

FNB CORP/FL/
Form 10-K
February 25, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010
Commission file number 001-31940**

F.N.B. CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Florida

25-1255406

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One F.N.B. Boulevard, Hermitage, PA

16148

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

724-981-6000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Exchange on which Registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's outstanding voting common stock held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2010, determined using a per share closing price on that date of \$8.03, as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange, was \$878,173,391.

As of January 31, 2011, the registrant had outstanding 120,692,555 shares of common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of F.N.B. Corporation's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 18, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III, items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. F.N.B. Corporation will file its definitive proxy statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 1, 2011.

INDEX

	PAGE
 <u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business.</u>	3
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors.</u>	19
<u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments.</u>	24
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties.</u>	25
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings.</u>	25
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.</u>	25
 <u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.</u>	27
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data.</u>	29
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>	31
<u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	59
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</u>	60
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</u>	124
<u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures.</u>	124
<u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information.</u>	124
 <u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u>	125
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation.</u>	125
<u>Item 12.</u>	125

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related
Stockholder Matters.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence. 125

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services. 126

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. 126

Signatures 127

Index to Exhibits 129

EX-12

EX-21

EX-23

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements: From time to time F.N.B. Corporation (the Corporation) has made and may continue to make written or oral forward-looking statements with respect to the Corporation's outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses, capital levels, asset quality or other future financial or business performance, strategies or expectations, or the impact of legal, regulatory or supervisory matters on the Corporation's business operations or performance. This Annual Report on Form 10-K (the Report) also includes forward-looking statements. See Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information in Item 7 of this Report.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Corporation was formed in 1974 as a bank holding company. During 2000, the Corporation elected to become and remains a financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLB Act). The Corporation has four reportable business segments: Community Banking, Wealth Management, Insurance and Consumer Finance. As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation had 223 Community Banking offices in Pennsylvania and Ohio and 62 Consumer Finance offices in those states as well as Tennessee and Kentucky.

The Corporation, through its subsidiaries, provides a full range of financial services, principally to consumers and small- to medium-sized businesses in its market areas. The Corporation's business strategy focuses primarily on providing quality, community-based financial services adapted to the needs of each of the markets it serves. The Corporation seeks to maintain its community orientation by providing local management with certain autonomy in decision-making, enabling them to respond to customer requests more quickly and to concentrate on transactions within their market areas. However, while the Corporation seeks to preserve some decision-making at a local level, it has centralized legal, loan review and underwriting, accounting, investment, audit, loan operations and data processing functions. The centralization of these processes enables the Corporation to maintain consistent quality of these functions and to achieve certain economies of scale.

As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation had total assets of \$9.0 billion, loans of \$6.1 billion and deposits of \$6.6 billion. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, of this Report.

On January 9, 2009, the Corporation received a \$100.0 million investment as part of its voluntary participation in the United States Treasury Department's (UST) Capital Purchase Program (CPP) implemented pursuant to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act (EESA) enacted on October 3, 2008.

On June 16, 2009, the Corporation completed a public offering of 24,150,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$5.50 per share, including 3,150,000 shares of common stock purchased by the underwriters pursuant to an over-allotment option, which the underwriters exercised in full. The net proceeds of the offering after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were \$125.8 million.

On September 9, 2009, the Corporation utilized a portion of the proceeds of its June 16, 2009 public offering to redeem all of the Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (Series C Preferred Stock) issued to the UST under the CPP implemented pursuant to the EESA. The Corporation paid \$100.3 million to the UST to redeem the Series C Preferred Stock issued by the Corporation in connection with its participation in the CPP. This amount includes the original investment amount of \$100.0 million plus accrued unpaid dividends of approximately \$0.3 million. In addition, as a condition of its participation in the CPP, the Corporation issued to the UST a warrant to purchase up to 1,302,083 shares of the Corporation's common stock. However, under the terms of the CPP, the

Corporation's June 16, 2009 public offering automatically reduced the number of the Corporation's shares of common stock subject to the warrant by one-half to 651,042 shares. The warrant remains outstanding and has an exercise price of \$11.52 per share.

Table of Contents

Business Segments

In addition to the following information relating to the Corporation's business segments, information is contained in the Business Segments footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. As of December 31, 2010, the Community Banking segment consisted of a regional community bank. The Wealth Management segment, as of that date, consisted of a trust company, a registered investment advisor and a subsidiary that offered broker-dealer services through a third party networking arrangement with a non-affiliated licensed broker-dealer entity. The Insurance segment consisted of an insurance agency and a reinsurer as of that date. The Consumer Finance segment consisted of a multi-state consumer finance company as of that date.

Community Banking

The Corporation's Community Banking segment consists of First National Bank of Pennsylvania (FNBPA), which offers services traditionally offered by full-service commercial banks, including commercial and individual demand, savings and time deposit accounts and commercial, mortgage and individual installment loans.

The goals of Community Banking are to generate high quality, profitable revenue growth through increased business with its current customers, attract new customer relationships through FNBPA's current branches and expand into new and existing markets through de novo branch openings, acquisitions and the establishment of loan production offices. Consistent with this strategy, on January 1, 2011, the Corporation completed its acquisition of Comm Bancorp, Inc (CBI) and during 2008, the Corporation completed acquisitions of Iron and Glass Bancorp, Inc. (IRGB) and Omega Financial Corporation (Omega). For information pertaining to these acquisitions, see the Mergers and Acquisitions footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. In addition, the Corporation considers Community Banking a fundamental source of revenue opportunity through the cross-selling of products and services offered by the Corporation's other business segments.

As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation operates its Community Banking business through a network of 223 branches in Pennsylvania and Ohio. Community Banking also has two commercial loan offices in Florida with the primary focus of managing the Florida loan portfolio originated in prior years.

The lending philosophy of Community Banking is to establish high quality customer relationships while minimizing credit losses by following strict credit approval standards (which include independent analysis of realizable collateral value), diversifying its loan portfolio by industry and borrower and conducting ongoing review and management of the loan portfolio. Commercial loans are generally made to established businesses within the geographic market areas served by Community Banking.

No material portion of the loans or deposits of Community Banking has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's loans or deposits or a small group of customers' loans or deposits by Community Banking would not have a material adverse effect on the Community Banking segment or on the Corporation. The substantial majority of the loans and deposits have been generated within the geographic market areas in which Community Banking operates.

Wealth Management

The Corporation's Wealth Management segment delivers comprehensive wealth management services to individuals, corporations and retirement funds, as well as existing customers of Community Banking, located primarily within the Corporation's geographic markets.

The Corporation's Wealth Management operations are conducted through three subsidiaries of FNBPA. First National Trust Company (FNTC), provides a broad range of personal and corporate fiduciary services,

Table of Contents

including the administration of decedent and trust estates. As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of trust assets under management was approximately \$2.3 billion. FNTC is required to maintain certain minimum capitalization levels in accordance with regulatory requirements. FNTC periodically measures its capital position to ensure all minimum capitalization levels are maintained.

The Corporation's Wealth Management segment also includes two other subsidiaries. First National Investment Services Company, LLC offers a broad array of investment products and services for customers of Wealth Management through a networking relationship with a third-party licensed brokerage firm. F.N.B. Investment Advisors, Inc. (Investment Advisors), an investment advisor registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), offers customers of Wealth Management comprehensive investment programs featuring mutual funds, annuities, stocks and bonds.

No material portion of the business of Wealth Management has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's business or the business of a small group of customers by Wealth Management would not have a material adverse effect on the Wealth Management segment or on the Corporation.

Insurance

The Corporation's Insurance segment operates principally through First National Insurance Agency, LLC (FNIA), which is a subsidiary of the Corporation. FNIA is a full-service insurance brokerage agency offering numerous lines of commercial and personal insurance through major carriers to businesses and individuals primarily within the Corporation's geographic markets. The goal of FNIA is to grow revenue through cross-selling to existing clients of Community Banking and to gain new clients through its own channels.

The Corporation's Insurance segment also includes a reinsurance subsidiary, Penn-Ohio Life Insurance Company (Penn-Ohio). Penn-Ohio underwrites, as a reinsurer, credit life and accident and health insurance sold by the Corporation's lending subsidiaries. Additionally, FNBPA owns a direct subsidiary, First National Corporation, which offers title insurance products.

No material portion of the business of Insurance has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's business or the business of a small group of customers by Insurance would not have a material adverse effect on the Insurance segment or on the Corporation.

Consumer Finance

The Corporation's Consumer Finance segment operates through its subsidiary, Regency Finance Company (Regency), which is involved principally in making personal installment loans to individuals and purchasing installment sales finance contracts from retail merchants. Such activity is primarily funded through the sale of the Corporation's subordinated notes at Regency's branch offices. The Consumer Finance segment operates in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky.

No material portion of the business of Consumer Finance has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's business or the business of a small group of customers by Consumer Finance would not have a material adverse effect on the Consumer Finance segment or on the Corporation.

Other

The Corporation also has seven other subsidiaries. F.N.B. Statutory Trust I, F.N.B. Statutory Trust II, Omega Financial Capital Trust I and Sun Bancorp Statutory Trust I issue trust preferred securities (TPS) to third-party

investors. Regency Consumer Financial Services, Inc. and FNB Consumer Financial Services, Inc. are the general partner and limited partner, respectively, of FNB Financial Services, LP, a company established to issue,

Table of Contents

administer and repay the subordinated notes through which loans in the Consumer Finance segment are funded. F.N.B. Capital Corporation, LLC (FNbcc), a merchant banking subsidiary, offers mezzanine financing options for small- to medium-sized businesses that need financial assistance beyond the parameters of typical commercial bank lending products. Additionally, Bank Capital Services, LLC, a subsidiary of FNBPA, offers commercial leasing services to customers in need of new or used equipment. Certain financial information concerning these subsidiaries, along with the parent company and intercompany eliminations, are included in the Parent and Other category in the Business Segments footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Market Area and Competition

The Corporation primarily operates in Pennsylvania and northeastern Ohio. This area is served by several major interstate highways and is located at the approximate midpoint between New York City and Chicago. The primary market area served by the Corporation also extends to the Great Lakes shipping port of Erie, the Pennsylvania state capital of Harrisburg and the Pittsburgh International Airport. The Corporation also has two commercial loan offices in Florida. In addition to Pennsylvania and Ohio, the Corporation's Consumer Finance segment also operates in northern and central Tennessee and western and central Kentucky.

The Corporation's subsidiaries compete for deposits, loans and financial services business with a large number of other financial institutions, such as commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, credit life insurance companies, mortgage banking companies, consumer finance companies, credit unions and commercial finance and leasing companies, many of which have greater resources than the Corporation. In providing wealth and asset management services, as well as insurance brokerage and merchant banking products and services, the Corporation's subsidiaries compete with many other financial services firms, brokerage firms, mutual fund complexes, investment management firms, merchant and investment banking firms, trust and fiduciary service providers and insurance agencies.

In Regency's market areas of Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky, its active competitors include banks, credit unions and national, regional and local consumer finance companies, some of which have substantially greater resources than that of Regency. The ready availability of consumer credit through charge accounts and credit cards constitutes additional competition. In this market area, competition is based on the rates of interest charged for loans, the rates of interest paid to obtain funds and the availability of customer services.

The ability to access and use technology is an increasingly important competitive factor in the financial services industry. Technology is not only important with respect to delivery of financial services and protecting the security of customer information, but also in processing information. The Corporation and each of its subsidiaries must continually make technological investments to remain competitive in the financial services industry.

Mergers and Acquisitions

See the Mergers and Acquisitions footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Employees

As of January 31, 2011, the Corporation and its subsidiaries had 2,241 full-time and 477 part-time employees. Management of the Corporation considers its relationship with its employees to be satisfactory.

Government Supervision and Regulation

The following summary sets forth certain of the material elements of the regulatory framework applicable to bank holding companies and financial holding companies and their subsidiaries and to companies engaged in securities and insurance activities and provides certain specific information about the Corporation. The bank

Table of Contents

regulatory framework is intended primarily for the protection of depositors through the federal deposit insurance guarantee, and not for the protection of security holders. Numerous laws and regulations govern the operations of financial services institutions and their holding companies. To the extent that the following information describes statutory and regulatory provisions, it is qualified in its entirety by express reference to each of the particular statutory and regulatory provisions. A change in applicable statutes, regulations or regulatory policy may have a material effect on the business of the Corporation.

Many aspects of the Corporation's business are subject to rigorous regulation by the U.S. federal and state regulatory agencies and securities exchanges and by non-government agencies or regulatory bodies. Certain of the Corporation's public disclosure, internal control environment and corporate governance principles are subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) and related regulations and rules of the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (NYSE). New laws or regulations or changes to existing laws and regulations (including changes in interpretation or enforcement) could materially adversely affect the Corporation's financial condition or results of operations. As a financial institution, to the extent that different regulatory systems impose overlapping or inconsistent requirements on the conduct of the Corporation's business, it faces increased complexity and additional costs in its compliance efforts.

General

The Corporation is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiaries. As a financial holding company and a bank holding company, the Corporation is regulated under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (BHC Act), and is subject to inspection, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB). The Corporation is also subject to regulation by the SEC as a result of the Corporation's status as a public company and due to the nature of the business activities of certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries. The Corporation's common stock is listed on the NYSE under the trading symbol "FNB" and the Corporation is subject to the listed company rules of the NYSE.

The Corporation's subsidiary bank (FNBPA) and trust company (FNTC) are organized as national banking associations, which are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), which is a bureau of the UST. FNBPA is also subject to certain regulatory requirements of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the FRB and other federal and state regulatory agencies, including requirements to maintain reserves against deposits, restrictions on the types and amounts of loans that may be granted and the interest that may be charged thereon, inter-affiliate transactions, limitations on the types of investments that may be made, activities that may be engaged in and types of services that may be offered. In addition to banking laws, regulations and regulatory agencies, the Corporation and its subsidiaries are subject to various other laws and regulations and supervision and examination by other regulatory agencies, all of which directly or indirectly affect the operations and management of the Corporation and its ability to make distributions to its stockholders. If the Corporation fails to comply with these or other applicable laws and regulations, it may be subject to civil monetary penalties, imposition of cease and desist orders or other written directives, removal of management and, in certain cases, criminal penalties.

As a result of the GLB Act and subject to the restrictions and limitations imposed by the "Volcker Rule" of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) discussed below, which repealed or modified a number of significant statutory provisions, including those of the Glass-Steagall Act and the BHC Act which imposed restrictions on banking organizations' ability to engage in certain types of business activities, bank holding companies such as the Corporation now have broad authority to engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity, including insurance underwriting and brokerage; merchant banking; securities underwriting, dealing and market-making; real estate development; and such additional activities as the FRB in consultation with the Secretary of the UST determines to be financial in nature or incidental thereto. A bank holding company may engage in these activities directly or through subsidiaries by qualifying as a financial holding

company. A financial holding company may engage directly or indirectly in activities considered financial in nature, either de novo or by acquisition, provided the financial holding company gives the FRB after-the-fact notice of the new activities. The GLB Act also permits national banks, such as FNBPA,

Table of Contents

to engage in activities considered financial in nature through a financial subsidiary, subject to certain conditions and limitations and with the approval of the OCC.

As a regulated financial holding company, the Corporation's relationships and good standing with its regulators are of fundamental importance to the continuation and growth of the Corporation's businesses. The FRB, OCC, FDIC and SEC have broad enforcement powers and authority to approve, deny or refuse to act upon applications or notices of the Corporation or its subsidiaries to conduct new activities, acquire or divest businesses or assets or reconfigure existing operations. In addition, the Corporation, FNBPA and FNTC are subject to examination by various regulators, which results in examination reports (which are not publicly available) and ratings that can impact the conduct and growth of the Corporation's businesses. These examinations consider not only safety and soundness principles, but also compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including bank secrecy and anti-money laundering requirements, loan quality and administration, capital levels, asset quality and risk management ability and performance, earnings, liquidity and various other factors, including, but not limited to, community reinvestment. An examination downgrade by any of the Corporation's federal bank regulators could potentially result in the imposition of significant limitations on the activities and growth of the Corporation and its subsidiaries.

The FRB is the umbrella regulator of a financial holding company. In addition, a financial holding company's operating entities, such as its subsidiary broker-dealers, investment managers, merchant banking operations, investment companies, insurance companies and banks, are subject to the jurisdiction of various federal and state functional regulators.

There are numerous laws, regulations and rules governing the activities of financial institutions and bank holding companies. The following discussion is general in nature and seeks to highlight some of the more significant of these regulatory requirements, but does not purport to be complete or to describe all of the laws and regulations that apply to the Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act became law. The Dodd-Frank Act will have a broad impact on the financial services industry, including significant regulatory and compliance changes including, among other things,

- enhanced authority over troubled and failing banks and their holding companies;
- increased capital and liquidity requirements;
- increased regulatory examination fees;
- increases to the assessments banks must pay the FDIC for federal deposit insurance; and
- specific provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthening safety and soundness by imposing restrictions and limitations on the scope and type of banking and financial activities.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system that will be enforced by new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC), FRB, OCC, FDIC and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB). The following description briefly summarizes certain impacts of the Dodd-Frank Act on the operations and activities, both currently and prospectively, of the Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Deposit Insurance. The Dodd-Frank Act makes permanent the \$250,000 deposit insurance limit for insured deposits. Amendments to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act also revise the assessment base against which an insured depository institution's deposit insurance premiums paid to the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) will be calculated. Under the amendments, the FDIC assessment base will no longer be the institution's deposit base, but

rather its average consolidated total assets less its average equity. The Dodd-Frank Act also changes the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15% to 1.35% of the estimated amount of total insured deposits, and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository

Table of Contents

institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds by September 30, 2020. Several of these provisions may increase the FDIC deposit insurance premiums FNBPA pays.

Interest on Demand Deposits. The Dodd-Frank Act also provides that, effective one year after the date of its enactment, depository institutions may pay interest on demand deposits. Although the Corporation has not determined the ultimate impact of this aspect of the legislation, the Corporation expects interest costs associated with demand deposits to increase.

Trust Preferred Securities. The Dodd-Frank Act prohibits bank holding companies from including in their regulatory Tier 1 capital hybrid debt and equity securities issued on or after May 19, 2010. Among the hybrid debt and equity securities included in this prohibition are TPS, which the Corporation has issued in the past in order to raise additional Tier 1 capital and otherwise improve its regulatory capital ratios. Although the Corporation may continue to include its existing TPS as Tier 1 capital, the prohibition on the use of these securities as Tier 1 capital may limit the Corporation's ability to raise capital in the future.

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new, independent CFPB within the FRB. The CFPB's responsibility is to establish, implement and enforce rules and regulations under certain federal consumer protection laws with respect to the conduct of providers of certain consumer financial products and services. The CFPB has rulemaking authority over many of the statutes that govern products and services banks offer to consumers. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and regulations that are more stringent than those regulations the CFPB will promulgate and state attorneys general will have the authority to enforce consumer protection rules the CFPB adopts against state-chartered institutions and national banks. Compliance with any such new regulations established by the CFPB and/or states could reduce the Corporation's revenue, increase its cost of operations, and could limit its ability to expand into certain products and services.

Debit Card Interchange Fees. The Dodd-Frank Act gives the FRB the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers having assets over \$10 billion and to enforce a new statutory requirement that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer. While the Corporation is not subject to these rules so long as it does not have assets in excess of \$10 billion, the Corporation's activities as a debit card issuer may nevertheless be indirectly impacted by the change in the applicable debit card market caused by these regulations, which may lead the Corporation to match any new lower fee structure implemented by larger financial institutions to remain competitive. Such lower fees could impact the revenue the Corporation earns from debit interchange fees, which were equal to \$15.2 million for 2010. If the Corporation's asset growth causes its total assets to exceed \$10 billion, revenue earned from interchange fees could decrease significantly.

Increased Capital Standards and Enhanced Supervision. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the federal banking agencies to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements for banks and bank holding companies. These new standards will be no less strict than existing regulatory capital and leverage standards applicable to insured depository institutions and may, in fact, become higher once the agencies promulgate the new standards. Compliance with heightened capital standards may reduce the Corporation's ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets and thereby restrict revenue generation from banking and non-banking operations.

Transactions with Affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act enhances the requirements for certain transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of covered transactions, and an increase in the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered transactions must be maintained.

Transactions with Insiders. The Dodd-Frank Act expands insider transaction limitations through the strengthening of loan restrictions to insiders and the expansion of the types of transactions subject to the various limits, including derivative transactions, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements and securities

Table of Contents

lending and borrowing transactions. The Dodd-Frank Act also places restrictions on certain asset sales to and from an insider of an institution, including requirements that such sales be on market terms and, in certain circumstances, receive the approval of the institution's board of directors.

Enhanced Lending Limits. The Dodd-Frank Act strengthens the existing limits on a depository institution's credit exposure to one borrower. Federal banking law currently limits a national bank's ability to extend credit to one person or group of related persons to an amount that does not exceed certain thresholds. The Dodd-Frank Act expands the scope of these restrictions to include credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, repurchase agreements and securities lending and borrowing transactions. It also will eventually prohibit state-chartered banks from engaging in derivative transactions unless the state lending limit laws take into account credit exposure to such transactions.

Corporate Governance. The Dodd-Frank Act addresses many corporate governance and executive compensation matters that will affect most U.S. publicly traded companies, including the Corporation. The Dodd-Frank Act:

- grants shareholders of U.S. publicly traded companies an advisory vote on executive compensation;
- enhances independence requirements for compensation committee members;
- requires companies listed on national securities exchanges to adopt clawback policies for incentive-based compensation plans applicable to executive officers; and
- provides the SEC with authority to adopt proxy access rules that would allow shareholders of publicly traded companies to nominate candidates for election as directors and require such companies to include such nominees in its proxy materials.

The Dodd-Frank Act also restricts proprietary trading by banks, bank holding companies and others, and their acquisition and retention of ownership interests in and sponsorship of hedge funds and private equity funds. This restriction is commonly referred to as the Volcker Rule. There is an exception in the Volcker Rule to allow a bank to organize and offer hedge funds and private equity funds to customers if certain conditions are met. These conditions include, among others, requirements that the bank provides *bona fide* investment advisory services; the funds are organized only in connection with such services and to customers of such services; the bank does not have more than a *de minimis* interest in the funds, limited to a 3% ownership interest in any single fund and an aggregated investment in all funds of 3% of tier 1 capital; the bank does not guarantee the obligations or performance of the funds; and no director or employee of the bank has an ownership interest in the fund unless he or she provides services directly to the funds. Further details on the scope of the Volcker Rule and its exceptions are expected to be defined in regulations due to be issued later in 2011.

Many of the requirements the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes will be implemented over time and most will be subject to implementing regulations over the course of several years. While the Corporation's current assessment is that the Dodd-Frank Act will not have a material effect on the Corporation, given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the federal banking agencies may implement the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, the full extent of the impact such requirements may have on the Corporation's operations and the financial services markets is unclear at this time. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the Corporation's profitability, require changes to certain of the Corporation's business practices, including limitations on fee income opportunities, impose more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage requirements upon the Corporation or otherwise adversely affect the Corporation's business. These changes may also require the Corporation to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make any changes necessary to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. While the Corporation cannot predict what effect any presently contemplated or future changes in the laws or regulations or their interpretations would have on the Corporation, it does not believe that these changes will have a material adverse effect on the Corporation.

Table of Contents

Capital and Operational Requirements

The FRB, OCC and FDIC issued substantially similar risk-based and leverage capital guidelines applicable to U.S. banking organizations. In addition, these regulatory agencies may from time to time require that a banking organization maintain capital above the minimum levels, due to its financial condition or actual or anticipated growth.

The FRB's risk-based guidelines are based on a three-tier capital framework. Tier 1 capital includes common stockholders' equity and qualifying preferred stock, less goodwill and other adjustments. Tier 2 capital consists of preferred stock not qualifying as tier 1 capital, mandatory convertible debt, limited amounts of subordinated debt, other qualifying term debt and the allowance for loan losses of up to 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets. Tier 3 capital includes subordinated debt that is unsecured, fully paid, has an original maturity of at least two years, is not redeemable before maturity without prior approval by the FRB and includes a lock-in clause precluding payment of either interest or principal if the payment would cause the issuing bank's risk-based capital ratio to fall or remain below the required minimum.

The Corporation, like other bank holding companies, currently is required to maintain tier 1 capital and total capital (the sum of tier 1, tier 2 and tier 3 capital) equal to at least 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of its total risk-weighted assets (including various off-balance sheet items). Risk-based capital ratios are calculated by dividing tier 1 and total capital by risk-weighted assets. Assets and off-balance sheet exposures are assigned to one of four categories of risk-weights, based primarily on relative credit risk. The risk-based capital standards are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in credit and market risk profiles among banks and financial holding companies, to account for off-balance sheet exposure, and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation's tier 1 and total capital ratios under these guidelines were 11.38% and 12.90%, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation had \$199.0 million of capital securities that qualified as tier 1 capital and \$17.0 million of subordinated debt that qualified as tier 2 capital.

In addition, the FRB has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines currently provide for a minimum ratio of tier 1 capital to average total assets, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets (the leverage ratio), of 3.0% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria, including the highest regulatory rating. All other bank holding companies generally are required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 4.0%. The guidelines also provide that bank holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets. Further, the FRB has indicated that it will consider a tangible tier 1 capital leverage ratio (deducting all intangibles) and all other indicators of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities. The Corporation's leverage ratio at December 31, 2010 was 8.69%.

Increased Capital Standards and Enhanced Supervision.

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes a series of more onerous capital requirements on financial companies and other companies, including swap dealers and nonbank financial companies that are determined to be of systemic risk. Compliance with heightened capital standards may reduce the Corporation's ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets and thereby restrict revenue generation from banking and non-banking operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act's new regulatory capital requirements are intended to ensure that financial institutions hold sufficient capital to absorb losses during future periods of financial distress. The Dodd-Frank Act directs federal banking agencies to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on a consolidated basis for

insured depository institutions, their holding companies and nonbank financial companies that have been determined to be systemically significant by the FSOC.

Table of Contents

The Dodd-Frank Act requires that, at a minimum, regulators apply to bank holding companies and other systemically significant nonbank financial companies the same capital and risk standards that such regulators apply to banks insured by the FDIC. An important consequence of this requirement is that hybrid capital instruments, such as TPS, will no longer be included in the definition of tier 1 capital. Tier 1 capital includes common stock, retained earnings, certain types of preferred stock and TPS. Since TPS are not currently counted as tier 1 capital for insured banks, the effect of the Dodd-Frank Act is that such securities will no longer be included as tier 1 capital for bank holding companies. Excluding TPS from tier 1 capital could significantly decrease regulatory capital levels of bank holding companies that have traditionally relied on TPS to meet capital requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act capital requirements may force bank holding companies to raise other forms of tier 1 capital, for example, by issuing perpetual non-cumulative preferred stock. Since common stock must typically constitute at least 50 percent of tier 1 capital, many bank holding companies and systemically significant nonbank companies may also be forced to consider dilutive follow-on offerings of common stock.

