BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR October 01, 2014
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM N-CSR
CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES
Investment Company Act file number: 811-10337
Name of Fund: BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)
Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809
Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust, 55 East 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10055
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4
Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2014

Date of reporting period: 07/31/2014

Item 1 – Report to Stockholders

JULY 31, 2014

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (BNJ)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

	Page
Shareholder Letter	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
Trust Summaries	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	18
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	46
Statements of Operations	47
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	48
Statements of Cash Flows	50
Financial Highlights	51
Notes to Financial Statements	57
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	71
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements	72
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	76
Officers and Trustees	77
Additional Information	80
2 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014	

Shareholder Letter

Dear Shareholder,

The latter part of 2013 was a strong period for equities and other risk assets such as high yield bonds, despite the mixed tone of economic and financial news and uncertainty as to when and by how much the U.S. Federal Reserve would begin to gradually reduce (or taper) its asset purchase programs. Stock markets rallied in September when the Fed defied investors expectations with its decision to delay tapering. The momentum was disrupted temporarily, however, when the U.S. debt ceiling debate led to a partial government shutdown, roiling financial markets globally until a compromise was struck in mid-October. The remainder of 2013 was generally positive for developed market stocks, while fixed income and emerging market investments struggled as Fed tapering became increasingly imminent. When the central bank ultimately announced its tapering plans in mid-December, equity investors reacted positively, as this action signaled the Fed s perception of real improvement in the economy.

Most asset classes continued to move higher in 2014 despite the pull back in Fed stimulus. The year got off to a rocky start, however. A number of emerging economies showed signs of financial stress while facing the broader headwind of diminishing global liquidity. These risks, combined with disappointing U.S. economic data, caused equities to decline in January while bond markets found renewed strength from investors seeking relatively safer assets.

Although these headwinds persisted, equities were back on the rise in February as investors were encouraged by a one-year extension of the U.S. debt ceiling and market-friendly comments from the new Fed Chairwoman, Janet Yellen. While it was clear that U.S. economic data had softened, investors were assuaged by increasing evidence that the trend was temporary and weather-related, and continued to take on risk given expectations that growth would pick up later in the year.

In the months that followed, interest rates trended lower and bond prices climbed higher in the modest growth environment. Financial markets exhibited a remarkably low level of volatility despite rising geopolitical risks and mixed global economic news. Tensions in Russia and Ukraine and signs of decelerating growth in China caused some turbulence, but markets were resilient as investors focused on signs of improvement in the U.S. recovery, stronger corporate earnings and increased merger-and-acquisition activity. Importantly, investors were comforted by comments from the Fed offering reassurance that no changes to short-term interest rates were on the horizon.

In the low-rate environment, investors looked to equities as a source of yield, pushing major indices to record highs. As stock prices moved higher, investors soon became wary of stretched valuations and a new theme emerged in the markets. Stocks that had experienced significant price appreciation in 2013, particularly growth and momentum names, broadly declined as investors fled to stocks with cheaper valuations. This rotation resulted in the strongest performers of 2013 struggling most in 2014, and vice versa. Especially hard hit were U.S. small cap and European stocks where earnings growth had not kept pace with recent market gains. In contrast, emerging market stocks benefited from the trend. As a number of developing countries took steps to stabilize their finances, investors looked past political risks—hardly batting an eye at a military coup in Thailand—and poured back into these attractively priced investments.

Asset prices tend to be more vulnerable to bad news when investors believe valuations are stretched. Consequently, markets came under pressure in July as geopolitical tensions intensified with the tragic downing of a Malaysian civilian airliner over Ukraine, the continued fragmentation of Iraq and a ground war between Israel and Hamas in Gaza. As the period came to a close, financial troubles in Argentina and Portugal as well as new U.S. and European sanctions on Russia were additional headwinds for the markets.

Despite a host of challenges, most asset classes generated solid returns for the six- and 12-month periods ended July 31, 2014, with equities generally outperforming fixed income. Emerging market equities delivered impressive gains.

Developed markets also performed well, although small cap stocks lagged due to relatively higher valuations. Most fixed income assets produced positive returns even as the Fed reduced its open-market purchases. Tax-exempt municipal bonds benefited from a favorable supply-and-demand environment. Short-term interest rates remained near zero, keeping yields on money market securities close to historic lows.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit **blackrock.com** for further insight about investing in today s world.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Asset prices pushed higher over the period despite modest global growth, geopolitical risks and a shift toward tighter U.S. monetary policy.

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of July 31, 2014

	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities (S&P 500 [®] Index)	9.44%	16.94%
U.S. small cap equities (Russell 2000® Index)	(0.30)	8.56
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East	7.03	15.07
Index)		
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets	15.70	15.32
Index)		
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month U.S.	0.02	0.05
Treasury Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year	2.71	3.50
U.S. Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment grade bonds (Barclays U.S. Aggregate	2.16	3.97
Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	4.11	7.38
bonds (S&P Municipal		
Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds (Barclays U.S. Corporate High	3.33	8.18
Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT 3

Municipal Market Overview For the Reporting Period Ended July 31, 2014

Municipal Market Conditions

The latter part of 2013 was a generally negative period for municipal bond performance. Heightened uncertainty as to when the U.S. Federal Reserve would begin to reduce its bond-buying stimulus program (and by how much) caused interest rates to be volatile and generally move higher. (Bond prices fall as rates rise.) Municipal bond mutual funds saw strong outflows through year end when the Fed finally announced its plan to begin the gradual reduction of stimulus in January of 2014. Relieved of anxiety around policy changes, investors again sought the relative safety of municipal bonds in the New Year. Surprisingly, interest rates trended lower in the first half of 2014 even as the Fed pulled back on its open-market bond purchases. Softer U.S. economic data amid one of the harshest winters on record, coupled with reassurance from the Fed that short-term rates would remain low for a considerable amount of time, resulted in stronger demand for fixed income investments, with municipal bonds being one of the stronger performing sectors. Still, for the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, municipal bond funds saw net outflows of approximately \$35 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

High levels of interest rate volatility in the latter half of 2013, particularly on the long-end of the curve, resulted Bond Index Total in a curtailment of tax-exempt issuance during the period. However, from a historical perspective, total new issuance for the 12 months ended July 31 remained relatively strong at \$303 billion (but meaningfully lower than the \$364 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period 31, 2014 was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 40%) as issuers took advantage of lower interest rates to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Returns as of July

6 months: 4.11% 12 months: 7.38%

A Closer Look at Yields

From July 31, 2013 to July 31, 2014, muni yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds decreased by 90 basis points (bps) from 4.20% to 3.30%, while 10-year rates decreased 41 bps from 2.67% to 2.26% on and 5-year rates fell 5 bps from 1.27% to 1.22% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period even as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattened by 78 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattened by 29 bps.

During the same time period, U.S. Treasury rates fell by 32 bps on 30-year and 2 bps on 10-year bonds, while moving up 37 bps in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds outperformed Treasuries across the yield curve as investors sought to reduce interest rate risk later in the period. On the short and intermediate parts of the curve, the outperformance of municipal bonds versus Treasuries was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market and a rotation from long-duration assets into short- and intermediate-duration investments, which are less sensitive to interest rate movements. Additionally, municipal bonds benefited from the increased appeal of tax-exempt investing in the new higher tax rate environment. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise. The municipal market continues to be an attractive avenue for investors seeking yield in the low-rate environment. However, opportunities have not been as broad-based as in 2011 and 2012, warranting a more tactical approach going forward.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers Continue to Improve

Following an extended period of nation-wide austerity and de-leveraging as states sought to balance their budgets, 16 consecutive quarters of positive revenue growth coupled with the elimination of more than 750,000 jobs in recent years have put state and local governments in a better financial position. Many local municipalities, however, continue to face increased health care and pension costs passed down from the state level. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will be minimal and remain in the periphery, and that the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to recognize that careful credit research, appropriate structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

4ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value (NAV) of their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trust s shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Trust s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trust's return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders will be lower than if the Trust had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trust's portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trust's obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trust's NAVs positively or negatively.

Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Trust s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Trust s Common Shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, the Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit the Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares), Remarketable Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (RVMTP Shares) or Auction Market Preferred Shares (AMPS) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of the Trust sobligations under the TOB (including accrued interest), a TOB will not be considered a senior security and will not be subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts and options, as specified in Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Trusts ability to use a

derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders and/or may cause a Trust to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 5

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust s (BFZ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income and California income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are investment grade quality. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 12.80% based on market price and 16.48% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 15.36% based on market price and 15.42% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) Municipal bonds with longer durations (and greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) tended to provide the strongest returns. In this environment, the Trust s exposure to the long end of the yield curve had a positive impact on performance. Security selection also helped performance, particularly with respect to the Trust s holdings of high quality school district issues, which performed well amid the improvement in the State of California s finances. The Trust s holdings in the health care, transportation and utilities sectors also added to returns. The Trust s use of tender option bonds amplified the positive effect of falling rates on performance.

The Trust s cash reserves were generally maintained at a minimal level. However, to the extent reserves were held, the cash holdings added little in the form of additional yield and provided no price appreciation in a generally positive period for the municipal market.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BFZ
	July 27,
Initial Offering Date	2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$14.41) ¹	6.01%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.25%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0722
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8664
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	36%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.93%, which includes the 3.8%
- ² Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
 - Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust,
- 4 including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.
- 6 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	•	•	5.72%	•	•
Net Asset Value Market Price and	\$15.83 Net Asse	•		•	•

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	33%	35%
Utilities	31	29
Health	11	11
State	8	5
Education	8	10
Transportation	7	9
Housing	1	1
Corporate	1	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	1% 2 3 10 20	
 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the Credit Quality Allocation¹ 		7/31/13
AAA/Aaa AA/Aa A BBB/Baa	11% 71 18	9% 72 19

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 7

² Representing less than 1% of the Trust s long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust s (BFO) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax and to return \$15.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2020. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Effective January 1, 2007, the Florida intangible personal property tax was repealed.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 4.36% based on market price and 4.84% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.67% based on market price and 12.42% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The municipal yield curve flattened, meaning that longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. In this environment, the Fund s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a positive impact on performance.

There were no detractors from performance on an absolute basis as all areas of the Trust s investment universe appreciated during the period.

The Trust is scheduled to mature on or about December 31, 2020, and it therefore holds securities that will mature close to that date. Given that rates declined more for bonds on the long end of the yield curve, the Trust s shorter maturity profile was a disadvantage in comparison to its Lipper category peers, which typically hold longer-dated issues.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BFO
	September 30,
Initial Offering Date	2003
	December 31,
Termination Date (on or about)	2020
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$15.16) ¹	3.98%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	7.03%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.05025
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.60300
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	1%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
 - Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare
- ² tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The monthly distribution per Common Share, declared on August 1, 2014, was decreased to \$0.0347 per share. The yield on closing market price, current monthly distribution per Common Share and current annualized distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to

change in the future.

Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including 4 any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5. 8 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	•	•	0.26%	•	
Net Asset Value Market Price and	\$15.42 Net Asse	•		•	•

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

7/31/14	7/31/13
32%	30%
20	17
16	13
14	12
10	20
4	4
3	2
1	2
	32% 20 16 14 10 4

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,		
2014	10%	
2015		
2016		
2017	15	
2018	11	
3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next f	ive years.	
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13
•		

Credit Quality Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	2%	2%
AA/Aa	47	49
A	32	31
BBB/Baa	9	8
N/R ²	10	10

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the 2 investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$1,703,400, representing 2%, and \$3,035,830, representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 9

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust s (BBF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008 allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 15.49% based on market price and 16.06% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 14.52% based on market price and 14.95% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The municipal yield curve flattened, meaning that longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. In this environment, the Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a positive impact on performance. The Trust s longer-dated holdings in the health care, education and transportation sectors experienced strong market appreciation, aiding performance. The Trust also benefited from its holdings in the State of California, as the continued improvement in the State s economy was a catalyst for price appreciation during the period.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results, as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

July 27 Initial Offering Date Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$13.48) ¹ 6.44%	
	,
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$13.48) ¹ 6.44%	
)
Tax Equivalent Yield ² 11.38%	6
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³ \$0.0723	75
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³ \$0.8685	00
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴ 39%	

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare
- ² tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
 - Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust,
- 4 including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	•	•	8.10%	•	•
Net Asset Value Market Price and	•	•	8.64% listory Fo	•	•

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13	
County/City/Special District/School District	26%	26%	
Transportation	21	14	
Utilities	16	19	
Health	15	16	
State	11	11	
Education	8	10	
Tobacco	1	2	
Corporate	1	1	
Housing	1	1	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule⁴

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	1%
2015	
2016	2
2017	1
2018	17
4 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the	ne next five years.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	10%	10%
AA/Aa	56	57
A	26	28
BBB/Baa	6	4
BB/Ba	1	
В	2	
N/R	1	1 ³

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

²Representing less than 1% of the Trust s long-term investments.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the ³investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$240,299, representing less than 1% of the Trust s long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust s (BTT) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax (but which may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax in certain circumstances) and to return \$25.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2030. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 12.78% based on market price and 24.50% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 14.52% based on market price and 14.95% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance as interest rates declined during the period. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) Exposure to bonds maturing near the Trust s maturity date in 2030 benefited performance given that the yield curve flattened, with rates falling more significantly in maturities of 20 years and longer. The income generated from coupon payments on the Trust s portfolio of municipal bonds also contributed to performance.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits detracted from results. Credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BTT
Initial Offering Date	August 30, 2012
	December 31,
Termination Date (on or about)	2030
Current Distribution Rate on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$19.57) ¹	4.91%
Tax Equivalent Rate ²	8.67%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.093750
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.96
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	38%

Current Distribution Rate on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. The current distribution rate may consist of income, net realized gains and/or a return of capital. See the financial highlights for the actual sources and character of distributions. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare
- ² tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The monthly distribution per Common Share, declared on August 1, 2014, was decreased to \$0.08 per share. The yield on closing market price, current monthly distribution per Common Share and current annualized distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change in the future. A portion of the distribution may be deemed a return of capital or net realized gain at fiscal

year end.

