NUVEEN ARIZONA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC Form N-CSR October 07, 2010

**UNITED STATES** 

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM N-CSR

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-7278

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: July 31

Date of reporting period: July 31, 2010

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOL	DERS.		

Nuveen Investments Announces Strategic Combination with FAF Advisors

On July 29, 2010, Nuveen Investments, Inc. announced that U.S. Bancorp will receive a 9.5% stake in Nuveen Investments and cash consideration in exchange for the long-term asset business of U.S. Bancorp's FAF Advisors (FAF). Nuveen Investments is the parent of Nuveen Asset Management (NAM), the investment adviser for the Funds included in this report.

FAF Advisors, which currently manages about \$25 billion of long-term assets and serves as the advisor of the First American Funds, will be combined with NAM, which currently manages about \$75 billion in municipal fixed income assets. Upon completion of the transaction, Nuveen Investments, which currently manages about \$150 billion of assets across several high-quality affiliates, will manage a combined total of about \$175 billion in institutional and retail assets.

This combination will not affect the investment objectives, strategies or policies of the Funds in this report. Over time, Nuveen Investments expects that the combination will provide even more ways to meet the needs of investors who work with financial advisors and consultants by enhancing the multi-boutique model of Nuveen Investments, which also includes highly respected investment teams at NWQ Investment Management, Santa Barbara Asset Management, Symphony Asset Management, Tradewinds Global Investors, Winslow Capital and Nuveen HydePark.

The transaction is expected to close late in 2010, subject to customary condition	The	transaction i	is ex	pected	to	close	late	in	2010.	sub	iect to	o custo	omarv	condition
---	-----	---------------	-------	--------	----	-------	------	----	-------	-----	---------	---------	-------	-----------

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholder,

Recent months have revealed the fragility and disparity of the global economic recovery. In the U.S., the rate of economic growth has slowed as various stimulus programs have started to wind down, exposing weakness in the underlying economy. In contrast, many emerging market countries are experiencing a return to comparatively high rates of growth. Confidence in global financial markets has been undermined by concerns about high sovereign debt levels in Europe and the U.S. Until these countries can begin credible programs to reduce their budgetary deficits, market unease and hesitation will remain. On a more positive note, even though the countries now enjoying the strongest recovery depend on exports to countries with trade deficits, these importing countries have resisted the temptation to damage world trade by erecting trade barriers.

The U.S. economy is subject to unusually high levels of uncertainty as it struggles to recover from a devastating financial crisis. Unemployment remains stubbornly high, due to what appears to be both cyclical and structural forces. Federal Reserve policy makers are considering novel approaches to provide support to the economy, and administration policy makers are debating additional stimulus measures. However, the high levels of debt owed both by U.S. consumers and the U.S. government limit their ability to engineer a stronger economic recovery.

The U.S. financial markets reflect the crosscurrents now impacting the U.S. economy. Today's historically low interest rates reflect the Fed's easy monetary policy and the demand for U.S. government debt by U.S. and overseas investors looking for a safe haven for investment. Despite a continued corporate earnings recovery, equity markets continue to reflect concern about the possibility of a "double dip" recession. Encouragingly, financial institutions are rebuilding their balance sheets and the financial reform legislation enacted this summer has the potential to address many of the most significant contributors to the financial crisis, although many details still have to be worked out.

In this difficult environment, your Nuveen investment team continues to seek sustainable investment opportunities and, at the same time, remains alert for potential risks that may result from a recovery still facing many headwinds. As your representative, the Nuveen Fund Board monitors the activities of each investment team to assure that all maintain their investment disciplines. As always, I encourage you to contact your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund.

On behalf of the other members of your Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Bremner Chairman of the Board September 22, 2010

## Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ) Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFZ) Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NKR) Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NXE) Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX)

Portfolio managers Scott Romans and Daniel Close review economic and municipal market conditions at the national and state levels, key investment strategies, and the twelve-month performance of these five Nuveen Funds. Scott, who has been with Nuveen since 2000, has managed the Arizona Funds since 2003. Dan, who joined Nuveen in 2000, assumed portfolio management responsibility for NTX in 2007.

What factors affected the U.S. economic and municipal market environments during the twelve-month reporting period ended July 31, 2010?

During this period, there continued to be considerable stress on the economy and both the Federal Reserve (Fed) and the federal government took actions intended to improve the overall economic environment. For its part, the Fed continued to hold the benchmark fed funds rate in a target range of zero to 0.25%. At its June 2010 meeting, the central bank renewed its pledge to keep the fed funds rate "exceptionally low" for an "extended period." The federal government focused on implementing a \$787 billion economic stimulus package intended to provide job creation, tax relief, fiscal assistance to state and local governments and expansion of unemployment benefits.

These and other measures taken by the Fed and the government to ease the economic recession helped to produce some signs of improvement. Over the four calendar quarters comprising most of this period, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at annualized rates of 1.6%, 5.0%, 3.7% and 1.6%, respectively. This marked the first time since 2007 that the economy managed to string together four consecutive positive quarters. Housing also provided something of a bright spot, as the S&P/Case-Shiller Home Price Index of average residential prices gained 3.6% for the twelve months ended June 2010 (the most recent data available at the time this report was produced). This moved average home prices across the United States to levels similar to where they were in the autumn of 2003.

Inflation continued to be relatively tame, as the Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 1.2% year-over-year as of July 2010. The labor markets remained weak, with the national unemployment rate at 9.5% as of July 2010. This compares with the 26-year high of 10.1% in October 2009 and a rate of 9.4% in July 2009.

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Municipal market conditions began to show general signs of improvement throughout most of the period, as strong cash flows into municipal bond funds combined with tighter supply of new tax-exempt issuance to create favorable supply and demand conditions. The reduced issuance of tax-exempt municipal debt was due in part to the introduction of the Build America Bond program in 2009. Build America Bonds are a new class of taxable municipal debt, created as part of the February 2009 economic stimulus package. These bonds currently offer municipal issuers a federal subsidy equal to 35% of the bond's interest payments, often times providing issuers with a lower cost alternative to traditional tax-exempt debt. During the twelve months ended July 31, 2010, taxable Build America Bonds issuance totaled \$102.8 billion, accounting for over 24% of new bonds issued in the municipal market.

Over the twelve months ended July 31, 2010, municipal bond issuance nationwide—both tax-exempt and taxable—totaled \$420.8 billion, an increase of 20% compared with the twelve-month period ended July 31, 2009. However, if taxable Build America Bond issuance were removed from the equation, the supply of tax-exempt bonds alone fell more than 4%. Demand for tax-exempt securities generally remained strong during this period, bolstered in part by the prospect of higher tax rates in the future. Combined with the lower supply of tax-exempt bonds, this drove prices on municipal bonds higher.

How were the economic and market environments in Arizona and Texas during this period?

Nuveen Investments 3

Like the national economy, Arizona recently took small steps toward economic improvement. Growth in the education and health services sector continued to provide some stability on the jobs front, and the professional and business services, leisure and hospitality and financial services sectors all saw fewer job losses than they did in the previous twelve months. However, Arizona's overall employment picture remained weak, as the state's unemployment rate for July 2010 reached 9.6%, the highest in 27 years, up from 9.4% in July 2009. The construction sector continued to be the hardest hit, losing more than 50% of its jobs since the recession began in 2007. For fiscal 2011, the \$8.5 billion Arizona state budget closed an estimated \$3 billion budget gap through spending cuts, federal stimulus funding, and a voter-approved one-cent sales tax increase effective through May 2013. In November 2010, Arizona voters will decide two additional proposals that would redirect money from two voter-established funds (land conservation and early childhood programs) to the state's general fund. As of July 31, 2010, Moody's listed Arizona's issuer credit rating at Aa2 with a stable outlook, while Standard & Poor's (S&P) rated the state at AA- with a negative outlook. For the twelve months ended July 31, 2010, municipal issuance (both taxable and tax-free) in Arizona totaled \$5.3 billion, down almost 38% from the previous twelve months.

In Texas, the economic recovery appeared to be proceeding at a somewhat faster pace than in the nation as a whole, as a strong rebound in the state's exports—chiefly, crude energy products, petrochemicals and electronics—provided major support over the period. Although demand from Europe was projected to taper off, the market for these products was expected to remain strong in Asia, Latin America and domestically. In

recent months, the education and health services, government, leisure and hospitality and professional and business services sectors of the Texas market have all posted job gains, although construction and information services continued to suffer losses. While unemployment in Texas rose from 7.9% in July 2009 to 8.2% in July 2010, its highest level since 1987, the state's jobless rate remained below the national average of 9.5%. The strength of the Texas job market was reflected in the stability of the state's housing market, which also benefited from the fact that Texas did not participate in subprime lending to the extent of many other states. As Texas headed into fiscal 2011, the state was facing a shortfall of approximately \$18 billion in its \$182 billion fiscal 2010-2011 biennium budget. As of July 31, 2010, Moody's and S&P rated Texas general obligation (GO) debt at Aaa and AA+, respectively; both rating agencies maintained stable outlooks for the state. For the twelve months ended July 31, 2010, municipal issuance (both taxable and tax-free) in Texas totaled \$34.1 billion, an increase of 12% over the previous twelve months. Texas continued to rank as the third largest state issuer behind California and New York.

What key strategies were used to manage the Arizona and Texas Funds during this reporting period?

As previously mentioned, the supply of tax-exempt bonds nationally tightened during this period, due in part to the introduction of taxable Build America Bonds in April 2009. This program also impacted the availability of tax-exempt bonds in both Texas and Arizona, which ranked 6th and 19th, respectively, among the 50 states by dollar amount of bonds issued under the Build America Bond program during the first half of 2010. For the twelve months ended July 2010, Build America Bonds accounted for approximately 23% of municipal supply in Texas and 24% in Arizona, where overall issuance was already down significantly. Since interest payments from Build America Bonds represent taxable income, we do not view these bonds as good investment opportunities for these Funds.

Despite the constrained issuance of tax-exempt municipal bonds, we continued to find attractive value opportunities, taking a bottom-up approach to discovering undervalued sectors and individual credits with the potential to perform well over the long term. In the Arizona Funds, we found value in several areas of the market, including health care, utilities and community facilities district (CFD) bonds associated with land development projects, also known as "dirt deals," which we purchased in both the primary and secondary markets. In general, we focused on bonds at the lower end of the quality spectrum, which we believed offered value relative to historical credit spreads, particularly during the earlier part of the period. We also purchased higher quality bonds when necessary to keep the Funds fully invested.

In NTX, our purchases during the second half of this period included single A rated health care and public power bonds, an insured higher education issue (with a single-A underlying rating), and a BBB rated, zero coupon toll road credit. Earlier in the period, NTX also purchased several GO credits and toll road, electric utility and tax incremental financing (TIF) district bonds.

4	Nuveen
In	vestments

Some of this investment activity resulted from opportunities created by the provisions of the Build America Bond program. For example, tax-exempt supply was more plentiful in the health care and higher education sectors because, as 501(c)(3) (nonprofit) organizations, hospitals and private universities generally do not qualify for the Build America Bond program and must continue to issue bonds in the tax-exempt municipal market. Bonds with proceeds earmarked for refundings, working capital and private activities also are not covered by the Build America Bond program and this resulted in attractive opportunities in various other sectors of the market.

The impact of the Build America Bond program was also evident in the area of longer-term issuance, as municipal issuers sought to take full advantage of the attractive financing terms offered by these bonds. Approximately 70% of Build America Bonds were issued with maturities of at least 30 years. Even though this significantly reduced the availability of tax-exempt credits with longer maturities, we continued to find good opportunities to purchase attractive longer-term bonds for these Funds. For example, nearly all of the bonds purchased in NTX during the second half of the period offered longer maturities. These bonds helped us to maintain NTX's duration and also rewarded investors as the yield curve remained relatively steep.

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated primarily by the proceeds from called and maturing bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested. In NTX, we also sold a couple of pre-refunded bonds with very short maturities. On the whole, however, selling was relatively limited during this period, as the bonds in our portfolios generally offered higher yields than those available in the current marketplace.

As of July 31, 2010, all five of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters as a form of leverage for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

1 An inverse floating rate security, also known as an inverse floater, is a financial instru- ment designed to pay long-term tax-exempt interest at a rate that varies inversely with a short-term tax-exempt interest rate index. For the Nuveen Funds, the index typically used is the Securities Industry and Financial Markets (SIFM) Municipal Swap Index (previously referred to as the Bond Market Association Index or BMA). Inverse floaters, including those inverse floating rate securi- ties in which the Funds invested during this reporting period, are further defined within the Notes to Financial Statements and Glossary of Terms Used in this Report sections of this report.

N	uveen	Investments	5
---	-------	-------------	---

## How did the Funds perform?

Individual results for the Nuveen Arizona and Texas Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

Average Annual Total Returns on Common Share Net Asset Value For periods ended 7/31/10

Arizona Funds	1-Yea	ar	5-Yea	ar	10-Yea	ar
NAZ	13.94	%	4.11	%	5.44	%
NFZ	17.93	%	3.71	%	5.65	%
NKR	14.75	%	4.36	%	6.04	%
NXE	16.66	%	4.42	%	5.10	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) Arizona Municipal Bond Index2	9.47	%	4.74	%	5.51	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index3	9.88	%	4.51	%	5.58	%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average4	14.58	%	4.31	%	6.27	%
Texas Fund						
NTX	14.71	%	4.89	%	6.50	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) Texas Municipal Bond Index2	10.20	%	4.89	%	5.81	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index3	9.88	%	4.51	%	5.58	%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average4	14.58	%	4.31	%	6.27	%

For the twelve months ended July 31, 2010, the total returns on common share net asset value (NAV) for all of the Arizona and Texas Funds exceeded the returns for their respective state's Standard & Poor's (S&P) Municipal Bond Index as well as that of the S&P National Municipal Bond Index. For the same period, NFZ, NKR, NXE and NTX outperformed the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average, while NAZ lagged this Lipper average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. In addition, the use of structural leverage was an important positive factor during this period. The impact of structural leverage is discussed in more detail on page eight.

During this period, bonds with longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities, with bonds at the longest end of the yield curve posting the strongest returns. The outperformance of longer term bonds was due in part to the decline in interest rates, particularly at the longer end of the curve. The scarcity of tax-exempt bonds with longer maturities also drove up the prices of these bonds. Overall, yield curve positioning and duration proved positive for the performance of NAZ, NXE and NTX, with NFZ having the longest duration among these Funds. All four of these Funds were underweighted in the shortest part of the yield curve that produced the weakest returns. However, yield curve positioning and duration were a net negative for NKR, which had the shortest duration among these Funds. In particular, the performance of this Fund was restrained by its overweighting in the underperforming short intermediate part of the curve.

Credit exposure also played an important role in performance in these funds. The demand for municipal bonds increased during this period driven by a variety of factors,

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

- 2 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) Municipal Bond Indexes for Arizona and Texas are unleveraged, market value-weighted indexes designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade Arizona and Texas municipal bond markets, respectively. These indexes do not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and are not available for direct investment.
- 3 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade U.S. municipal bond market. These indexes do not reflect any or ongoing expenses and are not available for direct investment.
- 4 The Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 46 funds; 5-year, 46 funds; and 10-year, 20 funds. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of dividends, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges. The Lipper average is not available for direct investment.

including concerns about potential tax increases, the need to rebalance portfolio allocations and a growing appetite for additional risk. At the same time, the supply of new tax-exempt municipal paper declined, due largely to the Build America Bond program. As investors bid up municipal bond prices, bonds rated BBB or below and non-rated bonds generally outperformed those rated AAA. In this environment, the performance of all of these Funds benefited from their overexposure to lower quality credits. Because NAZ cannot invest in sub-investment grade securities (those rated BB and lower by at least one Nationally Recognized Statical Rating Organization (NRSRO) or those non-rated), which performed well, the contribution from credit exposure was smaller in this Fund.

Holdings that generally contributed to the Funds' returns during this period included industrial development revenue (IDR) and health care bonds, both of which exceeded the overall municipal market return by substantial margins. NTX, in particular, benefited from its overweight in IDR credits. Revenue bonds as a whole performed well, with transportation, special tax and leasing among the other sectors that outperformed the general municipal market. Zero coupon bonds also were among the strongest performers.

In contrast, pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities, performed relatively poorly during this period. The underperformance of these bonds can be attributed primarily to their shorter effective maturities and higher credit quality. As of July 31, 2010, NKR, NXE and NTX held the heaviest weightings of pre-refunded bonds, while NFZ had the smallest holding of these bonds. Among the revenue sectors, resource recovery trailed the overall municipal market by the widest margin and electric utilities and water and sewer also turned in weaker performances. In addition, many general obligation and other tax-supported bonds failed to keep pace with the market for the twelve months.

One sector that generally performed in line with the market but that outperformed dramatically for the Arizona Funds was the "other revenue" sector. In Arizona, the other revenue sector is focused largely on CFD or land development bonds, also known as "dirt deals." This area of the market had been hit hard in the states most affected by the housing crisis, including California, Florida and Arizona. In California, which is a relatively high wealth state with higher taxes and a more developed market for this type of credit, we saw dirt deal bonds begin to rally, while the Arizona market for these bonds continued to lag. This occurred despite the fact that, because of Arizona's smaller, less developed market for dirt deals, Arizona-issued bonds of this type generally have stronger fundamental credit quality and security features. During this twelve-month reporting period, however, as investors sought higher yields, these Arizona bonds became very attractive to buyers and performed exceptionally well. The performances of NFZ, NKR and NXE were boosted by their significant exposure to Arizona dirt deals, especially to non-rated CFD bonds that were judged to be in the sub-investment grade credit quality category by Nuveen Asset Management. In NKR, as mentioned previously, this contribution was offset to some degree by the Fund's shorter duration. Also as noted above, NAZ's participation in the Arizona dirt deal rally was limited by its inability to invest in sub-investment grade bonds.

# IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of these Funds relative to the comparative indexes was the Funds' use of financial leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can

expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share returns during periods when the prices of securities held by a Fund generally are rising.

Leverage made a positive contribution to the performance of all these Funds over this reporting period.

#### RECENT DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING THE FUNDS' LEVERAGED CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Shortly after their inceptions, each of the Funds issued auction rate preferred shares (ARPS) to create financial leverage. As noted in past shareholder reports, the ARPS issued by many closed-end funds, including these Funds, have been hampered by a lack of liquidity since February 2008. Since that time, more ARPS have been submitted for sale in each of their regularly scheduled auctions than there have been offers to buy. In fact, offers to buy have been almost completely non-existent since late February 2008. This means that these auctions have "failed to clear," and that many, or all, of the ARPS shareholders who wanted to sell their shares in these auctions were unable to do so. This lack of liquidity in ARPS did not lower the credit quality of these shares, and ARPS shareholders unable to sell their shares continued to receive distributions at the "maximum rate" applicable to failed auctions, as calculated in accordance with the pre-established terms of the ARPS. In the recent market, with short-term rates at multi-generational lows, those maximum rates also have been low.

One continuing implication for common shareholders from the auction failures is that each Fund's cost of leverage likely has been incrementally higher at times than it otherwise might have been had the auctions continued to be successful. As a result, each Fund's common share earnings likely have been incrementally lower at times than they otherwise might have been.

As noted in past shareholder reports, the Nuveen funds' Board of Directors/Trustees authorized several methods to refinance a portion of the Nuveen funds' outstanding ARPS. Some funds have utilized tender option bonds (TOBs), also known as inverse floating rate securities, for leverage purposes. The amount of TOBs that a fund may use varies according to the composition of each fund's portfolio. Some funds have a greater ability to use TOBs than others. Some funds have issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares, a floating rate form of preferred stock. Some funds have issued MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, a fixed rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory redemption period of five years.

While all these efforts have reduced the total amount of outstanding ARPS issued by the Nuveen funds, the funds cannot provide any assurance on when the remaining outstanding ARPS might be redeemed.

In April and May 2010, 30 Nuveen leveraged closed-end funds, including NXE, received a demand letter from a law firm on behalf of purported holders of common shares of each such fund, alleging that Nuveen and the funds' officers and Board of Directors/ Trustees breached their fiduciary duties related to the redemption at par of the funds' ARPS. In response, the Board established an ad hoc Demand Committee consisting of certain of its disinterested and independent Board members to investigate the claims. The Demand Committee retained independent counsel to assist it in conducting an extensive investigation. Based upon its investigation, the Demand Committee found

that it was not in the best interests of each fund or its shareholders to take the actions suggested in the demand letters, and recommended that the full Board reject the demands made in the demand letters. After reviewing the findings and recommendation of the Demand Committee, the full Board of each fund unanimously adopted the Demand Committee's recommendation.

Subsequently, twenty of the funds that received demand letters (not including NXE) were named as nominal defendants in a putative shareholder derivative action complaint captioned Safier and Smith v. Nuveen Asset Management, et al. that was filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division (the "Cook County Chancery Court") on July 27, 2010. Three additional funds (not including NXE) were named as nominal defendants in a similar complaint captioned Curbow v. Nuveen Asset Management, et al. filed in the Cook County Chancery Court on August 12, 2010, and three additional funds (including NXE) were named as nominal defendants in a similar complaint captioned Beidler v. Nuveen Asset Management, et al. filed in the Cook County Chancery Court on September 21, 2010 (collectively, the "Complaints"). The Complaints, filed on behalf of purported holders of each fund's common shares, also name Nuveen Asset Management as a defendant, together with current and former Officers and Trustees of each of the funds (together with the nominal defendants, collectively, the "Defendants"). The Complaints contain the same basic allegations contained in the demand letters. The suits seek a declaration that the Defendants have breached their fiduciary duties, an order directing the Defendants not to redeem any ARPS at their liquidation value using fund assets, indeterminate monetary damages in favor of the funds and an award of plaintiffs' costs and disbursements in pursuing the action. Nuveen Asset Management believes that the Complaints are without merit, and intends to defend vigorously against these charges.

As of July 31, 2010, the amounts of ARPS redeemed by the Funds are as shown in the accompanying table.

	Auction Rate			nal
	Pre	Auction Rate		
Fund		Redeemed	Preferred Share	
NAZ	\$	2,125,000	7.1	%
NFZ	\$	1,400,000	11.7	%
NKR	\$	1,875,000	10.1	%
NXE	\$	3,600,000	16.4	%
NTX	\$	3,950,000	5.7	%

During the twelve-month reporting period, NFZ, NKR and NXE filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) a registration statement seeking to register MTP. These registration statements, declared effective by the SEC, enable the Funds to issue to the public shares of MTP to refinance all or a portion of their ARPS. The issuance of MTP by NFZ, NKR and NXE is subject to market conditions. There is no assurance that these MTP shares will be issued.

As of July 31, 2010, 83 out of the 84 Nuveen closed-end municipal funds that had issued ARPS have redeemed, at par, all or a portion of these shares. These redemptions bring the total amount of Nuveen's municipal closed-end funds' ARPS redemptions to approximately \$4.4 billion of the approximately \$11 billion outstanding.

For up-to-date information, please visit the Nuveen CEF Auction Rate Preferred Resource Center at: http://www.nuveen.com/arps.

Common Share Dividend and Share Price Information

During the twelve-month reporting period ended July 31, 2010, NFZ and NKR each had four monthly dividend increases and NAZ, NXE and NTX each had three monthly dividend increases.

Due to normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of NTX received a long-term capital gains distribution of \$0.0118 per share and a short-term capital gains distribution of \$0.0002 per share at the end of December 2009.

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of July 31, 2010, all five of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for both tax and financial reporting purposes.

## COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES AND SHARE PRICE INFORMATION

As of July 31, 2010, and since the inception of the Funds' repurchase program, NFZ, NKR and NXE have cumulatively repurchased their outstanding common shares as shown in the accompanying table. Since the inception of the Funds' repurchase program, NAZ and NTX have not repurchased any of their outstanding common shares.

	Common	•	% of	
	Shares	Outstanding		
		Com	mon	
Fund	Repurchased	Sh	nares	
NFZ	2,500	0.2	%	
NKR	800	0.0	%	
NXE	1,600	0.1	%	

During the twelve-month reporting period, NFZ, NKR and NXE did not repurchase any of their outstanding common shares.

As of July 31, 2010, the Funds' common share prices were trading at (+) premiums or (-) discounts to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

			Twelve-M	Ionth
		7/31/10	Ave	erage
Fund	(+)Premium/(-)D	Discount(+)Pro	emium/(-)Disc	count
NAZ	-4.65	%	-4.09	%
NFZ	-0.07	%	-6.83	%
NKR	-4.98	%	-6.68	%
NXE	-6.94	%	-7.43	%
NTX	+12.72	%	+6.50	%

NAZ Nuveen Arizona
Performance Premium Income
OVERVIEW Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of July 31, 2010

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price	\$13.34	
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$13.99	
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-4.65	%
Market Yield	5.62	%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	8.18	%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$62,549	
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)	17.83	
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	7.86	

# Average Annual Total Return (Inception 11/19/92)

	On Sha	re		
	Pric	ce	On NAV	
1-Year	14.47	%	13.94	%
5-Year	2.37	%	4.11	%
10-Year	4.48	%	5.44	%
Portfolio Composition				
(as a % of total investments)				
Tax Obligation/Limited			23.9	%
Utilities			16.8	%
Health Care			15.5	%
Water and Sewer			14.7	%
Education and Civic Organizations			9.6	%
U.S. Guaranteed			7.7	%
Tax Obligation/General			7.7	%
Other			4.1	%

[Missing Graphic Reference]

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance

Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield

of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.3%. When comparing

this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes

bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB

ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are

not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

NFZ Nuveen Arizona
Performance Dividend Advantage
OVERVIEW Municipal Fund
as of July 31, 2010

Tulid Shapshot				
Common Share Price			\$14.19	
Common Share				
Net Asset Value			\$14.20	
Premium/(Discount) to NAV			-0.07	%
Market Yield			5.45	%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1			7.93	%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)			\$21,984	
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)			15.57	
Leverage-Adjusted Duration			8.74	
Average Annual Total Return				
(Inception 1/30/01)				
	On Sha	re		
	Pri	ce	On NA	V
1-Year	23.34	%	17.93	%
5-Year	2.88	%	3.71	%
Since				
Inception	5.08	%	5.65	%
Portfolio Composition				
(as a % of total investments)				
Tax Obligation/Limited			32.2	%
Utilities			21.4	%
Health Care			12.4	%
Water and Sewer			9.5	%
Tax Obligation/General			8.0	%
Education and Civic Organizations			7.2	%
U.S. Guaranteed			4.8	%
Other			4.5	%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance

Overview page.

**Fund Snapshot** 

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield

of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.3%. When comparing

this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes

bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and RRR

ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are

not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

NKR Nuveen Arizona
Performance Dividend Advantage
OVERVIEW Municipal Fund 2

as of July 31, 2010

Fund Snapshot			
Common Share Price		\$13.92	
Common Share			
Net Asset Value		\$14.65	
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-4.98	%
Market Yield		5.78	%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1		8.41	%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)		\$35,733	
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)		14.18	
Leverage-Adjusted Duration		6.49	
Average Annual Total Return			
(Inception 3/25/02)	<b>G1</b>		
On	Share	On NA	<b>T</b> 7
1.77	Price		
1-Year 17.6		14.75	%
5-Year 2.67		4.36	%
Since Inception 4.94	- %	6.04	%
Portfolio Composition			
(as a % of total investments)			
Tax Obligation/Limited		31.5	%
Health Care		16.9	%
Tax Obligation/General		13.9	%
U.S. Guaranteed		12.0	%
Water and Sewer		8.7	%
Education and Civic Organizations		6.0	%
$\boldsymbol{\omega}$			
Utilities		4.2	%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance

Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield

of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.3%. When comparing

this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes

bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB

ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are

not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

NXE Nuveen Arizona
Performance Dividend Advantage
OVERVIEW Municipal Fund 3
as of July 31, 2010

Fund Snapsnot				
Common Share Price			\$13.14	
Common Share				
Net Asset Value			\$14.12	
Premium/(Discount) to NAV			-6.94	%
Market Yield			5.75	%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1			8.37	%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)			\$43,280	
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)			16.01	
Leverage-Adjusted Duration			7.20	
Average Annual Total Return				
(Inception 9/25/02)				
	On Shar			
	Pric	e	On NA	V
1-Year	18.58	%	16.66	%
5-Year	3.43	%	4.42	%
Since				
Inception	3.78	%	5.10	%
Portfolio Composition				
(as a % of total investments)				
Tax Obligation/Limited			22.7	%
Health Care			20.9	%
Education and Civic Organizations			11.9	%
U.S. Guaranteed			10.2	%
Water and Sewer			10.0	%
Transportation			9.7	%
Utilities			9.6	%
Other			5.0	%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance

Overview page.

Fund Snanshot

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield

of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.3%. When comparing

this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes

bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and RRR

ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are

not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

NTX Nuveen Texas
Performance Quality Income
OVERVIEW Municipal Fund

as of July 31, 2010

Fund Snapshot	
Common Share Price	\$16.92
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$15.01
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	12.72 %
Market Yield	5.07 %
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	7.04 %
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$143,080
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)	15.92
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	6.98

# Average Annual Total Return (Inception 10/17/91)

	On Share				
	Pri	ice	On NA	٩V	
1-Year	20.92	%	14.71	%	
5-Year	6.65	%	4.89	%	
10-Year	9.03	%	6.50	%	
Portfolio Composition					
(as a % of total investments)					
Tax Obligation/General			30.9	%	
Education and Civic Organizations			10.9	%	
U.S. Guaranteed			10.4	%	
Health Care			8.8	%	
Utilities			8.7	%	
Water and Sewer			7.9	%	
Transportation			7.0	%	
Tax Obligation/Limited			6.3	%	
Other			9.1	%	

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance

Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield

of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to invest-

ments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes

bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB

ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are

not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2009 of \$0.0120 per share.

NAZ NFZ	Shareholder Meeting Report						
NΓZ	The annual meet	The annual meeting of shareholders was held in the offices of Nuveen Investments on					
NKR	November 30,	8					
	2009; at this mee	eting the share	holders were a	sked to vote or	n the election of	of Board	
	Members, the						
	elimination of Fu	undamental In	vestment Polic	ies and the app	proval of new	Fundamental	
	Investment		1 1	.1 1:	1. T	12 2010	
	Policies. The me	eting for all fu	inds was subse	quentiy adjour	ned to January	y 12, 2010;	
the meeting for NAZ and NKR was additionally adjourned to March 23, 2010. The meeting for NKR							
	was additionally		iy adjourned to	) Watch 25, 20	10. The meeti	ilg for NKK	
	adjourned to Ma						
	2010.	ion 50,					
	NAZ		NFZ		NKR		
	Common		Common		Common		
	and	<b>.</b>	and	<b>5</b>	and	5 0 1	
	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	
	shares	shares	shares	shares	shares	shares	
	voting	voting	voting	voting	voting	voting	
	together as a class	together as a class	together as a class	together as a class	together as a class	together as a class	
To approve the elimination of		as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class	
fundamental	and I and 5						
policy relating to investments	in						
municipal							
securities and below investme	ent grade						
securities.							
For	2,280,789	873	771,037	256	1,200,028	319	
Against	233,433	139	47,643	7	75,228	91	
Abstain	93,611	17	38,273		55,084	7	
Broker Non-Votes	566,661		196,335		379,788	117	
Total	3,174,494	1,029	1,053,288	263	1,710,128	534	
To approve the new fundament	ntai policy						
relating to investments in municipal so	ecurities for the						
Fund.	ceurities for the						
For	2,390,577	873	782,112	256	1,206,462	319	
Against	140,959	139	42,868	7	72,814	91	
Abstain	76,297	17	31,973		51,064	7	
Broker Non-Votes	566,661		196,335		379,788	117	
Total	3,174,494	1,029	1,053,288	263	1,710,128	534	
To approve the elimination of	the						
fundamental							
policy prohibiting investment	in						
- 4 <b>1</b>							

other

investment companies.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN ARIZONA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-CSR

For	2,381,154	873			<del></del>	_
Against	141,502	139	_	_		_
Abstain	85,177	17				
Broker Non-Votes	566,661					_
Total	3,174,494	1,029				_
To approve the elimination of the						
fundamental						
policy relating to derivatives and						
short sales.						
For	2,267,770	873			_	
Against	257,385	139	_	_		_
Abstain	82,678	17			_	
Broker Non-Votes	566,661				_	
Total	3,174,494	1,029	_	_		_
To approve the elimination of the						
fundamental						
policy relating to commodities.						
For	2,259,516	873	_	_		_
Against	267,358	139				
Abstain	80,959	17				
Broker Non-Votes	566,661					
Total	3,174,494	1,029				
To approve the new fundamental j	oolicy					
relating	. •					
to commodities.						
For	2,260,959	873				
Against	260,594	139				
Abstain	86,280	17				
Broker Non-Votes	566,661					
Total	3,174,494	1,029				

NAZ Shareholder Meeting Report (continued)
NFZ
NKR

	NAZ		NFZ		NKR	
	Common		Common		Common	
	and		and		and	
	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
	shares	shares	shares	shares	shares	shares
	voting	voting	voting	voting	voting	voting
	together	together	together	together	together	together
	as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class
Approval of the Board Members	was reached					
as follows:						
John P. Amboian						
For	2,929,434					
Withhold	244,566					
Total	3,174,000					
Robert P. Bremner						
For	2,918,583		1,004,873		1,604,900	
Withhold	255,417		48,415		105,101	
Total	3,174,000	_	1,053,288	_	1,710,001	
Jack B. Evans						
For	2,917,233	_	1,004,873	_	1,604,900	
Withhold	256,767		48,415		105,101	
Total	3,174,000		1,053,288		1,710,001	
William C. Hunter						
For		362		219		216
Withhold		173		44		190
Total		535		263		406
David J. Kundert						
For	2,929,084					
Withhold	244,916					
Total	3,174,000					
William J. Schneider						
For	_	362		219		216
Withhold	_	173	_	44	_	190
Total	_	535		263		406
Judith M. Stockdale						
For	2,926,384	_	_	_	_	
Withhold	247,616					_
Total	3,174,000					_
Carole E. Stone						
For	2,928,084					_
Withhold	245,916					_
Total	3,174,000	_	_	_	_	<del></del>
Terence J. Toth						
For	2,927,384	_	_	_	_	_
Withhold	246,616	_	_	_	_	<del></del>

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN A	ARIZONA PRI	EMIUM INCC	ME MUNICI	PAL FUND II	NC - Form N-	CSR
Total	3,174,000	_	_	_	_	
18 Nuveen Investments						

