

HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE
Form 10-Q
August 01, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-13958

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

13-3317783

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Hartford Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06155

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(860) 547-5000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark:

Yes

No

• whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ..

• whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ..

• whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

• whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.)

As of July 26, 2012, there were outstanding 435,814,659 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the registrant.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
 QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2012
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Description	Page
<u>Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>		
1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations — For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income — For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>7</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets — As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	<u>8</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity — For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>9</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>10</u>
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>11</u>
2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>70</u>
3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>136</u>
4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>136</u>
<u>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>		
1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>137</u>
1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>138</u>
2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>139</u>
6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>139</u>
	<u>Signature</u>	<u>140</u>
	<u>Exhibits Index</u>	<u>141</u>

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain of the statements contained herein are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “seeks,” “believes,” “estimates,” “expects,” “projects,” and similar references to future performance.

Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding economic, competitive, legislative and other developments. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. They have been made based upon management’s expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effect upon The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company” or “The Hartford”). Future developments may not be in line with management’s expectations or may have unanticipated effects. Actual results could differ materially from expectations, depending on the evolution of various factors, including those set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in The Hartford’s 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report; Part II, Item IA, Risk Factors in The Hartford’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012; and Part II, Item IA, Risk Factors of this Form 10-Q. These important risks and uncertainties include:

challenges related to the Company’s current operating environment, including continuing uncertainty about the strength and speed of the recovery in the United States and other key economies and the impact of governmental stimulus and austerity initiatives, sovereign credit concerns, including the potential consequences associated with recent and further potential downgrades to the credit ratings of debt issued by the United States government, European sovereigns and other adverse developments on financial, commodity and credit markets and consumer spending and investment, including in respect of Europe, and the effect of these events on our returns in our life and property and casualty investment portfolios and our hedging costs associated with our variable annuities business;

the risks, challenges and uncertainties associated with our March 21, 2012 announcement that we will focus on our Property and Casualty, Group Benefits and Mutual Fund businesses, place our Individual Annuity business into runoff and pursue sales or other strategic alternatives for the Individual Life, Woodbury Financial Services and Retirement Plans businesses and related implementation plans and goals and objectives, as set forth in our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 21, 2012;

the success of our initiatives relating to the realignment of our business, including the continuing realignment of our hedge program for our variable annuity business, and plans to improve the profitability and long-term growth prospects of our key divisions, including through opportunistic acquisitions or divestitures or other actions or initiatives, and the impact of regulatory or other constraints on our ability to complete these initiatives and deploy capital among our businesses as and when planned;

market risks associated with our business, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, market volatility and foreign exchange rates, and implied volatility levels, as well as continuing uncertainty in key sectors such as the global real estate market;

the impact on our investment portfolio if our investment portfolio is concentrated in any particular segment of the economy;

volatility in our earnings and potential material changes to our results resulting from our adjustment of our risk management program to emphasize protection of statutory surplus and cash flows;

- the impact on our statutory capital of various factors, including many that are outside the Company’s control, which can in turn affect our credit and financial strength ratings, cost of capital, regulatory compliance and other aspects of our business and results;

risks to our business, financial position, prospects and results associated with negative rating actions or downgrades in the Company’s financial strength and credit ratings or negative rating actions or downgrades relating to our investments;

- the potential for differing interpretations of the methodologies, estimations and assumptions that underlie the valuation of the Company’s financial instruments that could result in changes to investment valuations;

the subjective determinations that underlie the Company’s evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities;

losses due to nonperformance or defaults by others;

- the potential for further acceleration of deferred policy acquisition cost amortization;
- the potential for further impairments of our goodwill or the potential for changes in valuation allowances against deferred tax assets;
- the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks and the Company's ability to contain its exposure, including the effect of the absence or insufficiency of applicable terrorism legislation on coverage;

3

Table of Contents

- the possibility of unfavorable loss development including with respect to long-tailed exposures;
- the difficulty in predicting the Company's potential exposure for asbestos and environmental claims;
- the possibility of a pandemic, earthquake, or other natural or man-made disaster that may adversely affect our businesses and cost and availability of reinsurance;
- weather and other natural physical events, including the severity and frequency of storms, hail, winter storms, hurricanes and tropical storms, as well as climate change and its potential impact on weather patterns;
- the response of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts and the availability, pricing and adequacy of reinsurance to protect the Company against losses;
- actions by our competitors, many of which are larger or have greater financial resources than we do;
- the Company's ability to distribute its products through distribution channels, both current and future;
- the cost and other effects of increased regulation as a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), which, among other effects, has resulted in the establishment of a newly created Financial Services Oversight Council with the power to designate "systemically important" institutions, will require central clearing of, and/or impose new margin and capital requirements on, derivatives transactions, and created a new "Federal Insurance Office" within the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury");
- unfavorable judicial or legislative developments;
- the uncertain effects of emerging claim and coverage issues;
- the potential effect of other domestic and foreign regulatory developments, including those that could adversely impact the demand for the Company's products, operating costs and required capital levels, including changes to statutory reserves and/or risk-based capital requirements related to secondary guarantees under universal life and variable annuity products or changes in U.S. federal or other tax laws that affect the relative attractiveness of our investment products;
- regulatory limitations on the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to declare and pay dividends, including dividends associated with the proceeds from a sale of any of our life businesses;
- the Company's ability to effectively price its property and casualty policies, including its ability to obtain regulatory consents to pricing actions or to non-renewal or withdrawal of certain product lines;
- the Company's ability to maintain the availability of its systems and safeguard the security of its data in the event of a disaster, cyber or other information security incident or other unanticipated event;
- the risk that our framework for managing business risks may not be effective in mitigating material risk and loss to the Company;
- the potential for difficulties arising from outsourcing relationships;
- the impact of potential changes in federal or state tax laws, including changes affecting the availability of the separate account dividend received deduction;
- the impact of potential changes in accounting principles and related financial reporting requirements;
- the Company's ability to protect its intellectual property and defend against claims of infringement; and
- other factors described in such forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statement made by the Company in this document speaks only as of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q. Factors or events that could cause the Company's actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict all of them. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Hartford, Connecticut

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2012, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and statements of changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole.

Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended prior to retrospective adjustment for the adoption of Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-26 Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts, (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 24, 2012 (which report includes an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's change in its method of accounting and reporting for variable interest entities and embedded credit derivatives as required by accounting guidance adopted in 2010, and for other-than-temporary impairments as required by accounting guidance adopted in 2009), we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. We also audited the adjustments described in Note 1 that were applied to retrospectively adjust the December 31, 2011 consolidated balance sheet of the Company (not presented herein). In our opinion, such adjustments are appropriate and have been properly applied to the previously issued consolidated balance sheet in deriving the accompanying retrospectively adjusted condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

August 1, 2012

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
(In millions, except for per share data)	2012	As currently reported (see Note 1) 2011	2012	As currently reported (see Note 1) 2011
	(Unaudited)			
Revenues				
Earned premiums	\$3,400	\$3,545	\$6,842	\$7,064
Fee income	1,114	1,219	2,248	2,428
Net investment income (loss):				
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,097	1,104	2,167	2,212
Equity securities, trading	(1,687)) (597)) 1,179	206
Total net investment income (loss)	(590)) 507	3,346	2,418
Net realized capital gains (losses):				
Total other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses	(106)) (31)) (142)) (150)
OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income (“OCI”)	8	8	15	72
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(98)) (23)) (127)) (78)
Net realized capital gains (losses), excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	687	92	(194)) (256)
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	589	69	(321)) (334)
Other revenues	61	61	120	125
Total revenues	4,574	5,401	12,235	11,701
Benefits, losses and expenses				
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	3,621	3,976	6,659	7,154
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses – returns credited on international variable annuities	(1,686)) (597)) 1,178	206
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	554	592	875	1,042
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	1,309	1,452	2,621	2,806
Loss on extinguishment of debt	910	—	910	—
Interest expense	115	128	239	256
Total benefits, losses and expenses	4,823	5,551	12,482	11,464
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(249)) (150)) (247)) 237
Income tax benefit	(149)) (263)) (244)) (215)
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	(100)) 113	(3)) 452
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1)) (80)) (2)) 82
Net income (loss)	\$(101)) \$33	\$ (5)) \$534
Preferred stock dividends	11	11	21	21
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$(112)) \$22	\$ (26)) \$513

Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders per common share						
Basic	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.05)	\$ 0.97
Diluted	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.21	\$ (0.05)	\$ 0.89
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per common share						
Basic	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.06)	\$ 1.15
Diluted	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.06)	\$ 1.06
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.10		\$ 0.10	\$ 0.20		\$ 0.20
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.						

6

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(In millions)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	As currently reported (see Note 1) 2011	2012	As currently reported (see Note 1) 2011
	(Unaudited)			
Comprehensive Income				
Net income (loss)	\$(101)	\$ 33	\$(5)	\$ 534
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in net unrealized gain / loss on securities	747	565	988	887
Change in OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income	13	(4)	5	1
Change in net gain / loss on cash-flow hedging instruments	81	71	28	3
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	56	55	(80)	26
Change in pension and other postretirement plan adjustments	31	26	64	48
Total other comprehensive income	928	713	1,005	965
Total comprehensive income	\$827	\$ 746	\$1,000	\$ 1,499
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.				

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In millions, except for share and per share data)	June 30, 2012	As currently reported (see Note 1) December 31, 2011
	(Unaudited)	
Assets		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$80,840 and \$78,978) (includes variable interest entity assets, at fair value, of \$269 and \$153)	\$85,227	\$81,809
Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value option (includes variable interest entity assets of \$338, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011)	1,165	1,328
Equity securities, trading, at fair value (cost of \$30,385 and \$32,928)	29,215	30,499
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value (cost of \$859 and \$1,056)	851	921
Mortgage loans (net of allowances for loan losses of \$87 and \$102)	6,875	5,728
Policy loans, at outstanding balance	1,956	2,001
Limited partnerships and other alternative investments (includes variable interest entity assets of \$7, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011)	2,944	2,532
Other investments	1,548	2,394
Short-term investments (includes variable interest entity assets, at fair value, of \$9 as of June 30, 2012)	5,154	7,736
Total investments	134,935	134,948
Cash	2,338	2,581
Premiums receivable and agents' balances, net	3,537	3,446
Reinsurance recoverables, net	4,943	4,768
Deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	6,336	6,556
Deferred income taxes, net	1,808	2,131
Goodwill	1,006	1,006
Property and equipment, net	1,001	1,029
Other assets	3,411	2,274
Separate account assets	144,662	143,870
Total assets	\$303,977	\$302,609
Liabilities		
Reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$40,980	\$41,016
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable	44,014	45,612
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable – international variable annuities	29,174	30,461
Unearned premiums	5,278	5,222
Long-term debt	7,125	6,216
Consumer notes	254	314
Other liabilities (includes variable interest entity liabilities of \$439 and \$471)	10,529	8,412
Separate account liabilities	144,662	143,870
Total liabilities	282,016	281,123
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)		
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value — 50,000,000 shares authorized, 575,000 shares issued, liquidation preference \$1,000 per share	556	556

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$0.01 par value — 1,500,000,000 shares authorized, 469,746,638 and 469,750,171 shares issued	5	5
Additional paid-in capital	10,037	10,391
Retained earnings	10,887	11,001
Treasury stock, at cost — 34,147,822 and 27,211,115 shares	(1,780) (1,718)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	2,256	1,251
Total stockholders' equity	21,961	21,486
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$303,977	\$302,609

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

8

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

(In millions, except for share data)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	As currently reported (see Note 1) 2011
	(Unaudited)	
Preferred Stock	\$556	\$556
Common Stock	5	5
Additional Paid-in Capital, beginning of period	10,391	10,448
Repurchase of warrants	(300)) —
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans	(52)) (45)
Tax expense on employee stock options and awards	(2)) (10)
Additional Paid-in Capital, end of period	10,037	10,393
Retained Earnings, beginning of period	11,001	10,509
Net income (loss)	(5)) 534
Dividends on preferred stock	(21)) (21)
Dividends declared on common stock	(88)) (88)
Retained Earnings, end of period	10,887	10,934
Treasury Stock, at Cost, beginning of period	(1,718)) (1,774)
Treasury stock acquired	(149)) —
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans from treasury stock	94	76
Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans and other to treasury stock	(7)) (7)
Treasury Stock, at Cost, end of period	(1,780)) (1,705)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax, beginning of period	1,251	(990)
Total other comprehensive income	1,005	965
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax, end of period	2,256	(25)
Total Stockholders' Equity	\$21,961	\$20,158
Preferred Shares Outstanding (in thousands)	575	575
Common Shares Outstanding, at beginning of period (in thousands)	442,539	444,549
Treasury stock acquired	(8,045)) —
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans	1,435	972
Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans and other to treasury stock	(330)) (235)
Common Shares Outstanding, at end of period	435,599	445,286

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In millions)	Six Months Ended June 30,	As currently reported (see Note 1) 2011
	2012	2011
	(Unaudited)	
Operating Activities		
Net income (loss)	\$(5)	\$534
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	875	1,042
Additions to deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	(852)	(844)
Change in reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and unearned premiums	27	651
Change in reinsurance recoverables	(291)	(33)
Change in receivables and other assets	(274)	(339)
Change in payables and accruals	615	87
Change in accrued and deferred income taxes	(190)	(420)
Net realized capital losses	321	215
Net disbursements from investment contracts related to policyholder funds—international variable annuities	(1,287)	(556)
Net decrease in equity securities, trading	1,284	542
Depreciation and amortization	250	384
Loss on extinguishment of debt	910	—
Other operating activities, net	(147)	(299)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,236	964
Investing Activities		
Proceeds from the sale/maturity/prepayment of:		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	25,121	18,076
Fixed maturities, fair value option	153	1
Equity securities, available-for-sale	165	122
Mortgage loans	159	228
Partnerships	84	106
Payments for the purchase of:		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(24,484)	(17,295)
Fixed maturities, fair value option	—	(534)
Equity securities, available-for-sale	(55)	(192)
Mortgage loans	(1,307)	(1,075)
Partnerships	(588)	(128)
Proceeds from business sold	—	278
Derivatives, net	(816)	(300)
Change in policy loans, net	45	(7)
Other investing activities, net	(28)	(87)
Net cash used for investing activities	(1,551)	(807)
Financing Activities		
Deposits and other additions to investment and universal life-type contracts	6,218	5,840
Withdrawals and other deductions from investment and universal life-type contracts	(12,094)	(11,701)

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Net transfers from separate accounts related to investment and universal life-type contracts	5,058	5,649	
Repayments at maturity or settlement of consumer notes	(60) (14)
Net increase (decrease) in securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	1,560	—	
Repurchase of warrants	(300) —	
Repayment of long-term debt	(2,133) —	
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	2,123	—	
Proceeds from net issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans, excess tax benefit and other	1	2	
Treasury stock acquired	(154) —	
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(21) (21)
Dividends paid on common stock	(89) (64)
Changes in bank deposits and payments on bank advances	—	(10)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	109	(319)
Foreign exchange rate effect on cash	(37) (2)
Net decrease in cash	(243) (164)
Cash – beginning of period	2,581	2,062	
Cash – end of period	\$2,338	\$1,898	
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information			
Income taxes paid (received)	\$(446) \$246	
Interest paid	\$241	\$250	
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements			

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (Dollar amounts in millions, except for per share data, unless otherwise stated)
 (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. is a holding company for insurance and financial services subsidiaries that provide investment products and life and property and casualty insurance to both individual and business customers in the United States (collectively, “The Hartford”, the “Company”, “we” or “our”). Also, The Hartford continues to administer business previously sold in Japan and the U.K.

On March 21, 2012, the Company announced the completion of its businesses and strategy evaluation. As a result of this review, the Company announced that it will focus on its Property and Casualty, Group Benefits and Mutual Fund businesses, place its existing Individual Annuity business into runoff and pursue sales or other strategic alternatives for the Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses and Woodbury Financial Services, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary.

On April 26, 2012, the Company announced that it had entered into an agreement to sell its U.S. individual annuity new business capabilities to a third party. A purchase and sale agreement was entered into with Forethought Financial Group in mid-June 2012 and the anticipated transaction closing date is in late 2012 or early 2013. Effective May 1, 2012, all new U.S. annuity policies sold by the Company are reinsured to Forethought Life Insurance Company. The Company will cease the sale of such annuity policies and the reinsurance agreement will terminate as to new business in the second quarter of 2013. The reinsurance agreement has no impact on in-force policies issued on or before April 27, 2012.

On July 31, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell Woodbury Financial Services to a third party. The transaction is expected to close by the end of 2012, pending regulatory approval.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”), which differ materially from the accounting practices prescribed by various insurance regulatory authorities. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in The Hartford’s 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report. The results of operations for the interim periods should not be considered indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes as of June 30, 2012, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are unaudited. These financial statements reflect all adjustments (generally consisting only of normal accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods.

On January 1, 2012, the Company retrospectively adopted Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-26, Financial Services – Insurance (Topic 944): Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts which clarifies the definition of policy acquisition costs that are eligible for deferral. Previously reported financial information has been revised to reflect the effect of the Company’s adoption of this accounting standard. As a result of this accounting change, total stockholders’ equity as of January 1, 2011, decreased by approximately \$1.6 billion, after-tax from \$20.3 billion, as previously reported, to \$18.7 billion due to a reduction of the Company’s deferred acquisition cost asset balance related to certain costs that do not meet the provisions of the revised standard. The effect of adoption of this accounting standard on the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations was as follows:

	December 31, 2011		
	As previously reported	Effect of change	As currently reported
Deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	\$8,744	\$(2,188) \$6,556
Deferred income taxes, net	\$1,398	\$733	\$2,131

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Other liabilities	\$8,443	\$(31)	\$8,412
Retained earnings	\$12,519	\$(1,518)	\$11,001
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	\$1,157	\$94		\$1,251
Total stockholders' equity	\$22,910	\$(1,424)	\$21,486

11

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	As previously reported	Effect of change	As currently reported
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	\$835	\$(243)	\$592
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	\$1,224	\$228	\$1,452
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$(165)	\$15	\$(150)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$(269)	\$6	\$(263)
Net income (loss)	\$24	\$9	\$33
Net income available to common shareholders	\$13	\$9	\$22
Income from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders per common share			
Basic	\$0.21	\$0.02	\$0.23
Diluted	\$0.19	\$0.02	\$0.21
Net income available to common shareholders per common share			
Basic	\$0.03	\$0.02	\$0.05
Diluted	\$0.03	\$0.02	\$0.05
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	As previously reported	Effect of change	As currently reported
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	\$1,499	\$(457)	\$1,042
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	\$2,344	\$462	\$2,806
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$242	\$(5)	\$237
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$(211)	\$(4)	\$(215)
Net income (loss)	\$535	\$(1)	\$534
Net income available to common shareholders	\$514	\$(1)	\$513
Income from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders per common share			
Basic	\$0.97	\$—	\$0.97
Diluted	\$0.89	\$—	\$0.89
Net income available to common shareholders per common share			
Basic	\$1.16	\$(0.01)	\$1.15
Diluted	\$1.06	\$—	\$1.06

Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc., companies in which the Company directly or indirectly has a controlling financial interest and those variable interest entities (“VIEs”) in which the Company is required to consolidate. Entities in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financing decisions but are not required to consolidate are reported using the equity method. Material intercompany transactions and balances between The Hartford and its subsidiaries and affiliates have been eliminated. For further discussions on VIEs see Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

Discontinued Operations

The results of operations of a component of the Company that either has been disposed of or is classified as held-for-sale are reported in discontinued operations if the operations and cash flows of the component have been or will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of the Company as a result of the disposal transaction and the Company will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the disposal transaction.

The Company is presenting the operations of certain businesses that meet the criteria for reporting as discontinued operations. Amounts for prior periods have been retrospectively reclassified. See Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for information on the specific subsidiaries and related impacts.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with U.S. GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The most significant estimates include those used in determining property and casualty insurance product reserves, net of reinsurance; estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts; evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and valuation allowances on investments; living benefits required to be fair valued; goodwill impairment; valuation of investments and derivative instruments; pension and other postretirement benefit obligations; valuation allowance on deferred tax assets; and contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters. Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Mutual Funds

The Company maintains a retail mutual fund operation whereby the Company provides investment management, administrative and distribution services to The Hartford Mutual Funds, Inc. and The Hartford Mutual Funds II, Inc. (collectively, "mutual funds"). These mutual funds are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940. The mutual funds are owned by the shareholders of those funds and not by the Company. As such, the mutual fund assets and liabilities and related investment returns are not reflected in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements since they are not assets, liabilities and operations of the Company.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year financial information to conform to the current year presentation.

Significant Accounting Policies

For a description of significant accounting policies, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report, which should be read in conjunction with these accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

Income Taxes

A reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Tax expense (benefit) at U.S. Federal statutory rate	\$(87)	\$(53)	\$(86)	\$83)
Tax-exempt interest	(35)	(38)	(71)	(75)
Dividends-received deduction	(30)	(90)	(63)	(127)
Valuation allowance	6	(89)	(14)	(91)
Other	(3)	7	(10)	(5)
Income tax benefit	\$(149)	\$(263)	\$(244)	\$(215)

The current year separate account dividends-received deduction (“DRD”) is estimated based on information from the prior year-end, adjusted for current year equity market performance and other appropriate factors, including estimated levels of corporate dividend payments and level of policy owner equity account balances. The actual current year DRD can vary from estimates based on, but not limited to, changes in eligible dividends received by the mutual funds, amounts of distribution from these mutual funds, amounts of short-term capital gains at the mutual fund level and the Company’s taxable income before the DRD. The Company evaluates its DRD computations on a quarterly basis. The Company’s unrecognized tax benefits were unchanged during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, remaining at \$48 as of June 30, 2012. This entire amount, if it were recognized, would affect the effective tax rate in the period it is released.

The Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) routinely audits the Company's federal income tax returns. Audits have concluded for all years through 2006. The audit of the years 2007—2009 commenced during 2010 and is expected to conclude by the end of 2012.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset valuation allowance that is adequate to reduce the total deferred tax asset to an amount that will more likely than not be realized. The deferred tax asset valuation allowance, which related predominantly to foreign net operating losses, was \$70 as of June 30, 2012 and \$84 as of December 31, 2011. In evaluating the need for a valuation allowance, management considers many factors, including: future taxable temporary differences reversals, future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards, taxable income in open carry back years, and other tax planning strategies.

The Company’s June 30, 2012 \$1.8 billion net deferred tax asset includes \$2.8 billion relating to items treated as ordinary for federal income tax purposes, and a \$1.0 billion net deferred tax liability for items classified as capital in nature. The \$1.0 billion for capital items is comprised of \$685 of gross deferred tax assets related to realized capital losses and \$1.7 billion of gross deferred tax liabilities related to net unrealized capital gains.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income and shares used in calculating basic earnings (loss) per common share to those used in calculating diluted earnings (loss) per common share.

(In millions, except for per share data)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Earnings				
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ (100) \$ 113	\$ (3) \$ 452
Less: Preferred stock dividends	11	11	21	21
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders	(111) 102	(24) 431
Add: Dilutive effect of preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders and assumed conversion of preferred shares	\$ (111) \$ 102	\$ (24) \$ 431
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ (1) \$ (80) \$ (2) \$ 82
Net income (loss)				
Net income (loss)	\$ (101) \$ 33	\$ (5) \$ 534
Less: Preferred stock dividends	11	11	21	21
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	(112) 22	(26) 513
Add: Dilutive effect of preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	21
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders and assumed conversion of preferred shares	\$ (112) \$ 22	\$ (26) \$ 534
Shares				
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic	438.2	445.1	439.4	444.9
Dilutive effect of warrants	—	36.3	—	38.6
Dilutive effect of stock compensation plans	—	1.0	—	1.4
Dilutive effect of mandatory convertible preferred shares	—	—	—	20.7
Weighted average shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares	438.2	482.4	439.4	505.6
Earnings (loss) per common share				
Basic				
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders	\$ (0.25) \$ 0.23	\$ (0.05) \$ 0.97
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.01) (0.18) (0.01) 0.18
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (0.26) \$ 0.05	\$ (0.06) \$ 1.15
Diluted				
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders	\$ (0.25) \$ 0.21	\$ (0.05) \$ 0.89
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.01) (0.16) (0.01) 0.17
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (0.26) \$ 0.05	\$ (0.06) \$ 1.06

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share (continued)

As a result of the losses available to common shareholders for the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company was required to use basic weighted average common shares outstanding in the calculation of diluted loss per share, since the inclusion of shares for warrants of 25.1 million, stock compensation plans of 1.5 million and mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, of 21.0 million, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculations. In the absence of the losses, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 485.8 million.

As a result of the losses available to common shareholders for the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company was required to use basic weighted average common shares outstanding in the calculation of diluted loss per share, since the inclusion of shares for warrants of 25.7 million, stock compensation plans of 1.8 million and mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, of 21.0 million, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculations. In the absence of the losses, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 487.9 million.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, 20.7 million shares for mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculations. Assuming the impact of the mandatory convertible preferred shares was not antidilutive, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 503.1 million.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the diluted earnings per share calculation on income from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders was calculated using 484.9 million weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares, as the inclusion of 20.7 million shares for mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, would have been antidilutive. The declaration of a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.10 during the first and second quarter of 2012 triggered a provision in The Hartford's Warrant Agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon, relating to warrants to purchase common stock issued in connection with the Company's participation in the Capital Purchase Program, resulting in an adjustment to the warrant exercise price. The warrant exercise price at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$9.649, \$9.676 and \$9.699, respectively.

In addition, the declaration of a quarterly common stock dividend in the first quarter of 2012 triggered a provision in The Hartford's Fixed Conversion Rate Agreement, relating to the Company's mandatory convertible preferred stock, resulting in an adjustment to the minimum conversion rate to 29.8831 from 29.536 shares of Common Stock per share of Series F Preferred Stock and the maximum conversion rate to 36.4596 from 36.036 shares of Common Stock per share of Series F Preferred Stock.

On March 30, 2012 the Company entered into an agreement with Allianz and repurchased the outstanding Series B and Series C warrants. As a result, Allianz no longer holds potentially dilutive outstanding warrants. See Note 15 for additional information regarding the warrant repurchase.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information

The Company is organized into four divisions: Commercial Markets, Consumer Markets, Wealth Management and Runoff Operations and conducts business principally in eight reporting segments, as well as a Corporate category. Starting in the second quarter of 2012, financial results for the Individual Annuity segment have been reported in the Life Other Operations segment and segment data for prior reporting periods has been adjusted accordingly. The Company's reporting segments as of June 30, 2012 are as follows:

Commercial Markets

Property & Casualty Commercial

Property & Casualty Commercial provides workers' compensation, property, automobile, marine, livestock, liability and umbrella coverages primarily throughout the United States ("U.S."), along with a variety of customized insurance products and risk management services including professional liability, fidelity, surety, and specialty casualty coverages.

Group Benefits

Group Benefits provides employers, associations, affinity groups and financial institutions with group life, accident and disability coverage, along with other products and services, including voluntary benefits, and group retiree health.

Consumer Markets

Consumer Markets provides standard automobile, homeowners and home-based business coverages to individuals across the U.S., including a special program designed exclusively for members of AARP. Consumer Markets also operates a member contact center for health insurance products offered through the AARP Health program.

Wealth Management

Individual Life

Individual Life sells a variety of life insurance products, including variable universal life, universal life, and term life.

Retirement Plans

Retirement Plans provides products and services to corporations pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and products and services to municipalities and not-for-profit organizations under Sections 457 and 403(b) of the Code, collectively referred to as government plans.