Represents RVMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, 4 including any assets attributable to RVMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5. 12ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	•	•	6.24 % 17.28%	•	•
Market Price and	Net Asse	t Value H	istory Sin	ce Incept	tion

¹ Commencement of operations.

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13	
Transportation	22%	22%	
Health	17	17	
County/City/Special District/School District	13	12	
Education	12	13	
Corporate	11	9	
Housing	9	9	
Utilities	8	9	
State	6	7	
Tobacco	2	2	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule⁴

Calendar Year Ended December 31,		
2014	1%	
2015		
2016	1	
2017	2	
2018	1	
4 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potentia	I calls by issuers over the next five years.	
Credit Quality Allocation ²	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	4%	3%
AA/Aa	31	32
A	42	43
BBB/Baa	12	11
BB/Ba	3	3
В	2	3
N/R ³	6	5

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the ³ investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$483,970, representing less than 1%, and \$38,601,602, representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust s (BNJ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New Jersey gross income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey gross income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 14.60% based on market price and 16.01% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.38% based on market price and 13.86% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The municipal yield curve flattened, meaning that longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. In this environment, the Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a positive impact on performance. The Trust s longer-dated holdings in the health care, education and transportation sectors experienced strong market appreciation, aiding performance.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results, as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BNJ
	July 27,
Initial Offering Date	2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$14.68) ¹	6.14%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.92%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0751
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9012
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 48.48%, which includes the 3.8%
- ² Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
 - Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust,
- 4 including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

14 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust **Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary**

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	•	•	7.39%	•	•
Net Asset Value Market Price and	•	•	8.70% listory Fo	•	•

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
Transportation	33%	25%
Education	17	12
State	15	26
County/City/Special District/School District	13	13
Health	8	11
Corporate	8	6
Housing	6	7

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	8%
2015	
2016	1
2017	3
2018	13
3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.	

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	2%	2%
AA/Aa	40	35
A	35	40
DDD/D	0	•

AAA/Aaa		2%	2%
AA/Aa		40	35
Α		35	40
BBB/Baa		9	9
BB/Ba		4	5
В		3	3
N/R^2		7	6
	For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest	t rating accioned by o	thar CDD or

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the 2 investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$10,254,522, representing 5%, and \$8,401,509, representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 15

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust s (BNY) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 11.51% based on market price and 15.98% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.03% based on market price and 12.89% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) Municipal bonds with longer durations (and greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) tended to provide the strongest returns. In this environment, the Trust s exposure to lower coupon and zero coupon bonds—which experienced strong price performance due to their relatively long durations for their respective maturities—contributed positively to results. The Trust s exposure to the long end of the yield curve also was a significant contributor to total return, as longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. This positioning allowed the Trust to maximize its income and also benefit from the flattening of the yield curve.

The Trust s holdings in the health care, corporate and transportation sectors the better performing areas of the market were particularly beneficial. The Trust s significant exposure to high-quality (A-rated) issues had a positive impact on results as the market s strong performance during the period was concentrated in this credit quality tier. Additionally, the Trust benefited from income generated from coupon payments on its portfolio of municipal bond holdings. The use of leverage allowed the Trust to maximize its income.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results, as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BNY
	July 27,
Initial Offering Date	2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$13.79) ¹	6.00%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.14%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.069
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.828
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.59%, which includes the 3.8%
- ² Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion

of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5. 16ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

_	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	•	•	4.79 % 8.98 %	•	•
Market Price and	Net Asse	t Value H	listory Fo	r the Pas	st Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	24%	23%
Education	19	16
Transportation	14	14
Utilities	13	11
Health	10	10
State	9	9
Corporate	9	10
Housing	2	7

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

BB/Ba

 N/R^2

Calendar Year Ended December 31,		
2014	5%	
2015	5	
2016	4	
2017	12	
2018	3	
3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers ov	er the next five years.	
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	16%	13%
AA/Aa	39	34
A	29	35
BBB/Baa	6	8

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the 2 investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$2,704,682, representing 1%, and \$2,500,000, representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 17

3

4

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California 107.3%	(000)	value
Corporate 0.7% City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric:		
Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	\$ 680	\$ 783,659
Series D, 5.88%, 1/01/34	2,500	2,881,100 3,664,759
County/City/Special District/School District 34.5%		
Butte-Glenn Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/30 Centinela Valley Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.75%,	8,425	9,508,876
8/01/33	1,250	1,478,238
Cerritos Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/31 City of San Jose California Hotel Tax, RB, Convention Center Expansion & Renovation Project:	3,000	3,437,130
6.13%, 5/01/31	500	583,830
6.50%, 5/01/36 6.50%, 5/01/42	1,210 2,225	1,432,059 2,628,926
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35	2,000	2,328,560
County of Orange California Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.25%, 8/15/34 County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax, Measure K,	2,000	2,293,080
Series A:		
6.00%, 3/01/36	2,880	3,459,024
5.50%, 3/01/41	5,265	5,938,604
County of Santa Clara California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Series L, 5.25%, 5/15/36 Evergreen Elementary School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGC), 5.13%, 8/01/33	20,000 2,500	22,127,000 2,849,550
Grossmont Healthcare District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B:	2,500	2,049,550
6.00%, 7/15/34	3,260	3,849,636
6.13%, 7/15/40	2,000	2,348,900
Long Beach Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.75%,	4 405	4.005.004
8/01/33 Los Alamitos Unified School District California, GO, School Facilities Improvement District No. 1,	4,135	4,865,034
5.50%, 8/01/33	6,300	7,235,550
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2003, Series F-1, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding RB, Real Property, Series B (AGC), 5.50%,	5,000	5,615,700
4/01/30 Modeste Irrigation District, COR, Capital Improvements, Series A, F 75%, 10/01/30	5,065	5,821,762
Modesto Irrigation District, COP, Capital Improvements, Series A, 5.75%, 10/01/29	3,015 Par	3,475,632
Municipal Bonds California (continued)	(000)	Value
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Oak Grove School District California, GO, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.50%, 8/01/33 Pico Rivera Public Financing Authority, RB, 5.75%, 9/01/39	\$6,000	\$6,951,180
Pittsburg Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/34	2,000 2,000	2,204,900 2,264,040
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, Special Assessment Bonds, Consolidated Capital	2,000	2,201,010
Assessment District, 5.25%, 10/01/32	4,865	5,637,757
San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 San Diego Regional Building Authority, RB, County Operations Center & Annex, Series A, 5.38%,	1,500	1,727,790
2/01/36	5,500	6,274,620
San Joaquin Delta Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C, 5.00%, 8/01/39 (b) San Jose California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Civic Center Project, Series A, 5.00%,	2,505	2,763,291
6/01/32	3,375	3,770,246
San Leandro California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.75%, 8/01/41 San Mateo County Community College District, GO, Election of 2005, Series B, 5.00%, 9/01/31	3,060 8,630	3,463,889 9,258,178
Santa Ana Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A:	0,000	J,2JU, 170
5.50%, 8/01/30	6,455	7,382,003
5.13%, 8/01/33	10,000	11,250,600
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%, 9/01/38	2,250	2,617,987
Torrance Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008, Measure Z, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Tustin Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.25%, 8/01/31	4,000 3,445	4,757,720 3,907,870

West Contra Costa California Unified School District, GO, Series A:		
Election of 2010 (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/32	4,925	5,627,453
Election of 2012, 5.50%, 8/01/39	2,500	2,827,500
		173,964,115
Education 3.3%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco University, 6.13%, 10/01/36	6,280	7,470,437
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Emerson College, 5.75%, 1/01/33	2,500	2,840,100
University of California, RB, Series O, 5.38%, 5/15/34	490	562,392
University of California, Refunding RB, Limited Project, Series G, 5.00%, 5/15/37	5,500	6,050,055
		16,922,984

Portfolio Abbreviations

ACA

AGC

AGM

AMBAC

AMT

ARB

BARB BHAC

CAB **CIFG**

American Capital Access Corp.

Assured Guarantee Corp.

Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.

Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)

Airport Revenue Bonds

Building Aid Revenue Bonds

Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

Capital Appreciation Bonds

CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty

COP

EDA

EDC

ERB

FHA

GARB

GO

HDA

HFA

IDA

Certificates of Participation

Economic Development Authority

Economic Development Corp.

Education Revenue Bonds

Federal Housing Administration

General Airport Revenue Bonds

General Obligation Bonds

Housing Development Authority Housing Finance Agency Industrial Development Authority

IDB

ISD

LRB

M/F

MRB

NPFGC

PILOT

RB

S/F

SONYMA

Industrial Development Board
Independent School District
Lease Revenue Bonds
Multi-Family
Mortgage Revenue Bonds
National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
Payment in Lieu of Taxes
Revenue Bonds
Single-Family
State of New York Mortgage Agency

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (continued)		
Health 17.5%		
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps., Refunding RB, Sharp Healthcare:		
6.38%, 8/01/14 (c)	\$ 3,095	\$ 3,095,000
Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/30	2,300	2,778,814
Series B, 6.25%, 8/01/39	4,960	5,730,734
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB:		
Adventist Health System West, Series A, 5.75%, 9/01/39	6,700	7,721,683
Catholic Healthcare West, Series J, 5.63%, 7/01/32	9,750	9,958,942
Children s Hospital, Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/41	9,165	9,903,241
St. Joseph Health System, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/39	325	376,188
Sutter Health, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/46	5,195	5,502,752
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42	6,015	7,199,353
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/29	1,000	1,147,980
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/34	4,470	5,048,105
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/39	5,550	6,158,336
Providence Health and Services, Series B, 5.00%, 10/01/44 (b)	6,000	6,644,880
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Kaiser		
Permanente, Series B, 5.25%, 3/01/45	2,000	2,061,180
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/30	2,920	3,192,465
Catholic Healthcare West, Series E, 5.50%, 7/01/31	5,065	5,531,588
Trinity Health Credit Group Composite Issue, 5.00%, 12/01/41	4,000	4,288,640
Washington Township Health Care District, GO, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/38	1,625	1,901,721
		88,241,602
State 12.7%		
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:		
6.00%, 3/01/33	2,000	2,399,540
6.50%, 4/01/33	1,250	1,520,563
6.00%, 4/01/38	12,670	14,910,689
State of California Public Works Board, RB:		
Correctional Facility Improvements, Series A, 5.00%, 9/01/39	17,055	18,800,579
Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33	3,335	3,813,573
Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B, 6.50%, 4/01/34	9,000	10,711,710
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/33	4,940	5,839,327
Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.38%, 11/01/34	5,025	6,059,547
		64,055,528
Transportation 10.7%		
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB, Series E,	0.750	0.004.047
6.00%, 5/01/39	6,750	8,001,247
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding	4 440	1 504 400
ARB, 2nd Series A, AMT, 5.25%, 5/01/33	1,440	1,594,109
Mark Inc.	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (continued)		

