CAPITAL PROPERTIES INC /RI/ Form 10QSB

November 08, 2002

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

	FORM 10-QSB		
X 	QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended Se		
	TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 1 SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	3 OR 15(d) OF THE	
	For the transition period from	to	
Commission Fi	le Number 0-9380		
	CAPITAL PROPERTIES,	INC.	
(Exac	t name of small business issuer as	specified in its charter)	
RHOD	E ISLAND	05-0386287	
	er jurisdiction of n or organization)	IRS Employer Identification No	٠.
1	00 DEXTER ROAD, EAST PROVIDENCE, R	HODE ISLAND 02914	
	(Address of principal executive o	ffices)	
	(401) 435-7171		
	(Issuer's telephone	number)	
(Former name, last report)	former address and former fiscal	year, if changed since	
13 or 15(d) o period that t	the Issuer (1) filed all reports f the Exchange Act during the past he Issuer was required to file suc ch filing requirements for the pas	. 12 months (or for such shorter th reports), and (2) has been	
	ber of shares outstanding of each the latest practicable date:	of the Issuer's classes of comm	ıor
	r 6, 2002, the Issuer had 3,000,00 hares of Class B Common Stock outs		:k
Transitional	Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes No	Χ

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CAPITAL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET SEPTEMBER 30, 2002 (UNAUDITED)

ASSETS Properties and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation)	\$14,916,000 1,716,000 729,000 199,000 427,000 56,000 \$18,043,000
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued expenses: Property taxes	\$ 963,000 646,000 3,580,000 5,189,000
Shareholders' equity (Note 6): Class A common stock, \$.01 par; authorized 6,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 3,000,000 shares	30,000 3,000 11,795,000 1,026,000 12,854,000 \$18,043,000

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CAPITAL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF LOSS (UNAUDITED)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30		NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30	
	2002	2001	2002	
<pre>Income: Revenues: Leasing, including temporary condemnation of \$74,000</pre>				
for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 Petroleum storage facilities	\$ 689,000 403,000	\$ 618,000 389,000	\$ 2,012,000 1,339,000	\$ 1, 1,
	1,092,000	1,007,000	3,351,000	3,
Interest	3,000	12,000	36,000	
	1,095,000	1,019,000	3,387,000	3,
Expenses: Expenses applicable to: Leasing Petroleum storage facilities General and administrative	618,000 470,000 242,000	514,000 790,000 263,000	1,769,000 1,343,000 753,000	1, 1,
	1,330,000	1,567,000	3,865,000	4,
Loss before income taxes	(235,000)	(548,000)	(478,000)	(
<pre>Income tax expense (benefit): Current Deferred</pre>	(118,000) 43,000	(310,000) 172,000	(864,000) 742,000	(
	(75,000)	(138,000)	(122,000)	
Net loss	\$ (160,000)	\$ (410,000)	\$ (356,000)	\$ (
Basic loss per common share (Note 6)	\$ (.05) ======	\$ (.12) =======	\$ (.11) =======	==== \$ =
Dividends on common stock (Note 6)	\$ -0- ======	\$.03	\$.03	\$

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

-3

CAPITAL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002 AND 2001 (UNAUDITED)

	2002	20
Cash flows from operating activities: Net loss	\$ (356,000)	\$ (441,
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:	, (:::,	,
Condemnation proceeds, temporary		(74, (300,
Depreciation	314,000	324,
Deferred income taxes Other, principally net changes in receivables,	742,000	547,
prepaids, accounts payable, income taxes and accrued expenses	121,000	659,
Net cash provided by operating activities	821,000	715,
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of properties and equipment Proceeds from permanent condemnation	(173,000)	(1,486, 925,
Net cash used in investing activities	(173,000)	(561,
Cash used in financing activities, payment of		
dividends	(99,000)	(270,
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	549,000	(116,
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	1,167,000	1,609,
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	\$ 1,716,000 ======	\$ 1,493, ======
Supplemental disclosures, cash paid or received for income taxes:		
Cash paid	\$ 9,000	\$ 9,
Refunds received	\$ 724,000	\$ 434,
	========	======

See notes to consolidated financial statements

CAPITAL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002 AND 2001 (UNAUDITED)

1. Basis of presentation:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position as of September 30, 2002 and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 and the cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001.

The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

2. Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Estimates also affect the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

3. Properties and equipment:

Properties on lease or held for lease: Land and land improvements Parking garage	\$ 3,740,000 2,500,000
	6,240,000
Petroleum storage facilities: Land and land improvements Buildings and structures Tanks and equipment	5,106,000 331,000 8,978,000
	14,415,000
Office equipment	94,000 20,749,000
Less accumulated depreciation: Properties on lease or held for lease Petroleum storage facilities Office equipment	912,000 4,844,000 77,000
	5,833,000

\$14,916,000 =======

-5-

4. Description of leasing arrangements:

At September 30, 2002, the Company had entered into five long-term land leases covering five land parcels; of these leases, two will not commence until construction begins.

The Company also leases various parcels of land for outdoor advertising purposes for remaining terms of up to 24 years and for public parking purposes under short-term cancellable leases.

For those leases with scheduled rent increases, the cumulative excess of straight-line over contractual rentals (considering scheduled rent increases over the 30 to 149 year terms of the original leases) amounted to \$14,585,000 through September 30, 2002. Management has concluded that a portion of the excess of straight-line over contractual rentals (\$427,000 at September 30, 2002) is realizable when payable over the terms of the leases.