Mutual Funds

Mutual Funds offers retail mutual funds, investment-only mutual funds and college savings plans under Section 529 of the Code (collectively referred to as non-proprietary) and proprietary mutual funds supporting insurance products issued by The Hartford.

Runoff Operations

Life Other Operations

Life Other Operations includes the Company's management of certain life operations that have discontinued writing new business encompassing U.S. individual, international (primarily in Japan and Europe) and institutional annuity products and private placement life insurance.

Property & Casualty Other Operations

Property & Casualty Other Operations includes the Company's management of certain property and casualty operations that have discontinued writing new business and substantially all of the Company's asbestos and environmental exposures.

Corporate

The Company includes in the Corporate category the Company's debt financing and related interest expense, as well as other capital raising activities; banking operations; certain fee income and commission expenses associated with sales of non-proprietary products by broker-dealer subsidiaries; and certain purchase accounting adjustments and other charges not allocated to the segments.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information (continued)

Financial Measures and Other Segment Information

Certain transactions between segments occur during the year that primarily relate to tax settlements, insurance coverage, expense reimbursements, services provided, security transfers and capital contributions. Also, one segment may purchase group annuity contracts from another to fund pension costs and annuities to settle casualty claims. In addition, certain inter-segment transactions occur that relate to interest income on allocated surplus. Consolidated net investment income is unaffected by such transactions.

The following table presents net income (loss) for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate category.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Net income (loss)					
Property & Casualty Commercial	\$149	\$118	\$338	\$441	
Group Benefits	35	41	53	52	
Consumer Markets	(50) (172) 58	(64)
Individual Life	36	46	55	64	
Retirement Plans	(2) 27	16	32	
Mutual Funds	18	27	38	55	
Life Other Operations	406	261	199	334	
Property & Casualty Other Operations	(15) (164) 12	(143)
Corporate	(678) (151) (774) (237)
Net income (loss)	\$(101) \$33	\$(5) \$534	

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information (continued)

The following table presents revenues by product line for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate category.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues				
Earned premiums, fees, and other considerations				
Property & Casualty Commercial				
Workers' compensation	\$737	\$685	\$1,470	\$1,350
Property	126	134	251	269
Automobile	146	145	292	291
Package business	289	285	581	568
Liability	136	134	277	269
Fidelity and surety	51	54	103	109
Professional liability	67	80	135	159
Total Property & Casualty Commercial	1,552	1,517	3,109	3,015
Group Benefits				
Group disability	439	516	882	993
Group life and accident	478	511	957	1,028
Other	49	49	99	99
Total Group Benefits	966	1,076	1,938	2,120
Consumer Markets				
Automobile	630	657	1,262	1,329
Homeowners	274	282	551	566
Total Consumer Markets [1]	904	939	1,813	1,895
Individual Life				
Variable life	85	91	172	182
Universal life	122	109	248	215
Term / Other life	13	12	27	24
Total Individual Life	220	212	447	421
Retirement Plans				
401(k)	81	88	165	172
Government plans	13	13	25	26
Total Retirement Plans	94	101	190	198
Mutual Funds				
Non-Proprietary	134	161	270	323
Proprietary	14	14	29	30
Total Mutual Funds	148	175	299	353
Life Other Operations	587	690	1,199	1,384
Property & Casualty Other Operations	(2) —	(2) —
Corporate	45	54	97	106
Total earned premiums, fees, and other considerations	4,514	4,764	9,090	9,492
Net investment income:				
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,097	1,104	2,167	2,212
Equity securities, trading	(1,687) (597) 1,179	206
Total net investment income	(590) 507	3,346	2,418

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Net realized capital gains (losses)	589	69	(321) (334)
Other revenues	61	61	120	125	
Total revenues	\$4,574	\$5,401	\$12,235	\$11,701	

For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, AARP members accounted for earned premiums of \$671 and [1] \$694, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, AARP members accounted for earned premiums of \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements

The following financial instruments are carried at fair value in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements: fixed maturity and equity securities, available-for-sale ("AFS"), fixed maturities at fair value using fair value option ("FVO"), equity securities, trading, short-term investments, freestanding and embedded derivatives, separate account assets and certain other liabilities.

The following section applies the fair value hierarchy and disclosure requirements for the Company's financial instruments that are carried at fair value. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs in the valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad Levels (Level 1, 2 or 3).

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 securities include highly liquid U.S. Treasuries, money market funds and exchange traded equity securities, open-ended mutual funds reported in separate account assets and derivative securities.

Level 2 Observable inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, for the asset or liability or prices for similar assets and liabilities. Most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account assets, are model priced by vendors using observable inputs and are classified within Level 2.

Level 3 Valuations that are derived from techniques in which one or more of the significant inputs are unobservable (including assumptions about risk). Level 3 securities include less liquid securities, guaranteed product embedded and reinsurance derivatives and other complex derivative securities. Because Level 3 fair values, by their nature, contain one or more significant unobservable inputs as there is little or no observable market for these assets and liabilities, considerable judgment is used to determine the Level 3 fair values. Level 3 fair values represent the Company's best estimate of an amount that could be realized in a current market exchange absent actual market exchanges.

In many situations, inputs used to measure the fair value of an asset or liability position may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In these situations, the Company will determine the level in which the fair value falls based upon the lowest level input that is significant to the determination of the fair value. Transfers of securities among the levels occur at the beginning of the reporting period. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, transfers of \$781 and \$919, respectively, from Level 1 to Level 2 occurred, which represented previously on-the-run U.S. Treasury securities that are now off-the-run. No transfers from Level 2 to 1 occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. In most cases, both observable (e.g., changes in interest rates) and unobservable (e.g., changes in risk assumptions) inputs are used in the determination of fair values that the Company has classified within Level 3. Consequently, these values and the related gains and losses are based upon both observable and unobservable inputs. The Company's fixed maturities included in Level 3 are classified as such because these securities are primarily priced by independent brokers and/or within illiquid markets.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

These disclosures provide information as to the extent to which the Company uses fair value to measure financial instruments and information about the inputs used to value those financial instruments to allow users to assess the relative reliability of the measurements. The following tables present assets and (liabilities) carried at fair value by hierarchy level.

	June 30, 2012				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis					
Fixed maturities, AFS					
Asset-backed securities ("ABS")	\$3,002	\$—	\$2,679	\$323	
Collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs")	3,037	—	2,137	900	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS")	6,346	—	5,360	986	
Corporate	42,983	—	41,178	1,805	
Foreign government/government agencies	3,598	—	3,543	55	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions ("Municipal")	14,125	—	13,475	650	
Residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS")	6,981	—	5,773	1,208	
U.S. Treasuries	5,155	1,080	4,075	—	
Total fixed maturities	85,227	1,080	78,220	5,927	
Fixed maturities, FVO	1,165	—	672	493	
Equity securities, trading	29,215	1,902	27,313	—	
Equity securities, AFS	851	328	437	86	
Derivative assets					
Credit derivatives	(19)	—	(29)	10	
Equity derivatives	28	—	—	28	
Foreign exchange derivatives	293	—	293	—	
Interest rate derivatives	310	—	222	88	
U.S. guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit ("GMWB") hedging instruments	213	—	11	202	
U.S. macro hedge program	70	—	—	70	
International program hedging instruments	565	—	362	203	
Other derivative contracts	26	—	—	26	
Total derivative assets [1]	1,486	—	859	627	
Short-term investments	5,154	309	4,845	—	
Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB	376	—	—	376	
Separate account assets [2]	141,110	102,608	37,167	1,335	
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$264,584	\$106,227	\$149,513	\$8,844	
Percentage of level to total	100	% 40	% 57	% 3	%

Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a
recurring basis

Other policyholder funds and benefits payable

U.S guaranteed withdrawal benefits	\$ (2,203))	\$ —		\$ —		\$ (2,203))
International guaranteed withdrawal benefits	(53))	—		—		(53))
International other guaranteed living benefits	(4))	—		—		(4))
Equity linked notes	(10))	—		—		(10))
Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable	(2,270))	—		—		(2,270))
Derivative liabilities								
Credit derivatives	(494))	—		(45))	(449))
Equity derivatives	25		—		—		25	
Foreign exchange derivatives	213		—		213		—	
Interest rate derivatives	(622))	—		(468))	(154))
U.S. GMWB hedging instruments	597		—		43		554	
U.S. macro hedge program	110		—		—		110	
International program hedging instruments	23		—		65		(42))
Total derivative liabilities [3]	(148))	—		(192))	44	
Other Liabilities	(29))	—		—		(29))
Consumer notes [4]	(4))	—		—		(4))
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ (2,451))	\$ —		\$ (192))	\$ (2,259))

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

	December 31, 2011				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis					
Fixed maturities, AFS					
ABS	\$3,153	\$—	\$2,792	\$361	
CDOs	2,487	—	2,119	368	
CMBS	6,951	—	6,363	588	
Corporate	44,011	—	41,756	2,255	
Foreign government/government agencies	2,161	—	2,112	49	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions ("Municipal")	13,260	—	12,823	437	
RMBS	5,757	—	4,694	1,063	
U.S. Treasuries	4,029	750	3,279	—	
Total fixed maturities	81,809	750	75,938	5,121	
Fixed maturities, FVO	1,328	—	833	495	
Equity securities, trading	30,499	1,967	28,532	—	
Equity securities, AFS	921	352	476	93	
Derivative assets					
Credit derivatives	(24)	—	(11)	(13)	
Equity derivatives	31	—	—	31	
Foreign exchange derivatives	519	—	519	—	
Interest rate derivatives	195	—	147	48	
U.S. GMWB hedging instruments	494	—	11	483	
U.S. macro hedge program	357	—	—	357	
International program hedging instruments	731	—	692	39	
Other derivative contracts	28	—	—	28	
Total derivative assets [1]	2,331	—	1,358	973	
Short-term investments	7,736	750	6,986	—	
Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB	443	—	—	443	
Separate account assets [2]	139,432	101,644	36,757	1,031	
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$264,499	\$105,463	\$150,880	\$8,156	
Percentage of level to total	100	% 40	% 57	% 3	%

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

	December 31, 2011			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis				
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable				
U.S guaranteed withdrawal benefits	\$(2,538))\$—)\$—	\$(2,538)
International guaranteed withdrawal benefits	(66))\$—)\$—	(66)
International other guaranteed living benefits	(5))\$—)\$—	(5)
Equity linked notes	(9))\$—)\$—	(9)
Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable	(2,618))\$—)\$—	(2,618)
Derivative liabilities				
Credit derivatives	(573))\$—	(25)	(548)
Equity derivatives	9)\$—)\$—	9
Foreign exchange derivatives	134)\$—	134)\$—
Interest rate derivatives	(527))\$—	(421)	(106)
U.S. GMWB hedging instruments	400)\$—)\$—	400
International program hedging instruments	19)\$—	23	(4)
Total derivative liabilities [3]	(538))\$—	(289)	(249)
Other Liabilities	(9))\$—)\$—	(9)
Consumer notes [4]	(4))\$—)\$—	(4)
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$(3,169))\$—	\$(289)	\$(2,880)

[1] Includes over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net asset value position which may require the counterparty to pledge collateral to the Company. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$337 and \$1.4 billion, respectively, of cash collateral liability was netted against the derivative asset value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and is excluded from the table above. See footnote 3 below for derivative liabilities.

[2] Approximately \$3.6 billion and \$4.0 billion of investment sales receivable that are not subject to fair value accounting are excluded as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

[3] Includes over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net negative market value position (derivative liability). In the Level 3 roll-forward table included below in this Note 4, the derivative asset and liability are referred to as “freestanding derivatives” and are presented on a net basis.

[4] Represents embedded derivatives associated with non-funding agreement-backed consumer equity linked notes.

Determination of Fair Values

The valuation methodologies used to determine the fair values of assets and liabilities under the “exit price” notion, reflect market-participant objectives and are based on the application of the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes relevant observable market inputs over unobservable inputs. The Company determines the fair values of certain financial assets and financial liabilities based on quoted market prices where available and where prices represent a reasonable estimate of fair value. The Company also determines fair value based on future cash flows discounted at the appropriate current market rate. Fair values reflect adjustments for counterparty credit quality, the Company’s default spreads, liquidity and, where appropriate, risk margins on unobservable parameters. The following is a discussion of the methodologies used to determine fair values for the financial instruments listed in the above tables.

The fair value process is monitored by the Valuation Committee, which is a cross-functional group of senior management within the Company that meets at least quarterly. The Valuation Committee is co-chaired by the Heads of Investment Operations and Accounting and has representation from various investment sector professionals, accounting, operations, legal, compliance and risk management. The purpose of the committee is to oversee the pricing policy and procedures by ensuring objective and reliable valuation practices and pricing of financial instruments, as well as addressing fair valuation issues and approving changes to valuation methodologies and pricing sources. There is also a Fair Value Working Group (“Working Group”) which includes the Heads of Investment Operations and Accounting, as well as other investment, operations, accounting and risk management professionals that meet monthly to review market data trends, pricing and trading statistics and results, and any proposed pricing methodology changes described in more detail in the following paragraphs.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Available-for-Sale Securities, Fixed Maturities, FVO, Equity Securities, Trading, and Short-term Investments

The fair value of AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments in an active and orderly market (e.g. not distressed or forced liquidation) are determined by management after considering one of three primary sources of information: third-party pricing services, independent broker quotations or pricing matrices. Security pricing is applied using a “waterfall” approach whereby publicly available prices are first sought from third-party pricing services, the remaining unpriced securities are submitted to independent brokers for prices, or lastly, securities are priced using a pricing matrix. Based on the typical trading volumes and the lack of quoted market prices for fixed maturities, third-party pricing services will normally derive the security prices from recent reported trades for identical or similar securities making adjustments through the reporting date based upon available market observable information as outlined above. If there are no recently reported trades, the third-party pricing services and independent brokers may use matrix or model processes to develop a security price where future cash flow expectations are developed based upon collateral performance and discounted at an estimated market rate. Included in the pricing of ABS and RMBS are estimates of the rate of future prepayments of principal over the remaining life of the securities. Such estimates are derived based on the characteristics of the underlying structure and prepayment speeds previously experienced at the interest rate levels projected for the underlying collateral. Actual prepayment experience may vary from these estimates.

Prices from third-party pricing services are often unavailable for securities that are rarely traded or are traded only in privately negotiated transactions. As a result, certain securities are priced via independent broker quotations which utilize inputs that may be difficult to corroborate with observable market based data. Additionally, the majority of these independent broker quotations are non-binding.

A pricing matrix is used to price private placement securities for which the Company is unable to obtain a price from a third-party pricing service by discounting the expected future cash flows from the security by a developed market discount rate utilizing current credit spreads. Credit spreads are developed each month using market based data for public securities adjusted for credit spread differentials between public and private securities which are obtained from a survey of multiple private placement brokers. The appropriate credit spreads determined through this survey approach are based upon the issuer’s financial strength and term to maturity, utilizing an independent public security index and trade information and adjusting for the non-public nature of the securities.

The Working Group performs ongoing analysis of the prices and credit spreads received from third parties to ensure that the prices represent a reasonable estimate of the fair value. This process involves quantitative and qualitative analysis and is overseen by investment and accounting professionals. As a part of this analysis, the Company considers trading volume, new issuance activity and other factors to determine whether the market activity is significantly different than normal activity in an active market, and if so, whether transactions may not be orderly considering the weight of available evidence. If the available evidence indicates that pricing is based upon transactions that are stale or not orderly, the Company places little, if any, weight on the transaction price and will estimate fair value utilizing an internal pricing model. In addition, the Company ensures that prices received from independent brokers represent a reasonable estimate of fair value through the use of internal and external cash flow models developed based on spreads, and when available, market indices. As a result of this analysis, if the Company determines that there is a more appropriate fair value based upon the available market data, the price received from the third party is adjusted accordingly and approved by the Valuation Committee. The Company’s internal pricing model utilizes the Company’s best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at a rate of return that a market participant would require. The significant inputs to the model include, but are not limited to, current market inputs, such as credit loss assumptions, estimated prepayment speeds and market risk premiums.

The Company conducts other specific activities to monitor controls around pricing. Daily analyses identify price changes over 3-5%, sale trade prices that differ over 3% from the prior day’s price and purchase trade prices that differ more than 3% from the current day’s price. Weekly analyses identify prices that differ more than 5% from published

bond prices of a corporate bond index. Monthly analyses identify price changes over 3%, prices that haven't changed, missing prices and second source validation on most sectors. Analyses are conducted by a dedicated pricing unit that follows up with trading and investment sector professionals and challenges prices with vendors when the estimated assumptions used differ from what the Company feels a market participant would use. Any changes from the identified pricing source are verified by further confirmation of assumptions used. Examples of other procedures performed include, but are not limited to, initial and on-going review of third-party pricing services' methodologies, review of pricing statistics and trends and back testing recent trades. For a sample of structured securities, a comparison of the vendor's assumptions to our internal econometric models is also performed; any differences are challenged in accordance with the process described above.

The Company has analyzed the third-party pricing services' valuation methodologies and related inputs, and has also evaluated the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs. Most prices provided by third-party pricing services are classified into Level 2 because the inputs used in pricing the securities are market observable. Due to a general lack of transparency in the process that brokers use to develop prices, most valuations that are based on brokers' prices are classified as Level 3. Some valuations may be classified as Level 2 if the price can be corroborated with observable market data.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Derivative Instruments, including embedded derivatives within investments

Derivative instruments are fair valued using pricing valuation models that utilize independent market data inputs, quoted market prices for exchange-traded derivatives, or independent broker quotations. Excluding embedded and reinsurance related derivatives, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, 99% and 98%, respectively, of derivatives, based upon notional values, were priced by valuation models or quoted market prices. The remaining derivatives were priced by broker quotations.

The Company performs various controls on derivative valuations which include both quantitative and qualitative analysis. Analyses are conducted by a dedicated derivative pricing team that works directly with investment sector professionals to analyze impacts of changes in the market environment and investigate variances. There is a monthly analysis to identify market value changes greater than pre-defined thresholds, stale prices, missing prices and zero prices. Also on a monthly basis, a second source validation, typically to broker quotations, is performed for certain of the more complex derivatives, as well as for all new deals during the month. A model validation review is performed on any new models, which typically includes detailed documentation and validation to a second source. The model validation documentation and results of validation are presented to the Valuation Committee for approval. There is a monthly control to review changes in pricing sources to ensure that new models are not moved to production until formally approved.

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to manage the risk associated with certain assets and liabilities.

However, the derivative instrument may not be classified with the same fair value hierarchy level as the associated assets and liabilities. Therefore the realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives reported in Level 3 may not reflect the offsetting impact of the realized and unrealized gains and losses of the associated assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Valuation Techniques and Inputs for Investments

Generally, the Company determines the estimated fair value of its AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments using the market approach. The income approach is used for securities priced using a pricing matrix, as well as for derivative instruments. For Level 1 investments, which are comprised of on-the-run U.S. Treasuries, exchange-traded equity securities, short-term investments, and exchange traded futures and option contracts, valuations are based on observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

For most of the Company's debt securities, the following inputs are typically used in the Company's pricing methods: reported trades, benchmark yields, bids and/or estimated cash flows. For securities except U.S. Treasuries, inputs also include issuer spreads, which may consider credit default swaps. Derivative instruments are valued using mid-market inputs that are predominantly observable in the market.

A description of additional inputs used in the Company's Level 2 and Level 3 measurements is listed below:

The fair values of most of the Company's Level 2 investments are determined by management after Level 2 considering prices received from third party pricing services. These investments include most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account assets.

ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS – Primary inputs also include monthly payment information, collateral performance, which varies by vintage year and includes delinquency rates, collateral valuation loss severity rates, collateral refinancing assumptions, credit default swap indices and, for ABS and RMBS, estimated prepayment rates.

Corporates, including investment grade private placements – Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer.

Foreign government/government agencies—Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer and political events in emerging markets.

Municipals – Primary inputs also include Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board reported trades and material event notices, and issuer financial statements.

Short-term investments – Primary inputs also include material event notices and new issue money market rates.

Equity securities, trading – Consist of investments in mutual funds. Primary inputs include net asset values obtained from third party pricing services.

Credit derivatives – Primary inputs include the swap yield curve and credit default swap curves.

Foreign exchange derivatives – Primary inputs include the swap yield curve, currency spot and forward rates, and cross currency basis curves.

Interest rate derivatives – Primary input is the swap yield curve.

Most of the Company's securities classified as Level 3 include less liquid securities such as lower quality ABS, CMBS, commercial real estate ("CRE") CDOs and RMBS primarily backed by below-prime loans. Securities included in level 3 are primarily valued based on broker prices or broker spreads, without adjustments.

Primary inputs for non-broker priced investments, including structured securities, are consistent with the typical inputs used in Level 2 measurements noted above, but are Level 3 due to their less liquid markets.

Additionally, certain long-dated securities are priced based on third party pricing services, including municipal securities, foreign government/government agencies, bank loans and below investment grade private placement securities. Primary inputs for these long-dated securities are consistent with the typical inputs used in Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above, but include benchmark interest rate or credit spread assumptions that are not observable in the marketplace. Also included in Level 3 are certain derivative instruments that either have significant unobservable inputs or are valued based on broker quotations.

Significant inputs for these derivative contracts primarily include the typical inputs used in the Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above; but also include equity and interest rate volatility and swap yield curves beyond observable limits.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Significant Unobservable Inputs for Level 3 Assets Measured at Fair Value

The following table presents information about significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 assets measured at fair value.

Securities	As of June 30, 2012				
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	Fair Value	Predominant Valuation Method	Significant Unobservable Input	Range of Values – Unobservable Inputs (Weighted Average) [1]	Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value [2]
CMBS	\$986	Discounted cash flows	Spread (encompasses prepayment, default risk and loss severity)	300bps - 3,136bps (1,087bps)	Decrease
Corporate [3]	802	Discounted cash flows	Spread	87bps - 790bps (344bps)	Decrease
Municipal	650	Discounted cash flows	Spread	88bps - 496bps (237bps)	Decrease
RMBS	1,208	Discounted cash flows	Spread	54bps - 1,900bps (635bps)	Decrease
			Constant prepayment rate	0% -12% (2%)	Decrease [4]
			Constant default rate	1% - 28% (9%)	Decrease
			Loss severity	45% - 100% (77%)	Decrease

[1] The weighted average is determined based on the fair value of the securities.

[2] Conversely, the impact of a decrease in input would have the opposite impact to the fair value as that presented in the table above.

[3] Level 3 corporate securities excludes those for which the Company bases fair value on broker quotations as discussed below.

[4] Decrease for above market rate coupons and increase for below market rate coupons.

Freestanding Derivatives	As of June 30, 2012				
	Fair Value	Predominant Valuation Method	Significant Unobservable Input	Range of Values – Unobservable Inputs	Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value [1]
Equity derivatives					
Equity options	\$53	Option model	Equity volatility	14% – 25%	Increase
Interest rate derivative					
Interest rate swaps	(90)	Discounted cash flows	Swap curve beyond 30 years	2.5%	Increase
Long interest rate swaptions	24	Option model	Interest rate volatility	22% – 39%	Increase
U.S. GMWB hedging instruments					
Equity options	428	Option model	Equity volatility	25% – 34%	Increase
Customized swaps	328	Discounted cash flows	Equity volatility	10% – 50%	Increase

U.S. macro hedge program					
Equity options	180	Option model	Equity volatility	23% – 33%	Increase
International program hedging					
Equity options	192	Option model	Equity volatility	20% – 30%	Increase
Short interest rate swaptions	(31)	Option model	Interest rate volatility	27% – 40%	Decrease

Conversely, the impact of a decrease in input would have the opposite impact to the fair value as that presented in [1] the table. Changes are based on long positions, unless otherwise noted. Changes in fair value will be inversely impacted for short positions.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Securities and derivatives for which the Company bases fair value on broker quotations predominately include ABS, CDOs, corporate, fixed maturities, FVO and certain credit derivatives. Due to the lack of transparency in the process brokers use to develop prices for these investments, the Company does not have access to the significant unobservable inputs brokers use to price these securities and derivatives. The Company believes however, the types of inputs brokers may use would likely be similar to those used to price securities and derivatives for which inputs are available to the Company, and therefore may include, but not be limited to, loss severity rates, constant prepayment rates, constant default rates and counterparty credit spreads. Therefore, similar to non broker priced securities and derivatives, generally, increases in these inputs would cause fair values to decrease. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, no significant adjustments were made by the Company to broker prices received.

Product Derivatives

The Company currently offers and subsequently reinsures certain variable annuity products with GMWB riders in the U.S., and formerly in the U.K. and Japan. The GMWB represents an embedded derivative in the variable annuity contract. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and (2) a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in net realized capital gains and losses. The Company's GMWB liability is reported in other policyholder funds and benefits payable in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In valuing the embedded derivative, the Company attributes to the derivative a portion of the expected fees to be collected over the expected life of the contract from the contract holder equal to the present value of future GMWB claims (the "Attributed Fees"). The excess of fees collected from the contract holder in the current period over the current period's Attributed Fees are associated with the host variable annuity contract and reported in fee income.

U.S. GMWB Reinsurance Derivative

The Company has reinsurance arrangements in place to transfer a portion of its risk of loss due to GMWB. These arrangements are recognized as derivatives and carried at fair value in reinsurance recoverables. Changes in the fair value of the reinsurance agreements are reported in net realized capital gains and losses.

The fair value of the U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivative is calculated as an aggregation of the components described in the Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued discussion below and is modeled using significant unobservable inputs, as well as policyholder behavior inputs, identical to those used in calculating the underlying liability, such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization and risk margins.

Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued (in Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable)

Living benefits required to be fair valued include U.S. GMWB, international GMWB and international other guaranteed living benefits. Fair values for GMWB and guaranteed minimum accumulation benefit ("GMAB") contracts are calculated using the income approach based upon internally developed models because active, observable markets do not exist for those items. The fair value of the Company's guaranteed benefit liabilities, classified as embedded derivatives, and the related reinsurance and customized freestanding derivatives is calculated as an aggregation of the following components: Best Estimate Claim Payments; Credit Standing Adjustment; and Margins. The resulting aggregation is reconciled or calibrated, if necessary, to market information that is, or may be, available to the Company, but may not be observable by other market participants, including reinsurance discussions and transactions. The Company believes the aggregation of these components, as necessary and as reconciled or calibrated to the market information available to the Company, results in an amount that the Company would be required to transfer or receive, for an asset, to or from market participants in an active liquid market, if one existed, for those market participants to assume the risks associated with the guaranteed minimum benefits and the related reinsurance and customized derivatives. The fair value is likely to materially diverge from the ultimate settlement of the liability as the Company believes settlement will be based on our best estimate assumptions rather than those best estimate

assumptions plus risk margins. In the absence of any transfer of the guaranteed benefit liability to a third party, the release of risk margins is likely to be reflected as realized gains in future periods' net income. Each component described below is unobservable in the marketplace and require subjectivity by the Company in determining their value.

Oversight of the Company's valuation policies and processes for product and U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivatives is performed by a multidisciplinary group comprised of finance, actuarial and risk management professionals. This multidisciplinary group reviews and approves changes and enhancements to the Company's valuation model as well as associated controls.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Best Estimate

Claim Payments

The Best Estimate Claim Payments is calculated based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows, including the present value of benefits and related contract charges, over the lives of the contracts, incorporating expectations concerning policyholder behavior such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization. For the customized derivatives, policyholder behavior is prescribed in the derivative contract. Because of the dynamic and complex nature of these cash flows, best estimate assumptions and a Monte Carlo stochastic process is used in valuation. The Monte Carlo stochastic process involves the generation of thousands of scenarios that assume risk neutral returns consistent with swap rates and a blend of observable implied index volatility levels. Estimating these cash flows involves numerous estimates and subjective judgments regarding a number of variables –including expected market rates of return, market volatility, correlations of market index returns to funds, fund performance, discount rates and assumptions about policyholder behavior which emerge over time.