Transportation (concluded) City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, Refunding ARB, Los Angeles International Airport:		
Senior Series A, 5.00%, 5/15/34	\$ 6,650	\$ 7,494,218
Senior Series A, 5.00%, 5/15/40	3,750	4,106,925
Sub-Series C, 5.25%, 5/15/38	1,950	2,168,166
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT:		
5.75%, 3/01/34	2,870	3,214,716
6.25%, 3/01/34	2,650	3,065,255
County of Orange California, ARB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/34	8,000	8,983,760
County of Sacramento California, ARB:	•	, ,
PFC/Grant, Sub-Series D, 6.00%, 7/01/35	3,000	3,446,820
Senior Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/39	1,850	2,110,462
Senior Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	3,015	3,198,523
Los Angeles Harbor Department, RB, Series B, 5.25%, 8/01/34	5,580	6,384,524
	3,000	53,768,725
Utilities 27.9%		00,700,720
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, RB, Electric System Distribution Facilities,		
Series A, 5.38%, 10/01/36	7,690	8,815,431
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, RB, California	7,000	0,010,101
Independent System Operator, Series A, 6.25%, 2/01/15 (c)	4,500	4,637,160
Calleguas-Las Virgenes Public Financing Authority California, RB, Calleguas	1,000	1,007,100
Municipal Water District Project, Series A (NPFGC), 5.13%, 7/01/16 (c)	4,000	4,366,960
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric:	4,000	4,000,000
Series B, 5.88%, 2/15/34	525	605,031
Series D, 5.88%, 1/01/34	6,555	7,554,244
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, Refunding RB,	0,000	7,554,244
Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/39	4,000	4,442,760
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System, Refunding RB, Series A,	4,000	7,772,700
5.00%, 6/01/39	2,000	2,205,780
City of Petaluma California Wastewater, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 5/01/36	5,625	6,592,669
City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue,	3,023	0,332,003
RB, Series A:		
5.00%, 11/01/35	10,625	11,827,325
5.00%, 11/01/37	10,023	11,084,500
County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A	10,000	11,004,500
(AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	4,250	4,709,467
Cucamonga Valley Water District, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.25%,	4,230	4,705,407
9/01/31	4,270	4,983,859
Dublin-San Ramon Services District, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 8/01/41	2,425	2,934,517
East Bay California Municipal Utility District Water System Revenue, RB, Series	2,423	2,334,317
A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/35	820	845,510
East Bay California Municipal Utility District Water System Revenue, Refunding	020	045,510
	6 615	7 500 240
RB, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/36	6,615	7,509,348
El Dorado Irrigation District / El Dorado County Water Agency, Refunding RB,	10.000	11 244 400
Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 3/01/39	10,000	11,344,400
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB:	0.000	10 000 450
Power System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38	9,000	10,098,450
Series A, 5.38%, 7/01/34 Water Hillity Improvement, Sub Series A 2 (AGM) 5.00%, 7/01/35	3,250	3,693,723
Water Utility Improvement, Sub-Series A-2 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	2,000	2,143,160
	4,000	4,376,440

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37 Sacramento County Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/44

6,000 6,748,380

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 19

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Schedule of investments (continued) (Percentages snown are		
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California (concluded)	(000)	value
Utilities (concluded)		
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority		
Sewer, Refunding RB, Senior Series A, 5.25%,		
5/15/34	\$ 11,020	\$ 12,574,812
State of California Department of Water Resources,	φ 11,020	φ 12,374,612
·		
Refunding RB, Central Valley Project, Series A-E, 5.00%, 12/01/29	6,000	6 920 990
5.00 %, 12/01/29	0,000	6,830,880
Total Municipal Panda in California		140,924,806
Total Municipal Bonds in California		541,542,519
Multi-State 1.6%		
Housing 1.6%		
Centerline Equity Issuer Trust (d)(e):		
Series A-4-1, 5.75%, 5/15/15	500	517,275
Series A-4-2, 6.00%, 5/15/19	1,000	1,154,110
Series B-2, 7.20%, 11/15/14	3,500	3,552,780
Series B-3-1, 6.00%, 5/15/15	1,500	1,552,050
Series B-3-2, 6.30%, 5/15/19	1,000	1,166,030
Total Municipal Bonds in Multi-State	1,000	7,942,245
Total Municipal Bonds 108.9%		549,484,764
Total Mainorpal Bolius 100:570		343,404,704
Municipal Danda Transferred to		
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f)		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1%		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2%		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California,		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A:	9,000	0.042.200
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32	8,000	8,843,280 14,800,716
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g)	8,000 12,900	8,843,280 14,809,716
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California,	•	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%,	12,900	14,809,716
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33	•	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO,	12,900 20,131	14,809,716 24,039,930
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34	12,900	14,809,716
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California,	12,900 20,131 5,000	14,809,716 24,039,930 5,612,650
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33	12,900 20,131	14,809,716 24,039,930
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara	12,900 20,131 5,000	14,809,716 24,039,930 5,612,650
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series D,	12,900 20,131 5,000 10,484	14,809,716 24,039,930 5,612,650 12,076,628
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara	12,900 20,131 5,000	14,809,716 24,039,930 5,612,650 12,076,628 16,383,888
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series D, 5.00%, 8/01/32	12,900 20,131 5,000 10,484 14,625	14,809,716 24,039,930 5,612,650 12,076,628
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series D, 5.00%, 8/01/32 Municipal Bonds Transferred to	12,900 20,131 5,000 10,484 14,625 Par	14,809,716 24,039,930 5,612,650 12,076,628 16,383,888 81,766,092
Tender Option Bond Trusts (f) California 45.1% County/City/Special District/School District 16.2% Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Series A: Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (g) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series D, 5.00%, 8/01/32	12,900 20,131 5,000 10,484 14,625	14,809,716 24,039,930 5,612,650 12,076,628 16,383,888

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR

Education 8.5% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series B, 5.25%,		
10/01/39 (g) Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of	\$10,395	\$ 11,726,599
2004, 5.00%, 8/01/33 University of California, RB:	13,095	13,994,704
Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/41 Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34	2,600 12,300	2,800,018 14,400,061
Utilities 20.4%	,	42,921,382
County of Orange California Sanitation District, COP, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/37 County of Orange California Water District, COP,	14,700	15,950,235
Refunding, 5.00%, 8/15/39 County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP,	10,480	11,753,530
Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 Eastern Municipal Water District, COP, Series H,	10,040	11,125,424
5.00%, 7/01/33 Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power	18,002	20,132,388
System, Sub-Series A-1 (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/37 Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB,	15,998	17,488,904
Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37 San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Sewer,	11,180	12,232,150
Refunding RB, Senior Series A, 5.25%, 5/15/39	12,457	14,124,669 102,807,300
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 45.1% Total Long-Term Investments		227,494,774
(Cost \$704,601,238) 154.0%		776,979,538
Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (a)(h)	Shares 540,673 Par (000)	540,673
California School Cash Reserve Program Authority, RB, Series G, 2.00%, 2/27/15 (a) Total Short-Term Securities	\$ 1,665	1,679,688
(Cost \$2,220,361) 0.4% Total Investments (Cost \$706,821,599) 154.4% Other Assets Less Liabilities 0.7% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including		2,220,361 779,199,899 3,353,460
Interest Expense and Fees Payable (21.1%) VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (34.0%) Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		(106,722,227) (171,300,000) \$ 504,531,132

Notes to Schedule of Investments

(a) Represents the current yield as of report date.

(b) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

CounterpartyValue(Depreciation)Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc.\$5,659,223\$101,189Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC985,657(9,750)RBC Capital Markets, LLC2,763,291

(c) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

Schedule of Investments (continued)

- (d) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (e) Represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.
- (f) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Trust received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (g) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Trust to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB trust. In the case of a shortfall, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Trust could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from October 1, 2016 to August 1, 2018 is \$14,008,480.
- (h) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

Shares Held at Net Shares Held at Affiliate July 31, 2013 Activity July 31, 2014 Income

BIF California Municipal Money Fund 1,269,184 (728,511) 540,673

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts				Notional	Unrealized
Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Appreciation
		_	Sentember		

(319) 10-Year U.S. Treasury Note Chicago Board of Trade 2014 \$39,750,391 \$76,811 For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust is sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Trust has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of

the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Trust s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

			Level	
	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$776,979,538		\$776,979,538
Short-Term Securities	\$ 540,673	1,679,688		2,220,361
Total	\$ 540,673	\$778,659,226		\$779,199,899
¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values i	n each sector.			

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3 Total
Derivative Financial Instruments ²			
Assets:			
Interest rate contracts	\$ 76,811		\$ 76,811

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

The Trust may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Cash pledged for financial contracts Liabilities:	futures \$ 436,000			\$	436,000
TOB trust certificates VMTP Shares		\$(106,697,554) (171,300,000)		`	6,697,554) 1,300,000)
Total	\$ 436,000 ere were no transfers between l	\$(277,997,554)	ended J	\$(27	7,561,554)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

22 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Florida 98.9% Corporate 4.1%		
County of Hillsborough Florida IDA, Refunding RB, Tampa Electric Co. Project, Series A, 5.65%, 5/15/18	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,151,820
County of Palm Beach Florida Solid Waste Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/20	2,000	2,365,500 3,517,320
County/City/Special District/School District 31.6% City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Better Jacksonville Sales Tax, 5.00%, 10/01/20 County of Proposed Florida Sales I Board, COB, Before diegr. Series A, 5.00%	4,000	4,736,120
County of Broward Florida School Board, COP, Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20	2,000	2,325,960
County of Broward Florida School Board, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/22 County of Hillsborough Florida, RB, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/01/20	2,500 5,545	2,841,400 6,214,337
County of Miami-Dade Florida School Board, COP, Refunding, Series B (AGC), 5.25%, 5/01/21 County of Northern Palm Beach Florida Improvement District, Refunding,	4,000	4,538,560
Special Assessment Bonds, Water Control & Improvement District No. 43, Series B (ACA), 4.50%, 8/01/22 Florida State Board of Education, GO, Refunding, Capital Outlay, Series B,	1,000	1,008,370
5.00%, 6/01/20 Sterling Hill Community Development District, Refunding, Special Assessment	485	573,930
Bonds, Series A, 6.10%, 5/01/23 Stevens Plantation Florida Imports Project Dependent Special District, RB,	3,015	2,304,214
6.38%, 12/31/49 (a)(b) Watergrass Community Development District Florida, Special Assessment	2,425	1,809,195
Bonds, Series B, 5.13%, 11/01/14	800	771,072 27,123,158
Education 2.9% County of Orange Florida Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Rollins College Project (AMBAC), 5.25%, 12/01/22 Florida State Board of Covernors, Refunding RB, University of Control Florida	725	821,410
Florida State Board of Governors, Refunding RB, University of Central Florida, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/18	500	568,980
Florida State Higher Educational Facilities Financial Authority, Refunding RB, University of Tampa Project, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/20	1,000	1,123,990 2,514,380
Health 15.7% County of Highlands Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Hospital, Adventist Health, Series I, 5.00%, 11/15/20	2,155	2,517,665
County of Hillsborough Florida IDA, RB, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center Project, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/22	1,500	1,610,940
County of Marion Florida Hospital District, Refunding RB, Health System, Munroe Regional, 5.00%, 10/01/17 (c) County of Orange Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Mayflower	1,500	1,703,400
Retirement Center: 3.00%, 6/01/15	200	203,046

3.00%, 6/01/16 3.00%, 6/01/17 3.25%, 6/01/18 3.50%, 6/01/19 County of Palm Beach Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB: Acts Retirement-Life Communities, Inc., 5.00%, 11/15/22	140 190 195 200 4,735 Par	142,925 196,747 203,580 209,326 5,209,447
Municipal Bonds Florida (concluded)	(000)	Value
Health (concluded) County of Palm Beach Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB (concluded):		
Bethesda Healthcare System Project, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/20	\$ 1,285	\$ 1,490,150 13,487,226
Housing 0.9% County of Manatee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac), 5.90%, 9/01/40 Florida Housing Finance Corp., RB, Homeowner Mortgage, Series 2, AMT	220	224,365
(Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac), 4.70%, 7/01/22 Jacksonville Housing Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A-1, AMT	445	457,081
(Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac), 5.63%, 10/01/39	130	131,222 812,668
State 13.8% Florida Municipal Loan Council, RB, Series D (AGM):		
5.00%, 10/01/19 4.00%, 10/01/20 4.00%, 10/01/21	1,050 1,105 500	1,212,771 1,215,390 545,830
Florida Municipal Loan Council, Refunding RB: CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 0.00%, 4/01/20 (d) Series B-2 (AGM), 4.00%, 10/01/20	4,000 655	3,380,840 716,190
State of Florida Board of Education, GO, Refunding, Capital Outlay, Series B, 5.00%, 6/01/20	1,000	1,189,750
State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20	3,000	3,536,520 11,797,291
Transportation 20.5% County of Broward Florida, Refunding ARB, Series P-1, AMT, 5.00%, 10/01/20	2,500	2,925,475
County of Broward Florida Fuel System, RB, Lauderdale Fuel Facilities, Series A (AGM), AMT, 5.00%, 4/01/20	160	180,219
County of Broward Florida Port Facilities, Refunding RB, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 9/01/20 County of Lee Florida Transportation Facilities, Refunding RB, Series B	2,500	2,897,950
(AMBAC): 5.00%, 10/01/20 5.00%, 10/01/22	2,250 3,000	2,265,638 3,019,800
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 10/01/20 County of Miami-Dade Florida Expressway Authority, Refunding RB, Toll	1,375	1,604,240
System, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20 County of Miami-Dade Florida Transit System Sales Surtax, Refunding RB,	1,500	1,763,190
5.00%, 7/01/20 Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Refunding RB, Series C, 5.00%, 10/01/20	550 1,130	647,686 1,335,061

