TRINITY INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-Q July 30, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark	On	e)
(IVIAI N	OII	v,

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

ΛR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____.

Commission File Number 1-6903
Trinity Industries, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 75-0225040

(State of Incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2525 Stemmons Freeway

Dallas, Texas

75207-2401

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code (214) 631-4420

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). o Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting filer b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company o company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b.

At July 24, 2009 there were 79,279,419 shares of the Registrant s common stock outstanding.

TRINITY INDUSTRIES, INC. FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Caption	Page
PART I F	INANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	2
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	36
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	37
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	37
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	37
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	37
Item 5.	Other Information	38
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	39
EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1	URES CATIONS	40
EX-32.2		

The Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2008, the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, and the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 have been adjusted due to the adoption of new accounting pronouncements. See Notes 9 and 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for an explanation of the effects of these pronouncements.

1

PART I

Item 1. Financial Statements
Trinity Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(unaudited)

		Months H June 30,	Ended	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2009	2 (adj Note	2008 usted - see es 9 and 15)	2009	(a	2008 djusted - see tes 9 and 15)		
		(in milli	ons, except	per share am	ounts)			
Revenues Operating costs:	\$ 716.1	\$	945.5	\$ 1,509.6	\$	1,844.4		
Cost of revenues Selling, engineering, and administrative	586.1		730.5	1,247.4		1,447.0		
expenses Goodwill impairment	47.3 325.0		65.0	96.2 325.0		121.2		
	958.4		795.5	1,668.6		1,568.2		
Operating profit (loss)	(242.3)		150.0	(159.0)		276.2		
Other (income) expense: Interest income Interest expense Other, net	(0.3) 28.8 (4.5)		(1.0) 27.0 (12.2)	(0.6) 57.8 (5.1)		(3.3) 50.2 (13.3)		
	24.0		13.8	52.1		33.6		
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(266.3)		136.2	(211.1)		242.6		
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(56.9)		52.0	(35.7)		94.3		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(209.4)		84.2	(175.4)		148.3		
Discontinued operations: Loss from discontinued operations, net of benefit for income taxes of \$0.0, \$0.0, \$0.0, and \$(0.1)				(0.1)		(0.3)		
Net income (loss)	\$ (209.4)	\$	84.2	\$ (175.5)	\$	148.0		

Net income (loss) per common share:					
Basic:					
Continuing operations	\$	(2.75)	\$ 1.03	\$ (2.30)	\$ 1.82
Discontinued operations					
	\$	(2.75)	\$ 1.03	\$ (2.30)	\$ 1.82
Diluted:					
Continuing operations	\$	(2.75)	\$ 1.03	\$ (2.30)	\$ 1.81
Discontinued operations					
	\$	(2.75)	\$ 1.03	\$ (2.30)	\$ 1.81
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:					
Basic		76.2	78.8	76.4	79.0
Diluted		76.2	79.3	76.4	79.4
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.08	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.15
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial	staten	nents.			

Table of Contents

Trinity Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

	June 30, 2009 (unaudited) (in	December 31, 2008 (adjusted - see Note 9) millions)
Assets Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 440.9	\$ 161.8
Receivables, net of allowance	215.2	251.3
Income tax receivable	18.1	98.7
Inventories: Raw materials and supplies Work in process Finished goods	175.9 77.9 115.5	353.0 111.2 147.6
	369.3	611.8
Property, plant, and equipment, at cost Less accumulated depreciation	3,928.9 (929.7)	3,843.5 (852.9)
	2,999.2	2,990.6
Goodwill	180.8	504.0
Other assets	306.5	293.4
	\$4,530.0	\$ 4,911.6
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Accounts payable	\$ 111.7	\$ 217.6
Accrued liabilities	353.4	481.8
Debt: Recourse, net of unamortized discount of \$126.5 and \$131.2 Non-recourse	628.0 1,155.8	584.4 1,190.3
	1,783.8	1,774.7
Deferred income	79.6	71.8

Deferred income taxes	368.7	388.3
Other liabilities	66.0	65.1
Stockholders equity: Preferred stock 1.5 shares authorized and unissued	2,763.2	2,999.3
Common stock 200.0 shares authorized	81.7	81.7
Capital in excess of par value	596.1	612.7
Retained earnings	1,238.9	1,427.0
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(111.0)	(161.3)
Treasury stock	(38.9)	(47.8)
	1,766.8	1,912.3
	\$ 4,530.0	\$ 4,911.6
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Trinity Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

	Six Months Ended		
	Ju 2009	ne 30, 2008 (adjusted -	
	(in n	See Note 9) nillions)	
Operating activities:	¢ (175 5)	¢ 140.0	
Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by continuing	\$ (175.5)	\$ 148.0	
operating activities:			
Loss from discontinued operations	0.1	0.3	
Goodwill impairment	325.0	0.3	
Depreciation and amortization	80.7	65.9	
Stock-based compensation expense	7 . 5	10.1	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	,,,,	(0.2)	
(Benefit) provision for deferred income taxes	(47.5)	79.1	
Gain on disposition of property, plant, equipment, and other assets	(4.6)	(10.5)	
Other	(15.0)	(2.2)	
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(111)		
(Increase) decrease in receivables	36.1	(38.5)	
(Increase) decrease in income tax receivable	80.6	(6.3)	
(Increase) decrease in inventories	242.3	(131.7)	
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(20.5)	(58.1)	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(106.2)	47.9	
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities	(56.7)	(83.3)	
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	0.7	4.2	
Net cash provided by operating activities continuing operations	347.0	24.7	
Net cash provided by operating activities discontinued operations		0.8	
Net cash provided by operating activities	347.0	25.5	
Investing activities:	100.2	507	
Proceeds from sales of railcars from our lease fleet Proceeds from sales of railcars from our lease fleet sale and leaseback	190.3 60.9	58.7	
	10.0	19.2	
Proceeds from disposition of property, plant, equipment, and other assets Capital expenditures lease subsidiary	(243.8)	(426.1)	
Capital expenditures manufacturing and other	(31.6)	(51.7)	
Capital expellultures — manufacturing and other	(31.0)	(31.7)	
Net cash required by investing activities	(14.2)	(399.9)	
Financing activities:		2.6	
Issuance of common stock, net		∠.0	

Edgar Filing: TRINITY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation		0.2
Payments to retire debt	(96.1)	(357.8)
Proceeds from issuance of debt	61.4	673.3
Stock repurchases	(6.3)	(12.2)
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(12.7)	(11.3)
Net cash (required) provided by financing activities	(53.7)	294.8
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	279.1	(79.6)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	161.8	289.6
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 440.9	\$ 210.0

Noncash investing and financing activity:

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company acquired \$39.0 million of equipment on lease through the assumption of capital lease obligations.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

Trinity Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity

	Common Stock			mon Stock Capital										
(unaudited)	Share (200.0 Authoriz)	P	l.00 Par alue	in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings		ecumulated Other nprehensive Loss	Treasury Shares	S	easury tock at Cost		Total ckholders Equity	
(in millions, except par value)														
Balances at December 31, 200 as originally reported	8 81. 7	7 \$	•	81.7	\$ 519.9	\$ 1,438.7	\$	(161.3)	(2.3)	\$	(47.8)	\$	1,831.2	
Cumulative effect of adopting FSP APB 14-1 (see Note 9)					92.8	(11.7))						81.1	
Balances at December 31, 200 as adjusted Net loss Other comprehensive income (loss): Change in unrealized loss on derivative financia	81.7	7 \$		81.7	\$ 612.7	\$ 1,427.0 (175.5)	\$	(161.3)	(2.3)	\$	(47.8)	\$	1,912.3 (175.5)	
instruments, net of tax Change in funded	•							23.5					23.5	
status of pension liability, net of tax								27.7					27.7	
Other changes, net of tax								(0.9)					(0.9)	
Comprehensive ne loss Cash dividends on													(125.2)	
common stock Restricted shares						(12.6))						(12.6)	
issued, net					(15.3)				0.6		15.1		(0.2)	

10

Edgar Eiling	TDINITY	INDUSTRIES	INIC Form	100
Eugai Filling.	ו וועווחו ו	פשוח ו פטעווו	IINC - FOIII	ו וט-ע

Shares repurchased						(0.8)	(6.3)	(6.3)
Stock-based								
compensation expense			(1.3)					(1.3)
Other			(210)			0.1	0.1	0.1
Balances at								
June 30, 2009	81.7	\$ 81.7	\$ 596.1	\$ 1,238.9	\$ (111.0)	(2.4)	\$ (38.9)	\$ 1,766.8

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

Trinity Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The foregoing consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared from the books and records of Trinity Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (Trinity , Company , we , or our). In our opinion, all normal and recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position of the Company as of June 30, 2009, the results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and cash flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 have been made in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of seasonal and other factors, the results of operations for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 may not be indicative of expected results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2009. These interim financial statements and notes are condensed as permitted by the instructions to Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company included in its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Amounts previously reported have been adjusted as a result of the adoption of accounting pronouncements as explained further under Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Notes 9 and 15. Certain prior year balances have been reclassified on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to conform to the 2009 presentations.

Goodwill and Long-lived Assets

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS 142), requires goodwill to be tested for impairment annually, or on an interim basis, whenever events or circumstances change, indicating that the carrying amount of the asset might be impaired. The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process requiring the comparison of the reporting unit—sestimated fair value with the carrying amount of its net assets. Step two of the impairment test is necessary to determine the amount of goodwill impairment to be recorded when the reporting unit—seconded net assets exceed its fair value. We perform this test for our five principal business segments, considered to be—reporting units—under the provisions of SFAS 142: (1) the Rail Group, (2) the Construction Products Group, (3) the Inland Barge Group, (4) the Energy Equipment Group, and (5) the Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group.

During the second quarter of 2009, there was a significant decline in new orders for railcars and continued weakening demand for products in the Rail Group as well as a change in the average estimated railcar deliveries from independent third party research firms. Additionally, the significant number of idled railcars in the North American fleet resulted in the creation of new internal sales estimates by railcar type. Based on this information, we concluded that indications of impairment existed with respect to the Rail Group which required an interim goodwill impairment analysis and, accordingly, we performed such a test as of June 30, 2009. The table below is an average of the estimates of approximate industry railcar deliveries for the next five years from two independent third party research firms, Global Insight, Inc. and Economic Planning Associates, Inc.

Average Estimated Railcar Deliveries

	As of January	As of May	Percent
	2009	2009	Change
2009	28,300	24,000	(15.2)%
2010	23,700	15,100	(36.3)%
2011	41,550	29,150	(29.8)%
2012	56,050	48,200	(14.0)%
2013	62,550	59,750	(4.5)%

Our estimate of the Rail Group s fair value (considered to be a level three fair value measurement) utilized an income approach based on the anticipated future discounted cash flows of the Rail Group, requiring significant estimates and judgments related to future revenues and operating profits, exit multiples, tax rates and consequences, and discount rates based upon market-based capital costs. Because the estimated fair value of the Rail Group was less

than the carrying amount of its net assets, we performed step two of our goodwill impairment analysis as required by SFAS 142, by estimating the fair value of individual assets and liabilities of the Rail Group in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* and SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. The result of our impairment analysis indicated that the remaining implied goodwill amounted to \$122.5 million for our Rail Group as of June 30, 2009 and, consequently, we recorded an impairment charge of \$325.0 million during the second quarter of 2009. The change in our estimate of the Rail Group s enterprise value from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009 was driven by economic indicators, including third-party studies that are predicting that the decline in the railcar industry is likely to extend longer than was previously expected. In management s opinion, no interim impairment tests were necessary for our remaining business segments as there has not been a significant change in market conditions for these segments since the annual impairment test.

6

Table of Contents

Additionally, we performed an interim test for recoverability of the carrying value of our Rail Group long-lived assets based on cash flow estimates consistent with those used in the goodwill impairment test. The carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used is considered impaired only when their carrying value is not recoverable through undiscounted future cash flows and the fair value of the assets is less than their carrying value. We determined that there was no impairment of the recoverability of the Rail Group s long-lived assets as the Rail Group s estimated undiscounted future cash flows exceeded the carrying value of its long-lived assets.

Given the current economic environment and the uncertainties regarding the potential impact on our businesses, there can be no assurance that our estimates and assumptions regarding the duration of the ongoing economic downturn, or the period or strength of recovery, made for the purposes of the long-lived asset and goodwill impairment tests during the second quarter of 2009 will prove to be accurate predictions of the future. If our assumptions regarding forecasted cash flows are not achieved, it is possible that additional impairments of remaining goodwill and long-lived assets may be required.

Stockholders Equity

On December 13, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a \$200 million common stock repurchase program allowing for repurchases through December 31, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, 813,028 and 471,100 shares were repurchased under this program at a cost of approximately \$6.3 million and \$12.2 million, respectively. No shares were repurchased under this program for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Since the inception of this program through June 30, 2009, the Company has repurchased a total of 3,532,728 shares at a cost of approximately \$67.5 million.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51*. These new standards significantly change the accounting for and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) in consolidated financial statements. Both standards were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and are applicable only to transactions occurring after the effective date. The Company adopted this standard as of January 1, 2009; however, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company did not enter into any transactions for which these standards would be applicable.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedge items are accounted for under SFAS No.133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133), and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows.

SFAS 161 is intended to enhance the current disclosure framework in SFAS 133 and requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk related contingent features in derivative agreements.

The provisions of SFAS 161 were effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. The Company adopted this standard as of January 1, 2009, and the impact of the adoption was not significant. See Note 6 for required disclosures.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (including Partial Cash Settlement)* (FSP APB 14-1). FSP APB 14-1 requires that issuers of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest expense is recognized in subsequent periods. The effective date of FSP APB 14-1 is for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008 and does not permit earlier application. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP APB 14-1 as of January 1, 2009. See Note 9 for a further

explanation of the effects of implementing this pronouncement as it applies to our Convertible Subordinated Notes.

7

Table of Contents

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities* (FSP EITF 03-6-1). FSP EITF 03-6-1 applies to the calculation of earnings per share for share-based payment awards with nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents under SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share* and is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and all interim periods within those years. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP EITF 03-6-1 as of January 1, 2009. See Note 15 for a further explanation of the effects of implementing this pronouncement.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position 107-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP 107-1). FSP 107-1 amends FASB 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. The effective date of FSP 107-1 is for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP 107-1 as of June 30, 2009. See Note 2 for required disclosures.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165). This standard requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and whether that represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This standard is not expected to result in significant changes in the subsequent events that an entity reports, either through recognition or disclosure, in its financial statements. The provisions for SFAS 165 were effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The Company adopted this standard on June 30, 2009, and the impact of the adoption was not significant. Subsequent events through July 30, 2009 were evaluated.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No.* 46(*R*) (SFAS 167), which amends FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) to address the elimination of the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. SFAS 167 also replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity and the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity. Additionally, SFAS 167 provides more timely and useful information about an enterprise s involvement with a variable interest entity. SFAS 167 will become effective in the first quarter of 2010. We are currently evaluating whether this standard will have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2. Fair Value Accounting

In September 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 introduced a framework for measuring fair value and expanded required disclosure about fair value measurements of assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 for financial assets and liabilities was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company adopted this standard as of January 1, 2008, and the impact of the adoption was not significant.

SFAS 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market to that asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. SFAS 157 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. SFAS 157 describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values which are listed below.

- Level 1 This level is defined as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The Company s cash equivalents and restricted assets, other than cash, are United States Treasury instruments.
- Level 2 This level is defined as observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. The Company s fuel derivative instruments, which are commodity options, are valued using energy and commodity market data. Interest rate hedges are valued at exit prices obtained from each counterparty.
- Level 3 This level is defined as unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurement as of June 30, 2009 (in millions)					
	Level 1	Le	evel 2	Level 3	Total	
Assets:						
Cash equivalents	\$ 366.3	\$		\$	\$ 366.3	
Restricted assets (1)	122.6				122.6	
Fuel derivative instruments (2)			0.2		0.2	
Total assets	\$ 488.9	\$	0.2	\$	\$ 489.1	
Liabilities:						
Fuel derivative instruments (2)	\$	\$	0.3	\$	\$ 0.3	
Interest rate hedges (3)			33.0		33.0	
Total liabilities	\$	\$	33.3	\$	\$ 33.3	

- (1) Restricted assets are included in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and are comprised of cash equivalents.
- (2) Fuel derivative instruments are included in Other assets and Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.
- (3) Interest rate hedges are included in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our long-term debt at June 30, 2009 were as follows:

		Es	stimated Fair
	Carrying		
	Value		Value
	(iı	n million	s)
Convertible subordinated notes	\$ 323.5	\$	244.1
Senior notes	201.5		175.6
Term loan	61.0		61.0
Secured railcar equipment notes	312.6		312.6
Warehouse facility	298.6		298.6
Promissory notes	544.6		544.6
Capital lease obligations	39.0		39.0
Other	3.0		3.0
Total	\$ 1,783.8	\$	1,678.5

The estimated fair values of our convertible subordinated notes and our senior notes were based on quoted market prices as of June 30, 2009. The carrying value of our warehouse facility, promissory notes, and term loan approximate fair value because the interest rate adjusts to market interest rates. The fair values of all other financial instruments are estimated to approximate carrying value.

Note 3. Segment Information

The Company reports operating results in five principal business segments: (1) the Rail Group, which manufactures and sells railcars and component parts; (2) the Construction Products Group, which manufactures and sells highway products, concrete and aggregates, and asphalt; (3) the Inland Barge Group, which manufactures and sells barges and related products for inland waterway services; (4) the Energy Equipment Group, which manufactures and sells products for energy related businesses, including tank heads, structural wind towers, and pressure and non-pressure containers for the storage and transportation of liquefied gases and other liquid and dry products; and (5) the Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group, which provides fleet management, maintenance, and leasing services. The category All Other includes our captive insurance and transportation companies; legal, environmental, and upkeep costs associated with non-operating facilities; other peripheral businesses; and the change in market valuation related to ineffective commodity hedges.

Sales and related net profits from the Rail Group to the Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group are recorded in the Rail Group and eliminated in consolidation. Sales between these groups are recorded at prices comparable to those charged to external customers giving consideration for quantity, features, and production demand. Sales of railcars from the lease fleet are included in the Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group. See Note 5 Equity Investment for discussion of sales to a company in which we have an equity investment.

The financial information from continuing operations for these segments is shown in the tables below. We operate principally in North America.

9

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Revenues					Operating Profit	
	External	Inter	rsegment	Total	(Loss)		
			(in mill	ions)			
Rail Group	\$ 159.4	\$	143.9	\$ 303.3	\$	(328.7)	
Construction Products Group	152.2		1.1	153.3		15.5	
Inland Barge Group	136.7			136.7		30.3	
Energy Equipment Group	132.6		1.8	134.4		25.2	
Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group	133.5			133.5		35.2	
All Other	1.7		8.7	10.4		(1.7)	
Corporate						(7.8)	
Eliminations Lease subsidiary			(138.8)	(138.8)		(8.8)	
Eliminations Other			(16.7)	(16.7)		(1.5)	
Consolidated Total	\$716.1	\$		\$ 716.1	\$	(242.3)	

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

	Revenues					Operating Profit	
	External	Inte	rsegment	Total	(Loss)		
			(in mill	ions)			
Rail Group	\$ 334.9	\$	255.7	\$ 590.6	\$	72.4	
Construction Products Group	214.3		4.9	219.2		21.1	
Inland Barge Group	150.9			150.9		27.2	
Energy Equipment Group	154.3		3.0	157.3		25.4	
Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group	86.4			86.4		36.0	
All Other	4.7		13.7	18.4		5.8	
Corporate						(11.8)	
Eliminations Lease subsidiary			(252.6)	(252.6)		(23.1)	
Eliminations Other			(24.7)	(24.7)		(3.0)	
Consolidated Total	\$ 945.5	\$		\$ 945.5	\$	150.0	

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Revenues					Operating Profit			
	Ex	ternal	Inter	rsegment	, .	Γotal	((Loss)	
				(in mill	(in millions)				
Rail Group	\$	322.1	\$	265.1	\$	587.2	\$	(334.5)	
Construction Products Group		273.2		3.6		276.8		13.6	
Inland Barge Group		293.7				293.7		69.2	
Energy Equipment Group		259.3		3.6		262.9		43.5	
Railcar Leasing and Management Services									
Group		355.9				355.9		87.9	
All Other		5.4		19.4		24.8		(3.1)	

	Lease subsidiary Other		(255.3) (36.4)	(255.3) (36.4)	(15.4) (17.7) (2.5)
Consolidated T	otal	\$ 1,509.6	\$	\$ 1,509.6	\$ (159.0)
		10			

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

		Re	venues		-	erating Profit
	External	Inter	segment	Total	(Loss)
			(in milli	ions)		
Rail Group	\$ 682.6	\$	475.8	\$1,158.4	\$	149.6
Construction Products Group	379.3		9.2	388.5		33.3
Inland Barge Group	288.7			288.7		53.7
Energy Equipment Group	280.5		6.3	286.8		43.6
Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group	206.2			206.2		70.1
All Other	7.1		29.5	36.6		5.5
Corporate						(17.2)
Eliminations Lease subsidiary			(469.3)	(469.3)		(54.3)
Eliminations Other			(51.5)	(51.5)		(8.1)
Consolidated Total	\$ 1,844.4	\$		\$ 1,844.4	\$	276.2

Note 4. Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group

The Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group (Leasing Group) provides fleet management, maintenance, and leasing services. Selected combined financial information for the Leasing Group is as follows:

			June 30, 2009	2	cember 31, 2008 eported)
Cash			\$ 13.8	\$	12.7
Leasing equipment:					
Machinery and other			37.7		37.0
Equipment on lease			3,016.0)	2,973.2
			3,053.7	•	3,010.2
Accumulated depreciation			(266.5	()	(232.7)
			2,787.2		2,777.5
Restricted assets Debt:			122.6		120.2
Recourse			100.0)	61.4
Non-recourse			1,155.8		1,190.3
		nths Ended e 30,	Si	x Months E June 30,	
	2009	2008	200)9	2008
		(in	millions)		
Revenues	\$133.5	\$86.4	\$355	5.9	\$206.2

Operating profit **35.2** 36.0 **87.9** 70.1

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, revenues of \$51.7 million and operating profit of \$4.1 million and revenues of \$183.8 million and operating profit of \$22.7 million, respectively, were related to sales of railcars from the lease fleet to a company in which Trinity holds an equity investment. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, revenues of \$8.3 million and operating profit of \$1.4 million and revenues of \$46.2 million and operating profit of \$7.2 million, respectively, were related to sales of railcars from the lease fleet to a company in which Trinity holds an equity investment. See Note 5 Equity Investment.

The Leasing Group s interest expense, which is not a component of operating profit and includes the effects of hedges related to the Leasing Group s debt, was \$18.2 million and \$36.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$16.4 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, for the same periods last year. Rent expense, which is a component of operating profit, was \$11.4 million and \$22.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$11.2 million and \$22.4 million, respectively, for the same periods last year.

11

Table of Contents

Equipment consists primarily of railcars leased by third parties. The Leasing Group purchases equipment manufactured by Trinity s rail subsidiaries and enters into lease contracts with third parties with terms generally ranging between one and twenty years. The Leasing Group primarily enters into operating leases. Future contractual minimum rental revenues on leases in each year are as follows:

	Remaining six months of 2009	2010	2011	2012 (in millions)	2013	Thereafter	Total
Future Contractual Minimum Rental Revenues on Leases	\$109.7	\$202.7	\$161.5	\$127.3	\$97.9	\$248.5	\$947.6

The Leasing Group s debt at June 30, 2009 consists of both recourse and non-recourse debt. In February 2009, the Company repaid in full the \$61 million of recourse debt outstanding at December 31, 2008 while entering into a seven-year \$61 million term loan agreement and two ten-year capital lease obligations totaling \$26.1 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. New capital lease obligations since December 31, 2008 totaled \$39.0 million. These new debt obligations are guaranteed by the Company and secured by railcar equipment and related leases. See Note 9 for the form, maturities, and descriptions of the debt. Leasing Group equipment with a net book value of approximately \$1,681.1 million is pledged as collateral for Leasing Group debt. Leasing Group equipment with a net book value of approximately \$105.6 million is pledged as collateral against operating lease obligations.

In prior years, the Leasing Group completed a series of financing transactions whereby railcars were sold to one or more separate independent owner trusts (Trusts). The Leasing Group, through newly formed, wholly owned qualified subsidiaries, leased railcars from the Trusts under operating leases with terms of 22 years, and subleased the railcars to independent third party customers under shorter term operating rental agreements. See Note 4 of the December 31, 2008 Consolidated Financial Statements filed on Form 10-K for a detailed explanation of these financing transactions. Future operating lease obligations of the Leasing Group s subsidiaries as well as future contractual minimum rental revenues related to these leases due to the Leasing Group are as follows:

	six months						
	of 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter	Total
				(in millions)		
Future Operating							
Lease Obligations of							
Trusts Cars	\$23.8	\$40.7	\$41.7	\$44.9	\$46.1	\$475.0	\$672.2
Future Contractual							
Minimum Rental							
Revenues of Trusts							
Cars	\$27.3	\$49.0	\$40.7	\$33.6	\$23.0	\$ 69.0	\$242.6
N-4- 5 E	4						

Note 5. Equity Investment

In 2007, the Company and five other equity investors unrelated to the Company or its subsidiaries formed TRIP Rail Holdings LLC (TRIP Holdings) for the purpose of providing railcar leasing and management services in North America. TRIP Holdings, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, TRIP Rail Leasing LLC (TRIP Leasing) purchases railcars from the Company s Rail and Leasing Groups funded by capital contributions from TRIP Holdings equity investors and third-party debt. The Company agreed to provide 20% of the total of all capital contributions required by

TRIP Holdings up to a total commitment of \$49.0 million in exchange for 20% of the equity in TRIP Holdings. In January 2009, the Company acquired an additional 5% equity ownership in TRIP Holdings for approximately \$9.0 million from another equity investor. As a result, the Company now owns a 25% equity ownership in TRIP Holdings, increasing the Company s total commitment by \$12.3 million to \$61.3 million, of which \$56.3 million has been paid. The Company receives 25% of the distributions made from TRIP Holdings to equity investors and has a 25% interest in the net assets of TRIP Holdings upon a liquidation event. The terms of the Company s 25% equity investment are identical to the terms of each of the other four equity investors. Railcars purchased from the Company by TRIP Leasing are required to be purchased at prices comparable with the prices of all similar railcars sold by the Company during the same period for new railcars and at prices based on third party appraised values for used railcars. The manager of TRIP Holdings, Trinity Industries Leasing Company (TILC), a wholly owned subsidiary of Trinity, may be removed without cause as a result of a majority vote of the non-Company equity members.

In 2008 and 2007, the Company contributed \$14.6 million and \$21.3 million, respectively, in capital to TRIP Holdings equal to its 20% pro rata share of total capital received during those years by TRIP Holdings from the equity investors of TRIP Holdings. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, Trinity funded \$4.9 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, pursuant to Trinity s 25% equity ownership obligation, totaling a \$56.3 million investment in TRIP Holdings

12

Table of Contents

as of June 30, 2009. Trinity s remaining equity commitment exposure to TRIP Holdings is \$5.0 million through June 2010. The Company also paid \$13.8 million in structuring and placement fees to the principal underwriter in conjunction with the formation of TRIP Holdings that are expensed on a pro rata basis as railcars are purchased from the Company. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, \$1.5 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, of these structuring and placement fees were expensed, leaving the balance fully amortized as of June 30, 2009. Such expense has been treated as sales commissions included in operating costs in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of June 30, 2009, TRIP Leasing had purchased \$1,284.7 million of railcars from the Company. Under TRIP Leasing s debt agreement, the lenders availability period to finance additional railcar purchases ended on June 27, 2009. The Company has no obligation to guarantee performance under the debt agreement, guarantee any railcar residual values, shield any parties from losses, or guarantee minimum yields. The Company s carrying value of its investment in TRIP Holdings is as follows:

	Jui 30 200),		ember 31, 2008
		(in	millions	s)
Capital contributions	\$ 5	6.3	\$	35.9
Equity in earnings		1.8		0.5
Equity in unrealized losses on derivative financial instruments	(4.3)		(9.5)
Distributions	(6.0)		(3.1)
Deferred broker fees	(1.0)		(0.8)
	\$ 4	6.8	\$	23.0

Sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing and related gains for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
			= /		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
		(in n	nillions)		
Rail Group:					
Sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing	\$75.0	\$83.0	\$113.0	\$229.0	
Gain on sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing	\$ 6.2	\$19.2	\$ 11.2	\$ 44.8	
Deferral of gain on sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing					
based on Trinity s equity interest	\$ 1.6	\$ 3.8	\$ 2.8	\$ 8.9	
TILC:					
Sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing	\$51.7	\$ 8.3	\$183.8	\$ 46.2	
Recognition of previously deferred gain on sales of					
railcars to TRIP Leasing	\$ 5.5	\$ 1.8	\$ 30.3	\$ 9.0	
Deferral of gain on sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing					
based on Trinity s equity interest	\$ 1.4	\$ 0.4	\$ 7.6	\$ 1.8	

Administrative fees paid to TILC by TRIP for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, were \$1.3 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, and \$0.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, for the same periods last year.

See Note 5 of the December 31, 2008 Consolidated Financial Statements filed on Form 10-K for additional information.

Note 6. Derivative Instruments

We use derivative instruments to mitigate the impact of increases in zinc, natural gas, and diesel fuel prices and interest rates, as well as to convert a portion of our variable-rate debt to fixed-rate debt. Additionally, we use derivative instruments to mitigate the impact of unfavorable fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We also use derivatives to lock in fixed interest rates in anticipation of future debt issuances. Derivative instruments designated as hedges are accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS 133, as amended.

13

Table of Contents

Interest rate hedges

In anticipation of a future debt issuance, we entered into interest rate swap transactions during the fourth quarter of 2006 and during 2007. These instruments, with a notional amount of \$370 million, hedged the interest rate on a portion of a future debt issuance associated with an anticipated railcar leasing transaction, which closed in May 2008. These instruments settled during the second quarter of 2008. The weighted average fixed interest rate under these instruments was 5.34%. These interest rate swaps were accounted for as cash flow hedges with changes in the fair value of the instruments of \$24.5 million recorded as a loss in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (AOCL) through the date the related debt issuance closed with a principal balance of \$572.2 million in May 2008. The balance is being amortized over the term of the related debt. On June 30, 2009, the balance remaining in AOCL was \$19.8 million. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, was an increase of \$1.0 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, due to amortization of the AOCL balance. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, was an increase of \$2.8 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, due to the ineffective portion of the hedges primarily associated with hedged interest payments that were never made and amortization of the AOCL balance. It is expected that \$3.9 million in losses will be recognized in earnings during the next twelve months from amortization of the AOCL balance.

In May 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap transaction that is being used to fix the LIBOR component of the debt issuance which closed in May 2008. The fixed interest rate under this instrument is 4.126%. The amount recorded for this instrument as of June 30, 2009 in the consolidated balance sheet was a liability of \$30.5 million, with \$29.7 million of expense in AOCL. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was an increase of \$5.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, which primarily related to the monthly settlement of interest. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was an increase of \$1.1 million, which primarily related to the monthly settlement of interest.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we entered into interest rate swap transactions with a notional amount of \$200 million that are being used to counter our exposure to changes in the variable interest rate associated with our warehouse facility. The weighted average fixed interest rate under these instruments at June 30, 2009 was 1.798%. The amount recorded for these instruments as of June 30, 2009 in the consolidated balance sheet was a liability of \$2.5 million. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was an increase of \$0.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, which included the mark to market valuation on the interest rate swap transactions and the monthly settlement of interest.

During 2005 and 2006, we entered into interest rate swap transactions in anticipation of a future debt issuance. These instruments, with a notional amount of \$200 million, fixed the interest rate on a portion of a future debt issuance associated with a railcar leasing transaction in 2006 and settled at maturity in the first quarter of 2006. The weighted average fixed interest rate under these instruments was 4.87%. These interest rate swaps were being accounted for as cash flow hedges with changes in the fair value of the instruments of \$4.5 million in income recorded in AOCL through the date the related debt issuance closed in May 2006. The balance is being amortized over the term of the related debt. At June 30, 2009, the balance remaining in AOCL was \$3.2 million. The effect of the amortization on interest expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 was a decrease of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The effect on the same periods in the prior year was a decrease of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Natural gas and diesel fuel

We continue a program to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in the price of natural gas and diesel fuel purchases. The intent of the program is to protect our operating profit from adverse price changes by entering into derivative instruments. For those instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, any changes in their valuation are recorded directly to the consolidated statement of operations. The amount recorded in the consolidated balance sheet for natural gas hedges was a liability of \$0.3 million as of June 30, 2009. The amount recorded in the consolidated balance sheet for diesel fuel hedges was an asset of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million of income in AOCL. The effect of both derivatives on the consolidated statement of operations was operating income of \$0.3 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2009, and operating expense of \$1.5 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2009, which includes the mark to market valuation resulting in a gain of \$0.2 million and a loss of

\$0.2 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The effect on the consolidated statement of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 was operating income of \$8.5 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, which includes the mark to market valuation resulting in gains of \$7.6 million and \$8.9 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

14

Table of Contents

Foreign Exchange Hedge

During the first and second quarters of 2009, we entered into foreign exchange hedges to mitigate the impact on operating profit of unfavorable fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. These instruments are short term with quarterly maturities and no remaining balance in AOCL. The effect on the consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was expense of \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, included in other, net on the consolidated statement of operations.

Zinc

In 2008, we continued a program to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in the price of zinc purchases. The intent of this program was to protect our operating profit from adverse price changes by entering into derivative instruments. These instruments were short term with monthly maturities and no remaining balances in AOCL. The effect on the consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was operating income of \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. We have not entered into any new zinc derivative instruments in 2009.

Note 7. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The following table summarizes the components of property, plant, and equipment as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

	June 30,		December		
			31,		
	2009		2008		
		(as	reported)		
	(ir	n million	s)		
Corporate/Manufacturing:					
Land	\$ 37.5	\$	38.1		
Buildings and improvements	418.4		401.4		
Machinery and other	733.5		685.4		
Construction in progress	16.6		50.7		
	1,206.0		1,175.6		
Less accumulated depreciation	(663.2)		(620.2)		
	542.8		555.4		
Leasing:					
Machinery and other	37.7		37.0		
Equipment on lease	3,016.0		2,973.2		
	3,053.7		3,010.2		
Less accumulated depreciation	(266.5)		(232.7)		
	2,787.2		2,777.5		
Deferred profit on railcars sold to the Leasing Group	(330.8)		(342.3)		
	\$ 2,999.2	\$	2,990.6		

Note 8. Warranties

The Company provides warranties against workmanship and materials defects ranging from one to five years depending on the product. The warranty costs are estimated using a two-step approach. First, an engineering estimate is made for the cost of all claims that have been filed by a customer. Second, based on historical claims experience, a cost is accrued for all products still within a warranty period for which no claims have been filed. The Company

provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized related to products covered by warranties and assesses the adequacy of the resulting reserves on a quarterly basis. The changes in the accruals for warranties for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	Three M End	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	June 30, 2009 2		2009	2008
		(in mil	lions)	
Beginning balance	\$ 22.6	\$ 28.6	\$ 25.7	\$ 28.3
Warranty costs incurred	(2.7)	(1.0)	(4.9)	(1.7)
Warranty originations	1.1	2.2	2.6	3.9
Warranty expirations	(2.5)	(0.2)	(4.9)	(0.9)
Ending balance	\$ 18.5	\$ 29.6	\$ 18.5	\$ 29.6

15

Table of Contents

Note 9. Debt

The following table summarizes the components of debt as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

	June 30, 2009 (in 1	December 31, 2008 (adjusted) millions)
Corporate/Manufacturing Recourse: Revolving commitment	\$	\$
Convertible subordinated notes	450.0	450.0
Less: unamortized discount	(126.5)	(131.2)
	323.5	318.8
Senior notes	201.5	201.5
Other	3.0	2.7
	528.0	523.0
Leasing Recourse:	20.0	
Capital lease obligations Term loan	39.0 61.0	
Equipment trust certificates	01.0	61.4
	628.0	584.4
Leasing Non-recourse:		
Secured railcar equipment notes	312.6	320.0
Warehouse facility	298.6	312.7
Promissory notes	544.6	557.6
	1,155.8	1,190.3
Total debt	\$ 1,783.8	\$ 1,774.7

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of FSP APB 14-1 as applicable to the Company s 3 7/8% Convertible Subordinated Notes issued June 2006. FSP APB 14-1 requires that the accounting for these types of instruments reflect their underlying economics by capturing the value of the conversion option as borrowing costs and recognizing their potential dilutive effects on earnings per share. FSP APB 14-1 requires retrospective application to all periods presented and does not grandfather existing instruments.

As a result of adopting FSP APB 14-1, on January 1, 2009, we recorded the following adjustments to amounts previously reported in our December 31, 2008 Consolidated Balance Sheet:

Increase/(Decrease)
Adjustments
to
income from
debt issuance

Edgar Filing: TRINITY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

		Adjustments	date through	
			December	
	Originally	as of debt	31,	
		issuance		
	reported	date	2008	Adjusted
		(In m	illions)	
Other assets	\$ 297.1	\$ (3.2)	\$ (0.5)	\$ 293.4
Deferred income taxes	\$ 341.9	\$ 56.6	\$ (10.2)	\$ 388.3
Debt	\$1,905.9	\$(152.6)	\$ 21.4	\$1,774.7
Capital in excess of par value	\$ 519.9	\$ 92.8	\$	\$ 612.7
Retained earnings	\$1,438.7	\$	\$ (11.7)	\$1,427.0

These adjustments, required by FSP APB 14-1, record the effects of (1) reclassifying \$152.6 million to capital in excess of par value with an offsetting reduction to debt in the form of unamortized discount representing the amount of the proceeds received from the issuance of the Convertible Subordinated Notes attributable to their conversion options; (2) reclassifying \$3.2 million in debt origination costs related to the Convertible Subordinated Notes from other assets to capital in excess of par value; (3) recognizing additional amortization of debt discount and debt origination costs as an increase to interest expense for the period from the issuance of the Convertible Subordinated Notes through December 31, 2008; and (4) the corresponding effect of these adjustments on deferred tax expense and deferred tax liability.

Additionally, interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was increased by \$2.2 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, from amounts originally reported to include amortization of debt discount and debt origination costs with offsetting tax benefits of \$0.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. The effect of these adjustments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was to decrease basic net income per share from continuing operations by \$0.02 and \$0.03,

16

respectively, and to decrease diluted net income per share from continuing operations by \$0.01 and \$0.04, respectively. There was no change to the discontinued operations per common share data.

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, as adjusted, capital in excess of par value included \$92.8 million related to the estimated value of the Convertible Subordinated Notes—conversion options. Debt discount recorded in the consolidated balance sheet is being amortized through June 1, 2018 to yield an effective annual interest rate of 8.42% based upon the estimated market interest rate for comparable non-convertible debt as of the issuance date of the Convertible Subordinated Notes. Total interest expense recognized on the Subordinated Convertible Notes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

			Month ded e 30,	ıs	S	Six Mont Jun	ths En e 30,	ıded
	2	009	2	800	2	2009	2	2008
				(in mi	illions)		
Coupon rate interest	\$	4.3	\$	4.3	\$	8.7	\$	8.7
Amortized debt discount		2.4		2.2		4.7		4.4
	\$	6.7	\$	6.5	\$	13.4	\$	13.1

Trinity s revolving credit facility requires maintenance of ratios related to interest coverage for the leasing and manufacturing operations, leverage, and minimum net worth. Interest on the revolving credit facility is calculated at prime or LIBOR plus 75 basis points. At June 30, 2009, there were no borrowings under our \$425 million revolving credit facility that matures on October 19, 2012. After \$90.1 million was considered for letters of credit, \$334.9 million was available under the revolving credit facility.

In May 2009, TILC renewed its railcar leasing warehouse facility through February 2011. Unless further renewed, this facility will be payable in three equal installments in August 2011, February 2012, and August 2012. The facility, which originally matured in August 2009, was established to finance railcars owned by TILC. Due to the lower level of demand for railcars at this time and the Company s resulting need for less financing of this type, the size of the warehouse facility commitment was reduced from \$600 million to \$475 million. Advances under this facility bear interest at a defined index rate plus margin, for an all-in interest rate of 2.94% at June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2009, \$298.6 million was outstanding and \$176.4 million was available under this facility.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, TILC entered into a seven-year \$61 million term loan agreement and two ten-year capital lease obligations totaling \$26.1 million. These new debt obligations are guaranteed by the Company and secured by railcar equipment and related leases.

Terms and conditions of other debt, including recourse and non-recourse provisions, are described in Note 10 of the December 31, 2008 Consolidated Financial Statements filed on Form 10-K.

The remaining principal payments under existing debt agreements as of June 30, 2009 are as follows:

		naining six onths of										
	2	009	2	010	2	011	2	012	2	013	The	ereafter
						(in ı	nillio	ns)				
Recourse:												
Corporate/Manufacturing	\$	0.7	\$	0.6	\$	0.3	\$	0.3	\$	0.2	\$	652.4
Leasing term loan (Note 4)		1.2		2.5		2.6		2.8		3.0		48.9
Leasing capital leases (Note 4)		0.8		1.7		1.8		1.9		2.1		30.7
Non-recourse:												

Edgar Filing: TRINITY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Leasing secured railcar equipment notes (Note 4) Leasing warehouse facility	7.9	16.5	14.9	13.7	15.4	244.2
(Note 4)	5.6	9.1	18.3	10.5		
Leasing promissory notes						
(Note 4)	13.3	27.6	29.0	30.9	28.8	415.0
Total principal payments excluding termination of warehouse facility Warehouse facility termination payments	29.5	58.0	66.9 86.2	60.1 168.9	49.5	1,391.2
Total principal payments	\$ 29.5	\$ 58.0	\$ 153.1	\$ 229.0	\$ 49.5	\$ 1,391.2
		17				

Note 10. Other, Net

Other, net (income) expense consists of the following items:

	Three I	Months			
	Enc	ded	Six Month	ıs Ended	
	June	e 30 ,	June 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
		(in mi	llions)		
Gain on disposition of property, plant, and equipment	\$ (2.0)	\$ (10.4)	\$ (4.6)	\$ (10.5)	
Foreign currency exchange transactions	(1.1)	(2.7)	1.6	(3.4)	
Gain on equity investments	(0.8)	(0.2)	(1.4)	(0.4)	
Other	(0.6)	1.1	(0.7)	1.0	
Other, net	\$ (4.5)	\$ (12.2)	\$ (5.1)	\$ (13.3)	

Note 11. Income Taxes

The change in unrecognized tax benefits for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	
	(in mi	llions)	
Beginning balance	\$ 32.9	\$ 23.7	
Additions for tax positions related to the current year	1.5	1.2	
Additions for tax positions of prior years	0.2	3.3	
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(3.3)	(1.0)	
Ending balance	\$ 31.3	\$ 27.2	

The additions for the six months ended June 30, 2009, were amounts provided for tax positions previously taken in foreign jurisdictions and tax positions taken for federal and state income tax purposes as well as deferred tax liabilities that have been reclassified to uncertain tax positions.

The reduction for tax positions of prior years for the six months ended June 30, 2009 related primarily to the completion of state audits in which the Company s tax position was not challenged by the state and for which the position is now effectively settled and to a federal position that we believe will be sustained upon audit and therefore is no longer at risk.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits including interest and penalties at June 30, 2009 that would affect the Company s effective tax rate if recognized was \$15.9 million. There is a reasonable possibility that unrecognized federal and state tax benefits will decrease by June 30, 2010 due to a lapse in the statute of limitations for assessing tax. Amounts subject to a lapse in statute by June 30, 2010 are \$0.1 million. Further, there is a reasonable possibility that the unrecognized federal tax benefits will decrease by June 30, 2010 due to settlements with taxing authorities. Amounts expected to settle by June 30, 2010 are \$11.1 million.

Trinity accounts for interest expense and penalties related to income tax issues as income tax expense. Accordingly, interest expense and penalties associated with an uncertain tax position are included in the income tax provision. The total amount of accrued interest and penalties as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$11.1 million and \$10.6 million, respectively.

Income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 included \$(0.8) million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. Income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 included \$0.5 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, in interest expense and penalties

related to uncertain tax positions.

We are currently under Internal Revenue Service (IRS) examination for the tax years ended 1998 through 2002 and 2004 through 2005, thus our statute remains open from the year ended March 31, 1998, forward. We have agreed upon all issues related to the 1998 through 2002 exam and have accepted the Tax Court's decision on one of our issues. We are currently waiting for the IRS to issue their final Revenue Agent Report and thus expect the final settlement to occur within the next three to six months. We have also concluded the field work for the 2004 through 2005 exam and were issued a Revenue Agent Report, or 30-Day Letter. Certain issues have been agreed upon by us and the IRS and certain issues remain unresolved. Accordingly, we have appealed those unresolved issues to the Appeals Division of the IRS. Additionally, in July 2009, the IRS began their examination of our 2006 through 2008 tax years. Therefore, the statute related to the 2004 through 2005 and the 2006 through 2008 examinations may remain open for an undeterminable period.

18

Table of Contents

In addition, statutes of limitations governing the right of Mexico s tax authorities to audit the tax returns of our operations in Mexico remain open for the 2002 tax year forward. Our Mexico subsidiaries are currently under audit for the 2002 and 2003 tax years. Additionally, our Swiss subsidiary is under audit for the 2006 tax year. We expect these examinations to be completed within the next three months. Our various European subsidiaries, including subsidiaries that were sold in 2006, are impacted by various statutes of limitations which are generally open from 2003 forward. An exception to this is our discontinued operations in Romania, which have been audited through 2004. Generally, states—statutes in the United States are open from 2002 forward.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company received income tax refunds of \$85.8 million and expects to receive an additional \$5 million tax refund by year end.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company evaluated the ability to utilize its foreign tax credit carryforwards. We evaluated both positive and negative evidence in determining whether we believe that we have a more-likely-than-not chance of fully utilizing the foreign tax credits prior to their expiration. Due to the recent drop in our railcar market and timing of the expected recovery, the impairment of goodwill within the Rail Group, and the relative short remaining carryforward period of some of our older foreign tax credits, we have established a valuation allowance of \$6.3 million against tax credits generated prior to 2007. We do not have any foreign tax credit carryover generated in 2007 and we believe the ten year carryover period and our current taxable structure allow us to conclude that it is likely that we will be able to fully utilize the most recently generated credits.

Note 12. Employee Retirement Plans

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic pension cost for the Company.

	Three I	Months				
		Ended				
	June	e 30 ,	June	30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008		
		(in mi	llions)			
Service cost	\$ 0.3	\$ 2.4	\$ 2.5	\$ 4.8		
Interest	4.7	5.2	10.2	10.4		
Expected return on plan assets	(3.9)	(5.0)	(7.9)	(10.0)		
Amortization and deferral	0.8	0.5	2.7	1.0		
Curtailment			(0.3)			
Profit sharing	2.2	1.9	5.2	3.9		
Net expenses	\$ 4.1	\$ 5.0	\$ 12.4	\$ 10.1		

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company amended its Supplemental Retirement Plan (the Supplemental Plan) to reduce future retirement plan costs. This amendment provides that all benefit accruals under the Supplemental Plan shall cease effective March 31, 2009, and the Supplemental Plan will be frozen as of that date. In addition, the Company amended the Trinity Industries, Inc. Standard Pension Plan (the Pension Plan). This amendment was designed to reduce future pension costs and provides that, effective March 31, 2009, all future benefit accruals under the Pension Plan will automatically cease for all participants, and the accrued benefits under the Pension Plan will be determined and frozen as of that date. Accordingly, as a result of these amendments, accrued pension liability was reduced by \$44.1 million with an offsetting reduction in funded status of pension liability included in AOCL.

Trinity contributed \$4.2 million and \$12.7 million to the Company s defined benefit pension plans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Trinity contributed \$4.2 million and \$7.7 million to the Company s defined benefit pension plans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively. Total contributions to the Company s pension plans in 2009 are expected to be approximately \$19.1 million.

Note 13. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive net income (loss) is as follows:

	Three N	Months			
	End	led	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	June	30,			
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
		(in mi	llions)		
Net income (loss)	\$ (209.4)	\$ 84.2	\$ (175.5)	\$ 148.0	
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Currency translation adjustments, net of tax expense of \$,					
\$, \$, and \$		0.1		0.1	
Change in funded status of pension liability, net of tax					
expense of \$, \$, \$16.4, and \$			27.7		
Change in unrealized loss on derivative financial					
instruments, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$8.4, \$6.1,					
\$10.8, and \$(0.8)	19.0	12.6	23.5	(0.3)	
Other changes, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$(0.1), \$0.4,					
\$(0.6), and \$0.4	(0.1)	(0.6)	(0.9)	(0.6)	
Comprehensive net income (loss)	\$ (190.5)	\$ 96.3	\$ (125.2)	\$ 147.2	
Comprehensive net meome (1983)	ψ (170.5)	Ψ 70.5	Ψ (123.2)	Ψ 1 - 7 . 2	

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows:

	June 30, 2009		cember 31, 2008 reported)
	(i	n millions	s)
Currency translation adjustments, net of tax benefit of \$(0.2) and \$(0.1) Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments, net of tax benefit of \$(17.1)	\$ (17.1)	\$	(17.1)
and \$(28.0)	(33.3)		(56.8)
Funded status of pension liability, net of tax benefit of \$(34.5) and \$(50.9)	(58.7)		(86.4)
Other changes, net of tax benefit of (1.2) and (0.6)	(1.9)		(1.0)
	\$ (111.0)	\$	(161.3)

Note 14. Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation totaled approximately \$3.6 million and \$7.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Stock-based compensation totaled approximately \$5.1 million and \$10.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

Note 15. Net Income Per Common Share

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of FSP EITF 03-6-1 requiring that unvested share-based payment awards containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends be considered participating securities and included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 requires that, upon adoption, all prior period earnings per share data presented be adjusted retrospectively. The effect of adopting FSP EITF 03-6-1 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was to decrease basic net income per common share from continuing operations by \$0.04 and \$0.06, respectively. The effect of adopting FSP EITF 03-6-1 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was to decrease diluted net income per common share from continuing operations by \$0.02 and

\$0.03, respectively. There was no change to the discontinued operations per common share data.

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income remaining after allocation to unvested restricted shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Except when the effect would be anti-dilutive, the calculation of diluted net income per common share includes the net impact of unvested restricted shares and shares that could be issued under outstanding stock options. Total weighted average restricted shares and stock options having an antidilutive effect on diluted earnings per share were 4.0 million shares and 3.9 million shares for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Total weighted average restricted shares and stock options having an antidilutive effect on diluted earnings per share were 2.6 million shares and 2.5 million shares for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

20

Table of Contents

The computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders is as follows:

		ee Months End June 30, 2009	led		Three Months Ended June 30, 2008				
		(in mil	lions, except p	oer share amo	ounts)				
	Income (Loss)	Average Shares	EPS	Income (Loss)	Average Shares	EPS			
Income (loss) from continuing operations Unvested restricted share participation	\$ (209.4) (0.4)			\$ 84.2 (2.7)					
Income (loss) from continuing operations basic	(209.8)	76.2	\$ (2.75)	81.5	78.8	\$ 1.03			
Effect of dilutive securities: Stock options					0.5				
Income (loss) from continuing operations diluted*	\$ (209.8)	76.2	\$ (2.75)	\$ 81.5	79.3	\$ 1.03			
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes Loss allocable to unvested restricted shares	\$ 0.0			\$ 0.0					
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes basic	\$ 0.0	76.2	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.0	78.8	\$ 0.00			
Effect of dilutive securities: Stock options					0.5				
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes diluted	\$ 0.0	76.2	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.0	79.3	\$ 0.00			

		x Months Ende June 30, 2009 (in mill	Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 per share amounts)				
	Income (Loss)	Average Shares	EPS	Income (Loss)	Average Shares	EPS	
Income (loss) from continuing operations Unvested restricted share	\$ (175.4)			\$ 148.3			
participation	(0.6)			(4.5)			

Income (loss) from continuing operations basic	(176.0)	76.4	\$ (2.30)	143.8	79.0	\$ 1.82
Effect of dilutive securities: Stock options					0.4	
Income (loss) from continuing operations diluted*	\$ (176.0)	76.4	\$ (2.30)	\$ 143.8	79.4	\$ 1.81
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes Loss allocable to unvested restricted shares	\$ (0.1)			\$ (0.3)		
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes basic	\$ (0.1)	76.4	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.3)	79.0	\$ 0.00
Effect of dilutive securities: Stock options					0.4	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes diluted	\$ (0.1)	76.4	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.3)	79.4	\$ 0.00

Diluted loss per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 is based only on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, as the inclusion of stock options and restricted shares would have been antidilutive.

21

Table of Contents

Note 16. Contingencies

Barge Litigation

The Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Trinity Marine Products, Inc., were co-defendants in a class-action lawsuit filed in April 2003 entitled Waxler Transportation Company, Inc. v. Trinity Marine Products, Inc., et al. A settlement of this case was approved by the court and became final February 13, 2008. The Court Appointed Disbursing Agent (CADA) prepared an Allocation Plan and Distribution Plan for the disbursement of settlement compensation that was approved by the court on November 14, 2008. As of June 30, 2009, based on instructions from the CADA to the settlement funds escrow agent, the Company had received \$3.6 million in refunds of unclaimed settlement funds.

Other Litigation and Contingencies

The Company is involved in other claims and lawsuits incidental to our business. Based on information currently available, it is management s opinion that the ultimate outcome of all current litigation and other claims, including settlements, in the aggregate will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s overall financial condition for purposes of financial reporting. However, resolution of certain claims or lawsuits by settlement or otherwise could have a significant impact on the operating results of the reporting period in which such resolution occurs.

We are subject to Federal, state, local, and foreign laws and regulations relating to the environment and the workplace. We have reserved \$6.9 million to cover our probable and estimable liabilities with respect to the investigations, assessments, and remedial responses to such matters, taking into account currently available information and our contractual rights to indemnification and recourse to third parties. However, estimates of liability arising from future proceedings, assessments, or remediation are inherently imprecise. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will not become involved in future litigation or other proceedings involving the environment and the workplace or, if we are found to be responsible or liable in any such litigation or proceeding, that such costs would not be material to the Company. Other than with respect to the foregoing, we believe that we are currently in substantial compliance with environmental and workplace laws and regulations.

22

Note 17. Financial Statements for Guarantors of the Senior Debt

The Company's senior debt and certain operating leases are fully and unconditionally and jointly and severally guaranteed by certain of Trinity's wholly owned subsidiaries: Transit Mix Concrete & Materials Company, Trinity Industries Leasing Company, Trinity Marine Products, Inc., Trinity Rail Group, LLC, Trinity North American Freight Car, Inc., Trinity Tank Car, Inc., and Trinity Parts & Components, LLC. No other subsidiaries guarantee the senior debt. As of June 30, 2009, assets held by the non-guarantor subsidiaries include \$122.6 million of restricted assets that are not available for distribution to Trinity Industries, Inc. (Parent), \$1,681.1 million of equipment securing certain debt, \$105.6 million of equipment securing certain lease obligations held by the non-guarantor subsidiaries, and \$236.9 million of assets located in foreign locations. As of December 31, 2008, assets held by the non-guarantor subsidiaries include \$120.2 million of restricted assets that are not available for distribution to the Parent, \$1,546.5 million of equipment securing certain debt, \$107.2 million of equipment securing certain lease obligations held by the non-guarantor subsidiaries, and \$266.9 million of assets located in foreign locations.

Statement of Operations

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Parent	Gu	ombined parantor psidiaries	Gu Suk	ombined Non- narantor osidiaries n millions)	Elir	ninations	Con	solidated
Revenues	\$	\$	403.7	\$	359.0	\$	(46.6)	\$	716.1
Cost of revenues	12.7		286.6		333.4		(46.6)		586.1
Selling, engineering, and									
administrative expenses	7.9		22.8		16.6				47.3
Goodwill impairment			325.0						325.0
	20.6		634.4		350.0		(46.6)		958.4
Operating profit (loss)	(20.6)		(230.7)		9.0				(242.3)
Other (income) expense	193.6		3.8		15.9		(189.3)		24.0
Income (loss) from continuing									
operations before income taxes	(214.2)		(234.5)		(6.9)		189.3		(266.3)
Provision (benefit) for income	(=1:-=)		(20 110)		(0.5)		10,10		(20010)
taxes	(4.8)		(65.1)		13.0				(56.9)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(209.4)		(169.4)		(19.9)		189.3		(209.4)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of benefit for income taxes of \$(0.0)									
Net income (loss)	\$ (209.4)	\$	(169.4)	\$	(19.9)	\$	189.3	\$	(209.4)

Statement of Operations For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Combined
Combined	Non-

Edgar Filing: TRINITY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

	Parent	Parent Subsidiaries Sub		Sub	arantor sidiaries n millions)	Elin	ninations	Consolidated		
Revenues	\$	\$	928.7	\$	702.4	\$	(121.5)	\$	1,509.6	
Cost of revenues Selling, engineering, and	24.8		725.4		618.7		(121.5)		1,247.4	
administrative expenses	15.4		45.8		35.0				96.2	
Goodwill impairment			325.0						325.0	
	40.2		1,096.2		653.7		(121.5)		1,668.6	
Operating profit (loss)	(40.2)		(167.5)		48.7				(159.0)	
Other (income) expense	146.6		2.4		35.9		(132.8)		52.1	
Income (loss) from continuing										
operations before income taxes Provision (benefit) for income	(186.8)		(169.9)		12.8		132.8		(211.1)	
taxes	(11.3)		(45.2)		20.8				(35.7)	
Income (loss) from continuing	(4===		(4 .4. -)		(2.0)		122.0		(1 1)	
operations Loss from discontinued operations, net of benefit for	(175.5)		(124.7)		(8.0)		132.8		(175.4)	
income taxes of \$(0.0)					(0.1)				(0.1)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (175.5)	\$	(124.7)	\$	(8.1)	\$	132.8	\$	(175.5)	
			23							

Statement of Operations For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 (adjusted)

	Pa	arent	Gu	mbined arantor sidiaries	Gu Sub	mbined Non- arantor sidiaries n millions)	Elin	ninations	Cons	solidated
Revenues	\$	3.7	\$	594.9	\$	491.7	\$	(144.8)	\$	945.5
Cost of revenues		24.0		465.9		385.4		(144.8)		730.5
Selling, engineering, and										
administrative expenses		12.3		30.2		22.5				65.0
		36.3		496.1		407.9		(144.8)		795.5
Operating profit (loss)		(32.6)		98.8		83.8				150.0
Other (income) expense	(106.3)		(3.3)		14.1		109.3		13.8
Income from continuing operations										
before income taxes		73.7		102.1		69.7		(109.3)		136.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		(10.5)		36.3		26.2				52.0
Income from continuing operations Loss from discontinued operations, net of benefit for income taxes of \$(0.0)		84.2		65.8		43.5		(109.3)		84.2
Net income	\$	84.2	\$	65.8	\$	43.5	\$	(109.3)	\$	84.2

Statement of Operations For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (adjusted)

					Co	mbined				
	Parent		Gı	ombined narantor osidiaries	Gu	Non- arantor sidiaries	Elin	ninations	Cor	nsolidated
			200	3514141145		n millions)			002	-501144404
Revenues	\$	6.3	\$	1,189.8	\$	928.4	\$	(280.1)	\$	1,844.4
Cost of revenues		62.8		924.2		740.1		(280.1)		1,447.0
Selling, engineering, and										
administrative expenses		17.8		59.2		44.2				121.2
		80.6		983.4		784.3		(280.1)		1,568.2
Operating profit (loss)		(74.3)		206.4		144.1				276.2
Other (income) expense	(198.5)		(3.5)		28.8		206.8		33.6
Income from continuing operations before income taxes		124.2		209.9		115.3		(206.8)		242.6

Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(2	23.8)		74.6		43.5				94.3
Income from continuing operations Loss from discontinued operations, net of benefit for income taxes of	14	48.0		135.3		71.8		(206.8)		148.3
(\$0.1)						(0.3)				(0.3)
Net income	\$ 14	48.0	\$	135.3	\$	71.5	\$	(206.8)	\$	148.0
Balance Sheet June 30, 2009										
	P	arent	Gı	ombined uarantor bsidiaries	G Su	ombined Non- uarantor bsidiaries in millions)	Eli	minations	Cor	nsolidated
Assets:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	415.0	\$	5.7	\$	20.2	\$		\$	440.9
Receivables, net of allowance		0.4		69.9		144.9				215.2
Income tax receivable		18.1								18.1
Inventory		0.3		205.9		163.1				369.3
Property, plant, and equipment, net Investments in subsidiaries/intercompany		18.1		967.3		2,013.8				2,999.2
receivable (payable), net	2	2,043.1		439.4		551.4		(3,033.9)		
Goodwill and other assets		106.1		173.8		241.1		(33.7)		487.3
	\$ 2	2,601.1	\$	1,862.0	\$	3,134.5	\$	(3,067.6)	\$	4,530.0
Liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued										
liabilities	\$	175.3	\$	118.7	\$	171.1	\$		\$	465.1
Debt		525.0		102.9		1,155.9				1,783.8
Deferred income		71.7		4.4		3.5				79.6
Deferred income taxes				376.5		25.9		(33.7)		368.7
Other liabilities		62.3		1.0		2.7				66.0
Total stockholders equity	1	1,766.8		1,258.5		1,775.4		(3,033.9)		1,766.8
	\$ 2	2,601.1	\$	1,862.0	\$	3,134.5	\$	(3,067.6)	\$	4,530.0
				24						

Balance Sheet December 31, 2008 (adjusted)

	P	arent	Gı	ombined uarantor bsidiaries	G Su	Combined Non- Juarantor Ibsidiaries (in millions)	Eli	minations	Coi	nsolidated
Assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$	139.7	\$	2.1	\$	20.0	\$		\$	161.8
Receivables, net of allowance	Ф	0.4	Ф	90.0	Ф	160.9	φ		φ	251.3
Income tax receivable		98.7		70.0		100.5				98.7
Inventory		0.3		407.7		203.8				611.8
Property, plant, and equipment,										
net		20.7		957.7		2,012.2				2,990.6
Investments in subsidiaries/ intercompany receivable										
(payable), net	2	,399.5		217.5		497.2		(3,114.2)		
Goodwill and other assets		215.1		438.4		285.4		(3,114.2) (141.5)		797.4
								(-1-1-)		,,,,,
	\$ 2	,874.4	\$	2,113.4	\$	3,179.5	\$	(3,255.7)	\$	4,911.6
Liabilities:										
Accounts payable and accrued										
liabilities	\$	269.0	\$	184.0	\$	246.4	\$		\$	699.4
Debt		520.3		64.2		1,190.2				1,774.7
Deferred income		64.9		3.3		3.6				71.8
Deferred income taxes		46.4		456.8		26.6		(141.5)		388.3
Other liabilities		61.5		0.9		2.7				65.1
Total stockholders equity	1	,912.3		1,404.2		1,710.0		(3,114.2)		1,912.3
	\$ 2	,874.4	\$	2,113.4	\$	3,179.5	\$	(3,255.7)	\$	4,911.6

Statement of Cash Flows For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Parent	Gua	mbined arantor sidiaries	Gu: Sub	mbined Non- arantor sidiaries millions)	Eliminations	Con	solidated
Net cash provided (required) by operating activities	\$ 290.0	\$	(23.7)	\$	80.7	\$	\$	347.0
Net cash provided (required) by investing activities	4.3		27.7		(46.2)			(14.2)
Net cash provided (required) by financing activities	(19.0)		(0.4)		(34.3)			(53.7)

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 415.0	\$ 5.7	\$ 20.2	\$ \$	440.9
beginning of period	139.7	2.1	20.0		161.8
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at	275.3	3.6	0.2		279.1

Statement of Cash Flows For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

	Parent	Gua	mbined arantor sidiaries	Gu Suk	ombined Non- narantor osidiaries n millions)	Eliminations	Con	solidated
Net cash provided (required) by								
operating activities	\$ (46.0)	\$	(30.6)	\$	102.1	\$	\$	25.5
Net cash provided (required) by	4.2		116		(449.7)			(200.0)
investing activities Net cash provided (required) by	4.2		44.6		(448.7)			(399.9)
financing activities	(20.9)		(13.9)		329.6			294.8
imaneing detivities	(20.5)		(13.7)		327.0			271.0
Net increase (decrease) in cash and								
cash equivalents	(62.7)		0.1		(17.0)			(79.6)
Cash and cash equivalents at								
beginning of period	238.0		0.7		50.9			289.6
Cash and cash equivalents at end of	¢ 175 2	\$	0.0	¢	22.0	¢	¢	210.0
period	\$ 175.3	Ф	0.8	\$	33.9	\$	\$	210.0
			25					

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations General

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements of Trinity Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (Trinity , Company , we , or our) and related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this document.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS 142), requires goodwill to be tested for impairment annually, or on an interim basis, whenever events or circumstances change, indicating that the carrying amount of the asset might be impaired. The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process requiring the comparison of the reporting unit s estimated fair value with the carrying amount of its net assets. Step two of the impairment test is necessary to determine the amount of goodwill impairment to be recorded when the reporting unit s recorded net assets exceed its fair value. We perform this test for our five principal business segments, considered to be reporting units under the provisions of SFAS 142: (1) the Rail Group, (2) the Construction Products Group, (3) the Inland Barge Group, (4) the Energy Equipment Group, and (5) the Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group.

During the second quarter of 2009, there was a significant decline in new orders for railcars and continued weakening demand for products in the Rail Group as well as a change in the average estimated railcar deliveries from independent third party research firms. Additionally, the significant number of idled railcars in the North American fleet resulted in the creation of new internal sales estimates by railcar type. Based on this information, we concluded that indications of impairment existed with respect to the Rail Group which required an interim goodwill impairment analysis and, accordingly, we performed such a test as of June 30, 2009. The table below is an average of the estimates of approximate industry railcar deliveries for the next five years from two independent third party research firms, Global Insight, Inc. and Economic Planning Associates, Inc.

Average Estimated Railcar Deliveries

	As of January	As of May	Percent
	2009	2009	Change
2009	28,300	24,000	(15.2)%
2010	23,700	15,100	(36.3)%
2011	41,550	29,150	(29.8)%
2012	56,050	48,200	(14.0)%
2013	62,550	59,750	(4.5)%

Our estimate of the Rail Group's fair value (considered to be a level three fair value measurement) utilized an income approach based on the anticipated future discounted cash flows of the Rail Group, requiring significant estimates and judgments related to future revenues and operating profits, exit multiples, tax rates and consequences, and discount rates based upon market-based capital costs. Because the estimated fair value of the Rail Group was less than the carrying amount of its net assets, we performed step two of our goodwill impairment analysis as required by SFAS 142, by estimating the fair value of individual assets and liabilities of the Rail Group in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* and SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. The result of our impairment analysis indicated that the remaining implied goodwill amounted to \$122.5 million for our Rail Group as of June 30, 2009 and, consequently, we recorded an impairment charge of \$325.0 million during the second quarter of 2009. The change in our estimate of the Rail Group's enterprise value from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009 was driven by economic indicators, including third-party studies that are predicting that the decline in the railcar industry is likely to extend longer than was previously expected. In management is opinion, no interim impairment tests were necessary for our remaining business segments as there has not been a significant change in market conditions for these segments since the annual impairment test.

Additionally, we performed an interim test for recoverability of the carrying value of our Rail Group long-lived assets based on cash flow estimates consistent with those used in the goodwill impairment test. The carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used is considered impaired only when their carrying value is not recoverable through undiscounted future cash flows and the fair value of the assets is less than their carrying value. We determined that

there was no impairment of the recoverability of the Rail Group s long-lived assets as the Rail Group s estimated undiscounted future cash flows exceeded the carrying value of its long-lived assets.

Given the current economic environment and the uncertainties regarding the potential impact on our businesses, there can be no assurance that our estimates and assumptions regarding the duration of the ongoing economic downturn, or the period or strength of recovery, made for the purposes of the long-lived asset and goodwill impairment tests during the second quarter of 2009 will prove to be accurate predictions of the future. If our assumptions regarding forecasted cash flows are not achieved, it is possible that additional impairments of remaining goodwill and long-lived assets may be required.

26

Table of Contents

In 2007, Trinity Industries Inc. purchased 20% of the equity in newly-formed TRIP Rail Holdings LLC (TRIP Holdings). TRIP Holdings and its subsidiary, TRIP Rail Leasing LLC (TRIP Leasing) provide railcar leasing and management services in North America. Railcars are purchased from Trinity by TRIP Leasing.

In January 2009, the Company acquired an additional 5% equity ownership in TRIP Holdings for approximately \$9.0 million from another equity investor. As a result, the Company now owns a 25% equity ownership in TRIP Holdings, increasing the Company s total commitment by \$12.3 million to \$61.3 million, of which \$56.3 million has been paid. Trinity s remaining equity commitment exposure to TRIP Holdings is \$5.0 million through June 2010. Trinity s carrying value of its investment in TRIP Holdings follows:

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008
		(in milli	ions)
Capital contributions	\$ 56	.3 \$	35.9
Equity in earnings	1.	.8	0.5
Equity in unrealized losses on derivative financial instruments	(4.	.3)	(9.5)
Distributions	(6	.0)	(3.1)
Deferred broker fees	(1	.0)	(0.8)
	\$ 46	.8 \$	23.0

On December 13, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a \$200 million common stock repurchase program allowing for repurchases through December 31, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, 813,028 and 471,100 shares were repurchased under this program at a cost of approximately \$6.3 million and \$12.2 million, respectively. No shares were repurchased under this program for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Since the inception of this program through June 30, 2009, the Company has repurchased a total of 3,532,728 shares at a cost of approximately \$67.5 million.

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (including Partial Cash Settlement)* (FSP APB 14-1). FSP APB 14-1 requires that issuers of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest expense is recognized in subsequent periods. The effective date of FSP APB 14-1 is for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008 and does not permit earlier application. The pronouncement requires that all periods presented be adjusted. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP APB 14-1 as of January 1, 2009 and has accordingly adjusted amounts previously reported with respect to Debt, Other assets, Capital in excess of par value, Deferred income taxes and Interest expense. See Note 9 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for a further explanation of the effects of implementing this pronouncement as it applies to our Convertible Subordinated Notes.

In May 2009, Trinity Industries Leasing Company (TILC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Trinity, renewed its railcar leasing warehouse facility through February 2011. This facility, which was set to mature in August 2009, was established to finance railcars owned by TILC. Additionally, TILC completed several other financings during the second quarter totaling \$87.1 million. See *Financing Activities*.

The economic and financial crisis experienced by the United States economy during 2008 and into 2009 has impacted our businesses. New orders for railcars and barges continued to drop significantly in the first six months of 2009 as the transportation industry saw a significant decline in the shipment of freight. The 2009 outlook for the transportation industry is for a continued significant downturn. Orders for structural wind towers have been slow since mid-2008 when green energy companies experienced tightened credit markets coupled with lower prices for electricity and natural gas sales. The slowdown in the residential and commercial construction markets impacted our Construction Products Group as well. We continually assess our manufacturing capacity and take steps to align our

production capacity with demand. As a result of our assessment, we idled a significant amount of our railcar production capacity and one structural wind tower production facility during the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009.

27

Table of Contents

Overall Summary for Continuing Operations Revenues

	Three 1	Months Ended 3 2009	June 30,	Three	June 30,			
		Revenues				Percent		
	External	Intersegment	Total	External	Intersegment	Total	Change	
Rail Group	\$ 159.4	(\$ in mil \$ 143.9	\$ 303.3	\$ 334.9	\$ 255.7	\$ 590.6	(48.6)%	
Construction							, ,	
Products Group	152.2	1.1	153.3	214.3	4.9	219.2	(30.1)	
Inland Barge Group	136.7		136.7	150.9		150.9	(9.4)	
Energy Equipment								
Group	132.6	1.8	134.4	154.3	3.0	157.3	(14.6)	
Railcar Leasing and								
Management								
Services Group	133.5		133.5	86.4		86.4	54.5	
All Other	1.7	8.7	10.4	4.7	13.7	18.4	(43.5)	
Eliminations lease								
subsidiary		(138.8)	(138.8)		(252.6)	(252.6)		
Eliminations other		(16.7)	(16.7)		(24.7)	(24.7)		
Consolidated Total	\$ 716.1	\$	\$ 716.1	\$ 945.5	\$	\$ 945.5	(24.3)	

Revenues

											June 30,		
	S	Six Mon	nths Ended June 30, 2009 Revenues				Six Months Ended Revenues				2008	Percent	
	Ex	xternal		rsegment	r	Total	E	xternal		rsegment	Total	Change	
						(\$ in m	illio	ns)					
Rail Group	\$	322.1	\$	265.1	\$	587.2	\$	682.6	\$	475.8	\$ 1,158.4	(49.3)%	
Construction													
Products Group		273.2		3.6		276.8		379.3		9.2	388.5	(28.8)	
Inland Barge Group		293.7				293.7		288.7			288.7	1.7	
Energy Equipment													
Group		259.3		3.6		262.9		280.5		6.3	286.8	(8.3)	
Railcar Leasing and													
Management													
Services Group		355.9				355.9		206.2			206.2	72.6	
All Other		5.4		19.4		24.8		7.1		29.5	36.6	(32.2)	
Eliminations lease													
subsidiary				(255.3)		(255.3)				(469.3)	(469.3)		
Eliminations other				(36.4)		(36.4)				(51.5)	(51.5)		
Consolidated Total	\$ 1	1,509.6	\$		\$ 1	1,509.6	\$	1,844.4	\$		\$ 1,844.4	(18.2)	

Our revenues for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 decreased due to fewer railcar sales and lower pricing from certain segments resulting from lower material costs and competitive pricing pressures.

Operating Profit (Loss)

	Three N	Months	Six Months Ended June			
	Ended J	une 30,	30,	1		
	2009 2008		2009	2008		
		(in	millions)			
Rail Group	\$ (328.7)	\$ 72.4	\$ (334.5)	\$ 149.6		
Construction Products Group	15.5	21.1	13.6	33.3		
Inland Barge Group	30.3	27.2	69.2	53.7		
Energy Equipment Group	25.2	25.4	43.5	43.6		
Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group	35.2	36.0	87.9	70.1		
All Other	(1.7)	5.8	(3.1)	5.5		
Corporate	(7.8)	(11.8)	(15.4)	(17.2)		
Eliminations lease subsidiary	(8.8)	(23.1)	(17.7)	(54.3)		
Eliminations other	(1.5)	(3.0)	(2.5)	(8.1)		
Consolidated Total	\$ (242.3)	\$ 150.0	\$ (159.0)	\$ 276.2		

Operating profit for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 decreased as a result of a goodwill impairment charge of \$325 million and lower revenues coupled with reduced pricing driven by lower material costs and highly competitive markets.

Other Income and Expense. Interest expense, net of interest income, was \$28.5 million and \$57.2 million, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$26.0 million and \$46.9 million (as adjusted—see Note 9 of the Consolidated Financial Statements), respectively, for the same periods last year. Interest income decreased \$0.7 million over the same quarter last year and \$2.7 million over the same six month period last year as a result of lower interest rates more than offsetting the effect of an increase in cash available for investment. Interest expense increased \$1.8 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, over the same periods last year due to an increase in debt levels, including \$544.6 million of promissory notes for the Leasing Group entered into in May 2008, and expense related to the ineffective portion of interest rate hedges. The decrease in Other, net for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 was primarily due to gains recognized on property dispositions in 2008.

