Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. Form 10-Q August 06, 2010

### **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 1	10-Q
(Mark One)	
<ul><li>Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or</li><li>For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010</li></ul>	15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
OR	
o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or	15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to	
Commission file n	
Buckeye GP Ho	oldings L.P.
(Exact name of registrant as	9
Delaware	11-3776228
(State or other jurisdiction of	(IRS Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification number)
One Greenway Plaza	
Suite 600	
Houston, TX	77046

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

### Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (832) 615-8600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Accelerated Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o Large accelerated filer filer b

(Do not check if a smaller reporting

company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No b As of August 3, 2010, there were 27,774,016 Common Units and 525,984 Management Units outstanding.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
and 2009 (Unaudited)	2
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
(Unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Portners - Conital for the Six Months Ended Ivno 20, 2010 and	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Partners Capital for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	5
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	3
1. Organization and Basis of Presentation	6
2. Acquisition and Disposition	8
3. Commitments and Contingencies	9
4. Inventories	10
5. Prepaid and Other Current Assets	11
6. Equity Investments	11
7. Intangible Assets	12
8. Other Non-Current Assets	12
9. Accrued and Other Current Liabilities	13
10. Debt Obligations	13
11. Other Non-Current Liabilities	16
12. Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Fair Value Measurements	17
13. Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits	23
14. Unit-Based Compensation Plans	24
15. Related Party Transactions	26
16. Cash Distributions	27
17. Earnings Per Partnership Unit	27
18. Business Segments	27
19. Supplemental Cash Flow Information	29
20. Subsequent Event	29
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	49
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	52
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	53
Item 1A. Risk Factors	53
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	57

EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1 EX-32.2

1

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

# BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per unit amounts) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Month June	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues:				
Product sales	\$ 501,744	\$ 201,777	\$ 1,069,914	\$ 470,556
Transportation and other services	165,532	149,443	328,536	297,504
Total revenue	667,276	351,220	1,398,450	768,060
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product sales and natural gas storage				
services	498,645	193,440	1,068,382	444,116
Operating expenses	68,769	68,842	135,352	142,742
Depreciation and amortization	14,669	13,559	29,197	26,923
Asset impairment expense General and administrative	12.054	72,540	24.000	72,540
Reorganization expense	13,254	10,158 28,113	24,089	20,193 28,113
Reorganization expense		20,113		20,113
Total costs and expenses	595,337	386,652	1,257,020	734,627
Operating income (loss)	71,939	(35,432)	141,430	33,433
Other income (expense):				
Investment income	85	142	240	294
Interest and debt expense	(21,350)	(16,236)	(43,006)	(33,639)
Total other expense	(21,265)	(16,094)	(42,766)	(33,345)
Income (loss) before earnings from equity				
investments	50,674	(51,526)	98,664	88
Earnings from equity investments	2,764	3,142	5,416	5,224
Net income (loss)	53,438	(48,384)	104,080	5,312
Less: net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(41,931)	58,156	(81,303)	14,609
	\$ 11,507	\$ 9,772	\$ 22,777	\$ 19,921

### Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

## Net income attributable to Buckeye GP Holdings ${\bf L.P.}$

Earnings per partnership unit: Basic	\$	0.41	\$	0.35	\$	0.80	\$	0.70
Diluted	\$	0.41	\$	0.35	\$	0.80	\$	0.70
Weighted average number of common units outstanding: Basic	,	28,300	2	28,300		28,300	<i>'</i>	28,300
Diluted	,	28,300	2	28,300		28,300	2	28,300
See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.								

# BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except unit amounts) (Unaudited)

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets:		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,497	\$ 37,574
Trade receivables, net	113,576	124,165
Construction and pipeline relocation receivables	11,626	14,095
Inventories	275,174	310,214
Derivative assets	10,093	4,959
Assets held for sale		22,000
Prepaid and other current assets	68,574	104,251
Total current assets	494,540	617,258
Property, plant and equipment, net	2,237,598	2,238,321
Equity investments	98,568	96,851
Goodwill	432,124	432,124
Intangible assets, net	42,931	45,157
Other non-current assets	38,118	56,860
Total assets	\$ 3,343,879	\$ 3,486,571
Liabilities and partners capital: Current liabilities:		
Line of credit	\$ 194,179	\$ 239,800
Current portion of long-term debt	4,599	6,178
Accounts payable	64,352	56,723
Derivative liabilities	367	14,665
Accrued and other current liabilities	127,091	113,474
Total current liabilities	390,588	430,840
Long-term debt	1,421,181	1,500,495
Other non-current liabilities	128,280	102,942
Total liabilities	1,940,049	2,034,277

Commitments and contingent liabilities

Partners capital:

**Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. capital:** 

Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

General Partner (2,830 common units outstanding as of June 30, 2010 and		
December 31, 2009)	7	7
Limited Partners (27,771,186 common units outstanding as of June 30, 2010		
and December 31, 2009)	234,257	236,545
Management (525,984 units outstanding as of June 30, 2010 and December 31,		
2009)	3,182	3,225
Equity gains on issuance of Buckeye Partners, L.P. limited partner units	2,557	2,557
Total Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. capital	240,003	242,334
Noncontrolling interests	1,163,827	1,209,960
Total partners capital	1,403,830	1,452,294
Total liabilities and partners capital	\$3,343,879	\$ 3,486,571

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

# BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Six Montl June	
	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:	ф. 104 000	Φ 5.212
Net income	\$ 104,080	\$ 5,312
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:		
Value of ESOP shares released	2,271	380
Depreciation and amortization	29,197	26,923
Asset impairment expense		72,540
Net changes in fair value of derivatives	(12,901)	4,672
Non-cash deferred lease expense	2,117	2,250
Reorganization expense		28,113
Earnings from equity investments of Buckeye Partners, L.P.	(5,416)	(5,224)
Distributions from equity investments of Buckeye Partners, L.P.	3,700	2,827
Amortization of other non-cash items	5,060	3,170
Change in assets and liabilities:	·	•
Trade receivables	10,589	(2,832)
Construction and pipeline relocation receivables	2,469	4,855
Inventories	28,065	(27,742)
Prepaid and other current assets	36,962	(17,692)
Accounts payable	7,629	5,236
Accrued and other current liabilities	11,058	(5,506)
Other non-current assets	3,370	(7,069)
Other non-current liabilities	3,701	9,424
	- 7	- ,
Total adjustments from operating activities	127,871	94,325
Net cash provided by operating activities	231,951	99,637
Cash flaws from investing activities		
Cash flows from investing activities: Capital expenditures	(27,572)	(39,819)
Contributions to equity investments	(21,312)	
* *	22 274	(3,880)
Net proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	22,274	21
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,298)	(43,678)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net proceeds from issuance of Buckeye Partners, L.P. limited partner units		104,779
Proceeds from exercise of Buckeye Partners, L.P. unit options	2,976	38
Repayment of long-term debt	(3,104)	(3,162)
Borrowings under credit facility	95,000	77,333
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. ,,555

Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

Repayments under credit facility	(173,000)	(166,600)
Net (repayments) borrowings under BES credit agreement	(45,621)	3,000
Debt issuance costs	(3,227)	(18)
Costs associated with agreement and plan of merger	(1,547)	
Distributions paid to noncontrolling partners of Buckeye Partners, L.P.	(96,435)	(87,064)
Distributions paid to partners	(23,772)	(19,244)
Net cash used in financing activities	(248,730)	(90,938)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(22,077)	(34,979)
Cash and cash equivalents Beginning of period	37,574	61,281
Cash and cash equivalents  End of period	\$ 15,497	\$ 26,302

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

# BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS CAPITAL (In thousands) (Unaudited)

## Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. Unitholders Equity

							G	ains			
		Gen	eral	Limited			Iss	on uance of			
		Part Com		Partner Common	Man	agement	Li	ckeye s mited artner	Nor	ncontrolling	
		Un	its	Units	τ	J <b>nits</b>		Jnits	]	Interests	Total
Balance 2009 Net incom	January 1, e*	\$	7	\$ 226,565 19,547	\$	3,037 374	\$	2,451	\$	1,166,774 (14,609)	\$ 1,398,834 5,312
Distribution partners	ons paid to			(18,883)		(361)				( ,,,,,,	(19,244)
Recognition unit-based charges	on of compensation			718		16					734
Equity gai of Buckey	ns on issuance e s limited							106		(100)	
partner un Net procee issuance o								106		(106)	
Buckeye units Amortizat	s limited partner	ſ								104,779	104,779
	s limited partner	r								477	477
Exercise of partner un										38	38
non-cash I distributio	ESOP									(3,122)	(3,122)
	ons paid to Iling interests It to funded									(87,064)	(87,064)
-	enefit plans									7,971 4,166	7,971 4,166
Balance	June 30, 2009	\$	7	\$ 227,947	\$	3,066	\$	2,557	\$	1,179,304	\$ 1,412,881
Balance 2010	January 1,	\$	7	\$ 236,545	\$	3,225	\$	2,557	\$	1,209,960	\$ 1,452,294

Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

Net income*		22,350	427		81,303	104,080
Costs associated with						
agreement and plan of						
merger		(1,941)	(37)		(1,846)	(3,824)
Distributions paid to						
partners		(23,327)	(445)			(23,772)
Recognition of						
unit-based compensation						
charges		630	12			642
Amortization of						
Buckeye s limited partner						
unit options					3,596	3,596
Exercise of limited						
partner unit options					2,976	2,976
Services Company s						
non-cash ESOP						
distributions					(2,639)	(2,639)
Distributions paid to						
noncontrolling interests					(96,435)	(96,435)
Change in value of						
derivatives					(36,600)	(36,600)
Investment in Buckeye s						
limited partner units					4,503	4,503
Other					(991)	(991)
Balance June 30, 2010	\$ 7	\$ 234,257	\$ 3,182	\$ 2,557	\$ 1,163,827	\$ 1,403,830

<sup>\*</sup> Comprehensive income equals net income.

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

### **BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P.**

### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Except for per unit amounts, or as otherwise noted within the context of each footnote disclosure, the dollar amounts presented in the tabular data within these footnote disclosures are stated in thousands.

### 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Partnership Organization

Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. is a publicly traded Delaware master limited partnership (MLP), the common units (Common Units) of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the ticker symbol BGH. We were organized on June 15, 2006 and own 100% of Buckeye GP LLC (Buckeye GP), which is the general partner of Buckeye Partners, L.P. (Buckeye). Buckeye is also a publicly traded Delaware MLP which was organized in 1986, and its limited partner units (LP Units) are separately traded on the NYSE under the ticker symbol BPL. Approximately 62% of our outstanding equity, which includes Common Units and management units (Management Units), are owned by BGH GP Holdings, LLC (BGH GP) and approximately 38% by the public. BGH GP is owned by affiliates of ArcLight Capital Partners, LLC (ArcLight), Kelso & Company (Kelso), and certain investment funds along with certain members of senior management of Buckeye GP. MainLine Management LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (MainLine Management), is our general partner and is wholly owned by BGH GP. As used in these Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, unless the context requires otherwise, references to we, us, our or "BGH are intended to mean the business and operations of Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. on a consolidate basis, including those of Buckeye. References to Buckeye mean Buckeye Partners, L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Our only business is the ownership of Buckeye GP. Buckeye GP s only business is the management of Buckeye and its subsidiaries. At June 30, 2010, Buckeye GP owned an approximate 0.5% general partner interest in Buckeye.

Buckeye owns and operates one of the largest independent refined petroleum products pipeline systems in the United States in terms of volumes delivered with approximately 5,400 miles of pipeline and 67 active products terminals that provide aggregate storage capacity of approximately 27.2 million barrels. In addition, Buckeye operates and maintains approximately 2,400 miles of other pipelines under agreements with major oil and gas, petrochemical and chemical companies, and performs certain engineering and construction management services for third parties. Buckeye also owns and operates a major natural gas storage facility in northern California, and is a wholesale distributor of refined petroleum products in the United States in areas also served by its pipelines and terminals. We, through Buckeye, operate and report in five business segments: Pipeline Operations; Terminalling & Storage; Natural Gas Storage; Energy Services; and Development & Logistics.

Buckeye Pipe Line Services Company (Services Company) was formed in 1996 in connection with the establishment of the Buckeye Pipe Line Services Company Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the ESOP). At June 30, 2010, Services Company owned approximately 3.0% of Buckeye s LP Units. Services Company employees provide services to Buckeye s operating subsidiaries. Pursuant to a services agreement entered into in December 2004 (the Services Agreement), Buckeye s operating subsidiaries reimburse Services Company for the costs of the services provided by Services Company.

Agreement and Plan of Merger

On June 10, 2010, we and our general partner entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement ) with Buckeye, its general partner and Grand Ohio, LLC (Merger Sub), Buckeye s subsidiary, pursuant to which Merger Sub will be merged into BGH, with BGH as the surviving entity (the Merger). In the transaction, the incentive compensation agreement (also referred to as the incentive distribution rights) held by Buckeye s general partner will be cancelled, the general partner units held by Buckeye s general partner (representing an approximate 0.5% general partner interest in Buckeye) will be converted to a non-economic general partner interest, all of the economic interest in us will be acquired by BPL and our unitholders will receive aggregate consideration of approximately 20.0 million of Buckeye s LP Units.

6

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The terms of the Merger Agreement were unanimously approved by the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner ( Audit Committee ), and by the board of directors of Buckeye s general partner. Additionally, our majority unitholder, BGH GP Holdings, LLC, and ArcLight Energy Partners Fund III, L.P., ArcLight Energy Partners Fund IV, L.P., Kelso Investment Associates VII, L.P., and KEP VI, LLC have executed a Support Agreement ( Support Agreement ) agreeing to vote in favor of the Merger and against any alternative transaction. The Support Agreement will automatically terminate if the board of directors of our general partner changes its recommendation to our unitholders with respect to the Merger or the Merger Agreement is terminated.

After the Merger, the board of directors of Buckeye s general partner is expected to consist of nine members, three of whom are expected to be the existing independent members of Buckeye s Audit Committee, one of whom is expected to be the existing chief executive officer of Buckeye s general partner and three of whom are expected to be the three existing independent members of the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner. In addition, our general partner, which will own a non-economic general partner interest in us and will continue to be owned by BGH GP Holdings, LLC, will have the right and authority to designate two additional members of the board of directors, subject to reduction if BGH GP Holdings, LLC s ownership of Buckeye s LP Units drops below certain thresholds. The remaining seven members of Buckeye s general partner s board of directors will be elected by holders of its LP Units.

The Merger Agreement is subject to, among other things, approval by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of Buckeye s LP Units outstanding and entitled to vote at a meeting of the holders of Buckeye s LP Units, approval by the (a) affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our Common Units and (b) affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our Common Units and management units, voting together as a single class, and the effectiveness of a registration statement on Form S-4 with respect to the issuance of Buckeye s LP Units in connection with the Merger.

The Merger will be accounted for as an equity transaction. Therefore, changes in our ownership interest as a result of the Merger will not result in gain or loss recognition. We will be considered the surviving consolidated entity for accounting purposes, while Buckeye will be the surviving consolidated entity for legal and reporting purposes.

We and Buckeye incurred a total of \$3.8 million of costs associated with the Merger during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, of which \$1.5 million has been paid. We charged these costs directly to partners capital. *Basis of Presentation* 

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of our management, of a normal and recurring nature and necessary for a fair statement of our financial position as of June 30, 2010, and the results of our operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results of our operations for the 2010 fiscal year. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). We have eliminated all intercompany transactions in consolidation. The consolidated financial statements also include the accounts of wholly-owned subsidiaries, as well as the accounts of Buckeye and Services Company, on a consolidated basis. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the SEC on March 2, 2010.

7

### BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows to conform to the current-year presentation. The reclassification in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows is as follows:

We have separately disclosed cash flows from the issuance of long-term debt and borrowings under our credit facilities for the six months ended June 30, 2009. These amounts had been included within the same line item in the 2009 period.

This reclassification had no impact on net income (loss) or cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities.

Recent Accounting Developments

<u>Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)</u>. In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended consolidation guidance for VIEs. The objective of this new guidance is to improve financial reporting by companies involved with VIEs. This guidance requires each reporting company to perform an analysis to determine whether the company s variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE. The new guidance was effective for us on January 1, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements. In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance that requires new disclosures related to fair value measurements. The new guidance requires expanded disclosures related to transfers between Level 1 and 2 activities and a gross presentation for Level 3 activity. The new accounting guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the new disclosures related to Level 3 activities, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those years. The new guidance became effective for us on January 1, 2010, except for the new disclosures related to Level 3 activities, which will be effective for us on January 1, 2011. We have included the enhanced disclosure requirements regarding fair value measurements in Note 12.

### 2. ACQUISITION AND DISPOSITION

Refined Petroleum Products Terminals and Pipeline Assets Acquisition

On November 18, 2009, we acquired from ConocoPhillips certain refined petroleum product terminals and pipeline assets for approximately \$47.1 million in cash. In addition, we acquired certain inventory on hand upon completion of the transaction for additional consideration of \$7.3 million. The assets include over 300 miles of active pipeline that provide connectivity between the East St. Louis, Illinois and East Chicago, Indiana markets and three terminals providing 2.3 million barrels of storage tankage. ConocoPhillips entered into certain commercial contracts with us concurrent with our acquisition regarding usage of the acquired facilities. We believe the acquisition of these assets has given us greater access to markets and refinery operations in the Midwest and increased the commercial value of these assets and certain of our existing assets to our customers by offering enhanced distribution connectivity and flexible storage capabilities. The operations of these acquired assets are reported in the Pipeline Operations and Terminalling & Storage segments. The purchase price has been allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired, as follows:

Inventory	\$ 7,287
Property, plant and equipment	44,400
Intangible assets	4,580
Environmental and other liabilities	(1,834)
Allocated purchase price	\$ 54,433

8

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Sale of Buckeye NGL Pipeline

Effective January 1, 2010, we sold our ownership interest in an approximately 350-mile natural gas liquids pipeline (the Buckeye NGL Pipeline ) that runs from Wattenberg, Colorado to Bushton, Kansas for \$22.0 million. The assets had been classified as Assets held for sale in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 with a carrying amount equal to the proceeds received. Revenues for Buckeye NGL Pipeline for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$3.2 million and \$6.5 million, respectively.

