

RLJ Lodging Trust
Form 10-K
February 27, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 001-35169

RLJ LODGING TRUST
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland 27-4706509
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
Organization)

3 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 1000
Bethesda, Maryland 20814
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(301) 280-7777
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Title of Each Class Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Shares, \$0.01 par value New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(do not check if a

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Table of Contents

The aggregate market value of the 119,017,017 common shares of beneficial interest held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$2,676,692,712 based on the closing price of \$22.49 as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for such common shares of beneficial interest on June 28, 2013.

As of February 20, 2014, 122,918,035 common shares of beneficial interest of the Registrant, \$0.01 par value per share, were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report. We expect to file our proxy statement within 120 days after December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.		Form 10-K Report Page
PART I		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>39</u>
PART II		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>67</u>
PART III		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>68</u>
PART IV		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>68</u>

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the use of the words "believe," "project," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "plan," "may," "will," "will continue," "intend," "should," "may" or similar expressions. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, beliefs and expectations, such forward-looking statements are not predictions of future events or guarantees of future performance and our actual results could differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Some factors that might cause such a difference include the following: the current global economic uncertainty, increased direct competition, changes in government regulations or accounting rules, changes in local, national and global real estate conditions, declines in the lodging industry, seasonality of the lodging industry, risks related to natural disasters, such as earthquakes and hurricanes, hostilities, including future terrorist attacks or fear of hostilities that affect travel, our ability to obtain lines of credit or permanent financing on satisfactory terms, changes in interest rates, access to capital through offerings of our common and preferred shares of beneficial interest, or debt, our ability to identify suitable acquisitions, our ability to close on identified acquisitions and integrate those businesses and inaccuracies of our accounting estimates. A discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Given these uncertainties, undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Except where the context suggests otherwise, we define certain terms in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as follows: "our company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to RLJ Lodging Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, which we refer to as "our operating partnership";

"our predecessor" collectively refers to RLJ Development, LLC, or RLJ Development, and two lodging-focused private equity funds that were sponsored and managed by RLJ Development, RLJ Lodging Fund II, L.P. (and its parallel fund), or collectively, Fund II, and RLJ Real Estate Fund III, L.P. (and its parallel fund), or collectively, Fund III, all of which were entities under the common control of Robert L. Johnson, our Executive Chairman;

"our hotels" refers to the 147 hotels owned by us as of December 31, 2013;

"our formation transactions" refers to a series of transactions in which, among other things, (1) our company was formed, (2) our operating partnership was formed, (3) each of Fund II and Fund III were merged with and into our company, with investors in each of Fund II and Fund III receiving common shares as consideration, and (4) RLJ Development contributed substantially all of its assets and liabilities to our operating partnership in exchange for units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership, or OP units;

a "compact full-service hotel" typically refers to any hotel with (1) less than 300 guestrooms and less than 12,000 square feet of meeting space or (2) more than 300 guestrooms where, unlike traditional full-service hotels, the operations focus primarily on the rental of guestrooms such that a significant majority of its total revenue is generated from room rentals rather than other sources, such as food and beverage;

a "focused-service hotel" typically refers to any hotel where the operations focus primarily on the rental of guestrooms and that offers services and amenities to a lesser extent than a typical full-service or compact full-service hotel. For example, a focused-service hotel may have a restaurant, but, unlike a restaurant in a typical full-service or compact full-service hotel, it may not offer three meals per day and may not offer room service. In addition, a focused-service hotel differs from a compact full-service hotel in that it typically has less than 2,000 square feet of meeting space, if any at all; and

"TRSs" refers to our taxable REIT subsidiaries that are wholly-owned, directly or indirectly, by our operating partnership and any disregarded subsidiaries of our TRSs.

• "Occupancy" represents the total number of hotel rooms sold in a given period divided by the total number of rooms available.

Table of Contents

"Average Daily Rate", or ADR, represents total hotel room revenues divided by total number of rooms sold in a given period.

"Revenue Per Available Room", or RevPAR, is the product of ADR and occupancy.

"RevPAR penetration index" of our hotels is the measure of each hotel's RevPAR in relation to the average RevPAR of that hotel's competitive set. Each hotel's competitive set consists of a small group of hotels in the relevant market that we and the third-party hotel management company that manages the hotel believe are comparable for purposes of benchmarking the performance of such hotel. For a more in depth discussion of Occupancy, ADR, RevPAR and RevPAR penetration index, please see "Key Indicators of Operating Performance."

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Business

Our Company

We are a self-advised and self-administered Maryland real estate investment trust, or REIT, that acquires primarily premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. We are one of the largest U.S. publicly-traded lodging REITs in terms of both number of hotels and number of rooms. Our hotels are concentrated in markets that we believe exhibit multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry. We believe focused-service and compact full-service hotels with these characteristics generate high levels of RevPAR, strong operating margins and attractive returns.

As of December 31, 2013, we, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, owned 149 properties, comprised of 147 hotels with approximately 22,300 rooms and two planned hotel conversions, located in 22 states and the District of Columbia, interests in land parcels located adjacent to certain hotels and an interest in one mortgage loan secured by a hotel. We own, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, 100% of the interests in all properties, with the exception of one property in which we own a 95% controlling interest in a joint venture.

We elected to be taxed as a REIT, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, when we filed our U.S. federal tax return for the taxable year ended December 31, 2011. Substantially all of our assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted through, our operating partnership. We are the sole general partner of our operating partnership. As of December 31, 2013, we owned, through a combination of direct and indirect interests, 99.3% of the OP units in our operating partnership.

Our Investment and Growth Strategies

Our objective is to generate strong returns for our shareholders by continuing to acquire primarily premium-branded, focused-service hotels and compact full-service hotels at prices where we believe we can generate attractive returns on investment and long-term value appreciation through proactive asset management. We intend to pursue acquisitions of these hotels and selectively dispose of properties when we believe returns have been maximized in order to redeploy capital into more accretive acquisitions and other opportunities. We intend to pursue this objective through the following investment and growth strategies:

Investment Strategies

Targeted ownership of premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. We believe that premium-branded, focused-service hotels have the potential to generate attractive returns relative to other types of hotels due to their ability to achieve RevPAR levels at or close to those generated by traditional full-service hotels, while achieving higher profit margins due to their more efficient operating model and less volatile cash flows. We also may acquire compact full-service hotels which have operating characteristics that resemble those of focused-service hotels.

Use of premium hotel brands. We believe in affiliating our hotels with premium brands owned by leading international franchisors such as Marriott, Hilton and Hyatt. Within the focused-service category, we target hotels affiliated with premium brands such as Courtyard by Marriott, Residence Inn by Marriott, Hilton Garden Inn, Homewood Suites by Hilton and Hyatt House. We believe that utilizing premium brands provides significant advantages because of their guest loyalty programs, worldwide reservation systems, effective product segmentation, global distribution and strong customer awareness.

Focus on high-growth markets. We focus on owning and acquiring hotels in markets that we believe have multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry. As a result, we believe that these hotels generate higher returns on investment.

Growth Strategies

Maximize returns from our hotels. We believe that our hotels have the potential to generate significant improvements in RevPAR and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, as a result of our proactive asset management and the ongoing economic recovery in the United States. We actively monitor and advise our third-party hotel management companies on most aspects of our hotels' operations, including property positioning, physical design, capital planning and investment, guest experience and overall strategic direction. We regularly review opportunities to invest in our hotels in an effort to enhance the quality and attractiveness of our hotels, increase

their long-term value and generate attractive returns on investment.

4

Table of Contents

Pursue a disciplined hotel acquisition strategy. We seek to acquire additional hotels at prices below replacement cost where we believe we can generate attractive returns on investment. We intend to target acquisition opportunities where we can enhance value by pursuing proactive investment strategies such as renovation, repositioning or rebranding.

Pursue a disciplined capital recycling program. We intend to continue to pursue a disciplined capital allocation strategy designed to maximize the value of our investments by selectively selling hotels that are no longer consistent with our investment strategy or whose returns appear to have been maximized. To the extent that we sell hotels, we intend to redeploy the capital into acquisition and investment opportunities that we believe will achieve higher returns.

Our Hotels**Overview**

As of December 31, 2013, we owned a high-quality portfolio of 147 hotels located in 22 states and the District of Columbia comprised of approximately 22,300 rooms. No single hotel accounted for more than 7.5% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013. We believe that the quality of our portfolio is evidenced by the RevPAR penetration index of 113.2 for our hotels for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth certain pro forma operating information for our hotels as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (excluding hotels that were not open at the end of the applicable period):

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2013	2012	2011	
Statistical Data(1)(2):				
Number of hotels	144	144	144	
Number of rooms	22,008	22,008	22,008	
Occupancy(3)	75.1	% 73.2	% 71.8	%
ADR(3)	\$ 141.25	\$ 135.29	\$ 127.30	
RevPAR(3)	\$ 106.11	\$ 99.02	\$ 91.35	

The table includes unaudited pro forma financial information that excludes discontinued operations and is not (1) necessarily indicative of what actual results of operations of the hotels would have been had we owned them for the entirety of all periods presented.

(2) Four properties were closed for renovation or conversion during the periods presented and, therefore, are not reflected in the table.

For a more detailed explanation of the terms occupancy, ADR and RevPAR and a discussion of how we use these (3) metrics to evaluate the operating performance of our business, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Key Indicators of Our Operating Performance."

Table of Contents

Brand Affiliations

Our hotels operate under strong, premium brands, with nearly 96% of our hotels operating under existing relationships with Marriott, Hilton or Hyatt. The following table sets forth the brand affiliations of our hotels as of December 31, 2013:

Brand Affiliations	Number of hotels	Percentage of total	Number of rooms	Percentage of total	
Marriott					
Courtyard	35	23.8	% 5,124	23.0	%
Fairfield Inn & Suites	13	8.8	% 1,370	6.1	%
Marriott	6	4.1	% 1,834	8.2	%
Renaissance	3	2.0	% 782	3.5	%
Residence Inn	36	24.5	% 4,043	18.1	%
SpringHill Suites	11	7.5	% 1,376	6.2	%
Subtotal	104	70.7	% 14,529	65.1	%
Hilton					
DoubleTree	2	1.4	% 916	4.1	%
Embassy Suites	6	4.1	% 1,419	6.4	%
Hampton Inn/Hampton Inn & Suites	9	6.0	% 1,115	5.0	%
Hilton	2	1.4	% 462	2.1	%
Hilton Garden Inn	10	6.8	% 1,993	8.9	%
Homewood Suites	2	1.4	% 301	1.3	%
Subtotal	31	21.1	% 6,206	27.8	%
Hyatt					
Hyatt House	6	4.1	% 828	3.7	%
Subtotal	6	4.1	% 828	3.7	%
Other Brand Affiliation	6	4.1	% 761	3.4	%
Total	147	100.0	% 22,324	100.0	%

Asset Management

We have a dedicated team of asset management professionals that proactively work with our third-party hotel management companies to maximize profitability at each of our hotels. Our asset management team monitors the performance of our hotels on a daily basis and holds frequent ownership meetings with personnel at the hotels. Our asset management team works closely with our third-party hotel management companies on key aspects of each hotel's operation, including, among others, revenue management, market positioning, cost structure, capital and operational budgeting as well as the identification of return on investment initiatives and overall business strategy. In addition, we retain approval rights on key staffing positions at many of our hotels, such as the hotel's general manager and director of sales. We believe that our strong asset management process helps to ensure that each hotel is being operated to our and our franchisors' standards, that our hotels are being adequately maintained in order to preserve the value of the asset and the safety of the hotel to customers, and that our hotel management companies are maximizing revenue and enhancing operating margins.

Competition

The U.S. lodging industry is highly competitive. Our hotels compete with other hotels for guests in each of their markets on the basis of several factors, including, among others, location, quality of accommodations, convenience, brand affiliation, room rates, service levels and amenities, and level of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual markets in which our hotels are located and includes competition from existing and new hotels operated under premium brands in the focused-service and compact full-service segments. We believe that hotels, such as our hotels, that are affiliated with leading national brands, such as the Marriott, Hilton or Hyatt brands, will enjoy the competitive advantages associated with operating under such brands. Increased competition could harm our occupancy and revenues and may require us to provide additional amenities or make capital improvements that we

otherwise would not have to make, which may materially and adversely affect our operating results and liquidity.

6

Table of Contents

We face competition for the acquisition of hotels from institutional pension funds, private equity funds, REITs, hotel companies and others who are engaged in the acquisition of hotels. Some of these competitors may have substantially greater financial and operational resources and access to capital than we have and may have greater knowledge of the markets in which we seek to invest. This competition may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us and decrease the attractiveness of the terms on which we may acquire our targeted hotel investments, including the cost thereof.

Seasonality

The lodging industry is seasonal in nature, which can be expected to cause quarterly fluctuations in our revenues. Our quarterly earnings may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, including weather conditions and poor economic factors in certain markets in which we operate. For example, our hotels in the Chicago, Illinois metropolitan area experience lower revenues and profits during the winter months of December through March while our hotels in Florida generally have higher revenues in the months of January through April. This seasonality can be expected to cause periodic fluctuations in a hotel's room revenues, occupancy levels, room rates, operating expenses and cash flows.

Our Financing Strategy

We expect to continue to maintain a prudent capital structure by limiting our net debt-to-EBITDA to a ratio of 5.0x or below. We define net debt as total indebtedness minus cash and cash equivalents. Over time, we intend to finance our long-term growth with equity issuances and debt financing having staggered maturities. We will seek to primarily utilize unsecured debt (with the ultimate goal of achieving an investment grade credit rating) and a greater percentage of fixed rate and hedged floating rate debt relative to unhedged floating rate debt. Our debt currently is comprised of both unsecured debt and mortgage debt secured by our hotels. We have a mix of fixed and floating rate debt; however, the majority of our debt either bears interest at fixed rates or effectively bears interest at fixed rates due to interest rate hedges on the debt.

Our Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$559.7 million of outstanding mortgage debt and \$850.0 million in outstanding unsecured term loans. In addition, we, through our operating partnership, have a four-year, \$300.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility to fund future acquisitions, as well as for hotel redevelopments, capital expenditures and general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2013, zero was drawn on our unsecured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our indebtedness, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Our Outstanding Mortgage Indebtedness."

Organizational Structure

We were formed as a Maryland real estate investment trust in January 2011. We conduct our business through a traditional umbrella partnership real estate investment trust, or UPREIT, in which our properties are indirectly owned by our operating partnership, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., through limited partnerships, limited liability companies or other subsidiaries. We are the sole general partner of our operating partnership, and as of December 31, 2013, we owned 99.3% of the OP units in our operating partnership. In the future, we may issue OP units from time to time in connection with acquisitions of properties or for financing, compensation or other reasons.

In order for the income from our hotel operations to constitute "rents from real property" for purposes of the gross income tests required for REIT qualification, we cannot directly or indirectly operate any of our hotels. Accordingly, we lease each of our hotels, and intend to lease any hotels we acquire in the future, to subsidiaries of our TRSs, or TRS lessees, which are wholly-owned by us, and our TRS lessees have engaged, or will engage, third-party hotel management companies to manage our hotels, and any hotels we acquire in the future, on market terms. Our TRS lessees pay rent to us that we intend to treat as "rents from real property," provided that the third-party hotel management companies engaged by our TRS lessees to manage our hotels are deemed to be "eligible independent contractors" and certain other requirements are met. Our TRSs are subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes applicable to corporations.

Table of Contents

The following chart generally depicts our corporate structure as of December 31, 2013:

Reflects OP units issued to RLJ Development, an entity in which each of Messrs. Johnson, Baltimore and Bierkan (1) hold an equity interest, as consideration for substantially all of RLJ Development's assets and liabilities, which were contributed to us in connection with our formation transactions.

Regulation

General

Our hotels are subject to various U.S. federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas and fire and safety requirements. We believe that each of our hotels has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans with Disabilities Act

Our hotels must comply with applicable provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or ADA, to the extent that such hotels are "public accommodations" as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our hotels where such removal is readily achievable. We believe that our hotels are in substantial compliance with the ADA and that we will not be required to make substantial capital expenditures to address the requirements of the ADA. However, non-compliance with the ADA could result in imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our hotels and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

Under various laws relating to the protection of the environment, a current or previous owner or operator (including tenants) of real estate may be subject to liability related to contamination resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances at that property and may be required to investigate and clean up such contamination at that property or emanating from that property. These costs could be substantial and liability under these laws may attach without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the contaminants, and the liability may be joint and several. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our hotels may expose us to third-party liability for cleanup costs, property damage or bodily injury, natural resource damages and costs or expenses related to liens or property use restrictions and materially and adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop the real estate or to incur debt using the real estate as collateral. Furthermore, persons who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an incinerator, may be liable for costs associated with cleanup of that facility.

Our hotels are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that address a wide variety of issues, including, but not limited to, storage tanks, air emissions from emergency generators, storm water and wastewater discharges, lead-based paint, mold and mildew and waste management. Our hotels incur costs to comply with these laws and regulations and could be subject to fines and penalties for non-compliance. The costs of complying with environmental, health and safety laws could increase as new laws are enacted and existing laws are modified.

Some of our hotels contain asbestos-containing building materials. We believe that the asbestos is appropriately contained, in accordance with current environmental regulations and that we have no need for any immediate remediation or current plans to remove the asbestos. Environmental laws require that owners or operators of buildings with asbestos-containing building materials properly manage and maintain these materials, adequately inform or train those who may come into contact with asbestos and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, in the event that asbestos is disturbed during building renovation or demolition. These laws may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators for failure to comply with these requirements. In addition, third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos-containing building materials.

Some of our hotels may contain or develop harmful mold or suffer from other adverse conditions, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediation. The presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our hotels could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected hotel or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from guests or employees at our hotels and others if property damage or health concerns arise.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive general liability, fire, extended coverage, business interruption, rental loss coverage and umbrella liability coverage on all of our hotels and earthquake, wind, flood and hurricane coverage on hotels in areas where we believe such coverage is warranted, in each case with limits of liability that we deem adequate. Similarly, we are insured against the risk of direct physical damage in amounts we believe to be adequate to reimburse us, on a replacement basis, for costs incurred to repair or rebuild each hotel, including loss of rental income during the reconstruction period. We have selected policy specifications and insured limits which we believe to be appropriate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. We do not carry insurance for generally uninsured losses, including, but not limited to losses caused by riots, war or acts of God. In the opinion of our management, our hotels are adequately insured.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had 53 employees.

Corporate Information

Our principal executive offices are located at 3 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 1000, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. Our telephone number is (301) 280-7777. Our website is located at www.rljlodgingtrust.com. The information that is found on or accessible through our website is not incorporated into, and does not form a part of, this Annual Report on

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Form 10-K or any other report or document that we file with or furnish to the SEC. We have included our website address in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as an inactive textual reference and do not intend it to be an active link to our website.

We make available on our website, free of charge, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. We

Table of Contents

also make our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for our trustees, officers and employees available on our website on the Corporate Governance page under the Investor Relations section of our website.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other reports filed with the SEC can be read or copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC; the website address is www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Set forth below are the risks that we believe are material to our shareholders. You should carefully consider the following risks in evaluating our Company and our business. The occurrence of any of the following risks could materially adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the market price of our common shares and our ability to, among other things, satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our shareholders, which in turn could cause our shareholders to lose all or a part of their investment. Some statements in this report including statements in the following risk factors constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled "Special Note About Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Our Business and Properties

We will continue to be significantly influenced by the economies and other conditions in the specific markets in which we operate, particularly in the metropolitan areas where we have high concentrations of hotels.

Our hotels located in the New York, New York, Chicago, Illinois, Austin, Texas, Denver-Boulder, Colorado, Louisville, Kentucky, and the Baltimore, Maryland-Washington, D.C. metropolitan areas accounted for approximately 15.8%, 10.9%, 10.2%, 8.2%, 6.0%, and 5.5%, respectively, of our total revenue for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. As a result, we are particularly susceptible to adverse market conditions in these areas, including industry downturns, relocation of businesses and any oversupply of hotel rooms or a reduction in lodging demand. Adverse economic developments in the markets in which we have a concentration of hotels, or in any of the other markets in which we operate, or any increase in hotel supply or decrease in lodging demand resulting from the local, regional or national business climate, could materially and adversely affect us.

We are dependent on the performance of the third-party hotel management companies that manage the operations of each of our hotels and could be materially and adversely affected if such third-party managers do not manage our hotels in our best interests.

Because federal income tax laws restrict REITs and their subsidiaries from operating or managing hotels, we do not operate or manage our hotels. Instead, we lease all of our hotels to subsidiaries of our TRSs, and our TRS lessees retain third-party managers to operate our hotels pursuant to management agreements. We have entered into individual hotel management agreements for 146 of our hotels, 106 of which are with White Lodging Services, or WLS. We could be materially and adversely affected if any of our third-party managers fail to provide quality services and amenities, fail to maintain a quality brand name or otherwise fail to manage our hotels in our best interest. In addition, from time to time, disputes may arise between us and our third-party managers regarding their performance or compliance with the terms of the hotel management agreements, which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations. We generally will attempt to resolve any such disputes through discussions and negotiations; however, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we may choose to terminate our management agreement, litigate the dispute or submit the matter to third-party dispute resolution, the outcome of which may be unfavorable to us.

Under the terms of the hotel management agreements, our ability to participate in operating decisions regarding our hotels is limited to certain matters, including approval of the annual operating budget, and we do not have the authority to require any hotel to be operated in a particular manner (for instance, setting room rates). While our TRS lessees closely monitor the performance of our third-party managers, our general recourse under the hotel management agreements is limited to termination upon sixty days' notice if we believe our third-party managers are not performing adequately. For example, we have a right to terminate a management agreement with WLS, our largest provider of management services, if WLS fails to achieve certain hotel performance criteria measured over any two consecutive fiscal years, as outlined in each WLS management agreement. However, even if WLS fails to perform under the terms of a management agreement, it has the option (exercisable a maximum of three times per hotel) to avoid a performance termination by paying a performance deficit fee as specified in the management agreement. In the event that we terminate any of our management agreements, we can provide no assurances that we could find a replacement manager or that our franchisors will consent to a replacement manager in a timely manner, or at all, or that any replacement manager will be successful in operating our hotels. Furthermore, if WLS, as our largest provider of management services, is financially unable or unwilling to perform its obligations pursuant to our management

agreements, our ability to find a replacement manager or managers for our WLS-managed hotels could be challenging and time consuming, depending on the number of WLS-managed hotels affected, and could cause us to incur significant costs to obtain new management agreements for the affected hotels. Accordingly, if we lose a significant number of our WLS management agreements, we could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, many of our existing franchise agreements provide the franchisor with a right of first offer in the event of certain sales or transfers of a hotel and provide that the franchisor has the right to approve any

Table of Contents

change in the hotel management company engaged to manage the hotel. If any of the foregoing were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

Restrictive covenants in certain of our hotel management and franchise agreements contain provisions limiting or restricting the sale or financing of our hotels, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Hotel management and franchise agreements typically contain restrictive covenants that limit or restrict our ability to sell or refinance a hotel without the consent of the hotel management company or franchisor. Many of our franchise agreements provide the franchisor with a right of first offer in the event of certain sales or transfers of a hotel and provide that the franchisor has the right to approve any change in the hotel management company engaged to manage the hotel. Generally, we may not agree to sell, lease or otherwise transfer particular hotels unless the transferee is not a competitor of the hotel management company or franchisor and the transferee assumes the related hotel management and franchise agreements. For example, substantially all of our management agreements with WLS provide that any sale of a hotel to a purchaser who does not meet all of the requirements under the applicable franchise agreement associated with such hotel must be first approved by WLS. If the hotel management company or franchisor does not consent to the sale or financing of our hotels, we may be prohibited from taking actions that would otherwise be in our and our shareholders' best interests.

Substantially all of our hotels operate under either Marriott or Hilton brands; therefore, we are subject to risks associated with concentrating our portfolio in just two brand families.

135 of the 147 hotels that we owned as of December 31, 2013 utilize brands owned by Marriott or Hilton. As a result, our success is dependent in part on the continued success of Marriott and Hilton and their respective brands. We believe that building brand value is critical to increase demand and build customer loyalty. Consequently, if market recognition or the positive perception of Marriott and/or Hilton is reduced or compromised, the goodwill associated with the Marriott- and Hilton-branded hotels in our portfolio may be adversely affected. Furthermore, if our relationship with Marriott or Hilton were to deteriorate or terminate as a result of disputes regarding the management of our hotels or for other reasons, Marriott and/or Hilton could, under certain circumstances, terminate our current franchise licenses with them or decline to provide franchise licenses for hotels that we may acquire in the future. If any of the foregoing were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our long-term growth depends in part on successfully identifying and consummating acquisitions of additional hotels and the failure to make such acquisitions could materially impede our growth.

We can provide no assurances that we will be successful in identifying attractive hotels or that, once identified, we will be successful in consummating an acquisition. We face significant competition for attractive investment opportunities from other well-capitalized investors, some of which have greater financial resources and a greater access to debt and equity capital to acquire hotels than we do. This competition increases as investments in real estate become increasingly attractive relative to other forms of investment. As a result of such competition, we may be unable to acquire certain hotels that we deem attractive or the purchase price may be significantly elevated or other terms may be substantially more onerous. In addition, we expect to finance future acquisitions through a combination of borrowings under our unsecured revolving credit facility, the use of retained cash flows, and offerings of equity and debt securities, which may not be available on advantageous terms, or at all. Any delay or failure on our part to identify, negotiate, finance on favorable terms, consummate and integrate such acquisitions could materially impede our growth.

The departure of any of our key personnel who have significant experience and relationships in the lodging industry, including Robert L. Johnson, Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr. and Ross H. Bierkan, could materially and adversely affect us. We depend on the experience and relationships of our senior management team, especially Robert L. Johnson, Executive Chairman of our board of trustees, Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr., our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of our board of trustees, and Ross H. Bierkan, our Chief Investment Officer, to manage our day-to-day operations and strategic business direction. Messrs. Johnson, Baltimore and Bierkan have 20, 25 and 28 years of experience in the lodging industry, respectively, during which time they have established an extensive network of lodging industry contacts and relationships, including relationships with global and national hotel brands, hotel owners, financiers, operators, commercial real estate brokers, developers and management companies. We can provide no assurances that any of our key personnel will continue their employment with us, even though all of the members

of our senior management team have entered into employment agreements with us. The loss of services of Messrs. Johnson, Baltimore or Bierkan, or of the services of other members of our senior management team, or any difficulty attracting and retaining other talented and experienced personnel, could adversely affect our ability to source potential investment opportunities, our relationship with global and national hotel brands and other industry participants and the execution of our business strategy. Further, such a loss could be negatively perceived in the capital markets, which could reduce the market value of our common shares.

Table of Contents

Our business strategy depends on achieving revenue and net income growth from anticipated increases in demand for hotel rooms. Accordingly, any delay or a weaker than anticipated economic recovery could materially and adversely affect us and our growth prospects.

Our hotels experienced declining operating performance across various U.S. markets during the most recent economic recession. Our business strategy depends on achieving revenue and net income growth from anticipated improvement in demand for hotel rooms as part of the continued economic recovery. As a result, any delay or a weaker than anticipated continued economic recovery could materially and adversely affect us and our growth prospects.

Furthermore, even if the economy continues to recover, we cannot provide any assurances that demand for hotel rooms will increase from current levels. If demand does not increase in the near future, or if demand weakens, our future results of operations and our growth prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

The ongoing need for capital expenditures at our hotels could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovations and other capital improvements, including replacements, from time to time, of furniture, fixtures and equipment, or FF&E. The franchisors of our hotels also require periodic capital improvements as a condition of maintaining the franchise licenses. In addition, our lenders will likely require that we set aside annual amounts for capital improvements to our hotels. The costs of these capital improvements could materially and adversely affect us.

Any difficulties in obtaining capital necessary to make required periodic capital expenditures and renovation of our hotels could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our hotels require periodic capital expenditures and renovation to remain competitive. In addition, acquisitions or redevelopment of additional hotels will require significant capital expenditures. We may not be able to fund capital improvements on our hotels or acquisitions of new hotels solely from cash provided from our operating activities because we must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain, to maintain our qualification as a REIT, and we are subject to tax on any retained income and gain. As a result, our ability to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions or hotel redevelopment through retained earnings is very limited. Consequently, we expect to rely upon the availability of debt or equity capital to fund capital improvements and acquisitions. If we are unable to obtain the capital necessary to make required periodic capital expenditures and renovate our hotels on favorable terms, or at all, our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Adverse global market and economic conditions and dislocations in the markets could cause us to recognize impairment charges, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances, including those resulting from the recent economic downturn that could indicate that the carrying value of the real estate and related intangible assets in which we have an ownership interest may not be recoverable. When circumstances indicate that the carrying value of real estate and related intangible assets may not be recoverable, we assess the recoverability of these assets by determining whether the carrying value will be recovered through the undiscounted future operating cash flows expected from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. In the event that such expected undiscounted future cash flows do not exceed the carrying value, we adjust the real estate and related intangible assets to the fair value and recognize an impairment loss. Because our predecessor acquired many of our hotels when prices for hotels in many markets were at or near their peaks, we may be particularly susceptible to future non-cash impairment charges as compared to companies that have carrying values well below current market values, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Projections of expected future cash flows require management to make assumptions to estimate future occupancy, hotel operating expenses, and the number of years the hotel is held for investment, among other factors. The subjectivity of assumptions used in the future cash flow analysis, including discount rates, could result in an incorrect assessment of the hotel's fair value and, therefore, could result in the misstatement of the carrying value of our real estate and related intangible assets on our balance sheet and our results of operations. Ongoing adverse market and economic conditions and market volatility will likely continue to make it difficult to value the hotels owned by us, as well as the value of our intangible assets. As a result of adverse market and economic conditions, there may be

significant uncertainty in the valuation, or in the stability of, the cash flows, discount rates and other factors related to such assets that could result in a substantial decrease in their value.

Table of Contents

Competition from other hotels in the markets in which we operate could adversely affect occupancy levels and/or ADRs, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We face significant competition from owners and operators of other hotels. These competitors may have an operating model that enables them to offer rooms at lower rates than we can, which could result in those competitors increasing their occupancy at our expense and adversely affecting our ADRs. Given the importance of occupancy and ADR at focused-service and compact full-service hotels, this competition could adversely affect our ability to attract prospective guests, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

The RevPAR penetration index may not accurately reflect our hotels' respective market shares.

We use the RevPAR penetration index, which measures a hotel's RevPAR in relation to the average RevPAR of that hotel's competitive set, as an indicator of a hotel's market share in relation to its competitive set. However, as a particular hotel's competitive set is selected by us and the hotel management company that manages such hotel, no assurance can be given that a competitive set consisting of different hotels would not lead to a more accurate measure of such hotel's market share. As such, the RevPAR penetration index may not accurately reflect our hotels' respective market shares.

At December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.4 billion of debt outstanding, which may materially and adversely affect our operating performance and put us at a competitive disadvantage.

Required repayments of debt and related interest may materially and adversely affect our operating performance. At December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.4 billion of outstanding debt, approximately \$1.2 billion of which bears interest at variable rates. Increases in interest rates on our existing or future variable rate debt would increase our interest expense, which could adversely affect our cash flows and our ability to pay distributions to shareholders.

Because we anticipate that our internally generated cash will be adequate to repay only a portion of our debt at maturity, we expect that we will be required to repay debt through debt refinancings and/or offerings of our securities. The amount of our outstanding debt may adversely affect our ability to refinance our debt.

If we are unable to refinance our debt on acceptable terms, or at all, we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our hotels on disadvantageous terms, which may result in losses to us and may adversely affect cash available for distributions to our shareholders. In addition, if then-prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of refinancing result in higher interest rates upon refinancing, our interest expense would increase, which would adversely affect our future operating results and liquidity.

Our substantial outstanding debt may harm our business, financial condition, liquidity, EBITDA, Funds from Operations, or FFO, and results of operations, including:

- requiring us to use a substantial portion of our cash flows to pay principal and interest, which would reduce the cash available for distributions to our shareholders;
- placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt;
- making us vulnerable to the ongoing economic recovery, particularly if the recovery were to slow or stall and reduce our flexibility to respond to difficult economic conditions; and
- limiting our ability to borrow more money for operations, capital or to finance future acquisitions.

The use of debt to finance future acquisitions could restrict operations, inhibit our ability to grow our business and revenues, and negatively affect our business and financial results.

We may incur additional debt in connection with future hotel acquisitions. We may, in some instances, borrow under our unsecured revolving credit facility or borrow new funds to acquire hotels. In addition, we may incur mortgage debt by obtaining loans secured by a portfolio of some or all of the hotels that we own or acquire. If necessary or advisable, we also may borrow funds to make distributions to our shareholders in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. To the extent that we incur debt in the future and do not have sufficient funds to repay such debt at maturity, it may be necessary to refinance the debt through debt or equity financings, which may not be available on acceptable terms or at all and which could be dilutive to our shareholders. If we are unable to refinance our debt on acceptable terms or at all, we may be forced to dispose of hotels at inopportune times or on disadvantageous terms, which could result in losses. To the extent we cannot meet our future debt service obligations, we will risk losing to foreclosure some or all of our hotels that may be pledged to secure our obligations.

For tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our hotels would be treated as a sale of the hotel for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage

Table of Contents

exceeds our tax basis in the hotel, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but we would not receive any cash proceeds, which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. In addition, we may give full or partial guarantees to lenders of mortgage debt on behalf of the entities that own our hotels. When we give a guarantee on behalf of an entity that owns one of our hotels, we will be responsible to the lender for satisfaction of the debt if it is not paid by such entity. If any of our hotels are foreclosed on due to a default, our ability to pay cash distributions to our shareholders will be limited. Our organizational documents have no limitation on the amount of indebtedness we may incur. As a result, we may become highly leveraged in the future, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our business strategy contemplates the use of both non-recourse secured and unsecured debt to finance long-term growth. In addition, our organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of debt that we may incur, and our board of trustees may change our financing policy at any time without shareholder notice or approval. As a result, we may be able to incur substantial additional debt, including secured debt, in the future. Incurring debt could subject us to many risks, including the risks that:

- our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest;
- our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;
- we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing cash available for distribution to our shareholders, funds available for operations and capital expenditures, future business opportunities or other purposes;
- the terms of any refinancing may not be in the same amount or on terms as favorable as the terms of the existing debt being refinanced; and
- the use of leverage could adversely affect our ability to raise capital from other sources or to make distributions to our shareholders and could adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

If we violate covenants in future agreements relating to indebtedness that we may incur, we could be required to repay all or a portion of our indebtedness before maturity at a time when we might be unable to arrange financing for such repayment on attractive terms, if at all. In addition, future indebtedness agreements may require that we meet certain covenant tests in order to make distributions to our shareholders.

Disruptions in the financial markets could adversely affect our ability to obtain sufficient third-party financing for our capital needs, including expansion, acquisition and other activities, on favorable terms or at all, which could materially and adversely affect us.

In recent years, the U.S. stock and credit markets have experienced significant price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, which have caused market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially and the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably. These circumstances have materially impacted liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in some cases have resulted in the unavailability of financing, even for companies which otherwise are qualified to obtain financing. In addition, several banks and other institutions that historically have been reliable sources of financing have gone out of business, which has reduced significantly the number of lending institutions and the availability of credit. Continued volatility and uncertainty in the stock and credit markets may negatively impact our ability to access additional financing for our capital needs, including expansion, acquisition activities and other purposes, on favorable terms or at all, which may negatively affect our business. Additionally, due to this uncertainty, we may in the future be unable to refinance or extend our debt, or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of our existing debt. If we are not successful in refinancing our debt when it becomes due, we may be forced to dispose of hotels on disadvantageous terms, which might adversely affect our ability to service other debt and to meet our other obligations. A prolonged downturn in the financial markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing and may require us to further adjust our business plan accordingly. These events also may make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of new equity capital or the incurrence of additional secured or unsecured debt, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Hedging against interest rate exposure may adversely affect us.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we may manage our exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements, such as cap agreements and swap agreements. These agreements involve the risks

that these arrangements may fail to protect or adversely affect us because, among other things:
• interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates;

15

Table of Contents

available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought; the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability; the credit quality of the hedging counterparty owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and the hedging counterparty owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.