5. Petroleum storage facilities:

CURRENT OPERATIONS:

The Company and a petroleum distribution company (Petroleum Company) entered into an agreement which will expire April 30, 2004, but will continue on a year-to-year basis unless terminated by either party upon six months written notice, whereby the Company operates the entire petroleum storage facilities (Petroleum Facilities) for the Petroleum Company. The Company is responsible for labor, insurance, property taxes and other operating expenses, as well as capital improvements. The agreement further provides for annual fee increases of 4.5%. After the scheduled increase on May 1, 2002 the present monthly fee is \$118,000.

The agreement also provides that the Company will receive an additional \$.10 per barrel for every barrel in excess of 2,000,000 barrels of throughput in an agreement year (contingent revenue). For the agreement year ended April 30, 2001, throughput exceeded 2,000,000 barrels in December 2000. For the agreement year ending April 30, 2002, throughput exceeded 2,000,000 barrels in January 2002. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, the Company earned contingent revenues of \$135,000 and \$197,000, respectively.

WILKESBARRE PIER:

Wilkesbarre Pier (the Pier) is a deep-water pier in East Providence, Rhode Island, owned by the Company, which is integral to the operation of the Petroleum Facilities. The Pier and the Petroleum Facilities are connected by two petroleum pipelines. In 1995, the Company and Providence and Worcester Railroad Company (Railroad) (the then owner of the Pier) entered into an agreement which, among other provisions, gave the Company the right to acquire the Pier for \$1. The Company and Railroad have a common controlling shareholder.

Effective January 1, 1998, Railroad and a company which uses the Pier to

off-load primarily gasoline from ships to its own terminal (Oil Company) entered into an agreement (the Agreement) whereby Oil Company agreed to pay annual fees for five years (1998, \$185,000; 1999 and 2000, \$285,000; and 2001 and 2002, \$185,000). Under the terms of the Agreement, the owner of the Pier is not required to make any repairs to the Pier.

-6-

In January 1998, the Company exercised its right and acquired the Pier, and Railroad assigned its rights under the Agreement to the Company.

In May 2000, the Fire Department of the City of East Providence (Fire Department) notified the Company, Oil Company and another company then related to Oil Company (Other Company) that there was a lack of adequate fire protection at the Pier and required them to install certain equipment and facilities. The Company demanded that Other Company take steps to commence and complete the performance of all work and to supply all material required to satisfy the Fire Department. Through September 30, 2002, the Company has expended \$197,000 to satisfy some of the requirements, which amount is recorded in receivables, other on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The Company has been notified by a federal regulatory agency that additional equipment and facilities must be installed at the Pier at an additional cost of approximately \$175,000, which work commenced in October 2002 and will be completed by yearend.

In August 2000, Oil Company and Other Company (collectively Plaintiffs) filed a lawsuit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island claiming fraud on the part of Railroad and sought rescission of the Agreement and other agreements. The Company filed counterclaims against Other Company, including one for damages based on Other Company's failure to comply with the order and direction of the Fire Department as well as the failure of Other Company to comply with certain other agreements. Plaintiffs amended their complaint in June 2001 to include additional claims. Discovery in this litigation has closed. The District Court dismissed all the fraud claims. The trial on the remaining matters is scheduled for December 2002. Management does not expect the outcome of this trial to have a material adverse effect on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

In December 2001, the Company notified Oil Company that it was terminating the Agreement on December 31, 2002. However, the Company has indicated to Oil Company that it is willing to enter into a new agreement for Oil Company's use of the Pier under more favorable terms to the Company.

In connection with this litigation, the Company has incurred legal fees as follows: for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, \$74,000 and \$183,000, respectively; for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, \$219,000 and \$514,000, respectively. These amounts are included in expenses, petroleum storage facilities on the accompanying statements of loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001.

Pursuant to a Guaranty and Indemnity Agreement dated as of January 3, 1986, the Company filed a lawsuit in September 2002 against Other Company and Other Company's parent in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York seeking reimbursement for all reasonable costs incurred by the Company in defending the Wilkesbarre Pier litigation described above. The matter is in the early stages of discovery.

ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENT:

In March 2002, during testing of a monitoring well at the Petroleum Facilities, the Company's consultant sampled a groundwater monitoring well on the southeast corner of the Petroleum Facilities' property and discovered free floating phase product. Preliminary

-7-

laboratory analysis indicated that the product was gasoline, which is not a product the Company currently stores at its Petroleum Facilities. However, in the 1950's gasoline was stored on the Company's property by a predecessor owner. The Company commenced an environmental investigation and analysis, and the results indicate that the gasoline is not coming from the Company's Petroleum Facilities. The Company notified both its insurance company and the appropriate authority. The Company intends to take the necessary steps to ensure that the responsible party addresses this contamination. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002, the Company has incurred \$38,000 and \$94,000, respectively, in connection with this investigation, which amounts are included in expenses, petroleum storage facilities on the accompanying statements of loss. Further, the Company is unable to determine the costs it could incur to correct the situation as well as any costs to investigate, defend and seek reimbursement from the responsible party with respect to this contamination. This situation does not affect current operations at the Petroleum Facilities.

