | \$19.55 - \$23.00 |

Below are the dividends paid quarterly by the Corporation for each share of the Corporation's common stock over the last two years:

Dividends Paid Per Share During Past Two Years

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------|--------|--------|
| January | \$0.25 | \$0.25 |
| April | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| July | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| October | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| | \$1.00 | \$1.00 |

The Bank is also subject to legal limitations on the amount of dividends that can be paid to the Corporation without prior regulatory approval. Dividends are limited to retained net profits, as defined by regulations, for the current year and the two preceding years. At December 31, 2010, approximately \$5.1 million was available for the declaration of dividends from the Bank to the Corporation.

As of February 28, 2011 there were 534 registered holders of record of the Corporation's stock.

The table below sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by the Corporation of our common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2010:

| Period | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| 10/1/10-10/31/10 | - | \$ - | - | 69,269 |
| 11/1/10-11/18/10 | - | \$ - | - | 69,269 |
| 11/19/10-11/30/10 (1) | - | \$ - | - | 69,269 |
| 12/1/10-12/31/10 | - | \$ - | - | 69,269 |
| Quarter ended 12/31/10 | - | \$ - | - | 69,269 |

(1) On November 17, 2010, the Corporation's Board of Directors approved a one year extension of the stock repurchase program that had been initially approved on November 18, 2009. The extension authorizes purchases of up to 90,000 shares of the Corporation's outstanding common stock, including those shares purchased during the first year of the plan. Purchases will be made from time to time on the open-market or in private negotiated transactions and will be at the discretion of management.

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the yearly change in the cumulative total shareholder return on the Corporation's common stock against the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S. Companies), NASDAQ Bank Stocks Index and SNL \$500M - \$1B Bank Index for the period of five years commencing December 31, 2005.

Chemung Financial Corporation

[Missing Graphic Reference]

| Index | Period Ending | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 12/31/05 | 12/31/06 | 12/31/07 | 12/31/08 | 12/31/09 | 12/31/10 |
| Chemung Financial Corporation | 100.00 | 106.98 | 96.76 | 71.02 | 77.30 | 89.23 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 110.39 | 122.15 | 73.32 | 106.57 | 125.91 |
| NASDAQ Bank | 100.00 | 113.82 | 91.16 | 71.52 | 59.87 | 68.34 |
| SNL Bank \$500M-\$1B | 100.00 | 113.73 | 91.14 | 58.40 | 55.62 | 60.72 |

The cumulative total return includes (1) dividends paid and (2) changes in the share price of the Corporation's common stock and assumes that all dividends were reinvested. The above graph assumes that the value of the investment in Chemung Financial Corporation and each index was \$100 on December 31, 2005.

The Total Returns Index for NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S. Companies) and Bank Stocks indices were obtained from SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. The selected financial data is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements.

The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes.

SUMMARIZED BALANCE SHEET
DATA AT

| DECEMBER 31, (in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total assets | \$958,327 | \$975,552 | \$838,318 | \$788,874 | \$739,050 |
| Loans, net of deferred fees and costs, and unearned income | 613,684 | 595,853 | 565,185 | 539,522 | 477,664 |
| Investment Securities | 231,260 | 243,143 | 199,694 | 169,801 | 191,696 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock | 3,329 | 3,281 | 3,155 | 5,902 | 3,605 |
| Deposits | 786,359 | 801,063 | 656,909 | 572,600 | 585,092 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 44,775 | 54,263 | 63,413 | 31,212 | 35,024 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Advances | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 82,400 | 27,900 |
| Shareholders' equity | 97,409 | 90,086 | 83,007 | 88,115 | 82,298 |

SUMMARIZED EARNINGS DATA
FOR THE

| YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, (in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net interest income | \$34,530 | \$33,155 | \$30,668 | \$25,936 | \$24,546 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,125 | 2,450 | 1,450 | 1,255 | 125 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | \$33,405 | 30,705 | 29,218 | 24,681 | 24,421 |
| Other operating income: | | | | | |
| Trust and investment services income | 10,497 | 8,089 | 6,834 | 6,345 | 4,901 |
| Securities gains, net | 451 | 785 | 589 | 10 | 27 |
| Trust Preferred impairment | (393) | (2,242) | (803) | - | - |
| Net gains on sales of loans held for sale | 242 | 259 | 114 | 98 | 103 |
| Other income | 8,848 | 8,819 | 10,404 | 10,176 | 9,281 |
| Total other operating income | 19,645 | 15,710 | 17,138 | 16,629 | 14,312 |
| Other operating expenses | 37,843 | 39,321 | 33,968 | 30,521 | 29,523 |
| Income before income tax expense | 15,207 | 7,094 | 12,388 | 10,789 | 9,210 |
| Income tax expense | 5,105 | 1,861 | 4,034 | 3,530 | 2,621 |
| Net income | \$10,102 | \$5,233 | \$8,354 | \$7,259 | \$6,589 |

| SELECTED PER SHARE DATA | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | % Change | Compounded Annual |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|----------------------|
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|----------------------|

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| ON SHARES OF COMMON STOCK AT OR FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, | 2009 To 2010 | | | | | | | Growth 5 Years |
|---|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------------------|
| Net income per share | \$ 2.80 | \$ 1.45 | \$ 2.32 | \$ 2.02 | \$ 1.81 | \$ 1.79 | 93.1% | 9.4% |
| Dividends declared | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.96 | -% | 0.8% |
| Tangible book value | 22.90 | 20.74 | 18.96 | 22.50 | 22.09 | 21.35 | 10.4% | 1.4% |
| Market price at 12/31 | 22.30 | 21.25 | 20.40 | 27.25 | 32.90 | 30.25 | 4.9% | -5.9% |
| Average shares outstanding (in thousands) | 3,607 | 3,603 | 3,594 | 3,595 | 3,642 | 3,689 | 0.1% | -0.4% |

SELECTED RATIOS AT OR FOR THE YEARS
ENDED

| DECEMBER 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Return on average assets | 1.02% | 0.56% | 1.00% | 0.95% | 0.91% |
| Return on average tier I equity (1) | 12.83% | 6.97% | 11.45% | 9.53% | 8.60% |
| Dividend yield at year end | 4.48% | 4.71% | 4.90% | 3.67% | 2.92% |
| Dividend payout | 34.85% | 67.30% | 42.07% | 47.02% | 51.94% |
| Total capital to risk adjusted assets | 14.54% | 13.22% | 13.58% | 15.78% | 17.11% |
| Tier I capital to risk adjusted assets | 12.92% | 11.61% | 11.97% | 13.84% | 15.12% |
| Tier I leverage ratio | 8.72% | 7.89% | 8.94% | 10.14% | 10.80% |
| Loans to deposits | 78.04% | 74.38% | 86.04% | 94.22% | 81.64% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.55% | 1.67% | 1.61% | 1.57% | 1.67% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 84.40% | 72.20% | 200.40% | 236.58% | 221.15% |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 1.83% | 2.32% | 0.80% | 0.66% | 0.76% |
| Net interest rate spread | 3.53% | 3.49% | 3.46% | 2.88% | 2.88% |
| Net interest margin | 3.81% | 3.89% | 4.05% | 3.71% | 3.69% |
| Efficiency ratio (2) | 68.35% | 78.40% | 68.11% | 70.03% | 74.77% |

(1) Average Tier I Equity is average shareholders' equity less average goodwill and intangible assets and average accumulated other comprehensive income/loss.

(2) Efficiency ratio is operating expenses adjusted for amortization of intangible assets and stock donations divided by net interest income (before loan losses) plus other operating income adjusted for non-taxable gains on stock donations.

UNAUDITED QUARTERLY DATA

| (in thousands except per share data) | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 |
| Interest and dividend income | \$10,868 | \$10,885 | \$10,640 | \$10,353 |
| Interest expense | 2,356 | 2,106 | 1,959 | 1,795 |
| Net interest income | 8,512 | 8,779 | 8,681 | 8,558 |
| Provision for loan losses | 375 | 375 | 375 | - |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 8,137 | 8,404 | 8,306 | 8,558 |
| Total other operating income | 3,995 | 4,665 | 4,247 | 6,737 |
| Total other operating expenses | 9,246 | 9,415 | 8,882 | 10,299 |
| Income before income tax expense | 2,886 | 3,654 | 3,671 | 4,996 |
| Income tax expense | 886 | 1,151 | 1,120 | 1,948 |
| Net Income | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,503 | \$ 2,551 | \$ 3,048 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.84 |

UNAUDITED QUARTERLY DATA

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 |
| Interest and dividend income | \$10,655 | \$11,159 | \$11,379 | \$11,297 |
| Interest expense | 2,963 | 2,870 | 2,844 | 2,658 |
| Net interest income | 7,692 | 8,289 | 8,535 | 8,639 |
| Provision for loan losses | 425 | 375 | 1,275 | 375 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 7,267 | 7,914 | 7,260 | 8,264 |
| Total other operating income | 4,221 | 3,615 | 4,109 | 3,764 |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Total other operating expenses | 8,985 | 10,757 | 9,259 | 10,320 |
| Income before income tax expense | 2,503 | 772 | 2,110 | 1,708 |
| Income tax expense | 769 | 77 | 594 | 420 |
| Net Income (1) | \$ 1,734 | \$ 695 | \$ 1,516 | \$ 1,288 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.36 |

(1) The significant decrease in second quarter 2009 net income was due in large part to one-time merger costs associated with the Corporation's acquisition of Canton Bancorp Inc. totaling \$1.148 million and a \$439 thousand special FDIC insurance assessment.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The purpose of this discussion is to focus on information about the financial condition and results of operations of Chemung Financial Corporation. Reference should be made to the accompanying consolidated financial statements (including related notes) and the selected financial data appearing elsewhere in this report for an understanding of the following discussion and analysis.

This discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Corporation intends its forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements in these sections. All statements regarding the Corporation's expected financial position and operating results, the Corporation's business strategy, the Corporation's financial plans, forecasted demographic and economic trends relating to the Corporation's industry and similar matters are forward-looking statements. These statements can sometimes be identified by the Corporation's use of forward-looking words such as "may," "will," "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," or "intend." The Corporation cannot promise that its expectations in such forward-looking statements will turn out to be correct. The Corporation's actual results could be materially different from expectations because of various factors, including changes in economic conditions or interest rates, credit risk, difficulties in managing our growth, including those in connection with the completion of our pending acquisition of Fort Orange Financial Corporation and the integration of its business with ours, competition, changes in law or the regulatory environment, including the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and changes in general business and economic trends. Additional information concerning these and other factors can be found in our periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the discussion under the heading "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in this Form 10-K. These filings are available publicly on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>, on the Corporation's website at <http://www.chemungcanal.com> or upon request from the Corporate Secretary at (607) 737-3788. Except as otherwise required by law, the Corporation undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise its forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Description of Business

Chemung Financial Corporation, through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Chemung Canal Trust Company (the "Bank") and CFS Group, Inc., a financial services company, provides a wide range of banking, financing, fiduciary and other financial services within its local market areas.

Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and Risks and Uncertainties

Critical accounting policies include the areas where the Corporation has made what it considers to be particularly difficult, subjective or complex judgments in making estimates, and where these estimates can significantly affect the Corporation's financial results under different assumptions and conditions. The Corporation prepares its financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. As a result, the Corporation is required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that it believes are reasonable based upon the information available at that time. These estimates, judgments and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the periods presented. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

Management considers the accounting policy relating to the allowance for loan losses to be a critical accounting policy given the inherent uncertainty in evaluating the level of the allowance required to cover probable incurred credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio, and the material effect that such judgments can have on the Corporation's results of operations. While management's current evaluation of the allowance for loan losses indicates that the allowance is adequate, under adversely different conditions or assumptions, the allowance would need to be increased. For example, if historical loan loss experience significantly worsened or if current economic conditions significantly deteriorated, additional provisions for loan losses would be required to increase the allowance. In addition, the assumptions and estimates used in the internal reviews of the Corporation's non-performing loans and potential problem loans, and the associated evaluation of the related collateral coverage for these loans, has a significant impact on the overall analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Real estate values in the Corporation's market area did not increase dramatically in the prior several years, and, as a result, any declines in real estate values have been modest. While management has concluded that the current evaluation of collateral values is reasonable under the circumstances, if collateral evaluations were significantly lowered, the Corporation's allowance for loan losses policy would also require additional provisions for loan losses.

Management also considers the accounting policy relating to other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") of investment securities to be a critical accounting policy. The determination of whether a decline in market value is other-than-temporary is necessarily a matter of subjective judgment. The timing and amount of any realized losses reported in the Corporation's financial statements could vary if management's conclusions were to change as to whether an other-than-temporary impairment exists. In April 2009, the FASB issued accounting guidance which amended existing guidance for determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary for debt securities. The guidance requires an entity to assess whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of these criteria is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized through a charge to earnings. For those securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, such as those that management has determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the amount of impairment charged to earnings is limited to the amount related to credit losses, while impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. Our analysis of these investments includes \$723 thousand book value of two collateralized debt obligations ("CDO's") consisting of pooled trust preferred securities. These securities were rated high quality when purchased, but at December 31, 2010 Moody's rated these securities both as Caa3, which is defined as substantial risk of default. The Corporation uses the OTTI evaluation model to compare the present value of expected cash flows to the previous estimate to determine if there are adverse changes in cash flows during the quarter. The OTTI model considers the structure and term of the CDO and the financial condition of the underlying issuers. Specifically, the model details interest rates, principal balances of note classes and underlying issuers, the timing and amount of interest and principal payments of the underlying issuers, and the allocation of the payments to the note classes. The current estimate of expected cash flows is based on the most recent trustee reports and any other relevant market information including announcements of interest payment deferrals or defaults of underlying trust preferred securities. Assumptions used in the model include expected future default rates and prepayments. We assume no recoveries on defaults and treat all interest payment deferrals as defaults. Additional default assumptions were made based on credit quality ratios and performance measures of the remaining financial institutions in the pools, as well as overall default rates based on historical bank debt default rate averages. For the year ended December 31, 2010, OTTI losses recognized in earnings totaled \$393 thousand. Both of these securities remained classified as available for sale and represented \$388 thousand of the unrealized losses reported at December 31, 2010. Both securities continue to accrue interest and payments continue to be made as agreed.

Management also considers the accounting policy relating to the valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets to be a critical accounting policy. The initial carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets is determined using estimated fair values developed from various sources and other generally accepted valuation techniques. Estimates are based upon financial, economic, market and other conditions as they existed as of the date of a particular

acquisition. These estimates of fair value are the results of judgments made by the Corporation based upon estimates that are inherently uncertain and changes in the assumptions upon which the estimates were based may have a significant impact on the resulting estimates. In addition to the initial determination of the carrying value, on an ongoing basis management must assess whether there is any impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets that would require an adjustment in carrying value and recognition of a loss in the consolidated statement of income. The Corporation determined that goodwill and other intangible assets were not impaired at December 31, 2010.

Management of Credit Risk - Loan Portfolio

The Corporation manages credit risk consistent with state and federal laws governing the making of loans through written policies and procedures; loan review to identify loan problems at the earliest possible time; collection procedures (continued even after a loan is charged off); an adequate allowance for loan losses; and continuing education and training to ensure lending expertise. Diversification by loan product is maintained through offering commercial loans, 1-4 family mortgages, and a full range of consumer loans.

The Corporation monitors its loan portfolio carefully. The Loan Committee of the Corporation's Board of Directors is designated to receive required loan reports, oversee loan policy, and approve loans above authorized individual and Senior Loan Committee lending limits. The Senior Loan Committee, consisting of the president & CEO, three executive vice presidents, the business client division manager, the retail client division manager, the commercial loan manager, the consumer loan manager, the mortgage loan manager and the credit manager, implements the Board-approved loan policy.

Financial Condition

Consolidated assets at December 31, 2010 totaled \$958.3 million, a decrease of \$17.2 million or 1.8% from December 31, 2009. As discussed in greater detail below, this decrease was principally due to a \$19.1 million decrease in total cash and cash equivalents, an \$11.8 million decrease in investment securities and a \$3.5 million decrease in other assets, offset in part primarily by a \$17.8 million increase in loans, net of deferred fees and costs and unearned income.

As noted above, total loans, net of deferred fees and costs and unearned income increased \$17.8 million or 3.0% during 2010. This increase was principally due to increases in residential mortgages and commercial loans (including commercial mortgages) totaling \$11.0 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, as well as a \$1.0 million increase in total consumer loans. The increase in residential mortgages was due to an increase in volume of fourth quarter originations, and reflects the impact of a loan promotion offered during the latter part of the third quarter and early in the fourth quarter offering no closing cost mortgages for terms of up to 15 years on new mortgages or refinancing of existing mortgages with new money of at least \$50 thousand. The increase in commercial loans was also affected by increased demand during the fourth quarter of 2010. Indirect consumer loans, consisting principally of indirect auto financing, increased \$4.8 million, impacted by improvement in the auto industry during 2010. Other consumer loans decreased \$3.8 million, due primarily to a \$2.5 million decrease in home equity balances, attributed to the high level of refinancing activity related to historically low residential mortgage rates during much of 2010, as well as an \$833 thousand decrease in consumer installment loans. Additionally, student loans decreased \$259 thousand as during the year the Corporation sold the remaining balance of its student loan portfolio.

The available for sale segment of the securities portfolio totaled \$223.5 million at December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$7.4 million or 3.2% from December 31, 2009. At amortized cost, the available for sale portfolio decreased approximately \$9.0 million, with unrealized appreciation related to the available for sale portfolio increasing \$1.6 million. The decrease in the available for sale portfolio was principally due to a \$31.5 million decrease in mortgage-backed securities and an \$18.2 million decrease in federal agency bonds, as well as decreases in corporate bonds and trust preferred securities totaling \$721 thousand and \$305 thousand, respectively. These decreases were offset in part by a \$34.9 million increase in U.S. Treasury bonds and a \$6.9 million increase in municipal bonds. The decrease in mortgage-backed securities reflects paydowns received during the year, while the decrease in federal agency bonds was due to maturities and calls during 2010 totaling \$98.9 million, partially offset by federal agency bond purchases. The significant increase in U.S. Treasury bonds was due to purchases totaling \$44.6 million, partially offset by the sale of a \$9.7 million bond in the second quarter of 2010. The increase in unrealized appreciation related

to the available for sale portfolio was due in large part to the impact of a decrease in mid to long term market rates on the bond portfolio. The held to maturity portion of the portfolio, consisting of local municipal obligations, decreased approximately \$4.4 million to \$7.7 million.

As noted above, total cash and cash equivalents decreased \$19.1 million since December 31, 2009. Due principally to a decrease in deposits and an increase in loans, interest bearing deposits at other financial institutions decreased \$14.5 million. Additionally, cash and due from financial institutions was down \$4.6 million primarily due to a reduced level of period-end federal transit items, as well as lower branch cash levels. With total cash and due from banks totaling \$60.6 million at December 31, 2010, the Corporation continues to maintain a strong liquidity position, and we continue to evaluate alternative investment of these funds with caution given the low interest rate environment and the inherent interest rate risk associated with longer term securities portfolio investments.

A \$3.5 million decrease in other assets in 2010 was due in large part to decreases in the Corporation's prepaid pension asset and prepaid FDIC insurance, each totaling \$1.1 million, as well as a \$988 thousand decrease in funds held in escrow by a company which had, until the third quarter of 2010, provided outsourcing services for the processing of official checks. The decrease was additionally impacted by a \$575 thousand decrease in net deferred tax assets.

Since December 31, 2009, total deposits have decreased \$14.7 million or 1.8% from \$801.1 million to \$786.4 million, as a \$1.7 million increase in non-interest bearing demand deposits was offset by a \$16.4 million decrease in interest bearing deposits. The decrease in interest bearing balances was due to a \$28.7 million decrease in total time deposits, as with our excess liquidity position and soft loan demand during much of the year, a strategic decision was made to allow some of these higher priced deposits to run-off. This decrease was offset in part by a \$6.5 million increase in savings account balances, a \$4.7 million increase in insured money market ("IMMA") balances and a \$1.1 million increase in NOW accounts. The increase in savings balances includes a \$1.7 million increase in public fund balances, as well as increases in other savings balances totaling \$4.8 million, while the increase in IMMA balance includes a \$3.7 million increase in public fund balances, with other IMMA balances increasing nearly \$1.0 million. The increase in NOW accounts was principally due to an increase in public fund balances.

A \$9.5 million decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase was due to the repayment of a \$10.0 million advance that matured during the second quarter of 2010.

BALANCE SHEET COMPARISONS

(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | %Compounded | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | Change 2009 to 2010 | Annual Growth 5 Years |
| Average Balance Sheet | | | | | | | | |
| Total Assets | \$988.6 | \$928.8 | \$837.5 | \$767.0 | \$722.0 | \$715.3 | 6.4% | 6.7% |
| Earning Assets (1) | 905.5 | 852.4 | 757.3 | 698.6 | 665.9 | 661.3 | 6.2% | 6.5% |
| Loans, net of deferred fees and costs, and unearned income | 590.6 | 586.7 | 561.6 | 520.0 | 449.7 | 403.4 | 0.7% | 7.9% |
| Investments (2) | 314.9 | 265.7 | 195.7 | 178.6 | 216.2 | 257.9 | 18.5% | 4.1% |
| Deposits | 817.6 | 752.4 | 649.7 | 592.6 | 568.3 | 530.0 | 8.7% | 9.1% |
| Wholesale funding (3) | 61.1 | 70.9 | 78.8 | 72.2 | 54.3 | 87.5 | -13.8% | -6.9% |
| Tier I equity (4) | 78.7 | 75.1 | 73.0 | 76.2 | 76.6 | 74.6 | 4.8% | 1.1% |

(1) Average earning assets include securities available for sale and securities held to maturity based on amortized cost, loans net of deferred origination fees and costs and unearned income, interest-bearing deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Federal Reserve Bank stock and federal funds sold.

(2) Average balances for investments include securities available for sale and securities held to maturity, based on amortized cost, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Federal Reserve Bank stock, federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits.

- (3) Wholesale funding includes Federal Home Loan Bank advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase funded through the Federal Home Loan Bank.
- (4) Average shareholders' equity less goodwill, intangible assets and accumulated other comprehensive income/loss.

BALANCE SHEET COMPARISONS (continued)

(in millions)

| | | | | | | | Compounded % Change 2009 to 2010 | Annual Growth 5 Years |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|-----------------------------|
| Ending Balance Sheet | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | | |
| Total Assets | \$958.3 | \$975.6 | \$838.3 | \$788.9 | \$739.0 | \$718.0 | -1.8% | 5.9% |
| Earning Assets(1) | 892.8 | 893.5 | 764.6 | 707.5 | 668.5 | 666.1 | -0.1% | 6.0% |
| Loans, net of deferred fees and costs, and unearned income | 613.7 | 595.9 | 565.2 | 539.5 | 477.7 | 418.7 | 3.0% | 7.9% |
| Allowance for loan losses | 9.5 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 9.8 | -4.7% | -0.58% |
| Investments (2) | 278.7 | 305.0 | 205.3 | 176.0 | 190.8 | 247.3 | -8.6% | 2.4% |
| Deposits | 786.4 | 801.1 | 656.9 | 572.6 | 585.1 | 524.9 | -1.8% | 8.4% |
| Wholesale funding(3) | 57.5 | 67.5 | 77.5 | 104.9 | 55.4 | 94.8 | -14.8% | -9.5% |

- (1) Earning assets include securities available for sale and securities held to maturity based on amortized cost, loans net of deferred origination fees and costs and unearned income, interest-bearing deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Federal Reserve Bank stock and federal funds sold.
- (2) Investments include securities available for sale, at estimated fair value, securities held to maturity, at amortized cost, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Federal Reserve Bank stock, federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits.
- (3) Wholesale funding includes Federal Home Loan Bank advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase funded through the Federal Home Loan Bank.

Securities

The Board-approved Funds Management Policy includes an investment portfolio policy which requires that, except for local municipal obligations that are sometimes not rated or carry ratings above "Baa" but below "A" by Moody's or Standard & Poor's, debt securities purchased for the bond portfolio must carry a minimum rating of "A".

As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$1.9 million of single issue trust preferred securities at amortized cost and \$723 thousand of collateralized debt obligations consisting of pools of trust preferred securities at amortized cost, had credit ratings below "A". The two single issue trust preferred securities had a rating of "BBB-" by Standard & Poor's and "Baa2" by Moody's, while the trust preferred pools had a rating of "Caa3" by Moody's.

Marketable securities are classified as Available for Sale, while local direct investments in municipal obligations are generally classified as Held to Maturity. The Available for Sale portfolio at December 31, 2010 totaled \$223.5 million compared to \$231.0 million a year earlier. At year-end 2010, the total net unrealized appreciation in the securities available for sale portfolio was \$9.2 million, compared to \$7.6 million a year ago. The components of this change are set forth below.

| | 2010 | | | 2009 | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Gains (Losses) | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Gains (Losses) |
| Securities Available for Sale | | | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S Government sponsored | \$101,427 | \$102,132 | \$705 | \$84,669 | \$84,621 | \$(48) |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| enterprises | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 60,379 | 62,762 | 2,383 | 91,894 | 93,945 | 2,051 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 38,144 | 38,765 | 621 | 31,280 | 32,125 | 845 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 11,019 | 11,694 | 675 | 11,740 | 12,185 | 445 |
| Trust preferred securities | 2,598 | 2,344 | (254) | 2,983 | 2,261 | (722) |
| Corporate stocks | 745 | 5,848 | 5,103 | 826 | 5,847 | 5,021 |
| Totals | \$214,312 | \$223,545 | \$9,233 | \$223,392 | \$230,984 | \$7,592 |

Non-marketable equity securities carried by the Corporation at December 31, 2010 include 15,650 shares of Federal Reserve Bank stock, 23,596 shares of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock and 1,868 shares of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh stock. They are carried at their cost of \$782 thousand, \$2.360 million and \$187 thousand, respectively. The fair value of these securities is assumed to approximate their cost. The number of shares of these investments is regulated by regulatory policies of the respective institutions.

Asset Quality

Non-Performing Loans

Non-performing loans at December 31, 2010 totaled \$11.254 million compared to \$13.804 million at year-end 2009, a decrease of \$2.550 million. This decrease was principally due to a \$6.720 million decrease in accruing troubled debt restructurings ("TDR's"), as well as a \$506 thousand decrease in accruing loans 90 days or more past due, partially offset by a \$4.676 million increase in non-accrual loans. Both the decrease in accruing TDR's and the increase in non-accrual loans were principally due to the fact that commercial loans to one borrower totaling \$6.310 million that had been accruing TDR's at December 31, 2009 were placed in non-accrual status during the second quarter of this year. During the third quarter, \$970 thousand of loans to this borrower, for which the Corporation had previously established a specific allocation in the allowance for loan losses, were charged off. Additionally, during 2010, \$148 thousand in principal payments were applied to these loans. Loans to this borrower carry guarantees of the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") totaling \$4.847 million, thereby reducing the Corporation's remaining exposure on these loans to \$345 thousand. With the exception of these loans, other accruing TDR's decreased \$410 thousand, with other commercial loan and residential mortgage TDR's down \$108 thousand and \$302 thousand, respectively. The only concessions made on commercial loan TDR's involve short term deferrals of principal payments, while residential mortgage restructurings include interest rate and/or payment reductions. Despite the fact that the above mentioned USDA guaranteed loan was placed in non-accrual status during the second quarter of 2010, our past experience in working with borrowers in restructuring troubled debt has been favorable. TDR's are evaluated for impairment based upon the present value of expected future cash flows, with any changes recorded through the provision for loan losses. It is generally the Corporation's policy that TDR's that have continued to be in compliance with modified terms and conditions for six months and yield a market rate at the time of restructuring not be reported as TDR's in years subsequent to the year in which the loan was first reported as TDR.

As noted above, non-accrual loans increased \$4.676 million, due principally to a \$3.990 million increase in non-accrual commercial loans, as well as increases in non-accruing consumer and residential mortgage loans totaling \$360 thousand and \$326 thousand, respectively. Excluding the aforementioned USDA guaranteed loans that were placed in non-accrual status during the second quarter of 2010, all other non-accrual commercial loans were down \$1.202 million due principally to upgrades to accrual status and other principal reductions received during the year. It is the Corporation's policy that loans remain in non-accrual status until the loans have been brought current and remain current for a period of six months. In the case of non-accrual loans where a portion of the loan has been charged off, the remaining balance is kept in non-accrual status until the entire principal balance has been recovered.

Accruing loans 90 days or more past due decreased \$506 thousand due principally to lower consumer and residential mortgage delinquencies in this category totaling \$288 thousand and \$218 thousand, respectively. During 2009, it was the Corporation's policy that commercial loans 90 days past due, and consumer loans and residential mortgages 120 days past due, be placed in non-accrual status unless factors existed that would eliminate the need to place a loan in this status. A loan may also be designated as non-accrual at any time if payment of principal or interest in full is not expected due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower. This policy was amended during the first quarter of 2010 such that consumer loans and mortgages would be placed in non-accrual status at 90 days past due as opposed to the prior policy of 120 days, which was the major factor contributing to the decrease in accruing

mortgages and consumer loans 90 days or more past due, as well as the above noted increase in non-accrual loans in these categories.

At December 31, 2010, OREO totaled \$741 thousand compared to \$649 thousand at December 31, 2009, an increase of \$92 thousand, as during 2010 five properties totaling \$554 thousand were placed in OREO, five properties totaling \$293 thousand were sold, and four properties were written-down by \$169 thousand following receipt of updated appraisals. At December 31, 2010, OREO properties consist of four residential properties totaling \$429 thousand, two commercial properties totaling \$94 thousand and undeveloped land totaling \$218 thousand.

Impaired Loans

Impaired loans, excluding residential real estate loans determined to be troubled debt restructurings, at December 31, 2010 totaled \$7.665 million compared to \$10.093 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease of \$2.428 million was principally due to the above discussed decrease in commercial loan troubled debt restructurings of \$6.418 million, which was partially offset by the \$3.990 million increase in non-accruing commercial loans. Included in the impaired loan total are loans totaling \$892 thousand for which impairment allowances of \$240 thousand have been specifically allocated to the allowance for loan losses. As of December 31, 2009, the impaired loan total included \$3.358 million for which specific impairment allowances of \$845 thousand were allocated to the allowance for loan losses. The decrease in both the loans for which impairment allowances have been specifically allocated to the allowance for loan losses and the amount of specific allocations were largely related to the above discussed USDA guaranteed loans. The majority of the Corporation's impaired loans are secured and measured for impairment based on collateral evaluations. It is the Corporation's policy to obtain updated appraisals on loans secured by real estate at the time a loan is determined to be impaired. Prior to the receipt of the updated appraisal, an impairment measurement is performed based upon the most recent appraisal on file to determine the amount of any specific allocation or charge-off. Upon receipt and review of the updated appraisal, an additional measurement is performed to determine if any adjustments are necessary to reflect the proper provisioning or charge-off. Impaired loans are reviewed on a quarterly basis to determine if any changes in credit quality or market conditions would require any additional allocation or recognition of additional charge-offs. If market conditions warrant, future appraisals are obtained. Real estate values in the Corporation's market area had not increased dramatically in the prior several years, and, as a result, declines in real estate values have been modest. The appraisals are performed by independent third parties and reflect the properties market value "as is". In determining the amount of any specific allocation or charge-off, the Corporation will make adjustments to reflect the estimated costs to sell the property. In situations where partial charge-offs have been recognized, any balance remaining continues to be reflected as non-performing until the loan has been paid in full. In the case of impaired loans secured by assets other than real estate (i.e. business assets), a collateral valuation is performed using data from the client's most recently received financial statements, and applying discount rates based upon the type of collateral.

Non-Performing Assets

The following table summarizes the Corporation's non-performing assets (in thousands of dollars):

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 10,586 | \$ 5,910 | \$ 2,822 | \$ 2,225 | \$ 2,860 |
| Troubled debt restructurings | 657 | 7,377 | 746 | 830 | 329 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | 11 | 517 | 976 | 518 | 421 |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 11,254 | \$ 13,804 | \$ 4,544 | \$ 3,573 | \$ 3,610 |
| Other real estate owned | 741 | 649 | 324 | - | 1,819 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 11,995 | \$ 14,453 | \$ 4,868 | \$ 3,573 | \$ 5,429 |

Information with respect to interest income on non-accrual and troubled debt restructured loans for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Interest income that would have been recorded under original terms | \$ 957 | \$ 932 | \$ 256 |
| Interest income recorded during the period | \$ 298 | \$ 596 | \$ 85 |

In addition to non-performing loans, as of December 31, 2010, the Corporation has identified commercial relationships totaling \$7.2 million as potential problem loans, as compared to \$14.9 million at December 31, 2009. This decrease of \$7.7 million resulted principally from upgrades of two relationships totaling \$7.1 million following the receipt of financial information indicating improved operating results and financial condition of the borrowers, as well as the payoff of \$853 thousand in loans to a borrower which had previously been identified as potential problem loans. Potential problem loans are loans that are currently performing, but where known information about possible credit problems of the related borrowers causes management to have serious doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms, and which may result in the disclosure of such loans as non-performing at some time in the future. At the Corporation, potential problem loans are typically loans that are performing but are classified in the Corporation's loan rating system as "substandard." Management cannot predict the extent to which economic conditions may worsen or other factors which may impact borrowers and the potential problem loans. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that other loans will not become 90 days or more past due, be placed on non-accrual, be restructured, or require increased allowance coverage and provisions for loan losses.

Included in the Corporation's investment portfolio at December 31, 2010 are two collateralized debt obligations consisting of pools of trust preferred securities issued by other financial institutions. While we continue to receive all contractual payments on these securities, given the continued weakness in the economy, and the financial services sector in particular, there can be no assurance that these securities will not become non-performing at some future date.

Management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is performed on a periodic basis and takes into consideration such factors as historical loan loss experience, review of specific problem loans (including evaluation of the underlying collateral) changes in the composition and volume of the loan portfolio, recent charge-off experience, overall portfolio quality and current economic conditions that may affect the borrowers' ability to pay. Based upon an analysis of these factors, including the aforementioned decreases in non-performing and potential problem loans and the reduction in specific allocations related to impaired loans, the Corporation's provision for loan losses decreased \$1.325 million from \$2.450 million in 2009 to \$1.125 million in 2010.

Net charge-offs totaling \$1.594 million during 2010 were basically unchanged from the \$1.589 million in net charge-offs in 2009, as increases in commercial loan, residential mortgages and home equity loan net charge-offs totaling \$553 thousand, \$53 thousand and \$22 thousand, respectively, were partially offset by a \$623 thousand decrease in net consumer loan charge-offs. An \$899 thousand increase in total commercial loan charge-offs was principally due to charge-offs during the third and fourth quarters of 2010 relating to four borrowers totaling \$1.211 million. This amount had previously been specifically allocated against these loans. This increase was partially offset by a \$346 thousand increase in recoveries of previously charged-off commercial loans. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation's allowance for loan losses totaled \$9.498 million, resulting in a coverage ratio of allowance to non-performing loans of 84.4%. As noted above, included in non-performing loans at December 31, 2010 were loans which carried USDA guarantees totaling \$4.847 million. Also included in the non-performing loan totals are other loans with remaining balances totaling \$577 thousand on which the Corporation has previously recognized partial charge-offs in the amount of \$772 thousand. Excluding the USDA guaranteed amount and other loans for which partial charge-offs have already been recognized from the non-performing total, the coverage ratio of allowance to non-performing loans was 162.9%. The allowance for loan losses to total loans was 1.55% at December 31, 2010, and represents an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb probable incurred loan losses on existing loans.

The allocated portions of the allowance reflect management's estimates of specific known risk elements in the respective portfolios. Management's methodology followed in evaluating the allowance for loan losses includes a detailed analysis of historical loss factors for pools of similarly graded loans, as well as specific collateral reviews of

relationships graded special mention, substandard or doubtful with outstanding balances of \$1.0 million or greater. Among the factors considered in allocating portions of the allowance by loan type are the current levels of past due, non-accrual and impaired loans, as well as historical loss experience and the evaluation of collateral. In addition, management has formally documented factors considered in determining the appropriate level of unallocated allowance, including current economic conditions, forecasted trends in the credit quality cycle, loan growth, entry into new markets, and industry and peer group trends. These amounts have been included in the allocated portion of the loan categories to which they relate.

At December 31, 2010, in addition to the qualitative factors allocated within the allowance, the corporation maintained \$776 thousand of the allowance as unallocated. While some preliminary improvements have been seen in the local economy and while some loans have improved, the recovery is still very fragile and management believes it is prudent to see a period of sustained improvement before completely reflecting this in the allowance. Additionally, management monitors coverage ratios of nonperforming loans and total loans compared to peers on a regular basis. This analysis also suggests that it would not be prudent to eliminate the unallocated portion of the allowance at this time.

SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE

The following summarizes the Corporation's loan loss experience for each year in the five-year period ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands of dollars, except ratio data):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Allowance for loan losses at beginning of year | \$ 9,967 | \$ 9,106 | \$ 8,453 | \$ 7,983 | \$ 9,778 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | 1,288 | 389 | 306 | 793 | 1,659 |
| Real estate mortgages | 83 | 30 | 15 | 13 | 4 |
| Consumer loans | 795 | 1,400 | 1,018 | 482 | 482 |
| Home equity | 45 | 23 | 33 | - | - |
| Total | 2,211 | 1,842 | 1,372 | 1,288 | 2,145 |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | 429 | 83 | 437 | 331 | 38 |
| Real estate mortgages | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer loans | 188 | 170 | 138 | 172 | 187 |
| Total | 617 | 253 | 575 | 503 | 225 |
| Net charge-offs | 1,594 | 1,589 | 797 | 785 | 1,920 |
| Provision charged to operations | 1,125 | 2,450 | 1,450 | 1,255 | 125 |
| Allowance for loan losses at end of year | \$ 9,498 | \$ 9,967 | \$ 9,106 | \$ 8,453 | \$ 7,983 |
| Ratio of net charge-offs during year to average loans outstanding (1) | .27% | .27% | .14% | .15% | .43% |

(1) Daily balances were used to compute average outstanding loan balances.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of deposit clients, borrowers, and the operating, investing and financing activities of the Corporation. The Corporation uses a variety of resources to meet its liquidity needs. These include short term investments, cash flow from lending and investing activities, core-deposit growth and non-core funding sources, such as time deposits of \$100,000 or more, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings.

The Corporation is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLB") which allows it to access borrowings which enhance management's ability to satisfy future liquidity needs. Based on available collateral and current advances outstanding, the Corporation was eligible to borrow up to a total of \$64.4 million and \$65.3 million at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

During 2010, cash and cash equivalents decreased \$19.1 million compared to an increase of \$56.1 million during 2009. In addition to cash provided by operating activities, other major sources of cash during 2010 included proceeds from sales, maturities and principal reductions on securities totaling \$154.7 million and proceeds from the sale of OREO in the amount of \$318 thousand. Proceeds from the above were used principally to fund purchases of securities totaling \$141.7 million, a \$20.1 million increase in loans, a \$14.7 million decrease in deposits, a \$9.5 million reduction in securities sold under agreements to repurchase, the payment of cash dividends totaling \$3.5 million and purchases of fixed assets and treasury stock totaling \$2.1 million and \$426 thousand, respectively.

During 2009, cash and cash equivalents increased \$56.1 million compared to a decrease of \$5.7 million during 2008. In addition to cash provided by operating activities, other major sources of cash during 2009 included proceeds from sales, maturities and principal reductions on securities totaling \$135.2 million, a \$71.3 million increase in deposits and a \$25.0 million decrease in loans. Proceeds from the above were used principally to fund purchases of securities totaling \$173.1 million, a \$9.1 million reduction in securities sold under agreements to repurchase and \$7.7 million to purchase Canton Bancorp, Inc. In this transaction, the Corporation acquired approximately \$58.8 million of loans, \$10.5 million in cash and cash equivalents, \$5.5 million of securities and other assets totaling approximately \$6.2 million, and assumed deposits and other liabilities totaling \$73.4 million and \$553 thousand, respectively. Other significant uses of cash during 2009 included the payment of cash dividends in the amount of \$3.5 million and purchases of fixed assets totaling \$1.8 million.

As of December 31, 2010, the Bank's leverage ratio was 8.30%. The Tier I and Total Risk Adjusted Capital ratios were 12.31% and 13.93%, respectively. All of the above ratios are in excess of the requirements for being considered "well capitalized" by the FDIC, the Federal Reserve and the New York State Banking Department. Cash dividends declared during 2010 totaled \$3.521 million or \$1.00 per share compared to \$3.522 million or \$1.00 per share in 2009 and \$3.515 million or \$1.00 per share in 2008. Dividends declared during 2010 amounted to 34.9% of net income compared to 67.3% and 42.1% of 2009 and 2008 net income, respectively. It is management's objective to continue generating sufficient capital internally, while continuing to pay adequate dividends to our shareholders.

When shares of the Corporation become available in the market, we may purchase them after careful consideration of our capital position. On November 17, 2010, the Corporation's Board of Directors approved a one year extension of the stock repurchase program that had been initially approved on November 18, 2009. The extension authorizes the purchase of up to 90,000 shares of the Corporation's outstanding common stock, including those shares purchased during the first year of the plan. Purchases may be made from time to time on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at the discretion of management. Through December 31, 2010, a total of 20,731 shares had been purchased under this program. During 2010, the Corporation purchased 20,260 shares at a total cost of \$426 thousand or an average price of \$21.01 per share. Additionally, during 2010, 50,161 shares were re-issued from treasury to fund the stock component of directors' 2009 compensation, distributions under the Corporation's directors' deferred stock plan, a stock grant to an executive officer, restricted stock grants to senior officers and funding for the Corporation's profit sharing, savings and investment plan. During 2009, the Corporation purchased 7,778 shares at a total cost of \$156 thousand or an average price of \$20.08 per share, while in 2008, 37,124 treasury shares were purchased at a total cost of \$930 thousand or an average price of \$25.06 per share.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of operations, the Corporation engages in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in the financial statements. The Corporation is also a party to certain financial instruments with off balance sheet risk such as commitments under standby letters of credit, unused portions of lines of credit and commitments to fund new loans. The Corporation's policy is to record such instruments when funded. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are generally used by the Corporation to manage clients' requests for funding and other client needs.

As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation has off-balance sheet arrangements as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| Total | Commitment Maturity by Period | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Less than 1 Year | 1 to 3 Years | 3 to 5 Years | More than 5 Years |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|------|----------|
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 15,960 | \$ 8,368 | \$ 4,797 | \$ - | \$ 2,795 |
| Unused portions of lines of credit (1) | 84,810 | 84,810 | - | - | - |
| Commitments to fund new loans | 17,826 | 17,826 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$118,596 | \$111,004 | \$ 4,797 | \$ - | \$ 2,795 |

(1) Not included in this total are unused portions of home equity lines of credit, credit card lines and consumer overdraft protection lines of credit, since no contractual maturity dates exist for these types of loans. Commitments to outside parties under these lines of credit were \$32,419,662, \$14,386,489 and \$3,301,110, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation is contractually obliged under long-term agreements as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|---|-----------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | | Less than 1 Year | 1 to 3 Years | 3 to 5 Years | More than 5 Years |
| Time Deposits (Note 7) | \$253,804 | \$176,436 | \$ 68,449 | \$ 8,915 | \$ 4 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances (Note 9) | 20,000 | - | 10,000 | - | 10,000 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (Note 8) | 44,775 | 19,775 | 5,000 | - | 20,000 |
| Operating leases | 5,361 | 574 | 1,119 | 1,090 | 2,578 |
| Other | 2,090 | 522 | 721 | 761 | 86 |
| Total (1) | \$326,030 | \$197,307 | \$ 85,289 | \$ 10,766 | \$ 32,668 |

(1) Not included in the above total is the Corporation's obligation regarding the Pension Plan and Other Benefit Plans. Please refer to Part IV Item 15 Note 11 for information regarding these obligations at December 31, 2010.

Results of Operations 2010 vs. 2009

Net income in 2010 totaled \$10.102 million, an increase of \$4.869 million compared to 2009 net income of \$5.233 million. Earnings per share increased 93.1% from \$1.45 per share to \$2.80 per share. This increase, relative to 2009 results, was due in part to direct transaction costs incurred in 2009 totaling \$1.448 million related to the Corporation's May 2009 acquisition of Canton Bancorp, Inc. ("Canton"), as well as an FDIC insurance special assessment in the second quarter of 2009 totaling \$439 thousand. However, as discussed below, the earnings increase in 2010 was driven primarily by higher net interest income and non-interest income, as well as a decrease in the provision for loan loss expense, partially offset by higher operating expenses (excluding the above mentioned direct transaction costs and FDIC special assessment) and higher income taxes.

Net interest income increased \$1.375 million or 4.1% from \$33.155 million in 2009 to \$34.530 million, while the net interest margin decreased 8 basis points to 3.81%. The improvement in net interest income resulted from an increase in average earning assets and a 54 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, offset in part by a 50 basis point decrease in the average yield on earning assets. A \$53.1 million or 6.2% increase in average earning assets in 2010 was principally due to a \$34.8 million increase in average securities, as well as increases in average fed funds sold and interest-bearing deposits at other financial institutions and average loans totaling \$14.5 million and \$3.8 million, respectively. The increase in average loans reflects a \$14.0 million increase in average loans associated with the Canton acquisition, as during 2010 the Corporation benefited from having these balances for the entire year. While on average, earning assets increased 6.2%, total interest and dividend income was down \$1.744 million or 3.9%, as the average yield on earning assets decreased 50 basis points to 4.72%.

Total average funding liabilities, including non-interest bearing demand deposits, increased \$54.5 million or 6.6% as a \$65.2 million increase in average deposits was partially offset by a \$10.7 million decrease in average other borrowed funds. Approximately \$29.9 million of the increase in average deposits was related to the Canton acquisition. In total, average non-interest bearing demand deposits increased \$20.5 million, while average interest bearing deposits increased \$44.7 million. The increase in average interest bearing deposits was reflected primarily in higher average insured money market and savings deposits of \$39.5 million and \$11.6 million, respectively. Additionally, average NOW account balances increased \$5.1 million. These increases were partially offset by an \$11.4 million decrease in

average time deposits. The decrease in average other borrowings was due to a \$10.7 million decrease in average securities sold under agreements to repurchase. While average interest bearing liabilities increased \$34.0 million or 5.2%, interest expense decreased \$3.119 million or 27.5%, as the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 54 basis points from 1.73% to 1.19%.

The 2010 provision for loan losses of \$1.125 million was \$1.325 million lower than the 2009 provision. As discussed under the Asset Quality section of this report, this decrease was principally due to decreases in non-performing and potential problem loans, as well as a decrease in specific allocations for impaired loans, and reflects management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses based upon a number of factors, including an analysis of historical loss factors, the evaluation of collateral, recent charge-off experience, overall credit quality, the current economic environment and loan growth.

Non-interest income increased \$3.935 million or 25.0% to \$19.645 million. This increase was significantly impacted by a \$2.408 million increase in Trust and Investment Center fee income and a \$1.849 million decrease in other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") charges on two CDO's consisting of pools of trust preferred securities issued by other financial institutions. The increase in Trust and Investment Center fee income was largely due to an earlier than anticipated closing of an unusually large estate during the fourth quarter of 2010 which resulted in the recognition of additional fee income in the fourth quarter totaling \$1.882 million. In 2010 and 2009, fee income related to this estate totaled \$3.982 million and \$2.100 million, respectively. OTTI charges were down from \$2.242 million in 2009 to \$393 thousand in 2010, principally due to a reduced level of deterioration in credit quality of the underlying issuers during the period. We continue to receive all contractual payments on these securities. Excluding the increase in Trust and Investment Center fee income and the reduction in OTTI charges, all other non-interest income was down approximately \$322 thousand primarily due to a \$711 thousand decrease in service charges attributed to lower net overdraft fee income, a \$334 thousand decrease in gains recognized on the sale of securities and a \$170 thousand reduction in cash management fee income. These decreases were partially offset by a \$415 thousand increase in check card interchange fee income, a \$250 thousand increase in revenue from the Corporation's equity investment in Cephass Capital Partners, LP, a small business investment company limited partnership, and a \$220 thousand increase in revenue of CFS Group, Inc.

Operating expenses decreased \$1.479 million or 3.8% to \$37.843 million in 2010. As noted above, this decrease reflects direct transaction costs incurred in the 2009 Canton acquisition and the 2009 FDIC special assessment totaling \$1.448 million and \$439 thousand, respectively. All other operating expenses in 2010 increased \$408 thousand or 1.1% due principally to a \$541 thousand increase in salaries (excluding 2009 direct transaction costs of \$253 thousand), a \$467 thousand increase in professional services fees (excluding 2009 direct transaction costs of \$159 thousand), a \$361 thousand increase in data processing costs (excluding 2009 direct transaction costs of \$1.027 million) and increases in marketing and advertising and other real estate owned ("OREO") expenses totaling \$217 thousand and \$185 thousand, respectively. The increase in salaries reflects merit increases over the past year as well as additions to staff associated with the May 2009 Canton acquisition, while the increase in professional services fees was largely due to legal and investment banking fees related to the Corporation's pending acquisition of Fort Orange Financial Corp. Higher data processing costs reflect increases in check card and Trust and Investment Center processing costs. The increase in marketing and advertising was impacted by increases in print, television and billboard advertising, while the increase in OREO expense was due in large part to write-downs of four properties during 2010 following the receipt of updated appraisals. These increases were offset to some extent primarily by a \$1.230 million decrease in pension and other employee benefit costs as pension and health insurance expenses decreased \$1.017 million and \$292 thousand, respectively. The reduction in pension cost was principally due to an increase in plan asset values during 2009 resulting from much improved equity markets during that year, which also resulted in a decrease in the amortization of net unrecognized losses on plan assets, while the decrease in health insurance reflects a reduced level of claims under the Corporation's self-insured health plan. Other factors include a \$202 thousand decrease in amortization of intangible assets and a \$100 thousand reduction in stationery and supplies expense.

The \$3.245 million increase in income tax expense was due primarily to an \$8.114 million increase in pre-tax income, while the increase in the effective tax rate from 26.2% to 33.6% reflects a decrease in the relative percentage of tax-exempt income to pre-tax income.

Results of Operations 2009 vs. 2008

Net income in 2009 totaled \$5.233 million, a decrease of \$3.121 million compared to 2008 net income of \$8.354 million. Earnings per share were down 37.5% from \$2.32 per share to \$1.45 per share. This decrease was impacted by the following items; direct acquisition costs associated with the Canton acquisition totaling \$1.448 million, a \$1.439 million increase in other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") charges on trust preferred securities pools carried in the Corporation's investment portfolio, a \$2.323 million increase in pension expense, a \$1.402 million increase in FDIC insurance (including a second quarter 2009 special assessment of \$439 thousand) and a \$1.0 million increase in the provision for loan losses. The after-tax impact on net income of these items totaled approximately \$4.667 million or \$1.30 per share.

Net interest income increased \$2.487 million or 8.1% from \$30.668 million to \$33.155 million, while the net interest margin decreased 16 basis points to 3.89%. The improvement in net interest income resulted from an increase in average earning assets and an 81 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, offset to some extent by a 78 basis point decrease in the average yield on earning assets. A \$95.1 million or 12.6% increase in average earning assets reflects a \$47.7 million increase in average fed funds sold and interest-bearing deposits at other financial institutions, a \$25.1 million increase in average loans and a \$22.3 million increase in average securities. Average loans and securities during 2009 related to the Canton acquisition totaled \$32.7 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. While on average, earning assets increased 12.6%, total interest and dividend income was down \$948 thousand or 2.1%, as the average yield on earning assets decreased 78 basis points to 5.22%.

Total average funding liabilities, including non-interest bearing demand deposits, increased \$94.6 million or 12.8% as a \$102.7 million increase in average deposits was partially offset by an \$8.1 million decrease in average other borrowed funds. Approximately \$43.5 million of the increase in average deposits was related to the Canton acquisition. In total, average non-interest bearing deposits increased \$20.1 million, while average interest-bearing deposits increased \$82.6 million. The increase in average interest-bearing deposits was reflected primarily in higher average insured money market and time deposits of \$34.1 million and \$26.7 million, respectively. Additionally, average savings and NOW account balances increased \$15.7 million and \$6.0 million, respectively. The decrease in average other borrowings was due to a \$13.6 million decrease in average short term borrowings under the Corporation's line of credit with the FHLB, somewhat offset by a \$5.5 million increase in average securities sold under agreements to repurchase. While average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$74.5 million or 12.8%, interest expense decreased \$3.435 million or 23.3%, as the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 81 basis points from 2.54% to 1.73%.

The 2009 provision for loan losses of \$2.450 million was \$1.0 million higher than a year ago. As discussed under the Asset Quality section of this report, this increase was principally due to an increase in non-performing and potential problem loans, as well as an increase in net charge-offs, and reflects management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses based upon a number of factors, including an analysis of historical loss factors, the evaluation of collateral, recent charge-off experience, overall credit quality, the current economic environment and loan growth.

Non-interest income during 2009 decreased \$1.429 million or 8.3% from \$17.138 million to \$15.709 million. This decrease was significantly impacted by the above mentioned increase in OTTI charges totaling \$1.439 million as well as decreases in credit card merchant earnings and a gain on the sale of merchant discount services totaling \$1.305 million and \$467 thousand, respectively, resulting from the sale of the credit card merchant processing business during the fourth quarter of 2008. While revenue from credit card merchant earnings was down \$1.305 million, processing costs related to this business decreased \$1.296 million during 2009. The OTTI charges were related to two CDO's consisting of pools of trust preferred securities issued by other financial institutions. While we continue to receive all contractual payments on these investments, the increase in OTTI charges reflects deterioration in the credit

quality of these securities based upon cash flow evaluations that take into account several factors, including higher deferrals and defaults by the issuers of the underlying securities, downgrades by rating agencies and the continued weakness in the U.S. economy, and the financial services sector in particular. Excluding the increase in OTTI charges and the reduction in revenue related to the 2008 sale of the credit card merchant processing business, all other sources of non-interest income increased \$1.782 million or 11.1%. This increase was due in large part to a \$1.255 million increase in Trust and Investment Center fee income due primarily to higher estate fee accruals resulting from a large new estate acquired during the fourth quarter of 2008, as well

as improvement in the equities markets during 2009. Other significant increases during 2009 included a \$410 thousand increase in check card interchange fee income, a \$216 thousand increase in service charges and increases in gains on the sale of mortgages and securities totaling \$144 thousand and \$195 thousand, respectively. These increases were somewhat offset primarily by a \$248 thousand decrease in revenue from the Corporation's equity investment in Cephas Capital Partners, LP, a small business investment company limited partnership, and a \$133 thousand decrease in cash management fee income.

Operating expenses during 2009 increased \$5.353 million or 15.8% from \$33.968 million to \$39.321 million. As noted above, this increase was significantly affected by a \$2.323 million increase in the cost of the Corporation's defined benefit pension plan, as well as direct acquisition related costs totaling \$1.448 million and a \$1.402 million increase in FDIC insurance assessments. The increase in the pension cost was principally the result of a decrease in plan asset values during 2008 resulting from the decline in equity markets during the later half of that year. Direct acquisition costs were primarily related to early termination of Canton data processing contracts, legal and consulting fees and compensation expenses, while the increase in FDIC insurance assessments includes a \$439 thousand special assessment during the second quarter of 2009 as well as higher regular quarterly assessments required to fund the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC as the result of an increase in bank failures. Excluding the above mentioned items, all other operating expenses increased \$180 thousand or 0.5% due principally to a \$1.405 million increase in salaries, a \$252 thousand increase in health insurance expense and a \$239 thousand increase in net occupancy costs. The increase in salaries was principally due to merit increases over the past year, as well as additions to staff resulting from the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company ("M&T") branch acquisitions in March of 2008 and the Canton acquisition in May of 2009, while the increase in net occupancy costs was also primarily related to those acquisitions. These increases were offset to a large extent by the aforementioned \$1.296 million decrease in processing costs related to the sale of the credit card merchant processing business during the fourth quarter of 2008, as well as a \$382 thousand decrease in amortization of intangible assets. The decrease in amortization expense was due in large part to higher amortization expense during 2008 related to a portion of the intangible asset associated with the Corporation's purchase of the trust relationships from Partners Trust Bank in May of 2007 due to the expected short life of one large account that later closed during the first quarter of 2008. Additionally, the core deposit intangible related to the Corporation's purchase of three offices from the Resolution Trust Corporation in June of 1994 was fully amortized during the second quarter of 2009.

The \$2.174 million decrease in income tax expense was principally due to a \$5.295 million decrease in pre-tax income, while the decrease in the effective tax rate from 32.6% to 26.2% resulted primarily from an increase in the relative percentage of tax exempt income to pre-tax income.

EARNINGS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,

| (in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | % Compounded | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---|
| | | | | | | | Change 2009 to 2010 | Annual Growth 5 Years | | |
| Net interest income | \$34,530 | \$33,155 | \$30,668 | \$25,936 | \$24,546 | \$24,737 | 4.1 | % | 6.9 | % |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,125 | 2,450 | 1,450 | 1,255 | 125 | 1,300 | -54.1 | % | -2.9 | % |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 33,405 | 30,705 | 29,218 | 24,681 | 24,421 | 23,437 | 8.8 | % | 7.3 | % |
| Other operating income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trust and investment services | 10,497 | 8,089 | 6,834 | 6,345 | 4,901 | 5,095 | 29.8 | % | 15.6 | % |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---|-------|---|
| income | | | | | | | | | | |
| Securities gains (losses), net | 451 | 785 | 589 | 10 | 27 | 6 | -42.5 | % | 137.2 | % |
| Impairment charge on investment securities | (393) | (2,242) | (803) | - | - | - | -82.5 | % | - | |
| Net gains on sales of loans held for sale | 242 | 259 | 114 | 98 | 103 | 107 | -6.6 | % | 17.7 | % |
| Other income | 8,848 | 8,819 | 10,404 | 10,176 | 9,281 | 7,806 | 0.3 | % | 2.5 | % |
| Total other operating income | 19,645 | 15,710 | 17,138 | 16,629 | 14,312 | 13,014 | 25.0 | % | 8.6 | % |
| Other operating expenses | 37,843 | 39,321 | 33,968 | 30,521 | 29,523 | 27,315 | -3.8 | % | 6.7 | % |
| Income before income tax expense | 15,207 | 7,094 | 12,388 | 10,789 | 9,210 | 9,136 | 114.4 | % | 10.7 | % |
| Income tax expense | 5,105 | 1,861 | 4,034 | 3,530 | 2,621 | 2,546 | 174.3 | % | 14.9 | % |
| Net income | \$10,102 | \$5,233 | \$8,354 | \$7,259 | \$6,589 | \$6,590 | 93.04 | % | 8.9 | % |

AVERAGE BALANCES AND YIELDS

For the purpose of the table below, non-accruing loans are included in the daily average loan amounts outstanding. Daily balances were used for average balance computations. Investment securities are stated at amortized cost. No tax equivalent adjustments have been made in calculating yields on obligations of states and political subdivisions.

Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity, Interest Rates and Interest Differential Year Ended
December 31,

| Assets (Dollars in thousands) | 2010 | | | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate |
| Earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 590,558 | \$ 35,064 | 5.94 % | \$ 586,744 | \$ 36,094 | 6.15 % | \$ 561,618 | \$ 36,662 | 6.53 % |
| Taxable securities | 206,232 | 6,328 | 3.07 % | 176,255 | 7,136 | 4.05 % | 169,413 | 7,886 | 4.66 % |
| Tax-exempt securities | 42,274 | 1,188 | 2.81 % | 37,472 | 1,132 | 3.02 % | 22,055 | 804 | 3.65 % |
| Federal funds sold | - | - | - | 483 | 1 | 0.25 % | 2,898 | 68 | 2.34 % |
| Interest-bearing deposits | | | | | | | | | |
| | 66,412 | 166 | 0.25 % | 51,462 | 127 | 0.25 % | 1,322 | 18 | 1.38 % |
| Total earning assets | 905,476 | 42,746 | 4.72 % | 852,416 | 44,490 | 5.22 % | 757,306 | 45,438 | 6.00 % |
| Non-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | | | | | | | | | |
| | 21,475 | | | 21,855 | | | 24,041 | | |
| Premises and equipment, net | | | | | | | | | |
| | 24,335 | | | 25,202 | | | 23,651 | | |
| Other assets | | | | | | | | | |
| | 37,250 | | | 32,915 | | | 36,191 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | | | | | | |
| | (10,130) | | | (9,489) | | | (8,636) | | |
| AFS adjustment to fair value | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,178 | | | 5,875 | | | 4,953 | | |
| Total | \$ 988,584 | | | \$ 928,774 | | | \$ 837,506 | | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Now deposits | \$ 52,314 | 49 | 0.09 % | \$ 47,250 | 79 | 0.17 % | \$ 41,282 | \$ 246 | 0.60 % |
| Savings and insured money market deposits | | | | | | | | | |
| | 296,492 | 960 | 0.32 % | 245,425 | 1,423 | 0.58 % | 195,602 | 2,115 | 1.08 % |
| Time deposits | 272,016 | 4,616 | 1.70 % | 283,408 | 6,927 | 2.44 % | 256,661 | 9,169 | 3.57 % |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | | | | | | | | | |
| | 68,442 | 2,591 | 3.79 % | 79,166 | 2,906 | 3.67 % | 87,225 | 3,240 | 3.71 % |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 689,264 | 8,216 | 1.19 % | 655,249 | 11,335 | 1.73 % | 580,770 | 14,770 | 2.54 % |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Demand deposits | 196,822 | 176,305 | 156,191 |
| Other liabilities | 7,556 | 11,820 | 11,323 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 893,642 | 843,374 | 748,284 |
| Shareholders' equity | 94,942 | 85,400 | 89,222 |
| Total | \$ 988,584 | \$ 928,774 | \$ 837,506 |
| Net interest income | \$ 34,530 | \$ 33,155 | \$ 30,668 |
| Net interest rate spread | 3.53 % | 3.49 % | 3.46 % |
| Net interest margin | 3.81 % | 3.89 % | 4.05 % |

CHANGES DUE TO VOLUME AND RATE

The following table demonstrates the impact on net interest income of the changes in the volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and changes in rates earned and paid by the Corporation. For purposes of constructing this table, average investment securities are at average amortized cost and earning asset averages include non-performing loans. Therefore, the impact of changing levels of non-performing loans is reflected in the change due to rate, but does not affect changes due to volume. No tax equivalent adjustments were made.

| | 2010 vs. 2009 | | | 2009 vs. 2008 | | |
|--|---------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | Total | Increase/(Decrease) | | Total | Increase/(Decrease) | |
| Interest income (in thousands) | Change | Due to Volume | Due to Rate | Change | Due to Volume | Due to Rate |
| Loans | \$(1,030) | \$234 | \$(1,264) | \$(568) | \$1,598 | \$(2,166) |
| Taxable investment securities | (808) | 1,093 | (1,901) | (750) | 309 | (1,059) |
| Tax-exempt investment securities | 56 | 138 | (82) | 328 | 485 | (157) |
| Federal funds sold | (1) | (1) | - | (67) | (33) | (34) |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 39 | 37 | 2 | 109 | 136 | (27) |
| Total interest income | \$(1,744) | \$2,665 | \$(4,409) | \$(948) | \$5,342 | \$(6,290) |
| Interest expense (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | \$(30) | \$7 | \$(37) | \$(167) | \$31 | \$(198) |
| Savings and insured money market deposits | (463) | 255 | (718) | (692) | 450 | (1,142) |
| Time deposits | (2,311) | (268) | (2,043) | (2,242) | 881 | (3,123) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (315) | (404) | 89 | (334) | (296) | (38) |
| Total interest expense | (3,119) | 562 | (3,681) | \$(3,435) | \$1,721 | \$(5,156) |
| Net interest income | \$1,375 | \$2,103 | \$(728) | \$2,487 | \$3,621 | \$(1,134) |

Interest Rate Risk

As intermediaries between borrowers and savers, commercial banks incur both interest rate risk and liquidity risk. The Corporation's Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) has the strategic responsibility for setting the policy guidelines on acceptable exposure to these areas. These guidelines contain specific measures and limits regarding these risks, which are monitored on a regular basis. The ALCO is made up of the president & chief executive officer, two executive vice presidents, the chief financial officer, the asset liability management officer, the senior marketing officer, and others representing key functions.

The ALCO is also responsible for supervising the preparation and annual revisions of the financial segments of the annual budget, which is built upon the committee's economic and interest-rate assumptions. It is the responsibility of the ALCO to modify prudently the Corporation's asset/liability policies.

Interest rate risk is the risk that net interest income will fluctuate as a result of a change in interest rates. It is the assumption of interest rate risk, along with credit risk, that drives the net interest margin of a financial institution. For that reason, the ALCO has established tolerance limits based upon a 200-basis point change in interest rates. At December 31, 2010, it is estimated that an immediate 200-basis point decrease in interest rates would negatively impact the next 12 months net interest income by 10.33% and an immediate 200-basis point increase would negatively impact the next 12 months net interest income by 2.16%. Both are within the Corporation's policy guideline of 15% established by ALCO. Given the overall low level of current interest rates and the unlikely event of a 200-basis point decline from this point, management additionally modeled an immediate 100-basis point decline and an immediate 300-basis point increase in interest rates. When applied, it is estimated these scenarios would result in negative impacts to net interest income of 4.81% and 3.57%, respectively. Management is comfortable with the level of exposures at these levels.

A related component of interest rate risk is the expectation that the market value of our capital account will fluctuate with changes in interest rates. This component is a direct corollary to the earnings-impact component: an institution exposed to earnings erosion is also exposed to shrinkage in market value. At December 31, 2010, it is estimated that an immediate 200-basis point decrease in interest rates would negatively impact the market value of our capital account by 9.53% and an immediate 200-basis point increase in interest rates would negatively impact the market value by 3.80%. Both are within the established tolerance limit of 15%. Management also modeled the impact to the market value of our capital with an immediate 100-basis point decline and an immediate 300-basis point increase in interest rates, based on the current interest rate environment. When applied, it is estimated these scenarios would result in negative impacts to the market value of our capital of 5.27% and 7.33% respectively.

Management is also comfortable with the level of exposures at these levels.

Management does recognize the need for certain hedging strategies during periods of anticipated higher fluctuations in interest rates and the Board-approved Funds Management Policy provides for limited use of certain derivatives in asset liability management. These strategies were not employed during 2010.

ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2009, the FASB amended previous guidance relating to transfers of financial assets and eliminated the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. This guidance must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities should be evaluated for consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. The disclosure provisions were also amended and apply to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. The effect of adopting this new guidance was not material.

In July 2010, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update ("ASU"), "Receivables: Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses". The objective of this ASU is for an entity to provide disclosures that facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. An entity should provide disclosures on a disaggregated basis on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. The ASU makes changes to existing disclosure requirements and includes additional disclosure requirements about financing receivables, including credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, and the nature and extent of troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the period by class of financing receivables and their effect on the allowance for credit losses. For public entities, the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Adoption of this ASU was disclosure related only and had no impact on the results of operations.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Corporation's main market risk exposure is to changing interest rates. A discussion of the Corporation's exposure to changing interest rates is included under the heading "Interest Rate Risk" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements listed in Item 15 are filed as part of this report and appear on pages F-1 through F-51.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Corporation's management, with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer, who is the Corporation's principal executive officer, and our Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, who is the Corporation's principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of December 31, 2010.

During the fourth fiscal quarter, there have been no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We, as members of management of the Corporation, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Corporation's management and Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Corporation's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Corporation, (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Corporation are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Corporation, and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Corporation's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that

controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

As of December 31, 2010 management assessed the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in the "Internal Control-Integrated Framework," issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on the assessment, we assert that the Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based on the specified criteria.

Crowe Horwath LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, which audited the Corporation's 2010 consolidated financial statements included in this report, has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting.

/s/ Ronald M. Bentley
Ronald M. Bentley
President and Chief Executive Officer
March 16, 2011

/s/ John R. Battersby
John R. Battersby, Jr.
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer
March 16, 2011

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

On January 19, 2011, Chemung Canal Trust Company entered into Change of Control Agreements with Richard G. Carr and Louis C. DiFabio, each an executive vice president of the Bank. The Change of Control Agreements are similar to the Change in Control Agreements in place with other executive officers of the Company. Under the Change of Control Agreements, each executive is eligible to receive payments and other benefits, subject to certain conditions, if his employment is terminated without Cause (as defined in the agreements) within the twelve month period immediately following a change in control or if he resigns for any reason within such period. The Change of Control Agreements provide for payments of two times the highest annual compensation (including only salary and bonuses) paid to the executive for any of two calendar years immediately preceding the year in which the executive's employment is terminated or he resigns. Payments will be made in equal monthly installments for the twenty-four months immediately following the effective date of termination or resignation.

The foregoing summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Change of Control Agreements entered into with Messrs. Carr and DiFabio, copies of which are filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K as Exhibits 10.11 and 10.12, respectively.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The names and ages of the executive officers of the Corporation and positions held by each are presented in the following table. Officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors.

| Name | Age | Position (served since) |
|------------------------|-----|--|
| Ronald M. Bentley | 58 | President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation and the Bank (2007); Chief Operating Officer of the Bank (2006); President, Retail Banking at NBT Bancorp, Inc. (2005); Executive Vice President, Retail Banking and Regional President at NBT Bancorp, Inc. (2003). Mr. Bentley has been with the Company since 2006. |
| Jane H. Adamy | 60 | Corporate Secretary of the Corporation and the Bank (2001); Senior Vice President of the Bank (2004); Trust Compliance Officer (2008). Mrs. Adamy has been with the Company since 1972. |
| John R. Battersby, Jr. | 60 | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Corporation (2003); Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Bank (2004). Mr. Battersby has been with the Company since 1988. |
| Richard G. Carr | 57 | Executive Vice President of the Bank (2011) responsible for Business Client Service; Senior Vice President of the Bank (2004). Mr. Carr has been with the Company since 1997. |
| James E. Corey III | 64 | Vice President of the Corporation (1993); Executive Vice President of the Bank (1998); Chief Risk Officer of the Bank (2009). Mr. Corey has been with the Company since 1988. |
| Michael J. Crimmins | 58 | Senior Vice President of the Bank (2006) responsible for Support Services; Vice President of Operations at Elmira Savings and Loan Association (1993-2006); Vice President of Operations at Community Bank (2006). Mr. Crimmins has been with the Company since 2006. |
| Louis C. DiFabio | 47 | Executive Vice President of the Bank (2011) responsible for Retail Client Services; Senior Vice President of the Bank (2005). Mr. DiFabio has been with the Company since 1987. |
| Melinda A. Sartori | 53 | Executive Vice President of the Bank (2002) responsible for Wealth Management Group. Mrs. Sartori has been with the Company since 1994. |
| Linda M. Struble | 57 | Senior Vice President of the Bank (2000) responsible for Human Resources. Ms. Struble has been with the Company since 1984. |
| Norman R. Ward | 61 | Senior Vice President and Chief Auditor of the Corporation and the Bank (2000). Mr. Ward has been with the Company since 1971. |
| Michael J. Wayne | 50 | Senior Vice President (2009) responsible for Marketing Services; Vice President of the Bank (2006); Vice President Internal Audit and Risk Management at Elmira Savings and Loan Association (2003); Vice President of Public and Customer Relations at Elmira Savings and Loan Association (1993-2006). Mr. Wayne has been with the Company since 2006. |

Additional information responsive to this Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 Annual meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information responsive to this Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND, MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information responsive to this Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information responsive to this Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information responsive to this Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a) The following consolidated financial statements of the Corporation appear on pages F-1 through F-51 of this (1) report and are incorporated in Part II, Item 8:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm-Crowe Horwath LLP

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Income for the three years ended December 31, 2010

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the three years ended December 31, 2010

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2010

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

- (2) All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities & Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

(b) The following exhibits are either filed with this Form 10-K or are incorporated herein by reference. The Corporation's Securities Exchange Act file number is 000-13888.

- | | | |
|---------|-------|---|
| Exhibit | 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of October 14, 2010 by and between Chemung Financial Corporation and Fort Orange Financial Corp. Filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 20, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of Chemung Financial Corporation dated December 20, 1984. Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of Chemung Financial Corporation, dated March 28, 1988. Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of Chemung Financial Corporation, dated May 13, 1998. Filed as Exhibit 3.4 of the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.4 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended to December 15, 2010. Filed herewith and incorporated herein by reference.. |
| | 4.1 | Specimen Stock Certificate. Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| | 10.1 | Change of Control Agreement dated September 20, 2006 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Ronald M. Bentley, President & COO. Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| | 10.2 | Executive Severance Agreement dated September 20, 2006 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Ronald M. Bentley, President & COO. Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| | 10.3 | Amended and Restated Deferred Directors' Fee Plan. Filed as Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| | 10.4 | Chemung Financial Corporation Restricted Stock Plan dated June 16, 2010. Filed as Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.6 | Description of Arrangement for Directors' Fees. Filed as Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and incorporated by herein by reference. |
| | 10.8 | Change of Control Agreement dated August 23, 2007 Chemung Canal Trust Company and John R. Battersby, Jr., Executive Vice President, Treasurer & CFO. Filed as Exhibit 10.8 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.9 | Change of Control Agreement dated August 23, 2007 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Melinda A. Sartori, Executive Vice President. Filed as Exhibit 10.9 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.10 | Change of Control Agreement dated August 23, 2007 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and James E. Corey, III, Executive Vice President. Filed as |

- Exhibit 10.10 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.11 Change of Control Agreement dated January 19, 2011 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Richard G. Carr, Executive Vice President. Filed herewith and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.12 Change of Control Agreement dated January 19, 2011 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Louis C. DiFabio, Executive Vice President. Filed herewith and incorporated herein by reference.
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23 Consent of Crowe Horwath LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 19 U.S.C. §1350.
- 32.2 Certification of Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 19 U.S.C. §1350.

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pages F-1 to F-51

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm-Crowe Horwath LLP | F-1 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 | F-2 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the three years ended December 31, 2010 | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the three years | |
| ended December 31, 2010 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2010 | F-6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-7 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders
Chemung Financial Corporation
Elmira, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Chemung Financial Corporation as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. We also have audited Chemung Financial Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Chemung Financial Corporation's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting as disclosed in item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal controls based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Chemung Financial Corporation as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, Chemung Financial Corporation

maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ Crowe Horwath LLP
Livingston, New Jersey
March 16, 2011

F-1

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | DECEMBER 31, 2010 | DECEMBER 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from financial institution | \$ 16,540,095 | \$ 21,189,192 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | 44,079,682 | 58,549,204 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 60,619,777 | 79,738,396 |
| Securities available for sale, at estimated fair value | 223,544,961 | 230,983,633 |
| Securities held to maturity, estimated fair value of \$8,297,392 at December 31, 2010 and \$12,647,314 at December 31, 2009 | 7,715,123 | 12,159,852 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank Stock, at cost | 3,328,900 | 3,280,600 |
| Loans, net of deferred origination fees and costs, and unearned income | 613,684,369 | 595,852,792 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (9,498,131) | (9,967,223) |
| Loans, net | 604,186,238 | 585,885,569 |
| Loans held for sale | 486,997 | 199,503 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 24,192,593 | 24,886,121 |
| Goodwill | 9,872,375 | 9,872,375 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 4,655,900 | 5,386,794 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 2,536,715 | 2,449,226 |
| Other assets | 17,187,706 | 20,709,472 |
| Total assets | \$958,327,285 | \$975,551,541 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Non-interest-bearing | 197,322,036 | \$195,613,007 |
| Interest-bearing | 589,036,816 | 605,450,086 |
| Total deposits | 786,358,852 | 801,063,093 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 44,774,615 | 54,263,257 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank term advances | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| Accrued interest payable | 784,351 | 1,129,204 |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Dividends payable | 881,203 | 880,088 |
| Other liabilities | 8,119,701 | 8,130,234 |
| Total liabilities | 860,918,722 | 885,465,876 |

Shareholders' equity:

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized; 4,300,134 issued at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 | 43,001 | 43,001 |
| Additional-paid-in capital | 22,022,122 | 22,806,829 |
| Retained earnings | 94,407,620 | 87,826,331 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (749,880 shares at December 31, 2010; 779,781 shares at December 31, 2009) | (19,166,655) | (20,024,661) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 102,475 | (565,835) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 97,408,563 | 90,085,665 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$958,327,285 | \$975,551,541 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME | | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 35,064,009 | \$ 36,094,302 | \$ 36,661,536 |
| Taxable securities | 6,327,489 | 7,136,112 | 7,886,257 |
| Tax exempt securities | 1,188,193 | 1,131,610 | 804,360 |
| Federal funds sold | - | 1,232 | 67,936 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 166,239 | 126,816 | 18,256 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 42,745,930 | 44,490,072 | 45,438,345 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | |
| Deposits | 5,624,402 | 8,428,760 | 11,530,057 |
| Borrowed funds | 950,866 | 951,060 | 1,309,169 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,640,543 | 1,954,915 | 1,930,819 |
| Total interest expense | 8,215,811 | 11,334,735 | 14,770,045 |
| Net interest income | 34,530,119 | 33,155,337 | 30,668,300 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,125,000 | 2,450,000 | 1,450,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 33,405,119 | 30,705,337 | 29,218,300 |
| Other operating income: | | | |
| Trust & investment services income | 10,496,637 | 8,088,654 | 6,833,755 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 4,552,430 | 5,263,215 | 5,046,976 |
| Net gain on securities transactions | 450,666 | 784,589 | 589,456 |
| Other-than-temporary loss on investment securities: | | | |
| Total impairment losses | (393,005) | (2,242,446) | (803,222) |
| Loss recognized in other comprehensive income | - | - | - |
| Net impairment loss recognized in earnings | (393,005) | (2,242,446) | (803,222) |
| Net gain on sales of loans held for sale | 241,537 | 258,572 | 114,283 |
| Credit card merchant earnings | 204,561 | 178,180 | 1,483,558 |
| Gain on sale of merchant discount services | - | - | 466,510 |
| Gains on sales of other real estate owned | 42,545 | 24,097 | - |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Income from bank owned | | | |
| life insurance | 87,489 | 51,129 | - |
| Other | 3,961,816 | 3,303,505 | 3,406,836 |
| Total other operating income | 19,644,676 | 15,709,495 | 17,138,152 |
| Other operating expenses: | | | |
| Salaries and wages | 15,343,811 | 15,055,292 | 13,650,512 |
| Pension and other employee | | | |
| benefits | 3,866,744 | 5,096,509 | 2,340,527 |
| Net occupancy expenses | 4,334,441 | 4,283,554 | 4,044,212 |
| Furniture and equipment | | | |
| expenses | 1,948,900 | 1,996,067 | 1,998,232 |
| Data processing expense | 3,412,344 | 4,078,361 | 4,186,764 |
| Amortization of intangible | | | |
| assets | 730,894 | 933,305 | 1,315,082 |
| Losses on sales of other real | | | |
| estate owned | 17,982 | 29,010 | 15,005 |
| Other real estate owned | | | |
| expenses | 348,939 | 163,641 | 130,708 |
| FDIC insurance | 1,196,901 | 1,512,629 | 110,470 |
| Other | 6,641,727 | 6,172,966 | 6,176,473 |
| Total other operating | | | |
| expenses | 37,842,683 | 39,321,334 | 33,967,985 |
| Income before income tax | | | |
| expense | 15,207,112 | 7,093,498 | 12,388,467 |
| Income tax expense | 5,105,239 | 1,860,663 | 4,034,623 |
| Net income | \$ 10,101,873 | \$ 5,232,835 | \$ 8,353,844 |
| Weighted average shares | | | |
| outstanding | 3,606,541 | 3,603,129 | 3,593,751 |
| Basic and diluted earnings | | | |
| per share | \$ 2.80 | \$ 1.45 | \$ 2.32 |

F-3

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|--------------|
| Balances at January 1, 2008 | \$43,001 | \$22,801,241 | \$81,029,531 | \$(20,138,214) | \$ 4,379,391 | \$88,114,950 |
| Comprehensive Income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | - | - | 8,353,844 | - | - | 8,353,844 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities AFS, net | - | - | - | - | (1,312,069) | (1,312,069) |
| Change in funded status of Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Benefit Plans, net | - | - | - | - | (8,306,333) | (8,306,333) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | (1,264,558) |
| Restricted stock units for directors' deferred compensation plan | - | 103,365 | - | - | - | 103,365 |
| Cash dividends declared (\$1.00 per share) | - | - | (3,514,738) | - | - | (3,514,738) |
| Distribution of 8,227 shares of treasury stock for directors' compensation | - | 12,180 | - | 212,010 | - | 224,190 |
| Distribution of 1,273 shares of treasury stock for directors' deferred compensation | - | (30,818) | - | 32,818 | - | 2,000 |
| Distribution of 1,321 shares of treasury stock for employee compensation | - | 958 | - | 34,042 | - | 35,000 |
| Sale of 9,400 shares of treasury stock | - | (4,989) | - | 242,288 | - | 237,299 |
| Purchase of 37,124 shares of treasury stock | - | - | - | (930,363) | - | (930,363) |
| Balances at December 31, 2008 | 43,001 | 22,881,937 | 85,868,637 | (20,547,419) | (5,239,011) | 83,007,145 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, adoption of other-than-temporary impairment guidance, net | - | - | 246,544 | - | (246,544) | - |
| Comprehensive Income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | - | - | 5,232,835 | - | - | 5,232,835 |
| | - | - | - | - | 1,301,106 | 1,301,106 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities AFS, net | | | | | | |
| Change in funded status of Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Benefit Plans, net | - | - | - | - | 3,618,614 | 3,618,614 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 10,152,555 |
| Restricted stock units for directors' deferred compensation plan | - | 104,929 | - | - | - | 104,929 |
| Cash dividends declared (\$1.00 per share) | - | - | (3,521,685) | - | - | (3,521,685) |
| Distribution of 10,867 shares of treasury stock for director's compensation | - | (58,026) | - | 279,716 | - | 221,690 |
| Distribution of 1,333 shares of treasury stock for directors' deferred compensation | - | (36,617) | - | 34,271 | - | (2,346) |
| Distribution of 2,381 shares of treasury stock for employee compensation | - | (11,287) | - | 61,287 | - | 50,000 |
| Sale of 11,800 shares of treasury stock | - | (74,107) | - | 303,627 | - | 229,520 |
| Purchase of 7,778 shares of treasury stock | - | - | - | (156,143) | - | (156,143) |
| Balances at December 31, 2009 | 43,001 | 22,806,829 | 87,826,331 | (20,024,661) | (565,835) | 90,085,665 |

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (continued) | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|-------------|
| Balances at January 1, 2010 | 43,001 | 22,806,829 | 87,826,331 | (20,024,661) | (565,835) | 90,085,665 |
| Comprehensive Income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | - | - | 10,101,873 | - | - | 10,101,873 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities AFS, net | - | - | - | - | 1,006,282 | 1,006,282 |
| Change in funded status of Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Benefit Plans, net | - | - | - | - | (337,972) | (337,972) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | | | | | | 10,770,183 |
| Distribution of 25,443 shares of treasury stock for directors' deferred compensation plan | - | (661,102) | - | 650,324 | - | (10,778) |
| Distribution of 5,886 shares of treasury stock granted for employee restricted stock awards | - | (149,303) | - | 150,446 | - | 1,143 |
| Restricted stock units for directors' deferred compensation plan | - | 111,772 | - | - | - | 111,772 |
| Cash dividends declared (\$1.00 per share) | - | - | (3,520,584) | - | - | (3,520,584) |
| Distribution of 10,082 shares of treasury stock for directors' compensation | - | (44,677) | - | 258,906 | - | 214,229 |
| Distribution of 2,750 shares of treasury stock for employee | - | (15,537) | - | 70,537 | - | 55,000 |

compensation

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| Sale of 6,000 shares of treasury stock | - | (25,860) | - | 153,360 | - | 127,500 |
| Purchase of 20,260 shares of treasury stock | - | - | - | (425,567) | - | (425,567) |
| Balances at December 31, 2010 | \$43,001 | \$22,022,122 | \$94,407,620 | \$(19,166,655) | \$ 102,475 | \$97,408,563 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years Ended December 31,

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING

| ACTIVITIES: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Net income | \$ 10,101,873 | \$ 5,232,835 | \$ 8,353,844 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 730,894 | 933,305 | 1,315,082 |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) | 153,450 | (1,949,446) | (185,160) |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,125,000 | 2,450,000 | 1,450,000 |
| Depreciation and amortization of fixed assets | 2,778,065 | 2,792,807 | 2,725,428 |
| Amortization of premiums on securities, net | 608,257 | 386,612 | (5,851) |
| Gains on sales of loans held for sale, net | (241,537) | (258,572) | (114,283) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 9,105,462 | 13,514,127 | 3,848,275 |
| Loans originated and held for sale | (9,151,419) | (13,374,645) | (3,775,005) |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of other real estate owned | (24,563) | 4,913 | 15,005 |
| Net gains on securities transactions | (450,666) | (784,589) | (589,456) |
| Net impairment loss recognized on investment securities | 393,005 | 2,242,446 | 803,222 |
| Decrease in other assets | 2,708,278 | 1,408,261 | 7,194,870 |
| Decrease (increase) in prepaid FDIC Assessment | 1,074,474 | (3,941,521) | - |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable | (344,853) | (333,978) | (25,539) |
| Expense related to restricted stock units for directors' deferred compensation plan | 111,772 | 104,929 | 103,365 |
| Expense related to employee stock compensation | 55,000 | 50,000 | 35,000 |
| Expense related to employee restricted stock awards | 1,143 | - | - |
| Decrease in other liabilities | (933,414) | (1,689,170) | (7,029,759) |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | (87,489) | (51,129) | - |
| Origination of student loans | - | - | (3,407,942) |
| Proceeds from sales of student loans | 137,509 | 1,942,673 | 7,647,892 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 17,850,241 | 8,679,858 | 18,358,988 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Proceeds from sales and calls of securities available for sale | 85,440,031 | 68,234,755 | 46,879,601 |
| Proceeds from maturities and principal collected on securities available for sale | 59,166,409 | 58,694,145 | 19,664,934 |
| | 10,057,026 | 8,266,171 | 1,654,701 |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Proceeds from maturities and principal collected on securities held to maturity | | | |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | (136,077,171) | (161,072,813) | (94,826,153) |
| Purchases of securities held to maturity | (5,612,297) | (11,987,188) | (5,613,721) |
| Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock | (58,200) | (443,650) | (20,570,400) |
| Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock | 9,900 | 535,500 | 23,317,000 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (2,084,537) | (1,819,689) | (4,182,718) |
| Net cash received in branch acquisition | - | - | 43,542,640 |
| Net cash received in Bank of Canton acquisition | - | 2,876,462 | - |
| Cash paid for purchase of Cascio Financial | - | - | (250,000) |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 317,823 | 421,871 | 37,515 |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans | (20,117,424) | 24,994,960 | (18,693,020) |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (8,958,440) | (11,299,476) | (9,039,621) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net increase in demand deposits, NOW accounts, savings accounts, and insured money market accounts | 13,959,189 | 90,109,046 | 26,602,484 |
| Net (decrease) in time deposits and individual retirement accounts | (28,663,430) | (18,809,498) | (7,236,981) |
| Net decrease (increase) in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (9,488,642) | (9,149,257) | 32,200,222 |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank overnight advances | - | - | (62,400,000) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (425,567) | (156,143) | (930,363) |
| Sale of treasury stock | 127,500 | 229,520 | 237,299 |
| Cash dividends paid | (3,519,470) | (3,517,034) | (3,518,983) |
| Net cash (used) provided by financing activities | (28,010,420) | 58,706,634 | (15,046,322) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (19,118,619) | 56,087,016 | (5,726,955) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 79,738,396 | 23,651,380 | 29,378,335 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 60,619,777 | \$ 79,738,396 | \$ 23,651,380 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 8,560,664 | \$ 11,472,434 | \$ 14,795,584 |
| Income Taxes | \$ 5,475,675 | \$ 7,399,018 | \$ 3,320,850 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activity: | | | |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ 554,246 | \$ 427,108 | \$ 454,041 |

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

ORGANIZATION

Chemung Financial Corporation (the "Corporation"), through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Chemung Canal Trust Company (the "Bank") and CFS Group, Inc., provides a wide range of banking, financing, fiduciary and other financial services to its clients. The Corporation is subject to the regulations of certain federal and state agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory agencies.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include the accounts of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and disclosures provided, and actual results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities and goodwill and other intangibles are particularly subject to change.

SECURITIES

Management determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase. If management has the intent and the Corporation has the ability at the time of purchase to hold securities until maturity, they are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost. Securities to be held for indefinite periods of time or not intended to be held to maturity are classified as available for sale and carried at fair value. Unrealized holding gains and losses on securities classified as available for sale are excluded from earnings and are reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity, net of the related tax effects, until realized. Realized gains and losses are determined using the specific identification method.

Securities are placed on non-accrual status when management believes there are significant doubts regarding the ultimate collectability of interest and/or principal. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment of yield using the interest method. Dividend and interest income is recognized when earned. Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK (FHLB) AND FEDERAL RESERVE BANK (FRB) STOCK

The Bank is a member of both the FHLB and FRB system. FHLB members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowings and other factors, while FRB members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on a percentage of the Bank's capital stock and surplus. FHLB and FRB stock are carried at cost and classified as non-marketable equities and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Cash dividends are reported as income.

BANK OWNED LIFE INSURANCE

Bank Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI") is recorded at the amount that can be realized under the insurance contracts at the balance sheet date, which is the cash surrender value adjusted for other charges or other amounts due that are probable at settlement. Changes in the cash surrender value are recorded in other income.

F-7

LOANS HELD FOR SALE

Certain mortgage loans are originated with the intent to sell. Loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. Loans held for sale, as well as the commitments to sell the loans that are originated for sale, are regularly evaluated for changes in fair value. If necessary, a valuation allowance is established with a charge to income for unrealized losses attributable to a change in market rates.

LOANS

Loans are stated at the amount of unpaid principal balance less unearned discounts and net deferred origination fees and costs. The Corporation has the ability and intent to hold its loans for the foreseeable future, except for student loans, which are sold to a third party upon reaching repayment status.

Interest on loans is accrued and credited to operations using the interest method. The accrual of interest is generally discontinued and previously accrued interest is reversed when commercial loans become 90 days delinquent, and when consumer, mortgage and home equity loans, which are not guaranteed by government agencies, become 120 days delinquent. Loans may also be placed on non-accrual status if management believes such classification is otherwise warranted. Loans are returned to accrual status when they become current as to principal and interest or when, in the opinion of management, the Corporation expects to receive all of its original principal and interest. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized over the life of the loan as an adjustment to yield, using the interest method.

LOAN CONCENTRATIONS

The loan portfolio is widely diversified by types of borrowers, industry groups, and market areas within our core footprint. Significant loan concentrations are considered to exist for a financial institution when there are amounts loaned to numerous borrowers engaged in similar activities that would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. At December 31, 2010, 10.4% of the Corporation's loans consist of commercial real estate loans to borrowers in the real estate, rental or leasing sector. The major portion of this sector comprises borrowers that rent, lease or otherwise allow the use of their own assets by others. No other significant concentrations existed in the Corporation's portfolio in excess of 10% of total loans as of December 31, 2010.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses is increased through a provision for loan losses charged to operations. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectability of all or a portion of the principal is unlikely. The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb probable incurred losses on existing loans. Management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is performed on a periodic basis and takes into consideration such factors as the credit risk grade assigned to the loan, historical loan loss experience and review of specific problem loans (including evaluations of the underlying collateral). Historical loss experience is adjusted by management based on their judgment as to the current impact of qualitative factors including changes in the composition and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrowers' ability to pay. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Corporation to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their

examination.

F-8

Management, after considering current information and events regarding the borrower's ability to repay their obligations, classifies a loan as impaired when it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures. Troubled debt restructurings are separately identified for impairment disclosures and are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan, the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral. For troubled debt restructurings that subsequently default, the Corporation determines the amount of reserve in accordance with the accounting policy for the allowance for loan losses.

The general component covers non-impaired loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. Loans not impaired but classified as substandard and special mention use a historical loss factor on a rolling five year history of net losses. For all other unclassified loans, the historical loss experience is determined by portfolio class and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Corporation over the most recent two years. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio class. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations. The following portfolio segments have been identified: commercial, financial and agricultural; commercial mortgages; residential mortgages; and consumer loans.

Risk Characteristics

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans primarily consist of loans to small to mid-sized businesses in our market area in a diverse range of industries. These loans are of higher risk and typically are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. Further, the collateral securing the loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value. The credit risk related to commercial loans is largely influenced by general economic conditions and the resulting impact on a borrower's operations or on the value of underlying collateral, if any.

Commercial mortgage loans generally have larger balances and involve a greater degree of risk than residential mortgage loans, inferring higher potential losses on an individual customer basis. Loan repayment is often dependent on the successful operation and management of the properties and/or the businesses occupying the properties, as well as on the collateral securing the loan. Economic events or conditions in the real estate market could have an adverse impact on the cash flows generated by properties securing the Company's commercial real estate loans and on the value of such properties.

Residential mortgage loans are generally made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from his or her employment and other income, but are secured by real property whose value tends to be more easily ascertainable. Credit risk for these types of loans is generally influenced by general economic conditions, the characteristics of individual borrowers and the nature of the loan collateral.

F-9

The consumer loan segment includes home equity lines of credit and home equity loans, which exhibit many of the same risk characteristics as residential mortgages. Indirect and other consumer loans may entail greater credit risk than residential mortgage and home equity loans, particularly in the case of other consumer loans which are unsecured or, in the case of indirect consumer loans, secured by depreciable assets, such as automobiles or boats. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, thus are more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances such as job loss, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on such loans.

PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Land is carried at cost, while buildings, equipment, leasehold improvements and furniture are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is charged to current operations under the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 15 to 50 years for buildings and from 3 to 10 years for equipment and furniture. Amortization of leasehold improvements and leased equipment is recognized on the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated life of the asset.

OTHER REAL ESTATE

Real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure is recorded at estimated fair value of the property less estimated costs to dispose at the time of acquisition. Write downs from the carrying value of the loan to estimated fair value which are required at the time of foreclosure are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent adjustments to the carrying values of such properties resulting from declines in fair value are charged to operations in the period in which the declines occur.

INCOME TAXES

The Corporation files a consolidated tax return. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and for unused tax loss carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled, or the tax loss carry forwards are expected to be utilized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded.

TRUST AND INVESTMENT SERVICES INCOME

Assets held in a fiduciary or agency capacity for customers are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, since such assets are not assets of the Corporation. Trust and Investment Services income is recognized on the accrual method as earned based on contractual rates applied to the balances of individual trust accounts. The unaudited market value of trust assets under administration totaled \$1.625 billion at December 31, 2010 and \$1.632

billion at December 31, 2009.

F-10

PENSION PLAN

Pension costs, based on actuarial computations of benefits for employees, are charged to current operating results. The Corporation's funding policy is to contribute amounts to the plan sufficient to meet minimum regulatory funding requirements, plus such additional amounts as the Corporation may determine to be appropriate from time to time.

POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Corporation sponsors a defined benefit health care plan that provides postretirement medical benefits to employees who meet minimum age and service requirements. Postretirement life insurance benefits are also provided to certain employees who retired prior to July 1981.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Compensation cost is recognized for restricted stock awards issued to employees, based on the fair value of these awards at the date of the grant. The market price of the Corporation's common stock at the date of the grant is used for restricted stock awards.

GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill resulting from business combinations prior to January 1, 2009 represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill resulting from business combinations after January 1, 2009, is generally determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interests in the acquiree, over the fair value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but tested for impairment at least annually. The Corporation has selected December 31 as the date to perform the annual impairment test. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values. Goodwill is the only intangible asset with an indefinite life on our balance sheet.

The Corporation's intangible assets with definite useful lives resulted from the purchase of the trust business of Partners Trust Bank in May of 2007, the acquisition of three former M&T Bank branch offices in March 2008, the acquisition of Cascio Financial Strategies in May of 2008 and the acquisition of Canton Bancorp, Inc. in May 2009, with balances of \$3.780 million, \$562 thousand, \$242 thousand and \$72 thousand, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The trust business intangible is being amortized to expense over the expected useful life of 15 years. The identifiable core deposit and customer relationship intangibles related to the M&T branch offices and Canton Bancorp, Inc. acquisitions are being amortized to expense using a 7.25 and 7 year accelerated method, respectively. The customer relationship intangible for Cascio Financial is being expensed over a 5 year period. The balances are reviewed for impairment on an ongoing basis or whenever events or changes in business circumstances warrant a review of the carrying value. If impairment is determined to exist, the related write-down of the intangible asset's carrying value is charged to operations.

Based on these impairment reviews, the Corporation determined that goodwill and other intangible assets were not impaired at December 31, 2010.

F-11

EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings per share is net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Issuable shares including those related to directors' restricted stock units and directors' stock compensation are considered outstanding and are included in the computation of basic earnings per share as they are earned. All outstanding unvested share based payment awards that contain rights to nonforfeitable dividends are considered participating securities for this calculation. The restricted stock awards granted in December, 2010 are grants of participating securities. Given the timing of the grants, the impact of the participating securities on earnings per share is not material. Earnings per share information is adjusted to present comparative results for stock splits and stock dividends that occur.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale and changes in the funded status of the Corporation's defined benefit pension plan and other benefit plans, net of the related tax effect, which are also recognized as separate components of equity.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and amounts due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions.

SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE

The Corporation enters into sales of securities under agreements to repurchase. The agreements are treated as financings, and the obligations to repurchase securities sold are reflected as liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. The amount of the securities underlying the agreements continues to be carried in the Corporation's securities portfolio. The Corporation has agreed to repurchase securities identical to those sold. The securities underlying the agreements are under the Corporation's control.

OTHER FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Corporation is a party to certain other financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk such as unused portions of lines of credit and commitments to fund new loans. The Corporation's policy is to record such instruments when funded.

SEGMENT REPORTING

The Corporation's operations are solely in the financial services industry and primarily include the provision of traditional banking and trust services. The Corporation operates primarily in the New York counties of Chemung, Herkimer, Steuben, Schuyler, Tioga, Tompkins and Broome, and the northern tier of Pennsylvania. The Corporation has identified separate operating segments and internal financial information is primarily reported and aggregated in two lines of business, banking and trust and investment advisory services.

RECLASSIFICATION

Amounts in the prior years' consolidated financial statements are reclassified whenever necessary to conform with the current year's presentation.

F-12

ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2009, the FASB amended previous guidance relating to transfers of financial assets and eliminated the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. This guidance must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities should be evaluated for consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. The disclosure provisions were also amended and apply to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. The effect of adopting this new guidance was not material.

In July 2010, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update ("ASU"), "Receivables: Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses". The objective of this ASU is for an entity to provide disclosures that facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. An entity should provide disclosures on a disaggregated basis on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. The ASU makes changes to existing disclosure requirements and includes additional disclosure requirements about financing receivables, including credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, and the nature and extent of troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the period by class of financing receivables and their effect on the allowance for credit losses. For public entities, the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Adoption of this ASU was disclosure related only and had no impact on the results of operations.

(2) RESTRICTIONS ON CASH AND DUE FROM BANK ACCOUNTS

The Corporation is required to maintain certain reserves of vault cash and/or deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The amount of this reserve requirement was \$750,000 at both December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

(3) SECURITIES

Amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available for sale at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$101,426,799 | \$102,131,517 | \$84,669,025 | \$84,620,520 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 60,379,269 | 62,761,633 | 91,893,807 | 93,944,883 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 38,143,972 | 38,765,092 | 31,280,180 | 32,125,087 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 11,019,343 | 11,694,190 | 11,740,197 | 12,184,682 |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Trust preferred securities | 2,597,993 | 2,344,094 | 2,983,306 | 2,261,480 |
| Corporate stocks | 744,763 | 5,848,435 | 825,488 | 5,846,981 |
| Total | \$214,312,139 | \$223,544,961 | \$223,392,003 | \$230,983,633 |

F-13

Gross unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale at December 31, 2010 and 2009, were as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$916,547 | \$211,829 | \$120,332 | \$168,837 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 2,385,036 | 2,672 | 2,244,777 | 193,701 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 672,067 | 50,947 | 847,618 | 2,711 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 674,847 | - | 519,488 | 75,003 |
| Trust preferred securities | 134,561 | 388,460 | - | 721,826 |
| Corporate stocks | 5,112,755 | 9,082 | 5,043,198 | 21,705 |
| Total | \$9,895,813 | \$662,990 | \$8,775,413 | \$1,183,783 |

Total other-than-temporary impairment recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income was \$388,460 for securities available for sale at December 31, 2010.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value by years to contractual maturity (mortgage-backed securities are shown as maturing based on the estimated average life at the projected prepayment speed) as of December 31, 2010, for debt securities available for sale are as follows:

| | Within One Year | | Maturing After One, But Within Five Years | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|
| | Amortized | | Amortized | |
| | Cost | Fair Value | Cost | Fair Value |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$35,704,635 | \$35,740,403 | \$60,722,857 | \$61,096,583 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 1,232,051 | 1,240,059 | 56,308,463 | 58,642,498 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 4,844,240 | 4,886,826 | 21,893,760 | 22,222,638 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 501,274 | 513,501 | 10,518,068 | 11,180,689 |
| Trust preferred securities | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$42,282,200 | \$42,380,789 | \$149,443,148 | \$153,142,408 |

| | After Five, But Within Ten Years | | Maturing After Ten Years | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | Amortized | | Amortized | |
| | Cost | Fair Value | Cost | Fair Value |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$4,999,307 | \$5,294,531 | \$- | \$- |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 466,237 | 477,159 | 2,372,518 | 2,401,917 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 11,211,879 | 11,463,846 | 194,093 | 191,782 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | - | - | - | - |
| Trust preferred securities | 1,874,948 | 2,009,509 | 723,045 | 334,585 |
| Total | \$18,552,371 | \$19,245,045 | \$3,289,656 | \$2,928,284 |

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities above because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

F-14

The proceeds from sales and calls of securities resulting in gains or losses are listed below:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Proceeds on sales | \$ 10,520,033 | \$ 10,834,755 | \$ 1,509,456 |
| Gross gains | \$ 451,094 | \$ 784,589 | \$ 589,456 |
| Gross losses | \$ 428 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Tax expense | \$ 174,345 | \$ 303,526 | \$ 228,037 |

Amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities held to maturity at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 7,715,123 | \$ 8,297,392 | \$ 12,159,852 | \$ 12,647,314 |
| Total | \$ 7,715,123 | \$ 8,297,392 | \$ 12,159,852 | \$ 12,647,314 |

Securities held to maturity had unrealized gains totaling \$582,269 and \$491,943 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There were no unrealized losses at December 31, 2010 and unrealized losses totaled \$4,481 at December 31, 2009. There were no sales of securities held to maturity in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

The contractual maturity of securities held to maturity is as follows at December 31, 2010:

| | Maturing | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | Within One Year | | After One, But Within Five Years | |
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 1,683,623 | \$ 1,704,890 | \$ 3,359,195 | \$ 3,644,099 |
| Total | \$ 1,683,623 | \$ 1,704,890 | \$ 3,359,195 | \$ 3,644,099 |

| | Maturing | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| | After Five, But Within Ten Years | | After Ten Years | |
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 2,672,305 | \$ 2,948,403 | \$ - | \$ - |

| | | | | |
|-------|--------------|--------------|------|------|
| Total | \$ 2,672,305 | \$ 2,948,403 | \$ - | \$ - |
|-------|--------------|--------------|------|------|

F-15

The following table summarizes the investment securities available for sale and held to maturity with unrealized losses at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized position:

| 2010 | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$ 25,543,154 | \$ 211,829 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 25,543,154 | \$ 211,829 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 844,587 | 2,672 | - | - | 844,587 | 2,672 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 7,746,912 | 50,947 | - | - | 7,746,912 | 50,947 |
| Trust preferred securities | - | - | 334,585 | 388,460 | 334,585 | 388,460 |
| Corporate stocks | - | - | 40,910 | 9,082 | 40,910 | 9,082 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 34,134,653 | \$ 265,448 | \$ 375,495 | \$ 397,542 | \$ 34,510,148 | \$ 662,990 |

| 2009 | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$ 39,979,031 | \$ 168,837 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 39,979,031 | \$ 168,837 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 23,475,694 | 193,702 | - | - | 23,475,694 | 193,702 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 730,776 | 7,192 | - | - | 730,776 | 7,192 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 200,222 | 3 | 2,425,000 | 75,000 | 2,625,222 | 75,003 |
| Trust preferred securities | 60,480 | 102,420 | 2,201,000 | 619,405 | 2,261,480 | 721,825 |
| Corporate stocks | 28,287 | 21,705 | - | - | 28,287 | 21,705 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 64,474,490 | \$ 493,859 | \$ 4,626,000 | \$ 694,405 | \$ 69,100,490 | \$ 1,188,264 |

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

In determining OTTI for debt securities, management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the Corporation has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated

recovery. The assessment of whether an other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

In order to determine OTTI for purchased beneficial interests, the Corporation compares the present value of the remaining cash flows as estimated at the preceding evaluation date to the current expected remaining cash flows. OTTI is deemed to have occurred if there has been an adverse change in the remaining expected future cash flows.

When OTTI occurs, for either debt securities or purchased beneficial interests, the amount of the OTTI recognized in earnings depends on whether an entity intends to sell the security or more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss. If an entity intends to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss, the OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period loss, the OTTI shall be separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the total OTTI related to the credit loss is determined based on the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total OTTI related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment.

As of December 31, 2010, the majority of the Corporation's unrealized losses in the investment securities portfolio related to two pooled trust preferred securities. The decline in fair value on these securities is primarily attributable to the financial crisis and resulting credit deterioration and financial condition of the underlying issuers, all of which are financial institutions. This deterioration may affect the future receipt of both principal and interest payments on these securities. This fact combined with the current illiquidity in the market makes it unlikely that the Corporation would be able to recover its investment in these securities if the securities were sold at this time.

Our analysis of these investments includes \$723 thousand book value of collateralized debt obligations ("CDO's") consisting of pooled trust preferred securities. These securities were rated high quality at inception, but at December 31, 2010 Moody's rated these securities as Caa3, which is defined as substantial risk of default. The Corporation uses the OTTI evaluation model to compare the present value of expected cash flows to the previous estimate to determine if there are adverse changes in cash flows during the quarter. The OTTI model considers the structure and term of the CDO and the financial condition of the underlying issuers. Specifically, the model details interest rates, principal balances of note classes and underlying issuers, the timing and amount of interest and principal payments of the underlying issuers, and the allocation of the payments to the note classes. The current estimate of expected cash flows is based on the most recent trustee reports and any other relevant market information including announcements of interest payment deferrals or defaults of underlying trust preferred securities. Assumptions used in the model include expected future default rates and prepayments. We assume no recoveries on defaults and treat all interest payment deferrals as defaults.

In determining the amount of "currently performing" collateral for the purposes of modeling the expected future cash flows, management analyzed the default and deferral history over the past 2 years in both of the securities held. This review indicated significant increases in the number and amount of defaults and deferrals by the issuers. Additionally, management has noted the correlation between the rising levels of non-performing loans as a percent of tangible equity plus loan loss reserves by those issuers that have defaulted and/or deferred interest payments.

Therefore management has used this ratio as a primary indicator to project the levels of future defaults for modeling purposes. Management recognizes the potential of defaults and deferrals to continue over the next 12 to 24 months as the 157 depository institutions closed by regulators in 2010 exceeded that of 2009 when 140 banks failed. The operating environment remains difficult for community and regional banks in many parts of the country, which could lead to higher default and deferral levels.

F-17

The following table provides detailed information related to the pooled trust preferred securities held as of December 31, 2010:

| Description | Actual Deferrals as % of Outstanding Collateral | Actual Defaults as % of Original Collateral | Excess Subordination as % of Performing Collateral | Expected Additional Defaults as % of Performing Collateral |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| MM Community Funding IX, Ltd. (Class B-2) | 28.05% | 17.05% | -60.34% | 23.76% |
| TPREF Funding II, Ltd. (Class B) | 17.61% | 14.24% | -38.49% | 18.90% |

In the table above, "Excess Subordination as % of Performing Collateral" was calculated by dividing the difference between the total face value of performing collateral less the face value of all outstanding note balances not subordinate to our investment, by the total face value of performing collateral. This ratio measures the extent to which there may be tranches within each pooled trust preferred structure available to absorb credit losses before the Corporation's securities would be impacted. As mentioned earlier, the levels of defaults and deferrals in these pools have increased significantly in recent months, which have resulted in a significant reduction in the amount of performing collateral. As a result, the negative Excess Subordination as a % of Performing Collateral percentages shown above indicate there is no support from subordinate tranches available to absorb losses before the Corporation's securities would be impacted. A negative ratio is not the only factor to consider when determining if OTTI should be recorded. Other factors affect the timing and amount of cash flows available for payments to investors such as the excess interest paid by the issuers, as issuers typically pay higher rates of interest than are paid out to investors.

Upon completion of the December 31, 2010 analysis, our model indicated no additional other-than-temporary impairment on these securities. For the year ended December 31, 2010, OTTI losses recognized in earnings totaled \$393 thousand. Both of these securities remained classified as available for sale and represented \$388 thousand of the unrealized losses reported at December 31, 2010. For both securities payments, continue to be made as agreed.

When the analysis of these securities was conducted at December 31, 2010, the present value of expected future cash flows using a discount rate equal to the yield in effect at the time of purchase, was compared to the previous quarters' analysis. This analysis indicated no further decline in value attributed to credit related factors stemming from any further deterioration in the underlying collateral payment streams in either security held. Total declines in fair value or OTTI recorded in earnings in previous quarters totaled \$393 thousand in 2010 compared to \$2.242 million in 2009. Additionally, the present value of the expected future cash flows was calculated using a current estimated discount rate that a willing market participant might use to value the securities based on current market conditions and interest rates. This comparison indicated an increase in value during 2010 in both of these securities, based on factors other than credit which resulted in a gain reported in other comprehensive income. This result is consistent with the fact that some improvement has been noted recently in the credit markets related to overall corporate and financial institution credit spreads. Therefore, while the credit quality related to these securities declined during the year, the change in value related to other factors actually improved and partially offset the decline in credit quality when assessing the overall fair value of the impaired securities. This explains how changes in credit quality may or may not correlate to changes in the overall fair value of the impaired securities as the change in credit quality is only one component in assessing the overall fair value of the impaired securities. Therefore the recognition of additional credit related OTTI resulted in a gain reported in other comprehensive income.

F-18

The table below presents a roll forward of the cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, | \$ 3,045,668 | \$ 803,222 |
| Amounts related to credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized | - | - |
| Additions/Subtractions | | |
| Amounts realized for securities sold during the period | - | - |
| Amounts related to securities for which the company intends to sell or that it will be more likely than not that the company will be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost basis | - | - |
| Reductions for increase in cash flows expected to be collected that are recognized over the remaining life of the security | - | - |
| Increases to the amount related to the credit loss for which other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized | 393,005 | 2,242,446 |
| Ending balance, December 31, | \$ 3,438,673 | \$ 3,045,668 |

Interest and dividend income on securities for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Taxable: | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$ 2,086,561 | \$ 1,969,773 | \$ 2,993,428 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 3,184,152 | 4,186,550 | 3,893,983 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 499,167 | 362,188 | 169,654 |
| Trust preferred securities | 271,500 | 306,102 | 365,083 |
| Corporate stocks | 286,109 | 311,499 | 464,109 |
| Exempt from Federal taxation: | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 1,188,193 | 1,131,610 | 804,360 |
| Total | \$ 7,515,682 | \$ 8,267,722 | \$ 8,690,617 |

The fair value of securities pledged to secure public funds on deposit or for other purposes as required by law was \$143,447,438 at December 31, 2010 and \$142,575,099 at December 31, 2009.

The table below shows the securities pledged to secure securities sold under agreements to repurchase at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| 2010 | 2009 |
|------|------|
|------|------|

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U. S. Government sponsored enterprises | \$ 15,297,782 | \$ 15,682,778 | \$ 11,601,161 | \$ 11,646,404 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 39,311,563 | 41,061,083 | 52,709,353 | 54,486,045 |
| Total | \$ 54,609,345 | \$ 56,743,861 | \$ 64,310,514 | \$ 66,132,449 |

There are no securities of a single issuer (other than securities of U.S. Government sponsored enterprises) that exceed 10% of shareholders' equity at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

The Corporation has an equity investment in Cephaz Capital Partners, L.P. This small business investment company was established for the purpose of providing financing to small businesses in market areas served by the Corporation, including minority-owned small businesses and those that are anticipated to create jobs for the low to moderate income levels in the targeted areas. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, these investments totaled \$2,427,721 and \$2,428,436, respectively, are included in other assets, and are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

(4) LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The composition of the loan portfolio, net of deferred origination fees and cost, and unearned income is summarized as follows:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 114,697,440 | \$ 118,301,948 |
| Commercial mortgages | 133,070,484 | 123,669,801 |
| Residential mortgages | 173,467,806 | 162,446,550 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 98,940,854 | 94,122,278 |
| Consumer loans | 93,507,785 | 97,312,215 |
| | \$ 613,684,369 | \$ 595,852,792 |

Residential mortgages held for sale as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were \$486,997 and \$199,503, respectively.

Residential mortgages totaling \$97,036,042 at December 31, 2010 and \$100,884,878 at December 31, 2009 were pledged under a blanket collateral agreement for the Corporation's line of credit with the FHLB.

The Corporation's market area encompasses the New York State counties of Broome, Chemung, Herkimer, Schuyler, Steuben, Tioga, and Tompkins, as well as Bradford County in the northern tier of Pennsylvania. Substantially all of the Corporation's outstanding loans are with borrowers living or doing business within 25 miles of the Corporation's branches in these counties. The Corporation's concentrations of credit risk by loan type are reflected in the preceding table. The concentrations of credit risk with standby letters of credit, committed lines of credit and commitments to originate new loans generally follow the loan classifications in the table above. Other than general economic risks, management is not aware of any material concentrations of credit risk to any industry or individual borrower.

The following table summarizes the Corporation's non-performing loans at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 10,585,812 | \$ 5,910,051 |
| Troubled debt restructurings | 657,102 | 7,376,972 |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest | 11,174 | 517,359 |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 11,254,088 | \$ 13,804,382 |

The total amount of interest income that would have been recorded if the above non-accrual and troubled debt restructured loans had been current in accordance with their original terms and had been outstanding throughout the period or since origination, if held for part of the period, in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$957,268, \$932,318 and \$255,775, respectively. Interest income was recognized in 2010, 2009 and 2008 on those loans in the amount of \$297,940, \$596,301, and \$84,620, respectively. The Corporation is not committed to advance additional funds to borrowers with non-performing loans.

F-20

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Balances at January 1 | \$ 9,967,223 | \$ 9,105,517 | \$ 8,452,819 |
| Provision charged to operations | 1,125,000 | 2,450,000 | 1,450,000 |
| Loans charged-off | (2,211,179) | (1,840,899) | (1,372,415) |
| Recoveries | 617,087 | 252,605 | 575,113 |
| Balances at December 31 | \$ 9,498,131 | \$ 9,967,223 | \$ 9,105,517 |

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment based on impairment method as of December 31, 2010:

| | Commercial, Financial and Agricultural | Commercial Mortgages | Residential Mortgages | Consumer Loans | Unallocated | Total |
|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | | | |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 23,524 | \$ 216,234 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 239,758 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 2,094,775 | 2,358,824 | 1,301,780 | 2,727,022 | 775,972 | 9,258,373 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 2,118,299 | \$ 2,575,058 | \$ 1,301,780 | \$ 2,727,022 | \$ 775,972 | \$ 9,498,131 |

| | Commercial, Financial and Agricultural | Commercial Mortgages | Residential Mortgages | Consumer Loans | Total |
|---|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$3,215,762 | \$4,450,885 | \$408,391 | \$- | \$8,075,038 |
| | 111,778,238 | 128,963,664 | 173,465,831 | 193,098,341 | 607,306,074 |

Loans
collectively
evaluated
for
impairment

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | - | - | - | - | - |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Total ending loans balance | \$ 114,994,000 | \$ 133,414,549 | \$ 173,874,222 | \$ 193,098,341 | \$ 615,381,112 |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|

| Impaired loans were as follows: | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|
| Year-end loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses | \$ 7,179,724 | \$ 6,735,293 |
| Year-end loans with allocated allowance for loan losses | 892,298 | 3,357,906 |
| Total | \$ 8,072,022 | \$ 10,093,199 |

The related impairment allowance for impaired loans was \$239,758 and \$844,551 at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The average recorded investment in impaired loans during 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$8,253,709, \$9,300,071 and \$2,551,013, respectively. During 2010 interest income recognized on impaired loans during the period the loans were impaired totaled \$138,526, \$453 of which was recognized on a cash-basis. During 2009, interest income recognized on impaired loans during the period the loans were impaired totaled \$476,150, \$183,280 of which was recognized on a cash-basis. During 2008, interest income recognized on impaired loans during the period the loans were impaired totaled \$65,256, \$1,640 of which was recognized on a cash-basis.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2010:

| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated | Recorded Investment |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 3,191,671 | \$ - | \$ 3,192,227 |
| Commercial mortgages | | | |
| Construction | 32,266 | - | 32,266 |
| Other | 3,548,682 | - | 3,549,686 |
| Residential mortgages | 407,105 | - | 408,392 |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | 23,524 | 23,524 | 23,534 |
| Commercial mortgages | | | |
| Construction | 50,939 | 43,514 | 50,939 |
| Other | 817,835 | 172,720 | 817,991 |
| Total | \$ 8,072,022 | \$ 239,758 | \$ 8,075,035 |

The following table presents the recorded investment in non accrual and loan past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of December 31, 2010:

| | Non-Accrual | Loans Past Due Over 90 Days Still Accruing |
|--|--------------|---|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 2,938,174 | \$ - |
| Commercial mortgages | | |
| Construction | 83,204 | - |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Other | 4,230,701 | - |
| Residential mortgages | 2,558,534 | - |
| Consumer loans | | |
| Credit cards | - | 11,174 |
| Home equity lines & loans | 545,039 | - |
| Indirect consumer loans | 180,632 | - |
| Other direct consumer loans | 61,601 | - |
| Total | \$ 10,597,886 | \$ 11,174 |

F-22

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in loans past due by class of loans as of December 31, 2010:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater than 90 Days Past Due | Total Past Due | Loans Not Past Due | Total |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | | | | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 33,434 | \$ 17,351 | \$ 2,914,640 | \$ 2,965,425 | \$ 111,202,073 | \$ 114,167,498 |
| Agricultural | - | - | - | - | 826,501 | 826,501 |
| Commercial mortgages | | | | | | |
| Construction | - | - | 63,102 | 63,102 | 9,029,450 | 9,092,552 |
| Other | 116,432 | - | 2,913,525 | 3,029,957 | 121,292,041 | 124,321,998 |
| Residential mortgages | 1,851,412 | 277,276 | 1,404,067 | 3,532,755 | 170,341,467 | 173,874,222 |
| Consumer loans | | | | | | |
| Credit cards | 4,889 | 16,635 | 11,174 | 32,698 | 1,989,199 | 2,021,897 |
| Home equity lines & loans | 550,134 | 79,910 | 321,116 | 951,160 | 76,052,290 | 77,003,450 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 465,818 | 154,969 | 146,221 | 767,008 | 98,571,142 | 99,338,150 |
| Other direct consumer loans | 51,125 | 12,502 | 41,964 | 105,591 | 14,629,253 | 14,734,844 |
| Total | \$ 3,073,244 | \$ 558,643 | \$ 7,815,809 | \$ 11,447,696 | \$ 603,933,416 | \$ 615,381,112 |

Troubled Debt Restructurings:

The Corporation has not allocated any specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2010. The Corporation has allocated \$596,441 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2009. The Corporation has not committed to lend any additional amounts as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as trouble debt restructurings.

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Corporation categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Corporation analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes new consumer, mortgage and home equity loans and lines with outstanding balances greater than \$50 thousand, \$250 thousand and \$100 thousand, respectively, along with a sample of existing loans and non-homogeneous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on an annual basis. The Corporation uses the following definitions for risk rating:

Special Mention – Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management’s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or the institution’s credit position as some future date.

Substandard – Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capability of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful – Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

F-23

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans. As of December 31, 2010, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of the recorded investment of loans by class of loans is as follows:

| | Not Rated | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | | | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$- | \$90,887,538 | \$16,946,891 | \$4,770,276 | \$1,562,794 |
| Agricultural | - | 824,882 | 1,619 | - | - |
| Commercial mortgages | | | | | |
| Construction | - | 7,497,488 | 672,136 | 922,928 | - |
| Other | - | 108,732,393 | 7,245,641 | 8,343,964 | - |
| Residential mortgages | 171,024,544 | - | - | 2,849,678 | - |
| Consumer loans | | | | | |
| Credit cards | 2,021,896 | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity lines & loans | 76,458,414 | - | - | 545,037 | - |
| Indirect consumer loans | 99,155,306 | - | - | 77,883 | - |
| Other direct consumer loans | 14,656,960 | - | - | 182,844 | - |
| Total | \$363,317,120 | \$207,942,301 | \$24,866,287 | \$17,692,610 | \$1,562,794 |

The Corporation considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential and consumer loan classes, the Corporation also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential and consumer loans based on payment activity as of December 31, 2010:

| | Consumer Loans | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Residential Mortgages | Credit Card | Home Equity Lines & Loans | Indirect Consumer Loans | Other Direct Consumer Loans |
| Performing | \$ 171,070,880 | \$ 2,010,723 | \$ 76,458,413 | \$ 99,157,518 | \$ 14,673,243 |
| Non-Performing | 2,803,342 | 11,174 | 545,037 | 180,632 | 61,601 |
| Total | \$ 173,874,222 | \$ 2,021,897 | \$ 77,003,450 | \$ 99,338,150 | \$ 14,734,844 |

(5) PREMISES & EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Land | \$ 3,553,406 | \$ 3,559,558 |
| Buildings | 31,032,358 | 30,060,241 |
| Equipment and furniture | 28,485,145 | 28,356,217 |
| Leasehold improvements | 2,941,317 | 2,914,386 |
| | 66,012,226 | 64,890,402 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and | 41,819,633 | 40,004,281 |

amortization

| | |
|---------------|---------------|
| \$ 24,192,593 | \$ 24,886,121 |
|---------------|---------------|

Depreciation expense was \$2,778,065, \$2,792,807 and \$2,725,428 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-24

Operating Leases: The Corporation leases certain branch properties under operating leases. Rent Expense was \$683,561, \$662,119, and \$568,823 for 2010, 2009, and 2008. Rent commitments, before considering renewal options that generally are present, were as follows:

| Year | Estimated Expense |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 573,665 |
| 2012 | 566,248 |
| 2013 | 552,404 |
| 2014 | 541,267 |
| 2015 | 549,231 |
| 2016 and thereafter | 2,578,374 |
| Total | \$ 5,361,189 |

(6) GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The changes in goodwill during the years ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning of year | \$ 9,872,375 | \$ 8,806,796 | \$ 1,516,666 |
| Acquired goodwill | - | 1,065,579 | 7,290,130 |
| End of year | \$ 9,872,375 | \$ 9,872,375 | \$ 8,806,796 |

Acquired intangible assets were as follows at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | At December 31, 2010 | | At December 31, 2009 | |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Balance Acquired | Accumulated Amortization | Balance Acquired | Accumulated Amortization |
| Core deposit intangibles (1) | \$ 1,174,272 | \$ 674,141 | \$ 7,140,066 | \$ 6,422,294 |
| Other customer relationship intangibles | 6,133,116 | 1,977,347 | 6,133,116 | 1,464,094 |
| Total | \$ 7,307,388 | \$ 2,651,488 | \$ 13,273,182 | \$ 7,886,388 |

(1) The reduction in the Core Deposit Intangible Balance Acquired and Accumulated Amortization from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010 was due to the fact that during 2009 a core deposit intangible related to the Corporation's purchase of three offices from the Resolution Trust Corporation in June of 1994 was fully amortized.

Aggregate amortization expense was \$730,894, \$933,305 and \$1,315,082 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The remaining estimated aggregate amortization expense at December 31, 2010 is listed below:

| Year | Estimated Expense |
|------|-------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 680,439 |
| 2012 | 629,984 |

| | |
|------------------------|--------------|
| 2013 | 521,195 |
| 2014 | 429,073 |
| 2015 | 380,548 |
| 2016 and thereafter | 2,014,661 |
| Total | \$ 4,655,900 |

(7) DEPOSITS

A summary of deposits at December 31, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Non-interest-bearing demand deposits | \$ 197,322,036 | \$ 195,613,007 |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 49,367,215 | 48,273,844 |
| Insured money market accounts | 149,167,378 | 144,501,615 |
| Savings deposits | 136,698,242 | 130,207,216 |
| Time deposits | 253,803,981 | 282,467,411 |
| | \$ 786,358,852 | \$ 801,063,093 |

Time deposits include certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100,000 or more aggregating \$81,942,117 and \$88,609,343 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Interest expense on such certificates was \$1,698,576, \$2,349,396 and \$2,676,559 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Scheduled maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2010, are summarized as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|---------------|
| 2011 | \$176,436,019 |
| 2012 | 57,164,622 |
| 2013 | 11,284,535 |
| 2014 | 6,273,859 |
| 2015 | 2,640,659 |
| 2016 and thereafter | 4,287 |
| | \$253,803,981 |

(8) SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE

A summary of securities sold under agreements to repurchase as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase: | | | |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ 44,774,615 | \$ 54,263,257 | \$ 63,412,514 |
| Maximum month-end balance | \$ 56,119,633 | \$ 66,190,640 | \$ 65,802,532 |
| Average balance during year | \$ 48,433,900 | \$ 59,141,554 | \$ 53,630,728 |
| | 3.35 % | 3.36 % | 3.33 % |

Weighted-average interest
rate at December 31

| | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| Average interest rate paid during year | 3.39 | % | 3.31 | % | 3.60 | % |
|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|

Information concerning outstanding securities repurchase agreements as of December 31, 2010 is summarized as follows:

| Remaining Term to Final Maturity (1) | Repurchase Liability | Accrued Interest Payable | Weighted Average Rate | Estimated Fair Value of Collateral Securities (2) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Within 90 days | \$ 9,774,615 | \$ 3,842 | 1.34 % | \$ 16,812,358 |
| After 90 days but within one year | 10,000,000 | 46,217 | 3.54 % | 10,938,431 |
| After one year but within five years | 5,000,000 | 24,479 | 3.75 % | 5,233,126 |
| After five years but within ten years | 20,000,000 | 63,700 | 4.13 % | 24,009,103 |
| Total | \$ 44,774,615 | \$ 138,238 | 3.35 % | \$ 56,993,018 |

(1) The weighted-average remaining term to final maturity was approximately 3.3 years at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, \$22.5 million of the securities repurchase agreements contained call provisions. The weighted-average rate at December 31, 2010 on the callable securities repurchase agreements was 4.23%, with a weighted-average remaining period of approximately 2.8 years to the call date. At December 31, 2010, \$22.3 million of the securities repurchase agreements did not contain call provisions. The weighted-average rate at December 31, 2010 on the non-callable securities repurchase agreements was 2.45%, with a weighted-average term to maturity of approximately 6 months.

(2) Represents the estimated fair value of the securities subject to the repurchase agreements, including accrued interest receivable, of approximately \$249 thousand at December 31, 2010.

(9) FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK TERM ADVANCES AND OVERNIGHT ADVANCES

The following is a summary of Federal Home Loan Bank advances at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| 2010 | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Amount | Weighted-Average Rate | | Maturity | Call Date |
| \$ 10,000,000 | 4.77 | % | July 27, 2012 | - |
| 10,000,000 | 4.60 | % | December 22, 2016 | December 22, 2011 |
| \$ 20,000,000 | 4.69 | % | | |

| 2009 | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Amount | Weighted-Average Rate | | Maturity | Call Date |
| \$ 10,000,000 | 4.77 | % | July 27, 2012 | - |
| 10,000,000 | 4.60 | % | December 22, 2016 | December 22, 2011 |
| \$ 20,000,000 | 4.69 | % | | |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Scheduled maturities of Federal Home Loan Bank advances at December 31, 2010, are summarized as follows:

| Year | |
|------------------------|---------------|
| 2011 | \$ - |
| 2012 | 10,000,000 |
| 2013 | - |
| 2014 | - |
| 2015 | - |
| 2016 and thereafter | 10,000,000 |
| Total | \$ 20,000,000 |

F-27

Each advance is payable at its maturity date, with a prepayment penalty for both term advances. The advances were collateralized by \$97,036,042 and \$100,884,878 of first mortgage loans under a blanket lien arrangement at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Based on this collateral the Corporation's holdings of FHLB stock, the Corporation is eligible to borrow up to a total of \$64,421,356 at year-end 2010.

(10) INCOME TAXES

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, income tax expense attributable to income from operations consisted of the following:

| Current: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| State | \$ 511,022 | \$ 343,339 | \$ 255,429 |
| Federal | 4,440,767 | 3,466,770 | 3,964,354 |
| | 4,951,789 | 3,810,109 | 4,219,783 |
| Deferred expense (benefit) | 153,450 | (1,949,446) | (185,160) |
| | \$ 5,105,239 | \$ 1,860,663 | \$ 4,034,623 |

Income tax expense differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. Federal statutory income tax rate to income before income tax expense as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Tax computed at statutory rate | \$ 5,170,418 | \$ 2,411,789 | \$ 4,212,079 |
| Tax-exempt interest | (505,644) | (515,379) | (415,958) |
| Dividend exclusion | (27,805) | (35,417) | (45,694) |
| State taxes, net of Federal impact | 299,284 | (27,711) | 309,796 |
| Nondeductible interest expense | 27,253 | 36,807 | 36,093 |
| Other items, net | 141,733 | (9,426) | (61,693) |
| Actual income tax expense | \$ 5,105,239 | \$ 1,860,663 | \$ 4,034,623 |

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009, are presented below:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 3,622,654 | \$ 3,855,920 |
| Accrual for employee benefit plans | 843,716 | 932,016 |
| Depreciation | 593,102 | 629,248 |
| Deferred compensation and directors' fees | 792,224 | 957,808 |

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Purchase accounting adjustment – deposits | 154,161 | 312,703 |
| Purchase accounting adjustment – loans | 90,584 | 102,101 |
| Purchase accounting adjustment - fixed assets | 222,993 | 226,181 |
| Accounting for defined benefit pension and other benefit plans | 3,514,280 | 3,301,035 |
| Trust preferred impairment writedown | 1,311,534 | 1,178,247 |
| Other | 757,619 | 517,625 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | \$ 11,902,867 | \$ 12,012,884 |

| Deferred tax liabilities: | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Deferred loan fees and costs | \$ 1,005,082 | \$ 870,285 |
| Prepaid pension | 4,007,746 | 4,342,391 |
| Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale | 3,727,368 | 3,092,455 |
| Accrued trust fees | - | 85,073 |
| Other | 608,569 | 493,462 |
| Total gross deferred tax liabilities | 9,348,765 | 8,883,666 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 2,554,102 | \$ 3,129,218 |

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income or the existence of sufficient taxable income within the loss carryback period. A valuation allowance is recognized when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management considers the scheduled reversal of the deferred tax liabilities, the level of historical taxable income and projected future taxable income over the periods in which the temporary differences comprising the deferred tax assets will be deductible. Based on its assessment, management determined that no valuation allowance is necessary.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest) is as follows:

| | 2010 |
|---|------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | \$ - |
| Additions for tax positions of current year | 123,530 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 123,530 |

As of December 31, 2010, \$123,530 of unrecognized tax benefits would impact the Corporation's effective tax rate, if recognized or reversed. As of December 31, 2010, accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions was \$7,000. The Corporation accounts for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as part of its provision for federal and state income taxes.

The Corporation is no longer subject to examinations by Federal or New York State taxing authorities for the years prior to 2007. The Corporation is currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the final 2009 tax return filed by Canton Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiary which were acquired by the Corporation in May 2009. The Corporation anticipated that a reduction in the unrecognized tax benefits of \$123,530 may occur in the next twelve months as a result of a settlement of the examination.

(11) PENSION PLAN AND OTHER BENEFIT PLANS

The Corporation has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan's defined benefit formula generally bases payments to retired employees upon their length of service multiplied by a percentage of the average monthly pay over the last five years of employment.

The Corporation uses a December 31 measurement date for its pension plan.

On April 21, 2010 the Corporation's Board of Directors approved an amendment to the Corporation's Defined Benefit Pension Plan. Under the amendment, which became effective on July 1, 2010, new employees hired on or after the effective date will not be eligible to participate in the plan, however, existing participants at that time will continue to accrue benefits. While the Corporation as of December 31, 2010 had not experienced any material impact on the results of operations or financial condition, as time goes on the Corporation anticipates that the amendment will result in a decrease in the future benefit obligations of the plan and the corresponding net periodic benefit cost associated with the plan.

The following table presents (1) changes in the plan's projected benefit obligation and plan assets, and (2) the plan's funded status at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Change in projected benefit obligation: | 2010 | 2009 |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 26,058,503 | \$ 25,043,299 |
| Service cost | 903,538 | 876,063 |
| Interest cost | 1,516,817 | 1,430,585 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 1,039,616 | (75,075) |
| Benefits paid | (1,198,878) | (1,216,369) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 28,319,596 | \$ 26,058,503 |
| Change in plan assets: | 2010 | 2009 |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 28,725,380 | \$ 23,486,098 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 2,320,387 | 6,455,651 |
| Employer contributions | - | - |
| Benefits paid | (1,198,878) | (1,216,369) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 29,846,889 | \$ 28,725,380 |
| Funded status | \$ 1,527,293 | \$ 2,666,877 |

Amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the following:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 9,724,844 | \$ 9,299,911 |
| Prior service cost | 79,543 | 125,433 |
| Unrecognized net initial obligation | - | - |
| Total before tax effects | \$ 9,804,387 | \$ 9,425,344 |

The accumulated benefit obligation at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$24,011,722 and \$22,196,654, respectively.

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

The principal actuarial assumptions used in determining the projected benefit obligation as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.65% | 6.10% | 5.75% |
| Assumed rate of future compensation increase | 5.00% | 5.00% | 5.00% |

F-30

Components of net periodic benefit cost and other amounts recognized in other comprehensive income in 2010, 2009 and 2008 consist of the following:

| Net periodic benefit cost | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Service cost, benefits earned during the year | \$ 903,538 | \$ 876,063 | \$ 718,579 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 1,516,817 | 1,430,585 | 1,348,752 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2,253,421) | (1,833,928) | (2,702,622) |
| Amortization of net loss | 547,717 | 1,215,764 | - |
| Recognized prior service cost | 45,890 | 88,669 | 88,672 |
| Recognized net initial obligation | - | - | 798 |
| Net periodic cost (benefit) | \$ 760,541 | \$ 1,777,153 | \$ (545,821) |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in

| other comprehensive income: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|----------------|---------------|
| Net actuarial loss(gain) | \$ 972,650 | \$ (4,696,798) | \$ 13,281,304 |
| Recognized loss | (547,717) | (1,215,764) | - |
| Recognized prior service cost | (45,890) | (88,669) | (88,672) |
| Recognized net initial obligation | - | - | (798) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (before tax effect) | \$ 379,043 | \$ (6,001,231) | \$ 13,191,834 |

| | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| Total recognized in net benefit cost and other comprehensive income (loss) (before tax effect) | \$ 1,139,584 | \$ (4,224,078) | \$ 12,646,013 |
|--|--------------|----------------|---------------|

Amounts expected to be recognized in net periodic cost during 2011

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Loss recognition | \$ 674,016 |
| Prior service cost recognition | 29,873 |
| Net initial obligation recognition | - |

The principal actuarial assumptions used in determining the net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 6.10% | 5.75% | 6.00% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on assets | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% |
| Assumed rate of future compensation increase | 5.00% | 5.00% | 5.00% |

The Corporation changes important assumptions whenever changing conditions warrant. The discount rate is evaluated at least annually and the expected long-term return on plan assets will typically be revised every three to five years, or as conditions warrant. Other material assumptions include the compensation increase rates, rates of employee terminations, and rates of participant mortality.

The Corporation's overall investment strategy is to achieve a mix of investments for long-term growth and for near-term benefit payments with a wide diversification of asset types. The target allocations for plan assets are shown in the table below. Equity securities primarily include investments in common or preferred shares of both U.S. and international companies. Debt securities include U.S. Treasury and Government bonds as well as U.S. Corporate bonds. Other investments may consist of mutual funds, money market funds and cash & cash equivalents. While no significant changes in the asset allocations are expected during 2011, the Corporation may make changes at any time.

The expected return on plan assets was determined based on a Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM") using historical and expected future returns of the various asset classes, reflecting the target allocations described below.

| Asset Class | Target Allocation 2011 | Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31, | | Weighted-Average Expected Long-Term Rate of Return |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|------|--|
| | | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Large Cap Domestic Equities | 30% - 60% | 59% | 51% | 10.3% |
| Mid-Cap Domestic Equities | 0% - 20% | 3% | 5% | 10.6% |
| Small-Cap Domestic Equities | 0% - 15% | 5% | 9% | 10.8% |
| International Equities | 0% - 25% | 0% | 0% | 10.3% |
| Intermediate Fixed Income | 20% - 50% | 28% | 30% | 4.7% |
| Cash | 0% - 20% | 5% | 5% | 4.0% |
| Total | | 100% | 100% | 8.35% |

The investment policy of the plan is to provide for long-term growth of principal and income without undue exposure to risk. The focus is on long-term capital appreciation and income generation. The Corporation maintains an Investment Policy Statement ("IPS") that guides the investment allocation in the plan. The IPS describes the target asset allocation positions as shown in the table above.

The Corporation has appointed an Employee Pension and Profit Sharing Committee to manage the general philosophy, objectives and process of the plan. The Employee Pension and Profit Sharing Committee meets with the Investment Manager periodically to review the plan's performance and to ensure that the current investment allocation is within the guidelines set forth in the IPS. Only the Employee Pension and Profit Sharing Committee, in consultation with the Investment Manager, can make adjustments to maintain target ranges and for any permanent changes to the IPS. Quarterly, the Board of Directors' Trust and Employee Benefits Committee reviews the performance of the plan with the Investment Manager.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Corporation's pension plan did not hold any direct investment in the Corporation's common stock.

The Corporation used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of financial instrument held by the pension plan:

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy described below requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

The fair values for investment securities are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3).

Discounted cash flows are calculated using spread and optionality. During times when trading is more liquid, broker quotes are used (if available) to validate the model. Rating agency and industry research reports as well as defaults and deferrals on individual securities are reviewed and incorporated into the calculations

The fair value of the plan assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009, by asset class are as follows:

| Plan Assets: | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2010 Using | | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash | \$ 1,475,139 | \$ 1,475,139 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| U.S. companies | 19,108,851 | 19,108,851 | - | - |
| International companies | 1,023,762 | 1,023,762 | - | - |
| Mutual Funds | 2,262,369 | 2,262,369 | - | - |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries/Government bonds | 2,791,098 | 2,288,688 | 502,410 | - |
| U.S. Corporate bonds | 2,927,245 | - | 2,927,245 | - |
| Foreign bonds, notes & debentures | 258,425 | - | 258,425 | - |
| Total plan assets | \$ 29,846,889 | \$ 26,158,809 | \$ 3,688,080 | \$ - |

| Plan Assets: | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2009 Using | | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash | \$ 1,407,299 | \$ 1,407,299 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| U.S. companies | 17,684,264 | 17,684,264 | - | - |
| International companies | 1,025,212 | 1,025,212 | - | - |
| Mutual Funds | 2,203,135 | 2,203,135 | - | - |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries/Government bonds | 3,741,944 | 1,706,226 | 2,035,718 | - |
| U.S. Corporate bonds | 2,663,526 | - | 2,663,526 | - |
| Total plan assets | \$ 28,725,380 | \$ 24,026,136 | \$ 4,699,244 | \$ - |

The following table presents the estimated benefit payments for each of the next five years and the aggregate amount expected to be paid in years six through ten for the pension plan:

| Calendar Year | Future Expected Benefit Payments |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 1,219,173 |
| 2012 | \$ 1,327,567 |
| 2013 | \$ 1,391,091 |
| 2014 | \$ 1,479,679 |
| 2015 | \$ 1,573,301 |
| 2016-2020 | \$ 9,762,824 |

The Corporation does not expect to contribute to the plan during 2011. Funding requirements for subsequent years are uncertain and will significantly depend on changes in assumptions used to calculate plan funding levels, the actual return on plan assets, changes in the employee groups covered by the plan, and any legislative or regulatory changes affecting plan funding requirements.

For tax planning, financial planning, cash flow management or cost reduction purposes the Corporation may increase, accelerate, decrease or delay contributions to the plan to the extent permitted by law.

The Corporation also sponsors a defined contribution profit sharing, savings and investment plan which covers all eligible employees with a minimum of 1,000 hours of annual service. The Corporation makes discretionary matching and profit sharing contributions to the plan based on the financial results of the Corporation. Expense under the plan totaled \$332,133, \$315,731, and \$288,486 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The plan's assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009, include 185,692 and 183,707 shares, respectively, of Chemung Financial Corporation common stock, as well as other common and preferred stocks, U.S. Government securities, corporate bonds and notes, and mutual funds.

The Corporation sponsors a defined benefit health care plan that provides postretirement medical benefits to employees who meet minimum age and service requirements. Postretirement life insurance benefits are also provided to certain employees who retired prior to July 1981. This plan was amended effective July 1, 2006. Prior to this amendment, all retirees age 55 or older were eligible for coverage under the Corporation's self-insured health care plan, contributing 40% of the cost of the coverage. Under the amended plan, coverage for Medicare eligible retirees who reside in the Central New York geographic area is provided under a group sponsored plan with Excellus BlueCross BlueShield called Medicare Blue PPO, with the retiree paying 100% of the premium. Excellus BlueCross BlueShield assumes full liability for the payment of health care benefits incurred after July 1, 2006. Current Medicare eligible retirees who reside outside of the Central New York geographic area were eligible for coverage under the Corporation's self insurance plan thru December 31, 2009, contributing 50% of the cost of coverage. Effective January 1, 2010, these out of area retirees were eligible for coverage under a Medicare Supplement Plan C administered by Excellus BlueCross BlueShield, contributing 50% of the premium. Current and future retirees between the ages of 55 and 65, will continue to be eligible for coverage under the Corporation's self insured plan, contributing 50% of the cost of the coverage. Employees who retire after July 1, 2006, and become Medicare eligible will only have access to the Medicare Blue PPO plan. Additionally, effective July 1, 2006, dental benefits were eliminated for all retirees.

The Corporation uses a December 31 measurement date for its postretirement medical benefits plan.

F-34

The following table presents (1) changes in the plan's accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and (2) the plan's funded status at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| Changes in accumulated postretirement benefit obligation: | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 1,365,104 | \$ 1,368,074 |
| Service cost | 33,000 | 29,000 |
| Interest cost | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| Participant contributions | 67,792 | 57,947 |
| Actuarial loss | 49,341 | 23,848 |
| Benefits paid | (274,858) | (188,765) |
| Retiree drug subsidy received | - | - |
| Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 1,315,379 | \$ 1,365,104 |
| Change in plan assets: | 2010 | 2009 |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ - | \$ - |
| Employer contribution | 207,066 | 130,818 |
| Plan participants' contributions | 67,792 | 57,947 |
| Benefits paid | (274,858) | (188,765) |
| Retiree drug subsidy received | - | - |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ - | \$ - |
| Funded status | \$ (1,315,379) | \$ (1,365,104) |

Amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Net actuarial loss (gain) | \$ 22,265 | \$ (27,076) |
| Prior service benefit | (919,000) | (1,016,000) |
| Total before tax effects | \$ (896,735) | \$ (1,043,076) |

| Weighted-average assumption for disclosure as of December 31,: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|---------|---------|--------|
| Discount rate | 5.65 % | 6.10 % | 5.75 % |
| Health care cost trend: Initial | 14.00 % | 15.00 % | 8.50 % |
| Health care cost trend: Ultimate | 5.00 % | 5.00 % | 4.50 % |
| Year ultimate reached | 2020 | 2020 | 2017 |

F-35

The components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net periodic benefit cost | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 33,000 | \$ 29,000 | \$ 27,000 |
| Interest cost | 75,000 | 75,000 | 78,000 |
| Recognized prior service benefit | (97,000) | (97,000) | (97,000) |
| Recognized actuarial gain | - | - | - |
| Net periodic postretirement cost | \$ 11,000 | \$ 7,000 | \$ 8,000 |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized

| in other comprehensive income: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 49,341 | \$ 23,848 | \$ 235,950 |
| Recognized actuarial gain | - | - | - |
| Recognized prior service benefit | 97,000 | 97,000 | 97,000 |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income (before tax effect) | \$ 146,341 | \$ 120,848 | \$ 332,950 |
| Total recognized in net benefit cost and other comprehensive income (before tax effect) | \$ 157,341 | \$ 127,848 | \$ 340,950 |

During 2010, the plan's total unrecognized net gain decreased by \$49 thousand. Because the total unrecognized net gain or loss is less than the greater of 10% of the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation or 10% of the plan assets, no amortization is anticipated in 2011. Actual results for 2011 will depend on the 2011 actuarial valuation of the plan.

Amounts expected to be recognized in net periodic cost during 2011:

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Gain recognition | \$ - |
| Prior service cost recognition | \$ (97,000) |

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plan. A one-percentage point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

| Effect of a 1% increase in health care trend rate on: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Benefit obligation | \$ 10,000 | \$ 8,000 | \$ 24,000 |
| Total service and interest cost | \$ 300 | \$ 500 | \$ 1,000 |

| Effect of a 1% decrease in health care trend rate on: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------------|------------|-------------|
| Benefit obligation | \$ (12,000) | \$ (8,000) | \$ (29,000) |
| Total service and interest cost | \$ (1,000) | \$ (500) | \$ (2,000) |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| Weighted-average assumptions for net periodic cost as of December 31,: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|---------|--------|--------|
| Discount rate | 6.10 % | 5.75 % | 6.00 % |
| Health care cost trend: Initial | 15.00 % | 8.50 % | 9.00 % |
| Health care cost trend: Ultimate | 5.00 % | 4.50 % | 4.50 % |
| Year ultimate reached | 2020 | 2017 | 2016 |

F-36

The following table presents the estimated benefit payments for each of the next five years and the aggregate amount expected to be paid in years six through ten:

| | |
|-----------|------------|
| Calendar | |
| Year | |
| 2011 | \$ 112,000 |
| 2012 | \$ 124,000 |
| 2013 | \$ 138,000 |
| 2014 | \$ 112,000 |
| 2015 | \$ 115,000 |
| 2016-2020 | \$ 651,000 |

The Corporation's policy is to contribute the amount required to fund postretirement benefits as they become due to retirees. The amount expected to be required in contributions to the plan during 2011 is \$112,000.

The Corporation also sponsors an Executive Supplemental Pension Plan for certain current and former executive officers to restore certain pension benefits that may be reduced due to limitations under the Internal Revenue Code. The benefits under this plan are unfunded as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Corporation uses a December 31 measurement date for its Executive Supplemental Pension Plan.

The following table presents Executive Supplemental Pension plan status at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Change in benefit obligation: | 2010 | 2009 |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 946,781 | \$ 956,076 |
| Service cost | 30,113 | 26,767 |
| Interest cost | 55,474 | 52,826 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 31,415 | (14,158) |
| Benefits paid | (74,730) | (74,730) |

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 989,053 | \$ 946,781 |
|---|------------|------------|

| | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Changes in plan assets: | 2010 | 2009 |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ - | \$ - |
| Employer contributions | 74,730 | 74,730 |
| Benefits paid | (74,730) | (74,730) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ - | \$ - |
| Unfunded status | \$ (989,053) | \$ (946,781) |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 165,166 | \$ 139,333 |
| Prior service cost | - | - |
| Total before tax effects | \$ 165,166 | \$ 139,333 |

F-37

Accumulated benefit obligation at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$938,053 and \$901,588, respectively.

| Weighted-average assumption for disclosure as of December 31,: | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.65% | 6.10% | 5.75% |
| Assumed rate of future compensation increase | 5.00% | 5.00% | 5.00% |

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| Net periodic benefit cost | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Service cost | \$ 30,113 | \$ 26,767 | \$ 14,094 |
| Interest cost | 55,474 | 52,826 | 53,168 |
| Recognized prior service cost | - | - | 4 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 5,582 | 7,235 | 3,840 |
| Net periodic postretirement benefit cost | \$ 91,169 | \$ 86,828 | \$ 71,106 |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligation recognized in other

| comprehensive income | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|--------------|------------|
| Net actuarial loss (gain) | \$ 31,415 | \$ (14,158) | \$ 34,614 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | (5,582) | (7,235) | (3,840) |
| Recognized prior service cost | - | - | (4) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (before tax effect) | \$ 25,833 | \$ (21,393) | \$ 30,770 |
| Total recognized in net benefit cost and other comprehensive income (before tax effect) | \$ 117,002 | \$ 65,435 | \$ 101,876 |

Amounts expected to be recognized in net periodic cost during 2011:

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Loss recognition | \$ 9,466 |
| Prior service cost recognition | \$ - |

Weighted-average assumptions for net periodic cost as of December 31,:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 6.10% | 5.75% | 6.00% |
| Salary scale | 5.00% | 5.00% | 5.00% |

The following table presents the estimated benefit payments for each of the next five years and the aggregate amount expected to be paid in years six through ten for the Supplemental Pension Plan:

| Calendar Year | Future Estimated Benefit Payments |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 75,000 |
| 2012 | \$ 75,000 |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|-----------|------------|
| 2013 | \$ 75,000 |
| 2014 | \$ 75,000 |
| 2015 | \$ 75,000 |
| 2016-2020 | \$ 495,000 |

The Corporation expects to contribute \$75,000 to the plan during 2011. Corporation contributions are equal to the benefit payments to plan participants.

F-38

(12) STOCK COMPENSATION

Board of Director's Stock Compensation

Members of the Board of Directors receive common shares of the Corporation equal in value to the amount of fees individually earned during the previous year for service as a member of the Board of Directors. The common shares are distributed to the Corporation's individual board members from treasury shares of the Corporation on or about January 15 following the calendar year of service.

Additionally, the President and CEO of the Corporation, who does not receive cash compensation as a member of the Board of Directors, is awarded common shares equal in value to the average of those awarded to board members not employed by the Corporation who have served twelve (12) months during the prior year.

An expense of \$231 thousand related to this compensation was recognized during the year of 2010. During January 2011, 10,378 shares were re-issued from treasury to fund the stock component of directors' compensation.

Restricted Stock Plan

On June 16, 2010 the Corporation's Board of Directors approved the Corporation's Restricted Stock Plan (the "Plan"), which became effective immediately. Pursuant to the Plan, the Corporation may make discretionary grants of restricted stock to officers other than the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the awards based on the fair value of the stock at issue date. The maximum number of shares as to which stock awards may be granted under the Plan is 10,000 per year, with these shares vesting over a 5 year period.

A summary of restricted stock activity as of December 31, 2010, and changes during the year then ended, is presented below:

| | Shares | Weighted–Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--|
| Nonvested at December 31, 2009 | 0 | \$ 0.00 |
| Granted | 5,886 | 21.25 |
| Vested | - | - |
| Forfeited or Cancelled | - | - |
| NonVested at December 31, 2010 | 5,886 | \$ 21.25 |

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$123,934 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 4.95 years.

F-39

(13) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Members of the Board of Directors, certain Corporation officers, and their immediate families directly, or through entities in which they are principal owners (more than 10% interest), were customers of, and had loans and other transactions with the Corporation. These loans are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 9,950,803 | \$ 11,123,624 |
| New loans or additional advances | 30,469,991 | 15,532,539 |
| Repayments | (23,416,861) | (16,705,360) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 17,003,933 | \$ 9,950,803 |

Deposits from principal officers, directors, and their affiliates at year-end 2010 and 2009 were \$13,274,549 and \$14,909,626, respectively.

(14) EXPENSES

The following expenses, which exceeded 1% of total revenues (total interest income plus other operating income) in at least one of the years presented, are included in other operating expenses:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Marketing and advertising | \$ 929,606 | \$ 712,842 | \$ 834,608 |
| Professional services | 1,075,823 | 768,039 | 809,306 |

(15) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit, and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Off-balance sheet risk to credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments, although material losses are not anticipated. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

The contractual amounts of financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk at year-end were as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Fixed Rate | Variable Rate | Fixed Rate | Variable Rate |
| | \$ 15,869,802 | \$ 1,956,664 | \$ 6,872,946 | \$ 7,694,274 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| Commitments to make loans | | | | |
| Unused lines of credit | \$ 804,826 | \$ 134,112,181 | \$ 765,032 | \$ 132,367,993 |
| Standby letters of credit | | | | |
| | \$ - | \$ 15,960,284 | \$ - | \$ 17,172,050 |

Because many commitments and almost all standby letters of credit expire without being funded in whole or in part, the contract amounts are not estimates of future cash flows. Loan commitments and unused lines of credit have off-balance sheet credit risk because only origination fees are recognized on the consolidated balance sheet until commitments are fulfilled or expire. The credit risk amounts are equal to the contractual amounts, assuming the amounts are fully advanced and collateral or other security is of no value. The Corporation does not anticipate losses as a result of these transactions. These commitments also have off-balance sheet interest rate risk in that the interest rate at which these commitments were made may not be at market rates on the date the commitments are fulfilled.

The Corporation has issued conditional commitments in the form of standby letters of credit to guarantee payment on behalf of a customer and guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally arise in connection with lending relationships. The credit risk involved in issuing these instruments is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. Contingent obligations under standby letters of credit totaled \$15.960 million at December 31, 2010 and represent the maximum potential future payments the Corporation could be required to make. Typically, these instruments have terms of twelve months or less and expire unused; therefore, the total amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer is evaluated individually for creditworthiness under the same underwriting standards used for commitments to extend credit and on-balance sheet instruments. Corporation policies governing loan collateral apply to standby letters of credit at the time of credit extension. The carrying amount and fair value of the Corporation's standby letters of credit at December 31, 2010 was not significant.

The Corporation has an executive severance agreement with its President and Chief Executive Officer.

In the normal course of business, there are various outstanding legal proceedings involving the Corporation or its subsidiaries. In the opinion of management, the aggregate amount involved in such proceedings is not material to the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation.

(16) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Bank is subject to legal limitations on the amount of dividends that can be paid to the Corporation without prior regulatory approval. Dividends are limited to retained net profits, as defined by regulations, for the current year and the two preceding years. At December 31, 2010, approximately \$5.1 million was available for the declaration of dividends from the Bank to the Corporation.

(17) PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Condensed parent company only financial statement information of Chemung Financial Corporation is as follows (investment in subsidiaries is recorded using the equity method of accounting):

BALANCE SHEETS -

| DECEMBER 31 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Cash on deposit with subsidiary bank | \$ 1,265,305 | \$ 530,209 |
| Investment in subsidiary-Chemung Canal Trust Company | 92,881,477 | 86,285,506 |
| Investment in subsidiary-CFS Group, Inc. | 542,266 | 532,931 |
| Dividends receivable from subsidiary bank | 881,203 | 880,088 |
| Securities available for sale, at estimated fair value | 295,276 | 282,653 |
| Other assets | 2,517,801 | 2,498,012 |
| Total assets | \$ 98,383,328 | \$ 91,009,399 |

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity: | | |
| Dividends payable | 881,203 | 880,088 |
| Other liabilities | 93,562 | 43,646 |
| Total liabilities | 974,765 | 923,734 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Total shareholders' equity | 97,408,563 | 90,085,665 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 98,383,328 | \$ 91,009,399 |

F-41

STATEMENTS OF INCOME - YEARS

| ENDED DECEMBER 31 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Dividends from subsidiary bank | \$ 3,520,584 | \$ 11,021,685 | \$ 3,764,738 |
| Interest and dividend income | 3,451 | 7,168 | 13,118 |
| Gain on security transactions | - | 9,130 | - |
| Other income | 388,190 | 138,483 | 367,545 |
| Operating expenses | 209,794 | 164,956 | 173,980 |
| Income before impact of subsidiaries' earnings and distributions and income taxes | 3,702,431 | 11,011,510 | 3,971,421 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings (losses) of Chemung Canal Trust Company | 6,391,013 | (5,753,397) | 4,477,636 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings (losses) of CFS Group, Inc. | 9,335 | (99,458) | (79,715) |
| Income before income tax | 10,102,779 | 5,158,655 | 8,369,342 |
| Income tax expense(benefit) | 906 | (74,180) | 15,498 |
| Net Income | \$ 10,101,873 | \$ 5,232,835 | \$ 8,353,844 |

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - YEARS

| ENDED DECEMBER 31 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 10,101,873 | \$ 5,232,835 | \$ 8,353,844 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in undistributed (earnings) losses of Chemung Canal Trust Company | (6,391,013) | 5,753,397 | (4,477,636) |
| Equity in undistributed (earnings) losses of CFS Group, Inc. | (9,335) | 99,458 | 79,715 |
| Gain on sales of securities transactions | - | (9,130) | - |
| (Increase) decrease in dividend receivable | (1,115) | (4,650) | 4,244 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (19,789) | 136,587 | (69,173) |
| Increase in other liabilities | 704,097 | 131,816 | 179,501 |
| Expense related to employee stock compensation | 55,000 | 50,000 | 35,000 |
| Expense related to restricted stock units for directors' deferred compensation plan | 111,772 | 104,929 | 103,365 |
| Expense to employee restricted stock awards | 1,143 | - | - |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 4,552,633 | 11,495,242 | 4,208,860 |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | | |
| Cash paid for acquisition of Canton Bank Corp. | - | (7,651,632) | - |
| Cash paid for purchase of Cascio Financial Strategies | - | - | (500,000) |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | - | 112,880 | - |
| Purchase of securities available for sale | - | (103,750) | - |
| Net cash used by investing activities | - | (7,642,502) | (500,000) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | |
| Cash dividends paid | (3,519,470) | (3,517,034) | (3,518,983) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (425,567) | (156,143) | (930,363) |
| Sale of treasury stock | 127,500 | 229,520 | 237,299 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (3,817,537) | (3,443,657) | (4,212,047) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 735,096 | 409,083 | (503,187) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 530,209 | 121,126 | 624,313 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 1,265,305 | \$ 530,209 | \$ 121,126 |

F-42

(18) FAIR VALUES

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The fair values of securities available for sale are usually determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs), or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

The Corporation's investment in collateralized debt obligations consisting of pooled Trust Preferred Securities which are issued by financial institutions were historically priced using Level 2 inputs. The decline in the level of observable inputs and market activity in this class of investments beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008 and continuing through to the measurement date has been significant and resulted in unreliable external pricing. Broker pricing and bid/ask spreads, when available, have varied widely. The once active market has become comparatively inactive. As a result, these investments are now priced using Level 3 inputs.

The Corporation has developed an internal model for pricing these securities. This is the same model used in determining OTTI as further described in Note 3. Information such as historical and current performance of the underlying collateral, deferral/default rates, collateral coverage ratios, break in yield calculations, cash flow projections, liquidity and credit premiums required by a market participant, and financial trend analysis with respect to the individual issuing financial institutions, are utilized in determining individual security valuations. Discount rates were utilized along with the cash flow projections in order to calculate an appropriate fair value. These discount rates were calculated based on industry index rates and adjusted for various credit and liquidity factors. Due to current market conditions as well as the limited trading activity of these securities, the market value of the securities is highly sensitive to assumption changes and market volatility.

The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals and collateral evaluations. The appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by third party appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Non-recurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned ("OREO") are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the

carrying amount exceeds the fair value less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

F-43

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2010 Using | | |
|--|----------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Financial Assets: | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. | | | | |
| Government sponsored enterprises | \$ 102,131,517 | \$ 40,581,250 | \$ 61,550,267 | \$ - |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 62,761,633 | - | 62,761,633 | - |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 38,765,092 | - | 38,765,092 | - |
| Trust Preferred securities | 2,344,094 | - | 2,009,509 | 334,585 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 11,694,190 | - | 11,694,190 | - |
| Corporate stocks | 5,848,435 | 5,209,069 | 639,366 | - |
| Total available for sale securities | \$ 223,544,961 | \$ 45,790,319 | \$ 177,420,057 | \$ 334,585 |

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2009 Using | | |
|--|----------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Financial Assets: | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. Government and U.S. | | | | |
| Government sponsored enterprises | \$ 84,620,520 | \$ 4,999,219 | \$ 79,621,301 | \$ - |
| Mortgage-backed securities, residential | 93,944,883 | - | 93,944,883 | - |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 32,125,087 | - | 32,125,087 | - |
| Trust Preferred securities | 2,261,480 | - | 1,750,000 | 511,480 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | 12,184,682 | - | 12,184,682 | - |
| Corporate stocks | 5,846,981 | 5,127,614 | 719,367 | - |
| Total available for sale securities | \$ 230,983,633 | \$ 10,126,833 | \$ 220,345,320 | \$ 511,480 |

The table below summarizes changes in unrealized gains and losses recorded in earnings for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively for Level 3 assets:

| | Fair Value Measurement twelve-months ended December 31, 2010 Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value Measurement twelve-months ended December 31, 2009 Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|--|--|--|
| Investment Securities Available for Sale | | |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|----|----------|----|------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ | 511,480 | \$ | 1,885,000 |
| Total gains/losses (realized/unrealized): | | | | |
| Included in earnings: | | | | |
| Income on securities | | - | | 6,436 |
| Impairment charge on investment securities | | (393,005 |) | (2,242,446 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | | 216,110 | | 862,490 |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | - | | - |
| Ending balance, December 31 | \$ | 334,585 | \$ | 511,480 |

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2010 Using | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Financial Assets: | | | | |
| Impaired Loans | \$ 652,540 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 652,540 |
| Other real estate owned, net | 740,620 | - | - | 740,620 |
| | \$ 1,393,160 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,393,160 |

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2009 Using | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Financial Assets: | | | | |
| Impaired Loans | \$ 2,513,355 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,513,355 |
| Other real estate owned, net | 648,962 | - | - | 648,962 |
| | \$ 3,162,317 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,162,317 |

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a carrying amount of \$892,298, with a valuation allowance of \$239,758 as of December 31, 2010, resulting in no additional provision for loan losses for the year ending December 31, 2010.

Other real estate owned which is measured at the lower of carrying or fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of \$740,620 at December 31, 2010, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$909,947, net of a valuation allowance of \$169,327 at December 31, 2010, resulting in write downs of \$169,327 for the year-ending December 31, 2010.

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a carrying amount of \$3,357,906, with a valuation allowance of \$844,551 as of December 31, 2009, resulting in no additional provision for loan losses for the year ending December 31, 2009.

Other real estate owned which is measured at the lower of carrying or fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of \$648,962 at December 31, 2009, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$680,418, net of a valuation allowance of \$31,456 at December 31, 2009, resulting in write downs of \$31,456 for the year-ending December 31, 2009.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

Short-Term Financial Instruments

For those short-term instruments that generally mature in ninety days or less, the carrying value approximates fair value.

F-45

FHLB and FRB Stock

It is not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB and FRB stock due to restrictions on its transferability.

Loans Receivable

For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently, fair values approximate carrying values. The fair values for other loans are estimated through discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms and credit quality.

Deposits

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits, savings accounts and money market accounts are, by definition, equal to the amounts payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying values).

The fair value of certificates of deposits is estimated using a discounted cash flow approach that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of the weighted-average expected monthly maturities.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase (Repurchase Agreements)

These instruments bear both variable and fixed rates of interest. Therefore, the carrying value approximates fair value for the variable rate instruments and the fair value of fixed rate instruments is based on discounted cash flows to maturity.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

These instruments bear a stated rate of interest to maturity and, therefore, the fair value is based on discounted cash flows to maturity.

Commitments to Extend Credit

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, the counter-party's credit standing and discounted cash flow analysis. The fair value of these commitments to extend credit approximates the recorded amounts of the related fees and is not material at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable

For these short-term instruments, the carrying value approximates fair value.

F-46

The estimated fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value (1) | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value (1) |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from financial institutions | \$ 16,540 | \$ 16,540 | \$ 21,189 | \$ 21,189 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | 44,080 | 44,080 | 58,549 | 58,549 |
| Securities available for sale | 223,545 | 223,545 | 230,984 | 230,984 |
| Securities held to maturity | 7,715 | 8,297 | 12,160 | 12,647 |
| Federal Home Loan and Federal Reserve Bank stock | 3,329 | N/A | 3,281 | N/A |
| Net loans | 604,186 | 618,859 | 585,886 | 595,958 |
| Loans held for sale | 487 | 487 | 200 | 200 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,713 | 2,713 | 3,255 | 3,255 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | |
| Demand, savings, and insured money market accounts | 532,555 | 532,555 | 518,596 | 518,596 |
| Time deposits | 253,804 | 256,281 | 282,467 | 285,999 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 44,775 | 46,667 | 54,263 | 55,829 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 20,000 | 21,609 | 20,000 | 21,672 |
| Accrued interest payable | 784 | 784 | 1,129 | 1,129 |
| Dividends payable | 881 | 881 | 880 | 880 |

(1) Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

(19) REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Corporation and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (all as defined in the applicable regulations). Management believes that, as of

December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Corporation and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject.

As of December 31, 2010, the most recent notification from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table below. There have been no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's or the Corporation's capital category.

The actual capital amounts and ratios of the Corporation and the Bank are presented in the following table:

| As of December 31, 2010 | Actual | | Required To Be Adequately Capitalized | | Required To Be Well Capitalized | |
|---|---------------|---------|--|--------|------------------------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 93,120,012 | 14.54 % | \$ 51,236,965 | 8.00 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 88,811,229 | 13.93 % | \$ 51,022,422 | 8.00 % | \$ 63,778,028 | 10.00 % |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 82,757,830 | 12.92 % | \$ 25,618,483 | 4.00 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 78,519,201 | 12.31 % | \$ 25,511,211 | 4.00 % | \$ 38,266,817 | 6.00 % |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 82,757,830 | 8.72 % | \$ 28,457,317 | 3.00 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 78,519,201 | 8.30 % | \$ 28,373,256 | 3.00 % | \$ 47,288,760 | 5.00 % |

As of December 31, 2009

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 85,422,981 | 13.22 % | \$ 51,693,266 | 8.00 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 81,939,653 | 12.73 % | \$ 51,490,913 | 8.00 % | \$ 64,363,641 | 10.00 % |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 75,008,494 | 11.61 % | \$ 25,846,633 | 4.00 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 71,589,051 | 11.12 % | \$ 25,745,456 | 4.00 % | \$ 38,618,184 | 6.00 % |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 75,008,494 | 7.89 % | \$ 28,534,641 | 3.00 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 71,589,051 | 7.55 % | \$ 28,451,269 | 3.00 % | \$ 47,418,781 | 5.00 % |

(20) COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income or loss of the Corporation represents net income plus other comprehensive income or loss, which consists of the net change in unrealized holding gains or losses on securities available for sale and the change in the funded status of the Corporation's defined benefit pension plan and other benefit plans, net of the related tax effect. Accumulated other comprehensive income or loss represents the net unrealized holding gains or losses on securities available for sale and the change in the funded status of the Corporation's defined benefit pension plan and other benefit plans, as of the consolidated balance sheet dates, net of the related tax effect.

Comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 was \$10,770,183, \$10,152,155, and \$(1,264,558) respectively. The following summarizes the components of other comprehensive income (loss) and related tax effects:

| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities available for sale | \$ 1,875,749 | \$ 2,044,137 | \$ (2,933,939) |
| Change in unrealized gains on securities available for sale for which a portion of an other-than-temporary-impairment has been recognized in earnings, net of reclassification | 216,110 | 862,490 | 803,222 |
| Reclassification adjustment net gains realized in net income | (450,666) | (784,589) | (9,200) |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) | \$ 1,641,193 | 2,122,038 | (2,139,917) |
| Tax effect | (634,911) | (820,932) | 827,848 |
| Net of tax amount | \$ 1,006,282 | \$ 1,301,106 | \$ (1,312,069) |
| Change in funded status of defined benefit pension plan and other benefit plans | (551,217) | 5,901,776 | (13,555,554) |
| Tax effect | 213,245 | (2,283,162) | 5,249,221 |
| Net of tax amount | (337,972) | 3,618,614 | (8,306,333) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 668,310 | \$ 4,919,720 | \$ (9,618,402) |

F-48

The following is a summary of the accumulated other comprehensive income balances, net of tax:

| | Balance at December 31, 2009 | Current Period Change | Balance at December 31, 2010 |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale | \$ 4,654,731 | \$ 1,006,282 | \$ 5,661,013 |
| Unrealized loss on pension plans and other benefit plans | (5,220,566) | (337,972) | (5,558,538) |
| Total | \$ (565,835) | \$ 668,310 | \$ 102,475 |

(21) SEGMENT REPORTING

The Corporation manages its operations through two primary business segments: core banking and trust and investment advisory services. The core banking segment provides revenues by attracting deposits from the general public and using such funds to originate consumer, commercial, commercial real estate, and residential mortgage loans, primarily in the Corporation's local markets and to invest in securities. The trust and investment advisory services segment provides revenues by providing trust and investment advisory services to clients.

Accounting policies for the segments are the same as those described in Note 1. Summarized financial information concerning the Corporation's reportable segments and the reconciliation to the Corporation's consolidated results is shown in the following table. Income taxes are allocated based on the separate taxable income of each entity and indirect overhead expenses are allocated based on reasonable and equitable allocations applicable to the reportable segment. Holding company amounts are the primary differences between segment amounts and consolidated totals, and are reflected in the Holding Company and Other column below, along with amounts to eliminate transactions between segments. (dollars in thousands)

| Year ended December 31, 2010 | Core Banking | Trust & Investment Advisory Services | Holding Company And Other | Consolidated Totals |
|---|--------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Net interest income | \$ 34,526 | \$- | \$4 | \$34,530 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,125 | - | - | 1,125 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 33,401 | - | 4 | 33,405 |
| Other operating income | 8,288 | 10,497 | 860 | 19,645 |
| Other operating expenses | 29,980 | 7,199 | 664 | 37,843 |
| Income before income tax expense | 11,709 | 3,298 | 200 | 15,207 |
| Income tax expense | 3,820 | 1,276 | 9 | 5,105 |
| Segment net income | \$ 7,889 | \$2,022 | \$191 | \$10,102 |
| Segment assets | \$ 949,040 | \$6,138 | \$3,149 | \$958,327 |

F-49

(continued)

| Year ended December 31, 2009 | Core Banking | Trust & Investment Advisory Services | Holding Company And Other | Consolidated Totals |
|---|--------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Net interest income | \$ 33,147 | \$ - | \$8 | \$33,155 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,450 | - | - | 2,450 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 30,697 | - | 8 | 30,705 |
| Other operating income | 7,221 | 8,089 | 400 | 15,710 |
| Other operating expenses | 31,470 | 7,271 | 580 | 39,321 |
| Income before income tax expense | 6,448 | 818 | (172) | 7,094 |
| Income tax expense | 1,681 | 317 | (137) | 1,861 |
| Segment net income | \$ 4,767 | \$ 501 | \$(35) | \$5,233 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Segment assets | \$ 966,436 | \$ 6,288 | \$3,195 | \$975,919 |
|----------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|

| Year ended December 31, 2008 | Core Banking | Trust & Investment Advisory Services | Holding Company And Other | Consolidated Totals |
|---|--------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Net interest income | \$ 30,652 | \$ - | \$16 | \$30,668 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,450 | - | - | 1,450 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 29,202 | - | 16 | 29,218 |
| Other operating income | 9,717 | 6,834 | 587 | 17,138 |
| Other operating expenses | 26,678 | 6,763 | 527 | 33,968 |
| Income before income tax expense | 12,241 | 71 | 76 | 12,388 |
| Income tax expense | 4,042 | 27 | (35) | 4,034 |
| Segment net income | \$ 8,199 | \$ 44 | \$111 | \$8,354 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Segment assets | \$ 827,148 | \$ 7,745 | \$3,425 | \$838,318 |
|----------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|

(22) PENDING BUSINESS COMBINATION

On October 14, 2010, the Corporation and Fort Orange Financial Corp. ("FOFC"), the holding company of Capital Bank & Trust Company based in Albany, New York, entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Agreement"), pursuant to which FOFC will be merged with and into the Corporation, with the Corporation as the surviving entity. Immediately following the merger, Capital Bank & Trust Company will be merged with and into Chemung Canal Trust Company. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Corporation will purchase all of the outstanding shares of FOFC in a stock and cash transaction valued at approximately \$29.3 million based upon the Corporation's closing stock price on the date of the Agreement. For each share of FOFC common stock outstanding immediately prior to the merger, each shareholder of FOFC common stock shall have the right to elect to receive: (i) all cash in the amount of \$7.50 per share ("Cash Consideration"), (ii) all stock at an exchange ratio 0.3571 of a share of the Corporation's common stock for each share of FOFC common stock ("Stock Consideration") or (iii) a mix of Cash Consideration for 25% of their shares and Stock Consideration for 75% of their shares. The total consideration to be paid by the Corporation is subject to the requirement that 25% of the FOFC common stock be acquired for the Cash Consideration and 75% be acquired for the Stock Consideration.

F-50

The exchange ratio of 0.3571 of a share of the Corporation's common stock for each share of FOFC common stock is subject to downward adjustment if the price of the Corporation's common stock rises to more than \$25.20 per share. In addition, the Cash Consideration of \$7.50 per share and the Stock Consideration exchange ratio of 0.3571 of a share of the Corporation's common stock are each subject to downward adjustment on a sliding scale as described in the Agreement if the delinquent loans in the FOFC portfolio increase above certain levels as specified in the Agreement.

Approval of this transaction has been received from both the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and the New York State Banking Department, however the transaction remains subject to approval of shareholders of both companies. Shareholder meetings for both companies to approve the merger have been scheduled for March 24, 2011. Subject to the approval of shareholders, the merger is expected to take place early in the second quarter of 2011, and management expects it to be immediately accretive to earnings, excluding direct transaction costs.

FOFC, the FOFC board of directors and the Corporation have been named as defendants in a purported class action lawsuit in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Albany, challenging the proposed merger and seeking, among other things, to enjoin the defendants from completing the merger on the agreed-upon terms and rescission of the merger to the extent it has been completed.

One of the conditions to the closing of the merger is that no order, injunction or decree that enjoins or prohibits the completion of the merger be in effect. If any plaintiff were successful in obtaining an injunction prohibiting the FOFC or the Corporation defendants from completing the merger on the agreed upon terms, then such injunction may prevent the merger from becoming effective or from becoming effective within the expected timeframe.

Founded in 1995, Capital Bank & Trust Company's unaudited balance sheet at December 31, 2010 included approximately \$260 million in assets, a loan portfolio approximating \$186 million and deposits of \$201 million. Capital Bank & Trust Company operates from five offices in the Capital District, including two in Albany, and one each in Clifton Park, Latham and Slingerlands.

F-51

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CHEMUNG FINANCIAL
CORPORATION

DATED: MARCH 10, 2011 By: /s/ Ronald M. Bentley
Ronald M. Bentley
President and Chief Executive Officer

DATED: MARCH 10, 2011 By: /s/ John R. Battersby, Jr.
John R. Battersby, Jr.
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been executed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| /s/ Robert E. Agan Robert E. Agan | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| /s/ David J. Dalrymple David J. Dalrymple | Director Chairman of the Board | March 10, 2011 |
| /s/ Robert H. Dalrymple Robert H. Dalrymple | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| /s/ Clover M. Drinkwater Clover M. Drinkwater | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| /s/ William D. Eggers William D. Eggers | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| Stephen M. Lounsberry, III | Director | |
| /s/ Thomas K. Meier Thomas K. Meier | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| /s/ Ralph H. Meyer Ralph H. Meyer | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| John F. Potter | Director | |
| /s/ Robert L. Storch | | |

Edgar Filing: CHEMUNG FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| Robert L. Storch | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| Charles M. Streeter, Jr. | Director | |
| /s/ Richard W. Swan Richard W. Swan | Director | March 10, 2010 |
| /s/ Jan P. Updegraff Jan P. Updegraff | Director | March 10, 2011 |
| /s/ Ronald M. Bentley Ronald M. Bentley | President and Chief Executive Officer | March 10, 2011 |
| /s/ John R. Battersby, Jr. John R. Battersby, Jr. | Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer | March 10, 2011 |

F-52

EXHIBIT INDEX

- | | | |
|---------|-------|---|
| Exhibit | 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of October 14, 2010 by and between Chemung Financial Corporation and Fort Orange Financial Corp. Filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 20, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of Chemung Financial Corporation dated December 20, 1984. Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of Chemung Financial Corporation, dated March 28, 1988. Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of Chemung Financial Corporation, dated May 13, 1998. Filed as Exhibit 3.4 of the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 3.4 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended to December 15, 2010. Filed herewith and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 4.1 | Specimen Stock Certificate. Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.1 | Change of Control Agreement dated September 20, 2006 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Ronald M. Bentley, President & COO. Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.2 | Executive Severance Agreement dated September 20, 2006 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Ronald M. Bentley, President & COO. Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.3 | Amended and Restated Deferred Directors' Fee Plan. Filed as Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.6 | Description of Arrangement for Directors' Fees. Filed as Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| | 10.8 | Change of Control Agreement dated August 23, 2007 Chemung Canal Trust Company and John R. Battersby, Jr., Executive Vice President Treasurer & CFO. Filed as Exhibit 10.8 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.9 | Change of Control Agreement dated August 23, 2007 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Melinda A. Sartori, Executive Vice President. Filed as Exhibit 10.9 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.10 | Change of Control Agreement dated August 23, 2007 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and James E. Corey, III, Executive Vice President. Filed as Exhibit 10.10 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| | 10.11 | Change of Control Agreement dated January 19, 2011 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Richard G. Carr, Executive Vice President. Filed |

- herewith and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.12 Change of Control Agreement dated January 19, 2011 between Chemung Canal Trust Company and Louis C. DiFabio, Executive Vice President. Filed herewith and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
 - 23 Consent of Crowe Horwath LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
 - 31.1 Certification of President Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
 - 31.2 Certification of Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
 - 32.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 19 U.S.C. §1350.
 - 32.2 Certification of Treasurer and Chief Finance Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 19 U.S.C. §1350.
-