In order to ease the compliance burden associated with the new capital requirements, the Dodd-Frank Act provides a number of exceptions and phase-in periods. For bank holding companies and systemically important nonbank financial companies, any regulatory capital deductions for debt or equity issued before May 19, 2010 will be phased in incrementally from January 1, 2013 to January 1, 2016. The term regulatory capital deductions refers to the exclusion of hybrid capital from Tier 1 capital. The ultimate impact of these new capital and liquidity standards on the Corporation cannot be determined at this time and will depend on a number of factors, including the treatment and implementation by the U.S. banking regulators.

Prompt Corrective Action

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), among other things, classifies insured depository institutions into five capital categories (well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized) and requires the respective federal regulatory agencies to implement systems for prompt corrective action for insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements within such categories. FDICIA imposes progressively more restrictive constraints on operations, management and capital distributions, depending on the category in which an institution is classified. Failure to meet the capital guidelines could also subject a banking institution to capital-raising requirements, restrictions on its business and a variety of enforcement remedies, including the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, and in certain circumstances the appointment of a conservator or receiver. An undercapitalized bank must develop a capital restoration plan and its parent holding company must guarantee that bank's compliance with the plan. The liability of the parent holding company under any such guarantee is limited to the lesser of five percent of the bank's assets at the time it became undercapitalized or the amount needed to comply with the plan. Furthermore, in the event of the bankruptcy of the parent holding company, the obligation under such guarantee would take priority over the parent's general unsecured creditors. In addition, FDICIA requires the various regulatory agencies to prescribe certain non-capital standards for safety and soundness relating generally to operations and management, asset quality and executive compensation and permits regulatory action against a financial institution that does not meet such standards.

The various regulatory agencies have adopted substantially similar regulations that define the five capital categories identified by FDICIA, using the total risk-based capital, tier 1 risk-based capital and leverage capital ratios as the relevant capital measures. Such regulations establish various degrees of corrective action to be taken when an institution is considered undercapitalized. Under the regulations, a well-capitalized institution must have a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.0%, a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10.0% and a leverage ratio of at least 5.0% and not be subject to a capital directive order. Under these guidelines, FNBPA was considered well-capitalized as of December 31, 2010.

When determining the adequacy of an institution's capital, federal regulators must also take into consideration (a) concentrations of credit risk; (b) interest rate risk (when the interest rate sensitivity of an institution's assets does not match the sensitivity of its liabilities or its off-balance sheet position) and (c) risks from non-traditional activities, as well as an institution's ability to manage those risks. This evaluation is made as part of

Table of Contents

the institution's regular safety and soundness examination. In addition, the Corporation, and any bank with significant trading activity, must incorporate a measure for market risk in their regulatory capital calculations.

Expanded FDIC Powers Upon Insolvency of Insured Depository Institutions

The Dodd-Frank Act provides a mechanism for appointing the FDIC as receiver for a financial company like the Corporation if the failure of the company and its liquidation under the Bankruptcy Code or other insolvency procedures would pose a significant risk to the financial stability of the U.S.

If appointed as receiver for a failing systemic financial company, the FDIC has broad authority under the Dodd-Frank Act and the Orderly Liquidation Authority it created to operate or liquidate the business, sell the assets, and resolve the liabilities of the company immediately after its appointment as receiver or as soon as conditions make this appropriate. This authority will enable the FDIC to act immediately to sell assets of the company to another entity or, if that is not possible, to create a bridge financial company to maintain critical functions as the entity is wound down. In receiverships of insured depository institutions, the ability to act quickly and decisively has been found to reduce losses to creditors while maintaining key banking services for depositors and businesses. The FDIC will similarly be able to act quickly in resolving non-bank financial companies under the Dodd-Frank Act.

On August 10, 2010, the FDIC created the new Office of Complex Financial Institutions to help implement its expanded responsibilities. The FDIC is in the process of developing rules for the implementation of its new receivership authority.

Subject to these new rules, if the FDIC is appointed the conservator or receiver of an insured depository institution upon its insolvency or in certain other events, the FDIC has the power to:

transfer any of the depository institution's assets and liabilities to a new obligor without the approval of the depository institution's creditors;

enforce the terms of the depository institution's contracts pursuant to their terms; and

repudiate or disaffirm any contract or lease to which the depository institution is a party, the performance of which is determined by the FDIC to be burdensome and the disaffirmation or repudiation of which is determined by the FDIC to promote the orderly administration of the depository institution. Also, under applicable law, the claims of a receiver of an insured depository institution for administrative expense and claims of holders of U.S. deposit liabilities (including the FDIC, as subrogee of the depositors) have priority over the claims of other unsecured creditors of the institution in the event of the liquidation or other resolution of the institution. As a result, whether or not the FDIC would ever seek to repudiate any obligations held by public note holders, such persons would be treated differently from, and could receive, if anything, substantially less than the depositors of FNBPA.

Interstate Banking

Under the BHC Act, bank holding companies, including those that are also financial holding companies, are required to obtain the prior approval of the FRB before acquiring more than five percent of any class of voting stock of any non-affiliated bank. Pursuant to the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (Interstate Banking Act), a bank holding company may acquire banks located in states other than its home state without regard to the permissibility of such acquisitions under state law, but subject to any state requirement that the bank has been organized and operating for a minimum period of time, not to exceed five years, and the requirement that the bank holding company, after the proposed acquisition, controls no more than 10 percent of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions in the U.S. and no more than 30 percent or such lesser or greater amount set by state law of such deposits in that state.

Table of Contents

The Dodd-Frank Act confers on state and national banks the ability to branch de novo into any state, provided that the law of that state permits a bank chartered establishment in that state to establish a branch at that same location.

Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA) requires depository institutions to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas consistent with safe and sound banking practices. Under the CRA, each depository institution is required to help meet the credit needs of its market areas by, among other things, providing credit to low- and moderate-income individuals and communities. Depository institutions are periodically examined for compliance with the CRA and are assigned ratings. In order for a financial holding company to commence any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, or to acquire any company engaged in any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, each insured depository institution subsidiary of the financial holding company must have received a rating of at least satisfactory in its most recent examination under the CRA. Furthermore, banking regulators take into account CRA ratings when considering approval of a proposed transaction.

Financial Privacy

In accordance with the GLB Act, federal banking regulators adopted rules that limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. These limitations require disclosure of privacy policies to consumers and, in some circumstances, allow consumers to prevent disclosure of certain personal information to a nonaffiliated third party. The privacy provisions of the GLB Act affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors.

Anti-Money Laundering Initiatives and the USA Patriot Act

A major focus of governmental policy on financial institutions in recent years has been aimed at combating money laundering and terrorist financing. The USA Patriot Act of 2001 (USA Patriot Act) substantially broadened the scope of U.S. anti-money laundering laws and regulations by imposing significant new compliance and due diligence obligations, creating new crimes and penalties and expanding the extra-territorial jurisdiction of the U.S. The UST has issued a number of regulations that apply various requirements of the USA Patriot Act to financial institutions such as FNBPA. These regulations require financial institutions to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing and to verify the identity of their customers. Failure of a financial institution to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing, or to comply with all of the relevant laws or regulations, could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution.

Office of Foreign Assets Control Regulation

The U.S. has instituted economic sanctions which affect transactions with designated foreign countries, nationals and others. These are typically known as the OFAC rules because they are administered by the UST Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC). The OFAC-administered sanctions target countries in various ways. Generally, however, they contain one or more of the following elements: (i) restrictions on trade with or investment in a sanctioned country, including prohibitions against direct or indirect imports from and exports to a sanctioned country, and prohibitions on U.S. persons engaging in financial transactions which relate to investments in, or providing investment-related advice or assistance to, a sanctioned country; and (ii) a blocking of assets in which the government or specially designated nationals of the sanctioned country have an interest, by prohibiting transfers of property subject to U.S. jurisdiction (including property in the possession or control of U.S. persons). Blocked assets (e.g., property and bank deposits) cannot be paid out, withdrawn, set off or transferred in any manner without a license from OFAC. Failure to comply

with these sanctions could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution.

Table of Contents

Consumer Protection Statutes and Regulations

In addition to the consumer regulations that may be issued by the CFPB pursuant to its authority under the Dodd-Frank Act, FNBPA is subject to various federal consumer protection statutes and regulations including the Truth in Lending Act, Truth in Savings Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Fair Housing Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and Home Mortgage Disclosure Act. Among other things, these acts:

- require banks to disclose credit terms in meaningful and consistent ways;
- prohibit discrimination against an applicant in any consumer or business credit transaction;
- prohibit discrimination in housing-related lending activities;
- require banks to collect and report applicant and borrower data regarding loans for home purchases or improvement projects;
- require lenders to provide borrowers with information regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements;
- prohibit certain lending practices and limit escrow account amounts with respect to real estate transactions; and
- prescribe possible penalties for violations of the requirements of consumer protection statutes and regulations.

On November 17, 2009, the FRB published a final rule amending Regulation E, which implements the Electronic Fund Transfer Act. The final rule limits the ability of a financial institution to assess an overdraft fee for paying automated teller machine transactions and one-time debit card transactions that overdraw a customer's account, unless the customer affirmatively consents, or opts in, to the institution's payment of overdrafts for these transactions.

Dividend Restrictions

The Corporation's primary source of funds for cash distributions to its stockholders, and funds used to pay principal and interest on its indebtedness, is dividends received from FNBPA. FNBPA is subject to federal laws and regulations governing its ability to pay dividends to the Corporation. FNBPA is subject to various regulatory policies and requirements relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain capital above regulatory minimums. Additionally, FNBPA requires prior approval of the OCC for the payment of a dividend if the total of all dividends declared in a calendar year would exceed the total of its net income for the year combined with its retained net income for the two preceding years. The appropriate federal regulatory agency may determine under certain circumstances that the payment of dividends would be an unsafe or unsound practice and prohibit payment thereof. In addition to dividends from FNBPA, other sources of parent company liquidity for the Corporation include cash and short-term investments, as well as dividends and loan repayments from other subsidiaries.

In addition, the ability of the Corporation and FNBPA to pay dividends may be affected by the various minimum capital requirements and the capital and non-capital standards established under FDICIA, as described above. The right of the Corporation, its stockholders and its creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of the Corporation's subsidiaries is further subject to the prior claims of creditors of the respective subsidiaries.

Source of Strength

According to the Dodd-Frank Act and FRB policy, a financial or bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to each of its subsidiary banks and to commit resources to support each such subsidiary. Consistent with the source of strength policy, the FRB has stated that, as a matter of prudent banking, a bank holding company generally should not maintain a rate of cash dividends unless its net income has been sufficient to fully fund the dividends and the prospective rate of earnings retention appears to be consistent with the Corporation's capital

needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. This support may be required at times when a bank holding company may not be able to provide such support. Similarly, under the cross-guarantee

Table of Contents

provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, in the event of a loss suffered or anticipated by the FDIC either as a result of default of a banking subsidiary or related to FDIC assistance provided to a subsidiary in danger of default, the other banks that are members of the FDIC may be assessed for the FDIC's loss, subject to certain exceptions.

In addition, if FNBPA was no longer well-capitalized and well-managed within the meaning of the BHC Act and FRB rules (which take into consideration capital ratios, examination ratings and other factors), the expedited processing of certain types of FRB applications would not be available to the Corporation. Moreover, examination ratings of 3 or lower, unsatisfactory ratings, capital ratios below well-capitalized levels, regulatory concerns regarding management, controls, assets, operations or other factors can all potentially result in the loss of financial holding company status, practical limitations on the ability of a bank or bank holding company to engage in new activities, grow, acquire new businesses, repurchase its stock or pay dividends or continue to conduct existing activities.

Financial Holding Companies Status and Activities

Under the BHC Act, an eligible bank holding company may elect to be a financial holding company and thereafter may engage in a range of activities that are financial in nature and that were not previously permissible for banks and bank holding companies. The financial holding company may engage directly or through a subsidiary in certain statutorily authorized activities (subject to certain restrictions and limitations imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act). A financial holding company may also engage in any activity that has been determined by rule or order to be financial in nature, incidental to such financial activity, or (with prior FRB approval) complementary to a financial activity and that does not pose substantial risk to the safety and soundness of an institution or to the financial system generally. In addition to these activities, a financial holding company may engage in those activities permissible for a bank holding company that has not elected to be treated as a financial holding company.

For a bank holding company to be eligible for financial holding company status, all of its subsidiary U.S. depository institutions must be well-capitalized and well-managed. The FRB generally must deny expanded authority to any bank holding company with a subsidiary insured depository institution that received less than a satisfactory rating on its most recent CRA review as of the time it submits its request for financial holding company status. If, after becoming a financial holding company and undertaking activities not permissible for a bank holding company under the BHC Act, the company fails to continue to meet any of the requirements for financial holding company status, the company must enter into an agreement with the FRB to comply with all applicable capital and management requirements. If the company does not return to compliance within 180 days, the FRB may order the company to divest its subsidiary banks or the company may discontinue or divest investments in companies engaged in activities permissible only for a bank holding company that has elected to be treated as a financial holding company.

Activities and Acquisitions

The BHC Act requires a bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the FRB before it:

- may acquire direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank or savings and loan association, if after such acquisition the bank holding company will directly or indirectly own or control more than five percent of any class of voting securities of the institution;
- or any of its subsidiaries, other than a bank, may acquire all or substantially all of the assets of any bank or savings and loan association; or
- may merge or consolidate with any other bank holding company.

The Interstate Banking Act generally permits bank holding companies to acquire banks in any state, and preempts all state laws restricting the ownership by a bank holding company of banks in more than one state. The Interstate Banking Act also permits:

a bank to merge with an out-of-state bank and convert any offices into branches of the resulting bank;

Table of Contents

a bank to acquire branches from an out-of-state bank; and
banks to establish and operate de novo interstate branches whenever the host state permits de novo
branching.

Bank holding companies and banks seeking to engage in transactions authorized by the Interstate Banking Act must be adequately capitalized and managed.

The Change in Bank Control Act prohibits a person, entity or group of persons or entities acting in concert, from acquiring control of a bank holding company or bank unless the FRB has been given prior notice and has not objected to the transaction. Under FRB regulations, the acquisition of 10% or more of a class of voting stock of a corporation would, under the circumstances set forth in the regulations, create a rebuttable presumption of acquisition of control of the corporation.

Transactions between FNBPA and its Affiliates and Subsidiaries

Certain transactions (including loans and credit extensions from FNBPA) between FNBPA and the Corporation and/or its affiliates and subsidiaries are subject to quantitative and qualitative limitations, collateral requirements, and other restrictions imposed by statute and FRB regulation. Transactions subject to these restrictions are generally required to be made on an arms-length basis. These restrictions generally do not apply to transactions between FNBPA and its direct wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Securities and Exchange Commission

The Corporation is also subject to regulation by the SEC by virtue of the Corporation's status as a public company and due to the nature of the business activities of certain subsidiaries. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly expanded the SEC's jurisdiction over hedge funds, credit ratings agencies and governance of public companies, among other areas. To help implement this authority, the Dodd-Frank Act added several new weapons to the SEC's already substantial enforcement arsenal. Some of the provisions are clarifications (such as provisions assuring that certain anti-manipulation provisions extend to all non-government securities) or simplify the enforcement process (such as providing for nationwide service of process in civil actions). Several of the provisions could lead to significant changes in SEC enforcement practice and may have long-term implications for public companies, their officers and employees, accountants, brokerage firms, investment advisers and persons associated with them. These provisions (1) authorize new rewards to and provide expanded protections of whistleblowers; (2) provide the SEC authority to impose substantial administrative fines on all persons, not merely securities brokers, investment advisers and their associated persons; (3) broaden standards for the imposition of secondary liability; (4) confer on the SEC extraterritorial jurisdiction over alleged violations involving conduct abroad and enhancing the ability of the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board to regulate foreign private accounting firms and (5) increase collateral consequences of securities law violations. The Dodd-Frank Act also contains a provision which should help expedite the resolution of pending SEC enforcement investigations.

SOX contains important requirements for public companies in the area of financial disclosure and corporate governance. In accordance with section 302(a) of SOX, written certifications by the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) are required with respect to each of the Corporation's quarterly and annual reports filed with the SEC. These certifications attest that the applicable report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact. The Corporation also maintains a program designed to comply with Section 404 of SOX, which includes the identification of significant processes and accounts, documentation of the design of process and entity level controls and testing of the operating effectiveness of key controls. See Item 9A, Controls and Procedures, of this Report for the Corporation's evaluation of its disclosure controls and procedures.

Investment Advisors is registered with the SEC as an investment advisor and, therefore, is subject to the requirements of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and the SEC's regulations thereunder. The principal purpose of the regulations applicable to investment advisors is the protection of investment advisory clients and the securities markets, rather than the protection of creditors and stockholders of investment advisors. The regulations

Table of Contents

applicable to investment advisors cover all aspects of the investment advisory business, including limitations on the ability of investment advisors to charge performance-based or non-refundable fees to clients, record-keeping, operating, marketing and reporting requirements, disclosure requirements, limitations on principal transactions between an advisor or its affiliates and advisory clients, as well as other anti-fraud prohibitions. The Corporation's investment advisory subsidiary also may be subject to certain state securities laws and regulations.

Additional legislation, changes in or new rules promulgated by the SEC and other federal and state regulatory authorities and self-regulatory organizations or changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules, may directly affect the method of operation and profitability of Investment Advisors. The profitability of Investment Advisors could also be affected by rules and regulations that impact the business and financial communities in general, including changes to the laws governing taxation, antitrust regulation, homeland security and electronic commerce.

Under various provisions of the federal and state securities laws, including in particular those applicable to broker-dealers, investment advisors and registered investment companies and their service providers, a determination by a court or regulatory agency that certain violations have occurred at a company or its affiliates can result in a limitation of permitted activities and disqualification to continue to conduct certain activities.

Investment Advisors is also subject to rules and regulations promulgated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and the U.S. Department of Labor under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), among others. The principal purpose of these regulations is the protection of clients and the securities markets, rather than the protection of stockholders and creditors.

Consumer Finance Subsidiary

Regency is subject to regulation under Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Ohio and Kentucky state laws that require, among other things, that it maintain licenses in effect for consumer finance operations for each of its offices. Representatives of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking, the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions, the Ohio Division of Consumer Finance and the Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions periodically visit Regency's offices and conduct extensive examinations in order to determine compliance with such laws and regulations. Additionally, the FRB, as umbrella regulator of the Corporation pursuant to the GLB Act, may conduct an examination of Regency's offices or operations. Such examinations include a review of loans and the collateral therefor, as well as a check of the procedures employed for making and collecting loans. Additionally, Regency is subject to certain federal laws that require that certain information relating to credit terms be disclosed to customers and, in certain instances, afford customers the right to rescind transactions.

Insurance Agencies

FNIA is subject to licensing requirements and extensive regulation under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the various states in which FNIA conducts business. These laws and regulations are primarily for the benefit of policyholders. In all jurisdictions, the applicable laws and regulations are subject to amendment or interpretation by regulatory authorities. Generally, such authorities are vested with relatively broad discretion to grant, renew and revoke licenses and approvals and to implement regulations. Licenses may be denied or revoked for various reasons, including the violation of such regulations or the conviction of certain crimes. Possible sanctions that may be imposed for violation of regulations include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on engaging in a particular business for a specified period of time, revocation of licenses, censures and fines.

Penn-Ohio is subject to examination on a triennial basis by the Arizona Department of Insurance. Representatives of the Arizona Department of Insurance periodically determine whether Penn-Ohio has maintained required reserves,

established adequate deposits under a reinsurance agreement and complied with reporting requirements under the applicable Arizona statutes.

Table of Contents

Merchant Banking

FNBCC is subject to regulation and examination by the FRB as the umbrella regulator and is subject to rules and regulations issued by FINRA and the SEC.

Governmental Policies

The operations of the Corporation and its subsidiaries are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the policies of various regulatory authorities. In particular, the FRB regulates monetary policy and interest rates in order to influence general economic conditions. These policies have a significant influence on overall growth and distribution of loans, investments and deposits and affect interest rates charged on loans or paid for time and savings deposits. FRB monetary policies have had a significant effect on the operating results of all financial institutions in the past and may continue to do so in the future.

Available Information

The Corporation makes available on its website at www.fnbcorporation.com, free of charge, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K (and amendments to any of the foregoing) as soon as practicable after such reports are filed with or furnished to the SEC. These reports are also available to stockholders, free of charge, upon written request to F.N.B. Corporation, Attn: David B. Mogle, Corporate Secretary, One F.N.B. Boulevard, Hermitage, PA 16148. A fee to cover the Corporation's reproduction costs will be charged for any requested exhibits to these documents. The public may read and copy the materials the Corporation files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room, located at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The public may also read and copy the materials the Corporation files with the SEC by visiting the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. The Corporation's common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol FNB .

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

As a financial services organization, the Corporation takes on a certain amount of risk in every business decision and activity. For example, every time FNBPA opens an account or approves a loan for a customer, processes a payment, hires a new employee, or implements a new computer system, FNBPA and the Corporation incur a certain amount of risk. As an organization, the Corporation must balance revenue generation and profitability with the risks associated with its business activities. The objective of risk management is not to eliminate risk, but to identify and accept risk and then manage risk effectively so as to optimize total shareholder value.

The Corporation has identified five major categories of risk: credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, operational risk and compliance risk. The Corporation more fully describes credit risk, market risk and liquidity risk, and the programs the Corporation's management has implemented to address these risks, in the Market Risk section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Report. Operational risk arises from inadequate information systems and technology, weak internal control systems or other failed internal processes or systems, human error, fraud or external events. Compliance risk relates to each of the other four major categories of risk listed above, but specifically addresses internal control failures that result in non-compliance with laws, rules, regulations or ethical standards.

The following discussion highlights specific risks that could affect the Corporation and its businesses. You should carefully consider each of the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this Report. Based on the information currently known, the Corporation believes that the following information identifies the most significant

risk factors affecting the Corporation. However, the risks and uncertainties the Corporation faces are not limited to those described below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known or that the Corporation currently believes to be immaterial may also adversely affect its business.

Table of Contents

If any of the following risks and uncertainties develop into actual events or if the circumstances described in the risks and uncertainties occur or continue to occur, these events or circumstances could have a material adverse affect on the Corporation's business, financial condition or results of operations. These events could also have a negative affect on the trading price of the Corporation's securities.

The Corporation's results of operations are significantly affected by the ability of its borrowers to repay their loans.

Lending money is an essential part of the banking business. However, borrowers do not always repay their loans. The risk of non-payment is affected by:

- credit risks of a particular borrower;
- changes in economic and industry conditions;
- the duration of the loan; and
- in the case of a collateralized loan, uncertainties as to the future value of the collateral.

Generally, commercial/industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans present a greater risk of non-payment by a borrower than other types of loans. For additional information, see the Lending Activity section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Report. In addition, consumer loans typically have shorter terms and lower balances with higher yields compared to real estate mortgage loans, but generally carry higher risks of default. Consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount that can be recovered on these loans.

The Corporation's financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected if its allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to absorb actual losses.

There is no precise method of predicting loan losses. The Corporation can give no assurance that its allowance for loan losses will be sufficient to absorb actual loan losses. Excess loan losses could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations. The Corporation attempts to maintain an appropriate allowance for loan losses to provide for estimated losses inherent in its loan portfolio as of the reporting date. The Corporation periodically determines the amount of its allowance for loan losses based upon consideration of several quantitative and qualitative factors including, but not limited to, the following:

- a regular review of the quality, mix and size of the overall loan portfolio;
- historical loan loss experience;
- evaluation of non-performing loans;
- geographic concentration;
- assessment of economic conditions and their effects on the Corporation's existing portfolio; and
- the amount and quality of collateral, including guarantees, securing loans.

For additional discussion relating to this matter, refer to the Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Report.

Changes in economic conditions and the composition of the Corporation's loan portfolio could lead to higher loan charge-offs or an increase in the Corporation's provision for loan losses and may reduce the Corporation's net income.

Changes in national and regional economic conditions continue to impact the loan portfolios of the Corporation. For example, an increase in unemployment, a decrease in real estate values or changes in interest rates, as well as other factors, have weakened the economies of the communities the Corporation serves. Weakness in the market areas served by the Corporation could depress its earnings and consequently its financial condition because

Table of Contents

customers may not want or need the Corporation's products or services; borrowers may not be able to repay their loans; the value of the collateral securing the Corporation's loans to borrowers may decline; and the quality of the Corporation's loan portfolio may decline. Any of the latter three scenarios could require the Corporation to charge-off a higher percentage of its loans and/or increase its provision for loan losses, which would reduce its net income.

The Corporation may continue to be adversely affected by the downturn in Florida real estate markets.

Many Florida real estate markets, including the markets in Orlando, Sarasota and Tampa, where the Corporation had operated loan production offices, continued to decline in value throughout 2009 and 2010 and may continue to undergo further declines. During a period of prolonged general economic downturn in the Florida market and even though FNBPA's Florida loan portfolio comprises 3.2% of the Corporation's total loan portfolio, the Corporation may experience further increases in non-performing assets, net charge-offs and provisions for loan losses.

The Corporation's continued pace of growth may require it to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed.

The Corporation is required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support its operations (see the Government Supervision and Regulation section included in Item 1 of this Report). As a financial holding company, the Corporation seeks to maintain capital sufficient to meet the well-capitalized standard set by regulators. The Corporation anticipates that its current capital resources will satisfy its capital requirements for the foreseeable future. The Corporation may at some point, however, need to raise additional capital to support continued growth, whether such growth occurs internally or through acquisitions.

The Corporation's ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of the Corporation's control, and on the Corporation's financial performance. Accordingly, there can be no assurance of the Corporation's ability to expand its operations through internal growth and acquisitions could be materially impaired. As such, the Corporation may be forced to delay raising capital, issue shorter term securities than desired or bear an unattractive cost of capital, which could decrease profitability and significantly reduce financial flexibility.

In the event current sources of liquidity, including internal sources, do not satisfy the Corporation's needs, the Corporation would be required to seek additional financing. The availability of additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to the financial services industry, the Corporation's credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as the possibility that lenders could develop a negative perception of the Corporation's long- or short-term financial prospects if the Corporation incurs large credit losses or if the level of business activity decreases due to economic conditions.

The Corporation's status as a holding company makes it dependent on dividends from its subsidiaries to meet its financial obligations and pay dividends to stockholders.

The Corporation is a holding company and conducts almost all of its operations through its subsidiaries. The Corporation does not have any significant assets other than cash and the stock of its subsidiaries. Accordingly, the Corporation depends on dividends from its subsidiaries to meet its financial obligations and to pay dividends to stockholders. The Corporation's right to participate in any distribution of earnings or assets of its subsidiaries is subject to the prior claims of creditors of such subsidiaries. Under federal law, FNBPA is limited in the amount of dividends it may pay to the Corporation without prior regulatory approval. Also, bank regulators have the authority to prohibit FNBPA from paying dividends if the bank regulators determine FNBPA is in an unsound or unsafe condition or that the payment would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's results of operations may be adversely affected if asset valuations cause other-than-temporary impairment or goodwill impairment charges.

The Corporation may be required to record future impairment charges on its investment securities if they suffer declines in value that are considered other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities, absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities, adverse changes in business climate, adverse actions by regulators, or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on the Corporation's investment portfolio in future periods. Goodwill is assessed annually for impairment and declines in value could result in a future non-cash charge to earnings. If an impairment charge is significant enough it could affect the ability of FNBPA to pay dividends to the Corporation, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's liquidity and its ability to pay dividends to stockholders and could also negatively impact its regulatory capital ratios and result in FNBPA not being classified as well-capitalized for regulatory purposes.

The Corporation could be adversely affected by changes in the law, especially changes in the regulation of the banking industry.

The Corporation and its subsidiaries operate in a highly regulated environment and are subject to supervision and regulation by several governmental agencies, including the FRB, OCC and FDIC. Regulations are generally intended to provide protection for depositors, borrowers and other customers rather than for investors. The Corporation is subject to changes in federal and state law, regulations, governmental policies, tax laws and accounting principles. Changes in regulations or the regulatory environment could adversely affect the banking and financial services industry as a whole and could limit the Corporation's growth and the return to investors by restricting such activities as:

- the payment of dividends;
- mergers with or acquisitions of other institutions;
- investments;
- loans and interest rates;
- fees assessed for consumer deposit accounts and electronic financial transactions;
- the provision of securities, insurance or trust services;
- the types of non-deposit activities in which the Corporation's financial institution subsidiaries may engage; and
- limit the type and scope of financial activities.

Adverse economic conditions in the Corporation's market area may adversely impact its results of operations and financial condition.

The substantial portion of the Corporation's business is concentrated in Pennsylvania and eastern Ohio, which over recent years has had slower growth than other areas of the United States. As a result, FNBPA's loan portfolio and results of operations may be adversely affected by factors that have a significant impact on the economic conditions in this market area. The local economies of this market area have historically been less robust than the economy of the nation as a whole and may not be subject to the same fluctuations as the national economy. Adverse economic conditions in this market area, including the loss of certain significant employers, could reduce its growth rate, affect its borrowers' ability to repay their loans and generally affect the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, a downturn in real estate values in FNBPA's market area could cause many of its loans to become inadequately collateralized.

The Corporation's deposit insurance premiums could be substantially higher in the future which would have an adverse effect on the Corporation's future earnings.

The Dodd-Frank Act changed the assessment base for FDIC deposit insurance. The new assessment base will be tied to total assets less tangible equity instead of deposit liabilities. The likely effect of this will be to increase

Table of Contents

assessment fees for institutions that rely more heavily on nondeposit funding sources. Many in the banking industry believe that this change will increase assessment rates for large banks and lower assessment costs for smaller community banks. In general, community banks usually derive the majority of their funding from deposits, so the assessment rates for such institutions should not increase and could decrease. However, the higher assessments for institutions that have relied on nondeposit sources of funding in the past could force these institutions to change their funding models and more actively search for deposits. If this happens, it could drive up the costs to attain deposits across the market, a situation that would negatively impact community banks like FNBPA.

The Dodd-Frank Act also changes the minimum reserve ratio for the FDIC DIF. The Dodd-Frank Act increases the minimum reserve ratio to 1.35 percent of estimated insured deposits or the assessment base. On December 15, 2010, the Board of Directors of the FDIC voted on a final rule to set the DIF's designated reserve ratio (DRR) at 2% of estimated insured deposits. The FDIC is required to offset the effect of the increased minimum reserve ratio for banks with assets of less than \$10 billion, so smaller community banks will be spared the cost of funding the increase in the minimum reserve ratio. It is not clear how the FDIC will offset the effect of the increased minimum reserve ratio for banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. The FDIC could charge the same assessment rates to both large and small banks until the DIF reaches the previous minimum reserve ratio of 1.15 percent and then charge higher rates to larger banks to bring the ratio up to the new 1.35 percent threshold. It is likely that once the DIF reaches the new minimum reserve ratio, banks of all sizes will be required to maintain the DIF above that ratio. This could further increase the assessment rates of community banks like FNBPA in the future.

Due to the recent increases in the assessment rates, and the potential for additional increases, the Corporation may be required to pay additional amounts to the DIF, which could have an adverse effect on the Corporation's earnings. If the deposit insurance premium assessment rate applicable to the Corporation increases again, either because of its risk classification, because of emergency assessments, or because of another uniform increase, the Corporation's earnings could be further adversely impacted.

The Corporation's information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

The Corporation relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Corporation's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. Although the Corporation has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of these information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of the Corporation's information systems could damage its reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject it to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose it to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Certain provisions of the Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and By-laws and Florida law may discourage takeovers.

The Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and By-laws contain certain anti-takeover provisions that may discourage or may make more difficult or expensive a tender offer, change in control or takeover attempt that is opposed by the Corporation's Board of Directors. In particular, the Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and By-laws:

- previously classified its Board of Directors into three classes, so that stockholders elected only one-third of its Board of Directors each year. However, this classified structure will be phased out in May 2011;
- permit stockholders to remove directors only for cause;
- do not permit stockholders to take action except at an annual or special meeting of stockholders;

require stockholders to give the Corporation advance notice to nominate candidates for election to its Board of Directors or to make stockholder proposals at a stockholders meeting;

Table of Contents

permit the Corporation's Board of Directors to issue, without stockholder approval unless otherwise required by law, preferred stock with such terms as its Board of Directors may determine;
require the vote of the holders of at least 75% of the Corporation's voting shares for stockholder amendments to its By-laws;

Under Florida law, the approval of a business combination with a stockholder owning 10% or more of the voting shares of a corporation requires the vote of holders of at least two-thirds of the voting shares not owned by such stockholder, unless the transaction is approved by a majority of the corporation's disinterested directors. In addition, Florida law generally provides that shares of a corporation that are acquired in excess of certain specified thresholds will not possess any voting rights unless the voting rights are approved by a majority of the corporation's disinterested stockholders.

These provisions of the Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and By-laws and of Florida law could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control, even though a majority of the Corporation's stockholders may consider such proposals desirable. Such provisions could also make it more difficult for third parties to remove and replace members of the Corporation's Board of Directors. Moreover, these provisions could diminish the opportunities for stockholders to participate in certain tender offers, including tender offers at prices above the then-current market price of the Corporation's common stock, and may also inhibit increases in the trading price of the Corporation's common stock that could result from takeover attempts.

The Corporation is exposed to risk of environmental liabilities with respect to properties to which it takes title.

Portions of the Corporation's loan portfolio are secured by real property. In the ordinary course of its business, the Corporation may own or foreclose and take title to real estate, and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. The Corporation may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, as the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, the Corporation may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. If the Corporation ever becomes subject to significant environmental liabilities, the Corporation's business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

The Corporation's key assets include its brand and reputation and the Corporation's business may be affected by how it is perceived in the market place.

The Corporation's brand and its attributes are key assets of the Corporation. The Corporation's ability to attract and retain banking, insurance, consumer finance, wealth management, merchant banking and corporate clients is highly dependent upon the external perceptions of its level of service, trustworthiness, business practices and financial condition. Negative perceptions or publicity regarding these matters could damage the Corporation's reputation among existing customers and corporate clients, which could make it difficult for the Corporation to attract new clients and maintain existing ones. Adverse developments with respect to the financial services industry may also, by association, negatively impact the Corporation's reputation, or result in greater regulatory or legislative scrutiny or litigation against the Corporation. Although the Corporation monitors developments for areas of potential risk to its reputation and brand, negative perceptions or publicity could materially and adversely affect the Corporation's revenues and profitability.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

NONE.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Corporation owns a six-story building in Hermitage, Pennsylvania that serves as its headquarters, executive and administrative offices. It shares this facility with Community Banking and Wealth Management. Additionally, the Corporation owns a two-story building in Hermitage, Pennsylvania that serves as its data processing and technology center.

The Community Banking segment has 223 offices, located in 32 counties in Pennsylvania and four counties in Ohio, of which 159 are owned and 64 are leased. Community Banking also leases its two commercial loan offices. The Consumer Finance segment has 62 offices, located in 17 counties in Pennsylvania, 16 counties in Tennessee, 14 counties in Ohio and 5 counties in Kentucky, of which one is owned and 61 are leased. The operating leases for the Community Banking and Consumer Finance segments expire at various dates through the year 2030 and generally include options to renew. For additional information regarding the lease commitments, see the Premises and Equipment footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages and other relief are asserted. These actions include claims brought against the Corporation and its subsidiaries where the Corporation or a subsidiary acted as one or more of the following: a depository bank, lender, underwriter, fiduciary, financial advisor, broker or was engaged in other business activities. Although the ultimate outcome for any asserted claim cannot be predicted with certainty, the Corporation believes that it and its subsidiaries have valid defenses for all asserted claims. Reserves are established for legal claims when losses associated with the claims are judged to be probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and established reserves, the Corporation does not anticipate, at the present time, that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of such legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's consolidated financial position. However, the Corporation cannot determine whether or not any claims asserted against it will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations in any future reporting period.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

NONE.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

The name, age and principal occupation for each of the executive officers of the Corporation as of February 16, 2011 is set forth below:

Name	Age	Principal Occupation
Stephen J. Gurgovits	67	Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation; Chairman of FNBPA
Vincent J. Calabrese	48	Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation; Senior Vice President of FNBPA
Vincent J. Delie, Jr.	46	President of the Corporation; Chief Executive Officer of FNBPA
Gary L. Guerrieri	50	Executive Vice President of FNBPA
Brian F. Lilly	53	Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of the Corporation; Chief Administrative Officer of FNBPA
Timothy G. Rubritz	56	Corporate Controller and Senior Vice President of the Corporation
John C. Williams, Jr.	64	President of FNBPA

There are no family relationships among any of the above executive officers, and there is no arrangement or understanding between any of the above executive officers and any other person pursuant to which he was selected as an officer. The executive officers are elected by and serve at the pleasure of the Corporation's Board of Directors, subject in certain cases to the terms of an employment agreement between the officer and the Corporation.

Table of Contents**PART II.****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The Corporation's common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol FNB. The accompanying table shows the range of high and low sales prices per share of the common stock as reported by the NYSE for 2010 and 2009. The table also shows dividends per share paid on the outstanding common stock during those periods. As of January 31, 2011, there were 12,348 holders of record of the Corporation's common stock.

	Low	High	Dividends
Quarter Ended 2010			
March 31	\$ 6.65	\$ 8.66	\$ 0.12
June 30	7.84	9.75	0.12
September 30	7.53	8.90	0.12
December 31	8.10	10.28	0.12
Quarter Ended 2009			
March 31	\$ 5.14	\$ 13.71	\$ 0.12
June 30	5.74	9.31	0.12
September 30	5.86	8.07	0.12
December 31	6.32	7.45	0.12

The information required by this Item 5 with respect to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is set forth in Part III, Item 12 of this Report.

The Corporation did not purchase any of its own equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

Comparison of Total Return on F.N.B. Corporation's Common Stock with Certain Averages

The following five-year performance graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return (assuming reinvestment of dividends) on the Corporation's common stock (u) to the NASDAQ Bank Index (n) and the Russell 2000 Index (5). This stock performance graph assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2005, and the cumulative return is measured as of each subsequent fiscal year end.

F.N.B. Corporation Five-Year Stock Performance

Total Return, Including Stock and Cash Dividends

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

Year Ended December 31	2010	2009	2008 (1)	2007	2006
Total interest income	\$ 373,721	\$ 388,218	\$ 409,781	\$ 368,890	\$ 342,422
Total interest expense	88,731	121,179	157,989	174,053	153,585
Net interest income	284,990	267,039	251,792	194,837	188,837
Provision for loan losses	47,323	66,802	72,371	12,693	10,412
Total non-interest income	115,972	105,482	86,115	81,609	79,275
Total non-interest expense	251,103	255,339	222,704	165,614	160,514
Net income	74,652	41,111	35,595	69,678	67,649
Net income available to common stockholders	74,652	32,803	35,595	69,678	67,649
At Year-End					
Total assets	\$ 8,959,915	\$ 8,709,077	\$ 8,364,811	\$ 6,088,021	\$ 6,007,592
Net loans	5,982,035	5,744,706	5,715,650	4,291,429	4,200,569
Deposits	6,646,143	6,380,223	6,054,623	4,397,684	4,372,842
Short-term borrowings	753,603	669,167	596,263	449,823	363,910
Long-term debt	192,058	324,877	490,250	481,366	519,890
Junior subordinated debt	204,036	204,711	205,386	151,031	151,031
Total stockholders' equity	1,066,124	1,043,302	925,984	544,357	537,372
Per Common Share					
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.44	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.15
Diluted earnings per share	0.65	0.32	0.44	1.15	1.14
Cash dividends declared	0.48	0.48	0.96	0.95	0.94
Book value	9.29	9.14	10.32	8.99	8.90
Ratios					
Return on average assets	0.84%	0.48%	0.46%	1.15%	1.15%
Return on average tangible assets	0.95	0.57	0.55	1.25	1.25
Return on average equity	7.06	3.87	4.20	12.89	13.15
Return on average tangible common equity	16.02	8.74	10.63	26.23	26.30
Dividend payout ratio	74.02	149.50	219.91	82.45	81.84
Average equity to average assets	11.88	12.35	11.01	8.93	8.73

(1) During 2008, the Corporation completed acquisitions of Omega Financial Corporation and Iron and Glass Bancorp, Inc.

Table of Contents**QUARTERLY EARNINGS SUMMARY (Unaudited)**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

Quarter Ended 2010	Dec. 31 (1)	Sept. 30	June 30	Mar. 31
Total interest income	\$92,867	\$93,947	\$94,361	\$92,546
Total interest expense	20,022	21,688	22,880	24,141
Net interest income	72,845	72,259	71,481	68,405
Provision for loan losses	10,807	12,313	12,239	11,964
Gain on sale of securities	443	80	47	2,390
Impairment loss on securities	(51)		(602)	(1,686)
Other non-interest income	29,108	27,674	28,998	29,571
Total non-interest expense	58,329	64,247	63,084	65,443
Net income	23,533	17,217	17,922	15,980
Per Common Share				
Basic earnings per share	\$0.21	\$0.15	\$0.16	\$0.14
Diluted earnings per share	0.21	0.15	0.16	0.14
Cash dividends declared	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
Quarter Ended 2009				
	Dec. 31	Sept. 30	June 30	Mar. 31
Total interest income	\$96,160	\$96,750	\$97,153	\$98,155
Total interest expense	26,468	28,989	31,702	34,020
Net interest income	69,585	67,544	65,332	64,082
Provision for loan losses	25,924	16,455	13,909	10,514
Gain on sale of securities	30	154	66	278
Impairment loss on securities	(3,659)	(3,291)	(740)	(203)
Other non-interest income	28,909	26,882	29,005	28,051
Total non-interest expense	65,781	62,321	66,265	60,972
Net income	4,556	10,306	10,598	15,651
Net income available to common stockholders	4,556	4,810	9,129	14,308
Per Common Share				
Basic earnings per share	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$0.10	\$0.16
Diluted earnings per share	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.16
Cash dividends declared	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12

(1) The results for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 were significantly affected by a one-time prior service credit to pension expense of \$10,543 (or \$6,853 after tax) due to the freezing of the Retirement Income Plan.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's discussion and analysis represents an overview of the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of the Corporation. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes presented in Item 8 of this Report. Results of operations for the periods included in this review are not necessarily indicative of results to be obtained during any future period.

Important Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

Certain statements in this Report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, relating to present or future trends or factors affecting the banking industry and, specifically, the financial operations, markets and products of the Corporation. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as believe, plan, expect, anticipate, intend, outlook, estimate, forecast, will, should, other similar words and expressions. These forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties. There are a number of important factors that could cause the Corporation's future results to differ materially from historical performance or projected performance. These factors include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant increase in competitive pressures among financial institutions; (2) changes in the interest rate environment that may reduce net interest margins; (3) changes in prepayment speeds, loan sale volumes, charge-offs and loan loss provisions; (4) general economic conditions; (5) various monetary and fiscal policies and regulations of the U.S. Government that may adversely affect the businesses in which the Corporation is engaged; (6) technological issues which may adversely affect the Corporation's financial operations or customers; (7) changes in the securities markets; (8) risk factors mentioned in the reports and registration statements the Corporation files with the SEC which are on file with the SEC, and are available on the Corporation's website at www.fnbcorporation.com and on the SEC website at www.sec.gov; (9) housing prices; (10) job market; (11) consumer confidence and spending habits or (12) estimates of fair value of certain the Corporation's assets and liabilities. All information provided in this Report is based on information presently available and the Corporation undertakes no obligation to revise these forward-looking statements or to reflect events or circumstances after the date this Report is filed with the SEC.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

The Corporation's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the consolidated financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions and judgments. Certain policies inherently are based to a greater extent on estimates, assumptions and judgments of management and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported.

The most significant accounting policies followed by the Corporation are presented in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, provide information on how the Corporation values significant assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements, how the Corporation determines those values and how the Corporation records transactions in the consolidated financial statements.

Management views critical accounting policies to be those which are highly dependent on subjective or complex judgments, estimates and assumptions, and where changes in those estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements. Management currently views the determination of the allowance for loan losses, securities valuation, goodwill and other intangible assets and income taxes to be critical accounting policies.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses addresses credit losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio and is presented as a reserve against loans on the consolidated balance sheet. Loan losses are charged off against the allowance for loan losses, with recoveries of amounts previously charged off credited to the allowance for loan losses. Provisions for loan losses are charged to operations based on management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance.

Estimating the amount of the allowance for loan losses is based to a significant extent on the judgment and estimates of management regarding the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change.

Management's assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses considers individual impaired loans, pools of homogeneous loans with similar risk characteristics and other risk factors concerning the economic environment. The specific credit allocations for individual impaired loans are based on ongoing analyses of all loans over a fixed dollar amount where the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification. These analyses involve a high degree of judgment in estimating the amount of loss associated with specific impaired loans, including estimating the amount and timing of future cash flows, current fair value of the underlying collateral and other qualitative risk factors that may affect the loan. The evaluation of this component of the allowance requires considerable judgment in order to estimate inherent loss exposures.

Pools of homogeneous loans with similar risk characteristics are also assessed for probable losses. A loss migration and historical charge-off analysis is performed quarterly and loss factors are updated regularly based on actual experience. This analysis examines historical loss experience, the related internal ratings of loans charged off and considers inherent but undetected losses within the portfolio. Inherent but undetected losses may arise due to uncertainties in economic conditions, delays in obtaining information, including unfavorable information about a borrower's financial condition, the difficulty in identifying triggering events that correlate to subsequent loss rates and risk factors that have not yet manifested themselves in loss allocation factors. The Corporation has grown through acquisitions and expanding the geographic footprint in which it operates. As a result, historical loss experience data used to establish loss estimates may not precisely correspond to the current portfolio. Also, loss data representing a complete economic cycle is not available for all sectors. Uncertainty surrounding the strength and timing of economic cycles also affects estimates of loss. The historical loss experience used in the migration and historical charge-off analysis may not be representative of actual unrealized losses inherent in the portfolio.

Management also evaluates the impact of various qualitative factors which pose additional risks that may not adequately be addressed in the analyses described above. Such factors could include: levels of, and trends in, consumer bankruptcies, delinquencies, impaired loans, charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures, including those for underwriting, collection, charge-off and recovery; experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry and geographic conditions; concentrations of credit such as, but not limited to, local industries, their employees or suppliers; market uncertainty and illiquidity; or any other common risk factor that might affect loss experience across one or more components of the portfolio. The determination of this component of the allowance is particularly dependent on the judgment of management.

There are many factors affecting the allowance for loan losses; some are quantitative, while others require qualitative judgment. Although management believes its process for determining the allowance adequately considers all of the factors currently inherent in the portfolio that could potentially result in credit losses, the process includes subjective elements and may be susceptible to significant change. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions for loan losses could be required that may adversely affect the Corporation's earnings

or financial position in future periods.

Table of Contents

The Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses section of this financial review includes a discussion of the factors affecting changes in the allowance for loan losses during the current period.

Securities Valuation and Impairment

The Corporation evaluates its investment securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on a quarterly basis. Impairment is assessed at the individual security level. An investment security is considered impaired if the fair value of the security is less than its cost or amortized cost basis.

The Corporation's OTTI evaluation process is performed in a consistent and systematic manner and includes an evaluation of all available evidence. Documentation of the process is extensive as necessary to support a conclusion as to whether a decline in fair value below cost or amortized cost is other-than-temporary and includes documentation supporting both observable and unobservable inputs and a rationale for conclusions reached.

This process considers factors such as the severity, length of time and anticipated recovery period of the impairment, recent events specific to the issuer, including investment downgrades by rating agencies and economic conditions of its industry, and the issuer's financial condition, capital strength and near-term prospects. The Corporation also considers its intent to sell the security and whether it is more likely than not that the Corporation would be required to sell the security prior to the recovery of its amortized cost basis. Among the factors that are considered in determining the Corporation's intent to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that the Corporation would be required to sell the security is a review of its capital adequacy, interest rate risk position and liquidity.

The assessment of a security's ability to recover any decline in fair value, the ability of the issuer to meet contractual obligations, and the Corporation's intent and ability to retain the security require considerable judgment. The unrealized losses of \$13.3 million on pooled TPS have been recognized on the balance sheet as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, however future charges to earnings could result if expected cash flows deteriorate.

Debt securities with credit ratings below AA at the time of purchase that are repayment-sensitive securities are evaluated using the guidance of ASC (Accounting Standards Codification) Topic 320, *Investments - Debt Securities*.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

As a result of acquisitions, the Corporation has acquired goodwill and identifiable intangible assets on its balance sheet. Goodwill represents the cost of acquired companies in excess of the fair value of net assets, including identifiable intangible assets, at the acquisition date. The Corporation's recorded goodwill relates to value inherent in its Community Banking, Wealth Management and Insurance segments.

The value of goodwill and other identifiable intangibles is dependent upon the Corporation's ability to provide quality, cost-effective services in the face of competition. As such, these values are supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or the Corporation's inability to deliver cost effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment in value which could result in additional expense and adversely impact earnings in future periods.

Other identifiable intangible assets such as core deposit intangibles and customer and renewal lists are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

The two-step impairment test is used to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of impairment loss to be recognized, if any. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. If the

fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step of the test is not necessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its

Table of Contents

fair value, the second step is performed to measure impairment loss, if any. Under the second step, the fair value is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit to determine an implied fair value of goodwill. This allocation is similar to a purchase price allocation performed in purchase accounting. If the implied goodwill value of a reporting unit is less than the carrying amount of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that difference.

Determining fair values of a reporting unit, of its individual assets and liabilities, and also of other identifiable intangible assets requires considering market information that is publicly available as well as the use of significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on whether or not an impairment charge is recognized and also the magnitude of any such charge. Inputs used in determining fair values where significant estimates and assumptions are necessary include discounted cash flow calculations, market comparisons and recent transactions, projected future cash flows, discount rates reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, long-term growth rates and determination and evaluation of appropriate market comparables.

The Corporation performed an annual test of goodwill and other intangibles as of October 1, 2010, and concluded that the recorded value of goodwill was not impaired.

Income Taxes

The Corporation is subject to the income tax laws of the U.S., its states and other jurisdictions where it conducts business. The laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and various taxing authorities. In determining the provision for income taxes, management must make judgments and estimates about the application of these inherently complex tax statutes, related regulations and case law. In the process of preparing the Corporation's tax returns, management attempts to make reasonable interpretations of the tax laws. These interpretations are subject to challenge by the taxing authorities based on audit results or to change based on management's ongoing assessment of the facts and evolving case law.

The Corporation establishes a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that the Corporation will not be able to realize a benefit from its deferred tax assets, or when future deductibility is uncertain. Periodically, the valuation allowance is reviewed and adjusted based on management's assessments of realizable deferred tax assets.

On a quarterly basis, management assesses the reasonableness of the Corporation's effective tax rate based on management's current best estimate of net income and the applicable taxes for the full year. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are assessed on an annual basis, or sooner, if business events or circumstances warrant.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments

The New Accounting Standards footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report, discusses new accounting pronouncements adopted by the Corporation in 2010 and the expected impact of accounting pronouncements recently issued or proposed but not yet required to be adopted.

Overview

The Corporation is a diversified financial services company headquartered in Hermitage, Pennsylvania. Its primary businesses include community banking, consumer finance, wealth management and insurance. The Corporation also conducts leasing and merchant banking activities. The Corporation operates its community banking business through a full service branch network with offices in Pennsylvania and Ohio. The Corporation operates its wealth management and insurance businesses within the community banking branch network. It also conducts selected consumer finance business in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009***

Net income for 2010 was \$74.7 million or \$0.65 per diluted share compared to net income available to common shareholders of \$32.8 million or \$0.32 per diluted common share for 2009. Net income available to common stockholders for 2009 was derived by reducing net income by \$8.3 million related to preferred stock dividends and discount amortization associated with the Corporation's participation in the CPP. The increase in net income is a result of an increase of \$18.0 million in net interest income, combined with an increase of \$10.5 million in non-interest income and decreases of \$19.5 million in the provision for loan losses and \$4.2 million in non-interest expenses. These items are more fully discussed later in this section.

The Corporation's return on average equity was 7.06% and its return on average assets was 0.84% for 2010, compared to 3.87% and 0.48%, respectively, for 2009.

In addition to evaluating its results of operations in accordance with GAAP, the Corporation routinely supplements its evaluation with an analysis of certain non-GAAP financial measures, such as return on average tangible common equity and return on average tangible assets. The Corporation believes these non-GAAP financial measures provide information useful to investors in understanding the Corporation's operating performance and trends, and facilitates comparisons with the performance of the Corporation's peers. The non-GAAP financial measures the Corporation uses may differ from the non-GAAP financial measures other financial institutions use to measure their results of operations.