### 3. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Claims and Proceedings

In the ordinary course of business, we are involved in various claims and legal proceedings, some of which are covered by insurance. We are generally unable to predict the timing or outcome of these claims and proceedings. Based upon our evaluation of existing claims and proceedings and the probability of losses relating to such contingencies, we have accrued certain amounts relating to such claims and proceedings, none of which are considered material.

In April 2010, the Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) proposed penalties totaling approximately \$0.5 million in connection with a tank overfill incident that occurred at our facility in East Chicago, Indiana, in May 2005 and other related personnel qualification issues raised as a result of PHMSA s 2008 Integrity Inspection. We are contesting the proposed penalty. The timing or outcome of this appeal cannot reasonably be determined at this time.

On July 30, 2010, a putative class action was filed by a unitholder against BGH, MainLine Management, BGH GP, and each of MainLine Management is directors in the District Court of Harris County, Texas under the caption *Broadbased Equities v. Forrest E. Wylie, et. al.* In the Petition, the plaintiff alleges that MainLine Management and its directors breached their fiduciary duties to BGH is public unitholders by, among other things, acting to facilitate the sale of BGH to Buckeye in order to facilitate the gradual sale by BGH GP of its interest in BGH and failing to disclose all material facts in order that the BGH unitholders can cast an informed vote on the Merger Agreement. Among other things, the Petition seeks an order certifying a class consisting of all BGH unitholders, a determination that the action is a proper derivative action, damages in an unspecified amount, and an award of attorneys fees and costs. The defendants have not yet answered or otherwise responded to the Petition.

On August 2, 2010, a putative class action was filed by a unitholder against BGH, MainLine Management, Grand Ohio, LLC, Buckeye, Buckeye GP, and each of MainLine Management s directors in the District Court of Harris County, Texas under the caption *Henry James Steward v. Forrest E. Wylie, et. al.* In the Petition, the plaintiff alleges that MainLine Management and its directors breached their fiduciary duties to BGH s public unitholders by, among other things, failing to disclose all material facts in order that the BGH unitholders can cast an informed vote on the Merger Agreement. The Petition also alleges that Buckeye, Buckeye GP and Grand Ohio, LLC aided and abetted the breaches of fiduciary duty. Among other things, the Petition seeks an order certifying a plaintiff class consisting of all of BGH unitholders, an order enjoining the Merger, rescission of the Merger, damages in an unspecified amount, and an award of attorneys fees and costs. Neither we nor the other defendants have yet answered or otherwise responded to the Petition.

On August 2, 2010, a putative class action was filed by a unitholder against BGH, MainLine Management, BGH GP, ArcLight, Kelso, Buckeye, Buckeye GP and each of MainLine Management s directors, in the District Court of Harris County, Texas under the caption *Henry James Steward v. Forrest E. Wylie, et. al.* In the Petition, the plaintiff alleges that MainLine Management and its directors breached their fiduciary duties to BGH s public unitholders by, among other things, accepting insufficient consideration, failing to condition the Merger on a majority vote of public unitholders of BGH, and failing to disclose all material facts in order that the BGH unitholders can cast an informed vote on the Merger Agreement. The Petition also alleges that Buckeye, Buckeye GP, BGH GP, ArcLight and Kelso aided and abetted the breaches of fiduciary duty. Among other things, the Petition seeks an order certifying a class consisting of all of BGH s unitholders, an order enjoining the Merger, damages in an unspecified amount, and an award of attorneys fees and costs. Neither we nor the other defendants have yet answered or otherwise responded to

### Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

the Petition.

Environmental Contingencies

In accordance with our accounting policy, we recorded operating expenses, net of insurance recoveries, of \$2.5 million and \$1.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$5.4 million and \$6.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to environmental expenditures unrelated to claims and proceedings.

Ammonia Contract Contingencies

On November 30, 2005, Buckeye Gulf Coast Pipe Lines, L.P. (BGC) purchased an ammonia pipeline and other assets from El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company (EPME), a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation (El Paso). As part of the transaction, BGC assumed the obligations of EPME under several contracts involving monthly purchases and sales of ammonia. EPME and BGC agreed, however, that EPME would retain the economic risks and benefits associated with those contracts until their expiration at the end of 2012. To effectuate this agreement, BGC passes through to EPME both the cost of purchasing ammonia under a supply contract and the proceeds from selling ammonia under three sales contracts. For the vast majority of monthly periods since the closing of the pipeline acquisition, the pricing terms of the ammonia contracts have resulted in ammonia costs exceeding ammonia sales proceeds. The amount of the shortfall generally increases as the market price of ammonia increases.

EPME has informed BGC that, notwithstanding the parties—agreement, it will not continue to pay BGC for shortfalls created by the pass-through of ammonia costs in excess of ammonia revenues. EPME encouraged BGC to seek payment by invoking a \$40.0 million guaranty made by El Paso, which guaranteed EPME—s obligations to BGC. If EPME fails to reimburse BGC for these shortfalls for a significant period during the remainder of the term of the ammonia agreements, then such unreimbursed shortfalls could exceed the \$40.0 million cap on El Paso—s guaranty. To the extent the unreimbursed shortfalls significantly exceed the \$40.0 million cap, the resulting costs incurred by BGC could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. To date, BGC has continued to receive payment for ammonia costs under the contracts at issue. BGC has not called on El Paso—s guaranty and believes only BGC may invoke the guaranty. EPME, however, contends that El Paso—s guaranty is the

9

### BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P.

### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

source of payment for the shortfalls, but has not clarified the extent to which it believes the guaranty has been exhausted. We have been working with EPME to terminate the ammonia sales contracts and ammonia supply contracts and, at no out of pocket cost to us, have terminated one of the ammonia sales contracts. Given, however, the uncertainty of future ammonia prices and EPME s future actions, we continue to believe we have risk of loss and, at this time, are unable to estimate the amount of any such losses we might incur in the future. We are assessing our options in the event that we and EPME are unable to terminate the remaining contracts or otherwise mitigate the remaining risk, including potential recourse against EPME and El Paso, with respect to this matter. *Customer Bankruptcy* 

One of our customers filed for bankruptcy in October 2009; approximately \$4.2 million remained payable to us from the customer pursuant to a pre-bankruptcy contract. In June 2010, we entered into a settlement with the bankrupt customer and its largest creditor pursuant to which we expect to be paid at least \$2.0 million upon the sale of certain of the customer s assets within the bankruptcy proceedings, and we were released from both asserted and unasserted claims. At this time, we expect the sale of the assets to be completed, and the settlement payment to be made to us, in the third quarter of 2010. As a result of the settlement, our Development & Logistics segment recognized approximately \$2.1 million in expense related to the write-off of a portion of the outstanding receivable balance during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

### 4. INVENTORIES

Our inventory amounts were as follows at the dates indicated:

		D	ecember
	June 30, 2010		31, 2009
Refined petroleum products (1) Materials and supplies	\$ 264,638 10,536	\$	299,473 10,741
Total inventories	\$ 275,174	\$	310,214

### (1) Ending

inventory was

130.4 million

and

141.7 million

gallons of

refined

petroleum

products at

June 30, 2010

and

December 31,

2009,

respectively.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximately 95% and 99%, respectively, of our inventory was hedged. Hedged inventory is valued at current market prices with the change in value of the inventory reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 5. PREPAID AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid and other current assets consist of the following at the dates indicated:

		D	ecember	
	<b>June 30,</b>	31,		
	2010		2009	
Prepaid insurance	\$ 2,435	\$	7,088	
Insurance receivables	11,238		13,544	
Ammonia receivable	1,690		7,429	
Margin deposits	7,921		21,037	
Prepaid services	21,742		21,571	
Unbilled revenue	2,469		13,201	
Tax receivable	7,162		7,162	
Prepaid taxes	4,001		2,213	
Other	9,916		11,006	
Total prepaid and other current assets	\$ 68,574	\$	104,251	

### 6. EQUITY INVESTMENTS

We own interests in related businesses that are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. The following table presents our equity investments, all included within the Pipeline Operations segment, at the dates indicated:

	Ownership	June 30, 2010	De	31, 2009
Muskegon Pipeline LLC	40.0%	\$ 14,514	\$	15,273
Transport4, LLC	25.0%	373		379
West Shore Pipe Line Company West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited	24.9%	30,526		30,320
Partnership	20.0%	53,155		50,879
Total equity investments		\$ 98,568	\$	96,851

The following table presents earnings from equity investments for the periods indicated:

	Three Months		Six Months		
	En	ded	Ended		
	June	e <b>30</b> ,	June 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Muskegon Pipeline LLC	\$ 227	\$ 173	\$ 571	\$ 538	
Transport4, LLC	30	40	69	70	
West Shore Pipe Line Company	1,294	1,094	2,501	2,197	
West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited					
Partnership	1,213	1,835	2,275	2,419	
Total earnings from equity					
investments	\$ 2,764	\$ 3,142	\$ 5,416	\$ 5,224	

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Combined income statement data for the periods indicated for our equity method investments is summarized below:

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		Six Months Ended		
	Jun	June 30,		e 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Revenues	\$35,754	\$32,846	\$67,299	\$64,414	
Costs and expenses	20,343	16,543	36,244	32,613	
Non-operating expense	3,461	2,961	7,038	5,869	
Net income	11,950	13,342	24,017	25,932	

### 7. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following at the dates indicated:

		De	ecember
	June 30, 2010		31, 2009
Customer relationships	\$ 38,300	\$	38,300
Accumulated amortization	(7,115)		(5,631)
Net carrying amount	31,185		32,669
Customer contracts Accumulated amortization	16,380 (4,634)		16,380 (3,892)
Net carrying amount	11,746		12,488
Total intangible assets	\$ 42,931	\$	45,157

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$2.2 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. Amortization expense related to intangible assets is expected to be approximately \$4.5 million for each of the next five years.

### 8. OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS

Other non-current assets consist of the following at the dates indicated:

		D	ecember
	June 30,		31,
	2010		2009
Prepaid services	\$ 8,065	\$	11,640
Derivative assets			17,204
Debt issuance costs	12,459		11,058
Insurance receivables	7,530		7,265
Other	10,064		9,693
Total other non-current assets	\$ 38,118	\$	56,860

12

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 9. ACCRUED AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accrued and other current liabilities consist of the following at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009
Taxes other than income	\$ 16,278	\$	15,487
Accrued employee benefit liability	3,287		3,287
Environmental liabilities	11,549		10,799
Accrued interest	30,699		30,613
Payable for ammonia purchase	1,803		7,015
Deferred revenue	20,306		6,829
Compensation and vacation	9,748		11,385
Accrued capital expenditures	425		1,611
Reorganization			2,133
Deferred consideration	2,010		1,675
Other	30,986		22,640
Total accrued and other current liabilities	\$ 127,091	\$	113,474

### 10. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Long-term debt consists of the following at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
BGH:		
BGH Credit Agreement	\$	\$
Services Company:		
3.60% ESOP Notes due March 28, 2011	4,634	7,790
Retirement premium	(35)	(87)
Buckeye:		
4.625% Notes due July 15, 2013 (1)	300,000	300,000
5.300% Notes due October 15, 2014 (1)	275,000	275,000
5.125% Notes due July 1, 2017 (1)	125,000	125,000
6.050% Notes due January 15, 2018 (1)	300,000	300,000
5.500% Notes due August 15, 2019 (1)	275,000	275,000
6.750% Notes due August 15, 2033 (1)	150,000	150,000
Credit Facility		78,000
BES Credit Agreement	194,179	239,800
Total debt	1,623,778	1,750,503
Other, including unamortized discounts and fair value hedges	(3,819)	(4,030)
Subtotal debt	1,619,959	1,746,473

### Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

Less: Current portion of long-term debt (198,778) (245,978)

Total long-term debt \$1,421,181 \$ 1,500,495

(1) We make

semi-annual

interest

payments on

these notes

based on the

rates noted

above with the

principal

balances

outstanding to

be paid on or

before the due

dates as shown

above.

13

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fair values of our aggregate debt and credit facilities were estimated to be \$1,677.2 million and \$1,769.8 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The fair values of the fixed-rate debt were estimated by observing market trading prices and by comparing the historic market prices of our publicly-issued debt with the market prices of other MLPs publicly-issued debt with similar credit ratings and terms. The fair values of the variable-rate debt are their carrying amounts, as the carrying amount reasonably approximates fair value due to the variability of the interest rates.

### BGH

We have a five-year, \$10.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with SunTrust Bank, as both administrative agent and lender (the BGH Credit Agreement ). The BGH Credit Agreement may be used for working capital and other partnership purposes. We have pledged all of the limited liability company interests in Buckeye GP as security for our obligations under the BGH Credit Agreement. Borrowings under the BGH Credit Agreement bear interest under one of two rate options, selected by us, equal to either (i) the greater of (a) the federal funds rate plus 0.5% and (b) SunTrust Bank s prime commercial lending rate; or (ii) the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), plus a margin which can range from 0.40% to 1.40%, based on the ratings assigned by Standard & Poor s Rating Services and Moody s Investor Service to our senior unsecured non-credit enhanced long-term debt. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there were no amounts outstanding under the BGH Credit Agreement.

The BGH Credit Agreement requires us to maintain leverage and funded debt coverage ratios. The leverage ratio covenant requires us to maintain, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, a ratio of the total funded indebtedness of us and our Restricted Subsidiaries (as defined below), measured as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, to the aggregate dividends and distributions received by us and the Restricted Subsidiaries from Buckeye, plus all other cash received by us and the Restricted Subsidiaries, measured for the preceding twelve months, less expenses, of not more than 2.50 to 1.00. The BGH Credit Agreement defines Restricted Subsidiaries as certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries. The funded debt coverage ratio covenant requires us to maintain, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, a ratio of us and all of our consolidated subsidiaries total consolidated funded debt to the consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the BGH Credit Agreement, of us and all of our subsidiaries, measured for the preceding twelve months, of not more than 5.25 to 1.00, subject to a provision for increases to 5.75 to 1.00 in connection with future acquisitions. At June 30, 2010, our funded debt coverage ratio was 4.31 to 1.00.

The BGH Credit Agreement contains other covenants that prohibit us from taking certain actions, including but not limited to, declaring dividends or distributions if any default or event of default has occurred or would result from such a declaration and limiting our ability to incur additional indebtedness, creating negative pledges and granting certain liens, making certain loans, acquisitions, and investments, making material changes to the nature of us and our Restricted Subsidiaries business, and entering into a merger, consolidation, or sale of assets. At June 30, 2010, we were not aware of any instances of noncompliance with the covenants under the BGH Credit Agreement. Services Company ESOP Notes

Services Company had total debt outstanding of \$4.6 million and \$7.7 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, consisting of 3.60% Senior Secured Notes (the 3.60% ESOP Notes) due March 28, 2011 payable by the ESOP to a third-party lender. The 3.60% ESOP Notes were issued on May 4, 2004. The 3.60% ESOP Notes are collateralized by Services Company s common stock and are guaranteed by Services Company. In addition, Buckeye has committed that, in the event that the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company falls below 125% of the balance payable under the 3.60% ESOP Notes, Buckeye will fund an escrow account with sufficient assets to bring the value of the total collateral (the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company and the escrow account) up to the 125% minimum. Amounts deposited in the escrow account are returned to Buckeye when the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company returns to an amount that exceeds the 125% minimum. At June 30, 2010, the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company exceeded the 125% requirement.

14

### BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Credit Facility

Buckeye has a borrowing capacity of \$580.0 million under an unsecured revolving credit agreement (the Credit Facility ) with SunTrust Bank, as administrative agent, which may be expanded up to \$780.0 million subject to certain conditions and upon the further approval of the lenders. The Credit Facility s maturity date is August 24, 2012, which Buckeye may extend for up to two additional one-year periods. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest under one of two rate options, selected by Buckeye, equal to either (i) the greater of (a) the federal funds rate plus 0.5% and (b) SunTrust Bank s prime rate plus an applicable margin, or (ii) LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin is determined based on the current utilization level of the Credit Facility and ratings assigned by Standard & Poor s Rating Services and Moody s Investor Service for Buckeye s senior unsecured non-credit enhanced long-term debt. At June 30, 2010, no amounts were outstanding under the Credit Facility, while at December 31, 2009, \$78.0 million was outstanding under the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility requires Buckeye to maintain a specified ratio (the Funded Debt Ratio ) of no greater than 5.00 to 1.00 subject to a provision that allows for increases to 5.50 to 1.00 in connection with certain future acquisitions. The Funded Debt Ratio is calculated by dividing consolidated debt by annualized EBITDA, which is defined in the Credit Facility as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization, in each case excluding the income of certain of Buckeye s majority-owned subsidiaries and equity investments (but including distributions from those majority-owned subsidiaries and equity investments). At June 30, 2010, Buckeye s Funded Debt Ratio was approximately 3.86 to 1.00. As permitted by the Credit Facility, the \$194.2 million of borrowings by Buckeye Energy Services LLC (BES) under its separate credit agreement (discussed below) was excluded from the calculation of the Funded Debt Ratio.