6. Shareholders' equity:

In December 2001, the Company amended its Articles of Incorporation to create three classes of \$.01 par value stock—Class A Common Stock, Class B Common Stock, and Excess Stock. The Company converted the then outstanding 3,000,000 shares of \$1.00 par value common shares into 3,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock. In addition, the Company issued (in the form of a stock dividend) 299,956 shares of Class B Common Stock (one share for each ten shares of Class A Common Stock held). No fractional Class B shares were issued.

The holders of the Class A and Class B Common Stock presently vote together as a single class on all matters required to be submitted to the shareholders for approval and share equally in dividends declared by the Company. The Class A Common Stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange. The Class B Common Stock is not listed on any national or regional stock exchange or on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation National Market System.

The Company accounted for the recapitalization by transferring the net amount of \$2,967,000 from common stock to capital in excess of par.

Dividends on common stock and basic loss per share on the accompanying consolidated statements of loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 have been restated to give effect to the additional shares outstanding.

7. Claim against City of Providence for attorneys fees:

In 1997, the City of Providence (the City) revalued certain of the Company's properties within the Capital Center area in downtown Providence,

Rhode Island, and reached back six years to assess over \$13,000,000 in back taxes, interest and penalties on the properties based upon a retroactive increase in the assessed values. These increases were not a part of a city-wide revaluation. The Company contended that this action by the City was both unprecedented and illegal.

-8-

In another action, the City claimed that the Company was not the owner of a certain parcel of land in the Capital Center (Disputed Parcel), which the Company purchased in 1989 from the State of Rhode Island subsequent to the State's acquiring the parcel from the City. Moreover, the City attempted to condemn the Disputed Parcel.

In July 1999, the Rhode Island Superior Court (Superior Court) ruled in favor of the Company and found (1) that both the City's new tax assessments and back taxes were illegal and void, and (2) that the Company is the rightful owner of the Disputed Parcel and that the City had no right to condemn same. The City appealed the judgments to the Rhode Island Supreme Court (Supreme Court), which denied and dismissed the City's appeal in December 1999.

After prevailing on the merits, the Company made claim against the City for attorneys fees.

In July 2000, the City filed a motion to vacate the Superior Court and Supreme Court judgments entered in favor of the Company. In October 2000, the Superior Court denied the motion to vacate and awarded the Company attorneys fees of \$258,000. The City has filed an appeal in the Supreme Court. The Court has not yet scheduled this matter for hearing. Pending the ultimate resolution of the matter, the Company is not reporting the award as income in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

8. City of Providence property taxes:

After receiving tax bills from the City of Providence for the years 1995 through 1999 and making the necessary tax payments, the Company filed appeals with the City contesting the assessed values with respect to certain of its properties.

In accordance with Rhode Island law, the City of Providence completed a city-wide revaluation of all real property for property tax assessment purposes. In March 2001, the Company received revaluation notices for each of its properties which set forth the proposed assessed values of its properties as of December 31, 2000. The proposed assessed values of the properties (other than those properties for which the tenants are responsible for tax payments) totaled \$64,300,000 as compared with the prior assessed values which totaled \$24,400,000. In management's opinion, the proposed assessed values of its properties are significantly in excess of their market values as of December 31, 2000. After a meeting between representatives of the Company and the revaluation firm retained by the City, the Company received notices indicating that the proposed assessed values had been reduced to \$53,341,000.

In August 2001, the Company received real property tax bills from the City of Providence for 2001 totaling \$1,845,000. Of this amount, \$82,000 represented the annual tax on the property condemned by Amtrak in May 2001 (see Note 9), and the Company paid to the City its share of such tax on

this condemned property (\$29,000) to the date of condemnation.

In accordance with statutory requirements, after the first tax installment of \$461,000 was paid in August 2001, the Company filed appeals with the City contesting the assessed values with respect to most of its properties. If successful, the appeals will reduce the Company's annual tax expense for 2001 to approximately \$1,105,000.

-9-

The Providence Board of Tax Assessment Review (the Board) failed to hear any of the Company's appeals until it was directed to do so by the Superior Court. The hearing was held in March 2002, and the Board denied all of the Company's appeals for the years 1995 through 1999 and 2001. The Company has appealed the decision of the Board to the Superior Court.

In August 2002, the Company received real property tax bills from the City of Providence for 2002 totaling \$1,850,000. After paying the first installment of \$463,000 in September 2002, the Company filed appeals with the City contesting the assessed values with respect to most of its properties. If successful, the appeals will reduce the Company's annual tax expense for 2002 to approximately \$1,166,000. The Board has not scheduled a hearing date for the 2002 appeals.

The Company is unable to determine to what extent, if any, the taxes may be reduced. The Company is recording and paying its property tax expense in accordance with the bills received.

9. Dispute with Amtrak:

The Company is in litigation with the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) concerning various trespasses by Amtrak. During the 1980's, the Company, State, City and Amtrak each conveyed parcels of land in Capital Center so that each party had the land it needed for its designated functions within Capital Center. As part of this arrangement, the Company was conveyed approximately 1.9 acres of air rights over Amtrak's Northeast Corridor, which rights began 19.3 feet above the top of rail. Following that conveyance, the railroad station and the Company's adjacent parking garage were constructed and partially financed by the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA).

Many of the facilities needed to service the railroad station were built within the confines of the Company's parking garage parcel, while some of the facilities needed to service the garage were located within the confines of the railroad station. Over the years, the Company did not charge Amtrak for this intrusion on its property; and over the years Amtrak assumed the cost of electricity provided to the parking garage. In 1997, Amtrak unilaterally refused to pay for the electricity, and the Company brought suit in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island seeking an order requiring Amtrak to remove its facilities from the parking garage parcel.

In the fall of 1998, as part of Amtrak's electrification of the Northeast Corridor, Amtrak erected towers and a signal bridge within the air rights (the tops of which vary in height between 27 and 42 feet above the top of rail). The Company amended its complaint against Amtrak to include the air rights trespasses.

In July 1999, Amtrak condemned a three-year temporary easement of all the

air rights owned by the Company retroactive to August 1998. In October 1999, the Company received from Amtrak \$335,000, the sum estimated by Amtrak to be just compensation for the temporary easement taken. In July 1999, Amtrak also condemned a permanent easement within a portion of the parking garage parcel upon which Amtrak had placed improvements. In October 1999, the Company received from Amtrak \$60,000, the sum estimated by Amtrak to be just compensation for the permanent easement taken.

-10-

Following the receipt of the condemnation proceeds, the trespass litigation between Amtrak and the Company and the Amtrak condemnation cases were consolidated for trial.

In May 2001, Amtrak permanently condemned the air rights and a parcel of land adjacent to the air rights (with a carrying value of \$625,000) for which the Company received from Amtrak \$925,000, the amount estimated by Amtrak to be just compensation for the air rights and property taken.

The Company believes that all the condemnation amounts paid by Amtrak are inadequate and is seeking additional compensation. In June 2001, the District Court included this condemnation suit in the consolidated case.

In October 2002, the Company agreed to pay \$92,000 to Amtrak in full settlement of all claims for electricity used in the parking garage from 1988 to 1997, which amount is included in expenses, leasing on the accompanying statements of loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002. The Company and Amtrak have also agreed to enter into a reciprocal cross easement agreement relative to all encroachments and to exchange title reports. The Company anticipates that this agreement will be executed in November 2002.

The remaining issue in the consolidated case is the adequacy of the amounts paid by Amtrak resulting from the temporary and permanent condemnation of the air rights and the permanent condemnation of the parcel of land adjacent to the air rights, which case is scheduled for trial in November 2002.

10. Income taxes:

The permanent condemnation proceeds received in 1999 qualify for deferred reinvestment for income tax reporting purposes whereby the Company may elect to reduce the income tax basis of qualifying subsequent acquisitions, subject to certain restrictions. In February 2002, the Company effected a qualifying purchase with a consolidated subsidiary which permitted it to amend its 1999 federal and state income tax returns to claim refunds totaling \$568,000 with respect to condemnation proceeds previously taxed. Through September 30, 2002, the Company received the state refund of \$117,000 plus interest of \$30,000.

Under present Rhode Island law, income tax losses cannot be carried back, and state tax loss carryforwards are limited to the amount of the federal tax loss carryforward. As of September 30, 2002, the Company does not have any federal or state loss carryforwards.

For income tax reporting purposes, the Company reported a loss for the year ended December 31, 2001. In April 2002, the Company filed a carryback claim which resulted in a refund of federal income taxes previously paid for

years 1996 through 1999 in the amount of \$607,000, all of which has been received.

The Company has remaining \$415,000 of federal income taxes paid for 1999 against which the Company can carryback future losses. For income tax reporting purposes, the Company expects to report a loss for the year ending December 31, 2002. Accordingly, the Company has recorded a federal income tax receivable of \$303,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

-11-

Deferred income taxes are recorded based upon differences between financial statement and tax carrying amounts of assets and liabilities. The tax effects of temporary differences which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities at September 30, 2002 were as follows:

11. Operating segment disclosures:

The Company operates in two segments: (1) Leasing and (2) Petroleum Storage Facilities.

The Leasing segment consists of the long-term leasing of certain of its real estate interests in downtown Providence, Rhode Island (to tenants that have constructed buildings thereon) and locations along interstate and primary highways in Rhode Island and Massachusetts (to a company which has constructed outdoor advertising boards thereon). The Company anticipates that the future development of its remaining properties will consist primarily of long-term ground leases. Pending this development, the Company is receiving option payments from tenants of those leases which will not commence until construction begins and is leasing certain parcels and an adjacent parking garage for public parking purposes under short-term cancelable leasing arrangements.

The Petroleum Storage Facilities segment consists of the operating of the Petroleum Facilities in East Providence for the Petroleum Company under a five-year agreement at a fixed monthly rate. The Agreement includes provisions to extend and additional payments based upon throughput.

The principal difference between the two segments relates to the nature of the operations. The tenants in the Leasing segment incur substantially all of the development and operating costs of the asset constructed on the Company's land, whereas the Company is responsible for the operating and maintenance expenditures as well as capital improvements at the Petroleum Facilities.

The Company makes decisions relative to the allocation of resources and evaluates performance based on income (loss) before income taxes, excluding interest and permanent condemnations and certain corporate expenses.

There are no inter-segment revenues. The Company did not incur interest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001.