# NXE NTX

	NXE Common		NTX Common	
	and		and	
	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
	shares	shares	shares	shares
	voting	voting	voting	voting
	together	together	together	together
	as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class
To approve the elimination of the Fund's fundamental	as a class	us a class	as a class	us a class
policy relating to investments in municipal				
securities and below investment grade securities.				
For	1,604,588	547	4,575,435	1,768
Against	73,702	24	377,271	28
Abstain	39,454	_	129,374	2
Broker Non-Votes	469,959		1,499,903	<u>~</u>
Total	2,187,703	571	6,581,983	1,798
To approve the new fundamental policy relating	2,107,703	371	0,301,703	1,770
to investments in municipal securities for the Fund.				
For	1,609,489	547	4,613,150	1,735
Against	63,670	24	336,083	61
Abstain	44,585		132,847	2
Broker Non-Votes	469,959	<u></u>	1,499,903	<i></i>
Total	2,187,703	571	6,581,983	1,798
To approve the elimination of the fundamental	2,107,703	371	0,361,763	1,770
policy prohibiting investment in other				
investment companies.				
For			4,544,203	1,735
Against	_	<u></u>	395,405	63
Abstain			142,472	<del></del>
Broker Non-Votes	_		1,499,903	<u> </u>
Total			6,581,983	1,798
To approve the elimination of the fundamental			0,361,763	1,770
policy relating to derivatives and short sales.				
For			4,548,122	1,737
Against			392,713	61
Abstain			141,245	<del></del>
Broker Non-Votes			1,499,903	
Total			6,581,983	1,798
To approve the elimination of the fundamental			0,501,705	1,700
policy relating to commodities.				
For			4,554,664	1,735
Against			391,793	63
Abstain			135,623	<del></del>
Broker Non-Votes			1,499,903	
Total	<u> </u>		6,581,983	 1,798
To approve the new fundamental policy relating			0,501,705	1,770
to approve the new fundamental policy relating				

to commodities.				
For	_	_	4,492,126	1,735
Against		_	457,240	61
Abstain		_	132,714	2
Broker Non-Votes		_	1,499,903	
Total	_	_	6,581,983	1,798

# Shareholder Meeting Report (continued)

NXE NTX

	NXE Common		NTX Common	
	and Preferred shares	Preferred shares	and Preferred shares	Preferred shares
	voting	voting	voting	voting
	together	together	together	together
	as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class
Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows: John P. Amboian				
For		_		
Withhold		_		
Total		_		
Robert P. Bremner				
For	2,113,145	_	6,340,269	
Withhold	74,558		241,714	_
Total	2,187,703		6,581,983	_
Jack B. Evans				
For	2,115,870		6,346,942	_
Withhold	71,833		235,041	
Total	2,187,703		6,581,983	_
William C. Hunter				
For		476		1,569
Withhold		95		229
Total		571		1,798
David J. Kundert				
For				
Withhold				
Total	_	_	_	_
William J. Schneider				
For	_	476	_	1,569
Withhold	_	95	_	229
Total	_	571	_	1,798
Judith M. Stockdale				
For	_	_	_	_
Withhold	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_
Carole E. Stone				
For				
Withhold	_	_	_	_
Total				
Terence J. Toth				
For				

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN ARIZONA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-CSR							
Withhold			_	_	_	_	
Total							
20 Nuveen							
Investments							

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors/Trustees and Shareholders Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (the "Funds"), as of July 31, 2010, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of July 31, 2010, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund at July 31, 2010, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Chicago, Illinois September 27, 2010

### Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

#### NAZ Portfolio of Investments

July 31, 2010

<b>5</b>		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions	2 (2)	<b>3</b> 7 1
(000)	Description (1)	(2) I	Ratings (3)	Value
	Consumer Staples – 1.2% (0.8% of Total Investments)	5/10		ф
<b>.</b>	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement	5/12 at		\$
\$ 765	Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33	100.00	BBB	730,613
	Education and Civic Organizations – 13.5% (9.6% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Higher Education Loan Authority, Student Loan Revenue	9/10 at		
2.500	Bonds, Series 2007B, Auction	100.00	A	2,055,600
2,000	Rate Securities, 0.585%, 11/01/41 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (4)	100.00		_,022,000
	Arizona State University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2002,	7/12 at		
1 000	5.000%, 7/01/25 – FGIC Insured	100.00	ΔΔ	1,056,590
1,000	Arizona State University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005:	100.00	7111	1,050,570
	Thizona State Oniversity, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005.	7/15 at		
1 /155	5.000%, 7/01/20 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	Aa3	1,527,634
1,433	5.000 %, 1/01/20 – AIVIDAC HISUICU	7/15 at	Aas	1,327,034
750	5.000%, 7/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	Aa3	782,490
750	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	5/20 at	Aas	782,490
1 600		100.00	٨	1 607 924
1,000	Midwestern University,	100.00	A-	1,607,824
	Series 2010, 5.125%, 5/15/40	7/12		
1.500	Tempe Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Lease Revenue	7/13 at	NI/D	1 410 200
1,300	Bonds, Arizona State University	100.00	N/K	1,410,300
0.005	Foundation Project, Series 2003, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – AMBAC Insured			0 440 420
8,805	Total Education and Civic Organizations			8,440,438
	Health Care – 21.8% (15.5% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner	1/17 at		
1,430	Health Systems, Series	100.00	A+	1,456,655
	2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner	1/17 at		
885	Health Systems, Series	100.00	A+	573,834
	2007B, 1.167%, 1/01/37			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner	1/18 at		
3,470	Health Systems, Series	100.00	A+	3,552,551
	2008D, 5.500%, 1/01/38			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	12/15 at		
675	John C. Lincoln Health	100.00	BBB	619,488
	Network, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/37			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	12/17 at		
1,110	John C. Lincoln Health	100.00	BBB	1,001,775
	Network, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/42			
2,150			A	2,227,379

	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue Bonds,	7/14 at 100.00		
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004A, 5.375%, 7/01/23	7.47		
2 000	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Health	7/17 at		2.042.600
2,800	•	100.00	A	2,843,680
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 7/01/32	11/10		
-1-	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and	11/10 at		506050
515	Environmental Control Facilities	101.00	Aa1	526,953
	Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Hospital de la			
	Concepcion, Series 2000A,			
	6.375%, 11/15/15			
	Winslow Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital	12/10 at		
950	Revenue Bonds, Winslow Memorial	100.00	N/R	852,017
	Hospital, Series 1998, 5.500%, 6/01/22			
13,985	Total Health Care			13,654,332
	Housing/Single Family – 4.6% (3.3% of Total Investments)			
	Phoenix and Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	7/17 at		
795	Single Family Mortgage	103.00	Aaa	822,332
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007-4, 5.800%, 12/01/39 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
	Tucson and Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	6/17 at		
2,010	Joint Single Family Mortgage	101.00	Aaa	2,052,130
,	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B, 5.350%, 6/01/47 (Alternative			, ,
	Minimum Tax)			
2.805	Total Housing/Single Family			2,874,462
_,005	100011000000,0000001000000			_,57.,152

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount	<b>-</b>	Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General – 10.8% (7.7% of Total Investments)			
\$	Gila County Unified School District 10 Payson, Arizona, School	7/18 at		\$
1,265	Improvement Bonds, Project	100.00	Aa3	1,390,083
	2006, Series 2008B, 5.750%, 7/01/28			
	Maricopa County Unified School District 95 Queen Creek, Arizona,	7/18 at		
1,200	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	Aa3	1,257,060
	Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – AGM Insured			
	Pinal County Unified School District 1, Florence, Arizona, General	7/18 at		
3,530	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	A	3,761,497
	2008C, 5.250%, 7/01/28			
	Puerto Rico, General Obligation and Public Improvement Bonds,	7/11 at		
	Series 2001A, 5.375%, 7/01/28	100.00	A3	331,142
6,325	Total Tax Obligation/General			6,739,782
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 33.7% (23.9% of Total Investments)			
	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear,	7/12 at		
355	Arizona, Special Assessment	100.00	N/R	362,384
	Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875%, 7/01/25			
	Glendale Western Loop 101 Public Facilities Corporation, Arizona,	1/14 at		
3,000	Third Lien Excise Tax	100.00	AA	3,153,870
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B, 6.250%, 7/01/38			
	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue	8/16 at		
1,280	Bonds, Series 2006-1, 5.000%,	100.00	AA-	1,347,149
	8/01/22 – NPFG Insured			
	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue	8/16 at		
740	Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%,	100.00	AA-	786,161
	8/01/23 – NPFG Insured			
	Marana Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	7/13 at		
575	Series 2003, 5.000%, 7/01/28 –	100.00	AA	590,997
	AMBAC Insured			
	Marana, Arizona, Tangerine Farms Road Improvement District	7/16 at		
1,511	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006,	100.00	A2	1,441,811
	4.600%, 1/01/26			
	Maricopa County Stadium District, Arizona, Revenue Refunding	6/12 at		
3,400	Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%,	100.00	N/R	3,595,840
	6/01/18 – AMBAC Insured			
	Mesa, Arizona, Street and Highway User Tax Revenue Bonds, Series	7/15 at		
3,400	2005, 5.000%, 7/01/24 –	100.00	AAA	3,631,710
	AGM Insured			
	Pinetop Fire District of Navajo County, Arizona, Certificates of	6/16 at		
1,140	Participation, Series 2008,	102.00	A3	1,156,564
	7.750%, 6/15/29			
	Prescott Valley Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Municipal	1/13 at		
1,200	Facilities Revenue Bonds,	100.00	AA-	1,225,428
	Series 2003, 5.000%, 1/01/27 – FGIC Insured			

	Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Guaranteed Government	7/12 at		
265	Facilities Revenue Refunding	100.00	A3	265,779
	Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.125%, 7/01/24			
	San Luis Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Municipal	7/15 at		
1,610	Facilities Excise Tax Revenue	100.00	A+	1,657,286
	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured			
	Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax	No Opt.		
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series	Call	AAA	1,178,670
	2006, 5.000%, 7/01/24			
	Vistancia Community Facilities District, Arizona, Restricted General	7/15 at		
645	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	A1	683,100
	2005, 5.750%, 7/15/24			
20,121	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			21,076,749
	U.S. Guaranteed – 10.8% (7.7% of Total Investments) (5)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds,	12/10 at		
1,000	John C. Lincoln Health	102.00	BBB (5)	1,041,490
	Network, Series 2000, 7.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/10)			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	5/11 at		
1,250	Midwestern University,	101.00	AAA	1,317,688
	Series 2001A, 5.875%, 5/15/31 (Pre-refunded 5/15/11)			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	No Opt.		
1,250	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds,	Call	A (5)	1,525,850
	Samaritan Health Services, Series 1990A, 7.000%, 12/01/16 – MBIA			
	Insured (ETM)			

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2)1	Ratings (3)	Value
	U.S. Guaranteed (5) (continued)			
	Maricopa County, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Sun	4/15 at		
\$ 385	Health Corporation, Series 2005, 5.000%,	100.00	N/R (5)	\$ 449,615
	4/01/16 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15)			
	Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Guaranteed	7/12 at		
735	Government Facilities Revenue Refunding	100.00	Baa3 (5)	798,151
	Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.125%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12)			
	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital	12/11 at		
1,500	Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale	101.00	N/R (5)	1,624,665
	Healthcare, Series 2001, 5.800%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded			
	12/01/11)			
6,120	Total U.S. Guaranteed			6,757,459
	Utilities – 23.6% (16.8% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Power Authority, Special Obligation Power Resource	No Opt.		
1,000	Revenue Refunding Crossover Bonds,	Call	AA	1,182,010
	Hoover Project, Series 2001, 5.250%, 10/01/15			
	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	1/15 at		
1,340	Revenue Bonds, Tucson Electric Power	100.00	BBB-	1,371,034
	Company, Refunding Series 2008, 5.750%, 9/01/29			
	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds,	7/15 at		
2,170	Series 2005RR, 5.000%, 7/01/27 –	100.00	A3	2,190,159
	SYNCORA GTY Insured			
	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/13 at		
530	Arizona, Electric System	100.00	Aa1	567,360
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2002B, 5.000%, 1/01/22			
	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/18 at		
715	Arizona, Electric System	100.00	Aa1	856,684
	Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 09-9W, 17.172%,			
	1/01/38 (IF)			
	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/12 at		
1,000	Arizona, Electric System	101.00	Aa1	1,029,930
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 1/01/27			
	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue			
	Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc			
	Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007:	N. O.		
4.500	5 5000/ 10/01/00	No Opt.		4 450 005
4,500	5.500%, 12/01/29	Call	A	4,459,995
2.500	5 0000/ 12/01/27	No Opt.	<b>A</b>	2 120 220
	5.000%, 12/01/37	Call	A	3,120,320
14,/33	Total Utilities Weter and Saver 20.7% (14.7% of Total Investments)			14,777,492
	Water and Sewer – 20.7% (14.7% of Total Investments)			

	Cottonwood, Arizona, Senior Lien Water System Revenue	7/14 at		
1,005	, 1 1 2 1	100.00	A	1,006,729
	Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/24 – SYNCORA GTY Insured			
	Glendale, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds,	7/13 at		
3,500	Subordinate Lien, Series 2003, 5.000%,	100.00	AA	3,663,730
	7/01/28 – AMBAC Insured			
	Goodyear, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations,	7/20 at		
1,425	Series 2010, 5.625%, 7/01/39	100.00	A2	1,432,994
	Oro Valley Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Senior	7/13 at		
600	Lien Water Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AA-	620,874
	2003, 5.000%, 7/01/23 – NPFG Insured			
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien	7/14 at		
1,000	Wastewater System Revenue Bonds,	100.00	AA+	1,055,210
	Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/24 – NPFG Insured			
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien	7/12 at		
1,500	Water System Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	1,549,125
	2002, 5.000%, 7/01/26 – FGIC Insured			
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien	No Opt.		
1,250	Water System Revenue Refunding	Call	AAA	1,531,275
	Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 7/01/21 – FGIC Insured			

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2) Ra	tings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
	Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Wastewater			
	System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
		4/14 at		\$
\$ 600	4.700%, 4/01/22	100.00	N/R	604,386
		4/17 at		
695	4.900%, 4/01/32	100.00	N/R	646,016
	Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Exempt	12/17 at		
905	Revenue Bonds, Far West Water &	100.00	N/R	841,478
	Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375%, 12/01/37 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
12,480	Total Water and Sewer		1	2,951,817
\$ 86,161	Total Investments (cost \$83,508,446) – 140.7%		8	88,003,144
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 3.9%			2,420,402
	Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (44.6)% (6)		(2	7,875,000)
				\$
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%		$\epsilon$	52,548,546

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares (1) unless otherwise noted.

Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates

(2) (month and year) and prices of the earliest

optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of

(3) Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"),

Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are

considered to be below investment grade.

Investment valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by, or at the discretion of, the Board of

(4) Directors. For fair value measurement

disclosure purposes, investment categorized as Level 3. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting

Policies, Investment Valuation for more information.

Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities,

- (5) which ensure the timely payment of principal
  - and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (6) Auction Rate Preferred shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 31.7%. N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
  - (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

#### Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

NFZ

July 31, 2010

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Education and Civic Organizations – 10.5% (7.2% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Arizona Higher Education Loan Authority, Student Loan Revenue	9/10 at		\$
\$ 280	Bonds, Series 2007B, Auction	100.00	A	230,227
	Rate Securities, 0.585%, 11/01/41 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (4)			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	5/20 at		
500	Midwestern University,	100.00	A-	502,445
	Series 2010, 5.125%, 5/15/40			
	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and	8/10 at		
1,000	Environmental Control Facilities	100.50	BBB-	975,400
	Financing Authority, Higher Education Revenue Bonds, Ana G.			
	Mendez University System,			
	Series 1999, 5.375%, 2/01/29			
	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and	9/11 at		
300	Environmental Control Facilities	100.00	BBB	301,713
	Financing Authority, Higher Education Revenue Bonds, University of			
	the Sacred Heart, Series			
	2001, 5.250%, 9/01/21			
	Tucson Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School	9/14 at		
305	Revenue Bonds, Arizona	100.00	BBB-	288,323
	Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter School, Series 2004A,			
	6.125%, 9/01/34			
2,385	Total Education and Civic Organizations			2,298,108
	Health Care – 18.0% (12.4% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner	1/17 at		
565	Health Systems, Series	100.00	A+	575,532
	2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner	1/17 at		
325	Health Systems, Series	100.00	A+	210,730
	2007B, 1.167%, 1/01/37			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner	1/18 at		
720	Health Systems, Series	100.00	A+	737,129
	2008D, 5.500%, 1/01/38			
	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Health Facility	3/13 at		
10	Revenue Bonds, Adventist	100.00	A	10,002
	Health System/West, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 3/01/28			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	12/15 at		
250	John C. Lincoln Health	100.00	BBB	229,440
	Network, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/37			
415			BBB	374,538

`				
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	12/17 at		
	John C. Lincoln Health	100.00		
	Network, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/42			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Health	7/14 at		
750	Facility Revenue Bonds,	100.00	A	776,993
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004A, 5.375%, 7/01/23			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Health	7/17 at		
1,025	Facility Revenue Bonds,	100.00	A	1,040,990
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 7/01/32			
4,060	Total Health Care			3,955,354
	Housing/Multifamily – 3.3% (2.2% of Total Investments)			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	8/10 at		
1,000	Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds,	101.00	Baa1	724,150
	Whispering Palms Apartments, Series 1999A, 5.900%, 7/01/29 – NPFG			
	Insured			
	Housing/Single Family – 3.3% (2.3% of Total Investments)			
	Tucson and Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	6/17 at		
720	Joint Single Family Mortgage	101.00	Aaa	735,091
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B, 5.350%, 6/01/47 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
	Tax Obligation/General – 11.7% (8.0% of Total Investments)			
	Maricopa County Unified School District 11, Peoria, Arizona, General	7/15 at		
1,000	Obligation Bonds, Second	100.00	Aa2	1,105,900
	Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/20 – FGIC Insured			
	Yuma & La Paz Counties Community College District, Arizona,	7/16 at		
1,340	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	Aa2	1,458,912
	2006, 5.000%, 7/01/21 – NPFG Insured			
2,340	Total Tax Obligation/General			2,564,812
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 46.8% (32.2% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Tourism and Sports Authority, Tax Revenue Bonds,	7/13 at		
1,220	Multipurpose Stadium Facility	100.00	A1	1,209,020
	Project, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/31 – NPFG Insured			
	Centerra Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, General	7/15 at		
88	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	N/R	72,340
	2005, 5.500%, 7/15/29			
	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Arizona,	1/17 at		
207	Special Assessment Bonds,	100.00	N/R	160,547
	Montecito Assessment District, Series 2007, 5.700%, 7/01/27			

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount	D (4)	Provisions	D .: (0)	*7.1
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
ф	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)	7/10		ф
\$	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear,	7/12 at	NI/D	\$
138	Arizona, Special Assessment	100.00	N/R	140,870
	Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875%, 7/01/25	0/16 -4		
1 000	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue	8/16 at		1.052.460
1,000	Bonds, Series 2006-1, 5.000%, 8/01/22 – NPFG Insured	100.00	AA-	1,052,460
		8/16 at		
275	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%,	100.00		292,155
213	8/01/23 – NPFG Insured	100.00	AA–	292,133
	Marana Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,	7/13 at		
1,180		100.00		1,246,587
1,100	AMBAC Insured	100.00	AA	1,240,367
	Marana, Arizona, Tangerine Farms Road Improvement District	7/16 at		
528	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006,	100.00	A2	503,823
320	4.600%, 1/01/26	100.00	112	303,023
	Marley Park Community Facilities District, City of Surprise, Arizona,	7/17 at		
150	Limited Tax General	100.00	N/R	130,886
100	Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 6.100%, 7/15/32	100.00	1,725	100,000
	Merrill Ranch Community Facilities District 1, Florence, Arizona,	7/18 at		
255	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	N/R	256,293
	Series 2008A, 7.400%, 7/15/33			,
	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona,	7/16 at		
330	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	N/R	261,779
	2006, 5.300%, 7/15/31			
	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona,	7/17 at		
225	Limited Tax General Obligation	100.00	N/R	188,980
	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.800%, 7/15/32			
	Parkway Community Facilities District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona,	7/16 at		
100	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	N/R	79,862
	Series 2006, 5.350%, 7/15/31			
	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Government	3/12 at		
900	Bonds, Capitol Mall LLC II,	100.00	A1	949,014
	Series 2001, 5.250%, 9/15/16 – AMBAC Insured			
	Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Correctional	No Opt.		
680	Facilities Contract	Call	BBB–	665,244
	Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.000%,			
	10/01/18 – ACA Insured	7/15		
600	San Luis Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Municipal	7/15 at		(17. (22
600	Facilities Excise Tax Revenue	100.00	A+	617,622
	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	N. O.		
1 000	Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax	No Opt.	A A A	1 170 (70
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series	Call	AAA	1,178,670

	2006, 5.000%, 7/01/24			
	Tartesso West Community Facility District, Buckeye, Arizona,	7/17 at		
350	Limited Tax General Obligation	100.00	N/R	297,780
	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.900%, 7/15/32			•
	Vistancia Community Facilities District, Arizona, Restricted General	7/15 at		
500	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	A1	529,535
	2005, 5.750%, 7/15/24			•
	Watson Road Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special	7/16 at		
341	Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	N/R	281,802
	2005, 6.000%, 7/01/30			,
	Westpark Community Facilities District, Buckeye, Arizona, General	7/16 at		
225	Obligation Tax Increment	100.00	N/R	177,282
	Bonds Series 2006, 5.250%, 7/15/31			•
10,292	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			10,292,551
	U.S. Guaranteed – 7.0% (4.8% of Total Investments) (5)			
	Maricopa County Union High School District 210 Phoenix, Arizona,	7/16 at		
240	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	AA (5)	288,689
	Series 2006C, 5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16) – MBIA			
	Insured			
	Maricopa County, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Sun Health	4/15 at		
140	Corporation, Series 2005, 5.000%,	100.00	N/R(5)	163,496
	4/01/16 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15)			
	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital	12/11 at		
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale	101.00	N/R(5)	1,083,110
	Healthcare, Series 2001, 5.800%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)			
1,380	Total U.S. Guaranteed			1,535,295
	Utilities – 31.1% (21.4% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Power Authority, Special Obligation Power Resource	No Opt.		
1,500	Revenue Refunding Crossover Bonds,	Call	AA	1,789,245
	Hoover Project, Series 2001, 5.250%, 10/01/17			
	Mesa, Arizona, Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series	No Opt.		
1,000	2002, 5.250%, 7/01/17 –	Call	Aa2	1,176,850
	FGIC Insured			
	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue	1/15 at		
665	Bonds, Tucson Electric Power	100.00	BBB-	680,401
	Company, Refunding Series 2008, 5.750%, 9/01/29			

NFZ Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

			Optional		
	rincipal		Call		
I	Amount		Provisions		
	(000)	Description (1)	(2) Ra	tings (3)	Value
		Utilities (continued)			
		Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds, Series	7/15 at		\$
\$	1,000	2005RR, 5.000%, 7/01/26 –	100.00	A3	1,013,220
		SYNCORA GTY Insured			
		Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/13 at		
	200	Arizona, Electric System	100.00	Aa1	214,098
		Revenue Bonds, Series 2002B, 5.000%, 1/01/22			
		Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/18 at		
	560	Arizona, Electric System	100.00	Aa1	670,970
		Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 09-9W, 17.172%,			
		1/01/38 (IF)			
		Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	7/10 at		
	235	Arizona, Electric System	100.00	Aa1	235,848
		Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 5.000%, 1/01/20			
		Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/12 at		
	1,000	Arizona, Electric System	101.00	Aa1	1,064,150
		Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 1/01/18			
	6,160	Total Utilities			6,844,782
		Water and Sewer – 13.8% (9.5% of Total Investments)			
		Goodyear, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations, Series	7/20 at		
	475	2010, 5.625%, 7/01/39	100.00	A2	477,665
		Oro Valley Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien	7/13 at		
	225	Water Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AA-	232,828
		2003, 5.000%, 7/01/23 – NPFG Insured			
		Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien	7/12 at		
	1,500	Water System Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	1,549,125
		2002, 5.000%, 7/01/26 – FGIC Insured			
		Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Wastewater			
		System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
			4/14 at		
	225	4.700%, 4/01/22	100.00	N/R	226,644
			4/17 at		
	260	4.900%, 4/01/32	100.00	N/R	241,674
		Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Exempt	12/17 at		
	325	Revenue Bonds, Far West Water &	100.00	N/R	302,187
		Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375%, 12/01/37 (Alternative			
		Minimum Tax)			
	3,010	Total Water and Sewer			3,030,123
\$	31,347	Total Investments (cost \$31,425,432) – 145.5%			31,980,266
		Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.7%			604,129

Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (48.2)% (6)

(10,600,000)

\$

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%

21,984,395

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares

(1) unless otherwise noted.

Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates

(2) (month and year) and prices of the earliest

optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of

(3) Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"),

Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are

considered to be below investment grade.

Investment valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by, or at the discretion of, the Board of

(4) Trustees. For fair value measurement disclo-

sure purposes, investment categorized as Level 3. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies,

Investment Valuation for more information.

Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities,

- (5) which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (6) Auction Rate Preferred shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 33.1%.

N/R Not rated.

(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

### Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2

NKR Portfolio of Investments

July 31, 2010

		July 31, 2010		
Principal		Optional Call		
Amount				
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Education and Civic Organizations – 8.6% (6.0%			
	of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Higher Education Loan Authority,			
\$	Student Loan Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B,			\$
	Auction	9/10 at 100.00	A	929,131
1,120	Rate Securities, 0.585%, 11/01/41 (Alternative	7/10 <b>at</b> 100.00	11	,2,,131
	Minimum Tax) (4)			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority,			
	Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Midwestern			
775	University,	5/20 at 100.00	Λ	778,790
113		3/20 at 100.00	A-	110,190
	Series 2010, 5.125%, 5/15/40			
	Pima County Industrial Development			
405	Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue	12/14 -4 100 00	מממ	460 107
485	Bonds, Noah	12/14 at 100.00	BBB-	469,107
	Webster Basic Schools Inc., Series 2004,			
	6.000%, 12/15/24			
	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational,	24.2		
320	Medical and Environmental Control Facilities	8/10 at 100.50	BBB-	320,854
	Financing Authority, Higher Education			
	Revenue Bonds, Ana G. Mendez University			
	System,			
	Series 1999, 5.375%, 2/01/19			
	Tucson Industrial Development Authority,			
	Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds,			
480	Arizona	9/14 at 100.00	BBB-	453,754
	Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter			
	School, Series 2004A, 6.125%, 9/01/34			
	University of Arizona, Certificates of			
	Participation, Series 2002A:			
65	5.500%, 6/01/18 – AMBAC Insured	6/12 at 100.00	AA-	69,566
	5.125%, 6/01/22 – AMBAC Insured	6/12 at 100.00	AA-	41,860
	Total Education and Civic Organizations			3,063,062
0,2,0	Health Care – 24.0% (16.9% of Total		·	,,,,,,,,,
	Investments)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital			
	Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems,			
8/15	Series	1/17 at 100.00	A+	860,751
073	2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25	1/1/ at 100.00	$\Lambda$ T	000,731
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital			
520	Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems,	1/17 -+ 100 00	Α.	227 160
320	Series	1/17 at 100.00	A+	337,168
	2007B, 1.167%, 1/01/37			

	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital		
1 150	Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems,	1/10 -4 100 00	A . 1 177 250
1,150	Series 2008D 5 5000/ 1/01/28	1/18 at 100.00	A+ 1,177,359
	2008D, 5.500%, 1/01/38		
600	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Revenue	4/14 at 100.00	A 617.574
000	Bonds, Blood Systems Inc., Series 2004, 5.000%, 4/01/20	4/14 at 100.00	A 617,574
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority,		
	Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln		
400	Health	12/15 at 100.00	BBB 367,104
400	Network, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/37	12/13 at 100.00	<b>DDD</b> 307,104
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority,		
	Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln		
655	Health	12/17 at 100.00	BBB 591,138
	Network, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/42		,
	Maricopa County Industrial Development		
	Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue		
1,375	Bonds,	7/14 at 100.00	A 1,424,486
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004A,		
	5.375%, 7/01/23		
	Maricopa County Industrial Development		
	Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue		
1,650	Bonds,	7/17 at 100.00	A 1,675,740
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2007A,		
	5.250%, 7/01/32		
	Maricopa County Industrial Development		
500	Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds,	11/10 at 100.00	Aa2 500,195
300	Mayo Clinic Hospital, Series 1998, 5.250%, 11/15/37	11/10 at 100.00	Aa2 500,195
	Yavapai County Industrial Development		
	Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds,		
1,000	Yavapai	8/13 at 100.00	Baa2 1,009,820
1,000	Regional Medical Center, Series 2003A,	0, 13 <b>a</b> t 100.00	1,000,020
	6.000%, 8/01/33		
8,695	Total Health Care		8,561,335
	Housing/Multifamily – 2.1% (1.5% of Total		,
	Investments)		
	Maricopa County Industrial Development		
	Authority, Arizona, GNMA Collateralized		
705	Multifamily	10/11 at 105.00	AAA 746,102
	Housing Revenue Refunding Bonds, Pine		
	Ridge, Cambridge Court, Cove on 44th and		
	Fountain		
	Place Apartments, Series 2001A-1, 6.000%,		
	10/20/31		
	Housing/Single Family – 3.4% (2.4% of Total		
	Investments) Tucson and Pima County Industrial		
	Development Authority, Arizona, Joint Single		
1,185	Family Mortgage	6/17 at 101.00	Aaa 1,209,838
1,103	Tuning mongage	0,17 dt 101.00	1144 1,207,030

Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B, 5.350%, 6/01/47 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

NKR Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2) 1	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General – 19.6% (13.9% of Total Investments)			
\$	Maricopa County School District 6, Arizona, General Obligation	No Opt.		\$
1,000	Refunding Bonds, Washington	Call	AAA	1,186,890
	Elementary School, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 7/01/16 – AGM Insured			
	Maricopa County Unified School District 69, Paradise Valley,	No Opt.		
1,165	Arizona, General Obligation	Call	Aa2	1,342,208
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 7/01/14 – FGIC Insured			
	Mesa, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%,	No Opt.		
1,405	7/01/15 – FGIC Insured	Call	AA	1,639,073
	Phoenix, Arizona, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002B:			
		7/12 at		
1.700	5.000%, 7/01/22	100.00	AAA	1,810,143
-,		7/12 at		-,,-
500	5.000%, 7/01/27	100.00	AAA	513,745
	Scottsdale, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%,	7/11 at	1 11 11 1	010,7.10
510	7/01/24	100.00	AAA	518,588
	Total Tax Obligation/General	100.00	7 11 11 1	7,010,647
0,200	Tax Obligation/Limited – 44.6% (31.5% of Total Investments)			7,010,017
	Arizona State, Certificates of Participation, Series 2002A:			
	Thizona State, Certificates of Fartierpation, Series 2002/1.	5/12 at		
750	5.000%, 11/01/17 – NPFG Insured	100.00	A+	776,430
750	5.000 /b, 11/01/17 1411 O Insured	5/12 at	711	770,430
1.000	5.000%, 11/01/18 – NPFG Insured	100.00	A+	1,030,760
1,000	5.000 %, 11/01/10 – 11/10 Histiled	5/12 at	Αт	1,030,700
500	5.000%, 11/01/20 – NPFG Insured	100.00	A+	511,675
300	Centerra Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, General	7/15 at	Αт	311,073
124	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	N/R	101,933
124	2005, 5.500%, 7/15/29	100.00	11/1	101,933
		1/17 of		
240	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Arizona,	1/17 at	NI/D	251 520
340	Special Assessment Bonds,  Montagita Assessment District Social 2007, 5,800%, 7/01/22	100.00	N/R	251,529
	Montecito Assessment District, Series 2007, 5.800%, 7/01/32	7/10 -4		
210	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear,	7/12 at	NI/D	222 524
218	Arizona, Special Assessment	100.00	N/R	222,534
	Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875%, 7/01/25	7/16 -4		
004	Marana, Arizona, Tangerine Farms Road Improvement District	7/16 at	4.2	0.42.522
884	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006,	100.00	A2	843,522
	4.600%, 1/01/26			
	Maricopa County Stadium District, Arizona, Revenue Refunding			
	Bonds, Series 2002:			

840	5.375%, 6/01/18 – AMBAC Insured	6/12 at 100.00	N/R	888,384
2,645	5.375%, 6/01/19 – AMBAC Insured Marley Park Community Facilities District, City of Surprise, Arizona,	6/12 at 100.00 7/17 at	N/R	2,797,352
240	Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 6.100%, 7/15/32	100.00	N/R	209,417
415	Merrill Ranch Community Facilities District 1, Florence, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008A, 7.400%, 7/15/33	7/18 at 100.00	N/R	417,104
530	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.300%, 7/15/31	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	420,433
350	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.800%, 7/15/32	7/17 at 100.00	N/R	293,969
140	Parkway Community Facilities District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.350%, 7/15/31	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	111,807
1,500	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Government Bonds, Capitol Mall LLC II, Series 2001, 5.250%, 9/15/16 – AMBAC Insured	3/12 at 100.00	A1	1,581,690
1,070	Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Correctional Facilities Contract Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 10/01/18 – ACA Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB-	1,046,781
270	Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Guaranteed Government Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.125%, 7/01/24	7/12 at 100.00	A3	270,794
960	San Luis Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Municipal Facilities Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	7/15 at 100.00	A+	988,195
1,000	Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/24	No Opt. Call	AAA	1,178,670
555	Tartesso West Community Facility District, Buckeye, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.900%, 7/15/32	7/17 at 100.00	N/R	472,194
750	Vistancia Community Facilities District, Arizona, Restricted General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.750%, 7/15/24	7/15 at 100.00	A1	794,303

