

WESTWOOD ONE INC /DE/

Form 10-Q

May 17, 2010

Table of Contents

**United States
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010
OR
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 0-14691

WESTWOOD ONE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

95-3980449
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**1166 Avenue of the Americas, 10th Floor New York,
NY**

(Address of principal executive offices)

10036

(Zip Code)

(212) 641-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web Site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-X during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share outstanding at April 30, 2010 (excluding treasury shares): 20,543,873 shares

**WESTWOOD ONE, INC.
INDEX**

	Page No.
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	43
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	44
Item 1A. Risk Factors	44
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	44
Item 3. Reserved	44
Item 4. Reserved	44
Item 5. Other Information	44
Item 6. Exhibits	45
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	46
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	47
<u>Exhibit 10.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 10.2</u>	
<u>Exhibit 10.3</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.a</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.b</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.a</u>	

Exhibit 32.b

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

WESTWOOD ONE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	March 31, 2010 (unaudited)	December 31, 2009 (audited)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,794	\$ 4,824
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,006 (2010) and \$2,723 (2009)	86,487	87,568
Federal income tax receivable	12,945	12,355
Prepaid and other assets	19,467	20,994
Total current assets	125,693	125,741
Property and equipment, net	35,446	36,265
Intangible assets, net	100,671	103,400
Goodwill	38,945	38,917
Other assets	3,276	2,995
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 304,031	\$ 307,318
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 44,592	\$ 40,164
Amounts payable to related parties	490	129
Deferred revenue	2,517	3,682
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	30,183	28,864
Current maturity of long-term debt	10,000	13,500
Total current liabilities	87,782	86,339
Long-term debt	126,967	122,262
Deferred tax liability	46,981	50,932
Due to Gores	10,984	11,165
Other liabilities	20,067	18,636
TOTAL LIABILITIES	292,781	289,334
Commitments and Contingencies		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock, \$.01 par value: authorized: 5,000,000 shares issued and outstanding: 20,544 (2010) and 20,544 (2009)	205	205

Class B stock, \$.01 par value: authorized: 3,000 shares; issued and outstanding: 0

Additional paid-in capital	81,171	81,268
Net unrealized gain	197	111
Accumulated deficit	(70,323)	(63,600)

TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	11,250	17,984
----------------------------------	---------------	---------------

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 304,031	\$ 307,318
--	-------------------	-------------------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

WESTWOOD ONE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share amounts)
(unaudited)

	Successor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Revenue	\$ 92,842	\$ 85,867
Operating costs	89,341	91,393
Depreciation and amortization	4,496	2,063
Corporate general and administrative expenses	3,019	2,766
Restructuring charges	743	3,440
Special charges	1,823	5,809
Total operating costs	99,422	105,471
Operating loss	(6,580)	(19,604)
Interest expense	5,376	3,263
Other expense (income)	1	(300)
Loss before income tax	(11,957)	(22,567)
Income tax benefit	(5,234)	(7,381)
Net loss	\$ (6,723)	\$ (15,186)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (6,723)	\$ (16,650)
Loss per share:		
Common Stock		
Basic	\$ (0.33)	\$ (33.95)
Diluted	\$ (0.33)	\$ (33.95)
Class B stock		
Basic		\$
Diluted		\$
Weighted average shares outstanding:		

Common Stock		
Basic	20,544	490
Diluted	20,544	490

Class B stock		
Basic		1
Diluted		1

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

WESTWOOD ONE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

	Successor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (6,723)	\$ (15,186)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,496	2,063
Deferred taxes	(5,107)	(6,698)
Non-cash equity-based compensation	1,059	1,352
Amortization of deferred financing costs		308
Net change in assets and liabilities	11,190	20,295
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,915	2,134
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(2,183)	(1,169)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,183)	(1,169)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from Revolving Credit Facility	3,000	
Repayments of Senior Notes	(3,500)	
Payments of capital lease obligations	(262)	(203)
Net cash used in financing activities	(762)	(203)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,970	762
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	4,824	6,437
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 6,794	\$ 7,199

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

WESTWOOD ONE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in		(Accumulated Deficit)		Unrealized Gain on Available for Sale Securities		Total Stockholders Equity	
	Shares	Amount	Capital		Deficit)		Securities		Equity	
Balance as of January 1, 2010	20,544	\$ 205	\$ 81,268	\$	(63,600)	\$	111	\$	17,984	
Net loss					(6,723)				(6,723)	
Comprehensive income							86		86	
Equity-based compensation				1,059					1,059	
Issuance common stock under equity-based compensation plans	1			(449)					(449)	
Cancellations of vested equity grants				(707)					(707)	
Balance as of March 31, 2010	20,545	\$ 205	\$ 81,171	\$	(70,323)	\$	197	\$	11,250	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**NOTE 1 Basis of Presentation:**

In this report, Westwood One, Company, registrant, we, us and our refer to Westwood One, Inc. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by us pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and footnotes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC on March 31, 2010.

We have incurred a significant decline in our available liquidity. As of May 17, 2010, our available liquidity has decreased approximately \$6,700 to \$6,900 from \$13,605 at December 31, 2009, in part due to \$6,400 in payments made in April 2010 for the CBS Radio annual bonus and for license and broadcast rights for sports programming and a final installment payment of \$1,600 related to a contract termination. As described elsewhere in Note 7 Debt, our Senior Notes and Senior Credit Facility contain debt leverage ratios with which we must comply and which are calculated on a quarterly basis. As of March 31, 2010, we were in compliance with such covenants. While management believes we will maintain sufficient liquidity to fund operations and that we will maintain compliance with our covenants for the next twelve months, given the decline in our liquidity and the fact that we have had difficulty in achieving our Adjusted EBITDA projections, we cannot provide assurance that we will have sufficient liquidity to maintain compliance with our covenants and fund future operations. We have certain cost containment and liquidity-producing measures available to us that we could utilize to the extent necessary, including management of workforce costs, the elimination of non-essential expenses, extending payables and reducing capital expenditures. We believe these actions, to the extent necessary, will allow us to maintain sufficient liquidity to fund our operations for at least the next twelve months through March 31, 2011 and to maintain compliance with our covenants. However, no assurance can be provided that these actions will be sufficient for us to have sufficient liquidity to fund operations or to maintain compliance with our covenants.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal and recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the financial position, the results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented have been recorded.

On April 23, 2009, we completed a refinancing of substantially all of our outstanding long-term indebtedness (approximately \$241,000 in principal amount) and a recapitalization of our equity (the Refinancing). As part of the Refinancing we entered into a Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with Gores Radio Holdings, LLC (currently our ultimate parent) (together with certain related entities Gores). In exchange for the then outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by Gores, we issued 75 shares of 7.50% Series A-1 Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Series A-1 Preferred Stock). In addition Gores purchased 25 shares of 8.0% Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series B Preferred Stock) and together with the Series A-1 Preferred Stock, the Preferred Stock), for an aggregate purchase price of \$25,000.

Additionally and simultaneously, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (Securities Purchase Agreement) with: (1) holders of our then outstanding senior notes, which were issued under the Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 3, 2002 and (2) lenders under the Credit Agreement, dated as of March 3, 2004. Gores purchased at a discount approximately \$22,600 in principal amount of our then existing debt held by debt holders who did not wish to participate in the new 15.00% Senior Secured Notes due July 15, 2012 (the Senior Notes) being offered by us, which upon completion of the Refinancing was exchanged for \$10,797 of the Senior Notes. We also entered into a senior credit facility pursuant to which we have a \$15,000 revolving credit facility on a senior unsecured basis and a \$20,000 unsecured non-amortizing term loan (collectively, the Senior Credit Facility), which obligations are subordinated to the Senior Notes. Gores also agreed to guarantee our Senior Credit Facility and payments due to the NFL for the license and broadcast rights to certain NFL games and NFL-related programming.

As a result of the Refinancing on April 23, 2009, Gores increased its equity ownership to approximately 75.1% of our then outstanding equity (in preferred and common stock) and our then existing lenders to approximately 22.7% of our then outstanding equity (in preferred and common stock). We have considered the ownership held by Gores and our existing debt holders as a collaborative group in accordance with the authoritative guidance. As a result, we have followed the acquisition method of accounting, as required by the authoritative guidance, and have applied the SEC rules and guidance regarding push down accounting treatment. Accordingly, our consolidated financial statements and

transactional records prior to the closing of the Refinancing reflect the historical accounting basis in our assets and liabilities and are labeled Predecessor Company, while such records subsequent to the Refinancing are labeled Successor Company and reflect the push down basis of accounting for the new fair values in our financial statements. This is presented in our consolidated financial statements by a vertical black line division which appears between the columns entitled Predecessor Company and Successor Company on the statements and relevant notes. The black line signifies that the amounts shown for the periods prior to and subsequent to the Refinancing are not comparable.

Table of Contents

Based on the complex structure of the Refinancing, a valuation was performed to determine the acquisition price using the Income Approach employing a Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) methodology. The DCF method explicitly recognizes that the value of a business enterprise is equal to the present value of the cash flows that are expected to be available for distribution to the equity and/or debt holders of a company. In the valuation of a business enterprise, indications of value are developed by discounting future net cash flows available for distribution to their present worth at a rate that reflects both the current return requirements of the market and the risk inherent in the specific investment.

We used a multi-year DCF model to derive a Total Invested Capital value which was adjusted for cash, non-operating assets and any negative net working capital to calculate a Business Enterprise Value which was then used to value our equity. In connection with the Income Approach portion of this exercise, we made the following assumptions: (1) the discount rate was based on an average of a range of scenarios with rates between 15% and 16%; (2) management's estimates of future performance of our operations; and (3) a terminal growth rate of 2%. The discount rate and market growth rate reflect the risks associated with the general economic pressure impacting both the economy in general and more specifically and substantially the advertising industry. All costs and professional fees incurred as part of the Refinancing totaling \$13,895 have been expensed as special charges in 2009 (\$12,699 on and prior to April 23, 2009 for the Predecessor Company and \$1,196 on and after April 24, 2009 for the Successor Company).

The allocation of the Business Enterprise Value for all accounts at April 24, 2009 was as follows:

Current assets	\$ 104,641
Goodwill	86,414
Intangibles	116,910
Property and equipment	36,270
Other assets	21,913
Current liabilities	81,160
Deferred income taxes	77,879
Due to Gores	10,797
Other liabilities	10,458
Long-term debt	106,703
Total Business Enterprise Value	 \$ 79,151

On March 31, 2010, we recorded an adjustment to increase goodwill related to a correction of our current liabilities as of April 24, 2009. This under accrual of liabilities of \$428 was related to the purchase in cash of television advertising airtime that occurred in the predecessor company prior to April 24, 2009.

The following unaudited pro forma financial summary for the three months ended March 31, 2009 gives effect to the Refinancing and the resultant acquisition accounting. The pro forma information does not purport to be indicative of what the financial condition or results of operations would have been had the Refinancing been completed on the applicable dates of the pro forma financial information.

	Unaudited Pro Forma Three Months Ended March, 31, 2009
Revenue	\$ 85,867
Net Loss	(22,889)

Table of Contents**Financial Statement Presentation**

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with the authoritative guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) for generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management continually evaluates its estimates and judgments including those related to allowances for doubtful accounts, useful lives of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets and the valuation of such, barter inventory, fair value of stock options granted, forfeiture rate of equity based compensation grants, income taxes and valuation allowances on such and other contingencies. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and other factors that are believed to be reasonable in the circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Reclassification and Revisions

Certain reclassifications to our previously reported financial information have been made to the financial information that appears in this report to conform to the current period presentation.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we understated our income tax receivable asset due to an error in how the deductibility of certain costs for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 was determined. This resulted in an additional income tax benefit of \$650, recorded in the current quarter ended March 31, 2010, that should have been recorded in the successor period ended December 31, 2009. We overstated accounts receivable at December 31, 2009 by \$250 in connection with our failure to record a billing adjustment as a result of a renegotiated customer contract and understated accrued expenses for certain general and administrative costs incurred by \$278 at December 31, 2009. We understated accrued liabilities at December 31, 2009 by \$375 in connection with our failure to record an employment claim settlement related to an employee termination that occurred prior to 2008, but which was probable and estimable as of December 31, 2009. Finally, we understated our program and operating liabilities by \$428 in the predecessor period ended April 23, 2009 and have adjusted our opening balance sheet and goodwill accordingly. We have determined that the impact of these adjustments recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 were immaterial to our results of operations in all applicable prior interim and annual periods. As a result, we have not restated any prior period amounts.

NOTE 2 Earnings Per Share:

Prior to the Refinancing, we had outstanding two classes of common stock (common stock and Class B stock) and a class of preferred stock 7.5% Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, (referred to herein as the Series A Preferred Stock). Both the Class B stock and the Series A Preferred Stock were convertible into common stock. To the extent declared by our Board of Directors (the Board), the common stock was entitled to cash dividends of at least ten percent higher than those declared and paid on our Class B stock, and the Series A Preferred Stock was also entitled to receive such dividends on an as-converted basis if and when declared by the Board.

As part of the Refinancing, we issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock. To the extent declared by our Board, the Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock were also entitled to receive such dividends on an as-converted basis if and when declared by the Board. The Series A Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock are considered participating securities requiring use of the two-class method for the computation of basic net income (loss) per share. Losses were not allocated to the Series A Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock or Series B Preferred Stock in the computation of basic earnings per share (EPS) as the Series A Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and the Series B Preferred Stock were not obligated to share in losses. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the if-converted method.

Table of Contents

Basic EPS excludes the effect of common stock equivalents and is computed using the two-class computation method, which divides the sum of distributed earnings to common and Class B stockholders and undistributed earnings allocated to common stockholders and preferred stockholders on a pro rata basis, after Series A Preferred Stock dividends, by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could result if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Diluted earnings per share assumes the exercise of stock options using the treasury stock method and the conversion of Class B stock, Series A Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock using the if-converted method.

Common equivalent shares are excluded in periods in which they are anti-dilutive. Options, restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs) (see Note 9 Equity-Based Compensation), warrants and Series A Preferred Stock were excluded from the Predecessor Company calculations of diluted earnings per share because the conversion price, combined exercise price, unamortized fair value and excess tax benefits were greater than the average market price of our common stock for the periods presented. Options, restricted stock and RSUs were excluded from the Successor Company calculations of diluted earnings per share because combined exercise price, unamortized fair value and excess tax benefits were greater than the average market price of our common stock for the periods presented. EPS calculations for all periods reflect the effect of the 200 for 1 reverse stock split that occurred on August 3, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of our common shares and Class B shares outstanding for calculating basic and diluted net loss per share:

	Successor Company For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Net loss	\$ (6,723)	\$ (15,186)
Less: Accumulated Preferred Stock dividends		(1,464)
Undistributed earnings	\$ (6,723)	\$ (16,650)
Earnings Common stock Basic		
Undistributed earnings allocated to Common stockholders	\$ (6,723)	\$ (16,650)
Total Earnings Common stock, basic	\$ (6,723)	\$ (16,650)
Diluted		
Undistributed earnings allocated to Common stockholders	\$ (6,723)	\$ (16,650)
Total Earnings Common stock, diluted	\$ (6,723)	\$ (16,650)
Weighted average Common shares outstanding, basic	20,544	490
Weighted average Common shares outstanding, diluted	20,544	490
Loss per Common share, basic		
Distributed earnings, basic	\$	\$
Undistributed earnings basic	(0.33)	(33.95)
Total	\$ (0.33)	\$ (33.95)
Loss per Common share, diluted		
Distributed earnings, diluted	\$	\$
Undistributed earnings diluted	(0.33)	(33.95)
Total	\$ (0.33)	\$ (33.95)
Loss per share Class B Stock		

Basic

Distributed earnings to Class B stockholders	\$
Undistributed earnings allocated to Class B stockholders	

Total loss Class B Stock, basic	\$
--	-----------

Diluted

Distributed earnings to Class B stockholders	\$
Undistributed earnings allocated to Class B stockholders	

Total loss Class B Stock, diluted	\$
--	-----------

Weighted average Class B shares outstanding, basic	1
---	----------

Share-based compensation	
Warrants	

Weighted average Class B shares outstanding, diluted	1
---	----------

Earnings per Class B share, basic

Distributed earnings, basic	\$
Undistributed earnings basic	

Total	\$
--------------	-----------

Earnings per Class B share, diluted

Distributed earnings, diluted	\$
Undistributed earnings diluted	

Total	\$
--------------	-----------

Table of Contents**NOTE 3 Related Party Transactions:****Gores Radio Holdings**

We have a related party relationship with Gores. As a result of our Refinancing, Gores created a holding company which currently owns approximately 74.3% of our equity and is our ultimate parent company. Gores currently also holds \$10,984 (including paid in kind interest) of our Senior Notes as a result of purchasing debt from certain of our former debt holders who did not wish to participate in the issuance of the Senior Notes on April 23, 2009 in connection with our Refinancing. Such debt is classified as Due to Gores on our balance sheet.

We recorded fees related to consultancy and advisory services rendered by, and incurred on behalf of, Gores and Glendon Partners, an operating group affiliated with Gores as follows:

	Successor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Glendon Partners fees	\$ 312(1)	\$ 650
Reimbursement of legal fees	8	1,063
Reimbursement of letter-of-credit fees	63(2)	
	\$ 383	\$ 1,713

(1) These fees consist of payments for professional services rendered by various members of Glendon to us in the areas of operational improvement, tax, finance, accounting, legal and insurance/risk management.

(2) Reimbursement of a standby letter-of-credit fee incurred and paid by Gores in connection with its guarantee of the \$15,000

revolving credit
facility with
Wells Fargo.

POP Radio

We also have a related party relationship, including a sales representation agreement, with our investee, POP Radio, L.P. We recorded fees as follows:

	Successor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Program commission expense	\$ 361	\$ 331

CBS Radio

As a result of the Refinancing, CBS Radio, which previously owned approximately 15.8% of our common stock, now owns less than 1% of our common stock. As a result of this change in ownership and the fact that CBS Radio ceased to manage us in March 2008, we no longer consider CBS Radio to be a related party. This change became effective as of August 3, 2009 because on such date, all of the Preferred Stock then outstanding was converted into common stock. As of August 3, 2009, we ceased recording payments to CBS as related party expenses or amounts due to related parties.

On March 3, 2008, we closed on the new Master Agreement with CBS Radio, which documents a long-term arrangement through March 31, 2017. As part of the new arrangement, CBS Radio agreed to broadcast certain of our local/regional and national commercial inventory through March 31, 2017 in exchange for certain programming and/or cash compensation. Additionally, the News Programming Agreement, the Technical Services Agreement and the Trademark License Agreement were amended and restated and extended through March 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

We incurred programming and affiliate arrangements expenses of \$16,773 and news agreement expenses of \$3,247 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, relating to transactions with CBS Radio and/or its affiliates. Expenses incurred for programming and affiliate arrangements are included as a component of operating costs in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. The description and amounts regarding related party transactions set forth in these consolidated financial statements and related notes, also reflect transactions between the Company and Viacom. Viacom is an affiliate of CBS Radio, as National Amusements, Inc. beneficially owns a majority of the voting power of all classes of common stock of each of CBS Corporation and Viacom.

A summary of related party expense by expense category is as follows:

	Successor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Operating costs	\$ 361	\$ 20,351
Special charges	320	1,713
Interest expense	63	
	\$ 744	\$ 22,064

NOTE 4 Property and Equipment:

Property and equipment is recorded at cost and is summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Land, buildings and improvements	\$ 9,900	\$ 10,830
Recording, broadcasting and studio equipment	22,411	20,581
Furniture, equipment and other	11,467	11,592
	43,778	43,003
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	8,332	6,738
Property and equipment, net	\$ 35,446	\$ 36,265

Depreciation expense was \$2,070 and \$1,880 for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2001, we entered into a capital lease for satellite transponders totaling \$6,723. The allocation of the business enterprise value for the capital lease at April 24, 2009 was \$7,355. Accumulated amortization related to the capital lease was \$6,023 and \$5,787 as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

NOTE 5 Intangible Assets:

In accordance with the authoritative guidance which is applicable to the Refinancing, we revalued our intangibles using our best estimate of current fair value. The value assigned to our only indefinite lived intangible assets, our trademarks, are not amortized to expense but tested at least annually for impairment or upon a triggering event. Our identified definite lived intangible assets are: our relationships with radio and television affiliates, and other distribution partners from whom we obtain commercial airtime that we sell to advertisers; internally developed software for systems unique to our business; contracts which provide information and talent for our programming; real estate leases; and insertion order commitments from advertisers. The values assigned to definite lived assets are amortized over their estimated useful life using, where applicable, contract completion dates, lease expiration dates,

historical data on affiliate relationships and software usage. On an annual basis and upon the occurrence of certain events, we are required to perform impairment tests on our identified intangible assets with indefinite lives, including goodwill, which testing could impact the value of our business.

Table of Contents

Intangible assets by asset type and estimated life as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Estimated Life	As of March 31, 2010			As of December 31, 2009		
		Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
Trademarks	Indefinite	\$ 20,800	\$	\$ 20,800	\$ 20,800	\$	\$ 20,800
	10						
Affiliate relationships	years	72,100	(6,755)	65,345	72,100	(4,953)	67,147
Software and technology	5 years	7,896	(1,287)	6,609	7,896	(890)	7,006
Client contracts	5 years	8,930	(1,858)	7,072	8,930	(1,363)	7,567
Leases	7 years	980	(135)	845	980	(100)	880
	9						
Insertion orders	months				8,400	(8,400)	
		\$ 110,706	\$ (10,035)	\$ 100,671	\$ 119,106	\$ (15,706)	\$ 103,400

Amortization expense of intangible assets was \$2,729 and \$183 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

NOTE 6 Goodwill:

Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair value of net assets of businesses acquired. In accordance with authoritative guidance, the value assigned to goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets is not amortized to expense, but rather the estimated fair value of the reporting unit is compared to its carrying amount on at least an annual basis to determine if there is a potential impairment. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill and intangible assets is less than their carrying value. On an annual basis and upon the occurrence of certain events, we are required to perform impairment tests on our identified intangible assets with indefinite lives, including goodwill, which testing could impact the value of our business. We did not perform an impairment test on our identified intangible assets with indefinite lives, including goodwill, as of March 31, 2010.

On March 31, 2010, we recorded a prior period adjustment of \$428 to increase goodwill related to a correction of our current liabilities as of April 24, 2009 (See Note 1 Basis of Presentation).

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended March 31, 2010 are as follows:

	Total	Metro Traffic	Network
Balance January 1, 2010	\$ 38,917	\$ 13,005	\$ 25,912
Adjustments to opening balance	28	144	(116)
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 38,945	\$ 13,149	\$ 25,796

The gross amount of goodwill, accumulated impairment losses and carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended March 31, 2010 are as follows:

	Total	Metro Traffic	Network
Goodwill at April 24, 2009	\$ 89,346	\$ 63,550	\$ 25,796
Accumulated impairment losses from April 24, 2009 to March 31, 2010	(50,401)	(50,401)	

Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 38,945	\$ 13,149	\$ 25,796
---------------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Table of Contents**NOTE 7 Debt:**

On April 23, 2009, we completed the Refinancing and entered into our Senior Credit Facility and a Securities Purchase Agreement. The Senior Credit Facility includes a \$15,000 senior unsecured revolving credit facility, which has a \$2,000 letter of credit sub-facility and a \$20,000 unsecured non-amortizing term loan. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, we had borrowed the entire amount under the term loan and \$8,000 and \$5,000 under the revolving credit facility.

Our present financial condition has caused us to amend the agreements governing our indebtedness and to institute certain cost saving measures. If our financial condition does not improve, we may need to take additional actions designed to respond to or improve our financial condition and we cannot assure you that any such actions would be successful in improving our financial position. As a result of the on-going variability in our financial position we have taken certain actions designed to respond to and improve our current financial position. On October 14, 2009, we entered into separate agreements with the holders of our Senior Notes and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC (Wells Fargo) to amend the terms of our Securities Purchase Agreement (governing the Senior Notes) and Credit Agreement (governing the Senior Credit Facility), respectively, to waive compliance with our debt leverage covenants which were to be measured on December 31, 2009 on a trailing four-quarter basis. As part of the Securities Purchase Agreement amendment, we paid down our Senior Notes by \$3,500 on March 31, 2010.

On March 30, 2010, we entered into additional agreements with the holders of our Senior Notes and Wells Fargo to amend the terms of our Securities Purchase Agreement (governing the Senior Notes) and Credit Agreement (governing the Senior Credit Facility), respectively, to modify our debt leverage covenants for periods to be measured (on a trailing four-quarter basis) on March 31, 2010 and beyond. As part of the amendment to the Securities Purchase Agreement, the quarterly debt leverage covenants for 2010 have been eased to levels of 8.00, 7.50, 7.00 and 6.50, respectively and the original quarterly covenants for 2010 now apply to 2011. The original quarterly covenants for 2012 remain unchanged. The amendment to the Securities Purchase Agreement also states that we will pay down our Senior Notes out of the proceeds of the tax refund we anticipate receiving in the second or third quarter of 2010. The first \$12,000 of such refund and any refund amount in excess of \$17,000 will be used to pay down our Senior Notes. Gores has agreed to guarantee up to a \$10,000 pay down of the Senior Notes if such refund is not received on or prior to August 16, 2010. The quarterly debt leverage covenants that appear in the Credit Agreement (governing the Senior Credit Facility) have also been amended to maintain the additional 15% cushion that exists between the debt leverage covenants applicable to the Senior Credit Facility and the corresponding covenants applicable to the Senior Notes. By way of example, the 8.00, 7.50, 7.00 and 6.50 covenants in the Securities Purchase Agreement (applicable to the Senior Notes) are 9.20, 8.65, 8.05 and 7.50, respectively, in the Credit Agreement (governing the Senior Credit Facility).

Long-term debt, including current maturities of long-term debt and due to Gores are as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Senior Secured Notes due on July 15, 2012 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 108,967	\$ 110,762
Due to Gores ⁽¹⁾	10,984	11,165
Term Loan ⁽²⁾	20,000	20,000
Revolving Credit Facility ⁽²⁾	8,000	5,000
	\$ 147,951	\$ 146,927

(1) The applicable interest rate on such debt is 15.0%, which includes 5.0%

PIK interest which accrues and is added to principal on a quarterly basis.

- (2) The applicable interest rate on such debt is 7.0% as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The interest rate is variable and is payable at the maximum of (i) LIBOR plus 4.5% (with a LIBOR floor of 2.5%) or (ii) the base rate plus 4.5% (with a base rate floor equal to the greater of 3.75% or the one-month LIBOR rate), at our option.

Table of Contents**NOTE 8 Fair Value Measurements:****Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Our financial instruments include cash, cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and borrowings. The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximated carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair value of the borrowings was based on estimated rates for long-term debt with similar debt ratings held by comparable companies. The carrying amount and estimated fair value for borrowings are as follows:

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Borrowings (short and long term)	\$ 139,951	\$ 146,225	\$ 141,927	\$ 148,425

The authoritative guidance establishes a common definition of fair value to be applied under GAAP, which requires the use of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. We endeavor to utilize the best available information in measuring fair value. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The authoritative guidance specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based upon whether the inputs to those valuation techniques reflect assumptions other market participants would use based upon market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) or reflect our own assumptions of market participant valuation (unobservable inputs). In accordance with the authoritative guidance, these two types of inputs have created the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets that are unadjusted and accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or financial instruments for which significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly;

Level 3 Prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

The authoritative guidance requires the use of observable market data if such data is available without undue cost and effort.

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table sets forth our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for, at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Level 1 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets		Level 2 Significant Other Observable Inputs		Level 3 Significant Unobservable Inputs	
	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
	Assets:					
Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,108	\$ 968	\$	\$	\$	\$
	\$ 1,108	\$ 968	\$	\$	\$	\$

(1)

Included in
other assets

Table of Contents**NOTE 9 Equity-Based Compensation:**

We have issued equity compensation to our directors, officers and key employees under three plans, the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (the 1999 Plan), the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2005 Plan) and the 2010 Equity Compensation Plan (defined below as the 2010 Plan). Although the 1999 Plan expired in early 2009 and no additional equity compensation may be issued pursuant to such plan, certain awards remain outstanding thereunder. Only stock options were issued under the 1999 Plan.

In 2005, our stockholders approved the 2005 Plan that allowed us to grant stock options, restricted stock and RSUs to our directors, officers and key employees. Effective February 12, 2010, the Board amended and restated the 2005 Plan because we had a limited number of shares available for issuance thereunder (such plan, as amended and restated, the 2010 Plan).

Stock Options

Options granted under our equity compensation plans vest over periods ranging from 2 to 5 years, generally commencing on the anniversary date of each grant. Options expire within ten years from the date of grant. On February 12, 2010, we granted 1,998 options with an exercise price of \$6.00 to 56 employees, which vest over 3 years. These stock options awarded in 2010 by the Board (and reflected in the tables below) remain subject to formal stockholder approval. In accordance with the authoritative guidance, the options are considered outstanding since formal approval is essentially a formality, given that Gores controls enough votes on the Board to approve the 2010 Plan and options.

Stock option activity for the period from January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2010 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding January 1, 2010	28.6	\$ 1,345
Granted	1,998.0	\$ 6
Exercised		\$
Cancelled, forfeited or expired	(0.6)	\$ 5,747
Outstanding March 31, 2010	2,026.0	\$ 23
Options exercisable March 31, 2010	15.6	\$ 2,081
Aggregate estimated fair value of options vesting during three months ended March 31, 2010	\$ 692	

At March 31, 2010, vested and exercisable options had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$0 and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 6.37 years. Additionally, at March 31, 2010, 1,673 options were expected to vest with a weighted average exercise price of \$27, a weighted average remaining term of 9.83 years and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$3,240. No options were exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2010. The aggregate intrinsic value of options represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between our closing stock price at the end of the period and the option's exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options at that time.

As of March 31, 2010, there was \$9,475 of unearned compensation cost related to stock options granted under all of our equity compensation plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.71 years.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair value of options granted during the first quarter of 2010 was measured on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the weighted average assumptions as follows:

Risk-free interest rate	2.35%
Expected term (years)	5.0
Expected volatility	98.6%
Expected dividend yield	0.00%
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 4.47

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock granted under our 2005 Plan vest over periods ranging from 2 to 4 years, generally commencing on the anniversary date of each grant. Recipients of restricted stock are entitled to the same dividends and voting rights as common stock and, once issued, such stock is considered to be currently issued and outstanding (even when unvested). The cost of restricted stock awards, calculated as the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, net of estimated forfeitures, was expensed ratably over the vesting period. As of March 31, 2010, there was no unearned compensation cost related to restricted stock.

Restricted stock activity for the period from January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2010 is as follows:

	Shares		Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding January 1, 2010	0.8	\$	1,504
Granted			
Converted to common stock	(0.8)	\$	1,504
Forfeited			

Outstanding March 31, 2010

Restricted Stock Units

With rare exceptions, RSUs are typically awarded only to directors, not officers or key employees. Under the 2005 Plan (the only plan under which RSU awards have been issued), RSUs awarded to directors vested over 3 years. Directors' RSUs vest automatically, in full, upon a change in control or upon their retirement, as defined in the 2005 Plan. RSUs are payable in newly issued shares of our common stock. Recipients of RSUs are entitled to receive dividend equivalents (subject to vesting) when and if we pay a cash dividend on our common stock. Such dividend equivalents are payable, in newly issued shares of common stock, only upon the vesting of the related restricted shares. Unlike restricted stock, RSUs do not have the same voting rights as common stock, and the shares underlying the RSUs are not considered to be issued and outstanding until they vest. In 2010, the Company moved to a different compensation structure to compensate its directors. As part of this change, our independent non-employee directors will once again receive annual awards of RSUs valued in an amount of \$35, which awards will vest in 2 year installments, beginning on the anniversary of the grant date. The awards will vest automatically upon a change in control (as defined in the 2010 Plan). The terms of the awards will be governed by the terms of the 2010 Plan. No RSUs have been granted under the 2010 Plan and we anticipate that such RSU awards will be granted on the date the Company's 2010 annual stockholders meeting is held. There were no RSUs awarded during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and accordingly, as of March 31, 2010, there was no unearned compensation cost related to RSUs.

RSU activity for the period from January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2010 is as follows:

	Shares		Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding January 1, 2010	0.1	\$	1,314

Granted
Converted to common stock
Forfeited

Outstanding March 31, 2010	0.1	\$	1,314
----------------------------	-----	----	-------

Table of Contents

Compensation expense in the Statement of Operations related to equity-based awards is as follows:

	Successor Company For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Operating costs	\$ 814	\$ 918
General and administrative expense	245	434
	\$ 1,059	\$ 1,352

NOTE 10 Comprehensive Income (Loss):

Comprehensive income (loss) reflects the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Our comprehensive net income (loss) represents net income or loss adjusted for unrealized gains or losses on available for sale securities. Comprehensive income (loss) is as follows:

	Successor Company For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Net loss	\$ (6,723)	\$ (15,186)
Unrealized gain on marketable securities, net effect of income taxes	86	135
Comprehensive loss	\$ (6,637)	\$ (15,051)

NOTE 11 Income Taxes:

We use the asset and liability method of financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes reflect the tax impact of temporary differences between the amount of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and the amounts recognized for tax purposes. We classified interest expense and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense.

The authoritative guidance clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with this interpretation is a two-step process. The first step is recognition, in which the enterprise determines whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The second step is measurement. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of the liability to recognize in the financial statements.

We determined, based upon the weight of available evidence, that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax asset will be realized. We have taxable temporary differences that can be used as a source of income. As such, no valuation allowance was recorded during the quarters ended March 31, 2010 or 2009 or for the year ended December 31, 2009. We will continue to assess the need for a valuation allowance at each future reporting period.

We have substantially completed our allocation of the purchase price / enterprise value for purposes of the April 24, 2009 opening balance sheet with respect to income taxes.

Table of Contents**NOTE 12 Restructuring Charges:**

In the third quarter of 2008, we announced a plan to restructure our Metro Traffic segment (the Metro Traffic re-engineering) and to implement other cost reductions. The Metro Traffic re-engineering entailed reducing the number of our Metro Traffic operational hubs from 60 to 13 regional centers and produced meaningful reductions in labor expense, aviation expense, station compensation, program commissions and rent.

The Metro Traffic re-engineering initiative began in the second half of 2008 and continued in 2009. In the first half of 2009, we undertook additional reductions in our workforce and terminated certain contracts. In connection with the Metro Traffic re-engineering and other cost reduction initiatives, we recorded \$743, \$3,976, \$3,976 and \$14,100, of restructuring charges in the first quarter of 2010, the period ended December 31, 2009, the period ended April 23, 2009 and the second half of 2008, respectively. The Metro Traffic re-engineering initiative has been completed. We do not expect to incur any further material costs in connection with this initiative (other than adjustments for changes, if any, resulting from revisions to estimated facilities sub-lease cash flows after the cease-use date (i.e., the day we exited the facilities) and we anticipate that the accrued expense balances will be paid over the next 8.25 years.

The restructuring charges identified in the Consolidated Statement of Operations are comprised of the following:

	Severance Termination Costs	Facilities Consolidation Related Costs	Contract Termination Costs	Total
Balance at January 1, 2009	3,198	790	3,796	7,784
Charges from January 1, to April 23, 2009	1,658	2,318		3,976
Charges from April 24, to December 31, 2009	1,941	1,885	150	3,976
Non-cash utilization		(360)		(360)
Payments	(5,260)	(956)	(2,196)	(8,412)
Balance at December 31, 2009	1,537	3,677	1,750	6,964
Charges January 1, to March 31, 2010	142	601		743
Payments	(693)	(473)	(150)	(1,316)
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 986	\$ 3,805	\$ 1,600	\$ 6,391
Accumulated charges	\$ 10,506	\$ 5,635	\$ 6,654	\$ 22,795
Accumulated payments	(9,440)	(1,470)	(3,454)	(14,364)
Accumulated non-cash utilization	(80)	(360)	(1,600)	(2,040)
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 986	\$ 3,805	\$ 1,600	\$ 6,391

Table of Contents**NOTE 13 Special Charges:**

The special charges line item on the Consolidated Statement of Operations is comprised of the following:

	Successor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009
Senior Credit Agreement amendment costs	\$ 579	\$
Employment claim settlements	483	
Gores fees	320	1,713
Fees related to the Refinancing	114	4,000
Corporate development costs	201	
Regionalization costs	126	96
	\$ 1,823	\$ 5,809

The Senior Credit Agreement amendment costs are professional fees incurred by us in connection with the March 2010 agreements we negotiated with our lenders to amend the debt leverage covenants in our Securities Purchase Agreement and Credit Agreement. Employment claim settlements are related to employee terminations that occurred prior to 2008. Gores fees are related to professional services rendered by various members of Glendon to us in the areas of operational improvement, tax, finance, accounting, legal and insurance/risk management. Fees related to the Refinancing for the first quarter of 2009 include transaction fees and expenses related to negotiation of the definitive documentation, including the fees of various legal and financial advisors for the constituents involved in the Refinancing (e.g. Westwood One, the banks, noteholders and the lenders of the new Senior Credit Facility) and other professional fees. Fees related to the Refinancing for the first quarter of 2010 include tax consulting costs related to the finalization of the income tax treatment of the Refinancing. Corporate development costs include professional fees related to the evaluation of potential business development activity including acquisitions and dispositions. Regionalization costs are expenses related to reducing the number of our Metro Traffic operational hubs from 60 to 13 regional centers, including facility expenses.

NOTE 14 Segment Information:

We manage and report our business in two operating segments: Metro Traffic and Network. Beginning with the first quarter of 2010, we changed how we evaluate segment performance and now use segment revenue and segment operating (loss) income before depreciation and amortization (Segment OIBDA) as the primary measure of profit and loss for our operating segments in accordance with FASB guidance for segment reporting. We have reflected this change in all periods presented in this report. We believe the presentation of Segment OIBDA is relevant and useful for investors because it allows investors to view segment performance in a manner similar to the primary method used by our management and enhances their ability to understand our operating performance. Administrative functions such as finance, human resources and information systems are centralized. However, where applicable, portions of the administrative function costs are allocated between the operating segments. The operating segments do not share programming or report distribution. In the event any materials and/or services are provided to one operating segment by the other, the transaction is valued at fair market value. Operating costs, capital expenditures and total assets are captured discretely within each segment.

We report certain administrative activities under corporate. We are domiciled in the United States with limited international operations comprising less than one percent of our revenue. No one customer represented more than 10% of our consolidated revenue.

Table of Contents

Revenue for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized below by segment:

	Successor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009
Revenue		
Metro Traffic	\$ 37,267	\$ 34,683
Network	55,575	51,184
	\$ 92,842	\$ 85,867

Segment OIBDA for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized below by segment:

	Successor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009
Segment OIBDA		
Metro Traffic (1)	\$ (1,566)	\$ (4,327)
Network (1)	4,897	(1,884)
Corporate	(2,849)	(2,081)
Restructuring and special charges	(2,566)	(9,249)
OIBDA	(2,084)	(17,541)
Depreciation and amortization	(4,496)	(2,063)
Operating loss	(6,580)	(19,604)
Interest expense	(5,376)	(3,263)
Other (expense) income	(1)	300
Loss before income taxes	(11,957)	(22,567)
Income tax benefit	(5,234)	(7,381)
Net Loss	\$ (6,723)	\$ (15,186)

(1) Segment operating (loss) income includes allocations of certain corporate overhead expenses such as accounting

and legal costs,
bank charges,
insurance,
information
technology etc.

Segment depreciation, unusual items and capital expenditures for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized below by segment:

Table of Contents

	Successor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009
Depreciation and amortization:		
Metro Traffic	\$ 3,100	\$ 1,185
Network	1,389	871
Corporate	7	7
	\$ 4,496	\$ 2,063

	Successor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Predecessor Company For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009
Capital expenditures:		
Metro Traffic	\$ 1,592	\$ 675
Network	583	494
Corporate	8	
	\$ 2,183	\$ 1,169

Identifiable assets by segment at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are summarized below:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Total assets		
Metro Traffic	\$ 140,810	\$ 147,387
Network	125,827	131,632
Corporate	37,394	28,299
	\$ 304,031	\$ 307,318

NOTE 15 Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

In February 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements (ASU 2010-09). ASU 2010-09 removes the requirement for an SEC registrant to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated as this requirement would have potentially conflicted with SEC reporting requirements. Removal of the disclosure requirement is not expected to affect the nature or timing of subsequent events evaluations performed by the Company. This ASU became effective upon issuance. Our adoption of the new guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 revises two disclosure requirements concerning fair value measurements and clarifies two others. It requires separate presentation of significant transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and disclosure of the reasons for such

transfers. It will also require the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy on a gross basis rather than a net basis. The amendments also clarify that disclosures should be disaggregated by class of asset or liability and that disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques should be provided for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements. Our disclosures about fair value measurements are presented in Note 8 Fair Value Measurements. These new disclosure requirements will first apply to us in our financial statements for the period ending June 30, 2010, except for the requirement concerning gross presentation of Level 3 activity, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. We are evaluating the effects of this standard and do not expect its adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance intended to provide additional application guidance and enhanced disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairments of securities. New guidance related to determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying transactions that are not orderly provides additional guidelines for estimating fair value in accordance with pre-existing guidance on fair value measurements. New guidance on recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments provides additional guidance related to the disclosure of impairment losses on securities and the accounting for impairment losses on debt securities, but does not amend existing guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. Lastly, new guidance on interim disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments increases the frequency of fair value disclosures. The new guidance was effective for fiscal years and interim periods ended after June 15, 2009. As such, we adopted the new guidance in the second quarter ended June 30, 2009, and have included the additional required disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments and valuation techniques within Note 5 Intangible Assets and Note 8 Fair Value Measurements. Our adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In March 2009, the FASB issued new guidance intended to provide additional application guidance for the initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement, and disclosures of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination and for pre-existing contingent consideration assumed as part of the business combination. It establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. The new guidance also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. We adopted the new guidance on January 1, 2009. The adoption of the new guidance impacted the accounting for our Refinancing, as described above, and for the acquisition of Jaytu Technologies, LLC, doing business as SigAlert, in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
(In thousands except per share amounts)**

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report and the annual audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

We produce and provide traffic, news, weather, sports, talk, music, special events and other programming content. Our content is distributed to radio and television stations and digital platforms and reaches over 190 million people. We are one of the largest domestic outsourced providers of traffic reporting services and one of the nation's largest radio networks, delivering content to approximately 5,000 radio and 170 television stations in the U.S. We exchange our content with radio and television stations for commercial airtime, which we then sell to local, regional and national advertisers. By aggregating and packaging commercial airtime across radio and television stations nationwide, we are able to offer our advertising customers a cost effective way to reach a broad audience and target their audience on a demographic and geographic basis.

We derive substantially all of our revenue from the sale of 10 second, 15 second, 30 second and 60 second commercial airtime to advertisers. Our advertisers who target local/regional audiences generally find that an effective method is to purchase shorter duration advertisements, which are principally correlated to our traffic and information related programming and content. Our advertisers who target national audiences generally find that a cost effective method is to purchase longer 30 or 60 second advertisements, which are principally correlated to our news, talk, sports, music and entertainment related programming and content. A growing number of advertisers purchase both local/regional and national airtime. Our goal is to maximize the yield of our available commercial airtime to optimize revenue and profitability.

There are a variety of factors that influence our revenue on a periodic basis, including but not limited to: (1) economic conditions and the relative strength or weakness in the United States economy; (2) advertiser spending patterns and the timing of the broadcasting of our programming, principally the seasonal nature of sports programming; (3) advertiser demand on a local/regional or national basis for radio related advertising products; (4) increases or decreases in our portfolio of program offerings and the audiences of our programs, including changes in the demographic composition of our audience base; (5) increases or decreases in the size of our advertiser sales force; and (6) competitive and alternative programs and advertising mediums.

Our commercial airtime is perishable, and accordingly, our revenue is significantly impacted by the commercial airtime available at the time we enter into an arrangement with an advertiser. Our ability to specifically isolate the relative historical aggregate impact of price and volume is not practical as commercial airtime is sold and managed on an order-by-order basis. We closely monitor advertiser commitments for the current calendar year, with particular emphasis placed on the annual upfront process. We take the following factors, among others, into account when pricing commercial airtime: (1) the dollar value, length and breadth of the order; (2) the desired reach and audience demographic; (3) the quantity of commercial airtime available for the desired demographic requested by the advertiser for sale at the time their order is negotiated; and (4) the proximity of the date of the order placement to the desired broadcast date of the commercial airtime.

For the last several years through 2009, our network revenue was trending downward due principally to reductions in national audience levels and lower clearance and audience levels of our affiliated stations. Similarly, our local/regional revenue has been trending downward due principally to increased competition, reductions in our local/regional sales force and an increase in the amount of 10 second inventory being sold by radio stations. Our operating performance has also been affected by the weakness in the United States economy and advertiser demand for radio-related advertising products. However, as described below, in the first quarter ended March 31, 2010, radio advertising spending has begun to improve and we have been increasing our sales force. As a result, our radio revenue has begun to increase, particularly in the Network business. While we cannot predict if this upward trend will continue for a sustained period, we are cautiously optimistic that as the economy rebounds, our revenue will continue to increase.

Table of Contents

The principal components of our operating expenses are programming, production and distribution costs (including affiliate compensation and broadcast rights fees), selling expenses including commissions, promotional expenses and bad debt expenses, depreciation and amortization, and corporate general and administrative expenses. Corporate general and administrative expenses are primarily comprised of costs associated with corporate accounting, legal, personnel costs, and other administrative expenses, including those associated with corporate governance matters. Special charges include one-time expenses associated with the 2009 and 2008 Gores investment, refinancing/recapitalization costs, compensation settlements related to employee terminations that occurred prior to 2008, and re-engineering expenses.

We consider our operating cost structure to be largely fixed in nature, and as a result, we need several months lead time to make significant modifications to our cost structure to react to what we view are more than temporary increases or decreases in advertiser demand. This becomes important in predicting our performance in periods when advertiser revenue is increasing or decreasing. In periods where advertiser revenue is increasing, the fixed nature of a substantial portion of our costs means that operating income will grow faster than the related growth in revenue. Conversely, in a period of declining revenue, operating income will decrease by a greater percentage than the decline in revenue because of the lead time needed to reduce our operating cost structure. If we perceive a decline in revenue to be temporary, we may choose not to reduce our fixed costs, or may even increase our fixed costs, so as to not limit our future growth potential when the advertising marketplace rebounds. We carefully consider matters such as credit and commercial inventory risks, among others, in assessing arrangements with our programming and distribution partners. In those circumstances where we function as the principal in the transaction, the revenue and associated operating costs are presented on a gross basis in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. In those circumstances where we function as an agent or sales representative, our effective commission is presented within revenue with no corresponding operating expenses. Although no individual relationship is significant, the relative mix of such arrangements is significant when evaluating operating margin and/or increases and decreases in operating expenses.

We engaged consultants for the most part to assist us in determining the most cost effective manner to gather and disseminate traffic information to our constituents. As a result, we announced the Metro Traffic re-engineering initiative that was implemented in the last half of 2008. The modifications to the Metro Traffic business are part of a series of re-engineering initiatives identified by us to improve our operating and financial performance in the near-term, while setting the foundation for profitable long-term growth. These changes resulted in a reduction of staff levels and the consolidation of operations centers into 13 regional hubs by the end of 2009.

The new arrangement with CBS Radio is particularly important to us, as in recent years, the radio broadcasting industry has experienced a significant amount of consolidation. As a result, certain major radio station groups, including Clear Channel Communications and CBS Radio, have emerged as powerful forces in the industry. While we provide programming to all major radio station groups, our extended affiliation agreements with most of CBS Radio owned and operated radio stations provide us with a significant portion of the audience that we sell to advertisers. Prior to the new CBS arrangement which closed on March 3, 2008, many of our affiliation agreements with CBS Radio did not tie station compensation to audience levels or clearance levels. Such contributed to a significant decline in our national audience delivery to advertisers when CBS Radio stations delivered lower audience levels and broadcast fewer commercials than in earlier years. Our new arrangement with CBS mitigates both of these circumstances by adjusting affiliate compensation for changes in audience levels. In addition, the arrangement provides CBS Radio with financial incentives to broadcast substantially all our commercial inventory (referred to as clearance) in accordance with the terms of the contracts and significant penalties for not complying with the contractual terms of our arrangement. We believe that CBS Radio has taken and will continue to take the necessary steps to stabilize and increase the audience reached by its stations. It should be noted however, that as CBS takes steps to increase its compliance with our affiliation agreements, our operating costs will increase before we will be able to increase prices for the larger audience we will deliver, which was and may continue to be a contributing factor to the decline in our operating income. As part of our recent cost reduction actions to reduce station compensation expense, we and CBS Radio mutually agreed to enter into an arrangement, which became effective on February 15, 2010, to give back station inventory representing approximately 15% of the audience delivered by CBS Radio. This resulted in a commensurate reduction in cash compensation payable to them. To help deliver consistent RADAR audience levels

over time, we have added incremental non-CBS inventory. We have added incremental non-CBS inventory. We actively manage our inventory, including by purchasing additional inventory for cash. We have also added Metro Traffic inventory from CBS Radio through various stand-alone agreements.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

We are organized into two business segments; Metro Traffic and Network.

Our Metro Traffic business produces and distributes traffic and other local information reports (such as news, sports and weather) to approximately 2,200 radio and 170 television stations, which include stations in over 80 of the top 100 Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) markets in the U.S. Our Metro Traffic business generates revenue from the sale of commercial advertising inventory to advertisers (typically 10 and 15 second radio spots embedded within our information reports and 30 second spots in television). We provide broadcasters a cost-effective alternative to gathering and delivering their own traffic and local information reports and offer advertisers a more efficient, broad reaching alternative to purchasing advertising directly from individual radio and television stations.

Our Network business nationally syndicates proprietary and licensed content to radio stations, enabling them to meet their programming needs on a cost-effective basis. The programming includes national news and sports content, such as CBS Radio News, CNN Radio News and NBC Radio News and major sporting events, including the National Football League (including the Super Bowl), NCAA football and basketball games (including the Men s College Basketball Tournament known as March Madness) and the 2010 Winter Olympic Games. Our Network business features popular shows that we produce with personalities including Dennis Miller, Charles Osgood, Fred Thompson and Billy Bush. We also feature special events such as live concert broadcasts, countdown shows (including MTV and Country Music Television branded programs), music and interview programs. Our Network business generates revenue from the sale of 30 and 60 second commercial airtime, often embedded in our programming that we bundle and sell to national advertisers who want to reach a large audience across numerous radio stations.

Our consolidated financial statements and transactional records prior to the closing of the Refinancing reflect the historical accounting basis in our assets and liabilities and are labeled Predecessor Company, while such records subsequent to the Refinancing are labeled Successor Company and reflect the push down basis of accounting for the new fair values in our financial statements. This is presented in our consolidated financial statements by a vertical black line division which appears between the sections entitled Predecessor Company and Successor Company on the statements and relevant notes. The black line signifies that the amounts shown for the periods prior to and subsequent to the Refinancing are not comparable. For management purposes we continue to measure our performance against comparable prior periods.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 Compared With Three Months Ended March 31, 2009**Revenue**

Revenue presented by operating segment for the three month periods ending March 31, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	2010	2009	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	
			\$ Amount	%
Metro Traffic	\$ 37,267	\$ 34,683	\$ 2,584	7.5%
Network	55,575	51,184	4,391	8.6%
Total (1)	\$ 92,842	\$ 85,867	\$ 6,975	8.1%

(1) As described above, we currently aggregate revenue based on the operating segment. A number of advertisers

purchase both
local/regional
and national or
Network
commercial
airtime in both
segments. Our
objective is to
optimize total
revenue from
those
advertisers.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, revenue increased \$6,975 or 8.1%, to \$92,842 compared with \$85,867 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This increase in revenue for the first quarter was the first year-over-year quarterly increase since the second quarter of 2005. The increase is the result of higher revenue in both segments of our business.

Metro Traffic revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$2,584 or 7.5% to \$37,267 from \$34,683 for the same period in 2009. The increase in Metro Traffic revenue was principally related to an increase in the revenue from television and radio advertising, primarily in the automotive and quick service restaurant sectors.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, Network revenue was \$55,575 compared to \$51,184 for the comparable period in 2009, an increase of 8.6% or \$4,391. The increase resulted from increased sports advertising revenue primarily related to the 2010 Winter Olympics, the NCAA Men's College Basketball Tournament and NFL games, and new programming for The Weather Channel. These increases were partially offset by a decline in advertising revenue from our talk radio programs as a result of the cancellation of three programs, *Air America Radio*, *The Radio Factor hosted by Bill O Reilly* and *The Adam Carolla Show*.

Operating Costs

Operating costs for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

	2010	2009	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	
			\$ Amount	%
Payroll and payroll related	\$ 20,961	\$ 21,122	\$ 161	0.8%
Programming and production	24,790	27,482	2,692	9.8%
Program and operating	7,903	4,570	(3,333)	(72.9)%
Station compensation	18,491	19,769	1,278	6.5%
Other operating expenses	17,196	18,450	1,254	6.8%
	\$ 89,341	\$ 91,393	\$ 2,052	2.2%

Operating costs decreased \$2,052, or 2.2%, to \$89,341 in the first quarter of 2010 from \$91,393 in the first quarter of 2009, primarily as a result of restructuring and cost saving programs we began in 2008 and continued in 2009. This was partially offset by an increase in program and operating costs of \$3,333, predominantly as a result of increased cash buys for television and local radio inventory. The decrease in operating costs is primarily attributable to reductions in costs for: (i) programming and production of \$2,692, related to talent and broadcast rights fees and aviation, (ii) station compensation costs of \$1,278 primarily due to the renegotiation and cancellation of certain affiliate arrangements and (iii) other operating costs of \$1,254, primarily from lower costs for legal, bad debt, news agreements and rent, partially offset by higher accounting and auditing fees, promotion and communication expense. Payroll and payroll related costs decreased \$161 reflecting the benefit of our re-engineering and cost reduction programs which began in the last half of 2008, partially offset by costs related to additional sales hires in the first quarter of 2010 and variable compensation tied to revenue.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$2,433, or 118%, to \$4,496 in the first quarter of 2010 from \$2,063 in the first quarter of 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to the increase in the fair value of amortizable intangibles that were recorded as a result of the Refinancing and our application of push down acquisition accounting, and by increased depreciation and amortization from our additional investments in systems and infrastructure.

Corporate General and Administrative Expenses

Corporate, general and administrative expenses increased \$253, or 9.1% to \$3,019 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$2,766 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase is principally due to higher accounting fees, partially offset by decreases in legal and consulting fees and equity-based compensation expense.

Table of Contents**Restructuring Charges**

During the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded \$743 and \$3,440, respectively for restructuring charges. For the 2010 period, restructuring charges included Metro Traffic re-engineering costs of \$352 for real estate expense, \$249 resulting from revisions to estimated cash flows for our closed facilities and \$142 for severance.

Special Charges

We incurred expenses aggregating \$1,823 and \$5,809 in the first quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Special charges in the first quarter of 2010 included \$579 for fees related to the agreements to amend the terms of our Senior Notes and Senior Credit Facility, \$483 for employment claim settlements related to employee terminations that occurred prior to 2008, \$320 for Gores fees, \$114 for fees related to the finalization of the income tax treatment of the Refinancing, \$201 for professional fees related to the evaluation of potential business development activity, including acquisitions and dispositions and \$126 for fees primarily related to regionalization costs. Special charges in the first quarter of 2009 were incurred predominantly in connection with the Refinancing.

OIBDA

Beginning with the first quarter of 2010, we changed how we evaluate segment performance and now use segment revenue and segment operating (loss) income before depreciation and amortization (Segment OIBDA) as the primary measure of profit and loss for our operating segments in accordance with FASB guidance for segment reporting. We have reflected this change in all periods presented in this report. We believe the presentation of Segment OIBDA is relevant and useful for investors because it allows investors to view segment performance in a manner similar to the primary method used by our management and enhances their ability to understand our operating performance.

OIBDA for the three months ending March 31, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	2010	2009	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	
			\$ Amount	%
Metro Traffic	\$ (1,566)	\$ (4,327)	\$ 2,761	63.8%
Network	4,897	(1,884)	6,781	359.9%
Corporate expenses	(2,849)	(2,081)	(768)	(36.9)%
Special charges	(2,566)	(9,249)	6,683	72.3%
OIBDA	(2,084)	(17,541)	15,457	88.1%
Depreciation and amortization	(4,496)	(2,063)	(2,433)	(117.9)%
Operating loss	\$ (6,580)	\$ (19,604)	\$ 13,024	66.4%

OIBDA loss for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased to \$2,084 from \$17,541 for the same period in 2009. This decrease is primarily attributable to an increase in revenue, lower operating costs and lower restructuring and special charges.

Metro Traffic

OIBDA loss in our Metro Traffic segment decreased by \$2,761 to a loss of \$1,566 in 2010 compared to a loss of \$4,327 in 2009. The decrease in the loss was primarily due to an increase in revenue of \$2,584, lower other operating costs of \$1,495, lower programming and production costs of \$1,149 and lower payroll and related costs of \$351. These improvements were partially offset by increased program and operating costs of \$2,667, resulting primarily from cash buys for television and local radio inventory.

Table of Contents***Network***

OIBDA in our Network segment increased by \$6,781 to \$4,897 in 2010 compared to a loss of \$1,884 in 2009. The increase in OIBDA was due to an increase in revenue of \$4,391, a decrease in programming and production costs of \$1,536, a decrease in station compensation of \$1,179 and lower payroll and related costs of \$159. These increases in OIBDA were partially offset by higher programming and operating expenses of \$509 related to the 2010 Winter Olympics.

Operating Loss

The operating loss for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased to \$6,580 from \$19,604 for the same period in 2009. This decrease is primarily attributable to an increase in revenue, lower operating costs and lower restructuring and special charges, partially offset by an increase in depreciation and amortization.

We currently anticipate that operating income will increase in 2010 compared with 2009 principally as a result of an anticipated stabilization and growth in our revenue base and the benefits from our cost reduction programs.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$2,113, or 64.8%, to \$5,376 in the first quarter of 2010 from \$3,263 in the first quarter of 2009, reflecting a higher rate of interest on a lower level of debt outstanding, primarily as a result of the Refinancing, and increased interest expense related to capital leases.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax benefit in the first quarter of 2010 was \$5,234 compared with a tax benefit of \$7,381 in the first quarter of 2009. Our effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was approximately 43.8% as compared to 32.7% for the same period in 2009. An additional tax benefit of \$650 was recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2010 related to an increase in our federal income tax refund arising from a change in the determination of the deductibility of certain costs for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. These additional income tax benefits are primarily related to deductions taken in U.S. federal filings for which it is more likely than not that those deductions would be sustained on their technical merits.

Net Loss

Our net loss for the first quarter of 2010 decreased to \$6,723 from a net loss of \$15,186 in the first quarter of 2009, which represented an improvement of \$8,463. Net loss per share for basic and diluted shares was \$(0.33) in the first quarter of 2010, compared with net loss per share for basic and diluted of \$(33.95) in the first quarter of 2009.

Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$4,915 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and \$2,134 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, an increase of \$2,781 in net cash provided by operating activities. The increase was principally attributable to a lower net loss of \$8,463, higher depreciation and amortization of \$2,433 and other changes in deferred taxes, stock compensation and amortization of deferred financing costs of \$990, partially offset by a lower net change in our net assets and liabilities of \$9,105.

While our business does not usually require significant cash outlays for capital expenditures, capital expenditures in the first three months of 2010 increased to \$2,183, compared to \$1,169 for the first three months of 2009, primarily as a result of payments related to capitalization of the internal use financial systems software we are currently installing. We anticipate an increase in total capital expenditures for the remainder of 2010, as compared to 2009, as we continue to invest in systems and infrastructure.

Cash used in financing activities was \$762 for the first three months of 2010 compared to \$203 in the first three months of 2009. On March 31, 2010, as part of the Securities Purchase Agreement amendment, we paid down our Senior Notes by \$3,500 and we also borrowed \$3,000 under our revolving credit facility in January.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We continually project anticipated cash requirements, which may include potential acquisitions, capital expenditures, and principal and interest payments on our outstanding indebtedness, and working capital requirements. To date, funding requirements have been financed through cash flows from operations, the issuance of equity and the issuance of long-term debt. At March 31, 2010, our principal sources of liquidity were our cash and cash equivalents of \$6,794 and amounts available to us under our revolving credit facility of \$5,781 as described in Note 7 Debt, which total \$12,575 as of the date hereof.

We have incurred a significant decline in our available liquidity. As of May 17, 2010, our available liquidity has decreased approximately \$6,700 to \$6,900 from \$13,605 at December 31, 2009, in part due to \$6,400 in payments made in April 2010 for the CBS Radio annual bonus and for license and broadcast rights for sports programming and a final installment payment of \$1,600 related to a contract termination. As described elsewhere in Note 7 Debt, our Senior Notes and Senior Credit Facility contain debt leverage ratios with which we must comply and which are calculated on a quarterly basis. As of March 31, 2010, we were in compliance with such covenants. While management believes we will maintain sufficient liquidity to fund operations and that we will maintain compliance with our covenants for the next twelve months, given the decline in our liquidity and the fact that we have had difficulty in achieving our Adjusted EBITDA projections, we cannot provide assurance that we will have sufficient liquidity to maintain compliance with our covenants and fund future operations. We have certain cost containment and liquidity-producing measures available to us that we could utilize to the extent necessary, including management of workforce costs, the elimination of non-essential expenses, extending payables and reducing capital expenditures. We believe these actions, to the extent necessary, will allow us to maintain sufficient liquidity to fund our operations for at least the next twelve months through March 31, 2011 and to maintain compliance with our covenants. However, no assurance can be provided that these actions will be sufficient for us to have sufficient liquidity to fund operations or to maintain compliance with our covenants.

Our cash flow from operations is a principal source of funds. We have experienced significant operating losses since 2005 as a result of increased competition in our local and regional markets, reductions in national audience levels, and reductions in our local and regional sales force. Also, in 2009 our operating income was affected by the economic downturn in the United States and decline in the overall advertising market. Based on our 2010 projections, which we believe use reasonable assumptions regarding the current economic environment, we estimate that cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund our cash requirements, including scheduled interest and required principal payments on our outstanding indebtedness and projected working capital needs, and to provide us with sufficient Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in our Senior Credit Facility) to comply with our debt covenants for at least the next 12 months.

While our 2010 projections indicated we would attain sufficient Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in our Senior Credit Facility) to comply with our debt leverage covenant levels in 2010 (prior to those covenants being amended in March 2010), management did not believe there was sufficient cushion in our projections to outweigh the current unpredictability in the economy and our business. Accordingly, we determined it was prudent to amend our debt leverage covenants on March 30, 2010 in order to provide our business with (1) greater operational flexibility and (2) a greater time frame to recover from the effects of the weakened economy and to incorporate the full benefit of the revenue initiatives and re-engineering and cost reduction actions taken by the Company from mid-2008 and 2009. Notwithstanding these amendments to our covenants, if our operating income declines, we cannot provide assurances that there will be sufficient liquidity available to us to invest in our business or that there will be sufficient Adjusted EBITDA to comply with our debt covenants.

We filed a preliminary U.S. federal income tax return carrying back our current net operating losses and an application for a tentative refund in the second quarter of 2010, and anticipate receiving a refund of approximately \$12,900 at the end of the second quarter or third quarter of 2010. As part of the amendments to the Securities Purchase Agreement (governing the Senior Notes) and Credit Agreement (governing the Senior Credit Facility) described above, the first \$12,000 of such refund and any refund amount in excess of \$17,000, will be used to pay down our Senior Notes. Gores has agreed to guarantee up to a \$10,000 pay down of the Senior Notes if such refund is not received on or prior to August 16, 2010. The effect of our new debt leverage covenant levels on the amount of Adjusted EBITDA required

by us to satisfy our covenants is demonstrated below in a table which appears below.

Table of Contents***Existing Indebtedness***

On March 31, 2010, we repaid \$3,500 of the Senior Notes. Accordingly, as of March 31, 2010, our existing debt totaled \$147,951 and consisted of: \$119,951 under the Senior Notes maturing July 15, 2012 (which includes \$10,000 classified as current maturities of long term-debt and \$10,984 due to Gores) and the Senior Credit Facility, which consists of a \$20,000 unsecured, non-amortizing term loan revolver and a \$15,000 revolving credit facility of which \$8,000 was outstanding on March 31, 2010. The Senior Credit Facility matures on July 15, 2012 and is guaranteed by subsidiaries of the Company and Gores. The Senior Notes bear interest at 15.0% per annum, payable 10% in cash and 5% paid-in-kind (PIK) interest. The PIK interest accretes and is added to principal quarterly, but is not payable until maturity. As of March 31, 2010, the cumulative PIK interest was \$5,951.

The Senior Notes may be prepaid at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Payment of the Senior Notes is mandatory upon, among other things, certain asset sales and the occurrence of a change of control (as such term is defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement governing the Senior Notes). The Senior Notes are guaranteed by the subsidiaries of the Company and are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of the Company's assets. Both the Securities Purchase Agreement (governing the Senior Notes) and Credit Agreement (governing the Senior Credit Facility) contain restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur debt, incur liens, make investments, make capital expenditures, consummate acquisitions, pay dividends, sell assets and enter into mergers and similar transactions beyond specified baskets and identified carve-outs. Additionally, we may not exceed the maximum senior leverage ratio (the principal amount outstanding under the Senior Notes over our Adjusted EBITDA) referred to in this report as our debt leverage covenant. The Securities Purchase Agreement contains customary representations and warranties and affirmative covenants. The Credit Agreement contains substantially identical restrictive covenants (including a maximum senior leverage ratio calculated in the same manner as with the Securities Purchase Agreement), affirmative covenants and representations and warranties like those found in the Securities Purchase Agreement, modified, in the case of certain covenants, for a cushion on basket amounts and covenant levels from those contained in the Securities Purchase Agreement.

Adjusted EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$10,374. Under the terms of our Senior Notes, in order to have satisfied our 8.00 to 1.00 covenant for the twelve month period ended March 31, 2010, we had to realize an Adjusted EBITDA (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 of no more than \$(2,320). For the quarter ended March 31, 2010 our Adjusted EBITDA was \$2,137, which was \$4,457 in excess of the required Adjusted EBITDA. As a point of reference, our Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was a loss of \$(6,940). Our Adjusted EBITDA for the trailing twelve months ended March 31, 2010 was \$19,451.

In order to satisfy our 7.50 to 1.00 covenant for the twelve month period ending June 30, 2010, we must realize a minimum Adjusted EBITDA of \$5,812 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This compares to our Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended June 30, 2009 of \$9,070. Adjusted EBITDA for the trailing nine months ended March 31, 2010 was \$10,381.

In order to satisfy our 7.00 to 1.00 covenant for the twelve month period ending September 30, 2010, we must realize a minimum Adjusted EBITDA of \$7,902 for the six months ended September 30, 2010. This compares to our Adjusted EBITDA for the six months ended September 30, 2009 of \$11,223. Adjusted EBITDA for the trailing six months ended March 31, 2010 was \$8,228.

In order to satisfy our 6.50 to 1.00 covenant for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2010, we must realize a minimum Adjusted EBITDA of \$15,451 for the nine months ended December 31, 2010. This compares to our Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 of \$17,314. Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$2,137.

Table of Contents

Our maximum senior leverage ratio (also referred to herein as our debt leverage covenant), defined as the principal amount of Senior Notes over our Adjusted EBITDA (defined below), is measured on a trailing, four-quarter basis. The covenant is the same under our Securities Purchase Agreement, governing the Senior Notes and our Senior Credit Facility, governing the Senior Credit Facility except that they have different maximum levels. We have presented the more restrictive of the two levels below.

Quarter Ending	Maximum Senior Leverage Ratio Covenant	Principal Amount of Senior Notes Estimated Outstanding (Includes PIK)*	Required Last Twelve Months (LTM) Minimum Adjusted EBITDA*
3/31/2010	8.00 to 1.0	\$ 119,951	\$ 14,994
6/30/2010	7.50 to 1.0	121,450	16,193
9/30/2010	7.00 to 1.0	112,911	16,130
12/31/2010	6.50 to 1.0	114,322	17,588
3/31/2011	6.00 to 1.0	115,751	19,292
6/30/2011	5.50 to 1.0	117,198	21,309
9/30/2011	5.00 to 1.0	118,663	23,733
12/31/2011	4.50 to 1.0	120,146	26,699
3/31/2012	3.50 to 1.0	121,648	34,757
6/30/2012	3.50 to 1.0	123,169	35,191

The above chart reflects a payment of \$10,000 (the minimum payment required under the terms of the agreements with our lenders) on or before August 16, 2010 of the then outstanding principal amount of Senior Notes. On March 31, 2010, we repaid \$3,500 of our Senior Notes, which is also reflected above.

Adjusted EBITDA has the same definition in both of our borrowing agreements and means Consolidated Net Income adjusted for the following: (1) minus any net gain or plus any loss arising from the sale or other disposition of capital assets; (2) plus any provision for taxes based on income or profits; (3) plus consolidated net interest expense; (4) plus depreciation, amortization and other non-cash losses, charges or expenses (including impairment of intangibles and goodwill); (5) minus any extraordinary, unusual, special or non-recurring earnings or gains or plus any extraordinary, unusual, special or non-recurring losses, charges or expenses; (6) plus restructuring expenses or charges; (7) plus non-cash compensation recorded from grants of stock appreciation or similar rights, stock options, restricted stock or other rights; (8) plus any Permitted Glendon/Affiliate Payments (as described below); (9) plus any Transaction Costs (as described below); (10) minus any deferred credit (or amortization of a deferred credit) arising from the acquisition of any Person; and (11) minus any other non-cash items increasing such Consolidated Net Income (including, without limitation, any write-up of assets); in each case to the extent taken into account in the determination of such Consolidated Net Income, and determined without duplication and on a consolidated basis in accordance with GAAP.

Permitted Glendon/Affiliate Payments means payments made at our discretion to Gores and its affiliates including Glendon Partners for consulting services provided to Westwood One and Transaction Costs refers to the fees, costs and expenses incurred by us in connection with the Restructuring.

Adjusted EBITDA, as we calculate it, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies. While Adjusted EBITDA does not necessarily represent funds available for discretionary use, and is not necessarily a measure of our ability to fund our cash needs, we use Adjusted EBITDA as defined in our lender agreements as a liquidity measure, which is different from operating cash flow, the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. We have provided below the requisite reconciliation of operating cash flow to Adjusted EBITDA.

Table of Contents

Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	For the Quarters ended March	
	2010	2009
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ 4,915	\$ 2,134
Interest expense	5,376	3,263
Income taxes (benefit)	(5,234)	(7,381)
Restructuring	743	3,440
Special charges and other (1)	2,419	5,809
Other non-operating income	1	(300)
Deferred taxes	5,107	6,698
Amortization of deferred financing costs		(308)
Change in assets and liabilities	(11,190)	(20,295)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 2,137	\$ (6,940)

- (1) Special charges and other includes expense of \$596 classified as corporate general and administrative expenses on the Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 revises two disclosure requirements concerning fair value measurements and clarifies two others. It requires separate presentation of significant transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and disclosure of the reasons for such transfers. It will also require the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy on a gross basis rather than a net basis. The amendments also clarify that disclosures should be disaggregated by class of asset or liability and that disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques should be provided for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements. Our disclosures about fair value measurements are presented in Note 8 Fair Value Measurements. These new disclosure requirements will first apply to us in our financial statements for the period ending June 30, 2010, except for the requirement concerning gross presentation of Level 3 activity, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. We are evaluating the effects of this standard and do not expect our adoption of this new guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance intended to provide additional application guidance and enhanced disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairments of securities. New guidance related to determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying

transactions that are not orderly provides additional guidelines for estimating fair value in accordance with pre-existing guidance on fair value measurements. New guidance on recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments provides additional guidance related to the disclosure of impairment losses on securities and the accounting for impairment losses on debt securities, but does not amend existing guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. Lastly, new guidance on interim disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments increases the frequency of fair value disclosures. The new guidance was effective for fiscal years and interim periods ended after June 15, 2009. As such, we adopted the new guidance in the second quarter ended June 30, 2009, and have included the additional required disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments and valuation techniques within Note 5 Intangible Assets and Note 8 Fair Value Measurements. Our adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In March 2009, the FASB issued new guidance intended to provide additional application guidance for the initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement, and disclosures of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination and for pre-existing contingent consideration assumed as part of the business combination. It establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. The new guidance also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. We adopted the new guidance on January 1, 2009. The adoption of the new guidance impacted the accounting for our Refinancing, as described above, and for the acquisition of Jaytu Technologies, LLC (Jaytu) (doing business as (d/b/a) SigAlert) in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents**Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements and Factors Affecting Forward-Looking Statements**

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including Item 1A Risk Factors and Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition, contains both historical and forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements we make or others make on our behalf. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements are not based on historical fact but rather are based on management's views and assumptions concerning future events and results at the time the statements are made. No assurances can be given that management's expectations will come to pass. There may be additional risks, uncertainties and factors that we do not currently view as material or that are not necessarily known. Any forward-looking statements included in this document are only made as of the date of this document and we do not have any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

A wide range of factors could materially affect future developments and performance including the following:

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Our annual operating income has declined since 2005 and may continue to decline. We may not be able to reverse this trend or reduce costs sufficiently to offset declines in revenue if such trends continue.

Since 2005, our annual operating income has declined from operating income of \$143,978 to an operating loss of \$97,582, which included impairment charges of approximately \$50,501, for the year ended December 31, 2009. Between 2005 and 2009, our annual operating income declined as a result of increased competition in our local and regional markets and an increase in the amount of 10 second inventory being sold by radio stations. The decline also occurred due to reductions in national audience levels, lower commercial clearance and audience levels of our affiliated stations, and reductions in our local and regional sales force, which began in mid-2006. More recently, our operating income has been affected by the weakness in the United States economy and advertising market, which only recently has begun to turnaround. During this time, advertisers and the agencies that represent them, put increased pressure on advertising rates, in some cases, requesting broad percentage discounts on ad buys, demanding increased levels of inventory and re-negotiating booked orders. Even if the improvement in the current economic situation continues we cannot predict whether or not advertisers' demands and budgets for advertising will return to previous levels. If a double-dip recession were to occur or if the economic climate does not continue to improve over time, it could harm our ability to generate advertising revenue and it is possible our financial position would not improve.

Table of Contents

We may require additional financing to fund our working capital, debt service, capital expenditures or other capital requirements and the ongoing global credit market disruptions have reduced access to credit and created higher costs of obtaining financing.

Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from operations, which has been adversely impacted by the decline in our advertising revenue. As of May 17, 2010, our available liquidity has decreased approximately \$6,700 to \$6,900 from \$13,605 at December 31, 2009. While we presently believe that cash flow from operations as well as cash on hand will enable us to meet our capital requirements for at least the next 12 months, if our future operating performance does not meet our expectations or our plans materially change in an adverse manner, we may need additional financing. As described above, we recently negotiated amendments with the holders of our Senior Notes and Wells Fargo in October 2009 and March 2010 regarding our debt leverage covenants under our Senior Notes and Senior Credit Facility, respectively, as a result of lower than anticipated revenue and the uncertain economic and advertising environments. Pursuant to the terms of the October 2009 amendment, we agreed to pay down \$3,500 of our outstanding Senior Notes on or before March 31, 2010 and under the terms of the March 2010 amendment, we agreed to pay down a minimum of \$10,000 of our outstanding Senior Notes, which amount could increase depending on the size of our anticipated tax refund. In connection therewith, Gores agreed to guarantee up to \$10,000 of such payment if the tax refund is not received on or prior to August 16, 2010. We may also pay up to \$1,500 in potential cash earnouts for future deliverables as part of our acquisition of Jaytu, d/b/a SigAlert. If our future operating performance or cash flow is not what we anticipate and we require additional financing, there can be no assurance that such financing, if consented to by our lenders under the terms of our financing agreements, will be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. Additionally, disruptions in the credit markets make it harder and more expensive to obtain financing. If available financing is limited or we are forced to fund our operations at a higher cost, these conditions may require us to curtail our business activities and increase our cost of financing, both of which could reduce our profitability or increase our losses. The inability to obtain additional financing in such circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and on our ability to meet our obligations.

Our present financial condition has caused us to obtain amendments to the agreements governing our indebtedness and to institute certain cost saving measures. If our financial condition does not improve, we may need to take additional actions designed to respond to or improve our financial condition and we cannot assure you that any such actions would be successful in improving our financial position.

As a result of our current financial position, we have taken certain actions designed to respond to and improve our current financial position. On October 14, 2009 and March 30, 2010, respectively, we entered into separate agreements with the holders of our Senior Notes and Wells Fargo to amend the terms of our Securities Purchase Agreement (governing the Senior Notes) and Credit Agreement (governing the Senior Credit Facility), respectively, to waive compliance with our debt leverage covenants which were to be measured on December 31, 2009 on a trailing four-quarter basis (October 2009 amendment) and to amend our future debt leverage covenant levels (March 2010 amendment). In addition, we have implemented and continue to implement cost saving measures which included compensation reduction and furlough actions (aggregating 10 days of pay per each participating full-time employee) that we announced on September 29, 2009. Certain of the cost saving initiatives were designed to improve our financial condition. If our financial condition does not improve as a result of these and other actions, as discussed in the section entitled *Liquidity and Capital Resources*, we may need to take additional actions in the future in an attempt to improve our financial position. We can make no assurance that the actions we have taken and plan to take in the future will improve our financial position.

If we are unable to achieve our financial forecast, we may require an amendment or additional waiver of our debt leverage covenant, which amendment or waiver, if not obtained, could have a material and adverse effect on our business continuity and financial condition.

Management believes that after giving effect to certain cost containment measures including furloughs and salary reductions for employees, and the most recent amendments to our covenant levels, we will generate sufficient Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in our Senior Credit Facility) to meet our debt leverage covenants over the next twelve months (namely, on March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010, September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010, when the covenants are measured on a trailing four-quarter basis). However, as described elsewhere in this report, we are still operating in

an uncertain economic environment, where the pace of an advertising recovery is unclear. As described above, we agreed to pay down (x) \$3,500 of our Senior Notes on or prior to March 31, 2010 as part of our agreement with our lenders to waive our debt covenant for December 31, 2009 and (y) a minimum of \$10,000 of our Senior Notes in the agreement with our lenders to amend our debt covenant levels for March 31, 2010 and beyond. Gores has agreed to guarantee up to a \$10,000 pay down of the Senior Notes if such refund is not received on or prior to August 16, 2010. If we are unable to achieve our forecasted results, or sufficiently offset those results with certain cost reduction measures, and were to require a further waiver or amendment of our debt covenant requirements which could not then be obtained, it could have a material and adverse effect on our business continuity, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Table of Contents***We have a significant amount of indebtedness and limited liquidity, which will affect our future business operations if our future operating performance does not meet our expectations.***

As of March 31, 2010, we had \$119,951 in aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes outstanding (of which approximately \$5,951 is PIK), which bear interest at a rate of 15.0%, and a Senior Credit Facility consisting of a \$20,000 term loan and a \$15,000 revolving credit facility. As of May 17, 2010, our available liquidity has decreased approximately \$7,400 to \$6,200 from \$13,605 at December 31, 2009. Loans under our Senior Credit Facility bear interest at LIBOR plus 4.5% (with a LIBOR floor of 2.5%) or a base rate plus 4.5% (with a base rate floor equal to the greater of 3.75% or the one-month LIBOR rate). As discussed above, we have agreed to pay our lenders a minimum of \$10,000 of our outstanding Senior Notes from the proceeds of an anticipated tax refund. We recently obtained waivers of compliance with our debt leverage covenants for the fourth quarter of 2009 measurement period and amendments to our debt leverage covenants to be measured on March 31, 2010 and beyond. Our ability to service our debt in 2010 and beyond will depend on our financial performance in an uncertain and unpredictable economic environment as well as competitive pressures. While previously we have been able to negotiate amendments to our agreements with our lenders, we may be unable to further amend those agreements on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. Further, our Senior Notes and Senior Credit Facility restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness. If our operating income declines or does not grow as much as we currently anticipate, and we are unable to obtain a waiver to increase our indebtedness or successfully raise funds through an issuance of equity, we could have insufficient liquidity, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we are unable to meet our debt service and repayment obligations under the Senior Notes or the Senior Credit Facility, we would be in default under the terms of the agreements governing our debt, which if uncured, would allow our creditors at that time to declare all outstanding indebtedness to be due and payable and materially impair our financial condition and liquidity.

Our Senior Credit Facility and Senior Notes contain various covenants which, if not complied with, could accelerate repayment under such indebtedness, thereby materially and adversely affecting our financial condition and results of operations.

Our Senior Credit Facility and Senior Notes require us to comply with certain financial and operational covenants. These covenants (as amended on March 30, 2010) include, without limitation:

- a maximum senior leverage ratio (expressed as the principal amount of Senior Notes over our Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in our Senior Credit Facility) measured on a trailing, four-quarter basis) which is 8.0 to 1.0 on March 31, 2010 but begins to decline on a quarterly basis thereafter, including to a 6.5 to 1.0 ratio on December 31, 2010, a 4.50 to 1.0 ratio on December 31, 2011 and a 3.5 to 1.0 ratio on March 31, 2012; and
- restrictions on our ability to incur debt, incur liens, make investments, make capital expenditures, consummate acquisitions, pay dividends, sell assets and enter into mergers and similar transactions.

While our 2010 projections indicate we would attain sufficient Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in our Senior Credit Facility) to comply with our debt leverage covenant levels in 2010, we cannot be certain there will be sufficient Adjusted EBITDA to comply with our debt covenants. As described above, in October 2009 we obtained waivers of compliance with our debt leverage covenants for December 31, 2009 and in March 2010, eased our debt leverage covenants for 2010 and 2011. Our debt leverage covenant will first be measured on March 31, 2010 and thereafter quarterly on a trailing four-quarter basis. Failure to comply with any of our covenants would result in a default under our Senior Credit Facility and Senior Notes that, if we were unable to obtain a waiver from the lenders or holders thereof, could accelerate repayment under the Senior Credit Facility and Senior Notes and thereby have a material adverse impact on our business.

The cost of our indebtedness has increased substantially, which further affects our liquidity and could limit our ability to implement our business plan and respond competitively.

As a result of our Refinancing, the interest payments on our debt (on an annualized basis i.e., from April 23, 2009 to April 23, 2010 and subsequent annual periods thereafter) have increased from approximately \$12,000 to \$19,000, \$6,000 of which will be PIK. If the economy does not continue to improve and advertisers continue to maintain reduced budgets which do not significantly recover in 2010, we may be required to delay the implementation or reduce the scope of our business plan and our ability to develop or enhance our services or programs could be

curtailed. Without additional revenue and capital, we may be unable to take advantage of business opportunities, such as acquisition opportunities or securing rights to name-brand or popular programming, or respond to competitive pressures. If any of the foregoing should occur, this could have a material and adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

CBS Radio provides us with a significant portion of our commercial inventory and audience that we sell to advertisers. A material reduction in the audience delivered by CBS Radio stations or a material loss of commercial inventory from CBS Radio would have an adverse effect on our advertising sales and financial results.

While we provide programming to all major radio station groups, we have affiliation agreements with most of CBS Radio's owned and operated radio stations which, in the aggregate, provide us with a significant portion of the audience and commercial inventory that we sell to advertisers, much of which is in the more desirable top 10 radio markets. Although the compensation we pay to CBS Radio under our March 2008 arrangement is adjustable for audience levels and commercial clearance (i.e., the percentage of commercial inventory broadcast by CBS Radio stations), any significant loss of audience or inventory delivered by CBS Radio stations, including, by way of example only, as a result of a decline in station audience, commercial clearance levels or station sales that resulted in lower audience levels, would have a material adverse impact on our advertising sales and revenue. Since implementing the new arrangement in early 2008 and continuing through the end of 2009, CBS Radio has delivered improved audience levels and broadcast more advertising inventory than it had under our previous arrangement. However, there can be no assurance that CBS Radio will be able to maintain these higher levels in particular, with the introduction of The Portable People Meter[®], or PPM[®], which to date has reported substantially lower audience ratings for certain of our radio station affiliates, including our CBS Radio station affiliates, in those markets in which PPM[®] has been implemented as described below. As part of our recent cost reduction actions to reduce station compensation expense, we and CBS Radio mutually agreed to enter into an arrangement, which became effective on February 15, 2010, to give back approximately 15% of the audience delivered by CBS Radio. This resulted in a commensurate reduction in cash compensation payable to them. To help deliver consistent RADAR audience levels over time, we have added incremental non-CBS inventory. We actively manage our inventory, including by purchasing additional inventory for cash. We have also added Metro Traffic inventory from CBS Radio through various stand-alone agreements. While our arrangement with CBS Radio is scheduled to terminate in 2017, there can be no assurance that such arrangement will not be breached by either party. If our agreement with CBS Radio were terminated as a result of such breach, our results of operations could be materially impacted.

We may not realize expected benefits from our cost cutting initiatives.

In order to improve the efficiency of our operations, we have implemented certain cost cutting initiatives, including headcount and salary reductions and more recently a furlough of participating full-time employees. We cannot assure you that we will realize the full benefit expected from these cost savings or improve our operating performance as a result of our past and any future cost cutting activities. We also cannot assure you that our cost-cutting activities will not adversely affect our ability to retain key employees, the significant loss of whom could adversely affect our operating results. Further, as a result of our cost-cutting activities, we may not have an adequate level of resources and personnel to appropriately react to significant changes or fluctuations in the market and in the level of demand for our programming and services. If our operating losses continue to increase, our ability to further decrease costs may be more limited as a result of our previously enacted cost cutting initiatives.

Our ability to grow our Metro Traffic business revenue may be adversely affected by the increased proliferation of free of charge traffic content to consumers.

Our Metro Traffic business produces and distributes traffic and other local information reports to approximately 2,200 radio and 170 television affiliates and we derive the substantial majority of the revenue attributed to this business from the sale of commercial advertising inventory embedded within these reports. Recently, the US Department of Transportation and other regional and local departments of transportation have significantly increased their direct provision of real-time traffic and traveler information to the public free of charge. The ability to obtain this information free of charge may result in our radio and television affiliates electing not to utilize the traffic and local information reports produced by our Metro Traffic business, which in turn could adversely affect our revenue from the sale of advertising inventory embedded in such reports.

Our ability to increase our revenue is significantly dependent on audience, which could be negatively impacted by The Portable People Meter.

In late 2007, Arbitron Inc., the supplier of ratings data for United States radio markets, rolled out new electronic audience measurement technology to collect data for its ratings service known as The Portable People Meter[®], or PPM[®].

The PPM measures the audience of radio stations remotely without requiring listeners to keep a manual diary of the stations they listen to. In 2007, 2008, 2009, two, nine and 19 markets converted to PPM, respectively, and in 2010, 15 markets will convert to PPM. As of the date of this report, the PPM has been implemented in 30 markets (including all top 10 markets and three markets whose MSAs overlap). Unlike our Metro Traffic inventory, which is fully reflected in ratings books that are released semi-annually, our Network inventory is reflected in ratings books on an incremental basis over time (i.e., over a rolling four-quarter period), which means we and our advertisers cannot view audience levels that give full weight to PPM for our Radio's All Dimension Audience Research (RADAR) inventory (which comprises half of our Network inventory) for over a year after a market converts to PPM. In the RADAR ratings book released in March 2010, approximately half (measured by the revenue generated by such inventory) of the inventory published in such ratings books shows the effect of PPM in those

Table of Contents

markets which have converted to PPM . In the two most recent periods published by RADAR, July 2009 to September 2009 and October 2009 to December 2009, the audience (measured by Persons 12+) for our 12 RADAR networks declined by 0.8% and 5.8%, respectively, which also reflects our decision to reduce the number of our RADAR networks from 14 to 12 in the fourth quarter of 2009. Because audience levels can decline for several reasons, including changes in the radio stations included in a RADAR network, clearance levels by those stations and general radio listening trends, it is difficult to isolate the effects PPM is having on our audience with a high level of certainty. While annual ad revenue in our Network and Metro Traffic businesses has declined over time, we are unable to determine how much of the decline is a result of the general economic environment as opposed to our decline in audience. While most major markets have converted to PPM (only 15 markets have yet to convert), it is unclear whether our audience levels will continue to decline in future ratings books. In 2009, we were able to offset the impact of audience declines by using excess inventory; however, in 2010 we anticipate that this option will be limited and that to offset declines in audience will generally require that we purchase additional inventory which must be obtained well in advance of our having definitive data on future audience levels. If we do not accurately predict how much additional inventory will be required to offset any declines in audience, or cannot purchase comparable inventory to our current inventory at efficient prices, our revenue or margins in 2010 could be materially and adversely affected.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to continue to accurately report our financial results.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reporting. During the prior year, we identified a material weakness related to accounting for income taxes which resulted in adjustments to the 2009 annual consolidated financial statements, as described in Item 9A Controls and Procedures of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. We also identified certain immaterial errors in our financial statements, which we have corrected in subsequent interim periods. Such items have been reported and disclosed in the financial statements for the periods ended March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. We do not believe these adjustments are material to our current period consolidated financial statements or to any prior period's consolidated financial statements and no prior periods have been restated. We intend to further enhance our internal control environment and we may be required to enhance our personnel or their level of experience, among other things, in order to continue to maintain effective internal controls. No assurances can be provided that we will be able to continue to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting, enhance our personnel or their level of experience or prevent a material weakness from occurring. Our failure to maintain effective internal controls could have a material adverse effect on us, could cause us to fail to timely meet our reporting obligations or could result in material adjustments in our financial statements.

Our business is subject to increased competition resulting from new entrants into our business, consolidated companies and new technology/platforms, each of which has the potential to adversely affect our business.

Our business segments operate in a highly competitive environment. Our radio and television programming competes for audiences and advertising revenue directly with radio and television stations and other syndicated programming, as well as with other media such as satellite radio, newspapers, magazines, cable television, outdoor advertising, direct mail and, more increasingly, digital media. We may experience increased audience fragmentation caused by the proliferation of new media platforms, including the Internet and video-on-demand and the deployment of portable digital devices and new technologies which allow consumers to time shift programming, make and store digital copies and skip or fast-forward through advertisements. New or existing competitors may have resources significantly greater than our own and, in particular, the consolidation of the radio industry has created opportunities for large radio groups, such as Clear Channel Communications, CBS Radio and Citadel Broadcasting Corporation to gather information and produce radio and television programming on their own. Increased competition, in part, has resulted in reduced market share, and could result in lower audience levels, advertising revenue and cash flow. There can be no assurance that we will be able to compete effectively, be successful in our efforts to regain market share and increase or maintain our current audience ratings and advertising revenue. To the extent we experience a further decline in audience for our programs, advertisers' willingness to purchase our advertising could be further reduced. Additionally, audience ratings and performance-based revenue arrangements are subject to change based on the competitive environment and any adverse change in a particular geographic area could have a material and adverse effect on our ability to attract not

only advertisers in that region, but national advertisers as well.

Table of Contents

In recent years, digital media platforms and the offerings thereon have increased significantly and consumers are playing an increasingly large role in dictating the content received through such mediums. We face increasing pressure to adapt our existing programming as well as to expand the programming and services we offer to address these new and evolving digital distribution channels. Advertising buyers have the option to filter their messages through various digital platforms and as a result, many are adjusting their advertising budgets downward with respect to traditional advertising mediums such as radio and television or utilizing providers who offer one-stop shopping access to both traditional and alternative distribution channels. If we are unable to offer our broadcasters and advertisers an attractive full suite of traditional and new media platforms and address the industry shift to new digital mediums, our operating results may be negatively impacted.

Our failure to obtain or retain the rights in popular programming could adversely affect our revenue.

Our revenue from our radio programming and television business is dependent on our continued ability to anticipate and adapt to changes in consumer tastes and behavior on a timely basis. We obtain a significant portion of our popular programming from third parties. For example, some of our most widely heard broadcasts, including certain NFL games, are made available based upon programming rights of varying duration that we have negotiated with third parties. Competition for popular programming that is licensed from third parties is intense, and due to increased costs of such programming or potential capital constraints, we may be outbid by our competitors for the rights to new, popular programming or in connection with the renewal of popular programming currently licensed by us. Our failure to obtain or retain rights to popular content could adversely affect our revenue.

If we are not able to integrate future acquisitions successfully, our operating results could be harmed.

We evaluate acquisitions on an ongoing basis and intend to pursue acquisitions of businesses in our industry and related industries that can assist us in achieving our growth strategy. The success of our future acquisition strategy will depend on our ability to identify, negotiate, complete and integrate acquisitions and, if necessary, to obtain satisfactory debt or equity financing to fund those acquisitions. Mergers and acquisitions are inherently risky, and any mergers and acquisitions we do complete may not be successful.

Any mergers and acquisitions we do may involve certain risks, including, but not limited to, the following:

- difficulties in integrating and managing the operations, technologies and products of the companies we acquire;
- diversion of our management's attention from normal daily operations of our business;
- our inability to maintain the key business relationships and reputations of the businesses we acquire;
- uncertainty of entry into markets in which we have limited or no prior experience or in which competitors have stronger market positions;
- our dependence on unfamiliar affiliates and partners of the companies we acquire;
- insufficient revenue to offset our increased expenses associated with the acquisitions;
- our responsibility for the liabilities of the businesses we acquire; and
- potential loss of key employees of the companies we acquire.

Our success is dependent upon audience acceptance of our content, particularly our radio programs, which is difficult to predict.

Revenue derived from the production and distribution of radio and television programs depend primarily upon their acceptance by the public, which is difficult to predict. The commercial success of a radio program also depends upon the quality and acceptance of other competing programs released into the marketplace at or near the same time, the availability of alternative forms of entertainment activities, general economic conditions and other tangible and intangible factors, all of which are difficult to predict. Rating points are also factors that are weighed when determining the advertising rates that we receive. Poor ratings can lead to a reduction in pricing and advertising revenue. Consequently, low public acceptance of our content, particularly our radio programs, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents***Continued consolidation in the radio broadcast industry could adversely affect our operating results.***

The radio broadcasting industry has continued to experience significant change, including a significant amount of consolidation in recent years and increased business transactions by key players in the radio industry (e.g., Clear Channel, Citadel and CBS Radio). Certain major station groups have: (1) modified overall amounts of commercial inventory broadcast on their radio stations; (2) experienced significant declines in audience; and (3) increased their supply of shorter duration advertisements, in particular the amount of 10 second inventory, which is directly competitive to us. To the extent similar initiatives are adopted by other major station groups, this could adversely impact the amount of commercial inventory made available to us or increase the cost of such commercial inventory at the time of renewal of existing affiliate agreements. Additionally, if the size and financial resources of certain station groups continue to increase, the station groups may be able to develop their own programming as a substitute to that offered by us or, alternatively, they could seek to obtain programming from our competitors. Any such occurrences, or merely the threat of such occurrences, could adversely affect our ability to negotiate favorable terms with our station affiliates, attract audiences and attract advertisers. If we do not succeed in these efforts, our operating results could be adversely affected.

We may be required to recognize further impairment charges.

On an annual basis and upon the occurrence of certain events, we are required to perform impairment tests on our identified intangible assets with indefinite lives, including goodwill, which testing could impact the value of our business. We have a history of recognizing impairment charges related to our goodwill. In September 2009, we believe a triggering event occurred as a result of forecasted results for 2009 and 2010 and therefore we conducted a goodwill impairment analysis. Metro Traffic results indicated impairment in our Metro Traffic segment. As a result of our Metro Traffic analysis, we recorded an impairment charge of \$50,501. At December 31, 2008, we determined that our goodwill was impaired and recorded an impairment charge of \$224,073, which is in addition to the impairment charge of \$206,053 taken on June 30, 2008. In connection with our Refinancing and our requisite adoption of the acquisition method of accounting, we recorded new values of certain assets such that as of April 24, 2009 our revalued goodwill was \$86,414 (an increase of \$52,426) and intangible assets were \$116,910 (an increase of \$114,481). The majority of the impairment charges related to our goodwill have not been deductible for income tax purposes.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock***Our common stock may not maintain an active trading market which could affect the liquidity and market price of our common stock.***

On November 20, 2009, we listed our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market. However, there can be no assurance that an active trading market on the NASDAQ Global Market will be maintained, that our common stock price will increase or that our common stock will continue to trade on the exchange for any specific period of time. If we are unable to maintain our listing on the NASDAQ Global Market, we may be subject to a loss of confidence by customers and investors and the market price of our shares may be affected.

Sales of additional shares of common stock by Gores or our other lenders could adversely affect the stock price.

Gores beneficially owns, in the aggregate, 15,258 shares of our common stock, or approximately 74.3% of our outstanding common stock. There can be no assurance that at some future time Gores, or our other lenders, will not, subject to the applicable volume, manner of sale, holding period and limitations of Rule 144 under the Securities Act, sell additional shares of our common stock, which could adversely affect our share price. The perception that these sales might occur could also cause the market price of our common stock to decline. Such sales could also make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate.

Table of Contents

Gores will be able to exert significant influence over us and our significant corporate decisions and may act in a manner that advances its best interest and not necessarily those of other stockholders.

As a result of its beneficial ownership of 15,258 shares of our common stock, or approximately 74.3% of our voting power, Gores has voting control over our corporate actions. For so long as Gores continues to beneficially own shares of common stock representing more than 50% of the voting power of our common stock, it will be able to elect all of the members of our Board and determine the outcome of all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders, including matters involving mergers or other business combinations, the acquisition or disposition of assets, the incurrence of indebtedness, the issuance of any additional shares of common stock or other equity securities and the payment of dividends on common stock. Gores may act in a manner that advances its best interests and not necessarily those of other stockholders by, among other things:

- delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control;
- impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination;
- discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting obtain control; or
- causing us to enter into transactions or agreements that are not in the best interests of all stockholders.

Provisions in our restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws and Delaware law may discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our management and, therefore, depress the trading price of our common stock.

Provisions of our restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws and Delaware law may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares of our common stock. These provisions may also prevent or frustrate attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our management. The existence of the foregoing provisions and anti-takeover measures could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. They could also deter potential acquirers of our company, thereby reducing the likelihood that you could receive a premium for your common stock in an acquisition. In addition, we are subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which may prohibit certain business combinations with stockholders owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock. This provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law could delay or prevent a change of control of our company, which could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

We do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock.

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We currently anticipate that we will retain all of our available cash, if any, for use as working capital and for other general corporate purposes. Any payment of future cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board and will depend upon, among other things, our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, level of indebtedness, statutory and contractual restrictions applying to the payment of dividends and other considerations that our Board deems relevant. In addition, our Senior Credit Facility and the New Senior Notes restrict the payment of dividends.

Any issuance of shares of preferred stock by us could delay or prevent a change of control of our company, dilute the voting power of the common stockholders and adversely affect the value of our common stock.

Our Board has the authority to cause us to issue, without any further vote or action by the stockholders, up to 10,000 shares of preferred stock, in one or more series, to designate the number of shares constituting any series, and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions thereof, including dividend rights, voting rights, rights and terms of redemption, redemption price or prices and liquidation preferences of such series. To the extent we choose to issue preferred stock, any such issuance may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our company without further action by the stockholders, even where stockholders are offered a premium for their shares.

Table of Contents

The issuance of shares of preferred stock with voting rights may adversely affect the voting power of the holders of our other classes of voting stock either by diluting the voting power of our other classes of voting stock if they vote together as a single class, or by giving the holders of any such preferred stock the right to block an action on which they have a separate class vote even if the action were approved by the holders of our other classes of voting stock.

The issuance of shares of preferred stock with dividend or conversion rights, liquidation preferences or other economic terms favorable to the holders of preferred stock could adversely affect the market price for our common stock by making an investment in the common stock less attractive. For example, investors in the common stock may not wish to purchase common stock at a price above the conversion price of a series of convertible preferred stock because the holders of the preferred stock would effectively be entitled to purchase common stock at the lower conversion price causing economic dilution to the holders of common stock.

The foregoing list of factors that may affect future performance and the accuracy of forward-looking statements included in the factors above are illustrative, but by no means all-inclusive or exhaustive. Accordingly, all forward-looking statements should be evaluated with the understanding of their inherent uncertainty.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

In the normal course of business, we may use derivative financial instruments (fixed-to-floating interest rate swap agreements) for the purpose of hedging specific exposures and hold all derivatives for purposes other than trading. All derivative financial instruments held reduce the risk of the underlying hedged item and are designated at inception as hedges with respect to the underlying hedged item. Hedges of fair value exposure are entered into in order to hedge the fair value of a recognized asset, liability or a firm commitment. Derivative contracts are entered into with major creditworthy institutions to minimize the risk of credit loss and are structured to be 100% effective.

Our receivables do not represent a significant concentration of credit risk due to the wide variety of customers and markets in which we operate.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our President and Chief Financial Officer and our Senior Vice President, Finance and Principal Accounting Officer carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2010 (the Evaluation). Based upon the Evaluation, our President and Chief Financial Officer and our Senior Vice President, Finance and Principal Accounting Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) are effective as of March 31, 2010 in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms and that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

There have been no material developments in the first quarter of 2010 to the legal proceeding described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

A description of the risk factors associated with our business is included under Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements and Factors Affecting Forward-Looking Statements in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we did not purchase any of our common stock under our existing stock purchase program and we do not intend to repurchase any shares for the foreseeable future.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased in Period	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (A)
1/1/10 1/31/10		N/A		
2/1/10 2/28/10		N/A		
3/1/10 3/31/10		N/A		

(A) Represents remaining authorization from the \$250 million repurchase authorization approved on February 24, 2004 and the additional \$300 million authorization approved on April 29, 2004, all of which have expired.

Item 3. Reserved

None.

Item 4. Reserved

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number (A)	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware. (1)
3.1.1	Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Westwood One, Inc., as filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on August 3, 2009. (2)
3.1.2	Certificate of Elimination, filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on November 18, 2009. (3)
4.1	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2009, by and among the Company and the other parties thereto. (4)
4.1.1	Waiver and First Amendment, dated as of October 14, 2009, to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2009, by and between the Company and the noteholders parties thereto. (5)
4.1.2	Second Amendment, dated as of March 30, 2010, to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2009, by and between the Company and the noteholders parties thereto. (6)
4.2	Shared Security Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2008, by and among the Company, the Subsidiary Guarantors parties thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and The Bank of New York, as Collateral Trustee (7)
4.2.1	First Amendment to Security Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2009, by and among the Company, each of the subsidiaries of the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, as collateral trustee. (4)
10.1*	2010 Equity Compensation Plan +
10.2*	Form Stock Option Agreement under the Company s 2010 Equity Compensation Plan for employees +
10.3*	Form Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Company s 2010 Equity Compensation Plan for non-employee directors +
31.a*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.b*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.a**	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.b**	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished
herewith.

+ Indicates a
compensatory
plan

(A) The Company
agrees to furnish
supplementally
a copy of any

omitted
schedule to the
SEC upon
request.

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to Company's current report on Form 8-K dated November 20, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to Company's current report on Form 8-K dated April 27, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

- (5) Filed as an exhibit to Amendment No. 5 of the Company's registration statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on November 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

- (6) Filed as an exhibit to Company's current report on Form 8-K dated March 31, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.

- (7) Filed as an exhibit to Company's current report on Form 8-K dated February 28, 2008 (filed on March 5, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WESTWOOD ONE, INC.

By: /s/ Roderick M. Sherwood III
Name: Roderick M. Sherwood III
Title: President and CFO

Date: May 17, 2010

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.1*	Equity Compensation Plan +
10.2*	Form Stock Option Agreement under the Company's 2010 Equity Compensation Plan for employees +
10.3*	Form Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Company's 2010 Equity Compensation Plan for non-employee directors +
31.a*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.b*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.a**	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.b**	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished
herewith.

+ Indicates a
compensatory
plan