The following financial information is used for making operating decisions and assessing performance of the Company's segments:

-12-

	LEASING	PETROLEUM STORAGE FACILITIES
Nine months ended September 30, 2002: Revenues: Contractual	\$ 1,682,000 59,000 300,000 (29,000)	\$ 1,204,000 135,000
Straight line lenears	\$ 2,012,000	\$ 1,339,000 =======
Property tax expense	\$ 1,401,000 	\$ 79,000
Depreciation	\$ 47,000 =====	\$ 259,000 ======
<pre>Income (loss) before income taxes</pre>	\$ 243,000 ======	\$ (4,000)
Assets	\$ 5,921,000 ======	\$ 9,905,000 ======
Additions to properties and equipment	\$ -0- ======	\$ 172,000 ======
Nine months ended September 30, 2001: Revenues: Contractual	\$ 1,701,000	\$ 1,162,000
ContingentOptionNoncash:	57,000 67,000	197,000
Condemnation, temporary Excess of contractual over straight-line rentals	74,000 (11,000)	
	\$ 1,888,000 ======	\$ 1,359,000 ======

Property tax expense	\$ 1,303,000 =======	\$ 74,000 ======
Depreciation	\$ 47,000 ======	\$ 270,000 ======
<pre>Income (loss) before income taxes</pre>	\$ 400,000 ======	\$ (491,000) ======
Assets	\$ 5,782,000 ======	\$10,105,000 ======
Properties and equipment: Additions	\$ -0-	\$ 384,000
Deletions	\$ (625,000)	\$ -0- =======

-13-

The following is a reconciliation of the segment information to the amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001:

	2002
Income: Revenues for operating segments	\$ 3,351,000
Total consolidated income	\$ 3,387,000 ======
Property tax expense: Property tax expense for operating segments Unallocated corporate property tax expense Total consolidated property tax expense	\$ 1,480,000 1,000 \$ 1,481,000
Depreciation: Depreciation for operating segments	\$ 306,000 8,000 \$ 314,000
Loss before income taxes: Income (loss) for operating segments. Gain from permanent condemnation. Interest income. Unallocated corporate expenses.	\$ 239,000 36,000 (753,000)

Total consolidated loss before income taxes	\$ (478,000) ========
Assets: Assets for operating segments Corporate cash and cash equivalents Income tax receivable Other unallocated amounts	\$15,826,000 1,428,000 729,000 60,000
Total consolidated assets	\$18,043,000 ======
Properties and equipment: Additions: Operating segments	\$ 172,000 1,000
Total consolidated additions	\$ 173,000
Deletion, operating segment and total consolidated deletion	\$ -0-

-14-

CAPITAL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT, AND PARTICULARLY THE MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND THE NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTAIN FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WHICH REPRESENT THE COMPANY'S EXPECTATIONS OR BELIEFS CONCERNING FUTURE EVENTS. THE COMPANY CAUTIONS THAT THESE STATEMENTS ARE FURTHER QUALIFIED BY IMPORTANT FACTORS THAT COULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE IN THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE FOLLOWING: THE ABILITY OF THE COMPANY TO GENERATE ADEQUATE AMOUNTS OF CASH; THE COLLECTIBILITY OF THE ACCRUED RENTAL INCOME WHEN DUE OVER THE TERMS OF THE LONG-TERM LAND LEASES; THE COMMENCEMENT OF ADDITIONAL LONG-TERM LAND LEASES; CHANGES IN ECONOMIC CONDITIONS THAT MAY AFFECT EITHER THE CURRENT OR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT ON THE COMPANY'S PARCELS; THE FINAL OUTCOME OF THE AMTRAK, OIL COMPANY AND CITY OF PROVIDENCE LITIGATIONS AND CITY OF PROVIDENCE TAX APPEALS; AND EXPOSURE TO CONTAMINATION, CLEANUP OR SIMILAR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE OPERATION OF THE PETROLEUM STORAGE FACILITIES.

1. OVERVIEW:

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) recently issued guidance for

the disclosure of "critical accounting policies." The SEC defines such policies as those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods.

The Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in its Annual Report to Shareholders. Not all of these significant accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. Management believes that the Company's revenue recognition policy for long-term leases with scheduled rent increases (leasing segment) meets the SEC definition of "critical."

Certain of the Company's long-term land leases have original terms of 30 to 149 years and contain scheduled rent increases where the future dollar increases are known at the time of the commencement of the lease.

The first such lease commenced in 1988, had an original term of 99 years and provides for fixed percentage increases at specified intervals (as well as reappraisal increases). In accordance with

-15-

the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 13 (Accounting for Leases) and certain of its interpretations, rental income related to the fixed percentage increases should be recognized on a straight-line basis. To calculate the annual straight-line amount, the 99 annual rental amounts are totaled and this total is divided by 99.

For this lease, the calculated annual straight-line amount for 1988 was eight times (multiple) the amount paid by the tenant under the terms of the lease (contractual amount). In subsequent years, as the tenant pays higher rents, the multiple gradually decreases until the 57th year of the lease, at which time the contractual amount paid by the tenant will exceed the calculated straight-line amount. If the Company were to report annual revenue for this lease using the straight-line amount, it would record a significant receivable for each of the first 56 years, which receivable would grow to approximately \$33,000,000. Management does not believe that the Company should record a receivable that would not begin to be collected for 56 years (turnaround date) since management could not be assured of collection.