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2) F	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)			
	Watson Road Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special	7/16 at		\$
\$ 538	Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	N/R	444,603
	2005, 6.000%, 7/01/30			
	Westpark Community Facilities District, Buckeye, Arizona, General	7/16 at		
350	Obligation Tax Increment	100.00	N/R	275,772
	Bonds Series 2006, 5.250%, 7/15/31			
15,969	Total Tax Obligation/Limited		1	5,929,851
	Transportation – 4.1% (2.9% of Total Investments)			
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien	1/11 at		
470	Airport Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	471,415
	1998A, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – AGM Insured			
	Phoenix, Arizona, Civic Improvement Corporation, Senior Lien	7/12 at		
1,000	Airport Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AA-	1,008,120
	2002B, 5.250%, 7/01/27 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
1,470	Total Transportation			1,479,535
,	U.S. Guaranteed – 17.0% (12.0% of Total Investments) (5)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds,	2/12 at		
735	Phoenix Children's	101.00	N/R (5)	809,529
	Hospital, Series 2002A, 6.250%, 2/15/21 (Pre-refunded 2/15/12)		· /	,
	Arizona State University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2002,	7/12 at		
715	5.750%, 7/01/27 (Pre-refunded	100.00	AAA	789,160
	7/01/12) – FGIC Insured			, , , , , ,
	Maricopa County Unified School District 89, Dysart, Arizona, General	7/14 at		
100	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	117,369
	2004B, 5.250%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – AGM Insured			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Maricopa County Union High School District 210 Phoenix, Arizona,	7/16 at		
375	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	AA (5)	451,076
	Series 2006C, 5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16) – MBIA		(-)	, , , , ,
	Insured			
	Maricopa County, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Sun Health	4/15 at		
225	Corporation, Series 2005, 5.000%,	100.00	N/R (5)	262,762
_	4/01/16 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15)		. (-)	,,,,,
	Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Guaranteed Government	7/12 at		
730	Facilities Revenue Refunding	100.00	Baa3 (5)	792,722
	Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.125%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12)		· /	,
	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital	12/11 at		
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale	101.00	N/R (5)	1,083,110
,	Healthcare, Series 2001, 5.800%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)		. (-)	,,
	Scottsdale, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%,	7/11 at		
490	7/01/24	100.00	AAA	511,663
	(Pre-refunded 7/01/11)			,
	University of Arizona, Certificates of Participation, Series 2002A:			
685	5.500%, 6/01/18 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12) – AMBAC Insured		AA-(5)	747,678
			( )	,

		6/12 at 100.00 6/12 at		
460	5.125%, 6/01/22 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12) – AMBAC Insured	100.00	Aa3 (5)	498,939
	Total U.S. Guaranteed	100.00	1105 (5)	6,064,008
0,010	Utilities – 5.9% (4.2% of Total Investments)			0,00.,000
	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue	1/15 at		
665	Bonds, Tucson Electric Power	100.00	BBB-	680,401
	Company, Refunding Series 2008, 5.750%, 9/01/29			
	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/18 at		
450	Arizona, Electric System	100.00	Aa1	539,172
	Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 09-9W, 17.172%, 1/01/38			
	(IF)			
	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue	No Opt.		
1,000	Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc	Call	A	891,520
	Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37			
2,115				2,111,093
	Water and Sewer – 12.3% (8.7% of Total Investments)	10/10		
500	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Water	12/10 at	N/D	500.050
500	System Improvement Revenue  Render Changered City Water Community Spring 1997 A 5 4000//	100.00	N/R	500,050
	Bonds, Chaparral City Water Company, Series 1997A, 5.400%, 12/01/22 – AMBAC Insured			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Oro Valley Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien	7/13 at		
360	Water Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AA-	372,524
300	2003, 5.000%, 7/01/23 – NPFG Insured	100.00	7171	372,324
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Water	No Opt.		
1,000	System Revenue Refunding	Call	AAA	1,221,410
, -	Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 7/01/22 – FGIC Insured			, ,

NKR Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) Ra	tings (3)	Value	
	Water and Sewer (continued)				
	Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Wastewater				
	System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:				
\$		4/14 at		\$	
350	4.700%, 4/01/22	100.00	N/R	352,559	
		4/17 at			
410	4.900%, 4/01/32	100.00	N/R	381,102	
	Tucson, Arizona, Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds,	7/12 at			
1,000	Series 2002, 5.500%, 7/01/18 –	102.00	Aa2	1,097,039	
	FGIC Insured				
	Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	12/17 at			
525	Exempt Revenue Bonds, Far West Water &	100.00	N/R	488,149	
	Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375%, 12/01/37				
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)				
4,145	Total Water and Sewer			4,412,833	
\$					
49,374	Total Investments (cost \$49,228,018) – 141.6%			50,588,304	
,	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 4.9%			1,769,958	
	Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (46.5)% (6)	)	(	16,625,000	)
	(1010)/6 (0)		(	\$	,
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			35,733,262	
				,,-02	

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares (1) unless otherwise noted.

Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates

- (2) (month and year) and prices of the earliest
  - optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
  - Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of
- (3) Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"),
  - Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are
  - considered to be below investment grade.
  - Investment valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by, or at the discretion of, the Board of
- (4) Trustees. For fair value measurement disclosure purposes, investment categorized as Level 3. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 General Information and Significant Accounting Policies,

Investment Valuation for more information.

Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities,

- (5) which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (6) Auction Rate Preferred shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 32.9%.

N/R Not rated.

(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3

NXE Portfolio of Investments

July 31, 2010

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount				
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Consumer Staples – 1.1% (0.8% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund,			
\$	Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding			\$
485	Bonds,	5/12 at 100.00	BBB	463,199
	Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33			
	Education and Civic Organizations – 16.6%			
	(11.9% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Higher Education Loan Authority,			
	Student Loan Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B,			
690	Auction	9/10 at 100.00	A	567,346
	Rate Securities, 0.585%, 11/01/41			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax) (4)			
	Arizona State University, System Revenue			
	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/20 –			
1,250	AMBAC Insured	7/15 at 100.00	Aa3	1,312,400
	Energy Management Services LLC, Arizona			
	State University, Energy Conservation			
1,130	Revenue Bonds,	7/12 at 100.00	AA	1,209,089
	Main Campus Project, Series 2002, 5.250%,			
	7/01/18 – NPFG Insured			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority,			
	Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Midwestern			
900	University,	5/20 at 100.00	A-	904,401
	Series 2010, 5.125%, 5/15/40			
	Pima County Industrial Development			
	Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue			
560	Bonds, Noah	12/14 at 100.00	BBB-	541,649
	Webster Basic Schools Inc., Series 2004,			
	6.000%, 12/15/24			
	Tucson Industrial Development Authority,			
	Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds,			
565	Arizona	9/14 at 100.00	BBB-	534,106
	Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter			
	School, Series 2004A, 6.125%, 9/01/34			
	University of Arizona, Certificates of			
2,000	Participation, Series 2002B, 5.125%, 6/01/20 –	6/12 at 100.00	AA-	2,126,980
	AMBAC Insured			
7,095	Total Education and Civic Organizations			7,195,971
	Health Care – 29.1% (20.9% of Total			
	Investments)			
1,015	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital	1/17 at 100.00	A+	1,033,920
	Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems,			

	Series			
	2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital			
620	Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems,	1/17 -4 100 00	۸.	402 000
620	Series	1/17 at 100.00	A+	402,008
	2007B, 1.167%, 1/01/37			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital			
	Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems,			
2,390	Series	1/18 at 100.00	A+	2,446,858
	2008D, 5.500%, 1/01/38			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Revenue			
625	Bonds, Blood Systems Inc., Series 2004,	4/14 at 100.00	A	643,306
	5.000%, 4/01/20			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority,			
	Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln			
475	Health	12/15 at 100.00	BBB	435,936
	Network, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/37			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority,			
	Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln			
785	Health	12/17 at 100.00	BBB	708,463
	Network, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/42			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development			
	Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue			
1,825	Bonds,	7/14 at 100.00	A	1,890,682
,	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004A,			,
	5.375%, 7/01/23			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development			
	Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue			
1.985	Bonds,	7/17 at 100.00	Α	2,015,966
-,	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2007A,			_,,,, _ ,
	5.250%, 7/01/32			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development			
	Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds,			
2 000	Mayo Clinic	11/10 at 100.00	Aa2	2,000,780
2,000	Hospital, Series 1998, 5.250%, 11/15/37	11/10 at 100.00	1142	2,000,700
	Yavapai County Industrial Development			
	Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds,			
1 000	Yavapai	8/13 at 100.00	Baa2	1,009,820
1,000	Regional Medical Center, Series 2003A,	6/13 at 100.00	Daaz	1,007,020
	6.000%, 8/01/33			
12,720	Total Health Care			12,587,739
12,720	Housing/Single Family – 3.3% (2.4% of Total			12,367,739
	Investments)			
	Tucson and Pima County Industrial			
1 420	Development Authority, Arizona, Joint Single	6/17 of 101 00	A 0.5	1 440 762
1,420	Family Mortgage Payanua Bonda, Sories 2007B, 5 350%	6/17 at 101.00	Aaa	1,449,763
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B, 5.350%,			
	6/01/47 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			

NXE Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General – 2.5% (1.8% of Total Investments)			
\$	Pinal County Unified School District 1, Florence, Arizona, General	7/18 at		\$
1,000	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	A	1,065,580
	2008C, 5.250%, 7/01/28			
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 31.6% (22.7% of Total Investments)			
	Centerra Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, General	7/15 at		
142	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	N/R	116,730
	2005, 5.500%, 7/15/29			
	DC Ranch Community Facilities District, Scottsdale, Arizona, General	7/13 at		
2,250	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	A1	2,224,620
	2002, 5.000%, 7/15/27 – AMBAC Insured			
	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Arizona,			
	Special Assessment Bonds,			
	Montecito Assessment District, Series 2007:			
		1/17 at		
250	5.700%, 7/01/27	100.00	N/R	193,898
		1/17 at		
155	5.800%, 7/01/32	100.00	N/R	114,667
	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear,	7/12 at		
257	Arizona, Special Assessment	100.00	N/R	262,346
	Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875%, 7/01/25	24.5		
	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue	8/16 at		
525	Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%,	100.00	AA-	557,750
	8/01/23 – NPFG Insured	7/16		
1 022	Marana, Arizona, Tangerine Farms Road Improvement District	7/16 at		007.600
1,033	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006,	100.00	A2	985,699
	4.600%, 1/01/26	7/17		
200	Marley Park Community Facilities District, City of Surprise, Arizona,	7/17 at	NI/D	252.045
290	Limited Tax General	100.00	N/R	253,045
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 6.100%, 7/15/32	7/10 -4		
400	Merrill Ranch Community Facilities District 1, Florence, Arizona,	7/18 at 100.00		102 191
490	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	N/R	492,484
	Series 2008A, 7.400%, 7/15/33 Mohave County, Arizona, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004,	7/14 at		
2 175	5.250%, 7/01/19 –	100.00		2,334,645
2,173	AMBAC Insured	100.00	11/1	2,334,043
	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona,	7/16 at		
640	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	N/R	507,693
0+0	2006, 5.300%, 7/15/31	100.00	11/11	301,073
425	2000, 5.500 %, 115/51		N/R	356,962
723			11/10	330,702

	Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona,	7/17 at		
	Limited Tax General Obligation	100.00		
	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.800%, 7/15/32	7/16 at		
160	Parkway Community Facilities District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds,	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	127,779
100	Series 2006, 5.350%, 7/15/31	100.00	IN/K	127,779
	Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Correctional	No Opt.		
1 250	Facilities Contract	Call	RRR	1,222,875
1,230	Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.000%,	Can	–טטט	1,222,073
	10/01/18 – ACA Insured			
	San Luis Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Municipal	7/15 at		
1,130		100.00	Δ+	1,163,188
1,130	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	100.00	711	1,105,100
	Tartesso West Community Facility District, Buckeye, Arizona,	7/17 at		
665	Limited Tax General Obligation	100.00	N/R	565,782
000	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.900%, 7/15/32	100.00	1 1/11	202,702
	Vistancia Community Facilities District, Arizona, Restricted General	7/15 at		
1,250	•	100.00	A1	1,323,838
-,	2005, 5.750%, 7/15/24			-,,
	Watson Road Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special	7/16 at		
639	•	100.00	N/R	528,070
	2005, 6.000%, 7/01/30			,
	Westpark Community Facilities District, Buckeye, Arizona, General	7/16 at		
425	*	100.00	N/R	334,866
	Bonds Series 2006, 5.250%, 7/15/31			•
14,151	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			13,666,937

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Transportation – 13.5% (9.7% of Total Investments)			
	Phoenix, Arizona, Civic Improvement Corporation, Senior Lien			
	Airport Revenue Bonds,			
\$	Series 2002B:	7/12 at		\$
1,000	5.750%, 7/01/16 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00	٨٨	۰ 1,050,610
1,000	5.750%, 7701710 – POIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/12 at		1,030,010
2 300	5.250%, 7/01/21 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00		2,347,633
2,300	Tucson Airport Authority Inc., Arizona, Revenue Refunding Bonds,	6/11 at	7111	2,547,055
2.450	Series 2001B, 5.000%,	100.00	A1	2,464,088
_,	6/01/20 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)			_, ,
5,750	Total Transportation			5,862,331
	U.S. Guaranteed – 14.3% (10.2% of Total Investments) (5)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds,	12/10 at		
600	John C. Lincoln Health	102.00	BBB (5)	624,654
	Network, Series 2000, 6.875%, 12/01/20 (Pre-refunded 12/01/10)			
	Maricopa County Union High School District 210, Phoenix, Arizona,	7/14 at		
1,575	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	AAA	1,833,379
	Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – AGM Insured			
250	Maricopa County, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Sun Health	4/15 at	3.7.75 (#)	01.7.01.1
270	Corporation, Series 2005, 5.000%,	100.00	N/R(5)	315,314
	4/01/16 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15)	10/11 -4		
250	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital	12/11 at		270 779
230	Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale Healthcare, Series 2001, 5.800%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)	101.00	N/R (5)	270,778
	Tempe, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003,	7/13 at		
2 770	5.000%, 7/01/22	100.00	ΑΑΑ	3,126,915
2,770	(Pre-refunded 7/01/13)	100.00	71717	3,120,713
5,465	Total U.S. Guaranteed			6,171,040
- ,	Utilities – 13.4% (9.6% of Total Investments)			-, - ,
	Maricopa County Pollution Control Corporation, Arizona, Revenue	11/12 at		
1,250	Bonds, Arizona Public Service	100.00	Baa2	1,190,213
	Company – Palo Verde Project, Series 2002A, 5.050%, 5/01/29 –			
	AMBAC Insured			
	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue	1/15 at		
665	Bonds, Tucson Electric Power	100.00	BBB-	680,401
	Company, Refunding Series 2008, 5.750%, 9/01/29			
	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds, Series	7/15 at		
1,660		100.00	A3	1,681,945
	SYNCORA GTY Insured	1/12 -4		
270	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/13 at 100.00	A o 1	280 022
270	Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2002B, 5.000%, 1/01/22	100.00	Aa1	289,032
	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District,	1/18 at		
775	Arizona, Electric System	100.00	Aa1	928,574
113	Tilleona, Licente o journi	100.00	1 <b>1 1 1 1</b>	) <u>= 0,5</u>

	Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 09-9W, 17.172%, 1/01/38 (IF)		
	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue	No Opt.	
1,165	•	Call	A 1,038,621
	Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37		
5,785	Total Utilities		5,808,786
	Water and Sewer – 13.9% (10.0% of Total Investments)		
	Goodyear, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations, Series	7/20 at	
955	2010, 5.625%, 7/01/39	100.00	A2 960,358
	Oro Valley Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien	7/13 at	
405	Water Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AA- 419,090
	2003, 5.000%, 7/01/23 – NPFG Insured		
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien	7/11 at	
1,000	Wastewater System Revenue	100.00	AA+ 1,034,379
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 7/01/21 – FGIC Insured		

NXE Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount		Provisions		
	Description (1)		atings (3)	Value
(000)	Water and Sewer (continued)	(2) 10	(2) Ratings (3)	
\$	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Water	7/12 at		\$
	System Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	2,134,299
	2002, 5.000%, 7/01/18 – FGIC Insured Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Wastewater			
	System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
		4/14 at		
425	4.700%, 4/01/22	100.00	N/R	428,106
		4/17 at		
490	4.900%, 4/01/32	100.00	N/R	455,464
	Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Exempt	12/17 at		
615	Revenue Bonds, Far West Water &	100.00	N/R	571,832
	Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375%, 12/01/37 (Alternative			
<b>5</b> 000	Minimum Tax)			6 002 520
5,890	Total Water and Sewer			6,003,528
\$ 50.761	Total Investments (cost \$59,477,262) – 139.3%			60,274,874
59,761	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 3.2%			1,405,358
			(	1,403,338
	Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (42.5)% (6)		(	\$
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			43,280,232

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares (1) unless otherwise noted.

Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates

(2) (month and year) and prices of the earliest

optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of

(3) Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"),

Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are

considered to be below investment grade.

Investment valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by, or at the discretion of, the Board of

(4) Trustees. For fair value measurement disclo-

sure purposes, investment categorized as Level 3. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies,

Investment Valuation for more information.

Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities,

- (5) which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (6) Auction Rate Preferred shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 30.5%. N/R Not rated.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

## Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund

	~ •	
NTX	Portfolio of Investments	

NIA	Fortiono of investments	T 1 21 2010			
		July 31, 2010			
Principal			Optional Call		
Amount				Ratings	
(000)	Description (1)		Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Consumer Staples – 1.5% (1.0% of Total Investment	s)			
\$	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco				\$
2,280	Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds,		5/12 at 100.00	BBB	2,177,514
	Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33				
	Education and Civic Organizations – 15.9% (10.9% of	of			
	Total Investments)				
	Laredo Community College District, Wells County,				
2.000	Texas, Combined Fee Revenue Bonds, Series		8/20 at 100.00	AAA	2,081,320
,	2010, 5.250%, 8/01/35 – AGM Insured				, - , - , -
	Red River Education Finance Corporation, Texas,				
	Revenue Bonds, Hockaday School, Series 2005:				
1 170	5.000%, 5/15/27		5/15 at 100.00	ΔΔ	1,217,385
	5.000%, 5/15/28		5/15 at 100.00		1,274,391
	5.000%, 5/15/29		5/15 at 100.00		1,332,596
1,270	Texas Public Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds,		3/13 at 100.00	AA	1,332,370
	Texas Southern University Financing System,				
	Series 2003:				
1 710			5/12 at 100 00	Da1	1 625 692
	5.000%, 5/01/18 – FGIC Insured		5/13 at 100.00	Ba1	1,635,683
	5.000%, 5/01/19 – FGIC Insured		5/13 at 100.00	Ba1	1,696,185
1,885	5.000%, 5/01/20 – FGIC Insured		5/13 at 100.00	Ba1	1,764,360
1.665	Texas State University System, Financing Revenue		0/14 - 100.00		1 772 010
1,665	Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 3/15/24 –		9/14 at 100.00	AAA	1,772,010
	AGM Insured				
	Texas State University System, Financing Revenue				
2,000	Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%,		3/12 at 100.00	AAA	2,117,240
	3/15/20 – AGM Insured				
	Universal City Education Facilities Corporation,				
2,330	Texas, Revenue Bonds, Wayland Baptist		3/11 at 102.00	A–	2,378,394
	University Project, Series 2001, 5.625%, 3/01/26				
	University of North Texas, Financing System				
5,000	Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 4/15/24 –		4/12 at 100.00	AAA	5,399,400
	AGM Insured				
22,075	Total Education and Civic Organizations			4	22,668,964
	Energy – 2.0% (1.4% of Total Investments)				
	Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Texas, Waste				
3,000	Disposal Revenue Bonds, Valero Energy		10/10 at 100.00	BBB	2,843,100
	Corporation Project, Series 1998, 5.600%, 4/01/32				
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)				
	Health Care – 12.8% (8.8% of Total Investments)				
	Brazoria County Health Facilities Development				
	Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Brazosport				
	Memorial Hospital, Series 2004:				
	1 /				

1,745	5.250%, 7/01/20 – RAAI Insured	7/14 at 100.00	BBB- 1,692,458
1,835	5.250%, 7/01/21 – RAAI Insured	7/14 at 100.00	BBB- 1,755,526
	Midland County Hospital District, Texas, Hospital		
1,270	Revenue Bonds, Series 1992, 0.000%, 6/01/11	No Opt. Call	BBB- 1,224,521
	North Central Texas Health Facilities Development	•	
2,000	Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Baylor	5/11 at 100.00	Aa2 2,007,620
	Healthcare System, Series 2001A, 5.125%, 5/15/29		
	Richardson Hospital Authority, Texas, Hospital		
105	Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds,	12/10 at 100.00	Baa2 98,869
	Baylor/Richardson Medical Center, Series 1998,		
	5.625%, 12/01/28		
	Richardson Hospital Authority, Texas, Revenue		
	Bonds, Richardson Regional Medical Center,		
	Series 2004:		
2,000	5.875%, 12/01/24	12/13 at 100.00	Baa2 1,981,680
1,000	6.000%, 12/01/34	12/13 at 100.00	Baa2 968,840
	Tarrant County Cultural & Educational Facilities		
2,500	Financing Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds,	11/17 at 100.00	AA- 2,499,825
	Texas Health Resources, Series 2007B, 5.000%,		
	11/15/42		
	Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance		
1,250	Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue	8/20 at 100.00	A1 1,250,938
	Bonds, Scott & White HealthCare Project, Series		
	2010, 5.250%, 8/15/40		
	Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance		
2,000	Corporation, Texas, Revenue Refunding	1/19 at 100.00	AAA 2,199,680
	Bonds, Christus Health, Series 2008, 6.500%,		
	7/01/37 – AGC Insured		

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

<b>.</b>		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount	D (1)	Provisions	2 (2)	37.1
(000)	Description (1)	(2) F	Ratings (3)	Value
	Health Care (continued)	7/17		¢
ф. 700	Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital	7/17 at	D 1	\$
\$ 700	Revenue Bonds, Mother Frances	100.00	Baa1	615,503
	Hospital Regional Healthcare Center, Series 2007B, 5.000%, 7/01/37	7/17		
2.250	Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital	7/17 at	D 1	2 010 002
2,250	Revenue Bonds, Mother Frances	100.00	Ваат	2,018,003
10.655	Hospital Regional Healthcare Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 7/01/33			10 212 462
18,655	Total Health Care			18,313,463
	Housing/Multifamily – 1.7% (1.2% of Total Investments)			
	Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation, Texas, Insured			
	Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds,			
	Waters at Northern Hills Apartments Project, Series 2001A:	0/11		
2 000	( 0000/ 0/01/21 NDFC I 1	8/11 at	D 1	1.016.260
2,000	6.000%, 8/01/31 – NPFG Insured	102.00	Baa1	1,816,260
750	( 0500) 0/01/2( NDFO I 1	8/11 at	D 1	(70.050
	6.050%, 8/01/36 – NPFG Insured	102.00	Baa1	670,958
2,750	Total Housing/Multifamily			2,487,218
	Housing/Single Family – 2.8% (1.9% of Total Investments)	4/11		
1 410	El Paso Housing Finance Corporation, Texas, GNMA Collateralized	4/11 at		1 511 016
1,418	Single Family Mortgage Revenue	106.75	AAA	1,511,916
	Bonds, Series 2001A-3, 6.180%, 4/01/33	0/10 -4		
5	Galveston Property Finance Authority Inc., Texas, Single Family	9/10 at	C1	4.024
5	Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series	100.00	Caa1	4,924
	1991A, 8.500%, 9/01/11 Tayas Department of Hausing and Community Affairs, Single Family	2/12 of		
2.440	Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Single Family	3/12 at 100.00	A A A	2 460 929
2,440	Mortgage Bonds, Series 2002B, 5.550%, 9/01/33 – NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00	AAA	2,460,838
2 962	Total Housing/Single Family			2 077 679
3,863	Long-Term Care – 1.0% (0.7% of Total Investments)			3,977,678
	Bexar County, Texas, Health Facilities Development Corporation			
	Revenue Bonds, Army Retirement			
	Residence, Series 2007:			
	Residence, Series 2007.	7/17 at		
1,000	5.000%, 7/01/27	100.00	BBB	912,430
1,000	5.000 %, 7/01/27	7/17 at	ססס	912,430
600	5.000%, 7/01/37	100.00	BBB	521,052
	Total Long-Term Care	100.00	ססס	1,433,482
1,000	Materials – 4.2% (2.9% of Total Investments)			1,733,702
	Cass County Industrial Development Corporation, Texas,	9/10 at		
3 000	Environmental Improvement Revenue	101.00	BBB	3,032,520
3,000	Environmental improvement revenue	101.00	מטט	3,032,320

	Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2000A, 6.600%, 3/15/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority, Texas, Sewage and Solid Waste	10/10 at		
3,000	Disposal Facility Bonds, E.I.	100.00	A	3,002,700
	DuPont de Nemours and Company Project, Series 1996, 6.400%,			
	4/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
6,000	Total Materials			6,035,220
	Tax Obligation/General – 45.1% (30.9% of Total Investments)			
	Bexar County, Texas, Combined Tax and Revenue Certificates of	6/14 at		
1,260	Obligation, Series 2004,	100.00	Aaa	1,366,634
	5.000%, 6/15/19			
	Borger Independent School District, Hutchison County, Texas,	2/16 at		
2,000	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	2,087,880
	2006, 5.000%, 2/15/36			
	Calallen Independent School District, Nueces County, Texas, General	2/18 at		
400	Obligation Bonds, School	100.00	AAA	419,308
	Building Series 2008, 5.000%, 2/15/38			
	Canutillo Independent School District, El Paso County, Texas,	8/15 at		
1,190	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	1,331,170
	2006A, 5.000%, 8/15/22			
	Copperas Cove, Texas, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2003,	8/12 at		
325	5.000%, 8/15/23 – MBIA Insured	100.00	AA-	343,554
	Corpus Christi, Texas, Combination Tax and Municipal Hotel	9/12 at		
2,305	Occupancy Tax Revenue Certificates	100.00	AAA	2,482,116
	of Obligation, Series 2002, 5.500%, 9/01/21 – AGM Insured			
	Denton County, Texas, Permanent Improvement General Obligation	7/12 at		
2,595	Bonds, Series 2005,	100.00	AAA	2,821,959
	5.000%, 7/15/25			
	El Paso County, Texas, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2001,	No Opt.		
1,750	5.000%, 2/15/21 – AGM Insured	Call	AAA	2,049,775

Duin aim al		Optional Call		
Principal Amount		Provisions		
	Description (1)		Ratings (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	(2)	Ratings (3)	value
	Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District 25, Texas, General			
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2005:			
	Congulon Bonds, Series 2005.	10/12 at		\$
\$ 1,330	5.000%, 10/01/26 – FGIC Insured	100.00	A	1,343,247
, ,		10/12 at		,, -
1,320	5.000%, 10/01/27 – FGIC Insured	100.00	A	1,330,428
	Frisco, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%,	2/16 at		
3,615	2/15/26 – FGIC Insured	100.00	Aa1	3,852,180
	Grand Prairie Independent School District, Dallas County, Texas,	8/18 at		
8,500	General Obligation Bonds,	22.64	AA-	1,233,265
	Capital Appreciation Refunding Series 2009, 0.000%, 8/15/39			
	Houston Community College System, Texas, Limited Tax General			
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2003:			
		2/13 at		
2,500	5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	AA+	2,707,675
		2/13 at		
2,235	5.000%, 2/15/21 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	AA+	2,420,661
	Houston, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005E, 5.000%,	3/15 at		
5,000	3/01/23 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	AA	5,408,000
	Judson Independent School District, Bexar County, Texas, General	2/11 at		
100	Obligation Refunding Bonds,	100.00	Aaa	102,157
	Series 2002, 5.250%, 2/01/21			
	Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis	8/14 at		
4,900	Counties, Texas, General Obligation	17.78	AAA	704,963
	Bonds, Series 2006, 0.000%, 8/15/45			
	Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis	8/17 at		
1,000	Counties, Texas, General Obligation	33.01	AAA	231,990
	Bonds, Series 2008, 0.000%, 8/15/36			
<b>7.00</b> 0	Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis	8/10 at		• 600 000
5,220	Counties, Texas, Unlimited Tax	49.80	AAA	2,603,893
	School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2000, 0.000%, 8/15/21	0/10		
265	Lone Star College System, Harris and Montgomery Counties, Texas,	8/19 at		200 747
365	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	AAA	389,747
	Series 2009, 5.000%, 8/15/34  Managard Indian and Art Salvard District Toward Country Toward	2/14		
1 000	Mansfield Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas,	2/14 at	A A A	1 114 200
1,000	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	1,114,380
	2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 Mercadas Independent School District, Hidalgo County, Tayos	9/15 of		
1.010	Mercedes Independent School District, Hidalgo County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series	8/15 at 100.00	A A A	1 007 597
1,010		100.00	AAA	1,097,587
	2005, 5.000%, 8/15/23 Midlothian Independent School District, Ellis County, Texas, General	2/15 at		
5,515		100.00	Λ 00	5,724,460
5,515	2005, 5.000%, 2/15/34	100.00	таа	3,147,400
1,500	2003, 3.000 /v, 2/13/3T		ΔΔ	1,614,735
1,500			$\Lambda\Lambda$	1,017,733

	Montgomery County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2008B, 5.250%, 3/01/32	3/19 at 100.00		
	Northside Independent School District, Bexar County, Texas,	8/10 at		
100	Unlimited Tax School Building and	100.00	AAA	100,414
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2000, 5.875%, 8/15/25	2/10		
2 000	Plano Independent School District, Collin County, Texas, General	2/18 at		2.156.620
2,000		100.00	Aaa	2,156,620
	2008, 5.250%, 2/15/34  Prove Judges deat School District Transa Consul Obligation Providence			
	Roma Independent School District, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005:			
		8/15 at		
1,110	5.000%, 8/15/22	100.00	AAA	1,241,679
		8/15 at		
1,165	5.000%, 8/15/23 – AGM Insured	100.00	AAA	1,294,210
	Southside Independent School District, Bexar County, Texas, General	8/14 at		
1,250		100.00	Aaa	1,382,588
	2004A, 5.000%, 8/15/22			
	Sunnyvale School District, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series	2/14 at		
1,140	2004, 5.250%, 2/15/25	100.00	AAA	1,247,035
	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission	4/17 at		
5,000		100.00	Aaa	5,267,450
	5.000%, 4/01/33 (UB)			
	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission	4/18 at		
1,000	Mobility Fund, Series 2008,	100.00	Aaa	1,074,660
	5.000%, 4/01/30 (UB)			
	Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Water Utility, Series 2001,	8/11 at		
1,110	5.250%, 8/01/23	100.00	Aaa	1,152,247
	Victoria Independent School District, Victoria County, Texas, General	2/17 at		
3,025	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	3,212,762
	2007, 5.000%, 2/15/32			
	West Texas Independent School District, McLennan and Hill			
	Counties, General Obligation			
	Refunding Bonds, Series 1998:			
		8/13 at		
1,000	0.000%, 8/15/22	61.20	AAA	545,140
		8/13 at		
1,000	0.000%, 8/15/24	54.88	AAA	485,250

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)			
	White Settlement Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas,			
	General Obligation Bonds,			
	Series 2006:			
\$		8/15 at		\$
	0.000%, 8/15/43	23.11	AAA	266,970
,	,	8/15 at		,
1.500	0.000%, 8/15/44	21.88	AAA	252,435
-,		8/15 at		,
425	0.000%, 8/15/45	20.76	AAA	67,805
	Total Tax Obligation/General	20170		64,529,029
77,200	Tax Obligation/Limited – 9.1% (6.3% of Total Investments)			01,525,025
	Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Texas, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior	12/16 at		
7 940	Lien Refunding Series 2007,	100.00		8,218,218
7,540	5.000%, 12/01/36 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	7 17 17 1	0,210,210
	Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Junior Lien Revenue			
	Bonds, Series 2001H:			
	Bolids, Series 200111.	11/31 at		
1 720	0.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG Insured	83.17	A	307,089
1,720	0.000%, 11/13/34 – NFFO Insuled	11/31 at		307,009
020	0.000% 11/15/26 NDEC Inquired	73.51	A	144 005
930	0.000%, 11/15/36 – NPFG Insured	11/31 at		144,085
2 265	0.0000/ 11/15/20 NDEC Leaves d			129 017
3,203	0.000%, 11/15/38 – NPFG Insured	64.91	A	438,947
	Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Senior Lien Revenue			
	Bonds, Series 2001G:	11/11 -4		
2.250	5 2500/ 11/15/22 NDEC I	11/11 at		2 262 510
2,250	5.250%, 11/15/22 – NPFG Insured	100.00		2,262,510
2 47.5	0.000g 11/15/41 NDDG I 1	11/31 at		267.250
2,4/5	0.000%, 11/15/41 – NPFG Insured	53.78	A	267,350
1 470	Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.	4.0	206.501
1,470	Convention and Entertainment	Call	A2	386,581
	Project, Series 2001B, 0.000%, 9/01/32 – AMBAC Insured	0.44.0		
	Uptown Development Authority, Houston, Texas, Tax Increment	9/19 at		
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Infrastructure	100.00	BBB+	1,015,670
	Improvement Facilities, Series 2009, 5.500%, 9/01/29			
21,050	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			13,040,450
	Transportation – 10.2% (7.0% of Total Investments)			
	Austin, Texas, Airport System Prior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series	11/13 at		
1,000	2003, 5.250%, 11/15/16 –	100.00	A	1,072,710
	NPFG Insured			

Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2010:

	Bolids, Series 2010.			
		No Opt.		
2,945	0.000%, 1/01/36	Call	BBB-	517,378
		No Opt.		
2,205	0.000%, 1/01/37	Call	BBB-	359,900
		No Opt.		
2,000	0.000%, 1/01/38	Call	BBB-	303,200
	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Travis and Williamson	1/15 at		
3,260	Counties, Toll Road Revenue	100.00	A	3,301,076
	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/22 – FGIC Insured			
	Dallas-Ft. Worth International Airport Facility Improvement	11/10 at		
2,600	Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds,	100.50	CCC+	2,092,012
,	American Airlines Inc., Series 1999, 6.375%, 5/01/35 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
	Houston, Texas, Subordinate Lien Airport System Revenue Bonds,	1/11 at		
2,000	Series 2000A, 5.625%, 7/01/30 –	100.00	AAA	2,000,680
,	AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)			, ,
	North Texas Thruway Authority, First Tier System Revenue	No Opt.		
2.500	Refunding Bonds, Capital Appreciation	Call	AAA	602,050
2,500	Series 2008, 0.000%, 1/01/36 – AGC Insured	Culi	7 11 11 1	002,000
	North Texas Thruway Authority, First Tier System Revenue	1/18 at		
395	Refunding Bonds, Series 2008A,	100.00	A2	412,040
373	5.750%, 1/01/40	100.00	112	112,010
	North Texas Thruway Authority, First Tier System Revenue			
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B:			
	Retuiting Bolids, Series 2000B.	1/18 at		
325	5.750%, 1/01/40	100.00	A2	339,021
323	3.73076, 1701740	1/18 at	112	337,021
225	5.750%, 1/01/40 – NPFG Insured	100.00	A	234,707
223	North Texas Thruway Authority, Second Tier System Revenue	1/18 at	А	234,707
050	Refunding Bonds, Series 2008,	100.00	A3	996,370
930	5.750%, 1/01/38	100.00	AJ	990,370
	North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Bonds, First Tier			
	Series 2009A:			
	Series 2009A:	1/19 at		
100	6 1000/- 1/01/29	1/19 at 100.00	A2	110.250
100	6.100%, 1/01/28		AZ	110,259
2 000	6 2500/ 1/01/20	1/19 at	4.2	2 106 520
	6.250%, 1/01/39 Total Transportation	100.00		2,186,520
22,303	Total Transportation			14,527,923

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1) U.S. Guaranteed – 15.2% (10.4% of Total Investments) (4)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
\$ 295	Coppell Independent School District, Dallas County, Texas, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 0.000%, 8/15/14 – MBIA Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AAA	\$ 279,330
950	Copperas Cove, Texas, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2003, 5.000%, 8/15/23 (Pre-refunded 8/15/12) – MBIA Insured Gregg County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Good Shepherd Medical Center Project, Series 2000:	8/12 at 100.00	A (4)	1,038,996
	3,	10/10 at		
2,000	6.875%, 10/01/20 (Pre-refunded 10/01/10) – RAAI Insured	101.00 10/10 at	N/R (4)	2,041,980
3,250	6.375%, 10/01/25 (Pre-refunded 10/01/10) – RAAI Insured Harris County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas,	101.00 8/11 at	N/R (4)	3,315,520
500	Revenue Bonds, St. Luke's Episcopal Hospital, Series 2001A, 5.500%, 2/15/21 (Pre-refunded 8/15/11)	100.00	AAA	527,535
1,000	North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Presbyterian Healthcare System, Series 1996B, 5.750%, 6/01/26 – NPFG Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	1,221,780
2,500	Retama Development Corporation, Texas, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Retama Park Racetrack, Series 1993, 8.750%, 12/15/18 (Pre-refunded 12/15/17)	12/17 at 100.00	AAA	3,525,025
1,750	San Antonio, Texas, Electric and Gas System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 2/01/20 (Pre-refunded 2/01/12)	2/12 at 100.00	AAA	1,881,198
1,440	South Texas Community College District, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 8/15/17 (Pre-refunded 8/15/12) – AMBAC Insured	8/12 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	1,588,277
3,500	Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Adventist Health System – Sunbelt Obligated Group, Series 2000, 6.625%, 11/15/20 (Pre-refunded 11/15/10)	11/10 at 101.00	N/R (4)	3,598,735
1,500	Texas, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Public Finance Authority, Series 2002, 5.000%, 10/01/18 (Pre-refunded 10/01/12)	10/12 at 100.00	Aaa	1,648,035
1,000	Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Mother Frances Hospital Regional Healthcare Center, Series 2001, 6.000%, 7/01/31 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12)	7/12 at 100.00	Baa1 (4)	1,096,930

19,685	Total U.S. Guaranteed		21,763,341
	Utilities – 12.7% (8.7% of Total Investments)		
	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding	4/13 at	
2,560	Bonds, TXU Electric	101.00	Caa3 1,368,986
	Company, Series 1999C, 7.700%, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum		
	Tax)		
	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Reliant Energy Inc.,	10/10 at	
2,400	Series 1999A,	100.50	BBB- 2,409,288
	5.375%, 4/01/19		
	Brownsville, Texas, Utility System Priority Revenue Bonds, Series	9/15 at	
5,000	2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/27 –	100.00	Aa3 5,189,400
	AMBAC Insured		
	Bryan, Brazos County, Texas, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series	7/17 at	
2,000	2009, 5.000%, 7/01/34	100.00	A+ 2,039,160
	Harris County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas,	8/10 at	
2,000	Thermal Utility Revenue Bonds,	100.00	Aa3 2,005,160
	TECO Project, Series 2000, 5.750%, 2/15/15 – AMBAC Insured		
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Series 2008,	5/15 at	
2,000	5.750%, 5/15/37	100.00	A1 2,106,080
	Matagorda County Navigation District 1, Texas, Revenue Bonds,	11/10 at	
1,000	Reliant Energy Inc., Series	100.50	BBB- 1,000,140
	1999B, 5.950%, 5/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	Matagorda County Navigation District Number One, Texas, Pollution	7/19 at	
1,000	Control Revenue Refunding	102.00	BBB 1,094,070
	Bonds, Central Power and Light Company Project, Series 2009A,		
	6.300%, 11/01/29	0.400	
4 000	Texas Municipal Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Transmission	9/20 at	
1,000	Refunding Series 2010, 5.000%,	100.00	A+ 1,010,620
10.060	9/01/40 (WI/DD, Settling 8/30/10)		10.222.004
18,960	Total Utilities		18,222,904

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of Investments July 31, 2010

		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000)	Description (1)	(2) H	Ratings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer – 11.6% (7.9% of Total Investments)			
	Coastal Water Authority, Texas, Contract Revenue Bonds, Houston			
	Water Projects, Series 2004:			
\$		12/14 at		
1,005	5.000%, 12/15/20 – FGIC Insured	100.00	A \$	1,066,375
		12/14 at		
1,030	5.000%, 12/15/21 – FGIC Insured	100.00	A	1,086,443
	El Paso, Texas, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding	3/18 at		
1,000	Series 2008C, 5.375%, 3/01/29	100.00	AA	1,088,489
	Houston, Texas, First Lien Combined Utility System Revenue	5/14 at		
3,000	Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%,	100.00	AA	3,287,549
	5/15/23 – FGIC Insured			
	Houston, Texas, Junior Lien Water and Sewerage System Revenue	12/11 at		
3,500	Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A,	100.00	AAA	3,708,669
	5.500%, 12/01/17 – AGM Insured			
	Irving, Texas, Subordinate Lien Waterworks and Sewerage			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2004:			
		8/14 at		
1,680	5.000%, 8/15/22 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	Aa1	1,816,213
		8/14 at		
1,760	5.000%, 8/15/23 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	Aa1	1,889,482
	Rowlett, Rockwall and Dallas Counties, Texas, Waterworks and	3/14 at		
1,260	Sewerage System Revenue Bonds,	100.00	AA-	1,323,969
	Series 2004A, 5.000%, 3/01/22 – NPFG Insured			
	Texas Water Development Board, Senior Lien State Revolving	1/11 at		
1,300	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A,	100.00	AAA	1,305,602
	5.500%, 7/15/21			
15,535	Total Water and Sewer			16,572,791
\$				
237,218	Total Investments (cost \$201,879,097) – 145.8%			208,593,077
	Floating Rate Obligations – (2.8)%			(3,960,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.5%			3,496,817
	Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (45.5)% (5)			(65,050,000)
				\$
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			143,079,894

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares (1) unless otherwise noted.

(2)

Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest

optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of

(3) Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"),

Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade.

Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities,

- (4) which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Auction Rate Preferred shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 31.2%.

N/R Not rated.

WI/DD Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.

(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial

(UB) Statements, Footnote 1 – Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

## Statement of Assets & Liabilities

Assets & Liabilities					July 31, 2010
	Arizona Premium Income (NAZ)	Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ)	Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR)	Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 (NXE)	Texas Quality Income (NTX)
Assets					
Investments, at value (cost					
\$83,508,446, \$31,425,432,					
\$49,228,018, \$59,477,262 and \$201,879,097, respectively)	¢ 00 002 144	\$31,980,266	¢50 500 204	¢60 274 974	\$208 502 077
Cash	\$88,003,144 2,113,293	459,732	\$50,588,304 1,552,243	\$60,274,874 1,231,287	\$208,593,077 1,463,040
Receivables:	2,113,293	439,732	1,332,243	1,231,267	1,403,040
Interest	590,159	265,069	411,268	418,964	3,074,789
Investments sold	55,000	203,009	411,200	410,904	1,046,665
Other assets	19,484	16,053	19,932	16,135	45,237
Total assets	90,781,080	32,721,120	52,571,747	61,941,260	214,222,808
Liabilities	70,701,000	32,721,120	32,371,717	01,511,200	211,222,000
Floating rate obligations					3,960,000
Payables:					2,700,000
Investments purchased	_	_		_	1,331,909
Auction Rate Preferred share					, ,
dividends	628	496	584	1,288	2,147
Common share dividends	262,204	97,479	159,261	190,926	603,100
Accrued expenses:	•	,	,	•	•
Management fees	49,713	16,120	24,300	30,025	112,949
Other	44,989	22,630	29,340	38,789	82,809
Total liabilities	357,534	136,725	213,485	261,028	6,092,914
Auction Rate Preferred shares, at					
liquidation value	27,875,000	10,600,000	16,625,000	18,400,000	65,050,000
Net assets applicable to Common					
shares	\$62,548,546	\$21,984,395	\$35,733,262	\$43,280,232	\$143,079,894
Common shares outstanding	4,469,952	1,548,020	2,439,551	3,066,030	9,529,884
Net asset value per Common share					
outstanding (net assets					
applicable to Common shares,					
divided by Common	<b>0.10</b> .00	<b>0.1.4.3</b> 0	<b>0146</b>	<b>01110</b>	Φ1 <b>.</b> Ε Ο1
shares outstanding)	\$13.99	\$14.20	\$14.65	\$14.12	\$15.01
Net assets applicable to Common					
shares consist of:					
Common shares, \$.01 par value per share	¢ 4.4.700	¢ 15 400	\$24.206	¢20.660	¢05 200
	\$44,700	\$15,480	\$24,396	\$30,660	\$95,299 135,135,057
Paid-in surplus Undistributed (Over-distribution of)	62,166,864	21,910,016	34,577,972	43,233,092	135,135,057
net investment income	961,516	278,947	427,638	487,582	2,042,050
Accumulated net realized gain (loss)	(5,119,232)	(774,882)	(657,030)	(1,268,714)	(906,492)
Accumulated liet realized galli (1088)	(3,117,434 )	(117,004 )	(037,030 )	(1,200,/14)	(700,774 )

4,494,698	554,834	1,360,286	797,612	6,713,980
\$62,548,546	\$21,984,395	\$35,733,262	\$43,280,232	\$143,079,894
200,000,000	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited
1,000,000	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited
	\$62,548,546 200,000,000	\$62,548,546 \$21,984,395 200,000,000 Unlimited	\$62,548,546 \$21,984,395 \$35,733,262 200,000,000 Unlimited Unlimited	\$62,548,546 \$21,984,395 \$35,733,262 \$43,280,232 200,000,000 Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# Statement of Operations

Year Ended July 31, 2010

	Arizona Premium	Arizona Dividend	Arizona Dividend Advantage	Arizona Dividend Advantage	Texas Quality
	Income (NAZ)	Advantage (NFZ)	2 (NKR)	3 (NXE)	Income (NTX)
Investment Income	\$4,507,457	\$1,579,349	\$2,571,398	\$3,099,881	\$10,596,403
Expenses					
Management fees	582,148	202,746	336,597	399,605	1,318,389
Auction Rate Preferred shares – auction					
fees	41,811	15,899	24,937	27,601	97,575
Auction Rate Preferred shares – dividend		10.000			• • • • • •
disbursing agent fees	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000
Shareholders' servicing agent fees and					
expenses	3,352	536	482	390	9,051
Interest expense on floating rate					20.102
obligations					20,183
Custodian's fees and expenses	20,920	11,611	15,505	16,322	42,365
Directors'/Trustees' fees and expenses	2,548	908	1,469	1,909	5,852
Professional fees	18,717	11,034	12,841	13,604	41,748
Shareholders' reports – printing and mailin	-	1.1.0.10	10 ===		<b>50</b> 4 <b>5</b> 0
expenses	27,656	14,043	18,775	21,141	52,459
Stock exchange listing fees	9,145	217	342	430	9,177
Investor relations expense	7,114	2,533	4,103	4,752	14,710
Other expenses	18,336	15,284	16,644	15,704	22,882
Total expenses before custodian fee credit					
and	741 747	204.011	441.605	511 450	1.654.201
expense reimbursement	741,747	284,811	441,695	511,458	1,654,391
Custodian fee credit	(310)	(281 )	(403)	(304)	(569)
Expense reimbursement		(23,792 )	(69,610 )	(57,404 )	
Net expenses	741,437	260,738	371,682	453,750	1,653,822
Net investment income	3,766,020	1,318,611	2,199,716	2,646,131	8,942,581
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)	211 410	2.001	15.005	102.076	107.027
Net realized gain (loss) from investments	211,410	3,081	15,295	103,076	197,927
Change in net unrealized appreciation					
(depreciation)	4.067.225	0 170 004	0.615.000	2.715.057	10 100 560
of investments	4,067,325	2,172,884	2,615,288	3,715,857	10,122,568
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	4,278,735	2,175,965	2,630,583	3,818,933	10,320,495
Distributions to Auction Rate Preferred					
Shareholders	(115 200 )	(44.516	((0.004)	(7( 002 )	(257,007
From net investment income	(115,298)	(44,516)	(69,894)	(76,983)	(257,907 )
From accumulated net realized gains					(19,921)
Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares					
from distributions to Auction Rate					
Preferred shareholders	(115 200 )	(11 516 )	(60.904	(76.002	(277 020 )
1 TOTOTTOU STIGITOTUOTS	(115,298)	(44,516)	(69,894)	(76,983)	(277,828)

Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to

Common shares from operations \$7,929,457 \$3,450,060 \$4,760,405 \$6,388,081 \$18,985,248

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

### Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Changes in 1 tet 7 ssets	Arizona		Arizona		Arizona Dividend Advantage 2		
	Premium Inco Year Ended	Year Ended	Dividend Adva Year Ended	Year Ended	(NKR) Year Ended	Year Ended	
Operations	7/31/10	7/31/09	7/31/10	7/31/09	7/31/10	7/31/09	
Net investment income Net realized gain (loss)	\$3,766,020	\$3,812,672	\$1,318,611	\$1,299,942	\$2,199,716	\$2,259,065	
from: Investments Futures contracts Change in net unrealized appreciation	211,410	(2,710,445)	3,081	(429,021 ) —	15,295 —	(349,393 )	
(depreciation) of: Investments Futures contracts Distributions to Auction Rate	4,067,325	1,955,974	2,172,884	(604,752 )	2,615,288 —	(337,136 )	
Preferred Shareholders: From net investment income From accumulated net realized gains	(115,298 )	(565,487 )	(44,516 ) —	(220,718 )	(69,894 ) —	(349,919 )	
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares							
from operations Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment	7,929,457	2,492,714	3,450,060	45,451	4,760,405	1,222,617	
income From accumulated net realized gains Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares from distributions	(3,146,573)	(2,846,851)	(1,070,455)	(976,248 )	(1,856,497)	(1,712,859)	
to Common shareholders Capital Share Transactions Common shares: Net proceeds from shares issued to	(3,146,573)	(2,846,851)	(1,070,455)	(976,248 )	(1,856,497)	(1,712,859)	

shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions Repurchased and retired Net increase in net assets applicable to Common	10,931 —	12,298 —		7,371 (24,038 )		16,440 (8,296 )
shares						
from capital share transactions Net increase (decrease)	10,931	12,298	_	(16,667 )	_	8,144
in net assets applicable to Common shares Net assets applicable to Common	4,793,815	(341,839 )	2,379,605	(947,464 )	2,903,908	(482,098 )
shares at the beginning of year Net assets applicable to	57,754,731	58,096,570	19,604,790	20,552,254	32,829,354	33,311,452
Common shares at the end of year Undistributed (Over-distribution of)	\$62,548,546	\$57,754,731	\$21,984,395	\$19,604,790	\$35,733,262	\$32,829,354
net investment income at the end of year	\$961,516	\$492,363	\$278,947	\$75,318	\$427,638	\$154,645

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# Statement of Changes in Net Assets (continued)

Changes in 14ct / 455cts (continued)	Arizona Dividend Adva	antage 3	Texas		
	(NXE)		Quality Income	(NTX)	
	Year	Year	Year	Year	
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended	
	7/31/10	7/31/09	7/31/10	7/31/09	
Operations					
Net investment income	\$2,646,131	\$2,685,306	\$8,942,581	\$8,942,930	
Net realized gain (loss) from:					
Investments	103,076	(323,361)	197,927	(260,097)	
Futures contracts	_			279,232	
Change in net unrealized appreciation					
(depreciation) of:					
Investments	3,715,857	(880,027)	10,122,568	(1,592,328)	
Futures contracts				(80,805)	
Distributions to Auction Rate				,	
Preferred Shareholders:					
From net investment income	(76,983)	(412,423)	(257,907)	(1,209,638)	
From accumulated net realized gains	<del>_</del>		(19,921)	(148,005)	
Net increase (decrease) in net assets			, ,		
applicable to Common shares					
from operations	6,388,081	1,069,495	18,985,248	5,931,289	
Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	(2,236,669)	(2,005,865)	(7,745,753)	(6,752,824)	
From accumulated net realized gains	_	_	(114,136)	(446,272)	
Decrease in net assets applicable to					
Common shares from distributions					
to Common shareholders	(2,236,669)	(2,005,865)	(7,859,889)	(7,199,096)	
Capital Share Transactions					
Common shares:					
Net proceeds from shares issued to					
shareholders due to reinvestment					
of distributions	_		441,175	67,966	
Repurchased and retired		(15,380)			
Net increase in net assets					
applicable to Common shares					
from capital share transactions		(15,380)	441,175	67,966	
Net increase (decrease) in net assets					
applicable to Common shares	4,151,412	(951,750)	11,566,534	(1,199,841)	
Net assets applicable to Common					
shares at the beginning of year	39,128,820	40,080,570	131,513,360	132,713,201	
Net assets applicable to Common					
shares at the end of year	\$43,280,232	\$39,128,820	\$143,079,894	\$131,513,360	
Undistributed (Over-distribution of)					
net investment income					
at the end of year	\$487,582	\$157,033	\$2,042,050	\$1,103,875	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements

#### 1. General Information and Significant Accounting Policies

The funds covered in this report and their corresponding Common share stock exchange symbols are Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFZ), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NKR), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NXE) and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX) (collectively, the "Funds"). Common shares of Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) and Texas Quality Income (NTX) are traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") while Common shares of Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ), Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR) and Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 (NXE) are traded on the NYSE Amex. The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as closed-end management investment companies.

