

SEALED AIR CORP/DE
Form 10-Q
May 06, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

Or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number: 1-12139

SEALED AIR CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

65-0654331

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**200 Riverfront Boulevard
Elmwood Park, New Jersey**

(Address of principal executive offices)

07407-1033

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(201) 791-7600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated
filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting
company)

Smaller reporting
company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

There were 160,154,342 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, issued and outstanding as of April 30, 2011.

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
	<u>Note 1 Organization and Basis of Presentation</u>	5
	<u>Note 2 Recently Issued Accounting Standards</u>	5
	<u>Note 3 Segments</u>	6
	<u>Note 4 Restructuring Activities</u>	7
	<u>Note 5 Accounts Receivable Securitization Program</u>	7
	<u>Note 6 Inventories</u>	8
	<u>Note 7 Property and Equipment, net</u>	8
	<u>Note 8 Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets</u>	9
	<u>Note 9 Debt and Credit Facilities</u>	10
	<u>Note 10 Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u>	11
	<u>Note 11 Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments</u>	13
	<u>Note 12 Income Taxes</u>	15
	<u>Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies</u>	16
	<u>Note 14 Stockholders' Equity</u>	22
	<u>Note 15 Net Earnings Per Common Share</u>	25
	<u>Note 16 Other (Expense) Income, net</u>	26
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	27
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	41
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	43
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>		44
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	44
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	44
	<u>Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	44
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	44
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	45
<u>Signature</u>		46
<u>Certifications</u>		
	<u>EX-31.1</u>	
	<u>EX-31.2</u>	
	<u>EX-32</u>	
	<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
	<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
	<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
	<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
	<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements.**

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

(In millions, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net sales:		
Food Packaging	\$ 474.9	\$ 447.2
Food Solutions	228.8	219.1
Protective Packaging	335.1	306.5
Other	89.7	88.4
Total net sales	1,128.5	1,061.2
Cost of sales	819.5	761.2
Gross profit	309.0	300.0
Marketing, administrative and development expenses	186.0	175.5
Restructuring and other charges		0.6
Operating profit	123.0	123.9
Interest expense	(37.0)	(40.7)
Impairment of available-for-sale securities		(0.7)
Foreign currency exchange (losses) gains related to Venezuelan subsidiary	(0.2)	1.2
Other (expense) income, net	(3.9)	2.3
Earnings before income tax provision	81.9	86.0
Income tax provision	22.2	24.8
Net earnings available to common stockholders	\$ 59.7	\$ 61.2
Net earnings per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.38
Diluted	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.35
Dividends per common share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.12
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:		
Basic	158.7	157.8
Diluted	176.9	176.1

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In millions, except share data)

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 696.0	\$ 675.6
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$18.8 in 2011 and \$17.0 in 2010	696.1	697.1
Inventories	559.0	495.8
Deferred tax assets	142.7	146.2
Other current assets	28.3	25.3
 Total current assets	 2,122.1	 2,040.0
Property and equipment, net	958.3	948.3
Goodwill	1,952.1	1,945.9
Non-current deferred tax assets	167.3	179.6
Other assets, net	290.5	285.6
 Total assets	 \$ 5,490.3	 \$ 5,399.4
Liabilities and stockholders equity		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 9.1	\$ 23.5
Current portion of long-term debt	4.9	6.5
Accounts payable	265.5	232.0
Deferred tax liabilities	5.1	5.0
Settlement agreement and related accrued interest	798.7	787.9
Other current liabilities	357.7	392.8
 Total current liabilities	 1,441.0	 1,447.7
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,398.8	1,399.2
Other liabilities	154.9	150.9
 Total liabilities	 2,994.7	 2,997.8
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.10 par value per share, 50,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued in 2011 and 2010		
Common stock, \$0.10 par value per share, 400,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued: 170,550,339 in 2011 and 169,272,636 in 2010; shares outstanding: 160,154,232 in 2011 and 159,305,507 in 2010	17.1	17.0
Common stock reserved for issuance related to Settlement agreement, \$0.10 par value per share, 18,000,000 shares in 2011 and 2010	1.8	1.8
Additional paid-in capital	1,160.6	1,152.7

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Retained earnings	1,744.8	1,706.1
Common stock in treasury, 10,396,107 in 2011 and 9,967,129 shares in 2010	(374.7)	(362.7)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes:		
Unrecognized pension items	(46.8)	(47.9)
Cumulative translation adjustment	(6.9)	(65.9)
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	3.3	3.5
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes	(50.4)	(110.3)
Total parent company stockholders' equity	2,499.2	2,404.6
Non-controlling interests	(3.6)	(3.0)
Total stockholders' equity	2,495.6	2,401.6
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,490.3	\$ 5,399.4

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

2

Table of Contents

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)
(In millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings available to common stockholders	\$ 59.7	\$ 61.2
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	36.0	39.6
Share-based incentive compensation	5.8	7.5
Amortization of senior debt related items and other	0.2	0.5
Provisions for bad debt	1.6	1.8
Provisions for inventory obsolescence	3.3	0.6
Impairment of available-for-sale securities		0.7
Deferred taxes, net	2.5	(5.1)
Excess tax benefit from share-based incentive compensation	(2.6)	
Net gain on disposals of property and equipment and other	(0.1)	(0.4)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of businesses and certain assets acquired:		
Receivables, net	21.6	26.1
Inventories	(51.6)	(33.3)
Other assets, net	(0.9)	3.4
Accounts payable	26.5	12.2
Income taxes payable	(4.7)	17.5
Other liabilities	(30.1)	(49.0)
 Net cash provided by operating activities	 67.2	 83.3
 Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures for property and equipment	(19.5)	(15.4)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	0.3	2.4
Other investing activities	0.6	1.2
 Net cash used in investing activities	 (18.6)	 (11.8)
 Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from long-term debt	0.4	
Excess tax benefit from share-based incentive compensation	2.6	
Payments of contingent liabilities acquired	(1.0)	
Payments of long-term debt	(2.2)	(71.0)
Acquisition of common stock for tax withholding obligations under our 2005 contingent stock plan	(12.0)	
Net payments of short-term borrowings	(14.3)	(14.1)
Dividends paid on common stock	(20.8)	(19.1)

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Net cash used in financing activities	(47.3)	(104.2)
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	19.1	(34.3)
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 675.6	\$ 694.5
Net change during the period	20.4	(67.0)
Balance, end of period	\$ 696.0	\$ 627.5
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:		
Interest payments, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 36.1	\$ 44.7
Income tax payments	\$ 25.2	\$ 13.0
Non-cash items:		
Transfer of shares of our common stock from treasury as part of our 2009 profit-sharing plan contribution	\$	\$ 7.2
Net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	\$	\$ 0.9

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Unaudited)
(In millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net earnings available to common stockholders	\$ 59.7	\$ 61.2
Other comprehensive income, net of taxes:		
Recognition of deferred pension items, net of taxes of \$0.3 in 2011 and \$0.4 in 2010	1.1	1.7
Unrealized losses on derivative instruments, net of taxes of \$0.1 in 2011 and 2010	(0.2)	(0.2)
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, reclassified to net earnings, net of taxes of \$0.1		(0.1)
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$0.3		0.6
Foreign currency translation adjustments	59.0	(26.9)
Comprehensive income, net of taxes	\$ 119.6	\$ 36.3

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

(Amounts in tables are in millions, except per share data)

(1) Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

We are a leading global innovator and manufacturer of a wide range of packaging and performance-based materials and equipment systems that serve an array of food, industrial, medical and consumer applications.

We conduct substantially all of our business through two direct wholly-owned subsidiaries, Cryovac, Inc. and Sealed Air Corporation (US). These two subsidiaries, directly and indirectly, own substantially all of the assets of the business and conduct operations themselves and through subsidiaries around the world. We adopted this corporate structure in connection with the Cryovac transaction. See Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies, of Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, for a description of the Cryovac transaction and related terms used in these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Throughout this report, when we refer to Sealed Air, the Company, we, our, or us, we are referring to Sealed Air Corporation and all of our subsidiaries, except where the context indicates otherwise.

Basis of Presentation

Our condensed consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company and our subsidiaries. We have eliminated all significant intercompany transactions and balances in consolidation. In management's opinion, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation of our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2011 and our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 have been made. The results set forth in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. All amounts are approximate due to rounding. Some prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications, individually and in the aggregate, had no impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared following the interim reporting requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. As permitted under those rules, annual footnotes or other financial information that are normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or U.S. GAAP, have been condensed or omitted. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and the disclosure of contingent amounts in our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

We are responsible for the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included in this document. As these are condensed financial statements, they should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and with the information contained in other publicly-available filings with the SEC.

(2) Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Adopted in 2011

In September 2009, the FASB ratified an amendment to accounting standards addressing revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple revenue-generating activities. The amendment addresses how revenue should be allocated to separate elements that could impact the timing of recognizing revenue. The amendment is effective for us on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified on or after January 1, 2011, and earlier application is permitted. We adopted this amendment on January 1, 2011 on a prospective basis, and any impact to our consolidated financial position and results of operations will depend on future revenue arrangements we enter into. Currently, we do not believe the adoption of this amendment will materially impact our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents**(3) Segments**

The following table shows net sales, depreciation and amortization and operating profit by our segment reporting structure.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net sales		
Food Packaging	\$ 474.9	\$ 447.2
Food Solutions	228.8	219.1
Protective Packaging	335.1	306.5
Other	89.7	88.4
Total	\$ 1,128.5	\$ 1,061.2
Depreciation and amortization		
Food Packaging	\$ 16.3	\$ 18.9
Food Solutions	7.5	7.9
Protective Packaging	7.0	7.8
Other	5.2	5.0
Total	\$ 36.0	\$ 39.6
Operating profit(1)		
Food Packaging	\$ 62.6	\$ 56.5
Food Solutions	19.4	20.9
Protective Packaging	40.0	39.5
Other	1.0	7.6
Total segments and other	123.0	124.5
Restructuring and other charges(2)		0.6
Total	\$ 123.0	\$ 123.9

(1) Before taking into consideration restructuring and other charges.

(2) The amounts primarily represent charges associated with the implementation of our global manufacturing strategy, the majority of which were related to our Food Packaging segment.

Assets by Reportable Segments

The following table shows assets allocated by our segment reporting structure. Only assets which are identifiable by segment and reviewed by our chief operating decision maker by segment are allocated to the reportable segment assets, which are trade receivables, net, and finished goods inventories, net. All other assets are included in Assets not allocated.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets:		

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Trade receivables, net, and finished goods inventory, net		
Food Packaging	\$ 415.2	\$ 409.8
Food Solutions	210.8	204.7
Protective Packaging	308.7	297.9
Other	64.7	54.9
Total segments and other	999.4	967.3
Assets not allocated		
Cash and cash equivalents	696.0	675.6
Property and equipment, net	958.3	948.3
Goodwill	1,952.1	1,945.9
Other	884.5	862.3
Total	\$ 5,490.3	\$ 5,399.4

Allocation of Goodwill to Reportable Segments

Our management views goodwill as a corporate asset, so we do not allocate our goodwill balance to the reportable

6

Table of Contents

segments. However, we are required to allocate goodwill to each reporting unit to perform our annual impairment review of goodwill, which we do during the fourth quarter of the year. See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, for the allocation of goodwill and the changes in goodwill balances in the three months ended March 31, 2011 by our segment reporting structure.

(4) Restructuring Activities***European Manufacturing Facility Closure***

In December 2010, we informed affected employees that we would be closing a small industrial shrink packaging manufacturing facility in Europe. We are taking this action based on our review of operating costs and technology levels in an effort to simplify our plant network and improve our operating efficiency.

We recorded associated costs and restructuring and other charges of \$7 million in 2010. We will record the remaining costs of approximately \$1 to 2 million predominantly in 2011.

The table below shows the restructuring accrual balance and activity.

Restructuring accrual at December 31, 2010	\$ 3.7
Adjustment to accrual for termination benefits	0.8
Cash payments during 2011	(0.8)
Effect of changes in foreign currency rates	0.3
 Restructuring accrual at March 31, 2011	 \$ 4.0

We expect to pay the accrual balance remaining at March 31, 2011 within the next 12 months, and this amount is included in other current liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2011.

(5) Accounts Receivable Securitization Program

We and a group of our U.S. subsidiaries maintain an accounts receivable securitization program with a bank and an issuer of commercial paper administered by the bank. As of March 31, 2011, the maximum purchase limit for receivable interests was \$125 million, subject to the availability limits described below.

The amounts available from time to time under the program may be less than \$125 million due to a number of factors, including but not limited to our credit ratings, trade receivable balances, the creditworthiness of our customers and our receivables collection experience. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the level of eligible assets available under the program was lower than \$125 million primarily due to our current credit rating. As a result, the amount available to us under the program was approximately \$84 million at March 31, 2011. Although we do not believe that these restrictive provisions presently materially restrict our operations, if an additional event occurs that triggers one of these restrictive provisions, we could experience a further decline in the amounts available under the program or termination of the program.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had no amounts outstanding under this program, and we did not utilize this program during the first quarter of 2011.

The overall program is scheduled to expire in December 2012; however, the program includes a bank financing commitment that must be renewed annually. The bank financing commitment is scheduled to expire on December 2, 2011. We plan to seek an additional 364 day renewal of the bank commitment before its expiration. While the bank is not obligated to renew the bank financing commitment, we have negotiated annual renewals since the commencement of the program in 2001.

Under limited circumstances, the bank and the issuer of commercial paper can end purchases of receivables interests before the above dates. A downgrade of our long-term senior unsecured debt to BB- or below by Standard & Poor's Rating Services or Ba3 or below by Moody's Investors Service, Inc., or failure to comply with interest coverage, debt leverage or various other ratios related to our receivables collection experience could result in termination of the receivables program. We were in compliance with the credit rating provisions and these ratios at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Any transfers of ownership interests in receivables under this program are considered secured borrowings and will be recorded as liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Also, the fees on outstanding borrowings under this program, if any, will be included in interest expense, and the costs of commitment fees on the unused portion of this program are included in other (expense) income, net, on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

(6) Inventories

The following table details our inventories and the reduction of certain inventories to a LIFO basis.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Inventories (at FIFO, which approximates replacement value):		
Raw materials	\$ 104.5	\$ 94.5
Work in process	127.7	112.6
Finished goods	374.8	337.8
Subtotal (at FIFO)	607.0	544.9
Reduction of certain inventories to LIFO basis	(48.0)	(49.1)
Total	\$ 559.0	\$ 495.8

We determine the value of non-equipment U.S. inventories by the last-in, first-out or LIFO inventory method. U.S. inventories determined by the LIFO method were \$123 million at March 31, 2011 and \$102 million at December 31, 2010.

(7) Property and Equipment, net

The following table details our property and equipment, net.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Land and improvements	\$ 59.5	\$ 53.0
Buildings	639.1	620.1
Machinery and equipment	2,392.1	2,325.8
Other property and equipment	110.5	106.3
Construction-in-progress	47.6	43.6
	3,248.8	3,148.8
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,290.5)	(2,200.5)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 958.3	\$ 948.3

The following table details our interest cost capitalized and depreciation and amortization expense for property and equipment.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Interest cost capitalized	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.3

Depreciation and amortization expense for property and equipment	33.5	36.8
8		

Table of Contents**(8) Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets****Goodwill**

The following table shows our goodwill balances by our reporting unit structure.

	Carrying Value at December 31, 2010	Impact of Foreign Currency Translation	Carrying Value at March 31, 2011
Food Packaging segment	\$ 382.9	\$ 1.2	\$ 384.1
Food Solutions segment	147.9	0.5	148.4
Protective Packaging segment:			
Protective Packaging	1,144.5	3.6	1,148.1
Shrink Packaging	115.1	0.3	115.4
Total Protective Packaging segment	1,259.6	3.9	1,263.5
Other:			
Specialty Materials	109.9	0.4	110.3
Medical Applications	45.6	0.2	45.8
New Ventures			
Total Other	155.5	0.6	156.1
Total Company	\$ 1,945.9	\$ 6.2	\$ 1,952.1

We test goodwill for impairment on a reporting unit basis annually during the fourth quarter of each year and at other times if events or circumstances exist that indicate the carrying value of goodwill may no longer be recoverable. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we determined that there were no events or changes in circumstances that occurred that would indicate that the fair value of any of our reporting units may be below its carrying value.

Identifiable Intangible Assets

The following tables summarize our identifiable intangible assets with definite and indefinite useful lives.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Gross carrying value	\$ 117.2	\$ 113.2
Accumulated amortization	(38.2)	(35.2)
Total	\$ 79.0	\$ 78.0

Identifiable intangible assets are included in other assets, net, on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. These include \$31 million of intangible assets that we have determined to have indefinite useful lives.

Below is the amortization expense of our intangible assets. This expense is included in marketing, administrative and development expenses on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

**Three Months
Ended
March 31,
2011 2010**

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Amortization expense of our intangible assets \$ 2.5 \$ 2.8

The following table shows the remaining estimated future amortization expense at March 31, 2011.

2011		\$ 7.2
2012		8.2
2013		7.2
2014		6.2
2015		5.6
Thereafter		13.6
Total		\$ 48.0

Table of Contents**(9) Debt and Credit Facilities**

Our total debt outstanding consisted of the amounts included in the table below.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Short-term borrowings	\$ 9.1	\$ 23.5
Current portion of long-term debt	4.9	6.5
Total current debt	14.0	30.0
5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013, less unamortized discount of \$0.4 in 2011 and 2010(1)	399.4	399.4
12% Senior Notes due February 2014(1), (2)	155.3	156.0
7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017, less unamortized discount of \$7.2 in 2011 and \$7.4 in 2010	392.8	392.6
6.875% Senior Notes due July 2033, less unamortized discount of \$1.4 in 2011 and \$1.5 in 2010	448.6	448.5
Other	2.7	2.7
Total long-term debt, less current portion	1,398.8	1,399.2
Total debt	\$ 1,412.8	\$ 1,429.2

(1) Amount includes adjustments due to interest rate swaps. See Interest Rate Swaps, of Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, for further discussion.

(2) In December 2010, we completed an early redemption of \$150 million of the original outstanding \$300 million principal amount of our 12% Senior Notes.

Lines of Credit

The following table summarizes our available lines of credit and committed and uncommitted lines of credit, including the global credit facility and European credit facility, which are discussed below, and the amounts available under our accounts receivable securitization program. Our principal credit lines were committed and consisted of the global credit facility and the European credit facility. We are not subject to any material compensating balance requirements in connection with our lines of credit.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Used lines of credit	\$ 9.1	\$ 23.5
Unused lines of credit	937.5	902.8
Total available lines of credit	\$ 946.6	\$ 926.3
Available lines of credit committed	\$ 686.5	\$ 671.2
Available lines of credit uncommitted	260.1	255.1
Total available lines of credit	\$ 946.6	\$ 926.3

Accounts receivable securitization program committed(1)	\$	84.0	\$	91.0
---	----	------	----	------

(1) See Note 5, Accounts Receivable Securitization Program, for further details of this program.

Global Credit Facility

The global credit facility is available for general corporate purposes, including the payment of amounts required to be paid upon the effectiveness of the Settlement agreement as defined and discussed in Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies. We may re-borrow amounts repaid under the facility from time to time before its expiration or earlier termination. Our obligations under the facility bear interest at floating rates, which are generally determined by adding the applicable borrowing margin to the base rate or the interbank rate for the relevant currency and time period. The facility provides for changes in borrowing margins based on our long-term senior unsecured debt ratings. The facility has an expiration date of July 26, 2012. As of March 31, 2011, the total amount available under the global credit facility was \$472 million.

Facility fees are payable at the rate of 0.20% per annum on the total amounts available under the global credit

Table of Contents

facility. The facility provides for changes in fees based on our long-term senior unsecured debt ratings. Also, certain U.S. subsidiaries would be required to guarantee obligations under the facility if our long-term senior unsecured debt ratings by both Moody's and Standard & Poor's are below investment grade.

The terms of our global credit facility and our European credit facility, discussed below, include a requirement that, upon the occurrence of specified events that would adversely affect the Settlement agreement or would materially increase our liability in respect of the W. R. Grace bankruptcy or the asbestos liability arising from the Cryovac transaction, we would be required to repay any amounts outstanding under these facilities or refinance these facilities within 60 days.

We did not utilize this facility in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and there were no amounts outstanding under this facility at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

European Credit Facility

We have a 150 million European credit facility, which was equivalent to U.S. \$212 million at March 31, 2011. The facility has an expiration date of July 26, 2012. A syndicate of banks made this facility available to Sealed Air and a group of our European subsidiaries for general corporate purposes, including the payment of amounts required to be paid upon effectiveness of the Settlement agreement. The terms of this facility are substantially similar to the terms of our global credit facility. We may re-borrow amounts repaid under the European credit facility from time to time before the expiration or earlier termination of the facility.

We did not utilize this facility in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and there were no amounts outstanding under this facility at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Other Lines of Credit

Substantially all our short-term borrowings of \$9 million at March 31, 2011 and \$24 million at December 31, 2010 were outstanding under lines of credit available to several of our foreign subsidiaries. The following table details our other lines of credit.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Available lines of credit	\$262.9	\$ 257.8
Unused lines of credit	253.8	234.3
Weighted average interest rate	7.4%	7.4%

Covenants

Each issue of our outstanding senior notes imposes limitations on our operations and those of specified subsidiaries. The principal limitations restrict liens, sale and leaseback transactions and mergers, acquisitions and dispositions. Our global credit facility and our European credit facility contain financial covenants relating to interest coverage, debt leverage and minimum liquidity and restrictions on the creation of liens, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, acquisitions, mergers and consolidations, asset sales, and amendments to the Settlement agreement discussed above. We were in compliance with the above financial covenants and limitations, as applicable, at March 31, 2011.

(10) Derivatives and Hedging Activities

We report all derivative instruments on our balance sheet at fair value and establish criteria for designation and effectiveness of transactions entered into for hedging purposes.

As a large global organization, we face exposure to market risks, such as fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. To manage the volatility relating to these exposures, we enter into various derivative instruments from time to time under our risk management policies. We designate derivative instruments as hedges on a transaction basis to support hedge accounting. The changes in fair value of these hedging instruments offset in part or in whole corresponding changes in the fair value or cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. We assess the initial and ongoing effectiveness of our hedging relationships in accordance with our policy. We do not purchase, hold or sell derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. Our practice is to terminate derivative transactions if the underlying asset or liability matures or is sold or terminated, or if we determine the underlying

forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring.

Table of Contents***Foreign Currency Forward Contracts Not Designated as Hedges***

Our subsidiaries have foreign currency exchange exposure from buying and selling in currencies other than their functional currencies. The primary purposes of our foreign currency hedging activities are to manage the potential changes in value associated with the amounts receivable or payable on transactions denominated in foreign currencies and to minimize the impact of the changes in foreign currencies related to foreign currency denominated interest-bearing intercompany loans and receivables and payables. The changes in fair value of these contracts are recognized in other (expense) income, net, on our condensed consolidated statements of operations and are largely offset by the remeasurement of the underlying foreign currency denominated items indicated above. These contracts have original maturities of less than 12 months.

The estimated fair value of these contracts, which represents the estimated net payments that would be paid or that would be received by us in the event of their termination, based on the then current foreign currency exchange rates, was a net current liability of \$1 million at March 31, 2011 and \$0.3 million at December 31, 2010.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

The primary purposes of our cash flow hedging activities are to manage the potential changes in value associated with the amounts receivable or payable on equipment and raw material transactions that are denominated in foreign currencies in order to minimize the impact of the changes in foreign currencies. We record gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts qualifying as cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income to the extent that these hedges are effective and until we recognize the underlying transactions in net earnings, at which time we recognize these gains and losses in other (expense) income, net, on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Net unrealized after tax gains (losses) related to these contracts were included in other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and were immaterial. The unrealized amounts in other comprehensive income will fluctuate based on changes in the fair value of open contracts during each reporting period.

Interest Rate Swaps

From time to time, we may use interest rate swaps to manage our mix of fixed and floating rates on outstanding indebtedness.

At March 31, 2011, we had outstanding interest rate swaps related to our 12% Senior Notes and our 5.625% Senior Notes that qualified and were designated as fair value hedges. We entered into these interest rate swaps to effectively convert these senior notes into floating rate debt. We recorded a mark-to-market adjustment to record an increase of \$5 million at March 31, 2011 in the carrying amount of these senior notes due to changes in interest rates and an offsetting increase to other assets at March 31, 2011 to record the fair value of the related interest rate swaps. There was no ineffective portion of the hedges recognized in earnings during the period.

At December 31, 2010, we recorded a mark-to-market adjustment to record an increase of \$6 million in the carrying amount of our 12% Senior Notes and our 5.625% Senior Notes due to changes in interest rates and an offsetting increase to other assets at December 31, 2010 to record the fair value of the related interest rate swaps. There was no ineffective portion of the hedges recognized in earnings during the period.

Under the terms of most of our outstanding interest rate swap agreements in 2011, we received interest at a fixed rate and paid interest at variable rates that were based on the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR. The remaining portion of our outstanding interest rate swap agreements in 2011 were based on the six-month LIBOR. As a result of our interest rate swap agreements, interest expense was reduced by \$1 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Other Derivative Instruments

We may use other derivative instruments from time to time, such as foreign exchange options to manage exposure to foreign exchange rates and interest rate and currency swaps related to access to international financing transactions. These instruments can potentially limit foreign exchange exposure by swapping borrowings denominated in one currency for borrowings denominated in another currency. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had no foreign exchange options or interest rate and currency swap agreements outstanding.

Table of Contents

See Note 11, Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments, for a discussion of the inputs and valuation techniques used to determine the fair value of our outstanding derivative instruments.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

The following table details the fair value of our derivative instruments included on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

	Fair Value of Asset Derivatives(1)		Fair Value of (Liability) Derivatives(1)	
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency forward contracts (cash flow hedges)	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.1)	\$
Interest rate swaps	\$ 5.3	\$ 6.0	\$ (0.2)	\$ (0.2)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$	\$ 0.5	\$ (1.2)	\$ (0.8)
Total	\$ 5.4	\$ 6.6	\$ (1.5)	\$ (1.0)

(1) Asset derivatives were included in other current assets for the foreign currency forward contracts and other assets for the interest rate swaps. Liability derivatives were included in other current liabilities for foreign currency forward contracts and other liabilities for interest rate swaps.

The following table details the effect of our derivative instruments on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Net Earnings on Derivatives(1) Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:		
Interest rate swaps	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.6
Foreign currency forward contracts(2)		(0.1)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Foreign currency forward contracts(2)	5.1	(25.3)
Total	\$ 5.9	\$ (24.8)

(1) Amounts recognized on the foreign currency forward contracts were included in other (expense) income, net. Amounts recognized on the interest rate swaps were included in interest expense.

- (2) The net gains and (losses) included above were substantially offset by the net (losses) and gains resulting from the remeasurement of the underlying foreign currency denominated items in other (expense) income, net, on the condensed consolidated statement of operations. The underlying foreign currency denominated items include receivables and payables and interest-bearing intercompany loans and receivables and payables. See Foreign Currency Forward Contracts Not Designated as Hedges above for further information.

(11) Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments

Fair Value Measurements

In determining fair value, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible and consider counterparty credit risk in our assessment of fair value. Fair value measurement should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability in the principal or most advantageous market. When considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, the following fair value hierarchy distinguishes between observable and unobservable inputs, which are categorized in one of the following levels:

Table of Contents

Level 1 Inputs: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities accessible to the reporting entity at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs: Other than quoted prices included in Level 1 inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at measurement date.

The following table details the fair value hierarchy of our financial instruments.

	Total			
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
March 31, 2011				
Cash equivalents	\$ 135.4	\$	\$ 135.4	\$
Derivative financial instruments net asset:				
Interest rate swaps	\$ 5.1	\$	\$ 5.1	\$
Derivative financial instruments net liability:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$ 1.2	\$	\$ 1.2	\$
	Total			
	Fair			
	Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
December 31, 2010				
Cash equivalents	\$ 163.4	\$ 53.4	\$ 110.0	\$
Derivative financial instruments net asset:				
Interest rate swaps	\$ 5.8	\$	\$ 5.8	\$
Derivative financial instruments net liability:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$ 0.2	\$	\$ 0.2	\$

Cash Equivalents

Our cash equivalents at March 31, 2011 consisted of commercial paper (fair value determined using Level 2 inputs). Our cash equivalents at December 31, 2010 consisted of investments in U.S. Treasury obligations (fair value determined using Level 1 inputs) and commercial paper (fair value determined using Level 2 inputs). Since these are short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase, they present negligible risk of changes in fair value due to changes in interest rates.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Our foreign currency forward contracts are recorded at fair value on our condensed consolidated balance sheets using an income approach valuation technique based on observable market inputs (Level 2).

Observable market inputs used in the calculation of the fair value of foreign currency forward contracts include foreign currency spot and forward rates obtained from an independent third party market data provider. In addition, other pricing data quoted by various banks and foreign currency dealers involving identical or comparable instruments are included.

Our interest rate swaps are recorded at fair value on our condensed consolidated balance sheet using an income approach valuation technique based on observable market inputs (Level 2). Observable market inputs used in the

calculation of the fair value of interest rate swaps include pricing data from counterparties to these swaps, and a comparison is made to other market data including U.S. Treasury yields and swap spreads involving identical or comparable derivative instruments.

Counterparties to these foreign currency forward contracts and interest rate swaps are rated at least A- by Standard & Poor's and A3 by Moody's. None of these counterparties experienced any significant ratings downgrades in the three months ended March 31, 2011. The fair value generally reflects the estimated amounts that we would receive or pay to terminate the contracts at the reporting date.

Other Financial Instruments

The following financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts that approximate fair value: (1) receivables, net, (2) certain other current assets, (3) accounts payable and (4) other current liabilities. The carrying amounts reported on our condensed consolidated balance sheets for the above financial instruments closely approximate their

Table of Contents

fair value due to the short-term nature of these assets and liabilities.

Other liabilities that are recorded at carrying value on our condensed consolidated balance sheets include our senior notes. We utilize a market approach to calculate the fair value of our senior notes. Due to their limited investor base and the relatively small face value of each issue of the senior notes, they may not be actively traded on the date we calculate their fair value. Therefore, we utilize prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving similar securities, reflecting U.S. Treasury yields to calculate the yield to maturity and the price on each of our senior notes. These inputs are provided by an independent third party and are considered to be Level 2 inputs.

We derive our fair value estimates of our various other debt instruments by evaluating the nature and terms of each instrument, considering prevailing economic and market conditions, and examining the cost of similar debt offered at the balance sheet date. We also incorporated our credit default swap rates and currency specific swap rates in the valuation of each debt instrument, as applicable.

These estimates are subjective and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and therefore we cannot determine them with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect our estimates.

The table below shows the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our total debt.

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013(1)	\$ 399.4	\$ 426.1	\$ 399.4	\$ 423.1
12% Senior Notes due February 2014(1)	155.3	186.8	156.0	196.5
7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017	392.8	447.9	392.6	438.8
6.875% Senior Notes due July 2033	448.6	448.6	448.5	415.1
Other foreign loans	11.9	11.5	26.2	26.0
Other domestic loans	4.8	4.8	6.5	6.5
Total debt	\$ 1,412.8	\$ 1,525.7	\$ 1,429.2	\$ 1,506.0

(1) The carrying value and fair value of such debt include adjustments due to interest rate swaps. See Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activities.

(12) Income Taxes***Effective Income Tax Rate and Income Tax Provision***

Our effective income tax rate was 27.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 28.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

For both the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, our effective income tax rate was lower than the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to our lower net effective income tax rate on foreign earnings and our domestic manufacturing deduction, partially offset by state income taxes. In addition, the rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was also lower than the statutory U.S. rate because of certain U.S. tax credits. Those credits, which expired on December 31, 2009, were retroactively reinstated in December 2010, and were therefore reflected in our rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and not in our rate for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

There have been no material changes to the Company's unrecognized tax benefits as reported at March 31, 2011, nor have we changed our policy with regard to the reporting of penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits. Therefore, a reconciliation of unrecognized tax benefits from January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011 has not been provided.

Table of Contents**(13) Commitments and Contingencies*****Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies******Settlement Agreement and Related Costs***

On November 27, 2002, we reached an agreement in principle with the Committees appointed to represent asbestos claimants in the bankruptcy case of W. R. Grace & Co., known as Grace, to resolve all current and future asbestos-related claims made against the Company and our affiliates in connection with the Cryovac transaction described below (as memorialized by the parties in the Settlement agreement and as approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Settlement agreement). The Settlement agreement will also resolve the fraudulent transfer claims and successor liability claims, as well as indemnification claims by Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. and affiliated companies, in connection with the Cryovac transaction. On December 3, 2002, our Board of Directors approved the agreement in principle. We received notice that both of the Committees had approved the agreement in principle as of December 5, 2002. The parties subsequently signed the definitive Settlement agreement as of November 10, 2003 consistent with the terms of the agreement in principle. For a description of the Cryovac transaction, asbestos-related claims and the parties involved, see *Cryovac Transaction Discussion of Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies*, *Fresenius Claims*, *Canadian Claims* and *Additional Matters Related to the Cryovac Transaction* below.

We recorded a pre-tax charge of approximately \$850 million as a result of the Settlement agreement on our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2002. The charge consisted of the following items:

a charge of \$513 million covering a cash payment that we will be required to make under the Settlement agreement upon the effectiveness of an appropriate plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy. Because we cannot predict when a plan of reorganization may become effective, we recorded this liability as a current liability on our condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002. Under the terms of the Settlement agreement, this amount accrues interest at a 5.5% annual rate from December 21, 2002 to the date of payment. We have recorded this interest in interest expense on our condensed consolidated statements of operations and in Settlement agreement and related accrued interest on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The accrued interest, which is compounded annually, was \$286 million at March 31, 2011 and \$275 million at December 31, 2010.

a non-cash charge of \$322 million representing the fair market value at the date we recorded the charge of nine million shares of Sealed Air common stock that we expect to issue under the Settlement agreement upon the effectiveness of an appropriate plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy, which was adjusted to eighteen million shares due to our two-for-one stock split in March 2007. These shares are subject to customary anti-dilution provisions that adjust for the effects of stock splits, stock dividends and other events affecting our common stock. The fair market value of our common stock was \$35.72 per pre-split share (\$17.86 post-split) as of the close of business on December 5, 2002. We recorded this amount on our condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002 as follows: \$0.9 million representing the aggregate par value of these shares of common stock reserved for issuance related to the Settlement agreement, and the remaining \$321 million, representing the excess of the aggregate fair market value over the aggregate par value of these common shares, in additional paid-in capital. The diluted net earnings per common share calculations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 reflect the eighteen million shares of common stock that we have reserved for issuance related to the Settlement agreement.

\$16 million of legal and related fees as of December 31, 2002.

Settlement agreement and related costs reflected legal and related fees for Settlement-related matters of \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, which are included in other (expense) income, net, on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Cryovac Transaction

On March 31, 1998, we completed a multi-step transaction that brought the Cryovac packaging business and the former Sealed Air Corporation's business under the common ownership of the Company. These businesses operate as

subsidiaries of the Company, and the Company acts as a holding company. As part of that transaction, the parties separated the Cryovac packaging business, which previously had been held by various direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company, from the remaining businesses previously held by the Company. The parties then arranged for the contribution of these remaining businesses to a company now known as W. R. Grace & Co., and the Company distributed the Grace shares to the Company's stockholders. As a result, W. R. Grace & Co. became a separate publicly owned company. The Company recapitalized its outstanding shares of common stock into a new common stock and a new convertible preferred stock. A subsidiary of the Company then merged into the former Sealed Air Corporation, which became a subsidiary of the Company and changed its name to Sealed Air Corporation (US).

Table of Contents

Discussion of Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies

In connection with the Cryovac transaction, Grace and its subsidiaries retained all liabilities arising out of their operations before the Cryovac transaction, whether accruing or occurring before or after the Cryovac transaction, other than liabilities arising from or relating to Cryovac's operations. Among the liabilities retained by Grace are liabilities relating to asbestos-containing products previously manufactured or sold by Grace's subsidiaries prior to the Cryovac transaction, including its primary U.S. operating subsidiary, W. R. Grace & Co., Conn., which has operated for decades and has been a subsidiary of Grace since the Cryovac transaction. The Cryovac transaction agreements provided that, should any claimant seek to hold the Company or any of its subsidiaries responsible for liabilities retained by Grace or its subsidiaries, including the asbestos-related liabilities, Grace and its subsidiaries would indemnify and defend us.

Since the beginning of 2000, we have been served with a number of lawsuits alleging that, as a result of the Cryovac transaction, we are responsible for alleged asbestos liabilities of Grace and its subsidiaries, some of which were also named as co-defendants in some of these actions. Among these lawsuits are several purported class actions and a number of personal injury lawsuits. Some plaintiffs seek damages for personal injury or wrongful death, while others seek medical monitoring, environmental remediation or remedies related to an attic insulation product. Neither the former Sealed Air Corporation nor Cryovac, Inc. ever produced or sold any of the asbestos-containing materials that are the subjects of these cases. None of these cases has reached resolution through judgment, settlement or otherwise. As discussed below, Grace's Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding has stayed all of these cases.

While the allegations in these actions directed to us vary, these actions all appear to allege that the transfer of the Cryovac business as part of the Cryovac transaction was a fraudulent transfer or gave rise to successor liability. Under a theory of successor liability, plaintiffs with claims against Grace and its subsidiaries may attempt to hold us liable for liabilities that arose with respect to activities conducted prior to the Cryovac transaction by W. R. Grace & Co., Conn. or other Grace subsidiaries. A transfer would be a fraudulent transfer if the transferor received less than reasonably equivalent value and the transferor was insolvent or was rendered insolvent by the transfer, was engaged or was about to engage in a business for which its assets constitute unreasonably small capital, or intended to incur or believed that it would incur debts beyond its ability to pay as they mature. A transfer may also be fraudulent if it was made with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors. If a court found any transfers in connection with the Cryovac transaction to be fraudulent transfers, we could be required to return the property or its value to the transferor or could be required to fund liabilities of Grace or its subsidiaries for the benefit of their creditors, including asbestos claimants. We have reached an agreement in principle and subsequently signed the Settlement agreement, described below, that is expected to resolve all these claims.

In the Joint Proxy Statement furnished to their respective stockholders in connection with the Cryovac transaction, both parties to the transaction stated that it was their belief that Grace and its subsidiaries were adequately capitalized and would be adequately capitalized after the Cryovac transaction and that none of the transfers contemplated to occur in the Cryovac transaction would be a fraudulent transfer. They also stated their belief that the Cryovac transaction complied with other relevant laws. However, if a court applying the relevant legal standards had reached conclusions adverse to us, these determinations could have had a materially adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

On April 2, 2001, Grace and a number of its subsidiaries filed petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in the District of Delaware. Grace stated that the filing was made in response to a sharply increasing number of asbestos claims since 1999.

In connection with its Chapter 11 filing, Grace filed an application with the Bankruptcy Court seeking to stay, among others, all actions brought against the Company and specified subsidiaries related to alleged asbestos liabilities of Grace and its subsidiaries or alleging fraudulent transfer claims. The court issued an order dated May 3, 2001, which was modified on January 22, 2002, under which the court stayed all the filed or pending asbestos actions against us and, upon filing and service on us, all future asbestos actions. No further proceedings involving us can occur in the actions that have been stayed except upon further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

Committees appointed to represent asbestos claimants in Grace's bankruptcy case received the court's permission to pursue fraudulent transfer and other claims against the Company and its subsidiary Cryovac, Inc., and against

Fresenius, as discussed below. The claims against Fresenius are based upon a 1996 transaction between Fresenius and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. Fresenius is not affiliated with us. In March 2002, the court ordered that the issues of the solvency of Grace following the Cryovac transaction and whether Grace received reasonably equivalent value in the Cryovac transaction would be tried on behalf of all of Grace's creditors. This proceeding was brought in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (Adv. No. 02-02210).

In June 2002, the court permitted the U.S. government to intervene as a plaintiff in the fraudulent transfer

Table of Contents

proceeding, so that the U.S. government could pursue allegations that environmental remediation expenses were underestimated or omitted in the solvency analyses of Grace conducted at the time of the Cryovac transaction. The court also permitted Grace, which asserted that the Cryovac transaction was not a fraudulent transfer, to intervene in the proceeding. In July 2002, the court issued an interim ruling on the legal standards to be applied in the trial, holding, among other things, that, subject to specified limitations, post-1998 claims should be considered in the solvency analysis of Grace. We believe that only claims and liabilities that were known, or reasonably should have been known, at the time of the 1998 Cryovac transaction should be considered under the applicable standard.

With the fraudulent transfer trial set to commence on December 9, 2002, on November 27, 2002, we reached an agreement in principle with the Committees prosecuting the claims against the Company and Cryovac, Inc., to resolve all current and future asbestos-related claims arising from the Cryovac transaction. On the same day, the court entered an order confirming that the parties had reached an amicable resolution of the disputes among the parties and that counsel for us and the Committees had agreed and bound the parties to the terms of the agreement in principle. As discussed above, the agreement in principle called for payment of nine million shares of our common stock and \$512.5 million in cash, plus interest on the cash payment at a 5.5% annual rate starting on December 21, 2002 and ending on the effective date of an appropriate plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy, when we are required to make the payment. These shares are subject to customary anti-dilution provisions that adjust for the effects of stock splits, stock dividends and other events affecting our common stock, and as a result, the number of shares of our common stock that we will issue increased to eighteen million shares upon the two-for-one stock split in March 2007. On December 3, 2002, the Company's Board of Directors approved the agreement in principle. We received notice that both of the Committees had approved the agreement in principle as of December 5, 2002. The parties subsequently signed the definitive Settlement agreement as of November 10, 2003 consistent with the terms of the agreement in principle. On November 26, 2003, the parties jointly presented the definitive Settlement agreement to the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware for approval. On Grace's motion to the U.S. District Court, that court transferred the motion to approve the Settlement agreement to the Bankruptcy Court for disposition.

On June 27, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court signed an order approving the Settlement agreement. Although Grace is not a party to the Settlement agreement, under the terms of the order, Grace is directed to comply with the Settlement agreement subject to limited exceptions. The order also provides that the Court will retain jurisdiction over any dispute involving the interpretation or enforcement of the terms and provisions of the Settlement agreement. We expect that the Settlement agreement will become effective upon Grace's emergence from bankruptcy pursuant to a plan of reorganization that is consistent with the terms of the Settlement agreement.

On June 8, 2004, we filed a motion with the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, where the fraudulent transfer trial was pending, requesting that the court vacate the July 2002 interim ruling on the legal standards to be applied relating to the fraudulent transfer claims against us. We were not challenging the Settlement agreement. The motion was filed as a protective measure in the event that the Settlement agreement is ultimately not approved or implemented; however, we still expect that the Settlement agreement will become effective upon Grace's emergence from bankruptcy with a plan of reorganization that is consistent with the terms of the Settlement agreement.

On July 11, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order closing the proceeding brought in 2002 by the committees appointed to represent asbestos claimants in the Grace bankruptcy proceeding against us without prejudice to our right to reopen the matter and renew in our sole discretion our motion to vacate the July 2002 interim ruling on the legal standards to be applied relating to the fraudulent transfer claims against us.

As a condition to our obligation to make the payments required by the Settlement agreement, any final plan of reorganization must be consistent with the terms of the Settlement agreement, including provisions for the trusts and releases referred to below and for an injunction barring the prosecution of any asbestos-related claims against us. The Settlement agreement provides that, upon the effective date of the final plan of reorganization and payment of the shares and cash, all present and future asbestos-related claims against us that arise from alleged asbestos liabilities of Grace and its affiliates (including former affiliates that became our affiliates through the Cryovac transaction) will be channeled to and become the responsibility of one or more trusts to be established under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code as part of a final plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy. The Settlement agreement will also resolve all fraudulent transfer claims against us arising from the Cryovac transaction as well as the Fresenius claims

described below. The Settlement agreement provides that we will receive releases of all those claims upon payment. Under the agreement, we cannot seek indemnity from Grace for our payments required by the Settlement agreement. The order approving the Settlement agreement also provides that the stay of proceedings involving us described above will continue through the effective date of the final plan of reorganization, after which, upon implementation of the Settlement agreement, we will be released from the liabilities asserted in those proceedings and their continued prosecution against us will be enjoined.

Table of Contents

In January 2005, Grace filed a proposed plan of reorganization (the Grace Plan) with the Bankruptcy Court. There were a number of objections filed. The Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants (the ACC) and the Asbestos PI Future Claimants Representative (the FCR) filed their proposed plan of reorganization (the Claimants Plan) with the Bankruptcy Court in November 2007. On April 7, 2008, Grace issued a press release announcing that Grace, the ACC, the FCR, and the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders (the Equity Committee) had reached an agreement in principle to settle all present and future asbestos-related personal injury claims against Grace (the PI Settlement) and disclosed a term sheet outlining certain terms of the PI Settlement and for a contemplated plan of reorganization that would incorporate the PI Settlement (as filed and amended from time to time, the PI Settlement Plan).

On September 19, 2008, Grace, the ACC, the FCR, and the Equity Committee filed, as co-proponents, the PI Settlement Plan and several exhibits and associated documents, including a disclosure statement (as filed and amended from time to time, the PI Settlement Disclosure Statement), with the Bankruptcy Court. Amended versions of the PI Settlement Plan and the PI Settlement Disclosure Statement have been filed with the Bankruptcy Court from time to time. The PI Settlement Plan, which supersedes each of the Grace Plan and the Claimants Plan, remains pending and has not become effective. The committee representing general unsecured creditors and the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants are not co-proponents of the PI Settlement Plan. As filed, the PI Settlement Plan would provide for the establishment of two asbestos trusts under Section 524(g) of the United States Bankruptcy Code to which present and future asbestos-related claims would be channeled. The PI Settlement Plan also contemplates that the terms of the Settlement agreement will be incorporated into the PI Settlement Plan and that we will pay the amount contemplated by the Settlement agreement. On March 9, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the PI Settlement Disclosure Statement (the DS Order) as containing adequate information and authorizing Grace to solicit votes to accept or reject the PI Settlement Plan, all as more fully described in the order. The DS Order did not constitute the Bankruptcy Court s confirmation of the PI Settlement Plan, approval of the merits of the PI Settlement Plan, or endorsement of the PI Settlement Plan. In connection with the plan voting process in the Grace bankruptcy case, we voted in favor of the PI Settlement Plan that was before the Bankruptcy Court. We will continue to review any amendments to the PI Settlement Plan on an ongoing basis to verify compliance with the Settlement agreement.

On June 8, 2009, a senior manager with the voting agent appointed in the Grace bankruptcy case filed a declaration with the Bankruptcy Court certifying the voting results with respect to the PI Settlement Plan. This declaration was amended on August 5, 2009 (as amended, the Voting Declaration). According to the Voting Declaration, with respect to each class of claims designated as impaired by Grace, the PI Settlement Plan was approved by holders of at least two-thirds in amount and more than one-half in number (or for classes voting for purposes of Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code, at least 75% in number) of voted claims. The Voting Declaration also discusses the voting results with respect to holders of general unsecured claims (GUCs) against Grace, whose votes were provisionally solicited and counted subject to a determination by the Bankruptcy Court of whether GUCs are impaired (and, thus, entitled to vote) or, as Grace contends, unimpaired (and, thus, not entitled to vote). According to the Voting Declaration, more than one half of voting holders of GUCs voted to accept the PI Settlement Plan, but the provisional vote did not obtain the requisite two-thirds dollar amount to be deemed an accepting class in the event that GUCs are determined to be impaired. To the extent that GUCs are determined to be an impaired non-accepting class, Grace and the other plan proponents have indicated that they would nevertheless seek confirmation of the PI Settlement Plan under the cram down provisions contained in section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

On January 31, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered a memorandum opinion (as amended, the Memorandum Opinion) overruling certain objections to the PI Settlement Plan and finding, among other things, that GUCs are not impaired under the PI Settlement Plan. On the same date, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order regarding confirmation of the PI Settlement Plan (as amended, the Confirmation Order). As entered on January 31, 2011, the Confirmation Order contained recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law, and recommended that the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (the District Court) approve the Confirmation Order, and that the District Court confirm the PI Settlement Plan and issue a channeling injunction under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. Thereafter, on February 15, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order clarifying its Memorandum Opinion and the

Confirmation Order (the Clarifying Order). Among other things, the Clarifying Order provided that any references in the Memorandum Opinion and the Confirmation Order to a recommendation that the District Court confirm the PI Settlement Plan were thereby amended to make clear that the PI Settlement Plan was confirmed and that the Bankruptcy Court was requesting that the District Court issue and affirm the Confirmation Order including the injunction under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. On March 11, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order granting in part and denying in part a motion to reconsider the Memorandum Opinion filed by BNSF Railway Company (the March 11 Order). Among other things, the March 11 Order amended the Memorandum Opinion to clarify certain matters relating to objections to the PI Settlement Plan filed by BNSF.

Table of Contents

Although we are optimistic that, if it were to become effective, the PI Settlement Plan would implement the terms of the Settlement agreement, we can give no assurance that this will be the case notwithstanding the Bankruptcy Court's confirmation of the PI Settlement Plan. The terms of the PI Settlement Plan remain subject to amendment. Moreover, the PI Settlement Plan is subject to the satisfaction of a number of conditions which are more fully set forth in the PI Settlement Plan and include, without limitation, the availability of exit financing and the approval of the PI Settlement Plan by the District Court. Additionally, various parties have filed notices of appeal or have otherwise challenged the Memorandum Opinion and the Confirmation Order, and the PI Settlement Plan may be subject to further appeal or challenge before the District Court or other courts. The appealing parties have designated various issues to be considered on appeal, including, without limitation, issues relating to releases and injunctions contained in the PI Settlement Plan. The District Court has scheduled a hearing, which is currently set for June 28, 2011, to hear oral arguments in connection with appeals of the Memorandum Opinion and the Confirmation Order.

While the Bankruptcy Court has confirmed the PI Settlement Plan and the District Court has scheduled a hearing to consider oral arguments relating to appeals of the Memorandum Opinion and the Confirmation Order, additional proceedings may be held before the District Court or other courts to consider matters related to the PI Settlement Plan. We do not know whether or when the District Court will affirm the Memorandum Opinion or the Confirmation Order or approve the PI Settlement Plan, or whether or when a final plan of reorganization will become effective. Assuming that a final plan of reorganization (whether the PI Settlement Plan or another plan of reorganization) is confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court, approved by the District Court, and does become effective, we do not know whether the final plan of reorganization will be consistent with the terms of the Settlement agreement or if the other conditions to our obligation to pay the Settlement agreement amount will be met. If these conditions are not satisfied or not waived by us, we will not be obligated to pay the amount contemplated by the Settlement agreement. However, if we do not pay the Settlement agreement amount, we will not be released from the various asbestos related, fraudulent transfer, successor liability, and indemnification claims made against us and all of these claims would remain pending and would have to be resolved through other means, such as through agreement on alternative settlement terms or trials. In that case, we could face liabilities that are significantly different from our obligations under the Settlement agreement. We cannot estimate at this time what those differences or their magnitude may be. In the event these liabilities are materially larger than the current existing obligations, they could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations. We will continue to review the Grace bankruptcy proceedings (including appeals and other proceedings relating to the Memorandum Opinion, the Confirmation Order, or the PI Settlement Plan), as well as any amendments or changes to the Memorandum Opinion, the Confirmation Order, or the PI Settlement Plan, to verify compliance with the Settlement agreement.

Fresenius Claims

In January 2002, we filed a declaratory judgment action against Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc., its parent, Fresenius AG, a German company, and specified affiliates in New York State court asking the court to resolve a contract dispute between the parties. The Fresenius parties contended that we were obligated to indemnify them for liabilities that they might incur as a result of the 1996 Fresenius transaction mentioned above. The Fresenius parties' contention was based on their interpretation of the agreements between them and W. R. Grace & Co., Conn. in connection with the 1996 Fresenius transaction. In February 2002, the Fresenius parties announced that they had accrued a charge of \$172.0 million for these potential liabilities, which included pre-transaction tax liabilities of Grace and the costs of defense of litigation arising from Grace's Chapter 11 filing. We believe that we were not responsible to indemnify the Fresenius parties under the 1996 agreements and filed the action to proceed to a resolution of the Fresenius parties' claims. In April 2002, the Fresenius parties filed a motion to dismiss the action and for entry of declaratory relief in its favor. We opposed the motion, and in July 2003, the court denied the motion without prejudice in view of the November 27, 2002 agreement in principle referred to above. As noted above, under the Settlement agreement, we and the Fresenius parties will exchange mutual releases, which will release us from any and all claims related to the 1996 Fresenius transaction.

Canadian Claims

In November 2004, the Company's Canadian subsidiary Sealed Air (Canada) Co./Cie learned that it had been named a defendant in the case of *Thundersky v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al.* (File No. CI04-01-39818),

pending in the Manitoba Court of Queen's Bench. Grace and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. are also named as defendants. The plaintiff brought the claim as a putative class proceeding and seeks recovery for alleged injuries suffered by any Canadian resident, other than in the course of employment, as a result of Grace's marketing, selling, processing, manufacturing, distributing and/or delivering asbestos or asbestos-containing products in Canada prior to the Cryovac Transaction. A plaintiff filed another proceeding in January 2005 in the Manitoba Court of The Queen's Bench naming the Company and specified subsidiaries as defendants. The latter proceeding, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Manitoba v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al.* (File No. CI05-01-41069), seeks the recovery of the cost of insured health services

Table of Contents

allegedly provided by the Government of Manitoba to the members of the class of plaintiffs in the *Thundersky* proceeding. In October 2005, we learned that six additional putative class proceedings had been brought in various provincial and federal courts in Canada seeking recovery from the Company and its subsidiaries Cryovac, Inc. and Sealed Air (Canada) Co./Cie, as well as other defendants including W. R. Grace & Co. and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn., for alleged injuries suffered by any Canadian resident, other than in the course of employment (except with respect to one of these six claims), as a result of Grace's marketing, selling, manufacturing, processing, distributing and/or delivering asbestos or asbestos-containing products in Canada prior to the Cryovac transaction. Grace and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the Company and its affiliates in respect of any liability and expense, including legal fees and costs, in these actions.

In April 2001, Grace Canada, Inc. had obtained an order of the Superior Court of Justice, Commercial List, Toronto (the Canadian Court), recognizing the Chapter 11 actions in the United States of America involving Grace Canada, Inc.'s U.S. parent corporation and other affiliates of Grace Canada, Inc., and enjoining all new actions and staying all current proceedings against Grace Canada, Inc. related to asbestos under the Companies Creditors Arrangement Act. That order has been renewed repeatedly. In November 2005, upon motion by Grace Canada, Inc., the Canadian Court ordered an extension of the injunction and stay to actions involving asbestos against the Company and its Canadian affiliate and the Attorney General of Canada, which had the effect of staying all of the Canadian actions referred to above. The parties finalized a global settlement of these Canadian actions (except for claims against the Canadian government). That settlement, which has subsequently been amended (the Canadian Settlement), will be entirely funded by Grace. The Canadian Court issued an Order on December 13, 2009 approving the Canadian Settlement. We do not have any positive obligations under the Canadian Settlement, but we are a beneficiary of the release of claims. The release in favor of the Grace parties (including us) will become operative upon the effective date of a plan of reorganization in Grace's United States Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding. As filed, the PI Settlement Plan contemplates that the claims released under the Canadian Settlement will be subject to injunctions under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. As indicated above, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Confirmation Order on January 31, 2011 and the Clarifying Order on February 15, 2011. The Canadian Court issued an Order on April 8, 2011 recognizing and giving full effect to the Bankruptcy Court's Confirmation Order in all provinces and territories of Canada in accordance with the Confirmation Order's terms. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the PI Settlement Plan has not become effective, and we can give no assurance that the PI Settlement Plan (or any other plan of reorganization) will be approved by the District Court or will become effective. Assuming that a final plan of reorganization (whether the PI Settlement Plan or another plan of reorganization) is approved by the District Court, and does become effective, if the final plan of reorganization does not incorporate the terms of the Canadian Settlement or if the Canadian courts refuse to enforce the final plan of reorganization in the Canadian courts, and if in addition Grace is unwilling or unable to defend and indemnify the Company and its subsidiaries in these cases, then we could be required to pay substantial damages, which we cannot estimate at this time and which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Additional Matters Related to the Cryovac Transaction

In view of Grace's Chapter 11 filing, we may receive additional claims asserting that we are liable for obligations that Grace had agreed to retain in the Cryovac transaction and for which we may be contingently liable. To date, we are not aware of any material claims having been asserted or threatened against us.

Final determinations and accountings under the Cryovac transaction agreements with respect to matters pertaining to the transaction had not been completed at the time of Grace's Chapter 11 filing in 2001. We have filed claims in the bankruptcy proceeding that reflect the costs and liabilities that we have incurred or may incur that Grace and its affiliates agreed to retain or that are subject to indemnification by Grace and its affiliates under the Cryovac transaction agreements, other than payments to be made under the Settlement agreement. Grace has alleged that we are responsible for specified amounts under the Cryovac transaction agreements. Subject to the terms of the Settlement agreement, amounts for which we may be liable to Grace may be used to offset the liabilities of Grace and its affiliates to us. We intend to seek indemnification by Grace and its affiliates to the extent permissible under law, the Settlement agreement, and the Cryovac transaction agreements. Except to the extent of any potential setoff or similar claim, we expect that our claims will be as an unsecured creditor of Grace. Since portions of our claims against

Grace and its affiliates are contingent or unliquidated, we cannot determine the amount of our claims, the extent to which these claims may be reduced by setoff, how much of the claims may be allowed, or the amount of our recovery on these claims, if any, in the bankruptcy proceeding.

Table of Contents**(14) Stockholders Equity****Quarterly Cash Dividends**

On April 7, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.13 per common share. This dividend is payable on June 17, 2011 to stockholders of record at the close of business on June 3, 2011. The estimated amount of this dividend payment is \$21 million based on 160 million shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of April 30, 2011.

On February 17, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.13 per common share, which was paid on March 18, 2011 to stockholders of record at the close of business on March 4, 2011. We used \$21 million of available cash to pay this quarterly cash dividend.

The dividend payments discussed above are recorded as reductions to cash and cash equivalents and retained earnings on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Currently there are no restrictions that materially limit our ability to pay dividends or that we reasonably believe are likely to materially limit the future payment of dividends on our common stock. From time to time, we may consider other means of returning value to our stockholders based on our consolidated financial position and results of operations. There is no guarantee that our Board of Directors will declare any further dividends.

2005 Contingent Stock Plan**Share-based Incentive Compensation**

We record share-based incentive compensation expense in marketing, administrative and development expenses on our condensed consolidated statements of operations with a corresponding credit to additional paid-in capital within stockholders equity based on the fair value of the share-based incentive compensation awards at the date of grant. We recognize an expense or credit reflecting the straight-line recognition, net of estimated forfeitures, of the expected cost of the program. For the 2011 three-year PSU awards, 2010 three-year PSU awards and the 2009 three-year PSU awards, to the extent the performance against the targets improves or worsens, the cumulative amount accrued to date is adjusted up or down.

The table below shows our total share-based incentive compensation expense.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
2011 Three-year PSU Awards	\$ 0.9	\$
2010 Three-year PSU Awards	0.8	0.8
2009 Two-year and Three-year PSU Awards	1.5	3.3
SLO Awards	0.4	0.8
Other long-term share-based incentive compensation programs	2.2	2.6
Total share-based incentive compensation expense	\$ 5.8	\$ 7.5

The following table shows the estimated amount of total share-based incentive compensation expense expected to be recognized on a straight-line basis over the remaining respective vesting periods by program at March 31, 2011.

	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
2011 Three-year PSU Awards	\$ 2.7	\$ 3.6	\$ 3.5	\$	\$ 9.8
2010 Three-year PSU Awards	2.2	3.0			5.2
2009 Three-year PSU Awards	5.3				5.3
SLO Awards	1.5	0.5			2.0
Other long-term share-based incentive compensation programs	6.8	6.6	3.1	0.3	16.8

Total share-based incentive compensation expense	\$ 18.5	\$ 13.7	\$ 6.6	\$ 0.3	\$ 39.1
--	---------	---------	--------	--------	---------

For the 2011 three-year PSU awards, 2010 three-year PSU awards and the 2009 three-year PSU awards, the estimated amount of this future share-based incentive compensation expense will fluctuate based on: 1) the level of achievement of the respective goals and measures considered probable in future quarters, which impacts the number of shares that could be issued; and 2) the future price of our common stock, which impacts the expense related to additional discretionary shares.

Table of Contents

The discussion that follows provides further details of our share-based incentive compensation programs.

PSU Awards

As part of our long term incentive program adopted in 2008, during the first 90 days of each year, the Organization and Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, or Compensation Committee, has approved Performance Share Unit (PSU) awards for our executive officers and other selected key executives, which includes for each officer or executive a target number of shares of common stock and performance goals and measures that will determine the percentage of the target award that is earned following the end of the performance period. Following the end of the performance period, participants will also receive a cash payment in the amount of the dividends (without interest) that would have been paid during the performance period on the number of shares that they have earned. As of March 31, 2011, we have accrued \$2 million for these dividends in other current liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

2011 Three-year PSU Awards

In March 2011, the Compensation Committee approved awards with a three-year performance period beginning January 1, 2011. The Compensation Committee established principal performance goals, which are 1) three-year cumulative volume growth of net trade sales and 2) three-year average return on invested capital. These performance goals are outlined in further detail in the Proxy Statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The targeted number of shares of common stock that can be earned is 409,410 shares for these 2011 PSU awards. If the threshold level is achieved for either of the two performance goals mentioned above, then the number of shares earned for each participant can be increased (if the additional goal mentioned below is achieved) or decreased (if the additional goal mentioned below is not achieved) by up to 10% of the target level at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, or an aggregate of 40,941 shares for all participants. The additional goal is a 2013 safety result of a total recordable incident rate (a workplace safety indicator) (TRIR) of 1.20 or better, excluding facilities acquired during the performance period.

The total number of shares to be issued for these awards can range from zero to 200% of the target number of shares depending on the level of achievement of the performance goals and measures, plus or minus the 40,941 additional discretionary shares mentioned above.

The expense included in the table above was calculated using a grant date common stock share price of \$26.18 per share on March 11, 2011 and is based on management's estimate as of March 31, 2011 of the level of probable achievement of the performance goals and measures, which was determined to be at the target level (409,410 shares) as of March 31, 2011.

2010 Three-year PSU Awards

In March 2010, the Compensation Committee approved awards with a three-year performance period beginning January 1, 2010. The Compensation Committee established principal performance goals, which are 1) three-year cumulative volume growth of net trade sales and 2) three-year average return on invested capital. These performance goals are outlined in further detail in the Proxy Statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The targeted number of shares of common stock that can be earned is 433,481 shares for these 2010 PSU awards. If the threshold level is achieved for either of the two performance goals mentioned above, then the number of shares earned for each participant can be increased (if the additional goal mentioned below is achieved) or decreased (if the additional goal mentioned below is not achieved) by up to 10% of the target level at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, or an aggregate of 43,348 shares for all participants. The additional goal is a 2012 safety result of TRIR of 1.20 or better, excluding facilities acquired during the performance period.

The total number of shares to be issued for these awards can range from zero to 200% of the target number of shares depending on the level of achievement of the performance goals and measures, plus or minus the 43,348 additional discretionary shares mentioned above.

The expense included in the table above was calculated using a grant date common stock share price of \$20.88 per share on March 8, 2010 and is based on management's estimate as of March 31, 2011 of the level of probable achievement of the performance goals and measures, which was determined to be at the target level (433,481 shares, net of forfeitures) as of March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents*2009 Three-year PSU Awards*

The targeted number of shares of common stock that can be earned is 551,131 for the three-year PSU awards made in 2009. The total number of shares to be issued for each PSU for the three-year awards can range from zero to 200% of the target number of shares depending on the level of achievement of the operating profit performance goals and measures. If the threshold level is achieved for the operating performance goals and measures, then the number of shares earned for each participant can be increased (if the additional goals mentioned below are achieved) or decreased (if the additional goals mentioned below are not achieved) by up to 10% of the target level at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, or an aggregate of 55,113 shares for all participants. The additional goals are 1) average quarterly inventory days on hand starting December 31, 2008 through the performance period below the average quarterly days on hand for the period December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2008; and 2) a safety result for the final year of the performance period of TRIR of 1.30 or better, excluding facilities acquired during the performance period. These provisions are outlined in further detail in the 2010 Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Probable achievement of the operating profit performance goals and measures based on management's estimate as of March 31, 2011 was determined to be at the maximum level (1,102,262 shares, net of forfeitures) as of March 31, 2011. The expense included in the table above for the shares related to the achievement of the operating performance goals and measures was calculated using a common stock share price of \$20.88 per share on March 8, 2010. The expense included in the table above for the shares related to the additional goals was calculated using a common stock share price of \$26.66 on March 31, 2011, because of their discretionary nature.

In February 2011, we issued 1,114,139 shares of common stock for the 2009 two-year PSU awards. These awards were based on the achievement of the operating profit performance goals and measures at the maximum level in the two-year performance period of 2009 through 2010. We also acquired 408,751 of these shares of common stock that were withheld from employees to satisfy their minimum tax withholding obligations under our 2005 contingent stock plan. These shares are held in common stock in treasury at a fair market value of \$12 million.

SLO Awards

Before the start of each performance year, each of our executive officers and other selected key executives is eligible to elect to receive all or a portion of his or her annual cash bonus for that year, in increments of 25% of the annual bonus, as an award of restricted stock or restricted stock units under the 2005 contingent stock plan in lieu of cash. The portion provided as an equity award may be given a premium to be determined by the Compensation Committee each year and will be rounded up to the nearest whole share. The stock price used in the calculation of the number of shares will be the closing sale price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the first trading day of the performance year. The award will be granted following the end of the performance year and after determination by the Compensation Committee of the amount of the annual bonus award for each executive officer and other selected key executive who has elected to take all or a portion of his or her annual bonus as an equity award, but no later than the March 15 following the end of the performance year.

The equity award will be made in the form of an award of restricted stock or restricted stock units that will vest on the second anniversary of the grant date or earlier in the event of death, disability or retirement from employment with us, and the shares subject to the award will not be transferable by the recipient until the later of vesting or the second anniversary of the grant date. If the recipient ceases to be employed by us before vesting, then the shares will be forfeited, except for certain circumstances following a change in control. The award will be made in the form of restricted stock unless the award would be taxable to the recipient before the shares become transferable by the recipient, in which case the award will be made in the form of restricted stock units. Recipients who hold SLO awards in the form of restricted stock receive dividends. Recipients who hold SLO awards in the form of restricted stock units receive a cash payment in the amount of the dividends (without interest) on the shares they have earned at about the same time that shares are issued to them following the period of restriction. As of March 31, 2011, we have accrued for these dividends in other current liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheet and the amount was immaterial.

For 2011, the Compensation Committee set the SLO award premium at 25%. The 2011 SLO target awards comprise an aggregate of 94,935 restricted stock shares and restricted stock units as of March 31, 2011. For 2010, the Compensation Committee set the SLO award premium at 25%. The 2010 SLO awards that were issued on March 13,

2011 comprised an aggregate of 34,596 restricted stock shares and restricted stock units.

We record compensation expense for these awards in marketing, administrative and development expenses on the condensed consolidated statement of operations with a corresponding credit to additional paid-in-capital within stockholder's equity, based on the fair value of the awards at the end of each reporting period, which reflects the effects of stock price changes.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, compensation expense related to the 2011 SLO awards was recognized based on the extent to which the performance goals and measures for 2011 annual cash bonuses were considered probable of achievement at March 31, 2011. This expense is being recognized over a fifteen month period on a straight-line basis since a majority of the awards will vest at grant date, which will be no later than March 15, 2012, due to the retirement eligibility provision.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, compensation expense related to the 2010 SLO awards was recognized based on the extent to which the performance goals and measures for 2010 annual cash bonuses were considered probable of achievement at March 31, 2010. This expense was recognized over a fifteen month period on a straight-line basis since a majority of the awards vested at grant date, which was March 13, 2011, due to the retirement eligibility provision.

Other Long-term Share-based Incentive Compensation

Under our 2005 contingent stock plan, the Compensation Committee may grant our employees awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units and cash awards measured by share price as long-term share-based incentive compensation. Our executive officers and other key executives may also receive awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units from time to time.

(15) Net Earnings Per Common Share

The following table shows the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings per common share under the two-class method.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Basic Net Earnings Per Common Share:		
Numerator		
Net earnings available to common stockholders	\$ 59.7	\$ 61.2
Distributed and allocated undistributed net earnings to non-vested restricted stockholders	(0.4)	(0.4)
Distributed and allocated undistributed net earnings to common stockholders	59.3	60.8
Distributed net earnings dividends paid to common stockholders	(20.7)	(19.0)
Allocation of undistributed net earnings to common stockholders	\$ 38.6	\$ 41.8
Denominator		
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic	158.7	157.8
Basic net earnings per common share:		
Distributed net earnings to common stockholders	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.12
Allocated undistributed net earnings to common stockholders	0.24	0.26
Basic net earnings per common share:	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.38
Diluted Net Earnings Per Common Share:		
Numerator		
Distributed and allocated undistributed net earnings to common stockholders	\$ 59.3	\$ 60.8
Add: Allocated undistributed net earnings to non-vested restricted stockholders	0.2	0.3
Less: Undistributed net earnings reallocated to non-vested restricted stockholders	(0.2)	(0.3)

Net earnings available to common stockholders diluted	\$ 59.3	\$ 60.8
Denominator		
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic	158.7	157.8
Effect of assumed issuance of Settlement agreement shares	18.0	18.0
Effect of non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock units	0.2	0.3
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding diluted	176.9	176.1
Diluted net earnings per common share	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.35

Table of Contents*PSU Awards*

Since the PSU awards discussed in Note 14, Stockholders Equity, are contingently issuable shares that are based on a condition other than earnings or market price, these shares have been excluded from the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 because they have not met the performance conditions as of these dates.

SLO Awards

The shares or units associated with the SLO awards for the fiscal year 2011 are considered contingently issuable shares and therefore are not included in the basic or diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2011. These shares or units, discussed in Note 14, Stockholders Equity, will not be included in the common shares outstanding until the final determination of the amount of annual incentive compensation is made in the first quarter of the following year consistent with the treatment of these awards in 2010. Once this determination is made, the shares or units will be included in the basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding if the employee is retirement eligible or in the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding if the employee is not retirement eligible. The numbers of shares or units associated with SLO awards for the 2010 and earlier fiscal years that were included in the common shares outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were nominal.

(16) Other (Expense) Income, net

The following table provides details of other (expense) income, net.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Interest and dividend income	\$ 2.0	\$ 2.0
Net foreign exchange transaction (losses) gains	(4.4)	1.5
Settlement agreement and related costs	(0.4)	(0.3)
Noncontrolling interests	0.7	0.5
Net losses in equity affiliates	(0.2)	(1.1)
Costs associated with our accounts receivable securitization program	(0.2)	(0.2)
Other, net	(1.4)	(0.1)
Other (expense) income, net	\$ (3.9)	\$ 2.3

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The information in our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in Item 7 of Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, and our consolidated financial statements and related notes set forth in Item 8 of Part II of that Form 10-K. See Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors and Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, below, and the information referenced therein, for a description of risks that we face and important factors that we believe could cause actual results to differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements. All amounts and percentages are approximate due to rounding and all dollars are in millions, except per share amounts. When we cross-reference to a Note, we are referring to our Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, unless the context indicates otherwise.

Non-U.S. GAAP Information

In our MD&A, we present financial information in accordance with U.S. GAAP, but we also present financial measures that do not conform to U.S. GAAP, which we refer to as non-U.S. GAAP. As discussed below, we provide this supplemental information as our management believes it is useful to investors. Investors should use caution, however, when reviewing our non-U.S. GAAP presentations. The non-U.S. GAAP information is not a substitute for U.S. GAAP information. It does not purport to represent the similarly titled U.S. GAAP information and is not an indicator of our performance under U.S. GAAP. Further, non-U.S. GAAP financial measures that we present may not be comparable with similarly titled measures used by others.

In our 2011 Outlook below, we present anticipated full year 2011 diluted net earnings per common share (EPS) on a U.S. GAAP basis, but we also note that we will exclude any non-operating gains or losses that may be recognized in 2011 related to currency fluctuations in Venezuela from our adjusted EPS. We believe these gains or losses are attributable to the significant foreign exchange fluctuations in that country and are not indicative of a normal operating environment. We will exclude future foreign exchange and other non-operating gains and or losses from our non-U.S. GAAP adjusted EPS relating to our Venezuelan subsidiary until such time that we believe the foreign exchange environment in Venezuela stabilizes. We believe that excluding these items from our U.S. GAAP reported and projected EPS performance will aid in the comparison of our adjusted EPS performance between 2011 and prior years.

We also present adjusted gross profit, operating profit and EPS in our MD&A. Our management will assess our gross profit, operating profit and EPS performance both on an U.S. GAAP basis and on a non-U.S. GAAP basis. Our non-U.S. GAAP gross profit, operating profit and EPS performance excludes items we consider unusual or special items. We evaluate these items on an individual basis. Our evaluation of whether to exclude an unusual or special item for purposes of determining our non-U.S. GAAP EPS performance considers both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the item, including, among other things (i) its size and nature, (ii) whether or not it relates to our ongoing business operations, and (iii) whether or not we expect it to occur as part of our normal business on a regular basis. For purposes of determining non-U.S. GAAP gross profit, operating profit and EPS performance, restructuring and other charges and their related tax effect are excluded. Further, the items excluded from these non-U.S. GAAP financial measures may also be excluded from the calculations of our performance measures set by the Compensation Committee for purposes of determining incentive compensation. Thus, our management believes that this information may be useful to investors.

In addition, in some of the discussions and tables that follow, we exclude the impact of foreign currency translation when presenting net sales information, which we define as constant dollar. Changes in net sales excluding the impact of foreign currency translation are non-U.S. GAAP financial measures. As a worldwide business, it is important that we take into account the effects of foreign currency translation when we view our results and plan our strategies. Nonetheless, we cannot directly control changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Consequently, when our management evaluates our net sales to measure the performance of our business, we typically exclude the impact of foreign currency translation from net sales. We also exclude the impact of foreign currency translation when making some incentive compensation determinations. As a result, our management believes that these presentations may be

useful to investors.

2011 Outlook

We have revised the range of our full year 2011 EPS guidance, which is now \$1.75 per share to \$1.85 per share. This revised guidance reflects the net impact of higher average petrochemical-based raw material costs (primarily resin) and freight costs and the timing of our pricing actions to recover these energy-based costs. This compares to our initial guidance of \$1.75 per share to \$1.90 per share. We continue to expect solid sales growth and ongoing productivity improvements as we progress toward our 15% operating margin goal by 2013.

27

Table of Contents

In 2011, we continue to expect to achieve an annual average constant dollar sales growth in the range of 5% to 7%. As we implement incremental pricing actions to offset higher than expected energy-based costs during the balance of the year, we now anticipate product price/mix to be 3% favorable as compared to the prior year and the remainder of the full year 2011 sales growth to come from unit volume growth. See *Components of Change in Net Sales* below for additional outlook on full year net sales results.

Our other updated assumptions for full year 2011 EPS guidance as compared with our initial assumptions are as follows:

- a low-teen percent average annual increase in resin costs, compared with a low-to-mid single-digit percent average increase in resin costs due to the current volatility in commodity prices;

- a favorable impact on net sales from foreign currency translation, compared with a slightly unfavorable impact. This update reflects the strengthening of most foreign currencies, including the euro, relative to the U.S. dollar; and

- capital expenditures are now projected to be \$125 million to \$150 million, compared with \$150 million to \$175 million, due to changes in timing of some projects.

Our 2011 EPS guidance assumptions outlined in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K relating to depreciation and amortization, effective income tax rate, and free cash flow have not changed. Our 2011 guidance continues to exclude the payment of the Settlement agreement, as the timing of the settlement is unknown. Final payment of the Settlement agreement is expected to be accretive to EPS by approximately \$0.12 per share to \$0.14 per share annually following the payment date. This EPS accretion assumes we use a substantial portion of cash on hand for the payment and cease to accrue interest on the settlement liability balance. See *Settlement Agreement and Related Costs*, of *Material Commitments and Contingencies* below, for further discussion. Additionally, as mentioned above, our 2011 guidance excludes any non-operating gains or losses that may be recognized in 2011 due to currency fluctuations in Venezuela.

Recent Events

Dividends

On April 7, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.13 per common share. This dividend is payable on June 17, 2011 to stockholders of record at the close of business on June 3, 2011. The estimated amount of this dividend payment is \$21 million based on 160 million shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of April 30, 2011.

On February 17, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.13 per common share, which was paid on March 18, 2011 to stockholders of record at the close of business on March 4, 2011. We used \$21 million of available cash to pay this quarterly cash dividend.

Table of Contents**Highlights of Financial Performance**

Below are some highlights of our EPS performance.

	First Quarter of	2011	2010	%
				Change
Net sales		\$ 1,128.5	\$ 1,061.2	6%
Gross profit		\$ 309.0	\$ 300.0	3
<i>As a % of net sales</i>		<i>27.4%</i>	<i>28.3%</i>	
Marketing, administrative and development expenses		186.0	175.5	6
<i>As a % of net sales</i>		<i>16.5%</i>	<i>16.5%</i>	
Restructuring and other charges			0.6	#
Operating profit		\$ 123.0	\$ 123.9	(1)
<i>As a % of net sales</i>		<i>10.9%</i>	<i>11.7%</i>	
Interest expense		\$ (37.0)	\$ (40.7)	(9)%
Other (expense) income		\$ (3.9)	\$ 2.3	#
Net earnings available to common stockholders		\$ 59.7	\$ 61.2	(2)%
U.S. GAAP net earnings per common share:				
Basic		\$ 0.37	\$ 0.38	(3)%
Diluted		\$ 0.34	\$ 0.35	(3)%
Non-U.S. GAAP adjusted diluted net earnings per common share		\$ 0.34	\$ 0.36	(6)%
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:				
Basic		158.7	157.8	
Diluted		176.9	176.1	

Denotes a variance greater than 100%, or not meaningful.

As shown in the table above, our adjusted EPS decreased 6% to \$0.34 per share in the first quarter of 2011 compared with adjusted EPS of \$0.36 per share in the same period of 2010. A reconciliation of U.S. GAAP EPS to non-U.S. GAAP adjusted EPS is included in *Diluted Net Earnings per Common Share* below.

The primary contributing factor to this decrease was lower operating profit of \$1 million, which was principally due to higher energy-based costs of approximately \$40 million and higher marketing, administrative and development expenses of \$11 million. Partially offsetting these increased costs were favorable product price/mix and volume growth and, benefits of approximately \$10 million realized from our supply chain productivity improvements and from producing products in our new, low-cost facilities in developing regions.

Also contributing to the decrease in our EPS was other expense of \$4 million in the first quarter of 2011, compared with other income of \$2 million in the same period of 2010 mostly due to foreign exchange transactions. Partially

offsetting this unfavorable variance was lower interest expense of \$4 million in 2011 due to the redemption of \$150 million of our 12% Senior Notes due February 2014 in December 2010.

See the discussions below for further details about the material factors that contributed to the changes in our EPS for the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

Table of Contents**Net Sales by Segment Reporting Structure**

The following table presents net sales by our segment reporting structure.

	First Quarter of 2011	2010	%
			Change
Net sales:			
Food Packaging	\$ 474.9	\$ 447.2	6%
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>42.1%</i>	<i>42.1%</i>	
Food Solutions	228.8	219.1	4
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>20.3%</i>	<i>20.7%</i>	
Protective Packaging	335.1	306.5	9
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>29.7%</i>	<i>28.9%</i>	
Other	89.7	88.4	1
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>7.9%</i>	<i>8.3%</i>	
Total	\$ 1,128.5	\$ 1,061.2	6%

Net Sales by Geographic Region

The following tables present our net sales by geographic region and the components of change in net sales by geographic region.

	First Quarter of 2011	2010	%
			Change
Net sales:			
U.S.	\$ 518.9	\$ 483.7	7%
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>46.0%</i>	<i>45.6%</i>	
International	609.6	577.5	6
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>54.0%</i>	<i>54.4%</i>	
Total net sales	\$ 1,128.5	\$ 1,061.2	6%

First Quarter of 2011	U.S.		International		Total Company	
Volume Units	\$ 17.7	3.7%	\$ 14.1	2.4%	\$ 31.8	3.0%
Volume Acquired businesses, net of (dispositions)	0.2				0.2	
Product price/mix	17.2	3.6	1.4	0.3	18.6	1.8
Foreign currency translation			16.7	2.9	16.7	1.5
Total	\$ 35.1	7.3%	\$ 32.2	5.6%	\$ 67.3	6.3%

Foreign Currency Translation Impact on Net Sales

As shown above, more than 50% of our consolidated net sales are generated outside the U.S. Since we are a U.S. company, we translate our foreign currency denominated net sales into U.S. dollars. Due to the strengthening and weakening in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar, the translation of our net sales from foreign currencies to U.S. dollars may result in a favorable or unfavorable impact on our consolidated net sales. Specific currencies that contribute to the translation of our net sales, and our other financial results, are the euro, the Brazilian real, the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar and the British pound.

In the first quarter of 2011, we experienced a favorable foreign currency translation impact on net sales of \$17 million compared with the same period of 2010 due to the strengthening of most foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar. This favorable impact was mostly due to the strengthening of the Australian dollar and Brazilian real to the U.S. dollar. As noted above, our 2011 EPS guidance assumes that this trend will continue and will become increasingly more favorable on a year over year comparison by the end of 2011, as the euro has also recently begun to strengthen against the U.S. dollar on a year over year comparison.

Table of Contents**Components of Change in Net Sales**

The following table presents the components of change in net sales by our segment reporting structure. We also present the change in net sales excluding the impact of foreign currency translation, a non-U.S. GAAP measure, which we define as constant dollar. We believe using constant dollar measures aids in the comparability between periods as it eliminates the impact of year over year changes in foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar from our reported net sales.

First Quarter of 2011	Food Packaging		Food Solutions		Protective Packaging		Other		Total Company	
Volume Units	\$ 8.9	2.0%	\$ (0.4)	(0.2)%	\$ 22.7	7.4%	\$ 0.6	0.7%	\$ 31.8	3.0%
Volume Acquired businesses, net of (dispositions)					0.2	0.1			0.2	
Product price/mix(1)	9.4	2.1	6.1	2.8	2.2	0.7	0.9	1.0	18.6	1.8
Foreign currency translation	9.4	2.1	4.0	1.8	3.5	1.1	(0.2)	(0.2)	16.7	1.5
Total change (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 27.7	6.2%	\$ 9.7	4.4%	\$ 28.6	9.3%	\$ 1.3	1.5%	\$ 67.3	6.3%
Impact of foreign currency translation	(9.4)	(2.1)	(4.0)	(1.8)	(3.5)	(1.1)	0.2	0.2	(16.7)	(1.5)
Total constant dollar change (Non-U.S. GAAP)	\$ 18.3	4.1%	\$ 5.7	2.6%	\$ 25.1	8.2%	\$ 1.5	1.7%	\$ 50.6	4.8%

(1) Includes the net impact of our pricing actions and customer rebates as well as the period-to-period change in the mix of products sold. Also included in our reported product price/mix is the net effect of some of our customers purchasing our products in non-U.S. dollar or euro denominated countries at selling prices denominated in U.S. dollars or euros. This primarily arises when we export products from the U.S. and euro-zone countries. The impact to our reported product price/mix of these purchases in other countries at selling prices denominated in U.S. dollars or euros was immaterial in both the first quarters of 2011 and 2010.

Food Packaging Segment Net Sales

The \$18 million, or 4%, constant dollar increase in net sales in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period of 2010 was primarily due to:

favorable product price/mix in North America of \$11 million, or 5%, from the benefits of both prior pricing actions and formula contract price adjustments, which were implemented to offset rising energy-based costs;

higher unit volumes in North America of \$9 million, or 4%, mostly due to an increase in our customers' beef production rates resulting in higher sales of most packaging formats and higher unit volumes from new business gains;

higher unit volumes in Europe of \$4 million, or 5%, mostly due to new business gains;

These favorable factors were partially offset by lower unit volumes in the Asia-Pacific region of \$4 million, or 5%, primarily attributable to adverse weather conditions experienced in Australia during the first quarter of 2011, which affected the sale of the Company's fresh red meat and dairy packaging products.

Based on external industry projections of animal production rates and our estimates of ongoing adoption of our products and solutions, we expect these trends to result in an estimated low single-digit percent unit volume growth rate for the full year of 2011 as compared with 2010.

Food Solutions Segment Net Sales

The \$6 million, or 3%, constant dollar increase in net sales in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period of 2010 was primarily due to:

favorable product price/mix in North America of \$5 million, or 5%, and Europe of \$2 million, or 2%, both from the benefits of prior pricing actions and formula price adjustments, which were implemented to offset rising energy-based costs; and

Table of Contents

higher unit volumes in Europe of \$2 million, or 3%, due to higher demand for our vertical pouch packaging products.

These favorable factors were partially offset by lower unit volumes in North America of \$5 million, or 5%, resulting from a change in our case ready format by a major retailer in mid-2010. A portion of this lost unit volume is now being supplied in another format by our Food Packaging segment. The remainder of the lost unit volume was not material to our consolidated net sales.

We expect unit volume trends to be similar to those in our Food Packaging segment discussed above. As a result, we are estimating we will achieve low single-digit percent unit volume for the full year of 2011 as compared with 2010.

Protective Packaging Segment Net Sales

The \$25 million, or 8%, constant dollar increase in net sales in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period of 2010 was primarily due to increases in unit volumes in North America of \$12 million, or 7%, and in Europe of \$7 million, or 8%. The increases in unit volumes in these regions were predominately due to improving industrial production rates in those regions.

We expect future unit volumes to grow at a slightly lower rate than reported in the first quarter of 2011 as volumes return to the pre-recession levels of 2007 in the second half of the 2011. As a result, we expect full year 2011 unit volume growth to be in the mid-single digit percent range compared with 2010.

Other Net Sales

The \$2 million, or 2%, constant dollar increase in net sales in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period of 2010 was primarily due to:

higher unit volumes in our specialty materials business in Europe of \$3 million, or 18%, due to higher demand for our products primarily from the construction sector; and

favorable product price/mix in North America of \$2 million, or 7%, from the benefits of prior price increases for most of our specialty materials products, which were implemented to offset rising energy-based costs.

These favorable factors were partially offset by lower unit volumes in our medical applications business in Asia of \$3 million, or 31%, due to extensive pre-buying among Chinese customers in the first quarter of 2010 ahead of a reformulation of our product line awaiting licensing approval. Late in the third quarter of 2010, we received approval to import, distribute and sell reformulated medical film.

We expect these businesses' unit volumes to increase for the full year 2011 as compared with 2010 at a high-single digit percent rate as we passed the anniversary of the pre-buying in our medical applications business and expect our specialty materials business to continue to recover.

Cost of Sales

Our primary input costs include resins, direct and indirect labor, other raw materials, energy-related costs and transportation costs. We utilize petrochemical-based resins in the manufacture of many of our products. The costs for these raw materials are impacted by the rise and fall in crude oil and natural gas prices, since they serve as feedstocks utilized in the production of most resins. The prices for these feedstocks have been particularly volatile in recent years due to changes in global demand, global price escalations as well as the recent political unrest in the Middle East. In addition, supply and demand imbalances of intermediate compounds such as benzene and supplier facility outages also influence resin costs. Although changes in the prices of crude oil and natural gas are not perfect benchmarks, they are indicative of the variations in raw materials and other input costs we face. We continue to monitor changes in raw material and energy-related costs as they occur and take pricing actions as appropriate to lessen the impact of cost increases when they occur.

Table of Contents

	First Quarter of		%
	2011	2010	Change
Cost of sales	\$819.5	\$761.2	8%
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>72.6%</i>	<i>71.7%</i>	

The \$58 million increase in cost of sales in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 was primarily due to:

higher resin costs of approximately \$35 million;

higher transportation and energy-related costs of approximately \$5 million primarily in our Food and Protective Packaging businesses; and

an unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of \$12 million.

Marketing, Administrative and Development Expenses

	First Quarter of		%
	2011	2010	Change
Marketing, administrative and development expenses	\$186.0	\$175.5	6%
<i>As a % of net sales</i>	<i>16.5%</i>	<i>16.5%</i>	

The \$11 million increase in marketing, administrative and development expenses in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 was primarily due to:

higher sales and marketing expenses for compensation and benefits of \$5 million including additional headcount and salary increases;

additional spending for innovation and new product introductions of \$2 million related to three small acquisitions that closed in the second half of 2010;

severance charges to better align our resources with our growth opportunities in our Food Solutions segment of \$2 million; and

an unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of \$2 million.

Table of Contents**Operating Profit**

Management evaluates the performance of each reportable segment based on its operating profit, which is detailed in the table below.

	First Quarter of		% Change
	2011	2010	
Food Packaging	\$ 62.6	\$ 56.5	11%
<i>As a % of Food Packaging net sales</i>	<i>13.2%</i>	<i>12.6%</i>	
Food Solutions	19.4	20.9	(7)
<i>As a % of Food Solutions net sales</i>	<i>8.5%</i>	<i>9.5%</i>	
Protective Packaging	40.0	39.5	1
<i>As a % of Protective Packaging net sales</i>	<i>11.9%</i>	<i>12.9%</i>	
Other	1.0	7.6	(86)
<i>As a % of Other net sales</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>8.6%</i>	
Total segments and other	123.0	124.5	(1)
<i>As a % of total net sales</i>	<i>10.9%</i>	<i>11.7%</i>	
Restructuring and other charges(1)		0.6	#
Total operating profit	\$ 123.0	\$ 123.9	(1)
<i>As a % of total net sales</i>	<i>10.9%</i>	<i>11.7%</i>	

Denotes a variance greater than 100%, or not meaningful.

(1) Represents charges associated with the implementation of our global manufacturing strategy, primarily in our Food Packaging segment.

Food Packaging Segment Operating Profit

The increase in operating profit in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 was primarily due to the favorable impacts of the increase in unit volumes and product price/mix, both mentioned above. These factors were partially offset by higher resin costs of approximately \$15 million.

Food Solutions Segment Operating Profit

The decrease in operating profit in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 was primarily due to higher resin costs of approximately \$7 million and severance charges of \$2 million, mentioned above. These factors were partially offset by the increase in unit volumes and product price/mix, both mentioned above.

Protective Packaging Segment Operating Profit

The increase in operating profit in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 was primarily due to the favorable impact of the increase in unit volumes mentioned above, partially offset by higher resin costs of approximately \$9 million.

Other Operating Profit

The decrease in operating profit in the first quarters of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 was primarily due to the unfavorable impact of lower unit volumes in Asia due to pre-buying in China in the first quarter of 2010 and expenses of \$2 million incurred following our investments in new technology based ventures. Also contributing to the decrease in operating profit was higher resin costs of approximately \$4 million. These factors were partially offset by the favorable impacts of higher unit volume growth and product price/mix in our specialty materials business, both mentioned above.

Interest Expense

Interest expense includes the stated interest rate on our outstanding debt, as well as the net impact of capitalized interest, fees on outstanding borrowings under the accounts receivable securitization program, the effects of interest rate swaps and the amortization of capitalized senior debt issuance costs, bond discounts, and terminated treasury locks. We expect to incur approximately \$150 million of interest expense in 2011, which includes approximately \$43 million of interest expense for a full year of accrued interest on the cash portion of the Settlement agreement.

Table of Contents

The following table details our interest expense.

	First		2011 vs. 2010 Change
	2011	2010	
Interest expense on the amount payable for the Settlement agreement	\$ 10.8	\$ 10.2	\$ 0.6
Interest expense on our senior notes:			
5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013	5.4	5.5	(0.1)
12% Senior Notes due February 2014(1)	3.7	8.1	(4.4)
7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017	8.3	8.3	
6.875% Senior Notes due July 2033	7.7	7.7	
Other interest expense	2.0	2.2	(0.2)
Less: capitalized interest	(0.9)	(1.3)	0.4
Total	\$ 37.0	\$ 40.7	\$ (3.7)

(1) We redeemed \$150 million of these notes in December 2010. See Note 9, Debt and Credit Facilities, for further details.

Foreign Currency Exchange (Losses) Gains Related to Venezuelan Subsidiary

The foreign currency exchange gains and losses we recorded in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010 for our Venezuelan subsidiary were the result of two factors: 1) the significant changes in the exchange rates used to settle Bolivar-denominated transactions and 2) the significant changes in the exchange rates used to remeasure our Venezuelan subsidiary's financial statements at the balance sheet dates. We believe these gains and losses are attributable to an unpredictable foreign currency environment in Venezuela. As a result, we have excluded these gains and losses from our non-U.S. GAAP adjusted EPS and we will exclude future non-operating gains and/or losses relating to our Venezuelan subsidiary until such time that we believe the foreign exchange environment in Venezuela stabilizes. See Venezuela, in Foreign Exchange Rates, below for further discussion.

Other (Expense) Income, net

See Note 16, Other (Expense) Income, net, for the components of other (expense) income, net.

Other expense was \$4 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to income of \$2 million in the first quarter of 2010. This \$6 million variance was primarily due to net foreign currency exchange losses of approximately \$4 million related to translating our non-U.S. dollar inter-company receivable and payable balances in 2011, compared with gains of \$3 million from the re-measurement of an inter-company loan in 2010.

Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 27.1% for the first quarter of 2011 compared with 28.8% for the first quarter of 2010.

For both the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, our effective income tax rate was lower than the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to our lower net effective income tax rate on foreign earnings and our domestic manufacturing deduction, partially offset by state income taxes. The rate for the first quarter of 2011 was also lower than the statutory U.S. rate because of certain U.S. tax credits. Those credits, which expired on December 31, 2009, were retroactively reinstated in December 2010, and were therefore reflected in our rate for the first quarter 2011 and not in our rate for the first quarter of 2010.

Our full year 2011 effective tax rate may be higher or lower than our rate for first quarter of 2011 depending on, among other factors, our mix of foreign earnings. Our full year 2011 effective tax rate may also be higher if we fund the Settlement agreement in 2011. We anticipate that funding the Settlement agreement in 2011 will result in a loss for U.S. income tax return purposes. This loss will eliminate some tax benefits in 2011, primarily the domestic manufacturing deduction.

Table of Contents**Diluted Net Earnings per Common Share**

The following table presents a reconciliation of U.S. GAAP EPS to non-U.S. GAAP adjusted EPS.

	First Quarter of	
	2011	2010
U.S. GAAP diluted net earnings per common share	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.35
Net earnings effect resulting from the following:		
Add: Global manufacturing strategy and restructuring and other charges of \$1.8, net of taxes of \$0.8		0.01
Non-U.S. GAAP adjusted diluted net earnings per common share	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.36

See Note 15, Net Earnings Per Common Share, for further details on the calculation of U.S. GAAP basic and EPS.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The information in this section sets forth material changes in and updates to material information contained in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in Item 7 of Part II of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be read in conjunction with that discussion.

Material Commitments and Contingencies*Settlement Agreement and Related Costs*

We recorded a pre-tax charge of \$850 million in 2002, of which \$513 million represents a cash payment that we are required to make (subject to the satisfaction of the terms and conditions of the Settlement agreement) upon the effectiveness of a plan of reorganization in the bankruptcy of W. R. Grace & Co. We did not use cash in any period with respect to this liability.

We currently expect to fund a substantial portion of this payment when it becomes due by using accumulated cash and cash equivalents with the remainder from our committed credit facilities. Our global credit facility and European credit facility are available for general corporate purposes, including the payment of the amounts required upon effectiveness of the Settlement agreement. See Principal Sources of Liquidity below. The cash payment of \$513 million accrues interest at a 5.5% annual rate, which is compounded annually, from December 21, 2002 to the date of payment. This accrued interest was \$286 million at March 31, 2011 and is recorded in Settlement agreement and related accrued interest on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. The total liability on our condensed consolidated balance sheet was \$799 million at March 31, 2011. In addition, the Settlement agreement provides for the issuance of 18 million shares of our common stock. Since the impact of issuing these shares is dilutive to our EPS, under U.S. GAAP, they have been included in our diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding in our calculation of EPS for all periods presented.

Tax benefits resulting from the payment made under the Settlement agreement, which are currently recorded as deferred tax assets on our consolidated balance sheets, are anticipated to provide approximately \$370 million of current and future cash tax benefits at the time the payment under the Settlement agreement is made. These deferred tax assets reflect the cash portion of the Settlement agreement and related accrued interest and the value of the 18 million shares of our common stock at a post-split price of \$17.86 per share, which was the price when the Settlement agreement was reached in 2002. The amount and timing of our future cash tax benefits could vary, depending on the amount of cash paid by us and various facts and circumstances at the time of payment under the Settlement agreement, including the price of our common stock, our tax position and the applicable tax codes. Any changes in the tax benefits resulting from an increase in our stock price in excess of the \$17.86 share price mentioned above will not have an impact on our consolidated net earnings.

Additionally we may incur an approximate one percentage point increase in our effective income tax rate during the calendar year in which we make the payment under the Settlement. We anticipate that funding the Settlement agreement will result in a loss for U.S. income tax purposes, and this loss will eliminate some tax benefits for that

year, primarily the domestic manufacturing deduction.

While the Bankruptcy Court has confirmed the PI Settlement Plan and the District Court has scheduled a hearing to

Table of Contents

consider oral arguments relating to appeals of the Memorandum Opinion and the Confirmation Order, additional proceedings may be held before the District Court or other courts to consider matters related to the PI Settlement Plan. Additionally, various parties have filed notices of appeal or have otherwise challenged the Memorandum Opinion and the Confirmation Order, and the PI Settlement Plan may be subject to further appeal or challenge before the District Court or other courts. The appealing parties have designated various issues to be considered on appeal, including, without limitation, issues relating to releases and injunctions contained in the PI Settlement Plan. We will continue to review the Grace bankruptcy proceedings (including appeals and other proceedings relating to the Memorandum Opinion, the Confirmation Order, or the PI Settlement Plan), as well as any amendments or changes to the Memorandum Opinion, the Confirmation Order, or the PI Settlement Plan, to verify compliance with the Settlement agreement. We do not know whether or when a final plan of reorganization will become effective or whether the final plan will be consistent with the terms of the Settlement agreement.

As mentioned in 2011 Outlook above, our full year 2011 EPS guidance continues to exclude the payment under the Settlement agreement, as the timing is unknown. Payment under the Settlement agreement is expected to be accretive to our post-payment EPS by approximately \$0.12 to \$0.14 annually. This range primarily represents the accretive impact on our net earnings from using cash on hand to fund the payment and ceasing to accrue any future interest on the settlement amount following the payment.

The information set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, under the caption Settlement Agreement and Related Costs is incorporated herein by reference.

Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies

The information set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, under the caption Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies is incorporated herein by reference.

Principal Sources of Liquidity

We require cash to fund our operating expenses, capital expenditures, interest, taxes and dividend payments and to pay our debt obligations and other long-term liabilities as they come due. Our principal sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations, accumulated cash and amounts available under our existing lines of credit described below, including the global credit facility and the European credit facility, and our accounts receivable securitization program.

We believe that our current liquidity position and future cash flows from operations will enable us to fund our operations, including all of the items mentioned above, and the cash payment under the Settlement agreement should it become payable within the next 12 months.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The following table summarizes our accumulated cash and cash equivalents.

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$696.0	\$ 675.6

See Analysis of Historical Cash Flows below.

Lines of Credit

At March 31, 2011, there were no amounts outstanding under our global and European credit facilities, and we had \$684 million available to us under these facilities. We did not utilize these facilities at any time during 2011.

Further information about our lines of credit, our outstanding long-term debt and the related financial covenants and limitations is provided in Note 9, Debt and Credit Facilities.

Accounts Receivable Securitization Program

At March 31, 2011, we had \$84 million available to us under the program, and we did not utilize this program in 2011.

See Note 5, Accounts Receivable Securitization Program, for information concerning this program.

Table of Contents*Debt Ratings*

Our cost of capital and ability to obtain external financing may be affected by our debt ratings, which the credit rating agencies review periodically. The Company and our long-term senior unsecured debt are currently rated BB+ (positive outlook) by Standard & Poor's. This rating is considered non-investment grade. The Company and our long-term senior unsecured debt are currently rated Baa3 by Moody's. This rating is considered investment grade. If our credit ratings are downgraded, there could be a negative impact on our ability to access capital markets and borrowing costs could increase. A credit rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating organization. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Analysis of Historical Cash Flows

The following table shows the changes in our consolidated cash flows.

	First Quarter of	
	2011	2010
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 67.2	\$ 83.3
Net cash used in investing activities	(18.6)	(11.8)
Net cash used in financing activities	(47.3)	(104.2)

*Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities**First Quarter of 2011*

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$67 million for the first quarter of 2011 and was primarily attributable to net income adjusted for non-cash items of \$106 million, which included depreciation and amortization of \$36 million and share-based incentive compensation of \$6 million. Net cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities resulted in net cash usage of \$39 million primarily due to:

an increase in inventories of \$52 million primarily due to the buildup of inventories in our North American and European food businesses in anticipation of normal seasonal sales increases in the second quarter and the rise in raw material costs. Our days inventory on hand at March 31, 2011 were essentially unchanged as compared with March 31, 2010; and

a decrease in other liabilities of \$30 million due to the funding of our accrued 2010 annual incentive compensation of \$18 million and of our 2010 contribution to our U.S. profit-sharing plan of \$18 million.

These factors were partially offset by:

an increase in accounts payable of \$27 million primarily due to the timing of payments; and

a decrease in receivables, net, of \$22 million due to declines in customer receivable balances in Europe mainly due to normal seasonal patterns. Our days sales outstanding were essentially unchanged in the first quarter of 2011 as compared with the same period of 2010.

First Quarter of 2010

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$83 million for the first quarter of 2010 and was primarily attributable to net income adjusted for non-cash items of \$106 million, which included depreciation and amortization of \$40 million and share-based incentive compensation of \$8 million. Net cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities resulted in net cash usage of \$23 million primarily due to:

an increase in inventories of \$33 million primarily due to the rise in raw material costs and the buildup of inventories in our North American and European food businesses in anticipation of normal seasonal sales increases in the second quarter; and

a decrease in other liabilities of \$49 million due to the funding of our accrued 2009 annual incentive compensation of \$31 million and the cash contribution portion of our 2009 contribution to our U.S. profit-sharing plan of \$21 million.

Table of Contents

These factors were partially offset by:

a decrease in receivables, net, of \$26 million primarily due to declines in customer receivable balances in North America and in Europe reflecting our normal first quarter sales seasonality;

an increase in income taxes payable of \$18 million primarily due to the timing of estimated tax payments; and

an increase in accounts payable of \$12 million primarily due to timing of payments.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities**First Quarter of 2011**

In the first quarter of 2011, we used net cash of \$19 million for investing activities, which was primarily for capital expenditures including spending on our new facility in Brazil of \$4 million.

First Quarter of 2010

In the first quarter of 2010, we used net cash of \$12 million for investing activities, which was primarily for capital expenditures.

We expect to continue to invest capital as we deem appropriate to expand our business, to maintain or replace depreciating property, plant and equipment, to acquire new manufacturing technology and to improve productivity and sales growth. As mentioned in 2011 Outlook above we now expect total capital expenditures in 2011 to be in the range of \$125 million to \$150 million. This projection is based upon our capital expenditure budget for 2011, the status of approved but not yet completed capital projects, anticipated future projects and historic spending trends. This projection also supports targeted cost-reduction initiatives globally. This revised range has not changed our long-term outlook for capital expenditures over the next several years to support our projected increases in unit volume growth using new technology platforms or otherwise requiring incremental capital.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities**First Quarter of 2011**

In the first quarter of 2011, we used \$47 million of cash and cash equivalents for financing activities primarily due to the following activities:

the payment of quarterly dividends of \$21 million;

the repayment of short-term borrowings of \$14 million; and

the acquisition of 0.4 million shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$12 million that were withheld from employees to satisfy their minimum tax withholding obligations under our 2005 contingent stock plan.

First Quarter of 2010

In the first quarter of 2010, we used \$104 million of cash and cash equivalents for financing activities primarily due to the following activities:

the repayment of amounts outstanding under our European credit facility of \$64 million;

the payment of quarterly dividends of \$19 million; and

the repayment of short-term borrowings of \$14 million.

Changes in Working Capital

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	Increase
Working capital (current assets less current liabilities)	\$681.1	\$ 592.3	\$88.8
Current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities)	1.5x	1.4x	
Quick ratio (current assets, less inventories divided by current liabilities)	1.1x	1.1x	

Table of Contents

The 15% increase in working capital in the first three months of 2011 was primarily due to the following factors:
net cash flows from operations of \$67 million;

a net positive impact of foreign currency translation of \$22 million.

These factors were partially offset by:

net cash flows used in investing activities of \$19 million, primarily for capital expenditures; and

cash used for the payment of our quarterly dividends of \$21 million.

Changes in Stockholders' Equity

The \$94 million, or 4%, increase in stockholders' equity in the first three months of 2011 was primarily due to the following:

net earnings of \$60 million; and

positive foreign currency translation of \$59 million.

These factors were partially offset by:

dividends paid and accrued on our common stock of \$21 million; and

the acquisition of 0.4 million shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$12 million that were withheld from employees to satisfy their minimum tax withholding obligations under our 2005 contingent stock plan. These shares are held in common stock in treasury.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest Rate Swaps

The information set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in Note 10, *Derivatives and Hedging Activities*, under the caption *Interest Rate Swaps* is incorporated herein by reference.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

At March 31, 2011, we were party to foreign currency forward contracts, which did not have a significant impact on our liquidity.

The information set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in Note 10, *Derivatives and Hedging Activities*, under the caption *Foreign Currency Forward Contracts* is incorporated herein by reference.

For further discussion about these contracts and other financial instruments, see Part I, Item 3, *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies and estimates from those disclosed in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. For a discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates, refer to

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in Part II, Item 7 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

We are exposed to market risk from changes in the conditions in the global financial markets, interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices and the creditworthiness of our customers, which may adversely affect our consolidated financial position and results of operations. We seek to minimize these risks through regular operating and financing activities and, when deemed appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments. We do not purchase, hold or sell derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Interest Rates

From time to time, we may use interest rate swaps, collars or options to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates.

At March 31, 2011, we had outstanding interest rate swaps, but no outstanding collars or options.

The information set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, under the caption Interest Rate Swaps is incorporated herein by reference.

See Note 11, Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments, for details of the methodology and inputs used to determine the fair value of our fixed rate debt. The fair value of our fixed rate debt varies with changes in interest rates. Generally, the fair value of fixed rate debt will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. A hypothetical 10% decrease in interest rates would result in an increase of \$52 million in the fair value of our total debt balance at March 31, 2011. These changes in the fair value of our fixed rate debt do not alter our obligations to repay the outstanding principal amount or any related interest of such debt.

Foreign Exchange Rates*Operations*

As a large, global organization, we face exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve and could materially impact our consolidated financial position or results of operations in the future. See our MD&A above for the impacts foreign currency translation had on our operations.

Venezuela

Economic events in Venezuela have exposed us to heightened levels of foreign currency exchange risk.

Effective January 1, 2010, Venezuela was designated a highly inflationary economy under U.S. GAAP, and the U.S. dollar replaced the bolivar fuerte as the functional currency for our subsidiary in Venezuela. Accordingly, all bolivar-denominated monetary assets and liabilities were re-measured into U.S. dollars using the current exchange rate available to us, and any changes in the exchange rate were reflected in foreign currency exchange gains and losses related to our Venezuelan subsidiary on the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Also, in January 2010, the Venezuelan government devalued the bolivar by resetting the official exchange rate from 2.15 bolivars per U.S. dollar to 4.3 bolivars per U.S. dollar for non-essential transactions and 2.60 bolivars per U.S. dollar for essential transactions.

On January 1, 2010 we did not have access or permission to use the official exchange rate. Accordingly, for the majority of our transactions, we accessed the parallel foreign currency exchange market (which was a rate of 5.95 bolivars per U.S. dollar at December 31, 2009) that was available to entities that did not have access to the official exchange rate. Since we did not have access to the official exchange rate, we translated our Venezuelan subsidiary's balance sheet using the parallel rate at December 31, 2009.

In May 2010, the Venezuelan government closed the parallel foreign currency exchange market and in June 2010 replaced it with a new foreign currency exchange system, the Transaction System in Securities in Foreign Currency (SITME). The Central Bank of Venezuela began accepting and approving applications, under certain conditions, for non government operated Foreign Exchange Administrative Board (CADIVI) exchange transactions at the weighted-average implicit exchange rate obtained from the SITME. From time to time during 2010 our access to the official exchange rate was restricted. Effective June 9, 2010, the SITME weighted average implicit exchange rate was 5.3 bolivars per U.S. dollar. We did not access the SITME during 2010.

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2011, the Venezuelan government devalued the bolivar by eliminating the non-essential exchange rate of 2.60 bolivars per U.S. dollar. Therefore, there are now only two legal exchange rates available. This includes the CADIVI non-essential rate of 4.3 bolivars per U.S. dollar and the SITME rate of 5.3 bolivars per U.S. dollar.

As of March 31, 2011, we had access to the CADIVI in Venezuela. Therefore, as of March 31, 2011, we re-measured the net bolivar-denominated monetary assets of approximately \$19 million (consisting primarily of cash and cash equivalents) of our Venezuelan subsidiary using the official exchange rate of 4.3 bolivars per U.S. dollar. At March 31, 2011, our Venezuelan subsidiary had a negative cumulative translation adjustment balance of approximately \$46 million. During 2011, we settled transactions at the applicable official exchange rates. We did not access the SITME during 2011.

As a result of the changes in the exchange rates upon settlement of bolivar-denominated transactions and upon the remeasurement of our Venezuelan subsidiary's financial statements, we recognized net losses of \$0.2 million in the first quarter of 2011 and net gains of \$1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, less than 1% of our consolidated net sales were derived from our business in Venezuela and approximately 3% of our consolidated operating profit was derived from our business in Venezuela.

The potential future impact to our consolidated financial position and results of operations for future bolivar-denominated transactions will depend on our access to U.S. dollars and on the exchange rates in effect when we enter into, remeasure and settle transactions. Therefore, it is difficult to predict the future impact until each transaction settles at its applicable exchange rate or gets remeasured into U.S. dollars.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

We use foreign currency forward contracts to fix the amounts payable or receivable on some transactions denominated in foreign currencies. A hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign exchange rates at March 31, 2011 would have caused us to pay approximately \$20 million to terminate these contracts.

Our foreign currency forward contracts are described in Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, which is contained in Part I, Item 1, and in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Derivative Financial Instruments Foreign Currency Forward Contracts, contained in Part I, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

We may use other derivative instruments from time to time, such as foreign exchange options to manage exposure due to foreign exchange rates and interest rate and currency swaps related to access to additional sources of international financing. These instruments can potentially limit foreign exchange exposure and limit or adjust interest rate exposure by swapping borrowings denominated in one currency for borrowings denominated in another currency. At March 31, 2011, we had no foreign exchange options and currency swap agreements outstanding.

Outstanding Debt

Our outstanding debt is generally denominated in the functional currency of the borrower. We believe that this enables us to better match operating cash flows with debt service requirements and to better match the currency of assets and liabilities. The amount of outstanding debt denominated in a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar was \$12 million at March 31, 2011 and \$26 million at December 31, 2010.

Customer Credit

We are exposed to credit risk from our customers. In the normal course of business we extend credit to our customers if they satisfy pre-defined credit criteria. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the failure of our customers to make required payments. An additional allowance may be required if the financial condition of our customers deteriorates. The allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained at a level that management assesses to be appropriate to absorb estimated losses in the accounts receivable portfolio.

Our customers may default on their obligations to us due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, operational failure or other reasons. Our provision for bad debt expense was \$2 million in both the first quarters of 2011 and 2010. Allowance for doubtful accounts was \$19 million at March 31, 2011 and \$17 million at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that our employees accumulate this information and communicate it to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer) and our Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial officer), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily must apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures under Rule 13a-15. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, supervised and participated in this evaluation. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The information set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements is incorporated herein by reference. See also Part I, Item 3, Legal Proceedings, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 as well as the information incorporated by reference in that item.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

See Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. Except as required by the federal securities law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any risk factor, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The SEC encourages companies to disclose forward-looking statements so that investors can better understand a company's future prospects and make informed investment decisions. Some of our statements in this report, in documents incorporated by reference into this report and in our future oral and written statements, may be forward-looking. These statements reflect our beliefs and expectations as to future events and trends affecting our business, our consolidated financial position and our results of operations. These forward-looking statements are based upon our current expectations concerning future events and discuss, among other things, anticipated future financial performance and future business plans. Forward-looking statements are identified by such words and phrases as anticipates, assumes, believes, could be, estimates, expects, intends, may, plans to, should, w expressions. Forward-looking statements are necessarily subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are outside our control, that could cause actual results to differ materially from these statements. Examples of these forward-looking statements include projections regarding our 2011 EPS guidance and other projections relating to our financial performance such as those in the Components of Change in Net Sales section of our MD&A.

The following are important factors that we believe could cause actual results to differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements: the implementation of our Settlement agreement regarding the various asbestos-related, fraudulent transfer, successor liability, and indemnification claims made against the Company arising from a 1998 transaction with W. R. Grace & Co.; general economic conditions, particularly as they affect packaging use; credit ratings; changes in raw material pricing and availability; changes in energy costs; competitive conditions and contract terms; currency translation and devaluation effects, including in Venezuela; the success of our growth, profitability and manufacturing strategies and our cost reduction and productivity efforts; the effects of animal and food-related health issues; pandemics; environmental matters; regulatory actions and legal matters; and the other information referenced above under Item 1A, Risk Factors. Except as required by the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The table below sets forth the total number of shares of our common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, that we repurchased in each month of the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the average price paid per share and the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under our publicly announced plans or programs.

We did not purchase any shares during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 pursuant to our publicly announced program (described below). We did repurchase shares by means of shares withheld from certain awards of restricted stock under our 2005 contingent stock plan pursuant to the provision thereof that permits tax withholding obligations or other legally required charges to be satisfied by having us withhold shares from such awards under that plan.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of	Maximum number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased
---------------	---	---	--	---

			Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Under the Plans or Programs
January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2011				15,546,142
February 1, 2011 through February 28, 2011	11,825	\$	27.51	15,546,142
March 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011	340	\$	26.18	15,546,142
Total	12,165	\$	27.47	\$ 15,546,142

On August 9, 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase in the aggregate up to 20 million shares of our issued and outstanding common stock (described further under the caption, Repurchases of Capital Stock, in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K). This program has no set expiration date. This program replaced our prior share repurchase program, which we terminated at that time.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits.**

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Unofficial Composite Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as currently in effect. (Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-108544, is incorporated herein by reference.)
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company as currently in effect. (Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report May 20, 2009, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)
10.1	Form of Sealed Air Corporation Performance Share Units Award Grant 2011-2013. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report April 7, 2011, is incorporated herein by reference.)
10.2	Fees to be paid to the Company's Non-Employee Directors - 2011. (Exhibit 10.45 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, is incorporated herein by reference.)
10.3	Extension of Employment Agreement between Robert A. Pesci and Sealed Air Corporation (US) (Exhibit 10.48 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, is incorporated herein by reference.)
31.1	Certification of William V. Hickey pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), dated May 6, 2011.
31.2	Certification of David H. Kelsey pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), dated May 6, 2011.
32	Certification of William V. Hickey and David H. Kelsey, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, dated May 6, 2011.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be filed or part of any registration statement or other document filed for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Sealed Air Corporation

By: /s/ Jeffrey S. Warren
 Jeffrey S. Warren
Controller
(Duly Authorized Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer)

Date: May 6, 2011

46

type=lead -->		Accumulated			
		Other			
Retained		Unearned			
Total					
Common Stock	Comprehensive	Compensation	Income/(Loss)	(Deficit)	Equity
Shares	Amount				(In thousands)
Balance, September 30, 2004					
69,544	\$ 326,278	\$ (833)	\$ (498)	\$ (17,202)	\$ 307,745
Exercise of employee stock options					
7,370	65,056		65,056		
Issuance of stock under employee stock purchase plan					
272	3,837		3,837		
Tax benefit from employee stock transactions					
	32,153		32,153		
Amortization of unearned compensation					
	833		833		
Stock based compensation					
	4,573		4,573		
Net income					
		46,902			
Foreign currency translation adjustment					
		(161)			
Unrealized gain on securities					
		(771)			
Comprehensive income					
		45,970			
Balance, September 30, 2005					
77,186	\$ 431,897	\$ (1,430)	\$ 29,700	\$ 460,167	
Exercise of employee stock options					
3,494	38,701		38,701		
Issuance of stock under employee stock purchase plan					

272	5,488		5,488	
Issuance of restricted stock				
604				
Tax benefit from employee stock transactions				
20,887			20,887	
Stock based compensation				
24,818			24,818	
Net income				
		66,005		
Foreign currency translation adjustment				
(293)				
Unrealized loss on securities				
685				
Comprehensive income				
		66,397		
Balance, September 30, 2006				
81,556	\$ 521,791	\$ (1,038)	\$ 95,705	\$ 616,458
Exercise of employee stock options				
1,257	15,690		15,690	
Issuance of stock under employee stock purchase plan				
288	7,546		7,546	
Issuance of restricted stock				
1,278				
Tax benefit from employee stock transactions				
12,197			12,197	
Stock based compensation				
41,212			41,212	
Net income				
		77,000		
Foreign currency translation adjustment				
(686)				
Unrealized loss on securities				
1,160				
Comprehensive income				
		77,474		
Balance, September 30, 2007				
84,379	\$ 598,436	\$ (564)	\$ 172,705	\$ 770,577

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
	(In thousands)		
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 77,000	\$ 66,005	\$ 46,902
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Realized loss on disposition of assets	134	446	569
Realized loss on sale of investments	6		
Stock based compensation	41,212	24,818	5,406
Provision for doubtful accounts and sales returns	1,209	67	1,419
Depreciation and amortization	15,862	11,585	6,797
Deferred income taxes	6,429	18,946	(7,733)
Tax benefit from employee stock option plans			32,153
In-process research and development	14,000		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of amounts acquired:			
Accounts receivable	(30,004)	(20,812)	(20,456)
Inventories	(2,366)	(2,997)	(1,002)
Other current assets	(4,420)	(5,578)	(3,604)
Other assets	(1,492)	(957)	(149)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	16,592	13,088	13,426
Deferred revenue	35,488	20,767	11,259
 Net cash provided by operating activities	 169,650	 125,378	 84,987
Investing activities			
Purchases of investments	(902,250)	(557,999)	(407,533)
Sales of investments	937,716	417,817	290,351
Investment of restricted cash	(9)	(49)	2,369
Acquisition of intangible assets, net			(2,259)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(207,144)	(42,778)	(395)
Purchases of property and equipment	(16,454)	(21,400)	(9,293)
 Net cash used in investing activities	 (188,141)	 (204,409)	 (126,760)
Financing activities			
Tax benefit from nonqualified stock options	12,197	20,887	
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and the purchase of stock under employee stock purchase plan	23,289	44,258	68,867
 Net cash provided by financing activities	 35,486	 65,145	 68,867
 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	 16,995	 (13,886)	 27,094

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(445)	(235)	(128)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	37,746	51,867	24,901
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 54,296	\$ 37,746	\$ 51,867
Supplemental Information			
Cash paid for taxes	\$ 32,762	\$ 1,500	\$ 792

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company

F5 Networks, Inc. (the Company) F5 Networks makes, sells and services products that optimize the delivery of network-based applications and the performance and availability of servers, data storage devices and other network resources. The Company s application delivery networking products improve the performance, availability and security of applications running on Internet-based networks by inspecting and modifying traffic passing between servers running applications and clients using these applications to ensure that it is delivered securely and in a way that optimizes the performance of both the network and the applications. With the purchase of Acopia Networks in September 2007, the Company acquired a line of file virtualization products that improve the management and utilization of network attached storage (NAS) systems. These products automate many storage management tasks that are performed manually today, and eliminate the disruption associated with data migration, automated storage tiering, data replication, and dynamic load balancing. The Company also offers a broad range of services such as consulting, training, installation, maintenance, and other technical support services.

Stock Split

On July 25, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors declared a two-for-one split of the Company s common stock. The stock split resulted in the issuance of one additional share of common stock for every share of its common stock issued and outstanding as of the record date of August 10, 2007. All share and per-share data, as well as share-based award information included in these Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the stock split.

Accounting Principles

The Company s consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used in accounting for revenue recognition, reserves for doubtful accounts, product returns, obsolete and excess inventory, warranties, valuation allowances on deferred tax assets and purchase price allocations. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with purchased maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company invests its cash and cash equivalents in deposits with three major financial institutions, which, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on its cash and cash equivalents.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Investments***

The Company classifies its investment securities as available for sale. Investment securities, consisting of corporate and municipal bonds and notes and United States government securities, are reported at fair value with the related unrealized gains and losses included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value of securities judged to be other than temporary are included in other income (expense). The cost of investments for purposes of computing realized and unrealized gains and losses is based on the specific identification method. Investments in securities with maturities of less than one year or where management's intent is to use the investments to fund current operations are classified as short-term investments. Investments with maturities of greater than one year are classified as long-term investments.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company extends credit to customers and is therefore subject to credit risk. The Company performs initial and ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and does not require collateral. An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded to account for potential bad debts. Estimates are used in determining the allowance for doubtful accounts and are based upon an assessment of selected accounts and as a percentage of remaining accounts receivable by aging category. In determining these percentages, the Company evaluates historical write-offs, and current trends in customer credit quality, as well as changes in credit policies.

The Company maintains its cash and investment balances with high credit quality financial institutions.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Short-term and long-term investments are recorded at fair value as the underlying securities are classified as available for sale and marked-to-market at each reporting period. The fair value is determined using quoted market prices for the securities held.

Inventories

The Company outsources the manufacturing of its pre-configured hardware platforms to contract manufacturers, who assemble each product to the Company's specifications. As protection against component shortages and to provide replacement parts for its service teams, the Company also stocks limited supplies of certain key product components. The Company reduces inventory to net realizable value based on excess and obsolete inventories determined primarily by historical usage and forecasted demand. Inventories consist of hardware and related component parts and are recorded at the lower of cost or market (as determined by the first-in, first-out method).

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

Years Ended	
September 30,	
2007	2006

Finished goods	\$ 7,703	\$ 2,610
Raw materials	2,969	3,153
	\$ 10,672	\$ 5,763

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents escrow accounts established in connection with lease agreements for the Company's corporate headquarters and, to a lesser extent, our international facilities. Under the terms of the

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

lease for our corporate headquarters, the amount required to be held in escrow reduces and eventually eliminates at various dates throughout the duration of the lease term. During fiscal year 2007, the amount required to be held in escrow was \$3.6 million as set forth in the lease agreement for our corporate headquarters.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation of property and equipment are provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from two to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the improvements. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs is charged to expense as incurred and expenditures for major improvements are capitalized at cost. Gains or losses on the disposition of assets are reflected in the income statements at the time of disposal.

Property and equipment consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
Computer equipment	\$ 41,547	\$ 29,802
Office furniture and equipment	7,766	7,026
Leasehold improvements	21,048	16,118
	70,361	52,946
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(34,337)	(22,995)
	\$ 36,024	\$ 29,951

Depreciation and amortization expense totaled approximately \$11.7 million, \$7.6 million, and \$4.8 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired as of the acquisition date. We have adopted the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS No. 142). SFAS No. 142 requires goodwill to be tested for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests in certain circumstances, and written down when impaired. Goodwill of \$24.2 million was recorded in connection with the acquisition of uRoam, Inc. in fiscal year 2003, goodwill of \$25.5 million was recorded in connection with the acquisition of MagniFire Websystems Inc. in fiscal year 2004, goodwill of \$32.0 million was recorded in connection with the acquisition of Swan Labs, Inc. (Swan Labs), and goodwill of \$152.3 million was recorded in connection with the acquisition of Acopia Networks, Inc. in the fourth quarter of 2007. In March 2007, we completed our annual impairment test and concluded that there was no impairment of goodwill.

Other Assets

Other assets primarily consist of software development costs and acquired technology.

Software development costs are charged to research and development expense until technological feasibility is established. The Company accounts for internally-generated software development costs in accordance with SFAS No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed. Thereafter, until the product is released for sale, software development costs are capitalized and reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value of each product. The

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

establishment of technological feasibility and the ongoing assessment of recoverability of costs require considerable judgment by the Company with respect to certain internal and external factors, including, but not limited to, anticipated future gross product revenues, estimated economic life and changes in hardware and software technology. The Company did not capitalize any software development costs in fiscal year 2007, 2006 or 2005. Amortization costs related to previously capitalize software development was \$249,000, \$272,000, and \$317,000 for fiscal years 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively and has been recorded as additional cost of product revenues.

Acquired technology and customer relationship assets are recorded at cost and amortized over their estimated useful lives of five years. Acquired technology of \$15.0 million in fiscal 2007, \$8.6 million in fiscal 2006 and \$5.0 million in fiscal year 2004 was recorded in connection with the acquisitions of Acopia, Swan Labs, Inc. and MagniFire, respectively. Related amortization expense, which is charged to cost of product revenues, totaled \$3.4 million, \$3.2 million and \$1.6 million during the fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses the impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. When such events occur, management determines whether there has been an impairment by comparing the anticipated undiscounted net future cash flows to the related asset's carrying value. If an impairment exists, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's products are integrated with software that is essential to the functionality of the equipment. Accordingly, the Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the guidance provided under Statement of Position (SOP) No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, and SOP No. 98-9 Modification of SOP No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, with Respect to Certain Transactions, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 48, Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists, and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition.

The Company sells products through distributors, resellers, and directly to end users. The Company recognizes product revenue upon shipment, net of estimated returns, provided that collection is reasonably assured and no significant performance obligations remain. In certain regions where the Company does not have the ability to reasonably estimate returns, the Company defers revenue on sales to its distributors until they have received information from the channel partner indicating that the distributor has sold the product to its customer. Payment terms to domestic customers are generally net 30 to 45 days. Payment terms to international customers range from net 30 to net 90 days based on normal and customary trade practices in the individual markets. The Company offers extended payment terms to certain customers, in which case, revenue is recognized when payments are due.

Whenever a software license, hardware, installation and post-contract customer support (PCS) elements are sold together, a portion of the sales price is allocated to each element based on their respective fair values as determined when the individual elements are sold separately. We determine fair value based on the type of customer and region in which the package is sold. Where fair value of certain elements are not available, we recognize revenue on the residual method permitted under SOP 98-9 based on the fair value of undelivered PCS. Revenues from the license of software are recognized when the software has been shipped and the customer is obligated to pay for the software.

When rights of return are present and the Company cannot estimate returns, it recognizes revenue when such rights of return lapse. Revenues for PCS are recognized on a straight-line basis over the service contract term. PCS includes a limited period of telephone support updates, bug fixes and rights to upgrades, when and if available. Installation revenue is recognized when the product

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

has been installed at the customer's site. Consulting services are customarily billed at fixed rates, plus out-of-pocket expenses, and revenues are recognized when the consulting has been completed. Training revenue is recognized when the training has been completed.

Shipping and Handling

Shipping and handling fees charged to our customers are recognized as product revenue in the period shipped and the related costs for providing these services are recorded as a cost of sale.

Guarantees and Product Warranties

In the normal course of business to facilitate sales of its products, the Company indemnifies other parties, including customers, resellers, lessors, and parties to other transactions with the Company, with respect to certain matters. The Company has agreed to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations or covenants, or out of intellectual property infringement or other claims made against certain parties. These agreements may limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim. The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its officers and directors, and the Company's bylaws contain similar indemnification obligations to the Company's agents. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement.

The Company offers warranties of one year for hardware, with the option of purchasing additional warranty coverage in yearly increments. The Company accrues for warranty costs as part of its cost of sales based on associated material product costs and technical support labor costs. During the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, warranty expense was \$3.7 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the activity related to product warranties (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30		
	2007	2006	2005
Balance, beginning of fiscal year	\$ 1,582	\$ 1,565	\$ 1,062
Provision for warranties issued	3,678	1,825	2,233
Payments	(3,503)	(1,808)	(1,730)
Balance, end of fiscal year	\$ 1,757	\$ 1,582	\$ 1,565

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist of salaries and related benefits of product development personnel, prototype materials and expenses related to the development of new and improved products, and an allocation of facilities and depreciation expense. Research and development expenses are reflected in the statements of income as

incurred.

In-Process Research and Development

Acquired in-process research and development, (IPR&D) reflects the amount allocated to IPR&D that the Company acquired in acquisitions. IPR&D represents the present value of estimated after-tax cash flows expected to be generated by purchased technology, which, at the acquisition date, had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. We recorded \$14.0 million of IPR&D cost in fiscal 2007 related to our acquisition of Acopia.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. The Company incurred \$2.2 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million in advertising costs during the fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for income taxes as set forth by SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109). Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The realization of deferred tax assets is based on historical tax positions and estimates of future taxable income. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Foreign Currency

The functional currency for the Company's foreign subsidiaries is the local currency in which the respective entity is located, with the exception of F5 Networks, Ltd., in the United Kingdom that uses the U.S. dollar as its functional currency. An entity's functional currency is determined by the currency of the economic environment in which the majority of cash is generated and expended by the entity. The financial statements of all majority-owned subsidiaries and related entities, with a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, have been translated into U.S. dollars in accordance with SFAS No. 52 *Foreign Currency Translation*. All assets and liabilities of the respective entities are translated at year-end exchange rates and all revenues and expenses are translated at average rates during the respective period. Translation adjustments are reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders equity.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are a result of the effect of exchange rate changes on transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency, including U.S. dollars. Gains and losses on those foreign currency transactions are included in determining net income or loss for the period of exchange. The net effect of foreign currency gains and losses were not significant during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Segments

The Company complies with the requirements of SFAS No. 131, *Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, which establishes annual and interim reporting standards for an enterprise's operating segments and related disclosures about its products, services, geographic areas and major customers. Management has determined that the Company operated in one segment for fiscal 2007 and prior years and will continue to evaluate its reporting structure prospectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

On July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (FAS 123R). Prior to July 1, 2005, the Company accounted for share-based payments under

the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), and related Interpretations, as permitted by FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (FAS 123). In accordance with APB 25, no compensation cost was required to be recognized for options granted that had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

The Company adopted FAS 123R using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation cost recognized in the fiscal year 2005 and beyond includes: a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of July 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of FAS 123, and b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to July 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R. The results for the prior periods have not been restated.

Effective July 1, 2005 the Company adopted the straight-line attribution method for recognizing compensation expense. Previously under the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS 123, the Company used the accelerated method of expense recognition pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans* (FIN 28). For all unvested options outstanding as of July 1, 2005, the previously measured but unrecognized compensation expense, based on the fair value at the original grant date, will be recognized on an accelerated basis over the remaining vesting period. For share-based payments granted subsequent to July 1, 2005, compensation expense, based on the fair value on the date of grant, will be recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period.

The fair value of restricted stock units is based on the price of a share of our common stock on the date of grant. However, in determining the fair value of stock options, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model that employs the following key assumptions.

	Stock Option Plan			Employee Stock Purchase Plan		
	Years Ended September 30,			Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
Risk-free interest rate	4.46%	4.86%	3.53%	5.03%	4.90%	2.72%
Expected dividend						
Expected term	6.3 years	6.3 years	2.7 years	0.5 years	0.5 years	0.5 years
Expected volatility	65.76%	51.07%	68.17%	42.62%	45.35%	52.48%

The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The Company does not anticipate declaring dividends in the foreseeable future. Expected volatility is based on the annualized daily historical volatility of our stock price commensurate with the expected life of the option. Expected term of the option is based on an evaluation of the historical employee stock option exercise behavior, the vesting terms of the respective option and a contractual life of ten years. Our stock price volatility and option lives involve management's best estimates at that time, both of which impact the fair value of the option calculated under the Black-Scholes methodology and, ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the life of the option. SFAS 123R also requires that we recognize compensation expense for only the portion of options or stock units that are expected to vest. Therefore, the Company applies estimated forfeiture rates that are derived from historical employee termination behavior. An estimated forfeiture rate of 4% was used for fiscal 2007 and 5% for fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table shows the pro forma effect on the Company's net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share for the year ended September 30, 2005, had compensation expense been determined based upon the fair value at the grant date for awards consistent with the methodology prescribed by SFAS 123. The Company adopted SFAS 123R on July 1, 2005, the beginning of its fourth quarter of fiscal 2005; therefore, stock-based compensation expense shown in the pro forma table relates to expense through June 30, 2005 while the Company was still under the disclosure only provisions of SFAS 123. Stock-based compensation expense for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2006 has been included in results of operations. These pro forma effects may not be representative of expense in future periods since the estimated fair value of stock options on the date of grant is amortized to expense over the vesting period, and additional options may be granted or options may be cancelled in future years:

	Year Ended September 30, 2005	
Net income, as reported	\$	46,902
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense under APB No. 25 included in reported net income, net of tax effect		833
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value methods, net of tax effect		7,161
Pro forma net income	\$	40,574
Net income per share:		
As reported basic	\$	0.63
Pro forma basic	\$	0.55
As reported diluted	\$	0.61
Pro forma diluted	\$	0.53

Earnings Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common and dilutive common stock equivalent shares outstanding during the period.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share data).

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
Numerator			
Net income	\$ 77,000	\$ 66,005	\$ 46,902
Denominator			

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Weighted average shares outstanding	basic	83,205	80,278	74,440
Dilutive effect of common shares from stock options and restricted stock units		1,932	2,742	3,082
Weighted average shares outstanding	diluted	85,137	83,020	77,522
Basic net income per share		\$ 0.93	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.63
Diluted net income per share		\$ 0.90	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.61

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Approximately 0.2 million, 0.4 million, and 0.8 million of common shares potentially issuable from stock options for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price was greater than the market price.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159), which allows entities to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The Statement also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective for the Company's fiscal years beginning October 1, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the potential effect if any of implementing this standard.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company's fiscal years beginning October 1, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently assessing the potential effect if any of implementing this standard.

In September 2006, the SEC issued SAB No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB 108), which is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. SAB 108 provides interpretive guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. The adoption of SAB 108 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the application of SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. The Interpretation addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for the company beginning October 1, 2007. The impact of the Company's reassessment of its tax positions in accordance with the requirements of FIN 48 is expected to yield no change in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

2. Short-Term and Long-Term Investments

Short-term investments consist of the following (in thousands):

Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
-------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------

September 30, 2007

Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 17,923	\$ 20	\$ (29)	\$ 17,914
Municipal bonds and notes	79,100	2		79,102
U.S. government securities	107,185	38	(70)	107,153
	\$ 204,208	\$ 60	\$ (99)	\$ 204,169

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2006				
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 83,619	\$	\$ (475)	\$ 83,144
Municipal bonds and notes	67,450			67,450
U.S. government securities	186,159	43	(369)	185,833
	\$ 337,228	\$ 43	\$ (844)	\$ 336,427

Long-term investments consist of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2007				
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 2,648	\$	\$	\$ 2,648
Municipal bonds and notes	29,414	61		29,475
U.S. government securities	183,784	459		184,243
	\$ 215,846	\$ 520	\$	\$ 216,366

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2006				
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 10,823	\$ 22	\$ (51)	\$ 10,794
U.S. government securities	107,471	76	(338)	107,209
	\$ 118,294	\$ 98	\$ (389)	\$ 118,003

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturities at September 30, 2007, by contractual years-to-maturity, are presented below (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	\$ 204,208	\$ 204,169
Over one year through five years	215,846	216,366
	\$ 420,054	\$ 420,535

The Company invests in securities that are rated investment grade or better. The unrealized losses on these investments were caused by interest rate increases and not credit quality. The Company has determined the unrealized losses are temporary as the duration of the decline in value of investments has been short, the extent of the decline, in both dollars and as a percentage of costs, is not significant, and the Company has the ability and intent to hold the investments until it recovers at least substantially all of the cost of the investments.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes investments that have unrealized losses as of September 30, 2007 (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months of Greater		Total	
	Gross		Gross		Gross	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
September 30, 2007						
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 4,628	\$ 2	\$ 5,001	\$ 27	\$ 9,629	\$ 29
U.S. government securities	10,899	5	28,534	65	39,433	70
Total	\$ 15,527	\$ 7	\$ 33,535	\$ 92	\$ 49,062	\$ 99

3. Business Combinations

The Company's acquisitions are accounted for under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. The total purchase price is allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and the liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. The fair value assigned to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on estimates and assumptions provided by management, and other information compiled by management, including independent valuations, prepared by valuation specialists that utilize established valuation techniques appropriate for the technology industry. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and other Intangible Assets*, goodwill is not amortized but instead is tested for impairment at least annually.

Fiscal Year 2007 Acquisition of Acopia Networks

On September 12, 2007, the Company acquired all of the capital stock of Acopia Networks, Inc. (Acopia), a privately held Delaware corporation headquartered in Lowell, Massachusetts for \$207.8 million in cash. The Company also incurred \$2.2 million of direct transaction costs for a total purchase price of approximately \$210.0 million. Acopia provides high-performance, intelligent file virtualization solutions. These solutions will be highly complementary to Company's strategy of optimizing the application infrastructure from the core of the datacenter to the edge of the network. As a result of the merger, the Company acquired all the assets of Acopia, all property, equipment and other assets that Acopia used in its business and assumed all the liabilities of Acopia. The results of operations of Acopia have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The purchase price allocation is as follows (in thousands):

Assets acquired

Cash	\$ 1,855
Fair value of assets	4,364
Deferred tax assets, net	26,799
Developed technology, customer relationships and other intangibles	17,500
In-process research and development	14,000
Goodwill	152,296
 Total assets acquired	 \$ 216,814
 Liabilities assumed	
Accrued liabilities	\$ (2,093)
Deferred revenue	(4,708)
 Total liabilities assumed	 (6,801)
 Net assets acquired	 \$ 210,013

Of the total estimated purchase price, \$15.0 million was allocated to developed technology, \$14.0 million to in-process research and development, \$2.1 million to customer relationships and \$0.4 million to trade name and a specific non-compete agreement. To determine the value of the developed technology, a combination of cost and market approaches were used. The cost approach required an estimation of the costs required to reproduce the developed technology. The market approach measures the fair value of the technology through an analysis of recent comparable transactions. To determine the value of customer relationships, the income approach was used. The income approach estimates the fair value based on the earnings and cash flow capacity of an asset.

Developed technology, customer relationships and trade name will be amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful life of five years. The non-compete agreement will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the thirty six month term of the agreement.

Fiscal Year 2006 Acquisition of Swan Labs

On October 4, 2005, the Company acquired all of the capital stock of Swan Labs, a privately held Delaware corporation headquartered in San Jose, California for \$43.0 million in cash. The Company also incurred \$3.2 million of direct transaction costs for a total purchase price of approximately \$46.2 million. As a result of the merger, the Company acquired all the assets of Swan Labs, all property, equipment and other assets that Swan Labs used in its business and assumed all the liabilities of Swan Labs. Swan Labs provides WAN (Wide Area Network) optimization and application acceleration products and services. The addition of Swan Labs is intended to allow us to quickly enter the WAN optimization market, broaden the Company's customer base, and augment the Company's existing product line. The results of operations of Swan Labs have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements

from the date of acquisition.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The purchase price allocation is as follows (in thousands):

Assets acquired

Cash	\$ 3,448
Fair value of assets	1,497
Deferred tax assets, net	2,341
Developed technology and customer relationships	8,589
Goodwill	31,975
 Total assets acquired	 \$ 47,850

Liabilities assumed

Accrued liabilities	\$ (1,405)
Deferred revenue	(229)
 Total liabilities assumed	 (1,634)
 Net assets acquired	 \$ 46,216

Of the total estimated purchase price, \$8.0 million and \$0.6 million was allocated to developed technology and customer relationships, respectively. To determine the value of the developed technology, a combination of cost and market approaches were used. The cost approach required an estimation of the costs required to reproduce the developed technology. The market approach measures the fair value of the technology through an analysis of recent comparable transactions. To determine the value of customer relationships, the income approach was used. The income approach estimates the fair value based on the earnings and cash flow capacity of an asset. The \$8.6 million allocated to developed technology and customer relationships will be amortized on a straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of five years.

Pro Forma Results

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated summary financial information below, presents the combined results of operations as if the acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of the previous fiscal year. For pro forma reporting purposes, the fiscal year 2007 presentation includes the results of operations of Acopia from October 1, 2006 through September 12, 2007, the date of acquisition. The 2006 presentation included the results of operations of Acopia from October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006. The 2005 presentation includes the results of operations of Swan Labs from October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005.

Unaudited pro forma financial information is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

Year Ended September 30,	Year Ended September 30,	Year Ended September 30,
-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

	2007	2006	2005
Net revenues pro forma	\$ 531,512	\$ 396,413	\$ 283,434
Net income pro forma	\$ 48,700	\$ 39,396	\$ 38,412
Net income per share basic pro forma	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.52
Net income per share diluted pro forma	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.50

The unaudited pro forma financial information does not reflect integration costs, or cost savings or other synergies anticipated as a result of the acquisition. This information provided for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the operating results that would have occurred if the acquisition had been consummated on the date indicated nor is it necessarily indicative of future operating results of the combined enterprise.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****4. Balance Sheet Details***Other Assets*

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
Software development costs	\$	\$ 249
Developed technology	21,711	10,159
Deposits and other	7,545	3,887
	\$ 29,256	\$ 14,295

Amortization expense related to other assets was approximately \$4.2 million, \$3.8 million, and \$1.9 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	2007			2006		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Developed technology and software development cost	\$ 31,806	\$ (10,095)	\$ 21,711	\$ 16,806	\$ (6,398)	\$ 10,408
Customer relationships	2,699	(275)	2,424	599	(120)	479
Patents and trademarks	2,259	(780)	1,479	2,259	(457)	1,802
Trade names	200	(3)	197			
Non-compete covenants	200	(6)	194			
	\$ 37,164	\$ (11,159)	\$ 26,005	\$ 19,664	\$ (6,975)	\$ 12,689

Estimated amortization expense for intangible assets for the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$ 7,067
------	----------

2009	\$ 6,234
2010	\$ 5,561
2011	\$ 3,783
2012	\$ 3,360
	\$ 26,005

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Accrued Liabilities*

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
Payroll and benefits	\$ 23,666	\$ 17,644
Sales and marketing	2,097	1,212
Warranty	1,757	1,582
Income taxes	4,755	4,490
Other	7,715	6,655
	\$ 39,990	\$ 31,583

Other Long Term Liabilities

Other long term liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
Income taxes payable	\$ 4,161	\$ 4,201
Deferred rent and other	3,518	3,775
	\$ 7,679	\$ 7,976

5. Income Taxes

The United States and international components of income before income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
United States	\$ 121,596	\$ 104,167	\$ 73,797
International	6,098	3,402	3,637

\$ 127,694 \$ 107,569 \$ 77,434

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The provision for income taxes (benefit) consists of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
Current			
U.S. federal	\$ 42,439	\$ 43,041	\$ 33,827
State	2,240	2,458	2,451
Foreign	1,208	68	750
Total	45,887	45,567	37,028
Deferred			
U.S. federal	5,288	(4,371)	(6,129)
State	302	(144)	(653)
Foreign	(784)	512	286
Total	4,806	(4,003)	(6,496)
	\$ 50,693	\$ 41,564	\$ 30,532

The effective tax rate differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
Income tax provision at statutory rate	\$ 44,694	\$ 37,649	\$ 27,102
State taxes, net of federal benefit	2,432	2,468	1,874
Impact of international operations	(1,689)	(655)	2,417
Research and development and other credits	(4,795)	(830)	(2,057)
In-process research and development write-down	5,180		
Impact of stock compensation	3,482	2,158	470
Other	1,389	774	3,375
Change in valuation allowance			(2,649)
	\$ 50,693	\$ 41,564	\$ 30,532

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The tax effects of the temporary differences that give rise to the deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
Deferred tax assets			
Net operating loss carry-forwards	\$ 32,999	\$ 6,054	\$ 25,002
Allowance for doubtful accounts	1,044	860	915
Accrued compensation and benefits	2,539	1,442	1,140
Inventories and related reserves	286	248	417
Other accruals and reserves	11,101	9,113	6,097
Depreciation	2,024	1,079	462
Tax credit carry-forwards	3,664	8,643	7,631
	53,657	27,439	41,664
Deferred tax liabilities			
Purchased intangibles and other	(10,316)	(4,100)	(1,277)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 43,341	\$ 23,339	\$ 40,387

At September 30, 2007, the Company had U.S. net operating loss carry-forwards of approximately \$86.4 million, a portion of which begins to expire in fiscal 2022 if not utilized. All U.S. net operating loss carry-forwards relate to entities acquired by the Company and are limited in use by I.R.C. Sec. 382. At September 30, 2007, the Company also had net operating loss carry-forwards of approximately \$2.4 million related to operations in the United Kingdom that carry forward indefinitely. At September 30, 2007 the Company also had federal research and development credit carry-forwards and other federal credit carry-forwards of approximately \$2.4 million which if not utilized will begin to expire in 2022 and state research and development and investment carry-forwards of approximately \$1.2 million which if not utilized may begin to expire in fiscal year 2017. At September 30, 2006 the Company had approximately \$10.8 million of net operating loss carry-forwards of which approximately \$6.7 million were related to operations in the United Kingdom and approximately \$187,000 were related to operations in Israel, both of which carry-forward indefinitely. At September 30, 2006, the Company had federal research credit and other credit carry-forwards of approximately \$7.9 million and state research credit carry-forwards of approximately \$695,000.

United States income and foreign withholding taxes have not been provided on approximately \$6.6 million of undistributed earnings from the Company's international subsidiaries. The Company has not recognized a deferred tax liability for the undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries because the Company currently does not expect to remit those earnings in the foreseeable future. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability related to undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries is not practicable because such liability, if any, is dependent on circumstances existing if and when remittance occurs.

On December 20, 2006, the U.S. federal research credit was extended for two years for qualified research expenses paid or incurred after December 31, 2005, and before January 1, 2008, as amended by the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006. In fiscal 2007, the Company experienced an increase in its R&D credit available for use of approximately \$1.6 million due to this legislation.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****6. Shareholders Equity***Common Stock**Equity Incentive Plans*

In fiscal 2005, the Company modified the method in which it issues incentive awards to its employees through stock-based compensation. In prior years, stock-based compensation consisted only of stock options. Beginning in 2005, the majority of awards consisted of restricted stock unit awards and to a lesser degree stock options. Employees vest in restricted stock units and stock options ratably over the corresponding service term, generally one to four years. The Company's stock options expire 10 years from the date of grant. Restricted stock units are payable in shares of the Company's common stock as the periodic vesting requirements are satisfied. The value of a restricted stock unit is based upon the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The value of restricted stock units is determined using the intrinsic value method and is based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Alternatively, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of its stock options. Compensation expense related to restricted stock units and stock options is recognized over the vesting period. The Company has adopted a number of stock-based compensation plans as discussed below.

1998 Equity Incentive Plan. In November 1998, the Company adopted the 1998 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 1998 Plan, which provides for discretionary grants of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock purchase awards and stock bonuses for employees and other service providers. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, all outstanding and unvested options or stock awards under the 1998 Plan will vest at the rate of 50%, unless assumed or substituted by the acquiring entity. During the fiscal year 2007, the Company issued no non-qualified and incentive stock options or stock purchase awards and issued 119,630 stock bonuses to employees. As of September 30, 2007, there were options to purchase 969,498 shares outstanding and 4,168 shares available for awards under the 1998 Plan.

1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In May 1999, the board of directors approved the adoption of the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or the Employee Stock Purchase Plan. A total of 4,000,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The Employee Stock Purchase Plan permits eligible employees to acquire shares of the Company's common stock through periodic payroll deductions of up to 15% of base compensation. No employee may purchase more than \$25,000 worth of stock, determined at the fair market value of the shares at the time such option is granted, in one calendar year. The Employee Stock Purchase Plan has been implemented in a series of offering periods, each 6 months in duration. The price at which the common stock may be purchased is 85% of the lesser of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the first day of the applicable offering period or on the last day of the respective purchase period. As of September 30, 2007 there were 1,465,772 shares available for awards under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

2000 Equity Incentive Plan. In July 2000, the Company adopted the 2000 Employee Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2000 Plan, which provides for discretionary grants of non-qualified stock options, stock purchase awards and stock bonuses for non-executive employees and other service providers. A total of 7,000,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2000 Plan. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, all outstanding and unvested options or stock awards under the 2000 Plan will vest at the rate of 50%, unless assumed or substituted by the acquiring entity. As of September 30, 2007, there were options to purchase 719,371 shares outstanding and

152,221 shares available for awards under the 2000 Plan.