In 1988, management met with the SEC accounting staff to discuss its concerns over the provisions of FAS No. 13 as they related to a lease of this length which results in the recording of such a significant receivable that would remain on the Company's balance sheet and continue to grow on an annual basis with a turnaround date so far in the future. The Company presented the SEC accounting staff with an application of the accounting policy whereby management would evaluate the collectibility of the receivable on an annual basis and report as leasing revenue only that portion of the receivable that management could conclude would be collectible. The SEC accounting staff did not object to this application by the Company.

Through September 30, 2002, this receivable has grown to approximately \$13,320,000 (cumulative excess of straight-line over contractual rentals) and management has not been able to conclude that any portion is collectible as the turnaround date is still 42 years away. Accordingly, the

Company has not reported any portion of this amount as leasing revenue in its financial statements and does not anticipate that it can reach such a conclusion until the turnaround date is closer.

By contrast, the Company's long-term lease for outdoor advertising locations had an original term of 30 years, scheduled rent increases where the future dollar increases were known at the time of the commencement of the lease, and a turnaround date in the 9th year. In this instance, management was of the opinion that the receivable was collectible due to the closeness of the turnaround date and other factors. Accordingly, the Company has recognized leasing revenue on the straight-line basis in its financial statements since the inception of the lease.

Although the Company's other long-term land leases provide for scheduled rent increases, the provisions of the leases are such that the future dollar amounts could not be calculated at the time of the commencement of the lease, as such amounts are based on factors that are not presently known, i.e., future cost-of-living adjustments or future appraised values. The Company is reporting the annual rental income under these leases using the contractual amounts in accordance with the provisions of FAS No. 13.

The audit committee of the Board of Directors concurs with the Company's application of its critical accounting policy relating to leasing revenue under long-term land leases.

-16-

SEGMENTS:

The Company operates in two segments, leasing and petroleum storage.

LEASING:

The leasing segment is principally devoted to the leasing of Company-owned land in the Capital Center Project Area (Capital Center), in downtown Providence, Rhode Island under long-term ground leases. The Company owns approximately 18 acres in the Capital Center consisting of 11 individual parcels. The Capital Center (approximately 77 acres) is the result of a development project undertaken by the State of Rhode Island, the City of Providence, the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) and the Company during the 1980's in which two rivers, the Moshassuck and the Woonasquatucket, were moved, a new railroad station (the Railroad Station) was constructed and significant public improvements were made to improve pedestrian and vehicular traffic in the area. The Company has not acted, and does not intend to act, as a developer with respect to any improvements constructed on Company-owned parcels.

The Company first began offering parcels for lease in the late 1980's. As part of the construction of the Railroad Station, the Federal Railroad Administration constructed a 330-car parking garage adjacent to the Railroad Station. The Company paid one-half of the construction cost and became sole owner of the parking garage. The parking garage is leased to an experienced parking operator (parking operator). Three other parcels have been leased by the Company under long-term leases of 99 years or more. Located on these parcels are a 13-story office building, a 225-unit luxury apartment complex and a 114,000 square foot office building for a major financial services company. Two of the remaining parcels (undeveloped parcels) are the subject of two leases, the term of each of which has not

commenced pending completion of development plans and closing of construction financing. During the interim, option payments are being made by the tenants under the leases for the undeveloped parcels. There is no assurance that either of these development projects will actually proceed. One of the leases on an undeveloped parcel will terminate December 27, 2002; however, the developer has the option to extend for another six months by making an additional option payment to the Company. Under the other lease on the other undeveloped parcel, the Company receives option payments on a month-to-month arrangement.

The Company continues to seek a developer for its remaining parcels in the Capital Center. The Company is unable to predict when these parcels will be leased. Pending future development or commencement of the leases, five of the parcels are subject to short-term leases to the parking operator.

Additionally, the Company leases certain outdoor advertising locations along interstate and primary highways in Rhode Island and Massachusetts to an outdoor advertising company. In October 2002, one billboard with one face was demolished and a new billboard with two faces was erected in a new location. There are now forty-six billboard faces leased, which lease expires in 2027. The term of the lease is extended for two years for each additional location added.

PETROLEUM STORAGE:

The Company owns a 524,500 barrel petroleum storage facility (Petroleum Facilities) located in East Providence, Rhode Island. The Petroleum Facilities utilizes the Company's deep-water pier

-17-

(Wilkesbarre Pier) and a pipeline connecting the Wilkesbarre Pier to the Petroleum Facilities. The Company operates the Petroleum Facilities under a five-year agreement with a petroleum distribution company at a fixed monthly rate. The agreement expires April 30, 2004 but will continue on a year-to-year basis unless terminated by either party upon six months written notice. The agreement includes provisions for additional payments based upon throughput in any twelve-month period beginning on May 1 of each year and ending on April 30 of the subsequent year. The Company bears all of the operating costs with respect to the Petroleum Facilities, including real estate taxes and insurance charges.

Pursuant to an agreement (Agreement) with another company (Oil Company), which affords the Oil Company the right to use the Wilkesbarre Pier, the Company receives annual payments. In 2001 and 2002, this payment is \$185,000. This Agreement expires on December 31, 2002, and the Company has elected not to extend the agreement. The Company has notified Oil Company that it is willing to enter into a new agreement on more favorable terms to the Company. There are no present negotiations and there can be no assurance that negotiations will take place, or that if they do take place that they will result in an agreement on terms more favorable to the Company. The Company is in litigation (Wilkesbarre Pier litigation) with Oil Company and a then related party over the terms of the Agreement and other agreements, and a trial is scheduled for December 2002.