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from both regular federal and designated state income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities within a single state or certain U.S. territories.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") established the FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> (the "Codification") as the single source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The Codification supersedes existing non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. The Codification did not change GAAP but rather organized it into a hierarchy where all guidance within the Codification carries an equal level of authority. The Codification became effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification did not have a material effect on the Funds' financial statements.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP").

#### **Investment Valuation**

Prices of fixed-income securities and derivative instruments are provided by a pricing service approved by the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees. Fixed-income securities are valued by a pricing service that values portfolio securities at the mean between the quoted bid and ask prices or the yield equivalent when quotations are readily available. These securities are generally classified as Level 2. Securities for which quotations are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal securities) are valued at fair value as determined by the pricing service using methods that may include consideration of the following: yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from security dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant. The pricing service may employ electronic data processing techniques and/or a matrix system to determine valuations. These securities are generally classified as Level 2.

Futures contracts are valued using the closing settlement price or, in the absence of such a price, at the mean of the bid and ask prices and are generally classified as Level 1.

Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term investments are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. These securities are generally classified as Level 1.

Certain securities may not be able to be priced by the pre-established pricing methods as described above. Such securities may be valued by the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees or its designee at fair value. These securities generally include, but are not limited to, restricted securities (securities which may not be publicly sold without registration under the Securities Act) for which a pricing service is unable to provide a market price; securities whose trading has been formally suspended; fixed-income securities that have gone into default and for which there is no current market quotation; a security whose market price is not available from a pre-established pricing source; a security with respect to which an event has occurred that is likely to materially affect the value of the security after the market has closed but before the calculation of a Fund's net asset value (as may be the case in non-U.S. markets on which the security is primarily traded) or make it difficult or impossible to obtain a reliable market quotation; and a security whose price, as provided by the pricing service, is not deemed to reflect the security's fair value. As a general principle, the fair value of an issue of securities would appear to be the amount that the owner might reasonably expect to receive for them in a current sale. A variety of factors may be considered in determining the fair value of these securities, which may include consideration of the following: yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from security dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant. These

Nuveen Investments	47
--------------------	----

Notes to

Financial Statements (continued)

securities are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 depending on the priority of the significant inputs. Regardless of the method employed to value a particular security, all valuations are subject to review by the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees or its designee.

Refer to Footnote 2 – Fair Value Measurements for further details on the leveling of securities held by the Funds as of the end of the reporting period.

#### **Investment Transactions**

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Investments purchased on a when-issued/delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. Any investments so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to segregate assets with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments. At July 31, 2010, Texas Quality Income (NTX) had outstanding when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments of \$1,010,190. There were no such outstanding purchase commitments in any of the other Funds.

#### Investment Income

Interest income, which includes the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts for financial reporting purposes, is recorded on an accrual basis. Investment income also includes paydown gains and losses, if any.

#### **Income Taxes**

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to distribute substantially all of its net investment income and net capital gains to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions that will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal and designated state income taxes, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds. Net realized capital gains and ordinary income distributions paid by the Funds are subject to federal taxation.

For all open tax years and all major taxing jurisdictions, management of the Funds has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions that would require recognition in the financial statements. Open tax years are those that are open for examination by taxing authorities (i.e., generally the last four tax year ends and the interim tax period since then). Furthermore, management of the Funds is also not aware of any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months.

#### Dividends and Distributions to Common Shareholders

Dividends from tax-exempt net investment income are declared monthly. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders at least annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to Common shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP.

**Auction Rate Preferred Shares** 

The Funds have issued and outstanding Auction Rate Preferred shares ("ARPS"), \$25,000 stated value per share, which approximates market value, as a means of effecting financial leverage. Each Fund's ARPS are issued in one or more Series. The dividend rate paid by the Funds on each Series is determined every seven days, pursuant to a dutch auction process overseen by the auction agent, and is payable at the end of each rate period.

As of July 31, 2010, the number of ARPS outstanding, by Series and in total, for each Fund is as follows:

	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Texas
	Premium	Dividend	Dividend	Dividend	Quality
			Advantage	Advantage	
	Income	Advantage	2	3	Income
	(NAZ)	(NFZ)	(NKR)	(NXE)	(NTX)
Number of shares:					
Series M	_	_		736	716
Series T	_	424			_
Series W	_	_	665		_
Series TH	1,115	_			1,886
Total	1,115	424	665	736	2,602

Beginning in February 2008, more shares for sale were submitted in the regularly scheduled auctions for the ARPS issued by the Funds than there were offers to buy. This meant that these auctions "failed to clear," and that many ARPS shareholders who wanted to sell their shares in these auctions were unable to do so. ARPS shareholders unable to sell their shares received distributions at the "maximum rate" applicable to failed auctions as

calculated in accordance with the pre-established terms of the ARPS. As of July 31, 2010, the aggregate amount of outstanding ARPS redeemed by each Fund is as follows:

	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Texas
	Premium	Dividend	Dividend	Dividend	Quality
	Income	Advantage	Advantage 2	Advantage 3	Income
	(NAZ)	(NFZ)	(NKR)	(NXE)	(NTX)
ARPS redeemed, at liquidation value	\$2,125,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,875,000	\$3,600,000	\$3,950,000

#### **Inverse Floating Rate Securities**

Each Fund is authorized to invest in inverse floating rate securities. An inverse floating rate security is created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. In turn, this trust (a) issues floating rate certificates, in face amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, that typically pay short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties, and (b) issues to a long-term investor (such as one of the Funds) an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") that represents all remaining or residual interest in the trust. The income received by the inverse floater holder varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the inverse floater holder bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk and also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond's value. The price of an inverse floating rate security will be more volatile than that of the underlying bond because the interest rate is dependent on not only the fixed coupon rate of the underlying bond but also on the short-term interest paid on the floating rate certificates, and because the inverse floating rate security essentially bears the risk of loss of the greater face value of the underlying bond.

A Fund may purchase an inverse floating rate security in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond (referred to as an "externally-deposited inverse floater"), or instead by first selling a fixed-rate bond to a broker-dealer for deposit into the special purpose trust and receiving in turn the residual interest in the trust (referred to as a "self-deposited inverse floater"). The inverse floater held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (a) to cause the holders of the floating rate certificates to tender their notes at par, and (b) to have the broker transfer the fixed-rate bond held by the trust to the Fund, thereby collapsing the trust. An investment in an externally-deposited inverse floater is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as "(IF) – Inverse floating rate investment." An investment in a self-deposited inverse floater is accounted for as a financing transaction. In such instances, a fixed-rate bond deposited into a special purpose trust is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as "(UB) – Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction," with the Fund accounting for the short-term floating rate certificates issued by the trust as "Floating rate obligations" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. In addition, the Fund reflects in "Investment Income" the entire earnings of the underlying bond and recognizes the related interest paid to the holders of the short-term floating rate certificates as "Interest expense on floating rate obligations" on the Statement of Operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2010, each Fund invested in externally-deposited inverse floaters and/or self-deposited inverse floaters.

Each Fund may also enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements (sometimes referred to as a "recourse trust" or "credit recovery swap") (such agreements referred to herein as "Recourse Trusts") with a broker-dealer by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker-dealer, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the fixed-rate bond held by the trust and the liquidation value of the floating rate certificates issued by the trust plus any shortfalls in interest cash flows. Under these agreements, a Fund's potential exposure to losses related to or on inverse floaters may increase beyond the value of a Fund's inverse floater investments as a Fund may potentially be liable to

fulfill all amounts owed to holders of the floating rate certificates. At period end, any such shortfall is recognized as "Unrealized depreciation on Recourse Trusts" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

At July 31, 2010, the Funds were not invested in externally-deposited Recourse Trusts.

	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Texas
	Premium	Dividend	Dividend	Dividend	Quality
	Income	Advantage	Advantage 2	Advantage 3	Income
	(NAZ)	(NFZ)	(NKR)	(NXE)	(NTX)
Maximum exposure to Recourse Trusts	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

The average floating rate obligations outstanding and average annual interest rate and fees related to self-deposited inverse floaters during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2010, were as follows:

Texas Quality Income (NTX) \$3,960,000 0.51 %

Average floating rate obligations outstanding Average annual interest rate and fees

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

#### **Futures Contracts**

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives and is authorized to invest in futures contracts in an attempt to manage such risk. Upon entering into a futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker an amount of cash or liquid securities equal to a specified percentage of the contract amount. This is known as the "initial margin." Cash held by the broker to cover initial margin requirements on open futures contracts, if any, is recognized as "Deposits with brokers for open futures contracts" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Subsequent payments ("variation margin") are made or received by a Fund each day, depending on the daily fluctuation of the value of the contract. Variation margin is recognized as a receivable or payable for "Variation margin on futures contracts" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, when applicable.

During the period the futures contract is open, changes in the value of the contract are recorded as an unrealized gain or loss by "marking-to-market" on a daily basis to reflect the changes in market value of the contract and is recognized as "Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of futures contracts" on the Statement of Operations. When the contract is closed or expired, a Fund records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract on the closing date and value of the contract when originally entered into and is recognized as "Net realized gain (loss) from futures contracts" on the Statement of Operations.

Risks of investments in futures contracts include the possible adverse movement of the securities or indices underlying the contracts, the possibility that there may not be a liquid secondary market for the contracts and/or that a change in the value of the contract may not correlate with a change in the value of the underlying securities or indices. The Funds did not invest in futures contracts during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2010.

#### Market and Counterparty Credit Risk

In the normal course of business each Fund may invest in financial instruments and enter into financial transactions where risk of potential loss exists due to changes in the market (market risk) or failure of the other party to the transaction to perform (counterparty credit risk). The potential loss could exceed the value of the financial assets recorded on the financial statements. Financial assets, which potentially expose each Fund to counterparty credit risk, consist principally of cash due from counterparties on forward, option and swap transactions, when applicable. The extent of each Fund's exposure to counterparty credit risk in respect to these financial assets approximates their carrying value as recorded on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Futures contracts, when applicable, expose a Fund to minimal counterparty credit risk as they are exchange traded and the exchange's clearinghouse, which is counterparty to all exchange traded futures, guarantees the futures contracts against default.

Each Fund helps manage counterparty credit risk by entering into agreements only with counterparties Nuveen Asset Management (the "Adviser"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen"), believes have the financial resources to honor their obligations and by having the Adviser monitor the financial stability of the counterparties. Additionally, counterparties may be required to pledge collateral daily (based on the daily valuation of the financial asset) on behalf of each Fund with a value approximately equal to the amount of any unrealized gain above a pre-determined threshold. Reciprocally, when each Fund has an unrealized loss, the Funds have instructed the custodian to pledge assets of the Funds as collateral with a value approximately equal to the amount of the unrealized loss above a pre-determined threshold. Collateral pledges are monitored and subsequently adjusted if and when the valuations fluctuate, either up or down, by at least the predetermined threshold amount.

Zero Coupon Securities

Each Fund is authorized to invest in zero coupon securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

#### Custodian Fee Credit

Each Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by net credits earned on each Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments. Credits for cash balances may be offset by charges for any days on which a Fund overdraws its account at the custodian bank.

#### Indemnifications

Under the Funds' organizational documents, their officers and directors/trustees are indemnified against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of their duties to the Funds. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Funds enter into contracts that provide general indemnifications to other parties. The Funds' maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Funds that have not yet occurred. However, the Funds have not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expect the risk of loss to be remote.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets applicable to Common shares from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

#### 2. Fair Value Measurements

In determining the value of each Fund's investments, various inputs are used. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical securities.

Level 2 – Other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.).

Level 3 – Significant unobservable inputs (including management's assumptions in determining the fair value of investments).

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. The following is a summary of each Fund's fair value measurements as of July 31, 2010:

Arizona Premium Income (NAZ)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds	<b>\$</b> —	\$85,947,544	\$2,055,600	\$88,003,144
Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds	<b>\$</b> —	\$31,750,039	\$230,227	\$31,980,266
Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds	<b>\$</b> —	\$49,659,173	\$929,131	\$50,588,304
Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 (NXE)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds	<b>\$</b> —	\$59,707,528	\$567,346	\$60,274,874
Texas Quality Income (NTX)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds	<b>\$</b> —	\$208,593,077	<b>\$</b> —	\$208,593,077

The following is a reconciliation of each Fund's Level 3 investments held at the beginning and end of the measurement period:

	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Texas
	Premium	Dividend	Dividend	Dividend	Quality
			Advantage	Advantage	
	Income	Advantage	2	3	Income
	(NAZ)	(NFZ)	(NKR)	(NXE)	(NTX)
	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
	Municipal	Municipal	Municipal	Municipal	Municipal
	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds
Balance at the beginning of year	\$1,978,300	\$221,570	\$894,192	\$546,011	\$950,615
Gains (losses):					
Net realized gains (losses)	_				180
Net change in unrealized appreciation					
(depreciation)	77,300	8,657	34,939	21,335	49,205
Net purchases at cost (sales at proceeds)	_				(1,000,000)
Net discounts (premiums)	_	_	_	_	_

Net transfers in to (out of) at end of period

fair value

Balance at the end of year \$2,055,600 \$230,227 \$929,131 \$567,346 \$—

"Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments" presented on the Statement of Operations includes net appreciation (depreciation) related to securities classified as Level 3 at year end as follows:

	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona	Arizona
	Premium	Dividend	Dividend	Dividend
			Advantage	Advantage
	Income	Advantage	2	3
	(NAZ)	(NFZ)	(NKR)	(NXE)
Level 3 net appreciation (depreciation)	\$77,300	\$8,657	\$34,939	\$21,335

#### Notes to

Financial Statements (continued)

#### 3. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Funds record derivative instruments at fair value with changes in fair value recognized on the Statement of Operations, when applicable. Even though the Funds' investments in derivatives may represent economic hedges, they are not considered to be hedge transactions for financial reporting purposes. The Funds did not invest in derivative instruments during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2010.

#### 4. Fund Shares

#### Common Shares

Transactions in Common shares were as follows:

	Arizona Premium Income (NAZ)		Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ)		Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR)		
	Year Ended 7/31/10	Year Ended 7/31/09	Year Ended 7/31/10	Yea Ended 7/31/09	1	Year Ended 7/31/10	Year Ended 7/31/09
Common shares: Issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions Repurchased and retired Weighted average Common share:	798 —	944		581 (2,500	)		1,193 (800 )
Price per share repurchased and retired Discount per share repurchased and retired			_	\$9.60 19.20	%		\$10.35 17.60 %
			Arizona Div Advantag Year Ended 7/31/10	idend e 3 (NXE) Yea Endec 7/31/09	1	Texas Quality Income (NTX Year Ended 7/31/10	•
Common shares: Issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions Repurchased and retired Weighted average Common share:				<u> </u>	)	29,688 —	5,052 —
Price per share repurchased and retired Discount per share repurchased and retired			_	\$9.59 18.61	%	_	_

**Auction Rate Preferred Shares** 

Transactions in ARPS were as follows:

	Arizona Premium Income (NAZ)				Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ)			
	Year	Year			Year		Year	
		Ended	En	nded		Ended		Ended
		7/31/10	7/3	1/09		7/31/10		7/31/09
	Shares	AmountShares		Amount	Shares		AmountShares	Amount
ARPS redeemed	:							
Series T	_	\$ —	_	- \$			\$ <i>—</i>	56 \$1,400,000
Series TH	_	_	85	2,125	.000,		_	
Total	_	\$ —	85	\$2,125	.000,		\$ <i>—</i>	56 \$1,400,000

	Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR) Year Year			Arizona D Year	ividend Advantage 3 Yea	ntage 3 (NXE) Year		
	Е	nded	Ended	I	Ended	Ended		
	7/	31/10	7/31/09	7.	/31/10	7/31/09		
	Shares	AmountShares	Amount	Shares	AmountShare	es Amount		
ARPS								
redeemed:								
Series M		\$ —	— \$		\$ —	144 \$3,600,000		
Series W		_	75 1,875,00	00 —				
Total		\$ —	75 \$1,875,0	00 —	\$ —	144 \$3,600,000		
			Texas Quali	ty				
	Income (NTX)							
			Year		Year			
			E	nded	E	Ended		
			7/3	31/10	7,	/31/09		

Shares

Amount

Shares

Amount

ARPS redeemed: