

CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORP

Form 10-Q

November 08, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 1-13445

Capital Senior Living Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

75-2678809

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

14160 Dallas Parkway, Suite 300

Dallas, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

75254

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (972) 770-5600

NONE

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One).

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 7, 2006, the Registrant had 26,378,491 outstanding shares of its Common Stock, \$0.01 par value.

**CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORPORATION
INDEX**

| | Page Number |
|--|------------------------|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements.</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 25 |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 31 |
| <u>Signature</u> | |
| Certifications | |
| <u>Certification of CEO</u> | |
| <u>Certification of CFO</u> | |
| <u>Certification Pursuant to Section 906</u> | |
| <u>Certification Pursuant to Section 906</u> | |

Table of Contents**Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands)

| | September 30, 2006 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2005 |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 26,952 | \$ 21,831 |
| Restricted cash | | 973 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 4,237 | 2,586 |
| Accounts receivable from affiliates | 1,344 | 432 |
| Federal and state income taxes receivable | 1,463 | 1,840 |
| Deferred taxes | 598 | 591 |
| Assets held for sale | 2,034 | 2,034 |
| Property tax and insurance deposits | 5,984 | 5,081 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 3,001 | 2,729 |
| | | |
| Total current assets | 45,613 | 38,097 |
| Property and equipment, net | 314,042 | 373,007 |
| Deferred taxes | 15,198 | 8,217 |
| Investments in limited partnerships | 5,576 | 1,401 |
| Other assets, net | 14,674 | 13,329 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 395,103 | \$ 434,051 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 3,010 | \$ 2,834 |
| Accounts payable to affiliates | | 119 |
| Accrued expenses | 10,514 | 10,057 |
| Current portion of notes payable | 7,147 | 7,801 |
| Current portion of interest rate lock | | 2,573 |
| Current portion of deferred income | 4,376 | 1,370 |
| Customer deposits | 2,500 | 2,483 |
| | | |
| Total current liabilities | 27,547 | 27,237 |
| Deferred income | 26,934 | 3,641 |
| Deferred income from affiliates | 116 | 48 |
| Other long-term liabilities | | 4,977 |
| Notes payable, net of current portion | 197,678 | 252,733 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value: | | |
| Authorized shares 15,000; no shares issued or outstanding | | |

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORP - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$.01 par value:

Authorized shares 65,000

Issued and outstanding shares 26,370 and 26,290 in 2006 and 2005,
respectively

| | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 264 | 263 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 127,008 | 126,180 |
| Retained earnings | 15,556 | 18,972 |

| | | |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total shareholders equity | 142,828 | 145,415 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 395,103 | \$ 434,051 |
|---|------------|------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited, in thousands, except earnings per share)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Resident and health care revenue | \$ 36,501 | \$ 24,116 | \$ 101,175 | \$ 70,976 |
| Unaffiliated management services revenue | 151 | 408 | 858 | 1,204 |
| Affiliated management services revenue | 437 | 560 | 1,116 | 1,578 |
| Total revenues | 37,089 | 25,084 | 103,149 | 73,758 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below) | 23,290 | 16,583 | 65,183 | 48,944 |
| General and administrative expenses | 3,303 | 2,274 | 8,728 | 7,038 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 212 | 101 | 552 | 101 |
| Facility lease expense | 5,131 | | 11,082 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,672 | 3,157 | 9,643 | 9,438 |
| Total expenses | 34,608 | 22,115 | 95,188 | 65,521 |
| Income from operations | 2,481 | 2,969 | 7,961 | 8,237 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 191 | 38 | 466 | 95 |
| Interest expense | (3,511) | (4,827) | (13,151) | (13,578) |
| Gain on sale of assets | 817 | | 1,714 | |
| Debt restructuring: | | | | |
| Write-off deferred loan costs | | | (1,867) | |
| Gain (loss) on treasury rate lock agreement | | 775 | | (578) |
| Other income | 91 | 134 | 212 | 368 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest in consolidated partnership | 69 | (911) | (4,665) | (5,456) |
| Benefit for income taxes | | 321 | 1,249 | 1,926 |
| Income (loss) before minority interest in consolidated partnership | 69 | (590) | (3,416) | (3,530) |
| Minority interest in consolidated partnership | | 2 | | 3 |
| Net income (loss) | 69 | (588) | (3,416) | (3,527) |
| Per share data: | | | | |
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.14) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share | 0.00 | (0.02) | (0.13) | (0.14) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 26,023 | 25,858 | 25,976 | 25,797 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding | diluted | 26,470 | 25,858 | 25,976 | 25,797 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited, in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (3,416) | \$ (3,527) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 8,518 | 9,154 |
| Amortization | 1,125 | 284 |
| Amortization of deferred financing charges | 484 | 583 |
| Amortization of deferred lease costs | 148 | |
| Amortization of imputed interest | 168 | 169 |
| Minority interest in consolidated partnership | | (3) |
| Deferred income from affiliates | 68 | (88) |
| Deferred income | 154 | 179 |
| Deferred income taxes | (7,109) | (2,065) |
| Earnings of affiliates | (21) | (128) |
| Write-off of deferred loan costs | 1,867 | |
| Gain on sale of assets | (1,714) | |
| Loss on treasury rate lock agreements | | 578 |
| Equity compensation expense | 552 | 101 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (1,651) | (617) |
| Accounts receivable from affiliates | (912) | 411 |
| Property tax and insurance deposits | (903) | (2,004) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | (316) | (632) |
| Other assets | (5,157) | (3,992) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 514 | 1,644 |
| Federal and state income taxes receivable | 377 | (778) |
| Customer deposits | 17 | 451 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (7,207) | (280) |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Capital expenditures | (4,433) | (1,927) |
| Cash paid on Covenant acquisition | (9,866) | |
| Proceeds from the sale of the Covenant communities to HCPI | 11,216 | |
| Proceeds from the sale of the Meadow View community | 2,400 | |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 36,781 | |
| Investments in limited partnerships | (4,154) | (24) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 31,944 | (1,951) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from notes payable | 146,590 | 43,254 |
| Repayments of notes payable | (162,659) | (41,703) |
| Restricted cash | 973 | (727) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | 277 | 616 |

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Excess tax benefits on stock options exercised | 121 | 172 |
| Cash paid to settle interest rate lock agreement | (1,823) | |
| Deferred financing charges paid | (3,095) | (1,078) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (19,616) | 534 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 5,121 | (1,697) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 21,831 | 19,515 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 26,952 | \$ 17,818 |
| Supplemental Disclosures | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 13,052 | \$ 11,985 |
| Income taxes | \$ 5,425 | \$ 777 |
| Non-cash transactions: | | |
| Conversion of interest rate cap agreement to notes payable | \$ 5,727 | \$ |
| Debt assumed by Ventas / HCPI in sale / leaseback transactions | \$ 45,535 | \$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2006

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Capital Senior Living Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company), was incorporated on October 25, 1996. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying consolidated balance sheet, as of December 31, 2005, has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2005, and the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements, as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations. For further information, refer to the financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2005 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2006.

In the opinion of the Company, the accompanying consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (all of which were normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2006, results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the year ending December 31, 2006.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES*Net Income (Loss) Per Share*

Basic net income (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share considers the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and awards calculated using the treasury stock method.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted income (loss) per share (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 69 | \$ (588) | \$ (3,416) | \$ (3,527) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 26,023 | 25,858 | 25,976 | 25,797 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options and awards | 447 | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 26,470 | 25,858 | 25,976 | 25,797 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.14) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.14) |

Stock options and awards were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 because the Company had net losses during these periods, and therefore, the effect would not be dilutive. Stock options and awards of 39,000 shares were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2006 because the effect would not have been dilutive.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Management regularly evaluates the future realization of deferred tax assets and provides a valuation allowance, if considered necessary, based on such evaluation. The tax benefit for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 was reduced by \$0.3 million as

Table of Contents

a result of changes in Texas state income tax laws which required the Company to evaluate and record its deferred tax assets and liabilities relating to its Texas communities at their net realizable values.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has a stock-based compensation plan (as amended, the 1997 Plan) that provides for grants to employees of stock awards or stock options to purchase the Company's common stock. The 1997 Plan authorizes the Company to issue 2.6 million shares of common stock and the Company has reserved 1.7 million shares of common stock for future issuance under the 1997 Plan. Prior to July 1, 2005, the Company accounted for the 1997 Plan under the principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB No. 25) and related Interpretations. Prior to July 1, 2005, no stock-based employee compensation cost was reflected in the Company's consolidated statement of operations since all options granted under the 1997 Plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company early adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised), Share-based Payment (Statement 123R), which requires all share based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the statement of operations based on their fair values. The Company adopted Statement 123R using the modified prospective method. Under the modified prospective method the Company recognized compensation expense for new share-based awards and recognized compensation expense for the remaining vesting period of awards that had been included in pro-forma disclosures in prior periods. The Company has not adjusted prior period financial statements under the modified prospective method. The impact of expensing stock awards resulted in stock compensation expense of \$0.2 million (\$0.1 million after related taxes) during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$0.6 million (\$0.4 million after related taxes) during the first nine months of fiscal 2006.

Under APB No. 25, pro forma expense for stock awards with pro-rata vesting was calculated on a straight line basis over the awards' vesting period which typically ranges from one to five years. Since the adoption of Statement 123R, the Company records stock compensation expense on a straight line basis over the awards' vesting period, which ranges from one to four years.

The following table shows the effect on net income (loss) and income (loss) per share as if the fair value method had been applied to all outstanding awards in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2006 and 2005. The information for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 and 2005 and first nine months of fiscal 2006 is provided in the table for purposes of comparability.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income (loss) as reported | \$ 69 | \$ (588) | \$ (3,416) | \$ (3,527) |
| Add: Stock based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects | 139 | 67 | 362 | 67 |
| Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (139) | (67) | (362) | (683) |
| Pro forma net income (loss) | 69 | (588) | (3,416) | (4,143) |
| Net income (loss) per share basic and dilutive | | | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.14) |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.16) |

Prior to adopting Statement 123R, the Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the grant date fair value of its stock awards and the Company elected to continue to use the Black-Scholes option pricing model

to estimate the grant date fair value of its stock awards subsequent to the adoption of Statement 123R.

The Black-Scholes model requires the input of certain assumptions including expected volatility, expected dividend yield, expected life of the option and the risk free interest rate. The expected volatility used by the Company is based primarily on an analysis of historical prices of the Company's common stock. The expected term of options granted is based primarily on historical exercise patterns on the Company's outstanding stock options. The risk free rate is based on zero-coupon U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the date of grant with the same period as the expected option life. The Company does not expect to pay dividends on its common stock and therefore has used a dividend yield of zero in determining the fair value of its awards. The option forfeiture rate assumption used by the Company, which affects the expense recognized as opposed to the fair value of the award, is based primarily on the Company's historical option forfeiture patterns.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's assumptions utilized to estimate the grant date fair value of stock options:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Expected volatility | 51-63% | 53-63% | 51-63% | 53-63% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Expected term in years | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Risk free rate | 4.3-6.5% | 4.3-6.5% | 4.3-6.5% | 4.3-6.5% |
| Expected forfeiture rate | 8.0% | n/a | 8.0% | n/a |

On February 10, 2005, the Company's Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors accelerated the vesting on 151,976 unvested stock options, with an option price of \$6.30, awarded to officers and employees. These options were originally scheduled to vest in December 2005. The market price of the Company's common stock at the close of business on February 10, 2005 was \$5.61. The Compensation Committee's decision to accelerate the vesting of these options was in response to FASB's issuance of Statement 123R. By accelerating the vesting of these options, the Company was not required to recognize any compensation expense related to these options in its statement of operations.

