

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD

Form DEF 14A

April 09, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**SCHEDULE 14A
(RULE 14a-101)**

**INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

**PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

Table of Contents

**ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.
1050 Caribbean Way
Miami, Florida 33132**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD MAY 20, 2010**

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE
SHAREHOLDERS MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 20, 2010**

**This Notice, the Proxy Statement, the Annual Report
and all other proxy materials are available at www.rclinvestor.com**

To the Shareholders of
ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (the Company) will be held at 9:00 A.M. on Thursday, May 20, 2010 at the JW Marriott, 1109 Brickell Avenue, Miami, Florida.

The Annual Meeting will be held for the following purposes:

1. To elect four directors to the Company's Board of Directors;
2. To approve an additional 6,000,000 shares for issuance under the Company's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan;
3. To ratify the selection of the Company's principal independent auditor;
4. To vote on a shareholder proposal in the accompanying proxy statement; and
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting and any adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 22, 2010 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules allow us to deliver proxy materials over the Internet. Under these rules, we are sending our shareholders a notice regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials, unless they previously requested to receive printed copies. If you receive such notice, you will not receive printed copies of the proxy materials unless you specifically request them. Instead, such notice informs you how to access and review on the Internet all of the important information contained in the proxy materials and how to submit your proxy card over the Internet. All of the Company's shareholders are urged to follow the instructions in the notice and submit their proxy promptly.

Notice and electronic availability of this proxy statement and accompanying proxy card are being made available on or about April 9, 2010.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting in person. Whether or not you expect to attend in person, the Company requests that you promptly complete and submit the proxy card.

Bradley H. Stein,
Secretary

April 5, 2010

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>Who May Vote</u>	1
<u>How to Vote</u>	1
<u>How Proxies Work</u>	1
<u>Matters to be Presented</u>	1
<u>Vote Necessary to Approve Proposals</u>	2
<u>Revoking a Proxy</u>	2
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	2
<u>Board of Directors and Committees</u>	2
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	4
<u>Risk Oversight and Board Role</u>	5
<u>Director Independence</u>	5
<u>Code of Ethics</u>	6
<u>Contacting Members of the Board of Directors</u>	6
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	7
<u>Principal Shareholders</u>	7
<u>Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers</u>	8
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u>	9
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	9
<u>Shareholders Agreement</u>	9
<u>PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	10
<u>Directors Standing for Election</u>	10
<u>Directors Continuing in Office</u>	12
<u>Director Compensation for 2009</u>	13
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions</u>	14
<u>PROPOSAL 2: APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL SHARES FOR ISSUANCE UNDER THE COMPANY'S 2008 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	16
<u>PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL INDEPENDENT AUDITOR</u>	21
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	22
<u>REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE</u>	23
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	23
<u>Introduction</u>	23
<u>Named Executive Officers</u>	23
<u>Principal Compensation Objectives</u>	24
<u>Compensation Review Process</u>	24
<u>Base Salary</u>	25
<u>Performance Based Annual Incentive</u>	26
<u>Long-Term Incentive Awards</u>	29
<u>Equity Grant Practices</u>	30
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	30
<u>Severance</u>	30
<u>Other Elements of Compensation</u>	31
<u>Impact of Tax and Accounting Treatment</u>	31

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	32
<i><u>2009 Summary Compensation Table</u></i>	32
<i><u>2009 All Other Compensation</u></i>	33
<i><u>2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u></i>	33
<i><u>Additional Information</u></i>	34
<i><u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End</u></i>	35
<i><u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2009</u></i>	36
<i><u>2009 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation and Defined Contribution Retirement Plans</u></i>	36
<i><u>Payments Upon Termination of Employment</u></i>	38
<u>SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL</u>	39
<u>PROPOSALS OF SHAREHOLDERS FOR NEXT YEAR</u>	41
<u>SOLICITATION OF PROXIES</u>	41
<u>IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING DELIVERY OF SECURITY HOLDER DOCUMENTS</u>	41
<u>ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K</u>	42

Table of Contents

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.

1050 Caribbean Way

Miami, Florida 33132

**PROXY STATEMENT
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD MAY 20, 2010**

GENERAL INFORMATION

This proxy statement is being furnished to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (the Board) to be used at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 20, 2010, and any adjournments or postponements thereof. References in this proxy statement to we, us, our, Company and Royal Caribbean refer to Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd.

Who May Vote

Holders of the Company's common stock, par value \$.01 per share, as reflected in our records at the close of business on March 22, 2010 (the record date), may vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 20, 2010, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

As of March 22, 2010, the Company had 214,856,920 issued and outstanding shares of common stock. Each issued and outstanding share is entitled to one vote.

How to Vote

You may vote in person at the meeting or by proxy. You may vote by proxy on the Internet, by telephone or by signing, dating and mailing your proxy card. Detailed instructions for Internet and telephone voting are set forth on the proxy card and the notice regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials. We recommend that you vote by proxy even if you plan to attend the meeting. You can always change your vote at the meeting. If your shares are held for you in a brokerage, bank or other institutional account, you must obtain a proxy from that entity and bring it with you to hand in with your ballot in order to be able to vote your shares at the meeting.

How Proxies Work

All properly executed proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions contained thereon, and if no choice is specified, the proxies will be voted: for the election of the directors named elsewhere in this proxy statement, for additional shares for issuance under the Company's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, for the ratification of the selection of the principal independent auditor and against the shareholder proposal. Abstentions are counted as present in determining the existence of a quorum but will not have the effect of votes in opposition to the election of a director or a no vote on proposals 2 or 3 or the shareholder proposal. Under New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules, if your broker holds your shares in its name, your broker is permitted to vote your shares on proposal 3 even if it does not receive voting instructions from you, but it cannot vote on proposals 1 or 2 or the shareholder proposal without your instructions.

Matters to be Presented

We are not aware of any matters to be presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders other than those described in this proxy statement. If any matters not described in this proxy statement are properly presented at the meeting, the proxies will use their own judgment to determine how to vote your shares. If the meeting is

Table of Contents

postponed or adjourned, the proxies will vote your shares on the new meeting date in accordance with your previous instructions, unless you have revoked your proxy.

Vote Necessary to Approve Proposals

A majority of the votes represented by the shares of common stock present at the meeting in person or by proxy is required for approval of proposals 1, 2 and 3 and the shareholder proposal. With respect to proposal 2, the total votes cast must represent a majority of the shares entitled to vote on the proposal.

Revoking a Proxy

Any proxy may be revoked by a shareholder at any time before it is exercised by giving written notice to that effect to the Corporate Secretary of the Company or by signing and submitting a later-dated proxy, unless the proxy submitted is entitled to be an irrevocable proxy. Shareholders who attend the Annual Meeting may revoke any proxy previously granted and vote in person.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We have adopted corporate governance principles which, along with board committee charters, provide the framework for the governance of the Company. The corporate governance principles address such matters as director qualifications, director independence, director compensation, board committees and committee evaluations. We believe that the corporate governance principles comply with the corporate governance rules adopted by the NYSE. A copy of the corporate governance principles of the Company is posted in the corporate governance section on the Company website at www.rclinvestor.com.

Board of Directors and Committees

The Board has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, an Environmental, Safety and Security Committee and a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The functions of each of these committees are described below. Each committee has adopted a charter and a copy of each committee charter is posted in the corporate governance section on the Company website at www.rclinvestor.com.

Board of Directors

The Company is governed by the Board and various committees of the Board that meet throughout the year. The Board consists of eleven members. During 2009, there were five meetings of the Board, and a total of 19 committee meetings. Each of the Board members attended at least 75% of an aggregate of all meetings of the Board and of any committees on which he or she served. The corporate governance principles provide that, in addition to regularly scheduled Board meetings, non-management directors will hold two regularly scheduled meetings a year and the independent directors will hold two regularly scheduled meetings a year. The Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board presides at such meetings. In 2009, there were two meetings of non-management directors and two meetings of independent directors.

The Company does not have a formal policy regarding Board member attendance at the annual shareholders meeting. One of our Board members attended our annual shareholders meeting last year.

Committees of the Board

The Board has four committees. The following is a description of the current membership, number of meetings held during 2009 and the responsibilities of each committee.

Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee are William L. Kimsey (Chair), Morten Arntzen, Gert W. Munthe and Bernt Reitan. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent as defined under NYSE rules.

The Audit Committee met nine times in 2009.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee is responsible for the oversight of:

- the integrity of the financial statements of the Company;
- the qualifications and independence of the Company's principal independent auditor;
- the performance of the Company's internal audit function and principal independent auditor; and
- the compliance by the Company with the legal and regulatory requirements in connection with the foregoing.

In furtherance of its purpose, the Audit Committee regularly reviews and discusses with management and the principal independent auditor the annual audited and quarterly financial statements of the Company. The Audit Committee is also responsible for preparing the Audit Committee report required by the rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), which is included in this proxy statement under the heading Report of the Audit Committee.

The Board has concluded that Messrs. Kimsey and Arntzen each qualify as an audit committee financial expert as defined under SEC rules.

Compensation Committee

The members of the Compensation Committee are Bernt Reitan (Chair), Bernard W. Aronson, Laura D.B. Laviada and Gert W. Munthe. Each member of the Compensation Committee is independent as defined under NYSE rules.

The Compensation Committee met three times in 2009.

The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for evaluating, approving and modifying the executive compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company. Among other responsibilities, the Compensation Committee annually reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and sets compensation levels based on this evaluation. The Compensation Committee also annually reviews and sets the compensation levels of all senior executives of the Company. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the compensation of all directors of the Company. The Compensation Committee may delegate its authority subject to such conditions as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate and in the best interests of the Company.

The Compensation Committee engages Watson Wyatt Worldwide, now Towers Watson (the Consultant), an executive compensation consulting firm, to assist with constructing the Company's market comparison group, analyzing the levels of each form of compensation for the Company's senior executives and providing recommendations on their compensation. The Consultant has direct access to the Compensation Committee's members and provides them with direct advice regarding matters for which the Compensation Committee is responsible. The Consultant also regularly confers with the Company's senior management and human resources department to collect, analyze and present data requested by the Compensation Committee. In 2009, the fees for any additional services provided by the Consultant to the Company did not exceed \$120,000.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for preparing the Compensation Committee Report, reviewing and discussing the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and recommending to the Board of Directors the inclusion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in the proxy statement as required by the rules of the SEC.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During the fiscal year 2009, none of the members of the Compensation Committee (a) was an officer or employee of the Company, (b) was a former officer of the Company or (c) had any related person relationship requiring disclosure by the Company under SEC rules. No executive officer of the Company serves as a member of the board of directors of any other company whose executive officers or directors served as a director of the Company.

Table of Contents

Environmental, Safety and Security Committee

The members of the Environmental, Safety and Security Committee are William K. Reilly (Chair), Morten Arntzen and Eyal M. Ofer. A majority of the members of the Environmental, Safety and Security Committee are independent as defined under NYSE rules.

The Environmental, Safety and Security Committee met twice in 2009.

The Environmental, Safety and Security Committee assists the Board in its oversight of the Company's management concerning the implementation and monitoring of the Company's environmental, safety and security programs and policies. As part of its responsibilities, the Environmental, Safety and Security Committee monitors the Company's overall environmental compliance on board its cruise ships and reviews safety and security programs and policies on board its cruise ships.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Thomas J. Pritzker (Chair), Eyal M. Ofer and Arne Alexander Wilhelmsen. Each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent as defined under NYSE rules.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met five times in 2009. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assists the Board by identifying qualified individuals for nomination as members of the Board and of Board committees, recommending to the Board corporate governance guidelines, reviewing and making recommendations to the Board concerning Board committee structure, operations and board reporting, and evaluating board and management performance.

The Company has engaged in the past and may engage in the future third parties to identify or assist in identifying potential director nominees.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy on the consideration of director candidates recommended by shareholders because the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to date has not felt it necessary to adopt such a policy. Nonetheless, the Company has adopted procedures by which shareholders may communicate to the Board recommendations for director candidates. These procedures are set forth below under *Proposals of Shareholders for Next Year*.

In assessing candidates, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the personal and professional ethics, integrity and values of the candidate and his or her ability to represent the long-term interests of the shareholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considers the candidate's experience in business and other areas that may be relevant to the activities of the Company, the applicable independence requirements and the current composition of the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also takes into account the rights of the two principal shareholders to designate nominees for directors pursuant to the terms of the Shareholders Agreement between the two principal shareholders. However, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is committed to ensuring that all candidates satisfy the foregoing qualifications. For a description of the Shareholders Agreement, see *Shareholders Agreement* below.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board believes that its current leadership structure is appropriate given our specific characteristics and current circumstances and in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders. The leadership structure of the Board

consists of Mr. Fain who serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Kimsey who serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee, Mr. Reitan who serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee, Mr. Reilly who serves as Chairman of the Environmental, Safety and Security Committee and Mr. Pritzker who serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board believes that in the context of our current operating and business environments the combined role of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is appropriate because it (i) results in unified leadership, accountability and continuity; (ii) promotes strategic development and execution and (iii) facilitates communication between management and the Board. Further, the significant leadership roles undertaken by the various independent and/or non-management directors who chair all

Table of Contents

of the Board committees strike an appropriate balance between effective Board leadership and independent oversight of management. However, the Board recognizes that circumstances may change over time and as they do, changes to the leadership structure may be warranted.

The Board has not named a lead independent director for the reasons described above. The circumstances of every company are different, and the Board believes that no single structure can serve all companies and all boards at all times. The Board believes that the current structure best suits the current set of external and internal dynamics but that these dynamics can change over time. In addition, it believes that the relevant responsibilities generally performed by a lead independent director are effectively being performed by the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and that the current structure provides better overall involvement of the Board. Under these circumstances, the appointment of a lead independent director would create inefficiencies and redundancies without any corresponding meaningful benefit.

Risk Oversight and Board Role

The Company has a formal enterprise risk management program. Pursuant to this program, management performs each year a company-wide enterprise risk assessment under the supervision of the Audit & Advisory Services department which it updates on a quarterly basis. The assessment identifies risks inherent in the Company's business plans and strategies with the greatest potential to impact the achievement of the Company's business objectives, and this assessment is used to provide the Company with a risk-based approach to managing its business. Management reviews and discusses the risk assessment report and quarterly updates with the Audit Committee, and management presents and reviews the final report on an annual basis with the Board. In addition, Committees of the Board consider and review with management at regularly scheduled Committee meetings ongoing financial, strategic, operational, legal and compliance risks inherent in the business activities applicable to each Committee's area of responsibility. The Committee Chairs inform the Board of these reviews through their reports to the Board at the regularly scheduled Board meetings.

Director Independence

The Company's corporate governance principles contain guidelines established by the Board to assist it in determining director independence as defined by the listing standards of the NYSE. The Company's corporate governance principles state that a majority of the Company's directors shall be independent directors under NYSE rules. The Board believes that directors who do not meet the NYSE's independence standards also make valuable contributions to the Board and to the Company by reason of their experience and wisdom, and the Board expects that some minority of its Board will not meet the NYSE's independence standards.

To be considered independent under the NYSE rules, the Board must determine that a director does not have any direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or any of its subsidiaries (collectively, the Royal Caribbean Group). The Board has established the following guidelines to assist it in determining director independence in accordance with those rules:

A director will not be independent if, (i) the director is, or has been within the preceding three years, an employee of the Royal Caribbean Group, or an immediate family member is, or has been within the preceding three years, an executive officer of the Royal Caribbean Group, other than in each instance as interim Chairman, interim Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or other interim executive officer; (ii) the director or an immediate family member has received during any twelve-month period within the preceding three years more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Royal Caribbean Group other than (A) director and committee fees, (B) pension and other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service), (C) compensation for former services as an interim Chairman,

interim CEO or other interim executive officer or (D) compensation to an immediate family member for service as a non-executive employee of the Royal Caribbean Group; (iii) the director is a current partner or employee of Royal Caribbean's internal or external auditor (in either case, the Auditor) or has an immediate family member who is either (A) a current partner of the Auditor or (B) a current employee who personally works on Royal Caribbean's audit; (iv) the director or an immediate family member was within the last three years a partner or employee of the Auditor and personally worked

Table of Contents

on Royal Caribbean's audit within that time; (v) the director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the preceding three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of Royal Caribbean's current executive officers at the same time serves or served on the compensation committee of that other company; or (vi) the director is an employee of another company that does business with the Royal Caribbean Group, or the director has an immediate family member that is an executive officer of another company that does business with the Royal Caribbean Group and, in either case, the annual payments to, or payments from, the Royal Caribbean Group within any of the three most recently completed fiscal years exceed two percent or \$1,000,000 (whichever is greater) of the annual consolidated gross revenues of the other company.

The following commercial relationships will not be considered to be material relationships that would impair a director's independence: (i) if a Company director is an employee of another company that does business with the Royal Caribbean Group and the annual payments to, or payments from, the Royal Caribbean Group are less than two percent or \$1,000,000 (whichever is greater) of the annual consolidated revenues of the company he or she serves as an employee; (ii) if a Company director is an employee of another company which is indebted to the Royal Caribbean Group, or to which the Royal Caribbean Group is indebted, and the total amount of indebtedness to the other is less than two percent or \$1,000,000 (whichever is greater) of the total consolidated assets of the company he or she serves as an employee; and (iii) if an immediate family member of a director is an executive officer of another company that does business with the Royal Caribbean Group, and the annual payments to, or payments from, the Royal Caribbean Group, are less than two percent or \$1,000,000 (whichever is greater) of the annual consolidated revenues of the company the immediate family member serves as an executive officer;

Each director must regularly disclose to the Board whether his or her relationships satisfy these independence tests. Based on these disclosures and other information available to it, the Board has determined that each of the directors is independent with the exception of Messrs. Fain and Reilly. Mr. Fain is not considered independent as a result of his position as Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. Reilly is not considered independent due to his consulting arrangement with the Company, which is described on page 14 under *Consulting Arrangement with William K. Reilly*. In determining that Messrs. Aronson and Wilhelmsen are independent, the Board considered that each individual is a non-management director of a company with which we do business. In determining that Mr. Pritzker is independent, the Board considered that (i) he is Chairman of a company that in 2009 provided hotel accommodations to our guests in the ordinary course of business of approximately \$570,000 and (ii) business interests of the Pritzker family own shore excursions operators that in 2009 were paid an aggregate of approximately \$320,000 by the Company in the ordinary course of business.

Code of Ethics

The Board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all employees of the Company, including its executive officers, and our directors. A copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted in the corporate governance section on the Company website at www.rclinvestor.com and is available in print, without charge, to shareholders upon written request to Corporate Secretary, Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd., 1050 Caribbean Way, Miami, Florida 33132. Any amendments to the code or any waivers from any provisions of the code granted to executive officers or directors will be promptly disclosed to investors by posting on the Company website at www.rclinvestor.com.

Contacting Members of the Board of Directors

Interested parties who wish to communicate with non-management members of the Board can address their communications to the attention of the Corporate Secretary of the Company at its principal address or via email to

corporatesecretary@rccl.com. The Corporate Secretary will maintain a record of all such communications and promptly forward to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the Committee Chair), who presides at meetings of the independent directors, those communications that the Corporate Secretary believes require immediate attention. The Corporate Secretary shall periodically provide the Committee Chair with a summary of all such communications. The Committee Chair shall notify the Board or the chairs of the relevant committees of the Board of those matters that he or she believes are appropriate for further action or discussion.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT****Principal Shareholders**

Unless otherwise stated, this table sets forth information as of February 12, 2010 about persons we know to beneficially own more than five percent of any class of our voting common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount Beneficially	Percent of Ownership
	Owned	
A. Wilhelmsen AS	42,966,472 ⁽¹⁾	20.06%
Osiris Holdings Inc.	37,903,200 ⁽²⁾	17.70%
Cruise Associates	33,281,900 ⁽³⁾	15.54%

- (1) A. Wilhelmsen AS is a Norwegian corporation, the indirect beneficial owners of which are members of the Wilhelmsen family of Norway. The address of A. Wilhelmsen AS is Beddingen 8, Aker Brygge, Vika N-0118 Oslo, Norway.
- (2) Osiris Holdings Inc. (Osiris) is a general partner of Cruise Associates. The shares reported in the table include 33,281,900 shares owned by Cruise Associates, 3,000,000 shares owned by Osiris and 1,621,300 shares owned by a subsidiary of Osiris. Osiris disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares beneficially owned by Cruise Associates. The address of Osiris Holdings Inc. is c/o Villa Saint Jean, 3 Ruelle Saint Jean, MC 98000 Monaco.
- (3) Cruise Associates is a Bahamian general partnership, the indirect beneficial owners of which are various trusts primarily for the benefit of certain members of the Pritzker family and a trust primarily for the benefit of certain members of the Ofer family. The address of Cruise Associates is c/o CIBC Trust Company (Bahamas) Ltd., Post Office Box N-3933, Nassau, Bahamas.

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers**

This table sets forth information as of February 12, 2010 about the amount of common stock beneficially owned by (i) our directors; (ii) the named executive officers listed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis below and (iii) our directors and executive officers as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each named person or entity is determined under rules of the SEC, and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. No shares of common stock held by our directors or named executive officers have been pledged.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Ownership ⁽²⁾
Morten Arntzen	4,714	*
Bernard W. Aronson	16,533	*
Richard D. Fain	2,088,700 ⁽³⁾	*
Adam M. Goldstein	255,555	*
Daniel J. Hanrahan	149,371 ⁽⁴⁾	*
William L. Kimsey	38,730	*
Harri U. Kulovaara	100,766	*
Laura D.B. Laviada	38,730	*
Gert W. Munthe	18,730	*
Eyal M. Ofer	123,730 ⁽⁵⁾	*
Thomas J. Pritzker	326,617 ⁽⁵⁾	*
William K. Reilly	61,580	*
Bernt Reitan	36,307	*
Brian J. Rice	130,226 ⁽⁶⁾	*
Arne Alexander Wilhelmsen	42,985,202 ⁽⁷⁾	20.07%
All directors and executive officers as a group	46,437,193 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	21.57%

(1) With respect to each beneficial owner, shares issuable upon exercise of his or her stock options that are exercisable on or within 60 days of February 12, 2010 are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the number of shares and percentage of common stock owned. Includes the following shares of common stock for which the following persons hold stock options exercisable on or within 60 days of February 12, 2010:

Mr. Arntzen, 2,473; Mr. Aronson, 10,938; Mr. Chico Barbier, 8,571; Mr. Fain, 497,564; Mr. Goldstein, 95,605; Mr. Hanrahan, 67,918; Mr. Kimsey, 30,938; Mr. Kulovaara 78,938; Ms. Laviada, 30,938; Mr. Munthe, 10,938; Mr. Ofer 90,938; Mr. Pritzker, 75,938; Mr. Reilly, 50,938; Mr. Reitan 9,609; Mr. Rice, 68,402; Mr. Wilhelmsen 10,938; and all directors and executive officers as a group, 1,141,584.

(2) An asterisk denotes less than 1% of the outstanding common stock.

(3) Includes 247 shares held by Mr. Fain's daughter and 571,412 shares owned by Monument Capital Corporation as nominee for various trusts primarily for the benefit of certain members of the Fain family. Mr. Fain disclaims beneficial ownership of some or all of these shares.

- (4) Includes 5,000 shares held by Mr. Hanrahan's son and 5,000 shares held by Mr. Hanrahan's daughter.
- (5) Does not include 33,281,900 shares held by Cruise Associates.
- (6) Includes 10,000 shares held by Mr. Rice's son.
- (7) Includes 42,966,472 shares held by A. Wilhelmsen AS. Mr. Wilhelmsen disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.

Table of Contents**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The following table summarizes our equity plan information as of December 31, 2009

Plan Category	Number of Securities to Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	8,966,711 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 27.77 ⁽²⁾	2,128,294
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	8,966,711	\$ 27.77	2,128,294

(1) Includes outstanding stock options and unvested restricted stock units under the following plans: the 1995 Incentive Stock Option Plan, the 2000 Stock Award Plan and the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan.

(2) Represents the weighted average exercise price of stock options outstanding without regard to equity awards that have no exercise price.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) requires the Company's directors, certain officers and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our common stock to file reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the SEC. Based solely upon a review of such reports filed since the Company last made such a disclosure in its proxy statement distributed in connection with the 2009 Annual Meeting, all reporting persons filed on a timely basis the reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

Shareholders Agreement

A. Wilhelmsen AS and Cruise Associates are parties to a Shareholders Agreement dated as of February 1, 1993 as amended (the Shareholders Agreement) and, pursuant thereto, have agreed upon certain matters relative to the organization and operation of the Company and certain matters concerning their respective ownership of the Company's voting stock. Pursuant to the Shareholders Agreement, Wilhelmsen and Cruise Associates have agreed to vote their shares of common stock in favor of the following individuals as directors of the Company: (i) up to four nominees of Wilhelmsen (at least one of whom must be independent); (ii) up to four nominees of Cruise Associates

(at least one of whom must be independent); and (iii) one nominee who must be Richard D. Fain or such other individual who is then employed as the Company's chief executive officer.

Of the persons nominated for election at the 2010 Annual Meeting, Wilhelmsen has nominated Gert W. Munthe and Bernt Reitan and Cruise Associates has nominated Thomas J. Pritzker. Of the remaining directors, Wilhelmsen nominated Morten Arntzen and Arne Alexander Wilhelmsen and Cruise Associates nominated Bernard W. Aronson, Laura D.B. Laviada and Eyal M. Ofer.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Directors Standing for Election

The Board of Directors is currently divided into three classes. The current term of office of directors in Class II expires at the 2010 Annual Meeting. The Board has proposed to nominate the four nominees described below, each of whom is currently serving as a Class II director, to be elected for a new term of three years and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified. Upon the election of the nominees named below, there will be a total of eleven directors consisting of three directors in Class I and four directors in each of Class II and Class III. The election of each of the nominees to the Board of Directors requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

Each of the nominees has consented to serve as a director. If any of them become unavailable to serve as a director, the Board may designate a substitute nominee. In that case, the persons named as proxies will vote for the substitute nominee named by the Board. The Class II directors standing for election are:

William L. Kimsey, 67, has served as a Director since April 2003. Mr. Kimsey was employed for 32 years through September 2002 with the independent public accounting firm Ernst & Young L.L.P. From 1998 through 2002, Mr. Kimsey served as the Chief Executive Officer of Ernst & Young Global and Global Executive Board member of Ernst & Young and from 1993 through 1998 as the Firm Deputy Chairman and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Kimsey also serves on the board of Western Digital Corporation, Parsons Corporation and Accenture Ltd. Mr. Kimsey serves on the audit committees of Accenture Ltd. and Western Digital Corporation. From 2004 until 2008, he served on the board of NAVTEQ Corporation and was the chairman of its audit committee. Mr. Kimsey is a certified public accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. As a practicing certified public accountant for many years and former Chief Executive Officer of one of the largest public accounting firms in the world, Mr. Kimsey brings substantial knowledge and expertise of accounting and finance matters to the Board.

Gert W. Munthe, 53, has served as a Director since May 2002. Since September 2002, Mr. Munthe has served as managing partner of Herkules Capital, a private equity company that focuses on mid-cap companies in the technology area. From 1994 through January 2000, Mr. Munthe was a director of Alpharma, Inc., a life science company active in animal health and generic pharmaceuticals, and served as its Chief Operating Officer from 1998 until 1999 and as its Chief Executive Officer in 1999. From 1993 through 1998, Mr. Munthe was the President and Chief Executive Officer of NetCom, a leading wireless telecommunication operator in Norway that was listed on the Oslo and London Stock Exchanges. Mr. Munthe has served on the board of Pronova BioPharma ASA since 2004. He served in the Royal Norwegian Navy and was previously with McKinsey & Co. Mr. Munthe's knowledge and experience from serving as a senior executive officer and director of a variety of businesses and as a managing partner of a private equity company provide the Board with a unique business and financial perspective.

Thomas J. Pritzker, 59, has served as a Director since February 1999. Mr. Pritzker is Chairman of Hyatt Hotels Corporation and Marmon Holdings, Inc. He is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Pritzker Organization LLC, which provides certain services primarily to and/or in connection with business interests of trusts for the benefit of various members of the Pritzker family. Mr. Pritzker is a member of the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago and Chairman of the Art Institute of Chicago. Mr. Pritzker's extensive business interests and responsibilities provide the Board with considerable experience and insight, particularly in the travel and leisure industry.

Bernt Reitan, 61, has served as a Director of the Company since September 2004. Mr. Reitan is an Executive Vice President of Alcoa Inc. and is the Group President for the Global Primary Products division, with responsibility for the

strategic management of Alcoa Inc.'s alumina refineries and primary aluminum smelters worldwide and associated businesses, such as metal purchasing, trading and transportation. Mr. Reitan joined Alcoa Inc. in 2000 as general manager of Alcoa World Alumina & Chemicals and was named President of Alcoa World Alumina & Chemicals in January 2001. In July of that year, he was elected a Vice President of Alcoa Inc. In January 2003, he

Table of Contents

was appointed President, Alcoa Primary Metals. In November 2004, he was named an Executive Vice President of the company. Mr. Reitan has announced that he will be retiring from Alcoa Inc. in August 2010. Before joining Alcoa Inc., he was employed for 20 years in a number of positions with Elkem ASA in Norway. Mr. Reitan serves on the board of Yara ASA in Norway and International Primary Aluminum Institute. Mr. Reitan holds a master's degree in civil engineering from the Technical University, Trondheim, Norway. As an executive officer with various roles of increasing responsibility within a large publicly-traded global company, Mr. Reitan brings valuable leadership, strategic and managerial knowledge and experience as well as a multinational business perspective to the Board.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR.

Table of Contents

Directors Continuing in Office

Class III Directors

The following Class III directors are serving for a term ending in 2011:

Laura D.B. Laviada, 59, has served as a Director since July 1997. Since 2008, Ms. Laviada has served as Chairman of Grupo Aeroportuario del Pacifico, which operates 12 airports in Mexico, including Guadalajara, Los Cabos, Puerto Vallarta and Tijuana. She also serves on the board of Telmex International and Grupo Financiero Inbursa.

Ms. Laviada is President of the Board of Trustees of the Museum of San Ildefonso. Prior to 2000, Ms. Laviada was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Editorial Televisa, the largest Spanish language magazine publisher with 40 titles distributed throughout 19 countries. Ms. Laviada's service on various boards of Mexican companies allows her to provide the Board with a unique, invaluable business perspective.

Eyal M. Ofer, 59, has served as a Director since May 1995. Mr. Ofer has served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Deerbrook Limited, an international real estate management company, since May 1991. Mr. Ofer provides the Board with an international perspective gained from his almost two decades of leading an international real estate management firm. As a member of the Board for nearly 15 years, Mr. Ofer also brings considerable experience and insight in matters affecting our company and the cruise industry.

William K. Reilly, 70, has served as a Director since January 1998. Mr. Reilly is the Founding Partner of Aqua International Partners L.P., a private equity fund dedicated to investing in companies engaged in water and renewable energy, and he is a Senior Advisor to TPG Capital, LP, an international investment partnership. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Reilly served as the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. He has also previously served as the first Payne Visiting Professor at Stanford University, President of the World Wildlife Fund and President of The Conservation Foundation. He is Chairman Emeritus of the World Wildlife Fund, Co-Chairman of the National Commission on Energy Policy and Chairman of the ClimateWorks Foundation and the Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions at Duke University and member of Gov. Schwarzenegger's Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force. He serves as a director of E.I.DuPont de Nemours and Company, ConocoPhillips, Eden Springs Ltd., the National Geographic Society and the Packard Foundation. Mr. Reilly's leadership roles within various environmental protection organizations, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the World Wildlife Fund and the National Geographic Society, allow him to bring substantial environmental knowledge and expertise to the Board. His service on various other boards also allows him to provide the Board with a variety of perspectives.

Arne Alexander Wilhelmsen, 44, has served as a Director since May 2003. Mr. Wilhelmsen is chairman of the board of directors of AWILHELMSSEN MANAGEMENT AS, the management company for the companies affiliated with A WILHELMSSEN AS. He has held a variety of positions within the AWILHELMSSEN group of companies since 1995. From 1996 through 1997, Mr. Wilhelmsen was engaged as a marketing analyst for the Company and from 2001 through 2009 has served as a member of the board of directors of Royal Caribbean Cruise Line AS, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company that is responsible for the sales and marketing activities of the Company in Europe. From 2005 through 2008, he served as a member of the board of directors of Awilco Offshore ASA. Mr. Wilhelmsen's varied business interests enable him to provide valuable business insight and knowledge to the Board. As the son of one of the Company's original founders, Mr. Wilhelmsen also provides a valuable historical perspective to the Board.

Class I Directors

The following Class I directors are serving for a term ending in 2012:

Morten Arntzen, 55, has served as a Director since September 2008. Mr. Arntzen is currently the President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc., a diversified global energy transportation company. Until December 2009, he was Chairman of OSG America, LP, a master limited partnership that was listed on the New York Stock Exchange and affiliated with Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc. From 1997 to 2003, Mr. Arntzen served as the Chief Executive Officer of American Marine Advisors, Inc., a merchant banking firm specializing in the maritime industry. Prior to joining American Marine Advisors, Inc., Mr. Arntzen spent more than 17 years in the banking industry holding various corporate positions. From March

Table of Contents

2003 through July 2009, Mr. Arntzen was a director of Chiquita Brands International. Mr. Arntzen's management experience with a diversified global energy transportation company and within the banking industry provides the Board with important knowledge and insights of maritime and finance matters.

Bernard W. Aronson, 63, has served as a Director since July 1993. Mr. Aronson is currently Managing Partner of ACON Investments, LLC. Prior to that, he served as international advisor to Goldman, Sachs & Co. From June 1989 to July 1993, Mr. Aronson served as Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs. Prior to that, Mr. Aronson served in various positions in the private and government sectors. Mr. Aronson is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. Mr. Aronson serves as a director of Liz Claiborne, Inc., Hyatt Hotels Corporation, Mariner Energy Incorporated and Chroma Oil and Gas, LP. As a member of the Council of Foreign Relations, a former Assistant Secretary of State and former international advisor to one of the largest investment banking firms, Mr. Aronson is appropriately suited to provide the Board with a global perspective. His service on various other boards also allows him to provide the Board with a variety of business experiences.

Richard D. Fain, 62, has served as a Director since 1979 and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1988. Mr. Fain has been involved in the shipping industry for over 30 years. As the longest serving member of the Board with over 30 years of experience in the shipping industry, Mr. Fain provides the Board with substantial expertise in the cruise industry. In addition, his service as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company for over 20 years, brings valuable leadership, strategic and managerial knowledge and experience to the Board.

Director Compensation for 2009

Directors who are Company employees do not receive any fees for their services as directors. For services in the fiscal year 2009, each non-employee director was entitled to receive an annual retainer of \$50,000 and \$1,200 for each Board meeting attended in his or her capacity as director and \$1,200 for each committee meeting attended. The Chair of the Audit Committee is entitled to an additional annual retainer of \$30,000, the Chair of the Compensation Committee is entitled to an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 and each of the Chairs of the Nominating and Corporate Governance, and Environmental, Safety and Security Committees is entitled to an additional annual retainer of \$6,000. Other members of the Audit Committee are entitled to an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 and other members of the Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance, and Environmental, Safety and Security Committees are entitled to an additional annual retainer of \$5,000. Prior to 2009, directors could elect to defer their fees, in whole or in part, under the Company's Board of Directors Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, provided the deferral was made in advance in accordance with IRS requirements. Each director was entitled to elect to invest their contributions to such plan in one or more investment funds and was required to designate the form and timing of their distributions. In 2008, a new U.S. tax law was enacted that imposes a punitive tax on compensation deferred under our nonqualified deferred compensation plans after January 1, 2009. As a result of the passage of this law, the Company amended this plan to prohibit the directors from deferring compensation under such plan after January 1, 2009, and provided for the distribution to them of all previously deferred contributions. Directors are reimbursed for their travel expenses, and occasionally for those of an accompanying guest, for meetings attended.

At the discretion of the Board, each non-employee director is eligible to receive an annual grant of equity awards with an aggregate value on the date of grant equal to \$90,000. For 2009, two-thirds of this annual grant was awarded in the form of restricted stock units and one-third was awarded in the form of stock options to purchase the Company's common stock. The Board's stock ownership guidelines require directors to accumulate ownership of at least \$150,000 of the Company's common stock, including the value of restricted stock units, within three years of becoming a director. If the value of their stock holdings falls below this amount, directors cannot sell the Company's common stock until the value once again exceeds the required amount.

In order to increase knowledge and understanding of our business, we encourage Board members and their families to experience our cruises. As a result, the Company has adopted a Board Member Cruise Policy (the Cruise Policy). Under the Cruise Policy, a Board member is entitled to one stateroom accommodation per year on a complimentary basis. A Board member is also entitled to provide immediate family members traveling with the Board member with one complimentary stateroom per year. Additional guests traveling with a Board member will

Table of Contents

receive a 15% discount off of the lowest available fare for up to 20 staterooms. A Board member is entitled to accommodate immediate family members not traveling with the Board member with one complimentary stateroom, provided they have not already traveled with a Board member that same calendar year.

Consulting Arrangement with William K. Reilly. The Company has a consulting arrangement with Mr. Reilly under which it pays him \$300,000 a year in consultancy fees in exchange for his providing services with respect to, and overseeing, the Company's environmental programs. As part of his responsibilities, Mr. Reilly serves on the Grants Committee of the Royal Caribbean Ocean Fund, a fund established to support marine conservation organizations in preserving the world's oceans.

The table below summarizes the compensation of our outside directors in 2009.

Name	2009 Director Compensation				
	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾	Total
Morten Arntzen	\$ 74,500	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998	\$ 13,872	\$ 178,372
Bernard W. Aronson	\$ 63,400	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 153,400
William L. Kimsey	\$ 96,800	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 186,800
Laura D.B. Laviada	\$ 64,600	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 154,600
Gert W. Munthe	\$ 86,800	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 176,800
Eyal M. Ofer	\$ 74,400	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 164,400
Thomas J. Pritzker	\$ 68,000	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 158,000
William K. Reilly	\$ 64,400	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 154,400
Bernt Reitan	\$ 100,400	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 190,400
Arne Alexander Wilhelmsen	\$ 65,750	\$ 60,002	\$ 29,998		\$ 155,750

(1) The columns titled "Stock Awards" and "Option Awards" report the fair value of restricted stock unit awards and stock option awards, respectively, at their grant date in 2009 calculated in accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718. For the assumptions used in valuing these awards for purposes of computing this expense please see Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(2) As of December 31, 2009, the outside directors held the following aggregate number of unvested restricted stock units: Mr. Arntzen, 8,785; Mr. Aronson, 10,415; Mr. Kimsey, 10,415; Ms. Laviada, 10,415; Mr. Munthe, 10,415; Mr. Ofer, 10,415; Mr. Pritzker, 10,415; Mr. Reilly, 10,415; Mr. Reitan, 10,415; and Mr. Wilhelmsen, 10,415.

(3) As of December 31, 2009, the outside directors held options to purchase the following aggregate number of shares of common stock: Mr. Arntzen, 9,890; Mr. Aronson, 19,938; Mr. Kimsey, 39,938; Ms. Laviada, 94,938; Mr. Munthe, 19,938; Mr. Ofer, 99,938; Mr. Pritzker, 84,938; Mr. Reilly, 59,938; Mr. Reitan, 18,609; and Mr. Wilhelmsen, 19,938.

(4) These amounts include discounts on Company cruises and travel expenses for spouses accompanying outside directors on business. The aggregate value of perquisites made available to outside directors other than Mr. Arntzen is less than \$10,000 per person.

Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions

Related Person Transaction Policy and Procedure

The Company has a written Related Person Transaction Policy that requires review of all relationships and transactions in which the Company and any director or executive officer or their immediate family members have a direct or indirect material interest. Under this policy, each director, director nominee and executive officer is required to promptly notify the Corporate Secretary of any such transaction. The Corporate Secretary then presents such transactions to the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee is responsible for determining whether to approve or ratify the transactions. The following types of transactions are deemed not to create or involve a material interest on the part of the related person and do not require approval or ratification under the policy unless the Audit Committee determines that the facts and circumstances of the transaction warrant its review:

Table of Contents

transactions involving the purchase or sale of products or services in the ordinary course of business, not exceeding \$120,000;

transactions in which the related person's interest derives solely from his or her service as a director of another corporation or organization that is a party to the transaction;

transactions in which the related person's interest derives solely from his or her ownership of less than 10% of the equity interest in another person (other than a general partnership interest) which is a party to the transaction;

transactions in which the related person's interest derives solely from his or her ownership of a class of equity shares of the Company and all holders of that class of equity securities received the same benefit on a pro rata basis;

compensation arrangements of any executive officer, other than an individual who is an immediate family member of a related person; and

non-executive director compensation arrangements.

In making its decision, the Audit Committee reviews and considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including:

the commercial reasonableness of the terms;

the benefit and perceived benefit, or lack thereof, to the Company;

opportunity costs of alternative transactions;

the character of the related person's interest; and

the actual or apparent conflict of interest of the related person.

If after the review described above, the Audit Committee determines not to approve or ratify the transaction, it will be cancelled or unwound as the Audit Committee considers appropriate and practicable.

Related Person Transactions

The Audit Committee reviewed and approved or ratified all of the following transactions in accordance with our Related Person Transaction Policy.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, the Company paid Hyatt Hotels Corporation approximately \$570,000 to provide accommodations to the Company's guests. In addition, certain employees of the Company stay at Hyatt Hotels while traveling on business and the Company may make use of Hyatt facilities for business purposes although Hyatt has no specific arrangement or understanding with the Company in that connection. Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker, one of the Company's directors, is Chairman of the Hyatt Hotels Corporation.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, the Company paid Red Sail Sports Aruba and Red Sail Sports Cayman an aggregate of approximately \$320,000 as shore excursions operators in the Caribbean. Both entities are owned by business interests of the Pritzker family.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, the Company paid Mr. William K. Reilly, one of the Company's directors, \$300,000 under his consulting arrangement with the Company, which is described on page 14 under *Consulting Arrangement with William K. Reilly*.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL 2: APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL SHARES FOR ISSUANCE
UNDER THE COMPANY'S 2008 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN**

At the Annual Meeting, shareholders will be asked to approve 6,000,000 additional shares for issuance under the Company's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2008 Equity Plan"). The 2008 Equity Plan was adopted by the Board on March 7, 2008 and was ratified by the shareholders at the 2008 Annual Meeting. Currently, 5,000,000 shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, are authorized to be issued under the 2008 Equity Plan. As of December 31, 2009, there were 2,128,294 shares of common stock available for future issuance under the 2008 Equity Plan.

Subject to approval by the shareholders, the Board has approved an additional 6,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the 2008 Equity Plan. The approval of the additional shares for issuance under the 2008 Equity Plan requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting and the total votes cast must represent a majority of the shares entitled to vote on the proposal.

The 2008 Equity Plan is designed to provide long-term incentive awards, including stock options and restricted stock units, to attract and retain talented officers, directors and key employees, while aligning their compensation with shareholder interests. Long-term incentive awards represent a significant component of total direct compensation for our officers, directors and key employees. The Board believes that it is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to approve the additional shares for issuance under the 2008 Equity Plan as proposed to enable the Company to continue granting such awards.

The principal features of the 2008 Equity Plan, as amended, are summarized below.

Shares Available for Awards

If this proposal is approved by the shareholders, the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2008 Equity Plan will be increased by 6,000,000 shares, subject to adjustment by the Compensation Committee for stock splits and other events as set forth in the 2008 Equity Plan. Each stock appreciation right will be counted against the 2008 Equity Plan's authorized shares. If an award under the 2008 Equity Plan is cancelled or forfeited without the delivery of the full number of shares underlying such award, only the net number of shares actually delivered to the participant will be counted against the 2008 Equity Plan's authorized shares. Also, shares underlying awards issued in assumption of or substitution for awards issued by a company acquired by the Company ("Substitute Awards") will not reduce the number of shares remaining available for issuance under the 2008 Equity Plan.

No participant may receive options and stock appreciation rights under the 2008 Equity Plan relating to more than 500,000 shares of common stock, subject to adjustment as noted above, in any calendar year.

Material Features of the 2008 Equity Plan

The 2008 Equity Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has, among other powers, the power to interpret and construe any provision of the 2008 Equity Plan, to adopt rules and regulations for administering the 2008 Equity Plan and to perform other acts relating to the 2008 Equity Plan. Decisions of the Compensation Committee are final and binding on all parties. The Board may appoint another committee to perform the functions of the Compensation Committee under the 2008 Equity Plan.

The Compensation Committee has the sole discretion to grant to eligible participants one or more equity based awards, including options, stock appreciation rights, stock, restricted stock and restricted stock units, performance

shares, or any combination thereof. The Compensation Committee will have the sole discretion to determine the number or amount of any award to be awarded to any participant. Awards to directors shall be recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the full Board.

If a dividend or other distribution, recapitalization, stock split, or other corporate event or transaction (more fully described in Section 7 of the 2008 Equity Plan) affects the shares in such a way that an adjustment is appropriate to prevent dilution or enlargement of the benefits, or potential benefits, intended to be made available under the 2008 Equity Plan, the Compensation Committee shall, in such manner as it may deem equitable and

Table of Contents

appropriate, adjust: (i) the number of shares (or other securities) which may be made the subject of awards, (ii) the number of shares subject to outstanding awards, (iii) the grant, purchase or exercise price with respect to any award, and (iv) any other provision determined by the Compensation Committee to be necessary for an equitable adjustment. If such event involves a merger or sale of the Company or its assets, the Compensation Committee may provide for the assumption or substitution of such award, or for the termination of such award for a cash payment. The Compensation Committee may not take any other action to directly or indirectly reduce, or have the effect of reducing, the total exercise price of any option as established at the time of grant.

Awards may provide that upon their exercise the holder will receive cash, stock, other securities or other awards or any combination thereof, as the Compensation Committee determines. Any shares of stock deliverable under the 2008 Equity Plan may consist in whole or in part of authorized and unissued shares or shares acquired by the Company.

Except in the case of Substitute Awards, the exercise price of stock under any stock option, the grant price of any stock appreciation right, and the purchase price of any security which may be purchased under any other stock-based award will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the stock or other security on the date of the grant of the option, right or award. The Compensation Committee will determine the times at which options and other purchase rights may be exercised and the methods by which and the forms in which payment of the purchase price may be made. Under the 2008 Equity Plan, determinations of the fair market value of shares of the Company's common stock will be based on the average of the high and low quoted sales price on the date in question and determinations of fair market value with respect to other property will be made in accordance with methods or procedures established by the Compensation Committee.

No awards may be granted under the 2008 Equity Plan after the date of the annual shareholders meeting in 2018.

Awards

Options. An option is a right to purchase from the Company a stated number of shares at an exercise price and for a period of time established by the Compensation Committee. The duration of options granted under the 2008 Equity Plan will be established by the Compensation Committee but may not exceed ten years. The Compensation Committee may impose a vesting schedule on options, and will determine the acceptable form(s) in which the exercise price may be paid. In general, options are exercisable following termination of employment for 12 months, if such options were exercisable at the time of termination. Upon termination of employment by reason of the holder's death or permanent and total disability, options held by the holder shall become exercisable in full, and may be exercised at any time prior to the earlier of (i) one year following the date of such death or disability or (ii) the expiration date of such option.

Options granted under the 2008 Equity Plan may be incentive stock options (ISOs), which afford certain favorable tax treatment for the holder, or nonqualified stock options (NQSOs). See Tax Matters below.

Stock Appreciation Rights. A stock appreciation right (SAR) is the right to receive an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares underlying the SAR over the base price of the SAR. The settlement amount of SARs may be paid in cash or shares. The Compensation Committee determines the terms of each SAR at the time of the grant. Any freestanding SAR may not have a base price less than the fair market value of the stock on the date the SAR is granted and cannot have a term of longer than ten years. The employment termination provisions applicable to SARs are the same as those described above for options.

Stock Awards. The Compensation Committee may award shares of stock that are not subject to any restriction.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. Restricted stock is an award of shares that is subject to a risk of forfeiture for a period of time specified on the date of grant. A restricted stock unit is an award payable in cash or stock and represented by a bookkeeping credit, in which both the number of shares and the settlement date are fixed on the date of grant. The Compensation Committee may impose restrictions on restricted stock and restricted stock units at its discretion. These restrictions may lapse as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate. Upon termination of employment during the restriction period by reason of the holder's death or permanent and total

Table of Contents

disability, any restricted stock and restricted stock units held by the participant will fully vest and be settled. Holders of restricted stock unit awards may provide for the receipt of dividend equivalents, which may be paid currently or credited to the participant's account. No participant may accrue more than \$500,000 in dividend equivalents in any calendar year. Also, the Compensation Committee may establish provisions applicable to restricted stock and restricted stock units upon termination of employment that differ from those contained in the 2008 Equity Plan.

Performance Shares. The Compensation Committee may grant performance shares, the grant or vesting of which is subject to the attainment of performance goals. The Compensation Committee will establish the performance criteria, the length of the performance period and the form and time of payment of the award. In the event of the holder's death or permanent and total disability, the holder or his beneficiary or estate will receive the performance share award upon the expiration of the applicable performance period. Also, the Compensation Committee may establish provisions applicable to performance shares upon termination of employment that differ from those contained in the 2008 Equity Plan.

Awards (other than options and stock appreciation rights) to certain senior executives will, if the Compensation Committee intends any such award to qualify as qualified performance based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, become earned and payable only if preestablished targets relating to one or more of the following performance measures are achieved during a performance period or periods, as determined by the Compensation Committee: stock price, earnings per share, price-earnings multiples, net earnings, operating earnings, revenue, number of days sales outstanding in accounts receivable, productivity, margin, cost management, EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization), net capital employed, return on assets, shareholder return, return on equity, return on invested capital, growth in assets, unit volume, occupancy rates, sales, cash flow, market share, performance relative to a comparison group designated by the Compensation Committee and/or strategic business criteria consisting of one or more objectives based on meeting specified revenue goals, market penetration goals, customer growth, customer satisfaction, geographic business expansion, acquisition or investment goals, cost targets and/or goals relating to investments, acquisitions or divestitures. Such targets may relate to the Company as a whole, or to one or more units thereof, on an absolute or relative basis and may be measured over such periods, as the Compensation Committee shall determine. The Compensation Committee may exclude the impact of any event or occurrence which the Compensation Committee determines is appropriate to exclude. The maximum number of shares which may be payable under any such performance share award granted in any year is 500,000 shares or the equivalent cash value thereof on the last day of the applicable performance period.

Transferability

The 2008 Equity Plan provides that, except as described in the following sentence, no options or SARs granted under the 2008 Equity Plan may be transferred or otherwise encumbered by the individual to whom it is granted, other than by will, court order or by designation of a beneficiary and that, during the individual's lifetime, each award will be exercisable only by the individual or by the individual's guardian or legal representative. The Compensation Committee may permit options or SARs to be transferred to an optionholder's or SAR holder's family members or a trust for the benefit of family members, or to certain controlled corporations. Shares represented by restricted stock awards may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered, except as permitted by the Compensation Committee, during the applicable vesting period.

Change of Control

In general, if a participant's employment is terminated by the Company without Cause, or by the participant with Good Reason within 18 months following a Change of Control, all outstanding awards will become fully exercisable and vested, and any restrictions applicable to any award shall automatically lapse. The terms "Cause", "Good Reason" and "Change of Control" are all defined in the 2008 Equity Plan.

Eligibility and Participation

Any employee of the Company or its affiliates, including any officer or employee and any director of the Company, will be eligible to receive awards under the 2008 Equity Plan. Additionally, any holder of an outstanding

Table of Contents

equity based award issued by a company acquired by the Company may be granted a Substitute Award under the 2008 Equity Plan. The Company and its affiliates had approximately 60,000 employees and directors as of December 31, 2009.

Amendment and Termination

The Compensation Committee may at any time terminate, suspend or discontinue the 2008 Equity Plan. The Compensation Committee may amend the 2008 Equity Plan at any time, provided that no such amendment shall be made without the approval of the Company's shareholders (a) to the extent that such approval is required by applicable law or by the listing standards of any applicable exchange(s) on or after the adoption of the 2008 Equity Plan, (b) to the extent that such amendment would materially increase the number of securities which may be issued under the 2008 Equity Plan, (c) to the extent that such amendment would materially modify the requirements for participation in the 2008 Equity Plan, or (d) to the extent that such amendment would accelerate the vesting of any restricted stock or restricted stock units under the 2008 Equity Plan except as otherwise provided therein.

New Plan Benefits

Any awards under the 2008 Equity Plan will be at the discretion of the Compensation Committee or its delegate. Therefore, it is not possible at present to determine the amount or form of any award that will be available for grant to any individual during the term of the 2008 Equity Plan or that would have been granted during the last fiscal year had the 2008 Equity Plan been in effect.

Tax Matters

The following discussion is a brief summary of the principal United States Federal income tax consequences under current Federal income tax laws relating to awards under the 2008 Equity Plan. This summary is not intended to be exhaustive and, among other things, does not describe state, local or foreign income and other tax consequences.

Nonqualified Stock Options. An optionee will not recognize any taxable income upon the grant of an NQSO and the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction with respect to the grant of an NQSO. Upon exercise of an NQSO, the excess of the fair market value of the underlying shares of common stock on the exercise date over the option exercise price will be taxable as compensation income to the optionee and will be subject to applicable withholding taxes. The Company will generally be entitled to a tax deduction at such time in the amount of such compensation income. The optionee's tax basis for the shares received pursuant to the exercise of an NQSO will equal the sum of the compensation income recognized and the exercise price.

In the event of a sale of shares received upon the exercise of an NQSO, any appreciation or depreciation after the exercise date generally will be taxed as capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if the holding period for such shares is more than one year.

Incentive Stock Options. An optionee will not recognize any taxable income at the time of grant or timely exercise of an ISO and the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction with respect to such grant or exercise. Exercise of an ISO may, however, give rise to taxable compensation income subject to applicable withholding taxes, and a tax deduction to the Company, if the ISO is not exercised on a timely basis (generally, while the optionee is employed by the Company or within 90 days after termination of employment) or if the optionee subsequently engages in a disqualifying disposition, as described below. Also, the excess of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of exercise over the exercise price will be an item of income for purposes of the optionee's alternative minimum tax in the year of exercise.

A sale or exchange by an optionee of shares acquired upon the exercise of an ISO more than one year after the transfer of the shares to such optionee and more than two years after the date of grant of the ISO will result in any difference between the net sale proceeds and the exercise price being treated as long-term capital gain (or loss) to the optionee. If such sale or exchange takes place within two years after the date of grant of the ISO or within one year from the date of transfer of the ISO shares to the optionee, such sale or exchange will generally constitute a disqualifying disposition of such shares that will have the following results: any excess of (i) the lesser of (a) the

Table of Contents

fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise of the ISO and (b) the amount realized on such disqualifying disposition of the shares over (ii) the option exercise price of such shares, will be ordinary income to the optionee, subject to applicable withholding taxes, and the Company will be entitled to a tax deduction in the amount of such income. Any further gain or loss after the date of exercise generally will qualify as capital gain or loss and will not result in any deduction by the Company.

Stock Appreciation Rights. Generally, the recipient of a stand-alone SAR will not recognize taxable income at the time the stand-alone SAR is granted. The amount of cash, or the value of stock received upon exercise of the SAR will be taxed as ordinary income to the employee at the time it is received. In general, there will be no federal income tax deduction allowed to the Company upon the grant or termination of SARs. However, upon the exercise of a SAR, the Company will be entitled to a deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income the recipient is required to recognize as a result of the exercise.

Stock and Restricted Stock. A grantee will not recognize any income upon the receipt of restricted stock unless the holder elects under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, within thirty days of such receipt, to recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the fair market value of the restricted stock at the time of receipt, less any amount paid for the shares. If the election is made, the holder will not be allowed a deduction for amounts subsequently required to be returned to the Company. If the election is not made, the holder will generally recognize ordinary income, on the date that the stock is no longer subject to restrictions, in an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares on such date, less any amount paid for the shares. With respect to a stock award that is not subject to restrictions, the holder will generally recognize ordinary income on the grant date in an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares on the grant date, less any amount paid for the shares. At the time the holder recognizes ordinary income, the Company generally will be entitled to a deduction in the same amount.

Generally, upon a sale or other disposition of stock or restricted stock with respect to which the holder has recognized ordinary income (*i.e.*, a Section 83(b) election was previously made or the restrictions were previously removed), the holder will recognize capital gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized on such sale or other disposition and the holder's basis in such shares. Such gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if the holding period for such shares is more than one year.

Restricted Stock Units and Performance Shares. The grant of an award of restricted stock units or a performance share will not result in income for the grantee or in a tax deduction for the Company. Upon the settlement of such an award, the grantee will recognize ordinary income equal to the aggregate value of the payment received, and the Company generally will be entitled to a tax deduction in the same amount.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF
ADDITIONAL SHARES FOR ISSUANCE UNDER THE COMPANY'S 2008 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN.**

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL INDEPENDENT AUDITOR**

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the principal independent auditor for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has served as the Company's principal independent auditor for over 20 years. A representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to questions from the shareholders and to make a statement if the representative desires to do so.

Although ratification by the shareholders of the appointment of the principal independent auditor for the Company is not legally required, the Board believes that such action is desirable. If the shareholders do not approve this proposal, the Audit Committee will consider selecting another independent auditor for the fiscal year 2010 and future fiscal years.

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were:

	2009	2008
Audit fees	\$ 1,850,611	\$ 2,054,899
Audit-related fees	87,965	91,435
Tax fees	51,275	40,375
All other fees	9,070	7,500
Total	\$ 1,998,921	\$ 2,194,209

Pursuant to the terms of its charter, the Audit Committee shall approve all audit engagement fees and terms and all non-audit engagements with the principal independent auditor. The Chairman of the Audit Committee also has the authority to approve any non-audit engagements with the principal independent auditor but must report any such approvals to the Audit Committee at its next meeting. Our Audit Committee was not called upon in the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 or 2008 to approve, after the fact, any non-audit, review or attest services pursuant to the pre-approval waiver provisions of the auditor independence rules of the SEC.

The audit fees for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were for professional services rendered for the integrated audits of the Company's consolidated financial statements and system of internal control over financial reporting, quarterly reviews, statutory audits required by foreign jurisdictions, comfort letters, consents, and review of documents filed with the SEC. The audit fees for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 reflect an adjustment of \$64,500 of additional audit fees that were paid in 2009.

The audit-related fees for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were mostly for the audits of employee benefit plans.

Tax fees for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were for services performed in connection with international tax compliance and transfer pricing services.

All other fees for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were primarily for subscription fees for accounting and auditing research software.

The Audit Committee has considered and determined that the services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are compatible with maintaining PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's independence.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF
THE SELECTION OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY'S
PRINCIPAL INDEPENDENT AUDITOR FOR THE 2010 FISCAL YEAR.**

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

In accordance with its charter, the Audit Committee of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (the Company) is responsible for assisting the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities for the integrity of the Company's financial statements; the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the Company's principal independent auditor's qualifications and independence; and the performance of the Company's internal audit function and principal independent auditor.

It is the responsibility of the Company's management to prepare the Company's financial statements and to develop and maintain adequate systems of internal controls over financial reporting. The internal auditors' responsibility is to review and, when appropriate, audit the internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's principal independent auditor has the responsibility to express an opinion on the financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting based on an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements contained in the 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Company's internal controls over financial reporting with the Company's management and its principal independent auditor. The Audit Committee has discussed with the principal independent auditor the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the principal independent auditor required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the principal independent auditor's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the principal independent auditor their independence. The Audit Committee has also considered whether the provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independence of the principal independent auditor.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**THE AUDIT COMMITTEE
OF ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.**

William L. Kimsey, Chairman
Morten Arntzen
Gert W. Munthe
Bernt Reitan

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) and, based on such review and discussion, has recommended to the Board that the CD&A be included in the Company s 2010 proxy statement.

**COMPENSATION COMMITTEE
OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Bernt Reitan, Chairman
Bernard W. Aronson
Laura D.B. Laviada
Gert W. Munthe

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Introduction

We are the second largest cruise company in the world with 38 ships operating under five different cruise brands, sailing to approximately 400 destinations and employing approximately 60,000 shipboard and shoreside employees worldwide as of December 31, 2009.

In 2009, our financial results continued to be negatively affected by the economic environment, including high unemployment rates in certain markets and strained consumer discretionary spending, which in turn caused a diminished demand for our cruises and land-based tours. In addition, the H1N1 flu virus had an adverse effect on our operations in 2009. In response to these challenges, we took several actions in 2009 to bolster our liquidity and aggressively manage our costs and operating efficiencies, which we believe will serve to improve our performance for 2010 and beyond. We successfully took delivery of *Oasis of the Seas*, a new class of ship for Royal Caribbean International, and *Celebrity Equinox*, the second Solstice-class ship for Celebrity Cruises, both of which generate pricing premiums. In late 2009, we began to experience growth in our order book and a diminishing gap in year-over-year booked volume comparisons.

This CD&A describes the compensation plans, programs and objectives for our named executive officers and outlines the 2009 compensation actions taken to recognize their overall performance in this challenging environment. In summary, we responded to the economic uncertainty by freezing the base salaries of our named executive officers, introducing a new performance-based annual incentive plan and awarding flat to lower long-term incentive award values.

Named Executive Officers

The Compensation Committee determines the compensation of our senior executive officers, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and our three most highly compensated executive officers other than the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 (Named Executive Officers or NEOs), which are set forth below.

Name	Title
-------------	--------------

Richard D. Fain	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Brian J. Rice	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Adam M. Goldstein	President and Chief Executive Officer, Royal Caribbean International
Daniel J. Hanrahan	President and Chief Executive Officer, Celebrity Cruises
Harri U. Kulovaara	Executive Vice President, Maritime

Table of Contents**Principal Compensation Objectives**

Our executive compensation programs are designed to: attract and retain executives who contribute to the Company's long-term success; reward executives for their contribution to achieving the Company's short- and long-term goals; align executive compensation and shareholder interests through performance- and equity-based plans; and for some executives recognize individual contributions to the Company's performance.

We provide compensation to our executives consisting of three principal elements: *base salary*, *performance based annual incentive* and *long-term incentive awards* (collectively, "Total Direct Compensation"). The objectives of each element of compensation are described below.

<i>Base Salary</i>	Deliver a level of fixed compensation that is commensurate with expertise, experience, tenure, performance, potential and scope of responsibility.
<i>Performance Based Annual Incentive</i>	Focus executives on annual results enabling them to better manage the cyclical nature of our business. Reward executives for the generation of income and positive cash flow, as we operate in a leveraged, high fixed cost environment.
<i>Long-Term Incentive Awards</i>	Align executive's risk and investment decisions with shareholder interests, rewarding the achievement of long-term goals. Promote stability and corporate loyalty among our executives.

While the principal elements of our compensation programs are quantitative in nature, our programs also take into account qualitative factors to avoid an overly formulaic approach in determining compensation. The key quantitative and qualitative considerations that we used in assessing performance, and the process by which we linked compensation to them, are described in this CD&A.

Based on our pay for performance orientation and the desire to create long-term earnings opportunities, we place a significant portion of target Total Direct Compensation at risk, as illustrated below. This mix of Total Direct Compensation elements is consistent with general market trends and with the mix provided by companies in our 2009 Market Comparison Group (the "Market Comparison Group") described below.

Title	2009 Total Direct Compensation Mix at Target			
	Base Salary as a % of Total Pay	Annual Incentive as a % of Total Pay	Long-Term Incentive as a % of Total Pay	Total Variable Pay (Annual Incentive + Long-Term Incentive)
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	16%	31%	53%	84%
Other Named Executive Officers ⁽¹⁾	28%	30%	42%	72%

- (1) These percentages represent an average of each element of compensation for the other four Named Executive Officers. In the case of Mr. Kulovaara, the ship delivery bonuses are not factored into these percentages.

Compensation Review Process

The process of making compensation decisions begins with establishing a Market Comparison Group. The composition of this group is assessed annually as this is the foundation of our review of compensation practices and levels for our Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee engaged Watson Wyatt Worldwide, now Towers Watson (Consultant), an executive compensation consulting firm, to assist with constructing the Market Comparison Group. Traditionally, this group consists of companies that generally operate in the travel and tourism, hospitality, leisure, air transportation and food and beverage industries. The Compensation Committee selects these companies based upon their size (generally one-half to two times our revenues) and industry as well as the operational similarities of their business to our own, even though many of them may not be our direct or indirect competitors.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the companies included in our Market Comparison Group, which was used by the Compensation Committee for making the NEO's 2009 compensation determinations.

Market Comparison Group

Alaska Air Group Inc.	Marriott International Inc.
Brunswick Corp.	MGM Mirage
Cablevision Systems Corp.	SkyWest, Inc.
Carnival Corporation	Southwest Airlines
Darden Restaurants, Inc.	Starbucks Corp.
Expedia Inc.	Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide Inc.
Hertz Global Holdings Inc.	US Airways Group Inc.
IAC/InterActiveCorp	Wendy's/Arby's Group, Inc.
Las Vegas Sands Corp.	Wyndham Worldwide Corp.

This Group reflects the following changes from the Market Comparison Group used in 2008, which were recommended by the consultant and approved by the Compensation Committee. Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., Hilton Hotels Corp. and Sabre Holdings Corp were removed from the 2008 Market Comparison Group because they ceased to be public companies. These three companies were replaced by Marriott International, Inc., US Airways Group, Inc. and Hertz Global Holdings, Inc. in order to maintain the size and industry representation of the Market Comparison Group. In 2009, the Compensation Committee assessed the Market Comparison Group and determined that it continued to be appropriate for establishing the 2010 compensation comparison.

The Consultant obtains data on the companies comprising the Market Comparison Group and the compensation of their executives from their public filings. The Compensation Committee relies on the Consultant to analyze the data and present its findings. The Consultant's analysis compares the elements of each NEO's Total Direct Compensation to those of his counterparts in the Market Comparison Group and provides senior management and the Compensation Committee with the relative positioning for compensation. Additionally, the Consultant makes recommendations with regard to changes that may be appropriate in the approach to compensating the NEOs. For 2009, there were no material changes to our methodology.

The Compensation Committee reviews and evaluates the data and makes recommendations to determine the appropriate compensation programs and levels. In doing so, it carefully considers the performance of our Company, each brand and each NEO. For the Company and each brand, both financial (as determined by operating and net income, EBITDA, total shareholder return and earnings per share) and operational performance (including customer satisfaction and operational efficiencies) are carefully reviewed. For each NEO, the Compensation Committee assesses how the executive contributed to financial and operational performance and his long-term contributions to our Company.

For each NEO other than the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee consults with and receives the recommendation of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, but the Compensation Committee is ultimately responsible for determining whether to accept such recommendations. For the compensation related to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee meets in executive session and considers the opinion of the Consultant as well as other criteria identified in this CD&A.

The elements of our compensation programs are discussed more fully below.

Base Salary

The Compensation Committee seeks to pay each NEO a level of fixed compensation that competitively reflects his scope of responsibility relative to his counterparts in the Market Comparison Group. The primary considerations used in setting base salary levels include each NEO's scope of responsibilities, expertise, experience, tenure, performance and potential to further our business objectives. We generally review salaries early each year and, if appropriate, adjust them to reflect changes in such considerations and to respond to market conditions and competitive pressures.

Table of Contents

In February 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed the base salaries of the NEOs against their respective counterparts in the Market Comparison Group and considered the objectives for this element of compensation and the factors outlined above. Based on such review, and in light of the challenging economic environment, the Compensation Committee decided not to adjust the base salaries of the NEOs, which was also consistent with the Company's decision not to provide merit increases for all of its other employees.

The table below shows each NEO's Fiscal Year 2008 and 2009 base salary.

Name	Base Salary	
	2008	2009
Richard D. Fain	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
Brian J. Rice	\$ 575,000	\$ 575,000
Adam M. Goldstein	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
Daniel J. Hanrahan	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
Harri U. Kulovaara	\$ 470,000	\$ 470,000

Performance Based Annual Incentive

Effective in 2009, the Compensation Committee approved the Executive Short-Term Bonus Plan (ESTBP), which replaces the Executive Incentive Plan (EIP) and further aligns our performance based annual incentive with Company performance, the external market, best practices, and shareholder interests. In addition, we believe the ESTBP improves our ability to attract, retain, and motivate talented executives by rewarding them for the achievement of short-term goals and recognizing their individual contributions.

Bonus Award Components

Under the ESTBP, the performance based annual incentive is tied to three bonus award components: Corporate, Brand and Individual Performance (if applicable). The Compensation Committee assigns a specific weight to each of these components based on the executive's role and ability to influence the outcomes. The higher the level of the executive, the more emphasis the Compensation Committee placed on Corporate and/or Brand Performance and the less emphasis it placed on individual performance. The following table shows the weights assigned to the bonus award components of each NEO.

Name	2009 Bonus Award Component Weighting		
	Corporate	Brand	Individual
Richard D. Fain	100%	0%	0%
Brian J. Rice	80%	0%	20%
Adam M. Goldstein	50%	50% ⁽¹⁾	0%
Daniel J. Hanrahan	50%	50% ⁽²⁾	0%
Harri U. Kulovaara	80%	0%	20%

(1) Royal Caribbean International

(2) Celebrity Cruises

In addition, due to the unique nature of Mr. Kulovaara's role, and in accordance with his employment arrangement, Mr. Kulovaara is eligible to receive a special performance bonus for overseeing the successful design, construction and delivery of new cruise ships.

Bonus Targets

For 2009, the Compensation Committee established a bonus target for each NEO expressed as a percentage of base salary. In reviewing the bonus targets under the ESTBP, the Compensation Committee adjusted the bonus targets for each of the NEOs by 5 to 15 percentage points, depending on their scope of responsibility. In doing so, the

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee expected to motivate each NEO to drive Corporate and Brand performance in a challenging economic environment. The following table shows the 2008 and 2009 bonus targets of each NEO.

Name	2008 Bonus Target (% of base salary)	2009 Bonus Target (% of base salary)
Richard D. Fain	175%	190%
Brian J. Rice	85%	100%
Adam M. Goldstein	115%	130%
Daniel J. Hanrahan	110%	125%
Harri U. Kulovaara	60%	65%

Performance Levels

The performance levels range from 0% to 300% for Corporate and Brand Performance and from 0% to 200% for Individual Performance. An achievement of the Plan performance level (or 100% funding) for each bonus award component would result in a bonus award equal to the bonus target for each NEO. However, as identified below, the ESTBP provides different funding levels based on different levels of performance for each bonus award component.

Corporate Performance Component	Funding Level
Minimum Performance	0%
Plan Performance	100%
Maximum Performance	300%

Brand Performance Component	Funding Level
Minimum Performance	0%
Plan Performance	100%
Maximum Performance	300%

Individual Performance Component	Funding Level
Minimum Performance	0%
Plan Performance	100%
Maximum Performance	200%

If results achieved are between the performance levels, (i.e., Minimum, Plan or Maximum) the funding level may increase or decrease. For example, if Corporate and/or Brand results achieved are between plan and maximum, the funding level would range from 100% to 300%. Conversely, if results achieved are below plan but above the minimum, the funding level would range between 0% and 99%.

Target and Actual Performance by Bonus Award Component

In February of each year, the Compensation Committee approves the Corporate and Brand performance targets for the year. Below is a discussion of each component and the target and actual performance.

Corporate Performance The Compensation Committee approves an ESTBP net income plan target for corporate performance, based on guidance announced at the beginning of the calendar year. For 2009, the Compensation Committee approved an ESTBP net income plan target for corporate performance of \$300 million, which was consistent with our guidance announced in January 2009.

When we establish this plan target, we do not attempt to forecast changes in fuel price as we do not believe such forecasts are reliable or relevant to management's performance. As our operating results are sensitive to fuel price fluctuations, we believe that retrospective adjustments to operating results to account for fuel price increases or decreases are appropriate in determining the ESTBP awards. We also anticipated that we would be taking actions in 2009 because of the economic environment to improve liquidity. We determined that a retrospective adjustment to operating results related to the costs of such actions would also be made to avoid penalizing management.

Table of Contents

Additionally, the Compensation Committee reviews our operating results to determine if adjustments are required because of other events outside of management's control.

In accordance with the above, the Compensation Committee approved retrospective adjustments to operating results of \$119 million to account for fuel price increases, liquidity actions (which included the issuance of \$300 million of senior unsecured notes) and the adverse effect of the H1N1 flu virus on our operations. This resulted in 2009 ESTBP-adjusted net income of \$281 million or \$19 million below the net income plan target, which yielded a funding level of 62.0% for the Corporate Performance component.

Brand Performance As Messrs. Goldstein and Hanrahan have direct oversight and influence over a specific cruise brand, a component of their performance based annual incentive award is tied to the performance of Royal Caribbean International and Celebrity Cruises, respectively. At the brand level, we measure performance based on operating income as it takes capital costs into account that are important in calculating returns on invested capital, which is fundamental to the Company's operating plan. The Compensation Committee established an ESTBP operating income plan target for each brand consistent with the approach used to establish the plan target for corporate performance. The Compensation Committee also approved retrospective adjustments to the operating results of the brands due to fuel price increases or decreases and other events outside of management's control.

Specifically, the Compensation Committee approved retrospective adjustments to the operating income results of Royal Caribbean International for the increase in fuel prices and the adverse effect of the H1N1 flu virus on its operations. Royal Caribbean International delivered ESTBP-adjusted operating income below plan target performance, which yielded a funding level of 55% for Mr. Goldstein's Brand Performance component. The Compensation Committee approved retrospective adjustments to the operating income results of Celebrity Cruises only for the increase in fuel prices. Celebrity Cruises delivered ESTBP-adjusted operating income significantly above plan target, primarily due to the higher pricing premiums generated by the Solstice-class ships and the brand's limited exposure to the adverse effect of the H1N1 flu virus, which yielded a funding level of 130.8% for Mr. Hanrahan's Brand Performance component.

Individual Performance The Individual Performance component of our ESTBP award is intended to reward the managerial decision making, behavioral interaction and overall contribution. Messrs. Rice and Kulovaara are the only NEOs whose annual incentive includes an Individual Performance component. In determining the funding levels of this component, the Compensation Committee considered the recommendation of Mr. Fain. It also evaluated this recommendation based on its knowledge of our Company and Messrs. Rice and Kulovaara's overall contributions to our successful growth and achievement of priority strategic objectives, how they directed their area of responsibility to meet challenges in the market and the results of specific projects they were responsible for during the year.

In the face of the severe economic conditions and tight credit market prevailing in 2009, Mr. Rice was able to successfully secure financing for the *Oasis of the Seas* and *Celebrity Equinox*, and issue \$300 million of senior unsecured notes, under competitive terms. As such, the Compensation Committee agreed with Mr. Fain's recommendation to award Mr. Rice a funding level of 130% for his Individual Performance component. Mr. Kulovaara oversaw the successful design, construction, and delivery of the *Oasis of the Seas*, a new class of ship, which revolutionized the cruise industry by introducing seven distinct neighborhoods aboard the ship, including *Central Park* and *Boardwalk*. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee agreed with Mr. Fain's recommendation to award Mr. Kulovaara's with a funding level of 130% for his Individual Performance component.

The table below shows the 2009 performance based annual incentive payout, as a percent of target, for each bonus award component.

Name	2009 Funding Levels by Component		
	Corporate	Brand	Individual
Richard D. Fain	62.0%		
Brian J. Rice	62.0%		130.0%
Adam M. Goldstein	62.0%	55.0%	
Daniel J. Hanrahan	62.0%	130.8%	
Harri U. Kulovaara	62.0%		130.0%

Table of Contents**Target and Actual Bonus Awards**

For 2009, the following table shows each NEO's Target and Actual Bonus award. For Mr. Kulovaara, the ship delivery bonuses (described below) are not factored into his target, but they are included in the actual performance based annual incentive. The 2009 Actual Bonus awards for Messrs. Fain, Goldstein and Kulovaara were on average lower than their 2008 levels, reflecting our Company's results and our pay for performance philosophy. Mr. Rice received a slightly higher increase based on his individual performance. Mr. Hanrahan received a significant bonus award increase in 2009 reflecting the strong performance of Celebrity Cruises relative to its budget.

Name	2008 Performance Based Annual Incentive		2009 Performance Based Annual Incentive		Change from 2008 Bonus
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
Richard D. Fain	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,440,688	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,178,000	(18.2)%
Brian J. Rice	\$ 488,750	\$ 402,363	\$ 575,000	\$ 434,700	8.0%
Adam M. Goldstein	\$ 805,000	\$ 1,176,322	\$ 910,000	\$ 532,350	(54.7)%
Daniel J. Hanrahan	\$ 660,000	\$ 385,090	\$ 750,000	\$ 723,000	87.7%
Harri U. Kulovaara	\$ 282,000	\$ 531,175	\$ 305,500	\$ 530,958	0.0%

The Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Kulovaara a special performance bonus of \$300,000 for overseeing the successful design, construction and delivery of two new cruise ships in 2009, the first of a new class of ship, *Oasis of the Seas*, and the second Solstice-class ship, *Celebrity Equinox*.

Long-Term Incentive Awards

In 2009, the Compensation Committee granted long-term incentive awards under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (2008 Equity Plan). Under the 2008 Equity Plan, the Compensation Committee can grant the following types of awards: stock options, performance shares, restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs), and stock appreciation rights. In balancing the Company's retention objectives with its pay for performance orientation, the Compensation Committee considered the spectrum of potential equity instrument designs, vesting criteria and schedules.

As in previous years, the long-term incentive awards granted to the NEOs were comprised of stock options and RSUs. In making the allocation between the two types of awards, the Compensation Committee considered that, of the two forms of equity awards, RSUs have a relatively greater retentive effect, and stock options have a relatively greater performance incentive impact. The Compensation Committee also considered the dilutive effect of the two awards under the 2008 Equity Plan, which is greater in the case of stock options. After considering the foregoing, the Compensation Committee determined that it would retain the previous year's allocation with 75% of the award value attributed to RSUs and the remainder to stock options for Messrs. Fain, Rice, Goldstein, and Hanrahan. Mr. Kulovaara's allocation was split evenly between RSUs and stock options. The Compensation Committee believes that this is the appropriate balance for meeting its long-term incentive award objectives.

To further promote retention, the stock options and RSUs vest in equal installments over a four year period on the anniversary date of the grant. As the awards are inherently tied to the performance of Company stock, a vesting schedule based on continued service is considered appropriate to meet the desire for both retention and performance incentive.

In determining long-term incentive awards, the Compensation Committee considers the compensation of the Market Comparison Group, a review of other elements of compensation and the NEO's contribution to the overall results of

the Company. In considering these factors, the Compensation Committee did not adjust the 2009 long-term incentive award values for Messrs. Rice, Goldstein, Hanrahan, and Kulovaara. In approving Mr. Fain's equity award, the Compensation Committee could not exceed the 500,000 share limit imposed by the 2008 Equity Plan. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Fain a smaller equity award than he would have otherwise

Table of Contents

received were it not for such limitation. The table below illustrates the 2008 and 2009 equity award values for the NEOs and the allocation of the equity awards between stock options and RSUs.

Name	2008 Grant Values	Long-Term Incentive Awards		% Change
		2009 Grant Values	Allocation	
Richard D. Fain	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 2,861,710 ⁽¹⁾	25% stock options; 75% RSUs	(11.9)%
Brian J. Rice	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000	25% stock options; 75% RSUs	0%
Adam M. Goldstein	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000	25% stock options; 75% RSUs	0%
Daniel J. Hanrahan	\$ 950,000	\$ 950,000	25% stock options; 75% RSUs	0%
Harri U. Kulovaara	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000	50% stock options; 50% RSUs	0%

(1) Mr. Fain's equity award value was reduced to conform with the 500,000 share limitation imposed by the Company's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan.

Equity Grant Practices

The Compensation Committee generally grants annual equity awards to Named Executive Officers and management at the first meeting of the calendar year. All stock options have a ten year term and an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of the Compensation Committee's approval. The 2008 Equity Plan defines the fair market value as the average of the high and low prices of the Company's stock on the grant date. To determine the number of stock options awarded, the total grant value of the award is multiplied by the stock option allocation and then divided by the Black-Scholes value of a stock option as of the grant date. To determine the number of RSUs awarded, the total grant value is multiplied by the RSU allocation and then divided by the fair market value of the Company stock as of the grant date. In any event, the maximum number of shares that may be granted to a participant in any calendar year is 500,000 shares. Equity awards may be granted outside of the annual grant cycle in connection with events such as hiring and promotion, although no such awards were granted to the Named Executive Officers in 2009. The grants are priced pursuant to the methodology outlined above.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

We recognize the importance of aligning our management's interests with those of our shareholders. As a result, the Board, at the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, has established stock ownership guidelines for our executives. Under these guidelines, over a three-year period, the NEOs are expected to accumulate Company stock, along with derivative forms of Company equity, such as unvested and vested stock options, having a fair market value equal to the multiples of their base salaries as shown in the table below.

Name	2009 Stock Ownership Guidelines	
	Stock Ownership Guideline (as a multiple of salary)	Stock Ownership Guideline (as a dollar value)
Richard D. Fain	5 times	\$ 5,000,000
Brian J. Rice	3 times	\$ 1,725,000
Adam M. Goldstein	3 times	\$ 2,100,000

Daniel J. Hanrahan	3 times	\$ 1,800,000
Harri U. Kulovaara	3 times	\$ 1,410,000

As of December 31, 2009, each Named Executive Officer has exceeded his stock ownership guideline objective shown above.

Severance

The Company has entered into Employment Agreements (Agreements) with each of the NEOs. These Agreements provide for severance benefits in connection with various terminations of employment scenarios, which are discussed in this proxy statement under the heading Executive Compensation Additional Information.

We currently do not provide enhanced severance benefits if termination should follow a change-in-control of the Company. However, the Compensation Committee may, in its discretion, accelerate the vesting of long-term incentive awards in connection with a change-in-control.

Table of Contents

Other Elements of Compensation

In an effort to offer our employees a competitive remuneration package, we provide them with certain retirement, medical and welfare benefits, including the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. et al. Retirement Plan, a qualified non-contributory profit-sharing retirement plan (the Retirement Plan). The NEOs are eligible to participate and/or receive such benefits on a basis commensurate with that of other employees.

Prior to 2009, the NEOs were eligible to participate in (i) the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan (the NDCP), which allowed participants to defer compensation on a pre-tax basis and (ii) the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the SERP), pursuant to which the Company made contributions on behalf of participants in an amount equal to the benefits they lost under the Retirement Plan due to IRS limitations. In 2008, a new U.S. tax law was enacted that imposes a punitive tax on compensation deferred under these nonqualified plans after January 1, 2009. As a result of the passage of this law, the Company amended these nonqualified plans. The NDCP was amended to prohibit the NEOs and other participants from deferring compensation under such plan after January 1, 2009, and provided for the distribution to them of all amounts previously deferred under such plan. The SERP was amended to eliminate the maximum compensation benefit, provide for the distribution of vested amounts deferred under such plan prior to January 1, 2009, and provide for future contributions after January 1, 2009 to be made directly to the participant upon vesting.

Prior to the enactment of the new U.S. tax law referred to above, the Company granted 10,086 shares of its stock to a trust for Mr. Fain's benefit on a quarterly basis. These grants were intended to give Mr. Fain a wealth accumulation opportunity commensurate with that of similarly situated executives in other companies, and to more closely link his long-term interests to those of shareholders. To avoid the punitive tax consequences to Mr. Fain under the new U.S. tax law, the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to Mr. Fain's employment agreement as of January 1, 2009, which provided that all future quarterly distributions of shares of Company stock that were previously required to be paid into the trust be paid instead directly to Mr. Fain and the deferred assets already in the trust be disbursed to Mr. Fain.

The Company also offers the NEOs certain perquisites or personal benefits, which include: Company subsidized automobile leases, membership dues, discounts on Company cruises, annual executive physicals and travel expenses for guests accompanying executives on business travel. Our NEOs also receive life insurance coverage equal to five times their annual base salary.

Impact of Tax and Accounting Treatment

Our 2008 Equity Plan complies with the requirements for qualified performance based compensation under Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

Our ESTBP does not comply with Section 162(m), as it would require our ESTBP awards to be entirely formulaic and not allow for any discretion in determining individual performance. We believe our ESTBP is closely aligned to Company performance and should also appropriately reward our NEOs for their individual contributions to our Company's success. Although our ESTBP is subject to the deduction limitations under Section 162(m), the financial impact of these limitations is immaterial.

Compensation Risks

Management and the Compensation Committee have considered and discussed the risks inherent in our business and the design of our compensation plans, policies and programs that are intended to drive the achievement of our business objectives. We believe that the nature of our business, and the material risks we face, are such that the compensation plans, policies and programs we have put in place are not reasonably likely to give rise to risks that would have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, we believe that the mix and design of the elements of executive compensation do not encourage management to assume excessive risks. Finally, as described in this CD&A, our compensation programs and decisions include qualitative factors which we believe restrain the influence an overly formulaic approach may have on excessive risk taking by management.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

2009 Summary Compensation Table									
	Year	Salary	Bonus⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards⁽²⁾	Option Awards⁽²⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value and NQDC Earnings⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation⁽⁴⁾	Total
Edward D. Fain Chairman and Executive Vice President	2009	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 2,146,284	\$ 715,424	\$ 1,178,000	\$ 203,966	\$ 174,986	\$ 5,418,694
	2008	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 2,437,500	\$ 812,497	\$ 1,440,688	\$ 0	\$ 125,879	\$ 5,816,964
	2007	\$ 1,001,923	\$ 0	\$ 2,062,508	\$ 687,494	\$ 2,990,625	\$ 4,141	\$ 126,500	\$ 6,873,091
John J. Rice Executive Vice President	2009	\$ 575,000	\$ 0	\$ 674,998	\$ 224,997	\$ 434,700	\$ 53,325	\$ 87,215	\$ 2,050,925
	2008	\$ 573,173	\$ 0	\$ 675,011	\$ 224,995	\$ 402,363	\$ 0	\$ 68,353	\$ 1,943,532
	2007	\$ 542,308	\$ 0	\$ 525,014	\$ 174,994	\$ 863,672	\$ 7,488	\$ 68,107	\$ 2,181,573
Michael M. Stein Executive Vice President and General Manager of Royal Caribbean International	2009	\$ 700,000	\$ 0	\$ 937,497	\$ 312,497	\$ 532,350	\$ 117,083	\$ 103,471	\$ 2,702,818
	2008	\$ 696,346	\$ 0	\$ 937,515	\$ 312,503	\$ 1,176,322	\$ 0	\$ 103,379	\$ 3,226,165
	2007	\$ 644,231	\$ 0	\$ 749,995	\$ 249,998	\$ 1,179,393	\$ 2,867	\$ 84,727	\$ 2,911,353
John J. Stein Executive Vice President and General Manager of Carnival Cruise Line	2009	\$ 600,000	\$ 0	\$ 712,500	\$ 237,496	\$ 723,000	\$ 57,191	\$ 96,653	\$ 2,426,840
	2008	\$ 596,346	\$ 0	\$ 712,511	\$ 237,494	\$ 385,090	\$ 0	\$ 75,435	\$ 2,006,876
	2007	\$ 546,154	\$ 0	\$ 562,519	\$ 187,494	\$ 864,815	\$ 2,784	\$ 71,553	\$ 2,234,815
John J. Stein Executive Vice President, General Manager of Carnival Cruise Line	2009	\$ 470,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 224,997	\$ 224,997	\$ 230,958	\$ 131,620	\$ 91,242	\$ 1,673,814
	2008	\$ 468,538	\$ 300,000	\$ 225,004	\$ 224,995	\$ 231,175	\$ 0	\$ 85,094	\$ 1,534,706
	2007	\$ 443,308	\$ 150,000	\$ 199,977	\$ 199,995	\$ 536,423	\$ 3,830	\$ 76,938	\$ 1,619,431

(1) We report annual Executive Incentive Plan and Executive Short-Term Bonus Plan awards in the column titled Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. For Mr. Kulovaara, the amount reported in this column reflects his bonus awarded in conjunction with the delivery of a new ship in 2007, and two new ships in 2008 and 2009.

(2) The columns titled Stock Awards and Option Awards report the fair value of restricted stock unit awards and stock option awards, respectively, at their grant date in 2007, 2008 and 2009 calculated in accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718. For the assumptions used in valuing these awards for purposes of computing this expense please see Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2009.

- (3) The Named Executive Officers participate in the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. et al. Retirement Plan. In prior years, the NEOs participated in the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and Messrs. Rice, Hanrahan and Kulovaara participated in the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan. The aggregate above-market earnings on these Named Executive Officers' holdings in these plans are listed under the column titled "Change in Pension Value and NQDC Earnings". The above-market portion of earnings is calculated as the total earnings in the plan, less the earnings that would have been achieved under a 5.02% annual growth rate (120% of the applicable federal long-term rate at December 2009).
- (4) Please see the following table entitled "2009 All Other Compensation" for an itemized disclosure of this element of compensation.

Table of Contents

Name	Perquisites		2009 All Other Compensation			Total
	Auto Lease ⁽¹⁾	Other Perquisites ⁽²⁾	Life Insurance Policies	Benefits Company Contributions to Qualified Deferred Compensation Plans ⁽³⁾	Benefits Other Benefit Payouts ⁽⁴⁾	
Richard D. Fain <i>Chairman and Chief Executive Officer</i>	\$ 14,753	\$ 4,711	\$ 55,522	\$ 24,500	\$ 75,500	\$ 174,986
Brian J. Rice <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	\$ 20,406	\$ 1,335	\$ 7,974	\$ 24,500	\$ 33,000	\$ 87,215
Adam M. Goldstein <i>President and CEO, Royal Caribbean International</i>	\$ 18,270	\$ 8,085	\$ 7,116	\$ 24,500	\$ 45,500	\$ 103,471
Daniel J. Hanrahan <i>President and CEO, Celebrity Cruises</i>	\$ 25,203	\$ 2,579	\$ 8,871	\$ 24,500	\$ 35,500	\$ 96,653
Harri U. Kulovaara <i>Executive Vice President, Maritime</i>	\$ 20,850	\$ 6,065	\$ 17,327	\$ 24,500	\$ 22,500	\$ 91,242

(1) These amounts include payments or allowance for auto lease, maintenance, registration and insurance.

(2) Other perquisites include membership dues, discounts on Company cruises, executive physicals and travel expenses for spouses accompanying NEOs on business.

(3) Represent Company contributions to the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. et al. Retirement Plan.

(4) Represents payments made directly to each NEO in 2009 upon vesting under the Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.

2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

All Other Stock Awards: Number	All Other Option Awards:	Exercise	Closing	Gran
				Fair

	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan		Shares of Stock or Units	Number of Securities Underlying Options	or Base Price of Option Awards ⁽²⁾	Stock Price at Date of Grant	Stock Price at Date of Grant
		Threshold	Awards ⁽¹⁾ Target	Maximum	Threshold	Maximum					
D. Fain Executive	2009 2/10/09	\$ 0	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 5,700,000			295,428				\$ 2,1
	2/10/09							204,572	\$ 7.27	\$ 6.83	\$ 7
Rice Vice President Chief Financial Officer	2009 2/10/09	\$ 0	\$ 575,000	\$ 1,610,000			92,911				\$ 6
	2/10/09							64,337	\$ 7.27	\$ 6.83	\$ 2
M. Executive	2009 2/10/09	\$ 0	\$ 910,000	\$ 2,730,000			129,043				\$ 9
	2/10/09							89,357	\$ 7.27	\$ 6.83	\$ 3
. Executive	2009 2/10/09	\$ 0	\$ 750,000	\$ 2,250,000			98,073				\$ 7
	2/10/09							67,911	\$ 7.27	\$ 6.83	\$ 2
ara Vice President, Executive	2009 2/10/09	\$ 0	\$ 305,500	\$ 855,400			30,970				\$ 2
	2/10/09							64,337	\$ 7.27	\$ 6.83	\$ 2

(1) These values represent the threshold, target and maximum payouts under the Executive Short-Term Bonus Plan.

(2) The stock option exercise price is the average of the high and low stock price on the date of grant. For 2009, the closing price on the grant date was forty-three and one-half cents lower than the average of the high and low.

(3) The grant date fair values of the equity awards are calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2009, regarding assumptions underlying the valuation of these awards.

Table of Contents

Additional Information

The Company has an employment agreement with Mr. Fain dated as of July 25, 2007 and amended as of December 19, 2008. The Company has employment agreements with Messrs. Rice, Goldstein, and Kulovaara dated as of July 25, 2007. Our subsidiary, Celebrity Cruises Inc. (Celebrity), has an employment agreement with Mr. Hanrahan dated as of July 25, 2007. These agreements (the Agreements) are intended to enhance the retention and motivation of these key employees, ensure compliance with section 409A of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code and include provisions protecting the Company such as a non-competition and non-solicitation clause. The terms of the Agreements are summarized below and apply uniformly to all NEOs, except that Mr. Hanrahan s employment agreement differs from that of the other NEOs by establishing Celebrity rather than the Company as his employer. In addition, under our employment agreement with Mr. Fain, we have agreed to make quarterly distributions to Mr. Fain, in the amount of 10,086 shares of Company stock per quarter, until the earlier of the termination of Mr. Fain s employment or June 2014. Prior to January 1, 2009, the Company made the quarterly contributions of such shares to a trust in favor of Mr. Fain as described on page 37 under *Trust Agreement for Mr. Richard D. Fain*.

The term of the Agreements shall always be two years, unless sooner terminated as provided in the Agreements. The Agreements provide for an annual base salary which may be increased, but not decreased at any time during the term of the Agreement at the sole discretion of the Company. Each NEO is eligible to participate in any cash incentive compensation program on terms available to similarly situated executives of the Company. Under the terms of the Agreements, the NEO is eligible to participate in any equity or long-term incentive plans available to similarly situated executives of the Company and is eligible to receive awards under such plans as determined by the Company in its sole discretion.

The NEOs employment can be terminated by the Company or by them at any time. If the Company terminates a NEO s employment without cause or if the NEO resigns for good reason (as defined in the Agreement), he is entitled to receive: an amount equal to two times annual base salary; the target annual Executive Short-Term Bonus Plan award during the two years following termination; continued payment of health and medical benefits for a period of two years, or until such time that he commences employment with a new employer, whichever occurs first; and payment of reasonable professional search fees relating to outplacement. At the sole discretion of the Company, the NEO is also eligible to receive a one time termination bonus to be paid two years after the date of termination in an amount not to exceed 50% of base salary.

Each NEO has agreed not to compete with the Company or its affiliates during the term of employment and for two years following termination of employment and to refrain from (i) employing the Company s or its affiliates employees during this period or (ii) soliciting employees, consultants, lenders, suppliers or customers from discontinuing, modifying or reducing the extent of their relationship with the Company during such period. During the term of the Agreements and subsequent to other terminations, the NEOs agree not to disclose or use any confidential information.

Table of Contents

		Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End			
		Option Awards⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards⁽²⁾		
				Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout
				Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or
Number	Number	Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	Market Value	Shares, Units or	Shares, Units or
of	of	Number of	of	Other	Other
Securities	Securities	Securities	Shares or Units	Other	Other
Underlying	Underlying	Underlying	Units of Stock	Rights	That