Income Taxes. The effective tax rates for continuing operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 were 21.4% and 16.9%, respectively, and varied from the statutory rate of 35.0% due primarily to the goodwill impairment charge not being fully deductible for income tax purposes, the recording of a \$6.3 million valuation reserve related to the utilization of foreign tax credits, state income taxes and discrete adjustments related to foreign and state taxes. The prior year effective tax rates for continuing operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 were 38.2% and 38.9%, respectively, and varied from the statutory rate of 35.0% due primarily to state income taxes and discrete adjustments related to foreign and state taxes.

Rail Group

	Three Mo	nths Ended J	une 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009	2008	Percent	2009	2008	Percent	
	(\$ in mil	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in mi	Change		
Revenues:							
Rail	\$ 271.1	\$ 545.6	(50.3)%	\$ 521.9	\$ 1,071.5	(51.3)%	
Components	32.2	45.0	(28.4)	65.3	86.9	(24.9)	
Total revenues	\$ 303.3	\$ 590.6	(48.6)	\$ 587.2	\$1,158.4	(49.3)	
Operating profit (loss)	\$ (328.7)	\$ 72.4		\$ (334.5)	\$ 149.6		
Operating profit (loss) margin	(108.4)%	12.3%		(57.0)%	12.9%		

Railcar shipments decreased 53% to approximately 3,080 and 51% to approximately 6,130 during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, compared to the same periods in 2008. As of June 30, 2009, our Rail Group backlog consisted of approximately 3,780 railcars as compared to approximately 28,680 railcars as of June 30, 2008. The railcar backlog dollar value as of June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 was as follows:

	As of	June 30,
	2009	2008
	(in n	nillions)
External Customers	\$ 162.1	\$ 748.8
TRIP Leasing		237.1
Leasing Group	163.8	1,384.5
Total	\$ 325.9	\$ 2,370.4

The total amount of the backlog dedicated to the Leasing Group is supported by lease agreements with external customers. The final amount dedicated to the Leasing Group may vary by the time of delivery. Results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 included \$75.0 million and \$113.0 million, respectively, in railcars sold to TRIP Leasing, that resulted in a gain of \$6.2 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, of which \$1.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, in profit was deferred based on our 25% equity interest. Results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 included \$83.0 million and \$229.0 million, respectively, in railcars sold to TRIP Leasing, that resulted in a gain of \$19.2 million and \$44.8 million, respectively, of which \$3.8 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, in profit was deferred based on our 20% equity interest. See Note 5 Equity Investment of the Consolidated Financial Statements for information about TRIP Leasing.

Operating profit for the Rail Group decreased \$401.1 million and \$484.1 million, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods last year. This decrease was primarily due to a \$325 million goodwill impairment charge during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 (see Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements). Additionally, a significantly reduced volume of railcars were delivered during the period amid

a lower pricing and unit demand environment.

In the three months ended June 30, 2009, railcar shipments included sales to the Leasing Group of \$138.8 million compared to \$252.6 million in the comparable period in 2008 with a deferred profit of \$8.8 million compared to \$23.1 million for the same period in 2008. In the six months ended June 30, 2009, railcar shipments included sales to the Leasing Group of \$255.3 million compared to \$469.3 million in the comparable period in 2008 with a deferred profit of \$17.7 million compared to \$54.3 million for the same period in 2008. Sales to the Leasing Group and related profits are included in the operating results of the Rail Group but eliminated in consolidation.

29

Construction Products Group

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
			Percent			Percent	
	2009	2008	Change	2009	2008	Change	
	(\$ in m	illions)	J	(\$ in millions)			
Revenues:							
Concrete and Aggregates	\$ 85.9	\$ 126.3	(32.0)%	\$ 163.8	\$ 230.8	(29.0)%	
Highway Products	64.9	84.4	(23.1)	108.0	141.7	(23.8)	
Other	2.5	8.5	(70.6)	5.0	16.0	(68.8)	
Total revenues	\$ 153.3	\$ 219.2	(30.1)	\$ 276.8	\$ 388.5	(28.8)	
Operating profit	\$ 15.5	\$ 21.1		\$ 13.6	\$ 33.3		
Operating profit margin	10.1%	9.6%		4.9%	8.6%		

The decrease in revenues for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 was primarily attributable to the overall decline in the economic conditions related to the markets served by this segment including a reduction in state funding of highway construction. Operating profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 decreased as a result of lower volumes. Additionally the Construction Products Group recorded a \$1.1 million write down of surplus inventory quantities at June 30, 2009 resulting in a total inventory write-down of \$2.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Inland Barge Group

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009	2008	Percent Change	2009	2008	Percent Change	
	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)		S		
Revenues	\$136.7	\$150.9	(9.4)%	\$293.7	\$288.7	1.7%	
Operating profit Operating profit margin	\$ 30.3 22.2%	\$ 27.2 18.0%		\$ 69.2 23.6%	\$ 53.7 18.6%		

Revenues decreased while operating profit increased for the three month period ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in the prior year due to fewer barges shipped offset by lower operating expenses. Revenues and operating profit increased for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in the prior year due to a change in the mix of barges sold. Operating profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 included the refund of \$0.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, in unclaimed settlement funds related to a legal settlement and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 included the refund of \$2.0 million in unclaimed settlement funds related to the same settlement. As of June 30, 2009, the backlog for the Inland Barge Group was approximately \$349.5 million compared to approximately \$754.9 million as of June 30, 2008.

Energy Equipment Group

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
			Percent			Percent
	2009	2008	Change	2009	2008	Change
	(\$ in m	illions)	(\$ in millions)			
Revenues:						
Structural wind towers	\$ 100.3	\$ 106.4	(5.7)%	\$ 192.1	\$ 190.4	0.9%
Other	34.1	50.9	(33.0)	70.8	96.4	(26.6)

Edgar Filing: TRINITY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Total revenues	\$ 134.4	\$ 157.3	(14.6)	\$ 262.9	\$ 286.8	(8.3)
Operating profit	\$ 25.2	\$ 25.4		\$ 43.5	\$ 43.6	
Operating profit margin	18.8%	16.1%		16.5%	15.2%	

Revenues decreased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 due to overall lower volumes partially offset by change in the mix of structural wind towers sold. Operating profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 remained relatively unchanged as the effects of lower volumes were offset by a change in the sales mix and lower operating costs both related to structural wind towers. As of June 30, 2009, the backlog for structural wind towers was approximately \$1.2 billion compared to approximately \$1.5 billion as of June 30, 2008.

30

Table of Contents

Railcar Leasing and Management Services Group

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,						
	2	009 (\$ in mi		2008 s)	Percent Change	2	2009 (\$ in mi		2008 s)	Percent Change
Revenues: Leasing and management Sales of cars from the lease	\$	79.9	\$	77.4	3.2%	\$	165.6	\$	147.5	12.3%
fleet		53.6		9.0	495.6		190.3		58.7	224.2
Total revenues	\$ 1	133.5	\$	86.4	54.5	\$	355.9	\$	206.2	72.6
Operating Profit: Leasing and management Sales of cars from the lease	\$	31.8	\$	34.1		\$		\$		
fleet		3.4		1.9			20.3		9.3	
Total operating profit	\$	35.2	\$	36.0		\$	87.9	\$	70.1	
Operating profit margin: Leasing and management Sales of cars from the lease		39.8%		44.1%			40.8%		41.2%	
fleet		6.3		21.1			10.7		15.8	
Total operating profit margin		26.4		41.7			24.7		34.0	
Fleet utilization		96.4%		99.6%			96.4%		99.6%	

Total revenues increased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods last year due to increased sales from the lease fleet as well as increased rental revenues related to additions to the lease fleet.

Operating profit for the three month period ended June 30, 2009 decreased compared to the same period in 2008 due to lower fleet utilization partially offset by increased rental proceeds from fleet additions. Operating profit increased for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period last year due primarily to increased rental proceeds from fleet additions and increased sales from the lease fleet. Results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 included \$51.7 million and \$183.8 million, respectively, in sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing that resulted in the recognition of previously deferred gains of \$5.5 million and \$30.3 million, respectively, of which \$1.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, were deferred based on our 25% equity interest. Results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 included \$8.3 million and \$46.2 million, respectively, in sales of railcars to TRIP Leasing that resulted in the recognition of previously deferred gains of \$1.8 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, of which \$0.4 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, were deferred based on our 20% equity interest. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, operating profit included \$0.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, in structuring and placement fees related to TRIP Holdings that were expensed. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, operating profit included \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in structuring and placement fees related to TRIP Holdings that were expensed. See Note 5 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for information about TRIP Leasing.

To fund the continued expansion of its lease fleet to meet market demand, the Leasing Group generally uses its non-recourse \$475 million warehouse facility, which was recently reduced from \$600 million due to the lower level of demand for railcars at this time and the Company s resulting need for less financing of this type, or excess cash to

provide initial financing for a portion of the purchase price of the railcars. See Financing Activities.

As of June 30, 2009, the Leasing Group s lease fleet of approximately 48,630 owned or leased railcars had an average age of 4.9 years and an average remaining lease term of 3.9 years.

All Other

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
			Percent			Percent
	2009	2008	Change	2009	2008	Change
	(\$ in m	(\$ in millions)			nillions)	
Revenues	\$10.4	\$18.4	(43.5)%	\$24.8	\$36.6	(32.2)%
Operating profit (loss)	\$ (1.7)	\$ 5.8		\$ (3.1)	\$ 5.5	

The decrease in revenues for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 over the same periods last year was primarily due to a decrease in intersegment sales by our transportation company. The decrease in operating profit for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 was primarily due to the decrease in intersegment sales and a decline in the market valuation of commodity hedges that are required to be marked to market.

31

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$347.0 million compared to \$24.7 million of net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations for the same period in 2008.

Accounts receivables at June 30, 2009 as compared to the accounts receivables balance at December 31, 2008 decreased by \$36.1 million or approximately 14% due to lower shipping volumes and the collection of foreign tax receivables. Income tax receivable declined primarily due to the receipt of \$85.8 million in income tax refunds. Raw materials inventory at June 30, 2009 decreased by \$177.1 million or approximately 50% since December 31, 2008 primarily attributable to lower production. Finished goods inventory decreased by \$32.1 million since December 31, 2008 primarily due to lower finished goods inventory in our Rail Group. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities decreased from December 31, 2008 by \$234.3 million primarily due to lower production activity. We continually review reserves related to bad debt as well as the adequacy of lower of cost or market valuations related to accounts receivable and inventory.

Investing Activities. Net cash required by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$14.2 million compared to \$399.9 million for the same period last year. Capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$275.4 million, of which \$243.8 million were for additions to the lease fleet. This compares to \$477.8 million of capital expenditures for the same period last year, of which \$426.1 million were for additions to the lease fleet. Proceeds from the sale of property, plant, and equipment and other assets were \$261.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 composed primarily of railcar sales from the lease fleet, which included \$183.8 million to TRIP Leasing. This compares to \$77.9 million for the same period in 2008 composed primarily of railcar sales from the lease fleet, which included \$46.2 million to TRIP Leasing.

Financing Activities. Net cash required by financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$53.7 million compared to \$294.8 million of cash provided by financing activities for the same period in 2008. In February 2009, we repaid in full our Leasing Group s equipment trust certificates in the amount of \$61.4 million. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, TILC entered into a seven-year \$61 million term loan agreement and two ten-year capital lease obligations totaling \$26.1 million for a total of \$39 million in new capital lease obligations since the beginning of the year. These new debt obligations are guaranteed by the Company and secured by railcar equipment and related leases. We intend to use our cash and credit facilities to fund the operations, expansions, and growth initiatives of the Company.

At June 30, 2009, there were no borrowings under our \$425 million revolving credit facility that matures on October 19, 2012. Interest on the revolving credit facility is calculated at prime or LIBOR plus 75 basis points. After \$90.1 million was considered for letters of credit, \$334.9 million was available under the revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2009.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (including Partial Cash Settlement)* (FSP APB 14-1). FSP APB 14-1 requires that issuers of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity—s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest expense is recognized in subsequent periods. The effective date of FSP APB 14-1 is for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008 and does not permit earlier application. The pronouncement requires that all periods presented be adjusted. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP APB 14-1 as of January 1, 2009 and has accordingly adjusted amounts previously reported with respect to Debt, Other assets, Capital in excess of par value, Deferred income taxes and Interest expense. See Note 9 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for a further explanation of the effects of implementing this pronouncement as it applies to our Convertible Subordinated Notes.

In May 2009, TILC renewed its railcar leasing warehouse facility through February 2011. Unless renewed, this facility will be payable in three equal installments in August 2011, February 2012, and August 2012. The facility, which originally matured in August 2009, was established to finance railcars owned by TILC. Due to the lower level of demand for railcars at this time and the Company s resulting need for less financing of this type, the size of the

warehouse facility commitment was reduced from \$600 million to \$475 million. Advances under this facility bear interest at a defined index rate plus margin, for an all-in interest rate of 2.94% at June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2009, \$298.6 million was outstanding and \$176.4 million was available under this facility.

32

Table of Contents

On December 13, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a \$200 million common stock repurchase program allowing for repurchases through December 31, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, 813,028 and 471,100 shares were repurchased under this program at a cost of approximately \$6.3 million and \$12.2 million, respectively. No shares were repurchased under this program for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Since the inception of this program through June 30, 2009, the Company has repurchased a total of 3,532,728 shares at a cost of approximately \$67.5 million.

The economic and financial crisis experienced by the United States economy during 2008 and into 2009 has impacted our businesses. New orders for railcars and barges continued to drop significantly in the first six months of 2009 as the transportation industry saw a significant decline in the shipment of freight. The 2009 outlook for the transportation industry is for a continued significant downturn. Orders for structural wind towers have been slow since mid-2008 when green energy companies experienced tightened credit markets coupled with lower prices for electricity and natural gas sales. The slowdown in the residential and commercial construction markets impacted our Construction Products Group as well. We continually assess our manufacturing capacity and take steps to align our production capacity with demand. As a result of our assessment, we idled a significant amount of our railcar production capacity and one structural wind tower production facility during the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009.

Equity Investment

See Note 5 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for information about the equity investment.

Future Operating Requirements

We expect to finance future operating requirements with cash flows from operations, and depending on market conditions, long-term and short-term debt, and equity. Debt instruments that the Company has utilized include its revolving credit facility, the warehouse facility, senior notes, convertible subordinated notes, asset-backed securities, and sale/leaseback transactions. The Company has also issued equity at various times. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had \$334.9 million available under its revolving credit facility and \$176.4 million available under its warehouse facility. Despite the volatile conditions in both the credit and stock markets, the Company believes it has access to adequate capital resources to fund operating requirements and is active in the credit markets.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

See Note 4 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for information about off balance sheet arrangements.

Derivative Instruments

We use derivative instruments to mitigate the impact of increases in zinc, natural gas, and diesel fuel prices and interest rates, as well as to convert a portion of our variable-rate debt to fixed-rate debt. Additionally, we use derivative instruments to mitigate the impact of unfavorable fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We also use derivatives to lock in fixed interest rates in anticipation of future debt issuances. Derivative instruments designated as hedges are accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS 133, as amended.

Interest rate hedges

In anticipation of a future debt issuance, we entered into interest rate swap transactions during the fourth quarter of 2006 and during 2007. These instruments, with a notional amount of \$370 million, hedged the interest rate on a portion of a future debt issuance associated with an anticipated railcar leasing transaction, which closed in May 2008. These instruments settled during the second quarter of 2008. The weighted average fixed interest rate under these instruments was 5.34%. These interest rate swaps were accounted for as cash flow hedges with changes in the fair value of the instruments of \$24.5 million recorded as a loss in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (AOCL) through the date the related debt issuance closed with a principal balance of \$572.2 million in May 2008. The balance is being amortized over the term of the related debt. On June 30, 2009, the balance remaining in AOCL was \$19.8 million. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, was an increase of \$1.0 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, due to amortization of the AOCL balance. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, was an increase of \$2.8 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, due to the ineffective portion of the hedges primarily associated with hedged interest payments that were never made and amortization of the AOCL balance. It is expected that \$3.9 million in losses will be recognized in earnings during the next twelve months from amortization of the AOCL balance.

Table of Contents

In May 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap transaction that is being used to fix the LIBOR component of the debt issuance which closed in May 2008. The fixed interest rate under this instrument is 4.126%. The amount recorded for this instrument as of June 30, 2009 in the consolidated balance sheet was a liability of \$30.5 million, with \$29.7 million of expense in AOCL. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was an increase of \$5.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, which primarily related to the monthly settlement of interest. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was an increase of \$1.1 million, which primarily related to the monthly settlement of interest.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we entered into interest rate swap transactions with a notional amount of \$200 million that are being used to counter our exposure to changes in the variable interest rate associated with our warehouse facility. The weighted average fixed interest rate under these instruments at June 30, 2009 was 1.798%. The amount recorded for these instruments as of June 30, 2009 in the consolidated balance sheet was a liability of \$2.5 million. The effect on interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was an increase of \$0.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, which included the mark to market valuation on the interest rate swap transactions and the monthly settlement of interest.

During 2005 and 2006, we entered into interest rate swap transactions in anticipation of a future debt issuance. These instruments, with a notional amount of \$200 million, fixed the interest rate on a portion of a future debt issuance associated with a railcar leasing transaction in 2006 and settled at maturity in the first quarter of 2006. The weighted average fixed interest rate under these instruments was 4.87%. These interest rate swaps were being accounted for as cash flow hedges with changes in the fair value of the instruments of \$4.5 million in income recorded in AOCL through the date the related debt issuance closed in May 2006. The balance is being amortized over the term of the related debt. At June 30, 2009, the balance remaining in AOCL was \$3.2 million. The effect of the amortization on interest expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 was a decrease of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The effect on the same periods in the prior year was a decrease of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Natural gas and diesel fuel

We continue a program to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in the price of natural gas and diesel fuel purchases. The intent of the program is to protect our operating profit from adverse price changes by entering into derivative instruments. For those instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, any changes in their valuation are recorded directly to the consolidated statement of operations. The amount recorded in the consolidated balance sheet for natural gas hedges was a liability of \$0.3 million as of June 30, 2009. The amount recorded in the consolidated balance sheet for diesel fuel hedges was an asset of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million of income in AOCL. The effect of both derivatives on the consolidated statement of operations was operating income of \$0.3 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2009, and operating expense of \$1.5 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2009, which includes the mark to market valuation resulting in a gain of \$0.2 million and a loss of \$0.2 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The effect on the consolidated statement of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 was operating income of \$8.5 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, which includes the mark to market valuation resulting in gains of \$7.6 million and \$8.9 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

Foreign Exchange Hedge

During the first and second quarters of 2009, we entered into foreign exchange hedges to mitigate the impact on operating profit of unfavorable fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. These instruments are short term with quarterly maturities and no remaining balance in AOCL. The effect on the consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was expense of \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, included in other, net on the consolidated statement of operations.

Zinc

In 2008, we continued a program to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in the price of zinc purchases. The intent of this program was to protect our operating profit from adverse price changes by entering into derivative instruments. These instruments were short term with monthly maturities and no remaining balances in AOCL. The effect on the consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was operating income of

\$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. We have not entered into any new zinc derivative instruments in 2009.

34

Contractual Obligation and Commercial Commitments

As of June 30, 2009, other commercial commitments related to letters of credit decreased to \$90.1 million from \$98.8 million as of December 31, 2008. Refer to Note 9 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for changes to our outstanding debt and maturities. Other commercial commitments that relate to operating leases under sale/leaseback transactions were basically unchanged as of June 30, 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for information about recent accounting pronouncements.

Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q (or statements otherwise made by the Company or on the Company s behalf from time to time in other reports, filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), news releases, conferences, World Wide Web postings or otherwise) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements contained herein that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements and involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, future financial performances, estimates, projections, goals, and forecasts. Trinity uses the words anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, forecasts, may, will, should, expressions to identify these forward-looking statements. Potential factors which could cause our actual results of operations to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include, among others:

market conditions and demand for our business products and services;

the cyclical nature of industries in which we compete;

variations in weather in areas where our construction and energy products are sold, used, or installed;

disruption of manufacturing capacity due to weather related events;

the timing of introduction of new products;

the timing of customer orders or a breach of customer contracts;

the credit worthiness of customers and their access to capital;

product price changes;

changes in mix of products sold;

the extent of utilization of manufacturing capacity;

availability and costs of steel, component parts, supplies, and other raw materials;

competition and other competitive factors;

changing technologies;

surcharges and other fees added to contracted pricing agreements for raw materials;

interest rates and capital costs;

counter-party risks for financial instruments;

long-term funding of our operations;

taxes;

the stability of the governments and political and business conditions in certain foreign countries, particularly Mexico;

changes in import and export quotas and regulations;

business conditions in foreign economies;

results of litigation; and

legal, regulatory, and environmental issues.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made. Trinity undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made.

35

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has been no material change in our market risks since December 31, 2008. Refer to Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for a discussion of debt-related activity and the impact of hedging activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains controls and procedures designed to ensure that it is able to collect the information it is required to disclose in the reports it files with the SEC, and to process, summarize, and disclose this information within the time periods specified in the rules of the SEC. The Company s Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers are responsible for establishing and maintaining these procedures and, as required by the rules of the SEC, evaluating their effectiveness. Based on their evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures which took place as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers believe that these procedures are effective to ensure that the Company is able to collect, process, and disclose the information it is required to disclose in the reports it files with the SEC within the required time periods.

Internal Controls

The Company maintains a system of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that: transactions are executed in accordance with management s general or specific authorization; transactions are recorded as necessary (1) to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, and (2) to maintain accountability for assets; access to assets is permitted only in accordance with management s general or specific authorization; and the recorded accountability for assets is compared with the existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action is taken with respect to any differences.

During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

36

PART II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information provided in Note 16 of the Consolidated Financial Statements is hereby incorporated into this Part II, Item 1 by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of our 2008 Form 10-K. **Item 2.** *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*

This table provides information with respect to purchases by the Company of shares of its Common Stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2009:

				Maximum Number (or
			Total	
			Number of	Approximate
			Shares (or	
			Units)	Dollar Value) of
			Purchased	
			as	Shares (or Units)
			Part of	
			Publicly	that May Yet Be
		Average		
	Number of	Price	Announced	Purchased
	Shares	Paid per	Plans or	Under the Plans
			Programs	
Period	Purchased(1)	Share(1)	(2)	or Programs (2)
April 1, 2009 through April 30, 2009	5,086	\$ 9.45		\$132,536,481
May 1, 2009 through May 31, 2009	104,437	\$ 15.29		\$132,536,481
June 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009	6,613	\$ 13.99		\$132,536,481
Total	116,136	\$ 14.96		\$132,536,481

(1) These columns include the following transactions during the three months ended June 30, 2009: (i) the surrender to the Company of 105,495 shares of common stock to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of

restricted stock issued to employees and (ii) the purchase of 10,641 shares of common stock by the Trustee for assets held in a non-qualified employee profit sharing plan trust..

(2) On

December 13, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a \$200 million stock repurchase program of its common stock. This program allows for the repurchase of the Company s common stock through December 31, 2009. No shares were purchased under this program for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Since the inception of this program through June 30, 2009, the Company has repurchased a total of 3,532,728 shares at a cost of approximately

\$67.5 million.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held May 4, 2009, stockholders elected ten (10) directors for a one-year term (Proposal 1) and approved ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2009 (Proposal 2). The vote tabulation follows for each proposal:

Proposal 1 Election of Directors

Nominees		For	Withheld
John L. Adams		68,735,592	1,610,809
Rhys J. Best		68,366,803	1,979,598
David W. Biegler		66,979,534	3,366,867
Leldon E. Echols		67,027,058	3,319,343
Ronald J. Gafford		66,828,218	3,518,183
Ronald W. Haddock		67,003,559	3,342,842
Jess T. Hay		58,147,482	12,198,919
Adrian Lajous		68,782,917	1,563,484
Diana S. Natalicio		68,628,807	1,717,594
Timothy R. Wallace		67,864,774	2,481,627
	37		

Table of Contents

Proposal 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors for 2009

For Against Abstentions Broker Non-votes 69,655,755 547,183 143,458 0

Item 5. Other Information

None.

38

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.19	Second Amendment and Restatement dated as of May 29, 2009 of Warehouse Loan Agreement dated as of June 27, 2002 among Trinity Industries Leasing Company, Trinity Rail Leasing Warehouse Trust (formerly known as Trinity Rail Leasing Trust II), The Committed Lenders and the Conduit Lenders from time to time party hereto, Credit Suisse, New York Branch, as Agent, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Collateral Agent and Depositary (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to our Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2009).
31.1	Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith).
31.2	Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TRINITY INDUSTRIES, INC. Registrant

By /s/ WILLIAM A. MCWHIRTER II William A. McWhirter II Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer July 30, 2009 40

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
10.19	Second Amendment and Restatement dated as of May 29, 2009 of Warehouse Loan Agreement dated as of June 27, 2002 among Trinity Industries Leasing Company, Trinity Rail Leasing Warehouse Trust (formerly known as Trinity Rail Leasing Trust II), The Committed Lenders and the Conduit Lenders from time to time party hereto, Credit Suisse, New York Branch, as Agent, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Collateral Agent and Depositary (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to our Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2009).
31.1	Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith).
31.2	Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith). 41