Jacksonville Florida Port Authority, Refunding RB, AMT, 4.00%, 11/01/20	865	922,626 17,561,885
Utilities 9.4%		
City of North Miami Florida Beach Water Revenue, RB, 5.00%, 8/01/20	1,200	1,392,408
County of Miami-Dade Florida Water & Sewer System, Refunding RB, Series B		
(AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/19	4,000	4,731,960
Florida Governmental Utility Authority, RB, Golden Gate Utility System (AGM),		
5.00%, 7/01/19	510	581,813
Florida Governmental Utility Authority, Refunding RB, Lehigh Utility (AGM),		
5.00%, 10/01/20	635	731,151
Town of Davie Florida, Refunding RB, Nova Southeastern University Project,		
Series B, 5.00%, 4/01/20	530	598,471
		8,035,803
Total Municipal Bonds in Florida		84,849,731

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Guam 0.6% Utilities 0.6%	Par 000)		Value
Guam Government Waterworks Authority, RB, 5.25%, 7/01/20 Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A	\$ 100	\$	114,475
(AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/20 Total Municipal Bonds in Guam Total Municipal Bonds 99.5%	310	85	359,740 474,215 5,323,946
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (e) Florida 0.3% Housing 0.3% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$81,817,798) 99.8%	285	85	294,758 5,618,704
Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.03% (f)(g) Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$123,907) 0.2% Total Investments (Cost \$81,941,705) 100.0% Other Assets Less Liabilities 0.9% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (0.2%) AMPS, at Redemption Value (0.7%) Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	Shares 123,907		Value \$ 123,907 123,907 85,742,611 820,927 (190,095) (625,000) \$85,748,443

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) Non-income producing security.
- (b) Issuer filed for bankruptcy and/or is in default of principal and/or interest payments.
- (c) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (d) Zero-coupon bond.
- (e) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Trust received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (f) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

Affiliate Shares Held at Net Shares Held at Income

July 31, 2013 Activity July 31, 2014

FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund 2,293,772 (2,169,865) 123,907 \$857

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Trust has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Trust spolicy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust spolicy regarding valuation of investments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the Trust s investments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

			Level	
	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$85,618,704		\$85,618,704
Short-Term Securities	\$ 123,907			123,907
Total	\$ 123,907	\$85,618,704		\$85,742,611

¹See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

The Trust may hold liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, TOB trust certificates of \$190,000 is categorized as Level 2 within the disclosure hierarchy.

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014. See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Panda	Par (000)	Value
Municipal Bonds Alabama 0.3%	(000)	value
City of Selma Alabama IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone, International Paper Co.		
Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35	\$275	\$300,548
Alaska 0.2% Northern Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Tobacco Settlement,		
Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/46	330	244,880
California 15.0%		,
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California,		
Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38	1,315	1,483,452
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/39	890	987,553
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election	000	007,000
of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33	775	914,663
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System, Sub-Series		
A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38 San Diago Regional Building Authority DR. County Operations Center & Appey	1,750	1,963,587
San Diego Regional Building Authority, RB, County Operations Center & Annex, Series A, 5.38%, 2/01/36	1,600	1,825,344
State of California, GO, Various Purposes, 6.00%, 3/01/33	1,275	1,529,707
State of California Public Works Board, RB:	,	, ,
Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33	400	457,400
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/31	1,600	1,889,888
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/33 Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004,	1,500	1,773,075
Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40	300	349,431
University of California, Refunding RB, Medical Center Regents, Series J,		,
5.25%, 5/15/38	1,780	2,016,704
Colorado 2.3%		15,190,804
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Sub-System, Series B,		
5.25%, 11/15/32	1,000	1,118,350
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Health Initiative,		
Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/34	1,095	1,247,172
Florida 6.0%		2,365,522
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/33	265	297,547
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Seaport, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/38	3,800	4,356,206
Reedy Creek Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/32	570	639,221
Watergrass Community Development District Florida, Special Assessment	000	774 070
Bonds, Series B, 5.13%, 11/01/14	800	771,072 6,064,046
Georgia 1.8%		0,004,040
Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Refunding RB, Project One, Sub-Series		
D, 6.00%, 1/01/23	1,565	1,845,902
Illinois 22.8% City of Chicago Illinois GARR O Haro International Airport 3rd Lion Series C		
City of Chicago Illinois, GARB, O Hare International Airport, 3rd Lien, Series C, 6.50%, 1/01/41	2,955	3,535,362
0.0070, 1701711	2,000	0,000,002

City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Series A:		
Sales Tax, 5.25%, 1/01/38	500	535,445
Waterworks, 2nd Lien (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/01/36	1,000	1,031,250
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB:	1 000	1 165 040
5.25%, 12/01/31 Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/36	1,060 1,000	1,165,343 1,087,990
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/40	1,000	1,083,340
	Par	, ,
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Illinois (concluded)		
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB (concluded): Sales Tax Receipts, 5.00%, 12/01/44	\$600	\$641,430
County of Cook Illinois Community College District No. 508, GO, City College of	ΨΟΟΟ	ψ0+1,+00
Chicago:		
5.50%, 12/01/38	1,000	1,117,480
5.25%, 12/01/43	3,500	3,781,155
Illinois Finance Authority, RB:	1 000	1 104 000
Carle Foundation, Series A, 6.00%, 8/15/41 Rush University Medical Center, Series B, 7.25%, 11/01/30	1,000 1,600	1,134,080 1,909,536
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Northwestern Memorial Hospital,	1,000	1,909,550
Series A, 6.00%, 8/15/39	1,900	2,193,512
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB:		
5.50%, 6/01/23	690	802,677
6.00%, 6/01/28 State of Illinois CO:	195	226,964
State of Illinois, GO: 5.25%, 2/01/31	475	500,042
5.25%, 2/01/32	1,000	1,047,220
5.50%, 7/01/33	1,000	1,068,200
5.50%, 7/01/38	210	222,428
Indiana 0.50/		23,083,454
Indiana 2.5% Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 1/01/39	2,210	2,496,924
Kansas 1.8%	2,210	2,430,324
Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health		
System/Sunbelt Obligated Group, Series C, 5.50%, 11/15/29	1,600	1,802,544
Kentucky 0.6%		
County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government Parking	F00	E01 0EE
Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 Louisiana 1.5%	500	591,055
Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community		
Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp. Project, Series A-1,		
6.50%, 11/01/35	715	820,806
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A,		
5.50%, 5/15/29	600	656,328
Maine 1.5%		1,477,134
Maine Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Maine General		
Medical Center, 7.50%, 7/01/32	1,270	1,466,494
Massachusetts 1.1%	,	. ,
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Tufts University,		
Series O, 5.38%, 8/15/38	1,000	1,132,780

Michigan 3.5%		
City of Lansing Michigan, RB, Board of Water & Light Utilities System, Series A,		
5.50%, 7/01/41	915	1,062,480
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I,		
6.00%, 10/15/38	1,000	1,147,790
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William		
Beaumont Hospital, Series V, 8.25%, 9/01/18 (a)	995	1,283,341
		3,493,611
Mississippi 2.4%		
Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Jackson Water & Sewer System Project		
(AGM), 6.88%, 12/01/40	1,000	1,300,080
Mississippi State University Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB,		
Mississippi State University Improvement Project, 5.25%, 8/01/38	1,000	1,133,280
		2,433,360
Nevada 5.0%		
City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6.00%,		
4/01/34	1,600	1,858,192

See Notes to Financial Statements.

26 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Danda	Par	Value
Municipal Bonds Nevada (concluded)	(000)	Value
County of Clark Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 5.00%, 6/01/38	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,081,780
County of Clark Nevada Airport System, ARB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/42	1,825	2,114,883
New Jersey 4.3%		5,054,855
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC,		
5.25%, 10/01/29	1,140	1,216,049
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System:	1 005	1 504 071
Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38 Series AA, 5.50%, 6/15/39	1,295 1,485	1,504,971 1,641,742
Control 7 (1, 0.00 70, 07 10/00	1,100	4,362,762
New York 5.8%		
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Senior, Fiscal 2012, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/47	1,000	1,137,630
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 2nd Priority, Bank of	1,000	1,137,030
America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, Class 3, 6.38%, 7/15/49	980	1,078,931
State of New York Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B, 5.25%, 3/15/38	3,250	3,679,618
Ohio 3.5%		5,896,179
County of Allen Ohio Hospital Facilities, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare		
Partners, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/38	1,565	1,683,502
State of Ohio Turnpike Commission, RB, Junior Lien, Infrastructure Projects, Series A-1:		
5.25%, 2/15/30	790	894,391
5.25%, 2/15/31	885	996,359
Pennsylvania 5.0%		3,574,252
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB, American Water		
Co. Project, 6.20%, 4/01/39	500	573,165
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series A:	4.050	1 000 150
5.63%, 12/01/31 6.00%, 12/01/41	1,250 1,500	1,392,150 1,634,625
State of Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/44	360	394,358
Township of Bristol Pennsylvania School District, GO, 5.25%, 6/01/37	1,000	1,107,100
South Carolina 1.4%		5,101,398
County of Charleston South Carolina, RB, Special Source, 5.25%, 12/01/38	1,190	1,368,857
Texas 12.5%	·	, ,
Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, 6.00%, 1/01/41	1 670	1 000 014
City of Beaumont Texas, GO, Certificates of Obligation, 5.25%, 3/01/37	1,670 745	1,860,814 846,834
Conroe Texas ISD, GO, School Building, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/35	890	1,017,697
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Scott &	1 005	0.070.040
White Healthcare, 6.00%, 8/15/45 Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB:	1,905	2,278,913
5.50%, 5/15/19 (a)	90	107,231

5.50%, 5/15/33 North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Special Projects, Series A, 5.50%, 9/01/41 North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, 1st Tier System, Series K-1		2,097,295 1,137,920
(AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38 Red River Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project,	1,000	1,139,120
5.25%, 3/15/38	340 Par	385,567
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Texas (concluded) Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., RB, Senior Lien, NTE Mobility Partners LLC, North Tarrant Express Managed Lanes Project, 6.88%, 12/31/39 Virginia 1.5%	\$ 1,505	\$1,766,735 12,638,126
City of Lexington Virginia IDA, RB, Washington & Lee University, 5.00%, 1/01/43	280	308,372
Virginia Public School Authority, RB, Fluvanna County School Financing, 6.50%, 12/01/18 (a)	1,000	1,233,120 1,541,492
Wisconsin 1.8% Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Froedtert & Community Health, Inc., Series C, 5.25%, 4/01/39 Total Municipal Bonds 104.1%	1,675	1,785,986 105,312,965
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California 19.4% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series B, 5.25%, 10/01/39 (c) Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (c) Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Water, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39 University of California, RB, Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34 District of Columbia 3.6% District of Columbia, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/30 (c) District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/39	1,995 2,400 2,630 3,898 400 4,214 1,500 1,395 1,799	2,250,560 2,596,008 3,019,345 4,655,200 449,012 4,894,642 1,756,105 19,620,872 1,639,632 2,027,813 3,667,445
Illinois 3.2% State of Illinois Finance Authority, RB, University of Chicago, Series B, 6.25%, 7/01/18 (a) Nevada 5.2%	2,800	3,219,860

Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38 Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/29	2,500 1,994	2,902,050 2,337,151
Selies B, 3.30 %, 7/0 1/29	1,994	5,239,201
New Hampshire 1.2%		
New Hampshire Health & Education Facilities Authority, RB, Dartmouth		
College, 5.25%, 6/01/39 (c)	1,094	1,243,496
New Jersey 3.9%		
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System:		
Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/15/32	2,000	2,201,220
Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/36 (c)	1,640	1,783,977
		3,985,197
New York 13.2%		
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal		
2009, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/40	1,410	1,613,109

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Asset Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) New York (concluded) City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding R	,	Par (000)	Value
Water & Sewer System, 2nd General Resolution: Series FF, 5.00%, 6/15/45 Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40 City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB, Fiscal 200 Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39 New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, 1 World Trade Center Port Author Consolidated, 5.25%, 12/15/43 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 4 World Trade Center	9,	\$ 1,500 1,995 1,500 2,205	\$ 1,632,610 2,281,907 1,678,946 2,429,645
Project, 5.75%, 11/15/51 (c) New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Personal Income Tax, Series B, 5.25%, 3/15/38		1,300 2,000	1,457,352 2,264,380 13,357,949
Texas 5.4% City of San Antonio Texas Public Service Board, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/31 (c) County of Harris Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Texa Children s Hospital Project, 5.50%, 10/01/39	as	2,025 2,750	2,296,065 3,151,748 5,447,813
Municipal Bonds	Pa (00		Value
Virginia 1.0% County of Fairfax Virginia IDA, Refunding RB, Health Care, Inova Health System, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/35 Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts	\$ 899	\$	\$998,956
56.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$145,583,866) 160.2%			56,780,789 162,093,754
Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.03% (d)(e) Total Short-Term Securities	Shar 1,298	es 3,709	1,298,709
(Cost \$1,298,709) 1.3% Total Investments (Cost \$146,882,575) 161.5% Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.6%			1,298,709 163,392,463 1,658,663
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (29.3%) VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value (33.8%) Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	(29,688,361) (34,200,000) 5 101,162,765

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Trust received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (c) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Trust to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB trust. In the case of a shortfall, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Trust could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from October 1, 2016 to November 15, 2019 is \$7,865,394.
- (d) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares		Shares	
	Held at		Held at	
	July 31,	Net	July 31,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	4,710,703	(3,411,994)	1,298,709	\$626
(e) Represents the c	urrent vield as of ren	ort date		

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts

Sold Issue Exchange Expiration Value Appreciation

(64) 10-Year U.S. Treasury Note Chicago Board of Trade September 2014 \$7,975,000 \$27,410

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure

hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the

Trust has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Trust spolicy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s

policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

28 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

			Level	
	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$162,093,754		\$162,093,754
Short-Term Securities	\$ 1,298,709			1,298,709
Total	\$ 1,298,709	\$162,093,754		\$163,392,463
¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values	in each state or poli	tical subdivision.		

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ 27,410			\$ 27,410

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

The Trust may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts Liabilities:	\$ 88,000			\$ 88,000
TOB trust certificates VRDP Shares Total	\$ 88,000	\$(29,682,276) (34,200,000) \$(63,882,276)		(29,682,276) (34,200,000) \$(63,794,276)

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Alabama 0.6%		
City of Phenix Alabama IDB, Refunding RB, Meadwestvaco Coated Board	ΦΕ 0Ε0	Φ Ε 440 047
Project, Series A, 3.63%, 5/15/30 County of Jefferson Alabama, RB, Limited Obligation School, Series A:	\$5,850	\$ 5,440,617
5.25%, 1/01/19	1,000	1,008,900
5.25%, 1/01/20	1,000	1,008,900
5.50%, 1/01/21	1,200	1,210,680
5.50%, 1/01/22	1,105	1,114,834
		9,783,931
Alaska 0.5%		
Northern Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Tobacco Settlement,		
Asset-Backed, Series A, 4.63%, 6/01/23	8,160	7,610,914
Arizona 1.1%		
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Phoenix Children s Hospital:	6.040	6 764 070
Series A, 5.00%, 2/01/34 Series B, 5.00%, 2/01/33	6,340 1,810	6,764,273 1,933,967
City of Phoenix Arizona IDA, RB, Facility:	1,010	1,955,967
Candeo Schools, Inc. Project, 6.00%, 7/01/23	700	752,962
Eagle College Preparatory Project, Series A, 4.50%, 7/01/22	735	726,305
Eagle College Preparatory Project, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/33	1,000	929,400
Legacy Traditional Schools Project, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/24 (a)	7 5 0	791,122
County of Pima Arizona IDA, Refunding RB, Tucson Electric Power Co. Project,		
Series A, 4.00%, 9/01/29	6,000	5,999,820
		17,897,849
California 23.3%		
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps., Refunding RB, Odd Fellows	4.500	4 000 500
Home California, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/32	4,500	4,993,560
Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, CAB, Sub Lien, Series A (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/01/30 (b)	10,530	4,761,561
Anaheim California Public Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Electric	10,550	4,701,301
Distribution System, Series A, 4.00%, 10/01/31	17,080	17,595,645
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health	,,,,,,	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
System/West, Series A:		
4.00%, 3/01/27	4,270	4,420,603
4.00%, 3/01/28	8,490	8,726,022
4.00%, 3/01/33	61,485	61,164,048
California HFA, RB, S/F Housing, Home Mortgage, Series I, AMT, 4.70%,		
8/01/26	10,000	10,123,800
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Biola University:	750	756 570
4.00%, 10/01/27 5.00%, 10/01/29	750 660	756,570 718,654
5.00%, 8/15/30	1,000	1,098,490
5.00%, 10/01/30	500	540,820
4.00%, 10/01/33	2,500	2,406,675
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, RB, Poseidon Resources	,	,,
Desalination Project, AMT, 5.00%, 7/01/30 (a)	18,845	19,637,998

California State Public Works Board, RB:		
Judicial Council Projects, Series A, 5.00%, 3/01/31	5,000	5,591,100
Judicial Council Projects, Series A, 5.00%, 3/01/32	5,000	5,579,250
Judicial Council Projects, Series A, 5.00%, 3/01/33	5,220	5,796,027
Series D, 5.00%, 9/01/29	2,850	3,206,278
Series D, 5.00%, 9/01/30	2,620	2,927,771
	Par	, ,
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (continued)		
California State Public Works Board, RB (concluded):		
Series D, 5.00%, 9/01/31	\$2,905	\$ 3,224,521
Series D, 5.00%, 9/01/32	6,060	6,703,996
Series E, 5.00%, 9/01/29	2,355	2,649,399
Series E, 5.00%, 9/01/30	2,475	2,765,738
Series E, 5.00%, 9/01/31	2,600	2,885,974
Series E, 5.00%, 9/01/32	2,280	2,522,296
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, American		
Baptist Homes of the West, Series A:	1 500	1 007 000
5.00%, 10/01/23	1,500	1,627,200
5.00%, 10/01/28	650 2,275	687,902 2,337,790
5.00%, 10/01/33 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:	2,275	2,337,790
Episcopal Communities & Services, 5.00%, 5/15/27	500	536,830
Episcopal Communities & Services, 5.00%, 5/15/32	1,000	1,057,380
Eskaton Properties, Inc., 5.25%, 11/15/34	2,500	2,610,775
Chabot-Las Positas Community College District, GO, Refunding, 2016	2,000	2,010,770
Crossover, 5.00%, 8/01/29	18,500	21,179,540
City & County of San Francisco California Redevelopment Agency, Refunding,	. 5,555	_ :, : : 0,0 : 0
Special Tax Bonds, No. 6 Mission Bay South Public Improvements, Series A:		
5.00%, 8/01/28	1,000	1,093,170
5.00%, 8/01/29	1,300	1,415,245
5.00%, 8/01/33	1,335	1,434,938
Corona-Norco Unified School District, Refunding, Special Tax Bonds, Senior		
Lien, Series A, 5.00%, 9/01/32	1,250	1,321,925
County of Los Angeles California Public Works Financing Authority, Refunding		
RB, Multiple Capital Projects II:		
5.00%, 8/01/30	2,500	2,781,825
5.00%, 8/01/31	3,000	3,320,460
5.00%, 8/01/32	3,000	3,305,040
5.00%, 8/01/33	2,500	2,745,050
County of Ventura California Public Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series		
A: 5.00%, 11/01/30	1 200	1 267 604
5.00%, 11/01/30 5.00%, 11/01/31	1,200 1,500	1,367,604 1,697,835
5.00%, 11/01/31 5.00%, 11/01/32	1,500	1,689,705
5.00%, 11/01/32 5.00%, 11/01/33	1,200	1,346,232
El Camino Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series C	1,200	1,0-0,202
(b):		
0.00%, 8/01/30	9,090	4,778,249
0.00%, 8/01/31	12,465	6,210,936
0.00%, 8/01/32	17,435	8,309,172
	-	•

Escondido Union High School District, GO, CAB, Election of 2008, Series A		
(AGC) (b):		
0.00%, 8/01/32	1,675	767,920
0.00%, 8/01/33	2,865	1,227,767
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%,		
6/01/30	1,500	1,652,850
Grossmont Union High School District, GO, CAB, Election of 2004, 0.00%,		
8/01/32 (b)	29,015	12,864,381
Los Angeles Regional Airports Improvement Corp., Refunding RB, LAXFUEL		
Corp., Los Angeles International, AMT:		
4.50%, 1/01/27	5,000	5,297,200
5.00%, 1/01/32	4,110	4,360,546
M-S-R Energy Authority, RB, Series C, 6.13%, 11/01/29	2,500	3,072,825

See Notes to Financial Statements.

30 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Schedule of investments (continued) (1 ercentages shown are based on ivet Assets)	_	
Municipal Bonds California (concluded)	Par (000)	Value
Poway Unified School District, GO, CAB, Election of 2008, Series A (b):		
	¢10.000	Ф E 0E0 100
0.00%, 8/01/27	\$10,000	\$ 5,958,100
0.00%, 8/01/30	10,000	5,070,500
0.00%, 8/01/32	12,500	5,720,625
Poway Unified School District Public Financing Authority, Refunding, Special		
Tax Bonds:		
5.00%, 9/15/26	935	1,052,511
5.00%, 9/15/29	1,205	1,333,164
5.00%, 9/15/32	995	1,076,709
Riverside Public Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Bonds, University		
Corridor/Sycamore Canyon Merged Redevelopment Project, Series C		
(NPFGC), 4.50%, 8/01/30	10,000	10,069,700
Sacramento County Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, Refunding RB,	•	, ,
Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/30	2,000	2,340,220
San Bernardino Community College District, GO, Refunding, Series A:	2,000	2,010,220
4.00%, 8/01/31	15,660	16,150,001
4.00%, 8/01/31	17,010	17,450,049
4.00%, 8/01/33	5,665	5,789,743
San Diego Community College District, GO, Election of 2006, 0.00%,	5 000	0.074.750
8/01/30 (b)	5,000	2,371,750
Westlands California Water District, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM):		
5.00%, 9/01/30	1,000	1,115,970
5.00%, 9/01/31	1,000	1,107,010
5.00%, 9/01/32	1,000	1,101,830
		360,602,970
Colorado 6.0%		
Central Platte Valley Metropolitan District, GO, Series A:		
5.13%, 12/01/29	700	771,694
5.50%, 12/01/29	750	849,990
5.38%, 12/01/33	1,500	1,669,755
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System Revenue, Refunding RB,	1,000	1,000,700
Series B, 4.00%, 11/15/31	37,090	37,743,526
	37,090	37,743,320
City of Commerce Colorado-Northern Infrastructure General Improvement		
District, GO, Refunding, Improvement (AGM):	0.770	0.444.000
5.00%, 12/01/26	2,770	3,111,929
5.00%, 12/01/28	1,560	1,734,954
5.00%, 12/01/29	1,070	1,182,649
5.00%, 12/01/31	500	552,260
5.00%, 12/01/32	800	880,584
City of Lakewood Colorado Plaza Metropolitan District No. 1, Refunding, Tax		
Allocation Bonds, 4.00%, 12/01/23	1,000	987,680
Colorado Educational & Cultural Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%,	-	•
8/15/30	1,000	1,086,310
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Covenant Retirement	,	, ,
Communities, Series A:		
Communico, Conos A.		