In addition, the Credit Facility contains other covenants including, but not limited to, covenants limiting Buckeye s ability to incur additional indebtedness, to create or incur liens on its property, to dispose of property material to its operations, and to consolidate, merge or transfer assets. At June 30, 2010, we were not aware of any instances of noncompliance with the covenants under Buckeye s Credit Facility.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, Buckeye had committed \$1.4 million in support of letters of credit. The obligations for letters of credit are not reflected as debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

BES Credit Agreement

BES had a credit agreement (the BES Credit Agreement ) that provided for borrowings of up to \$250.0 million with a maturity date of May 20, 2011. On June 25, 2010, BES amended and restated the BES Credit Agreement to increase the total commitments for borrowings available to BES up to \$500.0 million. However, the maximum amount available to be borrowed under the amended and restated BES Credit Agreement is initially limited to \$350.0 million. An accordion feature provides BES the ability to increase the commitments under the BES Credit Agreement to \$500.0 million, subject to obtaining the requisite commitments and satisfying other customary conditions. In addition to the accordion, subject to BES s satisfaction of certain financial covenants as set forth in the financial covenants table below, BES may, from time to time, elect to increase or decrease the maximum amount available for borrowing under the BES Credit Agreement in \$5.0 million increments, but in no event below \$150.0 million or above \$500.0 million. The maturity date of the BES Credit Agreement is June 25, 2013. BES incurred \$3.2 million of debt issuance costs related to the amendment, which will be amortized into interest expense over the term of the BES Credit Agreement.

Under the BES Credit Agreement, borrowings accrue interest under one of three rate options, at BES s election, equal to (i) the Administrative Agent s Cost of Funds (as defined in the BES Credit Agreement) plus 2.25%, (ii) the Eurodollar Rate (as defined in the BES Credit Agreement) plus 2.25% or (iii) the Prime Rate (as defined in the BES Credit Agreement) plus 1.25%. The BES Credit Agreement also permits Daylight Overdraft Loans (as defined in the BES Credit Agreement), Swingline Loans (as defined in the BES Credit Agreement) and letters of credit. Such alternative extensions of credit are subject to certain conditions as specified in the BES Credit Agreement. The BES Credit Agreement is secured by liens on certain assets of BES, including its inventory, cash deposits (other than certain accounts), investments and hedging accounts, receivables and intangibles.

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The balances outstanding under the BES Credit Agreement were approximately \$194.2 million and \$239.8 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, both of which were classified as current liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The BES Credit Agreement requires BES to meet certain financial covenants, which are defined in the BES Credit Agreement and summarized below (in millions, except for the leverage ratio):

Borrowings	Minimum	Minimum	Maximum
outstanding on	Consolidated Tangible	Consolidated Net Working	Consolidated
<b>BES Credit Agreement</b>	<b>Net Worth</b>	Capital	Leverage Ratio
\$150	\$ 40	\$ 30	7.0 to 1.0
Above \$150 up to \$200	\$ 50	\$ 40	7.0 to 1.0
Above \$200 up to \$250	\$ 60	\$ 50	7.0 to 1.0
Above \$250 up to \$300	\$ 72	\$ 60	7.0 to 1.0
Above \$300 up to \$350	\$ 84	\$ 70	7.0 to 1.0
Above \$350 up to \$400	\$ 96	\$ 80	7.0 to 1.0
Above \$400 up to \$450	\$ 108	\$ 90	7.0 to 1.0
Above \$450 up to \$500	\$ 120	\$ 100	7.0 to 1.0

At June 30, 2010, BES s Consolidated Tangible Net Worth and Consolidated Net Working Capital were \$121.3 million and \$71.5 million, respectively, and the Consolidated Leverage Ratio was 2.3 to 1.0. The weighted average interest rate for borrowings outstanding under the BES Credit Agreement was 2.5% at June 30, 2010.

In addition, the BES Credit Agreement contains other covenants, including, but not limited to, covenants limiting BES s ability to incur additional indebtedness, to create or incur certain liens on its property, to consolidate, merge or transfer its assets, to make dividends or distributions, to dispose of its property, to make investments, to modify its risk management policy, or to engage in business activities materially different from those presently conducted. At June 30, 2010, we were not aware of any instances of noncompliance with the covenants under the BES Credit Agreement.

### 11. OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other non-current liabilities consist of the following at the dates indicated:

		D	ecember
	June 30,		31,
	2010		2009
Accrued employee benefit liabilities (see Note 13)	\$ 45,799	\$	45,837
Accrued environmental liabilities	18,891		19,053
Derivative liabilities	18,953		
Deferred consideration	17,420		18,425
Deferred rent	11,275		9,158
Deferred revenue	7,082		1,532
Other	8,860		8,937
Total other non-current liabilities	\$ 128,280	\$	102,942

16

### BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P.

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 12. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS, HEDGING ACTIVITIES AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We are exposed to certain risks, including changes in interest rates and commodity prices, in the course of our normal business operations. We use derivative instruments to manage risks associated with certain identifiable and anticipated transactions. Derivatives are financial instruments whose fair value is determined by changes in a specified benchmark such as interest rates or commodity prices. Typical derivative instruments include futures, forward contracts, swaps and other instruments with similar characteristics. We have no trading derivative instruments and do not engage in hedging activity with respect to trading instruments.

Our policy is to formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge. This process includes specific identification of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction, the nature of the risk being hedged and how the hedging instrument s effectiveness will be assessed. Both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, we assess whether the derivatives used in a transaction are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows or the fair value of hedged items. A discussion of our derivative activities by risk category follows.

Interest Rate Derivatives

Buckeye utilizes forward-starting interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk related to forecasted interest payments on anticipated debt issuances. This strategy is a component in controlling its cost of capital associated with such borrowings. When entering into interest rate swap transactions, Buckeye becomes exposed to both credit risk and market risk. Buckeye is subject to credit risk when the value of the swap transaction is positive and the risk exists that the counterparty will fail to perform under the terms of the contract. Buckeye is subject to market risk with respect to changes in the underlying benchmark interest rate that impacts the fair value of the swaps. Buckeye manages its credit risk by only entering into swap transactions with major financial institutions with investment-grade credit ratings. Buckeye manages its market risk by associating each swap transaction with an existing debt obligation or a specified expected debt issuance generally associated with the maturity of an existing debt obligation.

Buckeye s practice with respect to derivative transactions related to interest rate risk has been to have each transaction in connection with non-routine borrowings authorized by the board of directors of Buckeye GP. In January 2009, Buckeye GP s board of directors adopted an interest rate hedging policy which permits Buckeye to enter into certain short-term interest rate swap agreements to manage its interest rate and cash flow risks associated with its Credit Facility. In addition, in July 2009 and May 2010, Buckeye GP s board of directors authorized Buckeye to enter into certain transactions, such as forward-starting interest rate swaps, to manage its interest rate and cash flow risks related to certain expected debt issuances associated with the maturity of existing debt obligations.

Buckeye expects to issue new fixed-rate debt (i) on or before July 15, 2013, to repay the \$300.0 million of 4.625% Notes that are due on July 15, 2013, and (ii) on or before October 15, 2014, to repay the \$275.0 million of 5.300% Notes that are due on October 15, 2014, although no assurances can be given that the issuance of fixed-rate debt will be possible on acceptable terms. During 2009, Buckeye entered into four forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before July 15, 2013 and three forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$150.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before October 15, 2014. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, Buckeye entered into two forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$100.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before July 15, 2013 and three forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$125.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before October 15, 2014. The purpose of these swaps is to hedge the variability of the forecasted interest payments on these expected debt issuances that may result from changes in the benchmark interest rate until the expected debt is issued. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, unrealized losses of \$34.9 million and \$36.2 million, respectively, were recorded in Buckeye s accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to reflect the change in the fair values of the forward-starting interest rate swaps. Buckeye designated the swap agreements as cash flow hedges at inception and expects the changes in values to be highly correlated with the changes in value of the underlying borrowings.

1 /

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Over the next twelve months, Buckeye expects to reclassify \$1.0 million of accumulated other comprehensive loss as an increase to interest expense that was generated by forward-starting interest rate swaps terminated in 2008 associated with its 6.050% Notes.

Commodity Derivatives

represents net

Our Energy Services segment primarily uses exchange-traded refined petroleum product futures contracts to manage the risk of market price volatility on its refined petroleum product inventories and its fixed-price sales contracts. The derivative contracts used to hedge refined petroleum product inventories are designated as fair value hedges. Accordingly, our method of measuring ineffectiveness compares the change in the fair value of New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) futures contracts to the change in fair value of our hedged fuel inventory. Hedge accounting is discontinued when the hedged fuel inventory is sold or when the related derivative contracts expire. In addition, we periodically enter into offsetting exchange-traded futures contracts to economically close-out an existing futures contract based on a near-term expectation to sell a portion of our fuel inventory. These offsetting derivative contracts are not designated as hedging instruments and any resulting gains or losses are recognized in earnings during the period. Presentations of futures contracts for inventory designated as hedging instruments in the following tables have been presented net of these offsetting futures contracts.

Our Energy Services segment has not used hedge accounting with respect to its fixed-price sales contracts. Therefore, our fixed-price sales contracts and the related futures contracts used to offset those fixed-price sales contracts are all marked-to-market on the condensed consolidated balance sheets with gains and losses being recognized in earnings during the period.

In order to hedge the cost of natural gas used to operate our turbine engines at our Linden, New Jersey location, our Pipeline Operations segment bought natural gas futures contracts in March 2009 with terms that coincide with the remaining term of an ongoing natural gas supply contract (through July 2011). We designated the futures contract as a cash flow hedge at inception.

The following table summarizes our commodity derivative instruments outstanding at June 30, 2010 (amounts in thousands of gallons, except as noted):

	Volum	Accounting	
		Long-Term	_
<b>Derivative Purpose</b>	Current	(2)	<b>Treatment</b>
Derivatives NOT designated as			
hedging instruments:			
Fixed-price sales contracts	29,050	168	Mark-to-market
Futures contracts for fixed-price sales			
contracts	24,612	168	Mark-to-market
Futures contracts for inventory	2,777		Mark-to-market
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
			Fair Value
Futures contracts for inventory	123,522		Hedge
Futures contracts for natural gas (BBtu)			Cash Flow
(3)	360	30	Hedge
(1) Volume			

### Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

notional position.

(2) The maximum term for derivatives included in the long-term column is October 2011.

(3) BBtu represents one billion British thermal units.

18

Total

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table sets forth the fair value of each classification of derivative instruments at the dates indicated:

Aggota			De	Net	Agasta			Do	erivative Net
Fair value					Fair value			C	arrying Value
cu as									
\$ 5,213	\$	(258	\$) \$	4,955	\$ 4,959	\$	(3,662)	\$	1,297
1,492		(1,806	<u>(</u> )	(314)	7,594		(384)		7,210
3,336		(3,457	")	(121)					
<u>hedging</u>									
8,094		(2,748	)	5,346	1,992		(20,517)		(18,525)
		•	•	(140) (18,953)	312 17,204				312 17,204
			\$	(9,227)				\$	7,498
2	010		31, 2009						
	(367	<b>'</b> )	17,204	)					
	value ted as \$ 5,213  1,492 3,336 hedging  8,094  Jui 2 \$ 1	Assets (Lia Fair value Fair value Fair value Sed as \$5,213 \$ 1,492 3,336 hedging \$8,094	Assets Fair value Fair value sed as	Assets (Liabilities) Care Fair value Fair value Sed as	Assets (Liabilities) Carrying Fair value red as  \$ 5,213  \$ (258)  \$ 4,955  1,492  (1,806)  (314) 3,336  (3,457)  (121)  hedging  8,094  (2,748)  5,346	Assets (Liabilities) Carrying Assets Fair value Fair value Value  \$ 5,213 \$ (258) \$ 4,955 \$ 4,959  1,492 (1,806) (314) 7,594  3,336 (3,457) (121)  hedging  8,094 (2,748) 5,346 1,992  (140) (140) (140) 312 (18,953) (18,953) 17,204  \$ (9,227)  December  June 30, 31, 2010 2009  \$ 10,093 \$ 4,959 17,204 (367) (14,665)	Assets (Liabilities) Carrying Assets (Liabilities) Fair value Fair value Value Value Fair value Fai	Assets Fair value Fair value Value Fair value ed as  \$ 5,213 \$ (258) \$ 4,955 \$ 4,959 \$ (3,662)  1,492 (1,806) (314) 7,594 (384)  3,336 (3,457) (121)  hedging  \$ 8,094 (2,748) 5,346 1,992 (20,517)  (140) (140) 312 (18,953) (18,953) 17,204  \$ (9,227)  December June 30, 31, 2010 2009 \$ 10,093 \$ 4,959 17,204 (367) (14,665)	Derivative   Net

Our hedged inventory portfolio extends to the first quarter of 2011. The majority of the unrealized income of \$5.2 million at June 30, 2010 for inventory hedges represented by futures contracts will be realized by the third quarter of 2010 as the related inventory is sold. Gains recorded on inventory hedges that were ineffective were

7,498

\$ (9,227) \$

### Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

approximately \$1.0 million and \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, gains recorded on inventory hedges that were ineffective were approximately \$5.8 million and \$7.6 million, respectively. At June 30, 2010, open refined petroleum product derivative contracts (represented by the fixed-price sales contracts and futures contracts for fixed-price sales contracts noted above) varied in duration, but did not extend beyond October 2011. In addition, at June 30, 2010, we had refined petroleum product inventories which we intend to use to satisfy a portion of the fixed-price sales contracts.

19

inventory

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The gains and losses on our derivative instruments recognized in income were as follows for the periods indicated:

			Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives			
		Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
Davidson NOT design	Location	4	2010	2009	2010	2009
Derivatives NO1 design	nated as hedging instrume	ents:				
Fixed-price sales						
contracts	Product sales	\$	6,268	\$(13,866)	\$ 8,678	\$ (571)
Futures contracts for	Cost of product					
fixed-price sales	sales and natural gas					
contracts	storage services		(2,972)	19,007	(3,466)	11,461
Futures contracts for	Cost of product					
inventory	sales and natural gas					
	storage services		20		266	
Derivatives designated as fair value hedging instruments:						
Futures contracts for	Cost of product					

storage services \$18,123 \$(31,251) \$13,213 \$(3,603) The gains and losses reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ) to income and the change in value recognized in other comprehensive income ( OCI ) on our derivatives were as follows for the periods indicated:

sales and natural gas

		Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI to Income			
	Location	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
		2010	2009	2010	2009
Derivatives designated a	s cash flow hedging instrume	nts:			
Futures contracts for natural gas	Cost of product sales and natural gas storage services	\$ (96)	\$(162)	\$(168)	\$(215)
Futures contracts for refined petroleum	Cost of product sales and natural gas storage	,			
products	services Interest and debt		(379)		(146)
Interest rate contracts	expense	(242)	(164)	(482)	(656)
		Change in V	alue Recognize	d in OCI on De	rivatives

Change in	Value Recogniz	zed in OCI on Dei	rivatives		
Three Months Ended		Six Month	Six Months Ended		
June 30,		June 30,			
2010	2009	2010	2009		

## <u>Derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments:</u>

Futures contracts for natural gas	\$ 85	\$ 46	\$ (611)	\$ 163
Interest rate contracts	(34,853)	(158)	(36,157)	(157)
	20			

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at a specified measurement date. Our fair value estimates are based on either (i) actual market data or (ii) assumptions that other market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including estimates of risk. Recognized valuation techniques employ inputs such as product prices, operating costs, discount factors and business growth rates. These inputs may be either readily observable, corroborated by market data or generally unobservable. In developing our estimates of fair value, we endeavor to utilize the best information available and apply market-based data to the extent possible. Accordingly, we utilize valuation techniques (such as the income or market approach) that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

A three-tier hierarchy has been established that classifies fair value amounts recognized or disclosed in the financial statements based on the observability of inputs used to estimate such fair values. The hierarchy considers fair value amounts based on observable inputs (Levels 1 and 2) to be more reliable and predictable than those based primarily on unobservable inputs (Level 3). At each balance sheet reporting date, we categorize our financial assets and liabilities using this hierarchy. The characteristics of fair value amounts classified within each level of the hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1 inputs are based on quoted prices, which are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. Active markets are defined as those in which transactions for identical assets or liabilities occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Level 2 inputs are based on pricing inputs other than quoted prices in active markets and are either directly or indirectly observable as of the measurement date. Level 2 fair values include instruments that are valued using financial models or other appropriate valuation methodologies and include the following:

- § Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities.
- § Quoted prices in markets that are not active for identical or similar assets or liabilities.
- § Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.
- § Inputs that are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 inputs are based on unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs are used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity s own ideas about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs are based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the reporting entity s internally developed data. The reporting entity must not ignore information about market participant assumptions that is reasonably available without undue cost and effort. Level 3 inputs are typically used in connection with internally developed valuation methodologies where management makes its best estimate of an instrument s fair value.