As a result of any of the foregoing, our hedging transactions, which are intended to limit losses, could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our failure to comply with all covenants in our existing or future debt agreements could materially and adversely affect us.

The mortgages on our hotels, and hotels that we may acquire in the future likely will, contain customary covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable hotel or to discontinue insurance coverage. In addition, our continued ability to borrow under our unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to compliance with our financial and other covenants, including covenants relating to debt service coverage ratios and leverage ratios, and our ability to meet these covenants will be adversely affected if U.S. lodging fundamentals do not continue to improve to the extent that we expect. In addition, any credit facility or secured loans that we enter into in the future likely will contain customary financial covenants, restrictions, requirements and other limitations with which we must comply. Our failure to comply with covenants in our existing or future indebtedness, as well as our inability to make required payments, could cause a default under the applicable debt agreement, which could result in the acceleration of the debt and require us to repay such debt with capital obtained from other sources, which may not be available to us or may be available only on unattractive terms. Furthermore, if we default on secured debt, lenders can take possession of the hotel or hotels securing such debt. In addition, debt agreements may contain specific cross-default provisions with respect to specified other indebtedness, giving the lenders the right to declare a default on its debt and to enforce remedies, including acceleration of the maturity of such debt upon the occurrence of a default under such other indebtedness. If we default on several of our debt agreements or any significant debt agreement, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Covenants applicable to future debt could restrict our ability to make distributions to our shareholders and, as a result, we may be unable to make distributions necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT, which could materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our common shares.

We intend to continue to operate in a manner so as to maintain our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In order to qualify as a REIT, we generally are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding net capital gain, each year to our shareholders. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we distribute to our shareholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code. In order to meet the REIT requirements, we may be required to issue common shares of beneficial interest in lieu of cash distributions. If, as a result of covenants applicable to our future debt, we are restricted from making distributions to our shareholders, we may be unable to make distributions necessary for us to avoid U.S. federal corporate income and excise taxes and maintain our qualification as a REIT, which could materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our shares.

We may change the distribution policy for our common shares of beneficial interest in the future.

Our management and board of trustees will continue to evaluate our distribution policy on a quarterly basis as they monitor the capital markets, the impact of the economy on our operations and other factors. Future distributions will be declared and paid at the discretion of our board of trustees and will depend upon a number of factors, including our actual and projected financial condition, liquidity, EBITDA, FFO and results of operations, the revenue we actually receive from our properties, our operating expenses, our debt service requirements, our capital expenditures, prohibitions and other limitations under our financing arrangements, our REIT taxable income, the annual REIT distribution requirements, applicable law and such other factors as our board of trustees deems relevant. Any change in our distribution policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common shares.

Costs associated with, or failure to maintain, franchisor operating standards may materially and adversely affect us. Under the terms of our franchise license agreements, we are required to meet specified operating standards and other terms and conditions. We expect that our franchisors will periodically inspect our hotels to ensure that we and the hotel management companies follow brand standards. Failure by us, or any hotel management company that we engage, to maintain these standards or other terms and conditions could result in a franchise license being canceled or the franchisor requiring us to

Table of Contents

undertake a costly property improvement program. If a franchise license is terminated due to our failure to make required improvements or to otherwise comply with its terms, we also may be liable to the franchisor for a termination payment, which will vary by franchisor and by hotel. Furthermore, under certain circumstances, a franchisor may require us to make capital expenditures, even if we do not believe the capital improvements are necessary or desirable or will result in an acceptable return on our investment. If the funds required to maintain franchisor operating standards are significant, or if a franchise license is terminated, we could be materially and adversely affected. If we were to lose a franchise license at one or more of our hotels, the value of the affected hotels could decline significantly and we could incur significant costs to obtain new franchise licenses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

If we were to lose a franchise license, we would be required to re-brand the affected hotel(s). As a result, the underlying value of a particular hotel could decline significantly from the loss of associated name recognition, marketing support, participation in guest loyalty programs and the centralized system provided by the franchisor, which could require us to recognize an impairment on the hotel. Furthermore, the loss of a franchise license at a particular hotel could harm our relationship with the franchisor, which could impede our ability to operate other hotels under the same brand, limit our ability to obtain new franchise licenses from the franchisor in the future on favorable terms, or at all, and cause us to incur significant costs to obtain a new franchise license for the particular hotel.

Accordingly, if we lose one or more franchise licenses, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Applicable REIT laws may restrict certain business activities.

As a REIT, we are subject to various restrictions on our income, assets and activities. Business activities that could be impacted by applicable REIT laws include, but are not limited to, activities such as developing alternative uses of real estate, including the development and/or sale of timeshare or condominium units. Due to these restrictions, we anticipate that we will continue to conduct certain business activities, including those mentioned above, in one or more of our TRSs. Our TRSs are taxable as regular C corporations and are subject to federal, state, local, and, if applicable, foreign taxation on their taxable income. In addition, neither we, nor our TRSs can directly manage or operate hotels, making us entirely dependent on unrelated third-party operators/managers.

Federal income tax provisions applicable to REITs may restrict our business decisions regarding the potential sale of a hotel.

The federal income tax provisions applicable to REITs provide that any gain realized by a REIT on the sale of property held as inventory or other property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is treated as income from a "prohibited transaction" that is subject to a 100% excise tax. Under existing law, whether property, including hotels, is held as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is a question of fact that depends upon all of the facts and circumstances with respect to the particular transaction. We intend to hold our hotels for investment with a view to long-term appreciation, to engage in the business of acquiring and owning hotels and to make occasional sales of hotels consistent with our investment objectives. There can be no assurance, however, that the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, might not contend that one or more of these sales are subject to the 100% excise tax. Moreover, the potential application of this penalty tax could deter us from selling one or more hotels even though it otherwise would be in the best interests of us and our shareholders for us to do so. There is a statutory safe harbor available for a limited number of sales in a single taxable year of properties that have been owned by a REIT for at least two years, but that safe harbor likely would not apply to all sales transactions that we might otherwise consider. As a result, we may not be able to vary our portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect us.

Joint venture investments that we make could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on joint venture partners' financial condition and liquidity and disputes between us and our joint venture partners.

We own the DoubleTree Metropolitan Hotel New York City through a joint venture with an affiliate of the hotel's property manager. In addition, we may enter into joint ventures in the future to acquire, develop, improve or partially dispose of hotels, thereby reducing the amount of capital required by us to make investments and diversifying our capital sources for growth. Such joint venture investments involve risks not otherwise present in a wholly-owned hotel or a redevelopment project, including the following:

we may not have exclusive control over the development, financing, leasing, management and other aspects of the hotel or joint venture, which may prevent us from taking actions that are in our best interest but opposed by our partners;

joint venture agreements often restrict the transfer of a partner's interest or may otherwise restrict our ability to sell the interest when we desire or on advantageous terms;

Table of Contents

joint venture agreements may contain buy-sell provisions pursuant to which one partner may initiate procedures requiring the other partner to choose between buying the other partner's interest or selling its interest to that partner; a partner may, at any time, have economic or business interests or goals that are, or that may become, inconsistent with our business interests or goals;

a partner may fail to fund its share of required capital contributions or may become bankrupt, which would mean that we and any other remaining partners generally would remain liable for the joint venture's liabilities; or

we may, in certain circumstances, be liable for the actions of a partner, and the activities of a partner could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT, even though we do not control the joint venture.

Any of the above might subject a hotel to liabilities in excess of those contemplated and adversely affect the value of our current and future joint venture investments.

Risks Related to the Lodging Industry

Our ability to make distributions to our shareholders may be adversely affected by various operating risks common to the lodging industry, including competition, over-building and dependence on business travel and tourism.

The hotels that we own have different economic characteristics than many other real estate assets. A typical office property, for example, has long-term leases with third-party tenants, which provides a relatively stable long-term stream of revenue. Hotels, on the other hand, generate revenue from guests that typically stay at the hotel for only a few nights, which causes the room rate and occupancy levels at each of our hotels to change every day, and results in earnings that can be highly volatile.

In addition, our hotels are subject to various operating risks common to the lodging industry, many of which are beyond our control, including, among others, the following:

- competition from other hotels in the markets in which we operate;
- over-building of hotels in the markets in which we operate, which results in increased supply and will adversely affect occupancy and revenues at our hotels;
- dependence on business and commercial travelers and tourism;
- labor strikes, disruptions or lockouts that may impact operating performance;
- increases in energy costs and other expenses affecting travel, which may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business and commercial travelers and tourists;
- requirements for periodic capital reinvestment to repair and upgrade hotels;
- increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;
- changes in interest rates;
- changes in the availability, cost and terms of financing;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;
- adverse effects of international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;
- unforeseen events beyond our control, such as terrorist attacks, travel-related health concerns, including pandemics and epidemics such as the H1N1 influenza, the avian bird influenza and SARS, imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities, travel-related accidents and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters such as hurricanes, tsunamis or earthquakes;
- adverse effects of worsening conditions in the lodging industry; and
- risks generally associated with the ownership of hotels and real estate, as we discuss in detail below.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could materially and adversely affect us.

Table of Contents

The seasonality of the lodging industry could have a material adverse effect on us.

The lodging industry is seasonal in nature, which can be expected to cause quarterly fluctuations in our revenues. Our quarterly earnings may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, including weather conditions and poor economic factors in certain markets in which we operate. For example, our hotels in the Chicago, Illinois metropolitan area experience lower revenues and profits during the winter months of December through March while our hotels in Florida generally have higher revenues in the months of January through April. This seasonality can be expected to cause periodic fluctuations in room revenues, occupancy levels, room rates and operating expenses in particular hotels. We can provide no assurances that our cash flows will be sufficient to offset any shortfalls that occur as a result of these fluctuations. As a result, we may have to enter into short-term borrowings in certain quarters in order to make distributions to our shareholders, and we can provide no assurances that such borrowings will be available on favorable terms, if at all. Consequently, volatility in our financial performance resulting from the seasonality of the lodging industry could have a material adverse effect on us.

The cyclical nature of the lodging industry may cause fluctuations in our operating performance, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

The lodging industry historically has been highly cyclical in nature. Fluctuations in lodging demand and, therefore, operating performance, are caused largely by general economic and local market conditions, which subsequently affect levels of business and leisure travel. In addition to general economic conditions, new hotel room supply is an important factor that can affect the lodging industry's performance, and overbuilding has the potential to further exacerbate the negative impact of an economic recession. Room rates and occupancy, and thus RevPAR, tend to increase when demand growth exceeds supply growth. We can provide no assurances regarding whether, or the extent to which, lodging demand will rebound or whether any such rebound will be sustained. An adverse change in lodging fundamentals could result in returns that are substantially below our expectations or result in losses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our acquisition, redevelopment, repositioning, renovation and re-branding activities are subject to various risks, any of which could, among other things, result in disruptions to our hotel operations, strain management resources and materially and adversely affect our business.

We intend to continue to acquire, redevelop, reposition, renovate and re-brand hotels, subject to the availability of attractive hotels or projects and our ability to undertake such activities on satisfactory terms. In deciding whether to undertake such activities, we will make certain assumptions regarding the expected future performance of the hotel or project. However, newly acquired, redeveloped, renovated, repositioned or re-branded hotels may fail to perform as expected and the costs necessary to bring such hotels up to franchise standards may exceed our expectations, which may result in the hotels' failure to achieve projected returns.

In particular, to the extent that we engage in the activities described above, they could pose the following risks to our ongoing operations:

- we may abandon such activities and may be unable to recover expenses already incurred in connection with exploring such opportunities;
- acquired, redeveloped, renovated or re-branded hotels may not initially be accretive to our results of operations, and we and the hotel management companies may not successfully manage newly acquired, renovated, redeveloped, repositioned or re-branded hotels to meet our expectations;
- we may be unable to quickly, effectively and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of hotels, into our existing operations;
- our redevelopment, repositioning, renovation or re-branding activities may not be completed on schedule, which could result in increased debt service and other costs and lower revenues; and
- management attention may be diverted by our acquisition, redevelopment, repositioning or rebranding activities, which in some cases may turn out to be less compatible with our growth strategy than originally anticipated.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing events, among others, could materially and adversely affect our business. Certain of our hotels are subject to ground leases that contain provisions that may impact our ability to sell such hotels.

Our ground lease agreements with respect to certain of our hotels require the consent of the lessor or sub-lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease. These provisions may impact our ability to sell our hotels which, in turn, could adversely impact the price realized from any such sale. In addition, at any given time, investors may be disinterested in buying properties subject to a ground lease and may pay a lower price for such properties than for a comparable property in fee simple

Table of Contents

or they may not purchase such properties at any price. Accordingly, we may find it difficult to sell a property subject to a ground lease or may receive lower proceeds from any such sale.

If we are found to be in breach of a ground lease or are unable to renew a ground lease, we could be materially and adversely affected.

As of December 31, 2013, eight of our hotels were on land subject to ground leases. Accordingly, we only own a long-term leasehold or similar interest in those eight hotels. If we are found to be in breach of a ground lease, we could lose the right to use the hotel. In addition, unless we can purchase a fee interest in the underlying land and improvements or extend the terms of these leases before their expiration, as to which no assurance can be given, we will lose our right to operate these properties and our interest in the improvements upon expiration of the leases. Our ability to exercise any extension options relating to our ground leases is subject to the condition that we are not in default under the terms of the ground lease at the time that we exercise such options, and we can provide no assurances that we will be able to exercise any available options at such time. Furthermore, we can provide no assurances that we will be able to renew any ground lease upon its expiration. If we were to lose the right to use a hotel due to a breach or non-renewal of the ground lease, we would be unable to derive income from such hotel and would be required to purchase an interest in another hotel to attempt to replace that income, which could materially and adversely affect us.

We will not recognize any increase in the value of the land or improvements subject to our ground leases and may only receive a portion of compensation paid in any eminent domain proceeding with respect to the hotel.

Unless we purchase a fee interest in the land and improvements subject to our ground leases, we will not have any economic interest in the land or improvements at the expiration of our ground leases and therefore we will not share in any increase in value of the land or improvements beyond the term of a ground lease, notwithstanding our capital outlay to purchase our interest in the hotel or fund improvements thereon, and will lose our right to use the hotel. Furthermore, if the state or federal government seizes a hotel subject to a ground lease under its eminent domain power, we may only be entitled to a portion of any compensation awarded for the seizure.

The increasing use of Internet travel intermediaries by consumers may materially and adversely affect our profitability.

Although a majority of rooms sold on the Internet are sold through websites maintained by the hotel franchisors and managers, including Marriott and Hilton, some of our hotel rooms will be booked through Internet travel intermediaries. Typically, these Internet travel intermediaries purchase rooms at a negotiated discount from participating hotels, which could result in lower room rates than the franchisor or manager otherwise could have obtained. As these Internet bookings increase, these intermediaries may be able to obtain higher commissions, reduced room rates or other significant contract concessions from us and any hotel management companies that we engage. Moreover, some of these Internet travel intermediaries are attempting to offer hotel rooms as a commodity, by increasing the importance of price and general indicators of quality, such as "three-star downtown hotel," at the expense of brand identification or quality of product or service. If consumers develop brand loyalties to Internet reservations systems rather than to the brands under which our hotels are franchised, the value of our hotels could deteriorate and our business could be materially and adversely affected. Although most of the business for our hotels is expected to be derived from traditional channels, if the amount of sales made through Internet intermediaries increases significantly, room revenues may flatten or decrease and our profitability may be materially and adversely affected.

Technology is used in our operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure of that technology could harm the business.

We and our hotel managers and franchisors rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes, including financial transactions and records, personal identifying information, reservations, billing and operating data. Although we believe we and our hotel managers and franchisors have taken commercially reasonable steps to protect the security of our systems, there can be no assurance that such security measures will prevent failures, inadequacies or interruptions in system services, or that system security will not be breached. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of information systems could interrupt operations, damage reputation,

subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The need for business-related travel and, thus, demand for rooms in our hotels may be materially and adversely affected by the increased use of business-related technology.

The increased use of teleconference and video-conference technology by businesses could result in decreased business travel as companies increase the use of technologies that allow multiple parties from different locations to participate at meetings without traveling to a centralized meeting location, such as our hotels. To the extent that such technologies play an

Table of Contents

increased role in day-to-day business and the necessity for business-related travel decreases, demand for our hotel rooms may decrease and we could be materially and adversely affected.

Future terrorist attacks or changes in terror alert levels could materially and adversely affect us.

Previous terrorist attacks and subsequent terrorist alerts have adversely affected the U.S. travel and hospitality industries over the past several years, often disproportionately to the effect on the overall economy. The extent of the impact that actual or threatened terrorist attacks in the U.S. or elsewhere could have on domestic and international travel and our business in particular cannot be determined, but any such attacks or the threat of such attacks could have a material adverse effect on travel and hotel demand and our ability to insure our hotels, which could materially and adversely affect us.

The outbreak of influenza or other widespread contagious disease could reduce travel and adversely affect hotel demand, which would have a material adverse effect on us.

The widespread outbreak of an infectious or contagious disease in the U.S., such as the H1N1 virus, could reduce travel and adversely affect demand within the lodging industry. If demand at our hotels decreases significantly or for a prolonged period of time as a result of an outbreak of an infectious or contagious disease, our revenue would be adversely affected, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

The share ownership limits imposed by the Code for REITs and our declaration of trust may restrict share transfers and/or business combination opportunities, particularly if our management and board of trustees do not favor a combination proposal.

In order for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of each taxable year following our first year. Our declaration of trust, with certain exceptions, authorizes our board of trustees to take the actions that are necessary and desirable to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of trustees, no person or entity (other than a person or entity who has been granted an exception) may directly or indirectly, beneficially or constructively, own more than 9.8% of the aggregate of our outstanding common shares, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, or 9.8% of the aggregate of the outstanding preferred shares of any class or series, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive.

Our board may, in its sole discretion, grant an exemption to the share ownership limits, subject to certain conditions and the receipt by our board of certain representations and undertakings. Our board of trustees has granted an exemption from our ownership limits to certain shareholders who received common shares in our formation transactions. During the time that such waiver is effective, the excepted holders will be subject to an increased ownership limit. As a condition to granting such excepted holder limit, the excepted holders were required to make representations and warranties to us, which are intended to ensure that we will continue to meet the REIT ownership requirements. The excepted holders must inform us if any of these representations becomes untrue or is violated, in which case such excepted holder will lose its exemption from the ownership limit.

In addition, our board of trustees may change the share ownership limits. Our declaration of trust also prohibits any person from (1) beneficially or constructively owning, as determined by applying certain attribution rules of the Code, our shares if that would result in us being "closely held" under Section 856(h) of the Code or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT, including, but not limited to, as a result of any "eligible independent contractor" that operates a "qualified lodging facility" (each as defined in the Code) on behalf of a TRS failing to qualify as such, or us having significant non-qualifying income from "related" parties, or (2) transferring shares if such transfer would result in our shares being owned by fewer than 100 persons. The share ownership limits contained in our declaration of trust key off the ownership at any time by any "person," which term includes entities, and take into account direct and indirect ownership as determined under various ownership attribution rules in the Code. The share ownership limits also might delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our authorized but unissued common shares and preferred shares may prevent a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our declaration of trust authorizes us to issue additional authorized but unissued common or preferred shares. In addition, our board of trustees may, without shareholder approval, amend our declaration of trust to increase the aggregate number of our common shares or the number of shares of any class or series of preferred shares that we have authority to issue and classify or reclassify any unissued common shares or preferred shares and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. As a result, our board of trustees may establish a series of common shares or preferred shares that could

Table of Contents

delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, that are applicable to Maryland real estate investment trusts may have the effect of deterring a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in our control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common shares with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of our common shares, including:

"business combination" provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an "interested shareholder" (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting power of our voting shares or an affiliate or associate of ours who was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding voting shares at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question) for five years after the most recent date on which the shareholder becomes an interested shareholder, and thereafter impose fair price and/or supermajority and shareholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

"control share" provisions that provide that "control shares" of our company (defined as voting shares that, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the shareholder, entitle the shareholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing trustees) acquired in a "control share acquisition" (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding "control shares") have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our shareholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

As permitted by Maryland law, we have elected, by resolution of our board of trustees, to opt out of the business combination provisions of the MGCL and, pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, to exempt any acquisition of our shares from the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of trustees may by resolution elect to repeal the exemption from the business combination provisions of the MGCL and may by amendment to our bylaws opt into the control share provisions of the MGCL at any time in the future.

Certain provisions of the MGCL applicable to Maryland real estate investment trusts permit our board of trustees, without shareholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our declaration of trust or bylaws, to adopt certain mechanisms, some of which (for example, a classified board) we do not have. These provisions may have the effect of limiting or precluding a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in our control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common shares with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price.

Certain advance notice provisions of our bylaws inhibit changes in control.

Our bylaws provide that (a) with respect to an annual meeting of shareholders, nominations of individuals for election to our board of trustees and the proposal of other business to be considered by shareholders may be made only (i) pursuant to our notice of the meeting, (ii) by the board of trustees or (iii) by a shareholder who was a shareholder of record at the time of the notice of the meeting and at the time of the annual meeting, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and has complied with the advance notice procedures set forth in the bylaws and (b) with respect to special meetings of shareholders, only the business specified in our notice of meeting may be brought before the meeting of shareholders and nominations of individuals for election to the board of trustees may be made only (A) pursuant to our notice of the meeting, (B) by the board of trustees or (C) provided that the board of trustees has determined that directors shall be elected at such meeting, by a shareholder who was a shareholder of record at the time of the notice of the meeting and at the time of the special meeting, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and has complied with the advance notice provisions set forth in the bylaws. These advance notice provisions may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control of our company that might involve a premium to the market price of our common stock or otherwise be in our shareholders' best interests.

Conflicts of interest could arise between the interests of our shareholders and the interests of holders of OP units in our operating partnership, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our shareholders.

Conflicts of interest could arise as a result of the relationships between us, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any limited partner thereof, on the other. Our trustees and officers have duties to us and our

shareholders under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its limited partners under Delaware law and the partnership agreement of our operating partnership in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our duties as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our trustees and

Table of Contents

officers to our company and our shareholders. These conflicts may be resolved in a manner that is not in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our conflict of interest policy may not be successful in eliminating the influence of future conflicts of interest that may arise between us and our trustees, officers and employees.

We have adopted a policy that any transaction, agreement or relationship in which any of our trustees, officers or employees has a material direct or indirect pecuniary interest must be approved by a majority of our disinterested trustees. Other than this policy, however, we may not adopt additional formal procedures for the review and approval of conflict of interest transactions generally. As such, our policies and procedures may not be successful in eliminating the influence of conflicts of interest.

Certain provisions in the partnership agreement for our operating partnership may delay or prevent unsolicited acquisitions of us.

Provisions in the partnership agreement for our operating partnership may delay or make more difficult unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes in our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or a change in our control, although some shareholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable.

Termination of the employment agreements with our executive officers could be costly and prevent a change in our control.

The employment agreements that we entered into with each of our executive officers provide that, if their employment with us terminates under certain circumstances (including upon a change in our control), we are required to pay them significant amounts of severance compensation, including accelerated vesting of equity awards, thereby making it costly to terminate their employment. Furthermore, these provisions could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium paid for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our declaration of trust contains provisions that make removal of our trustees difficult, which could make it difficult for our shareholders to effect changes to our management.

Our declaration of trust provides that, subject to the rights of holders of one or more classes or series of preferred shares to elect or remove one or more trustees, a trustee may be removed only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of holders of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of trustees and that our board of trustees has the exclusive power to fill vacant trusteeships, even if the remaining trustees do not constitute a quorum. These provisions make it more difficult to change our management by removing and replacing trustees and may delay or prevent a change in our control that is in the best interests of our shareholders.

We may change our operational policies, investment guidelines and our investment and growth strategies without shareholder consent, which may subject us to different and more significant risks in the future, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our board of trustees determines our operational policies, investment guidelines and our investment and growth strategies. Our board of trustees may make changes to, or approve transactions that deviate from, those policies, guidelines and strategies without a vote of, or notice to, our shareholders. This could result in us conducting operational matters, making investments or pursuing different investment or growth strategies than those contemplated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Under any of these circumstances, we may expose ourselves to different and more significant risks in the future, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our rights and the rights of our shareholders to take action against our trustees and officers are limited, which could limit our shareholders' recourse in the event of actions not in our shareholders' best interests.

Under Maryland law generally, a trustee is required to perform his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interest and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. Under Maryland law, trustees are presumed to have acted with this standard of care. In addition, our declaration of trust limits the liability of our trustees and officers to us and our shareholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

• actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

•

active and deliberate dishonesty by the trustee or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated.

Table of Contents

Our declaration of trust and bylaws obligate us, to the fullest extent permitted by Maryland law in effect from time to time, to indemnify and to pay or reimburse reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of a proceeding to any present or former trustee or officer who is made or threatened to be made a party to the proceeding by reason of his or her service to us in that capacity. In addition, we may be obligated to advance the defense costs incurred by our trustees and officers. As a result, we and our shareholders may have more limited rights against our trustees and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our declaration of trust and bylaws or that might exist with other companies.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of integrated internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results.

In connection with operating as a public company, we are required to provide reliable financial statements and reports to our shareholders. To monitor the accuracy and reliability of our financial reporting, we have established an internal audit function that oversees our internal controls. In addition, we have developed policies and procedures with respect to company-wide business processes and cycles in order to implement effective internal control over financial reporting. We have established, or caused our third-party hotel management companies to establish, controls and procedures designed to ensure that hotel revenues and expenses are properly recorded at our hotels. While we have undertaken substantial work to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we cannot be certain that we will be successful in maintaining effective internal control over our financial reporting and may determine in the future that our existing internal controls need improvement. If we fail to comply with proper overall controls, we could be materially harmed or we could fail to meet our reporting obligations. In addition, the existence of a material weakness or significant deficiency in our internal controls could result in errors in our financial statements that could require a restatement, cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations, result in increased costs to remediate any deficiencies, attract regulatory scrutiny or lawsuits and cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, leading to a substantial decline in the market price of our common shares.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

The illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to changing economic, financial, and investment conditions or changes in the operating performance of our properties, which could adversely affect our cash flows and results of operations.

Real estate investments, including the focused-service and compact full-service hotels in our portfolio, are relatively illiquid. As a result, we may not be able to sell a hotel or hotels quickly or on favorable terms in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions or changes in the hotel's operating performance when it otherwise may be prudent to do so. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any hotel we desire to sell for the price or on the terms set by us or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a hotel. We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a hotel can be sold, and we cannot provide any assurances that we will have funds available to correct such defects or to make such improvements. Our inability to dispose of assets at opportune times or on favorable terms could adversely affect our cash flows and results of operations.

Moreover, the Code imposes restrictions on a REIT's ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. In particular, the tax laws applicable to REITs require that we hold our hotels for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forego or defer sales of hotels that otherwise would be in our best interests. Therefore, we may not be able to vary our portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect our cash flows, our ability to make distributions to shareholders and the market price of our common shares.

In addition, our ability to dispose of some of our hotels could be constrained by their tax attributes. Hotels that we own for a significant period of time or that we may acquire in the future through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for OP units in our operating partnership may have low tax bases. If we dispose of these hotels outright in taxable transactions, we may be required to distribute the taxable gain to our shareholders under the requirements of the Code applicable to REITs or to pay tax on that gain, either of which, in turn, would impact our cash flow and increase our leverage. In some cases, we may be restricted from disposing of properties contributed to us in the future

in exchange for our OP units under tax protection agreements with contributors unless we incur additional costs related to indemnifying those contributors. To dispose of low basis or tax-protected hotels efficiently, we may from time to time use like-kind exchanges, which qualify for non-recognition of taxable gain, but can be difficult to consummate and result in the hotel for which the disposed assets are exchanged inheriting their low tax bases and other tax attributes.

Table of Contents

Many real estate costs are fixed, even if revenue from our hotels decreases.

Many costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance premiums and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced even when a hotel is not fully occupied, room rates decrease or other circumstances cause a reduction in revenues. In addition, newly acquired hotels may not produce the revenues we anticipate immediately, or at all, and the hotel's operating cash flow may be insufficient to pay the operating expenses and debt service associated with these new hotels. If we are unable to offset real estate costs with sufficient revenues across our portfolio, our financial performance and liquidity could be materially and adversely affected.

Uninsured and underinsured losses at our hotels could materially and adversely affect us.

We maintain comprehensive insurance on each of our hotels and intend to maintain comprehensive insurance on any hotels that we acquire, including liability, fire and extended coverage, of the type and amount we believe are customarily obtained for or by hotel owners. There are no assurances that coverage will be available at reasonable rates. Various types of catastrophic losses, like windstorms, earthquakes and floods, losses from foreign terrorist activities such as those on September 11, 2001, or losses from domestic terrorist activities such as the Oklahoma City bombing on April 19, 1995, may not be insurable or may not be economically insurable. Even when insurable, these policies may have high deductibles and/or high premiums. Lenders may require such insurance and our failure to obtain such insurance could constitute a default under loan agreements, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

In the event of a substantial loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover the full current market value or replacement cost of our lost investment. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a hotel, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the hotel. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also keep us from using insurance proceeds to replace or renovate a hotel after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under those circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive might be inadequate to restore our economic position on the damaged or destroyed hotel, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

In addition, insurance risks associated with potential acts of terrorism could sharply increase the premiums we pay for coverage against property and casualty claims. With the enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, United States insurers cannot exclude conventional chemical, biological, nuclear and radiation terrorism losses. These insurers must make terrorism insurance available under their property and casualty insurance policies; however, this legislation does not regulate the pricing of such insurance. In many cases, mortgage lenders have begun to insist that commercial property owners purchase coverage against terrorism as a condition of providing mortgage loans. Such insurance policies may not be available at a reasonable cost, which could inhibit our ability to finance or refinance our hotels. In such instances, we may be required to provide other financial support, either through financial assurances or self-insurance, to cover potential losses. We may not have adequate coverage for such losses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities related to recently acquired hotels and the hotels that we may acquire in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our recently acquired hotels, and the hotels that we may acquire in the future, may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities for which we may have no recourse, or only limited recourse, against the sellers. In general, the representations and warranties provided under the transaction agreements related to the purchase of hotels we acquire may not survive the completion of the transactions. Furthermore, indemnification under such agreements may be limited and subject to various materiality thresholds, a significant deductible or an aggregate cap on losses. As a result, there is no guarantee that we will recover any amounts with respect to losses due to breaches by the sellers of their representations and warranties. In addition, the total amount of costs and expenses that may be incurred with respect to liabilities associated with these hotels may exceed our expectations, and we may experience other unanticipated adverse effects, all of which may materially and adversely affect us.

Compliance or failure to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and other safety regulations and requirements could result in substantial costs.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Accessibility Guidelines promulgated thereunder, which we refer to collectively as the ADA, all public accommodations must meet various federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA's requirements could require removal of access barriers, and non-compliance could result in the U.S. government imposing fines or in private litigants winning damages. In 2008, the ADA Amendments Act was enacted to expand the scope of the ADA. In September 2010, the Department of Justice published revised regulations that adopted revised enforceable accessibility standards called the 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design. These standards generally became effective on March 15, 2012. The new standards could cause some of our hotels to incur costly measures to

Table of Contents

become fully compliant. If we are required to make substantial modifications to the hotels that we acquire, whether to comply with the ADA or other changes in governmental rules and regulations, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Our hotels also are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements would require significant unanticipated expenditures that would affect our cash flow and results of operations. If we incur substantial costs to comply with the ADA or other safety regulations and requirements, our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares, cash flows and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations and to make distributions to our shareholders could be adversely affected.

We could incur significant, material costs related to government regulation and litigation with respect to environmental matters, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our hotels are subject to various U.S. federal, state and local environmental laws that impose liability for contamination. Under these laws, governmental entities have the authority to require us, as the current owner of a hotel, to perform or pay for the clean-up of contamination (including hazardous substances, asbestos and asbestos-containing materials, waste or petroleum products) at, on, under or emanating from the hotel and to pay for natural resource damages arising from such contamination. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator or other responsible party knew of, or caused such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. Because these laws also impose liability on persons who owned or operated a property at the time it became contaminated, it is possible we could incur cleanup costs or other environmental liabilities even after we sell or no longer operate hotels. Contamination at, on, under or emanating from our hotels also may expose us to liability to private parties for costs of remediation and/or personal injury or property damage. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. If contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which the properties may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Moreover, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner's ability to borrow funds using the property as collateral or to sell the property on favorable terms or at all. Furthermore, persons who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an incinerator, may be liable for costs associated with cleanup of that facility.

In addition, our hotels are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that address a wide variety of issues, including, but not limited to, storage tanks, air emissions from emergency generators, storm water and wastewater discharges, lead-based paint, mold and mildew, and waste management. Some of our hotels routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations, which substances and wastes are subject to regulation (e.g., swimming pool chemicals). Our hotels incur costs to comply with these environmental, health and safety laws and regulations and could be subject to fines and penalties for non-compliance with applicable requirements.

Certain of our hotels contain, and those that we acquire in the future may contain, or may have contained, asbestos-containing material, or ACM. Federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws require that ACM be properly managed and maintained, and include requirements to undertake special precautions, such as removal or abatement, if ACM would be disturbed during maintenance, renovation or demolition of a building. Such laws regarding ACM may impose fines and penalties on building owners, employers and operators for failure to comply with these requirements. In addition, third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos-containing building materials.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other

airborne contaminants at any of our hotels could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability to third parties if property damage or personal injury occurs.

Liabilities and costs associated with environmental contamination at, on, under or emanating from our properties, defending against claims related to alleged or actual environmental issues, or complying with environmental, health and safety laws could be material and could materially and adversely affect us. We can make no assurances that changes in current laws or regulations or future laws or regulations will not impose additional or new material environmental liabilities or that the current

Table of Contents

environmental condition of our hotels will not be affected by our operations, the condition of the properties in the vicinity of our hotels, or by third parties unrelated to us. The discovery of material environmental liabilities at our properties could subject us to unanticipated significant costs, which could significantly reduce or eliminate our profitability and the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We face possible risks associated with the physical effects of climate change.

We cannot predict with certainty whether climate change is occurring and, if so, at what rate. However, the physical effects of climate change could have a material adverse effect on us. For example, many of our properties are located along the Gulf and East coasts. To the extent climate change causes changes in weather patterns, our markets could experience increases in storm intensity and rising sea-levels. Over time, these conditions could result in declining hotel demand or our inability to operate the affected hotels at all. Climate change also may have indirect effects on our business by increasing the cost of (or making unavailable) property insurance on terms we find acceptable, increasing the cost of energy and increasing the cost of snow removal at our properties. There can be no assurance that climate change will not have a material adverse effect on us.

We may incur significant costs complying with various regulatory requirements, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these various requirements, we could incur governmental fines or private damage awards. In addition, existing requirements could change and future requirements might require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures, which could materially and adversely affect us.

We may from time to time be subject to litigation that could expose us to uncertain or uninsured costs.