The following tables summarize the Corporation's non-GAAP financial measures for 2010 and 2009 derived from amounts reported in the Corporation's financial statements (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
<u>Return on average tangible common equity:</u>		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 74,652	\$ 32,803
Amortization of intangibles, net of tax	4,364	4,607
	\$ 79,016	\$ 37,410
Average total stockholders' equity	\$ 1,057,732	\$ 1,063,104
Less: Average preferred stockholders' equity		(63,602)
Less: Average intangibles	(564,448)	(571,492)
	\$ 493,284	\$ 428,010
Return on average tangible common equity	16.02%	8.74%
<u>Return on average tangible assets:</u>		
Net income	\$ 74,652	\$ 41,111
Amortization of intangibles, net of tax	4,364	4,607
	\$ 79,016	\$ 45,718

Average total assets	\$ 8,906,734	\$ 8,606,188
Less: Average intangibles	(564,448)	(571,492)
	\$ 8,342,286	\$ 8,034,696
Return on average tangible assets	0.95%	0.57%

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding the average balances and yields earned on interest earning assets and the average balances and rates paid on interest bearing liabilities (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31							
	2010 Average Balance	2010 Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	2009 Average Balance	2009 Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	2008 Average Balance	2008 Interest Income/ Expense
Interest earning assets:								
Interest bearing deposits:								
Savings	\$ 171,740	\$ 428	0.25%	\$ 202,288	\$ 504	0.24%	\$ 4,344	\$ 89
Money market funds sold				14,110	69	0.48	14,596	304
Investment securities (1)	1,394,778	43,150	3.04	1,210,817	50,551	4.13	1,038,815	49,775
Fixed maturity investment securities (1)(2)	189,834	11,126	5.86	188,627	10,857	5.76	181,957	10,225
Other securities (2)(3)	5,968,567	325,669	5.45	5,831,176	332,587	5.69	5,410,022	355,426
Total interest earning	7,724,919	380,373	4.92	7,447,018	394,568	5.29	6,649,734	415,819
Due from banks	141,880			142,838			146,615	
Provision for loan losses	(114,526)			(107,015)			(67,962)	
Loans and equipment	115,983			120,747			108,768	
Other assets	1,033,478			1,002,600			859,739	
	\$ 8,906,734			\$ 8,606,188			\$ 7,696,894	
Interest bearing liabilities:								
Interest bearing liabilities:								
Demand deposits:								
Savings	\$ 2,443,381	10,129	0.41	\$ 2,192,844	14,229	0.65	\$ 1,849,808	26,307
Money market	857,582	1,659	0.19	841,999	2,875	0.34	746,570	6,610
Certificates and other time deposits	2,199,667	52,736	2.40	2,258,551	68,595	3.04	2,137,555	78,651
Money market management								
Savings	640,248	4,449	0.69	472,628	4,596	0.96	373,200	7,771
Short-term investments	130,981	3,694	2.78	114,341	3,924	3.38	143,154	5,259
Term debt	224,610	8,080	3.60	419,570	17,202	4.10	498,262	21,044
Subordinated debt	204,370	7,984	3.91	205,045	9,758	4.76	192,060	12,347
Total interest bearing	6,700,839	88,731	1.32	6,504,978	121,179	1.86	5,940,609	157,989
Total interest bearing	1,045,837			940,808			825,083	
Liabilities	102,326			97,298			83,785	

holders equity	7,849,002	7,543,084	6,849,477
	1,057,732	1,063,104	847,417
	\$ 8,906,734	\$ 8,606,188	\$ 7,696,894
of interest earning over interest bearing s	\$ 1,024,080	\$ 942,040	\$ 709,125
rest income (FTE)	291,642	272,389	257,830
ivalent adjustment	6,552	6,350	6,038
rest income	\$ 285,090	\$ 267,039	\$ 251,792
rest spread	3.60%	3.43%	
rest margin (2)	3.77%	3.67%	

- (1) The average balances and yields earned on securities are based on historical cost.
- (2) The interest income amounts are reflected on a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% for each period presented. The yield on earning assets and the net interest margin are presented on an FTE basis. The Corporation believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.
- (3) Average balances include non-accrual loans. Loans consist of average total loans less average unearned income. The amount of loan fees included in interest income on loans is immaterial.

Table of Contents*Net Interest Income*

Net interest income, which is the Corporation's major source of revenue, is the difference between interest income from earning assets (loans, securities and federal funds sold) and interest expense paid on liabilities (deposits, treasury management accounts and short- and long-term borrowings). In 2010, net interest income, which comprised 71.1% of net revenue (net interest income plus non-interest income) compared to 71.7% in 2009, was affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates, the shape of the yield curve, the level of non-accrual loans and changes in the amount and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

Net interest income, on an FTE basis, increased \$19.3 million or 7.1% from \$272.4 million for 2009 to \$291.6 million for 2010. Average interest earning assets increased \$277.9 million or 3.7% and average interest bearing liabilities increased \$195.9 million or 3.0% from 2009 due to investment, loan, deposit and treasury management account growth. The Corporation's net interest margin increased 10 basis points from 2009 to 3.77% for 2010 as deposit rates declined faster than loan yields along with an improved funding mix with higher transaction account balances and lower long-term debt. Details on changes in tax equivalent net interest income attributed to changes in interest earning assets, interest bearing liabilities, yields and cost of funds are set forth in the preceding table.

The following table provides certain information regarding changes in net interest income attributable to changes in the average volumes and yields earned on interest earning assets and the average volume and rates paid for interest bearing liabilities for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	2010 vs 2009			2009 vs 2008		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
Interest Income						
Interest bearing deposits with banks	\$ (94)	\$ 18	\$ (76)	\$ 470	\$ (55)	\$ 415
Federal funds sold	(35)	(34)	(69)	(10)	(225)	(235)
Securities	5,324	(12,456)	(7,132)	7,452	(6,044)	1,408
Loans	3,949	(10,867)	(6,918)	23,502	(46,341)	(22,839)
	9,144	(23,339)	(14,195)	31,414	(52,665)	(21,251)
Interest Expense						
Deposits:						
Interest bearing demand	699	(4,799)	(4,100)	4,426	(16,504)	(12,078)
Savings	(79)	(1,137)	(1,216)	720	(4,455)	(3,735)
Certificates and other time	(1,724)	(14,135)	(15,859)	4,547	(14,603)	(10,056)
Treasury management accounts	1,372	(1,519)	(147)	1,693	(4,868)	(3,175)
Other short-term borrowings	536	(766)	(230)	(404)	(931)	(1,335)
Long-term debt	(7,218)	(1,904)	(9,122)	(3,241)	(601)	(3,842)
Junior subordinated debt	(32)	(1,742)	(1,774)	790	(3,379)	(2,589)
	(6,446)	(26,002)	(32,448)	8,531	(45,341)	(36,810)
Net Change	\$ 15,590	\$ 2,663	\$ 18,253	\$ 22,883	\$ (7,324)	\$ 15,559

- (1) The amount of change not solely due to rate or volume was allocated between the change due to rate and the change due to volume based on the net size of the rate and volume changes.
- (2) Interest income amounts are reflected on an FTE basis which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% for each period presented. The Corporation believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

Table of Contents

Interest income, on an FTE basis, of \$380.4 million in 2010 decreased by \$14.2 million or 3.6% from 2009. Average interest earning assets of \$7.7 billion for 2010 grew \$277.9 million or 3.7% from the same period of 2009 primarily driven by increases in average investments and average loans. The yield on interest earning assets decreased 37 basis points to 4.92% for 2010 reflecting the decreases in market interest rates.

Interest expense of \$88.7 million for 2010 decreased by \$32.4 million or 26.8% from 2009. The rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased 54 basis points to 1.32% during 2010 reflecting changes in interest rates and a favorable shift in mix. Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$195.9 million or 3.0% to average \$6.7 billion for 2010. This growth was primarily attributable to average deposit and treasury management account growth of \$479.9 million or 7.2% for 2010, driven by the success of marketing campaigns designed to attract new customers to the Corporation's local approach to banking combined with customer preferences to keep funds in banks due to uncertainties in the market. This growth was partially offset by a \$195.0 million or 46.5% reduction in long-term debt associated with the prepayment and maturities of certain higher cost borrowings in 2010.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is determined based on management's estimates of the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses needed to absorb probable losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio, after giving consideration to charge-offs and recoveries for the period.

The provision for loan losses of \$47.3 million during 2010 decreased \$19.5 million from 2009. During 2010, net charge-offs decreased \$21.0 million from 2009 as the Corporation recognized lower net charge-offs for its Florida portfolio, which decreased \$24.4 million compared to 2009. The allowance for loan losses increased \$1.5 million from December 31, 2009 to \$106.1 million at December 31, 2010 reflecting an increase in lending activity, particularly in commercial loans and consumer lines of credit. While the economy is recovering from the recession, the duration of the slow economic environment remains a challenge for borrowers, particularly in the Corporation's Florida portfolio. The \$47.3 million provision for loan losses for 2010 was comprised of \$17.1 million relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$6.1 million relating to Regency and \$24.1 million relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio, which is predominantly in Pennsylvania. During 2010, net charge-offs were \$45.9 million or 0.77% of average loans compared to \$66.9 million or 1.15% of average loans for 2009. The net charge-offs for 2010 were comprised of \$19.5 million or 8.83% of average loans relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$6.1 million or 3.82% of average loans relating to Regency and \$20.3 million or 0.36% of average loans relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio. For additional information, refer to the Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income of \$116.0 million in 2010 increased \$10.5 million or 9.9% from 2009. This increase resulted primarily from higher gains on sales of securities, an increase in trust fees and higher gains on sales of residential mortgage loans, combined with increased other income and lower OTTI charges. These items were partially offset by decreases in service charges, insurance commissions and fees, securities commissions and fees and income from bank owned life insurance (BOLI). These items are further explained in the following paragraphs.

Net impairment losses on securities of \$2.3 million improved by \$5.6 million from 2009 due to fewer impairment losses during 2010 relating to investments in pooled TPS.

Service charges on loans and deposits of \$56.8 million for 2010 decreased \$1.0 million or 1.7% from 2009, reflecting lower overdraft fees resulting from changing patterns of consumer behavior and the implementation of Regulation E,

which was effective for new accounts on July 1, 2010 and existing accounts on August 15, 2010. The impact of Regulation E on 2010 was a reduction to service charges on deposits of \$1.7 million. The lower overdraft fees were partially offset by higher debit card fees.

Table of Contents

Insurance commissions and fees of \$15.8 million for 2010 decreased \$0.9 million or 5.4% from 2009 primarily as a result of lower contingent and commission revenues.

Securities commissions and fees of \$6.8 million for 2010 decreased by \$0.6 million or 8.3% from 2009 primarily due to lower revenue generated from financial consultant activity during 2010.

Trust fees of \$12.7 million in 2010 increased by \$0.9 million or 7.7% from 2009 due to the effect of improved market conditions on assets under management compared to 2009. Assets under management increased by \$61.4 million or 2.7% to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2010.

Income from BOLI of \$4.9 million for 2010 decreased by \$0.7 million or 13.0% from 2009. This decrease was primarily attributable to lower yields and a \$13.7 million withdrawal from the policy which was redeployed into higher yielding investments during 2009.

Gain on sale of residential mortgage loans of \$3.8 million for 2010 increased by \$0.7 million or 22.9% from 2009. The Corporation sold \$191.9 million of residential mortgage loans during 2010 compared to \$196.2 million during 2009 as part of its ongoing strategy of generally selling 30-year fixed rate residential mortgage loans.

Gains on sales of securities of \$3.0 million increased \$2.4 million from 2009 primarily as a result of the Corporation selling a \$6.0 million U.S. government agency security and \$53.8 million of mortgage-backed securities during 2010 to better position the balance sheet.

Other income of \$14.5 million for 2010 increased \$4.1 million or 39.4% from 2009. The primary items contributing to this increase were \$2.9 million more in recoveries on impaired loans acquired in previous acquisitions, \$2.0 million more in gains relating to activity at the Corporation's merchant banking subsidiary and \$0.2 million more in gains relating to the sale of repossessed assets. These items were partially offset by a gain of \$0.8 million recognized during 2009 on the sale of a building acquired in a previous acquisition and a decrease of \$0.5 million in fees earned through an interest rate swap program for larger commercial customers who desire fixed rate loans while the Corporation benefits from a variable rate asset, thereby helping to reduce volatility in net interest income.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense of \$251.1 million in 2010 decreased \$4.2 million or 1.7% from 2009. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreases in salaries and employee benefits, outside services, other real estate owned (OREO), FDIC insurance, telephone and advertising, partially offset by increases in merger-related and other expenses. These items are further explained in the following paragraphs.

Salaries and employee benefits of \$126.3 million in 2010 decreased \$0.6 million or 0.5% from 2009. This decrease was primarily driven by a one-time \$10.5 million reduction to pension expense in 2010 related to the amendment of the existing plan to be more in line with current industry practices. This amendment is intended to reduce the volatility and uncertainty of future pension costs and provide employees greater flexibility through participation in the Corporation's 401(k) plan which is expected to increase the Corporation's contributions by approximately \$1.0 million per annum. Partially offsetting the one-time pension adjustment was an increase of \$3.8 million relating to salaries associated with various revenue-generating initiatives such as the addition of an asset-based lending group and an expanded private banking group combined with normal annual merit increases. Additionally, incentive compensation, increased \$3.8 million resulting from business performance, discretionary employer 401(k) contributions increased \$1.1 million as a result of the Corporation exceeding its annual profitability thresholds and restricted stock expense increased \$1.0 million primarily due to the effect of prior year executive bonuses being awarded in stock instead of cash.

Table of Contents

Amortization of intangibles expense of \$6.7 million in 2010 decreased \$0.4 million or 5.3% from 2009 due to a combination of certain intangible assets being completely amortized during 2009 and lower amortization expense on some intangible assets due to accelerated amortization methods.

Outside services expense of \$22.6 million in 2010 decreased \$1.0 million or 4.1% from 2009 primarily due to lower legal and consulting fees during 2010 resulting from the completion of projects and loan workout efforts in 2009.

FDIC insurance of \$10.5 million for 2010 decreased \$3.4 million from 2009 due to a one-time special assessment of \$4.0 million paid during 2009, partially offset by the full year effect of an increase in FDIC insurance premium rates during the second half of 2009 and higher deposits.

State tax expense of \$7.3 million in 2010 increased \$0.5 million or 6.8% from 2009 primarily due to higher net worth based taxes related to the June 2009 capital raise.

OREO expense of \$4.9 million in 2010 decreased \$1.3 million or 21.0% from 2009, due to lower foreclosure activity and write-downs of OREO property in the Florida market compared to 2009.

Telephone expense of \$4.5 million in 2010 decreased \$0.7 million or 13.6% from 2009 reflecting continued effective expense control through the use of technology.

Advertising and promotional expense of \$5.2 million in 2010 decreased slightly from 2009.

The Corporation recorded merger-related expenses of \$0.6 million in 2010 relating to the acquisition of CBI, which closed on January 1, 2011. No merger-related expenses were recorded during 2009. Information relating to the Corporation's acquisitions is discussed in the Mergers and Acquisitions footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Other non-interest expenses of \$24.2 million in 2010 increased \$2.1 million or 9.7% from 2009. During 2010, the Corporation recognized charges of \$2.3 million associated with the prepayment of certain higher cost borrowings to better position the balance sheet.

Income Taxes

The Corporation's income tax expense of \$27.9 million for 2010 increased by \$18.6 million from 2009. The effective tax rate of 27.19% for 2010 increased from 18.40% for 2009, primarily due to higher pre-tax income for 2010. The income tax expense for 2010 and 2009 were favorably impacted by \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, due to the resolution of previously uncertain tax positions. The lower effective tax rate also reflects benefits resulting from tax-exempt income on investments, loans and BOLI. Both periods' tax rates are lower than the 35.0% federal statutory tax rate due to the tax benefits primarily resulting from tax-exempt instruments and excludable dividend income.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Net income for 2009 was \$41.1 million compared to net income of \$35.6 million for 2008. Net income available to common stockholders for 2009 was \$32.8 million or \$0.32 per diluted share, compared to net income available to common stockholders for 2008 of \$35.6 million or \$0.44 per diluted share. Net income available to common stockholders for 2009 included \$8.3 million related to preferred stock dividends and discount amortization associated with the Corporation's participation in the CPP. The increase in net income is a result of an increase of \$15.2 million in net interest income, combined with an increase of \$19.4 million in non-interest income and a decrease of \$5.6 million in the provision for loan losses, partially offset by an increase of \$32.6 million in non-interest expenses. These items

are more fully discussed later in this section.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's return on average equity was 3.87% and its return on average assets was 0.48% for 2009, compared to 4.20% and 0.46%, respectively, for 2008.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, which is the Corporation's major source of revenue, is the difference between interest income from earning assets and interest expense paid on liabilities. In 2009, net interest income, which comprised 71.7% of net revenue compared to 74.5% in 2008, was affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates, the shape of the yield curve, the level of non-accrual loans and changes in the amount and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

Net interest income, on an FTE basis, increased \$14.6 million or 5.6% from \$257.8 million for 2008 to \$272.4 million for 2009. Average interest earning assets increased \$797.3 million or 12.0% and average interest bearing liabilities increased \$564.4 million or 9.5% from 2008 due to organic loan and deposit growth and the Omega and IRGB acquisitions. The Corporation's net interest margin decreased by 21 basis points from 2008 to 3.67% for 2009 as loan yields declined faster than deposit rates, reflecting the actions taken by the FRB to lower interest rates during the fourth quarter of 2008 combined with competitive pressures on deposit rates.

Interest income, on an FTE basis, of \$394.6 million in 2009 decreased by \$21.3 million or 5.1% from 2008. Average interest earning assets of \$7.4 billion for 2009 grew \$797.3 million or 12.0% from the same period of 2008 primarily driven by the Omega and IRGB acquisitions, which increased loans by \$1.1 billion and \$160.2 million, respectively, at the time of each acquisition. The yield on interest earning assets decreased 96 basis points to 5.29% for 2009 reflecting changes in interest rates as the FRB has lowered its federal funds target rate from 4.25% at the beginning of 2008 to 0.25% by the end of 2009.

Interest expense of \$121.2 million for 2009 decreased by \$36.8 million or 23.3% from 2008. The rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased 80 basis points to 1.86% during 2009 reflecting changes in interest rates and a favorable shift in mix. Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$564.4 million or 9.5% to average \$6.5 billion for 2009. This growth was primarily attributable to the Omega and IRGB acquisitions combined with organic growth. The Omega and IRGB acquisitions increased deposits by \$1.3 billion and \$256.8 million, respectively, at the time of each acquisition. The Corporation also recognized organic average deposit and treasury management account growth of \$279.7 million or 4.7% for 2009, compared to 2008, driven by success with ongoing marketing campaigns designed to attract new customers to the Corporation's local approach to banking combined with customer preferences to keep funds in banks due to uncertainties in the market.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses of \$66.8 million in 2009 decreased \$5.6 million from 2008. In 2009, net charge-offs increased \$34.3 million as allowances provided in 2008 were charged off in 2009, while the allowance for loan losses ended 2009 at \$104.7 million, flat with December 31, 2008. The \$66.8 million provision for loan losses for 2009 was comprised of \$35.1 million relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$6.7 million relating to Regency and \$25.0 million relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio. The increase in net charge-offs reflects continued weakness in the Corporation's Florida portfolio, and, to a much lesser extent, the slowing economy in Pennsylvania. During 2009, net charge-offs were \$66.9 million or 1.15% of average loans compared to \$32.6 million or 0.60% of average loans for 2008. The net charge-offs for 2009 were comprised of \$43.8 million or 15.80% of average loans relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$6.3 million or 4.04% of average loans relating to Regency and \$16.7 million or 0.30% of average loans relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio. For additional information, refer to the Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents*Non-Interest Income*

Total non-interest income of \$105.5 million in 2009 increased \$19.4 million or 22.5% from 2008. This increase resulted primarily from increases in both service charges and insurance commissions and fees reflecting organic growth and the impact of acquisitions combined with lower OTTI charges, a gain recognized on the sale of a building acquired in a previous acquisition and higher gains on sales of residential mortgage loans. These items were partially offset by decreases in securities commissions and fees, trust fees, income from bank owned life insurance and gains on sales of securities.

Service charges on loans and deposits of \$57.7 million for 2009 increased \$3.0 million or 5.6% from 2008, reflecting organic growth as the Corporation took advantage of competitor disruption in the marketplace, with ongoing marketing campaigns designed to attract new customers to the Corporation's local approach to banking. Additionally, the Corporation's customer base expanded as a result of the Omega and IRGB acquisitions during 2008. Insurance commissions and fees of \$16.7 million for 2009 increased \$1.1 million or 7.1% from 2008 primarily as a result of the acquisition of Omega during 2008. Securities commissions of \$7.5 million for 2009 decreased by \$0.7 million or 8.2% from 2008 primarily due to lower activity due to market conditions, partially offset by the impact of the acquisition of Omega during 2008. Trust fees of \$11.8 million in 2009 decreased by \$0.3 million or 2.3% from 2008 due to the negative effect of market conditions on assets under management, partially offset by growth in assets under management resulting from the Omega acquisition during 2008. Income from BOLI of \$5.7 million for 2009 decreased by \$0.7 million or 11.4% from 2008. This decrease was primarily attributable to death claims, lower yields and a \$13.7 million withdrawal from the policy due to the unfavorable market conditions during 2009. Gain on sale of residential mortgage loans of \$3.1 million for 2009 increased by \$1.2 million or 67.8% from 2008 due to a higher volume of loan sales resulting from increased loan refinancing in a lower rate environment. The Corporation sold \$196.2 million of residential mortgage loans during 2009 compared to \$117.8 million during 2008. Gains on sales of securities of \$0.5 million decreased \$0.3 million or 36.7% from 2008. During 2009, the Corporation recognized a gain of \$0.2 million relating to the acquisition of a company in which the Corporation owned stock. Additionally, the Corporation recognized a gain of \$0.2 million relating to called securities during 2009. During 2008, most of the gain related to the Visa, Inc. initial public offering. The Corporation is a member of Visa USA since it issues Visa debit cards. As such, a portion of the Corporation's ownership interest in Visa was redeemed in exchange for \$0.7 million. This entire amount was recorded as gain on sale of securities in 2008 since the Corporation's cost basis in Visa is zero. Net impairment losses on securities of \$7.9 million decreased by \$9.3 million from 2008. Impairment losses on securities during 2009 consisted of \$7.1 million related to investments in pooled TPS and \$0.7 million related to investments in bank stocks, while impairment losses on securities during 2008 consisted of \$16.0 million related to investments in pooled TPS and \$1.2 million related to investments in bank stocks. Other income of \$10.4 million for 2009 increased \$6.7 million or 178.0% from 2008. The primary items contributing to this increase were \$1.0 million more in gains relating to payments received on impaired loans acquired in previous acquisitions, a gain of \$0.8 million on the sale of a building acquired in a previous acquisition and an increase of \$0.3 million in fees earned through an interest rate swap program for larger commercial customers who desire fixed rate loans while the Corporation benefits from a variable rate asset, thereby helping to reduce volatility in its net interest income. Additionally, impairment losses associated with the Corporation's merchant banking subsidiary decreased by \$2.9 million.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense of \$255.3 million in 2009 increased \$32.6 million or 14.7% from 2008. This increase was primarily attributable to operating expenses resulting from the Omega and IRGB acquisitions in 2008 combined with increases in salaries and employee benefits, OREO and FDIC insurance.

Salaries and employee benefits of \$126.9 million in 2009 increased \$10.0 million or 8.6% from 2008. This increase was primarily attributable to the acquisitions of Omega and IRGB during 2008 combined with \$1.1 million in

additional pension expense during 2009 resulting from an increase in the actuarial valuation amount. Combined net occupancy and equipment expense of \$38.2 million in 2009 increased \$4.0 million or 11.7% from the combined 2008 level, primarily due to the Omega and IRGB acquisitions during 2008. Amortization of intangibles expense of

Table of Contents

\$7.1 million in 2009 increased \$0.6 million or 10.0% from 2008 primarily due to higher intangible balances resulting from the Omega and IRGB acquisitions during 2008. Outside services expense of \$23.6 million in 2009 increased \$2.7 million or 12.8% from 2008 primarily due to the Omega and IRGB acquisitions during 2008, combined with higher fees for professional services, including legal fees incurred for loan workout efforts. FDIC insurance of \$13.9 million for 2009 increased \$13.0 million from 2008 due to a one-time special assessment of \$4.0 million paid during 2009, combined with an increase in FDIC insurance premium rates for 2009 and FNBPA having utilized its FDIC insurance premium credits in prior periods. State tax expense of \$6.8 million in 2009 increased \$0.3 million or 4.0% from 2008 primarily due to higher net worth based taxes resulting from the Corporation's acquisitions of Omega and IRGB in 2008. OREO expense of \$6.2 million in 2009 increased \$4.0 million from 2008, due to increased foreclosure activity and write-downs of OREO property, particularly in the Florida market, during 2009. Advertising and promotional expense of \$5.3 million in 2009 increased \$0.7 million or 16.0% from 2008 due to increased advertising in connection with the Corporation's efforts to attract new customers to the Corporation's local approach to banking during a time of competitor disruption in the marketplace, combined with the Corporation's acquisitions of Omega and IRGB in 2008. The Corporation recorded merger-related expenses of \$4.7 million in 2008 relating to the acquisitions of Omega and IRGB. No merger-related expenses were recorded during 2009. Information relating to the Corporation's acquisitions is discussed in the Mergers and Acquisitions footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. Other non-interest expenses of \$22.1 million in 2009 increased \$2.1 million or 10.2% from 2008. This increase reflects additional operating costs associated with the Corporation's acquisitions of Omega and IRGB in 2008. Additionally, loan-related expense of \$3.8 million in 2009 increased \$0.8 million from 2008 primarily due to costs associated with the Florida commercial loan portfolio in 2009. Also, the Corporation recorded net expense of \$1.0 million during 2009 associated with a litigation settlement.

Income Taxes

The Corporation's income tax expense of \$9.3 million for 2009 increased by \$2.0 million or 28.1% from 2008. The effective tax rate of 18.4% for 2009 increased from 16.9% for the prior year, primarily due to higher pre-tax income for 2009. The income tax expense for 2009 and 2008 were favorably impacted by \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, due to the resolution of previously uncertain tax positions. The lower effective tax rate also reflects benefits resulting from tax-exempt income on investments, loans and BOLI. Both periods' tax rates are lower than the 35.0% federal statutory tax rate due to the tax benefits primarily resulting from tax-exempt instruments and excludable dividend income.

Liquidity

The Corporation's goal in liquidity management is to satisfy the cash flow requirements of customers and the operating cash needs of the Corporation with cost-effective funding. The Board of Directors of the Corporation has established an Asset/Liability Management Policy in order to achieve and maintain earnings performance consistent with long-term goals while maintaining acceptable levels of interest rate risk, a well-capitalized balance sheet and adequate levels of liquidity. The Board of Directors of the Corporation has also established a Contingency Funding Policy to address liquidity crisis conditions. These policies designate the Corporate Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) as the body responsible for meeting these objectives. The ALCO, which includes members of executive management, reviews liquidity on a periodic basis and approves significant changes in strategies that affect balance sheet or cash flow positions. Liquidity is centrally managed on a daily basis by the Corporation's Treasury Department.

FNBPA generates liquidity from its normal business operations. Liquidity sources from assets include payments from loans and investments as well as the ability to securitize, pledge or sell loans, investment securities and other assets. Liquidity sources from liabilities are generated primarily through the 223 banking offices of FNBPA in the form of deposits and treasury management accounts. The Corporation also has access to reliable and cost-effective wholesale sources of liquidity. Short-term and long-term funds can be acquired to help fund normal business operations as well

as serve as contingency funding in the event that the Corporation would be faced with a liquidity crisis.

Table of Contents

The principal sources of the parent company's liquidity are its strong existing cash resources plus dividends it receives from its subsidiaries. These dividends may be impacted by the parent's or its subsidiaries' capital needs, statutory laws and regulations, corporate policies, contractual restrictions, profitability and other factors. Cash on hand at the parent at December 31, 2010 was \$91.6 million, up from \$74.9 million at December 31, 2009. Management believes these are appropriate levels of cash for the Corporation given the current environment. Two metrics that are used to gauge the adequacy of the parent company's cash position are the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Months of Cash on Hand (MCH). The LCR is defined as the sum of cash on hand plus cash inflows over the next 12 months divided by cash outflows over the next 12 months. The LCR was 2.0x on December 31, 2010 and 2.1x on December 31, 2009 versus a policy guideline of $\geq 1x$. The MCH is defined as the number of months of corporate expenses that can be covered by the cash on hand. The MCH was 12 months on both December 31, 2010 and 2009 versus a policy guideline of ≥ 3 months. During 2009, the Parent took a number of actions to bolster its cash position. On January 9, 2009, the Corporation completed the sale of 100,000 shares of newly issued Series C Preferred Stock valued at \$100.0 million as part of the UST's CPP. The Corporation redeemed the Series C Preferred Stock on September 9, 2009. Additionally, on January 21, 2009, the Corporation's Board of Directors elected to reduce the common stock cash dividend rate from \$0.24 to \$0.12 per quarter, thus reducing annual liquidity needs by approximately \$55.0 million. Finally, on June 16, 2009, the Corporation completed a common stock offering that raised \$125.8 million in total capital, \$98.0 million of which was invested in FNBPA. The parent also may draw on an approved line of credit with a major domestic bank. This unused line was \$15.0 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. During 2009, a \$25.0 million committed line of credit was negotiated with a major domestic bank on behalf of Regency. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, \$10.0 million was outstanding. In addition, the Corporation also issues subordinated notes through Regency on a regular basis. Subordinated notes increased \$14.9 million or 7.9% during 2010 to \$204.2 million at December 31, 2010. This increase is net of a \$5.6 million decrease in the balance of a single customer's account.

The liquidity position of the Corporation continues to be strong as evidenced by its ability to generate strong growth in deposits and treasury management accounts. As a result, the Corporation is less reliant on capital markets funding as witnessed by its ratio of total deposits and treasury management accounts to total assets of 81.0% and 79.4% as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Over this time period, growth in deposits and treasury management accounts was \$341.0 million or 4.9% which funded loan growth of \$238.8 million. FNBPA had unused wholesale credit availability of \$3.1 billion or 35.3% of bank assets at December 31, 2010 and \$3.1 billion or 36.9% of bank assets at December 31, 2009. These sources include the availability to borrow from the FHLB, the FRB, correspondent bank lines and access to certificates of deposit issued through brokers. FNBPA has identified certain liquid assets, including overnight cash, unpledged securities and loans, which could be sold to meet funding needs. Included in these liquid assets are overnight balances and unpledged government and agency securities which totaled 4.6% and 4.9% of bank assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. FNBPA recently received approval to offer an offshore, non-collateralized, interest-bearing checking account. This account is expected to reduce the security pledging requirements of FNBPA as customers move from repurchase agreements to this account. Consequently, the lower pledging requirements will result in a higher level of unpledged government and agency securities available for contingency funding needs.

Another metric for measuring liquidity risk is the liquidity gap analysis. The following liquidity gap analysis (in thousands) for the Corporation as of December 31, 2010 compares the difference between cash flows from existing assets and liabilities over future time intervals. Management seeks to limit the size of the liquidity gaps so that sources and uses of funds are reasonably matched in the normal course of business. A matched position lays a better foundation for dealing with the additional funding needs during a potential liquidity crisis. The twelve-

Table of Contents

month cumulative gap to total assets of (1.1)% as of December 31, 2010 compares to an internal guideline of between (5.0)% and 5.0%. This metric was not calculated as of December 31, 2009.

	Within 1 Month	2-3 Months	4-6 Months	7-12 Months	Total 1 Year
Assets					
Loans	\$ 142,034	\$ 269,510	\$ 408,924	\$ 703,691	\$ 1,524,159
Investments	96,275	74,259	133,078	232,613	536,225
	238,309	343,769	542,002	936,304	2,060,384
Liabilities					
Non-maturity deposits	57,391	114,783	172,174	344,349	688,697
Time deposits	147,313	249,105	363,374	512,573	1,272,365
Borrowings	27,353	34,291	47,683	90,105	199,432
	232,057	398,179	583,231	947,027	2,160,494
Period Gap (Assets - Liabilities)	\$ 6,252	\$ (54,410)	\$ (41,229)	(10,723)	(100,110)
Cumulative Gap	\$ 6,252	\$ (48,158)	\$ (89,387)	(100,110)	
Cumulative Gap to Total Assets	0.1%	(0.5)%	(1.0)%	(1.1)%	

In addition, the ALCO regularly monitors various liquidity ratios and stress scenarios of the Corporation's liquidity position. Management believes the Corporation has sufficient liquidity available to meet its normal operating and contingency funding cash needs.

Market Risk

Market risk refers to potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices and commodity prices. The Securities footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report, discusses impairment charges the Corporation has taken on its investment portfolio during 2010 and 2009 relating to the pooled TPS and bank stock portfolios. The Securities footnote also discusses the ongoing process management utilizes to determine whether impairment exists.

The Corporation is primarily exposed to interest rate risk inherent in its lending and deposit-taking activities as a financial intermediary. To succeed in this capacity, the Corporation offers an extensive variety of financial products to meet the diverse needs of its customers. These products sometimes contribute to interest rate risk for the Corporation when product groups do not complement one another. For example, depositors may want short-term deposits while borrowers desire long-term loans.

Changes in market interest rates may result in changes in the fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments, cash flows and net interest income. The ALCO is responsible for market risk management which involves devising policy guidelines, risk measures and limits, and managing the amount of interest rate risk and its effect on net interest income and capital. The Corporation uses derivative financial instruments for interest rate risk management purposes and not for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest rate risk is comprised of repricing risk, basis risk, yield curve risk and options risk. Repricing risk arises from differences in the cash flow or repricing between asset and liability portfolios. Basis risk arises when asset and liability portfolios are related to different market rate indexes, which do not always change by the same amount. Yield curve risk arises when asset and liability portfolios are related to different maturities on a given yield curve; when the yield curve changes shape, the risk position is altered. Options risk arises from embedded options within asset and liability products as certain borrowers have the option to prepay their loans when rates fall while certain depositors can redeem their certificates of deposit early when rates rise.

Table of Contents

The Corporation uses a sophisticated asset/liability model to measure its interest rate risk. Interest rate risk measures utilized by the Corporation include earnings simulation, economic value of equity (EVE) and gap analysis.

Gap analysis and EVE are static measures that do not incorporate assumptions regarding future business. Gap analysis, while a helpful diagnostic tool, displays cash flows for only a single rate environment. EVE's long-term horizon helps identify changes in optionality and longer-term positions. However, EVE's liquidation perspective does not translate into the earnings-based measures that are the focus of managing and valuing a going concern. Net interest income simulations explicitly measure the exposure to earnings from changes in market rates of interest. In these simulations, the Corporation's current financial position is combined with assumptions regarding future business to calculate net interest income under various hypothetical rate scenarios. The ALCO reviews earnings simulations over multiple years under various interest rate scenarios on a periodic basis. Reviewing these various measures provides the Corporation with a comprehensive view of its interest rate profile.

The following repricing gap analysis (in thousands) as of December 31, 2010 compares the difference between the amount of interest earning assets (IEA) and interest bearing liabilities (IBL) subject to repricing over a period of time. A ratio of more than one indicates a higher level of repricing assets over repricing liabilities for the time period. Conversely, a ratio of less than one indicates a higher level of repricing liabilities over repricing assets for the time period.

	Within 1 Month	2-3 Months	4-6 Months	7-12 Months	Total 1 Year
Interest Earning Assets (IEA)					
Loans	\$ 1,442,933	\$ 988,467	\$ 391,226	\$ 570,865	\$ 3,393,491
Investments	96,278	96,481	194,576	309,233	696,568
	1,539,211	1,084,948	585,802	880,098	4,090,059
Interest Bearing Liabilities (IBL)					
Non-maturity deposits	1,643,445				1,643,445
Time deposits	156,648	244,919	354,042	493,806	1,249,415
Borrowings	668,869	10,030	32,791	17,321	729,011
	2,468,962	254,949	386,833	511,127	3,621,871
Period Gap	\$ (929,751)	\$ 829,999	\$ 198,969	\$ 368,971	\$ 468,188
Cumulative Gap	\$ (929,751)	\$ (99,752)	\$ 99,217	\$ 468,188	
IEA/IBL (Cumulative)	0.62	0.96	1.03	1.13	
Cumulative Gap to IEA	(11.9)%	(1.3)%	1.3%	6.0%	

The cumulative twelve-month IEA to IBL ratio changed to 1.13 for December 31, 2010 from 1.04 for December 31, 2009.

The allocation of non-maturity deposits to the one-month maturity category is based on the estimated sensitivity of each product to changes in market rates. For example, if a product's rate is estimated to increase by 50% as much as the market rates, then 50% of the account balance was placed in this category.

The following net interest income metrics were calculated using rate ramps which move market rates in a parallel fashion gradually over 12 months. Whereas the EVE metrics utilized rate shocks which represent immediate rate changes that move all market rates by the same amount. The variance percentages represent the change between the net interest income or EVE calculated under the particular rate scenario versus the net interest income or EVE that was calculated assuming market rates as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table presents an analysis of the potential sensitivity of the Corporation's net interest income and EVE to changes in interest rates:

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	ALCO Guidelines
Net interest income change (12 months):			
+ 300 basis points	0.1 %	(0.8) %	+/-5.0%
+ 200 basis points	0.0 %	(0.5) %	+/-5.0%
+ 100 basis points	(0.1) %	(0.2) %	+/-5.0%
- 100 basis points	0.2 %	(0.7) %	+/-5.0%
Economic value of equity:			
+ 300 basis points	(8.5) %	(9.7) %	
+ 200 basis points	(5.2) %	(5.9) %	
+ 100 basis points	(2.2) %	(2.3) %	
- 100 basis points	1.4 %	(0.9) %	

The Corporation's strategy is to manage to a neutral interest rate risk position. In the short term, rising rates have a modest positive effect on net interest income. The Corporation has maintained a relatively stable net interest margin over the last five years despite market rate volatility.

During 2010, the ALCO utilized several strategies to maintain the Corporation's interest rate risk position at a relatively neutral level. For example, the Corporation successfully achieved growth in longer-term certificates of deposit. On the lending side, the Corporation regularly sells long-term fixed-rate residential mortgages to the secondary market and has been successful in the origination of consumer and commercial loans with short-term repricing characteristics. Total variable and adjustable-rate loans increased from 57.4% of total loans as of December 31, 2009 to 58.4% of total loans as of December 31, 2010. The investment portfolio is used, in part, to manage the Corporation's interest rate risk position. The duration of the investment portfolio is relatively low at 2.5 years and 2.3 years at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The investment portfolio is expected to generate approximately \$460.0 million in cash flow during 2011. Finally, the Corporation has made use of interest rate swaps to lessen its interest rate risk position. The \$138.9 million in notional swap principal originated in 2010 contributed to the increase in adjustable loans and has brought the total to \$480.7 million under this program. For additional information regarding interest rate swaps, see the Derivative Instruments footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

OCC Bulletin 2000-16 mandates that banks have their asset/liability models independently validated on a periodic basis. The Corporation's Asset/Liability Management Policy states that the model will be validated at least every three years. A leading asset/liability consulting firm issued a report as of December 31, 2009 after conducting a validation of the model for FNBPA. The model was given an Excellent rating, which according to the consultant, indicates that the overall model implementation meets FNBPA's earnings performance assessment and interest rate risk analysis needs.

The Corporation recognizes that all asset/liability models have some inherent shortcomings. Asset/liability models require certain assumptions to be made, such as prepayment rates on interest earning assets and pricing impact on non-maturity deposits, which may differ from actual experience. These business assumptions are based upon the Corporation's experience, business plans and available industry data. While management believes such assumptions to be reasonable, there can be no assurance that modeled results will be achieved. Furthermore, the metrics are based

upon the balance sheet structure as of the valuation data and do not reflect the planned growth or management actions which could be taken.

Risk Management

The key to effective risk management is to be proactive in identifying, measuring, evaluating and monitoring risk on an ongoing basis. Risk management practices support decision-making, improve the success rate for new initiatives, and strengthen the market's confidence in the Corporation and its affiliates.

Table of Contents

The Corporation supports its risk management process through a governance structure involving its Board of Directors and senior management. The Corporation's Risk Committee, which is comprised of various members of the Board of Directors, helps insure that management executes business decisions within the Corporation's desired risk profile. The Risk Committee has the following key roles:

- facilitate the identification, assessment and monitoring of risk across the Corporation;
- provide support and oversight to the Corporation's businesses; and
- identify and implement risk management best practices, as appropriate.

FNBPA has a Risk Management Committee comprised of senior management to provide day-to-day oversight to specific areas of risk with respect to the level of risk and risk management structure. FNBPA's Risk Management Committee reports on a regular basis to the Corporation's Risk Committee regarding the enterprise risk profile of the Corporation and other relevant risk management issues.

The Corporation's audit function performs an independent assessment of the internal control environment. Moreover, the Corporation's audit function plays a critical role in risk management, testing the operation of internal control systems and reporting findings to management and to the Corporation's Audit Committee. Both the Corporation's Risk Committee and FNBPA's Risk Management Committee regularly assess the Corporation's enterprise-wide risk profile and provide guidance on actions needed to address key risk issues.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following table sets forth contractual obligations of principal that represent required and potential cash outflows as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Within 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 Years	Total
Deposits without a stated maturity	\$ 4,517,074	\$	\$	\$	\$ 4,517,074
Certificates and other time deposits	1,242,049	664,798	218,662	3,560	2,129,069
Operating leases	5,651	9,354	4,604	12,614	32,223
Long-term debt	26,098	112,923	51,949	1,088	192,058
	\$ 5,790,872	\$ 787,075	\$ 275,215	\$ 17,262	\$ 6,870,424

The following table sets forth the amounts and expected maturities of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Within 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 Years	Total
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 1,380,266	\$ 34,730	\$ 23,869	\$ 111,391	\$ 1,550,256
Standby letters of credit	67,298	32,548	1,340		101,186
	\$ 1,447,564	\$ 67,278	\$ 25,209	\$ 111,391	\$ 1,651,442

Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit do not necessarily represent future cash requirements because while the borrower has the ability to draw upon these commitments at any time, these commitments often expire without being drawn upon. For additional information relating to commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, see the Commitments, Credit Risk and Contingencies footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Lending Activity

The loan portfolio consists principally of loans to individuals and small- and medium-sized businesses within the Corporation's primary market area of Pennsylvania and northeastern Ohio. The portfolio also includes

Table of Contents

commercial loans in Florida, which totaled \$195.3 million or 3.2% of total loans as of December 31, 2010 compared to \$243.9 million or 4.2% of total loans as of December 31, 2009. In addition, the portfolio contains consumer finance loans to individuals in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky, which totaled \$162.8 million or 2.7% of total loans as of December 31, 2010.

Following is a summary of loans (in thousands):

December 31	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Commercial	\$ 3,337,992	\$ 3,234,738	\$ 3,173,941	\$ 2,232,860	\$ 2,111,752
Direct installment	1,002,725	985,746	1,070,791	941,249	926,766
Residential mortgages	622,242	605,219	638,356	465,881	490,215
Indirect installment	514,369	527,818	531,430	427,663	461,214
Consumer lines of credit	493,881	408,469	340,750	251,100	254,054
Other	116,946	87,371	65,112	25,482	9,143
	\$ 6,088,155	\$ 5,849,361	\$ 5,820,380	\$ 4,344,235	\$ 4,253,144

Commercial is comprised of both commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans. Direct installment is comprised of fixed-rate, closed-end consumer loans for personal, family or household use, such as home equity loans and automobile loans. Residential mortgages consist of conventional and jumbo mortgage loans for non-commercial properties. Indirect installment is comprised of loans written by third parties, primarily automobile loans. Consumer lines of credit includes home equity lines of credit (HELOC) and consumer lines of credit that are either unsecured or secured by collateral other than home equity. Other is comprised primarily of commercial leases, mezzanine loans and student loans.

Total loans increased \$238.8 million or 4.1% to \$6.1 billion at December 31, 2010 compared to \$5.8 billion at December 31, 2009. The majority of the increase was due to solid growth in commercial loans and consumer lines of credit.

Total loans were essentially unchanged at \$5.8 billion for both the periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. However, the Corporation saw a favorable shift in the loan mix as commercial and consumer lines of credit increased by 1.9% and 19.9%, respectively, while direct installment, residential mortgages and indirect installment declined 7.9%, 5.2% and 0.7%, respectively. Additionally, other increased by 34.2%, primarily due to an increase of \$20.6 million in commercial leases.

The composition of the Florida loan portfolio consisted of the following as of December 31, 2010: unimproved residential land (11.7%), unimproved commercial land (17.4%), improved land (3.0%), income producing commercial real estate (48.1%), residential construction (5.8%), commercial construction (11.3%), commercial and industrial (1.1%) and owner-occupied (1.6%). The percentage of loans in the Florida portfolio comprising income producing commercial real estate increased from December 31, 2009 after an \$8.1 million residential construction loan and an \$8.5 million commercial construction loan were both reclassified to the income producing segment after the construction phases were completed and the properties began to lease. The weighted average loan-to-value ratio for the Florida portfolio was 82.0% and 76.8% as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The majority of the Corporation's loan portfolio consists of commercial loans. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, commercial real estate loans were \$2.1 billion for both periods, or 34.7% and 35.4% of total loans, respectively. As of

December 31, 2010, approximately 47.0% of the commercial real estate loans are owner-occupied, while the remaining 53.0% are non-owner-occupied. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Corporation had construction loans of \$202.0 million and \$184.1 million, respectively, representing 3.3% and 3.1% of total loans, respectively. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no concentrations of loans relating to any industry in excess of 10% of total loans.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of the maturity distribution of certain loan categories based on remaining scheduled repayments of principal as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Within 1 Year	1-5 Years	Over 5 Years	Total
Commercial	\$ 237,063	\$ 904,087	\$ 2,196,842	\$ 3,337,992
Residential mortgages	803	25,336	596,103	622,242
	\$ 237,866	\$ 929,423	\$ 2,792,945	\$ 3,960,234

The total amount of loans due after one year includes \$2.9 billion with floating or adjustable rates of interest and \$855.2 million with fixed rates of interest.

For additional information relating to lending activity, see the Loans footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing loans include non-accrual loans and restructured loans. Non-accrual loans represent loans for which interest accruals have been discontinued. Restructured loans are loans in which the borrower has been granted a concession on the interest rate or the original repayment terms due to financial distress. Non-performing assets also include debt securities on which OTTI has been taken in the current or prior periods.

The Corporation discontinues interest accruals when principal or interest is due and has remained unpaid for 90 to 180 days depending on the loan type. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all unpaid interest is reversed. Non-accrual loans may not be restored to accrual status until all delinquent principal and interest has been paid and the ultimate collectibility of the remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured.

Non-performing loans are closely monitored on an ongoing basis as part of the Corporation's loan review and work-out process. The potential risk of loss on these loans is evaluated by comparing the loan balance to the fair value of any underlying collateral or the present value of projected future cash flows. Losses on non-accrual and restructured loans are recognized when appropriate.

Non-performing investments consist of pooled TPS with OTTI charges recognized that are currently in a non-accrual status.

Following is a summary of non-performing assets (dollars in thousands):

December 31	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Non-accrual loans	\$ 115,589	\$ 133,891	\$ 139,607	\$ 29,211	\$ 24,636
Restructured loans	19,705	11,624	3,872	3,288	3,314
Total non-performing loans	135,294	145,515	143,479	32,499	27,950
Other real estate owned (OREO)	32,702	21,367	9,177	8,052	5,948

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-K

Total non-performing loans and OREO	167,996	166,882	152,656	40,551	33,898
Non-performing investments	5,974	4,825	10,456		
Total non-performing assets	\$ 173,970	\$ 171,707	\$ 163,112	\$ 40,551	\$ 33,898
Non-performing loans/total loans	2.22%	2.49%	2.47%	0.75%	0.66%
Non-performing loans + OREO/ total loans + OREO	2.74%	2.84%	2.62%	0.93%	0.80%
Non-performing assets/total assets	1.94%	1.97%	1.95%	0.67%	0.56%

Table of Contents

During 2010, non-performing loans and OREO increased \$1.1 million from \$166.9 million at December 31, 2009 to \$168.0 million at December 31, 2010. This increase in non-performing loans and OREO reflects an \$8.1 million increase in restructured loans primarily relating to the Corporation's Pennsylvania portfolio, and an \$11.3 million increase in OREO, primarily relating to the Corporation's Florida portfolio. The restructured loans have increased primarily due to modifying residential loans to help homeowners retain their residences. Additionally, total non-accrual loans decreased \$18.3 million during 2010 as non-accrual loans relating to the Corporation's Florida loan portfolio decreased \$16.5 million and non-accrual loans for Corporation's Pennsylvania loan portfolio decreased \$1.6 million. During 2010, two non-accrual loans in the Florida portfolio totaling \$17.6 million were partially charged down and transferred to OREO. The level of Florida non-accruals was then further reduced during the fourth quarter after \$12.9 million in write-downs were taken as a result of the reappraisals that occurred on a majority of the land-related loans in that portfolio. These reductions to the level of non-accrual loans were somewhat offset by the migration to non-accrual of a \$20.0 million relationship during 2010. This relationship had a specific reserve of \$3.4 million at December 31, 2010, with the net balance adequately secured based on an updated appraisal received in the fourth quarter of 2010.

The increase in non-performing loans from 2007 to 2008 is primarily a result of the significant deterioration in Florida, and to a much lesser extent, the slowing economy in Pennsylvania.

Following is a summary of loans 90 days or more past due on which interest accruals continue (dollars in thousands):

December 31	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Loans 90 days or more past due	\$ 8,634	\$ 12,471	\$ 13,677	\$ 7,173	\$ 5,171
As a percentage of total loans	0.14%	0.21%	0.23%	0.17%	0.12%

The following tables provide additional information relating to non-performing loans for the Corporation's core portfolios (dollars in thousands):

	FNBPA (PA)	FNBPA (FL)	Regency	Total
December 31, 2010				
Non-performing loans	\$ 71,961	\$ 55,222	\$ 8,111	\$ 135,294
Other real estate owned (OREO)	10,520	20,860	1,322	32,702
Total past due loans	103,255	57,721	6,869	167,845
Non-performing loans/total loans	1.26%	28.28%	4.98%	2.22%
Non-performing loans + OREO/ total loans + OREO	1.44%	35.20%	5.75%	2.74%
December 31, 2009				
Non-performing loans	\$ 66,160	\$ 71,737	\$ 7,618	\$ 145,515
Other real estate owned (OREO)	9,836	10,341	1,190	21,367
Total past due loans	112,659	71,737	7,404	191,800
Non-performing loans/total loans	1.22%	29.41%	4.70%	2.49%
Non-performing loans + OREO/ total loans + OREO	1.39%	32.28%	5.40%	2.84%

FNBPA (PA) reflects FNBPA's total portfolio excluding the Florida portfolio which is presented separately.

Table of Contents

Following is a table showing the amounts of contractual interest income and actual interest income related to non-accrual and restructured loans (in thousands):

December 31	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Gross interest income:					
Per contractual terms	\$ 7,827	\$ 8,788	\$ 6,408	\$ 2,378	\$ 2,046
Recorded during the year	965	698	347	362	458

Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio at a specific point in time. This estimate includes losses associated with specifically identified loans, as well as estimated probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. Additions are made to the allowance through both periodic provisions charged to income and recoveries of losses previously incurred. Reductions to the allowance occur as loans are charged off. Management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance at least quarterly, and in doing so relies on various factors including, but not limited to, assessment of historical loss experience, delinquency and non-accrual trends, portfolio growth, underlying collateral coverage and current economic conditions. This evaluation is subjective and requires material estimates that may change over time.

The components of the allowance for loan losses represent estimates based upon ASC Topic 450, *Contingencies*, and ASC Topic 310, *Receivables*. ASC Topic 450 applies to homogeneous loan pools such as consumer installment, residential mortgages and consumer lines of credit, as well as commercial loans that are not individually evaluated for impairment under ASC Topic 310. ASC Topic 310 is applied to commercial loans that are individually evaluated for impairment.

Under ASC Topic 310, a loan is impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable that the loan will not be repaid according to its original contractual terms, including both principal and interest. Management performs individual assessments of impaired loans to determine the existence of loss exposure and, where applicable, the extent of loss exposure based upon the present value of expected future cash flows available to pay the loan, or based upon the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs where a loan is collateral dependent.

In estimating loan loss contingencies, management considers numerous factors, including historical charge-off rates and subsequent recoveries. Management also considers, but is not limited to, qualitative factors that influence the Corporation's credit quality, such as delinquency and non-performing loan trends, changes in loan underwriting guidelines and credit policies, as well as the results of internal loan reviews. Finally, management considers the impact of changes in current local and regional economic conditions in the markets that the Corporation serves. Assessment of relevant economic factors indicates that the Corporation's primary markets historically tend to lag the national economy, with local economies in the Corporation's primary market areas also improving or weakening, as the case may be, but at a more measured rate than the national trends. Regional economic factors influencing management's estimate of reserves include uncertainty of the labor markets in the regions the Corporation serves and contracting labor force due, in part, to productivity growth and industry consolidations. Homogeneous loan pools are evaluated using a combination of historical loss experience and an analysis of the rate at which delinquent loans ultimately result in charge-offs to estimate credit quality migration and expected losses within the homogeneous loan pools. Historical loss rates are adjusted to incorporate changes in existing conditions that may impact, both positively or negatively, the degree to which these loss histories may vary. This determination inherently involves a high degree of uncertainty and considers current risk factors that may not have occurred in the Corporation's historical loan loss experience.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation updated the allowance methodology to place a greater emphasis on losses realized within the past two years. The previous methodology relied on a rolling 15 quarter experience method. This change did not have a material impact on the 2009 provision and allowance, but could

Table of Contents

indicate higher provisions in future periods if higher losses are experienced. The Corporation continued to utilize this updated methodology in 2010.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Corporation began applying its methodology for establishing the allowance for loan losses to the Pennsylvania and Florida loan portfolios separately instead of continuing to evaluate the portfolios on a combined basis. This decision was based on the fact that the two loan portfolios have significantly different risk characteristics and that the Florida economic environment was deteriorating at an accelerated rate in the fourth quarter of 2008.

In evaluating its Florida loan portfolio in 2008, the Corporation increased the allowance to address the heightened level of inherent risk in that portfolio given the significant deterioration in that market. In applying the methodology to this portfolio, the Corporation utilized quantitative loss factors provided by the OCC based on a prior recession. The OCC-supplied rates were more appropriate than historical loss history due to the limited age and relatively small size of the portfolio; furthermore, all non-performing loans within this pool have been evaluated for impairment under ASC Topic 310. The combined impact of the significant deterioration in the Florida market and separately evaluating the Florida loan portfolio utilizing these quantitative factors was a \$12.3 million increase in the Corporation's allowance for loan losses for the Florida loan portfolio at December 31, 2008, with the predominant factor being the impact of the significant deterioration in the Florida market.

The Corporation also increased qualitative allocations to address increased inherent risk associated with its Florida loans including, but not limited to, current levels and trends of the Florida portfolio, collateral valuations, charge-offs, non-performing assets, delinquency, risk rating migration, competition, legal and regulatory issues and local economic trends. The combined impact of the significant deterioration in the Florida market and separately evaluating the Florida loan portfolio utilizing these qualitative factors was a \$2.3 million increase in the Corporation's allowance for loan losses for the Florida loan portfolio at December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses (dollars in thousands):

Year Ended December 31	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 104,655	\$ 104,730	\$ 52,806	\$ 52,575	\$ 50,707
Additions due to acquisitions		16	12,150	21	3,035
Charge-offs:					
Commercial	(30,315)	(52,850)	(21,578)	(3,327)	(2,813)
Direct installment	(10,431)	(8,907)	(8,382)	(7,351)	(6,502)
Residential mortgages	(1,387)	(1,288)	(573)	(297)	(902)
Indirect installment	(3,345)	(3,881)	(2,833)	(2,181)	(2,778)
Consumer lines of credit	(1,841)	(1,444)	(1,240)	(1,373)	(1,026)
Other	(1,270)	(1,297)	(1,308)	(684)	(659)
Total charge-offs	(48,589)	(69,667)	(35,914)	(15,213)	(14,680)
Recoveries:					
Commercial	808	912	1,326	481	821
Direct installment	1,015	1,024	1,030	1,241	1,523
Residential mortgages	99	69	181	158	187
Indirect installment	640	625	638	683	345
Consumer lines of credit	160	122	121	117	126
Other	9	22	21	50	99
Total recoveries	2,731	2,774	3,317	2,730	3,101
Net charge-offs	(45,858)	(66,893)	(32,597)	(12,483)	(11,579)
Provision for loan losses	47,323	66,802	72,371	12,693	10,412
Balance at end of period	\$ 106,120	\$ 104,655	\$ 104,730	\$ 52,806	\$ 52,575
Net loan charge-offs/average loans	0.77%	1.15%	0.60%	0.29%	0.29%
Allowance for loan losses/total loans	1.74%	1.79%	1.80%	1.22%	1.24%
Allowance for loan losses/ non-performing loans	78.44%	71.92%	72.99%	162.48%	188.10%

The national trends in the economy and real estate market deteriorated during 2008, and the deterioration accelerated significantly in the fourth quarter of 2008. These trends were particularly evident in the Florida market where excess inventory built up, new construction slowed dramatically and credit markets stopped functioning normally. With economic activity turning negative across all sectors of the economy, sales activity in the Florida real estate market virtually ceased during the fourth quarter of 2008. The significant deterioration in the Florida market during the fourth quarter of 2008 also reflected increased stress on borrowers' cash flow streams and increased stress on guarantors characterized by significant reductions in their liquidity positions.

During 2009, activity throughout the Florida marketplace increased across various asset classes as price points had been reduced to levels that generated interest from buyers. The Corporation experienced increased activity and levels of interest in condominiums and developed residential lots. In addition, the Corporation also experienced increased interest in land as a number of clients pursued sales opportunities for further development.

During 2010, the level of investor interest and activity in the Florida market continued to improve. This was evident in the number of investors seeking opportunities to purchase properties at current market price points, as well as an increase in lending activity. The Corporation completed the sale of various condo units and developed land parcels during the year which had previously been in OREO. The Corporation also successfully sold four performing loan relationships to a Florida-based community bank at par value, a sign the secondary markets are beginning to open up and that lending activity is resuming in the Florida market.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide additional information relating to the provision and allowance for loan losses for the Corporation's core portfolios (dollars in thousands):

	FNBPA (PA)	FNBPA (FL)	Regency	Total
At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2010				
Provision for loan losses	\$ 24,053	\$ 17,126	\$ 6,144	\$ 47,323
Allowance for loan losses	81,797	17,485	6,838	106,120
Net charge-offs	20,315	19,433	6,111	45,859
Net charge-offs/average loans	0.36%	8.83%	3.82%	0.77%
Allowance for loan losses/total loans	1.43%	8.95%	4.20%	1.74%
Allowance for loan losses/ non-performing loans	113.67%	31.66%	84.30%	78.44%
At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2009				
Provision for loan losses	\$ 25,045	\$ 35,090	\$ 6,667	\$ 66,802
Allowance for loan losses	78,061	19,789	6,805	104,655
Net charge-offs	16,744	43,807	6,342	66,893
Net charge-offs/average loans	0.30%	15.80%	4.04%	1.15%
Allowance for loan losses/total loans	1.43%	8.11%	4.20%	1.79%
Allowance for loan losses/ non-performing loans	117.99%	27.59%	89.33%	71.92%

FNBPA (PA) reflects FNBPA's total portfolio excluding the Florida portfolio which is presented separately.

During 2010, the Corporation reduced its Florida land-related portfolio including OREO by \$25.1 million or 24.3%, reducing total land-related exposure including OREO to \$78.1 million. In addition, the condominium portfolio exposure including OREO, is down \$1.6 million since December 31, 2009 to \$2.8 million at December 31, 2010. These reductions are consistent with the Corporation's objective to reduce higher risk exposures in the Florida portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2010 increased \$1.5 million or 1.4% from December 31, 2009 as the provision for loan losses for 2010 of \$47.3 million exceeded net charge-offs of \$45.9 million. While there is an increase in lending activity in the Corporation's Pennsylvania portfolio, the duration of the slow economic environment in the Corporation's Florida portfolio continues to be a challenge. The allowance for loan losses for the Florida portfolio was \$17.5 million or 8.95% of total loans in that portfolio at December 31, 2010 compared to \$19.8 million or 8.11% of that portfolio at December 31, 2009. Based on data collected from reappraisals during 2010 on certain properties in the Florida portfolio, along with Florida market data, the information suggests that Florida land valuations have not yet fully stabilized. As a result, the Corporation provided additional reserves to the Florida land portfolio allowance during the first three quarters of 2010 in anticipation of the reappraisal process that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2010 on a majority of the properties in the land portfolio. The collective impact of loan migrations, write-downs and transfers to OREO during the year resulted in a \$0.4 million reduction in the Florida land-related allowance. The allowance for the Florida land-related portfolio at December 31, 2010 was \$7.6 million or 12.12% of the land-related portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans increased from 71.92% as of December 31, 2009 to 78.44% as of December 31, 2010. While the allowance for loan losses increased \$1.5 million or 1.4% since

December 31, 2009, non-performing loans decreased \$10.2 million or 7.0% over the same period primarily due to loans migrating to OREO.

During 2009, the Corporation was able to reduce its Florida land-related portfolio including OREO by \$46.9 million or 31.2%, reducing total land-related exposure including OREO to \$103.2 million at December 31, 2009. Including OREO, the condominium portfolio was reduced by \$12.8 million during 2009, representing a 74.3% decline since December 31, 2008 to stand at \$4.4 million at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The allowance for loan losses was \$104.7 million at both December 31, 2009 and 2008. For 2009, net charge-offs totaled \$66.9 million compared to \$32.6 million during 2008, an increase of \$34.3 million due to continued economic deterioration in Florida, and to some extent, the slowing economy in Pennsylvania. The total net charge-offs for 2009 include \$43.8 million related to the Florida loan portfolio. Additionally, during 2009, the Corporation provided \$35.1 million to the reserve related to Florida, bringing the total allowance for loan losses for the Florida portfolio to \$19.8 million or 8.11% of total loans in that portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans decreased slightly from 72.99% as of December 31, 2008 to 71.92% as of December 31, 2009. While the allowance for loan losses remained constant at \$104.7 million, non-performing loans increased \$2.0 million or 1.4% over the same period. The reduction in the allowance coverage of non-performing loans relates to the nature of the loans that were added to non-performing status which were supported to a large extent by real estate collateral at current valuations and therefore did not require a 100% reserve allocation given the estimated loss exposure on the loans.

The allowance for loan losses ended 2009 flat with 2008 as specific reserves established in 2008 on several sizable Florida credits were released when the credits were charged down during 2009. The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2009 included \$19.8 million or 18.9% of the total related to the Corporation's Florida loan portfolio. Net charge-offs increased \$34.3 million or 105.2%, with the Florida loan portfolio comprising \$28.8 million of that total increase.

The allowance for loan losses increased \$51.9 million during 2008 representing a 98.3% increase in reserves for loan losses between December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2008, due to higher net charge-offs, additional specific reserves and increased allocations for a weaker environment. The significant increase primarily reflects continued deterioration in Florida, and to a much lesser extent, the slowing economy in Pennsylvania. The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2008 included \$28.5 million or 27.2% of the total relating to the Corporation's Florida loan portfolio. Net charge-offs increased \$20.1 million or 161.1% reflecting higher loan charge-offs, including \$15.0 million in charge-offs in the Florida market during 2008.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were \$3.6 million and \$8.0 million of loans, respectively, that were impaired loans acquired with no associated allowance for loan losses as they were accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-30, *Receivables - Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*.

Following is a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan losses (dollars in thousands):

	Dec 31, 2010	% of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Dec 31, 2009	% of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Dec 31, 2008	% of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Dec. 31, 2007	% of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Dec. 31, 2006	% of Loans in each Category to Total Loans
Commercial	\$ 74,606	55%	\$ 73,789	55%	\$ 76,071	55%	\$ 32,607	51%	\$ 30,813	5
Direct installment	14,940	17	14,707	17	14,022	18	11,387	21	11,445	2
Residential mortgages	4,577	10	4,204	10	3,659	11	2,621	11	3,068	1
Indirect installment	6,045	8	6,204	9	5,012	9	3,766	10	4,649	1
	4,639	8	4,176	7	4,851	6	2,310	6	2,343	

consumer lines of
credit
allowance

1,313	2	1,575	2	1,115	1	115	1	257	
\$ 106,120	100%	\$ 104,655	100%	\$ 104,730	100%	\$ 52,806	100%	\$ 52,575	100%

The amount of the allowance allocated to consumer lines of credit increased in 2010 due to loan growth in the Corporation's HELOC portfolio, while the amount of allowance allocated to the commercial portfolio increased during the year due to loan growth in the Pennsylvania's commercial and industrial portfolio, which was somewhat offset by the utilization of reserves held against the Corporation's Florida portfolio in conjunction with the \$19.4 million in charge-offs that occurred within that portfolio during 2010.

Table of Contents

The amount of the allowance allocated to commercial loans decreased in 2009 due to the utilization of specific reserves on certain Florida loans in conjunction with the \$43.8 million in charge-offs within that portfolio that occurred during 2009.

The amount of the allowance allocated to commercial loans increased in 2008 primarily due to increased asset quality deterioration and allocations for a weaker environment, primarily a result of the continued deterioration in the Florida market with \$28.5 million of the commercial allowance for the Florida portfolio.

The amount of the allowance allocated to commercial loans increased in 2007 due to a combination of the increased loan balance and the additional \$2.0 million in specific reserves recorded in relation to a developer relationship in the Florida market.

Investment Activity

Investment activities serve to enhance net interest income while supporting interest rate sensitivity and liquidity positions. Securities purchased with the intent and ability to hold until maturity are categorized as securities held to maturity and carried at amortized cost. All other securities are categorized as securities available for sale and are recorded at fair value. Securities, like loans, are subject to similar interest rate and credit risk. In addition, by their nature, securities classified as available for sale are also subject to fair value risks that could negatively affect the level of liquidity available to the Corporation, as well as stockholders' equity. A change in the value of securities held to maturity could also negatively affect the level of stockholders' equity if there was a decline in the underlying creditworthiness of the issuers and an OTTI is deemed to have occurred or a change in the Corporation's intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity.

As of December 31, 2010, securities totaling \$738.1 million and \$940.5 million were classified as available for sale and held to maturity, respectively. During 2010, securities available for sale increased by \$22.8 million while securities held to maturity increased by \$165.2 million from December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table indicates the respective maturities and weighted-average yields of securities as of December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Amount	Weighted Average Yield
Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government agencies:		
Maturing within one year	\$ 25,576	0.67%
Maturing after one year but within five years	270,580	1.29
Maturing after ten years	9,337	2.30
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions:		
Maturing within one year	6,572	5.47
Maturing after one year but within five years	24,251	5.33
Maturing after five years but within ten years	35,961	6.10
Maturing after ten years	129,164	5.97
Collateralized debt obligations:		
Maturing after ten years	9,106	3.00
Other debt securities:		
Maturing after ten years	12,832	5.13
Residential mortgage-backed securities:		
Agency mortgage-backed securities	900,082	3.69
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	218,968	2.10
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	33,988	5.05
Equity securities	2,189	4.93
Total	\$ 1,678,606	3.33

The weighted average yields for tax-exempt securities are computed on a tax equivalent basis using the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0%. The weighted average yields for securities available for sale are based on amortized cost.

For additional information relating to investment activity, see the Securities footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Deposits and Short-Term Borrowings

As a bank holding company, the Corporation's primary source of funds is deposits. These deposits are provided by businesses, municipalities and individuals located within the markets served by the Corporation's Community Banking subsidiary.

Total deposits increased \$265.9 million to \$6.6 billion at December 31, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009, primarily as a result of an increase in transaction accounts, which is comprised of non-interest bearing, savings and NOW accounts (which includes money market deposit accounts), which was partially offset by a decline in certificates of deposit. The increase in transaction accounts is a result of the Corporation's ability to capitalize on competitor disruption in the marketplace, with ongoing marketing campaigns designed to attract new customers to the Corporation's local approach to banking. Certificates of deposit are down by design reflecting the Corporation's continuing strategy to focus on growing transaction accounts.

Short-term borrowings, made up of treasury management accounts (also referred to as securities sold under repurchase agreements), federal funds purchased, subordinated notes and other short-term borrowings, increased by \$84.4 million to \$753.6 million at December 31, 2010 compared to \$669.2 million at December 31,

Table of Contents

2009. This increase is primarily the result of increases of \$75.1 million and \$9.5 million in treasury management accounts and subordinated notes, respectively. The increase in treasury management accounts is the result of the Corporation's strong growth in new commercial client relationships.

Treasury management accounts are the largest component of short-term borrowings. The treasury management accounts, which have next day maturities, are sweep accounts utilized by larger commercial customers to earn interest on their funds. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, treasury management accounts represented 81.2% and 80.2%, respectively, of total short-term borrowings.

Following is a summary of selected information relating to treasury management accounts (dollars in thousands):

	2010	2009	2008
Balance at year-end	\$ 611,902	\$ 536,784	\$ 414,705
Maximum month-end balance	714,498	551,779	433,411
Average balance during year	640,248	472,628	373,200
Weighted average interest rates:			
At end of year	0.58%	0.84%	1.20%
During the year	0.69	0.97	2.08

For additional information relating to deposits and short-term borrowings, see the Deposits and Short-Term Borrowings footnotes in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Capital Resources

The access to, and cost of, funding for new business initiatives, including acquisitions, the ability to engage in expanded business activities, the ability to pay dividends, the level of deposit insurance costs and the level and nature of regulatory oversight depend, in part, on the Corporation's capital position.

The assessment of capital adequacy depends on a number of factors such as asset quality, liquidity, earnings performance, changing competitive conditions and economic forces. The Corporation seeks to maintain a strong capital base to support its growth and expansion activities, to provide stability to current operations and to promote public confidence.

The Corporation has an effective shelf registration statement filed with the SEC. Pursuant to this registration statement, the Corporation may, from time to time, issue and sell in one or more offerings any combination of common stock, preferred stock, debt securities or TPS. As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation has issued 24,150,000 common shares in a public equity offering.

Capital management is a continuous process with capital plans for the Corporation and FNBPA updated annually. Both the Corporation and FNBPA are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. For additional information, see the Regulatory Matters footnote in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. From time to time, the Corporation issues shares initially acquired by the Corporation as treasury stock under its various benefit plans. The Corporation may continue to grow through acquisitions, which can potentially impact its capital position. The Corporation may issue additional common stock in order maintain its well-capitalized status.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information called for by this item is provided in the Market Risk section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Report.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Management on F.N.B. Corporation's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

February 25, 2011

F.N.B. Corporation's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting is a process effected by the board of directors, management, and other personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation of reliable financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. An entity's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the entity; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the entity are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the board of directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention, or timely detection and correction of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the entity's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based on the framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Based on that assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2010 the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an audit report on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

F.N.B. Corporation

/s/Stephen J. Gurgovits

By: Stephen J. Gurgovits
Chief Executive Officer

/s/Vincent J. Calabrese

By: Vincent J. Calabrese
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

F.N.B. Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 25, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

February 25, 2011

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

F.N.B. Corporation

We have audited F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on F.N.B. Corporation's Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries and our report dated February 25, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

February 25, 2011

Table of Contents**F.N.B. Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets**

Dollars in thousands, except par values

	December 31	
	2010	2009
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 115,556	\$ 160,845
Interest bearing deposits with banks	16,015	149,705
Cash and Cash Equivalents	131,571	310,550
Securities available for sale	738,125	715,349
Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$959,414 and \$796,537)	940,481	775,281
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	12,700	12,754
Loans, net of unearned income of \$42,183 and \$38,173	6,088,155	5,849,361
Allowance for loan losses	(106,120)	(104,655)
Net Loans	5,982,035	5,744,706
Premises and equipment, net	115,956	117,921
Goodwill	528,720	528,710
Core deposit and other intangible assets, net	32,428	39,141
Bank owned life insurance	208,051	205,447
Other assets	269,848	259,218
Total Assets	\$ 8,959,915	\$ 8,709,077
Liabilities		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing demand	\$ 1,093,230	\$ 992,298
Savings and NOW	3,423,844	3,182,909
Certificates and other time deposits	2,129,069	2,205,016
Total Deposits	6,646,143	6,380,223
Other liabilities	97,951	86,797
Short-term borrowings	753,603	669,167
Long-term debt	192,058	324,877
Junior subordinated debt	204,036	204,711
Total Liabilities	7,893,791	7,665,775
Stockholders Equity		
Common stock - \$0.01 par value		
Authorized - 500,000,000 shares		
Issued - 114,902,454 and 114,214,951 shares	1,143	1,138
Additional paid-in capital	1,094,713	1,087,369
Retained earnings	6,564	(12,833)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(33,732)	(30,633)
Treasury stock - 155,369 and 103,256 shares at cost	(2,564)	(1,739)

Total Stockholders Equity	1,066,124	1,043,302
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 8,959,915	\$ 8,709,077

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Income**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

	Year Ended December 31		
	2010	2009	2008
Interest Income			
Loans, including fees	\$ 322,773	\$ 329,841	\$ 352,687
Securities:			
Taxable	43,150	50,527	49,742
Nontaxable	7,299	7,131	6,686
Dividends	71	146	274
Other	428	573	392
Total Interest Income	373,721	388,218	409,781
Interest Expense			
Deposits	64,524	85,699	111,568
Short-term borrowings	8,143	8,520	13,030
Long-term debt	8,080	17,202	21,044
Junior subordinated debt	7,984	9,758	12,347
Total Interest Expense	88,731	121,179	157,989
Net Interest Income	284,990	267,039	251,792
Provision for loan losses	47,323	66,802	72,371
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	237,667	200,237	179,421
Non-Interest Income			
Impairment losses on securities	(9,590)	(25,232)	(17,189)
Non-credit related losses on securities not expected to be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income)	7,251	17,339	
Net impairment losses on securities	(2,339)	(7,893)	(17,189)
Service charges	56,780	57,736	54,691
Insurance commissions and fees	15,772	16,672	15,572
Securities commissions and fees	6,839	7,460	8,128
Trust	12,719	11,811	12,095
Bank owned life insurance	4,941	5,677	6,408
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	3,762	3,061	1,824
Gain on sale of securities	2,960	528	834
Other	14,538	10,430	3,752
Total Non-Interest Income	115,972	105,482	86,115
Non-Interest Expense			
Salaries and employee benefits	126,259	126,865	116,819
Net occupancy	20,049	20,258	17,888
Equipment	18,212	17,991	16,357

Amortization of intangibles	6,714	7,088	6,442
Outside services	22,628	23,587	20,918
FDIC insurance	10,526	13,881	898
State taxes	7,278	6,813	6,550
Other real estate owned	4,886	6,183	2,138
Telephone	4,538	5,255	5,336
Advertising and promotional	5,161	5,321	4,589
Merger related	620		4,724
Other	24,232	22,097	20,045
Total Non-Interest Expense	251,103	255,339	222,704
Income Before Income Taxes	102,536	50,380	42,832
Income taxes	27,884	9,269	7,237
Net Income	74,652	41,111	35,595
Preferred stock dividends and discount amortization		8,308	
Net Income Available to Common Stockholders	\$ 74,652	\$ 32,803	\$ 35,595
Net Income per Common Share			
Basic	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.44
Diluted	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.44
Cash Dividends Paid per Common Share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.96

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity**

Dollars in thousands

	Compre- hensive Income	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumu- lated Other Compre- hensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Total
Balance at January 1, 2008		\$	\$ 602	\$ 508,891	\$ 42,426	\$ (6,738)	\$ (824)	\$ 544,357
Net income	\$ 35,595				35,595			35,595
Change in other comprehensive income (loss)	(19,767)					(19,767)		(19,767)
Comprehensive income	\$ 15,828							
Common dividends declared: \$0.96/share					(78,283)			(78,283)
Issuance of common stock			292	441,403	(275)		362	441,782
Restricted stock compensation				2,049				2,049
Tax benefit of stock-based compensation				857				857
Adjustment to initially apply Revised ASC Topic 715					(606)			(606)
Balance at December 31, 2008			894	953,200	(1,143)	(26,505)	(462)	925,984
Net income	\$ 41,111				41,111			41,111
Change in other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,128)					(4,128)		(4,128)
Comprehensive income	\$ 36,983							
Cash dividends declared: Preferred stock					(3,333)			(3,333)
Common stock: \$0.48/share					(49,042)			(49,042)

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-K

Issuance of preferred stock (CPP)		100,000					100,000
Repurchase of preferred stock (CPP)		(100,000)					(100,000)
Issuance of warrant/discount (CPP)		(4,441)	4,441				
Adjust warrant/discount valuation (CPP)		(282)	282				
Capitalize issuance costs (CPP)		(252)		1			(251)
Amortization of CPP discount		4,975		(4,975)			
Issuance of common stock			244	127,829	(15)	(1,277)	126,781
Restricted stock compensation				1,775			1,775
Tax expense of stock-based compensation				(158)			(158)
Adoption of Revised ASC Topic 320					4,563		4,563
Balance at December 31, 2009			1,138	1,087,369	(12,833)	(30,633)	1,043,302
Net income	\$ 74,652				74,652		74,652
Change in other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,099)					(3,099)	(3,099)
Comprehensive income	\$ 71,553						
Common dividends declared: \$0.48/share					(55,255)		(55,255)
Issuance of common stock			5	4,804		(825)	3,984
Restricted stock compensation				2,739			2,739
Tax expense of stock-based compensation				(199)			(199)
Balance at December 31, 2010		\$ 1,143	\$ 1,094,713	\$ 6,564	\$ (33,732)	\$ (2,564)	\$ 1,066,124

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

Dollars in thousands

	Year Ended December 31		
	2010	2009	2008
Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 74,652	\$ 41,111	\$ 35,595
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	27,934	25,858	20,970
Provision for loan losses	47,323	66,802	72,371
Deferred income taxes	(50)	(9,463)	(10,998)
Gain on sale of securities	(2,960)	(528)	(834)
Other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities	2,339	7,893	17,189
Tax expense (benefit) of stock-based compensation	199	158	(857)
Net change in:			
Interest receivable	1,874	2,619	4,171
Interest payable	(2,085)	(3,782)	(320)
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	54	(2,046)	(5,071)
Trading securities			264,416
Bank owned life insurance	(2,929)	(1,395)	(4,648)
Other, net	17,147	(11,421)	(15,047)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	163,498	115,806	376,937
Investing Activities			
Net change in:			
Loans	(312,564)	(119,902)	(271,604)
Securities available for sale:			
Purchases	(433,809)	(529,780)	(345,885)
Sales	60,165	812	2,521
Maturities	353,115	289,996	221,255
Securities held to maturity:			
Purchases	(434,393)	(179,898)	(302,794)
Sales	7,644		
Maturities	258,718	247,352	149,762
Purchase of bank owned life insurance	(35)	(16)	
Withdrawal/surrender of bank owned life insurance	360	13,700	
Increase in premises and equipment	(9,810)	(7,997)	(14,194)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		48	64,035
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(510,609)	(285,685)	(496,904)
Financing Activities			
Net change in:			
Non-interest bearing deposits, savings, and NOW accounts	341,867	439,040	162,097

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-K

Time deposits	(75,946)	(113,441)	(50,299)
Short-term borrowings	84,436	72,905	118,658
Increase in long-term debt	125,884	39,328	121,630
Decrease in long-term debt	(258,703)	(204,701)	(120,746)
Decrease in junior subordinated debt	(675)	(675)	(506)
Issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrant		99,749	
Redemption of preferred stock		(100,000)	
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	6,723	128,554	8,045
Tax (expense) benefit of stock-based compensation	(199)	(158)	857
Cash dividends paid	(55,255)	(52,375)	(78,283)
Net cash flows provided by financing activities	168,132	308,226	161,453
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Due from Banks	(178,979)	138,347	41,486
Cash and due from banks at beginning of year	310,550	172,203	130,717
Cash and Due from Banks at End of Year	\$ 131,571	\$ 310,550	\$ 172,203

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

F.N.B. Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

Nature of Operations

The Corporation is a diversified financial services company headquartered in Hermitage, Pennsylvania. Its primary businesses include community banking, consumer finance, wealth management and insurance. The Corporation also conducts leasing and merchant banking activities. The Corporation operates its community banking business through a full service branch network in Pennsylvania and Ohio. The Corporation operates its wealth management and insurance businesses within the existing branch network. It also conducts selected consumer finance business in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky.

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The Corporation's accompanying consolidated financial statements and these notes to the financial statements include subsidiaries in which the Corporation has a controlling financial interest. The Corporation owns and operates First National Bank of Pennsylvania (FNBPA), First National Trust Company, First National Investment Services Company, LLC, F.N.B. Investment Advisors, Inc., First National Insurance Agency, LLC, Regency Finance Company (Regency), F.N.B. Capital Corporation, LLC and Bank Capital Services, LLC, and includes results for each of these entities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all adjustments that are necessary, in the opinion of management, to fairly reflect the Corporation's financial position and results of operations in accordance with GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Events occurring subsequent to the date of the balance sheet have been evaluated for potential recognition or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements through the date of the filing of the consolidated financial statements with the SEC.

Use of Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies of the Corporation conform with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant changes include the allowance for loan losses, securities valuation, goodwill and other intangible assets and income taxes.

Business Combinations

Business combinations are accounted for by applying the acquisition method in accordance with ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations*. Under the acquisition method, identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date are measured at their fair values as of that date, and are recognized separately from goodwill. Results of operations of the acquired entities are included in the consolidated statement of income from the date of acquisition.

Cash Equivalents

The Corporation considers cash and demand balances due from banks as cash and cash equivalents.

Table of Contents

Securities

Investment securities, which consist of debt securities and certain equity securities, comprise a significant portion of the Corporation's consolidated balance sheet. Such securities can be classified as trading, securities held to maturity or securities available for sale.

Securities are classified as trading securities when management intends to sell such securities in the near term and are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains (losses) reflected through the consolidated statement of income. The Corporation acquired securities in conjunction with the Omega and IRGB acquisitions that the Corporation classified as trading securities. The Corporation both acquired and sold these trading securities during the quarters of 2008 in which the acquisitions occurred. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Corporation did not hold any trading securities.

Securities held to maturity are comprised of debt securities, for which management has the positive intent and ability to hold such securities until their maturity. Such securities are carried at cost, adjusted for related amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts through interest income from securities, and OTTI, if any.

Securities that are not classified as trading or held to maturity are classified as available for sale. The Corporation's available for sale securities portfolio is comprised of debt securities and marketable equity securities. Such securities are carried at fair value with net unrealized gains and losses deemed to be temporary and unrealized losses deemed to be other-than-temporary and attributable to non-credit factors reported separately as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax. Realized gains and losses on the sale of available for sale securities and credit-related OTTI charges are recorded within non-interest income in the consolidated statement of income. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities are determined using the specific-identification method.

The Corporation evaluates its investment securities portfolio for OTTI on a quarterly basis. Impairment is assessed at the individual security level. The Corporation considers an investment security impaired if the fair value of the security is less than its cost or amortized cost basis.

When impairment of an equity security is considered to be other-than-temporary, the security is written down to its fair value and an impairment loss is recorded as a loss within non-interest income in the consolidated statement of income. When impairment of a debt security is considered to be other-than-temporary, the amount of the OTTI recorded as a loss within non-interest income and thereby recognized in earnings depends on whether the Corporation intends to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis.

If the Corporation intends to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and its fair value.

If the Corporation does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not the Corporation will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, OTTI shall be separated into the amount representing credit loss and the amount related to all other market factors. The amount related to credit loss shall be recognized in earnings. The amount related to other market factors shall be recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

The Corporation performs its OTTI evaluation process in a consistent and systematic manner and includes an evaluation of all available evidence. Documentation of the process is as extensive as necessary to support a conclusion

as to whether a decline in fair value below cost or amortized cost is other-than-temporary and includes documentation supporting both observable and unobservable inputs and a rationale for conclusions reached. In making these determinations for pooled TPS, the Corporation consults with third-party advisory firms to provide additional valuation assistance.

Table of Contents

This process considers factors such as the severity, length of time and anticipated recovery period of the impairment, recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, recent events specific to the issuer, including investment downgrades by rating agencies and economic conditions in its industry, and the issuer's financial condition, repayment capacity, capital strength and near-term prospects.

For debt securities, the Corporation also considers the payment structure of the debt security, the likelihood of the issuer being able to make future payments, failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest and principal payments, whether the Corporation has made a decision to sell the security and whether the Corporation's cash or working capital requirements or contractual or regulatory obligations indicate that the debt security will be required to be sold before a forecasted recovery occurs. For equity securities, the Corporation also considers its intent and ability to retain the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery in fair value. Among the factors that the Corporation considers in determining its intent and ability to retain the security is a review of its capital adequacy, interest rate risk position and liquidity. The assessment of a security's ability to recover any decline in fair value, the ability of the issuer to meet contractual obligations, the Corporation's intent and ability to retain the security, and whether it is more likely than not the Corporation will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis require considerable judgment.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were sold plus accrued interest. Securities, generally U.S. government and federal agency securities, pledged as collateral under these financing arrangements cannot be sold or repledged by the secured party. The fair value of collateral either received from or provided to a third party is continually monitored and additional collateral is obtained or is requested to be returned to the Corporation as deemed appropriate.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

From time to time, the Corporation may enter into derivative transactions principally to protect against the risk of adverse price or interest rate movements on the value of certain assets and liabilities and on future cash flows. The Corporation formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction. All derivative instruments are carried at fair value on the balance sheet in accordance with the requirements of ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*.

Cash flow hedges are accounted for by recording the fair value of the derivative instrument on the balance sheet as either a freestanding asset or liability, with a corresponding offset recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity, net of tax. Amounts are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the consolidated statement of income in the period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

Derivative gains and losses under cash flow hedges not effective in hedging the change in fair value or expected cash flows of the hedged item are recognized immediately in the consolidated statement of income. At the hedge's inception and at least quarterly thereafter, a formal assessment is performed to determine whether changes in the fair values or cash flows of the derivative instruments have been highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged items and whether they are expected to be highly effective in the future. If it is determined a derivative instrument has not been or will not continue to be highly effective as a hedge, hedge accounting is discontinued. The Corporation did not enter into any transactions qualifying as hedging instruments during 2010.

The Corporation periodically enters into interest rate swap agreements to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its commercial loan customers. These agreements provide the customer the ability to convert from variable to fixed interest rates. The Corporation then enters into positions with a derivative

Table of Contents

counterparty in order to offset its exposure on the variable and fixed components of the customer agreements. These agreements meet the definition of derivatives, but are not designated in formal hedging relationships. These instruments and their offsetting positions are reported gross at fair value in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet with any resulting gain or loss recorded in current period earnings as other income. The Corporation does not net cash collateral with the fair value of derivative instruments.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale and Loan Commitments

Certain residential mortgage loans are originated for sale in the secondary mortgage loan market and typically sold with servicing rights released. These loans are classified as loans held for sale and are carried at the lower of cost or estimated market value on an aggregate basis. Market value is determined on the basis of rates obtained in the respective secondary market for the type of loan held for sale. Loans are generally sold at a premium or discount from the carrying amount of the loan. Such premium or discount is recognized at the date of sale. Gain or loss on the sale of loans is recorded in non-interest income at the time consideration is received and all other criteria for sales treatment have been met.

The Corporation routinely issues commitments to make loans as a part of its residential lending operations. These commitments are considered derivatives. The Corporation also enters into commitments to sell loans to mitigate the risk that the market value of residential loans may decline between the time the rate commitment is issued to the customer and the time the Corporation contracts to sell the loan. These commitments and sales contracts are also derivatives. Both types of derivatives are recorded at fair value. Sales contracts and commitments to sell loans are not designated as hedges of the fair value of loans held for sale. Fair value adjustments related to derivatives are recorded in current period earnings as an adjustment to net gains on sale of loans.

Loans and the Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans are reported at their principal amount outstanding net of unearned income, unamortized premiums or discounts, acquisition fair value adjustments and any deferred origination fees or costs.

Interest income on loans is accrued on the principal outstanding. It is the Corporation's policy to discontinue interest accruals generally when principal or interest is due and has remained unpaid for 90 days or more unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all unpaid interest is reversed. Payments on non-accrual loans are generally applied to either principal or interest or both, depending on management's evaluation of collectibility. Consumer installment loans are generally charged off against the allowance for loan losses upon reaching 120 to 180 days past due, depending on the loan type. Commercial loan charges-offs, either in whole or in part, are generally made as soon as facts and circumstances raise a serious doubt as to the collectibility of all or a portion of the principal. Loan origination fees and related costs are deferred and recognized over the life of the loans as an adjustment of yield in interest income.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that, in management's judgment, is believed adequate to absorb probable losses associated with specifically identified loans, as well as estimated probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance for loan losses is based on management's evaluation of potential loan losses in the loan portfolio, which includes an assessment of past experience, current economic conditions in specific industries and geographic areas, general economic conditions, known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, the estimated value of underlying collateral and residuals and changes in the composition of the loan portfolio. Determination of the allowance is inherently subjective as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience and consideration of current environmental factors and

economic trends, all of which are susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged off against the allowance when the loss actually occurs or when a determination is made that a loss is probable while recoveries of amounts previously charged off are credited to the allowance. A provision for credit

Table of Contents

losses is recorded based on management's periodic evaluation of the factors previously mentioned as well as other pertinent factors. Evaluations are conducted at least quarterly and more often as deemed necessary.

Management estimates the allowance for loan losses pursuant to ASC Topic 310 and ASC Topic 450. Larger balance commercial and commercial real estate loans that are considered impaired as defined in ASC Topic 310 are reviewed individually to assess the likelihood and severity of loss exposure. Loans subject to individual review are, where appropriate, reserved for according to the present value of expected future cash flows available to repay the loan, or the estimated fair value less estimated selling costs of the collateral. Commercial loans excluded from individual assessment, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer, residential real estate and home equity loans, are evaluated for loss exposure under ASC Topic 450 based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans. Historical loss rates for each of these loan categories may be adjusted to reflect management's estimates of the impacts of current economic conditions, trends in delinquencies and non-performing loans, volume, concentrations and mergers and acquisitions, as well as changes in credit underwriting and approval requirements. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when the loan is 90 to 180 days past due or in management's opinion the account should be placed on non-accrual status (loans partially charged off are immediately placed on non-accrual status). When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed against interest income. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent that cash payments are received.

Acquired Loans

Any loans acquired through the completion of a transfer, including loans acquired in a business combination, that have evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and for which it is probable at acquisition, that the Corporation will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable, are initially recorded at fair value (as determined by the present value of expected future cash flows) with no valuation allowance. The difference between the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition and the investment in the loan, or the accretable yield, is recognized as interest income on a level-yield method over the life of the loan. Increases in expected cash flows subsequent to the initial investment are recognized prospectively through adjustment of the yield on the loan over its remaining life. Decreases in expected cash flows are recognized as impairment. Valuation allowances on these impaired loans reflect only losses incurred after the acquisition.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the asset's estimated useful life. Leasehold improvements are expensed over the lesser of the asset's estimated useful life or the term of the lease including renewal periods when reasonably assured. Useful lives are dependent upon the nature and condition of the asset and range from 3 to 40 years. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, while major improvements are capitalized and amortized to operating expense over the identified useful life.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO is comprised principally of commercial and residential real estate properties obtained in partial or total satisfaction of loan obligations. OREO acquired in settlement of indebtedness is included in other assets initially at the lower of estimated fair value of the asset less estimated selling costs or the carrying amount of the loan. Changes to the value subsequent to transfer are recorded in non-interest expense along with direct operating expenses. Gains or losses not previously recognized resulting from sales of OREO are recognized in non-interest expense on the date of sale.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Other intangible assets represent purchased assets that lack physical substance but can be distinguished from

Table of Contents

goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights. Intangible assets that have finite lives, such as core deposit intangibles, customer relationship intangibles and renewal lists, are amortized over their estimated useful lives and subject to periodic impairment testing. Core deposit intangibles are primarily amortized over ten years using straight line and accelerated methods. Customer and renewal lists and other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives which range from ten to twelve years.

Goodwill and other intangibles are subject to impairment testing at the reporting unit level, which must be conducted at least annually. The Corporation performs impairment testing during the fourth quarter of each year. Due to ongoing uncertainty regarding market conditions surrounding the banking industry, the Corporation continues to monitor goodwill and other intangibles for impairment and to evaluate carrying amounts, as necessary. Based on the results of testing performed, the Corporation concluded that no impairment existed at December 31, 2010. However, future events could cause the Corporation to conclude that goodwill or other intangibles have become impaired, which would result in recording an impairment loss. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's financial condition and result of operations.

Determining the fair value of a reporting unit under the first step of the goodwill impairment test and determining the fair value of individual assets and liabilities of a reporting unit under the second step of the goodwill impairment test are judgmental and often involve the use of significant estimates and assumptions. Similarly, estimates and assumptions are used in determining the fair value of other intangible assets. Estimates of fair value are primarily determined using discounted cash flows, market comparisons and recent transactions. These approaches use significant estimates and assumptions including projected future cash flows, discount rates reflecting the market rate of return, growth rates and determination and evaluation of appropriate market comparables.

Income Taxes

The Corporation and a majority of its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The provision for federal and state income taxes is based on income reported on the consolidated financial statements, rather than the amounts reported on the respective income tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are computed using tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those assets and liabilities are expected to be realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities resulting from a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that the change in tax rates is enacted.

The Corporation makes certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments are applied in the calculation of certain tax credits and in the calculation of the deferred income tax expense or benefit associated with certain deferred tax assets and liabilities. Significant changes to these estimates may result in an increase or decrease to the Corporation's tax provision in a subsequent period. The Corporation recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

The Corporation assesses the likelihood that it will be able to recover its deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, the Corporation will increase its provision for income taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that are unlikely to be recovered. The Corporation believes that it will ultimately recover a substantial majority of the deferred tax assets recorded on the balance sheet. However, should there be a change in the Corporation's ability to recover its deferred tax assets, the effect of this change would be recorded through the provision for income taxes in the period during which such change occurs.

The Corporation periodically reviews the tax positions it takes on its tax return and applies a more likely than not recognition threshold for all tax positions that are uncertain. The amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not

meeting the more likely than not test, no tax benefit is recorded.

Table of Contents

Advertising and Promotional Costs

Advertising and promotional costs are generally expensed as incurred.

Per Share Amounts

Earnings per common share is computed using net income available to common stockholders, which is net income adjusted for the preferred stock dividend and discount amortization.

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding net of unvested shares of restricted stock.

Diluted earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders adjusted for interest expense on convertible debt by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect of potential common shares issuable for stock options, warrants, restricted shares and convertible debt, as calculated using the treasury stock method. Adjustments to the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding are made only when such adjustments dilute earnings per common share.

Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

The Corporation sponsors pension and other postretirement benefit plans for its employees. The expense associated with the plans is calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 715, *Compensation - Retirement Benefits*. The plans utilize assumptions and methods determined in accordance with ASC Topic 715, including reflecting trust assets at their fair market value for the qualified pension plans and recognizing the overfunded and underfunded status of the plans on its consolidated balance sheet. Gains and losses, prior service costs and credits are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, until they are amortized, or immediately upon curtailment.

Stock Based Compensation

The Corporation accounts for its stock based compensation awards in accordance with ASC Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense, based on estimated fair values, for all share-based awards, including stock options and restricted stock, made to employees and directors.

ASC Topic 718 requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense in the Corporation's consolidated statement of income over the shorter of requisite service periods or the period through the date that the employee first becomes eligible to retire. Because share-based compensation expense is based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, share-based compensation expense has been reduced to account for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

Capital

On January 9, 2009, the Corporation received from the UST under the CPP proceeds of \$100,000 in exchange for 100,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase up to 1,302,083 shares of the Corporation's common stock.

On June 16, 2009, the Corporation completed a public offering of 24,150,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$5.50 per share, including 3,150,000 shares of common stock purchased by the underwriters pursuant to an over-allotment option, which the underwriters exercised in full. The net proceeds of the offering after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were \$125,784.

Table of Contents

On September 9, 2009, the Corporation utilized a portion of the proceeds of its public offering to redeem all of the Series C Preferred Stock issued to the UST and to pay the related final accrued dividend. Since receiving the CPP funds, the Corporation paid the UST \$3,333 in cash dividends. Upon redemption, the remaining difference of \$4,319 between the Series C Preferred Stock redemption amount and the recorded amount was charged to retained earnings as non-cash deemed preferred stock dividends. The non-cash deemed preferred stock dividends had no impact on total equity, but reduced 2009 earnings per diluted common share by \$0.04.

The number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrant that was issued to the UST in association with the CPP was reduced by one-half to 651,042 shares as a result of the capital raised in the June 2009 offering. The warrant has a ten-year term and an exercise price of \$11.52 per share.

2. New Accounting Standards*Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*

In December 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-29, *Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*, to address diversity in practice concerning pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. This update specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The update also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination(s) included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. These requirements are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Adoption of this standard is not anticipated to have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*, to provide financial statement users with greater transparency about credit quality of financing receivables and allowance for credit losses. This update requires additional disclosures as of the end of a reporting period and additional disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period that will assist financial statement users in assessing credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses.

The additional disclosures are required to be provided on a disaggregated basis. ASU No. 2010-20 defines two levels of disaggregation and provides additional implementation guidance to determine the appropriate level of disaggregation of information. The disclosures should facilitate evaluation of the nature of the credit risk inherent in a portfolio of financing receivables, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses.

The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Disclosures required by this standard did not have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

Modification of a Loan That is Part of a Pool That is Accounted for as a Single Asset

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-18, *Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan is Part of a Pool That is Accounted for as a Single Asset*. ASU No. 2010-18 provides that modifications of acquired loans with

Table of Contents

deteriorated credit quality that are accounted for within a pool do not result in removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled asset restructuring.

ASU No. 2010-18 is effective for modifications occurring in the first interim or annual reporting period ending on or after July 15, 2010. Adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

Fair Value Disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. The ASU clarifies existing disclosure requirements and requires additional disclosures regarding fair value measurements. This standard clarifies that an entity should provide fair value disclosures by class rather than major category of assets and liabilities, resulting in a greater level of disaggregated information presented in all fair value disclosures. ASU 2010-06 also clarifies that, for fair value measurements using significant other observable inputs (Level 2) and significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), an entity is required to describe valuation techniques and the inputs used in determining the fair values of each class of assets and liabilities and to disclose a change in valuation technique and the reason for making that change. Additionally, the ASU requires an entity to discuss the reasons for transfers in or out of Level 3 and, if significant, to disclose these transfers on a gross basis, to disclose on a gross basis the amounts and reasons for significant transfers between Level 2 and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, and to disclose its policy for determining when transfers between Levels are recognized. This standard was effective for interim and annual reporting periods that began after December 15, 2009. Adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

3. Mergers and Acquisitions

On January 1, 2011, the Corporation completed its acquisition of Comm Bancorp, Inc. (CBI), a bank holding company based in Clarks Summit, Pennsylvania. On the acquisition date, CBI had \$625,570 in assets, which included \$445,271 in loans, and \$561,775 in deposits. The transaction, valued at \$75,547, resulted in the Corporation paying \$17,203 in cash and issuing 5,941,287 shares of its common stock in exchange for 1,719,978 shares of CBI common stock. CBI's banking affiliate, Community Bank and Trust Company, was merged into FNBPA on January 1, 2011. The Corporation has not yet finalized its determination of the fair values of acquired assets and liabilities relating to the CBI acquisition.

On August 16, 2008, the Corporation completed its acquisition of IRGB, a bank holding company based in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. On the acquisition date, IRGB had \$301,727 in assets, which included \$168,638 in loans, and \$252,584 in deposits. The transaction, valued at \$83,725, resulted in the Corporation paying \$36,722 in cash and issuing 3,176,990 shares of its common stock in exchange for 1,125,026 shares of IRGB common stock. The assets and liabilities of IRGB were recorded on the Corporation's balance sheet at their fair values as of August 16, 2008, the acquisition date, and IRGB's results of operations have been included in the Corporation's consolidated statement of income since that date. IRGB's banking subsidiary, Iron and Glass Bank, was merged into FNBPA on August 16, 2008. Based on the purchase price allocation, the Corporation recorded \$47,645 in goodwill and \$3,551 in core deposit intangible as a result of the acquisition. None of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes.

On April 1, 2008, the Corporation completed its acquisition of Omega, a diversified financial services company based in State College, Pennsylvania. On the acquisition date, Omega had \$1,851,501 in assets, which included \$1,074,856 in loans, and \$1,291,483 in deposits. The all-stock transaction, valued at approximately \$388,176, resulted in the Corporation issuing 25,362,525 shares of its common stock in exchange for 12,544,150 shares of Omega common stock. The assets and liabilities of Omega were recorded on the Corporation's balance sheet at their fair values as of April 1, 2008, the acquisition date, and Omega's results of operations have been included in the Corporation's

consolidated statement of income since that date. Omega's banking subsidiary, Omega Bank, was merged into FNBPA on April 1, 2008. Based on the purchase price allocation, the Corporation recorded \$237,657 in goodwill and \$31,191 in core deposit and other intangibles as a result of the acquisition. None of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

The assets and liabilities of these acquired entities were recorded on the balance sheet at their estimated fair values as of their respective acquisition dates. The consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of these entities from their respective dates of acquisition.

The Corporation recorded merger charges of \$620 in 2010 associated with the acquisition of CBI. Additionally, the Corporation recorded merger and integration charges of \$4,724 in 2008 associated with the acquisitions of Omega and IRGB.

The following table shows the calculation of the purchase price and the resulting goodwill relating to the Omega acquisition:

Fair value of stock issued and stock options assumed		\$ 388,176
Fair value of:		
Tangible assets acquired	\$ 1,532,217	
Core deposit and other intangible assets acquired	31,191	
Liabilities assumed	(1,463,325)	
Net cash received in the acquisition	50,436	
Fair value of net assets acquired		150,519
Goodwill recognized		\$ 237,657

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the net assets that the Corporation acquired from Omega:

Assets		
Cash and due from banks		\$ 57,039
Federal funds sold		52,400
Securities		256,837
Loans		1,074,856
Goodwill and other intangible assets		268,848
Accrued income and other assets		141,521
Total assets		1,851,501
Liabilities		
Deposits		1,291,483
Borrowings		157,241
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		14,601
Total liabilities		1,463,325
Purchase price		\$ 388,176

Table of Contents

The following unaudited summary financial information presents the consolidated results of operations of the Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2008 on a pro forma basis, as if the Omega acquisition had occurred at the beginning of 2008:

Net interest income	\$ 267,934
Provision for loan losses	75,806
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	192,128
Non-interest income	92,986
Non-interest expense	239,953
Income before taxes	45,161
Income taxes	7,518
Net income	\$ 37,643
Net income per common share	
Basic	\$ 0.47
Diluted	\$ 0.46

The pro forma results include the amortization of the fair value adjustments on loans, deposits and debt and the amortization of the newly created intangible assets and post-merger acquisition related expenses. The pro forma results for 2008 also include \$3,900 pre-tax for certain non-recurring items, including personnel expense for retention bonuses and severance payments. The pro forma results do not reflect cost savings or revenue enhancements that were anticipated from the acquisition, and are not necessarily indicative of what actually would have occurred if the acquisition had been completed as of the beginning of 2008, nor are they necessarily indicative of future consolidated results. Actual results of operations of the Corporation for the periods after the acquisition are included in the Corporation's consolidated statement of income provided elsewhere in this Report.

Due to the immateriality of the IRGB acquisition, it has not been included in the pro forma financial information presented above.

Table of Contents**4. Securities**

The amortized cost and fair value of securities are as follows:

Securities Available For Sale:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 299,861	\$ 1,395	\$ (688)	\$ 300,568
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	205,443	6,064		211,507
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	146,977	1,081	(192)	147,866
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	37	1		38
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	57,830	934	(26)	58,738
Collateralized debt obligations	19,288		(13,314)	5,974
Other debt securities	12,989		(1,744)	11,245
Total debt securities	742,425	9,475	(15,964)	735,936
Equity securities	1,867	381	(59)	2,189
	\$ 744,292	\$ 9,856	\$ (16,023)	\$ 738,125
December 31, 2009				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 251,192	\$ 1,563	\$ (299)	\$ 252,456
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	319,902	6,035	(166)	325,771
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	43,985	54	(531)	43,508
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	47		(2)	45
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	74,177	1,495	(89)	75,583
Collateralized debt obligations	21,590		(16,766)	4,824
Other debt securities	12,999		(2,569)	10,430
Total debt securities	723,892	9,147	(20,422)	712,617
Equity securities	2,656	224	(148)	2,732
	\$ 726,548	\$ 9,371	\$ (20,570)	\$ 715,349
December 31, 2008				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 249,370	\$ 3,925	\$	\$ 253,295
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	131,337	1,969	(306)	133,000

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-K

Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	53	3		56
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	71,065	254	(2,138)	69,181
Collateralized debt obligations	20,869		(6,242)	14,627
Other debt securities	13,350		(4,737)	8,613
Total debt securities	486,044	6,151	(13,423)	478,772
Equity securities	3,609	157	(268)	3,498
	\$ 489,653	\$ 6,308	\$ (13,691)	\$ 482,270

Table of Contents

Securities Held To Maturity:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 4,925	\$ 212	\$	\$ 5,137
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	688,575	23,878	(3,079)	709,374
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	71,102	511	(889)	70,724
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	33,950	328	(1,331)	32,947
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	137,210	1,735	(1,630)	137,315
Collateralized debt obligations	3,132		(778)	2,354
Other debt securities	1,587	18	(42)	1,563
	\$ 940,481	\$ 26,682	\$ (7,749)	\$ 959,414
December 31, 2009				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 5,386	\$ 81	\$	\$ 5,467
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	566,876	23,141	(261)	589,756
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	27,263	406		27,669
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	49,000		(3,245)	45,755
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	121,548	2,477	(399)	123,626
Collateralized debt obligations	3,590		(812)	2,778
Other debt securities	1,618	11	(143)	1,486
	\$ 775,281	\$ 26,116	\$ (4,860)	\$ 796,537
December 31, 2008				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 506	\$ 154	\$	\$ 660
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	658,260	15,915	(694)	673,481
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	63,422		(6,748)	56,674
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	115,766	376	(928)	115,214
Collateralized debt obligations	3,785		(572)	3,213
Other debt securities	2,124		(115)	2,009
	\$ 843,863	\$ 16,445	\$ (9,057)	\$ 851,251

The Corporation classifies securities as trading securities when management intends to sell such securities in the near term and are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains (losses) reflected through the consolidated statement of

income. The Corporation acquired securities in conjunction with the Omega and IRGB acquisitions that the Corporation classified as trading securities. The Corporation both acquired and sold these trading securities during the quarters of 2008 in which the acquisitions occurred. As of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Corporation did not hold any trading securities.

The Corporation recognized a gain of \$2,291 during 2010 relating to the sale of a \$6,016 U.S. government agency security and \$52,625 of mortgage backed securities. These securities were sold to better position the balance sheet for the future. Additionally, the Corporation recognized gains of \$669 and \$322 during 2010 and 2009, respectively, relating to other securities that were sold or called during that period. The Corporation also recognized a gain of \$206 during 2009 relating to the acquisition of a company in which the Corporation owned stock. No securities were sold at a loss during 2010.

Table of Contents

Gross gains and gross losses were realized on sales of securities as follows:

Year Ended December 31	2010	2009	2008
Gross gains	\$ 2,960	\$ 546	\$ 839
Gross losses		(18)	(5)
	\$ 2,960	\$ 528	\$ 834

As of December 31, 2010, the amortized cost and fair value of securities, by contractual maturities, were as follows:

	Available for Sale		Held to Maturity	
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 25,676	\$ 25,733	\$ 6,415	\$ 6,463
Due from one to five years	272,771	273,486	21,345	22,177
Due from five to ten years	17,087	17,449	18,512	18,757
Due after ten years	74,434	59,857	100,582	98,972
	389,968	376,525	146,854	146,369
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	205,443	211,507	688,575	709,374
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	146,977	147,866	71,102	70,724
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	37	38	33,950	32,947
Equity securities	1,867	2,189		
	\$ 744,292	\$ 738,125	\$ 940,481	\$ 959,414

Maturities may differ from contractual terms because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties. Periodic payments are received on residential mortgage-backed securities based on the payment patterns of the underlying collateral.

At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, securities with a carrying value of \$651,299, \$598,078 and \$670,234, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, trust deposits and for other purposes as required by law. Securities with a carrying value of \$676,083, \$616,000 and \$584,995 at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were pledged as collateral for short-term borrowings.

