NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC Form DEF 14A October 14, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant $\mbox{\sc p}$ Filed by a Party other than the Registrant $\mbox{\sc o}$

- Check the appropriate box:
 Preliminary Proxy Statement.
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).
- b Definitive Proxy Statement.
- o Definitive Additional Materials.
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-12

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM)

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth

- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- 5) Total fee paid:

| Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. |
|---|
| Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. 1) Amount Previously Paid: |
| 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: |
| 3) Filing Party: |
| 4) Date Filed: |
| |
| |

Important Notice to Fund Shareholders

OCTOBER 17, 2011

Although we recommend that you read the complete Joint Proxy Statement, for your convenience, we have provided a brief overview of the issues to be voted on.

Q. Why am I receiving this Joint Proxy Statement?

- **A.** You are receiving this Joint Proxy Statement in connection with the annual shareholders meeting of the Nuveen closed-end funds listed at the top of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders (each a Fund and collectively, the Funds). The following proposals will be considered:
 - (i) the election of board members for each Fund (the list of specific nominees is contained in the enclosed Joint Proxy Statement);
 - (ii) the elimination of the current fundamental investment policy and adoption of a new fundamental investment policy regarding the ability to make loans by each Affected Municipal Fund (as defined in the Joint Proxy Statement) in order to update and conform such Funds policies with other Nuveen closed-end municipal funds.
 - (iii) the elimination of certain fundamental investment policies and adoption of new fundamental investment policies for Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. and Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. in order to update and conform such Funds policies with other Nuveen closed-end municipal funds relating to municipal securities and below investment grade securities, derivatives and short sales and other investment companies.

Your Fund s Board of Trustees/Directors (Board), including your Board s independent members, unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** each proposal.

Your vote is very important. We encourage you as a shareholder to participate in your Fund s governance by returning your vote as soon as possible. If enough shareholders don t cast their votes, your Fund may not be able to hold its meeting or the vote on each issue, and will be required to incur additional solicitation costs in order to obtain sufficient shareholder participation.

Proposals Regarding New Fundamental Investment Policies

Q. Why are the funds proposing a change to their fundamental investment policies?

A. The proposals are part of a multi-year effort to ensure that all of Nuveen s municipal bond closed-end funds have a uniform and up-to-date set of investment policies that reflect the evolution and changes in the municipal bond market that have emerged over the past 20 years. The proposed changes are part of a more comprehensive best practices initiative on behalf of the funds that began more than three years ago.

Nuveen s municipal bond closed-end funds have been brought to market at different intervals over the course of more than 20 years, and reflect various policies and investment capabilities prevalent at the time of their creation. The investment policies of older fund s generally do not reflect subsequent developments in the municipal market, including new types of securities and investment strategies. Consequently, many of Nuveen s more recently offered municipal bond closed-end funds feature investment capabilities not uniformly enjoyed

by older municipal bond closed-end funds. The

proposals set forth in the Proxy Statement are designed to provide those funds with the same portfolio management tools currently available to Nuveen s more recently offered funds.

Proposal Regarding Fundamental Policy Relating to Loans.

- Q. What are the potential benefits of the new fundamental investment policy relating to loans for common shareholders of the Affected Municipal Funds?
- A. The proposed new fundamental investment policy would permit each Fund to make loans to the extent permitted by the securities laws. Among other things, this change is intended to provide each Affected Municipal Fund the flexibility to make loans in circumstances where a municipal issuer is in distress, if Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. believes that doing so would both:
 - (i) facilitate a timely workout of the issuer s situation in a manner which benefits that Fund; and
 - (ii) be the best choice for reducing the likelihood or severity of loss on the Fund s investment.
- Q. Was there a particular catalyst or portfolio concern prompting the loan policy proposal?
- A. This proposal is part of a broader policy initiative undertaken by Nuveen for the past several years. There are currently no identified credit situations within the complex where the use of this greater loan flexibility is intended or targeted. As stated in the Joint Proxy Statement, this policy change proposal reflects the broader intent to provide Nuveen s municipal closed-end funds, including the Affected Municipal Funds, the same portfolio management flexibility already available to other funds with similar investment objectives within the Nuveen complex.
- Q. Does the loan policy proposal reflect a growing concern on Nuveen s part over the state of municipal issuers?
- A. Nuveen s portfolio management and research team is actively engaged in monitoring both macro issues impacting the municipal bond market as well as individual credit holdings held by the various Nuveen funds. The team regularly comments on the strength of the municipal bond market as well as provides in-depth research articles. Providing an Affected Municipal Fund with the option of making loans to help facilitate a timely workout of a distressed issuer s situation merely provides the Fund with an additional tool to help preserve shareholder value, and, importantly, should not be viewed as a commentary on the state of the municipal bond market.
- Q. Have the Nuveen municipal closed-end funds participated in loans to municipal issuers in the past?
- A. Though such a loan situation in the municipal market is rare, it represents a more common workout practice in the corporate bond market. The most recent situation where a Nuveen fund with the flexibility to do so made a loan to an issuer facing a credit workout situation occurred approximately eight years ago. Since that time, a limited number of funds having a policy permitting the making of loans have considered doing so in particular workout situations, but ultimately determined to take other actions in pursuit of maximizing shareholder value.
- Q. Is this proposal in response to any past or current municipal credit litigation?
- **A.** This proposal is not related to any past or pending litigation.

Q. If approved, do you know when/if you plan to employ this option?

A. As stated in the Proxy Statement, this policy is designed to provide each fund the flexibility to make loans in circumstances where a municipal issuer is in distress if the adviser believes that doing so would both:

facilitate a timely workout of the issuer s situation in a manner that benefits the fund; and,

is the best choice for reducing the likelihood or severity of loss on the fund s investment.

Again, there are currently no identified credit situations within the complex where this option is intended or targeted.

Q. Will this option impact how the underlying bonds should be valued?

A. The funds will value a loan based on several factors that draw upon policies and procedures adopted and approved by the funds Board of Trustees/Directors that are able to value instruments issued in these types of situations. As with any investment, risks exist, and if the adviser is wrong, the valuation of a particular loan could be impacted and effect the value of the underlying bond held in the fund. However, we would not expect that any loans would constitute a meaningful portion of a fund s total assets.

Proposal Regarding Other Changes to Fundamental Policies.

Q. What are the potential benefits of the new investment policies to common shareholders of the Nuveen California Investment Quality Fund, Inc. and Nuveen California Performance Plus Fund, Inc.?

The potential benefits to common shareholders of Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. and Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. from changes to investment policies relating to investments in municipal securities, below investment grade securities, other investment companies and derivatives, short sales and commodities include:

- (i) enhanced ability of the Funds to generate attractive tax-free income while retaining their orientation on investment grade quality municipal securities;
- (ii) increased flexibility in diversifying portfolio risks and managing duration (the sensitivity of bond prices to interest rate changes) to pursue the preservation of and possible growth of capital, which, if successful, will help to sustain and build net asset value; and
- (iii) improved secondary market competitiveness that may lead to a higher relative market price and/or stronger premium/discount performance.

In addition, all funds registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 are required to have a policy regarding investments in commodities. This category includes several types of investments, including certain types of derivative investments that have developed over time, and which a fund may potentially use as a means to enhance return, to hedge some of the risks of its investments in fixed income securities or as a substitute for a position in the underlying asset. The commodity and derivative policy changes stated in this Joint Proxy Statement seek to increase Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. s flexibility to use derivatives in these ways in pursuit of fund investment objectives, which have not changed.

Conforming and updating these investment policies is intended to benefit common shareholders by increasing portfolio manager efficiency and flexibility to take advantage of a wide range of appropriate opportunities in the municipal bond markets in pursuit of the Fund s investment objectives.

- Q. What are the potential benefits of the new investment policies for preferred shareholders of the Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. and Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc.?
- **A.** The potential benefits to preferred shareholders are increased flexibility in diversifying portfolio risks and optimizing returns on current investments in order to pursue the preservation and possible growth of capital which, if successful, will help to sustain and build net asset value and therefore, asset coverage levels for preferred shares.

General

- Q. What actions are required in order to implement the new investment policies?
- **A.** In order to implement the new investment policies and obtain the potential benefits described above, shareholders are being asked to approve the elimination of existing fundamental policies and the implementation of new replacement fundamental policies.
- Q. What happens if shareholders do not approve the elimination of the fundamental investment policies and/or do not approve a new investment policy or policies?
- **A.** The Fund will not be able to implement a new investment policy or policies as discussed above. The Fund would likely incur further expenses to solicit additional shareholder participation, and may experience potential disruptions to its investment operations. Each Fund s Board urges you to vote without delay in order to avoid the potential for higher costs and/or disruptions to portfolio operations.

Q. Who do I call if I have questions?

A. If you need any assistance, or have any questions regarding the proposals or how to vote your shares, please call Computershare Fund Services, your Fund s proxy solicitor, at (866) 963-5818 weekdays during its business hours of 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. and Saturdays from 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Eastern time. Please have your proxy materials available when you call.

Q. How do I vote my shares?

A. You can vote your shares by completing and signing the enclosed proxy card, and mailing it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. Alternatively, you may vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number on the proxy card or by computer by going to the Internet address provided on the proxy card and following the instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

Q. Will anyone contact me?

A. You may receive a call from Computershare Fund Services, the proxy solicitor hired by your Fund, to verify that you received your proxy materials, to answer any questions you may have about the proposals and to encourage you to vote your proxy.

We recognize the inconvenience of the proxy solicitation process and would not impose on you if we did not believe that the matters being proposed were important and in the best interests of the Funds. Once your vote has been registered with the proxy solicitor, your name will be removed from the solicitor s follow-up contact list.

333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 (800) 257-8787

Notice of Annual Meeting

of Shareholders

November 15, 2011

October 17, 2011

Nuveen Floating Rate Income Fund (JFR)

Nuveen Floating Rate Income Opportunity Fund (JRO)

Nuveen Senior Income Fund (NSL)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate Fund (JFP)

Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFZ, NFZ PrC)

Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NKR, NKR PrC)

Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NXE, NXE PrC)

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ)

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAC)

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NVX, NVX PrA, NVX PrC)

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZH, NZH PrC, NZH PrA, NZA PrB)

Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQC)

Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NCO)

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NCA)

Nuveen California Municipal Value 2 (NCB)

Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NCP)

Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund (NCU, NCU PrC)

Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUC)

Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NVC)

Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFM, NFM PrC)

Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NZR, NZR PrC)

Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NWI, NWI PrC, NWI PrD)

Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund (NMY, NMY PrC, NMY PrD)

Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NMB, NMB PrC)

Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund (NMT, NMT PrC, NMT PrD)

Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NZW, NZW PrC)

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP)

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM)

Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (NOM, NOM PrC)

Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXJ, NXJ PrA)

Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NUJ, NUJ PrC)

Nuveen New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQJ)

Nuveen New Jersey Municipal Value Fund (NJV)

Nuveen New Jersey Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NNJ)

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXI, NXI PrC, NXI PrD)

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NBJ, NBJ PrA)

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NVJ, NVJ PrA)

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXM, NXM PrC)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NVY, NVY PrC)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Investment Quality Municipal Fund (NQP)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Premium Income Municipal Fund 2 (NPY) Nuveen Pennsylvania Municipal Value Fund (NPN) Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX, NTX PrC) Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NGB, NGB PrC) Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NNB, NNB PrC) Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (NPV, NPV PrC, NPV PrA)

To the Shareholders of the Above Funds:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Annual Meeting) of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Arizona Premium Income), Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Investment Quality), Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (California Market Opportunity), Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (California Value), Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Performance Plus), Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Quality Income), Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Select Quality), Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Michigan Premium Income), Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Michigan Quality Income), Nuveen New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (New Jersey Investment Quality), Nuveen New Jersey Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (New Jersey Premium Income) and Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Ohio Quality Income), each a Minnesota corporation (each a Minnesota Fund and collectively, the Minnesota Funds), and Nuveen Floating Rate Income Fund (Floating Rate Income), Nuveen Floating Rate Income Opportunity Fund (Floating Rate Income Opportunity), Nuveen Senior Income Fund (Senior Income), Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate Fund (Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Arizona Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Arizona Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (Arizona Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (California Dividend Advantage), Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (California Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (California Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen California Municipal Value 2 (California Value 2), Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund (California Premium Income), Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Maryland Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Maryland Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (Maryland Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund (Maryland Premium Income), Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Massachusetts Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund (Massachusetts Premium Income), Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Michigan Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (Missouri Premium Income), Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (New Jersey Dividend Advantage), Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (New Jersey Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen New Jersey Municipal Value Fund (New Jersey Value), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Ohio Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Ohio Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (Ohio Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen Pennsylvania Municipal Value Fund (Pennsylvania Value), Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Pennsylvania Investment Quality Municipal Fund (Pennsylvania Investment Quality), Nuveen Pennsylvania Premium Income Municipal Fund 2 (Pennsylvania Premium Income 2), Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (Texas Quality Income), Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Virginia Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Virginia Dividend Advantage 2) and Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (Virginia Premium Income), each a Massachusetts business trust (each a Massachusetts Fund and

collectively, the Massachusetts Funds) (the Minnesota Funds and Massachusetts Funds are each, a Fund and collectively, the Funds), will be held in the principal executive offices of Nuveen Investments, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on Tuesday, November 15, 2011, at 10:30 a.m., Central time, for the following purposes and to transact such other business, if any, as may properly come before the Annual Meeting:

Matters to Be Voted on by Shareholders:

- 1. To elect Members to the Board of Directors/Trustees (each a Board and each Director or Trustee a Board Member) of each Fund as outlined below:
 - a. For each Minnesota Fund, except California Value, to elect ten (10) Board Members:
 - (i) eight (8) Board Members to be elected by the holders of Common Shares and Municipal Auction Rate Cumulative Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares), voting together as a single class; and
 - (ii) two (2) Board Members to be elected by the holders of Preferred Shares only, voting as a single class.
 - b. For California Value, to elect three (3) Board Members.
 - c. For each Massachusetts Fund, except Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, to elect five (5) Board Members:
 - (i) three (3) Board Members to be elected by the holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class; and
 - (ii) two (2) Board Members to be elected by the holders of Preferred Shares only, voting as a single class.
 - d. For Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value to elect three (3) Board Members.
- 2. To approve the elimination of fundamental investment policies and to approve the new fundamental investment policies for each Affected Municipal Fund (as defined in the Joint Proxy Statement).
 - (a)(i) For shareholders of each Affected Municipal Fund, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to the Fund s ability to make loans.
 - (a)(ii) For shareholders of each Affected Municipal Fund (except for California Value), the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to the Fund s ability to make loans.
 - (b)(i) For shareholders of each Affected Municipal Fund, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to the Fund s ability to make loans.
 - (b)(ii) For shareholders of each Affected Municipal Fund (except for California Value), the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to the Fund s ability to

- 3. To approve the elimination of fundamental investment policies and to approve the new fundamental investment policies for California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus.
 - (a)(i) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities and below investment grade securities.
 - (a)(ii) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities and below investment grade securities.
 - (b)(i) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities.
 - (b)(ii) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities.
 - (c)(i) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to commodities.
 - (c)(ii) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to commodities.
 - (d)(i) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to commodities.
 - (d)(ii) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to commodities.
 - (e)(i) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to derivatives and short sales.
 - (e)(ii) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to derivatives and short sales.
 - (f)(i) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy prohibiting investment in other investment companies.
 - (f)(ii) For shareholders of California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy prohibiting investment in other investment companies.

4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on September 19, 2011 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting. In order to avoid delay and additional expense and to assure that your shares are represented, please vote as promptly as possible, regardless of whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. You may vote by mail, telephone or over the Internet. To vote by mail, please mark, sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy card. No postage is required if mailed in the United States. To vote by telephone, please call the toll-free number located on your proxy card and follow the recorded instructions, using your proxy card as a guide. To vote over the Internet, go to the Internet address provided on your proxy card and follow the instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

Kevin J. McCarthy Vice President and Secretary

333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 (800) 257-8787

Joint Proxy Statement

October 17, 2011

This Joint Proxy Statement is first being mailed to shareholders on or about October 17, 2011.

Nuveen Floating Rate Income Fund (JFR)

Nuveen Floating Rate Income Opportunity Fund (JRO)

Nuveen Senior Income Fund (NSL)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate Fund (JFP)

Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFZ, NFZ PrC)

Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NKR, NKR PrC)

Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NXE, NXE PrC)

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ)

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAC)

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NVX, NVX PrA, NVX PrC)

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZH, NZH PrC, NZH PrA, NZA PrB)

Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQC)

Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NCO)

Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NCA)

Nuveen California Municipal Value 2 (NCB)

Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NCP)

Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund (NCU, NCU PrC)

Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUC)

Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NVC)

Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFM, NFM PrC)

Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NZR, NZR PrC)

Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NWI, NWI PrC, NWI PrD)

Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund (NMY, NMY PrC, NMY PrD)

Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NMB, NMB PrC)

Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund (NMT, NMT PrC, NMT PrD)

Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NZW, NZW PrC)

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP)

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM)

Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (NOM, NOM PrC)

Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXJ, NXJ PrA)

Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NUJ, NUJ PrC)

Nuveen New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQJ)

Nuveen New Jersey Municipal Value Fund (NJV)

Nuveen New Jersey Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NNJ)

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXI, NXI PrC, NXI PrD)

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NBJ, NBJ PrA)

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NVJ, NVJ PrA)

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXM, NXM PrC)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NVY, NVY PrC)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Investment Quality Municipal Fund (NQP)

Nuveen Pennsylvania Premium Income Municipal Fund 2 (NPY) Nuveen Pennsylvania Municipal Value Fund (NPN) Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX, NTX PrC) Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NGB, NGB PrC)

Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NNB, NNB PrC) Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (NPV, NPV PrC, NPV PrA)

General Information

This Joint Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors or Trustees (each a Board and collectively, the Boards, and each Director or Trustee, a Board Member and collectively, the Board Members) of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Arizona Premium Income), Nuveen California Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Investment Quality), Nuveen California Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (California Market Opportunity), Nuveen California Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (California Value), Nuveen California Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Performance Plus), Nuveen California Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Quality Income), Nuveen California Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (California Select Quality), Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Michigan Premium Income), Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Michigan Quality Income), Nuveen New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (New Jersey Investment Quality), Nuveen New Jersey Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (New Jersey Premium Income) and Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Ohio Quality Income), each a Minnesota Corporation (each a Minnesota Fund and collectively, the Minnesota Funds), and Nuveen Floating Rate Income Fund (Floating Rate Income), Nuveen Floating Rate Income Opportunity Fund (Floating Rate Income Opportunity), Nuveen Senior Income Fund (Senior Income), Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate Fund (Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Arizona Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Arizona Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (Arizona Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (California Dividend Advantage), Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (California Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (California Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen California Municipal Value 2 (California Value 2), Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund (California Premium Income), Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Maryland Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Maryland Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (Maryland Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund (Maryland Premium Income), Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Massachusetts Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund (Massachusetts Premium Income), Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Michigan Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (Missouri Premium Income), Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (New Jersey Dividend Advantage), Nuveen New Jersey Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (New Jersey Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen New Jersey Municipal Value Fund (New Jersey Value), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Ohio Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Ohio Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (Ohio Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen Pennsylvania Municipal Value Fund (Pennsylvania Value), Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Pennsylvania Investment Quality Municipal Fund (Pennsylvania Investment Quality), Nuveen Pennsylvania Premium Income Municipal Fund 2 (Pennsylvania Premium Income 2), Nuveen Texas Quality

Income Municipal Fund (Texas Quality Income), Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Virginia Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Virginia Dividend Advantage 2) and Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (Virginia Premium Income), **each a Massachusetts Business**Trust (each a Massachusetts Fund and collectively, the Massachusetts Funds) (the Massachusetts Funds and Minnesota Funds are each, a Fund and collectively, the Funds), of proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in the principal executive offices of Nuveen Investments, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on Tuesday, November 15, 2011, at 10:30 a.m., Central time (for each Fund, an Annual Meeting and collectively, the Annual Meetings), and at any and all adjournments thereof.

On the matters coming before each Annual Meeting as to which a choice has been specified by shareholders on the proxy, the shares will be voted accordingly. If a proxy is returned and no choice is specified, the shares will be voted FOR the election of the nominees as listed in this Joint Proxy Statement and FOR the elimination of the current fundamental investment policy or policies and the adoption of a new fundamental investment policy or policies for Arizona Dividend Advantage, Arizona Dividend Advantage 2, Arizona Dividend Advantage 3, Arizona Premium Income, California Dividend Advantage, California Dividend Advantage 2, California Dividend Advantage 3, California Investment Quality, California Market Opportunity, California Value, California Performance Plus, California Premium Income, California Quality Income, California Select Quality, Maryland Dividend Advantage, Maryland Dividend Advantage 2, Maryland Dividend Advantage 3, Maryland Premium Income, Massachusetts Dividend Advantage, Massachusetts Premium Income, Michigan Dividend Advantage, Michigan Premium Income, Michigan Quality Income, Missouri Premium Income, New Jersey Dividend Advantage, New Jersey Dividend Advantage 2, New Jersey Investment Quality, New Jersey Premium Income, Ohio Dividend Advantage, Ohio Dividend Advantage 2, Ohio Dividend Advantage 3, Ohio Quality Income, Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage, Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage 2, Pennsylvania Investment Quality, Pennsylvania Premium Income, Texas Quality Income, Virginia Dividend Advantage, Virginia Dividend Advantage 2 and Virginia Premium Income (each an Affected Municipal Fund and collectively, the Affected Municipal Funds). Shareholders of a Fund who execute proxies may revoke them at any time before they are voted by filing with that Fund a written notice of revocation, by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

The Board of each Fund has determined that the use of this Joint Proxy Statement for each Annual Meeting is in the best interest of each Fund and its shareholders in light of the similar matters being considered and voted on by the shareholders.

The following table indicates which shareholders are solicited with respect to each matter:

| Matter | | Common Shares | Preferred Shares ⁽¹⁾ |
|----------|---|---------------|---------------------------------|
| 1(a)(i) | For each Minnesota Fund, except California Value, election of eight (8) Board Members by all shareholders. | X | X |
| 1(a)(ii) | For each Minnesota Fund, except California Value, election of two (2) Board Members by Preferred Shares only. | | X |
| 1(b) | For California Value, election of three (3) Board Members by all shareholders. | X | N/A |

| Matter | | Common Shares | Preferred Shares ⁽¹⁾ |
|----------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1(c)(i) | For each Massachusetts Fund, except Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, election of three (3) Board Members by all shareholders. | X | X |
| 1(c)(ii) | For each Massachusetts Fund, except Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, election of two (2) Board Members by Preferred Shares only. | | X |
| 1(d) | For Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, election of three (3) Board Members by all shareholders. | X | N/A |
| 2(a)(i) | For each Affected Municipal Fund, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of the Fund s fundamental investment policy relating to the Fund s ability to make loans. | X | X |
| 2(a)(ii) | For each Affected Municipal Fund (except California Value), the Preferred Shareholders voting separately as a single class, to approve the elimination of the Fund s fundamental investment policy relating to the Fund s ability to make loans. | | X |
| 2(b)(i) | For each Affected Municipal Fund, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy relating to the Fund s ability to make loans. | X | X |
| 2(b)(ii) | For each Affected Municipal Fund (except California Value), the Preferred Shareholders voting separately as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy relating to the Fund s ability to make loans. | | X |

3(a)(i) For California Investment Quality and California X Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities and below investment grade securities.

| Matter | | Common Shares | Preferred Shares ⁽¹⁾ |
|----------|--|---------------|---------------------------------|
| 3(a)(ii) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shareholders voting separately as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities and below investment grade securities. | | X |
| 3(b)(i) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities. | X | X |
| 3(b)(ii) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to investments in municipal securities. | | X |
| 3(c)(i) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to commodities. | X | X |
| 3(c)(ii) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to commodities. | | X |
| 3(d)(i) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to commodities. | X | X |
| 3(d)(ii) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve a new fundamental investment policy related to commodities. | | X |
| 3(e)(i) | | X | X |

For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to derivatives and short sales.

| Matter | | Common Shares | Preferred Shares ⁽¹⁾ |
|----------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 3(e)(ii) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy related to derivatives and short sales. | | X |
| 3(f)(i) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, all shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy prohibiting investment in other investment companies. | X | X |
| 3(f)(ii) | For California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus, the Preferred Shares voting as a single class, to approve the elimination of each Fund s existing fundamental investment policy prohibiting investment in other investment companies. | | X |

(1) Municipal Term Preferred Shares for Arizona Dividend Advantage, Arizona Dividend Advantage 2, Arizona Dividend Advantage 3, California Dividend Advantage 3, California Premium Income, Maryland Dividend Advantage, Maryland Dividend Advantage 3, Maryland Dividend Advantage, Massachusetts Premium Income, Michigan Dividend Advantage, Missouri Premium Income, New Jersey Dividend Advantage, New Jersey Dividend Advantage 2, Ohio Dividend Advantage, Ohio Dividend Advantage 3, Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage, Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage 2, Texas Quality Income, Virginia Dividend Advantage, Virginia Dividend Advantage 2 and Virginia Premium Income; Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares for Arizona Premium Income, California Dividend Advantage, California Investment Quality, California Market Opportunity, California Performance Plus, California Select Quality, California Quality Income, Michigan Premium Income, Michigan Quality Income, New Jersey Investment Quality, New Jersey Premium Income, Ohio Quality Income, Pennsylvania Investment Quality, and Pennsylvania Premium Income 2 are referred to as Preferred Shares. California Value, California Value 2, New Jersey Value, Pennsylvania Value, Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income and Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate do not have any Preferred Shares outstanding.

A quorum of shareholders is required to take action at each Annual Meeting. A majority of the shares entitled to vote at each Annual Meeting, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum of shareholders at that Annual Meeting, except that for the election of the two Board Member nominees to be elected by holders of Preferred Shares of each Fund (except California Value, California Value 2, New Jersey Value, Pennsylvania Value, Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income and Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate), 331/3% of the Preferred Shares entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy will constitute a quorum. Votes cast by proxy or in person at each Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the inspectors of election appointed for that Annual Meeting.

The inspectors of election will determine whether or not a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. The inspectors of election will treat abstentions and broker non-votes (i.e., shares held by brokers or nominees, typically in street name, as to which (i) instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote and (ii) the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power on a particular matter) as present for purposes of determining a quorum.

For each Fund, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to elect the Board Members of that Fund. For purposes of determining the approval of the proposal to elect nominees for each Fund, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of Board Members. For purposes of determining the approval of the elimination of the fundamental investment policies and the approval of the new fundamental investment policies for the Affected Municipal Funds (except for California Value), a change will only be consummated if approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of a Fund s Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class, and by the affirmative vote of a majority of the Fund s outstanding Preferred Shares, voting as a separate class. For purposes of determining the approval of the elimination of the fundamental investment policies and the approval of the new fundamental investment policies for California Value, a change will only be consummated if approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the Fund s outstanding shares. For this purpose, a majority of the outstanding shares means, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), (a) 67% or more of the voting securities present at the Annual Meeting, if the holders of more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities are present or represented by proxy; or (b) more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities, whichever is less. For purposes of determining the approval of the elimination of the fundamental investment policies and the approval of the new fundamental investment policies, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as shares voted against the proposal.

Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares held in street name as to which voting instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote as of one business day before the Annual Meeting, or, if adjourned, one business day before the day to which the Annual Meeting is adjourned, and that would otherwise be treated as broker non-votes may, pursuant to Rule 452 of the New York Stock Exchange, be voted by the broker on the proposal in the same proportion as the votes cast by all holders of Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares as a class who have voted on the proposal or in the same proportion as the votes cast by all holders of Preferred Shares of the Fund who have voted on that item. Rule 452 permits proportionate voting of Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares with respect to a particular item if, among other things, (i) a minimum of 30% of the Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares or shares of a series of Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares outstanding has been voted by the holders of such shares with respect to such item and (ii) less than 10% of the Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares or shares of a series of Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares outstanding has been voted by the holders of such shares against such item. For the purpose of meeting the 30% test, abstentions will be treated as shares voted and, for the purpose of meeting the 10% test, abstentions will not be treated as shares voted against the item.

Those persons who were shareholders of record at the close of business on September 19, 2011 will be entitled to one vote for each share held and a proportionate fractional vote for each fractional share held. As of September 19, 2011, the shares of the Funds were issued and outstanding as follows:

| Fund | Ticker Symbol* | Common Shares | Preferred Shares |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Floating Rate Income | JFR | 48,140,015 | N/A |
| Floating Rate Income Opportunity | JRO | 30,497,211 | N/A |
| Senior Income | NSL | 32,055,307 | N/A |

Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate JFP 13,982,903 N/A

Arizona Dividend Advantage NFZ 1,548,311 NFZ PrC 1,110,000

| Fund | Ticker Symbol* | Common Shares | Preferre | d Shares |
|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 | NKR | 2,439,549 | NKR PrC | 1,872,500 |
| Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 | NXE | 3,066,030 | NXE PrC | 2,084,600 |
| Arizona Premium Income | NAZ | 4,470,695 | Series 1 | 280 |
| California Dividend Advantage | NAC | 23,480,254 | Series 1 | 1,362 |
| California Dividend Advantage 2 | NVX | 14,746,722 | NVX PrC NVX PrA | 5,500,000 4,284,630 |
| California Dividend Advantage 3 | NZH | 24,127,919 | NZH PrC NZH PrA NZH PrB | 8,625,000 2,700,000 4,629,450 |
| California Investment Quality | NQC | 13,580,232 | Series 1 | 956 |
| California Market Opportunity | NCO | 8,143,348 | Series 1 | 498 |
| California Value | NCA | 25,253,681 | N/A | |
| California Value 2 | NCB | 3,287,900 | N/A | |
| California Performance Plus | NCP | 12,937,442 | Series 1 | 810 |
| California Premium Income | NCU | 5,730,688 | NCU PrC | 3,525,000 |
| California Quality Income | NUC | 22,010,834 | Series 1 | 1,581 |
| California Select Quality | NVC | 23,147,128 | Series 1 | 1,589 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form DEF 14A

| Maryland Dividend Advantage | NFM | 4,197,406 | NFM PrC | 2,648,500 |
|----------------------------------|-----|------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Maryland Dividend Advantage 2 | NZR | 4,203,492 | NZR PrC | 2,730,000 |
| Maryland Dividend Advantage 3 | NWI | 5,365,969 | NWI PrC NWI PrD | 2,070,000 1,536,600 |
| Maryland Premium Income | NMY | 10,654,247 | NMY PrC NMY PrD | 3,877,500 3,581,800 |
| Massachusetts Dividend Advantage | NMB | 1,965,698 | NMB PrC | 1,472,500 |
| Massachusetts Premium Income | NMT | 4,774,788 | NMT PrC NMT PrD | 2,021,000 1,643,500 |
| Michigan Dividend Advantage | NZW | 2,053,086 | NZW PrC | 1,631,300 |
| Michigan Premium Income | NMP | 7,605,648 | Series 1 | 539 |
| Michigan Quality Income | NUM | 11,554,253 | Series 1 | 879 |
| Missouri Premium Income | NOM | 2,320,702 | NOM PrC | 1,788,000 |
| New Jersey Dividend Advantage | NXJ | 6,569,912 | NXJ PrA | 4,486,100 |
| New Jersey Dividend Advantage 2 | NUJ | 4,523,313 | NUJ PrC | 3,505,000 |
| New Jersey Investment Quality | NQJ | 20,453,722 | Series 1 | 1,443 |
| New Jersey Value | NJV | 1,560,787 | N/A | |
| New Jersey Premium Income | NNJ | 12,036,596 | Series 1 | 886 |
| Ohio Dividend Advantage | NXI | 4,246,124 | NXI PrC NXI PrD | 1,945,000 1,165,340 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form DEF 14A

| Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 | NBJ | 3,122,403 | NBJ PrA | 2,224,400 |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------------|----------|-----------|
| Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 | NVJ | 2,158,189 | NVJ PrA | 1,847,015 |
| Ohio Quality Income | NUO | 9,753,457 | Series 1 | 735 |
| Pennsylvania Value | NPN | 1,219,352 | N/A | |
| Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage | NXM | 3,321,984 | NXM PrC | 2,319,000 |
| Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage 2 | NVY | 3,726,116 | NVY PrC | 2,455,000 |
| Pennsylvania Investment Quality | NQP | 16,080,898 | Series 1 | 1,125 |

| Fund | Ticker Symbol* | Common Shares | Preferre | d Shares |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Pennsylvania Premium Income 2 | NPY | 15,595,551 | Series 1 | 1,000 |
| Texas Quality Income | NTX | 9,572,025 | NTX PrC | 7,092,000 |
| Virginia Dividend Advantage | NGB | 3,145,155 | NGB PrC | 2,280,000 |
| Virginia Dividend Advantage 2 | NNB | 5,761,422 | NNB PrC | 4,320,000 |
| Virginia Premium Income | NPV | 9,022,558 | NPV PrC NPV PrA | 3,220,500 2,920,300 |

1. Election of Board Members

Minnesota Funds

At the Annual Meeting of each Minnesota Fund, except California Value, Board Members are to be elected to serve until the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Under the terms of each Minnesota Fund s organizational documents (except California Value), under normal circumstances, holders of Preferred Shares are entitled to elect two (2) Board Members, and the remaining Board Members are to be elected by holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class. Pursuant to the organizational documents of California Value, its Board is divided into three classes, with each class being elected to serve until the third succeeding annual meeting subsequent to their election or thereafter in each case when their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. For California Value, three (3) Board Members are nominated to be elected at this Annual Meeting.

(a) For each Minnesota Fund, except California Value:

(i) Eight (8) Board Members are to be elected by holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class. Board Members Amboian, Bremner, Evans, Kundert, Stockdale, Stone, Stringer and Toth are nominees for election by all shareholders.

(ii)

^{*} The Common Shares of all of the Funds are listed on the NYSE Amex, except JRO, NSL, JFR, NAZ, NAC, NQC, NCO, NCA, NCP, NUC, NVC, NMY, NMT, NMP, NUM, NQJ, NNJ, NUO, NQP, NPY, NTX and NPV, which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). The Preferred Shares of all of the Funds with Muni Term Preferred Shares are listed on the NYSE, except for NMB PrC shares which are listed on the NYSE Amex.

Two (2) Board Members are to be elected by holders of Preferred Shares, each series voting together as a single class. Board Members Hunter and Schneider are nominees for election by holders of Preferred Shares.

(b) For California Value: Three (3) Board Members are to be elected by all shareholders.

With respect to California Value, Board Members Amboian, Kundert and Toth have been designated as Class II Board Members and as nominees for Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2014 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Bremner, Evans, Hunter, Schneider, Stockdale, Stone and Stringer are current and continuing Board Members. Board Members Bremner, Evans and Schneider have been designated as Class III Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2012 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Hunter, Stockdale, Stone and Stringer have been designated as Class I

Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2013 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

Massachusetts Funds

Pursuant to the organizational documents of each Massachusetts Fund, each Board is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II and Class III, to be elected by the holders of the outstanding Common Shares and any outstanding Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class to serve until the third succeeding annual meeting subsequent to their election or thereafter, in each case until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. For each Massachusetts Fund, under normal circumstances, holders of Preferred Shares are entitled to elect two (2) Board Members. The Board Members elected by holders of Preferred Shares will be elected to serve until the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

- (c) For each Massachusetts Fund, except Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value:
 - (i) Three (3) Board Members are to be elected by holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class. Board Members Amboian, Kundert and Toth have been designated as Class II Board Members and as nominees for Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2014 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Bremner, Evans, Stockdale, Stone and Stringer are current and continuing Board Members. Board Members Bremner and Evans have been designated as Class III Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2012 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Stockdale, Stone and Stringer have been designated as Class I Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2013 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.
 - (ii) Two (2) Board Members are to be elected by holders of Preferred Shares, voting separately as a single class. Board Members Hunter and Schneider are nominees for election by holders of Preferred Shares for a term expiring at the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.
- (d) For Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value: Three (3) Board Members are to be elected by all shareholders.

With respect to Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, Board Members Amboian, Kundert and Toth have been designated as Class II Board Members and as nominees for Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2014 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Bremner, Evans, Hunter, Schneider, Stockdale, Stone and Stringer are current and continuing Board Members. Board Members Bremner, Evans and Schneider have been designated as Class III Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2012 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Hunter,

Stockdale, Stone and Stringer have been designated as Class I Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2013 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

It is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy to vote the shares represented thereby for the election of the nominees listed in the table below unless the proxy is marked otherwise. Each of the nominees has agreed to serve as a Board Member of each Fund if elected. However, should any nominee become unable or unwilling to accept nomination for election, the proxies will be voted for substitute nominees, if any, designated by that Fund s present Board.

For each Minnesota Fund, except for California Value, each Board Member other than Board Member Stringer was last elected to each Fund s Board at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 16, 2010 and, for California Investment Quality, California Market Opportunity, California Quality Income and California Select Quality, adjourned to January 6, 2011.

For California Value, Board Members Hunter, Stockdale and Stone were last elected to the Fund s Board as Class I Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 16, 2010. Board Members Bremner, Evans and Schneider were last elected as Class III Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009.

For each Massachusetts Fund, except Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, Board Members Stockdale and Stone were last elected to the Fund s Board as Class I Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 16, 2010 and, for Arizona Dividend Advantage 2, California Premium Income, Missouri Premium Income and Texas Quality Income, adjourned to January 6, 2011.

For each Massachusetts Fund, except Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, Maryland Premium Income, Virginia Dividend Advantage, Virginia Dividend Advantage 2, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, Board Members Bremner and Evans were last elected to each Fund s Board as Class III Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009 and adjourned to January 12, 2010. For Maryland Premium Income, Virginia Dividend Advantage and Virginia Dividend Advantage 2, Board Members Bremner and Evans were last elected to each Fund s Board at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009. For Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income and Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, Board Members Bremner and Evans were last elected to each Fund s Board as Class III Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009.

For each Massachusetts Fund, except Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income, Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, Maryland Premium Income, Virginia Dividend Advantage, Virginia Dividend Advantage 2, California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, Board Members Hunter and Schneider were last elected to each Fund s Board at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009 and adjourned to January 12, 2010. For Maryland Premium Income, Virginia Dividend Advantage and Virginia Dividend Advantage 2, Board Members Hunter and Schneider were last elected to each Fund s Board at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009. For Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity, Senior Income and Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate, Board Members Hunter and Schneider were last elected to each Fund s Board as Class I and Class III Board Members, respectively, at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009.

For California Value 2, New Jersey Value and Pennsylvania Value, all of the Board Members were elected by the initial shareholder of the Fund, Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. f/k/a Nuveen Asset Management (the Adviser), on February 26, 2009 and Board Members Hunter, Stockdale and Stone were last elected to each fund s Board as class I Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 16, 2010.

On January 1, 2011, Ms. Stringer was appointed as a Board Member for each Fund, and designated as a Class I Board Member with respect to California Value and each Massachusetts Fund.

Other than Mr. Amboian (for all Funds), all Board Member nominees are not interested persons as defined in the 1940 Act, of the Funds or of the Adviser and have never been an employee or director of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (Nuveen), the Adviser s parent company, or any affiliate. Accordingly, such Board Members are deemed Independent Board Members.

The Board unanimously recommends that shareholders vote FOR the election of the nominees named below.

Board Nominees/Board Members

| | Position(s) | Term of Office | | in Fund Complex | Other as Directorships Held by Board Member During |
|---|--|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| Name, Address and Birth Date | Held with Fund | and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years | Board | the Past Five Years |
| Nominees/Board | Members who are | not interested person | ns of the Funds | | |
| Robert P. Bremner* c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (8/22/40) | Chairman of Board and Board Member | Term: Annual or Class III Board Member until 2012 Length of Service: Since 1996 | Private Investor and Management Consultant; Treasurer and Director, Humanities Council, Washington, D.C.; Board Member, Independent Directors Council (affiliated with the Investment Company Institute). | 245 | N/A |
| Jack B. Evans c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (10/22/48) | Board Member | Term: Annual or Class III Board Member until 2012 Length of Service: Since 1999 | President, The Hall-Perrine Foundation, a private philanthropic corporation (since 1996); formerly, Director, Alliant Energy. Member of the Board of Regents for the State of Iowa University System; Director, Source Media Group; Life Trustee of Coe College and the Iowa College Foundation; formerly, | 245 | Director and Chairman, United Fire Group, a publicly held company |

Director, Alliant Energy; formerly, Director, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago; formerly, President and Chief Operating Officer, SCI

Financial Group, Inc. (a regional financial services firm).

| Name, Address and Birth Date | Position(s) Held with Fund | Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years | in Fund Complex Overseer by Board | Other sDirectorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| William C. Hunter c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (3/6/48) | Board Member | Term: Annual Board Member until 2011 Length of Service: Since 2004 | Dean (since 2006), Tippie College of Business, University of Iowa; Director (since 2005), Beta Gamma Sigma International Honor Society; Director (since 2009) of Wellmark, Inc.; formerly, Director (1997-2007), Credit Research Center at Georgetown University; formerly, Dean and Distinguished Professor of Finance, School of Business at the University of Connecticut (2003-2006); previously, Senior Vice President and Director of Research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (1995-2003). | 245 | Director (since 2004) of Xerox Corporation. |
| David J. Kundert* c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (10/28/42) | Board Member | Term: Annual or Class II Board Member until 2011 Length of Service: Since 2005 | Director, Northwestern Mutual Wealth Management Company; retired (since 2004) as Chairman, JPMorgan Fleming Asset Management, President and CEO, Banc One Investment Advisors Corporation, and President, One Group Mutual Funds; prior thereto, Executive Vice | 245 | N/A |

President, Bank One Corporation and Chairman and CEO, Banc One Investment Management Group; Member, Board of Regents, Luther College; Member of the Wisconsin Bar Association; Member of Board of Directors, Friends of Boerner Botanical Gardens; Member of Board of Directors and Chair of Investment Committee, Greater Milwaukee Foundation.

| Name, Address and Birth Date | Position(s) Held with Fund | Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years | in Fund Complex Overseer by Board | Other s Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| William J. Schneider* c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (9/24/44) | Board Member | Term: Annual Board Member until 2011 Length of Service: Since 1996 | Chairman of Miller-Valentine Partners Ltd., a real estate investment company; formerly, Senior Partner and Chief Operating Officer (retired, 2004) of Miller-Valentine Group; Member, Mid-America Health System Board; Member, University of Dayton Business School Advisory Council; formerly, Member, Dayton Philharmonic Orchestra Association; formerly, Director, Dayton Development Coalition; formerly, Member, Business Advisory Council, Cleveland Federal Reserve Bank. | 245 | N/A |
| Judith M. Stockdale c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (12/29/47) | Board Member | Term: Annual or Class I Board Member until 2013 Length of Service: Since 1997 | Executive Director, Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation (since 1994); prior thereto, Executive Director, Great Lakes Protection Fund (1990-1994). | 245 | N/A |
| Carole E. Stone* c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. | Board Member | Term: Annual or Class I Board Member until 2013 | Director, C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (since 2009); formerly, | 245 | Director, Chicago Board Options Exchange (since |

333 West Wacker Commissioner, New York 2006).

Drive Length of Service: State Commission on Chicago, IL 60606 Since 2007 Public Authority Reform (6/28/47) (2005-2010); formerly,

Chair, New York Racing Association Oversight Board (2005-2007).

| Name, Address and Birth Date | Position(s) Held with Fund | Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years | in Fund Complex Overseen by Board | Other s Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Virginia L. Stringer c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (8/16/44) | Board Member | Term: Annual or Class I Board Member until 2013 Length of Service: Since 2011 | Board Member, Mutual Fund Directors Forum; Member, Governing Board, Investment Company Institute s Independent Directors Council; governance consultant and non-profit board member; former owner and president, Strategic Management Resources, Inc., a management consulting firm; previously, held several executive positions in general management, marketing and human resources at IBM and The Pillsbury Company. | 245 | Previously, Independent Director (1987-2010) and Chair (1997-2010), First American Fund Complex. |
| Terence J. Toth* c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (9/29/59) | Board Member | Term: Annual or Class II Board Member until 2011 Length of Service: Since 2008 | Director, Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (since 2008); Managing Partner, Promus Capital (since 2008); formerly, CEO and President, Northern Trust Global Investments (2004-2007); Executive Vice President, Quantitative Management & Securities Lending (2000-2004); prior thereto, various positions with Northern Trust | 245 | N/A |

Company (since 1994); Member, Goodman Theatre Board (since 2004); Chicago Fellowship Board (since 2005), and Catalyst Schools of Chicago Board (since 2008); formerly Member, Northern Trust Mutual Funds Board (2005-2007), Northern **Trust Global Investments** Board (2004-2007), Northern Trust Japan Board (2004-2007), Northern Trust Securities Inc. Board (2003-2007) and Northern Trust Hong Kong Board (1997-2004).

Number

of Other

Portfolios Directorships

Fund Held **Complex by Board**

Overseen Member During

N/A

by

245

Term of Office Position(s)

Name, Address **Held with** and Length and Birth Date of Time Served(1) **Fund**

Board Member

Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years

Board the Past **Member Five Years**

Nominee/Board Member who is an interested person of the Funds

John P. Amboian(2) 333 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606 (6/14/61)

Term: Annual or Class II Board Member until 2011

Length of Service: Since 2008

Chief Executive Officer and Chairman (since 2007) and Director (since

1999) of Nuveen

Investments, Inc.; Chief Executive Officer (since

2007) of Nuveen **Investments Advisers** Inc.: Director (since 1998) formerly, Chief Executive Officer (2007-2010) of Nuveen Fund Advisors,

Inc.

- (1) Length of Time Served indicates the year in which the individual became a Board Member of a fund in the Nuveen Fund complex.
- (2) Interested person as defined in the 1940 Act, by reason of being an officer and director of each Fund s Adviser.

The dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned by each Board Member in each Fund and all Nuveen funds overseen by the Board Member as of May 31, 2011 is set forth in Appendix A. The number of shares of each Fund beneficially owned by each Board Member and by the Board Members and officers of the Funds as a group as of May 31, 2011 is set forth in Appendix A. On May 31, 2011, Board Members and executive officers as a group beneficially owned approximately 1,160,000 shares of all funds managed by the Adviser (including shares held by the Board Members through the Deferred Compensation Plan for Independent Board Members and by executive officers in Nuveen s 401(k)/profit sharing plan). As of September 19, 2011, each Board Member s individual beneficial shareholdings of each Fund constituted less than 1% of the outstanding shares of each Fund. As of September 19, 2011, the Board Members and executive officers as a group beneficially owned less than 1% of the outstanding shares of each Fund. As of September 19, 2011, no shareholder beneficially owned more than 5% of any class of shares of

^{*} Also serves as a trustee of Nuveen Diversified Commodity Fund, an exchange-traded commodity pool managed by Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of each Fund s Adviser.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form DEF 14A any Fund, except as provided in Appendix B.

Compensation

Each Independent Board Member receives a \$120,000 annual retainer plus (a) a fee of \$4,500 per day for attendance in person or by telephone at regularly scheduled meetings of the Board; (b) a fee of \$3,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at special, non-regularly scheduled Board meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required; (c) a fee of \$2,500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Audit Committee meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required; (d) a fee of \$2,500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at 17

Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required; (e) a fee of \$1,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Dividend Committee meetings; and (f) a fee of \$500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at all other committee meetings (\$1,000 for shareholder meetings) where in-person attendance is required and \$250 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such committee meetings (excluding shareholder meetings) where in-person attendance is not required, and \$100 per meeting when the Executive Committee acts as pricing committee for IPOs, plus, in each case, expenses incurred in attending such meetings, provided that no fees are received for meetings held on days on which regularly scheduled Board meetings are held. In addition to the payments described above, the Chairman of the Board receives \$75,000, the chairpersons of the Audit Committee, the Dividend Committee and the Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee receive \$10,000 each and the chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee receives \$5,000 as additional retainers. Independent Board Members also receive a fee of \$3,000 per day for site visits to entities that provide services to the Nuveen funds on days on which no Board meeting is held. When ad hoc committees are organized, the Nominating and Governance Committee will at the time of formation determine compensation to be paid to the members of such committees; however, in general, such fees will be \$1,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at ad hoc committee meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$500 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required. The annual retainer, fees and expenses are allocated among the Nuveen funds on the basis of relative net assets, although management may, in its discretion, establish a minimum amount to be allocated to each Fund.

The boards of certain Nuveen funds (the Participating Funds) established a Deferred Compensation Plan for Independent Board Members (Deferred Compensation Plan). Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, Independent Board Members of the Participating Funds may defer receipt of all, or a portion, of the compensation they earn for their services to the Participating Funds, in lieu of receiving current payments of such compensation. Any deferred amount is treated as though an equivalent dollar amount had been invested in shares of one or more eligible Nuveen funds.

The table below shows, for each Independent Board Member, the aggregate compensation paid by each Fund to each Board Member nominee for its last fiscal year:

Aggregate Compensation from the Funds⁽¹⁾

| Fund | Robert P. Bremner | Jack B. Evans | William C. Hunter | David J. Kundert | William J. Schneider | Judith M. Stockdale | Carole E. Stone | Virgina L. Stringer | Terence J. Toth |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Floating Rate Income Floating Rate Income | \$ 2,757 | \$ 2,218 | \$ 1,978 | \$ 2,223 | \$ 2,276 | \$ 2,078 | \$ 2,051 | \$ 1,021 | \$ 2,217 |
| Opportunity Senior Income Tax-Advantaged | 1,789 1,052 | 1,413 846 | 1,240 754 | 1,469 848 | 1,451 868 | 1,330 793 | 1,360 783 | 629 394 | 1,461 846 |
| Floating Rate Arizona Dividend | 140 | 110 | 101 | 130 | 122 | 100 | 104 | 21 | 120 |
| Advantage Arizona Dividend | 172 | 104 | 87 | 102 | 105 | 144 | 90 | | 99 |
| Advantage 2 Arizona Dividend | 247 | 169 | 142 | 166 | 170 | 202 | 145 | | 161 |
| Advantage 3 Arizona | 271 | 219 | 182 | 235 | 220 | 193 | 205 | | 229 |
| Premium Income California Dividend | 337 | 290 | 243 | 284 | 292 | 261 | 249 | | 276 |
| Advantage California Dividend | 1,881 | 1,613 | 1,484 | 1,806 | 1,798 | 1,503 | 1,399 | | 1,590 |
| Advantage 2 California Dividend | 1,170 | 1,025 | 958 | 1,113 | 1,144 | 1,053 | 851 | | 975 |
| Advantage 3 California Investment | 1,928 | 1,653 | 1,521 | 1,850 | 1,842 | 1,540 | 1,434 | | 1,629 |
| Quality California Market | 1,120 | 959 | 896 | 1,041 | 1,070 | 915 | 796 | | 912 |
| Opportunity | 643 | 532 | 445 | 520 | 534 | 503 | 456 | | 506 |
| California Value California Value | 821 | 695 | 651 | 757 | 779 | 634 | 561 | | 676 |
| 2 | 174 | 145 | 121 | 141 | 145 | 128 | 121 | | 141 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form DEF 14A

| California | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| Performance Plus | 1,034 | 884 | 825 | 960 | 987 | 846 | 733 | | 842 |
| California | | | | | | | | | |
| Premium Income | 529 | 369 | 308 | 361 | 370 | 432 | 316 | | 351 |
| California | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality Income | 1,855 | 1,602 | 1,497 | 1,739 | 1,788 | 1,513 | 1,330 | | 1,524 |
| California Select | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality | 1,890 | 1,633 | 1,526 | 1,773 | 1,823 | 1,541 | 1,355 | | 1,554 |
| Maryland | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividend | | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | 425 | 273 | 239 | 271 | 278 | 351 | 245 | 57 | 263 |
| Maryland | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividend | | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 2 | 429 | 276 | 242 | 274 | 281 | 354 | 249 | 58 | 266 |
| Maryland | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividend | | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 3 | 528 | 359 | 315 | 356 | 366 | 431 | 323 | 76 | 346 |
| Maryland | | | | | | | | | |
| Premium Income | 960 | 722 | 633 | 716 | 735 | 764 | 650 | 152 | 696 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Aggregate Compensation from the $Funds^{(1)}$

| ıd | Robert P. Bremner | Jack B. Evans | William C. Hunter | David J. Kundert | William J. Schneider | Judith M. Stockdale | Carole E. Stone | Virgina L. Stringer | Terenc J. Toth |
|---|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| ssachusetts idend Advantage | \$ 160 | \$ 135 | \$ 118 | \$ 134 | \$ 137 | \$ 124 | \$ 121 | \$ 28 | \$ 1 |
| sachusetts | | | | | | | | | |
| nium Income higan Dividend | 490 | 327 | 287 | 325 | 333 | 401 | 295 | 70 | 3 |
| rantage higan Premium | 265 | 142 | 119 | 139 | 143 | 228 | 122 | | 1: |
| ome higan Quality | 614 | 528 | 442 | 517 | 531 | 475 | 453 | | 5 |
| ome souri Premium | 986 | 863 | 807 | 937 | 963 | 801 | 716 | | 8 |
| ome v Jersey | 281 | 152 | 133 | 150 | 154 | 140 | 137 | 32 | 1 |
| idend Advantage v Jersey idend Advantage | 705 | 476 | 413 | 519 | 484 | 528 | 465 | 82 | 5 |
| v Jersey | 468 | 309 | 272 | 307 | 315 | 385 | 279 | 66 | 2 |
| estment Quality | 1,692 | 1,424 | 1,378 | 1,557 | 1,592 | 1,376 | 1,243 | 288 | 1,3 |
| v Jersey Value v Jersey | 83 | 68 | 58 | 67 | 69 | 61 | 60 | 14 | 1 |
| nium Income o Dividend | 1,043 | 870 | 842 | 951 | 973 | 851 | 759 | 176 | 8 |
| vantage o Dividend | 351 | 302 | 253 | 295 | 303 | 272 | 259 | | 2 |
| vantage 2 o Dividend | 253 | 218 | 182 | 213 | 219 | 196 | 187 | | 2 |
| vantage 3 o Quality | 181 | 156 | 130 | 153 | 157 | 140 | 134 | | 1 |
| ome | 865 | 744 | 622 | 727 | 747 | 669 | 638 | | 7 |
| nsylvania Value nsylvania | 64 | 52 | 45 | 52 | 53 | 47 | 46 | 11 | |
| idend Advantage nsylvania idend Advantage | 369 | 226 | 199 | 225 | 230 | 308 | 204 | 47 | 2 |
| nsylvania | 395 | 248 | 218 | 246 | 253 | 328 | 223 | 52 | 2 |
| estment Quality nsylvania | 1,355 | 1,136 | 1,100 | 1,242 | 1,271 | 1,103 | 992 | 230 | 1,0 |
| mium Income 2 | 1,242 | 1,040 | 1,006 | 1,137 | 1,162 | 1,012 | 907 | 210 | 1,0 |

| Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICI | PAL FUND INC - Form DEF 14A |
|---|---|
| Lugar i migrito i Leri morno, il qorteri i morno | . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |

| ninees | \$ 265,748 | \$ 230,443 | \$ 199,401 | \$ 243,469 | \$ 243,212 | \$ 213,579 | \$ 188,000 | | \$ 230.6 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|----------|
| npensation n Nuveen ds Paid to rd Members/ | | | | | | | | | |
| ome al | 943 | 665 | 579 | 724 | 676 | 699 | 650 | 127 | 7 |
| rantage 2 ginia Premium | 475 | 399 | 350 | 396 | 406 | 367 | 359 | 83 | 3 |
| vantage ginia Dividend | 252 | 211 | 185 | 210 | 215 | 195 | 190 | 44 | 2 |
| ome ginia Dividend | | | | | | | | | |
| as Quality | | | | | | | | | |

(1) Includes deferred fees. Pursuant to a deferred compensation agreement with certain of the Funds, deferred amounts are treated as though an equivalent dollar amount has been invested in shares of one or more Participating Funds. Total deferred fees for the Funds (including the return from the assumed investment in the Participating Funds) payable are:

| E I | Robert P. | Jack B. | William C. | David J. | William J. | M. | Carol Virginia Terence E. L. J. |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Fund | Bremner | Evans | Hunter | Kundert | Schneider | Stockdale | StoneStringer Toth |
| | | | | | | | |
| Floating Rate Income | | \$ 559 | \$ 1,978 | \$ 2,223 | \$ 1,167 | \$ 1,097 | \$ \$ 224 |
| Floating Rate Income | | | | | | | |
| Opportunity | 269 | 356 | 1,240 | 1,469 | 768 | 701 | 158 |
| Senior Income | 158 | 213 | 754 | 848 | 440 | 419 | 85 |
| Tax-Advantaged | | | | | | | |
| Floating Rate | 22 | 29 | 101 | 130 | 97 | 53 | 22 |
| California Dividend | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | 305 | 441 | 1,484 | 1,806 | 1,798 | 797 | 358 |
| California Dividend | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 2 | 190 | 281 | 958 | 1,113 | 1,144 | 556 | 220 |
| California Dividend | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 3 | 313 | 452 | 1,521 | 1,850 | 1,842 | 817 | 367 |
| California Investmen | t | | | | | | |
| Quality | 182 | 263 | 896 | 1,041 | 1,070 | 485 | 206 |
| California Value | 134 | 191 | 651 | 757 | 779 | 337 | 153 |
| California | | | | | | | |
| Performance Plus | 168 | 242 | 825 | 960 | 987 | 448 | 190 |
| California Quality | | | | | | | |
| Income | 301 | 439 | 1,497 | 1,739 | 1,788 | 803 | 345 |
| California Select | | | , | , | ŕ | | |
| Quality | 307 | 447 | 1,526 | 1,773 | 1,823 | 818 | 351 |
| Michigan Quality | | | , | , | ŕ | | |
| Income | 160 | 236 | 807 | 937 | 963 | 425 | 186 |
| New Jersey | | | | | | | |
| Investment Quality | 273 | 388 | 1,378 | 1,557 | 1,265 | 743 | 240 |
| New Jersey Premium | | | , | , | , | | |
| Income | 168 | 237 | 842 | 951 | 772 | 460 | 146 |
| Pennsylvania | | | | | | | |
| Investment Quality | 219 | 310 | 1,100 | 1,242 | 1,009 | 596 | 191 |
| Pennsylvania | | | ., | · ,— · - | -,, | 2,0 | -7- |
| Premium Income 2 | 200 | 284 | 1,006 | 1,137 | 923 | 546 | 175 |

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

The Board of each Fund (collectively, the Board) oversees the operations and management of the Fund, including the duties performed for the Funds by the Adviser. The Board has adopted a unitary board structure. A unitary board consists of one group of directors who serve on the board of every fund in the complex. In adopting a unitary board structure, the Board Members seek to provide effective governance through establishing a board, the overall composition of which will, as a body, possess the appropriate skills, independence and experience to oversee the Funds business. With this overall framework in mind, when the Board, through its Nominating and Governance Committee discussed below, seeks nominees for the Board, the Board Members consider, not only the candidate s particular background, skills and experience, among other things, but also whether such background, skills and experience enhance the Board s diversity and at the same time complement the Board given its current composition and the mix of skills and experiences of the incumbent Board Members. The Nominating and Governance Committee believes that the Board generally benefits from diversity of background, experience and views among its members, and considers this a factor in evaluating the composition of the Board, but has not adopted any specific policy on diversity or any particular definition of diversity.

The Board believes the unitary board structure enhances good and effective governance, particularly given the nature of the structure of the investment company complex. Funds in the same complex generally are served by the same service providers and personnel and are governed by the same regulatory scheme which raises common issues that must be addressed by the Board Members across the fund complex (such as compliance, valuation, liquidity, brokerage, trade allocation or risk management). The Board believes it is more efficient to have a single board review and oversee common policies and procedures which increases the Board s knowledge and expertise with respect to the many aspects of fund operations that are complex-wide in nature. The unitary structure also enhances the Board s influence and oversight over the Adviser and other service providers.

In an effort to enhance the independence of the Board, the Board also has a Chairman that is an Independent Board Member. The Board recognizes that a chairman can perform an important role in setting the agenda for the Board, establishing the boardroom culture, establishing a point person on behalf of the Board for Fund management, and reinforcing the Board s focus on the long-term interests of shareholders. The Board recognizes that a chairman may be able to better perform these functions without any conflicts of interests arising from a position with Fund management. Accordingly, the Board Members have elected Robert P. Bremner as the independent Chairman of the Board. Specific responsibilities of the Chairman include: (i) presiding at all meetings of the Board and of the shareholders; (ii) seeing that all orders and resolutions of the Board Members are carried into effect; and (iii) maintaining records of and, whenever necessary, certifying all proceedings of the Board Members and the shareholders.

Although the Board has direct responsibility over various matters (such as advisory contracts, underwriting contracts and Fund performance), the Board also exercises certain of its oversight responsibilities through several committees that it has established and which report back to the full Board. The Board believes that a committee structure is an effective means to permit Board Members to focus on particular operations or issues affecting the Funds, including risk oversight. More specifically, with respect to risk oversight, the Board has delegated matters relating to valuation and compliance to certain committees (as summarized below) as well as

certain aspects of investment risk. In addition, the Board believes that the periodic rotation of Board Members among the different committees allows the Board Members to gain additional and different perspectives of a Fund s operations. The Board has established five standing committees: the Executive Committee, the Dividend Committee, the Audit Committee, the Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Board may also from time to time create ad hoc committees to focus on particular issues as the need arises. The membership and functions of the standing committees are summarized below.

The Executive Committee, which meets between regular meetings of the Board, is authorized to exercise all of the powers of the Board. The members of the Executive Committee are Robert P. Bremner, Chair, Judith M. Stockdale and John P. Amboian. The number of Executive Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix C.

The Dividend Committee is authorized to declare distributions on each Fund s shares including, but not limited to, regular and special dividends, capital gains and ordinary income distributions. The members of the Dividend Committee are Jack B. Evans, Chair, Judith M. Stockdale and Terence J. Toth. The number of Dividend Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix C.

The Board has an Audit Committee, in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the 1934 Act, that is composed of Independent Board Members who are also independent as that term is defined in the listing standards pertaining to closed-end funds of the NYSE or NYSE Amex, as applicable. The Audit Committee assists the Board in: the oversight and monitoring of the accounting and reporting policies, processes and practices of the Funds, and the audits of the financial statements of the Funds; the quality and integrity of the financial statements of the Funds; the Funds compliance with legal and regulatory requirements relating to the Funds financial statements; the independent auditors qualifications, performance and independence; and the pricing procedures of the Funds and the internal valuation group of Nuveen. It is the responsibility of the Audit Committee to select, evaluate and replace any independent auditors (subject only to Board and, if applicable, shareholder ratification) and to determine their compensation. The Audit Committee is also responsible for, among other things, overseeing the valuation of securities comprising the Funds portfolios. Subject to the Board s general supervision of such actions, the Audit Committee addresses any valuation issues, oversees the Funds pricing procedures and actions taken by Nuveen s internal valuation group which provides regular reports to the committee, reviews any issues relating to the valuation of the Funds securities brought to its attention, and considers the risks to the Funds in assessing the possible resolutions of these matters. The Audit Committee may also consider any financial risk exposures for the Funds in conjunction with performing its functions.

To fulfill its oversight duties, the Audit Committee receives annual and semi-annual reports and has regular meetings with the external auditors for the Funds and the internal audit group at Nuveen. The Audit Committee also may review, in a general manner, the processes the Board or other Board committees have in place with respect to risk assessment and risk management as well as compliance with legal and regulatory matters relating to the Funds financial statements. The Audit Committee operates under a written Audit Committee Charter (the Charter) adopted and approved by the Board, which Charter conforms to the listing standards of the NYSE or NYSE Amex, as applicable. Members of the Audit Committee are independent (as set forth in the Charter) and free of any relationship that, in the opinion of the Board Members, would interfere with their exercise of independent judgment as an Audit Committee

member. The members of the Audit Committee are Robert P. Bremner, David J. Kundert, Chair, William J. Schneider, Carole E. Stone and Terence J. Toth, each of whom is an Independent Board Member of the Funds. A copy of the Charter is attached as Appendix D. The number of Audit Committee Meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix C.

The Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee (the Compliance Committee) is responsible for the oversight of compliance issues, risk management and other regulatory matters affecting the Funds that are not otherwise under or within the jurisdiction of the other committees. The Board has adopted and periodically reviews policies and procedures designed to address the Funds—compliance and risk matters. As part of its duties, the Compliance Committee: reviews the policies and procedures relating to compliance matters and recommends modifications thereto as necessary or appropriate to the full Board; develops new policies and procedures as new regulatory matters affecting the Funds arise from time to time; evaluates or considers any comments or reports from examinations from regulatory authorities and responses thereto; and performs any special reviews, investigations or other oversight responsibilities relating to risk management, compliance and/or regulatory matters as requested by the Board.

In addition, the Compliance Committee is responsible for risk oversight, including, but not limited to, the oversight of risks related to investments and operations. Such risks include, among other things, exposures to: particular issuers, market sectors, or types of securities; risks related to product structure elements, such as leverage; and techniques that may be used to address those risks, such as hedging and swaps. In assessing issues brought to the Compliance Committee s attention or in reviewing a particular policy, procedure, investment technique or strategy, the Compliance Committee evaluates the risks to the Funds in adopting a particular approach or resolution compared to the anticipated benefits to the Funds and their shareholders. In fulfilling its obligations, the Compliance Committee meets on a quarterly basis, and at least once a year in person. The Compliance Committee receives written and oral reports from the Funds Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) and meets privately with the CCO at each of its quarterly meetings. The CCO also provides an annual report to the full Board regarding the operations of the Funds and other service providers compliance programs, as well as any recommendations for modifications thereto. The Compliance Committee also receives reports from the investment services group of Nuveen regarding various investment risks. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the full Board also participates in discussions with management regarding certain matters relating to investment risk, such as the use of leverage and hedging. The investment services group therefore also reports to the full Board at its quarterly meetings regarding, among other things, Fund performance and the various drivers of such performance. Accordingly, the Board directly and/or in conjunction with the Compliance Committee oversees matters relating to investment risks. Matters not addressed at the committee level are addressed directly by the full Board. The Compliance Committee operates under a written charter adopted and approved by the Board. The members of the Compliance Committee are Jack B. Evans, William C. Hunter, William J. Schneider, Judith M. Stockdale, Chair, and Virginia L. Stringer. The number of Compliance Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix C.

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for seeking, identifying and recommending to the Board qualified candidates for election or appointment to the Board. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee oversees matters of corporate governance, including the evaluation of Board performance and processes, the assignment

and rotation of committee members, and the establishment of corporate governance guidelines and procedures, to the extent necessary or desirable, and matters related thereto. Although the unitary and committee structure has been developed over the years and the Nominating and Governance Committee believes the structure has provided efficient and effective governance, the committee recognizes that, as demands on the Board evolve over time (such as through an increase in the number of Funds overseen or an increase in the complexity of the issues raised), the committee must continue to evaluate the Board and committee structures and their processes and modify the foregoing as may be necessary or appropriate to continue to provide effective governance. Accordingly, the Nominating and Governance Committee has a separate meeting each year to, among other things, review the Board and committee structures, their performance and functions, and recommend any modifications thereto or alternative structures or processes that would enhance the Board s governance over the Funds business.

In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee, among other things: makes recommendations concerning the continuing education of Board Members; monitors performance of legal counsel and other service providers; establishes and monitors a process by which security holders are able to communicate in writing with Board Members; and periodically reviews and makes recommendations about any appropriate changes to Board Member compensation. In the event of a vacancy on the Board, the Nominating and Governance Committee receives suggestions from various sources, including shareholders, as to suitable candidates. Suggestions should be sent in writing to Lorna Ferguson, Manager of Fund Board Relations, Nuveen Investments, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606. The Nominating and Governance Committee sets appropriate standards and requirements for nominations for new Board Members and each nominee is evaluated using the same standards. However, the Nominating and Governance Committee reserves the right to interview any and all candidates and to make the final selection of any new Board Members. In considering a candidate s qualifications, each candidate must meet certain basic requirements, including relevant skills and experience, time availability (including the time requirements for due diligence site visits to internal and external sub-advisers and service providers) and, if qualifying as an Independent Board Member candidate, independence from the Adviser, sub-advisers, underwriters or other service providers, including any affiliates of these entities. These skill and experience requirements may vary depending on the current composition of the Board, since the goal is to ensure an appropriate range of skills, diversity and experience, in the aggregate. Accordingly, the particular factors considered and weight given to these factors will depend on the composition of the Board and the skills and backgrounds of the incumbent Board Members at the time of consideration of the nominees. All candidates, however, must meet high expectations of personal integrity, independence, governance experience and professional competence. All candidates must be willing to be critical within the Board and with management and yet maintain a collegial and collaborative manner toward other Board Members. The Nominating and Governance Committee operates under a written charter adopted and approved by the Board, a copy of which is available on the Funds website at www.nuveen.com/CEF/Info/Shareholder/, and is composed entirely of Independent Board Members, who are also independent as defined by NYSE or NYSE Amex listing standards, as applicable. Accordingly, the members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are Robert P. Bremner, Chair, Jack B. Evans, William C. Hunter, David J. Kundert, William J. Schneider, Judith M. Stockdale, Carole E. Stone, Virginia L. Stringer and Terence J. Toth. The number of Nominating and Governance Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix C.

The number of regular quarterly meetings and special meetings held by the Board of each Fund during the Fund s last fiscal year is shown in Appendix C. During the last fiscal year, each Board Member attended 75% or more of each Fund s Board meetings and the committee meetings (if a member thereof) held during the period for which such Board Member was a Board Member. The policy of the Board relating to attendance by Board Members at annual meetings of the Funds and the number of Board Members who attended the last annual meeting of shareholders of each Fund is posted on the Funds website at www.nuveen.com/CEF/Info/Shareholder/.

Board Diversification and Board Member Qualifications. In determining that a particular Board Member was qualified to serve on the Board, the Board considers each Board Member s background, skills, experience and other attributes in light of the composition of the Board with no particular factor controlling. The Board believes that Board Members need to have the ability to critically review, evaluate, question and discuss information provided to them, and to interact effectively with Fund management, service providers and counsel, in order to exercise effective business judgment in the performance of their duties, and the Board believes each Board Member satisfies this standard. An effective Board Member may achieve this ability through his or her educational background; business, professional training or practice; public service or academic positions; experience from service as a board member or executive of investment funds, public companies or significant private or not-for-profit entities or other organizations; and/or other life experiences. Accordingly, set forth below is a summary of the experiences, qualifications, attributes, and skills that led to the conclusion, as of the date of this document, that each Board Member should serve in that capacity. References to the experiences, qualifications, attributes and skills of Board Members are pursuant to requirements of the SEC, do not constitute holding out the Board or any Board Member as having any special expertise or experience and shall not impose any greater responsibility or liability on any such person or on the Board by reason thereof.

John P. Amboian

Mr. Amboian, an interested Board Member of the Funds, joined Nuveen Investments in June 1995 and became Chief Executive Officer in July 2007 and Chairman in November 2007. Prior to this, since 1999, he served as President with responsibility for the firm s product, marketing, sales, operations and administrative activities. Mr. Amboian initially served Nuveen Investments as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining Nuveen Investments, Mr. Amboian held key management positions with two consumer product firms affiliated with the Phillip Morris Companies. He served as Senior Vice President of Finance, Strategy and Systems at Miller Brewing Company. Mr. Amboian began his career in corporate and international finance at Kraft Foods, Inc., where he eventually served as Treasurer. He received a Bachelor s degree in economics and an MBA from the University of Chicago. Mr. Amboian serves on the Board of Directors of Nuveen Investments and is a Board Member or Trustee of the Investment Company Institute Board of Governors, Boys and Girls Clubs of Chicago, Children s Memorial Hospital and Foundation, the Council on the Graduate School of Business (University of Chicago), and the North Shore Country Day School Foundation. He is also a member of the Civic Committee of the Commercial Club of Chicago and the Economic Club of Chicago.

Robert P. Bremner

Mr. Bremner, the Board s Independent Chairman, is a private investor and management consultant in Washington, D.C. His biography of William McChesney Martin, Jr., a former chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, was published by Yale University Press in November 2004. From 1994 to 1997, he was a Senior Vice President at Samuels International Associates, an international consulting firm specializing in governmental policies, where he served in a part-time capacity. Previously, Mr. Bremner was a partner in the LBK Investors Partnership and was chairman and majority stockholder with ITC Investors Inc., both private investment firms. He currently serves on the Board and as Treasurer of the Humanities Council of Washington D.C. and is a Board Member of the Independent Directors Council affiliated with the Investment Company Institute. From 1984 to 1996, Mr. Bremner was an independent Trustee of the Flagship Funds, a group of municipal open-end funds. He began his career at the World Bank in Washington D.C. He graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree from Yale University and received his MBA from Harvard University.

Jack B. Evans

President of the Hall-Perrine Foundation, a private philanthropic corporation, since 1996, Mr. Evans was formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of the SCI Financial Group, Inc., a regional financial services firm headquartered in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. Formerly, he was a member of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago as well as a Director of Alliant Energy. Mr. Evans is Chairman of the Board of United Fire Group, sits on the Board of the Source Media Group, is a member of the Board of Regents for the State of Iowa University System, is a Life Trustee of Coe College and is a member of the Advisory Council of the Department of Finance in the Tippie College of Business, University of Iowa. He has a Bachelor of Arts degree from Coe College and an MBA from the University of Iowa.

William C. Hunter

Mr. Hunter was appointed Dean of the Henry B. Tippie College of Business at the University of Iowa effective July 1, 2006. He had been Dean and Distinguished Professor of Finance at the University of Connecticut School of Business since June 2003. From 1995 to 2003, he was the Senior Vice President and Director of Research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. While there he served as the Bank s Chief Economist and was an Associate Economist on the Federal Reserve System s Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC). In addition to serving as a Vice President in charge of financial markets and basic research at the Federal Reserve Bank in Atlanta, he held faculty positions at Emory University, Atlanta University, the University of Georgia and Northwestern University. A past Director of the Credit Research Center at Georgetown University, SS&C Technologies, Inc. (2005) and past President of the Financial Management Association International, he has consulted with numerous foreign central banks and official agencies in Western, Central and Eastern Europe, Asia, Central and South America. From 1990 to 1995, he was a U.S. Treasury Advisor to Central and Eastern Europe. He has been a Director of the Xerox Corporation since 2004 and Wellmark, Inc. since 2009. He is President-Elect of Beta Gamma Sigma, Inc., the International Business Honor Society.

David J. Kundert

Mr. Kundert retired in 2004 as Chairman of JPMorgan Fleming Asset Management, as President and CEO of Banc One Investment Advisors Corporation, and as President of One Group Mutual Funds. Prior to the merger between Bank One Corporation and JPMorgan Chase and Co., he was Executive Vice President, Bank One Corporation and, since 1995, the Chairman and CEO, Banc One Investment Management Group. From 1988 to 1992, he was President and CEO of Bank One Wisconsin Trust Company. Currently, Mr. Kundert is a Director of the Northwestern Mutual Wealth Management Company. He started his career as an attorney for Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company. Mr. Kundert has served on the Board of Governors of the Investment Company Institute and is currently a member of the Wisconsin Bar Association. He is on the Board of the Greater Milwaukee Foundation and chairs its Investment Committee. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Luther College and his Juris Doctor from Valparaiso University.

William J. Schneider

Mr. Schneider is currently Chairman, formerly Senior Partner and Chief Operating Officer (retired, December 2004) of Miller-Valentine Partners Ltd., a real estate investment company. He was formerly a Director and Past Chair of the Dayton Development Coalition. He was formerly a member of the Community Advisory Board of the National City Bank in Dayton as well as a former member of the Business Advisory Council of the Cleveland Federal Reserve Bank. Mr. Schneider is a member of the Business Advisory Council for the University of Dayton College of Business. Mr. Schneider was an independent Trustee of the Flagship Funds, a group of municipal open-end funds. He also served as Chair of the Miami Valley Hospital and as Chair of the Finance Committee of its parent holding company. Mr. Schneider has a Bachelor of Science degree in Community Planning from the University of Cincinnati and a Masters of Public Administration degree from the University of Dayton.

Judith M. Stockdale

Ms. Stockdale is currently Executive Director of the Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation, a private foundation working in land conservation and artistic vitality in the Chicago region and the Lowcountry of South Carolina. Her previous positions include Executive Director of the Great Lakes Protection Fund, Executive Director of Openlands, and Senior Staff Associate at the Chicago Community Trust. She has served on the Boards of the Land Trust Alliance, the National Zoological Park, the Governor s Science Advisory Council (Illinois), the Nancy Ryerson Ranney Leadership Grants Program, Friends of Ryerson Woods and the Donors Forum. Ms. Stockdale, a native of the United Kingdom, has a Bachelor of Science degree in geography from the University of Durham (UK) and a Master of Forest Science degree from Yale University.

Carole E. Stone

Ms. Stone retired from the New York State Division of the Budget in 2004, having served as its Director for nearly five years and as Deputy Director from 1995 through 1999. Ms. Stone is currently on the Board of Directors of the Chicago Board Options Exchange, CBOE Holdings, Inc. and C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated. She has also served as the Chair of the New York Racing Association Oversight Board, as Chair of the Public Authorities Control Board, as a Commissioner on the New York State Commission on Public Authority Reform and as a member

of the Boards of Directors of several New York State public authorities. Ms. Stone has a Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration from Skidmore College.

Virginia L. Stringer

Ms. Stringer served as the independent chair of the Board of the First American Fund Complex from 1997 to 2010, having joined such Board in 1987. Ms. Stringer serves on the Governing Board of the Investment Company Institute s Independent Directors Council and on the Board of the Mutual Fund Directors Forum. She is a recipient of the Outstanding Corporate Director award from Twin Cities Business Monthly and the Minnesota Chapter of the National Association of Corporate Directors. Ms. Stringer is the immediate past board chair of the Oak Leaf Trust, director and immediate past board chair of the Saint Paul Riverfront Corporation and is immediate past president of the Minneapolis Club s Governing Board. She is a director and former board chair of the Minnesota Opera and a Life Trustee and former board member of the Voyageur Outward Bound School. She also served as a trustee of Outward Bound USA. She was appointed by the Governor of Minnesota Board on Judicial Standards and recently served on a Minnesota Supreme Court Judicial Advisory Committee to reform the state s judicial disciplinary process. She is a member of the International Women s Forum and attended the London Business School as an International Business Fellow. Ms. Stringer also served as board chair of the Human Resource Planning Society, the Minnesota Women s Campaign Fund and the Minnesota Women s Economic Roundtable. Ms. Stringer is the retired founder of Strategic Management Resources, a consulting practice focused on corporate governance, strategy and leadership. She has twenty-five years of corporate experience, having held executive positions in general management, marketing and human resources with IBM and the Pillsbury Company.

Terence J. Toth

Mr. Toth has served as a Director of Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. since 2008 and as a Managing Partner at Promus Capital since 2008. From 2004 to 2007, he was Chief Executive Officer and President of Northern Trust Global Investments, and Executive Vice President of Quantitative Management & Securities Lending from 2000 to 2004. He also formerly served on the Board of the Northern Trust Mutual Funds. He joined Northern Trust in 1994 after serving as Managing Director and Head of Global Securities Lending at Bankers Trust (1986 to 1994) and Head of Government Trading and Cash Collateral Investment at Northern Trust from 1982 to 1986. He currently serves on the Boards of the Goodman Theatre and Chicago Fellowship, and is Chairman of the Board of Catalyst Schools of Chicago. Mr. Toth graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Illinois, and received his MBA from New York University. In 2005, he graduated from the CEO Perspectives Program at Northwestern University.

Board Member Terms. For each Minnesota Fund except California Value, all Board Members are elected annually. For each Massachusetts Fund, and California Value, shareholders will be asked to elect Board Members as each Board Member s term expires, and with respect to Board Members elected by holders of Common Shares such Board Member shall be elected for a term expiring at the time of the third succeeding annual meeting subsequent to their election or thereafter in each case when their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. These provisions could delay for up to two years the replacement of a majority of the Board.

The Officers

The following table sets forth information with respect to each officer of the Funds. Officers receive no compensation from the Funds. The officers are elected by the Board on an annual basis to serve until successors are elected and qualified.

| Name, Address | Position(s) Held with | Term of Office and Length of Time | Principal Occupation(s) | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served by |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| and Birth date | Fund | Served ⁽¹⁾ | During Past 5 Years | Officer |
| Gifford R. Zimmerman 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (9/9/56) | Chief Administrative Officer | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 1988 | Managing Director (since 2002), Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director (since 2002), Assistant Secretary (since 1997) and Co-General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Managing Director (since 2004) and Assistant Secretary (since 1994) of Nuveen Investments, Inc.; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (since 2011); Vice President and Assistant Secretary of NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC and Nuveen Investments Advisers Inc. (since 2002); Managing Director, Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of Symphony Asset Management LLC (since 2003); Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC and Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC (since 2006), and Nuveen HydePark Group, LLC and Nuveen Investment Solutions, Inc. (since 2007) and | 245 |

of Winslow Capital Management, Inc. (since 2010); Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Compliance Officer (since 2010) of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC; Chartered Financial Analyst.

| | | Term of Office and | | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served |
|--|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Name, Address and Birth date | Position(s) Held with Fund | Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years | by Officer |
| William Adams IV 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (6/9/55) | Vice President | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2007 | Senior Executive Vice President, Global Structured Products, formerly, Executive Vice President (1999-2010) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Co-President of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. (since 2011); Managing Director (since 2010) of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC. | 133 |
| Cedric H. Antosiewicz 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (1/11/62) | Vice President | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2007 | Managing Director (since 2004) of Nuveen Securities LLC. | 133 |
| Margo L. Cook 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (4/11/64) | Vice President | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2009 | Executive Vice President (since 2008) of Nuveen Securities, Inc. and of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. (since 2011); previously, Head of Institutional Asset Management (2007-2008) of Bear Stearns Asset Management; Head of Institutional Asset Mgt. (1986-2007) of Bank of NY Mellon; Chartered Financial Analyst. | 245 |
| Lorna C. Ferguson 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (10/24/45) | Vice President | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 1998 | Managing Director (since 2004) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director (since 2005) of Nuveen Fund Advisors. | 245 |
| Stephen D. Foy 333 West Wacker Drive | Vice President and Controller | Term/Annual | Senior Vice President (since 2010), formerly, Vice President | 245 |

Chicago, IL 60606 (5/31/54)

Length of Service: Since 1993

(since 1998) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Vice President (2005-2010) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Certified Public Accountant.

(1993-2010) and Funds Controller

| Name, Address | Position(s) Held with | Term of Office and Length of Time | Principal Occupation(s) | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served by |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| and Birth date | Fund | Served ⁽¹⁾ | During Past 5 Years | Officer |
| Scott S. Grace 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (8/20/70) | Vice President and Treasurer | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2009 | Managing Director, Corporate Finance & Development, Treasurer (since September 2009) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director and Treasurer of Nuveen Investment Solutions, Inc., Nuveen Investments Advisers Inc., Nuveen Investments Holdings, Inc., Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. and of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (since 2011); Vice President and Treasurer of NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC, Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC, Symphony Asset Management LLC and Winslow Capital Management, Inc.; Vice President of Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC; formerly, Treasurer (2006-2009), Senior Vice President (2008-2009), previously, Vice President (2006-2008) of Janus Capital Group, Inc.; formerly, Senior Associate in Morgan Stanley s Global Financial Services Group (2000-2003); Chartered Accountant Designation. | 245 |
| Walter M. Kelly 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (2/24/70) | Chief Compliance Officer and Vice President | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2003 | Senior Vice President (since 2008), formerly, Vice President, of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Senior Vice President (since 2008) and Assistant Secretary (since 2003), of Nuveen Fund | 245 |

Advisors, Inc.

| Tina M. Lazar 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (8/27/61) | Vice President | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2002 | Senior Vice President (since 2009), formerly, Vice President of Nuveen Securities, LLC (1999-2009); Senior Vice President (since 2010), formerly, Vice President (2005-2010) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. | 245 |
|--|----------------|--|--|-----|
| 32 | | | | |

| | | Term of Office | | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Name, Address and Birth date | Position(s) Held with Fund | Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years | by Officer |
| Larry W. Martin 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (7/27/51) | Vice President and Assistant Secretary | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 1988 | Senior Vice President (since 2010), formerly, Vice President (1993-2010), Assistant Secretary and Assistant General Counsel of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Senior Vice President (since 2011) of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC; Senior Vice President (since 2010), formerly, Vice President (2005-2010), and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Investments, Inc.; Senior Vice President (since 2010), formerly, Vice President (2005-2010), and Assistant Secretary (since 1997) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Investments Advisers Inc. (since 2002), NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC, Symphony Asset Management LLC (since 2003), Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC, Santa Barbara Asset Management LLC (since 2006), Nuveen HydePark Group, LLC, Nuveen Investment Solutions, Inc. (since 2007) and of Winslow Capital Management, Inc. (since 2010); Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC (since 2010). | 245 |

| | | Term of Office and | | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Name, Address and Birth date | Position(s) Held with Fund | Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years | by Officer |
| Kevin J. McCarthy 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (3/26/66) | Vice President and Secretary | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2007 | Managing Director (since 2008), formerly, Vice President (2007-2008) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director (since 2008), Assistant Secretary (since 2007) and Co-General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC; Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Investments Advisers Inc., NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC, Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC, NWQ Holdings, LLC, Symphony Asset Management LLC, Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC, Nuveen HydePark Group, LLC, Nuveen Investment Solutions, Inc. and of Winslow Capital Management, Inc. (since 2010); Vice President and Secretary of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC (since 2010); prior thereto, Partner, Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLP (1997-2007). | 245 |
| Kathleen L. Prudhomme 800 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402 (3/30/53) | Vice President and Assistant Secretary | Term/Annual Length of Service: Since 2011 | Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Co-General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel (since | 245 |

2011) of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC; Managing Director and Assistant Secretary (since 2011) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Deputy General Counsel, FAF Advisors, Inc. (2004-2010).

(1) Length of Time Served indicates the year the individual became an officer of a fund in the Nuveen fund complex.

2. Approval of the Elimination of Fundamental Investment Policies and Approval of New Fundamental Policies for each Affected Municipal Fund

Each Affected Municipal Fund has adopted a fundamental investment policy relating to the Fund s ability to make loans (together, the Current Fundamental Policies, and each, a Current Fundamental Policy), that can be changed only by shareholder vote. The Current Fundamental Policy adopted by each Affected Municipal Fund reflects industry and other market conditions present at the time of the inception of each such Fund.

As a general matter, Nuveen s municipal closed-end funds are seeking to adopt a uniform set of investment policies (together, the New Investment Policies, and each, a New Investment Policy). Investment policies currently vary across otherwise-similar Nuveen municipal closed-end funds, reflecting evolving markets and guidelines as the different funds were launched over the past 20 years. As part of a continuing broader best practices initiative begun approximately three years ago, all Nuveen municipal closed-end funds, including the Affected Municipal Funds, are seeking to adopt a uniform set of investment policies that reflect municipal market and regulatory developments over time.

The proposed New Investment Policy with respect to loans would permit the Affected Municipal Funds to make loans to the extent permitted by securities laws. Among other things, this change is intended to provide each Affected Municipal Fund with the flexibility to make loans in circumstances where a municipal issuer is in distress, if the Adviser believes that doing so would both:

facilitate a timely workout of the issuer s situation in a manner that benefits the Fund; and

be or represent the best choice for reducing the likelihood or severity of loss on the Fund s investment.

Conforming and updating these investment policies is intended to benefit common shareholders by increasing portfolio manager efficiency and flexibility to take advantage of a wide range of appropriate opportunities in the municipal bond markets in pursuit of the Affected Municipal Funds investment objectives. Providing an Affected Municipal Fund with the option of making loans to help facilitate a timely workout of a distressed issuer s situation merely provides the Fund with an additional tool to help preserve shareholder value and should not be viewed as a commentary on the state of the municipal bond market or as indicative of an immediate need or desire to make a loan to an issuer facing a credit workout situation.

In order to implement the New Investment Policy, each Affected Municipal Fund must change its Current Fundamental Policy, which change requires your approval. In particular, shareholders must first approve the elimination of their Affected Municipal Fund s Current Fundamental Policy as well as the implementation of the New Investment Policy.

The primary purposes of these changes are to provide the Affected Municipal Funds with increased flexibility in diversifying portfolio risks and optimizing returns on current investments in order to pursue the preservation of and possible growth of capital which, if successful, will help to sustain and build net asset value, and to create consistent investment policies for all Nuveen municipal bond funds to promote operational efficiencies.

The Board has unanimously approved, and unanimously recommends, the approval by shareholders of each Affected Municipal Fund, the elimination of the Current Fundamental

Policy of each Affected Municipal Fund and the approval of the New Investment Policy, described below.

- 2. For each Affected Municipal Fund:
 - (a) Elimination of Fundamental Policy Relating to Making Loans: The Current Fundamental Policy with respect to making loans, and which is proposed to be eliminated, provides that the respective Affected Municipal Fund shall not:

Make loans, other than by entering into repurchase agreements and through the purchase of [Municipal Obligations/municipal bonds] or [temporary/short-term] investments in accordance with its investment objectives, policies and limitations.

(b) Approval of New Investment Policy Relating to Making Loans: It is proposed that each Affected Municipal Fund adopt a New Investment Policy with respect to making loans. The adoption of the following New Investment Policy for each Affected Municipal Fund is contingent on shareholder approval of the elimination of that Fund s Current Fundamental Policy with respect to making loans, as reflected in 2(a) above. The proposed New Investment Policy provides that each Affected Municipal Fund shall not:

Make loans, except as permitted by the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and exemptive orders granted under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

Board Recommendation

The Board believes that eliminating the Current Fundamental Policies and adopting the New Investment Policies gives the Adviser flexibility to rapidly respond to continuing developments in the municipal market and would enhance the portfolio managers—ability to meet each Affected Municipal Fund—s investment objective. In addition, the Board believes that the proposed changes will create consistent investment policies for all Nuveen municipal bond funds and will help to promote operational efficiencies.

The Board recommends that shareholders of each Affected Municipal Fund vote to approve the elimination of each Current Fundamental Policy and vote to approve each New Fundamental Policy.

3. Approval of the Elimination of Fundamental Investment Policies and Approval of New Fundamental Policies for California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus*

California Investment Quality and California Performance Plus (the Affected California Funds) have adopted certain fundamental investment policies relating to (i) investments in municipal securities and below investment grade securities, (ii) investments in other investment companies and/or (iii) investments in derivatives, short sales and commodities as described below (together, the Existing Fundamental Policies, and each, an Existing Fundamental Policiey), that can only be changed by shareholder vote. The Existing Fundamental Policies adopted by the Affected California Funds reflected industry and other market conditions present at the time of the inception of each Fund.

| * California Investment | Quality and California | Performance Plus | s are each A | ffected Muni | icipal Funds, a | s discussed |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| above | | | | | | |

As previously discussed, Nuveen s municipal closed-end funds are seeking to adopt a uniform set of New Investment Policies to conform to the evolution of the municipal market and regulatory developments over time. The potential benefits of the New Investment Policies for the Affected California Funds to you as a Fund shareholder are:

enhanced ability of the Affected California Funds to generate attractive levels of tax-exempt income, while retaining the Funds orientation on investment grade quality municipal securities;

increased flexibility in diversifying portfolio risks and managing duration (the sensitivity of bond prices to interest rate changes) to pursue the preservation and possible growth of capital, which, if successful, will help to sustain and build common shareholder net asset value and asset coverage levels for preferred shares; and

improved secondary market competitiveness which may benefit common shareholders through higher relative market price and/or stronger premium/discount performance.

In order to implement the New Investment Policies, each Affected California Fund must change certain of its Existing Fundamental Policies, which change requires your approval. In particular, shareholders must first approve the elimination of their Affected California Fund s Existing Fundamental Policies as well as the implementation of the New Fundamental Policies.

The primary purposes of these changes are to provide the Affected California Funds with increased investment flexibility and to create consistent investment policies for all Nuveen municipal bond funds to promote operational efficiencies.

The Board has unanimously approved, and unanimously recommends the approval by shareholders of each Affected California Fund, the elimination of the Existing Fundamental Policies of the Affected California Fund and the approval of the New Investment Policies, described below.

(a) Elimination of Fundamental Policies Relating to Investments in Municipal Securities and Below Investment Grade Securities:

The Existing Fundamental Policy with respect to each Affected California Fund s investments in municipal securities and the ability to invest in below investment grade securities, which is proposed to be eliminated, provides that:

Except to the extent that the Fund buys temporary investments as described in [the Fund s Statement of Additional Information], the Fund will, as a fundamental policy, invest substantially all of its assets (more than 80%) in tax-exempt California municipal bonds that are rated at the time of purchase within the four highest grades (Baa or BBB or better) by Moody s or Standard and Poor s, except that the Fund may invest up to 20% of its assets in unrated California municipal bonds which, in Nuveen Advisory s opinion, have credit characteristics equivalent to, and are of comparable quality to, municipal bonds so rated.

(b) Approval of New Fundamental Policy Relating to Investments in Municipal Securities:

The following New Fundamental Policy will replace each Affected California Fund s Existing Fundamental Policy referenced in 3(a) above. Implementation of the following New Fundamental Policy by each Affected California Fund is contingent on shareholder approval of the elimination of each Affected California Fund s Existing Fundamental

Policy. The proposed New Fundamental Policy with respect to each Affected California Fund s investments in municipal securities is as follows:

Under normal circumstances, the Fund will invest at least 80% of its net assets, including assets attributable to any principal amount of any borrowings (including the issuance of commercial paper or notes) or any preferred shares outstanding (Managed Assets) in municipal securities and other related investments, the income from which is exempt from regular federal and California income taxes.

In addition, the Board has adopted new non-fundamental, which may be changed without a shareholder vote upon 60 days notice to shareholders, policies with respect to investing in investment grade securities for each Affected California Fund, which will be implemented upon the elimination of the Existing Fundamental Policy described in 3(a) above. The new non-fundamental policies relating to investing in investment grade securities are as follows:

- (i) Under normal circumstances, the Fund will invest at least 80% of its Managed Assets in investment grade securities that, at the time of investment, are rated within the four highest grades (Baa or BBB or better) by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization or are unrated but judged to be of comparable quality by the Fund s investment adviser.
- (ii) The Fund may invest up to 20% of its Managed Assets in municipal securities that at the time of investment are rated below investment grade or are unrated but judged to be of comparable quality by the Fund s investment adviser.
- (iii) No more than 10% of the Fund s Managed Assets may be invested in municipal securities rated below B3/B- or that are unrated but judged to be of comparable quality by the Fund s investment adviser.

Related to these changes, the Board of each Affected California Fund has also amended and standardized the description of municipal securities or municipal obligations in which an Affected California Fund may invest to include various types of municipal securities. The new description, tailored as appropriate to each Affected California Fund, generally provides:

The Fund may invest in various municipal securities, including municipal bonds and notes, other securities issued to finance and refinance public projects, and other related securities and derivative instruments creating exposure to municipal bonds, notes and securities that provide for the payment of interest income that is exempt from federal income tax (Municipal Obligations). Municipal Obligations are generally debt obligations issued by state and local governmental entities and may be issued by U.S. territories to finance or refinance public projects such as roads, schools, and water supply systems. Municipal Obligations may also be issued for private activities, such as housing, medical and educational facility construction, or for privately owned transportation, electric utility and pollution control projects. Municipal Obligations may be issued on a long term basis to provide permanent financing. The repayment of such debt may be secured generally by a pledge of the full faith and credit taxing power of the issuer, a limited or special tax, or any other revenue source including project revenues, which may include tolls, fees and other user charges, lease payments, and mortgage payments. Municipal Obligations may

also be issued to finance projects on a short term interim basis, anticipating repayment with the proceeds on long term debt. Municipal Obligations may be issued and purchased in the form of bonds, notes, leases or certificates of participation; structured as callable or noncallable; with payment forms including fixed coupon, variable rate, zero coupon, capital appreciation bonds, tender option bonds, and residual interest bonds or inverse floating rate securities; or acquired through investments in pooled vehicles, partnerships or other investment companies. Inverse floating rate securities are securities that pay interest at rates that vary inversely with changes in prevailing short-term tax-exempt interest rates and represent a leveraged investment in an underlying municipal security, which may increase the effective leverage of the Fund.

(c) Elimination of Fundamental Policies Relating to Commodities:

The Existing Fundamental Policy relating to commodities, and which is proposed to be eliminated, is as follows:

The Fund, as a fundamental policy, may not purchase or sell commodities or commodities contracts, except for transactions involving futures contracts within the limits described under Certain Trading Strategies of the Fund Financial Futures and Options Transactions. *

(d) Approval of New Fundamental Policy Relating to Commodities:

It is proposed that each Affected California Fund adopt a New Fundamental Policy with respect to commodities. The adoption of the following New Fundamental Policy for each Affected California Fund is contingent on shareholder approval of the elimination of that Fund s Existing Fundamental Policy with respect to commodities, as reflected in 3(c) above. The proposed New Fundamental Policy is as follows:

The Fund may not purchase or sell physical commodities unless acquired as a result of ownership of securities or other instruments (but this shall not prevent the Fund from purchasing or selling options, futures contracts or derivative instruments or from investing in securities or other instruments backed by physical commodities).

(e) Elimination of Fundamental Policies Relating to Derivatives and Short Sales:

The Existing Fundamental Policies relating to derivatives and short sales, and which are proposed to be eliminated, are as follows:

(i) The Fund may not make short sales of securities or purchase any securities on margin (except for such short-term credits as are necessary for the clearance of transactions), or write or purchase put or call options, except to the extent that the purchase of a stand-by commitment may be considered the purchase of a put, and except for transactions involving options within the limits described under Certain Trading Strategies of The Fund Financial Futures and Options Transactions. *

* References are to a Fund s registration statement.

(ii) The Fund may not purchase financial futures and options except within the limits described in Certain Trading Strategies of The Fund Financial Futures and Options Transactions. *

In connection with the elimination of the Existing Fundamental Policies relating to derivatives and short sales, as reflected in 3(e) above, the Board has adopted the following new non-fundamental policies for each Affected California Fund, which may be changed without a shareholder vote upon 60 days notice to shareholders. The new non-fundamental policies are contingent on shareholder approval of the elimination of that Fund s Existing Fundamental Policies with respect to derivatives and short sales. The new non-fundamental policies are as follows:

- (i) The Fund may invest in derivative instruments in pursuit of its investment objectives. Such instruments include financial futures contracts, swap contracts (including interest rate and credit default swaps), options on financial futures, options on swap contracts, or other derivative instruments. The Fund s investment adviser uses derivatives to seek to enhance return, to hedge some of the risks of its investments in fixed income securities or as a substitute for a position in the underlying asset.
- (ii) The Fund may not sell securities short, unless the Fund owns or has the right to obtain securities equivalent in kind and amount to the securities sold at no added cost, and provided that transactions in options, futures contracts, options on futures contracts, or other derivative instruments are not deemed to constitute selling securities short.
- (iii) The Fund may not enter into futures contracts or related options or forward contracts, if more than 30% of the Fund s net assets would be represented by futures contracts or more than 5% of the Fund s net assets would be committed to initial margin deposits and premiums on futures contracts and related options.

(f) Elimination of the Fundamental Policy Prohibiting Investment in Other Investment Companies:

The Affected California Funds do not have specific restrictions as to investments in other investment companies. However, each such Affected California Fund has an investment policy that only permits investment in municipal obligations and temporary investments and thereby prohibits investment in other investment companies. The Existing Fundamental Policy for each Affected California Fund that is proposed to be eliminated is as follows:

The Fund may not invest in securities other than California Municipal Obligations and temporary investments, as described under Investment Objective and Policies Portfolio Investments. *

In addition, with respect to each Affected California Fund s ability to invest in other investment companies, the Board has adopted a new non-fundamental policy to be implemented upon the elimination of that Fund s Existing Fundamental Policy prohibiting

| * References are to a Fund | s registration | statement |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|

investments in other investment companies. The proposed new non-fundamental policy relating to investments in other investment companies is as follows:

The Fund may invest up to 10% of its Managed Assets in securities of other open- or closed-end investment companies (including exchange-traded funds (often referred to as ETFs)) that invest primarily in municipal securities of the types in which the Fund may invest directly.

Board Recommendation

The Board believes that eliminating the Existing Fundamental Policies and adopting the New Investment Policies gives the Adviser flexibility to rapidly respond to continuing developments in the municipal market and would enhance the portfolio managers—ability to meet each Affected California Fund—s investment objective. In addition, the Board believes that the proposed changes will create consistent investment policies for all Nuveen municipal bond funds and will help to promote operational efficiencies.

The Board recommends that shareholders of each Affected California Fund vote to approve the elimination of each Existing Fundamental Policy and vote to approve each New Fundamental Policy.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee of each Board is responsible for the oversight and monitoring of (1) the accounting and reporting policies, processes and practices, and the audit of the financial statements, of each Fund, (2) the quality and integrity of the Funds—financial statements and (3) the independent registered public accounting firm—s qualifications, performance and independence. In its oversight capacity, the committee reviews each Fund—s annual financial statements with both management and the independent registered public accounting firm and the committee meets periodically with the independent registered public accounting firm and internal auditors to consider their evaluation of each Fund—s financial and internal controls. The committee also selects, retains, evaluates and may replace each Fund—s independent registered public accounting firm. The committee is currently composed of five Independent Board Members and operates under a written charter adopted and approved by each Board. Each committee member meets the independence and experience requirements, as applicable, of the New York Stock Exchange, NYSE Amex, Section 10A of the 1934 Act and the rules and regulations of the SEC.

The committee, in discharging its duties, has met with and held discussions with management and each Fund s independent registered public accounting firm. The committee has also reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management. Management has represented to the independent registered public accounting firm that each Fund s financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The committee has also discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 114, (The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance), which supersedes SAS No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees). Each Fund s independent registered public accounting firm provided to the committee the written disclosure required by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Rule 3526 (Communications with Audit Committees Concerning Independence), and the committee discussed with representatives of the independent registered public accounting firm their firm s independence. As provided in the Audit Committee Charter, it is

not the committee s responsibility to determine, and the considerations and discussions referenced above do not ensure, that each Fund s financial statements are complete and accurate and presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Based on the committee s review and discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, the representations of management and the report of the independent registered public accounting firm to the committee, the committee has recommended that the audited financial statements be included in each Fund s Annual Report.

The current members of the committee are:

Robert P. Bremner David J. Kundert William J. Schneider Carole E. Stone Terence J. Toth

Audit and Related Fees. The following tables provide the aggregate fees billed during each Fund s last two fiscal years by each Fund s independent registered accounting firm for engagements directly related to the operations and financial reporting of each Fund, including those relating (i) to each Fund for services provided to the Fund and (ii) to the Adviser and certain entities controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Adviser that provide ongoing services to each Fund (Adviser Entities).

| | | | Αι | udit Related | | | | Fees | | All Other Fees | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Audi | it Fees | | Adviser and Adviser | | | Adviser and Adviser | | | | Ad a Ad | | |
| | Fur | $nd^{(1)}$ | Fur | $nd^{(2)}$ | Ent | tities | Fm | Fund ⁽³⁾ Entities | | | Fun | $\mathbf{d}^{(4)}$ | En |
| | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fiscal Year Ended | l Fiscal Year lEnded | l Fiscal Year | l Fiscal Year dEnded | l Fiscal Year lEnded | Fiscal Year Ended | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fisca Year Endec 2010 |
| g Rate g Rate | \$ 67,144 | \$ 51,100 | \$ 0 | \$ 32,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| unity Income | 48,211 37,945 | 51,100 51,100 | 0 | 32,000 32,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 10,000 | 0 | |
| vantaged g Rate | 24,100 | 24,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| i id age ⁽⁵⁾ | 8,343 | 18,200 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| id age 2 ⁽⁵⁾ | 9,002 | 18,200 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| id age 3 ⁽⁵⁾ | 9,300 | 18,200 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| n (5) nia | 10,252 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 1,700 | 0 |
| id age nia | 22,589 | 18,200 | 0 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| id age 2 | 17,483 22,997 | 18,200 18,200 | 0 20,000 | 6,250 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 850 850 | 850 850 | |

| na | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|-------|---|
| ıd | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| nia | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ent | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16,765 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 |
| nia | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ınity | 12,717 | 18,200 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 850 | 0 |
| nia Value nia Value | 15,264 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 8,994 | 18,200 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| nia | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| nance Plus nia | 16,347 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 |
| m Income nia | 11,028 | 18,200 | 0 | 18,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| Income nia Select | 23,135 | 18,200 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 2,550 | 0 |
| | 23,460 | 18,200 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 2,550 | 0 |
| nd id | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age 1d | 10,123 | 18,200 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 | 0 |
| nd | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age 2 nd | 10,163 | 18,200 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 | 0 |
| ıd | 11.026 | 19 200 | 15 000 | 12 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 950 | 950 | 0 |
| age 3 1d | 11,036 | 18,200 | 15,000 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| n Income husetts | 14,818 | 18,200 | 15,000 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| ıd | 0.601 | 10.200 | 10.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 |
| age husetts | 8,691 | 18,200 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 | 0 |
| n Income an | 10,697 | 18,200 | 15,000 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| ıd | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 8,720 | 18,200 | 0 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | A | Audit Related Fees Adviser | | | | | Fees | | All Other Fees | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Audi | it Fees | | | and Adviser Adviser and Adviser | | | | | | | Ao | | |
| ľ | | $\operatorname{Ind}^{(1)}$ | | und ⁽²⁾ | | tities | | nd ⁽³⁾ | Ent | tities | | ınd ⁽⁴⁾ | Er | |
| | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Year Ended | Year d Ended | l Fiscal Year dEnded 2010 | Year d Ended | Year d Ended | Year lEnded | Year | Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fisca Year Ende 2010 | |
| ın Premium | | - 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Onality | \$ 12,700 | \$ 18,200 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 3,400 | \$ 3,400 | \$ 0 | |
| n Quality i Premium | 15,852 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 | |
| | 8,837 | 18,200 | 0 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 | |
| d Advantage | 11,876 | 18,200 | 0 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 | |
| d Advantage | 10,465 | 18,200 | 0 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 | |
| sey ent Quality | 21,785 | 18,200 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 1,700 | 0 | |
| sey Value | 8,085 | 18,200 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| n Income vidend | 16,154 | 18,200 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 1,700 | 0 | |
| ige vidend | 10,376 | 18,200 | 0 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 | |
| ige 2 vidend | 9,523 | 18,200 | 0 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | | |
| ige 3 iality | 8,892 | 18,200 | 0 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | | |
| 1 | 14,927 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 | | |
| vania Value vania | 7,897 | 18,200 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| d Advantage vania d Advantage | 9,635 | 18,200 | 0 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 | |
| vania | 9,866 | 18,200 | 0 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | | |
| ent Quality vania | 18,859 | 18,200 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 | |
| n Income 2 | 17,824 | 18,200 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 | |

| uality | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|---|
| 5) | 14,097 | 18,200 | 6,250 | 6,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |
| Dividend | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ige Dividend | 9,506 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 | 0 |
| nge 2 Premium | 11,477 | 18,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 | 0 |
| | 13 551 | 18 200 | 4 000 | 12.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | 0 |

- (1) Audit Fees are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for the audit of the Fund s annual financial statements and services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) Audit Related Fees are the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of audit or review of financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees.
- (3) Tax Fees are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for tax advice, tax compliance and tax planning.
- (4) All Other Fees are the aggregate fees billed for products and services for agreed-upon procedures engagements for the leveraged Funds.
- (5) Fund changed fiscal year from July to February in 2011.

Non-Audit Fees. The following tables provide the aggregate non-audit fees billed by each Fund s independent registered accounting firm for services rendered to each Fund, the Adviser and the Adviser Entities during each Fund s last two fiscal years.

Total Non-Audit

| Fund | Total Non-Audit Fees Billed to Fund Fiscal Fiscal Year Year Ended Ended 2010 2011 | | Fees Billed to Adviser and Adviser Entities (Engagements Related Directly to the Operations and Financial Reporting of Fund) Fiscal Fiscal Year Year Ended Ended 2010 2011 | | For Billed to an Adviser (All Control | on-Audit ees Adviser nd Entities Other ements) Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Total Fiscal Fiscal Year Year Ended Ended 2010 2011 | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------|--|
| Floating Rate Income Floating Rate Income | \$ 10,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 0 | |
| Opportunity | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | |
| Senior Income | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | |
| Tax-Advantaged | | | | | | | | | |
| Floating Rate Arizona Dividend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Advantage ⁽¹⁾ Arizona Dividend | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | |
| Advantage 2 ⁽¹⁾ Arizona Dividend | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | |
| Advantage 3 ⁽¹⁾ Arizona Premium | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | |
| Income ⁽¹⁾ California Dividend | 3,400 | 1,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 1,700 | |
| Advantage California Dividend | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | |
| Advantage 2 California Dividend | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | |
| Advantage 3 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 | |
| California Investment | 2 400 | 2 400 | Ω | Ω | Ω | Ω | 2 400 | 2 400 | |
| Quality | 3,400 | 3,400 850 | $0 \\ 0$ | 0 | $0 \\ 0$ | $0 \\ 0$ | 3,400 3,400 | 3,400 | |
| | 3,400 | 830 | U | 0 | U | U | 3,400 | 850 | |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form DEF 14A

| California Market | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|---|---|---|---|-------|-------|
| Opportunity | | | | | | | | |
| California Value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| California Value 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| California Performance | | | | | | | | |
| Plus | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| California Premium | | | | | | | | |
| Income | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| California Quality | | | | | | | | |
| Income | 3,400 | 2,550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 2,550 |
| California Select | | | | | | | | |
| Quality | 3,400 | 2,550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 2,550 |
| Maryland Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 |
| Maryland Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 2 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 |
| Maryland Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 3 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Maryland Premium | | | | | | | | |
| Income | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| 45 | | | | | | | | |

| | | | For Billed to a Adviser (Engage Rel | on-Audit ees o Adviser nd o Entities gements ated ly to the eations | Fo Billed to | on-Audit ees o Adviser nd | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | n-Audit Fees | Repo | nancial orting | (All | Entities Other | TD. | 4.1 |
| Fund | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | to Fund Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | und) Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | ements) Fiscal Year Ended 2011 | Fiscal Year Ended 2010 | otal Fiscal Year Ended 2011 |
| Massachusetts Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | \$ 850 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 850 | \$ 0 |
| Massachusetts Premium | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | , | 7 7 | 7 | 7 0 | 7 - | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 7 |
| Income | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Michigan Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Michigan Premium Income | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| Michigan Quality Income | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| Missouri Premium Income | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| New Jersey Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| New Jersey Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 2 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| New Jersey Investment | | | | | | | | |
| Quality | 3,400 | 1,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 1,700 |
| New Jersey Value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey Premium | | | | | | | | |
| Income | 3,400 | 1,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 1,700 |
| Ohio Dividend Advantage | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Ohio Dividend Advantage | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Ohio Dividend Advantage | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Ohio Quality Income | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| Pennsylvania Value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form DEF 14A

| Pennsylvania Dividend | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|
| Advantage 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania Investment | | | | | | | | |
| Quality | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Pennsylvania Premium | | | | | | | | |
| Income 2 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Texas Quality Income ⁽¹⁾ | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |
| Virginia Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 |
| Virginia Dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Advantage 2 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 |
| Virginia Premium Income | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 850 |

⁽¹⁾ Fund changed fiscal year from July to February starting in 2011.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Generally, the audit committee must approve each Fund s independent registered public accounting firm s engagements (i) with the Fund for audit or non-audit services and (ii) with the Adviser and Adviser Entities for non-audit services if the engagement relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund. Regarding tax and research projects conducted by the independent registered public accounting firm for each Fund and the Adviser and Adviser Entities (with respect to the operations and financial reporting of each Fund), such engagements will be (i) pre-approved by the audit committee if they are expected to be for amounts greater than 10,000; (ii) reported to the audit committee chairman for his verbal approval prior to engagement if they are expected to be for amounts under 10,000 but greater than 5,000; and (iii) reported to the audit committee at the next audit committee meeting if they are expected to be for an amount under 5,000.

The audit committee has approved in advance all audit services and non-audit services that the independent registered public accounting firm provided to each Fund and to the Adviser and Adviser Entities (with respect to the operations and financial reporting of each Fund). None of the

services rendered by the independent registered public accounting firm to each Fund or the Adviser or Adviser Entities were pre-approved by the audit committee pursuant to the pre-approval exception under Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i) or Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X.

Additional Information

Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Each Board has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm to audit the books and records of each Fund for its current fiscal year. A representative of Ernst & Young LLP will be present at the Annual Meetings to make a statement, if such representative so desires, and to respond to shareholders—questions. Ernst & Young LLP has informed each Fund that it has no direct or indirect material financial interest in the Funds, Nuveen, the Adviser or any other investment company sponsored by Nuveen.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Interest Reporting Compliance

Section 30(h) of the 1940 Act and Section 16(a) of the 1934 Act require Board Members and officers, the Adviser, affiliated persons of the Adviser and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of a Fund s equity securities to file forms reporting their affiliation with that Fund and reports of ownership and changes in ownership of that Fund s shares with the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange or NYSE Amex, as applicable. These persons and entities are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Funds with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based on a review of these forms furnished to each Fund, each Fund believes that its Board Members and officers, Adviser and affiliated persons of the Adviser have complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements during its last fiscal year. To the knowledge of management of the Funds, no shareholder of a Fund owns more than 10% of a registered class of a Fund s equity securities, except as provided in Appendix B.

Information About the Adviser

The Adviser, located at 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, serves as investment adviser and manager for each Fund. The Adviser is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen. Nuveen is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Windy City, a corporation formed by investors led by

Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC (MDP), a private equity investment firm based in Chicago, Illinois. Windy City is controlled by MDP on behalf of the Madison Dearborn Capital Partner V funds.

Shareholder Proposals

To be considered for presentation at the annual meeting of shareholders of the Funds to be held in 2012, a shareholder proposal submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the 1934 Act must be received at the offices of that Fund, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, not later than June 7, 2012. A shareholder wishing to provide notice in the manner prescribed by Rule 14a-4(c)(1) of a proposal submitted outside of the process of Rule 14a-8 must, pursuant to each Fund s By-Laws, submit such written notice to the Fund not later than August 22, 2012 or prior to August 5, 2012. Timely submission of a proposal does not mean that such proposal will be included in a proxy statement.

Shareholder Communications

Fund shareholders who want to communicate with the Board or any individual Board Member should write to the attention of Lorna Ferguson, Manager of Fund Board Relations, Nuveen Investments, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The letter should indicate that you are a Fund shareholder and note the fund or funds that you own. If the communication is intended for a specific Board Member and so indicates it will be sent only to that Board Member. If a communication does not indicate a specific Board Member it will be sent to the Independent Chairman and the outside counsel to the Independent Board Members for further distribution as deemed appropriate by such persons.

Expenses of Proxy Solicitation

With respect to routine items, such as the election of Board Members, the cost of preparing, printing and mailing the enclosed proxy, accompanying notice and proxy statement and all other costs in connection with the solicitation of proxies will be paid by the Funds pro rata based on the number of shareholder accounts. For non-routine items, such as updating investment policies, the costs in connection with the solicitation of proxies will be paid by the Funds subject to such non-routine items based on the number of shareholder accounts. Additional solicitation may be made by letter or telephone by officers or employees of Nuveen or the Adviser, or by dealers and their representatives. Any additional costs of solicitation will be paid by the Fund that requires additional solicitation.

Fiscal Year

The fiscal year end is: February 28 for Arizona Dividend Advantage, Arizona Dividend Advantage 2, Arizona Dividend Advantage 3, Arizona Premium Income, California Dividend Advantage, California Dividend Advantage 2, California Dividend Advantage 3, California Investment Quality, California Market Opportunity, California Value, California Value 2, California Performance Plus, California Premium Income, California Quality Income, California Select Quality, Michigan Dividend Advantage, Michigan Premium Income, Michigan Quality Income, Ohio Dividend Advantage, Ohio Dividend Advantage 3, Ohio Quality Income and Texas Quality Income; April 30 for New Jersey Dividend Advantage, New Jersey Dividend Advantage 2, New Jersey Investment Quality, New Jersey Value, New Jersey Premium Income, Pennsylvania Value, Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage, Pennsylvania Dividend Advantage 2, Pennsylvania Investment Quality and Pennsylvania Premium Income 2;

May 31 for Maryland Dividend Advantage, Maryland Dividend Advantage 2, Maryland Dividend Advantage 3, Massachusetts Dividend Advantage, Massachusetts Premium Income, Missouri Premium Income, Virginia Dividend Advantage, Virginia Dividend Advantage 2 and Virginia Premium Income; June 30 for Tax-Advantaged Floating Rate; and July 31 for Floating Rate Income, Floating Rate Income Opportunity and Senior Income.

Annual Report Delivery

Annual reports will be sent to shareholders of record of each Fund following each Fund s fiscal year end. Each Fund will furnish, without charge, a copy of its annual report and/or semi-annual report as available upon request. Such written or oral requests should be directed to such Fund at 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 or by calling 1-800-257-8787.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting To Be Held on November 15, 2011:

Each Fund s Proxy Statement is available at www.nuveen.com/proxyinfo/CEF/Default.aspx. For more information, shareholders may also contact the applicable Fund at the address and phone number set forth above.

Please note that only one annual report, semi-annual report or proxy statement may be delivered to two or more shareholders of a Fund who share an address, unless the Fund has received instructions to the contrary. To request a separate copy of an annual report, semi-annual report or proxy statement, or for instructions as to how to request a separate copy of such documents or as to how to request a single copy if multiple copies of such documents are received, shareholders should contact the applicable Fund at the address and phone number set forth above.

General

Management does not intend to present and does not have reason to believe that any other items of business will be presented at the Annual Meetings. However, if other matters are properly presented to the Annual Meetings for a vote, the proxies will be voted by the persons acting under the proxies upon such matters in accordance with their judgment of the best interests of the Fund.

A list of shareholders entitled to be present and to vote at each Annual Meeting will be available at the offices of the Funds, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois, for inspection by any shareholder during regular business hours beginning ten days prior to the date of the Annual Meeting.

A majority of the shares entitled to vote at each Annual Meeting, represented in person or by proxy, shall constitute a quorum, except that for the election of the two Board member nominees to be elected by holders of Preferred Shares for each applicable Fund, 331/3% of the shares present and entitled to vote, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum. In the absence of a quorum, business may proceed on any other matter or matters which may properly come before the Annual Meeting if there shall be present, in person or by proxy, a quorum of shareholders in respect of such other matters. Failure of a quorum of any Fund to be present at the Annual Meeting will necessitate adjournment and will subject the applicable Fund to additional expense. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as shares that are present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum for transacting business at

the Annual Meeting. If a quorum is present and a Fund has not received enough votes by the time of the Annual Meeting to approve a proposal, the shareholders of the Fund present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting may propose that such Annual Meeting be adjourned one or more times with respect to such Fund to permit further solicitation of proxies. The persons named in the enclosed proxy may also move for an adjournment of the meeting to permit further solicitation of proxies with respect to any of the proposals if they determine that adjournment and further solicitation is reasonable and in the best interests of the shareholders whether or not a quorum is present. Under each Fund s By-Laws, an adjournment of a meeting requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at such meeting.

IF YOU CANNOT BE PRESENT AT THE MEETING, YOU ARE REQUESTED TO FILL IN, SIGN AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY PROMPTLY. NO POSTAGE IS REQUIRED IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES.

Kevin J. McCarthy Vice President and Secretary The Nuveen Funds

October 17, 2011

APPENDIX A

Beneficial Ownership

The following table lists the dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned by each Board Member nominee in each Fund and in all Nuveen funds overseen by the Board Member nominee as of May 31, 2011. The information as to beneficial ownership is based on statements furnished by each Board Member and officer.

| Board Member | Floating Rate | Floating Rate Income | Senior | Tax- AdvantagedArizonaArizona Floatin@ividendividend Advantage | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---|-----|-----|--|
| Nominees | Income | Opportunity | Income | Ratk vantage | | 2 | |
| Board Members/N Robert P. | Nominees who are no | t interested persons of | the Funds | | | | |
| Bremner | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Jack B. Evans William C. | \$10,001-\$50,000 | \$0 | \$50,001-\$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Hunter | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| David J. Kundert William J. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Schneider Judith M. | Over \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Stockdale | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Carole E. Stone Virginia L. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Stringer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Terence J. Toth | \$0 | \$50,001-\$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Board Member/No | | erested person of the F | | | | | |
| John P. Amboian | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,001-\$50,000 | \$0 | \$0 | |

| Board Member | Arizona Dividend Advantage | Arizona Premium | California Premium | California Dividend Advantage | California Dividend Advantage | California Investment |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Nominees | 3 | Income | Advantage | 2 | 3 | Quality |
| Board Members/Nominees | who are not in | terested pers | sons of the Fur | nds | | |
| Robert P. Bremner | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Jack B. Evans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William C. Hunter | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| David J. Kundert | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William J. Schneider | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| Judith M. Stockdale | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Carole E. Stone | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Virginia L. Stringer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Terence J. Toth | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Board Member/Nominee who is an interested person of the Funds | | | | | | | | | |
| John P. Amboian | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |

A-1

| Dollar Range of Equity Securities | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | California | | | California | California | California | California | | |
| Board Member | Market | California | California I | Performance | Premium | Quality | Select | | |
| Nominees | Opportunity | Value | Value 2 | Plus | Income | Income | Quality | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Board Members/Nominees who are not interested persons of the Funds | | | | | | | | | |
| Robert P. Bremner | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Jack B. Evans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| William C. Hunter | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| David J. Kundert | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| William J. Schneider | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Judith M. Stockdale | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Carole E. Stone | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Virginia L. Stringer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Terence J. Toth | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Board Member/Nomin | nee who is an in | terested per | son of the Fu | ınds | | | | | |
| John P. Amboian | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| Maryland Maryland Maryland Maryland Massachuseth Massachusetts Michigan | | | | | | Michigan | |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Board Member | Dividend | Dividend | Dividend | Premium | Dividend | Premium | Dividend |
| | | Advantage | Advantage | | | | |
| Nominees | Advantage | 2 | 3 | Income | Advantage | Income A | Advantage |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Board Members/Nominees | s who are not | interested p | ersons of th | e Funds | | | |
| Robert P. Bremner | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Jack B. Evans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William C. Hunter | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| David J. Kundert | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William J. Schneider | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Judith M. Stockdale | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Carole E. Stone | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Virginia L. Stringer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Terence J. Toth | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Board Member/Nominee v | vho is an inte | rested perso | n of the Fun | nds | | | |
| John P. Amboian | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| | | Dollar Ran | ige of Equit | y Securities | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| | | | | New | New | New | |
| | Michigan | Michigan | Missouri | Jersey | Jersey | Jersey | |
| | | _ | | _ | - | - | New |
| Board Member | Premium | Quality | Premium | Dividend | Dividend | Investment | Jersey |
| | | | | | Advantage | | |
| Nominees | Income | Income | Income | Advantage | 2 | Quality | Value |
| | | | | | | | |
| Board Members/Nominees | s who are no | ot interested | l persons of | f the Funds | | | |
| Robert P. Bremner | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Jack B. Evans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William C. Hunter | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| David J. Kundert | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William J. Schneider | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Judith M. Stockdale | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Carole E. Stone | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Virginia L. Stringer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Terence J. Toth | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Board Member/Nominee | who is an int | terested per | son of the l | Funds | | | |
| John P. Amboian | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| | New | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | Jersey | Ohio | Ohio | Ohio | Ohio | P | ennsylvania |
| Board Member | Premium | Dividend | Dividend | Dividend | QualityPer | nnsylvania | Dividend |
| | | | Advantage | Advantage | | | |
| Nominees | Income | Advantage | 2 | 3 | Income | Value | Advantage |
| | | | | | | | |
| Board Members/Nominee | s who are n | ot interested | l persons of t | he Funds | | | |
| Robert P. Bremner | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Jack B. Evans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William C. Hunter | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| David J. Kundert | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| William J. Schneider | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Judith M. Stockdale | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Carole E. Stone | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Virginia L. Stringer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Terence J. Toth | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Board Member/Nominee | who is an in | terested per | son of the Fu | ınds | | | |
| John P. Amboian | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| Dollar Range of Equity Securities | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| D 114 1 | Pennsylvania | • | • | Texas | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | | |
| Board Member | | Investment | Premium | Quality | Dividend | | Premium | | |
| Nominees | Advantage 2 | Quality | Income 2 | Incomo | Advantage | Advantage 2 | Income | | |
| Nominices | 2 | Quanty | medille 2 | Hicome | Auvantage | 2 | Hicome | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Board Members/Nomine | Board Members/Nominees who are not interested persons of the Funds | | | | | | | | |
| Robert P. Bremner | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Jack B. Evans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| William C. Hunter | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| David J. Kundert | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| William J. Schneider | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Judith M. Stockdale | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Carole E. Stone | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Virginia L. Stringer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Terence J. Toth | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Board Member/Nomine | e who is an inter | rested person o | of the Funds | | | | | | |
| John P. Amboian | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

Dollar Range of Equity Securities

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Aggregate |
| | Range of |
| | Securities All |
| | Registered |
| | Investment |
| | Companies |
| | Overseen by |
| | Board Member |
| | Nominees in |
| | Family of |
| Board Member | Investment |
| Nominees | $Companies^{(1)}$ |
| | |

Board Members/Nominees who are not interested persons of the Funds

| Robert P. Bremner | Over \$100,000 |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Jack B. Evans | Over \$100,000 |
| William C. Hunter | Over \$100,000 |
| David J. Kundert | Over \$100,000 |
| William J. Schneider | Over \$100,000 |
| Judith M. Stockdale | Over \$100,000 |
| Carole E. Stone | Over \$100,000 |
| Virginia L. Stringer | Over \$100,000 |
| Terence J. Toth | Over \$100,000 |

Board Member/Nominee who is an interested person of the Funds

John P. Amboian Over \$100,000

(1) The amounts reflect the aggregate dollar range of equity securities and the number of shares beneficially owned by the Board Member in the Funds and in all Nuveen funds overseen by the Board Member.

A-4

The following table sets forth, for each Board Member and Board Member Nominee and for the Board Members and Board Member Nominees and officers as a group, the amount of shares beneficially owned in each Fund as of May 31, 2011. The information as to beneficial ownership is based on statements furnished by each Board Member and officer.

Fund Shares Owned By Board Members And Officers(1)

| | | • | | Tax- | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Floating | Floating Rate | | Advantaged | Arizona | Arizona | Arizona |
| Board Member | Rate | Income | Senior | Floating | Dividend | Dividend Advantage | Dividend Advantage |
| Nominees | Income O | pportunity | Income | Rate | Advantage | 2 | 3 |
| Board Members/Nominee | s who are not i | nterested per | sons of the | e Funds | | | |
| Robert P. Bremner | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jack B. Evans | 1,600 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| William C. Hunter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| David J. Kundert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| William J. Schneider | 25,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Judith M. Stockdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carole E. Stone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia L. Stringer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Terence J. Toth | 0 | 7,259 | 12,865 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Board Member/Nominee | who is an inter | ested person | of the Fun | ds | | | |
| John P. Amboian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,950 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Board Members and | | | | | | | |
| Officers as a Group | 26,900 | 7,259 | 22,865 | 17,950 | 0 | 0 | 0 |