As owners of hotel properties, we may from time to time face potential claims, litigation and threatened litigation from guests, visitors to our properties, contractors, sub-contractors and others. These claims and proceedings are inherently uncertain and their costs and outcomes cannot be predicted with certainty. Some of these claims may result in defense costs, settlements, fines or judgments against us, some of which are not, or cannot be, covered by insurance. Payment of any such costs, settlements, fines or judgments that are not insured could have a material adverse impact on our financial position and results of operations. In addition, certain litigation or the resolution of certain litigation may affect the availability or cost of some of our insurance coverage, which could adversely impact our results of operations and cash flows, expose us to increased risks that would be uninsured, and/or adversely impact our ability to attract officers and trustees.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code.

Our qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Moreover, new legislation, court decisions or administrative guidance, in each case possibly with retroactive effect, may make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. Maintaining our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the REIT income and asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals, and upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to maintain our qualification as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence, including in some cases where we own an equity interest in an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Legislative or regulatory tax changes related to REITs could materially and adversely affect us.

There are a number of issues associated with an investment in a REIT that are related to the federal income tax laws, including, but not limited to, the consequences of a company's failing to qualify or to continue to qualify as a REIT and the tax rates applicable to REITs and their shareholders. At any time, the federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended or modified. Any new laws or

interpretations may take effect retroactively and could materially and adversely affect us.

27

Table of Contents

If we do not qualify as a REIT or if we fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax and potentially state and local taxes, which would reduce our earnings and the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We have been organized, operate, and intend to continue to operate, in a manner that will enable us to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with the taxable year ended December 31, 2011 and thereafter. Our qualification as a REIT depends on our satisfaction of the requirements described above under "—Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code."

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and any available relief provisions do not apply, we would be subject to U.S. federal and state corporate income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our shareholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Unless we were entitled to statutory relief under certain Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT.

Any determination that we do not qualify as a REIT would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and could materially reduce the value of our common shares. Our additional tax liability could be substantial and would reduce our net earnings available for investment, debt service or distributions to shareholders. Furthermore, we would no longer be required to make any distributions to shareholders as a condition to REIT qualification and all of our distributions to shareholders would be taxable as ordinary C corporation dividends to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. This means that our shareholders currently taxed as individuals would be taxed on those dividends at capital gain rates and our corporate shareholders generally would be entitled to the dividends received deduction with respect to such dividends, subject in each case, to applicable limitations under the Code. Our failure to qualify as a REIT also could cause an event of default under loan documents governing our debt.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan or cause us to finance our needs during unfavorable market conditions.

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain, in order for U.S. federal corporate income tax not to apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our shareholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under U.S. federal tax laws. We intend to make distributions to our shareholders to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code.

From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our income for financial reporting purposes prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP. In addition, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash may occur. As a result, we may find it difficult or impossible to meet distribution requirements in certain circumstances. In particular, where we experience differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash, the requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our taxable income could cause us to: (1) sell assets in adverse market conditions; (2) incur debt or issue additional equity on unfavorable terms; (3) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt; or (4) make a taxable distribution of our common shares as part of a distribution in which shareholders may elect to receive our common shares or (subject to a limit measured as a percentage of the total distribution) cash, in order to comply with REIT requirements. These alternatives could increase our costs or dilute our equity. In addition, because the REIT distribution requirement prevents us from retaining earnings, we generally will be required to refinance debt at its maturity with additional debt or equity. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to grow, which could adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

We may in the future choose to pay dividends in the form of our own common shares, in which case shareholders may be required to pay income taxes in excess of the cash dividends they receive.

We may seek in the future to distribute taxable dividends that are payable in cash and our common shares, at the election of each shareholder. Taxable shareholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full

amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, shareholders may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. shareholder sells the common shares that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common shares at the time of the sale. In addition, in such case, a U.S. shareholder could have a capital loss with respect to the common shares sold that could not be used to offset such dividend income. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. shareholders,

Table of Contents

we may be required to withhold U.S. federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in common shares. In addition, such a taxable share dividend could be viewed as equivalent to a reduction in our cash distributions, and that factor, as well as the possibility that a significant number of our shareholders could determine to sell our common shares in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, may put downward pressure on the market price of our common shares.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

For taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, the maximum tax rate applicable to "qualified dividends" paid to U.S. shareholders that are individuals, trusts and estates is 23.8% (taking into account the 3.8% Medicare tax applicable to net investment income). Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates and will continue to be subject to tax at rates applicable to ordinary income, which will be as high as 43.4% (taking into account the 3.8% Medicare tax applicable to net investment income). The more favorable tax rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the shares of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including our common shares. Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain U.S. federal, state and local taxes, including payroll taxes, taxes on any undistributed income, taxes on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, a 100% excise tax on any transactions with a TRS that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. In addition, we could, in certain circumstances, be required to pay an excise or penalty tax (which could be significant in amount) in order to utilize one or more relief provisions under the Code to maintain our qualification as a REIT. In addition, our TRSs will be subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income taxes on their net taxable income, if any. To the extent that we conduct operations outside of the United States, our operations would subject us to applicable foreign taxes, as well. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for the payment of our debt obligations and distributions to shareholders.

If our leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT. To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy two gross income tests, pursuant to which specified percentages of our gross income must be passive income, such as rent. For the rent paid pursuant to the hotel leases with our TRSs, which we currently expect will continue to constitute substantially all of our gross income, to qualify for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and must not be treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. We believe that the leases will be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes. There can be no assurance, however, that the IRS will agree with this characterization. If the leases were not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would not be able to satisfy either of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs and would likely lose our REIT status.

Rents paid to us by each of our TRSs may not be based on the net income or profits of any person, or they would not be treated as "rents from real property," in which case we would likely fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT. We receive "percentage rents" calculated based on the gross revenues of the hotels subject to leases with our TRSs, but not on net income or profits. We believe our leases have customary terms and rents, reflect normal business practices and do not provide for rent based on net income or profits, but there can be no assurance the IRS will agree.

The IRS has conducted audits of other lodging REITs, and in at least one case has focused on intercompany hotel leases between the REIT and its TRSs which purportedly reflect market terms. The IRS proposed transfer pricing adjustments in connection with this audit. We believe our leases have customary terms and rents and reflect normal business practices in this regard and comply with the arms-length requirement, but there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree. While it would not affect our REIT status, the IRS could adjust rents related to our leases which would cause us to incur a 100% excise tax on the potential adjustment.

If our TRSs fail to qualify as "taxable REIT subsidiaries" under the Code, we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT. Rent paid by a lessee that is a "related party tenant" will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. We lease and expect to continue to lease substantially all of our hotels to our TRSs, which will not be treated as "related party tenants" so long as they qualify as "taxable REIT subsidiaries" under the Code. To qualify as such, most significantly, a taxable REIT subsidiary cannot engage in the operation or

management of hotels or health care properties. We believe that our TRSs will qualify to be treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries for federal income tax purposes. There can be no assurance, however, that the IRS will not challenge the status of a TRS for federal income tax purposes or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in disqualifying any of our TRSs from treatment as a taxable REIT

Table of Contents

subsidiary, it is likely that we would fail to meet the asset tests applicable to REITs and substantially all of our income would fail to qualify for the gross income tests. If we failed to meet either the asset tests or the gross income tests, we would likely lose our REIT status.

If any hotel management companies that we engage do not qualify as "eligible independent contractors," or if our hotels are not "qualified lodging facilities," we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT.

Rent paid by a lessee that is a "related party tenant" of ours generally will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. An exception is provided, however, for leases of "qualified lodging facilities" to a TRS so long as the hotels are managed by an "eligible independent contractor" and certain other requirements are satisfied. We intend to take advantage of this exception. We lease and expect to lease all or substantially all of our hotels to TRS lessees, which are disregarded subsidiaries of the TRSs, and to engage hotel management companies that are intended to qualify as "eligible independent contractors." Among other requirements, in order to qualify as an eligible independent contractor, the hotel management company must not own, directly or through its shareholders, more than 35% of our outstanding shares, and no person or group of persons can own more than 35% of our outstanding shares and the shares (or ownership interest) of the hotel management company (taking into account certain ownership attribution rules and, with respect to our shares and the outstanding shares of any publicly traded hotel management company, only the shares owned by persons who own, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of a publicly traded class of shares). The ownership attribution rules that apply for purposes of these 35% thresholds are complex, and monitoring actual and constructive ownership of our shares by the hotel management companies and their owners may not be practical. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that these ownership levels will not be exceeded.

In addition, for a hotel management company to qualify as an eligible independent contractor, such company or a related person must be actively engaged in the trade or business of operating "qualified lodging facilities" (as defined below) for one or more persons not related to the REIT or its TRSs at each time that such company enters into a hotel management contract with a TRS or its TRS lessee. As of the date hereof, we believe the hotel management companies operate qualified lodging facilities for certain persons who are not related to us or our TRS. However, no assurances can be provided that this will continue to be the case or that any other hotel management companies that we may engage in the future will in fact comply with this requirement in the future. Failure to comply with this requirement would require us to find other managers for future contracts, and, if we hired a management company without knowledge of the failure, it could jeopardize our status as a REIT.

Finally, each hotel with respect to which our TRS lessees pay rent must be a "qualified lodging facility." A "qualified lodging facility" is a hotel, motel, or other establishment more than one-half of the dwelling units in which are used on a transient basis, including customary amenities and facilities, provided that no wagering activities are conducted at or in connection with such facility by any person who is engaged in the business of accepting wagers and who is legally authorized to engage in such business at or in connection with such facility. As of the date hereof, we believe that all of the hotels leased to our TRS lessees will be qualified lodging facilities. Although we intend to monitor future acquisitions and improvements of hotels, the REIT provisions of the Code provide only limited guidance for making determinations under the requirements for qualified lodging facilities, and there can be no assurance that these requirements will be satisfied in all cases.

Our ownership of taxable REIT subsidiaries is limited, and our transactions with our taxable REIT subsidiaries will cause us to be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if those transactions are not conducted on arm's length terms.

A REIT may own up to 100% of the stock of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. A taxable REIT subsidiary may hold assets and earn income that would not be qualifying assets or income if held or earned directly by a REIT. Both the subsidiary and the REIT must jointly elect to treat the subsidiary as a taxable REIT subsidiary. A corporation of which a taxable REIT subsidiary directly or indirectly owns more than 35% of the voting power or value of the stock will automatically be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Overall, no more than 25% of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. In addition, the rules applicable to taxable REIT subsidiaries limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT to assure that the taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also impose a

100% excise tax on "redetermined rent" or "redetermined deductions" to the extent rent paid by a taxable REIT subsidiary exceeds an arm's-length amount.

Our TRSs will pay U.S. federal, state and local income taxes on their net taxable income, and their after-tax net income will be available for distribution to us but is not required to be distributed. We anticipate that the aggregate value of the stock and securities of our TRSs will be less than 25% of the value of our total assets (including the stock and securities of our TRSs). Furthermore, we will monitor the value of our respective investments in our TRSs for the purpose of ensuring compliance with the ownership limitations applicable to taxable REIT subsidiaries. In addition, we will scrutinize all of our transactions with our TRSs to ensure that they are entered into on arm's-length terms to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% limitation discussed above

Table of Contents

or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax discussed above. While we believe our leases have customary terms and reflect normal business practices and that the rents paid thereto reflect market terms, there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to forgo and/or liquidate otherwise attractive investment opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT, we must ensure that we meet the REIT gross income tests annually and that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified real estate assets. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% of the value of our total assets can be represented by securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate from our portfolio, or contribute to a taxable REIT subsidiary, otherwise attractive investments in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our shareholders. In addition, we may be required to make distributions to shareholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, and may be unable to pursue investments that would otherwise be advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source of income or asset diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make, and, in certain cases, maintain ownership of, certain attractive investments.

If the IRS were to challenge successfully our operating partnership's status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and suffer other adverse consequences.

We believe, and will take steps to structure any ownership of OP units so that, our operating partnership will be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, rather than as a corporation. As a partnership, it will not be subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including our company, will be required to pay tax on such partner's allocable share of its income. No assurance can be provided, however, that the IRS will not challenge our operating partnership's status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our operating partnership as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, our company would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, would cease to qualify as a REIT.

As a result of our formation transactions, our TRSs may be limited in using certain tax benefits.

If a corporation undergoes an "ownership change" within the meaning of Section 382 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations thereunder, such corporation's ability to use net operating losses, or NOLs, generated prior to the time of that ownership change may be limited. To the extent the affected corporation's ability to use NOLs is limited, such corporation's taxable income may increase. As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$127.8 million of NOLs (all of which are attributable to our TRSs) which will begin to expire in 2026 for federal tax purposes and during the period from 2016 to 2026 for state tax purposes if not utilized. In general, an ownership change occurs if one or more large shareholders, known as "5% shareholders," including groups of shareholders that may be aggregated and treated as a single 5% shareholder, increase their aggregate percentage interest in a corporation by more than 50% over their lowest ownership percentage during the preceding three-year period. An ownership change within the meaning of Section 382 of the Code with respect to the TRSs of the REITs of Funds II and III occurred during the 2012 and 2013 tax years. Accordingly, to the extent such TRSs have taxable income in future years, their ability to use NOLs incurred prior to our formation transactions in future years will be limited, and they may have greater taxable income as a result of such limitation.

Risks Related to Our Common Shares

Our cash available for distribution to shareholders may not be sufficient to pay distributions at expected or required levels, and we may need to borrow funds or rely on other external sources in order to make such distributions, or we

may not be able to make such distributions at all, which could cause the market price of our common shares to decline significantly.

We intend to continue to pay regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common shares. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of trustees and will depend on our historical and projected results of operations, EBITDA, FFO, liquidity and financial condition, REIT qualification, debt service requirements, capital expenditures and operating expenses, prohibitions and other restrictions under financing arrangements and applicable law and other factors as our board of trustees may deem relevant from time to time. No assurance can be given that our projections will prove accurate or that any level of distributions or particular yield will be made or sustained. We may not be able to make distributions in the future or may need to fund such distributions through borrowings or other external financing sources, which may be available only at

Table of Contents

commercially unattractive terms, if at all. Any of the foregoing could cause the market price of our common shares to decline significantly.

Future issuances of debt securities, which would rank senior to our common shares upon our liquidation, and future issuances of equity securities (including OP units), which would dilute the holdings of our existing common shareholders and may be senior to our common shares for the purposes of making distributions, periodically or upon liquidation, may negatively affect the market price of our common shares.

In the future, we may issue debt or equity securities or incur other borrowings. Upon our liquidation, holders of our debt securities and other loans and preferred shares will receive a distribution of our available assets before common shareholders. If we incur debt in the future, our future interest costs could increase, and adversely affect our liquidity, FFO and results of operations. We are not required to offer any additional equity securities to existing common shareholders on a preemptive basis. Therefore, additional common share issuances, directly or through convertible or exchangeable securities (including OP units), warrants or options, will dilute the holdings of our existing common shareholders and such issuances or the perception of such issuances may reduce the market price of our common shares. Our preferred shares, if issued, would likely have a preference on distribution payments, periodically or upon liquidation, which could eliminate or otherwise limit our ability to make distributions to common shareholders.

Because our decision to issue debt or equity securities or incur other borrowings in the future will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing, nature or success of our future capital raising efforts. Thus, common shareholders bear the risk that our future issuances of debt or equity securities or our incurrence of other borrowings will negatively affect the market price of our common shares.

The number of common shares available for future issuance or sale could adversely affect the per share trading price of our common shares.

As of February 20, 2014, we had 122,918,035 common shares outstanding. In addition, as of such date, 894,000 OP units in our operating partnership were outstanding, which are redeemable for cash or, at our option, for a like number of our common shares. We cannot predict the effect, if any, of future resales of our common shares or OP units, or the perception of such resales, on the market price of our common shares. Any such future resales, or the perception that such resales might occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common shares and may also make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at times and upon terms that we deem appropriate.

In addition, subject to applicable law, our board of trustees has the authority, without further shareholder approval, to issue additional common shares and preferred shares on the terms and for the consideration it deems appropriate. We may issue from time to time additional common shares or OP units in connection with hotel acquisitions and may grant registration rights in connection with such issuances, pursuant to which we would agree to register the resale of such securities under the Securities Act. Furthermore, in the future we may issue common shares and securities convertible into, or exchangeable or exercisable for, our common shares under our equity incentive plan. The market price of our common shares may decline significantly upon future issuances of equity under our equity incentive plan or in connection with hotel acquisitions.

The trading volume and market price of our common shares may be volatile and could decline substantially in the future.

The market price of our common shares may be volatile in the future. In addition, the trading volume in our common shares may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We cannot assure shareholders that the market price of our common shares will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future, including as a result of factors unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. In particular, the market price of our common shares could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a number of factors, including, among others, the following:

- actual or anticipated differences in our operating results, liquidity, or financial condition;
- changes in our revenues, EBITDA, FFO or earnings estimates;
- publication of research reports about us, our hotels or the lodging or overall real estate industry;
- additions and departures of key personnel;
- the performance and market valuations of other similar companies;
- the passage of legislation or other regulatory developments that adversely affect us or our industry;

the realization of any of the other risk factors presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K;
speculation in the press or investment community;
changes in accounting principles;

32

Table of Contents

terrorist acts; and

general market and economic conditions, including factors unrelated to our operating performance.

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their common shares. If the market price of our common shares is volatile and this type of litigation is brought against us, it could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Increases in market interest rates may reduce demand for our common shares and result in a decline in the market price of our common shares.

The market price of our common shares may be influenced by the distribution yield on our common shares (i.e., the amount of our annual distributions as a percentage of the market price of our common shares) relative to market interest rates. An increase in market interest rates, which are currently low compared to historical levels, may lead prospective purchasers of our common shares to expect a higher distribution yield, which we may not be able, or may choose not, to provide. Higher interest rates would also likely increase our borrowing costs and decrease our operating results and cash available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common shares to decline.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our Properties

The following table provides a comprehensive list of our properties as of December 31, 2013:

Location	Property Name	Rooms	Location	Property Name	Rooms
California			Kentucky		
	SpringHill Suites Bakersfield	119		Marriott Louisville Downtown	616
	Embassy Suites Los Angeles Downey	219		Courtyard Louisville Northeast	114
	Hilton Garden Inn Los Angeles Hollywood	160		Residence Inn Louisville Northeast	102
	Hilton Garden Inn San Francisco Oakland Bay Brg	278		Residence Inn Louisville Downtown	140
	Courtyard San Francisco (1)	n/a		SpringHill Suites Louisville Hurstbourne North	142
Colorado			Louisiana		
	Marriott Denver South @ Park Meadows	279		Hotel Indigo New Orleans Garden District	132
	Renaissance Boulder Flatiron Hotel	232		Hilton Garden Inn New Orleans Convention Center	286
	Courtyard Denver West Golden	110	Massachusetts		
	Courtyard Denver Southwest Lakewood	90		Embassy Suites Boston Waltham	275
	Courtyard Boulder Longmont	78	Maryland		
	Courtyard Boulder Louisville	154		Residence Inn Columbia	108
	Courtyard Grand Junction	136		Residence Inn National Harbor Washington DC	162
	Residence Inn Denver West Golden	88		Residence Inn Silver Spring	130
	Residence Inn Denver Southwest Lakewood	102		DoubleTree Hotel Columbia	152
	Residence Inn Longmont Boulder	84		Residence Inn Bethesda Downtown	187
	Residence Inn Boulder Louisville	88	Michigan		
	Residence Inn Grand Junction	104		Marriott Auburn Hills Pontiac @ Centerpoint	290
		164		Courtyard Benton Harbor St Joseph	98

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

SpringHill Suites Denver North
Westminster

SpringHill Suites Boulder Longmont 90

Fairfield Inn & Suites Denver Cherry
Creek 134

Hyatt House Colorado Springs 125

Hampton Inn Suites Denver Tech Center 123

Marriott Denver Airport @ Gateway
Park 238

Courtyard Grand Rapids Airport 84

Courtyard Detroit Pontiac Bloomfield 110

Residence Inn Detroit Novi 107

Residence Inn Detroit Pontiac Auburn
Hills 114

Holiday Inn Grand Rapids Airport 148

Connecticut

North Carolina

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Location	Property Name	Rooms	Location	Property Name	Rooms
	Hilton Mystic	182		Hilton Garden Inn Durham Raleigh Research Triangle Park	177
District of Columbia	Fairfield Inn & Suites Washington DC Downtown	198	Nevada	Hampton Inn Suites Las Vegas Red Rock Summerlin	106
	Homewood Suites Washington DC Downtown	175	New York	Hampton Inn Garden City	143
Florida	Renaissance Fort Lauderdale Plantation Hotel	250		Hilton Garden Inn New York West 35th Street	298
	Courtyard Tampa Brandon	90		Hilton New York Fashion District	280
	Courtyard Fort Lauderdale SW Miramar	128		DoubleTree Metropolitan Hotel New York City (2)	764
	Residence Inn Fort Lauderdale Plantation	138		Courtyard New York Manhattan Upper East Side	226
	Residence Inn Fort Lauderdale SW Miramar	130	Ohio	Embassy Suites Columbus	221
	Fairfield Inn & Suites Tampa Brandon	107	Oregon	SpringHill Suites Portland Hillsboro	106
	Fairfield Inn & Suites Key West	106	Pennsylvania	Renaissance Pittsburgh Hotel	300
	Homewood Suites Tampa Brandon	126		Hilton Garden Inn Pittsburgh University Place	202
	SpringHill Suites Gainesville	126	South Carolina	Courtyard Charleston Historic District	176
	Hampton Inn Suites Clearwater St Petersburg Ulmerton Road	128	Texas	Marriott Austin South	211
	Hampton Inn Fort Walton Beach	100		Holiday Inn Austin NW Arboretum Area	194
	Embassy Suites Tampa Downtown Convention Ctr	360		Courtyard Austin University Area	198
	Embassy Suites Fort Myers Estero	150		Courtyard Austin Northwest Arboretum	102
	Hampton Inn West Palm Beach Arprt Central	105		Courtyard Austin South	110
	Hilton Garden Inn West Palm Beach Airport	100		Courtyard Mesquite	101
	Embassy Suites West Palm Beach Central	194		Courtyard San Antonio Airport Northstar	78
Georgia	Courtyard Atlanta Buckhead	181		Courtyard Houston Sugarland	112
	Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic (1)	78		Courtyard Austin Downtown Convention Center	270
Hawaii	Courtyard Waikiki Beach	399		Courtyard Austin Airport	150
Illinois	Marriott Chicago Midway	200		Residence Inn Austin Northwest Arboretum	84
	Courtyard Chicago Downtown Magnificent Mile	306		Residence Inn Austin South	66
	Courtyard Midway Airport	174			
	Courtyard Chicago Schaumburg	162			

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook	156	Residence Inn Austin North Parmer Lane	88
Residence Inn Chicago Schaumburg	125	Residence Inn Houston By The Galleria	146
Residence Inn Chicago Naperville	130	Residence Inn Austin Round Rock	96
SpringHill Suites Chicago Schaumburg	132	Residence Inn San Antonio Downtown Market Sq	95
Fairfield Inn & Suites Chicago Midway Airport	114	Residence Inn Houston Sugarland	78
Hampton Inn Chicago Midway Airport	170	Residence Inn Austin Downtown Convention Center	179
Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Midway Airport	174	SpringHill Suites Austin North Parmer Lane	132
Sleep Inn Midway Airport	121	SpringHill Suites Austin South	152
Holiday Inn Express & Suites Midway Airport	104	Fairfield Inn & Suites Austin University Area	63
Indiana		Fairfield Inn & Suites Austin South Airport	63
Courtyard Fort Wayne	142	Fairfield Inn & Suites San Antonio Airport	120
Courtyard Chicago Southeast Hammond	85	Fairfield Inn & Suites San Antonio Dwntn Mkt	110
Courtyard Indianapolis @ The Capitol	124	Courtyard Houston By The Galleria	190
Courtyard Merrillville	112	Hyatt House Houston Galleria	147
Courtyard South Bend Mishawaka	78	Hyatt House Austin Arboretum	130
Courtyard Valparaiso	111	Hyatt House Dallas Lincoln Park	155
Residence Inn Indianapolis Carmel	120	Hyatt House Dallas Uptown	141
Residence Inn Indianapolis Fishers	78	Hyatt House Dallas Richardson	130
Residence Inn Chicago Southeast Hammond	78	Hampton Inn Houston Near The Galleria	176

Table of Contents

Location	Property Name	Rooms	Location	Property Name	Rooms
	Residence Inn Indianapolis Airport	95		Courtyard Houston Downtown Convention Center	191
	Residence Inn Indianapolis Downtown On The Canal	134		Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center	171
	Residence Inn Merrillville	78	Utah	Humble Tower Apartments (3)	n/a
	Residence Inn South Bend	80		Courtyard Salt Lake City Airport	154
	SpringHill Suites Indianapolis Carmel	126		Residence Inn Salt Lake City Airport	104
	SpringHill Suites South Bend Mishawaka	87			
	Fairfield Inn & Suites Chicago SE Hammond	94		Hilton Garden Inn St George	150
	Fairfield Inn & Suites Indianapolis Airport	86			
	Fairfield Inn & Suites Merrillville	112			
	Fairfield Inn & Suites Valparaiso	63			
	Hampton Inn Merrillville	64			
	Holiday Inn Express Merrillville	62			
	Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington	168			

(1) This property is currently closed for renovation.

This hotel is owned through a joint venture in which we own a 95.0% economic interest. We are the managing member of this joint venture and control all material decisions related to this hotel. Our joint venture partner is affiliated with the hotel's property manager.

(2) Property was closed in February 2014. The conversion to a SpringHill Suites is expected to be complete by mid-2015.

Our Hotel Management Agreements

In order to qualify as a REIT, we cannot directly or indirectly operate any of our hotels. We lease our hotels to TRS lessees, which in turn engage property managers to manage our hotels. Each of our hotels is operated pursuant to a hotel management agreement with one of 17 independent hotel management companies. Each hotel management company receives a base management fee and is also eligible to receive an incentive management fee upon the achievement of certain financial benchmarks set forth in each applicable management agreement. The incentive management fee is generally calculated as a percentage of hotel operating profit after we have received a priority return on our investment in the hotel. WLS, a fully-integrated owner, developer and manager of premium-brand hotels, is the management company for 106 of our hotels and the remaining hotels are managed by 16 other hotel management companies located in the United States. Below is a summary of the principal terms of the hotel management agreements with WLS and a general overview of our non-WLS hotel management agreements.

WLS Hotel Management Agreements

Our TRS lessees, as lessees of the respective hotels, have entered into hotel management agreements with WLS for 106 of our hotels. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the form of the WLS hotel management agreement included as an exhibit to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Term

Our WLS hotel management agreements contain initial terms of 10 to 20 years and are subject to two automatic renewal terms of five to 10 years each. The WLS hotel management agreements have an average remaining initial term of approximately 13 years.

Amounts Payable under our WLS Hotel Management Agreements

Under the WLS hotel management agreements, WLS receives a base management fee and, if certain financial thresholds are met or exceeded, an incentive management fee. The base management fee ranges between 3.0% and 3.5% of gross hotel revenues for the applicable hotel. Gross hotel revenue is calculated as all hotel revenue before

subtracting expenses. The incentive management fee, which is calculated on a per hotel basis, is 15% of operating profit (as defined in the applicable management agreements) remaining after we receive an annual return equal to 11% of our total capital investment, including debt, in the applicable hotels. We also pay certain computer support and accounting service fees to WLS, as reflected in each hotel management agreement.

Termination Events

Performance Termination. We have structured our WLS management agreements to align our interests with those of WLS by providing us with a right to terminate a WLS management agreement if WLS fails to achieve certain criteria relating

Table of Contents

to the performance of a hotel under WLS management, as measured with respect to any two consecutive fiscal years. We may initiate a performance termination if, during any two consecutive year period, (1) an independent hotel consulting expert, agreed to by both WLS and us, determines that the operating profit of the affected hotel is less than the operating profit of comparable hotels as determined by the independent hotel consulting expert, and (2) the RevPAR penetration index fails to exceed a specified RevPAR penetration index threshold, as set forth in the applicable management agreement. WLS has the right, which can be exercised no more than three times per hotel, to avoid a performance termination by paying an amount equal to the amount that the operating profit fell below the annual operating budgets for the relevant performance termination period, as reflected in each WLS management agreement, or by agreeing to offset the operating budget difference against future management fees due to WLS.

Early Termination for Casualty/Condemnation or Cause. Subject to certain qualifications and applicable cure periods, the hotel management agreements are generally terminable by either party upon material casualty or condemnation of the hotel or the occurrence of certain customary events of default, including, among others: the bankruptcy or insolvency of either party; the failure of either party to make a payment when due, and failure to cure such non-payment after due notice; failure by us to provide WLS with sufficient working capital to operate the hotel after due notice; breach by either party of covenants or obligations under a WLS hotel management agreement; and failure by us to complete work approved or required under the terms of the hotel's franchise agreement and the applicable WLS management agreement.

If an event of default occurs and continues beyond the grace period set forth in the WLS hotel management agreement, the non-defaulting party generally has, among other remedies, the option of terminating the applicable hotel management agreement, upon at least 30 days' written notice to the other party.

Early Termination by WLS—Liquidated Damages. In the event that WLS elects to terminate a WLS hotel management agreement due to an event of default by us, WLS may elect to recover a termination fee, as liquidated damages, equal to 2.5 times the actual base management fee and incentive management fee earned by WLS under that hotel management agreement in the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year in which such termination occurred.

Sale of a Hotel

Each WLS hotel management agreement provides that we cannot sell the applicable hotel to any unrelated third party or engage in certain change of control actions (1) if we are in default under the hotel management agreement or (2) with or to a person or entity that is known in the community as being of bad moral character or has been convicted of a felony or is in control of or controlled by persons convicted of a felony or would be in violation of any franchise agreement requirements applicable to us. Each WLS hotel management agreement further requires that any future owner of the applicable hotel, at the option of WLS, assume the WLS hotel management agreement or enter into a new WLS hotel management agreement for such hotel.

Other Hotel Management Agreements

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, 40 of our hotels are managed by 16 hotel management companies other than WLS. Each of these hotels is subject to a hotel management agreement that contains customary terms and conditions that generally are similar to the provisions found in the WLS hotel management agreements described above. The hotel management agreements generally have initial terms that range from one to ten years, and some provide for one or two automatic extension periods ranging from five to ten years. In addition, each hotel management company receives a base management fee ranging from 2.0% to 7.0% of gross hotel revenues and an incentive management fee ranging from 10% to 25% of available cash flow (or other similar metric) as set forth in the applicable management agreement, calculated on a per hotel basis, generally equal to the operating profit of the hotel after deducting a priority return to us based upon a percentage of our total capital investment in the hotels. Each of the non-WLS hotel management agreements also provides us with a right to terminate such management agreement if the hotel management company fails to reach certain performance targets (as provided in the applicable management agreement) or provides us with a right to terminate the management agreement in our sole and absolute discretion. In addition, certain management agreements give us the right to terminate the management agreement upon the sale of the hotel or for any reason upon payment of a stipulated termination fee. The performance targets vary, but generally provide us with the right to terminate the applicable hotel management agreement if the operating profit of the hotel is

less than 90% to 95% of the budget targets set forth pursuant to such management agreement and/or the RevPAR is less than 90% to 115% of comparable hotels. The hotel management agreements are also generally terminable by either party upon material casualty or condemnation of the hotel or the occurrence of certain customary events of default.

Franchise Agreements

As of December 31, 2013, 104, 31 and six of our hotels operated under franchise agreements with Marriott, Hilton and Hyatt, respectively. Five of these hotels receive the benefits of a franchise agreement pursuant to management agreements with

Table of Contents

Marriott. The remaining six hotels that we own as of December 31, 2013 operate under existing franchise agreements with brands other than Marriott, Hilton or Hyatt.

Franchisors provide a variety of benefits to franchisees, including centralized reservation systems, national advertising, marketing programs and publicity designed to increase brand awareness, training of personnel and maintenance of operational quality at hotels across the brand system. The franchise agreements generally specify management, operational, record-keeping, accounting, reporting and marketing standards and procedures with which our TRS lessees, as the franchisees, must comply. The franchise agreements obligate our TRS lessees to comply with the franchisors' standards and requirements, including training of operational personnel, safety, maintaining specified insurance, the types of services and products ancillary to guest room services that may be provided by the TRS lessee, display of signage and the type, quality and age of furniture, fixtures and equipment included in guest rooms, lobbies and other common areas. Each of the existing franchise agreements for our hotels require that we pay a royalty fee of between 3.0% and 6.0% of the gross room revenue of the hotels and, for certain full service hotels, a royalty fee of between 2.0% and 3.0% on food and beverage revenue. We also must pay marketing, reservation or other program fees ranging between 1.0% and 4.3% of gross room revenue. In addition, under certain of our franchise agreements, the franchisor may require that we renovate guest rooms and public facilities from time to time to comply with then-current brand standards.

The franchise agreements also provide for termination at the applicable franchisor's option upon the occurrence of certain events, including failure to pay royalties and fees or to perform other obligations under the franchise license, bankruptcy and abandonment of the franchise or a change in control. The TRS lessee that is the franchisee is responsible for making all payments under the applicable franchise agreement to the franchisor; however we are required to guarantee the obligations under each of the franchise agreements. In addition, many of our existing franchise agreements provide the franchisor with a right of first offer in the event of certain sales or transfers of a hotel and provide that the franchisor has the right to approve any change in the hotel management company engaged to manage the hotel.

TRS Leases

In order for us to qualify as a REIT, neither our company nor any of our subsidiaries, including the operating partnership, may directly or indirectly operate our hotels. Subsidiaries of our operating partnership, as lessors, lease our hotels to our TRS lessees, which, in turn, are parties to the existing hotel management agreements with third-party hotel management companies for each of our hotels. The TRS leases for our hotels contain the provisions described below. We intend that leases with respect to hotels acquired in the future will contain substantially similar provisions to those described below; however, we may, in our discretion, alter any of these provisions with respect to any particular lease.

Lease Terms

Leases have initial terms that range from three to five years and a majority of the leases can be renewed by our TRS lessees for three successive five-year renewal terms unless the lessee is in default at the expiration of the then-current term. In addition, our TRS leases are subject to early termination by us in the event that we sell the hotel to an unaffiliated party, a change in control occurs or applicable provisions of the Code are amended to permit us to operate our hotels. Our leases are also subject to early termination upon the occurrence of certain events of default and/or other contingencies described in the lease.

Amounts Payable under the Leases

During the term of each TRS lease, our TRS lessees are obligated to pay us a fixed annual base rent plus a percentage rent and certain other additional charges that our TRS lessees agree to pay under the terms of the respective TRS lease. Percentage rent is calculated based on revenues generated from guest rooms, food and beverage sales, and certain other sources, including meeting rooms and movie rentals. Base and percentage rents are paid to us monthly and any additional charges are paid to us when due.

Other than certain capital expenditures for the building and improvements, which are obligations of the lessor, the leases require our TRS lessees to pay rent, all costs and expenses, franchise fees, ground rent (if applicable), property taxes and certain insurance, and all utility and other charges incurred in the operation of the hotels they lease. The leases also provide for rent reductions and abatements in the event of damage to, or destruction or a partial taking of,

any hotel.

All of the above mentioned transactions eliminate in consolidation.

Maintenance and Modifications

Under each TRS lease, the TRS lessee may, at its expense, make additions, modifications or improvements to the hotel that it deems desirable and that we approve. In addition, our TRS lessees are required, at their expense, to maintain the hotels in good order and repair, except for ordinary wear and tear, and to make repairs that may be necessary and appropriate to keep the

37

Table of Contents

hotel in good order and repair. Under the TRS lease, we are responsible for maintaining, at our cost, any underground utilities or structural elements, including exterior walls and the roof of the hotel (excluding, among other things, windows and mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems). Each TRS lessee, when and as required to meet the standards of the applicable hotel management agreement, any applicable hotel franchise agreement or to satisfy the requirements of any lender, must establish an FF&E reserve in an amount equal to up to 5% of gross revenue for the purpose of periodically repairing, replacing or refurbishing furnishings and equipment.

Events of Default

Events of default under each of the leases include, among others: the failure by a TRS lessee to pay rent when due; the breach by a TRS lessee of a covenant, condition or term under the lease, subject to the applicable cure period; the bankruptcy or insolvency of a TRS lessee; cessation of operations by a TRS lessee of the leased hotel for more than 30 days, except as a result of damage, destruction, or a partial or complete condemnation; or the default by a TRS lessee under a franchise agreement subject to any applicable cure period.

Termination of Leases on Disposition of the Hotels or Change of Control

In the event that we sell a hotel to a non-affiliate or a change of control occurs, we generally have the right to terminate the lease by paying the applicable TRS lessee a termination fee to be governed by the terms and conditions of the lease.

Ground Leases

As of December 31, 2013, eight of our hotels were subject to ground leases that cover the land underlying the respective hotels:

The Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on March 6, 2100. During the initial term of the ground lease, the total rent is \$1.56 million, which was paid in a lump sum upon commencement of the ground lease in 2001. After the initial term, we may extend the ground lease for an additional renewal term of 99 years for \$1. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we have the option to acquire the land underlying the Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook.

The Courtyard Austin Downtown Convention Center and the Residence Inn Austin Downtown Convention Center, which are situated on the same parcel of land, are subject to a single ground lease with a term that expires on November 14, 2100. In addition to an aggregate annual rent of \$0.4 million, we must pay annual percentage rent in the amount by which 3.25% of the total amount of rents for all guest rooms, meeting rooms or conference room exceeds total annual base rent. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease.

The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on January 30, 2053. During the initial term of the ground lease, the total rent is \$490, payable in 10 equal annual installments of \$49 each, commencing on December 2, 2024. After expiration of the initial term, the ground lease will be automatically extended for five successive 10-year renewal terms unless we give notice of non-renewal or there is an uncured event of default (as defined in the ground lease) at the expiration of the then-current term. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease. The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is also subject to an agreement to lease parking spaces with an initial term extending out to 2033. The agreement to lease parking spaces may be extended if certain events occur. The agreement provides for a monthly rental payment based on city ordinance rates (at December 31, 2013 the rate was approximately \$2 per month) and the number of parking spaces reserved for the exclusive use of the hotel, plus amounts based on actual usage in excess of the reserved spaces.

The Marriott Louisville Downtown is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on June 25, 2053. The annual rent for the initial term of the ground lease is \$1 plus a profits participation payment equal to 25% of the amount that net income during any year during the lease term exceeds a specified investment return as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. After expiration of the initial term, the ground lease will be automatically extended for four successive 25-year terms unless we give notice of non-renewal or there is an uncured event of default (as defined in the ground lease) at the expiration of the then-current term. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

The Hampton Inn Garden City is subject to a sublease of a ground lease with a term that expires on December 31, 2016. The sublease is associated with an agreement for payment in lieu of taxes and will revert to fee simple ownership at the end of the ground lease. The annual rent for the term of the sublease is \$1. In addition, an annual

38

Table of Contents

compliance fee of \$1,000 is required under the terms of the ground lease. Under certain circumstances set forth in the sub-sublease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground sub-lessor prior to transferring our interest in the sub-sublease.

A portion of the site of the Courtyard Charleston Historic District is subject to a ground lease with a term that expires on October 1, 2096. The current annual base rent of \$0.8 million continues until 2021, after which the annual base rent increases periodically during the term of the ground lease to a maximum of \$1.0 million. In addition to base rent, we are required to pay, as percentage rent, 1.5% of gross quarterly collected room revenue (from no more than 126 rooms per night). We are also responsible for paying all taxes, utilities and other costs associated with the ownership and operation of the property. All FF&E is deemed to be part of the leased premises. The ground lessor has a security interest in FF&E, which will become the property of the ground lessor upon termination or expiration of ground lease. We and the ground lessor each have the right to match any offer to purchase the hotel should the other party desire to sell their interest in the property. We are required to obtain the ground lessor's consent (which may not be unreasonably withheld) in connection with any change in the hotel management company.

The Courtyard Waikiki Beach is subject to a ground lease with a term extending to 2112. The annual ground rent is \$3.5 million through 2016, after which the annual rent shall increase by the increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index, or CPI, from the immediately preceding calendar year. The increase is limited to 5.0% per annum for the first 10 years in which the annual rent is subject to increase, and 6.0% per annum during the seven subsequent years in which the annual rent is subject to increase. Thereafter, there is no cap on the increase in the CPI.

The foregoing ground leases and ground subleases generally require us to pay all charges, costs, expenses, assessments and liabilities relating to ownership and operation of the properties, including real property taxes and utilities, and to obtain and maintain insurance covering the subject property.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The nature of the operations of our hotels exposes our hotels, the Company and the operating partnership to the risk of claims and litigation in the normal course of business. Other than routine litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business, the Company is not presently subject to any material litigation nor, to the Company's knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against the Company.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol "RLJ." Below is a summary of the high and low prices of our common shares for each quarterly period for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, as reported on the NYSE and distributions paid by us with respect to each quarterly period.

2013	High	Low	Distribution
January 1, 2013–March 31, 2013	\$22.82	\$19.72	\$0.205
April 1, 2013–June 30, 2013	\$24.00	\$20.53	\$0.205
July 1, 2013–September 30, 2013	\$24.70	\$22.28	\$0.205
October 1, 2013–December 31, 2013	\$25.57	\$22.60	\$0.240
2012	High	Low	Distribution
January 1, 2012–March 31, 2012	\$19.11	\$16.58	\$0.165
April 1, 2012–June 30, 2012	\$19.75	\$16.74	\$0.165
July 1, 2012–September 30, 2012	\$19.45	\$16.61	\$0.165
October 1, 2012–December 31, 2012	\$19.66	\$16.86	\$0.205

Table of Contents

On December 31, 2013 and February 20, 2014, the closing price of our common shares as reported on the NYSE was \$24.32 and \$25.70, respectively.

Share Return Performance

The following graph compares the total shareholder return of our common shares against the cumulative total returns of the Standard & Poor's Corporation Composite 500 Index and the Morgan Stanley Capital International United States REIT Index, or the MSCI US REIT Index for the period from May 11, 2011, the date of the initial listing of our common shares of beneficial interest on the NYSE to December 31, 2013. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 in our common shares and in each of the indices, and also assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

Name	Initial Investment at May 11, 2011	Value of Initial Investment at Dec 31, 2011	Value of Initial Investment at Dec 31, 2012	Value of Initial Investment at Dec 31, 2013
RLJ Lodging Trust	\$ 100.00	\$97.64	\$ 116.67	\$ 151.95
S&P 500 Index	\$ 100.00	\$95.05	\$ 110.26	\$ 145.96
MSCI US REIT Index	\$ 100.00	\$97.87	\$ 115.32	\$ 118.20

This performance graph shall not be deemed "filed" for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or incorporated by reference into any filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

Shareholder Information

At February 20, 2014, we had 93 holders of record of our common shares. However, because many of our common shares are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of shareholders, we believe there are substantially more beneficial holders of our common shares than record holders. At February 20, 2014, there were four holders (other than our company) of our OP units. Our OP units are redeemable for cash or, at our election, for our common shares. In order to comply with certain requirements related to our qualification as a REIT, our declaration of trust provides that, subject to certain exceptions, no person or entity (other than a person or entity who has been granted an exception) may directly

Table of Contents

or indirectly, beneficially or constructively, own more than 9.8% of the aggregate of our outstanding common shares, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, or 9.8% of the aggregate of the outstanding preferred shares of any class or series, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive.

Distribution Information

We intend, over time, to make regular quarterly distributions to our common shareholders. In order to qualify and maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT, we intend to make annual distributions to our shareholders of at least 90% of our taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gain.

The credit agreements governing our \$300 million unsecured revolving credit facility and our unsecured term loans limit our ability to pay cash dividends. However, so long as no default or event of default exists, the credit agreements allow us to pay cash dividends with respect to any period of four fiscal quarters in an amount not to exceed (i) 95% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined in the credit agreements), (ii) the amount required for us to maintain our status as a REIT (including the right to distribute 100% of net capital gain) under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code, and (iii) the amount necessary for us to avoid income or excise tax under the Code. If certain defaults or events of default exist, we may pay cash dividends with respect to any fiscal year in an aggregate amount not to exceed the greater of (x) the minimum amount required for us to maintain our status as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code, or (y) the amount necessary to avoid income or excise tax under the Code.

Any future distributions will be at the sole discretion of our board of trustees, and their form, timing and amount, if any, will depend upon a number of factors, including our actual and projected financial condition, liquidity, EBITDA, FFO and results of operations, the revenue we actually receive from our properties, our operating expenses, our debt service requirements, our capital expenditures, prohibitions and other limitations under our financing arrangements, as described above, our REIT taxable income, the annual REIT distribution requirements, applicable law and such other factors as our board of trustees deems relevant. To the extent that our cash available for distribution is less than 90% of our REIT taxable income, we may consider various means to cover any such shortfall, including borrowing under our unsecured revolving credit facility or other loans, selling certain of our assets or using a portion of the net proceeds we receive from offerings of equity, equity-related or debt securities or declaring taxable share dividends.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

The Company did not sell any securities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the year ended December 31, 2013, certain of our employees surrendered common shares owned by them to satisfy their statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations associated with the vesting of restricted common shares of beneficial interest issued under our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2011 Plan.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes all of these repurchases during the year ended December 31, 2013:

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
January 1, 2013 through January 31, 2013	—	—	N/A	N/A
February 1, 2013 through February 28, 2013	24,545	(1) \$21.00	—	N/A
March 1, 2013 through March 31, 2013	9,794	(1) \$21.63	—	N/A
April 1, 2013 through April 30, 2013	—	—	N/A	N/A
May 1, 2013 through May 31, 2013	33,948	(1) \$23.55	—	N/A
June 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013	10,341	(1) \$23.18	—	N/A
July 1, 2013 through July 31, 2013	—	—	N/A	N/A
August 1, 2013 through August 31, 2013	36,556	(1) \$23.63	—	N/A
September 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013	10,514	(1) \$23.02	—	N/A
October 1, 2013 through October 31, 2013	—	—	N/A	N/A
November 1, 2013 through November 30, 2013	37,203	(1) \$24.41	—	N/A
December 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013	10,508	(1) \$24.10	—	N/A
Total for the year ended December 31, 2013	173,409			

The number of shares purchased represents common shares surrendered by certain of our employees to satisfy their statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations associated with the vesting of restricted common shares of (1)beneficial interest issued under our 2011 Plan. With respect to these shares, the price paid per share is based on the closing price of our common shares as of the date of the determination of the statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected historical combined financial information should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical combined consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We completed our IPO on May 16, 2011. Due to the timing of the IPO, we present herein certain combined consolidated historical financial data for us and our predecessor. Our predecessor was not a legal entity, but rather a combination of the real estate hospitality assets, liabilities and operations of Fund II and Fund III and substantially all of the assets, liabilities and operations of RLJ Development. The historical combined consolidated financial data for our predecessor is not necessarily indicative of our results of operations, cash flows or financial position following the completion of the IPO.

The selected historical combined financial information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009 has been derived from our audited historical financial statements. Due to the timing of the IPO, the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 reflect the financial condition and results of operations of our predecessor. The results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 reflect the financial condition and results of operations of our predecessor together with our Company.

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In thousands, except share and per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues:					
Room revenue	\$844,741	\$738,207	\$653,044	\$442,184	\$386,071
Other property revenue	125,639	111,478	101,861	70,939	66,060
Total revenue	970,380	849,685	754,905	513,123	452,131
Expenses:					
Room expense	186,667	162,039	145,859	95,364	83,124
Other property expense	388,440	346,898	312,254	213,573	193,458
Total property operating expense	575,107	508,937	458,113	308,937	276,582
Depreciation and amortization	127,231	126,340	127,420	96,284	90,634
Property tax, insurance and other	63,627	52,745	46,289	32,182	32,923
Impairment loss	—	—	—	—	55,123
General and administrative(1)	35,466	31,086	24,175	19,534	18,186
Transaction and pursuit costs	4,410	3,520	3,996	14,345	8,665
IPO Costs	—	—	10,733	—	—
Total operating expenses	805,841	722,628	670,726	471,282	482,113
Operating income/(loss)	164,539	127,057	84,179	41,841	(29,982)
Interest and other income	7,431	1,463	2,683	3,980	1,571
Interest expense	(64,348)	(83,689)	(95,362)	(86,047)	(87,108)
Income (loss) before provision for income tax (expense)/ benefit	107,622	44,831	(8,500)	(40,226)	(115,519)
Income tax expense	(879)	(1,369)	(740)	(943)	(1,777)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	106,743	43,462	(9,240)	(41,169)	(117,296)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1,258)	(39)	(309)	213	—
Distributions to preferred shareholders	—	—	(61)	(62)	(62)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations available to shareholders/owners	\$105,485	\$43,423	\$(9,610)	\$(41,018)	\$(117,358)

Table of Contents

	As of December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In thousands, except share and per share data)				
Balance Sheet Data:					
Investment in hotel and other properties, net	\$3,241,163	\$3,073,483	\$2,820,457	\$2,626,690	\$1,877,583
Cash and cash equivalents	332,248	115,861	310,231	267,454	151,382
Total assets	3,717,974	3,346,385	3,290,018	3,045,824	2,202,865
Total debt	1,409,665	1,413,651	1,341,735	1,747,077	1,598,991
Total other liabilities	161,975	124,823	114,295	75,014	118,127
Total owners' equity	2,146,334	1,807,911	1,833,988	1,223,733	485,747
Per Share Data:					
Basic income (loss) from continuing operations per share	\$0.89	\$0.40	\$(0.10))	
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations per share (2)	\$0.88	\$0.40	\$(0.10))	
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	117,950,066	105,423,604	95,340,666		
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted (2)	118,738,626	105,748,686	95,340,666		
Dividends declared per share	\$0.86	\$0.70	\$0.38		

(1) The general and administrative expense includes non-cash share compensation expense amortization for restricted share grants of \$13,078, \$8,626 and \$3,284 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Income (loss) allocated to noncontrolling interest in our operating partnership has been excluded from the (2) numerator, and OP units of our operating partnership have been omitted from the denominator, since the effect of including these amounts in the numerator and denominator would have no impact.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements, related notes included thereto and Item 1.A., "Risk Factors", appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a self-advised and self-administered Maryland real estate investment trust, or REIT, that acquires primarily premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. We are one of the largest U.S. publicly-traded lodging REITs in terms of both number of hotels and number of rooms. Our hotels are concentrated in markets that we believe exhibit multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry.

Our strategy is to acquire primarily premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. Focused-service hotels typically generate most of their revenue from room rentals, have limited food and beverage outlets and meeting space and require fewer employees than traditional full-service hotels. We believe premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels have the potential to generate attractive returns relative to other types of hotels due to their ability to achieve RevPAR levels at or close to those achieved by traditional full-service hotels while achieving higher profit margins due to their more efficient operating model and less volatile cash flows.

We recognize the challenging geopolitical environment and the possibility that the current economic recovery might not be as robust as anticipated or that economic conditions could deteriorate. However, with growth in lodging supply expected to be below historical averages for the next few years and corporate profits rising, we currently do not anticipate any significant slowdown in lodging fundamentals. Accordingly, we remain cautiously optimistic that we are in the midst of a multi-year lodging recovery.

Table of Contents

Furthermore, we believe that attractive acquisition opportunities that meet our investment profile remain available in the market. We believe our cash on hand and expected access to capital (including availability under our unsecured revolving credit facility) along with our senior management team's experience, extensive industry relationships and asset management expertise, will enable us to compete effectively for such acquisitions and enable us to generate additional internal and external growth.

As of December 31, 2013, we owned 149 properties, comprised of 147 hotels with approximately 22,300 rooms and two planned hotel conversions, located in 22 states and the District of Columbia, interests in land parcels located adjacent to certain hotels and an interest in a mortgage loan secured by a hotel. We own, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, 100% of the interests in all properties, with the exception of one property in which we own a 95% controlling interest in a joint venture.

Recent Significant Activities

Our recent significant activities reflect our commitment to maximizing shareholder value through selective acquisitions in high-growth markets, value-add renovations and conservative balance sheet management. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the following significant activities took place:

Completed our follow-on public offering of 15,870,000 common shares of beneficial interest at a public offering price of \$21.60 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$327.5 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and other estimated offering costs.

Purchased six properties for an aggregate purchase price of \$208.0 million.

Acquired one hotel property by foreclosing on the mortgage loan and recorded a gain on foreclosure of \$4.9 million.

Sold one hotel property for \$2.5 million and recorded a gain on sale of \$2.1 million.

Disposed of two hotel properties by transferring them to their lenders and recorded a gain on the extinguishment of indebtedness of \$5.7 million.

Repaid \$577.4 million of mortgage indebtedness.

Entered into a new \$350.0 million five-year unsecured term loan, a \$100.0 million expansion of our existing seven-year unsecured term loan, and \$150.0 million of secured financing.

Entered into eleven interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$1.0 billion.

Granted 383,187 restricted common shares of beneficial interest to trustees and certain employees.

Our Customers

Substantially all of our hotels consist of premium-branded focused-service and compact full-service hotels. As a result of this property profile, the majority of our customers are transient in nature. Transient business typically represents individual business or leisure travelers. The majority of our hotels are located in business districts within major metropolitan areas. Accordingly, business travelers represent the majority of the transient demand at our hotels. As a result, macroeconomic factors impacting business travel have a greater effect on our business than factors impacting leisure travel.

Group business is typically defined as a minimum of 10 guestrooms booked together as part of the same piece of business. Group business may or may not use the meeting space at any given hotel. Given the limited meeting space at the majority of our hotels, group business that utilizes meeting space represents a small component of our customer base.

A number of our hotels are affiliated with brands marketed toward extended-stay customers. Extended-stay customers are generally defined as those staying five nights or longer. Reasons for extended stays may include, but are not

limited to, training and/or special project business, relocation, litigation and insurance claims.

Our Revenues and Expenses

Our revenue is primarily derived from hotel operations, including the sale of rooms, food and beverage revenue and other operating department revenue, which consists of telephone, parking and other guest services.

Our operating costs and expenses consist of the costs to provide hotel services, including room expense, food and beverage expense, management fees and other operating expenses. Room expense includes housekeeping wages and payroll taxes, reservation systems, room supplies, laundry services and front desk costs. Food and beverage expense primarily includes the cost of food, the cost of beverages and associated labor costs. Other hotel expenses include labor and other costs associated with the other operating department revenue, as well as labor and other costs associated with administrative departments, franchise fees, sales and marketing, repairs and maintenance and utility costs. Our hotels are managed by independent, third-

45

Table of Contents

party management companies under long-term agreements under which the management companies typically earn base and incentive management fees based on the levels of revenues and profitability of each individual hotel. We generally receive a cash distribution from the hotel management companies on a monthly basis, which reflects hotel-level sales less hotel-level operating expenses.

Key Indicators of Operating Performance

We use a variety of operating and other information to evaluate the operating performance of our business. These key indicators include financial information that is prepared in accordance with GAAP as well as other financial measures that are non-GAAP measures. In addition, we use other information that may not be financial in nature, including industry standard statistical information and comparative data. We use this information to measure the operating performance of our individual hotels, groups of hotels and/or business as a whole. We also use these metrics to evaluate the hotels in our portfolio and potential acquisitions to determine each hotel's contribution to cash flow and its potential to provide attractive long-term total returns. These key indicators include:

Occupancy—Occupancy represents the total number of hotel rooms sold in a given period divided by the total number of rooms available. Occupancy measures the utilization of our hotels' available capacity. We use occupancy to measure demand at a specific hotel or group of hotels in a given period. Additionally, occupancy levels help us determine achievable ADR levels.

Average Daily Rate (ADR)—ADR represents total hotel room revenues divided by total number of rooms sold in a given period. ADR measures average room price attained by a hotel and ADR trends provide useful information concerning the pricing environment and the nature of the customer base of a hotel or group of hotels. We use ADR to assess the pricing levels that we are able to generate, as changes in rates have a greater impact on operating margins and profitability than changes in occupancy.

Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR)—RevPAR is the product of ADR and occupancy. RevPAR does not include non-room revenues such as food and beverage revenue or other operating department revenues. We use RevPAR to identify trend information with respect to room revenues from comparable properties and to evaluate hotel performance on a regional basis.

RevPAR changes that are primarily driven by changes in occupancy have different implications for overall revenues and profitability than changes that are driven primarily by changes in ADR. For example, an increase in occupancy at a hotel would lead to additional variable operating costs (including housekeeping services, utilities and room supplies) and could also result in increased other operating department revenue and expense. Changes in ADR typically have a greater impact on operating margins and profitability as they only have a limited effect on variable operating costs.

Occupancy, ADR and RevPAR are commonly used measures within the lodging industry to evaluate operating performance. RevPAR is an important statistic for monitoring operating performance at the individual hotel level and across our entire business. We evaluate individual hotel RevPAR performance on an absolute basis with comparisons to budget and prior periods, as well as on a regional and company-wide basis. ADR and RevPAR include only room revenue. Room revenue comprised approximately 87.1% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 and is dictated by demand (as measured by occupancy), pricing (as measured by ADR) and our available supply of hotel rooms.

Another commonly used measure in the lodging industry is the RevPAR penetration index, which measures a hotel's RevPAR in relation to the average RevPAR of that hotel's competitive set. Like other lodging companies, we use the RevPAR penetration index as an indicator of a hotel's market share in relation to its competitive set. However, the RevPAR penetration index for a particular hotel is not necessarily reflective of that hotel's relative share of any particular lodging market. The RevPAR penetration index for a particular hotel is calculated as the quotient of (1) the subject hotel's RevPAR divided by (2) the average RevPAR of the hotels in the subject hotel's competitive set, multiplied by 100. For example, if a hotel's RevPAR is \$90 and the average RevPAR of the hotels in its competitive set is \$90, the RevPAR penetration index would be 100, which would indicate that the subject hotel is capturing its fair market share in relation to its competitive set (i.e., the hotel's RevPAR is, on average, the same as its competitors). If, however, a hotel's RevPAR is \$110 and the average RevPAR of the hotels in its competitive set is \$90, the RevPAR penetration index of the subject hotel would be 122.2, which would indicate that the subject hotel maintains a RevPAR premium of approximately 22.2% (and, therefore, a market share premium) in relation to its competitive

set.

One critical component in this calculation is the determination of a hotel's competitive set, which consists of a small group of hotels in the relevant market that we and the third-party hotel management company that manages the hotel believe are comparable for purposes of benchmarking the performance of such hotel. A hotel's competitive set is mutually agreed upon by us and the hotel's management company. Factors that we consider when establishing a competitive set include geographic proximity, brand affiliations and rate structure, as well as the level of service provided at the hotel. Competitive set

46

Table of Contents

determinations are highly subjective, however, and our methodology for determining a hotel's competitive set may differ materially from those used by other hotel owners and/or management companies.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the portfolio wide RevPAR penetration index of our hotels was 113.2 which indicates that, on average, our hotels maintained a market share premium of approximately 13.2% in relation to their competitive set.

We also use FFO, Adjusted FFO, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as non-GAAP measures of the operating performance of our business. See "—Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

Principal Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

The principal factors affecting our operating results include overall demand for hotel rooms compared to the supply of available hotel rooms, and the ability of our third-party management companies to increase or maintain revenues while controlling expenses.

Demand—The demand for lodging, especially business travel, generally fluctuates with the overall economy.

Historically, periods of declining demand are followed by extended periods of relatively strong demand, which typically occurs during the growth phase of the lodging cycle.

Supply—The development of new hotels is driven largely by construction costs, the availability of financing and expected performance of existing hotels.

We expect that our ADR, occupancy and RevPAR performance will be impacted by macroeconomic factors such as regional and local employment growth, government spending, personal income and corporate earnings, office vacancy rates and business relocation decisions, airport activity, business and leisure travel demand, new hotel construction and the pricing strategies of competitors. In addition, our ADR, occupancy and RevPAR performance are dependent on the continued success of the Marriott, Hilton and Hyatt brands.

Revenue—Substantially all of our revenue is derived from the operation of hotels. Specifically, our revenue is comprised of:

Room revenue—Occupancy and ADR are the major drivers of room revenue. Room revenue accounts for the substantial majority of our total revenue.

Food and beverage revenue—Occupancy, the nature of the property and the type of customer staying at the hotel are the major drivers of food and beverage revenue (i.e., group business typically generates more food and beverage business through catering functions when compared to transient business, which may or may not utilize the hotel's food and beverage outlets).

Other operating department revenue—Occupancy and the nature of the property are the main drivers of other ancillary revenue, such as telephone, parking and other guest services. Some hotels, due to the limited focus of the services offered and size or space limitations, may not have facilities that generate other operating department revenue.

Hotel Operating Expenses—The following presents the components of our hotel operating expenses:

Room expense—These costs include housekeeping wages and payroll taxes, reservation systems, room supplies, laundry services and front desk costs. Like room revenue, occupancy is the major driver of room expense and, therefore, room expense has a significant correlation to room revenue. These costs can increase based on increases in salaries and wages, as well as the level of service and amenities that are provided.

Food and beverage expense—These expenses primarily include food, beverage and labor costs. Occupancy and the type of customer staying at the hotel (i.e., catered functions generally are more profitable than restaurant, bar or other on-property food and beverage outlets) are the major drivers of food and beverage expense, which correlates closely with food and beverage revenue.

Management fees—Base management fees are computed as a percentage of gross revenue. Incentive management fees generally are paid when operating profits exceed certain threshold levels. See "Our Properties—Our Hotel Management Agreements."

Other operating expenses—These expenses include labor and other costs associated with the other operating department revenue, as well as labor and other costs associated with administrative departments, franchise fees, sales and marketing, repairs and maintenance and utility costs.

Table of Contents

Most categories of variable operating expenses, including labor costs such as housekeeping, fluctuate with changes in occupancy. Increases in occupancy are accompanied by increases in most categories of variable operating expenses, while increases in ADR typically only result in increases in limited categories of operating costs and expenses, such as franchise fees, management fees and credit card processing fee expenses which are based on hotel revenues. Thus, changes in ADR have a more significant impact on operating margins than changes in occupancy.

Results of Operations

At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 we owned 149, 145 and 141 properties, respectively. We sold or transferred three hotels during the periods presented, which are included in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Based on when a property is acquired or closed for renovation, operating results for certain properties are not comparable for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The properties listed in the table below are hereafter referred to as non-comparable properties:

Property	Location	Acquisition Date	Non-comparable property for the	
			years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011
Fairfield Inn & Suites Washington DC Downtown (1)	Washington, DC	June 1, 2010		x
Hotel Indigo New Orleans Garden District (2)	New Orleans, LA	October 26, 2010	x	x
Hampton Inn Houston Near The Galleria	Houston, TX	March 14, 2011		x
Courtyard Charleston Historic District	Charleston, SC	October 27, 2011		x
Residence Inn Bethesda Downtown	Bethesda, MD	May 29, 2012	x	x
Courtyard New York Manhattan Upper East Side	New York, NY	May 30, 2012	x	x
Hilton Garden Inn San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge	Emeryville, CA	June 11, 2012	x	x
Embassy Suites Boston Waltham	Waltham, MA	November 13, 2012	x	x
Courtyard Houston Downtown Convention Center	Houston, TX	March 19, 2013	x	n/a
Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center	Houston, TX	March 19, 2013	x	n/a
Humble Tower Apartments (3)	Houston, TX	March 19, 2013	x	n/a
Courtyard Waikiki Beach	Honolulu, HI	June 17, 2013	x	n/a
Courtyard San Francisco (4)	San Francisco, CA	June 21, 2013	x	n/a
Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic (5)	Atlanta, GA	August 6, 2013	x	n/a
SpringHill Suites Portland Hillsboro	Hillsboro, OR	October 8, 2013	x	n/a

(1)Property was closed for renovation from December 18, 2010 until March 29, 2011.

(2)Property was closed for renovation until December 27, 2012.

(3)Property was closed in February 2014. The conversion to a SpringHill Suites is expected to be complete by mid-2015.

(4)Property is closed and undergoing conversion. The conversion to a Courtyard is expected to be complete by late 2014.

(5)Property is closed and undergoing renovation. The renovation is expected to be complete in late 2014.

Table of Contents

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2013 to the year ended December 31, 2012

	For the year ended December 31,		\$ change	% change	
	2013	2012			(amounts in thousands)
Revenue					
Operating revenue					
Room revenue	\$844,741	\$738,207	\$106,534	14.4	%
Food and beverage revenue	97,083	87,549	9,534	10.9	%
Other operating department revenue	28,556	23,929	4,627	19.3	%
Total revenue	970,380	849,685	120,695	14.2	%
Expense					
Operating expense					
Room expense	186,667	162,039	24,628	15.2	%
Food and beverage expense	67,945	60,427	7,518	12.4	%
Management fee expense	34,956	29,906	5,050	16.9	%
Other operating expense	285,539	256,565	28,974	11.3	%
Total property operating expense	575,107	508,937	66,170	13.0	%
Depreciation and amortization	127,231	126,340	891	0.7	%
Property tax, insurance and other	63,627	52,745	10,882	20.6	%
General and administrative	35,466	31,086	4,380	14.1	%
Transaction and pursuit costs	4,410	3,520	890	25.3	%
Total operating expense	805,841	722,628	83,213	11.5	%
Operating income	164,539	127,057	37,482	29.5	%
Other income	903	433	470	108.5	%
Interest income	1,665	1,664	1	0.1	%
Interest expense	(64,348)) (83,689)) 19,341	(23.1))%
Loss on disposal	—	(634)) 634	(100.0))%
Gain on foreclosure	4,863	—	4,863	—	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	107,622	44,831	62,791	140.1	%
Income tax expense	(879)) (1,369)) 490	(35.8))%
Income from continuing operations	106,743	43,462	63,281	145.6	%
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	7,436	(2,143)) 9,579	(447.0))%
Net income	114,179	41,319	72,860	176.3	%
Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests					
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	(540)) 404	(944)	(233.7))%
Noncontrolling interest in common units of Operating Partnership	(718)) (425)) (293)) 68.9	%
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$112,921	\$41,298	\$71,623	173.4	%

Revenue

Total revenue increased \$120.7 million, or 14.2%, to \$970.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$849.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was a result of \$67.1 million in revenue attributable to non-comparable properties and a 7.1% increase in RevPAR at the comparable properties.

Table of Contents

The following are the key hotel operating statistics for comparable properties at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

	For the year ended December 31,		% Change	
	2013	2012		
Number of comparable properties (at end of period)	137	137		
Occupancy %	74.3	% 72.4	% 2.7	%
ADR	\$ 138.34	\$ 132.70	4.3	%
RevPAR	\$ 102.85	\$ 96.06	7.1	%

For the year ended December 31, 2013, portfolio RevPAR was \$105.58, representing a 9.0% increase over portfolio RevPAR for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in portfolio RevPAR was driven by a 5.8% increase in ADR and a 3.0% increase in occupancy.

Room Revenue

Our portfolio consists primarily of focused-service and compact full-service hotels that generate the majority of their revenues through room sales. Room revenue increased \$106.5 million, or 14.4%, to \$844.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$738.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was a result of \$57.9 million of room revenue from non-comparable properties and a 7.1% increase in RevPAR at the comparable properties.

Food and Beverage Revenue

Food and beverage revenue increased \$9.5 million, or 10.9%, to \$97.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$87.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase includes \$5.1 million in food and beverage revenue arising from non-comparable properties. Food and beverage revenue for the remainder of the portfolio increased \$4.4 million.

Other Operating Department Revenue

Other operating department revenue, which includes revenue derived from ancillary sources such as telephone charges and parking fees, increased \$4.6 million, or 19.3%, to \$28.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$23.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily due to \$4.1 million of other operating department revenue from non-comparable properties.

Property Operating Expense

Property operating expense increased \$66.2 million, or 13.0%, to \$575.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$508.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase includes \$40.5 million in property operating expense attributable to non-comparable properties. The remaining increase was primarily attributable to higher room expense, food and beverage expense, other operating department costs and management and franchise fees at the comparable properties. Room expense, food and beverage expense, and other operating department costs fluctuate based on various factors, including changes in occupancy, labor costs, utilities and insurance costs. Management fees and franchise fees, which are computed as a percentage of gross revenue and room revenue, respectively, increased as a result of higher revenues.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$0.9 million, or 0.7%, to \$127.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$126.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase is the result of a \$9.0 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense arising from non-comparable properties, partially offset by FF&E at hotels being fully depreciated during the periods and \$4.7 million of accelerated depreciation that was recorded in 2012, but not in 2013.

Property Tax, Insurance and Other

Property tax, insurance and other expense increased \$10.9 million, or 20.6%, to \$63.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$52.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase includes \$5.6 million in property

Table of Contents

tax, insurance and other expense attributable to non-comparable properties. The remaining increase of \$5.3 million is the net impact of increasing property tax assessments offset by favorable resolution of property tax appeals at comparable properties.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense increased \$4.4 million, or 14.1%, to \$35.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$31.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in general and administrative expense is primarily attributable to an increase in amortization of restricted share awards and performance units of \$1.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Interest Expense

The components of our interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Mortgage indebtedness	\$44,290	\$74,712
Revolving credit facility and term loans	15,574	3,525
Amortization of deferred financing fees	4,484	5,452
Total interest expense	\$64,348	\$83,689

Interest expense decreased \$19.3 million, or 23.1%, to \$64.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$83.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in interest expense was the result of a decrease of \$30.4 million of interest expense due to decreases in principal balances from mortgage amortization as well as \$566.6 million of mortgage principal balances that were paid down and a decrease in amortization of deferred financing fees of \$2.0 million. Partially offsetting this was an increase in interest incurred on the revolving credit facility and term loans of \$12.0 million and accelerated amortization of deferred financing fees related to the paydown of mortgage indebtedness of \$1.0 million.

Income Taxes

As part of our structure, we own TRSs that are subject to federal and state income taxes. Income tax expense decreased \$0.5 million to \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of the recognition of deferred tax benefits related to our TRSs in 2013. The effective tax rates were 10.1% and 6.2% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Income from Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations increased \$9.6 million to \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from a loss of \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes a \$2.1 million gain on the sale of the Fairfield Inn Memphis, Tennessee, a \$3.3 million gain on extinguishment of indebtedness from the Courtyard Goshen, Indiana and a \$2.4 million gain on extinguishment of indebtedness from the SpringHill Suites Southfield, Michigan.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2012 to the year ended December 31, 2011

	For the year ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	\$ change	% change	
	(amounts in thousands)				
Revenue					
Hotel operating revenue					
Room revenue	\$738,207	\$653,044	\$85,163	13.0	%
Food and beverage revenue	87,549	81,741	5,808	7.1	%
Other operating department revenue	23,929	20,120	3,809	18.9	%
Total revenue	849,685	754,905	94,780	12.6	%
Expense					
Operating expense					
Room expense	162,039	145,859	16,180	11.1	%
Food and beverage expense	60,427	56,540	3,887	6.9	%
Management fee expense	29,906	25,904	4,002	15.4	%
Other operating expense	256,565	229,810	26,755	11.6	%
Total property operating expense	508,937	458,113	50,824	11.1	%
Depreciation and amortization	126,340	127,420	(1,080)	(0.8))%
Property tax, insurance and other	52,745	46,289	6,456	13.9	%
General and administrative	31,086	24,175	6,911	28.6	%
Transaction and pursuit costs	3,520	3,996	(476)	(11.9))%
IPO costs	—	10,733	(10,733)	(100.0))%
Total operating expense	722,628	670,726	51,902	7.7	%
Operating income	127,057	84,179	42,878	50.9	%
Other income	433	1,001	(568)	(56.7))%
Interest income	1,664	1,682	(18)	(1.1))%
Interest expense	(83,689)	(95,362)	11,673	(12.2))%
Loss on disposal	(634)	—	(634)	—)%
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	44,831	(8,500)	53,331	(627.4))%
Income tax expense	(1,369)	(740)	(629)	85.0)%
Income (loss) from continuing operations	43,462	(9,240)	52,702	(570.4))%
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(2,143)	20,949	(23,092)	(110.2))%
Net income	41,319	11,709	29,610	252.9	%
Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests					
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	404	(47)	451	(959.6))%
Noncontrolling interest in common units of Operating Partnership	(425)	(255)	(170)	66.7)%
Net income attributable to common shareholders	41,298	11,407	29,891	262.0	%
Distributions to preferred unitholders	—	(61)	61	(100.0))%
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$41,298	\$11,346	\$29,952	264.0	%

Revenue

Total revenue increased \$94.8 million, or 12.6%, to \$849.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$754.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was a result of \$42.0 million in revenue

attributable to non-comparable properties and a 6.9% increase in RevPAR at the comparable properties.

Table of Contents

The following are the key hotel operating statistics for comparable properties at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

	For the year ended December 31,		% Change	
	2012	2011		
Number of comparable properties (at end of period)	134	134		
Occupancy %	72.3	% 71.6	% 1.1	%
ADR	\$131.79	\$124.64	5.7	%
RevPAR	\$95.34	\$89.22	6.9	%

For the year ended December 31, 2012, portfolio RevPAR was \$96.84, representing a 9.0% increase over portfolio RevPAR for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in portfolio RevPAR was driven by a 7.1% increase in ADR and a 1.8% increase in occupancy.

Room Revenue

Our portfolio consists primarily of focused-service and compact full-service hotels that generate the majority of their revenues through room sales. Room revenue increased \$85.2 million, or 13.0%, to \$738.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$653.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase was a result of \$37.3 million of room revenue from non-comparable properties and a 6.9% increase in RevPAR at the comparable properties.

Food and Beverage Revenue

Food and beverage revenue increased \$5.8 million, or 7.1%, to \$87.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$81.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase includes \$3.0 million in food and beverage revenue arising from non-comparable properties. Food and beverage revenue for the remainder of the portfolio increased \$2.8 million.

Other Operating Department Revenue

Other operating department revenue, which includes revenue derived from ancillary sources such as telephone charges and parking fees, increased \$3.8 million, or 18.9%, to \$23.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$20.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was due to \$1.7 million of other operating department revenue from non-comparable properties, a \$1.5 million increase in parking revenue across the portfolio and an increase of \$0.6 million of other operating revenue.

Hotel Operating Expense

Hotel operating expense increased \$50.8 million, or 11.1%, to \$508.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$458.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase includes \$23.0 million in hotel operating expense attributable to non-comparable properties. The remaining increase was primarily attributable to higher room expense, food and beverage expense, other operating department costs, and management and franchise fees at the comparable properties. Room expense, food and beverage expense and other operating department costs fluctuate based on various factors, including changes in occupancy, labor costs, utilities and insurance costs. Management fees and franchise fees, which are computed as a percentage of gross revenue and room revenue, respectively, increased as a result of higher revenues.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$1.1 million, or 0.8%, to \$126.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$127.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease is partially attributable to a net decrease of \$2.9 million of accelerated depreciation. For the years ended 2012 and 2011, we recorded accelerated depreciation on furniture, fixtures and equipment of \$4.7 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, in conjunction with brand conversions under our 2011 capital improvement plan. Additionally, the decrease reflects approximately \$69.3 million of furniture, fixtures and equipment that were fully depreciated during 2012. Partially offsetting this was a \$3.9 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense arising from non-comparable properties and a \$9.1 million increase in depreciation on building and furniture, fixtures and equipment for property improvement capital expenditures made during 2012.

Table of Contents

Property Tax, Insurance and Other

Property tax, insurance and other expense increased \$6.5 million, or 13.9%, to \$52.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$46.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase includes \$3.3 million in property tax, insurance and other expense attributable to non-comparable properties. The remaining increase of \$3.2 million is the net impact of increasing property tax assessments offset by favorable resolution of property tax appeals at the comparable properties.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense increased \$6.9 million, or 28.6%, to \$31.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in general and administrative expense is primarily attributable to an increase in amortization of restricted share awards of \$5.3 million, an increase in filing fees and other expenses of being a publicly traded company of \$0.4 million and an increase in professional fees of \$1.2 million.

Interest Expense

The components of our interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Mortgage indebtedness	\$74,712	83,363
Revolving credit facility and term loans	3,525	6,156
Amortization of deferred financing fees	5,452	5,842
Total interest expense	\$83,689	\$95,361

Interest expense decreased \$11.7 million, or 12.2%, to \$83.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$95.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in interest expense on mortgage loans of \$4.8 million, a decrease in interest expense on credit facilities and term loans of \$2.8 million and the expiration of unfavorable interest rate hedges resulting in a decrease in hedge driven interest expense of \$3.6 million. Additionally, in 2012, \$1.5 million was incurred for the prepayment of mortgage indebtedness, compared to \$2.9 million in 2011. Partially offsetting this decrease was \$1.8 million that was reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense related to hedges that were ineffective in offsetting variable cash flows.

Income Taxes

As part of our structure, we own taxable REIT subsidiaries that are subject to federal and state income taxes. The effective tax rates were 6.2% and 1.7% for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our income tax expense increased \$0.6 million to \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily as a result of the recording of a one-time benefit during 2011 related to the disposal of an acquired tax attribute of \$0.6 million.

Income from Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations decreased \$23.1 million to a loss of \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$20.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Income from discontinued operations for the year

ended December 31, 2011 includes a \$23.5 million gain on extinguishment of indebtedness from the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We consider the following non-GAAP financial measures useful to investors as key supplemental measures of our performance: (1) FFO, (2) Adjusted FFO, (3) EBITDA, and (4) Adjusted EBITDA. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered along with, but not as alternatives to, net income or loss as a measure of our operating performance. FFO,

Table of Contents

Adjusted FFO, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, as calculated by us, may not be comparable to FFO, Adjusted FFO, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as reported by other companies that do not define such terms exactly as we define such terms.

Funds From Operations

We calculate FFO in accordance with standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, which defines FFO as net income or loss (calculated in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains or losses from sales of real estate, impairment, items classified by GAAP as extraordinary, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, plus depreciation and amortization, and adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, most real estate industry investors consider FFO to be helpful in evaluating a real estate company's operations. We believe that the presentation of FFO provides useful information to investors regarding our operating performance and can facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between REITs, even though FFO does not represent an amount that accrues directly to common shareholders. Our calculation of FFO may not be comparable to measures calculated by other companies who do not use the NAREIT definition of FFO or do not calculate FFO per diluted share in accordance with NAREIT guidance. Additionally, FFO may not be helpful when comparing us to non-REITs. We present FFO attributable to common shareholders, which includes our OP units, because our OP units are redeemable for common shares. We believe it is meaningful for the investor to understand FFO attributable to all common shares and OP units.

We further adjust FFO for certain additional items that are not in NAREIT's definition of FFO, such as hotel transaction and pursuit costs, the amortization of share-based compensation, and certain other expenses that we consider outside the normal course of business. We believe that Adjusted FFO provides useful supplemental information to investors regarding our ongoing operating performance that, when considered with net income and FFO, is beneficial to an investor's understanding of our operating performance.

The following is a reconciliation of our GAAP net income to FFO and Adjusted FFO for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net income (1)	\$ 114,179	\$ 41,319	\$ 11,709
Gain on sale of property	(2,081) —	—
Depreciation and amortization	127,231	126,340	127,420
Distributions to preferred unitholders	—	—	(61
Loss on disposal	—	634	—
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness (2)	(5,708) —	(23,515
Impairment loss	—	896	—
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	(540) 404	(47
Adjustments related to discontinued operations (3)	199	458	3,294
Adjustments related to joint venture (4)	(484) (451) (308
FFO attributable to common shareholders	232,796	169,600	118,492
Gain on foreclosure	(4,863) —	—
Transaction and pursuit costs	4,410	3,520	3,996
IPO Costs (5)	—	—	10,733
Amortization of share based compensation	13,078	8,626	3,284
Loan related costs (6)(7)(8)	1,046	3,451	4,303
Other expenses (9)(10)(11)	157	436	1,362

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Adjusted FFO	\$246,624	\$185,633	\$142,170
--------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

(1) Includes net income from discontinued operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, this includes the gain on extinguishment of indebtedness from the

(2) SpringHill Suites Southfield, Michigan and Courtyard Goshen, Indiana. For the year ended December 31, 2011, this includes the

55

Table of Contents

gain on the transfer of title to the New York LaGuardia Marriott hotel to the lenders pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure. These gains are included in discontinued operations.

(3) Includes depreciation and amortization expense from discontinued operations.

(4) Includes depreciation and amortization expense allocated to the noncontrolling interest in joint venture.

(5) Includes expenses related to the transfer and assumption of indebtedness and other contractual obligations of our predecessor in connection with the IPO and our formation transactions.

(6) Includes \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 of default interest and penalties incurred in connection with SpringHill Suites Southfield, Michigan mortgage loan.

(7) Includes \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of accelerated amortization of deferred financing fees related to the amendment and restatement of our credit facility.

(8) Includes \$1.4 million and \$4.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of incremental interest expense related to the accelerated payoff of mortgage indebtedness.

(9) Includes \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 of accelerated amortization of deferred management fees.

(10) Includes less than \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of legal expenses outside the normal course of operations.

(11) Includes \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 of certain compensation obligations of our predecessor not continued.

Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization

EBITDA is defined as net income or loss excluding: (1) interest expense; (2) provision for income taxes, including income taxes applicable to sales of assets; and (3) depreciation and amortization. We consider EBITDA useful to an investor in evaluating and facilitating comparisons of our operating performance between periods and between REITs by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense) and asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization) from our operating results. In addition, EBITDA is used as one measure in determining the value of hotel acquisitions and dispositions. We present EBITDA attributable to common shareholders, which includes our OP units, because our OP units

are redeemable for common shares. We believe it is meaningful for the investor to understand EBITDA attributable to all common shares and OP units.

We further adjust EBITDA for certain additional items such as discontinued operations, hotel transaction and pursuit costs, the amortization of share-based compensation, and certain other expenses that we consider outside the normal course of business. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA provides useful supplemental information to investors regarding our ongoing operating performance that, when considered with net income and EBITDA, is beneficial to an investor's understanding of our operating performance.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of our GAAP net income to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net income (1)	\$114,179	\$41,319	\$11,709
Depreciation and amortization	127,231	126,340	127,420
Distributions to preferred unitholders	—	—	(61)
Interest expense, net (2)	64,317	83,653	95,308
Income tax expense	879	1,369	740
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	(540)) 404	(47)
Adjustments related to discontinued operations (3)	572	1,744	4,440
Adjustments related to joint venture (4)	(484)) (1,199)) (1,007)
EBITDA	306,154	253,630	238,502
Transaction and pursuit costs	4,410	3,520	3,996
Gain on sale of property	(2,081)) —	—
IPO Costs (5)	—	—	10,733
Gain on foreclosure	(4,863)) —	—
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness (6)	(5,708)) —	(23,515)
Impairment loss	—	896	—
Loss on disposal	—	634	—
Amortization of share based compensation	13,078	8,626	3,284
Other expenses (7)(8)(9)	157	436	1,362
Adjusted EBITDA	\$311,147	\$267,742	\$234,362

(1) Includes net income from discontinued operations.

(2) Excludes amounts attributable to investment in loans of \$1.6 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(3) Includes depreciation, amortization and interest expense related to discontinued operations.

(4) Includes depreciation, amortization and interest expense allocated to the noncontrolling interest in joint venture.

(5) Includes expenses related to the transfer and assumption of indebtedness and other contractual obligations of our predecessor in connection with the IPO and our formation transactions.

(6) For the year ended December 31, 2013, this includes the gain on extinguishment of indebtedness from the SpringHill Suites Southfield, Michigan and Courtyard Goshen, Indiana. For the year ended December 31, 2011, this includes the gain on the transfer of title to the New York LaGuardia Marriott hotel to the lenders pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure. These gains are included in discontinued operations.

(7) Includes \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 of accelerated amortization of deferred management fees.

(8) Includes less than \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of legal expenses outside the normal course of operations.

(9) Includes \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 of certain compensation obligations of our predecessor not continued.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, including:

• recurring maintenance and capital expenditures necessary to maintain our properties in accordance with brand standards;

Table of Contents

• interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness;

• distributions necessary to qualify for taxation as a REIT; and

• capital expenditures to improve our properties, including capital expenditures required by our franchisors.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through net cash provided by operations, existing cash balances and, if necessary, short-term borrowings under our unsecured revolving credit facility and proceeds from our recent underwritten public offering of common shares. On March 25, 2013, the Company completed an offering of 15,870,000 common shares generating gross proceeds of approximately \$342.8 million and proceeds, net of underwriter' discounts and other offering costs, of approximately \$327.5 million.

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for the costs of acquiring additional properties and redevelopments, renovations, expansions and other capital expenditures that need to be made periodically with respect to our properties and scheduled debt payments. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements through various sources of capital, including our unsecured revolving credit facility and future equity (including OP units) or debt offerings, existing working capital, net cash provided by operations, long-term hotel mortgage indebtedness and other secured and unsecured borrowings. However, there are a number of factors that may have a material adverse effect on our ability to access these capital sources, including the current state of overall equity and credit markets, our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions imposed by lenders, general market conditions for REITs, our operating performance and liquidity and market perceptions about us. The success of our business strategy will depend, in part, on our ability to access these various capital sources.

Our properties will require periodic capital expenditures and renovation to remain competitive. In addition, acquisitions, redevelopments or expansions of properties will require significant capital outlays. We may not be able to fund such capital improvements solely from net cash provided by operations because we must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deductions for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain, to qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT, and we are subject to tax on any retained income and gain. As a result, our ability to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions or property redevelopment through retained earnings is very limited. Consequently, we expect to rely heavily upon the availability of debt or equity capital for these purposes. If we are unable to obtain the necessary capital on favorable terms, or at all, our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

Credit Facilities

We entered into a credit agreement on November 20, 2012 that provides for (i) an unsecured revolving credit facility of up to \$300.0 million with a scheduled maturity date of November 20, 2016 with a one-year extension option, or the Revolver, and (ii) an unsecured term loan of \$275.0 million with a scheduled maturity date of November 20, 2017, or the 2012 Five-Year Term Loan. The credit agreement amends and restates in its entirety our prior unsecured revolving credit facility, which was originally entered into as of June 20, 2011. In addition, on November 20, 2012 we also entered into an unsecured term loan of \$125.0 million with a scheduled maturity date of November 20, 2019, or the Seven-Year Term Loan. On August 27, 2013, the Company amended the Seven-Year Term Loan to increase the borrowings on the Seven-Year Term Loan to \$225.0 million.

We also entered into an unsecured \$350.0 million term loan on August 27, 2013 with a scheduled maturity date of August 27, 2018, or the 2013 Five-Year Term Loan, and collectively with the 2012 Five-Year Term Loan and the

Seven-Year Term Loan, the Term Loans.

The credit agreements for these loans require that a group of no less than 20 of our hotel properties remain unencumbered by outstanding indebtedness. The credit agreements contain certain financial covenants relating to our maximum leverage ratio, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum secured indebtedness. If an event of default exists we are not permitted to make distributions to shareholders, other than those required to qualify for and maintain REIT status. As of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all financial covenants.

In 2012, we incurred \$5.2 million in fees related to the Revolver, 2012 Five-Year Term Loan and Seven-Year Term Loan. In 2013, we incurred \$3.0 million in fees related to the 2013 Five-Year Term Loan and the Seven-Year Term Loan amendment. All of these amounts were deferred and are being amortized over their respective terms.

Table of Contents

Borrowings under the Revolver and Term Loans bear interest at variable rates equal to the London InterBank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, plus an applicable margin. The margin ranges from 1.65% to 3.00%, depending on our leverage ratio, as calculated under the terms of each facility. We incur an unused facility fee on the Revolver of between 0.25% and 0.35%, based on the amount by which the maximum borrowing amount exceeds the total principal balance of outstanding borrowings.

Under the terms of the credit agreement for the Revolver, one or more standby letters of credit, up to a maximum aggregate outstanding balance of \$30.0 million, may be issued on our behalf by the lenders under the Revolver. We will incur a fee of 0.125% of the value of each standby letter of credit that is issued on its behalf. Any outstanding standby letters of credit reduce the available borrowings on the Revolver by a corresponding amount. No standby letters of credit were outstanding at December 31, 2013. We also may borrow up to a maximum aggregate outstanding balance of \$40.0 million of swingline loans. Any outstanding swingline loans reduce the available borrowings on the credit facility by a corresponding amount. No swingline loans were outstanding at December 31, 2013.

Prior Credit Facility

We entered into an unsecured revolving credit facility, or the Prior Credit Facility, on June 20, 2011, that provided for maximum borrowings of up to \$300.0 million. The Prior Credit Facility required that a group of no less than 15 of our hotel properties remain unencumbered by outstanding indebtedness. The Prior Credit Facility contained certain financial covenants relating to our maximum leverage ratio, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum secured indebtedness. If an event of default existed, we were not permitted to make distributions to shareholders, other than those required to qualify for and maintain REIT status. As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all financial covenants. On November 20, 2012, the Prior Credit Facility agreement was amended and restated in its entirety with the Revolver and 2012 Five-Year Term Loan as discussed above.

We incurred \$3.0 million in fees related to the Prior Credit Facility that were deferred and were being amortized over its term. On November 20, 2012, when the Prior Credit Facility agreement was amended and restated in its entirety, approximately \$1.7 million of the fees unamortized at the time of the amendment and restatement were transferred to the Revolver and will be amortized over the term of that credit facility.

Borrowings under the Prior Credit Facility bore interest at variable rates equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The margin ranged from 2.25% to 3.25%, depending on our leverage ratio, as calculated under the terms of the credit facility. We incurred an unused facility fee of between 0.30% and 0.40%, based on the amount by which the maximum borrowing amount exceeded the total principal balance of outstanding borrowings.

Prior Term Loan

On January 14, 2011, Fund III entered into a \$140.0 million unsecured term loan, or the Prior Term Loan. Fund III agreed to maintain an unencumbered asset pool of ten hotel properties during the term of the Prior Term Loan. The Prior Term Loan had an original maturity date of September 30, 2011, with two six-month extension options, and bore interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%, with a LIBOR floor of 1.00%. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we incurred \$5.1 million of interest expense related to the Prior Term Loan. On September 30, 2011, we amended the Prior Term Loan to extend the maturity date to November 15, 2011, with one extension to December 31, 2011, at our option. On October 21, 2011, the Prior Term Loan was fully repaid.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, details of the credit facilities are as follows (in thousands):

	Outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2013	Interest rate at December 31, 2013 (1)	Interest expense for the year ended December 31,		
			2013	2012	2011
Revolver (2)	\$—	n/a	1,222	158	—
2012 Five-Year Term Loan	275,000	1.87%	5,453	662	—
Seven-Year Term Loan (3)	225,000	4.04%	5,049	359	—
2013 Five-Year Term Loan (4)	350,000	3.25%	3,850	—	—
Prior Credit Facility (5)	—	n/a	—	2,345	662
Prior Term Loan	—	n/a	—	—	5,494
Total	\$850,000		\$15,574	\$3,525	\$6,156

(1) Interest rate at December 31, 2013 gives effect to interest rate hedges and LIBOR floors, as applicable.

(2) Includes the unused facility fee of \$1.0 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(3) Includes interest expense related to an interest rate hedge of \$1.3 million for year ended December 31, 2013.

(4) Includes interest expense related to an interest rate hedge of \$1.6 million for year ended December 31, 2013.

(5) Includes the unused facility fee of \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Our Outstanding Mortgage Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we were subject to the following mortgage loans (in thousands):

Lender	Number of Assets Encumbered	Interest rate at December 31, 2013 (1)	Maturity Date	Principal balance at,	
				December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3) \$68,500	\$68,500
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3) 17,500	17,500
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3) 21,000	21,000
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3) 11,000	11,000
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3) 24,000	24,000
Capmark Financial Group	1	6.12%	April 2015	4,068	4,202
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	May 2015	10,916	11,298
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	June 2015	4,736	4,901
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	2,475	2,561
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	4,063	4,203
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	9,470	9,798
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	8,452	8,745
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	7,578	7,839
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	5,255	5,434
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	8,142	8,422

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	4,962	5,134
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	32,901	34,042
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	6,251	6,466
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	5,568	5,762
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,399	6,621

60

Table of Contents

Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	8,156	8,437
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,394	6,614
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	7,038	7,280
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,399	6,621
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	7,305	7,558
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	9,166	9,484
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.50%	July 2015	6,450	6,673
Barclay's Bank	1	5.44%	Sept 2015	10,521	10,883
PNC Bank (4)	7	2.52%	(2) May 2016	(5) 85,000	85,000
Wells Fargo (6)	2	4.19%	(2) Sept 2016	(7) 82,000	—
Wells Fargo	1	4.19%	(2) Sept 2016	(7) 33,000	—
Wells Fargo	1	4.19%	(2) Sept 2016	(7) 35,000	—
Merrill Lynch			July 2016	—	9,180
Merrill Lynch			July 2016	—	7,684
Merrill Lynch			July 2016	—	9,194
Wachovia Securities			July 2016	—	487,296
Wachovia Securities			July 2016	—	6,582
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley			July 2016	—	34,823
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley			July 2016	—	6,753
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley			July 2016	—	9,616
Merrill Lynch			July 2016	—	5,531
VFC Partners 20 LLC			Sept 2016	—	5,014
	39			\$559,665	\$997,651

(1) Interest rate at December 31, 2013 gives effect to interest rate hedges and LIBOR floors, as applicable.

(2) Requires payments of interest only until the commencement of the extension period(s).

(3) Maturity date may be extended for up to two additional one-year terms at our option, subject to certain lender requirements.

(4) The seven hotels encumbered by the PNC Bank loan are cross-collateralized.

(5) Maturity date may be extended for one one-year term at our option, subject to certain lender requirements.

(6) The two hotels encumbered by the Wells Fargo loan are cross-collateralized.

(7) Maturity date may be extended for four one-year terms at our option, subject to certain lender requirements.

Sources and Uses of Cash

As of December 31, 2013, we had \$332.2 million of cash and cash equivalents compared to \$115.9 million at December 31, 2012.

Cash flows from Operating Activities

Net cash flow provided by operating activities totaled \$251.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Net income of \$114.2 million included significant non-cash expenses, including \$127.4 million of depreciation and amortization, \$13.1 million of amortization of share-based compensation, \$4.5 million of amortization of deferred

financing fees, \$1.1 million of amortization of deferred management fees and \$0.1 million of expense related to the grant of shares to our trustees, partially offset by a \$5.7 million gain on extinguishment of indebtedness, a \$4.9 million gain on foreclosure, a \$2.1 million gain on sale of a property and \$0.5 million of accretion of interest income on investment in loans. In addition, changes in operating assets and liabilities due to the timing of cash receipts and payments from our hotels resulted in net cash inflow of \$4.1 million.

Net cash flow provided by operating activities totaled \$176.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income of \$41.3 million included significant non-cash expenses, including \$126.8 million of depreciation, \$8.6 million of amortization of share-based compensation, \$5.5 million of amortization of deferred financing costs, \$1.0 million of

Table of Contents

amortization of deferred management fees, \$0.9 million loss on impairment, \$0.6 million loss on disposal and \$0.2 million of expense relate to the grant of shares to our trustees. In addition, changes in operating assets and liabilities due to the timing of cash receipts and payments from our hotels resulted in net cash outflow of \$8.8 million.

Net cash flow provided by operating activities totaled \$134.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net income of \$11.7 million included significant non-cash expenses, including \$130.7 million of depreciation, \$5.8 million of amortization of deferred financing costs, \$1.0 million of amortization of deferred management fees and \$3.3 million of amortization of share-based compensation. In addition, there was a gain on the extinguishment of indebtedness of \$23.5 million and changes in operating assets and liabilities due to the timing of cash receipts and payments from our hotels, which resulted in net cash inflow of \$5.0 million.

Cash flows from Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities totaled \$262.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to \$208.2 million used for the purchase of four hotels, \$0.2 million paid for additions to property and equipment and \$61.1 million in routine capital improvements and additions to hotels and other properties. These were partially offset by \$2.7 million of purchase deposits applied against property acquisitions, the net releases from restricted cash reserves of \$2.4 million in conjunction with the completion of renovation projects and \$2.4 million of proceeds resulting from the sale of a property.

Net cash flow used in investing activities totaled \$363.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to \$247.0 million used for the purchase of four hotels, \$9.9 million in purchase deposits paid, \$0.3 million paid for additions to property and equipment, \$114.1 million in routine improvements and additions to hotels and \$15.1 million for a major redevelopment project. These were partially offset by \$0.2 million of principal payments on investment in loans and the net releases from restricted cash reserves of \$22.5 million in conjunction with the completion of renovation projects.

Net cash flow used in investing activities totaled \$347.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to \$236.9 million used for the purchase of nine hotels, \$91.7 million in routine capital improvements and additions to hotels, \$1.8 million for a major redevelopment project and the net funding of restricted cash reserves of \$16.8 million.

Cash flows from Financing Activities

Net cash flow provided by financing activities totaled \$227.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to \$450.0 million of aggregate proceeds from the unsecured term loans, \$327.5 million from the issuance and sale of common shares of beneficial interest, \$150.0 million in proceeds from mortgage loans and \$205.5 million in borrowings on the Revolver. This was offset by \$577.4 million of mortgage loan repayments, \$221.5 million of repayments on the Revolver, \$98.1 million in payment of distributions to shareholders and unitholders, \$5.0 million paid for deferred financing fees and \$4.0 million of shares acquired to satisfy the statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations of certain employees in connection with the vesting of restricted common shares of beneficial interest issued to such employees under our 2011 Plan.

Net cash flow used in financing activities totaled \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to \$429.1 million of mortgage loan repayments, \$85.0 million repayment of the Prior Credit Facility, \$69.3 million in payment of distributions to shareholders and unitholders, \$6.9 million paid for deferred financing fees and \$2.3 million of shares acquired to satisfy the statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations of certain employees in connection with the vesting of restricted common shares of beneficial interest issued to such employees under our 2011 Plan. This was offset by \$400.0 million of aggregate proceeds from the Five-Year Term Loan and Seven-Year Term Loan, \$85.0 million in borrowings on the Prior Credit Facility, \$85.0 million in proceeds from mortgage loans and \$16.0 million in borrowings on the Revolver.

Net cash flow provided by financing activities totaled \$255.7 million for year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to \$528.3 million from the issuance and sale of common shares of beneficial interest, \$142.0 million in proceeds from mortgage loans, \$140.0 million in proceeds from the term loan and \$126.7 million in net contributions from partners. This was offset by \$489.3 million of mortgage loan repayments, \$140.0 million repayment of the term loan, \$16.3 million of partners' distributions, \$24.7 million in payment of distributions to shareholders and unitholders, \$6.2 million paid for deferred financing fees, \$3.1 million in payment of member distributions, \$0.5 million of payments for the redemption of preferred units, a \$0.5 million distribution related to the joint venture noncontrolling interest and \$0.7 million of shares acquired to satisfy the statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations of certain employees in connection with the vesting of restricted common shares of beneficial interest issued to such employees under our 2011 Plan.

Table of Contents

Capital Expenditures and Reserve Funds

We maintain each of our properties in good repair and condition and in conformity with applicable laws and regulations, franchise agreements and management agreements. The cost of all such routine improvements and alterations are paid out of FF&E reserves, which are funded by a portion of each property's gross revenues. Routine capital expenditures are administered by the property management companies. However, we have approval rights over the capital expenditures as part of the annual budget process for each of our properties.

From time to time, certain of our hotels may be undergoing renovations as a result of our decision to upgrade portions of the hotels, such as guestrooms, public space, meeting space, and/or restaurants, in order to better compete with other hotels in our markets. In addition, upon acquisition of a hotel we often are required to complete a property improvement plan in order to bring the hotel up to the respective franchisor's standards. If permitted by the terms of the management agreement, funding for a renovation will first come from the FF&E reserves. To the extent that the FF&E reserves are not available or adequate to cover the cost of the renovation, we will fund all or the remaining portion of the renovation with cash and cash equivalents on hand, our Revolver and/or other sources of available liquidity.

With respect to some of our hotels that are operated under franchise agreements with major national hotel brands and for some of our hotels subject to first mortgage liens, we are obligated to maintain FF&E reserve accounts for future capital expenditures at these hotels. The amount funded into each of these reserve accounts is generally determined pursuant to the management agreements, franchise agreements and/or mortgage loan documents for each of the respective hotels, and typically ranges between 1.0% and 5.0% of the respective hotel's total gross revenue. As of December 31, 2013, approximately \$57.5 million was held in FF&E reserve accounts for future capital expenditures.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2013, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

Obligations and Commitments	Amount of commitment expiration per period						Total
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	
Mortgage loans and interest (1)	\$ 165,041	\$ 195,401	\$ 240,603	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 601,045
Borrowings under credit facilities (2)	25,586	25,586	25,586	24,727	18,549	232,562	352,596
Ground rent	4,650	4,650	4,650	4,650	4,650	428,790	452,040
Operating lease obligations	899	926	—	—	—	—	1,825
	\$ 196,176	\$ 226,563	\$ 270,839	\$ 29,377	\$ 23,199	\$ 661,352	\$ 1,407,506

(1) Amounts include principal and interest payments. Interest payments have been included in the long-term debt obligations based on the interest rate at December 31, 2013, considering the effect of interest rate swaps.

(2) Amounts include principal and interest payments. Interest expense is calculated based on the variable rate as of December 31, 2013. It is assumed that the outstanding debt as of December 31, 2013 will be repaid upon maturity with interest-only payments until then.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements and the

reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual amounts may differ significantly from these estimates and assumptions. We have provided a summary of our significant accounting policies in the notes to the combined consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this filing. We have set forth below those accounting policies that we believe require material subjective or complex judgments and have the most significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations. We evaluate our estimates, assumptions and judgments on an ongoing basis, based on information that is then available to us, our experience and various matters that we believe are reasonable and appropriate for consideration under the circumstances.

Table of Contents

Investment in Hotel and Other Properties

Our acquisitions generally consist of land, land improvements, buildings, building improvements, FF&E and inventory. We may also acquire intangibles related to in-place leases, management agreements and franchise agreements when properties are acquired. We allocate the purchase price among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values.

Our investments in hotels and other properties are carried at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 15 years for land improvements, 15 years for building improvements, 40 years for buildings and three to five years for FF&E. Intangibles arising from acquisitions are amortized using the straight-line method over the non-cancelable portion of the term of the agreement. Maintenance and repairs are expensed and major renewals or improvements are capitalized. Upon the sale or disposition of a property, the asset and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the related gain or loss is included in discontinued operations.

We consider each individual property to be an identifiable component of the business. In accordance with the guidance on impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, we do not consider a property as “held for sale” until it is probable that the sale will be completed within one year and the other requisite criteria for such classification have been met. Once a property is designated as held for sale the operations for that property are included in discontinued operations. We do not depreciate properties so long as they are classified as held for sale. Upon designation of a property as being held for sale and quarterly thereafter, we review the realizability of the carrying value, less cost to sell, in accordance with the guidance. Any such adjustment in the carrying value of a property classified as held for sale is reflected in discontinued operations.

We assess the carrying values of each property whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of these properties may not be fully recoverable. Recoverability of the property is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the property to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows which take into account current market conditions and our intent with respect to holding or disposing of the property. If our analysis indicates that the carrying value of the property is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, we recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the property. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques, including internally developed discounted cash flow models, comparable market transactions and third party appraisals, where considered necessary.

The use of projected future cash flows is based on assumptions that are consistent with a market participant’s future expectations for the travel industry and economy in general and our plans to manage the underlying properties. However, assumptions and estimates about future cash flows and capitalization rates are complex and subjective. Changes in economic and operating conditions and our ultimate investment intent that occur subsequent to a current impairment analysis could impact these assumptions and result in future impairment charges of the properties.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenue comprises hotel operating revenue, such as room revenue, food and beverage revenue and revenue from other hotel operating departments (such as telephone, parking and business centers). These revenues are recorded net of any sales and occupancy taxes collected from guests. All rebates or discounts are recorded as a reduction to revenue, and there are no material contingent obligations with respect to rebates and discounts offered by the hotels. All revenues are recorded on an accrual basis as earned. Appropriate allowances are made for doubtful accounts and are recorded as bad debt expense. The allowances are calculated as a percentage of aged accounts receivable, based on individual hotel management company policy. Cash received prior to guest arrival is recorded as an advance from the guest and recognized as revenue at the time of occupancy.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, commencing with the taxable year ended December 31, 2011. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we currently distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain. Our current intention is to adhere to these requirements and maintain the qualification for taxation as a REIT. As a REIT, we generally are not subject to federal corporate income tax on that portion of net income that is currently distributed. If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may

Table of Contents

be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on undistributed taxable income.

Taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. As a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, the taxable REIT subsidiary is required to pay income taxes at the applicable rates. Our consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the income tax provision or benefit related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiary as well as state income taxes incurred.

Where required, deferred income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases and for operating loss, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards based on enacted income tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies.

We perform an annual review for any uncertain tax positions and, if necessary, will record expected future tax consequences of uncertain tax positions in the financial statements. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we did not identify any uncertain tax positions.

Share-Based Compensation

From time to time, we may issue share-based awards under the 2011 Plan as compensation to officers, employees and non-employee trustees. The vesting of awards issued to officers and employees is based on either continued employment (time-based) or based on the relative total shareholder returns of the Company and continued employment (performance-based), as determined by the board of trustees at the date of grant. For time-based awards, we recognize compensation expense for non-vested shares on a straight-line basis over the vesting period based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, adjusted for forfeitures. For performance-based awards, we recognize compensation expense over the requisite service period for each award, based on the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, as determined using a Monte Carlo simulation, adjusted for forfeitures.

Inflation

We rely entirely on the performance of the properties and their ability to increase revenues to keep pace with inflation. Increases in the costs of operating our hotels due to inflation would adversely affect the operating performance of our TRSs, which in turn, could inhibit the ability of our TRSs to make required rent payments to us. Hotel management companies, in general, possess the ability to adjust room rates daily to reflect the effects of inflation. However, competitive pressures may limit the ability of our hotel management companies to raise room rates.

Seasonality

Depending on a hotel's location and market, operations for the hotel may be seasonal in nature. This seasonality can be expected to cause fluctuations in our quarterly operating performance. Demand is generally lower in the winter months for hotels located in non-resort markets due to decreased travel and higher in the spring and summer months during the peak travel season. Accordingly, we expect that we will have lower revenue, operating income and cash flow in the first and fourth quarters and higher revenue, operating income and cash flow in the second and third quarters.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. Our primary market risk exposure is to changes in interest rates on our variable rate debt. As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.2 billion of total variable debt outstanding (or 87.0% of total indebtedness) with a weighted average interest rate of 3.21% per annum. If market rates of interest on our

variable rate debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013 were to increase by 1.00%, or 100 basis points, interest expense would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$4.6 million annually, taking into account our existing contractual hedging arrangements.

Our interest rate risk objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate fluctuations on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, we manage our exposure to fluctuations in market interest rates through the use of fixed rate debt instruments to the extent that reasonably favorable rates are obtainable. We have entered into derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps to mitigate our interest rate risk or to effectively lock the interest rate on a portion of our variable rate debt. We do not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For debt obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2013, the following table presents principal repayments and related weighted average interest rates by contractual maturity dates (in thousands):

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total	
Fixed rate debt	\$—	\$182,665	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$182,665	
Weighted average interest rate	—	5.56	% —	—	—	—	5.56	%
Variable rate debt	\$142,000	\$—	\$235,000	\$275,000	\$350,000	\$225,000	\$1,227,000	
Weighted average interest rate (1)	3.77	% —	3.58	% 1.87	% 3.25	% 4.04	% 3.21	%
Total	\$142,000	\$182,665	\$235,000	\$275,000	\$350,000	\$225,000	\$1,409,665	

(1)The weighted average interest rate gives effect to interest rate swaps and LIBOR floors, as applicable.

The foregoing table reflects indebtedness outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and does not consider indebtedness, if any, incurred or repaid after that date. Our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during future periods, prevailing interest rates, and our hedging strategies at that time.

Changes in market interest rates on our fixed rate debt impact the fair value of the debt, but such changes have no impact on our combined consolidated financial statements. As of December 31, 2013, the estimated fair value of our fixed rate debt was \$188.0 million, which is based on having the same debt service requirements that could have been borrowed at the date presented, at prevailing current market interest rates. If interest rates were to rise by 1.00%, or 100 basis points, and our fixed rate debt balance remains constant, we expect the fair value of our debt to decrease by approximately \$1.8 million.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See Index to the Financial Statements on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management has evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act), as required by paragraph (b) of Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of December 31, 2013, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports filed or submitted with the Securities and Exchange Commission (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act). The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluations of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO, in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992). Based on this assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears on page F-2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other information.

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or in Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following is a list of documents filed as a part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements

Included herein at pages F-1 through F-37.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

The following financial statement schedule is included herein at pages F-38 through F-45:

Schedule III—Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation for RLJ Lodging Trust

All other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X are either not required to be included herein under the related instructions, are inapplicable or the related information is included in the footnotes to the applicable financial statement and, therefore, have been omitted.

(3) Exhibits

The exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed in the Exhibit Index on pages 70 to 72 of this report, which is incorporated by reference herein.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on February 27, 2014.

RLJ LODGING TRUST

By: /s/ THOMAS J. BALTIMORE, JR.

Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr.

President, Chief Executive Officer and Trustee

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ ROBERT L. JOHNSON Robert L. Johnson	Executive Chairman and Trustee	February 27, 2014
/s/ THOMAS J. BALTIMORE, JR. Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr.	President, Chief Executive Officer and Trustee (principal executive officer)	February 27, 2014
/s/ LESLIE D. HALE Leslie D. Hale	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (principal financial officer)	February 27, 2014
/s/ CHRISTOPHER A. GORMSEN Christopher A. Gormsen	Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	February 27, 2014
/s/ EVAN BAYH Evan Bayh	Trustee	February 27, 2014
/s/ NATHANIEL A. DAVIS Nathaniel A. Davis	Trustee	February 27, 2014
/s/ ROBERT M. LA FORGIA Robert M. La Forgia	Trustee	February 27, 2014
/s/ GLENDA G. MCNEAL Glenda G. McNeal	Trustee	February 27, 2014
/s/ JOSEPH RYAN Joseph Ryan	Trustee	February 27, 2014

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
2.1	Merger Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2011, by and among RLJ Lodging Fund II, L.P., RLJ Lodging Fund II (PF #1), L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Capital Partners II, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on March 15, 2011)
2.2	Merger Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2011, by and among RLJ Real Estate Fund III, L.P., RLJ Real Estate Fund III (PF #1), L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Capital Partners III, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on March 15, 2011)
2.3	Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2011, by and between RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Development, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on March 15, 2011)
2.4	First Amendment to Contribution Agreement, dated as of April 25, 2011, by and between RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Development, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on April 29, 2011)
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Declaration of Trust of RLJ Lodging Trust (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed on May 5, 2011)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of RLJ Lodging Trust (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed on May 5, 2011)
4.1	Form of Specimen Common Share Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on April 29, 2011)
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust and the persons listed on Schedule I thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust and the persons listed on Schedule I thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
10.1	Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated May 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
10.2	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
10.3	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Evan Bayh (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
10.4	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Ross H. Bierkan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
10.5	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Nathaniel Davis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
10.6	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Leslie D. Hale (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
10.7	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Robert L. Johnson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19,

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

- 2011)
- 10.8 Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Robert M. La Forgia (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
- 10.9 Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Glenda McNeal (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
- 10.10 Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Joseph Ryan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011)
- 10.11 RLJ Lodging Trust 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on May 5, 2011)

70

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 10.12 Form of Restricted Share Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on May 5, 2011)
- 10.13 Form of Restricted Share Agreement for Trustees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on May 5, 2011)
- 10.14 Form of Non-Qualified Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on April 13, 2011)
- 10.15 Form of Share Units Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on April 13, 2011)
- 10.16 Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Robert L. Johnson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on May 5, 2011)
- 10.17 Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on May 5, 2011)
- 10.18 Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Leslie D. Hale (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on May 5, 2011)
- 10.19 Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Ross H. Bierkan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on May 5, 2011)
- 10.20 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2012, by and among the Operating Partnership, the Company, Wells Fargo Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, PNC Bank, National Association, as Documentation Agent, Compass Bank, an Alabama corporation, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., and U.S. Bank National Association, as Senior Managing Agents, Royal Bank of Canada and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, as Managing Agents, Wells Fargo Securities LLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 27, 2012)
- 10.21 Amended and Restated Guaranty, dated as of November 20, 2012, by the Company and certain subsidiaries of the Company party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 27, 2012)
- 10.22 Form of WLS Management Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (File. No. 333-172011) filed on April 13, 2011)
- 10.23 Term Loan Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2012, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, PNC Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent, Capital One, N.A., as Documentation Agent, Raymond James, as Managing Agent, Wells Fargo Securities LLC and PNC Capital Markets LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 2013)
- 10.24 First Amendment to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of August 27, 2013, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, PNC Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 2013)
- 10.25 Additional Lender Supplement, dated as of August 27, 2013, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 2013)
- 10.26

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Guaranty, dated as of November 20, 2012, by RLJ Lodging Trust and certain subsidiaries of RLJ Lodging Trust party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 2013)

10.27 Term Loan Agreement, dated as of August 27, 2013, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, PNC Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent, Bank of America, N.A., Barclays Bank PLC, Compass Bank, an Alabama Banking Corporation, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Documentation Agents, and Wells Fargo Securities LLC and PNC Capital Markets LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 2013)

10.28 Guaranty, dated as of August 27, 2013, by RLJ Lodging Trust and certain subsidiaries of RLJ Lodging Trust party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 2013)

21.1* List of subsidiaries of RLJ Lodging Trust

71

Table of Contents

23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report

*Filed herewith

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RLJ Lodging Trust:

<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
Combined Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Statements of Changes in Owners' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>F-8</u>
<u>Notes to Combined Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Schedule III—Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2013</u>	<u>F-38</u>

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders

RLJ Lodging Trust:

In our opinion, the combined consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of RLJ Lodging Trust and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related combined consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits (which were integrated audits in 2012 and 2013). We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

McLean, Virginia

February 27, 2014

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Combined Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Investment in hotel and other properties, net	\$3,241,163	\$3,073,483
Investment in loans	8,208	12,426
Cash and cash equivalents	332,248	115,861
Restricted cash reserves	62,430	64,787
Hotel and other receivables, net of allowance of \$234 and \$194, respectively	22,762	22,738
Deferred financing costs, net	11,599	11,131
Deferred income tax asset	2,529	2,206
Purchase deposits	7,246	9,910
Prepaid expense and other assets	29,789	33,843
Total assets	\$3,717,974	\$3,346,385
Liabilities and Equity		
Borrowings under revolving credit facility	\$—	\$16,000
Mortgage loans	559,665	997,651
Term loans	850,000	400,000
Accounts payable and other liabilities	115,011	87,575
Deferred income tax liability	3,548	4,064
Advance deposits and deferred revenue	9,851	8,508
Accrued interest	2,695	2,284
Distributions payable	30,870	22,392
Total liabilities	1,571,640	1,538,474
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)		
Equity		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; zero shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.	—	—
Common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value, 450,000,000 shares authorized; 122,640,042 and 106,565,516 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.	1,226	1,066
Additional paid-in-capital	2,178,004	1,841,449
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(5,941) —
Distributions in excess of net earnings	(45,522) (52,681)
Total shareholders' equity	2,127,767	1,789,834
Noncontrolling interest		
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	7,306	6,766
Noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership	11,261	11,311
Total noncontrolling interest	18,567	18,077
Total equity	2,146,334	1,807,911
Total liabilities and equity	\$3,717,974	\$3,346,385

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Combined Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Revenue			
Operating revenue			
Room revenue	\$844,741	\$738,207	\$653,044
Food and beverage revenue	97,083	87,549	81,741
Other operating department revenue	28,556	23,929	20,120
Total revenue	970,380	849,685	754,905
Expense			
Operating expense			
Room expense	186,667	162,039	145,859
Food and beverage expense	67,945	60,427	56,540
Management fee expense	34,956	29,906	25,904
Other operating expense	285,539	256,565	229,810
Total property operating expense	575,107	508,937	458,113
Depreciation and amortization	127,231	126,340	127,420
Property tax, insurance and other	63,627	52,745	46,289
General and administrative	35,466	31,086	24,175
Transaction and pursuit costs	4,410	3,520	3,996
IPO Costs	—	—	10,733
Total operating expense	805,841	722,628	670,726
Operating income	164,539	127,057	84,179
Other income	903	433	1,001
Interest income	1,665	1,664	1,682
Interest expense	(64,348)) (83,689) (95,362)
Loss on disposal	—	(634) —
Gain on foreclosure	4,863	—	—
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	107,622	44,831	(8,500)
Income tax expense	(879)) (1,369) (740)
Income from continuing operations	106,743	43,462	(9,240)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	7,436	(2,143) 20,949
Net income	114,179	41,319	11,709
Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests			
Noncontrolling interest in consolidated joint venture	(540)) 404	(47)
Noncontrolling interest in common units of Operating Partnership	(718)) (425) (255)
Net income attributable to the Company	112,921	41,298	11,407
Distributions to preferred unitholders	—	—	(61)
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$112,921	\$41,298	\$11,346
Basic per common share data:			
Net income (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations	\$0.89	\$0.40	\$(0.10)
Discontinued operations	0.06	(0.02)) 0.22
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders	\$0.95	\$0.38	\$0.12
Weighted-average number of common shares	117,950,066	105,423,604	95,340,666

F-4

Table of Contents

Diluted per common share data:

Net income (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations	\$0.88	\$0.40	\$(0.10))
Discontinued operations	0.06	(0.02))	0.22
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders	\$0.94	\$0.38	\$0.12	
Weighted-average number of common shares	118,738,626	105,748,686	95,340,666	

Amounts attributable to the Company's common shareholders:

Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$105,539	\$43,423	\$(9,428))
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	7,382	(2,125))	20,774
Net income	\$112,921	\$41,298	\$11,346	

Comprehensive income

Net income	114,179	41,319	11,709	
Unrealized (loss) gain on interest rate derivatives	(5,941))	1,782	2,024
Comprehensive income	\$108,238	\$43,101	\$13,733	
Comprehensive income attributable to consolidated joint venture	(540))	404	(47)
Comprehensive income attributable to common units of Operating Partnership	(718))	(425))
Comprehensive income attributable to the Company	\$106,980	\$43,080	\$13,431	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust
 Combined Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity
 (Amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Partners' Capital		Fund III		Members' Capital		Preferred Units	
	Fund II				Class A	Class B	Fund II	Fund III
	General Partner	Limited Partners	General Partner	Limited Partners				
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$(13,409)	\$433,013	\$(23,328)	\$811,918	\$6,592	\$4,751	\$189	\$190
Components of comprehensive income:								
Net income (loss)	(7)	(9,444)	—	(234)	(256)	(85)	—	—
Unrealized gain on interest rate derivatives	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total comprehensive income								
Partners' contributions	4,258	3,291	5,031	114,141	—	—	—	—
Partners' distributions	(3,230)	(4,876)	(3,798)	(4,392)	—	—	—	—
Members' distributions	—	—	—	—	(2,547)	(557)	—	—
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Amortization of share based compensation-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Share grants to trustees	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shares acquired to satisfy statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations on vesting restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exchange of owners' equity for common stock and units	12,388	(421,960)	22,095	(921,396)	(3,789)	(4,109)	61	60
Distributions to JV partner	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Distributions to preferred unitholders	—	(24)	—	(37)	—	—	—	—
Redemption of preferred units	—	—	—	—	—	—	(250)	(250)
Distributions on common shares and units	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—

Shareholders' Equity

Common Stock			Noncontrolling Interests				Total Owners'/Shareholders' Equity	
Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid-in-Capital	Distributions in excess of net earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Operating Partnership	Consolidated Joint Venture	Total Non-controlling Interests	
—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(3,806)	\$—	\$7,623	\$ 7,623	\$1,223,733
—	—	—	21,433	—	255	47	302	11,709
—	—	—	—	2,024	—	—	—	2,024

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

									13,733
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	126,721
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(16,296)
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,104)
31,595,000	316	527,977	—	—	—	—	—	—	528,293
1,120,830	11	(11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	3,284	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,284
7,810	—	120	—	—	—	—	—	—	120
(47,542)	—	(702)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(702)
(3,000)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
73,605,951	736	1,304,343	—	—	11,571	—	11,571	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	(500)	(500)	(500)	(500)
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(61)
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(500)
—	—	—	(40,393)	—	(340)	—	(340)	(40,733)	(40,733)
106,279,049	\$1,063	\$1,835,011	\$(18,960)	\$(1,782)	\$11,486	\$7,170	\$ 18,656	\$1,833,988	\$1,833,988

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Combined Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

(Amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Shareholders' Equity				Noncontrolling Interests					Total Equity
	Common Stock				Distributions Paid-in in excess of net earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Operating Partnership	Consolidated Joint Venture	Total Non-control Interests	
Shares	Par Value	Additional Capital								
Balance at December 31, 2011	106,279,049	\$1,063	\$1,835,011	\$(18,960)	\$(1,782)	\$11,486	\$7,170	\$18,656	\$1,833,988	
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	41,298	—	425	(404)	21	41,319	
Unrealized gain on interest rate derivative	—	—	—	—	1,782	—	—	—	1,782	
Issuance of restricted stock	436,646	4	(4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Amortization of share based compensation	—	—	8,626	—	—	—	—	—	8,626	
Share grants to trustees	8,530	—	160	—	—	—	—	—	160	
Shares acquired to satisfy statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations on vesting restricted stock	(131,831)	(1)	(2,344)	—	—	—	—	—	(2,345)	
Forfeiture of restricted stock	(26,878)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Distributions on common shares and units	—	—	—	(75,019)	—	(600)	—	(600)	(75,619)	
Balance at December 31, 2012	106,565,516	\$1,066	\$1,841,449	\$(52,681)	\$—	\$11,311	\$6,766	\$18,077	\$1,807,911	
Net income	—	—	—	112,921	—	718	540	1,258	114,179	
	—	—	—	—	(5,941)	—	—	—	(5,941)	

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Unrealized loss on interest rate derivative												
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net	15,870,000	159	327,388	—	—	—	—	—	327,547			
Issuance of restricted stock	377,830	3	(3)	—	—	—	—	—			
Amortization of share based compensation	—	—	13,078	—	—	—	—	—	13,078			
Share grants to trustees	5,357	—	124	—	—	—	—	—	124			
Shares acquired to satisfy statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations on vesting restricted stock	(173,409)	(2)	(4,032)	—	—	—	(4,034)	
Forfeiture of restricted stock	(5,252)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Distributions on common shares and units	—	—	—	(105,762)	—	(768)	—	(768)	(106,530)
Balance at December 31, 2013	122,640,042	\$1,226	\$2,178,004	\$(45,522)	\$(5,941)	\$11,261	\$7,306	\$18,567	\$2,146,334			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust
 Combined Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 (Amounts in thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$114,179	\$41,319	\$11,709
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flow provided by operating activities:			
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	(5,708) —	(23,515
Gain on sale of property	(2,081) —	—
Gain on foreclosure	(4,863) —	—
Depreciation and amortization	127,430	126,798	130,714
Amortization of deferred financing costs	4,484	5,452	5,842
Amortization of deferred management fees	1,128	1,000	1,000
Accretion of interest income on investment in loans	(513) —	—
Impairment loss	—	896	—
Loss on disposal	—	634	—
Share grants to trustees	124	160	120
Amortization of share based compensation	13,078	8,626	3,284
Deferred income taxes	(839) (87) 1,945
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Hotel and other receivables, net	716	(2,493) 31
Prepaid expense and other assets	2,924	(5,851) (6,723
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(114) (3,992) 12,618
Advance deposits and deferred revenue	1,030	3,503	(1,525
Accrued interest	411	169	(1,380
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	251,386	176,134	134,120
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of hotel and other properties, net of cash acquired	(208,195) (247,049) (236,858
Purchase deposits, net	2,664	(9,910) —
Proceeds from principal payments on investment in loans	—	207	207
Improvements and additions to hotel and other properties	(61,077) (129,235) (93,477
Additions to property and equipment	(185) (342) (116
Proceeds from sale of property	2,369	—	—
Releases from restricted cash reserves, net	2,357	22,501	(16,768
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(262,067) (363,828) (347,012
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings under revolving credit facility	205,500	101,000	—
Repayments under revolving credit facility	(221,500) (85,000) —
Borrowings on term loans	450,000	400,000	140,000
Repayments of term loans	—	—	(140,000
Proceeds from mortgage loans	150,000	85,000	142,000
Payment of mortgage principal	(577,441) (429,084) (489,342
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	—	—	(500
Repurchase of common shares	(4,034) (2,345) (702
Distributions on common shares	(97,316) (68,752) (24,451
Distributions on Operating Partnership units	(736) (551) (206
Payment of preferred unitholder distributions	—	—	(61

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Payment of deferred financing costs	(4,952) (6,944) (6,183)
Payment of members' distributions	—	—	(3,104)
Proceeds from partners' contributions	—	—	126,721	
Payment of partners' distributions	—	—	(16,296)
Redemption of preferred units	—	—	(500)
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	327,547	—	528,293	
Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	227,068	(6,676) 255,669	
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	216,387	(194,370) 42,777	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	115,861	310,231	267,454	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$332,248	\$115,861	\$310,231	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

F-8

Table of ContentsRLJ Lodging Trust
Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization

RLJ Lodging Trust (the "Company") was formed as a Maryland real estate investment trust ("REIT") on January 31, 2011. The Company is a self-advised and self-administered REIT that acquires primarily premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. The Company completed the initial public offering of its common shares of beneficial interest (the "IPO") on May 16, 2011. The IPO resulted in the sale of 27,500,000 common shares at a price per share of \$18.00 and generated gross proceeds of \$495.0 million. The aggregate proceeds to the Company, net of underwriters' discounts in connection with the IPO, were approximately \$464.1 million. On June 3, 2011, the Company issued and sold an additional 4,095,000 common shares at a price per share of \$18.00 upon exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option (the "Overallotment"), generating gross proceeds of approximately \$73.7 million. The Company received aggregate proceeds, net of underwriters' discounts, in connection with the Overallotment of approximately \$69.1 million. Subsequent to the IPO, the Company contributed the net proceeds from the IPO, including proceeds received from the Overallotment, to the Company's operating partnership, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"), which was formed as a Delaware limited partnership on January 31, 2011, in exchange for units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership ("OP units"). The Operating Partnership holds substantially all of the Company's assets and conducts substantially all of its business. Upon completion of the IPO, the Company owned approximately 99.1% of the aggregate OP units. The Company qualified and elected to be taxed as a REIT, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, commencing with the portion of its taxable year ending December 31, 2011.

Upon completion of the IPO and related formation transactions, the Company succeeded to the operations and hotel investment and ownership platform of RLJ Development, LLC ("RLJ Development"), and the lodging assets of RLJ Lodging Fund II, L.P. (and its parallel fund) ("Fund II") and RLJ Real Estate Fund III, L.P. (and its parallel fund) ("Fund III"), which collectively comprise the Company's predecessor (the "RLJ Predecessor"). Accordingly, the RLJ Predecessor was not a separate legal entity. RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III were entities under the common control of Robert L. Johnson, the Company's Executive Chairman, and were formed for the purpose of acquiring and operating hotel properties. Upon completion of the IPO and formation transactions, all of the existing investors in RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III received common shares or OP units, as applicable, as consideration for their respective interests in RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III, and as a result became equity owners of the Company and/or the Operating Partnership, as applicable.

Due to the timing of the IPO and the formation transactions, the Company's results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 reflect the results of operations of the RLJ Predecessor together with the Company. The financial condition as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as well as the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 reflects solely the Company.

Substantially all of the Company's assets are held by, and all of its operations are conducted through the Operating Partnership. The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2013, there were 123,534,042 units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership ("OP units") outstanding and the Company owned, through a combination of direct and indirect interests, 99.3% of the outstanding OP units.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company owned 149 properties, comprised of 147 hotels with approximately 22,300 rooms and two planned hotel conversions, located in 22 states and the District of Columbia, interests in land parcels located adjacent to certain hotels and an interest in one mortgage loan secured by a hotel. The Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, owned a 100% interest in all of its properties, with the exception of the DoubleTree Metropolitan Hotel New York City, in which the Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, owned a 95%

controlling interest in a joint venture, DBT Met Hotel Venture, LP, which was formed to engage in hotel operations related to the DoubleTree Metropolitan hotel. An independent operator manages each property.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The combined consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

F-9

Table of Contents

The combined consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including joint ventures. All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The combined consolidated financial statements for the periods prior to the May 16, 2011 IPO of RLJ Lodging Trust include the accounts of Fund II, Fund III, and RLJ Development and their respective wholly-owned subsidiaries. RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III were entities under the common control of Robert L. Johnson and were formed for the purpose of acquiring and operating hotel properties. As part of the IPO and related formation transactions, the Company acquired certain of the assets of RLJ Development, including employees, furniture, fixtures and equipment ("FF&E") and leases, which represented substantially all of RLJ Development's business. Since these three entities were under common control and the Company succeeded to their operations and businesses, the combined entities of Fund II, Fund III and RLJ Development, for the periods prior to the May 16, 2011 IPO, are presented as the RLJ Predecessor and referred to as the Company. All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities and the amounts of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reporting Periods

As of December 31, 2013, the Company owned five hotels that were managed by affiliates of Marriott International ("Marriott"). Beginning January 1, 2013, the Company's hotels managed by Marriott were accounted for on a calendar year basis. Prior to January 1, 2013, the Company's hotels managed by Marriott were accounted for on a fiscal year comprised of 52 or 53 weeks ending on the Friday closest to December 31. The Company's results for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 include the results of operations for the Company's Marriott-managed hotels for the 52-week periods ended December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011, respectively.

Risks and Uncertainties

During the last economic recession, the Company experienced reduced demand for its hotel rooms and services. While uncertainty over the continued economic recovery may impact the lodging industry and the Company's financial results and growth, the Company remains cautiously optimistic that its future financial results and growth will benefit from current economic conditions and favorable lodging fundamentals.

At December 31, 2013, the Company owned five, 21 and 17 hotels located in the New York, New York; Chicago, Illinois; and Austin, Texas metropolitan areas, respectively, that accounted for 15.8%, 10.9% and 10.2% of our total revenue, respectively. As a result, the Company is susceptible to adverse market conditions in these areas, including industry downturns, relocation of businesses and any oversupply of hotel rooms or a reduction in lodging demand. At December 31, 2013, the Company owned 104 and 31 hotels that operate under Marriott and Hilton brands, respectively. As a result, the Company is subject to the potential risks associated with the concentration of its hotels under a limited number of brands. A negative public image or other adverse event that becomes associated with either of these brands could adversely affect hotels operated under the respective brand. If either of these brands suffers a significant decline in appeal to the traveling public, the revenues and profitability of the Company's branded hotels could be adversely affected.

The Company owns 106 hotels that are managed by White Lodging Services Corporation ("WLS"). As a result, the Company is subject to the potential risks associated with the concentration of the majority of our hotels under a single management company. A negative public image or other adverse event that becomes associated with or impacts WLS could adversely affect the revenues and profitability of hotels that are managed by them.

Reclassifications

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Certain prior year amounts in these financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation with no impact to net income, shareholders' equity or cash flows.

F-10

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

The Company's revenue comprises hotel operating revenue, such as room revenue, food and beverage revenue and revenue from other hotel operating departments (such as telephone, parking and business centers). These revenues are recorded net of any sales and occupancy taxes collected from guests. All rebates or discounts are recorded as a reduction in revenue, and there are no material contingent obligations with respect to rebates and discounts offered by the hotels. All revenues are recorded on an accrual basis as earned. Appropriate allowances are made for doubtful accounts and are recorded as bad debt expenses. The allowances are calculated as a percentage of aged accounts receivable. Cash received prior to guest arrival is recorded as an advance from the guest and recognized as revenue at the time of occupancy.

Incentive payments received pursuant to entry into management agreements are deferred and amortized into income over the life of the respective agreements. In May 2012, the Company received an incentive payment of \$4.0 million related to purchasing a hotel and entering into a franchise agreement, which is being recognized over the remaining term of the franchise agreement. As of December 31, 2013, there was approximately \$3.8 million remaining to be recognized.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of financial instruments have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methods. Considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Investment in Hotel and Other Properties

The Company's acquisitions generally consist of land, land improvements, buildings, building improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment ("FF&E"), and inventory. The Company may also acquire intangibles related to in-place leases, management agreements and franchise agreements when properties are acquired. The Company allocates the purchase price among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. Transaction costs are expensed for acquisitions that are considered business combinations and capitalized for asset acquisitions.

The Company's investments in hotels and other properties are carried at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 15 years for land improvements, 15 years for building improvements, 40 years for buildings and three to five years for FF&E. Intangibles arising from acquisitions are amortized using the straight-line method over the non-cancelable portion of the term of the agreement. Maintenance and repairs are expensed and major renewals or improvements are capitalized. Upon the sale or disposition of a property, the asset and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the related gain or loss is included in discontinued operations.

The Company considers each individual property to be an identifiable component of its business. In accordance with the guidance on impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, the Company does not consider a property as "held for sale" until it is probable that the sale will be completed within one year and the other requisite criteria for such classification have been met. Once a property is designated as held for sale, the operations for that property are included in discontinued operations. The Company does not depreciate properties so long as they are classified as held for sale. Upon designation of a property as being held for sale and quarterly thereafter, the Company reviews the realizability of the carrying value, less cost to sell, in accordance with the guidance. Any such adjustment in the

carrying value of a property classified as held for sale is reflected in discontinued operations, as an impairment charge.

The Company assesses the carrying values of each property whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of these properties may not be fully recoverable. Recoverability of the property is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the property to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows which take into account current market conditions and the Company's intent with respect to holding or disposing of the property. If the Company's analysis indicates that the carrying value of the property is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, it recognizes an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the property. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques, including internally developed discounted cash flow models, comparable market transactions and third party appraisals, where considered necessary.

The use of projected future cash flows is based on assumptions that are consistent with a market participant's future expectations for the travel industry and economy in general and the Company's expected use of the underlying properties. The assumptions and estimates about future cash flows and capitalization rates are complex and subjective. Changes in economic

Table of Contents

and operating conditions that occur subsequent to a current impairment analysis and the Company's ultimate use of the property could impact these assumptions and result in future impairment charges of the properties.

Investment in Loan

The Company holds an investment in one collateralized mortgage loan. The loan is collateralized by the related hotel and was recorded at acquisition at the initial investment, which includes the amount paid to the seller plus any fees paid or less any fees received. The acquired loan was of a deteriorated credit quality as the loan was already in default, as of the date it was acquired by the Company and therefore the amount paid for the loan reflected the Company's determination that it was probable the Company would be unable to collect all amounts due pursuant to the loan's contractual terms.

The Company considers expected prepayments, and estimates the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows. The Company determines any excess of the loan's scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition as an amount that should not be accreted. The remaining amount, representing the excess of the loan's cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid to acquire the loan is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan. The Company will regularly re-estimate cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loan. Any increases in future cash flows expected to be collected will result in a prospective adjustment to the interest yield which will be recognized over the loan's remaining life. Any decreases in cash flows expected to be collected after loan acquisition will result in an impairment charge. A reserve will be established if the present value of payments expected to be received, observable market prices, or the estimated fair value of the collateral (for a loan that is dependent on the collateral for repayment) of an impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, no reserves for loan losses were deemed necessary.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash balances in domestic banks, which, at times, may exceed the limits of amounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Restricted Cash Reserves

All cash that is required to be maintained in a reserve escrow account by a management agreement, franchise agreement and/or a mortgage agreement for replacement of furniture, fixtures and equipment and funding of real estate taxes and insurance is considered to be restricted cash reserves.

Hotel Receivables

Hotel receivables consist mainly of hotel guest and meeting and banquet room rental receivables. The Company does not generally require collateral, as ongoing credit evaluations are performed and an allowance for doubtful accounts is established against any receivable that is estimated to be uncollectible.

Deferred Financing Fees

Deferred financing fees relate to costs incurred to obtain long-term financing. Deferred financing fees are recorded at cost and are amortized using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method, over the respective terms of the financing agreement, as applicable (see Note 7) and are included as a component of interest expense. The Company expenses unamortized deferred financing fees when the associated financing agreement is refinanced or repaid before maturity, unless criteria are met that would allow for the carryover of such costs to the refinanced agreement. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, approximately \$4.5 million, \$5.4 million and \$5.8 million (excluding discontinued operations), respectively, of amortization expense was recorded as a component of interest expense. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$4.9 million and \$17.7 million (excluding discontinued operations), respectively.

Deferred Management Fees

In June 2006, in consideration for the agreement of WLS to enter into new management agreements on terms favorable to Fund II, a subsidiary of Fund II made a one-time payment of \$20.0 million to WLS. This payment was recorded at cost, and is being amortized as a component of management fee expense over the 20-year initial term of the management agreement. For each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, approximately \$1.0

million (excluding discontinued operations) of amortization expense was recorded for deferred management fees. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, accumulated amortization was approximately \$7.7 million and \$6.6 million, respectively.

F-12

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of unrealized gains and losses resulting from hedging activities.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense was approximately \$3.7 million, \$4.4 million and \$4.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, (excluding discontinued operations) and is included in other hotel operating expenses.

Transaction and Pursuit Costs

The Company incurs costs during the review of potential property acquisitions and dispositions, including legal fees, architectural costs, environmental reviews and market studies. These costs are expensed as incurred.

Derivative Financial Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company is exposed to the effects of interest rate changes. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, 87.0% and 45.5%, respectively, of the Company's borrowings were subject to variable rates. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, after taking into consideration the effect of interest rate swaps and caps, 30.8% and 40.6%, respectively, of the Company's borrowings were subject to variable rates. The Company limits the risks associated with interest rate changes by following the Company's established risk management policies and procedures, including the use of derivatives. The Company utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risk. The Company attempts to require that hedging derivative instruments be effective in reducing the interest rate risk exposure that they are designated to hedge. This effectiveness is essential in order to qualify for hedge accounting. Instruments that meet these hedging criteria are formally designated as hedges at the inception of the derivative contract. When the terms of an underlying transaction are modified, or when the underlying hedged item ceases to exist, all changes in the fair value of the instrument are marked-to-market with changes in value included in net income each period until the instrument matures.

The Company utilizes a variety of borrowing vehicles including an unsecured revolving credit facility and medium and long-term financings. To reduce the Company's susceptibility to interest rate variability, the Company uses interest rate instruments, typically interest rate swaps, to convert a portion of variable rate debt to fixed rate debt. Interest rate differentials that arise under interest rate swap contracts are recognized in interest expense over the life of the contracts. Interest rate swap agreements contain a credit risk that counterparties may be unable to fulfill the terms of the agreement. The Company has minimized that risk by evaluating the creditworthiness of its counterparties, who are limited to major banks and financial institutions, and it does not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties. Gains and losses on derivatives determined to be effective hedges are reported in other comprehensive income (loss) and are reclassified to earnings in the period in which earnings are affected by the underlying hedged item. The ineffective portion of all hedged items is recognized in earnings in the current period.

Distributions by the RLJ Predecessor

Fund II was required to make quarterly distributions to the Fund II General Partner and Fund II's limited partners in accordance with the Fund II LP Agreements. Distributable proceeds were apportioned among the Fund II General Partner and the Fund II limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests and then distributed to each partner (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, and (iii) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund II General Partner. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$158.8 million (excluding advisory fees, see Note 14) had been distributed to partners.

Fund III was required to make quarterly distributions to the Fund III General Partner and Fund III's limited partners in accordance with the Fund III LP Agreements. Distributable proceeds were apportioned among the Fund III General Partner and the Fund III LP limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests and then distributed to each partner (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, (iii) third, 80% to partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner, until each partner has received an internal rate of return of 11%, (iv) fourth, 60% to partners and 40%

o the Fund III General Partner until the aggregate amount under (i) and (iii) distributed to the Fund III General Partner equals 20% of the aggregate amount distributed to the partners, and (v) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, no distributions had been made to partners (excluding advisory fees, see Note 14).

F-13

Table of Contents

Fund II, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed to preferred unitholders.

Fund III, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed to preferred unitholders.

Pursuant to the terms of the RLJ Development's Limited Liability Company Agreement (the "LLC Agreement"), distributions were made at the discretion of the managing member. Distributions were made to Members in the following priority; (i), first to Class A Members who are entitled to receive any unpaid preferred return until the unpaid preferred return is reduced to zero; (ii), next to each Member, an amount equal to the excess of the tax rate percentage (as defined by the LLC Agreement) multiplied by the aggregate amount of net profits allocated to such members; (iii), next to Class A Members in proportion to the respective amounts of their unreturned capital (as defined by the LLC Agreement), until the unreturned capital of all Class A Members is reduced to zero; (iv), thereafter, among the Members in proportion to their respective membership percentage interests.

Distributions by the Company

The Company's board of trustees determines the amount of future distributions based upon various factors including operating results, economic conditions, other operating trends, our financial condition and capital requirements, as well as minimum REIT distribution requirements. The Company's ability to make distributions is dependent on our receipt of quarterly distributions from the Operating Partnership and the Operating Partnership's ability to make distributions is dependent upon the results of operations of our hotels.

Allocation of Profits and Losses

Profits and losses of Fund II were allocated to the Fund II General Partner and Fund II's limited partners in accordance with the Fund II LP Agreements. Profits and losses were apportioned among the Fund II General Partner and the Fund II limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, and (iii) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund II General Partner.

Profits and losses of Fund III were allocated to the Fund III General Partner and Fund III's limited partners in accordance with the Fund III LP Agreements. Profits and losses were apportioned among the Fund III General Partner and the Fund III limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, (iii) third, 80% to partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner, until each partner has received an internal rate of return of 11%, (iv) fourth, 60% to partners and 40% to the Fund III General Partner until the aggregate amount under (i) and (iii) distributed to the Fund III General Partner equals 20% of the aggregate amount distributed to the partners, and (v) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner.

Profits of RLJ Development were allocated in accordance with the LLC Agreement: (i) first, to Members who received allocations of losses for earlier periods in proportion to the cumulative amount of those losses; (ii) next, to Class A Members in proportion to their respective percentage interests, until those Members have received cumulative allocation of profits for the current year and all prior years not offset by losses allocated to them equal to the cumulative amount of their annual preferred return; and (iii) thereafter, to the Members in proportion to their respective membership percentage interests. Losses of RLJ Development were allocated to Members in the following order or priority: (i) first, to Members who received allocations of profits in earlier fiscal years in proportion to the cumulative amount of profits previously allocated to them; (ii) next, to Members who have a positive capital account in proportion to the respective amounts of their positive capital accounts until the accounts are reduced to zero; and (iii) thereafter, to the Members in proportion to their respective percentage interests.

Noncontrolling Interests

The combined consolidated financial statements include all subsidiaries controlled by the Company. For controlled subsidiaries that are not wholly-owned, the noncontrolling interests in these subsidiaries are presented separately in

the combined consolidated financial statements. As of December 31, 2013 the Company consolidated DBT Met Hotel Venture, LP, a majority-owned partnership that has a third-party, noncontrolling 5.0% ownership interest. The third-party partnership interest is included in noncontrolling interest in joint venture on the balance sheet. Profits and losses are allocated in proportion to each party's respective ownership interest.

F-14

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, the Company consolidates RLJ Lodging Trust, LP, the Operating Partnership, which is a majority-owned partnership that has a third-party, noncontrolling 0.7% ownership interest. The third-party partnership interest is included in noncontrolling interest in consolidated joint venture on the balance sheet. Profits and losses are allocated in proportion to each party's respective ownership interest.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, commencing with the taxable year ended December 31, 2011. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain. The Company's current intention is to adhere to these requirements and maintain the qualification for taxation as a REIT. As a REIT, the Company generally is not subject to federal corporate income tax on that portion of net income that is currently distributed. If the Company fails to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it may be subject to certain state and local taxes on income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on undistributed taxable income.

Taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. The taxable REIT subsidiary is required to pay income taxes at the applicable rates. The consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the income tax provision or benefit related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiary as well as state income taxes incurred.

Where required, deferred income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases and for operating loss, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards based on enacted income tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies.

The Company performs an annual review for any uncertain tax positions and, if necessary, will record expected future tax consequences of uncertain tax positions in the financial statements. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not identify any uncertain tax positions.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period excluding the weighted average number of unvested restricted shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus any shares that could potentially be outstanding during the period. Potential shares consist of unvested restricted share grants and unvested performance units, calculated using the treasury stock method. Any anti-dilutive shares have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

Share-based Compensation

From time to time, the Company may issue share-based awards under the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"), as compensation to officers, employees and non-employee trustees (see Note 12). The vesting of awards issued to officers and employees is based on either continued employment (time-based) or based on the relative total shareholder returns of the Company and continued employment (performance-based), as determined by the board of trustees at the date of grant. The Company recognizes, for time-based awards, compensation expense for non-vested shares on a straight-line basis over the vesting period based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, adjusted for forfeitures. The Company recognizes, for performance-based awards, compensation expense over

the requisite service period for each award, based on the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, as determined using a Monte Carlo simulation, adjusted for forfeitures.

Environmental

The Company is subject to various Federal, state and local environmental regulations related to its property ownership and operation. The Company has performed environmental assessments of its properties, the results of which have not revealed any environmental liability that the Company believes would have a materially adverse effect on its financial position, operations or liquidity.

F-15

Table of Contents

3. Acquisition of Hotel and Other Properties

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company acquired the following properties:

Property	Location	Acquisition Date	Management Company	Rooms	Purchase Price (in thousands)	% Interest	
Courtyard Houston Downtown Convention Center	Houston, TX	March 19, 2013	White Lodging Services	191	\$ 34,308	100	%
Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center	Houston, TX	March 19, 2013	White Lodging Services	171	29,421	100	%
Humble Tower Apartments (1)	Houston, TX	March 19, 2013	The Sterling Group	82	15,547	100	%
Courtyard Waikiki Beach	Honolulu, HI	June 17, 2013	Highgate Hotels	399	75,250	100	%
Courtyard San Francisco (2)	San Francisco, CA	June 21, 2013	n/a	150	29,475	100	%
Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic (3)	Atlanta, GA	August 6, 2013	n/a	78	4,731	100	%
SpringHill Suites Portland Hillsboro	Hillsboro, OR	October 8, 2013	InnVentures	106	24,000	100	%
				1,177	\$ 212,732		

(1) Conversion to a SpringHill Suites expected to be complete by mid-2015.

(2) Conversion to a Courtyard expected to be complete by late 2014.

(3) The Company was the successful bidder at a foreclosure sale of the property collateralizing a non-performing loan. The property was operating at the time of the acquisition and was subsequently closed for a major renovation, which is expected to be complete in late 2014.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company acquired the following properties:

Hotel	Location	Acquisition Date	Management Company	Rooms	Purchase Price (in thousands)	% Interest	
Residence Inn Bethesda Downtown	Bethesda, MD	May 29, 2012	Marriott International	187	\$ 64,500	100	%
Courtyard New York Manhattan Upper East Side	New York, NY	May 30, 2012	Highgate Hotels	226	82,000	100	%
Hilton Garden Inn San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge	Emeryville, CA	June 11, 2012	Davidson Hotels & Resorts	278	36,158	100	%
Embassy Suites Boston Waltham	Waltham, MA	November 13, 2012	HEI Hotels and Resorts	275	64,500	100	%
				966	\$ 247,158		

The allocation of purchase price for the properties acquired was as follows (in thousands):

For the year ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

	2013	2012
Land and land improvements	\$30,989	\$46,980
Buildings and improvements	188,180	191,751
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	8,754	8,427
Lease intangibles	650	—
Management agreement intangibles	(3,695)) —
Above market ground lease	(7,878)) —
	217,000	247,158
Bargain purchase gain	(4,269)) —
Total Purchase Price	\$212,731	\$247,158

See Note 18 for detail of other assets acquired and liabilities assumed in conjunction with the Company's acquisitions.

Table of Contents

For the properties acquired during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, total revenues and net income from the date of acquisition through December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are included in the accompanying combined consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Revenue	\$90,666	\$28,433
Net income	\$18,772	\$2,377

The following unaudited condensed pro forma financial information presents the results of operations as if the 2013 acquisitions had taken place on January 1, 2012 and the 2012 acquisitions had taken place on January 1, 2011. The unaudited condensed pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what actual results of operations of the Company would have been assuming the 2013 and 2012 acquisitions had taken place on January 1, 2012 and 2011, respectively, nor does it purport to represent the results of operations for future periods. Operating results for the Vantaggio Suites Cosmo have not been included in the following unaudited condensed pro forma financial information as the acquisition was an asset purchase, not a business combination. The unaudited condensed pro forma financial information is as follows (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Revenue	\$991,206	\$926,242
Net income	\$112,293	\$60,098
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders - basic	\$0.95	\$0.57
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders - diluted	\$0.95	\$0.57
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic	117,950,066	105,423,604
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted	118,738,626	105,748,686

4. Investment in Hotel and Other Properties

Investment in hotel and other properties as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Land and land improvements	\$594,402	\$563,524
Buildings and improvements	2,866,849	2,655,086
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	485,531	438,807
Intangibles	2,507	1,857
	3,949,289	3,659,274
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(708,126) (585,791
Investment in hotel and other properties, net	\$3,241,163	\$3,073,483

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 depreciation and amortization expense related to investment in hotel and other properties was approximately \$126.8 million, \$125.9 million and \$126.7 million (excluding discontinued operations), respectively.

Impairment

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$0.9 million related to the Fairfield Inn Memphis (recognized in discontinued operations). The Company evaluated the recoverability of the hotel's carrying value given deteriorating operating results for the year ended December 31, 2012. Based on an analysis of estimated undiscounted net cash flow, the Company concluded that the carrying value of the hotel was not

recoverable. The Company estimated the fair value of the hotel using a discounted cash flow analysis. In the analysis, the Company estimated the future net cash flows from the hotel, the expected useful life and holding period, and applicable capitalization and discount rates.

F-17

Table of Contents

The Company determined that there was no impairment of any assets for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2011.

5. Discontinued Operations

In February 2010, Fund II received a notice of event of default for failure to make the required monthly payment on its mortgage loan secured by the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott located in New York, NY. The mortgage loan matured in July 2010. In April 2011, Fund II escrowed an executed deed in lieu of foreclosure agreement for the benefit of the lenders. On August 5, 2011, the Company transferred title to the hotel to the lenders pursuant to the deed in lieu of foreclosure arrangement. The Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of indebtedness of approximately \$23.5 million to discontinued operations in August 2011 and removed the hotel's net assets and liabilities from its combined consolidated balance sheet at that time.

In November 2011, the Company elected to cease the subsidization of debt service on the mortgage loan secured by the SpringHill Suites Southfield, Michigan. In January 2012, the Company received notice of an event of default for failure to make the required monthly payments. Under the terms of the mortgage loan, the lender received the monthly net cash from operations from the hotel. In December 2012, the Company entered into a deed in lieu of foreclosure agreement with the lender, providing for a consensual transfer of the property to the lender or its designee. On May 30, 2013, the Company transferred title to the hotel to the lenders pursuant to the deed in lieu of foreclosure arrangement. The Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of indebtedness of approximately \$2.4 million to discontinued operations at that time.

In November 2011, the Company elected to cease the subsidization of debt service on the mortgage loan secured by the Courtyard Goshen, Indiana. In December 2011, the Company received notice of an event of default for failure to make the required monthly payments. In May 2012, a receiver took control of the property for the benefit of the lender of the mortgage loan. On August 28, 2013, the property was sold at a foreclosure auction and was purchased by an affiliate of the lender. The Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of indebtedness of approximately \$3.3 million to discontinued operations at that time.

In February 2013, the Goshen lender filed suit against the Company claiming amounts due from the Company in its capacity as a guarantor of certain borrower obligations and has continued to pursue this claim following the sale of the property. The Company disputes that any amounts are owed to the lender under the guaranty and is defending itself against this claim.

On November 18, 2013, the Company sold the Fairfield Inn & Suites Memphis, located in Memphis, Tennessee for a sale price of \$2.5 million. The Company recorded a gain on sale of approximately \$2.1 million to discontinued operations at that time.

Operating results of discontinued operations were as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Operating revenue	\$2,950	\$4,520	\$20,963
Operating expense	(2,930)) (4,459)) (22,381)
Operating income (loss)	20	61	(1,418)
Impairment loss	—	(896)) —
Interest expense	(373)) (1,308)) (1,148)

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Loss from discontinued operations before gain on sale	(353) (2,143) (2,566)
Gain on sale of property	2,081	—	—	
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	5,708	—	23,515	
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$7,436	\$(2,143) \$20,949	

F-18

Table of Contents

6. Investment in Loan

On November 3, 2009, Fund III purchased two mortgage loans collateralized by the SpringHill Suites Houston Medical Park and the Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic for a total purchase price of \$12.7 million. The loans would mature on September 6, 2017 and amortize based on a 30 year term. The acquired loans were of deteriorated credit quality as the loans were already in default at the date of the Company's acquisition of the loans, and therefore the amounts paid for the loans reflected the Company's determination that it was probable the Company would be unable to collect all amounts due pursuant to the loan's contractual terms.

The SpringHill Suites Houston Medical Park and Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic loans each required monthly payments of principal and interest of \$0.1 million. For each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, interest income from the loans was \$1.6 million.

The borrower defaulted on the loan collateralized by the Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic in February 2013. On August 6, 2013, the Company was a successful bidder at a foreclosure sale of the property collateralizing the non-performing loan for a purchase price of \$4.7 million, which was equal to the Company's basis in the mortgage loan. The Company recorded a gain of \$4.3 million related to the property acquired and a gain of \$0.6 million related to other assets acquired and liabilities assumed for a total gain on foreclosure of \$4.9 million.

Investment in loans as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Note secured by SpringHill Suites Houston Medical Park	\$13,681	\$13,876
Note secured by Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic	—	10,490
Face value	\$13,681	\$24,366
Carrying amount of loans	\$8,208	\$12,426
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Accretable yield balance at beginning of year	\$12,559	\$13,820
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference	224	367
Interest income	(1,633) (1,628
Disposal of loan	(5,923) —
Accretable yield balance at end of year	\$5,227	\$12,559

7. Debt

Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loans

The Company entered into a credit agreement on November 20, 2012 that provides for (i) an unsecured revolving credit facility of up to \$300.0 million with a scheduled maturity date of November 20, 2016 with a one-year extension option (the "Revolver"), and (ii) an unsecured term loan of \$275.0 million with a scheduled maturity date of November 20, 2017 (the "2012 Five-Year Term Loan"). The credit agreement amends and restates in its entirety the Company's prior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Prior Credit Facility"), which was originally entered into as of June 20, 2011. In addition, on November 20, 2012 the Company also entered into an unsecured term loan of \$125.0 million with a scheduled maturity date of November 20, 2019 (the "Seven-Year Term Loan"). On August 27, 2013, the Company amended the Seven-Year Term Loan to increase the borrowings on the Seven-Year Term Loan to \$225.0 million.

The Company also entered into an unsecured \$350.0 million term loan on August 27, 2013 with a scheduled maturity date of August 27, 2018 (the "2013 Five-Year Term Loan", and collectively with the 2012 Five-Year Term Loan and the Seven-Year Term Loan, the "Term Loans").

The credit agreements for these loans require that a group of no less than 20 of the Company's hotel properties remain unencumbered by outstanding indebtedness. The credit agreements contain certain financial covenants relating to the

F-19

Table of Contents

Company's maximum leverage ratio, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum secured indebtedness. If an event of default exists, the Company is not permitted to make distributions to shareholders, other than those required to qualify for and maintain REIT status. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants.

In 2012, the Company incurred \$5.2 million in fees related to the Revolver, 2012 Five-Year Term Loan and Seven-Year Term Loan. In 2013, the Company incurred \$3.0 million in fees related to the 2013 Five-Year Term Loan and the Seven-Year Term Loan amendment. All of these amounts were deferred and are being amortized over their respective terms.

Borrowings under the Revolver and Term Loans bear interest at variable rates equal to the London InterBank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus an applicable margin. The margin ranges from 1.65% to 3.00%, depending on the Company's leverage ratio, as calculated under the terms of each facility. The Company incurs an unused facility fee on the Revolver of between 0.25% and 0.35%, based on the amount by which the maximum borrowing amount exceeds the total principal balance of outstanding borrowings.

Under the terms of the credit agreement for the Revolver, one or more standby letters of credit, up to a maximum aggregate outstanding balance of \$30.0 million, may be issued on behalf of the Company by the lenders under the Revolver. The Company will incur a fee of 0.125% of the value of each standby letter of credit that is issued on its behalf. Any outstanding standby letters of credit reduce the available borrowings on the Revolver by a corresponding amount. No standby letters of credit were outstanding at December 31, 2013. The Company also may borrow up to a maximum aggregate outstanding balance of \$40.0 million of swingline loans. Any outstanding swingline loans reduce the available borrowings under the Revolver by a corresponding amount. No swingline loans were outstanding at December 31, 2013.

Prior Credit Facility

The Company entered into the Prior Credit Facility, on June 20, 2011, that provided for maximum borrowings of up to \$300.0 million. The Prior Credit Facility required that a group of no less than 15 of the Company's hotel properties remain unencumbered by outstanding indebtedness. The Prior Credit Facility contained certain financial covenants relating to the Company's maximum leverage ratio, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum secured indebtedness. If an event of default existed, the Company was not permitted to make distributions to shareholders, other than those required to qualify for and maintain REIT status. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants. On November 20, 2012, the Prior Credit Facility agreement was amended and restated in its entirety with the Revolver and 2012 Five-Year Term Loan as discussed above.

The Company incurred \$3.0 million in fees related to the Prior Credit Facility that were deferred and were being amortized over its term. On November 20, 2012, when the Prior Credit Facility agreement was amended and restated in its entirety, approximately \$1.7 million of the fees unamortized at the time of the amendment and restatement were transferred to the Revolver and will be amortized over the term of that credit facility.

Borrowings under the Prior Credit Facility bore interest at variable rates equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The margin ranged from 2.25% to 3.25%, depending on the Company's leverage ratio, as calculated under the terms of the credit facility. The Company incurred an unused facility fee of between 0.30% and 0.40%, based on the amount by which the maximum borrowing amount exceeded the total principal balance of outstanding borrowings.

Prior Term Loan

On January 14, 2011, Fund III entered into a \$140.0 million unsecured term loan, the "Prior Term Loan". Fund III agreed to maintain an unencumbered asset pool of ten hotel properties during the term of the Prior Term Loan. The Prior Term Loan had an original maturity date of September 30, 2011, with two-six month extension options, and bore interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%, with a LIBOR floor of 1.00%. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company incurred \$5.1 million of interest expense, related to the Prior Term Loan. On September 30, 2011, the Company amended the Prior Term Loan to extend the maturity date to November 15, 2011, with one extension to December 31, 2011, at the Company's option. On October 21, 2011, the Prior Term Loan was fully repaid.

F-20

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013 and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, details of the credit facilities are as follows (in thousands):

	Outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2013	Interest rate at December 31, 2013 (1)	Interest expense for the year ended December 31,		
			2013	2012	2011
Revolver (2)	\$—	n/a	\$1,222	\$158	\$—
2012 Five-Year Term Loan	275,000	1.87%	5,453	662	—
Seven-Year Term Loan (3)	225,000	4.04%	5,049	359	—
2013 Five-Year Term Loan (4)	350,000	3.25%	3,850	—	—
Prior Credit Facility (5)	—	n/a	—	2,345	662
Prior Term Loan	—	n/a	—	—	5,494
Total	\$850,000		\$15,574	\$3,525	\$6,156

(1) Interest rate at December 31, 2013 gives effect to interest rate hedges and LIBOR floors, as applicable.

(2) Includes the unused facility fee of \$1.0 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(3) Includes interest expense related to an interest rate hedge of \$1.3 million for year ended December 31, 2013.

(4) Includes interest expense related to an interest rate hedge of \$1.6 million for year ended December 31, 2013.

(5) Includes the unused facility fee of \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Mortgage Loans

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company was subject to the following mortgage loans (in thousands):

Lender	Number of Assets Encumbered	Interest rate at December 31, 2013 (1)	Maturity Date		Principal balance at, December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3)	\$68,500	\$68,500
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3)	17,500	17,500
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3)	21,000	21,000
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3)	11,000	11,000
Wells Fargo	1	3.77%	(2) Oct 2014	(3)	24,000	24,000
Capmark Financial Group	1	6.12%	April 2015		4,068	4,202
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	May 2015		10,916	11,298
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	June 2015		4,736	4,901
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		2,475	2,561
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		4,063	4,203
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		9,470	9,798
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		8,452	8,745
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		7,578	7,839
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015		5,255	5,434
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015		8,142	8,422
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		4,962	5,134
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		32,901	34,042
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015		6,251	6,466
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		5,568	5,762
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		6,399	6,621
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015		8,156	8,437
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		6,394	6,614
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		7,038	7,280
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		6,399	6,621
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		7,305	7,558
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		9,166	9,484
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.50%	July 2015		6,450	6,673
Barclay's Bank	1	5.44%	Sept 2015		10,521	10,883
PNC Bank (4)	7	2.52%	(2) May 2016	(5)	85,000	85,000
Wells Fargo (6)	2	4.19%	(2) Sept 2016	(7)	82,000	—
Wells Fargo	1	4.19%	(2) Sept 2016	(7)	33,000	—
Wells Fargo	1	4.19%	(2) Sept 2016	(7)	35,000	—
Merrill Lynch			July 2016		—	9,180
Merrill Lynch			July 2016		—	7,684
Merrill Lynch			July 2016		—	9,194
Wachovia Securities			July 2016		—	487,296
Wachovia Securities			July 2016		—	6,582
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley			July 2016		—	34,823

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	July 2016	—	6,753
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	July 2016	—	9,616
Merrill Lynch	July 2016	—	5,531
VFC Partners 20 LLC	Sept 2016	—	5,014
39		\$559,665	\$997,651

F-22

Table of Contents

- (1) Interest rate at December 31, 2013 gives effect to interest rate hedges and LIBOR floors, as applicable.
- (2) Requires payments of interest only until the commencement of the extension period(s).
- (3) Maturity date may be extended for up to two additional one-year terms at the Company's option, subject to certain lender requirements.
- (4) The seven hotels encumbered by the PNC Bank loan are cross-collateralized.
- (5) Maturity date may be extended for one one-year term at the Company's option, subject to certain lender requirements.
- (6) The two hotels encumbered by the Wells Fargo loan are cross-collateralized.
- (7) Maturity date may be extended for four one-year terms at the Company's option, subject to certain lender requirements.

Some mortgage agreements are subject to customary financial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Future Minimum Principal Payments

As of December 31, 2013, future minimum principal payments on debt are as follows (in thousands):

2014	\$148,679
2015	175,986
2016	235,000
2017	275,000
2018	350,000
Thereafter	225,000
	\$1,409,665

8. Derivatives and Hedging

The Company employs derivative financial instruments to hedge against interest rate fluctuations. For derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, unrealized gains and losses on the effective portion are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a component of shareholders' equity. Unrealized gains and losses on the ineffective portion of all designated hedges are recognized in earnings in the current period. For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, unrealized gains or losses are recognized in earnings in the current period.

At December 31, 2013, the fair value of interest rate swap assets of \$3.2 million was included in prepaid expenses and other assets in the accompanying combined consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate fair value of interest rate swap liabilities of \$9.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, was included in accounts payable and other liabilities in the accompanying combined consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had entered into the following interest rate swaps and caps (in thousands):

Hedge type	Notional value at		Hedge interest rate	Maturity	Fair value at	
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Swap-cash flow (1)	\$—	\$40,000	1.00%	10/6/2013	\$—	\$(265)
Swap-cash flow (1)	—	31,000	1.00%	10/6/2013	—	(205)
Interest rate cap (1)	—	60,000	1.00%	6/29/2013	—	—
Swap-cash flow (2)	275,000	—	1.12%	11/20/2017	3,161	—
Swap-cash flow (2)	175,000	—	1.56%	3/6/2018	(1,866) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	175,000	—	1.64%	3/6/2018	(2,406) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	16,500	—	1.83%	9/15/2018	(238) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	16,500	—	1.75%	9/15/2018	(181) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	40,500	—	1.83%	9/15/2018	(585) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	41,500	—	1.75%	9/15/2018	(456) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	18,000	—	1.83%	9/15/2018	(260) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	17,000	—	1.75%	9/15/2018	(187) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	125,000	—	2.02%	3/6/2019	(1,838) —
Swap-cash flow (2)	100,000	—	1.94%	3/6/2019	(1,085) —
	\$1,000,000	\$131,000			\$(5,941) \$(470)

(1) Not designated as a cash flow hedge

(2) Designated as a cash flow hedge

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there was approximately \$5.9 million and zero, respectively, in unrealized losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to interest rate hedges that are effective in offsetting the variable cash flows. There was no ineffectiveness recorded on designated hedges during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, approximately \$3.7 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, of amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were reclassified into interest expense.

9. Fair Value

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in the principal or most advantageous market. The fair value hierarchy has three levels of inputs, both observable and unobservable:

Level 1— Inputs include quoted market prices in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 — Inputs are market data, other than Level 1, that are observable either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted market prices in an inactive market, and other observable information that can be corroborated by market data.

Level 3 — Inputs are unobservable and corroborated by little or no market data.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company used the following market assumptions and/or estimation methods:

F-24

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents, hotel and other receivables, accounts payable and other liabilities - The carrying amounts reported in the combined consolidated balance sheet for these financial instruments approximate fair value because of their short maturities.

Investment in collateralized loans - Fair value is determined by obtaining a third-party appraisal or discounting the future contractual cash flows to the present value using a current market interest rate. The market rate is determined by giving consideration to one or both of the following criteria, as appropriate: (1) interest rates for loans of comparable quality and maturity, and (2) the value of the underlying collateral. The fair values of the Company's investment in collateralized loans are generally classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The fair value at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$13.1 million and \$23.0 million, respectively.

Variable rate mortgage notes payable and borrowings under the Revolver and Term Loans - The carrying amounts reported in the combined consolidated balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of its variable rate debt by using estimated market rates for similar loans with similar terms and loan to value ratios, which is a Level 3 input. As a result, the Company determined that its variable rate mortgage notes payable in their entirety are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Fixed rate mortgage notes payable - The fair value estimated at December 31, 2013 and 2012 of \$188.0 million and \$745.3 million, respectively, is calculated based on the net present value of payments over the term of the loans using estimated market rates for similar mortgage loans with similar terms and loan to value ratios, which is a Level 3 input. As a result, the Company determined that its fixed rate mortgage notes payable in their entirety are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying value of fixed rate mortgage notes payable at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$182.7 million and \$770.7 million, respectively.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents the Company's fair value hierarchy for those financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Fair Value at December 31, 2013			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Interest rate swap asset	\$—	\$3,161	\$—	\$3,161
Interest rate swap liability	\$—	\$(9,102)	\$—	\$(9,102)
Total	\$—	\$(5,941)	\$—	\$(5,941)

The fair values of the derivative financial instruments are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. The Company determined that the significant inputs, such as interest yield curves and discount rates, used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and that the credit valuation adjustments associated with the Company's counterparties and its own credit risk utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. As of December 31, 2013, the Company assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and determined that the credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Non-recurring Fair Value Measurement

For purposes of determining impairment charges, investments in hotel properties were valued using inputs including projected cash flows, and discount and capitalization rates. These valuations are generally classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

Ground Leases

The Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on March 6, 2100. During the initial term of the ground lease, the total rent is \$1.56 million, which was paid in a lump sum upon commencement

F-25

Table of Contents

of the ground lease in 2001. After the initial term, we may extend the ground lease for an additional renewal term of 99 years for \$1. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we have the option to acquire the land underlying the Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook.

The Marriott Louisville Downtown is subject to a ground lease with an initial term extending out to 2053. The ground lease may be extended for up to four additional twenty-five year terms at the Company's option. The annual ground rent is one dollar; however, the property is subject to an annual profit participation payment based on net income as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, no liability was incurred for profit participation.

The Courtyard Austin Downtown Convention Center and Residence Inn Austin Downtown Convention Center are subject to a ground lease with a term extending to 2100. The annual ground rent is \$0.4 million; however, the properties are subject to an annual profit participation payment based on gross revenue as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, approximately \$0.5 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, was incurred for contingent rent.

The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is subject to a ground lease with an initial term extending to 2053. The ground lease automatically extends for up to five additional ten-year terms unless certain conditions are met. A de minimis minimum rent payment is to be paid in ten equal annual installments commencing with the twentieth anniversary of the leases' inception. No other payments are required under the terms of the ground lease.

The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is subject to an agreement to lease parking spaces with an initial term extending to 2033. The agreement to lease parking spaces may be extended if certain events occur. The agreement provides for a monthly rental payment based on city ordinance rates (at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 the rate was de minimis) and the number of parking spaces reserved for the exclusive use of the hotel, plus amounts based on actual usage in excess of the reserved spaces. For each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, approximately \$0.1 million of rent was paid.

The Hampton Inn Garden City is subject to a ground lease with an initial term extending to 2016. The lease is associated with an agreement for payment in lieu of taxes and will revert to fee simple ownership at the end of the ground lease. A de minimis rent payment is to be paid annually. In addition, an annual compliance fee of \$1 is required under the terms of the ground lease.

The Courtyard Charleston Historic District is subject to a ground lease with a term extending to 2096. The annual ground rent is \$0.8 million until 2021, after which the annual base rent increases periodically during the term of the ground lease to a maximum of \$1.0 million. The annual ground rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis. In addition to base rent, the property is subject to a contingent rent payment based on gross quarterly collected room revenue (for no more than 126 rooms per night), as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, approximately \$0.3 million, \$0.3 million and \$16,000, respectively, was incurred for contingent rent.

The Courtyard Waikiki Beach is subject to a ground lease with a term extending to 2112. The annual ground rent is \$3.5 million through 2016, after which the annual rent shall increase by the increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index ("CPI") from the immediately preceding calendar year. The increase is limited to 5.0% per annum for the first 10 years in which the annual rent is subject to increase, and 6.0% per annum during the seven subsequent years in which the annual rent is subject to increase. Thereafter, there is no cap on the increase in the CPI. The acquisition of the Courtyard Waikiki Beach resulted in an above market ground lease intangible of \$7.9 million, which is being amortized over the term of the ground lease as a reduction to ground rent expense. For the year ended December 31, 2013, \$1.8 million of ground rent expense was incurred. There was no ground rent expense in 2011 or 2012 as this

property was acquired in 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, future minimum ground lease payments are as follows (in thousands):

	Amount of commitment expiration per period						Total
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	
Future minimum ground lease payments	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$428,790	\$452,040

F-26

Table of Contents

Restricted Cash Reserves

The Company is obligated to maintain reserve funds for capital expenditures at the hotels (including the periodic replacement or refurbishment of FF&E) as determined pursuant to the management agreements, franchise agreements and/or mortgage loan documents. The management agreements, franchise agreements and/or mortgage loan documents require the Company to reserve restricted cash ranging from 1.0% to 5.0% of the individual hotel's revenues and maintain the reserves in restricted cash reserve escrows. Any unexpended amounts will remain the property of the Company upon termination of the management agreements, franchise agreements or mortgage loan documents. Additionally, some loan agreements require the Company to reserve restricted cash for the periodic payment of real estate taxes and insurance. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately \$62.4 million and \$64.8 million, respectively, was available in restricted cash reserves for future capital expenditures, real estate taxes and insurance.

Litigation

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries are currently involved in any regulatory or legal proceedings that management believes will have a material adverse effect on the financial position, operations or liquidity of the Company.

Management Agreements

As of December 31, 2013, 146 of the Company's hotel properties were operated pursuant to long-term agreements with initial terms ranging from 5 to 25 years, with 17 management companies as follows:

Management Company	Number of Hotels
Aimbridge Hospitality	2
Concord Hospitality Enterprises Company	1
Crescent Hotels and Resorts	3
Crestline Hotels and Resorts	1
Davidson Hotels and Resorts	1
Embassy Suites Management	3
HEI Hotels and Resorts	1
Highgate Hotels	5
Interstate Hotels and Resorts (1)	8
InnVentures	1
K Partners Hospitality Group	1
Marriott Hotel Services	5
Sage Hospitality	2
Stonebridge Realty Advisors	1
Urgo Hotels	4
Windsor Capital Group	1
WLS	106
	146

(1) Includes agreements entered into with Noble Management Group, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Interstate Hotels and Resorts.

Each management company receives a base management fee generally between 2.0% and 7.0% of hotel revenues. The management companies are also eligible to receive an incentive management fee if hotel operating income, as defined in the management agreements, exceeds certain thresholds. The incentive management fee is generally calculated as a percentage of hotel operating income after the Company has received a priority return on their investment in the hotel.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred management fee expense, including amortization of deferred management fees, of approximately \$35.0 million, \$29.9 million and \$25.9 million, respectively, (excluding discontinued operations).

F-27

Table of Contents

Franchise Agreements

As of December 31, 2013, 140 of the Company's hotel properties are operated under franchise agreements with initial terms ranging from 9 to 30 years. The franchise agreements for these hotels allow the properties to operate under the respective brands. Pursuant to the franchise agreements, the Company pays a royalty fee, generally between 3.0% and 6.0% of room revenue, plus additional fees for marketing, central reservation systems and other franchisor costs that amount to between 1.0% and 4.3% of room revenue. Certain hotels are also charged a royalty fee of between 2.0% and 3.0% of food and beverage revenues. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred franchise fee expense of approximately \$64.2 million, \$55.4 million and \$48.5 million, respectively (excluding discontinued operations).

Purchase Commitments

As of December 31, 2013, the Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, is subject to one purchase and sale agreement to purchase one property. The Company intends to consummate the transactions pursuant to the terms of the agreement. However, if the Company fails to consummate, it could be required to forfeit its deposit of \$7.2 million.

11. Equity

Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Under the original declaration of trust of the Company, the total number of shares initially authorized for issuance was 100,000 common shares. At formation, the Company issued to each of its two initial shareholders 500 common shares at \$1 per share, which shares were subsequently redeemed. On May 5, 2011, the declaration of trust was amended to authorize the issuance of up to 450,000,000 common shares.

On May 16, 2011, RLJ Lodging Trust completed its IPO and formation transactions. The IPO resulted in the sale of 27,500,000 common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, at \$18.00 per share, for total gross proceeds of \$495.0 million. The aggregate proceeds to the Company, net of underwriters' discounts, were approximately \$464.1 million. The Company used the net proceeds from the IPO and cash on hand to repay approximately \$472.6 million of secured indebtedness. The Company recorded costs incurred in connection with the IPO as a reduction of additional paid-in capital in shareholders' equity. Costs incurred in connection with the formation transactions for the transfer and assumption of indebtedness and other contractual obligations of the RLJ Predecessor were expensed as incurred and are included in IPO costs. In connection with the formation transactions, the Company issued 73,605,951 common shares of beneficial interest as consideration to certain entities for their interest in certain entities that merged with and into the Company or the Company's subsidiaries in the formation transactions.

On June 3, 2011, the Company issued and sold an additional 4,095,000 common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, at a price per share of \$18.00 upon exercise of the Overallotment, for total gross proceeds of \$73.7 million. The Company received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$69.1 million in connection with the Overallotment. The Company used the net proceeds from the Overallotment for general corporate purposes.

On March 25, 2013, the Company issued and sold 15,870,000 common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, at a price per share of \$21.60 for total gross proceeds of \$342.8 million. The Company received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$327.5 million. The Company used the net proceeds from the offering to repay amounts outstanding on its unsecured revolving credit facility, to repay mortgage indebtedness and for general corporate purposes.

Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Under the declaration of trust of the Company, the total number of shares initially authorized for issuance was 10,000 preferred shares. On May 5, 2011, the declaration of trust was amended to authorize the issuance of up to 50,000,000 preferred shares. As of both December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, there were no preferred shares of beneficial interest outstanding.

Noncontrolling Interest in Joint Venture

As of December 31, 2013, the Company consolidated DBT Met Hotel Venture, LP, a majority-owned limited partnership that has a third-party partner that owns a noncontrolling 5.0% ownership interest. The third-party

partnership interest is included in noncontrolling interest in joint venture on the balance sheet.

F-28

Table of Contents

Noncontrolling Interest in Operating Partnership

The Company consolidates its Operating Partnership, a majority owned limited partnership that has a noncontrolling ownership interest and is included in noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2013, the Operating Partnership had 123,534,042 OP units outstanding, of which 99.3% were owned by the Company and its subsidiaries and 0.7% were owned by other limited partners.

The outstanding OP units held by limited partners are redeemable for cash, or at the option of the Company, for a like number of common shares of beneficial interest of the Company.

Partners' Capital

As of May 16, 2011, the Fund II partners had made aggregate capital contributions of approximately \$726.2 million. In addition, \$16.9 million of advisory fees, which reduced limited partner capital commitments, had been paid by the limited partners to the Fund II General Partner. Accordingly, 100.0% of total capital commitments had been committed as of May 16, 2011. As of May 16, 2011, Fund II had made distributions of approximately \$162.0 million, in aggregate, including approximately \$26.5 million of advisory fees distributed to the Fund II General Partner on behalf of the limited partners. On May 16, 2011, Fund II was merged into the Company.

As of May 16, 2011, the Fund III partners had made aggregate capital contributions of approximately \$917.3 million. In addition, \$50.1 million of advisory fees, which reduced limited partner capital commitments, had been paid by the limited partners to the Fund III General Partner. Accordingly, 81.2% of total capital commitments had been deployed as of May 16, 2011. As of May 16, 2011, Fund III had made no distributions, except for advisory fees (see Note 14). On May 16, 2011, Fund III was merged into the Company.

On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the partners of Fund II and Fund III received 73,605,951 common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, at \$18.00 per share, for a total gross amount of \$1,324.9 million, in exchange for their partnership interests in Fund II and Fund III.

Members' Capital

The rights and obligations of the equity holders of RLJ Development (the "Members") were governed by the limited liability company agreement of RLJ Development, dated December 19, 2000 and amended January 1, 2002 (the "Operating Agreement"). Each Member's interest in the Company is equal to the percentage of capital initially contributed by that Member. The Class A Member held a 75% ownership interest and the two Class B Members held a total interest of 25%. The Class A Member made capital contributions totaling \$115.3 million, none of which were contributed during the period from January 1, 2011 through May 16, 2011 or the year ended December 31, 2010. The Class B Members were not required to make, nor did they make any, capital contributions during the period from January 1, 2011 through May 16, 2011 or the year ended December 31, 2010.

On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the Members of RLJ Development received 894,000 OP Units, at \$18.00 per unit, for a total gross amount of \$16.1 million, in exchange for their contribution to the Operating Partnership of substantially all of the assets and liabilities of RLJ Development.

Preferred Distributions

Fund II, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed or was payable to preferred unitholders. On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the Fund II unitholders interests in Fund II were redeemed. The Company paid the unitholders the liquidation value of \$0.3 million plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of approximately \$12,000 and a redemption premium of approximately \$12,000.

Fund III, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed or was payable to preferred unitholders. On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the Fund III unitholders interests in Fund III were redeemed. The Company paid the unitholders the liquidation value of \$0.3 million plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of approximately \$12,000 and a redemption premium of approximately \$24,000.

12. Equity Incentive Plan

The Company may issue equity-based awards to officers, employees, non-employee trustees and other eligible persons under the 2011 Plan. The 2011 Plan provides for a maximum of 5,000,000 common shares of beneficial

interest to be issued in

F-29

Table of Contents

the form of share options, share appreciation rights, restricted share awards, unrestricted share awards, share units, dividend equivalent rights, long-term incentive units, other equity-based awards and cash bonus awards.

Share Awards

From time to time, the Company may award non-vested restricted shares under the 2011 Plan, as compensation to officers, employees and non-employee trustees. The shares issued to officers and employees vest over a period of time as determined by the board of trustees at the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation expense for non-vested shares on a straight-line basis over the vesting period based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of issuance, adjusted for forfeitures.

The Company may also award unrestricted shares under the 2011 Plan as compensation to non-employee trustees that would otherwise be paid in cash for their services. The shares issued to trustees are unrestricted and include no vesting conditions. The Company recognizes compensation expense for the unrestricted shares issued in lieu of cash compensation on the date of issuance based upon the fair market value of the shares on that date.

A summary of the non-vested shares as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2013		2012		2011	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 1,	1,013,673	\$ 17.80	970,288	\$ 17.93	—	\$ —
Granted (1)	383,187	21.25	445,176	17.23	1,128,640	17.81
Vested (1)	(458,808)	18.24	(374,913)	17.46	(155,352)	17.03
Forfeited	(5,252)	20.07	(26,878)	17.80	(3,000)	17.53
Unvested at December 31,	932,800	\$ 18.99	1,013,673	\$ 17.80	970,288	\$ 17.93

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, includes 5,357, 8,530 and 7,810 unrestricted (1) shares issued in lieu of cash compensation to non-employee trustees at a weighted average grant date fair value of \$23.20, \$18.75 and \$15.36, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$8.6 million, \$6.7 million and \$3.3 million respectively, of share-based compensation expense related to restricted share awards. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$16.7 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested share awards and these costs were expected to be primarily recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years. The total fair value of shares vested (calculated as number of shares multiplied by vesting date share price) during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$10.5 million, \$6.5 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Performance Units

The Company awarded performance units to certain employees under the 2011 Plan. The performance units vest over a four-year period, including three years of performance-based vesting (“measurement period”) plus an additional one year of time-based vesting.

As of December 31, 2013, there were 1.0 million unvested performance units outstanding with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$15.36 per performance unit.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

For the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized \$4.5 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, of share-based compensation expense related to performance unit awards. There was no share-based compensation expense in 2011 related to the performance units as they were granted in 2012. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$9.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the performance units and these costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

As of December 31, 2013, there were 3,078,127 common shares available for future grant under the 2011 Plan. Any performance units that convert into restricted shares will reduce the number of common shares available for future grant under the 2011 Plan.

F-30

Table of Contents

13. Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period excluding the weighted average number of unvested restricted shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus any shares that could potentially be outstanding during the period. Potential shares consist of unvested restricted share grants and unvested performance units, calculated using the treasury stock method. Any anti-dilutive shares have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating shares and are considered in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. If there were any undistributed earnings allocable to participating shares, they would be deducted from net income attributable to common shareholders utilized in the basic and diluted earnings per share calculations.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, approximately \$0.1 million represented undistributed earnings that were allocable to participating shares. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, no earnings representing undistributed earnings were allocated to participating shares because the Company paid dividends in excess of net income.

The limited partners' outstanding limited partnership units in the Operating Partnership (which may be redeemed for common shares of beneficial interest under certain circumstances) have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as there was no effect on the amounts for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, since the limited partners' share of income would also be added back to net income attributable to common shareholders.

Table of Contents

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share is as follows (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Numerator:			
Net income attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations	\$ 105,539	\$ 43,423	\$ (9,428)
Add: Income (loss) from discontinued operations	7,382	(2,125)	20,774
Net income attributable to common shareholders	112,921	41,298	11,346
Less: Dividends paid on unvested restricted shares	(936)	(802)	(392)
Less: Undistributed earnings attributable to unvested restricted shares	(61)	—	—
Net income attributable to common shareholders excluding amounts attributable to unvested restricted shares	\$ 111,924	\$ 40,496	\$ 10,954
Denominator:			
Weighted-average number of common shares - basic	117,950,066	105,423,604	95,340,666
Unvested restricted shares	251,217	59,587	—
Unvested performance units	537,343	265,495	—
Weighted-average number of common shares - diluted	118,738,626	105,748,686	95,340,666
Basic per common share data:			
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations - basic	\$ 0.89	\$ 0.40	\$ (0.10)
Discontinued operations	0.06	(0.02)	0.22
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders - basic	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.12
Diluted per common share data:			
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations - diluted	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.40	\$ (0.10)
Discontinued operations	0.06	(0.02)	0.22
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders - diluted	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.12

Table of Contents

14. Advisory Fees

Pursuant to the terms of the Fund II LP Agreements, the Fund II General Partner was entitled to receive annual advisory fees directly from the limited partners in consideration for the Fund II General Partner providing and managing the day-to-day operations and expenditures of Fund II. Total advisory fees due to the Fund II General Partner from limited partners, including advisory fees due from the limited partners admitted during subsequent closes, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, were approximately \$3.2 million and \$8.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 all advisory fees due had been paid by the limited partners.

Pursuant to the terms of the Fund III LP Agreements, the Fund III General Partner was entitled to receive annual advisory fees directly from the limited partners in consideration for the Fund III General Partner providing and managing the day-to-day operations and expenditures of Fund III. Total advisory fees due to the Fund III General Partner from limited partners, including advisory fees due from the limited partners admitted during subsequent closes, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, were approximately \$3.8 million and \$13.7 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 all advisory fees due had been paid by the limited partners.

The combined consolidated financial statements of the Company reflect these advisory fees as contributions and distributions within the respective partner accounts. As a result of the combination of RLJ Development with Fund II and Fund III and after elimination entries, the actual expenses associated with operating Fund II and Fund III have been reflected in these financial statements. Upon completion of the IPO and related formation transactions, the advisory fee obligations of the limited partners ceased to exist.

15. Related Party Transactions

RLJ Companies, LLC and its affiliates, a related party, periodically provide or receive services or pay or collect certain amounts to or from the Company. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there was approximately \$1,000 and \$45,000, respectively, due from RLJ Companies, LLC which was included in other assets.

16. Income Taxes

For federal income tax purposes, the cash distributions paid for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are characterized as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			
	2013	2012		
Common distributions				
Ordinary income	100.0	% 100.0		%
Return of capital	—	—		
Capital gains	—	—		
	100.0	% 100.0		%

The components of the income tax provision from continuing operations are as follows (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Current:			
Federal	\$ (323) \$ (50) \$ (1
State	(1,439) (1,381) (1,232
Deferred:			
Federal	830	64	443
State	53	(2) 50
Total net tax expense	\$ (879) \$ (1,369) \$ (740

Table of Contents

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pretax income from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 as a result of the following differences (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Expected federal tax expense at statutory rate	\$(40,271) \$(14,952) \$(4,398
Tax impact of REIT election	37,230	22,618	19,619
Expected tax (expense)/benefit at TRS	(3,041) 7,666	15,221
Change in valuation allowance	3,612	(8,741) (17,045
State income (expense) benefit, net of federal tax benefit	(1,345) 595	1,411
Impact of rate change	(94) 146	—
Other permanent items	(443) (394) (436
Impact of acquired tax attributes	361	(361) —
Impact of provision to return	71	(280) 109
Income tax expense	\$(879) \$(1,369) \$(740

Deferred income taxes represent the tax effect from continuing operations of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets (liabilities) include the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Property and equipment	\$(1,787) \$(2,633
Prepaid expenses	(1,662) (1,431
Other - DTL	(99) —
Gross deferred tax liabilities	\$(3,548) \$(4,064
Property and equipment	\$515	\$4,997
Deferred revenue - key money	1,478	1,562
Incentive and vacation accrual	2,325	1,933
Allowance for doubtful accounts	92	77
Other - DTA	285	204
Other carryforwards	106	108
Net operating loss carryforwards	51,254	50,463
Valuation allowance	(53,526) (57,138
Gross deferred tax assets	\$2,529	\$2,206

The Company recorded a valuation allowance of approximately \$53.5 million and \$57.1 million related to its net operating loss, or NOL, carryforwards and other deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as the Company believed it was more likely than not that it would not realize the benefits associated with these NOLs and other deferred tax assets. The ability to carry forward the tax benefit of NOLs of approximately \$51.3 million will begin to expire in 2026 for federal tax purposes and during the period from 2016 to 2026 for state tax purposes if not utilized. The Company's TRS entities experienced a change of control as defined in Section 382 of the Code, the TRS's ability to utilize NOLs in the years after the change in control will be limited.

The Company owns subsidiary TRSs which are not part of a consolidated tax return, these deferred tax assets and liabilities are presented on a combined basis and are not subject to a valuation allowance.

Table of Contents

The net current and non-current components of deferred income taxes included in the combined consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	
Current net deferred tax assets	\$263	\$193	
Current net deferred tax liabilities	(1,761) (1,431)
Non-current net deferred tax assets	2,266	2,013	
Non-current net deferred tax liabilities	(1,787) (2,633)
Net deferred tax liability	\$(1,019) \$(1,858)

The Company had no accruals for tax uncertainties as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

17. Segment Information

The Company separately evaluates the performance of each of its hotels. However, because each of the hotels has similar economic characteristics, facilities, and services, the properties have been aggregated into a single operating segment.

Table of Contents

18. Supplemental Information to Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Interest paid	\$59,826	\$79,376	\$91,558
Income taxes paid	\$1,420	\$1,598	\$1,392
Supplemental investing and financing transactions:			
In conjunction with the acquisitions, the Company recorded the following:			
Purchase of real estate	\$228,573	\$247,158	\$249,018
Accounts receivable	740	164	556
Other assets	957	728	1,040
Advance deposits	(313)) (224) (379
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(12,168) (777) (2,439
Application of purchase deposit	—	—	(8,500
Deferred tax liabilities assumed	—	—	(2,438
Receipt of assets in full satisfaction	(4,731) —	—
Gain on foreclosure	(4,863) —	—
Acquisition of hotel and other properties	\$208,195	\$247,049	\$236,858
In conjunction with the dispositions, the Company recorded the following:			
Sale of real estate	\$(5,585) \$—	\$(31,534
Gain on sale of property	(2,081) —	—
Other assets	(709) —	(8,007
Other liabilities	1,169	—	5,056
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	(5,708) —	(23,515
Forgiveness of indebtedness	10,545	—	58,000
Disposition of hotel properties	\$(2,369) \$—	\$—
Supplemental non-cash transactions:			
Change in fair market value of designated interest rate swaps	\$(5,941) \$1,326	\$2,024
Accrued capital expenditures	\$10,610	\$4,563	\$12,801
Distributions payable	\$30,870	\$22,392	\$16,076

19. Subsequent Events

In January 2014, the Company paid a dividend of \$0.24 per common share to shareholders of record at December 31, 2013.

In February 2014, the Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement to acquire a portfolio of ten hotels comprised of 1,560 rooms for a total purchase price of approximately \$313.0 million. The transaction is expected to be completed in March 2014 and is subject to customary closing conditions.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

In February 2014, the Company granted 305,053 restricted common shares of beneficial interest to officers and certain employees.

In February 2014, the Company sold eleven properties for a total sales price of approximately \$84.8 million.

F-36

Table of Contents

20. Quarterly Operating Results (unaudited)

The Company's unaudited condensed consolidated quarterly operating data for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 follows (in thousands, except share and per share data). In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of quarterly results have been reflected in the data. It is also management's opinion, however, that quarterly operating data for hotels enterprises are not indicative of results to be achieved in succeeding years or quarters. In order to obtain a more accurate indication of performance, there should be a review of operating results, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for a period of several years.

	Year ended December 31, 2013			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenue	\$214,870	\$260,822	\$252,116	\$242,572
Income from continuing operations	\$8,803	\$38,571	\$33,770	\$25,599
Discontinued operations	\$(219)) \$2,410	\$3,158	\$2,087
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$8,491	\$40,510	\$36,469	\$27,451
Comprehensive income	\$8,016	\$45,514	\$20,513	\$32,937
Basic per share data:				
Income from continuing operations	\$0.08	\$0.32	\$0.28	\$0.21
Discontinued operations	\$—	\$0.01	\$0.02	\$0.02
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$0.08	\$0.33	\$0.30	\$0.23
Diluted per share data:				
Income from continuing operations	\$0.08	\$0.32	\$0.28	\$0.21
Discontinued operations	\$—	\$0.01	\$0.02	\$0.01
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$0.08	\$0.33	\$0.30	\$0.22
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	106,815,375	121,520,253	121,594,219	121,667,166
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	107,423,195	122,280,431	122,750,121	122,540,253
	Year ended December 31, 2012			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenue	\$182,233	\$222,079	\$223,941	\$221,432
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(6,696)) \$19,103	\$17,022	\$14,033
Discontinued operations	\$(225)) \$(84)) \$(1,721)) \$(113)
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$(6,513)) \$18,885	\$15,196	\$13,730
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(6,519)) \$19,266	\$15,585	\$14,748
Basic per share data:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.06)) \$0.17	\$0.16	\$0.11
Discontinued operations	\$—	\$—	\$(0.02)) \$—
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$(0.06)) \$0.17	\$0.14	\$0.11
Diluted per share data:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.06)) \$0.17	\$0.16	\$0.11
Discontinued operations	\$—	\$—	\$(0.02)) \$—
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$(0.06)) \$0.17	\$0.14	\$0.11
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	105,332,812	105,388,743	105,453,978	105,517,515
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	105,332,812	105,454,679	105,509,104	105,865,104

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2013
(amounts in thousands)

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
		Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements	Total			
Marriott Austin South	\$—	\$2,253	\$ 16,522	\$—	\$ 1,430	\$2,253	\$ 17,952	\$20,205	\$ 3,311	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Denver South @ Park Meadows	—	5,385	39,488	—	1,928	5,385	41,416	46,801	7,674	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Louisville Downtown	68,500	—	89,541	—	3,326	—	92,867	92,867	17,077	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Auburn Hills Pontiac @ Centerpoint	—	3,438	25,224	—	125	3,438	25,349	28,787	4,791	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Chicago Midway Renaissance	—	4,464	32,736	10	1,891	4,474	34,627	39,101	6,415	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Boulder Flatiron Hotel	—	4,440	32,557	—	1,542	4,440	34,099	38,539	6,269	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Fort Lauderdale Plantation Hotel	—	4,842	35,517	—	1,863	4,842	37,380	42,222	6,850	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Holiday Inn Austin NW Arboretum Area	—	1,546	11,337	—	489	1,546	11,826	13,372	2,220	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Courtyard Austin University Area	—	1,894	13,891	—	927	1,894	14,818	16,712	2,727	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Courtyard Austin Northwest Arboretum	—	1,443	10,585	3	1,277	1,446	11,862	13,308	2,161	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Courtyard Austin South	4,961	1,530	11,222	3	1,223	1,533	12,445	13,978	2,250	2006	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Courtyard Benton Harbor St	—	345	2,534	—	206	345	2,740	3,085	498	2006	15 - 40 years
Joseph Courtyard Tampa	—	1,036	7,599	—	1,372	1,036	8,971	10,007	1,869	2006	15 - 40 years
Brandon Courtyard Chicago Downtown	32,900	11,140	59,696	—	2,339	8,140	62,035	70,175	11,413	2006	15 - 40 years
Magnificent Mile Courtyard Fort Wayne	—	1,143	8,389	—	316	1,143	8,705	9,848	1,624	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Denver West	6,251,325		9,716	—	1,169	1,325	10,885	12,210	1,898	2006	15 - 40 years
Golden Courtyard Grand Rapids	4,068	806	5,185	—	142	706	5,327	6,033	980	2006	15 - 40 years
Airport Courtyard Chicago Southeast	—	1,038	7,616	4	1,229	1,042	8,845	9,887	1,554	2006	15 - 40 years
Hammond Courtyard Indianapolis @ The Capitol	—	2,482	18,207	—	1,240	2,482	19,447	21,929	3,534	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Denver Southwest Lakewood	2,475	336	3,931	—	965	536	4,896	5,432	798	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Boulder Longmont	5,568,192		8,745	—	851	1,192	9,596	10,788	1,733	2006	15 - 40 years

F-38

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
		Land & Improvements	Building & Equipment	Land & Improvements	Building & Equipment	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Equipment	Total			
Courtyard Boulder	8,452	1,640	12,025	2	1,441	1,642	13,466	15,108	2,372	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Louisville	—	1,374	10,079	2	765	1,376	10,844	12,220	1,993	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Merrillville	—	537	3,943	—	378	537	4,321	4,858	1,072	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Mesquite	—	942	6,915	—	97	942	7,012	7,954	1,322	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Midway Airport	8,729	2,172	15,927	3	1,791	2,175	17,718	19,893	3,805	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard South Bend	—	640	4,699	2	996	642	5,695	6,337	1,161	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Detroit	—	482	3,543	—	227	482	3,770	4,252	928	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Bloomfield	—	2,333	17,110	—	1,293	2,333	18,403	20,736	3,287	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard San Antonio	—	1,196	8,768	4	1,032	1,200	9,800	11,000	1,784	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Houston	—	1,217	8,931	—	1,084	1,217	10,015	11,232	1,761	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Valparaiso	—	248	1,825	—	264	248	2,089	2,337	528	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Chicago	—	2,078	15,239	—	1,236	2,078	16,475	18,553	2,845	2007	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Fort Lauderdale	—	1,619	11,872	—	568	1,619	12,440	14,059	1,943	2007	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Austin	49,200	6,049	44,361	—	1,476	6,049	45,837	51,886	6,999	2007	15 - 40 years
Convention Center	—	1,576	11,754	—	36	1,576	11,790	13,366	1,593	2008	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Courtyard Grand Junction Courtyard Austin	—	1,691	12,404	58	1,279	1,749	13,683	15,432	2,000	2007	15 - 40 years
Airport Residence Inn Austin Northwest Arboretum Residence Inn Austin South Residence Inn Austin North	—	1,403	10,290	—	232	1,403	10,522	11,925	1,959	2006	15 - 40 years
Parmer Lane Residence Inn Indianapolis Carmel Residence Inn Indianapolis Fishers Residence Inn Denver West Golden Residence Inn Chicago Southeast Hammond	—	802	5,883	—	807	802	6,690	7,492	1,156	2006	15 - 40 years
	7,305	1,483	10,872	—	453	1,483	11,325	12,808	2,055	2006	15 - 40 years
	8,156	1,646	12,076	—	1,082	1,646	13,158	14,804	2,359	2006	15 - 40 years
	—	998	7,322	—	845	998	8,167	9,165	1,435	2006	15 - 40 years
	6,394	1,222	8,963	—	69	1,222	9,032	10,254	1,687	2006	15 - 40 years
	—	980	7,190	—	726	980	7,916	8,896	1,414	2006	15 - 40 years

F-39

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
		Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements	Total			
Residence Inn Houston By The Galleria	—	2,665	19,549	—	1,163	2,665	20,712	23,377	3,722	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Indianapolis Airport	—	786	5,772	—	304	786	6,076	6,862	1,266	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Indianapolis Downtown On The Canal	—	2,670	19,588	—	1,764	2,670	21,352	24,022	3,853	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Denver Southwest Lakewood	4,063	986	7,230	—	24	986	7,254	8,240	1,358	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Longmont Boulder	6,399	1,407	10,321	—	780	1,407	11,101	12,508	1,973	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Boulder Louisville	—	1,298	9,519	—	462	1,298	9,981	11,279	1,816	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Louisville Northeast	7,038	1,319	9,675	—	71	1,319	9,746	11,065	1,823	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Merrillville	—	595	4,372	—	1,181	595	5,553	6,148	1,188	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Detroit Novi	6,450	1,427	10,445	—	24	1,427	10,469	11,896	1,963	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook	10,521	—	20,436	—	829	—	21,265	21,265	3,874	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Fort Lauderdale Plantation	—	2,183	16,021	112	908	2,295	16,929	19,224	3,475	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Detroit Pontiac	—	320	2,354	—	174	320	2,528	2,848	997	2006	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Auburn Hills Residence Inn Austin	—	1,684	12,349	—	170	1,684	12,519	14,203	2,355	2006	15 - 40 years
Round Rock Residence Inn Salt Lake	—	875	6,416	—	952	875	7,368	8,243	1,229	2006	15 - 40 years
City Airport Residence Inn San Antonio	—	1,822	13,360	—	782	1,822	14,142	15,964	2,545	2006	15 - 40 years
Downtown Market Sq Residence Inn Chicago	—	1,790	13,124	—	271	1,790	13,395	15,185	2,520	2006	15 - 40 years
Schaumburg Residence Inn South	—	603	4,425	—	459	603	4,884	5,487	875	2006	15 - 40 years
Bend Residence Inn Houston	—	1,100	8,073	—	1,295	1,100	9,368	10,468	1,666	2006	15 - 40 years
Sugarland Residence Inn Chicago	9,167	1,923	14,101	—	823	1,923	14,924	16,847	2,737	2006	15 - 40 years
Naperville Residence Inn Louisville	—	1,815	13,308	—	563	1,815	13,871	15,686	2,360	2007	15 - 40 years
Downtown Residence Inn Fort Lauderdale	—	1,692	12,409	—	380	1,692	12,789	14,481	2,022	2007	15 - 40 years
SW Miramar Residence Inn Grand Junction	—	870	9,197	—	36	870	9,233	10,103	1,296	2008	15 - 40 years

F-40

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
		Land Improvements	& Building Improvements	& Land Improvements	& Building Improvements	& Land Improvements	& Buildings Improvements	Total			
Residence Inn Austin Downtown Convention Center	32,800	3,767	27,626	—	620	3,767	28,246	32,013	13,347	2007	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Austin North	6,399	1,957	14,351	—	577	1,957	14,928	16,885	2,723	2006	15 - 40 years
Parmer Lane SpringHill Suites Austin South	—	1,605	11,768	—	114	1,605	11,882	13,487	2,245	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Indianapolis Carmel	8,142	1,816	13,320	—	849	1,816	14,169	15,985	2,602	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Louisville	7,578	1,890	13,869	—	740	1,890	14,609	16,499	2,693	2006	15 - 40 years
Hurstbourne North SpringHill Suites South Bend	5,255	983	7,217	—	213	983	7,430	8,413	1,511	2006	15 - 40 years
Mishawaka SpringHill Suites Chicago Schaumburg	—	1,554	11,396	—	829	1,554	12,225	13,779	2,252	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Denver North	9,470	2,409	17,670	—	1,120	2,409	18,790	21,199	3,439	2006	15 - 40 years
Westminster SpringHill Suites Boulder	—	1,144	8,388	—	628	1,144	9,016	10,160	1,497	2007	15 - 40 years
Longmont Fairfield Inn & Suites Austin University Area	—	556	4,078	—	142	556	4,220	4,776	782	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites	—	505	3,702	—	439	505	4,141	4,646	715	2006	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Austin South Airport Fairfield Inn & Suites Tampa Brandon	—	926	6,795	—	274	926	7,069	7,995	1,546	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Denver Cherry Creek	—	1,203	8,823	—	1,214	1,203	10,037	11,240	1,750	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Chicago SE Hammond	—	722	5,301	—	472	722	5,773	6,495	1,047	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Indianapolis Airport	—	657	4,820	—	299	657	5,119	5,776	1,054	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Key West	—	1,803	19,325	—	459	1,803	19,784	21,587	3,494	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Merrillville	—	768	5,636	—	298	768	5,934	6,702	1,092	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Chicago Midway Airport	4,736	1,425	10,449	—	203	1,425	10,652	12,077	1,990	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites San Antonio Airport	—	1,140	8,363	—	142	1,140	8,505	9,645	1,602	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites San Antonio Dwntn Mkt	—	1,378	10,105	—	794	1,378	10,899	12,277	1,945	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Valparaiso	—	157	1,157	—	135	157	1,292	1,449	302	2006	15 - 40 years

F-41

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
		Land Improvements	& Building Improvements	& Land Improvements	& Building Improvements	& Land Improvements	& Buildings Improvements	& Total			
Holiday Inn Grand Rapids Airport	—	582	4,274	—	2	582	4,276	4,858	962	2006	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Merrillville	—	665	4,879	—	657	665	5,536	6,201	965	2006	15 - 40 years
Holiday Inn Express Merrillville	—	545	4,005	—	87	545	4,092	4,637	771	2006	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Chicago Midway Airport	—	2,747	20,143	—	1,854	2,747	21,997	24,744	3,909	2006	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Midway Airport	—	2,978	21,842	—	235	2,978	22,077	25,055	4,146	2006	15 - 40 years
Sleep Inn Midway Airport	—	1,189	8,718	—	1,131	1,189	9,849	11,038	1,924	2006	15 - 40 years
Holiday Inn Express & Suites Midway Airport	—	1,874	13,742	—	232	1,874	13,974	15,848	2,638	2006	15 - 40 years
Homewood Suites Tampa Brandon	—	1,377	10,099	—	819	1,377	10,918	12,295	1,571	2007	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington	17,500	—	18,945	—	611	—	19,556	19,556	2,374	2009	15 - 40 years
TGI Friday's Chicago Midway	2,186	829	6,139	—	170	829	6,309	7,138	1,167	2006	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn St. George	—	1,822	13,363	—	17	1,822	13,380	15,202	2,230	2007	15 - 40 years
HillSpring Suites Bakersfield	—	1,560	8,838	—	4	1,560	8,842	10,402	1,668	2007	15 - 40 years
HillSpring Suites Gainesville	—	4,018	12,118	7	12	4,025	12,130	16,155	1,959	2007	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Suites	—	1,106	12,721	—	444	1,106	13,165	14,271	2,079	2007	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Clearwater St Petersburg Ulmerton Road Hampton Inn Garden City Hampton Inn Suites Las Vegas Red Rock Summerlin Courtyard Houston By The Galleria Hampton Inn Fort Walton Beach Hilton Mystic Embassy Suites Los Angeles Downey Hyatt House Colorado Springs Hyatt House Austin Arboretum	—	5,691	22,764	24	537	5,715	23,301	29,016	3,735	2007	15 - 40 years
	—	2,341	4,609	—	3	2,341	4,612	6,953	850	2007	15 - 40 years
	21,000	3,069	22,508	—	10	3,069	22,518	25,587	3,612	2007	15 - 40 years
	—	8,774	6,109	60	923	8,834	7,032	15,866	1,023	2007	15 - 40 years
	—	6,092	9,111	104	360	6,196	9,471	15,667	1,447	2007	15 - 40 years
	22,000	4,857	29,943	78	2,550	4,935	32,493	37,428	4,643	2008	15 - 40 years
	4,500	1,453	8,234	—	19	1,453	8,253	9,706	1,132	2008	15 - 40 years
	10,300	2,813	15,940	—	96	2,813	16,036	18,849	2,199	2008	15 - 40 years

F-42

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013		Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life	
		Land Improvements	& Building Improvements	& Land Improvements	& Building Improvements	& Land Improvements	& Buildings & Improvements				Total
Hyatt House Dallas	17,400	3,169	17,958	55	283	3,224	18,241	21,465	2,507	2008	15 - 40 years
Lincoln Park Hyatt House Dallas	12,300	2,241	12,698	45	50	2,286	12,748	15,034	1,755	2008	15 - 40 years
Uptown Hyatt House Dallas	5,500	1,445	8,186	94	74	1,539	8,260	9,799	1,147	2008	15 - 40 years
Richardson Hyatt House Houston	13,000	2,976	16,866	—	318	2,976	17,184	20,160	2,329	2008	15 - 40 years
Galleria Hilton Garden Inn New York West 35th Street	—	24,244	96,978	7	843	24,251	97,821	122,072	1,984	2009	15 - 40 years
Embassy Suites Tampa Downtown Convention Ctr	—	2,161	71,017	98	1,123	2,259	72,140	74,399	6,800	2010	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Washington DC	—	16,214	22,265	93	4,077	16,307	26,342	42,649	2,693	2010	15 - 40 years
Downtown Embassy Suites Fort Myers Estero	—	2,816	7,862	27	176	2,843	8,038	10,881	1,869	2010	15 - 40 years
Homewood Suites Washington DC	33,000	23,139	34,188	—	230	23,139	34,418	57,557	3,030	2010	15 - 40 years
Downtown Hilton New York Fashion District	—	35,592	82,392	3	556	35,595	82,948	118,545	4,902	2010	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Suites Denver Tech Center	—	2,373	9,180	51	1,396	2,424	10,576	13,000	1,050	2010	15 - 40 years
Hotel Indigo New Orleans Garden	—	1,901	3,865	179	11,564	2,080	15,429	17,509	950	2010	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

District Residence Inn Columbia	—	1,993	11,487	26	1,488	2,019	12,975	14,994	1,174	2010	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn National Harbor Washington DC	—	7,457	37,046	5	81	7,462	37,127	44,589	2,983	2010	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Silver Spring Hilton Garden Inn	11,000	3,945	18,896	23	959	3,968	19,855	23,823	1,774	2010	15 - 40 years
New Orleans Convention Center	—	3,405	20,750	15	3,557	3,420	24,307	27,727	2,117	2010	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn West Palm Beach Arprt	—	2,280	9,769	—	440	2,280	10,209	12,489	898	2010	15 - 40 years
Central Hilton Garden Inn West Palm Beach Airport	—	1,206	10,811	9	299	1,215	11,110	12,325	923	2010	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn Los Angeles Hollywood DoubleTree	—	5,303	19,136	149	5,093	5,452	24,229	29,681	2,168	2010	15 - 40 years
Metropolitan Hotel New York City	—	140,332	188,014	103	15,219	140,435	203,233	343,668	6,076	2010	15 - 40 years
Embassy Suites Columbus	—	1,274	6,805	175	1,290	1,449	8,095	9,544	703	2011	15 - 40 years

F-43

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depr Life
		Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements	Total			
Renaissance Pittsburgh Hotel	—	3,274	39,934	42	1,535	3,316	41,469	44,785	3,165	2011	15 - 4
Courtyard Atlanta	—	2,860	21,668	15	1,272	2,875	22,940	25,815	1,780	2011	15 - 4
Buckhead DoubleTree Hotel	—	1,933	6,486	76	3,049	2,009	9,535	11,544	887	2011	15 - 4
Columbia Marriott Denver Airport @ Gateway Park	24,000	3,083	38,356	39	1,315	3,122	39,671	42,793	3,137	2011	15 - 4
Embassy Suites West Palm Beach	—	3,656	9,614	114	4,649	3,770	14,263	18,033	1,412	2011	15 - 4
Central Hilton Garden Inn Durham Raleigh	—	1,751	4,763	54	5,134	1,805	9,897	11,702	1,124	2011	15 - 4
Research Triangle Park Hilton Garden Inn Pittsburgh	—	1,975	18,490	58	6,352	2,033	24,842	26,875	2,239	2011	15 - 4
University Place Hampton Inn Houston Near The Galleria	—	9,326	9,220	69	715	9,395	9,935	19,330	833	2011	15 - 4
Courtyard Charleston Historic District Residence Inn Bethesda	35,000	8,154	52,750	119	139	8,273	52,889	61,162	2,234	2012	15 - 4
Downtown Courtyard New York Manhattan	—	20,654	60,223	19	921	20,673	61,144	81,817	2,552	2012	15 - 4

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Upper East Side Hilton Garden Inn San Francisco	—	11,902	22,758	3	1,049	11,905	23,807	35,712	978	2012	15 - 4
Oakland Bay Brg Embassy Suites Boston Waltham Courtyard Houston Downtown Convention Center Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center Humble Tower Apartments Courtyard Waikiki Beach Courtyard San Francisco	—	6,268	56,025	17	1,137	6,285	57,162	63,447	1,739	2012	15 - 4
Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center Humble Tower Apartments Courtyard Waikiki Beach Courtyard San Francisco	—	5,799	28,954	—	562	5,799	29,516	35,315	623	2013	15 - 4
Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center Humble Tower Apartments Courtyard Waikiki Beach Courtyard San Francisco	—	4,674	24,913	—	452	4,674	25,365	30,039	527	2013	15 - 4
Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center Humble Tower Apartments Courtyard Waikiki Beach Courtyard San Francisco	—	2,382	12,756	—	185	2,382	12,941	15,323	269	2013	15 - 4
Residence Inn Houston Downtown Convention Center Humble Tower Apartments Courtyard Waikiki Beach Courtyard San Francisco	—	557	79,033	38	43	595	79,076	79,671	1,153	2013	15 - 4
Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic SpringHill Suites Portland Hillsboro	—	11,277	18,198	—	2,017	11,277	20,215	31,492	—	2013	15 - 4
Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic SpringHill Suites Portland Hillsboro	—	2,812	6,044	2	172	2,814	6,216	9,030	—	2013	15 - 4
Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown Historic SpringHill Suites Portland Hillsboro	—	3,488	18,283	—	1	3,488	18,284	21,772	125	2013	15 - 4
		\$559,665	\$592,088	\$2,707,941	\$2,314	\$158,908	\$594,402	\$2,866,849	\$3,461,251	\$367,306	

F-44

Table of Contents

The change in total cost of properties for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Reconciliation of Land and Buildings and Improvements			
Balance at beginning of period	\$3,218,610	\$2,908,626	\$2,676,184
Add: Acquisitions	219,169	238,731	223,668
Add: Improvements	30,309	72,149	41,188
Less: Disposition of properties	(6,837)	—	(32,414)
Balance at end of period before impairment charges	3,461,251	3,219,506	2,908,626
Impairment charges on real estate assets owned at end of period	—	(896)	—
Balance at end of period	\$3,461,251	\$3,218,610	\$2,908,626

The change in accumulated depreciation of real estate assets for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Reconciliation of Accumulated Depreciation			
Balance at beginning of period	\$(295,397)	\$(228,809)	\$(175,432)
Add: Depreciation for the period	(73,556)	(66,588)	(58,743)
Less: Disposition of properties	1,647	—	5,366
Balance at end of period	\$(367,306)	\$(295,397)	\$(228,809)

F-45