Table of Contents

Following are summaries of the fair values and unrealized losses of securities, segregated by length of impairment:

Securities Available For Sale:

	Less than 12 Months		Greater than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2010						
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 117,140	\$ (688)	\$	\$	\$ 117,140	\$ (688)
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	22,616	(192)			22,616	(192)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	3,322	(26)			3,322	(26)
Collateralized debt obligations			5,974	(13,314)	5,974	(13,314)
Other debt securities	4,024	(62)	7,221	(1,682)	11,245	(1,744)
Equity securities			648	(59)	648	(59)
	\$ 147,102	\$ (968)	\$ 13,843	\$ (15,055)	\$ 160,945	\$ (16,023)
December 31, 2009						
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 46,501	\$ (299)	\$	\$	\$ 46,501	\$ (299)
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Agency mortgage-backed securities	68,313	(166)			68,313	(166)
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	29,516	(531)			29,516	(531)
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	45	(2)			45	(2)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	12,357	(89)			12,357	(89)
Collateralized debt obligations	3,755	(12,023)	1,069	(4,743)	4,824	(16,766)
Other debt securities			10,430	(2,569)	10,430	(2,569)
Equity securities	789	(99)	721	(49)	1,510	(148)
	\$ 161,276	\$ (13,209)	\$ 12,220	\$ (7,361)	\$ 173,496	\$ (20,570)

Table of Contents

Securities Held To Maturity:

	Less than 12 Months		Greater than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2010						
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$ 156,544	\$ (3,079)	\$	\$	\$ 156,544	\$ (3,079)
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	39,074	(889)			39,074	(889)
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	2,551	(12)	10,739	(1,319)	13,290	(1,331)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	47,125	(1,415)	2,319	(215)	49,444	(1,630)
Collateralized debt obligations			2,354	(778)	2,354	(778)
Other debt securities			1,288	(42)	1,288	(42)
	\$ 245,294	\$ (5,395)	\$ 16,700	\$ (2,354)	\$ 261,994	\$ (7,749)
December 31, 2009						
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$ 20,650	\$ (261)	\$	\$	\$ 20,650	\$ (261)
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	15,534	(80)	30,221	(3,165)	45,755	(3,245)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	13,055	(362)	1,968	(37)	15,023	(399)
Collateralized debt obligations			2,778	(812)	2,778	(812)
Other debt securities			1,192	(143)	1,192	(143)
	\$ 49,239	\$ (703)	\$ 36,159	\$ (4,157)	\$ 85,398	\$ (4,860)

As of December 31, 2010, securities with unrealized losses for less than 12 months include 9 investments in U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations, 20 investments in residential mortgage-backed securities (14 investments in agency mortgage-backed securities, 5 investments in agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and 1 investment in non-agency CMOs), 41 investments in states of the U.S. and political subdivision securities and 1 investment in other debt securities. Securities with unrealized losses of greater than 12 months include 2 investments in residential mortgage-backed securities (non-agency CMOs), 1 investment in states of the U.S. and political subdivision securities, 13 investments in collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), 6 investments in other debt securities and 3 investments in equity securities. The Corporation does not intend to sell the debt securities and it is not more likely than not the Corporation will be required to sell the securities before

recovery of their amortized cost basis.

The Corporation's unrealized losses on CDOs primarily relate to investments in TPS. The Corporation's portfolio of TPS consists of single-issuer and pooled securities. The single-issuer securities are primarily from money-center and large regional banks. The pooled securities consist of securities issued primarily by banks, with some of the pools including a limited number of insurance companies. Investments in pooled securities are all in mezzanine tranches except for one investment in a senior tranche, and are secured by over-collateralization or default protection provided by subordinated tranches. The non-credit portion of unrealized losses on investments in TPS are attributable to temporary illiquidity and the uncertainty affecting these markets, as well as changes in interest rates.

Table of Contents

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

The Corporation evaluates its investment securities portfolio for OTTI on a quarterly basis. Impairment is assessed at the individual security level. The Corporation considers an investment security impaired if the fair value of the security is less than its cost or amortized cost basis.

Debt securities with credit ratings below AA at the time of purchase that are repayment-sensitive securities are evaluated using the guidance of ASC Topic 325, *Investments - Other*. All other securities are required to be evaluated under ASC Topic 320, *Investments - Debt Securities*.

The Corporation invested in TPS issued by special purpose vehicles (SPVs) which hold pools of collateral consisting of trust preferred and subordinated debt securities issued by banks, bank holding companies and insurance companies. The securities issued by the SPVs are generally segregated into several classes known as tranches. Typically, the structure includes senior, mezzanine and equity tranches. The equity tranche represents the first loss position. The Corporation generally holds interests in mezzanine tranches. Interest and principal collected from the collateral held by the SPVs are distributed with a priority that provides the highest level of protection to the senior-most tranches. In order to provide a high level of protection to the senior tranches, cash flows are diverted to higher-level tranches if certain tests are not met.

The Corporation prices its holdings of TPS using Level 3 inputs in accordance with ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, and guidance issued by the SEC. In this regard, the Corporation evaluates current available information in estimating the future cash flows of these securities and determines whether there have been favorable or adverse changes in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously projected. The Corporation considers the structure and term of the pool and the financial condition of the underlying issuers. Specifically, the evaluation incorporates factors such as over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, interest rates and appropriate risk premiums, the timing and amount of interest and principal payments and the allocation of payments to the various tranches. Current estimates of cash flows are based on the most recent trustee reports, announcements of deferrals or defaults, and assumptions regarding expected future default rates, prepayment and recovery rates and other relevant information. In constructing these assumptions, the Corporation considers the following:

- that current defaults would have no recovery;
- whether the security is currently deferring interest;
- that some individually analyzed deferrals will cure at rates varying from 10% to 90% after the deferral period ends;
- recent historical performance metrics, including profitability, capital ratios, loan charge-offs and loan reserve ratios, for the underlying institutions that would indicate a higher probability of default by the institution;
- that institutions identified as possessing a higher probability of default would recover at a rate of 10% for banks and 15% for insurance companies;
- that financial performance of the financial sector continues to be affected by the economic environment resulting in an expectation of additional deferrals and defaults in the future; and
- the external rating of the security and recent changes to its external rating.

The primary evidence utilized by the Corporation is the level of current deferrals and defaults, the level of excess subordination that allows for receipt of full principal and interest, the credit rating for each security and the likelihood that future deferrals and defaults will occur at a level that will fully erode the excess subordination based on an assessment of the underlying collateral. The Corporation combines the results of these factors considered in estimating the future cash flows of these securities to determine whether there has been an adverse change in estimated cash

flows from the cash flows previously projected.

The Corporation's portfolio of trust preferred CDOs consists of 13 pooled issues and seven single issue securities consisting of only four issuers. One of the pooled issues is a senior tranche; the remaining 12 are mezzanine tranches. At December 31, 2010, the 13 pooled TPS had an estimated fair value of \$8,328 while single-

Table of Contents

issuer TPS had an estimated fair value of \$12,533. The Corporation has concluded from the analysis performed at December 31, 2010 that it is probable that the Corporation will collect all contractual principal and interest payments on all of its single-issuer and pooled TPS, except for those on which OTTI was recognized.

Upon adoption of ASC Topic 320, the Corporation determined that \$7,021 of previously recorded OTTI charges were non-credit related. As such, a \$4,563 (net of \$2,458 of taxes) increase to retained earnings and a corresponding decrease to accumulated other comprehensive income were recorded as the cumulative effect of adopting ASC Topic 320 as of April 1, 2009.

The Corporation recognized impairment losses on securities of \$2,339, \$7,893 and \$17,189 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, due to the write-down to fair value of securities that the Corporation deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Impairment losses related to bank stocks for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 amounted to \$58, \$735 and \$1,152, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2010, impairment losses on pooled TPS amounted to \$9,532, which includes \$7,251 (\$4,713, net of tax) for non-credit related impairment losses recognized directly in other comprehensive income and \$2,281 of credit-related impairment losses recognized in earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2009, impairment losses on pooled TPS amounted to \$24,497, which includes \$17,339 (\$11,270, net of tax) for non-credit related impairment losses recognized directly in other comprehensive income and \$7,158 of credit-related impairment losses recognized in earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2008, impairment losses on pooled TPS amounted to \$16,037.

The \$58 in impairment losses on bank stocks during 2010 relate to securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for an extended period of time or the percentage of unrealized loss is such that management believes it will be unlikely to recover in the near term. In accordance with GAAP, management has deemed these impairments to be other-than-temporary given the low likelihood that they will recover in value in the foreseeable future. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation held 14 bank stocks with an adjusted cost basis of \$1,841 and fair value of \$2,158.

At December 31, 2010, all 12 of the pooled TPS on which OTTI has been recognized are classified as non-performing investments.

The following table presents a summary of the cumulative credit-related OTTI charges recognized as components of earnings for securities for which a portion of an OTTI is recognized in other comprehensive income:

December 31	2010	2009
Beginning balance of the amount related to credit loss for which a portion of OTTI was recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ (16,051)	\$
Amount of OTTI related to credit loss on April 1, 2009(1)		(8,953)
Additions related to credit loss for securities with previously recognized OTTI	(2,235)	(2,315)
Additions related to credit loss for securities with initial OTTI	(46)	(4,783)
Ending balance of the amount related to credit loss for which a portion of OTTI was recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ (18,332)	\$ (16,051)

(1) Amount represents the OTTI charges recorded for pooled TPS, net of the Corporation's cumulative effect adjustment upon adoption of ASC Topic 320, effective April 1, 2009.

TPS continue to experience price volatility as the secondary market for such securities remains limited. Write-downs were based on the individual securities' credit performance and its ability to make its contractual principal and interest payments. Should credit quality deteriorate to a greater extent than projected, it is possible that additional write-downs may be required. The Corporation monitors actual deferrals and defaults as well as expected future deferrals and defaults to determine if there is a high probability for expected losses and contractual shortfalls of interest or principal, which could warrant further impairment. The Corporation evaluates its entire portfolio each quarter to determine if additional write-downs are warranted.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information relating to the Corporation's TPS as of December 31, 2010:

Security	Class	Current Par Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Lowest Credit Rating	Issuers Currently Performing	Actual	Actual	Projected	Expected Defaults(2)
								Defaults	Deferrals	Recovery Rates	
							Number	(as	(as	on	
							of	a	a	Current	Defaults
							percent	percent	percent	Deferrals	Defaults
							of	original	original	Current	Expected
							of	collateral	collateral	Deferrals	Defaults
<u>Pooled TPS:</u>											
P1	C1	\$ 5,500	\$ 2,266	\$ 1,000	\$ (1,266)	C	45	20%	12%	37%	12%
P2	C1	4,889	2,746	711	(2,035)	C	42	14	18	35	13
P3	C1	5,561	4,218	1,324	(2,894)	C	51	12	9	30	14
P4	C1	3,994	2,852	803	(2,049)	C	53	15	10	46	14
P5	MEZ	483	358	160	(198)	C	20	15	18	46	11
P6	MEZ	1,909	1,087	490	(597)	C	23	17	19	35	9
P7	B3	2,000	726	285	(441)	C	21	29	9	54	11
P8	B1	3,028	2,386	744	(1,642)	C	50	12	23	47	13
P9	C	5,048	756	148	(608)	C	36	13	27	38	12
P10	C	507	461	93	(368)	C	51	13	12	29	12
P11	A4L	2,010	787	116	(671)	C	44	14	15	27	13
P12	C	2,000	645	100	(545)	C	26	16	20	45	12
<i>Total OTTI</i>		36,929	19,288	5,974	(13,314)		462	15	15	38	13
P13(3)	SNR	2,989	3,132	2,354	(778)	A3	19	9	19	38	9
<i>Total Not OTTI</i>		2,989	3,132	2,354	(778)		19	9	19	38	9
Total Pooled TPS		\$ 39,918	\$ 22,420	\$ 8,328	\$ (14,092)		481	15%	15%	38%	12%
<u>Single Issuer TPS:</u>											
S1		\$ 2,000	\$ 1,947	\$ 1,361	\$ (586)	BB+	1				
S2		2,000	1,909	1,540	(369)	BBB+	1				
S3(4)		2,000	2,048	2,000	(48)	B+	1				
S4		2,000	2,000	1,625	(375)	B+	1				
S5		4,000	4,085	4,023	(62)	Baa1	1				
S6		1,000	999	695	(304)	BB+	1				

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-K

S7	1,300	1,331	1,289	(42)	BB+	1
Total Single Issuer TPS	\$ 14,300	\$ 14,319	\$ 12,533	\$ (1,786)		7
Total TPS	\$ 54,218	\$ 36,739	\$ 20,861	\$ (15,878)		488

- (1) Some current deferrals are projected to cure at rates varying from 10% to 90% after the deferral period ends.
- (2) Expected future defaults as a percent of remaining performing collateral. Future deferrals and defaults are generally assumed to have recovery rates of 10% for banks and 15% for insurance companies.
- (3) Excess subordination represents the additional defaults in excess of both current and projected defaults that the CDO can absorb before the bond experiences any credit impairment. The P13 security had excess subordination as a percent of current collateral of 57.40% as of December 31, 2010.
- (4) The S3 security was called at par value effective January 14, 2011.

Table of Contents

States of the U.S. and Political Subdivisions

The Corporation's municipal bond portfolio of \$195,948 is highly rated with an average rating of AA. Ninety-seven percent of the portfolio is rated A or better. General obligation bonds comprise 97% of the portfolio. Geographically, these holdings support the Corporation's footprint as 71% of the securities are from municipalities located throughout Pennsylvania. The average holding size of the securities in the municipal bond portfolio is \$850. Finally, this portfolio is supported by underlying insurance as 84% of the securities have credit support.

Non-Agency CMOs

The Corporation purchased \$161,151 of non-agency CMOs from 2003 through 2005. These securities, which are classified as held to maturity, have a current book value of \$33,950. Paydowns in 2010 amounted to \$13,700, an annualized paydown rate of 30.0%, which includes one AAA-rated holding that was performing well and was redeemed by the issuer at par during the third quarter. In addition, one AAA-rated holding with a book value of \$1,339 was sold during the fourth quarter of 2010. The sale was permitted under ASC Topic 320 since less than 15.0% of the purchase amount remained. At the time of purchase, these securities were all rated AAA, with an original average loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of 66.1% and original credit score of 724. At origination, the credit support, or the amount of loss the collateral pool could absorb before the AAA securities would incur a credit loss ranged from 1.3% to 7.0%. This credit support has grown to a range of 4.3% to 19.7%, due to paydowns and good credit performance through the first half of 2008. Beginning in the second half of 2008, national delinquencies, an early warning sign of potential default, began to accelerate on the collateral pools. The rate of delinquencies in 2010 continued to show a slight upward trend throughout the year. All CMO holdings are current with regards to principal and interest.

The rating agencies monitor these non-agency CMOs and the underlying collateral performance for delinquencies, foreclosures and defaults. They also factor in trends in bankruptcies and housing values to ultimately arrive at an expected loss for a given piece of defaulted collateral. Based on deteriorating performance of the collateral, many of these types of securities have been downgraded by the rating agencies. For the Corporation's portfolio, four of the ten non-agency CMOs have been downgraded from AAA.

The Corporation determines its credit related losses by running scenario analysis on the underlying collateral. This analysis applies default assumptions to delinquencies already in the pipeline, projects future defaults based in part on the historical trends for the collateral, applies a rate of severity and estimates prepayment rates. Because of the limited historical trends for the collateral, multiple default scenarios were analyzed including scenarios that significantly elevate defaults over the next 12 - 18 months. Based on the results of the analysis, the Corporation's management has concluded that there are currently no credit-related losses in its non-agency CMO portfolio.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information relating to the Corporation's non-agency CMOs as of December 31, 2010:

Original Year	Book Value	Credit Rating		Credit Support %		Delinquency %			Subordination Data			Total LTV	
		S&P	Moody	Original	Current	30 Day	60 Day	90 Day	Foreclosure %	REO %	Bankruptcy %		Delinquency
2003	\$ 4,417	AAA	n/a	2.5	5.2	0.9	0.2	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.3	2.9	52.5%
2003	2,563	AAA	n/a	4.3	15.9	2.9	1.9	4.3	2.4	0.1	0.9	12.3	56.5
2003	2,056	AAA	n/a	2.0	6.0	1.4	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.4	4.0	47.9
2003	1,877	AAA	n/a	2.7	17.1	0.5	0.1	0.4	1.5	0.0	1.0	3.4	51.0
2004	4,173	AAA	Aa3	7.0	19.7	2.0	1.1	5.2	5.4	1.3	1.0	16.0	56.7
2004	3,159	AA+	n/a	5.3	10.4	0.4	0.0	1.2	2.1	0.0	1.6	5.3	47.1
2004	1,551	n/a	Aaa	2.5	7.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	5.8	56.3
2004	2,096	AAA	Aaa	4.4	9.1	1.5	0.8	0.6	2.2	0.5	1.1	6.7	55.8
2005	7,429	CCC	Caa1	5.1	5.6	4.6	2.6	10.3	5.3	0.6	1.7	25.1	65.7
2005	4,629	CCC	B3	4.7	4.3	3.4	2.1	6.3	7.0	1.2	1.2	21.2	66.3
	\$ 33,950			4.1	9.3								57.6%

Table of Contents**5. Federal Home Loan Bank Stock**

The Corporation is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Pittsburgh. The FHLB requires members to purchase and hold a specified minimum level of FHLB stock based upon their level of borrowings, collateral balances and participation in other programs offered by the FHLB. Stock in the FHLB is non-marketable and is redeemable at the discretion of the FHLB. Both cash and stock dividends on FHLB stock are reported as income.

Members do not purchase stock in the FHLB for the same reasons that traditional equity investors acquire stock in an investor-owned enterprise. Rather, members purchase stock to obtain access to the low-cost products and services offered by the FHLB. Unlike equity securities of traditional for-profit enterprises, the stock of FHLB does not provide its holders with an opportunity for capital appreciation because, by regulation, FHLB stock can only be purchased, redeemed and transferred at par value.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Corporation's FHLB stock totaled \$26,564 and \$27,962, respectively, and is included in other assets on the balance sheet. The Corporation accounts for the stock in accordance with ASC Topic 325, which requires the investment to be carried at cost and evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value.

The Corporation periodically evaluates its FHLB investment for possible impairment based on, among other things, the capital adequacy of the FHLB and its overall financial condition. The Federal Housing Finance Agency, the regulator of the FHLB, requires it to maintain a total capital-to-assets ratio of at least 4.0%. At September 30, 2010, the FHLB's capital ratio of 8.3% exceeded the regulatory requirement. Failure by the FHLB to meet this regulatory capital requirement would require an in-depth analysis of other factors including:

- the member's ability to access liquidity from the FHLB;
- the member's funding cost advantage with the FHLB compared to alternative sources of funds;
- a decline in the market value of FHLB's net assets relative to book value which may or may not affect future financial performance or cash flow;
- the FHLB's ability to obtain credit and source liquidity, for which one indicator is the credit rating of the FHLB;
- the FHLB's commitment to make payments taking into account its ability to meet statutory and regulatory payment obligations and the level of such payments in relation to the FHLB's operating performance; and
- the prospects of amendments to laws that affect the rights and obligations of the FHLB.

At December 31, 2010, the Corporation believes its holdings in the stock are ultimately recoverable at par value and, therefore, determined that FHLB stock was not other-than-temporarily impaired. In addition, the Corporation has ample liquidity and does not require redemption of its FHLB stock in the foreseeable future.

6. Loans

Following is a summary of loans, net of unearned income:

December 31	2010	2009
Commercial	\$ 3,337,992	\$ 3,234,738

Direct installment	1,002,725	985,746
Residential mortgages	622,242	605,219
Indirect installment	514,369	527,818
Consumer lines of credit	493,881	408,469
Other	116,946	87,371
	\$ 6,088,155	\$ 5,849,361

Table of Contents

Commercial is comprised of both commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans. Direct installment is comprised of fixed-rate, closed-end consumer loans for personal, family or household use, such as home equity loans and automobile loans. Residential mortgages consist of conventional and jumbo mortgage loans for non-commercial properties. Indirect installment is comprised of loans written by third parties, primarily automobile loans. Consumer lines of credit includes home equity lines of credit (HELOC) and consumer lines of credit that are either unsecured or secured by collateral other than home equity. Other is comprised primarily of commercial leases, mezzanine loans and student loans.

Unearned income on loans was \$42,183 and \$38,173 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The loan portfolio consists principally of loans to individuals and small- and medium-sized businesses within the Corporation's primary market area of Pennsylvania and northeastern Ohio. The portfolio also includes commercial loans in Florida, which totaled \$195,281 or 3.2% of total loans as of December 31, 2010 compared to \$243,912 or 4.2% of total loans as of December 31, 2009. In addition, the portfolio contains consumer finance loans to individuals in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky, which totaled \$162,805 or 2.7% of total loans as of December 31, 2010.

The composition of the Florida loan portfolio consisted of the following as of December 31, 2010: unimproved residential land (11.7%), unimproved commercial land (17.4%), improved land (3.0%), income producing commercial real estate (48.1%), residential construction (5.8%), commercial construction (11.3%), commercial and industrial (1.1%) and owner-occupied (1.6%). The percentage of loans in the Florida portfolio comprising income producing commercial real estate increased from December 31, 2009 after an \$8.1 million residential construction loan and an \$8.5 million commercial construction loan were both reclassified to the income producing segment after the construction phases were completed and the properties began to lease. The weighted average loan-to-value ratio for this portfolio was 82.0% and 76.8% as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The majority of the Corporation's loan portfolio consists of commercial loans. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, commercial real estate loans were \$2,115,492 and \$2,072,126, or 34.7% and 35.4% of total loans, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, approximately 47.0% of the commercial real estate loans are owner-occupied, while the remaining 53.0% are non-owner-occupied. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Corporation had construction loans of \$202,018 and \$184,092, respectively, representing 3.3% and 3.1% of total loans, respectively. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no concentrations of loans relating to any industry in excess of 10% of total loans.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were \$3,626 and \$8,011 of loans, respectively, that were impaired loans acquired with no associated allowance for loan losses as they were accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-30.

Certain directors and executive officers of the Corporation and its significant subsidiaries, as well as associates of such persons, are loan customers. Loans to such persons were made in the ordinary course of business under normal credit terms and do not have more than a normal risk of collection. Following is a summary of the aggregate amount of loans to any such persons who had loans in excess of \$60 during 2010:

Total loans at December 31, 2009	\$	74,255
New loans		45,869
Repayments		(69,008)
Other		(1,280)

Total loans at December 31, 2010 \$ 49,836

Other represents the net change in loan balances resulting from changes in related parties during 2010.

Table of Contents**7. Credit Quality**

Management monitors the credit quality of its loan portfolio on an ongoing basis. Measurement of delinquency and past due status are based on the contractual terms of each loan.

Past due loans are reviewed on a monthly basis to identify loans for non-accrual status. The Corporation places a loan on non-accrual status and discontinues interest accruals when principal or interest is due and has remained unpaid for 90 to 180 days depending on the loan type. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all unpaid interest recognized in the current year is reversed and interest accrued in prior years is charged to the allowance for loan losses.

Non-accrual loans may not be restored to accrual status until all delinquent principal and interest have been paid and the ultimate collectibility of the remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured.

Following is an age analysis of the Corporation's past due loans, by class:

December 31, 2010	30-89	>90 Days	Non-Accrual	Total		Total
	Days	Past		Past Due	Current	
	Past Due	Due and Still		Past Due	Current	Loans
		Accruing				
Commercial	\$ 17,101	\$ 3,020	\$ 106,724	\$ 126,845	\$ 3,211,147	\$ 3,337,992
Direct installment	8,603	2,496	3,285	14,384	988,341	1,002,725
Residential mortgages	9,127	2,144	3,272	14,543	607,699	622,242
Indirect installment	5,659	394	750	6,803	507,566	514,369
Consumer lines of credit	1,581	571	589	2,740	491,141	493,881
Other	1,551	9	970	2,530	114,416	116,946
	\$ 43,622	\$ 8,634	\$ 115,589	\$ 167,845	\$ 5,920,310	\$ 6,088,155

Following is a summary of the Corporation's non-accrual loans, by class:

December 31	2010	2009
Commercial	\$ 106,724	\$ 122,643
Direct installment	3,285	5,169
Residential mortgages	3,272	4,239
Indirect installment	750	741
Consumer lines of credit	589	580
Other	970	519
	\$ 115,589	\$ 133,891

The use of internally assigned risk rating grades within the following commercial loan credit categories permits management's use of migration and roll rate analysis to estimate a quantitative portion of credit risk. The Corporation's internal credit risk grading system is based on past experiences with similarly graded loans and conforms with regulatory categories. In general, loan risk ratings within each category are reviewed on an ongoing basis according to the Corporation's policy for each class of loans. Each quarter, management analyzes the resulting ratings, as well as other external statistics and factors such as delinquency, to track the migration performance of the commercial loan portfolio. Loans that migrate toward the Pass credit category or within the Pass credit category generally have a lower risk of loss and therefore a lower risk factor compared to loans that migrate toward the Substandard or Doubtful credit categories which generally have a higher risk of loss and therefore a higher risk factor applied to those related loan balances.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's commercial loan credit quality categories are as follows:

Pass	in general, the condition of the borrower and the performance of the loan is satisfactory or better
Special Mention	in general, the condition of the borrower has deteriorated although the loan performs as agreed
Substandard	in general, the condition of the borrower has significantly deteriorated and the performance of the loan could further deteriorate if deficiencies are not corrected
Doubtful	in general, the condition of the borrower has significantly deteriorated and the collection in full of both principal and interest is highly questionable or improbable

Following is a table showing commercial loans by credit quality category (in thousands):

		Commercial Loan Credit Quality Categories				
		Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
December 31, 2010						
Commercial PA		\$ 2,887,682	\$ 80,409	\$ 170,714	\$ 3,906	\$ 3,142,711
Commercial FL		83,444	38,664	73,173		195,281
		\$ 2,971,126	\$ 119,073	\$ 243,887	\$ 3,906	\$ 3,337,992
December 31, 2009						
Commercial PA		\$ 2,684,586	\$ 112,796	\$ 187,067	\$ 6,377	\$ 2,990,826
Commercial FL		96,979	36,629	110,304		243,912
		\$ 2,781,565	\$ 149,425	\$ 297,371	\$ 6,377	\$ 3,234,738

The use of payment status and delinquency migration analysis within the following consumer and other loan categories enables management to estimate a quantitative portion of credit risk. Each month, management analyzes payment activity, as well as other external statistics and factors such as volume, to determine how consumer loans are performing.

Following is a table showing consumer and other loans by payment activity (in thousands):

December 31, 2010	Consumer Loan Credit Quality by Payment Status		
	Performing	Non-Performing	Total
Direct installment	\$ 991,921	\$ 10,804	\$ 1,002,725
Residential mortgages	608,642	13,600	622,242
Indirect installment	513,619	750	514,369
Consumer lines of credit	493,075	806	493,881
Other	115,976	970	116,946

December 31, 2009

Direct installment	976,318	9,428	985,746
Residential mortgages	593,849	11,370	605,219
Indirect installment	526,902	916	527,818
Consumer lines of credit	407,831	638	408,469
Other	86,852	519	87,371

Table of Contents

Loans are designated as impaired when, in the opinion of management, based on current information and events, the collection of principal and interest in accordance with the loan contract is doubtful. Typically, the Corporation does not consider loans for impairment unless a sustained period of delinquency (i.e. 90-plus days) is noted or there are subsequent events that impact repayment probability (i.e. negative financial trends, bankruptcy filings, eminent foreclosure proceedings, etc.). Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other loans. If a loan is impaired, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Consistent with the Corporation's existing method of income recognition for loans, interest on impaired loans, except those classified as non-accrual, is r