New Hire Incentive Plans. In October 2003, the company adopted a non-qualified stock option plan, or the Hull Plan, in connection with the hiring of Thomas Hull, the Company's Senior Vice President of Worldwide Sales. The Hull plan provided for a grant of 450,000 non-qualified stock options for Mr. Hull. As of September 30, 2007, there were options to purchase 230,000 shares outstanding and no shares available for awards under the Hull Plan. In August 2004, the Company adopted a non-qualified stock option plan, or the

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Triebes Plan, in connection with the hiring of Karl Triebes, the Company's Senior Vice President of Product Development and Chief Technology Officer. The Triebes Plan provided for a grant of 600,000 non-qualified stock options for Mr. Triebes. As of September 30, 2007, there were options to purchase 138,000 shares outstanding and no shares available for awards under the Triebes Plan. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, 100% of all outstanding and unvested options remaining under the Hull Plan and the Triebes Plan will vest and become immediately exercisable.

Acquisition Incentive Plans. In July 2003, the Company adopted the uRoam Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan, or the uRoam Plan, in connection with the hiring of the former employees of uRoam, Inc. A total of 500,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the uRoam Plan. The plan provided for discretionary grants of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock purchase awards and stock bonuses. The Company has not granted any stock purchase awards or stock bonuses under this plan. As of September 30, 2007 there were options to purchase 31,172 shares outstanding and no shares available for awards under the uRoam Plan.

In July 2004, the Company adopted the MagniFire Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan, or the MagniFire Plan, in connection with the hiring of the former employees of MagniFire Websystems, Inc. A total of 830,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the MagniFire Plan. The plan provides for discretionary grants of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock purchase awards and stock bonuses. The Company has not granted any stock purchase awards or stock bonuses under this plan. As of September 30, 2007 there were options to purchase 146,969 shares outstanding and no shares available for awards under the MagniFire Plan.

In August 2007, the Company adopted the 2007 Acopia Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2007 Acopia Plan. The 2007 Acopia Plan provides for discretionary grants of non-statutory stock options and stock units for employees, directors and consultant of Acopia to whom the Company offers employment in connection with the Company's acquisition of Acopia. A total of 600,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2007 Acopia Plan. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, the surviving entity will either assume or substitute all outstanding Stock Awards under the 2007 Acopia Plan. During the fiscal year 2007, the Company issued no stock options or stock units under the 2007 Acopia Plan.

In connection with the Company's acquisition of Acopia, the Company assumed the Acopia 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, or the Acopia Plan. A total of 2,230,703 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the Acopia Plan. The plan provides for discretionary grants of non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted stock awards and other stock-based awards to persons who were employees, officers, directors, consultants or advisors to Acopia on or prior to September 12, 2007. The Company has not granted any stock options, stock purchase awards or stock bonuses under this plan. As of September 30, 2007, there were options to purchase 421,680 shares outstanding and 1,809,023 shares available for awards under the Acopia Plan.

Options that expire under the uRoam Plan or the MagniFire Plan, whether due to termination of employment or otherwise, are not available for future grant.

2005 Equity Incentive Plan. In December 2004, the Company adopted the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2005 Plan, which provides for discretionary grants of non-statutory stock options and stock units for employees, including officers, and other service providers. A total of 7,400,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2005 Plan. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, the surviving entity will either assume or

substitute all outstanding Stock Awards under the 2005 Plan. During the fiscal year 2007, the Company issued no stock options and 1,687,802 stock units under the 2005 Plan. As of September 30, 2007, there were options to purchase 75,000 shares outstanding and 3,473,618 shares available for awards under the 2005 Plan.

A majority of the restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2007, 2006 and 2005 vest quarterly over a two-year period. The restricted stock units were granted during fiscal 2007 and 2006 with a per-share weighted

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

average fair value of \$40.98 and \$27.21, respectively. Restricted stock units were granted during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005 with a per share weighted average fair value of \$22.30. The fair value of restricted stock vested during fiscal years 2007 and 2006 was \$45.3 million and \$17.1 million, respectively.

A summary of restricted stock unit activity under the 2005 Plan is as follows:

	Outstanding Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance, September 30, 2004		\$
Units granted	1,442,368	22.30
Units cancelled	(6,000)	22.97
Balance, September 30, 2005	1,436,368	\$ 22.30
Units granted	1,023,750	27.21
Units vested	(604,270)	28.27
Units cancelled	(144,884)	22.76
Balance, September 30, 2006	1,710,964	\$ 23.09
Units granted	1,687,562	40.81
Units vested	(1,278,456)	34.34
Units cancelled	(151,654)	24.92
Balance, September 30, 2007	1,968,416	\$ 30.84

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A summary of stock option activity under all of the Company's plans is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Options Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share
Balance, September 30, 2004	14,707,120	\$ 10.76
Options granted	448,200	21.86
Options exercised	(7,369,116)	8.83
Options cancelled	(595,572)	17.04
Balance, September 30, 2005	7,190,632	\$ 12.91
Options granted	147,000	25.54
Options exercised	(3,494,474)	11.08
Options cancelled	(209,322)	20.46
Balance at September 30, 2006	3,633,836	\$ 14.75
Options granted	446,321	19.35
Options exercised	(1,256,486)	12.49
Options cancelled	(67,980)	32.05
Balance at September 30, 2007	2,755,691	\$ 16.10

The weighted-average fair values per share at the date of grant for options granted with exercise prices equal to market were \$15.95, \$14.23, and \$9.29 for the fiscal years 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. For fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, there were no options granted with exercise prices less than market.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during fiscal 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$32.0 million, \$62.1 million and \$105.2 million, respectively.

Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value(1) (In thousands)
---------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	--	--

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

\$0.38 - \$7.32	563,322	4.76	\$	5.37	
\$7.70 - \$11.41	611,257	7.14	\$	10.08	
\$11.52 - \$14.05	583,652	4.01	\$	12.54	
\$14.09 - \$27.07	564,567	5.22	\$	20.99	
\$27.10 - \$60.44	432,893	6.10	\$	36.99	
\$0.38 - \$60.44	2,755,691	5.43	\$	16.10	\$ 59,458
Exercisable	1,853,471	4.25	\$	15.39	\$ 41,757
Vested and expected to vest	2,691,686	5.38	\$	16.03	\$ 58,292

(1) Aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the fair value of the Company's common stock underlying these options at September 30, 2007 and the related exercise prices.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of September 30, 2007, equity based awards (including stock option and stock units) available for future issuance is as follows:

	Awards Available for Grant
Balance, September 30, 2004	243,040
Granted	(1,890,568)
Exercised	
Cancelled	601,572
Additional shares reserved (terminated), net	3,164,162
 Balance, September 30, 2005	 2,118,206
Granted	(1,170,750)
Exercised	
Cancelled	354,206
Additional shares reserved (terminated), net	(59,212)
 Balance, September 30, 2006	 1,242,450
Granted	(2,253,753)
Exercised	
Cancelled	219,634
Additional shares reserved (terminated), net	6,830,698
 Balance, September 30, 2007	 6,039,029

As of September 30, 2007, there was \$74.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost, related to unvested stock options and restricted stock units, the majority of which will be recognized ratably over the next two years. An assumption of a four percent forfeiture rate is utilized when arriving at the amount of stock compensation expense. The Company recognized \$41.2 million and \$24.8 million of pre-tax stock compensation expense for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$4.6 million of pre-tax stock compensation expense following the early adoption of FAS 123R in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****7. Commitments and Contingencies*****Operating Leases***

The majority of the Company's operating lease payments relate to the Company's two building corporate headquarters in Seattle, Washington. The lease on the first building commenced in July 2000; and the lease on the second building commenced in September 2000. The lease for both buildings expire in 2012. The second building has been fully subleased until 2012. The Company also leases additional office space for product development and sales and support personnel in the United States and internationally.

Future minimum operating lease payments, net of sublease income, are as follows (in thousands):

	Gross Lease Payments	Sublease Income	Net Lease Payments
2008	14,371	2,867	11,504
2009	16,500	2,944	13,556
2010	15,424	3,020	12,404
2011	12,214	3,097	9,117
2012	9,765	2,644	7,121
Thereafter	31,442		31,442
	\$ 99,716	\$ 14,572	\$ 85,144

Rent expense under non-cancelable operating leases amounted to approximately \$10.4 million, \$8.2 million, and \$5.6 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

Litigation

Derivative Suits. Beginning on or about May 24, 2006, several derivative actions were filed against certain current and former directors and officers of the Company. These derivative lawsuits were filed in: (1) the Superior Court of King County, Washington, as In re F5 Networks, Inc. State Court Derivative Litigation (Case No. 06-2-17195-1 SEA), which consolidates Adams v. Amdahl, et al. (Case No. 06-2-17195-1 SEA), Wright v. Amdahl, et al. (Case No. 06-2-19159-5 SEA), and Sommer v. McAdam, et al. (Case No. 06-2-26248-4 SEA) (the "State Court Derivative Litigation"); and (2) in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, as In re F5 Networks, Inc. Derivative Litigation, Master File No. C06-0794RSL, which consolidates Hutton v. McAdam, et al. (Case No. 06-794RSL), Locals 302 and 612 of the International Union of Operating Engineers-Employers Construction Industry Retirement Trust v. McAdam et al. (Case No. C06-1057RSL), and Easton v. McAdam et al. (Case No. C06-1145RSL). On August 2, 2007, another derivative lawsuit, Barone v. McAdam et al. (Case No. C07-1200P), was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington. It is expected that this lawsuit will be consolidated with the other lawsuits pending in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington. The complaints generally allege that certain of the Company's current and former directors and officers, including, in

general, each of the Company's current outside directors (other than Deborah L. Bevier who joined the Company's Board of Directors in July 2006) breached their fiduciary duties to the Company by engaging in alleged wrongful conduct concerning the manipulation of certain stock option grant dates. The Company is named solely as a nominal defendant against whom the plaintiffs seek no recovery. The Company's combined motion to consolidate and stay the State Court Derivative Litigation was granted in a court order dated April 3, 2007. The Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated federal derivative actions based on plaintiffs' failure to make demand on the Company's Board of Directors prior to filing suit was granted in a court order dated August 6, 2007 with leave to amend the allegations in plaintiffs' complaint. Plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated federal derivative action complaint on September 14, 2007. The Company intends to vigorously pursue dismissal of the amended complaint and has

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

filed a motion to dismiss based on plaintiffs' failure to make demand on the Company's Board of Directors prior to filing suit.

The Company is not aware of any additional pending legal proceedings that, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results, or financial condition. The Company may in the future be party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims that allegedly infringe upon third-party trademarks or other intellectual property rights. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

8. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a 401(k) savings plan whereby eligible employees may voluntarily contribute a percentage of their compensation. The Company may, at its discretion, match a portion of the employees' eligible contributions. Contributions by the Company to the plan during the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005 were approximately \$2.5 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. Contributions made by the Company vest over four years.

9. Geographic Sales and Significant Customers

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. For fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company was organized as, and operated in, one reportable segment: the development, marketing and sale of application delivery networking products that optimize the security, performance & availability of network applications, servers and storage systems. The Company manages its business based on four geographic regions: the Americas (primarily the United States); Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); Japan; and Asia Pacific. The Company's chief operating decision-making group reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis accompanied by information about revenues by geographic region. The Company's foreign offices conduct sales, marketing and support activities. Management evaluates performance based primarily on revenues in the geographic locations in which the Company operates. Revenues are attributed by geographic location based on the location of the customer. The Company's assets are primarily located in the United States and not allocated to any specific region. Therefore, geographic information is presented only for net product revenue.

The following presents revenues by geographic region (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2005
Americas	\$ 307,087	\$ 226,242	\$ 167,322
EMEA	92,674	70,716	47,198
Japan	64,346	51,560	38,435
Asia Pacific	61,560	45,531	28,455

\$ 525,667 \$ 394,049 \$ 281,410

Net revenues from international customers are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars and totaled \$218.6 million, \$167.8 million, and \$114.1 million for the years ended September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. One domestic distributor accounted for 11.6%, 13.6% and 18.6% of total net revenue for the fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. This distributor accounted for 6.6%, 8.5% and 26.2% of accounts receivable as of September 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Another domestic distributor accounted for 13.2% of net revenue for the year ended September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****10. Quarterly Results of Operations**

The following presents the Company's unaudited quarterly results of operations for the eight quarters ended September 30, 2007. The information should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This unaudited information has been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments that were considered necessary for a fair statement of our operating results for the quarters presented.

	Sept. 30, 2007	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	Three Months Ended				
				Dec. 31, 2006	Sept. 30, 2006	June 30, 2006	March 31, 2006	Dec. 31, 2005
	(Unaudited and in thousands)							
Net revenues								
Products	\$ 106,982	\$ 97,751	\$ 96,126	\$ 92,062	\$ 86,320	\$ 77,192	\$ 72,775	\$ 68,591
Services	38,625	34,674	31,479	27,968	25,397	22,937	21,341	19,496
Total	145,607	132,425	127,605	120,030	111,717	100,129	94,116	88,087
Cost of net revenues								
Products	23,683	20,770	20,425	19,216	17,716	15,869	15,441	14,593
Services	9,665	8,867	8,390	7,308	7,065	6,649	5,846	4,974
Total	33,348	29,637	28,815	26,524	24,781	22,518	21,287	19,567
Gross profit	112,259	102,788	98,790	93,506	86,936	77,611	72,829	68,520
Operating expenses								
Sales and marketing	48,165	45,158	43,177	39,055	35,087	32,364	31,162	28,865
Research and development	19,929	17,476	17,086	14,539	13,900	12,517	12,276	10,478
General and administrative(2)	11,196	12,375	12,867	12,818	14,389	10,175	7,148	7,397
In-process research and development	14,000							
Total operating expenses	93,290	75,009	73,130	66,412	63,376	55,056	50,586	46,740
Income from operations	18,969	27,779	25,660	27,094	23,560	22,555	22,243	21,780
Other income, net	7,355	7,175	7,230	6,431	5,825	4,759	3,877	2,970

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Income before income taxes	26,324	34,954	32,890	33,525	29,385	27,314	26,120	24,750
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	13,442	13,145	12,934	11,172	11,633	10,349	10,053	9,529
Net income	\$ 12,882	\$ 21,809	\$ 19,956	\$ 22,353	\$ 17,752	\$ 16,965	\$ 16,067	\$ 15,221
Net income per share basic(1)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.19
Weighted average shares basic(1)	84,305	83,614	82,834	82,062	81,448	81,106	80,240	78,326
Net income per share diluted(1)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.19
Weighted average shares diluted(1)	85,792	85,310	84,780	84,636	83,290	83,318	83,254	81,610

- (1) Share and per share amounts have been adjusted as appropriate to reflect a two-for-one stock-split effective August 2007.
- (2) The fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, includes general and administrative expenses of \$5.0 million, related to third parties cost for legal, accounting, tax and other professional services in connection with the Special Committee investigation and related restatement.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES**

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charges to Costs and Expenses	Charges to Other Accounts (In thousands)	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
Year Ended September 30, 2007					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 900	\$ 59	\$	\$ 95	\$ 1,054
Allowance for sales returns	\$ 1,958	\$ 1,149	\$ (3,036)	\$ 2,036	\$ 2,107
Year Ended September 30, 2006					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,747	\$ (854)	\$	\$ 7	\$ 900
Allowance for sales returns	\$ 1,222	\$ 920	\$ 921	\$ (1,105)	\$ 1,958
Year Ended September 30, 2005					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,594	\$ 653	\$	\$ (500)	\$ 1,747
Allowance for sales returns	\$ 1,567	\$ 766	\$ 626	\$ (1,737)	\$ 1,222
Income tax valuation allowance	\$ 2,649	\$	\$	\$ (2,649)	\$

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to ensure that required information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required timeframe, as specified in the rules set forth by the Securities Exchange Commission. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2007 and, based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2007.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Based on the results of this assessment and on those criteria, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2007. Management has excluded Acopia Networks, Inc. from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007 because it was acquired by the Company in a purchase business combination during 2007. Acopia Networks, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary whose total assets and total revenues represent \$5,327,000 and \$1,637,000, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended September 30, 2007.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the fourth fiscal quarter, there were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B. *Other Information*

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant*

We intend to furnish to the SEC a definitive Proxy Statement no later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 (the Proxy Statement). Certain information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement. Also see Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions*

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

(a) Documents filed as part of this report are as follows:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements:

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 in Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. Exhibits:

The required exhibits are included at the end of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are described in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding the first exhibit.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

F5 Networks, Inc.

By: /s/ JOHN MCADAM
 John McAdam
Chief Executive Officer and President

Dated: November 19, 2007

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

	Signature	Title	Date
By:	/s/ JOHN MCADAM John McAdam	Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director (principal executive officer)	November 19, 2007
By:	/s/ JOHN RODRIGUEZ John Rodriguez	Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer (principal financial officer)	November 19, 2007
By:	/s/ A. GARY AMES A. Gary Ames	Director	November 19, 2007
By:	/s/ DEBORAH L. BEVIER Deborah L. Bevier	Director	November 19, 2007
By:	/s/ KEITH D. GRINSTEIN Keith D. Grinstein	Director	November 19, 2007
By:	/s/ KARL D. GUELICH Karl D. Guelich	Director	November 19, 2007
By:	/s/ ALAN J. HIGGINSON Alan J. Higginson	Director	November 19, 2007

By: /s/ RICH MALONE

Director

November 19, 2007

Rich Malone

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of May 31, 2004, by and among the Registrant, Fire5, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant, MagniFire Websystems, Inc., and Lucent Venture Partners III LLC(1)
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated September 6, 2005, among F5 Networks, Inc., Sparrow Acquisition Corp., Swan Labs Corporation and the other parties referred to therein.(2)
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 6, 2007, among F5 Networks, Inc., Checkmate Acquisition Corp., Acopia networks, Inc. and Charles River Ventures, LLC.(19)
3.1	Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant(3)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant(3)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate(3)
10.1	Amended and Restated Office Lease Agreement dated April 3, 2000, between the Registrant and 401 Elliott West LLC(4)
10.2	Sublease Agreement dated March 30, 2001 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(5)
10.3	uRoam Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan(6)
10.4	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and each of its directors and certain of its officers(3)
10.5	1998 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended(7)
10.6	Form of Option Agreement under the 1998 Equity Incentive Plan(3)
10.7	Amended and Restated Directors Nonqualified Stock Option Plan(3)
10.8	Form of Option Agreement under the Amended and Restated Directors Nonqualified Stock Option Plan(3)
10.9	Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan(3)
10.10	Form of Option Agreement under the Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan(3)
10.11	1999 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan(3)
10.12	Form of Option Agreement under 1999 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan(3)
10.13	NonQualified Stock Option Agreement between John McAdam and the Registrant dated July 24, 2000(8)
10.14	2000 Employee Equity Incentive Plan(9)
10.15	Form of Option Agreement under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan(10)
10.16	NonQualified Stock Option Agreement between M. Thomas Hull and the Registrant dated October 20, 2003(11)
10.17	1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended(12)
10.18	MagniFire Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan(13)
10.19	NonQualified Stock Option Agreement between Karl Triebes and the Registrant dated August 16, 2004(13)
10.20	Incentive Compensation Plan for Executive Officers(13)
10.21	2005 Equity Incentive Plan(14)
10.22	Form of Restricted Stock Unit agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (with acceleration upon change of control)(15)
10.23	Form of Restricted Stock Unit agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (no acceleration upon change of control)(15)
10.24	Amendment to F5 Networks, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Award Agreement, dated March 8, 2006, between the Registrant and John Rodriquez(16)

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

- 10.25 Amendment to F5 Networks, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Award Agreement, dated March 8, 2006, between the Registrant and Andy Reinland(16)
- 10.26 Compensation arrangement for current and future members to special committees of the Registrant s Board of Directors effective September 1, 2006(17)
- 10.27 Office Lease Agreement with Selig Real Estate Holdings IIX, L.L.C. dated October 31, 2006(18)
- 10.28 First Amendment to Sublease Agreement dated April 13, 2001 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc. (20)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.29	Second Amendment to Sublease Agreement dated March 6, 2002 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc. (20)
10.30	Third Amendment to Sublease Agreement dated as of December 22, 2005 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc. (20)
10.31	Assumed Acopia Networks, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (21)
10.32	Acopia Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan (21)
10.33*	Form of Restricted Stock Unit agreement under the Acopia Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan (with acceleration upon change of control)
10.34*	Form of Restricted Stock Unit agreement under the Acopia Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan (no acceleration upon change of control)
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Filed herewith.

- (1) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 31, 2004 and filed with the SEC on June 2, 2004.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2005 and filed with the SEC on October 5, 2005.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-75817.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-109895.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-104169.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2000.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-51878.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2001.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-112022.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-116187.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004.

- (14) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 8, 2006 and filed with the SEC on March 10, 2006.
- (17) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 1, 2006 and filed with the SEC on September 5, 2006.
- (18) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 31, 2006 and filed with the SEC on November 3, 2006.
- (19) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 6, 2007 and filed with the SEC on August 8, 2007.
- (20) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006.
- (21) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-146195.