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, is presented below:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) | Option Price per Share |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 1,109,225 | \$ 4.69 | | 1.80 to \$ 10.50 |
| Granted | 12,000 | 10.97 | | \$ 10.97 |
| Exercised | 60,113 | 4.61 | | 1.80 to \$ 6.30 |
| Forfeited | 1,000 | 2.73 | | \$ 2.73 |
| Expired | | | | |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2006 | 1,060,112 | \$ 4.76 | \$ 4,811 | 1.80 to \$ 10.97 |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2006 | 1,022,112 | \$ 4.71 | \$ 4,767 | 1.80 to \$ 10.50 |

On May 9, 2006, the Company granted options to certain directors of the Company to purchase a total of 12,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$10.97, the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. These options vest in one year.

The Company issued 60,113 and 155,178 shares of common stock, during the first nine months of fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively, pursuant to the exercise of stock options by certain employees of the Company.

A summary of the Company's restricted common stock awards activity and related information for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, is presented below:

| | Shares |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 356,750 |
| Awards issued | 31,000 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Awards vested | 105,555 |
| Forfeited | 11,000 |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2006 | 271,195 |

On March 13, 2006, the Company issued 18,000 shares of restricted common stock to certain employees of the Company. On May 9, 2006, the Company issued 13,000 shares of restricted common stock to certain employees of the Company. These awards of restricted shares vest over a four year period and had an intrinsic value of \$0.3 million on the date of issue.

The Company has total stock-based compensation expense of \$1.8 million not recognized as of September 30, 2006 and expects this expense to be recognized over a four year period.

Table of Contents*Interest Rate Cap, Lock and Swap Agreements*

Effective January 31, 2005, the Company entered into interest rate cap agreements with two commercial banks to reduce the impact of increases in interest rates on the Company's variable rate loans. One interest rate cap agreement effectively limits the interest rate exposure on \$100 million notional amount to a maximum London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) of 5%, as long as one-month LIBOR is less than 7%. If one-month LIBOR is greater than 7%, the agreement effectively limits the interest rate on the same \$100 million notional amount to a maximum LIBOR of 7%. In March 2006, the Company sold \$67 million of the notional amount of this interest rate cap and as a result received \$0.3 million in cash and recorded a gain on sale of \$0.1 million. This interest rate cap agreement is still in effect to limit interest rate exposure on \$33 million notional amount and expires on January 31, 2008. During the first nine months of fiscal 2006, the Company received \$33,000 under the terms of this interest rate cap agreement and recorded the amount received as a reduction in interest expense. The second interest cap agreement effectively limited the interest rate exposure on a \$50 million notional amount to a maximum LIBOR of 5% and expired on January 31, 2006. The Company paid \$0.4 million for the interest rate caps and the costs of these agreements are being or were amortized to interest expense over the life of the agreements except for amounts written off when the notional amounts were sold.

The Company was party to interest rate lock agreements, which were used to hedge the risk that the costs of future issuance of debt may be adversely affected by changes in interest rates. Under the treasury lock agreements, the Company agreed to pay or receive an amount equal to the difference between the net present value of the cash flows for a notional principal amount of indebtedness based on the locked rate at the date when the agreement was established and the yield of a United States Government 10-Year Treasury Note on the settlement date of January 3, 2006. The notional amounts of the agreements were not exchanged. These treasury lock agreements were entered into with a major financial institution in order to minimize counterparty credit risk. The locked rates ranged from 7.5% to 9.1%. On December 30, 2004, the Company refinanced the underlying debt and this refinancing resulted in the interest rate lock agreements no longer qualifying as an interest rate hedge. The Company reflected the interest rate lock agreements at fair value in the Company's balance sheet (as a long-term liability, net of current portion) and related gains and losses were recognized in the statement of operations. On January 3, 2006, the Company settled the treasury lock liability by paying \$1.8 million in cash and converting the remaining balance of \$5.7 million to a five-year note. The note bears interest at LIBOR plus 250 basis points with the principal amortized on a straight-line basis over a seven year term. Prior to refinancing the underlying debt, the treasury lock agreements were reflected at fair value in the Company's balance sheet (Other long term liabilities) and the related gains or losses on these agreements were deferred in stockholders' equity (as a component of Other comprehensive income).

Assets Held for Sale

The Company determines the fair value, net of costs of disposal, of an asset on the date the asset is categorized as held for sale, and the asset is recorded at the lower of its fair value, net of cost of disposal, or carrying value on that date. The Company periodically reevaluates assets held for sale to determine if the assets are still recorded at the lower of fair value, net of cost of disposal, or carrying value. The Company has four parcels of land held for sale at September 30, 2006. The fair value of these properties is generally determined based on market rates, industry trends and recent comparable sales transactions. The actual sales price of these assets could differ significantly from the Company's estimates.

One community (Meadow View) of the seven communities acquired from the Covenant Group of Texas, Inc. (Covenant) was classified as held for sale at June 30, 2006 and had an aggregate fair value, net of costs of disposal of \$2.4 million. In July 2006, the Company sold Meadow View to an unrelated party for \$2.6 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$2.4 million.

The Company estimates the four parcels of land that were held for sale at September 30, 2006, have an aggregate fair value, net of costs of disposal, that exceeds the carrying value of \$2.0 million. The amounts the Company will ultimately realize could differ materially from this estimate.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 to conform to the presentation of the financial statements for the three and nine month periods

ended September 30, 2006.

Table of Contents**3. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES***Spring Meadows*

In November 2004, the Company formed four joint ventures (collectively SHPII/CSL) with Senior Housing Partners II, LP (SHPII), a fund managed by Prudential Real Estate Investors (Prudential), which joint ventures are owned 95% by SHPII and 5% by the Company. Effective as of November 30, 2004, the Company acquired Lehman Brothers (Lehman) interest in four joint ventures that owned the four communities (the Spring Meadows Communities) and simultaneously sold the Spring Meadows Communities to SHPII/CSL. As a result of these transactions, the Company paid \$1.1 million for Lehman's interest in the joint ventures, received \$0.9 million in net assets and wrote-off the remainder totaling \$0.2 million. In addition, the Company contributed \$1.3 million to SHPII/CSL for its 5% interest. The Company accounts for its investment in SHPII/CSL under the equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of SHPII/CSL of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In addition, the Company earned \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million in management fees on the Spring Meadows Communities in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company defers 5% of its management fee income earned from SHPII/CSL. Deferred management fee income is being amortized into income over the term of the Company's management contract. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred income of approximately \$78,000 relating to SHPII/CSL.

Midwest

In January 2006, the Company announced the formation of a joint venture, Midwest Portfolio Holdings, Inc. (Midwest) with GE Healthcare Financial Services (GE Healthcare) to acquire five senior housing communities from a third party. Midwest is owned approximately 89% by GE Healthcare and 11% by the Company. The Company paid \$2.7 million for its interests in Midwest. Midwest agreed to pay approximately \$46.9 million for the five communities. The five communities comprise 293 assisted living units with a resident capacity of 389. Effective as of February 1, 2006, Midwest acquired four of the five communities and on March 31, 2006, Midwest closed on the fifth community. The Company manages the five acquired communities under long-term management agreements with Midwest. The Company accounts for its investment in Midwest under the equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of Midwest of \$35,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. In addition, the Company earned \$0.3 million in management fees on the Midwest communities in the first nine months of fiscal 2006. The Company defers 11% of its management fee income earned from Midwest and the deferred management fee income is being amortized into income over the term of the Company's management contracts. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred income of approximately \$28,000 relating to Midwest.

Midwest II

In August 2006, the Company announced the formation of a joint venture, Midwest Portfolio Holdings II, LP (Midwest II) with GE Healthcare to acquire three senior housing communities from a third party. Midwest II is owned approximately 85% by GE Healthcare and 15% by the Company. The Company paid \$1.3 million for its interests in Midwest II. Midwest II agreed to pay approximately \$38.2 million for the three communities. The three communities comprise 300 assisted living units with a resident capacity of 319. On August 11, 2006, Midwest II acquired the three senior living communities. The Company manages the three acquired communities under long-term management agreements with Midwest II. The Company accounts for its investment in Midwest II under the equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of Midwest II of \$7,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. In addition, the Company earned \$0.1 million in management fees on the Midwest II communities in the first nine months of fiscal 2006. The Company defers 15% of its management fee income earned from Midwest II and the deferred management fee income is being amortized into income over the term of the Company's management contracts. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred income of approximately \$10,000 relating to Midwest II.

BRE/CSL

In December 2001, the Company formed a series of joint ventures (BRE/CSL) with an affiliate of Blackstone Real Estate Advisors, Inc. (Blackstone) and the joint ventures are owned 90% by Blackstone and 10% by the Company. BRE/CSL previously owned six senior living communities. The Company managed the six communities owned by BRE/CSL under long-term management contracts. The Company accounted for its investment in BRE/CSL under the

equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of BRE/CSL of \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. In addition, the Company earned \$0.9 million in management fees on the BRE/CSL communities in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. The Company deferred 10% of its

Table of Contents

management fee income earned from BRE/CSL and the deferred management fee income was being amortized into income over the term of the Company's management contract. As described in greater detail below, effective as of September 30, 2005, Ventas Healthcare Properties, Inc. and its affiliates (Ventas) acquired the six communities owned by BRE/CSL for approximately \$84.6 million and the Company entered into a series of lease agreements whereby the Company leases the six communities from Ventas.

4. ACQUISITIONS

Effective May 31, 2006, the Company acquired seven senior living communities (the Covenant Communities) owned by Covenant for \$40.6 million and simultaneously sold six of the seven communities to an affiliate of Healthcare Properties Investors, Inc. (together with affiliates, HCPI) in a sale / leaseback transaction, as described below, valued at approximately \$43.0 million. In July 2006, the remaining community, Meadow View, was sold to an unrelated party for \$2.6 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$2.4 million.