At each valuation date, the Company assumes expected returns based on:

- risk-free rates as represented by the euro dollar futures, LIBOR deposits and swap rates to derive forward curve rates;
- market implied volatility assumptions for each underlying index based primarily on a blend of observed market “implied volatility” data;

- correlations of historical returns across underlying well known market indices based on actual observed returns over the ten years preceding the valuation date; and

- three years of history for fund indexes compared to separate account fund regression.

On a daily basis, the Company updates capital market assumptions used in the GMWB liability model such as interest rates, equity indices and the blend of implied equity index volatilities. The Company monitors various aspects of policyholder behavior and may modify certain of its assumptions, including living benefit lapses and withdrawal rates, if credible emerging data indicates that changes are warranted. At a minimum, all policyholder behavior assumptions are reviewed and updated, as appropriate, in conjunction with the completion of the Company’s comprehensive study to refine its estimate of future gross profits during the third quarter of each year.

Credit Standing Adjustment

This assumption makes an adjustment that market participants would make, in determining fair value, to reflect the risk that guaranteed benefit obligations or the GMWB reinsurance recoverables will not be fulfilled (“nonperformance risk”). The Company incorporates a blend of observable Company and reinsurer credit default spreads from capital markets, adjusted for market recoverability. The credit standing adjustment assumption, net of reinsurance, resulted in pre-tax realized gains (losses) of \$16 and \$1, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$(33) and \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 the credit standing adjustment was \$47 and \$80, respectively.

Margins

The behavior risk margin adds a margin that market participants would require, in determining fair value, for the risk that the Company’s assumptions about policyholder behavior could differ from actual experience. The behavior risk margin is calculated by taking the difference between adverse policyholder behavior assumptions and best estimate assumptions.

There were no policyholder behavior assumption updates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 the behavior risk margin was \$361 and \$419, respectively.

In addition to the non-market-based updates described above, the Company recognized non-market-based updates driven by the relative outperformance (underperformance) of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices resulting in pre-tax realized gains (losses) of approximately \$(4) and \$4, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively and \$9 and \$29 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of living benefits required to be fair valued and the U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivative are withdrawal utilization and withdrawal rates, lapse rates, reset elections and equity volatility. The following table provides quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs and is applicable to all of the Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued and the U.S. GMWB Reinsurance Derivative. Significant increases in any of the significant unobservable inputs, in isolation, will generally have an increase or decrease correlation with the fair value measurement, as shown in the table.

Significant Unobservable Input	Range of Values-Unobservable Inputs	Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value Measurement [1]
Withdrawal Utilization[2]	20% to 100%	Increase
Withdrawal Rates [2]	0% to 8%	Increase
Lapse Rates [3]	0% to 75%	Decrease
Reset Elections [4]	20% to 75%	Increase
Equity Volatility [5]	10% to 50%	Increase

[1] Conversely, the impact of a decrease in input would have the opposite impact to the fair value as that presented in the table.

[2] Ranges represent assumed cumulative percentages of policyholders taking withdrawals and the annual amounts withdrawn.

[3] Range represents assumed annual percentages of full surrender of the underlying variable annuity contracts across all policy durations for in force business.

[4] Range represents assumed cumulative percentages of policyholders that would elect to reset their guaranteed benefit base.

[5] Range represents implied market volatilities for equity indices based on multiple pricing sources.

Generally a change in withdrawal utilization assumptions would be accompanied by a directionally opposite change in lapse rate assumptions, as the behavior of policyholders that utilize GMWB or GMAB riders is typically different from policyholders that do not utilize these riders.

Separate Account Assets

Separate account assets are primarily invested in mutual funds. Other separate account assets include fixed maturities, limited partnerships, equity securities, short-term investments and derivatives that are valued in the same manner, and using the same pricing sources and inputs, as those investments held by the Company. Separate account assets classified as Level 3 primarily include limited partnerships in which fair value represents the separate account's share of the fair value of the equity in the investment ("net asset value") and are classified in level 3 based on the Company's ability to redeem its investment.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

The tables below provide fair value roll-forwards for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, for the financial instruments classified as Level 3.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012

Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS							Total Fixed Maturities, AFS	Fixed Maturities, FVO
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign govt./govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS		
Fair value as of March 31, 2012	\$299	\$903	\$1,001	\$1,994	\$ 55	\$625	\$1,109	\$5,986	\$508
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(1)	(3)	(29)	—	—	—	17	(16)	(6)
Included in OCI [3]	24	13	36	(13)	2	35	21	118	—
Purchases	25	—	1	31	—	63	203	323	—
Settlements	(3)	(13)	(38)	(33)	(1)	—	(37)	(125)	—
Sales	(3)	—	(71)	(1)	(1)	(65)	(105)	(246)	(9)
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	—	151	120	—	—	—	271	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	(18)	—	(65)	(293)	—	(8)	—	(384)	—
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$323	\$900	\$986	\$1,805	\$ 55	\$650	\$1,208	\$5,927	\$493
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2012 [2] [7]	\$(2)	\$(3)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(5)	\$20

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Assets (Liabilities)	Freestanding Derivatives [5]								
	Equity Securities, AFS	Credit	Equity	Interest Rate	U.S. GMWB Hedging	U.S. Macro Hedge Program	Intl. Program Hedging Instr.	Other Contracts	Total Free-Standing Derivatives [5]
Fair value as of March 31, 2012	\$89	\$(474)	\$39	\$(57)	\$594	\$173	\$115	\$27	\$417
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	8	36	3	(11)	139	7	58	(1)	231
Included in OCI [3]	(4)	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	2
Purchases	12	—	11	—	23	—	(12)	—	22
Settlements	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sales	(19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	—	(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1)
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$86	\$(439)	\$53	\$(66)	\$756	\$180	\$161	\$26	\$671
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2012 [2] [7]	\$8	\$36	\$3	\$(11)	\$139	\$7	\$55	\$(1)	\$228

Assets	Reinsurance Recoverable for U.S. GMWB	Separate Accounts
Fair value as of March 31, 2012	\$308	\$1,346
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)		
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	62	16
Included in OCI [3]	—	—
Purchases	—	24
Settlements	6	—
Sales	—	(58)
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	14
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	—	(7)
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$376	\$1,335
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2012 [2] [7]	\$62	\$4

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Liabilities	Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable								
	U.S. Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits	International Guaranteed Living Benefits	International Other Living Benefits	Equity Linked Notes	Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes		
Fair value as of March 31, 2012	\$(1,683)	\$(37)	\$(1)	\$(10)	\$(1,731)	\$(21)	\$(4)		
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(484)	(14)	(2)	—	(500)	(8)	—		
Included in OCI [3]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Settlements	(36)	(2)	(1)	—	(39)	—	—		
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$(2,203)	\$(53)	\$(4)	\$(10)	\$(2,270)	\$(29)	\$(4)		
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2012 [2] [7]	\$(484)	\$(14)	\$(2)	\$—	\$(500)	\$(8)	\$—		
For the six months ended June 30, 2012									
Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS								
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign govt./govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS	Total Fixed Maturities, AFS	Fixed Maturities, FVO
Fair value as of January 1, 2012	\$361	\$368	\$588	\$2,255	\$49	\$437	\$1,063	\$5,121	\$495
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(1)	(1)	(34)	(3)	—	—	24	(15)	21
Included in OCI [3]	33	76	59	(3)	2	24	47	238	—
Purchases	25	—	13	165	7	275	283	768	—
Settlements	(38)	(23)	(70)	(53)	(2)	—	(70)	(256)	—
Sales	(15)	(3)	(71)	(54)	(1)	(65)	(139)	(348)	(23)
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	483	601	322	—	—	—	1,406	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	(42)	—	(100)	(824)	—	(21)	—	(987)	—
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$323	\$900	\$986	\$1,805	\$55	\$650	\$1,208	\$5,927	\$493
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to	\$(2)	\$(3)	\$(1)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(3)	\$(9)	\$35

financial instruments still
held at June 30, 2012 [2]
[7]

33

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Assets (Liabilities)	Freestanding Derivatives [5]								
	Equity Securities, AFS	Credit	Equity	Interest Rate	U.S. GMWB Hedging	U.S. Macro Hedge Program	Intl. Program Hedging Instr.	Other Contracts	Total Free-Standing Derivatives [5]
Fair value as of January 1, 2012	\$93	\$(561)	\$40	\$(58)	\$883	\$357	\$35	\$28	\$724
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	11	128	(14)	(10)	(173)	(177)	143	(2)	(105)
Included in OCI [3]	(4)	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	2
Purchases	14	—	46	—	23	—	(65)	—	4
Settlements	—	(5)	(19)	—	—	—	40	—	16
Sales	(28)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	—	(1)	—	—	23	—	8	—	30
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$86	\$(439)	\$53	\$(66)	\$756	\$180	\$161	\$26	\$671
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2012 [2] [7]	\$8	\$116	\$(2)	\$(10)	\$(173)	\$(176)	\$140	\$(2)	\$(107)

Assets	Reinsurance Recoverable for U.S. GMWB	Separate Accounts
Fair value as of January 1, 2012	\$443	\$1,031
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)		
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(81) 33
Included in OCI [3]	—	—
Purchases	—	239
Settlements	14	—
Sales	—	(401)
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	454
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	—	(21)
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$376	\$1,335
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2012 [2] [7]	\$(81) \$10

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Liabilities	Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable							
	U.S. Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits	International Guaranteed Living Benefits	International Other Living Benefits	Equity Linked Notes	Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes	
Fair value as of January 1, 2012	\$(2,538)	\$(66)	\$(5)	\$(9)	\$(2,618)	\$(9)	\$(4))
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)								
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	412	16	3	(1)	430	(20)	—)
Included in OCI [3]	—	1	—	—	1	—	—)
Settlements	(77)	(4)	(2)	—	(83)	—	—)
Fair value as of June 30, 2012	\$(2,203)	\$(53)	\$(4)	\$(10)	\$(2,270)	\$(29)	\$(4))
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2012 [2] [7]	\$412	\$16	\$3	\$(1)	\$430	\$(20)	\$—)

For the three months ended June 30, 2011

Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS								
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign govt./govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS	Total Fixed Maturities, AFS	Fixed Maturities, FVO
Fair value as of March 31, 2011	\$446	\$2,674	\$741	\$2,096	\$63	\$276	\$1,124	\$7,420	\$579
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(1)	—	13	(6)	—	—	—	6	(22)
Included in OCI [3]	17	10	34	27	1	9	(16)	82	—
Purchases	—	—	—	35	—	—	25	60	—
Settlements	(7)	(43)	(20)	(42)	(1)	—	(33)	(146)	(1)
Sales	(2)	(66)	(193)	(61)	(3)	(2)	—	(327)	—
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	19	—	79	78	—	—	14	190	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	(20)	—	—	(17)	(9)	(3)	—	(49)	—
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$452	\$2,575	\$654	\$2,110	\$51	\$280	\$1,114	\$7,236	\$556

Changes in
 unrealized gains
 (losses) included in
 net income related to \$(1) \$— \$13 \$(6) \$— \$— \$— \$6 \$(22)
 financial instruments
 still held at June 30,
 2011 [2] [7]

35

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Assets (Liabilities)	Freestanding Derivatives [5]								
	Equity Securities, AFS	Credit	Equity	Interest Rate	U.S. GMWB Hedging	U.S. Macro Hedge Program	Intl. Program Hedging Instr.	Other Contracts	Total Free-Standing Derivatives [5]
Fair value as of March 31, 2011	\$80	\$(382)	\$5	\$9	\$488	\$123	\$2	\$31	\$276
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	—	(17)	1	(2)	60	(17)	(1)	(1)	23
Included in OCI [3]	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Purchases	24	—	—	—	—	180	5	—	185
Settlements	—	(3)	—	—	—	(35)	—	—	(38)
Sales	(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	(5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$100	\$(402)	\$6	\$7	\$548	\$251	\$6	\$30	\$446
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2011 [2] [7]	\$—	\$(19)	\$1	\$(2)	\$52	\$—	\$(3)	\$(1)	\$28

Assets	Reinsurance Recoverable for U.S. GMWB	Separate Accounts
Fair value as of March 31, 2011	\$224	\$1,207
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)		
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	4	5
Included in OCI [3]	—	—
Purchases	—	(94)
Settlements	9	—
Sales	—	(22)
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	3
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	—	(31)
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$237	\$1,068
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2011 [2] [7]	\$4	\$4

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Liabilities	Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable								
	U.S. Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits	International Guaranteed Living Benefits	International Other Living Benefits	Equity Linked Notes	Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes		
Fair value as of March 31, 2011	\$(1,301)	\$(23)	\$3	\$(10)	\$(1,331)	\$(51)	\$(5))	
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(80)	(4)	(2)	—	(86)	7	1		
Included in OCI [3]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Settlements	(39)	(3)	(1)	—	(43)	—	—		
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$(1,420)	\$(30)	\$—	\$(10)	\$(1,460)	\$(44)	\$(4))	
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2011 [2] [7]	\$(80)	\$(4)	\$(2)	\$—	\$(86)	\$7	\$1		
For the six months ended June 30, 2011									
Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS								
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign govt./govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS	Total Fixed Maturities, AFS	Fixed Maturities, FVO
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$477	\$2,581	\$689	\$2,129	\$56	\$272	\$1,285	\$7,489	\$522
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(6)	(15)	11	(28)	—	—	(9)	(47)	36
Included in OCI [3]	37	123	147	19	1	9	25	361	—
Purchases	—	—	—	52	2	—	25	79	—
Settlements	(18)	(78)	(30)	(73)	(2)	—	(67)	(268)	(2)
Sales	(2)	(66)	(315)	(134)	(5)	(2)	(16)	(540)	—
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	68	30	152	273	11	4	14	552	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	(104)	—	—	(128)	(12)	(3)	(143)	(390)	—
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$452	\$2,575	\$654	\$2,110	\$51	\$280	\$1,114	\$7,236	\$556

Changes in unrealized
gains (losses)
included in net

income related to	\$(6)	\$(15)	\$11		\$(28)	\$—		\$—		\$(9)	\$(47)	\$36
financial instruments still held at June 30, 2011 [2] [7]																	

37

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Assets (Liabilities)	Freestanding Derivatives [5]								
	Equity Securities, AFS	Credit	Equity	Interest Rate	U.S. GMWB Hedging	U.S. Macro Hedge Program	Intl. Program Hedging Instr.	Other Contracts	Total Free-Standing Derivatives [5]
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$154	\$(390)	\$4	\$(53)	\$600	\$203	\$5	\$32	\$401
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)									
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(10)	(6)	2	(5)	(59)	(97)	(4)	(2)	(171)
Included in OCI [3]	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Purchases	37	1	—	64	23	180	5	—	273
Settlements	—	(7)	—	1	(16)	(35)	—	—	(57)
Sales	(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	(81)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$100	\$(402)	\$6	\$7	\$548	\$251	\$6	\$30	\$446
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2011 [2] [7]	\$(10)	\$(8)	\$2	\$(3)	\$(61)	\$(80)	\$(5)	\$(2)	\$(157)

Assets	Reinsurance Recoverable for U.S. GMWB	Separate Accounts
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$280	\$1,247
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)		
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	(61)	24
Included in OCI [3]	—	—
Purchases	—	34
Settlements	18	—
Sales	—	(169)
Transfers into Level 3 [4]	—	12
Transfers out of Level 3 [4]	—	(80)
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$237	\$1,068
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2011 [2] [7]	\$(61)	\$1

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Liabilities	Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable							
	U.S. Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits	International Guaranteed Living Benefits	International Other Living Benefits	Equity Linked Notes	Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes	
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$(1,611)	\$(36)	\$3	\$ (9)	\$(1,653)	\$(37)	\$(5))
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)								
Included in net income [1], [2], [6]	268	11	(1)	—	278	(7)	1)
Included in OCI [3]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—)
Settlements	(77)	(5)	(2)	(1)	(85)	—	—)
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$(1,420)	\$(30)	\$—	\$ (10)	\$(1,460)	\$(44)	\$(4))
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at June 30, 2011 [2] [7]	\$268	\$11	\$(1)	\$—	\$278	\$(7)	\$1)

The Company classifies gains and losses on GMWB reinsurance derivatives and Guaranteed Living Benefit [1] embedded derivatives as unrealized gains (losses) for purposes of disclosure in this table because it is impracticable to track on a contract-by-contract basis the realized gains (losses) for these derivatives and embedded derivatives.

All amounts in these rows are reported in net realized capital gains/losses. The realized/unrealized gains (losses) [2] included in net income for separate account assets are offset by an equal amount for separate account liabilities, which results in a net zero impact on net income for the Company. All amounts are before income taxes and amortization DAC.

[3] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.

[4] Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 are primarily attributable to the availability of market observable information and the re-evaluation of the observability of pricing inputs.

[5] Derivative instruments are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.

[6] Includes both market and non-market impacts in deriving realized and unrealized gains (losses).

[7] Amounts presented are for Level 3 only and therefore may not agree to other disclosures included herein.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Fair Value Option

The Company holds fair value option investments that contain an embedded credit derivative with underlying credit risk primarily related to corporate bonds and commercial real estate. Also included are foreign government securities that align with the accounting for yen-based fixed annuity liabilities, which are adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized gains and losses. Similar to other fixed maturities, income earned from these securities is recorded in net investment income. Changes in the fair value of these securities are recorded in net realized capital gains and losses.

The Company previously elected the fair value option for one of its consolidated VIEs in order to apply a consistent accounting model for the VIE's assets and liabilities. The VIE is an investment vehicle that holds high quality investments, derivative instruments that reference third-party corporate credit and issues notes to investors that reflect the credit characteristics of the high quality investments and derivative instruments. The risks and rewards associated with the assets of the VIE inure to the investors. The investors have no recourse against the Company. As a result, there has been no adjustment to the market value of the notes for the Company's own credit risk.

The following table presents the changes in fair value of those assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option reported in net realized capital gains and losses in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Assets				
Fixed maturities, FVO				
Corporate	\$(1) \$2	\$—	\$14
CRE CDOs	(10) (25) 9	21
Foreign government	20	17	(29) 11
Other liabilities				
Credit-linked notes	(8) 7	(20) (7
Total realized capital gains (losses)	\$1	\$1	\$(40) \$39

The following table presents the fair value of assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option included in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	As of	
	June 30,	December 31,
	2012	2011
Assets		
Fixed maturities, FVO		
ABS	\$65	\$65
CRE CDOs	229	225
Corporate	266	272
Foreign government	605	766
Total fixed maturities, FVO	\$1,165	\$1,328
Other liabilities		
Credit-linked notes [1]	\$29	\$9

[1] As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the outstanding principal balance of the notes was \$243.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

The following table presents carrying amounts and fair values of The Hartford's financial instruments not carried at fair value and not included in the above fair value discussion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Fair Value Hierarchy Level	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
		Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Assets					
Policy loans	Level 3	\$ 1,956	\$2,156	\$2,001	\$2,153
Mortgage loans	Level 3	6,875	7,211	5,728	5,977
Liabilities					
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable [1]	Level 3	\$ 10,077	\$10,207	\$ 10,343	\$11,238
Senior notes [2]	Level 2	6,025	6,387	4,481	4,623
Junior subordinated debentures [2]	Level 2	1,100	1,173	500	498
Private placement junior subordinated debentures [2]	Level 3	—	—	1,235	1,932
Consumer notes [3]	Level 3	250	257	310	305

[1] Excludes guarantees on variable annuities, group accident and health and universal life insurance contracts, including corporate owned life insurance.

[2] Included in long-term debt in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, except for current maturities, which are included in short-term debt.

[3] Excludes amounts carried at fair value and included in disclosures above.

The Company has not made any changes in its valuation methodologies for the following assets and liabilities since December 31, 2011.

Fair value for policy loans and consumer notes were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates adjusted for estimated loan durations.

Fair values for mortgage loans were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations based on current lending rates for similar type loans. Current lending rates reflect changes in credit spreads and the remaining terms of the loans.

Fair values for other policyholder funds and benefits payable, not carried at fair value, are estimated based on the cash surrender values of the underlying policies or by estimating future cash flows discounted at current interest rates adjusted for credit risk.

Fair values for senior notes and junior subordinated debentures are determined using the market approach based on reported trades, benchmark interest rates and issuer spread for the Company which may consider credit default swaps.

Fair values for private placement junior subordinated debentures are based primarily on market quotations from independent third party brokers.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments

Significant Investment Accounting Policies

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The Company deems debt securities and certain equity securities with debt-like characteristics (collectively “debt securities”) to be other-than-temporarily impaired (“impaired”) if a security meets the following conditions: a) the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, or b) the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and amortized cost basis of the security. For those impaired debt securities which do not meet the first condition and for which the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, the difference between the security’s amortized cost basis and the fair value is separated into the portion representing a credit other-than-temporary impairment (“impairment”), which is recorded in net realized capital losses, and the remaining impairment, which is recorded in OCI. Generally, the Company determines a security’s credit impairment as the difference between its amortized cost basis and its best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security’s effective yield prior to impairment. The remaining non-credit impairment, which is recorded in OCI, is the difference between the security’s fair value and the Company’s best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security’s effective yield prior to the impairment, which typically represents current market liquidity and risk premiums. The previous amortized cost basis less the impairment recognized in net realized capital losses becomes the security’s new cost basis. The Company accretes the new cost basis to the estimated future cash flows over the expected remaining life of the security by prospectively adjusting the security’s yield, if necessary. The following table presents the change in non-credit impairments recognized in OCI as disclosed in the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
OTTI losses recognized in OCI	\$(8)	\$(8)	\$(15)	\$(72)
Changes in fair value and/or sales	24	3	34	67
Tax and deferred acquisition costs	(3)	1	(14)	6
Change in OTTI losses recognized in OCI	\$13	\$(4)	\$5	\$1

The Company’s evaluation of whether a credit impairment exists for debt securities includes, but is not limited to, the following factors: (a) changes in the financial condition of the security’s underlying collateral, (b) whether the issuer is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments, (c) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (d) the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost of the security and (e) the payment structure of the security. The Company’s best estimate of expected future cash flows used to determine the credit loss amount is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third-party sources along with certain internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the security. The Company’s best estimate of future cash flows involves assumptions including, but not limited to, various performance indicators, such as historical and projected default and recovery rates, credit ratings, current and projected delinquency rates, and loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratios. In addition, for structured securities, the Company considers factors including, but not limited to, average cumulative collateral loss rates that vary by vintage year, commercial and residential property value declines that vary by property type and location and commercial real estate delinquency levels. These assumptions require the use of significant management judgment and include the probability of issuer default and estimates regarding timing and amount of expected recoveries which may include estimating the underlying collateral value. In addition, projections of expected future debt security cash flows may

change based upon new information regarding the performance of the issuer and/or underlying collateral such as changes in the projections of the underlying property value estimates.

For equity securities where the decline in the fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and cost basis of the security. The previous cost basis less the impairment becomes the security's new cost basis. The Company asserts its intent and ability to retain those equity securities deemed to be temporarily impaired until the price recovers. Once identified, these securities are systematically restricted from trading unless approved by a committee of investment and accounting professionals ("Committee"). The Committee will only authorize the sale of these securities based on predefined criteria that relate to events that could not have been reasonably foreseen. Examples of the criteria include, but are not limited to, the deterioration in the issuer's financial condition, security price declines, a change in regulatory requirements or a major business combination or major disposition.

The primary factors considered in evaluating whether an impairment exists for an equity security include, but are not limited to: (a) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost of the security, (b) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the issuer is current on preferred stock dividends and (d) the intent and ability of the Company to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Mortgage Loan Valuation Allowances

The Company's security monitoring process reviews mortgage loans on a quarterly basis to identify potential credit losses. Commercial mortgage loans are considered to be impaired when management estimates that, based upon current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Criteria used to determine if an impairment exists include, but are not limited to: current and projected macroeconomic factors, such as unemployment rates, and property-specific factors such as rental rates, occupancy levels, LTV ratios and debt service coverage ratios ("DSCR"). In addition, the Company considers historic, current and projected delinquency rates and property values. These assumptions require the use of significant management judgment and include the probability and timing of borrower default and loss severity estimates. In addition, projections of expected future cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the borrower and/or underlying collateral such as changes in the projections of the underlying property value estimates.

For mortgage loans that are deemed impaired, a valuation allowance is established for the difference between the carrying amount and the Company's share of either (a) the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, (b) the loan's observable market price or, most frequently, (c) the fair value of the collateral. A valuation allowance has been established for either individual loans or as a projected loss contingency for loans with an LTV ratio of 90% or greater and consideration of other credit quality factors, including DSCR. Changes in valuation allowances are recorded in net realized capital gains and losses. Interest income on impaired loans is accrued to the extent it is deemed collectible and the loans continue to perform under the original or restructured terms. Interest income ceases to accrue for loans when it is probable that the Company will not receive interest and principal payments according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, or if a loan is more than 60 days past due. Loans may resume accrual status when it is determined that sufficient collateral exists to satisfy the full amount of the loan and interest payments, as well as when it is probable cash will be received in the foreseeable future. Interest income on defaulted loans is recognized when received.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
(Before-tax)	2012	2011	2012	2011
Gross gains on sales	\$246	\$261	\$505	\$322
Gross losses on sales	(159)	(98)	(256)	(231)
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(98)	(23)	(127)	(78)
Valuation allowances on mortgage loans	—	26	1	23
Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [1]	2	6	(18)	(11)
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives/Japan	4	(2)	(1)	(9)
Results of variable annuity hedge program				
GMWB derivatives, net	(115)	(33)	70	23
U.S. macro hedge program	6	(17)	(183)	(101)
Total U.S. program	(109)	(50)	(113)	(78)
International program	753	52	(466)	(267)
Total results of variable annuity hedge program	644	2	(579)	(345)
Other, net [2]	(50)	(103)	154	(5)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$589	\$69	\$(321)	\$(334)

Relates to the Japanese fixed annuity product (adjustment of product liability for changes in spot currency [1] exchange rates, related derivative hedging instruments, excluding net period coupon settlements, and Japan FVO securities).

[2] Primarily consists of gains and losses on non-qualifying derivatives and fixed maturities, FVO, Japan 3Win related foreign currency swaps, and other investment gains and losses.

Net realized capital gains and losses from investment sales, after deducting the life and pension policyholders' share for certain products, are reported as a component of revenues and are determined on a specific identification basis.

Gross gains and losses on sales and impairments previously reported as unrealized gains (losses) in AOCI were \$(11) and \$122 , respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and \$140 and \$13 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Proceeds from sales of AFS securities totaled \$10.7 billion and \$23.4 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$10.1 billion and \$17.5 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Losses

The following table presents a roll-forward of the Company's cumulative credit impairments on debt securities held.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
(Before-tax)				
Balance as of beginning of period	\$(1,530)	\$(2,003)	\$(1,676)	\$(2,072)
Additions for credit impairments recognized on [1]:				
Securities not previously impaired	(4)	(8)	(16)	(36)
Securities previously impaired	(5)	(8)	(10)	(25)
Reductions for credit impairments previously recognized on:				
Securities that matured or were sold during the period	128	83	288	192
Securities due to an increase in expected cash flows	4	3	7	8
Balance as of end of period	\$(1,407)	\$(1,933)	\$(1,407)	\$(1,933)

[1] These additions are included in the net OTTI losses recognized in earnings in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Available-for-Sale Securities

The following table presents the Company's AFS securities by type.

	June 30, 2012					December 31, 2011				
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Non-Credit OTTI [1]	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Non-Credit OTTI [1]
ABS	\$3,190	\$59	\$(247)	\$3,002	\$ (3)	\$3,430	\$55	\$(332)	\$3,153	\$ (7)
CDOs [2]	3,311	45	(268)	3,037	(38)	2,819	16	(348)	2,487	(44)
CMBS	6,368	317	(339)	6,346	(17)	7,192	271	(512)	6,951	(31)
Corporate [2]	39,393	4,050	(460)	42,983	(2)	41,161	3,661	(739)	44,011	—
Foreign										
govt./govt. agencies	3,476	135	(13)	3,598	—	2,030	141	(10)	2,161	—
Municipal	13,068	1,100	(43)	14,125	—	12,557	775	(72)	13,260	—
RMBS	7,084	283	(386)	6,981	(108)	5,961	252	(456)	5,757	(105)
U.S. Treasuries	4,950	215	(10)	5,155	—	3,828	203	(2)	4,029	—
Total fixed maturities, AFS	80,840	6,204	(1,766)	85,227	(168)	78,978	5,374	(2,471)	81,809	(187)
Equity securities, AFS	859	71	(79)	851	—	1,056	68	(203)	921	—
Total AFS securities	\$81,699	\$6,275	\$(1,845)	\$86,078	\$ (168)	\$80,034	\$5,442	\$(2,674)	\$82,730	\$ (187)

[1] Represents the amount of cumulative non-credit OTTI losses recognized in OCI on securities that also had credit impairments. These losses are included in gross unrealized losses as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

[2] Gross unrealized gains (losses) exclude the change in fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Changes in fair value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the Company's fixed maturities, AFS, by contractual maturity year.

Contractual Maturity	June 30, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	\$2,240	\$2,277
Over one year through five years	15,331	16,139
Over five years through ten years	15,641	16,905
Over ten years	27,675	30,540
Subtotal	60,887	65,861
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	19,953	19,366
Total fixed maturities, AFS	\$80,840	\$85,227

Estimated maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to security call or prepayment provisions. Due to the potential for variability in payment speeds (i.e. prepayments or extensions), mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are not categorized by contractual maturity.

Securities Unrealized Loss Aging

The following tables present the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities by type and length of time the security was in a continuous unrealized loss position.

	June 30, 2012								
	Less Than 12 Months			12 Months or More			Total		
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
ABS	\$168	\$167	\$(1)	\$1,110	\$864	\$(246)	\$1,278	\$1,031	\$(247)
CDOs [1]	3	2	(1)	3,208	2,891	(267)	3,211	2,893	(268)
CMBS	400	366	(34)	2,143	1,838	(305)	2,543	2,204	(339)
Corporate	2,493	2,418	(75)	2,576	2,191	(385)	5,069	4,609	(460)
Foreign									
govt./govt. agencies	557	546	(11)	30	28	(2)	587	574	(13)
Municipal	699	689	(10)	232	199	(33)	931	888	(43)
RMBS	131	131	—	1,288	902	(386)	1,419	1,033	(386)
U.S. Treasuries	1,913	1,903	(10)	—	—	—	1,913	1,903	(10)
Total fixed maturities	6,364	6,222	(142)	10,587	8,913	(1,624)	16,951	15,135	(1,766)
Equity securities	183	178	(5)	369	295	(74)	552	473	(79)
Total securities in an unrealized loss	\$6,547	\$6,400	\$(147)	\$10,956	\$9,208	\$(1,698)	\$17,503	\$15,608	\$(1,845)

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

	December 31, 2011								
	Less Than 12 Months			12 Months or More			Total		
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
ABS	\$629	\$594	\$(35)	\$1,169	\$872	\$(297)	\$1,798	\$1,466	\$(332)
CDOs	81	59	(22)	2,709	2,383	(326)	2,790	2,442	(348)
CMBS	1,297	1,194	(103)	2,144	1,735	(409)	3,441	2,929	(512)
Corporate [1]	4,388	4,219	(169)	3,268	2,627	(570)	7,656	6,846	(739)
Foreign									
govt./govt. agencies	218	212	(6)	51	47	(4)	269	259	(10)
Municipal	299	294	(5)	627	560	(67)	926	854	(72)
RMBS	415	330	(85)	1,206	835	(371)	1,621	1,165	(456)
U.S. Treasuries	343	341	(2)	—	—	—	343	341	(2)
Total fixed maturities	7,670	7,243	(427)	11,174	9,059	(2,044)	18,844	16,302	(2,471)
Equity securities	167	138	(29)	439	265	(174)	606	403	(203)
Total securities in an unrealized loss	\$7,837	\$7,381	\$(456)	\$11,613	\$9,324	\$(2,218)	\$19,450	\$16,705	\$(2,674)

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the change in fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Changes in fair value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

As of June 30, 2012, AFS securities in an unrealized loss position, comprised of 2,415 securities, primarily related to commercial real estate, corporate securities within the financial services sector and RMBS which have experienced price deterioration. As of June 30, 2012, 79% of these securities were depressed less than 20% of cost or amortized cost. The decline in unrealized losses during 2012 was primarily attributable to credit spread tightening and declining interest rates.

Most of the securities depressed for twelve months or more relate to structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate, as well as certain floating rate corporate securities or securities with greater than 10 years to maturity, concentrated in the financial services sector. Current market spreads continue to be significantly wider than spreads at the security's respective purchase date for structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate largely due to the economic and market uncertainties regarding future performance of commercial and residential real estate. The majority of these securities have a floating-rate coupon referenced to a market index that has declined substantially. In addition, equity securities include investment grade perpetual preferred securities that contain "debt-like" characteristics where the decline in fair value is not attributable to issuer-specific credit deterioration, none of which have, nor are expected to, miss a periodic dividend payment. These securities have been depressed due to the securities' floating-rate coupon in the current low interest rate environment, general market credit spread widening since the date of purchase and the long-dated nature of the securities. The Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell the securities outlined above.

Mortgage Loans

	June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Amortized Cost [1]	Valuation Allowance	Carrying Value	Amortized Cost [1]	Valuation Allowance	Carrying Value
Commercial	\$6,962	\$(87)	\$6,875	\$5,830	\$(102)	\$5,728
Total mortgage loans	\$6,962	\$(87)	\$6,875	\$5,830	\$(102)	\$5,728

[1] Amortized cost represents carrying value prior to valuation allowances, if any.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying value of mortgage loans associated with the valuation allowance was \$548 and \$621, respectively. Included in the table above are mortgage loans held-for-sale with a carrying value and valuation allowance of \$57 and \$4, respectively, as of June 30, 2012 and \$74 and \$4, respectively, as of December 31, 2011. The carrying value of these loans is included in mortgage loans in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of June 30, 2012, loans within the Company's mortgage loan portfolio that have had extensions or restructurings other than what is allowable under the original terms of the contract are immaterial.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the activity within the Company's valuation allowance for mortgage loans. These loans have been evaluated both individually and collectively for impairment. Loans evaluated collectively for impairment are immaterial.

	2012		2011	
Balance as of January 1	\$(102)	\$(155)
(Additions)/Reversals	1		(27)
Deductions	14		11	
Balance as of June 30	\$(87)	\$(171)

The current weighted-average LTV ratio of the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio was 65% as of June 30, 2012, while the weighted-average LTV ratio at origination of these loans was 63%. LTV ratios compare the loan amount to the value of the underlying property collateralizing the loan. The loan values are updated no less than annually through property level reviews of the portfolio. Factors considered in the property valuation include, but are not limited to, actual and expected property cash flows, geographic market data and capitalization rates. DSCRs compare a property's net operating income to the borrower's principal and interest payments. The current weighted average DSCR of the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio was 2.05x as of June 30, 2012. The Company held only two delinquent commercial mortgage loans past due by 90 days or more. The total carrying value and valuation allowance of these loans totaled \$5 and \$50, respectively, as of June 30, 2012, and are not accruing income. The following table presents the carrying value of the Company's commercial mortgage loans by LTV and DSCR.

Commercial Mortgage Loans Credit Quality

Loan-to-value	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying Value	Avg. Debt-Service Coverage Ratio	Carrying Value	Avg. Debt-Service Coverage Ratio
Greater than 80%	\$566	1.45x	\$707	1.45x
65% - 80%	2,811	1.76x	2,384	1.60x
Less than 65%	3,498	2.39x	2,637	2.40x
Total commercial mortgage loans	\$6,875	2.05x	\$5,728	1.94x

The following tables present the carrying value of the Company's mortgage loans by region and property type.

Mortgage Loans by Region

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	
East North Central	\$148	2.2	% \$94	1.6	%
Middle Atlantic	499	7.3	% 508	8.9	%
Mountain	124	1.8	% 125	2.2	%
New England	336	4.9	% 294	5.1	%
Pacific	1,976	28.7	% 1,690	29.5	%
South Atlantic	1,434	20.9	% 1,149	20.1	%
West North Central	16	0.2	% 30	0.5	%
West South Central	414	6.0	% 224	3.9	%
Other [1]	1,928	28.0	% 1,614	28.2	%
Total mortgage loans	\$6,875	100.0	% \$5,728	100.0	%

[1] Primarily represents loans collateralized by multiple properties in various regions.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Mortgage Loans by Property Type

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	
Commercial					
Agricultural	\$ 179	2.6	% \$ 249	4.3	%
Industrial	2,065	30.1	% 1,747	30.5	%
Lodging	91	1.3	% 93	1.6	%
Multifamily	1,391	20.2	% 1,070	18.7	%
Office	1,404	20.4	% 1,078	18.8	%
Retail	1,494	21.7	% 1,234	21.5	%
Other	251	3.7	% 257	4.6	%
Total mortgage loans	\$6,875	100.0	% \$5,728	100.0	%

Variable Interest Entities

The Company is involved with various special purpose entities and other entities that are deemed to be VIEs primarily as a collateral manager and as an investor through normal investment activities, as well as a means of accessing capital. A VIE is an entity that either has investors that lack certain essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or lacks sufficient funds to finance its own activities without financial support provided by other entities.

The Company performs ongoing qualitative assessments of its VIEs to determine whether the Company has a controlling financial interest in the VIE and therefore is the primary beneficiary. The Company is deemed to have a controlling financial interest when it has both the ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Based on the Company's assessment, if it determines it is the primary beneficiary, the Company consolidates the VIE in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidated VIEs

The following table presents the carrying value of assets and liabilities, and the maximum exposure to loss relating to the VIEs for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Creditors have no recourse against the Company in the event of default by these VIEs nor does the Company have any implied or unfunded commitments to these VIEs. The Company's financial or other support provided to these VIEs is limited to its investment management services and original investment.

	June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Total Assets	Total Liabilities [1]	Maximum Exposure to Loss [2]	Total Assets	Total Liabilities [1]	Maximum Exposure to Loss [2]
CDOs [3]	\$459	\$438	\$17	\$491	\$471	\$29
Investment funds [4]	157	—	157	—	—	—
Limited partnerships	7	1	6	7	—	7
Total	\$623	\$439	\$180	\$498	\$471	\$36

[1] Included in other liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

[2] The maximum exposure to loss represents the maximum loss amount that the Company could recognize as a reduction in net investment income or as a realized capital loss and is the cost basis of the Company's investment.

[3] Total assets included in fixed maturities, AFS, and fixed maturities, FVO, in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

[4]

Total assets included in fixed maturities, AFS, and short-term investments in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

CDOs represent structured investment vehicles for which the Company has a controlling financial interest as it provides collateral management services, earns a fee for those services and also holds investments in the securities issued by these vehicles. Investment funds represents wholly-owned fixed income funds established in 2012 for which the Company has exclusive management and control of this investment which is the activity that most significantly impacts its economic performance. Limited partnerships represent one hedge fund for which the Company holds a majority interest in the fund as an investment.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Non-Consolidated VIEs

The Company holds a significant variable interest for one VIE for which it is not the primary beneficiary and, therefore, was not consolidated on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. This VIE represents a contingent capital facility ("facility") that has been held by the Company since February 2007 for which the Company has no implied or unfunded commitments. Assets and liabilities recorded for the facility were \$26 and \$26, respectively as of June 30, 2012 and \$28 and \$28, respectively, as of December 31, 2011. Additionally, the Company has a maximum exposure to loss of \$3 and \$3, respectively, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, which represents the issuance costs that were incurred to establish the facility. The Company does not have a controlling financial interest as it does not manage the assets of the facility nor does it have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the facility, as the asset manager has significant variable interest in the vehicle. The Company's financial or other support provided to the facility is limited to providing ongoing support to cover the facility's operating expenses. For further information on the facility, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report. In addition, the Company, through normal investment activities, makes passive investments in structured securities issued by VIEs for which the Company is not the manager which are included in ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS in the Available-for-Sale Securities table and fixed maturities, FVO, in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company has not provided financial or other support with respect to these investments other than its original investment. For these investments, the Company determined it is not the primary beneficiary due to the relative size of the Company's investment in comparison to the principal amount of the structured securities issued by the VIEs, the level of credit subordination which reduces the Company's obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits and the Company's inability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs. The Company's maximum exposure to loss on these investments is limited to the amount of the Company's investment.

Repurchase Agreements and Dollar Roll Agreements

The Company enters into repurchase agreements and dollar roll agreements to earn incremental spread income. A repurchase agreement is a transaction in which one party (transferor) agrees to sell securities to another party (transferee) in return for cash (or securities), with a simultaneous agreement to repurchase the same securities at a specified price at a later date. A dollar roll is a type of repurchase agreement where a mortgage backed security is sold with an agreement to repurchase substantially the same security at a specified time in the future. These transactions are generally short-term in nature, and therefore, the carrying amounts of these instruments approximate fair value. As part of repurchase and dollar roll agreements, the Company transfers U.S. government and government agency securities and receives cash as collateral. For the repurchase agreements, the Company obtains collateral in an amount equal to at least 95% of the fair value of the securities transferred, and the agreements with third parties contain contractual provisions to allow for additional collateral to be obtained when necessary. The cash received from the repurchase program is typically invested in short-term investments or fixed maturities. The Company accounts for the repurchase agreements and dollar roll transactions as collateralized borrowings. The securities transferred under repurchase agreements and dollar roll agreements are included in fixed maturity, available-for-sale securities with the obligation to repurchase those securities recorded in Other Liabilities on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The fair value of the securities transferred was \$1.6 billion as of June 30, 2012. The obligation for securities sold under agreement to repurchase was \$(1.6) billion as of June 30, 2012.

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes a variety of over-the-counter and exchange traded derivative instruments as a part of its overall risk management strategy, as well as to enter into replication transactions. Derivative instruments are used to manage risk associated with interest rate, equity market, credit spread, issuer default, price, and currency exchange rate risk or

volatility. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would otherwise be permissible investments under the Company's investment policies. The Company also purchases and issues financial instruments and products that either are accounted for as free-standing derivatives, such as certain reinsurance contracts, or may contain features that are deemed to be embedded derivative instruments, such as the GMWB rider included with certain variable annuity products.

Cash flow hedges

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps are primarily used to convert interest receipts on floating-rate fixed maturity securities. These derivatives are predominantly used to better match cash receipts from assets with cash disbursements required to fund liabilities. The Company also enters into forward starting swap agreements to hedge the interest rate exposure related to the purchase of fixed-rate securities. These derivatives are primarily structured to hedge interest rate risk inherent in the assumptions used to price certain liabilities.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Foreign currency swaps

Foreign currency swaps are used to convert foreign currency-denominated cash flows related to certain investment receipts and liability payments to U.S. dollars in order to reduce cash flow fluctuations due to changes in currency rates.

Fair value hedges

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain fixed rate liabilities and fixed maturity securities due to fluctuations in interest rates.

Foreign currency swaps

Foreign currency swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain foreign currency-denominated fixed rate liabilities due to changes in foreign currency rates by swapping the fixed foreign payments to floating rate U.S. dollar denominated payments.

Non-qualifying strategies

Interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, and futures

The Company uses interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, and futures to manage duration between assets and liabilities in certain investment portfolios. In addition, the Company enters into interest rate swaps to terminate existing swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the notional amount of interest rate swaps in offsetting relationships was \$7.5 billion and \$7.8 billion, respectively.

Foreign currency swaps and forwards

The Company enters into foreign currency swaps and forwards to convert the foreign currency exposures of certain foreign currency-denominated fixed maturity investments to U.S. dollars.

Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, The Company offered certain variable annuity products with a guaranteed minimum income benefit ("GMIB") rider through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary. The GMIB rider is reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary, which invests in U.S. dollar denominated assets to support the liability. The U.S. subsidiary entered into pay U.S. dollar, receive yen swap contracts to hedge the currency and interest rate exposure between the U.S. dollar denominated assets and the yen denominated fixed liability reinsurance payments.

Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, The Company offered a yen denominated fixed annuity product through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary and reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary. The U.S. subsidiary invests in U.S. dollar denominated securities to support the yen denominated fixed liability payments and entered into currency rate swaps to hedge the foreign currency exchange rate and yen interest rate exposures that exist as a result of U.S. dollar assets backing the yen denominated liability.

Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection

Credit default swaps are used to purchase credit protection on an individual entity or referenced index to economically hedge against default risk and credit-related changes in value on fixed maturity securities. These contracts require the Company to pay a periodic fee in exchange for compensation from the counterparty should the referenced security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract.

Credit derivatives that assume credit risk

Credit default swaps are used to assume credit risk related to an individual entity, referenced index, or asset pool, as a part of replication transactions. These contracts entitle the Company to receive a periodic fee in exchange for an obligation to compensate the derivative counterparty should the referenced security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract. The Company is also exposed to credit risk due to credit derivatives embedded within certain fixed maturity securities. These securities are primarily comprised of structured securities that contain credit

derivatives that reference a standard index of corporate securities.

Credit derivatives in offsetting positions

The Company enters into credit default swaps to terminate existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap going forward.

50

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Equity index swaps and options

The Company offers certain equity indexed products, which may contain an embedded derivative that requires bifurcation. The Company enters into S&P index swaps and options to economically hedge the equity volatility risk associated with these embedded derivatives. In addition, during the third quarter of 2011, the Company entered into equity index options and futures with the purpose of hedging the impact of an adverse equity market environment on the investment portfolio.

U.S. GMWB product derivatives

The Company currently offers certain variable annuity products with a GMWB rider in the U.S. Effective May 1, 2012, all new U.S. annuity policies, including the GMWB rider, sold by the Company are reinsured to a third party. The GMWB is a bifurcated embedded derivative that provides the policyholder with a guaranteed remaining balance (“GRB”) if the account value is reduced to zero through a combination of market declines and withdrawals. The GRB is generally equal to premiums less withdrawals. Certain contract provisions can increase the GRB at contractholder election or after the passage of time. The notional value of the embedded derivative is the GRB.

U.S. GMWB reinsurance contracts

The Company has entered into reinsurance arrangements to offset a portion of its risk exposure to the GMWB for the remaining lives of covered variable annuity contracts. Reinsurance contracts covering GMWB are accounted for as free-standing derivatives. The notional amount of the reinsurance contracts is the GRB amount.

U.S. GMWB hedging instruments

The Company enters into derivative contracts to partially hedge exposure associated with a portion of the GMWB liabilities that are not reinsured. These derivative contracts include customized swaps, interest rate swaps and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures, on certain indices including the S&P 500 index, EAFE index, and NASDAQ index.

The following table represents notional and fair value for U.S. GMWB hedging instruments.

	Notional Amount		Fair Value	
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Customized swaps	\$8,142	\$8,389	\$329	\$385
Equity swaps, options, and futures	5,621	5,320	385	498
Interest rate swaps and futures	4,390	2,697	96	11
Total	\$18,153	\$16,406	\$810	\$894

U.S. macro hedge program

The Company utilizes equity options and futures contracts to partially hedge against a decline in the equity markets and the resulting statutory surplus and capital impact primarily arising from guaranteed minimum death benefit (“GMDB”), GMIB and GMWB obligations.

The following table represents notional and fair value for the U.S. macro hedge program.

	Notional Amount		Fair Value	
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Equity futures	\$—	\$59	\$—	\$—
Equity options	5,278	6,760	180	357
Total	\$5,278	\$6,819	\$180	\$357

International program product derivatives

The Company formerly offered certain variable annuity products with GMWB or GMAB riders in the U.K. and Japan. The GMWB and GMAB are bifurcated embedded derivatives. The GMWB provides the policyholder with a GRB if the account value is reduced to zero through a combination of market declines and withdrawals. The GRB is generally

equal to premiums less withdrawals. Certain contract provisions can increase the GRB at contractholder election or after the passage of time. The GMAB provides the policyholder with their initial deposit in a lump sum after a specified waiting period. The notional amount of the embedded derivatives are the foreign currency denominated GRBs converted to U.S. dollars at the current foreign spot exchange rate as of the reporting period date.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

International program hedging instruments

The Company utilizes equity futures, options and swaps, and currency forwards and options to partially hedge against a decline in the debt and equity markets or changes in foreign currency exchange rates and the resulting statutory surplus and capital impact primarily arising from GMDB, GMIB and GMWB obligations issued in the U.K. and Japan. The Company also enters into foreign currency denominated interest rate swaps and swaptions to hedge the interest rate exposure related to the potential annuitization of certain benefit obligations.

The following table represents notional and fair value for the international program hedging instruments.

	Notional Amount		Fair Value	
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Currency forwards [1]	\$15,565	\$8,622	\$33	\$446
Currency options	10,842	7,357	142	127
Equity futures	4,052	3,835	—	—
Equity options	4,111	1,565	178	74
Equity swaps [2]	1,910	392	21	(8
Interest rate futures	983	739	—	—
Interest rate swaps and swaptions	26,523	11,216	214	111
Total	\$63,986	\$33,726	\$588	\$750

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 net notional amounts are \$5.0 billion and \$7.2 billion, respectively, [1] which include \$10.3 billion and \$7.9 billion, respectively, related to long positions and \$5.3 billion and \$0.7 billion, respectively, related to short positions.

As of June 30, 2012 the net notional amount is \$0.3 billion, which includes \$1.1 billion related to long positions [2] and \$0.8 billion related to short positions. As of December 31, 2011 the net notional amount of \$0.4 billion related to long positions only.

Contingent capital facility put option

The Company entered into a put option agreement that provides the Company the right to require a third-party trust to purchase, at any time, The Hartford's junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount of \$500. Under the put option agreement, The Hartford will pay premiums on a periodic basis and will reimburse the trust for certain fees and ordinary expenses.

Derivative Balance Sheet Classification

The table below summarizes the balance sheet classification of the Company's derivative related fair value amounts, as well as the gross asset and liability fair value amounts. For reporting purposes, the Company offsets the fair value amounts, income accruals, and cash collateral held related to derivative instruments executed in a legal entity and with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement, which provides the Company with the legal right of offset. The fair value amounts presented below do not include income accruals or cash collateral held amounts, which are netted with derivative fair value amounts to determine balance sheet presentation. Derivative fair value reported as liabilities after taking into account the master netting agreements, is \$2.5 billion and \$3.2 billion as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. Derivatives in the Company's separate accounts are not included because the associated gains and losses accrue directly to policyholders. The Company's derivative instruments are held for risk management purposes, unless otherwise noted in the table below. The notional amount of derivative contracts represents the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated and is presented in the table to quantify the volume of the Company's derivative activity. Notional amounts are not necessarily reflective of credit risk.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Hedge Designation/ Type	Net Derivatives		Fair Value		Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value
	Jun 30, 2012	Dec 31, 2011	Jun 30, 2012	Dec 31, 2011	Jun 30, 2012	Dec 31, 2011	Jun 30, 2012	Dec 31, 2011
Cash flow hedges								
Interest rate swaps	\$8,286	\$8,652	\$328	\$329	\$328	\$329	\$—	\$—
Foreign currency swaps	195	291	(17)	6	7	30	(24)	(24)
Total cash flow hedges	8,481	8,943	311	335	335	359	(24)	(24)
Fair value hedges								
Interest rate swaps	1,091	1,007	(75)	(78)	—	—	(75)	(78)
Foreign currency swaps	185	677	57	(39)	57	63	—	(102)
Total fair value hedges	1,276	1,684	(18)	(117)	57	63	(75)	(180)
Non-qualifying strategies								
Interest rate contracts								
Interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and futures	9,335	10,144	(565)	(583)	595	531	(1,160)	(1,114)
Foreign exchange contracts								
Foreign currency swaps and forwards	436	380	4	(12)	22	6	(18)	(18)
Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps	2,054	2,054	63	184	63	184	—	—
Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments	1,907	1,945	399	514	415	540	(16)	(26)
Credit contracts								
Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection	1,103	1,721	9	36	24	56	(15)	(20)
Credit derivatives that assume credit risk [1]	3,870	2,952	(527)	(648)	8	2	(535)	(650)
Credit derivatives in offsetting positions	9,272	8,189	(45)	(57)	153	164	(198)	(221)
Equity contracts								
Equity index swaps and options	631	1,501	39	27	53	40	(14)	(13)
Variable annuity hedge program								
U.S. GMWB product derivatives [2]	31,802	34,569	(2,203)	(2,538)	—	—	(2,203)	(2,538)
U.S. GMWB reinsurance contracts	6,445	7,193	376	443	376	443	—	—
U.S. GMWB hedging instruments	18,153	16,406	810	894	954	1,022	(144)	(128)
U.S. macro hedge program	5,278	6,819	180	357	180	357	—	—
International program product derivatives [2]	2,573	2,710	(57)	(71)	—	—	(57)	(71)
	63,986	33,726	588	750	1,340	887	(752)	(137)

International program hedging
instruments

Other

Contingent capital facility put option	500	500	26	28	26	28	—	—
Total non-qualifying strategies	157,345	130,809	(903)	(676)	4,209	4,260	(5,112)	(4,936)
Total cash flow hedges, fair value hedges, and non-qualifying strategies	\$167,102	\$141,436	\$(610)	\$(458)	\$4,601	\$4,682	\$(5,211)	\$(5,140)
Balance Sheet Location								
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$703	\$703	\$(50)	\$(72)	\$—	\$—	\$(50)	\$(72)
Other investments	48,652	60,227	1,486	2,331	1,990	3,165	(504)	(834)
Other liabilities	76,837	35,944	(148)	(538)	2,235	1,074	(2,383)	(1,612)
Consumer notes	35	35	(4)	(4)	—	—	(4)	(4)
Reinsurance recoverables	6,445	7,193	376	443	376	443	—	—
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable	34,430	37,334	(2,270)	(2,618)	—	—	(2,270)	(2,618)
Total derivatives	\$167,102	\$141,436	\$(610)	\$(458)	\$4,601	\$4,682	\$(5,211)	\$(5,140)

[1] The derivative instruments related to this strategy are held for other investment purposes.

[2] These derivatives are embedded within liabilities and are not held for risk management purposes.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Change in Notional Amount

The net increase in notional amount of derivatives since December 31, 2011, was primarily due to the following: The \$64.0 billion notional amount related to the international program hedging instruments as of June 30, 2012, consisted of \$57.9 billion of long positions and \$6.1 billion of offsetting short positions, resulting in a net notional amount of \$51.8 billion. The \$33.7 billion notional amount as of December 31, 2011, consisted of \$33.0 billion of long positions and \$0.7 billion of offsetting short positions, resulting in a net notional amount of \$32.3 billion. The increase in net notional of \$19.5 billion primarily resulted from The Company increasing its hedging of interest rate exposure.

Change in Fair Value

The net decrease in the total fair value of derivative instruments since December 31, 2011, was primarily related to the following:

- The fair value related to the international program hedging instruments decreased as a result an improvement in global and domestic equity markets and depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar.

- The fair value related to the U.S. macro hedge program decreased due to an improvement in domestic equity markets, time decay, and lower equity volatility.

- The fair value related to the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments and Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps decreased primarily due to depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the U.S. dollar and strengthening of the currency basis swap spread between U.S. dollar and Japanese yen.

- The increase in fair value related to the combined U.S. GMWB hedging program, which includes the U.S. GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily due to favorable policyholder behavior.

Cash Flow Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current period earnings. All components of each derivative's gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

The following table presents the components of the gain or loss on derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)				Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
Interest rate swaps	\$ 176	\$ 148	\$ 143	\$ 82	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(2)
Foreign currency swaps	(24)	—	(29)	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 152	\$ 148	\$ 114	\$ 82	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(2)

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

	Location	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)			
		Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
		June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
Interest rate swaps	Net realized capital gain/(loss)	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 6	\$ 4

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Interest rate swaps	Net investment income	38	31	74	63
Foreign currency swaps	Net realized capital gain/(loss)	(11) 3	(8) 8
Total		\$28	\$36	\$72	\$75

54

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

As of June 30, 2012, the before-tax deferred net gains on derivative instruments recorded in AOCI that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months are \$123. This expectation is based on the anticipated interest payments on hedged investments in fixed maturity securities that will occur over the next twelve months, at which time the Company will recognize the deferred net gains (losses) as an adjustment to interest income over the term of the investment cash flows. The maximum term over which the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability of future cash flows (for forecasted transactions, excluding interest payments on existing variable-rate financial instruments) is approximately three years.

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company had \$15 of net reclassifications from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring. During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company had no net reclassifications from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring.

Fair Value Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings. The Company includes the gain or loss on the derivative in the same line item as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item. All components of each derivative's gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. The Company recognized in income gains (losses) representing the ineffective portion of fair value hedges as follows:

Derivatives in Fair-Value Hedging Relationships

	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income [1]							
	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	June 30, 2012		2011		June 30, 2012		2011	
Derivative	Hedge Item	Derivative	Hedge Item	Derivative	Hedge Item	Derivative	Hedge Item	
Interest rate swaps								
Net realized capital gain/(loss)	\$(16)	\$13	\$(27)	\$26	\$(5)	\$3	\$(17)	\$17
Foreign currency swaps								
Net realized capital gain/(loss)	(11)	11	22	(22)	(2)	2	36	(36)
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	5	(5)	(1)	1	2	(2)	(9)	9
Total	\$(22)	\$19	\$(6)	\$5	\$(5)	\$3	\$10	\$(10)

The amounts presented do not include the periodic net coupon settlements of the derivative or the coupon income [1](expense) related to the hedged item. The net of the amounts presented represents the ineffective portion of the hedge.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Non-qualifying Strategies

For non-qualifying strategies, including embedded derivatives that are required to be bifurcated from their host contracts and accounted for as derivatives, the gain or loss on the derivative is recognized currently in earnings within net realized capital gains (losses). The following table presents the gain or loss recognized in income on non-qualifying strategies:

Derivatives Used in Non-Qualifying Strategies

Gain or (Loss) Recognized within Net Realized Capital Gains and Losses

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Interest rate contracts					
Interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and forwards	\$(17) \$(4) \$(15) \$1	
Foreign exchange contracts					
Foreign currency swaps and forwards	32	(7) 27	(12)
Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps [1]	60	33	(121) (25)
Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments [2]	58	57	(70) (5)
Credit contracts					
Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection	5	(3) (31) (20)
Credit derivatives that assume credit risk	24	(14) 173	5	
Equity contracts					
Equity index swaps and options	3	2	(16) 2	
Variable annuity hedge program					
U.S. GMWB product derivatives	(484) (80) 412	268	
U.S. GMWB reinsurance contracts	62	4	(81) (61)
U.S. GMWB hedging instruments	307	43	(261) (184)
U.S. macro hedge program	6	(17) (183) (101)
International program product derivatives	(16) (6) 19	10	
International program hedging instruments	769	58	(485) (277)
Other					
Contingent capital facility put option	(1) (1) (3) (3)
Total	\$ 808	\$ 65	\$(635) \$(402)

The associated liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and was \$(53) and \$(49) [1] for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$65 and \$(7) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The associated liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and was \$(70) and \$(63) [2] for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$87 and \$(10) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

¶The net gain for the three months ended June 30, 2012, associated with the international program hedging instruments was primarily driven by appreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar, a decrease in global and domestic equity markets, and a decrease in interest rates. The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2012, associated with the international program hedging instruments was primarily driven by an improvement in global and domestic equity markets and depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar,

partially offset by a decrease in interest rates.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the net gain related to the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments and Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps was primarily due to appreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the U.S.

dollar. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 the net loss related to the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments and Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps was primarily due to depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the U.S. dollar and strengthening of the currency basis swap spread between U.S. dollar and Japanese yen.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

For the three months ended June 30, 2012 the net loss related to the combined U.S. GMWB hedging program, which includes the U.S. GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily a result of an increase in equity and interest rate volatility and a general decrease in long-term interest rates. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 the net gain related to the combined U.S. GMWB hedging program, which includes the U.S. GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily a result of favorable policyholder behavior, partially offset by an increase in the domestic equity market and a credit standing adjustment.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the net gain related to the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments is primarily due to the U.S. dollar weakening in comparison to the Japanese yen.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the net gain associated with the international program hedging instruments is primarily due to a decline in Japanese interest rates and foreign currency movements. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the net loss related to the international program hedging instruments is primarily the result of foreign currency movements and an improvement in the equity markets.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the loss related to the combined U.S. GMWB hedging program, which includes the U.S. GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, is primarily a result of a general decrease in long-term interest rates. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the gain related to the combined U.S. GMWB hedging program is primarily due to a lower implied market volatility and outperformance of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices.

Refer to Note 9 for additional disclosures regarding contingent credit related features in derivative agreements.

Credit Risk Assumed through Credit Derivatives

The Company enters into credit default swaps that assume credit risk of a single entity, referenced index, or asset pool in order to synthetically replicate investment transactions. The Company will receive periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount and will only make a payment if there is a credit event. A credit event payment will typically be equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced security issuer's debt obligation after the occurrence of the credit event. A credit event is generally defined as a default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity. The credit default swaps in which the Company assumes credit risk primarily reference investment grade single corporate issuers and baskets, which include standard and customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers. The diversified portfolios of corporate issuers are established within sector concentration limits and may be divided into tranches that possess different credit ratings. The following tables present the notional amount, fair value, weighted average years to maturity, underlying referenced credit obligation type and average credit ratings, and offsetting notional amounts and fair value for credit derivatives in which the Company is assuming credit risk as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

As of June 30, 2012

Credit Derivative type by derivative risk exposure	Notional Amount [2]	Fair Value	Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Underlying Referenced Credit Obligation(s) [1]	Average Credit Rating	Offsetting Notional Amount [3]	Offsetting Fair Value [3]
				Type			
Single name credit default swaps							
Investment grade risk exposure	\$2,352	\$(37)	3 years	Corporate Credit/ Foreign Gov.	A	\$ 1,343	\$(18)
	160	(2)	1 year	Corporate Credit	BB-	144	(4)

Below investment grade risk
exposure

Basket credit default swaps [4]

Investment grade risk exposure	4,391	(56)	4 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+	2,624	24
Investment grade risk exposure	525	(93)	5 years	CMBS Credit	BBB+	525	93
Below investment grade risk exposure	553	(429)	3 years	Corporate Credit	BBB	—	—
Embedded credit derivatives							
Investment grade risk exposure	225	201	5 years	Corporate Credit	BBB-	—	—
Below investment grade risk exposure	300	257	4 years	Corporate Credit	BB+	—	—
Total	\$8,506	\$(159)				\$4,636	\$95

57

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

As of December 31, 2011

Credit Derivative type by derivative risk exposure	Notional Amount [2]	Fair Value	Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Underlying Referenced Credit Obligation(s) [1] Type	Average Credit Rating	Offsetting Notional Amount [3]	Offsetting Fair Value [3]
Single name credit default swaps							
Investment grade risk exposure	\$ 1,628	\$(34)	3 years	Corporate Credit/ Foreign Gov.	A+	\$ 1,424	\$(15)
Below investment grade risk exposure	170	(7)	2 years	Corporate Credit	BB-	144	(5)
Basket credit default swaps [4]							
Investment grade risk exposure	3,645	(92)	3 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+	2,001	29
Investment grade risk exposure	525	(98)	5 years	CMBS Credit	BBB+	525	98
Below investment grade risk exposure	553	(509)	3 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+	—	—
Embedded credit derivatives							
Investment grade risk exposure	25	24	3 years	Corporate Credit	BBB-	—	—
Below investment grade risk exposure	500	411	5 years	Corporate Credit	BB+	—	—
Total	\$ 7,046	\$(305)				\$ 4,094	\$ 107

[1] The average credit ratings are based on availability and the midpoint of the applicable ratings among Moody's, S&P, and Fitch. If no rating is available from a rating agency, then an internally developed rating is used.

[2] Notional amount is equal to the maximum potential future loss amount. There is no specific collateral related to these contracts or recourse provisions included in the contracts to offset losses.

[3] The Company has entered into offsetting credit default swaps to terminate certain existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the future changes in value of, or losses paid related to, the original swap.

[4] Includes \$4.9 billion and \$4.2 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, of standard market indices of diversified portfolios of corporate issuers referenced through credit default swaps. These swaps are subsequently valued based upon the observable standard market index. Also includes \$533 as of both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers referenced through credit default swaps.

6. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits

The Company capitalizes policy acquisition costs that are directly related to the successful acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts in accordance with ASU No. 2010-26. On January 1, 2012, the Company adopted ASU No. 2010-26 as further discussed in Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. As a result of this change in accounting policy, deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits as of January 1, 2011 decreased by approximately \$2.4 billion from \$9.9 billion, as previously reported.

Changes in the DAC balance are as follows:	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011
Balance, beginning of period, as currently reported	\$6,556	\$7,473
Deferred Costs	852	844
Amortization – DAC	(910)	(991)

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Amortization – Unlock benefit (charge), pre-tax	35	(51)
Adjustments to unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale and other	(159) (25)
Effect of currency translation	(38) 7	
Balance, end of period	\$6,336	\$7,257	

58

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features

U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL Secondary Guarantee Benefits

Changes in the gross U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL secondary guarantee benefits are as follows:

	U.S. GMDB	International GMDB/GMIB	UL Secondary Guarantees
Liability balance as of January 1, 2012	\$1,104	\$975	\$228
Incurred	112	65	53
Paid	(99) (101) —
Unlock	(102) (4) 8
Currency translation adjustment	—	(35) —
Liability balance as of June 30, 2012	\$1,015	\$900	\$289
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2012	\$724	\$40	\$22
Incurred	65	5	(2
Paid	(63) (14) —
Unlock	(49) 18	—
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of June 30, 2012	\$677	\$49	\$20
	U.S. GMDB	International GMDB/GMIB	UL Secondary Guarantees
Liability balance as of January 1, 2011	\$1,053	\$696	\$113
Incurred	110	61	27
Paid	(95) (76) —
Unlock	(63) 2	—
Currency translation adjustment	—	2	—
Liability balance as of June 30, 2011	\$1,005	\$685	\$140
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2011	\$686	\$36	\$30
Incurred	65	(3) 5
Paid	(65) 1	—
Unlock	(27) 6	—
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of June 30, 2011	\$659	\$40	\$35

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features (continued)

The following table provides details concerning GMDB and GMIB exposure as of June 30, 2012:

Individual Variable and Group Annuity Account Value by GMDB/GMIB Type

	Account Value ("AV") [8]	Net Amount at Risk ("NAR") [10]	Retained Net Amount at Risk ("RNAR") [10]	Weighted Average Attained Age of Annuitant
Maximum anniversary value ("MAV") [1]				
MAV only	\$20,104	\$4,861	\$1,086	69
With 5% rollup [2]	1,469	444	145	69
With Earnings Protection Benefit Rider ("EPB") [3]	5,147	717	88	66
With 5% rollup & EPB	572	145	30	69
Total MAV	27,292	6,167	1,349	
Asset Protection Benefit ("APB") [4]	20,992	2,002	1,306	66
Lifetime Income Benefit ("LIB") – Death Benefit [5]	1,066	73	73	65
Reset [6] (5-7 years)	3,125	208	206	69
Return of Premium ("ROP") [7]/Other	21,816	578	557	66
Subtotal U.S. GMDB	74,291	9,028	3,491	67
Less: General Account Value with U.S. GMDB	7,329			
Subtotal Separate Account Liabilities with U.S. GMDB	66,962			
Separate Account Liabilities without U.S. GMDB	77,700			
Total Separate Account Liabilities	\$144,662			
Japan GMDB [9], [11]	\$27,977	\$9,477	\$8,236	70
Japan GMIB [9], [11]	\$26,119	\$6,470	\$6,470	70

[1] MAV GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the highest AV on any anniversary before age 80 years (adjusted for withdrawals).

[2] Rollup GMDB is the greatest of the MAV, current AV, net premium paid and premiums (adjusted for withdrawals) accumulated at generally 5% simple interest up to the earlier of age 80 years or 100% of adjusted premiums.

EPB GMDB is the greatest of the MAV, current AV, or contract value plus a percentage of the contract's growth.

[3] The contract's growth is AV less premiums net of withdrawals, subject to a cap of 200% of premiums net of withdrawals.

[4] APB GMDB is the greater of current AV or MAV, not to exceed current AV plus 25% times the greater of net premiums and MAV (each adjusted for premiums in the past 12 months).

[5] LIB GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid, or for certain contracts a benefit amount that ratchets over time, generally based on market performance.

[6] Reset GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the most recent five to seven year anniversary AV before age 80 years (adjusted for withdrawals).

[7] ROP GMDB is the greater of current AV or net premiums paid.

[8] AV includes the contract holder's investment in the separate account and the general account.

[9] GMDB includes a ROP and MAV (before age 80 years) paid in a single lump sum. GMIB is a guarantee to return initial investment, adjusted for earnings liquidity which allows for free withdrawal of earnings, paid through a fixed payout annuity, after a minimum deferral period of 10 years, 15 years or 20 years. The GRB related to the Japan GMIB was \$31.9 billion and \$34.1 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The GRB related to the Japan GMAB and GMWB was \$652 as of June 30, 2012 and \$701 as of December 31, 2011. These liabilities are not included in the Separate Account as they are not legally insulated from the general account liabilities of the insurance enterprise. As of June 30, 2012, 55% of the GMDB RNAR and 66% of the GMIB NAR

is reinsured to a Hartford affiliate.

[10] NAR is defined as the guaranteed benefit in excess of the current AV. RNAR represents NAR reduced for reinsurance. NAR and RNAR are highly sensitive to equity markets movements and increase when equity markets decline. Additionally Japan's NAR and RNAR are highly sensitive to currency movements and increase when the Yen strengthens.

[11] Policies with a guaranteed living benefit (GMIB in Japan) also have a guaranteed death benefit. The NAR for each benefit is shown in the table above, however these benefits are not additive. When a policy terminates due to death, any NAR related to GMWB or GMIB is released. Similarly, when a policy goes into benefit status on a GMWB or GMIB, its GMDB NAR is released.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features (continued)

In the U.S., account balances of contracts with guarantees were invested in variable separate accounts as follows:

Asset type	As of June 30, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
Equity securities (including mutual funds)	\$60,286	\$61,472
Cash and cash equivalents	6,676	7,516
Total	\$66,962	\$68,988

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately 17% and 17%, respectively, of the equity securities above were invested in fixed income securities through these funds and approximately 83% and 83%, respectively, were invested in equity securities.

See Note 4 for further information on guaranteed living benefits that are accounted for at fair value, such as GMWB.

8. Sales Inducements

Changes in sales inducement activity are as follows:	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011
Balance, January 1	\$434	\$459
Sales inducements deferred	6	9
Amortization—Unlock	(1) (8
Amortization charged to income	(16) (20
Balance, June 30	\$423	\$440

9. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption "Asbestos and Environmental Claims," management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, and in addition to the matters described below, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, disability, life and inland marine. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims or other allegedly unfair or improper business practices. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting, among other things, that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, the outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Apart from the inherent difficulty of predicting litigation outcomes, the Mutual Funds Litigation identified below purports to seek substantial damages for unsubstantiated conduct spanning a multi-year period based on novel and complex legal theories. The alleged damages are not quantified or factually supported in the complaint, and, in any event, the Company's experience shows that demands for damages often bear little relation to a reasonable estimate of potential loss. The matter is in the earliest stages of litigation, with no substantive legal decisions by the court defining the scope of the claims or the potentially available damages. The Company has not yet answered the complaint or asserted its defenses, and fact discovery has not yet begun. Accordingly, management cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any, or predict the timing of the eventual resolution of this matter.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

Mutual Funds Litigation — In February 2011, a derivative action was brought on behalf of six Hartford retail mutual funds in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging that Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC (“HIFSCO”), an indirect subsidiary of the Company, received excessive advisory and distribution fees in violation of its statutory fiduciary duty under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940. HIFSCO moved to dismiss and, in September 2011, the motion was granted in part and denied in part, with leave to amend the complaint. In November 2011, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on behalf of The Hartford Global Health Fund, The Hartford Conservative Allocation Fund, The Hartford Growth Opportunities Fund, The Hartford Inflation Plus Fund, The Hartford Advisors Fund, and The Hartford Capital Appreciation Fund. Plaintiffs seek to rescind the investment management agreements and distribution plans between HIFSCO and these funds and to recover the total fees charged thereunder or, in the alternative, to recover any improper compensation HIFSCO received, in addition to lost earnings. HIFSCO disputes the allegations and has filed a partial motion to dismiss.

Asbestos and Environmental Claims – As discussed in Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under the caption “Asbestos and Environmental Claims”, included in the Company’s 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report, The Hartford continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims that involve significant uncertainty regarding policy coverage issues. Regarding these claims, The Hartford continually reviews its overall reserve levels and reinsurance coverages, as well as the methodologies it uses to estimate its exposures. Because of the significant uncertainties that limit the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses, particularly those related to asbestos, the ultimate liabilities may exceed the currently recorded reserves. Any such additional liability cannot be reasonably estimated now but could be material to The Hartford’s consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

Derivative Commitments

Certain of the Company’s derivative agreements contain provisions that are tied to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the derivative agreement as set by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. If the legal entity’s financial strength were to fall below certain ratings, the counterparties to the derivative agreements could demand immediate and ongoing full collateralization and in certain instances demand immediate settlement of all outstanding derivative positions traded under each impacted bilateral agreement. The settlement amount is determined by netting the derivative positions transacted under each agreement. If the termination rights were to be exercised by the counterparties, it could impact the legal entity’s ability to conduct hedging activities by increasing the associated costs and decreasing the willingness of counterparties to transact with the legal entity. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position as of June 30, 2012, is \$616. Of this \$616 the legal entities have posted collateral of \$597 in the normal course of business. Based on derivative market values as of June 30, 2012, a downgrade of one level below the current financial strength ratings by either Moody’s or S&P could require approximately an additional \$52 to be posted as collateral. Based on derivative market values as of June 30, 2012, a downgrade by either Moody’s or S&P of two levels below the legal entities’ current financial strength ratings could require approximately an additional \$64 of assets to be posted as collateral. These collateral amounts could change as derivative market values change, as a result of changes in our hedging activities or to the extent changes in contractual terms are negotiated. The nature of the collateral that we would post, if required, would be primarily in the form of U.S. Treasury bills and U.S. Treasury notes.

On March 21, 2012, Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) Rating Services lowered its counterparty credit and insurer financial strength ratings on Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company to BBB+. Given this downgrade action, termination rating triggers in three derivative counterparty relationships have been impacted totaling a notional amount of \$3.8 billion with a corresponding fair value of \$78 as of June 30, 2012. The counterparties have the right to terminate these relationships and would have to settle the outstanding derivatives prior to exercising their termination right. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2012 these counterparties would owe the Company the derivatives fair value of \$78. The

counterparties have not exercised this termination right and the Company has re-negotiated the rating triggers with one counterparty and is in the process of re-negotiating the rating triggers with the remaining two counterparties.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

10. Employee Benefit Plans

On April 23, 2012, the Company, approved changes to its non-contributory, tax qualified defined benefit pension plan, to freeze participation and benefit accruals. As a result, employees will not accrue further benefits under the cash balance formula of the plan, although interest will continue to accrue to existing account balances. The freeze will be effective December 31, 2012. Compensation earned by employees up to December 31, 2012 shall be used for purposes of calculating benefits under the Plan but there will be no future benefit accruals after this date. Participants as of December 31, 2012 will continue to earn vesting credit with respect to their frozen accrued benefits as they continue to work. The freeze also applies to The Hartford Excess Pension Plan II, the Company's non-qualified excess benefit plan for certain highly compensated employees, effective December 31, 2012. The Company announced these changes in April 2012.

As a result of these actions, the Company remeasured the pension benefit obligations of the affected plans effective April 30, 2012, causing an increase in the pension benefit obligation of \$117 pre-tax with a decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$76 after-tax in the second quarter of 2012. The increase primarily reflects a decrease in the discount rates used to remeasure the pension plan obligation from 4.75% at December 31, 2011 to 4.50% at April 30, 2012, reflecting the change in market interest rates. The expected long-term rate of return of 7.3% remains unchanged from December 31, 2011. A curtailment of benefits occurs as a result of this action because it eliminates all future service for active employees in the domestic pension plans. Accordingly, the Company recognized an after-tax curtailment gain of \$7 during the second quarter of 2012, which is the remaining unamortized prior service cost at April 30, 2012.

Effective January 1, 2013, the Company will increase benefits under The Hartford's Investment and Savings Plan, its defined contribution 401(k) savings plan, and The Hartford Excess Savings Plan. The Company's contributions will be increased to include a non-elective contribution of 2% of eligible compensation and a dollar-for-dollar matching contribution of up to 6.00% of eligible compensation contributed by the employee each pay period. Eligible compensation will be expanded to include overtime and bonuses. The plan will qualify for a "safe harbor" from annual discrimination testing. Currently, employee contributions of up to 6.00% of base pay are matched at a 50% rate, by the Company. Additionally, in 2012, employees who had earnings of less than \$110,000 in the preceding year receive a floor contribution of 1.5% of base pay and employees who had earnings of \$110,000 or more in the preceding year receive a floor contribution of 0.5% of base pay.

Also, in April 2012 changes to the Company's other postretirement medical, dental and life insurance coverage plans ("other postretirement plans") were approved to no longer provide subsidized coverage for current employees who retire on or after January 1, 2014. The Company announced these changes in April 2012.

As a result of these actions, the Company remeasured the other postretirement benefit obligations effective April 30, 2012, causing a decrease in the other postretirement plans benefit liability of \$111 pre-tax with an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$72 after-tax in the second quarter of 2012. The decrease is primarily a result of the plan change which eliminates benefits and service costs for all employees eligible to retire as of January 1, 2014 offset by a decrease in the discount rates used to remeasure the other postretirement plans obligations from 4.50% at December 31, 2011 to 4.00% at April 30, 2012 reflecting the change in market interest rates. A curtailment of benefits occurs as a result of this action because it eliminates all future service for active employees in the domestic other postretirement plans. Accordingly, the Company recognized an after-tax curtailment gain of less than \$1, during the second quarter of 2012, which is the remaining unamortized prior service cost at April 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

10. Employee Benefit Plans (continued)

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Net periodic benefit cost includes the following components:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$24	\$24	\$1	\$1
Interest cost	61	66	3	5
Expected return on plan assets	(78) (75) (3) (4
Amortization of prior service credit	(3) (3) (1) —
Amortization of actuarial loss	66	42	—	—
Curtailement gain due to plan freeze	(11) —	(1) —
Net periodic benefit cost	\$59	\$54	\$(1) \$2

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Six Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$50	\$52	\$2	\$2
Interest cost	124	130	8	10
Expected return on plan assets	(156) (149) (7) (7
Amortization of prior service credit	(5) (5) (1) —
Amortization of actuarial loss	119	79	—	—
Curtailement gain due to plan freeze	(11) —	(1) —
Net periodic benefit cost	\$121	\$107	\$1	\$5

11. Stock Compensation Plans

The Company's stock-based compensation plans include The Hartford 2010 Incentive Stock Plan, The Hartford Employee Stock Purchase Plan and The Hartford Deferred Stock Unit Plan. For a description of these plans, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report. Shares issued in satisfaction of stock-based compensation may be made available from authorized but unissued shares, shares held by the Company in treasury or from shares purchased in the open market. The Company typically issues shares from treasury in satisfaction of stock-based compensation.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
(After-tax)	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock-based compensation plans expense	\$13	\$25	\$53	\$46
Income tax benefit	(4) (9) (18) (16
Total stock-based compensation plans expense	\$9	\$16	\$35	\$30

In 2010 and 2009, the Company issued awards that will ultimately be settled in cash. As a result, these awards are re-measured at the end of each reporting period until settlement.

The Company did not capitalize any cost of stock-based compensation. As of June 30, 2012, the total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized was \$109, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.1 years.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

12. Discontinued Operations

The following table presents the combined amounts related to the operations of Federal Trust Corporation and Specialty Risk Services, which have been reflected as discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. For further explanation of the Company's discontinued operations refer to Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011	
Revenues					
Fee income	\$—	\$1	\$—	\$1	
Net investment income	—	3	—	11	
Net realized capital losses	—	(1) —	(5)
Other revenues	—	—	—	47	
Total revenues	—	3	—	54	
Benefits, losses and expenses					
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	1	14	3	46	
Total benefits, losses and expenses	1	14	3	46	
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1) (11) (3) 8	
Income tax expense (benefit)	—	(5) (1) 2	
Income (loss) from operations of discontinued operations, net of tax	(1) (6) (2) 6	
Net realized capital gain (loss) on disposal, net of tax	—	(74) —	76	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$(1) \$(80) \$(2) \$82	

13. Goodwill

The carrying value of goodwill allocated to reporting units is as follows:

	June 30, 2012				December 31, 2011			
	Gross	Accumulated Impairments	Discontinued Operations	Carrying Value	Gross	Accumulated Impairments	Discontinued Operations [1]	Carrying Value
Commercial Markets								
Property and Casualty Commercial	\$30	\$(30) \$—	\$—	\$30	\$(30) \$—	\$—
Consumer Markets	119	—	—	119	119	—	—	119
Wealth Management								
Individual Life	224	—	—	224	224	—	—	224
Retirement Plans	87	—	—	87	87	—	—	87
Mutual Funds	159	—	—	159	159	—	—	159
Total Wealth Management	470	—	—	470	470	—	—	470
Corporate	772	(355) —	417	787	(355) (15) 417
Total Goodwill	\$1,391	\$(385) \$—	\$1,006	\$1,406	\$(385) \$(15) \$1,006

[1] Represents goodwill written off related to Federal Trust Corporation which is currently recorded in discontinued operations.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company determined that a triggering event requiring an impairment assessment had occurred as a result of its decision to pursue sales or other strategic alternatives for the Individual Life and Retirement Plans reporting units. The Company completed interim impairment tests during the first and second quarters of 2012 for these two reporting units which resulted in no impairment of goodwill.

Retirement Plans continues to pass the first step by a significant margin and Individual Life passed step one with a margin of less than 10% between fair value and book value of the reporting unit as of June 30, 2012. The fair value of the Individual Life reporting unit is based on discounted cash flows using earnings projections on in force business and product sales. There could be a positive or negative impact on the result of step one in future periods if assumptions change about the level of economic capital, product sales, earnings projections or the weighted average cost of capital.

As the sales process progresses, information may become available that would cause the Company to re-evaluate the goodwill valuation associated with these reporting units.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

14. Debt

Senior Notes

On April 5, 2012, the Company issued \$1.55 billion aggregate principal amount of senior notes. The issuance consisted of \$325 of 4% senior notes due 2017, \$800 of 5.125% senior notes due 2022 and \$425 of 6.625% senior notes due 2042 (collectively, the "Senior Notes") for net proceeds of approximately \$1.5 billion, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses. The Senior Notes bear interest at their respective rate, payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2012.

Junior Subordinated Debentures

On April 17, 2012, the Company (i) repurchased all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount held by Allianz SE ("Allianz") (the "10% Debentures") for \$2.125 billion (plus a payment by the Company of unpaid interest on the 10% Debentures) and (ii) settled the repurchase of the Series B and Series C warrants held by Allianz to purchase shares of the Company's common stock, see Note 15. In addition, the 10% Debentures replacement capital covenant (the "10% Debentures RCC") was terminated on April 12, 2012 with the consent of the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Company's outstanding 6.1% senior notes due 2041. Upon closing, the Company recognized a loss on extinguishment in the second quarter of 2012 of \$587, after-tax, representing the premium associated with repurchasing the 10% Debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs related to the 10% Debentures and other costs related to the repurchase transaction. On April 5, 2012, the Company issued \$600 aggregate principal amount of 7.875% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2042 (the "Debentures") for net proceeds of approximately \$586, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses. The Company financed the repurchase of the 10% Debentures through the issuance of the Senior Notes and the Debentures.

The Debentures bear interest from the date of issuance to but excluding April 15, 2022 at an annual rate of 7.875%, payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on July 15, 2012, to and including April 15, 2022. Commencing on April 15, 2022 the Debentures bear interest at an annual rate equal to three-month LIBOR, reset quarterly, plus 5.596%, payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on July 15, 2022. The Company has the right, on one or more occasions, to defer the payment of interest on the Debentures. The Company may defer interest for up to ten consecutive years without giving rise to an event of default. Deferred interest will accumulate additional interest at an annual rate equal to the annual interest rate then applicable to the Debentures. If the Company defers interest payments on the Debentures, the Company generally may not make payments on or redeem or purchase any shares of its capital stock or any of its debt securities or guarantees that rank upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up equally with or junior to the Debentures, subject to certain limited exceptions.

The Company may elect to redeem the Debentures in whole at any time or in part from time to time on or after April 15, 2022, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the Debentures being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the date of redemption. If the Debentures are not redeemed in whole, at least \$25 aggregate principal amount of the Debentures must remain outstanding after giving effect to such redemption. The Debentures may be redeemed in whole at any time prior to April 15, 2022, within 90 days of the occurrence of a tax event or rating agency event, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) the principal amount of the Debentures being redeemed, or (ii) the present value of the (a) outstanding principal and (b) remaining scheduled payments of interest that would have been payable from the redemption date to and including April 15, 2022 on the Debentures to be redeemed (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued and unpaid to but excluding the redemption date), discounted from their respective interest payment dates to but excluding the redemption date at a discount rate equal to the Treasury Rate plus a spread of 0.7%, in each case, plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date.

The Debentures are unsecured, subordinated and junior in right of payment and upon liquidation to all of the Company's existing and future senior indebtedness. In addition, the Debentures are effectively subordinated to all of the Company's subsidiaries' existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities, including obligations to policyholders. The Debentures do not limit the Company's or the Company's subsidiaries' ability to incur additional debt, including debt that ranks senior in right of payment and upon liquidation to the Debentures.

The Debentures rank equally in right of payment and upon liquidation with (i) any indebtedness the terms of which provide that such indebtedness ranks equally with the Debentures, including guarantees of such indebtedness, (ii) the Company's existing 8.125% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 (the "8.125% Debentures"), (iii) the Company's Income Capital Obligation Notes due 2067, issuable pursuant to the Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2007, between us and Wilmington Trust Company (the "ICON securities"), (iv) our trade accounts payable, and (v) any of our indebtedness owed to a person who is our subsidiary or employee.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

14. Debt (continued)

Revolving Credit Facility

In January 2012, the Company entered into a senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the “Credit Facility”) that provides for borrowing capacity up to \$1.75 billion (which is available in U.S. dollars, and in Euro, Sterling, Canadian dollars and Japanese Yen) through January 6, 2016. Of the total availability under the Credit Facility, up to \$250 is available to support letters of credit issued on behalf of the Company or subsidiaries of the Company. Under the Credit Facility, the Company must maintain a minimum level of consolidated net worth of \$14.9 billion. The definition of consolidated net worth under the terms of the Credit Facility, excludes AOCI and includes the Company’s outstanding junior subordinated debentures and perpetual preferred securities, net of discount. In addition, the Company’s maximum ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated total capitalization is 35%, and the ratio of consolidated total debt of subsidiaries to consolidated total capitalization is limited to 10%. As of June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants under the Credit Facility.

15. Equity

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company completed a \$500 equity repurchase program authorized on July 27, 2011 by the Board of Directors that permitted for purchases of common stock, as well as warrants and other derivative securities. The repurchases in 2012 included 8.0 million common shares for \$149, and the repurchase of all outstanding Series B and Series C warrants held by Allianz for \$300.

On March 30, 2012 the Company repurchased all of the outstanding Series B and Series C warrants (collectively, the “warrants”) held by Allianz for \$300. These warrants authorized Allianz to purchase 69,351,806 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$25.23 per share. The repurchase was settled on April 17, 2012.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

16. Restructuring and Other Costs

In addition to previously disclosed restructuring activities across several areas aimed at reducing overall expense levels, the Company also announced on March 21, 2012, the completion of its businesses and strategy evaluation. As a result of this review, the Company announced that it will focus on its Property and Casualty, Group Benefits and Mutual Fund businesses, place its existing Individual Annuity business into runoff and pursue sales or other strategic alternatives for the Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses and Woodbury Financial Services, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary.

On April 26, 2012, the Company announced that it had entered into an agreement to sell its U.S. individual annuity new business capabilities to a third party. Effective May 1, 2012, all new U.S. annuity policies sold by the Company are being reinsured to a third party. The Company will cease the sale of such annuity policies and the reinsurance agreement will terminate as to new business in the second quarter of 2013. The reinsurance agreement has no impact on in-force policies issued on or before April 27, 2012.

On July 31, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell Woodbury Financial Services to a third party. The transaction is expected to close by the end of 2012, pending regulatory approval.

These plans will result in termination benefits to current employees, costs to terminate leases and other contracts and asset impairment charges. The Company intends to complete much of these restructuring activities over the next 12-18 months.

Termination benefits related to workforce reductions and lease and other contract terminations have been accrued through June 30, 2012. Additional costs are expected to be incurred in subsequent quarters and such costs will be accrued when appropriate. Asset impairment charges have been recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2012 and will be recorded in subsequent quarters, as appropriate.

The total costs associated with restructuring and other as estimated to date, are expected to be approximately \$180, pre-tax, with the additional costs attributable mainly to severance and other related costs and professional fees. Actual costs associated with restructuring may differ from these estimates as the Company executes on its operational and strategic initiatives.

Restructuring and other costs, pre-tax incurred in connection with these activities are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Severance benefits and related costs	\$29	\$—	\$38	\$—
Professional fees	13	—	13	—
Asset impairment charges	5	—	5	—
Other contract termination charges	1	—	1	—
Total restructuring and other costs	\$48	\$—	\$57	\$—

The following table presents restructuring costs, included in insurance operating costs and other expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate category.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		Total Estimated Costs
	June 30,		June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Commercial Markets	\$4	\$—	\$4	\$—	\$11
Consumer Markets	1	—	1	—	3
Individual Life	7	—	7	—	22
Retirement Plans	4	—	4	—	15
Mutual Funds	1	—	1	—	4
Life Other Operations	3	—	3	—	11

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Corporate	28	—	37	—	114
Total restructuring and other costs	\$48	\$—	\$57	\$—	\$180

Changes in the accrued restructuring liability balance included in other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012				
	Severance Benefits and Professional Related Fees Costs	Asset impairment charges	Other Contract Termination Charges	Total Restructuring and Other Costs	
Balance, beginning of period	\$12	\$—	\$—	\$5	\$17
Accruals/provisions	38	13	5	1	57
Payments/ write-offs	(22))(9)(4)(3)(38
Balance, end of period	\$28	\$4	\$1	\$3	\$36

68

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

17. Subsequent Events

On July 31, 2012 the Company announced it had entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Woodbury Financial Services broker dealer. The transaction is expected to generate a modest gain, with no material impact to the Company's 2013 earnings. The transaction is expected to close by the end of 2012, pending regulatory approvals. On July 13, 2012, the Company closed the sale transaction with Philadelphia Financial Group, Inc. ("Philadelphia Financial") whereby Philadelphia Financial acquired certain assets used to administer the Company's private placement life insurance ("PPLI") businesses and will service the PPLI businesses. The Company retained certain corporate functions associated with this business as well as the mortality risk on the insurance policies. The Company recorded a deferred gain of \$61 after-tax, which will be amortized over the estimated life of the underlying insurance policies. The deferred gain is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations in future periods. The assets and liabilities of the PPLI business are included in the Life Other Operations segment.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollar amounts in millions except share data unless otherwise stated)

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") addresses the financial condition of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, "The Hartford" or the "Company") as of June 30, 2012, compared with December 31, 2011, and its results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the equivalent 2011 period. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the MD&A in The Hartford's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial information to conform to the current period classifications. Also, prior period amounts have been retrospectively reclassified to reflect discontinued operations, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on discontinued operations.

On January 1, 2012, the Company retrospectively adopted Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-26, Financial Services – Insurance (Topic 944): Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts which clarifies the definition of policy acquisition costs that are eligible for deferral. Previously reported financial information has been revised to reflect the effect of the Company's adoption of this accounting standard. For further information regarding the effect of adoption of this accounting standard, see Note 1 and Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Hartford defines increases or decreases greater than or equal to 200%, or changes from a net gain to a net loss position, or vice versa, as "NM" or not meaningful.

INDEX

Description	Page
<u>Consolidated Results of Operations</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Outlooks</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>The Hartford's Operations Overview</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Key Performance Measures and Ratios</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Property & Casualty Commercial</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Group Benefits</u>	<u>97</u>
<u>Consumer Markets</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>Individual Life</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Retirement Plans</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>Mutual Funds</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Life Other Operations</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Property & Casualty Other Operations</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Corporate</u>	<u>107</u>
<u>Enterprise Risk Management</u>	<u>108</u>
<u>Capital Resources and Liquidity</u>	<u>129</u>
<u>Impact of New Accounting Standards</u>	<u>136</u>

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		Change	June 30,		Change
	2012	2011		2012	2011	
Earned premiums	\$3,400	\$3,545	(4 %)	\$6,842	\$7,064	(3 %)
Fee income	1,114	1,219	(9 %)	2,248	2,428	(7 %)
Net investment income (loss):						
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,097	1,104	(1 %)	2,167	2,212	(2 %)
Equity securities, trading [1]	(1,687)	(597)	(183 %)	1,179	206	NM
Total net investment income (loss)	(590)	507	NM	3,346	2,418	38 %
Net realized capital gains (losses)	589	69	NM	(321)	(334)	4 %
Other revenues	61	61	— %	120	125	(4 %)
Total revenues	4,574	5,401	(15 %)	12,235	11,701	5 %
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	3,621	3,976	(9 %)	6,659	7,154	(7 %)
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses – returns credited on international variable annuities [1]	(1,686)	(597)	(182 %)	1,178	206	NM
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits (“DAC”)	554	592	(6 %)	875	1,042	(16 %)
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	1,309	1,452	(10 %)	2,621	2,806	(7 %)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	910	—	— %	910	—	— %
Interest expense	115	128	(10 %)	239	256	(7 %)
Total benefits, losses and expenses	4,823	5,551	(13 %)	12,482	11,464	9 %
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(249)	(150)	(66 %)	(247)	237	NM
Income tax benefit	(149)	(263)	43 %	(244)	(215)	(13 %)
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	(100)	113	NM	(3)	452	NM
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1)	(80)	99 %	(2)	82	NM
Net income (loss)	\$(101)	\$33	NM	\$(5)	\$534	NM
Supplemental Operating Data						
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders per diluted common share	\$(0.25)	\$0.21	NM	\$(0.05)	\$0.89	NM
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per diluted common share	(0.26)	0.05	NM	(0.06)	1.06	NM
Total revenues, excluding net investment income on equity securities, trading	6,261	5,998	4 %	11,056	11,495	(4 %)
Summary of Financial Condition				June 30,	December 31,	
Total assets				2012	2011	
Total investments, excluding equity securities, trading				\$303,977	\$302,609	
Total stockholders’ equity				105,720	104,449	
				21,961	21,486	

Includes investment income and mark-to-market effects of equity securities, trading, supporting the international [1] variable annuity business, which are classified in net investment income with corresponding amounts credited to policyholders within benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease) From 2012 to 2011	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease) From 2012 to 2011
Net income (loss) by segment						
Property & Casualty Commercial	\$ 149	\$ 118	\$ 31	\$ 338	\$ 441	\$ (103)
Group Benefits	35	41	(6)	53	52	1
Commercial Markets	184	159	25	391	493	(102)
Consumer Markets	(50)	(172)	122	58	(64)	122
Individual Life	36	46	(10)	55	64	(9)
Retirement Plans	(2)	27	(29)	16	32	(16)
Mutual Funds	18	27	(9)	38	55	(17)
Wealth Management	52	100	(48)	109	151	(42)
Life Other Operations	406	261	145	199	334	(135)
Property & Casualty Other Operations	(15)	(164)	149	12	(143)	155
Corporate	(678)	(151)	(527)	(774)	(237)	(537)
Total net income (loss)	\$(101)	\$33	\$ (134)	\$(5)	\$534	\$ (539)

Three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011

The decrease in net income (loss) from 2011 to 2012 was primarily due to the following items:

A loss on extinguishment of debt of \$587, after-tax, recognized in the second quarter of 2012 related to the repurchase of all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount held by Allianz. The loss consisted of the premium associated with repurchasing the 10% Debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs related to the 10% Debentures and other costs related to the repurchase transaction.

An increase in the Unlock charge to \$146, after-tax, in 2012 from \$66, after-tax, in 2011. The Unlock charge in 2012 was primarily due to actual separate account returns being below our aggregated estimated returns. The Unlock charge in 2011 was driven by the impact of changes to the macro hedge program. For further discussion see Unlocks within the Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

Partially offsetting the decrease in net income were the following items:

Net realized capital gains increased primarily due to the results of the international variable annuity hedge program. The gains resulted from appreciation of the yen, a decline in global and domestic equity markets, and a decrease in interest rates. For further discussion of the results, see Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses) within Investment Results of Key Performance Measures and Ratios. For information on the related sensitivities of the variable annuity hedging program, see Variable Product Guarantee Risks and Risk Management within Enterprise Risk Management.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$189, after-tax, in 2012, primarily due to severe thunderstorms and hail events in the South, Midwest and Mid-Atlantic states, compared to \$290, after-tax in 2011, primarily due to severe tornadoes and windstorms in the Midwest and South.

Net asbestos reserve strengthening of \$31, after-tax, in 2012, compared to \$189, after tax, in 2011 resulting from the Company's annual review of its asbestos liabilities. For further information, see Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims with the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

Table of Contents

Six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011

The decrease in net income (loss) from 2011 to 2012 was primarily due to the following items:

A loss on extinguishment of debt of \$587, after-tax, recognized in the second quarter of 2012 related to the repurchase of all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount held by Allianz. The loss consisted of the premium associated with repurchasing the 10% Debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs related to the 10% Debentures and other costs related to the repurchase transaction.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax, decreased, primarily due to the realized capital gain on the sale of Specialty Risk Services of \$150, after-tax, in the first quarter of 2011, which was partially offset by a loss of \$74, after-tax, from the disposition of Federal Trust Corporation in the second quarter of 2011.

Partially offsetting the decrease in net income were the following items:

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$235, after-tax, in 2012, primarily due to severe thunderstorms, hail events, and tornadoes in the South, Midwest and Mid-Atlantic states, compared to \$341, after-tax in 2011, primarily due to severe tornadoes and windstorms in the Midwest and South, as well as, winter storms in the Northeast and Midwest.

Net asbestos reserve strengthening of \$31, after-tax, in 2012, compared to \$189, after tax, in 2011 resulting from the Company's annual review of its asbestos liabilities. For further information, see Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims with the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

An Unlock benefit of \$68, after-tax, in 2012, compared to an Unlock charge of \$9, after-tax, in 2011. The Unlock benefit in 2012 was driven by actual separate account returns being above our aggregated estimated returns. The Unlock charge in 2011 was primarily due to the impact of changes to the macro hedge program. For further discussion of Unlocks see the Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

See the segment sections of MD&A for a discussion on their respective performances.

Table of Contents

OUTLOOKS

The Hartford provides projections and other forward-looking information in the following discussions, which contain many forward-looking statements, particularly relating to the Company's future financial performance. These forward-looking statements are estimates based on information currently available to the Company, are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to the precautionary statements set forth on pages 3-4 of this Form 10-Q. Actual results are likely to differ, and in the past have differed, materially from those forecast by the Company, depending on the outcome of various factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in each discussion below and in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in The Hartford's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report; Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors in The Hartford's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012; and Part II, Item IA, Risk Factors of this Form 10-Q.

Overview

In the first quarter of 2012, The Hartford concluded an evaluation of its strategy and business portfolio deciding to focus on its property and casualty and group benefits businesses of the Commercial Markets and Consumer Markets divisions, and the mutual funds business of the Wealth Management division. This focus is expected to position the organization for higher returns on equity, reduced sensitivity to capital markets, a lower cost of capital and increased financial flexibility. As a result, in the second quarter of 2012, the Company placed its Individual Annuity business into runoff and is pursuing sales or other strategic alternatives for the Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses and Woodbury Financial Services, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary. A purchase and sale agreement for the Company's U.S. individual annuity new business capabilities was entered into with Forethought Financial Group in mid-June 2012, and the anticipated transaction closing date is in late 2012 or early 2013. Effective May 1, 2012, all new U.S. annuity policies sold by the Company are reinsured to Forethought Life Insurance Company. The Company will cease the sale of such annuity policies and the reinsurance agreement will terminate as to new business in the second quarter of 2013. The reinsurance agreement has no impact on in-force policies issued on or before April 27, 2012. In addition, on July 31, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell Woodbury Financial Services to a third party. The transaction is expected to close by the end of 2012, pending regulatory approval.

The performance of The Hartford's insurance protection and asset accumulation businesses may be adversely impacted due to slow economic and employment expansion where customers may change their levels of insurance and/or savings based on anticipated economic conditions. In addition, the performance of The Hartford's divisions is subject to uncertainty due to capital market conditions, which impact the earnings of its asset management businesses and valuations and earnings in its investment portfolio. The current and future interest rate environment also affects the performance of the Company's divisions. A sustained low interest rate environment would result in lower net investment income, lower estimated gross profits on certain products, lower margins and increased pension expense.

Commercial Markets

Commercial Markets focuses on growth through market-differentiated products and services while maintaining a disciplined underwriting approach. In Property & Casualty Commercial, improving market conditions are expected to continue, which should enable the Company to achieve price increases, while a slowly recovering economy is anticipated to drive an increase in insurance exposures. Written premium increased 2% in the first half of 2012 and the Company expects low to mid single-digit written premium growth for the full year, as compared to 2011. This growth reflects the combination of our current market position, expanded distribution, a broadening of underwriting expertise focused on selected industries, and product initiatives launched in the past several years. More specifically, this growth is anticipated to be driven by small commercial, with programs aimed at growing total policy counts, the rollout of new product enhancements, a leveraging of the payroll model, and the continued expansion of ease of doing business technology, while management expects middle market growth to be tempered as a result of pricing actions taken to restore returns to adequate levels. The Property & Casualty Commercial combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development is expected to remain in the mid to upper 90s for full year 2012 as compared to the 97.3% achieved in 2011. Earned price increases are expected to flow through the book, while loss costs are not expected to change dramatically. In Group Benefits, premiums declined in the first half of 2012 and are expected to decline for the full year, as compared to 2011, reflecting the competitive environment coupled with pricing actions implemented with the goal of improving profitability. Over time, as employers design benefit strategies to attract and

retain employees, while attempting to control their benefit costs, management believes that the need for the Company's products will expand. The Company believes that this combined with the significant number of employees who currently do not have coverage or adequate levels of coverage, creates continued opportunities for our products and services. The Company expects Group Benefits' loss ratio to remain elevated for full year 2012 given the expectation of persistent heightened incidence, lower claim terminations and higher severity in group long term disability.

Table of Contents

Consumer Markets

The Company expects written premium to decline for full year 2012, as compared to 2011, including a decrease in AARP direct and in business sold through independent agents other than to AARP members. Despite an improvement in policy retention in the first half of 2012 and an increase in new business in 2012, management expects that non-renewed premium will exceed new business in 2012 resulting in an overall decline in written premium. In 2012, management expects it will sustain its improvement in policy retention but that retention will continue to be affected by the impact of renewal written pricing increases in a price sensitive market. Within the Agency channel, policy retention will also be affected by continued pricing and underwriting actions to improve profitability, including efforts to reposition the book into more mature, preferred market business. The Company expects new business to continue to increase in 2012, primarily driven by AARP member business, both direct and through independent agents, as well as new business from affinities other than AARP and other targeted consumer direct marketing. New business is expected to benefit from the recent rollouts of the Open Road Advantage and the Hartford Home Advantage products. Management expects that the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development will be flat to slightly lower for full year 2012, as compared to the 91.9% achieved in 2011, as an improvement in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes will be largely offset by an expected increase in the underwriting expense ratio. For both auto and home, the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes is expected to improve during 2012, driven by earned pricing increases and lower claim frequency in home, partially offset by an expected increase in average claim severity. The rate of increase in auto liability frequency increased to mid-single digits for claims occurring in the first quarter of 2012 but has since returned to low single-digits in the second quarter of 2012.

Wealth Management

In light of The Hartford's strategic review, Wealth Management currently focuses on growing the Mutual Funds business and pursuing sales or other strategic alternatives for Individual Life and Retirement Plans. Wealth Management's Mutual Funds business has been offering new funds to improve participation in asset classes where we see potential growth opportunities. In addition, the Company has partnered with Wellington Management Company, LLP ("Wellington Management") to serve as the sole sub-advisor for The Hartford's non-proprietary mutual funds and certain proprietary fixed income funds. Due to the Company's recent announcement and certain actions taken by ratings agencies, we expect a decline in Individual Life sales for the full year, as compared to 2011, and certain distributors have suspended sales of our products. Retirement Plans is focused on customer retention as well as preserving the value of the business, however, as a result of the announcement to pursue the sale of this business, we expect that sales may decline and surrender rates may increase throughout the second half of 2012. The Hartford seeks to maximize the business value of its Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses as we pursue sales or other strategic alternatives for these businesses.

Runoff Operations

The Runoff Operations division consists of Life Other Operations and Property & Casualty Other Operations. The objective of the Runoff Operations division is to focus on managing profitability, improving capital efficiency and effectiveness, and limiting and managing risk associated with the businesses residing in the division with the ultimate objective of isolating or separating these risks from the ongoing businesses of the Company. During April 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell its U.S. annuity new business capabilities to Forethought Financial Group and placed this business into runoff. Effective May 1, 2012, all new U.S annuity policies are fully reinsured to Forethought Life Insurance Company. This reinsurance agreement will remain in place through the close of the transaction which is expected to be mid 2013. The Hartford will continue to manage, service and meet all obligations under existing annuity contracts. The focus of the Runoff Operations division is on mitigating and isolating the risks of the in-force annuities. In addition, Life Other Operations includes The Hartford's international fixed and variable annuity business, institutional annuities business and Private Placement Life Insurance business. The international variable annuity business within Life Other Operations will continue to be a significant driver of earnings variability as these programs generate mark to market gains and losses while the underlying international liabilities being hedged are not marked to market. This can result in unpredictable earnings volatility period to period. Property & Casualty

Other Operations is focused on managing the Company's asbestos, environmental and other legacy liabilities. The results of the annual ground up studies of asbestos and environmental reserves will be the primary driver impacting the results for this segment.

75

Table of Contents

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”), requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ, and in the past have differed, from those estimates.

The Company has identified the following estimates as critical in that they involve a higher degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability:

- property and casualty insurance product reserves, net of reinsurance;
- estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts;
- evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and valuation allowances on investments;
- living benefits required to be fair valued (in other policyholder funds and benefits payable);
- goodwill impairment;
- valuation of investments and derivative instruments;
- pension and other postretirement benefit obligations;
- valuation allowance on deferred tax assets; and
- contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters.

Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements. In developing these estimates management makes subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material change as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, management believes the amounts provided are appropriate based upon the facts available upon compilation of the financial statements.

Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance

Based on the results of the quarterly reserve review process, the Company determines the appropriate reserve adjustments, if any, to record. Recorded reserve estimates are changed after consideration of numerous factors, including but not limited to, the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves, improvement or deterioration of actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past and the level of volatility within a particular line of business. In general, adjustments are made more quickly to more mature accident years and less volatile lines of business. Such adjustments of reserves are referred to as “reserve development”. Reserve development that increases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called “reserve strengthening”. Reserve development that decreases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called “reserve releases”. Reserve development can influence the comparability of year over year underwriting results and is set forth in the paragraphs and tables that follow.

Table of Contents

Reserve Roll Forwards and Development

A roll-forward follows of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

	Property & Casualty Commercial	Consumer Markets	Property & Casualty Other Operations	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$15,437	\$2,061	\$4,052	\$21,550
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,343	9	681	3,033
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	13,094	2,052	3,371	18,517
Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses				
Current accident year before catastrophes	2,022	1,169	—	3,191
Current accident year catastrophes	106	255	—	361
Prior accident years	39	(78) 59	20
Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	2,167	1,346	59	3,572
Less: Payments	2,042	1,349	175	3,566
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	13,219	2,049	3,255	18,523
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,314	8	690	3,012
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$15,533	\$2,057	\$3,945	\$21,535
Earned premiums	\$3,109	\$1,813		
Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1]	65.6	74.4		
Loss and loss expense incurred ratio	69.7	74.2		
Prior accident years development (pts) [2]	1.3	(4.3)	

[1] The “loss and loss expense paid ratio” represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.

[2] “Prior accident years development (pts)” represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.

Prior accident years development recorded in 2012

Included within prior accident years development for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were the following reserve strengthenings (releases):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

	Property & Casualty Commercial	Consumer Markets	Property & Casualty Other Operations	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
Auto liability	\$19	\$(11) \$—	\$8
Homeowners	—	(1) —	(1
Professional liability	9	—	—	9
Package business	(16) —	—	(16
Workers’ compensation	43	—	—	43
General liability	(24) —	—	(24

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Fidelity and surety	10	—	—	10
Commercial property	4	—	—	4
Net asbestos reserves	—	—	48	48
Net environmental reserves	—	—	3	3
Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion	8	—	—	8
Catastrophes	(39) (9) —	(48
Other reserve re-estimates, net	5	(2) 2	5
Total prior accident years development	\$19	\$(23) \$53	\$49

77

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

	Property & Casualty Commercial	Consumer Markets	Property & Casualty Other Operations	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
Auto liability	\$31	\$(41) \$—	\$(10)
Homeowners	—	(6) —	(6)
Professional liability	18	—	—	18
Package business	(32) —	—	(32)
Workers' compensation	51	—	—	51
General liability	(40) —	—	(40)
Fidelity and surety	11	—	—	11
Commercial property	(6) —	—	(6)
Net asbestos reserves	—	—	48	48
Net environmental reserves	—	—	8	8
Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion	37	—	—	37
Catastrophes	(36) (23) —	(59)
Other reserve re-estimates, net	5	(8) 3	—
Total prior accident years development	\$39	\$(78) \$59	\$20

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company's re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims, primarily for accident years 2008 through 2010. As these accident years matured, favorable bodily injury severity trends were observed and management has placed more weight on the emerged experience.

Strengthened reserves for commercial auto liability claims, primarily for accident year 2011. Higher than expected bodily injury severity has been observed for this accident year.

Strengthened reserves for professional liability directors and officers claims for accident years 2010 and prior as a result of higher severity, primarily for mid-sized accounts.

Released reserves in package business liability coverages and general liability, primarily for accident years 2006 through 2011. Claim severity emergence for these years was lower than expected and management has placed more weight on the emerged experience.

Strengthened reserves in workers' compensation primarily due to the emergence of lost time claims from 2011.

Released reserves in commercial property for accident year 2011. Loss emergence for this accident year was favorable to expectations.

The change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion, primarily reflects a decrease in the number of tabular claims, and to a lesser extent, the decrease in interest rates.

Reserve releases on certain prior year catastrophes, primarily related to 2001 World Trade Center worker's compensation claims.

Refer to the Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on net asbestos and net environmental reserves.

Table of Contents

A roll forward follows of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the six months ended ended June 30, 2011:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

	Property & Casualty Commercial	Consumer Markets	Property & Casualty Other Operations	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$14,727	\$2,177	\$4,121	\$21,025
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,361	17	699	3,077
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	12,366	2,160	3,422	17,948
Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses				
Current accident year before catastrophes	1,912	1,239	1	3,152
Current accident year catastrophes	212	313	—	525
Prior accident years	25	(49) 290	266
Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	2,149	1,503	291	3,943
Less: Payments	1,852	1,494	198	3,544
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	12,663	2,169	3,515	18,347
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,356	6	751	3,113
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$15,019	\$2,175	\$4,266	\$21,460
Earned premiums	\$3,015	\$1,895		
Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1]	61.4	78.8		
Loss and loss expense incurred ratio	71.3	79.4		
Prior accident years development (pts) [2]	0.8	(2.6)	

[1] The “loss and loss expense paid ratio” represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.

[2] “Prior accident years development (pts)” represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.

Table of Contents

Prior accident years development recorded in 2011

Included within prior accident years development for the three and six months ended ended June 30, 2011 were the following reserve strengthenings (releases):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

	Property & Casualty Commercial	Consumer Markets	Property & Casualty Other Operations	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
Auto liability	\$—	\$ (9) \$—	\$ (9)
Homeowners	—	1	—	1
Professional liability	2	—	—	2
Package business	3	—	—	3
Workers' compensation	4	—	—	4
General liability	6	—	—	6
Fidelity and surety	(2) —	—	(2)
Commercial property	(7) —	—	(7)
Net asbestos reserves	—	—	290	290
Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion	10	—	—	10
Catastrophes	10	9	—	19
Other reserve re-estimates, net	5	(1) (4) —
Total prior accident years development	\$31	\$—	\$286	\$317

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

	Property & Casualty Commercial	Consumer Markets	Property & Casualty Other Operations	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
Auto liability	\$(1) \$(64) \$—	\$(65)
Homeowners	—	(13) —	(13)
Professional liability	(7) —	—	(7)
Package business	(4) —	—	(4)
Workers' compensation	3	—	—	3
General liability	12	—	—	12
Fidelity and surety	(2) —	—	(2)
Commercial property	(5) —	—	(5)
Net asbestos reserves	—	—	290	290
Net environmental reserves	—	—	2	2
Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion	17	—	—	17
Catastrophes	5	28	—	33
Other reserve re-estimates, net	7	—	(2) 5
Total prior accident years development	\$25	\$(49) \$290	\$266

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company's re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily for accident years 2005 through 2010. Favorable trends in reported severity persisted over this time period. As these accident years developed, the uncertainty around the ultimate losses was reduced and management placed more weight on the emerged experience.

•

Released homeowners' reserves, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, due to favorable emergence losses primarily for accident years 2009 and 2010. This was partially driven by an increase in the speed at which claims are being settled, a trend that is expected to continue as these accident years develop.

Strengthened reserves for general liability and high hazard liability, for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, driven by increasing indications for allocated claim handling cost primarily in accident years 2006 through 2010.

• Prior year catastrophe strengthening, for the three and six month period, primarily related to a severe wind and hail storm event in Arizona during the fourth quarter of 2010.

• Refer to the Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on net asbestos and net environmental reserves.

Table of Contents

Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims

Reserve Activity

Reserves and reserve activity in Property & Casualty Other Operations are categorized and reported as asbestos, environmental, or “all other”. The “all other” category of reserves covers a wide range of insurance and assumed reinsurance coverages, including, but not limited to, potential liability for construction defects, lead paint, silica, pharmaceutical products, molestation and other long-tail liabilities.

The following table presents reserve activity, inclusive of estimates for both reported and incurred but not reported claims, net of reinsurance, categorized by asbestos, environmental and all other claims, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

Property & Casualty Other Operations Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	Asbestos	Environmental	All Other [1]	Total
Beginning liability—net [2][3]	\$1,840	\$311	\$1,123	\$3,274
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	48	3	2	53
Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid	(31)	(10)	(31)	(72)
Ending liability – net [2][3]	\$1,857	[4] \$304	\$1,094	\$3,255

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Asbestos	Environmental	All Other [1]	Total
Beginning liability—net [2][3]	\$1,892	\$320	\$1,159	\$3,371
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	48	8	3	59
Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid	(83)	(24)	(68)	(175)
Ending liability – net [2][3]	\$1,857	[4] \$304	\$1,094	\$3,255

[1] “All Other” includes unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves. “All Other” also includes The Company's allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. When the Company commutes a ceded reinsurance contract or settles a ceded reinsurance dispute, the portion of the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance attributable to that commutation or settlement, if any, is reclassified to the appropriate cause of loss.

[2] Excludes amounts reported in Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets reporting segments (collectively “Ongoing Operations”) for asbestos and environmental net liabilities of \$14 and \$8, respectively, as of June 30, 2012, \$15 and \$8, respectively, as of March 31, 2012 and \$15 and \$8, respectively, as of December 31, 2011; total net losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 includes \$4 and \$7, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims; and total net losses and loss adjustment expenses paid for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 includes \$8 and \$8, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

[3] Gross of reinsurance, asbestos and environmental reserves, including liabilities in Ongoing Operations, were \$2,421 and \$350, respectively, as of June 30, 2012, \$2,388 and \$357, respectively, as of March 31, 2012 and \$2,442 and \$367, respectively, as of December 31, 2011.

The one year and average three year net paid amounts for asbestos claims, including Ongoing Operations, are \$181 and \$232, respectively, resulting in a one year net survival ratio of 10.4 and a three year net survival ratio of 8.1.

[4] Net survival ratio is the quotient of the net carried reserves divided by the average annual payment amount and is an indication of the number of years that the net carried reserve would last (i.e. survive) if the future annual claim payments were consistent with the calculated historical average.

For paid and incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses reporting, the Company classifies its asbestos and environmental reserves into three categories: Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. Direct insurance includes primary and excess coverage. Assumed reinsurance includes both “treaty” reinsurance (covering broad categories of claims or blocks of business) and “facultative” reinsurance (covering specific risks or individual policies of primary or excess insurance companies). London Market business includes the business written by one or more of the Company’s subsidiaries in the United Kingdom, which are no longer active in the insurance or reinsurance business. Such business includes both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance.

Of the three categories of claims (Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market), direct policies tend to have the greatest factual development from which to estimate the Company's exposures.

Assumed reinsurance exposures are inherently less predictable than direct insurance exposures because the Company may not receive notice of a reinsurance claim until the underlying direct insurance claim is mature. This causes a delay in the receipt of information at the reinsurer level and adds to the uncertainty of estimating related reserves.

London Market exposures are the most uncertain of the three categories of claims. As a participant in the London Market (comprised of both Lloyd's of London and London Market companies), certain subsidiaries of the Company wrote business on a subscription basis, with those subsidiaries' involvement being limited to a relatively small percentage of a total contract placement. Claims are reported, via a broker, to the "lead" underwriter and, once agreed to, are presented to the following markets for concurrence. This reporting and claim agreement process makes estimating liabilities for this business the most uncertain of the three categories of claims.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for the three months ended June 30, 2012, paid and incurred loss activity by the three categories of claims for asbestos and environmental.

Paid and Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (“LAE”) Development – Asbestos and Environmental

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	Asbestos [1]		Environmental [1]	
	Paid Losses & LAE	Incurred Losses & LAE	Paid Losses & LAE	Incurred Losses & LAE
Gross				
Direct	\$27	\$55	\$5	\$2
Assumed Reinsurance	9	14	6	—
London Market	4	5	—	3
Total	40	74	11	5
Ceded	(9) (26) (1) (2
Net	\$31	\$48	\$10	\$3
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Paid		Incurred	
	Losses & LAE	Losses & LAE	Losses & LAE	Losses & LAE
Gross				
Direct	\$65	\$55	\$19	\$7
Assumed Reinsurance	21	14	6	—
London Market	8	5	1	3
Total	94	74	26	10
Ceded	(11) (26) (2) (2
Net	\$83	\$48	\$24	\$8

Excludes asbestos and environmental paid and incurred loss and LAE reported in Ongoing Operations. Total gross losses and LAE incurred in Ongoing Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 includes \$3 and [1]\$7, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims. Total gross losses and LAE paid in Ongoing Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 includes \$5 and \$9, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company completed its annual ground-up asbestos reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to asbestos liability, as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance. Based on this evaluation, the Company increased its net asbestos reserves by \$48. The Company found estimates for individual cases changed based upon the particular circumstances of such accounts. These changes were case specific and not as a result of any underlying change in the current environment. The Company experienced moderate increases in claim severity, expense and costs associated with litigating asbestos coverage matters, particularly against certain smaller, more peripheral insureds. The Company also experienced unfavorable development on certain of its assumed reinsurance accounts driven largely by the same factors experienced by the direct policyholders. The Company currently expects to continue to perform an evaluation of its asbestos liabilities annually.

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company completed its annual ground-up environmental reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to environmental liability, as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct and assumed reinsurance. Based on this evaluation, the Company found estimates for certain individual account exposures increased based upon unfavorable litigation results and increased clean-up or expense costs. The Company currently expects to continue to perform a ground-up evaluation of its environmental liabilities annually and regularly evaluate the Company's historical direct net loss and expense paid and reported experience, and net loss and expense paid and reported experience by calendar and/or report year, to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and reported activity.

Table of Contents

The Company divides its gross asbestos and environmental exposures into Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. Direct asbestos exposures include Major Asbestos Defendants, Non-Major Accounts, and Unallocated Direct Accounts.

Major Asbestos Defendants represent the “Top 70” accounts in Tillinghast's published Tiers 1 and 2 and Wellington accounts. Major Asbestos Defendants have the fewest number of asbestos accounts and include reserves related to PPG Industries, Inc. (“PPG”). In January 2009, the Company, along with approximately three dozen other insurers, entered into a modified agreement in principle with PPG to resolve the Company's coverage obligations for all its PPG asbestos liabilities. The agreement is contingent on the fulfillment of certain conditions. Major Asbestos Defendants gross asbestos reserves account for approximately 30% of the Company's total Direct gross asbestos reserves as of June 30, 2012.

Non-Major Accounts are all other open direct asbestos accounts and largely represent smaller and more peripheral defendants. These exposures represent 1,143 accounts and contain approximately 41% of the Company's total Direct gross asbestos reserves as of June 30, 2012.

Unallocated Direct Accounts includes an estimate of the reserves necessary for asbestos claims related to direct insureds that have not previously tendered asbestos claims to the Company and exposures related to liability claims that may not be subject to an aggregate limit under the applicable policies.

The following table displays gross asbestos and environmental reserves by category as of June 30, 2012.

Summary of Gross A&E Reserves

	Asbestos [1]	Environmental [2]	Total A&E
Gross			
Direct	\$ 1,810	\$ 258	\$ 2,068
Assumed Reinsurance	340	33	373
London Market	271	59	330
Total	2,421	350	2,771
Ceded	(548)	(38)	(586)
Net	\$ 1,873	\$ 312	\$ 2,185

The one year gross paid amount for total asbestos claims is \$225, resulting in a one year gross survival ratio of [1]10.7. The three year average gross paid amount for total asbestos claims is \$293, resulting in a three year gross survival ratio of 8.3.

The one year gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$67, resulting in a one year gross survival ratio of [2]5.2. The three year average gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$60, resulting in a three year gross survival ratio of 5.8.

Uncertainties Regarding Adequacy of Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

A number of factors affect the variability of estimates for asbestos and environmental reserves including assumptions with respect to the frequency of claims, the average severity of those claims settled with payment, the dismissal rate of claims with no payment and the expense to indemnity ratio. The uncertainty with respect to the underlying reserve assumptions for asbestos and environmental adds a greater degree of variability to these reserve estimates than reserve estimates for more traditional exposures. While this variability is reflected in part in the size of the range of reserves developed by the Company, that range may still not be indicative of the potential variance between the ultimate outcome and the recorded reserves. The recorded net reserves as of June 30, 2012 of \$2.18 billion (\$1.87 billion and \$312 for asbestos and environmental, respectively) is within an estimated range, unadjusted for covariance, of \$1.74 billion to \$2.53 billion. The process of estimating asbestos and environmental reserves remains subject to a wide variety of uncertainties, which are detailed in the Company's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report. The Company believes that its current asbestos and environmental reserves are appropriate. However, analyses of future developments could cause the Company to change its estimates and ranges of its asbestos and environmental reserves, and the effect of these changes could be material to the Company's consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

Consistent with the Company's long-standing reserve practices, the Company will continue to review and monitor its reserves in Property & Casualty Other Operations regularly, including its annual reviews of asbestos liabilities, reinsurance recoverables and the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, and environmental liabilities, and where future developments indicate, make appropriate adjustments to the reserves. For a discussion of the Company's reserving practices, see the Critical Accounting Estimates - Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section of the MD&A included in the Company's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Table of Contents

Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts

Estimated gross profits (“EGPs”) are used in the amortization of the DAC asset, which includes the present value of future profits; sales inducement assets (“SIA”); and unearned revenue reserves (“URR”). See Note 1 and Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on DAC. See Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on SIA. Portions of EGPs are also used in the valuation of reserves for death and other insurance benefit features on variable annuity and universal life-type contracts. See Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on death and other insurance benefit reserves.

The most significant EGP based asset and liability balances are as follows:

	Individual Life		Retirement Plans		Life Other Operations	
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
DAC	\$2,008	\$ 2,002	\$288	\$ 304	\$3,408	\$ 3,625
SIA	\$48	\$ 47	\$22	\$ 22	\$353	\$ 365
URR	\$1,675	\$ 1,570	\$—	\$—	\$109	\$ 128
Death and Other Insurance Benefit Reserves	\$289	\$ 228	\$—	\$ 1	\$2,662	\$ 3,449

Unlocks

The after-tax (charge) benefit to net income (loss) by asset and liability as a result of the Unlocks for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Individual Life		Retirement Plans		Life Other Operations		Total	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
DAC	\$(3)	\$(2)	\$(3)	\$(3)	\$(52)	\$(53)	\$(58)	\$(58)
SIA	—	—	—	—	(3)	(7)	(3)	(7)
URR	2	1	—	—	3	2	5	3
Death and Other Insurance Benefit Reserves	1	—	—	—	(91)	(4)	(90)	(4)
Total	\$—	\$(1)	\$(3)	\$(3)	\$(143)	\$(62)	\$(146)	\$(66)

The Unlock charge for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to actual separate account returns being below our aggregated estimated returns. The Unlock charge for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was driven by the impact of changes to the macro hedge program. International Annuity's Unlock charge increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year period and represents \$100 and \$11, respectively, of the Unlock charge for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for the Life Other Operations segment. Lower than expected separate account returns drove International Annuity's Unlock charge for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. U.S. Annuity's Unlock charge decreased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year period and represents \$43 and \$52, respectively, of the Unlock charge for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for the Life Other Operations segment. Lower than expected separate account returns drove U.S. Annuity's Unlock charge in 2012. The impact of increased hedging costs in the U.S. hedge program drove U.S. Annuity's Unlock charge in 2011.

The after-tax (charge) benefit to net income (loss) by asset and liability as a result of the Unlocks for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Individual Life		Retirement Plans		Life Other Operations		Total	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
DAC	\$(4)	\$(3)	\$4	\$(1)	\$23	\$(28)	\$23	\$(32)
SIA	—	—	—	—	—	(5)	—	(5)
URR	2	1	—	—	2	1	4	2
	(6)	—	—	—	47	26	41	26

Death and Other
Insurance Benefit
Reserves

Total \$(8) \$(2) \$4 \$(1) \$72 \$(6) \$68 \$(9)

The Unlock benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was driven by actual separate account returns, particularly in the first three months of the period, being above our aggregated estimated returns. The Unlock charge for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to the impact of changes to the macro hedge program.

84

Table of Contents

International Annuity's Unlock benefit increased for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year period and represents \$25 and \$3, respectively, of the Unlock benefit (charge) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for the Life Other Operations segment. U.S. Annuity's Unlock charge increased for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year period and represents \$47 and (\$9), respectively, of the Unlock benefit (charge) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for the Life Other Operations segment.

An Unlock revises EGPs, on a quarterly basis, to reflect market updates of policyholder account value and the Company's current best estimate assumptions. Modifications to the Company's hedging programs may impact EGPs, and correspondingly impact DAC recoverability. After each quarterly Unlock, the Company also tests the aggregate recoverability of DAC by comparing the DAC balance to the present value of future EGPs. The margin between the DAC balance and the present value of future EGPs for U.S. and Japan individual variable annuities was 35% and 43%, respectively, as of June 30, 2012. If the margin between the DAC asset and the present value of future EGPs is exhausted, then further reductions in EGPs would cause portions of DAC to be unrecoverable and the DAC asset would be written down to equal future EGPs.

The Company expects to complete a comprehensive non-market related policyholder behavior assumption study in the third quarter of 2012 and incorporate the results of the study into its projections of future gross profits. All assumptions changes are considered an Unlock in the period of revision.

Goodwill Impairment

The carrying value of goodwill allocated to reporting units as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Segment Goodwill	Goodwill in Corporate	Total
Group Benefits	\$—	\$138	\$138
Consumer Markets	119	—	119
Individual Life	224	118	342
Retirement Plans	87	69	156
Mutual Funds	159	92	251
Total	\$589	\$417	\$1,006

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company determined that a triggering event requiring an impairment assessment had occurred as a result of its decision to pursue sales or other strategic alternatives for the Individual Life and Retirement Plans reporting units. The Company completed interim impairment tests during the first and second quarters of 2012 for these two reporting units which resulted in no impairment of goodwill.

Retirement Plans continues to pass the first step by a significant margin and Individual Life passed step one with a margin of less than 10% between fair value and book value of the reporting unit as of June 30, 2012. The fair value of the Individual Life reporting unit is based on discounted cash flows using earnings projections on in force business and product sales. There could be a positive or negative impact on the result of step one in future periods if assumptions change about the level of economic capital, product sales, earnings projections or the weighted average cost of capital.

As the sales process progresses, information may become available that would cause the Company to re-evaluate the goodwill valuation associated with these reporting units.

Table of Contents**Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations**

The Company maintains The Hartford Retirement Plan for U.S. Employees, a U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan (the "Plan") that covers substantially all employees, as well as unfunded excess plans to provide benefits in excess of amounts permitted to be paid to participants of the Plan under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company has also entered into individual retirement agreements with certain retired directors providing for unfunded supplemental pension benefits. In addition, the Company provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees. The Company maintains international plans which represent an immaterial percentage of total pension assets, liabilities and expense and, for reporting purposes, are combined with domestic plans.

Pursuant to accounting principles related to the Company's pension and other postretirement obligations to employees under its various benefit plans, the Company is required to make a significant number of assumptions in order to calculate the related liabilities and expenses each period. The two economic assumptions that have the most impact on pension and other postretirement expense are the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. In determining the discount rate assumption, the Company utilizes a discounted cash flow analysis of the Company's pension and other postretirement obligations and currently available market and industry data. The yield curve utilized in the cash flow analysis is comprised of bonds rated Aa or higher with maturities primarily between zero and thirty years. Based on all available information, it was determined that 4.75% and 4.50% were the appropriate discount rates as of December 31, 2011 to calculate the Company's pension and other postretirement obligations and to calculate the 2012 pension and other postretirement expense, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, a 25 basis point increase/decrease in the discount rate would decrease/increase the pension and other postretirement obligations by \$157 and \$10, respectively.

The Company determines the expected long-term rate of return assumption based on an analysis of the Plan portfolio's historical compound rates of return since 1979 (the earliest date for which comparable portfolio data is available) and over 5 year and 10 year periods. The Company selected these periods, as well as shorter durations, to assess the portfolio's volatility, duration and total returns as they relate to pension obligation characteristics, which are influenced by the Company's workforce demographics. In addition, the Company also applies long-term market return assumptions to an investment mix that generally anticipates 60% fixed income securities, 20% equity securities and 20% alternative assets to derive an expected long-term rate of return. Based upon these analyses, management maintained the long-term rate of return assumption at 7.30% as of December 31, 2011. This assumption was used to determine the Company's 2012 expense.

On April 23, 2012, the Company, approved the freezing of participation and benefit accruals effective December 31, 2012. Compensation earned by employees up to December 31, 2012 shall be used for purposes of calculating benefits under the Plan but there will be no future benefit accruals after this date. Participants as of December 31, 2012 will continue to earn vesting credit with respect to their frozen accrued benefits as they continue to work. The Company announced these changes in April 2012.

As a result of these changes, the Company remeasured the Plan's benefit obligations effective April 30, 2012. The remeasurement resulted in an increase in the pension benefit obligation of \$117 pre-tax (\$76 after-tax) primarily due to a decrease in the discount rates used to remeasure the Plan obligation from 4.75% at December 31, 2011 to 4.50% at April 30, 2012, reflecting the change in market interest rates. In addition, the Company recorded a curtailment gain of \$7 during the second quarter of 2012, which was the remaining unamortized prior service cost at April 30, 2012. No other assumptions changed as a result of the remeasurement.

Pension expense reflected in the Company's results was \$59 and \$121 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and \$54 and \$107 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company estimates its 2012 pension expense will be approximately \$241 based on the updated assumptions. The savings from these changes will be partially offset by increased cost related to the enhanced benefits within The Hartford's Investment and Savings Plan, its defined contribution 401(k) savings plan, and The Hartford Excess Savings Plan ("collectively" defined contribution plans"), resulting in a net decline in benefit plan costs of approximately \$120, pre-tax annually on a go-forward basis. For further information regarding the changes to the defined contribution plans, see Note 10 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Also, in April 2012 changes to the Company's other postretirement medical, dental and life insurance coverage plans ("other postretirement plans") were approved to no longer provide subsidized coverage for current employees who retire on or after January 1, 2014. The Company announced these changes in April 2012.

The Company remeasured the other postretirement plans benefit obligations effective April 30, 2012. The remeasurement resulted in a decrease in the other postretirement plans benefit liability of \$111 pre-tax (\$72 after-tax) primarily due to the elimination of service costs for all employees not eligible to retire as of January 1, 2014 offset by a decrease in the discount rates used to remeasure the pension plan obligations from 4.50% at December 31, 2011 to 4.00% at April 30, 2012 reflecting the change in market interest rates. In addition, the Company recorded an after-tax curtailment gain of less than \$1, during the second quarter of 2012, which was the remaining unamortized prior service cost at April 30, 2012.

Other postretirement plans expense reflected in the Company's results was \$(1) and \$1 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and \$2 and \$5 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company estimates its 2012 other postretirement plans expense will be approximately \$(3) based on the updated assumptions. As a result of the freezing of the plan, the Company expects the run rate of the other postretirement expense to decline by approximately \$5 annually on a go-forward basis.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD'S OPERATIONS OVERVIEW

The Hartford is a financial holding company for a group of subsidiaries that provide property and casualty and life insurance and investment products to both individual and business customers in the United States and continues to administer business previously sold in Japan and the U.K.

The Company conducts business in four divisions, Commercial Markets, Consumer Markets, Wealth Management and Runoff Operations, each containing reporting segments. The Commercial Markets division consists of the reporting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Group Benefits. The Consumer Markets division is also the reporting segment. The Wealth Management division consists of the following reporting segments: Individual Life, Retirement Plans and Mutual Funds. The Runoff division consists of Life Other Operations and Property & Casualty Other Operations. For additional discussion regarding The Hartford's reporting segments, see Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

KEY PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND RATIOS

The Company considers several measures and ratios to be the key performance indicators for its businesses. The following discussions include the more significant ratios and measures of profitability for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Management believes that these ratios and measures are useful in understanding the underlying trends in The Hartford's businesses. However, these key performance indicators should only be used in conjunction with, and not in lieu of, the results presented in the segment discussions that follow in this MD&A. These ratios and measures may not be comparable to other performance measures used by the Company's competitors. For additional information on key performance measures and ratios, see Definitions of Non-GAAP and other measures and ratios within MD&A of The Hartford's 2011 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Definitions of Non-GAAP and other measures and ratios

Account Value

Account value includes policyholders' balances for investment contracts and reserves for future policy benefits for insurance contracts.

After-tax Margin, Core Earnings excluding Unlock

After-tax margin, core earnings excluding Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment's operating performance. After-tax margin, core earnings excluding Unlock is calculated by dividing core earnings excluding Unlocks by total core revenues excluding Unlocks. After-tax margin is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of after-tax margin to after-tax margin, core earnings excluding Unlock, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth in the After-tax Margin section within Key Performance Measures and Ratios.

Assets Under Management

Assets under management ("AUM") include account values and mutual fund assets.

Catastrophe ratio

The catastrophe ratio (a component of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio) represents the ratio of catastrophe losses incurred in the current calendar year (net of reinsurance) to earned premiums and includes catastrophe losses incurred for both the current and prior accident years. A catastrophe is an event that causes \$25 or more in industry insured property losses and affects a significant number of property and casualty policyholders and insurers. The catastrophe ratio includes the effect of catastrophe losses, but does not include the effect of reinstatement premiums.

Combined ratio

The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, the expense ratio and the policyholder dividend ratio. This ratio is a relative measurement that describes the related cost of losses and expenses for every \$100 of earned premiums. A combined ratio below 100.0 demonstrates underwriting profit; a combined ratio above 100.0 demonstrates underwriting losses.

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development

The combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, a non-GAAP financial measure, represents the combined ratio for the current accident year, excluding the impact of catastrophes. Combined ratio is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of combined ratio to combined ratio before prior accident year reserve development for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth in the

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development section within Key Performance Measures and Ratios.

87

Table of Contents

Core Earnings

Core earnings, a non-GAAP measure is an important measure of the Company's operating performance. Core earnings excludes the effect of realized gains and losses (net of tax and the effects of deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC")) and discontinued operations. The Hartford believes, however, that some realized capital gains and losses are integrally related to the insurance operations, so core earnings includes net realized gains and losses such as net periodic settlements on credit derivatives and net periodic settlements on the Japan fixed annuity cross-currency swap. These net realized gains and losses are directly related to an offsetting item included in the income statement such as net investment income. Net income is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of net income to core earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth below.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income (loss)	\$(101)	\$33	\$(5)	\$534
Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1)	(80)	(2)	82
Less: Net realized capital gains (losses), net of tax and DAC, excluded from core earnings	368	99	(147)	(136)
Less: Loss on extinguishment of debt, net of tax	(587)	—	(587)	—
Core earnings	\$119	\$14	731	588

DAC amortization ratio, Core Earnings excluding Unlock

DAC amortization ratio, core earnings excluding Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment's operating performance. DAC amortization ratio, core earnings excluding Unlock is calculated by dividing Core DAC amortization costs by pre-tax core earnings before DAC amortization costs. DAC amortization ratio is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of DAC amortization ratio to DAC amortization ratio, core earnings excluding Unlock for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth in the Life Other Operations operating summary within MD&A. Mutual Fund Assets

Mutual fund assets include retail, investment-only and college savings plan assets under Section 529 of the Code, collectively referred to as non-proprietary, and proprietary mutual funds. Non-proprietary mutual fund assets are owned by the shareholders of those funds and not by the Company. Proprietary mutual funds include mutual funds sponsored by the Company which are owned by the separate accounts of the Company to support insurance and investment products sold by the Company. The non-proprietary mutual fund assets are not reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Net Investment Spread

Management evaluates performance of certain products based on net investment spread. These products include those that have insignificant mortality risk, such as fixed annuities, certain general account universal life contracts and certain institutional contracts. Net investment spread is determined by taking the difference between the annualized earned rate, (excluding the effects of realized capital gains and losses, including those related to the Company's GMWB product and related reinsurance and hedging programs), and the related annualized crediting rates on average general account assets under management. Some realized capital gains and losses are primarily driven by investment decisions and external economic developments, the nature and timing of which are unrelated to insurance aspects of our businesses. Accordingly, net investment spread excludes the effect of all realized gains and losses that tend to be highly variable from period to period based on capital market conditions. The Hartford believes, however, that some realized capital gains and losses are integrally related to our insurance operations and they are included in the net investment spread calculation.

Return on Assets ("ROA"), Core Earnings excluding Unlock

ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment's operating performance. ROA is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of ROA to ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth in the ROA section within Key Performance Measures and

Ratios.

Underwriting results

Underwriting results is a before-tax measure that represents earned premiums less incurred losses, loss adjustment expenses, underwriting expenses and policyholder dividends. Net Income is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of underwriting results to net income for Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets is set forth in their respective operating summaries within MD&A.

Written and earned premiums

Written premium is a statutory accounting financial measure which represents the amount of premiums charged for policies issued, net of reinsurance, during a fiscal period. Earned premium is a U.S. GAAP and statutory measure. The difference between written and earned premium is the change in unearned premium reserve.

Table of Contents

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development is a key indicator of overall profitability for the property and casualty underwriting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets since it removes the impact of volatile and unpredictable catastrophe losses and prior accident year reserve development.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Property & Casualty Commercial				
Combined ratio	100.5	106.2	100.1	102.1
Catastrophe ratio	2.3	11.6	2.3	7.2
Non-catastrophe prior year development	3.7	1.4	2.4	0.7
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development	94.5	93.1	95.4	94.2
Consumer Markets				
Combined ratio	112.6	121.1	99.8	104.1
Catastrophe ratio	22.9	30.8	12.8	18.0
Non-catastrophe prior year development	(1.5) (1.0) (3.0) (4.1
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development	91.3	91.2	90.0	90.1

Property & Casualty Commercial

Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011

Property & Casualty Commercial's combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development deteriorated primarily due to an increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes particularly in workers' compensation, which, in 2011, did not include the change in the Company's 2011 accident year loss picks until the third and fourth quarter. Workers' compensation frequency has been improving since recognizing the increase in the latter six months of 2011, while severity has moderated and earned pricing has increased.

Consumer Markets

Three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011

Consumer Markets combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development deteriorated slightly as an increase in the expense ratio was almost entirely offset by a decrease in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes, driven by earned pricing increases and a decrease in the frequency of non-catastrophe weather claims in homeowners.

Six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to six months ended June 30, 2011

Consumer Markets combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development improved slightly primarily due to a lower ratio of current accident year loss and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes for home, driven by earned pricing increases and a decrease in the frequency of non-catastrophe weather claims, almost entirely offset by an increase in the expense ratio.

Table of Contents

Return on Assets

Return on assets is a key indicator of overall profitability for the Retirement Plans, Mutual Funds and Life Other Operations reporting segments as a significant portion of their earnings is based on average assets under management.

Ratios	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	June 30, 2012		2011		June 30, 2012		2011	
Retirement Plans								
ROA	(1.4) bps	19.5	bps	6.0	bps	11.8	bps
Effect of Unlock on ROA	(5.0) bps	11.5	bps	(0.4) bps	3.7	bps
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax and DAC on ROA	(2.1) bps	(1.4) bps	1.9	bps	—	bps
ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock	5.7	bps	9.4	bps	4.5	bps	8.1	bps
Mutual Funds								
ROA	8.1	bps	10.6	bps	8.9	bps	11.0	bps
Effect of net realized gains /(losses), net of tax and DAC on ROA	—	bps	—	bps	—	bps	0.2	bps
ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock	8.1	bps	10.6	bps	8.9	bps	10.8	bps
Life Other Operations								
ROA	95.6	bps	56.4	bps	23.6	bps	36.0	bps
Effect of Unlock on ROA	86.9	bps	5.4	bps	(25.1) bps	(16.3) bps
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax and DAC on ROA	(29.5) bps	(3.0) bps	7.9	bps	4.6	bps
ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock	38.2	bps	54.0	bps	40.8	bps	47.7	bps

Three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to three months ended June 30, 2011

Retirement Plans ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock, decreased in 2012 primarily due to lower fee income and other driven by lower AUM, compared to June 30, 2011, increased total benefits, losses and expenses including restructuring costs and a higher effective income tax rate. The lower 2011 effective income tax rate reflects the favorable impact (collectively, approximately 10 bps) of an additional DRD benefit related to resolution of a tax matter with the Internal Revenue Service and the release of the valuation allowance associated with investment realized capital losses due to the availability of tax planning strategies.

Mutual Funds ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock, decreased in 2012 primarily due to lower fee income and other driven by lower AUM, compared to June 30, 2011.

Life Other Operations ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock, decreased in 2012 primarily due to higher total benefits, losses and expenses and a higher effective income tax rate. The 2012 effective income tax rate of approximately 32% is higher as compared to the prior year period as the 2011 effective income tax rate reflects both the favorable impact (collectively, approximately 20 bps) of an additional DRD benefit related to resolution of a tax matter with the Internal Revenue Service and the release of the valuation allowance associated with investment realized capital losses due to the availability of tax planning strategies. In addition, ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock for 2011 reflects the favorable impact (approximately 4 bps) of the release of a deficiency reserve related to a product in Japan.

Six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to six months ended June 30, 2011

Retirement Plans ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock, decreased in 2012 primarily due to lower fee income and other driven by lower AUM, compared to June 30, 2011 increased total benefits, losses and expenses including restructuring costs and a higher effective income tax rate in the first quarter of 2012.

Mutual Funds ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock, decreased in 2012 primarily due to lower fee income and other driven by lower AUM, compared to June 30, 2011.

Life Other Operations ROA, core earnings excluding Unlock, decreased in 2012 primarily due to lower fee income and a higher effective income tax rate. The 2012 effective income tax rate also reflects partial release in the first quarter of 2012 of the foreign deferred tax asset valuation allowance.

Table of Contents

After-tax margin

After-tax margin is a key indicator of overall profitability for the Individual Life and Group Benefits reporting segments as a significant portion of their earnings are a result of the net margin from losses incurred on earned premiums, fees and other considerations.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended			
	June 30,		June 30,			
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Individual Life						
After-tax margin	9.8	% 13.8	% 7.7	% 10.3	%	
Effect of Unlock	2.5	% 1.2	% 0.3	% (1.6))%	
Effect of net realized losses, net of tax and DAC	0.2	% (0.4))%	(1.0))%	(0.5)
After-tax margin, core earnings excluding Unlock	7.1	% 13.0	% 8.4	% 12.4	%	
Group Benefits						
After-tax margin, excluding buyouts	3.3	% 3.6	% 2.5	% 2.3	%	
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax	0.1	% 1.0	% 0.7	% 0.2	%	
After-tax margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses)	3.2	% 2.6	% 1.8	% 2.1	%	

Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011

The decrease in Individual Life after-tax margin, core earnings excluding Unlock, was primarily due to increased claim reserves and death benefits, as well as restructuring costs and a higher effective income tax rate. The 2012 effective income tax rate of approximately 24% is higher as compared to the prior year period as the 2011 effective income tax rate of approximately 14% primarily reflects both the favorable impact of an additional DRD benefit related to resolution of a tax matter with the Internal Revenue Service and the release of the valuation allowance associated with investment realized capital losses due to the availability of tax planning strategies.

The increase in Group Benefits after-tax margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses), was primarily driven by a decrease in fully insured ongoing premiums due to the Company's pricing actions and a challenging economic environment, as well as higher severity in group disability. The Company continues to experience stable but elevated claims incidence and lower than historical terminations in long-term disability.

Table of Contents

Investment Results

Composition of Invested Assets

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011			
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent		
Fixed maturities, AFS, at fair value	\$85,227	80.5	% \$81,809	78.3		%
Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value option	1,165	1.1	% 1,328	1.3		%
Equity securities, AFS, at fair value	851	0.8	% 921	0.9		%
Mortgage loans	6,875	6.5	% 5,728	5.5		%
Policy loans, at outstanding balance	1,956	1.9	% 2,001	1.9		%
Limited partnerships and other alternative investments	2,944	2.8	% 2,532	2.4		%
Other investments [1]	1,548	1.5	% 2,394	2.3		%
Short-term investments	5,154	4.9	% 7,736	7.4		%
Total investments excluding equity securities, trading	105,720	100.0	% 104,449	100.0		%
Equity securities, trading, at fair value [2]	29,215		30,499			
Total investments	\$134,935		\$134,948			

[1] Primarily relates to derivative instruments.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately \$27.3 billion and \$28.5 billion, respectively, of equity securities, trading, support Japan variable annuities. Those equity securities, trading, were invested in mutual [2] funds, which, in turn, invested in the following asset classes, Japan equity 21%, Japan fixed income (primarily government securities) 15%, global equity 20%, global government bonds 43% and cash and other 1% for both periods presented.

Total investments excluding equity securities, trading, increased slightly since December 31, 2011. Increases in fixed maturities, AFS, and mortgage loans were partially offset by decreases in short-term investments and other investments. The increase in fixed maturities, AFS, was largely the result of improved valuations as a result of credit spread tightening and declining interest rates. In addition, the Company entered into certain repurchase and dollar roll agreements through U.S. government and government agency securities with the obligation to repurchase those securities recorded in Other Liabilities on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. For further information, see Note 5 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Mortgage loans increased due to the continued funding of commercial whole loans. The decline in short-term investments primarily relates to a decline in derivative collateral due to decreases in derivative market values, as well as increased allocations to mortgage loans and limited partnerships and other alternative investments. The decrease in other investments primarily related to decreases in value of derivatives largely due to an increase in the equity market, depreciation of the Japanese yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar and an increase in interest rates.

Equity securities, trading declined since December 31, 2011 primarily due to deteriorations in market performance of the underlying investments and net outflows, partially offset by the Japanese yen strengthening in comparison to the euro.

Net Investment Income (Loss)

	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	June 30, 2012		2011		June 30, 2012		2011	
(Before-tax)	Amount	Yield [1]	Amount	Yield [1]	Amount	Yield [1]	Amount	Yield [1]
Fixed maturities [2]	\$848	4.2 %	\$870	4.3 %	\$1,706	4.2 %	\$1,716	4.3 %
Equity securities, AFS	8	3.3 %	8	3.3 %	18	3.6 %	19	3.8 %
Mortgage loans	86	5.2 %	67	5.2 %	165	5.2 %	130	5.3 %
Policy loans	30	6.1 %	34	6.2 %	60	6.1 %	67	6.1 %
Limited partnerships and other alternative investments	72	10.4 %	78	16.6 %	124	9.3 %	178	18.9 %
Other [3]	81		77		150		158	
Investment expense	(28)		(30)		(56)		(56)	

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total securities AFS and other	1,097	4.4	%	1,104	4.6	%	2,167	4.4	%	2,212	4.6	%
Equity securities, trading	(1,687)			(597)			1,179			206		
Total net investment income (loss)	\$ (590)			\$ 507			3,346			2,418		
Total securities, AFS and other excluding limited partnerships and other alternative investments	\$ 1,025	4.3	%	\$ 1,026	4.3	%	\$ 2,043	4.3	%	\$ 2,034	4.3	%

Yields calculated using annualized investment income before investment expenses divided by the monthly average invested assets at cost, amortized cost, or adjusted carrying value, as applicable, excluding consolidated variable interest entity noncontrolling interests. Included in the fixed maturity yield is other, which primarily relates to derivatives (see footnote [3] below). Included in the total net investment income yield is investment expense.

[1] Includes net investment income on short-term investments.

[2] Includes income from derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting and hedge fixed maturities.

Table of Contents

Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011

Total net investment income declined largely due to equity securities, trading, resulting from deteriorations in market performance of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity product. Total net investment income, excluding equity securities, trading, declined due to lower income on limited partnerships and other alternative investments as a result of greater price appreciation experienced in the underlying funds in the prior year period. Also included was lower income on non-recurring items in fixed maturities. These declines were partially offset by increased mortgage loan income due to additional investments in commercial whole loans. Based on the current interest rate and credit environment, the Company expects the 2012 portfolio yield, excluding limited partnerships, to decline slightly throughout the remainder of 2012.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	2011	June 30,	2011
(Before-tax)				
Gross gains on sales	\$246	\$261	\$505	\$322
Gross losses on sales	(159)	(98)	(256)	(231)
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(98)	(23)	(127)	(78)
Valuation allowances on mortgage loans	—	26	1	23
Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [1]	2	6	(18)	(11)
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives/Japan	4	(2)	(1)	(9)
Results of variable annuity hedge program				
GMWB derivatives, net	(115)	(33)	70	23
U.S. macro hedge program	6	(17)	(183)	(101)
Total U.S. program	(109)	(50)	(113)	(78)
International program	753	52	(466)	(267)
Total results of variable annuity hedge program	644	2	(579)	(345)
Other, net [2]	(50)	(103)	154	(5)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$589	\$69	\$(321)	\$(334)

Relates to the Japanese fixed annuity product (adjustment of product liability for changes in spot currency [1] exchange rates, related derivative hedging instruments, excluding net period coupon settlements, and Japan FVO securities).

[2] Primarily consists of gains and losses on non-qualifying derivatives and fixed maturities, FVO, Japan 3Win related foreign currency swaps, and other investment gains and losses.

Details on the Company's net realized capital gains and losses are as follows:

Gross gains and losses on sales

- Gross gains and losses on sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were predominately from sales of investment grade corporate securities, U.S. Treasuries and municipals bonds due to tactical repositioning of the portfolio.
- Gross gains and losses on sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 were predominately from sales of investment grade corporate securities and CMBS as the Company reduced its commercial real estate exposure. Also included for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were losses on sales of U.S. Treasuries.

Net OTTI losses

- For further information, see Other-Than-Temporary Impairments within the Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management section of the MD&A.

Variable annuity hedge program

- For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the loss on U.S. GMWB related derivatives, net, was primarily due to increased equity and interest rate volatility of \$67 and a general decrease in long-term interest rates of \$46. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the gain on U.S. GMWB related derivatives, net, was primarily due to favorable policyholder behavior which resulted in a gain of \$134, partially offset by a loss of \$24 driven by an increase in the

equity market and a loss of \$21 due to a credit standing adjustment. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the loss on the U.S. macro hedge program was primarily due to losses of \$79 related to an increase in domestic equity markets, \$72 related to the passage of time, and \$40 related to a decrease in equity volatility.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the gain associated with the international program was primarily driven by gains of approximately \$326 due to appreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar, gains of approximately \$245 due to a decline in global and domestic equity markets, and gains of \$169 due to a decrease in interest rates. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the loss associated with the international program was primarily driven by losses of approximately \$338 due to an improvement in global and domestic equity markets, losses of approximately \$293 due to depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar, partially offset by gains of approximately \$77 due to a decline in interest rates.

Table of Contents

- The loss on U.S. GMWB related derivatives, net, for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to a change in long-term interest rates that resulted in a charge of \$39. The gain on U.S. GMWB related derivatives, net, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to a gain of \$33 resulting from lower implied market volatility. The loss on the U.S. macro hedge program for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to a higher equity market valuation. The gain on the international program for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily the result of a decline of Japanese interest rates and foreign currency movements. The loss on the international program for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily the result of foreign currency movements and a higher equity market valuation.

Other, net

- Other, net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2012, was primarily due to losses of \$92 on transactional foreign currency re-valuation associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, due to appreciation of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar. Other, net gain for the six months ended June 30, 2012, was primarily due to gains of \$97 on transactional foreign currency re-valuation associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, due to depreciation of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar. Additional gains for the six months ended June 30, 2012 of \$141 are related to credit derivatives driven by credit spread tightening. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, these gains were partially offset by losses \$56 related to Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps primarily driven by a decline in interest rates and strengthening of the currency basis swap spread between U.S. dollar and Japanese yen.

- Other, net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to losses of \$52 on transactional foreign currency re-valuation due to an increase in value of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI and losses of \$25 on credit derivatives driven by credit spread widening.

Table of Contents

PROPERTY & CASUALTY COMMERCIAL

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended			
	June 30,			June 30,			
Underwriting Summary	2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change	
Written premiums	\$1,516	\$1,498	1	% \$3,203	\$3,143	2	%
Change in unearned premium reserve	(36) (19) (89	% 94	128	(27	%)
Earned premiums	1,552	1,517	2	% 3,109	3,015	3	%
Losses and loss adjustment expenses							
Current accident year before catastrophes	995	950	5	% 2,022	1,912	6	%
Current accident year catastrophes	74	166	(55	%) 106	212	(50	%)
Prior accident years	19	31	(39	%) 39	25	56	%
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,088	1,147	(5	%) 2,167	2,149	1	%
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	231	230	—	% 462	458	1	%
Underwriting expenses	235	230	2	% 480	464	3	%
Dividends to policyholders	5	4	25	% 3	8	(63	%)
Underwriting results	(7) (94) 93	% (3) (64) 95	%
Net servicing income	4	4	—	% 8	4	100	%
Net investment income	239	239	—	% 474	481	(1	%)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(16) 13	NM	27	(10) NM	
Other expenses	(22) (38) 42	% (52) (78) 33	%
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	198	124	60	% 454	333	36	%
Income tax expense	48	3	NM	114	49	133	%
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	150	121	24	% 340	284	20	%
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax [1]	(1) (3) 67	% (2) 157	NM	
Net income	\$149	\$118	26	% \$338	\$441	(23	%)

[1] Represents the income from operations and sale of Specialty Risk Services (“SRS”). For additional information, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		June 30,		
Premium Measures [1]	2012	2011	2012	2011	
New business premium	\$249	\$286	\$517	\$589	
Standard commercial lines policy count retention	81	% 82	% 82	% 83	%
Standard commercial lines renewal written pricing increase	7	% 3	% 7	% 3	%
Standard commercial lines renewal earned pricing increase	5	% 2	% 5	% 2	%
Standard commercial lines policies in-force as of end of period			1,266,823	1,250,932	

[1] Standard commercial lines represents the Company’s small commercial and middle market property and casualty lines.

Table of Contents

Ratios	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2012	2011	Change	June 30, 2012	2011	Change
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio						
Current accident year before catastrophes	64.1	62.6	(1.4)	65.0	63.4	(1.6)
Current accident year catastrophes	4.8	11.0	6.2	3.4	7.0	3.6
Prior accident years	1.2	2.1	0.9	1.3	0.8	(0.5)
Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio	70.1	75.6	5.5	69.7	71.3	1.6
Expense ratio	30.0	30.3	0.3	30.3	30.6	0.3
Policyholder dividend ratio	0.3	0.3	—	0.1	0.3	0.2
Combined ratio	100.5	106.2	5.7	100.1	102.1	2.0
Catastrophe ratio						
Current accident year	4.8	11.0	6.2	3.4	7.0	3.6
Prior accident years	(2.5)	0.7	3.2	(1.2)	0.2	1.4
Total catastrophe ratio	2.3	11.6	9.3	2.3	7.2	4.9
Combined ratio before catastrophes	98.2	94.5	(3.7)	97.8	94.9	(2.9)
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development	94.5	93.1	(1.4)	95.4	94.2	(1.2)
Other revenues [1]	\$26	\$26	—	% \$48	\$49	(2%)

[1] Represents servicing revenues.

Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011

Net income, as compared to the prior year periods, increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in the six-month period was primarily due to the gain on sale of SRS in 2011.

Current accident year catastrophe losses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 of \$74, pre-tax, primarily included severe thunderstorms and hail events in the South, Midwest and Mid-Atlantic states. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, pre-tax catastrophes of \$106 also included tornadoes in the Midwest and South. In 2011, catastrophes primarily included tornadoes in the Midwest and South, for the comparable three-month period, and also included winter storms in the Northeast and Midwest, for the comparable six-month period.

For information regarding prior accident years reserve development, including reserve (releases) strengthenings by reserve line, see the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section within Critical Accounting Estimates.

Earned premiums increased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to improvements in workers' compensation, driven by earned premium increases from higher new business premium in the latter half 2011, renewal earned pricing increases and an increase in policies-in-force. The earned pricing changes were primarily a reflection of written pricing changes over the last year. Renewal written pricing increased across all standard commercial lines driven by improving market conditions.

Current accident year loss and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes increased, due primarily to increases in earned premiums and the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes particularly in workers' compensation, which, in 2011, did not include the change in the Company's 2011 accident year loss picks until the third and fourth quarter. Workers' compensation frequency has been improving since recognizing the increase in the latter six months of 2011, while severity has moderated and earned pricing has increased.

Net realized capital gains increased primarily due to gains on asset backed and investment grade corporate securities. For additional information, see the Investment Results section within Key Performance Measures and Ratios.

The effective tax rate in both periods differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

GROUP BENEFITS

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2012	2011	Change	June 30, 2012	2011	Change
Premiums and other considerations	\$966	\$1,076	(10 %)	\$1,938	\$2,120	(9 %)
Net investment income	107	106	1 %	206	210	(2 %)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	—	10	(100 %)	20	(4)	NM
Total revenues	1,073	1,192	(10 %)	2,164	2,326	(7 %)
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	759	850	(11 %)	1,566	1,678	(7 %)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	8	9	(11 %)	16	18	(11 %)
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	261	286	(9 %)	519	577	(10 %)
Total benefits, losses and expenses	1,028	1,145	(10 %)	2,101	2,273	(8 %)
Income before income taxes	45	47	(4 %)	63	53	19 %
Income tax expense	10	6	67 %	10	1	NM
Net income	\$35	\$41	(15 %)	\$53	\$52	2 %
Premiums and other considerations						
Fully insured – ongoing premiums	\$950	\$1,013	(6 %)	\$1,904	\$2,041	(7 %)
Buyout premiums	—	49	(100 %)	3	49	(94 %)
Other	16	14	14 %	31	30	3 %
Total premiums and other considerations	\$966	\$1,076	(10 %)	\$1,938	\$2,120	(9 %)
Fully insured ongoing sales, excluding buyouts	\$66	\$92	(28 %)	\$294	\$336	(13 %)
Ratios, excluding buyouts						
Loss ratio	78.6	% 78.0	% 0.6	80.8	% 78.7	% 2.1
Loss ratio, excluding financial institutions	83.6	% 83.5	% 0.1	85.5	% 84.0	% 1.5
Expense ratio	27.8	% 28.7	% (0.9)	27.6	% 28.7	% (1.1)
Expense ratio, excluding financial institutions	23.4	% 23.9	% (0.5)	23.8	% 23.8	% 0.0

Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011

Net income, as compared to the prior year periods, decreased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and remained flat for the six months ended June 30, 2012. In both periods, fully insured ongoing premiums decreased due to the competitive marketplace, while the Company remains disciplined in meeting pricing expectations. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, lower premiums were partially offset by improvements in realized capital gains (losses). The increase in the loss ratio was primarily due to higher severity in group disability. In addition, the Company continues to experience stable but elevated claims incidence and lower than historical terminations in long-term disability.

The change in insurance operating costs and other expenses is due to lower commission payments as a result of lower sales and a one-time payment to a third party administrator in the first quarter of 2011.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSUMER MARKETS

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change	
Written premiums	\$950	\$969	(2 %)	\$1,811	\$1,853	(2 %)	
Change in unearned premium reserve	46	30	53 %	(2)	(42)	95 %	
Earned premiums	904	939	(4 %)	1,813	1,895	(4 %)	
Losses and loss adjustment expenses							
Current accident year before catastrophes	595	623	(4 %)	1,169	1,239	(6 %)	
Current accident year catastrophes	216	281	(23 %)	255	313	(19 %)	
Prior accident years	(23)	—	— %	(78)	(49)	(59 %)	
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	788	904	(13 %)	1,346	1,503	(10 %)	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	84	85	(1 %)	167	170	(2 %)	
Underwriting expenses	146	147	(1 %)	296	296	— %	
Underwriting results	(114)	(197)	42 %	4	(74)	NM	
Net servicing income	4	3	33 %	10	9	11 %	
Net investment income	41	49	(16 %)	84	99	(15 %)	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(2)	2	NM	5	(2)	NM	
Other expenses	(11)	(131)	92 %	(26)	(145)	82 %	
Income (loss) before income taxes	(82)	(274)	70 %	77	(113)	NM	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(32)	(102)	69 %	19	(49)	NM	
Net income (loss)	\$(50)	\$(172)	71 %	\$58	\$(64)	NM	
	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change	
Written Premiums							
Product Line							
Automobile	\$649	\$665	(2 %)	\$1,269	\$1,306	(3 %)	
Homeowners	301	304	(1 %)	542	547	(1 %)	
Total	\$950	\$969	(2 %)	\$1,811	\$1,853	(2 %)	
Earned Premiums							
Product Line							
Automobile	\$630	\$657	(4 %)	\$1,262	\$1,329	(5 %)	
Homeowners	274	282	(3 %)	551	566	(3 %)	
Total	\$904	\$939	(4 %)	\$1,813	\$1,895	(4 %)	
				Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
Premium Measures				2012	2011	2012	2011
Policies in-force end of period							
Automobile						2,044,874	2,137,351
Homeowners						1,323,557	1,380,301
Total policies in-force end of period						3,368,431	3,517,652
New business written premium							
Automobile				\$85	\$75	\$171	\$141
Homeowners				\$30	\$23	\$55	\$42
Policy count retention							
Automobile				84 %	82 %	84 %	82 %

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Homeowners	86	% 84	% 85	% 83	%
Renewal written pricing increase					
Automobile	4	% 6	% 4	% 6	%
Homeowners	6	% 9	% 6	% 9	%
Renewal earned pricing increase					
Automobile	5	% 7	% 5	% 7	%
Homeowners	7	% 10	% 7	% 10	%

98

Table of Contents

Ratios and Supplemental Data	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	June 30,	2011	Change	June 30,	2011	Change
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio						
Current accident year before catastrophes	65.8	66.5	0.7	64.5	65.4	0.9
Current accident year catastrophes	23.9	29.9	6.0	14.1	16.5	2.4
Prior accident years	(2.5)	—	2.5	(4.3)	(2.6)	1.7
Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio	87.2	96.4	9.2	74.2	79.4	5.2
Expense ratio	25.4	24.7	(0.7)	25.5	24.7	(0.8)
Combined ratio	112.6	121.1	8.5	99.8	104.1	4.3
Catastrophe ratio						
Current year	23.9	29.9	6.0	14.1	16.5	2.4
Prior years	(1.0)	1.0	2.0	(1.3)	1.5	2.8
Total catastrophe ratio	22.9	30.8	7.9	12.8	18.0	5.2
Combined ratio before catastrophes	89.7	90.2	0.5	87.0	86.0	(1.0)
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident years development	91.3	91.2	(0.1)	90.0	90.1	0.1
Other revenues [1]	\$35	\$36	(3 %)	\$72	\$76	(5 %)

[1] Represents servicing revenues.

Product Line Combined Ratios	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	2011	Change	June 30,	2012
	2012				