In March 2002, during testing of a monitoring well at the Petroleum Facilities, the Company's consultant sampled a groundwater monitoring well on the southeast corner of the Petroleum Facilities' property and discovered free floating phase product. Preliminary laboratory analysis

indicated that the product was gasoline, which is not a product the Company currently stores at its Petroleum Facilities. However, in the 1950's gasoline was stored on the Company's property by a predecessor owner. The Company commenced an environmental investigation and analysis, and the results indicate that the gasoline is not coming from the Company's Petroleum Facilities. The Company has notified both its insurance company and the appropriate authority. The Company intends to take the necessary steps to ensure that the responsible party addresses this contamination. Through September 30, 2002, the Company has incurred \$94,000 in connection with the investigation. Further, the Company is unable to determine the costs it could incur to correct the situation as well as the costs to investigate, defend and seek reimbursement from the responsible party with respect to this contamination. This situation does not affect current operations at the Petroleum Facilities.

The Company manages its exposure to contamination, cleanup or similar costs associated with the Petroleum Facilities through adherence to established procedures for operations and equipment maintenance. In addition, the Company maintains what it believes to be adequate levels of insurance.

CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS:

As described in Note 9 of the Company's unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (which note is incorporated herein by reference), certain of the Company's property adjacent to Amtrak's Northeast Corridor in Providence, Rhode Island was condemned by Amtrak in 1999 and 2001. The Company believes that the amounts paid by Amtrak were inadequate and has made a claim for additional condemnation proceeds. The matter is scheduled to be heard in November 2002; however, the Company cannot predict what the outcome may be.

-18-

CHANGES IN CAPITAL STRUCTURE:

During 2001 the shareholders of the Company approved a change in its capital structure to create three new classes of stock, Class A Common Stock, Class B Common Stock and Excess Stock. The former common stock has been reclassified to Class A, 3,000,000 shares of which are outstanding. In addition, in December 2001, the Company issued in the form of a stock dividend one Class B share for each ten Class A shares held, resulting in the issuance of 299,956 Class B shares. The Company further amended its Articles to prohibit shareholders from acquiring more than a 5% interest in the Company and to prohibit the two shareholders who beneficially own in excess of 5% of the Company's classes of common stock from increasing their percentage ownership of common stock. The purpose of the amendment was to provide the Company with the necessary flexibility to qualify as a real estate investment trust (REIT). The Company has not decided to make an election to be taxed as a REIT and, depending on further circumstances, may never do so. In the event that the Company elects to become a REIT, the holders of Class A common stock would be entitled to elect one-third of the Company's Board of Directors, with the balance of the Directors to be elected by the owners of the Class B common stock. If the Company does not make an election to be taxed as a REIT on or before March 31, 2005, the restrictions on share ownership will lapse and the Class B common shares will automatically be converted into Class A common shares on a one for one basis.

2. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:

LEASING SEGMENT:

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002, leasing revenue increased 11% and 7%, respectively, principally due to higher option payments received on those leases which will not commence until construction begins. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, this increase was offset by the fact that the Company, unlike in 2001, did not record any temporary condemnation revenue. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002, expenses applicable to leasing increased approximately 20% from 2001 due to the settlement with Amtrak of the electricity issue in the parking garage for \$92,000, an increase in property taxes and higher professional fees.

As described in Note 8 to the Company's unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (which note is incorporated herein by reference) the Company appealed the tax increases to the Providence Board of Tax Assessment Review (the Board). In March 2002, the Board denied the Company's appeals. The Company has appealed the Board's decision to the Rhode Island Superior Court. The Company cannot predict when this case will be heard or the outcome of the case. The Company's failure to achieve relief from the City of Providence's taxes will continue to have a material adverse effect on the income derived from its leasing segment. To date, all of the Company's long-term leases of the Capital Center property which have commenced require the tenant to pay all property taxes. The Company has no reason to believe that future leases will not contain a similar requirement.

PETROLEUM STORAGE:

For the three months ended September 30, 2002, revenue from petroleum storage facilities increased 4% from 2001 resulting principally from a scheduled annual fee increase. For the nine

-19-

months ended Septemer 30, 2002, revenue from petroleum storage facilities decreased 1% from 2001 due principally to lower contingent revenues based upon throughput as a result of a warmer than normal winter in New England, offset in part by the scheduled annual fee increase. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002, expenses applicable to petroleum storage facilities decreased 40% and 27%, respectively, from 2001 principally due to lower legal fees associated with the Wilkesbarre Pier litigation and a decrease in repairs and maintenance expense. To date, the legal fees in connection with this litigation total \$999,000. Absent settlement of the Wilkesbarre Pier litigation, it is likely that the Company will continue to incur substantial legal fees.

GENERAL:

For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, interest income increased from 2001 resulting from interest received on the state income tax refund. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002, general and administrative expenses remained approximately at the 2001 level.

Under present Rhode Island law, state income tax losses cannot be carried back, and state tax loss carryforwards are limited to the amount of the federal tax loss carryforward resulting in income tax provisions that do not bear the customary relationship to income (loss) before income taxes.