4.509/ 10/01/22	4 505	1 200 060
4.50%, 12/01/33 5.00%, 12/01/33	4,595 3,000	4,388,868 3,107,310
Denver West Metropolitan District, GO, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 4.00%, 12/01/32	6,250	6,347,250
Plaza Metropolitan District No. 1, Refunding, Tax Allocation Bonds:	0,230	0,547,250
4.10%, 12/01/24	5,080	4,991,557
4.20%, 12/01/25	5,280	5,195,520
4.50%, 12/01/30 State of Colorado, COP, Refunding, Fitzsimons Academic Projects, 4.00%,	4,305	4,241,114
11/01/30	12,675	13,050,180
Tallyns Reach Metropolitan District No. 3, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 12/01/33	505	507,212 92,400,342
M. data I B. a Ia	Par	
Municipal Bonds Connecticut 1.4%	(000)	Value
City of Hartford Connecticut, GO, Refunding, Series A:		
4.00%, 4/01/29	\$ 8,390	\$ 8,625,004
4.00%, 4/01/32	1,500	1,515,285
Connecticut HFA, Refunding RB, M/F Housing Mortgage Finance Program, Sub-Series F-1, 3.00%, 11/15/32	12,020	11,181,965
Sub-Selles F-1, 5.00 %, 11/15/32	12,020	21,322,254
District of Columbia 0.1%		21,022,201
District of Columbia, Refunding RB, Kipp Charter School, 6.00%, 7/01/33	1,700	1,943,236
Florida 10.4%		
City of North Miami Beach Florida, Refunding RB: 4.00%, 8/01/27	3,325	3,485,298
5.00%, 8/01/29	3,650	4,026,461
5.00%, 8/01/30	4,020	4,414,040
5.00%, 8/01/31	4,235	4,625,509
5.00%, 8/01/32	4,445	4,832,382
City of Tampa Florida, Refunding RB, Series A: County of Hillsborough Florida Expressway Authority, 4.00%, 7/01/29	6,000	6,168,060
County of Hillsborough Florida Expressway Authority, 4.00%, 7/01/29 County of Hillsborough Florida Expressway Authority, 4.00%, 7/01/30	6,395	6,538,696
H Lee Moffitt Cancer Center Project, 4.00%, 9/01/33	10,000	9,973,200
County of Alachua Florida Health Facilities Authority, RB, East Ridge		, ,
Retirement Village, Inc., 6.00%, 11/15/29	5,000	5,286,550
County of Broward Florida, RB, Fort Lauderdale Fuel System Revenue, AMT: 5.00%, 4/01/30	600	639,024
5.00%, 4/01/33	740	783,697
County of Hillsborough Florida IDA, RB, National Gypsum Co., Series A, AMT,	, 10	7 00,007
7.13%, 4/01/30	7,300	7,315,111
County of Martin Florida IDA, Refunding RB, Indiantown Cogeneration, L.P.	5.050	5 000 750
Project, AMT, 4.20%, 12/15/25 County of Miami-Dade Florida Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of	5,250	5,283,758
Miami, Series A:		
4.00%, 4/01/31	2,930	2,955,550
4.00%, 4/01/32	1,000	1,004,330
County of Miami-Dade Florida Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB,	10.000	10.007.700
Sub-Series B, 5.00%, 10/01/32 County of Miami-Dade Florida Expressway Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:	10,000	10,967,700
5.00%, 7/01/30	5,530	6,107,111
	•	•

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR

5.00%, 7/01/31	5,000	5,489,150
County of Miami-Dade Florida School Board, COP, Refunding, Series A,	·	
5.00%, 5/01/32	10,000	10,816,000
County of St. Johns Florida Water & Sewer Revenue, Refunding RB, CAB,		
Series B (b):		
0.00%, 6/01/25	2,155	1,533,757
0.00%, 6/01/26	2,655	1,793,240
0.00%, 6/01/27	3,095	1,963,035
0.00%, 6/01/28	3,795	2,286,601
0.00%, 6/01/29	3,795	2,169,981
0.00%, 6/01/30	2,000	1,060,700
0.00%, 6/01/31	1,295	648,989
0.00%, 6/01/32	2,495	1,186,847
Double Branch Community Development District, Refunding, Special		
Assessment Bonds, Senior Lien, Series A-1, 4.13%, 5/01/31	1,200	1,185,888
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Refunding RB, Jet Blue Airways Corp.		
Project, AMT, 5.00%, 11/15/26	2,000	1,976,360

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Florida (concluded)		
Jacksonville Florida Port Authority, Refunding RB, AMT:		
4.50%, 11/01/29	\$ 4,685	\$ 4,778,606
4.50%, 11/01/30	2,895	2,940,712
4.50%, 11/01/31	3,200	3,223,936
4.50%, 11/01/32	2,300	2,307,728
4.50%, 11/01/33	2,080	2,084,139
Reedy Creek Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/30	3,825	4,360,844
Village Community Development District No. 5, Refunding, Special		
Assessment Bonds, Phase I:		
3.50%, 5/01/28	6,185	6,006,872
4.00%, 5/01/33	1,235	1,223,675
4.00%, 5/01/34	2,590	2,548,146
Village Community Development District No. 10, Special Assessment Bonds,		
Sumter County:		
4.50%, 5/01/23	3,555	3,603,561
5.00%, 5/01/32	5,985	5,946,277
Village Community Development District No. 6, Refunding, Special	,	, ,
Assessment Bonds, Sumter County, 4.00%, 5/01/29	6,400	6,412,416
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	161,953,937
Georgia 0.8%		, ,
Georgia Housing & Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Series A, 3.45%,		
12/01/32	12,050	11,856,236
Guam 0.7%	,	, ,
Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/30	10,000	11,072,000
Idaho 0.7%	-,	, - ,
Idaho Housing & Finance Association, RB, Series A, 4.00%, 7/15/30	10,000	10,246,600
Illinois 14.2%	,	, ,
Chicago Midway International Airport, Refunding RB, AMT, Series A, 5.00%,		
1/01/33	5,000	5,292,350
City of Chicago Illinois Motor Fuel Tax Revenue, Refunding RB:	,	, ,
5.00%, 1/01/30	2,730	2,964,698
5.00%, 1/01/32	2,000	2,155,580
City of Chicago Illinois, GO:	,	, ,
CAB (NPFGC), 0.00%, 1/01/27 (b)	5,000	2,818,600
Project, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/33	10,000	10,185,600
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/30	6,000	6,332,640
City of Chicago Illinois, RB, Wastewater Transmission, 2nd Lien:	2,222	0,00=,010
4.00%, 1/01/31	10,375	10,166,566
4.00%, 1/01/32	10,790	10,511,942
4.00%, 1/01/33	11,220	10,808,338
4.00%, 1/01/35	9,135	8,681,265
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding ARB, O Hare International Airport	-,	-,,—
Passenger Facility Charge, Series B, AMT:		
4.00%, 1/01/27	5,000	4,988,050
4.00%, 1/01/29	28,425	27,699,878
,		,,,,,,,,

City of St. Charles Illinois, GO, Refunding, Corporate Purpose:		
4.00%, 12/01/30	1,620	1,679,227
4.00%, 12/01/31	1,715	1,766,433
4.00%, 12/01/32	1,800	1,843,542
County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series C, 4.00%, 11/15/29	19,750	20,055,730
County of Will Illinois Community High School District No. 210 Lincoln-Way, GO, CAB, Refunding, Series B (b):		
0.00%, 1/01/29	6,920	3,674,105
0.00%, 1/01/30	5,680	2,826,084
0.00%, 1/01/31	13,330	6,412,796
0.00%, 1/01/32	16,500	7,258,185
	Par	.,,
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Illinois (concluded)		
County of Will Illinois Community High School District No. 210 Lincoln-Way,		
GO, Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/31	\$16,300	\$ 17,894,140
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, 6.00%, 9/01/32	1,620	1,765,427
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB:	4.005	5.050.445
Lutheran Home & Services Obligated Group, 5.00%, 5/15/22	4,835	5,053,445
Lutheran Home & Services Obligated Group, 5.50%, 5/15/27	4,350	4,514,126
Lutheran Home & Services Obligated Group, 5.50%, 5/15/30	4,900	5,005,350 7,791,473
Northwestern Memorial Healthcare, 4.00%, 8/15/33 The Peoples Gas Light & Coke Company Project, 4.00%, 2/01/33	7,665 11,000	11,031,570
Winnebago & Boone Counties School District No. 205 Rockford, GO:	11,000	11,031,370
4.00%, 2/01/29	9,305	9,527,483
4.00%, 2/01/30	9,835	10,005,342
	0,000	220,709,965
Indiana 2.9%		, ,
Carmel Redevelopment Authority, Refunding RB, Multipurpose, Series A,		
4.00%, 8/01/33	8,500	8,788,320
City of Valparaiso Indiana, RB, Exempt Facilities, Pratt Paper LLC Project,		
AMT, 5.88%, 1/01/24	2,250	2,489,265
Indiana Finance Authority, Refunding RB:		
Community Health Network Project, Series A, 4.00%, 5/01/35	23,565	22,167,360
Earlham College Project, 5.00%, 10/01/32	11,255	11,776,557
Jours 2 20/		45,221,502
lowa 2.2% Iowa Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Midwestern Disaster Area, Iowa		
Fertilizer Co. Project:		
5.50%, 12/01/22	18,500	19,289,950
5.25%, 12/01/25	14,345	15,118,626
0.1070, 1270.720	,	34,408,576
Kentucky 0.1%		, ,
Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority, RB, Convertible		
CAB-1st Tier-DownTown Crossing Project, Series C, 0.00%, 7/01/33 (c)	1,500	995,640
Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority, RB, CAB-1st		
Tier-DownTown Crossing Project, Series B, 0.00%, 7/01/30 (b)	1,230	499,774
		1,495,414
Louisiana 3.2%		
City of New Orleans Louisiana, Refunding RB:	1 500	1 000 575
5.00%, 12/01/27	1,500	1,666,575

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR

5.00%, 12/01/29	1,000	1,097,230
Louisiana Stadium & Exposition District, Refunding RB, Senior, Series A:		
5.00%, 7/01/27	3,770	4,227,489
5.00%, 7/01/28	4,420	4,916,764
5.00%, 7/01/29	3,000	3,305,790
5.00%, 7/01/30	5,000	5,493,700
5.00%, 7/01/31	5,105	5,568,534
5.00%, 7/01/32	3,000	3,277,140
Port New Orleans Board of Commissioners, Refunding RB, Series B, AMT:		
5.00%, 4/01/31	300	314,601
5.00%, 4/01/32	1,000	1,044,250
5.00%, 4/01/33	1,575	1,641,245
Terrebonne Levee & Conservation District, RB, Sales Tax, 5.00%, 7/01/29	1,925	2,121,215

See Notes to Financial Statements.

32 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Louisiana (concluded)		
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A:		
5.25%, 5/15/31	\$ 3,425	\$ 3,675,025
5.25%, 5/15/32	4,375	4,650,319
5.25%, 5/15/33	4,750	5,026,355
5.25%, 5/15/35	1,500	1,594,020
		49,620,252
Maine 1.4%		
Maine Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Eastern Maine		
Medical Center Obligation:	4.050	4 000 050
5.00%, 7/01/25	1,250	1,399,650
5.00%, 7/01/26	1,000	1,105,550
5.00%, 7/01/27	1,000	1,093,170
3.75%, 7/01/28	1,000	976,620
5.00%, 7/01/33 Mains State Hausing Authority Defunding DR S/E Hausing Series R 2.45%	5,000	5,302,400
Maine State Housing Authority, Refunding RB, S/F Housing, Series B, 3.45%, 11/15/32	12.000	11 744 160
11/13/32	12,000	11,744,160 21,621,550
Maryland 0.4%		21,021,000
Maryland EDC, Refunding RB:		
CNX Marine Terminals, Inc., 5.75%, 9/01/25	5,000	5,458,300
Salisbury University Project, 5.00%, 6/01/34	500	526,220
Canadary Chiverenty 1 reject, 6.0076, 670 170 1	000	5,984,520
Massachusetts 1.4%		0,00 .,020
Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series K, AMT,		
5.25%, 7/01/29	10,000	10,791,400
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding RB, S/F Housing, Series 163, AMT, 4.00%,	•	, ,
12/01/33	11,425	10,919,672
		21,711,072
Michigan 0.9%		
Michigan Finance Authority, Refunding RB:		
Holland Community Hospital, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/33	750	796,515
Oakwood Obligation Group, 5.00%, 8/15/30	4,105	4,472,233
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Credit		
Group, Series C, 4.00%, 12/01/32	9,195	9,281,433
		14,550,181
Missouri 0.3%		
Missouri State Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:	0.010	1 050 710
CoxHealth, Series A, 4.00%, 11/15/33	2,010	1,959,710
St. Lewis College of Pharmacy Project, 5.00%, 5/01/30	3,000	3,196,650
Nebraska 1.2%		5,156,360
Central Plains Nebraska Energy Project, RB:		
Energy Project No. 3, 5.00%, 9/01/27	7,010	7,734,133
Gas Project No. 3, 5.00%, 9/01/32	9,500	10,191,030
5.45 1 10,000 1101 0, 0.00 70, 0/0 1/0E	0,000	17,925,163
		,525,.50

