CIT GROUP INC Form DEF 14A April 05, 2012

> CIT GROUP INC. 11 West 42nd Street New York, NY 10036

> > April 5, 2012

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend our Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Tuesday, May 15, 2012, at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time, at our corporate offices at One CIT Drive, Livingston, New Jersey 07039. Internet and telephone voting are available until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Saving Time the day prior to the meeting.

In connection with our Annual Meeting, we have provided our stockholders with our Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2011 Annual Report, which documents provide detailed information related to the matters to be addressed during the Annual Meeting as well as our business activities and operating performance. On April 5, 2012, we mailed to our stockholders a Notice containing instructions on how to access these materials online. Electronic delivery expedites your receipt of proxy materials, while lowering expenses and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. If you received a Notice by mail, you will not receive printed copies of the materials unless you request them by following the instructions in the Notice.

In addition to the formal items of business to be brought before the Annual Meeting, I will report on the state of CIT and respond to stockholder questions. Whether or not you are personally able to attend the Annual Meeting, please complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it in the enclosed postage paid envelope as soon as possible, or follow the instructions to vote online or by telephone. Your vote is very important. Submitting your vote by proxy will not limit your right to attend the Annual Meeting.

On behalf of the entire Board of Directors, I thank you for your support of CIT and hope to see you at our Annual Meeting.

Sincerely,

John A. Thain Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

> CIT GROUP INC. One CIT Drive Livingston, NJ 07039

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 15, 2012

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF CIT GROUP INC .:

Notice is hereby given that the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the **Annual Meeting**) of CIT Group Inc., a Delaware corporation (**CIT**), will be held at CIT s offices at One CIT Drive, Livingston, New Jersey 07039, on Tuesday, May 15, 2012 at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time, for the following purposes, as more fully described in the accompanying proxy statement (the **Proxy Statement**):

- 1. to elect CIT s Board of Directors to serve for one year or until the next annual meeting of stockholders the Board has nominated for election the following eleven nominees: John A. Thain, Michael J. Embler, William M. Freeman, David M. Moffett, R. Brad Oates, Marianne Miller Parrs, Gerald Rosenfeld, Vice Admiral John R. Ryan, Seymour Sternberg, Peter J. Tobin and Laura S. Unger;
- 2. to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as CIT s independent registered public accounting firm for 2012;
- 3. to hold a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation; and
- 4. to transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 19, 2012, are entitled to receive notice of, to attend, and to vote at the Annual Meeting. Internet and telephone voting are available until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time, the day immediately prior to the Annual Meeting. To ensure that your vote is counted at the Annual Meeting, please vote your proxy as soon as possible.

Voting instructions to vote online, by telephone or by mail are in the Question and Answer section of the Proxy Statement included with this notice of Annual Meeting (**Notice of Annual Meeting**) and can also be found in the notice of the Internet availability of proxy materials mailed to you on April 5, 2012 (**Access Notice**). Whether you choose to vote online, by telephone or by mail, **in each case, to vote you will need your personal Control Number, which is included in the Access Notice**. There is no charge for requesting printed proxy materials. Stockholders who request printed proxy materials for 2012 will continue to receive printed proxy materials in future years until such time as they may opt-out of paper delivery. To facilitate timely delivery of the proxy materials for the Annual Meeting, please make your request on or before May 4, 2012.

Go to www.cit.com to be connected to CIT s website.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Robert J. Ingato Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Livingston, New Jersey April 5, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION	1
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	1
DIRECTORS	5
General Information	5
Nominees	6
Director Qualifications and Experience	12
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	13
Director Independence	13
Related Person Transactions Policy	14

Appointment of Directors	15
Diversity of Directors	15
Majority Voting for Directors	15
Board Leadership Structure	15
The Board s Role in Risk Oversight	16
Succession Planning	17
Director and Senior Executive Officer Stock Ownership Policy	17
Board Committees	17
Stockholder Communications with the Board	19
Compensation Committee Interlocks, Insider Participation and Banking Interlocks	20
Legal Proceedings	20
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	20
Director Compensation Table	21
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	22
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners	22
Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers	23
Hedging	24
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	24
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	27
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	27
Summary Compensation Table	36
Equity and Non-Equity Incentive Plans	37
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	37
Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	38
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	38
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	39
Pension Benefits	40
Narrative Information Relating to Retirement Arrangements for Named Executive Officers	40
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	42
Narrative Information Relating to Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	43
Narrative Information Relating to Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control	43
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control	44
2012 COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	46
2012 AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	46
OVERVIEW OF PROPOSALS	47
PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	47
PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	47
PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	48
OTHER BUSINESS	49
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING	49
ATTENDANCE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING	49

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. PLEASE VOTE YOUR PROXY.

CIT GROUP INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

Our Board of Directors is soliciting proxies from our stockholders in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders (**Annual Meeting**) to be held on May 15, 2012 and any adjournment of such meeting. No business can be conducted at the Annual Meeting unless a majority of all outstanding shares entitled to vote are either present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting. The only matters to be brought before the Annual Meeting are those referred to in this proxy statement (**Proxy Statement**). If any additional matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies may vote your shares in their discretion.

As permitted by rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (**SEC**), we have elected to provide access to this Proxy Statement and our 2011 Annual Report (**Annual Report**) to you electronically via the Internet at www.proxyvote.com. We believe that these rules allow CIT

Group Inc. (**CIT** or the **Company**) to provide you with the information you need while reducing the environmental impact of the Annual Meeting and reducing expenses. If you are a holder of record, you will also receive this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report by mail.

If you received a notice of the Internet availability of proxy materials (Access Notice) by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials in the mail. The Access Notice instructs you how to access and review all of the important information contained in the Proxy Statement and Annual Report. The Access Notice also instructs you how to submit your vote over the Internet, by telephone or by mail. If you received an Access Notice and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Access Notice or as set forth below under How do I vote? Vote by Mail .

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

When and where is the Annual Meeting?

When: Tuesday, May 15, 2012, at 11:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Saving Time.

Where: One CIT Drive, Livingston, New Jersey 07039.

Who is soliciting my vote?

CIT s Board of Directors (the Board) is soliciting your vote for our Annual Meeting.

What will I vote on?

You are being asked to vote:

to elect the members of CIT s Board for a term of one year or until the next annual meeting of stockholders the Board has nominated for election the following eleven nominees: John A. Thain, Michael J. Embler, William M. Freeman, David M. Moffett, R. Brad Oates, Marianne Miller Parrs, Gerald Rosenfeld, Vice Admiral John R. Ryan, Seymour Sternberg, Peter J. Tobin and Laura S. Unger (Proposal 1);

to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as CIT s independent registered public accounting firm for 2012 (Proposal 2); and

to approve executive compensation, on an advisory basis (Proposal 3).

What is the record date for the Annual Meeting?

The record date for the Annual Meeting is the close of business on March 19, 2012 (**Record Date**). The Record Date is used to determine those stockholders who are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

How many votes can be cast by all stockholders?

A total of 200,810,014 votes may be cast on each matter presented, consisting of one vote for each share of CIT common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, which was outstanding on the Record Date. CIT s common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (**NYSE**), and CIT is subject to the NYSE s rules and regulations. There is no cumulative voting.

How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

A quorum of a majority of the votes that may be cast, or 100,405,008 votes, must be present in person or represented by proxy to hold the Annual Meeting. We urge you to vote by proxy even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. This will help us know as soon as possible that enough votes will be present to hold the Annual Meeting. In determining whether a quorum exists, we will include in the count shares represented by proxies that reflect abstentions and broker non-votes (as further described in this Proxy Statement under the heading Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting What happens if I hold my shares through a broker but do not give my broker specific voting instructions?).

How do I vote?

You may vote by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a *holder of record* (that is, if your shares are registered in your own name with our transfer agent), we have furnished you with the proxy materials, including a proxy card. You may vote by mail, by telephone, on the Internet, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person, as described below.

If you hold your shares in *street name* (that is, you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other holder of record), please refer to the information on the voting instruction form forwarded to you by your bank, broker or other holder of record to determine which voting options are available to you.

Vote by Mail

To vote by mail, simply mark, sign and date the proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided. If you received an Access Notice, you can vote by mail by requesting paper copies of the Proxy Statement, proxy card and other materials by calling 1-800-579-1693, or going to www.proxyvote.com or by sending an email to sendmaterial@proxyvote.com and requesting a proxy card.

If you request a proxy card by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with your 12-digit Control Number in the subject line. Your Control Number can be found in the Access Notice mailed to you on April 5, 2012. Upon receipt of your request, the proxy card and printed copies of the Annual Report and other proxy materials will be mailed to you. Upon receipt, simply mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the enclosed postage pre-paid envelope.

If you request printed copies, in future years, you will continue to receive printed copies of the proxy card and other proxy materials automatically until such time as you may opt-out of receiving printed copies.

If you wish to vote by mail, please make your request for paper copies of the proxy card and other proxy materials on or before May 4, 2012. Votes by mailed proxy card must be received at CIT Group Inc., c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717 by 8:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Saving Time on the day of the Annual Meeting.

Vote by Telephone

You can vote by calling 1-800-690-6903. You will need your 12-digit Control Number, which can be found in the Access Notice mailed to you on April 5, 2012. Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time, on May 14, 2012.

Vote on the Internet

You can also choose to vote on the Internet by going to www.proxyvote.com. You will need your 12-digit Control Number, which can be found in the Access Notice mailed to you on April 5, 2012. Use the Internet to transmit your vote up until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time, on May 14, 2012.

Vote at the Annual Meeting

If you want to vote in person at the Annual Meeting and you are a holder of record, you must register with the Inspector of Election at the Annual Meeting (**Inspector of Election**) and produce valid photo identification. If you want to vote in person at the Annual Meeting and you hold your shares in street name, you must obtain an additional proxy from your bank, broker or other holder of record authorizing you to vote. You must bring such proxy to the Annual Meeting, present it to the Inspector of Election, and produce valid photo identification.

What does it mean to give a proxy?

Your properly completed proxy card will appoint Robert J. Ingato, Eric Mandelbaum and James Shanahan, each of whom is an officer of CIT, as proxy holders or your representatives to vote your shares in the manner directed by you. Your proxy card permits you to direct the proxy holders to vote for or against, or abstain from voting, regarding each of the nominees for director and each of Proposals 2 and 3. All of your shares entitled to vote and represented by a properly completed proxy card received prior to the meeting and not revoked will be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions.

How many votes will be required to elect directors or to adopt the other proposals?

Because this election is not a contested election, to elect directors to the Board, a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required. A nominee for director shall be elected to

- 2 -

the Board if the votes cast for such nominee s election exceed the votes cast against such nominee s election. Votes cast exclude abstentions as broker non-votes (as further described below under What happens if I hold my shares through a broker but do not give my broker specific voting instructions?).

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present at the meeting in person or by proxy and entitled to vote is required to: (a) ratify the appointment of CIT s independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2); and (b) approve the non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 3). Abstentions will not be included in the affirmative vote and thus will have the same effect as a vote against each of Proposals 2 and 3.

Although the advisory vote on Proposal 3 is non-binding, as provided by law, the Board will review the result of the vote and may take it into account in considering executive compensation going forward.

Can a director be elected without receiving votes from a majority of the shares outstanding?

If a stockholder has provided notice of an intention to nominate one or more candidates to compete with the Board's nominees, in accordance with the requirements of the By-Laws of CIT (**By-Laws**), and such stockholder has not withdrawn such nomination by the tenth day before we mail our Notice of Annual Meeting, then a director may be elected by a plurality of the votes cast. This means that the eleven nominees who receive the most votes for would be elected, even if it is less than a majority of the total shares outstanding, and stockholders would not be permitted to vote against a nominee. However, under our By-Laws and corporate governance guidelines (**Corporate Governance Guidelines**), because the election of directors is uncontested, meaning that the only nominees are those recommended by the Board (as is the case for this Annual Meeting), each nominee for director must receive more votes for than against his or her election or re-election. Any nominee who fails to receive the required vote for his or her election or re-election must promptly tender his or her resignation to the Chairman of the Board. If an incumbent director fails to receive the required vote for re-election, the Nominating & Governance Committee of the Board (the **Governance Committee**) will promptly consider the resignation submitted by such director and will recommend to the Board whether to accept such resignation. The Board will act on the recommendation of the Governance Committee no later than 90 days following the date of the Annual Meeting. See Corporate Governance Majority Voting for Directors in this Proxy Statement. No stockholder has nominated any candidates for our Board for inclusion on the agenda for the Annual Meeting, and therefore, the election is uncontested.

Can I change or revoke my proxy?

Yes, you may change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised. To do so, you should:

- send in a new proxy card with a later date; send a written revocation to the Corporate Secretary;
- cast a new vote by telephone or Internet; or
- attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person.

Written revocations of a prior vote must be sent by mail to CIT s Corporate Secretary at One CIT Drive, Livingston, NJ 07039, or by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date. If you attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, your vote will revoke any previously submitted proxy. If you hold your shares in street name, you must contact your broker if you wish to change your vote.

What if I do not return a signed proxy card?

If you are a holder of record and you do not return a signed proxy card to vote shares held in your name, those shares will not be voted.

What if I return a signed proxy card but do not indicate my vote for one or more of the matters on my proxy card?

If you return a signed proxy card without indicating your vote, your shares will be voted for each of the eleven nominees named in Proposal 1 Election of Directors, and for Proposals 2 and 3 (except in the case of a broker non-vote as described below under What happens if I hold my shares through a broker but do not give my broker specific voting instructions?).

What happens if I hold my shares through a broker but do not give my broker specific voting instructions?

If you hold your shares in street name with a broker who is a member of the NYSE and do not instruct your broker as to how to vote your shares, your broker can vote your shares on the ratification of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2), in your broker s discretion; however, absent such specific voting instruction, your broker cannot vote on the election of directors (Proposal 1), or on the non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 3), and your proxy would represent shares reflecting a broker non-vote with respect to Proposals 1 and 3.

A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner has not received instructions from the beneficial owner, or person entitled to vote, and does not have discretionary authority to vote the shares. This could occur on Proposals 1 and 3 but not on Proposal 2.

- 3 -

Shares represented by proxies that reflect a broker non-vote will, like abstentions, be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. However, with respect to Proposal 1, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be considered votes cast, and therefore will have no effect on whether a director is elected. With respect to Proposal 3, while abstentions will have the same effect as votes cast against such Proposal, broker non-votes will not be counted as entitled to vote on Proposal 3 and thus will have no effect on the outcome of such vote.

Brokers who are members of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority may vote shares held by them in nominee name if they are permitted to do so under the rules of any national securities exchange to which they belong. A member broker of the NYSE is prohibited, under NYSE rules, from voting on matters that are not routine if the beneficial owner has not provided the broker with voting instructions.

Why haven t I received a printed copy of the Proxy Statement or Annual Report?

We have elected to take advantage of the SEC s rule that allows us to furnish proxy materials to you online. We believe electronic delivery will expedite your receipt of materials, while lowering costs and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting by reducing printing and mailing of full sets of proxy materials. On April 5, 2012, we mailed to our stockholders an Access Notice containing instructions on how to access our Proxy Statement and Annual Report online. If you received such Access Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, unless you specifically request one no later than the date specified in this Proxy Statement; however, the Access Notice contains instructions on how to receive a paper copy of the Annual Report, proxy card and other proxy materials.

What if multiple stockholders share the same address?

SEC rules permit CIT to deliver a single Access Notice or, if a stockholder does not participate in electronic delivery of proxy materials, a single printed copy of the proxy materials, to stockholders who share the same address unless CIT has received contrary instructions from any stockholder at that address. This procedure, known as householding , is designed to reduce CIT s printing costs and postage fees. Each stockholder who participates in householding retains a separate right to vote on all matters presented at the Annual Meeting. If you participate in householding and wish to receive a separate copy of the Access Notice or proxy materials, please call 1-800-579-1693 or mail your request to: CIT Group Inc., c/o Investor Relations Department, One CIT Drive, Livingston, NJ 07039. A separate copy of the Access Notice or proxy materials, as applicable, will be delivered promptly upon request. Any such stockholder may also opt out of householding and continue to receive separate copies of the Access Notice or proxy materials in the future by notifying CIT at the above-referenced address or telephone number. Other

stockholders who have multiple accounts in their names or who share an address with other stockholders can request householding by notifying CIT at the above-referenced address or telephone number.

Is the Proxy Statement available on the Internet?

Yes. You can also view these documents on the Internet by going to CIT s website at www.CIT.com/2012proxy. You can elect to receive future proxy statements and annual reports over the Internet instead of receiving paper copies by mail by following the instructions set forth in the Access Notice or as set forth above under Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting How do I vote?

Will my vote be confidential?

Yes. We have a policy of confidentiality in the voting of shares. Individual stockholder votes are kept confidential, unless disclosure is: (i) necessary to meet legal requirements or to assert or defend claims for or against CIT, or (ii) made during a contested proxy solicitation, tender offer, or other change of control situations.

What if there is voting on other matters?

Our By-Laws provide that business may be transacted at the Annual Meeting only if it is (a) stated in the Notice of Annual Meeting, (b) proposed at the direction of our Board or (c) proposed by any CIT stockholder who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and who has complied with the notice procedures in our By-Laws. We did not receive notice of any stockholder proposals for the Annual Meeting.

What was the deadline for stockholders to notify us of proposals for the Annual Meeting?

The deadline for submitting stockholder proposals for the Annual Meeting for inclusion in the Proxy Statement was December 1, 2011. The deadline for submitting stockholder proposals for the Annual Meeting for inclusion on the agenda was February 10, 2012.

What is the deadline for stockholders to notify us of proposals for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

The deadline for submitting stockholder proposals for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders for inclusion in the 2013 proxy statement is December 1, 2012. The deadline for submitting stockholder proposals for the 2013 annual meeting for inclusion on the agenda is February 15, 2013.

Will a representative of CIT s independent registered public accounting firm be present at the Annual Meeting?

Yes, a representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will attend the Annual Meeting and can answer questions that you may have. The representative will also have the opportunity to

make a statement if PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP desires to do so. The Audit Committee has approved the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered accounting firm and auditors for 2012.

How can I attend the Annual Meeting?

Only stockholders as of the Record Date (or their proxy holders), may attend the Annual Meeting. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting or appoint someone to attend as your proxy, please check the appropriate box on your proxy card. If you are voting by telephone or Internet, follow the instructions provided to indicate that you or your proxy holder plan to attend. You or your proxy holder will need to show (a) photo identification, and (b) if you hold your shares in street name, proof of ownership as of the Record Date, such as a letter or account statement from your broker or bank, at the reception desk to gain admittance to the Annual Meeting.

What happens if the Annual Meeting is postponed or adjourned?

Your proxy remains valid and may be voted at the postponed or adjourned meeting. You will still be able to change or revoke your proxy until it is voted.

Do any stockholders beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock?

According to public filings, as of December 31, 2011, there were two holders that beneficially owned more than 5% of our common stock: Fairholme Capital Management, L.L.C., and OppenheimerFunds, Inc. See Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners in this Proxy Statement.

How can I review the list of stockholders eligible to vote?

A list of stockholders as of the Record Date will be available at our offices at both 11 West 42nd Street, New York, New York 10036 and One CIT Drive, Livingston, NJ 07039 from May 5, 2012 to the date of the Annual Meeting for inspection and review by any stockholder during regular business hours. We will also make the list available at the Annual Meeting.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. The final voting results will be tallied by the Inspector of Election and published in CIT s Current Report on Form 8-K, which CIT is required to file with the SEC within four business days after the Annual Meeting.

Who will pay the expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of my vote?

CIT pays the cost of preparing proxy materials and of soliciting your vote. Proxies may be solicited on our behalf by our directors, officers or employees by telephone, electronic or facsimile transmission or in person.

We have retained D.F. King & Co., Inc. to assist us in this proxy solicitation, and we anticipate that their fees will be approximately \$15,000. We also may pay brokers, nominees, fiduciaries, and other custodians their reasonable fees and expenses for sending proxy materials to beneficial owners and obtaining their instructions.

DIRECTORS

General Information

During 2011, our Board met twelve times. The number of 2011 meetings for each committee of the Board (each, a **Board Committee**) is disclosed in Corporate Governance Board Committees in this Proxy Statement. All of the nominees listed below who were Board members during all of 2011 attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board and of any Board Committees on which he or she served. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting. At our 2011 Annual Meeting, nine of the eleven nominees for director, all of whom were on the Board at that time, attended the meeting.

The Board consists of a diverse group of professionals in their respective fields. Many of the current directors have senior

leadership experience at banks, financial institutions and other business, academic and governmental organizations. In these positions, they have gained expertise in strategic and financial planning, regulatory and banking matters, financial reporting, corporate governance, risk management and leadership development. The biographies below describe the skills, qualifications, attributes and experiences of each of the nominees that led the Board to determine that it is appropriate to nominate these directors.

The Governance Committee and the Board believe the skills, qualities, and experience of our directors provide CIT with a diverse range of perspectives to engage each other and management to effectively address CIT s needs and represent the best interests of CIT s stockholders.

Nominees

The information below includes each nominee s age as of February 15, 2012 and business experience during at least the past five years. CIT knows of no family relationships among the nominees. Certain directors may also be directors or

trustees of privately held businesses or not-for-profit entities that may not be referred to below. With the exception of Mr. Thain, all of the nominees are independent of management.

Name	Age	Principal Occupation
John A. Thain	56	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of CIT
Michael J. Embler	47	Former Chief Investment Officer of Franklin Mutual Advisors LLC
William M. Freeman	59	Executive Chairman of Oh Daddy LLC
David M. Moffett	59	Former Chief Executive Officer of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac)
R. Brad Oates	58	Chairman and Managing Partner of Stone Advisors, LP
Marianne Miller Parrs	67	Retired Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of International Paper Company
Gerald Rosenfeld	65	Vice Chairman of Lazard Ltd.
Vice Admiral John R. Ryan	66	President and Chief Executive Officer of the Center for Creative Leadership and Retired Vice Admiral of the U.S. Navy
Seymour Sternberg	68	Retired Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of New York Life Insurance Company
Peter J. Tobin	67	Retired Special Assistant to the President of St. John s University and Retired Chief Financial Officer of The Chase Manhattan Corporation
Laura S. Unger	51	Independent Consultant, Former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

John A. Thain Age: 56 Board Committees: None

Director Since: February 2010

President of Global Banking, Securities and Wealth Management for Bank of America Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Chief Executive Officer and Director of NYSE Euronext, Inc.

Prior Senior Leadership Positions:

Chief Executive Officer and Director of the New York Stock Exchange President and Chief Operating Officer of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.

Mr. Thain has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of CIT since February 2010. In January 2009, prior to joining CIT, Mr. Thain was President of Global Banking, Securities and Wealth Management for Bank of America. From December 2007 to January 1, 2009, prior to its merger with Bank of America, Mr. Thain was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. From June 2006 to December 2007, Mr. Thain served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of NYSE Euronext, Inc. following the NYSE Group and Euronext N.V. merger. Mr. Thain joined the New York Stock Exchange in January 2004, serving as Chief Executive Officer and a director. From June 2003 through December 2003, Mr. Thain was the President and Chief Operating Officer of The Goldman Sachs Group Inc., and from May 1999 through June 2003 he was President and Co-Chief Operating Officer of The Goldman Sachs Group, L.P. From 1994 to 1999, Mr. Thain served as Chief Financial Officer and Head of Operations, Technology and Finance, and from 1995 to 1997 he was also Co-Chief Executive Officer for

European operations for The Goldman Sachs Group, L.P. Mr. Thain currently serves as a member of the MIT Corporation Board, the Dean s Advisory Council of MIT/Sloan School of Management, the U.S. National Advisory Board of INSEAD, the Board of Managers of the New York Botanical Garden and the Board of Directors of the French-American Foundation. Mr. Thain is a trustee of New York-Presbyterian Hospital, a General Trustee of Howard University, and a Trustee and Corporate Officer of The Antz Foundation, a private foundation.

Qualifications: Mr. Thain provides the Board with extensive experience as a senior leader of large and diverse financial institutions, including experience in risk management, finance, information technology, and operations and, as Chief Executive Officer, he provides in-depth knowledge of CIT s business and affairs, management s perspective on those matters, and a transparent avenue of communication between the Board and management.

Aichael J. Embler	Board Committees:	Other Public Directorships:
Age: 47	Audit	Abovenet Inc.
	Nominating &	Dynegy, Inc./Dynegy Holdings Inc.
	Governance	
Director Since: December 2009	Prior Senior	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Leadership	
	Positions:	
	Chief Investment	Board of Trustees, Corlears School
	Officer of	
	Franklin Mutual	
	Advisers	
	LLC	
	Director of Kindred	
	Healthcare, Inc.	

Mr. Embler has served as a director of CIT since December 2009. He formerly served as the Chief Investment Officer of Franklin Mutual Advisers LLC, an asset management subsidiary of Franklin Resources, Inc. Mr. Embler joined Franklin Mutual Advisers in 2001 and, prior to becoming Chief Investment Officer in 2005, served as head of its Distressed Investment Group. From 1992 until 2001, he worked at Nomura Holdings America, where he served as Managing Director managing a team investing in a proprietary fund focused on distressed and other event-driven corporate investments. Mr. Embler currently serves on the Board of

Directors of Abovenet Inc., Dynegy Inc./Dynegy Holdings Inc. and Corlears School and has served during the preceding five years on the Board of Directors of Kindred Healthcare Inc.

- 6 -

Qualifications: Mr. Embler provides the Board with experience in finance, asset management and restructurings, expertise in capital markets and capital management, and experience in the healthcare industry, a key market for certain of CIT s businesses. His experience as Chief Investment Officer of a major asset management firm provides the Board with a unique analytical view from the perspective of an investor.

William M. Freeman Age: 59	Board Committees: Compensation	Other Public Directorships: TerreStar Corporation
Director Since: July 2003	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Chairman of the Board of Arbinet-thexchange, Inc.	Executive Chairman of Oh Daddy LLC
	Chief Executive Officer	Board of Trustees of Drew University
	and Director of Leap Wireless International	Chairman of Celadon Global Inc.
	Chief Executive Officer of Bell Atlantic-Washington, D.C.	
	President of the Public Communications Group of Verizon Communications Inc.	
	President and Chief Executive Officer of Bell Atlantic-New Jersey	

Mr. Freeman has served as a director of CIT since July 2003. Mr. Freeman retired in February 2010 as Chairman of the Board of Arbinet-thexchange, Inc., in which capacity he had served since November 2007. Previously, Mr. Freeman served as President and Chief Executive Officer and Director of Arbinet-thexchange, Inc. from November 2007 until September 2008. Prior to joining Arbinet-thexchange, Mr. Freeman was elected to the Board of Motient Corp., now TerreStar Corporation, in February 2007, and Chairman of Motient/TerreStar in March 2007. Mr. Freeman also served as Chief Executive Officer and Director of Leap Wireless International, Inc. from May 2004 to February 2005 and as President of the Public Communications Group of Verizon Communications Inc. from 2000 to February

2004. Mr. Freeman served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Bell Atlantic-New Jersey from 1998 to 2000, President and Chief Executive Officer of Bell Atlantic-Washington, D.C. from 1994 to 1998, and in a number of other executive and management positions at Verizon since 1974. Mr. Freeman was a founder and co-owner of Synthesis Security LLC, a closely held telecommunications company. Mr. Freeman currently serves, or during the preceding five years served, on the Board of Trustees of Drew University, and as a director of Value Added Holdings, Inc., a privately held communications company and is the Executive Chairman and majority shareholder of Oh Daddy LLC, a privately held beverage marketing and distribution company and Chairman of Celadon Global Inc., a privately held mergers

and acquisitions research firm.

Qualifications: Mr. Freeman provides the Board with extensive experience in managing organizations of various sizes and extensive experience in the telecommunications industry, a key market for CIT s lending products.

David M. Moffett Age: 59	Board Committees: Audit	Other Public Directorships: eBay Inc.
Director Since: July 2010	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Chief Executive Officer of Federal Home Loan	Trustee of University of Oklahoma Foundation
	Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac)	Trustee of Columbia Atlantic Mutual Funds
	Senior Advisor with the Carlyle	
	Group LLC	
	Director of Building Materials	
	Holding Corp.	
	Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of U.S. Bancorp	
	Director of MBIA Inc.	
	Director of E.W. Scripps Company	

Mr. Moffett has served as a director of CIT since July 2010. Mr. Moffett is the former Chief Executive Officer of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), in which capacity he had served from September 2008 to March 2009. Prior to this position, Mr. Moffett served as a Senior Advisor with the Carlyle Group LLC. Mr. Moffett also served as Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of U.S. Bancorp from 2001 to 2007, and has held senior positions with a number of other banking institutions, including Star Banc Corporation, Firstar Corporation, Bank of America, Security Pacific, Sooner Federal Bank & Trust Co., and First National Bank & Trust Co. of Tulsa. Mr. Moffett has served on the Board of Directors of

eBay Inc. since May 2007, and previously served on the Board of Directors of each of MBIA Inc., E.W. Scripps Company and Building Materials Holding Corp.

Qualifications: Mr. Moffett provides the Board with more than 30 years of strategic finance, risk management and operational experience in commercial banking, and experience in retail banking and management in a regulated environment. His experience as Chief Financial Officer of a major bank holding company provides the Board with insight into the financial, accounting and risk management issues of, and communicating with investors in, a bank holding company.

R. Brad Oates	Board Committees:	Other Public Directorships:
Age: 58	Risk Management	None
Director Since: December 2009	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Chairman of the Board of Directors of NFC Global,	Chairman and Managing Partner of Stone Advisors, LP

LLC

Director of GearingStone, LLC

President and Chief Operating Officer of Bluebonnet Savings Bank FSB

Mr. Oates has served as a director of CIT since December 2009. He currently serves as Chairman and Managing Partner of Stone Advisors, LP, a strategic advisory firm specializing in distressed asset situations, which is currently engaged as a contractor by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (**FDIC**) to assist in resolving bank receiverships. Prior to joining Stone Advisors, Mr. Oates served from 1988 until 2003

as President and Chief Operating Officer of Bluebonnet Savings Bank FSB, responsible for bank operations and strategic planning in a bank turnaround situation, and as Executive Vice President of Stone Holdings, Inc., the holding company for Bluebonnet Savings Bank and a private investment company specializing in banking, information services, risk management and emerging technologies.

- 8 -

Mr. Oates currently serves, or during the preceding five years served, as Chairman of the Board of Directors of NFC Global, LLC and as a director of GearingStone, LLC, a special servicing company for distressed bank assets.

Qualifications: Mr. Oates provides the Board with in-depth experience in successfully managing the turnaround of

troubled financial institutions and a strong background in operating regulated commercial banks and strategic planning. His extensive experience in interacting with the FDIC and other bank regulators during his career provides the Board with insight into bank regulatory matters and supervisory expectations and communications. He also has experience in information technology and risk management.

Marianne Miller Parrs Age: 67	Board Committees: Audit (Chair)	Other Public Directorships: Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.
	Special Compliance	Signet Jewelers Limited
Director Since: January 2003	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of International Paper Company	Board Member, United Way of the Mid-South

Ms. Parrs has served as a director of CIT since January 2003. Ms. Parrs retired at the end of 2007 from International Paper Company where she had served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since November 2005 and as interim Chief Financial Officer since May 2005. Ms. Parrs also has served as Executive Vice President with responsibility for Information Technology, Global Sourcing, Global Supply Chain Delivery, a major supply chain project, and Investor Relations since 1999. From 1995 to 1999, Ms. Parrs served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of International Paper Company. Previously, she served in a number of other executive and management positions at International Paper Company since 1974, and was a security analyst at a number of firms prior to joining International Paper Company. Ms. Parrs currently serves, or during the preceding five years served, on the Board of United Way of the Mid-South, the Board of Rise Foundation in Memphis, Tennessee,

the Board of the Leadership Academy, Memphis, the Board, the Audit Committee and the Finance Committee of Stanley Black & Decker, Inc., the Board, the Audit Committee and the Nomination & Corporate Governance Committee of Signet Jewelers Limited, and is on the Board and is Treasurer of Josephines Circle, Memphis.

Qualifications: Ms. Parrs provides the Board with financial and operational expertise as a result of her significant experience in those roles in industry, particularly in her roles as Chief Financial Officer and as the senior executive in charge of information technology and global supply chain management at a major industrial company, which provide a valuable perspective on financial and accounting issues and on processes and technology. She also has extensive audit committee experience and is an Audit Committee Financial Expert , as defined by the SEC.

Gerald Rosenfeld Age: 65	Board Committees: Risk Management (Chair)	Other Public Directorships: None
Director Since: January 2010	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Deputy Chairman of Rothschild North America	Vice Chairman of U.S. Investment Banking of Lazard Ltd.
	President of G Rosenfeld & Co LLC	Director of Continental Grain Company
	Head of Investment Banking and a member of the Management Committee of Lazard Freres	Board of Overseers, New York University Stern School of Business
		Board Member, American Academy of Arts and Sciences
		Board Member, Catalist LLC

Mr. Rosenfeld has served as a director of CIT since January 2010. Mr. Rosenfeld re-joined Lazard Ltd. as Vice Chairman of United States investment banking effective March 1, 2011. He was Deputy Chairman of Rothschild North America from 2007 to 2011 and served as its Chief Executive Officer from 1999 to 2007. Prior to joining Rothschild, he was President of G Rosenfeld & Co LLC, an investment banking firm. Prior to

founding G Rosenfeld & Co LLC in 1998, he was Head of Investment Banking and a member of the Management Committee of Lazard Freres & Co. LLC. Mr. Rosenfeld joined Lazard in 1992 after holding significant management positions at Bankers Trust Company, Salomon Inc. and its Salomon Brothers subsidiary and McKinsey & Company. Prior to joining McKinsey, Mr. Rosenfeld was a member of the faculty

- 9 -

of the City College of New York, New York University and the University of Maryland. Mr. Rosenfeld currently serves, or during the preceding five years served, as a member of the Board of Directors of Continental Grain Company, on the Board of Overseers of New York University s Stern School of Business, where he also serves as an Adjunct Professor of Finance, and on the Boards of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and Catalist LLC.

Qualifications: Mr. Rosenfeld provides the Board with extensive experience and expertise in risk management and sophisticated financial matters gained by both practical experience in a regulated environment and through research and teaching finance-related courses at several prominent universities. He also has management experience as a senior executive in commercial banking, investment banking and capital markets.

Vice Admiral John R. Ryan Age: 66	Board Committees: Compensation	Other Public Directorships:
	Nominating & Governance	Cablevision Systems Corp.
Director Since: July 2003 Lead Director: since May 2008	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Chancellor of the State University of New York	President and Chief Executive Officer of the Center for Creative
	Superintendent of the U.S. Naval Academy	Leadership Chairman of the Board of
	Commander of the Fleet Air Mediterranean, U.S. Navy	Directors of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation
	Commander of the Patrol Wings for the U.S. Pacific Fleet, U.S. Navy	
	Director of Logistics for the U.S. Pacific Command, U.S. Navy	
	President of the State University of New York Maritime College	

Vice Admiral Ryan has served as a director of CIT since July 2003 and was appointed lead director (**Lead Director**) by the Board in May 2008. Mr. Ryan has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the Center for Creative Leadership in Greensboro, North Carolina since May 2007. Previously, Mr. Ryan served as Chancellor of the State University of New York from June 2005 to June 2007. Mr. Ryan also served as President of the State University of New York Maritime College from June 2002 until June 2005 while also serving as the Interim President of the State University of New York at Albany from February 2004 until February 2005. From 1998 to 2002, Mr. Ryan was Superintendent of the U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland. Mr. Ryan served in the U.S. Navy from 1967 to July 2002, including as Commander of the Fleet Air Mediterranean in Naples, Italy from 1995 to 1998, Commander of the Patrol Wings for the U.S. Pacific Fleet in

Pearl Harbor from 1993 to 1995, and Director of Logistics for the U.S. Pacific Command in Aiea, Hawaii from 1991 to 1993. Mr. Ryan currently serves as a director of Cablevision Systems Corp., President and Chief Executive Officer of the Center for Creative Leadership, and as Chairman of the Board of the U.S. Naval Academy Foundation.

Qualifications: Vice Admiral Ryan provides the Board with experienced leadership and an expertise in managing large complex organizations, primarily in academia and the military. In addition, Mr. Ryan provides the Board with extensive experience in logistics, talent development and succession planning. His tenure as a director, and more recently as Lead Director, of CIT enables him to provide the Board with valuable experience in overseeing CIT s business and providing leadership to the Board.

Seymour Sternberg Age: 68	Board Committees: Compensation (Chair)	Other Public Directorships: Express Scripts Inc.
Director Since: December 2005	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	Senior Leadership Positions:
	Chairman of the Board of Directors & CEO of New York	Board of Trustees, Hackley School
	Life Insurance Company	Chairman of Board of Trustees of Northeastern University

Board of Directors, U.S. Chamber of Commerce

Board of Trustees, Columbia-Presbyterian Hospital

- 10 -

Mr. Sternberg has served as a director of CIT since December 2005. Mr. Sternberg served as Chairman of the Board of Directors & CEO of New York Life Insurance Company from April 1997 until June 2009. Mr. Sternberg joined New York Life as a Senior Vice President in 1989, and held positions of increasing responsibility, including Executive Vice President, Vice Chairman, President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Sternberg serves on the Board of Directors of Express Scripts, Inc., a pharmacy benefits manager. He is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. Mr. Sternberg currently serves, or during the preceding five years served, on the boards of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce,

Columbia-Presbyterian Hospital, Northeastern University, the Hackley School, Big Brothers/Big Sisters, New York City Partnership, the New York City Leadership Academy, and the Kennedy Center Corporate Fund.

Qualifications: Mr. Sternberg provides the Board with extensive experience in managing a large regulated institution from his experience in the insurance industry. Based on his experience in multiple areas during his career, Mr. Sternberg provides the Board with insight into funding, financial management, risk management, and operations issues.

Peter J. Tobin Age: 67	Board Committees: Special Compliance (Chair)	Other Public Directorships: AllianceBernstein Corporation
	Risk Management	
Director Since: July 2002	Prior Senior Leadership Positions:	
	Interim Chief Executive Officer of CIT Group Inc.	Director of AXA Financial
	Dean of the Peter J. Tobin	Director of Rock Valley Tool
	College of Business at St. John s University	Chief Financial Officer of The Chase Manhattan Corporation

Director of H.W. Wilson

Mr. Tobin has served as a director of CIT since July 1, 2002, and previously from May 1984 to June 1, 2001. Mr. Tobin served as CIT s Interim Chief Executive Officer from January 19, 2010 through February 7, 2010. He retired from St. John s University in May 2005, after serving as Special Assistant in Corporate Relations and Development to the President of St. John s University since September 2003, and previously as Dean of the Peter J. Tobin College of Business at St. John s University since August 1998. From March 1996 to December 1997, Mr. Tobin was Chief Financial Officer of The Chase Manhattan Corporation. From January 1992 to March 1996, Mr. Tobin served as Chief Financial Officer of Chemical Banking Corporation, a predecessor of The Chase Manhattan Corporation, and prior to that he served in a number of executive positions at Manufacturers Hanover Corporation,

a predecessor of Chemical Banking Corporation. Mr. Tobin currently serves, or during the preceding five years served, as a director of AXA Financial, AllianceBernstein Corporation, a subsidiary of AXA Financial that manages mutual funds, H.W. Wilson, a publishing company, and as an officer and director of Rock Valley Tool.

Qualifications: Mr. Tobin provides the Board with expertise and experience on various financial and accounting issues as a former Chief Financial Officer with several large and diverse commercial banking institutions. He is also a certified public accountant. In addition, Mr. Tobin

provides the Board with insight from a customer s perspective as an officer and/or director of two privately held companies.

Laura S. Unger	Board Committees:	Other Public Directorships:
Age: 51	Nominating &	Ambac Financial Group Inc.
	Governance (Chair)	
		CA, Inc.
	Special Compliance	
Director Since: January 2010	Prior Senior Leadership	
	Positions:	
	Acting Chairperson of the	Counsel to the United States
	U.S. Securities and Exchange	Senate
	Commission	Committee on Banking, Housing and
		Urban Affairs
	Commissioner of the U.S.	Director of MBNA Corporation
	Securities and Exchange	
	Commission	Board Member, Children s
		National
		Medical Center Foundation

- 11 -

Ms. Unger has served as a director of CIT since January 2010. She served as Commissioner of the SEC from November 1997 to February 2002, including Acting Chairperson of the SEC from February to August 2001. Subsequently, she served as a Regulatory Expert for CNBC. Before being appointed to the SEC, Ms. Unger served as Counsel to the United States Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs. Prior to working on Capitol Hill, she was an attorney with the Enforcement Division of the SEC. Ms. Unger currently serves, or during the preceding five years served, as a director of Ambac Financial Group, Inc., the IQ Funds Complex, CA, Inc., MBNA Corp. and Children s National Medical Center Foundation. She also acts or has acted as an Independent

Consultant to JP Morgan Chase for the Global Analyst Conflict Settlement and the Marwood Group, a healthcare-focused strategic advisory and financial services firm.

Qualifications: Ms. Unger provides the Board with insight into dealing with regulators and operating in a regulatory environment, based on her experience as both a former Commissioner and a former enforcement attorney with the SEC. In addition, Ms. Unger provides the Board with insight into the legislative process, based on her experience as a staff counsel in the U.S. Senate. She also has significant corporate governance expertise.

Director Qualifications and Experience

The table below includes the qualifications and experience of each director that assisted the Board in determining the directors are qualified to serve on the Board and that the Board is composed of directors with diverse backgrounds and experiences.

Summary of Director Qualifications and Experience	Mich Embl	að Willi Íð Freei	amDav naMalof	vid R. Bra fett Oat	d Mari d Par es	ann (Gera rrsRosen	ldJol fe lR y	n Seym a Stern	ou P ete i ber F obi i	LauraJohn JngeThain
Business Head/Administration experience is important as directors with such	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Summary of Director Qualifications and Experience	Micha Embl	aeWillia eFreem	mDavi aMoffe	R. d Brad	Marian Parrs	n G eralo Rosenfo	dJoh eIRya	iS eymou Siternbe	1 P ete r E obi	a Laur Munge	aJohn Thain
experience typically possess strong leadership qualities and the ability to identif and develop those qualities in others.				Uate	S		J		0	U	
 Business Operations experience gives directors a practical understanding of developing, implementing and assessing our operating and business strategy. Corporate Governance experience support 		Х	Х	Х	X	Х		Х	X		Х
our goals of strong Board and management accountability, transparency and protection of shareholder interests.	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
Finance/Capital Allocation experience is important in evaluating our financial statements and capital structure. Banking Expertise is important because it	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
assists our directors in understanding and overseeing our banking activities, regulatory requirements and environment and financial reporting and internal controls.			Х	Х					X		
Financial Services Industry experience is important in understanding and reviewing our business strategy and financial statements.	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х	Х		Х
Government/Public Policy experience is relevant to CIT as it operates in a regulated industry that is directly affected by governmental actions.							Х			х	
International experience is important in understanding and reviewing our international businesses. Risk Management experience is critical to						Х	Х	Х			Х
 the Board s role in overseeing the risks faci CIT. Marketing/Sales experience is relevant to CIT as it seeks to identify and develop new 	nğ		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
markets for its products and services and new products and services for its customers. Technology Systems experience is relevant		Х						Х			
to CIT as it looks for ways to enhance CIT customer experience and retention and internal operating efficiencies and controls. Academia/Education experience brings	S			Х	Х			Х			Х
perspective regarding organizational management relevant to our business.		Х				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

CIT is committed to the values of effective corporate governance and high ethical standards. Our Board believes that these values promote long-term performance and reevaluates our governance policies on an ongoing basis to ensure they sufficiently meet CIT s needs and our stockholders interests. Listed below are some of the significant corporate governance practices and policies we have adopted.

Majority voting in director elections. In accordance with CIT s By-Laws, except in the case of a contested election, each of our director-nominees have agreed to tender his or her irrevocable contingent resignation which becomes effective if he or she is not elected by a majority of the votes cast by stockholders and our Board accepts the resignation. If a director-nominee is not elected by a majority of the votes cast, our Governance Committee will promptly consider the director s resignation and recommend to our Board whether to accept or reject the resignation. Our Board will act on the Governance Committee s recommendation within 90 days of the applicable stockholder meeting and will then publicly disclose its decision, the process by which the decision was reached, and, if applicable, the rationale behind its decision to reject a tendered resignation.

Lead Director. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines establish the role of an independent lead director who is elected annually by a majority vote of the independent directors. More information about the role of the lead director and our Board structure may be found in this Proxy Statement under the heading Board Leadership Structure .

Related Person Transactions Policy. Our Governance Committee is responsible for approving or ratifying transactions involving CIT and related persons and determining if the transaction is in, or not inconsistent with, the best interests of CIT and our stockholders. More information about our Related Person Transactions Policy may be found below under the heading Related Person Transactions Policy .

Executive Sessions. Our Board meets regularly in executive sessions without the presence of management, including our Chairman. These sessions are led by our Lead Director.

Limitations on Participation on Other Boards. To ensure that our directors have sufficient time to devote proper attention to their responsibilities as directors of CIT, unless otherwise approved by the Governance Committee, employed directors are limited to service on two boards of other publicly traded companies, while other directors may not serve on the boards of more than four other public companies.

Absence of a Stockholder Rights Plan. We do not have a stockholder rights plan and are not currently considering adopting one.

Stock Ownership Requirements. Both our directors and senior executive officers are required to own a minimum amount of CIT s common stock at all times while they remain with CIT.

Additional information is provided below regarding these and certain other key corporate governance policies which we believe enable us to manage our business in accordance with the highest standards of business practices and in the best interests of our stockholders. Investors can find a copy of CIT s Corporate Governance Guidelines and other governance policies on our website at http://www.cit.com/about-cit/corporate-governance/index.htm. Information contained on the CIT website does not constitute part of this Proxy Statement.

Director Independence

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that a substantial majority of the Board be composed of directors who meet the independence criteria established by the NYSE. For a director to be considered independent, the Board must affirmatively determine that neither the director nor any of such director s immediate family has a material relationship with CIT (either directly or as a partner, stockholder, or officer of an organization that has a relationship with CIT). In making its determination, the Board considers all relevant facts and circumstances, both with respect to the director and with respect to any persons or organizations with which the director has an affiliation, including immediate family members. The Board also considers the specific independence criteria for directors as defined by the NYSE.

In furtherance of our Board s commitment to maintain the independence of our independent directors, the Board implemented a charitable contributions policy. The policy requires that if any charitable contribution proposed to be made by CIT to an organization in which a director is affiliated exceeds the lesser of (i) \$25,000 or (ii) 2% of the charitable organization s most recently reported annual consolidated gross revenues, such contribution is subject to the approval of the Governance Committee. In determining whether to approve any such contribution, the Governance Committee considers whether the donation would impair the director s independence.

Based on the foregoing considerations, the Board has determined that, except for Mr. Thain, our CEO, all of CIT s directors are independent and each of the Board Committees are composed solely of independent directors. In making this

- 13 -

determination, the Board considered the transactions described below under the heading Related Person Transactions Policy .

Related Person Transactions Policy

The Board has adopted a Related Person Transactions Policy for the review and approval of related person transactions, which is defined under such policy as any transaction, arrangement or relationship, or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships, in which CIT was or is to be a participant, the amount involved exceeds or is expected to exceed \$120,000 in a single calendar year, and an executive officer, director, director nominee, or a 5% beneficial owner of any class of CIT s voting securities (or any of their respective immediate family members) had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than the following:

interests arising solely from the related person s position as a director or limited partner, or from the direct or indirect ownership by the related person, and all other related persons, in the aggregate of less than a 10% equity interest in another corporation or organization that is a participant in the transaction;

amounts due from related persons to CIT for purchases of goods and services subject to usual trade terms, for ordinary business travel and expense payments, and for other indebtedness transactions in the ordinary course of business;

interests arising solely from the ownership of a class of CIT s equity securities, if all holders of that class of equity securities receive the same benefit on a *pro rata* basis;

transactions where price is determined by competitive bid, or where the service is rendered as a common carrier or public utility at rates fixed pursuant to law;

transactions that involve compensation to a director, or compensation to executive officers, approved by the Board;

interests arising solely from the related person s position as an executive officer or director of another entity that is a participant in the transaction, where (a) the related person and his or her immediate family members own in the aggregate less than a 5% equity interest in such entity, (b) the related person and his or her immediate family members are not involved in the negotiation of the terms of the transaction, and (c) the amount involved in the transaction equals less than 2% of the annual gross revenues of each of CIT and the other entity that is a participant in the transaction.

Under this written policy, any proposed related person transaction will be considered at the next meeting of the Governance Committee, but if it is not desirable for CIT to wait until the next meeting, the transaction will be submitted to the Chairperson of the Governance Committee for approval, subject to reporting any such approval at the next Governance Committee meeting. In either case, the benefits to CIT, the availability of other sources of comparable products or services, the terms of the transaction, the terms available to unrelated third parties, and whether the transaction was undertaken in the ordinary course of business, will be considered. The Governance Committee will approve only those related person transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of CIT and its stockholders, as the Governance Committee determines in good faith. In certain circumstances, if the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or General Counsel of CIT becomes aware of a related person transaction that has not been previously approved or ratified under the policy, the Governance Committee will determine if rescission of the transaction is appropriate, and will request that the Chief Financial Officer evaluate CIT s controls and procedures to ascertain the reason the transaction was not submitted to the Governance Committee or its Chairperson for prior approval.

We have in the past and may in the future enter into certain transactions with affiliates, other than directors and executive officers. Such transactions have been, and it is anticipated that such transactions will continue to be, entered into on an arm s length basis at a fair market value for the transaction.

Following is a description of a series of transactions reviewed by the Governance Committee under the Related Persons Transactions Policy:

Daniel A. Ninivaggi, a former director and former Chairperson of our Governance Committee who decided not to stand for re-election at the 2011 Annual Meeting due to his other commitments, served as the principal executive officer of Icahn Enterprises L.P. (Icahn Enterprises), a

publicly traded company. CIT has historically had and continues to have various business dealings with affiliates of Icahn Enterprises. Among other things, CIT has purchased and continues to purchase railcars and related services from American Railcar Industries (**ARI**), a manufacturing company acquired by Icahn Enterprises in early 2010 from another company controlled by Mr. Icahn (payments with respect to these purchases and services totaled approximately \$26 million in 2010, \$175 million in 2009, and \$370 million in 2008). In February 2011, CIT entered into an agreement to purchase approximately 3,500 railcars from ARI (the **2011 Purchases**). The purchase price for the railcars is dependent on a number of factors, including the type of car and delivery date, but could exceed \$250 million. In addition to the railcar purchases and related services, certain other consolidated subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises have historical lending and

- 14 -

leasing relationships with CIT. As of December 31, 2010, there were approximately \$650,000 in outstanding equipment leases to such subsidiaries originated by our Vendor Finance business segment as well as a \$50 million line of credit with our Trade Finance business segment, of which \$0 was outstanding as of February 2011. In determining that Mr. Ninivaggi was independent, the Board considered these transactions with affiliates of Icahn Enterprises and concluded that they were not material because (i) a majority of these transactions had been (and continue to be) conducted on an arm s-length basis on customary market terms, (ii) the transactions are ordinary course transactions, most of which occurred prior to Mr. Ninivaggi joining Icahn Enterprises in 2010 and prior to Icahn Enterprises acquiring ARI, (iii) the transactions represented only a small portion of the revenues of Icahn Enterprises in each of the three calendar years prior to the 2011 Purchases, (iv) Mr. Ninivaggi was not involved in the transactions, and (v) Mr. Ninivaggi did not have a material interest in the transactions.

Appointment of Directors

Each of CIT s eleven nominees for director are standing for re-election.

Diversity of Directors

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board has adopted a diversity policy and seeks diversity in its members with respect to background, skills and expertise, industry knowledge, and experience. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines set forth general criteria for nomination and renomination to the Board, including:

judgment, integrity, commitment, and candor;

leadership and decision-making experience in complex organizations, including corporations, banking and financial institutions and government, education, and military institutions;

expertise, knowledge, and skills useful for overseeing our business; and

diversity of background, perspectives, skills and experience.

When considering directors for re-nomination, the Governance Committee also considers attendance, preparedness, participation and candor.

The Governance Committee reviews with the Board the skills, characteristics and diversity of background appropriate for CIT s directors. When seeking to fill Board vacancies, the Governance Committee evaluates the skills and characteristics of the existing directors, including the diversity of background, perspectives, and experience of the directors, to identify any gaps that should be filled. The Governance Committee then utilizes that information to guide its search for new director nominees.

Majority Voting for Directors

Under our By-Laws and Corporate Governance Guidelines, if the nominees are all nominated by CIT, a nominee for director is elected if the votes cast for such nominee s election exceed the votes cast against such nominee s election; however, directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast at any meeting of stockholders for which (i) the Corporate Secretary of CIT receives a notice that a stockholder has nominated a person for election to the Board in compliance with the advance notice requirements for stockholder nominees set forth in our By-Laws, and (ii) such nomination has not been withdrawn by such stockholder on or before the tenth day before CIT first mails its notice of meeting for such meeting to the stockholders. If directors are to be elected by a plurality of the votes cast, as permitted under Delaware law and our By-Laws,

stockholders shall not be permitted to vote against a nominee. Votes cast shall not include abstentions with respect to the election of directors. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, if a majority vote is required, any nominee who fails to receive the required vote for his or her election or re-election must promptly tender his or her resignation to the Chairman of the Board. If an incumbent director fails to receive the required vote for re-election, the Governance Committee will promptly consider the resignation submitted by such director and will recommend to the full Board whether to accept such resignation. The Governance Committee will consider all factors that it deems relevant in making its recommendation, including any stated reasons why stockholders voted against the director, the length of service and qualifications of the director, the director s contributions to CIT and CIT s Corporate Governance Guidelines.

The Board will act on the recommendation of the Governance Committee no later than 90 days following the date of the stockholders meeting at which the election occurred. The Board will review the factors considered by the Governance Committee and such other information and factors as the Board deems relevant. We will promptly disclose the Board s decision whether to accept the resignation as tendered, and provide a full explanation of the process by which the decision was reached and, if applicable, the reasons the Board rejected the tendered resignation, in a Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

If one or more directors resignations are accepted by the Board, the Governance Committee will recommend to the Board whether to fill such vacancy or vacancies or to reduce the size of the Board.

Board Leadership Structure

The positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman are held by one person, currently John A. Thain. In deciding to continue CIT s practice of combining the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman positions, the primary factors considered by the Board were the importance of a unified strategic and operating focus, the benefits of clarity in the management structure of the organization, and the need for consistent communications to stockholders, customers,

- 15 -

regulators and other constituencies. This structure also best assures that Mr. Thain is able to use his in-depth knowledge and perspective gained from running CIT to effectively and efficiently guide our Board. By being closely connected with both CIT s senior level managers and the Board, Mr. Thain is better able to appreciate and balance the perspectives of both groups.

To establish a liaison between the non-management directors and the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and foster effective communication between them, the independent directors on CIT s Board also appoint a Lead Director who is independent of management. This position is currently held by Vice Admiral John R. Ryan. The Board has structured the role of our independent Lead Director to strike an appropriate balance to the combined Chairman and Chief Executive Officer role and to fulfill the important requirements of independent leadership on the Board. As Lead Director, Mr. Ryan:

presides over all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present;

presides at executive sessions of the Board;

approves meeting agendas for the Board to ensure that management is addressing all matters of concern or interest to the Board and that sufficient time for discussion is allocated for each matter; and

serves as a liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors.

The Board s Role in Risk Oversight

The Board believes that evaluating how CIT s executive team manages the various risks confronting CIT is one of the most important areas of its oversight responsibilities and that effectively balancing risk and return is critical to the long term success of CIT. CIT has a comprehensive enterprise risk management program that governs the policies and procedures used by management to monitor, evaluate and manage the risks we assume in conducting our business activities. Our Board s oversight of this risk management process is conducted primarily by our Audit Committee and our Risk Management Committee; however, each of the other Board Committees also considers risk within its area of responsibility.

Audit Committee

The duties of the Audit Committee include reviewing and discussing with the appropriate members of management CIT s major financial risk exposures, including interest rate, liquidity, foreign currency exposure, cash investment, funding, swap-counterparty, and asset-liability management risks, as well as overseeing CIT s internal controls over financial reporting. In addition, the Audit Committee is responsible for the oversight of, and receives regular reports regarding, CIT s internal audit and compliance functions and risks related to

litigation, compliance and legal matters as well as enterprise, operations and market risks. The Audit Committee and Risk Management Committee meet together quarterly in joint sessions to ensure appropriate communications regarding areas of overlap in overseeing risk.

Risk Management Committee

The duties of the Risk Management Committee include overseeing CIT s risk management functions and processes, including (a) reviewing and recommending to the Board an annual risk appetite statement, (b) ensuring that management has established processes and an enterprise risk management framework and governance structures designed to identify, bring to the Board s and/or the Risk Management Committee s attention, and appropriately manage, monitor, control and report exposures to the major risks affecting CIT, (c) monitoring the performance, quality and trends associated with CIT s credit portfolio, (d) assessing, jointly with the Audit Committee, the adequacy of CIT s allowance for credit losses and management s methodology for determining such allowance, and (e) receiving, jointly with the Compensation Committee, management s assessment of the effectiveness of the design and operation of CIT s incentive compensation programs. The Risk Management Committee also oversees CIT s loan review function, information security processes, business continuity planning, and the use of insurance to manage certain of CIT s risks.

Compensation Committee

The duties of the Compensation Committee include regularly assessing risks related to our compensation programs, including our executive compensation practices. Management provides information on a regular basis to the Compensation Committee regarding compensation elements and features that could mitigate or encourage excessive risk-taking. In assessing compensation related risks, the Compensation Committee, together with the Risk Management Committee, considers the balance between annual and longer-term performance incentives, performance measures that motivate sustained performance while prudently managing risk, stock ownership guidelines that align executives interests with those of our stockholders, and our clawback policy to recoup compensation.

Nominating & Governance Committee

The duties of the Governance Committee include reviewing and minimizing risks by ensuring appropriate policies and practices exist and are implemented to avoid or manage conflicts of interest by and among CIT, its executive officers, directors, director nominees and stockholders, overseeing an effective succession planning process, and adopting prudent governance policies. For more information, see Corporate Governance Related Person Transactions Policy in this Proxy Statement.

- 16 -

Succession Planning

The Board is actively engaged and involved in talent management. The Board reviews the Company s human resources strategy in support of its business strategy at least annually. This process includes a detailed discussion of the Company s leadership bench strength and succession plans with a focus on key positions at the senior officer level. In addition, the Board Committees regularly discuss the talent depth for specific critical roles. High potential leaders are given exposure and visibility to Board members through formal presentations and informal events. For more information on CIT s Board Committees, see Corporate Governance Board Committees immediately below this section.

Director and Senior Executive Officer Stock Ownership Policy

CIT believes that significant stock ownership by its directors and senior executive officers further aligns their and CIT stockholders interests. Accordingly, under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, within a specified period of time, directors are required to own shares of CIT s common stock at least equal in value to five times the amount of the directors annual retainer fee. Value is generally calculated as the number of shares owned multiplied by the greater of (i) the current stock price or (ii) the 3-year average stock price of CIT s common stock. This minimum stock ownership must be

maintained for as long as both (a) such director remains on the Board and (b) CIT s common stock is publicly traded on a national securities exchange. Senior executive officers of CIT are also required to own a minimum amount of CIT shares as further described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis portion of this Proxy Statement under the heading Structure of Our Executive Compensation Program for 2011 Equity Ownership and Retention .

Board Committees

During 2011, our Board maintained an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, a Governance Committee and a Risk Management Committee as standing committees. Our Board also maintained a Special Compliance Committee, as an *ad hoc* committee required by the terms of our Written Agreement, dated August 12, 2009, between the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and CIT (**Written Agreement**). Each of the Board Committee, the Risk Management Committee, and the Special Compliance Committee is independent as defined by the NYSE and applicable law. Each Board Committee has a separate chair and operates under a written charter. The current version of the written charter of each standing Board Committee is available on our website at http://www.cit.com/about-cit/corporate-governance/board-committees/index.htm.

Board Committee Assignments

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating & Governance Committee	Risk Management Committee	Special Compliance Committee
Michael J. Embler					
William M. Freeman					
David M. Moffett					
R. Brad Oates					
Marianne M. Parrs	CHAIR				
Gerald Rosenfeld				CHAIR	
Vice Admiral John R. Ryan					
Seymour Sternberg		CHAIR			
Peter J. Tobin					CHAIR
Laura S. Unger			CHAIR		
2011 Meetings	18	7	5	8	12

Board Committee Duties, Generally

Each Board Committee:

conducts its duties consistent with its written charter, which it reviews and updates (if appropriate) at least annually;

conducts a self-evaluation annually;

cooperates and coordinates with the other Board Committees on areas where the substance of their activities overlap; and

regularly reports to the Board.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee s duties include:

monitoring the quality and integrity of our financial reporting process, financial statements and systems of internal controls regarding finance and accounting;

monitoring compliance with our Code of Business Conduct, other compliance policies, and legal and regulatory requirements;

reviewing the budget, plan and activities of the Internal Audit Department and the appointment, performance and replacement of the Chief Auditor;

retaining, determining the compensation of, and monitoring the qualifications, independence and performance of the independent auditors, including approving in advance all audit and non-audit engagements; and

overseeing the management of our financial, litigation and compliance risks.

The Board has determined that Ms. Parrs meets the standard of Audit Committee Financial Expert, as defined by the rules of the SEC, and that each member of the Audit Committee is independent from management and financially literate, as defined by the NYSE listing standards.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee evaluates, oversees and approves the compensation and benefits for our executive officers and other employees, and is responsible for the following:

oversight, review and approval of the overall goals and purposes of CIT s incentive compensation programs for all employees, to ensure that such programs appropriately balance risk and financial results and do not encourage excessive risk taking;

reviewing and recommending to the Board for approval the corporate goals and objectives relevant to CEO compensation;

recommending to the Board the compensation and benefits for the CEO considering CIT s and his performance relative to financial, strategic and other goals and objectives approved by the Board and the value of compensation granted to CEO s at comparable or peer companies;

approving the compensation for our executive officers and reviewing the compensation for all employees other than our executive officers whose annual compensation exceeds US\$1 million;

meeting at least annually to discuss and evaluate employee compensation plans with CIT s Chief Risk Officer in light of an assessment of any risk posed to CIT, to ensure that such plans do not encourage employees to take unnecessary and excessive risks and to ensure that such plans do not encourage the manipulation of CIT s reported earnings to enhance the compensation of any of CIT s employees;

receiving and reviewing, jointly with the Risk Management Committee, management s assessment of the effectiveness of the design and operation of CIT s incentive compensation programs in providing risk-taking incentives that are consistent with the safety and soundness of CIT;

maintaining compensation practices that are consistent with applicable market standards and compliant with applicable regulatory requirements;

approving significant amendments to the retirement, severance and other compensation and benefit plans in which our executive officers participate;

discussing, reviewing with management and approving the disclosure regarding compensation and benefit matters and the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in CIT s annual proxy statement; and

approving the Compensation Committee Report for inclusion in our annual proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees comprised of one or more members of the Compensation Committee. It may also delegate to CIT s employee benefits committee the responsibility to review and recommend material revisions to retirement plans, severance plans, plans permitting deferral of compensation, or any other benefit plan in which the executive officers are participants. The Compensation Committee also has the authority to engage such consultants and independent counsel as it determines is appropriate to assist it in the performance of its responsibilities.

In 2011, the Compensation Committee engaged the independent, external consulting firm Pay Governance LLC (**Pay Governance**) to advise the Compensation Committee on all matters relating to the compensation of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee directly retained Pay Governance independently from CIT management, and CIT does not utilize Pay Governance for any other purpose.

Nominating & Governance Committee

The Governance Committee oversees CIT s governance policies and processes for nominating directors, which duties include:

identifying and recommending qualified candidates to fill positions on the Board and its Board Committees;

reviewing and recommending to the Board the compensation and benefits for directors (other than directors who are also employees of CIT);

overseeing the evaluation of the structure, duties, size, membership and functions of the Board and its Board Committees;

overseeing the self-evaluation of the Board and its Board Committees;

overseeing Corporate Governance Guidelines and related policies;

overseeing the succession planning process for CIT s Chief Executive Officer, executive officers and senior managers; and

reviewing disclosures in CIT s annual proxy statement regarding the Governance Committee and the director nominating process, as well as any stockholder proposals and statements in opposition.

The Governance Committee considers and evaluates all director candidates recommended by our stockholders in accordance with the procedures set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. Stockholders may propose qualified nominees for consideration by the Governance Committee by submitting the names and supporting information in writing to: Office of the General Counsel, CIT Group Inc., One CIT Drive, Livingston, New Jersey 07039. Such supporting information shall include (1) a statement containing the notarized signature of the nominee whereby such nominee consents to being nominated to serve as a director of CIT and to serving as a director if elected by the stockholders; (2) information in support of the nominee s qualifications to serve on the Board and the nominee s independence from management; (3) the name or names of the stockholders who are submitting such proposal, the number of shares of CIT common stock held by each such stockholder, and the length of time such shares have been beneficially owned by such stockholders; and (4) any other information that the stockholder believes to be pertinent. To be considered for nomination, any such nominees shall be proposed as described above no later than December 15th of the calendar year immediately preceding the applicable annual stockholders meeting.

Risk Management Committee

The Risk Management Committee oversees CIT s risk management functions and processes. It assists our Board in fulfilling its responsibilities for overseeing many of the major risks inherent to CIT s business, including credit risk, market risk, reputation risk, business continuity, and operational risk and is responsible for the following:

overseeing our enterprise risk management functions and processes, including reviewing and recommending to the Board an annual risk appetite statement, overseeing CIT s risk monitoring programs and processes, and monitoring the performance and quality of CIT s credit portfolio, reviewing and assessing CIT s

risk grading methodology, and confirming that sufficient and appropriate resources are dedicated to risk management;

reviewing the plan, budget, activities, organizational structure, staffing, scope of authority and qualifications of the loan review organization responsible for auditing compliance with CIT s credit policies and practices;

reviewing and ensuring the adequacy of CIT s business continuity and disaster recovery plans, training programs, and threat analysis;

reviewing and ensuring the adequacy of CIT s information security policies and technology risk management program; and

reviewing CIT s corporate insurance program at least annually.

Special Compliance Committee

On August 12, 2009, the Board established an *ad hoc* Special Compliance Committee to monitor and coordinate CIT s compliance with the Written Agreement. The Special Compliance Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving certain plans required by the terms of the Written Agreement, including (i) a corporate governance plan, focusing on strengthening internal audit, risk management, and other control functions, (ii) a credit risk management plan, (iii) a written program to review and revise, as appropriate, its program for determining, documenting and recording the allowance for loan and lease losses, (iv) a capital plan for CIT and CIT Bank, (v) a liquidity plan, including meeting short term funding needs and longer term funding, and (vi) business plans. The Special Compliance Committee is also responsible for monitoring CIT s efforts to implement the action items described in each of the Plans submitted to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Stockholder Communications with the Board

Any person who has a concern about CIT s governance, corporate conduct, business ethics or financial practices may communicate that concern to the non-management directors. In addition, CIT s stockholders may communicate with the Board regarding any topic of current relevance to CIT s business. Any of the foregoing communications should be submitted in writing to the Lead Director, the Audit Committee, or the non-management directors as a group by writing to them, c/o CIT s General Counsel and Secretary, One CIT Drive, Livingston, New Jersey 07039, or by email to directors@cit.com. Concerns and stockholder communications may also be directed to the Board by calling the CIT Hotline in the U.S. or Canada at 1-877-530-5287. To place calls from other countries in which CIT has operations, individuals may call the toll free numbers listed in our Code of Business Conduct, which is available on our website at http://www.cit.com/about-cit/corporate-governance/index.htm. These concerns can be reported confidentially or anonymously.

Concerns and issues communicated to the Board will be addressed through CIT s regular procedures:

depending on the nature of the concern or issue, your communication may be referred to CIT s Chief Auditor, General Counsel, Head of Human Resources or other appropriate executive for processing, investigation, and follow-up action;

concerns relating to CIT s accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will be referred to the Audit Committee; and

other concerns may be referred to either CIT s Lead Director or to one or more non-management members or Board Committee.

CIT s General Counsel reserves the right not to forward to Board members any abusive, threatening or otherwise inappropriate materials or any other communications intended solely to market services or products to directors or CIT.

Compensation Committee Interlocks, Insider Participation and Banking Interlocks

There are no interlocking relationships between any member of our Compensation Committee and any of our executive officers

that would require disclosure under SEC rules. No member of our Compensation Committee is a current or former officer or employee of CIT. No member of our Board and none of our senior executive officers (as defined in 12 C.F.R. §303.101) is a management official of an unaffiliated depository organization. Mr. Oates has advised CIT that he is the proposed Chairman and CEO of Stone Bank FSB, a proposed federal savings bank that is being formed to acquire failed banks from the FDIC in government assisted transactions. However, Stone Bank FSB has not yet been chartered.

Legal Proceedings

On November 7, 2011, Dynegy Holdings Inc. and four of its subsidiaries filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Mr. Embler has served on the board of directors of Dynegy, Inc., which is the parent company of Dynegy Holdings Inc., since May 2011. There are no other known legal proceedings or events in the past ten years that are material to an evaluation of any director, executive officer, or person nominated to become a director or executive officer of CIT, other than CIT s bankruptcy in 2009.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Governance Committee recommends to the Board the compensation and benefits for CIT s non-employee directors. The objectives of the director compensation program are to attract highly qualified individuals to serve on the Board and to align their interests with our stockholders. Employee directors do not receive compensation for their services as a director.

CIT s director compensation plan (the **Director Compensation Plan**), which was effective as of May 11, 2011, is described below. Directors compensation is earned for each twelve-month period beginning in May and ending in April, but is disclosed in the annual proxy statement on a fiscal year basis. Effective May 2011, CIT amended its Director Compensation Plan to change its director equity-based awards

from a uniform amount to a variable amount as described below under the heading Annual Compensation .

Initial Equity Awards

A one-time grant of restricted stock units (**RSUs**) valued at \$100,000 at the time of grant is awarded to directors in connection with their appointment to the Board, subject to applicable black-out periods and applicable vesting terms.

Annual Compensation

The following table outlines the elements of compensation paid annually to directors for each twelve-month period beginning in May and ending in April of the following calendar year, and determined by each director s role on the Board, pursuant to the Director Compensation Plan.

		Director, Risk, Board Committee airs and Directors ng on more than one Board Committee (1)	All Other Directors	
Cash Retainer	\$	60,000	\$ 60,000	
Equity-Based Award	\$	105,000 to 145,000	\$ 95,000	
Total	\$	165,000 to 205,000	\$155,000	

 Effective May 2011, our Director Compensation Plan was amended to provide for director equity-based awards as follows: \$25,000 for serving as Audit Committee Chair, \$15,000 for serving as Risk Management, Compensation or Special Compliance Committee Chair, \$10,000 for serving as Governance Committee Chair, \$15,000 for serving as Lead Director and \$10,000 for serving on more than one Board Committee. The amounts listed in the Equity-Based Award and Total rows above represent the range of minimum to maximum such amounts. The maximum amounts therein presume that a director serves as Audit Committee Chair and Lead Director and serves on more than one Board Committee.

- 20 -

Annual Cash Retainer

An annual cash retainer of \$60,000 is payable semi-annually in May and October of each year. Alternatively, directors may elect to receive their cash retainer in any combination of cash and RSUs that settle 100% in shares of CIT stock. RSUs granted in lieu of cash as part of the annual retainer vest in full on the first anniversary of the grant date.

Annual Equity Awards

Directors equity-based awards are granted in May of each year in the form of RSUs that settle 50% in cash and 50% in shares and vest in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the grant. Directors may elect to receive 100% of vested RSUs in shares of CIT stock.

Pro-Ration Upon Joining the Board

Annual cash retainers and the value of annual equity-based awards payable to directors with respect to the compensation year during which they are named to the Board are prorated, based on the number of months remaining in the compensation year at the time they are appointed to the Board divided by twelve.

Meeting Fees

No additional fees are paid for attendance at Board or Board Committee meetings.

Out-of-Pocket Expenses

Directors are reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board or Board Committee meetings and functions and for continuing education related to serving as a director of CIT.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (3) (\$)	Stock Awards (4)(5) (\$)	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(h)
John A. Thain (1)	\$	\$	\$
Michael J. Embler	\$60,000	\$105,000	\$165,000
William M. Freeman	\$60,000	\$95,000	\$155,000
David M. Moffett	\$60,000	\$95,000	\$155,000
Marianne Miller Parrs	\$60,000	\$130,000	\$190,000
Daniel A. Ninivaggi (2)	\$	\$	\$
R. Brad Oates	\$60,000	\$95,000	\$155,000
Gerald Rosenfeld	\$60,000	\$110,000	\$170,000
Vice Admiral John R. Ryan	\$60,000	\$120,000	\$180,000
Seymour Sternberg	\$60,000	\$110,000	\$170,000
Peter J. Tobin	\$60,000	\$120,000	\$180,000

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (3) (\$)	Stock Awards (4)(5) (\$)	Total (\$)
Laura S. Unger	\$60,000	\$115,000	\$175,000

- (1) Mr. Thain s compensation during 2011 was based solely on his role as CEO of CIT, as disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table and discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.
- (2) Mr. Ninivaggi did not receive any payments or equity-based grants during 2011 for service as a director. Mr. Ninivaggi received retainer payments during 2010 that covered his services through his resignation from the Board in May 2011.
- (3) During 2011, directors received an annual retainer of \$60,000, which was payable in cash or converted to a number of RSUs at each director s election. The grant date fair value of RSUs received at each director s election did not exceed the value of the foregone cash retainer, and no amount related to such awards is therefore included in the Stock Awards column. RSUs received at each director s

election during 2011 as part of their retainer are itemized as follows:

	Ann	Annual Retainer			
	Cash	RSUs			
Mr. Rosenfeld	\$	\$60,000			
Mr. Sternberg	\$30,000	\$30,000			

RSUs received at each director s election were converted to a number of units based on the closing price of CIT common stock on each grant date and are scheduled to vest 100% on the first anniversary of the date of the award. The number of RSUs granted and the grant date fair value of awards granted at each director s election, corresponding to the values above, are as follows:

	Grant Date	# RSUs	Grant Date Fair Value
Mr. Rosenfeld	5/10/11	694	\$30,000
	10/26/11	843	\$30,000
Mr. Sternberg	5/10/11	347	\$15,000
	10/26/11	422	\$15,000

- 21 -

(4) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of RSUs granted during 2011 for each director, other than for RSUs granted as part of the annual retainer and described in footnote 3 above. These amounts do not represent the actual value realized by each director. The grant date fair value is determined in accordance with FASB ASC 718 (ASC 718) based on the closing price of CIT common stock on the date of grant. The number of RSUs granted during 2011 was determined based on the closing price of CIT common stock on each grant date and are scheduled to vest in equal installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the date of the award. The number of RSUs and grant date fair value of awards are as follows:

	Grant Date	# RSUs	Grant Date Fair Value
Mr. Embler Messrs, Freeman,	5/10/11	2,428	\$105,000
Moffett and Oates	5/10/11	2,197	\$ 95,000
Ms. Miller Parrs	5/10/11	2,428	\$105,000
	7/27/11	620	\$ 25,000
Messrs. Rosenfeld	5/10/11	2,428	\$105,000
and Sternberg	7/27/11	124	\$ 5,000
Messrs. Ryan and	5/10/11	2,428	\$105,000
Tobin	7/27/11	372	\$ 15,000
Ms. Unger	5/10/11	2,428	\$105,000
-	7/27/11	248	\$ 10,000

The RSUs listed above are scheduled to either settle 50% in cash and 50% in shares, or 100% in shares based on director elections.

(5) The following table sets forth the aggregate number of equity-based awards outstanding at December 31, 2011.

	Stock Options	Restricted Stock Shares	RSUs
Mr. Embler	7,506	869	4,244
Mr. Freeman Mr. Moffett	4,558	1,014	3,839 5,471
Ms. Miller Parrs Mr. Ninivaggi	4,558 7,805	1,014	4,864
Mr. Oates Mr. Rosenfeld	7,506 7,870	835 1,294	3,839 5,905
Mr. Ryan Mr. Sternberg	4,558 5,217	1,014 1,014	4,616 5,136
Mr. Tobin Ms. Unger	4,902 5,815	1,090 1,294	4,616 4,492

RSUs were the only form of equity-based awards granted to directors during 2011. The use of stock options and restricted stock shares was discontinued in April 2010.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table shows the name and address of each person or company known to CIT that beneficially owns in excess of 5% of any class of voting stock. Information in this table is as

of December 31, 2011, based upon reports on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on or before February 14, 2012.

Title of Class of Stock	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Common Stock
Common Stock	Fairholme CapitalManagement, L.L.C.(1) 4400 Biscayne Boulevard, 9th Floor Miami, FL 33137	(2) 18,616,669	9.30%
Common Stock	OppenheimerFunds, Inc. Two World Financial Center 225 Liberty Street New York, NY 10281	(3) 12,835,841	6.40%

(1) Fairholme Capital Management, L.L.C. reports on behalf of itself, Bruce R. Berkowitz, and Fairholme Funds, Inc.

- (2) Fairholme Capital Management, L.L.C. reports shared voting power over 17,447,629 shares and shared dispositive power over 18,616,669 shares.
- (3) OppenheimerFunds, Inc. reports shared voting power over 12,835,841 shares and shared dispositive power over 12,835,841 shares.

Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

The table below shows, as of March 1, 2012, the number of shares of CIT common stock owned by each director, by the named executive officers, and by the directors and executive officers as a group.

	Amount and Nature
	of Beneficial Ownership
	(CIT Common Stock and
	Exchangeable Shares)
Name of Individual	(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)

Percentage of Class

Name of Individual	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (CIT Common Stock and Exchangeable Shares) (1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)	Percentage of Class
John A. Thain	166,414	*
Michael J. Embler	11,507	*
William M. Freeman	4,968	*
David M. Moffett	1,274	*
R. Brad Oates	7,914	*
Marianne Miller Parrs	5,011	*
Gerald Rosenfeld	8,808	*
John R. Ryan	5,011	*
Seymour Sternberg	19,088	*
Peter J. Tobin	5,719	*
Laura S. Unger	6,267	*
Nelson J. Chai	20,881	*
Peter J. Connolly	6,558	*
James L. Hudak	5,878	*
C. Jeffrey Knittel	10,422	*
Scott T. Parker	18,626	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (24 persons)	348,116	*

^{*} Represents less than 1% of our total outstanding Common Stock.

⁽¹⁾ Includes RSUs awarded to Mr. Thain that are fully vested, including 69,578 that are subject to a three year holding period, none of which have voting rights, and 56,836 that have voting rights due to the expiration of RSU holding period and settlement in stock (less shares withheld to cover tax obligations).

⁽²⁾ Includes RSUs awarded under our equity compensation plans which have voting rights due to the expiration of the holding period and their settlement in stock (less shares withheld to cover tax obligations), in the following amounts: Mr. Thain 56,836, Mr. Embler 453, Mr. Freeman 410, Mr. Moffett 1,274, Mr. Oates 410, Ms. Parrs 453, Mr. Rosenfeld 453, Mr. Ryan 453, Mr. Sternberg 453, Mr. Tobin 45 Ms. Unger 453, Mr. Chai 20,881, Mr. Connolly 6,558, Mr. Hudak 5,878, Mr. Knittel 10,442, Mr. Parker 18,626, and 43,770 to all other executive officers as a group.

⁽³⁾ Includes shares of restricted stock issued under our equity compensation plans, for which the holders have voting rights, but for which ownership has not vested, in the following amounts: Mr. Embler 852 shares, Mr. Freeman 507 shares, Mr. Oates 835 shares, Ms. Parrs 507 shares, Mr. Rosenfeld 648 shares, Mr. Ryan 507 shares, Mr. Sternberg 507 shares, Mr. Tobin 545 shares, and Ms. Unger 648 shares.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes shares of CIT common stock issuable pursuant to stock options awarded under our equity compensation plan that have vested or are scheduled to vest within 60 days after February 16, 2011 in the following amounts: Mr. Embler 5,003, Mr. Freeman 3,038, Mr. Oates 5,003, Ms. Parrs 3,038, Mr. Rosenfeld 5,831, Mr. Ryan 3,038, Mr. Sternberg 3,697, Mr. Tobin 3,267, and Ms. Unger 3,875.

⁽⁵⁾ Excludes RSUs issued under our equity compensation plans, for which the holders do not have voting rights, and for which ownership has not vested, in the following amounts: Mr. Thain 123,698, Mr. Moffett 1,827, Mr. Rosenfeld 1,537, Mr. Sternberg 768, Mr. Chai 94,283, Mr. Connolly 33,378, Mr. Hudak 33,394, Mr. Knittel 66,543, Mr. Parker 78,917, and 197,458 to all other executive officers as a group.

⁽⁶⁾ Excludes RSUs issued under our equity compensation plans, for which the holders do not have voting rights, for which ownership has not vested, and for which settlement shall be made 50% in cash and 50% in stock, in the following amounts: Mr. Embler 4,244 (2,428 of which Mr. Embler elected to settle 100% in stock), Mr. Freeman 3,839, Mr. Moffett 3,644 (2,197 of which Mr. Moffett elected to settle 100% in stock), Mr. Oates 3,839 (2,197 of which Mr. Oates elected to settle 100% in stock), Ms. Parrs 4,864, Mr. Rosenfeld 4,368 (2,552 of which Mr. Rosenfeld elected to settle 100% in stock), Mr. Ryan 4,616 (2,800 of which Mr. Ryan elected to

- 23 -

settle 100% in stock), Mr. Sternberg 4,368, Mr. Tobin 4,616 (2,800 of which Mr. Tobin elected to settle 100% in stock), and Ms. Unger 4,492.

(7) Includes 5,221 shares of CIT common stock held in a GRAT trust for which Mr. Sternberg has disclaimed beneficial ownership.

Hedging

CIT s directors and employees are prohibited from entering into financial transactions to hedge their ownership interest in CIT s securities, including trading in publicly traded options, puts, calls, or other derivative instruments related to CIT s stock or debt.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth certain information as of February 15, 2012 regarding CIT s executive officers. The executive officers were appointed by and hold office at the discretion of the Board. No family relationship exists among CIT s executive officers or with any director. The executive officers, like all directors and employees, are subject to CIT s

Code of Business Conduct, which is available on our website at http://www.cit.com/about-cit/corporate-governance/code-of-conduct/index.htm. Certain executive officers may also be directors or trustees of privately held or not-for-profit organizations that are not referred to below.

Name	Age	Position
John A. Thain (1)	56	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of CIT
Ron Arrington	50	President, Global Vendor Finance
Nelson J. Chai	46	President
Peter Connolly	46	Co-President, Corporate Finance
John F. Daly	63	President, Trade Finance
Carol Hayles	51	Executive Vice President and Controller
James L. Hudak	48	Co-President, Corporate Finance
Robert J. Ingato	51	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
C. Jeffrey Knittel	53	President, Transportation Finance
Scott T. Parker	44	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Lisa K. Polsky	54	Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer
Raymond J. Quinlan	59	Executive Vice President Banking
Margaret D. Tutwiler	60	Executive Vice President Communications and Government Relations
Lisa D. Zonino	50	Executive Vice President Human Resources

(1) See Directors Nominees in this Proxy Statement for Mr. Thain s biographical information.

Ron Arrington has served as President of CIT Global Vendor Finance since August 2009. Previously, Mr. Arrington served as President of CIT Vendor Finance, Americas since September 2008, President of CIT US Vendor Finance since April 2006, President of Technology Financing Services since July 2001, Executive Vice President of Consumer Finance since April 1996, and in various positions of increasing responsibility in areas of sales, credit and operations since beginning his career with CIT in January 1984.

Nelson J. Chai has served as President since August 2011. Previously, Mr. Chai served as Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Head of Strategy from June 2010 to August 2011. Prior to joining CIT, Mr. Chai served as President Asia-Pacific for Bank of America Inc. from December 2008 to February 2009, as a result of the merger between Bank of America Inc. and Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. From December 2007 to December 2008, Mr. Chai was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. From January 2006 to December 2007, Mr. Chai was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of NYSE Euronext and its predecessor company NYSE Group, Inc. and, from 2005, he was Chief Financial Officer of Archipelago Holdings, a predecessor

to NYSE Group, Inc., where he was responsible for Finance, Strategy, Human Resources, and Marketing functions since first joining Archipelago in June 2000. Mr. Chai serves as a director of Thermo Fisher Scientific since December 2010, serving on its audit and nominating & governance committees, and a director for the US Fund for UNICEF since 2005, serving as chair of its finance committee and a member of its executive committee.

Peter Connolly has served as Co-President of Corporate Finance since October 2008. Previously, Mr. Connolly served as Managing Director of the Syndicated Loan Group since March 2006. Prior to joining CIT, from 2004 to March 2006, he led GE Corporate Finance Services Distressed Debt business, overseeing a 20-member team, and held a number of senior-level positions in Capital Markets, Corporate Finance and Structured Finance since joining GE Capital in 1994. Before GE, Mr. Connolly held a management role in the audit practice of Arthur Andersen.

John F. Daly has served as President of Trade Finance since 1999. Previously, Mr. Daly was Executive Vice President and Senior Credit Officer of CIT Trade Finance since 1996 and served

- 24 -

in various other senior management positions since joining CIT in 1987. Prior to joining CIT, Mr. Daly was employed by Manufacturers Hanover Commercial Corporation since 1973.

Carol Hayles has served as Executive Vice President and Controller since July 2010. Prior to joining CIT, Ms. Hayles served as Deputy Controller of Citigroup, Inc. since January 2008. Previously, she served as Chief Operating Officer of Citigroup Commercial Business since August 2007, in Investor Relations for Citigroup from January 2005 to August 2007, and in various finance roles of increasing responsibility since 1986, including as Chief Financial Officer of Citibank se-Business, Chief Financial Officer of Citigroup s Global Relationship Bank, Chief Financial Officer of Citibank Canada and in various internal audit positions. Ms. Hayles began her career at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

James L. Hudak has served as Co-President of Corporate Finance since October 2008. Previously, Mr. Hudak was President of CIT s Communications, Media and Entertainment business since 2001. In 1994 he co-founded the Telecom Financing Group at AT&T Capital, a predecessor of CIT. Mr. Hudak originally joined AT&T Capital in 1991 in its Capital Markets Division, focusing on large project financings and leveraged leases. He started his career at Philadelphia National Bank, completing a formal bank training program and initially concentrated on commercial real estate projects, and thereafter had roles at both Merrill Lynch and Citibank, where he worked in the Leveraged Finance division.

Robert J. Ingato has served as Executive Vice President and General Counsel since June 2001, and as Secretary since August 14, 2002. Previously, Mr. Ingato served as Executive Vice President and Deputy General Counsel since November 1999. Mr. Ingato also served as Executive Vice President of Newcourt Credit Group Inc., which was acquired by CIT, since January 1998, as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of AT&T Capital Corporation, which was acquired by Newcourt, since 1996, and in a number of other legal positions with AT&T Capital since 1988.

C. Jeffrey Knittel has served as President of Transportation Finance since April 2007 and CIT Aerospace since 1998. Previously, Mr. Knittel served as Executive Vice President of CIT Group/Capital Finance since 1992, and in several other senior management positions within CIT Group/Capital Finance since 1986. Mr. Knittel also served in various senior management positions with Manufacturers Hanover Leasing Corporation since 1982 and Cessna Finance since 1980.

Scott T. Parker has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since July 2010. Prior to joining CIT, Mr. Parker served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Cerberus Operations and Advisory Company LLC, an affiliate of Cerberus Capital Management, L.P. from 2006 to 2010. Before joining Cerberus, he served as Chief Financial Officer of GE Capital Solutions from 2005 to 2006, as Chief Financial Officer of GE Corporate Financial

Services from 2003 to 2005, and in various other financial roles within General Electric Company since 1989.

Lisa K. Polsky has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer since May 2010. Prior to joining CIT, from 2009 to May 2010, Ms. Polsky was at Jane Street Capital, a quantitative proprietary trading firm. She joined Jane Street from Duff Capital Advisors, where she was a Partner and Head of Risk & Investment Solutions from 2008 to 2009. Prior to joining Duff, from 2002 to 2008, Ms. Polsky managed her own consulting firm, specializing in portfolio solutions, risk management and valuation policy. Before founding her consulting firm, Ms. Polsky served as Managing Director and Head of Client Financing Services with Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. from 2000 to 2002, and as Managing Director and Chief Risk Officer at Morgan Stanley from 1995 to 2000. Ms. Polsky also served as Head of the Hedge Fund Business and Head of Derivatives in the US and Europe at Bankers Trust Company from 1990 to 1995. She began her career at Citibank NA in 1980, where she started the FX Options business and later Co-Headed Citibank s Derivative Business in North America. Since 2007, Ms. Polsky has served on the board of directors of Piper Jaffray Companies.

Raymond J. Quinlan has served as Executive Vice President Banking since December 2010. Prior to joining CIT, Mr. Quinlan served as the Executive Chairman of Coastal South Bancshares, Inc., a bank holding company based in South Carolina, and as a director of Coastal States Bank, Islandsbanki, based in Reykjavik, Iceland, and Doral Financial Company and Doral Bank, based in Puerto Rico. Previously, he was a Business Manager at Goldman Sachs from 2007 to 2008. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Quinlan was Chief Executive Officer, Retail Division North America, for Citigroup including all bank branches in the U.S., Canada and Puerto Rico and its online banking, Managing Director of M&A Execution for Citigroup Inc. from 2002 to 2005, Chief Executive Officer of International Cards Division for Citigroup, Inc. from 2000 to 2002, and various other roles since beginning his career with Citigroup in 1977.

Margaret D. Tutwiler has served as Executive Vice President and Head of Communications and Government Relations since August 2010. Prior to joining CIT, Ms. Tutwiler served as Senior Vice President and Head of Global Communications and Public Affairs of Merrill Lynch and Bank of America Corporation from December 2007 to February 2009. Before that she was Head of Global Communications and Government Relations of NYSE Euronext (NYSE: NYX) and its predecessor company NYSE Group, Inc. from 2004 to December 2007. Ms. Tutwiler has also spent 16 years in government service, including various senior level positions in the Reagan and both Bush Administrations.

Lisa D. Zonino has served as Executive Vice President and Global Head of Human Resources since July 2010. Immediately prior to joining CIT, Ms. Zonino led the Global Risk Practice for Egon Zehnder International, a global executive search firm, where she was responsible for recruiting and talent assessment in the financial services sector. Ms. Zonino served as Chief Operating Officer for the Credit Department at Morgan

- 25 -

Stanley from 2005 to 2007, and in various senior administrative and operating roles in the risk and finance functions at Morgan Stanley since 1998. Ms. Zonino began her career at Bankers Trust Company where she held various business management roles in Risk Advisory, Capital Markets and Private Wealth Management from 1985 to 1998.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), requires CIT s officers and

directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of CIT s equity securities, to file reports of securities ownership and changes in such ownership with the SEC. Officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders also are required by SEC rules to furnish CIT with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely upon a review of the copies of such forms furnished to CIT or written representations that no Form 5 s were required, CIT believes that all Section 16(a) filing requirements were timely met during 2011.

- 26 -

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Compensation Committee of the Board (the **Compensation Committee**), composed entirely of independent directors as determined under the criteria established by NYSE and CIT s Corporate Governance Guidelines, oversees our executive compensation program and administers certain aspects of the program. Information about our Compensation Committee and our executive officers who participate in executive compensation decisions can be found in this Proxy Statement under the heading Corporate Governance Compensation Committee .

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) describes the material components of our compensation programs for our named executive officers (NEOs) and the basis for

decisions regarding their compensation for 2011, along with certain compensation decisions for 2012. The determination of NEOs, as well as the compensation information presented in the tables following this discussion, is made in accordance with SEC rules. Pursuant to these rules, NEOs may vary from year to year. While not mandated by SEC rules, we have voluntarily elected to disclose the compensation of Mr. Chai, who would not otherwise be considered an NEO for 2011, because of his role as President. Our NEOs for 2011 (inclusive of Mr. Chai), each of whom is a member of our executive management committee (**Executive Management Committee**), include:

John A. Thain	Chairman & Chief Executive Officer
Nelson J. Chai	President
Scott T. Parker	Chief Financial Officer
C. Jeffrey Knittel	President, Transportation Finance
Peter Connolly	Co-President, Corporate Finance
James L. Hudak	Co-President, Corporate Finance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2011 Business Highlights

We made significant progress during 2011 advancing our strategic goals and priorities. New business activity increased and we continued to lower our cost of funds through the reduction of high cost debt, expansion of CIT Bank s deposit taking capabilities and other funding sources. Key accomplishments included:

§ Pre-tax Income Excluding Fresh Start Accounting (FSA) Net Accretion and Debt Related Costimproved from a \$575 million loss in 2010 to \$302 million of income in 2011. This measure is generally more comparable with the results of other financial institutions, given the ongoing impact of FSA on CIT s reported pre-tax income.

¹ Pre-tax Income Excluding FSA Net Accretion and Debt Related Costs is a non-GAAP measure. The following table presents pre-tax results as reported, and adjusts for FSA accretion and debt related transaction costs.

(dollars in millions)		Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010	
Pre-tax Income/(Loss) Reported	190.2	779.1	
Net FSA Accretion (excluding debt related acceleration)	(416.9)	(1,406.1)	

(dollars in millions)	Years Ended December 31,	
Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Net FSA	279.2	(85.8)
Accretion	52.5	(712.8)
Debt Related Prepayment Penalties	114.2	137.9
Debt Related Loss on Debt Extinguishments	134.8	
Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding FSA Net Accretion & Debt Related Costs	301.5	(574.9)

See Non-GAAP Financial Measurements and Fresh Start Accounting in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012 for additional information.

- § Funded new business commercial loan and lease volume increased 73% from \$4.5 billion in 2010 to \$7.8 billion in 2011.
- § Since January 2010, including redemptions completed in the first quarter of 2012, CIT has eliminated or refinanced approximately \$22 billion of high cost debt, including \$7.5 billion of first lien debt, its entire \$12.3 billion of Series A Second-Priority Secured Notes (Series A Notes) and its entire \$2.1 billion of Series B Second-Priority Secured Notes.
- S During 2011 we also completed over \$7.5 billion of secured financings, including a \$2 billion revolving credit facility, and exchanged \$8.8 billion of Series A Notes into Series C Notes during 2011.
- § Refinancing activities described above, in conjunction with net deposit growth of \$1.7 billion, reduced our weighted average coupon rates on outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings from 5.31% at December 31, 2010 to 4.71% at December 31, 2011. Including the \$3.25 billion Series C Notes offering in February 2012 and \$6.5 billion of Series A Notes redemptions completed in the first quarter of 2012, the weighted average coupon rates on outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings would have been 4.28% at December 31, 2011.

- 27 -

§ Portfolio credit quality improved. Net charge-offs of \$265 million declined from \$465 million in 2010 with the majority of the improvement in Vendor Finance. For the commercial segments, net charge-offs as a percentage of finance receivables improved from 2.04% in 2010 to 1.68% in 2011. The provision for credit losses was \$270 million, down from \$820 million in 2010.

2011 Executive Compensation Highlights

During 2011, the Compensation Committee determined to take the following major compensation actions, as more fully described later in this CD&A:

- § Established specific goals and weightings to gauge Company and CEO performance for 2011;
- § Maintained 2011 base salaries for executives at a maximum, set at \$500,000;
- S Transitioned Mr. Thain from a compensation structure consistent with the restrictions of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) on incentives to one that is performance-based and consistent with a strong risk-management framework;

- § Awarded annual and long-term incentive awards with respect to 2011 performance consistent with our 2011 business results;
- § Each of our NEOs received no less than 60% of his 2011 variable compensation in the form of RSUs that vest and settle over a period of three years;
- § Identified a specific group of peer companies for comparison purposes to the competitive market; and
- § Introduced minimum stock ownership guidelines for executives determined as a multiple of salary, to supplement the existing 50% retention requirement.

Executive Compensation Highlights for 2012

For 2012, CIT has introduced the following changes to our executive compensation program, including elements to further balance risk and reward. A more complete description of these items is included later in this CD&A under the heading Compensation Decisions for 2012 :

- § Established total compensation targets for each executive officer, with short-term incentive targets linked with specific goals and objectives that may result in payouts that range between 0% to 200% of target;
- S Introduced a new long-term component in the form of Performance Share Units (**PSUs**) that will be based on explicit growth and margin measures over a three-year period, and include explicit risk-based clawback triggers; and
- § Implemented a tiered salary structure to better align the mix between fixed and variable elements of total compensation.

COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY AND KEY PRINCIPLES

The principles that guide our executive compensation programs and philosophy are:

- 1. Attract, retain and motivate high quality executives and staff compensation structure and levels should be prudent and aligned with performance, and competitive with the market.
- 2. **Pay for performance/meritocracy** reward executives and employees based on a combination of company, business and individual performance, using external competitive market data and trends as a reference.
- 3. **Reinforce long term view of CIT performance and value creation** through the significant use of deferred equity-based awards and long term equity ownership requirements, provide alignment with shareholders over an extended three-year performance period.
- 4. **Make compensation decisions in accordance with strong governance, oversight, and risk management** evaluate performance over relevant performance cycles, and ensure incentive compensation does not encourage unnecessary and excessive risk; fixed and variable components should be appropriate for the roles and responsibilities of individuals or groups of employees.

- 28 -

The principles above are applicable to all of our employees, including our executive officers. Our executive compensation and benefits programs are structured around these principles and are comprised of the components that follow below. Our annual compensation decisions focus on the sum of each NEO s base salary, annual cash incentive and annual long-term equity incentive, to which we refer as total compensation.

STRUCTURE OF OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM FOR 2011

Base Salary

Base Salary forms a fixed part of total compensation and is meant to provide a level of predictable income that reflects each executive s level of responsibility, expertise and experience. A significant portion of each executive s compensation opportunity is structured to be variable, reflecting the impact and influence these individuals have on the Company s financial performance.

The maximum salary of \$500,000 was maintained for executives during 2011. However, the base salaries for Messrs. Thain and Chai have been adjusted prospectively for 2012 to better align the fixed and variable components of our pay structure as described more fully below under the heading Compensation Decisions for 2012 .

Annual Cash and Long-Term Equity Incentives

Incentive awards, including both cash and long-term equity-based components, vary with our financial and operating performance and are determined annually based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative goals. Executive compensation levels will generally be higher when performance meets or exceeds objectives, or be lower when performance objectives are not met.

Equity-based awards for 2011 were granted in the form of RSUs and deferred over a period of three years. The RSUs increase or decrease in value in connection with the price of CIT s common stock, and are scheduled to vest in equal installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant. Annual incentives for all employees, including our executive officers other than our CEO, were subject to the same formulaic weighting between cash and RSUs, which allocates an increasingly larger portion to RSUs at higher annual incentive values, subject to a minimum of 50% for our executive officers, which we established in anticipation of the implementation of the rules currently proposed under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the **Dodd-Frank Act**). This weighting helps to both align compensation over several years with the creation of value for shareholders and to balance incentive compensation with prudent risk management. For performance year 2012,

long-term equity incentives will be comprised of a mix of RSUs and PSUs, as described more fully below under the heading Compensation Decisions for 2012 .

Unvested RSU awards are subject to forfeiture in the event of a restatement of CIT s financial results. CIT may also cancel unvested awards when an employee engages in misconduct that has caused or reasonably may be expected to cause material injury to the Company. Additionally, unvested awards may be forfeited in the event a participant solicits clients and/or other CIT employees within one year following termination of employment.

In the event of a Change of Control (as defined in the applicable award agreements), unvested awards will be accelerated only in the event a participant is involuntarily terminated, generally without Cause (as defined in the applicable award agreements), within two years of the Change of Control (double trigger).

Timing of Long-Term Equity Incentive Grants

Since 2006, CIT has maintained a written Equity Compensation Award Policy that governs the timing for granting all equity-based awards, which may be approved at any regularly scheduled meeting of the Compensation Committee or the Board. Grants approved at any quarterly meeting of the Compensation Committee or the Board (i.e., January, April, July or October meeting) shall be granted effective as of the close of trading on the NYSE on the 2nd trading day after CIT publicly announces its earnings for the prior quarter.

Equity-based awards may also be granted to employees other than executive officers in connection with the applicable employees hiring. Such grants are generally made on the first day of employment of the recipient of such equity award provided such date does not occur during a securities trading black-out period; in such cases, the grant shall be made on the day on which the applicable black-out period expires.

Equity Ownership and Retention

Our Executive Equity Ownership and Retention Policy was revised during 2011 to reinforce the connection between our executive compensation programs and shareholder value and interests. The revised policy strengthens our former equity retention policy by requiring executives to own a minimum level of CIT stock which satisfies the *greater of*: (1) a specific multiple of base salary 6x for the CEO, 3x for proxy NEOs, and 1x for other executives; and (2) at least 50% of the shares received upon vesting or exercise of CIT equity-based awards for the duration of his/her employment with CIT, after any shares withheld to cover income tax withholding obligations.

The value of stock owned is calculated using the greater of: (1) the closing price of CIT common stock and (2) a calculated per-share value based on the 3-year average closing price of CIT common stock (or the average stock price for such shorter period of time that CIT s common stock has been continuously publicly traded on a national securities exchange) on any given measurement date.

The policy applies to a broad group of senior executives, including all of our executive officers. Executives have five years to meet the minimum ownership requirement. Each of our executive officers, including our NEOs, meets the requirements of this policy or is expected to meet the requirements of this policy within the next year.

Other Benefits

Our benefits programs are comparable to the programs provided generally by companies in our peer group and in the financial services industry. Executives participate in CIT s benefits plans on the same basis as other employees, including healthcare coverage, life and accident insurance and disability coverage. CIT also maintains retirement arrangements which are further described in the Narrative Information Relating to Retirement Arrangements for NEOs following the Pension Benefits table that appears later in this Proxy Statement. Mr. Knittel is the only one of our NEOs for 2011 who participates in the Executive Retirement Plan, which has been closed to new participants since 2006.

Since 2010, executive perquisites, such as financial planning, executive physicals and benefits programs have not been made available to executives. Other than a company-provided car and driver for Mr. Thain, no perquisites are provided to executives as part of the executive compensation program.

Severance and Change of Control Arrangements

In the event of a qualifying termination of employment, our NEOs, other than Mr. Knittel, are all eligible to receive severance on the same basis as other employees. Mr. Knittel is the only one of our NEOs who is party to an employment agreement with CIT that includes provisions for enhanced severance and Change of Control protections. The principal provisions of Mr. Knittel s employment agreement, which is subject to annual review and renewal by the Compensation Committee, are described in this Proxy Statement under the heading Narrative Information Relating to Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control .

CIT remains subject to certain restrictions on severance payments of Section 18(k) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and Part 359 of the FDIC s regulations (the **FDIC Regulations**) pursuant to the Written Agreement. The FDIC Regulations prohibit paying excessive severance and making certain other payments that are triggered by a separation of employment.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation Expense

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (the **Tax Code**) limits the tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Company s CEO and to each of the other three highest paid executive officers, not including the Company s chief financial officer. However, the \$1 million deduction limit generally does not apply to compensation that is performance-based and provided under a shareholder-approved plan. The Compensation Committee considers the tax deductibility of compensation an important factor in determining annual and long-term incentives, but retains the flexibility to grant awards consistent with the principles above, even if a portion of total compensation is not deductible for tax purposes.

COMPENSATION DECISION PROCESS

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is composed entirely of independent directors, as determined under the criteria established by the NYSE and CIT corporate governance structure. The Compensation Committee oversees compensation and benefits policies for our executive officers and other employees, the performance and compensation of CIT s executive officers, and succession planning. A key function of Compensation Committee oversight is to ensure, together with the Risk Management Committee, that such programs appropriately balance risk and financial results and do not encourage excessive risk taking. The responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are outlined in the Compensation Committee s charter, which can be found on CIT s website at http://www.cit.com/about-cit/corporate-governance/board-committees/index.htm.

Compensation recommendations for our NEOs, other than for the CEO, are made by our CEO to the Compensation Committee. These recommendations reflect his assessment of each executive based on business or functional results, as well as the achievement of strategic corporate priorities and overall company results. The role of management in supporting the CEO in making such recommendations is more fully described below under the heading Role of Management . The Compensation Committee reviews the recommendations of the CEO and approves all compensation changes affecting our executive officers in its sole discretion.

The Compensation Committee separately considers the performance of our CEO, and following a review and discussion, submits a compensation recommendation to the full Board for approval.

Role of Independent Compensation Advisors

The Compensation Committee engages the independent, external consulting firm Pay Governance to advise it on all matters relating to the compensation and benefits of our

- 30 -

executive officers, including the determination of annual NEO compensation described above. The Compensation Committee directly retains Pay Governance independently from CIT management, and CIT does not utilize Pay Governance or any of its affiliates for any other purpose. No specific instructions or directions were provided to Pay Governance by CIT regarding the performance of their duties. Representatives from Pay Governance attend the Compensation Committee meetings regularly and conduct studies of compensation issues related to the design of our executive officer compensation programs at the request of the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee has authorized Pay Governance to interact with CIT s management to obtain or confirm information, as needed, on behalf of the Compensation Committee. During 2011, CIT did not engage any other external consulting firm to support management in generating data and analysis for its presentations and recommendations to the Compensation Committee related to total compensation decisions for our executive officers. However, data from multiple third-party competitive market surveys, including McLagan, along with publicly available information from proxy statements for our peer group companies (as described below), was reviewed by the Compensation Committee along with historical compensation data for executive officers, to provide a frame of reference based on each executive officer s role.

Role of Management

The recommendation for overall 2011 discretionary annual incentive funding was made by our CEO, President, CFO, and Global Head of Human Resources. Business segment and corporate function pool allocations were recommended based on management s assessment of performance against 2011 goals and objectives, along with market competitiveness. Overall incentive funding and pool allocations were both approved by the Compensation Committee. Members of the Executive Management Committee, as well as other senior managers, are responsible for making discretionary incentive recommendations for eligible employees. The annual recommendation process is coordinated by the corporate Compensation Department and Human Resources personnel for major business segments and corporate groups.

Risk Assessment

Since 2009, CIT has conducted an assessment of the risks associated with our incentive compensation arrangements. For the past two years, prior to finalizing incentive recommendations as described above, the Global Head of Human Resources has solicited input on a confidential basis from each of the senior risk management and senior finance executives aligned with each major business segment to ascertain whether any employees: (1) failed to show due regard for the risk inherent in their business activity; (2) failed to balance risk with financial results; or (3) exposed the firm to

imprudent risks. For 2011, our Chief Risk Officer and the head of Enterprise Risk Management independently determined that none of CIT s incentive compensation plans encourage unnecessary or excessive risk and presented their findings to a joint meeting of the Compensation and Risk Management Committees prior to the finalization of the discretionary annual incentive pools.

CIT and the Compensation and Risk Management Committees have reviewed CIT s compensation policies and plans as they apply to all employees across all business segments to determine whether CIT s employee compensation plans encourage excessive risk-taking that may expose CIT to material business risks. After such review, the Compensation and Risk Management Committees concluded that CIT s compensation plans for employees do not encourage risk-taking that is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on CIT.

Shareholder Advisory Vote on Compensation of NEOs

During 2011, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, and implemented in connection with the Dodd-Frank Act, our shareholders determined that the Company should hold a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of the Company s NEOs (Say on Pay Vote) every year, consistent with the recommendation by management. Our next Say on Pay Vote will be held at the Annual Meeting.

While such votes are not binding on CIT, the Compensation Committee values the opinions of shareholders and will continue to consider the voting results, along with other relevant factors including corporate governance best practices and research, when making future compensation decisions for NEOs and other executive officers. At the Company s annual meeting of shareholders held in May 2011, approximately 80% of the votes cast on the Say on Pay Vote at that meeting were voted in favor of the proposal. The compensation decisions for 2011 and 2012 described below reflect the results of the Say on Pay Vote, as well as direct feedback provided to the Company by shareholders, such as: disclosure of annual goals and objectives; the identification of a specific peer group for the purpose of benchmarking executive compensation; and executive equity ownership guidelines.

Peer Companies and Benchmarking

With the assistance of Pay Governance, the Compensation Committee identified a group of peer companies, listed below, to use for benchmarking purposes for 2011. This group of companies represents a cross section of U.S.-based, publicly traded financial services companies with a generally non-proprietary focus, *i.e.*, whose primary business is to serve institutional and/or retail clients. Due to the varied nature of CIT s businesses, not all of the peer companies are designated as Regional Banks (CIT s classification) under the Global Industry Classification Standard broadly used in the financial

- 31 -

community. To assess the competitiveness of our executive compensation program, we analyze compensation data for peer companies as it is presented in annual proxy materials, as well as multiple third-party competitive market surveys provided by compensation consulting firms such as McLagan and Towers Watson (market data). Both the median and 75th percentiles of competitive market data are referenced with respect to the proposed total compensation for each executive. Total compensation levels for our NEOs generally fall within this range. In addition to referencing market data, as described above, the Compensation Committee considered current year performance, prior year compensation history and compensation levels of other Company executives to provide context for 2011 compensation recommendations. The following seventeen companies are included in our list of peer companies for 2011:

§ Ameriprise Financial Inc.	§ Hudson City Bancorp, Inc.
§ BB&T Corporation	§ Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
§ City National Corp.	§ KeyCorp
§ Comerica Incorporated	§ M&T Bank Corporation
§ Discover Financial Services	§ New York Community Bancorp Inc.
§ Fifth Third Bancorp	§ Northern Trust Corporation
§ First Horizon National Corporation	§ NYSE Euronext, Inc.
§ Fiserv, Inc.	§ Regions Financial Corp.

§ Genworth Financial Inc.

COMPENSATION DECISIONS FOR 2011

2011 Incentive Awards for NEOs

During January 2012, the Compensation Committee reviewed and approved funding of an annual incentive pool for 2011 performance consisting of cash and equity-based incentive awards for all eligible employees. Individual incentive awards, including those for our NEOs, are determined and allocated from the pool on a discretionary basis.

For purposes of evaluating the funding of an annual incentive pool, as well as CEO compensation, the Compensation Committee established the following financial, operational and strategic goals, as well as appropriate weighting, at the start of 2011.

Goal	Weight	Assessment
§ Significantly reduce the cost of capital, and shift funding mix toward bank deposits;	15%	Exceeds
§ Make substantial progress on all of the open items in the Written Agreement, and obtain a termination of the Cease & Desist Orders imposed on CIT Bank;	20%	Meets
§ Manage expenses for reduced balance sheet and maintain profitability;	10%	Meets
§ Grow earning assets through a controlled, risk managed process;	10%	Exceeds
§ Grow Asia and Latin America business;	10%	Meets
§ Advance risk management, compliance and control functions;	15%	Meets
§ Maintain strong capital ratios and liquidity;	10%	Exceeds
§ Build Trade Finance client base.	10%	Under Perform

The Compensation Committee did not establish specific individual performance metrics or compensation targets for the NEOs, other than for the CEO, at the beginning of the year. However, Mr. Thain met with each of the other NEOs to outline and discuss the key factors that would be considered when assessing our Company s performance at the end of the year. In addition to the assessment of the goals above, the following individual accomplishments were considered when making individual annual incentive determinations for each NEO for 2011:

Mr. Thain

In addition to Mr. Thain s overall leadership and strategic vision for the Company, the Board considered the Compensation Committee s assessment of the performance against each of the weighted goals outlined above. The weighting for each goal was multiplied by a factor of two (2x) for results that Exceed target, one (1x) for results that Meet target, and zero (0x) for results that Under Perform . Using this methodology, an aggregate performance multiple of 125% of target was recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board, resulting in a cash incentive of \$1.875 million for Mr. Thain, 125% of his stated target cash incentive of \$1.5 million for 2011.

- 32 -

Mr. Chai

- § Realigned global Vendor Finance business (shift in U.S. to CIT Bank, reorganization in Europe, and driving growth strategies in Latin America and Asia) and oversaw new business in Corporate Finance;
- § Fostered culture of innovation and improved management leadership; improved the overall financial health of the Company by advancing strategic initiatives to drive long-term efficiencies;
- § Managed expenses below plan targets, excluding one-time items, including the establishment of a corporate procurement group and reducing corporate insurance premiums by 25%; and
- § Managed successful implementation of student loan servicing platform transition.

Mr. Parker

- § Improved the overall financial health of the Company through reduced borrowing costs and diversified funding sources, including restructuring and implementing secured financings to generate cash liquidity;
- § Simplified organizational structure within the global Finance function to reduce complexity, improve controllership, and align organization with business priorities;

- § Enhanced business forecasting and analysis and improved credit reporting, and external disclosures; and
- § Devised strategies to improve communication with equity and fixed income investors, as well as credit rating agencies.

Mr. Knittel

- § Enhanced revenue by maximizing utilization and renewal rates, and exploring opportunities for greater non-spread and fee income;
- § Significantly increased Business Air and Leveraged Finance portfolios, and expanded lending opportunities;
- § Improved platform effectiveness by reducing maintenance and relocation costs in Rail and Air businesses, and reduced transition times; and
- S Diversified funding sources and reduced money costs for Transportation Finance by securing commercial bank loans, increasing origination within CIT Bank and identifying efficient new funding structures.

Messrs. Connolly and Hudak

- S Continued momentum to grow profitable new business volume and developed new product offerings; drove realization of non-spread revenue from fee income, gain on asset sales and recoveries of previous charge-offs;
- § Managed expenses and efficiencies; majority of new U.S. business volume funded within CIT Bank;
- § Determined and executed funding strategy for Canada and Europe; and
- § Fostered enhancements to risk management and compliance culture within Corporate Finance, and reduced level of non- and under-performing assets.

Summary of Compensation Awarded to our NEOs for 2011 Performance

The table below reflects the total compensation amounts approved by the Compensation Committee and awarded to our NEOs for 2011 performance, regardless of when paid or granted.

\$000s

						Equity	as a % of
			1 Annual Cash Equity Incentive				
Name	Annual Salary (1)	Cash (2)	RSUs (3)	Total	Total Comp.(4)(5)	Total Comp.	Total Incentive
Thain, John A.	\$500.0	\$1,875.0	\$5,500.0	\$7,375.0	\$7,875.0	70%	75%
Chai, Nelson J.	\$500.0	\$1,166.7	\$2,333.3	\$3,500.0	\$4,000.0	58%	67%
Parker, Scott T.	\$500.0	\$ 933.3	\$1,866.7	\$2,800.0	\$3,300.0	57%	67%
Knittel, C. Jeffrey	\$500.0	\$ 750.0	\$1,500.0	\$2,250.0	\$2,750.0	55%	67%
Connolly, Peter J.	\$500.0	\$ 477.5	\$ 722.5	\$1,200.0	\$1,700.0	43%	60%
Hudak, James L.	\$500.0	\$ 477.5	\$ 722.5	\$1,200.0	\$1,700.0	43%	60%

Total Compensation values above differ from the presentation of Total Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table below in the following respects:

Base salary is included as an annual rate, which may differ from the amounts actually paid and reported in the Summary Compensation Table due to salary adjustments during the year;

- (2) Reflects cash incentives paid in early 2012 for the 2011 performance year;
- (3) Other than for Mr. Thain, the values above include RSUs granted during February 2012 in respect of performance during 2011, which are generally higher than the amounts reported under Stock Awards in the Summary Compensation Table; Mr. Thain received RSUs during February 2011 to directly align his compensation with the performance of CIT stock over 2011 through 2013;
- (4) Cash retention amounts underlying awards granted during the 2009 performance year and paid during 2011 are

- 33 -

reported in the Summary Compensation Table, but are excluded from the amounts shown above; and

(5) The amounts above exclude values reported in columns (g) Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation, (h) Change

in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings and (i) All Other Compensation of the Summary Compensation Table.

We believe the Total Compensation values above fairly represent the components of compensation awarded to each executive in respect of performance during 2011. Additional information regarding compensation for each named executive officer is further described in this CD&A, as well as in the footnotes and narrative accompanying the Summary Compensation Table and other required tables that follow.

Extension of Mr. Knittel s Employment Agreement

Mr. Knittel s employment agreement includes a provision that allows him to terminate his employment with CIT for Good Reason , including but not limited to the failure by CIT to offer to renew his employment agreement prior to its expiration. On December 5, 2011, the Compensation Committee approved the extension of Mr. Knittel s employment agreement until December 31, 2012 with no changes to any other provisions. Both the CEO and Compensation Committee intend to review the employment agreement annually. The principal provisions of Mr. Knittel s employment agreement are described in the section entitled Narrative Information Relating to Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control that appears later in this Proxy Statement.

COMPENSATION DECISIONS FOR 2012

A target-based incentive structure has been introduced for our executive officers for 2012. The new structure will establish parameters around both annual and long-term incentives, including an increased use of clawbacks. Management worked with the Compensation Committee to revise both cash-and equity-based components of Total Compensation while remaining consistent with our key compensation principles discussed above.

2012 Target Total Compensation for NEOs

Each executive officer has set forth specific, measurable goals and objectives for 2012, which have been reviewed and approved by the CEO and the Compensation Committee and the Board, as applicable. These goals and objectives will be used to assess performance in order to determine 2012 annual cash and long-term equity incentives. Goals and objectives are weighted for relative importance and subject to a 5-point rating scale at year end based on performance attainment.

The rating may result in an initial incentive payout that ranges from 0% to 200% of target, which in turn may be adjusted by the Compensation Committee, at the recommendation of the CEO other than for himself, in its discretion, depending on other factors. In no event, however, will an annual incentive exceed 200% of the target value.

Executive officers will receive, at target, the following elements as part of their total compensation for 2012:

- § Base Salary
- § Variable Compensation

Cash Incentive

Restricted Stock Units

Performance Share Units

The Compensation Committee believes that, going forward, this structure will appropriately balance total compensation with both the short- and long-term strategies of the Company, while emphasizing certain key performance objectives.

Long Term Incentives

For performance year 2012, at the recommendation of Pay Governance, in response to the shareholder advisory vote and consistent with competitive market practices at our peer companies, our Compensation Committee approved a new PSU design for members of our Executive Management Committee, including our NEOs.

PSUs augment the other elements of total compensation by incenting our NEOs to focus on growth and profitability over a three-year period, with clawbacks designed to discourage excessive risk. The performance metrics and underlying targets associated with PSUs granted during 2012 are aligned with the Company s strategic plan. Both our Chief Risk Officer and Pay Governance provided direct input into the design of our PSUs.

PSUs link executive compensation with the Company s financial performance over a three-year period while maintaining a significant portion of total compensation in equity ownership. The awards become payable only if CIT achieves certain growth and margin targets for the 2012 2014 performance period, while also managing risk. PSU share payouts may increase or decrease from the target grant, with the actual number of shares ranging from 0% to a maximum of 200% of the target grant based on performance against pre-established performance measures: Aggregate Committed Lending Volume² and Average Net Finance Margin³ (excluding FSA accretion associated with voluntary prepayments of debt).

Both performance measures have a 75% minimum threshold level of performance that must be achieved to trigger any payout; if the threshold level of performance is not achieved for either performance measure, then no portion of the PSU target will be payable. Achievement against each performance

- 34 -

measure is calculated independently of the other performance measure, and when added together can amount to up to 200% of the PSU target. For the 2012 2014 performance period, each measure is weighted equally.

PSU awards are subject to forfeiture during the performance period and to a clawback for a period of two years following the end of the performance period. Such forfeiture or clawback may be triggered if any of the following events occurs: (1) a restatement of CIT s financial results: (2) a consolidated, pre-tax GAAP loss⁴ for either the fiscal year ending 2015 or the fiscal year ending 2016 as a result of credit losses incurred with respect to loan and lease transactions originated and booked during the Performance Period; (3) a violation of CIT s credit risk policies; or (4) if the Company s total classified loans as a percentage of total Finance Receivables⁶ exceeds a pre-determined threshold.

CIT may also cancel PSU awards or clawback any proceeds underlying vested awards when an employee engages in misconduct that has caused or reasonably may be expected to cause material injury to the Company, or in the event a participant solicits clients and/or other CIT employees within one year following termination of employment.

The Compensation Committee shall make a determination, within its absolute discretion, whether to cancel all or a portion of any unvested PSUs, or to exercise a partial or full clawback, if any, of the value of the PSUs as of the vesting date, in the event of any of the circumstances described above. For 2012, a target total compensation value for each executive officer will include long term variable incentive components consisting of approximately 70% RSUs and 30% PSUs.

² Committed Lending Volume represents the dollar amount of funding CIT is committed to lend under the terms of an agreement, including amounts that may be drawn down or due to be contractually funded in the future.

³ Net Finance Revenue as a percentage of Average Earning Assets (Net Finance Margin), each as referenced or defined in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012. Net Finance Revenue and Average Earning Assets are non-GAAP measures. See Non-GAAP Financial Measurements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012 for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

⁴ For this purpose, pre-tax GAAP losses are determined after excluding the impact of (a) adjustments to or impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, (b) changes in accounting principles during the performance period, (c) FSA charges and prepayment charges related to the prepayment or early extinguishment of CIT s debt, (d) restructuring or business recharacterization activities, including, but not limited to, terminations of office leases, or reductions in force, that are reported by CIT, or (e) any other extraordinary or unusual items as determined by the Compensation Committee.

⁵ See Regulatory Credit Classifications in the Glossary of Terms in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012 for a description of classified loans.

⁶ Finance Receivables include loans and capital lease receivables. In certain instances, we also use the term Loans to mean loans and capital lease receivables, as presented on the balance sheet.

On February 16, 2012, we made the following PSU grants for the 2012 2014 performance period to our NEOs: Mr. Thain (our CEO) 36,311, Mr. Chai 16,340, Mr. Parker 12,104, Mr. Knittel 9,078, Mr. Connolly 4,841 and Mr. Hudak 4,841. These PSU grants will be reported in the Grant of Plan-Based Awards Table in next year s proxy statement.

We determined the target number of shares listed above for each NEO by dividing the portion of target total compensation allocated to PSUs by CIT s closing price on the date of grant, which was \$41.31. As described above, the actual number of shares will range between 0% and 200% of the PSU target awards, upon achievement against each goal.

The balance of any long-term incentives will continue to be awarded in the form of RSUs as part of the annual incentive process in the calendar year following the performance year to which they relate, and scheduled to vest ratably over three years.

Changes to Base Salary

The Compensation Committee reviewed base salaries of NEOs at our peer group companies and determined that the base salaries for Messrs. Thain and Chai were below the median of the peer group for their respective positions.

At the recommendation of Pay Governance, and in order to reduce the ratio of variable to fixed compensation, Mr. Thain s salary was adjusted to \$1 million, which is more in line with the market median of peer companies for his position as CEO. In addition, Mr. Chai s salary was increased to \$750,000 to reflect his additional responsibilities as President, a role into which he was promoted during 2011.

The increases to the base salaries have been made with a corresponding decrease to annual incentive targets, resulting in a total compensation target that did not increase as a result of the change in base salary. The maximum salary of \$500,000 will be maintained for all other executives during 2012.

- 35 -

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below sets forth the annual compensation earned during 2009, 2010 and 2011, as applicable, by the Principal Executive Officer, the Principal Financial Officer, and each of the next three most highly compensated executive officers of CIT, our named executive officers, as of December 31, 2011 in accordance with SEC rules.

Pursuant to these rules, NEOs may vary from year to year. While not mandated by SEC Rules, we have voluntarily elected to disclose the compensation of Mr. Chai, who would not otherwise be considered an NEO for 2011, because of his role as President.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (1) (\$)	Bonus (2) (\$)	Stock Awards (3) (\$)	Option Awards (4) (\$)	Incentive	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation h Earnings (6)(7) (\$)	All Other Compen- sation (8) (\$)	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
John A. Thain (9)	2011		\$1,875,000	\$5,775,000	\$	\$	\$20,136	\$50,279	\$8,220,415
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$451,923	\$	\$6,196,154	\$	\$	\$	\$41,068	\$6,689,145
Nelson J. Chai	2011	\$500,000	\$1,166,667	\$	\$	\$	\$11,574	\$8,352	\$1,686,593
President	2010	\$296,154	\$	\$2,500,000	\$	\$	\$	\$5,421	\$2,801,575
Scott T. Parker	2011	\$500,000	\$933,333	\$247,500	\$	\$	\$9,800	\$13,252	\$1,703,885
Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer	2010	\$251,923	\$252,500	\$2,000,000	\$	\$	\$	\$457,668	\$2,962,091
C. Jeffrey Knittel	2011	\$497,981	\$750,000	\$1,322,500	\$	\$1,000,000	\$1,193,391	\$13,252	\$4,777,124
President, Transportation	2010	\$460,096	\$677,500	\$600,000	\$	\$	\$1,003,335	\$17,513	\$2,758,444
Finance	2009	\$401,539	\$	\$	\$67,667	\$	\$549,130	\$54,487	\$1,072,823
Peter J. Connolly (10) President, Co-Head Corporate Finance	2011	\$488,692	\$477,500	\$647,500	\$	\$750,000	\$43,643	\$13,252	\$2,420,587
James. L. Hudak (10) President, Co-Head Corporate Finance	2011	\$487,885	\$477,500	\$647,500	\$	\$750,000	\$77,948	\$13,252	\$2,454,085

(1) Messrs. Knittel, Connolly, and Hudak received an increase resulting in an annual base salary of \$500,000 effective February 1, 2011.

(2) The bonus amounts shown in respect of performance during 2011 are more fully described in the CD&A.

- (3) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted during 2011, 2010 and 2009, as applicable, computed in accordance with ASC 718, for each named executive officer. These amounts do not represent the actual value realized by each named executive officer in each year. The grant date fair value is determined based on the closing price of CIT common stock on the date of grant. The amounts shown for Mr. Thain include the grant date fair value of stock salary awards granted during 2010 and 2011.
- (4) CIT has not granted stock options to employees since 2009. The amount shown for Mr. Knittel represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock options granted during 2009, computed in accordance with ASC 718. This amount represents neither the actual value realized by, nor the compensation value assigned to the award by the Compensation Committee in granting the award to Mr. Knittel. The valuation method and related

assumptions used to determine the stock option compensation cost recognized is further discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012, under the heading Stock-Based Compensation in Note 18 Retirement, Postretirement and Other Benefit Plans to the consolidated financial statements.

- (5) The amounts shown for Messrs. Knittel, Connolly and Hudak represent retention awards granted during January 2009 in the form of fixed cash payments that vested over two years and became 100% payable during January 2011.
- (6) Amounts shown in this column represent the difference between the cumulative actuarial present value of accumulated pension benefits on December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 under three retirement arrangements maintained by CIT: the New Executive Retirement Plan of CIT Group Inc. (the Executive Retirement Plan which has been closed to new participants since 2006), of which Mr. Knittel is the only named executive officer to participate; the CIT Group Inc. Supplemental Retirement Plan (the Supplemental Retirement Plan); and the CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan (the Retirement

Plan). The Executive Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan are nonqualified plans. The Retirement Plan is a tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan that covers eligible salaried employees in the United States. These retirement arrangements are discussed in further detail under the heading Narrative Information Relating to Retirement Arrangements for Named Executive Officers that follows the Pension Benefits Table in this Proxy Statement.

- (7) None of our NEOs participated in the CIT Group Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the DCP) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, and did not receive any above-market or preferential earnings in respect of any plan or benefit provided by the Company.
- (8) The following supplemental table sets forth for 2011 the components of income reported as All Other Compensation above, based on the incremental cost to CIT of providing the benefit:

Name	Car and 401(k) Life Driver MatclinsuranceTotal
Mr. Thain	\$37,02712,2501,002 \$50,279
Mr. Chai	\$ \$7,35\$01,002 \$8,352
Mr. Parker	\$ \$12,25\$1,002 \$13,252
Mr. Knittel	\$ \$12,25\$1,002 \$13,252
Mr. Connolly	\$ \$12,25\$1,002 \$13,252
Mr. Hudak	\$ \$12,25\$01,002 \$13,252

Car and Driver

The amount shown above for Car and Driver represent the proportional cost to CIT associated with the personal usage of a company-provided car and driver. For income tax purposes, income is imputed without any tax gross-up reimbursement.

401(k) Match

Matching employer contributions under the CIT Group, Inc. Savings Plan, our 401(k) plan (the **Savings Incentive Plan**), consist of up to a 5% match of pre-tax contributions by each executive, up to the annual limits established by the Internal Revenue Service.

Life Insurance

Amounts shown above represent company-paid life insurance premiums on behalf of each named executive officer. The NEOs are covered by life insurance policies under the same terms as other full-time and part-time U.S. employees working at least 20 hours per week. The life insurance benefit for covered employees is equal to one times annual benefits pay up to a maximum benefit of \$500,000. Benefits pay is generally equal to a covered employee s base salary plus an average of other pay during the preceding 36 months.

Refer to the Summary Compensation Tables and accompanying footnotes disclosed in the Company s proxy statements filed with the SEC during 2011 and 2010, for underlying details of amounts reported as All Other Compensation for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

- (9) Mr. Thain s compensation during 2011 was based solely on his role as CEO of CIT. Mr. Thain did not receive additional compensation for serving as a director of CIT.
- (10) Messrs. Connolly and Hudak were not NEOs in 2010 and 2009; therefore, pursuant to SEC disclosure rules, only 2011 compensation data for Messrs. Connolly and Hudak is included in the Summary Compensation Table.

EQUITY AND NON-EQUITY INCENTIVE PLANS

The table below sets forth equity and non-equity compensation awards granted to our NEOs during the year ended December 31, 2011.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Name	Grant Date	Award Approval Date (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (2) (\$)
(a)	(b)		(g)	(1)
John A. Thain (3)	1/4/11	2/5/10	4,554	\$211,538
	1/18/11	2/5/10	1,299	\$63,462
	2/16/11	1/19/11	123,902 (4)	\$5,500,000
Nelson J. Chai	n/a	n/	a	\$
Scott T. Parker (5)	2/16/11	1/18/11	5,576	\$247,500
C. Jeffrey Knittel (5)	2/16/11	1/18/11	29,793	\$1,322,500
Peter J. Connolly (5)	2/16/11	1/18/11	14,587	\$647,500
James. L. Hudak (5)	2/16/11	1/18/11	14,587	\$647,500

 Equity compensation awards are granted by action of the Compensation Committee and/or the full Board. During 2011, in all cases, approvals for awards were obtained prior to the grant dates as indicated in the table above.

Other than stock salary for Mr. Thain, which was granted on CIT s normal bi-weekly payroll schedule broadly in place for U.S.-based employees, awards were granted effective on the second trading day after CIT publicly announced earnings for the prior quarter.

(2) Stock awards are valued in accordance with ASC 718, based on the closing price of CIT common stock on each respective date of grant.

- (3) Grants to Mr. Thain on January 4, 2011 and January 18, 2011 represent stock salary granted on CIT s normal bi-weekly payroll schedule. The stock salary was based on an annualized rate of \$5.5 million and 26 normal pay periods. All of Mr. Thain s stock salary was granted in the form of RSUs, was fully vested at each date of grant and remains subject to transfer restrictions for up to three years. This component of Mr. Thain s compensation was eliminated during 2011.
- (4) Represents the portion of Mr. Thain s 2011 equity incentive granted in the form of RSUs, further described in the CD&A. These RSUs are scheduled to vest one-third per year on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant.
- (5) Represents the portion of 2010 equity incentives in the form of RSUs granted to Mr. Parker, Mr. Knittel, Mr. Connolly and Mr. Hudak during 2011. These RSUs are scheduled to vest one-third per year on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant.

NARRATIVE DISCLOSURE TO SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE AND GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

The Compensation Committee considers the sum of base salary and annual cash incentive as a proportion of total compensation each year. For 2011, the proportion was 30% for the CEO and ranged between 42% and 47% for the other NEOs. Total compensation amounts approved for each NEO for 2011 performance are further described in the CD&A.

As disclosed in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table above, each of Messrs. Thain, Parker, Knittel, Connolly and Hudak received a grant of RSUs on February 16, 2011. Mr. Thain s RSU grant is in respect of performance over 2011 through 2013. For the remaining NEOs, the February 16, 2011 RSU grant is in respect to performance during 2010. Unvested awards are forfeited upon termination of employment, except in certain circumstances, such as (a) death, disability, following a qualifying termination within two years of a Change of Control, or if employment is terminated by Mr. Knittel for Good Reason or, with respect to Mr. Knittel, by CIT Without Cause (in each case, as defined in Mr. Knittel s employment agreement and more fully described below under the heading, Employment Agreements), in which case awards will immediately vest in full; or (b) a qualifying termination initiated by CIT, or retirement, in which case outstanding awards will be settled on the normal schedule.

Each RSU includes a dividend equivalent right, pursuant to which the holder of the award is entitled to receive a cumulative amount upon vesting/settlement equal to any dividends paid to the holder of a share of CIT common stock during the vesting period. CIT currently remains subject to certain regulatory restrictions including those that prohibit the payment of dividends.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table summarizes equity-based awards that were unvested and outstanding for each named executive officer at December 31, 2011. The table does not include

stock salary RSU awards granted to Mr. Thain that are fully vested, but the underlying shares have not yet been delivered.

	Stock Awa	ards (1)
Name	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
(a)	(g)	(h)
John A. Thain	164,998 (2)	\$5,753,469
Nelson J. Chai	34,531 (3)	\$1,204,088

	Stock Awards (1)		
Scott T. Parker	32,973	(4)	\$1,149,772
C. Jeffrey Knittel	38,060	(5)	\$1,327,160
Peter J. Connolly	20,098	(6)	\$700,815
James. L. Hudak	19,754	(7)	\$688,824

- (1) Shares are valued based on a \$34.87 share price, the closing price of CIT common stock on December 30, 2011.
- (2) RSUs including 123,902 granted on February 16, 2011 that are scheduled to vest one-third per year on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of

-	38	-
---	----	---

grant, and 41,096 granted on July 29, 2010 that are scheduled to vest 100% on July 29, 2012.

- (3) One unvested installment of RSUs that is scheduled to vest on June 1, 2013.
- (4) RSUs including 5,576 granted on February 16, 2011 that are scheduled to vest one-third per year on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, and 27,398 representing one unvested installment of RSUs granted during 2010 that is scheduled to vest on July 29, 2013.
- (5) RSUs including 29,793 granted on February 16, 2011 that are scheduled to vest one-third per year on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, and 8,267 representing one unvested installment of RSUs granted during 2010 that is scheduled to vest on March 16, 2013.
- (6) RSUs including 14,587 granted on February 16, 2011 that are scheduled to vest one-third per year on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, and 5,511 representing one unvested installment of RSUs granted during 2010 that is scheduled to vest on March 16, 2013.
- (7) RSUs including 14,587 granted on February 16, 2011 that are scheduled to vest one-third per year on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, and 5,167 representing one unvested installment of RSUs granted during 2010 that is scheduled to vest on March 16, 2013.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED (1)

The following table provides information about stock options that were exercised and stock units and/or awards that vested during 2011. The table includes stock salary RSU awards that are fully vested, but the underlying shares have not yet been delivered.

Name	Number of	Value Realized	
	Shares	On Vesting (4)	
	Acquired on	(\$)	
	Vesting		

Stock Awards (1)

	Stock Awards (1)			
	(#)			
(a)	(d)		(e)	
John A. Thain	5,853	(2)	\$275,000	(5)
Nelson J. Chai	34,530	(3)	\$1,493,423	
Scott T. Parker	27,397	(3)	\$1,088,757	
C. Jeffrey Knittel	8,266	(3)	\$345,684	
Peter J. Connolly	5,511	(3)	\$230,470	
James. L. Hudak	5,166	(3)	\$216,042	

 $(1)\;$ No stock options were exercised by any of the NEOs during 2011.

- (2) Represents stock salary RSUs that are fully vested, but the underlying shares have not yet been delivered. Of the number of shares shown, 2,661 shares underlying the RSUs are scheduled to deliver on the first anniversary of the corresponding dates of grant, and 3,192 shares underlying the RSUs are scheduled to deliver on the third anniversary of the corresponding dates of grant.
- (3) Represents RSUs that vested during 2011.
- (4) The value shown was determined by multiplying the aggregate number of RSUs on each specific vesting date by the closing price of CIT common stock on that date. These prices ranged from \$39.74 to \$48.85 during 2011.
- (5) The actual future value to be realized may differ from the amount shown based on the closing price of CIT common stock on the respective dates the RSUs are actually delivered.

PENSION BENEFITS

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (1) (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
John A. Thain	CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan (2)	0.92	\$11,070
	Supplemental Retirement Plan (3)	0.92	\$9,066
	Executive Retirement Plan (4)	n/a	n/a
			\$20,136
Nelson J. Chai	CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan (2)	0.58	\$9,805

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (1) (\$)
	Supplemental Retirement Plan (3)	0.58	\$1,769
	Executive Retirement Plan (4)	n/a	n/a
			\$11,574
Scott T. Parker	CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan (2)	0.50	\$9,601
	Supplemental Retirement Plan (3) Executive	0.50	\$199
	Retirement Plan (4)	n/a	n/a
			\$9,800
C. Jeffrey Knittel	CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan	28.17	\$315,404
	(2) Supplemental Retirement Plan (3)	28.17	\$494,949
	Executive Retirement Plan (4)	28.17	\$3,745,045
			\$4,555,398
Peter J. Connolly	CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan (2)	4.83	\$51,474
	Supplemental Retirement Plan (3)	4.83	\$95,599
	Executive Retirement Plan (4)	n/a	n/a
			\$147,073
James. L. Hudak	CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan	11.00	\$146,570
	(2) Supplemental Retirement Plan (3)	11.00	\$310,799
	Executive Retirement Plan (4)	n/a	n/a
	~ /		\$457,369

- (1) The actuarial present value of accumulated benefits was computed on the basis of the same actuarial assumptions, with the exception of turnover, retirement, and pre-retirement mortality, as used to compute the accumulated benefit obligation as of December 31, 2011 and as stated in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012, in Note 18 Retirement, Postretirement and Other Benefit Plans to the consolidated financial statements. With regard to turnover, retirement, and pre-retirement mortality, the present values of the accumulated benefits payable under the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan have been computed based on the assumption that the executive would remain employed by CIT until age 65 (the normal retirement age as defined in both plans) and then retire and collect the accumulated benefit. The present values of the accumulated benefits payable under the Retirement Plan (which has been closed to new participants since 2006) assumes Mr. Knittel would remain employed by CIT through age 60 (the youngest age at which benefits can be received without any reduction) or the youngest age of benefit eligibility, and then retire and collect the accumulated benefit. Eligibility to receive early retirement benefits is more fully described under Narrative Information Relating to Retirement Arrangements for Named Executive Officers in this Proxy Statement.
- (2) The Retirement Plan is our tax-qualified plan and is further described under Narrative Information Related to Retirement Arrangements for Named Executive Officers in this Proxy Statement.
- (3) The Company maintains the Supplemental Retirement Plan for employees, including the NEOs, whose benefit in the Retirement Plan is subject to limitations imposed under the Tax Code. The Supplemental Retirement Plan is further described under Narrative Information Related to Retirement Arrangements for Named Executive Officers in this Proxy Statement.
- (4) The Executive Retirement Plan is a nonqualified plan and is further described under Retirement Arrangements for Named Executive Officers in this Proxy Statement. Messrs. Thain, Chai, Parker, Connolly and Hudak are not participants in the Executive Retirement Plan.

NARRATIVE INFORMATION RELATING TO RETIREMENT ARRANGEMENTS FOR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

In addition to the Savings Incentive Plan, we maintain two retirement arrangements in which our NEOs are eligible to participate: the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan. We also maintain a third retirement arrangement, the Executive Retirement Plan,

- 40 -

which has been closed to new participants since 2006. Mr. Knittel is the only named executive officer eligible to participate in the Executive Retirement Plan. The Retirement Plan is a tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan that covers eligible employees in the United States. The Executive Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan are nonqualified plans.

Each of the NEOs either has or will become eligible to receive retirement benefits under the Retirement and Supplemental plans as follows: Mr. Thain will become eligible on February 8, 2013; Mr. Parker will become eligible on July 2, 2013; Mr. Chai will become eligible on June 1, 2013; Messrs. Knittel and Hudak became eligible upon conversion of their accrued benefit effective January 1, 2001; and Mr. Connolly became eligible on March 27, 2009.

Under the Executive Retirement Plan, participating NEOs will be eligible for early retirement benefits upon reaching 55 years of age with ten years of benefit service. Mr. Knittel will become eligible on September 6, 2013.

Retirement Plan

The Retirement Plan covers all officers and employees in the United States who have at least one year of service and are 21 years of age or older. The Retirement Plan was revised in 2000 to convert to a cash balance formula, which became effective January 1, 2001. Under the cash balance formula, except for certain grandfathered participants, each participant s accrued benefit as of December 31, 2000 was converted to a lump sum amount and each year thereafter the participant s account balance is to be credited with a percentage of the participant s benefit pay depending on the participant s period of service as follows:

Period of Service	% of Benefits Pay
1 9 years	5

Period	of Service	% of Benefits Pay
10	19 years	6
20	29 years	7
30 yea	ars or more	8

For purposes of the Retirement Plan, benefits pay generally means base salary, certain annual cash incentives, sales incentives and commissions, subject to certain limits under the plan and imposed under the Tax Code. Account balances under the cash balance formula also receive annual interest credits, subject to certain government limits. For 2011, the interest crediting rate was 3.25%. Upon termination after three years of employment or upon retirement, a participant s benefit under the Retirement Plan is generally payable, at the election of the participant, in an annuity or lump sum.

Messrs. Knittel and Hudak began earning benefits under the cash balance formula effective January 1, 2001. Mr. Connolly began earning benefits under the cash balance formula effective March 27, 2007. Each of Messrs. Thain, Chai and

Parker became eligible to begin earning benefits under the cash balance formula during 2011.

Prior to the conversion to the cash balance formula, certain participants elected in 2000 to not have their accrued benefits as of December 31, 2000 convert to a lump sum amount under the Retirement Plan. Such participants are eligible instead to receive grandfathered benefits under the Traditional Retirement Formula, determined as 1.25% of final average annual compensation, multiplied by final years of benefit service up to a maximum of 40 years. Final average annual compensation is determined to be the annual compensation for the 60 consecutive months (five years) during which annual compensation is highest within the last 120 months (ten years) of benefit service. Benefits may be reduced by 1/2% for each month (6% per year) in the event a grandfathered participant elects to retire and start receiving payments before age 60, but after attaining age 55. None of the NEOs are eligible to receive benefits under the Traditional Retirement Formula.

Supplemental Retirement Plan

The Supplemental Retirement Plan covers executives of CIT whose benefits under the Retirement Plan are limited by operation of the Tax Code. Each of the NEOs participates in the Supplemental Retirement Plan. Any benefits under the Supplemental Retirement Plan are paid in a lump sum following a participant s termination of employment with CIT. However, in order to comply with Section 409A of the Tax Code (**Section 409A**), benefits accrued under the Supplemental Retirement Plan through December 31, 2008 for active participants in the Executive Retirement Plan will be frozen and remain payable, according to the operation of the plan, as a lump-sum payment upon separation from service. Supplemental Retirement Plan benefits that continue to accrue after December 31, 2008, according to the operation of the plan, are payable in the form of an annuity.

Mr. Knittel generally is entitled to receive an additional two years of age and service credit under the Supplemental Retirement Plan, in the event Mr. Knittel s employment is terminated by him for Good Reason or by CIT Without Cause (in each case, as defined in his employment agreement and as further described under the heading Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control in this Proxy Statement).

Executive Retirement Plan

The Executive Retirement Plan has been closed to new participants since 2006. Mr. Knittel participates in the Executive Retirement Plan; Messrs. Thain, Chai, Parker, Connolly, and Hudak do not participate in the Executive Retirement Plan. The Executive Retirement Plan provides for an annual retirement benefit based upon a formula that takes into account the executive s final base compensation and years of benefit service with CIT. The annual retirement benefit under the Executive Retirement Plan formula is determined as

final base compensation multiplied by the sum of 50% for the first 10 years of benefit service, plus 2% for each of the following years of benefit service up to maximum of 20 years. Final base compensation is defined as the highest base compensation for any consecutive twelve-month period in the five years prior to retirement. Benefit service generally means service taken into account for purposes of the Retirement Plan. The benefit under the Executive Retirement Plan formula is reduced by the actuarial equivalent value of the benefits payable under the Supplemental Retirement Plan, the Retirement Plan, the Supplemental Savings Plan, the Flexible Retirement Contribution Account under the Savings

Incentive Plan, and certain predecessor plans of CIT.

Benefits under the Executive Retirement Plan are paid in the form of an annuity for life beginning at an executive s normal retirement date. Normal retirement date is defined as age 65 with at least ten years of benefit service. An executive who is age 55 and who has at least ten years of benefit service may also elect to retire early with a benefit determined under the Executive Retirement Plan formula that is reduced by 1/2% for each month that payments begin before reaching age 60. Executives may elect to have benefits under the Executive Retirement Plan paid in the form of a joint and survivor annuity over the combined lives of the executive and the executive s beneficiary, or as a life annuity.

No benefits are payable under the Executive Retirement Plan if an executive terminates employment prior to attaining ten years of benefit service, except in situations where the Board elects to terminate the plan or a Change of Control has occurred. Under certain circumstances, if an executive terminates employment with ten years of service and prior to attaining age 55, the benefit under the plan is paid in a lump sum.

The Executive Retirement Plan also provides a death benefit for participating executives in the event he dies while actively employed by CIT. The amount of this benefit is generally equal to three times base salary. CIT has purchased corporate-owned life insurance to fund this benefit and the retirement benefits

payable under the Executive Retirement Plan. Mr. Knittel remains eligible to receive a death benefit under the Executive Retirement Plan.

Mr. Knittel generally is entitled to receive an additional two years of age and service credit under the Executive Retirement Plan, in the event Mr. Knittel s employment is terminated by him for Good Reason or by CIT Without Cause (in each case, as defined in his employment agreement and as further described under the heading Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control in this Proxy Statement).

Other Plans

The Savings Incentive Plan covers all officers and employees in the United States who have at least 60 days of service and are 18 years of age or older. Under this plan, CIT matches 100% of a participant s own pre-tax contributions to the plan up to the first 5% of eligible pay. Additionally, certain participants who were eligible and elected to remain covered under the Retirement Plan s Traditional Retirement Formula receive flexible retirement contributions by CIT under the Savings Incentive Plan. Flexible Retirement Contributions of up to 3% of eligible pay are made as of December 31 each year, provided the participant is employed by CIT on December 31, left the company at or after age 55, or died or became disabled during the year. The excess of any Flexible Retirement Contributions limited by operation of the Tax Code are contributed under the CIT Supplemental Savings Plan (SSP), further described under the narrative information to the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table.

The Tax Code requires the payment of the portion of benefits earned after December 31, 2004 for executive officers under the Executive Retirement Plan, the Supplemental Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Savings Plan (including potentially each of the NEOs) to be delayed for six months if the officer s employment ends for any reason other than death or disability. Payments that are delayed as a result of this tax law earn interest at a short-term rate until paid to the officer.

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION (1)

The following table contains information with respect to the participation of the NEOs in the Company s unfunded cash nonqualified deferred compensation plans, as well as with respect to stock salary RSUs granted to Mr. Thain that are vested but have not yet converted to shares of CIT common stock.

Name	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (2) (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals / Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
(a) John A. Thain	(c) \$275,000	(d) \$(1,370,686) (3)	(e) \$2,117,722	(f) \$2,518,954 (4)
Nelson J. Chai	\$	\$	\$	\$
Scott T. Parker	\$	\$	\$	\$
C. Jeffrey Knittel	\$	\$236 (5)	\$	\$5,941 (5)
Peter J. Connolly	\$	\$	\$	\$

Name	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (2) (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals / Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
James. L. Hudak	\$	\$	\$	\$
		- 42 -		

- (1) None of the named executives elected to participate in the CIT Group Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, which is described below under the heading Narrative Information Relating to Nonqualified Deferred Compensation.
- (2) Represents the grant date fair value of stock salary RSUs granted during 2011. The amounts shown are included in the Stock Awards column for 2011 in the Summary Compensation Table, and underlying grant details are included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table. The stock salary component of Mr. Thain s compensation was eliminated during 2011.
- (3) Represents the difference between the value of vested stock salary RSUs subject to transfer restrictions based on the closing price of CIT common stock on December 30, 2011 (or, if applicable, the earlier settlement date during 2011), and the value of such RSUs based on the closing price of CIT common stock on December 31, 2010 (or, if applicable, the later contribution date).
- (4) Represents the value of vested stock salary awards subject to transfer restrictions as of December 30, 2011, multiplied by the \$34.87 closing price of CIT common stock on that date.

(5) The