LIQUIDITY:

Historically, the Company has had adequate liquidity to fund its operations.

In 1999, the Company was the recipient of substantial condemnation proceeds. In February 2002, the Company effected a qualifying purchase with a consolidated subsidiary which permitted it to amend its 1999 federal and state income tax returns to claim refunds totaling \$568,000 with respect to condemnation proceeds previously taxed. For federal income tax reporting purposes, the Company reported a loss for the year ended December 31, 2001, and in April 2002 the Company filed a carryback claim that resulted in a refund of federal income taxes previously paid for years 1996 through 1999 in the amount of \$607,000. The Company received \$749,000 of these refunds in the second quarter of 2002. The Company expects to receive the remaining refund before yearend.

The Company has remaining \$415,000 of federal income taxes paid for 1999 against which the Company can carryback future losses. The Company expects to report a loss for income tax reporting purposes for 2002 in an amount greater than its expected financial statement loss due principally to greater tax depreciation expense. At September 30, 2002, the Company has recognized a receivable of \$303,000 related to this carryback claim. The Company will not receive such amount until it files its 2002 income tax returns in 2003.

The option revenue is received under the two leases which will not commence until construction begins; one payment is received under an arrangement that expires December 27, 2002 and the other is on a month-to-month basis. The Company cannot be certain that such payments will continue.

The Agreement with the Oil Company which uses the Wilkesbarre Pier to off-load primarily gasoline from ships to its own terminal expires December 31, 2002. Under this Agreement, the

-20-

Oil Company presently pays an annual fee of \$185,000. The Company has notified Oil Company that it is terminating the Agreement on December 31, 2002. However, the Company has indicated to Oil Company that it is willing to enter into a new agreement for Oil Company's use of the Pier under more favorable terms to the Company. No discussions have occurred to date. See Note 5 to the Company's unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (which note is incorporated herein by reference).

In addition, the Company has been notified by a federal regulatory agency that additional equipment and facilities must be installed at the Pier and expects completion of the work by yearend with a cost of approximately \$175,000. See Note 5 to the Company's unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (which note is incorporated herein by reference).

In September 2002, after receiving its 2002 tax bills from the City of Providence and paying the first installment, the Company filed appeals with the City contesting the assessed values with respect to most of its properties. The Company had similarly filed appeals of its 2001 tax bills from the City of Providence, which appeals were denied by the Providence Board of Tax Assessment Review and the Company appealed the Board's decision to the Rhode Island Superior Court. The Company is recording and paying its property tax expense in accordance with the bills received. See

Note 8 to the Company's unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (which note is incorporated herein by reference).

In February 2002, the Company paid a quarterly dividend of \$99,000 to holders of Class A and Class B common stock at the rate of \$.03 per share. However, at its quarterly meetings held in April, July and October 2002, the Board of Directors elected to omit the regular quarterly dividend pending resolution of the Company's tax appeals against the City of Providence and other matters. See Note 8 to the Company's unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (which note is incorporated herein by reference). The Board will reexamine the situation each quarter to determine whether a dividend will be reinstituted. The declaration of future dividends and the amount thereof will depend on the Company's future earnings, financial factors and other events.

While the Company has been adversely impacted by the cost of the Wilkesbarre Pier litigation, and the increase in the City of Providence taxes, in management's opinion, the Company should be able to generate sufficient amounts of cash to meet all of its anticipated obligations. In the event temporary additional liquidity is required, the Company believes that a line of credit or other arrangements could be obtained by pledging some or all of its unencumbered assets as collateral.

-21-

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Based on their evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report, the undersigned officers of the Company have concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are adequate. There were no significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses, subsequent to the date of the most recent evaluation by the undersigned officers of the Company of the design and operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data.

-22-

PART II

Item 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) Index of Exhibits:
 - (3) (a) Amended Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Issuer's report on Form 8-K filed December 10, 2001).
 - (b) Restated articles of incorporation (incorporated by reference to

Exhibit 3 to the Issuer's report on Form 8A dated June 6, 1997).

- (c) By-laws, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to the Issuer's quarterly report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 1999).
- (10) Material contracts:
 - (a) Leases between Metropark, Ltd., and Issuer:
 - (i) Dated December 12, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a)(i) to the Issuer's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2001).

 - (v) Dated December 12, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a)(v) to the Issuer's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2001).
- (99.1) Certification of President pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (99.2) Certification of Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (b) For the quarter ended September 30, 2002, no reports on Form 8-K were filed.

-23-

SIGNATURE

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Issuer caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DATED: November 6, 2002 CAPITAL PROPERTIES, INC.

By /s/ Ronald P. Chrzanowski

Ronald P. Chrzanowski President

By /s/ Barbara J. Dreyer

Barbara J. Dreyer Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer

-24-

CAPITAL PROPERTIES, INC. AND CONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

- I, Ronald P. Chrzanowski, President, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-QSB of Capital Properties, Inc. and Consolidated Affiliates;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - (c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

- (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 6, 2002

-25-

- I, Barbara J. Dreyer, Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-QSB of Capital Properties, Inc. and Consolidated Affiliates;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - (c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee

of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

- (a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 6, 2002

/s/ Barbara J. Dreyer

Barbara J. Dreyer

Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer