

EMCOR GROUP INC
Form DEF 14A
April 18, 2012
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant S
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant £

Check the appropriate box:

- | | |
|--|--|
| £ Preliminary Proxy Statement | £ Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only |
| S Definitive Proxy Statement | (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) |
| £ Definitive Additional Materials | |
| £ Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12 | |

EMCOR GROUP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

- S No fee required.
- £ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

Edgar Filing: EMCOR GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transactions applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transactions applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

£ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

£ Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount previously paid:
 - (2) Form, schedule or registration statement no.:
 - (3) Filing party:
 - (4) Date filed:
-

EMCOR GROUP, INC.
301 Merritt Seven
Norwalk, Connecticut 06851

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING

To the Stockholders of EMCOR Group, Inc.

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of EMCOR Group, Inc. will be held in the Park and Lexington Rooms at The Pierre Hotel, 2 East 61st Street, New York, New York, on Thursday, May 31, 2012 at 10:00 A.M. (local time) for the following purposes:

1. To elect ten directors to serve until the next annual meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified.
2. To consider a non-binding advisory resolution approving executive compensation.
3. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors for 2012.
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 5, 2012 as the record date for determination of stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, our Annual Meeting and any adjournment thereof.

Your attention is respectfully directed to the accompanying Proxy Statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors
Sheldon I. Cammaker
Corporate Secretary

Norwalk, Connecticut
April 18, 2012

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting

We have sent or are sending the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, which indicates that this Notice of 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement and our 2011 Annual Report will be made available at www.proxyvote.com. If you wish to receive paper or e-mail copies of any of these materials, please follow the instructions on your Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. These materials are also available on our website at www.emcorgroup.com/proxyannualreport.

EMCOR GROUP, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

What is the purpose of this Proxy Statement?

The EMCOR Board of Directors is soliciting proxies from holders of our Common Stock to vote on the items to be considered at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting), which will be held on May 31, 2012.

What is the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials?

We have elected to provide access to our proxy materials on the Internet, consistent with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, we are mailing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our stockholders. You can access our proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or you may request printed versions of our proxy materials for the Annual Meeting. Instructions on how to access our proxy materials on the Internet or to request printed versions are provided in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. In addition, you may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis.

The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials is a document that:

Indicates that our Notice of 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement and our 2011 Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com;

Provides instructions on how holders of our Common Stock may vote their shares; and

Indicates how holders of our Common Stock may request printed copies of these materials, including the proxy card or a voting instruction form.

We will begin distributing the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials on or about April 18, 2012.

For those stockholders who have requested printed copies, we will first send or deliver copies of the proxy materials for our Annual Meeting and our 2011 Annual Report on or about April 18, 2012.

What items of business will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

At the meeting, we will:

1. Vote for the election of 10 directors;
2. Consider a non-binding advisory resolution approving executive compensation, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis , executive compensation tables, and accompanying narrative disclosures below; and
3. Consider the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent auditors for 2012.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Holders of our Common Stock as of the record date of April 5, 2012 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

How does the Board of Directors recommend holders of Common Stock vote on the business of the meeting?

The Board of Directors recommends stockholders vote their shares:

1. FOR the election of each of the 10 director nominees identified in this Proxy Statement;
2. FOR the adoption of the advisory resolution approving executive compensation; and
3. FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent auditors for 2012.

How many shares can vote at the Annual Meeting?

At the close of business on April 5, 2012, we had 66,743,969 shares of Common Stock outstanding, and each of those shares is entitled to one vote.

How many shares must be present or represented at the Annual Meeting to conduct business?

Under our Amended and Restated Bylaws, the holders of a majority of our shares of Common Stock outstanding on the record date, present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, constitute a quorum to conduct business at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as present for purposes of determining a quorum.

What vote is required to approve each of the items of business?

Election of directors is by a plurality of the votes cast. This means that the ten individuals nominated for the election to the Board of Directors who receive the most FOR votes (among votes properly cast in person or by proxy) will be elected.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of our Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required to approve Item 3 listed above and any other matter that may properly come before the meeting.

Because Item 2 asks for a non-binding, advisory vote, there is no required vote that would constitute approval. We value the opinions expressed by our stockholders on this advisory vote, and our Board of Directors Compensation and Personnel Committee, which is responsible for overseeing and administering our executive compensation programs, will consider the outcome of the vote when designing our compensation programs and making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers. Abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will not have any effect on the results of those deliberations.

The Board recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees listed in this Proxy Statement for director, FOR approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, and FOR ratification of Ernst & Young as our independent auditors for 2012.

How can I vote my shares at the Annual Meeting?

Voting by Proxy

Holders of our Common Stock may submit a proxy by:

following
the
instructions
on the
Notice of
Internet
Availability
of Proxy
Materials to
vote by
telephone or
the Internet;
or

completing,
signing,
dating and
returning
the proxy
card or
voting
instruction
form by
mail.

Edgar Filing: EMCOR GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Anthony J. Guzzi, Sheldon I. Cammaker and Mark A. Pompa (the proxy holders) have been designated by our Board of Directors to vote the shares represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. Messrs. Guzzi, Cammaker and Pompa are executive officers of the Company, and Mr. Guzzi is also a director nominee.

-2-

The proxy holders will vote the shares represented by your valid and timely received proxy in accordance with your instructions.

If you do not specify instructions on your signed proxy when you submit it, the proxy holders will vote the shares represented by the proxy in accordance with the recommendations of our Board of Directors on each item of business listed above.

If any other matter properly comes before the Annual Meeting, the proxy holders will vote the shares represented by proxy on that matter in their discretion.

If your shares are held in a brokerage account in your broker's name or in the name of a bank or other nominee (this is called "street name"), please follow the voting instructions provided by your bank, broker or other nominee. In most cases, you may submit voting instructions by telephone or by Internet to your bank, broker or other nominee, or you can sign, date and return a voting instruction form to your bank, broker or other nominee. If you provide specific voting instructions by telephone, by Internet or by mail, your bank, broker or other nominee must vote your shares as you have directed. If you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must request a legal proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee.

Voting other than by Proxy

While we encourage voting in advance by proxy, record holders of our Common Stock also have the option of voting their shares in person at the Annual Meeting.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy after I return my proxy card?

You may change your vote or revoke your proxy before the proxy is voted at the Annual Meeting by:

sending
written
notice to
Corporate
Secretary,
EMCOR
Group,
Inc., 301
Merritt
Seven,
Norwalk,
CT 06851;

timely
delivery of
a valid
later-dated
proxy or a
later-dated
vote by
telephone
or on the
Internet; or

if you are a
record
holder,
attending
the Annual
Meeting
and voting
in person.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you may submit new voting instructions by contacting your broker or other holder of record.

What effect do abstentions and broker non-votes have on the items of business?

An abstention on Item 3 identified above will have the effect of a vote against that Item. An abstention on Item 1 or 2 identified above will have no effect on the voting results for that Item.

Broker non-votes may occur because certain beneficial holders of our Common Stock hold their shares in street name through a broker or other nominee which is a member of the New York Stock Exchange. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, the only Item to be acted upon at our Annual Meeting with respect to which the broker or such nominee will be permitted to exercise voting discretion is Item 3, the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent auditors for 2012. Therefore, if a beneficial holder of our Common Stock does not give the broker or nominee specific voting instructions on Items 1 or 2, the holder's shares will not be voted on those

Items and a broker non-vote will occur. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the voting results for such Items.

Who will count the votes?

We have retained Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. for the receipt, validation and tabulation of the votes at the Annual Meeting.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

We will publish the results of the voting in a Current Report on Form 8-K within four business days of the Annual Meeting.

What is Householding?

Stockholders of record who have the same last name and address and who request paper copies of the proxy materials will receive only one copy unless one or more of them notifies us that they wish to receive individual copies. We agree to deliver promptly, upon written or oral request, a set of proxy materials, as requested, to any stockholder at the shared address to which a single copy of those documents was delivered. Stockholders will continue to receive separate proxy cards. If you prefer to receive separate copies of the proxy materials, contact Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. at 800-542- 1061 or in writing to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., Household Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717.

COMPANY INFORMATION AND MAILING ADDRESS

We are a Delaware corporation. Our mailing address is EMCOR Group, Inc., 301 Merritt Seven, Norwalk, CT 06851, and our telephone number is (203) 849-7800. Our website address is www.emcorgroup.com. References in this proxy statement to EMCOR, Company, we, us and our refer to EMCOR Group, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries unless the context requires otherwise. Information on our website is not intended to be incorporated into this Proxy Statement.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We have a long history of good corporate governance practices that has greatly aided our long-term success. Our Board of Directors, which we sometimes refer to as our Board, and our management have recognized for many years the need for sound corporate governance practices in fulfilling their respective duties and responsibilities to our stockholders. Our Board and management have taken numerous steps to enhance our policies and procedures to comply with the corporate governance listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Corporate Governance Guidelines. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide the framework for our governance. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of our Board, which we refer to as the Corporate Governance Committee, regularly reviews corporate governance developments and makes recommendations to our Board with respect to suggested modifications to our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Independence of Directors. To assist our Board in determining the independence of each director, our Board has adopted categorical Standards for Determining Director Independence, a copy of which is attached to this Proxy Statement as Exhibit A and available at our website at www.emcorgroup.com. To be considered independent our Board must affirmatively determine that the director has no material relationship with us. Our Board has determined that eight of our ten directors, including all members of the Audit Committee of our Board, which we refer to as the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Personnel Committee of our Board, which we refer to as the Compensation Committee, and the Corporate Governance Committee of our Board are independent, as defined by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and all applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and in the case of the Compensation Committee, for purposes of Rule 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. These eight directors are: Stephen W. Bershad, David A. B. Brown, Larry J. Bump, Albert Fried, Jr., Richard F. Hamm, Jr., David H. Laidley, Jerry E. Ryan, and Michael T. Yonker. The other two directors are Frank T. MacInnis, Chairman of our Board, and until the close of business January 3, 2011, our Chief Executive Officer, and Anthony J. Guzzi, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and, accordingly, are not considered independent.

Executive Sessions of the Board. At regularly scheduled meetings of the Board, non-management directors meet without any Company representatives present. The chairpersons of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance Committee rotate presiding over those executive sessions.

Board Leadership Structure. The Company has no formal policy regarding the leadership structure of the Board. Mr. Frank T. MacInnis has served as Chairman of our Board since April 1994. From April 1994 to January 3, 2011, Mr. MacInnis was also our Chief Executive Officer. Our Board believes the Company and its stockholders are best served by having Mr. MacInnis continue as Chairman. In this capacity, he can serve as a bridge between the Board and management. As Chairman, Mr. MacInnis presides at meetings of our Board of Directors. In addition, he sets the agenda for our Board meetings in collaboration with our Chief Executive Officer and recommends Board committee appointments and responsibilities in conjunction with the Corporate Governance Committee.

The Company does not have a lead director but, as indicated above, a chairperson of each committee of the Board presides on a rotating basis over regular executive sessions of the non-management members of the Board. Members of management do not attend those sessions. The Board believes that those executive sessions, together with its strong committee system and substantial majority of independent directors, allow the Board to maintain effective oversight of management.

Board Committee Charters. Our Board has adopted written charters for its Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance Committee. At least annually, each committee reviews its charter and recommends any proposed changes to the Board for approval. A copy of the charter of each committee is available on our website at www.emcorgroup.com.

Standards of Conduct. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applies to all of our directors, officers and employees and those of our subsidiaries. In addition, our Board has adopted a separate Code of Ethics for our Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers which imposes additional ethical obligations upon them.

Stockholder Communications. Stockholders and other interested persons may communicate with members of our Board as a group, or with one or more members of our Board (including non-management directors as a group), by writing to them c/o EMCOR Group, Inc., 301 Merritt Seven, Norwalk, Connecticut 06851, Attention: Corporate Secretary. Such communications will be forwarded to the individuals addressed. However, the Corporate Secretary will not forward communications to the Board that advocate illegal activity, are offensive or lewd, have no relevance to the business or operations of the Company, or constitute mass mailings, solicitations or advertisements. The Corporate Secretary will determine when a communication is not to be forwarded.

Policies and Procedures for Related Party Transactions. Under our written policy regarding transactions with related parties, which policy is contained in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, we generally require that any transaction involving \$60,000 or more be approved in advance by our Board or a committee of our Board if we are, or one of our subsidiaries is, a participant in the transaction and if any of the following persons has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction:

an
executive
officer;

a director;

a beneficial
holder of
5% or more
of our
Common
Stock,
which we
refer to as a
Significant
Holder ;

an
immediate
family
member of
an
executive
officer,
director or
Significant
Holder; or

an entity
which is
owned or
controlled

by one of
the above
persons or
in which
one of the
above
persons has
a
substantial
ownership
interest.

We refer to each of the foregoing as a Related Party .

The member of the Board who or whose immediate family member has an interest in the transaction may not participate in the Board approval process. The Related Party must disclose any such proposed transaction, and all material facts relating to the transaction, to the Chairman of our Audit Committee and our General Counsel who is to communicate such information to our Board for its consideration. No such transaction is to be approved unless it is determined that the transaction is in, or is not inconsistent with, our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders.

However, if the transaction principally involves the provision of products and services by one of our subsidiaries in the ordinary course of its business to a Significant Holder, an immediate family member of a Significant Holder, or an entity owned or controlled by a Significant Holder or in which a Significant Holder or an immediate family member of a Significant Holder has a substantial ownership interest, the transaction does not need to be approved by the Board or a Board committee.

In order to ensure that material relationships and Related Party transactions have been identified, reviewed and disclosed in accordance with applicable policies and procedures, each director and executive officer also completes a questionnaire at the end of each fiscal year that requests confirmation that there are no material relationships or Related Party transactions between such individual and the Company other than those previously disclosed to the Company.

During the period from January 1, 2011 and ending March 31, 2012, there was only one series of transactions in which the Company, or any of our subsidiaries, was or is to be a participant and in which any Related Party had or will have a direct or indirect material interest required to be approved by the Board or a Board committee. The transactions in which a Related Party (Mr. MacInnis) had a material interest are described below on page 47 under Interested Transactions .

Availability of Corporate Governance Materials. Our categorical Standards for Determining Director Independence, Corporate Governance Guidelines, including policies and procedures for Related Party Transactions, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Code of Ethics for our Chief Executive

Officer and senior financial officers, and other corporate governance materials may be obtained at our website at www.emcorgroup.com or by writing to us at 301 Merritt Seven, Norwalk, Connecticut 06851, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

During 2011, our Board met ten times, and committees of our Board held an aggregate of 15 meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of our Board and committees on which he served during 2011. As provided in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, all directors are expected to attend annual meetings of stockholders, and all of our directors attended the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Our Board has standing Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committees comprised solely of independent directors as defined in the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. The members and the principal responsibilities of these committees are as follows:

The Audit Committee, established in accordance with the rules of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is comprised of Messrs. Bershad, Brown, Hamm and Laidley. Among other things, it is responsible for:

- engaging
(subject to
ratification by
stockholders),
overseeing,
and
discharging
our
independent
auditors;

- setting our
independent
auditors fees;

- reviewing the
scope and
audit
procedures of
our
independent
auditors;

- approving
audit and
permitted
non-audit
services;

- reviewing with
management
and our

independent
auditors our
annual and
quarterly
financial
statements;

receiving
periodic
reports from
our
independent
auditors and
management
regarding the
auditors
independence;

meeting with
our
management
and
independent
auditors on
matters
relating to,
among other
things, major
issues
regarding
accounting
principles and
practices and
financial
statement
presentation,
and the
adequacy of
our internal
audit controls;
and

reviewing our
internal
auditing and
accounting
personnel.

The Audit Committee met five times during 2011. Our Board has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee, Messrs. Bershad, Brown, Hamm and Laidley, are audit committee financial experts, within the meaning of the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Messrs. Bershad, Bump, Fried, Laidley, Ryan and Yonker. It is responsible for:

overseeing the evaluation of our management and reviewing and advising our Board regarding the qualifications of individuals identified as candidates for positions as our chief executive officer, chief operating officer, chief financial officer, and general counsel and for the position of chief executive officer of each subsidiary whose proposed annual base salary is \$400,000 or more;

reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to compensation for our Chief Executive Officer, evaluating our Chief

Executive
Officer's
performance
in light of
those goals
and objectives
and, together
with the other
independent
directors,
determining
our Chief
Executive
Officer's
compensation
based on this
evaluation;

reviewing and
approving,
based on
proposals
made by our
Chief
Executive
Officer,
compensation
for our
executive
officers as
well as the
compensation
for each of
our and our
subsidiaries
other officers
and
employees
whose
proposed
annual base
salary is
\$400,000 or
more and for
approving,
together with
the other
independent
directors, any
employment,
severance or

similar
contracts for
our and our
subsidiaries
officers and
employees
whose
proposed
annual base
salary is
\$400,000 or
more; and

-7-

making
recommendations
to our Board with
respect to
incentive
compensation
plans for our
officers and other
employees, and
administering
those plans and
reviewing
executive
development
plans.

During 2011, the Compensation Committee held six meetings.

Each year the Compensation Committee reviews the annual salaries of, and considers annual incentive awards for, our Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers, each of whom is referred to in the Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2011, 2010 and 2009 on page 22, which we refer to as the Summary Compensation Table. It also reviews the annual salary of each of our and our subsidiaries other officers and employees whose proposed annual base salary is \$400,000 or more. Our Chief Executive Officer participates in portions of the Compensation Committee's meetings to make recommendations to the Compensation Committee for salary adjustments for those individuals and for the payment of annual incentive awards to all our executive officers, who we refer to collectively as the named executive officers. Annual incentive awards for our named executive officers are based upon our performance in meeting pre-established financial objectives during our most recently completed year and an evaluation of the individual executive's performance in meeting his pre-established personal goals and objectives for the most recently completed year. Our Chief Executive Officer participates in a portion of the meetings of our Compensation Committee and our entire Board during which various compensation issues are discussed. The Compensation Committee considers our Chief Executive Officer's recommendations regarding salary adjustments and payment of annual incentive awards, arrives at its own recommendations, and then with the participation of the other independent directors, makes its determination regarding salary adjustments and payment of annual incentive awards. The final determination regarding salary adjustments and payment of annual incentive awards are made at meetings without any members of management present. Mr. Frank T. MacInnis, our Chief Executive Officer through the close of business on January 3, 2011 and our Chairman of the Board, is included in the Summary Compensation Table and as such is a named executive officer. However, for 2011 Mr. MacInnis received a fixed salary and was not eligible for any annual incentive award, other than a special bonus paid to him in respect of 2011 and listed as such in the Summary Compensation Table.

Annually, during the first quarter of each year, the Compensation Committee establishes that year's objectives for our financial performance and the personal goals and objectives for each of the named executive officers, upon which the payment of that year's annual incentive awards for the executive may be based, and the targeted annual incentive awards for each such executive. Those criteria and targeted annual incentive awards are recommended by our Chief Executive Officer, and are reviewed by and ultimately established by the Compensation Committee, together with the participation of the other independent directors. When incentive compensation plans for our named executive officers and other senior executives have been established, those plans have been proposed by management, reviewed by the Compensation Committee, and, at times, reviewed by Mercer, which we refer to as Mercer. Mercer is a compensation consultant that the Compensation Committee has engaged annually commencing in 2006 to advise the Compensation Committee with regard to the amount and form of compensation for our named executive officers and to review compensation plans for those officers. Mercer reviews the salaries and other compensation we pay to our named

executive officers so that it may advise the Compensation Committee whether compensation paid to those executives is competitive with that paid to executives holding comparable positions at Mercer-selected companies, which are public companies engaged in providing specialty contracting, general construction, and/or facilities services and/or manufacturing of electrical and HVAC products and which companies have a market capitalization and other financial characteristics similar to ours, are organized similarly to the way we are, are focused on the United States market as we are, and with which we may compete for management talent. Mercer also reports upon its assessment of the appropriateness and fairness of our compensation plans when compared to compensation plans for comparable executives at those comparable companies. For 2011, we paid Mercer approximately \$106,300 for its services to the Compensation Committee. Mercer is a wholly owned subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. (Marsh). We also use Mercer and other Marsh subsidiaries (Other Marsh Subsidiaries) for consulting on pension related matters, including actuarial services, and for insurance broking and risk

consulting. We paid Mercer and Other Marsh Subsidiaries an aggregate of approximately \$1,600,000 for those services provided to us in 2011. Mercer has been retained for pension related matters, and Other Marsh Subsidiaries have been retained, on behalf of the Company by management, since 1987 and their retention is not subject to Board or Compensation Committee approval.

Our Long Term Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the LTIP, provides the methodology for computing a number of stock units annually granted to executives participating in the LTIP. The LTIP also provides for the grant of cash awards which, as set forth in the LTIP, are based upon us achieving an earnings per share objective for a measurement period of three years. The earnings per share objectives for measurement periods are, in accordance with the LTIP, set by the Compensation Committee after receiving recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer. The LTIP was proposed by management, reviewed by Mercer and, after review and modification by the Compensation Committee, approved by it and the other independent directors. The LTIP is further discussed commencing on page 16 in the Section entitled Compensation Discussion and Analysis and in the Section entitled Long Term Incentive Plan commencing on page 23 which follows the Summary Compensation Table on page 22.

The Corporate Governance Committee, comprised of Messrs. Brown, Bump, Fried, Hamm, Ryan, and Yonker, is charged with:

leading the search for individuals qualified to become members of our Board, consistent with criteria approved by the Board and set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines;

recommending to the Board nominees for election to the Board;

developing and overseeing an annual self-evaluation process for the Board and its committees;

making recommendations with respect to:

corporate
governance
guidelines;

compensation
and benefits
for
non-employee
directors; and

matters
relating to
Board
members
retirement and
removal, the
number,
function and
membership of
Board
committees,
director and
officer liability
insurance, and
indemnity
agreements
between us
and our
officers and
directors;

reviewing
with
management
the
Company's
enterprise risk
assessment
policies,
including the
process by
which
enterprise risk
is managed
and major
risk
exposures are
identified; in
this
connection
the Corporate

Governance
Committee
receives
periodic
reports from
senior
management
and our
internal
auditors
relating to
risk
assessment
and risk
management.

During 2011, the Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings.

The Corporate Governance Committee annually reviews compensation and other benefits for non-employee members of our Board. When the Corporate Governance Committee determines that a change in director compensation or benefits is appropriate, it submits such recommendation to the Board for its approval. No change in compensation or benefits for directors was made in the 2011 calendar year except that in 2011 the Corporate Governance Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, a program that awards to non-management directors each year following their election to the Board stock options to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock or a number of restricted stock units with a value equal to the value of those stock options as each director shall elect. Prior to 2011, that annual award consisted only of an award of 20,000 stock options.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DIRECTOR CANDIDATES

The Corporate Governance Committee will consider recommendations for candidates for Board membership suggested by Corporate Governance Committee members, other members of our Board, and stockholders. A stockholder who wishes the Corporate Governance Committee to consider his/her recommendations for nominees for the position of director should submit his/her recommendations in writing to the Corporate Governance Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, EMCOR Group, Inc., 301

Merritt Seven, Norwalk, Connecticut 06851, together with whatever supporting material the stockholder considers appropriate. The material, at a minimum, should include such background and biographical material as will enable the Corporate Governance Committee to make an initial determination as to whether the prospective nominee satisfies the criteria for directors set out in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are available at our website at www.emcorgroup.com. A stockholder may also nominate director candidates by complying with our bylaw provisions discussed commencing on page 47 under Other Matters Stockholder Proposals.

If the Corporate Governance Committee identifies a need to replace a current member of our Board, to fill a vacancy in our Board, or to expand the size of our Board, the process to be followed by the committee to identify and evaluate candidates includes:

consideration of those individuals recommended by stockholders as candidates for Board membership and those individuals recommended in response to requests for recommendations made of Board members and others, including those suggested by any third party executive search firm retained by the Corporate Governance Committee, from time to time;

meeting, from time to time, to evaluate biographical information and background material relating to candidates; and

interviews of selected candidates by members of the Corporate Governance

Committee.

As provided in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, in its assessment of each potential candidate, the Corporate Governance Committee is to consider the candidate's achievements in his or her personal career, experience, wisdom, integrity, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, and understanding of the business environment. The Corporate Governance Committee will also take into account the willingness of a candidate to devote adequate time to board duties. The Corporate Governance Committee may also consider any other relevant factors that it may, from time to time, deem appropriate, including the current composition of our Board, the balance of management and independent directors, the need for Audit Committee expertise and the evaluation of all prospective nominees. Candidates have been selected for, among other things, their integrity, independence, diversity of experience, leadership and their ability to exercise sound judgment. Prior experience involving issues relevant to the Company's business are among the most significant criteria. Final approval of a candidate is determined by the full Board. Consistent with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, in selecting nominees to our Board of Directors, the Corporate Governance Committee considers the diversity of skills and experience that a potential nominee possesses and the extent to which such diversity would enhance the perspective, background, knowledge and experience of our Board of Directors as a whole. The Corporate Governance Committee focuses on obtaining a diversity of professional expertise on our Board of Directors rather than a diversity of personal characteristics.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

The objectives of our executive compensation program for our named executive officers (other than Mr. Frank T. MacInnis who retired as our Chief Executive Officer as of the close of business on January 3, 2011), which officers are referred to in the Summary Compensation Table on page 22, are to attract, retain and motivate key executives with skills necessary to assure our long-term success. Broadly stated, the purposes of the key components of the program insofar as they relate to named executive officers are:

to reward
named
executive
officers
expertise and
experience;

to reward
named
executive
officers
performance
that drives
achievement
of our
short-term and
long-term
goals by
providing a
strong link
between pay
and
performance;

and

to align
named
executive
officers
compensation
with the
interests of
our
stockholders.

The executive compensation program uses various compensation elements that are geared to both our short-term and long-term performance. In designing these rewards we have applied the following principles:

-10-

compensation should reinforce our business strategy and long-term stockholder value creation;

a significant portion of named executive officer total compensation should be tied to achievement of our financial objectives as well as the achievement of the named executive officer's annual individual goals and objectives.

When we exceed our financial objectives for the relevant performance period, we reward our named executive officers with incentive awards greater than their respective targeted incentive awards based on financial performance. When our financial

performance does not meet the established financial objectives, our named executive officers receive either no incentive awards based on this criteria or incentive awards that are less than their targeted incentive awards. The Compensation Committee sets the objectives for a particular performance period;

incentive compensation should reflect both our short-term and long-term financial performance;

incentives should align the interests of our stockholders and named executive officers by paying a meaningful portion of incentive awards in equity; and

incentive awards should serve as a recruitment and retention device so that named executive officers are motivated to join and stay with us.

The key components of our compensation program are:

base salary and perquisites; the perquisites, which have been provided for more than 10 years, are principally dues reimbursement for a club where the named executive officer can entertain clients and other business contacts, term life insurance, an auto allowance and associated expenses, and a tax gross up on these perquisites;

short-term incentives in the form of annual incentive awards; and

longer-term incentives under our Long Term Incentive Plan, which we refer to sometimes as the LTIP and which is discussed below. These incentives come in the form of:

annual awards of stock units representing the right to receive an equal number of shares of our Common Stock which vest generally in three years; and

performance-based cash incentive awards based on our financial performance during multi-year measurement periods.

We generally condition a named executive officer's LTIP incentive awards on his remaining employed with us for three years from the date we grant the awards.

We also maintain a 401(k) savings plan. The 401(k) plan provides retirement benefits to the named executive officers. For 2011, our annual contribution to the 401(k) plan for each named executive officer was \$11,760.

In severance agreements with our named executive officers, we provide special compensation to each in the event his employment is terminated (i) by us without cause or (ii) by the named executive officer for good reason. (We have set forth the definition of the terms "cause" and "good reason" contained in the severance agreements in the Section entitled "Potential Post Employment Payments - Severance Agreements" on page 30).

As indicated above, effective as of the close of business January 3, 2011 Frank T. MacInnis retired as our Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to a separation agreement dated May 25, 2010 between us and Mr. MacInnis, he served as an employee of the Company acting as an advisor to the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer during the period January 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011, at which time Mr. MacInnis retired from the Company's employ. During 2011 Mr. MacInnis received an annual salary and participated in the Company's health and welfare benefits and 401(k) plan generally available to employees, but as of the close of business January 3, 2011 he ceased to be

entitled to perquisites that he enjoyed as an executive officer and for 2011 he did not participate in the Company's annual incentive program for named executive officers except for the award of the special bonus referred to below. The separation agreement also provided that effective December 31, 2010

Mr. MacInnis would no longer be entitled to additional awards under the LTIP, that his change of control agreement with the Company would terminate and that his severance agreement with the Company would terminate other than with respect to provisions relating to confidentiality, non-competition, and non-solicitation. Because Mr. MacInnis was our Chief Executive Officer through January 3, 2011 he is included in the Summary Compensation Table; however, for purposes of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, unless otherwise indicated, Mr. MacInnis should not be deemed among the named executive officers .

The Compensation Committee has principal responsibility for setting the compensation for our named executive officers and other senior officers. To assist the Compensation Committee, annually it retains Mercer as a compensation consultant to review the compensation payable to our named executive officers. The assignments to Mercer have been made by the Chairman of the Compensation Committee. To assist the Compensation Committee in its compensation discussions and decisions, which includes salary levels, targeted annual incentive awards, financial measurements for incentive awards, and LTIP targeted performance-based cash incentive awards for multi-year periods, as discussed below, Mercer presents compensation information compiled from proxy data and Forms 8-K from companies in a comparator group of companies developed by Mercer with input from management. This information includes annual base salary, annual bonuses, long-term incentives, including stock option and restricted stock awards, and targeted long-term incentive performance plan awards.

For 2011, Mercer's comparator group of companies included the following 18 public companies engaged in providing specialty contracting, general construction, and/or facilities services and/or manufacturing of electrical and HVAC products and which companies have a market capitalization and other financial characteristics similar to ours, are organized similarly to the way we are, are focused on United States markets as we are, and with which we may compete for management talent.

ABM Industries Incorporated
AECOM Technology Corporation
Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V.
Cooper Industries plc
Dycom Industries, Inc.
Foster Wheeler AG
Granite Construction Incorporated
Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.
KBR, Inc.
Lennox International, Inc.
MasTec, Inc.
Regal Beloit Corporation
Shaw Group, Inc.
TetraTech, Inc.
Thomas & Betts Corp.
Tutor Perini Corporation
URS Corporation
Willbros Group, Inc.

We refer to these companies as the Comparator Companies . During 2011 the Compensation Committee made certain changes to our comparator group, adding some companies to the group and dropping others, so as to have Comparator Companies that are more comparable to us.

Each year the Compensation Committee reviews the annual salaries of, and considers annual incentive awards and any discretionary special bonuses for, our Chief Executive Officer and our other named executive officers. It also reviews the annual salaries of our other officers and employees and those of our subsidiaries whose proposed annual base salary is \$400,000 or more. Our Chief Executive

Officer participates in portions of the Compensation Committee's meetings to make recommendations to the Compensation Committee for salary adjustments and for the payment of annual incentive awards and any discretionary special bonuses. Payments of annual incentive awards for 2011 for the named executive officers are set out in the Summary Compensation Table on page 22 and were based upon our performance in meeting pre-established financial objectives for the year and an evaluation of the individual named executive officer's performance in meeting his pre-established personal goals and objectives for the year. The Summary Compensation Table also sets out amounts paid in respect of discretionary special bonuses. The Compensation Committee considers our Chief Executive Officer's recommendations regarding salary adjustments and payment of annual incentive awards and any special bonuses, arrives at its own recommendations, and then with the participation of the other independent directors, makes its final determination regarding salary adjustments and payment of annual incentive awards and any discretionary special bonuses at a meeting without any members of management being present.

Our Chief Executive Officer meets with the Compensation Committee during the first calendar quarter of each year to discuss for that year targeted annual incentive awards for each named executive officer and objectives for our financial performance for the year and personal goals and objectives of each named executive officer for the year upon which the payment of that year's annual incentive awards may be based. Targeted annual incentive awards for each of our named executive officers for the year, our financial goals for that year, and the personal goals and objectives for each such executive for that year are recommended by our Chief Executive Officer and are reviewed by and ultimately established by the Compensation Committee, together with the participation of the independent directors, at a meeting without any members of management being present.

Because, as discussed below, our annual incentive awards to named executive officers are capped at no more than 250% of annual base salary and our LTIP provides for the award of stock units vesting, generally, over a three year period and the potential of a cash award depending on earnings over a three year period, our Board does not believe its named executive officers are encouraged to take excessive or unnecessary risk. In addition, our Board does not believe that our compensation policies and practices for employees generally are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

At our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders over 89% of the shares present at the meeting (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes) were cast in favor of a resolution to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as set out in our proxy statement for that meeting. Given this high percentage of votes in favor of our executive compensation, the Compensation Committee determined to keep our compensation practices in place for 2012.

Annual Base Salary

Annual base salary serves as a foundation of our compensation program. We determine the other key components of the program with reference to base salary, including annual and long-term incentives and termination payments.

We intend annual base salary and perquisites to reward the expertise and experience and sustained performance of the named executive officers, each of whom (other than Mr. Anthony J. Guzzi, who is our President and recently elected Chief Executive Officer) has been with us for more than fifteen years. Base salaries are reviewed annually, and we have generally increased named executive officer salaries to reflect promotions or increased responsibilities, when appropriate, and to remain competitive with those paid by Comparator Companies. For 2011, Mr. Guzzi's base salary was increased by approximately 35% to \$950,000 and Mr. Pompa's base salary was increased by approximately 10% to \$560,000 so as to make their annual base salaries competitive with those paid to chief executive officers and chief financial officers, respectively, of comparable companies.

Annual Incentive Program

Annual incentive awards form a significant element of annual compensation under our compensation program. For more than the past five years named executive officer annual incentive awards have been based, in large part, on pre-established annual financial results emphasizing pay-for-performance. We expect annual incentive awards to motivate our named executive officers to improve performance on an annual basis. Such performance improvements should lead to sustained growth and ultimately to enhanced stockholder value.

Annual incentive awards based upon our financial results are made under our Key Executive Incentive Bonus Plan. For 2011, each named executive officer had a targeted annual incentive award based on 2011 financial results as well as a targeted annual incentive award based on his meeting certain pre-established personal goals and objectives. The maximum potential aggregate annual incentive awards payable for 2011 to Messrs. Guzzi and Pompa were 250% and 220%, respectively, of their respective 2011 base salaries and to each other named executive officer were 200% of their respective 2010 base salaries. We refer to a named executive's maximum potential aggregate annual incentive awards sometimes as his Maximum Potential Incentive Award.

For Messrs Guzzi and Pompa, their 2011 targeted annual incentive awards, based upon our meeting certain financial measurements for 2011, were 100% and 88%, respectively, of their respective annual base salaries, and for Messrs. Sheldon I. Cammaker, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, and R. Kevin Matz, our Executive Vice President Shared Services, their 2011 targeted annual incentive awards, based upon our meeting those 2011 financial measurements, were 80% of their respective 2011 annual base salaries. We refer to this targeted annual incentive award sometimes as the Financial Target Bonus. The exact amount of each Executive's 2011 annual incentive award that we would pay based on our financial performance ranged from 0% to the maximum percentage of his annual base salary indicated in the immediately preceding paragraph, depending on our 2011 earnings per share and the ratio of our 2011 positive operating cash flow to our 2011 operating income. When we refer to earnings per share with respect to our Annual Incentive Program, we mean earnings per share on a fully diluted basis from continuing operations. However, in calculating such 2011 earnings per share and operating income for purposes of determining annual incentive awards there was, as provided in the program, to be excluded from such calculations (a) non-cash charges directly associated with the write-down of balance sheet values of assets, (b) investment banking, consulting, legal, and accounting fees and related disbursements directly associated with any proposed or consummated (i) sale or disposition of Company assets or securities or (ii) acquisition or investment, (c) the effect of any changes in statutory tax rates from those in effect on March 16, 2011, (d) restructuring charges due to a sale or closure of a subsidiary's business, and (e) the cumulative effect of any change in accounting principles. For the purpose of calculating operating cash flow, amounts that are the subject of clauses (a) through (e) above were, as provided in the program, excluded from such calculation. Mr. Guzzi, our Chief Executive Officer during 2011, together with other named executive officers, developed proposed 2011 financial measurements on which to base the payment of the annual incentive awards based on financial measurements under our Key Executive Incentive Bonus Plan. Mr. Guzzi then proposed to the Compensation Committee the financial measurements. Our Compensation Committee considered the recommendations and established financial measurements for those annual incentive awards in March 2011, taking into account the recommendations of management, our 2011 budget, and annual earnings per share guidance for 2011 that we gave to the equity markets. No annual incentive award based on these financial measurements was to be payable unless we achieved such earnings per share for 2011 (adjusted to exclude certain charges as described above in this paragraph, which earnings per share we refer to herein as adjusted earnings per share) in excess of \$1.20 and 2011 positive operating cash flow (adjusted to exclude certain amounts as described above in this paragraph, which cash flow we refer to herein as adjusted positive operating cash flow) of at least 30% of 2011 operating income (adjusted to exclude certain charges as described above in this paragraph, which operating income we refer to herein as adjusted operating income). Consequently, the financial measurements emphasized earnings as well as positive operating cash flow a measure of quality of earnings and we linked it to guidance we provided to the equity markets.

In order for a named executive officer to be entitled to his 2011 Financial Target Bonus (a) our 2011 adjusted earnings per share had to be at least \$1.50, slightly above the low end of our initial 2011 earnings per share guidance range of \$1.45 to \$1.85 per share that was provided to the equity markets in February 2011, and (b) the ratio of our 2011 adjusted positive operating cash flow to our 2011 adjusted operating income had to be at least 60%. If adjusted earnings per share were less than \$1.50 but at least \$1.21, each named executive officer's actual annual incentive award that was based on financial measurements would have been less than his Financial Target Bonus in accordance with a matrix adopted by the Compensation Committee, which we refer to as the Matrix. The Matrix also took into account the amount, if any, by which the ratio of 2011 adjusted positive operating cash flow to 2011 adjusted operating income was less than 60%. If 2011 adjusted earnings per share were in excess of \$1.50, each named executive officer's actual annual incentive award based on financial measurements for 2011 could be greater than or less than his Financial Target Bonus in accordance with the Matrix, depending upon the ratio of adjusted positive operating cash flow to adjusted operating income but could not exceed his Maximum Potential Incentive Award, and as indicated above, if adjusted earnings per share did not exceed \$1.20, no annual incentive award for 2011 based on financial measurements would be paid to any named executive officer. Furthermore, as indicated above, no such annual incentive awards would be paid if the ratio of our 2011 adjusted positive operating cash flow to 2011 adjusted operating income was less than 30%.

In addition, as indicated above, under our Annual Incentive Program, during the first quarter of each calendar year, our Chief Executive Officer and each other named executive officer agree on the named executive officer's personal goals and objectives for the year, which are in addition to his normal duties and responsibilities. The Compensation Committee reviews those goals and objectives, which are subject to its approval. In the case of our Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee and our Chief Executive Officer agree on his annual personal goals and objectives. Under the program we can pay a named executive officer an annual incentive award based on achieving his annual personal goals and objectives of up to two times a designated percentage of his annual base salary.

Following the end of each year, our Chief Executive Officer reports to the Compensation Committee on our financial results and on how well each named executive officer performed in meeting his personal goals and objectives, and the Compensation Committee, with the approval of the other independent directors, determines the amount to be paid to each named executive as his annual incentive awards. The determination of these annual incentive awards are made without any member of management present.

For 2011, our adjusted earnings per share were \$1.88, and the ratio of our 2011 adjusted positive operating cash flow to 2011 adjusted operating income was approximately 70% which, in accordance with the 2011 Matrix, permitted payment to each named executive officer the following approximate percentages of his 2011 annual base salary in respect of our financial performance: Mr. Guzzi, 154%; Mr. Pompa, 136%; and Messrs. Cammaker and Matz, each 123%. This was the actual annual incentive award made by the Compensation Committee to each named executive officer for 2011 in respect of our financial performance. In addition, the Compensation Committee made annual incentive awards to the named executive officers based upon achievement of their respective personal goals and objectives. For 2011, Messrs. Guzzi and Pompa were awarded approximately 39% and 34% of their respective 2011 base salaries, and Messrs. Cammaker and Matz were each awarded 31% of their respective 2011 base salaries, based upon achievement of their respective personal goals and objectives.

Under the terms of the program, the Compensation Committee could have, in its sole discretion, reduced the payment of any named executive officer's annual incentive award based on financial measurements even though those financial measurements called for payment of the percentages provided for in the Matrix. In the exercise of its discretion the Compensation Committee and the independent members of our Board could have taken into account whatever factors they deemed appropriate in exercising negative discretion and could have awarded a percentage less than that called for by the Matrix. The Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, also could have awarded in

respect of each named officer's personal goals and objectives a percentage of his 2011 base salary ranging from zero to twice the percentage designated for him.

The 2011 aggregate annual incentive awards made to each named executive officer totalled less than his Maximum Potential Incentive Award and represented the following approximate percentages of their respective 2011 base salaries: Mr. Guzzi, 193%; Mr. Pompa, 170%; and Messrs. Cammaker and Matz, each, 154%.

Special Bonuses and Awards

The Compensation Committee also decided to award to the named executive officers, including Mr. MacInnis, special bonuses to reflect their efforts during 2011 in successfully disposing of Comstock Canada Ltd., which the Company concluded was no longer a core operation of the Company, and in acquiring companies so as to expand our footprint in markets in which we have not previously engaged. Accordingly, in March 2012 the Compensation Committee awarded the following special cash bonuses: Mr. MacInnis, \$823,000; Mr. Guzzi, \$634,000; Mr. Pompa, \$366,000; Mr. Cammaker, \$386,000; and Mr. Matz, \$333,000. These cash bonuses are reflected under the Bonus column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 22 and are in addition to the payments each received in respect of their annual incentive awards for 2011.

In addition, in May 2011 the Compensation Committee decided, by reason of his assumption of the position of our Chief Executive Officer, to award Mr. Guzzi 80,000 stock units entitling him to 80,000 shares of our Common Stock on May 9, 2015 provided Mr. Guzzi remains in our employ through that date. However, if he is terminated by us by reason of his disability or without cause, if he terminates his employment with us for good reason, if there is a change in control of the Company or if he dies, then he or his estate shall be promptly issued those shares. The terms cause, good reason, change of control, and disability are substantially the same as those terms are described on page 33 under Potential Post Employment Payments Long Term Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee concluded that this stock unit award would further align the interests of Mr. Guzzi with our stockholders.

Long Term Incentive Plan

We provide a significant portion of our named executive officers' compensation through our LTIP. The LTIP provides incentives which foster executive recruitment and retention, reward long-term financial performance, and align management and stockholder interests. Before we adopted the LTIP, Mercer advised the Compensation Committee that the LTIP as proposed should accomplish these objectives with its focus on long-term financial performance, cash and equity awards competitive with those granted by Mercer's list of comparable companies, and use of equity for alignment with stockholder returns.

Each participant in the LTIP, including each named executive officer, is entitled each year to an award based on a multiplier (or percentage), which we refer to as the Multiplier, of his annual base salary rate at the end of the previous year. We refer to this award as the LTIP Target Bonus.

Specifically, the LTIP Target Bonus consists of:

an annual grant of stock units to senior executives, including the named executive officers. This

is the retention component. The stock units (in respect of which an equal number of shares of our Common Stock will be issued) generally vest on the third anniversary of the grant date of the stock units. The named executive officer is to receive a number of shares of our Common Stock equal to his annual grant of stock units approximately three years from the grant date. The named executive officer will receive those shares only if he continues to be employed by us through the third anniversary of the grant date, unless his employment is terminated by us without cause, by him for good reason, or by reason of his death or

disability or
upon his
retirement on
or after age 65
in which case
he would
receive those
shares
following the
occurrence of
that event.
(We have set
forth the
definition of
the terms
cause , good
reason and
disability
under our
LTIP on
page 33 in the
Section
entitled

Potential Post
Employment
Payments Long
Term Incentive
Plan .) Thus, a
meaningful portion
of the named
executive officer's
total compensation
is tied to our stock
performance; and

an award of a
potential
performance-based
cash incentive
award, which we
refer to sometimes
as the LTIP Cash
Target Bonus , and
which is the
performance
component. This
component
provides for the
annual
establishment of
three year
measurement
periods. The award
year and the two
ensuing years make
up each
measurement
period. Each named
executive officer
may receive a
performance-based
cash incentive
award, depending
upon how closely
our actual
aggregate earnings
per share for the
three year
measurement
period compare to a
pre-established
earnings per share
objective for that
measurement

period. The Compensation Committee sets the earnings per share objectives. When we refer to earnings per share with respect to our LTIP, we mean earnings per share on a fully diluted basis. However, earnings per share with respect to three year measurement periods commencing on or after January 1, 2010 are to be computed without giving effect to (a) non-cash charges directly associated with the write-down of balance sheet values of assets, (b) investment banking, consulting, legal, and accounting fees and related disbursements directly associated with any proposed or consummated (i) sale or disposition of Company assets or securities or (ii) acquisition or investment, (c) the effect of any changes in statutory tax rates from those in effect on March 29, 2010, (d) restructuring charges due to a sale or closure of a subsidiary s

business, and (e) the cumulative effect of any change in accounting principles and, with respect to three year measurement periods commencing on or after January 1, 2012 earnings per share on a fully diluted basis are also to be computed without giving effect to charges relating to withdrawal liabilities in connection with multi-employer pension plans and lump sum surcharges assessed by any multi-employer pension plan to ameliorate an underfunding in such plan. We use the three year measurement period to extend a named executive officer's focus over multiple-year periods. This is intended to help achieve positive sustained long-term financial results and to align the named executive officer's interests with longer-term stockholder interests. If we achieve 100% of the earnings per share objective that

the Compensation Committee established for a measurement period, the named executive officer will receive 100% of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus. If we achieve 50% of the earnings per share objective for a measurement period, the named executive officer will receive 50% of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus. If we fail to achieve our minimum objectives of at least 50% of the pre-established earnings per share objective for a measurement period, no performance-based cash incentive award is payable in respect of that measurement period. If we exceed the pre-established earnings per share objective for the measurement period by 120% or more, the named executive officer will receive 200% of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus. For earnings per share falling between 50% and 100% of the earnings per share objective for the measurement period or between

100% and 120% of the earnings per share objective, the percentage of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus is interpolated from 50% to 100% of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus and from 100% to 200% of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus, respectively. The named executive officer would not be entitled to any performance-based cash incentive award for any measurement period in which his employment is terminated by us for cause or in which he leaves our employment without good reason. However, if, during a measurement period, his employment is terminated by us without cause, by him for good reason or by reason of his death, disability or retirement at age 65 or older, he would, nevertheless, be entitled to a pro rata amount of the performance-based cash incentive award that he would have received had he been employed by the Company for

that measurement
period. (We have
set forth the
definition of the
terms cause , good
reason and
disability under our
LTIP on page 33 in
the Section entitled
Potential Post
Employment
Payments Long
Term Incentive
Plan.)

Under the terms of the LTIP, we established for each LTIP participant, including each named executive officer, a Multiplier (or percentage) of his annual base salary rate. The Multiplier for each named executive officer, which is set out in the LTIP (subject to change annually by the Compensation

Committee for each named executive officer), for 2011 was as follows: Mr. Guzzi, 200% and Messrs. Mr. Cammaker, Mr. Matz, and Pompa, each 125%. In the opinion of Mercer, the Multiplier for each named executive officer, when applied to a percentage of his annual base salary rate as of the end of the previous year, resulted in an LTIP Target Bonus for each named executive officer which was competitive with those provided by comparable companies. Any change in the Multiplier for a named executive officer would change the basis for computing his potential cash incentive award under the LTIP as well as the number of stock units he is to receive annually. In connection with his elevation to the position of Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Guzzi's Multiplier, for 2011 and for the measurement period commencing on January 1, 2011, was increased from 150% to 200%, which had been the Multiplier of Mr. MacInnis when he participated in the LTIP.

The Compensation Committee believes this LTIP two-part retention and performance program provides a balance between market-based incentives and multi-year financial-based awards. Market-based incentives, such as equity awards, provide a strong link to stockholder value creation. Financial-based awards based upon multi-year periods provide a direct link to long-term corporate performance.

In addition, the Board believes that because part of each LTIP award is in stock units generally vesting three years from the grant date and the balance is payable in cash based on the Company's financial performance over a three year period, which amount is capped based on a percentage of annual base salary rate, the LTIP does not encourage excessive or unnecessary risk taking by participants in the LTIP, including our named executive officers.

Under the terms of the LTIP, in 2009 the Compensation Committee established a measurement period consisting of calendar years 2009, 2010 and 2011 pursuant to which performance-based cash incentive awards may be paid to LTIP participants, including our named executive officers as well as Mr. MacInnis. The actual amount payable in respect of each participant's LTIP Cash Target Bonus for this measurement period, 50% of the product of his Multiplier and his annual base salary rate as of December 31, 2008, was dependent upon how our Company's earnings per share for that period measured up against the earnings per share objective for the period, which was \$5.40 per share. Because our aggregate earnings per share for the 2009-2011 measurement period was \$2.98, only 55% of the \$5.40 earnings per share objective for that measurement period (due principally to non-cash impairment charges with respect to goodwill and intangibles), in accordance with the LTIP, each named executive officer, as well as each other participant in the LTIP, was paid in March 2012 55% of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus. The amount of the LTIP cash payment to each named executive officer (including Mr. MacInnis) is included under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column for 2011 of the Summary Compensation Table on page 22.

In connection with Mr. MacInnis' retirement as our Chief Executive Officer effective as of the close of business January 3, 2011, the Compensation Committee determined that, although he would continue in the Company's employ, Mr. MacInnis would no longer be entitled to participate in the LTIP with respect to awards of stock units or with respect to performance-based cash incentive awards for the year or measurement period commencing January 1, 2011. In addition, pursuant to his separation agreement the Company and Mr. MacInnis agreed that, notwithstanding his retirement from the Company's employ on December 31, 2011 after reaching age 65, any amounts payable to him under the LTIP in respect of the 2009-2011 measurement period shall be only 2/3 of the amount he would otherwise be entitled to under the terms of the LTIP had he remained employed by us through December 31, 2011 and in respect of the 2010-2012 measurement period shall be only 1/3 of the amount he would otherwise be entitled to under the LTIP had he remained employed by us through December 31, 2012. Because Mr. MacInnis retired on December 31, 2011 after he reached age 65, in accordance with the terms of the LTIP, stock units granted to him in January 2009 and January 2010 vested on December 31, 2011 and the shares issuable in respect thereof will be issued to him on July 1, 2012.

In January 2011, pursuant to the terms of the LTIP each named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis), as well as each other participant in the LTIP, was awarded a number of stock units entitling him to receive in February 2014 an equal number of shares of our Common Stock provided he is continuously employed by us through January 3, 2014. However, if his employment is terminated by us without cause, by him for good reason, or by reason of his death,

disability or retirement at age 65 or

-18-

older, he will, nevertheless, be entitled to those shares. The number of stock units awarded to each named executive officer was determined by dividing the closing price of a share of our Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on January 3, 2011 by 50% of the product of the named executive officer's then Multiplier and his annual base salary rate as of December 31, 2010. The aggregate grant date fair value of the stock units awarded in 2011 to each named executive officer based on Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718 is included under the "Stock Awards" column for 2011 of the Summary Compensation Table on page 22.

In March 2011, under the LTIP, the Compensation Committee also established for the measurement period consisting of the 2011-2013 calendar years an earnings per share objective of \$6.00. Each named executive officer's LTIP Cash Target Bonus for that measurement period is 50% of the product of his annual base salary rate as of the end of 2010 and his Multiplier set out in the last paragraph commencing on page 17. The amounts set out in the Table entitled Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2011 on page 25 identified with footnote (6) indicates the range of performance-based cash incentive awards each named executive officer may receive in respect of the 2011-2013 measurement period if we achieve for that measurement period (i) the minimum earnings per share objective of \$3.00, (ii) the earnings per share objective of \$6.00, or (iii) at least 120% of the earnings per share objective, or \$7.20. As indicated earlier, if we do not achieve the minimum earnings per share objective for the 2011-2013 measurement period, we will not pay any of the LTIP performance-based cash incentive awards identified in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2011 Table.

Percentage of Incentive Compensation

We believe our annual and LTIP incentive awards motivate our named executive officers to seek sustained positive financial performance. A significant portion of the named executive officers' compensation is incentive compensation based on objective financial performance. The LTIP incentive awards, in part, expose management to the risk that our stock value will go down and are conditioned on the named executive officer staying employed with us for a significant period of time.

For 2011, the percentages of targeted incentive compensation (including restricted stock units) to total targeted compensation (including restricted stock units) of the named executive officers ranged from approximately 32% to 49%, and the equity component percentage of the named executive officers' total targeted compensation (including restricted stock units) ranged from approximately 17% to 52%. Of their 2011 total targeted compensation (including restricted stock units), the percentages that were forfeitable ranged from approximately 34% to 67%. In Mr. MacInnis case, for 2011 he had no targeted incentive compensation and because he did not participate in the LTIP for 2011, his 2011 compensation had no equity component and no part of his 2011 compensation was forfeitable.

Corporate Tax Deduction on Compensation in Excess of \$1 Million a Year

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the "Code", generally limits how much compensation public companies may deduct on their U.S. federal income tax returns for compensation paid to certain executive officers. Public companies generally may deduct up to \$1 million of compensation they pay to any employee who on the last day of the year is a named executive officer. Compensation may qualify for an exemption from the deduction limit if it satisfies certain conditions under Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee considers the impact of this rule when developing and implementing our executive compensation plans. While we have designed much of our annual incentive awards and the LTIP performance-based cash incentive awards to qualify for an exemption from the limitation on deductible compensation, not all the annual incentive awards and LTIP awards qualify under Section 162(m). To the extent a named executive officer's annual incentive award is paid based on achievement of his personal goals and objectives (and a portion was so paid for 2011), the award would not, and did not, qualify under Section 162(m). In addition, the special bonuses paid to our named executive officers did not qualify under Section 162(m). Also, awards of stock units under the LTIP, and the special award of stock units to Mr. Guzzi in May 2011, which vest over the passage of time, do not qualify under

Section 162(m). The

Compensation Committee does not require that all compensation qualify under Section 162(m) because it believes that it is important to preserve flexibility in administering compensation programs.

Accounting Treatment

When designing the elements of compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the impact of accounting treatment and avoids structuring awards that would require that they be marked to market at the end of each accounting period which could otherwise result in additional expenses to the Company or additional net income to the Company dependent upon their periodic change in value.

Retirement Plans, Severance Arrangements, and Stock Options

Retirement Plans

As indicated above, we provide our sole retirement benefits through our 401(k) plan pursuant to which we made a matching contribution of \$11,760 for the account of each named executive officer for 2011. We base the amount of our contribution for named executive officers on a formula set forth in the terms of the plan that applies to all employees participating in our 401(k) plan. Because there is no retirement benefit enhancement for named executive officers, the Compensation Committee does not consider gains from stock option or stock awards in setting retirement benefits for each named executive officer.

Severance Arrangements

In light of our modest retirement benefits and the existence of employment agreements for several years with our named executive officers other than Mr. Guzzi (who did not join us until October 2004), which employment agreements we decided not to renew when they expired December 31, 2004, the Compensation Committee decided to enter into severance agreements with our named executive officers in 2005; however, the severance agreement (other than its provisions relating to confidentiality and non-competition and non-solicitation) between the Company and Mr. MacInnis terminated on December 31, 2010. The terms of the severance agreements reflected market practice and advice provided to the Compensation Committee by Mercer and outside counsel engaged by the Compensation Committee and take into account the named executive officers' past accomplishments. If a named executive is terminated without cause or if he terminates his employment for good reason, his severance agreement provides him with a severance benefit equal to (a) two years of his annual base salary and (b) a prorated amount of his annual incentive awards. The severance agreements and other enhanced severance benefits referred to in this Section as well as the terms "cause" and "good reason" are described commencing on page 29 under "Potential Post Employment Payments - Severance Agreements".

In addition, if the named executive officer is terminated without "cause" or if he terminates his employment for "good reason", as those terms are defined on page 33 in the Section entitled "Potential Post Employment Payments - Long Term Incentive Plan", we will under the LTIP provide him with:

all the shares
issuable in respect
of his LTIP stock
units no later than
six months after the
named executive
officer's termination
date; and

with respect to each measurement period then in effect, a prorated amount of the LTIP performance-based cash incentive award that he would have received had he remained in our employ during the entire measurement period.

Change of Control Agreements

Each of our named executive officers is a party to a change of control agreement so that if we experience a change of control we can provide security to them during the period of change of control in order that they can focus on our business, making decisions which are in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders, even if such decisions lead to their departure and in order that we may retain these individuals during that period and the transition to new ownership; however, pursuant

to the separation agreement between Mr. MacInnis and the Company, the change of control agreement between him and the Company terminated on December 31, 2010. These change of control agreements provide for enhanced severance benefits if, within two years of the date we experience a change of control, the executive terminates his employment for good reason or the executive's employment is terminated involuntarily, other than for cause, death or disability. The enhanced severance benefits payable in the event of severance after a change of control are described below in the Section entitled "Potential Post Employment Payments - Change of Control Agreements" commencing on page 35. If severance benefits are paid to a named executive officer under a change of control agreement, no payments are to be made to him under his severance agreement. The terms and provisions of the change of control agreements reflected competitive market practices and advice provided by outside counsel to the Company and were not derived primarily from a negotiation process with our executives. The terms "cause", "good reason" and "change of control" as used in the change of control agreements are defined on pages 35 and 36.

Excise Tax Gross-Ups

The severance payments and other payments and benefits our named executive officers would receive in connection with a change of control could trigger an excise tax, payable by our named executive officers. In that case, under the terms of the change of control agreements we are to make gross-up payments to those named executive officers so that they receive the same economic benefit they would have received if the excise tax were not imposed. These gross-up payments would be provided even though we cannot deduct them from our own taxable income, because we believe our named executive officers should receive the full economic benefit of the protections we have offered them.

Stock Options

Two of the outstanding stock options granted to each of the named executive officers (other than Mr. Guzzi) provide that if such individual's employment is terminated without cause or if he terminates his employment for good reason, the period during which the stock options are exercisable continues following the termination of his employment for the remainder of the option term. One grant of outstanding stock options to those individuals and the two grants of outstanding stock options to Mr. Guzzi provide that such options are exercisable for the shorter of two years from the termination date of the named executive's employment with the Company or the remainder of the option term if the named executive officer is terminated without cause or if he terminates his employment for good reason. The terms "cause" and "good reason" as defined in the stock option agreements are substantially the same as they are defined in the severance agreements described commencing on page 29 under "Potential Post Employment Payments - Severance Agreements". All stock options granted to our named executive officers are presently vested. Under the terms of his separation agreement, Mr. MacInnis had agreed that if during 2011 his employment with the Company was terminated without cause or he terminated his employment for good reason, he could exercise his stock options only until the earlier of March 30, 2012 or the date as of which the options would otherwise expire in accordance with their terms. Because he retired from the Company's employ as of December 31, 2011, in accordance with terms of his stock options, Mr. MacInnis could only exercise his stock options prior to March 31, 2012, which he did.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND RELATED INFORMATION

The following Table sets forth information with respect to the compensation of Frank T. MacInnis, our Chief Executive Officer until January 3, 2011, Anthony J. Guzzi, who succeeded Mr. MacInnis as our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and our two other executive officers, who we refer to collectively as the named executive officers, based on total compensation for fiscal 2011.

Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2011, 2010 and 2009

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	Bonus(\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾
Frank T. MacInnis Chairman of the Board and formerly Chief Executive Officer (until January 3, 2011)	2011	\$ 320,000	\$ 823,000			\$ 348,333	\$ 20,965
	2010	\$ 975,000	\$ 459,000	\$ 949,983		\$ 2,007,000	\$ 98,816
	2009	\$ 950,000	\$ 217,500	\$ 949,993		\$ 3,859,500	\$ 112,625
Anthony J. Guzzi President and Chief Executive Officer (since January 3, 2011)	2011	\$ 950,000	\$ 634,000	\$ 3,447,584		\$ 2,096,875	\$ 77,398
	2010	\$ 709,615	\$ 237,000	\$ 487,482		\$ 1,212,060	\$ 77,158
	2009	\$ 650,000		\$ 487,497		\$ 2,307,120	\$ 94,808
Mark A. Pompa Executive Vice President	2011	\$ 560,000	\$ 366,000	\$ 318,729		\$ 1,103,328	\$ 76,462

and Chief
Financial
Officer

2010	\$	510,000	\$	131,000	\$	281,226	\$	774,855	\$	66,764
2009	\$	450,000			\$	281,237	\$	1,354,350	\$	69,344

Sheldon I.
Cammaker
Executive
Vice
President,
General
Counsel
and
Corporate
Secretary

2011	\$	501,000	\$	386,000	\$	306,235	\$	934,821	\$	121,188
2010	\$	490,000	\$	147,000	\$	296,866	\$	766,770	\$	124,748
2009	\$	475,000			\$	296,854	\$	1,491,050	\$	96,735

R. Kevin
Matz
Executive
Vice
President,
Shared
Services

2011	\$	440,000	\$	333,000	\$	265,622	\$	818,538	\$	72,409
2010	\$	425,000	\$	120,000	\$	256,245	\$	656,963	\$	89,849
2009	\$	410,000			\$	256,237	\$	1,256,880	\$	74,131

- (1) Stock awards reflected in this Table represent for 2009, 2010, and 2011 aggregate grant date fair value for stock units computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC Topic 718. There can be no assurance that these amounts will be realized. These stock awards consist

of, for 2009, 2010 and 2011, time-based stock units granted under our Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), and for 2011 in the case of Mr. Guzzi, 80,000 additional time-based stock units awarded to Mr. Guzzi in May 2011. The value of the respective stock awards are equivalent to the closing price of a share of our Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of the award multiplied by the number of stock units included in the award.

- (2) No option awards were made to the named executive officers in 2009, 2010, and 2011.
- (3) The amounts reported in this column for 2011 include annual incentive

awards paid in 2012 in respect of 2011, all of which were paid in cash.

These annual incentive awards for each of the named executive officers are as follows: Mr. MacInnis, \$0; Mr. Guzzi, \$1,828,750; Mr. Pompa, \$948,640; Mr. Cammaker, \$771,540; and Mr. Matz, \$677,600. The amounts reported in this column for 2011 also include amounts paid in 2012 under the LTIP in respect of Cash Target Bonuses for the 2009 2011 measurement period. These LTIP amounts for each of the executive officers are as follows: Mr. MacInnis, \$348,333; Mr. Guzzi, \$268,125; Mr. Pompa, \$154,688; Mr. Cammaker, \$163,281; and Mr. Matz, \$140,938.

- (4) The amounts reported in this column for 2011 for each named executive officer include:
- (a) in the case of Messrs. Guzzi, Pompa, Cammaker, and Matz an allowance for his leasing of an automobile; reimbursement for auto insurance on such vehicle; reimbursement for the cost of maintenance and repair of such vehicle; reimbursement for monthly dues in a club

suitable for entertaining clients and other business contacts which in Mr. Cammaker's case was \$25,794 and (b) in the case of each named executive officer the value of additional time-based stock units awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to shares of our Common Stock underlying outstanding LTIP stock units and in Mr. Guzzi's case, the 80,000 additional time-based stock units awarded to him in May 2011 and referred to in footnote (1) above; premiums paid for excess liability insurance of \$10 million; and the incremental cost of the spouse or companion of the named executive

officer accompanying him to one weekend Board meeting, where applicable. The amounts in this column also include the cost of premiums paid by us for term life insurance for each named executive officer as follows: Mr. MacInnis, \$0; Mr. Guzzi, \$4,620; Mr. Pompa, \$2,559; Mr. Cammaker, \$15,523; and Mr. Matz \$1,472. In addition, the amounts reported in this column include reimbursement for taxes on certain of the foregoing perquisites for each of the named executive officers as follows: Mr. MacInnis, \$1,758; Mr. Guzzi, \$23,723; Mr. Pompa, \$25,737; Mr. Cammaker, \$45,568; and Mr. Matz, \$24,169. For 2011, the amounts also include

matching contributions of \$11,760, provided by us under our 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan for the account of each named executive officer.

Proportion of 2011 Salaries and Bonuses to Total 2011 Compensation

The approximate percentage of each named executive officer's 2011 salary and bonus of his total 2011 compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table on page 22 is as follows: Frank T. MacInnis, 76%; Anthony J. Guzzi, 22%; Mark A. Pompa, 38%; Sheldon I. Cammaker, 39%; and R. Kevin Matz, 40%. There can be no assurance that the total compensation amounts reported in that Table will be realized.

Annual Incentive Awards

Under our Annual Incentive Program, as authorized by and pursuant to the terms of our Key Executive Incentive Bonus Plan, the Compensation Committee establishes annual financial objectives for us and individual performance goals and objectives for each named executive officer. These objectives are the basis on which a determination is made whether the named executive officer should receive an annual incentive award and, if so, the amount of such award. The annual incentive awards for 2011 and the basis on which they were made are discussed on pages 13 through 16 in the Section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis". For 2011, the maximum annual incentive awards for Messrs. Guzzi and Pompa were 250% and 220%, respectively, of their respective 2011 annual base salaries and for Messrs. Cammaker and Matz were 200% of their respective 2011 annual base salaries. For 2011, the annual incentive award for each named executive officer based on our 2011 financial performance was equal to an approximate percentage of his annual base salary as follows: Anthony J. Guzzi, 154%; Mark A. Pompa, 136%; Sheldon I. Cammaker, 123%; and R. Kevin Matz, 123%. In addition, each such officer received, based on the accomplishment of his 2011 individual goals and objectives, the following percentages of his annual base salary: Anthony J. Guzzi, 39%; Mark A. Pompa, 34%; Sheldon I. Cammaker, 31%; and R. Kevin Matz, 31%. The estimated payouts under the 2011 Annual Incentive Program are included under the "Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards" column of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2011 Table on page 25 and have footnote (5) next to them. The actual 2011 incentive awards, all of which were in cash, are included in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 22. Mr. MacInnis did not participate in our Annual Incentive Program for 2011.

Special Bonuses

For 2011, each of our named executive officers, including Mr. MacInnis, was awarded a special bonus in the amount set opposite his name in the "Bonus" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 22. These bonuses were to reward the named executive officers for the successful disposition of Comstock Canada Ltd. in 2011 and the acquisition in 2011 of certain companies.

Long Term Incentive Plan and Special Award to Anthony J. Guzzi

Our Board adopted the LTIP in December 2005. Under the terms of the LTIP, for 2011, we granted each named executive officer stock units in January 2011. These stock units, which generally

vest in full three years from their respective grant dates, represent the right to receive an equal number of shares of Common Stock, generally on or about the fourth week in February 2014.

As indicated earlier, because Mr. MacInnis ceased to participate in the LTIP for the year and measurement period commencing January 1, 2011, he did not receive any LTIP stock units in 2011 nor did he receive in 2011 a potential performance-based cash incentive award under the LTIP for the 2011 – 2013 measurement period.

A named executive officer has to be employed by us generally until January 3, 2014 to receive shares of our Common Stock in respect of his 2011 stock unit award. If a named executive officer's employment terminates before January 3, 2014, he will forfeit his 2011 stock unit award, unless such termination is by the Company without cause or by him for good reason or due to his disability, death or retirement at age 65 or older. The terms "cause", "good reason" and "disability" are defined on page 33 under "Potential Post Employment Payments – Long Term Incentive Plan".

As provided in the LTIP, we base the number of stock units that a named executive officer is to receive for a calendar year on one-half of a set percentage of his annual base salary rate as of the immediately preceding December 31, divided by the closing price of a share of our Common Stock as of the first business day of the year in which we award the stock units. The current percentage for each named executive officer is provided for in the LTIP (subject to change by the Compensation Committee) and is set out on page 18 in the Section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis".

The 2011 stock award amounts reflected in the "Stock Awards" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 22 relate to those stock units awarded in January 2011 under the LTIP and, in the case of Mr. Guzzi, an additional 80,000 stock units awarded to him in May 2011. There can be no assurance that the amounts reported in the "Stock Awards" column of that Table for 2011 will be realized. The stock awards reflected in the "All Other Stock Awards" column of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2011 on page 25 represent the number of stock units awarded in January 2011 under the LTIP and, in the case of Mr. Guzzi, the additional 80,000 restricted stock units awarded to him in May 2011, as well as, in each case, additional time-based stock units awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to shares of our Common Stock underlying stock units outstanding as of the dividend payment date.

In order for Mr. Guzzi to receive shares of our Common Stock payable in respect of his special award of stock units in May 2011, he has to be employed by us generally until May 9, 2015. If his employment terminates before that date, he will forfeit these stock units unless the termination of his employment is by the Company without cause or is by him for good reason or is due to his disability or death. The terms "cause", "good reason", and "disability" are defined substantially as they are in the LTIP and such definitions are set out on page 33 under "Potential Post Employment Payments – Long Term Incentive Plan").

We also grant potential performance-based cash incentive awards under the LTIP based on our performance for a three calendar year measurement period commencing with the grant year. In 2009, we granted each named executive officer a potential performance-based cash incentive award, or LTIP Cash Target Bonus, based on our performance for a measurement period consisting of calendar years 2009 – 2011. For this period, principally as a result of impairment charges of goodwill and intangibles, we only reached 55% of our targeted financial performance, and, accordingly, each named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis) was paid an amount equal to 55% of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus for this measurement period. The amounts paid in respect of these performance-based cash incentive awards are included for 2011 in the Summary Compensation Table under the "Non-Equity Incentive Compensation" column on page 22. In connection with his separation agreement Mr. MacInnis agreed that he would receive only two-thirds of the amount to which he would otherwise be entitled in respect of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus for the 2009 – 2011 measurement period and the amount paid to him in respect of this performance-based cash incentive award is included for 2011 opposite his name in the Summary Compensation Table under the "Non-Equity Incentive Compensation" column on page 22.

In addition, in 2011, we granted each named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis) an LTIP Cash Target Bonus based upon the measurement period consisting of calendar years 2011 - 2013,

which is reflected in the Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards column of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2011 Table below. We will base the payment of these awards (next to which the footnote (6) appears) on the achievement of the predetermined earnings per share objective for the measurement period consisting of calendar years 2011–2013. We will pay to each such named executive officer the target performance-based cash incentive award set opposite his respective name in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2011 Table below if we achieve aggregate earnings per share of \$6.00 for the 2011–2013 measurement period. If, for this period, we achieve aggregate earnings per share of \$3.00, each such named executive officer will be entitled to the threshold performance-based cash incentive award amount set opposite his name in that Table. If, for this period, we achieve aggregate earnings per share of \$7.20, each such named executive officer will be entitled to the maximum performance-based cash incentive award amount set opposite his name in that Table. For aggregate earnings per share falling between \$3.01 and \$5.99, and between \$6.01 and \$7.19, for the 2011–2013 measurement period, the performance-based cash incentive award is interpolated from 50.1% to 99.9% of the target performance-based cash incentive award and from 101% to 199% of the target performance-based cash incentive award, respectively.

If a named executive officer is not employed during an entire measurement period, he will not be entitled to any performance-based cash incentive award for the measurement period, unless he has been terminated without cause, he terminates his employment for good reason, dies, is disabled or retires on or after age 65. If during a measurement period he is terminated without cause or he terminates his employment for good reason, dies, is disabled or retires on or after age 65, then for the measurement period, he will be entitled to all or a portion of the awards under the LTIP as described under the Section entitled Potential Post Employment Payments Long Term Incentive Plan, commencing on page 31, where the terms cause, good reason and disability are also defined, except that in connection with his separation agreement Mr. MacInnis agreed he would receive only two-thirds of the amount and one-third of the amount he would otherwise be entitled to in respect of his LTIP Cash Target Bonus for the 2009–2011 measurement period and for the 2010–2012 measurement period, respectively.

The following Table sets forth certain information with respect to the grant of awards during the 2011 fiscal year to the named executive officers.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2011

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)		
Frank T. MacInnis	10/25/11				161 ⁽¹⁾	\$
Anthony J. Guzzi	1/3/11				32,467 ⁽³⁾	\$
	3/1/11	\$ 247,000 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 1,187,500 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 2,375,000 ⁽⁵⁾		
	3/1/11	\$ 475,000 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 950,000 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 1,900,000 ⁽⁶⁾		
	5/9/11				80,000 ⁽⁷⁾	\$
	10/25/11				329 ⁽¹⁾ ⁽⁹⁾	\$
Mark A.	1/3/11				10,893 ⁽³⁾	\$

Edgar Filing: EMCOR GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Pompa						
	3/1/11	\$	128,128 ⁽⁵⁾	\$	616,000 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 1,232,000 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/1/11	\$	159,375 ⁽⁶⁾	\$	318,750 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 637,500 ⁽⁶⁾
	10/25/11					70 ⁽¹⁾
						\$
Sheldon I. Cammaker						
	1/3/11					10,466 ⁽³⁾
						\$
	3/1/11	\$	104,208 ⁽⁵⁾	\$	501,000 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 1,002,000 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/1/11	\$	153,125 ⁽⁶⁾	\$	306,250 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 612,500 ⁽⁶⁾
	10/25/11					71 ⁽¹⁾
						\$
R. Kevin Matz						
	1/3/11					9,078 ⁽³⁾
						\$
	3/1/11	\$	91,520 ⁽⁵⁾	\$	440,000 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 880,000 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/1/11	\$	132,813 ⁽⁶⁾	\$	265,625 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 531,250 ⁽⁶⁾
	10/25/11					61 ⁽¹⁾
						\$

- (1) Consists of time-based stock units awarded in October 2011 (LTIP Dividend Stock Units) equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to shares of our Common Stock underlying outstanding LTIP stock units.
- (2) Represents the value of LTIP Dividend Stock Units awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to shares of our Common Stock underlying outstanding LTIP stock units.
- (3) Consists of time-based stock units awarded in

January 2011
under our
LTIP.

- (4) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock units awarded in January 2011 under our LTIP, which fair value was computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (5) These amounts represent estimated payouts pursuant to our Annual Incentive Program for 2011. The actual amounts paid in respect of this program for 2011 are disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 22.
- (6) These estimated payouts are pursuant to our LTIP in respect of the measurement period 2011-2013.
- (7) Consists of time-based

stock units
awarded in
May 2011 to
Mr. Guzzi
(Guzzi Stock
Units).

- (8) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of Guzzi Stock Units, which fair value was computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (9) Also includes additional time-based stock units awarded to Mr. Guzzi in October 2011 (Guzzi Dividend Stock Units) equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to shares of our Common Stock underlying Guzzi Stock Units.
- (10) Also represents the value of Guzzi Dividend Stock Units awarded in October 2011

equal in value
to our October
2011 cash
dividend
which would
have been paid
with respect to
shares of our
Common
Stock
underlying
Guzzi Stock
Units.

- (11) There were no
stock option
awards in
2011.

The following Table sets forth certain information with respect to unexercised options and unvested outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers at the end of 2011.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2011 Fiscal Year-End

Name (A)	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (B)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (C)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (D)	Option Expiration Date (E)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (F)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (G)
Frank T. MacInnis	221,772 ⁽¹⁾		\$ 13.683	3/30/12		
	296,516 ⁽¹⁾		\$ 10.958	3/30/12		
	368,000 ⁽²⁾		\$ 11.270	3/30/12		
Anthony J. Guzzi					21,085 ⁽³⁾	\$ 565,289
					17,522 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 469,765
					32,536 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 872,290
					80,178 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 2,149,572
	106,000 ⁽²⁾		\$ 9.67	10/24/14		
	202,000 ⁽²⁾		\$ 11.270	1/02/15		
Mark A. Pompa					12,164 ⁽³⁾	\$ 326,117
					10,108 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 270,995
					10,916 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 292,658
	43,244 ⁽¹⁾		\$ 13.683	1/01/13		
	63,164 ⁽¹⁾		\$ 10.958	1/01/14		
101,200 ⁽²⁾		\$ 11.270	1/02/15			
Sheldon I. Cammaker					12,839 ⁽³⁾	\$ 344,214
					10,670 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 286,063
					10,488 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 281,183
	68,196 ⁽¹⁾		\$ 13.683	1/01/13		
	91,180 ⁽¹⁾		\$ 10.958	1/01/14		
	134,800 ⁽²⁾		\$ 11.270	1/02/15		
R. Kevin Matz					11,082 ⁽³⁾	\$ 297,108

		9,210 ⁽⁴⁾)	\$	246,920
		9,097 ⁽⁵⁾)	\$	243,891
49,900 ⁽¹⁾)	\$	13.683		1/01/13
71,880 ⁽¹⁾)	\$	10.958		1/01/14
109,200 ⁽²⁾)	\$	11.270		1/02/15

- (1) Stock options granted 1/2/03 and 1/2/04 vested and became exercisable 25% on their respective grant dates, 25% on each of the two succeeding anniversaries of their respective grant dates, and 25% on the last business day of the year in which the second anniversary of the grant date occurs and generally expire on the respective dates shown in column (E) which is the day before the tenth anniversary of their respective grant dates. However, those options granted to

Mr. MacInnis on 1/2/03 and 1/2/04, in accordance with their terms, would have expired on March 30, 2012, within three months following his retirement on December 31, 2011 as an employee of the Company. In March 2012, Mr. MacInnis exercised all his stock options.

- (2) Stock options granted 10/25/04 and 1/3/05 vested and became exercisable 33-1/3% on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of their respective grant dates and generally expire on the respective dates shown in column (E) which is the day before the tenth anniversary of their respective grant dates. However, the options

granted to Mr. MacInnis on 1/3/05, in accordance with their terms, would have expired on March 30, 2012, within three months following his retirement as of December 31, 2011 as an employee of the Company. In March 2012, Mr. MacInnis exercised all his stock options.

- (3) Represents LTIP stock units awarded in January 2009 and additional stock units awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to Common Stock underlying such January 2009 award; these stock units generally will vest on 1/2/12.

- (4) Represents LTIP stock units awarded in January 2010 and additional stock units awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to Common Stock underlying such January 2010 award; these stock units generally will vest on 1/4/13.

- (5) Represents LTIP stock units awarded in January 2011 and additional stock units awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend which would have been paid with respect to Common Stock underlying such January 2011 award; these stock units generally will vest on 1/3/14.
- (6) Represents stock units awarded to Mr. Guzzi in May 2011 and additional stock units awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend

which
 would have
 been paid
 with
 respect to
 Common
 Stock
 underlying
 such May
 2011
 award;
 these stock
 units
 generally
 will vest on
 5/9/15.

In the event a named executive officer who is a holder of any of the options set out in the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2011 Fiscal Year-End Table on page 27 is terminated by us without cause or terminates his employment for good reason, the options reported in that Table remain exercisable for the balance of their term except in the case of the options expiring 10/24/14 and 1/2/15. In the case of the options expiring 10/24/14 and 1/2/15, following termination of the named executive officer's employment by us without cause or by him for good reason, the options are exercisable only for two years following such termination of employment. If the named executive officer's employment terminates because of his retirement after age 65, death, or disability or by reason of his employment being terminated by us for cause or by him without good reason, his options will be exercisable for a period ending three months following termination of employment in the case of such retirement or disability, or termination for cause or without good reason and for a period of six months in the case of his death. The terms "disability", "cause" and "good reason" are defined in the option agreements substantially as they are defined in the Severance Agreements commencing on page 30 under the Section entitled "Potential Post Employment Payments - Severance Agreements". As indicated above, in March 2012, Mr. MacInnis exercised all his stock options.

Unvested stock units reported in the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2011 Fiscal Year-End Table on page 27 were awarded in 2009, 2010 and 2011 under our LTIP, and, in the case of Mr. Guzzi's unvested stock units, an additional 80,000 stock units were awarded to him in May 2011. In addition, unvested stock units outstanding as of December 31, 2011 include additional stock units ("Dividend Stock Units") awarded in October 2011 equal in value to our October 2011 cash dividend on our Common Stock which would have been paid in respect of shares of our Common Stock underlying stock units outstanding as of the dividend payment date. Stock units awarded in 2009 (and related Dividend Stock Units) vested in full in January 2012; those stock units awarded in 2010 (and related Dividend Stock Units) are to vest in full in January 2013; and those stock units awarded in 2011 (and related Dividend Stock Units) are to vest in full in January 2014 except for the 80,000 stock units awarded to Mr. Guzzi in May 2011 (and related Dividend Stock Units) which are to vest in full in May 2015. However, if we experience a change of control prior to the scheduled vesting date, unvested stock units (and related Dividend Stock Units) will vest in full at that time and shares of our Common Stock will be issued in respect of them. If the employment of a named executive officer is terminated by us without cause or by him for good reason, or if his employment terminates by reason of his death, disability or retirement at age 65 or older, his stock units will vest in full at such time and the shares of our Common Stock to be issued in respect of such stock units will be issued thereafter. If employment of the named executive officer is terminated by us for cause or he terminates his employment without good reason, before his stock units vest, he will forfeit the stock units (and related Dividend Stock Units). The terms "cause", "good reason", "change of control", and "disability" are defined on page 33 in the Section entitled "Potential Post Employment Payments - Long Term Incentive Plan". The stock units that had been awarded to Mr. MacInnis all vested upon his retirement on December 31, 2011, and the underlying shares are to be paid to him six months thereafter.

The following Table sets forth with respect to each named executive officer certain information with respect to options exercised in 2011 by him and his stock awards that vested during fiscal year 2011.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2011

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Frank T. MacInnis	429,600	\$ 10,886,064	120,263 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,410,877 ⁽¹⁾
Anthony J. Guzzi			20,305	\$ 628,237
Mark A. Pompa	66,000	\$ 1,691,580	11,189	\$ 346,188
	44,400	\$ 1,137,972		
Sheldon I. Cammaker	10,000	\$ 320,200	12,554	\$ 388,421
	63,600	\$ 1,638,972		
	25,000	\$ 636,500		
	5,000	\$ 126,700		
	25,000	\$ 641,250		
	15,000	\$ 386,100		
R. Kevin Matz	76,000	\$ 1,900,000	10,234	\$ 316,640
	51,200	\$ 1,337,344		

(1) All outstanding stock units held by Mr. MacInnis vested upon his retirement as of the close of business December 31, 2011.

POTENTIAL POST EMPLOYMENT PAYMENTS

Severance Agreements

Messrs. Guzzi, Pompa, Cammaker, and Matz, each a named executive officer, are parties to severance agreements with us, which we refer to as the Severance Agreements. Mr. MacInnis had been a party to a severance agreement but that agreement, pursuant to his separation agreement, terminated on December 31, 2010. The Severance Agreements each provide for specified benefits under certain circumstances should the executive officer's employment with us terminate.

Termination by us without Cause or Termination by the Named Executive Officer for Good Reason

The Severance Agreements each provide that if the named executive officer's employment is terminated by us without cause (defined below) or if he terminates his employment for good reason (defined below), we will pay the named executive officer an amount equal to twice his annual base salary in effect immediately prior to his termination. We will pay this amount in eight equal quarterly installments. In addition, we will pay the named executive officer all unpaid amounts for his annual incentive award for any calendar year ended before the date of termination. We will also pay the named executive officer an amount equal to a prorated portion of his targeted annual incentive award based on his personal goals and objectives for the year in which his termination takes place and a prorated portion of the annual incentive award based upon our financial performance that he would have received had he been employed for the entire year. We will calculate these amounts by multiplying the applicable incentive award amount by a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of days in the calendar year in which the termination occurs that he was employed by us and the denominator of which is 365.

We will also provide, at our expense, coverage for the named executive officer (and, to the extent applicable, his eligible dependents) under our medical, dental and hospitalization insurance plans

for a period of 18 months from the date of termination. In addition, we will provide, at our expense, coverage under our group life and accidental death and dismemberment insurance plans for a period of 12 months from the date of termination. However, if a successor employer of the named executive officer provides comparable coverage, we will stop providing coverage.

No amounts are payable under a Severance Agreement if the named executive officer's employment is terminated by us for cause or by him without good reason. In addition, no severance benefits are payable under a Severance Agreement if benefits are payable under a named executive officer's change of control agreement described commencing on page 35.

Definition of Cause and Good Reason

Cause is defined in each such named executive officer's Severance Agreement as:

the named executive officer committing an action involving willful malfeasance in connection with his employment which results in material harm to us;

the named executive officer committing a material and continuing breach of the terms of his Severance Agreement if the breach is not cured within 60 days after we provide the named executive officer with written notice of any

such breach;
or

the named
executive
officer's
conviction of
a felony.

For purposes of this definition, no act, or failure to act, on the named executive officer's part, is deemed willful unless done, or omitted to be done, by him in bad faith. In addition, cause will only exist if there was no reasonable belief that the named executive officer's act, or failure to act, was in our best interest and the best interest of our subsidiaries.

Good reason is defined in each such named executive officer's Severance Agreement as:

our reducing
the named
executive
officer's then
annual base
salary, except
in connection
with a similar
reduction in
salary that
applies to all
our senior
executives;

our or one of
our
subsidiaries
failing to pay
to the named
executive
officer any
portion of his
current
compensation
that is already
earned and
due;

our failure to
obtain the
assumption
(either
specifically or
by operation
of law) of the
named

executive
officer's
Severance
Agreement by
any successor
to, or assign
of, us or any
person
acquiring
substantially
all of our
assets; or

the
termination of
a specified
Indemnity
Agreement in
effect between
the named
executive
officer and us.

In addition, Mr. Guzzi's Severance Agreement provides that good reason also includes any reduction by the Company of his authority, duties, or responsibilities or any removal of him from his current office other than by the Company for cause or as a result of his permanent disability or by him for good reason.

Payments in the Event of Permanent Disability

Each such named executive officer's Severance Agreement also provides that, in the event of his permanent disability (defined below), we will provide the same insurance benefits described above in the case of termination of his employment by us without cause or by him for good reason.

In the event of permanent disability, we will pay the named executive officer a lump sum payment equal to:

all unpaid
amounts in
respect of
any annual
incentive
award for
any calendar
year ending
before the
calendar
year in
which such
termination
occurs,
which would
have been

payable had
the named
executive
officer
remained
employed by
us until the
date such
annual
incentive
award would
otherwise
have been
paid, plus

a prorated
amount of
his targeted
annual
incentive
awards for
the year in
which his
employment
terminates.

Permanent disability exists if the named executive officer has been absent from his duties on a full-time basis for a period of six consecutive months as a result of his incapacity due to physical or mental illness.

Payments in the Event of Death

Each such named executive officer's Severance Agreement also provides for payment upon the named executive officer's death to his estate or his designated beneficiaries of a lump sum equal to:

three
months of
his base
salary and
any
unpaid
annual
incentive
awards as
of the date
of his
death for
any
calendar
year
ending
before the
year in
which his
death
occurs,
which
would
have been
payable
had he
remained
employed
by us until
the date
such
annual
incentive
awards
would
otherwise
have been
paid, plus

a prorated
amount of
his

targeted
annual
incentive
awards for
the year in
which his
death
occurs.

Non-Competition Restriction

Each Severance Agreement also provides that for two years following termination of his employment, he will not directly or indirectly, own, manage, operate, conduct, control or participate, as a director, officer, employee, consultant, partner, or equity owner or otherwise, in the ownership, management, operation, conduct or control or accept employment with or be connected in any manner with any business that is in competition with us or any of our subsidiaries. This restriction does not apply to ownership of 2% or less of the debt or equity securities of corporations listed on a registered securities exchange. The restriction applies in any state in the United States where we or any of our subsidiaries conduct business.

However, the named executive officer will not be deemed to be so involved with a competing business if:

no more than
20% of its
consolidated
revenues
(based on its
most
recently
completed
fiscal year) is
attributable
to one or
more
business
activities,
which we
refer to as
Incidental
Competitive
Activities ,
that are in
competition
with us or
one of our
subsidiaries;
and

the named
executive
officer is not
engaged

directly or
indirectly in
such
Incidental
Competitive
Activity.

The named executive officer will be released from his non-competition obligation if he waives his right to receive his severance benefits.

Non-Solicitation Restriction

For a year following the termination of his employment, each named executive officer also has agreed in his Severance Agreement that he will not on his own or anyone else's behalf:

solicit,
encourage, or
participate in
soliciting or
encouraging,
any customer
or supplier of
us or of any
of our
subsidiaries,
or any other
person or
entity, to
terminate or
adversely
alter such
person's or
entity's
customer,
supplier, or
other
relationship
with us or
any of our
subsidiaries;
or

hire any
person who
at the time of
offer of
employment
or within six
months prior
to such offer
was an

employee of
us or any of
our
subsidiaries
or encourage
or participate
in soliciting
or
encouraging
any
employee of
us or one of
our
subsidiaries
to terminate
(or otherwise
adversely
alter) his
employment
relationship.

Long Term Incentive Plan

Under our LTIP, we award each named executive officer annually a number of stock units in respect of which, following a scheduled vesting date, we will issue an equal number of shares of our Common Stock. (The LTIP is more fully described commencing on page 16 in the Section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and under the heading "Long Term Incentive Plan" commencing on page 23 following the Summary Compensation Table.) In addition, under the LTIP

each named executive officer is also entitled to a performance-based cash incentive award if we achieve a pre-determined earnings per share objective for a three year measurement period. As of January 1, 2011, Mr. MacInnis ceased to be entitled to any additional LTIP awards.

LTIP Stock Units

A number of shares of our Common Stock equal to the named executive officer's LTIP stock units are to be issued to him prior to the scheduled vesting date if:

we experience a change of control (which we define below) (provided that the Compensation Committee does not reasonably determine that the change of control is not an event described in Section 409A(a)(2)(A)(v) of the Internal Revenue Code);

we terminate the named executive officer's employment without cause (which we define below);

the named executive officer terminates his employment for good reason (which we define below);

the named executive officer retires, provided he has reached age 65;

the named executive officer

becomes disabled
and his
employment
terminates as a
result; or

the named
executive officer
dies while
employed by the
Company.

In such event, the issuance of the shares of our Common Stock will occur as of the date of the change of control or such termination of employment. However, in the case of termination of employment, that distribution will be delayed for six months following the named executive officer's termination of employment if necessary to avoid any excise tax under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. If we terminate the named executive officer's employment for cause or the named executive officer resigns without good reason, then he will forfeit his unvested LTIP stock units.

Performance-Based Cash Incentive Awards

In addition, if, during one or more LTIP measurement periods, a named executive officer's employment is terminated under circumstances described above entitling him to receive shares of our Common Stock in respect of his LTIP stock units, then he also will be entitled to a prorated portion of the amount of his performance-based cash incentive award for each measurement period that he would have received had he been employed by us during the entire measurement period. This amount is equal to his performance-based cash incentive award for each such measurement period multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of full and partial months that have elapsed during measurement period as of his termination date, and the denominator of which is the total number of months making up the measurement period. Performance-based cash incentive awards under the LTIP are more fully described commencing on page 16 in the Section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and under the heading "Long Term Incentive Plan" commencing on page 23 following the Summary Compensation Table. However, under the terms of his separation agreement, Mr. MacInnis and the Company agreed that with respect to any LTIP cash payments payable to him by reason of his retirement as of December 31, 2011 (after he had reached age 65) (a) in respect of the 2009-2011 measurement period, such payment would only be 2/3 of the amount he would otherwise have been entitled to under the terms of the LTIP had he continued in the Company's employ through December 31, 2011 and (b) in respect of the 2010-2012 measurement period, such payment would only be 1/3 of the amount he would otherwise have been entitled to under the terms of the LTIP had he continued in the Company's employ through December 31, 2012.

We would make these payments to our named executive officers at such time as the payment would have been made had there been no termination of employment. If we or a named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis) had terminated his employment as of December 31, 2011 under circumstances described above entitling him to receive shares of our Common Stock in respect of his LTIP stock units, then such named executive officer would have been entitled to payments in respect of

performance-based cash incentive awards under the LTIP for the 2009 – 2011 measurement period, for the 2010 – 2012 measurement period, and for the 2011 – 2013 measurement period.

In addition, if during one or more measurement periods there is a change of control, then promptly thereafter we would pay each named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis) his performance-based cash incentive award under the LTIP for each such measurement period. The performance-based cash incentive award under the LTIP would be paid as if the Company had achieved 100% of its aggregate earnings per share objective for such measurement period. If there had been a change of control as of December 31, 2011, such named executive officers would have been entitled to payments in respect of performance-based cash incentive awards under the LTIP for the 2009 – 2011 measurement period, for the 2010 – 2012 measurement period, and for the 2011 – 2013 measurement period.

If, as of December 31, 2011, we had terminated the employment of a named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis) for cause or the named executive officer had resigned without good reason, then he would not have been entitled to payment in respect of any performance-based cash incentive award under the LTIP for any measurement period.

Definition of Cause, Good Reason, Change of Control and Disability

For purposes of the LTIP, cause, generally, means:

the named executive officer committing an action involving willful malfeasance in connection with his employment which results in material harm to the Company;

the named executive officer's conviction of a felony; or

the named executive officer's substantial and repeated failure to perform

duties as directed by our Chief Executive Officer or, in the case of our Chief Executive Officer, our Board.

Good reason , generally, means:

a reduction in the named executive officer 's then base salary (except in connection with a reduction generally applicable to all our senior executives); or

the failure to pay any portion of the named executive officer 's compensation that is earned and due.

Change of control has substantially the same meaning as described under Change of Control Agreements on page 35 and disability has the same meaning as described under Severance Agreements on page 31.

Guzzi Special Award

As previously indicated, on May 9, 2011, Mr. Guzzi was awarded 80,000 stock units entitling him to 80,000 shares of our Common Stock if he remains in our employ until May 9, 2015. However, if we experience a change of control, we terminate his employment without cause, or if he terminates his employment for good reason, becomes disabled and his employment terminates as a result or if he dies while employed by the Company, the issuance of the shares of our Common Stock in respect of this award will occur as of the change of control or such termination of employment. The terms cause , good reason , change of control and disability are substantially the same as those terms are described above for purposes of the LTIP.

Severance Benefits Table

The following Table sets forth for each named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis who retired from the Company's employ as of December 31, 2011) (a) cash payments and the value of benefits continuation under the Severance Agreements to which he would have been entitled if his employment had been terminated on December 31, 2011 by the Company without cause or by him for good reason and (b) the value as of December 31, 2011 of (i) shares issuable to him in respect of his stock units and (ii) his pro rata performance-based cash incentive awards under our LTIP, in each instance, that he would have been entitled to upon such termination of employment on December 31, 2011 by the Company without cause or by him for good reason. The value of the shares has been calculated by multiplying the number of such shares by the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of a share of our Common Stock on December 30, 2011. The value of benefits continuation is based on the Company's estimate of the cost of providing (a) healthcare coverage for the named executive officer and his eligible dependents for an 18 month period under his current plan option and coverage level and (b) life insurance and accidental death and dismemberment insurance equivalent to his current group coverage for 12 months. The cash payment in respect of the LTIP performance-based cash incentive awards assumes that for each relevant measurement period ending after December 31, 2011, actual earnings per share equaled the targeted earnings per share objective for such measurement period.

	Cash Payment under Severance Agreement	Cash Equivalent of Shares Issuable in Respect of Accelerated Vesting of Stock Units ⁽¹⁾	Cash Payment in Respect of LTIP Performance- Based Cash Incentive Awards	Benefits Continuation	Total
Anthony J. Guzzi					
Termination Without Cause or For Good Reason	\$ 3,087,500	\$ 4,056,916	\$ 909,792	\$ 32,752	\$ 8,086,960
Termination by Reason of Death	\$ 1,425,000	\$ 4,056,916	\$ 909,792		\$ 6,391,708
Termination by Reason of Disability	\$ 1,187,500	\$ 4,056,916	\$ 909,792	\$ 32,752	\$ 6,186,960
Mark A. Pompa					
Termination Without Cause or For Good Reason	\$ 1,736,000	\$ 889,770	\$ 448,438	\$ 30,691	\$ 3,104,899
Termination by Reason of Death	\$ 756,000	\$ 889,770	\$ 448,438		\$ 2,094,208
	\$ 616,000	\$ 889,770	\$ 448,438	\$ 30,691	\$ 1,984,899

Termination by Reason of Disability						
Sheldon I. Cammaker						
Termination Without Cause or For Good Reason	\$ 1,503,000	\$ 911,460	\$ 463,281	\$ 33,649	\$ 2,911,390	
Termination by Reason of Death	\$ 626,250	\$ 911,460	\$ 463,281		\$ 2,000,991	
Termination by Reason of Disability	\$ 501,000	\$ 911,460	\$ 463,281	\$ 33,649	\$ 1,909,390	
R. Kevin Matz						
Termination Without Cause or For Good Reason	\$ 1,320,000	\$ 787,919	\$ 400,313	\$ 32,418	\$ 2,540,650	
Termination by Reason of Death	\$ 550,000	\$ 787,919	\$ 400,313		\$ 1,738,232	
Termination by Reason of Disability	\$ 440,000	\$ 787,919	\$ 400,313	\$ 32,418	\$ 1,660,650	

(1) Represents the closing price of a share of our Common Stock on December 30, 2011 times the number of shares issuable under stock units.

(2) Pursuant to the terms of a separation agreement between us and Mr. MacInnis, his severance agreement terminated on December 31, 2010. In addition,

inasmuch as Mr. MacInnis retired from the Company's employ as of December 31, 2011 his stock units vested that day and the amounts payable to him in respect of his LTIP Performance-Based Cash Incentive Awards are payable as described on page 32 under Performance-Based Cash Incentive Awards. Accordingly, he is not entitled to any severance benefits.

Change of Control Agreements

Messrs. Guzzi, Pompa, Cammaker, and Matz, each a named executive officer, are parties to change of control agreements with us, which we refer to as the Change of Control Agreements. Mr. MacInnis had been party to a change of control agreement but that agreement, pursuant to his separation agreement, terminated on December 31, 2010. The purpose of the Change of Control Agreements is to retain the services of such named executive officers during a period of change of control so that they can focus on our business, making decisions which are in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders, even if such decisions lead to their departure, and so that we may retain these individuals during that period and the transition to new ownership.

Generally, no benefits are provided under the Change of Control Agreements for any type of termination before a change of control, for termination after a change of control due to death or disability, for termination for cause, or for voluntary termination (other than for good reason). The terms change of control, cause and good reason are defined below.

Each such named executive officer's Change of Control Agreement generally provides for a severance benefit if we terminate his employment without cause or he terminates his employment for good reason within two years following a change of control. This severance benefit is equal to the sum of three times:

his annual
base salary
at the time
of the
change of
control;

the higher
of (a) his
annual
incentive
awards for
the year
prior to the
change of
control or
(b) the
average of
his annual
incentive
awards for
the three
years
before the
change of
control;
and

the value
of
perquisites

provided in
respect of
the year
prior to the
change of
control.

In addition, under the Change of Control Agreements, with respect to the year in which the change of control occurs, each such named executive officer also is entitled to a pro rata amount of the higher of (a) his annual incentive awards for the year prior to the change of control or (b) the average of his annual incentive awards for the three years prior to the change of control.

Other severance benefits include outplacement assistance and a continuation of insurance benefits for three years. Each such named executive officer also agreed that he would retain in confidence all our confidential information.

If the severance benefits provided for under the Change of Control Agreements are paid to such named executive officers, and/or if, in connection with a change of control, other payments or distributions are made by us to, or for the benefit of, such named executive officers, or other benefits are conferred upon them, pursuant to the terms of any other agreement, policy, plan or program, they might constitute an excess parachute payment within the meaning of Section 280G of the Code, on which an excise tax would be due. In that case, under the Change of Control Agreements, such named executive officers would also be entitled to such additional payments as may be necessary to ensure that the net after-tax benefit of all such amounts shall be equal to their respective net after-tax benefits as if no excise tax had been imposed.

Definition of Change of Control, Cause and Good Reason

For purposes of the Change of Control Agreements, a change of control means, in general, the occurrence of:

a person or
group of
persons
acquiring
25% or more
of our voting
securities;

our
stockholders
approving a
merger,
business
combination
or sale of our
assets, with
the holders
of our
Common
Stock prior
to such
transaction
owning less

than 65% of
the voting
securities of
the resulting
corporation;
or

our
Incumbent
Directors
failing to
constitute at
least a
majority of
our Board
during any
two year
period. An
Incumbent
Director is
defined,
generally, as
a director
who was
serving as
such before
the
beginning of
such two
year period
or if not a
director at
such time,
generally,

if such director was elected to the Board by, or on the recommendation of or with the approval of, at least two-thirds of the directors who then qualified as Incumbent Directors.

Cause is defined as:

the named executive officer's willful and continued failure to perform substantially his duties for us (other than by reason of physical or mental illness); or

his conviction of, or plea of guilty or nolo contendere to, a felony; or

his willful engagement in gross misconduct which is materially and demonstrably injurious to us.

Good Reason is defined as occurring if:

the named executive

officer's annual
base salary is
reduced;

his annual
incentive
awards are
reduced below
the higher of
(a) the annual
incentive
awards paid or
payable to him
in respect of
the year before
the change of
control or (b)
the average of
his annual
incentive
awards paid or
payable to him
in respect of
the three years
prior to the
change of
control;

his duties and
responsibilities
are materially
and adversely
reduced;

the program of
incentive
compensation
and retirement
and insurance
benefits offered
to him are
materially and
adversely
reduced;

he is required
to relocate
more than 50
miles from his
primary work
location before

the change of
control; or

the Change of
Control
Agreement is
not assumed by
a successor to
the Company.

Change of Control Benefits Table

The following Table sets forth for each named executive officer (other than Mr. MacInnis who retired from the Company's employ as of December 31, 2011) (a) cash payments and the value of benefits continuation under his Change of Control Agreement to which he would have been entitled upon a change of control and termination of his employment on December 31, 2011 by the Company without cause or by him for good reason and (b) the value as of December 31, 2011 of (i) shares issuable to him in respect of his stock units, and (ii) his performance-based cash incentive awards under our LTIP, in each instance, that he would have been entitled to upon a change of control and such termination of employment on December 31, 2011. In addition, it sets forth for each named executive officer the amount that would have been paid to him under his Change of Control Agreement to compensate him for the excise tax, if any, payable on the compensation received as a result of a change of control and such additional amounts as may be necessary to ensure that his net after-tax benefits of the amounts payable to him under the Change of Control Agreement and other benefits are equal to the net after tax benefits as if no excise tax, if any, had been imposed. The value of the shares has been calculated by multiplying the number of such shares by the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of a share of our Common Stock on December 30, 2011. The value of benefits continuation is based on the Company's estimate of the cost of providing (a) healthcare coverage for the named executive officer and his eligible dependents for a 36 month period under his current plan option and coverage level and (b) life insurance and accidental death and dismemberment insurance equivalent to his current group coverage for 36 months. The value of outplacement is based on the Company's estimate of the current cost of obtaining outplacement services for the named executive officer.

	Cash Payment Under Change of Control Agreement	Cash Equivalent of Shares Issuable in Respect of Accelerated Vesting of Stock Units ⁽¹⁾	Cash Payment in Respect of Acceleration of LTIP Performance- Based Cash Incentive Awards	Benefits Continuation	Out- Placement	Compensation for Additional Taxation
Anthony J. Guzzi	\$ 8,145,581	\$ 4,056,916	\$ 1,705,625	\$ 74,483	\$ 75,000	\$ 4,221,428
Mark A. Pompa	\$ 5,109,732	\$ 889,770	\$ 754,688	\$ 68,301	\$ 75,000	
Sheldon I. Cammaker	\$ 5,205,671	\$ 911,460	\$ 766,406	\$ 93,202	\$ 75,000	
R. Kevin Matz	\$ 4,465,920	\$ 787,919	\$ 662,813	\$ 70,038	\$ 75,000	

(1) Represents the closing price of a share of our Common Stock on

December 30, 2011
times the number of
units.

- (2) Pursuant to the terms of a separation agreement between us and Mr. MacInnis, his Change of Control Agreement terminated on December 31, 2010. In addition, inasmuch as Mr. MacInnis retired from the Company's employ as of December 31, 2011 his stock units vested that day and the amounts payable to him in respect of LTIP Performance-Based Cash Incentive Awards are payable as described on page 32 under Performance-Based Cash Incentive Awards. Accordingly, he is not entitled to any change of control benefits.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following Table sets forth certain information with respect to the compensation of our non-employee directors for fiscal year 2011. Mr. MacInnis, our Chairman of the Board, and until January 3, 2011 our Chief Executive Officer and an employee of the Company through December 31, 2011, and Mr. Guzzi, our President and Chief Executive Officer, received no additional compensation for serving on the Board.

Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2011

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) (a)	Stock Awards (\$) (f)	Option Awards (\$) (f)	All Other Compensation (g)	Total (\$)
Stephen W. Bershad	\$ 70,000 (b)	\$ 286,964	\$ 61,449		\$ 418,413
David A.B. Brown	\$ 70,000 (c)	\$ 286,964	\$ 61,449	\$ 2,045	\$ 420,458
Larry J. Bump	\$ 60,000	\$ 286,964	\$ 61,449	\$ 1,733	\$ 410,146
Albert Fried, Jr.	\$ 60,000	\$ 286,964	\$ 61,449	\$ 4,153	\$ 412,566
Richard F. Hamm, Jr.	\$ 70,000 (d)	\$ 334,964		\$ 1,705	\$ 406,669
David H. Laidley	\$ 65,000 (e)	\$ 334,964			\$ 399,964
Jerry E. Ryan	\$ 60,000	\$ 334,964		\$ 2,218	\$ 397,182
Michael T. Yonker	\$ 60,000	\$ 334,964		\$ 654	\$ 395,618

(a) Each non-employee director received in 2011 an annual retainer of \$60,000 in cash and \$40,000 in equity as discussed below.

(b) For serving as a member of the Audit Committee,

Mr. Bershad receives an additional annual fee of \$5,000 and for serving as Chairman of the Compensation Committee, he receives an additional annual fee of \$5,000.

(c) For serving as Chairman of the Audit Committee, Mr. Brown receives an additional annual fee of \$10,000.

(d) For serving as a member of the Audit Committee, Mr. Hamm receives an additional annual fee of \$5,000 and for serving as Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee, he receives an additional annual fee of \$5,000.

(e) For serving as a member of the Audit Committee, Mr. Laidley receives an additional

annual fee of
\$5,000.

- (f) The stock awards and option awards represent aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 15 to our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 included in our Form 10-K for that year for the assumptions we made in the computation of the value of the option awards. In 2011, Messrs. Hamm, Laidley, Ryan and Yonker each received a stock award in January 2011 consisting of 1,640 shares of our Common Stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$48,000 and a stock award in June 2011 consisting of 9,721 stock units in respect of which an equal number of shares of our Common Stock

will be issued with an aggregate grant date for fair value of \$286,964. In 2011, Messrs. Bershad, Brown, Bump and Fried each received an option award in January 2011 for 5,024 shares of our Common Stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$61,449 and a stock award in June 2011 consisting of 9,721 stock units in respect of which an equal number of shares of our Common Stock will be issued with a grant date fair value of \$286,964.

- (g) The amounts reported in this column represent reimbursement for taxes for the incremental cost of the spouse or companion of the director accompanying him to one weekend Board meeting.

As of December 31, 2011 each of the above-named directors held outstanding options granted to him by us to acquire the following number of shares of our Common Stock: Stephen W. Bershad, 212,256 shares; David A.B. Brown,

172,256 shares; Larry J. Bump, 132,256 shares; Albert Fried, Jr., 147,015 shares; Richard F. Hamm, Jr., 120,000 shares; David H. Laidley, 57,252 shares; Jerry E. Ryan, 85,585 shares; and Michael T. Yonker, 195,585 shares. In addition, as of that date Messrs. Hamm, Laidley, Ryan and Yonker each held an award for 820 shares of our Common Stock that vested in January 2012, pursuant to a stock award in respect of his 2011 annual retainer. In addition, each of the above named directors held an award for the 9,721 stock units referred to in footnote (f) to the above Table, none of which were vested as of December 31, 2011.

As indicated in Note (a) to the above Table, for 2011, the annual retainer for each non-employee director was \$100,000. Of this amount, \$60,000 was payable in cash and \$40,000 was payable in options awarded under our 2010 Incentive Plan or in shares of Common Stock under our 2010 Incentive Plan, as each director chose. In addition, for 2011 the Chairman of the Audit Committee

received an annual fee of \$10,000 and each other member of the Audit Committee received an annual fee of \$5,000. An annual fee of \$5,000 was also paid to the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee.

For 2011, in respect of the equity component of the annual retainer for non-employee directors, four directors elected to receive shares under our 2010 Incentive Plan, of which 820 shares were delivered on the first business day in January 2011 and 820 shares were delivered on the first business day in January 2012, and four directors chose for 2011 to receive options under the 2010 Incentive Plan. Each director electing to receive options was awarded on January 3, 2011 an option with a five year term to purchase 5,024 shares of our Common Stock at a per share option price of \$29.26 per share, the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of a share of our Common Stock on that day. One-quarter of the option became exercisable on the grant date and one-quarter on the first day of each of the three succeeding calendar quarters.

In addition, pursuant to a Director Award Program adopted in 2011, each non-employee director, following his election to our Board of Directors at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders held June 1, 2011, was entitled to receive, as he selected, either options to purchase 20,000 shares of our Common Stock with a term of ten years and an exercise price equal to the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of a share of our Common Stock on that date or 9,721 stock units entitling him to 9,721 shares of our Common Stock on a date which is first, second, third, fourth or fifth anniversary of the grant, as he selected when he elected to receive stock units. With respect to the June 2011 award, each non-employee director elected to receive the award of stock units. The award of stock units to a director entitles him to receive additional time-based stock units equal in value to dividends which would be paid with respect to our Common Stock underlying the June 2011 award.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During 2011, the Compensation Committee was responsible for matters concerning executive compensation.

Messrs. Bershad, Bump, Fried, Laidley, Ryan, and Yonker served as members of the Compensation Committee during 2011.

No member of the Compensation Committee:

was at any
time during
2011 an
officer or
employee of
us or any of
our
subsidiaries;

was formerly
an officer of
us or any of
our
subsidiaries;
or

has or had
any

relationship
requiring
disclosure by
us under any
paragraph of
Item 404 of
Regulation
S-K of the
Securities and
Exchange
Commission.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The following is the report of the Compensation and Personnel Committee for the year ended December 31, 2011.

We have reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement with EMCOR's management.

Based on the review and discussions referred to in the immediately preceding paragraph, we recommended to EMCOR's Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and be incorporated by reference into the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

By: Compensation and Personnel Committee
Stephen W. Bershada, Chairman
Larry J. Bump
Albert Fried, Jr.
David H. Laidley
Jerry E. Ryan
Michael T. Yonker

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The following is the report of the Audit Committee with respect to the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, included in EMCOR's annual report on Form 10-K for that year.

We have reviewed and discussed such audited financial statements with management and the Company's independent auditors, Ernst & Young LLP.

We have discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1 AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

We have received the written disclosures and letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, Communications with Audit Committees Concerning Independence and have discussed with Ernst & Young LLP that firm's independence from EMCOR. The Audit Committee has also concluded that the provision to EMCOR by Ernst & Young LLP of audit and non-audit services, as described under the Table of Fees on page 46 in the Section entitled Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors of its Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 31, 2012, is compatible with the independence of Ernst & Young LLP.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above in this report, we recommended to EMCOR's Board that the audited financial statements be included in EMCOR's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

By: Audit Committee
 David A. B. Brown, Chairman
 Stephen W. Bershad
 Richard F. Hamm, Jr.
 David H. Laidley

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following Table sets forth as of April 5, 2012 certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our Common Stock by each person or group known by us to be a beneficial owner of more than five percent of the outstanding shares of our Common Stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent Owned
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, New York 10022	5,008,414 shares ⁽¹⁾	7.5 %
Royce & Associates LLC 745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10157	4,061,285 shares ⁽²⁾	6.1 %
Artisan Partners Holdings LP and Affiliates 875 East Wisconsin Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3,798,062 shares ⁽³⁾	5.7 %

FMR LLC and Edward C. Johnson 3rd

82 Devonshire Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02109

3,487,591 shares⁽⁴⁾

5.2 %

The Vanguard Group

100 Vanguard Boulevard

Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355

3,454,169 shares⁽⁵⁾

5.2 %

- (1) Based on a Schedule 13G Information Statement filed by BlackRock, Inc. The Schedule 13G discloses that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power and sole dispositive power of such shares.

- (2) Based on a Schedule 13G Information Statement filed by Royce & Associates LLC (Royce). The Schedule 13G discloses that Royce has sole voting power and sole disposition power of such shares.
- (3) Based on a Schedule 13G Information Statement filed by Artisan Investment Corporation, Artisan Partners Holdings LP, Artisan Partners Limited Partnership, Artisan Investments GP LLC, ZFIC, Inc. Andrew Ziegler and Carlene M. Ziegler (collectively, Artisan). The Schedule 13G discloses that Artisan has shared voting power of 3,632,162 shares and shared dispositive power of

3,798,062
shares.

- (4) Based on a Schedule 13G Information Statement filed by FMR LLC (FMR) and Edward C. Johnson, 3rd (Mr. Johnson) (collectively, the Reporting Persons). The Schedule 13G discloses that the Reporting Persons have sole power to vote or to direct the vote of 937,691 of such shares and sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of the 3,487,591 shares. The Schedule 13G also discloses that Fidelity Management & Research Company (Fidelity), a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR and an investment adviser, is the beneficial owner of 2,382,500 of such shares as a result of acting as investment adviser to various

investment companies (Funds) and that Mr. Johnson, Chairman of FMR, and FMR, through its control of Fidelity, and the Funds, each has sole power to dispose of the 2,382,500 shares owned by the Funds, that neither FMR nor Mr. Johnson has sole power to vote or direct the voting of such 2,382,500 shares, that Pyramis Global Advisors, LLC (Pyramis), a subsidiary of FRM and an investment adviser, is the beneficial owner of 6,000 of such 3,487,591 shares, that Mr. Johnson and FMR, though its control of Pyramis, each has sole dispositive power over the 6,000 shares and sole power to vote or to direct the voting of

2,200 shares owned by institutional accounts or funds advised by Pyramis. In addition, Pyramis Global Advisor Trust Company (Pyramis Trust), an indirect subsidiary of FMR and an investment adviser, is the beneficial owner of 1,078,091 of such 3,487,591 shares as a result of its serving as investment manager of institutional accounts owning such shares, and Mr. Johnson and FMR through its control of Pyramis Trust each has sole dispositive power over such 1,078,091 shares and sole power to vote or to direct the vote of 914,491 of such shares. Also, FIL Limited (FIL) is the beneficial owner of

21,000 of such shares.

Partnerships controlled predominately by members of the family of Mr. Johnson or trusts for their benefit own shares of FIL voting stock with the right to cast more than 25% and less than 50% of the votes that may be cast by holders of FIL voting stock.

However, the Schedule 13G states that FMR and FIL are of the view that they are not a group for purposes of Section 13(d) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that they are not otherwise required to attribute to each other the beneficial ownership of shares beneficially owned by the other.

- (5) Based on a Schedule 13G Information Statement filed by The

Vanguard Group (Vanguard). The Schedule 13G discloses that Vanguard has sole voting power of 102,439 of such shares and sole dispositive power of 3,351,730 of such shares and shared dispositive power of 102,439 of such shares. It also states that Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (VFTC), is the beneficial owner of 102,439 of such shares as a result of it serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts and that VFTC directs the voting of these shares.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following Table sets forth as of April 5, 2012, certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Common Stock by each of our directors, including our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and each of our other executive officers, and all our directors and executive officers as a group, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. Except as otherwise noted, to our knowledge, each of the persons listed below has sole voting power and investment power with respect to the shares listed next to his name.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾	Percent
Frank T. MacInnis	896,847 ⁽²⁾)	1.3 %
Stephen W. Bershad	218,534 ⁽³⁾)	*
David A.B. Brown	158,534 ⁽³⁾)	*
Larry J. Bump	140,120 ⁽³⁾)	*
Albert Fried, Jr.	230,941 ⁽³⁾)	*
Anthony J. Guzzi	597,179 ⁽²⁾) ⁽⁴⁾	*
Richard F. Hamm, Jr.	143,505 ⁽³⁾)	*
David H. Laidley	72,624 ⁽³⁾)	*
Jerry E. Ryan	100,457 ⁽³⁾)	*
Michael T. Yonker	194,923 ⁽³⁾)	*
Mark A. Pompa	269,688 ⁽²⁾)	*
Sheldon I. Cammaker	364,510 ⁽²⁾)	*
R. Kevin Matz	378,213 ⁽²⁾)	*
All directors and executive officers as a group	3,766,075 ⁽⁵⁾)	5.4 %

* Represents less than 1%.

(1) The information contained in the Table reflects beneficial ownership as defined in Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

as amended.

(2) Includes:

in the case
of Mr.
Guzzi,
308,000
shares;

in the case
of Mr.
Pompa,
207,608
shares;

in the case
of Mr.
Cammaker,
294,176
shares; and

in the case
of Mr.
Matz,
230,980
shares;

which shares may be acquired upon the exercise of presently exercisable options or options exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof and granted pursuant to our stock option plans and programs.

Also includes:

in the case
of Mr.
MacInnis,
75,367
shares;

in the case
of Mr.
Guzzi,
178,211
shares;

in the case
of Mr.
Pompa,
45,860
shares;

in the case
of Mr.
Cammaker,
44,646
shares; and

in the case
of Mr.
Matz,
40,395
shares;

which shares are to be issued in respect of stock units.

(3) Includes:

in the
case of
Mr.
Bershad,
208,776
shares;

in the
case of
Mr.
Brown,
148,776
shares;

in the
case of
Mr.
Bump,
125,850
shares;

in the
case of
Mr.
Fried,
140,609
shares;

in the
case of
Mr.
Hamm,
120,000
shares;

in the
case of
Mr.
Laidley,
57,252
shares;

-42-

in the
case of
Mr.
Ryan,
85,585
shares;
and

in the
case of
Mr.
Yonker,
175,585
shares;

which shares may be acquired upon the exercise of presently exercisable options or options exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof and granted pursuant to our stock options plans and programs for non-employee directors. Also includes in the case of each of Messrs, Bershada, Brown, Bump, Fried, Hamm, Laidley, Ryan and Yonker, 9,758 shares to be issued in respect of stock units.

(4) Does not
include
5,790
shares
owned by a
trust for the
benefit of
Mr. Guzzi's
wife and
children, of
which his
wife and
brother are
trustees.

(5) Includes
2,103,197
shares that
may be
acquired
upon the
exercise of
presently
exercisable
options or
options
exercisable
within 60
days of the
date hereof

and granted
pursuant to
our stock
options
plans and
programs
and
462,543
shares to be
issued in
respect of
stock units.

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At our Annual Meeting, ten directors are to be elected by the holders of our Common Stock to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. To be elected as a director, each nominee must receive the favorable vote of a plurality of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at our Annual Meeting. Information concerning the nominees for election at our Annual Meeting is set forth below. Each nominee is presently one of our directors. While the Board has no reason to believe that any of those named as a nominee for election to the Board will not be available as a candidate, should such a situation arise, the proxy may be voted for the election of other nominees in the discretion of the persons acting pursuant to the proxy.

Stephen W. Bershad, Age 70. Mr. Bershad is a private investor. In addition, since July, 2010 he also has been Chairman of the Board of Directors of GSI Group Inc., a supplier of laser based solutions and precision motion control systems to the global medical, electronics, and industrial markets. Until September 2009, and for more than five years prior thereto, he had been Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Axsys Technologies, Inc. He has been one of our directors since December 15, 1994. From 1986 to September 2009 Mr. Bershad was a member of the Board of Directors of Axsys. As a senior executive with Lehman Brothers for more than 15 years and the Chief Executive Officer of Axsys for more than 20 years, Mr. Bershad has an invaluable background in investment banking, finance, and business.

David A.B. Brown, Age 68. Mr. Brown has been Chairman of the Board of Directors of Layne Christensen Company since June 2005. Layne Christensen provides drilling services and related products and services in the principal markets of water resources, mineral exploration, geo construction, and energy. Mr. Brown had also been the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Pride International, Inc., a leading provider of offshore contract drilling and related services to oil and natural gas companies worldwide, from May 2005 to May 2011, when, pursuant to an agreement of merger, it became a wholly owned subsidiary of Ensco plc. For more than five years prior to May 2005, Mr. Brown was president of The Windsor Group, a management consulting firm of which he was a co-founder. He has been one of our directors since December 15, 1994. Mr. Brown has, since May 2011, been a director of Ensco. From 2001 to 2006, Mr. Brown was a member of the Board of Directors of Mission Resources, Inc., and from 2006 to 2007, he was a director of NS Group, Inc. In addition, from 2000 to 2007, he was a director of Petrohawk Energy Corp. Mr. Brown, who also is a chartered accountant, has a broad breadth of knowledge regarding finance and varied businesses gathered over many years as a business consultant, particularly in the oil and gas sector in which the Company has many interests, and as a director of several public companies, including chairman of the board of two public companies.

Larry J. Bump, Age 72. Mr. Bump, a private investor, was Chairman of the Board of Directors of Willbros Group, Inc., an international engineering and construction company from 1981 until May 2004. From 1977 to 1980, he was President and Chief Operating Officer of Willbros, and from 1980 until 2002, when he retired, he was Chief Executive Officer of that company. Mr. Bump has been one of our directors since February 27, 2003. Mr. Bump has had a long

career as a senior executive officer

of Willbros, serving Willbros both in the United States and abroad. As such, he has broad knowledge of the engineering, construction, and oil and gas industries in which the Company has extensive interests and has increased the depth of the Board's international experience.

Albert Fried, Jr., Age 82. Mr. Fried has been managing member of Albert Fried & Company, LLC, a broker/dealer and member of the New York Stock Exchange, since 1955. He has been one of our directors since December 15, 1994. Mr. Fried's long involvement with finance provides the Company with a keen insight into the capital markets.

Anthony J. Guzzi, Age 47. Mr. Guzzi has been our President since October 2004, when he joined the Company, and since January 3, 2011, our Chief Executive Officer. He served as our Chief Operating Officer from October 2004 until January 3, 2011. From August 2001 until he joined the Company, Mr. Guzzi was President of the North American Distribution and Aftermarket Division of Carrier Corporation, a manufacturer and distributor of commercial and residential HVAC and refrigeration systems and equipment and a provider of aftermarket services and components of its own products and those of other manufacturers in both the HVAC and refrigeration industry. Mr. Guzzi was elected to the Board on December 15, 2009. Mr. Guzzi is also a director of Hubbell International, Inc. Mr. Guzzi, who has served as our President and Chief Operating Officer for more than five years and our Chief Executive Officer since the beginning of 2011, has extensive knowledge of the Company's business and, as a senior executive officer of Carrier Corporation, has extensive knowledge of the mechanical services business which accounts for a significant portion of the Company's revenues and profits.

Richard F. Hamm, Jr., Age 52. Since July 2011, Mr. Hamm has been the Managing Member of Siesta Properties LLC, a real estate development company. He had been an Executive Vice President of Dendreon Corporation, a biotechnology company developing targeted therapies for the treatment of cancer, from December 2010 to June 2011 as well as its General Counsel and Secretary from November 2004 to June 2011. He also served as a Senior Vice President of Dendreon from November 2004 to December 2010. From April 2002 until November 2004, he was Deputy General Counsel and a vice president of Medtronic, Inc., a medical technology company. Mr. Hamm has been one of our directors since June 19, 1998. From August 2000 to September 2009, Mr. Hamm was a member of the board of directors of Axsys Technologies Inc. As a corporate executive, including serving as Chief Financial Officer of Dendreon for a period of time, and a practicing attorney for over 25 years, with a masters degree in business administration, Mr. Hamm has a broad knowledge of many industries with proven business acumen and a strong background in finance.

David H. Laidley, Age 65. Mr. Laidley is Chairman Emeritus of Deloitte & Touche LLP (Canada), a professional services firm providing audit, tax, financial advisory and consulting services, where he was a partner from 1975 until his retirement in 2007 specializing in tax and audit services. He served as Chairman of Deloitte & Touche (Canada) from 2000 to 2006. Mr. Laidley was first elected to our Board on December 15, 2008. Mr. Laidley is a director of Groupe Aeroplan, Inc. and ProSep Inc., the shares of both of which companies are traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange, and a director of the Bank of Canada. From 2008 to 2010, Mr. Laidley was a member of the Board of Directors of Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc., formerly named Biovail Corporation. With more than 40 years of experience in the accounting field dealing with businesses in many industries, Mr. Laidley's background provides a strong financial foundation for Board deliberations and a keen knowledge of many industry sectors.

Frank T. MacInnis, Age 65. Mr. MacInnis has been our Chairman of the Board since April 1994 and our Chief Executive Officer from April 1994 to January 3, 2011 when he resigned as our Chief Executive Officer. During the balance of 2011, Mr. MacInnis was employed as an Advisor to Mr. Guzzi, our current Chief Executive Officer. At the end of 2011, Mr. MacInnis retired from the Company's employ. Mr. MacInnis also served as our President from April 1994 to April 1997 and from February 2004 to October 2004. Mr. MacInnis is also Chairman of the Board of Directors of The Williams Companies, Inc. and Chairman of the Board of Directors of ITT Corporation. Mr. MacInnis long career in the electrical contracting and pipeline industries, including over 17 years with the Company, has provided him with in-depth knowledge of all sectors in which the Company is involved.

Jerry E. Ryan, Age 69. Mr. Ryan, who is retired, served, from January 2000 through December 2002, as a consultant to Fintube Technologies, Inc., a manufacturer of large heat recovery steam generators utilized in the electrical power generating industry and heavy welded finned tubes used in a variety of heat recovery operations and a subsidiary of Lone Star Technologies, Inc. Mr. Ryan served as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the general partner of Fintube Limited Partnership from 1985 until its sale to Lone Star Technologies in January 2000. He has been one of our directors since December 15, 2007. As an entrepreneur for more than 40 years, Mr. Ryan has an extensive background in business and manufacturing operations and in-depth knowledge of the heat exchanger business in which the Company has a significant investment. Mr. Ryan also served on the Boards of Directors of Lone Star Technologies from 2000 to 2007, AAON, Inc. from 2001 to 2007, and Global Power Equipment Group from 2002 to 2008.

Michael T. Yonker, Age 69. For more than nine years prior to his retirement in June 1998, Mr. Yonker was President and Chief Executive Officer of Portec, Inc., a diversified industrial products company with operations in the construction equipment, materials handling and railroad products industries. He has been one of our directors since October 25, 2002. Mr. Yonker is also a director of Modine Manufacturing Company and Woodward Governor Company. Having served as Chief Executive Officer of Portec and a senior executive officer of other companies, Mr. Yonker has a depth of business experience.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the election of each of the above nominees.

PROPOSAL NO. 2 NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following resolution gives our stockholders the opportunity to vote to approve or not approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and our compensation philosophy, policies and practices, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables, and accompanying narrative disclosures in this Proxy Statement. We are providing this vote as required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

As discussed previously in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section beginning on page 10, the objectives of our compensation program for our named executive officers are to attract, retain, and motivate key executives with skills necessary to assure our long-term success. Broadly stated, the purpose of the key components of the program that are geared to both our short-term and long-term performance insofar as they relate to named executive officers are:

to reward
named
executive
officers
expertise and
experience;

to reward
named
executive
officers
performance
that drives

achievement
of our
short-term and
long-term
goals by
providing a
strong link
between pay
and
performance;
and

to align
named
executive
officers
compensation
with the
interests of
our
stockholders
by paying a
meaningful
portion of
incentive
awards in
equity.

Accordingly, we ask our stockholders to vote **FOR** the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of EMCOR Group, Inc. (EMCOR) approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of EMCOR s named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures in EMCOR s proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

While we intend to carefully consider the voting results of this proposal, the final vote is advisory in nature and therefore not binding on us, our Board of Directors or its Compensation and Personnel Committee. Our Board and its Compensation and Personnel Committee value the opinions of

all of our stockholders and will consider the outcome of this vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the adoption of the above resolution indicating approval of the compensation of our named executive officers.

PROPOSAL NO. 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Audit Committee, which is comprised entirely of independent directors, has appointed Ernst & Young LLP, certified public accountants, as our independent auditors for 2012, subject to ratification by stockholders, and presents this selection to the stockholders for ratification. Ernst & Young LLP has acted as our independent auditors since May 14, 2002.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at our Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so.

Fees

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered to the Company by Ernst & Young LLP for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

Services Provided	Fee Amount	
	2011	2010
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,851,093	\$ 4,382,058
Audit Related Fees ⁽²⁾	304,875	111,745
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	366,833	29,175
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	175,000	16,900
Total	\$ 5,697,801	\$ 4,539,878

(1) Fees in connection with the annual audit of the Company's annual financial statements, including attestation on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, the issuance of

consents with respect to Registration Statements on Forms S-8, reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and statutory audits.

- (2) Fees rendered for employee benefit plan audits and due diligence relating to an acquisition.
- (3) Fees for services related to tax compliance, including consulting services, the preparation of tax returns, tax planning, and tax due diligence.
- (4) Fees for consulting services in connection with XBRL implementation.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Procedures

The 2011 and 2010 audit and non-audit services provided by Ernst & Young LLP were approved by the Audit Committee. The non-audit services, which were approved by the Audit Committee, were also reviewed by the Audit Committee to ensure compatibility with maintaining the auditors' independence.

The Audit Committee has implemented pre-approval policies and procedures related to the provision of audit and non-audit services. Under these procedures, the Audit Committee pre-approves both the types of services to be provided by Ernst & Young LLP and the estimated fees related to those services. During the approval process, the Audit Committee considers the impact of the types of services and the related fees on the independence of the

auditors. The services and fees must be deemed compatible with the maintenance of the auditors' independence, including compliance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Chairperson of the Audit Committee may pre-approve permissible services that arise between Audit Committee meetings provided that the decision to pre-approve services is reported at the next scheduled Audit Committee meeting.

ADOPTION OF PROPOSAL NO. 3

We believe that our best interests will be served by the approval of Proposal No. 3. If our stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain Ernst & Young LLP. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different certified public accounting firm at any time during the year if it is determined that such a change would be in our and our stockholders' best interests.

Approval of Proposal No. 3 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of our Common Stock represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote thereon.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for 2012.

INTERESTED TRANSACTIONS

Mr. MacInnis owns a majority of the equity of ComNet Communications, LLC, a provider of turnkey voice, data, and video infrastructure support. During 2011 and 2012 ComNet retained a subsidiary of the Company to act as a subcontractor to it in connection with the installation of electrical systems for various ComNet projects. During 2011 our subsidiary billed ComNet approximately \$273,000 for services provided in connection with the projects, and during the first three months of 2012 billed ComNet approximately \$173,000 for such services. The amount that our subsidiary charged ComNet was based on arms-length negotiations. All the members of our Board (other than Mr. MacInnis, who did not participate in the decision) have approved our subsidiaries entering into transactions with ComNet provided the transactions are approved in advance by Mr. Guzzi, our Chief Executive Officer. Mr. MacInnis played no role in the decision by ComNet to retain our subsidiary as a ComNet subcontractor or in the decision of our subsidiary to act as a subcontractor to ComNet or in the negotiation of the price to be paid our subsidiary for its work.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of change in ownership of our Common Stock and other equity securities with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to furnish copies of such statements to us.

To our knowledge and based solely upon a review of such reports, during the year 2011 all such reports relating to share ownership were timely filed except one Form 4 for Mr. Cammaker was one day late because of technical difficulties incurred by the Company in transmitting his Form 4 to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

OTHER MATTERS

Stockholder Proposals. Stockholders' proposals must be received by us at our headquarters in Norwalk, Connecticut on or before December 19, 2012 in order to be considered for inclusion in next year's proxy statement.

Our bylaws set forth advance notice provisions and procedures to be followed by stockholders who wish to bring business before an annual meeting of stockholders, whether or not such stockholder wishes the matter to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement, or who wish to nominate candidates for election to the Board. A stockholder may propose business to be included in the agenda of an annual meeting only if written notice of such stockholder's intent is given to our Corporate Secretary:

not earlier
than 90 days
nor later than
60 days in
advance of
the
anniversary
of the date of
the
immediately
preceding
annual
meeting; or

if the date of the annual meeting occurs more than 30 days before or 60 days after the anniversary of such immediately preceding annual meeting, not later than the close of business on the later of (a) the sixtieth day prior to such annual meeting and (b) the tenth day following the date on which a public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made.

Each such notice must set forth certain background and other information specified in the bylaws, including a description of the proposed business and the reasons for conducting such business at the annual meeting.

A stockholder may nominate candidates for election to the Board at an annual meeting only if written notice of such stockholder's intent to make such nomination is given to our Corporate Secretary:

not earlier than 90 days nor later than 60 days in advance of the anniversary of the date of the immediately preceding annual meeting; or

if the date of the annual meeting occurs

more than 30 days before or 60 days after the anniversary of such immediately preceding annual meeting, not later than the close of business on the later of (a) the sixtieth day prior to such annual meeting and (b) the tenth day following the date on which a public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made.

Each such notice must set forth certain background and other information specified in the bylaws.

The time limits described above also apply in determining whether notice is timely for purposes of Rule 14a-4(c)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 relating to exercise of discretionary voting authority, and are separate from and in addition to the Securities and Exchange Commission's requirements that a stockholder must meet to have a proposal included in our proxy statement.

OTHER INFORMATION

We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. We expect to solicit proxies primarily by mail. Proxies also may be solicited personally and by telephone by some of our officers and regular employees. We have retained D.F. King & Co., Inc. for solicitation of all brokers and nominees for a fee of \$9,500, plus customary out-of-pocket expenses. We may reimburse brokers and other nominees for their expenses in communicating with the persons for whom they hold Common Stock.

The Board is aware of no other matters that are to be presented to the stockholders for formal action at our Annual Meeting. If, however, any other matters properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy to vote in accordance with their judgment on such matters.

Upon the written request of any stockholder of record on April 5, 2012, a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (excluding exhibits) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission will be supplied without charge. Requests should be directed to Sheldon I. Cammaker, Corporate Secretary, EMCOR Group, Inc., 301 Merritt Seven, Norwalk, Connecticut 06851.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

SHELDON I. CAMMAKER

Corporate Secretary

April 18, 2012

-48-

EMCOR GROUP, INC.

STANDARDS FOR DETERMINING DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

It is the policy of the Board of Directors that a substantial majority of Directors be independent of the Company and of the Company's management. For a Director to be deemed independent, the Board shall affirmatively determine that the Director has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an entity that has a relationship with the Company). This determination shall be disclosed in the proxy statement for each annual meeting of the Company's stockholders. In making this determination, the Board shall apply the following standards:

A Director who is an employee, or whose immediate family member is an executive officer, of the Company shall not be deemed independent until three years after the end of such employment relationship.

A Director who receives, or whose immediate family member receives, more than \$100,000 per year in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior

service
(provided such
compensation
is not
contingent in
any way on
continued
service), shall
not be deemed
independent
until three
years after he
or she ceases
to receive
more than
\$100,000 in
such
compensation.

A Director
who is
affiliated with
or employed
by, or whose
immediate
family member
is affiliated
with or
employed in a
professional
capacity by, a
present or
former internal
or external
auditor of the
Company shall
not be deemed
independent
until three
years after the
end of the
affiliation or
the
employment or
auditing
relationship.

A Director
who is
employed, or
whose

immediate family member is employed, as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company's current executive officers serve on that company's compensation committee shall not be deemed independent until three years after the end of such service or the employment relationship.

A Director who is a significant equity holder, an executive officer, general partner, or employee, or whose immediate family member is a significant equity holder, an executive officer or general partner, of any entity that makes payments to, or receives payments from, the Company for property or

services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year of such entity, exceeds 2% of such other entity's consolidated gross revenues, shall not be deemed independent until three years after falling below such threshold.

A Director who is a significant equity holder, an executive officer, general partner or employee, or whose immediate family member is a significant equity holder, an executive officer or general partner, of an entity to which the Company was indebted at the end of the Company's fiscal year in an aggregate amount in excess of 2% of the Company's total consolidated assets at the end of such fiscal year,

shall not be deemed independent until three years after falling below such threshold.

A Director who is a significant equity holder, an executive officer, general partner or employee, or whose immediate family member is a significant equity holder, an executive officer or partner, of an entity which was indebted to the Company at the end of such entity's fiscal year in an aggregate amount in excess of 2% of such entity's total consolidated assets at the end of such fiscal year, shall not be deemed independent until three years after falling below such threshold.

A Director who is, or whose

immediate family member is, an executive officer (or who serves in a comparable position) of a tax-exempt entity that receives significant contributions (i.e. more than \$200,000 or more than 2% of the annual contributions received by the entity in a single fiscal year of the tax-exempt entity, whichever amount is lower) from the Company, any executive officer or any immediate family member of an executive officer

-A-1-

shall not be deemed independent until three years after falling below such threshold, unless such contributions were approved in advance by the Board of Directors.

For purposes of these Guidelines, the term:

immediate family includes a person's spouse, parents, children, siblings, mothers and fathers-in-law, sons and daughters-in-law, brothers and sisters-in-law and anyone (other than domestic employees) sharing a person's home, but excluding any person who is no longer an immediate family member as a result of legal separation or divorce, or death or incapacitation.

Company includes any parent or subsidiary in a consolidated group with the Company.

significant equity
holder of an entity
means a holder of
10% or more of
such entity's
equity.

The Board shall undertake an annual review of the independence of all non-employee Directors. In advance of the meeting at which this review occurs, each non-employee Director shall be asked to provide the Board with full information regarding the Director's business and other relationships with the Company to enable the Board to evaluate the Director's independence.

Directors have an affirmative obligation to inform the Board of any material changes in their circumstances or relationships that may impact their designation by the Board as independent. This obligation includes all business relationships between, on the one hand, Directors or members of their immediate family, and, on the other hand, the Company.

-A-2-

EMCOR GROUP, INC.
301 MERRITT SEVEN, 6TH FLOOR
NORWALK, CT 06851

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

Electronic Delivery of Future PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
 DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

For All To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **For All**
Withhold **All** **Except** and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.
For All

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

0 0 0

1. Election of Directors
Nominees

- | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 01 Stephen W. Bershad | 02 David A. B. Brown | 03 Larry J. Bump | 04 Albert Fried, Jr. | 05 Anthony J. Guzzi |
| 06 Richard F. Hamm, Jr. | 07 David H. Laidley | 08 Frank T. MacInnis | 09 Jerry E. Ryan | 10 Michael T. Yonker |

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.

For Against Abstain

2. Approval by non-binding advisory vote of executive compensation.

0 0 0

Edgar Filing: EMCOR GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

3. Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors for 2012.

0 0 0

NOTE: Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

For address change/comments, mark here.
(see reverse for instructions)

0

Yes No

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting

0 0

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN
BOX] Date

Signature (Joint Owners) Date

0000138050_1

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Annual Report, Notice & Proxy Statement is/are available at www.proxyvote.com.

**EMCOR GROUP, INC. Annual
Meeting of Stockholders May 31,
2012**

This proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors

The undersigned hereby appoints Anthony J. Guzzi, Sheldon I. Cammaker, and Mark A. Pompa, and each of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this proxy, all of the shares of Common Stock of EMCOR Group, Inc. that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 10:00 AM Eastern Time on May 31, 2012 in the Park and Lexington Rooms at The Pierre Hotel, 2 East 61st Street, New York, NY, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED BY THE UNDERSIGNED. IF NO SUCH DIRECTIONS ARE MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF NOMINEES LISTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND FOR ITEMS 2 AND 3.

Address change/comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes and/or Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

Continued and to be signed on reverse side

0000138050_2