21

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Recurring

The following table sets forth financial assets and liabilities, measured at fair value on a recurring basis, as of the measurement dates, June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, and the basis for that measurement, by level within the fair value hierarchy:

	June 30, 2010 Significant			Decembe	2009 nificant	
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets	Ob	Other oservable Inputs	Quoted Prices in Active Markets	Obs	Other servable nputs
	(Level 1)	(1	Level 2)	(Level 1)	(L	evel 2)
Financial assets:						
Fixed-price sales contracts	\$	\$	5,121	\$	\$	4,959
Futures contracts for inventory and fixed-price sales contracts Asset held in trust	4,973			1,793		
Interest rate derivatives				-,.,,		17,204
Financial liabilities: Fixed-price sales contracts			(166)			(3,662)
Futures contracts for inventory and fixed-price sales contracts Interest rate derivatives	(202)		(18,953)	(11,003)		
Total	\$ 4,771	\$	(13,998)	\$ (9,210)	\$	18,501

The value of the Level 1 derivative assets and liabilities were based on quoted market prices obtained from the NYMEX. The value of the Level 1 asset held in trust was obtained from quoted market prices. The value of the Level 2 derivative assets and liabilities were based on observable market data related to the obligations to provide petroleum products. The value of the Level 2 interest rate derivatives was based on observable market data related to similar obligations.

The Level 2 derivative assets of \$5.1 million and \$5.0 million as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, are net of a credit valuation adjustment ( CVA ) of (\$0.6) million and (\$0.9) million, respectively. Because few of the Energy Services segment s customers entering into these fixed-price sales contracts are large organizations with nationally-recognized credit ratings, the Energy Services segment determined that a CVA, which is based on the credit risk of such contracts, is appropriate. The CVA is based on the historical and expected payment history of each customer, the amount of product contracted for under the agreement and the customer s historical and expected purchase performance under each contract.

22

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Non-Recurring

Certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence of impairment. The following table presents the fair value of an asset carried on the condensed consolidated balance sheet by asset classification and by level within the valuation hierarchy (as described above) at the date indicated for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009:

	June 30,				Total
		Level	Level		
	2009	1	2	Level 3	Losses
Assets held for sale (1)	\$5,760	\$	\$	\$5,760	\$72,540

(1) Represents net assets held for sale that were included in prepaid and other current assets at June 30, 2009 (see Note 2).

As a result of a loss in the customer base utilizing the Buckeye NGL Pipeline, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$72.5 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The estimated fair value was based on a probability-weighted combination of income and market approaches.

#### 13. PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Services Company, which employs the majority of our workforce, sponsors a retirement income guarantee plan (RIGP), which is a defined benefit plan that generally guarantees employees hired before January 1, 1986 a retirement benefit based on years of service and the employee s highest compensation for any consecutive 5-year period during the last 10 years of service or other compensation measures as defined under the respective plan provisions. The retirement benefit is subject to reduction at varying percentages for certain offsetting amounts, including benefits payable under a retirement and savings plan discussed further below. Services Company funds the plan through contributions to pension trust assets, generally subject to minimum funding requirements as provided by applicable law.

Services Company also sponsors an unfunded post-retirement benefit plan (the Retiree Medical Plan ), which provides health care and life insurance benefits to certain of its retirees. To be eligible for these benefits, an employee must have been hired prior to January 1, 1991 and meet certain service requirements.

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the RIGP and Retiree Medical Plan were as follows for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	RIGP Three Months Ended June 30,		Retiree Medical Pla Three Months Endo June 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Service cost	\$ 65	\$ 207	\$ 117	\$ 105	
Interest cost	227	369	786	491	
Expected return on plan assets	(86)	(189)			
Amortization of prior service benefit	(11)	(118)	(1,175)	(859)	

Amortization of unrecognized losses Settlement/curtailment charge (1)	242	355 7,171	354	261 800
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 437	\$ 7,795	\$ 82	\$ 798

(1) In connection with Buckeye s reorganization in 2009, \$8.0 million of the aggregate amount of \$28.1 million of expenses incurred through June 30, 2009 was recorded as an adjustment to the funded status of the RIGP and the Retiree Medical Plan, which represent settlement and curtailment adjustments.

23

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the RIGP and Retiree Medical Plan were as follows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	RIGP Six Months Ended June 30,		Retiree Medical Plan Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Service cost	\$ 133	\$ 415	\$ 147	\$ 210	
Interest cost	459	740	991	983	
Expected return on plan assets	(174)	(380)			
Amortization of prior service benefit	(23)	(235)	(1,482)	(1,719)	
Amortization of unrecognized losses	490	712	447	522	
Settlement/curtailment charge (1)		7,171		800	
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 885	\$ 8,423	\$ 103	\$ 796	

(1) In connection

with Buckeye s

reorganization

in 2009,

\$8.0 million of

the aggregate

amount of

\$28.1 million of

expenses

incurred through

June 30, 2009

was recorded as

an adjustment to

the funded

status of the

RIGP and the

Retiree Medical

Plan, which

represent

settlement and

curtailment

adjustments.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we contributed \$1.5 million to the RIGP.

### 14. UNIT-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

We have Management Units (see Note 1) and an equity compensation plan ( GP Equity Compensation Plan ) for certain members of our and BGH GP s senior management. The GP Equity Compensation Plan includes both time-based and performance-based participation in the equity of BGH GP (but not ours) referred to as override units. Compensation expense recorded with respect to the override units was \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Buckeye awards unit-based compensation to employees and directors primarily under the 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Buckeye Partners, L.P. (the LTIP), which became effective in March 2009. Buckeye formerly awarded options to acquire LP Units to employees pursuant to the Buckeye Partners, L.P. Unit Option and Distribution Equivalent Plan (the Option Plan). We recognized total unit-based compensation expense related to the LTIP and the Option Plan of \$1.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$2.5 million and \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

24

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BGH GP s Override Units

No override units were granted or forfeited during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The following is a summary of the activity of the override units as of June 30, 2010 (units in thousands):

	Number of Override Units			Total Number
	Value A			of Units
	Units	Units	Units	Awarded
Unvested at December 31, 2009 Vested	1,699	1,699	812 (165)	4,210 (165)
Unvested at June 30, 2010	1,699	1,699	647	4,045
	Compensat	ion Costs for Ov		
	Value A	Value B	Operating	
	Units	Units	Units	Totals
Total fair value of all outstanding override units Less: Expense recorded from plan inception to	\$3,587	\$ 2,179	\$ 5,808	\$11,574
June 30, 2010			(3,984)	(3,984)
Estimated future compensation costs at June 30,				
2010	\$3,587	\$ 2,179	\$ 1,824	\$ 7,590

#### Buckeye s Long-Term Incentive Plan

The LTIP provides for the issuance of up to 1,500,000 LP Units, subject to certain adjustments. After giving effect to the issuance or forfeiture of phantom unit and performance unit awards through June 30, 2010, awards representing a total of 1,113,451 additional LP Units could be issued under the LTIP.

On December 16, 2009, the Compensation Committee approved the terms of the Buckeye Partners, L.P. Unit Deferral and Incentive Plan ( Deferral Plan ). The Compensation Committee is expressly authorized to adopt the Deferral Plan under the terms of the LTIP, which grants the Compensation Committee the authority to establish a program pursuant to which Buckeye s phantom units may be awarded in lieu of cash compensation at the election of the employee. At December 31, 2009, eligible employees were allowed to defer up to 50% of their 2009 compensation award under Buckeye s Annual Incentive Compensation Plan or other discretionary bonus program in exchange for grants of phantom units equal in value to the amount of their cash award deferral (each such unit, a Deferral Unit ). Participants also receive one matching phantom unit for each Deferral Unit. Approximately \$1.8 million of 2009 compensation awards had been deferred at December 31, 2009, for which 62,332 phantom units (including matching units) were granted during the three months ended March 31, 2010. These grants are included as granted in the LTIP activity table below.

#### Awards under the LTIP

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Compensation Committee granted 123,290 phantom units to employees (including the 62,332 phantom units granted pursuant to the Deferral Plan discussed above), 12,000 phantom units to independent directors of Buckeye GP and MainLine Management, and 121,926 performance units to

employees. The amount paid with respect to phantom unit distribution equivalents under the LTIP was \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

25

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table sets forth the LTIP activity for the periods indicated:

		A	eighted verage ant Date		
	Number of		ir Value		
	VI		LP Unit		Total
	LP Units	-	(1)	,	Value
Unvested at January 1, 2010	140,095	\$	39.81	\$	5,577
Granted	257,216		56.20		14,455
Vested	(18,454)		39.17		(723)
Forfeited	(11,281)		48.28		(545)
Unvested at June 30, 2010	367,576	\$	51.05	\$	18,764

### (1) Determined by

dividing the

aggregate grant

date fair value of

awards by the

number of awards

issued. The

weighted-average

grant date fair

value per LP Unit

for forfeited and

vested awards is

determined before

an allowance for

forfeitures.

At June 30, 2010, approximately \$13.1 million of compensation expense related to the LTIP is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.2 years.

Buckeye s Unit Option and Distribution Equivalent Plan

Buckeye also sponsors the Option Plan, pursuant to which it historically granted options to employees to purchase LP Units at the market price of its LP Units on the date of grant. Generally, the options vest three years from the date of grant and expire ten years from the date of grant. As unit options are exercised, Buckeye issues new LP Units to the holder. Buckeye has not historically repurchased, and does not expect to repurchase in 2010, any of its LP Units.

The impact of Buckeye s Option Plan is immaterial to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### 15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Approximately 62% of our outstanding equity, which includes Common Units and Management Units, are owned by BGH GP and approximately 38% by the public. BGH GP is owned by affiliates of ArcLight, Kelso and certain investment funds along with certain members of senior management of Buckeye GP. MainLine Management is our general partner and is wholly owned by BGH GP.

Services Company and Buckeye are considered related parties with respect to us. As discussed in Note 1, our condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Services Company and Buckeye on a

consolidated basis, and all intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

We incurred a senior administrative charge for certain management services performed by affiliates of Buckeye GP of \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The senior administrative charge was waived indefinitely on April 1, 2009 as these affiliates are currently not providing services to us that were contemplated as being covered by the senior administrative charge. As a result, there were no related charges recorded in the last nine months of 2009 or during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

26

# BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 16. CASH DISTRIBUTIONS

We generally make quarterly cash distributions to unitholders of substantially all of our available cash, generally defined in our partnership agreement as consolidated cash receipts less consolidated cash expenditures and such retentions for working capital, anticipated cash expenditures and contingencies as our general partner deems appropriate. Cash distributions totaled \$23.8 million and \$19.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

On August 6, 2010, we announced a quarterly distribution of \$0.45 per Common Unit that will be paid on August 31, 2010, to unitholders of record on August 16, 2010. Total cash distributed to unitholders on August 31, 2010 will total approximately \$12.7 million.

#### 17. EARNINGS PER PARTNERSHIP UNIT

Basic and diluted earnings per partnership unit is calculated by dividing net income, after deducting the amount allocated to Buckeye, by the weighted-average number of partnership units outstanding during the period.

The following table is a reconciliation of the weighted average number of Common Units used in the basic and diluted earnings per unit calculations for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Montl June	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Basic:				
Weighted average common units outstanding	27,774	27,770	27,774	27,770
Weighted average management units outstanding	526	530	526	530
Units for basic	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300
Diluted:				
Units used for basic calculation	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300
Dilutive effect of additional management units				
Units for diluted	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300

#### 18. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We operate and report in five business segments: Pipeline Operations; Terminalling & Storage; Natural Gas Storage; Energy Services; and Development & Logistics.

Each segment uses the same accounting policies as those used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. All inter-segment revenues, operating income and assets have been eliminated. All periods are presented on a consistent basis. All of our operations and assets are conducted and located in the United States.

27

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Financial information about each segment is presented below for the periods or at the dates indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Month	ne 30,	
D.	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Revenue:	¢ 00.220	¢ 00 175	¢ 105.076	¢ 107 270	
Pipeline Operations Terminalling & Storage	\$ 99,339 40,768	\$ 98,175 29,429	\$ 195,876 83,139	\$ 197,370 60,072	
Natural Gas Storage	21,249	16,672	46,655	31,749	
Energy Services	501,949	201,676	1,070,151	470,156	
Development & Logistics	10,785	8,805	18,300	17,930	
Intersegment	(6,814)	(3,537)	(15,671)	(9,217)	
Total revenue	\$ 667,276	\$ 351,220	\$ 1,398,450	\$ 768,060	
Operating income (loss):					
Pipeline Operations	44,535	\$ (51,085)	\$ 89,900	\$ (6,638)	
Terminalling & Storage	23,914	11,115	47,039	21,772	
Natural Gas Storage	3,302	5,817	6,753	11,981	
Energy Services	(471)	(1,432)	(3,868)	4,784	
Development & Logistics	659	153	1,606	1,534	
Total operating income (loss)	\$ 71,939	\$ (35,432)	\$ 141,430	\$ 33,433	
Depreciation and amortization:					
Pipeline Operations	9,079	\$ 8,984	\$ 18,032	\$ 17,823	
Terminalling & Storage	2,349	1,866	4,665	3,588	
Natural Gas Storage	1,640	1,243	3,281	2,702	
Energy Services	1,176	983	2,371	1,960	
Development & Logistics	425	483	848	850	
Total depreciation and amortization	\$ 14,669	\$ 13,559	\$ 29,197	\$ 26,923	
Capital additions, net: (1)					
Pipeline Operations			\$ 14,252	\$ 12,561	
Terminalling & Storage			7,621	12,021	
Natural Gas Storage			3,292	12,906	
Energy Services			2,064	1,802	
Development & Logistics			343	529	
Total capital additions, net			\$ 27,572	\$ 39,819	

Contributions to equity investments:

Pipeline Operations	\$ \$	3,880
Total contributions to equity investments	\$ \$	3,880

(1) Amount
excludes (\$1.2)
million and
(\$0.9) million of
non-cash
changes in
accruals for
capital
expenditures for
the six months
ended June 30,
2010 and 2009,
respectively (see
Note 19).

28

## BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	June 30, 2010	I	December 31, 2009
Total Assets: Pipeline Operations (1) Terminalling & Storage Natural Gas Storage Energy Services Development & Logistics	\$ 1,536,124 526,720 548,061 436,271 63,159	\$	1,592,916 532,971 573,261 482,025 74,476
Consolidating level  Total assets	233,544 \$ 3,343,879	\$	230,922 3,486,571
Goodwill: Pipeline Operations Terminalling & Storage Natural Gas Storage Energy Services Development & Logistics	\$ 198,632 49,618 169,560 1,132 13,182	\$	198,632 49,618 169,560 1,132 13,182
Total goodwill	\$ 432,124	\$	432,124

### (1) All equity

investments are

included in the

assets of the

Pipeline

Operations

segment.

#### 19. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental cash flows and non-cash transactions were as follows for the periods indicated:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	
Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest)	\$40,165	\$33,349	
Cash paid for income taxes	419	1,298	
Capitalized interest	1,117	2,684	
Non-cash changes in assets and liabilities:			
Change in capital expenditures in accounts payable	\$ (1,186)	\$ (865)	

#### 20. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On August 2, 2010, we completed the acquisition of additional shares of West Shore Pipe Line Company ( West Shore ) common stock from an affiliate of BP plc, resulting in an increase in our ownership interest in West Shore

from 24.9% to 34.6%. We paid approximately \$13.4 million for this additional interest.

29

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following information should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report. The following information and such unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should also be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, together with our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ).

#### **Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This discussion contains various forward-looking statements and information that are based on our beliefs, as well as assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. When used in this document, words such as potential, proposed, anticipate, project, could, should. continue. believe, will, plan, seek, outlook and similar expressions and statements regarding our plans and objectives for future operations are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we believe that such expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot give any assurances that such expectations will prove to be correct. Such statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions as described in more detail in Item 1A Risk Factors included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report speak only as of the date hereof. Except as required by federal and state securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or any other reason.

#### **Overview of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

A summary of the significant accounting policies we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Certain of these accounting policies require the use of estimates. As more fully described therein, the following estimates, in our opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment and involve complex analysis: depreciation methods, estimated useful lives and disposals of property, plant and equipment; reserves for environmental matters; fair value of derivatives; measuring the fair value of goodwill; and measuring recoverability of long-lived assets and equity method investments. These estimates are based on our knowledge and understanding of current conditions and actions we may take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

#### Overview of BGH

Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. is a publicly traded Delaware master limited partnership (MLP), the common units (Common Units) of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the ticker symbol BGH. We own 100% of Buckeye GP LLC (Buckeye GP), which is the general partner of Buckeye Partners, L.P. (Buckeye). Buckeye is also a publicly traded Delaware MLP which was organized in 1986, and its limited partner units (LP Units) are separately traded on the NYSE under the ticker symbol BPL. Approximately 62% of our outstanding equity, which includes Common Units and management units (Management Units) are owned by BGH GP Holdings, LLC (BGH GP) and approximately 38% by the public. BGH GP is owned by affiliates of ArcLight Capital Partners, LLC (ArcLight), Kelso & Company (Kelso), and certain investment funds along with certain members of senior management of Buckeye GP. MainLine Management LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (MainLine Management), is our general partner and is wholly owned by BGH GP. Unless the context requires otherwise, references to we, us, our or BGH are intended to mean the business and operations of Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. o consolidated basis, including those of Buckeye. References to Buckeye mean Buckeye Partners, L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Our only cash-generating assets are our partnership interests in Buckeye, comprised primarily of the following: the incentive distribution rights in Buckeye;

the indirect ownership of the general partner interests in certain of Buckeye s operating subsidiaries (representing an approximate 1% interest in each of such operating subsidiaries);

the general partner interests in Buckeye (representing 243,914 general partner units (the GP Units ), or an approximate 0.5% interest in Buckeye); and

80,000 of Buckeye s LP Units.

The incentive distribution rights noted above entitle us to receive amounts equal to specified percentages of the incremental amount of cash distributed by Buckeye to the holders of LP Units when target distribution levels for each quarter are exceeded. The 2,573,146 LP Units originally issued to the Buckeye Pipe Line Services Company Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the ESOP) are excluded for the purpose of calculating incentive distributions. The target distribution levels begin at \$0.325 and increase in steps to the highest target distribution level of \$0.525 per eligible LP Unit. When Buckeye makes quarterly distributions above this level, the incentive distributions include an amount equal to 45% of the incremental cash distributed to each eligible unitholder for the quarter, or approximately 29.5% of total incremental cash distributed by Buckeye above \$0.525 per LP Unit.

Our earnings and cash flows are, therefore, directly dependent upon the ability of Buckeye and its operating subsidiaries to make cash distributions to its unitholders. The actual amount of cash that Buckeye will have available for distribution will depend primarily on its ability to generate earnings and cash flows beyond its working capital requirements.

The following table summarizes the cash we received for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 as a result of our partnership interests in Buckeye (in thousands, except unit amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Incentive payments from Buckeye	\$12,604	\$ 11,466	\$ 24,918	\$21,971
Distributions from the indirect 1% ownership in certain				
of Buckeye s operating subsidiaries		534	403	896
Distributions from the ownership of 243,914 of Buckeye s				
GP Units	231	220	460	436
Distributions from the ownership of 80,000 of Buckeye s				
LP Units	76	72	151	143
Cash received	\$12,911	\$ 12,292	\$ 25,932	\$ 23,446

#### Overview of Buckeye Partners, L.P.

Buckeye s primary business strategies are to generate stable cash flows, increase pipeline and terminal throughput and pursue strategic cash-flow accretive acquisitions that complement its existing asset base, improve operating efficiencies and allow increased cash distributions to its unitholders.

We, through Buckeye, operate and report in five business segments: Pipeline Operations; Terminalling & Storage; Natural Gas Storage; Energy Services; and Development & Logistics. Buckeye owns and operates one of the largest independent refined petroleum products pipeline systems in the United States in terms of volumes delivered with approximately 5,400 miles of pipeline and 67 active products terminals that provide aggregate storage capacity of approximately 27.2 million barrels. In addition, Buckeye operates and maintains approximately 2,400 miles of other pipelines under agreements with major oil and gas, petrochemical and chemical companies, and performs certain engineering and construction management services for third parties. Buckeye also owns and operates a major natural

gas storage facility in northern California, and is a wholesale distributor of refined petroleum products in the United States in areas also served by its pipelines and terminals.

31

#### **Recent Developments**

### Agreement and Plan of Merger

On June 10, 2010, we and our general partner entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement ) with Buckeye, its general partner, and Grand Ohio, LLC (Merger Sub), Buckeye s subsidiary, pursuant to which Merger Sub will be merged into BGH, with BGH as the surviving entity (the Merger). In the transaction, the incentive compensation agreement (also referred to as the incentive distribution rights) held by Buckeye s general partner will be cancelled, the general partner units held by Buckeye s general partner (representing an approximate 0.5% general partner interest in Buckeye) will be converted to a non-economic general partner interest, all of the economic interest in us will be acquired by BPL and our unitholders will receive aggregate consideration of approximately 20.0 million of Buckeye s LP Units.

The terms of the Merger Agreement were unanimously approved by the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner ( Audit Committee ), and by the board of directors of Buckeye s general partner. Additionally, our majority unitholder, BGH GP Holdings, LLC, and ArcLight Energy Partners Fund III, L.P., ArcLight Energy Partners Fund IV, L.P., Kelso Investment Associates VII, L.P., and KEP VI, LLC have executed a Support Agreement ( Support Agreement ) agreeing to vote in favor of the Merger and against any alternative transaction. The Support Agreement will automatically terminate if the board of directors of our general partner changes its recommendation to our unitholders with respect to the Merger or the Merger Agreement is terminated.

After the Merger, the board of directors of Buckeye s general partner is expected to consist of nine members, three of whom are expected to be the existing independent members of Buckeye s Audit Committee, one of whom is expected to be the existing chief executive officer of Buckeye s general partner and three of whom are expected to be the three existing independent members of the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner. In addition, our general partner, which will own a non-economic general partner interest in us and will continue to be owned by BGH GP Holdings, LLC, will have the right and authority to designate two additional members of the board of directors, subject to reduction if BGH GP Holdings, LLC s ownership of Buckeye s LP Units drops below certain thresholds. The remaining seven members of Buckeye s general partner s board of directors will be elected by holders of its LP Units.

The Merger Agreement is subject to, among other things, approval by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of Buckeye s LP Units outstanding and entitled to vote at a meeting of the holders of Buckeye s LP Units, approval by the (a) affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our Common Units and (b) affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our Common Units and management units, voting together as a single class, and the effectiveness of a registration statement on Form S-4 with respect to the issuance of Buckeye s LP Units in connection with the Merger.

The Merger will be accounted for as an equity transaction. Therefore, changes in our ownership interest as a result of the Merger will not result in gain or loss recognition. We will be considered the surviving consolidated entity for accounting purposes, while Buckeye will be the surviving consolidated entity for legal and reporting purposes.

We and Buckeye incurred a total of \$3.8 million of costs associated with the Merger during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, of which \$1.5 million has been paid. We charged these costs directly to partners capital.

#### Amendment to BES Credit Agreement

On June 25, 2010, Buckeye Energy Services LLC (BES) amended and restated its credit agreement (the BES Credit Agreement) to increase the total commitments for borrowings available to BES up to \$500.0 million. However, the maximum amount available to be borrowed under the amended and restated BES Credit Agreement is initially limited to \$350.0 million. An accordion feature provides BES the ability to increase the commitments under the BES Credit Agreement to \$500.0 million, subject to obtaining the requisite commitments and satisfying other customary conditions. In addition to the accordion, subject to BES s satisfaction of certain financial covenants, BES may, from time to time, elect to increase or decrease the maximum amount available for borrowing

#### **Table of Contents**

under the BES Credit Agreement in \$5.0 million increments, but in no event below \$150.0 million or above \$500.0 million. The maturity date of the BES Credit Agreement is June 25, 2013. BES incurred \$3.2 million of debt issuance costs related to the amendment, which will be amortized into interest expense over the term of the BES Credit Agreement. See Note 10 in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

#### Purchase of Additional Interest in West Shore Pipe Line Company

On August 2, 2010, we completed the acquisition of additional shares of West Shore Pipe Line Company (West Shore) common stock from an affiliate of BP plc, resulting in an increase in our ownership interest in West Shore from 24.9% to 34.6%. We paid approximately \$13.4 million for this additional interest.

### Sale of Buckeye NGL Pipeline

Effective January 1, 2010, we sold our ownership interest in an approximately 350-mile natural gas liquids pipeline (the Buckeye NGL Pipeline ) that runs from Wattenberg, Colorado to Bushton, Kansas for \$22.0 million. The assets had been classified as Assets held for sale in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 with a carrying amount equal to the proceeds received.

#### **Results of Operations**

The results of operations discussed below principally reflect the activities of Buckeye. Since our condensed consolidated financial statements include the consolidated results of Buckeye, our condensed consolidated financial statements are substantially similar to Buckeye s except as noted below:

Interest of noncontrolling partners in Buckeye Our condensed consolidated balance sheets include a noncontrolling interests capital account that reflects the proportion of Buckeye owned by its partners other than us. Similarly, the ownership interests in Buckeye held by its partners other than us are reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of operations as income attributable to noncontrolling interests. These noncontrolling interest accounts are not reflected in Buckeye s condensed consolidated financial statements.

Our capital structure In addition to incorporating the assets and liabilities of Buckeye, our condensed consolidated balance sheets include our own indebtedness and related debt placement costs, and the partners capital on our condensed consolidated balance sheets represent our partners capital as opposed to the capital reflected in Buckeye s condensed consolidated balance sheets, which reflects the ownership interest of all its partners, including its owners other than us or Services Company. Consequently, our condensed consolidated statements of operations reflect additional interest expense, interest income and debt amortization expense that is not reflected in Buckeye s condensed consolidated financial statements.

Inclusion of Services Company The financial statements of Services Company, which employes who manage and operate our assets, are consolidated into our financial statements. The condensed consolidated financial statements of Buckeye do not include the financial statements of Services Company.

Our general and administrative expenses We incur general and administrative expenses that are independent from Buckeye s operations and are not reflected in Buckeye s condensed consolidated financial statements.

Elimination of intercompany transactions Intercompany obligations and payments among Buckeye and its consolidated subsidiaries, us and Services Company are reflected in Buckeye s condensed consolidated financial statements but are eliminated in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

33

## Segment Results

A summary of financial information by business segment follows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Revenues:	\$ 99,339	\$ 98,175	\$ 195,876	\$ 197,370	
Pipeline Operations Terminalling & Storage	\$ 99,339 40,768	\$ 98,173 29,429	83,139	60,072	
Natural Gas Storage	21,249	16,672	46,655	31,749	
Energy Services	501,949	201,676	1,070,151	470,156	
Development & Logistics	10,785	8,805	18,300	17,930	
Intersegment	(6,814)	(3,537)	(15,671)	(9,217)	
Total revenues	\$ 667,276	\$ 351,220	\$ 1,398,450	\$ 768,060	
Total costs and expenses: (1)					
Pipeline Operations	\$ 54,804	\$ 149,260	\$ 105,976	\$ 204,008	
Terminalling & Storage	16,854	18,314	36,100	38,300	
Natural Gas Storage	17,947	10,855	39,902	19,768	
Energy Services	502,420	203,108	1,074,019	465,372	
Development & Logistics	10,126	8,652	16,694	16,396	
Intersegment	(6,814)	(3,537)	(15,671)	(9,217)	
Total costs and expenses	\$ 595,337	\$ 386,652	\$ 1,257,020	\$ 734,627	
Depreciation and amortization:					
Pipeline Operations	\$ 9,079	\$ 8,984	\$ 18,032	\$ 17,823	
Terminalling & Storage	2,349	1,866	4,665	3,588	
Natural Gas Storage	1,640	1,243	3,281	2,702	
Energy Services	1,176	983	2,371	1,960	
Development & Logistics	425	483	848	850	
Total depreciation and amortization	\$ 14,669	\$ 13,559	\$ 29,197	\$ 26,923	
Asset impairment expense:					
Pipeline Operations	\$	\$ 72,540	\$	\$ 72,540	
Reorganization expense:					
Pipeline Operations	\$	\$ 23,054	\$	\$ 23,054	
Terminalling and Storage		2,402		2,402	
Natural Gas Storage		291		291	
Energy Services		944		944	
Development and Logistics		1,422		1,422	
Total reorganization expense	\$	\$ 28,113	\$	\$ 28,113	

Operating income (loss):				
Pipeline Operations	\$ 44,535	\$ (51,085)	\$ 89,900	\$ (6,638)
Terminalling & Storage	23,914	11,115	47,039	21,772
Natural Gas Storage	3,302	5,817	6,753	11,981
Energy Services	(471)	(1,432)	(3,868)	4,784
Development & Logistics	659	153	1,606	1,534
Total operating income (loss)	\$ 71,939	\$ (35,432)	\$ 141,430	\$ 33,433

(1) Total costs and expenses includes depreciation and amortization, asset impairment expense and reorganization expense.

34

Costs and expenses attributable to Buckeye, Services Company and us were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Attributable to Buckeye	\$ 593,437	\$ 385,728	\$1,253,591	\$ 732,465	
Elimination of Buckeye deferred charge	(1,175)	(1,175)	(2,349)	(2,349)	
Net effect of ESOP charges	1,211	246	2,086	645	
Attributable to BGH	1,864	1,853	3,692	3,866	
Total costs and expenses	\$ 595,337	\$ 386,652	\$ 1,257,020	\$ 734,627	

Amounts attributable to us were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Payroll and payroll benefits	\$ 1,109	\$ 1,182	\$ 2,225	\$ 2,369
Professional fees	311	359	432	656
Other	444	312	1,035	841
Total	\$ 1,864	\$ 1,853	\$ 3,692	\$ 3,866

Payroll and benefits costs include salaries and benefits for the four highest paid executives performing services on behalf of Buckeye based on a negotiated fee, as well as allocations of the cost of Buckeye personnel performing administrative services directly for us.

The following table presents product volumes transported in the Pipeline Operations segment and average daily throughput for the Terminalling & Storage segment in barrels per day ( bpd ) and total volumes sold in gallons for the Energy Services segment for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Pipeline Operations (average bpd):				
Gasoline	668,900	685,700	639,100	659,200
Jet fuel	339,300	345,100	330,900	339,200
Diesel fuel	223,100	193,200	225,300	207,500
Heating oil	36,100	52,200	74,800	91,500
LPGs	21,300	18,800	20,900	16,600
NGLs		20,500		20,900
Other products	3,700	10,000	2,100	11,700
Total Pipeline Operations	1,292,400	1,325,500	1,293,100	1,346,600
<b>Terminalling &amp; Storage (average bpd):</b> Products throughput (1)	570,000	459,800	563,200	470,200

### **Energy Services (in thousands of gallons):**

Sales volumes 235,100 134,000 502,100 317,000

(1) Reported quantities exclude transfer volumes, which are non-revenue generating transfers among our various terminals. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we previously reported 489.4 thousand and 505.1 thousand, respectively,

which included

transfer volumes.

35

## Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Consolidated

Consolidated income attributable to unitholders. Consolidated income attributable to our unitholders was \$11.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$9.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in income attributable to our unitholders was due to increases in Buckeye s quarterly cash distribution. As mentioned above, the incentive distribution rights entitle us to receive amounts equal to specified percentages of the incremental amount of cash distributed by Buckeye to the holders of Buckeye s LP Units when target distribution levels for a quarter are exceeded. As a result, increases in Buckeye s distributions cause increases in income attributable to our unitholders. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, Buckeye paid a \$0.95 per LP Unit distribution as compared to a \$0.90 per LP Unit distribution in the three months ended June 30, 2009, which resulted in an increase of \$1.1 million in incentive distributions in the 2010 period as compared to the corresponding period in 2009.

*Revenue.* Revenue was \$667.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$316.1 million, or 90.0%, from the three months ended June 30, 2009. This overall increase was caused by increases in revenues in all segments for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009 as follows:

an increase of \$300.2 million in revenue from the Energy Services segment, resulting from an overall increase in refined petroleum product prices and volumes of product sold during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009;

an increase of \$11.4 million in revenue from the Terminalling & Storage segment, resulting from increased throughput volumes, increased fees, storage and rental revenue, including \$1.5 million in storage fees from previously underutilized tankage identified in connection with our best practices initiative and other marketing opportunities, increased revenue from the contribution of terminals acquired in November 2009 and favorable settlement experience;

an increase of \$4.5 million in revenue from the Natural Gas Storage segment, resulting primarily from higher fees from hub services transactions recognized as revenue, partially offset by reduced lease revenues as a result of general market conditions, including reduced market-based fees charged for storage services as a result of high storage inventory levels in the western region, above normal temperatures and general uncertainty regarding the economic recovery;

an increase of \$2.0 million in revenue from the Development & Logistics segment, resulting primarily from the sale of ammonia linefill: and

an increase of \$1.1 million in revenue from the Pipeline Operations segment, resulting from the benefit of higher tariffs, favorable settlement experience, increased revenues from the contribution of pipeline assets acquired in November 2009 and increased other revenues.

<u>Total Costs and Expenses.</u> Total costs and expenses were \$595.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$208.6 million, or 54.0%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Total costs and expenses reflect:

an increase in refined petroleum product prices, which, coupled with an increase in volumes sold, resulted in a \$299.7 million increase in the Energy Services segment s cost of product sales in the 2010 period as compared to the 2009 period;

an increase of \$7.1 million in the Natural Gas Storage segment s costs and expenses resulting from higher costs associated with hub services transactions recognized as expense caused primarily by general market conditions as discussed above:

an increase in the Development & Logistics segment s costs and expenses due to \$2.4 million of expenses related to the write-off in the 2010 period of a portion of an outstanding receivable balance and other costs associated with a customer bankruptcy (see Note 3 in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion); and

36

#### **Table of Contents**

an increase of \$1.0 million in depreciation and amortization, primarily due to expense on assets placed in service in the second half of 2009 in connection with the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project, and certain internal-use software, which was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2009, and an increase of \$1.1 million in non-cash unit-based compensation expense.

Total costs and expenses in the 2009 period include the recognition of a non-cash \$72.5 million asset impairment expense in the Pipeline Operations segment related to the Buckeye NGL Pipeline and \$28.1 million of expenses across all segments associated with organizational restructuring. These two charges were the primary cause of a partially offsetting decrease in total costs and expenses for the 2010 period as compared to the 2009 period. Total costs and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 reflect the effectiveness of cost management efforts we implemented in 2009. Largely as a result of these efforts, costs decreased by approximately \$4.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009.

Total costs and expenses also reflect the following decreases:

a decrease in costs and expenses of the Pipeline Operations segment, resulting substantially from a decrease related to the asset impairment expense and the organizational restructuring charges recognized in the 2009 period as discussed above and lower payroll and benefits costs, which was primarily attributable to the organizational restructuring that occurred in 2009 and resulted in reduced headcount, partially offset by increased integrity program expenses and increased professional fees; and

a decrease in costs and expenses of the Terminalling & Storage segment, resulting primarily from a decrease related to expenses for organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period and decreased payroll and benefits costs, partially offset by higher environmental remediation expenses and higher operating expenses for terminals acquired in November 2009.

Operating Income. Operating income was \$71.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating loss of \$35.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Interest and debt expense increased by \$5.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009, which increase was largely attributable to the issuance in August 2009 of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.500% Notes due 2019 and higher outstanding borrowings under the BES Credit Agreement, partially offset by lower outstanding borrowings under Buckeye s unsecured revolving credit agreement (the Credit Facility ). In addition, depreciation and amortization increased by \$1.0 million, primarily due to expense on assets placed in service in the second half of 2009 in connection with the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project, and certain internal-use software, which was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2009. Income from equity investments decreased by \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

Income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Income attributable to noncontrolling interests, which represents the allocation of Buckeye s income to its limited partner interest not owned by us or Services Company, was \$41.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to a loss of \$58.2 million in the corresponding period in 2009, primarily due to the recognition of the asset impairment expense and the organizational restructuring charges in the 2009 period.

For a more detailed discussion of the above factors affecting our results, see the following discussion by segment. *Pipeline Operations* 

*Revenue*. Revenue from the Pipeline Operations segment was \$99.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$1.1 million, or 1.2%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Revenues increased due to the benefit of higher tariffs of \$1.9 million, the result of overall average tariff increases of approximately 3.8% implemented on July 1, 2009 and 2.61% implemented on May 1, 2010. In addition, favorable settlement experience of \$1.0 million, increased revenues of \$0.8 million from pipeline assets acquired in November 2009 and increased other revenue of \$2.4 million contributed to the increase in revenues. These increases in revenue were

37

#### **Table of Contents**

partially offset by a \$3.2 million decrease related to a 2.5% decrease in transportation volumes due in part to the sale of the Buckeye NGL Pipeline on January 1, 2010 and reduced revenues of \$1.3 million from a product supply arrangement with a wholesale distributor and contract service activities at customer facilities connected to our refined petroleum products pipelines pursuant to the assignment of such service contract to the Development & Logistics segment.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Pipeline Operations segment were \$54.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is a decrease of \$94.5 million, or 63.3%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Total costs and expenses for the 2009 period include a \$72.5 million non-cash asset impairment expense and \$23.1 million of expense related to an organizational restructuring. These charges in the 2009 period were the primary reason that costs and expenses in the 2009 period were 63.3% higher than in the 2010 period. Total costs and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 also reflect an increase of \$2.1 million in integrity program expenses, primarily due to increased levels of pipeline maintenance activities, and an increase of \$0.7 million in professional fees, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.3 million in payroll and benefits costs primarily related to our best practices initiative in 2009, and a decrease of \$1.2 million in operating power costs due to lower transportation volumes and power contract renegotiations as part of our best practices initiative.

<u>Operating Income</u>. Operating income from the Pipeline Operations segment was \$44.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to an operating loss of \$51.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Income from equity investments decreased by \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above. *Terminalling & Storage* 

Revenue. Revenue from the Terminalling & Storage segment was \$40.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$11.4 million, or 38.5%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Approximately \$8.7 million of the increase resulted primarily from terminals acquired in November 2009, internal growth projects, increased throughput volumes, higher fees, higher storage and rental revenue, including \$1.5 million in storage fees from previously underutilized tankage identified in connection with our best practices initiative and other marketing opportunities, and increased butane-blending revenue. Also contributing to the improved revenue was an increase of \$2.6 million in settlement experience reflecting the favorable impact of higher refined petroleum product prices during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009. In addition to the 13.8% increase in volumes resulting from the acquisition of terminals in November 2009, terminalling volumes increased 10.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009, largely due to increased ethanol throughput volumes.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Terminalling & Storage segment were \$16.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is a decrease of \$1.4 million, or 8.0%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The decrease in total costs and expenses in the 2010 period as compared to the 2009 period is due to a \$2.4 million decrease related to expenses for organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period and a \$1.1 million decrease in payroll and benefits costs primarily related to our best practices initiative in 2009. These decreases were partially offset by a \$0.8 million increase in environmental remediation expenses, a \$0.6 million increase in operating expenses for terminals acquired in November 2009 and a \$0.4 million increase in depreciation and amortization.

<u>Operating Income</u>. Operating income from the Terminalling & Storage segment was \$23.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$11.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as a result of the terminals acquired in November 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

38

Natural Gas Storage

<u>Revenue</u>. Revenue from the Natural Gas Storage segment was \$21.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$4.5 million, or 27.5%, from the corresponding period in 2009. This overall increase is attributable to greater underlying volume and higher fees recognized as revenue for hub services provided during the three months ended June 30, 2010. The fees for hub services agreements are based on the relative market prices of natural gas over different delivery periods. A positive market price spread results in receipt of a fee from the customer that is reflected as transportation and other services revenue. A negative market price spread results in payment of a fee to the customer that is reflected as cost of natural gas storage services. These fees are recognized as revenue or cost of natural gas storage services ratably as the underlying services are provided or utilized. Such agreements allow us to maximize the daily utilization of the natural gas storage facility and to attempt to capture value from seasonal price differences in the natural gas markets. During the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, there were 165 and 150 outstanding hub service contracts, respectively, for which revenue was being recognized ratably. Market conditions contributed to higher fees of \$5.2 million for hub service agreements recognized as revenue during the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, partially offset by reduced market-based fees charged for storage services as a result of high storage inventory levels in the western region, above normal temperatures and general uncertainty regarding the economic recovery. Additionally, lease revenue decreased \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, as a decrease in the fee charged for each volumetric unit of storage capacity leased was partially offset by increased storage capacity from the commissioning of the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project, which was placed in service in June 2009.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Natural Gas Storage segment were \$17.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$7.1 million, or 65.3%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The primary driver of the increase in expenses is an increase in hub services fees paid to customers for hub service activities. As stated above, hub service fees are based on the relative market prices of natural gas over different delivery periods; a negative market price spread results in payment of a fee to the customer that is reflected as cost of natural gas storage services ratably as those services are provided. Other operating expenses increased \$0.7 million, primarily due to increased fuel costs, professional fees, maintenance materials expense and rental expense. Total costs and expenses also include an increase of \$0.4 million in depreciation and amortization, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million related to an organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period.

<u>Operating Income</u>. Operating income from the Natural Gas Storage segment was \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$5.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from the corresponding period in 2009 due to expense on assets placed in service in the second half of 2009 in connection with the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

Energy Services

<u>Revenue</u>. Revenue from the Energy Services segment was \$501.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$300.2 million, or 148.9%, from the corresponding period in 2009. This increase was primarily due to an increase in refined petroleum product prices, which correspondingly increased the cost of product sales, and an increase of 75.4% in sales volumes.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Energy Services segment were \$502.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$299.3 million, or 147.4%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The increase in total costs and expenses was primarily due to an increase of \$299.7 million in cost of product sales as a result of increased volumes sold and an increase in refined petroleum product prices, an increase of \$0.4 million in payroll related costs and an increase of \$0.4 million in bad debt expense, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.7 million in maintenance materials expense and professional fees and a decrease of \$0.9 million related to an organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period.

#### **Table of Contents**

Operating Income (loss). Operating loss from the Energy Services segment was \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to an operating loss of \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from the corresponding period in 2009 due to amortization of certain internal-use software that was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income (loss) are discussed above. Development & Logistics

<u>Revenue</u>. Revenue from the Development & Logistics segment, which consists principally of our contract operations and engineering services for third-party pipelines, was \$10.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$2.0 million, or 22.5%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The increase in revenue was partially due to a \$1.5 million increase in other revenue, primarily from the recognition of \$1.1 million of revenue related to the sale of ammonia linefill. In addition, operating service revenues increased \$2.2 million from the 2009 period, primarily due to the assignment of certain service contracts from the Pipeline Operations segment to the Development & Logistics segment. These increases in revenue were partially offset by the completion and non-replacement of construction projects in 2009, resulting in a \$2.1 million reduction in certain construction contract revenues.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Development & Logistics segment were \$10.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$1.4 million, or 17.0%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The increase in total costs and expenses was the result of the recognition of \$2.4 million of expenses related to the write-off in the 2010 period of a portion of an outstanding receivable balance and other costs associated with a customer bankruptcy, and increased operating services activities discussed above, partially offset by reduced construction contract activity and lower income tax expense. Total costs and expenses also include a decrease of \$1.4 million related to an organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period.

<u>Operating Income</u>. Operating income from the Development & Logistics segment was \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Income tax expense decreased by \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 due to the recognition of a tax benefit of \$0.6 million primarily related to the write-off of a portion of an outstanding receivable balance and other costs associated with a customer bankruptcy as discussed above. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

## Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Consolidated

Consolidated income attributable to our unitholders was \$22.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$19.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in income attributable to our unitholders was due to increases in Buckeye s quarterly cash distribution. As mentioned above, the incentive distribution rights entitle us to receive amounts equal to specified percentages of the incremental amount of cash distributed by Buckeye to the holders of Buckeye s LP Units when target distribution levels for a quarter are exceeded. As a result, increases in Buckeye s distributions cause increases in income attributable to our unitholders. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, Buckeye paid aggregate distributions of \$1.8875 per LP Unit as compared to \$1.7875 per LP Unit in the six months ended June 30, 2009, which resulted in an increase of \$2.9 million in incentive distributions in the first six months of 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009.

Revenue. Revenue was \$1,398.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$630.4 million, or 82.1%, from the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009 was caused primarily by the following: an increase of \$600.0 million in revenue from the Energy Services segment, resulting from an overall

increase of \$600.0 million in revenue from the Energy Services segment, resulting from an overall increase in refined petroleum product prices and volumes of product sold during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009;

40

#### **Table of Contents**

an increase of \$23.0 million in revenue from the Terminalling & Storage segment, resulting from increased throughput volumes, increased fees, storage and rental revenue, including \$3.2 million in storage fees from previously underutilized tankage identified in connection with our best practices initiative and other marketing opportunities, increased revenue from the contribution of terminals acquired in November 2009 and favorable settlement experience;

an increase of \$15.0 million in revenue from the Natural Gas Storage segment, resulting primarily from higher fees from hub services transactions recognized as revenue; and

an increase of \$0.4 million in revenue from the Development & Logistics segment, resulting primarily from the sale of ammonia linefill.

The increase in revenue was partially offset by:

a decrease of \$1.5 million in revenue from the Pipeline Operations segment, resulting primarily from lower transportation volumes and lower other revenue, partially offset by increased tariffs, favorable settlement experience and increased revenues from the contribution of pipeline assets acquired in November 2009.

<u>Total Costs and Expenses</u>. Total costs and expenses were \$1,257.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$522.4 million, or 71.1%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Total costs and expenses reflect:

an increase in refined petroleum product prices, which, coupled with an increase in volume sold, resulted in a \$609.6 million increase in the Energy Services segment s cost of product sales in the 2010 period as compared to the 2009 period;

an increase of \$20.2 million in the Natural Gas Storage segment s costs and expenses resulting from higher costs associated with hub services transactions recognized as expense caused primarily by general market conditions;

an increase of \$2.3 million in depreciation and amortization, primarily due to expense on assets placed in service in the second half of 2009 in connection with the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project, and certain internal-use software, which was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2009, and an increase of \$2.3 million in non-cash unit-based compensation expense; and

an increase of \$0.3 million in the Development & Logistics segment s costs and expense reflecting \$2.4 million of expenses related to the write-off in the 2010 period of a portion of an outstanding receivable balance and other costs associated with a customer bankruptcy and increased operating services activities in the 2010 period, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.4 million related to an organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period and reduced construction contract activity in the 2010 period.

Total costs and expenses in the 2009 period include the recognition of a non-cash \$72.5 million asset impairment expense in the Pipeline Operations segment, related to the Buckeye NGL Pipeline and \$28.1 million of expenses across all segments associated with organizational restructuring. These two charges were the primary cause of a partially offsetting decrease in total costs and expenses for the 2010 period as compared to the 2009 period. Total costs and expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflect the effectiveness of cost management efforts we implemented in 2009. Largely as result of these efforts, costs decreased by approximately \$9.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009.

Total costs and expenses also reflect the following decreases:

a decrease in costs and expenses of the Pipeline Operations segment, resulting substantially from a decrease related to the asset impairment expense and the organizational restructuring charges recognized in the 2009 period as discussed above and lower payroll and benefits costs, which was primarily attributable to the organizational restructuring that occurred in 2009 and resulted in reduced headcount, as well as from lower contract service activities, lower environmental remediation expenses, lower contract service activities and

lower operating power costs due to lower transportation volumes and power contract renegotiations as part of our best practices initiative; and

41

a decrease in costs and expenses of the Terminalling & Storage segment, resulting primarily from a decrease related to expenses for organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period, lower environmental remediation expenses and lower payroll and benefits costs, partially offset by higher operating expense for terminals acquired in November 2009 and higher bad debt expense.

Operating Income. Operating income was \$141.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$33.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Interest and debt expense increased by \$9.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009, which increase was largely attributable to the issuance in August 2009 of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.500% Notes due 2019, higher outstanding borrowings under the BES Credit Agreement and lower interest capitalized on construction projects, partially offset by lower outstanding borrowings under Buckeye s Credit Facility. In addition, depreciation and amortization increased by \$2.3 million, primarily due to expense on assets placed in service in the second half of 2009 in connection with the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project and certain internal-use software, which was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2009. Income from equity investments increased by \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

<u>Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.</u> Income attributable to noncontrolling interests, which represents the allocation of Buckeye s income to its limited partner interest not owned by us or Services Company, was \$81.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to a loss of \$14.6 million in the corresponding period in 2009, primarily due to the recognition of the asset impairment expense and the organizational restructuring charges in the 2009 period.

For a more detailed discussion of the above factors affecting our results, see the following discussion by segment. *Pipeline Operations* 

Revenue. Revenue from the Pipeline Operations segment was \$195.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is a decrease of \$1.5 million, or 0.8%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Revenues decreased by \$8.9 million, resulting from a 4.0% decrease in transportation volumes, due in part to the sale of the Buckeye NGL Pipeline on January 1, 2010, and a \$2.2 million decrease from a product supply arrangement with a wholesale distributor and contract service activities at customer facilities connected to our refined petroleum products pipelines pursuant to the assignment of such service contract to the Development & Logistics segment. These decreases in revenue were partially offset by higher tariffs of \$4.6 million, the result of overall average tariff increases of approximately 3.8% implemented on July 1, 2009 and 2.61% implemented on May 1, 2010, favorable settlement experience of \$3.0 million and increased revenues of \$1.4 million from pipeline assets acquired in November 2009.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Pipeline Operations segment were \$106.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is a decrease of \$98.0 million, or 48.1%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Total costs and expenses for the 2009 period include a \$72.5 million non-cash asset impairment expense and \$23.1 million of expense related to organizational restructuring. These charges in the six months ended June 30, 2009 were the primary reason that total costs and expenses in the 2009 period were 48.1% higher than in the 2010 period. In addition, total costs and expenses in the 2010 period were lower than in the 2009 period as a result of a \$3.3 million decrease in payroll and benefits costs, resulting primarily from our best practices initiative, a \$1.5 million reduction in environmental remediation expenses, a \$1.3 million decrease in operating power costs due to lower transportation volumes and power contract renegotiations as part of our best practices initiative, a \$1.2 million decrease in contract service activities at customer facilities connected to our refined petroleum products pipelines, and a \$0.8 million decrease in product costs, resulting from reduced volumes of product sold to a wholesale distributor. These decreases in total costs and expenses were partially offset by an increase of \$4.7 million in professional fees and other expenses, including an increase of \$2.2 million in integrity program expenses and an increase of \$0.6 million in bad debt expense.

42

#### **Table of Contents**

Operating Income. Operating income from the Pipeline Operations segment was \$89.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to an operating loss of \$6.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from the corresponding period in 2009. Income from equity investments increased by \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

#### Terminalling & Storage

Revenue. Revenue from the Terminalling & Storage segment was \$83.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$23.0 million, or 38.4%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Approximately \$19.5 million of the increase resulted primarily from terminals acquired in November 2009, internal growth projects, increased throughput volumes, higher fees, higher storage and rental revenue, including \$3.2 million in storage fees from previously underutilized tankage identified in connection with our best practices initiative and other marketing opportunities, and increased butane-blending revenue. Also contributing to the improved revenue was an increase of \$3.5 million in settlement experience, reflecting the favorable impact of higher refined petroleum product prices during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009. In addition to the 12.1% increase in volumes resulting from the acquisition of terminals in November 2009, terminalling volumes increased 7.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009, largely due to increased ethanol throughput volumes.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Terminalling & Storage segment were \$36.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is a decrease of \$2.2 million, or 5.7%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The decrease in total costs and expenses in the 2010 period as compared to the 2009 period is due to a \$2.4 million decrease related to expenses for organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period, a \$1.5 million decrease in environmental remediation expenses and a \$1.5 million decrease in payroll and benefits costs primarily related to our best practices initiative in 2009, partially offset by a \$1.3 million increase in operating expenses for terminals acquired in November 2009, a \$0.6 million increase in bad debt expense and a \$1.1 million increase in depreciation and amortization, primarily due to expense on assets acquired in November 2009.

<u>Operating Income</u>. Operating income from the Terminalling & Storage segment was \$47.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$21.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as a result of the terminals acquired in November 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

#### Natural Gas Storage

Revenue. Revenue from the Natural Gas Storage segment was \$46.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$15.0 million, or 46.9%, from the corresponding period in 2009. This overall increase is attributable to greater underlying volume and higher fees recognized as revenue for hub services provided during the six months ended June 30, 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, there were 232 and 205 outstanding hub service contracts, respectively, for which revenue was being recognized ratably. Market conditions contributed to higher fees of \$14.1 million for hub service agreements recognized as revenue during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009. Lease revenue also increased \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as increased storage capacity from the commissioning of the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project, which was placed in service in June 2009, was partially offset by a decrease in the fee charged for each volumetric unit of storage capacity leased.

<u>Total Costs and Expenses</u>. Total costs and expenses from the Natural Gas Storage segment were \$39.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$20.2 million, or 101.9%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The primary driver of the increase in expenses is an increase in hub services fees paid to customers for hub service activities. Other operating expenses increased by \$0.6 million, primarily due to increased fuel costs, professional fees, maintenance materials expense and supplies and rental expenses, partially offset by decreased outside service costs. Total costs and expenses also include an increase of \$0.6 million in depreciation and

#### **Table of Contents**

amortization, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million related to organizational restructuring charges recognized in the 2009 period.

<u>Operating Income</u>. Operating income from the Natural Gas Storage segment was \$6.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$12.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from the corresponding period in 2009 due to expense on assets placed in service in the second half of 2009 in connection with the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

Energy Services

*Revenue*. Revenue from the Energy Services segment was \$1,070.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$600.0 million, or 127.6%, from the corresponding period in 2009. This increase was primarily due to an increase in refined petroleum product prices in the 2010 period, which correspondingly increased the cost of product sales, and an increase of 58.4% in sales volumes.

Total Costs and Expenses. Total costs and expenses from the Energy Services segment were \$1,074.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$608.6 million, or 130.8%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The increase in total costs and expenses was primarily due to an increase of \$609.6 million in cost of product sales as a result of increased volumes sold and an increase in refined petroleum product prices. The increase in expenses was a result of the withdrawal of product from inventory as market conditions changed and commodity prices were no longer in contango. The increase in product supply in the market place from inventory liquidation, coupled with lower overall product demand, created additional pressure on margins, which was partially offset by a 58.4% increase in Energy Services—sales volume. Total costs and expenses also increased due to an increase of \$0.7 million in bad debt expense, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.4 million in maintenance materials expense and professional fees. Total costs and expenses also include an increase of \$0.4 million in depreciation and amortization, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.9 million related to an organizational restructuring recognized in the 2009 period.

<u>Operating Income (loss)</u>. Operating loss from the Energy Services segment was \$3.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$4.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from the corresponding period in 2009 due to amortization of certain internal-use software that was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2009. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income (loss) are discussed above. *Development & Logistics* 

*Revenue*. Revenue from the Development & Logistics segment was \$18.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$0.4 million, or 2.1%, from the corresponding period in 2009. The increase in revenue was partially due to the recognition of \$1.2 million of revenue related to the sale of ammonia linefill. In addition, operating service revenues increased by \$2.0 million from the 2009 period, primarily due to the assignment of certain service contracts from the Pipeline Operations segment to the Development & Logistics segment. These increases in revenue were partially offset by reduced construction contract activity following completion of certain construction projects in 2009, resulting in a \$3.6 million reduction in certain construction contract revenues.

<u>Total Costs and Expenses</u>. Total costs and expenses from the Development & Logistics segment were \$16.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is an increase of \$0.3 million, or 1.8%, from the corresponding period in 2009. Total costs and expenses increased as a result of the recognition of \$2.4 million of expenses related to the write-off in the 2010 period of a portion of an outstanding receivable balance and other costs associated with a customer bankruptcy, and increased operating services activities discussed above, partially offset by reduced contract construction activity discussed above and lower income tax expense. Total costs and expenses in the 2009 period include \$1.4 million of expense related to an organizational restructuring.

44

Operating Income. Operating income from the Development & Logistics segment was \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to operating income of \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Income tax expense decreased by \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to the recognition of a tax benefit of \$0.6 million primarily related to the write-off of a portion of an outstanding receivable balance and other costs associated with a customer bankruptcy as discussed above. Other revenue and expense items impacting operating income are discussed above.

# **Liquidity and Capital Resources BGH**

We currently have no capital requirements apart from Buckeye s capital requirements. Buckeye s capital requirements consist of maintenance and capital expenditures, expenditures for acquisitions and debt service requirements.

Our only cash-generating asset is our ownership interest in Buckeye GP. Our cash flow is, therefore, directly dependent upon the ability of Buckeye and its operating subsidiaries to make cash distributions to Buckeye s partners. The actual amount of cash that Buckeye will have available for distribution depends primarily on Buckeye s ability to generate cash beyond its working capital requirements.

Our principal uses of cash are the payment of our operating expenses and distributions to our unitholders. We generally make quarterly cash distributions of substantially all of our available cash, generally defined as consolidated cash receipts less consolidated cash expenditures and such retentions for working capital, anticipated cash expenditures and contingencies as MainLine Management deems appropriate. In the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we paid cash distributions of \$0.84 and \$0.68 per Common Unit, respectively. Total cash distributed to our unitholders for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was approximately \$23.8 million and \$19.2 million, respectively.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had no amounts outstanding under our unsecured revolving credit facility (the BGH Credit Agreement ). See Note 10 in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the terms of the BGH Credit Agreement.

## Services Company

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, Services Company had total debt outstanding of \$4.6 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, consisting of 3.60% Senior Secured Notes (the 3.60% ESOP Notes) due March 28, 2011 payable by the ESOP to a third-party lender. The 3.60% ESOP Notes were issued on May 4, 2004. The 3.60% ESOP Notes are collateralized by Services Company s common stock and are guaranteed by Services Company. In addition, Buckeye has committed that, in the event that the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company falls below 125% of the balance payable under the 3.60% ESOP Notes, Buckeye will fund an escrow account with sufficient assets to bring the value of the total collateral (the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company and the escrow account) up to the 125% minimum. Amounts deposited in the escrow account are returned to Buckeye when the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company returns to an amount that exceeds the 125% minimum. At June 30, 2010, the value of Buckeye s LP Units owned by Services Company exceeded the 125% requirement.

## Buckeye

Buckeye s primary cash requirements, in addition to normal operating expenses and debt service, are for working capital, capital expenditures, business acquisitions and distributions to its partners. Buckeye s principal sources of liquidity are cash from operations, borrowings under its Credit Facility and proceeds from the issuance of its LP Units. Buckeye will, from time to time, issue debt securities to permanently finance amounts borrowed under its Credit Facility. BES funds its working capital needs principally from its operations and the BES Credit Agreement. Buckeye s financial policy has been to fund sustaining capital expenditures with cash from operations. Expansion and cost improvement capital expenditures, along with acquisitions, have typically been funded from external sources including Buckeye s Credit Facility as well as debt and equity offerings. Buckeye s goal has been

to fund at least half of these expenditures with proceeds from equity offerings in order to maintain its investment-grade credit rating.

As a result of Buckeye s actions to minimize external financing requirements and the fact that no debt facilities mature prior to 2011, Buckeye believes that availabilities under its credit facilities, coupled with ongoing cash flows from operations, will be sufficient to fund its operations for the remainder of 2010. Buckeye will continue to evaluate a variety of financing sources, including the debt and equity markets described above, throughout 2010. However, continuing volatility in the debt and equity markets will make the timing and cost of any such potential financing uncertain.

At June 30, 2010, Buckeye had \$12.5 million of cash and cash equivalents on hand and approximately \$580.0 million of available credit under its Credit Facility, after application of the facility s funded debt ratio covenant. In addition, at June 30, 2010, BES had \$20.6 million of available credit under the BES Credit Agreement, pursuant to certain borrowing base calculations under that agreement.

At June 30, 2010, Buckeye had an aggregate face amount of \$1,619.2 million of debt, which consisted of the following:

```
$300.0 million of 4.625% Notes due 2013 (the 4.625% Notes );
$275.0 million of 5.300% Notes due 2014 (the 5.300% Notes );
$125.0 million of 5.125% Notes due 2017 (the 5.125% Notes );
$300.0 million of 6.050% Notes due 2018 (the 6.050% Notes );
$275.0 million of 5.500% Notes due 2019 (the 5.500% Notes );
$150.0 million of 6.750% Notes due 2033 (the 6.750% Notes ); and
```

\$194.2 million outstanding under the BES Credit Agreement.

See Note 10 in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about the terms of the debt discussed above.

The fair values of Buckeye s aggregate debt and credit facilities were estimated to be \$1,672.6 million and \$1,762.1 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The fair values of the fixed-rate debt were estimated by observing market trading prices and by comparing the historic market prices of its publicly-issued debt with the market prices of other MLPs publicly-issued debt with similar credit ratings and terms. The fair values of Buckeye s variable-rate debt are their carrying amounts, as the carrying amount reasonably approximates fair value due to the variability of the interest rates.

### Registration Statement

Buckeye may issue equity or debt securities to assist it in meeting its liquidity and capital spending requirements. Buckeye has a universal shelf registration statement on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that would allow it to issue an unlimited amount of debt and equity securities for general partnership purposes.

### Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

The following table summarizes our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 231,951	\$ 99,637
Investing activities	(5,298)	(43,678)

Financing activities (248,730) (90,938)

46

## **Table of Contents**

#### **Operating Activities**

Net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$232.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$99.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The following were the principal factors resulting in the \$132.4 million increase in net cash flows provided by operating activities:

The net change in fair values of derivatives was a decrease of \$12.9 million to cash flows from operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010, resulting from the increase in value related to fixed-price sales contracts compared to a lower level of opposite fluctuations in futures contracts purchased to hedge such fluctuations.

The net impact of working capital changes was an increase of \$96.8 million to cash flows from operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The principal factors affecting the working capital changes were:

- o Prepaid and other current assets decreased by \$37.0 million primarily due to a decrease in margin deposits on futures contracts in our Energy Services segment as a result of increased commodity prices during the six months ended June 30, 2010 (increased commodity prices result in an increase in our broker equity account and therefore less margin deposit is required), a decrease in unbilled revenue within our Natural Gas Storage segment reflecting billings to counterparties in accordance with terms of their storage agreements, a decrease in receivables related to ammonia contracts and a decrease in prepaid insurance due to continued amortization of the balance over the policy period.
- o Inventories decreased by \$28.1 million due to a decrease in volume of hedged inventory stored by the Energy Services segment. From time to time, the Energy Services segment stores hedged inventory to attempt to capture value when market conditions are economically favorable.
- o Trade receivables decreased by \$10.6 million primarily due to the timing of collections from customers, partially offset by increased activity from our Energy Services segment due to higher volumes and higher commodity prices in the 2010 period.
- o Accrued and other current liabilities increased by \$11.1 million primarily due to increases in unearned revenue primarily in the Natural Gas Storage segment as a result of increased hub services contracts during the six months ended June 30, 2010 for which the customer is billed up front for services provided over the entire term of the contract, partially offset by the payment of accrued ammonia purchases during the period and a reduction in the reorganization accrual.
- o Accounts payable increased by \$7.6 million primarily due to higher payable balances at June 30, 2010 as a result of increased trading activity at BES resulting from increased volumes and increased commodity prices during the period.
- o Construction and pipeline relocation receivables decreased by \$2.5 million primarily due to a decrease in construction activity in the 2010 period.

## **Investing Activities**

Net cash flow used in investing activities was \$5.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$43.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The following were the principal factors resulting in the \$38.4 million decrease in net cash flows used in investing activities:

Capital expenditures decreased by \$12.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2009. See below for a discussion of capital spending.

We contributed \$3.9 million to West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited Partnership in the six months ended June 30, 2009 for our pro-rata share of an expansion project required to meet increased pipeline demand

caused by increased product production in the Fort Worth basin and East Texas regions.

Cash proceeds from the sale of the Buckeye NGL Pipeline were \$22.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

47

#### **Table of Contents**

Capital expenditures, net of non-cash changes in accruals for capital expenditures, were as follows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Sustaining capital expenditures	\$ 9,195	\$ 7,773
Expansion and cost reduction	18,377	32,046
Total capital expenditures, net	\$ 27,572	\$ 39,819

Expansion and cost reduction projects in the first six months of 2010 included terminal ethanol and butane blending, new pipeline connections, natural gas storage well recompletions, continued progress on a new pipeline and terminal billing system as well as various other operating infrastructure projects. In the first six months of 2009, expansion and cost reduction projects included the Kirby Hills Phase II expansion project, terminal ethanol and butane blending, the construction of three additional tanks with capacity of 0.4 million barrels in Linden, New Jersey and various other pipeline and terminal operating infrastructure projects.

We expect to spend approximately \$75.0 million to \$95.0 million for capital expenditures in 2010, of which approximately \$25.0 million to \$35.0 million is expected to relate to sustaining capital expenditures and \$50.0 million to \$60.0 million is expected to relate to expansion and cost reduction projects. Sustaining capital expenditures include renewals and replacement of pipeline sections, tank floors and tank roofs and upgrades to station and terminalling equipment, field instrumentation and cathodic protection systems. Major expansion and cost reduction expenditures in 2010 will include the completion of additional product storage tanks in the Midwest, various terminal expansions and upgrades and pipeline and terminal automation projects.

## Financing Activities

Net cash flow used in financing activities was \$248.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$90.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The following were the principal factors resulting in the \$157.8 million increase in net cash flows used in financing activities:

Buckeye borrowed \$95.0 million and \$77.3 million and repaid \$173.0 million and \$166.6 million under its Credit Facility during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Net repayments under the BES Credit Agreement were \$45.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, while net borrowings under the BES Credit Agreement were \$3.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

We incurred \$3.2 million of debt issuance costs during the six months ended June 30, 2010 related to the amendment to the BES Credit Agreement in June 2010 (see Note 10 in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

We received \$3.0 million in net proceeds from the exercise of Buckeye s LP Unit options during the six months ended June 30, 2010. We received \$104.8 million in net proceeds from an underwritten equity offering in March and April of 2009 for Buckeye s public issuance of 3.0 million LP Units.

Cash distributions paid to our partners increased by \$4.6 million period-to-period due to an increase in our quarterly cash distribution rate per Common Unit. We paid cash distributions of \$23.8 million (\$0.84 per Common Unit) and \$19.2 million (\$0.68 per Common Unit) during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We paid \$1.5 million of costs associated with the Merger during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Derivatives**

See Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Market Risk Non Trading Instruments for a discussion of commodity derivatives used by our Energy Services segment.

## **Other Considerations**

#### **Contractual Obligations**

With the exception of routine fluctuations in the balance of Buckeye s Credit Facility and the BES Credit Agreement, there have been no material changes in our scheduled maturities of our debt obligations since those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Total rental expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$5.5 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, total rental expense was \$10.5 million and \$10.3 million, respectively. There have been no material changes in our operating lease commitments since those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

There have been no material changes with regard to our off-balance sheet arrangements since those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## **Related Party Transactions**

With respect to related party transactions, see Note 15 in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 1 in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of certain new accounting pronouncements that will or may affect our consolidated financial statements.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

## Market Risk Trading Instruments

We have no trading derivative instruments and do not engage in hedging activity with respect to trading instruments.

### Market Risk Non-Trading Instruments

We are exposed to financial market risk resulting from changes in commodity prices and interest rates. We do not currently have foreign exchange risk.

## Commodity Risk

Natural Gas Storage

The Natural Gas Storage segment enters into interruptible natural gas storage hub service agreements in order to maximize the daily utilization of the natural gas storage facility, while also attempting to capture value from seasonal price differences in the natural gas markets. Although the Natural Gas Storage segment does not purchase or sell natural gas, the Natural Gas Storage segment is subject to commodity risk because the value of natural gas storage hub services generally fluctuates based on changes in the relative market prices of natural gas over different delivery periods.

49

#### **Table of Contents**

As of June 30, 2010, the Natural Gas Storage segment has recorded the following assets and liabilities related to its hub services agreements (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010
Assets:	
Hub service agreements	\$ 32,394
Liabilities:	
Hub service agreements	(26,750)
Total	\$ 5,644

## **Energy Services**

Our Energy Services segment primarily uses exchange-traded refined petroleum product futures contracts to manage the risk of market price volatility on its refined petroleum product inventories and its fixed-price sales contracts. The derivative contracts used to hedge refined petroleum product inventories are classified as fair value hedges. Accordingly, our method of measuring ineffectiveness compares the changes in the fair value of the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) futures contracts to the change in fair value of our hedged fuel inventory.

Our Energy Services segment has not used hedge accounting with respect to its fixed-price sales contracts. Therefore, our fixed-price sales contracts and the related futures contracts used to offset those fixed-price sales contracts are all marked-to-market on the condensed consolidated balance sheets with gains and losses being recognized in earnings during the period.

As of June 30, 2010, the Energy Services segment had derivative assets and liabilities as follows (in thousands):

	_	ine 30, 2010
Assets:		
Fixed-price sales contracts	\$	5,121
Futures contracts for inventory and fixed-price sales contracts		4,973
Liabilities:		
Fixed-price sales contracts		(166)
Futures contracts for inventory and fixed-price sales contracts		(202)
Total	\$	9,726

Our hedged inventory portfolio extends to the first quarter of 2011. The majority of the unrealized income at June 30, 2010 for inventory hedges represented by futures contracts will be realized by the third quarter of 2010 as the related inventory is sold. Gains recorded on inventory hedges that were ineffective were approximately \$1.0 million and \$5.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2010, open refined petroleum product derivative contracts (represented by the fixed-price sales contracts and futures contracts for fixed-price sales contracts and inventory noted above) varied in duration, but did not extend beyond October 2011. In addition, at June 30, 2010, we had refined petroleum product inventories which we intend to use to satisfy a portion of the fixed-price sales contracts.

50

#### **Table of Contents**

Based on a hypothetical 10% movement in the underlying quoted market prices of the commodity financial instruments outstanding at June 30, 2010, the estimated fair value of the portfolio of commodity financial instruments would be as follows (in thousands):

		Commodity
		<b>Financial</b>
		Instrument
	Resulting	Portfolio
Scenario	Classification	Fair Value
Fair value assuming no change in underlying commodity prices (as is)	Asset	\$ 9,726
Fair value assuming 10% increase in underlying commodity prices	Liability	\$ (3,589)
Fair value assuming 10% decrease in underlying commodity prices	Asset	\$23,044

The value of the open futures contract positions noted above were based upon quoted market prices obtained from NYMEX. The value of the fixed-price sales contracts was based on observable market data related to the obligation to provide refined petroleum products to customers.

As discussed above, these commodity financial instruments are used primarily to manage the risk of market price volatility on the Energy Services segment refined petroleum product inventories and its fixed-price sales contracts. The derivative contracts used to hedge refined petroleum product inventories are classified as fair value hedges and are, therefore, expected to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value of the refined petroleum product inventories.

#### Interest Rate Risk

Buckeye utilizes forward-starting interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk related to forecasted interest payments on anticipated debt issuances. This strategy is a component in controlling its cost of capital associated with such borrowings. When entering into interest rate swap transactions, Buckeye becomes exposed to both credit risk and market risk. Buckeye is subject to credit risk when the value of the swap transaction is positive and the risk exists that the counterparty will fail to perform under the terms of the contract. Buckeye is subject to market risk with respect to changes in the underlying benchmark interest rate that impact the fair value of the swaps. Buckeye manages its credit risk by only entering into swap transactions with major financial institutions with investment-grade credit ratings. Buckeye manages its market risk by associating each swap transaction with an existing debt obligation or a specified expected debt issuance generally associated with the maturity of an existing debt obligation.

Buckeye s practice with respect to derivative transactions related to interest rate risk has been to have each transaction in connection with non-routine borrowings authorized by the board of directors of Buckeye GP. In January 2009, Buckeye GP s board of directors adopted an interest rate hedging policy which permits Buckeye to enter into certain short-term interest rate hedge agreements to manage its interest rate and cash flow risks associated with its Credit Facility. In addition, in July 2009 and May 2010, Buckeye GP s board of directors authorized Buckeye to enter into certain transactions, such as forward starting interest rate swaps, to manage its interest rate and cash flow risks related to certain expected debt issuances associated with the maturity of existing debt obligations.

At June 30, 2010, Buckeye had total fixed-rate debt obligations at face value of \$1,425.0 million, consisting of \$125.0 million of the 5.125% Notes, \$275.0 million of the 5.300% Notes, \$300.0 million of the 4.625% Notes, \$150.0 million of the 6.750% Notes, \$300.0 million of the 6.050% Notes and \$275.0 million of the 5.500% Notes. The fair value of these fixed-rate debt obligations at June 30, 2010 was approximately \$1,478.4 million. Buckeye estimates that a 1% decrease in rates for obligations of similar maturities would increase the fair value of its fixed-rate debt obligations by approximately \$87.3 million.

51

#### **Table of Contents**

At June 30, 2010, Buckeye s variable-rate obligation was \$194.2 million under the BES Credit Agreement. Based on the balance outstanding at June 30, 2010, we estimate that a 1% increase or decrease in interest rates would increase or decrease annual interest expense by approximately \$1.9 million.

Buckeye expects to issue new fixed-rate debt (i) on or before July 15, 2013, to repay the \$300.0 million of 4.625% Notes that are due on July 15, 2013, and (ii) on or before October 15, 2014, to repay the \$275.0 million of 5.300% Notes that are due on October 15, 2014, although no assurances can be given that the issuance of fixed-rate debt will be possible on acceptable terms. During 2009, Buckeye entered into four forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before July 15, 2013 and three forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$150.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before October 15, 2014. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, Buckeye entered into two forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$100.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before July 15, 2013 and three forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total aggregate notional amount of \$125.0 million related to the anticipated issuance of debt on or before October 15, 2014. The purpose of these swaps is to hedge the variability of the forecasted interest payments on these expected debt issuances that may result from changes in the benchmark interest rate until the expected debt is issued. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, unrealized losses of \$34.9 million and \$36.2 million, respectively, were recorded in Buckeye s accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to reflect the change in the fair values of the forward-starting interest rate swaps. Buckeye designated the swap agreements as cash flow hedges at inception and expects the changes in values to be highly correlated with the changes in value of the underlying borrowings.

The following table presents the effect of hypothetical price movements on the estimated fair value of Buckeye s interest rate swap portfolio and the related change in fair value of the underlying debt at June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

		Financial
		Instrument
	Resulting	Portfolio
Scenario	Classification	Fair Value
Fair value assuming no change in underlying interest rates (as is)	Liability	\$(18,953)
Fair value assuming 10% increase in underlying interest rates	Asset	\$ 414
Fair value assuming 10% decrease in underlying interest rates	Liability	\$(39,148)

#### **Item 4.** Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (the CFO), evaluated the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are designed and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure. A controls system cannot provide absolute assurance, however, that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### (b) Change in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) or in other factors during the second quarter of 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information on legal proceedings, see Part 1, Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 3, Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this quarterly report, which is incorporated into this item by reference.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Security holders and potential investors in our securities should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below and in Part 1, Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 in addition to other information in such report and in this quarterly report. We have identified these risk factors as important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any written or oral forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf.

The market value of the stated consideration to our unitholders in the Merger will be determined by the price of Buckeye s LP Units, the value of which will decrease if the market value of Buckeye s LP Units decreases, and our unitholders cannot be sure of the market value of Buckeye s LP Units that will be issued.

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, our unitholders will receive approximately 20.0 million of Buckeye s LP Units as a result of the Merger. The aggregate market value of Buckeye s LP Units that our unitholders will receive in the Merger will fluctuate with any changes in the trading price of Buckeye s LP Units. This means there is no price protection mechanism contained in the Merger Agreement that would adjust the number of Buckeye s LP Units that our unitholders will receive based on any decreases in the trading price of the LP Units. If Buckeye s LP Unit price decreases, the market value of the stated consideration received by our unitholders will also decrease. Consider the following example:

Example: Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, our unitholders will receive 0.705 of Buckeye s LP Units for each Common Unit, subject to receipt of cash in lieu of any fractional LP Units. Based on the closing sales price of Buckeye s LP Units on June 10, 2010 of \$58.17 per LP Unit, the market value of all LP Units to be received by our unitholders would be approximately \$1,161.0 million. If the trading price for Buckeye s LP Units decreased 10% from \$58.17 to \$52.35, then the market value of all LP Units to be received by our unitholders would be approximately \$1,045.0 million.

Accordingly, there is a risk that the 32% premium estimated by our board of directors to exist at the date the Merger Agreement was executed will not be realized by our unitholders at the time the Merger is completed. Buckeye s LP Unit price changes may result from a variety of factors, including general market and economic conditions, changes in its business, operations and prospects, and regulatory considerations. Many of these factors are beyond Buckeye s control.

## The right of our unitholders to distributions will be changed following the Merger.

Under Buckeye s current partnership agreement, we are entitled to receive approximately 0.5% of all distributions made by Buckeye and increasing percentages, up to a maximum of 45%, of the amount of incremental cash distributed by Buckeye in respect of the LP Units (other than 2,573,146 LP Units) as certain target distribution levels are reached in excess of \$0.325 per LP Unit in any quarter. This results in our being entitled to receive incentive distributions of up to approximately 31% of the aggregate amount of distributions to Buckeye s partners. After the Merger, the former holders of Common Units as a group will be entitled to receive approximately 28% of

all distributions made by Buckeye. As a result of this change, the distributions received by the former holders of Common Units could be significantly different. If distributions from Buckeye were to increase significantly, the distributions to the former holders of Common Units would be significantly less than they would be if the current structure was not changed.

While the Merger Agreement is in effect, our opportunities to enter into different business combination transactions with other parties on more favorable terms may be limited, and both we and Buckeye may be limited in our ability to pursue other attractive business opportunities.

While the Merger Agreement is in effect, we are prohibited from knowingly initiating, soliciting or encouraging the submission of any acquisition proposal or from participating in any discussions or negotiations regarding any acquisition proposal, subject to certain exceptions. As a result of these provisions in the Merger Agreement, our opportunities to enter into more favorable transactions may be limited. Likewise, if we were to sell directly to a third party, we might have received more value with respect to the general partner interest in Buckeye and the incentive distribution rights in Buckeye based on the value of Buckeye s business at such time.

Moreover, the Merger Agreement provides for the payment of up to \$29.0 million in termination fees under specified circumstances, which may discourage other parties from proposing alternative transactions that could be more favorable to our unitholders.

Both we and Buckeye have also agreed to refrain from taking certain actions with respect to our businesses and financial affairs pending completion of the Merger or termination of the Merger Agreement. These restrictions could be in effect for an extended period of time if completion of the Merger is delayed. These limitations do not preclude us from conducting our business in the ordinary or usual course or from acquiring assets or businesses so long as such activity does not have a material adverse effect as such term is defined in the Merger Agreement or materially affect our or Buckeye s ability to complete the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

In addition to the economic costs associated with pursuing the Merger, the management of our general partner and Buckeye's general partner will continue to devote substantial time and other human resources to the proposed Merger, which could limit our and Buckeye's ability to pursue other attractive business opportunities, including potential joint ventures, stand-alone projects and other transactions. If either we or Buckeye are unable to pursue such other attractive business opportunities, then our growth prospects and the long-term strategic position of our businesses following the Merger could be adversely affected.

The number of outstanding Buckeye LP Units will increase as a result of the Merger, which could make it more difficult for Buckeye to pay the current level of quarterly distributions.

As of August 3, 2010, there were approximately 51.5 million of Buckeye s LP Units outstanding. Buckeye will issue approximately 20.0 million LP Units in connection with the Merger. Accordingly, the dollar amount required to pay the current per LP Unit quarterly distributions will increase, which will increase the likelihood that Buckeye will not have sufficient funds to pay the current level of quarterly distributions to all holders of LP Units. Using the amount of \$0.9625 per LP Unit declared with respect to the second quarter of 2010, the aggregate cash distribution to be paid to holders of Buckeye s LP Units will total approximately \$49.6 million, resulting in a distribution of \$13.1 million to us for our general partner units and incentive distribution rights. Therefore, the combined total distribution to be paid by Buckeye with respect to the second quarter of 2010 will be \$62.7 million. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, our unitholders will receive approximately 20.0 million of Buckeye s LP Units as a result of the Merger. Buckeye s combined pro forma distribution with respect to the second quarter 2010, had the Merger been completed prior to such distribution, would result in \$0.9625 per LP Unit being distributed on approximately 71.5 million LP Units, or a total of \$68.8 million, with BGH no longer receiving any distributions. As a result, Buckeye would be required to distribute an additional \$6.1 million per quarter in order to maintain the distribution level of \$0.9625 per LP Unit paid with respect to the second quarter of 2010.

Although the elimination of the incentive distribution rights may increase the cash available for distribution to holders of LP Units in the future, this source of funds may not be sufficient to meet the overall increase in cash required to maintain the current level of quarterly distributions to holders of LP Units.

Failure to complete the Merger or delays in completing the Merger could negatively impact the price of Buckeye s LP Units and our Common Units.

If the Merger is not completed for any reason, we and Buckeye may be subject to a number of material risks, including the following:

Buckeye will not realize the benefits expected from the Merger, including a potentially enhanced financial and competitive position;

the price of Buckeye s LP Units or our Common Units may decline to the extent that the current market price of these securities reflects a market assumption that the Merger will be completed; and

some costs relating to the Merger, such as certain investment banking fees and legal and accounting fees, must be paid even if the Merger is not completed.

The costs of the Merger could adversely affect Buckeye s operations and cash flows available for distribution to its unitholders.

We and Buckeye estimate the total costs of the Merger to be approximately \$12.0 million, primarily consisting of investment banking and legal advisors fees, accounting fees, financial printing and other related costs. These costs could adversely affect Buckeye s operations and cash flows available for distributions to its unitholders. The foregoing estimate is preliminary and is subject to change.

If the Merger Agreement were terminated, we may be obligated to pay Buckeye for costs incurred related to the Merger. These costs could require us to seek loans or use our available cash that would have otherwise been available for distributions.

Upon termination of the Merger Agreement, and depending upon the circumstances leading to that termination, we could be responsible for reimbursing Buckeye for Merger related expenses that Buckeye has paid.

If the Merger Agreement is terminated, the expense reimbursements required by us under the Merger Agreement may require us to seek loans, borrow amounts under our revolving credit facility or use cash received from distributions we receive from Buckeye to reimburse these expenses. In either case, reimbursement of these costs could reduce the cash we have available to make quarterly distributions.

#### Tax Risks Related to the Merger

No ruling has been obtained with respect to the tax consequences of the Merger.

No ruling has been or will be requested from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) with respect to the tax consequences of the Merger. Instead, we and Buckeye are relying on the opinions of our respective counsel as to the tax consequences of the Merger, and counsel s conclusions may not be sustained if challenged by the IRS.

The intended tax consequences of the Merger are dependent upon our and Buckeye being treated as partnerships for tax purposes.

The treatment of the Merger as nontaxable to our and Buckeye s unitholders is dependent upon each of us and Buckeye being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. If either we or Buckeye were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, the consequences of the Merger would be materially different and the Merger would likely be a fully taxable transaction to our unitholders.

55

The tax treatment of the Merger could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The federal income tax consequences of the Merger depend in some instances on determinations of fact and interpretations of complex provisions of federal income tax law. The federal income tax rules are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department, frequently resulting in revised interpretations of established concepts, statutory changes, revisions to Treasury regulations and other modifications and interpretations. The IRS pays close attention to the proper application of tax laws to partnerships. The present federal income tax consequences of the merger to our unitholders may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. Any modification to the federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be applied retroactively and could change the tax treatment of the Merger as nontaxable to our unitholders. For example, in response to recent public offerings of interests in the management operations of private equity funds and hedge funds, the U.S. House of Representatives has passed legislation that may cause the Merger to be treated as a taxable exchange to our unitholders. The U.S. Senate is considering similar legislation, although the most current version of the legislation under consideration by the U.S. Senate would not cause the Merger to be treated as a taxable exchange if a holder of Common Units agreed to make an affirmative election that, as currently interpreted, could change the tax treatment of future sales of LP Units received in the Merger. The most current version of the legislation under consideration in the U.S. Senate would apply to transactions, such as the Merger, that occur after December 31, 2010. We are unable to predict whether this proposed legislation or any other proposals will ultimately be enacted, and if so, whether any such proposed legislation would be applied retroactively.

Existing BGH unitholders may recognize taxable income as a result of receiving cash in lieu of fractional LP Units.

Although it is anticipated that for U.S. federal income tax purposes no gain or loss should be recognized by our unitholders solely as a result of the Merger, the receipt of cash in lieu of any fractional LP Units will result in a BGH unitholder recognizing gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the unitholder s adjusted tax basis allocable to such fractional LP Units.

56

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated June 10, 2010, by and among Buckeye Partners, L.P., Buckeye GP LLC, Buckeye GP Holdings L.P., MainLine Management LLC and Grand Ohio, LLC, a subsidiary of Buckeye Partners, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of Buckeye Partners, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 11, 2010).
- \*10.1 Buckeye Partners, L.P. Annual Incentive Compensation Plan, as Amended and Restated, effective as of May 6, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of Buckeye Partners, L.P. s Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on July 14, 2010).
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2010, among Buckeye Energy Services LLC, BNP Paribas and other lenders party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Buckeye Partners, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 1, 2010).
- 10.3 Support Agreement, by and among Buckeye Partners, L.P., BGH GP Holdings, LLC, ArcLight Energy Partners Fund III, L.P., ArcLight Energy Partners Fund IV, L.P., Kelso Investment Associates VIII, L.P. and KEP VI, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Buckeye Partners, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 11, 2010).
- 10.4 Registration Rights Agreement, by and among Buckeye Partners, L.P., BGH GP Holdings, LLC, ArcLight Energy Partners Fund III, L.P., ArcLight Energy Partners Fund IV, L.P., Kelso Investment Associates VIII, L.P. and KEP VI, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Buckeye Partners, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 11, 2010).
- \*\*31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 (a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- \*\*31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- \*\*32.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- \*\*32.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- \* Represents
  management
  contract or
  compensatory
  plan or
  arrangement.
- \*\* Filed herewith.

Schedules have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2)

Edgar Filing: Buckeye GP Holdings L.P. - Form 10-Q

of
Regulation S-K.
BGH agrees to
furnish
supplementally a
copy of the
omitted
schedules to the
SEC upon
request.

57

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

By: BUCKEYE GP HOLDINGS L.P. (Registrant)

By: MainLine Management LLC, as General Partner

Date: August 6, 2010 By: /s/ Keith E. St.Clair

Keith E. St.Clair Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting Officer and Principal Financial Officer) 58