New Hampshire 1.0% New Hampshire Health & Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Concord Hospital, Series A:		
5.00%, 10/01/26 5.00%, 10/01/27 4.00%, 10/01/33 New Hampshire State Turnpike System, RB, Series C:	1,075 1,180 3,500	1,190,648 1,299,440 3,485,930
4.00%, 8/01/35	4,350 4,745	4,476,106 4,836,199 15,288,323
New Jersey 11.5% County of Gloucester New Jersey Pollution Control Financing Authority, Refunding RB, AMT, 5.00%, 12/01/24	1,500	1,640,355
Municipal Bonds New Jersey (concluded) New Jersey EDA, RB, AMT:	Par (000)	Value
Continental Airlines, Inc. Project, 5.25%, 9/15/29 Continental Airlines, Inc. Project, Series A, 5.63%, 11/15/30 Continental Airlines, Inc. Project, Series B, 5.63%, 11/15/30	\$12,230 1,740 1,315	\$ 12,600,569 1,842,469 1,396,911
Private Activity Bond, The Goethals Bridge Replacement Project, 5.00%, 1/01/28 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB:	4,705	5,161,950
4.25%, 6/15/27 Cigarette Tax, 5.00%, 6/15/26 Continental Airlines, Inc. Project, AMT, 5.75%, 9/15/27	16,500 10,610 6,200	16,879,500 11,675,562 6,564,126
New Jersey EDA, Refunding, Special Assessment Bonds, Kapkowski Road Landfill Project, 5.75%, 4/01/31 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, St.	5,000	5,423,250
Barnabas Health, Series A, 4.00%, 7/01/26 New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, Refunding RB, M/F Housing, Series 2, AMT:	3,000	3,102,900
4.10%, 11/01/28 4.35%, 11/01/33 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System:	15,800 7,315	15,966,532 7,370,082
CAB, Series A, 0.00%, 12/15/28 (b) CAB, Series A, 0.00%, 12/15/29 (b) Series AA, 4.00%, 6/15/30	66,000 18,000 13,315	34,766,820 8,892,900 13,467,057
Newark Housing Authority, Refunding RB, Newark Redevelopment Project (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/27 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp. New Jersey, Refunding RB, Series 1A:	5,000	5,744,300
4.50%, 6/01/23 4.63%, 6/01/26	6,950 22,460	6,816,074 19,403,643 178,715,000
New Mexico 1.1% New Mexico Educational Assistance Foundation, RB, AMT: Education Loan Series A-1, 3.75%, 9/01/31 Education Loan Series A-2, 3.80%, 11/01/32	6,250 5,850	6,042,750 5,660,869
Education Loan Series A-2, 3.80%, 9/01/33	5,000	4,840,000 16,543,619

New York 7.2%

Build NYC Resource Corp., RB, Bronx Charter School for International		
Cultures & The Arts Project, Series A, 5.00%, 4/15/33	3,530	3,213,641
Housing Development Corp., RB, M/F Housing, Series K-1:		
3.40%, 11/01/30	8,070	7,882,130
3.50%, 11/01/32	5,865	5,702,540
Housing Development Corp., Refunding RB, M/F Housing:		
Series L-1, 3.40%, 11/01/30	1,580	1,543,218
Series L-1, 3.50%, 11/01/32	1,160	1,127,868
Series L-2-A, 3.60%, 11/01/33	11,000	10,745,240
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series F, 5.00%,		
11/15/30	25,000	27,982,000
New York Mortgage Agency, Refunding RB, Series 48, 3.45%, 10/01/33	3,500	3,436,230
New York State HFA, RB, M/F Affordable Housing (SONYMA), Series F:		
3.05%, 11/01/27	4,020	3,861,733
3.45%, 11/01/32	5,235	5,082,557
Niagara Area Development Corp., Refunding RB, Solid Waste Disposal		
Facility, Covanta Energy Project, Series B, 4.00%, 11/01/24	3,000	3,022,140

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Par	
Municipal Bonds (000) Valu	e
New York (concluded)	
Onondaga Civic Development Corp., Refunding RB, St. Joseph s Hospital	
Health Center Project, 4.50%, 7/01/32 \$ 9,215 \$ 8,842,	161
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, Refunding RB, CAB, Series A (b):	
0.00%, 11/15/29 17,810 9,893,9	89
0.00%, 11/15/30 25,215 13,329.	
0.00%, 11/15/31 5,000 2,501,5	
TSASC Inc., Refunding RB, 5.00%, 6/01/26 4,000 3,721,9	
111,88	
North Carolina 1.2%	3,302
City of Charlotte North Carolina, Refunding RB, Charlotte-Douglas	
International Airport, Special Facilities Revenue, US Airways, Inc. Project,	050
AMT, 5.60%, 7/01/27 15,000 15,004,	650
North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB, Mission Health Combined	
Group, 4.63%, 10/01/30 2,000 2,092,9	00
North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Refunding RB, 1st Mortgage,	
Retirement Facilities Whitestone Project, Series A, 7.75%, 3/01/31 1,665 1,870,9	
18,968,	477
North Dakota 0.1%	
North Dakota HFA, RB, M/F Housing, Series A, 3.60%, 7/01/32 2,330 2,372,3	36
Ohio 0.4%	
County of Franklin Ohio, RB, Health Care Facilities Improvement, OPRS	
Communities, Series A:	
5.25%, 7/01/28 500 521,509	5
5.63%, 7/01/32 1,000 1,043,7	10
Ohio State University, RB, General Receipts Special Purpose, Series A,	
4.00%, 6/01/31 4,220 4,382,2	17
5,947,4	
Oklahoma 0.2%	_
County of Oklahoma Oklahoma Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Epworth	
Villa Project, Series A:	
5.00%, 4/01/23 1,050 941,000)
5.00%, 4/01/29 1,500 1,296,8	
5.00%, 4/01/33 1,050 882,02	
·	
3,119,8	01
Pennsylvania 9.4%	
Allentown Neighborhood Improvement Zone Development Authority,	
Refunding RB, Series A:	
5.00%, 5/01/27 6,750 7,195,5	
5.00%, 5/01/28 5,000 5,289,1	
5.00%, 5/01/29 3,745 3,941,2	
5.00%, 5/01/30 5,300 5,549,1	00
Beaver County Industrial Development Authority, Refunding RB, Series B,	
3.50%, 12/01/35 (d) 4,594,8	89
County of Cumberland Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, Refunding RB,	
Asbury Pennsylvania Obligated Group:	

5.00%, 1/01/22 5.25%, 1/01/27 5.25%, 1/01/32 County of Lehigh Pennsylvania, Refunding RB, Lehigh Valley Health Network,	750 1,275 3,350	792,765 1,316,017 3,387,788
4.00%, 7/01/33 County of Montgomery Pennsylvania Higher Education & Health Authority,	27,535	27,589,795
Refunding RB, Abington Memorial Hospital Obligated Group, 5.00%, 6/01/31 County of Montgomery Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, Acts Retirement-Life	5,000	5,392,400
Communities, Inc. Obligated Group, 5.00%, 11/15/26 County of Northampton Pennsylvania General Purpose Authority, RB, St.	2,500	2,680,675
Luke s Hospital of Bethlehem, Series A, 5.00%, 8/15/33	13,250 Par	13,887,722
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Pennsylvania (concluded) Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB, National Gypsum Co., AMT:		
Series A, 6.25%, 11/01/27	\$ 6,520	\$ 6,522,412
Series B, 6.13%, 11/01/27	3,000	3,000,780
Pennsylvania HFA, RB, S/F Housing, Series 114, 3.30%, 10/01/32	20,500	19,369,630
Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Shippensburg University Student Services, 5.00%, 10/01/30 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, La Salle	5,250	5,412,960
University, 4.00%, 5/01/32 State Public School Building Authority, RB, School District of Philadelphia	3,000	2,858,190
Project: 5.00%, 4/01/27 5.00%, 4/01/28 5.00%, 4/01/29 5.00%, 4/01/30 Township of East Hempfield Pennsylvania IDA, RB, Student Services Incorporate Student Housing, 5.00%, 7/01/30	4,130 8,000 6,000 5,500 1,280	4,510,580 8,682,720 6,470,520 5,908,595 1,339,942
South Carolina 0.1%	,	145,693,348
South Carolina Jobs EDA, Refunding RB, Bon Secours Health System, Inc., 5.00%, 5/01/28 South Dakota 0.0%	2,000	2,032,440
Educational Enhancement Funding Corp., Refunding RB, Series B, 5.00%, 6/01/27 Tennessee 0.1%	650	708,799
Chattanooga Health Educational & Housing Facility Board, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/33 Texas 16.1%	1,500	1,610,685
Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/33	1,260	1,334,012
City of Brownsville Texas Utilities System Revenue, Refunding RB, Series A: 4.00%, 9/01/30 4.00%, 9/01/31 City of Houston Texas Airport System, Refunding RB, United Airlines, Inc.	11,170 11,220	11,478,404 11,459,996
Terminal E Project, AMT: 4.75%, 7/01/24 5.00%, 7/01/29	3,335 2,665	3,430,848 2,689,598

Clifton Higher Education Finance Corp., RB, Idea Public Schools, 6.00%, 8/15/33	1,650	1,915,881
Clifton Higher Education Finance Corp., Refunding RB, Uplift Education,	1,000	1,515,001
Series A:		
3.10%, 12/01/22	1,050	994,277
3.95%, 12/01/32	1,800	1,624,950
County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Toll Road Senior Lien, Series C,		
4.00%, 8/15/33	12,325	12,502,727
County of Harris Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, 1st		
Mortgage, Brazos Presbyterian Homes, Inc. Project, Series B:		
5.75%, 1/01/28	500	555,950
6.38%, 1/01/33	460	511,005
County of Harris Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding		
RB, Series A:		
Brazos Presbyterian Homes, Inc. Project, 5.00%, 1/01/33	1,090	1,068,113
Memorial Hermann Health System, 4.00%, 12/01/31	20,000	20,282,600
YMCA of the Greater Houston Area, 5.00%, 6/01/28	1,500	1,621,050
YMCA of the Greater Houston Area, 5.00%, 6/01/33	3,000	3,159,570

See Notes to Financial Statements.

34 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Schedule of Investments (continued) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Texas (concluded)		
County of Matagorda Texas Navigation District No. 1, Refunding RB:	ФО4 400	Φ 00 055 404
Series A (AMBAC), 4.40%, 5/01/30	\$31,120	\$ 32,355,464
Series B (AMBAC), AMT, 4.55%, 5/01/30	10,000	10,285,600
Series B-2, 4.00%, 6/01/30	12,895	12,789,777
County of Midland Texas Fresh Water Supply District No. 1, RB, City of		
Midland Project, Series A:	6 225	2 001 404
CAB, 0.00%, 9/15/31 (b)	6,235 15,135	3,001,404
CAB, 0.00%, 9/15/32 (b) 5.00%, 9/15/31	2,435	6,856,760 2,749,943
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB,	2,433	2,749,943
Baylor Health Care System Project, Series A:		
4.00%, 11/15/31	5,500	5,540,315
4.00%, 11/15/32	15,420	15,443,438
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp.,	13,420	13,443,430
Refunding RB, Scott & White Healthcare, 5.00%, 8/15/33	5,000	5,523,750
Love Field Airport Modernization Corp., RB, Southwest Airlines Co. Project,	3,000	3,323,730
AMT, 5.00%, 11/01/28	5,750	6,028,760
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, LCRA Transmission Services:	3,730	0,020,700
4.00%, 5/15/31	9,970	10,123,040
4.00%, 5/15/32	10,635	10,733,799
New Hope Cultural Education Facilities Corp., RB:	10,000	10,700,700
5.00%, 4/01/29	1,290	1,402,217
5.00%, 4/01/29	725	780,803
Stephenville LLC Tarleton State University Project, Series A, 5.38%, 4/01/28	1,150	1,248,992
New Hope Cultural Education Facilities Corp., Refunding RB, 1st Mortgage,	,,,,,,,	1,-10,00-
Morningside Ministries Project, 6.25%, 1/01/33	1,600	1,709,888
Red River Health Facilities Development Corp., RB, Wichita Falls Retirement	,	, ,
Foundation Project:		
4.70%, 1/01/22	955	954,608
5.50%, 1/01/32	1,000	1,008,430
Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition & Supply Corp. III, RB:		
5.00%, 12/15/31	25,000	26,507,000
Natural Gas Utility Improvements, 5.00%, 12/15/30	18,000	19,255,860
		248,928,829
US Virgin Islands 0.7%		
Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Gross Receipts Taxes		
Loan Note, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/32	10,000	10,726,300
Utah 0.8%		
County of Salt Lake Utah Housing Authority, RB, M/F Housing, Liberty Village		
Apartments Project (Freddie Mac), 3.38%, 8/01/28	12,000	12,025,680
Vermont 0.2%		
Vermont EDA, Refunding, MRB, Wake Robin Corp. Project, 5.40%, 5/01/33	2,400	2,453,736
Virginia 4.9%		
County of Fairfax Virginia EDA, RB, Vinson Hall LLC, Series A:	=	500.0 :-
4.00%, 12/01/22	505	509,616

4.50%, 12/01/32	2,840	2,760,338
5.00%, 12/01/32	2,000	2,046,300
County of Fairfax Virginia IDA, Refunding RB, Inova Health System, Series D,		
4.00%, 5/15/29	5,325	5,590,185
County of Hanover Virginia EDA, Refunding RB, Covenant Woods,		
Series A:		
4.50%, 7/01/30	3,000	2,811,510
4.50%, 7/01/32	1,100	1,013,320
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Virginia (concluded)		
County of Prince William Virginia IDA, Refunding RB, Novant Health		
Obligation Group, Series B, 4.00%, 11/01/33	\$15,445	\$ 15,595,743
Dulles Town Center Community Development Authority, Refunding, Special		
Assessment, Dulles Town Center Project, 4.25%, 3/01/26	500	483,970
Virginia HDA, RB, Remarketing:		
M/F Housing, Sub-Series C-2, 3.00%, 4/01/31	23,175	21,525,635
S/F Housing, Sub-Series C-3, 3.25%, 4/01/31	21,500	19,502,435
Virginia Small Business Financing Authority, RB, Senior Lien, Express		
Lanes LLC, AMT, 5.00%, 7/01/34	3,940	4,042,913
		75,881,965
Washington 3.2%		
Greater Wenatchee Regional Events Center Public Facilities District,		
Refunding RB, Series A:		
3.50%, 9/01/18	1,025	1,034,123
5.00%, 9/01/27	1,000	1,002,920
5.25%, 9/01/32	1,850	1,874,216
Port of Seattle Industrial Development Corp., Refunding RB, Special	5 000	5 00 4 550
Facilities, Delta Airline, Inc. Project, AMT, 5.00%, 4/01/30	5,000	5,004,550
Spokane Public Facilities District, Refunding RB, Series B:	F 070	E 010 070
4.50%, 12/01/30	5,370	5,612,670
5.00%, 12/01/32	5,895	6,379,923
5.00%, 9/01/33	4,665	5,034,235
State of Washington, COP, State and Local Agency Real and Personal		
Property, Series B:	2 605	2 764 125
4.00%, 7/01/29	3,605 4,290	3,764,125
4.00%, 7/01/30 4.00%, 7/01/31	4,290 4,470	4,455,165 4,620,147
4.00%, 7/01/31 4.00%, 7/01/32	4,470 4,590	4,721,779
Washington State Housing Finance Commission, Refunding RB:	4,390	4,721,779
Emerald Heights Project, 5.00%, 7/01/28	1,000	1,066,620
Emerald Heights Project, 5.00%, 7/01/33	1,100	1,162,337
Series 1N (Ginnie Mae) (Fannie Mae) (Freddie Mac), 3.50%, 12/01/33	4,035	4,029,230
Series TW (Cilline Mae) (Farine Mae) (Fredule Mac), 5.50%, 12/01/55	4,000	49,762,040
Wisconsin 1.0%		49,702,040
Public Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Wisconsin Airport Facilities, Senior		
Obligated Group, Series B, AMT, 5.25%, 7/01/28	2,250	2,378,903
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:	2,200	2,070,000
Aspirus, Inc., Obligated Group, 5.00%, 8/15/28	3,510	3,906,279
Aspirus, Inc., Obligated Group, 5.00%, 8/15/29	3,685	4,065,034
Marquette University, 4.00%, 10/01/32	4,520	4,621,067
Marquetto Onivoroity, 1.0070, 10/01/02	1,020	1,021,001

Wyoming 1.1% Wyoming Community Development Authority, Refunding RB, Series 2 & 3,		14,971,283
3.75%, 12/01/32 Total Municipal Bonds 134.1%	16,790	16,873,110 2,079,606,449
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (e) Colorado 5.2% City & County of Denver Colorado, Refunding ARB, Department of Aviation, Series A, AMT: 4.25%, 11/15/29 4.25%, 11/15/30 (f) 4.25%, 11/15/31 4.25%, 11/15/32	33,820 35,210 8,085 2,230	34,399,373 35,813,186 8,223,505 2,268,202 80,704,266

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	Par (000)	Value
Florida 6.1%	(000)	3 4.1.0.0
County of Broward Florida, ARB, Series Q-1:		
4.00%, 10/01/29	\$17,200	\$ 17,344,900
4.00%, 10/01/30	18,095	18,247,439
4.00%, 10/01/31	18,820	
4.00%, 10/01/32	19,575	
4.00%, 10/01/33 (f)	20,355	
January 0 00/		94,837,271
lowa 2.9%lowa State Board of Regents, RB, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics:		
4.00%, 9/01/28	3,375	3,519,804
4.00%, 9/01/29	6,525	6,804,955
4.00%, 9/01/30	6,325	6,596,374
4.00%, 9/01/31	8,650	9,021,128
4.00%, 9/01/32	7,750	8,082,514
4.00%, 9/01/33	9,375	9,777,235 43,802,010
Texas 10.2% City of San Antonio Texas Public Facilities Corp., Refunding LRB, Convent Center Refinancing and Expansion Project:	ion	40,002,010
4.00%, 9/15/30	15,000	15,418,623
4.00%, 9/15/31	19,475	
4.00%, 9/15/32	18,075	
4.00%, 9/15/33	11,000	
4.00%, 9/15/34	11,885	
4.00%, 9/15/35 Municipal Bonds Transferred to	4,500 Par	4,625,587
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(000)	Value
Texas (concluded)	(333)	74.45
Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Refunding RB, AMT:		
Series E, 4.00%, 11/01/32	\$ 6,915	\$ 7,238,530
Series E, 4.13%, 11/01/35	10,435	10,923,219
Series F, 5.00%, 11/01/29	12,820	13,419,806
Series F, 5.00%, 11/01/30	15,565	16,293,235
Series F, 5.00%, 11/01/31	10,000	10,467,867
Series F, 5.00%, 11/01/32 (f)	17,170	17,973,328
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts		158,481,827
24.4%		377,825,374
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$2,487,344,304) 158.5%		2,457,431,823
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.03% (g)(h)	5,417,254	5,417,254

Total Short-Term Securities	
(Cost \$5,417,254) 0.4%	5,417,254
Total Investments	
(Cost \$2,492,761,558) 158.9%	2,462,849,077
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.4%	21,697,524
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and	
Fees Payable (11.9%)	(184,170,986)
RVMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (48.4%)	(750,000,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$1,550,375,615

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (b) Zero-coupon bond.
- (c) Represents a step-up bond that pays an initial coupon rate for the first period and then a higher coupon rate for the following periods. Rate shown is as of report date.
- (d) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.
- (e) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Trust received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (f) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Trust to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB trust. In the case of a shortfall, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Trust could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from November 1, 2018 to November 15, 2020 is \$128,606,839.
- (g) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held at July 31,	Net	Shares Held at July 31,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	55,145,313	(49,728,059)	5,417,254	\$2,893
(h) Represents the cu	irrent yield as of rep	ort date.		

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Notional Value	Unrealized Appreciation
(1,280)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$159,500,000	\$27,566
(325) Total	U.S. Treasury Long Bond	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$ 44,657,031	19,939 \$47,505

See Notes to Financial Statements.

36 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Trust has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Trust's policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust's policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

Short-Term Securities \$ 5,417,254 \$ 5,417,254 \$ 2,457,431,823 \$ 2,462,849,000 \$ 1 See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision. Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments Assets:					
Assets: Investments: Long-Term Investments ¹ \$2,457,431,823 \$2,457,431,823 Short-Term Securities \$5,417,254 \$2,457,431,823 \$2,462,849,000 1 See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision. Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:		l evel 1	l aval 2		Total
Investments: Long-Term Investments ¹ \$2,457,431,823 \$2,457,431,823 Short-Term Securities \$5,417,254 \$2,457,431,823 \$2,462,849,000 1 See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision. Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:	Accetor	Level I	Level 2	3	iotai
Long-Term Investments ¹ \$2,457,431,823 \$2,457,431,823 Short-Term Securities \$5,417,254 \$5,417,254 \$2,457,431,823 \$2,462,849,000 \$1. See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision. Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:					
Short-Term Securities \$ 5,417,254 \$ 5,417,254 Total \$ 5,417,254 \$ 2,457,431,823 \$ 2,462,849,000 See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision. Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:			•		
Total \$ 5,417,254 \$ 2,457,431,823 \$ 2,462,849,0 ¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision. Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments² Assets:	•		\$2,457,431,823		\$2,457,431,823
1 See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision. Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:	Short-Term Securities	\$ 5,417,254			5,417,254
Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:	Total	\$ 5,417,254	\$2,457,431,823		\$2,462,849,077
Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:	¹ See above Schedule of Investments	for values in each state or p	political subdivision.		
Level 1 Level 2 3 Total Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:					
Derivative Financial Instruments ² Assets:				Level	
Assets:		Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
	Derivative Financial Instruments ²				
Interest rate contracts \$ 47.505	Assets:				
interestrate contracts	Interest rate contracts	\$ 47,505			\$ 47,505
Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized	Derivative financial instruments are	financial futures contracts.	which are valued at th	e unrealize	ed
appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.					

The Trust may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Total
Assets:					
Cash pledged for financial futures					
contracts	\$ 2,377,002			\$	2,377,002
Liabilities:	. , ,				, ,
TOB trust certificates		\$ (184,119,974)		(184,119,974)
RVMTP Shares		(750,000,000)		•	750,000,000)
Total	\$ 2,377,002	\$ (934,119,974)		•	931,742,972)

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (BNJ)

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds New Jersey 136.5%	Par (000)	Value
Corporate 10.5%		
County of Middlesex New Jersey Improvement Authority, RB, Heldrich Center Hotel, Sub-Series B, 6.25%, 1/01/37 (a)(b) County of Salem New Jersey Pollution Control Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:	\$ 1,790	\$ 91,290
Atlantic City Electric, 4.88%, 6/01/29	2,400	2,551,488
Chambers Project, AMT, 5.00%, 12/01/23	1,275	1,410,698
New Jersey EDA, RB, Continental Airlines, Inc. Project, Series B, AMT, 5.63%,	,	1,110,000
11/15/30	5,160	5,481,417
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, New Jersey American Water Co., Inc. Project, AMT:		
Series A, 5.70%, 10/01/39	1,500	1,643,670
Series B, 5.60%, 11/01/34	1,275	1,401,327 12,579,890
County/City/Special District/School District 15.6%		
City of Margate New Jersey, GO, Refunding, Improvement, 5.00%, 1/15/28 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB, Refunding (AGM): 5.00%, 7/01/35	1,085 175	1,184,842 181,426
5.00%, 7/01/34	1,075	1,117,495
County of Essex New Jersey Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Project Consolidation (NPFGC):	1,070	1,117,100
5.50%, 10/01/28	1,440	1,828,512
5.50%, 10/01/29	2,630	3,344,939
County of Hudson New Jersey Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC):		
5.25%, 1/01/39	2,000	2,140,320
5.38%, 1/01/44	2,400	2,571,432
County of Union New Jersey Improvement Authority, LRB, Guaranteed Lease,		
Family Court Building Project, 5.00%, 5/01/42	890	986,894
County of Union New Jersey Utilities Authority, Refunding RB, Solid Waste System, County Deficiency Agreement, Series A, 5.00%, 6/15/41 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, Special Assessment, Kapkowski Road Landfill	2,185	2,378,001
Project, 6.50%, 4/01/28	2,500	2,923,550 18,657,411

Education 28.5%

New Jersey EDA, RB: