INFORTE CORP Form 10-Q August 15, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

\_\_\_\_\_

Form 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

OR

[\_] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 000-29239

INFORTE CORP. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 36-3909334 (State of incorporation) (IRS Employer Identification No.)

150 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 3400, Chicago, Illinois 60601 (Address of principal executive offices, including ZIP code)

(312) 540-0900 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

None (Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No \_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  $\_$  No X

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock as of June 30, 2005 was 11,724,082.

INFORTE CORP.

INDEX

		Page No.
PART I.	Financial Information	
PARI I.	rinancial information	
Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2004, September 30, 2004, December 31, 2004, March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations - Three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005	2
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005	3
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	4-9
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	9-23
Item 3.	Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure about Market Risk	24
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	24
PART II.	Other Information	
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	24-25
Item 2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds	25
Item 3	Defaults of Senior Securities	25
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	25
Item 5	Other Information	25
Item 6.	Exhibits	26
Signature		27
Exhibit 10.12	Limited Liability Company Agreement of PROVANSIS LLC An Inforte Company	28-77
Exhibit 31.1	Section 302 certification of the Chief Executive Officer	78
Exhibit 31.2	Section 302 certification of the Chief Financial Officer	79
Exhibit 32	Section 906 certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer	80

Page No.

3

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

#### INFORTE CORP. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (000's)

	JUN 30, 2004		
(Unaudited) (Unaudited) (Unaudited)	(Unaudited)		
ASSETS			
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Short-term marketable securities Accounts receivable Allowance for doubtful accounts	22,273	\$ 18,889 25,457 7,469 (500)	24,657 7,491
Accounts receivable, net Prepaid expenses and other current assets Interest receivable on investment securities Deferred income taxes Income taxes recoverable	8,393 1,144 314 656 460	6,969 985 389 622 501	7,041 875 383 2,662 1,025
Total current assets	51,007	53,812	57,460
Computers, purchased software and property Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	2,682 1,690	1,907	
Computers, purchased software and property, net		1,206	1,093
Long-term marketable securities Intangible assets Goodwill Deferred income taxes Investment in affiliate	78 5,434 309	11,737 295	_
Total assets	\$ 76,261	\$ 81,224	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities: Accounts payable		\$ 995	
Accounts payable Accrued expenses Accrued loss on disposal of leased property	-	2,738	1,309

Current portion of deferred acquisition payment Dividends declared	-	3,150	3,150	
Dividends declared Deferred revenue	2,778	2,209		
Total current liabilities	7,853	9,438	11,524	-
Non current liabilities:				
Non-current portion of deferred acquisition payment Stockholders' equity: - Common stock, \$0.001 par value authorized- 50,000,000 shares; isound and outstanding (not of transpury stock)-	_	3,150	3,150	
issued and outstanding (net of treasury stock)- 11,724,082 as of June 30, 2005	11	11	11	- I
Additional paid-in capital		80,384		
Cost of common stock in treasury (2,720,823 shares	00,200	00,001	00,002	
as of June 30, 2005)	(24,997)	(24,997)	(24,997)	
Stock-based compensation		180		
Retained earnings		12,742		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	•	316	•	ļ
Total stockholders' equity	68,408	68,636	67,918	_
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 76,261	\$ 81,224	\$ 82,592	\$

See notes to consolidated financial statements

1

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (000's, except per share data)

		THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		
	2004	2005	2004	2005		
	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudit		
Revenues:						
Revenue before reimbursements (net revenue)	\$11,982	\$ 9,794	\$22,644	\$18,44		
Reimbursements	1,798	1,099	3,211	1,990		

13,780	10,893	25,855	20,
7,177	5,321	12,757	11,080
1,798	1,099	3,211	1,990
1,393	691	2,579	1,304
370	262	734	461
2,988	2,958	5,895	6 <b>,</b> 594
13,726	10,331	25,176	21,429
54	562	679	(990
272	196	505	457
326	758		(533
130	304	473	(217
\$196	\$454 ========	\$711	
* ~ ~ ~ ~	**	**	÷ ( 0 0 0 0
•	•		
\$0.02	Ş0.04	\$0.06	\$(0.03
11,029	11,234	11,009	11,184
11,385	11,711	11,356	11,184
	7,177 1,798 1,393 370 2,988  13,726 54 272  326 130  \$196  \$196  \$0.02 \$0.02 \$0.02	370       262         2,988       2,958             13,726       10,331         54       562         272       196          326         130       304          \$196         \$196       \$454          \$196         \$196       \$454	7,177 $5,321$ $12,757$ $1,798$ $1,099$ $3,211$ $1,393$ $691$ $2,579$ $370$ $262$ $734$ $2,988$ $2,958$ $5,895$ $$ $$ $$ $13,726$ $10,331$ $25,176$ $54$ $562$ $679$ $272$ $196$ $505$ $$ $$ $$ $326$ $758$ $1,184$ $130$ $304$ $473$ $$ $$ $$ $$196$ $$454$ $$711$ $$0.02$ $$0.04$ $$0.06$ $$0.02$ $$0.04$ $$0.06$ $$11,029$ $11,234$ $11,009$

See notes to consolidated financial statements

2

#### INFORTE CORP. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (000's)

THREE MONTH JUNE 3	-	SIX MONTHS JUNE 3	
2004	2005	2004	2005
(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaud

Cash flows from operating activities								
Net income (loss)	\$	196	\$	454	\$	711	\$	(31
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net								
cash provided by (used in) operating activities:								
Depreciation and amortization		426		302		781		68
Non-cash compensation		101		238		161		64
Deferred income taxes		(5)		242		26		32
Changes in operating assets and liabilities								
Accounts receivable		(260)		(1,115)				(38
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		218		139		(321)		(16
Accounts payable		(213)		(297)		(261)		(34
Income taxes		(59)		141		(1,101)		(1,03
Accrued expenses and other		99		282		(1,173)		(26
Deferred revenue		121		81		13		(50
Net cash provided by (used in) operating								
activities		624		467		(3,052)		(1,35
Cash flows from investing activities								
Acquisition of Compendit, net of cash		(556)		_		(5,676)		(3,15
Note receivable to affiliate		_		(50)		-		(5
Investment in affiliate		-		(2,000)		-		(2,00
Decrease in marketable securities		(821)		6,375		2,334		12,73
Purchases of property and equipment		(157)		(25)		(410)		(16
Net cash provided by (used in) investing	_		-		-		-	
activities		(1, 534)		4,300		(3,752)		7,37
Cash flows from financing activities				·				
Proceeds from stock option and purchase plans		93		156		415		20
Dividends paid		-		(17 <b>,</b> 375)		-		(17,37
Net cash provided by (used in) financing	-		-		-		-	
activities		93				415		(17,17
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash	-	(46)		(118)		85	-	(18
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash		( /		(==0)				(10
equivalents		(863)		(12,570)		(6,304)		(11,34
Cash and cash equivalents, beg. of period		18,630		22,041		24,071		20,81
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period		17 <b>,</b> 767		9,471		17,767		
	=		=		=		=	

See notes to consolidated financial statements

3

Notes to consolidated financial statements (Unaudited) June 30, 2005

(1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Inforte Corp. ("Inforte") pursuant to the rules and regulations of the

Securities and Exchange Commission regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2004 included in Inforte's annual report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-29239). The balance sheet at December 31, 2004 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting solely of normal, recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of results for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the three-month and six-month period ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform with current presentation format.

#### (2) DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Inforte computes basic earnings per share by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive common share equivalents outstanding. Stock options and restricted shares totaling 275,618 were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share for the six months ending June 30, 2005 because Inforte recorded a net loss for that period.

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months End June 30,		
	2004	2005	2004			
	(Unauc	lited)	(Unaudit			
Basic weighted average shares	11,028,541	11,234,269	11,009,307	11		
Effect of dilutive stock options and contingently issuable shares	356,624	477,139	346,695			
Diluted common and common equivalent shares	11,385,165	11,711,408	11,356,002 =============	11,		

#### (3) COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income" (SFAS 130), establishes standards for reporting comprehensive income. Comprehensive income includes net income as currently reported under generally accepted accounting principles, and also considers the effect of additional economic events that are not required to be recorded in determining net income, but rather are reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Inforte reports foreign currency translation gains and losses, and unrealized gains and losses on investments, as components of comprehensive income. Total comprehensive loss was \$15,752 for the three months ended June 30, 2004 and total comprehensive income was \$260,723 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. Total comprehensive income was \$628,302 for the six months ended June 30,

2004 and total comprehensive loss was \$688,760 for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

(4) CONTINGENCIES Inforte; Philip S. Bligh, Inforte's Chairman of the Board; and Stephen C.P. Mack and Nick Padgett, each a former executive officer of Inforte, have been named as defendants in Mary C. Best v. Inforte Corp.; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; Salomon Smith Barney, Inc.; Philip S. Bligh; Stephen C.P. Mack and Nick Padgett, Case No. 01 CV 10836, filed on November 30, 2001 in Federal

4

Court in the Southern District of New York (the "Case"). The Case is among more than 300 putative class actions against certain issuers, their officers and directors, and underwriters with respect to such issuers' initial public offerings, coordinated as In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, 21 MC 92 (SAS) (collectively, the "Multiple IPO Litigation"). An amended class action complaint was filed in the Case on April 19, 2002. The amended complaint in the Case alleges violations of federal securities laws in connection with Inforte's initial public offering occurring in February 2000 and seeks certification of a class of purchasers of Inforte stock, unspecified damages, interest, attorneys' and expert witness fees and other costs. The amended complaint does not allege any claims relating to any alleged misrepresentations or omissions with respect to our business. The individual defendants (Messrs. Bligh, Mack and Padgett) have been dismissed from the case without prejudice pursuant to a stipulated dismissal and a tolling agreement. We have moved to dismiss the plaintiff's case. On February 19, 2002, the Court granted this motion in part, denied it in part and ordered that discovery in the case may commence. The Court dismissed with prejudice the plaintiff's purported claim against Inforte under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, but left in place the plaintiff's claim under Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933.

Inforte has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (the "MOU"), along with most of the other defendant issuers in the Multiple IPO Litigation, whereby such issuers and their officers and directors (including Inforte and Messrs. Bligh, Mack and Padgett) will be dismissed with prejudice from the Multiple IPO Litigation, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. Under the terms of the MOU, neither Inforte nor any of its formerly named individual defendants admit any basis for liability with respect to the claims in the Case. The MOU provides that insurers for Inforte and the other defendant issuers participating in the settlement will pay approximately \$1 billion to settle the Multiple IPO Litigation, except that no such payment will occur until claims against the underwriters are resolved and such payment will be paid only if the recovery against the underwriters for such claims is less than \$1 billion and then only to the extent of any shortfall. Under the terms of the MOU, neither Inforte nor any of its named directors will pay any amount of the settlement. The MOU further provided that participating defendant issuers will assign certain claims they may have against the defendant underwriters in connection with the Multiple IPO Litigation. The MOU is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including, among others, approval of the Court. In an order dated February 15, 2005, the Court certified settlement classes and class representatives and granted preliminary approval to the settlement contemplated by the MOU with certain modifications, including that the "bar order," or claims that would be barred by the settlement, be modified consistent with the Court's opinion. The Court has ordered the parties to submit a revised settlement stipulation consistent with its opinion and has also scheduled a further hearing to

determine the form, substance and program of notices to class members and to determine the fairness of the settlement.

#### (5) SEGMENT REPORTING

Inforte engages in business activities in one operating segment, which provides consulting services either on a fixed-price, fixed-timeframe basis or on a time-and-materials basis. Inforte's services are delivered to clients in North America and Europe, and Inforte's long-lived assets are located in North America, Europe and India. Domestic and foreign operating revenues are based on the location of customers. Inforte's European operations had \$2,463,860 and \$3,139,965 of revenues for the three months ending June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively, and \$4,411,594 and \$5,860,966 of revenues for the six months ending June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Asset information by operating segment is not reported to or reviewed by the chief operating decision maker; therefore, Inforte has not disclosed asset information for each operating segment or geographical location.

#### (6) STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Inforte accounts for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123") related to options. Stock-based compensation expense of \$101,000 was recognized in the quarter ending June 30, 2004, \$37,000 of which was related to option grants related to the acquisition of COMPENDIT, Inc. ("COMPENDIT") and \$64,000 was related to grants of restricted stock. During the first six months of 2004 we recognized a stock-based compensation expense of \$161,000, \$97,000 which was related to the COMPENDIT acquisition and \$64,000 was related to grants of restricted stock. Stock-based compensation expense of \$238,000 was recognized in the quarter ending June 30, 2005, \$39,000 of which was related to common stock grants and \$199,000 was related to grants of restricted stock. Stock-

5

based compensation expense was \$642,000 in the first six months of 2005, \$417,000 of which was related to common stock grants, \$212,000 was related to grants of restricted stock and \$14,000 was related to stock options grants. Had we applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation during the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, net income and net income per share would have been as follows:

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			
	2004 2005  (Unaudited) (Unaudited)			2004		2005	
			(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
Net income, as reported Add: Stock-based compensation expense	\$	195,704	\$ 454,230	Ş	710,221	\$	(315,637)
recorded, net of related tax effects Deduct: Total stock-based compensation		100,509	-		161,000		13,637

expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of				
related tax effects (1)	(1,298,621)	162,194	(2,644,926)	233,161
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$(1,002,408) =======	\$ 616,424	\$(1,773,970)	\$ (68,838) ======
Net income, per share:				
Basic as reported	0.02	0.04	0.06	(0.03)
Basic pro forma	(0.09)	0.05	(0.16)	(0.01)
Diluted as reported	0.02	0.04	0.06	(0.03)
Diluted pro forma	(0.09)	0.05	(0.16)	(0.01)

(1) Total stock-based compensation, under the fair value based method for the three and six months ending June 30, 2005, is a net benefit due to a reversal of previously expensed amounts related to stock options canceled in the first half of 2005 due to the capital restructuring plan executed in March 2005 and certain other arrangements with individual employees executed in April 2005.

#### (7) ACQUISITIONS

On March 12, 2004, by way of a merger of a wholly owned subsidiary of Inforte with COMPENDIT, Inforte acquired all of the outstanding shares of COMPENDIT, a leading provider of SAP Business Intelligence implementation consulting services, for initial cash consideration of \$5.5 million on closing. An additional cash payment of \$0.5 million was paid in cash in May 2004 based on a closing statement calculation of cash less transaction costs. The first installment of a supplementary cash amount of \$3.2 million was paid in January 2005 and the second installment of \$3.2 million will be paid in January 2006. This acquisition enhanced Inforte's ability to offer analytics and business intelligence solutions through COMPENDIT's services partnership with SAP.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect a total purchase price of \$12.5 million, consisting of the following: (i) the payment of the initial cash consideration of \$5.5 million, (ii) transaction costs of \$0.2 million, (iii) additional cash consideration paid after closing of the acquisition of \$0.5 million, and (iv) the first installment of an earnout of \$3.2 million paid in January 2005 and the second installment of an earnout of \$3.2 million payable in January 2006. Under the purchase method of accounting, the purchase price is allocated to COMPENDIT's net tangible and intangible assets based upon their estimated fair value as of the date of the acquisition. The purchase price allocation is as follows (in thousands):

6

Tangible as	sets:	
Cash and	cash equivalents	\$ 547
Accounts	receivable and other current assets	2,269

Property and equipment	156
Total tangible assets	2,972
Intangible assets:	
Goodwill and other intangible assets	11,853
Total assets	14 <b>,</b> 825
Liabilities assumed:	2,310
Net assets acquired	\$ 12,515

Intangible assets related to customer contracts were fully amortized as of December 31, 2004.

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," goodwill will not be amortized but will be tested for impairment at least annually.

There were no historical transactions between Inforte and COMPENDIT.

#### (8) INVESTMENT IN AFFILIATE

Inforte has entered into a Limited Liability Company Agreement of PROVANSIS LLC An Inforte Company (PROVANSIS), dated May 20, 2005, by and among Inforte, Primary Knowledge, Inc. (PKI), and Mr. Ronald Meyer.

Pursuant to the Agreement, Inforte, PKI and Mr. Meyer, the Chief Executive Officer of the newly formed entity, will be members in PROVANSIS LLC An Inforte Company, a Delaware limited liability company. Initially, Inforte will contribute \$2,000,000 in cash for a 19% membership interest. For an initial 76% membership interest, PKI will contribute to PROVANSIS the right to market, on an exclusive basis, processing rights to use certain licensed technology in connection with the underwriting of applications for term life insurance, and will present to PROVANSIS, on an exclusive basis, new technology using prescription data that may be developed by PKI or an affiliate of PKI. The remaining 5% of membership interest is owned by Mr. Meyer.

As of June 30, 2005 Inforte recorded the initial contribution of \$2,000,000 as a non-current asset on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Under the terms of the investment agreement, Inforte has one seat on the board of directors, with special voting rights and other privileges, and, therefore, used the equity method of accounting for this investment. Profits or losses of the investee for the three months ending June 30, 2005 were immaterial and were not included in Inforte's statement of operations.

Inforte has two options to acquire from PKI, for an additional \$2,000,000 cash, membership interests in PROVANSIS sufficient to give Inforte a 51% interest in PROVANSIS. Under Inforte's first option, which expires November 21, 2005, Inforte, at its election, could acquire from PKI, for \$1,000,000 cash, membership interests sufficient to give Inforte a 35% interest in PROVANSIS. Under Inforte's second option, which expires February 20, 2006 and may be exercised only after exercise of the first option, Inforte, at its election, could acquire from PKI, for another \$1,000,000 cash, membership interests sufficient to give Inforte a 51% interest.

If Inforte does not exercise its options to acquire majority control, PROVANSIS's right to market certain processing services will become non-exclusive, as will PROVANSIS's right to certain new technology developed by PKI. In addition, if Inforte does not exercise both of its options, PKI will

have the right to buy out Inforte's interest in PROVANSIS for an amount equal

7

to the greater of (i) \$2,000,000 plus any amount paid in connection with Inforte's options or (ii) Inforte's capital account balance.

Generally, the economic benefits of PROVANSIS will be allocated in accordance with the respective membership interests of PROVANSIS's members. As of June 30, 2005 the only owners of membership interests were PKI, Inforte and Mr. Meyer and the respective ownership percentages as of that date were 76%, 19% and 5%, respectively.

Subject to terms and conditions, Inforte will be obligated to advance certain unsecured working capital loans to PROVANSIS at an interest rate equal to the prime rate, payable generally from 70% of available cash flow, if any, of PROVANSIS. As of June 30, 2005 Inforte has provided PROVANSIS with \$50,000 of such loans presented on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Prepaid expenses and other current assets.

Inforte will provide services to PROVANSIS, including, but not limited to, accounting, human resources and information technology services. Inforte will charge PROVANSIS for such services at cost plus 25%. As of June 30, 2005 Inforte has billed PROVANSIS \$1,000 for services provided in the second quarter of 2005.

The full text of the agreement is attached as an exhibit to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005.

#### (9) RESTRUCTURING

During 2001 Inforte took major steps to reduce its costs to better align its overall cost structure and organization with anticipated demand for its services. These steps included consolidating office space at the Chicago location where Inforte had multiple contractual rental commitments. Estimated costs for the consolidation of Chicago facilities consist of contractual rental commitments for office space being vacated and unamortized leasehold improvements related to this space less estimated sublease income. The total reduction of office space resulting from this consolidation of our Chicago office space was approximately 17,770 square feet, all of which were vacated as of December 31, 2001. Total charges related to this reduction of office space in 2002 were \$605,062 and there was a reversal of previously recognized losses of \$145,978 in 2003. These charges as well as the loss reversal were recorded as a component of Management and Administrative expenses in the 2002 and 2003 Consolidated Statement of Operations. At June 30, 2004 and 2005 the Company recorded \$418,564 and \$182,932, respectively, as accrued expenses on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Charges for this restructuring for the three months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 were as follows:

3 months ended June 30, 2004	Balance 03/31/04	Expense	Cash payments	Adjustments
Lease termination	\$ 489,268	\$ -	\$ (70,704)	\$ -
3 months ended June 30, 2005	Balance 03/31/05	Expense	Cash payments	Adjustments
Lease termination	\$ 219,150	\$ -	\$ (36,218)	\$ -

In October 2004, Inforte's executive team authorized a plan to consolidate office space at its Southern California office and the two Chicago locations where Inforte had separate contractual rental obligations. Estimated costs for the consolidation of the facilities consist of contractual rental commitments for office space being vacated less estimated sub-lease income. The total reduction of office space resulting from this consolidation of office space was approximately 43,881 square feet at the time the plan was executed. On July 1, 2005 one of the original lease agreements was amended to reduce total abandoned office space to 27,341 square feet. Total charges related to this reduction of space are still estimated at \$2.0 million and were recognized at the date the plan for office space consolidation was executed.

Charges for this restructuring for the three months ended June 30,2005 were as follows:

3 months ended June 30, 2005	Balance 03/31/05	Expense	Cash payments	Adjustments
Lease termination	\$ 1,606,788	\$ —	\$ (297,261)	\$ –

(10) CAPITAL RESTRUCTURING AND CASH DISTRIBUTION TO STOCKHOLDERS On January 27, 2005 Inforte announced that its board of directors had approved a capital restructuring plan that included (1) a special one-time dividend of \$1.50 per share and (2) a program to offer employees, with respect to certain stock options, the opportunity to convert stock options to restricted stock or to cash out stock options. On March 21, 2005 Inforte

8

completed its offer to exchange options for cash or restricted stock. 509,636 options were exchanged for a total cash consideration, including applicable payroll taxes, of \$848,000, of which \$292,000 was charged to project personnel and related expenses, \$119,000 to sales and marketing expenses, \$8,000 to recruiting, retention, and training expenses and \$429,000 was charged to management and administrative expenses. Further, 707,112 options were exchanged for 310,394 shares of restricted stock and the related prorated compensation expense charged in the first and second quarters 2005 was \$13,000 and \$110,000, respectively. The total non-cash compensation expense related to the restricted stock grants will be expensed ratably over a four-year period as the stock vests over a four-year period, starting on the grant date of March 21, 2005. The maximum total compensation charges associated with the restricted stock grants are \$358,000, \$459,000, \$459,000, \$459,000 and \$101,000 for the years ending 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Inforte also paid \$90,000 in professional fees associated with the implementation of the capital restructuring plan. Inforte granted common stock to employees who had unexercised vested stock options as of the dividend payment date. The total compensation expense related to these common stock grants was \$378,000 and was recorded as part of management and administrative expenses on the statement of operations for the first quarter of 2005. On April 20, 2005 Inforte issued 106,586 shares of common stock related to this grant.

On March 21, 2005, Inforte announced that the record date for Inforte stockholders for the previously declared special one-time cash distribution of \$1.50 per share of common stock would be the close of business on Tuesday, April

5, 2005. Total cash payable to eligible stockholders of \$17.4 million was recorded as a liability as of March 31, 2005 and allocated to retained earnings and additional paid-in capital. The one-time cash distribution to stockholders was made on April 15, 2005.

#### (11) INCOME TAXES

Inforte has deferred tax assets which have arisen primarily as a result of foreign tax credits related to taxes paid in 2002 and 2003 in the United Kingdom, as well as other temporary differences between book and tax accounting. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," requires the establishment of a valuation allowance to reflect the likelihood of realization of deferred tax assets. Significant management judgment is required in determining Inforte's provision for income taxes, its deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against the net deferred tax assets. As of June 30, 2005 based on historical performance and future projections of taxable income in the jurisdictions where Inforte and its affiliates operate all deferred tax assets were deemed realizable and therefore no valuation allowance was recorded. Inforte's effective tax rate may vary from period to period based on changes in estimated taxable income or loss, changes to federal, state or foreign tax laws, future expansion into areas with varying country, state, and local income tax rates, deductibility of certain costs and expenses by jurisdiction, recording of a valuation allowance and as a result of acquisitions.

#### (12) SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On July 15, 2005 Inforte acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of GTS Consulting, Inc. ("GTS"), a marketing analytics services provider based in Atlanta, Georgia. Under the terms of the stock purchase agreement, Inforte paid \$2.121 million in cash at closing for all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of GTS. As part of the purchase price, Inforte will pay Dr. Glenn Stoops, the owner of all of the outstanding GTS capital stock, an additional \$1 million, payable in installments of \$500,000 on the first anniversary date of the closing and \$500,000 on the second anniversary date. Depending on GTS's achievement during the 12-month period following the closing of certain revenue levels per customer or of aggregate revenue, Inforte will be further obligated to pay Dr. Stoops an additional \$1 million, payable in installments of \$500,000 on the third and fourth anniversary dates of the closing, respectively. Post-closing payments to Dr. Stoops under the stock purchase agreement will be made 80% in cash and 20% in unregistered common stock of Inforte, subject to contractual restrictions on resale of such stock by Dr. Stoops for two years after its receipt.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, together with the notes to those statements, included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions such as statements of our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Our actual results may differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements

because of the risks and uncertainties inherent in future events that include, but are not limited to, those identified under the caption "Risk Factors" appearing in this 10-Q as well as factors discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Actual results may differ from forward-looking results for a number of reasons, including but not limited to, Inforte's ability to: (i) effectively forecast demand and profitably match resources with the demand during a period where information technology spending is not strong and when worldwide economic and geopolitical uncertainty is high; (ii) attract and retain clients and satisfy our clients' expectations; (iii) recruit and retain qualified professionals; (iv) accurately estimate the time and resources necessary for the delivery of our services; (v) build and maintain marketing relationships with leading software vendors while competing with their professional services organizations; (vi) compete with emerging alternative economic models for delivery, such as offshore development; (vii) effectively integrate acquired businesses; (viii) identify and successfully offer the solutions that clients demand; (ix) effectively compete with larger and established competitors; (x) retain significant clients and collect sizeable accounts receivable; and (xi) implement legislative and regulatory requirements in a timely and cost efficient manner, as well as other factors discussed from time to time in our other Securities and Exchange Commission filings. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. All forward-looking statements included in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to Inforte on the date thereof, and Inforte assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

#### Overview

Inforte Corp. increases the competitive strength of its clients by providing them with insight, intelligence and an infrastructure to enable more timely and profitable decision-making. Inforte consultants combine real-world experience, strong industry, functional and analytical expertise with innovative go-to-market strategies and technology solutions, working to help ensure that our clients can drive transformational, measurable results in their customer interactions. Founded in 1993, Inforte is headquartered in Chicago and has offices in Atlanta; Dallas; Delhi, India; Hamburg, Germany; London; Los Angeles; New York; San Francisco; Walldorf, Germany; and Washington, D.C.

Our revenue is derived almost entirely through the performance of professional services. The majority of our services are performed on a time and materials basis; however, we also perform services on a fixed-price basis if this structure best fits out clients' preferences or the requirements of the project. Typically, the first portion of an engagement involves a strategy project or a discovery phase lasting 30 to 60 days. This work enables us to determine with our clients the scope of successive phases of work. These successive phases of work can be additional strategy phases, or phases for technology design and implementation, and generally last three to nine months. If a project is to be performed on a fixed price basis, the fixed price is based upon estimates from senior personnel in our consulting organization who project the length of the engagement, the number of people required to complete the engagement and the skill level and billing rates of those people. We then adjust the fixed price based on various qualitative risk factors such as the aggressiveness of the delivery deadline, the technical complexity of the solution and the value of the solution delivered to the client. We ask clients to pay 10% to 50% of our fixed price projects in advance to enable us to secure a project team in a timeframe that is responsive to the client's needs.

The following table sets forth the percentage of net revenues of certain items included in Inforte's statement of income:

	% of Net Revenue Three Months Ended June 30,		% of Net Revenue Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Revenues				
Revenue before reimbursements (net revenue)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Reimbursements	15.0	11.2	14.2	10.8
Total Revenue	115.0		114.2	110.8
Operating expenses:				
Project personnel and related expenses	59.9	54.3	56.3	60.1
Reimbursements	15.0	11.2	14.2	7.1
Sales and marketing	11.6	7.1	11.4	10.8
Recruiting, retention and training	3.1	2.7	3.2	2.5
Management and administrative	24.9	30.2	26.0	35.7
Total operating expenses *	114.6	105.5	111.2	116.2
Operating income (loss)	0.4	5.7	3.0	(5.4)
Interest income, net and other	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.5
Pretax income (loss)	2.7	7.7	5.2	(2.9)
Income tax expense (benefit)	1.1	3.1	2.1	(1.2)
Net income (loss)	 1.6%	4.6%	3.1%	 (1.7)%
	====	====	====	
*Total operating expenses,				
excluding reimbursements	99.6%	94.3%	97.0%	105.4%

11

## NON-GAAP SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) (1) STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (000's, except per share data)

	% of Net Revenue Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2004	2005
Revenues		
Revenue before reimbursements (net revenue)	100.0%	100.0%

Reimbursements	14.2	10.8
Total Revenue	114.2	110.8
Operating expenses:		
Project personnel and related expenses	56.3	58.5
Reimbursements	14.2	10.8
Sales and marketing	11.4	6.4
Recruiting, retention and training	3.2	2.5
Management and administrative	26.0	30.9
Total operating expenses	111.2	109.1
Operating income (loss)	3.0	1.7
Interest income, net and other		2.5
Pretax income	5.2	4.2
Income tax expense	2.1	1.6
Net income	3.1%	2.6%
	====	

(1) The Non-GAAP supplemental information shows results excluding the impact of one-time charges related to the tender offer to convert certain stock options into cash and restricted stock and a one-time cash distribution to stockholders that occurred in the first quarter of 2005. The total expense of \$1,316 included: (i)\$848 for charges related to the exchange of stock options for cash; (ii) \$378 for common stock grants to employees who had chosen not to exercise options prior to the one-time cash distribution; and (iii) \$90 for professional services. The non-GAAP results are provided in order to enhance the user's overall understanding of the company's current and future financial performance by excluding certain items that management believes are not indicative of its core operating results and by providing results that provide a more consistent basis for comparison between quarters. The presentation of this additional information should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for results prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Six and three months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005

Net revenue. Net revenue excludes reimbursable expenses that are billed to our clients. Net revenue decreased 18% to \$9.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 from \$12.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2004. We attribute this decrease in revenues to lower demand for services in some of our competencies as well as to winding down of project engagements with significant clients. For the quarter ended June 30, 2005, we had 35 significant clients with each of these clients contributing, on average, \$1.1 million to revenue on an annualized basis. For the quarter ended June 30, 2004, we had 30 significant clients with each of these clients contributing, on average, \$1.6 million to revenue on an annualized basis. Sequentially, net revenue increased 13% to \$9.8 million in the June 2005 quarter from \$8.7 million in the March 2005 quarter.

Project personnel and related expenses. Project personnel and related expenses consist of compensation and benefits for our professional employees who deliver consulting services, non-reimbursable costs and any estimated revisions for our allowance for doubtful accounts. All labor costs for project personnel are included in project personnel and related expenses. These expenses dropped 26% to \$5.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 from \$7.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2004. Year to date, project personnel and related

expenses were \$11.1 million, a 13% drop from \$12.8 million for the first six months of 2004. These decreases resulted from a decline in consulting headcount offset by increased compensation expense due to the buyout of stock options in the first quarter of 2004. Total costs associated with the buyout of stock options were \$292,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2005. Excluding these one-time charges, project personnel and related expenses for the first six months of 2005 were \$10.8 million or 58.5% of net revenue. We employed 170 consultants on June 30, 2005, down from

12

257 one year earlier. This decrease of 87 chargeable consultants was due to actions to realign personnel to different service offerings. Project personnel and related expenses represented 54.3% of net revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, down from 59.9% for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, as revenue decreased at a slower rate than project personnel and related expenses did. Project personnel and related expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2005 represented 60.1% of net revenue up from 56.3% in the prior year period as project personnel and related expenses decreased at a slower rate than revenue did, due to the costs associated with the buyout of stock options mentioned earlier.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of compensation, benefits, bonus and travel costs for employees in the marketing and sales groups and costs to execute marketing programs. Sales and marketing expenses decreased 50% to \$0.7 million, or 7.1% of net revenue, for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 from \$1.4 million, or 11.6% of net revenue, in quarter ended June 30, 2004. This decrease is due to a reduction in sales and marketing headcount and a reduction in incentive bonuses, partially offset by intensified marketing activities. Sales and marketing expenses decreased by 50% to \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Year to date, sales and marketing expenses were 7.1% of net revenue compared to 11.4% in the prior year period. Total costs associated with the buyout of stock options were \$119,000 in the first six months of 2005. Excluding these one-time charges, sales and marketing expenses were \$1.2 million or 6.4% of net revenue.

Recruiting, retention and training. Recruiting, retention and training expenses consist of compensation, benefits and travel costs for personnel engaged in human resources activities; costs to recruit new employees; costs of human resource programs; and training costs. These expenses decreased 29% to \$262,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 from \$370,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2004. As a percent of net revenue, these costs decreased to 2.7% in the quarter ended June 30, 2005 from 3.1% in the quarter ended June 30, 2004. The decrease in spending results primarily from lower recruiting costs due to a decline in the number of personnel recruited and less human resources spending due to lower company-wide headcount. Total headcount was 212 as of June 30, 2005 and 305 as of June 30, 2004.

Management and administrative. Management and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation, benefits and travel costs for management, finance, information technology and facilities personnel, together with rent, telecommunications, audit, legal, business insurance and depreciation and amortization of capitalized computers, purchased software and property. These expenses were at \$3.0 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. As a percent of net revenue, management and administrative expenses were 30.2% for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, up from 24.9% for the quarter ended June 30, 2004, as management and administrative expenses remained at the same level and net revenue declined. Management and administrative expenses for the three

months ending June 30, 2005 remained at the same 2004 level as increased compensation related to restricted stock grants as well as higher professional services fees were offset by savings resulting from the office space restructuring plan. Higher professional service fees were fees primarily related to ad hoc tax and Sarbanes Oxley Act implementation related projects.

Year to date, management and administrative expenses increased 12% to \$6.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. As a percent of revenue, management and administrative expenses increased to 35.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from 26.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Total costs associated with the capital restructuring plan were \$897,000 in the first six months of 2005. Excluding these one-time charges, management and administrative expenses were \$5.7 million or 30.9% of net revenue. There were also additional legal and finance costs for the implementation of the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Management and administrative employees were 23 and 29 as of June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively.

Interest income, net and other. During the quarter ended June 30, 2005, interest income, net and other, was \$196,000, down from \$272,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2004. Year to date interest income, net and other was \$457,000, down from \$506,000 for the same period in 2004. These declines in investment earnings were due to the impact of lower average cash balances offset partially by the reinvestment of matured securities into similar type securities at higher market interest yields. We expect interest income, net and other, to decline further to around \$150,000 in the third quarter of 2005 due to lower cash balances as of the end of the second quarter of 2005 relative to prior quarters. The decline in cash and investments balances is primarily a result of the payment of dividends of \$17.4 million in April 2005, combined with the cash resources invested in PROVANSIS of \$2.0 million in May 2005.

13

Income tax expense. Inforte's effective tax rate for the June 30, 2005 quarter was 40.1% compared to a rate of 40.0% for the June 30, 2004 quarter. The effective tax rate of 40% in the second quarters of 2005 and 2004 approximates our blended statutory tax rate, reflecting immaterial permanent differences between taxable income for financial reporting and tax purposes. Year to date effective tax rate was 40.7% compared to 40.0% for the same period in 2004.

Liquidity and capital resources. Cash and cash equivalents decreased from \$22.0 million on March 31, 2005 to \$9.5 million on June 30, 2005. Short-term marketable securities decreased from \$24.0 million to \$20.0 million over the same period. Long-term marketable securities decreased from \$6.1 million to \$3.5 million during the second quarter of 2005. In total, cash and cash equivalents, short-term and long-term marketable securities dropped to \$33.0 million on June 30, 2005 compared to \$52.2 million on March 31, 2005. Short-term and long-term marketable securities consisting of commercial paper, U.S. government or municipal notes and bonds, corporate bonds and corporate auction preferreds.

During the June 2005 quarter, Inforte"s cash flow from operations was \$467,000. This resulted primarily from an increase in accrued expenses of \$616,000, a decrease in interest receivable on investment securities of \$138,000, an increase in income taxes payable of \$112,000, net income of \$454,000 and net non-cash charges of \$781,000, including \$302,000 of depreciation and amortization, primarily offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$1.1 million and a decrease in both accounts payable and accrued loss on disposal of leased property of \$296,000 and \$333,000, respectively.

Additionally, financing activities resulted in a cash outflow of \$17.2 million which was a result of the dividend payout of \$17.4 million, offset partially by \$156,000 of inflows related to exercises of equity grants by employees.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the three months ending June 30, 2005 was \$4.3 million and resulted primarily from conversions of marketable securities into cash of \$6.4 million, partially offset by Inforte's investment in PROVANSIS LLC of \$2 million.

In January 2005 Inforte announced a capital restructuring plan that included a one-time distribution of cash to shareholders and an offer to Inforte's employees to exchange certain other stock options for cash. On March 21, 2005 Inforte completed its offer to exchange options for cash or restricted stock and 509,636 options were exchanged for a total consideration of \$848,000. Further, we reserved \$17.4 million for the one-time cash distribution that was paid to shareholders in April 2005.

Our board of directors approved a \$25.0 million stock repurchase program on January 24, 2001 and as of August 2002, the entire amount authorized was repurchased. The board of directors approved an additional \$5.0 million stock repurchase program on August 22, 2002, although we stated at that time that we had no present plans to make additional repurchases of stock. The entire \$5.0 million remains authorized for repurchases as of June 30, 2005. As of June 30, 2005, the public float (shares not held by executive officers and directors) totaled 7.8 million shares or 67% of total outstanding shares.

Inforte believes that its current cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities will be sufficient to meet working capital and capital expenditure requirements for the foreseeable future.

All highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased are considered cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalent balances consist of obligations of U.S. and other overseas banks, high-grade commercial paper and other high quality, short-term obligations of U.S. companies. Short-term and long-term marketable securities are available-for-sale securities that are recorded at fair market value. The difference between amortized cost and fair market value, net of tax effect, is shown as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The cost of available-for-sale securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and discounts to maturity. Interest and amortization of premiums and discounts for all securities are included in interest income.

As of June 30, 2005, Inforte had a total of \$3.2 million in deferred business acquisition obligations payable in cash in January 2006. The first installment of the deferred business acquisition payment of \$3.2 million was paid out in January 2005. Inforte believes that it will have sufficient funds to satisfy obligations related to the deferred consideration. We expect to fund these contingent payments, primarily from the cash generated from the operations of the acquired business. In addition to the purchase price obligation for the COMPENDIT acquisition, Inforte assumed two operating leases from COMPENDIT, related to office space.

14

Inforte has several operating leases that have contractual cash obligations for future payments. There are no other contractual obligations that require future cash obligations or other commitments. The table below identifies all future commitments.

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period (in thousands)			
	Total	Q3-Q4 2005	2006	
Long-term debt	0	0	0	
Capital lease obligations Operating leases Unconditional purchase obligations	3,956 0	1,427	980	
Other long-term obligations Total contractual cash obligations	0 3,956	0 1,427	0 980	

Inforte has several sublease agreements for unused office space located in Chicago and Irvine, California. Total rent receivable on these sublease contracts is \$380,392 for the remaining months of 2005 and \$490,701, \$111,368 and \$67,180 for and the years 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and other assumptions, which it believes are reasonable. If actual amounts are ultimately different from these estimates, the revisions are included in the Company's results of operations for the period in which the actual amounts become known.

Accounting policies are considered critical when they require management to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made and when different estimates than management reasonably could have used have a material impact on the presentation of our financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue recognition, losses on fixed-price contracts, deferred revenue. We recognize revenue when all of the following four criteria are met: persuasive evidence exists that we have an agreement, service has been rendered, our price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. We recognize net revenue from fixed-price contracts based on the ratio of hours incurred to total estimated hours. The cumulative impact of any change in estimated hours to complete is reflected in the period in which the changes become known. We recognize time-and-materials net revenue as we perform the services. In November 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Emerging Issues Task Force issued Topic 01-14, "Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for `Out-of-Pocket' Expenses Incurred" stating these costs should be characterized as revenue in the income statement if billed to customers. For each quarter beginning with the March 31, 2002 quarter, we included reimbursable expenses in revenue and expense and we have reclassified prior periods in the comparative consolidated financial statements as required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. This reclassification had no effect on current or previously reported net income (loss) per share. For presentation purposes, we

show two components of total revenue: 1) revenue before reimbursements, which we call net revenue, consisting of revenue for performing consulting services; and 2) reimbursements, consisting of reimbursements we receive from clients for out-of-pocket expenses incurred. We believe net revenue is a more meaningful representation of our economic activity than total revenue since it excludes pass-through, zero margin expense reimbursements.

Financial instruments. Short-term and long-term marketable securities are available-for-sale securities which are recorded at fair market value. The difference between amortized cost and fair market value, net of tax effect, is shown as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The cost of securities available-for-sale is adjusted for amortization of premiums and discounts to maturity. Interest and amortization of premiums and discounts for all securities are included in interest income.

15

Allowance for doubtful accounts. An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained for potential credit losses. The amount of the reserve is established analyzing all client accounts to determine credit risk. In establishing a client's creditworthiness we consider whether the client has a deteriorating or poor financial condition, limited financial resources, poor or no payment history, a large relative accounts receivable balance or a non-U.S. location.

Stock compensation. At June 30, 2005, Inforte has three stock-based employee compensation plans. We account for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and have adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123") related to options issued to employees. All options granted under our plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant, except for the option grants related to the acquisition of COMPENDIT.

In January 2005 Inforte announced a capital restructuring plan that included an offer to Inforte's employees to convert certain outstanding stock options into restricted stock and to exchange certain other stock options for cash. In the case where eligible employees had elected to cancel their options and receive cash, Inforte recognized the related compensation expense at the time when the offer was made, February 9, 2005. On the date of the offer, February 9, 2005, the maximum cash settlement amount was accrued as a liability. For the options where the cash offer was later accepted, the liability was settled with the cash payment. The cash offer was not declined for any of the eligible options. In the alternative case when employees elected to cancel their options and receive restricted stock, the total non-cash compensation expense would be expensed ratably over a four-year period. This amount would be expensed over four years because the stock would vest over a four-year period, starting in the first quarter of 2005. The options in this category were subject to variable accounting treatment from the date of the offer until the offer was accepted. Those options for which the restricted stock offer had been declined were immediately vested and would continue to be subject to variable accounting treatment thereafter until those options would be exercised or cancelled or expire unexercised or until after January 1, 2006 when we adopt SFAS 123(r). Variable accounting treatment means that the value of each option will be measured and adjusted each reporting period based on the intrinsic value of the option in the reporting period. Employees with unexercised vested options after the one-time distribution with a strike price less than \$9.00 were granted common stock as compensation for the estimated loss in option value due to the one-time distribution. On April 20, 2005 Inforte issued 106,586 such shares.

Bonus accruals. We have several bonus programs that are based on individual and company performance. Revenue bonuses are earned based on individual or roll up revenue credit assigned to salespeople, client executives, other senior delivery personnel and senior management. Margin bonuses are earned by all employees based on company or business unit operating income performance. In addition, senior management may award discretionary bonuses. All of these bonuses are expensed in the period in which they are earned. A corresponding accrual is included on the balance sheet in accrued expenses until the bonus is paid.

Goodwill and other intangible assets. SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level (operating segment or one level below an operating segment) on an annual basis (December 31 for us) and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. These events or circumstances could include a significant change in the business climate, legal factors, operating performance indicators, competition, sale or disposition of a significant portion of a reporting unit. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. The fair value of each reporting unit is estimated using a valuation methodology based on historical performance and industry specific multiples. This requires significant judgments and changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value and/or goodwill impairment for each reporting unit.

Restructuring and other related charges. SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," was effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. SFAS 146 requires that a liability for a cost that is associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred. SFAS 146 supersedes the guidance in EITF Issue No. 94-3. In October 2004, Inforte's executive team authorized a plan to reduce its office space to better align with its space needs. These steps included consolidating office space at its Southern California office and the two Chicago locations

16

where Inforte had separate contractual rental obligations. Estimated costs for the consolidation of the facilities consist of contractual rental commitments for office space being vacated less estimated sub-lease income. The total reduction of office space resulting from this consolidation of our office space was approximately 43,881 square feet at the time the plan was executed. On July 1, 2005 one of the original lease agreements was amended to reduce total abandoned office space to 27,341 square feet. Total charges related to this reduction of space are still estimated at \$2.0 million and were recognized at the date the plan for office space consolidation was executed. If we vacate additional space, if future sub-lease income is less than estimated, if we buy-out of leases or if we are unable to sublease our vacated space, additional charges or credits in future periods will be necessary.

Income taxes. We account for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes ("SFAS 109"), which requires the recognition of deferred income taxes based upon the tax consequences of temporary differences between financial reporting and income tax reporting by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax

basis of existing assets and liabilities. SFAS 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income tax liability for each of the tax jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current income tax expense and assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of certain income or expense items for income tax reporting and financial reporting purposes. We also recognize as deferred tax assets the expected future tax benefits of net operating loss carry forwards. In evaluating the realizability of deferred tax assets associated with net operating loss carry forwards, we consider, among other things, expected future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary reporting differences, and the expected impact of tax planning strategies that may be implemented to prevent the potential loss of future tax benefits. Changes in, among other things, income tax legislation, statutory income tax rates or future taxable income levels could materially impact the valuation of income tax assets and liabilities and could cause our income tax provision to vary significantly among financial reporting periods.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment ("SFAS 123R"). This Statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and its related implementation guidance. SFAS No. 123R focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. The Statement requires entities to recognize compensation expense for awards of equity instruments to employees based on the grant-date fair value of those awards (with limited exceptions). SFAS No. 123R is effective for the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005. Inforte expects to adopt SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, using the Statement's modified prospective application method. Adoption of SFAS No. 123R will not affect Inforte's cash flows or financial position, but it will reduce, although not materially, reported income and earnings per share because Inforte currently uses the intrinsic value method as permitted by Accounting Public Board Opinion No. 25. Accordingly, no compensation expense is recognized, in the second quarter of 2005, for share purchase rights granted under the Inforte's employee stock option and employee share purchase plans. After the implementation of the capital restructuring plan, Inforte has significantly reduced its exposure to option expensing reducing options outstanding from 2.6 million at the end of 2004 to 706,000 at the end of the second quarter of 2005. The maximum estimated total expenses related to unvested outstanding stock options at the time we adopt SFAS 123R, January 1, 2006, are \$50,000.

#### Risk Factors

In addition to other information in this Form 10-Q, the following risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating Inforte and its business because such factors currently may have a significant impact on Inforte's business, operating results and financial condition. As a result of the risk factors set forth below and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, and the risk factors discussed in Inforte's other Securities and Exchange Commission filings, actual results could differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements.

If we fail to identify and successfully transition to the latest and most demanded solutions or keep up with an evolving industry, we will not compete successfully for clients and our profits may decrease. If we fail to identify the latest solutions, or if we identify but fail to successfully transition our business to solutions with growing demand, our reputation and our ability to compete for clients and the best employees could suffer. If we cannot compete successfully for clients, our revenues may decrease. Also, if our projects do not involve the latest and most demanded solutions, they would generate lower fees. Because our market changes constantly, some of the most important challenges facing us are the need to:

- o develop new services that meet changing customer needs;
- identify and effectively market solutions with growing demand during a period of slower technological advancement and adoption;
- o enhance our current services;
- o continue to develop our strategic expertise;
- o effectively use the latest technologies; and
- o influence and respond to emerging industry standards and other technological changes.

All of these challenges must be met in a timely and cost-effective manner. We cannot assure you that we will succeed in effectively meeting these challenges.

If we fail to satisfy our clients' expectations, our existing and continuing business could be adversely affected. If we fail to satisfy the expectations of our clients, we could damage our reputation and our ability to retain existing clients and attract new clients. In addition, if we fail to perform adequately on our engagements, we could be liable to our clients for breach of contract. Although most of our contracts limit the amount of any damages based upon the fees we receive we could still incur substantial cost, negative publicity, and diversion of management resources to defend a claim, and as a result, our business results could suffer.

We may be unable to hire and retain employees who are highly skilled, which would impair our ability to perform client services, generate revenue and maintain profitability. If we are unable to hire and retain highly-skilled individuals, our ability to retain existing business and compete for new business will be harmed. Individuals who have successfully sold and delivered services similar to those we provide to our clients are limited and competition for these individuals is intense. Further, individuals who were previously successful in a different business environment may no longer be successful. Identifying individuals who will succeed in this environment is extraordinarily difficult. To attract and retain these individuals, we invest a significant amount of time and money. In addition, we expect that both bonus payments and equity ownership will be an important component of overall employee compensation. In the current economic and market environment, overall bonus payments have been below target, increasing the risk that key employees will leave Inforte. Also, if our stock price does not increase over time, it may be more difficult to retain employees who have been compensated with equity-based awards

In the first quarter of 2005 Inforte's board of directors approved a capital restructuring plan that, among other things, offered employees the opportunity to convert certain stock options to restricted stock and to cash out other options. On March 21, 2005 Inforte completed its offer to exchange options for

cash or restricted stock. 509,636 options were exchanged for a total consideration of \$848,000 and 707,112 options were exchanged for 310,394 shares of restricted stock. As a result of this restructuring plan, total outstanding options were reduced from 2.6 million at December 31, 2004 to approximately 706,000 as of June 30, 2005. Inforte intends to favor restricted stock grants over stock options in the future. These actions could reduce net income per share and may cause Inforte to become unprofitable.

If we fail to adequately manage rapid changes in demand, our profitability and cash flow may be reduced or eliminated. If we cannot keep pace with the rapid changes in demand, we will be unable to effectively match resources with demand, and maintain high client satisfaction, which may eliminate our profitability and our ability to achieve positive free cash flow. Our business grew dramatically from 1993 through 2000. For example, our net revenue increased by 100% or more for seven consecutive years, reaching \$63.8 million in 2000. As a result of the pricing pressures from competitors and from clients facing pressure to control costs, net revenue has declined in each of the years 2001, 2002 and 2003, dropping to \$32.7 million in 2003 and then increasing to \$43.9 million in 2004. If our revenues decline, we may not be

18

profitable or achieve positive free cash flow. If, on the other hand, our growth exceeds our expectations, our current resources and infrastructure may be inadequate to handle the growth.

If our marketing relationships with software vendors deteriorate, we would lose their client referrals. If these vendors continue to increase their professional services revenue, our revenue could be adversely affected. We currently have marketing relationships with software vendors, including SAP, Siebel Systems, Inc., Salesforce.com, Vignette and Unica. Although we have historically received a large number of business leads from these and other software vendors to implement their products, they are not required to refer business to us and they may terminate these relationships at any time. If our relationships with these software vendors deteriorate, we may lose their client leads and our ability to develop new clients could be negatively impacted. Any decrease in our ability to obtain clients may cause a reduction in our net revenues. Historically our software partners have primarily relied on licensing fees and maintenance contracts to generate revenue. However, more recently as software licensed sales have declined, software vendors have sought to supplement their revenue through increased implementation services for their software. This business strategy puts us in competition with our software partners on some deals, reducing client leads and our ability to develop new clients and revenue. Currently, we do not receive a significant portion of our leads through our software vendor relationships.

If we are unable to rapidly integrate third-party software, we may not be able to deliver solutions to our clients on a timely basis, resulting in lost revenues and potential liability. In providing client services, we recommend that our clients use software applications from a variety of third-party vendors. If we are unable to implement and integrate this software in a fully functional manner for our clients, we may experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction or marketing of services. Software often contains errors or defects, particularly when first introduced or when new versions or enhancements are released. Despite internal testing and testing by current and potential clients, our current and future solutions may contain serious defects due to third-party software or software we develop or customize for clients. Serious defects or errors could result in liability for damages, lost revenues or a delay in implementation of our

#### solutions.

Our revenues could be negatively affected by the loss of a large client or our failure to collect a large account receivable. At times, we derive a significant portion of our revenue from large projects for a limited number of varying clients. During the second quarter of 2005 our five largest clients accounted for 33% of net revenue and our ten largest clients accounted for 56% of net revenue. In the same quarter we had no clients contributing more than 10% of net revenue for the year. Although these large clients vary from time to time and our long-term revenues do not rely on any one client, our revenues could be negatively affected if we were to lose one of our top clients or if we were to fail to collect a large account receivable. In addition, many of our contracts are short-term and our clients may be able to reduce or cancel our services without incurring any penalty. If our clients reduce or terminate our services, we would lose revenue and would have to reallocate our employees and our resources to other projects to attempt to minimize the effects of that reduction or termination. Accordingly, terminations, including any termination by a major client, could adversely impact our revenues. We believe the uncertain economic environment increases the probability that services may be reduced or canceled.

If we estimate incorrectly the time required to complete our projects, we will lose money on fixed-price contracts. A portion of our contracts are fixed-price contracts, rather than contracts in which the client pays us on a time-and-materials basis. We must estimate the number of hours and the materials required before entering into a fixed-price contract. Our future success will depend on our ability to continue to set rates and fees accurately and to maintain targeted rates of employee utilization and project quality. If we fail to accurately estimate the time and the resources required for a project, any required increase in the time and resources to complete the project could cause our profits to decline.

Fluctuations in our quarterly revenues and operating results due to cyclical client demand may lead to reduced prices for our stock. Our quarterly revenues and operating results have fluctuated significantly in the past and we expect them to continue to fluctuate significantly in the future. Historically, we have experienced our greatest sequential growth during the first and second quarters. We typically experience significantly lower sequential growth in the third and fourth quarters. We attribute this to the budgeting cycles of our customers, most of whom have calendar-based fiscal years and as a result are more likely to initiate projects during the first half of the year. In 2001, this traditional seasonal pattern was overwhelmed by a cyclical decline in information technology spending, causing our net revenue to decline sequentially in each quarter of 2001. In February and March 2002, we did experience an increase

19

in demand which did allow our net revenue in the second quarter 2002 to exceed the first quarter 2002 level. We believe that increase in demand was due to positive seasonal effects, while the subsequent lower revenue in the third quarter 2002 was due to negative seasonal effects. In 2003, our net revenue declined sequentially for the first three quarters of the year. We believe our traditional seasonal pattern was overwhelmed by geopolitical events that took place early in 2003, the most notable of these events being the war in Iraq. In the beginning of 2004 there was increased demand due to our development of new service offerings and a general pick-up in the economy; the third and fourth quarter of 2004 were impacted by normal seasonal effects and the commoditization of one of our service offerings. In the first quarter of 2005 demand for one of our service offerings declined again and out net revenue dropped. The increase in our second quarter revenue was due to an increase in the demand for one of

our service offerings, which more than offset the decline in the demand for another of our other practice areas. This existence of seasonal, cyclical and service offering effects makes it more difficult to predict demand, and if we are unable to predict client demand accurately in a slower growth or distressed economic environment, our expenses may be disproportionate to our revenue on a quarterly basis and our stock price may be adversely affected.

Others could claim that we infringe on their intellectual property rights, which may result in substantial costs, diversion of resources and management attention, and harm to our reputation. A portion of our business involves the development of software applications for specific client engagements. Although we believe that our services do not infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, we may be the subject of claims for infringement, which even if successfully defended could be costly and time-consuming. An infringement claim against us could materially and adversely affect us in that we may:

- experience a diversion of our financial resources and management attention;
- o incur damages and litigation costs, including attorneys' fees;
- o be enjoined from further use of the intellectual property;
- be required to obtain a license to use the intellectual property, incurring licensing fees;
- need to develop a non-infringing alternative, which could be costly and delay projects; and
- o have to indemnify clients with respect to losses incurred as a result of our infringement of the intellectual property.

Because we are newer and smaller than many of our competitors, we may not have the resources to effectively compete, causing our revenues to decline. Many of our competitors have longer operating histories, larger client bases, longer relationships with clients, greater brand or name recognition, and significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, and public relations resources than we do. We may be unable to compete with full-service consulting companies, including the former consulting divisions of the largest global accounting firms, who are able to offer their clients a wider range of services. If our clients decide to take their strategy and technology projects to these companies, our revenues may decline. It is possible that in uncertain economic times our clients may prefer to work with larger firms to a greater extent than normal. In addition, new professional services companies may provide services similar to ours at a lower price, which could cause our revenues to decline.

Our expansion and growth internationally could negatively affect our business. For the quarter ending June 30, 2005, our international net revenue was 32% of total net revenue. There are additional risks associated with international operations, which we do not face domestically and we may assume even higher levels of such risk as we expand our ventures in Europe and India. Risk factors associated with international operations include longer customer payment cycles, adverse taxes and compliance with local laws and regulations. Further, the effects of fluctuations in currency exchange rates may adversely affect the results of operations. These risk factors, among others not cited here, may negatively impact our business.

As offshore development becomes accepted as a viable alternative to doing work domestically, our pricing and revenue may be negatively affected. Gradually,

over the past several decades, numerous IT service firms have been founded in countries such as India, which have well-educated and technically trained English-speaking workforces available at wage rates that are

only a fraction of U.S. and European wages rates. Additionally, some larger clients have established internal IT operations at offshore locations. While traditionally we have not competed with offshore development, presently this form of development is seeing rapid and increasing acceptance in the market, especially for routine and repetitive types of development. While offshore development has greater risk due to distance, geopolitical and cultural issues, we believe its lower cost advantage will likely overwhelm these risks. If we are unable to evolve our service offerings to a more differentiated position or if the rate of acceptance of offshore development advances even faster than we anticipate, then our pricing and our revenue may be negatively affected. We have established an offshore development capability in New Delhi, India. If we are unable to adequately manage the additional complexity of these operations and this model for project delivery, it may impact project quality and overall company profitability.

Recent changes in the executive team and strategic modifications in business structure could lead to inferior financial results if this transition does not occur smoothly. Over the past two years Inforte has implemented several strategic reorganization plans that comprised of simplification of the business structure and changes in Inforte's executive management team. In December 2003, Inforte announced the hiring of new President and Chief Operating Officer, David Sutton, and the stepping down of Stephen Mack, both effective on that date. In addition, in January 2005, Inforte announced in a press release and a form 8-K that Inforte Chief Operating Officer and President David Sutton had assumed the position of Chief Executive Officer and that Philip Bligh, while remaining as Chairman of the Board, was stepping down as Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Sutton has relinquished the Chief Operating Officer title and Inforte does not plan any appointment to this position at this time. Should these changes in the executive team adversely affect relationships with current partners and clients or lead to higher turnover rates, we may be unable to maintain the present level of profitability.

Current or future legislative and regulatory requirements, such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, may lead to increased insurance, accounting, legal and other costs, which may cause our profitability to decline. We have already switched some supplier relationships, including our audit and tax advisor relationship to mitigate these cost increases, and other relationships are under review. On September 8, 2003, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors approved (1) the dismissal of Ernst & Young LLP (E&Y) as the Company's independent accountants, effective November 15, 2003, and (2) the replacement of E&Y with Grant Thornton LLP as the Company's independent accountants, commencing upon the dismissal of E&Y. The replacement of E&Y with Grant Thornton LLP was based on economic reasons related to possible future fees escalation in current and forthcoming engagements of the Company's independent accountants. Further, efforts started in 2004 to document the implementation of the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and for that purpose Inforte has incurred additional costs related to the hiring of outside consultants. We expect these costs to increase even further in 2005 and 2006 when, starting 2006, under section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act we will be required to include, for the first time in our Annual Report and Form 10-K management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, and our independent auditors'

attestation of that assessment.

If we experience significant delays in our project plan for compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, these delays could prevent us from obtaining an unqualified attestation from our auditors. As of the date of this report, we have developed a detailed plan coordinating the efforts required for the management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, and our independent auditors' attestation of that assessment. If we do not timely complete and document our work, our auditors might not have sufficient time to successfully test the management's assessment before our Form 10-K filing for the year 2006, which would prevent us from obtaining an unqualified attestation from our auditors. Because opinions on internal controls have not been required in the past, it is uncertain what impact our failure to timely complete our management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting could have on our financial results, regulatory filings or our stock price.

We may not be able to integrate successfully the business of recently acquired companies with Inforte's business. While we believe that acquisition deals enhance our ability to offer analytics and business intelligence solutions to our customers, acquired businesses may not be integrated successfully. This could lead to the loss of key employees, customers or service partners or other negative impacts. Failure to integrate newly acquired businesses successfully could result in an inability to maintain revenue levels or to realize certain synergies of the acquisition, which, in turn, may negatively impact our operating results.

21

If an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of an acquired reporting unit below its carrying value we may have to charge a portion of any associated goodwill balance against profits, causing current net earning to become significantly lower or negative. The fair value of each reporting unit is estimated quarterly using a valuation methodology based on historical performance and industry specific multiples. If a significant change in the business climate, legal factors, operating performance indicators, competition, sale or disposition of a significant portion of a reporting unit causes the fair value of the reporting unit to decline, Inforte may have to reduce the balance of any associated goodwill, which, in turn, will negatively impact our operating results.