5. FACILITY LEASE TRANSACTIONS*Ventas*

Effective as of June 30, 2005, BRE/CSL entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement (the Ventas Purchase Agreement) with Ventas to sell the six communities owned by BRE/CSL to Ventas for approximately \$84.6 million. In addition, Ventas and the Company entered into certain Master Lease Agreements (the Ventas Lease Agreements) whereby the Company agreed to lease the six communities from Ventas. Effective as of September 30, 2005, Ventas completed the purchase of the six communities from BRE/CSL and the Company began consolidating the operations of the six communities in its consolidated statement of operations under the terms of the Ventas Lease Agreements. The Ventas Lease Agreements each have an initial term of ten years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate under each of the Ventas Lease Agreements is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$1.3 million in lease acquisition costs related to the Ventas Lease Agreements. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial 10 year lease terms and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for the Ventas Lease Agreements as operating leases. The sale of the six communities from BRE/CSL to Ventas resulted in the Company receiving cash proceeds of \$6.1 million and recording a gain of approximately \$4.2 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial 10 year lease term.

On October 18, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with Ventas to lease a senior living community located in Fort Wayne, Indiana (Georgetowne Place) which Ventas acquired from a third party for approximately \$19.5 million. Georgetowne Place is a 162-unit senior living community with a capacity of 247 residents. This lease has an initial term of ten years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to conditional escalation provisions. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs related to this lease. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial 10 year lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease.

On March 31, 2006, the Company sold its Towne Centre community (Towne Centre) to Ventas in a sale/leaseback transaction valued at \$29.0 million. This lease was effective as of April 1, 2006 and has an initial term of nine and one-half years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.1 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease. As a result of this sale/leaseback transaction the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$12.7 million, net of closing costs, retired debt of approximately \$16.2 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$14.3 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease term.

On June 8, 2006 the Company entered into an agreement with Ventas to lease a senior living community located in Maple Grove, Minnesota (Rose Arbor) which Ventas acquired from a third party for approximately \$19.1 million. Rose Arbor is a 147-unit senior living community with a capacity of 179 residents. This lease has an initial term of approximately nine and one-half years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The

initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to conditional escalation provisions. The Company incurred \$0.3 million in lease acquisition costs related to this lease. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease.

Table of Contents***HCPI***

Effective economically as of May 1, 2006, the Company sold three of its communities, Crosswood Oaks in Citrus Heights, California, Tesson Heights in St. Louis, Missouri and Veranda Club in Boca Raton, Florida to HCPI in sale / leaseback transactions valued at approximately \$54.0 million. These leases were effective economically as of May 1, 2006 and have an initial term of ten years, with two ten-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rates are 8% and are subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease terms and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for these leases as operating leases. As a result of these sale/leaseback transactions, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$23.0 million, net of closing costs, retired debt of approximately \$29.3 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$12.8 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease terms.

Effective May 31, 2006, the Company acquired seven senior living communities owned by Covenant for \$40.6 million and simultaneously sold six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction valued at approximately \$43.0 million. The six property lease was effective as of May 31, 2006 and has an initial term of ten years, with two ten-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease. As a result of this sale/leaseback transaction, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$3.6 million, net of closing costs, and recorded a gain of approximately \$0.6 million which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease term.

6. DEBT REFINANCINGS

On June 9, 2006, the Company refinanced \$110.0 million of mortgage debt on 15 senior living communities with the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac). As part of the refinancing, the Company repaid approximately \$14.8 million of mortgage debt on the 15 communities. The new mortgage loans have a ten year term with interest rates fixed at 6.29% for the first nine years and with principal amortized over a 25 year term. At the beginning of the tenth year, the loans will convert to a floating interest rate to provide flexibility regarding financing alternatives. Each of the loans are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted with release provisions. The Company incurred \$1.9 million in deferred financing costs related to these loans, which is being amortized over ten years. In addition, the Company wrote-off \$0.9 million in deferred loan costs on the loans refinanced and paid \$0.2 million in loan exit fees to the prior lender. The loan exit fees are a component of write-off of deferred loan costs in the accompanying statement of operations.

On June 20, 2006, the Company refinanced \$33.0 million of mortgage debt on four senior living communities with Capmark Finance Inc. (Capmark). The new mortgage loans have a three year term plus options for two one year extensions at the Company's option with variable interest rates tied to the 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 260 basis points. Principal is being amortized over a 25 year term. The Company has an interest rate cap in place thru January 2008, which limits the maximum rate on these loans to approximately 7.60%. Each of the loans are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted with release provisions. The Company incurred \$0.5 million in deferred financing costs related to these loans, which is being amortized over three years. In addition, the Company wrote-off \$14,000 in deferred loan costs on the loans refinanced and paid \$0.5 million in loan exit fees to the prior lender. The loan exit fees are a component of write-off of deferred loan costs in the accompanying statement of operations.

7. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, which will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2007. This standard clarifies the accounting for income tax benefits that are uncertain in nature. Under FIN 48, a company will recognize a tax benefit in its financial statements for an uncertain tax position only if management's assessment is that its position is more likely than not (i.e., a greater than 50 percent likelihood) to be upheld on audit based only on the technical merits of the tax position. This accounting standard also provides guidance on thresholds,

measurement, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition that is intended to provide better financial-statement comparability among different companies. Under the transition guidance for implementing FIN 48, any required cumulative-effect adjustment will be recorded to retained earnings as of January 1, 2007. The Company does not expect that implementation of FIN 48 will have a material effect on its results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents

FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157). In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS 157, which will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but would apply to assets and liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value under other accounting standards. The impact, if any, to the Company from the adoption of FAS 157 in 2008 will depend on the Company's assets and liabilities at that time they are required to be measured at fair value. In September 2006, the SEC staff also issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). While not an official rule or interpretation of the SEC, SAB 108 was issued to address the diversity in practice in quantifying misstatements from prior years and assessing their effect on current year financial statements. The Company does not anticipate any impact to the preparation of its year-end 2006 financial statements from adopting the guidance of SAB 108.

8. CONTINGENCIES

In April 2005, the Company filed a claim before the American Arbitration Association in Dallas, Texas against a former brokerage consultant and her company (collectively, Respondents) for (1) a declaratory judgment that it has fulfilled certain obligations to Respondents under contracts the parties had signed related to the acquisition by the Company of all the outstanding stock of CGI Management, Inc. (CGIM), a wholly owned subsidiary of Covenant, (2) damages resulting from alleged breach of a confidentiality provision, and (3) damages for unpaid referral fees. Respondent filed a counterclaim for causes of action including breach of contract, duress, and undue infliction of emotional distress. The claim and counterclaim have now been settled.

On January 11, 2006, the Company received a demand letter from the Texas Property and Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association (TPCIGA) for repayment of \$199,737 in worker's compensation payments allegedly made by TPCIGA on behalf of Company employees. The Company has also received other correspondence for repayment of \$45,358 on the same basis. TPCIGA's letter states that it has assumed responsibility for insureds of Reliance Insurance Company (Reliance) which was declared insolvent and ordered into liquidation in October of 2001 by the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania. Reliance had been the Company's worker's compensation carrier. TPCIGA's demand letter states that under the Texas Insurance Code, TPCIGA is entitled to seek reimbursement from an insured for sums paid on its behalf if the insured's net worth exceeds \$50 million at the end of the year immediately preceding the impaired insurer's insolvency. TPCIGA states that it pursues reimbursement of these payments from the Company pursuant to this net worth provision. The Company has requested additional information from TPCIGA to verify that the Company was indeed the employer of the individuals on whose behalf the TPCIGA has paid claims. The TPCIGA has not provided sufficient documentation at this time for the Company to be able to fully evaluate all of these claims. On July 19, 2006, the TPCIGA filed a petition in the 53rd Judicial District Court of Travis County seeking repayment of approximately \$50,000 in claims and allocated loss adjustment expenses in connection with claims payable under the Reliance policy issued to the Company as well as future payments and attorneys' fees. The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit. The Company is unable at this time to estimate the potential liability, if any, related to this claim.

The Company has other pending claims not mentioned above (Other Claims) incurred in the course of its business. Most of these Other Claims are believed by management to be covered by insurance, subject to normal reservations of rights by the insurance companies and possibly subject to certain exclusions in the applicable insurance policies. Whether or not covered by insurance, these Other Claims, in the opinion of management, based on advice of legal counsel, should not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company if determined adversely to the Company.

9. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 2, 2006, the Company announced that SHPII had entered into an agreement to sell the Atrium of Carmichael community located in Carmichael, California, for approximately \$18.0 million to a healthcare REIT. The Company has agreed to lease the Atrium of Carmichael from the healthcare REIT. The lease will have an initial term of 10 years, with two 10-year renewal options and an initial lease rate of 7.75% which is subject to conditional escalation provisions. This transaction is expected to close during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, subject to

customary approvals.

Table of Contents**CAPITAL SENIOR LIVING CORPORATION****Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.**

Certain information contained in this report constitutes Forward-Looking Statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, anticipate, estimate or continue or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology. The Company cautions readers that forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, those relating to the Company's future business prospects, revenues, working capital, liquidity, capital needs, interest costs, and income, are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements, due to several important factors herein identified. These factors include the Company's ability to find suitable acquisition properties at favorable terms, financing, licensing, business conditions, risks of downturns in economic condition generally, satisfaction of closing conditions such as those pertaining to licensure, availability of insurance at commercially reasonable rates, and changes in accounting principles and interpretations among others, and other risks and factors identified from time to time in the Company's reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Overview

The following discussion and analysis addresses (i) the Company's results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and (ii) liquidity and capital resources of the Company and should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this report.

The Company is one of the largest operators of senior living communities in the United States in terms of resident capacity. The Company owns, operates, develops and manages senior living communities throughout the United States. The Company's operating strategy is to provide quality senior living services to its residents, while achieving and sustaining a strong, competitive position within its chosen markets, as well as to continue to enhance the performance of its operations. The Company provides senior living services to the elderly, including independent living, assisted living, skilled nursing and home care services.

As of September 30, 2006, the Company operated 60 senior living communities in 22 states with an aggregate capacity of approximately 9,100 residents, including 37 senior living communities which the Company owned, or in which the Company had an ownership interest, 18 communities that the Company leased and five communities it managed for third parties. As of September 30, 2006, the Company also operated one home care agency.

The Company generates revenue from a variety of sources. For the three months ended September 30, 2006, the Company's revenue was derived as follows: 98.4% from the operation of 43 owned and leased senior living communities, and 1.6% from management fees arising from management services provided for 12 affiliate owned senior living communities and six unaffiliated senior living communities. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company's revenue was derived as follows: 98.1% from the operation of 44 owned and leased senior living communities, and 1.9% from management fees arising from management services provided for 12 affiliate owned senior living communities and 16 unaffiliated senior living communities.

Ventas Transactions

Effective as of June 30, 2005, BRE/CSL entered into the Ventas Purchase Agreement with Ventas to sell the six communities owned by BRE/CSL to Ventas for approximately \$84.6 million. In addition, Ventas and the Company entered into the Ventas Lease Agreements whereby the Company agreed to lease the six communities from Ventas. Effective as of September 30, 2005, Ventas completed the purchase of the six communities from BRE/CSL and the Company began consolidating the operations of the six communities in its consolidated statement of operations under the terms of the Ventas Lease Agreements. The Ventas Lease Agreements each have an initial term of ten years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate under each of the Ventas Lease Agreements is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$1.3 million in lease acquisition costs related to the Ventas Lease Agreements. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial 10 year lease terms and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for the Ventas Lease Agreements as operating leases. The sale of the six

communities from BRE/CSL to Ventas resulted in the Company receiving cash proceeds of \$6.1 million and recording a gain of approximately \$4.2 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial 10 year lease terms.

Table of Contents

On October 18, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with Ventas to lease Georgetowne Place which Ventas acquired from a third party for approximately \$19.5 million. Georgetowne Place is a 162-unit senior living community with a capacity of 247 residents. This lease has an initial term of ten years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to conditional escalation provisions. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs related to this lease. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial 10 year lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease.

On March 31, 2006, the Company sold Towne Centre to Ventas in a sale/leaseback transaction valued at \$29.0 million. This lease was effective as of April 1, 2006 and has an initial term of nine and one-half years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.1 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease. As a result of this sale/leaseback transaction, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$12.7 million, net of closing costs, retired debt of approximately \$16.2 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$14.3 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease term.

On June 8, 2006 the Company entered into an agreement with Ventas to lease Rose Arbor which Ventas acquired from a third party for approximately \$19.1 million. Rose Arbor is a 147-unit senior living community with a capacity of 179 residents. This lease has an initial term of approximately nine and one-half years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to conditional escalation provisions. The Company incurred \$0.3 million in lease acquisition costs related to this lease. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease.

HCPI Transactions

Effective economically as of May 1, 2006, the Company sold three of its communities, Crosswood Oaks, Tesson Heights and Veranda Club to HCPI in sales / leaseback transactions valued at approximately \$54.0 million. These leases were effective economically as of May 1, 2006 and have initial terms of ten years, with two ten-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rates are 8% and are subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease terms and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for these leases as operating leases. As a result of these sale/leaseback transactions, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$23.0 million, net of closing costs, retired debt of approximately \$29.3 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$12.8 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease terms.

Effective May 31, 2006, the Company acquired seven senior living communities owned by Covenant for \$40.6 million and simultaneously sold six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction valued at approximately \$43.0 million. The six property lease was effective as of May 31, 2006 and has an initial term of ten years, with two-ten year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease. As a result of this sale/leaseback transaction, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$3.6 million, net of closing costs, and recorded a gain of approximately \$0.6 million which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease term.

Midwest Transaction

In January 2006, the Company announced the formation of Midwest, a joint venture with GE Healthcare, to acquire five senior housing communities from a third party. Midwest is owned approximately 89% by GE Healthcare and 11% by the Company. The Company paid \$2.7 million for its interest in Midwest. Midwest agreed to pay approximately \$46.9 million for the five communities. The five communities comprise 293 assisted living units with a

resident capacity of 389. Effective as of February 1, 2006, Midwest acquired four of the five communities and on March 31, 2006, Midwest closed on the fifth community. The Company manages the five acquired communities under long-term management agreements with Midwest. The Company accounts for its investment in

Table of Contents

Midwest under the equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of Midwest of \$35,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Midwest II Transaction

In August 2006, the Company announced the formation of Midwest II, a joint venture with GE Healthcare, to acquire three senior housing communities from a third party. Midwest II is owned approximately 85% by GE Healthcare and 15% by the Company. The Company paid \$1.3 million for its interest in Midwest II. Midwest II agreed to pay approximately \$38.2 million for the three communities. The three communities comprise 300 assisted living and memory care units with a resident capacity of 319. On August 11, 2006, Midwest II acquired the three senior living communities. The Company manages the three acquired communities under long-term management agreements with Midwest II. The Company accounts for its investment in Midwest II under the equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of Midwest of \$7,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Covenant Acquisitions

Effective May 31, 2006, the Company acquired the Covenant Communities for \$40.6 million and simultaneously sold six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction valued at approximately \$43.0 million. In July 2006, the remaining community, Meadow View, was sold to an unrelated party for \$2.6 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$2.4 million.

Management Agreements

The Company managed and operated the 43 communities it wholly owned or leased, 12 communities owned by joint ventures in which the Company has a minority interest and five communities owned by third parties as of September 30, 2006. For communities owned by joint ventures and third parties, the Company typically receives a management fee of 5% of gross revenue. In addition, certain of the contracts provide for supplemental incentive fees that vary by contract based upon the financial performance of the managed community.

The Company believes that the factors affecting the financial performance of communities managed under contracts with third parties do not vary substantially from the factors affecting the performance of owned communities, although there are different business risks associated with these activities.

The Company's third-party management fees are primarily based on a percentage of gross revenues. As a result, the cash flow and profitability of such contracts to the Company are more dependent on the revenues generated by such communities and less dependent on net cash flow than for owned communities. Further, the Company is not responsible for capital investments in managed communities. While the management contracts are generally terminable only for cause, in certain cases the contracts can be terminated upon the sale of a community, subject to the Company's rights to offer to purchase such community.

The Company is party to a property management agreement (the SHPII Management Agreement) with SHPII to manage the Atrium of Carmichael. The SHPII Management Agreement extends until June 2008 and provides for management fees of 5% of gross revenue plus reimbursement for costs and expenses related to the community. The Company earned \$0.1 million under the terms of the SHPII Management Agreement for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. On November 2, 2006, the Company announced that SHPII had entered into an agreement to sell the Atrium of Carmichael for approximately \$18.0 million to a healthcare REIT. The Company has agreed to lease the Atrium of Carmichael from the healthcare REIT. The lease will have an initial term of 10 years, with two 10-year renewal options and an initial lease rate of 7.75% which is subject to conditional escalation provisions. This transaction is expected to close during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, subject to customary approvals.

The Company entered into a series of property management agreements (the SHPII/CSL Management Agreements), effective as of November 30, 2004, with SHPII/CSL, which is owned 95% by SHPII and 5% by the Company, which collectively own and operate the Spring Meadows Communities. The SHPII/CSL Management Agreements extend until various dates through November 2014. The SHPII/CSL Management Agreements provide for management fees of 5% of gross revenue plus reimbursement for costs and expenses related to the Spring Meadows Communities. The Company earned \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million under the terms of the SHPII/CSL Management Agreements for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company defers 5% of its management fee income earned from SHPII/CSL. Deferred management fee income is being amortized into income over the terms of

the SHPII/CSL Management Agreements. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred income of approximately \$78,000 relating to SHPII/CSL.

Table of Contents

Effective as of August 18, 2004, the Company acquired from Covenant all of the outstanding stock of CGIM. This acquisition resulted in the Company assuming the management contracts (the CGIM Management Agreements) on 14 senior living communities with a combined resident capacity of approximately 1,800 residents. The CGIM Management Agreements expire on various dates through December 2008. The CGIM Management Agreements generally provide for management fees of 5% to 5.5% of gross revenues, subject to certain base management fees. The Company earned \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million under the terms of the CGIM Management Agreements for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Effective May 31, 2006, the Company acquired the seven communities owned by Covenant and subsequently sold six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction. In addition, the remaining community was sold to an unrelated party subsequent to the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2006. As of September 30, 2006, the Company managed six communities it owns or leases and four third party communities under the CGIM Management Agreements.

The Company entered into a series of property management agreements (the Midwest Management Agreements), effective as of February 1, 2006 with Midwest, which is currently owned approximately 89% by GE Healthcare and 11% by the Company. The Midwest Management Agreements extend through January 2011. The Midwest Management Agreements provide for management fees of 5% of gross revenue plus reimbursement for costs and expenses related to the communities. The Company earned \$0.3 million under the terms of the Midwest Management Agreements for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The Company defers 11% of its management fee income earned from Midwest and the deferred management fee income is being amortized into income over the terms of the Midwest Management Agreements. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred income of approximately \$28,000 relating to Midwest.

The Company entered into a series of property management agreements (the Midwest II Management Agreements), effective as of August 11, 2006 with Midwest II, which is currently owned approximately 85% by GE Healthcare and 15% by the Company. The Midwest II Management Agreements extend through August 2011. The Midwest II Management Agreements provide for management fees of 5% of gross revenue plus reimbursement for costs and expenses related to the communities. The Company earned \$0.1 million under the terms of the Midwest II Management Agreements for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The Company defers 15% of its management fee income earned from Midwest II and the deferred management fee income is being amortized into income over the terms of the Midwest II Management Agreements. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred income of approximately \$10,000 relating to Midwest II.

The Company was party to a series of property management agreements (the BRE/CSL Management Agreements) with BRE/CSL, which collectively owned and operated six senior living communities. The BRE/CSL Management Agreements provided for management fees of 5% of gross revenue plus reimbursement for costs and expenses related to the communities. The Company earned \$0.9 million under the terms of the BRE/CSL Management Agreements for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Effective as of September 30, 2005, Ventas acquired the six communities owned by BRE/CSL for approximately \$84.6 million and the Company entered into a series of lease agreements whereby the Company leases the six communities from Ventas.

New Accounting Standards

FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 . In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, which will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2007. This standard clarifies the accounting for income tax benefits that are uncertain in nature. Under FIN 48, a company will recognize a tax benefit in its financial statements for an uncertain tax position only if management's assessment is that its position is more likely than not (i.e., a greater than 50 percent likelihood) to be upheld on audit based only on the technical merits of the tax position. This accounting standard also provides guidance on thresholds, measurement, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition that is intended to provide better financial-statement comparability among different companies. Under the transition guidance for implementing FIN 48, any required cumulative-effect adjustment will be recorded to retained earnings as of January 1, 2007. The Company does not expect that implementation of FIN 48 will have a material effect on its results of operations or financial position.

FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements . In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS 157, which will become effective for the company on January 1, 2008. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but would apply to assets and liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value under other accounting standards. The impact, if any, to the Company from the adoption of FAS 157 in 2008 will depend on the Company's assets and liabilities at that time they are required to be measured at fair value.

Table of Contents

In September 2006, the SEC staff also issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*. While not an official rule or interpretation of the SEC, SAB 108 was issued to address the diversity in practice in quantifying misstatements from prior years and assessing their effect on current year financial statements. The Company does not anticipate any impact to the preparation of its year-end 2006 financial statements from adopting the guidance of SAB 108.

Website

The Company's internet website www.capitalsenior.com contains an Investor Relations section, which provides links to the Company's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements, Section 16 filings and amendments to those reports, which reports and filings are available free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated selected statements of income data in thousands of dollars and expressed as a percentage of total revenues.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2006 | | 2005 | |
| | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| Resident and healthcare revenue | \$ 36,501 | 98.4 | \$ 24,116 | 96.2 | \$ 101,175 | 98.1 | \$ 70,976 | 96.2 |
| Unaffiliated management service revenue | 151 | 0.4 | 408 | 1.6 | 858 | 0.8 | 1,204 | 1.6 |
| Affiliated management service revenue | 437 | 1.2 | 560 | 2.2 | 1,116 | 1.1 | 1,578 | 2.2 |
| Total revenue | 37,089 | 100.0 | 25,084 | 100.0 | 103,149 | 100.0 | 73,758 | 100.0 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below) | 23,290 | 62.8 | 16,583 | 66.1 | 65,183 | 63.2 | 48,944 | 66.4 |
| General and administrative expenses | 3,303 | 8.9 | 2,274 | 9.1 | 8,728 | 8.5 | 7,038 | 9.5 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 212 | 0.6 | 101 | 0.4 | 552 | 0.5 | 101 | 0.1 |
| Facility lease expense | 5,131 | 13.8 | | | 11,082 | 10.7 | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,672 | 7.2 | 3,157 | 12.6 | 9,643 | 9.3 | 9,438 | 12.8 |
| Total expenses | 34,608 | 93.3 | 22,115 | 88.2 | 95,188 | 92.3 | 65,521 | 88.8 |
| Income from operations | 2,481 | 6.7 | 2,969 | 11.8 | 7,961 | 7.7 | 8,237 | 11.2 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 191 | 0.5 | 38 | 0.2 | 466 | 0.5 | 95 | 0.1 |
| Interest expense | (3,511) | (9.5) | (4,827) | (19.2) | (13,151) | (12.7) | (13,578) | (18.4) |
| Gain on sale of assets | 817 | 2.2 | | | 1,714 | 1.7 | | |
| Debt restructuring: Write-off of deferred loan costs | | | | | (1,867) | (1.8) | | |
| | | | 775 | 3.1 | | | (578) | (0.8) |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|----------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Loss on treasury rate lock agreement | | | | | | | | |
| Other income | 91 | 0.2 | 134 | 0.5 | 212 | 0.2 | 368 | 0.5 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest in consolidated partnerships | 69 | 0.2 | (911) | (3.6) | (4,665) | (4.5) | (5,456) | (7.4) |
| Benefit for income taxes | | | 321 | 1.3 | 1,249 | 1.2 | 1,926 | 2.6 |
| Income (loss) before minority interest in consolidated partnership | 69 | 0.2 | (590) | (2.3) | (3,416) | (3.3) | (3,530) | (4.8) |
| Minority interest in consolidated partnership | | | 2 | | | | 3 | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 69 | 0.2 | \$ (588) | (2.3) | \$ (3,416) | (3.3) | \$ (3,527) | (4.8) |

Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2005

Revenues. Total revenues were \$37.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$25.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, representing an increase of approximately \$12.0 million or 47.9%. This increase in revenue is primarily the result of a \$12.4 million increase in resident and healthcare revenue offset by a decrease in unaffiliated management services revenue of \$0.3 million and a decrease in affiliated management services revenue of \$0.1 million. The increase in resident and healthcare revenue reflects an increase of \$5.4 million from the consolidation of the six communities previously owned by BRE/CSL that were sold to Ventas and leased back by the Company on September 30, 2005, an increase of \$1.1 million from the consolidation of Georgetowne Place which the Company leased from Ventas on October 18, 2005, an increase of \$3.0 million from the consolidation of the Covenant communities which the Company leased from HCPI on May 31, 2006, an increase of \$1.2 million from the consolidation of Rose Arbor which was leased from Ventas on June 8, 2006, and an increase in resident and healthcare revenue at the Company's other communities of \$1.7 million as a result of higher occupancy and rental rates in the current fiscal year. The decrease in unaffiliated management services revenue in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to the prior year primarily results from the expiration of third party management agreements and the sale of the seven communities owned by Covenant to the Company and the Company's subsequent sale of six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction. The decrease in affiliated management services revenue in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to the prior year primarily results from the sale

Table of Contents

of the six communities owned by BRE/CSL to Ventas partially offset by management fees earned on the five Midwest and Midwest II communities.

Expenses. Total expenses were \$34.6 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to \$22.1 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, representing an increase of \$12.5 million or 56.5%. This increase is primarily the result of a \$6.7 million increase in operating expenses, a \$1.1 million increase in general and administrative expenses (including stock-based compensation expense), a \$5.1 million increase in facility lease expense offset by a decrease of \$0.5 million in depreciation and amortization expense. The increase in operating expenses primarily results from an increase of \$3.3 million from the consolidation of the six communities previously owned by BRE/CSL, an increase of \$0.6 million from the consolidation of Georgetowne Place, an increase of \$1.8 million from the consolidation of the Covenant communities, an increase of \$0.8 million from the consolidation of Rose Arbor and an increase in operating expenses at the Company's other communities of \$0.2 million. The increase in general and administrative expenses primarily relates to an increase in administrative labor costs of \$0.1 million, an increase in professional fees of \$0.3 million, an increase in health insurance costs of \$0.5 million, an increase in stock compensation expense of \$0.1 million and an increase in other overhead costs of \$0.1 million. Facility lease expenses in fiscal 2006 result from the Company leasing 18 senior living communities. The decrease in depreciation and amortization expense primarily results from the sale / leaseback of four communities previously owned by the Company.

Other income and expense. Interest income increased to \$0.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to \$38,000 in third quarter of fiscal 2005. This increase results from the investment of cash balances and interest earned on deposits with Ventas. Interest expense decreased \$1.3 million to \$3.5 million in the third quarter of 2006 compared to \$4.8 million in the comparable period of fiscal 2005. This decrease in interest expense primarily results from lower debt outstanding during the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005, along with lower interest rates in the current fiscal year as a result of the Company's refinancings. Gain on sale of assets in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 represents the recognition of deferred gains associated with the sale / leaseback of sixteen communities of \$0.8 million. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred gains of \$30.2 million that are being recognized into income over their respective initial lease terms. During the third quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company recognized a gain of \$0.8 million as a result of the change in fair value of its treasury lock agreements. Other income in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 and 2005 relates to the Company's equity in the earnings of affiliates, which represents the Company's share of the earnings on its investments in SHPII/CSL, Midwest, Midwest II and BRE/CSL.

Benefit for income taxes. Provision for income taxes in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 was zero compared to a benefit for income taxes of \$0.3 million, or 35.3% of income before taxes, in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The effective tax rates for the third quarter of 2006 and 2005 differ from the statutory tax rates because of state income taxes and permanent tax differences. Management regularly evaluates the future realization of deferred tax assets and provides a valuation allowance, if considered necessary, based on such evaluation. At September 30, 2006 no valuation allowance was considered necessary based on this evaluation.

Minority interest. Minority interest in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 represents the minority holder's share of the gains incurred by HealthCare Properties Liquidating Trust (HCP).

Net income/loss. As a result of the foregoing factors, the Company reported net income of \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a net loss of \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Revenues. Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$103.1 million compared to \$73.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, representing an increase of approximately \$29.4 million or 39.8%. This increase in revenue is primarily the result of a \$30.2 million increase in resident and healthcare revenue offset by a decrease in unaffiliated management services revenue of \$0.3 million and a decrease in affiliated management services revenue of \$0.5 million. The increase in resident and healthcare revenue reflects an increase of \$16.4 million from the consolidation of the six communities previously owned by BRE/CSL that were sold to Ventas and leased back by the Company on September 30, 2005, an increase of \$3.1 million from the consolidation of Georgetowne Place which the Company leased from Ventas on October 18, 2005, an increase of \$4.0 million from the consolidation of the Covenant communities which the Company leased from HCPI on May 31, 2006, an increase of

\$1.5 million from the consolidation of Rose Arbor which was leased from Ventas on June 8, 2006, and an increase in resident and healthcare revenue at the Company's other communities of \$5.2 million as a result of higher occupancy and rental rates in the current fiscal year. The decrease in unaffiliated management services revenue in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to the prior year primarily results from the expiration of third party management agreements and the sale of the seven communities owned by Covenant to the Company and the Company's subsequent sale of six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction. The decrease in affiliated management services revenue in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to the comparable period in the prior year primarily results from the sale of the six communities owned by BRE/CSL to Ventas partially offset by management fees earned on the Midwest and Midwest II communities.

Table of Contents

Expenses. Total expenses in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 were \$95.2 million compared to \$65.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, representing an increase of \$29.7 million or 45.3%. This increase is primarily the result of an increase in operating expenses of \$16.2 million, an increase in general and administrative expenses (including stock-based compensation expense) of \$2.1 million, an increase in facility lease expense of \$11.1 million and an increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$0.2 million. The increase in operating expenses in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005 primarily results from an increase of \$10.0 million from the consolidation of the six communities previously owned by BRE/CSL, an increase of \$1.9 million from the consolidation of Georgetowne Place, an increase of \$2.4 million from the consolidation of the Covenant communities, an increase of \$0.9 million from the consolidation of the Rose Arbor community and an increase in operating expenses at the Company's other communities of \$1.0 million. The increase in general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005 primarily relates to an increase in administrative labor costs of \$0.5 million, an increase in professional fees of \$0.5 million, an increase in health insurance costs of \$0.5 million, an increase in stock compensation expense of \$0.4 million and an increase in other administrative costs of \$0.2 million. Facility lease expenses in fiscal 2006 result from the Company leasing 18 senior living communities. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily results from the write-off of \$0.9 million in management contract rights offset by the sale / leaseback of four communities previously owned by the Company.

Other income and expense. Interest income increased to \$0.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to \$0.1 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. This increase results from the investment of cash balances and interest earned on deposits with Ventas. Interest expense decreased \$0.4 million to \$13.2 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to \$13.6 million in the comparable period of fiscal 2005. This decrease in interest expense primarily results from lower interest rates in the current fiscal year compared to the prior year, as a result of the Company's refinancings, and by slightly lower average debt outstanding during the current fiscal year. Gain on sale of assets in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 represents the recognition of deferred gains associated with the sale / leaseback of sixteen communities of \$1.6 million and the recognition of a gain related to the sale of a portion of the Company's interest rate cap which resulted in a gain of \$0.1 million. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred gains of \$30.2 million that are being recognized into income over their respective initial lease terms. During the first nine months of fiscal 2006, the Company wrote-off deferred loan costs of \$1.6 million associated with the refinancing of the mortgage debt related to 19 of the Company's communities and \$0.3 million as a result of the sale of three communities to HCPI and one community to Ventas. During the first nine months of fiscal 2005, the Company recognized a loss of \$0.6 million as a result of the change in fair value of its treasury lock agreements. Other income in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 and 2005 relates to the Company's equity in the earnings of affiliates, which represents the Company's share of the earnings on its investments in SHPII/CSL, Midwest, Midwest II and BRE/CSL.

Provision/benefit for income taxes. Benefit for income taxes in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 was \$1.2 million or 26.8% of loss before taxes, compared to a provision for income taxes of \$1.9 million or 35.3% of income before taxes in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Management regularly evaluates the future realization of deferred tax assets and provides a valuation allowance, if considered necessary, based on such evaluation. At September 30, 2006 no valuation allowance was considered necessary based on this evaluation. The tax benefit for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 was reduced by \$0.3 million as a result of changes in Texas state income tax laws which required the Company to evaluate and record its deferred tax assets and liabilities relating to its Texas communities at their net realizable values. The effective tax rates for the first nine months of 2006 and 2005 differ from the statutory tax rates because of state income taxes and permanent tax differences.

Minority interest. Minority interest in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 represents the minority holder's share of the losses incurred by HCP.

Net loss. As a result of the foregoing factors, net loss decreased \$0.1 million to a net loss of \$3.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to a net loss of \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In addition to approximately \$27.0 million of cash balances on hand as of September 30, 2006, the Company's principal sources of liquidity are expected to be cash flows from operations, proceeds from the sale of assets, cash

flows from SHPII/CSL, Midwest and Midwest II and/or additional refinancing. The Company expects its available cash and cash flows from operations, proceeds from the sale of assets, and cash flows from SHPII/CSL, Midwest and Midwest II to be sufficient to fund its short-term working capital requirements. The Company's long-term capital requirements, primarily for acquisitions and other corporate initiatives, could be dependent on its ability to access additional funds through joint ventures and the debt and/or equity markets. The Company from time to time considers and evaluates transactions related to its portfolio including refinancings, purchases and sales, reorganizations and

Table of Contents

other transactions. There can be no assurance that the Company will continue to generate cash flows at or above current levels or that the Company will be able to obtain the capital necessary to meet the Company's short and long-term capital requirements.

On June 9, 2006, the Company refinanced \$110.0 million of mortgage debt on 15 senior living communities with Freddie Mac. As part of the refinancing the Company repaid approximately \$14.8 million of mortgage debt on the 15 communities. The new mortgage loans have a ten year term with interest rates fixed at 6.29% for the first nine years and with principal amortized over a 25-year term. At the beginning of the tenth year, the loans will convert to a floating interest rate to provide flexibility regarding financing alternatives. Each of the loans are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted with release provisions. The Company incurred \$1.9 million in deferred financing costs related to these loans, which is being amortized over ten years. In addition, the Company wrote-off \$0.9 million in deferred loan costs on the loans refinanced and paid \$0.2 million in loan exit fees to the prior lender. The loan exit fees are a component of the write-off of deferred loan costs in the accompanying statement of operations.

On June 20, 2006, the Company refinanced \$33.0 million of mortgage debt on four senior living communities with Capmark. The new mortgage loans have a three year term plus options for two one-year extensions at the Company's option with variable interest rates tied to the 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 260 basis points. Principal is being amortized over a 25-year term. The Company has an interest rate cap in place through January 2008, which limits the maximum rate on these loans to approximately 7.60%. Each of the loans are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted with release provisions. The Company incurred \$0.5 million in deferred financing costs related to these loans, which is being amortized over three years. In addition, the Company wrote-off \$14,000 in deferred loan costs on the loans refinanced and paid \$0.5 million in loan exit fees to the prior lender. The loan exit fees are a component of the write-off of deferred loan costs in the accompanying statement of operations.

The Company had net cash used in operating activities of \$7.2 million and \$0.3 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. In the first nine months of fiscal 2006, net cash used in operating activities was primarily derived from a net loss of \$3.4 million, an increase in accounts receivable of \$2.6 million, an increase in property and tax deposits of \$0.9 million, an increase in prepaid and other expenses of \$0.3 million, an increase in other assets of \$5.2 million, offset by net noncash charges of \$4.2 million, an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$0.5 million and a decrease in federal and state income taxes receivable of \$0.4 million. In the first nine months of fiscal 2005, net cash used in operating activities was primarily derived from a net loss of \$3.5 million, an increase in accounts receivable of \$0.2 million, an increase in property tax and insurance deposits of \$2.0 million, an increase in prepaid and other expenses of \$0.6 million, an increase in other assets of \$4.0 million, and an increase in federal and state income taxes receivable of \$0.8 million offset by net noncash charges of \$8.7 million, an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1.6 million and an increase in customer deposits of \$0.5 million.

The Company had net cash provided by investing activities of \$31.9 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$2.0 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. In the first nine months of fiscal 2006, net cash provided by investing activities primarily results from proceeds from the sale of assets of \$50.4 million offset by net capital expenditures of \$4.4 million, cash paid to acquire the Covenant communities of \$9.9 million and investments in limited partnerships of \$4.2 million. In the first nine months of fiscal 2005, the net cash used in investing activities was primarily the result of capital expenditures of \$1.9 million along with investment in limited partnerships.

The Company had net cash used in financing activities of \$19.6 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$0.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. For the first nine months of fiscal 2006, net cash used in financing activities was primarily derived from net repayments of notes payable of \$16.1 million, cash paid to settle interest rate lock agreements of \$1.8 million and deferred financing charges paid in connection with the refinancing of 19 communities of \$3.1 million offset by the release of restricted cash of \$1.0 million, proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$0.3 million and excess tax benefits of \$0.1 million. For the first nine months of fiscal 2005 the net cash provided by financing activities primarily results from the net issuance of notes payable of \$1.6 million, proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$0.6 million and excess tax benefits from stock options exercised of \$0.2 million offset by cash restricted under the terms of the

Company's treasury lock agreements of \$0.7 million and deferred financing costs paid relating to the Company's interest rate caps and debt refinancings of \$1.1 million.

The Company derives the benefits and bears the risks related to the communities it owns. The cash flows and profitability of owned communities depends on the operating results of such communities and are subject to certain risks of ownership, including the need for capital expenditures, financing and other risks such as those relating to environmental matters.

Table of Contents*Ventas Transactions*

Effective as of June 30, 2005, BRE/CSL entered into the Ventas Purchase Agreement with Ventas to sell the six communities owned by BRE/CSL to Ventas for approximately \$84.6 million. In addition, Ventas and the Company entered into the Ventas Lease Agreements whereby the Company agreed to lease the six communities from Ventas. Effective as of September 30, 2005, Ventas completed the purchase of the six communities from BRE/CSL and the Company began consolidating the operations of the six communities in its consolidated statement of operations under the terms of the Ventas Lease Agreements. The Ventas Lease Agreements each have an initial term of ten years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate under each of the Ventas Lease Agreements is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$1.3 million in lease acquisition costs related to the Ventas Lease Agreements. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial 10 year lease terms and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for the Ventas Lease Agreements as operating leases. The sale of the six communities from BRE/CSL to Ventas resulted in the Company receiving cash proceeds of \$6.1 million and recording a gain of approximately \$4.2 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial 10 year lease term.

On October 18, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with Ventas to lease Georgetowne Place which Ventas acquired from a third party for approximately \$19.5 million. Georgetowne Place is a 162-unit senior living community with a capacity of 247 residents. This lease has an initial term of ten years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to conditional escalation provisions. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs related to this lease. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial 10 year lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease.

On March 31, 2006, the Company sold Towne Centre to Ventas in a sale/leaseback transaction valued at \$29.0 million. This lease was effective as of April 1, 2006 and has an initial term of nine and one-half years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.1 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease. As a result of this sale/leaseback transaction the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$12.7 million, net of closing costs, retired debt of approximately \$16.2 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$14.3 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease term.

On June 8, 2006 the Company entered into an agreement with Ventas to lease Rose Arbor which Ventas acquired from a third party for approximately \$19.1 million. Rose Arbor is a 147-unit senior living community with a capacity of 179 residents. This lease has an initial term of approximately nine and one-half years, with two five-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to conditional escalation provisions. The Company incurred \$0.3 million in lease acquisition costs related to this lease. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease.

HCPI Transactions

Effective economically as of May 1, 2006, the Company sold three of its communities, Crosswood Oaks, Tesson Heights and Veranda Club to HCPI in sale / leaseback transactions valued at approximately \$54.0 million. These leases were effective economically as of May 1, 2006 and have an initial term of ten years, with two ten-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rates are 8% and are subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease terms and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for these leases as operating leases. As a result of these sale/leaseback transactions, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$23.0 million, net of closing costs, retired debt of approximately \$29.3 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$12.8 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease terms.

Effective May 31, 2006, the Company acquired seven senior living communities owned by Covenant for \$40.6 million and simultaneously sold six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction valued at approximately \$43.0 million. This six property lease was effective as of May 31, 2006 and has an initial term of ten years, with two ten-year renewal extensions available at the Company's option. The initial lease rate is 8% and is subject to certain conditional escalation clauses. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in lease acquisition costs. These deferred lease acquisition costs are being amortized over the initial lease term and are included in facility lease expense in the Company's statement of operations. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease. As a result of this sale/leaseback transaction, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$3.6 million, net

Table of Contents

of closing costs and recorded a gain of approximately \$0.6 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized in the Company's statement of operations over the initial lease term.

Midwest Transaction

In January 2006, the Company announced the formation of Midwest, a joint venture with GE Healthcare, to acquire five senior housing communities from a third party. Midwest is owned approximately 89% by GE Healthcare and 11% by the Company. The Company paid \$2.7 million for its approximate 11% interest in Midwest. Midwest agreed to pay approximately \$46.9 million for the five communities. The five communities comprise 293 assisted living units with a resident capacity of 389. Effective as of February 1, 2006, Midwest acquired four of the five communities and on March 31, 2006, Midwest closed on the fifth community. The Company manages the five acquired communities under long-term management agreements with Midwest. The Company accounts for its investment in Midwest under the equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of Midwest of \$35,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The Company defers 11% of its management fee income earned from Midwest and the deferred management fee income is being amortized into income over the term of the Company's management contracts. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had deferred income of approximately \$28,000 relating to Midwest.

Midwest II Transaction

In August 2006, the Company announced the formation of Midwest II, a joint venture with GE Healthcare, to acquire three senior housing communities from a third party. Midwest II is owned approximately 85% by GE Healthcare and 15% by the Company. The Company paid \$1.3 million for its interest in Midwest II. Midwest II agreed to pay approximately \$38.2 million for the three communities. The three communities comprise 300 assisted living and memory care units with a resident capacity of 319. On August 11, 2006, Midwest II acquired the three senior living communities. The Company manages the three acquired communities under long-term management agreements with Midwest II. The Company accounts for its investment in Midwest II under the equity method of accounting and the Company recognized earnings in the equity of Midwest of \$7,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Covenant Acquisitions

Effective May 31, 2006, the Company acquired the Covenant Communities for \$40.6 million and simultaneously sold six of the seven communities to HCPI in a sale / leaseback transaction valued at approximately \$43.0 million. In July 2006, the remaining community, Meadow View, was sold to an unrelated party for \$2.6 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$2.4 million.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The Company's primary market risk is exposure to changes in interest rates on debt instruments. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had \$204.8 million in outstanding debt comprised of various fixed and variable rate debt instruments of \$166.7 million and \$38.1 million, respectively.

Changes in interest rates would affect the fair market value of the Company's fixed rate debt instruments but would not have an impact on the Company's earnings or cash flows. Fluctuations in interest rates on the Company's variable rate debt instruments, which are tied to either LIBOR or the prime rate, would affect the Company's earnings and cash flows but would not affect the fair market value of the variable rate debt. Each percentage point change in interest rates would increase the Company's annual interest expense by approximately \$0.4 million (subject to certain interest rate caps) based on the Company's outstanding variable debt as of September 30, 2006.

Interest Rate Cap, Lock and Swap Agreements

Effective January 31, 2005, the Company entered into interest rate cap agreements with two commercial banks to reduce the impact of increases in interest rates on the Company's variable rate loans. One interest rate cap agreement effectively limits the interest rate exposure on \$100 million notional amount to a maximum LIBOR of 5%, as long as one-month LIBOR is less than 7%. If one-month LIBOR is greater than 7%, the agreement effectively limits the interest rate on the same \$100 million notional amount to a maximum LIBOR of 7%. In March 2006, the Company sold \$67 million of the notional amount of this interest rate cap and as a result received \$0.3 million in cash and recorded a gain on sale of \$0.1 million. This interest rate cap agreement is still in effect to limit interest rate exposure on \$33 million notional amount and expires on January 31, 2008. During the first nine months of fiscal 2006, the Company received \$33,000 under the terms of this interest rate cap agreement and recorded the amount received as a

reduction in interest

24

Table of Contents

expense. The second interest cap agreement effectively limited the interest rate exposure on a \$50 million notional amount to a maximum LIBOR of 5% and expired on January 31, 2006. The Company paid \$0.4 million for the interest rate caps and the costs of these agreements are being or were amortized to interest expense over the life of the agreements except for amounts written off when the notional amounts were sold.

The Company was party to interest rate lock agreements, which were used to hedge the risk that the costs of future issuance of debt may be adversely affected by changes in interest rates. Under the treasury lock agreements, the Company agreed to pay or receive an amount equal to the difference between the net present value of the cash flows for a notional principal amount of indebtedness based on the locked rate at the date when the agreement was established and the yield of a United States Government 10-Year Treasury Note on the settlement date of January 3, 2006. The notional amounts of the agreements were not exchanged. These treasury lock agreements were entered into with a major financial institution in order to minimize counterparty credit risk. The locked rates range from 7.5% to 9.1%. On December 30, 2004, the Company refinanced the underlying debt and this refinancing resulted in the interest rate lock agreements no longer qualifying as an interest rate hedge. The Company reflected the interest rate lock agreements at fair value in the Company's balance sheet (as a long-term liability, net of current portion) and related gains and losses were recognized in the statement of operations. On January 3, 2006, the Company settled the treasury lock liability by paying \$1.8 million in cash and converting the remaining balance of \$5.7 million to a five-year note. The note bears interest at LIBOR plus 250 basis points with the principal amortized on a straight-line basis over a seven year term. Prior to refinancing the underlying debt, the treasury lock agreements were reflected at fair value in the Company's balance sheet (Other long term liabilities) and the related gains or losses on these agreements were deferred in stockholders' equity (as a component of Other comprehensive income).

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms and (2) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on such evaluation, the Company's management including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are ineffective, as a result of a material weakness identified at December 31, 2005 relating to the Company's accounting for income taxes.

The Company has taken various corrective actions to remediate the material weakness noted above. These remedial actions are as follows:

- the Company has replaced its third-party income tax advisors and tax consultants and has ensured that the third-party tax service providers have the required expertise for the more complex areas of the Company's income tax accounting;

- the Company has increased the formality and rigor of controls and procedures over accounting for income taxes; and,

- the Company has allocated additional internal resources to the income tax accounting process.

Notwithstanding the existence of the material weakness noted above, management believes that the accompanying consolidated financial statements fairly present, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal quarters presented in this report on Form 10-Q.

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part 2. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

In April 2005, the Company filed a claim before the American Arbitration Association in Dallas, Texas against a former brokerage consultant and her company for (1) a declaratory judgment that it has fulfilled certain obligations to Respondents under contracts the parties had signed related to the acquisition by the Company of all the outstanding stock of CGI Management, Inc. ("CGIM"), a

Table of Contents

wholly owned subsidiary of Covenant, (2) damages resulting from alleged breach of a confidentiality provision, and (3) damages for unpaid referral fees. Respondent filed a counterclaim for causes of action including breach of contract, duress, and undue infliction of emotional distress. The claim and counterclaim have now been settled. On January 11, 2006, the Company received a demand letter from the TPCIGA for repayment of \$199,737 in worker's compensation payments allegedly made by TPCIGA on behalf of Company employees. The Company has also received other correspondence for repayment of \$45,358 on the same basis. TPCIGA's letter states that it has assumed responsibility for insureds of Reliance Insurance Company (Reliance) which was declared insolvent and ordered into liquidation in October of 2001 by the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania. Reliance had been the Company's worker's compensation carrier. TPCIGA's demand letter states that under the Texas Insurance Code, TPCIGA is entitled to seek reimbursement from an insured for sums paid on its behalf if the insured's net worth exceeds \$50 million at the end of the year immediately preceding the impaired insurer's insolvency. TPCIGA states that it pursues reimbursement of these payments from the Company pursuant to this net worth provision. The Company has requested additional information from TPCIGA to verify that the Company was indeed the employer of the individuals on whose behalf the TPCIGA has paid claims. The TPCIGA has not provided sufficient documentation at this time for the Company to be able to fully evaluate all of these claims. On July 19, 2006, the TPCIGA filed a petition in the 53rd Judicial District Court of Travis County seeking repayment of approximately \$50,000 in claims and allocated loss adjustment expenses in connection with claims payable under the Reliance policy issued to the Company as well as future payments and attorneys' fees. The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit. The Company is unable at this time to estimate the potential liability, if any, related to this claim. The Company has other pending claims not mentioned above (Other Claims) incurred in the course of its business. Most of these Other Claims are believed by management to be covered by insurance, subject to normal reservations of rights by the insurance companies and possibly subject to certain exclusions in the applicable insurance policies. Whether or not covered by insurance, these Other Claims, in the opinion of management, based on advice of legal counsel, should not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company if determined adversely to the Company.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our business involves various risks. When evaluating our business the following information should be carefully considered in conjunction with the other information contained in our periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Additional risks and uncertainties not known to us currently or that currently deem to be immaterial also may impair our business operations. If we are unable to prevent events that have a negative effect from occurring, then our business may suffer. Negative events are likely to decrease our revenue, increase our costs, make our financial results poorer and/or decrease our financial strength, and may cause our stock price to decline.

We have significant debt. Our failure to generate cash flow sufficient to cover required interest and principal payments could result in defaults of the related debt.

As of September 30, 2006, we had mortgage and other indebtedness totaling approximately \$204.8 million. We cannot assure you that we will generate cash flow from operations or receive proceeds from refinancings, other financings or the sales of assets sufficient to cover required interest, principal and, if applicable, operating lease payments. Any payment or other default could cause the applicable lender to foreclose upon the communities securing the indebtedness or, if applicable, in the case of an operating lease, could terminate the lease, with a consequent loss of income and asset value to us. Further, because some of the our mortgages contain cross-default and cross-collateralization provisions, a payment or other default by us with respect to one community could affect a significant number of our other communities.

Our failure to comply with financial covenants contained in debt instruments could result in the acceleration of the related debt.

There are various financial covenants and other restrictions in certain of our debt instruments, including provisions which:

- require us to meet specified financial tests at the parent company level, which include, but are not limited to, liquidity requirements, earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) requirements, and tangible net worth requirements;

require us to meet specified financial tests at the community level, which include, but are not limited to, occupancy requirements, debt service coverage tests, cash flow tests and net operating income requirements; and

Table of Contents

require consent for changes in control of us.

If we fail to comply with any of these requirements, then the related indebtedness could become due and payable prior to its stated maturity date. We cannot assure that we could pay this debt if it became due.

We will require additional financing and/or refinancings in the future.

Our ability to meet our long-term capital requirements, including the repayment of certain long-term debt obligations, will depend, in part, on our ability to obtain additional financing or refinancings on acceptable terms from available financing sources, including through the use of mortgage financing, joint venture arrangements, by accessing the debt and/or equity markets and possibly through operating leases or other types of financing, such as lines of credit. There can be no assurance that the financing or refinancings will be available or that, if available, it will be on terms acceptable to us. Moreover, raising additional funds through the issuance of equity securities could cause existing stockholders to experience dilution and could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Our inability to obtain additional financing or refinancings on terms acceptable to us could delay or eliminate some or all of our growth plans, necessitate the sales of assets at unfavorable prices or both, and would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our current floating rate debt, and any future floating rate debt, exposes us to rising interest rates.

We currently have indebtedness with floating interest rates. Future indebtedness and, if applicable, lease obligations may be based on floating interest rates prevailing from time to time. Therefore, increases in prevailing interest rates would increase our interest or lease payment obligations and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have significant operating lease obligations. Our failure to generate cash flows sufficient to cover these lease obligations could result in defaults under the lease agreements.

As of September 30, 2006, we leased 18 communities with lease obligations totaling approximately \$211.7 million over a 10-year period, with minimum lease obligations of \$16.0 million in fiscal 2006. We cannot assure you that we will generate cash flow from operations or receive proceeds from refinancings, other financings or the sales of assets sufficient to cover these required operating lease obligations. Any payment or other default under our leases could result in the termination of the lease, with a consequent loss of income and asset value to us. Further, because all of our leases contain cross-default provisions, a payment or other default by us with respect to one leased community could affect a significant number of our other leased communities. Certain of our leases contain various financial and other restrictive covenants, which could limit our flexibility in operating our business. Failure to maintain compliance with the lease obligations as set forth in our lease agreements could have a material adverse impact us.

We cannot assure that we will be able to effectively manage our growth.

We intend to expand our operations, directly or indirectly, through the acquisition of existing senior living communities, the expansion of some of our existing senior living communities, the development of new senior living communities and through the increase in the number of communities which we manage under management agreements. The success of our growth strategy will depend, in large part, on our ability to implement these plans and to effectively operate these communities. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, our business, results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

We cannot assure that we will be able to acquire additional senior living communities, develop new senior living communities or expand existing senior living communities.

The acquisition of existing communities or other businesses involves a number of risks. Existing communities available for acquisition frequently serve or target different markets than those presently served by us. We may also determine that renovations of acquired communities and changes in staff and operating management personnel are necessary to successfully integrate those communities or businesses into our existing operations. The costs incurred to reposition or renovate newly acquired communities may not be recovered by us. In undertaking acquisitions, we also may be adversely impacted by unforeseen liabilities attributable to the prior operators of those communities or businesses, against whom we may have little or no recourse. The success of our acquisition strategy will be determined by numerous factors, including our ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates; the competition for those acquisitions; the purchase price; the requirement to make operational or structural changes and improvements; the financial performance of the communities or businesses after acquisition; our ability to finance the acquisitions;

and our ability to integrate

Table of Contents

effectively any acquired communities or businesses into our management, information, and operating systems. We cannot assure that our acquisition of senior living communities or other businesses will be completed at the rate currently expected, if at all, or if completed, that any acquired communities or businesses will be successfully integrated into our operations.

Our ability to successfully expand existing senior living communities will depend on a number of factors, including, but not limited to, our ability to acquire suitable sites for expansion at reasonable prices; our success in obtaining necessary zoning, licensing, and other required governmental permits and authorizations; and our ability to control construction costs and accurately project completion schedules. Additionally, we anticipate that the expansion of existing senior living communities may involve a substantial commitment of capital for a period of time of two years or more until the expansions are operating and producing revenue, the consequence of which could be an adverse impact on our liquidity. We cannot assure that our expansion of existing senior living communities will be completed at the rate currently expected, if at all, or if completed, that such expansions will be profitable.

Termination of resident agreements and resident attrition could affect adversely our revenues and earnings.

State regulations governing assisted living facilities require written resident agreements with each resident. Most of these regulations also require that each resident have the right to terminate the resident agreement for any reason on reasonable notice. Consistent with these regulations, the resident agreements signed by us allow residents to terminate their agreement on 30 days' notice. Thus, we cannot contract with residents to stay for longer periods of time, unlike typical apartment leasing arrangements that involve lease agreements with specified leasing periods of up to a year or longer. If a large number of residents elected to terminate their resident agreements at or around the same time, then our revenues and earnings could be adversely affected. In addition, the advanced age of our average resident means that the resident turnover rate in our senior living facilities may be difficult to predict.

We largely rely on private pay residents. Circumstances that adversely affect the ability of the elderly to pay for our services could have a material adverse effect on us.

Approximately 95% of our total revenues from communities that we owned and managed were attributable to private pay sources and approximately 5% of our revenues from these communities were attributable to reimbursements from Medicare and Medicaid during fiscal 2005. We expect to continue to rely primarily on the ability of residents to pay for our services from their own or familial financial resources. Inflation or other circumstances that adversely affect the ability of the elderly to pay for our services could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to some particular risks related to third-party management agreements.

We currently manage five senior living communities for third parties and 12 senior living communities for joint ventures in which we have a minority interest pursuant to multi-year management agreements. The management agreements generally have initial terms of five years, subject to certain renewal rights. Under these agreements we provide management services to third party and joint venture owners to operate senior living communities and have provided, and may in the future provide, management and consulting services to third parties on market and site selection, pre-opening sales and marketing, start-up training and management services for facilities under development and construction. In most cases, either party to the agreements may terminate them upon the occurrence of an event of default caused by the other party. In addition, subject to our rights to cure deficiencies, community owners may terminate us as manager if any licenses or certificates necessary for operation are revoked, or if we have a change of control. Also, in some instances, a community owner may terminate the management agreement relating to a particular community if we are in default under other management agreements relating to other communities owned by the same community owner or its affiliates. In addition, in certain cases the community owner may terminate the agreement upon 30 days' notice to us in the event of a sale of the community. In those agreements, which are terminable in the event of a sale of the community, we have certain rights to offer to purchase the community. The termination of a significant portion of our management agreements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Performance of our obligations under our joint venture arrangements could have a material adverse effect on us.

We hold minority interests ranging from approximately 5% to 15% in several joint ventures with affiliates of Prudential and GE Healthcare. We also manage the communities owned by these joint ventures. Under the terms of

the joint venture agreements with Prudential covering four properties, we are obligated to meet certain cash flow targets and failure to meet these cash flow targets could result in termination of the management agreements. Under the terms of the joint venture agreements with GE Healthcare covering

Table of Contents

eight properties, we are obligated to meet certain net operating income targets and failure to meet these net operating income targets could result in termination of the management agreements. All of the management agreements with the joint ventures contain termination and renewal provisions. We do not control joint venture decisions covering termination or renewal. Performance of the above obligations or termination or non-renewal of the management agreements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The senior living services industry is very competitive and some competitors have substantially greater financial resources than us.

The senior living services industry is highly competitive, and we expect that all segments of the industry will become increasingly competitive in the future. We compete with other companies providing independent living, assisted living, skilled nursing, home health care and other similar services and care alternatives. We also compete with other health care businesses with respect to attracting and retaining nurses, technicians, aides and other high quality professional and non-professional employees and managers. Although we believe there is a need for senior living communities in the markets where we operate residences, we expect that competition will increase from existing competitors and new market entrants, some of whom may have substantially greater financial resources than us. In addition, some of our competitors operate on a not-for-profit basis or as charitable organizations and have the ability to finance capital expenditures on a tax-exempt basis or through the receipt of charitable contributions, neither of which are available to us. Furthermore, if the development of new senior living communities outpaces the demand for those communities in the markets in which we have senior living communities, those markets may become saturated. Regulation in the independent and assisted living industry, which represents a substantial portion of our senior living services, is not substantial. Consequently, development of new senior living communities could outpace demand. An oversupply of those communities in our markets could cause us to experience decreased occupancy, reduced operating margins and lower profitability.

We rely on the services of key executive officers and the loss of these officers or their services could have a material adverse effect on us.

We depend on the services of our executive officers for our management. The loss of some of our executive officers and the inability to attract and retain qualified management personnel could affect our ability to manage our business and could adversely effect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A significant increase in our labor costs could have a material adverse effect on us.

We compete with other providers of senior living services with respect to attracting and retaining qualified management personnel responsible for the day-to-day operations of each of our communities and skilled personnel responsible for providing resident care. A shortage of nurses or trained personnel may require us to enhance our wage and benefits package in order to compete in the hiring and retention of these personnel or to hire more expensive temporary personnel. We also will be dependent on the available labor pool of semi-skilled and unskilled employees in each of the markets in which we operate. No assurance can be given that our labor costs will not increase, or that, if they do increase, they can be matched by corresponding increases in rates charged to residents. Any significant failure by us to control our labor costs or to pass on any increased labor costs to residents through rate increases could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

There is an inherent risk of liability in the provision of personal and health care services, not all of which may be covered by insurance.

The provision of personal and health care services in the long-term care industry entails an inherent risk of liability. In recent years, participants in the long-term care industry have become subject to an increasing number of lawsuits alleging negligence or related legal theories, many of which involve large claims and result in the incurrence of significant defense costs. Moreover, senior living communities offer residents a greater degree of independence in their daily living. This increased level of independence may subject the resident and, therefore, us to risks that would be reduced in more institutionalized settings. We currently maintain insurance in amounts we believe are comparable to that maintained by other senior living companies based on the nature of the risks, our historical experience and industry standards, and we believe that this insurance coverage is adequate. However, we may become subject to claims in excess of our insurance or claims not covered by our insurance, such as claims for punitive damages, terrorism and natural disasters. A claim against us not covered by, or in excess of, our insurance could have a material

adverse effect upon us.

In addition, our insurance policies must be renewed annually. Based upon poor loss experience, insurers for the long-term care industry have become increasingly wary of liability exposure. A number of insurance carriers have stopped writing coverage to this

Table of Contents

market, and those remaining have increased premiums and deductibles substantially. Therefore, we cannot assure that we will be able to obtain liability insurance in the future or that, if that insurance is available, it will be available on acceptable economic terms.

We are subject to government regulations and compliance, some of which are burdensome and some of which may change to our detriment in the future.

Federal and state governments regulate various aspects of our business. The development and operation of senior living communities and the provision of health care services are subject to federal, state and local licensure, certification and inspection laws that regulate, among other matters, the number of licensed beds, the provision of services, the distribution of pharmaceuticals, billing practices and policies, equipment, staffing (including professional licensing), operating policies and procedures, fire prevention measures, environmental matters and compliance with building and safety codes. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could result in the denial of reimbursement, the imposition of fines, temporary suspension of admission of new residents, suspension or decertification from the Medicare program, restrictions on the ability to acquire new communities or expand existing communities and, in extreme cases, the revocation of a community's license or closure of a community. We believe that such regulation will increase in the future and we are unable to predict the content of new regulations or their effect on our business, any of which could materially adversely affect us.

Various states, including several of the states in which we currently operate, control the supply of licensed skilled nursing beds, assisted living communities and home health care agencies through certificate of need (CON) or other programs. In those states, approval is required for the construction of new health care communities, the addition of licensed beds and some capital expenditures at those communities, as well as the opening of a home health care agency. To the extent that a CON or other similar approval is required for the acquisition or construction of new communities, the expansion of the number of licensed beds, services, or existing communities, or the opening of a home health care agency, we could be adversely affected by our failure or inability to obtain that approval, changes in the standards applicable for that approval, and possible delays and expenses associated with obtaining that approval. In addition, in most states, the reduction of the number of licensed beds or the closure of a community requires the approval of the appropriate state regulatory agency and, if we were to seek to reduce the number of licensed beds at, or to close, a community, we could be adversely affected by a failure to obtain or a delay in obtaining that approval. Federal and state anti-remuneration laws, such as anti-kickback laws, govern some financial arrangements among health care providers and others who may be in a position to refer or recommend patients to those providers. These laws prohibit, among other things, some direct and indirect payments that are intended to induce the referral of patients to, the arranging for services by, or the recommending of, a particular provider of health care items or services. Federal anti-kickback laws have been broadly interpreted to apply to some contractual relationships between health care providers and sources of patient referral. Similar state laws vary, are sometimes vague, and seldom have been interpreted by courts or regulatory agencies. Violation of these laws can result in loss of licensure, civil and criminal penalties, and exclusion of health care providers or suppliers from participation in Medicare and Medicaid programs. There can be no assurance that those laws will be interpreted in a manner consistent with our practices. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, all places of public accommodation are required to meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. A number of additional federal, state and local laws exist that also may require modifications to existing and planned communities to create access to the properties by disabled persons. Although we believe that our communities are substantially in compliance with present requirements or are exempt therefrom, if required changes involve a greater expenditure than anticipated or must be made on a more accelerated basis than anticipated, additional costs would be incurred by us. Further legislation may impose additional burdens or restrictions with respect to access by disabled persons, the costs of compliance with which could be substantial.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, in conjunction with the federal regulations promulgated thereunder by the Department of Health and Human Services, has established, among other requirements, standards governing the privacy of certain protected and individually identifiable health information that is created, received or maintained by a range of covered entities. HIPAA has also established standards governing uniform health care transactions, the codes and identifiers to be used by the covered entities and standards governing

the security of certain electronic transactions conducted by covered entities. Penalties for violations can range from civil money penalties for errors and negligent acts to criminal fines and imprisonment for knowing and intentional misconduct. HIPAA is a complex set of regulations and many unanswered questions remain with respect to the manner in which HIPAA applies to businesses such as those operated by us.

Table of Contents

We may be subject to liability for environmental damages.

Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at the property, and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean up costs incurred by those parties in connection with the contamination. These laws typically impose clean-up responsibility and liability without regard to whether the owner knew of or caused the presence of the contaminants, and liability under these laws has been interpreted to be joint and several unless the harm is divisible and there is a reasonable basis for allocation of responsibility. The costs of investigation, remediation or removal of the substances may be substantial, and the presence of the substances, or the failure to properly remediate the property, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell or lease the property or to borrow using the property as collateral. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with the contamination. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances also may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of the substances at the disposal or treatment facility, whether or not the facility is owned or operated by the person. Finally, the owner of a site may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from a site. If we become subject to any of these claims the costs involved could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Not Applicable

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not Applicable

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

Not Applicable

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not Applicable

Item 6. EXHIBITS.

Exhibits:

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d- 14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d- 14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Lawrence A. Cohen pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Ralph A. Beattie pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Capital Senior Living Corporation

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Ralph A. Beattie

Ralph A. Beattie

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

(Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized

Officer)

Date: November 7, 2006