If confidential personal data is lost, destroyed or mishandled in a way giving access to unauthorized users, Inforte, and its affiliate companies may suffer as a result of negative publicity and legal action against the company. Some of Inforte's affiliate companies are directly involved in processing and transfer of significant quantities of confidential personal data. Even if Inforte and its affiliate companies are following strict procedures and guidelines for protection of confidential personal data from unauthorized use, a possible breach of security could result in liability for Inforte or its affiliate companies.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY

If the rate of adoption of advanced information technology slows substantially, our revenues may decrease. We market our services primarily to firms that want

to adopt information technology that provides an attractive return on investment or helps provide a sustainable competitive advantage. Our revenues could decrease if companies decide not to integrate the latest technologies into their businesses due to economic factors, governmental regulations, financial constraints or other reasons. Inforte's market research suggests that the level of information technology spending in the United States is closely linked with the growth rate of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). We expect information technology spending and Inforte revenue to be highly dependent on the health of the U.S. economy. If the overall level of business capital investment declines this may cause our revenue to decline and remain at a lower level.

If the supply of information technology companies and personnel continues to exceed demand, this may adversely impact the pricing of our projects and our ability to win business. Over the last few years many firms in our industry announced significant employee layoffs and lower rates of utilization of billable personnel. An oversupply of technology professionals may reduce the price clients are willing to pay for our services. An oversupply may also increase the talent pool for potential clients who may choose to complete projects in-house rather than use an outside consulting firm such as Inforte. Lower utilization rates increase the likelihood that a competitor will reduce their price to secure business in order to improve their utilization rate. The extent to which pricing and our ability to win business may be impacted is a function of both the magnitude and duration of the supply and demand imbalance in our industry.

Geopolitical instability may cause our revenues to decrease. Our clients often avoid large spending commitments during periods of geopolitical instability and economic uncertainty. The possibility of terrorists attacking United States' interests or geopolitical concerns in other areas such as the Middle East, south Asia and North Korea may cause clients to freeze or slow their decision making processes. This would slow demand for our services and would negatively impact our revenue.

RISKS RELATED TO THE OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK

Our stock price could be extremely volatile, like many technology stocks. The market prices of securities of technology companies, particularly information technology services companies, have been highly volatile. We expect continued high volatility in our stock price, with prices at times bearing no relationship to Inforte's operating performance. Inforte's average trading volume during the second quarter of 2005 averaged approximately 62,000 shares per day. On any particular day, Inforte's trading volume can be less than 2,000 shares, increasing the potential for volatile stock prices.

Volatility of our stock price could result in expensive class action litigation. If our common stock suffers from volatility like the securities of other technology companies, we have a greater risk of further securities class action litigation claims. One such claim is pending

presently. Litigation could result in substantial costs and could divert our resources and senior management's attention. This could harm our productivity and profitability.

Officers and directors own a significant percentage of outstanding shares and, as a group, may control a vote of stockholders. As of June 30, 2005 our executive officers and directors beneficially own over 33% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. The largest owners and their percentage ownership are set forth below:

o Philip S. Bligh 20.0% o Stephen C.P. Mack 10.6%

If the stockholders listed above act or vote together with other employees who own significant shares of our common stock, they will have the ability to control the election of our directors and the approval of any other action requiring stockholder approval, including any amendments to the certificate of incorporation and mergers or sales of all or substantially all assets, even if the other stockholders perceive that these actions are not in their best interests. Our stock repurchase program has had the effect of increasing the concentration of insider ownership. If we make further repurchases, the percentage of insider ownership could increase further. Over time, the influence or control executive officers and directors have on a stockholder vote may decrease as they diversify overall equity wealth with sales of Inforte stock. As permitted by SEC Rule 10b5-1, Inforte executive officers and directors have or may set up a predefined, structured stock trading program. The trading program allows brokers acting on behalf of company insiders to trade company stock during company blackout periods or while the insiders may be aware of material, non-public information, if the transaction is performed according to a pre-existing contract, instruction or plan that was established with the broker during a non-blackout period and when the insider was not aware of any material, non-public information. Inforte executive officers and directors may also trade company stock outside of plans set up under SEC Rule 10b5-1, however, such trades would be subject to company blackout periods and insider trading rules.

The authorization of preferred stock, a staggered board of directors and supermajority voting requirements will make a takeover attempt more difficult, even if the takeover would be favorable for stockholders. Inforte's certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of deterring, delaying or preventing a change in control of Inforte. For example, our charter documents provide for:

- o the ability of the board of directors to issue preferred stock and to determine the price and other terms, including preferences and voting rights, of those shares without stockholder approval;
- o the inability of our stockholders to act by written consent or to call a special meeting;
- o advance notice provisions for stockholder proposals and nominations to the board of directors;
- a staggered board of directors, with three-year terms, which will lengthen the time needed to gain control of the board of directors; and
- supermajority voting requirements for stockholders to amend provisions of the charter documents described above.

We are also subject to Delaware law. Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law prohibits us from engaging in a business combination with any significant stockholder for a period of three years from the date the person became a significant stockholder unless, for example, our board of directors approved the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder. Any of the above could have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control that a stockholder may consider favorable.

23

#### Item 3. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

In all categories of cash, cash equivalents and short-term and long-term marketable securities, Inforte invests only in highly liquid securities of high credit quality. All short-term investments bear a minimum Standard & Poor's rating of A1 or Moody's investor service rating of P1. All long-term investments bear a minimum Standard & Poor's rating of A or Moody's investor service rating of A2.

Inforte has a large cash and marketable securities balance that generates substantial interest income. Historically, a considerable portion of Inforte's pretax income was from interest income. Declining short-term market interest rates will have a significant impact on Inforte's profitability as interest income drops. Thus, a drop in short-term market interest rates will increase the revenue level required to be profitable, and increases the risk that Inforte will lose money.

#### Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Inforte's management, including Inforte's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this report, of the effectiveness of Inforte's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based on that evaluation, Inforte's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Inforte's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. As required by Rule 13a-15(d) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Inforte's management, including Inforte's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of Inforte's internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Inforte's internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there has been no such change during the quarter covered by this report.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Inforte; Philip S. Bligh, Inforte's Chairman of the Board; and Stephen C.P. Mack and Nick Padgett, each a former executive officer of Inforte, have been named as defendants in Mary C. Best v. Inforte Corp.; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; Salomon Smith Barney, Inc.; Philip S. Bligh; Stephen C.P. Mack and Nick Padgett, Case No. 01 CV 10836, filed on November 30, 2001 in Federal Court in the Southern District of New York (the "Case"). The Case is among more than 300 putative class actions

against certain issuers, their officers and directors, and underwriters with respect to such issuers' initial public offerings, coordinated as In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, 21 MC 92 (SAS) (collectively, the "Multiple IPO Litigation"). An amended class action complaint was filed in the Case on April 19, 2002. The amended complaint in the Case alleges violations of federal securities laws in connection with Inforte's initial public offering occurring in February 2000 and seeks certification of a class of purchasers of Inforte stock, unspecified damages, interest, attorneys' and expert witness fees and other costs. The amended complaint does not allege any claims relating to any alleged misrepresentations or omissions with respect to our business. The individual defendants (Messrs. Bligh, Mack and Padgett) have been dismissed from the case without prejudice pursuant to a stipulated dismissal and a tolling agreement. We have moved to dismiss the plaintiff's case. On February 19, 2002, the Court granted this motion in part, denied it in part and ordered that discovery in the case may commence. The Court dismissed with prejudice the plaintiff's purported claim against Inforte under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, but left in place the plaintiff's claim under Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933.

Inforte has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (the "MOU"), along with most of the other defendant issuers in the Multiple IPO Litigation, whereby such issuers and their officers and directors (including Inforte and Messrs. Bligh, Mack and Padgett) will be dismissed with prejudice from the Multiple IPO Litigation, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. Under the terms of the MOU, neither Inforte nor any of its formerly named individual defendants admit any basis for liability with respect to the claims in the Case. The MOU provides that insurers for Inforte and the other defendant issuers participating in the settlement will pay approximately \$1 billion to settle the Multiple IPO Litigation, except that no such payment will occur until claims against the underwriters are resolved and such payment will be paid

24

only if the recovery against the underwriters for such claims is less than \$1 billion and then only to the extent of any shortfall. Under the terms of the MOU, neither Inforte nor any of its named directors will pay any amount of the settlement. The MOU further provided that participating defendant issuers will assign certain claims they may have against the defendant underwriters in connection with the Multiple IPO Litigation. The MOU is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including, among others, approval of the Court. In an order dated February 15, 2005, the Court certified settlement classes and class representatives and granted preliminary approval to the settlement contemplated by the MOU with certain modifications, including that the "bar order," or claims that would be barred by the settlement, be modified consistent with the Court's opinion. The Court has ordered the parties to submit a revised settlement stipulation consistent with its opinion and has also scheduled a further hearing to determine the form, substance and program of notices to class members and to determine the fairness of the settlement.

Item 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities None

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities None

Item 4. Submission of Matter to a Vote of Security Holders None

Item 5. Other Information None

25

- Item 6. Exhibits
- (a) Exhibits

Exhibit Number Exhibit

- 10.12 Limited Liability Company Agreement of PROVANSIS LLC An Inforte Company, dated May 20, 2005.
- 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32 Written statement of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss.1350.

26

#### SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Inforte Corp.

By: /s/ Nick Heyes

August 15, 2005

Nick Heyes, Chief Financial Officer